LIBRARY

MEETING

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Friday, February 6, 1998

The Commission convened in Room 540, YWCA
Building, 624 9th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20425,
at 9:30 a.m., Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson,
presiding.

PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, CHAIRPERSON
CRUZ REYNOSO, VICE CHAIRPERSON
CARL A. ANDERSON, COMMISSIONER
ROBERT P. GEORGE, COMMISSIONER (via telephone and joined meeting at 11:00 a.m.)
A. LEON HIGGINBOTHAM, JR., COMMISSIONER (via telephone)
CONSTANCE HORNER, COMMISSIONER
YVONNE Y. LEE, COMMISSIONER (via telephone)
RUSSELL G. REDENBAUGH, COMMISSIONER (via telephone)

RUBY G. MOY, STAFF DIRECTOR

STAFF PRESENT:

KI-TAEK CHUN
JAMES S. CUNNINGHAM
PAMELA DUNSTON
BETTY EDMISTON
M. CATHY GATES
GEORGE HARBISON
CAROL-LEE HURLEY
JACQUELINE L. JOHNSON
WILLIAM LEE
EMMA MONROIG
STEPHANIE Y. MOORE, General Counsel
MARC PENTINO

STAFF PRESENT: (Continued)

PETER REILLY
CHARLES RIVERA
EILEEN E. RUDERT
MIGUEL SAPP, Parliamentarian
PATRICE STANLEY
MARCIA TYLER
CATHERINE WALLACE
AUDREY WRIGHT
NADJA ZALOKAR

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

ANDERSON FRANCOIS
CHARLOTTEE PONTICELLI
WILLIAM L. SAUNDERS, JR.
KRISHNA TOOLSIE
CYNTHIA VALENZUELA

AGENDA

Approval of Agenda	3
Approval of Minutes of January 9th, 1998 Meeting .	3
Announcements	9
Staff Director's Report	13
Reauthorization	49
Management Information System	74

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	9:45 a.m.
3	Approval of the Agenda
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The first item on the
5	agenda is the Approval of the Agenda.
6	I would like us to have a discussion of the
7	reauthorization, which is not on here, and, so, we can
8	either do it under the Staff Director or somebody can
9	move to have it as a separate item. If no one objects,
10	we can do it under the Staff Director; otherwise,
11	somebody can move to have it done as a separate item.
12	(No response)
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. We'll just talk
14	about it there then.
15	All right. Could I get a motion then to
16	approve the agenda?
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: So moved.
18	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Second.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor, indicate by
20	saying aye.
21	(Chorus of ayes)
22	Approval of Minutes of January 9, 1998 Meeting
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Motion to approve the
24	minutes of January 9th, 1998.

1	COMMISSIONER HORNER: So moved.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Second.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All in favor, indicate by
4	saying aye.
5	(Chorus of ayes)
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Madam Chair?
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Yes, and I think
8	Russell was trying to Russell, were you trying to
9	say something about the agenda? I mean about the
10	minutes?
11	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: About the minutes,
12	yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes? Yes?
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. The minutes
15	don't reflect the discussion that we had regarding our
16	submission of budget planning discussion submission to
17	OMB, and I would ask that the minutes reflect the
18	discussion in which it was explained that the
19	submission was likely procedural, and that we would
20	would have in the future the substantive discussion of
21	the project proposal.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: As I recall the
23	discussion, we can put something in on whatever the
24	transcript shows the discussion was, but as I recall
25	the discussion, we said that we would vote on it, but

1	that we understood that we would have to review it
2	again
3	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: once the budget was
5	submitted. Is that what you're talking about?
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. Yes, I'm
7	referring to that.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So, we should add
9	something to the minutes on that subject. Okay.
LO	Anything else on the minutes? Yes,
L1	Commissioner Anderson?
L2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Thank you, Madam
L3	Chair. I have several amendments, I guess.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
L5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: On Page 2,
L6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
L 7	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: under the Staff
. 8	Director's Report, the first paragraph, the last
_9	sentence in the first paragraph
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't you just say in
21	turn what you want to add?
22	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Okay. Well,
23	the sentence says, "After Commissioner discussion
24	regarding the issuance of subpoenas, it was agreed that
25	the Commissioners would be notified if the

1	determination was made that subpoenas duces tecum would
2	be needed for the documents of private groups and
3	organizations."
4	As I review the transcripts, I think it would
5	be more accurate to say that it was agreed that
6	subpoenas duces tecum would be issued only to public
7	officials seeking government documents.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's correct.
9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you.
10	The second paragraph
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Simultaneous of.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Okay. Second
13	paragraph, again the last sentence, "General Counsel
14	further stated that the Staff Director would receive
15	the New York and Los Angeles hearing reports within the
16	month of January which would then go forward for
17	editorial legal sufficiency and affected agency
18	review."
19	I think also we said that we could expect
20	those reports at the March meeting.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's correct, as I
22	recall the meeting.
23	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. And, so, if
24 .	that's
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We can check the

1	transcript again, but that's my recollection.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Thank you.
3	And then the third paragraph, after the
4	second sentence, "Commissioner Anderson asked that the
5	subpoenaed documents from public officials would hamper
6	the U.S. Attorney's criminal investigation into the
7	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office."
8	Actually, I asked about that, but I also
9	asked about the same matter a little bit later
10	regarding Rohnert Park, and I think that's probably
11	what ought to be there because it was at that point
12	then that Mr. Montez replied that the Justice
13	Department's investigation, etc.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you want to say
15	Good morning, Commissioner George. We're discussing
16	the minutes, and Commissioner Anderson has amendments.
17	Commissioner Anderson, do you want to say
18	"and the other place" or what do you want to it to say?
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Well, I'd like to
20	insert in lieu of Sonoma County, Rohnert Park Police
21	Department, and then "Mr. Montez assured Commissioner
22	Anderson that it would in no way affect the Justice
23	Department's investigation since the Justice
24	Department's investigation differs from the advisory
25	committee's planned community forum", and I think I did

1	get that kind of clear assurance from Mr. Montez about
2	that.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
4	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Then at the bottom of
5	that paragraph, we have "Mr. Montez clarified his
6	response to state that the forum will examine the
7	overall training and hiring policies of the surrounding
8	police departments", and then I would add to that "and
9	would not be raising issues of individual cases".
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That is correct, as I
11	recall.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Now, finally,
13	the next paragraph, "The report would be written by the
14	Western Regional Office with the Commissioners
15	approving or disapproving the report through
16	established Commission procedures."
17	As I understand it, we agreed. Now the
18	ambiguity there is to which established Commission
19	procedures, whether it goes to the established
20	Commission procedures of SAC reports or regular.
21	Commission reports. I thought we had agreed that we
22	would be able to offer changes on the on behalf of
23	Commissioners, so that it might be more appropriate
24	there to say with the Commissioners submitting changes
25	to the draft report through established Commission

1	procedures.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It probably should say it
3	would be written with the approval or disapproval of
4	the report through established Commission procedures
5	for hearings and reports, because that's the issue.
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah, yeah. Okay.
7	That's fine with me.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Those are those
10	are my amendments. Thank you, Madam Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you.
12	Anyone else have anything on the minutes?
13	(No response)
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, then all in
15	favor of the minutes as amended, indicate by saying
16	aye.
17	(Chorus of ayes)
. 18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Opposed?
19	(No response)
20	Announcements
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Next item. Next item is
22	Announcements, and Announcements, the request for
23	appropriations was submitted to the Congress on
24	Wednesday, February 3rd, \$11 million. Budget, Monday,
25	February 2nd, President submitted it to the Congress.

1	Commission budget would go to 11 million from 8.74
2	million.
3	The President also proposed 206 million in
4	civil rights enforcement funding in FY 1999, a 17-
5	percent increase, which is the largest in almost 20
6	years.
7	We had a press conference here and in L.A.
8	with the Vice Chair and Yvonne Lee present on the
9	Commission Report on Equal Opportunity and Non-
10	Discrimination for Students with Limited English
11	Proficiency on January 21st.
12	An update on the Asian-Pacific American
13	Petition, Joseph Sandler of the DNC and Professor Frank
14	Rowe were asked during the briefing to respond directly
15	to specific inquiries by the Commission.
16	Mr. Sandler denies that the DNC reviewed
17	contributors on the basis of race or name or national
18	origin or that there was a second audit directed at .
19	Asian Americans.
20	He also reports that based on their
21	information, there were no advertisements for the 1996
22	U.S. Senate race in Kentucky by the DNC, including a
23	phrase "to send an all American family to the Senate or
24	anything similar to that phrase".
25	I don't know why I'm reading this; but it's

one of the announcements. 1 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I think that 2 question has been asked about that --3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, I see. 4 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: -- during the 6 hearing. 7 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, that's why I'm reading it. 8 9 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: It's just the 10 response. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 11 VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah. Carl asked 12 the question. 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: If I could say, as I 14 recall, the question was that the state democratic 15 party or the state candidate had run, and whether any 16 DNC officials knew about that or had supported it or 17 had objected to it, if they didn't know. So, it wasn't 18 19 a question of whether it was a DNC commercial but 20 whether it was a local state party or state candidate. 21 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What did you say, General Counsel? 22 23 MS. MOORE: Mr. Sandler reported that there

EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

were no advertisements at all, period, to their

knowledge, that contained that phrase.

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: To his knowledge. So,
2	all you're doing is saying to his knowledge. There may
3	have some, we don't he doesn't know about, but this
4	is Mr. Sandler's
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Okay.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: response.
7	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Great. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Jackson Advocate, a
9	black-owned newspaper, in Jackson, Mississippi, was
10	fire-bombed on January 26th. Investigators are
11	considering all possible motives, including the
12	likelihood that this was a racially-motivated hate
13	crime.
14	We pointed this out. The Commission held its
15	briefings on the church burnings in this area in March
16	1997. The Commission film series celebrating Black
17	History Month begins on Monday, February 2nd, and there
18	are film presentations starting each day at 12 noon.
19 .	The last point is that the House Constitution
20	Subcommittee of the Judiciary agreed eight to one to
21	send the Commission's reauthorization bill to the full
22	committee for consideration.
23	Does anyone else have any announcements of
24	anything?
25	(No response)

1	Staff Director's Report
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Then we'll go to
3	the Staff Director's Report, and does anyone have any
4	questions on the Staff Director's Report? Any
5	Commissioner?
6	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Madam Chair, I have
7	I have a question about the distribution of the New
8	York hearing report.
9	According to the normal procedures, the
10	Commissioners have not yet received that report because
11	it has not gone through all the preliminary processes
12	it goes through before the Commissioners receive it.
13	My concern is this: there was a story in the
14	Wall Street Journal quoting the Chair in as
15	discussing the findings of the report. Specifically,
16	the Wall Street Journal reports, "As for the study, the
17	Civil Rights Commission's chairwoman, Mary Frances
18	Berry, said some of the most alarming findings
19 .	involving the number of blacks and Hispanics listed as
20	officials and managers by their firms", and then a
21	little bit beneath that, another quote, "So far, the
22	draft report confirms there are many problems on Wall
23	Street when it comes to hiring African Americans and
24	other people of color, Dr. Berry said."
25	My question is: why is it that or is it the

1	case that this is an accurate story? And I'm directing
2	this question to the Staff Director, that the Chair
3	received a copy of the report, but when I asked for a
4	copy, upon reading this article, was told I could not
5	have one because it wasn't through the processes yet.
6	If it is the case that the Chair has read the
7	report, does is it appropriate for the Chair to have
8	the report but not the Commissioners?
9	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Commissioner Horner, no
0	Commissioner and the Chair did not receive a copy of
11	the report. It is still
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: I cannot hear.
13	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Sorry, Commissioner Lee.
4	No one on the Commission has received a copy of the New
15	York report. The Chair has not received it. No
-6	Commissioners have received it either. It's still
-7	going through its processes.
8	COMMISSIONER HORNER: May I ask then ask
9	the Chair to confirm this statement? Have you received
20	a copy of the report, and, if so, do you think it is
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Horner, I
22	consider your question an insult to my integrity. The
23	Staff Director has already told you that she has not
24	distributed the report to anyone.
25	COMMISSIONER HORNER: I wonder if you had

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Although I consider it an
2	insult
3	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Sorry.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: to my integrity, I am
5	going to answer the question. You have been in
6	Washington for a long time. Since you have made
7	directed this question to me, a point of personal
8	privilege.
9	You've been in Washington long enough in
10	high-level jobs to know there's something called a
11	"leak". So, to even ask me this question presumes that
12	you don't understand that, and you also have been
13	around this town long enough to know that the press
14	reports all sorts of things that may or may not be
15	accurate.
16	So, to presume after the Staff Director has
17	told you that she has not, if I understood her right,
. 18	is that correct? You haven't given this report to
19	anybody?
20	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: I have not given the
21	report to anyone.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. And I do not have
23	a copy of the report. Okay? And if you want to know
24	whether I commented to the press, I'd be happy to.
25	answer that. Would you like to know that?

