

MISSOURI ADVISORY COMMITTEE

to the

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

LAMPLIGHTER INN

1772 SOUTH GLENSTONE

SPRINGFIELD, MISSOURI

"CIVIL RIGHTS EMPOWERMENT FORUM"

AUGUST 13, 1997



CCR 3 Meet. 283



1	PARTICIPANTS:	2
2	DR. ROBERT ROBINSON, Acting Chairperson Missouri Advisory Committee, USCCR	
3		
4	MELVIN L. JENKINS, Director Central Regional Office, USCCR	
5	MR. LEE GANNAWAY, Mayor City of Springfield	
6	DR. ARNOLD GREVE, Superintendent	
7	Springfield Public Schools	
8	CAPT. HAL SMITH City of Springfield Police Department	
9		
10	JOHN HALVERSON, Director Office for Civil Rights, Health & Human Services, Kansas City, Missouri	
11		
12	ANGELA M. BENNETT, Director Office of Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education	
13		
14	MALCOLM BARNETT, Chief, Intake/ Conciliation, Great Plains Fair Housing Enforcement Center, HUD	
15	Kansas City, Kansas	
16	CARL FRICKS, Enforcement Manager ROBERT ROYAL, Supervising Trial Attorney	7
17	U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, St. Louis, Missouri	•
18	, ,	
19	DONNA COVETTE, Acting Director Missouri Human Rights Commission, Jefferson City, Missouri	
20	<del>-</del>	
21	KATHLEEN A. CLANCY, Executive Director  Mayor's Commission on Human Rights/  Community Relations, Springfield,	
22	Missouri	

1	CONTENTS	4	
2	OPENING REMARKS	PAGE	
3	Dr. Robertson	5	
4	Mr. Jenkins	11	
5	WELCOME		
6		13	
7	Mayor Gannaway 13	13	
8	PRESENTERS		
9	Dr. Greve	33	
10	Capt. Smith	68	
11	Mr. Halverson	8 5	
12	Ms. Bennett	101	
13	Mr. Barnett	127	
14	Mr. Fricks/Mr. Royal	138	
15	Ms. Covette	158	
16	Ms. Clancy	166	
17	Ms. Denney	181	
18	Ms. Stewart-Stafford	203	
19	Mr. Ransom	233	
20	OPEN SESSION	249	
21		4 4 2	
22	. * * * *		1
			Į

5

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(3:10 p.m.)
3	OPENING REMARKS
4	DR. ROBINSON: We will get started.
5	The meeting of the Missouri Advisory
6	Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil
7	Rights shall come to order.
8	For the benefit of those in our
9	audience, I shall first introduce myself and
10	then allow my colleagues to introduce
11	themselves.
12	My name is Robert Robinson. I'm
13 `	acting chairman of the Missouri Advisory
14	Committee today. I'll go to my right.
15	MS. BOTELLO: My name is Rita
16	Botello. I'm from Kansas City.
17	MS. PERRY: My name is Mona Perry.
18	I'm from Belton, Missouri.
19	PARTICIPANT: We can barely hear you.
20	DR. ROBINSON: Would you?

MS. BOTELLO: My name is Rita Botello

and I'm from Kansas City.

21

MS. PERRY: And I'm Mona Perry from Belton, Missouri.

DR. ROBINSON: Again, I'm Robert Robinson from Jefferson City, Missouri.

I would also like to introduce the staff from the Commission's regional office in Kansas City: Mr. Melvin Jenkins, who is a regional director, and Mr. Asencion Hernandez, who is a civil rights analyst.

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is an independent, bipartisan agency first established by Congress in 1957 and re-established in 1983. It is directed to investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; study and collect information relating to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national

1.2

1.4

7

origin, or in the administration of justice; appraise Federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serve as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin; submit reports, findings, and recommendations to the President and Congress; to issue public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

The Commission has 51 advisory

committees, one for each state and the District

of Columbia. Each is composed of citizens

familiar with local and state civil rights

issues. The members serve without compensation

and assist the Commission with its fact

finding, investigating, and information

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 dissemination functions.

The Missouri Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is here to conduct a community forum on race relations in Springfield, Missouri, with emphasis on public education and the administration of justice.

As a part of our clearinghouse responsibility, with the cooperation of federal, state and local civil rights agencies, we will provide information on how to file a discrimination complaint. Information which relates to the topic of the meeting will be especially helpful to the Advisory Committee.

The proceedings of this meeting,
which are being recorded by a public
stenographer, will be sent to the Commission
for its consideration. Information provided
may also be used by the Advisory Committee to
plan future activities.

At the outset, I want to remind everyone present of the ground rules. This is a public meeting, open to the media and the

general public. But we have a very full schedule of persons who will be providing information within the limited time we have available. The time allotted for each presentation must be strictly adhered to. This will include a presentation by each participant, followed by questions from the Committee members.

To accommodate persons who have not been invited but want to make statements, we have scheduled an open session from approximately 7:40 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. Anyone wishing to make a statement during that period should contact Asencion Hernandez for scheduling.

Written statements may be submitted to Committee members or staff here today, or by mail to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 400 State Avenue, Suite 908, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. The record of this meeting will close on September 13, 1997.

Though some of the statements made

today may be controversial, we want to ensure that all invited participants do not defame or degrade any person or organization.

In order to ensure that all aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of experience and viewpoints have been invited to share information with us. Any person or any organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in these proceedings should contact our staff during the meeting so that we can provide a chance for public response.

Alternately, such persons or organizations can file written statements for inclusion in the proceedings.

I urge all persons making

presentations to be judicious in their

statements. The Advisory Committee appreciates

the willingness of all participants to share

their views and experiences with the Committee.

The Director of the Commission's Central

Regional Office, Melvin L. Jenkins, will now

2.0

share some opening remarks with you.

Thank you.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you,

Dr. Robinson.

To expand upon the opening statement by Dr. Robinson, the Missouri Advisory

Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil

Rights, we will conduct a fact-finding forum

today, one, to ascertain the status of race

relations in Springfield, with emphasis perhaps

on public schools and on the administration of

justice.

The second important reason why we are here is to provide information to the general public on how to file a complaint of discrimination.

So often now when we hold community forums in the United States in our jurisdiction, we find that persons are not knowledgeable about filing a complaint of discrimination. In the Federal Government there are over 23 Federal agencies responsible

for some aspect of civil rights. When a person is staggered with 23 agencies, one must decide which agency to file the complaint with.

This is one of the reasons why we wanted to come to Springfield, to be able to provide and to impart some knowledge concerning the filing of complaints on discrimination.

The other important thing to remember why we're here in Springfield, we're not here in response to a particular complaint. We hold community forums through the State of Missouri from time to time to find out what's going on in terms of civil rights.

Recently, we held a forum in

Jefferson City. In the past, we've held forums

in St. Louis and Kansas City, also, and St. Joe

in past years.

We need to be able to provide this information to our commissioners in Washington so they will be able to provide the information to the public and to the President in terms of civil rights. As you well know, the President

13 has indicated an interest in race relations, in 1 Michigan, on civil rights in this country. 2 What we will be doing as a part of our process 3 with the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is to 4 monitor the President's initiative on race 5 6 relations in this country. But again, I want to explain, we are 7 8 not here in response to a particular complaint, but we are here to be able to provide 9 information to the general public and to listen . 10 to you, the citizens of Springfield, regarding 11 your concerns about the status of civil rights 12 13 in this particular city. 14 Thank you. DR. ROBINSON: At this time we would 15 16 like to ask our first presenter to come 17 forward, Mr. Lee Gannaway, Mayor of the City of Springfield. 18 19 MR. GANNAWAY: Thank you very much. 20 DR. ROBINSON: You're welcome, sir. 21 WELCOME

MR. GANNAWAY: Would you prefer that

14 I sit or stand? You've kind of got it set up 1 2 both ways here. 3 DR. ROBINSON: That's up to you, 4 Mayor. 5 MR. GANNAWAY: Okay, I'll just stand 6 here, if that's okay. 7 DR. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. GANNAWAY: Let me say, first of 8 9 all, welcome to Springfield. 10 Some of us didn't know there was such 11 a thing as a Missouri Advisory Committee or 12 Commission to the United States Commission on 13 Civil Rights, but we're susceptible to education here in the Ozarks. We're glad to 14 15 learn about you. 16 And I speak for myself here when I 17 say some of us are not knowledgeable of your 18 existence. I'm sure there are many here in the 19 room who do have knowledge of your existence 20 and your functioning, et cetera. 21 In listening to the opening remarks

here about strictly adhering to the schedule, I

noticed I was given 15 minutes. And I feel a little bit like the story that was told in my hometown northwest of here, which is a small town, only about 2,000 people, and allegedly this took place about 25 years ago.

A man and his wife came into town on a wagon, and they were driving down Main Street and came to this sign that said 25 miles an hour. And the fellow stopped his team and wagon, and he got out and got up real close to that sign and read it and said, "Well, that's for sure what it says." And he got back in the wagon and he said, "Mom, hang onto the kids, we'll try to make it."

So I'll try to stretch my remarks if I must now to 15 minutes, but if I don't quite make it, I hope you'll forgive me for not staying within the guidelines.

As you probably know from your homework that I assume you might have done prior to coming to Springfield, we are a city with approximately 150,000 mostly friendly

Ozarkians, and we're just kind of a big country
town. Sometimes I feel like we're kind of like
a kid going through adolescence: We're at an
awkward stage in many respects in our city, and
sometimes that's good and sometimes it's not so
good.

For instance, and this has nothing to do with what you're here about, but we have a problem trying to attract air carriers in here that give a decent rate for people flying in and out. If you tried to get in here you know about that. We're at that awkward stage where we're not big enough nor have enough traffic to demand those things, but yet we've got plenty of travelers going out of here who think we ought to be able to provide a decent, a competitive air fare.

So we're kind of in that awkward adolescence stage, not only in respect to air traffic and travel, but in many other respects with regard to our city. We apologize for that, but I suppose that's just something that

1.2

we have to get through or grow through with passage of time.

1.0

As you may be aware, our city -- and I want to speak to you simply from the standpoint of our city, because I can't get into the public school aspect -- as you probably know, the city does not have any jurisdiction over our public schools. So what they do or don't do is kind of none of our business.

And I don't say that apologetically or in any detrimental fashion. They do an expert job there, and I wouldn't want to substitute my judgment for theirs, quite frankly.

Our city employs approximately 2,000 people. Sixty-four of those approximately 2,000 people are minorities, which is about 3 percent, and that's pretty close to the minority representation of our population. We have approximately 1.5 percent of our population which is black, and 2.3 percent

other minorities in our city.

1.6

Breaking that down just a little bit, and since you mentioned that administration of justice was one of the areas which you were interested in looking into, we have approximately 250 police officers. That varies, of course, a little bit from time to time with retirement and with new classes, academies graduating, et cetera.

In spite of the fact that we have concentrated efforts for a number of years to recruit minorities on the police force, we have never been very successful. And I suppose part of that is that we have extremely high standards for our police officers, and we don't apologize for that. And any black person or minority who qualifies for our police force can go out into the private sector and in private corporations make twice what he's going to make on the police force.

So we really can't blame a minority who is qualified to serve on our police force

for saying, "Hey, I don't want your job because I can do so much better in the private sector." So qualifications have somewhat hamstrung us, but in spite of that fact, we do have four black police officers on our police force.

Unemployment in our city, as you may or may not be aware, is something that almost doesn't exist. Our unemployment varies from month to month and year to year, from about a low of 2.7 percent to the horrible other end of about 3.2 percent, and that is about the worst that our unemployment ever gets.

And I'm sure you would recognize from those figures that in reality, if anyone really wants a job it's available to them. We virtually do not have any unemployment.

I was asked to comment, I believe, on race relations in our city. And of course I can only do so in a very general way. And I suppose that my comments would be one of 150,000 that you might get and vary that much, many different people that you can interview if

you interviewed every citizen in our city. But

I might, in commenting, give you an example.

Last Thursday morning I attended, as I do I think every month, I'm not sure how frequent those meetings are, a committee meeting of what's called The Good Community, something that has been started in our community here something a little over a year and a half ago. And it's made up of 80-some people, kind of a cross-section of our community.

And we have received a request or a request has been made that The Good Community consider recommending to public officials, or perhaps taking that on as a project of their own, a public apology to our black community for an incident that happened in, I believe, 1903. And I apologize if I get the date wrong, but I'll be close on this date.

But it was an Easter weekend, and I believe the year was 1903, and three young black men were hanged on our public square.

And from virtually all evidence that's available today, and perhaps all that was available at that time, those three young black men were totally innocent of what they were charged.

And they were lynched. They were not legally found, they were not tried, they were simply hauled out of a jail and hung on our public square by a mob. And so it has been suggested that perhaps in view of what President Clinton has undertaken to do recently, that that would be an appropriate thing for our community.

One of the black members of our group community committee brought with him a lady who is something of a historian in our black community here. And the thrust of her conversation with us, a report or whatever you want to call it, in essence, was this: Don't do this.

Yes, you can find some folks in the black community who would say, "Well, this is a

wonderful thing that you're thinking about doing and it would be a wonderful thing if you do it," but the majority of our black community doesn't want this, doesn't need it. They recognize that there's no one alive who had anything to do with this, and even though some of that attitude might still exist within some of our citizens today, certainly we're as diverse and opinions of that kind within our community is, I suppose, about how any community might be.

The lady said, "Do something positive if you want to do something, but don't remind us of something very negative, something very detrimental to our community that happened so many years ago that no one here had anything to do with." No one here personally, of course, has any recollection of it.

And I can't say that that lady speaks for the black community entirely. In fact, she would be quick to say that she didn't represent 100 percent of the minority or 100 percent of

the black community. But I think what she was saying is that the majority of our community is not looking for the leadership in our community to make apologies or to recognize negative things that have taken place in our history or to dredge up things in the past, but they're looking for positive things to happen in the future.

And that, of course, is what all of us who serve on that Good Community committee would like to see happen. That's what those of us who serve in city government want to see happen, and those who serve, I think, in -- and I can't speak for everybody, but I think our community in general says, "Let's look to the future with a positive attitude and let's not look back with a negative attitude."

And I think that is a proper reflection of the majority of our community here in the way they look at race relations.

With that I'll stop, other than to say we're very pleased to have you here in our

city, hope your stay is enjoyable and that your respective trips back to your communities are safe. And I'm available for any questions I might be able to answer.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. JENKINS: With your last statement, what is your vision of race relations here in Springfield? What are some of the positive things that you can point to and what are some of the things that you want to work on in the future regarding race relations?

MR. GANNAWAY: Within the city, I don't know that there's anything that has been suggested to us to do or anything within the law that we ought to be doing that we are not doing, but again, like I say, I can speak only for the city.

I know how frustrating it has been for the Police Department to try to recruit, and then maintain. I remember back a few years

2.0

ago when we had no black police officers and then we finally got one. Everybody kind of breathed a sign of relief, and had him about six months and he was gone to a better job. I don't fault him for that, but we've been very frustrated in our efforts to try to recruit and maintain minorities.

Other than making efforts of that kind for employment, I can't give you a laundry list of things that we have done or that we intend to do in the future or anything different. We kind of stay in the course of what we've been doing.

MR. JENKINS: One of the things that

I'm come in contact with in probably the last

six or eight months, the people out in Alabama,

Louisiana, Mississippi, and even to the extent

in Iowa, there have been conversations and

study groups concerning race relations

basically because a few persons have talked in

terms of race relations in this country and

talked at each other.

Government leaders have now started study groups, community dialogue groups bringing persons together to simply talk about what are your concerns, what are your thoughts concerning race relations, how can we make this community better. And I'm looking at Springfield. Although you have a very small minority population, less than 5 percent, still that's a size of a member when you look in terms of just the sheer numbers.

With that in mind, would you entertain an idea of holding dialogue groups to ascertain persons' feelings about race relations?

MR. GANNAWAY: Kathy Clancy, who is here today, and I don't know if Kathy is going to talk to you or not, but she is the staff person in charge of our --

MR. JENKINS: Human relations division.

MR. GANNAWAY: Right, and she has either made efforts in that direction or has

1 plans. Bring me up to date on that, Kathy. MS. CLANCY: Yes, it is something 2 3 we've talked about. MR. JENKINS: But I'm talking about 4 5 from you, the chief elected official, not a 6 person within the body or the bowels of City Hall, but you as the chief elected official, 7 8 you as the representative. 9 MR. GANNAWAY: That is an extension 10 of my office. I appoint that commission and if that commission does it, that's an extension. 11 12 MR. JENKINS: I understand that. 13 you as the person, I vote for you, not the 14 extension. We realize that's a part of your 15 administration, but you as the head of the city 16 government doing that. 17 MR. GANNAWAY: Do I have any problem with doing that? 18 19 MR. JENKINS: Right. 20 MR. GANNAWAY: Oh, no. 21 MR. JENKINS: Okay.

MR. GANNAWAY: No, not at all.

1	28 MR. JENKINS: Okay, that's good.
2	DR. ROBINSON: I have a question
3	here.
4	You indicated there has been some
5	difficulty in recruiting minorities,
6	particularly in the Police Department. I was
7	wondering, do you have an affirmative action
8	program in the Police Department or citywide?
9	MR. GANNAWAY: Citywide.
10	DR. ROBINSON: Does it in any way
11	spill over to police recruitment, law
12	enforcement recruitment?
13	MR. GANNAWAY: I can't answer that
14	specifically. I know that there's a gentleman
15	that's supposed to be here to talk to you from
16	the Police Department. I'm sure that he will
17	be able to address that.
18	DR. ROBINSON: I have one other
19	question. You said that the minority
20	population is about 3 percent, with 1 percent
21	being African American?

MR. GANNAWAY: 1 point. It's more

1 | than 1 point.

DR. ROBINSON: Is there a significant number or group in the other 2.3? Is there one identifiable significant group, minority group in that other 2.3?

MR. GANNAWAY: I think, and I would assume, probably the Asian population. Kathy, is that correct?

MS. CLANCY: Asian is second to Hispanic.

MR. GANNAWAY: Asian, then Hispanic.

DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions?

MR. GANNAWAY: Quite an active Korean group which numbers -- and this is probably taking in the metropolitan area, and I mean that area outside of the actual city limits -- we have about 1,000 people in the Korean group that are members, 1,000 Korean people who are members of their particular organization.

They're a very active organization.

They meet with some regularity. They're very successful in various aspects of the community,

```
30
 1
       a hard-working group.
                  DR. ROBINSON: What's the total
 2
       population of Springfield?
 3
                  MR. GANNAWAY: Approximately 150,000.
 4
                  DR. ROBINSON: You have about 1,500
 5
 6
       African Americans?
                  MR. GANNAWAY: Uh-huh.
                  MS. BOTELLO: I have a question.
 8
                                                     You
       have a city council?
 9
10
                  MR. GANNAWAY: Yes.
                  MS. BOTELLO: And the city council,
11
       what's the minority representation?
12
13
                  MR. GANNAWAY:
                                 None.
14
                  MS. BOTELLO: None?
                                       Upper department
15
       heads?
               Do you have departments in city
16
       government?
17
                  MR. GANNAWAY: Uh-huh.
18
                  MS. BOTELLO: Are there minorities or
19
       women in those positions?
20
                  MR. GANNAWAY: Women, yes.
                                               I don't
~21
        think any minorities. As I try to mentally
        click down through them, I can't think of any,
22
```

1	but there's probably close to 40 percent are
2	women.
3	MS. BOTELLO: Forty percent of the
4	work force?
5	MR. GANNAWAY: No, department heads.
6	MS. BOTELLO: Department heads. So
7	how many department heads are there?
8	MR. GANNAWAY: Let me try to think of
9	them, women who are department heads. Our City
10	Clerk is a lady, our PIO head is a lady. It
11	depends here on what you call a department
12	head. Public housing.
13	MS. CLANCY: Are you referring to
14	MR. GANNAWAY: Personnel.
15	MS. CLANCY: Court administrator is a
16	woman.
17	MR. GANNAWAY: Kathy knows these
18	people better than I do.
19	MS. CLANCY: Finance there's a woman,
20	two women (inaudible).
21	MR. JENKINS: What we will do is
2 2	submit a letter to you requesting that

1	information for our records.
2	MR. GANNAWAY: Be glad to furnish it.
3	MR. JENKINS: So that we don't have
4	to go back and forth like this.
5	MR. GANNAWAY: Otherwise I'm kind of
6	guessing.
7	MS. BOTELLO: I was just interested
8	in the percentage of minorities and women.
9	Thank you.
10	MR. HERNANDEZ: Just so there can be
11	an understanding: The only affirmative action
12	figures that we got from the city that I
13	requested was from the Police Department, but
1.4	the human resource person said that the
15	information was available. It's just a big
16	thick plan and all we have to do is request it.
1.7	MR. JENKINS: We will submit a letter
18	to the Mayor requesting the documentation that
19	the committee member wants of this.
20	MS. BOTELLO: Thank you.
21	DR. ROBINSON: Okay. Any other

questions? Thank you very much.

MR. HERNANDEZ: We're going to do a 1 quick switch here. 2 3 DR. ROBINSON: Okay. This gentleman is Dr. Arnold Greve, Superintendent of the 4 5 Springfield Public Schools. 6 DR. GREVE: Greetings, Commissioner, 7 ladies and gentlemen. It's a pleasure to have you in Springfield today. It's a pleasure for 8 9 me to share some insights of Springfield Public Schools. 10 MR. JENKINS: Let's go off the record 11 12 for a minute, please. 13 (Recess) 14 DR. GREVE: In visiting with the 15 staff members in preparation for today, I was 16 just hoping that we could capture some of the 17 information that you really were interested in. 18 And I tried to do that, and again, as in the 19 Mayor's case, if you would like some additional 20 information we can certainly do that.

DR. GREVE:

DR. ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.

First of all, in terms of

21

the enrollment in Springfield Public Schools,
we have during the past school year basically
92 percent of the students in our public
schools are white and the remainder are
minorities. Four percent are African American,
2 percent Hispanic, 2 percent Asian, and less
than 1 percent American Indian.

Roughly unchanged statistics over the past few years, with the exception of a growing Hispanic community over the last two years that has grown from 1 percent to 2 percent.

Basically doubled, a little over doubled in size, during the period of time from '94 to '96 school years.

We have approximately 24,900 students in the Springfield Public Schools. Gives you a little flavor for the size.

Last year we made an emphasis on attendance improvement in the district and basically all groups, ethnic groups, improved in their student attendance. The white group improved by .14 of a percent, the African

American group improved by .74 percent, which was the largest group of improvement, and Hispanics by .37, and Asians .37, American Indians .21. Overall attendance in the district rose between 92 and 94 percent by students.

In staffing we have a total of 1,856 certified staff and 1,067 non-certified staff, a total of 2,923. Of course you know that changes daily. We have about 98 percent of our certified staff are white and the rest are of a minority. We have 17 African American certified staff members, two Asian, nine Hispanic and five Indian, a total of 33 in the minority category. In the non-certified staff members we have of the 1,067, 1,037 white, 19 African American, two Asian, three Hispanic, four Indian, for a total of 28 minority.

Again, the percentage there would be slightly higher, 3 percent minority in the non-certified staff versus the 2 percent in the certified staff.

Again, as mentioned, in the city over the years we've made some concerted efforts at improving that ratio. The last attempt to try to find an internal way that we had some control over the results was to create, two years ago, a minority scholarship program with our three colleges -- a university and two colleges, Drury, SMS and Evangel -- in identifying potential public school teachers who would be interested in remaining in the area in Springfield and helping them with significant scholarship in return for their promise to stay with us in their school district.

