_ .

CCR Meet. Alaska Advisory Committee to the

United States Commission on Civil Rights

October 25, 2001

<u>Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings</u>

Chairperson: Gilbert F. Gutierrez

Hilton Hotel 500 West Third Avenue Anchorage, Alaska



U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Accurate Transcript Reporting PO Box 222135, Anchorage, Alaska 99522 (907) 245-4467 atr@gci.net

1 ALASKA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS 2 Thursday, October 25, 2001 3 TABLE OF CONTENTS 4 TIME SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION PAGE 5 12:30 a.m. INTRODUCTION AND OPENING STATEMENT 04 6 Chairperson Gilbert Gutierrez 7 12:40 p.m. VILLAGE ISSUES 8 Willie Kasayulie, Chairman 09 Akiachak Limited 9 Edward Thomas, President 19 10 Tlingit and Haida Central Council 11 Mike Williams, Chairman 26 Alaskan Inter-Tribal Concil 12 1:20 p.m. ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE 13 Loretta Bullard, President 49 14 Kawerak Incorporated 15 John Angell, Professor Emeritus 62 University of Alaska Anchorage 16 Lawrence A. Ashenbrenner 69 17 Native American Rights Fund 18 2:00 p.m. Break 19 2:10 p.m. EDUCATION 20 Shirley A. Tuzroyluke, Education 98 Information Manager, CIRI 21 Bernice Tetpon, Ph.D. 108 Program Coordinator, Rural/Native 22 Education Liaison, Dept. of Education and 23 Early Development, State of Alaska 24 Andy hope, Southeast Alaska Regional 110 Coordinator, Alaska Rural Systemic 25 Initiative

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

2	TIME	SPEAKER/ORGANIZATION	PAGE
3	11:15 p.m.	EMPLOYMENT	
4		Sharon Olsen, Director Employment & Training, Central Council	122
5		Tlingit and Haida Tribes of Alaska	
6		Bonnie Jo Savland, Statewide Director	130
7		Alaska Native Coalition for Employment Training.	
8	4:00 p.m.	OPEN SESSION	
9		Terry L. Duyck	142
10		Gary Patton Aaron Tritt	146 149
_		Bill Kaiana Hagen	154
11		Maria Coleman	157
		Richard Segura	161
12		George Kudrin Cliff Edenshaw	166 171
13		Susan Wells	177
		Johanna Austin	178
14		J.B. Mallott	178
15			

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

Alaska Advisory Committee

to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights
Thursday, October 25, 2001

(On record - 12:40 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Good afternoon.

This meeting of the Alaska Advisory Committee to the United

States Commission on Civil Rights will come to order.

I am Gilbert Gutierrez, Chairperson of the Alaska

Advisory Committee. Joining the Advisory Committee today is

Commissioner Yvonne Y. Lee.

We are pleased with their interest in this topic from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. Thank you, and welcome.

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is an independent, bipartisan, fact-finding agency first established under the Civil Rights Act of 1957. By Congressional mandate, the Commission is directed to:

- 1. Investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices;
- 2. Study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial

_ _

of equal protection of the laws under the

Constitution because of race, color, religion,

sex, age, disability, or national origin, or in

the administration of justice;

- 3. Appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws;
- 4. Serve as a national clearinghouse for information about discrimination; and,
- 5. Submit reports, findings, and recommendations to the President and Congress.

Advisory Committees like this one, were established in each State and the District of Columbia in accordance with enabling legislation, and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, to advise the Commission on matters pertaining to discrimination or denials of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or in the administration of justice, and to aid the Commission in its statutory obligation to serve as a national clearinghouse for information on those subjects.

Other members of the Alaska Advisory Committee in attendance during this meeting are Daniel Alex, Thelma Garcia-Buchholdt, Robert Gonzalez, I believe Rosalee Walker will be here with us, and Michael J. Walleri.

Also present with us are Thomas Pilla, Grace Hernandez

and Angela Trevino, of the Commission's Western Regional
Office in Los Angeles; and if you need any information or
you need assistance, please talk to these -- well, this is
Tom here, and the two ladies are outside.

This meeting is being held pursuant to Federal rules applicable to State Advisory Committees and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. All requests regarding these provisions should be directed to Commission staff.

I would like to emphasize that this is a fact-finding meeting, and not an adversarial proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share information with the Committee relevant to the subject of today's inquiry. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to be here today.

Since this is a public meeting, the press, and radio and television stations, as well as individuals, are welcome. Persons meeting with the Committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised. In this case, we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material is presented at this meeting. In the unlikely event that this situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of persons making those statements, and request that they desist in their action.

Such information will be stricken from the record, if necessary.

Finally, many of you may have questions regarding why certain persons were invited to participate while others were not. The Committee would like to acknowledge that this has been a very important issue in this state, and many individuals possessing particular knowledge, expertise, and experiences have been involved in moving the discussion forward. These issues have many voices.

Because of time and budget constraints under which we operate, the Committee simply could not accommodate everyone who wished to participate on scheduled panels today. The Committee's goal in assembling the individuals who all of us will hear from today was to ensure that information is obtained from the broadest and most diverse cross section of voices on these issues as possible.

Each of the persons you will hear from today has knowledge on the issues that we felt were important to include in the record. This is not to say that others do not have opinions and viewpoints that are just as important. This is simply to say that as a group, we have attempted to do the best that we could -- excuse me. So with that then, we would like to -- oh, here we go. Okay. This is simply to say that as a group, we have attempted to do the best that we could under the circumstances. We thank you for

1 your understanding.

1.0

In an effort to hear from others having differing points of view, we have allocated time to hear from anyone who wishes to share specific information with the Committee about the specific issues under consideration.

At that time, each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the Committee. Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact Commission staff before 2:00 p.m. this afternoon, and also advise staff if they will require a translator.

In the event that we are not able to hear from you in the open session, the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of 30 days following its conclusion tomorrow. The committee welcomes additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in the record.

These items should be submitted to the Western Regional Office of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 2010, Los Angeles, California 90012. Any member of the Commission staff should be able to assist you in this process for submitting information.

Let us proceed. We have a series of speakers,
panelists, and we're going to begin now with village issues.
And we'd like to invite Willie Kasayulie, Chairman of
Akiachak Limited, Edward Thomas, President of Tlingit and
Haida Central Council, Mike Williams, Chair of the Alaskan

Inter-Tribal Council.

Mr. Williams, be sure to get a speaker in front of you there so when you go to speak, we'll get you on the speaker.

Mr. Kasayulie?

MR. WILLIE KASAYULIE: Thank you, Chairman Gutierrez. I have a prepared statement that I would like to read into the record. I believe a copy of it has been provided to you, in front of you. I feel it's important that I read my comments into the record based on the information that I provided to you.

Good afternoon to you Chairman Gutierrez, and members of the Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. I feel honored and privileged to be included to make comments on the civil rights concerns and problems faced by the Alaska Natives in the areas of justice, education, and employment in the village in rural Alaska.

For the record, my name is Willie Kasayulie, a Yupik
Alaska Native from Akiachak Native Community, located on the
banks of the Kuskowim river in Western Alaska. I have been
involved and participated in numerous local, regional,
statewide national as well as international indigenous
organizations dealing with the rights of indigenous peoples
in our efforts to maintain our inherent rights of
self-governance.

Self-governance by tribes encompasses many areas of

responsibilities. It mirrors the responsibilities of state and federal government with one difference; why would state and federal governments advocates for individual rights, tribal governments advocate for collective rights and the perpetuation of tribal existence.

My involvement in tribal politics started in the latter part of the 1970s. The inherent rights of self-governance for Alaska tribes continues to be an uphill battle. The federal government did not fully affirm the status of tribes until October 21st, 1993, when the assistant secretary of Indian Affairs, Ada Dare (ph), published a list of 226 villages as tribes in the federal register.

The State of Alaska continues to oppose the authority of tribal self-governance. The Millenium Agreement between the signatory tribes of April 11th, 2001, is yet to be tested.

Most tribal where chartered municipalities are nonexistent are considered unincorporated communities rather than tribes. Even the Alaska Federation of Natives, during their deliberations to amend the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act in the mid-1980s referred to tribes as, "qualified transferee entities" in our efforts to seek provisions that will tribalize corporate lands in the 1991 amendment process.

With the clarification by the U.S. Department of

Interior in 1993, tribes and tribal authority became popularized in the efforts of Alaska Natives to maintain the the inherent rights of self-governance, even though some tribally-owned medias do not report on the activities of tribal quorums.

Although many of the tribal governments are considered traditional councils, they share the same attributes as Indian Reorganization Act Councils. Both are recognized by the United States as tribal governments and tribes. Many of the organic documents of the IRA governments essentially share generic languages in their constitutions regarding the powers of the communities.

In order to categorize the discussion topics of this meeting, you need to hear what powers the IRA governments have. The constitutional language of Akiachak community, approved by the Department of the Interior on August 6, 1948, states:

To do all things for the common good, which it has done or has had the right to do in the past and which are not against Federal Law in such territorial law as may apply; to deal with the federal and territorial governments on matters which interest the community, as set forth in the acts of June 18, 1934 as amendment; to guard and foster Native life, arts and possessions and Native customs not against law.

I need to clarify in this constitution language, these
constitutions were adopted prior to statehood; hence the
territorial government is still in existence in our
constitutions.

I understand that I was supposed to -- or this panel is supposed to talk about village issues. But my primary discussion, presentation, will be on education at this point.

One of the responsibilities of any government is to provide education to their constituents. Tribal governments are not exempt from this responsibility. As Chairman Gutierrez is aware, the Akiachak Native Community was one of five tribes to implement the provisions of Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act, Public Law 93638.

In the early 1980s, by contracting for the operation of the Bureau of Indian Affairs Day School. Despite the efforts of the tribes and indigenous organizations, Congress discontinued funding of BIA and tribally operated schools in 1985. Consequently, all BIA and tribally operated schools were transferred to the State of Alaska under the auspices of state's constitutional responsibility.

Akiachak, along with two other nearby tribes were instrumental in creating a state-chartered school district to maintain local control, rather than joining in with the

large school district which encompassed 25 villages in the Lower Kuskoquim River and the coastal areas. The Yupik School District has been in existence since 1985.

The primary buildings used for classroom instruction were built in the 1960s by BIA. The secondary buildings in 1980 by the State of Alaska under the Molly Hoots Decree.

The buildings were built without consideration to the increase of enrollment in the future. Consequently, the buildings are overcrowded with constant maintenance to maintain the school facilities in Akiachak, Akiak and Tuluksak.

The requirement by the state legislature to establish an operating budget based on 70 percent for instruction and 30 percent for maintenance and operation on state entitlement has placed a burden on the Yupik School District, including other rural school districts.

The location and cost of doing business was not a deterrent by the legislature as the 70/30 ratio applies to all school districts across the state. In order for the school districts to maintain their capital project standing within the Alaska Department of Education and Early Development priority listing, the districts submit on an annual basis updated capital projects for school replacement and renovations.

For YSD, the annual cost of resubmission is

approximately \$20,000 per year. The department has maintained a priority listing of school districts for the replacement and renovations of schools are critical. The budget developed by the department is submitted to the legislature for their approval and funding.

Despite the best efforts of the department and the school districts, the legislature has basically ignored the priority listing of rural school districts and continued to fund school districts in urban areas. The citizens for Educational Advancement of Alaska's children was organized with the membership consisting of school districts.

CYAK filed a lawsuit against the State of Alaska and were successful in Kasilië v. State. A copy of that judge's order is provided for your information. The state court ruled that the legislature was discriminating against rural schools in terms of providing capital project funds to replace and renovate rural schools with the majority of the funding going to urban schools. The department started the Benchmark testing for Grades 4, 6, and 8. Indications of progress in rural schools are not promising. The department also tested the 10th Grade students to see where Alaska students would be passing the high school qualifying exit exam. The majority of the students in rural Alaska did not pass the exit exam.

Starting in August of 2002, the department will start

labeling schools based on test results. Depending on the result of the student testing, each school will be labeled one of the following: distinguished, successful, deficient or in crisis. Early indications of test results told many of the rural schools could be labeled deficient or in crisis. This can place a burden on the teaching staff and the self-esteem of the student population.

The indication of the Benchmark testing of Alaska
Natives and American Indians is that schools need to do
more. For example, in the state of Montana, seven out of 10
schools providing education for American Indians were below
the national standards for Grades 4, 6, and 8. The low
achievement of the indigenous American is not just an Alaska
concern; it's a national problem. With the shortage of
certified teachers, the rural schools have established
programs that would allow their classified teacher aides to
take college courses to become classroom teachers. The
state requires an individual with prior credentials to pass
the practice test in the areas of math, reading and writing.

Each unit costs individuals \$25 per test with additional cost of \$35. A person may pay a total of \$110 to take out three tests. Our classified teachers are struggling to pass the required test. I am aware of individuals that have failed their test five or times.

Whoever is providing the practice test is making money off

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

these individuals that have a hard time passing these tests.

As indicated earlier, tribal governments are not exempt from providing education to their members. Currently they play a limited role by providing scholarships to qualified Alaska Natives and American Indians in their jurisdictions.

Funds are provided by DIA under contract with tribes and tribal organizations., but they need to do more. Ninety percent of the Alaska Native and American Indians are enrolled in public schools nationally. The other 10 percent are in BIA operated boarding schools and tribally control schools at or off reservation schools, including private schools. The majority of the Alaska students are in public schools.

The Akiachak Native Community, March 10, 1999, adopted the Akiachak Native Community tribal Education Ordinance. The ordinance is also provided for your information. ordinance established a tribal education department that would implement the provisions of tribal education ordinance and to establish a working relationship with local schools and other educational institutions to comply with the ordinance.

One of the important components of the ordinance is for the tribal education department to provide the tribe with an annual report entitled State of Akiachak Native Community Education Report. This report will include, but not limited to compliance by schools and educational institutions to the code in the areas identified in the ordinance.

Rather than going into details of the provisions of the ordinance, allow me to read Section 103.10 of the code, Ouick States.

The federal government has a trust responsibility as embodied in Statutes in the United States Constitution, to provide for a formal education of Alaska Native students who attend federal, tribal, and state schools.

The state of Alaska has also historically failed to meet the needs of Alaska Native students within Akiachak Native Community. Recognizing that a good formal education is critical to the survival of the Akiachak Native Community tribe, it is in the best interest of the tribe to assert its responsibility over formal education system within Akiachak Native Community's jurisdiction to improve those systems in the tribe.

Although federal laws authorize the creation of tribal education departments in Indian country, funding through Congress has never been allocated for Alaska tribes. Our congressional delegation has, on an annual basis, included riders in the appropriation fields disallowing distribution of funds to Alaska tribes for operation of BIA and tribally controlled schools and education departments.

I have several recommendations that I would like the

committee to consider, possibly move forward to the larger commission. And these are:

Number 1. tribes in Alaska should not be categorized as having less authority than federally recognized tribes in the continental United States due to the absence of reservations or Indian country. Tribes in Alaska have the same rights to access federal Indian laws enacted to strengthen in the areas of tribal governance, economic development, health, education, and cultural preservation.

Number 2. United States Commission on Civil Rights needs to monitor and ensure the requirements of the Kasilie v. State are adhered to by the legislative and executive branches of State Government.

Number 3. The tools for testing of American Indians and Alaska Native students need to be sensitive to cultural norms and location of the tribes. The majority of the Alaska Native students in rural Alaska have never experienced the lifestyle of urban setting and are unfamiliar to some of the questions being asked of the students.

Number 4. Although it is the desire of the schools to hire qualified and certified teachers in rural Alaska, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights needs to research the percentage of ethnic individuals that are actually

_

passing the practice test requirement of the State of Alaska. In the majority of the cases, classified teacher rates are on the bottom scale of wage earners in rural Alaska, and yet perform teaching functions when certified teachers are unavailable.

And Number 5, the United States Commission on Civil Rights needs to ensure Congress is providing adequate funds on an annual basis to Alaska tribes to operate tribally controlled schools and tribal education departments as mandated by federal laws.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We're going -- are these on? What we're going to do is we're going to have the rest of the panel speak, and then we'll ask questions.

MR. EDWARD THOMAS: Good afternoon. My name is Edward Thomas. My Tlingit name is Daawho (ph). My Haida name is Sklinkudonce (ph). I'm the president of the Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska. And I thank the Commission for hearing my comments today. And you heard my attorney's comments, so for the record, my comments will be verbal.

I think that many of our people over time have made so many -- and they've attended and provided so much testimony on our issues of tribal rights and human rights, and the

civil rights of our people being violated constantly. And I think that it's important that I make a few comments about why I think some of that's happening. I think there's a mind set in this country that Native Americans, Alaska Natives are basically there for the handouts, that we absolutely are secondary citizens to this nation and that all we need is a handout. I need to remind the committee that we were here from the beginning and flourished just fine and had a standard of living that is comparable to any other society prior to contact.

Diseases and bringing in the kind of corruption that we were faced with in our history, it's understandable that sometimes we have very poor living conditions within our Native communities.

Now, in Alaska, I have to point out that democracy in state government is not working. It's just not working. We have a dominant society based primarily in Anchorage, Fairbanks and Juneau who set the policy for the entire state. There is no doubt in my mind about it. There is no way that anybody is going to convince me that by the minority coming from the rural bush caucus that you're going to get your way in this legislature. It just doesn't happen; unless somehow they have some sort of softened their hearts a little bit, and then something is going to get done.

Now, it hasn't always been that way because there were a lot of resources at the beginning, of the royalties from the oil companies. But I have to point out that the civil rights issue of our people is really in bad shape for all Indian tribes; not just in Alaska.

2.

Let me give you a couple examples; I have attended a number of hearings with the state department on the proposition of putting forth a paper to United Nations on the rights of the indigenous peoples. And the United States on the one hand says we want to deal with the tribes on government to government, and we respect you as tribal peoples, but they will not sign off on this document simply because instead of saying people, they're saying peoples, and that might mean more than what we're trying to say. This country that pretty much has a Rambo style when it comes to other issues in imposing their will, say, well, we can't do that because we are not sure other countries are going to buy off on the word peoples.

Now, it might sound like a very petty thing, it might sound like something, well, we're dreaming anyway. But I think the issue of indigenous peoples throughout the world must be addressed by the dominant society or we're never going to get anywhere. What I mean by that is we don't have a mind set to fix the problems of one group of peoples violating the human rights of another peoples; and we don't

have the will to do that. There's always going to be then the inability to address the smaller problems that occur day to day in the dominant society dealing with tribal people.

Now, the other thing I must point out is that on a broader scale, the court systems are losing empathy to the original pledge of this country towards Native Americans. And one example is the Venetie case. One of the very important principles in the cannons of legal construction of Indian law is that inherent sovereignty is diminished only by explicit acts of Congress. But when you look at the Venetie law, it was not that there was language and legislation that they could refer to, it was -- maybe this is what Congress intended ôr these are your alternatives. It did not say, well, ANCSA had this clause in it, said, well, you can't tax or ANCSA had this clause in it that you can't do something else. It just says, well, I think that was the intent of Congress.

There are other examples going on where states' rights are preempting tribal rights. And it's not good for the future of tribes throughout the nation. Now, one might ask, well, gee whiz, why are you complaining? It sounds like you get a lot of services from the federal government. If you were to compare the amount of dollars spent by Native Americans compared to the dominant society, particularly in the inner cities, you're going to find that we get less on a

per capita basis than is spent by the federal government on other societies.

Even just the short amount of time that we've been engaged in the problem over at Afghanistan; there's been more money spent over there than on the entire BIA budget for the whole nation. Those are just a few examples of how when other issues rise to a prominence and importance in this country, our tribal things get put aside and we're asked then to kind of pay our dues like the rest of the citizens.

One important thing I need to talk about is the issue of contracting. Willie mentioned that the tribes get involved in contacting, which is a good thing. But what happens also is the government says okay, you've got this contract, it's going to do some good for your people, and it's going to cost you money to administer those dollars, so we're going to give you some indirect costs for that. And in order for us to figure out how much to give you, we'll send another agency to audit your books and determine how much money you're eligible for.

Okay. That all sounds good. So you get it done and then they say, okay, well, now we've determined how much you're eligible for, so we're going to give you 80 percent. So where does the other 20 percent come from? Or where does the -- you know, in some cases, even less than that; they

tell you that if you don't collect all those dollars, then you got to find some other resource to pay for that contract. So what is happening here in the scheme of things? You're diminishing the ability of that tribe to sustain self-determination because they're having to find resources they don't have to be able to administer a program that the government would have provided through that citizenry in the first place. And so it's kind of a roundabout way to say that I think you deserve these services, but unless you can come up with that 20 percent, we're not going to let you have it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It really is a situation where it is a double-tax on the tribes who are administering -- carrying out an inherent government function on behalf of the government and then having to pay the government to do it. And it's the neediest of the needy that are doing that in many cases. So it really is a bizarre situation when you think about it. Now, if Lockheed said, well, I'm going to build you a submarine, and the government said, great, build us a submarine. Well, we'll give you 80 percent of what it costs to build that submarine; that's the kind of mentality we're dealing with. Now, how many submarines would get built? Pretty soon, you know, they'd sink and not Not too many. come back up.

But you know, this is the kind of thing that we, as a

people, are dealing with. We are citizens of this country, but yet the treatment that we're getting is really something that would not be tolerated by this country if China did that do its citizenry. In other countries they do that to their citizenry. Our country says, well, you're not a favored nation anymore. You do these bad things to your citizens. But in our country, it's all right. It's all right so long as, you know, you can get away with it. And that kind of mentality really has to stop somewhere. Now, I'm not sure what your commission is going to be able to do about it or whether you even agree with what I'm saying. But these are things that hold down our societies, hold down our ability to do business on a one to one basis, and bring our people from a situation in our villages of poverty back into the mainstream of society.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anyway, I think that it's important for you to hear what you're saying and hopefully that you can influence some of these things that are in need of being influenced, on a broader scale.

