1	UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
2	MICHIGAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF:) THE CONSULTATION ON)
5	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION)
6	
7	Hearing in the above-entitled cause.
~8	9:00 o'clock a.m
9	June 18th, 1996
10	Westin Hotel
11	Detroit, Michigan
12	
13	VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS
14	
15	
16	
17	•
18	
19	
20	TR COMMISSION ON CIVIL PICHTS
21	U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
2-2-	
ححا	CCR
	Meet.
	ALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS
	P. O. BOX 43043 CHICAGO, IL 60643 (312)236-4984

1	INDEX		1
2	PRESENTER	PAGE	
3	VIJAY MAHIDA Metco Services	5	
3	KENNETH SMALLWOOD	3	
4	Personnel Services	8	
_	DONNA MILHOUSE	•	
5	AAA Michigan	14	
	RONALD E. HALL		
6	Michigan Minority Business Development	30	
	EMILY HOFFMAN		
7	Western Michigan University	33	
	ROBERT L. WILLIS	- 0	
8	Attorney At Law	50	
9	GAIL NOMURA University of Michigan	65	
פ	JEANNIE JACKSON	03	
10	The Detroit Medical Center	87	
	JOANN NICHOLS WATSON	•	
11	NAACP Detroit Branch	93	
	ANN MALAYANG		
12	Asian American Center for Justice	113	
	PATRICIA BELL		
13	Federal Guidance Counselor	121	
	JOHN BLACKWELL	123	
14	WILLIAM C. BROOKS	128	
15	General Motors Corporation VICTOR MARSH	120	
1 3	Wayne County Commission	139	l
16	RON MILLER	141	
	JACQUELINE MORRISON		
17	Michigan Urban League	150	
	JIMMY MYERS		
18	American Association for Affirmative Action	154	
_	PAULA ALLEN-MEARS		
19	University of Michigan	163	
20	HORATIO VARGAS	167	
20	New Detroit, Inc. PUBLIC SESSION	107	
21	FODDIC BEBBION		
	HOWARD SIMON		
22	American Civil Coalition	177	
23			
ں ہے			
24			

1 CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: The meeting of the Michigan 2 State Advisory Committee, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights 3 is called to order at 9:30 a.m.. Good morning I'm Janice 4 Frazier, Chairperson of the Michigan Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights. 5 With me today are other members of the Advisory Committee. 6 We 7 have a few members that have not arrived as yet, but I'm 8 sure they will be here shortly, given the weather and 9 location. Right now I'd like to begin on my right and I'd like for each of the members to introduce themselves. 10 MR. KOBRAK: Peter Kobrak. 11 12 MS. OLIVAREZ-MASON: Marylou Olivarez-Mason, 13 The Commission on Spanish Speaking Affairs. 14 MR. HWANG: Roland Hwang from Lansing. I work 15 for the Michigan Department of Attorney General. Robert Horton. 16 MR. HORTON: 17 MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: Sue Hamilton Smith from 18 Detroit. Executive Vice President Detroit, Inc.. Ellen Ha from Detroit. 19 MS. HA: 20 CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We are here today to conduct a consultation on affirmative action. 21 The

jurisdiction of the Commission includes discrimination

over the denial of equal protection of laws because of

race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability or

22

23

in the administration of justice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The proceedings of this meeting are being recorded by a public stenographer and the information received at this meeting will be formally submitted to the Commission in the form of a report.

At the outset I want to remind everyone present of the ground rules. This is a public meeting open to the media and to the general public. We have a very full schedule of people who will be making presentations today within the limited time we have The time alloted for each presentation will be available. strictly adhered to. This will include a five minute overview by each participant on the panel summarizing the essential points of their position papers. Please do not read your position papers. Please just give us an overview. After these opening comments by the panel members the Advisory Committee will be afforded the opportunity to ask questions of the participants. accommodate persons who have not been invited but wish to make statements to the Advisory Committee, we have scheduled an open session today at 5:00 p.m..

Though some statements made today may be controversial, we have strived to ensure that no person or organization is defamed or degraded by any members of

this Advisory Committee or any participant. Any individual or organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in these proceedings will be given an opportunity to respond. The Advisory Committee deeply appreciates the willingness of all participants to share their views and experience on affirmative action here today. And with that, I will call our first presenter, Vijay Mahida, Metco Services, Inc...

VIJAY MAHIDA

METCO SERVICES, INC.

Good morning. You will pardon me for reading my statement, only I won't read the whole paper.

I give you only two minutes for that. The U.S. Civil Right Commission, Affirmative Action Equal Employment Minority Business Enterprise, Women Owned Business Enterprise and Disadvantaged Business Enterprise, all of which mean program to reduce or alleviate discrimination, past, present and maybe in the future. Regardless whether one is applied or having a business. To these Americans who fall into the categories; that is, African Americans, Hispanic Americans, Native Americans, Asian, Pacific Islander and women, discrimination is a real day to day thing. We all know it is there, but its very difficult to prove. To me as an Asian Indian