1	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Madam Chair, I have
2	asked a very simple
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have answered the
4	question.
5	COMMISSIONER HORNER: and straightforward
6	
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have answered your
8	question.
9	COMMISSIONER HORNER: question. I would
LO	like to know whether the Wall Street Journal is in
L1	error in quoting you that the draft report confirms
L2	certain facts which would imply that you had that
L3	you were privy to the draft report.
L 4	I do certainly do not believe that the
L5	Staff Director is misrepresenting her own actions of
L6	alternative sources for receipt of a report, and what I
L7 .	want to know as a Commissioner is whether you are
L8 -	speaking to the press about the contents of a report,
L9	such contents the other Commissioners having been
20	denied. That's all.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Horner, you
22	are not my boss, and I don't have to respond to you.
23	Okay? So, you do not have to speak to me as if I'm a
24 .	subordinate that you are interrogating. That's Number
25	1. I'm not your subordinate. I do not work for you.

1	Let me make that very clear in case you don't know it.
2	So, I don't like the tone and tenor of your question,
3	and I don't like the implication of it, and I don't
4	like the insult to my integrity.
5	Now, to answer the question, I have no copy
6	of this report, do not have it. Okay?
7	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Have you seen it?
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No. Are you a lawyer?
9	COMMISSIONER HORNER: I am a member of the
10	Commission.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have answered you.
12	Asked, answered.
13	Now if you'd like to know what I was
14	commenting on in the press, and if other Commissioners
15	would like to know, other Commissioners I have
16	discussed this with who have asked me what I was
17 .	commenting on in a polite way, and I have answered
18	them. So, I will answer those who haven't heard it.
19	I was asked by the press to comment on some
20	information that they already had leaked to them
21	concerning the New York hearing report, and I was asked
22	whether I thought this information sounded like there
23	were problems on Wall Street, and whether it was
24	consistent with what I had heard at the hearing, what I
25	had read in the materials, and what I had read in the

1	staff report, and what I knew about problems on Wall
2	Street, and I responded to the press, and it sounded to
3	me very much like I would say that this was probably
4	accurate in terms of problems on Wall Street.
5	We had heard this at the hearing. It was in
6	the staff report, and that therefore while the report
7	is confidential and is a draft, and we've not received
8	it yet, and they would know about it when we had it, it
9	sounds to me like there are major problems on Wall
LO	Street, and that's my view, and that what they told me
11	sounded like it was probably accurate. That was my
12	answer.
13	COMMISSIONER HORNER: So that when you cited
L4	the draft report confirms something, you are speaking
15	about a draft report you haven't seen; you're
16	confirming something on the basis of what you've heard
17	from a reporter?
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
1.9	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And on the basis of what
21	I heard and read in the staff report and heard at the
22	New York hearing, which is in the transcript, and for
23	those of you who were not at the New York hearing or
24	did not read the transcript or don't know about the
25	testimony or the staff report, that the information
	הצברווידווד רחווסי סבסחסייסט דאר

1	about the small number of minorities on wall Street in
2	high-level jobs, and for those of you who do not know
3	about the EEO-1 reports that come out every year and
4	are available to the public, and which I have seen,
5	which confirm this, that is exactly what is confirmed
6	in them.
7	So, I felt entirely comfortable in speaking
8	to the press about this subject when they already had
9	information. Now where they got it from, I don't know.
10	COMMISSIONER HORNER: If they're correct in
11	what they have?
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, if they're correct.
13	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Which is an assumption
14	that perhaps we ought not to make in talking with them.
L5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, I assumed my
L6	inferences were based on what was in the staff report,
L7	what was in the transcript of the hearing that we did
L8	in New York, and what is in the EEO-1 report on
L9	industry, whether or not it was true. But it sounded
20	like it was the same information.
21	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah. Anyone else have a
23	question on the Staff Director's Report?
24	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Well, I have I
25	have a question. I got the February 2nd update on the

1	Sonoma County. Anything further about that or
2	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: I am going to I'm
3	sorry, Madam Chair. May I speak?
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Go right ahead.
5	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: May I defer to Cruz
6	Reynoso, Vice Chair, sorry, or Yvonne Lee for the
7	latest update?
8	I have received confirmation from Phil Montez
9	indicating that he is willing to go ahead without
10	issuing subpoenas for
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why?
12	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Based on the
13	confirmation from several police chiefs and training
14	officials that they would appear at the hearing or any
15	forum without being subpoenaed.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, Phil then, if I'm
17	hearing you right, Phil has told you that the police
18	now say they will show up without being subpoenaed?
19	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Correct.
2.0	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And Phil then has said
21	what? That we should do what?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: That that we could go
23	ahead and have the hearing
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Have a hearing or have a
25	forum?

1	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Have I'm sorry. Have
2	have a forum. I'm not used to all these words.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
4	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Have a forum with the
5	Commissioners who plan to attend as well as the SAC
6	members.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And we don't need to have
8	a hearing? Is that the point with subpoenas?
9	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: With with subpoenas,
LO	yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, a hearing has
L2	subpoenas. So, we don't need to is that his point?
L3	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Without subpoenas.
L4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That we would have the
L5	forum? Well, let me see if I got this straight.
L6	Cruz?
L7	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, no, I'm
L8	sorry. This is actually new to me because the latest I
L·9	had heard was simply that we were proceeding with
20	with what we had voted on, the mini-hearing, but that
21	even though a week or two ago, I had talked with
22	with Phil, and at that point, he needed he thought
23	he still needed the subpoenas, the latest I heard was
24	that apparently all the officials he had contacted
25	indicated that they would that they would appear

1	without without the subpoena.
2	So, he has not submitted to this office the
3	necessary information for the issuance of subpoenas
4	because he has the assurance from the police chiefs and
5	others that he has been in contact with that they will
6	appear.
7	So, as I understood it, the only difference
8	is that he doesn't think he needs the subpoenas for the
9	public officials. That's what I understood.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now if that's true, then
11	what we could do is just have the forum and do like we
12	did when Carl and I went to where did we go? St.
13	Petersburg, and like we did with the church fires, and
14	I guess the advantage of that is that the SAC members
15	would then be able to ask questions as they did there;
16	whereas if it's a hearing, then the Commissioners would
17	be the people asking questions, and that the outcome
18	then, if this is all and you're saying that this is
19	the case, would be desirable because then we wouldn't
20	have to subpoena anybody, and people would come forward
21	voluntarily, and that the idea of subpoenaing had a
22	salutary effect because people are saying, well, we'll
23	come. So, you end up with the same result.
24	I mean if if that's the case?
25	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: That was my discussion

1	as of yesterday.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And you wouldn't have to
3	use staff from here to go out to California.
4	COMMISSIONER LEE: Madam Chair?
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Lee?
6	COMMISSIONER LEE: Does that mean that after
7	the forum,
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commission report.
9	COMMISSIONER LEE: Commission report.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah. If it's if it's
11	done by the SAC, like we did in other places, and the
12	Commissioners who go, who decide to go, go with the
13	SACs hearing it and and running it, then it would be
14	a SAC meeting. We wouldn't need lawyers to have
15	subpoenas. We wouldn't need staff to go out there to
16	pay people and handle logistics.
17	So, if that's the outcome, then it would be
18	- hey, in a way, it would be great. Would answer a lot
19	of questions about resources.
20	What do you think, Commissioner Anderson,
21	since you were the person who was willing to go, about
22	all this development?
23	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Well, I think if
24	we're getting compliance without subpoenas, it's better
25	than forcing subpoenas on people.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Hm-hmm.
2	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Would a letter go out
3	to the people invited to testify, explaining to them
4	that this is now just a state advisory committee
5	inquiry or forum with some Commissioners in attendance,
6	so that they understand accurately what the status of
7	this inquiry is?
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I guess they would get
9	the same letter that the SAC always sends, because we
10	haven't sent any letters. Nothing has gone to them
11	from here.
12	COMMISSIONER HORNER: We've asked them only
13	by telephone?
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah. If people have
15	been talking on the telephone, I think is that
16	right, Ruby? I'm trying to
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: I'm sorry. I was
18	referring to we received a sample packet of I'm
19 .	trying to find out from my assistant, the package of
20	letters, was it? Inviting the
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Just a minute.
22	(Pause)
23	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Okay. It was a list of
24	invitees that Phil Montez had sent out inviting these
25	participants.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Had he invited them
2	already or are you saying it's a list of people he's
3	going to invite?
4	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: No. These these
5	these had already gone out. These were copies.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, we'd need another
7	letter. If the letter says it's a mini-hearing, then
8	Commissioner Horner is making the right point, which is
9	that if we're not going to have a mini-hearing, then
LO	they should get another letter saying it's a SAC forum.
L1	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Right.
L2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that they're not
L3	confused.
L 4	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Right.
L 5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And Phil might even tell
L6	them that he's, you know, pleased at their willingness
L 7	to come.
L8	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: So noted.
9 .	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah. Because we don't
20	want them to think it's a hearing.
21	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Assuming they are still
22	willing to come to a SAC forum.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, didn't you get
24	didn't you say you got something from Phil saying they
25	are willing what are they willing to come to?

1	Are they willing to show up without being
2	subpoenaed? That's the point.
3	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes, they are willing to
4	I have copies of two, one from the Chief of Police
5	of Santa Rosa, who indicated that they would be there
6	without subpoena. The other one was it's the same
7	one. Sorry.
8	This is what Phil Montez has told me, that
9	the Chief of Rohnert Park, the Sheriff of Sonoma
10	County, and the Chief of Santa Rosa County, training
11 .	officers and community people were willing to come
12	without subpoenas.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is this the same letter
14	that somebody just gave me?
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Well, Madam Chair,
1.8	could we maybe see a copy of the letter?
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Sure. Why not? Do you
20	have another copy?
21	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: No.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, make one.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Okay.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Or some.
25	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Okay.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, it
2	seems to me that if if Phil and he's apparently
3	been spending a lot of time in Sonoma, is confident
4	that all these people will show up, I agree with
5	Commissioner Anderson that that it's better to do it
6	that way and not have people feel they've been forced
7	to to appear.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It says this letter
9	which you'll get says down at the bottom, "The issuance
10	of subpoenas will contribute toward creating courtroom-
11 .	type adversarial situation, and we do not believe this
12	would be in the best interests of our communities nor
13	will it necessarily facilitate the exchange of
14	information."
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, I'm sorry. You folks
17	on the phone can't see these letters, can you? Hello?
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: No, we can't.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee,
20	Commissioner Redenbaugh, Commissioner George?
21	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Right. You're correct.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Let me tell you what
23	we've got here. We've got a memo from Phil Montez,
24	February 4th, says, yes? Yes, Vice Chair?
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I think probably
	EVECUMENT COURSE DEPONDED A TYC

1	we should just look at
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which two should we look
3	at?
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Sonoma County. I
5	think it's the next one.
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Maybe we should just
7	read it, Madam Chair. Read the letter from
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which one? The Sonoma
9	County Law Enforcement Chiefs?
10	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I'd like to hear that
13	one read. I think the one from Phil has been pretty
14	well described.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, okay. I've been
16	informed this is from the Sonoma County Law
17	Enforcement Chiefs Association to Phil. Okay?
18	"I've been informed that the U.S. Commission
19	on Civil Rights will be holding a public hearing in
20	Santa Rosa, California, February 20th. The topic will
21	be focused on law enforcement and community
22	relationships in Sonoma County. I've been further
23	informed that the United States Commission on Civil
24	Rights has acted on fraudulent information or mis-
25	representations.

1	Specifically, some individuals were
2	apparently successful in convincing the Commission that
3	law enforcement representatives in Sonoma County would
4	not be responsive to an invitation to attend this
5	hearing, and that subpoenas would be required to ensure
6	such a presence.
7	It is truly unfortunate that a body as
8	esteemed as the Commission would be misled on such an
9	important issue. It is also extremely troublesome that
10	the Commission would react on such false information
11	without demonstrating objectivity by first contacting a
12	law enforcement person in Sonoma County in order to
13	confirm or deny such an outrageous allegation.
14	On behalf of the Sonoma County Law
15	Enforcement Chiefs Association, I'm writing to request
16	that the Commission be informed that they've been
17 .	terribly misled. All of the law enforcement
18	professionals in Sonoma County are more than willing to
19	attend and participate in a public hearing with the
20	Commission without threat of service of subpoena.
21	We welcome the Commission's presence and look
22	forward to participating in the public hearing. The
23	issuance and service of subpoenas will by their very
24 .	nature contribute toward creating a courtroom-style
25	adversarial situation

1	We do not believe that this would be in the
2	best interests of our communities nor will it
3	necessarily facilitate the exchange of information. In
4	fact, it could only add to the potential for
5	divisiveness.
6	Although we do not know what the Commission's
7	motives are due to lack of information from the
8	Commission, nevertheless, we would find it hard to
9	believe that the Commission would wish to contribute
10	toward creating any further divisiveness within our
11	community.
12	In essence, we are depending upon the
13	Commission's positive leadership to correct this error.
14	It is very unfortunate the Commission has been misled.
15	This undoubtedly would have been averted had anyone
16	from law enforcement been contacted prior to the
17	Commission making a decision.
18	Fortunately, the deception appears to have
19	surfaced early enough to allow the Commission to
20	reconsider the issuance of any subpoenas. This matter
21	certainly raises the question of what other false
22	information has been delivered to the Commission.
23	Your assistance with communicating this
24	request to the Commission would be most appreciated.
25	Michael Dunbaugh, Chief of Police, Santa Rosa, on