In closing, I want to say that I appreciate your willingness to listen. I apologize if I've offended anybody. I really need you to know that it's very frustrating trying to deal with the complexities of the problems of our people with only half a cup, you know, half of what you need to do what is necessary, and that's not

only because of what I studied. It's because of other studies done by the dominant society on what it takes to provide healthcare. What does it take to carry out a contract, put in an infrastructure of water, sewer and roads into a community. All those things other people have figured out a long time ago, and it's barely trickling down to some of our villages, and yet, we are asked many times to just get by, and some of the people -- all of our people do, but it really isn't right in this country, at this time, and in this state so many years later and so many dollars spent on other things. Anyway, that's about all I have. I appreciate your time and I'll give it to our esteemed leader here. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: They all said it. Yeah, I think it is pretty hard to follow those statements made by Willie Kasayulie and Ed Thomas. And both have participated in revitalizing our governments and our way of life in our communities.

My name is Mike Williams. I am a parent of five, and grandfather of two, and I am currently a hunter, fisher, and a dog musher that runs the Iditarod in the name of sobriety, as well as chairman of the Alaskan Inter-Tribal Council.

And we have membership of 187 federally recognized tribes in Alaska. And also, I serve on the National Congress of

American Indians, and also on the Native American Rights
Fund Board, and lastly, I am the second Vice-Chair of the
Alaska State Board of Education, in which I have served in
the past seven years.

I just echo both of my colleagues statements, and I would like to urge this committee to do something about the issues that we are putting forth to you, and make sure that you take measures to ensure that Alaska Natives are given full and fair treatment under the law of this country, and also the state laws.

First of all, I'll start with the racially based dual system of law enforcement in Alaska. The result of which is inadequate police protection of Alaska Natives living in rural areas. Urban areas and regional centers in Alaska receive full police protection administered by municipal police departments, staffed by adequately trained police, Alaska Police Standard Council certified police officers.

The state also provides full police protection through the certified troopers to predominant non-Native communities on the inter-connected road system. Similar protection is denied to 165 off-road predominantly Native communities. In most cases, state troopers only respond to felonies, and even then, they only respond promptly when the felonies are considered serious; murder, rape, and what have you. As a result, Alaska Natives in rural areas are denied the level

of police protection that is provided to all other areas of the state, and we have undertaken as the Alaskan Inter-Tribal Council, and as an individual I have brought suit against the state of Alaska for this not an equal protection treatment of our people in the areas of police protection. And I would like to commend, first of all, a lot of the state troopers and law enforcement officials that are working to protect the public from these crimes, and I applaud their work. But as the years that I've observed in this state, that I have not seen equal protection for our people here in Alaska.

And in the villages, even though we have VPSO programs, those VPSO programs need to have more training and need to be more equipped in all areas, and they need to have pay that needs to be equivalent to other certified police that we have here in the state, and also many of our communities do not have any jails at all. So for example, in Akiachak, for many, many years, we have budgeted \$10 an hour, two hours a day, seven days a week to provide police protection to our community; and that's it according to the municipal budget that I have seen in our community. And two hours a day, \$10 an hour does not go very far in protecting our communities.

And when I'm here in Anchorage, I just have to say that I went out for dinner and I parked in a parking lot where I

was supposed to pay an adequate amount of money, of which I still have to pay. But in that one hour that I had dinner, I had an \$18 fine, and it was that quick that these people, you know, made sure that I had paid my parking ticket. And many of the police vehicles, the police protection that I see now in the communities, the respond time is very fast compared to the response time that I have seen in Akiachak; that takes about three days to a week for some of the law enforcement officers to respond to a crime. And as much as we want them to respond quickly, they are overworked and overloaded, and you know, it then creates -- the weather creates also a problem in responding to a crime that occurs in Akiachak.

So in recent times we have seen a little bit of help from the federal government with the Cops Fast Program, and we have fortunately employed two police officers now in Akiachak, but they're budgeted only for three years. And I just don't see -- another problem that I see with the police protection that I see is that many of the police in the communities have no support from the communities, from the councils or with no housing -- adequate housing for these outside VPOs, those that are hired in the communities that have hardly any housing programs. And that is, I think, the tip of the iceberg, and in order to come up with adequate police protection, the state of Alaska needs to put in more

money and more training and to really do equal justice in terms of providing police protection to each community. So that litigation is still ongoing and we're set for trial in February, and I don't know the outcome of that -- of this case would be, but I think based on the Kasilie (ph) case on the school construction, I think it will be again favorable to our litigation because of the inadequacies that I see in this area. And it's probably equivalent reasons to what we've heard from Mr. Kasilie on his litigation against the state for inadequate funding for construction of schools and also the major maintenance programs that would occur.

Many of the -- and I'm not going to dwell on the issue of education because Willie has addressed that issue adequately, and I think we as Alaska Natives, raise unique legal and political problems that arise out of overlapping state and federal jurisdiction over Native affairs and peculiar socio-economic and historical circumstance of Native populations.

And our population is very -- you know, we have a little over 100,000 people here in Alaska, and we have pretty much been insulated in our communities. For instance, in Akiachak, in Western Alaska where I come from, you know, we are pretty much insulated from the urban areas, but I do not think that will be the case in the future. You know, in urban areas of the state which are largely

populated by non-natives, as a result, Alaska Natives in rural communities are given secondary attention by the state, and are denied equal access to state resources, which we have heard already, and particularly with respect to law enforcement and education. And those have been very evident in the last 10 years with the legislative majority that we had here in our state. And when we are not given, for instance, school construction two years ago, there was zero school construction in rural Alaska, and then a couple of legislators made a trip to Chevak and Pilot Station, and lo and behold, and after they have, those couple of legislators that have not been in those communities before, seen those facilities, and lo and behold, they were funded, and are -the schools are being built both in Chevak and in Pilot Station. So that is one of the areas that I see in Akiachak. And our schools and our facilities are just running down, and I think in the long-run, the kids in our schools are being affected by this, and I think the state of Alaska is going to pay big time in the long-run for their neglect for these facilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Racial discrimination in Alaska has been manifested historically and recently by the federal and state government's denial of Alaska's indigenous peoples right to self-determination. Historically, the United States began the process of nation building by recognizing the

sovereignty and self-determination of indigenous nations through the practice of entering into treaties with them on a nation-to-nation basis. That practice was repudiated by the late 1800s when federal policy rejected the principle that indigenous nations were entitled to self-determination. Instead, the United States Supreme Court attributed to the United States as superior and civilized nation the power and the duty of exercising or fostering care and protection over all dependent Indian communities. And that I think was also stated by Mr. Thomas here on that issue.

And consistent with policy of treating indigenous peoples as wards, the federal government enacted several statutes that was designed to protect our hunting and fishing rights, and the aboriginal use and occupancy of land, but stops short of recognizing the existence of tribes as sovereigns or the tribe's aboriginal claims to the land. And with the situation here in Alaska, I think with the Indian -- with Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act was another area that occurred in 1971 that did a lot of help -- that seems to be a lot of help to the Native community, but did a lot of damage with extinguishment of hunting and fishing rights, and also that land not ending up in tribal government's hands. They are a state-chartered corporations that were created, and that way -- you know, that left the tribal governments virtually with no jurisdiction over the

territory. And that case, the Native Village of Venetie in Alaska, you know, I think the Supreme Court of the United States deemed that there is no Indian country in Alaska again, and that was again a blow to the tribal sovereignty of Alaska Natives and another way that it has created the oppression to the tribes in Alaska.

But I think my recommendations is that we need to turn that around to congressional action. The only we can restore many of the cases that brought down in these United States is through Congress only because the Supreme Court repeatedly has struck down a lot of the cases that would help our people and the tribes in this country are very affected by the court rulings that occur in these past years. So I think in order for us to regain and become healthy communities again and becoming self-governing people once again, we need to restore many of those rights that Alaska Natives have.

In closing, we live in the richest resource state in the union, but in Akiachak we still live in third world conditions. And 75 percent of our people are unemployed and when many of our people are employed, finally, with water/sewer projects, they have a minimum wage of \$15 an hour, whereas some of the union contractors or union workers get \$30 to \$40 an hour as laborers in some of those projects. So I've seen \$10 to \$15 an hour in many of those

projects that the federal and the state government has in our communities.

So it affects the communities, and I would like to see many of the housing projects come to a level that we -- you know, we have a safe place to live, and that each Alaska Native has running water, and also have adequate schools and have adequate police protection, and have courts, tribal courts that are functioning in each community, and we need to also make sure that we have alcohol enforcement in our communities, that would work. And I think the goal is for us to have healthy communities in each community. And I thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Mike. Are there any questions from the State Advisory Committee here?

Ms. Walker.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Thank you. And thank you, gentlemen. You've made your case quite well. I'm familiar with everything you said, and you have really confirmed a lot of things that I thought I knew. But I did want to address the education problem. Do you work under the REAA Concept?

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: Yes. Right now, the rural communities live on Regional Educational Attendance Areas, like Yupiit, LKS, and there's approximately 50 REAA's in this state.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Can you give me, in your estimation, the weaknesses that might be in that area? was around when it was developed, and it was supposed -- the REAA's were supposed to be on the same level with the same economy that Anchorage School District had, Fairbanks School District. It was supposed to do this, but it was supposed 7 to take into consideration your environmental and economic circumstances. But the more I hear now, it's almost 8 defunct, you know, it's just not working. And I'd just like to hear your estimation of that. And I had one more 10 question, please, Mr. Chair, after that.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: Yeah, I think with -- especially in the last 10 years, and in the last two years, we have seen less construction dollars going to REAAs, or major maintenance school repairs, and when we have seen schools being built, for instance, in the Northwest Arctic, they have built schools in communities, and that saved a lot of energy cost. And you know, the price of fuel is really high out there in our communities, and the cost of living is very high out there. But I think the overall goal was to do that. You know, we don't have any tax base, and it just -we feel that when the legislature appropriates 60 cents out of a dollar or 60 percent out of 100 percent there, and our kids are worth 60 percent, and those kids in the in the

1 urh

urban areas are worth 100 percent, you know, we don't see that as equal treatment. It's not fair for that.

'

ر

And when we have legislators that are from urban areas, the majority is from urban areas; and when I see democracy at work, there is democracy, it does not work as well in the state, in the country that we believe democracy is the central part of our way of life. And again, there are problems and I -- you know, the people keep saying that we need more money to build schools and fix schools, it's going to take about a billion dollars to fix all the schools in Alaska. But you know, we're only spending over a hundred million dollars just to fix those the old schools. And in the long-run, those schools that are 30, 40, 50 years old out in our communities are going to be out of -- you know, they're not going to be livable.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: I wanted to ask Mr. Kasayulie, you mentioned the \$20,000 a year that is needed to prepare the information for the priority list; is that to hire someone to do this job or what?

MR. WILLIE KASAYULIE: Yes, basically to hire a person that's familiar in that area to do the work for us. And this is just an example of one school district. It may cost more in other areas where larger percentages....

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: You shouldn't have to pay it at all; that's my point. Get your attorney to check this out,

because I work for the Department of Education, and that's part of their mission. If they have a regulation that you must follow, and you're unable to follow it because of costs or skilled people to do this, they are supposed to send someone there to help you do it. I did that till I retired, and somebody dropped the ball somewhere. So have your attorney to check this out because if they can get by with it, yes, they'll make you pay through the nose. But have your attorney to check it out, and check out the mission under the -- what is it, Alaska Code 21 for the school districts? I'm asking him because he's an attorney.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: I'm not sure. I think it's 23.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: 23? Whichever one it was. Ask your attorney to check that out and check out the mission of the Department of Education, because you should not have to pay that. They are supposed to have people on the staff who are ready, willing, and able to come out there and do that job, especially if they require you to do it. Now, they're going to swear the legislature requires it. Well, if the legislature required it, they required it. So I wouldn't let them off the hook on that.

MR. WILLIE KASAYULIE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Do you have any other questions? We have a time line, but I think the questions that we ask here are going to be pretty important. Go

1 ahead.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: I realize the time schedule. I just had a couple requests; one to Mr. Kasayulie and also to Mr. Williams. One of the things that this Commission has the power to do is to make statutory recommendations, both -- as I understand it, both to Congress and to the state. And I noticed that in your case, the Kasilie Case, you were making a charge of unequal -- and it's all -- it's pretty well been recognized, of unequal funding in construction. I understand that there's also some concern about unequal funding in actual program costs, too. I also know that the Mat-Su Borough, for example, has raised the same and criticized the entire system also as being unequal.

I was wondering if either of you gentlemen are aware of any proposals, proposed legislation to the state to correct the system. And if there is, could you provide it to the Commission?

MR. WILLIE KASAYULIE: We can probably try and get that information out. Mike would be in a better situation than I.

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: Yeah, I think I can get that from

-- you know, there's a whole slew of proposed legislation

that would address many of these problems. But these

proposals that come from minority and minority legislators

rarely get hearing or put on the legislative agenda or to go

through the legislative process and never get a hearing.

And many are very good -- great ideas in addressing school construction, and that affect education. And I would make sure that this Commission get those bills that would help address some of these disparities.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Well, that's kind of one of the reasons that we're here. The second issue that I had, to request some information from Mr. Thomas, if you would, I understand a few years ago, the National Congress of American Indians conducted an inquiry into disparity in federal contract support between Indian organizations, Indian tribes and non-Indian organizations and non-Indian tribes. I don't know if that's been updated or not. I understand that the study showed substantial disparity. I was wondering if you could check into that to see if it's been updated and provide a copy of that to the Commission.

MR. EDWARD THOMAS: Its been updated in the sense that it was directed at the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and it's intent to try to get full funding for it. But it was not updated for all other agencies as far as I know.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Would you get....

MR. EDWARD THOMAS: I can get; a copy of that, sure.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: If you could get it to

Mr. Pilla, he's around here someplace, I'd appreciate it.

MR. EDWARD THOMAS: Yeah. Can I make one more point?

1

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EDWARD THOMAS: It will take me two seconds. One of the things that is wrong in our state, and probably is wrong elsewhere as well, is that people like your commission know and hear about our problems, and you can see the justification and the lawsuits like Willie is talking about. But it shouldn't be that our people should have to try to resolve these in courts. Number one, there's no resources. If we had the resources, we wouldn't need to be spending it on lawyers.

The other thing is you're going in with deck stacked against you. You're talking about problems in a dominant society who appoint those judges. And so it's kind of like spinning your wheels, but we get a lot of hurrah, hurrah out of it, but we just don't get fair treatment in these courts nowadays. And we need stronger commissions like yours to say, look, we have a problem with disparity; you need to fix it, you know. That's the way I feel. Many of our problems can be solved better by mediation groups or commissions like yours with stronger teeth in it because, believe me, we could be in court all the time, we just don't have the money. I know that I'd like to be in court on a couple of things because I know the law is very clear on some of these things that people are ignoring. But we just don't have the resources.

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. I've qot a First of all, the speaker of the house couple of questions. and the president of the senate said that -- talking about the VPO/VPSO issue, and I asked him, well, don't they deserve to be trained in the State Trooper Academy, and don't they deserve to be armed, and they said, well, 25 years ago when they met with all the elders regarding the VPSO program, that they had determined that they didn't want them to be armed. So given that, do you find that to be true today? I mean what is it that the state has to do to implement a good, sound VPSO program?

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: Well, I think if we they become certified police officers, and I think that's every goal that, you know, we have for those programs. costs more money for additional training. And when those people make statements that they cannot carry weapons or -and it's against the culture of the community, I don't think I think, you know, if many of them become certified police, then they could, you know, have no problem in seeing them carrying weapons or having adequate equipment to carry out police protection in each community.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: There's also the issue of tribal courts. And my understanding is that each village has a tribal council, and that under the federal purview, has formed tribal courts. The question here is has the

court system ever determined that they would need some kind of memorandum of understanding for the tribes to develop or to start funding tribal courts in the villages so that they could progressively take over a lot of the misdemeanor issues, even maybe some felonies. I don't know how strong they would be, but something that would allow the communities out there to have some kind of say in the system. Anyone?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EDWARD THOMAS: Well, in our region we feel that there is a lot of room for a more clear understanding no jurisdictions on things like that in villages where there is no court system. But trying to get some of those memorandums of understandings is really the issue. I think that in Public Law 280 states we go in there at a disadvantage as tribes because we -- the federal law provides for the state to have jurisdiction over our misdemeanors, things of that nature. So we really need to amend -- either amend that law or else, you know, try to somehow change it out to the state because we're pretty much at their mercy when it comes to issues of this nature. this is another area where I think this particular policy is so old and outdated, but yet we hang onto Public Law 280 as though it's some sort of saving somebody from something when it really isn't. It's just an obstacle for doing things the way people really need to do it in modern times when they

1 know more about tribal courts.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Could that be done through the state legislature?

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: Yeah. We have recommended that the -- about two years ago the Alaska Federation of Natives passed the resolution that would recommend to eliminate Public law 280 in Alaska. And in terms of tribal courts, we have begun to receive money from the Bureau of Justice Assistance, and that would help the tribes to set up the tribal courts and do tribal court training in the state. And I think we need to continue to do that and to step up that training program for each community. And in that way many of those tribal courts will be functional and would take care of many of the disputes or many of the problems that the villages have. And for the most part, the federal government is funding those programs. And we need to see more of that capacity building in those communities to carry out some of those programs or tribal courts that are set up.

I don't know about the state system funding the tribal courts. I haven't seen that or I haven't seen the idea of the state funding. But I think a lot of the issues can be worked out now that there is a Millennium Agreement between the State of Alaska and the tribes. And maybe there could be some work that would begin to address some of those tribal court issues in coming into agreements between the

state and the tribes to carry out many of those programs down the road. But I think right now it is up to the tribes in the state of Alaska to get the -- to work in putting that Millennium Agreement into statutes. And if that does not occur, then what can state of Alaska do without the law, dealing with the tribal courts and the tribes in Alaska.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: Thank you. This question is to anyone on the panel. The Commission has heard similar concerns that you have expressed, which is indigenous peoples' rights, human rights, and civil rights from American Indians and Native Hawaiians. And they also brought up the issue of establishing government to government relationships, which you have brought up. they have also mentioned about their frustration dealing with the U.S. Government, and they had tried to solicit the support of the U.N. Are there any efforts to bring the three groups together so that -- because you share common objectives two worlds together through Congress, because you mentioned court may not be the way, maybe Congress is the only way.

So instead of having three indigenous groups seeking the same indigenous rights, are there any efforts that the three groups have been working together?

Yes, as a matter of fact, we have 1 MR. EDWARD THOMAS: 2 some representation from Hawaiian groups here at the AFN, 3 but last summer I went over, at the invitation of Senator 4 Enway (ph) to participate in a dialogue between the Native Hawaiian groups and ourselves here in Alaska, because they 5 6 are going through a process of recognition -- or a bill to 7 create an opportunity for recognition, and they're looking for some models. 8

The other thing is that we are working with the National Congress of American Indians on a strategy, if you may, to clarify the issue of inherent sovereignty as it relates to legislation and Supreme Court judgements.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We feel that there needs to be a broader education on the importance of the inherent sovereignty of indigenous peoples so that as we develop legislation, it's built on a solid foundation and not just a haphazard gesture towards providing services. Because in many cases, even the Hawaiian groups are not so much into the services but identity, preservation of their inherent rights, and so are we. And so I think, you know, that's an important thing that we're working on, on a national basis; but believe me, it's an uphill crawl if you really don't have the ear of people, and that's why I think your duties here are very important.

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: Also, the concern that I would like

to point out, too, is on the history of this country. And you know, we understand what has happened on September 11th, and that is a very, very, very serious effect that this country has towards the terrorist attacks that occurred in New York and in DC and in Pennsylvania. And when I think about that issue of how the newcomers or the first contact affected the Native Americans here in this country, and there were plenty of us, and we were killed, and the diseases were brought into our communities, and we've lost a lot of our lands in this country, and when we are being treated in that way and doing away with our own governments as well and our inherent sovereignty, and trying to lose a lot of what we have had in our Native communities, that I think needs to be stressed to the people here in this country.

With the scare of the Anthrax issue, I think that those diseases that were brought to our people has the same effect to our people in our communities. In our communities, we had plenty of people, and plenty of people have died from these diseases. And plenty of our lands have been taken, plenty of our -- you know, we have lost so much in this country that, you know, it's -- maybe it's time for people to wake up and understand the special relationship that the federal government has with the tribes in each area.

So I think I echo Ed Thomas' statements on restoring

those inherent sovereignty is the way to go. And you know, I think in the end, tribal sovereignty means economic development and health as well.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Is it going to be a short or a long question, Dan?

MR. DANIEL ALEX: No, it's a -- I want to make a statement because it relates to what these gentlemen are talking about.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Go ahead.

MR. DANIEL ALEX. And it will be short.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Go ahead.

MR. DANIEL ALEX: Well, first of all I'm the chairman of the board of a profit corporation, and when Congress passed the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, it built in some conflicts as to who is a tribe. I don't dispute the fact that some tribes exist, but in terms of jurisdiction, you know, my corporation has a jurisdiction of the properties that our village owns. Rather than go into a long dialogue of what it is, I intend to write a paper for our group.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Great. Well, thank you, gentlemen. The mikes are gone, but....

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: I have one little question. Very briefly. Has any of you gentlemen in your organization taken a stand to bring back the prisoners that we have in

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arizona, and what kind of stand have you taken in that; Inter-tribal Council or Tlingit and Haida, and maybe your organization?

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: Yeah, I think those prisoners, you know, the majority of prisoners in Alaska from even our population -- you know, many of our people, as we know, are in our jails, and we would like to have them close to home. And I think the position that I would have is that we would like to have those prisoners close to home as much as possible so we can possibly do rehabilitation to them and to keep the culture and the language and to really do treatment to these offenders that are in those jails. And bringing them back to Alaska and to our areas is a reasonable thing to do.

MR. WILLIE KASAYULIE: Very quickly, our tribe is interested in that, to a degree. What I mean by that is that if there are prisoners there and there are no victims that are Native, then we are all in favor of bringing them back. But if there are victims, Native victims, then we are really not in sympathy for them either serving time or where they're serving time.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Williams?

MR. MIKE WILLIAMS: I would like to leave this executive summary on this -- there's a lot more issues that 1 I wanted to bring out, but....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We'll take into consideration everything you put down here. Our next panel is -- we're going to go right ahead and go into it.

John Angell, Professor Emeritus, University of Alaska
Anchorage; Lawrence A. Ashenbrenner, Native American Rights
Fund; Loretta Bullard, President, Kawerak Incorporated. We would not mind if you start, Loretta. Please identify yourself and your name so she can take it on the recorder over there?

