MEETING AND BRIEFING

Friday, June 18, 1999

+ + + + +

The Commission convened in Suite 540, YMCA Building, 624 9th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., at 9:30 a.m., Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson, presiding.

D.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, Chairperson

CRUZ REYNOSO, Vice Chairperson

CARL A. ANDERSON, Commissioner (via telephone)

CHRISTOPHER EDLEY, JR., Commissioner

YVONNE Y. LEE, Commissioner

ELSIE M. MEEKS, Commissioner

RUSSELL G. REDENBAUGH, Commissioner (via telephone)

RUBY G. MOY, Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

LAURA ANECKSTEIN

DAVID ARONSON

MARGARET BUTLER

SICILIA CHINN, Parliamentarian

KI-TAEK CHUN

PAMELA A. DUNSTON

BETTY EDMISTON

EDWARD A. HAILES, JR.

GEORGE HARBISON

CAROL-LEE HURLEY

FREDERICK D. ISLER

LISA M. KELLY

STEPHANIE Y. MOORE, General Counsel

JESSICA ROFF

EILEEN RUDERT

MARCIA TYLER

JEANENE WAITE

AUDREY WRIGHT

MIREILLE ZIESENISS

## COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

CHARLOTTE PONTICELLI

EFFIE TURNBULL

## A-G-E-N-D-A

	Agenda Item Page
I.	Approval of Agenda 4
II.	Approval of Minutes of May 14, 1999 Meeting 4
III.	Announcements5
.v.	Staff Director's Report
v.	Racial and Ethnic Tensions in American Communities: Poverty, Inequality, and Discrimination - The New York Report 41
VI.	State Advisory Committee Report "Alaskan Natives and Other Minorities in the Special Education Program of Four Alaskan Districts" (Alaska)
VII.	Future Agenda Items
	Commission Briefing60

4

6

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

(9:30 a.m.)

# APPROVAL OF AGENDA

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The first item on the agenda is the approval of the agenda, which includes -- I need a motion to include the briefing that we are going to have, about which I'll say more at the end of the meeting, which is at the end of the meeting, but just to point out that it is part of the proceeding.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can I get a second?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Second.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor indicate by saying aye.

So ordered.

I'm sorry. Opposed?

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Opposed.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Who just said

opposed?

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Redenbaugh.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF MAY 14, 1999 MEETING

The next item is approval of the minutes

of May 14, 1999. Can I get a motion?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: Second.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor indicate by saying aye.

#### ANNOUNCEMENTS

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Announcements is the next item on the agenda. Let me first say, let me go to the question. By mistake the briefing that we are going to have today was left off the list of items we are having today.

In 1995, I think it was, or 1996, which was the last time the Commission, or one of the times, I don't know if it was the last time, but it has been pointed to me the Commission discussed briefings. We talked about how they should be flexible. I have a copy of the transcript of the meeting somewhere. Anyone who is interested in it should go back and look at it.

Generally, we agreed that we should maintain flexibility because the purpose of briefings is to try to respond to things that happened without having to wait forever, and to respond to them immediately, which has been one of the concerns here at the Commission, our inability to respond to things in a timely fashion.

There was some discussion at that meeting.

I agreed to generally, and said, and no one objected which means I guess everybody who was there agreed, that we would notify Commissioners at least a month in advance or remind them on briefing topics that might be on the agenda.

But I also stated at that time that there may be emergencies from time to time, or items that happened and that Commissioners would be notified when they occurred. I only say that because I want it to be clear that in the future, we will try to make sure, and the staff director will be instructed to make sure that people know a month in advance if there is a briefing scheduled already for something. So that if people have ideas about, Commissioners have ideas about people who they would like to see talk at the briefing or present at the briefing, they can so indicate.

Every Commissioner is free to suggest briefing topics as Commissioners do. But if something should happen in the future and it is necessary to respond in a timely fashion even in between a meeting to agree to have a briefing or to simply suggest one, I would ask the staff director to inform you as soon as possible, and so ask people to on a fast track respond as quickly as possible.

- 11

so the general rule then, as I understand it, will be that Commissioners will be notified a month in advance. If we have a list of briefing topics, sometimes we have a list because many people have suggested briefings, maybe we could go over the list or be reminded of it in the meeting so that people can do some forward planning. If there is one, say for the next month, be reminded that there will be one for the next month. So that is the idea.

As for what kind of participants in the briefing, we had some discussion of that too. Some Commissioners thought that briefings ought to be balanced with people having different points of view. Other Commissioners thought that it might be better to have people who had expertise about issues, small in number, so that they could present and the Commissioners would be able to ask them questions.

The briefing we have today is a hybrid of that. We have three people, and I think they all have different points of view, I'm not sure. But they are supposed to be at least two of them hold themselves out as being experts, maybe all of them, on these subjects. But since there are three of them, we should have sufficient time to discuss these matters.

Does anyone want to say anything about

what I have just said about briefings or ask 1 2 questions about it? COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes, I would 3 like to. 4 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Go right ahead. 5 What COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: would 6 constitute an emergency? 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If some event occurs 8 that is in the public debate that is within the scope 9 and responsibility of the Commission, and it is felt 10 that we need to consider the issue and be informed 11 about it so that when we are asked about it, we will 12 be able to say something, and if it's something on 13 which we have already done work or issued reports, we 14 might want to update our views so that we would be 15 able to respond to media inquiries or the public or 16 consider whether we want to have a Commission response 17 to whatever this issue is because it's a matter of 18 great public interest and urgency. 19 20 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Do you believe that this briefing today rises to the level of an 21 22 emergency? I am not claiming that 23 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: the one today arises to a level of emergency, although 24

there are some people who might think it does.

only pointing that out because in the transcript in 1996, I am actually pointing out two issues. One, the transcript clearly indicates that in the normal course of events and as a routine matter, Commissioners will know a month in advance if there is a briefing, and that there will be full opportunity to suggest witnesses and the like. I am simply reaffirming that.

