+ + + + +

COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY

JANUARY 12, 2001

+ + + + +

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 8:05 a.m., in the Capital Ballroom, Holiday Inn Select, 316 W. Tennessee Street, Tallahassee, Florida, Chairperson Mary Frances Berry, presiding.

PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, CHAIRPERSON
CRUZ REYNOSO, VICE CHAIRPERSON
CHRISTOPHER EDLEY, JR., COMMISSIONER
YVONNE Y. LEE, COMMISSIONER
ELSIE M. MEEKS, COMMISSIONER
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, COMMISSIONER
VICTORIA WILSON, COMMISSIONER

LESLIE R. JIN, STAFF DIRECTOR



Staff Present:

KIMBERLEY ALTON
DAVID ARONSON
TERRI DICKERSON
BOBBY DOCTOR
PAMELA A. DUNSTON
MICHAEL FOREMAN
GEORGE HARBISON
EDWARD HAILES, Acting General Counsel
JENNY PARK
BERNARD QUARTERMAN
PETER REILLY, Parliamentarian
KWANA ROYAL
JOYCE SMITH
AUDREY WIGGINS
AUDREY WRIGHT

Commissioner Assistants Present:

PATRICK DUFFY
ELIZABETH OUYANG
CHARLOTTE PONTICELLI
SCOTT SCHREIBER
KRISHNA TOOLSIE
EFFIE TURNBULL

A-G-E-N-D-A

I.	Approval of Agenda4
II.	Approval of Minutes of4 December 8, 2000 Meeting
III.	Announcements5
IV.	Staff Director's Report
V.	2001 Planning Meeting
VI.	Proposed Hearing in Miami, Florida 18
VII.	Clinton Administration Report Card 39
VIII.	State Advisory Committee Report 43
ΤX	Future Agenda Items

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

BERRY:

CHAIRPERSON

indicate by saying aye.

favor

in

All

24

(A chorus of ayes.) 1 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Opposed? 2 (No response.) 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So ordered. 4 5 III. Announcements The only CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 6 7 announcement is to remind people of course that it's Martin Luther King's birthday -- holiday. His 8 birthday is on Monday. It comes every year. And to 9 acknowledge that. That's the only one that I have. 10 We have a new commissioner, Commissioner 11 Thernstrom, who has -- was here yesterday. So she's 12 13 not quite as new as she was yesterday. (Laughter.) 14 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Still feeling 15 16 pretty new. 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. Who has joined us today. 18 19 anyone else Staff Does have any 20 Director, do you have any announcements or does 21 anybody else have any announcements? 22 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: No, I don't. 23 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, thank 24 you. With that --25 COMMISSIONER LEE: Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LEE: In light of Sheriff Brown's ambush, shooting death in Georgia, I request that this Commission submit a letter of inquiry to the Department of Justice to find out the nature of his death because of his position on police reform, an issue that we have been following. I would like to have the Commission send a letter of inquiry to the DOJ.

There CHAIRPERSON BERRY: were news accounts, of course, of the event since the last meeting -- it came in between the meeting -- and Commissioner Lee had spoken to me about it in the interim. I don't know what the Justice Department anything, whether they have doing, if any The news story said that the FBI inquiry. helping with the investigation.

I don't know whether the FBI considers itself part of the Justice Department or not, but I think that it is appropriate for us to ask. And so, if there's no objection to doing so, we will send a letter of inquiry to the Justice Department asking. Shall we ask them to investigate or simply ask the status of the investigation, Commissioner Lee? Is that what you have in mind? What do you have in

mind? 1 I would like COMMISSIONER LEE: to 2 request an investigation but I don't know what the 3 formal procedure is. 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't we have 5 staff call over to Civil Rights Division and find 6 7 out what they're doing and if they're not doing anything, we'll write the letter depending on what 8 9 they say they're doing, okay. 10 COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you. All CHAIRPERSON BERRY: right, 11 no 12 objection. 13 Staff Director's Report 14 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Madam Chair, I have 15 a question about the U.N. conference. I don't know 16 whether I should raise that now. 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, you should. 18 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well, I quess what 19 I want to do is ask you to just explain to everybody 20 what it is since you've been very much involved in 21 The general question I want to raise --22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have. Cruz went 23 to the prep com. 24 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Right. And I quess 25 I want to -- I thought it might be useful just to