MS. LORETTA BULLARD: My name is Loretta Bullard, and I'm president of Kawerak Incorporated. Kawerak is the regional non-profit authorized by the region's 20 federally recognized tribes in the Bering Straits Region of Alaska. We provide all the non-health services in the region. And the presidents of all the IRA traditional Councils in the Bering Straits region sit on my Board. Thank you for the opportunity to talk with you today.

With the passage of statehood and the state's refusal to recognize the authority of the IRA or Traditional Councils at the village level to maintain order, the state virtually gutted the villages of any authority to maintain social order.

The stated has created a situation in which much of rural Alaska is dependent on the troopers and the village

public safety officer program for rural law enforcement.

However, the state is not adequately meeting the need

they've created, and the legislature is reluctant to fight

law and order in rural Alaska. The state has asserted

jurisdiction over the rural areas, but in most communities,

they don't have the presence to enforce the law. They've

taken the authority away from the people at the village

level and left a law enforcement vacuum in its place.

1.8

I think the majority of rural law enforcement and justice issues are systems issues. By that I mean the state system now in place has created and evolved over time since statehood. And at no point has the system been assessed where the system really works for anyone, much less the rural Native people of the state.

Many of the law enforcement problems in rural Alaska are alcohol related. More than 97 percent of the crimes committed by Native people are committed under the influence of alcoholic drug. The alcohol related mortality rate of Alaska Native is three and a half times that of non-Natives, and the incidents of fetal alcohol syndrome among Natives is three times that the non-Native population. Alaska Natives make up only 16 percent of the general population but comprise 37 percent of the prison population.

Clearly, the existing systems do not deal effectively with the use of alcohol. Part of the problem is the systems

aren't at the village level. You can't solve social problems by outside efforts. Yet the current mix of state and federal law leave little room for local Native institutions to exercise real authority or leadership at the village level.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Within the resources presently available, only a third of the villages in rural Alaska have village public safety officer positions. Basically the VPSO's are on duty 24 hours a day, seven days a week, dealing with dangerous situations and intoxicated individuals. Far from any other law enforcement backup, unarmed, where every household has five to 10 guns -- we've got eight in our household alone -for \$14 to \$15 an hour starting. And the \$14 and \$15 an hour that they're currently making is something that we've had to fight for, for the last probably five years with Alaska State Legislature. I think when we began the argument for increased wages for the VPSO's, I know the VPSO's in our area were making \$11.16 an hour to start. Our clerical support staff and our Headstart teacher aides made more money than the VPSO's.

Other villages may have village police officers, which are municipally funded positions, which the cities fund with their limited funding. They receive little or no training. They may not meet law enforcement screening standards in terms of background checks, are probably paid even less than

village public safety officer programs. The troopers are generally stationed far away and travel to the villages infrequently, depending on the weather.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Many of our VPSO's, not so much in our region, but I know in other areas of the state, have to use their own snow machines or four-wheelers to do patrol activities as well as search and rescue activities because there's not funding to purchase the new equipment.

When individuals in the villages experience a property crime or an other less serious crime, there is no immediate response, since the crime is not life-threatening or serious. The crime is not really even on the radar screen in terms of being responded to in any timely fashion by the It simply is not a priority, given the other troopers. issues the troopers have to respond to. Even in those situations where a serious crime is reported, the troopers may not be prompt in traveling to a village to investigate the situation. For example, we had a situation in our region where sexual abuse of children was reported, and it took the troopers months to make it through the community; they were attempting to question the children over the phone, but that just did not work. We became involved because we have parents calling from the villages desperate to find out what was going on with the perpetrator who was still wandering around the village. When they inquired to

the district attorney as to when the situation would be addressed, they found the DA had no paperwork on the The troopers statement being these very young situation. victims are not going to talk, therefore we don't have much of a case. It didn't seem to be going anywhere. took the initiative and funded the three parents involved and their children to travel to Anchorage to be examined at the Anchorage CIB Office. When the investigation was finally complete, it was find that the individual had sexually abused seven children in this particular community. He is now serving time in jail. The community in question is extremely difficult to get to. We've had a situation up here where children -- the sexual abuse of children has been reported, and because of maintenance or other problems, you simply cannot get into the community either to investigate the situation or remove children for exams.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We met with the Army National Guard to see if they might be willing to travel to the community to pick the children up because they do have an Army Black Hawk helicopter stationed in Nome. They informed us they cannot legally transport civilians except in life or death situations; though I know that a child or two has made it on a helicopter occasionally, without official notice of the situation. They in turn suggested that we approach our regional health corporation to determine whether or not

report of sexual abuse of children could be grounds for medical staff at the medical facility to request a med-evac, stating that if the hospital called for med-evac, the Army National Guard would fly; no questions asked. Our health corporation responded, and they have -- I'm not saying this anonymously, but they stated that med-evacs could only be called for in life or death situations; reported sexual abuse of a child is not a life or death situation. In this community, if sexual abuse of a minor is reported, conceivably the child could spend as long as a month before that situation is addressed by authorities.

In the past, there's been reluctance on the part of the state to work with tribal courts. I'm really happy to see that with the Millennium Agreement, that there's more openness by the state administration to work with tribal courts and entity. We've had several agreements negotiated in the Bering Straits region for our tribal courts in Elim and Koyuk where we negotiated agreements with the state. At the time they insisted they be called village courts as opposed to tribal courts. But we were willing to work with that. This is a step in the right direction.

As a woman who used to live in Anchorage, I wanted to speak to the death of the five Native women here in Anchorage. I live in Nome, and I've lived in Nome since 1982. What struck me about the situation here was that

there was no public outcry by the broader Anchorage 1 2 community to address the situation. And I think that speaks 3 volumes about how Native women are perceived in Anchorage. 4 Yes, some of the women may have had substance abuse 5 problems. But does that mean that society values them less as human beings? On the face of the public response 6 7 situation, I would have to say yes. I compare the public 8 response to these women murdered to the public response while in the vandalizing of the property of the print shop 10 own by the individual of Middle Eastern descent on the 11 September 11th terrorist attacks. The murders of these 12 women were hate crimes. But there was no public outcry 13 outside the Native community here in Anchorage.

We had a similar situation in Nome. Just within the past five years, we've had three Native men and women, quote/unquote, disappear without a trace. Over the past 30 years, we estimate that 10 people have gone missing in Nome. Some of them had drinking problems. Just this past weekend there was a memorial march in Nome to remember the missing. There really has not been anything done to address the address the situation. I think Gil can speak to that since he used to live in Nome.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just recently I was talking to a friend from another sub-regional center as to whether or not they have a similar problem with disappearing individuals. And he stated they

did not. To be honest, I was very, very surprised. It's been going on for so long in Nome that I thought this happened everywhere; I thought this happens in Bethel, it happens in Nome, it happens in Kotzebue, Barrow, I thought our sub-regional centers were pretty much alike. I think probably the difference between maybe Nome and the other sub-regional centers is that Nome is a wet community and Bethel, Kotzebue and the other sub-regional centers are, you know, either dry or damp, not like Nome, which is freely flowing.

The Alaska Human Resources Committee, of which I'm chair, held a meeting in February 2001 with the state attorney general, the commissioners of public safety, corrections, health and social services, the U.S. attorney and a number of other state officials. At the meeting, those present agreed to initiate a dialogue that would ultimately lead to a summit regarding rural law enforcement and justice services. Basically the regional non-profits, which sit on the human resources committee, recognized there was room for improvement in the current system. We want to start from a clean slate, to sit down and develop an ideal rural law enforcement and justice model, one which would meet the needs of rural communities.

When we attempted to schedule our first meeting, the response that we got back from the attorney general was to

the effect that as long as discussed during our meeting, in order for the state to work towards a summit, there must be a suspension of the lawsuit filed against the state by AITC, the Justice Center, and 10 villages. We were very surprised since we had never discussed that as something that needed to be addressed prior to initiating a dialogue with the state.

We felt that the state's response closed the door in further discussions with the Native community in rural justice and law enforcement issues. Pending the outcome of the litigation, which I'm sure Larry will speak to, that only a few litigants control, a suit which is focused on the state enforcement system and which may take years to resolve. As we pointed out to the state, the ideal model for rural law enforcement and justice delivery, it's unlike to be just a state solution. It will likely take new legislation, perhaps federal as well as state, and years to implement. And I think one of the -- you know, possibilities that was mentioned in the last panel was the idea of amending P.L 280.

Although existing state local option laws enable villages to ban or restrict importation of alcohol, the laws are enforced and prosecuted primarily from the regional centers. There is no administration of justice in the villages under the state system, except for the few

state-funded magistrates out there who seem to be becoming fewer and fewer. It doesn't seem to be a priority of the state to fill those positions once individuals retire.

Defendants are tried in state courts away from the villages. For youthful offenders, serious intervention is needed when the youth first gets into trouble, but under the state system, individuals accumulate any number of minor offenses before serious attention is paid by the criminal justice system; if they're ever caught. First you have to have law enforcement in order to get caught and brought to state court. Many of the villages don't have law enforcement.

Geographic and cost constraints will prevent the state from having magistrates, troopers, prosecutors, et cetera, I think anywhere but in larger communities. Second class city governments where they exist operate under too many cost constraints to effectively address alcohol substance abuse. I really think that, for instance, our region, our tribal governments are better-funded than the municipal governments under state law.

State law does not provide for municipal courts, and there are no state courts in the villages. City governments in the villages have little or no tax base. They rarely enforce municipal criminal ordinances because of the expense associated with transporting individuals to the sub-regional centers. When individuals are charged with a crime, they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

are transported to the regional centers for adjudication and possible incarceration.

In terms of recommendations to address the problems; in terms of the broader law enforcement issues and justice issues, in order for the systems to be improved, you first need to recognize, Number 1, there's a problem and room for improvement; Number 2, that there's more than one way to solve the problem; and 3, there needs to be flexibility within the state for all parties to come together for creative collaborative problem solving to fix the existing system or to design a new one prior to being sued. And the reason I'm saying prior to being sued is that, you know, the hurdle that was kind of put before the human resources community of the AFN Board was, well, if AITC drops their lawsuit then we'll initiate this dialogue, and hold the summit. And I couldn't believe that that was actually put forward as a -- anyway, a process needs to be set in place that would facilitate law enforcement and justice systems redesign and improvement, whatever system that is set in place needs to provide authority at the village level to address alcohol and other issues. You can't address those from the sub-regional centers or from Anchorage, Fairbanks, or the urban areas.

AFN has proposed federal legislation that if passed, would extent to the IRA or traditional councils the power to enact and enforce local option laws and drug laws within a specified geographic radius of the village center. Tribal jurisdiction could be concurrent in the state. Jurisdiction in the tribes hopefully would work in partnership with the state.

And there also needs to be funding made available for the development and operation of village-based tribal court systems in rural Alaska. They not only need to have the authority at the local level, to address alcohol and other issues, you need to have the vehicles in place in order to address those issues.

Until such time as a new system is developed that truly meets the needs of rural Native Alaskans, the state needs to adequately fund and support their existing system. The state needs to substantially increase the number of funded VPSO positions, increase their wages and benefits.

And I don't know if there's a law currently on the books, but I think that there needs to be something out there that mandates that when a sexual abuse situation occurs that there's a time frame within which the situation is investigated.

One of the questions raised in the letter inviting me to testify here today was is there unequal protection of Native Alaskans and other minorities, and I believe there is; that there is unequal protection and unequal treatment

in many facets of state services. And I think much of this is due to the geographic isolation of where our communities are, and the expense of providing services out there.

You'll find very few state-funded positions in rural Alaska.

Many of the state services which people in urban areas routinely take for granted are not available. You want to get a drivers, you have to come to Nome, and there was even I think a move to put -- several years ago to close the Nome DMV office, and if you wanted a driver's license and you lived in Brevig, you would have to come to Anchorage to get a driver's license. You know, access to job service, access to state services is just not available out there.

Politically I think some legislators may have been able to rationalize the situation by citing that services are too expensive or rural Alaskans made their choice to live in rural areas, therefore we're not entitled to state services. I think there are creative ways to address the situation but again, parties need to be willing to explore new ideas and approaches to old problems.

There's a certain baseline of state services that all state citizens should have the right to expect. These services need to be defined, funded and provided. I suggest to the Commission here that access to law enforcement and justice on the same basis as other citizens of the state as a baseline service.

In closing, I encourage this committee to review copies of the materials which AITC and 10 villages are suing the state of Alaska for providing disparate law enforcement services in the bush. The materials would provide a compelling case much more so than I have provided here about the disparity treatment in the arena of law enforcement and justice. I have reviewed some of those materials, and there's a statement from a retired trooper basically agreeing with the plaintiffs in the case, that, yes, there is disparate treatment.

So thank you for this opportunity to speak to you. CHAIRPERSON GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Yes, sir.

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. My name is John Angell, I'm Professor Emeritus Justice from the University of Alaska. I've spent 25 years with the University of Alaska -- or 20 of those as the head of the justice center at the university. I've also been director of department of justice services in Multnomah County in Oregon, at police courts and corrections operation from Multnomah County. I've served as consultant for the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice in the 1960s, and I've been a police officer. I'm currently working pro bono for NARF on the equal protection case against the state.

James Baldwin who is an author of a 1960s classic

Nobody knows My Name, referred to the criminal justice system as Uncle Charlie's system that enforces Whitey's Law. Although Baldwin was referring to the criminal justice system in New York, his is in some respects an appropriate 4 description of Alaska justice system operation in Native communities. However, the law enforcement problems faced by Alaska Native communities are far greater than those that 8 prompted Baldwin's observation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alaska Native communities are not only forced to rely for protection on a criminal justice system beyond their control, they receive justice services that can only be described as shamefully inferior to those the state provides in its non-Native communities.

Recognition of this disparate situation is implicit in terminology routinely used by criminal justice and policy officials throughout Alaska. Bush Justice is used to identify the distinctly different public safety court and correctional operations in Alaska Native communities. enforcement officers in non-Native communities are always called police officers, but those in Native communities are usually village police officers, and they're essentially ignored and unsupported by the police standards council and Alaska criminal justice officials.

Those associated with the administration of justice in Alaska understand that the words Bush and village in

reference to criminal justice are code words denoting Native areas where the justice services are both qualitatively and quantitatively inferior to those provided in the state's non-Native communities.

The Alaska Justice System development began in territorial days to serve and protect gold miners, businessmen, settler, and other non-Native migrants. Police and court operations were instituted in towns and cities founded by whites who settled along the Alaska coastline and the developing road system of the territory. This justice system was clearly a race-based system which developed in rue with the growth of White communities. Only in the most unusual situations did Native communities receive its attention.

Problems associated with the system's non-Native orientation were apparent at statehood and recognized by Governor Egan. He urged the group designated to design and implement the state police, to expand the police organization to provide operations in Native communities. However, the planners seemed only to make a token effort to appease the governor by planning to assign state police officers in two Native communities. The remainder of the state department of public safety personnel and nearly all of its facilities were placed in White communities mainly along the marine and connected highway system.

1 | 2 | a | 3 | C | 4 | e | 5 | r

6

7

Over the years since statehood there has been a growing awareness of crime and public safety problems in Native communities. As a result of the state's failure to establish adequate criminal justice beta systems to routinely capture information, it has been impossible to determine the precise nature of these problems and the extent of their growth.

8 9 dd 10 a 11 ti 12 ti 13 rd 14 si 15 ci 16 Ni 17 si 18 ci 18 ci

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What is clear, however, is that most Native communities do not have the financial means nor perhaps the political authority to establish social control operations to address the problem. Alaska officials, while devoting rhetoric to the situation, have essentially maintained the original race-oriented organizational arrangements of the justice system. Consequently Alaskan continues to support a criminal justice system that primarily serves its urban non-Native populations and conspicuously fails to provide needed service of equal protection of residents in rural communities.

The extent of the inequality of the protection in police services between Native and non-Native communities can be estimated by comparing the assignment of Alaska State Troopers across the state. In January 2001, the Department of Public Safety employed 249 commissioned officers.

According to the census, approximately 52 percent of the

population places in Alaska are predominantly Native; 48

percent then are predominantly non-Native, obviously.

Although the state does not provide crime statistics for Alaska Native communities, studies have created evidence that violent crime rates in Alaska Native areas are three to six times higher than the rates in non-Native communities.

In addition, other public safety problems such as fire loss, suicide, drug and alcohol abuse are several times more serious in Native areas than in non-Native areas.

Further, since Alaska Native communities are disproportionately located in remote, roadless areas, transportation and communication problems hamper rapid responses to emergencies, making the assignment of more troopers to Native areas essential for quick responses to emergencies and for facilitating reasonable crime prevention activities in the communities.

Consequently, all available data support a conclusion; that a relatively high proportion of the Alaska State

Troopers should be assigned to Native communities. That is not, however, where Alaskan troopers are assigned. Thirty-four troopers, 13.7 percent of the troopers, of the sworn troopers are assigned in eight Native communities. The remaining 215, or 86.3 percent of the troopers are assigned in predominantly White communities, with the majority assigned in Anchorage, Palmer, Fairbanks, and Kenai, all of which have their own police services.

Most of the White cities and towns on the interconnected highway system have either a resident trooper or
are served by a trooper who lives in the town down the road.
The 34 troopers, among which I should point out are first
sergeants who supervise and are the highest ranking people
west of Mount McKinley, that are assigned -- the 34 troopers
that are assigned to handle crime and public safety problems
in most Native communities of the state are not readily
acceptable to Native who need their attention.

These troopers must preform policing for a geographic area that includes all territory west of Mount McKinley, a land area the size of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho combined. If one considers trooper work hours and time off, there are an average of six troopers available to police this area at any one time, to perform all policing and public safety responsibilities for over 100 Native communities, some of which are separated by hundreds of miles.

Clearly the state of Alaska, which has a constitutional responsibility for providing governmental services in the unorganized borough area, where most Native communities are located, is not providing equal protection to its Native communities. My colleague who is working with us on this case, Dr. Richard McClarey (ph), who is a professor at University of California Irvine, and who is arguably the

most knowledgeable statistician in the area of administration of justice, analyzed DPS assignments, Department of Public Safety assignments to determine the variables associated with the allocation of commissioned or sworn troopers to communities in the state.

Dr. McClarey (ph) determined that race of the population was a critical variable in explaining the trooper assignment. In fact, as you know, a relationship is generally considered statistically significant if it is expected to occur by chance five or less times out of 100. Dr. McClarey calculated that the probability of trooper allocations not being related to race is less than one time in a million in Alaska.

Although because of limitations of time, my focus on the state's provision of police services and -- police and public safety services, similar observations can be made about court and correction organizations and operations.

Both of these latter components of system fail to provide equal protection to Native and non-Native peoples. The unfortunate fact is that most of the inequality problems have been known for years.

However, there has not been a willingness to make the politically and administratively risky decisions required to change the situation. Until such risks are taken, the situation which I view as a national disgrace, and I

certainly support Mr. Williams statement that we have a third-world country and the richest state in the United States, that we're absolutely not providing services for, but until people take the risk these problems are not going to be corrected.

And I don't see at this point in time a willingness for public officials and legislators to take the risks that are necessary. Alaska Natives are going to continued to be denied equal protection, due process, and equal justice.

And the Alaska criminal justice system will continue to be Uncle Charlie's system in Alaska Native communities. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. LAWRENCE A. ASHENBRENNER: Mr. Chairman and committee members, I'm Larry Ashenbrenner, directing attorney of the Alaska office of the Native American Rights Fund, NARF. NARF is a non-profit law firm representing indigent tribes on issues involving subsistence, sovereignty, and racial discrimination.

Over the past 17 years NARF has been involved in protecting Native subsistence and sovereignty rights, including the Katie John case and state and federal cases leading to the federal recognition of the 227 Alaska villages, and also been involved in cases involving racial discrimination.

Currently, as Mr. Williams and Loretta explained, our NARF is representing 10 villages, the Alaska Inter-Tribal Council, and the Alaska Native Justice Center in a suit against the state which challenges the state's inadequate provision of law enforcement to off-road Native villages on the grounds of racial discrimination. I will not comment on the merits of this case, which the court will determine at the trial which is scheduled to commence on February 4th, next year.

Rather, I will briefly describe three aspects of the state's rural law enforcement system, which directly impact the civil rights of rural Alaska Natives.

First, the state's 41 year history of illegally prohibiting Native villages from keeping the peace in their traditional ways. Second, the undisputed disparities between the police protection provided on-road White communities and off-road Native communities.

Loretta Bullard and Mike Williams did an excellent job in describing many of these disparities. I'm going to list a few more in more specific terms.

Third, the fallacy in the state's justification for providing inferior police service to the bush, namely, high cost and limited personnel. Taking them in order, prior to statehood Native villages had effective indigenous mechanisms for resolving disputes and keeping the peace,

which they had employed for thousands of years.

Historically these functions were carried out pursuant to custom and tradition by chiefs, headmen, elders, clans, and families. Early in the 1900s, this system gradually gave away to elected village councils who took over the peace keeping role.

In 1959, when Alaska entered the union, virtually every village council in Alaska was actively engaged in law enforcement and keeping the peace. During the next few years, however, the state effectively derailed these efforts, and by the early 1980s, only a handful of councils remained active in the criminal justice arena.

At statehood in 1959, pursuant to Public Law 280, the state assumed an obligation to enforce its laws in Indian Country, i.e., Native villages. This authority was not limited to felonies. It included all crimes including misdemeanors and lesser felonies. Likewise at statehood, the state assumed a constitutional obligation to provide equal protection under the law to all its citizens, not just White citizens on the road system, but to Native systems off the road system as well. The state has failed to live up to these obligation. Upon statehood, state officials went out in a number of meetings across the state and advised village leaders that statehood had somehow extinguished their inherent law enforcement authority all together. Village

councils were told that in the future their peace keeping efforts, even their authority to prohibit alcohol or impose sanctions on their own members would be extra legal, and that compliance with the council's laws would be strictly voluntary. They were told, quote, that village laws were unenforceable by the councils or under state law, and that if village council members persisted in enforcing their laws, they could be arrested, quote/unquote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this news that statehood had somehow extinguished the village council's law enforcement authority was shocking to the councils. Aggravating the situation for 40 years, state officials have taken conflicting positions with respect to the authority of village councils. living in the bush, including state troopers have consistently but unofficially encouraged village councils to adopt extra legal ordinances, regulate alcohol, handle lesser offenses, resolve disputes, and keep the peace in the best way they could, albeit on a voluntary basis. same decades, the state's official position out of Juneau was that there were no tribes in Alaska, and that even if they were, they possessed no governmental powers, and more specifically, they lacked any criminal law enforcement authority. Thus, for the last 40 years, the state has effectively prevented the villages from enforcing their own laws, thereby foreclosing their traditional means for

1 keeping the peace;.