1	behalf of the Sonoma County Law Enforcement Chiefs
2	Association".
3	I think it's only fair since I read this to
4	you to read Phil's I have to read Phil's note
5	because it says that we were misled, and Phil is the
6	one who gave us the information. That's where we got
7	our information.
8	Phil has a note to Ruby which says, "Early in
9	WRO", that's Western Regional Office, "initial inquiry
10	into this matter", this is dated February 4th, "I was
11	advised by an individual within Sonoma County law
12	enforcement that law enforcement officials should not
13	be expected to cooperate with this agency on any fact-
14	finding efforts, and that official attendance can only
15	be guaranteed through the use of subpoena.
16	The individual provided me with this
17	information did so on the condition that he remain
18	anonymous. After carefully weighing all factors
19 ·	surrounding the scope of this inquiry, I consider the
20	information provided to me to be credible and reliable
21	and have proceeded accordingly in requesting that
22	witnesses be subpoenaed.
23	Moreover, to avoid the appearance of singling
24	out or targeting the law enforcement community, it is
25	our desire that all witnesses be subpoenaed regardless

T	of the extent of their cooperation.
2	Copies of other correspondence advising
3	public officials of this event are being forwarded to
4	you via overnight mail."
5	I don't know what the Commission prefers to
6	do, but I think that and you may say whatever you
7	think we should do, of course, but I do not think that
8	we should get into trying to decide, you know, whether
9	somebody did tell somebody X, Y or Z or whether
LO	somebody mis-communicated something.
11	Mr. Dunbaugh says apparently some individuals
L2	convinced us that they would not be responsive as to
13	who those individuals were.
14	I also think it points out something else.
L5	In the past, we've subpoenaed witnesses without
L6	targeting any particular group precisely for the reason
17	that we didn't want any particular group to claim that
L8	we thought that they were uncooperative, and, so, just
L.9	the policy of subpoenaing everybody made it clear that
20	nobody was being targeted, and people who wanted to be
21	protected could be.
22	But wherever that issue lies, and that debate
23	may be for another day, we need to decide whether we
24	are in accord with the view that what we should do is
25	just go ahead and have the forum and have letters go to

1	people saying we chank them for their cooperation, but
2	it will be a forum and appreciate their willingness to
3	come.
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I
5	just want to add one word. I talked with Phil a couple
6	of times after he had met with several of the of the
7	police officials, and it was his impression from his
8	personal meetings, not just from what he says in the
9	memo, that it would be that that the individuals
10	would not cooperate.
. 11	It may be there's been a change since that
12	time because I understand there have been some articles
13	printed up there. I haven't seen them. There's been a
14	change in the thinking, but I think we should welcome
15	that change and proceed as we discussed a few minutes
16	ago.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any other Commissioner
· · 18	have any comment on this question?
19	(No response)
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, yes?
. 21	Commissioner Anderson?
22	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'm almost without
23	words here because there seems to be really a very
24	clear difference between the recitation here on the
25	part of the chief and what we're getting from our

1	regional director, frankly, and there may be there
2	may be a change of decision on the part of this Law
3	Enforcement Chiefs Association, although his clear
4	representation is that there has not been a change in
5	position, and I would say that if our initial decision
6	was based on on an anonymous recommendation by one
7	person without contacting law enforcement officials who
8	actually will make the decision, I think that was a
9	mistake in judgment.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I agree with you.
11	That's why I added the comment. Because this this
12	notice actually is dated February 4th or something very
13	recent, and the discussions I had with Phil pre-dated
14	this, and at that time, that's what he reported to me.
15	I think I think I I made such a report
16	at the last at the last meeting. That would be a
17	month ago.
18	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: So, Phil
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And he had already
20	reported to me that he had met with some of the
21	officials, and that was his strong impression from
22	meeting with him. So but I agree with you, that if
23	simply based on anonymous source, I think that's bad
24	judgment, but he had reported to me, I guess, over a
25	month ago, because we had a meeting a month ago, that
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS. INC.

1	he had met with many of the officials, and it was his
2	impression from meeting with them, they would not
3	appear without the subpoena, and on that basis, he
4	recommended that we should subpoena.
5	Then we asked the question whether non-public
6	officials needed subpoenas, and I think he was on the
7	phone, his reaction was negative. So that we voted to
8	subpoena only the public officials, and I think the
9	Chair is phrasing the question as to whether or not
10	that was wise or not, but I think that's what we voted
11	·to do.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: But Phil does not say
13	in his memo to you
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's not to me. It's to
15	
16	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: To the Staff
17	Director,
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: that he
20	subsequently then
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I read it.
22	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: met with law
23	enforcement officials and was told or had the
24	impression that they would not attend without a
25	subpoena. All right. That's not in his memo. Where

1	is it?
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The only thing that's in
3	the memo is what I read.
4	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Right. So, what he's
5	related to the Vice Chair is not in the memorandum.
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: That's correct, as
7	I read it.
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Because what he's
9	told you contradicts what the chief has put here in
10	writing on behalf of all of the law enforcement
11	personnel in the area.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah. Again, the
13	chief's letter's dated January 26th, and the
14	discussions I had with him apparently must have been a
15	month ago because I remember reporting that to the
16	Commission. So, that's why I'm these are all sort
17	of late late late letter and late memo, and the
18	discussions I had with him obviously pre-dated our last
19 .	meeting, and I reported what what his impressions
20	were at that time.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I don't think
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I thought we asked
23	him about that on the phone, too, and he
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I thought we did.
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: confirmed that.
	EVECUMENT COMME DEDODMEDC THE

1	rean.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I don't think
3	Commissioner Anderson, I don't think we can reach any
4	conclusions about where the true lies on the question
5	of whether people are willing or unwilling as between
6	Phil and this letter because, first of all, we have
7	before held forums where law enforcement officials
8	indicated they would come on the church fires, and
9	there was one state, I've forgotten which one it was we
10	went to, where none of them showed up. They all said
11	they'd come. Letters went out, and their leaders
12	decided not to come.
13	The only people who came were the FBI guy and
L 4	somebody from the ATF, and none of the locals came. I
15	don't remember what state that was, and it was
16	whatever state it was, I said something about it, about
L 7	the governor and the state people. I wish I could
L8	think, but I don't remember which one.
L9 .	So that I I could see a situation and I
20	don't know whether this is true or false. I mean I
21	don't know the answer. Where once it became public
22	that people were going to be subpoenaed because someone
23	had alleged or said that they wouldn't come, where
24	other people who got together and who were able to make

a decision decided this is ridiculous.

25

1	So, some of them may never have been asked in
2	the first place, and some of them maybe have decided to
3	come because they knew they were going to be subpoenaed
4	or, in the alternative, Mr. Montez may simply have not
5	asked enough people and felt that he had asked enough,
6	and, so, he may have used bad judgment or it may be
7	that the idea of subpoenaing them made them willing to
8	participate.
9	But I just think that as far as we're
10	concerned, what we should do is simply have the forum
11 .	and have a letter go to people thanking them for being
12	willing to come and saying that we don't you know,
13	we don't know whatever the facts are on the
14	situation, we're happy that they are able to come, and
15	Mr. Montez, in order to protect himself, may next time
16	have to go ask the officials.
17	But in these cases, also, you often get
18	people who are not willing to go on the public record.
19	If they work in the law enforcement the chief's
20	office or some place, they may not want it publicly
21	stated that they said that nobody in the office would -
22	come. I mean that's a problem, which is why we
23	subpoena people.
24	Commissioner Horner?
25	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Yes. I would just make
	EVECIMINE COMPA DEPODARDO TRO

1	an observation with no consequences for action, but my
2	observation is that they have agreed to come to a
3	hearing, but they have not agreed to come to a SAC
4	inquiry, and the difference in status is of some
5	consequence, and I would just make that observation.
6	So, we shouldn't write a letter saying thank
7	you for agreeing to come to a SAC forum when they have
8	not.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, then maybe
10	the letter
11 .	COMMISSIONER HORNER: We should just let them
12	know that there's been a change.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. Maybe the letter
14	ought to say that, you know, in view of their
15	willingness to come and so on, that the Commission has
16	not has decided not to hold a hearing which would
17	require subpoenaing people, but simply let the SAC
. 18	proceed with the forum, and that we appreciate would
19	appreciate their willingness to cooperate and to show
20	up.
21	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that, you know, we can
23	get to the bottom of whatever these problems are.
24	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Yes, I think that would

25

be good.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, could we make sure
2	that that happens?
3	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So nobody is and to
5	make sure that the letter has that right kind of
6	information in it.
7	Yes, Commissioner Anderson?
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I agree with you. I
9	don't think we're going to get to the bottom of this at
10	this meeting. I I would hope that any communication
11	we have with the chiefs or any public communication we
12	have is not going to infer that they've changed their
13	mind. We're happy too, that they've changed their
14	position and now will come without subpoenas.
15	I think we ought to have a certain neutrality
16	about this, and I would suggest to the Staff Director
17	that I would look into this matter and just see how we
18	approach these kind of local government officials for
19	participating in our forums or in our hearings prior to
20	issuing subpoenas, so that we're sure that we really do
21	concur that subpoenas are needed, and we have and we
22	have a basis to make that judgment in the future.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So that the letter then
24	Staff Director, so that you're clear, the letter is
25	not to say that they've changed their position, and

1	we're happy about it. That's not to be said in the
2	letter.
3	But it is to say that the Commission has
4	decided to hold a forum instead to let the SAC
5	proceed with holding a forum rather than holding a
6	hearing, and appreciates their letter of whatever date
7	it is, and hopes that they will be willing to
8	participate in the forum, so that we can get to the
9	bottom or have discussions about these important issues
10	of community and police relations or something like
11	that.
12	Okay. Anything else anybody else has before
13	we mention reauthorization?
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Madam Chair?
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner
16	Redenbaugh?
17	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I have a question
18	on for the Staff Director on ADA project.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: May we do this now?
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Sure.
22	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Do you have
23	anything to announce about that?
24	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes. We we have a
25	problem I'm sorry, Madam Chair. May I speak?

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.
2	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Thank you. We have a
3	problem in securing hotel space right now, and we're
4	still looking to optional dates and hotel sites for the
5	ADA hearing. I have some possible suggested dates, if
6	we could go through them, and this is what is needed
7	right now.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Those are all I can't
9	do it in March. This date. There's a date of April
10	24th-25th. Anybody know what days of the week?
1 1	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I cannot do those.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You already know that
13	without even knowing what days of the week they are?
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes, I have a
15	wedding.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh.
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Friday and Saturday.
18	. VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: My best friend's
19	wedding.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, great. I hope it
21	won't be like the movie.
22	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Mary, what were the
23	dates we're talking about?
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I said the 24th-25th, but
25	Russell just said he can't do that. So, that took care
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

1	of that little
2	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: The 24th and the 25th is
3	Friday-Saturday.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Russell's in a wedding.
5	In going backwards, well, we also need to keep in
6	mind that at some point after that, we have to have
7	we have to have Schools and Religion, but that's
8	another question that we haven't scheduled yet.
9	Then from there, we would go May 7th and 9th.
10	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: The 7th is a Thursday.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We meet on the
12	Commission meeting is on the 8th.
13	What did you say, Commissioner Lee?
14	COMMISSIONER LEE: I can't do it on the 7th.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You can't do it on the
16	7th.
17	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: When is the
18	Commission meeting?
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: May 7th through 9th, and
20	the Commission meeting is on the 8th.
21	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I'm sorry. I'm lost.
22	Are we talking about Schools and Religion?
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, no, no. I just said
24	I just said keep in mind that as we try to nail down
25	ADA, that we do have a Schools and Religion hearing
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

1	some time after that. In other words, as we keep
2	moving around dates. So, we're trying to find a date
3	for it.
4	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: The 8th and 9th were
5	proposed?
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This is one date, and the
7	Commission meets on May 8th.
8	Commissioner Horner said she doesn't have her
9	calendar, but her calendar probably has a Commission
10	meeting on it.
11	COMMISSIONER HORNER: I'm sure I can do it on
12	the 8th and the 9th. So.
13	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I can do it, too.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And
15	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Excuse me, Madam
16	Chair.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes?
18	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: The the ADA is
19	two days?
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Two.
21	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. So, it would
22	be the 7th and 8th, is that
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is that right? We would
24	say
25	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Because the 9th I
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

1	believe to be a Saturday.
2	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: That's correct.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The 9th is Saturday.
4	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Right.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, if we had it the 7th
6	and the 8th, some people could come on the 8th because
7	that's supposed to be a Commission meeting day, even if
8	they don't know whether they can come on the 7th yet.
9	COMMISSIONER HORNER: My guess is that I can.
10	I'm not sure.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Where is it going
12	to be?
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Here in Washington.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Commissioner Lee,
16	you're saying you can you could be here on the 8th
17	but not the 7th?
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What about other
20	Commissioners? Anybody can't be here the 7th or the
21	8th?
22	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I can be here.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How many people how
24	many people know they can be here on the 7th? We need
25	at least five to

1	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I can be here on
2	the 7th.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
4	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I can, Mary.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, we can have at least
6	five to start. Okay. And Commissioner Horner may be
7	able to be here and knows she can be here on the 8th.
8	Okay. Well, why don't we then try to nail
9	down does this mean we can actually get it on this
10	day, the 7th? I mean does we can? Okay. So, why
11	don't we go to the 7th and the May 7th and 9th?
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: 7th and 7th and
13	8th or 8th and 9th?
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I'm sorry. 7th and 8th.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So, that's the ADA
17	change.
18	All right. Thank you, Russell, for raising
19	that.
20	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. I have
21	another question about that for the Staff Director.
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes?
23	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I think it would be
24	more helpful for myself and probably other
25	Commissioners, too, if you if the Staff Director's

1	report and this ADA report contain more be more
2	forward-looking and contain more commitments rather
3	than a reporting of things that haven't happened.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You mean like include
5	when something is expected?
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes. There was a
7	lot of explanation about what hasn't isn't
8	happening.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What isn't happening.
LO	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: It would be good, I
Ll	think, to have that include what we expect.
.2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Staff Director?
L3	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Commissioner Redenbaugh,
14	that's because the report that you have covers a
.5	certain period of time. I guess what I could do is if
.6	I had questions, I could just include a sheet of paper
.7	to all Commissioners in your mail-out, here are the
.8	following questions, bring your calendars, we may have.
.9	dates to consider.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No, no, no, no. He's
21	asking whether in the Staff Director's report, where it
22	summarizes over a period of time what the offices have
23	done or not done, which is what we get all the time,
:4	and that's the standard practice,

STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Right.