I'm pleased to say one person has graduated under those conditions and is currently teaching with us, an African American teacher, and we have six others who have not yet completed their degrees, and as I said, one who is starting their second year with us, we picked up late in her program. So we're pleased with that, but that's not going to be

the total answer to our needs.

while we have an equal employment policy we're trying in different ways to try to meet a better ratio there in both of these categories and we really know we need to do that.

We've had some recent conversations with some of our minority leaders in town in an attempt to try to find ways they can help us with that. And some have volunteered to go with us on some recruiting trips in the future to help gain insight in the opportunities there.

The indication was you might be interested in the district budget. We have a total operating budget which includes the teachers' fund, general fund and capital projects and food services, a total of about \$123 million, when all funds are included. That serves as bond as well. The '97-'98 budget will be approximately \$132 million.

These expenditures are funded by

revenues received from local property tax and sales tax, county funds received from fines and forfeitures of state-assessed utility taxes, state money provided through state aid for education, and other state categories of aid and grants, federally funded programs such as PL94142, handicapped and food service subsidies.

And approximately 49.2 percent of our district revenues are derived from local sources. About 1.3 from county, 28.9 from the state, and 5.4 federal dollars in our district budget.

In dropout data, we basically account for our dropouts in three different ways. The first is where we don't count someone more than one time. A dropout, once they're a dropout, even if we try to get them back in and get them back in, if they drop out again, they're not counted in duplicate counts like that.

Over the past year that number went from 489 to 429 as a district, a drop of about

60 counting that way; in other words, not counting any student last year who had dropped out before more than one time during the school year. Of that number of 429 we had 383 white, 34 African American, eight Hispanic, two Asian, and two Indian.

Then we have what we call our state reporting data, which is the data that counts a 12-month period from the day school ends one year to the day school ends the next year, a full 12-month period. That number was 600 this year, even, up 25 from the year before, which was 575. And of those 600 we had 523 white and 53 African American, 11 Hispanic, seven Asian, and six Indian.

Actual leavers is the third category we use, and those are students who leave and if they had dropped out before, we count them again. They dropped out again this year. And as you can tell, that number will be in between those two numbers of 429 and 600, and that number is 513. And again, that number

represents 419 white, 45 African American, nine Hispanic, five Asian, and five Indian.

Again, the dropout is a significant concern to us and it's too high. One is too many. What we want to do, one of our major initiatives right now is our academic plan, and one of the main goals of the academic plan is to reduce our dropouts.

Our board is considering the actions necessary to do that, in an action right before them for information in August and an action in September. We have several ideas there that would help.

In terms of what are we doing or what have we been doing this year, recently at least, in terms of trying to help in the areas of -- I'll try to keep on track here on time for you -- to improve the racial relations and our effectiveness with minorities in all of our students in the past year.

First of all, for the first time this year, unfortunately to say that for the first

time, we are having mandatory sensitivity training for all of our staff members.

In fact, I left early a meeting that
I need to get back to now which is occurring
with all of our administrators first of all
today, which is basically our Reach training,
and that is occurring with all administrators.
We've been doing Reach training over the years.
But it has never been mandatory, and this is
the first time every administrator from me to
the central office to the principals and
assistants are undergoing that training today.

In addition to that, we will have additional training under Reach later this week, or next week, I mean, for all members of the staff that chooses that particular training, teachers and all.

And then we also have another mandatory component of training with all of our staff members coming back to us next week. We have two presenters. All of the teachers and all of our other staff, including

administrators, will attend one of two cultural sensitivity training projects. One is by William Jenkins and the other by Michael Wynn.

And I think Mr. Jenkins -
Dr. Jenkins is a teacher and consultant of the 
Parkway School District. And staff will 
examine the different environment backgrounds 
and influences which African American students 
bring to the classroom, focusing on how 
understanding these differences and responding 
appropriately can mean the difference between 
success and failure in schools.

Wynn, of course, is co-founder of (inaudible). Over 15 years he has worked with school administrators, teachers, parents and students in helping to turn schools into places of passion. The desired outcome of this program is to help students discover their dreams and aspirations, to help students discover their talents and interests, and help students unlock their feelings and imaginations, to help students develop their

life plans to accomplish their dreams.

We certainly hope that while this is just a beginning, this will help us move forward in helping meet the needs of all of our students. In the Reach training, of course respecting ethnic and cultural heritage, this will enable our educators to examine concepts and strategies in multicultural education, diversity, and prejudice reductions from many perspectives.

By critically analyzing one's own socialization, assumption and bases, educators will develop skills and plans for creating high-performance students in a culturally diverse society. I would say our beginning today with this training was very well-received in this action going on right now, and seems to be very positively received from people.

I will have to just get through some of the other comments I would make regarding some of the initiatives, so that we can allow a little time for questions. We have had ongoing

contact this year with the leaders of the NAACP and other groups trying to reach some common agreements on what we can do to make things better, what we can do to improve. We need to do that, and I think we're making some progress in that respect.

We've had meetings with other groups of minority leaders, the African American ministers of Ministerial Coalition on a couple of occasions.

And also, for two years now, we've run a very, what I consider high-profile, high-powered multicultural committee. This group represents members from all of our multicultural minority populations in town. Each of them have had the opportunity to acquaint people to this committee that represents that field.

This group has three goals that they've been working on. The first was to develop a multicultural resource guide providing a listing of persons within our

2.2

community who could be available to the classroom teacher. Second is to research and develop cultural kits that were representative of the many ethnicities within our community.

And the third is for the committee to establish a partnership with the Discovery

Center of Springfield to jointly develop a hands-on exhibit located at that center that would be available to our students as field experiences. Those would be a hands-on kind of museum.

The first two goals have been accomplished. I should say multicultural kits are being finalized as we speak, and each of them present very interesting ways for classroom teachers to expose their classrooms to the different minority communities in Springfield and what's important to them.

Then again, the Reach training that we've had this past year, we've had over 150 participants from the district working on that under the auspices of Glendale. One of our

high school's PTAs, Bill Haley, a consultant from St. Louis, conducted meetings with students of the multicultural initiative committee, staff and community members on April 7 at the community forum held that evening.

Actual contact is made with our
African American seniors through our minority
affairs liaison, a staff member which we have
just made a 12-month person instead of a
nine-month person to try to be more or less an
ombudsman to our minority students. She makes
contacts with our seniors throughout the year
of their senior year trying to help make sure
they're aware of opportunities available to
them in scholarships as well as training beyond
high school.

Our minority committee has been very responsive this year to helping open our churches, some of the churches for tutoring programs after school. And our minority liaison has worked with some of these churches

in helping to put together people, students
from universities and colleges and other people
to help staff these.

We have one of our middle schools

(inaudible) sent a resolution team to a

conference in May in San Francisco regarding

building cultural (inaudible) peer mediation

programs. While each of our secondary schools

has an active peer mediation program, we feel

we have to continually beef those up and make

them more effective.

We will have a directory of materials available from the minority liaison's office next year, this fall, to be distributed, which is resource material for classrooms to use.

The Springfield Public Schools diversity program has been aired on Stone's Soup,

Channel 21, which is a public television station, with some of our curriculum specialists. Many of our elementary schools have held cultural fairs during the school year and have made it an annual event.

I could list a few more, but one of the last things I would mention, I think, is that this summer, again, the response to requests from a minority church and the activities within our community, we were able to work with them to develop a black history summer school.

This is a community and school district project where a grant of \$10,671 was obtained through the Justice Department. And classes were held at Glen Isle Baptist Church staffed by district personnel and community people, and the goal of the summer school class is to help students become more aware of contributions the African Americans made to American history.

Classes were kindergarten through grade 12. A component added this year was the African American males, grades 5 through high school.

A trip to Atlanta, Georgia concluded this year's session to the (inaudible)

(202) 638-2400

1.5

institute down there, and Springfield kindergarten students through grade 12 participate annual in the Martin Luther King essay contest sponsored by NEA. And each year we have some state winners and honorable mentions in that area.

Rather than continue to discuss here, let me close by saying in general, I feel we have a lot of things going for us, but we have a long way to go still. We aren't going to be satisfied until our minority population achieves as close as possible to the achievement levels of everyone, that we have as many opportunities for them in post-secondary experiences as others, that we get attendance and disciplines in line with others.

That's going to take a community-wide effort and a lot of energies from all of our groups that I think -- I think we saw some mobilization this year in helping to bring that about with the various components of our minority community.

So with that I'll answer some 1 2 questions. DR. ROBINSON: Thank you. 3 MS. PERRY: I have a question. 4 Does anyone monitor the dropout and expelled 5 students within the district? 6 DR. GREVE: Yes. 7 MS. PERRY: They do? 8 DR. GREVE: Yes. We have that and we 9 have desegregated, and each month we get data 10 and two principals with their supervisors going 11 over that data at least on a quarterly basis 12 with the principals, in reviewing that based on 13 the desegregated data. 14 MS. PERRY: Do you have an 15 16 alternative school here? 17 DR. GREVE: Yes, we do. We have two 18 versions of one. One is what we call Bailey Alternative School, which has been created for 19 2.0 about eight or nine years, and the purpose of 21 that school primarily is to help students who

have not been successful in the traditional

high school setting.

2.0

They're not there because they've been sentenced to it; they're not there because they've done something wrong to get there; they just haven't been successful and it's a chance for them to have a much smaller atmosphere of a lower pupil/teacher ratio. We have been very successful and hundreds of students have graduated through that program which probably would not have graduated before.

The second one, in cooperation with some community agencies, we call Solutions, which is really the alternative for the students who have been suspended long-term or expelled. And again that's an option given to families and to students if they find themselves in that situation, that allows them to continue to earn credit, continue to have school services provided, but not in the traditional school.

DR. ROBINSON: One question: What is your personal assessment of your entry-level

salaries when compared to other areas of the state?

DR. GREVE: Fortunately, that's one of the key components of our academic plan which we're looking at right now. We no longer are even the best entry-level salary schedule in southwest Missouri. We have five or six districts, small districts around us that are of a higher level salary. And when we've been trying to recruit people, especially minorities from the Kansas City/St. Louis areas, we're running into absolutely no success because of our salary schedules.

Over the past two years we have increased salaries about a total of 7 percent, a little over 7.1 percent, but we're still under the state average, considering all size districts, on starting salaries. And we're a long way from the urban areas, the other urban areas.

DR. ROBINSON: Do you know offhand what that state average is?

DR. GREVE: I would really be throwing out a figure here, but we're going to be next year at about \$22,000, I believe, of a beginning bachelor's, and I think the state average would be closer to \$24,000, \$25,000, something like that, beginning salary for a bachelor's.

Again, a key component of our academic plan is going to be to try to make that close the difference along with a cost-of-living necessity over the next several years, because without that we're not going to be able to recruit the brightest and best people to have in front of our kids. And that's what really makes the difference in education.

MS. PERRY: I have one more. Do you have school-to-work here?

DR. GREVE: We have procured a regional school-work initiative through our local junior college, OTC, which has a major component for us. It has targeted our center

city area, Central High School and its feeder schools, as its primary focus because that's how it was written to do that. And then we've also been able to get a local grant from the state level this year to help us with it.

So yes, we're actively pursuing into that. And that ties in with our one center city high school, with the highest minority population, encourages to go to the A Plus grant, which I'm not sure if you're familiar with that or not.

But at the state level, that was a grant that helps us eliminate the general track in high school, have all students meet rigorous course standards, and provide a real key, a tech/prep type of sequence, if you will, so that you're leaving high school either prepared to go on to college or prepared for a meaningful high-skill, high-wage job.

And our Central High School got in on the initial grant of that. So they've just finished a three-year program, and now we'll

have a couple of other high schools starting that. We've received some definite benefit from that.

MR. JENKINS: Okay, I have a series of questions for you.

With respect to recruitment of
minority teachers, you indicated that you were
working with a couple of the colleges here in
Springfield. One of the things I would
mention: Have you given any thought to working
with Lincoln University, which is a
historically black institution? What has been
the arrangement there?

DR. GREVE: Yes. We have recruited at the historically black colleges and universities in the state and in the Midwest, too, but quite honestly we're not doing very well there. We don't get people interested in coming to Springfield when the same recruitment is going on and we're offering \$22,000 to start and other people are offering \$27,000 or \$28,000 or more to start.

So we just -- really we have prospects that last until the offers start coming in, and then they're not taking them.

MR. JENKINS: What do you think you would have to offer to bring more minorities into Springfield, in your recruitment field? Is there something about the city, something about the school district? What's attractive to white persons coming to Springfield and not to minorities for the school district?

DR. GREVE: Well, we've been advised by our Minority Affairs Committee that you don't say, "Come to the Queen City of the Ozarks," if you're trying to recruit minorities. What we're trying to emphasize are some of the cultural advantages in our town, the university setting, the SMSU and some of those issues that we try to emphasize.

But quite honestly, the very fact
that we have such a very low minority
population in town, as the Mayor has indicated,
is often a major turn-off for people of color

wanting to come into Springfield and be part of it. Or if they come, after about a year or two what we hear is, "Well, there's not enough social activity or other activities for us here. We just need to move on."

MR. JENKINS: In your recruiting activities, when you talk to minorities or to black persons in trying to entice them to come to Springfield, did you ascertain from them what's the turn-off? Why not Springfield? As opposed to listing your general thoughts on why not coming to Springfield, an exit interview for persons on not getting a job?

DR. GREVE: I'm not sure we would have any way of really saying -- I can't tell you for sure if we've formalized that or not. I do know, though, that usually the reasons given, when offers are made and turned down, is dollars. To get beyond that, I don't think we've done a very good job probably of getting to that.

Like I indicated, I know the NAACP

Executive Committee have offered to maybe go on some trips with us where they can share some of the things that they feel are advantageous to living in Springfield to a minority. Hopefully that might make some difference.

MR. JENKINS: You indicated that the administrative staff for the school district was undergoing minority sensitivity training. What initiated that?

DR. GREVE: Well, we've had, I think, groups in town raise the issues of, "What kind of training have you had?" And when we were saying, "Well, we've had a lot of training, but it has all been voluntary," that didn't sound very good even to us. So that's why this particular year we're talking about mandatory.

MR. JENKINS: Is this something that you instituted or did the school board, or how did that come about?

DR. GREVE: Yes. Basically, I indicated that this fall we would have mandatory training, and so through our

professional development work we scheduled that. We might add also an interesting component dealing with Martin Luther King Day for our calendar. In the past that has been one where we just had no school, no activities, treat Presidents Day the same.

And this year, working with the NAACP and others, we've indicated could we use those days for staff development, and then be able to tie significant cultural training with our staff on that day, taking advantage of the community activities that are going on, as well as whatever else we can supplement that with and let teachers choose how they get that training.

And that has been, I think, positively received. We're looking at possibly doing that not this coming year, but in the future years.

MR. JENKINS: You indicated in your presentation that you had worked with, I guess, the Executive Committee of the NAACP concerning

some concerns that they had. Can you tell us what some of the concerns that were brought to your attention by the Executive Committee?

DR. GREVE: Yes, several. Student achievement, student discipline issues, teacher training sensitivity, responding to our minority students. There were exhibitions.

MR. JENKINS: And you're working now with the NAACP to resolve their concerns about this, or what is the status?

DR. GREVE: I feel we are.

MR. JENKINS: We will probably hear from the NAACP later on, but from your vantage point, let me just ask a couple of more questions.

What's your vision, the same as I asked the Mayor, in race relations, not only in the school district, but for the city of Springfield? Because as a superintendent you see a lot of persons all over and you're involved with quite a few activities, I would imagine. So what's your vision?

DR. GREVE: My vision is to see the activities and the achievements of our students and the general community as a whole to become -- when you're looking at data you don't see differences. It's colorblind. People are achieving, people are growing, people are gaining jobs, people are doing whatever they're doing based on their abilities, based on their expertise and what they have to offer rather than any other physical factors. And I think that's what we hope eventually we can do.

I wish we could say there's a magic bullet out there, but I know some of you from different major urban areas have seen a lot of money spent in a lot of ways to try to improve things like that. And there just isn't an easy answer, but that doesn't mean you can't -- you have to keep trying.

MR. JENKINS: Finally, has your district been reviewed by the Office of Civil Rights Department of Education?

DR. GREVE: Yes. Actually a couple

of years ago we took part in the very first voluntary program in the Par reviews and were contacted by them, "Would you be interested in that?" We did, and I thought we had an extremely valuable experience. I think they felt so, too.

MR. JENKINS: Can you relate some of the things that --

DR. GREVE: Yes. Basically what came out of that was they reviewed our policies, all of our policies. They reviewed all of our disciplinary actions for two years. We had stacks of printouts. And in there they made significant recommendations, especially regarding policies and where we thought we had some fair and equitable and appropriate policy.

What they were implying was happening and could happen was some of them were vague enough that there was too much discretion being exercised. So we rewrote our policy manuals, most of our policies, and submitted them to them for their review. And they basically have

had them sent back saying, "That's better," and taken some of the discretion away, which is a much more codified system.

Unfortunately, when you take discretion away to a degree. And so there is also the down side of that, perhaps -- and this was that first year of those policies, and a couple that changed with the Safe Schools Act with the State of Missouri. And it has probably been a year where we've had to scramble a little bit to see exactly what those two issues are having on us.

And then hopefully this coming year will be a more of a settling out to see are we on the right track or do we need other changes.

MR. JENKINS: One final concern, and I don't whether it's relevant here for Springfield: In quite a few of the major metropolitan areas and schools you have within-school segregation: black kids eating together in the cafeteria, the white kids separate from them. The same thing in the

classroom itself. Have you noticed that in Springfield?

DR. GREVE: I think there's certainly some of that. If you walk into the student center often you see a congregation of black students congregated more. I mean, obviously there are exceptions to that, and in fact that's not maybe always the case by any means, but there is that. That occurs.

MR. JENKINS: Is that a concern that you have, and do you want to do anything about that or try to?

DR. GREVE: Well, I worked on the State (inaudible) Central Committee. And I would just say that Al Burr, the president, who was principal of one of the suburban St. Louis schools when deseg took place up there, that was one of his big concerns. And he saw it happening and tried very hard through the North Central School Improvement Process to make a change and found it was very difficult. It just didn't change very quickly.

So again, I think though the issue there is one that when you get all of these components we're talking about working better that will break down, but it will probably break down gradually. It probably won't break down overnight.

DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions?

MR. HERNANDEZ: I have one question.

I saw your picture in the paper and one instance of the State School Act, and I also read some other news clips that had to do with the high suspension and dropout rates at one of the schools you mentioned. And I think in the newspaper account there was also some concern that maybe minority students and the biracial students maybe were getting a higher number of suspensions and dropouts.

Did you hear any mention in relationship to this? Could you describe that?

DR. GREVE: Yeah. I think it's fair to say that there is a proportional percentage of that across the district, probably not just

at that school, but at that school in particular. It happens to, again, be one of the concentration of minority schools, Center City Middle School and Central High School.

And the issue there is what we're trying to ascertain and work with there is what impact -- I mean, it was high suspensions across the board in that school, minority and white, and again, when we look at it case by case by case of those suspensions, the issues occur.

Now, are those administrators reading that exactly the way other schools will read that? That's the question, and it's a literal interpretation of our policies, which we're purposely resigned this year to take away some discretion.

And so, what we see is across the board a larger number of percentages for all students who attend that school where it happens to be a school where there's a higher minority population. So it definitely impacts

that.

And we saw some improvement or tapering of that data at the end of the year, and we're certainly hoping with our training and everything else that we're doing, we're hoping that carries on and we see definite improvement there.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Is there a high number of out-of-school suspensions for minorities across the district?

DR. GREVE: Percentage-wise.

Certainly not numbers, but percentage-wise there are.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Is it across the board or is it minority schools?

DR. GREVE: No, across the board.

DR. ROBINSON: Okay, Dr. Greve, thank you very much.

DR. GREVE: Thank you.

DR. ROBINSON: I'm still on time here. Our next participant is Mr. Hal Smith from the Springfield Police Department, who

will be filling in for Chief Lynn Rowe.

1.6

Captain, I'm sorry, Capt. Hal Smith.

CAPT. SMITH: I understand most of you folks are volunteers for that?

DR. ROBINSON: Some of us are paid, a combination of paid staff here, but the advisory committee are all volunteers.

CAPT. SMITH: I want to compliment you folks on that. It always impresses me when people see an issue that needs to be worked on and actually do something about it. I'm really impressed with that.

My name is Hal Smith. I serve as a captain in the Springfield Police Department. And I'm here to be a representative for the hearing today. Lynn Rowe sends his regrets. He had a longstanding commitment to be out a week and he couldn't work around it, although he certainly wanted to be here.

I'm one of the four division

commanders that work directly for the Chief of

Police. I manage the Services Division and

that encompasses a number of things that I think would be of focus for this hearing.

One of my responsibilities is that I co-manage our recruiting and hiring process for the Police Department. Another is that I have divisional responsibility for internal affairs. That's our internal/external complaint resolution mechanism. And I also have divisional responsibilities to the Police Academy.

I would like to start by welcoming you all to the Ozarks. We're genuinely glad you've come down. I had a seat by the air conditioner so I didn't get to hear a lot of what the Mayor said, so hopefully I won't be redundant for you.

Springfield is the largest city in Ozark mountain country. We have a population of about 296,000. We sit almost in the hub of three different counties, so our (inaudible) would stretch out quite a little bit.

The city itself has about 150,000

people in it. Our industries are light
manufacturing, retail sales, tourism and higher
education. We've got six colleges and
universities inside the city limits. One of
them -- speak up, okay. One is a brand new
technical college that we're really proud of.

Ethnically and racially we're not a tremendously diverse community. We're primarily white, we've got approximately 2 1/2 percent of our citizens that are black, and we've got about 1 percent Hispanic population.

We've got a relatively low crime rate. We, compared to 20 cities in the middle west, and out of those 20 cities we're number eight in terms of overall crime index and right now we're 11 in terms of violent crime. And that's something we work very hard at.

To give you an example, in 1996 we had four homicides in Springfield, which probably sounds like a Saturday night to you folks from St. Louis and Kansas City. We had four, and we solved all four of them, so we're

batting 1,000. We did the same thing in the previous year in '95.

Like most cities our size, we experienced about a 5 percent reduction in crime over 1996.

The Springfield Police Department provides police services only within the city limits. We're staffed with 334 people. Of that number, 261 are sworn in and 73 are civilian staff, and we travel very lightly in terms of administration. Almost all of our folks are committed to front-end service delivery to the community.

We are actively involved in community affairs organizations, I did hear that the Mayor alluded to that. We have a heavy involvement in Violence-Free Families that deals with domestic violence, and Ozark is Fighting Back, which deals with a gang problem we have in Springfield.

I would also like to note that we are a candidate for international accreditation

this year to an organization called Colea (phonetic). We go for final assessment of that in September. That will place us, I think in terms of standards, in the top 2 percent of the police departments in the nation.

I would like to focus on just a couple of areas that I think are going to be of interest to you. First is our minority recruiting efforts, and the second is our criminal complaint procedures.

In terms of recruiting, traditionally we've done minority recruiting for the Police Department by site visits to universities with a high minority population, making our recruiting efforts known in terms of timing to minority organizations in town, and advertising in newspapers that have minority readership.

It has not yielded the results that we wanted.

