UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + + + +

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

COMMISSION MEETING

LIBRARY
LE COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Friday, April 16, 1999

+ + + + +

The meeting was held in the Congressional Room, Holiday Inn on the Hill, 451 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., at 8:30 a.m., Mary Frances

Berry, Chairperson, presiding.

PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, Chairperson

CRUZ REYNOSO, Vice Chairperson

CARL A. ANDERSON, Commissioner (via

telephone)

YVONNE Y. LEE, Commissioner

RUBY G. MOY, Staff Director

ار المعنز

STAFF PRESENT:

KIMBERLY ALTON

DAVID ARONSON

SICILIA CHINN, Parliamentarian

KI-TAEK CHUN

PAMELA A. DUNSTON

BETTY EDMISTON

FREDERICK D. ISLER

TRICIA JEFFERSON

EDWARD HAILES, JR.

CAROL-LEE HURLEY

LISA KELLY

JOSEPH MANALILI

DEBORAH REID

PETER REILLY

JESSICA ROFF

MARCIA TYLER

JEANENE WAITE

AUDREY WRIGHT

CHRISTOPHER YIANILOS

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

CHARLOTTE PONTICELLI

KRISHNA TOOLSIE

EFFIE TURNBULL

MICHELLE YOSHIDA

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

<u>P</u>	<u>AGE</u>
Approval of Agenda	. 5
Approval of 3/5/99 Meeting Minutes	. 5
Announcements	. 5
Staff Director's Report	. 8
Discussion of State Advisory Committee Reports	24

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(8:48 a.m.)
3	Approval of Agenda
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The first item is
5	approval of the agenda.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.
7	COMMISSIONER LEE: Second.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor, please
9	indicate by saying aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	Approval of March 5, 1999 Meeting Minutes
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Approval of the
13	minutes of the March 5th meeting, could I get a
14	motion?
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.
16	COMMISSIONER LEE: Second.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any discussion or
18	changes?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor indicate
21	by say aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So ordered.

Announcements

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We have announcements next, and somewhere there are announcements.

The first announcement I want to make is that we have a new Commissioner appointed by Senator Tom Daschle yesterday, whose name is Ms. Elsie Meeks, M-e-e-k-s, who is the first appointee we can remember -- I don't remember anybody from South Dakota before. an American Indian from the Ogala-Sioux-She is She has been head of the Lakota Fund, is on Lakota. the board of that fund, but primarily her family are ranchers in South Dakota and business people, and she was very instrumental in getting the empowerment zone, one of them, put into place out there in Indian Country and is very much involved in that, and she's interested in issues of economic much very development.

She also is the co-owner of a store in the town near there, and so she is a person who very much -- her emphasis is on economic development, and so we think that she will be at the next meeting, and we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

look forward to having her join us.

The other announcement is that the memorial service that had been scheduled for A. Leon Higginbottom, Jr., has been postponed. They have decided to hold a service during the Congressional Black Caucus weekend in September. That's why you haven't heard anything else about that.

The other is that the consultation that we are conducting on African American men is being videotaped and will be broadcasted on our Web site.

We have also had some press coverage of this event. A number of members of the press were here yesterday, and we assume that there will be more or have heard that there will be more today.

The New York hearing team for the police hearing in New York, Eddie Hailes is handling that and Eric Brown will be the team leader for the hearing, and we can talk more about it on the Staff Director's report if anyone wants to.