1	hear a couple of sentences about what the prep com
2	was like and the sense that you and Cruz have of the
3	way the United States, both governmental and NGO,
4	activity is developing and whether there's any role
5	for the Commission, or should be any role for the
6	Commission as that develops. I'm a little skeptical
7	myself, but I just wanted to put it on the table.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well maybe we should
9	tell Cruz, why don't you explain it because you
10	went to the prep com.
11	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Please, I'm
12	having a little bit of a hard time hearing you, if
13	you could just speak slightly louder.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Cruz,
15	could you tell the could you hear Commissioner
16	Edley?
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I was having a
19	hard time hearing it.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, Edley, okay.
21	Perhaps, Vice Chair, you could tell
22	you could describe what the U.N. Conference is, for
23	those who may not know, that Commissioner Edley is
24	referring to and then tell us a little bit about it
25	since you went to the preparatory commission.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Commissioner Edley has raised the issue as to whether or not we should inquire as to whether or not this Commission should have a role in the following. There's going to be this year now, an international conference on racism and other prejudices, by which is meant mostly religious prejudices. It'll take place in South Africa. This is akin to a couple of other world conferences that have taken place of issues of human rights.

U.N. follows The procedure of a preparatory conferences to try to agree the agenda and so on. I was invited to be part of the U.S. delegation that met in Geneva for a week in one of those preparatory conferences where we dealt a great deal with the issues that are before the world it comes up in different countries and each nation.

The plan was that there would be meetings in the U.S. with groups interested in human rights of great variety, and eventually the U.S. will send a delegation to that conference, as will other nations. The question has been raised whether since that conference has to do with human rights, which in this country we normally refer to as civil

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

rights, whether this Commission should have a role in that. We have had no formal role in it. I was invited more as an individual to be part of a U.S. delegation. I assume that Commissioner Edley is rasing the question as to whether or not we in turn should be in touch with the White House and the State Department as to whether or not we should have a more formal role.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I went to the Geneva Human Rights Commission and Committee to Eliminate All Forms of Racial Discrimination meeting in my private capacity as a scholar with some non-governmental organizations and I have been invited to go to the meeting in South Africa in connection with one of the numerous non-profit boards that I serve on.

But the Commission itself, we have received the documents -- the reports that have been prepared, or the staff has, for comment and have been kept abreast of what has been going on, but I'm not sure whether the Commission ought to play a role in it or not as a formal matter.

There is some rule that was established sometime other -- I don't know when it happened -- back, what is it, before the -- at a time when the

memory of man runneth not to country or whatever -the Commissioners do not get to go to that meetings something, the international oror Commission's activities are not international. I don't know where that came from. I've never seen anybody write it down.

Also the fact that we are an independent agency and a watchdog commission would mean that we would not go representing any administration. So that any role that we would have, we would have independently of that. So we might want to think about it, whether we want someone to go or whether we want people to go. I'm sure that the people at this table can, if they want to, go as part of some non-governmental organization. We don't have to go as members of the Commission.

Edley, what did you have in mind?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Well frankly, rather than adding to my frequent flier miles, what I was mostly thinking about was -- as the Chair knows, for over a year, I've been a little bit concerned that the documents that -- the official documents that might be produced by the United States government seeking to characterize for the world the state of civil rights in the United

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

States, might -- how do I -- how can I put this?

Might have some controversial elements in them or

might have characterizations of the state of affairs

that --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Might embellish the amount of progress.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Or something like that. I mean, it could happen. Who knows? And obviously this is the sort of thing that would happen regardless of who the Administration of what the State Department in It's sort business to do. So in light of that, I think really the question is whether institutionally it would be useful to the overall enterprise for the Commission formally.

staff for the but just the Not whatever Commission formally to (A) comment on documents are being prepared by the United States United States commented to the Government Government in the drafting process. And (B) perhaps those documents are ambitiously, once more finalized, publish a reaction to those documents that would then be available to participants of the U.N. conference and to the Congress and the general I'm not talking about something ambitious, public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm talking about, you know, a five, ten, fifteen 1 And we obviously don't have to resolve 2 page thing. I just wanted to raise it maybe for 3 this today. people to think about until we can resolve it at a 4 5 future meeting. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Vice Chair. 6 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: One of the 7 problems has been that the State Department is in 8 9 charge of preparing the documents pertaining to the 10 status of civil rights in this country --11 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: And thev know 12 nothing. 13 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Let me put it 14 this way, they're not experts on civil rights in 15 this country. EDLEY: You're 16 COMMISSIONER so 17 diplomatic. 18 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And sometimes 19 purposes have a tendency to not notice 20 matters that are going on in this country. So I 21 think the suggestion is that maybe since this is an 22 official document from the U.S. government and since 23 we are the Civil Rights Commission, we at 24 ought to have an opportunity to review and make

observations on whatever draft is put together.

