# UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### COMMISSION MEETING

FRIDAY, APRIL 12, 1996

The meeting took place in Conference Room 540, 624 9th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20036, at 9:30 a.m., Mary Frances Berry, Chairperson, presiding.

#### PRESENT:

MARY FRANCES BERRY
CRUZ REYNOSO
CARL ANDERSON
ROBERT P. GEORGE
 (by telephone)
A. LEON HIGGINBOTHAM, JR.
CONSTANCE HORNER
 (by telephone)
YVONNE Y. LEE
RUSSELL G. REDENBAUGH

MARY K. MATHEWS

Chairperson
Vice Chairperson
Commissioner
Commissioner

Commissioner Commissioner

ž "

Commissioner Commissioner

Staff Director

### **COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:**

Barbara Brooks
Ki Taek Chun
James S. Cunningham
Pamela Dunston
Gerri Hall
George Harbison
Carol-Lee Hurley
Jacqueline L. Johnson
Frederick Isler
William Lee

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

# A-G-E-N-D-A

		PAGE
ı.	Approval of Agenda	6
II.	Approval of Minutes of March 22, 1996 Meeting	50
III.	Announcements	59
IV.	Staff Director's Report	61
٧.	Program Planning Discussion	63
VI.	Commission's Subpoena Power	92
VII.	State Advisory Committee Appointments for California, Iowa, Mississippi, New Hampshire, North Carolina (interim),	
	and Vermont	88
VIII.	Future Agenda Items	167

(Chorus of ayes.) Opposed? 2 (No response.) 3 4 Okay. So ordered. So we've finished the 5 SAC appointments. Shall we go to the subpoena power? What 6 is the status? We've not heard yet from Commissioner 7 Horner, so why don'thewe'go to the issue of the 8 Commission's subpoena power and continue to hold the 9 10 program planning discussion, per request, until we see 11 if she is able to join us. 12 The subpoena power --13 COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Madam Chairman? Yes, Commissioner 14 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 15 George? COMMISSIONER GEORGE: I have circulated --16 17 I apologize for its lateness -- a revised draft. Now, 18 this is still a working draft of what I'd like to 19 It's not for consideration now, but I did propose. 20 try to take into account some comments, particularly 21 those of Judge Higginbotham, but also of Vice Chairman 22 Reynoso. 23 The additional possibility of revision 24 would have to do with the report that we've gotten 25 from our General Counsel regarding the subpoena

practices of other commissions. I haven't yet had a 1 chance to read that report, but my assistant tells me 2 that it raises the possibility of still further 3 research that might be necessary. 4 So I don't know if I have anything more to 5 say about it at this point. I'm not sure whether 6 people have had an opportunity to read my draft or an 7 opportunity to read General Counsel. Moore's memo. 8 It's going to have to remain in mid-air a bit longer. 9 10 11 12

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And in mid-air, can we have some Commissioner discussion? Or does anyone want to make a motion, or is it premature to move anything? And could we just have some discussion? Have people had an opportunity to look at this or to look at the memo we got on the subpoena authority in other federal commissions?

COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: Madam Chair, my instincts are that since the initiative was taken by Commissioner George, that he should have an opportunity to reflect on the memorandum from the General Counsel, and then we can have a more thoughtful discussion when he has had a chance to compare these two. And this is not a matter of the greatest urgency that has to be resolved --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: -- today. 1 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Vice Chair, do you 2 3 have any --VICE CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Well, I was 4 going to comment on the memorandum that we got, and I 5 6 was very pleased by the quality of the memorandum. got a quick education on how other commissions 7 function. 8 My general impression, and I just suggest 9 to Commissioner George that he keep this in mind, was 10 that most of the other commissions seem to have even 11 broader powers than this Commission does, with fewer 12 restrictions, in light of the fact that we really 13 can't enforce anything but for the Justice Department 14 doing it for us. 15 So that one of the things that I -- I had 16 17 asked Robbie to do this, to consider whether or not we want to restrict the powers that we have, and I think 18 that we would want to -- that we would be very careful 19 20 before we would want to suggest, in terms of process, that we have any restrictions if you will. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: But I would probably 23 think that the best thing to do is to leave us to mull over this memo and the proposal, since you haven't had 24 25 a chance to analyze it. Is that right, Commissioner

