LIBRARY

TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVILT開始ITSTATE OF MICHIGAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

CCR Meet.

MEETING OF THE MICHIGAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Proceedings were held in the above-entitled matter before Mr. Roland Hwang, Chairman, on Thursday, June 25, 1998, at Holiday Inn-South Convention Center, 6820 South Cedar Street, Lansing, Michigan, commencing at or about 9:25 a.m.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

ROLAND HWANG, CHAIRMAN LORRAIN THOMAS
MARYLOU OLIVAREZ-MASON PRINCE HOLLIDAY
JACK MARTIN
ELLEN HAAJLUNI
DAVID BULKOWSKI



1	Lansing, Michigan
2	Thursday, June 25, 1998
3	9:25 a.m.
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	MR. HWANG: Good morning. The
6	Michigan Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on
7	Civil Rights will come to order.
8	My name is Roland Hwang, chair of the
9	Michigan Advisory Committee. We are here today to
10	examine the provision of rehabilitation services to
11	individuals with disabilities in Michigan.
12	The proceedings of this meeting are
13	being recorded by a court reporter. Information
14	received at this meeting will be formally submitted in
15	the form of a report to the Library of Congress and
16	the United States Commission for its consideration and
17	submission to the President and the United States
18	Congress.
19	The other members of the Michigan
20	Advisory Committee are here today, and I'll have them
21	introduce themselves starting on my right.
22	MS. THOMAS: I'm Lorraine Thomas.
23	MR. HWANG: Do you want to introduce
24	yourself any further, where you're from?
25	MS. THOMAS: I'm sorry. I'm from

1	Detroit, and what else am I supposed to
2	MS. OLIVAREZ-MASON: I'm Marylou
3	Olivarez-Mason, and I'm the executive director of the
4	Michigan Commission on Spanish Speaking Affairs here
5	in Lansing.
6	MR. KOBRAK: Peter Kobrak. I teach
7	public administration and political science at Western
8	Michigan University.
9	MR. HWANG: Roland Hwang. I work for
10	the Michigan Department of Attorney General.
11	MR. HOLLIDAY: I'm Prince Holliday.
12	I'm an independent business owner in Detroit.
13	MR. MARTIN: Jack Martin, Bloomfield
14	Hills, chairman of Home Federal Savings Bank and
15	president of Jack Martin and Company, CPAs.
16	MS. HaAJLUNI: Ellen HaAjluni. I'm a
17	trial attorney at the Equal Employment Opportunity
18	Commission.
19	MR. BULKOWSKI: And David Bulkowski,
20	the associate director at the Grand Rapids Center for
21	Independent Living.
22	MR. HWANG: During this hearing, no
23	person or organization is to be defamed or degraded by
24	any member of this advisory committee or any
25	participant.

The time

25

1 Any individual or organization that 2 feels defamed or degraded by statements in these 3 proceedings will be given an opportunity to respond. We're going to maintain our schedule 4 5 this morning and throughout this day. It is very 6 important for us to do so as a courtesy to the 7 participants who are making time for us in their busy 8 schedules. 9 The general procedure will be for the 10 invited quests to make an opening statement. 11 allotted for those presentations will be determined by 12 the chair. 13 At the conclusion of those 14 statements, the balance of the time for that panel 15 will be afforded to committee members for questions. 16 If there is additional information our invited quests 17 would like to offer, the record of this meeting will 18 remain open for 30 days, during which time such 19 information may be submitted to this committee through 2Ó the Midwestern Regional Office of the United States 21 Commission. 22 To accommodate those not invited, a 23 public session has been scheduled for later this 24 afternoon.

> DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

The advisory committee appreciates

1	Organizations.
2	MR. SMITH: I'll begin again.
3	My name is Harry Smith and I'm
4	chairman of this committee.
5	Let me just provide a bit of
6	background about myself before I want to give you some
7	comments.
8	First, I must apologize. I just came
9	off of three days of doing a visual in a hospital, so
10	I had planned to have written comments, so these were
11	put together rather hurriedly last night and this
12	morning. I will submit, for the record, written
13	comments.
14	I'm currently employed by the
15	Michigan Association of Rehabilitation Organizations,
16	which is a trade association in the State of Michigan
17	that represents about 70 community-based
18	rehabilitation providers.
19	These are organizations that work in
20	partnership with the State Rehabilitation Agency and
21	other state organizations that provide services that
22	are disability driven to populations within the State
23	of Michigan.
24	The organizations that make up my
25	association are, for the most part, nonprofits. There

are a number of organizations, however, that have governmental affiliation either through school districts or community mental health boards.

I'm here today, however, not as an official model spokesman. It's not a position of my organization. It's my position based on some 30 odd years of experience in this business.

While I've been employed most recently for the last six years with the trade association, I spent 25 years in the State Rehabilitation Agency. I was one of the senior administrative people for a number of years.

Among the responsibilities that I had were to be the director of administrative services, where I had responsibilities for such things as a state plan development. They had a reporting system of program evaluation and needs studies that are required by the State Rehabilitation Agency.

Prior to that I was the chief of facilities development, which is the old terminology for community based rehabilitation organizations.

I also had the opportunity to serve as a hearing officer for the agencies, so that I visually had in front of me cases of client complaints that were being adjudicated through the fair hearings

process within the organization.

I also had the great fortune to be president of the National Rehabilitation Association at the time of the Rehabilitation Act when the amendments of 1991 were passed, so I was involved in the background discussions about those amendments.

And during this period of 30 some odd years, I've had the good fortune to be on faculty as an adjunct faculty member at Michigan State University and DePaul University.

In the issues that you are discussing today, in my opinion, emanate essentially from an inherent flaw in the Rehabilitation Act, and the inherent flaw is that associated with what I would call the targeting decision in the congressional language that targets the State Rehabilitation Agency's focus, is inherently flawed, in that it assigns to the State Rehabilitation Agency the responsibility to deliver services to a population which is defined in very very loose terms.

Mr. Burleigh alluded to that

definition, and it's contained in the definition. I

just happened to bring it along with me this morning.

It includes not only a set of functional limitation

statements, but it also includes a list of diagnostic

categories that allow for eligibility for a program.

And it's within those diagnostic categories that one begins to have the creep (sic) of what's wrong with the way this program is structured, because to use the term cerebral palsy as an example of an individual who would be eligible for the program, masks a great deal of information.

It makes the assumption that all cerebral palsy is equal in terms of its functional implications for the individual, as does the term blindness, or any other of the diagnostic labels that are included in this list, which goes on for a full long paragraph in the law itself.

In some preliminary discussions that I had with Mr. Minarik, I walked him through this problem of the diagnostic labels in terms of what they do and don't do, and allow for what appears, in many instances, to be differential access to the State Rehabilitation Agency.

In private discussions in 1991 with Senator Harkin and Senator Harkin's staff, the National Rehabilitation Association made a major effort to have this particular provision of the Rehabilitation Act modified so that the states were directed, in clear terms, in two ways.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What are the functional limitations 1 of the individuals that you want targeted? they? And what does Congress mean when it says most 3 severely disabled? 5 And they assigned that responsibility 6 eight some odd jurisdictions, when one adds the 7

to the states, so that within this country we now have general rehabilitation agencies to the blind rehabilitations agencies, where they exist side by side in the states plus the territories.

We have 80 some odd jurisdictions, who are required by the federal government to define the term most severely disabled.

Now, for somebody who is sitting in the National Association's perspective, it seems to me the citizenship in the United States, irrespective of where one lives when they participate in a program, which is funded largely but by the federal government, ought to look pretty much alike if you cross the state line between Michigan and Indiana or Michigan and Wisconsin.

That's an abject failure on the part of Congress, and I indicated to the Senator there was an abject failure on his part. It was a political decision, that it compounds the presenting problem

that individuals like Mr. Davis and Mr. Cannon will testify later, have to come to grips with as they try to deal with how do we target this program because who is it targeted towards.

The second problem, in my opinion, is the whole issue of the order of selection provision in the Act. The order of provision, the order of selection provision says in part: And in an event that the Vocational Rehabilitation Services cannot provide to all eligible individuals with disabilities who apply for such services.

And then it kicks in, what are the order of selection requirements that the state must go to. The State of Michigan does not have an order of selection, has not had an order of selection.

My colleagues, my former colleagues in the State Rehabilitation Agency and I have the honest disagreement about what the intent of Congress was with regard to the language of this in the statute.

One interpretation is that it is for all eligible individuals, that is all eligible individuals who are at the front door of the agency who have been determined to be eligible.

My thoughts are about that, that

there are approximately, depending on the numbers you want to use -- and I'll come back to the data issue in a moment -- there is presumptively, by any rational look at the numbers, a pool of individuals who are eligible for services who far outstrip the capability of the State Rehabilitation Agency to deliver services to them on an annual basis, and, therefore, screens for the order of selection.

The order of selection, however, as you can appreciate, gets more complicated if you have a loosey-goosey definition out here that has been given to you by the United States Congress. Who gets what? It is really an inequity issue.

The second major problem with the federal government is, frankly, the total absence of useful data that would guide the states in terms of what is a disability.

If you look at the catalogue of the federal domestic assistance programs, I think you'll find an excess of 100 different programs that are targeted towards disability, and you will find a plethora of definitions about what constitutes a disability and the programs that are financed and/or operated by the federal government.

In the last decennial census, before

the last decennial, as the plan for that went forward, a number of us tried to provide input to the Census Bureau to provide us with questions that could be used either in the general census or in a special census surveys, that gives better handles on the issues of disability.

And the functional limitations that are associated with it isn't good enough to ask the question, do you have a disability? Yes or no. Do you have cerebral palsy? Yes or no. Are you blind? Yes or no. That doesn't tell you anything. That doesn't tell you about presenting vocational problems about the individual.

We're trying to obtain assistance from the Census Bureau to hone in on those questions and provide a national database that made more sense, and that will provide a more rational planning process. It does not exist today.

As I see it -- and I'm about done with my opening comments -- you have in the federal scene, the issue of an unworkable definition. You have a situation where you have the absence of data that is consistently developed from the definitional set that accompanies the rehabilitation program. And then you have some problems within the State of Michigan that

affect this issue of access.

Later today the state director of rehabilitation will be talking to you, and you are aware that a state plan is required to be filed by the State Rehabilitation Agency with the federal government as the contract.

Part of the amendments to that state plan are a series of agreements that have been entered into between the State Rehabilitation Agency and local entities. These local entities, in fact, provide funding streams to the State Rehabilitation Agency in lieu of funds appropriated from the State Legislature, to satisfy the federal matching requirements.

In the 30 years that I've been in the rehabilitation scene in Michigan, we have gone from a situation where there was no reliance on dollars originating from the third-party sources, to a situation where there are now 140 plus agreements that are entered into the between the State Rehabilitation Agency and local entities to generate the local dollars that can be then used by the State of Michigan to claim the federal match that's necessary to drive the program.

What's the consequences of that local match? In some instances, the consequence of that is,

those dollars are targeted towards populations that may or may not be consistent with the intent of the Congress, or may be consistent with it in the broad definitional sense that's provided to the State Rehabilitation Agency, but, in fact, direct resources to populations when there are equally valid claims from other disability groups that don't have those kind of contacts in local communities where they can have dollars put up and targeted to meet their needs.

. There's a second consequence. It's not just the disability. I don't want to use the term bias, but I want to talk about emphasis. It's not just a disability emphasis question. It's also a function of where you reside within the State of Michigan.

Those numbers that you are hearing, level numbers, one has to ask the question at smaller, much more discrete levels. In the years that I was in the state agency, we did some studies on what was happening to the impact of these local agreements.

And as you expect, the richer communities of the State of Michigan are in better positions to make contributions, to provide for an expansion of rehabilitation service capacity than the poorer communities are within the State of Michigan.

DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

>

So you end up with a dollar skewing.

And I recognize that the State
Rehabilitation Agency has taken efforts to minimize
the impact of that. The question I have in my mind
is, can they eliminate it, and I would suggest that
would be very very difficult for them to do.

So the funding streams that come into play as a public policy administrator of the State Rehabilitation Agency records, those decisions they have to make also drive the flow of resources and impact on the issues that you're trying to discuss here today.

I would say this in closing -- and

I'm certain I will be more than willing to answer

questions -- my experience to the public

rehabilitation administrators in the state leads me to

conclude they're incredibly competent and capable

people. They have to work within some restrictions

that are imposed on them both by a federal partner and

by the state environment that we live in.

And this problem with the local money is not a recent phenomenon that has flowed across these to administrations, not by any intention making a political statement. That's not a phenomenon that's just occurred with this particular administration.

So, those are my initial comments. 1 2 I'd be happy to answer questions. MR. HWANG: Questions for Mr. Smith? 3 MS. HaAJLUNI: Based on your 4 5 experience, Mr. Smith, whose decision is it to determine whether the person with a disability is most 6 severely disabled versus nonseverely disabled when 7 that individual person walks into a service 8 9 organization such as the ones that's provided by the 10 State of Michigan? 11 Is that by the individual counselor 12 or based on their own perception of that individual's 13 disability? Or is it based on a checks list of some 14 items, or who determines whether that person is most 15 severely disabled versus nonseverely disabled, if you 16 can call them that. 17 MR. SMITH: I'm going to give you what 18 I think is a theoretical response, and I would hope 19 that when Mr. Davis and Mr. Cannon are here, that you 20 would re-ask that question. 21 Typically, that would be done within 22 the framework of the counselor making that decision in 23 quidance, policy quidance that has been provided to 24 those workers in the form of policy manuals and 25 casework manuals.

1 So the agency has spoken on a policy 2 and casework practice and a casework practice manner, 3 but that decision, that eligibility is still essentially with that individual counselor. 5 MS. HaAJLUNI: With regards to -- I understand your thoughts behind that you believe the 6 7 federal statute defining the severely disabled 8 individuals to be somewhat nebulous so that it leaves 9 different states with different definitions of 10 disability; is that correct? 11 MR. SMITH: That's very correct. 12 MS. HaAJLUNI: With regards to that 13 concern, in your opinion, is Michigan's definition of 14 severely disabled pretty much consistent with what you believe was the intent of the Congress? 15 16 MR. SMITH: I think that Michigan has attempted to work as cautiously and as harmonious with 17 18 the intent of Congress as it's capable of doing, given 19 the limitations in the definition. 20 MS. HaAJLUNI: And with regards to 21 that, do you believe that individual caseworkers who 22 are working side by side with the individual with the 23 disabilities, do you believe that they adhere to the 24 Michigan's definition of what is most severe disabled 25 individual, versus who is not severely disabled?

That's not

25

1 MR. SMITH: I don't have sufficient 2 data to be able to comment on that in any kind of way 3 that I would think would be appropriate. an appropriate question for me. 5 MS. HaAJLUNI: All right, one last 6 question. 7 How is it possible -- not possible --8 why do you believe a richer community would have more 9 funding or resources versus the poorer communities? 10 Because it was my impression that the state agency 11 only matched what the federal agency provided. 12 was of the understanding that each agency got 13 equivalent funding. 14 MR. SMITH: The State Rehabilitation 15 Agency of Michigan, out of its general fund, 16 appropriated dollars from the State Legislature, is 17 unable to earn full federal allotment that is reserved 18 for Michigan under the formula that distributes the 19 dollars among the states. 20 It has increasingly, over the last 21 decade, been required to go out and find community 22 partners who are capable of putting up those local 23 dollars and substitute for dollars that had been a 24 decade and a half ago, been matched fully by the

Michigan Legislature.

MS. HaAJLUNI: Okay. So the local 1 entities or the local governments, they contribute to 2 the funding for rehabilitation services, as well the 3 4 state itself. MR. SMITH: Yes, but you're getting in 5 6 more greater detail, that mechanism with Mr. Davis. 7 It's not just local units of government. Certain kinds of organizations can also get the dollars 8 9 through the units of government that can also be used for match. 10 11 MS. HaAJLUNI: Do you believe that 12 because of the fundings from outside sources, that, 13 perhaps, the individual caseworkers who are working with the disabled individuals have different bias in 14 15 terms of who would be most severely disabled versus 16 who is not severely disabled? 17 MR. SMITH: I'm really reluctant to 18 talk about in responding to your question at the individual worker level. That's really unfair. 19 20 That's not a fair question. 21 MS. HaAJLUNI: I understand. 22 MR. SMITH: If you want to talk to me 23 about what I think is going on in a systems 24 perspective, the answer to your question from a 25 systems perspective would be yes.