Last year I took over the division, took over that responsibility. I looked to what we were doing and it was a good deal of effort, but it wasn't working.

2.0

I've changed the focus just a bit.

We're going to continue doing what we've been doing, but we're adding a couple of things to that. One of the things we're doing is we're hitting hard on the military installations, particularly the military installations with large military police companies. That being Fort Leonard Wood, that's a basic training school for MPs, and Whitman Air Force Base. By definition they'll hit our threshold standards when they're mustering out of the military.

I also took a look at where we were focusing, which was primarily St. Louis and Kansas City, and that wasn't working and I understand now why. I talked to some of the minority officers here, chit-chatted about that. We could see pretty clearly why it wasn't working.

So what we're doing now is we're laying the groundwork for relations with some of these smaller junior colleges in rural areas in Missouri and northern Arkansas. I think

1.0

1.2

that we're going to do well out of that area.

The reason I say that is because you have a -- when you talk to a young black man in Kansas City he has to give up a lot to come to Springfield, culturally, okay? Money-wise he's got to give up a little bit, not too much, but a little bit to join our Police Department. So when you talk to a guy, for instance, who is graduating from a junior college from Harrison, Arkansas, a good job in Harrison, Arkansas might be night manager at Hardee's at 18 grand a year. I can offer that guy a lot.

We've been to four junior colleges in northern Arkansas and have also gone down to Little Rock, there's a university down there.

Little Rock doesn't pay like we do. There is a university down there just north of Little Rock with a high minority component. And I sent an officer down there, just kind of a "Hi, how are you," get to know you type thing and also hand out some brochures.

We plan on opening our recruiting

10.

process in September.

The other thing that we're doing is we looked at the resources we had, and one of them was our internship programs. This year I did the hiring for our interns. Those are part-time students, juniors and seniors of criminal justice programs that work part-time at the Police Department.

This year, out of 11 interns we've had seven women and two black people in the program. What I want to do is I want to get them into the department, particularly with black interns, and I want them talking to black officers. I want them to get to know the officers on a first-name basis and look at the police work from the inside out, looking out from the other side of the windshield in the patrol cars. I think hopefully that's going to pay off over time. Maybe with these two, maybe not, but over time. I think that's one strategy.

In terms of our complaint process,

what we do, we're kind of like Burger King.

You really do get it your way down there. We
accept complaints from inside the department or
outside the department; we take them on a
first-party basis, second-party basis; we take
them anonymously; we take them by letter, in
person, by phone, and when we get our computer
system straightened up we'll probably take them
over the Internet.

We divide our complaints in two classifications, Class 1's and Class 2's.

Class 1's are the most serious ones, and those are investigated by internal affairs investigators. Those are full-time investigators and they're a little bit more detached from the day-to-day front-end trench work that the field supervisors do. The Class 2's are the more minor complaints and those are generally handled by department supervisors.

Our complaint process, we formalize it so complaints just can't lay around and go away. They have timetables and targets and so

forth like that.

1.0

On receipt of a complaint, unless there's a reason not to like ongoing criminal activity, something like that, we notify the employee, we let him know up front that a complaint has been made against him. Then we conduct the investigation and that simply goes with the investigation (inaudible).

We benchmark them, we benchmark the Class 1's to 45 days. In other words, we try to complete that investigation in a month and a half. If we can't do that, and sometimes the nature of the complaint doesn't lend itself to that, what we do is we have to generate a letter to the Chief of Police telling him why we haven't finished that thing up. And he monitors that very closely on the ones that are in arrears.

On completion, it's forwarded up to the Committee for Disciplinary Recommendations and they have to be classified, they don't go away. They have to be sustained or they have

to be marked "Not Sustained, Unfounded,

Exonerated," or in some cases "Policy Failure."

We just recognize we're not a perfect organization, and sometimes our policies just didn't cover something or they caused an officer to do something where there was a problem in order to comply with policy, and sometimes that needs to be changed.

In terms of race relations, if I was to paint a relationship with the minority communities in Springfield with a broad brush, that characterizes as good. We attempt to deal with individuals as individuals on a daily basis, and our usual conduit for dealing with common concerns in black communities is the NAACP. It's kind of a common force.

And that relationship with the NAACP

I would characterize as usually cordial. Once
in awhile it gets a little bit testy, but I

think it's always straightforward in terms of
credibility. The Executive Board of the NAACP
has always been real good about never letting

us wonder what they think. They'll come in, they'll tell us what they think, and if it makes sense to us sometimes we change, sometimes we don't. Sometimes we simply explain why we do what we do.

That's a healthy relationship. I think that that situation is constructive and I think it's satisfactory to the black community. Because I think that my perception is they want to be able to voice their concerns, when they have them.

And beyond that, I think what the black community wants is what the white community wants. They want to do their jobs. They want to raise their kids, and they want to get on with their lives.

I think that when an issue of major concern arises in Springfield, where it's a major concern to the black community, I think that we hear about it about the same time the NAACP does. What I mean by an "issue of major concern" is, for instance, crack cocaine. We

don't have to wait for anybody to tell us about that. We've heard about it real quick. Also the ethnic gangs in Springfield, and I think we're effectively dealing with both of those concerns.

In closing, I would just like to add that I think we can be an asset to any discussion on race relations in Springfield.

Thank you.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you.

I would like to comment that I'm affiliated -- I work at a university and my area of specialty is criminology and criminal justice, and don't feel like you're on an island all alone. Recruitment in law enforcement particularly is very tough, and law enforcement agencies throughout the State of Missouri are experiencing similar difficulties.

I just completed a study for the Governor's Task Force on the status of that in the State of Missouri, particularly in the criminal justice system. And only one police

department is up to snuff in terms of parallels in population in the black community and black officers on the police department, and that's St. Louis City. The others are like you: They are struggling and doing a number of types of things to try to enhance their efforts in that area.

I wanted to ask you, however: What do you see as some of your specific problems in terms of minority recruitment as it relates specifically to Springfield, Missouri?

CAPT. SMITH: I think that the cultural base here is the problem, and I think that was the problem with recruiting in St. Louis and Kansas City. You talk to young black people in St. Louis and Kansas City and talk about Springfield and they go, "Why?"

You don't find a lot of black people moving to Springfield. A lot of our minority population are people who were born and raised here. Whether they come back for a reason, for instance, they've got parents here or something

1.

1.5

like that, there's some roots that call them
back. It's not someplace that minority people
come.

I think it's a lack of cultural
opportunities, and that's not being critical.
I mean, the population is only 2 1/2 percent,

I mean, the population is only 2 1/2 percent so you're not going to have a lot of those opportunities that you do in St. Louis and Kansas City.

For instance, if you're a 24-year-old black guy that just got out of college, you could probably meet all of the eligible black women in Springfield in 20 minutes.

DR. ROBINSON: The Mayor indicated you have four African American officers?

CAPT. SMITH: Right.

DR. ROBINSON: What's the tenure for these officers in terms of years of service?

CAPT. SMITH: They range all the way from 15 years down to about six.

DR. ROBINSON: What's the highest rank for an officer?

1	CAPT. SMITH: The highest ranking
2	black officer we have is a corporal. He's one
3	of our internal affairs investigators.
4	DR. ROBINSON: In terms of okay.
5	MS. THOMPSON: How long is the
6	longest tenure of one of those four officers?
7	CAPT. SMITH: The longest tenure?
8	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
9	CAPT. SMITH: Fifteen years.
10	MR. JENKINS: In terms of your
11	internal complaint process, on the average how
12	many complaints do you get per year in Class 1,
13	and then Class 2?
14	CAPT. SMITH: I've got that figure
15	here. I can be exact here. (Inaudible)
16	MR. JENKINS: On the average number.
17	CAPT. SMITH: Okay, I would say 65 a
18 .	year, something like that.
19	MR. JENKINS: Do you keep that figure
20	broken down by race of the complainants?
21	CAPT. SMITH: Yes, we do.
22	MR. JENKINS: If you don't have it

handy, could you provide that information to us in detail?

CAPT. SMITH: Sure.

DR. ROBINSON: I need to back up for one minute. Is 15 years of service pretty typical for an individual to hold the rank of corporal? Is that an exceptional situation? What's the average for promotion to corporal and above in terms of years of service?

CAPT. SMITH: You'll find that a lot of our mid-level supervisors are promoted early in their careers, but we travel very light in supervision. As you move upward, we're typical here.

For instance, we've only got four captains and only six lieutenants in the entire Police Department of 334 people. And at those ranks, the promotions are few and far between. Those are career people, so you don't get much movement. The same thing with sergeants. So the sharp person can move to corporal very quickly, but from then on in it gets extremely

85 1. competitive. DR. ROBINSON: Do you have a number 2 3 of corporals that have 10 years of service or more? 4 CAPT. SMITH: Yes. 5 DR. ROBINSON: Okay. Any other 6 questions? Okay, thank you. 7 8 CAPT. SMITH: Thank you. 9 DR. ROBINSON: Our next participant is Mr. John Halverson. He's the director for 10 the Office for Civil Rights, Health & Human 11 Services up in Kansas City, Missouri. 12 MR. HALVERSON: Thank you very much 13 14 for the opportunity to attend this forum and speak with you today about the -- I can barely 15 1.6 talk, I have such a cold -- to speak to you briefly about the Department of Health & Human 17 Services Office for Civil Rights. 18 19 OCR has the important job of ensuring. 20 that HHS funds are spent without 21 discrimination. To do this we enforce several

civil rights laws to a comprehensive program of

individual complaint investigations, compliance reviews, technical assistance and monitoring to ensure continued compliance.

If you are eligible for health care, public assistance or other social services, you can't be denied these benefits because of your race, color, national origin, disability or, under HHS block grant programs, sex and religion.

If you meet the program eligibility requirements, you have the right to participate in health care services such as prenatal care, hospital inpatient care, care from migrant health centers, and long-term care and social services such as senior citizens activities and youth services, as well as any other program, service or benefit that receives Federal financial assistance from HHS.

We enforce the community service assurance under the Hill-Burton Act. This law provided federal funds for hospitals and, to a lesser extent, nursing home instruction.

The community service assurance under Title VI of the Public Health Service Act requires that recipient entities that receive Hill-Burton funds must make services provided by the facilities available to persons residing in the facilities service area without discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, creed or any other ground unrelated to the individual's need for the service or the availability of a needed service in the facilities area.

In the case of facilities, under the other Hill-Burton Act, which is Title XVI of the Public Service Act, the requirements are not just for people who live in the service area but who also work in the service area, they must receive services.

What that really means is that hospitals that receive Hill-Burton funds must ensure that patients are not transferred to other hospitals without being made stable in the emergency room, patients in labor may not

be turned away from these hospitals, and these facilities must accept Medicare and Medicaid.

If patients have no way to pay the facilities they must try to work out a means of payment for patients, again, who have no insurance.

Other statutes we enforce include
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which
bans discrimination on the basis of race, color
or national origin; Section 504 of the
Rehabilitation Act 1973; the Age Discrimination.
Act of provisions of 1975, that is age
discrimination not based on employment but on
the receipt of services; provisions on the
Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981,
requiring nondiscrimination in block grant
programs administered by the HHS.

There are approximately 230,000 recipients of Federal HHS funds in the country. So you can see we have an awful big job.

Effective on January 26, 1992, the

Office for Civil Rights commenced enforcement

of Title II of the Americans With Disabilities

Act, which prohibits discrimination by state and local government entities on the basis of disability. The regulation implementing this title is modeled on the Section 504 regulation.

Although the U.S. Department of
Justice has primary responsibility for
enforcing Title II, eight designated
departments including HHS have authority over
portions of state and local government
activities. Specifically, OCR has authority to
investigate complaints of discrimination
against state and local entities involving
health or human services functions. This
includes also medical or other health-related
schools operated by state governments; it also
includes preschool and day care programs.

Under the ADA, covered entities do not necessarily need to receive HHS funds for OCR to have jurisdiction. Our newest responsibility is to enforce the Metsingbalm (phonetic) Multi-Ethic Placement Act, now amended to the Inter-Ethnic Placement Act.

10.

OCR implements these civil rights statutes through a broad-scale compliance program. This compliance program is made up of six basic investigative activities: One, complaints; two, limited scope compliance reviews; three, full scope compliance reviews; four, investigations; five, monitoring; and six, technical assistance.

Complaints are written allegations of discrimination based on the race, color, national origin, sex, age, creed, physical or mental disability and filed against recipients of Federal financial assistance, except in the area of Title II of the ADA. Complaints of discrimination based on religion and sex can also be filed, but these are only filed under certain of the block grants clause that OCR enforces.

We have a simple complaint form to use when you file a complaint. And I brought some samples for people to have if you want them. We need facts on the specific act of

discrimination that you allege took place, when did it happen, who was discriminated against, and who or what organization did the discriminating. Finally, we need to know the basis of your allegation, race, color, national origin, disability and so on.

Limited scope compliance reviews are compliance reviews that focus only on an HHS recipient's compliance with one civil rights law and issue. If data collected suggests a possible violation, we open up a more extensive and detailed investigation.

We have looked at nursing home practices with regard to the admission of persons with infectious diseases, the alleged practice of medical redlining. There are agencies such as home health providers who may choose to not provide services in certain minority neighborhoods. We've looked at provisions for services to persons with limited English deficiencies in hospitals and in emergency rooms in particular, and we've looked

at the extent to which Hill-Burton hospitals treat minority patients.

We have this year concluded compliance reviews of three area agencies on aging in southern Missouri, one being the agency in Springfield. And we conclude that they treat minority clients appropriately and have provisions to provide services to persons with limited English proficiency.

OCR selects issues for review with regard to limited scope reviews, so it's a proactive program. Full scope compliance reviews look at the major providers of service and examine them from several points of view of statutes and civil rights issues.

Pre-grant clearance reviews are conducted by the Office in order to assess the civil rights status of all health care facilities that apply for certification as Medicare Part A providers. These are procedural reviews conducted by letter and telephone. They require all recipients of

Medicare Part A participate.

And one of the great benefits of these reviews is that it forces all nursing homes, hospitals, home health care agencies, any organization wishing to participate in Medicare to contact us and to actively discuss civil rights, to conduct certain kinds of in-house compliance and give us the results.

So we look at these as a means of providing technical assistance, also. By the time they have completed our requirements they usually are in touch with OCR and we know them, and it's a very helpful process.

We also conduct a technical assistance program to ensure beneficiaries and recipients are aware of civil rights compliance responsibilities. If we find a violation and we cannot negotiate a settlement, we may refer the case to the Department of Justice for enforcement, but the reality is we negotiate out almost every case.

In 1995, OCR developed a strategic

plan discussing how we would use our scarce resources during the next several years:

Issues related to the delivery of health and social services to minorities. Issues related to the access and delivery of services to persons with limited English proficiency. We want to look at access and delivery of services to persons with HIV disease. And we want to look at the area of medical redlining.

These are some of our major areas
we're concentrating our efforts on -- also the
new area of managed care with regard to
Medicare and Medicaid recipients is listed in
the strategic plan. These are all considered
major national issues and we are going to
concentrate our resources in these areas. We
have just added welfare reform as a new major
issue for concentrating our resources.

A new case resolution manual was adopted last year and that allows -- or two years ago -- that allows us to triage our new complaints in order to concentrate our

resources on those complaints most likely to lead to change. This also allows us to quickly close complaints where it becomes clear there is no discrimination and to use our resources to work on the more difficult complaints and the complaints where there seems to be a good reason for cause.

This manual has also allowed us to take advantage more of mediation and other innovative means for early solving of cases.

And to that end, last month I was able to have training provided to my entire staff, so everybody on staff now is a certified mediator.

Again, what we're trying to do with the strategic plan is to concentrate our resources in those areas where we think the biggest need is, and to move away from areas where we think there's less need. Also it means we should over time free up more resources for technical assistance. Let's see, I have a couple of minutes.

Finally, I took a look at my database

at the kind of complaints we've been seeing over the past few years in this area, and in southern Missouri we've seen some Hill-Burton complaints where it's alleged there has been patient dumping; that is, patients have been moved from one hospital to another without being medically stable, or the hospital didn't follow the recognized procedures to ensure that there was no medical risk.

And usually we find that when you get into a case there is some other reason. So we really haven't found that many violations of that.

For years it was alleged that in this part of the country there weren't obstetricians who would accept Medicaid patients, and so there was a shortage of those. We looked at that some and found that it wasn't a problem, but we still think there are problems in this part of the Missouri, southern Missouri, and hope to put some more resources down here in the future.

97 1 DR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much, 2 Mr. Halverson. 3 MS. THOMPSON: I have a question. DR. ROBINSON: Yes. 4 5 MS. THOMPSON: (Inaudible) with the 6 issues of managed care; who is doing the regulation of Hill-Burton issues with those 7 8 people? 9 MR. HALVERSON: Well, the Hill-Burton 10 one has two relevant sections, and one is the 11 20-year uncompensated care provision. 12 those have mostly run out, but when they still 13 exist the Public Health Service monitors that, and with regard to community services it's 14 15 still OCR. 16 DR. ROBINSON: If you know of some 17 issues relating these two, please let me know because we're now developing sets of issues so 18 19 we can begin to conduct compliance reviews. 20 Well, accompanying MS. THOMPSON: 21 that, what about the HMOs that are part -- you

had a phrase for that where they pass people

1	on, possibly without stabilizing.
2	MR. HALVERSON: Those are hospital
3	emergency rooms (inaudible) HMOs.
4	MS. THOMPSON: No, HMOs have to give
5	permission for people to have emergency care at
6	a hospital that is not part of their
7	MR. HALVERSON: Right.
8	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. So how is that
9	regulated, that the HMO is not giving people
10	orders to go to one of their hospitals without
11	being stabilized?
12	MR. HALVERSON: If someone appears at
13	an emergency room, no matter what the hospital
14	is, if that's a Hill-Burton hospital, they have
15	an obligation to stabilize the person no matter
16	what the method of payment may or may not be.
17	MS. THOMPSON: Who is regulating
18	that?
19	MR. HALVERSON: We regulate that.
20	MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
21	DR. ROBINSON: I wanted to take a few

1	99 like to introduce Dr. Cora Thompson from Kansas
2	City.
3	MS. THOMPSON: From Parkville.
4	DR. ROBINSON: Parkville, I'm sorry.
5	We have Kansas City here. Parkville, Missouri,
6	just outside of Kansas City, who is a member of
7	the Advisory Committee.
8	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
9	DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions?
10	MS. PERRY: I have a question. Sir,
11	why did you add welfare reform? Have you
12	already gotten complaints on that?
13	MR. HALVERSON: We haven't. I
14	understand that there's one complaint around
15	the country, and we were adding it because it's
16	such a big area of issue of interest we need to
17	use resources to keep in touch with what's
18	going on and to research possible problems.
19	MS. PERRY: That's right. You need
20	to.
21	MR. HALVERSON: There's a whole list,
22	for example, of possible Section 504 or ADA

issues that arise and there may be some Title

VI ones, also. It's very early, but we're just

wanting to make sure that we're abreast of

what's going on in case there are complaints.

MR. JENKINS: John, will you take a minute and describe for us medical redlining?

MR. HALVERSON: Yes. Medical redlining has not -- we have not found the problem here. We've conducted maybe 15 to 20 limited scope compliance reviews in this region and found no problem.

What it appears to be is where usually a home health agency or other agency that goes into the home to provide care will set up areas where they will say it's too dangerous, is usually how it's put, for their staff to go into.

The complaints where it was a real problem were in New England, and at one time someone filed two complaints with OCR in Boston and the whole area came out of the blue, it was just new, and they were found to be violations

	$\mathbf{I}$
1	where the home health agencies had set up zones
2	in cities that they wouldn't allow their people
3	to practice in.
4	MS. THOMPSON: It sounds like they
5	consulted with Pizza Hut.
6	MR. HALVERSON: They probably owned
7	it.
8	DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions?
9	MS. THOMPSON: I'll behave.
10	DR. ROBINSON: Thank you,
11	Mr. Halverson.
12	MR. HALVERSON: Thank you.
13	MR. JENKINS: Thank you, John.
14	DR. ROBINSON: Our next participant
15	is Ms. Angela Bennett, director of the Office
16	for Civil Rights, the U.S. Department of
17	Education.
18	MS. BENNETT: I, like John, have a
19	cold. So therefore, I'm trying to make sure
20	that everything comes out okay.
21	I'm pleased to be here this evening
22	in this great part of the Springfield

community, as my grandmother lived here about six years and I visited the community quite often during that period of time. And so in one sense, this is like coming to a second home.

I am the new director at the Office for Civil Rights, Department of Education. I have been in my position since March 17 of this year, but in order to assist me if I have any difficult questions, or in order to bolster my presentation, I have with me our chief attorney, David Alene -- David, raise your hand -- as well as one of our senior investigators, Phyllis Donahue.

And we have some other work here tomorrow that we will be doing in the Springfield community. That's another reason for the three of us.

Commission of the Office for Civil Rights for the Department of Education is to ensure an equal access to education.

Throughout the nation we have 12 offices and we

2.1

have restructured, it used to be called regions
but now we're in divisions, so those 12 offices
are now within four divisions, and of course we

have our headquarters office.

The Kansas City office is in charge of pursuing equal access within the states of Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, Missouri and South and North Dakota. We used to have Kentucky, but they traded Kentucky for South and North Dakota. Why, I don't know. Go figure that one.

We're in charge of enforcing the civil rights statutes which prohibit discrimination based upon race, color, national origin, sex, disability and age, and these are activities that we pursue just like John's office for entities that receive Federal funding. So therefore, any public entity, any public library, museums, et cetera, et cetera, that receive Federal funding, we are in charge of those civil rights activities.

Our specific laws are Title VI of the

Civil Rights Act of 1964, which covers race, color and national origin; Title IX which is sex discrimination; Section 504, which is disabilities; Title II of the Americans for Disabilities Act, which prohibits discrimination based upon disability by public entities including public school districts, public colleges and universities, public vocational schools as far as museums and libraries.

We also cover age discrimination but not in the area of employment.

In applying these statutes on behalf of the Department of Education, we cover almost 15,000 school districts nationwide, more than 3,600 colleges and universities, about 2,000 proprietary organizations, and thousands of libraries, museums and vocational schools. And this covers 51.7 million elementary and secondary students as well as 14.4 million college and university students.

In certain situations we also do some

areas within employment, but that is very limited. The Equal Opportunity Employment Commission covers the employment areas.

In carrying out our responsibilities we accept complaints from individuals who either allege discrimination or persons or organizations who file complaints on behalf of persons who allege discrimination or persons who allege they are victims of discrimination.

In these complaint procedures we have several different tools. We have the early complaint resolution, we also enter into agreements for corrective action, and we also do enforcement. It must be noted that we are supposed to be neutral parties. We're not an advocate for the recipients, nor are we an advocate for the students themselves. We are there to ensure the equal access for both sides.

When we get a complaint, the first thing we have to do is consider whether or not resolution of that complaint is appropriate.

So we spend normally about a 30-day period of time and hopefully sometimes a shorter period of time in evaluating that complaint. After that we notify the complainant through a letter of evaluation as to what our decision is.

1.3

2.1

Now, an evaluation goes through several different processes. We look to see whether or not we have jurisdiction under Civil Rights Act that we have jurisdiction under. We also see whether or not those complaints are timely filed, that's within 180 days of the discriminatory act, the allegedly discriminatory act, or if there's a continuing allegation of discrimination that can also be taken into effect.