25

Ι

2 be a very limited role for us. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well we have -- what 3 I -- to say again, we have done that informally. 4 What you're suggesting -- or made that insistence 5 informally, and have even been asked informally what 6 we thought about X, Y or Z or A, B or C. Nothing 7 comprehensive. So what you're suggesting 8 But what I would like from among 9 something formal. the suggestions -- what seems to make sense and 10 11 would be most useful, I think, is for us not only to 12 about commenting, which with the State talk anywhere, 13 Department usually doesn't I've get 14 noticed, on these kinds of documents, but to prepare our own document in response and circulate it to the 15 members of the U.N. Commission through either CERD 16 or the Human Rights Commission or directly to the 17 18 We can figure out how to do that. So I like U.N. 19 the idea of having our own document. 20 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I think -- and just to keep the staff from going apoplectic, the U.N. 21 conference is not until next September, I believe. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think it's August. COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Is it now in 24 25 August?

think that's probably a good suggestion and it would

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Uh-huh. 1 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: So this is 2 not imminent, but it's some months -- it's some months 3 hence, so it's not --4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. 5 So we 6 will -- is there any objection to that idea? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right, we will 9 then proceed to see how we can work out moving with 10 that idea when the report -- we'll be in touch with 11 the same people and make sure we get the stuff that 12 They will be different people probably, 13 although the civil service over there will be the 14 same, the people working down in the bowels of the Administration on this stuff. 15 And then we'll see 16 about getting a document together. 17 Is there anything else under the --18 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Can I ask just 19 one question about that? At what point would you 20 be able to see the State Department 21 document to which we would be responding? 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well we will -- you 23 informed of that as we will be go along with 24 meetings and when we get information. Right now, we 25 don't know. The staff will have to find out is the

short answer, what their time table is and all of that business.

Yes, Commissioner Meeks.

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: This is moving off on a different subject.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: And I think we'll probably touch on this during the planning. think that the Commission -- I would like for them to write a letter to the Attorney General in South Dakota. You know, he has said he will do a study on the justice system and how it affects Indians, or what -- actually on sentencing. And so we've heard a couple of different stories coming out of his office, that first he was going to do it and then Actually we heard that from DOJ. he's not. just think that if we wrote a letter directly to him asking him if he's going to do it and what company he's going to use, I mean what his methodology will doing it. Just so we can get some clarification whether he's -- and who's paying for it.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This is follow-up on the South Dakota -- a forum we had on Native American issues and the administration of justice

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and the killings out there. And the follow-up on it and the report that we did, the State Advisory Committee report that was done and the discussions with officials that Elsie and others have had in South Dakota and the idea that the state attorney general had said that he would do a study if he had the resources.

Because we raised the issue of doing a of the criminal justice system in some study particular locale or somewhere in the state to try to figure out whether all these complaints about how people were treated made any sense. He said he and the Justice Department --Justice Statistics offered some money to pay for it. It was first publicly announced that the study would be done and then it was announced that it wouldn't be done and then it was announced it would be done, and so what -- and that Justice wouldn't give them the money. Then we got a letter from Justice saying that they would give them the money.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

So Commissioner Meeks is suggesting that we might send a letter to the attorney general simply asking -- of South Dakota -- are you doing the study or aren't you. I see no reason for us not

to do that since it's just follow-up on what we've been doing. So I would be happy to send such a letter.

Is there anything else under the Staff Director's report?

(No response.)