The Staff Director has sent out a notice to the employees that they don't have to come to work on April 23rd because there is the NATO 50th

1	anniversary celebration, and OPM has said that if
2	people want to take off, they can have a day of
3	administrative leave since they are in that area, and
4	there is some security concern, I guess, and some
5	concern about congestion.
6	There may be some people in the office
7	that day, but they are not required to be there on
8	that day and can take administrative leave to do so.
9	Okay. I think I announced everything that
10	was supposed to be announced.
11	Oh, the L.A. press conference, a reminder.
12	It will be on May 7th at ten o'clock in the morning
13	in Los Angeles. So we have to make sure that Phil
14	Montez is informed and can prepare for that, to
15	release that report.
16	Okay. Anybody else have any
17	announcements?
18	(No response.)
19	Staff Director's Report
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Staff Director's
21	Report.
22	Under the Staff Director's report, we were

1	given last night at least I was given last night,
2	so I assume you were given last night a memo
3	concerning the New York Hearing. I have had several
4	memos from Commissioner Anderson, and others have
5	suggested various people they thought should be
6	witnesses in the New York hearing.
7	The staff came up with a memo which I hope
8	you've seen. Did you at least get it, Carl? Carl?
9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, I did, Mary.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You at least got it?
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So you got it
14	about the same time the rest of us got it.
15	It was a near thing, but the idea in that
16	memo is to have the hearing be one day, have all of
17	the officials, then to have community groups, I guess,
18	and organizations and maybe some local political
19	people in a limited way; to start early in the
20	morning, and to have it someplace where we can go on
21	into the night so that there would be a public forum

to go on for however long people want to have the

public forum.

There was some other hearing we had. I've forgotten what it was about, where the public forum went on forever and ever and ever. You may recall that, Carl. I don't know what it was about. It was when Art Fletcher was the chair.

But we think that there will still be a lot of interest in this subject unless they solve the problem by May 26th once and for all.

And the other idea that's in there is that the people that we've all suggested to come as experts, that instead of having them come as experts to testify because there's no time in a one-day hearing, is to have them read the transcripts of the hearing and be consultants for a consultation and to do presentations for us much like we've had here of maybe not two days. It depends on, you know, how many we have, and then to use that as part of what we do with the report as we go along.

So I think that's the key part that we may want to have some discussion of. I think the rest of it we pretty much assumed that this was how it would

go.

Does anyone have any comments or any discussion about that aspect of it?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madame Chair, I just want to say that in light of the shortness of time, probably the idea of having the experts do a consultation sounds to me like a good way of getting all the information that we need and yet being able to contain that hearing to one day.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Carl, do you have any feelings about that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Well, yes, I do.

Let me say first I'm picking up a lot of background noise. So it's getting hard for me to hear what's being said. I can hear you, Mary, pretty well, but the other Commissioners poorly.

As I understand the discussion, reviewing the memorandum, that looks like a good approach to me.

The danger, of course, is to run too specifically into a particular investigation that's going on, getting into the merits of those investigations. So we need to be careful there.

The question I have is from the list of potential witnesses that I submitted, and I'll give you an example. If this is something that you want to postpone the discussion until later in the meeting, just let me know. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, go ahead. do it now.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. For example, I had recommended someone from 100 Blacks in Law Enforcement, which is a New York Police Department would those people subset, I quess. Now, considered, would that organization be considered an expert and deferred to the briefing, or is that somebody who ought to be speaking to us in New York?

The same thing about a representative from the VERA Institute for Justice, which of course has produced the report on the NYPD. Are they an expert or would they be somebody who would come under the community or investigation panel for New York?

That would be one question I had. it's pretty clear, for example, that the Patrolmen's Benevolent Association may be in the hearing, as far

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

as I could tell, but some of these other groups, the 1 2 Mayor's Task Force on Police-Community Relations, it would seem to me those kinds of people ought to be in 3 4 our hearing and not later on in our briefing. 5 of the professors Whereas of some criminology that I've recommended, they might very 6 7 well be postponed until the briefing. Do you have a 8 comment on that? 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, my own view, and 10 I'll see what others think, is that I would have 11 sorted it out that the 100 what ___ was the 12 organization? -- 100 Black Policemen or whatever you 13 said, that that's an organization that would be 14 considered as an organization among those that might 15 testify at the hearing. 16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That they wouldn't be 18 like the consultants and experts. 19 That the VERA Institute is 20 ambiguous because, onthe one hand, they're 21 research, you know, institute, and so I'd have to 22 puzzle over that one, but the task force I would think