1	MS. HaAJLUNI: Okay. All right.
2	MR. HWANG: Mr. Bulkowski?
3	MR. BULKOWSKI: The first easy
4	question, are MRS and MCB members of your organization
5	or no? Are they part of MARO?
6	MR. SMITH: They are not full members
7	of the association, neither one of them.
8	MR. BULKOWSKI: Okay, didn't know.
9	And when you were talking with Senator
10	MR. SMITH: Was your question to find
11	out whether there's conflict of interest?
12	MR. BULKOWSKI: No, conflict or no,
13	but just who really is part of MARO. When you said
14	community based, I didn't know if they were part of
15	that or not.
16	Even though I worked for a CIL, I've
17	only been at this for about three and a half years.
18	I'm only trying to find out who works with who or
19	who's part of who.
20	When you were part of NRA, and
21	talking with Senator Harkin, and one of your suggested
22	changes or nuances were talking about or defining
23	better functional limits, would that get to the whole
24	question or the presumption that Mr. Burleigh talked
25	about, the presumption of employability?
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC.

Is that another way of saying, is 2 this person employable or not? And were you asking 3 for a definition of people on this side of the line 4 are employable and people on this side aren't? 5 MR. SMITH: No. I subscribe to the 6 notion of the presumption of employability. That was 7 never the question. The question was to provide a --8 here's the real issue put in a nutshell. 9 10 11 12 13 14 year. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 rehabilitation. 22 23 24 25

rationing discussion. We never talk about it that way, but it is essentially a rationing discussion. Mr. Burleigh told you that there was an appropriation of about \$2 billion under the Title 1 program for the State Rehabilitation Agencies this Have Mr. Minarik get you the budget for the Department of Community Health for the State of Michigan and ask yourself how much money the State of Michigan is spending on mental health services just in this state, and compare it to the \$2 billion that's available for the entire country for vocational The dollars are not adequate to meet the need, so if the dollars are not adequate to meet the need, what are the public policy principles that should be used to guide how those dollars and to whom DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

those dollars should be directed?

All I'm saying is, the definition with which the State Rehabilitation Agencies have to work is flawed, because it does not give them the targeting decision in such a way that it's clear and uncontroversial in terms of how the program is administered within the state jurisdictions. That's what I'm saying.

MR. BULKOWSKI: Okay.

MR. KOBRAK: Mr. Smith, let me follow up on the rationing question, because you've introduced a second dimension to that, which is an interesting problem, and that is this so-called third-party funding. What proportion is that, what Michigan has to put up to get a match?

MR. SMITH: Mr. Kobrak, I am not familiar with the current numbers, so I really can't answer, but if you could ask Mr. Davis that question.

MR. KOBRAK: Fine. Let me just ask one other question, and that is, you say the state can't make it up, but even if they could, if some of the wealthier communities are putting more money in, they have an expectation of getting something more in return.

How do you balance the equity of how

1 much more they can get versus the need being across 2 the board? 3 MR. SMITH: That's a question you 4 should put to Mr. Davis. That's exactly the 5 question. 6 I think when one administers a 7 program from the state level, and one has to pull the 8 money to meet the state and federal matching 9 requirements, one can take a different set of planning 10 parameters in terms of how the dollars are distributed 11 across the state. 12 MR. KOBRAK: I'm not asking it 13 empirically. I'm really asking, from a policy 14 standpoint, you're interested in changing the policy. 15 We're interested in the problem you're raising, 16 because disproportionately, the number of minority 17 people is in the poorer areas. 18 What kind of rule of thumb would you 19 advocate --20 MR. SMITH: The rule of thumb that I 21 would advocate based on the incentive for the local 22 community to do exactly what it's done out of the 23 equation, because it would surrender complete control 24 of the distribution of those dollars, and not 25 necessarily guarantee a more favorable flow of dollars

back to a specific community, if that's where you're 1 2 going with your question. 3 MR. KOBRAK: It's a dilemma, because if you don't get back to that, then it's a real 4 5 question as to how you deal with it, becomes part of 6 what we're concerned about. MR. HWANG: Mr. Martin. 8 MR. MARTIN: I think Dr. Kobrak may 9 have asked this question, Mr. Smith, and you couldn't 10 respond but let me try it again. 11 Of the matching funds required by the 12 federal government, a portion comes from these 140 13 agencies you spoke of and a portion from the state's general fund. You don't have any idea what the 14 15 relative percentages are? 16 MR. SMITH: Not in today's number, I 17 I do recall the last time that I looked at 18 them when I was still employed with the State 19 Rehabilitation Agency, at that time we were looking at 20 numbers that were approaching \$2 million in local contributions. 21 22 MR. MARTIN: Would you say that a 23 disproportionate share of our total state funds might be going to agencies that aren't serving the general 24 25 disabled population in the state?

MR. SMITH: I would not say that a disproportion. I would not make that statement the way you made it. I might make it differently than you made it. Disproportionate is a value statement. I can't respond affirmatively to your question.

MR. MARTIN: Do you have any idea what percentage of our impaired population may not be receiving services because of funding limitations?

MR. SMITH: The last study that I oversaw was done in-house by a well-respected program evaluator that was in the employe of the State Rehabilitation Agency. He indicated that we had, perhaps, 600- to 660,000 people who met the test of the requirements of the Act as it was in effect at the time.

And then as you narrow down that universe of numbers, we got down to a universe of numbers where we thought there might be about 175,000 individuals who not only met the test, but who would be interested in receiving services from the State Rehabilitation Agency.

And of about 175,000, that, of course, is a dynamic number. We were just taking a look at a fixed point in time. But one assumes that there are people entering in the meeting who were the

individuals.

If those studies were valid, they mirrored what previously had been done by the University of Michigan, and they mirrored very closely a study that was authorized, that was done out in New York ten years ago.

I have great confidence in methodology that was used in this study. That is a significant number of individuals, and my guess is, my guess is that the State Rehabilitation Agency might have the capacity to date to deal with, perhaps, 30,000 individuals on a given day.

And you might ask that question more specifically to Mr. Davis, but that would be my guess at this point. But even if I missed it by a factor of 50 percent, and it went to 45,000 against that of 175,000, that would be a significant gap.

But that's also a function of the dollar resources that are available to the State Rehabilitation Agency. We're back to the rationing question again.

MR. MARTIN: Is Michigan receiving its maximum allotment from the federal government, do you know?

MR. SMITH: Michigan is receiving its

maximum allotment under the federal distribution 1 2 rules, but that particular formula itself penalizes 3 the large industrial populous states. That formula is very heavily weighted 5 in a way that the states of California, New York, 6 Illinois, and Michigan and Pennsylvania, on a per capita basis, if one looked at the one dollar, one 7 8 person equation, those states are penalized under that formula. 9 10 MS. HaAJLUNI: I'm sorry. So that 11 formula is not based on the population of the disabled 12 community in that state, but it is based on that 13 population per capita of the state? 14 MR. SMITH: It's a rather complex 15 formula. Mr. Burleigh could explain it to you. 16 Mr. Minarik could obtain a copy of the formula 17 itself. 18 But it is not a straight population 19 formula, and it is not based on the disabled 20 population within the state, because we come back to 21 the question of the observation that I made earlier, 22 and that is, where does one draw the data from? 23 MR. HWANG: Ms. Thomas? 24 MS. THOMAS: In listening to you -- or 25 maybe I just don't understand it -- but it makes me DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

(517) 393-1668

wonder if I should continue to contribute to these organizations that I contribute to, as many of your organizations.

The other thing I'm concerned about is, those persons who are disabled, is it the counselor that decides that, or is that based on medical information? Because I would hate to think that just a counselor decided if the person was totally disabled, or what have you, without the medical information.

MR. SMITH: The counselor is, first off, a very well-trained individual. As you heard Mr. Burleigh say, most of the State Rehabilitation Agency counselors in the state are very well trained. They are also -- there's a very aggressive continuing education program for those individuals in the state.

They also operate off of a wealth of information that they have collected about an individual. They're not just making a decision about, is there a characteristic, a condition that constitutes an impairment.

They obtain or the client brings with them that kind of evidentiary record when they sit down and begin the process. If they don't have the information, they have the authority to buy it, to

13	
1	obtain it, so that they can, in fact, establish an
2	evidentiary record for establishing eligibility.
3	They're very very competent people.
4	MS. THOMAS: Okay.
5	MR. HWANG: Thank you very much,
6	Mr. Smith.
7	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
8	MR. HWANG: A ten-minute break.
9	(Whereupon, a brief recess
10	was taken).
11	MR. HWANG: Let's begin now. I'd like
12	to recognize Elizabeth Bauer from the Michigan
13	Protection and Advocacy, and a representative of the
14	Michigan Rehabilitation Association, who can introduce
15	himself, and the third individual.
16	MS. BAUER: Is Amy Mays, director of
17	the Client Assistance Program at Michigan Protection
18	and Advocacy.
19	MR. KOBRAK: That other gentleman is
20	Dr. Robert McConnell.
21	MR. HWANG: Would you like to
22	proceed?
23	DR. McCONNELL: Yes. Nothing like
24	having an advocate and your former professor on the
25	other side of the table before you speak.
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 My name is Robert McConnell. 2 note, the agenda had indicated Douglas Langham from 3 the Michigan Rehab Association was here to speak. Doug called me a little less than a week ago and said 5 you were going to be here, and asked if I would 6 comment. 7 And the Michigan Rehab Association 8 felt that the issue of cultural diversity and 9 rehabilitation had not been addressed on the agenda 10 and asked if I might speak to that. 11 I am a retired administrator at 12 13 14

Michigan Rehabilitation Services where I worked for over 25 years, and also have served as an adjunct faculty where I teach public administration courses and also multi-cultural counseling courses.

I am a member of the National Rehabilitation Association and a member of the National Association of Multi-cultural Rehabilitation Concerns, both of which are professional organizations committed to quality services for persons with disabilities.

What I'd like to address in my brief comments are special issues that impact on minority populations who have disabilities.

Those of you who are familiar with

the Rehabilitation Act will note that in 1992 the amendments had an a special section, a Section 21, that talked about particular issues that affect minority persons with disabilities and rehabilitation and in service delivery systems. Let me highlight a few of those issues.

This is clearly a significant difference in the incidents of disability among minority populations. It ranges from one and a half to two and a half times, depending on what cultural ethnic group we're talking about.

I imagine you can surmise what the causative factors are having to do with health care, prenatal care, exposure to injury, and most recently, a lot of concern has been raised about issues like environmental racism.

Clearly the exposure to these elements makes minority populations more prone to become disabled persons.

In addition, data has indicated that persons with disabilities from minority backgrounds also have lower income levels, as you might well imagine.

In addition, education levels fall behind those of the majority population. Healthcare

and treatment is also an issue that is particularly pronounced for minority persons with disabilities.

At the program level, my experience over the years has suggested, that as individuals who come from minority backgrounds and have disabilities seek services, there are certain issues that seem more pronounced for them.

At employment and rehabilitation programs, program outcomes seem to be less effective for those populations. In general, earning levels, type of employment, ability to acquire employment, and ability to maintain employment are all issues that are more pronounced with minority populations of persons with disabilities.

Recently I became aware of a particular issue I had not known about before. In Workers' Comp settlements, persons who come from minority backgrounds tend to get less favorable settlements, and in many cases, get messed up, to use a poor terminology, by a system. Messed up means they settle claims without receiving adequate due process or adequate equity in their claims.

I've also been aware of the problem that persons who become injured on the job face. The opportunity to return to work seems to be less an

opportunity for persons from minority backgrounds who 1 2 become injured in the work place. Those are simply some examples of 3 issues affecting minority persons with disabilities. 4 As a population, in terms of trying 5 to provide services, we are each aware that minority 6 7 populations tend to be less aware of services and less aware of their rights. 8 9 We can all identify with the fact, 10 that as a population, they are less trusting of 11 services, given a history of poor performance in many 12 service agencies, and some of that history unfairly 13 influences some of the better service providers in the 14 today's service workplace. 15 In general, the populations have 16 poorer healthcare, poorer treatment. In general, the 17 populations are less likely to be advocates on their 18 own behalf for services and rights. Let me close with some 19 20 recommendations that I have, and, again, given the 21 short time frame that I had to develop comments, I 22 didn't have adequate time to get all the data I would 23 like to have. 24 But let me suggest that these four 25 things, I think, may address the issue, at least in

part, from our perspective.

Clearly there is a need for education and awareness among the minority communities. What I have found in my service delivery experience in state government, is that populations of minorities with disabilities tend to be less aware of the services that are available to them.

The traditional way to promote, advertise and educate populations do not seem to work as well. Clearly there needs to be an effective education awareness campaign, so that persons are aware of services and their rights and how to make them work for them.

Secondly, especially as we look at the issue of civil rights, the issue of timely resolution and follow up to complaints seems critical.

In many cases if complaints are not acted upon in a manner in which the complainer feels is responsive, the complainer loses faith in the system, and in many cases, falls out of the system.

The issue of trust and credibility in service providers and rights organizations is critical, if we are to address the issues of civil rights enforcement and resolution for minority populations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Third, there is a need in most services organizations to become more culturally competent. Each of us knows that service delivery system, to be effective, must be delivered in a mode that considers and is responsive to the populations they're dealing with. Issues of staffing, not only in terms of representation but in terms of staffing awareness, issues of training and development, issues of 10 outreach, and issues of policy development all relate

to systems becoming more culturally competent.

Finally, I would recommend, that in order to make sure that individuals receive rights and receive services and receive equity, there becomes a clear need to have oversight and monitoring of programs for equity.

We all know that attention and reinforcement makes things happen. If programs are not monitored for equity and outcomes, equity and access as service equity does not become a reality. you.

MR. HWANG: Ms. Bauer?

MS. BAUER: Thank you very much. I'm Elizabeth Bauer. I'm executive director of Michigan Protection and Advocacy Service, which is a private

nonprofit organization, which was established for the purpose of implementing the federally mandated rights protection and advocacy programs, and most recently the client assistance program.

There are -- the disability rights movement, as you well know, followed on after the American Civil Rights movement of the 50s and 60s, where people with disabilities sought access to education and inclusion in the community, freedom from abuse and neglect in institutions. And the President and the Congress saw that that would only happen if people had access to legal services.

So the first law that created protection and advocacy systems was the protection and advocacy for Individuals with Disabilities Act. Or the development of this goes to Disabilities Assistance Bill of Rights Act, which has the protection and advocacy for people with developmental disabilities, a program within it.

Congress has authorized additional laws over the years to address other populations with disabilities, Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness Act, the Rehabilitation Act amendments, which in 1983/84, included a client assistance program, and in '92, the Protection and

Advocacy of Individual Rights program, which was to cover all persons with disabilities not eligible for the other programs. And then even more recently, a rights protection program for people who sought to acquire or use assisted technology devices and services.

The Congress also recognized some of the facts that Bob has just pointed out to you,

Dr. McConnell just pointed out. And that is, that minorities and other underserved populations did not have the same access that the majority of the population did.

And so each of the authorizing pieces of legislation require us to pay particular attention to minority populations and underserved individuals.

And they do collect the data from us on how well we're doing that.

And within our own agency, we have a multi-cultural committee, which is seeking both to increase our own cultural competence and outreach, and to help us to become more effective advocates for all people in Michigan with disabilities.

We talked earlier about how do these programs get funded. The federal government funds the Protection and Advocacy System under each of its

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authorizing pieces of legislation. They're all

The same problems occur to us as to rehab services grants, and that the big states are on a formula, where you have gross national product and supplemental numbers of poor people and all these different factors worked in.

And so some states like Mississippi will get a higher proportion of funding per person than states, New York and California or Michigan.

But in any case, we receive across all those programs, about \$2 million a year to protect and advocate the rights of 1.7 million citizens with disabilities in Michigan who are eligible for our

So as you can see, we can't do all for everyone, but last year we did represent directly thirteen hundred and fifty-eight individuals with disabilities. That is across all programs.

Ten thousand people received information, referral and technical assistance services, and we trained another 70,000 people in the state on rights, particular to people with disabilities.

I asked Amy Mays to be with us today,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because Amy serves as the staff attorney for the 1 2 Protection and Advocacy of the Individual Rights program in the past few years. 3 5 having been always located at Michigan Rehabilitation 6 Services in the past, and Amy is the director of the 7 8 client assistance program. 9 10 11 12 thought you might say something about what the CAP is, 13 and who you're serving.

And just this last year, the client assistance program was contracted through our agency,

Since most of the cases that we do that have to do with the rehabilitation system, are being done by the client assistance program staff, I

MS. MAYS: First, the client assistance program is federally mandated under the local rehab act. And our purpose is to provide services to folks who are either interested in receiving voc rehab services, are current applicants or clients of voc rehab services, or may have had a former client appropriate our services.

And that includes not only voc rehab services for Michigan Commission for the Blind, Michigan Rehab Services, but also from the Centers for Independent Living. So it encompasses all the individuals who receive services under the Voc Rehab

|| Act.

We provide and list direct representation. We provide information and referral, and we also provide training and outreach to these individuals. We have a toll-free number. Folks call in and get our services that way. We are also very cognizant of the idea that we want to reach the people who are not calling us.