VI. Proposed Hearing in Miami, Florida

this is the right place to mention, since it is ongoing activity, that the staff, as I understand it, is prepared for us to go to Miami next month for a one-day hearing. We had left it in the motion that we passed and the notices that were given to the staff to identify when they could do hearings and where we should do them. And so that would mean that at next month's meeting date, whatever date that is --

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: It's the 16th.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: -- we would have a one-day hearing in Miami, which would have -- they have concluded that we at least need to go to Miami. They haven't concluded that we need to go anywhere else yet. And that would mean that we would be listening to the county supervisors and people who have allegations of abuses and advocates. There

will probably be a large number of people with 1 allegations there, so you should be prepared to 2 listen to them. The public forum will probably go 3 on for quite awhile that Friday. So in terms of you 4 making your preparations for how you would be, what 5 you will do when you get there -- so that would be 6 7 next month in Miami. Okay, let's go to the --8 I'm sorry, 9 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: 10 would that be a one or a two-day meeting? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're talking about 11 12 a one-day hearing. 13 COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Α one-day 14 hearing? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which means that we 15 would do the meeting we had in the morning and we'll 16 17 have to figure out the timing. Depending on how many witnesses the general counsel decides he needs, 18 19 we may be able to meet for two hours instead of one, as we're doing now, but we'll tell you about that 20 But it would be -- you'll probably need to 21 22 get in on Thursday night. Is that all right, Vice Chair? 23 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: To have the -24 25 - to have the meeting, do we still have to go to a

1	30-day notice for that meeting?
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, because we
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. That
4	was included, okay.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: in the notice
6	in the notice that the staff put out, they included
7	language that made it possible for them to do so.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: They were pressing
10	it.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. They may have
13	put out some further notice further identifying or
14	something. I don't know how that would be
15	described, but they did cover it.
16	V. 2001 Planning Meeting
17	Okay, now the planning meeting for 2001
18	is a this is the beginning of it, and a
19	preliminary discussion, which will be truncated
20	because of the time that we have for the meeting,
21	but also, my understanding is that there aren't that
22	many issues for us to decide. The reason there
23	aren't that many issues for us to decide is because
24	we don't have any money and the reason why we don't
i	

have any money is because the Commission's budget

1 has not been increased in how many years, 2 Christopher? COMMISSIONER EDLEY: (No response.) 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Commission has 4 had absolutely no budget increase, even though the 5 President has requested one every year, since at 6 7 least 19 -- what was it, 1994? The '95 budget. 8 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And, in fact, 9 our 10 budget has been decreased. So the more we do, the less money we get. So the reason why we don't have 11 12 a lot to plan is because we don't have a lot to pay 13 Is that succinctly put Staff Director? STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: And it's 14 put 15 nicely. 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So everything that 17 Commissioners can do to figure out what we can do is 18 appreciated. We made a decision. as vou will 19 recall, at the last meeting that we would -- that we 20 would put off the projects that the staff would at 21 this very hour -- not this hour but later on in the 22 day -- be working on, because we wanted to do these 23 hearings and work on this project related to voting 24 rights, which none of us knew would happen.

nobody expected this, so it wasn't in our planning,

1 | right, Staff Director?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So maybe you want to explain this. Do you want to say something in summary form about the plans?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Just very briefly, Madam Chair. We provided a document for the Commissioners last week and what we tried to do —it's a little different format than perhaps in the past and what we tried to do is, in a memo, give them more information that we hope would help you with your analysis and your decision making process.

Give you a little more background in terms of what the staff is working on this fiscal year, as well as just giving you projects as to what you might consider for two fiscal years down the road. And as we indicated in that memo, I think especially for the next four to six months, both the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation and Office of General Counsel are going to be very, very busy primarily with the voting rights project. But also with the statutory report for the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, and also the Office of General Counsel continues to work on the Crossing Borders

matter -- prepared to continue work on Crossing Borders matters. Those three projects take up the great bulk of the time. So I think perhaps with that introduction, I will just turn it back over to you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. I just want . say one other thing and then I'll recognize we We decided had two maior Commissioners. priorities to get the staff to -- one was this also priority of the voting rights project. We would work the decided we do some more on we're immigration project which calling Crossing We revised the Native American project, which was first about housing and we revised it to change it to Administration of Justice, if I recall correctly. Is that right, Commissioner Meeks?