But I am also pointing out that there may in the future, I don't know if there will be an emergency, which is the same thing I said in 1996, but if there should be one, then it may be necessary to have one and discuss it in less than 30 days. That may happen. So I am only saying that so that if it happens, someone won't say, "Well gee, that was never supposed to happen." That is the reason why, Russell.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. You are now talking more about this since we talked on Tuesday and since I read the staff paper that was released yesterday, the day before. I really object to this, not to this briefing. I think this is an essential topic on which to have a briefing. I object to this happening in such a way that I couldn't be prepared and be there, and that we found out about it the week that it happened. That is just not acceptable.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Point taken.

Yes, Mr. Edley?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I quess Ι am obviously sympathetic with the issue of being able to prepare and to be present for these things. other hand, I take it it's only a briefing and we are not planning to act or make any decisions, but simply ourselves, which I think is inform try to important.

On the other hand, I think that at least in my mind, this is an "emergency," at least in the term of art that I think would make sense in this context. I mean nobody's life is threatened. On the other hand, the issue has clearly created quite a public stir. It's rapidly becoming highly politicized.

My understanding is that the Department of Education is moving ahead on a very fast track to finalize these efforts while also consulting broadly. The Congress, I think the House Judiciary Committee is going to have an oversight hearing this coming Tuesday to discuss the matter. There is some talk of Congress legislating on this subject as a rider to the Appropriations bill.

Given all of those factors and the things, the developments that might occur at a fairly rapid

rate over the next two to three months, and of course knowing what the pace is of the Commission's thoughtful work, if we are going to try to contribute to the public discussion of these issues in that time frame, I think time is of the essence.

We may well hear the briefing and conclude that there's really no particular role, no particular value added that the Commission has to offer. But I think we at least ought to have the briefing in order to preserve the option of being part of the public discussion.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I just think that the summary that you have given in terms of the ground rules for the briefings comport with the emphasis we have placed in recent times on having a timely response by this Commission to the public issues that are coming up. So I just -- of course I have been a strong advocate of responding on a timely fashion. So I hope that is the way we will treat the summary that you have just given us.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner

Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Well, I would like

to associate myself with the remarks of Commissioner Redenbaugh. It seems to me I would interpret the procedures that we discussed a year or two ago regarding briefings, we did not follow the briefing procedures in this instance.

It is clear that there is a timeliness to moving ahead with the briefing. In my mind, it does not rise to the level of "emergency" that would require us to do it at this meeting rather than the July meeting. However, since it is scheduled, I think we should go ahead with it today.

But the difficulty is that Commissioners don't have the ability really on a timely basis to suggest format in terms of the subjects to be covered in the briefing, myriad issues to be addressed. Ι know we made a recommendation for someone to testify. So those are the kinds of things that are undermined quickly. Ι think those are moving too by considerations that are important.

However, if we are going to get back on track in terms of our procedures, then I think we should go ahead today. But I do want to say that I am in sympathetic agreement with Commissioner Redenbaugh.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. I think that yes, we will follow those procedures. But I must

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reiterate that Commissioners must be flexible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sometimes if something occurs Commissioner or I myself believe requires a timely response and on a quick track, you may be asked to prepare yourself for a briefing, and if you have any witnesses, recommend them or whatever, in a very short timeframe, simply because I am determined if I can do nothing else, and I may not even be able to do this since I can't do anything really here to move things along the way I would like, that we start responding more quickly to things that happen, and not have everything drag on forever and ever and to have us be irrelevant to the public debate when there are issues being debated and they are civil rights or may have civil rights implications, we don't know, but it may appear to some people that they do, that we're not present. You know, we're just, you know, not absent from the debate until it's over. By the time that happens, you know, it's too late to have anything to say.

So I know that we all are part-timers and we all have other things to do, and we all have lives, but I am just saying that you may very often be asked to respond to something very fast, and I would hope that you would do that and not feel that people are

being unfair or that someone is trying deliberately to 1 cut off any rights or to be uncollegial. 2 Ι welcome Commissioners suggesting briefings, either a month ahead or a few months ahead 4 or something that you think has happened and that we 5 ought to be responding to it right away, so that it is 6 not left up to me to suggest something. But the rest 7 of you can do that too, if you see something out there 8 that we need to be responding to. 9 The briefing is something we made up. 10 is not part of any procedure that's anywhere in the Commission procedures, unless it's in the AIs now. 12 a matter of fact, I suggested it some years ago in my 13 effort to try to think of some items, something the 14 Commission could do that could be done quickly and 15 didn't take two years or three years, four years. 16 As you know, the staff and the PAU office 17 is responsible once we decide upon a topic and say 18 what we are interested in, in figuring out 19 aspects of it, who the people should be, managing that 20 process. We don't do that ourselves. 21 We will try to keep on track with 22 23 I appreciate your indulgence. that. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Madam Chair? 24

CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

25

11

Commissioner

Yes,

Anderson.

commissioner and considerable we are talking about flexibility, I too looked back a little bit into the transcript and found that about a year ago I proposed a briefing on social and economic opportunities for Native Americans. Maybe it's fortuitous that we didn't have the briefing back then, but now I would like to put that on the agenda again. I don't have a particular month which I would recommend, but I think if we can move forward with that briefing sometime in the near future, that would be good.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: When -- what's his name, the guy in Colorado?

STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: John Dulles.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: John Dulles was here from Colorado. He suggested some things that we could do on Native Americans. We sort of decided we wouldn't do it until we had a member of the Commission who was an American Indian, especially given all the complaints made about American Indians not being included in various policy organizations, if I may put it that way, that we're developing.