We spend a lot of time in our staff meetings strategizing or going to support groups, going and touching base with CILs in terms of what types of programs they have in their local communities that we can also collaborate in in terms of outreach and training programs, as well as, certainly, providing the direct representation for the folks who do call in.

We, on any given day, have probably 10 to 15 calls a day that come in state-wide from either voc rehab clients, former clients, or CILs.

And the issues really stem -- I think it plays a lot into the rationing effect, that folks either are getting reactions to the funding levels that are available in each district office and whether or not the services they get, is the funding level available.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as the year goes on, that funding 1 2 level goes down, and now is a real hot time for CAP, because we get lots of calls from folks saying we 3 don't have any money. What do we do about that now? So we're really there to remind them 5 of their federal mandates, to remind the staff that 6 they still need to approach each case individually and 7 8 make decisions based upon informed choice, self-determination. 9 We get a lot of calls that deal with 10 communication problems. Voc rehab counselors -- and 11 I don't have those numbers specifically -- have 12 13 anywhere from 150 to 200 cases a day or on their plate or their case load. 14 15 16 17

And so we get a lot of clients who either haven't heard from their counselor in a while, or they haven't touched base with them themselves. And certainly to echo Dr. McConnell's remarks, that a lot of folks don't find that they can advocate for themselves as effectively as they'd like to, so we're very cognizant of that.

We very much pay attention to the idea that we want to help them help themselves. when we do provide advocacy support to them, we very much involve them in the process, and we very much try

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 to be just a support base for them; but certainly if 2 3 advocacy that we have to get. 4 5 6 7 8 provide to the client. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

the need calls for and depending on the level of The level, it could be a face-to-face

meeting with the counselor or a CIL individual, or it could be at a level of a hearing. That depends on what type of involvement we provide and support we

Currently today, since CAP has been with the Michigan Protection Advocacy Service, we have probably served about fifteen hundred folks calling in for direct representation or information referral.

We've also provided a number of trainings and I'm happy to report that we've spent a good amount of time going to district offices, as well and meeting with their staff.

And what's resulted from that is the ability now for staff to call us and strategize about issues and problems. Not only with their voc rehab, but also for, we got a guy who wants to go out and get a job; and the other piece to the puzzle is educating employers how to work with this individual.

And we've had really good opportunities to work in helping educate the employer as to accommodation issues, as well as medical service

1 issues, that type of thing, and how those benefits are 2 going to affect this individual now returning to the 3 So we've really been encouraged by that 4 effort, and we will maintain that effort in the 5 future. MR. HWANG: Ouestions from the 6 committee? 7 8 MR. HOLLIDAY: I have a question. Dr. McConnell stated that there needs 9 10 to be more work done in the area of making resources 11 known to those individuals that could benefit from 12 To what extent do your organizations kind of 13 coalesce or work together in trying to promote the 14 resources that are there? 15 MS. BAUER: Well, in terms of our own 16 organization, we try to work in coalition with almost 17 every advocacy organization in the state, and with 18 service providers, as Amy Mays said, in going to the 19 district, all the districts. 20 We only have three staff in that 21 There are three people in the client 22 assistance program that are doing thousands of cases. 23 So they try to go out and around the state meeting the 24 people.

> DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

But the other thing is that we are

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 really trying, through our own increasing cultural 2 competence and through our affirmative hiring, to have 3 people who go in and among all communities. That's really sometimes the only way to do it. 4 5 Sometimes, the modes of communication 6 are verbal and face to face, as opposed to reading a 7 brochure and calling an 800 number. We're trying to 8 do much more of that. 9 We've done a lot of training on the 1.0 various Indian reservations, and then in different 11 outreach programs. 12 The staff of those other programs are 13 out in and among the state, too, so they might 14 15

identify someone that has a rehabilitation issue, that they can bring it in and transfer it over internally. We don't say to the person, oh, I don't do that work and call this toll-free number.

MR. HOLLIDAY: Dr. McConnell, just as a follow up, in some cases I've seen individuals, when they make recommendations, they sometimes have ideas in their heads as to what they think could be done.

Could you share with us if you have some thoughts as to how we could maximize getting the word out, based on some of your experiences?

DR. McCONNELL: There are a number of

notions that I have. Let me preface it by saying, that in rehabilitation, my experience was that most of the clients come to the system through traditional referral networks, and it is the nontraditional referral networks that need to be tapped if we're going to access the minority population.

So clearly, stronger linkages with community based organizations, and each of these is specific to culture, so it really depends on which population you're talking about.

I think we're all familiar with the fact of the church and African/American community which is, my only experience is, has limited knowledge about issues of disabilities and rights for persons with disabilities. So that has a target of opportunity.

I think, as Liz has suggested,
providers need to go to communities and providers -traditional agencies need to link up with community
based nontraditional agencies in partnership to get
services out, because populations will listen to their
own homegrown service provider in their community,
where they may not listen to traditional providers.

I know that MRS, Michigan Rehab Services, had begun to do some literature in different

1	languages, and that's okay, but if they use
2	traditional systems to get it out, it really doesn't
3	get too far. I think that's necessary but not a
4	sufficient strategy.
5	Liz had mentioned other communication
6	networks; the radios, the televisions, the community
7	based places where folks congregate, is where
8	information needs to get out.
9	I think there, obviously, is a need
10	for discussion groups in institutions that reside in
11	those communities. Those are some points.
12	MR. HWANG: Thank you.
13	MR. BULKOWSKI: You mentioned as one
14	of the recommendations and correct me if I got this
15	wrong, Doctor programs must be monitored for equity
16	and outcomes or the programs won't meet equity and
17	outcomes.
18	MR. McCONNELL: I'm sorry?
19	MR. BULKOWSKI: If programs aren't
20	monitored for equity and outcomes, they won't have
21	equity and outcomes.
22	MR. McCONNELL: I think that's true.
23	MR. BULKOWSKI: Did I get that?
24	Because the question to follow up on that is
25	MR. McCONNELL: Let me clarify for
	DOTMAN DECUNOTOCIES CROUD THE

you. Don't lose your follow-up questions. 1 2 MR. BULKOWSKI: I won't. 3 MR. McCONNELL: I think many of the issues in equity are errors of omission rather than 4 commission. I don't think that there's -- in most 5 agencies and my experience, in the State 6 7 Rehabilitation Agency -- there's not a clear intent to give inequitable services to minority populations. 8 9 But unless you are constantly looking 10 at the data and monitoring that data, and unless you 11 realize that in order to achieve equity, you may have 12 to do something that's unequal, it may not happen. 13 it's a matter of reinforcing the attention to the issue and providing a database that you can follow, 14 15 where you can see differences occurring. 16 MR. BULKOWSKI: The question then is, 17 in your experience, are MRS and MCB working towards 18 that kind of monitoring? It is happening, and if not, 19 what kind of things -- should additional things be 20 happening? 21 MR. McCONNELL: My experience in MRS, 22 when I left, we had begun to put in place some 23 databases that related to service equity issues. Ι 24 don't know where it's at, at this point in time.

> DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

I think that some things are being

done. I think that the level of monitoring and 1 2 attention to the issue -- of course I'm biased -- I 3 think needs to be heightened. The part of what I was speaking to, 4 as well, is not only what happens at the state agency, 5 because the state agency is responsive to, in many 6 cases, what its federal partners say is critical. 7 8 And part of what I was suggesting is 9 that the federal partners also need to strengthen the monitoring oversight and attention to that issue, as 10 well as recognize when good practices are occurring. 11 12 MR. BULKOWSKI: Okay. Thank you. 13 MR. HWANG: Mr. Martin. 14 MR. MARTIN: Ms. Bauer, does your 15 agency have a formal outreach program? 16 MS. BAUER: If it's in a plan, yes. 17 Actually, our multi-cultural committee has a plan for 18 training of staff and outreach to communities and 19 goals that we try to hit each year that we target each 20 year. 21 And we also have in our strategic 22 plan a focus on multi-cultural outreach, to try to 23 keep it at the forefront. Every program objective we 24 have builds in a particular multi-cultural facet so 25 that we don't forget.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because I think it is, you know, when you get really busy and a thousand people are calling, it's easy to feel your plate is full because the calls came in. When, in fact, that population that doesn't call, isn't being served. We might as well have said no to them. It's just a defacto no saying, so you have to take these calls; and then send your staff out in a very plain way. MR. MARTIN: Can you give me a 30-second or 60-second overview of your agency,

funding and staffing?

MS. BAUER: Sure.

We have 33 funding sources at seven I want you to know it's a complicated fiscal years. organization.

Most of protection and advocacy systems implement the five federally mandated programs, which are the protection and advocacy for developmental disabilities program, individuals with mental illness, individual rights, client systems program, and assisted technology. Those are the five federally mandated protection and advocacy programs and the client assistance program.

In addition to that, we, early on, identified HIV infection and AIDS as a disability in

the mid 80s, and went after funding for that, so we have about \$350,000 devoted just to advocating for the rights of people with HIV infection and AIDS.

In addition, we operate the Michigan Self-Help Clearing House, which is a database of all mutual help groups in the state, and the clearing house staff assists people in meeting groups and in forming groups and in making connections, everything to advance the notion of mutual help in the state.

We also do a lot of training and publications. We have other grants around specific projects. We have a grant for transportation advocacy, Michigan Access Project, which was a training program on the Americans with Disabilities Act.

We administrator the Great Lakes
Disability and Business Technical Assistance Center,
and we do the fiduciary function, and have a staff
person to give some time to coordinating that group,
which is really a voluntary council. And Mr. Cannon
can talk more about that.

Overall, our budget is three and a half million, and we have 65 employees, but lots of them are tied to the specific grants, so it isn't like we have 65 people to set out on a specific issue.

1	
1	MR. MARTIN: How much of that three
2	and a half million would you say is for outreach
3	initiatives?
4	MS. BAUER: Probably a good
5	percentage, because it's a form of every single
6	objective in the agency. So it's not a dollar per
7	se', but it's '85 percent of our budget is staff.
8	It's people on the road meeting with people.
9	MR. MARTIN: What's the primary
10	funding source?
11	MS. BAUER: Federal government is
12	about two million. The state government is about one
13	million, and private foundations and individuals are
14	about half a million.
15	MR. MARTIN: Is the federal money
16	RSA?
17	MS. BAUER: Pair money is about
18	that's RSA, that's about 285,000, and the client
19	assistance program is 309,000. Those are RSA money.
20	Actually, they go up in the
21	Department of Education. Over in the National
22	Institute for Rehabilitation Research, part of that,
23	that's where the assisted technology comes. So what
24	that does is come to the state as assisted technology
25	program, and that's Tech 2000, and Mr. DeLisle can

1	talk about that more. And then they contract with us
2	for the advocacy needs.
3	MR. MARTIN: Those aren't competitive
4	grants, though, are they? You have to bid, submit
5	proposals.
6	MS. BAUER: No. The federal ones are
7	not, because they're formula grants and they
8	automatically go to the agency designated to implement
9	the protection act systems.
10	MS. HaAJLUNI: Are there any
11	designated number of staff for outreach in your
12	agency?
13	MS. BAUER: Every person has that
14	responsibility.
15	And our multi-cultural committee has
16	on it representatives of management and staff
17	attorneys and secretaries.
18	MS. HaAJLUNI: But there's no specific
19	designated number of staff members for outreach
20	program; right? It's everybody's responsibility.
21	About how much time is spent per
22	individual, if you can sort of guesstimate or guess,
23	is outreach spent by individuals?
24	MS. MAYS: It's really hard to answer
25	that question. It's so involved in our casework, so I
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

could give you a for instance.

For instance, a couple weeks ago I went up to do some prison monitoring in Standish.

Traveling up there was about two hours. We decided we would then stop and visit some group homes, and also we stopped at the Caro Institute on our way back from Standish.

So we spent two hours monitoring, and in addition to that, we spent a couple of hours doing some outreach to the individuals who are in those facilities in Caro.

So that kind of gives you an idea on a day, if we're doing something and we're traveling, we try to involve outreach in that activity. So it wouldn't necessarily be, today I get up and my to-do list has two hours of outreach and then figure out what that's going to be. We try to encompass that in our mandate of being a state-wide agency.

We also have a very structured publications and outreach program, that when people call, if certain staff have level of expertise in certain areas of the law, and they'll be called upon to like a support group meeting or a training for consumers and sometimes professionals, that we would then go and do that any given day, whether it's during

the day or it's in the evening. We find ourselves doing a lot of trainings and outreach in the evenings,

So it's like our time sheet has outreach on it, and we do, on any given day, whatever the needs are or again what our travel plans may be, we certainly are cognizant of what is in that area and who haven't we reached in the communities that we can stop in and talk with folks and try to strategize some follow up with them.

MS. HaAJLUNI: And of that outreach time spent by individual members of your agency, is there any program or plan to target the minority population?

MS. MAYS: Right. We do have a whole plan through the multi-cultural committee, and that it also is encompassed in our strategic plan of outreach.

What they do is, they look at different populations, and then they focus a lot of time and attention within the time frame that they have, with whether it's a three-month period or it's throughout the year, to try to get in and involved.

For instance, we're planning a brunch for some folks in the Latino population and going in

and sitting down with them in their local communities and hearing what their issues are, and trying to then form a relationship.

I would note, when I was in the pair program, we spent a lot of time dealing with the population. We had an Arab outreach program, and we spent a lot of time in the communities over in southern Detroit working with some of their service providers.

And actually, it was interesting with that population, we ended up having a case that we could work with. It was a managed care type of issue, and what we found was, we helped that individual right away. We got some resolution on that case, and it really let them know that we were out there to help them, and by word of mouth, that turned out to be a very good program for us.

So we very much learn by doing, in the sense that whatever community needs there are depending on the cultural dynamic, how that we can introduce ourselves and our services to them is very much going out and meeting the community, and however long that takes.

On any given day, we may be there for an hour. We may be there for three hours, depending

1

2 that were in terms of quality. 3 MR. HWANG: How are bilingual issues 4 handled? Is it formalized or is it ad hoc, or is it 5 part of a plan? 6 MS. BAUER: A number of our staff are 7 bilingual. We have staff that speaks Russian. Lots 8 of staff that speaks Spanish, and a large number are 9 competent in sign language. So we do have resources 10 right in-house for some language skills. And then if we need interpreter services, then we get them. 11 12 That's just a cost of doing business to us so that we 13 can be sure that we're really understanding what 14 people are saying. 15 A lot of our clients, too, have no 16 language at all, like people with very severe 17 disabilities, particularly with people in 18 institutional settings. And there's no way they're 19 going to ask for help. 20 So we have to be walking the halls 21 and looking in the rooms and seeing who's in there, 22 and then having some measure of ability to understand 23 nonverbal language, too. I don't know that we're all 24 as good as we'd like to be.

on how well that meeting goes or what the results of

DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

MR. HWANG: Mr. Kobrak.

1	MR. KOBRAK: About the four
2	recommendations that you had, are very much on point
3	in terms of what we're doing, and you answered the
4	first by elaborating in answer to Prince.
5	We don't have time now, but I wonder
6	if you'd be willing to write a letter to Peter
7	Minarik, our staff director, addressing the
8	following:
9	You talked about cultural competence
10	in organizations, the need for more competence, and I
11	wondered if you could talk about some of the steps
12	specifically that one might take.
13	You talked about the monitoring, and
14	I wondered if you could either propose some measures
15	for doing such monitoring or steer us in the direction
16	of where we could find it.
17	And you also talked about timely
18	resolution of complaints, and I wondered if you could
19	talk a little more about how the process needs to be
20	changed to make that happen.
21	MR. McCONNELL: Why do I feel like I'm
22	in school again?
23	MR. KOBRAK: I think in this case,
24	Bob, we're past requirements, I'm presuming our
25	friendship.

1	MR. McCONNELL: Certainly. I'd be
2	happy to.
3	I didn't mention in the question that
4	Mr. Holliday asked me about outreach, that the obvious
5	outreach strategy is if you have bilingual or
6	bicultural staff in your organization.
7	It tremendously enhances the ability
8	to do outreach to communities. They are much more
9	comfortable in their communities, so it's a critical
10	issue, especially in the general field of
11	rehabilitation.
12	MR. HWANG: Ms. Olivarez-Mason.
13	MS. OLIVAREZ-MASON: Who do you work
14	with in Lansing within the Hispanic community?
15	MS. BAUER: People in the Cristo Rey
16	organization, lots of people. A lot of people on my
17	staff, maybe 13 people, just citizens.
18	MS. OLIVAREZ-MASON: We're the Spanish
19	Speaking Commission and I would be more than glad to
20	send out
21	MS. BAUER: We send our stuff to you
22	all the time, and so I will continue to do that and be
23	happy to do that. Maybe we can get together and do
24	some strategies.
25	MR. BULKOWSKI: We kind of were

focusing on your performance in protection and 1 2 advocacy, but one of your jobs is to monitor CILs, 3 MRS, MCB. When people are calling, are you getting complaints concerning, not only there isn't 5 6 money there, but I am a person with a very severe 7 disability, and I'm not getting what I think I need. 8 Or I am a person who is a member of 9 minority group, and I'm not getting what I think I 10 should get and I think it's because of my, you know, 11 severe disability or because of my racial group. 12 you getting those kinds of calls, and if you are, how 13 many? 14 MS. MAYS: We are getting those kind 15 of calls. PNA has just taken over CAP since October 1st, so I can't go too much into historic before 16 17 October 1st of last year. 18 I can tell you offhand that we just 19 had a call from an individual with autism, who, short 20 of requesting a hearing, we finally got them to open a 21 case on, autism being one of the most severe 22 disabilities sometimes. 23 We do get several calls -- and I'm 24 not going to be able to give you real hard statistics, 25 but I can certainly follow up with you on that -- of

folks who call saying, I'm not sure that my counselor understands my disability. I want a new counselor.