would be in the hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I would sort of sort it out like who are the kinds of folks who we might invite to do a consultation paper and to come as an expert or to just come and brief us, you know, as an expert, as opposed to somebody like the guy, Wolcott I think his name is, at the Urban League that you recommended, and others did, too? Is that his name?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That he's not expert in the sense of those professors, you know, I mean the Urban League is. That's an organization. So that people like that or like some organizations that have been very much involved in this would be at So, I mean, I guess I the hearing I would think. would sort of -- VERA Institute I'd have to puzzle My viscera tells me that maybe over a little bit. they are a research organization, and maybe even though they've done a report, maybe they ought to be consultation part opposed to these in the as organizations and community leaders and police subsets that ought to be in the hearing.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madame Chair.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: One of the
4	reasons why I indicate
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can you hear him,
6	Carl?
7	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. This is
9	Cruz.
10	One of the reasons that I indicated I
11	thought that was a good way to proceed was that I had
12	seen all the organizations and people who logically
13	should testify, and it seemed to me rather impossible
14	to do it in one day. So I thought this was a good way
15	of hearing from the various folk that we ought to hear
16	from, and I assume that some of the people could fall
17	either into logically testifying before us at the
18	hearing or at the consultation, and I guess staff will
19	consider that in terms of the time that we have for
20	the hearing.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you have any
22	reactions to that? Is that fine? Is that pretty

1	clear guidance, you think, for the staff or should we
2	say more about this point, Carl? Carl, Mr. Anderson,
3	Commissioner Anderson?
4	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I think that
5	that's pretty clear guidance as far as I can tell.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I mean, I think I
8	understand where we're moving.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, all right. And
10	then if anyone has other names or so, and we will, of
11	course, get a list, as soon as the staff has a chance
12	to put things together, but I think this memo does
13	give us a sort of look at what's going on and makes
14	clear that they will try to steer away from, and we
15	should steer away; we must steer away from getting
16	involved with those folks who do investigations.
17	Does anybody have anything else under the
18	Staff Director's report about something that's ongoing
19	or whatever?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The only other items
22	that we have on the agenda are action items, and it

1	does not appear to me that we have a quorum because,
2	unless I can't count, we're supposed to have five
3	people. Is that right, Sicilia? I mean, and I don't
4	see or hear five people. I guess I could pretend like
5	maybe Russell is on the phone and he's lost or
6	something, but it does seem to me that there are only
7	four of us here.
8	Do we announce we don't have a quorum or
9	does someone call for a quorum or do we proceed or
10	what do we do, Parliamentarian?
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madame Chair, I
12	guess I have a question. I assume we have a General
13	Counsel's opinion saying that we need five
14	Commissioners. Does the statute say we need a quorum
15	of the total number of seats rather than the total
16	number of incumbents?
17	If it does say that, it's a rather odd
18	law, I must say, but maybe it does say that.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I turn my attention to
20	our Parliamentarian and counsel, Sicilia Chinn. You
21	are recognized to give us wisdom on this subject.

MS. CHINN: Thank you, Madame Chair.

1	Our statute does say that we need a quorum
2	of five.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: What says that?
4	MS. CHINN: Our regulations actually.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Our
6	regulations. Ah, so we need to change the
7	regulations. It doesn't so state in the statute. Is
8	there a provision for waiving the regulations? That's
9	something we set. The legislature didn't say it.
10	It's just that's a very odd regulation, I
11	must say. I've never heard of that regulation in any
12	other organization or governmental institution with
13	which I've been associated.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And Ms. Chinn, of
15	course, is not responsible for the regulations.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is it possible for us,
18	as the Vice Chair said, to waive, ourselves to waive
19	that part of the regulation should we desire or not?
20	I don't know.
21	MS. CHINN: There's no provisions in the