But now that we do have, then it would seem timely that we would move forward on that part of

the agenda. I appreciate you reminding us of that, 1 Commissioner Anderson. 2 Redenbaugh had Commissioner Also, 3 suggested a briefing on the prison -- I have forgotten what you called it, the prison industry or something, 5 or the people, the large numbers of people who are 6 incarcerated. Remember that? 7 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What did you call 9 The prison binge or something. that? 10 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. I believe 11 it was prison construction. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Prison construction? 13 Prison CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: VICE 14 industrial complex. 15 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I don't think that's 16 what he had in mind. 17 No. I wasn't COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: 18 interested in the administrative aspects of it, but --19 The social and CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 20 economic aspects, right? 21 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. The social 22 policy of yes, of expanding the prison population so 23 much more rapidly than the population. 24 You mean in the CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 25

population of the country? Percentage wise you mean, I hope.

Okay. Well, so those two are on our list.

If people have others, you don't have to suggest them all here, but I mean, we'll put those on the list too and then work them up and have them.

The other is, let me see, do I have any other announcements? The New York hearing, police hearing, was a great success I think, by all accounts, people who were involved. The witnesses believed that it was useful, and they were treated fairly and that it was insofar as I am able to tell, and they were very informative. I learned a lot.

The staff did a terrific job getting it ready on short notice, the staff from all the various offices here at the Commission who were involved. That includes the people in administrative services and OGC, PAU, all the offices here at the Commission who were involved. Now we have of course the hard part at the Commission, which is getting a report done.

Three of the four remaining defendants in the Louima trial, as you may know, were acquitted by a jury at some point following our hearing. Some other stuff has gone on there.

1	We have summer interns, several here. We
2	would like to welcome them and thank them for all the
3	hard work that they do. Where are the summer interns?
4	Are they in here? There they are, all in a row.
5	Good. Thank you very much.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Maybe they can
7	tell us where they are from.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Would you like
9	to tell us where you are from? Starting from left to
10	right?
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Give us your
12	name and what school you are from.
13	INTERN RAI: I am Pallavi Rai, from George
14	Washington University Law School.
15	INTERN JEON: I'm Naeli Jeon. I go to
16	American University Law School.
17	INTERN MENDEZ: I'm Arlene Mendez. I'm
18	from the University of Puerto Rico.
19	INTERN TERFINKO: I'm Jennifer Terfinko,
20	from Bloomsburg University in Pennsylvania.
21	INTERN BOND: I'm Alicia Bond from
22	Washington University in St. Louis.
23	INTERN ELKIN: Ben Elkin, from
24	Northwestern University.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay, good. All

right. Thank you very much. Welcome.

You can announce that. I'm not going to announce it. Do you have anything to announce, Staff Director?

STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes. This week Rosa Parks was awarded with one of this country's highest honors, the Congressional Gold Medal, for her life's dedication to civil rights.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I just want to comment further on the New York hearings. It seems to me that the New York hearings comport to our efforts to respond to issues that come up in a timely fashion. I want to commend the staff for the extraordinary work that they did in preparing for those hearings. I know they did it in a very short time compared to most hearings.

I understand that Eric Brown, the team leader, particularly worked 24 hours a day for how many days? It wasn't quite 24 hours a day, but he worked very hard at it.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I hope not.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And of course Eddie Hailes was the person principally in charge. I understand that he survived. But also the folk that

helped run it, George and Betty. I saw that David Aronson was running around a lot during that time. can't understand why, but --CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Marcia Tyler, David Aronson, Betty, the whole crew here. VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And again, just particularly want to mention that because a couple of times during the hearings, particularly one time when I was --CHAIRPERSON BERRY: REYNOSO: VICE CHAIRPERSON

And Pam Dunston was tripping over everybody and doing everything.

Sometimes things do get a little bit hectic during hearings, but I think that is bound to happen when we aren't as much in control as we think we would like to be with the press and so on there. So I just wanted to comment on my own sense that this may have been, in my view, the single most successful hearing that we have had, both the quality of testimony and οf in terms timeliness of the issues so that we can indeed, as indicated in the earlier discussion, have some impact the social and civil rights issues that churning about us.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Thank you.

Yes?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Madam Chair, I would like to just echo what you said earlier about it being team work, because those that are involved in briefings or hearings, those people who stayed behind at headquarters and regional directors, still moved the agency forward. Without those people as well, we wouldn't be able to put it all together.

## STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Now we go to any other announcements? Okay. Staff Director's report. Does anyone have any questions under the Staff Director's report? Commissioners, any questions about the Staff Director's report? Any questions about the ongoing work of the staff?

I had one -- before we do that, I had one item to take up under announcements. I meant to take it up and I didn't. So let me back up a little bit. It has been suggested that we consider sending a letter to the President which has one page, so I'll read it for those of you who are not here, that was handed to me, a letter to the President about police community relations. I am going to read the letter.

Then we can take it up under the Staff
Director's report because it's ongoing and it's
related to the New York hearing or the issue of the

New York hearing.

"On Tuesday, June 8, 1999" -- can you hear me, Russell?

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could you give Charlie a copy of this letter? Okay.

"On Tuesday, June 8, 1999" -- this is to the President -- "you joined with the Attorney General and others in a discussion of the criminal justice system. That session was a valuable and commendable effort to bring important civil rights issues to the attention of the American public. In particular, the discussion of racial profiling was a service to the Nation."

"The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights has a continuing interest in the administration of criminal justice without invidious discrimination. One of the issues in our recent New York hearing on police practices and civil rights included attention to the subject of alleged racial profiling. The Commission is especially interested in the question of Federal leadership on this issue, including the policies and practices of Federal law enforcement agencies."

"In your own statements, we noted a strong condemnation of racial profiling, but no specific

instruction to Federal enforcement officers, apart from the very important directive to collect data. Administration officials have characterized that data directive as a first step. We are curious, however, as to why neither you nor the responsible cabinet officers have thus far chosen to combine the data collection with a statement of policy principles carrying the force of command, if not the force of law."