Now, the chilling effect of the state's anti-tribal government policy and threats to prosecute tribal officials continues today. In 1995, Native leaders in the Bethel area asked the troopers, quote, why are Native people who are officers of tribal courts considered criminals when enforcing tribal law? Why does the state of Alaska continue to refuse to recognize tribal law when Native people are required to live and abide by state law. By what power or authority does the state government deem tribal court orders as worthless documents? In short, the fact that Native councils are no longer engaged in law enforcement is directly attributable to the state.

Finally, on September 29th, 2000, Governor Knowles officially acknowledged the existence of 227 federally recognized tribes in Alaska. However, this belated acknowledgment of tribal existence did not include an acknowledgment of tribal law enforcement powers.

Consequently, it has not and could not erase the chilling effect of the state's unlawful treatment of the village law enforcement authority over the last four years.

But not only has the state effectively destroyed tribal law enforcement, it has failed to provide Native villages adequate police protection under the state system. Now, Loretta and Mike Williams described very well many of the

disparities. I'm going to be a little more specific.

6 |

There is a huge disparity between law enforcement provided to on-road White communities and off-road Native villages. On-road, troopers provide full protection to all communities that lack municipal police departments, regardless of their financial ability to hire their own police. The Hillside area is a perfect example; the richest place in Alaska, and for 35 years, the troopers protected the Hillside because the people on the hill didn't want to pay the money to hire their own police.

Other examples are the Mat-Su Borough, outside of the narrow confines of Palmer and Wasilla; all of those suburban areas around there, protected by the troopers. Big Lake, the entire Kenai Peninsula except for the small areas within the two or three municipalities there; all protected by the troopers, regardless of their ability to hire their own police.

On-road troopers handle all misdemeanors and all felonies; both serious and not serious. Troopers patrol all on-road communities. On-road troopers are available to respond to domestic violence and take abused children promptly into protective custody. On-road troopers do security checks on homes, cabins, and other buildings. On-road troopers issue warnings for less-serious offenses. On road troopers assist motorists. On-road troopers are a

conspicuous and deter crime by their very presence.

_

many serious -- and many less serious felony offenses go unprosecuted. Off-road troopers rarely, if ever, patrol. Off-road troopers are unable to promptly respond to domestic violence, child abuse, or sexual assault offenses. Off-road troopers rarely, if ever, issue warnings or traffic citations. Off-road troopers are rarely present in the villages. Off-road, due to their limited personnel and planes, the average trooper response time to the villages takes many hours or days, sometimes weeks; whereas on the road system it's 45 minutes.

Off-road troopers handle virtually no misdemeanors and

The state has adopted regulations dividing law enforcement officers in the state into three categories; certified police who are fully qualified and trained, such as the troopers, and members of municipal police departments like Anchorage, Fairbanks, Bethel, and VPSO's, Village Public Safety Officers, and Village Police Officers who serve exclusively Native villages.

There are 165 off-road communities in Alaska that lack certified, that is, fully qualified police officers, the vast majority of which are Native villages. Seventy-five villages have VPSO's and several others have VPO's. However, 73, have no local police at all.

Now, there's a huge disparity between troopers, VPSOs,

and VPO's in terms of their qualifications, training, arms, equipment, and law enforcement authority as well as their salaries, benefits, and working conditions. Troopers receive 1,130 hours of law enforcement training. VPSO's receive 200 hours. And VPO's are supposed to receive, according to regulation, 48 hours. In other words, troopers receive almost six times the training as VPSO's, and over 21 times as much training as VPO's who protect the villages.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Troopers carry firearms. VPSO's and VPO's do not. Troopers wear protective vests; VPSO's and VPO's do not. Troopers have cars and planes; VPSO's and VPO's do not. Many VPSO's and VPO's must use their own boats and snow machines and four-wheelers or walk or run after offenders. And I've heard VPO's tell me about they've tried to chase down somebody in the snow and drag them back to the place where they can lock them up for the night. And anyways, the state pays for troopers fuel for their vehicles, but many VPSO's and VPO's must pay for their own fuel while driving their snowmobile while on business. Troopers receive well over two times the salary, and are paid for overtime. salaries of many VPO's and VPSO's are so low, they're on food stamps. VPSO's and VPO's are on call 24 hours a day, seven days a week with no backup, and receive little or no overtime. Troopers have full law enforcement powers. VPSO's lack the power to serve arrest warrants or

investigate felonies without prior approval of the troopers, and prior approval of the troopers takes many times days and weeks if it ever comes, which means there's no prosecution.

VPO's likewise are effectively precluded by their lack of training from making arrests or investigating felonies.

The consequences of inadequate police protection are no where more apparent than in the 73 villages that have no local police protection at all. The lack of local police means there is no police presence to deter crime in the first place. It means that crime rates go up. The lack of local police is an open invitation to the illegal importation of alcohol and drugs, which are the primary factors in most crimes in the villages.

Without police, there's no one local to make arrests, and no one to hold offenders in custody pending their court appearance. Indeed, with the exception of serious felonies handled by the troopers, there would probably be no court appearance, because without local police, there would probably be no arrests. The lack of local police means that victims of child abuse, as explained by Loretta, will not be timely taken into protective custody since there are no local police officers to accompany the social worker in removing a child from a home of a dangerous and violent abuser. And social workers are instructed not to go to those homes and pick them up without a police officer

present.

It also means that untrained civilians, it frequently happens, must risk their lives as volunteers to keep the peace. Even villages with VPO's and VPSO's lack the most basic and critical protection against armed intoxicated lawbreakers. VPSO's are prohibited from carrying firearms, they have no protective vests, and are specifically instructed not to confront an armed offender, but rather, to call the troopers and wait for their arrival. Of course, there are still something like 42 villages who lack lights, so it's not even possible to get there in a short time if it happens at night, and those incidents always happen at night.

On many occasions courageous VPSO's have violated the troopers instructions, risking their lives to disarm violent offenders and protect the lives of others. However, on many other occasions, VPSO's have followed the troopers instructions leaving the offender's wife, children, and others in harm's way for hours or days.

Thus, even for off-road communities that have VPO's and VPSO's, these disparities result in a vastly lower level of police protection for the off-road communities. For the 73 communities lacking any local police at all, there's no comparison; they are virtually defenseless to lawbreakers.

The tribes, the non-profits, other Native organizations

like AFN and AITC have sought additional VPSO's and more troopers and better training for VSO's with virtually no success.

Now, the impact of the state's discriminatory provision of police protection falls overwhelmingly on Natives. Over 84 percent of the population of Alaska receiving full certified police protection from the troopers is non-Native, whereas 80 percent of the population that receives only limited trooper services, and inadequate or no local police protection is Native. Overall, 87 percent of the population of Alaska that receives certified police protection is non-Native, whereas 80 percent of the population that lacks such protection is Native.

From the territorial period to the present, Alaska has defended its inferior and discriminatory rural law enforcement system on the ground of high cost and limited personnel. This was true in 1959 when the first commissioner of public safety decided to appoint Native constables in Native villages because to provide, quote, regular police services would be prohibitively expensive, were we to utilize regular state police officers. And it is true today.

In 1988, the Department of Public Safety justified its request for more VPSO's on the ground that, quote, without them, the increasing workload for law enforcement in rural

Alaska will not be addressed by the lowest cost method available. And the cost of VPSO serves us, if provided directly by the Department of Public Safety, would be much higher than the cost presently incurred by the VPSO program in providing these services.

But neither cost savings nor administrative convenience justified discrimination in the provision of governmental services. The law, both Alaska law and federal law is clear; that if the state cannot afford to provide all Alaskans adequate police protection, it cannot place the burden exclusively on off-road Native villages. On-road Caucasian communities as well must share the sacrifice. This is an executive truth that neither the legislature nor the executive branch have never grasped. Until they do or a court decision so mandates, we can expect the state's inadequate and discriminatory rural law enforcement system to continue, and with it the violence and crime, suffering and death, which such a system inevitably entails.

In terms of recommendations, we ask the United States
Civil Rights Commission, this committee, to investigate,
annually report and monitor the state's rural law
enforcement system until the state's racial discrimination
ceases and Alaska Natives at last receive their
constitutional right to equal protection under the law.

Inferior discriminatory police protection has gone on

so long in Alaska it has become the norm. It's gone on so long that well-intentioned state legislators and state officials can't even see it. And because they can't see it, they're doing nothing about it. This advisory committee and the Civil Rights Commission can so forcefully and repeatedly bring this travesty to their attention that they will no longer be able to ignore it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: Thank you. I have a couple of questions for the panel. The first one is, has the Department of Justice been contacted to look at all the unsolved disappearances and murders involving Alaska Native women, and also for the unequal access to police protection?

The second question is, we heard at the August forum from the FBI agent that there's a apparently an FBI policy that local recruits were not assigned to the specific areas where they were recruited from, which really affect communities where they need culturally and linguistically qualified agents to serve those communities.

So I would like to get your comments on, Number 1, whether that policy should be changed; and also why DOJ has not been contacted, if they haven't; the two questions for the panel.

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Well, I haven't....

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: Because DOJ Civil Rights
Division is supposed to be looking into any possible civil
rights violation. And if there is allegations of unequal
police -- access to police protection, that obviously is a
civil rights violation. So has the DOJ been contacted to

look into that?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Well, the United States Department of Justice has been investing whether or not there is a violation of equal protection in Alaska for the last two years. They've assigned a lawyer who's made repeated trips out here, written a report she tells me, recommending that the United States Department of Justice intervene on the side of the tribe. But along about the time she did that, the new administration came in and everything has come to a screeching halt and so nothing has been done.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes?

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: And what about the -- if I could ask....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Go ahead. Please.

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE:a policy question on this whole assignment policy that FBI has because I heard from -- in South Dakota, where they said they could not recruit any American Indians, and I heard from Hawaii they could not recruit any Native Hawaiians to serve the community. And the few individuals who could pass through

all the tests could not be assigned back to the state to serve those communities even though they know the cultural and linguistic needs for those....

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: I'm surprised that that policy exists because the former director of the FBI contacted us repeatedly to find folks that might be candidates for the bureau, and my understanding was that it was to assign them here in the state. Now, my understanding is also that they have to spend a period of time before they come back to the state, and that may be what he's talking about, that there may be a two year period or something like that before they can come back to the state. But I've forgotten the agent in charge who was here, the African American who was here with the FBI.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: He's Samoan.

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: He used to regularly come and talk....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: He's Samoan.

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER:with us about the possibility of getting Alaska Natives to apply for the FBI.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Bullard, you had a statement?

MS. LORETTA BULLARD: Well, I was just going to say, I was seeing that your question was in regards to the Alaska State Troopers and their recruitment of minorities from the

communities in the bush. And if that's the case, I think from our area we've had several of our village safety public officers actually become state troopers.

But my sense is, is that the policies, they are not returned to their kind of community of origin. For a number of years after beginning a state trooper, they're located either in a regional center or elsewhere to kind of get some experience under the belt. But for those individuals that are from the village, they're never stationed in the villages; they're stationed in the subregional areas; you know, the Kotzebue, the Nome's.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Walker?

MS. ROSALEE T. WALKER: Thank you. In your presentations, Ms. Bullard referred to the Millennium Agreement. And I think you gave some complimentary words to the agreement. Mr. Ashenbrenner?

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: Yes.

MS. ROSALEE T. WALKER: You gave some indication that there's some gaps or there's some problems with the Millennium Agreement with regard to administration of justice. I didn't hear anything from you, doctor, concerning -- and I'm not sure whether you're familiar with its content or what, but I'd just like to hear your personal evaluation of the Millennium Agreement really as it relates to the administration of justice.

1 MR. JOHN ANGELL: Do you want mine?

MS. ROSALEE T. WALKER: Yes, please, of the Millennium Agreement; did you hear me?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Yes. I heard you. I didn't know that you were talking to me, though. I thought you were talking to Mr. Ashenbrenner.

MS. ROSALEE T. WALKER: No. I had gotten opinions from the other two, but I didn't hear anything from you.

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Yeah.

MS. ROSALEE T. WALKER: And I just wanted to hear your....

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Yeah.

MS. ROSALEE T. WALKER:personal opinion.

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Yeah. Well, I think, you know, that the -- we did a study a few years ago in conjunction with the Alaska State Troopers, and it was clear to us at that point in time that court systems, that indigenous court systems, existed all across the state, and that the state would not be able to maintain social control even to the extent that it currently does without those kind of organizations that the state considered to be illegitimate, and the troopers would turn a blind eye to. The troopers who are working in those areas, they know that they exist, but they don't inform people elsewhere and they don't act like to superiors; they know that they exist, you know.

And I think part of what this will do will help to legitimatize things along that line and increase the amount of cooperation that exists between the Native -- between those things that have traditionally been carried on in Native communities and the formal justice system in the state.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Thank you. One follow-up I'd like to ask, Mr. Ashenbrenner -- excuse me. I'd like to ask you, would you offer as recommendations to strengthen the Millennium Agreement with regard to the administration of justice?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Well, I wasn't criticizing the Millennium Agreement.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: No. I didn't take it as a criticism.

MR. JOHN ANGELL: It's just kind of a broad outline, as I see it anyway. And it's got to be -- the specifics have got to be filled in. But one of the specifics surely should be that the state should recognize that the tribal governments still have the legal authority to control the conduct at least of their own members under the latest Alaska Supreme Court decisions, which means that they can engage in law enforcement activities in those villages even though those villages aren't Indian country. Now, that would be a real step in the right direction. It would

enable the tribes to legally control alcohol under state law 1 2

So that would be a suggestion.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Dan?

4 5

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DANIEL ALEX: First of all, you know, I want to thank Larry and the Native American Rights Fund for looking out for Native interests. I mean I've worked with John Barbari (ph) a number of years ago on some issues.

I've got a question. You know, I mentioned ambiguity earlier. But that aside, has Native American Rights Fund and/or others working come up with some kind of model to present to the state which incorporates the public process to grant authority to whatever entity is out there to have police power?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Well, could we come up with one or -well, I think, yeah, one can come up with one. I think my partner, Heather, and other lawyers -- legal services and other lawyers from the non-profits are working right now on legislation pointed in that direction. But I haven't been directly involved in it so I don't know the specifics. I think I could ask Heather to give you a call and tell you where they're at.

MICHAEL WALLERI: Just a couple of things. Would it be possible to get a copy of the McClarey (ph) report that you were -- I don't know if it's litigation or what, but.....

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Sure. 1

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: If it's not privileged.

2

MR. JOHN ANGELL:

That's possible. Right, Larry?

3

this time. But if you'd like.....

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: Yeah, I've got it. Sure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: If you could provide that to the Commission staff, I'd very much appreciate it. Also, was your -- Professor Angell, were you submitting written

MR. JOHN ANGELL: I can. I don't have it prepared at

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: If you could, I.....

testimony also with regards to the statistics?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: If you'd like, I can go into -- I tried to judge for the eight minutes, and there obviously are a lot more things that can be said particularly regarding courts and correctional organizations and operations in the state.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Well, the statistics in both -that were recited by both you and Mr. Ashenbrenner are particularly compelling. And if you could provide those in written form,....

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Sure.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI:I think it would be very helpful. I had a couple of questions. The first one is that the testimony that we're hearing today is very different than the testimony that we heard last time we were here in Anchorage, which was oftentimes provided by state

officials. In that discussion there was a heavy emphasis, I believe, that the statistic was somewhere around -- it was two times or three times more likely for an incarcerated person to be Native as opposed to the proportionate share of the population. So that there seems to be in terms of the perpetrators, a high level of enforcement relative to Natives. That was the apparent charge.

1.

.7

The charge that we're hearing today is that the focus of the protected people -- in other words, that the disparity is somewhere in the neighborhood of 80 percent I think was being talked about by Mr. Ashenbrenner. In terms of the focus of who the troopers and law enforcement system is designed to protect, is the non-Native communities.

So essentially what we're hearing is that, on one hand,
Natives are being prosecuted as perpetrators more heavily,
but the focus of the protection is with regards to the nonNative population. I was wondering if any of you had any
comment on that.

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Well, I've got one comment, and that is that one of the primary faults of the present system is that -- as Loretta or Mike explained, is that juveniles will get into trouble a dozen -- many, many times before they're ever brought before the state court. So that's a lack of police protective and it's the immediate consequences of your act that acts as a deterrent. So on the road system

where you have got good police protection, kids don't get that many chances, they get nabbed the first place, and a lot of times that's enough to put them on the straight and narrow path. That doesn't happen in the villages. And so the lack of law enforcement results in them later becoming criminals and going to the penitentiary. Now, that isn't by any means the whole thing, but that's one aspect of it.

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: I think another thing that's a possibility is that the troopers on the on-road system spend time drinking coffee and eating donuts and talking with the people who are in the community. And they know the community. They check the buildings in that community. They see things that are going on and they stop the things from going on.

Some of the research has been done that you know about, I'm sure, that there are a small number of people in the Native communities that cause the most violence in the communities. And those people usually do not -- as Larry says, usually do not get detected. The police, when they go in, go in to make an arrest, but oftentimes they don't get there until no one is willing to give any information about being sexually assaulted, and so they do nothing about it. When they finally do something about it, they make an arrest and take the person out of the community.

But there's so many things that go by that people get

away with that these people for a long period of time keep creating greater and greater -- or engaging in greater and greater deviance until they wind up getting arrested and taken out of the community, you know. There's no preventive effort that goes on in the communities at all. There's no misdemeanor or very little misdemeanor enforcement in the communities.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Bullard?

MS. LORETTA BULLARD: I was going to say in terms of what Mike is suggesting, I think there's also an aspect of you've got the focus on the protected individuals in the non-Native communities, you've got a lack of enforcement in the rural communities, and particularly in the area of alcohol in that when something does occur out there under the influence of alcohol, it tends to be those more serious situations, which then result in people being incarcerated.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: I just had one other. Thank you.

Just a short one. I just want to see if I'm getting the

message right.

Mr. Ashenbrenner, you were talking about a 41 year history of the state stopping the traditional Native legal systems, and there's been some discussion about repealing PL 280 and a recommendation from AFN, I believe, to do that.

Well, on the other hand, there's a pretty expressed criticism of the state's system. Am I hearing you correctly

that what you're basically saying is either that the state should either do the job properly or allow the tribes to take over the responsibility?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: No. I think what I'm saying that both should happen. Both should happen. I mean the tribes can't take over felony jurisdiction. Right now under Public Law 280, as you know, they have got concurrent jurisdiction with the state to prosecute felonies -- I mean misdemeanors, if it were Indian Country. But even -- if it were Indian Country, the tribes have no jurisdiction over felonies, so the state would have exclusive jurisdiction over felonies. But to make the system really work, I think you've got to have the tribes handling law enforcement in their communities, the deterrent aspect and the after the fact aspect in all cases except felonies.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. I have a couple questions, if you don't mind. First of all the VPSO program; it seems to be a pretty good program. But they're not fully qualified. I mean could they go, you know, to Nome or into Bethel or into Anchorage and be qualified to be considered for a police officer here?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: No.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: What would be a fully qualified person?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: They'd have too get about 1,130 hours

-- or 900 more hours of training than they received in order to qualify for the training aspect. And then the qualifications are also different. Certified officers have to meet psychological -- satisfy psychological tests, which I'm told are very tough to pass because they don't want police officers that are psychologically unequipped to be police officers.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: They submit through, though.

MR. JOHN ANGELL: And there are also many VPO's that have criminal records. VPSO's do not. But VPO's, many of them do because villages -- that's all they could come up with, for one reason, because they are paid virtually nothing. Many are paid the minium wage.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Following that up then, are you saying that they have to go through a state academy, a state trooper academy, before they qualify?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Could there be some kind of testing for Alaska Natives for mental health issues like you pointed out? Isn't there that kind of a cultural kind of testing program around? Could there be possibly.....

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Well, there certainly could be and should be. I mean....

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: There isn't.

1 CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okav. The other issue 2 is, of course, tribal courts. In rural Alaska, I mean the 3 Alaska Supreme Court Advisory Committee told us on the Fairness and Access Committee Report that Alaska has a 4 number of non-state justice resources such as tribal courts 5 6 and dispute resolution boards, particularly in rural areas. 7 State law enforcement officers, social workers, and judges 8 are reluctant to refer cases to these agencies or ask input 9 from them. These agencies are underused while state services are overburdened or unavailable in most cases, 10 11 which you pointed out they were unavailable. I mean is it reasonable to expect then if this Public Law 280 is kind of 12 done away with or repealed or whatever, would that provide 13 14 tribal courts an opportunity to develop?

MR. JOHN ANGELL: Well, I don't think you need to abolish 280. If you repeal 280, then the federal government would prosecute felonies -- well, strike that.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHN ANGELL: If it were Indian -- I'll start all over again. I think under the current recent Alaska Supreme Court precedence, the state could legally agree, enter into agreement with tribes, to recognize their tribal courts, have authority at least over the conduct of their own members right now, even though there's not Indian country. Even without repealing Public Law 280. I don't think the

repeal of Public Law 280 would give the tribes any more powers, tribal powers. All it does would change the state's power to prosecute to the feds.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: So -- okay. Go ahead.

MS. LORETTA BULLARD: Well, I just wanted to say I think Mike had suggested earlier that it was the position of AFN that they are suggesting that PL 280 be repealed. That was not what I had testified. It's just something that could be looked at as a broader scheme of what might really work out in the rural areas.

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: I think part the -- the major part of the resistence to the activities of tribal courts and that kind of thing is coming from the Alaska Court System, which has traditionally maintained that it is the court operation within the state, and it's been very -- has very jealously guarded that prerogative.

And so it seems to me that that's the place where if you want to have an impact on changing that, that's the place that you go because I don't think -- I think troopers would support that enthusiastically if they could begin to use tribal courts, or VPSO's could begin to use tribal courts. But.....

MS. LORETTA BULLARD: I was going to say I think it varies from area to area. It depends on your judge. You know, if the judge in our area is very willing to work and

wants to refer cases to trial courts and restorative justice forums; but I would imagine in other areas of the state that's not the case. So I think education of judges is really key.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: So it would be to our -- at least we should recommend to the court system that they should have a system across the board relating to this kind of program.....