If a person or entity misses the 180-day cutoff, they may know or they may not know about the organization, there are mechanisms by which we can waive that 180 days, but there are pretty stringent waiver provisions that we have.

Another evaluation we go through is

whether or not another agency has reached a binding decision on this alleged discrimination. And if that has already been taken care of by another agency, then we would not go forward with the resolution.

Ne also would need to see whether or not the person or entity which filed the complaint is cooperative in giving us information that we need. We send out a consent form to the individual who filed the complaint and require that that form be sent to us within 30 days, although if it is not sent back to us within 30 days, we write them or give them a call, if we have their telephone number, so that we don't close them out just because they did not meet that 30-day cutoff.

During our early complaint resolution, if the recipient and the complainant are in agreement to have the complaint resolved, then OCR will facilitate an agreement or a resolution to that complaint.

Now, in that facilitation we don't mediate, we

don't sign the agreement, we don't approve the agreement, nor do we endorse the agreement that's entered into. However, we will provide legal assistance and we will provide the opportunity to discuss possible remedies that the two, the complainant and the recipient can look into.

Under the ECR agreements we do no monitoring, so we do not go back to see whether that agreement has been followed through on.

However, if the complainant feels that the agreement has not been honored by the recipient, they can come back and file another complaint with us. So they are not closed out just because they've gone through early complaint resolution.

If we don't go through with ECR, that's early complaint resolution, after an investigation has determined that we investigated the complaint to the point we can have a resolution, then we may enter into a corrective action agreement to resolve the

complaint. Now, those agreements, because we are part of those agreements and we are a party to those agreements, those agreements are monitored for the length of time that's designated within that agreement.

Other ways the complaint is resolved is, again, if another agency has resolved that complaint to OCR standards, if there's insufficient evidence to show any type of violation, then that complaint is resolved (inaudible), as well as if the complainant decides for whatever reason to withdraw the complaint, then that complaint is considered to be resolved. And also, if we receive information that the complaint has been resolved, then that complaint file will be closed.

Now, if the recipient has violated one or more of the statutes and has refused to come into compliance, then we can issue what's called a letter of findings. Now, even though we issue a letter of findings for these

violations, we will still again try to make sure or try to engage the recipient in trying to resolve the issue. The recipient still does not (inaudible), unable to do so, unwilling to do so, we will proceed either to initiate administrative procedure or we can refer the case to the Department of Justice.

During that time we can also move to defer any new or additional Federal assistance or begin administrative enforcement proceedings to terminate assistance.

Now, sometimes when we go out we talk about terminating funding. That's not our purpose. Our purpose is the equal access and our purpose is for the recipients to come into compliance. So the very last thing we can do would be to withdraw funds, but that is an option, but that's an option that is rarely if ever pursued.

If a conclusion of a complaint resolution or the complainant does not agree with us, they do not agree with the findings of

our investigators, then that complainant can recontact the investigator either in writing or by phone and offer specific reasons why they think that that complaint was not handled sufficiently. If they're not satisfied with what the exception is, either verbally or in writing, then that's when it comes to my office and I will do an evaluation on whether that complaint has been resolved effectively.

I would like to give you some examples of what OCR nationally has done in 1996. I say 1996, because the fiscal year for the Federal Government is September 30, we don't have all of the 1997 numbers. But in 1996 OCR received 4,828 discrimination complaints on a national basis.

868 of those were Title VI complaints and those issues that we related under the Title VI were, these are just examples, discriminatory practices, discriminatory discipline practices, discriminatory student assignment policies, racial harassment, and

discriminatory academic grading policies.

1.

Also in 1996, we had 361 complaints alleging sexual discrimination and violation of Title IX. Some of those complaints included denial of equal opportunity and interscholastic or intercollegiate athletics, discriminatory treatment of pregnant students, and sexual harassment.

The majority of our complaints we do a comparison of the various statutes come under Section 504 and Title II of the ADA. That total in 1996 was 2,533, and some examples of those types of complaints that were resolved were discrimination of (inaudible) by inaccessible school facilities and programs, discrimination in suspension and expulsion of students with disabilities, and denial of appropriate academic adjustments and modifications.

Not all discrimination can be obviously handled through complaints, because that's a person-by-person, organization-by-

organization complaint filing. So just as HHS does it, we also enter into compliance reviews, too. Through that we target our resources to areas that we view as being acute, areas that are national issues and national problems or national in scope as well as any emergent issues.

One of the goals of compliance reviews is that we assure that vulnerable groups, as far as persons who are less aware of civil rights laws, have their civil rights protected. Unlike complaints through compliance reviews, we're able to benefit large numbers of students through changing policy, through changing programs.

The ways by which we decide what types of reviews to pursue come from survey data. They also come from what we call anecdotal information; that's information that we get from educators who know of specific problems within their area of jurisdiction. We also get information from educational

organizations, advocacy groups, parents, the media, community organizations, and just the general public.

Some examples of some of the types of compliance reviews that we've been successful dealing with are minorities in special education, ensuring access of English language instruction and course content to students with limited English proficiency, and providing nondiscriminatory access to get to the gifted and talented programs.

In 1996, OCR education initiated 143 reviews, the most that the office had done in over eight years, and also in 1996 there were 173 reviews that were closed.

We, too, provide technical assistance to parents, to groups, and to recipients. The best way to enforce civil rights laws is to act upon prevention, provide people with knowledge of what should be done and the mechanisms by which those can be accomplished. So in addition to the complaints, in addition to the

compliance reviews we assist parents, students, recipients and other organizations by participating in forums such as this, by outreach, on-site consultations, conference, participations, training classes, and community meetings.

Unfortunately on the training classes our budget is probably as limited as John's is with HHS. So we're a little bit more limited to where we might be able to go, but we try to make it to as many places as we can for as many mechanisms as we can. We also provide technical assistance through written and telephone guidance.

The department and I, too, as well as my colleagues, we're very proud of what we do for students. As our public relations person in Washington says, we're on the side of the angels. So that's a good feeling to be able to help students and to help recipients ensure that there's equal access to education for the students of the United States. And I'm open to

any questions you may have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

2.0

21

22

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you.

I have one question. Have you had any complaints out of this region out of the State of Missouri?

MS. BENNETT: We had complaints, and since we're in Springfield we've had complaints in Springfield. We did, as the superintendent alluded to earlier, we had a Par review a couple of years ago. Phyllis and David are a lot more familiar with that since I was in private practice two years ago. I was not part of that process.

We also have received some complaints from the NAACP here. And that is one of the focuses for our coming, in addition to the importance of this group. We will be meeting with the NAACP tomorrow to address some of the issues that were raised in the complaints they have received, as well as in the past had complaints for southern Missouri, Cape Girardeau and other areas.

MS. THOMPSON: Would extracurricular activities be included in your access issues?

MS. BENNETT: As long as they receive Federal funding. If they were privately funded, there still may be a jurisdictional issue anyway. That would be of that content, but generally, I would say yes, we would cover those extracurricular activities.

Take for instance, in Title IX, intramural sports will be covered. So just because it's the end of the school year does not mean that the equal access goes out the window.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions?

MR. JENKINS: With respect to your review with the Springfield school district, I know there have been some complaints concerning the school district from the NAACP. With respect to those complaints, can you share with us the nature of those complaints? We will listen here to the NAACP later on, but from

your vantage point, what information can you 1 2 provide to us? MS. BENNETT: Phyllis is the 3 investigator who is handling those complaints, 4 I will ask her to address that. 5 6 MR. JENKINS: Okay. MS. BENNETT: I have a broad brush. MR. JENKINS: Can you come forward, 8 9 Phyllis, and for the record state your name, 10 please? MS. DONAHUE: Yes, Phyllis Raye 11 12 Frances Donahue. 13 First of all, the Par review is sort of like what John Halverson was talking about 14 15 that the first one we did was done in 16 Springfield, Missouri. So it was basically a 17 training ground, and we have learned some 18 things from that experience and incorporated 19 those into the process that we now use. 20 The Springfield Par review, it's a 21 profile assessment resolution review. It's not

a tradition compliance review where you have

the sole scope of activities that you go through in determining compliance or noncompliance.

Basically, Par review involves community participation, interviewing staff, interviewing students, and coming together with what other people think about what the problems and negotiating a resolution agreement to meet those needs.

In the instances of the Springfield
Par review it was primarily a review of
policies and procedures. Now, that is not
because all Par reviews are designed that way.
It's my understanding from reading the case
files that the Springfield school district
really did not have solid policies and
procedures in place. So to analyze the impact
of policies and procedures, first of all, that
Par review ended up dealing with establishing
nondiscriminatory policies and procedures,
particularly in the area of discipline.

And I understand from a previous

comment that was made that reams of data from the superintendent -- I was not a partner in that Par review, but I am familiar with the work product. My understanding is that the NAACP agrees that the policies were developed and put into place, but that they have not been effective, they have not gone far enough, and that they have had a discriminatory impact in their implementation.

I also understand from what the superintendent stated earlier that it's basically their perspective an implementation process of getting it established and analyzing different factors associated with -- the settlement agreement be entered into and then going on, moving forward from that point.

I am investigating a complaint that was filed by an individual that encompasses many, if not all, of the concerns that the NAACP wrote in their letter to us regarding the results of the Par review. And I have not had an opportunity to meet with the complainant, I

have talked with her representative, and tomorrow morning I also will be having a meeting trying to extrapolate from the documentation that has been sent in to our office what the complaint issues are and what is perceived to be the best approach to go about resolving that.

Will it be a full-fledged investigation, are we going to be able to come to agreement? (Inaudible) speaking this morning, we'll just have to see how it goes.

Are there any other questions?

MR. JENKINS: Do you want to go follow-up with that?

DR. ROBINSON: Yes.

MR. JENKINS: What are the timelines involved in this particular complaint? Because that's important for community folks to understand that, also.

MS. DONAHUE: All right.

Unfortunately, I have to admit the case is running behind time. It's a combination of the

1.8

complexity of the case, the input from the NAACP in viewing those documents, cross-referencing those documents, and the complainant is temporarily out of the country. She will be back in the Springfield area, I believe, August 20. And at that time we'll finalize what the issues are.

But we had to kind of get going on it. We were behind time, so we're meeting with the complainant's liaison and seeing what we can do to sift out some of it and have something to work with in the interim.

MR. JENKINS: Just for informational purposes --

MS. DONAHUE: It's about 40 days into the complaint.

MR. JENKINS: -- a copy of that complaint was filed with my office in Kansas City and the national office and we in turn submitted it to your national office. It has been floating out there for some time, and that's why I wanted to try to nail in the

timelines because of the importance of trying to resolve this matter.

MS. DONAHUE: Well, from the date we've received it, we're about 40 days into it.

DR. ROBINSON: Given this type of complaint, what enforcement authority do you have in terms of the final disposition of this case?

MS. DONAHUE: There are allegations -- my interpretation of allegations in the complaint to date are Title VI issues, which are discrimination on the basis of race or national origin, relative to this complaint.

Also I believe there is a Title IX, sex discrimination complaint.

And Section 504, the Rehabilitation

Act, when it comes to free, appropriate public education, that learning disabled students are receiving the brunt of discipline and they're being placed in locations that do not provide educational services, special education and related services. That is the allegation as I

understand it.

DR. ROBINSON: If there are no more questions, then what remedies can you impose as an agency if there is a finding against this --

MS. DONAHUE: As Angela has already mentioned, the ultimate sanction of course is termination of Federal financial assistance.

The next step back from that is administrative review, and prior to that is negotiating with the district until we hammer out an agreement that's legally sufficient to address the issues at hand.

MR. HERNANDEZ: As you know, one of the objectives, of course, of this community forum is to receive information from you in terms of admission of the agency. But also how and where to file a complaint, and I think John alluded to it in terms of what he said, forms that are out by the table.

And in terms of the people here in Springfield, we've heard of informal complaints in the media and by telephone. How hard is it

to make a complaint to the OCR, and is the Springfield area an acute area as to this particular point?

MS. DONAHUE: Well, St. Louis or

Kansas City where there are a lot of people we
get a lot of complaints. I have not worked in
the State of Missouri for some time. I have
been primarily working in Nebraska.

I do know that there has been at least four complaints, individual complaints filed against the Springfield School District within the last school year. I'm personally aware of three of them, and when I did a file review to see if there were prior complaints then, I found one within the last school year.

MS. BENNETT: We, too, have information on the back table there, too, as well as cards are provided, which it's easy to file a complaint with those. All we need to make sure is that it's signed. We cannot accept anonymous complaints, but other than that you can send in a written complaint of

1 your own and if we don't have enough factual information through that complaint we will send 2 you an actual complaint form. But all it takes 3 is just a signed letter. 4 MS. DONAHUE: There are some 5 6 procedural requirements in terms of filing a complaint with our office. It has to be in 7 writing. It has to be signed. It has to state 8

the last date of the discrimination.

Basically who, what, when, where and how. And we have that information on the back table. There's a pamphlet on how to file a complaint and our address.

MR. JENKINS: As you proceed through your investigative process with the school district, I would hope you would keep us informed as to the status of the investigation.

MS. BENNETT: Be happy to.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much.

At this time we're scheduled to take a 10-minute break. We're running about seven

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

minutes behind. We'll cut the break down to about five minutes and reconvene at 5:25.

(Recess)

DR. ROBINSON: Our next participant is Mr. Malcolm Barnett, Chief of Intake and Conciliation for Great Plains Fair Housing Enforcement Center, which is a division of HUD.

MR. BARNETT: Thank you for inviting us to come. I was asked to explain the complaint process, so that's what I'm going to focus on. I also had data on complaints from Greene County, which is where Springfield is located. I will just submit that to your staff for the record to save time.

What you wanted to know was how do we file a complaint or have a complaint filed.

The first thing to be aware of is that lots of things are concerns to people. They may even be circumstances in which people have been treated different, but they are not violations of the Fair Housing Act.

For example, if someone comes in

first to apply for a house and someone comes in second, and the landlord takes the first person who comes through the door, he has clearly treated the other person differently, but it is not a violation of the Act. If the landlord uses screening criteria, provided they are in and of themselves not discriminatory, and that results in someone being turned down, that is not a violation of the Act.

What is a violation of the Act is to treat people differently because of their race, their color, their national origin, their familial status, their sex, or the fact that they have a disability.

I think the only one that needs an explanation is familial status. That means having legal custody of a child under the age of 18. And it may be, if you have a 20-year-old child, that is not familial status according to the Fair Housing Act, even though we all may think they're still children and should be treated that way, all right.

What is it illegal to do? It's illegal to refuse to provide a reasonable accommodation for a handicapped person. example, if a person is in a wheelchair and the property does not have a ramp, the tenant must be allowed to put a ramp in at the tenant's own expense. There are complicating factors to that; we won't go into that now.

after March of 1991 to have constructed multi-family housing that is not adaptable to the needs of the mobility impaired. That means after that date, if somebody built a house that could not quickly and easily be made accessible, then that was a violation of the statute and is actionable.

Now, what kinds of actions are illegal? It's illegal to refuse on any of these bases we've listed to sell to someone.

It's illegal to refuse to rent to someone or to negotiate for rent. It's illegal to impose different terms and conditions on someone.

That is to say, if you evict someone forcausing noise, you have to evict everybody for
causing noise, especially if you evict
minorities who cause noise but not, for
example, whites that cause noise.

It is illegal to discriminate in the provision of either mortgages or home insurance. If someone doesn't get a mortgage because they are a member of one of the groups we've listed, that is a violation of the Act.

If someone does not get homeowner's insurance because they live in an area that is redlined because of the character of racial or ethnic or something characteristic of the neighborhood, that is a violation of the Act.

It is illegal to harass people who want to live in the neighborhood. The classic is, of course, cross burning, but there are all kinds of other things that might constitute harassment, and they are violations of the Fair Housing Act.

Finally, it is illegal for a

municipality to use its zoning or codes enforcement procedures to treat people differently because of their race, their color, because the property is to be used by the handicapped.

Now, suppose you know of such a situation, and even more important, suppose you are the victim of such a situation. What do you do? The first step is obviously to file a formal complaint with either my department, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, or in this area the Missouri Commission on Human Rights.

When you call or write, we will probably want some additional information.

Usually it comes in to my office within 20 days of your having contacted us. We will gather from you and sometimes from other people any information we need to understand what the complaint is all about and who the proper parties are.

For example, someone applying to rent

a property usually doesn't know who actually owns the property. We will find that out for them. Somebody knows that they talked to "Joe," but they have no idea who Joe is. If we at least have a reasonable description of Joe, we will find out who Joe is so that they can be named in the complaint.

Once that's done, once we determine we have jurisdiction, that is to say this isn't simply a landlord-tenant squabble or this isn't simply a squabble between two tenants because they just don't like each other, we will then assign the case to an investigator. That investigator will usually finish their work within 73 days of the time we first got the complaint. So they have about 50 days to do their job.

Within about 90 days there will be a decision as to whether the case is a cause case; that is, we believe there was a violation of the Act, or there wasn't. Within about 100 days we will have notified the parties that

there was cause or there wasn't cause.

If we decide that the Act was violated, we will then represent the complainant. We will provide legal assistance in one of two ways: If both parties agree to go to what is called an administrative law judge, who is an employee of the department, a lawyer from the department will represent the complainant and the department in the hearing.

If, as is the right of both parties, either party can elect to go to Federal

District Court, we will then refer the matter to the U.S. Attorney, who will then assign an attorney to represent both the department and the complainant before the Federal District Court judge and a jury if one is necessary.

Now, what happens if, after all this, the judges declare there was discrimination?

If this is done before the administrative law judge, then the civil penalties for the person or the organization that discriminated are \$10,000 for the first offense, \$25,000 for the

second offense, \$50,000 for the third offense or more.

If the trial is before the administrative law judge, the complainant will only be able to get actual damages; that is to say that they may get damages because it cost them more money to find another apartment, they may get damages for pain and suffering, they had severe emotional trauma, their kids had emotional trauma, whatever their actual damages are.

If, however, the case is tried by

Federal District Court, then punitive damages

are possible, and the Court will assess damages

based -- sufficient to punish the respondent

for whatever it is he's alleged to have done.

Now, in addition to the Fair Housing
Act, which is the most popular and the best
known, there are other civil rights statutes.

Most important is Section 504 of the
Rehabilitation Act, which requires that
handicapped or disabled persons be given

reasonable accommodations for equal access in

Federally funded facilities. This means for us

primarily facilities owned by a public housing

authority or facilities for which we have

provided a below-market-rate mortgage.

In addition, the Americans With
Disabilities Act, whose housing sections we
also administer, provides that the same rights
must be given to persons in both the public and
the private sector and also requires that
public accommodations be accessible. So for
example, if a building has both apartments and
a grocery store on the ground level, we are
required to ensure that the grocery store is
accessible.

There are other statutes under which people may also complain. One is Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act.

This provides that you may not discriminate on any of the bases I listed at the start in community development block grant programs.

Now, Springfield HUD gets a fair amount of

community development block grant programs, so activities that are funded under those programs are fairly widely dispersed throughout the community and must be open and equally accessible to all.

There is the Age Discrimination Act which prohibits discrimination based on age.

This is not like employment. This is because you're too young or because you're too old or anywhere in between in Federally funded housing programs, only Federally funded. So that's primarily housing authorities or something where we have a subsidized mortgage.

Finally, there is Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, which is a little bit different from all of these. This requires that any recipient of Federal funds make an effort to employ or use as contractors persons who are lower-income from the area of the project. For example, a public housing authority has a duty first to try to use its own tenants as contractors or as

1	employees whenever possible before it goes
2	outside.
3	Now, where do you call? If you want
4	to call us directly, you can call us at
5	1-800-743-5323. If you want to call the
6	Missouri Commission on Human Rights, which is
7	almost the same as calling us because they will
8	file the complaint with us, you call them at
9	area code (573) 751-3325.
10	Are there any questions?
11	DR. ROBINSON: Any questions? Very
12	good, you've covered all of the areas.
13	MR. BARNETT: Thank you.
14	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Malcolm.
15	MR. BARNETT: If anyone wants to file
16	a complaint, I'm here.
17	(Laughter)
18	MR. JENKINS: Thank you, Malcolm.
19	DR. ROBINSON: Mr. Barnett will take
20	your complaint back there. We'll set up a
21	table.

Our next participant is Mr. Carl

Fricks, the enforcement manager of the U.S. 1 2 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission of St. Louis, Missouri. Mr. Fricks. 3 MR. FRICKS: Dr. Robinson, thank you. 4 5 We're glad to be here today. accompanied by Robert Royal, who is one of the 6 supervisory trial attorneys in our office in 8 St. Louis. EEOC is charged with enforcing the 9 employment discrimination laws that are 10 11 existent in our area here. There are four that 12 we have jurisdiction over: It's Title VII, the 13 Civil Rights Act, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, the Americans With Disabilities 14

Act, and the Equal Pay Act.

I wanted to present some information about, one, how do you get into our system, and two, what kind of workload exists that our office deals with?

You basically get into the system the same way that you've heard several other

Federal people talk to you about. One, call

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

our office, area code (314) 539-7800. You'll be put in a voice mail system that will ask you for name, address, and we'll send you a charge inquiry form for you to fill out and return to us.

That will go to an investigator who will contact you, usually within the next 21 days, to secure additional information and draft a charge of discrimination that you would sign and then EEOC would process as a part of the investigation. You can also use the services of the Missouri Commission on Human Rights, and you can also use the Mayor's Commission on Civil Rights here in Springfield as a source to come to to make that same kind of complaint.

As I said, we investigate the four major statutes that relate to employment discrimination. So far this year we've had about 8,000 calls to our office alone asking for information about the complaint process. We have had about 4,000 responses back from

members of the public who have said, "I have an employment problem that you need to talk to me about." Of the 4,000 inquiries that we receive back, we've docketed around 1,500 this year so far. Our year ends at the end of September, of course. We've docketed about 1,500 of those things this year so far.

I did not break out Greene County, I did not break out southwest Missouri, because partly we deal with part of southwest Missouri out of St. Louis; the Kansas City office deals with other parts of southwest Missouri from Greene County on west and south of here.

But their experience is very similar to ours. They have something like between 5,000 and 6,000 charges, inquiries that have come to them, and they're going to end up with about 1,400, 1,500 charges that they will investigate this year also.

Our process now involves a reasonable cause standard that is there is more likely than not that a violation exists. And it cuts

both ways. If we go that way and find a violation, we only have to have a reasonable belief that a violation exists. We also only need a reasonable belief that a violation does not exist to stop processing.

The reason that we got to this point, one, is basically funding. For the last five years Congress has told us that our agency is going to get smaller because they've given us no more money. Now, when we get no more money from the legislative side of the House, we end up losing people. As we lose people, we lose the ability to investigate every charge and every complaint that would come to the EEOC to the fullest extent possible.

Our commission looked at this and said, "You have to start deciding early on which complaints have merit and which ones do not have merit and stop processing those that do not have merit as soon as you can do that."

Part of the reason for getting to that point was that nationwide they were

looking at in excess of 100,000 complaints in the system, 100,000 charges in the system.

There were 22 districts that handled that. We were running as much as two years behind a complaint being filed and an investigation being completed.

Our goal presently is to move through the complaint process much faster. In fact, we're looking to the process of getting reasonable cause charges through the investigation in less than 270 days, and we're making some real strides in doing that. Our inventories for the individual investigators are much more in line with what's necessary to be able to allow an investigator to, one, pick up the charge, go to the employer, get the information, get back and make that quick decision.