"Further, we are interested in exploring the policy questions regarding the use of race and ethnicity as factors in frontline enforcement actions, particularly in the border patrol."

"The Commission plans invite to Administration officials to appear before us at our meeting of July 9, 1999, to share their present understanding of Federal policy and practice regarding Recognizing racial profiling. the shortage detailed data, we expect that much of the discussion will be at the level of general policy, exploring the competing considerations."

"We look forward to hearing from Administration officials in July, and in view of your personal interest in the issues, wanted to communicate our plans."

긔

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

less

This, as you

That is the draft letter. can tell as I read it, the proposal is that we have a briefing about this. The letter is more or next steps are. Vice Chair? Chair -slowly enough, Carl and Russell? the then chatting with was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saying that the President was okay to have collection, but asking about directives and what the If I were to send this letter, I would send it for the Commissioners. So I was wondering if anyone had any reaction to this. Well, VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Did I read the letter COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Some time ago I of Pomona, mayor California, a gentleman who is of Mexican parentage, who had a shop, an auto fixing shop. He was driving home one night in his old pick-up truck.

stopped by the border patrol in the vicinity of

out and he eventually identified himself as the mayor

When the border patrolman stopped him, he got

The border patrolman was then rather

Pomona.

Pomona.

of

embarrassed and he was sort of angry. So they called their commander out of Riverside, apparently. He came right over and got there I guess half an hour later.

He said to them, "I know why you stopped me." He's short and dark-skinned. He says, "You just saw a short dark-skinned guy driving a beat-up pick-up truck and you figured I fit the profile." They said, "Oh no, sir. No, no. Nothing about profiles. We just thought we a had a duty to investigate. We're very sorry," et cetera.

The commander comes by after a while, not knowing the discussion that had taken place. The commander said, "Gee, Mr. Mayor, I really apologize. You know, my men were just doing their job. You know, they were just following the profile."

So it is a very serious problem, but that story reminds me though of the fact that we have admissions and denials, and I was impressed by the letter in terms of it mentioning the collection of data. I do think that Federal agencies need to collect that data before they -- as a first step. So I happen to agree with that. So I am a little bit conflicted here because I think that regulations ought to take place. If they take place with the background of having collected that data, I think it's far more

effective.

So I think that the Administration ought to be commended for that. I understand the Administration also is urging the collection of data or supporting legislation in Congress that will urge states to collect that data.

So I don't know whether we should go on and have the briefing now, wait maybe a few months until we do collect the data. I just want to express some concerns that we not be acting prematurely, because other agencies like the Border Patrol will have one official saying, "What briefing?" Another one saying, "Oh briefing." I think it would be good to have if they have written guidelines, to know what they are. If they don't, but that's the practice, find out about that and get that information.

So my concern is sort of fact gathering, if you will.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.

Yes, Commissioner Edley?

commissioner edley: I very much support sending the letter. I, wearing various of my other hats, I have had a lot of conversations with people in the Administration on this issue. The timeline, Mr. Vice Chair, for implementing this data directive is,

while it is aggressive, as a practical matter, it is going to be well over a year before there is any significant data produced.

They are going to be extensive, I think, internal discussions about how to design the data collection so that it's not burdensome. Whether to use sampling techniques, and if so, what kind of enforcement sampling techniques. Which kinds of encounters Customs agents or DEA agents or Border Patrol agents should have been subject to this data There will be pilot testing, and the collection. There will be social scientists appointed to like. try to -- so it's really going to be quite -- I think, frankly, the problem with only taking one step, this first step, is that the way they seem to be proceeding, it might be quite a long time before they take a second step.

I, at least, would be interested in understanding certainly better than I do what sort of some of the underlying policies are. I mean let me just go back to your example about the mayor of Pomona. It doesn't seem to me you need to collect data in order to have a general discussion about whether it is good policy or bad policy, whether it is consistent with our laws

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for Border Patrol agents to do the sort of thing that you describe.

Do we feel as a matter of principle that if you are brown and you live 50 miles from the border in Southern California or South Texas, you should be expected to feel all right about the fact that every time you go bowling with some of your buddies, you might get stopped by the Border Patrol and quizzed about your right to be in the country.

I can understand arguments on both sides of that question. I think it would be useful for the Commission, but also useful for the country to do what we could to try to begin to explore some of these issues.

Let me also say that my impression is that the senior people -- strike that. I know that the senior people in the Justice Department are themselves a little bit confused about what is actually going on in the Border Patrol and other agencies, are uncertain about even what the statement is of the operational policies, much less the extent to which line officers comply with those policies.

So my suggestion is I think it would be useful at least to begin a conversation with a briefing, not with an eye towards any near-term

action.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Does anyone know what the prospects are for the bill that's in the Congress that would require the state and local governments to collect data? I know that the Congress, the House especially, is very busy now with the amendments to the juvenile justice bill that seems to go on and on and on and on and on. I don't know what else they are going to get to before they take their various vacations and breaks.

But I just wondered, one, what the prospects were for that, and whether that made sense in some strategic sense that I am not aware of, whether that had been considered. Is that a consideration?

The other is that there is the view of police and law enforcement officials to take into account about how this policy should be developed and what our position to it, if there were an announcement made that today you are to do X, Y and Z.

I have a hunch, I don't know this because I haven't followed what the Border Patrol and the various Federal official law enforcement agencies are doing, but I have a hunch that they would think that the policy should evolve in a way that they are able

to figure out how to carry out their duties, from their perspective I'm talking about, at the same time that they respond to any kind of directive, and that they would have some concerns.

I understand the racial profiling issue.

When I was in Romania, I may have told some of you this, last spring, I was finally told by someone -Romania, the Romanians, most people of Eastern Europe, the former Soviet Union are poor. There is lot of social and economic chaos in the country. Finally when I got to know one of them rather well, they told me that thousands of them had migrated to the United States.