I'm not sure that my counselor understands my level of support in the community or my family support, based upon my minority status. And they're not saying it in those words, of course, but certainly those have been calls.

And then the need is always, I want to work with someone who may understand more of what I'm dealing with. And we've had -- I must say, we've had great success in finding an individual on that staff who has either already been identified and is working with them, and the district manager is aware of that.

Or it's just been a matter of, again, advocating and helping them advocate for themselves to what's that information or request, that type of change in counselor. So we do get those calls. We certainly have since we've taken over the program.

We want to spend more time looking at persons who are in supportive employment situations, and we feel that that certainly is an arena for folks with the most severe disability, and whether or not truly, their placement in employment is what they need, certainly what they want.

And I will tell you that that isn't something that we have really put on our plate, committed a lot of time. It is a new program, but certainly isn't something that is on our agenda, and we have done groundwork in investigation in that area.

MS. HaAJLUNI: Based on the number of complaints that you do receive in your agency, what percentage of the calls are regarding about, I'm not getting these services that I believe that I'm entitled to?

I believe that I'm entitled to the services because -- or I believe that I'm entitled to a priority services, because I have the most severe disability, or I have severe disability. What percentage of your calls are regarding those?

MS. MAYS: I would say a good percentage of our calls are, I'm not getting the services that I want, and I say that only, everybody wants to have, sometimes, a lot more than what can realistically happen.

I must tell you that I think people aren't calling us saying I think I need these services. They're not identifying those. A lot of times folks don't really understand what their rights are, who are calling us enough to know that there's

25

more to ask for or they just know that there's a 1 2 problem, and what they're getting isn't working for 3 them. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

MS. HaAJLUNI: Then after those calls,

and I assume you do some type of, you call the agency back that they have the complaints with.

And how much of those calls are based on your investigation or your follow up? How much of those calls are legitimate in terms of the specific agency are not providing services pursuant to the guidance, such as the most severely injured -- not injured -- most severely disabled are to be serviced faster, if I can say it, promptly.

MS. MAY: When we get a call like that, we call the counselor, if they can identify who their counselor is, and we initially deal with them. If we can't deal with them, either they're not available and they're out in the field, we'll talk with their supervisor.

Most times, we get to a point where we sit down, and it's a lot of communication issues, where the client hasn't communicated, necessarily or effectively to the counselor, these are the issues that I'm still having.

Ninety percent of the cases got

25

1 resolved with that type of sit down, informal kind of, 2 these are the services that I need that I'm still not 3 getting, again with that background of, they may be 4 calling us not even understanding what kind of 5 services they can still get that are still available 6 to them. 7 I can give you a report. We've got 8 those types of issues in our office, where we label a 9 case based upon what services -- is the issue when we establish contact with their counselor, is that they 10 11 didn't get the service that they want, and then what 12 the resolution was, they end up getting that service 13 and what type of litigation support was needed or 14 advocacy support was needed to get to that point. 15 I can get you that hard data, if 16 you'd like. 17 MR. HWANG: I think that we'd appreciate that report. I think with that, we're out 18 19 of time. Thank you very much. 20 Next I'd like to recognize Norm 21 DeLisle and Rich Webster. Who's coming with Rich 22 Webster? 23 MR. BULKOWSKI: RoAnne Chaney.

MR. HWANG: RoAnne Chaney.

MR. WEBSTER: Thank you very much for

inviting me to address the Advisory Committee. I appreciate that.

My name is Rick Webster. I'm director of the Michigan Rehabilitation Advisory Council, still on a learning curve. Been in this position since August of this last year.

Former recipient of services of Rehab Services here in Michigan, as well as Indiana, Ohio and Illinois, over the last 24 years, not all at the same time. I thought I'd better qualify that.

Just a little bit of overview. The Michigan Rehabilitation Advisory Council is a federally mandated council that came out of the '92 Rehabilitation Act amendments. We are a brother/sister organization to the statewide Independent Living Council, of whom Pat Cudahy will talk about this afternoon.

out of the Council, comes out of the Rehab Act amendments. The members of the council, there are 18 members on the council. The membership is composed of representatives with disabilities in the community, centers for independent living, parents, community rehabilitation, rehabilitation programs, professionals, business, labor, and industry.

The current council makeup is not very well represented by minorities. We've had difficulty in regards to recruitment, and that recruitment process is fairly simple. We simply go to the Governor's office with some recommendations, and we encourage people to apply from all over the state.

We do have an outreach committee on our council. That committee takes a look at disability issues. It takes a look at minority issues, diversity issues representing the council, as well as how people are being served in the area of diversity throughout the state. And we also look at hiring practices of Michigan Rehab Services.

Our purpose and function, we have some real specific responsibilities. Purpose and function, the general purposes of the Michigan Rehabilitation Advisory Council is to advise Michigan Rehabilitation services on all programs and policies related to Title 1 and Title 7-C of the Rehabilitation Act.

We are to evaluate the quality of programs under these titles, we are to make policy and program recommendations to Michigan Rehabilitation Services. So you're not going to find that I have a lot of hard numbers for you today, although they can

be obtained through Mr. Davis.

We want to be consistent with the values of supporting self-determination and independent living by Michigan citizens with disabilities who want to assist in the empowerment of people with disabilities, to increase their employment, economic self-sufficiency, independence and integration into society, and to create operational policies necessary to achieve these purposes.

The following specific goals that we have or mandates that we have -- excuse me -- to conduct activities, to enhance public involvement into policy development such as forms and hearings, and I'll talk a little bit more about that in a little while.

Secondly, we are to advise Michigan Rehab Services in developing and providing and coordinating vocational rehabilitation services under the Rehabilitation Act, to people with disabilities in the State of Michigan.

Thirdly, we are to conduct a review and analysis of the effectiveness of consumer satisfaction with services for people with disabilities.

1 2 3 4 rehabilitation programs in Michigan. 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 and other councils. 15 16 17 18 19 throughout the State of Michigan. 20 21 22 23 26. There are some issues around that. 24 25 are developing services to businesses. Our role as a

Number four, we are to prepare and submit an annual report to the Governor and appropriate organizations, of the status of vocational Fifthly, we are to establish and support working relationships among Michigan Rehab Services and a Statewide Independent Living Council and centers for independent living in Michigan. And sixthly, to coordinate with other councils within Michigan, such as the statewide Independent Living Council, the Special Education Advisory Council, Michigan Developmental Disabilities Council, and the State Mental Health Advisory Council In this state we have, as of this last year, rehab services as determined that they're going to focus on three areas, and that's service redesign. How can they service people better Number two, they focused on the area of transition. Service to youth, in this state, we are the only state that services students up to age And thirdly, we provide services and

council has been to assist rehab services in obtaining the goals that they have in those three areas. focused on advocacy toward that, towards that end. Some of the areas that we've been, in regards to focusing on getting input from other people throughout the state, as well as at our council meetings, we hold four to six council meetings throughout the year in various areas throughout the state, rural and urban. We have public comment at each one of those meetings.

At those town hall meetings or our council meetings, we are able to obtain information related to how we are providing services in that area, as well as other issues that arise, such as independent living services in that area, transportation in that area, personal and family support in that area.

There has been, over the last seven years, a collaboration with the Michigan Rehabilitation Advisory Council, plus six other organizations.

I will submit our annual report for documentation, the annual report that went to the Governor, as well as to Dr. Frederick Schroeder, at Rehab Services Administration. And that will give you

an overview of some of the accomplishments of the council over this last year.

At this point, I'll turn it over to RoAnne. Do any of you have questions?

MS. CHANEY: My name is RoAnne Chaney, and I'm sitting in for Norm DeLisle, who's on vacation. And I've also been asked to represent Liz O'Hara, who is the executive director of MESA, who was on this afternoon's agenda. The Association of Centers for Independent Living have a retreat today and tomorrow, so they weren't available.

I'm going to leave you her written comments, but my name, by the way, they asked me to spell, is R-o, capital A-n-n-e, C-h-a-n-e-y. I'm currently also the project director for Tech 2000, which is this state's assisted technology program.

Norm DeLisle is the former director of the Michigan Rehab Advisory Council, and current executive director of the Michigan Disability Rights Coalition.

The Tech 2000 is a project that is now being subcontracted by our state's rehab services to the Michigan Disability Rights Coalition, so we're all in this together.

I also served as the associate

director of the Ann Arbor Center for Independent
living for ten years, so I was asked to address here
how rehab services, in my view and my experiences,
serves people with severe disabilities. My experience
is largely with people with physical disabilities.

And I was asked to say by Norm, from his experience in AMRAK and three other advisory councils, that some of the statistics shows that rehab services doesn't always do a particularly effective job in serving people with severe physical disabilities, especially with people who would be classified as being quads.

In my own experience and most people in the IL movement, many of us have been told that we are not employable throughout the years. In my particular case, that was not true. I have been served by Michigan Rehab Services even within the last year or so in terms of getting some equipment to continue on my job.

My comments in terms of labeling people unemployable is that, that's certainly something that hangs with people for a very long time, and it's something that is a most disempowering thing that can ever be said to a person with a severe disability.

And while it may not be being said as blatantly as it used to be said within rehab services, that message is still there. It may not be as often but said when you first come in the door. But the message is more now these days. We don't have the resources to invest in you.

People with severe disabilities are being told not only within rehab. I've had discussions with staff about how much resources do we put into someone, who, in all likelihood, is not likely to be able to get a job. I've personally heard that comment.

That message is certainly being said within the medical community and all the debate about healthcare resources, and that spills over into a lot of areas.

Over the years, I've had discussions, especially with the former director of rehab services here in the state -- and I'll say my same comments

I've said to him is -- I think the rehab services does a very good job of brokering services when they do serve people with significant disabilities, that they do a good job of brokering services for people with disabilities.

I'm not sure all of those services

DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

9.

always get the same level of input from the people with disabilities as they should. What I don't think rehab does a good job of with people with significant disabilities is really identifying -- assisting a person, identifying their own set of skills that they can market, and, therefore, obtain a real job and a real career.

And if the people you're sitting there working with don't believe that, then, of course, they're not going to assist that person and recognize what skills they have.

Many within in my experience, not all, but many within rehab services, still do not recognize the interactions of what we would classify as IL issues, just successful employment and careers, IL issues like transportation, personal assistance services, housing or empowerment, basic empowerment of that person believing that they can really accomplish significant jobs and careers.

Those within rehab services who do recognize this connection and recognize those issues, often feel that there's nothing that they can do, and, therefore, what they will do is sometimes serve people and provide services, and then they'll sit on a case load.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have had people, when I worked at the Ann Arbor Center for Independent Living -- and that CIL was starting to get into job placement -- we would have people coming to us not being referred by rehab services, and that's who we had the contract with, stating, well, yeah, I do have a case open, but

Or I did have a case open. I had a job. I had it for about 90 days, and now I don't have it anymore. I don't go through them anymore because I don't think they got me what I really needed in terms of a permanent job.

One of the things that we have recently done through the Michigan Jobs Commission is, rehab services does have a very innovative program here in this state for personal assistant services for people who work.

We have recently, through a Developmental Disabilities Council grant, been able to do a cost effectiveness study of that program. I have personally seen a draft of that report. The final version is not out yet. When we get that, we'll be widely distributing that.

One of the things that that report is going to show is, that there is cost effectiveness for

investing personal assisted services for people who work, and there was actually categories that were set up within this studied that classified people as either having a stable disability, a declining or progressive disability such as multiple sclerosis might be.

Or some people who were on that program like myself, who are considered transitional, they needed personal assistance for a certain period of time in their life to maintain their employment. They would not have been able to do that without personal assistant services.

What this study and the first indication show, is that the straight cost effectiveness for -- meaning the dollars put in compared to what the dollars that are coming back in taxes -- are the greatest for people who were provided that service, that were either in transition and needed personal assistance for a short time in their life, or who have stable conditions, that the most dollars coming back in taxes is with those groups.

However, there's another category in there and that's cost avoidance, and the cost avoidance is what you avoid paying out in terms of Social Security or Medicaid, Medicare, or housing

20

21

22

23

24

25

subsidies or all those other government programs that are being avoided by a person staying employed. That is greatest for people who are in declining or

And it's there for everybody, but there's ratios in terms of classifications of people

Also, this study is going to say that the people on this program have very significant physical disabilities. Many of those on the program started their own business, and this program shows, that through also having personal assistance available, they've largely been successful at those

This, to me, is a significant implication, because that's self-employment, and starting your own business has not always been a real supported option within rehab services. Again, there's pockets where it is, and there's pockets where it's not; but it's not always been supported.

The other things it shows is the greatest cost effectiveness, and the most coming back in terms of taxes are by people who have secondary education, and that's people who have postgraduate degrees. And again, that's, to me, an implication for

rehab services.

It's sometimes been a battle to get graduate school funded through rehab services. And, again, it shows them what the investment is. And I bring this up because that's some of the messages that people with these kinds of disabilities get, is that you're not worth the investment.

And yet what I think this study does is say, if the investment is there, it is worth it, because it's coming back in lots of other financial ways.

And I bring that up because very often nowadays, services is a competition of resources. It's not, to me, for people with severe disabilities. It's not always a straight up or discrimination issue. The arguments are being made out of resources.

I have one other observation, too.

I've taken over the Tech 2000, the Assisted Technology

project last fall. I think a lot of people, late

summer or fall, changed jobs last year.

One thing I have noticed, because we are under the same thing that all services are under, and that's to conduct research, and we have subcontracted small projects, assisted technology, to

There's a

25

a lot of local communities, all who have programs 1 written to say they do outreach. 2 3 But in my experiences, there is a 4 minority of them who actually implement those, and 5 that's another point I want to make here. 6 big difference between having a policy and having a plan, and actually getting results. And I think some 7 8 of your questions were getting at that. 9 We required the plans, but what I'm 10 seeing in the results, there's a handful out of 23 11 projects that I actually see results in. 12 Again, that is a competition of 13 resources. Most of these projects are going, as with 14 CILs, and I know it was an issue within the CIL I 15 worked for a long time, is how much resources do we 16 devote to this, given the limited number we have. 17 private nonprofits are constantly struggling for 18 finding resources. 19 And I think it's a challenge to all 20 of us about how we ensure that priority is given to 21 that kind of outreach, just as it is to serve people 22 with severe and significant disabilities, because 23 plans don't always accomplish that. 24 MR. HWANG: Thank you.

> MR. BULKOWSKI: If I could follow up DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

1 then, RoAnne, with that last comment, because this 2 weekend I'm writing a proposal for that project, and 3 I'm putting in there my minority outreach. 4 What happens next summer if I don't 5 meet that minority outreach? What kind of teeth are 6 in the project to say, Dave, you had a great plan but 7 you didn't do anything for it. Are you not going to 8 fund me in fiscal year 2000, or how do you make sure 9 I'm doing what I say I'm doing? 10 MS. CHANEY: That's a good question, 11 and I think that I've made it, because that's also 12 with the CIL. CILs, under RSA guidelines, are 13 required to do that. I can tell you there's a handful 14 that actually do something. They have plans, but do 15 they actually do something. 16 And that's when I really came to 17 realize in terms of those local projects we've done 18 is, we require it, but we don't have teeth behind it; 19 and that's what I'm trying to figure out. 20 Now, how do I put that teeth behind 21 it without using a hammer, because we prefer not to do 22 that. 23 MR. HWANG: Other questions? 24 MR. BULKOWSKI: Well, since I have the 25 microphone, you stated labeling persons unemployable. DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

(517) 393-1668

Who does that labeling and when?

MS. CHANEY: Currently, I'm not as

clear about it currently. It used to be when people came in the door years ago. We're talking history here, but that used to happen years ago when people came to the door.

I've heard stories all over the state and all over this country, how people walking in the door and staff telling them, we can't serve you here. You're not employable.

MS. HaAJLUNI: Is there a certain educational program within the MRS and various state funded agencies to educate the staff members regarding the different disabilities and how to interact with the clients that they see? Is there any type of educational process or anything like that?