25

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: could we also have
2	included in there under each office things that are
3	projected to happen, like we'll be doing the ADA
4	hearing on X day or we expect to get the L.A. and
5	what's the other one?
6	Good morning, Leon.
7	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Good morning. I
8	was in a car headed for Philadelphia. The driver took
9	the wrong route. So, we just it's a long story, but
10	I'm on now, and I'll be on.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Thank you very
12	much.
13	We are discussing the Staff Director's
14	report.
15	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Mary, can I also can
16	I take this opportunity to ask to get off the phone and
17	come over to the Commission? Can I do that without
18	disconnecting Leon and Russell?
19 .	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I don't know. Yes, he
20	can.
21	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Okay. So, if I
22	should I just hang up the phone?
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: They're nodding their
24	heads.
25	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Okay. Thank you. I'll
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

1	see you in a few minutes.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The but but did you
3	understand that point, what he's talking about?
4	Saying, you know, we expect to have the L.A. report to
5	the Commission by X time. We expect to have is that
6	what you mean, Russell, or what do you mean?
7	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes, yes, that's
8	exactly what I mean, more forward-looking than more
9	announcing what's going to happen than what isn't.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No. Just leave him off,
11	please. Thank you. Forward-looking. Okay.
12	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Thank you.
13	Reauthorization
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Reauthorization. You
15	the bill was passed. There are two points that we need
16	to discuss and maybe more, if anybody's got any they
17	want to discuss.
18	One is there was a proposal at the
19 .	reauthorization hearing from Congressman Scott. It was
20	a bi-partisan bill, Scott and Canady, Canady-Scott, and
21	the proposal was made that the Commission's
22	reauthorization be for 10 years, I think that's right
23	am I right? 10 years?
24	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: To FY
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 2008 or something.

1	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: 2008.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Which would be about 10
3	years, and that the Commissioners' terms, which are no
4	six years, remain at six years rather than being cut
5	back to four years.
6	Representative Canady agreed to discuss this
7	with Representative Scott and to come to some
8	resolution of that point, that they would discuss it,
9	before the full committee hearing, and Congressman
10	Scott then withdrew the amendment on the basis that the
11 .	two of them will get together and figure out what they
12	want to do about this, and, so, the query for
13	Commissioners is: do you have any interest in
14	proposing that the Commission's reauthorization be
15	extended and that the terms remain as they are as a
16	basis for their discussion that they're going to have
17	before the before the full committee or do you wish
18	to have any input in this matter at all? That's the
19 .	first question.
20	Yes, Vice Chair?
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, Madam Chair
22	I I certainly would like to have some input.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: You want it now?
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, Madam Chair,
2	I've got to express to my fellow Commissioners my great
3	dismay at this reauthorization bill.
4	We have a a report, a short memo, from our
5	General Counsel which summarizes the suggestions as
6	following: overall, the proposed bill contains a
7	potpourri of provisions clearly designed to exert
8	heightened and unprecedented congressional control over
9	the program and operations of the Commission.
10	The General Counsel, of course, is being very
11	third partyish and and professional. I would
12	translate the term "congressional control" to political
13	control. I see this effort as a as an effort by
14	Congress to control this Commission, how it operates,
15	have the terms be concurrent with a political change-
16	over in in political leadership, and I view it as a
17	straight effort at politicizing this this
· 18	Commission.
19	I object to practically every single
20	suggestion involved in those changes, and I would
21	suggest that we have a serious discussion about the
22	possible effects on how this Commission operates if
23	this were to go through and see whether or not we have
24	a consensus as to what we ought to do about it.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. How would you

1	does anyone else have any comments before I ask the
2	Vice Chair how he wishes to proceed in this regard?
3	(No response)
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Do you have some kind of
5	motion or you just want to have a discussion or what?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, I I would
7	I would
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And also first, I would
9	ask, though, because I need are people interested in
10	the two questions that I posed about the term of the
11	reauthorization and the and the terms of the
12	Commissioners? Before we get into any other matters
13	that anybody wants to discuss.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, I'm
15	certainly interested in the terms of the Commissioners.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What's going on? Oh,
17	okay. Yes, I understand. Yes.
18	Vice Chair?
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yes. Well, of the
20	two matters that you mentioned, Madam Chair, I'm more
21	interested in discussing the maybe we can discuss
22	them both, but certainly reauthorization for a longer
23	term provides greater stability to the Commission.
24 .	But I'm particularly concerned about the
25	four-year terms suggested for the Staff Director and

1	for the Commissioners because it seems to me that's a
2	clear effort at politicizing this this Commission,
3	and I'd like to have a discussion on that.
4	If you'd like a motion on the table, I'd be
5	happy to make a motion that we oppose the suggested
6	change.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Go ahead. Make a
8	motion
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I so move.
LO	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: for purposes of
L1	discussion.
L2	Anybody want to second it for purposes of
L3	COMMISSIONER LEE: I second it.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: discussion? Okay.
L5	Discussion? Is there anyone who is opposed
L6	to the idea of saying that it should remain at six
L 7 .	years for whatever reason or who wishes to discuss it
L8	at all?
L9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, Madam Chair,
20	I I want to make clear that it's been my supposition
21	since this Commission was first formed in the '50s that
22	that there was an effort by Congress precisely to
23	not make the years of the term be one where it could
24 .	where the Commission could could be changed that
25	quickly with any political leadership in Congress or

1	or the White House, and the effort was made to have
2	this Commission be an independent one, and one of the
3	ways that that it was suggested it be done and
4	successfully is to make the term six-year terms, so
5	that there's that overlap with political change because
6	this Commission is not supposed to be responsive to
7	to any immediate change in Congress or or the White
8	House.
9	It's supposed to be serving both of them but
LO	be independent, and it seems to me that that's a good
L1	aim, and that the six-year term goes a long ways to
L2	assuring that. Obviously it doesn't assure it
L3	completely, as we know, but I think it's a good step in
L4	that direction.
L5	To cut it to four years, I think, practically
L6	assures the further politicizing of this Commission,
L7	which I think would be a great disservice to the
L8	country.
L9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Horner?
20	COMMISSIONER HORNER: There's always a
21	tension between in government, in any branch,
22	between reflectiveness of the sentiments of the
23	electorate and independents of the sentiment of the
24	electorate.
25	Six years strikes me as being a very long

Τ.	term, and it is so long that it strikes me that it
2	could detach the Commission from the electorate's
3	outlook at a given time, and that a shorter term really
4	would adjust what I think of as an imbalance in that
5	respect. That's all I have to say about that.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Anyone else have any
7	yes, Commissioner Anderson?
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'd like to say,
9	first, that I think if we have votes, I would suggest
10	that we wait till Commissioner George is here, and we
11	make sure we have Commissioner Higginbotham on the
12	line. So, if we're going to vote, maybe we can defer
13	the votes to some time when we're all here later this
14	morning.
15	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: May I can you
16	hear me?
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, but Commissioner
18	Anderson is speaking. Can you hold one second, and
19	then I'll recognize you?
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I I was going to
21	say that there are days when I think the term of our
22	service ought to be more like one year than six years
23	or four years.
24	I I don't see it cutting in in the kind
25	of political way that the Vice Chair is saying. If

1	there is any temporary advantage, I think that's going
2	to work itself out. I don't feel terribly strongly one
3	way or the other, whether it's a four-year term or a
4	six-year term.
5	I do think it perhaps puts us in a kind of
6	a strange position of arguing for the length of our
7	terms, but I would be I'd be willing to settle for
8	four years, if that's what the Congress wants to do, If
9	they want to do six years. I'm not sure if we've got
LO	negotiations going on between the Republicans on the
Ll	committee and the Democrats on the committee, it makes
L2	a lot of sense for us to get in the middle of that on
13	this kind of an issue.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Judge Higginbotham, did
L5	you want to say something? We're talking about the
L6	terms.
L7 ·	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: You know what? I
L8	am getting close to a hotel where I should have been a
L9	long while ago, and I just want to say that I may be
Q.S	off the phone for about 10 minutes, and I'd be pleased
21	to vote on anything 10 to 15 minutes from now, but if
22	we get tied up, the Vice Chair expressed my thoughts.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, we're
24	we're waiting also for Commissioner George, who is
25	coming from one place to this place, before we vote on

1	anything. So, there will be time for you to get into
2	the hotel. Do we know where he's going?
3	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Yes, it's the
4	Omni Hotel.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. So, if
6	we lose you, we will
7	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: They know how to
8	reach me at the Omni Hotel.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. And but we're
10	not going to vote until both you and Commissioner
11	George, who is on his way here from somewhere,
12	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Okay. All right.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: get back and are in a
14	position, but we are having this discussion.
15	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Okay. Well, I'll
16	call you back as soon as I get in.
1.7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Does anybody else
18	have any comments on the on the term issue at this
19	time? Otherwise, what we can do is go to the next
20	issue, and yes?
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: Madam Chair, I do. I
22	think the Commission has been viewed as an independent,
23	bi-partisan agency since its inception, and because of
24	that, I guess the public has valued the work of this
25	agency, whether it's a fact-finding mission or reports

1	that we've issued, and it's that independence that we
2	have that gives us the ability in the public eye, that
3	our opinions, our reports, our recommendations are not
4	based on political or other issues, and the fact that
5	the current proposal asking for four-year terms, I
6	agree with the Vice Chair. We're just politicizing the
7	Commission, thinking that we're serving at the pleasure
8	of whoever is so-called in charge politically.
9	Therefore, the work of this
10	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: I'm going to sign
11	off. I'll be with you in about 15 minutes.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Yes, Commissioner
13	Lee?
14	COMMISSIONER LEE: That the role of the
15	Commission will be changing every time there's a
16	political change-over, and that message is important in
17	civil rights monitoring and that does a dis-service to
18	the public, and I strongly agree with the Vice Chair
19	that we should oppose the four-year proposal on that
20	basis, and I certainly hope that we can do that.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Anderson?
22	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'm trying to see how
23	this politicizes it. If the bill is enacted, then the
24	term of office affects no one currently on the
25	Commission. We have two Commissioners' terms expiring
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS INC

1	this year, the end of this year.
2	So, they would be replaced by an appointment
3	for a four-year term, which puts it into the next
4	Administration for reappointment. Now how does that
5	change or politicize the appointment?
6	The four congressional appointments stay with
7	the political party that does the appointment whether
8	it's a four-year or six-year term, unless we're
9	assuming that the Executive Branch is going to change
10	parties, but again I don't understand how it
11	politicizes the appointment of the presidential
12	commissioners, presidentially-appointed commissioners.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I guess it depends on
14	timing and whether there are changes. The history of
15	the provision, the reason why it was made six years to
16	begin with, when there were six commissioners and they
17	were all appointed by the President, was the the
18	intent was to avoid having a change every time an
19	Administration changed. That was the original intent
20	way back, and the idea then was that the Commission is
21	not supposed to represent the views of the electorate
22	on any particular election.
23	The Commission is supposed to, much like the
24	checks and balances in our system, where the rights of
25	a minority are protected, whether or not the majority

1	wants them protected, this Commission was supposed to
2	as a body look out for the protection of rights,
3	whether or not the electorate wanted rights protected,
4	that this is not a question that is raised at each
5	election, and even if it is, that people's rights don't
6	depend on who gets elected.
7	I mean that was the theoretical concept, and
8	Dwight Eisenhower and Mr. Brownell, who was his
9	Attorney General, according to Arthur Fleming, God rest
10	his soul, were willing to live with that notion, and
11	the members of Congress who put the Commission in on a
12	bi-partisan basis were willing to live with that
13	notion, and that the effort is to avoid political
14	influence, which is the reason why in the history of
15	the Commission, whenever the White House or the
16	Congress tried to get the Commission not to release
17	reports or not to do certain things or to shape what
18	the Commission was going to say or to keep the
19 .	Commission from going some place or whatever the
20	Commission always people in both parties always said
21	no, we're not supposed to be we don't respond to
22	political whims of the moment, and, so, the idea
23	conceptually was that.
24	Now the technical aspect of this as to what
25	the change would mean and when the dates would shift