George? 1 COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Yes, I haven't even 2 had a chance to read it. 3 Well, then, CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. 4 you're at a particular disadvantage. 5 COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Yes. 6 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So why don't we 7 simply, at this point, unless others have read it in 8 great detail and have comments that they would like to 9 make, or let's have some more preliminary comments, if 10 anyone has any right now, and then just say we'll 11 discuss it again. 12 ·13 Yes, Commissioner Anderson? 14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I thought the memorandum was very good, too. I was pleased with it. 15 The one area that I would like explored a little bit 16 17 more -- I mean, I'm very happy that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has broad subpoena power. 18 However, I think there is a category, it seemed to me, 19 20 of commissions that do not have that kind of 21 enforcement responsibility like the -- I don't -- that 22 are closer to our agency, and I don't see any

I think if we could find some and see, maybe it is that simply other commissions don't have

commissions like that in this memorandum.

23

24

25

the subpoena power. But you see the point that I'm 1 trying to make. We're not really an enforcement 2 agency. You'd expect an enforcement agency to have 3 broad subpoena power. It might be helpful if we could 4 identify several agencies a little bit more like us 5 and see what their subpoena power is. 6 COMMISSIONER HIGGINBOTHAM: What entity in 7 the world is like us? 8 (Laughter.) 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's what Dwight 10 Eisenhower asked when he asked Congress to pass the 11 statute and put subpoena power in, and Herbert 12 Brownell told him that the only way the Commission 13 14 could force anybody to tell him anything is to have 15 subpoena power. And he said, "Well, how do you get 16 that, and what other agency is like this?" And the 17 answer to the question according to Arthur Flemming, who told me this story and was at the meeting, the 18 19 answer was no one. And I'm not sure there is any now, but 20 that's worth looking at. I have a hunch we are sort 21 of different from most agencies. 22 23 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: 24 COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Can I briefly 25 respond to --

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, only after
2	Commissioner Anderson finishes his thought.
3	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: All right.
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I know he is soft
5	spoken, but
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Well, I think
7	you're probably right, although my guess is there are
8	a few more federal agencies now
9	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Now than there were
10	then.
11	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: that we're
12	under Eisenhower
13	(Laughter.)
14	even after the Reagan administration.
15	But, anyway, that was my question. If we could find
16	something, it might be helpful.
17	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. Commissioner
18	George?
19	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Yes. I just wanted
20	to say that the approach that I'm taking in the memo
21	or the motion I'm trying to draft I think will not
22	significantly restrict the subpoena power of the
23	Commission. That, in any event, is not my intention.
24	But will deal with the with two problems, or at
25	least what I perceive to be problems. One is the

1	compulsion of opinion testimony, and two is increasing
2	the Commissioner responsibility and accountability and
3	involvement in subpoenas duces tecum.
4	Then, I have a question, and that is
5	whether the General Counsel's memo anticipates further
6	research. I'm not quite sure from my assistant
7	whether the memo itself indicated that there was a
8	need for further research or whether he was suggesting
9	to me that there might be further research that's
LO	needed. Is the memorandum, in a certain sense,
11	preliminary to still more work, or is it complete from
L2	the General Counsel's own point of view? I just don't
L3	have it. I haven't read it, so I don't know.
L4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The answer do you
L5	know the answer, Staff Director?
L6	STAFF DIRECTOR MATHEWS: Yes, Madam Chair.
L7	It's my impression that this is completed research on
.8	the part of the General Counsel's staff, in terms of
.9	a survey of other agencies.
20	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Could we ask the
21	General Counsel?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MATHEWS: Yes, absolutely.
23	Would you come forward?
4	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: General Counsel, would
25	you mind answering the question and telling us what it