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ:that they have a very....

MR. LAWRENCE ASHENBRENNER: In fact, that area of policy is one that's really difficult because there are different policies that exist different places in the state. For example, with the VPSO's, in some parts of the state, troopers put a lot of responsibility on the VPSO's and the VPSO's go a long way. In other parts of the state, troopers control very rigidly what VPSO's can do and stop them from doing a lot of things that they're doing, you know, just in the other part.

There's no -- or I don't mean no, but there is very little standardization of policy, and there's an awful lot of flexibility in it and individual discretion all the way down to the lowest operative on the street.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Great. Thanks. We may

want to write questions or have written questions from some of the panel that we weren't able to give because of time constraints. I want to thank you for your time. Appreciate it.

You guys need a break? We're going to take about a five minute break here it looks like. I don't know that we have a choice.

(Off record - 3:20)

(On record - 3:25)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: I'd like to call the afternoon session back to order. I'd like all the State Advisory Committee members up here, if we could, please. And I'm going to go ahead and call the third panel. The third panel is education, and we would like to invite Mr. Andy Hope, Ms. Benicia [sic] Tetpon, and.....

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Bernice. My name is misspelled. It's B-e-r-n-i-c-e, Bernice.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Bernice, I'm sorry.

And Ms. Shirley Tuzroyluke?

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: Yes.

(Off record comments)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: The time is 3:25. Go ahead.

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: Thank you very much. I'm honored to be here. Members of the US Commission on Civil

1 Rights. I am honored -- go ahead.

(Cell phone ringing)

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Oh, excuse me a minute. Would you please turn off all phones and all beepers and everything else? Thank you. Pull that microphone up. Can you get it closer?

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: I would like to preface my presentation with a statement. And this statement would serve two purposes; one is it's addressing the problem or the statement in the letter I received to discuss the root problems. So this kind of goes back just real briefly into some of the historical things that happened to us that brought us to this point, and also it's how do we deal with it and how do we envision education for our children?

We are at a pivotal point in shaping the destiny of our nations. The hope of our peoples lies in the vision of our children. In order to formulate a vision of Native education, I find it necessary to reflect on what we had experienced as a people in a relatively short period in the history of Native Alaskans.

As I reflect on this educational experience, it is hard not to realize that those who came before us entered the educational systems of this society as children. I would like to acknowledge those who endured the acculturation policies of the first schools in Alaska, where obliteration

of culture and language was calculated and harshly implemented. I acknowledge the pain and confusion that they experienced as children and thank them for the strength to endure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to acknowledge those who fought to enter the public educational systems in the early part of the twentieth century and won for us the right to a fair and equal education. I would like to acknowledge those who left their homelands and entered the foreign worlds of boarding schools. Thank you for returning to our homeland with a commitment to continue our culture. I would like to acknowledge those who were raised in the Christian missions where culture was viewed as sinful and unhealthy. Thank you for bringing back to us our insight; your insight. like to acknowledge those sent to the large urban centers of this nation and the relocation programs. Thank you for learning well and bringing back your much needed technical knowledge to benefit our communities. I would also like to acknowledge those enlightened beings who strive to understand that we still have not found anything of equal value to replace our way of relating to the world around us. Thank you for your steadfast efforts to assure that our way of perceiving the world stays in the plume of human consciousness.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge our children

presently attending the educational systems in this state. We have not forgotten. As a people, we have walked through the fire of the educational systems of this state. Through the generations, we have entered the fire like finely refined iron. Each entry has tempered our values, with strength akin to the finest steel.

One has to wonder how people can suffer as much as ours and emerge with a desire to preserve and sustain life. Our resolve to preserve the spirit in essence of who we are as a people allows us to flex instead of breaking. This resolve is, of course, strength needed to carry us through a common destiny through the vision of our children.

And that brings me to the work that I've done through the implementations of programs through Cook Inlet Tribal Council. Through the process of desiring to implement programs that are of high quality and on target, we have sought to first establish and determine what is the condition of Native American Students, specifically in the Anchorage School District? And through this research, we found that the scope of the problem is very serious.

I'd like to -- we've done quite a bit of work on looking at characteristics. And I'd like to just touch on a few and ponder the question of whether this is a racism issue. This historical overview of the status of Native Americans status in the Anchorage School District spans a

period of between five and 10 years. The commutative experience of this generation of Native American children is characterized by failure, exclusion, and ultimate disenfranchisement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to kind of give a small view of the Anchorage School District. It's one of the 100 largest districts in the United States; serves 49,499 students and 85 public schools. Of it, 38 percent minority population; Alaska Native, American Indian; 12 percent is the largest. Since the 1982/83 school year, the Native American student population has steadily increased in both absolute numbers and percentages of students enrolled. And to give an example, in 1982/83 school year, there were 2,942 Native American students who comprised 7.29 percent of the student population. Last school year, Native American students comprised 12.48 percent of the population, and were 6,177 students. The average percentage increase based on the last 21 years is 2.93. We've seen a steady, non-ending increase, and I have those charts in the handouts.

In actual numbers the approximate increase is about 180 students per year. And we're seeing that the economic devastations in the rural areas is driving an ever increasing exodus to the urban areas. The Municipality of Anchorage projects the total school enrollment in the Anchorage School District to be 50,832 by the year 2002 or

3. Even with our growth approximation three years ago, we exceeded what we estimated the growth to be, and the students last year numbered 7,000. The ethnic population in the Anchorage School District is also increasing. In 1976, it was 13 percent. Today it's 38. And within five years, it may reach 50 percent.

So the population in the Anchorage District is becoming more ethnically diverse. The involvement is based on and the population is based on enrollments in October of each year. And the difference in accounts are between the October enrollments and the cumulative. And we find the number between those two could be about 1,000 students.

Both in number and percentage, the highest Native

American enrollment is in the elementary schools. Within

the 61 elementary schools, 3,712 students are enrolled and

comprise 13.51 percent of all students. In the middle

school, Native Americans count for 12.08 percent. And in

the senior high, make up 9.33 percent.

In looking at a picture of this population right at this stage in the elementary, middle, and high schools, the picture was almost the same five years ago with approximately 3600 elementary Native American students. So the question is, at this point five years later, why is the number diminished in the high schools to 9.33 percent? In the other secondary, Native American students make up 16.45

percent. And then in these special programs, and these are the ones that serve the special education programs, those that are homeless or are pregnant, Native Americans make up 25.14 percent.

In drop out trends, although the Anchorage School
District has a drop out rate that is among the lowest in the
nation, Alaska Native -- Native American children drop out
of school at a higher rate than any other ethnic group in
the Anchorage School District. And these statistics go back
for nine years, so we're seeing an unrelenting pattern of
drop outs from the school district on a year by year basis.
This is going back to 1991 and 1992. Although we comprise
10.23 percent of the population, we represented 22 percent
of the drop outs, and this is in actual numbers.

1992/'93, 10.42, and we represented 23.74.

'93/94, 10.61 percent, and represented 24 percent, almost 25 percent.

'94/95, 11.18 percent, 22.66 percent of all drop outs.

'95/96, 11.41 percent, 27.44 percent of all drop outs.

'96/97, 11.87 percent, 23.46 percent of all drop outs.

'96/97, 12.20 percent, 23.07 percent of all drop outs.

'98/99, 12.30 percent, 23.88 percent of all drop outs.

And then in the 1999/2000 school year, we comprised 12.69 percent of the total student population and represented 25.85.

Accurate Transcript Reporting PO Box 222135, Anchorage, Alaska 99522 (907) 245-4467 atr@gci.net 1

2

3 4

5

6 7

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

We averaged in that period of time, 11.43 percent of the total of student population and comprised 24.18 percent of all drop outs from the Anchorage School District.

In actual numbers in this period of time, 3,243 Native American students dropped out of the system.

A very disturbing consideration in regard to this group of students is accumulative numbers who drop out of school and never return. And those of us in the Native community know what this means. I started working in the Anchorage School District for the Johnson/O'Malley Program in 1978. So in my lifetime, I've worked with students who had dropped out in 1978, whose children are now in the system and are facing the hardships. So these statistics have faces, and the faces have lives that are dire.

In CAT scores, I'll just kind of go, you know, briefly through some of them; they're more detailed in the report. In the CAT reading, in following the lead, taking Fine Education Trust, the organization that coordinates the national assessment of student progress, the White student population is designated as a comparison group. Although Anchorage School District students consistently score above average in nationally standardized tests, Native Americans students consistently score below average.

The following CAT results are average scores for students enrolled in Grades 3 to 11 from the '95, '96, to '99/00 school years. And these are average scores; Native American and White. '95, '96, Native American, 43; White -the average scores were -- Native American students in that
year were an average of 27 points behind, with the greatest
dividing the sixth grade at 31 points. In '96/97, they
averaged 27 points behind with the greatest divides in the
fifth and seventh grades, at 33 points.

And in looking at these numbers, you know, I just can't help but, you know, realize that 50 is the medium. And in looking at the number, I started looking for that number to see if in any of the tests in any of the years, the Native American population would hit the medium. But that's kind something to keep in mind.

And this is the reading, which is the base of most subjects in schools. In '98/99, Native American Students were an average 29 points behind with the greatest divide in the fifth grade. '99, 30 points behind with greatest divides in the third and the fifth grades.

And I'd like to state that in this district, education splits into levels in the seventh grade, and that's where Enrich classes are offered for those that qualify. The Enrich classes are offered to students who have grades, CAT scores, and teacher's recommendations. So if we're looking at an education that may determine what quality of education that students would get from the seventh grade on, with

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these scores it looks very unlikely that Native American students were considered for those Enrich classes and then for the Honors classes.

And then in looking at the course curriculums for these classes, the menu that's offered in those classes are creative thinking, thinking on your feet, teamwork, in essence how to be a leader. In the lower level classes, it's very much roped. So, you know, we do get a sense from these scores what type of education that our children would probably get, given these kinds of scores.

I'll go into Language Arts, and I'll just do the first and last years. In the '95/96 school year, Native American students were an average 26 points behind, with the greatest divide in the sixth grade at 39 points. And in the 1999 school year, Native American students were an average 29 points behind, with the greatest divide in the fourth grade at 29 points.

And then in Math I'll get on the '95/96 -- they were 27 points behind, with the greatest divide in the sixth grade at 35 points. And then in '99 to 2000, Native American students were an average 24 points behind with the greatest divide in the fourth grade at 30 points.

And then in the total battery, in the '95/'96 school year, Native American students were an average 32 points behind, with the greatest divide in the sixth grade at 32 2

points. And then in the '99/2000 school year, they're an average 24 points behind with the greatest divide in the fourth grade at 30 points.

4

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Tuzroyluke, you have all that in your report, right?

6

5

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: Yes.

7

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Could you just summarize in about a minute?

8

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: Yes.

10

11

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: We'll read the report and we'll get all those statistics and make sure they're determined.

12

13

14

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: Thank you very much. I guess the reason that I put a lot of these in sequential years is to demonstrate that it is a very long pattern of failure for our Native American students in this district, with the final, I guess, disbelief in the system being to drop out of school.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

So we do have the belief that Native American students aren't being provided the same education, the same quality education as other students, specifically the White population. But then how we compare against other ethnic

23

24

22

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. We can determine, you know, from your report, it's pretty

groups is also just as serious. Okay.

25

Thank you.

comprehensive, it would appear, and we're sure to see the differences. Thanks.

1.6

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Good afternoon, I'm Bernice
Tetpon. I work for the Department of Education under a
memorandum of agreement between the Alaskan Rural Systemic
Initiative and the department. I also work with the
statewide bilingual programs.

Today I'm speaking on behalf of the Native Educator's
Associations throughout the state of Alaska. Alaska Natives
comprise five percent of approximately 9,000 certified
employed teachers in this state.

In our K-12 public schools in the state, our Native students represent 23 percent of the enrollment, and our Native teachers represent only five percent. We need to look at a way to recruit Alaska Native teachers within our state. The state recruits 80 percent of our teachers from out of state. And many of these new teachers who teach our Alaska Native students know nothing about the language and culture of our communities and, therefore, our children are not being taught from their prior knowledge.

Alaska Native teachers didn't come into the picture until the late '60s and early '70s through the Arctic Teacher Training Corps and the Exceed program, the cross-cultural development program through the University of Alaska in Fairbanks.

Over the years the university system has cut off many programs to the rural areas. There's still a strong emphasis on distance delivery to prepare elementary teachers, but they have dropped the secondary teacher preparation program to the rural areas.

Many of our rural students can't come to on-campus programs, such as UAF, UAA, or UAS because they're already employed in many of their communities as the instructional aides, special ed aide, regular instruction, and bilingual aides.

Our school districts throughout the state recruit.

They spend a lot of money traveling out of state to hire teachers. When we have this pool, 700 or more instructional aides within our state who have the capability, who usually are the ones who are consistently there in our classrooms and have chosen to live in the communities.

We need to understand that, again, our Native student achievement is the lowest within the state. We're under every minority in our achievement rate. This has been going on for the past 30 years. None of our students have progressed above that bottom knuckle.

It is my believe that the university system needs to focus on our needs in Alaska. That is, we need a strong secondary teacher preparation program; we already have a strong elementary teacher program. We need the elementary

program to continue, but we need to strengthen the secondary program and use the expense that districts use to travel and recruit from out of state to teach and train our own local people within our communities who are committed to their communities and will provide our students with the instruction that they need within their local language and culture.

So I recommend to you that you make a recommendation to the UA system that rural citizens be given the access to teacher preparation programs within their own communities, and I would also like to recommend that you ask the districts to keep you abreast on how many Alaska Native teachers we have within our schools. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Mr. Hope?

MR. ANDY HOPE: I can get the other microphone for you.

I have a short statement. I have to note, though, you folks are following the trend of our Native leadership in giving a short trip to education. We're being crunched like nobody else has been.

My Tlingit names are Hosdunch and Beachdaau (ph). I'm a member of Sitka-Hutti (ph) Clan of the Wolfmoetti (ph).

I'm enrolled to the Sitka tribe of Alaska. I've been employed by the Alaska Rural Systemic Initiative for the last six years, and I have been concerned about Native student achievement for most of my life. I was a founder of

the Juneau Native Education Commission in '92 and '93, and I'm using this example to kind of underline the absence of our fellow panel member that was supposed to be here from the Department of Education, Civil Rights Division.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A large group of us were concerned about the high Native student drop out rate in our community, the lack of Native language and culture in the school curriculum, and the small number of Native classroom teachers. organized and we grew out of a part of the strategic plan for the district to address those same issues. And that strategic plan was adopted by the district in the late '80s, and it resulted initially in the hiring of several Native classroom teachers. My late wife Elizabeth was among them. And I thought that we were really making progress at that time. And in the mid '90s, the Native Education Commission was very active in addressing remedies to a US Department of Justice consent degree with the Juneau School District regarding over enrollment of Native children in the special education program of the district. And we worked with the district to completely restructure that special ed program, which in turn led us to address systemic reform outside special education programs ?

One of the outcomes of the consent degree remedial effort was a commitment by the district to improve staff develop training. And in 1998, Esther Lutsik of the Chulisk

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

(ph) Research Group from the Dillingham area presented the -- and I presented the first course on the Alaska standards for culturally responsive schools which were developed by Native educator associations from throughout Alaska. that was in early 1998, right, February of '98; which are now properly referred to as the Cultural Standards.

The Juneau School District took the lead statewide in addressing the Cultural Standards. The superintendent required that all the district administrators attend this three day course that Esther and I presented. Since that time, you know, I'm grateful to note that the Alaska Board of Education has adopted the student section of the cultural standards and put them into a state regulation.

Groups like the Juneau Native Education Commission have historically had a difficult time obtaining accurate, complete data on Native student achievement. And this is until about two years ago, and it's thanks to the leadership of the state Department of Education where they now break down all this student achievement data by school district and by gender, which is very helpful.

Until about two years ago, our Commission members would only get such data from insiders leaking the data to us. And usually this happened when one of the administrators was walking out the door. The lack of access to accurate Native student achievement data was exacerbated by the fact that

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

some of our own Commission members opposed publicizing this data with the rationale that the resulting publicity would somehow hurt the image of the children and the Native community at large.

It is way past time to get over this type of thinking. I look at it as internal colonialism. We will never overcome problems relating to Native student achievement unless we deal with them honestly and head on. We have to take responsibility and ownership of these issues and build partnerships to deal with them effectively.

I have two general recommendations for the Native community to begin dealing with specific aspects of issues relating to Alaska Native student achievement. I drafted two resolutions that were recently adopted by the Alaska Native Education Council, that they're meeting this week here in town, which have in turn been forwarded to the Alaska Federation of Natives, and they'll act on them within the next few days.

I'm hopeful that the AFN convention will approve these resolutions and that they will give full consideration to them. The participants at the Alaska Native Education Summit to be held here at the end of November and early December, will also give them considerations. I have a copy of those two resolutions that were adopted by ANEC, and I'll give them to you.

The first one calls for creation of Alaska Native

Student Achieve Research Center. Such a center would enable

-- improve collection and management of the following data;

the CAT-5 test score data; Benchmark test score data; drop

out early leaver data; GED enrollment retention data; higher

education enrollment retention data; as well as research in

such critical areas as the impact of Native language and

culture on student achievement. There has been very little

research done on that.

One of the most notable studies was published just in July by Dr. Michael Pavel (ph). And that report is -- he did that for the US Department of Education, and the title of that report, The Impact Of Language And Culture On American Indian And Alaska Native Student Learning Outcomes. Dr. Michael Pavel is Skye Comish (ph) from the Washington State area.

The research center should be developed and owned by Alaska tribal colleges. There are three that are formerly organized now; Ilisagvik College, Interior Athabaskan, and Southeast Alaska Tribal College, and several more in other regions of the state. And this is to ensure Native ownership of this data. But it could be done in partnership and should be done in partnership with such an existing institution such as the Institution for Social and Economic Research here in Anchorage.

The second resolution calls for an evaluation of the 1 2 so-called Native serving institutions that have received 3 funding under the Alaska Native Education Equity and Support Systems Act of 1994. I will give you a table of the 4 5 2000/2001 federal education grantees. And it's a large sum 6 of money that is pouring into the state supposedly to educate Alaska Natives. Much of that funding goes to non-7 8 Native institutions. And for many of these institutions, 9 there's little, if any, Native participation in the 10 planning, design, implementation, and management of these There is also a need to review the process by 11 programs. 12 which these institutions establish eligibility as Native

I thank you for your time today.

serving institutions.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Yes, Dan?

MR. DANIEL ALEX: There was a blanket statement made by
a couple of people at the last hearing. One of them was the
superintendent of Anchorage schools, and I think one of them
is the superintendent of the state school system. And they
made the statement that they're actively recruiting Native
qualified teachers.

And one of the things that we're looking for, I believe, is looking for where there is discrimination. You know, they made a blanket statement that they were recruiting. But if we have evidence where qualified

teachers that are Alaska Natives that are not hired, that amounts to discrimination, and that's something that can help us. I know personally of one Eskimo teacher who is certified by the state, but he is only employed part-time.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: You're right. There are incidences where a lot of us go and work on our own, get our teaching degree, and then return to apply and we are not considered.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Can you give us some -
I mean do you have the names or anything like that?

MS. BERNICE TETPON: I don't have the names, but I know
-- I work statewide with the Native Educators Association,
and I could get you those numbers, if that's what you would
like.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: That would be real helpful for us. Thanks. Yes?

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Anyone of you can answer this. What is the teacher/student ratio in urban schools versus rural schools? Do we have information on that?

MS. BERNICE TETPON: I think what you need is a copy of the report card to the public that comes out every school year. I didn't bring one with me. Did you bring yours?

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: I don't....

MR. ANDY HOPE: The Benchmark. The Benchmark does.

MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: I've included a copy of the

	AAC/U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Hearing, 10/2
1	Benchmark exams. But it varies by school. Some schools, a
2	number of 30 comes up. That depends on which school
3	that
4	MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Would you say that there are
5	the teacher/student ratio is higher in the villages than it
6	is in the urban areas?
7	MS. SHIRLEY TUZROYLUKE: I'm not familiar with the
8	Native student ratio in the village areas.
9	MS. BERNICE TETPON: I could tell you. We have about
10	156 schools throughout the state that have an enrollment of
11	50 or less. So we have some really small schools, some two
12	and three students in the fourth grade, or very small
13	numbers. So we have a lot of one-teacher schools within

MR. ANDY HOPE: We have turnover too.

those small schools.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Oh, yes, and that doesn't count the turnover.

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Uh-huh. Okay. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Appreciate it.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Just in the information on the -two questions -- or one request. We've heard reports of a declining number of Native teachers, including Native teachers in the rural areas. If you could -- in the data that you were going to provide us, if you could provide us

some data over time?

The second is are you aware of any study in terms of drop out rates of Native students and comparing the anchorage numbers, for example, with the drop out rates in the rural areas?

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Well, we can do that. I can tell you sometimes now that a lot of our -- am I talking into the right mike? A lot of our Native students -- a lot of our rural schools are 90 percent or more Alaska Native. And if you look at that number, our drop out rates are similar to what Shirley has done here in the Anchorage School District. They're very high; within going from the seventh grade through the 12th grade. I can get you actual numbers.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: That would be helpful. Thank you.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Okay.

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: I have another question. In recent days, I was in Bethel and in Kotzebue on another commission, and we were presented the Native schools, the school in Bethel and the one in Kotzebue. I was wondering if there is a move in the Native community that would encourage the speaking of the language or teaching of the language to provide roots and background for the students, either that or in conjunction with the existing school districts there, and how much encouragement could we get

from the village people in this regard?

MS. BERNICE TETPON: LKSD has about six immersion programs; kindergarten to 3, in which they teach their students in Yupik. And then in the Kotzebue area we have one. Nagata-Ilisagvik, it's an Inupiaq immersion program run by the IRA tribal council. The other is in the Juneau area, the Tlingit language. That's run by parents. Is that a charter?

MR. ANDY HOPE: No. It's not a charter.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: It's not a charter. It's through the public school.

MR. ANDY HOPE: Part of Juneau school district.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: But, yes, we are going that. The bilingual conference -- Thelma, you've been a speaker there, will occur February 6th through 8, and during that time, a lot of our programs will be on display in workshops. We focus on language and culture and the Alaska standards for culturally responsive schools, plus all the other guidelines that Native Educator Associations have developed.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Ms. Walker?