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And then we still have the Expanding Economic Opportunities Minority Youth project, and so, the point is that we would have the staff finish the voting project sometime in the near term, like summer, and then that staff could be devoted to -- part of it, at least, working on the immigration project and the others working the civil rights on Native

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

American civil rights project. And the OCRE staff, which is working on the voting -- their part of the voting project and their statutory report, if I've got that right? STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Would then be able some work on -- more work on expanding economic opportunity. But essentially what we're doing is moving those things forward, deferring as it were, while we have the staff devoted to these other new projects, is that right? STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's The Expanding Economic Opportunities Madam Chair. Project has two components for the Office of Civil One is a briefing paper and the Rights Evaluation. second is conducting round tables. I think by the summer that they should be able to do the briefing The round tables, I think perhaps there paper. should be some further discussions as to whether be crunched into this fiscal perhaps should be delayed or reformatted something like that.

The General Counsel's office also has a role -- as now conceived -- regarding the Expanding Economic Opportunities, Project, and that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or

or

provide a consultation. I think realistically probably that will not come until after the briefing paper is done anyway.

Other point -- big point in this paper, and that was to remind us that new projects always emerge during the year. We've already acknowledged that in our discussions at the last meeting when we talked about the budget, that there's -- and this project we're doing here is an example of a new project. So we expect new projects to emerge and then we have to make adjustments to take care of them.

With that, I'll recognize -- you had your hand up, didn't you?

COMMISSIONER WILSON: Yes, thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. In between the onslaught of unexpected projects, one thing I would like to push up, if possible, is the issue on the subject of environmental justice. I think with this new administration and what's going on, I think it's a topic that unfortunately is something that we should be addressing. I think it's obviously having very far reaching consequences, even with what's going on in California, just having to do with the electrical I understand that we can't really begin to company.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

do a huge amount of work until 2002, but I was wondering if we could hold a briefing?

at the -- Commissioners may suggest at any time briefings and then we figure out, you know, when to -- when to schedule them. And so, we can put down one for environmental justice, which makes sense. I don't recall -- and that would give us something on the subject and a lead into it before we actually go to the major project. That's not a problem.

Anybody -- yes, Commissioner Edley.

wholeheartedly endorse the idea of deferring work on the Expanding Economic Opportunities for Minority Youth Project. I think that -- as some of you may recall, I've been a little skeptical about this particular undertaking from the beginning, worrying that it -- that any reasonable treatment of it would take us into fields of social policy as to which we're not particularly expert.

At least we may be individually expert but institutionally we're not particularly expert. So I guess I would just say that I -- that I would bet very, very little that what would actually come out of a major undertaking by us in this area is

going to advance the ball a whole lot, as important as this subject is. So I think we have many higher priorities given our limited resources and I think that anything that can be done to defer that and to downsize it would be -- would be -- would be very important.

The second -- the second thing I wanted to do is is voice a concern again about the statutory report. I think the Commission has now, a couple of times, urged the staff to make sure that the statutory reports have an appropriate scale and ambition, and that producing multi hundred-page tomes is probably not a good use of our limited resources.

So I was concerned to get the sense from the material in our package that this is a major thing for OCRE, which makes me worry that indeed tons of staff resources are being invested in this that may be out of proportion to, I think, the guidance that the Commission has given to the staff about -- about the appropriate scale and scope of the statutory report.

And third and finally, just by -- just to underscore this, my own sense is that one of the most important civil rights things that is

1	percolating, and that's going to move to a full boil
2	I think in the next year, are the civil rights
3	implications of the standards based accountability
4	movement in K through 12 education and with the
5	with the steadily growing use of high stakes testing
6	and the increasing concern of civil rights
7	organizations that these tests are being adopted in
8	ways that violate Title VI of the Civil Rights Act.
9	We had a briefing, I believe, on this subject some
10	time ago
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We had a briefing
12	paper. Did we have a briefing or just a paper?
13	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I thought we had a
14	couple of speakers. I thought we had a couple of
15	I can't remember.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I know we had a
17	paper.
18	VOICE: It was a briefing paper.
19	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: It was only a
20	paper, okay.
21	So I think we're missing something.
22	We're missing something there that is of consequence
23	in thousands of school districts. It's going to be
24	a major issue in congressional action reauthorizing
25	the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. We have