As these things play out, though, it becomes increasingly difficult with the community to make sure that they have an understanding of why we're making our decision

as quickly as we are and how we're doing that.

We've asked our investigators to do this and

we're able to ask them to do this because we

have a great number of long-term persons. Many

of our investigators have 20 years' experience

with the Commission in dealing with civil

rights investigations in employment.

They know what is going to lead to a violation. They're able to look at a fact situation and say, "I've seen this before, I've worked with this employer before, and I know what's going to happen with this charge." And they're able to tell the community much sooner, "Look, we're out of this. You need to take this process somewhere else."

As a closing note, one of the final things that we're trying to do is an alternate dispute resolution that will allow the parties to go to a neutral and request their help in resolving their problem. We think that that will probably move much faster, and we're hoping that persons who come to us and get

involved in that process are resolved out within 90 days with a satisfactory resolution for both parties involved.

We've asked both the complaining party and the company to stand behind that and take some financial risk in getting involved in that.

The neutrals are not free, the processing is not free, and we have to ask that they do contribute somewhat financially to getting the process done.

I'm going to let Robert talk about specifics about the four laws, the remedy and particular cases that may be important. I'll be glad to address any questions about our administrative processing as we finish up here.

DR. ROBINSON: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Royal? Mr. Royal is a supervising trial attorney with the EEOC.

MR. ROYAL: Good afternoon. Just a few things about the legal unit and the compliance units. Of course they have to work

hand in glove to make our operation work.

Right now we have approximately 12 employees in our legal unit. There are two supervisors, a regional attorney, myself, the supervising trial attorney, and five trial attorneys. We likewise have four paralegals and one legal

It's a good staff. It's a tenured staff. The trial attorneys have been there on average between 15 and 20 years. We got a chance this past month to hire our first attorney in 10 years, so they've been there for quite a while.

## (Laughter)

MR. ROYAL: Good experience. Again, you have the trial attorneys, between 15 and 20 years' experience.

We don't get involved in the process that every time an individual files a charge.

I wish we could. We can't; we're understaffed, "we" being the legal unit. We're fortunate enough to go ahead and see all of the charges

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

technician.

that are B charges that are charges that might have some potential, we're not sure.

In A charges, if somebody thinks,

"Yeah, this has litigation potential," then we
can meet with that trial attorney, regional
attorney and the attorney of the week with top
management to review these charges and decide
if we want to make a charge an A charge.

attorney is assigned to it. At that point in time, that attorney is responsible for a host of things: making sure the employer complies with requests for information. If it does not, here's your subpoena. If they refuse to comply with the subpoena, or better yet if they respond by requesting the subpoena be revoked or modified, we draft a determination for the director's signature saying we won't modify, revoke. Produce.

And of course, if they still don't produce, we can go to Federal Court and have a judge enforce, judicially enforce this

administrative subpoena. Not bad.

2.1

The more important thing is when information finally comes in in that A case, we confer with investigators to what the cause is to determine whether or not this case is as strong as they thought it was or going the other direction, out the door. We can tell the investigators, "Look, you need more information of this type time, more witness interviews, more documents."

At some point in time if the process goes right, a light goes off. The investigator says, "I've got a winner," and we more or less have (inaudible), the final say in saying do we agree. The director actually has the final say, but it comes to legal and the trial attorney will do a review to say is it a cause case. And if he says yes, it still comes to me and I'll concur. And then it goes to the regional attorney, he will concur, and then finally the director can go contrary to our concurrences.

At that point in time you've got a cause case. And should that case fail conciliation, we've got some fun things we like to do, and that's litigate. In the old days everything you had to litigate, you had to go to headquarters to ask for permission, write a good P.M., a presentation memo, saying, "Please allow us to litigate."

Things have changed. Now we have authorization to file lawsuits locally for certain types of lawsuits. Title VII lawsuits, you can file those locally, unless of course they're class actions. That's a novel issue and may cost \$100,000 or more to litigate.

Then we have to go to headquarters, either OGC, the office of general counsel or to the commission to get approval. But if it's one of these run-of-the-mill, we can file quickly, and we do file.

Of course, ADA cases are more novel.

The commissioner wants to see all of these; OGC.

wants to see all of the ADA cases -- excuse me,

the disability cases. So those go to headquarters and the commissioner says, "Allow us to litigate." ADEAH cases, we can file those locally, unless of course it's a novel issue, \$100,000 or more to litigate.

So we have to file a lot more lawsuits now a lot quicker than what has gone before. That's just the process to sue.

From a caseload standpoint, it's presently a somewhat interesting mix. We've got a major -- we've got a white, it looks like, suing McDonnell Douglas. We've got a major class action against McDonnell Douglas in an age case, age 55 and older, we've got two smaller age cases -- excuse me, one age case and a sex/race case against them.

We likewise intervened in a nationwide class action, a (inaudible) case for blacks. We have a black national origin case against Wal-Mart. Of course we have just filed recently -- and some of these will be our first ADA disability action -- against an outfit

called Positive Resources, and we have our share of sexual harassment and sex cases. So it's a good mix of lawsuits that we pursue.

And once we file a lawsuit, there's that typical kind of lawyer thing where you engage in discovery, you send out interrogatories, request production, conduct depositions, file motions, and if you force it, you try it. And we've been successful at least in resolving cases of an insubstantial amount of money in the past six or seven years (inaudible). We're really glad about that.

Unfortunately, as I look back in my memory I thought, "Gee, I've been in this office for 10 years. I can only recall two cases that brought us down to Springfield."

One was with a poultry company which I won't name, I could; and a second was against another outfit, a small outfit, and both were sex cases. Those were resolved successfully. We got the money, we got the reinstatement.

But there's that sense that we can

1.7

and should do more in this part of the world.

That's part of the reason we're here, of

3 | course. One of the things I would like to do,

4 and I had referenced initially, is that someone

5 mentioned with the NAACP, to go over some of

6 the complaints they've got from, I think,

7 | education. I would sure be willing to talk

8 | with the NAACP, who has called us, talked with

9 us recently, about their concerns and questions

10 and spend as much as time as they would like to

11 | speak with me. And if that means staying again

tomorrow, we'll be here tomorrow.

13 That is essentially our presentation.

14 We can talk about damages, another small

15 | comment -- and we enjoy this, too, as lawyers,

16 I guess. But from a technical standpoint, it

17 | makes a difference. We can now seek things

18 | like compensatory damages, (inaudible) like

19 your pain and your suffering, your humiliation,

your loss of self-esteem. And there's another

21 | thing called punitive damages to punish the

22 | company for its bad habits.

And that has made our job, in terms of an enforcement standpoint, a lot more interesting. Because we now have jury trials for virtually everything, whereas before it was just (inaudible) age cases and the (inaudible). You can do that. And now we find ourselves in a jury situation virtually every time we file a lawsuit, with the potential, except for these (inaudible) cases and ADA cases, for substantial compensatory damage awards and punitive damage awards. It does make our life more interesting; of course I think it makes an impact on the community at large.

Thank you. I'm sorry, any questions?

Thank you. I'm sorry, any questions?

I'm sorry, please, ask it.

DR. ROBINSON: I have one question.

Must a person exhaust state remedies before
pursuing a remedy with your office?

MR. ROYAL: You can do it, file with the NCHR or EEOC. The important thing about filing is to file a timely charge, within 300 days of the alleged act or your notice of the

2.2

act. And you should probably monitor the process more carefully.

There are, like in other statutes, ways to total that, but they're not easily shown if you file a timely charge 30 days from the date of the alleged act. If you do that, we conduct that administrative investigation.

As Carl indicated, it may take another month.

Actually, it took a whole month before.

They're a lot quicker now, but at a price.

Those things we call C charges are revolving door charges. They've got the door open at the very beginning and we're stuck with -- not stuck, left with the B charges (inaudible) the potential, the A charges we forget about. We investigate those until either the B's become A's or C's, or the A's become lawsuits or they settle them.

Again, you have to exhaust the administrative remedies pretty well, file a timely charge allowing us to investigate. And of course, you can request a right to sue after

180 days, but -- in certain places. Or in the case of age, it's not quite as complicated.

The point is this.

DR. ROBINSON: What's an average timeline from the point of filing to final resolution?

MR. ROYAL: Carl as the enforcement manager is the expert on that. Carl, your best guess?

MR. FRICKS: The average staff age right now is somewhat under 180 days. That means that the charges in the hands of investigators are around 180 days old. Office age is a little older than that because there is some built-in time getting them processed into the system, and the jurisdictional base starts counting from the time that they hit the door. But it's around 180 days.

Many of our -- and part of that problem is it's compounded by the necessity to expend more time and effort on cases that we're going to do litigation on. We run up time on

those in a hurry, and it's not at all unusual to have a charge over a year old before it gets in the hands of the attorney.

The rest of the stuff that we're able to resolve administratively, we're pushing it down around 120, 130 days right now. So we're beginning to move some stuff through fairly rapidly.

MR. ROYAL: Having legal involvement is both a blessing and a curse. The blessing is you've got the attorneys working with you to provide you advice and counsel. Sometimes the attorneys tend to be less quiet. Some of them are more demanding than the investigator might be, but that means we're in the process then. We realize we have to litigate that case before a Federal judge should it fail conciliation. So we probably have to do more compliance sometimes than we would like to do.

MS. THOMPSON: Could you help me remember: Do you all handle employment discrimination concerns against the Federal

1	agencies?
2	MR. FRICKS: Yes. We handle the
3	hearing aspect of it. The agencies handle the
4	internal investigation leading up to the
5	finding, and then if it is unacceptable to the
6	party, it goes to the hearing examiners in the
7	office.
8	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
9	DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions?
10	MS. BOTELLO: Your closest office is
11	where?
12	MR. FRICKS: To here, it's Kansas
13	City.
14	MR. ROYAL: I think Springfield falls
15	in the St. Louis district. As odd as that may
16	seem, if it's discriminatory, it comes to
17 ·	St. Louis.
18	MS. BOTELLO: So anyone in
19	Springfield would have to call St. Louis to
20	make a complaint or go through the Missouri
21	Commission on Human Rights?

MR. ROYAL: MCHR, they can sure do

1 that.

2.0

MS. BOTELLO: Are there plans for an 800 number?

MR. ROYAL: Do we have an 800 number?

MR. FRICKS: There is an 800 number,

but it routes through Washington and finally

falls back to us here somehow.

## (Laughter)

MR. ROYAL: That number Carl gave you, if it's your wish to talk to an attorney about a (inaudible) matter, we won't give free legal advice but at least direct you to certain areas. It's the same number for talking about a charge, and that's (314) 539-7800.

MS. BOTELLO: I was just concerned that there would be an 800 number that would make it easier for people to call.

MR. ROYAL: I sure wish there was, and Carl is right, it does go through D.C.

MR. FRICKS: And we do offer, you know, call collect. You know, if there's a real problem, call collect. The office will

	158
1	accept a collect call and get a number and
2	we'll call back. We think we do a good job of
3	getting calls returned.
4	MR. ROYAL: We surely try.
5	MS. BOTELLO: Thanks.
6	DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions at
7	all?
8	MR. ROYAL: Thank you. Appreciate
9	your time.
10	DR. ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr. Royal
11	and Mr. Fricks.
12	Our next participant is Ms. Donna
13	Covette, who is the director of compliance and
14	special projects for the Missouri Human Rights
15	Commission. She will be substituting for
16	Mr. Steve Skolnick.
17	MS. COVETTE: Good evening.
18	PARTICIPANTS: Good evening.
19	MS. COVETTE: Oh, I appreciate that
20	greeting. I drive a long way to hear that.
21	As I was introduced, my name is Donna

Covette, and I'm director of compliance and

special projects with the Missouri Commission on Human Rights.

I'm so pleased to have this
opportunity to visit with all of you about the
work of the Missouri Commission on Human Rights
and quite honored to have one of our
commissioners with us in the audience.

Ms. Alise Crane is from the Fourth District,
Ozark, Missouri. Alise is sitting right back
there, and I'll give her an opportunity later
if she would like to have some comments. This
is one of the issues, one of the areas that she
handles in her district.

Well, for 24 years of my life, the Missouri Commission on Human Rights has been not just a job for me, but a personal commitment to the citizens of the State of Missouri. Myself and the 47 staff and 10 commissioners take our roles very seriously, that we are one of the only last strongholds in the State of Missouri, or one of few, that has a responsibility to protect the rights of the

1.7

citizens of the State of Missouri.

Now, a lot of people may think that our law covers just black people and women and people with disabilities. But the law says that any person who believes they have been discriminated against has a right to file a discrimination complaint. Not just any woman, not just any black person, not just any person because they're Hispanic or other ethnic origin. And when we say this, we truly mean that. We truly mean that the law is not just for some people, it's for everybody.

The law is administered in the areas of employment, public accommodations and housing. How many of you are children in the audience? I saw one of the children raise his hand. You are a child.

## (Laughter)

MS. COVETTE: The housing portion of the law covers families with children. And I hope that you can see by this explanation that race, color, religion, national origin,

ancestry, sex, handicap, age for those of us who are over 40 but under 70 in employment, and familial status in housing. And from this broad definition I hope that you will believe, as I do, that this law is a law for everyone.

Now, I want to digress a little bit.

I don't know how many of you have teenagers. I have an 18-year-old that just graduated from Jefferson City High School. I'm very pleased about that. And you know, one of the things about teenagers is they love to argue with their parents. How many of you can attest to that? And so he calmly says things to me like, "Mom, you don't know what you're talking about," "Get real, that was in the olden days."

And one of the biggest arguments that we ever had was the fact that he considered that I wasn't a teacher. And he argued with me and he said, "Mom, you're not a teacher because you don't go to a room full of people and stand up and teach them anything. You work with the Commission on Human Rights. You're not a

162 teacher." And so every chance that I get to 1 prove my teenager wrong, I take that 2 opportunity. So today, class, I am a teacher. 3 4 (Laughter) MS. COVETTE: And one of the tools 5 that teachers like to use in determining 6 whether or not their students have a particular 7 knowledge base is to give them a simple 8 9 document. I think some of you may know what 10 I'm talking about. It's a four-letter word, begins with a T and ends with a T. Anybody 11 want to hazard a guess? 12 13 MR. JENKINS: A test. MS. COVETTE: 14 A test. Thank you very And I'm a firm believer in interactive 15 much. 16 learning, so I have a short test-your-knowledge about discrimination. So pull out your pencils 17 18 and if you don't have one --19 MR. JENKINS: Excuse me, excuse me. 20 This is a formal hearing that you direct to us. 21 MS. COVETTE: Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't 22 know --

This is not MR. JENKINS: 1 participatory by the audience. This is a 2 formal setting --3 MS. COVETTE: Okay. 4 5 MR. JENKINS: -- that you make your presentation to us. Thank you. 6 MS. COVETTE: All right, I'm sorry. 7 Well, you all won't get a test. Thank you very 8 much. Well, let me tell you then about the 9 Missouri Commission on Human Rights, and then 10 perhaps you can do your own individual test. 11 The State of Missouri has had a law 12 that has covered discrimination. We celebrate 13 14 our 40th anniversary this year. And for 40 years we've had a various amount of 15 16 responsibility. As I said, we cover employment discrimination. We do investigate complaints 17 of employment discrimination against any 18 19 Missouri employer with at least six full- or 20 part-time employees. We're different from the 21 EEOC; their jurisdiction is 15 employees.

We also investigate any place that is

a place of public accommodations that offers goods or services, and the law says "for the peace and comfort and safety and welfare of the general public." So that includes every -- almost every facility. We also investigate complaints against any dwelling in the State of Missouri. That includes mobile homes, apartment complexes, all types of sale or rental practices. Those are covered in the housing portion of the law.

We have, as I said, 48 staff persons. They're located in four areas of the state. We have an office in Jefferson City which is our central office; we have an office in Kansas City which covers the entire western part of the state; we have an office in St. Louis, Missouri which investigates cases all the way up to the Missouri line there on the eastern part of the state and down to the area of the boot heel. We also have an office in Sikeston, Missouri. That office investigates the quote, unquote "boot heel" area of the state.

Our staff is made up of, of course, support services. We wouldn't be able to do our business without support services. We also have investigators who are titled our human rights officers, and they actually do the actual physical investigation, they collect the facts. We are a fact-finding agency. That's our primary responsibility, is to gather the facts. We don't act as advocates for either party in that initial stage.

The investigators are assigned a case for the investigation. They gather the information, compile it in a report, and that report is then submitted to the executive director of the agency who makes a determination as to whether or not an alleged act of discrimination has occurred.

If the executive director finds that there is no reason to credit the allegations of the complaint, the case is dismissed. And as is any other final decision of the agency, it is appealable through the regular civil court

proceedings, the Circuit Court, the Court of Appeals, and finally the final venue is the Missouri Supreme Court.

If the executive director does
believe that there is reason to credit the
allegations of the complaint, he will then
issue what is called a finding of probable
cause. That's an official document notifying
the parties that there has been reason to
believe a violation of the Act has occurred.

From that point the statutes require us to enter into a stage that is titled

Conference, Conciliation and Persuasion. That is the formal settlement stage of the process, although we do have settlement that can be ongoing throughout the investigative process.

In conciliation, the parties are given an opportunity to try to equitably resolve the issues of the complaint. If they are successful, it is reflected in the form of a written document for all of the parties to sign and the matter is closed, quietly and

confidentially and in agreement of the parties.

If, however, that process proves to be not successful and the conciliation fails, the case moves to the next stage, which is a possible public hearing. I use the word "possible" because there's not a guarantee that a case will go to a hearing. This is a decision that's rendered by the Commission through its chairperson.

A little bit about the Commission and how it's made up: We have 11 commissioners who are appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate. They actually set policy and procedure for the agency, and they also make final determinations as to whether or not discrimination did or did not occur. As I mentioned, Alise is one of those commissioners.

If the case isn't set for a hearing, then it's formally dismissed, and again, the appeal process can then start. If the Commission deems that the case should go forward to our formal hearing process, then

there will be an actual public hearing where the parties have an opportunity to, as I like to say, to have their day in court. And they have an opportunity to present their evidence before a trier of fact, and the determination is then made by the Commission as to whether or not discrimination did or did not occur.

That's our official process of the agency. We have some other new things on the horizon. We have what we call an early resolution process that allows parties very early in the investigative process to try to resolve their complaints. We've had great success with that particular avenue.

We are investigating the possibility of adding mediation as a formal process in our process in, again, an attempt to try to help the parties reach equitable settlement. We find that, of course, settlement is always the best way and is truly a win-win type of situation.

The Commission has work-sharing

1.7

relationships with two Federal agencies: the

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the

Department of Housing and Urban Development.

In those work-sharing relationships a person

that files with us can also dually file their

complaint with either the EEOC if it's an

employment case or with HUD if it's a housing

case. In the dual file relationship, we

actually have what we call a joint inventory.

But the real beauty of the work-sharing relationship is that it avoids the duplication of effort. It avoids the possibility of someone who has the right to exercise their right to file a Federal complaint and a state charge, and sometimes, as Kathy will attest to, a local charge, and then have all three of us knocking on their door asking the same set of questions. This avoids that particular kind of dynamic, because one or the other agency will determine who is going to conduct the investigation, and then the other agency, we share our investigative finding with

them.

We've had our work-sharing relationship with EEOC since about 1978, with HUD since about 1988, and I can attest to the fact that it does allow all of us with our limited resources to be able to essentially handle the work as best that we can.

A few years ago, actually a couple of years ago, the Governor of the State of Missouri with the cooperation of the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations started a special project called the Case Reduction Project. The purpose of that project was to reduce the increasingly large inventory of backlog cases with our agency. We had a particular dynamic, very much common in our industry, where we had more work than we had the ability to be able to do in a timely fashion.

The Case Reduction Project was started in April of 1995 and concluded in June of 1997, and during that project we were able

to reduce our internal inventory by
approximately 1,070 cases. What that did was
allow us to actually contract with other warm
bodies who were not staff people. And in doing
that we actually gave them cases to
investigate.

So they became investigators, but they weren't staff persons. Most of them were attorneys; we did have a couple of private investigating firms. They did a competitive bid process with the state and were able to move those cases through our system in a much quicker fashion than we had been able to do.

With the advent of the Case Reduction

Project, our inventory is now what we consider

controllable. We have investigators who can

quickly be assigned the investigation. Their

average processing time is about 120 days now,

because we had always believed that if we could

get the cases in the hands of the investigators

we would be able to move the cases faster.

Prior to the Case Reduction Project we weren't

2.1

able to get those cases to those people, and in actuality, some of them had been sitting in an inventory, an unassigned inventory for over a year.

so the Case Reduction Project did put us in a better light. It was fully supported and funded by Missouri State Government, and I consider it was a very helpful addition to what we commonly do. The project did end on June 30, and we're just hoping that we can do some internal things, very much like EEOC is doing, looking at cases and rating them in somewhat of a fashion similar to what they do. A lot of us in the industry kind of piggyback on what we see as successes in one place.

We'll say, "It worked over there, we can probably modify that kind of process and work with the State of Missouri."

Also, the Commission is very much committed to public education and outreach effort. The 10 commissioners that we currently have have made a personal commitment to broaden

1	173 the effect and appeal of the type of work that
2	we do, and we're very much into the preventive
3	mode at the point in our evolution. And the
4	Commission will be doing some things in the
5	state, some public forums and seminars, in an
6	attempt to broaden its overall effect.
7	That concludes my formal
8	presentation. Do you have any questions?
9	DR. ROBINSON: Can I have one of your
10	tests?
11	MS. COVETTE: I could have given you
12	all the test.
13	DR. ROBINSON: Just to have to check
14	my knowledge at a better point in time.
15	Any questions?
16	MS. BOTELLO: I want a test.
17	MR. HERNANDEZ: Which is the quickest
18	way for a person in the Springfield area to get
19	in touch with you in terms of filing or
20	MS. COVETTE: We have a toll-free
21	number that is and I've brought some
22	brochures and information that's on our

	174
1	brochures. Our toll-free number is
2	1-800-877-MCHR. That will get you to for
3	purposes of filing complaints, as I said, our
4	Kansas City office is responsible for
5	investigating cases.
6	Also you may go to the Mayor's
7	Commission here in Springfield and they can put
8	you in touch with us or assist you in
9	completing a complaint and get it filed in our
10	system. Any other questions?
11	DR. ROBINSON: Any other questions or
12	comments? Thank you, Ms. Covette.
13	MS. COVETTE: Thank you.
14	DR. ROBINSON: We need the answers,
15	also. I'm already stumped on a couple of them.
16	Okay. Our next participant is
17	Ms. Kathleen Clancy, executive director of the
18	Mayor's Commission on Human Rights and
19	Community Relations here in Springfield,
20	Missouri.
21	MS. CLANCY: On behalf of the local

Commission, I welcome you all to Springfield,

and I hope while you're here you might get to do a few fun things.

The local Commission was created in 1964 under City Ordinance 18A. We're a neutral agency just as the Missouri Commission on Human Rights and the EEOC are, and we work very closely with those organizations.

The jurisdiction is the City of Springfield only. The Commission has no authority to file any complaints for any employees of the City of Springfield. We have no authority over any state agencies or county agencies. Any employees from those agencies would have to go to the Missouri Commission or the EEOC. Any complaints outside of where the employer is located or the landlord is located outside of city limits, whether it came from Republic or Stratford or Nixa or some other small community, would go to the Missouri Commission or to the EEOC.