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Yes. I'm aware that in many communities they will hire Native teachers for substitutes. Many of them are certified teachers, but they hire them as substitutes, which mean they pay them less and so forth, they don't have the same benefits and all of that. However,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they are teaching the classes though they were the certified teacher there.

In the past few days the TV has been All right. screaming that the state is at a crisis for hiring teachers. I'd use that as a hammer. And you can get a good ally with the union. A lot of people are scared of them, but they influence who hires and fires quite a bit, and I would recommend that you get them as an ally right now. especially when there's that hot spot that they don't have any teachers. They do have them here. So I would do that. Thank you.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thanks a lot, and we really appreciate your time.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: We still are running late. Any other questions we'll probably provide to you in writing at some point in time.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Where did you want us to leave our copies of our.....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: You can put them right here.

MS. BERNICE TETPON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: And then we'll distribute them. We need to move on here. We're way, way behind, I guess. That's my fault because I didn't keep
everybody on track. Everybody was supposed to have eight to
10 minutes, but it just didn't appear like that was a
reasonable period of time, so we just went ahead and went
on.

But I'd like to go ahead and invite Sharon Olsen, director of Employment and Training of the Central Council Tlingit and Haida, Tribes of Alaska, and Bonnie Jo Savland, state director, Alaska Native Coalition for Employment and Training. By the way, has Mr. Gary B. Jackson appeared? Would you like to join this panel or would you like to....

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Who is it?

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Gary B. Jackson.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, no.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Regional director.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's not here.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Oh, he's not here, okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't think so.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Go ahead. You're going to have to move that -- no, the other one. That one.

That's the one you speak into. I don't know. What is this one here for? Is this for the newspapers or some.....

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The radio.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Radio, okay. So you'll

MS. SHARON OLSEN: Good afternoon, my name is Sharon

be on radio and public at the same time.

Olsen, and I'm the co-chair of the Alaska Native Coalition on Employment and Training. And if you'll note that on your agenda you have me listed as the Director of Employment and Training for the Central Council, which is also true, but my testimony is on behalf of ANCSET, the Alaska Native Coalition. And we provided three documents for you, a brochure of ANCSET, our issues paper and report that we gave

And I'll start with just a brief description of ANCSET.

ANCSET is the acronym for the Alaska Native Coalition on

Employment and Training. It is a statewide organization.

We formed in 1982.

to the Alaska Human Resources Investment Council.

ANCSET is now comprised of representatives from the 12 Alaska Native regional nonprofits, including Metlakatla.

And we are the people that run the Department of Labor BIA and HHS programs on behalf of Alaska Natives in our region.

I will first start with our issues paper that ANCSET put together just recently, and it begins with, why is it that Alaska Natives have the highest unemployment rate, the highest alcoholism rate, the poorest living conditions, the highest suicide rate, and teen pregnancy rate, the greatest percentage of inmates in correctional facilities, and the highest number of high school drop outs, the highest number

of Native students placed in special education programs?

Why is it that Alaska Natives are the last to be hired into state jobs and are the first to be let go? Why is it that Alaska Natives who are employed by the state are not given the same opportunities for promotions or advancement? Does discrimination exist in Alaska in areas of justice, education, and employment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In response to these questions and through our perceptions of how the state treats Alaska Natives, I will demonstrate to you that discrimination does exist in Alaska.

Number 1, we have five issues; limited employment opportunities in rural Alaska. Rural Alaska residents are aware of the fact that year round employment is nearly nonexistent in their communities. Sure, we have the Denali Commission which is funneling training dollars to rural residents through various organizations in hopes that rural residents will be placed with employers who are successful bidders of these projects. However, of our 227 Native villages, only half are scheduled for projects. these projects are completed, what happens to these Natives that are working? Will the companies keep them? Will they go on to other jobs? Who is making sure that something happens with their careers once we've spent the money to train them? What is the state of Alaska doing to promote economics on a long term basis in rural Alaska?

1 2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

of Alaska should consider establishing or creating state jobs in rural communities that will offer year round employment for rural residents which are predominantly Native.

Number 2; limited state services and resources in rural Alaska. How often do state employees travel to rural communities specifically to meet with residents who are in need of state services? The focus has always been on urban communities. The lack of state services and resources in rural Alaska residents has always been a barrier. Fifty percent of our Native people reside in rural Alaska. aren't these resources re-appropriated in a such a fashion that rural folks can have equal access to services that urban folks enjoy?

Number 3; limited recruitment in rural Alaska. state of Alaska utilizes job service offices and Workplace Alaska database to do their recruitments and fill vacancies. However, in rural Alaska, rural Alaskans do not have equal opportunity to apply for these jobs because they do not have equal access to internet. And even if they do, the time that it takes to complete the application is so lengthy and the cost to have internet access is costly.

We do have schools in rural communities that have computers for their students, but they do not allow local residents to go in and use those computers. The state of Alaska and its residents could benefit greatly if the state empowered and encouraged rural residents to use school facilities for community events such as job searching.

Number 4; bonafide qualifications in job descriptions.

Nearly all state of Alaska jobs require, at a minimum, a high school education, a GED, an Alaska driver's license, and have prior experience working with Alaska. These items are the biggest barriers to getting Alaska Natives who reside in rural Alaska as well as urban areas hired into state jobs. Alaska Natives residing in urban communities that apply for entry level state jobs -- but most are not considered for interviews.

The temporarily assistance to needy families which is TANA, or better known as welfare reform, recipients in urban communities are placed into temporary state jobs, which are temporary placements and some receive hourly salaries. Some don't get a salary at all and just get their benefits to be continued, and some are given stipends. Of these people, most are not retained by the state or placed in permanent jobs. Only a few are prepared in the rural communities to become self-sufficient. And now with the high school exiting exam requirement to obtain a high school diploma, a large segment of Native students, especially those students that are not -- that are in special education programs, will not receive high school diplomas or GED's. And in most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

villages, there's not even GED services available to them, even if they wanted to get one.

Number 5; job interview process lacks cultural relevance. When a lucky few Alaska Natives reach the interview stage for a state job, they are faced with a hiring committee generally made up of non-minorities. hiring committee's lack a knowledge of cultural differences, hinder their ability to be fair and consistent in their rating and scoring of Native applicants.

In many instances, state personnel departments merely go through the motions of recruiting and interviewing applicants when they have really made up their minds who they want to hire. Alaska Natives that apply for state jobs often don't get hired and they also feel that it's hopeless. This attitude is the result of many years of being treated as second class citizens.

The state realizes that their non-minority employees hiring committees take an -- or the state should realize that the non-minority employees and hiring committees should take an active role in recognizing cultural differences and using that knowledge to get their qualified Alaska Natives hired into state jobs.

We have some recommendations. One is to spend an adequate time or an adequate amount of state money in rural Alaska. Train several community members to take some of

those state functions in servicing the villages. These centralize state programs, by creating year round jobs in rural communities, will reduce the amount of time it takes the state to respond to the needs of the rural communities, will offer equal access to state services and resources to rural residents, will empower rural residents to attain self-sufficiency, and will result in heathier communities.

Another, use appropriate qualifications. If a job requires a person to have an Alaska driver's license, then a part of their job duties should be to drive state vehicles. If a administrative clerk position only requires knowledge of office work, filing, and answering the phone, then why is a driver's license required of them?

Network and collaborate more closely with the 227 federally recognized tribes and regional non-profits.

Contracting with any of these groups would save the state money and reduce duplication of services.

The last document we have, a report that ANCSET prepared for the Alaska Human Resources Investment Council last year, and the report was in response to the change from the Department of Labor, JTPA programs, to the Work Force Investment Act. So the JTPA money now is called WIA money, and both the tribes and the state get the same money and we run the same types of programs. And the state asked us to put together a paper on how we might improve the delivery of

services, and we put together a very lengthy report. And rather than me reading it, and I know you don't want me to, but I hope you read it.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: We'll read it.

MS. SHARON OLSEN: I will just read some of the highlights from the report. Alaska Native organizations have provided a full range of work force services to our people in all communities of the state for over 25 years. But I would say probably even more than that, but I'm talking more from my experience. Much of this network is built around regional tribal consortiums. We link very closely with tribal governments at the village level.

The Alaska Native Work Force grantees have long implemented many of the ideas now embodied in WIA, which is the Work Force Investment Act. The state has the same responsibility to serve Alaska Natives. As I had mentioned before, that they have the same money that we do. They have a requirement to network with us and to partner with us and to also have a memorandum of understanding.

Our main recommendation is that the existing Alaska
Native grantees be used to deliver services to Native people
in all communities and that the WIA resources, the state's
WIA resources, not be wasted in attempting to duplicate the
same services. In rural Alaska, there are no organizations
other than Native organizations on the ground, in the

villages, with a day in/day out ability to provide holistic services.

It only makes sense to use the existing services. We can adequately -- if the state would adequately assign and provide these services to residents in the remote villages. And that if this is what will be done, the contracting procedures and terms and conditions must be fair and not to cause hardships on the tribal organizations. That the right for Alaska Native work force grantees become equal partners in the state's one-stop system, and that the statewide Work Force Investment Act Board also have at least four seats on their board that are Alaska Natives representing Alaska Natives. And we now have youth councils; we also need Native representation on the youth councils.

And lastly, the Alaska Native controlled educational services have grown to become increasingly important part of the work force. Native entities provide a variety of forums of remedial education. Examples exist in tribal colleges and regional vocational training centers. We have them in Juneau, Fairbanks, Nome, Bethel, King Salmon, and they are all providing education and technical training to Native people. These facilities and institutions should be utilized by the state as much as possible.

And that is the end. I'll end there since I have such as lengthy document. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Sharon, and we will read the documents.

MS. BONNIE JO SAVLAND: Great. Good afternoon. And welcome to Anchorage. I'm Bonnie Jo Savland, the statewide director of the Alaska Native Coalition on Employment and Training, ANCSET. However, I have been asked by Byron Mallott, president and CEO of the First Alaskans Foundation, to give this testimony since he had prior commitments.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this testimony to the Alaska Advisory Committee and Commission members. I will summarize my testimony because it is very lengthy.

For several months, Alaskans have fixated on last January's paintball attacks against Natives. And a lot of debate has been generated by this one incident. But problems of race relations in our state go far beyond individual acts of hatred, awful as they may be.

The real problem is that racial bias against Natives and other minorities is entrenched in Alaskan society and government. To do that, we must look beneath the monetary manifestations to see basic unexamined patterns of prejudice. We must examine persistent and unacceptable patterns of discrimination that seldom get the public attention paid to hate crimes.

Here are some unacceptable patterns of data; one-fifth of all Native families live below the official poverty line,

compared to seven percent of all families in Alaska. There is little economic development, employment, or income in remote Native villages; leaving subsistence, hunting, fishing, gathering, as the only way to feed a family. Poverty is the common lot.

Village costs of living are exorbitant, making residents overly dependent on welfare and other appropriations of government. Except for subsistence, hunting and fishing, the majority of adult Natives in villages are without work. In many communities, this rate exceeds 80 percent.

Of all Native males who have some cash employment, more than 40 percent work in the crafts, trades, and services.

Native women are 60 percent more likely than men to work in managerial or professional jobs.

In light of such wide disparities between the well-being of Natives and the well-being of other Alaskans, one might expect the state of Alaska to be sufficiently concerned to use some its governmental power and oil wealth to improve the situation; on the contrary.

The past decade has seen state policy controlled by the urban non-Native majority turn against Natives with a vengeance. Under a banner of fiscal austerity, the state is making political war on the poorest and most vulnerable of its citizens defined by race. And I'll give you just a

couple of examples. There's more in the report.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One; state aid to local governments has been cut by 60 percent in the past eight years, disabling many Native villages in their basic governmental operations.

Two; the state uses federal funds to reduce its expenditures in villages claiming that rural areas lacking tax bases don't pay their own way. It then refuses to develop rural economies that could support local government in the bush.

Three; the state uses unrealistic statistics to minimize the severity of unemployment in Native villages, counting only those residents who are actively looking for work and disregarding those who have long since given up because there is no work available.

But beyond all other state policies that are making village life untenable, the state has refused for 12 years to provide legal protection of subsistence, hunting and fishing, the protein base that feeds Native families. Without such meager food resources, most remote villages are doomed.

One of the most indefensible measures of racial bias in Alaska is that of the public employment. I have provided the Commission with three tables of data on Alaska's population, its state work force, and its federal work Table 1 are taken from the 2000 Census, and the force.

state of Alaska Equal Employment Opportunity office provided the work for statistics in Table 2, and the US Office of Personnel Management provided the federal work force statistics in Table 3. The 2000 Census enumerates 626,932 people living in Alaska. It then lists people who is selfidentified by one race. And there, 98,043 individuals; about 15.6 percent of the total population are American Indian or Alaska Native. The Census then lists 119,241 individuals, fully 19 percent of the total population who is self-identified as American Indian or Alaska Native, whether as one race or in combination with one or more races. This makes Alaska Natives and American Indians by far the largest minority in Alaska. After consulting with social scientists at the University of Alaska, I can make an educated guess that fully 16.5 percent of our state's population is composed of Alaska Eskimos, Indians, and Aleuts. If Alaska were an exclusive society, the civilian executive branch work forces of the state and federal governments would approximately reflect the racial distribution of the population. But nothing could be further from the truth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Minorities of color are under representative in the public sector as well as the private sector employment. And no minority is more grossly excluded from such opportunities than our Alaska Natives. As of January 2001, the state of Alaska's executive branch work force was composed of almost

14,000 people of both genders and all races. 1 these people, almost 82.3 percent of the work force were 2 Caucasian Americans, which is about 10 percent more than 3 Five percent are Asian Americans or Pacific 4 parity. 5 Islanders, a number fairly close to what it should be. 6 3.6 percent are African Americans, about half a percent less 7 than the population as a whole. But when it comes to Alaska Natives; the numbers plummet. Only 701 out of almost 14,000 8 9 state employees are Alaska Native. This is five percent, 10 less than one-third of what it should be. The state agency 11 with the best record of Native hire at 8.1 percent, is the 12 Department of Community and Economic Development, which has a strong constituency among rural villages. 13 But even that 14 department seriously under-represents Alaska Natives as do 15 all the other executive branch.

And I won't go through all of those, but just noting that the office of the governor is at a dismal 3.6 percent, and the lowest is Natural Resource Department at 2.1 percent. This pattern does not arise simply from biased hiring decisions made by supervisors at the moment they are sitting across an interview table from a Native applicant. Rather, the whole state personnel system is largely closed to Natives long before that moment arrives.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's very difficult for anyone living in a rural village to get news about job openings because the state

recruits from an online internet system. And although urban Alaskan families enjoy one of the highest rates for internet access, rural Alaska is once again left out. Communication to rural Alaska still consists mainly of telephone, fax, and mail services. The state spends little effort or money on outreach and communication to this segment of its own citizens. That's not a priority in an age of compulsive budget cutting and very disturbing numbers are the inevitable outcome.

Table 3 shows the data on the federal agencies operating in Alaska, which excludes a couple of the agencies, including the military, postal service, and several other entities.

Overall, the U.S. Government does a slightly better job of employing Natives than does the state. Out of the federal work force of almost 12,000, about 989 or 8.3 percent are Alaska Natives or American Indians. And while this is about one-half of the percentage of the general population, the whole federal system does as well as the top state agency. But those numbers are actually skewed by the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Indian Health Service, which have the Native American hire preference.

The other federal agencies lacking such policies show abysmal records of Native hire. As in the case of this state, the personnel systems of the federal government also

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fail to reach out effectively to citizens who are different from the mainstream American culture, and the poor system of public education for Natives in urban and rural Alaska produces Native adults who don't qualify.

And the recommendations I've got too. The state and federal personnel system should be altered to be more inclusive, more funding should be appropriated by the state and federal governments in order to eventuate the hire of minorities, especially from bush Alaska, and the state and federal agencies must provide additional outreach to rural Alaska, and finally, the state and federal governments should appropriate more funding to rural education, training, and economic development opportunities so that rural Alaskans can enjoy a quality of life on parity with the rest of Alaska's population.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Yes, Dan? MR. DANIEL ALEX: One of the things I had held fast is identification of -- you know, discrimination. You know, just like I believe that the requirement for having a driver's license where one is not required to drive is a superficial way of eliminating people.

I haven't seen the '92 -- I think in '92, the Civil Rights Act was revised. But I know that the original act where there's actual demonstration of discrimination, that it actually can the effect the state funding. So, you know,

an example of that; you know, just back a number of years
ago, I was chairman of this Advisory Commission, and we held
hearings of, you know, the state DOTPF were actively
involved in discriminatory practices with respect to
minorities, minority subcontractors. And when we had the
hearing, it focused in where the state DOTPF, that we were
aware that they were violating the civil rights law, and
they hurriedly got other they hired people to help them
comply with the law. The point is, is where we can you
know, where we can have help is where actual discrimination
occurs. You know, that's evidence that we can use.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Is that a statement or do you have a question? Just a statement?

MR. DANIEL ALEX: It's a question.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Oh.

MR. DANIEL ALEX: The question is they can help -- can you provide us with data that helps document discrimination? That's the question.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay.

MS. SHARON OLSEN: Names and descriptions of what happened, is that what you're asking?

MR. DANIEL ALEX: Something that amounts to evidence.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: You know, these kinds of scenarios like differential treatment and people requiring, like you said, licenses and then does the job

really require that. Is that a bonafide occupational qualification, or something to that effect; is that possible?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICES: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Anybody else? Yeah. Ms. Walker?

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: First of all, I wanted -- in case you haven't heard already, the GED will be completely revamped by January, so you go another hurdle there. I hate to bring this up, but I have been in some confrontations over the years over this matter. I know the reason for it; you know the reason for it. But I can't seem to get it over to commissioners, directors, and these other people, and that's this issue of Native preference.

I have been in meetings and meetings and meetings, and sometimes I come out -- I don't come out crying, I don't let them see me cry anyway. I come out mad, though, and leave some very angry other people because of some of the things that I might say.

But I'm just curious as to how you address this,
especially when -- let's say the JTPA money; you're getting
the same money that I'm getting for my program. But you
have the right to say Native preference, and I don't have
the right to say African American preference. And I'm just
-- I know why. I'm just asking you this so you can just

publicize it. I know why and know how to explain it, but I still end up in some dog fights over that one phrase, and I'd just like to hear how you defend it.

13.

MS. SHARON OLSEN: Okay. I think it's really important for all of you to realize that the tribes receive -- what was JTPA is now receiving the Work Force Investment Act, which is known as the WIA money, the same as the state.

The state's program has dual eligibility. In other words, Alaska Natives are eligible for the state money as well as the tribal money. The tribal money is only supplemental, so our people should be served equally by the state, which is what I talked about in my testimony.

And as far as Indiañ preference, when it's not IHS or BIA type jobs, then I think we need to look at local hire, because in our villages, people that get hired are not the Alaska Native people, even if the village consists of 90 percent Natives. The other 10 percent are the ones that are in the city office, they're in the school system, they're docking our ferries, you name it. They're not the ones that get hired. So I think we need to look at a local hire provision in our villages or in all our community.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Anybody else? Did you have a question?

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: For the lucky few who get hired in the private sector of the state or federal

_

government, what happens to the ones that get into the door?

Are they proceeding at the same level with other employees,

or are they facing certain barriers? And do you have a

local EEOC who's been working with the community?

MS. SHARON OLSEN: Well, supposedly there's an affirmative action plan that each of the state agencies follow. But every time we've approached them and asked them about it, they never know where it is or what we're talking about. So I think it's really important that the agency EEO officers, you know, follow the rules that are set before them, which means treating everybody equally.

Now, the Natives that do get through the system, if they stay and they have the ability to stay with the uncomfortable situations they're often in, don't ever really get promoted or advanced at the same rate as other non-Natives. So that is really truly why there is very few that work there.

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: What are the legal remedies in this state for those who are facing these kinds of barriers?

MS. SHARON OLSEN: Well, I think it should be fair and to be consistent; don't look at the color or don't look at the cultural barriers if they speak differently or if they look differently. Just treat them fairly and, you know, be consistent with everyone. You know, we shouldn't be felt

that we're different. We should all be treated the same.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Yes

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Sharon, you might take a look -and I think I have suggested it a number time before; you
might take a look at the regulation that deals with
affirmative action of the state of Alaska, which is in the
books, and review that and see what you can do to improve on
it.

MS. SHARON OLSEN: Well, I know that the last time that the state asked for the tribes to give input on the affirmative action plan,....

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Uh-huh.

MS. SHARON OLSEN:we put together a very large document with many recommendations, many of the same ones I'm giving today, and I've yet to see them be included.

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Well, thank you very much. We really appreciate all the information you brought to us and we'll review that and include it in the final report, I'm sure.

We're going to go into open session right now. And we're going to provide again, you know, because of the time crunch -- some people will be -- we're going to have three minutes for each person coming up.

And so we're going to start with Terry Duyck, Gary

Patton, and Aaron Tritt. And each one will go first, second, and third. If you have a statement, please provide the statement and just given an overview in your three minutes. Did you need to read this?

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: No. That's asking permission to speak.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Oh, what's your name?
MR. J.B. MALLOTT: J.B. Mallott.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Do you see that guy over there with the tie on over there? Could you ask him to put your name on there, please, on this list? Go ahead.

* * * * * *

OPEN SESSION

* * * * * *

MR. TERRY DUYCK: Yeah. I filed a civil rights and humans rights violation complaint against the state of Alaska. I'm an a Alaska Native male and I'm a resident of Denali National Park and Reserve Monument. I am not a member of any land claims corporation. I am asking for a federal protection from the state of Alaska. I'm speaking out on behalf of other men and woman who share in my plight.

Complaint 1; I am being forced into debt by the state of Alaska without due process, which I cannot relief through Child Support enforcement. I've never been to court or

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allowed an opportunity to give my side of the story in violation of the state's constitution.

Complaint 2, I've been denied any access to my son by the State of Alaska and his mother, Anita Morrow (ph).

The State of Alaska should not be putting Natives into debt over child support, nor should the state of Alaska be giving welfare or assistance to Natives at Native expense. The federal government is responsible because of its own obligations to provide these services without indebtedness towards the Native people of Alaska.