1	and all that, I haven't analyzed, and you may be
2	correct, but, conceptually, the idea of six years was
3	so that people wouldn't get into their minds that it
4	was somehow related to when the elections occurred, you
5	somehow were supposed to change the people or
6	something. That was the whole point.
7	Yes, Commissioner Horner?
8	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Sorry. The thought
9	just slipped my mind. If you could bear with me for a
10	moment.
11	(Pause)
12	COMMISSIONER HORNER: I'll come back to it.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Commissioner
14	Redenbaugh, I'll recognize you, and then Commissioner
15	Horner.
16	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I I hadn't
17	thought about this, but I first of all, it would be
18	hard to imagine there being more political and it
19	I'm not sure it matters much, but I I in the
20	in the tradition of the Commission, you know, where
21	where the Commission was to not not necessarily
22	not be responsive to the more typical nature of the
23	electorate why was the change made for congressional
24	appointees? It looks like that was
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What did you say? When

1	or why?
2	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Well, I started
3	with when. It looks like that really changed the
4	structure of the Commission.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. The Commission
6	has been a in my view, in many ways, an abomination,
7	and I mean that word, since the change that took place,
8	even though I was involved in it.
9	Arthur Fleming said at the time, and he
10	proved to be prescient, that those of us who were angry
11 .	because President Reagan fired some of the
12	commissioners because they didn't agree with his
13	political views, including me, and then in the civil
14	rights community, they insisted on a compromise of some
15	kind after we sued the President and won in federal
16	district court, that we would rue the day we did that
17	because it would destroy the Commission as an entity
18	that could behave without political considerations, and
19	Arthur said that in the fullness of time, we should
20	have just gone our way quietly and let people take it
21	over and do whatever they wanted to with it, which is
22	what they did anyway, and not get involved in changing
23	the structure because the day we did that meant that
24	eventually we would end up with a commission that
25	wouldn't be able to take any leadership role on any

public issue, and he proved to be quite correct, and
from from that point on, I listened to my elders,
and, so, it happened, Russell, because we sued the
President, and won.
There was a compromise, and in trying to get
the groups to agree, they did not want to have a
commission where the President appointed all the
appointees because he'd have fired a bunch of people,
and the congressional people worked out with them then
a compromise, a political compromise, in that the
Congress would make some of the appointments. The
Republicans in the House would make one, the Democrats
would make the other.
COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Right.
CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Republicans right.
The one we've got now. Each party gets one the House
and Senate, and the President gets four, and we went up
to eight instead of six, and that was all designed to
keep one side or the other from making all the
·
appointments, and it at first, it didn't work
appointments, and it at first, it didn't work because the Republicans got all the six, and those of
•
because the Republicans got all the six, and those of
because the Republicans got all the six, and those of us who were here were two for a long time, and now

1	done.
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And the question is: can
3	we ever get back, or do we just keep giving way and
4	making it even more political? I guess that's really
5	the question, and
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: My own view is that
7	appointments by by the President looks like a
8	liberal political structure than the one we now have.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, yes, especially with
10	advice and consent, though.
11	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Hm-hmm.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: There's a more open
13	process. There's confirmation. Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: If we want a if
15	we want to put forward a structure that's political,
16	that would be one that I think would would do that,
17	and given that we're going to be political, perhaps we
18	ought to be more responsive. This is too large an
19	issue to have to discuss here, I suppose, but thank
20	you for the history.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Yes, Commissioner
22	Horner?
23	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Yes. I want to defend
24 .	the notion that the Commission is and should be
25	responsive, somewhat responsive to the electorate;

1	otherwise, if we simply thought there was such a thing
2	as civil rights, which is fixed for all time, we would
3	have no commission at all. We'd have a group of
4	technical experts. They'd be civil servants, and
5	they'd have lifetime tenure in the absence of some
6	wrong-doing, and that's not what we have because
7	there's a recognition that rights expand and contract
8	over time through the judiciary, for instance, through
9	the legislature.
10	We change what we think of as a right, and
11	therefore we need to have people who are appointed as
12	we do by political figures to reflect somewhat the
13	electorate's broad notion of whether rights in a given
14	time are are appropriate in their degree of
15	expansiveness.
16	My sense is that four years, especially since
17	it would not overlap precisely with presidential
18	appointments, would afford that degree of
19	responsiveness without inappropriately compromising
20	sense of independent judgment.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think I've forgotten
22	who was next. Go ahead, Vice Chair.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, the -
24 .	- I I I've followed the U.S. Commission on Civil
25	Rights since it was established, and when it was first

established, I think it provided great moral leadership for this country.

Sadly, in my view, since the legislative

changes were made, there has been an element of

partisanship where the Commission, I think, has been

incapable of providing that moral leadership, and, of

course, it's correct that there should be some change,

and there will be changes in terms of what are the

priorities for civil rights in this country, but I

think those are broader concepts.

Certainly I think that's what Congress and

President Eisenhower had in mind, not subject to change
every two years or every four years, and it seems to me
that we've gone one very important and tragic step in
the politicizing of this Commission, which continues to
this day.

Thus when I was appointed, knowing the history of the Commission, I thought that maybe there was an effort now to go back to the days when we were not as politicized, but when I got to the Commission, I saw that that was not true, and that we've continued in a very politicized, highly-politicized fashion, which has been, I think, a great dis-service to this country, and the question before us now is whether we further politicize it, and I would very much lament that if we

Τ.	do anything in terms of our views as to now this
2	Commission should function.
3	It seems to me we should think through and
4	make recommendations to Congress about how to how to
5	less politicize this Commission because in my view, it
6	did great work while while it was not politicized in
7	the Eisenhower tradition, and when it became
8	politicized in the Reagan tradition, I think we sadly
9	have been not been able to do the sort of work that
10	certainly the initial concept of this Commission called
11	for.
12	So, I would hate to take one further step to
13	to make it even less effective.
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think that I'd make one
15	other point, and then I'll recognize you, Carl, that
16	the other analogy that was drawn in terms of six-year
17	terms was the analogy to the concept of why the Senate
18	is elected for six years, supposed to be the more
19	reflective body, according to the history of our
20	Constitution, as opposed to the House with its two
21	terms and being able to, without thinking about things
22	like appointments and politics and all that, deal with
23	these issues.
24	Commissioner Anderson?
25	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Thank you. I'll get

1	back to the my point earlier about the numbers. If
2	you look at presidential elections in 2000, 2004, 2008,
3	and you look at a four-year term for commissioners
4	beginning in '98, 2002, 2006, 2010, I don't see how
5	I don't see the politicalization of those appointments
6	by if you have if you have a six-year term where
7	the two positions that are opened in '98, they would be
8	appointed in 2004 or reappointed.
9	So, you'd have a reappointment in either the
10	second term of a president or the new term of a
11	president. Somebody on the Hill must have run these
12	numbers. I'm looking at them for the first time today,
13	but I can't I can't see how a four-year term or a
14	six-year term, given the fact that they're breaking
15	basically in the center of presidential terms, is going
16	to politicize this Commission. So, I that's my
17	first observation.
18	My second observation is I am not sure that
19	we are going to get a de-politization of this
20	Commission through presidential appointments and Senate
21	confirmation if the Bill Lann Lee confirmation or the
22	Loni Guinear confirmation is any indication of whether
23	this is going to be a politicized appointment with a
24	Senate confirmation problem or not process or not.
25	Now I think I would also say that since it is
	EVECIMILIE COURT DEDODEEDE THE

1	Ronald Reagan's birthday today, that somebody might
2	speak a word perhaps in his defense, and I think one of
3	the things
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: In civil rights
5	matters?
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Oh, okay.
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. And what I
9	want to say about it is this,
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Uh-oh. Boy, now we're in
11:	for it. Go ahead.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: What I want to say
13	about it is this, that part of the reason why this
14	Commission has is no longer perceived by many as
15	offering a clear moral conscience or a clear moral
16	beacon is in part a result of the fact that the issues
17	that this Commission is dealing with oftentimes do not
18	lend themselves to a clear moral solution that everyone
19	can agree with as a moral solution.
2.0	By that I mean this, if you look at the
21	issues, for example, that the Commission dealt with in
22	the early 1960s, that is separate but equal education,
23	there were arguments for separate but equal education
24	in public schools, but they did not have a great moral
25	force to them.

1	I would say that the morality, say, of
2	affirmative action in employment or in higher
3	education, which this Commission has discussed, does
4	not lend itself to quite such a clear moral consensus
5	on the part of society.
6	Now, I think we have differing views of the
7	appropriate role of affirmative action or affirmative
8	action as a moral position, but I don't I don't
9	think that it is so clearly either side, so clearly can
10	claim the moral high ground on some of these issues.
11	Now we may be in for a several-hour debate on
12	that, if you like, but the fact of the matter is that
13	there is, I think, more complicated issues that this
14	Commission deals with, and we see some of those
15	complications, say, in Sonoma County, for example.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes?
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, maybe it's
18	true that we deal with more complicated issues. I
19	don't think so, but I think we need to be reminded, I
20	guess I've had an interest in these issues for a long
21	time, that many of the leaders in in favor of
22	segregation were ministers who argued that God ordained
23	it.
24	Now if that's not a moral basis for
25	segregation, I don't know what is. So, I think now we

1	just assume that there was not a strong moral basis for
2	Jim Crow, but much of the argument in those days was
3	absolutely based on morality, God ordained it, the
4	races to be separate. God said that was the right way
5	to do. That's the moral thing to do, and I think maybe
6	we are forgetting that.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, there is that
8	opinion in Loving against Virginia in the lower court
9	decision, where the Virginia judge goes on and on about
10	how God ordained that birds and bees be separate, and
11	that people of different colors be separate, and he's
12	citing chapter and verse of some Bible I never heard
13	of.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: But that was the
15	basis for much of the political argument which was
16	morally based. So, you know, I just
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But I think with all due
18	respect, ladies and gentlemen, that we should probably
19	defer this discussion until George and Higginbotham
20	come back. I've decided that in terms of time, unless
21	you've got a problem with it, that we should probably
22	get some of these other less-controversial matters
23	done, and then come back to it when they are here, if
24	that's all right with you, and if maybe if we can
25	get something else done.

1	Perhaps because I think we'll probably
2	start losing people around 12:00, and I don't want to
3	lose them before we do it. We have two state advisory
4	committee reports, and we have the Management
5	Information System which Commissioner Redenbaugh and
6	the rest of us have been deeply interested in.
7	I think if there are no controversies
8	we'll see. Can somebody move the approval of the state
9	advisory committee reports one-by-one or together or
10	what?
11	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Moved them
12	together.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Can I get a
14	second?
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any discussion of the
17	state advisory committee reports?
1,8	(No response)
19 .	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I think one of them came
20	to us before and was sent back for more balance, came
21	back to us before. It had additional testimony
22	included in it. I think that's the case.
23	And, so, anybody have any comments on these
24	two reports or can we go ahead and have them?
25	(No response)

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Are you ready for
2	the question? All in favor, indicate by saying aye.
3	(Chorus of ayes)
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Opposed?
5	(No response)
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So ordered.
7	Now, if we can go
8	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Madam Chair?
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes?
10	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: I just wanted to
11	commend particularly the Eastern Region on the report.
12	I think it's an excellent example of it's an
13	excellent report and an excellent example of the
14	process working very well.
15	Commissioner George joins meeting.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Well, thank you.
17	Why don't we go to the Management Information System?
18	We're going back to a discussion of reauthorization,
19 .	Commissioner George, in a few minutes when Commissioner
20	Higginbotham is on again. He was on and off again, and
21	we were waiting for you and for him, but we're going to
22	go now to the Management Information System, which
23	Staff Director, I understand, George Harbison's going
24	to make this presentation, is that right?
25	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Yes, Madam Chair.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. George, you want
2	to come forward and do whatever it is you're planning
3	to do on this subject?
4	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Pick up the microphone,
5	please.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You need a mike. So, you
7	can sit over there.
8	Commissioner Redenbaugh has discussed this
9	with the staff, is that right, Commissioner Redenbaugh?
10	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: That is correct.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And the Staff Director.
12	So, I hope that they found his discussion helpful.
13	We'll find out, Russell.
14	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: We will see.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
16	(Pause)
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You ready, George?
18	MR. HARBISON: I'm ready.
19	Management Information System
20	MR. HARBISON: Can everybody hear me?
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I can't, and I'm sitting
22	here.
23	MR. HARBISON: Cannot? Can everybody hear me
24	now?
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can you hear,
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