I said, "How did that happen?" They said,
"Well, we did it illegally." I said, "Well how did
you do that? How did you get into the United States?"
They said, "Oh, well we have these freighters taking
people into the United States. We're going across the
Mexican border. We go across quite easily, and no one
ever stops us. We are instructed how to do it. They
think that because we're white, they think we are
Americans who have just been shopping over in Mexico,
so we have a nice little pipeline going back and
forth."

"There they are, stopping all these other

people. If they even said anything to us, you know, most of us they would find out that most of these people didn't even speak English. But they don't even say anything to them except wave, you know, 'hi' as they go by." So they said "We're having quite a pipeline. We've got whole communities." They told me where some of them live in California -- I am not going to disclose that -- so that people can get past the law as they come into the country.

So that is a clear example as in the examples we have talked about in the drug industry, where the police are so busy looking at some people, that they don't notice other people who are selling drugs. So I am aware that racial profiling all of us, that it is a problem, and that law enforcement may be doing some of it. But there is this question about what kind of information we have.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I wonder whether rather than sending a letter like this, we might not explore informally as we normally do, the possibility of briefings to get a better sense ourselves and have some impact maybe in the discussion that the Administration is going forward on, and go on and have a briefing. I don't know whether we could do it by next month, but have some of the officials come

and some of the community groups that have been concerned about these issues come and help educate us on these issues also.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do other Commissioners have any comments?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner

Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I have several comments. The first is I would like to have a decision on whether or not we are going to have a briefing on this topic in July. Then I think it's best on whether there ought to be another and what ought to be in it.

I think a briefing on this topic is -- I would like to see both the Commissioners coming prepared themselves as well as some of our colleagues who have a great deal of expertise in the area. But also I think it is important that if we are going to have members of the Administration here with us to brief us on this, that they have adequate time so that they can give us thorough and complete answers, not "it's currently under study" or "I'm not sure about that," or "we'll have to send you that kind of information."

So if it's urgent that we have it in July, then let's move forward and do it in July. However, I 2 3 would be willing to wait another month or two if by it means that we get а more thorough 4 5 presentation by members of the Department of Justice and others. 6 But could we move forward first on the 8 question of whether or not we want to have this 9 briefing, when, and then we can talk about 10 content? 11 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Does anybody 12 else have a comment? Were you trying say 13 something, Russell? 14 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I was, but my 15 comments may be muted by the discussion, so let's do 16 that first. 17 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't you say them 18 anyway, because --19 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, all right. 20 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I like to know what's 21 going on in your head, or that you are willing to 22 share. 23 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The letter, the 24 tone of the letter implies a complaint. I just wanted 25 to -- and it's a complaint about an action, a policy.

I just wanted to raise the question, do we want to make that as a complaint. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Since we haven't done the briefing on which to inform ourselves. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see, okay. That is VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: one reason why I said that maybe rather than sending the letter, we just proceed as we normally do to check it out, to see whether we can get the officials here. I must say that on profiling, if we can get them to come, it would be good to have not just Federal, but state officials too. These issues may be even more serious with state profiling than with Federal profiling. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Commissioner Meeks? COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Ι am alad Commissioner Reynoso brought that up because I mean in most of the states that have reservations, I mean this complaint is really widespread. I know that because I live on a reservation. Whenever we drive back and forth to Rapid City, which is the only highway that

goes to the reservation from that area, it is really a

It's probably the most highly patrolled

problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

highway in South Dakota.

You know, some may argue that it's because of public intoxication or whatever, but that's really not the case, because Rapid City is a major trade area for our reservation. So it is just normal people going back and forth doing shopping that get stopped, you know, very, very frequently.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee, I know you can't talk, but could you do hand signals or something, smoke signals or something? No, I'm only kidding. I know you can't say anything.

But my sense from listening to the discussion here is that most of the Commissioners would like, if we are to do anything, to do a briefing first before we send out any letter anywhere. Of course we can do a briefing without sending the President anything. We're just being nice to him if we sent him a letter.

And that Commissioners also want to hear - some Commissioners also point out that they want to
hear something about what's going on in the states or
at least some of the states, and that we may not be
able to do this in July. So therefore, it will have
to be put off to be prepared for, since it is going to
be Federal and state, and may involve White House

officials and Justice Department officials.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

From what Commissioner Edley said, they are not moving that fast to do anything anyway, if I understand you correctly, as far as you know.

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: It is a little worse than that, my impression is. I mean I have every reason to believe of course that they are going to move quickly and in good faith on the data directive. I think what I sense, however, is a reluctance to engage the question of principle.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I see.

I think the COMMISSIONER EDLEY: So difficulty that we are going to have is that when the Administration officials come -- and the reason they are reluctant to engage the question of principle I There is a sense that you run the think are three. risk of serious political embarrassment when you talk about this issue, political embarrassment either vis a vis the law enforcement community or vis a vis the So you just shy away from the minority community. issue.

Number two, there is reluctance because they don't, the policy makers don't fully understand the operational needs of the frontline law enforcement officials. Right? The Customs agent who is looking

at people that -- et cetera. Number three, there is reluctance because this is really hard to sort out, the competing values. But whereas I understand all of three reasons as perhaps explaining their reluctance to engage in it, engage the subject forthrightly, I think those are three reasons why it is very important for us to engage the subject. May I add a word about the state issue? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Go right ahead. So my point is that COMMISSIONER EDLEY: conversation with the Administration having a sooner rather than later, I think it will be sort of therapeutic, because it will help push them along and forcing them to really discuss these issues. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I will get to that. will get back to you in a minute. Let me just respond to that. If we go ahead and have a briefing and the staff starts working on it immediately, they will already know that we intend to engage them on the issue. COMMISSIONER EDLEY: And they will think hard about it. That is very good.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

three months from now, we will already be arranging

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So even if we have it

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it, so they will know that it's happening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commissioner edley: On the state issue, I obviously agree completely that it's huge. Certainly in terms of numbers of people affected it's certainly more important than what goes on with Federal law enforcement.