22

regulations to waive that.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 1 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madame Chair, 2 well, maybe it's improper, but I'd like to make a 3 motion that we receive a report from the staff on how 4 5 that regulation should be changed, what the procedure we should go through to change that regulation. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that we would have a majority of the Commissioners that are seated? 8 9 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: That would be 10 my hope, but I don't want to tell the staff what the 11 memo should have. I just want to know how to go about 12 changing the regulation so we can consider that at the 13 next meeting. 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Yes, 15 Commissioner Anderson. 16 And it also, if I may, just 30 seconds, it also is interesting to analyze that alongside another 17 18 provision, which says that something about the work of the Commission shall not be impeded in any way. 19 20 forgotten what it is, and delayed by such matters as 21 appointments and the like.

It's hard to not delay it if you don't

22

٠, ٣

have a majority.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madame Chair,
I'm not sure that regulation comports with the
statute. I'm not sure that it's an illegal
regulation, frankly, but
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me yes, yes,
Vice Chair. I'll get back to you in just a second. I
had recognized Commissioner Anderson, and I'll turn to
you again.
VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Anderson.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Thank you.
I've only been on the Commission since
1990, but I think this is the first time I recall us
not having a quorum for a Commission meeting. I know
earlier than 1990 sometimes there were quorum
difficulties in the middle of a meeting when
Commissioners would leave, but this is the first I'm
aware of it.
I have no problem with looking at what we
want to consider to be a quorum, but we just did pass

these regulations, and I guess this got by us, this

1	issue.
2	If I could ask Cruz, what do you have in
3	mind as a quorum if we were to change it?
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes, thank you.
5	No, I assume that it's simply a majority
6	of those who are seated and are incumbent in that
7	organization. So right now we have five members,
8	three would be quorum. When we have six members,
9	which we shortly will, then four would be a quorum, it
10	seems to me. If we have seven, then a majority,
11	whatever the majority is.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Sicilia, Ms. Chinn?
13	MS. CHINN: I just spoke with the Deputy
14	General Counsel since I did not bring the statute with
15	me, and apparently the quorum of five is in our
16	statute so that the statute would have to be changed.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So it is in the
18	statute?
19	MS. CHINN: Correct.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, okay.
21	Well, then if it's in the statute, we can't do
22	anything about it, but if it's a regulation we could.

I think we should CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 1 There's no sense in us sitting here check this. 2 puzzling over it when we don't have it with us. 3 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: 4 But I think what we CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 5 should do is be given the section of the statute, the 6 section of the reg., not for a whole lot of work, and 7 just -- we already know how to change a reg. 8 9 to rewrite and then you --VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah. 10 Well, we just did one. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 11 You send it -- we approve it, and it goes off 12 someplace where it stays in the closet for months, and 13 then they send it somewhere. 14 But could you just highlight for 15 16 although we could read it ourselves, the part of the 17 statute, the part of the reg. that applies, as well as that portion that I'm stumbling over about the work of 18 the Commission not being impeded, blah, blah, blah, 19 20 and how that relates to it. Because it may very well be that our

legislators might be willing to change it if that's

21

1	what the problem is. I remember saying something like
2	a majority of the Commission, and so the question is
3	do you mean by "Commission" the full body or do you
4	mean by "Commission" whoever happens to be seated at
5	that time, and it may be a question of interpretation.
6	So with that, let's just leave it, but for
7	now the ruling of the Parliamentarian, the advice of
8	the Parliamentarian, which I accept, is that we don't
9	have a quorum.
10	I wonder. What I wanted to ask her is if
11	I had not said we did not have a quorum, could we have
12	continued to proceed unless someone made a quorum
13	call?
14	MS. CHINN: Basically all of the actions
15	would have been invalid.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Invalid? Okay.
17	MS. CHINN: Unless it was later ratified
18	by a meeting in which there was a quorum.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Unless it was later
20	ratified at a meeting in which there was a quorum.
21	So we can do either. We can either not
22	act on these items or we can act on them and then