I base these complaints on case law and commentaries presented by David S. Case (ph), Alaska Natives of American Law, starting with chapter 6, Native entitlement to service, authority, and obligation, in part; the application of such for entitlement and due process theories to Native social welfare laws is especially important to Alaska Natives because the federal government has negotiated no treaties and few, if any other agreements with them on which the federal obligation would provide -- human service should be based.

The federal government has long exercised its guardianship authority over Alaska Natives. Under this authority, these Natives have acquired through the same statutory entitlement through education, economic development, welfare, and human services, which the federal

government provides by statutes to other Native Americans.

affairs.

The balance of Chapter 60 tells the federal government's continuing authority and the scope of its obligation to provide these services. Felix Conan (ph), author of American Indian Law, noted the established force of federal power over Alaska Natives is congressional authority. To enact any legislation it deems proper for and benefits and protection of the Natives of Alaska because they are wards of the United States in a sense they are subject to the plenary power of Congress over Indian

Clearly, no distinction has been or can be made between Indians and other Natives of Alaska as far as these laws and relations of the United States are concerned, whether the Eskimos and other Natives are of Indian origin or not, as they are all wards of the nation in their statuses in material respects, similar to that of the Indians of the United States.

It follows that the Natives of Alaska has referred to the treaty of March 3rd, 1867, between the United States and Russia, are entitled to the benefits and are subject to the general laws and regulations governing the Indians of the United States.

Consider the Snyder (ph) Act, no failure or maladministration in governmental services can reflect so directly upon the nation as in our relations with American Indians. They're wards of the nation, not of any state or community. They are disenfranchised, and in our course, we look to Washington, DC, for their every need.

Pete Gush Marshall (ph) and Cherokee Nation versus

Georgia was the first to compare the relationship of Native

Americans and the federal government to that of a ward and a

nation -- I mean a ward and guardian. He also implied that

this relationship was sustained at least so long as the

Natives retained their right to possession of their land.

In U.S. v. Tagoma (ph), 1886, the Supreme Court held that federal guardianship over Natives could be exercised by statute even though as in that case there were no treaties permitting the United States to rescind its criminal laws to Indian reservations.

And the New Mexico Pueblo case said that justice (indiscernible) to determine federal guardianship did not depend on the citizenship or status of Native land title, but was larger amount of congressional determination.

Beginning in the earliest century, these principals were applied to Alaska Natives as authority for federal human service programs benefitting them. From then until now, guardianship has been recognized by the courts and the interior department as the (indiscernible) source of federal authority to provide Alaska Native human service programs.

1 2 Natives, the state of Alaska in violation of its own 3 4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

constitution is forcing people into a heavy burden of debt without due process through child support enforcement. Likewise, the state of Alaska is dispensing services, welfare and assistance in a manner which (indiscernible) and is used as a means for my wife to deny me access of my son who also has a right to his father.

In my case and many other cases involving Alaska

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. please state your name and where you're from?

MR. GARY PATTON: My name is Docnaa (ph). I'm (speaking Tlingit), Raven, humpback Salmon people.

We've heard a lot of testimony here today, and I believe it's in support of what I'm about to say. Commission here today is to address civil rights. However, we believe the truth will reveal the problems affecting the indigenous peoples of Alaska goes beyond the scope of civil rights.

The indigenous peoples of Alaska have been a target of policy of systematic violations of law that began with the rural law as it concerns Alaska since before the 1867 Treaty obsession between Russia and the United States of Alaska [sic] to this present day. There does exist a pattern in practice of violations of law which includes the legal point

of the treaty process. Elizabeth Brodovich (ph) brought the civil rights issues to the forefront here in Alaska many years ago.

The consistency of the continuing violations of law have manifested into crimes against humanity; the crimes while under the category that is defined as apartheid. The reasons are crystal clear. The problem is the colonizers were the predators. They left their homelands in search of a better life, one which gave them hope of life, liberty, and fortune. The problem with that scheme is the predators were preying upon on our life, liberty, and fortunes.

European men described their invasions as discoveries, their piracy and theft as trade, and their extermination and enslavement of our peoples as a civilizing mission. These problems still exist.

Today it is neocolonialism and neomercantilism.

Foreign and domestic corporate rights have displaced the human rights of our indigenous peoples. Prime examples of violation of the rule of law by the United States in their attempt to deny the Katella Chill Kited (ph) Tlingit our original title under Public Law 92.203, which is a violation of your own U.S. code law, specifically 42 U.S. Code, Section 1982, Property Rights, and 18 US Code 242, Deprivation of rights under the color of law.

The colonialism and mercantilism of Alaska can be

compared to that of a policy of ethnic cleaning and terrorism. In this time of ethnic cleaning and terrorism as monocultures spread throughout society and nature, making peace with diversity is fast becoming the survival imperative. Homogenization and monocultures introduce violence at many levels. Monocultures are always associated with political violence; the use of coercion, control, and centralization. The cultivation of diversity involves reclaiming the right to self-organize for those coerced into living by imposed measure.

1.

We, Katella Chill Kited (ph) Tlingit never gave up our rights and titles. The world must be made aware of the United States of America's pattern and practice of violations of law, which compelled us to declare our independence March 15th, 2001, to restore our rights in government as our solution to these crimes against humanity.

As already stated, the root of the problem here in Alaska has gone beyond the scope of civil rights and has manifested into a crime of apartheid.

We are here today to ask this Commission to help us arrange funding for the creation of a non-corporate human based indigenous peoples, Alaska truth and reconciliation commission, patterned after that of South Africa.

Reconciliation can begin with full disclosure and requires truth of the facts concerning Alaska and its indigenous

1 peoples.

In support of our testimony today, we are attaching a legal brief prepared for us by the University of Illinois, College of Law, Urbana, Illinois. I thank you for giving me this time and I will give you the attachments and there's some end notes in here.

I don't mean to offend anybody, but I want to, you know, restate this. It has gone beyond civil rights. And that is what is going to have to be addressed. And it's going to have to be addressed by our people, not by another group of civil rights people, but our people. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Could you get the microphone close to you? Thanks a lot.

MR. TERRY DUYCK: Are you going to be asking any questions or....

MR. GARY PATTON: Yeah. Did anybody have any questions for me?

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: When the three finish, we'll open it up for questions. Okay.

MR. AARON TRITT: My name is Aaron, and I'm....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Could you also give us
your last name and spell it?.

MR. AARON TRITT: Last name, Tritt. I'm from Arctic Village. I'm with Venetie tribal government. I want to mark it clear for the record that I'm not representing

Venetie tribe. I have to get permission from the tribal government in both Arctic Village and Venetie Council to do that. So pretty much what I'm going to say is for myself and to you as a Commission.

And I want to start out with a tribal government. I believe that a tribal government in this state is the answer to most of the Native problems that exist in this state, whether it's subsistence or Native criminal justice problem, or education problems.

I believe in the tribal government 100 percent. Every time the word tribal government is mentioned, it sends a shockwave across the state of Alaska among the state government and non-Native and Native profit making corporation. I believe that we have to set up a coalition across Alaska among the Native people whether they're Inupiaq Eskimos or Aleuts or Athabaskans. But a coalition that has a support system among the Native people in this state that has a committee under the Alaska Native tribal coalition with a committee that represents the heart of the Native people in this state.

I was at a subsistence meeting the other day, and to me they kept talking about rural preference and urban preference, and the urban committee kept putting a non-Native language into the resolution, and then there's a rural preference that puts Native language in the

resolution. And they keep arguing over this same issue all night long -- I mean all day long. That type of meeting is a waste of money. I mean, you know, I think we should have a powerful Native lobbyist and committee that represent Native people in the state.

I believe that each generation have their own version of how to set up their government. And, like myself, I didn't have anything to do with Alaska Native Claims

Settlement Act. I wasn't even old enough to understand that type of a Native claim agreement and settlements. And I wasn't even part of it, and I absolutely don't agree with it. I believe that that type of a settlement is set up by the state of Alaska to create animosity and division among Native people in this state.

They also set up commissions, like a tolerance commission or whatever, and these type of commissions is set up to make a distortion on Native people like myself that's making an effort to create a system that will represent Native people besides profit-making corporation. I don't think that Alaska Native corporations are strong enough to do something like that. I believe in tribal government.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Mr. Tritt, could you summarize? Could you summarize it?

MR. AARON TRITT: Okay. I also believe that the tribal
-- as far as the Native -- the percentage in the

correctional and prison system in our state is pretty high; the Native population is pretty high. And that type of problem, I believe, that we have to comply tribal and the state and federal laws together to make it work, and these are complicated processes.

I want to say that the Native people were civilized people way before Columbus set foot on this continent. We have Mayan Indians and Inca Indians, and they were civilized Indians. And, you know, these are the type of history that we have that there's a misconception in our educational system.

And the state -- when we talk about subsistence, the state spends millions -- I'll use the Venetie tribe, for instance. The Venetie tribal case that went to the Supreme Court, they spent four million dollars on a lawyer to represent them. And on our Arctic refuge campaign, they spent another four million on high-power lobbyists in Washington to lobby on behalf of state of Alaska.

These are mismanagement of public money and that should be, you know, allocating to education systems because I believe education is important. They should invest into the education of our children, and it's.....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Mr. Tritt, we're about -- time is up.

MR. AARON TRITT: I want to say that an open dialog is

an important thing for the Native people of the state, like yourself, the Commissioners. And there is no -- absolutely no open dialog. I mean every time there is a meeting we have people that's testifying -- I mean representing certain organizations that testifying.

And it's not -- the answer lies in the heart of the people in the state and they have the answer to the solution of what's going on in the state. And you need to get these people to testify on behalf of their people and, you know, they need more time to testify. And so I don't believe -- I believe it's injustice in any way you look at it. So....

MR. GARY PATTON: There comes the truth in reconciliation.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIERREZ: If you would like to leave those written notes, we will transcribe them for you.

MR. AARON TRITT: I had more things to say, and I think that these type of testimonies should go on record. So....

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: It's on record.

Everything you said is being recorded and will be typed up. But we're going to have to move on to the next one.

MR. AARON TRITT: Okay. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Thank you. Is there any questions of the panelists?

MR. DANIEL ALEX: I don't have a question, but I think I have a statement of guidelines.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay

_

MR. DANIEL ALEX: If you notice, you know, on the title of this, this is a fact finding hearing. And what we're looking for, I believe, is examples of discrimination, whether it be racial, gender, age, whatever.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. GARY PATTON: Can I feel assured that each member will get copies of our declaration of independence as well as our legal brief? I think every single panel member should have a copy of that.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: I can assure you of that.

MR. GARY PATTON: And I would like to feel some assurance that perhaps we can put together a truth in reconciliation commission here in Alaska. That's the only way we're going to get to the root of all t his.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Thank you.

Next will be Bill Kaiana, Maria D.L. Coleman, and Richard

Segura. And if you have a written statement, we'd sure

appreciate that. If you have -- but we'd like for you do

kind of an overview. And again, we're going to have three

minutes each. Did you want to start, Bill?

MR. BILL KAIANA HAGEN: I guess that will be fine.

Yeah. My name is Bill Kaiana a.k.a. William E. Hagen, and I am using myself on a personal level for what is going on in

my life in violation of my civil and constitutional rights in the United States, of which I am supposed to be a citizen.

At this time, I am being denied equal administration of justice within the state courts of Alaska. I believe I am involved in an absolute illegal court case involved in state courts, Talarios (ph) versus Bill Kaiana a.k.a. William E. Hagen.

I believe I have having my constitutional rights being denied to my person by the State of Alaska, and a collusion of conspiracy which reaches right into this room and your respected panel. I have representation in the state courts without contact or consent: It was implemented by gainsmanship and duplicity due to the fact that my life is scripted from a highly dysfunctional background, which is appallingly and sadly not all that unique within our Native community.

I am being denied my first right of domicile by the city of Haines that are condemning my cabin for destruction which is grandfathered into being left alone. I have illegal electric poles on my land by Haines Light and Power. They have no easement and have done considerable damage to the land and stream which I own, fee simple by 1910, United States patented land transfer which supercedes the 1935 Statehood Act, which acquiesces to territorial law in land

1 ownership.

I have gone to the FBI and well as the federal marshals to no avail to help me in my land rights. I, as a Native, survived a lot of obstacles in the land ownership of which I am being denied basic constitutional inalienable rights because I am an effeminate man and a Native to boot.

My background was that I was adopted into a holocaustal type upbringing, chronically child molested with no protection from the community at large. School abuse was that of constant ridicule due to my being effeminate, and I was not White, but I also was not Tlingit either. With no support, network or relatives.

Social displacement was my lot in life. I was treated worse than the town dog and not treated in human standards.

I do remember the elders for their kindness and credit my surviving due to them. It was a poor town equated as Little Appalachia by National Geographic; that's Haines.

They were jealous of my mother and her richness, and I paid the price for it. I inherited my properties from my White mother who was hated for her adopting five Native children. One governor who she had business dealings with told her the state had a plan that Natives would never become a part of the business community at large and she may as well sale her properties to him as a whole. Since then we have had land claims which uses Natives to keep Natives

down, and have by act of Congress even disenfranchised them
from ownership of their corporate lands. I am homeless. I

don't even have a home site from those corporations.

What am I getting -- what I am getting at is that I am a misnomer in the collusion of conspiracies for the disenfranchising of our people as a whole. But, nevertheless, I am a prime example of a situation which merits study for the norm of genocide here in the state of Alaska. My name, once again, is Bill Kaiana-Hagen, Post Office Box 101162, Anchorage, Alaska 99510-1162.

In addendum, I have had my so-called representation sell my land without knowledge or consent this last summer. And he was backed. And he was stopped by the people he allowed into the fraudulent litigation against me. My enemies turned into my friends.

I do wonder if this panel is here to quash or to be supportive of people for what they do have in sharing of violations of their civil rights. I agree with Mr. Tritt; we need more time. But I do appreciate the time that I've been allowed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. If you have any other materials you could provide us, we'd appreciate it. Ms. Coleman?

MS. MARIA D.L. COLEMAN: Yes. My name is Maria D.L. Coleman. I am a mother and a grandmother in Anchorage. I

know that I heard recently a whole lot of testimony on behalf of the rural Native population and was a little miffed I guess that such similar statistics are here right in Anchorage where there's a very large Native population, and I didn't see anyone speaking out for them.

I am an elected tribal council member. I am involved in our local tribal council court development. I am an elected member our ANCSA corporation.

Through all these, through my living in Anchorage and through these connections, as you might know, that our local Eklutna organization has a child advocacy center, and through all these organizations, I have a lot of contact with or sight into what happens with the children in Anchorage.

I don't have a written speech. But there's two ways of giving speeches; there's the way that you're trained in college where everything is prepared and organized, and there's a way that the elders tell us, if you say what comes to you in your heart at the time, and at this time I have to honor what they say because there's so many things that could be said. And I feel that it would be appropriate to accept that cultural part.

I have come to you with three issues that I wanted to point out; one was the absence of cultural considerations in the writing of the state laws, specifically with adoption

laws. As you know, the state law is based on European -- I think it's common law as it's called.

And as citizens of -- as Alaska Natives who are also citizens of the United States and the state of Alaska, the state codes and regulations should not reflect one culture. In its laws it should accommodate all of its cultures; specifically the adoption law. In Native culture, adoption, you maintain contact with your family because your family is not just your mother and father; it is your aunties, uncles, cousins, and many other people. And the state of Alaska laws do not allow -- when there's adoption there must be complete severing of any relationship at all with any of those things, which is devastating to the child and the community. And I believe that the state of Alaska's laws need to reflect all its cultures.

The other area of concern -- a major area of concern is in education. I know for a fact that the Anchorage statistics on Natives still consist just as high as what they've stated previously in the bush. What I have observed, my own observations; not without a degree, not a Master's Degree, not a doctorate, but you don't need to be a doctor to deliver a baby. It is the lack of accommodation to meet the needs of these students which are not necessarily meaning that they have learning disabilities.

I know there's a lot of suggestion that there's some

kind of distraction disability, and I don't know what the specific name is. My view is that if I was lost in the wilderness, I'd much rather be with the student who is aware of all of their surroundings and could hear all the noises and could feel all the changes in the air.

My witness has been that -- these students, even though labeled disabled, once in a smaller classroom with less distractions, once focused on an independent course rather than being dictated to, that not only do they move forward more successfully, they're actually excited about their learning. There's nothing lazy about them. It's just that the system doesn't provide for their style. I call it inherited traits, and I strongly believe those need to be incorporated.

Also the history. My child does not need to know how great Columbus was. My child is connected with my child's history. My child needs to know how great my grandfather was. Those are subtle messages of inferiority when you promote one culture's history over another, and that needs to be changed.

The kids are faced in Anchorage no different than probably rural Alaska; easy access to drugs and alcohol, not necessarily meaning from their families, just in all the schools, not just Mountain View or Muldoon, but all the schools. They have far more exposure to trauma and

experience of their other classmates, fightings in the schools that don't get reported and so forth.

Many issues to deal with that they are not being prepared to deal with, and I feel that because the Native population does not speak assertively necessarily or because they've been downtrodden so that they don't feel comfortable speaking that, yeah, they do get the most -- those kids get more in trouble than other kids. Those kids get put in the, what do you call it, correction facilities more than other kids. And because their culture is different.

So I hope I covered the things that I wanted to say.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. You covered a lot. Could you just pass the microphone to her?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Do you want to hand both or.....
CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: The other one.

MR. RICHARD SEGURA: I'll try to stay within my three minutes there real quick. My name is Richard Segura, S-e-g-u-r-a. I'm president and CEO of the Kenai Native Association in Kenai. And basically I'm speaking on behalf of the prisoners that are incarcerated in Alaska, and especially in Arizona.

Just a real brief history. Our corporation had tried at one point to get involved in building a private prison. You probably all heard about that down on the peninsula. And of course, it didn't work out. But one of the things

that we are concerned as a corporation and as a Native corporation; our concern when we did the research was the atrocious percentage of Alaska Native males that were incarcerated. And we wanted to do something about it as far as helping in getting cultural-based programs so that we can help our people. And not only our people, but Alaska people in general.

And it was -- the whole thing down there was really kind of a sad affair as far as politics. And when you talk about racial profiling -- and I won't name any names, but there was some sad things that went on. I was called a stinking Indian for getting involved in this project. And, you know, I'm really sad to say that there was some very well-known political people that made some derogative against the Native people. And I was really saddened to hear that.

But I just want to read a little section here from your civil rights enforcement. In Section 4, it says we provide protection to individuals based on race, sex, age, physical and mental disability, parenthood, marital status, and national origin. We can process the investigation of a case and we are mandated to be impartial. We are the civil rights police, and I'm assuming that's you guys.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: It sounds like the state
Human Rights Commission that you're reading from.

MR. RICHARD SEGURA: At any rate, what I would like to do, if you don't mind, is I have a lot of testimonies from prisoners from Arizona, and if you don't mind I would like to send each one of you a book. And unfortunately, I'll have to check with our attorneys, but I may have to cross the names out for their protection. But if you don't mind I 7 would like to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Good. You can see Mr. Tom Pilla for the address, if you don't have it yet.

MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. questions?

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Mr. Kaiana, does that lawsuit involve a Native allotment, or is that....

MR. BILL KAIANA: No. My land, as I specified in it, is a 1910 patented, fee simple. It was transferred in territorial times, pre-statehood of 1935 Statehood Act. And the Statehood Act goes ahead and reflects that it honors territorial laws. It proceeds the Statehood Act itself. Ι should not even be within the borough or taxed out of my properties, if I could get representation.

That's why I went to the FBI and the federal marshals to go ahead and implement my title to supercede that of being taxed by the Borough because the Borough has no right I don't have to answer to them. to tax me.

grandfathered in with my title. And foreclosure by them is illegal. But nobody will stand up.

I can't get one attorney in the whole state of Alaska to stand up to protect the rights that I have constitutionally with those properties. And this representation that I had went ahead and implemented himself by gainsmanship (ph). I had a conversation with him and he simply made an entry of appearance without contract. I have representation which is not my representation.

- MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Is this is an attorney.....
- 11 MR. BILL KAIANA: Yeah. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

- MR. MICHAEL WALLERI:that did this?
 - MR. BILL KAIANA: He just kicks me around like I'm less than a dog; yells and screams at me. Acts like he's my boss when it's supposed to be the other way around.
 - CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Maybe you can talk to Tom or write that up.
 - MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Yeah. If you....
- 19 MR. BILL KAIANA: I mean he's....
 - MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: If you could give the details....
- MR. BILL KAIANA:a dehumanizer. He could have gone to work for Hitler himself.
 - MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: There are some rules about use of names in your testimony. But if you could give the name to

Mr. Pilla, there are mechanisms available for that. 1 2 One last question, and that is to Mr. Segura. 3 mentioned that there were some concerns on the Kenai prison 4 issue, and that went to a vote. 5 MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Right. MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: In that election in Kenai, was 6 race an issue? 7 8 MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Are you asking me personally? 9 MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Yeah. 10 CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Well, personally and 11 publically. 12 MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Yeah. Yeah. 13 CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: I mean you 14 mentioned.... 15 MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Well, yeah. 16 CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ:that they called 17 you a stinking idiot. That's racial. MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Personally, I believe it was. 18 19 MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Was it because of bringing Native -- perceived bringing Native prisoners in..... 20 21 MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Yeah. I had a..... 22 MR. MICHAEL WALLERI:or if the Native 23 organization is involved?

MR. RICHARD SEGURA: I was in a store one time,

actually several times, but it kind of goes with the

24

25

territory and I know that, you know. But I had a guy tell me that -- you know, you can just leave the stinking Natives where they're at.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Oh, okay. That answers my question. Thank you.

MR. RICHARD SEGURA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you very much for your information. I appreciate it. The next panel will be George Kudrin, Cliff Edenshaw, Susan Wells. Please state your name and spell it for the recorder so we can have it on record.

MR. GEORGE KUDRIN: My name is George Kudrin,
K-u-d-r-i-n. I'm originally from Atka, Alaska, in the Ocean
Islands. And today I represent myself. I just want to thank
the Commission to hear what I have to say today. It's
personal testimony. And I appreciate your presence here.

Not since the early '50s and late '60s did I ever experience segregation because I'm an Alaska Native. And in light of what has happened here in Anchorage in regards to the paintball incident, I have experienced discrimination and harassment in public places here in Anchorage.