1	Commissioner Redenbaugh?
2	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yes, I can.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
4	MR. HARBISON: I've provided a brief hand-
5	out. We're trying to do slides, but this is a brief
6	hand-out that essentially explains the system and where
7	we are and where we're going, what we're trying to do
8	with it. I apologize for those of you who are on the
9	phone that we could not get this to you.
10	The Commission on Civil Rights Project
11	Management System, briefly how we got into this, the
12	need to improve our management of projects was
13	initially or has been emphasized by both Commissioners
14	as well as GAO and others in terms of what we need to
15	do to make matters more efficient and effective.
16	We in order to do this, we it was
17	determined that we needed to have a system whereby we
· 18	could manage our projects. To that end, our vision
19	statement for the Commission on Civil Rights and our
20	Management Information System is that the system or the
21	objective was to provide a comprehensive method to
22	effectively and efficiently manage sorry plan,
23	manage and report on Commission projects, to provide
24	enhanced management visibility and long-term more
25	effective and efficient use of organizational

resources.
Now, the system as we have set it up is
designed to do just that, to manage Commission-approved
projects from beginning to completion, and include
follow-up activities when appropriate.
Because the Commission's appropriation is
primarily salary-driven, the system itself, it will be
greatly dependent upon the use of people resources.
The system as we envision it, as of October
1st, we had a system in place which was essentially the
the accounting system that was in place or used by
the National Finance Center that we used on a monthly
basis.
Dasis.
We found that that system it was great for
We found that that system it was great for
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning information. So, we looked at off-the-shelf software,
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning information. So, we looked at off-the-shelf software, PC software, and we ended up with Microsoft Project.
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning information. So, we looked at off-the-shelf software, PC software, and we ended up with Microsoft Project. We have adapted that software and combined it with our
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning information. So, we looked at off-the-shelf software, PC software, and we ended up with Microsoft Project. We have adapted that software and combined it with our monthly data entry from the National Finance Center and
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning information. So, we looked at off-the-shelf software, PC software, and we ended up with Microsoft Project. We have adapted that software and combined it with our monthly data entry from the National Finance Center and have come up with a working Management Information
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning information. So, we looked at off-the-shelf software, PC software, and we ended up with Microsoft Project. We have adapted that software and combined it with our monthly data entry from the National Finance Center and have come up with a working Management Information System.
We found that that system it was great for costing, but it did not provide scheduling and planning information. So, we looked at off-the-shelf software, PC software, and we ended up with Microsoft Project. We have adapted that software and combined it with our monthly data entry from the National Finance Center and have come up with a working Management Information System. So, what we have is a combination of

1	and attendance-driven. It will also in terms of the
2	National Finance Center, it will include all
3	obligations that have been charged against an
4	individual project.
5	To get more into the heart of this in terms
6	of what the system does, we have what we call a model
7	system. Basically, the model the basic elements of
8	the project under the model would consist of the
9	project plan, project task, sequencing the task,
10	applying resources to the task, establishing a project
11	schedule, managing the critical path, communicating and
12	reporting the results of the project as as we manage
13	it.
14	Each one of these has has specific
15	definitions and utilizations, and I'll go through each
16	one of them very briefly. The project plan itself is
17	composed of tasks and time lines, and these tasks and
18	time lines are developed for individual projects, and
19	they indicate how the project is supposed to go. In
20	other words, it includes coordination with all the
21	appropriate stakeholders.
22	For example, coordination among office
23	directors for editorial review, legal sufficiency
24	review, travel, budget. These would be considered the

stakeholders in the Management Information System.

25

1	The project tasks are then further broken
2	down into discreet elements, which means that anything
3	that needs to be accomplished as part of that project
4	is identified. For example, if we had the task being
5	
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: George?
7	MR. HARBISON: Yes, sir?
8	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Do you want
9	questions at the end or as you go along?
10	MR. HARBISON: I think my preference, sir,
11	would be to hold questions till the end.
12	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Oh, okay. Thank
13	you.
14	MR. HARBISON: We're applying resources to
15	each one of the tasks. The resources consist of
16	people, time and materials. Again, as promised, the
17	majority of the resources are going to be in terms of
18	people, and I can't over-emphasize that enough. When
19	we're looking at projects in terms of do we want to
20	keep a project, do we not want to keep a project,
21	attention should be given to the fact that if we don't
22	want to keep a project, that doesn't mean that we're
23	going to eliminate that we're going to totally
24	eliminate the costs associated with that project
25	because in most instances, our people are going to

1	compose the majority of the resources on the project,
2	and unless we eliminate the people, we can't eliminate
3	the project.
4	Once a project task has been identified, and
5	we assign the resources to them, the next step is to
6	schedule each of the tasks in the project. Each
7	project will have a specific start date followed by a
8	schedule of each task listed under the project. All
9	tasks will have a duration or an estimated time to
10	complete, and these will be expressed in either hours,
11	days or weeks, but all all projects and tasks all
12	tasks will have an estimated duration.
13	What we end up with is basically three
14	elements: tasks, resources and schedules, which will
15	be and can be constantly adjusted to meet whatever
16	conditions are prevailing at the Commission at any
17	given point in time.
18	If we need to re-evaluate availability of
19	resources on any particular project, we can do that
20	within the system. It will allow that to happen.
21	The next area then is managing the critical
22	path, and by that, essentially what we're saying is
23	that we have we have placed the tasking into a
24	schedule that says these items will be done at this
25	point in time.

1	The next task obviously is to monitor how
2	we're progressing on individual tasks and analyzing
3	where we can, either increase the efficiency of that
4	schedule or identify areas where there is where
5	there is where there's anticipated to be variances,
6	and these are all going to show up in the types of
7	reports that the system is capable of generating.
8	Which brings us to communicating and
9	reporting on the progress of the various projects, and
10	I want to emphasize here that there are a number of
11	ways in which we can communicate progress on the
12	reports, but there are the system allows for
13	efficiency.
14	We have specified reports that can be readily
15	printed that will that managers can use to analyze
16	how they're doing on any given project or any
17	particular task at any given point in time in the
18	schedule duration for that task.
1:9	One thing that we want to do in terms of
2.0	reporting is to provide one of the objectives in
21	terms of reporting is to provide monthly status reports
22	to both managers as well as Commissioners on the status
23	of the projects as they exist at that point in time.
24	Some of the reports that we will be using
25	and I've included an attachment to some of these

1	reports, which is only a sample and is not by any means
2	all inclusive. There are many other ways of depicting
3	the data and analyzing the data, and these give some
4	very simple reports that will give status on the
5	project at any given time.
6	Some of the reports would include an overview
7	of the project itself, what we anticipate doing, what
8	resources that you estimate you are going to use for
9	the project, who is assigned to it. Current activities
10	will show what is currently happening with the project.
11	Cost can be both forward-looking as well as
12	retro in that you have an estimate showing what you
13	anticipated as your budget. You also have actual
14	costs, and then there's the comparison of actual
15	against against your budget estimate, and it will
16	show the variances there.
17	Another report has will show for managers
· `18	who was assigned to any given project or task at any
1.9	given time point in time, and what that cost is,
20	what their scheduling difficulties may be, if in fact
. 21	they have any. It will show resource allocation,
22	resource over-allocation, resource under-allocation,
23	and, finally, it will show the workload per individual.
24	For example, if I have individuals assigned
25	to writing report, it will show that that resource is

1	in fact attached to that report, to that task for
2	whatever duration that individual is is in fact
3	attached to it.
4	Again, a lot of this is going to be based on
5	on the time and attendance system as well as
6	management's ability to to plan and utilize and
7	analyze the data that's provided in the system.
8	Some of the critical assumptions, and I call
9	them critical only because they're critical to me, but
10	some of the assumptions in this in the formulation
11	of the system were (1) that budget estimates had to be
12	prepared or must be prepared for each task that is to
13	be used in the project; all resources used on a
14	project, and this one is really critical, all resources
15	used on a project must be properly coded to the
16	accounting that is established for that project.
17	Otherwise we will get a distorted view of what
18	resources are in fact being used on any given project.
19	And a third one is that managers must have
20	training in one project management as well as the
21	Microsoft Project management software that we're
22	currently using to implement the Management Information
23	System.
24	Finally on that, like any other any other
25	data entry system, the information coming out is only

1	as good as the information going in. It must be kept
2	current and maintained at all times.
3	I would just go through one or two of the
4	reports very briefly in the rear. For those of you who
5	had these, we're talking about the sections following
6	the green the green tab sheets.
7	One is a report that's entitled "Who Does
8	What?", and basically what it does, it identifies what
9	individual is assigned to what task, when they started,
10	when they anticipate finishing or when they actually
11	finish that project, and this can be expanded to show
12	how much time they actually spent on the project.
13	For managers, what is more important here is
14	that when a full calendar of tasking is presented, it
15	shows where all of your resources are at a glance, so
16	that you will be able to determine whether or not you
17	have sufficient people resources to do X, Y and Z or
18	whether you're whether you don't have enough
19	resources to do the project.
20	One other report here is the budget report,
21	an example of a budget report, which essentially shows
22	total cost, baseline cost, the variance, actual cost
23	and the remaining balance.
24	What these are is it's a comparison of your
25	actual expenses against your baseline, and the baseline

1	is is the estimate that you establish when you
2	initially set up the project, your budget estimates.
3	In conclusion, I believe that the system as
4	we have it will provide or does provide the means to
5	more efficiently and effectively manage CCR projects.
6	It provides a means to report in a variety of formats
7	to all levels that have an interest in how we manage
8	projects, and when used appropriately will meet the
9	concerns of all interested officials for greater
10	project visibility.
11	That concludes my presentation. Are there
12	any questions anyone might have?
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Vice Chair?
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: In putting this
15	plan together, were you in consultation with the
16	different departments within the Commission to see if
17	this made sense to them?
18	MR. HARBISON: I was in touch primarily with
19 .	the Office of General Counsel and Civil Rights
20	Evaluation.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. I guess
22	those are the more the bigger ones. How how
23	about the regional offices?
24	MR. HARBISON: I do not envision the regional
25	offices as having this depth of of requirement for

1	that type of information.
2	We have a limited the regions at this
3	stage do not do not account for their projects using
4	standard project coding the way the others do.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. So, this is
6	mostly for the project this is mostly for Commission
7	projects?
8	MR. HARBISON: Yes.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And will you need
10	then first, let me say that to me, it looks very
11	good, but it does mean, I assume, that you will have to
12	have different type of recordkeeping, for example, in
13	the New York budget report. You have report drafting.
14	To the extent that that's done by one
15	department or the other, the individuals working on it
16	will have to say on Project 1, you'll give a number
17	presumably to New York report, say it's 01, and on 01,
Í8	I worked six hours on it today, on 03, I worked two
19 .	hours on it, that sort of thing. So, you'll have to
20	have some some on-going recordkeeping.
21	MR. HARBISON: That's correct, and that's
22	currently being done.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay.
24	MR. HARBISON: The project has an identifier,
25	so that when any individual is working on that project,

1	his time is reported under that project code.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Any other questions from
4	any Commissioner?
5	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yeah. I have some.
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner
7	Redenbaugh?
8	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: George, the
9	question arises, it would be very it would be much
10	easier to answer what if questions.
11 ·	MR. HARBISON: I agree.
12	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: When the
13	Commissioners say, gee, we'd now like to do a project
14	on or an initiative on, we can just define that or that
15	gets defined, then the system would be for the Staff
16	Director to come back and say, well, you can do that,
17	Commissioners, and here's the impact it will have on
18	the committed projects.
19	MR. HARBISON: Yes, what the system will show
20	is the availability of resources at any point in time,
21	and to the extent that resources are not available,
22	whether or not we want to redirect resources the
23	system isn't going to say redirect.
24	What it will say is that you've only got X
25	number of

1	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Exactly.
2	MR. HARBISON: resources available.
3	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yeah.
4	MR. HARBISON: We analyze it at that point
5	and say okay, what can we do now?
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: For example, an
7	action we took today, which was to move the ADA hearing
8	to May, then the system wouldn't let it if we we
9	would see what the consequences of that would be for
10	other projects?
11 ·	MR. HARBISON: It would show it would show
12	that balanced against well, that's what it would
13	show, is the resources as scheduled through that point
14	in time.
15	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Hm-hmm.
16	MR. HARBISON: And then we would have to
17	measure it analytically to see what what the impact
18	would be, and I think we can also plug that information
19	in for a for an automated print-out of what that
20	would be.
21	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yeah. Very good.
22	And then also, it would show the capacity for what I
23	call collision alerts. This would then let us know,
24	hey, wait a minute, that's not going to work because
25	there's only there's only one economist, and we need

1	chiee.
2	MR. HARBISON: That's correct.
3	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. Is it your
4	well, who in the agency has the responsibility then
5	for the implementation and training and adoption of all
6	this? Because it's obviously much more than merely
7	installing software. It will involve a change in
8	practices and change in management practices. It's a
9	different way of working, not merely just a nice
10	software tool.
11	MR. HARBISON: Agreed. The the actual
12	utilization of the system itself is going to be done by
13	the the program directors or project directors who
14	will be reporting to the Staff Director, who in turn
15	will be reporting to the Commissioners.
16	In setting up the system, the training aspect
17 .	of it we've only we've we're we're looking
18	at the second half of the training now, which is that
19	that having to do with training managers in how to
20	plan and manage projects.
21	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Is that separate
22	from how to use this particular piece of software?
23	MR. HARBISON: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Yeah.
25	MR. HARBISON: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay.
2	MR. HARBISON: And but in terms of how to
3	use the software, we already have identified a source
4	that will train us to use the software.
5	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: And tell me more
6	about the plan for then the management training.
7	MR. HARBISON: We are really just getting it.
8	I just got a notice this morning from the training
9	folks that they have identified a couple of of
10	training sources, but I have not fully investigated
11	that. Didn't have time to do anything with it this
12	morning.
13	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. And you're
14	the first one responsible for install, implement,
15	change?
16	MR. HARBISON: I am the primary culprit.
17	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Okay. That's good
18	to know. As you've gone forward, anything that is
19	any surprises in it, unexpected, that you view as
20	either good or bad, that you would that would be
21	worth sharing with us?
22	MR. HARBISON: I personally, I think the
23	system overhaul is is a very good I think it's a
24	very good product.
25	Again, it's it's incumbent upon us to make
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC.