I think many people would argue that the issues are a little bit different because of the nature of some of the law enforcement missions in the Federal Government are not strictly analogous to what most state and local law enforcement is about. So I would worry about the hearing becoming so big, with so many different disparate issues that we can't really bore in on anything in particular.

lastly, there really is an But We know in New Jersey and New York and opportunity. in other places, there is some state and local ferment about racial profiling. At the moment, it seems as though the President and the Administration are trying to figure out, the Congress, trying to figure out what Federal leadership ought to be on this subject to help provide a model for how state law enforcement ought to So I would just hope that we not give short work. shrift to the Federal agenda.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Were you about to say

something?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I have a note,
Madam Chair, from our non-speaking Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: She has laryngitis,
Commissioner Anderson, Commissioner Lee has -- and
Redenbaugh, she has laryngitis.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Indicating that there is a study going on and there will be a report shortly on issues of profiling coming out of Orange County. So that might be of interest for us to check out.

Secondly, I have a sense that Commissioner Edley is being overly kind. Sort of between the lines, Ι read a sense that he says maybe the Government is going to study this forever, and because the issues are tough, never take a position. seems to me that if I am reading him between the lines correctly, it would be particularly important I think for us to go on and have a briefing, and maybe make some recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well then why don't we do this. Why don't we have a briefing, but not in July, but the word will go forward that we are having one, and also do states but maybe not in the same meeting, and maybe in the second one, depending on how

much time we need to do this.

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I would agree with Commissioner Edley. I don't think that you are going to do either one justice if you try to combine it. The issues are similar, but the agencies are so different. I mean and each state probably is different than another one. So I agree. I mean I think we can't do it.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will do then one on the Federal, which the staff will start organizing for, not July, but sometime after that. But it will be -- they begin the organizing so people will know we're doing it. Then another one on states, and making sure that we keep one of the states in Indian country, making sure that that is part of it too. Then figuring out what other states, as the staff looks at various places and figures out which we should be doing.

Okay. The letter then, we will not send.

My sense is that we won't send the letter, so that
takes care of it, unless my sense is different from
what I have heard.

Okay. Now let's go to -- anybody have anything on the Staff Director's report.

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC TENSIONS IN AMERICAN COMMUNITIES

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Then let's go to the next item, which is the racial and ethnic tensions in American communities, poverty, inequality, and discrimination. The old New York report, I will categorize it that way, which contains information on immigration and Wall Street.

Commissioner Redenbaugh?

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Can we hold this until July? What's it's condition now? I want to reorient myself about this a little bit. It's a draft report for us, right?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. It's a draft report for us and it was drafted after we got the responses from all the firms on the Wall Street part, and responses from all the affected parties on the immigration portions and the other portions. In other words, like we usually do reports.

The staff then finished the draft. They have put it before us for our approval, with suggestions or disapproval or whatever.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The findings and recommendations, I only got this week. Now am I correct in that or have I --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. We all only got

them this week.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. I thought maybe I was remiss. Well, I think our policy of when possible to allow a month for review on something like this is a good policy and that would mean we ought to be able to bring it to a vote on --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Next time.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes, which would be my recommendation.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Does anyone object? Without objection, we will just defer it until July unless somebody objects. It has been quite a while anyway, so we can wait another month. So we will do that.

Now on the Staff Director's report, no one asked, but I think we need, although we have it in the MIS, I would like for the Staff Director to reiterate to us very quickly what the timelines are on the other reports that we have outstanding so that we can -- just summarizing what's in the MIS, I assume.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The MIS was a little light this month.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, so if we could get the Staff Director to do that, I would appreciate it.

1	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: I know, Commissioner
2	Redenbaugh, that the MIS reports were a little light.
3	Inadvertently, a memo did not go out to say that the
4	OGC MIS listing on their projects should have gone out
5	to indicate that they were unchanged from last
6	period's reporting. However, the reporting cycle
7	concluded yesterday, so I have advanced information on
8	the activities, so I can bring you up-to-date on most
9	of the reports.
10	The New York hearing report, you already
11	have in its entirety for your consideration. The
12	Mississippi report will be submitted to the
13	Commissioners' review in the next reporting period.
14	The schools and religion project
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You are going too
16	fast. Mississippi will be here when?
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Will be submitted for
18	the Commissioners' review in the next reporting
19	period.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What does that mean?
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Is that July?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You mean we will have
24	it in July?
25	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Is that correct, yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Continue, please.
. 4	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: The schools and
5	religion, the final changes to the Executive Summary
6	are being completed this reporting cycle, and the
7	Executive Summary and transcript will be submitted to
8	OSD by June 30th.
9	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I'm sorry, by
10	when?
11	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: June 30th.
12	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: It will be to
13	Commissioners when?
14	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Let's see. Before
	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Let's see. Before the July meeting.
14	
14	the July meeting.
14 15 16	the July meeting.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Just before?
14 15 16 17	the July meeting.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Just before?  STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Probably just before.
14 15 16 17	the July meeting.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Just before?  STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Probably just before.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The meeting is
14 15 16 17 18	the July meeting.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Just before?  STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Probably just before.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The meeting is  July 9, as I recall. So if you have it June 30th,
14 15 16 17 18 19	the July meeting.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Just before?  STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Probably just before.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The meeting is  July 9, as I recall. So if you have it June 30th,  then we are going to have it not in time to we
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the July meeting.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Just before?  STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Probably just before.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The meeting is  July 9, as I recall. So if you have it June 30th,  then we are going to have it not in time to we could weigh it, I guess.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the July meeting.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Just before?  STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Probably just before.  COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The meeting is  July 9, as I recall. So if you have it June 30th,  then we are going to have it not in time to we  could weigh it, I guess.  (Laughter.)