Just recently, I was assaulted in a public bathroom by a security person at a mall, for no apparent reason. And fortunately I had somebody else in the bathroom who was a commanding sergeant from a recruiting station there at the

mall. We were both there washing our hands when the security person came in, verbally assaulted me about some mess in the commode, and I couldn't understand why. So I went in there and checked it out; there was nothing. But which really angered me because I've never been assaulted this way in my life before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I took the matter direct to his supervisor after I saw him come out of the restroom. And he further -- if a human being can be desecrated in -- you know, if I may use that word; he desecrated me in front of his supervisor in saying to an effect that what mess -- what mess are we talking about? And he says to an effect something about a sperm on the floor and wall. Now, this is in front of his supervisor. never been so humiliated in my whole life in a public arena such as a mall. I've lived here in Anchorage for the past 15 Now, because of health reasons we moved here. And I years. just want to say that, you know, I have been becoming a little bit more comfortable around the general public because I feel that everybody is almost accepting everybody now. same time when I see a whole bunch of other people around the world living here in Anchorage, you know, it makes you wonder. But at the same time, it looks like we're living happy together.

But I just want the Commission to know that discrimination and harassment does thrive in Anchorage, and I

don't appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Ms. Wells?

MS. SUSAN MARS WELLS: My name is Susan Mars Wells. I'm a resident in Kenai. I'm a school teacher down there and I decided to speak before you today because you had asked for some examples of some of the treatment that our students have and also the hiring practices of our Native teachers.

In 1989, I worked in a high school, and I had a very difficult time getting my students the upper level classes, largely because they were Native. I had a school personnel tell me that they didn't think one of my students would be able to make it through geometry, even though that student had Algebra I, because of what he thought would be attendance issues. That particular student did drop out.

Another student of mine -- I was a tutor at the time, but another student of mine had gone to his language arts teacher to find out what kind of projects and papers and things that I needed to be aware of for this student, and that teacher told me that I was wasting my time working with that student because he was, and he used the name, and it's a prominent -- one of our local Native family names, and that none of them graduated, so why bother. This particular teacher actually went on to become a state representative; of course, I didn't vote for him. But that student, I was able to get him transferred over to Valdez for a couple interim years, and he

came back and broke the cycle for his family. He graduated and he's now doing something too complicated for me to explain.

The other issue is I went to work, I went back and tried to be a cycle-breaker too, and I got an Elementary Ed degree. And when I was working on that program, I went outside to Oregon to get my degree because I knew I wouldn't be hired in the district if I had a local degree. And when I came back, I was hired as a tutor for the Indian Ed program, and I'm very proud to be the Indian Ed lady again, or was. But I was a certified teacher in a classified position. And it wasn't until I told my building supervisor that I would not come back as a classified personnel because I financially couldn't handle it that I got hired half-time, certified half-time, classified, and then eventually earned the right to teach Math and Science in sixth grade.

A couple of years ago, we had an opportunity to hire a position which would be my partner, my classroom teaching partner, because we had a team. Several people applied, and one was a Native, a fellow Aleut, that worked in our building running the Title 1 program. Phenomenal lady, highly qualified, energetic, proud of who she was and is.

And I was on the interview team. This was for my partner. My request was to hire this woman. Our building knew her. She knew the kids that were coming up through the

system because she had worked in the elementary feeder school.

And she was not hired; unfortunately for the school district,
we lost her to Head Start. Head Start hired her and she has
built up a phenomenal program which is actually going to
really help the students by the time they get up to me. But
it was very disconcerting, very painful for me that my opinion
didn't matter.

And what we have asked through the Title 9 program was that we hire Native teachers because our Native students need role models. And they also need individual people to look at them as human beings worthy of an education, worthy of being acknowledged for who they are and where they came from in honoring their Nativeness and being proud.

This state, the first people are Natives, and I think our state school systems don't always honor that, and it's unfortunate. We also have some other teachers in our school system right now that are Native that are in tutorial positions.

CHAIRPERSON GUTIERREZ: Could you summarize, please?

MS. SUSAN MARS WELLS: Yes. The Title 9 program last

summer -- or last year, did write a resolution for our school

district requesting Native hire because we have less than one

percent Native teachers and more than I think it's 11 percent

Native students. It was past onto the school board and that

is where it is today. And I appreciate your time.

I would like to add to Mr. Segura's testimony, if I could, briefly. I was involved in that campaign, and our vice-president, who was the forefront in the media, had telephone calls on her home recorder calling her all sorts of foul names because she was Native and because this was a Native issue, a Native project that was brought forth to be built on Native land.

And on our local sound-off there were many comments that were anti-Native and very prejudice, and it was, we don't need to build a prison for you drunken Natives; let's send you all outside.

So thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

MR. CLIFFORD EDENSHAW: Thank you. My name is Clifford Aaron Edenshaw, and I am a full-time employee currently with US Fish and Wildlife Service under the Department of Interior. I am a 43 year old Tlingit Haida man, full blooded. I've lived in this state all of my life. February 2002, I will have served 15 years with the federal government.

Currently, I'm in the mist of a second EEO complaint against the agency I work for, which is U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Offices of Subsidence Management. I serve as a regional coordinator. I'm currently doing the third region here in the state. We've divided the state up into 10 regions and I currently do the Bristol Bay region.

I guess maybe some questions I wanted to ask the Commission first is, with the recommendations and the input that you receive from the public, you know, how is this going to be incorporated? Because I want to know when I leave here the public testimony that I have provided to the Commission, some action. Because the agency I work for, I've been lied to by the people that work there. The only way that I've been able to curtail retaliation from the agency is by sending letters to the delegation, to Senator Murkowski, Stevens, Young, Alaska Federation of Natives, AITC, which is the Alaska Inter-Tribal Council.

And once the agency -- here the regional office saw that I wasn't going to sit there and take their crap, they stopped harassing me, just because I filed an EEO complaint. And the burden of this complaint is for a promotion.

I do my job well, and I think the biggest complaint I have through this whole process that I've learned is I'm very fortunate, my sister lives here and works with the forest service herself and has served 20 something years in the office of personnel, so she's always been able to provide me with the information I need in regards to the EEO process. And ultimately the burden on proof for when I filed my complaint against the agency is that I was always having to provide documentation irregardless of what these people said and did to me in terms that the -- I was suspended illegally

for a week.

I went ahead and provided ample documentation to the regional office and to Washington, DC, asking them to cite regulations, you know, that my immediate boss did not have the authority to suspend me. Now, my interpretation of the regulations were that the personnel officer in our regional office is the only person who had that authority to do so.

But the main crux of my complaint was that there were other individuals in this office who were promoted ahead of me, and I've been working there going on six years -- well, to this date, I've worked for the agency for almost six years, and this is the third federal agency I've for. I've worked with the Forest service, and I worked with the Bureau of Indian Affairs under the Department of Interior, and well as this agency.

I think in closing, October 25th, I believe it was, or tomorrow, will have been 180 days since I submitted my complaint. Now, prior to this I faxed the complaint to the Seattle office, the regional office with the Human Rights Commission requesting a hearing. Of course, they rejected that because it hadn't been 180 days. I faxed my complaint to Washington to the Department of Interior to those people who will handle my complaint, and inside a letter they said, well, we received your complaint March 17th, which is a lie.

You know, I just don't see how these people can continue

lying to me when I had copies of the fax and the transmission report saying that they would accept the fax as well as a hard copy which I Federal Expressed, and these individuals in the office in DC said we didn't receive it. Well, I think that's a lie. What else is it?

б

You know, I've heard some of the other testimony from the individuals at the previous meetings and I grew up in Sitka and was exposed to physical -- you know, with other non-Natives growing up there. And when I moved here to Anchorage -- you know, I think it's safe to say that after I left Sitka when I was 18 and joined the military, that I didn't receive or I was exposed to a lot of -- I don't want to say racism; I tend to believe it's anger, you know, toward other people. But, you know, when I came to this agency, things just have not gone right for me and I think I'm very good employee.

I attended college at University of Washington where I received my education in forestry, and I would just like, you know, some kind of action to be taken. I plan on submitting a docket that I've compiled over the last nine months since February 20 -- I believe it was February 23rd of this year when I submitted my fax transmission to Washington, DC, for action as well as a hard copy that I had expressed mailed to DC as well. And that's all I've been exposed to since I did this, are lies, retaliation, and it just never stops.

But, you know, the only way I could have this stuff; you

know, the retaliation of these actions stopped was when I started sending letters to the delegation and Stevens,

Murkowski, and Young, and the rest of them. I imagine at the time, Jamie -- I forgot Jamie's last name. At that time she was heading up interior. I'm certain that these people in DC got tired of hearing the delegation calling up their office because of the retaliation and the actions that were taken against me.

And in closing, I just want to thank the Commission for providing me an opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thanks. Are there any questions? Do you have a question?

COMMISSIONER YVONNE LEE: Yes, I do. Have you submitted any complaint to the Washington office or the regional office of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission?

MR. CLIFFORD EDENSHAW: Yes. I've spoken with -- I believe his name is Randy Crawford in the Seattle office, and the only advice he gave to me was that at the end of 180 days if the agency hadn't done their investigation and provided a final report to me, would I then -- could submit a request for a hearing.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Dan?

MR. DANIEL ALEX: I got two questions; one for George. Could you identify the shopping mall?

MR. GEORGE KUDRIN: Yes.

MR. DANIEL ALEX: Which one?

2

MR. GEORGE KUDRIN: This happened at the Northway Mall.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DANIEL ALEX: Okay. I can get a request for the other panel if -- you know, you provided interesting detail. Could you provide us written detail?

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: And you're going to provide us with some materials?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, I will.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: I have two questions; Mr. Edenshaw, do you think -- we heard from the head of the EEOC interestingly enough last time we were here. And she indicated that one of the problems they have is that they don't have an office here in Alaska. Do you think that if they had an office here in Alaska that it would have -- that the response to your complaint would have been more timely?

MR. CLIFFORD EDENSHAW: Yes, I believe so. And I believe that -- I think the ultimate goal would be that the Department of Interior or specifically that agency shouldn't handle an EEO complaint. And if they had an EEOC office here, they could handle that independently because the agency has been accused of an EEO complaint, and they haven't handled it in the best possible way I can see because they've been accused of something and they've just drug their feet and they haven't done their job. So, yes, I agree. If there was an office

here in Anchorage, I believe my complaint would be handled better.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: I wouldn't know if is (ph).
CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Ironic that you're working in the one area of the highest -- that's been noted in all the testimony as being the issue of highest tension on racial issues. One other question that has to do with Ms. Wells. Are there other teachers in the Kenai -- or are there other instructors in the Kenai system that are certified or eligible to be certified teachers that are in -- I always get this wrong, the classified system or the certified system? In other words, it's a classified system?

MS. SUSAN MARS WELLS: I know of one Native woman that is certified that is a tutor for the two high schools in the Soldotna area.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Okay. Are there non-Native instructors in that situation?

MS. SUSAN MARS WELLS: I'm not positive.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Okay. Thank you. Thank you all. We appreciate your time. I'd like to call up Johanna Austin and J.B. Mallott. Ms. Austin, if you would pull that microphone towards you.

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: And speak into that one.

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: My name is Johanna Austin. I'm from Southeast Alaska, from (indiscernible). I'm a full-blooded Tlingit. I'm addressing Native children that are removed from, you know, their homes, that are taken by DFYS.

That's where Tlingit and Haida should -- Inter-Tribal should strongly look into these Native children who get removed from their parents. Not just file them away in their file cabinets. That gives us the state more power to keep our children. We cannot understand why they are where they are. They are caught in limbo between Natives who they are, and White, where they are now.

DFYS takes Native children from their parents and places some of them in White foster homes if they cannot find family members to care for them. Most parents give up and fall deeper into alcohol and drugs and get lost in that part because they have no education or defense to fight back.

And as Tlingit and Haida, not being just paid to be, you know, where they are in office, should strongly fight and look into these children that are lost. They are our future generation. We should strongly represent them.

I think that it should be deeply looked into because these children are our future. That's all I've got to say.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Mr. Mallott?
Could you pull the microphone to you?

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: Yeah. My name is J.B. Mallott, better

known as Brown Bear. I'm a Tlingit from Southeast Yakutat.

I'm Shunter Haaidi (ph) Thunderbird, Eagle Clan. I've heard a lot of testimony here today with regard to the Department of Corrections and inmate populations.

This is what I choose to speak about. My experience is as a participant/observer for more than 10 years, meaning that I've been a guest of the Department of Corrections for quite a while. So that is the only proof that I have that what I'm about to say is truth and nothing but the truth, so help me whoever.

I speak for Native Spiritual Culture Councils,
Incorporated, organized under state law, federally exempt,
non-profit. Our mission is to assist in the re-recognition of
the spirituality of Native cultures. And this is what I have
dealt with for a great deal of time, perhaps 25 years,
organizing and reorganizing Native inmate councils groups.

My many names that I've tried to insert the word spirituality in every place I've been, which is quite extensive, maybe 15 different places.

I heard somebody mention McCleary versus State and the DOC. The only -- there's about this long of a line in that suit with regards to religion. Traditional Native people do not have a religion. Traditional, that's the key word. We have spirituality, a deep and abiding respect; love, care, concern, and respect for all living things of the creator's

universe and the mother earth. We are not allowed the -- the gist of this is we are not allowed to use that word, spirituality, within the DOC. If we do, we are promptly shut up; don't use that word.

The only way we can practice and express the spirituality of our Native culture is vis-a-vis the first amendment right to freedom of religion is to call it culture. Well, everybody in the room has a culture, one kind or another. Whether there's a spirituality to all of these cultures or not, I don't know. But this is the gist of what I'm trying to get across.

I've been in places where -- well, like one instance I remember I wanted to do a sweatlodge ceremony and a pipe ceremony at Kenai Prison on spring equinox. And I was just told bluntly that there's no such celebration. Yet in the next day's newspaper there was great big articles about celebrations all over the state in honor of equinox. Well, Native people live by the stars, the sun, and the moon. And these dates are very important to us and we celebrate them in our own ways.

So there is so much that I want to say, but I think I'm going to have to rewrite the testimony that I gave over at the Sheraton Hotel a month or so ago because I just wish-washed over it kind of in general and didn't really make any specifics.

However, I think one thing that is very important, and I can prove it, I can provide the documents; I took the state to court in 1985, and it went all the way to Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. And the state for five years kept their frivolous rebuttals to my claims until that five year span was up because they accused me of -- I could not represent Native people in the prisons because I'm not in prison anymore. All right? But I'm still under the thumb of the Department of Corrections because I'm on parole. The Ninth Circuit agreed. And, in the end, I just didn't know how to proceed any further with this lawsuit as an individual representing the NSCC's, Incorporated.

And I can -- it will take a while, but my registered agent, an attorney here in town, has a copy of this lawsuit. And this would provide all the specifics that you ask about. Even though it's so long ago, 1985, all right, I have been in and out since violations of parole. So finally I said the heck with that. Just give me -- do all my time, so I -- much more time inside recently so that I know that all the things in that lawsuit still apply. They went so far as to -- the guy that handled the state's side of my complaints, is an attorney, of course. At the time he was assistant attorney general.

And as a result of the lawsuit that I filed, they made him commissioner of corrections so that he could directly

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supervise what I was trying to accomplish in the prison Now, I call this racism. I call it discrimination with no reservations whatsoever.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: You may want to provide us that in that brief, if you could.

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: It's pretty fat, but it's all there and it still applies. And the paint ball thing. following week, a friend of mine, Simon, who is in a motorized wheelchair, his legs are just withered away. A bunch of kids come out of the Fifth Avenue Mall and shoved his wheelchair over in the muck, in the gutter, and all Simon could do was lay and holler for help, and people are just walking by, ha, ha, poor drunk injun', you know, and run his chair off the gutter until finally someone from across the street, a non-Native gentleman at that, and helped him get up and motor away.

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: You're going to have to summarize, Mr. Mallott.

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: Yes. Okay. I think that one of the most important things that we have tried to accomplish as Native people within the prison system is to continually offer opportunities to hold culturally relevant workshops. set the date -- and the time and the date, and nobody shows up; that you don't want to do it.

So in closing, that's about all I have to offer. But I

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Accurate Transcript Reporting PO Box 222135, Anchorage, Alaska 99522 (907) 245-4467 atr@gci.net

lot of complaints about the idea of the children being taken

Okay. We get -- or I get anyway a

MS. ROSALEE WALKER:

from the parents and so forth. I don't know whether you're aware of it or not, but the law requires that DFYS work with the family or the parents prior to removing the child from the home permanently. They may have to remove them temporarily for safety or something like that, but they come back and they're supposed to work with that parent or that family to try to get them back on track, say, if they have an alcohol problem, they put them through a program. So are you aware of this or.....

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: Yes, I am.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER:or do you know of any of these programs that....

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: Yes, I am. I've known a lot of my people lost a lot of friends through that. They don't even want to accomplish it because there's so much hurt in them, you know. They don't feel like they're going to be able to get their children back. And they don't have the strength enough to allow stuff -- throughout a year -- sometimes required a year for treatment because of, you know, the alcohol and drug abuse they've been going through throughout the years.

And also because of couples that cannot come back together, and that are still, you know, trying to straighten out their lives, their past history is against them, even if they're trying to straighten their lives out, and still have

And....

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: Well, what would you recommend that becomes of the child during the time these parents, these adults are going through these rehabilitation or whatever they're going through or not going through? What do you recommend should happen to the child?

making them require to go through all of that.

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: I've lived in -- Natives, as Tlingit and Haidas, you know, organizations, not just have, you know a badge with them, being behind the desk and just be able to investigate, not just put them in files.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: But are you saying you want the organizations to.....

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: This is required to get ahold of tribal -- Inter-Tribal because they have to by law. And just because the parent don't come in between because, like I said, they get lost in their pride or don't have the strength enough to, you know, fight, and not educated enough or not strong enough, and because like I said their past history or the criminal history or whatever because of alcohol or drug abuse.

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: I was just trying to understand for your recommendation. Are you saying maybe Tlingit and Haida should take the child or work with the family, or what?

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: They need to strongly, you know, look into that because that's our future generation. You

know, they're caught in limbo because of White and, you know,
the Native people who they are, where they come from. They're
the ones who are lost. The parents, most of them end up.....

MS. ROSALEE WALKER: I wasn't sure I understood you because -- I'm going to end up -- I wasn't sure I understood you because there is the Indian Welfare Law and then there's some things that go along with it that Health and Social Services is supposed to abide by. But then there's some other responsibilities that the parent is supposed to carry through in this deal too. And I was just trying to -- you know, figure out what your recommendation was.

MS. JOHANNA AUSTIN: Well, just like what the state does; you know, they go into the homes and remove the children. I think that the Native people also need to investigate also, strongly go against that, and you know, investigate and check into where the children are placed. Otherwise, you guys are just giving them the power to -- and you know, like I said, you're putting them in filing them, and the parents are giving up.

I mean all there is, is nothing but file cabinets I've seen in Juneau, you know, and D&H, you know, past experience. I have not yet seen anything done I mean as far as the power that our people say we have. The state has more power over us as far as the children go, and you guys say our children are the next generation, and these children are the next

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

22

23

24

25

But, yes, I'm not sure I answered that question properly. MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: But, yeah, it answered from No.

sure whether they have sweatlodge or not, but it's too hot

down there, you don't need one, I quess.

the other question we had, on the spirit days that you're talking about,....

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: Uh-huh.

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT:you know, spiritual thing.
But what I was saying is that if a prisoner in Arizona has
committed a crime or was convicted of a crime against a Native
person, that in some Native organizations, they feel that they
don't need to come back to the state in local prisons or state
prisons within the state of Alaska.

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: Well, that's true; Native people are being ignored by organizations out here that could be of great value and assistance. I think also that the parole and probation guidelines call for all those released from prison must be released in Anchorage or Fairbanks or in a metropolitan area where there are parole officers and so on.

So these people that you're referring to aren't even allowed to go back to the village for that one same reason alone. Whether or not they would be accused or further castigated for their offense, whatever it may be, I know for sure that the main and most important offense is various forms of assault. And, truthfully, this is a part of Native culture. Remember how we used to come in from school with a black eye, and dad would say, did you win?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No.

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: Well, you get back out and don't you

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come till you win. Well, that's the way it is in the village. I mean we're taught to be strong and courageous so that we'll be better able to live a subsidence way of life and be able to care for ourselves and others that are with us. That's a way of life. So....

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Well, I guess if I could have one follow-up. I'm not so sure I got the answer to Thelma's question.

MS. THELMA BUCHHOLDT: Uh-huh.

MR. MICHAEL WALLERI: Do you agree with that policy? other words, do you agree with the idea that it makes it -- as to whether or not prisoners should come back from Arizona, do you think it makes a difference whether or not they committed a crime against a Native or a non-Native?

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: I'm not sure that I know enough about that particular subject. I know that I myself have faced some vast criticism. My character is crucified. I no longer have any credibility in the Native community.

I was convicted of a statutory rape in 1976, and that has followed me all these years. I cannot work for Native organizations. You're going to have a lot of politics involved in this as well. There's just a lot of things that I am completely out of the loop.

Well, the same thing applies to the question you asked;

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: Thank you all. We sure appreciate your time.

MR. J.B. MALLOTT: No, thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

CHAIRPERSON GILBERT GUTIERREZ: And I want to close the session at this time.

This concludes the latest session on these topics by the Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. We thank the participants for their candor and comments. We await the transcript of these proceedings.

Please remember that the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of 30 days following our conclusion today. The Advisory Committee will evaluate the material it has collected and determine if additional such open meetings will be necessary. We stand adjourned. Thank you.

(907) 245-4467 atr@gci.net

(Hearing adjourned)

(Off record 6:15 p.m.)

.

22 END OF PROCEEDINGS

23 |

24

Accurate Transcript Reporting
PO Box 222135, Anchorage, Alaska 99522

CERTIFICATE

2	

3

STATE OF ALASKA

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA))ss.

I, Shirley Cohen, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska, and Reporter for Accurate Transcript Reporting, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing pages 01 to 190 are a true, accurate, and complete transcript of proceedings in the two day session of the Alaska Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, held on October 25, 2001, recorded and transcribed by me from a copy of the electronic sound recording to the best of my knowledge and ability.

THAT I am not a relative, employee or attorney of any of the parties, nor am I financially interested in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 5th day of November, 2001.



Notary Public in & for Alaska My Commission expires:02/14/03