a new commissioner who is a nationally recognized 1 about discussions 2 figure in these principal standards-based accountability in Dr. Thernstrom and 3 I think we ought to get into it. It's more 4 important, I think, than the statutory report or the 5 advancing minority opportunities thing, and it's 6 right in the core of what the Commission is about, 7 Title VI. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you want to say 10 something? STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Thank you, Madam 11 12 Chair. Commissioner Edley, in terms the 13 about the resource allocation for the 14 question statutory report, actually Terri Dickerson, who is, 15 of course, the head of our Office of Civil Rights 16 Evaluation, and I have had a number of conversations 17 I think she and I are not only in 18 about that. 19 accord with your comments, but we fully recognize that the Commission has over a number of months made 20 21 that clear. 22 I think that -- and again, Ms. Dickerson can correct or add to this if I'm off base, but I 23 24 think once upon a time not that many years ago, the 25 if not

probably took

up

statutory

report

virtually all of the time of the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, but certainly was the dominant project, and now it still is a significant project. We would have to call it a major project, but I think in going over, you know, kind of staff allocation with Ms. Dickerson, it's probably — maybe it has — maybe half as much as it was just a couple of years ago.

And so, at this point, I think that — again, because it is a statutory report, I think that we definitely have to figure out a way to provide, even if it's much more narrow in scope, a report that's appropriate. But it is much reduced and, of course, if there's a feeling that, you know, we should even take further looks at reducing it, we will respond accordingly.

In terms of the K to 12 project, I just want to note that next fiscal year the Commission is scheduled to work on a K through 12 project. It's a different project, but one possibility if the Commission is looking for a way to fit it in time-wise, is to, you know, look as to how that project might be adjusted if the priorities have changed. That's just one suggestion.

COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: I have a

1	question about that.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: What is the
4	project that is now scheduled on K through 12? What
5	is the topic?
6	'STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: It's an integration
7	project.
8	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Integration?
9	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Integration
11	project?
12	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Integration,
13	racial.
14	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: Well, I would
15	second Commissioner Edley's view that the question
16	of high stakes testing and accountability should at
17	this point take priority over an integration
18	project. That is the issue on the table in every
19	state in the nation, that is high stakes testing and
20	accountability, and I do think it is of greater
21	importance. So I'm in agreement with you on that.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me try to
23	COMMISSIONER THERNSTROM: You're looking
24	at me skeptically. I'm agreeing with you.
25	(Laughter.)

No. I -- well, COMMISSIONER EDLEY: 1 gives me -- it worries me a little, but I --2 (Laughter.) 3 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I mean, I --4 mean, I feel the anguish of the Staff Director and 5 I mean, I don't know how you squeeze 6 the Chairman. limited resources and whether 7 given our there's a way to define something, yeah. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I know the feeling, 9 One time the Wall Street Journal 10 Christopher. published an editorial saying I had done something 11 I stayed up all night praying to try to 12 figure out what I had done wrong. 13 (Laughter.) 14 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Αt 8:00 a.m., Ι 16 figured it out. (Laughter.) 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me just say that 18 there are some ways to deal with this. First of 19 all, the statutory report came about because some of 20 the civil rights groups were upset that the 21 Commission some years ago stopped doing monitoring 22 23 of the federal government -- years ago. There was a decision made by some new commissioners that they 24

watchdog anything

wouldn't

25

monitor

or

that

federal government was doing anymore because they agreed with everything the federal government was doing.

So this provision was put in our statute to insist that the Commission monitor and to have at least one monitoring report. Since that time that's no longer an issue because the Commission monitors all the time. And all we do -- I mean a lot of what we do in various briefings and other kinds of reports is to monitor. I think it's still important.

Years ago when the Commission had lots of money -- in the Nixon administration sometime -they decided they would do monitoring reports of every agency in the federal government every year, little ones, not big ones, saying what they've done, what they should be doing. The sort of thing that the Citizens Commission does now but in larger compass, which is why the Citizens Commission started doing it. So now the idea is that yes, there should be a monitoring report because it's important to have at least one that's called that, and pick some agency and do a thorough job. had gotten out of hand. I mean, it had gotten to be so huge that not only was it a large investment of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | resources, it was not user-friendly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Yeah, exactly.

It was so thick and CHAIRPERSON BERRY: so big and so detailed that it was hard for anybody to figure out what to do with it. So that is why we decided, collectively, that the staff should these reports, make them user-friendly for the agencies that have to use them, and the public, but not spend the whole year in OCRE doing nothing except, quote, working on the monitoring report. since Terri has been there, has been And OCRE, monitoring all sorts of things. I mean, we've had briefing papers and she's had the staff do what the staff used to do at OCRE, which is to keep track of what's going on, and to give us briefing papers We've gotten large numbers of them, about things. and even one on testing.