Because I would assume that the people who work with these individuals, they have their personal bias or personal -- I shouldn't say prejudice -- but personal bias or opinions that they've already formulated without having the benefit of being educated about that person's disability.

MR. WEBSTER: There's ongoing training that is being developed that is going on to assist the counselors and staff within the district offices

around the state. 1 2 There are some old quards in the 3 system, people who are not as forward thinking as maybe the new folks coming out of the college 4 curriculum. These folks have an increased sensitivity 5 coming out these days on minority diversity issues. 6 7 And perhaps there's a mind set that some people are 8 not going to change, attitudes are not going to 9 change. 10 But if the Rehab Advisory Council has 11 any input into that, we will assist in changes 12 happening and there being more sensitivity. 13 MR. HWANG: Mr. Martin. 14 MR. MARTIN: Ms. Chaney, does the 15 Michigan Jobs Commission, to your knowledge, have any 16 programs for disabled persons, or are there any formal coordination of services between them and other 17 18 groups? 19 MS. CHANEY: What do you mean, 20 programs? 21 MR. MARTIN: Programs that would 22 assist, say, the persons in employment in training. 23 MS. THOMAS: Training programs? 24 MS. CHANEY: I'm not quite sure. 25 MR. MARTIN: Of the questions?

1	MS. CHANEY: Yeah.
2	MR. MARTIN: I'm asking if the
3	Michigan Jobs Commission has any programs that would
4	assist disabled persons with employment or training,
5	to your knowledge.
6	MS. CHANEY: Do they have the training
7	programs for employment?
8	MR. MARTIN: Yes. Do they have any
9	programs that would assist disabled
10	MS. CHANEY: I thought that was their
11	main function. That was a big function of what they
12	did.
13	MR. MARTIN: It is, but I'm saying
14	specifically for disabled persons.
15	MR. WEBSTER: That's their whole
16	emphasis.
17	MR. MARTIN: The Michigan Jobs
18	Commission?
19	MR. WEBSTER: Yes.
20	MS. CHANEY: Rehab services. I see
21	what you're saying. You're making a distinction
22	between Michigan Jobs Commission and rehab services.
23	MR. MARTIN: Yes.
24	MS. CHANEY: To my knowledge, I don't
25	know of any that just the Michigan Jobs Commission
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

 \parallel has.

lot.

MR. BULKOWSKI: I don't know if it's appropriate, but there is a small business advocate who is a Michigan Jobs Commission employee, as opposed to the Michigan Jobs Commission Rehab Services employee who focuses on small business development for folks with disabilities. Our office works with him a

MR. MARTIN: Okay.

We've been calling MRS, MRS, Michigan Rehab Services, but they're actually MJCRS, Michigan Jobs Commission Rehab Services. So they're a division of the Jobs Commission. We needed a better map of who really works for who.

MR. MARTIN: I understand.

MR. BULKOWSKI: There is pure Jobs

Commission money that helps folks with disabilities

start jobs or give that resource and help them access
the other Jobs Commission resources as opposed to or
in addition to the rehab service dollars, which is its
own funding streams from the fed and the state
government.

We can ask those questions of Mr. Davis, but I don't know if they're a fire wall between the Jobs Commission dollars and the rehab

service dollars or not, but it's state bureaucracy. 1 2 MR. HWANG: I might note for the record, you might want to define your acronyms too, 3 like MRS, the acronyms that you've use. 5 MR. MARTIN: Mr. Chairman, we might 6 want to ask Peter to maybe give us an overview, a 7 diagram of what's available in the state now, how they 8 all relate. 9 MR. HWANG: Any other questions? 10 MR. BULKOWSKI: One quick one. I know we're late, but, Rick, you had mentioned that you look 11 12 at the hiring practices of MRS or MJCRS, and are you 13 looking at, like, the number of folks who are 14 counselors and administration staff that are persons 15 with disabilities and that are members of minority 16 groups? And if you do look at those things, what are 17 the numbers showing? 18 MR. WEBSTER: We do look at those, and 19 we were more concerned about those last year, I guess, 20 ten months ago, take a closer look at, are we hiring 21 people with disabilities into the agency who are skilled counselors and skilled at working with people. 22 23 There have been an increase in hiring 24 persons with disabilities in the organization, and I 25 think they're really moving ahead in this area. DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC.

P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

1	treated promptly and those kind of things. That, I
2	think, is what we're trying to move towards.
3	Right now there's no tie between
4	rehabs and money. That comes in its formulas.
5	MR. HWANG: Thank you very much.
6	MR. MINARIK: Mr. Davis, thank you
7	very much. Mr. Cannon, thank you very much. I
8	appreciate your time.
9	MR. HWANG: Next, I'd like to
10	recognize Patricia Cudahy, State Independent Living
11	Council.
12	For the record, Elizabeth O'Hara, the
13	Michigan Council of Centers for Independent Living,
14	has provided a written comment, which will be provided
15	to the record.
<u>.</u> 16	MS. CUDAHY: I have written comments
17	and I also have a report on town hall meetings that
18	we've done over the last two years.
19	MR. HWANG: Thank you.
20	MS. CUDAHY: Thank you.
21	MR. MINARIK: Thank you.
22	MS. CUDAHY: On behalf of the Michigan
23	Center, I want to thank you for the opportunity to
24	speak on behalf of people with disabilities.
25	When I first received this
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

2.

invitation, it was like a summons from the IRS. I thought, do I want to be here or don't I want to be here. In fact, I almost made the decision not to be, because I thought, am I really the person to represent people across the State of Michigan.

And then it occurred to me that we had spent about three years collecting input from people, just people with disabilities, their families, advocates, professionals across the state.

We handed out detailed surveys to 275 people over these two years, and we collected the information, the comments, the concerns that they had, and that's in this blue folder that you see. And I'll walk through some of that with you so that it makes sense.

And then I thought, I'm a person with a significant disability, and back in 1988, I faced unemployment. I looked at myself as a person in extreme pain, looking at hip replacement surgery, and there was probably no way I could go back to work. I would be on Social Security. It would change my life-style.

I remember sitting on my couch in my living room crying because my career was at an end.

That's not the case, and on the average I contribute

\$15,000 in taxes back to the federal government and the State of Michigan on a yearly basis.

And I hold a state-level job, and I think I'm a productive, capable person; and that's just one example. So I'm going to speak to you not only for the people that are out there, but from my own heart.

The statewide independent living council is a governor-appointed council made up of 18 people, the majority of who -- and it's more than just a 50 percent majority -- who have disabilities. We also have six ex-officio members on this silk (sic) who represent the state departments that provide services to people with disabilities.

I characterize this silk as a forum for the discussion and debate of public policies issues impacting the lives of people with disabilities issues, and we advise the Governor and the state departments on its findings.

Employment is an issue. Employment is something that people with disabilities need to be as self-sufficient as possible, as productive, independent and in charge of their own lives.

As I said, over the past three years we've been involved in a project, which, actually, the

Michigan Rehabilitation Advisory Council in this silk initiated, where we do town hall meetings.

And the thinking behind the town hall meetings -- I'm a great fan of Dr. Quinn, Medicine

Woman, and I saw how they had town hall meetings and everybody turned out. And I said, how do you have a town hall meeting where everybody turns out, where people want to tell you what they have on their minds.

The first thing you have to do is get rid of these things, and then we threatened to cut all the ties off the guys that wore suits, and the women couldn't wear -- I'm not going to do that today.

But that's how we set up our town hall meetings, and it was neat, because when we started them, we had a lot of people that turned out.

And we said, you are the disability experts. You have had disabilities, you have experience in your family.

You tell us. What do people with disabilities need?

The Michigan silk, in collaboration with the two designated state units, which is the Michigan Commission for the Blind, and you just heard Director Cannon speak; the Michigan Jobs Commission Rehabilitation Services, and that's Director Davis and its independent living partners, who joined with our designated state units and the independent partners.

And we put together a Michigan State
plan for independent living. We're drafting our next
three-year plan, and we've been out across the state.
In addition to the town hall
meetings, we've been holding IL partnership meetings

meetings, we've been holding IL partnership meetings with our partners and saying, what are the main concerns? What are the things you want us to address? And time and time again employment is the main concern.

Over the past two years, several themes have emerged in discussions around employment. One of the things that we found is that the word transition, although it applies to youth in school, youth transition, there's also a life transition issue or concept that's an important consideration in the employment of people with disabilities.

While transition services for youth is a major and important emphasis, these other life transitions have to be considered, as well.

For people with significant disabilities, the aging process poses many challenges. Suddenly, the job which has enabled the person to gain increased self-sufficiency and self-worth, is jeopardized. I happened to be going through this.

We're finding that the person with a significant disability is now living longer and is faced with an added stress of a body going through the aging process along with the disability.

We need to consider job longevity

We need to consider job longevity expectations. Perhaps a person with a disability retires at an earlier age than the "norm" at 62, 65 or even 70. Counselors must be able to provide and put in place supports to assist a person through this kind of life transition.

There needs it be an increased awareness of the impact of adding employment to the life of a person with a significant disability. You need to understand what it means to go to work. A job may mean the person puts themselves at risk every time they leave their home. This can include falls, assault and battery, because they're vulnerable, decubiti, increased fatigue, susceptibility to illness, are just a few things.

The person with a significant disability must make employment a priority if they're to be successful, and this may mean eliminating family activities, church involvement, leisure and recreation time that most of us take for granted.

While these things do not preclude a

person with a disability working, they must be taken into consideration if we are to impact an unemployment rate of over 70 percent, and I do use that 70 percent.

I think that sometimes we have to get into our counselor position and impose a value of everybody needs to work. And while I subscribe to that and I think that that's important, we have to understand the cost to the individual in doing that, and I feel very strongly about that, and I hear that from people.

What does it mean to the individual who is quadriplegic, who is using a wheelchair to go to work? He's going to leave his house and he may not be sure he's going to return to his house in the same condition he left it. These are survival issues that we need to keep upward most when we're dealing people with disabilities.

People with disabilities must have healthcare coverage. Going to work can mean reduction or elimination of benefits. We need to find a way to remedy that.

Why, in heaven's name, if a person is surviving and has the things they need to survive, food, clothing, shelter and healthcare, would they jeopardized that by going to work, when they're

afraid -- I'm addressing a fear that a person with a disability feels, and I'm addressing it as a person with a disability myself.

When I walk out my door in the wintertime, I don't know if I'm going to walk back in that night. That is an ever-constant fear. When you add up all those things together, it is a daunting challenge to take on a job, and we need to know that. And we need to keep that in our minds as we work with people.

Personal assistance services has to be available to people who have significant disabilities, who want to go to work. I can't tell you the number of people I know who are competent, highly qualified, productive Type A personalities.

I'm thinking of one person who's on our council, who needs a personal assistant to help that person got out of bed in the morning, get dressed, get fed, and get to work.

We have a personal assistance services reimbursement program here in Michigan that's administered by the Michigan Rehabilitation Services, and it's held in two centers for independent living in this state; and it's proved the success and the value of having personal assistance.

Transportation continues to be a high concern of people with disabilities. Whether you ask people in the urban areas of Wayne County or the huge rural area of the Upper Peninsula, you find that finding a way to access the community to go to work continues to be a major concern.

In Detroit, it may be that there's no public transportation that's available. It may not be accessible. It may not be coming on time. In Marquette, it may be that the walks don't get shoveled, so I can't get out of my house. Available transportation is not always accessible and even I have found that to be true.

The system doesn't serve people with significant disabilities, as well. This is a comment that we heard in one of our town hall meetings. We need to have more people employed in the system who have disabilities themselves, in order to affect the systems change we need, to impact a 70 percent unemployment rate and I'd like to address that.

Maybe it's not 70 percent. And forgive me, but, I think our current unemployment rate in the state -- and, perhaps, the strike with GM has changed these figures -- but the last time I heard it was getting down around 3.5 percent. I can't imagine

the people with disabilities have that kind of unemployment rate.

And I have used the word obscene. We had a discussion about that the other day, and somebody said, that's very strong, Pat. You'd better be careful. You might want to use objectionable. I thought about it for a long time, and I said, no, it's obscene, that people with disabilities have the kind of unemployment rate that they do, and that we are running around being so excited about a 3.5 unemployment rate.

Every time I hear that, I think we're being excluded, we're being isolated, we're being kept from whatever it is that allows the rest of the people in this state to enjoy that kind of unemployment.

Independent living is a key component of the employment. It is not an add-on. It's not an afterthought. It's not something that you pull out and use when you need it.

A person who has a significant disability has to understand in his own mind that he has value and worth and that he can go to work and amount to something, and that's where independent living starts to work.

I ran an independent living center.

DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

FORM FED 😝 PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I started one up in Midland. I can't tell you the number of people that I met with disabilities, who did not see employment as part of their life-style. They had been excluded for so long from the mainstream of American society, that they could not see employment as an option.

And where we started to work, and very often where I got involved, is talking with them initially, you can do it. You can get a job. Did you ever think about that?

Centers for independent living are organizations made up and run by people with disabilities helping other people with disabilities become more self-sufficient, take control of their lives, and this includes having and keeping a job. They know what it is to be successful and they help others achieve this.

Employees of centers for independent living are members of the workforce, and they can assist and support others in becoming employed. And sometimes for a person with a disability, that might be their first step into the workforce, is working at a center for independent living. And their experience with disability credentials them for working with other people with disabilities.

The compilation of town hall meeting input that I'm giving you -- first of all, I want to qualify this. I have been reminded many times over that this is not a statistically valid report. That's okay with me, because what it is is what people with disabilities, their friends, their advocates, professionals, have told us, and that counts for me.

My strength is not statistics. I've never had a course in it, but what does count is going out and hearing what people with disabilities tell me. I don't care if they're self-selected. I think if somebody has the interest in coming to a meeting and telling me or a group what they need to be independent, then that qualifies them as having some expertise.

The first part of it are basic demographics. When we did the town hall meetings, we had five different disability issues including employment, transportation, assisted technology, personal and family supports and independent living services.

For this purpose, in speaking on employment, I've included independent living issues, employment and transportation. If you go to the bar graphs, you will find that out of 275 people that we

talked with, 275 people thought that people with disabilities in their area needed help in finding available jobs.

They needed help in finding what jobs were right for them. They knew they didn't have the skills, education or training that they needed for good jobs. They needed transportation to get to work and on and on.

The comments about transportation, people needed their own car. They needed public transportation to get them to work. If you have a job and you can't get to work -- I lived up in Midland County. I travel a lot up into the Upper Peninsula. If you live 30 miles from a job, and that's not uncommon, how in the Sam Hill do you get to the job?

I get in my car every day and drive 18 miles. If I didn't have that car, I wouldn't be going to work, or I'd have to find some place in town and hope that public transportation could get me there. It's likely it would in Lansing, because they have a pretty good system, but that's not the case in all areas of the state.

If you can't sleep at night, and you want some good reading, then I'd suggest you read from pages 15 on. You might put this in your bathroom.

These are what people actually told us. We gave them detailed surveys, which were yes or no, or check a blank or a box.

But we also did focus groups within the town hall meeting, where a group of eight to ten people would come together around an issue like employment. And we would allow them -- allow, I hate that. We would encourage them and enable them and facilitate them in putting their comments up on a flip chart, and what you see here are the comments off the flip chart.

They told us what works well. I thought what was real interesting here, we didn't have whiners. We had people that said, you know, in our community this works well. And they said, but in our community these are the barriers, and in our community this is what needs to be improved.

And I remember going over these comments a year ago and trying to do some compilation. It was 10:30 at night. I was home in my little kitchen office. And I was actually brought to tears by a comment that was, we want to be part of the solution, not the problem, and that was a direct quote from a person that had attended a town hall meeting.

That's the kind of stuff we saw. We

didn't see a lot of people whining and saying, give us 1 this and give us that. Just give us the opportunity. 2 3 Give us the things we need to be productive and self-sufficient. 4 5 That wraps up my comments. I want to 6 thank you very much for the opportunity to be here. It was really pretty great when I got into this stuff, and, yes, I did want to be here. 8 9 MR. HWANG: Thank you, Ms. Cudahy. 10 MS. CUDAHY: Questions. 11 questions? 12 MR. BULKOWSKI: The question I'd have, Pat, is, how is minority outreach included in the 13 14 state IL plan? 15 MS. CUDAHY: When we do the town hall 16 meetings, we outreach to the minority populations. 17 will tell you that has been difficult. 18 demographics here, the ethnic groups, you will see Caucasian is the highest, African/American next. 19 20 have not been real successful with American Indian, 21 Asian. 22 As people self-identify to come to 23 town hall meetings, many cultural groups don't see 24 themselves as part of that process, and that's an area

> DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

we really need to hone in on.