1	August, so we'll have time to read it in August and
2	we'll act on it
3	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: All right, for
4	September.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well we'll have it
6	before the July meeting?
7	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: That will be
10	scheduled for action in September?
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. Is that right,
12	Staff Director?
13	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Go right ahead.
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: The crises of the
16	young African-American male project, staff continues
17	verification on the procedures to ensure the accuracy
18	of the consultation transcript. The project is on
19	target for completion in the fall of 1999.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which means?
21	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: I think it's the end
22	of
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: When will the
24	Commissioners have it for review? When will the OSD
25	calendar it? Mainly what we are interested in as

1	Commissioners, is when we will have something so we
2	can plan our lives accordingly.
3	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Commissioners,
4	according to the last tracking, it's scheduled to you
5	on August 6.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: August 6? So we will
7	get it on August 6? So that could be up in September
8	too.
9	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Correct.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I mean also, too as in
11	also.
12	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Correct.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Does that
14	take care what else?
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Americans with
16	Disabilities project is still scheduled for January
17	2000. That is still on track.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Commissioners
19	would get it in January?
20	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is that correct?
22	MR. HAILES: No, it's not.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: The Commissioners
24	will get it according to the MIS in October.
25	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The MIS can't
	NEAL P. CDOSS

really make a commitment. So really what I am kind of looking for is is there somebody on your staff who is saying yes, we are going to have this done by this date, and that is supported by this piece of paper called the MIS output?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So what date are we going to have the report to the Commissioners, which is mainly what the Commissioners are interested in.

VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: On disability.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: On disabilities as far as you know.

STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Can we ask Eddie, since he has --

Good morning, Commissioners. MR. HAILES: The Staff Director was first referring to a recent monthly activity report which notes that the ADA project least the draft report would orat submitted to the Staff Director in January of the year 2000. Previously, the MIS reported that that report would be submitted to the Staff Director in late So there is a change in the schedule for the October. ADA, which was brought about due to pressing assignments by the ADA team on other projects.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now when, and what is the current schedule for the new New York as opposed

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to the old New York?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAILES: No specific milestones have been prepared for the -- or have been approved for the New York hearing report. There are discussions underway about those milestones, and we are talking to the Staff Director about those milestones now.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well for myself, and I would have the support the would hope Ι Commissioners, I would direct the Staff like to Director to have the new New York hearing report done by December 1, this year, so that the new New York report is timely, so that the new New York report comes out when there is a very high profile report, and so that it doesn't get involved in the politics of We don't want to get involved in whatever New York. they are going to do up there. I don't know what they are planning to do, but this has nothing to do with politics, and we should get the report done, get it finished, get it out, and deal with the issues which are pressing in New York.

So I would like, if I can get the support of the Commissioners, to have the Staff Director directed to manage whatever she is managing, but that this thing ought to be done, get it out of here before. We don't want it to run over to the year 2000

and keep going and going and going and be stale. So I would like to say December 1, is my deadline. Does anybody have any objection to that?

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Mary?

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Along with my normal question about this, and that is, what will the consequences of that be for the completion of other reports? Now when we agreed over my objection to do the New York report, I was assured that it would have no consequences for anything.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, Russell, as you have just heard, all the reports have been accounted for by the discussion we just heard from the Staff Director and from the Deputy General Counsel. By September, we will have all the old backlog reports up to us if this information is correct. We agreed not to give OGC any more assignments for reports so that they would have the decks clear so that they could finish what they have. We haven't given them any more and we don't intend to. So all they will have is ADA and the new New York report, period. That's it.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: What is it that has caused ADA to slip from October to January? I mean maybe I should write a complaint to the MIS.

Commissioner

think

So I

We have

Now

Maybe we

Ι

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think you should. think you should write to MIS and MIS should be told urgently to get on the case, Russell. I would be happy to share. I'll sign with you. COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Madam Chair? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Anderson. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Commissioner Redenbaugh has a point here. should just -- I would agree with you that the New York report ought to be issued sooner rather than later for many of the reasons you indicated. think I would support your proposal that it be done this year. But I would also like to know where those resources to accomplish that objective are Now we have added a briefing today. from. added a briefing next month. There's September. we are talking about one in October as well. going to require a commitment in some respects on --While we keep adding initiatives here, we want to be timely, which is all good, if it's all coming out of the ADA procedure and resources to make

timely, then I

that

report

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner

think

Redenbaugh has a legitimate issue here that we ought to address.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. I will let others speak, but my own view is that when we cleared up the decks and didn't give the OGC any more projects, which we normally would have done, we were reducing the work load there so that there would only be these two things, period. Normally, they would have had three or four reports that they would be working on.

Now all they have is, aside from legal sufficiencies on State Advisory Committees and other matters that they deal with, they only have these two reports. That's it. But I will let others speak.

Did you want to say something, Edley?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I wanted to raise a question really, which is about whether in the past the Commission has explored the possibility, in the recent past has explored the possibility of using the pro bono or consulting services of for example, major law firms or major academic research centers that might be able to work under the direction of OGC to help expedite some of these projects.

I mean it strikes me, for the example with respect to the new New York project, that it would not

be difficult to get a small battalion of first-rate attorneys and researchers to provide assistance. There must be some way legally for the Commission to accept services either on a pro bono basis or as sort of a nominal consulting fee arrangement essentially to multiply, to leverage some additional resources and produce timely product.

Is that totally out of the range of possibility?