1	ratify them again when Ms. Meeks is here next month or
2	when Commissioner Redenbaugh next month, one or the
3	other, or we can discuss them if we want to, too, and
4	not do anything; is that right?
5	MS. CHINN: You could, although usually
6	actions taken in the absence of a quorum are usually
7	generally pressing emergency actions that can expect
8	to be later ratified.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Can we discuss
10	these if we want to?
11	MS. CHINN: I don't think there's anything
12	prohibiting a discussion. Most meetings are generally
13	not held in the absence of a quorum.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, all right. So I
15	would think that what we would do, especially since
16	it's time to start the is it time to start or am I
17	looking at the wrong calendar again? Is it time to
18	start or not? What time are we supposed to? We have
19	until 9:15.
20	Would we like to discuss these so that we
21	don't or at least say whether we need to discuss them
22	so that we can save time for next time when we are on

these matters?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Discussion of State Advisory Committee Reports

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: On the State Advisory reports, is there any discussion Committee members need to have about these two, the one from facing the blind and visually issues on from Illinois police impaired and the one community protection of the African American Chicago?

Are there any major issues around these two reports that need discussion, or are we generally in agreement that the SAC has done a good job and has recommended them to us, and we're likely to go ahead and just approve them?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madame chair.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: They look fine to me, though I must say we're going to have a new Commissioner presumably. Particularly in her first meeting we ought to open it up for discussion at that time again.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right.

Well, then there's no need for us to pursue this.

Are there any other matters that

Commissioners would like to discuss? Yes.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Madame Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee.

COMMISSIONER LEE: I just wanted to bring to the Commission's attention on an ongoing case that may come up to the Commission at a later time. It involves a Chinese American scientist who was fired at the Los Alamos Lab in New Mexico.

After this case was reported in the media, my office has received numerous concerned calls from the Asian American community asking whether the Commission should or could monitor the investigation.

Because of the way this incident is being reported as an alleged Chinese spy case, the Asian American community felt that, again, the community is being viewed as the foreigner, trying to take away what America has to offer, and this goes back to the hearing that we held in 1996 in response to the community's request for a hearing on the campaign finance controversy.

At this time, the community is not asking the Commission to conduct any formal hearings or whatever, but as an investigative body, they had expressed to my office that they would want the Commission to monitor the ongoing investigation to make sure that the civil rights of the individuals involved and also the Asian American community would be monitored by this Commission.

So I decided to bring this to the attention of the Commission and ask specifically the staff in New Mexico to keep us informed if they have heard any other developments involving this and other potential cases.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This seems to be a particular time of focus being on the Chinese American community for various reasons, including, I guess, the tensions in U.S.-China relations, I guess. At least my impression from just watching the news and listening and following it and having no information in particular about any of this, that the overall tensions in the relationship have had some impact on the Chinese American community, and certain things

have happened and how people have responded to them. 1 Is that your impression, too, or what is 2 going on? 3 COMMISSIONER LEE: Right, and also because 4 5 of the fact that Asian Americans are represented in the high tech industry, and right now there is great 6 7 tension between this country and China, and also because of the historical fact that, you know, Asian 8 9 have perpetually been viewed Americans Americans, being foreigners. 10 Any time there is tension with Asian 11 12 countries, the Asian Americans in this country bear 13 the brunt of the attack and other stereotypical attacks. 14 in this case, it's not an isolated 15 16 It's an ongoing atmosphere that the community case. 17 has been feeling, and they just want to make sure that the Commission is on top of that. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, all right. 20 Well, we will -- I won't say we'll look forward to 21 hearing that there is a problem, but if there is one, 22 we then will react.

1	Okay. Is there anything else that anyone
2	wishes to raise?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, then
5	hearing none, we will adjourn this meeting and then go
6	into the consultation.
7	Thank you very much.
8	(Whereupon, at 9:10 a.m., the Commission
9	meeting was adjourned.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	<u> </u>
22	