1	American Indian, particularly is
2	challenging, because they have a system of their own,
3	and trying to get into that system actually has to be
4	done by the members of the Native/American community.
5	You can't do this as a white, middle class person, you
6	know, has a job, and is living downstate. I'm
7	thinking of the Indians in the Upper Peninsula.
8	In the plan itself, those are the
9	things, looking back on the comments that we've gotten
10	for people, that we're still developing that part of
11	our next three-year plan, and there will be a lot of
12	attention to that, because I see that as a significant
13	need.
14	Now, I haven't answered your
15	question. I wish I could answer it better.
16	MR. BULKOWSKI: Okay. Thanks.
17	MR. HWANG: Mr. Martin.
18	MR. MARTIN: Independent living, I
19	really don't understand fully what it means. Who are
20	these? Are they providers that assist disabled
21	persons?
22	MS. CUDAHY: The Rehabilitation Act,
23	Title 7 of the Rehabilitation Act, puts into place
24	centers for independent living that are run by people

DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

with disabilities. You have to have a majority of

people with disabilities on the board and in the 1 staff. 2 We have ten centers for independent 3 living in Michigan currently, and we're bringing on 4 board another two centers. They provide four core 5 services, skills training, advocacy and referral, peer 6 counseling or mentoring and --7 MR. BULKOWSKI: Information and 8 referral. 9 MS. CUDAHY: -- information and 10 referral. 11 12 So there are four core services. They 13 help people with disabilities become successful at 14 managing their own lives. They help them become more self-sufficient. They help them become as independent 15 16 as they want to be. 17 It is not a living arrangement --18 when I had a center up in Midland, we'd get calls 19 every now and then, people wanted to drop people off. 20 And I lived in horror, that maybe some day I'd come to 21 work in the morning and there would be a couple of 22 people that had been left on the doorstep. 23 But, no, it's actually using the 24 principle of consumer driven. Those of us who have disabilities, 25

know what it takes to be independent. We know what we 1 need in services and supports. And so bringing that 2 3 into the equation when you're designing services for 4 people with disabilities, greatly enhances the 5 opportunity to be successful at that. 6 MR. MARTIN: So the centers would help 7 in identifying services? 8 MS. CUDAHY: They do systems change in 9 a number of different ways. They're community based, 10 so it depends on what your particular community needs. 11 When I worked in Midland, we were involved in a community supported living arrangements 12 13 grant. We sat on three boards of community mental 14 health in the area, and I can measure the systems 15 change, because people sitting on that board would say to me -- they'd make a statement about how they dealt 16 17 with a person with a disability, and then they'd say, 18 oh, no, I can't say it that way. 19 Because we constantly tried to 20 present the person with the disability as driving 21 their own services, having person-centered services, the person with a disability being in charge of what's 22 23 being done to them, so to speak. 24 MR. MARTIN: Thank you.

> DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

MR. HWANG: Thank you very much.

1	MR. MINARIK: Thank you very much.
2	MR. HWANG: I'd like to recognize
3	Greta Wu of Peckham Industries.
4	Let's take a three-minute break, if
5	you don't mind, Ms. Wu, three or four minutes.
6	(Whereupon, a brief recess
7	was taken.)
8	MR. HWANG: Let's reconvene.
9	Recognizing Greta Wu of Peckham Industries and Jean
10	Golden of Capital Area
11	MS. CRONK: I'm June Cronk.
12	MR. HWANG: Oh, June?
13	MS. CRONK: Cronk, C-r-o-n-k.
14	MR. HWANG: Excuse me.
15	MS. WU: Should I get started?
16	Good afternoon. I'm Greta Wu. I'm
17	the service director at Peckham Industries. Peckham
18	is a community rehabilitation organization in the
19	Lansing area, and this organization started back in
20	1976. So we've been in operation for about 22 years
21	now.
22	Originally, we started out as a
23	vocational rehabilitation program. Over the years, we
24	gradually expand and develop additional programs.
25	Today Peckham is a community rehab organization
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

serving over twenty-five hundred people a year and with a staff of about 160.

We're serving a very very wide range of individuals. We have about 59 percent of our individuals that we serve who are people with disabilities, and the rest are people without disabilities, or without a documented disability.

Our primary function still remains at helping to maximize an individual's potential to be self-sufficient in our community, to be a contributing community member of our societies.

Our main focus is in the vocational area. We train. We assess. We provide vocational evaluation for people who need to determine their vocational directions and develop these strategies, how to achieve their vocational goals.

Over the years, we also recognize that there are other piece of it that is a very integral part of vocational success, which would be housing, which would be other support that's necessary to help a person to become successful.

So in addition to the vocational evaluation that we provide, we provide employability skills, training, helping a person to obtain basic work skills, how to be good workers, from the basic

things such at attendance, punctualities, how to problem solve in work situation, how to be effective in communicating with your co-workers, with your supervisors, how to address your personal issues, so you do not have to let your personal issues negatively impact your work performance, how do you develop your upward mobilities in the futures.

So we're developing a different level of employment program, provide a different level of support for people that need that particular type of program.

We do job placements. We provide housing, recognizing many people have very unsatisfactory living situations. A few years back we obtained HUD housing funding to provide housing for people with disabilities. We now have 44 units that we work within the HUD guideline.

We select candidates for the housing, and then we work with them, and we find many people, even with a lot of support, agencies like center for independent living and local community health. We also have rehab services in some other agencies.

There's still a whole lot of work to be done for a person to be actually involved in the community.

We then went to get another grant to

provide community support services, so these people will live in the housing units, so people will have the support to add encouragements and assets, to reach them, to go to school, to want to go to school, to want to utilize public transportation.

We also encouraging people to do

We also encouraging people to do volunteer work, because we feel strongly that if you can give, it's going to help with your self-esteem a lot more. So we're tying to produce the housing.

Then we heard about consumers telling us that people with very severe developmental disabilities and psychiatric disabilities, wanting more opportunity. They were very underemployed, and not having many good job choices.

In fact, in 1984 and '85 we worked with Michigan Rehab Services in our local community and mental health to provide some of the probably first supporting programs at a time of pretty forefront, to provide community placements for people with very severe mental health concerns and working individually at different places.

We place people at hotel,
housekeeping, fast food restaurants. We also place
people as a state worker, computer programmers, lab
technicians, and we also have people who work in the

college academic settings.

So there's a lot of opportunities for people, if there is that particular support. And also, not a support from the professional staff who deal with the daily support, but also the community, the institutional support from big corporation, from the universities, from your local businesses.

We do a lot of community cultivation. We go out, we organize job developers meeting with the local employers. We call it 50/50 Clubs, because we want to make sure the employer is 50 percent of the investment, that we have 50 percent of the investment.

We showcase the people that we serve, and we try a lot of public relations efforts to hopefully let people understand people with disabilities also having a lot to offer.

Organizational wide at Peckham, we also strategically, to develop a lot of job options to people. I understand earlier many individual mention about the choices, individual wants more choices. The customers services is a very very important piece.

For the last 20 years we try very hard to listen to all customers we have. Our primary customers are people with disabilities. They say they

want job choices. They want to work in different type of environment.

So we develop industrial expertise, so those are the first steps. We have to have some credibilities with the industries, so we went out, we secure work contracts, nice contracts. We have today, we are a regular supplier to all the big three auto companies.

Matter of fact, the last five years we had earned four times, within the last five years, to be the worldwide supplier of the year for GM, which we think is a very high honor. We were the only one private, nonprofit organization receiving that kind of honor.

But that honor is to our workers.

They make a statement to our community, if an organization like Peckham, which is worker, employees are people with disability or people facing vocational barrier can become competitive, can provide quality work, that's the statement that we saw our business community coming in general history here. We are very proud of doing that.

We also provide services. We sew garments for our government in the military division. We make a lot of garments. And in the meantime, we

produce a lot of underwear.

But we also provide tremendous training opportunity for our workers. There are quite a few companies in town that couldn't wait to get our trained sewers to be on their payroll, because they know, this is a people that will provide great quality work because the military, you are aware of, they have a very very tight quality standards. If our people can meet that, they certainly can meet anyone else's standards.

So many times people may say, well, we have organization, sometimes that be labeled as a workshop. Not everyone is at a workshop. I think every organization is their own environment, their own niche. But what we believe at Peckham is a good organization should have a variety of choices.

You have people that have not been successful. They need a nurturing environment to develop their skills, and they can move on, and we provide that. But we do not stop there. When a person really has gained their skills, we push them forward, now you need to move on to bigger and better jobs.

Currently, I can share with you some of the services we provide and the tie you have with

MRS. The Michigan Rehab Services refer a lot of people to Peckham. They do vocational assessment. If the person doesn't have a vocational goal, we help them to determine that. If they are ready to go right into the job market after we decided what the official goal, already it's desirable by the person and also it's realistic.

We will help the person, perhaps it was Michigan Rehab Services together to find a job in the community and provide necessary support if you need it. Not everybody need support. Some people only need some vocational guidance.

Some people need extensive support.

Some people need training before they can actually go out into the job market, because they don't have the basic work concept. So if they need the basic training, we will train them within Peckham's environment.

Some people also said, we don't want to work in your workshop. Then we develop community base training program. We will locate employer who are willing to work with us to provide the training. We provide hands-on to the individual with MRS's financial support. Then a person will have a chance to gain access to the job of their desire.

And for people who need some job seeking skills training, we will also provide direct placement training.

We also have a group of people -because they didn't have very successful experience
before, they are very afraid of going out to work.
Then they have a choice of continuing employment at
Peckham. If they can progress to a near competitive
level, they actually earning competitive wage plus
fringe benefits.

Remember, this program we call a transitional employment services, because we do not want people to feel comfortable and not looking at upward mobilities.

Every six months we will be reviewing all these worker's productivities and work skills. If they are ready to move away from Peckham, we will assist them.

If they need some additional support to be able to make that leap, then we'll refer them back to Michigan Rehab Services and say this person is now ready for the next level challenges. Please help us so we can work together to help the person to upgrade their employment.

So this is the kind of basic working

mechanism that Michigan Rehab Services refer people to Peckham for services, and there are also times where the person can utilize the Michigan Rehab Services at appropriate timing. And we may also make a reversal type of referral to provide services.

We also have a recent effort and that's to deal with the integration part. Many people feel that they identify themselves as being disabled by affiliation, let's say, with Michigan Rehab Services or with Peckham Vocational Industries.

In this town everybody knows what that means. Some people didn't like that, so in response to those comments from our consumer, we strategically kind of position ourselves to do things that can kind of have have an employee environment of our people.

This year we start providing services for unemployed worker. We are now working under Michigan Job Commission to register all the unemployment workers' resume' into Michigan talent bank. That means not only workers with -- not only unemployment workers with disability, everybody who is unemployed.

And in addition, we also register the employer into the bank, so we can do the job match

with that employer as the job seekers. The job seekers also could be assets to the position open list, and we feel very good about being able to do that, because then our people can come through the internet just like anybody else. You do not feel there's a potential to screen out.

So that was some of the area that we thought would be very happy be able to address our consumers' needs. And certainly, over the years, we have been working very closely with Michigan Rehab Services in developing different programs, many of the different programs.

Without the financial support of the Michigan Rehab Services, without the ideas, discussion, brainstorming, partnership with the counselors from Michigan Rehab Services, many of this wonderful program would not be happening.

But I just kind of want to share that. We have very good management planning and a resource allocation. A good community rehab program can really leverage a lot of nice services for people with disabilities.

MS. CRONK: She's a hard act to follow. Being last has its advantages and disadvantage.

1 2 learned a lot. 3 4 5 6 7 8 and I knew I was one of those. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 mentoring folks with disabilities. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 significantly. 23 But at the same time that I was working with people, helping them come to grips with 24

I sat here today and I certainly I listened to so many people talking about the severely disabled, and until I heard Robert Davis speak of the severely disabled and people on SSI and SSDI, I didn't realize I wasn't one of them. And then I heard Pat Cudahy speak about people with disabilities and their bodies aging, However, Pat told you about everything that was to tell about independent living, but I want to add, if you don't mind, my spin on it. I've been affiliated with the Lansing Center for Independent Living for about 18 years. started out as a volunteer there in peer support, Then I went on the board and continued to do the peer support and the mentoring, and subsequently became the chairman of the board for about four years and during that period of time Jean Golden came on as our director and managed to expand our center significantly, and also expand our vision

> DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

their disabilities, I was being nurtured by the people

at the center so three years ago when Jean asked me to come on board there for some special project, I was very happy to do it, because I nurtured those folks there, and they had nurtured me, and we still do that.

And centers of independent living are very warm places with people who are committed to helping people with disabilities.

We are in the same building as the Lansing District Office of MRS, and they refer a lot of people over to us for many reasons, people who need help with housing, people who need help with transportation, people who need help with the Family Independence Agency. That's one of my specialties or with Social Security, which is another one of my specialties, or just people who have a lot of problems finding healthcare, finding a place to live, trying to get their ducks in a row, so at some point they can be employable.

And we worked with those people. We take their problems one by one, help them solve them, and we advocate for them with FIA or with whatever department or whatever agency they need help with, and usually it's FIA or Social Security. And at the same time, they're working with Michigan Rehab Services.

I have to tell you that Michigan

Rehab Services, the Lansing District Office -- and that's the only office I had any personal knowledge about -- serves people with all kinds of disabilities.

They serve a population that we have heard very little about today except from Mr. Davis, and that is the alcohol and addiction population. We don't hear anybody talking about those, and that's a population that's growing, people that need help, that need treatment.

They have a wonderful screening mechanism or assessment mechanism to find these folk at MRS and to get them into treatment. Some of them they also refer to us to help with some of their other problems or to help them find an AA group or another place that they can get treatment.

That population is rarely spoken about, and yet, they fill our prisons. They fill our streets, and they are very needy, and I really have to applaud MRS for the job they're doing.

I want to tell you one more thing today. You're talking about numbers. Mr. Davis spoke of 1.7 million people with disabilities or chronic illness in the State of Michigan.

There was a research done in Michigan, and it came out in Lansing State Journal in

2.

the spring, I think, that 1.7 million people in the
State of Michigan had a serious disability or illness,
and/or chronic illness that interfered with their
daily living activities.

We don't know how many of those

We don't know how many of those people were over 65, and, you know, the older I get, the less old 65 looks. And certainly, I don't want to quit when I'm 65, but we do know that there is that number.

But I will also tell you that there are people with disabilities who are not going to go to MRS. There are people with disabilities, who, in their, when their illness began, they had no healthcare resources. And during the period that they sought disability with state and/or the federal government, they lost everything they had.

They had to go to clinics. They scraped, relatives helped them. They begged, borrowed, stole whatever they had to do to keep themselves together. They lost their homes. They lost their cars. Some of them lost their pets.

I've seen it I've work for Social Security lawyers for six and a half years. When they finally got their Social Security and their Medicare, do you think those people are going to give up the

to work? a month. live on \$500 a month. going to happen.

security of that to pursue employment in a system that has every disincentive you can think of for them not

If you go to work, you lose your Social Security after 12 months, if you earn over \$500 a month. That's substantial gainful activity. This isn't a single Congressman or bureaucrat that could live on \$500 a month.

You'd lose your Medicare after a certain amount of time. If you're on Medicaid, you'd lose that. And if you have subsidized housing, it interferes with that. You can't get your medications. You can't get your medical care, and you should give up what you've got for that? It's not going to happen.

You need to be aware there is a whole system of disincentives in place for people with disabilities if they want to go with work, and they have to overcome that, and that's one of the things that MRS is working against, as well.

MS. WU: May I add one more point?

From my daily experience, just from what June just mentioned, at Peckham we work very closely with our local refugee organization, so we have a large number of refugee coming into Peckham

using Peckham's manufacturing facility as the first step in their orientation into the American work cultures.

From our experiences, sometimes we spot disability related issue. We may be able to identify how to help. With the refugee population, many times you encounter a lot of resistance. The family not wanting to recognizing it, they don't want to seek help.

It's almost a kind of issue that they do not want to discuss. It's unspoken issue. They do not want to seek help. Even when we offer to help, they say, no, we can take care of.

But we know the family structure and everything change as the immigration. The immigrants get assimilated into this culture, and that will become a problem. Their brothers and their sister may not be able to care of someone who has disability as they used to back in the homeland, and that could be a real problem.

Particularly with mental health, the concern is a very very big stigma, and we work with individuals on a daily basis. We are having hard time to encourage them to discuss about it, to work on those issues. I couldn't imagine how you're going to

get to reach by Michigan Rehab Services or other organization or agency that serving people with disabilities.

We cannot ignore that, because they are there. We have to figure out ways to reach out and serve that particular group, because I think many people have the potential, but they're staying home because the family doesn't want to deal with it.

MR. HWANG: Do your agencies have performance requirements that you have to meet from the Michigan Rehab?

MS. WU: Yes. I wouldn't say it would be very specific performance, but we have to report our outcome. If the persons that require service from us is for vocational recommendation, at the end of each program, the referring counselor will give us feedback. They will evaluate each services that's provided.