But I do help, our office does help. We take phone calls from those people, and we

do help them. Give them the phone numbers, if they want to come in and talk to us, we have the questionnaires there for them to complete and get sent off to the right addresses so they get where they're supposed to go.

Our purpose, according to the city code, is to foster mutual understanding and respect among all racial, religious and ethnic groups and agencies, to discourage and prevent discrimination against any group, to cooperate with other agencies having like functions, make investigations and studies in any field of human relations as well as effectuating its general purpose.

The areas that we cover are employment, housing and public accommodation. The categories are the same as the Missouri Commission and the EEOC. We cover age -- our age protects only in employment and only from the age of 40 to 64 -- race, religion, national origin, sex, disability, and in housing, the familial status. That was recently added to

our city ordinance.

The Commission itself is made up of 15 individuals. Up until November of 1996 it was made up of nine commissioners. In November of 1996 the citizens of Springfield voted in an ordinance, and it passed, to change our charter so that we could increase the commission number to 15.

The commissioners, we maintain a balance of the male/female. We also look for representation from the black community, the Hispanic community, from the Asian community, the American Indian community. They serve a term of three years. The Mayor is who appoints our commissioners. He will work with the chairperson of our Commission to select individuals from applications that are submitted to the City Clerk's office, but he will make the decision and he will do the swearing in.

We have the power to investigate, make findings and conciliate. Prior to 1990 we

had the power to hold public hearings, but
there was a Missouri Supreme Court case where
the Missouri Supreme Court, it was out of
Springfield, and they found that local agencies
do not have the power to make orders against
companies. So since 1990 we can make findings
that we can conciliate, and all of the
settlements that we reach are voluntary.

There are five local commissions in the State of Missouri. The Commission in Springfield is very different in that this Commission, it is the actual commissioners who do the investigation of these cases in Springfield.

The office staff is made up of myself and a secretary, and my purpose is to do the intake. I sit down, I talk with the people, I decide if we have a basis here that we can actually file the complaint, and if we have what we call a prima facie case in front of us and it goes, we submit the complaint, we send it to the company, we wait for their response,

and then I assign the case two of the commissioners.

The two commissioners will then meet with the complainant and they will meet with the respondent. They will collect any documents that they feel are necessary, they will speak to any witnesses that either party wishes them to speak to, and then they will make a finding.

If they make a probable cause finding, they will attempt some type of conciliation. In 1996 we collected \$20,800 for our complainants, and that doesn't count the number that were -- I think we have like four cases that we were able to settle before a finding and people kept their jobs.

If the case is a probable no cause, then the complainant also will file with the Missouri Commission and the EEOC. Now, we can't, we don't make the filing for the complainant. What we can do is supply them with the forms or the questionnaires, the

addresses, and tell them their time limits,

"You have 180 days or you have 300 days from

this point and you need to get this done." But

we cannot and we don't do that for them.

Work with us, they can stop working with us at any time during the investigation. Then of course we forward it on to the Missouri

Commission and to the EEOC. We've made it a habit to hold a case, but we'll work on the case, but if we see our 180-day limit is coming, we will send that letter out and say, "Complainant, you need to do this for your own protection."

There for a while, if we would file our cases and then have them file simultaneously or at the same time with the Missouri Commission or the EEOC, we were all coming in on the company at the same time, and it wasn't working out very well because we were the ones losing out. They don't have to work with us; they have to work with these other

1 guys.

## (Laughter)

MS. CLANCY: So what we have done now is that we will try to work out something with the company or the landlord at this level, but we also keep a tickler and make sure that nobody is going to miss their filing time with the EEOC or the Missouri Commission. And then there are people who would rather just file with those agencies, and I understand that.

Ninety-one percent of the cases we filed in 1996 involved employment, 8 percent were housing, 2 percent were public accommodation. Our sexual harassment and disability cases were on a slight decline; our racial cases increased by 4 percent over 1995.

The Commission is also (inaudible).

The second half of our title is community

relations, and that's a lot of what I do as the'

Director. I go out and talk to the schools,

make presentations to companies, do sexual

harassment training. There's a Black Expo

that's held in Springfield, we attend that.

Just whatever community functions or

Just whatever community functions or organizations we can get into we're into, to try to be a part of.

Do you have any questions?

MR. JENKINS: Let me focus on the community relations aspect. I understand your authority with respect to housing and employment and all that.

Mayor and the Superintendent about their vision concerning race relations in Springfield. If I were moving to Springfield as a black person, let's say working in the school district, and I came to your office to ask your fair assessment of race relations in Springfield, and I asked you what are some of the good things and what are some of the bad things and how you think I would be perceived and treated, take it from there and give me about a five-minute spiel.

MS. CLANCY: Okay. I think Springfield has started a lot of good things.

We have The Good Community, we have Vision 20/20, our school administration is working with the Black Ministerial Alliance. I mean, there have been all kinds -- the NAACP.

I think that there are people who are really trying. The Southwest Missouri State University has recently coordinated what's called the Coalition for Change in Springfield. They have brought together representatives from every educational facility here, our colleges, our junior college. They have brought representatives from the 20 top employers of Springfield.

And what they have found is that we may bring in professional minority people, and I'm talking primarily black individuals here. We may bring them in here. In fact, Evangel College noted that they had brought several professors to Springfield, but they can't get them to stay. They can't get them to stay because they suspect maybe they can't fit in socially, or professional organizations such as

the Rotary Club or Junior League or some type of organization like that. They have trouble fitting, finding a place that way.

And so that is what the Coalition has come together, to try to not just bring individuals here and give them a job, because really that's the small part -- everybody, the Police Department is looking for that, the school system is looking for that, our major employers are looking for that -- but building something that will keep them here.

I get two -- the chairperson of my
Commission was a black female that moved here
from Kansas City. I'm not sure how many years
ago she moved here, but it's recent, within
five years. When she moved here she had been a
J.C. Penney employee in Kansas City for several
years. She told me that she was told by the
black community not to apply at Penney's
because she probably wouldn't get a job there.
She got a job there. She's very successful.

You know, it's an attitude that we

have here that needs to be changed. It's very hard to change attitudes, very hard. And I think the school system is starting to address it by starting with our young children.

Because the kid hears it at school, but go home and Mommy and Daddy are saying something completely different. It's tough. But I think that they're trying.

And I also know from traveling around, going to conventions, going to seminars, that Springfield is not alone in this problem. This is a problem that is being faced by communities all around. But I think that there have been some real positive steps. I'm not saying that we don't have a long way to go, because we do. We have a long way to go. And it will only take cooperation from everybody to get to that point.

MR. JENKINS: If you could wave a magic wand and say, "These are the things that I want to change in reference to race relations in Springfield," what would be some of those

things?

MS. CLANCY: That I wouldn't get a phone call from a black male or a black female who has been at our mall and said that they felt like they were being picked out or singled out, followed by security; that there could be a black gathering in a public place and maybe there wouldn't be security guards milling around, more so than if it had been a white group.

If I could see -- I was at a meeting with the NAACP people where the Pipkin School was spoken about and they had writings, they had complaints that the children had actually written, and these were sixth-graders, and their punctuation and their grammar was worse than second grade. Those would be the things.

I mean, if I didn't have somebody come in my office and say, "I feel I've been discriminated against because of the color of my skin." I guess that puts me out of a job.

MR. JENKINS: That's what we're all

1	working for. Very good. Thank you.
2	MS. CLANCY: And I would like to
3	the Mayor, I think, made a mistake on his
4	figures. Our black population in Springfield
5	is 2.5 to 3 percent and our total of the rest
6	of the Hispanic, American Indian is
7	approximately 1 percent.
8	DR. ROBINSON: I think Capt. Smith
9	made the correction.
10	MS. CLANCY: Okay.
11	DR. ROBINSON: I don't think he knew
12	the Mayor said that, but I think
13	MS. BOTELLO: I have a question.
14	DR. ROBINSON: Kathleen, we haven't
15	finished.
16	MS. BOTELLO: Just percentage-wise, I
17	don't need numbers, your Commission, what is
18	the percentage of people? You say you have 15
19	members?
20	MS. CLANCY: Fifteen members.
21	MS. BOTELLO: And you said you try to
22	keep it fairly balanced, male and female. What

about minority representation?

1.6

MS. CLANCY: Right. We have a male and female black individual on the Commission, we have a female American Indian, we have a female from the Asian community -- is there a Hispanic? Oh, yes, we have a female from the Hispanic community. We also try to keep perhaps people on there who have owned businesses, because we're dealing with employers so much, that it brings another perspective to us. So I think that's pretty much it.

PARTICIPANT: One from the disabled community?

MS. CLANCY: Oh, yes, I'm sorry. One from the disabled, female. And I will have to say this, that these last six commissioners who were appointed just since November, that the Mayor and our current chairperson went out in the community actually trying to get people to apply who would fill those categories who would be from the different minority groups in

	109
1	Springfield. So that's the first time I've
2	seen that happen.
3	MS. BOTELLO: So what I hear, just
4	going by the numbers, it sounds like half are
5	minority or minority groups?
6	MS. CLANCY: I would say it's at
7	least I know the last six have been.
8	MS. BOTELLO: And the other half
9	would be Caucasian, male or female?
10	MS. CLANCY: Right.
11	DR. ROBINSON: Who makes the
12	appointments?
13	MS. CLANCY: The Mayor.
14	MR. JENKINS: What was the rationale
15	for going from nine to 15?
16	MS. CLANCY: Fifteen? Because of our
17	backlog. And there was several ways you could
18 .	go. The city could hire an investigator.
19	MR. JENKINS: Right.
20	MS. CLANCY: But that meant money, so
21	we couldn't do that. And the commissioners
22	actually enjoy being involved, and they're very

dedicated. This is all voluntary. They get no 1 pay for this, and it was their idea to raise 2 it, and the Mayor approved it, and it went 3 before the people of Springfield. So I felt 4 5 pretty good. 6 MR. JENKINS: I can tell you, volunteers are worth their weight in gold. 7 MS. CLANCY: Yes, they certainly are. 8 Any other questions? Thank you. 9 DR. ROBINSON: 10 Thank you. Our next participant is Ms. Lesia 11 12 Denney, executive director of READ here in 13 Springfield, Missouri. Did I pronounce your name correctly, your first name? 14 MS. DENNEY: Lesia. Responsible 15 16 Education And Discipline is a group of 17 parents --18 DR. ROBINSON: Could you speak up? 19 MS. DENNEY: Okay. Responsible 20 Education And Discipline was formed when a 21 group of parents were faced with many of the

same issues with the children attending R-12

schools. In October of 1996 I came to get involved. Parents had contacted me about problems they were facing in the middle school that my own child was attending.

One young lady had not attended class in three weeks. If she was late to class, she was not allowed to attend the remainder of that class; she would be sent to the office to visit the principal. On one particular day her wait was three hours. She was suspended for giggling in class. She was suspended for not having her P.E. shorts.

A biracial child attending Pipkin was suspended when another young man was running down the hall and tossed him a coat. The young man knew who the coat belonged to; he took it and placed it in his locker. During this time the young lady that the coat belonged to had reported it stolen. This young man was not aware of that. He was on his way to take the coat back when a note was handed to the assistant principal that the coat was in this

young man's locker. The young man was suspended.

The young gentleman that actually stole the coat, that tossed it to the young man, was never questioned. Never questioned. And the owner's parents, for this jacket, they offered a reward. The principal would not announce it on the P.A. system.

One young lady attending Pipkin had cigarettes in her purse. When confronted by the assistant principal she willingly handed him the cigarettes. He insisted that he had to search her purse. She said that was okay, but there was one pocket, a very small pocket on the purse that she asked it remain closed. The assistant principal asked her, "Do you have personal items in this pocket?" The young lady replied, "Yes."

The assistant principal and the security guard then dumped the entire contents of this young lady's purse in the hallway, okay. In the young lady's words, when they

picked up the tampons they chuckled. There were female counselors and a nurse in the building that could have easily searched that one particular pocket in that purse; instead, the young lady left crying.

An African American girl proudly displayed her artwork in the hallway where demoralizing words were written on the corner of her art work. The principal of Pipkin could do nothing. She didn't know who did it.

Somebody in that school maybe did it, yet another child leaves in tears from school.

My own son while attending Pipkin was called names and left with bruises by a teacher in the school. According to the principal in the December 14 issue of the News-Leader, she investigates all suspensions of 10 days or more and never loses any sleep or not over whether she is there. My son was suspended for 10 days for assaultive behavior to school personnel.

As he was picked up by the neck -- he weighs 80 pounds -- he was kicking his feet. He kicked

the teacher. Assaultive behavior to school personnel.

The teacher has never confronted me or my son, not even in the hearings that we went to to get my son back into school over why my child was left with bruises.

The principal of Pipkin called me in February to ask the name of one of the witnesses, one of the children that witnessed what happened on those stairs. I hung up on her. This all happened December 9, 1996; why was she calling me in February? My son had already been suspended.

According to the July 15, 1997 issue of the News-Leader, R-12 staff admitted that he did not completely and fully understand the definition of "assaultive behavior." So why was my child suspended for it?

In the same article, Dr. Harmon, principal, I'm sorry, attributes the high suspension rate at Pipkin to the Safe School Act, yet I received a letter from Desi that

clearly states the only reference to stricter penalties in the Safe School Act is to weapons.

My child did not have a weapon; neither did any of these others that were suspended.

Children physically and emotionally abused is, to the best of my knowledge, against the law, even in the school setting. Children with learning disabilities is a special concern with our group. My own son was diagnosed in second grade with a learning disability, at which time he was in an average 1.9 grade level. But now entering the seventh grade, he is at best on a third-grade level. His reading is only at a 2.1.

The IPs are written, the words look good on paper. Children are entering high school here that can barely read, and we wonder why Springfield's dropout rate is the highest in the state. R-12 staff members stated in a Kansas City radio interview that a learning disability was not accepted by the district as an excuse to misbehave. As parents of LD

children, we will not accept any excuse for not helping all of our children reach Goals 2000.

The attorney for the school has stated that no grievances have ever been filed with R-12. Well, actually I kind of have to agree with you, because anything that we parents file as a grievance is not accepted.

I personally filed a sexual harassment when my daughter was assigned one day of ISS at Central High School. I did not disagree with the punishment; she -- it was completely warranted. My complaint was with the room in which she sat. She sat in a bathroom in the basement. The toilet seats had been removed and desks were placed where the toilets once sat. On the walls where she sat were the words "Nigger suck big dick, fuck you, and C world."

I personally went in, I took pictures of these, and then I filed a grievance with R-12. It was not accepted. It did not fall under the guidelines.

At the time that this happened, in that particular school were five empty classrooms. Any one of those classrooms could have easily been used for an ISS room instead an old toilet.

In the June board meeting on page 8 of the agenda it states the grievance procedure. Contained is a statement that the grievance procedure is not applicable if the grievance is filed with any outside federal, state or local governmental unit or court. So they deny our grievances as such. We file outside the school, they aren't considered grievances. So they can again state no grievances have ever been filed.

Unlike some of the staff, I do lose sleep over the treatment of our children in school. These children are our own future.

Thank you.

DR. ROBINSON: Can you give us what READ again is?

MS. DENNEY: Responsible Education

1	And Discipline.
2	DR. ROBINSON: And Discipline.
3	MS. PERRY: I'm really stunned by
4	this. What I wondered, did this happen at the
5	same school or has this happened at maybe one
6	or two schools in this area?
7	MS. DENNEY: The ISS room was at a
8	separate high school here in town; the rest of
9	the incidents are all at one particular school.
10	MS. PERRY: At one school, then, the
11	other incidents at one school?
12	MS. DENNEY: Right.
13	MR. JENKINS: Have you had an
14	opportunity to meet with the superintendent and
15	discuss the concerns that you have related
16	here?
17	MS. DENNEY: We do, and when we file .
18	grievances going by what we can understand in
19	that grievance policy they say effectively,
20	"No, that is not a grievance."
21	MR. JENKINS: Okay. Regardless of
22	whether it's a grievance, it's a major concern.

And are you looking for a mechanism, maybe 7 outside the grievance mechanism or an informal 2 3 mechanism that has been made available to you, in your view, to resolve this matter? 4 5 MS. DENNEY: No. MR. JENKINS: Has the superintendent 6 offered to meet with you concerning your 7 8 concerns? MS. DENNEY: Never. 9 MR. JENKINS: Has a member of his 10 11 staff met with you concerning this? 12 MS. DENNEY: The only one that has 13 ever met with me is Kim Finch and I initiated She is the head of secondary schools, 14 15 middle schools here in town, and that was 16 because I was pulling my son out. 17 MR. JENKINS: Okay. You indicated 18 some complaints coming from African American 19 kids. 20 MS. DENNEY: Right. 21 MR. JENKINS: Have the parents of

those kids, those children filed a complaint

	200
1	with the Office for Civil Rights Department of
2	Education?
3	MS. DENNEY: Yes.
4	MR. JENKINS: And this is in the
5	process of being reviewed now; am I correct?
6	MS. DENNEY: Now, on the one with the
7	coat incident, okay, when it was investigated
8	and the vice principal of the school gave his
9	side of the his statement, it seemed as if
10	that was all that was taken, you know. So it
11	was kind of dismissed. There were no
12	statements taken from the children to see what
13	happened.
14	MR. JENKINS: With respect to the
15	grievances that you've mentioned, what would
16	you like done?
17	MS. DENNEY: I want them to listen to
18	us.
19	MR. JENKINS: "Them" meaning?
20	MS. DENNEY: The school. We've been
21	referred to as parents of troublemakers,
22	parents of troubled kids. There's too many of

us that have had the same problems. If they 1 2 would just be willing to sit down and say, 3 "Okay. Possibly this did happen, you know, maybe this did happen. What can we do to 4 resolve it?" 5 6 These kids need to stay in school, you know. We're kicking them out, and when 7 8 they reach the age they drop out. They can't 9 read, they can't write. 10 MR. JENKINS: Is there another group 11 that could facilitate a meeting between your 12 group and the school administrators in 13 Springfield? 14 MS. DENNEY: Yes. 15 MR. JENKINS: Is there another group 16 that could do that? 17 MS. DENNEY: I'm sure the NAACP 18 could. 19 MR. JENKINS: Okay. Would you want 20 to sit with members of the NAACP to --21 MS. DENNEY: Yes.

MR. JENKINS: Okay. And from our

	20
1	perspective, all of what you're saying is a
2	part of the public record that is being
3	recorded today.
4	MS. DENNEY: Yes.
5	MR. JENKINS: And the concerns that
6	we have, the advisory committee will take those
7	under consideration during its deliberations.
8	DR. ROBINSON: How many parents are
9	involved in READ?
10	MS. DENNEY: Approximately 40.
11	DR. ROBINSON: Forty, okay. Have
12	they all experienced some similar kind of
13	situation or problem?
14	MS. DENNEY: Some have and some are,
15	you know, just concerned parents who know of
16	things that happened. But most of them have.
17	MS. THOMPSON: Are there other ethnic
18	groups in READ among the parents? Are there
19	African Americans?
20	MS. DENNEY: Yes. We kind of
21	consider ourselves a rainbow group of all

different.

MR. JENKINS: Okay. I would ask that you contact the NAACP and then we will facilitate matters from our standpoint, also.

MS. DENNEY: Okay. Thank you.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you.

DR. ROBINSON: Our next participant is Ms. Rosemary Stewart-Stafford who is a member of the NAACP, Springfield Branch, Executive Committee.

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: Good evening.

I'm delighted to be here. I am a native

Springfieldian. I attended the high school

whose bathroom was described, and I think some

of that graffiti may have been there in the

early '60s.

I am the daughter of a mixed marriage. My father was African, Cherokee and Irish; my mother was Scotch, Irish, Dutch and Welch. I'm proud to call myself a grandmother of the Iroquois Band of the Cherokee Nation, a member of the Bird clan -- I spell that word with a C -- and I became involved in race

relations in my home community beyond simply living my life being the daughter of a father who, when he heard racist humor, would state, "You better not do that, there might be a nigger in the woodpile around here," and then he would come right on out of the closet and tell people.

My family passed for white, and decided to do that because of the advantages that this country of equality tends to provide for people with paler skin. I'm 51 years old. My father died when I was 16.

This is not something I intended to say, but the dean of girls at the high school I was attending at that time, Parkview, fully knowing that I was different from the other students because my family is not and was not Christian. We followed traditional Cherokee spiritual beliefs, and my mother was a follower of Gandhi, so I'm about as mixed as you get.

And knowing that I came from a culture that's matriarchal, but that I had a

father who loved me enough to attend school events, when he passed away three days before school started during my sophomore year, the first thing that happened to me when I walked into Parkview High School was that the dean asked to see me. She said, "I'm sorry you had to miss those first few days of school. How does it feel to be an orphan?"

I took a lot growing up here and I remembered a lot. Then in 1990 the KKK became very active here. They held a rally in Big Wheeler Park (phonetic) which I was living near at that time. Unfortunately, during that period of time, the Springfield Police Department's response when I decided and my family -- my parents are deceased, but my husband and my children and neighbors of ours -- decided to have a counter-rally. We had attended a rally in Marshfield, Missouri where the KKK had marched, and the citizens of Marshfield came out in such huge numbers that they literally stopped the KKK at the town

line. They decided they didn't want to come into town.

I just assumed Springfield would be capable of doing the same thing. And so I was horrified when I heard they were going to have a rally at Big Wheeler Park, but I knew they had a right to be there, and I decided to have a peaceful picnic. I put out fliers that said, "Let's fill this park with so much love there is no room for hate."

Now, I think that Capt. Smith wanted things to turn out as well as I did. I think we were all just really caught flat-footed here, even though we have this history of this lynching, which I will briefly touch on in just a moment.

But in 1990 with this rally scheduled to happen within a few blocks of my home in a park that my father had treated as a very sacred place and in which he had made his prayers, I got a call from Capt. Smith asking me not to have my rally. And I said, "Well,

have you called Steve Gullett, the state director of the KKK, and asked him not to have his rally?" And he said, "Oh, no. He has a Constitutional right to do that."

And I don't think Capt. Smith and I would handle things the same today. I think we all learned a lot from 1990, and I'm just going to real briefly turn to a couple of newspaper clippings from that time, or actually just one.

What ended up happening was the KKK rally did occur; my rally did occur. We were locked out of the park. You had to show KKK membership if your children were going to play on the park equipment that day. And because the Springfield Police Department wanted to keep things safe, and I believe that really is the reason, they decided they had to be a huge presence there, and they literally had armed Springfield policemen on each sides of the KKK sales booth and membership booth.

I knew it was illegal because we had been participants in Earth Day and we held that

on the grounds of the art museum, because any sales are illegal in the Springfield city parks, except if you're like a service organization selling refreshments at a ball game and then those proceeds are split. I do devoutly pray to the Great Spirit that the proceeds for those KKK memberships in 1990 were not split with my hometown. But this illegal salesman was there, it was guarded by Springfield police.

My daughter took photographs of this.

She was eight months pregnant with my first grandchild at the time. Her life was threatened. We were told when we left to make a complaint with the Springfield Police Department that if they investigated every death threat, every redneck in town would be in jail too much of the time. That was sort of an informal quote in the hallway.

This is from the public record when my daughter did pursue the complaint that the sales were illegal. So, "Steve Gullett, Klan

1 leader faces arrest over T-shirts. Klan leader
2 fined for solicitation, missing court date."