My suggestion is yes, we stick to the smaller in size, more user-friendly statutory report and continue to do them. Let's have a briefing on standardized testing, too, high stakes testing. We need to have one. We had a briefing paper. It can be sent around to us again in case people don't have it, or weren't here or whatever. And have PAU put together a briefing for us to get right away.

That's the quick way for us to get into the issue, and then figure out what kind of project, if anything, we want to do. But that'll get us into it right away.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I have a specific suggestion. Can I suggest that between the briefing paper that's already been done and some -- and a briefing and further discussion that might take place in March or so --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Or April.

commissioner edley: -- or April, that we tentatively aim towards trying to produce a short, 15-page, Commission statement on the issue with the goal of really giving some advice to the Congress about civil rights issues that may arise as the Congress considers federal statutes regarding standardized -- not standardized, but testing and accountability. In other words, let's -- I think that that would be something manageable. I think if we produced something like that, it would also serve the purpose of providing some guidance to state legislatures and state boards of education that are struggling with these same issues.

But I think if we could get out something -- if we could get out something in the

July time frame, then it would be useful to people in Congress who are going to be struggling over this -- these -- struggling over these reauthorizations in the coming year. And something short, like 15 pages, will probably be just as useful as something that's 100 pages. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, what I would

have in mind would be something that's short.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Right.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Short is better than long.

> COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But I'm wondering if what we ought not do is first take the briefing paper, which I was able to reach conclusions just by reading the briefing paper and from what I already I'm not an expert on high stakes testing, but used to run federal education programs in the Administration, you know, and Carter as followed this subject. And used to work -- was on the advisory board to ETS, you know, so I have some experience with these matters. And I was able to Terri's briefing and reach read paper some conclusions, maybe others can.

But I would think that having a briefing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and having some experts come in and then say we will 1 produce this thing right after that would be the 2 So why don't we try to do 3 best way to go about it. 4 it that way if there's no objection to trying to do it that way? All right -- and try to get it done 5 before it's too late. So we'll work out a briefing 6 7 Environmental justice we've got on the list. 8 9 COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Right. 10 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We've got a briefing 11 on the subject of Terri's paper about the voting 12 rights stuff to consider and then this one of high 13 stakes testing. 14 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right. The -- one 15 thing to note, if we were to do any -- you know, any 16 -- or especially all of these briefings in D.C. in 17 the next four or five months, then --18 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We could do one 19 every month. 20 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right, but we're 21 not going to be in D.C. every month. I quess that's 22 the other point. 23 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, we'll be -- we 24 won't be there next month.

Right.

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN:

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And that's February. 1 2 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Right. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We'll 3 see what happens after that. 4 anything else on the planning 5 Okay, Yes, Commissioner Wilson. 6 document? 7 COMMISSIONER WILSON: I have a question. Thank you, Madam Chair. I don't know if it would 8 be useful or if it would just be a waste of time, 9 but I just -- I was passing by and saw this 10 11 catalogue of publications and I wondered if either it isn't -- it's too expensive or it's not worth the 12 effort, but if we've ever put together a sort of 13 anthology of -- a sort of best of the Commission's 14 15 work? 16 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Or the most asked 17 for, because everyone would consider their part the 18 best. (Laughter.) 19 20 COMMISSIONER WILSON: Perhaps there would be an objective subcommittee that could come 21 22 up with that and that would -- I mean, could do it 23 any number of ways. It would be a sort of history 24 of the Commission, or it would be, you know, the 25 most popular, America's favorites or -- and that you

1	would write an introduction.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Greatest hits?
3	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Yeah.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's a good idea.
5	Why don't we see Staff Director, why don't you
6	talk to the PAU folks and see what you come up with.
7	STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That sounds like a
8	very good idea.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Anybody else
10	anything on this planning business before we go
11	to the next item?
12	(No response.)
13	VII. Report Card for Clinton Administration
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have the final
15	report card for the civil rights performance of the
16	Clinton administration.
17	Yes, Vice Chair.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, Madam
19	Chair
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did I misstep?
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I beg your
22	pardon?
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did I misstep?
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: No. No, I
25	just wondered whether we need to act on this at this

meeting. I didn't get a chance to go over it with the care that I wanted to and I don't notice a discussion here, at least in the table of contents in my going through the administration of justice section and the writ of habeas corpus that I had a particular interest in.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The writ of habeas corpus?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What is your pleasure, ladies and gentlemen, this report of the civil rights performance of the Clinton administration? We can either agree to it today -in one sense, it makes sense to either pass it or not because the Clinton administration is coming to and in another sense, it doesn't make any difference because the Clinton administration is coming to an end.