They also evaluate how well our successful placement rates is going to be.

They also evaluate us on not consumer's input on our services. From our end, we also provide information on what our consumers say about our services, each individual that will be serving by us by our services and we do provide this

25

1	information.
2	MS. CRONK: Our agency has a couple of
3	contracts, I believe, with the local district office
4	of MRS that have expected outcomes at the end of the
5	fiscal year and a report and numbers and all of those
6	things that they expect at the end of the year, are in
7	place. And I expect if they aren't satisfactory, then
8	they don't renew the contract.
9	For just our regular services, they
10	just refer them over there and we do what we can do,
11	and that's not an issue at that point.
12	MS. OLIVAREZ-MASON: What is the
13	breakdown of Peckham of your staff? What is the
14	breakdown of minorities?
15	MS. WU: Staff members or the consumer
16	we serve?
17	MS. OLIVAREZ-MASON: No, the staff.
18	MS. WU: I'm sorry, I did not bring
19	the statistics for you. I could provide it later.
20	It actually is a very interesting
21	thing is at Peckham, we probably are the most diverse
22	organization or business or whatever you call it, in
23	the whole City of Lansing.

From the top of my head, I remember the most recent pool number is, the persons we served,

there's a 51 percent of minority race. Only 59 is the majority, but I couldn't give you any further breakdown for the Hispanics or the Asian. I know Asian is probably going to be about 20 percent, which is, off the top of my head, at least minimum of that rate.

The staff member, again, you can look at me and know we have a very interesting people of different background, because many times we tend to promote, we think. And because of our relationship with different community organization, we actually, in our management staff, we have quite a few people from the different race. So I could provide that after the meeting.

MR. BULKOWSKI: To kind of follow up on what the Chairperson had asked, the contracts that you have with Michigan Rehab Services, do you know if those are competitive contracts? Is there somebody else out in the community that's going to do this? If you don't meet the standards and the local district manager says you're out, who else is out there? And is that a reality?

MS. WU: You know, actually, I really wouldn't call it a contract. It's some kind of agreement that we will provide services to their

clients. Typically they do not make commitments, how many clients are we going to refer client. They did not make any commitments to us. We only refer clients to you for, say, services.

I would say 10 years or 20 years back, that's probably not the same story at those times, the only the available game in town. So pretty much, if that's the kind of service they're looking for that particular person, they come to Peckham.

But today MRI is shifting their philosophy. They want to go out and make choices for their consumer, which we agree with that concept. They want to use a different kind of vendor for services. They have choices, so there is competition there.

I would say Peckham is larger organization. We are seeing, we are witness to smaller service provider in our community that's popping up.

So I'm saying there's a natural check and balances. If they feel particular agency may provide a more appropriate service for the person, they naturally going to chose that. They did not make any commitment for services at Peckham's door at all.

MR. HWANG: Another question.

1	MS. HaAJLUNI: Is Peckham
2	Industries do you receive any funding from the
3	federal government and state government?
4	MS. WU: Yes. Right.
5	MS. HaAJLUNI: Or is this entirely
6	private nonprofit?
7	MS. WU: Well, Peckham is a private,
8	nonprofit organization. So the service that we
9	provide mostly are fee for services, so only when they
10	send us clients, then we will charge for the fee.
11	And most other things, we will pretty
12	much have to earn every dollar, every dollar that is
13	our organization's revenue.
14	MR. MARTIN: In terms of the
15	population, are you at capacity? Is there a waiting
16	list for your services?
17	MS. WU: We currently have no waiting
18	list. Actually, we have never had any waiting list.
19	This is a difficult question to answer.
20	They are certain programs that you
21	only staff to a certain level, and you have to
22	cultivate the referral pool so you have a continuous
23	flow. But I would say there isn't any reason for
24	anyone to wait or for anyone waiting to come to our
25	program.

MR. MARTIN: In terms of the manufacturing and custodial services, how are those disabled persons compensated?

MS. WU: They will be paid -- on the custodial work, they will be paid -- for most of the worker, we currently have about 160 full-time positions that's out with the community cleaning, different office settings. Some are office settings; some are private businesses.

That 160 custodial workers, about 150 pay competitive wage plus fringe benefit which includes the health insurance. There are about eight workers are being paid on a deviated wage, because they are significantly lower than the required productivity level.

For them it is a choice to work in the community, so we will have to perform time study in setting the standards according to the Department of Labor, and we have to do the time study at the minimum of six months to determine the person making progress and adjust the wage rate for the particular time study result.

So there is a prevailing wage we set for the custodial job. If a person's time study perform at 50 percent, we will pay that person 50

1	percent from the time study from the prevailing wage.
2	However, 160 workers out of 150 are
3	being paid a competitive rate. Only the 10 individual
4	are being paid efficiency rate basis.
5	In the manufacturing operation, we
6	have similar way. The manufacturing, you are able to
7	use the piece-rate system to measure a person's
8	productively level, so anybody that's performing at
9	industrial rate, again, that's following with the U.S.
10	Department of Labor's guideline.
11	MR. MARTIN: Is that Davis Bacon or
12	some other area?
13	MS. WU: I beg your pardon?
14	MR. MARTIN: Davis Bacon, the
15	prevailing wages, the Davis Bacon Act, or is there
16	something
17	MS. WU: I'm not sure what the name of
18	the act, but we follow whatever guideline that the
19	Department of Labor gave us that we follow the time
20	study procedure, and we used the performance
21	measurement, three stations set up to conduct time
22	studies.
23	So if a person's performing at about
24	near competitive, which would mean about 80 percent,
25	around 80 percent of competitive norm, that person
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC. P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

(517) 393-1668

1	will be paid a guaranteed, guaranteed minimum wage
2	plus piece, because we have many people actually
3	performing higher than that standards.
4	So whichever rate some people, if
5	you pay them as a piece-rate basis, actually that
6	comes out higher than minimum wage.
7	But that group, people who have near
8	competitive work speeds, they will be paid a
9	guaranteed minimum wage plus piece rate, plus
10	insurance, the fringe benefit package.
11	Now, for people who are
12	significant aren't significant, they will be paid
13	on the piece-rate basis, and as they improve, the
14	rates going to be changed.
15	MR. HWANG: Ms. Thomson.
16	MS. THOMAS: How many employees do you
17	have?
18	MS. WU: You mean the workers that
19	we're training in our manufacturing facility?
20	MS. THOMAS: It sounds like you had
21	160 people doing custodial work.
22	MS. WU: Right. Um-hum.
23	MS. THOMAS: And this is all they can
24	do is custodial work?
25	MS. WU: No.

1	MS. THOMAS: Do you train them for
2	other things?
3	MS. WU: Yes, exactly. We're talking
4	about choices and we're talking about first step.
5	This is the group of people remember, when Michigan
6	Rehab Services refer us a person, if the person
7	expressed interest
8	MS. THOMAS: Okay. Okay. I don't
9	want to cut you off, but I don't want to take you to a
10	the long thing either. The piecework deal, what is
11	that?
12	MS. WU: The piecework?
13	MS. THOMAS: Yeah, that's what you
14	said.
15	MS. WU: In most of the manufactured
16	environment, you have a set of standards so you know
17	how well your workers perform. Many many of the
18	industries, they are certain standards, so you know
19	for this job a worker ought to be performing at how
20	many pieces an hour as a way to measure your workers'
21	productivities.
22	Being a vocational rehab
23	organization, we are allowed to follow the U.S.
24	Department of Labor's regulation to gave a wage.
25	To people who don't have the required

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

productivity to be employed by other employers, we are 1 2 able to provide the training opportunity when they are 3 too slow to work with them, to improve their speeds, improve the work behaviors, improve the basic work 4 skills. 5 6 Hopefully, we get their speeds up, get them ready. They'll be able to pursue employment 7 8 hopefully outside of a rehab organization. 9 the mainstream, the job coming into this, the ultimate 10 goal for most of our people, that's what we shoot for. 11 Back to your questions on the 12 custodial work, that is intermediate step for many people. At least we hope for many people is 13 14 intermediate step, to gain successful work 15 experiences, to of something to put on your resume' 16 and your job application. 17

MS. THOMAS: Okay. Help me out. Is this a business that you run and you have people coming in that work for you to do this, and then after they are trained in, they go find a job some place else?

MS. WU: Yes. It's kind of complicated. We play a dealer role. We are rehabilitation organization first. However, to be able to provide good realistic training program -- we're

1	going to teach a person skills. It's much better
2	teaching them with a real job.
3	You can't teach really in a classroom
4	setting, so we use our manufacturing facilities. We
5	use some of the custodial work. Sometimes we'll do it
6	in community. Somebody who has the training, one who
7	has the training to be lab technician, but have some
8	deficits area to be worked out
9	MS. THOMAS: Does your company qualify
10	to train people as lab technicians?
11	MS. WU: No, we have not, we
12	definitely have not.
13	MR. HOLLIDAY: They work like Focus
14	Hope.
15	MS. THOMAS: Oh, I'm sorry. They
16	train.
17	MR. HOLLIDAY: They train them, and
18	they also have people that are doing productive work
19	and they get paid.
20	MS. WU: So we have a realistic work
21	environment to provide training. So to have a
22	realistic work, we have to be kind of the employer and
23	also service provider. And that's kind of why our
24	company mission, we call ourself a blend, a unique
25	blend of human service agencies and businesses.
	DOLMAN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP, INC.

P.O. BOX 26125 - LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 (517) 393-1668

1	MR. HOLLIDAY: That's a great
2	organization.
3	MR. KOBRAK: I'm going to take you
4	back to the comment you made that the people are kind
5	of caught in all of these services that they're
6	getting, income and the various health and other
7	services, that they're not going to be able to afford
8	to lose if they go to work. It's really a dilemma;
9	isn't it?
10	MS. CRONK: Absolutely. It's a Catch
11	22.
12	MR. KOBRAK: So my question to you,
13	you've obviously done a lot of thinking about it, and
14	it's particularly important to minority people
15	MS. CRONK: Absolutely.
16	MR. KOBRAK: who have more to
17	lose. What's the way out, from a policy standpoint.
18	MS. CRONK: First off, we need to do
19	away with that substantial gainful activity thing with
20	Social Security and do something more realistically
21	like they do people who are retired. You can earn up
22	to \$8,000 once you're 62, and after you earn over
23	that, you lose \$1 out of every three you earn above
24	that.
25	Something more realistic than a five

hundred dollar substantial gainful activity level is an absolute necessity.

Secondly, folks need to be able to keep their Medicare, and they need to -- since many employers now -- a lot of folks can't work full-time, so they're not going to get benefits offered to them.

We need to have some mechanism so that they, in addition to their Medicare for hospitalization, they will have a way of accessing prescription drugs, because for myself, prescription drugs keep me alive. And there are many people like me in the community.

And if we don't have access to our prescription drugs, we don't stay alive and we don't state out of the hospital.

So those are the three things we need to put into place, is get rid of that silly SJA of \$500 a month and do it the way they do with the retirement income. Let them keep Medicare and maybe pay a portion to keep it and then find some mechanism to people who can't work full-time and get benefits, can find a means of keeping prescription drug benefits.

MR. HWANG: Any last questions? Thank you very much.

1	MR. MARTIN: Thank you.
2	MR. MINARIK: Let's break for five.
3	MR. HWANG: Okay. We're now at the
4	public session
5	MR. MINARIK: Break for five.
6	(Whereupon, a brief recess
7	was taken.)
8	MR. HWANG: We're now at our public
9	session. I'd like to recognize Mr. Duncan Wyeth.
10	Welcome.
11	MR. WYETH: Good afternoon.
12	MR. HWANG: Mr. Wyeth, if you would
13	spell your name for the reporter, please.
14	MR. WYETH: W-y-e-t-h.
15	Just so you know a little bit about
16	my background, I'm currently the specialists in
17	consumer customer relation for the State Rehab Agency,
18	formally the director of the client assistance program
19	before we externalized that program over protection
20	and advocacy. And I also serve as the Governor's
21	appointment and vice-chair of the State Developmental
22	Disabilities Council.
23	As I listened to the groups today and
24	different individuals presented, there were a number
25	of issues that came up that I wanted the opportunity
	DOI 1/2 12 MIN

to address, because I think you and your role and state civil rights body, all too often, I think we have failed to effectively link the disability movement with the civil rights movement.

And on the national level, I've always been very impressed by Ralph Needs (phonetic), who is the executive director of the leadership conference, because I think he was one of the very first individuals on the national level to recognize the struggle for disability rights is, in fact, a civil rights issue.

The one thing that I as a white male have in common with an Asian woman or African/American male is that each of us has experienced the social process of stigma, and that stigma has been the result of a single characteristic, either our gender or our race or our disability. And that single characteristic has in our culture a halo effect on social lives and in our educational environment and our work environment.

So that stigma is the common denominator in our respecting our struggles for civil rights.

In the language of the street, we talk about dissing (sic) my singing or dissing this or

dissing that. I would suggest that what we do is we dis (sic) the abilities of the people who happen to experience a disability in their life.

And the focus tends to be all to often in the medical realm or in the social realm, the focus becomes the disability rather than the ability.

And I think the best way for me to illustrate that --

I'm always amused by the discussion of severity. It's kind of like the medieval discussion about the number of angels that can dance on the head of a pin. In my own life, I have cerebral palsy. It affects my balance, my walking, my fine motor control. But when I go to bed at night to sleep, I experience absolutely no handicap or disability because of my cerebral palsy.

In my work environment as an advocate for persons with disabilities, I would suggest to you that my cerebral palsy is a significant advantage.

Just as you, sir, if you were director of an Asian study center, I would suspect that you're Asian heritage would be a real asset in that area.

So my disability experience is an asset in my area, but I also assure you if you were wheeled into a hospital surgical room, and you looked up and me and saw a slight tremor in my hands, and I

informed you that I was about to do the brain surgery
that you need, that you would look at me as probably
rather severely disabled at that point.

Severity is a very relative term.

There are no absolutes in terms of severity. I would
suggest to you that Shaquille O'Neal is severely

disabled when it comes to being a jockey.

We all have characteristics, and the real issue is not whether or not that characteristic is, in of itself, severe. The issue is whether or not the social and physical environment that we're in causes that characteristic to interact with that environment in such a way as to present a disadvantage.

One of the issues that we're trying to impress, you raised the question earlier about several times today, about the number of people needing services, and the number of people actually being served by the rehab system.

Historically we have developed in this country, a number of disability specific programs, rehabilitation, special education, etc., to respond to the fact that historically people with disabilities were either underserved or unserved in our culture.

So we have developed a whole series of specialized programs to deal with squeaks in the hall, specialized programs to specifically remedy that underservice on nonservice.

I would suggest that in 1998, those specialized programs have, in fact, themselves at times become a barrier to further progress, and in the employment area we see that now, where all too often, if somebody with a disability wheels in, walks in or contacts an employment service and identifies himself as having a disability, that employment service automatically says, oh, you need to go to rehabilitation or vocational rehabilitation.

What I think we need to be about in this country, when people with the disabilities, is the same thing we've done with other minorities, and that is to change the system so that persons with disabilities will be fully included in the mainstream service delivery.

One of the reasons rehabilitation or the state rehab agency cannot serve all the people out there with employment disabilities right now, is because the rest of our system does not adequately serve those -- let's take me.

Coming out of a family with a father

who was a college professor and mother who was an elementary school teacher, yes, I was a client of Michigan Rehabilitation Services, and I received very good services from the agency. But there were other options available to me.

And for many people with disabilities there are other options available to them, but those options aren't necessarily currently equipped to address those issues.

Mr. Davis talked about an effort that's ongoing right now to work with the Jobs Commission to talk about ways that the whole range of employment services in Michigan might better integrate persons with disabilities, so that the state agency, rather than becoming the first service delivery system for persons with disabilities, might be just one component of employment services.

And the component that would focus on those individuals who may need specialized services to the many people with disabilities, when appropriately accommodated, should be able to receive those services across the board.

We are in a situation right now, I think you who deal with civil rights on a daily basis, know that we're dealing with a number of backlashes in

the country right now, backlash in terms of racial issues and gender issues and also disability issues.

There are numerous efforts in the Congress within the last two years, to restrict the coverage of the Americans with Disabilities Act. And it's kind of ironic that that backlash in the disability or the civil rights area is coming at the very same time that we're experiencing a major crunch in terms of qualified employees, that there are jobs going begging in our society.

And as I look around the room and many of us age out of the workforce, that that pressure on the system to provide qualified employees theoretically should open opportunities for minorities, including persons with disabilities, but we have a counterbalance to that, in that we have a backlash in terms of the provision of services and supports to many of those minority groups to move into the labor market.