I am quoted in the newspaper as saying, "'My contention is that the Klan, like all organizations, should have to follow the law,' said Chatterton's mother,
Stewart-Stafford. 'The best thing the community can do is to make sure the Klan toes the mark on every regulation. Here it seems the Klan has been allowed to deviate.'"

Then this is a quote from

Capt. Smith. He said, "The job of the Police

Department in a controversial situation such as a Klan rally is, number one, to make sure everyone's First Amendment rights are respected," which I wish he remembered when he made the phone call to me, "and second, to ensure public safety. Beyond that I don't think her comments merit a response."

I thought they did then, I think they do now, although Hal and I are on first-name terms and we get along pretty good these days.

It's a small town here in Springfield.

But we did then have a series of events where we attempted to have a bias times ordinance passed. Mayor Carlson was our Mayor at that time. He introduced the bias times ordinance and then he withdrew his own ordinance after Steve Gullett, again, the state director of the KKK, appeared at the City Council and said he thought that the ordinance might discriminate against the KKK.

So the ordinance was withdrawn for consideration, and another ordinance was brought into consideration which did not have -- because it would have extended protection based on sexual orientation. And if you think there's discrimination here based on skin tone, try deviating in that regard. I've been accused of that because I have friends who are gay.

At any rate, I'm going to not go through a lot of the things that I did intend to go through. I'm just going to say that as

an Indian, I'm very offended by a quote from the Ashgrove Shopping News, October 23, 1996, Attorney General, the highest ranking law enforcement person, I guess, in the State of Missouri. There was an article written with his byline and he says, "As Attorney General, I've taken a stand against all attempts by Native Americans to place land in a trust for gaming or any other purpose."

The State of Missouri is the only state in the United States of America that has no Native American land in public trust. I think that's a pretty outrageously racist thing for our state Attorney General to say.

I also want to point out that there is a grand jury report in regard to those 1906 lynchings, that they found the Police Department culpably negligent. We have contacted attorneys about the possibility of a reparation suit here in Springfield. When I say "we," I'm talking about the NAACP Executive Committee. We've gotten eager responses.

It's not a route that we want to take, but we certainly have the attorney who handled the Rosewood case in Florida ready to act as an adviser to any Missouri attorney who wants to take a reparation case against the City of Springfield, supported largely because of this grand jury report.

After that Good Community retreat
that Mayor Gannaway mentioned earlier, we tried
to have an apology for the 1906 lynchings.
There were a lot of newspaper articles at that
time back and forth about that idea. I think
probably one of the most interesting ones was
by Darrell Moore, who is a prosecuting attorney
in the city of Springfield who is also a
Southern Baptist. I also have friends who are
Southern Baptists. And he brought forth the
idea at the retreat that we might make an
apology for the 1906 lynchings. He said it
could ease tension.

Some of his quotes that I think are extremely interesting are, "In my 12 years as

assistant prosecuting attorney, I have seen the suspicions, distrust and lack of confidence that many members of the black community have toward those of us in law enforcement, the prosecutor's office and the court system. Does part of this distrust stem from the fact that several institutions failed to speak out to repudiate the vile acts of 1906? I believe so. I believe that we cannot start working together to erase racism and other forms of discrimination until those of us currently occupying positions of power apologize to the black community for our biggest historical example of discrimination."

And I want you to know that the 1906

lynchings here occurred on Easter Sunday. My
white grandfather was a participant. He would
move his family to town and ask him until after
the niggers were disposed of, his quote. He
died before I was born. But my mother felt
very strongly about racism, enough to marry a
man of color, and she assured that I knew that

part of my heritage as well.

Stewart Street in town is named for my family. My grandfather did move to Springfield, he became city street inspector, and one of the first things he did after the lynchings was change the names of streets so that it's hard to trace black property or to bring suit (inaudible). My grandfather's hand will reach forth through the decades to make that more difficult.

We have a real problem with diversity here, with all kinds of diversity, to the point that when we try to have tolerance as one of our values after this Good Community project --some of you may have heard about that, I understand we made statewide news because we were forced to drop first "diversity," then "tolerance," and then "inclusion," because the leaders of the extreme Christian right were so concerned that all of those might be buzzwords about homosexuality. So --

MR. JENKINS: Can you leave those

articles that you referred to and a copy of the grand jury report?

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: Yes, I can.

I've got those so I will give these to you that

I did not get into.

We need free events here. The NAACP had a picnic. We fed people young, old, black, white, all different colors. We didn't charge for that. We lost a whole lot of money on that. But that's the kind of thing we need, because when you don't have a diverse community, people forget some of us are so poor that a couple of bucks really matters when it gets like multiplied by a family of several people.

So many things are really being limited here because of our old fears that have led to our lack of diversity that our prosecuting attorney here can see and understand.

And I'm going to conclude by doing something I wasn't sure I was going to do. Oh,

1.5

2.0

by the way, Capt. Smith did mention Harrison,
Arkansas is a place they recruit. This is an
article from 1991 about a college the KKK
started down there, Klan anti-drug literature
being distributed in the schools down that way.
I hope you don't do too much recruiting there,
Harrison, where the KKK has a section of
highway to clean up.

During that rally at the (inaudible)
there was a gentleman thrown from the park -oh, we were told that, the demonstrators were
told that we were kept out of the park, our
kids couldn't play on the equipment for our
safety because the KKK was in the park. Tom
Robb, who is a very well-known national leader,
came up to -- actually I believe it might have
been Capt. Smith and said, "We don't want a
certain person in this park. We're scared of
him. We think he's dangerous." And so he was
escorted by the Springfield police in the midst
of the demonstrators.

We kept it together. There were no

1.4

violent incidents. There was some shouting.

2 That gentleman was Dennis Mahon (phonetic). He

3 was the first witness called in the trial

4 | that's being held now that there's a grand

5 | jury -- there's a grand jury being held in

6 Oklahoma about the bombing there. And Dennis

7 Mahon is linked to Aropie (phonetic) City, a

8 | white supremacist Christian group in Oklahoma

9 to which Timothy McVeigh had made telephone

10 | calls.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

dangerous man in the park that day. He shouldn't have been thrown in with the demonstrators. I hope our police have standards and procedures in place now, although when I was on the executive board of the ACLU I was told that actually our Police Department is in the process of working out a, you know, handbook of standard procedures, written standard procedures. I think both our schools and our police have needed this kind of goading in order to quantify what needs to be done.

Klanwatch Intelligence Report, I monitored to them about a meeting in Branson which concluded with Pink Peters, he's a white supremacist minister. The one in Branson, family values, Branson, the last thing he did was at a Friday session introduce his four infant grandchildren to the audience by lifting their arms in a Nazi salute and laughing, in Branson. He said, "This is not a game, this is war."

He climaxed his sermon by pulling out a white Donald Duck, a little Jewish beanie, and in Springfield I've learned I have to explain what that is; placing it on his head and performing a grotesque impression. He then snatched up the Donald Duck, spit on it, wiped it across the seat of his pants and threw it to the floor. The audience in Branson at Mutton Hollow gave Peters a standing ovation.

I supplied this information to the Springfield Police Department -- I mean, to the Springfield newspaper after the Good Community

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Retreat, asked that it be publicized. They refused to do so.

We submitted the grand jury report to them in a letter signed by the president of the NAACP asking, in the interest of bringing out that these were innocent people, that a grand jury discovered they were innocent, bring this out. Because when this apology thing came up we had letter after letter, "We can't apologize, these people may have been guilty," on and on, "This is a hidden history, this is lost information." The newspaper is not helping to change that.

Steve Gullett, the director of the KKK at that time, showed up again after the bombing. Bass Pro Shop donated a truckload of generators to take over to help the rescue efforts. Mr. Gullett drove the truck. He had resigned from the Klan at that time, we were told. He turned out to be one of the rescuers. He participated in the rescue of a black woman whose leg had to be amputated in order to be

taken out of the building. I doubt if she
would have appreciated knowing that the Grand
Dragon was on the other end of her life
support.

That made national publicity. I recognized him, I called him in to the FBI. He was brought out of the group at that time.

Our students have helped us face up to things. This is an article about students marking the graves of some of the lynching victims. Springfield has never taken any responsibility for any of this.

And then I'm going to beg your indulgence for one quick moment. I have devised something very important to conclude with, because it's a letter from the lady that Mayor Gannaway quoted as having attended the group, that said that the black people in Springfield do not want to have a memorial or an apology. I am not finding it. I know it so well, she's a friend of mine, I'm simply going to quote from it. She has become a friend of

mine.

The newspaper -- I made a trip to Albuquerque, New Mexico, for medical reasons a while back, and while I was there I looked up in the Albuquerque newspaper, the 1906 Easter Sunday lead story had been told by historians, and this made headlines around the world. It was the story that was being printed in San Francisco when the presses were destroyed by the earthquake. That's what hid our shame. That's what took us from the headlines.

I brought back this material and asked the newspaper to print it, submitted it again, a letter signed on NAACP stationery asking that this be done. The newspaper ignored it. I called and asked them why, told them that I was going to take it to the national, that I thought this was important information. My letter was then printed, but it was printed with a letter of Norma Duncan. She's the black lady that did attend the Good Community meeting that Mayor Gannaway told you

about who said that black folks here don't want a memorial, don't want an apology.

I wrote to her after that. I said,
"You know, we've been made into adversaries and
I think we have a lot in common. Here we are
side by side, 'I want an apology, I want a
memorial, I want us to move on.'" Not just
looking back, but I do believe that when we
forget the past we are at true danger to repeat
it.

So I made this call to the newspaper.

Suddenly the article was printed next to

Norma's article with our photographs. I look

white; I'm not. She looks black; she is.

She's saying, "No, I don't want an apology";

I'm saying, "Yes, I do." So I called her and I

said, "How come, you know, our pictures were

with that?" They have mine on file because

I've been at a number of public things. She

said, "Well, you know, that's funny. They

called me and insisted they wanted a picture of

me or they weren't going to print my letter."

So I think we all know what was being looked for there in terms of contrast. I live in a city that wants to push aside the painful topic of diversity. That's not necessarily going to happen here.

And to get back to Mrs. Duncan's letter, her reason for not wanting a memorial, which she put in writing to me and I will share with you -- I'll find it, it's in this mess -- is that she's afraid if we start talking about it, it will happen again.

Thank you very much for your time.

I'll be glad to answer any questions. And I

would love to say I'm usually better organized

than this, but I don't lie very often.

DR. ROBINSON: Any questions?

MS. THOMPSON: Give us a minute to breathe here.

DR. ROBINSON: I have one question.

It seems that the 1906 lynchings have really forever promoted negative stereotypes and fears and --

	224
1	MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: Since 1906 we
2	had
3	DR. ROBINSON: for the Police
4	Department. However, do you believe that a
5	memorial and an apology will actually take away
6	those attitudes and beliefs and stereotypes and
7	fears that the African American community has
8	towards the Police Department?
9	MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: No, absolutely
10	not. If I believed that, I would have gone
11	around and taken pennies to get the money to do
12	it. But here's what I do believe, let me
13	finish my answer.
14	I believe that the since the City of
15	Springfield has a book, a big bronze book down
16	on the square that purports to give the history
17	of the square and leaves out the single most
18	reported episode in the history of the city,
19	that something was hidden in 1906.
20	Oh, by the way, the largest number of
21	indictments for murder ever released unserved .

in the United States of America, Christmas Eve

1909 here. Prominent Springfieldians who got away with murder. If O.J. did, he's not the first.

So at any rate, the reason that I think a memorial or a marker is important is that it's important to mark where the courthouse used to be on the square, it's important to mark that there was a stupid gunfight where a man was shot in the back.

There's two markers to that. So if we're not hiding something, if we're not afraid of something right there in 1906, it would already be in the big bronze book.

Gannaway doesn't want to call for town meetings because this is the city that doesn't trust itself. We had one of the most horrific events in a horrific time. We certainly were not the only city that had a lynching. We were the only Christian community that chose to do three of my brothers for Easter Sunday. Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: Excuse me. I would

like to ask you a question: It would seem to
me that your life would be in danger.

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: Oh, death threats? Yeah. I don't report those. Oh, thank you so much. When my daughter reported that death threat, a police officer named Truly Applegate followed us out in the hall --

MS. THOMPSON: Named what now?

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: Truly

Applegate. Isn't that great? If you're going
to have a soap opera with a good cop in it -
MS. THOMPSON: I just wanted to hear

it.

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: -- it would be Truly Applegate? Anyway, he's still a Springfield policeman and I still have the deepest of respect for him. He followed me out in the hall at the Police Department, me and my daughter, and he said, "I have bad news for you. Your complaint has been shown to Steve Gullett. He has your telephone number, he has your addresses."

My daughter gave birth to her child.

She received telephone calls and she was nursing her baby when she was told that she was in the crosshairs of a rifle. I think she was, and I think they could just hear the suckling sounds, but yes, I've gotten death threats, and

no, I don't report them.

DR. ROBINSON: I would like to get back to my question initially. What kinds of things can be done to improve police-community relations in the City of Springfield? In other words, understanding you mentioned the situation and what is done in terms of perceptions and attitudes.

But in moving beyond that, okay, what can be done by the Police Department and the community -- because police-community relations is a two-way kind of project -- what kinds of things can be done to improve police-community relations, particularly as it relates to the African American community, in an effort to eliminate and remove some of these stereotypes?

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: We need a citizens review board. I want to be on it.

(Laughter)

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: There's a thing in Springfield called the gang book.

I've seen it. It's page after page after page of pictures of blacks and mixed youth.

Capt. Smith referred to the advent of gang activity in Springfield. He was referring to when the Chicago boys were purported to have made a pretty major impact in the cocaine market here. That was the reason given for this gang book.

Our gang book shouldn't be however many pages big it is; it should be much bigger, and it should have the white supremacist gangs that have flourished here forever. They're our gang problem. They're not our only gang problem, but our big drug problem isn't in fact cocaine, our big drug problem is deaths. And it was before, after and during the KKK rally at Big Wheeler Park in 1990. We have got to

stop scapegoating mixed people and people of color, we have got to help us have tallies of fatalities in Springfield.

DR. ROBINSON: In addition to the citizen review board, what other things can the community do to enhance this relationship, to break down the barrier that exists between the Police Department and the community?

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: A whole lot more voice for people like me and lots of others like me. We need, we desperately need those town hall meetings. If President Clinton is willing to pursue that idea, I wish that you would make a recommendation to those powers that be that this be one of the sites of that kind of meeting. We really do have a history that would warrant it, and we have a bright future here. We're gaining minority people.

A friend of mine named Dorothy Smith, a pastor, moved away from here recently -- and I'll also give you an interview with her in an alternative newspaper, the News-Leader didn't

choose to publish one, which she outlined the threats that she had gotten from white supremacists and the black minister of a white church here. She's one of those people who came, contributed, couldn't stand it and moved on. But she's in K.C. and I'll bet you could find her. She's pretty much identified in the article.

So we need to talk. We need to look at our past and not just be ashamed of it because there was great nobility there. There were white people that sheltered black people. In fact, a real tragedy is that we lost a place called Fairbanks House.

Another real problem in Springfield is that artifacts of black history, architectural artifacts, are often destroyed. OTC, the community college that everybody touts, lost a big bond issue recently, and a lot of people think that they really made people mad with their high-handed decision to tear down the Fairbanks House, the house that

1.2

had sheltered blacks. It needed to be a museum.

We don't need a wildlife museum that is being proposed as a use of state and city money for this community to bring tourists here. We need a multicultural archives that lets people know that, first of all, this community was a field of springs that Indians and free blacks were enjoying when the Scot settlers, including some named Stewart who came along pretty early, got here. We need to let people know that we've always been multicultural, we always will be multicultural and we have a brilliant future. We need to talk about it.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you.

(Simultaneous discussion)

MS. BOTELLO: Does the Police Department have an office of citizens' complaints?

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: I am sure they must. I am going to be really honest with you.

1 I have not reported anything to the police -well, no, I take that back. After we made the 2 report and after Truly told us that Mr. Gullett 3 had gotten our names and addresses and the 4 5 others', none of us were hurt over that, but we 6 had car windows broken out, we, you know, petty 7 stuff. Definitely having a lot of phone calls 8 that I won't repeat the garbage.

I'll just say that the people who know me well in this community call me a nigger because they know about my dad, and the people who don't know me well call me a nigger lover.

(Laughter)

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: You know.

I'm sure they do have that. I'm also sure that there's a lot of fear and frustration that keeps people from using it. In a week, in the week that my daughter and myself and Carolyn Monteith (phonetic), who was at that time the president of the NAACP, had our car windows broken, we all reported them. We were all told it was heat. Maybe it was. Well, it

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

was heat of some kind.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you again.

Our final participant before the open session is Mr. Athel Ransom II, who is president of the NAACP, the Springfield Branch.
Mr. Ransom.

MR. RANSOM: Thank you. Well, I'm going to keep the heat going.

So Rosemary is not anything directed at Capt. Hal Smith. I like the guy, and I talk frequently with this gentleman here which is about the only officer and Capt. Darrell Criggs (phonetic) that I could talk with over at the Springfield P.D. now, a little bit.

But when my wife and I wake up in the morning, my beautiful wife there, Betty Ransom, at 7:00 in the morning, the first thing we hear is browbeating Bill Clinton. When you jump start the city of Springfield with racial remarks on the lower end of the AM dial, what do you expect? When we go to the hospital to help my dad take dialysis, we hear this, and we

listen, because I'm wondering: One of these days they're going to make a mistake, the female host and the male host, and the FCC is going to be all over.

Because when you've got that kind of rhetoric, used to be Cracker Barrel on KTTS, but no, it's the other station on the lower end of the dial. So how can you expect for Springfield to be any different when you've got these people propping them up? They like this kind of stuff.

Last night when I went home, my son comes in and tells me about three pit bulls out in my driveway. Now, how in the world could three pit bulls get out in the driveway in a residential neighborhood like -- I live south of Sunshine. Never before in the neighborhood itself I never saw this. I'm looking over to my car door and a rattlesnake will be in my pickup one of these mornings. Anything.

People like myself who are outspoken, you're playing Russian roulette every time you

turn the key in your truck. So I don't bother it. If I go, I go. And I'm not counting myself no martyr, but the different things that this racism has caused in Springfield when you've got 30 miles north of Springfield, a 19-year-old kid gets hung and he hangs there five days behind the Greenthumb Trailer Court. And you take it to the Justice Department and, "Oh, the NAACP is trying to make a big name for itself." I've got every piece of paper, I'll give you copies of everything I have on the hanging, the autopsy and all.

Now, this man committed suicide. He goes to a shed where he doesn't know where anything is, just at night, cuts the rope off the well house and comes out, goes over to the tree, gets a chair that comes from someplace, hangs himself and it's suicide, so -- with cotton balls in his nose. Now, I don't know how they were cotton balls that had ether on them, I don't know how they got there, but the autopsy report, that's what it came down to.

Okay, let's move 30 miles south.

When Marty and Dorothy was trying to live on a five-acre plot, a mixed marriage, they started burning crosses. So we go to the Justice Department again and we talk to their top man who says, "The NAACP is trying to make a name for itself."

When people's lives are threatened, I don't think that the NAACP can say yea or nay. But when you go to so-called help and you think you're going to get that help, it doesn't happen. Like I said, I've got all of these articles, I've got all of the other proof of every statement I make. They've been saying I'm radical, they try to discredit me, but everything I tell you, gentlemen, I can show you in black and white.

And the life that we lived for 30 years, the first blacks to cross Sunshine, and the Justice Department had to come in, they did do a -- Harding and Mitchell at the time, they went door to door and said, "These people are

going to live here and we have to place the National Guard out here."

Now, I've been living under these conditions in Springfield for 30 years. I'm not going to leave. They'll cart me away, I know that, but the thing is I've got 19 grandchildren that need a better life and need something much better to look forward to. And every time you get up every morning you've got to be playing a game. I don't like games. I'm a grown man, and I've always kept my family together, I've taught them the right way. They don't need no firearm. If their power from their hand can't do it, they don't need it.

We don't have a situation that can be understood of why these things are solvable.

There is a solution, and I've been talking with this guy in Cleveland that maybe he can help us here, but I doubt very seriously if this man we brought in to Springfield, that they would even want to listen to him.

So all the things that have happened

down through the years like the reported gang problem, Rosemary spoke about it. They called it the Rogue's Gallery, 138 pages, and of all of those pages, every one of those are either mixed children or they were black. And that was composed by one of the corporals at the department, she was a Caucasian, and one of the heads of the school district, because they would get them and line them up beside patrol cars at night and take their picture. All of that goes into this Rogue's Gallery as if they're gang members now.

So they use this for the numbers, too. They use this for the money. You create all these things so you can bring the federal dollars in here.

Well, now, if you've got these problems it seems like it would be all over, but you're got four black officers on the force, took 15 years for one to be a Corporal. Something is wrong. You can't get in here.

My wife and I, we drove 600 miles

from Joplin to Fort Leonard Wood trying to recruit for them. They didn't ask us to it. We did it freely, thinking that we could help, but the first thing they told us, "You've got the worst race conditions than anyplace we've ever been." We went to Leonard Wood, I was up at Leonard Wood eight years, and anyplace we went it was always race first, salary second.

And you couldn't get -- I've talked to the Kansas City P.D., lots of those guys I know from Branson all the way back downtown. Well, they tell me about what you hear about it, that anytime you talk to anybody at Leonard Wood, it's the "ivory city."

Well, they said there were 1,500 I think he's wrong, I think it's about here. 4,800 and something, a little under 5,000. in 90 years it's only grown 864. Now, that's City Hall's figures. In 90 years from 1906 to 1996. Now, why? It must be because the people know what's here.

But now it is a good town. We've

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

made a decent living here. My wife recently on the 30th had a contract she was dealing with, figures were changed because it was too lucrative for a black woman to have. So we hired an attorney. But I was going to ask the gentleman here, can he help us? Because they changed the figures. We didn't change them, and they sent the spreadsheets. They're ignorant, they don't know what's going on.

We've been in business 38 years.

Clean record. No stealing, no drugs, but yet when we get to looking halfway decent, maybe with a \$50 suit on, maybe wearing some \$10 shoes, it's too much. And I say this: As long as you have people in high places that's going to continue to keep that thumb down, there's not going to be anything going to change around here.

Go to any meeting and look at the blacks that are on their committees. My wife put my name up for three times. I said, "Honey, you would just as well forget it. They

don't want nobody like me on their committees, because they know what I'm going to say." I don't sugarcoat nothing. It's the way it is, and that's the way I tell it. That's the very reason that the NAACP doubled their membership. They asked me because they wanted me to be out front.

Well, I'm beginning to get a little concerned because they pushed me out there. I think my wife is the only one there with me, Rosemary, Calvin Allen, but a very few who will support me now because of fear of their jobs. I don't have a job, I don't want a job, but by the same token, I want to be treated fairly when I come home in the evening. I want to feel comfortable.

And the reason that the Police

Department was called about those pit bulls is

my son felt like it would be better to call

them without him taking his .9 millimeter out

and shooting them all. But the next time

around -- it took them, I think, 12 minutes to

get there. But the next time around we're not going to wait.

And I've said this repeatedly: It seems like when we call, and I went down there this morning, that when the Ransoms call for any kind of assistance, they take their time getting there or they have a reason to say why? And, "Oh, no, we're not targeting you." Even the Chief said we're not targeting, but yet this same thing happens repeatedly when our name comes up or we get on the horn.

I got run over at the Wal-Mart, I've got two years ago in October, literally run over with a four-wheel dolly stacked way high.