-	medilo.
2	MS. MOORE: Well, we have two points.
3	One, this is the
4	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Could you use the
5	microphone?
6	MS. MOORE: What we have produced here
7	does constitute the full universe of independent
8	commissions, so there are no other commissions that
9	are independent executive commissions for us to look
10	at that have subpoena power.
11	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Okay.
12	MS. MOORE: The second point is that we
13	did indicate that if it was the desire of the
14	Commission that we could look we could examine case
15	law to determine more precisely what the actual
16	practices were. What we've limited our research to
17	here is the statutory and regulatory authorization of
18	the commissions to issue subpoenas.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So it's go ahead.
20	MS. MOORE: Does that clarify that point?
21	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That answered his
22	question.
23	So the query here is, does the Commission
24	desire one, the Commission desires, according to
25	Commissioner Anderson to be assured whether there are

other agencies or commissions that are like us that 1 haven't been included. And the answer is that insofar 2 as independent commissions are concerned, apparently 3 4 not. The other question is, do we want the 5 staff to look into how, in actual practice, some of 6 these agencies carry out the subpoena responsibility. 7 They have not researched that. And if we want them to 8 do that, we should say that that's what we want them 9 10 to do, if we think it's necessary for this particular enterprise. 11 I wonder if before COMMISSIONER GEORGE: 12 putting them to that work, if what we should do is --13 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Read it. 14 COMMISSIONER GEORGE: -- I should read the 15 16 memo carefully --17 (Laughter.) 18 -- and then ask if -- unless those of you who have read it might already think that we should 19 20 ask for the additional work. But, otherwise, I could 21 read it and it might very well be that I don't think that any more work is needed, at least for me to draft 22 the motion that I'm trying to draft. But if it is, 23 24 maybe I could then just reserve the right to ask the

Staff Director to ask the General Counsel to --

25

1	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Well, why don't you
2	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: to do it.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Why don't you read it
4	first and see? Because I have read it, and the Vice
5	Chair has just told me he has read it, and he doesn't
6	think for this precise enterprise that we need to have
7	more work done. But your view may be different after
8	you read it.
9	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I hate to ask them to
11	do something they don't need to do.
12	COMMISSIONER GEORGE: Right. I agree.
13	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. So we will then
14	defer we haven't taken this, really, from the
15	table. So I didn't do it right, because I tabled it
16	last time. But we will, then, defer discussion of
17	this until we have the next meeting on this question.
18	Now, what I'd like to do is on the program
19	planning matter, there are a few things that we
20	actually do need to get done, that may not be
21	controversial at all, in view of the discussion we had
22	last time. And those of you who find it controversial
23	can say so, if you find it so.
24	We need to say what the Commission is
25	going to keep doing the rest of this year, since this

· ...

1 by meeting and to never say what the staff is supposed 2 to be finishing is hardly fair and hardly productive. 3 So, in 1996, if we are to say what the 4 Commission is to be doing, what the Commission is to 5 be doing based on what is going on now and what we 6 7 said at the last meeting, part of which was added to the minutes by Commissioner George, as I understand 8 it, is the annual statutory report -- that's the one 9 that's in our statute -- is on education. And we all 10 understood that from the last discussion, so there is 11 no controversy about finishing that. 12 Do I hear any controversy or comments? 13 On Los Angeles, we do have an issue. 14 15 Well, let me get through the ones where we don't have 16 an issue. The New York hearing, of course, there's no issue about the fact that the staff is supposed to be 17 18 finishing that. Anybody make an issue of that? No, 19 I hear nothing. The Miami hearing report, the staff is 20 supposed to be writing a report to present to us. 21 22 There's no controversy about that. The Mississippi Delta, we discussed last 23 24 time having a hearing this year, if possible. Is 25 there any controversy about that? If it's possible to

year is rapidly coming to a close. So to go meeting