We have talked today several times about somebody completing, successfully completing a rehab program, and being "successfully rehabed." For me, that's kind of strange, because my definition of rehab is to restore to the original condition, and I'm not too sure my mother would be enthusiastic about

that, since my disability occurred during labor. So rehab for me is going to put me back in the womb.

And I think sometimes we get caught in assumption that if somebody completes a training program and is successfully placed in employment for 60, 90 days or six months, that they are, therefore, "rehabed."

We should know now that work is a lifelong experience, and that many of us are going to change jobs four or five times in a lifetime, that rehab, therefore, in my mind, never has a beginning and an end, unless we talk about birth and death, that there's a likelihood that there's going to be a recurring need for intervention, sometimes specialized and sometimes general, to ensure that persons with disabilities can complete effectively in the marketplace.

I did want to make one other comment, too, and that's a comment about the issue of customers. One of my concerns as a person with a disability is, I think one of the most important outcomes of successful civil rights legislation, and successful civil rights legislation implementation, is that there will be systems change.

And all too often, I think in our

current job training programs we end up doing some really good individualized service delivery and training, but we never quite get to the point of affecting significant systems change.

And now I'm circling right back to where I began, and that is, that if we continue to have segregated programs that are seen as the primary system for a given minority either racial or gender or disability, we never quite break out of that paradigm.

We always end up delivering individualized services rather than changing the system, so that the system philosophically, as well as functionally, changes to accommodate that wide range of diversity.

And I think the challenge for us is to look beyond -- is to look at our current programs and see how those programs can more effectively affect a systems change.

One of the inherent conflicts we have right now in the rehab program from a civil rights perspective -- that's what I'm talking about here -- that we have an important piece of legislation in the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Americans with Disabilities Act specifically is a nondiscrimination,

2.0

2.2

civil rights piece of legislation designed to change the way America does business.

But for the state rehab agencies across this country, one of their primary customers are, in fact, businesses, which means that the trained rehab professional has an inherent conflict in their job, because at the very moment that rehab professionals across this country could have a significant impact on this system in terms of changing it and in terms of actualization of ADA, there is an inherent drag on this system to do this.

Here's an example. If I'm working with you as a business, and I'm working with you to place my customer with a disability, I have an inherent disincentive to also talk to you about how you are not in compliance with the ADA, and that works against systems change in terms of the environment becoming more user friendly, more employment friendly for persons with a disability.

And I think one of the big challenges that we have in the rehabilitation system, and what I think today was all about, is finding, how do we link and marry the rehabilitation delivery system with the civil rights efforts in this country?

We have to find creative ways to move

1	beyond those inherent conflicts between customers, and
2	to bridge those various customers so that we do a
3	better job in implementing the civil right legislation
4	environment.
5	MR. HWANG: Thank you for your
6	comments. Any questions?
7	MR. BULKOWSKI: If I could just ask
8	one. Thank you, Duncan.
9	You're working with MJC and making
10	sure all services are open to families with
11	disabilities. Are you also working with FIA, Family
12	Independence Agency in all the Project Zero stuff
13	that's going on?
14	The word I hear is, that folks that
15	are moving off of welfare are being encouraged highly
16	to move off welfare. And a person with a disability
17	who is also on welfare attempts to get those added
18	jobs or employment services, they're automatically
19	exempted and told, you're not eligible for FIA's help
20	to get a job. Go see MRS. Are you aware of that and
21	are you working with FIA to say
22	MR. WYETH: I'm aware of that inherent
23	conflict in our public policy. That was what June was
24	talking about earlier in terms of disincentives.
25	We live in a culture that puts a very

high premium on employment. Almost every one of us, if asked on the streets, what do you do in life, we'll first and foremost talk about our job. We won't start off by saying, I'm a mother, a wife, I'm a father, I'm a husband, I'm a, you know, I'm a deacon at the church or whatever.

We inherently define our value in this society in terms of what we do in field of work, so this is a critical area to self-concept and how we value people in our society.

But then we turn around and promulgate a public policy that says work is very important. We want everybody to have the opportunity to work, but by the way, if you have a disability, you're exempt from the programs.

We have conflicting programs. We have two million dollar national program over here designed for vocational rehab, designed to get people with disabilities into work. And then we have another major social welfare-to-work program. We're basically saying, you've exempted them.

And for a person with disability that creates a lot of mixed messages.

MR. MARTIN: What amendments to ADA are being proposed to congress?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WYETH: Congress, currently there are some major efforts underway in Congress to water down some of the transportation accessibility issues, that there are members of Congress who are arguing that that creates an undue hardship on the transportation industry to put lifts in buses and fixed rail systems and stations accessible. But you've also heard today how critical transportation to employment, so once again, it's a backlash issue being presented and with the issue of economic saving and economic relief, that the bottom line is, it still ends up excluding people from participation in the mainstream side.

> MR. HWANG: Any other questions? MR. KOBRAK: Just one final comment, and that is for you and a number of the others in this The quality of the testimony today, the knowledge, the insights, the concern, are as high as any issue that I've heard discussed before this panel over the last seven or eight years.

> You're clearly, as a group of individuals, going to be part of the solution of a lot of this. I was very impressed.

MR. WYETH: I did want to make one comment.

You had asked the question earlier when Mr. Davis was up here about the direct financial payments that come to the state as a result of the rehab program. And he and I were talking later, and there is one such issue.

Currently, if a state rehab agency successfully counsels, trains, and places a person with a disability, and that person, as a result of that placement, is removed from being a recipient of Social Security payments, the Social Security Administration reimburses the state agency for the cost of that rehabilitation.

So there is a direct financial payment to the state, and that's not just Michigan. It's across the board. There is a direct financial payment for a successful rehabilitation. And, obviously, that is done because that's cost effective in the long-term, to get someone off of Social Security payments and into a situation of being a tax payer. Thank you.

MR. HWANG: Any other people to comment? We stand adjourned.

(Proceedings concluded at 4:25 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF RECORDER 1 2 3 STATE OF MICHIGAN) SS COUNTY OF INGHAM) 4 5 I, Lynn K. Downing, hereby certify that on 6 7 the date and at the place hereinbefore set forth, I reported with audiotapes and stenographically the 8 9 proceedings held in the matter hereinbefore set forth; and that the testimony so recorded was subsequently 10 11 transcribed under by direction and supervision, and that the foregoing is a full, true and accurate 12 transcript of my original tape recordings and 13 14 stenographic notes. 15 16 DATE: July 22, 1998 17 18 19 20 21 K. Downing, Notary Public 22 My commission expires 2-10-00 23 24

REMARKS OF ELIZABETH O'HARA,
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION
OF CENTERS FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING (MACIL)
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
TO THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
JUNE 25, 1998

I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE MICHIGAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON THE TOPIC OF REHABILITATION SERVICES TO MICHIGAN RESIDENTS WITH DISABILITIES. IT IS

OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE PRINCIPAL FOCUS OF THE COMMITTEE'S

FACT-FINDING EFFORT IS THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF EATON, INGHAM

AND CLINTON COUNTIES, AND I NOTE THAT YOU HAVE REPRESENTATIVES

OF LOCAL DISABILITY ORGANIZATIONS SCHEDULED TO SPEAK THIS

AFTERNOON. AS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF A STATEWIDE

ASSOCIATION, I WILL CONFINE MY REMARKS TO A FEW GENERAL

OBSERVATIONS.

MACIL REPRESENTS TEN FULLY DEVELOPED CENTERS FOR
INDEPENDENT LIVING IN COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE SOUTHERN
HALF OF THE LOWER PENINSULA. THE ASSOCIATION IS ALSO PROVIDING
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO NEWLY DEVELOPING CILS. THE FOUNDATION
OF CIL PLANNING, POLICY AND OPERATIONS IS THE INDEPENDENT LIVING
PHILOSOPHY: THAT DISABILITY IS A NATURAL PART OF THE HUMAN
EXPERIENCE; THAT PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES HAVE A RIGHT TO LIVE
AND WORK IN THE MOST INDEPENDENT AND SOCIALLY INTEGRATED

SETTING AS POSSIBLE; THAT IT IS NOT THE INDIVIDUAL WITH A
DISABILITY THAT NEEDS TO BE FIXED, BUT THE LARGER ENVIRONMENT
WHICH POSES BARRIERS TO OPPORTUNITY AND TO INTEGRATION INTO
THE COMMUNITY; AND THAT THE PEOPLE IN THE BEST POSITION TO
UNDERSTAND, ADDRESS, AND ULTIMATELY RESOLVE DISABILITY ISSUES
ARE THOSE WHO ARE FACING THEM THEMSELVES.

IT IS FOR THIS REASON THAT CILS ARE GOVERNED AND STAFFED BY PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF CILS IS COMPOSED PRINCIPALLY OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS OF CILS, A MAJORITY OF WHOM HAVE DISABILITIES. THEY MANAGE BUDGETS RANGING IN SIZE FROM SEVERAL HUNDRED THOUSAND TO A MILLION DOLLARS, AND SUPERVISE STAFF RANGING FROM LESS THAN A DOZEN, TO SEVERAL DOZEN. SOME OF THESE DIRECTORS HAVE BEEN TOLD IN THE PAST THAT THEY WERE ESSENTIALLY UNEMPLOYABLE DUE TO THEIR DISABILITIES. THEY WERE TOLD THIS BY PROFESSIONALS IN THE VARIOUS FIELDS OF DISABILITY SERVICES—SPECIAL EDUCATION, VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION, MENTAL HEALTH.

ED ROBERTS, WHO IS GENERALLY REGARDED AS THE FOUNDER OF THE INDEPENDENT LIVING MOVEMENT, WAS TOLD BY VOCATIONAL

REHABILITATION STAFF IN CALIFORNIA THAT HE WAS UNEMPLOYABLE.

HE LATER BECAME DIRECTOR OF THAT DEPARTMENT. THE IRONY OF

THAT STORY IS GREATLY ENJOYED WITHIN THE DISABILITY COMMUNITY;

HOWEVER, THERE IS NOTHING FUNNY ABOUT THE LOSS OF OPPORTUNITY

DUE TO THE LOW EXPECTATIONS OF "PROFESSIONAL" SERVICE

PROVIDERS, AND THERE IS WIDESPREAD PERCEPTION THAT CHANGES IN

ATTITUDE ARE HAPPENING ALL TOO SLOWLY.

MICHIGAN JOBS COMMISSION - REHABILITATION SERVICES IS A

VALUED PARTNER OF THE INDEPENDENT LIVING MOVEMENT. WE

APPLAUD RECENT INITIATIVES OF MJC/RS TO PROMOTE A CUSTOMER
DRIVEN SERVICE DELIVERY SYSTEM. WE ALSO STRONGLY SUPPORT

EFFORTS AT THE STATE LEVEL TO IMPROVE THE RESPONSIVENESS OF

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARDS TO PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES,

AND WE HAVE PLEDGED TO WORK WITH BOB DAVIS, DIRECTOR OF

REHABILITATION SERVICES, TO ASSURE THAT THERE IS TRULY "NO

WRONG DOOR" FOR THOSE SEEKING ACCESS TO EMPLOYMENT SERVICES.

LOCAL CENTERS FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING CAN PROVIDE SUBSTANTIAL

HELP IN THE FORM OF COORDINATING SUPPORTS NECESSARY FOR JOB
READINESS, PROVIDING TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO EMPLOYERS IN THE

AREA OF JOB ACCOMMODATIONS, AND EVEN, WHERE NECESSARY, IN

ASSURING THAT THE EMPLOYMENT SERVICES THEMSELVES ARE ACCESSIBLE TO CONSUMERS.

THERE ARE TWO AREAS IN WHICH THE ASSOCIATION SEES A

PARTICULAR NEED FOR PROGRESS. FIRST, AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF

CONSUMER-DRIVEN ORGANIZATIONS, WE CONTINUE TO BE DISAPPOINTED

THAT RECRUITMENT BY REHABILITATION SERVICES RESULTS IN SO FEW

STAFF WITH DISABILITIES. WE KNOW THAT MJC/RS SHARES OUR

CONCERN IN THIS AREA, AND WE ARE READY TO WORK WITH THEM IN

ANY WAY WE CAN TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE.

SECONDLY, WE THINK THAT A GREATER UNDERSTANDING OF INDEPENDENT LIVING PRINCIPLES BY REHAB PROFESSIONALS WOULD GREATLY ENHANCE PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS. PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, ESPECIALLY SEVERE DISABILITIES, NEED CERTAIN KINDS OF SUPPORTS IN ORDER TO LIVE AND WORK INDEPENDENTLY—WHETHER IT BE PERSONAL ATTENDANT SERVICES, ACCESSIBLE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY, A RAMP AT THEIR FRONT DOOR, OR EVEN BASIC INFORMATION ON THEIR RIGHTS. A GENUINE COMMITMENT TO THE EMPLOYMENT GOALS OF PEOPLE WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES WILL, WE BELIEVE, MOTIVATE THE REHAB PROFESSIONAL TOWARDS PROBLEM-SOLVING IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE CONSUMER.

PREOCCUPATION WITH THE COSTS OF SUPPORT SERVICES—THE

"GATE-KEEPING" MENTALITY—WILL RESULT IN LOST OPPORTUNITIES,

KEEPING CONSUMERS IN THEIR STATE OF DEPENDENCY AND INCREASING

COSTS FOR TAXPAYERS OVER THE LONG RUN. WE CAN CITE AMPLE

EVIDENCE OF THIS—FOR EXAMPLE, A JUST-COMPLETED STUDY OF THE

"PERSONAL ATTENDANTS SERVICES REIMBURSEMENT FOR EMPLOYMENT

PROGRAM" (PASREP), ADMINISTERED BY MICHIGAN CILS, HAS SHOWN

THAT STATE FUNDS EXPENDED ON ATTENDANT SERVICES NECESSARY TO

MAINTAINING PEOPLE IN EMPLOYMENT MORE THAN PAY FOR

THEMSELVES IN THEIR RETURN TO THE TAXPAYER.

I OFFER THESE POINTS OF VIEW AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF
GRASSROOTS CONSUMER-DRIVEN ORGANIZATIONS COMMITTED TO
SYSTEMS CHANGE. WE WILL NOT ACHIEVE THAT CHANGE UNTIL EACH
AND EVERY PROFESSION WHICH TOUCHES THE LIVES OF PEOPLE WITH
DISABILITIES ACCEPTS AND RESPECTS THEIR RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE
FULLY IN SOCIETY, TO HAVE CHOICES AND TO TAKE RISKS.

WE ARE SO USED TO COMPARTMENTALIZING WHAT WE CALL
DISABILITY SERVICES. WE LABEL PEOPLE AND TRY TO RELEGATE THEIR
NEEDS TO VARIOUS SEGMENTS OF THE BUREAUCRACY. THEN WE
BECOME FRUSTRATED WHEN WE REALIZE THAT THEY CAN'T REACH

THEIR EMPLOYMENT GOALS BECAUSE THE JOB TRAINING SITE IS
INACCESSIBLE, OR THEY CAN'T GET ACCESS TO THEIR MEDICAID
SERVICES BECAUSE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION IS INADEQUATE, OR WE
CAN'T KEEP THEIR FAMILY TOGETHER BECAUSE AFFORDABLE,
ACCESSIBLE HOUSING IS SIMPLY UNAVAILABLE.

WE WILL NOT HAVE ACCESSIBLE BUILDINGS UNTIL ARCHITECTS
AND DEVELOPERS UNDERSTAND AND ADOPT THE PRINCIPALS OF
UNIVERSAL DESIGN. WE WILL NOT HAVE A PROPERLY FUNCTIONING JOB
MARKET UNTIL ALL OF OUR HUMAN RESOURCES ARE USED EFFICIENTLY,
BY A CORPORATE AMERICA THAT FOCUSES ON ABILITY. WE WILL NOT
HAVE ADEQUATE HEALTH CARE UNTIL DOCTORS AND INSURANCE
COMPANIES UNDERSTAND WHAT IT TAKES TO ASSURE QUALITY OF LIFE
FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES.

EACH OF THE TEN FULL-SERVICE CILS IN THE STATE HAS A
WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH DISTRICT OFFICES OF MICHIGAN JOBS
COMMISSION/REHABILITATION SERVICES. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING
WITH BOB DAVIS TO ENSURE A CONTINUING DIALOGUE ON OUR SHARED
MISSION. I WANT TO EXTEND MY PERSONAL THANKS TO BOB FOR HIS
WILLINGNESS TO CONFRONT ISSUES HEAD-ON, AND HIS VERY
CONSTRUCTIVE APPROACH TOWARDS THE RESOLUTION OF DIFFERENCES.

I AM OPTIMISTIC THAT THIS PARTNERSHIP WILL ACHIEVE A GREAT DEAL OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS, IN TERMS OF BOTH SERVICE DELIVERY AND THE PUBLIC DIALOGUE ON DISABILITY ISSUES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN TODAY'S HEARING.