I was afraid to even call the Springfield P.D. because I was afraid they would slant their report and say, "Well, he shouldn't have been there." I was ready to leave the store with my package, I paid for it, and this lady let a cart get away from her, got hurt. But I didn't know what to do because I have experienced bad things with them before.

mistrust on the keepers of the keys you try to work around it. And believe me, that's what I try to do. I'm not anti-police; believe me, I'm not. It's just that -- and a lot of those guys I like, I fish with some of them. But it's just the way that I've been treated or my family has been treated down through these years. And we're still here, we're going to be here.

MR. JENKINS: What recommendations do you have in terms of police-community relations in Springfield to make things better or to reduce the tension that's here?

MR. RANSOM: First of all, a civil review board. And then the next thing, look at those federal funds that comes in and when Chris Barrett up there critiques their application, let him come into here and see some of the average man on the street and let him talk to those people. Stop them federal funds that come in here and then you'll get

their attention. But as long as you feed them that money they're going to keep on doing what they're going to do, and you're not going to get any results.

MR. JENKINS: In terms of the community relations aspect of the Mayor's Committee, what recommendations do you have for that branch and also for the Mayor in terms of race relations?

MR. RANSOM: Well, I think there are people that can be put on these committees that will enhance the trust of the different committees, but you have some of the people on City Council, and Mr. Jones Dell (phonetic) that's supposed to represent me is one of the main ones, they don't really want to deal with any minorities or anybody with tinted skin.

And I can't understand this, because I talked with the gentleman and he said, "The color of my people, I wouldn't vote for (inaudible) on City Council or any other committee."

Now, if you have this kind of a person running a city, one of the city fathers, how in the world are you going to get diversity here when he don't want to listen to you, he don't have nothing -- if you've got something negative to say, they will listen to you.

The same about the newspaper: If you've got something negative to say about blacks, they'll listen. But when you bring them the facts, I've got two briefcases full of material, all accurate. You think they print any of that stuff? No way.

MR. JENKINS: In terms of complaints that the NAACP has received, what Federal agencies have you contacted to resolve those complaints, and what has happened?

MR. RANSOM: The U.S. Board of

Education is one of our main things, because we

felt like that the (inaudible) job, when my

wife and I started in 1992, we didn't feel like

we got results. And they came down and they

started to ask questions, and it seemed like we

were getting some kind of results.

But then after Littlejohn left,
O'Shay was there, and everything was so
disarrayed we didn't know one end from the
other. Then they sit down and said they would
give them an okay. Well, things weren't okay,
not until we filed that second time and they
sent this 10-page document out.

They are working on it. If the handbooks '95-'96, '96-'97 were accurate, why did they reprint them in '97-'98? As Dr. Greve said, true enough, they're working on it. But there's so much of this I think that it's being held back by the power structure, and I don't know who the power structure is. That's one thing I couldn't find out in this town. They wouldn't tell me who was running it. Mayor Gannaway doesn't run the city of Springfield; neither does Tom Finney. There's somebody out there someplace.

DR. ROBINSON: Okay. Any questions?

MS. BOTELLO: Who is Tom Finney?

1	MR. RANSOM: Tom Finney is the city
2	manager, and if he looked in a black cup of
3	coffee he would be offended.
4	DR. ROBINSON: I'm sorry, I didn't
5	hear.
6	MR. RANSOM: I said if Tom Finney
7	looked in a black cup of coffee he would be
8	offended.
9	DR. ROBINSON: Oh, okay, I didn't
10	understand what you were saying. I've got to
11	take care of that tape.
12	Any other questions?
13	MS. PERRY: Have you talked with the
14	Justice Department?
15	MR. RANSOM: Yes. Can I call names
16	here?
17	MR. JENKINS: No. In terms of
18	Federal officials?
19	MR. RANSOM: Yes, the Justice
20	Department. They have a person here that is a
21	head of the Justice Department, I have talked

to him. This is what he told me: "We can't

tell Lynn Rowe how to run his department." I
go to Kansas City, and you-know-who is the head
of the Justice Department up there, I carried
this about Shawn Harding and gave it to him. I
also gave it to Atkins Warren, you probably
know him, but he's not in here, so.

That's basically what we did. have tried to contact the right people, but it seemed like they turn a deaf ear on problems even when we bring the facts to them. Yes. we've contacted them. They've got two black They sent a black agent down here to agents. critique cross burning in Spokane. How in the world is a black man going to get any cooperation out of some hillbillies? Or go up here to Havana and Dallas County. How in the world -- a black agent goes up there and starts asking questions. Do you think any of those people is going to answer any questions from a black agent?

I wanted a report. Do you think I can get a copy of that report? That's supposed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to be public information, but the gentleman that runs that department, I don't think he would give it to me.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Ransom.

## OPEN SESSION

DR. ROBINSON: We are now ready for our open session. If you missed the initial opening statement, the open session is structured. You have to sign up to participate in this part of the program. We have two people that have signed up for the open session; they have four minutes each. If there is anyone else that wants to, you need to speak to Mr. Hernandez here and give him your name, and you can participate.

Our first participant in the open session is Dan McDaniels.

MR. McDANIELS: Yes. I have four minutes?

DR. ROBINSON: Four minutes.

MR. McDANIELS: I have two topics I

1.0

want to discuss. The first one is what we call 1 goals, timetables, targets, mandates, 2 set-asides, aspirations, affirmative action, 3 this issue in the future by the time I reach 4 retirement.

> By the time I reach retirement age, I believe that there won't be too many other people who look like me hopefully in this country, the people of European ancestry and What I'm saying is we should look at income perhaps, and persons' background rather than their gender and race, when it comes to affirmative action programs.

The second one is regarding immigrants. I am originally from California. I resided in Texas for a number of years. And immigrants from other countries, non-English speaking immigrants are moving into southwest Missouri and northwest Arkansas in large numbers nowadays. They work at poultry plants and what have you.

And the government doesn't want to

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

seem to do much about it per se, except round 1 them up every once in awhile, but if we give 2 these immigrants amnesty again for breaking the 3 law when they come here with their undocumented 4 5 paperwork, I just think this is creating a problem in some of our major cities. 6 7 lowering the wages, and some of these people can't speak English very well, and I see them 8

And this is a problem nationwide, not just around here, but it's becoming one. And so I think that should be addressed, too, because when you give them amnesty, well, I'm African American. I came from South Africa, does that mean I'm South African American? I'm a Hispanic -- well, I just came from Miami Beach. I mean, how dark do you have to be to get some kind of special help?

And granted, not all of these people that I'm referring to are not necessarily undocumented or illegal, but a large portion of them seem to be here lately. And if they don't

firsthand.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

speak a word of English and they look the 1 stereotype profile then it makes you wonder 2 3 sometimes.

Anyway, I just think that affirmative action should be looked at in the future in a little different way because it's a pretty controversial issue, and there's a lot of court cases, I think, will be coming up regarding this in the future. That's all.

MR. JENKINS: Could you leave your address with Mr. Hernandez?

MR. McDANIELS: I already gave it to him earlier today.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much.

The next participant in open session is Ms. Nancy Duncan.

MRS. DUNCAN: Norma.

DR. ROBINSON: Norma?

MRS. DUNCAN: Mrs. Norma Duncan.

DR. ROBINSON: Mrs. -- I'm sorry, I

have Nancy here. Mrs. Norma Duncan.

MRS. DUNCAN: As a native of the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

city, I'm real honored that you're here, and I want to talk to you about the apology for the lynching in 1906.

I was invited by Samuel Knox, who is the editor of the black paper here called (inaudible). Sam is very much a participant in what goes on in the city, and he invited me to go to the meeting with him. Before I went, I told Sam, I said, "You know how I feel about I am not in favor of putting a plaque on the square."

When I went to this group, every important person in Springfield was in that meeting. The city manager was there, the Mayor was there, most of the people who as a group try to decide what we need to do as a city to move forward.

They were very patient with me. The first thing I told them was that I did not speak for anybody but myself, that I represented no group, I was talking to them as one who was born here, whose father was in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

business here. My father was a black
businessman many, many years ago. He had a
shoe business here. Bill Bland was my father.

My mother was a teacher in the

Springfield school system. For a number of
years we were segregated in everything. We
were all in one black school. My mother was a
teacher in that school. When the schools
integrated, she went to Pipkin Junior High
School and she stayed there until she retired.

I retired from a major industry here in Springfield after 30 years. Right now I continue to work. I'm still young, I'm not old enough to draw Social Security, so I'm still out there in the work force. I work for the oldest black businessman in Springfield,

Mr. Chester Shipps, who is 86 years old.

Mr. Shipps is the father of nine children; one is deceased. His children were the first children to attend Catholic school in Springfield. The Catholic schools integrated two years before the public schools.

My opposition to this plaque is based on the fact that this is an incident of shame.

Let us not put a badge of shame on our public square. These three men who were lynched were innocent. My last name is Duncan by marriage.

I was married to a Duncan for nine years. That family, a member of that family was one of the men who was lynched on that square.

Before I went to this meeting I thought about it, I was up all night thinking about what I was going to say. I called the oldest living survivor in the family and I asked him, "What do you think about it?" I said, "I'm not in favor of it, but what do you think?" He said, "I cannot see where it would serve any useful purpose."

If we're going to memorialize

something about black people, let us honor the

role we played in this city. There's not

anything here, there's no monument, no plaque

to what we did to build Springfield. We came

to this city when the first white settlers came

to Springfield in the 1800s to build

Springfield. They came in covered wagons.

They brought their slaves with them to

Springfield. When they came here, black people were already here living among the Indians.

We have black people here, there was a black man here who invented a car. There was a black man who lived here who was one of the Buffalo Soldiers. A lot of this stuff I am just finding out myself because it's not really, our story has not been told. It isn't that we don't have a story. Yes, we have a rich history here, but let's tell it in the right way.

Let me give you two examples about apologies. When Susan Smith, this young white lady, drowned her children, all America was sad, but there was something else that happened, too. She laid it on black people. A lot of people were wrongfully brought in and questioned and everything. Her brother, who was a member of this community, called up his

black friends and he apologized for it. "I'm sorry this has happened to you," and everything, but that hurt is still in that community.

In Boston a white man accused a black man of killing his wife, and he had killed her.

Around 300 black men were rounded up. There was such an outcry, such an outrage in that city. And money was given to the black community, but the hurt is still there.

Let's not feed on hurt. We're trying to move ahead. We have got bigger problems here that we need to solve. We have got racism as bad as it was then. When that lynching took place we had Negroes in business here. They left. A lot of people left. All of our progress stopped. We were delegated to becoming maids and porters and janitors and everything, but we survived.

And we survived with the help -- it took everybody to pull together, white and black, because we had nothing. We had to have

the help. We had to work together. We went into integration. Do you think that they had to have troops here in Springfield to escort me to school? I went to school in that first group. I just walked right up Central Street and went to Central High School. I'm about to have my 40-year class reunion. I'm going to meet with white people that I haven't seen for years, and I see a lot of them right here in this town that are my friends.

When I went to work at the factory where I worked at in 1965, one of my white classmates was already there. She and I are still friends. I'm looking forward to seeing her again.

Let's not feed on something ugly. If we're going to commemorate something, let's commemorate the role that we have played in the growth of this city, and it's a big growth. If we don't know it, let's get in there and find out what it is, and let's commemorate that.

And like I told them, if you want to apologize,

2.0

if this is what you want to do, go out to

Hazelwood Cemetery, which is not too far from

where we are, go to the grave of those men and

get out there as those kids did at Hillcrest

High School. They raised money and they put a

plaque out there and marked that grave, and

they sang and they prayed and they had a

beautiful service, but most of us didn't know

anything about it. It was over and done with

before we knew anything about it.

The Negro has got to play his role in the growth of the city. Our problem now, we don't sit at the table when decisions are made. When Sam and I went to this meeting we were the only two blacks there. I was delighted to have been there. The reason why we're not, it's not because we're not interested or because we don't care or we don't want to be a part of anything, it's because we're trying too hard to survive.

The entry-level jobs that we have keep us working at night, they let you go home

1.3

and rest, eat some food, get up and go on another job because you've got to survive out here. This is what we need to work on. Our kids cannot get along in school now, and I can't understand it. I went to school when maybe I was the only black in the class. When I went to work at a factory in 1965, for a long time I was the black lady out there in the daytime and then there was another black lady that came out there at night. I wasn't the first one there, but there were so few of us and later on the rolls began to swell.

And if I pull up, I can pull somebody else up with me, but I also can take my foot and stomp you back. It's just whatever you want to do. If I thought that it would serve a good purpose I would be 100 percent behind putting a plaque on the square and all that, but let's not just feed the hate and rage and insults because we have all got to live in this world. We are not a big overgrown country town. There was a time out here where you are

1.3

now, this was woods when I was a girl. And I'm almost 60 years old and look at it now. Look at it now, and think about what it can be.

But you have to work for it. We need more black involvement in everything. We need our voices heard, not just F.L.'s or Betty's or Calvin's or Denny's or Dexter, Jr.'s and all of that, we need everybody's involvement. Until we get on the bus and do our part, we're never going to have a good community because we're growing so fast.

We are the minority now. In another few years, the Hispanic population is growing in Springfield. We've got to think about their needs. Their needs are going to be balanced with our needs.

Lee Gannaway made a statement in that meeting the other day that they had to be prudent stewards of public money. They're going to have to watch what they spend. We have so many problems here, we've got gang problems, we've got school problems, we've got

dropout problems. Right now I'm working on the dropout problem. Twenty to 30 percent of the young people in Springfield are not finishing school. We have black youngsters here that are dropping out, not graduating, don't want to go to school, don't know why they don't want to go to school. We have got to address those issues now.

The lynching is very much a part of our history. We have a lady here, a professor at SMS, professor of English, a white lady who came here in 1981 and wanted to know about the black community. They didn't want to hear it. She got shouted at, she got threatened and everything, everybody got mad at her, but she went ahead and she pursued this. She has a beautiful work based on what we told her, the pictures we gave her, the stories we told her and everything, and her work is at SMS.

Now, she doesn't go out talking unless people ask for it, but the time has passed so that when she came here everybody

knew her and she was around and all of this, and now it's a whole generation of people who do not know her. The people who run Springfield now are not the people who have those black servants that stayed with the family today. These are people coming in here that never dealt with a black person, that are coming in here with stereotypes that they know nothing about, and we can't have that. So that was my reason for the opposition.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much, Mrs. Duncan.

The next participant in open session is Mr. Harold Holcomb.

MR. HOLCOMB: This will be a couple of seconds. I'm a newcomer to Springfield, I moved here from out of state. I'm retired and I was looking for a place that's peaceful to spend a few good years of my life before I die.

I've lived in Kansas City as a child,
Independence, and I've moved around quite a bit
in my business life. I've lived in Chicago,

Milwaukee and Dallas, Texas, and Memphis,

Tennessee where I spent my last 15 years. I

wanted to retire in that city because it was a

beautiful city. The people were beautiful, I

thought. The climate was good. What better

place to retire?

I made plans for 15 years to retire.

They had the same problems down there that

every other big city seems to have. Crime. I

want to compliment this Police Department for

whatever else they may have done, a damn good

bunch of guys because you kept the crime rate

down here, and that's what attracted me to this

city.

Another little thing was my real home was Kansas City and I've got a sister-in-law who is very ill up there. I've got ties to Memphis, good friends down there. So my wife and I settled on Springfield for two reasons, really, it was close to both cities yet out of them. We wouldn't go back to Kansas City.

It's a cesspool, as far as I'm concerned, and

so has Memphis has turned into a cesspool.

This city has got some problems I'm learning tonight. And the reason I came to this meeting, and I go to City Council meetings, I'm trying to learn something about this city, who is running it and why and what's behind it and is it good or bad. I really haven't made up my mind yet. It looks like Johnny Morris is running this city because (inaudible) he owns property all over this town and out of it, and he has got a nice place built and he wants this meeting to donate my property tax money to him to build a damn museum which is not more than an extension of his business.

I didn't get up here to criticize the business of the city.

MR. RANSOM: Tell it like it is.

MR. HOLCOMB: I wanted a few things.

The little lady that was just up here was so eloquent in talking about what she talked about. I couldn't disagree with anything she

said. I do disagree with what you said. We've
got to learn to forget and live with one
another.

MS. STEWART-STAFFORD: I think we can --

MR. JENKINS: Mary, let him have (inaudible).

MR. HOLCOMB: We don't need to bring up -- I didn't know about this lynching thing until I got here. That's history. I didn't have a damn thing to do with it, and I don't want to be reminded of it and you shouldn't either. What we ought to look at is what's here today and our future.

Now, I know you have got good thoughts behind, good motives behind what you want to do, but we've got to look at things today and get them corrected if they're wrong, if they're not fair, and make it better for your kids and your grandkids.

Again, all I got up here to say was I appreciate this Police Department and the

Standing this city has with crime, because in Memphis my wife was afraid to go around the street with our dog at night. She was afraid to go to the shopping center in the daytime. You don't know what blessings you've got in this town. We've just got to correct some of the problems, obviously, because I'm not aware of them all, but I'm learning.

But as far as the guys that were lynched and who the bastards were that lynched them, that's past history. I had nothing to do with it. Let's make sure that sort of thing never happens again. And that's pretty cruel, but let's live for today and the future to make all of our lives a little better.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you. The final participant is Mr. Donald Fisher.

MR. FISHER: You've been asking most of the participants who have come up here and spoken to you a question: What do you think we can do to enhance race relations here in Springfield? Which I might paraphrase as a

statement that says basically: What do you think we can do to help ourselves?

I have a basic question for all of you members of this panel: What can you do to help members of the minority community in Springfield protect themselves from the harmful effects that some of us view as systemic and widespread?

Disparate, adverse impact abounds in this community. Look at the statistics the school system and criminal justice system can provide you. African Americans account for about 4 percent of the enrollment in Springfield public schools, you put that out I don't know how many times today, but about 30 percent of the disciplinary actions, in school and out of school suspensions, involved students of color. Adverse impact, disparate impact.

African Americans achieve at a rate that is substantially below that of non-minority students in this community.

African Americans have substantially lower grade point averages and graduation rates.

Disparate, adverse impact.

African Americans account for about 2 percent of the general population in Springfield, but many times that percent in arrest rate, prosecution rate, conviction rate, and incarceration rate. Although Dr. Greve and Chief Rowe can provide you with the specific numbers, these people seem, to some of us at least, to be disinterested, unwilling and insincere about aggressively working toward positive change.

Let me talk in personal terms. My wife and I have four African American children who are now young adults. Three of them have left this community in large part because of the endemic hostility and lack of opportunity. How do I protect my youngest son, who is still living here, from being harassed by Officer Worley of the Springfield Police Department?

Let me tell you a brief story. About

white were walking down South Street in the middle of the afternoon, a street in the middle of south central Springfield here. They were meandering on and off the curb and roadside as they walked but staying on the side of the road and out of the way of any moving traffic.

Officer Worley followed the two for over a block, unbeknown to my son and his friend.

When he stopped them, he told my son to stand with his arms out while he searched him extensively for weapons, while my son's friend was told to stand aside and not get involved unless he wanted trouble.

If we challenge this stop and search behavior, Officer Worley responds that he was just doing his duty, and his superior supporting. After all, my son was technically breaking the law by not staying strictly on the sidewalk. Never mind that my son's friend wasn't searched, never mind that the college students playing catch in the street a couple

of blocks away were not stopped and searched.

After all, officers cannot apprehend all who

break the law.

Never mind the downtown business owners are complaining about Officer Worley's intimidation and harassment of their customers even when it results in a front-page article in the Springfield newspaper a couple of weeks ago. As long as prosecutors convict those whom Office Worley arrests, as long as Officer Worley does not stop and search those for whom he cannot demonstrate just cause, Chief Rowe and other superiors see no problem.

I can tell you other stories, stories about how my oldest son and his friends would be routinely stopped and searched by police officers as they would cruise around on weekend evenings and how their white cohorts were not.

And Mr. Ransom, president of Springfield branch NAACP, can tell you of many, many stories involving the 16 or so rogue officers who regularly harass members of our minority

1 community.

So I repeat my question to you: What can you do to help members of this minority community protect themselves from the tyranny of the majority (inaudible)? We've given you the statistics and anecdotal examples. What else does it take? What can your governmental enforcement agencies do for us?

I didn't intend that to be a rhetorical question. And I think many others here would like to hear you tell us what we can do. Thank you.

DR. ROBINSON: I think we'll speak to that in closing.

MR. FISHER: And I look forward to it.

DR. ROBINSON: Thank you.

I would like to thank each of you for your participation, certainly the time you spent sitting here for five hours. It has definitely been an informative session for us and will assist us in our deliberations and

certainly recommendations made to the Commission. As well, we hope that we were able to provide you with some information that is useful for you in your pursuit of justice and things that are right and fair.

We definitely want to extend a thank
you to our agency representatives from the
Federal Government as well as state government.
And at this time, I would like to turn the
session over to Mr. Melvin Jenkins who, of
course, is the Director of the Regional Office.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you.

In response to the question that was left by the last speaker, the process for the Advisory Committee and for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights from this day, we will have a transcript of this meeting available to the general public within three to four weeks after it is verified. We intend to come out with a written report from this meeting tonight with findings and recommendations that will be directed to the entities within the city

government or to the community.

With respect to some of the complaints that will come forward, I will be meeting with some of my colleagues in the Federal sector to determine what course of action to take now. One, the Office of Civil Rights, Department of Education is already actively involved in pursuing several complaints here in the city.

With respect to some of the information concerning the administration of justice, we will follow up with some additional questions to the local Police Department concerning some of the allegations that were made this evening also, so that we can have that information available in our report. With respect to the Human Relations Commission of the city, I'm quite sure that the committee will make recommendations, not only to that commission but to the Mayor and to the Police Department.

Our agency is not an enforcement

agency. We are a fact-finding agency, which puts us in the position that we are able to recommend various recommendations to entities within city government or county government, in this instance. We will follow up on the recommendations that will be made from the report. We are hopeful that we can have a report ready within a short time frame.

Because we have other things that we are dealing with, I cannot give you an exact date when we will have the report ready.

But best be assured that this

Advisory Committee will maintain a monitoring aspect on race relations here in Springfield.

If is means that we have to come back to get additional information, we will be back. We will not simply go back to Kansas City where the advisory committee members are, or to our regional office and forget about Springfield.

This is a part of our activity that we have to maintain. We have not had a presence in Springfield for a number of years,

but because of the information that we have collected this evening, we will maintain an ongoing presence to monitor race relations, and we will get back with you and to the general citizens of this community with a written report with findings and recommendations.

And again, with respect to some of the allegations that were made this evening, we will follow up on those and refer those to the proper Federal authorities. That's what we have in terms of our power and our limited ability to do any type of enforcement, because we are not an enforcement agency. We rely on other agencies to carry out the enforcement mechanism for us.

MR. FISHER: Will you be sharing your report with all of the groups that are represented here, with the NAACP, for example?

MR. JENKINS: When we have our report, our practice has been and will always be that we will release that report in a public conference, in a press conference, when we come

1	out with that final report.
2	MR. HERNANDEZ: And the report will
3	be mailed to the persons that signed in at the
4	door.
5	MR. JENKINS: Right. So make sure we
6	have your name and address so that you will
7	have a copy of that report.
8	Again, thank you on behalf of the
9	Advisory Committee, and God speed.
10	(Whereupon, the Civil Rights
11	Empowerment Forum was
12	concluded.)
13	* * * *
14	-
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	