(301) 565-0064

1	sure that that we use the system. In other words,
2	it's not one of those things where you can install it
3	today, use it today, and not pick it up again for
4	another six weeks and still expect to be be current
5	on it or to get any real benefit from it. It has to be
6	used.
7	It's not quite as labor intensive as I had
8	initially and I think that's because we are we're
9	keying in data now on a summary format rather than
LO	individually. So, we've managed to eliminate some of
L1	that some of the labor intensity of it, but again
L2	we're we're more concerned in terms, I think, of
L3	scheduling and utilization of resources. So, I I
L 4	think it's a good system.
L5	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Good. Thank you.
L6	That's all I have, and thank you for your presentation
L7	and for your your work on this project. It's
Ľ8	incredibly important for the going-forward work of the
L9	Commission to have these kinds of tools and skills.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you, Russell.
21	Vice Chair?
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah. Madam
23	Chair, just as the system develops, then perhaps some
24	some formulas can be utilized to build into the
25	projects the time that Commissioners and their staffs

1	put into the projects, and from time to time, even the
2	regional offices, just to be more true to to really
3	representing what that project is.
4	For example, if we have hearings, it seems to
5	me that either the staff can have us code that time or
6	they can simply look at our time sheets and know that
7	we were holding hearings, we were on that project, and
8	and that time can be attributed to that project.
9	Just I think this has gone at least 90
10	percent, 95 percent of where we need to go, but to make
11	it more accurate as it develops, you can probably
12	experiment with how to make it more accurate because I
13	think it will be very helpful to have as accurate as
14	possible reporting of what the projects take in terms
15	of time and resources because that's a very important
16	part of what we do, which budgetarily in times past
17	appear to be a minimal part of what we're doing.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The you have, George,
1,9	I think one of these sheets that does have the
20	Commissioners' names. So, I would assume that whatever
21	work we did on the project would be allocated there.
22	Is that where that goes?
23	MR. HARBISON: That's what I was getting
24	ready to say.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And then anybody else who

1	does anything on it, there would be an allocation of
2	what they do, right?
3	MR. HARBISON: Yeah. These what you see
4	there are the planned these are the planned
5	resources you used against that project. So, we would
6	provide the the accounting for you, and you in turn
7	would use that accounting.
8	For example, if Code 48 is New York hearing,
9	whenever you worked on New York hearing, you would
LO	enter Project Code 48, and we would automatically
11	the system would automatically pick that up.
L2	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I have a question. I
L 4	have one concern about the project management. You say
15	managers must have training in. I'm trying to figure
16	out. Do you mean by that that office heads, like Fred
L7	and Stephanie and so on, have to be trained in
L8	operating this software and doing data inputs? Is that
L·9	the point you're making?
20	MR. HARBISON: They have to be trained in how
21	to use the software, yes.
22	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Now that's what I call
23	non-discrimination.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. George, my
25	concern there is they have management information

1	systems like this or to do stuff like this in other
2	places. Lawyers have it. Law firms. But lawyers
3	don't do the inputs or do the data entries or learn how
4	to operate software.
5	It would be considered a waste of lawyers'
6	very expensive time to have them doing this sort of
7	work. In the university, they have systems that
8	generate all this kind of data, but professors don't
9	sit down and learn how to do inputs. Even the
10	department chair doesn't or the dean.
11	So, I'm trying to see whether we need is
12	it that we have a staff problem here in terms of having
13	someone trained in each office to actually do this or
14	are we saying we should take lawyers and civil rights
15	analysts at very high salary levels, and when we have
16	shortages, because we have a small agency, and have
1.7	them doing, you know, inputting and running software to
18	keep up, you know, the system?
1.9	I and in your case, you're on budget. You
20	have a lot of responsibilities, and you have, I think,
21	two people in your office, professionals, if I'm not
22	mistaken. So, how are you going to add on these?
23	I'm just trying to figure out when we say
24	blithely that we're going to do all these things, how
25	we end up managing it and promising what we deliver and

1	not end up utilizing resources that should be devoted
2	to something else and getting caught short on something
3	else.
4	MR. HARBISON: My response to that is that
5	irrespective of how we how we implement the system,
6	the project directors must know how to utilize the data
7	that's being provided to them, even if I provided it
8	myself. ,
9	Say my staff decided they were going to do
10	all of it all of the the data entry and maintain
11	the system.
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What is that noise?
13	MR. HARBISON: The program offices would
14	still be responsible for being able to understand and
15	analyze what this data is saying to them.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, I understand that. I
17	mean it's when they give you a print-out showing how
18	many hours, billable hours you did in the law firm, how
19	much money you're generating, you have to understand
20	what you're doing.
21	But in terms of inputting and keeping track
22	of such stuff. So, I'm just asking isn't there a
23	requirement for more staff resources and some kind of
24	levels involving data management or project management
25	rather than having saying that, you know, the

1	lawyers and the analysts ought to be doing this?
2	MR. HARBISON: There will be a requirement
3	for for human resources. I I can't put a value
4	on it in terms of how many
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Madam Chair?
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes? Commissioner
8	Redenbaugh?
9	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: George and I had
10	this discussion last week, and I think, George, you may
11	have misspoken yourself in what you said a moment ago.
12	I think what George meant to say is two
13	things, that the managers ought to know how this
14	particular software works, not that they need to be
15	doing data entry.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Hm-hmm.
17	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: You know, but
18	separately and a larger issue, the managers will
19	will need to know, if they don't already, and perhaps
20	they do, to increase their skill in managing projects.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. But not managing
22	this system? That's not what we're talking about?
23	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: That's correct.
24	Not the data input and that aspect of it.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right. Okay. Well,
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

1	that's clearer, and the other thing I wanted to ask is
2	how do we avoid, George, in terms of your overall
3	responsibilities concerning budget and information
4	systems, how do we avoid conveying a picture of the
5	Commission that will make it appear that all we do is
6	projects?
7	Hello, Judge Higginbotham. How you doing?
8	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Fine. My
9	apologies for the delay.
LO	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're talking about the
11	Management Information System, which George has just
L2	presented to us.
L3	How do how do we, George, since we don't
L 4	have a system like this to show what people are doing
15	on complaints or what they're doing on regional office
16	projects and SAC reports or whatever, which make a big
17	chunk of you know, take up a big chunk of the work
18	of the agency and the people who run the administrative
19 .	and management areas.
20	How do we keep from having it appear, once
21	the system is in place, have somebody report that, you
22	know, the Commission only all it does is projects
23	and here are the numbers on those, and, boy, that's not
24	very much in terms of the hours put or the time. What
25	are these people doing with the rest of their time?

1	How do we keep that from happening?
2	MR. HARBISON: I think the best way to do
3	this is to follow the the guidelines from GPRA, and
4	that is
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: From what?
6	MR. HARBISON: From from GPRA, the
7	submission from GPRA, where we define each of those
8	areas, and we say this is this is where we
9	anticipate our resources are going to be, and it's
10	it's very easy for us to track those resources in terms
11	of of cost; so that we can put out a report that
12	says we've spent X number of dollars on PSAs. We spent
13	X number of dollars on regional operations. We spent X
14	number of dollars on Commissioners. We spent X number
15	of dollars in civil rights evaluation on General
16	Counsel investigations. We can do that.
L7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But for these, we will
Ľ8	have hours spent
L9 .	MR. HARBISON: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: and detailed
21	information. For the other things, we won't. All
22	we'll have is we spent this many dollars on
23	MR. HARBISON: We we have that
24	information. It's just that it would be more time-
25	consuming to format it in that that way.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is it possible at some
2	point not now, because all you've been asked to do
3	is this, and we have to get this up and running. Is it
4	possible at some point to do a management information
5	system that will show all those other things when we
6	have staff to do it in the same way? I mean display
7	hours and so on.
8	MR. HARBISON: I think it's worth
9	consideration. I mean I don't I I'm sure we
LO	could come up with a system that would do something.
L1 ·	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. Thank
L2	you
L3	If no one has any other questions for George
L 4	we'll just thank him for his presentation.
L5	When will this be up and running? Give us a
L6	forward-looking date here.
L 7	MR. HARBISON: You would.
L8 .	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: About when we can expect
L9	to say that this is in fact up and running again. I
20	know it was done up once, and now it had to be revised
21	and now it's done up.
22	When do we think we can say that this will
23	probably be, you know, up and running and ready to
24	generate information to people?
25	MR. HARBISON: Well, we the my

1	objective here is to try and get reports to
2	Commissioners with the March submission.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. Thank
4	you very much.
5	COMMISSIONER REDENBAUGH: Thank you, George.
6	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I want to do two other
8	things. There's two more things to do. One is to go
9	back to the Reauthorization discussion, and the other
10	one is to consider Item Number 7.
11 ·	We said we'd go back to the Reauthorization
12	discussion when Commissioners Higginbotham and George
13	were on the phone. I wanted to ask Kim Cunningham,
14	because if it's all right with the Commissioners and
15	the Staff Director, if we could get him to explain to
16	us the calculations he did on the appointments and when
17	they would be up under the six-person/four-person
18	iteration. Just explain that, because I don't
19	understand what the note says. It's a bunch of
20	numbers. Just factually, Kim. We'll not ask you to
21	take a position. We're asking you to explain the
22	calculations. Just the facts here. Right. The whole
23	truth and nothing but it now.
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, we looked at the dates
25	for the expiration of the current Commissioners' terms

1	and extended those out four years and then on, and the
2	way it turns out is roughly four Commissioners have
3	terms expiring at the end of '98 or early '99. Those
4	terms then for new Commissioners or or
5	reappointments would expire in the year 2002 or early
6	2003 and on to 2006, 2007, early 2007.
7	The other half of the Commissioners' terms
8	expire at the end of 2001, early 2002. There's one in
9	2002. So, those would rotate in 5 and 6 and 9 and 10,
10	2010.
11	What is interesting here is that on average,
12	the Commission will turn over half the Commission
13	will turn over every two years. However, the way the
14	terms are staggered now, you'll have half turning over
15	after a three-year period, and then another half
16	turning over after a one-year period, and what that
17	means is that between year 2001, early 2002, and 2002;
18	you'll have a complete turn-over of all eight
19	Commissioners. So, you'll have a substantial change-
20	over in the Commission in roughly a one-year period.
21	It is true that these overlap presidential
22	elections. It will also be true that all four of the
23	presidential appointees will be appointed by a sitting
24	President. So, they will turn over every term.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, every presidential

1	term, the four presidential
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Four presidential terms,
3	and, of course, Congress turns over every two years.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But the four presidential
5	ones would turn over every presidential term?
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Every President. Each
7	President will have an opportunity to appoint all four
8	of the presidential appointees.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Hmm. Which is that
10	means that it would be politicized like you said
11	because
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, it's just
13	one more step. As we've discussed, there's a certain
14	politicization in even under the original concept
15	with the President appointing and the Senate confirming
16	because that's that's a political process, also, but
17	then it became more political when the President could
18	appoint without Senate confirmation and Congress
19	appointed, and I think this the suggested step now
20	makes it even more political. That's all.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Horner?
22	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Why is it more
23	political to have appointees appointed by a President
24	who's been elected within a year or two than to retain
25	appointees of a President who's been defeated?

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Because the people who
2	are retained are retained despite the fact that the
3	President has been defeated, because his defeat has
4	nothing to do ostensibly with their ability and under-
5	standing and willingness to promote the cause of civil
6	rights and to serve, and it is to make precisely the
7	point that they are not related in any way, although
8	they were appointed by him, so far they've all been
9	hims, that in fact their service and tenure is not
LO	supposed to be thought of conceptually in terms of his
L1	fate.
L2	COMMISSIONER HORNER: It may not be supposed
L3	to be thought of that way by someone's concept, but the
L 4	reality is that if President Carter's appointee serves
L5	two years into President Reagan's term, that is as much
L6	a political fact as President Reagan's appointee would
L7	be serving into President Reagan's appointee would
L8	be serving side-by-side with President Carter's
L9	appointee.
20	What I'm trying to say is so long as we have
21	the work of the Commission being done at some level not
22	by civil servants who are supposed to be less
23	reflective of the political milieu, we are going to
24	have a political environment, and it seems to me that
25	one of the problems the Commission confronts is that

1	long after the country has changed its perception and
2	reflected that change in elections, the Commission
3	continues to reflect a previous political outlook.

So, I don't think we can say we're not being political. I think we can only say we're retaining older politics in the face of the country moving to a different politics.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, actually what we're saying -- and I can see now that we're never going to agree about this because actually what we're saying is that it is entirely apolitical to be unconcerned about the fact that a particular President puts somebody on.