The assessments and reassessments will go on and on and I'm sure that whenever we do it, an assessment will be useful. And I think it's important to evaluate the performance — this is another monitoring we do — of any administration, but I don't know if you wish to approve it here or if you wish to make suggestions of things you would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

like to add, as Cruz has said, with the habeas 1 corpus process he would like to add. 2 Yes, Commissioner Meeks. 3 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I mean, I think the 4 value of the report is just -- it's for the record 5 and I don't know that delaying it is going to hurt 6 I think it's a well written report, but, 7 anything. 8 I mean, there's things that, you know, I would like to add to it and have a fuller discussion on it than 9 10 we have time for today. Yes, Commissioner. 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 12 Wilson. COMMISSIONER WILSON: I think if -- I 13 14 mean, of course, next month we're going to be 15 meeting in Miami, so it'll have to be postponed, I 16 quess, until March unless we want to take up the 17 hour in Miami discussing it. But I would agree that 18 And also, I would -- I mean, it should be tabled. 19 maybe that was -- it's forthcoming, but I would also 20 suggest, particularly with a report like this, that 21 there be a summary chart or a breakdown very fast --22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Of the issues and --23 COMMISSIONER WILSON: Yes. So someone 24 can look at this very quickly and see what he's done

and what he hasn't done.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But not grades.
2	COMMISSIONER WILSON: No. You didn't
3	hear that word come from my mouth.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Edley, were you
5	seeking recognition?
6	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: No. I agree with
7	what everyone has just has already said. I want
8	to commend the staff for getting it this close to
9	the goal line in such a short period of time. This
10	was a last-minute task that the Commission dreamed
11	up and
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Terri has done a
13	fantastic job. Let's hear it for Terri.
14	(Applause.)
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Terri had done a
16	fantastic job.
17	COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I think if we if
18	we can all undertake to give some provide some
19	comments between now and the time the Commission
20	gets it that would help. You know, we could just
21	stamp this statutory report and call this the
22	statutory report.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We could do that but
24	we can't because we would have two statutory
25	reports. We could, because we could make whatever

we want the statutory report. This is a very fine one.

1.4

Why don't we say if Commissioners have any comments on this report, they get them in in the next 15 days to the Staff Director, and we will attempt to act on this report in February. We'll work out the schedule. But if you've read it and we have it on the agenda, you should be able to — we should be able to get it out next time in view of the work that's been done.

VIII. State Advisory Committee Report

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The next item is the state advisory committee report, Who Is Enforcing Civil Rights in Arkansas? A Need for a State Civil Rights Agency.

Do you want to say anything about this one, Staff Director?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Not a whole lot. I had a talk with the regional director in charge of Arkansas, Melvin Jenkins, awhile back and he urged upon me the importance of getting this approved because the State Advisory Committee is meeting, I think, early next week and they want to be able to kind of organize, coordinate and try to convince the state legislature to move forward with this project.

	They feel that it's a very important project. So
2	time flies. This is a very opportune time.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Arkansas is one of
4	what is it, two states, that do not have human
5	rights agencies. I think it's two or three. Most
6	states have human rights agencies of some sort. A
7	state human rights committee or commission or
8	something, but Arkansas does not. So this is the
9	relevance of that report.
10	Can I get a motion to approve the
11	report?
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So move.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could I get a
14	second?
15	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor
19	indicate by saying aye.
20.	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	IX. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
22	- CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Does anyone have any
23	other items that they wish to discuss in this part
24	of the meeting at this time?
25	(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If no one does, then
we will recess for ten minutes -- according to my
watch eight minutes, and then we will start the
Commission hearing.

(Whereupon, at 8:52 a.m., the
proceedings were recessed.)