Well, the point CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Staff Director, that's up to the Staff that the Staff and the Director because Director, The Staff Director can do that. The operational. Commission can not take pro bono services because we. can't accept volunteer stuff under our statute. we could accept something at a reduced rate. That would be nice. If we give, set a deadline for the Staff Director, then this may be something that she wishes to do or to explore. That would be up to her and the OGC and how they manage the project. So it is possible.

But the thing we need to do is to say what deadlines we expect. You have given her an idea that can be utilized within the framework of that.

Does anyone -- it is time now to have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

briefing, but are there other things that need to be said about this matter? Are people opposed to my suggestion that they finish it this year?

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I am not opposed to that, Mary.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I associate myself with Commissioner Edley's recommendation to explore additional help.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.

Yes, Commissioner Anderson?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I would also say that I think that is something we ought to look into, but you see we don't get now an answer to this question, which is the ADA report was supposed to stay on schedule. Commissioner Redenbaugh was assured of Now today we find out it has been bumped from that. October to January, but we don't have an explanation as to why, other than people who were involved to produce it were tasked to other assignments, which I'm really isn't much of an answer as far as concerned.

So I would like to get the ADA report back

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on track, push the deadline back to October, and see what kind of resources would be required to do that.

Maybe that means delaying the briefing to a later time. I think we ought to consciously make those decisions and not just be told unfortunately the schedule slipped because we had other commitments.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I will let the Staff Director answer, but we are aware though that OGC -- that PAU does the briefings, not OGC. They are not involved in those. So that it is a different staff requirement.

Your desire to push the ADA back to October, maybe that is something, and I'll let the Staff Director answer, maybe that's something that the Staff Director can consider doing within the constraints that we have laid out, and with the advice that she has been given about other ways to get things done.

## Staff Director?

STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Madam Chair, I have been asked by the General Counsel, Stephanie Moore, who is in charge of the ADA project, if she could say a few words.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Sure.

GENERAL COUNSEL MOORE: I would like to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

first just correct the record in terms of new projects to OGC. The very project that we are discussing presently is a new project, the New York police report. The ADA project has experienced delays due to the readjustment of the staff due to the New York police report.

Specifically, the team leader for that project was also the team leader for the ADA project. He previously had a commitment from the agency to take a substantial leave in July for purposes of taking the Bar. Since he will be out of the Commission at that point, he won't be able to participate either in his part on the ADA or the New York hearing project.

Also, as the Staff Director is aware, another member of the ADA team has been out on maternity leave since -- and in fact, was out on bed rest prior to her pregnancy and is now out on maternity leave.

So those were -- the New York police hearing, did indeed have a substantial impact on the assignments in OGC, and is responsible for the delays or the readjustments in the timelines for ADA.

COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you, Stephanie.

Does anybody have any other comments?

COMMISSIONER EDLEY: I'm sorry. This is Edley again. I am very sympathetic with the problem of delaying the ADA report because of the subject matter importance. We are really between a rock and a hard place because on the other hand, I think it would be very, very unfortunate for the New York report to be delayed to the point where its issuance really got in the middle of a Senatorial campaign in New York. Not to put too fine a point on it, I think we want to do what we can to keep from needlessly politicizing what I assume will be a very good and important Commission product.

So I would just really ask that the Staff Director redouble the efforts and use all means possible creatively to do the best we can to hit the original October target for the ADA report.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The only other factor we would put into the mix is you may not be aware of it, but we already did one ADA report last year. It's not like we haven't done one. This report was supposed to be on the hearing on the folks who were affected by it. The Supreme Court, as you probably know, is handing down three decisions I think on employment and ADA, which will affect some of the

stuff that is in the report. It may be necessary to wait to see how that plays out before we are able to say anything definitive.

We don't want to say anything about ADA that is undercut by the fact that they have already decided this. I mean there is going to be a nice balance there. I am trying to figure all this out.

But I am prepared to leave it that the Staff Director will, with the advice that has been given about ways to get things done, try to balance out all these things. OGC has been given nothing else to do except the New York report and ADA. Those are the two projects that are in OGC now. There are no additional ones. There expectation, is no understand, to give additional ones for the being. So why don't we just leave it at that, unless there are other questions. We are running into the briefing and the panelists are here. So we ought to do that.

## STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT (ALASKA)

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are there other comments that need to be made? Let's do -- we have one other action item, which is the State Advisory Committee report on Alaskan Natives, which was held over from last time because one Commissioner had some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	inquiries that needed to be made and some questions
2	off to decide. We reserved it so that that
3	Commissioner could satisfy himself.
4	Could I get a motion to approve this
5	report?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I
7	was the one that held it up, so I want to move that it
8	be approved.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is that all right,
10	Russell?
11	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Absolutely.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I do want to
13	say that
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Will you second it,
15	Russell?
16	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Of course.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I do want to
18	say that we have a memo from the Committee. I want to
19	put on the record that the suggestions that I had with
20	respect to the report was just to make it more
21	readable in terms of comparing the various tables that
22	were involved.
23	Apparently the Committee feels that it
24	would be too time consuming to do that, and they want
25	to issue it in a more timely fashion. So I can't

the disagree with that. So as I I say, 2 acceptance of the report. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okav. Any further 3 4 discussion? All those in favor, indicate by saying 5 aye. 6 Opposed? 7 (No response.) FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS 8 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The only other point I 9 would make is that when I spoke earlier about the 10 Romanian migration across the border, I must note that 11 am unaware of any specific violation of 12 13 immigration laws, so that I will not be the subject of an investigation into the specific Romanian migrations 14 across the border. 15 16 Are there any other future agenda items that anyone would like to discuss? 17 If not, then we 18 will adjourn this part of the meeting and go onto the 19 briefing, which will start in about three minutes. 20 will let the Commissioners stand up, and let the 21 people get to the table, and then we'll start. 22 COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I'm leaving the 23 call now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you, Commissioner Redenbaugh.

24

(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the record at 10:41 a.m. and went back on the record at 10:45 a.m.)