In the old days, the people served on this Commission until they got tired. It didn't matter who put them on, the idea was that they were devoted to the cause of civil rights; that is, the laws that had been passed by the Congress to make sure they got enforced and signed by some President, so long as they were laws, unless somebody repealed them or did something in the political process. But they were committed to their enforcement and committed to working on making sure that they got enforced, okay, or if they thought they should be repealed, they would recommend that they should be, but otherwise they were committed to civil rights, and it didn't matter, and that the longer they

1	stayed and enlarged their vision, much as Supreme Court
2	Justices are supposed to do, serving for life, that
3	they would be devoted to the cause, and Presidents may
4	come and go, but the Commission adheres to certain
5	verities.
6	I mean if if one worried about Presidents,
7	I would not be proud of the fact that when Jimmy Carter
8	appointed me, the first thing I did on the Commission
9	was to criticize his policies concerning Haitian
10	refugees. That was my first act.
11	President Clinton appointed me to this
12	Commission, and I criticized him publicly and made
13	statements about him that he didn't like because I
14	don't see the fact that he appointed me or anybody
15	appointed me to have anything to do with my devotion to
16	the cause of civil rights and dealing with these
17	issues.
18	I don't care who appointed me. Commissioners
19	aren't supposed to care.
20	COMMISSIONER HORNER: In which case, if if
21	Commissioners are appointed every four years or six
22	years, it's irrelevant if Commissioners abide by the
23	ethic of not caring and simply exercise their judgment.
24	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But if you have four who
25	are appointed by the same President, and they do not

1	share my view, and they don't have to, and they feel
2	because somebody appointed them they're reflecting the
3	politics of that four years and not some, you know,
4	kind of larger vision, it would probably lead to or may
5	more probably lead to a situation where you have people
6	thinking in terms of the politics of what's going on.
7	I mean it's we really are emphatically not
8	supposed to care about majoritarian politics, except to
9	try to influence it to be in favor of civil rights.
10	COMMISSIONER HORNER: But there's a huge
11	difference in my mind between what you're referring to
12	as politics, meaning partisan advantage or
13	disadvantage,
14	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right.
15	COMMISSIONER HORNER: which in which I
16	have very little interest and none with respect to this
17	Commission. That's one issue.
18	Then there's politics in its more positive
19	meaning, which is that circumstances of our lives
20	together in equality change, and you want people who
21	will not be rigidly committed to their perhaps now
22	outdated sense of the of the state of the of the
23	nation. So, you want people who will be really attuned
24	to the state of the nation and and bring a vision to

bear which reflects recent thinking.

25

1	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Madam Chair?
2	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What you want them to do
3	is to bring I agree with that. You want them to
4	bring recent thinking to bear so that you're going to
5	it's like not having to amend the Constitution every
6	week or every year.
7	You want them to bring new thinking to bear,
8	to interpret the laws in terms of the conditions that
9	exist now, to try to come up with ideas that will help
1ọ	the nation achieve a vision of equality, of opportunity
11	for everybody in the society, and you want them to do
12	that, but the sort of milestone is you're supposed to
13	be committed to equal opportunity for everybody in the
14	society in whatever way, and laws that are on the books
15	being enforced, nobody who appointed you.
16	So, I that version of politics, I agree
17	with.
18	Commissioner Higginbotham?
19	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: I'm wondering if
20	someone on the staff could do a chart for us, because I
21	don't have it clearly in mind, what would have been the
22	consequence if the President, which is being proposed,
23	had been in effect I would be curious in knowing how
24	many people who have been appointed by and their
25	names by a specific President continued and were re-
	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS. INC

1	appointed by another President, and hopefully the
2	President is of a different political party.
3	But if we could just get the record clear as
4	to what's been the history of the Commission, I think
5	that would be helpful for discussion. Otherwise, I
6	think you get caught in a very theoretical framework
7	which it becomes difficult to decide what's the
8	better judgment, at least for me it is.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Jim, that's all we
10	need from you. We don't want you to sit there. I
11	think we'll recognize Commissioner George, and then you
12	can
13	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I would be pleased to
14	defer to the Vice Chairman. I I was only going to
15	suggest some language for the minutes, which is that a
16	seminar in political philosophy then ensued during
17	which Mr. George sat silent.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That is interesting.
19	That is.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Madam
21	Chair, I raised the issue because I I had thought,
22	though I confess I was doubtful, that we might be able
23	to provide some guidance to the committee in terms of
24	the thinking of this Commission, but it's clear to me
25	that that guidance cannot be provided, just as we have

1	not provided leadership to this country.
2	So, I forget who who seconded my motion,
3	but I'd like to withdraw my motion.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner Lee,
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I don't think
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: do you permit the Vice
7	Chair to you agree?
8	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. The only other
10	issue on reauthorization I asked you about the
11	the duration of the the reauthorization, whether you
12	wanted it long or short, but if that's going to take
13	the same kind of debate, I guess we can we can
14	forget about that one, too.
15	The other thing that the Staff Director had
16	sent to the committee in her letter that she sent up
17	was to point out that the times that were given, the
1'8	deadlines, for the various reports were too short,
19 .	given and I think it's pointed out in the General
20	Counsel's memo, given the requirements of the
21	regulations and our processes for how long it takes to
22	do each step, that if you assume that you had the
23	hearing on Schools and Religion, say, in June or July,
24	that if you just counted from there going forward, it
25	was obvious you were not going to get a report by

1	September, and that it would be loomardy at best for
2	anyone to think that there was going to be a report,
3	and that they might want to change some of the
4	deadlines to more accurately reflect, even if they
5	think the Commission staff ought to work harder, but
6	even if you just counted the days that it takes for
7	each thing to happen, it was obvious it wasn't going to
8	happen by September, and I think the Commission had
9	already agreed that if Commissioner George or Horner or
10	whomever is going off wanted to weigh in and say
11	something about these matters before they left, they
12	could anyway. I mean I think we had that discussion.
13	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Madam Chair, just for
14	the record,
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes?
16	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Commissioner George,
17	not Commissioner Horner.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Commissioner George, yes.
19 .	I think we had that discussion. So, I don't know. The
20	question is do we want to say anything about these
21	deadlines or do we want to simply leave the Staff
22	Director as having on behalf of the staff pointed
23	this out as the only response in terms of that yes,
24	Commissioner George?
25	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: This strikes me as a

	EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, we have a listing of
24	suddenly. People have the necessary data.
23	study about which I was speaking. These things come up
22	authorize a study on the Commission staff to do the
21	preceding point. I just hope that someone will
20	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: This is on the
ĿĠ	Higginbotham?
- L8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Commissioner
L 7	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Madam Chair?
L6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The
L5	just as soon say nothing.
L 4	I agree with with my fellow Commissioner that we
L3	So, I don't don't care to proceed. So, I
L2	get into the same philosophical discussion.
L1 ·	it's a terrible way to do things, but I think we would
LO	information from somebody in this Commission. I think
9	effort by this subcommittee with obviously a lot of
8	argument. I object vigorously to this micro-management
7	agree with that, not because it's not a philosophical
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay.
4	really to add.
3	Congressman Canady. I don't think there's anything
2	been dealt with in the Staff Director's memo to
1	technical rather than a philosophical problem. It's

1	the the members and when they were appointed, sort
2	of a historical thing, to bring it up to date.
3	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Political
4	significance.
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes?
6	COMMISSIONER HORNER: And, Madam Chair, one
7	thing we may be overlooking is that because of the need
8	to maintain a partisan balance on the Commission, a
9	Democratic President may have to appoint a Republican
10	and vice versa.
11 ·	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So, we'll keep that in
12	mind
13	COMMISSIONER HORNER: That should be noted.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Or an independent.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Or
16	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Or an independent.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: an independent, who
18	then gets not to go to Commission hearings, right?
19	Remember that, Robert.
20	COMMISSIONER HORNER: You don't have to
21	ever have to appoint an independent. You may.
22	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: You may. You may
23	appoint an independent. Of course, I I it would
24	be interesting to follow up with Commissioner
25	Higginbotham's suggestion and see how often Republicans

1	appoint Democrats or the Democrats appoint Republicans
2	as opposed to a Democrat or Republican appointing an
3	independent, but I mean everybody knows it's easy
4	enough to find a Republican that thinks like a Democrat
5	or an independent that thinks like a Republican and so
6	forth.
7	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah. Should we sue to
8	the far right those independents? What do you think?
9	COMMISSIONER HORNER: Well, you know, I I
10	find it hard to resist an opportunity to sue.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I know that. Let's talk
12	about that. Let's talk about it at some point.
13	The other point was in the statute of the
14	proposed legislation, there is a provision that our
15	statutory report or our enforcement report, rather, for
16	1999, I think, Fair Employment Practices or something
17	like that, and the staff pointed out to us that the
18	Commissioners had agreed that we would do Minorities
19	and Health Care for our statutory report for that year,
20	and the Staff Director has pointed this out to the
21	committee in her letter that she sent up.
22	Do we want to do anything further on that or
23	simply leave the and you have an explanation in the
24 .	memo from the General Counsel about how we had burdened
25	the EEOC several times this year with data requests for

1	various reports, some of which we approved and others
2	we didn't, even after we got all the data from them,
3	and with the large workload that they have and backlog
4	in the EEOC, which is known to everyone who has any
5	knowledge of the matter, that it doesn't make sense to
6	change the report and further burden them by making yet
7	another data request that we expect them to meet, and
. 8	that we should abide by our decision, since the
9	legislation hasn't been passed anyway, to stay with the
10	report that we had that we had chosen for that.
11	Does anyone want to do anything further than
12	have the Staff Director's letter on the record
13	concerning that? Any interest in doing anything?
14	(No response)
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Hearing none, I
16	think I covered all the issues that yes, Staff
17	Director?
18	STAFF DIRECTOR MOY: Madam Chair, may I point
19	out that we still have not gotten the specific dates
20	for the Schools and Religion?
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We don't have dates for
22	the Schools and Religion hearing, and, so, I guess we
.23	need to we said we would pick dates.
24	General Counsel, have you got any ideas about
25	when we should have the Schools and Religion or in

Ţ	terms of your calendar?
2	Before, we talked about having it some time
3	in June or after June or something. I mean that's the
4	last discussion we had as Commissioners.
5	Now if we're going to have a hearing in May,
6	ADA is in May, or should we since some people don't
7	have their calendars, Commissioner Horner doesn't have
8	hers, I know, should we just say that we'll poll the
9	Commissioners this week? Would that be better?
10	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Can we do it as soon as
11	possible, just to get this tied down?
12	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How about this week? Did
13	you want to say something, General Counsel, or should
14	we poll the Commissioners or what? What's the point?
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I was
16	just chatting with General Counsel that some of the
17	provisions of the statute make absolutely no sense and
18	wondered whether I wanted her to comment, and I said
19	no, you know. Afterwards, when we can't do it, we'll
20	explain to Congress why it was impossible to do it that
21	way. But they make absolutely no sense. This is the
22	sin of micro-management, but I don't care to say
23	anything further. Maybe the General Counsel does.
24	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Well, if any of that
25	pertains to the dates, I wish you would say. Does it

1	pertain to the dates?
2	MS. MOORE: Well, it it it pertains to
3	what our I mean the statute hasn't passed yet, that
4	is clear, but what is what is unclear to me and to
5	our office is whether the proposed Religious Freedoms
6	Project is indeed a substitution for what we currently
7	have been working on or is it a project in addition to
8	the one that we've been working on, and if that is the
9	case, if if the latter is the case, that is, that
10	it is an addition, then conducting well, I guess we
11	would move forward to conduct hearings and schedule
12	them, but there is there is a major concern with the
13	way that the legislation is drafted on the Schools and
14	Religion Project.
15	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I suppose, yeah. I
16	mean if if that's a question, we should probably get
17	an answer from the committee about whether they mean
18	the same project or a different there would be a
19	tremendous amount of overlap if they were
20	MS. MOORE: Right.
21	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: separate projects,
22	but I think what we should do right away, just so that
23	we don't delay it any further, is to identify the dates
24	when we're available.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So, we can do that

1	this week. We'll do a poll, ask the Staff Director to
2	poll the Commissioners and try to come up with a grid
3	and do dates. But we do need to clarify this because
4	we may need, if they pass the statute, to substitute
5	what they're proposing for what we're proposing or
6	something, because it doesn't make sense to have two
7	hearings.
8	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Certainly not.
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So, we'll have to
10	get some clarification, but we'll try to get these
11	dates, poll some dates this week, this week.
12	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Madam Chair?
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I mean by next week,
14	starting
15	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Sure.
16	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: the week Monday. Yes
17	Commissioner Higginbotham?
18	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: I don't mean to
1:9	be difficult. Have we covered all of the substantive
2.0	matters we had on the agenda?
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah. The only other
22	thing we have left is the Executive Session to discuss
23 [.]	a personnel matter.
24	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Okay. If I'm not
25	here, you have my proxy.

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're about we're
2	about to do it now.
3	COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Okay.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Right at this moment. If
5	no one has anything else, we're going to have the
6	Executive Session. So,
7	MS. MOORE: You have to state
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What do I have to state?
9	MS. MOORE: the nature of the
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The Executive Session is
11	is is to discuss a personnel matter involving a
12	member of the Commission staff. Is that sufficient?
13	Yes? Parliamentarian has to say something.
14	MR. SAPP: It is the opinion of the it is
15	it is my opinion pursuant to Exemption Number 2 of
16	the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 United States
1.7	Code 552(b)(c)(2), the Commission meeting of 6 February
18	1998 to review and make determinations regarding issues
19	relating to internal personnel rules and practices of
20	the agency may be closed to the public.
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you,
22	Parliamentarian.
23	So, as soon as everybody leaves, we'll
24	discuss it. Shouldn't take too long.
25	(Pause)

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't we we'll go
2	back on the record, and does anyone have any future
3	agenda items? Anything else you wish to discuss?
4	(No response)
5	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: If not, then I'm supposed
6	to ask you for a to move to adjourn, I guess,
7	instead of just
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So moved.
9	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's not debatable. All
11	in favor, say aye.
12	(Chorus of ayes)
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We're adjourned. Okay.
14	Thank you very much.
15	(Whereupon, the Open Session of the Committee
16	Meeting was adjourned.)