American for quite sometime in my — quite sometime in my status as an employee I've felt discriminated. It was sudden and I could not prove it. I felt frustrated. I didn't look towards the federal, state and in Michigan case man — Michigan County programs. These programs convinced me that if I had started my own firm having excellent education and experience, that the set aside program would jump start my business enterprise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A little bit about myself. I'm a professional engineer in the State of Michigan. I'm a professional surveyor in the State of Michigan and I'm a certified public accountant. Currently I'm in law school trying to get my, complete my package ace an attorney. However I felt convinced without the programs I would have very little chance of success. The programs of the federal, state and county government did, in fact, help me and my associates succeed in making a brand new business into a growing concern. My associates and miss are very grateful for all the assistance given to us. That's why I'm here. Today we are a successful, reputed firm in the Detroit area. We have a real goal of non discrimination. We encourage all minorities; female and non minorities to better themselves with us. For that I have two examples. I have with me Roseanna Santos who had a high school

education in the city of Detroit and for six months after graduation did not have a job. She came to us, we give her a job and in a very short time people would call me, who is that person you got there? She's an excellent person and I said well we give her an opportunity and that's what she got from us. Today she's a vice president of the company. She's been with us for 13 years. She's married, has two children, a house of her own and I think that she would retire with us when she reaches 65 years. That's a success story of a tax paying citizen who was given an opportunity. Also, that she's contributing to the societies also in an additional ways.

Another example is slightly different that her name is Terry Russell. Her grandparents came from Greece. So she's a Greek American born here in Detroit. Went to high school. She — to the Greek people she's too American and to the Americans she's too Greek. So she needed her — so, she's neither here nor there. So, she asked me, who am I Dr. Mahida, and I said you are who you are. Just do what you think, what is best for you and go from there. Today she's also a vice president for marketing, owns ten percent of the company, expected to gets 30 percent of the company down the road, an excellent person who was given an opportunity to better themselves.

1	That ends my presentation, but I have
2	two suggestions about the phasing out and the small
3	business definition. The is about a Section 8 A program
4	is correct about the term limit. Once a firm is a growing
5	concern, the term limits of ten years is fair and I do
6	support that. However, a small business definition being
7	on an average sale of \$1.5 million for a past 3 years is
8	obsolete. This definition is nearly ten years old. \$1.5
9	million ten year ago is not the same as \$1.5 million
10	today,. This limit should be inflation adjusted and
11	should be around \$3 million average for the last three
12	years. That would not phase out small businesses who are
13	still doing the same \$1.5 at that point and still is like
14	3 today. The same numbers basically. That end my
15	comments. I'm available for questions.
16	CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thanks Dr. Mahida. Are
17	there questions from members of the committee?
18	If not, thank you very much.
19	MR. MAHIDA: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Mr. Kenneth Smallwood,
21	Personnel Resources.
22	KENNETH SMALLWOOD
23	PERSONNEL RESOURCES
24	My paper is entitled, Folklore of

Preferential Treatment. The following, you know, this subject for 30 years, you get in all the controversies and it becomes a major controversy. I remember, I'm a member of the bar in Jersey City, New Jersey years. didn't allow women in. There I remember a bar. They had a sign that no discussions of politics or religion, so I quess now the sign has been expanded to no decision of politics, religion or right to work, right to life or affirmative action. So, anyway, I thought it was important to flesh through our history of preferential treatment and what I found was major programs which you called closet affirmative action that weren't called that, but in many guise. It had that result that benefited white males. So if a white male to say a government never helped me. The fact that I show in my paper in the 30 some odd source books and articles and of course I ran it by my friends at an Eastern University. One friend was the head of the Humanities Department and since it's history I thought he could help me, but he specialized in British Labor History. He gave me the American history guide and he said I was on the right track. So, the problem is we're led to believe that status intervention in the free market is not good, but that's what happened in the development of this country. The first big

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

business was the railroad. It is couldn't have been built east or west, you know, without the government assistance. And as I said, copies of my paper are on the back table there and about three trillion dollar affirmative action program that is benefited white males. Just to take one industry in time, the oil industry. The tax codes, the oil depletion allowance and use oil itself we're talking about a trillion dollars that benefited white males. am for quotas; that's \$36 billion of that and then President Truman, he gave money to the Middle East to placate the Arab leaders and to get influence there because that's where a lot of oil was. Then Truman also, and there was, I guess, separate from the Marshall Plantar Getz monies to represent the European markets of the Middle East oil, but for American companies. In 1953 the Submerge Land Act where costal there law allowed costal states to take public land away from the public and to turn it over to private companies. And that's \$180 billion dollars to those companies. Government subsidies to tankards and ETA gave money to refineries to experiment with the scrubbers, I think they called it, from smoke stacks that stopped oil pollution because, of course, these oil companies they needed help. Their income was rather low. Then the oil shall was leased from public

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

lands and I believe it was hundreds of thousand of acres. The acreage contained about two hundred thousand barrels of oil and now about — and that was about 800 billion dollars and then loans to build refineries in Europe, \$235 million. Then Marshall Plan, the railroads, the use of public land for farmers and ranchers mineral rights.

About \$600 billion dollars from public land by mining companies, and they pay no taxes. Subsidized land grants, of course during the time where disenfranchisement of blacks.

Now if I have a minute left, I just want to touch on quotas. I had the opportunity to work for the Federal Civil Service Commission in Washington and the surprise was that there was a quota system. It's the Apportionment Act and each federal agency, the headquarter's positions were called departmental positions and the field office agencies, they were field positions. No quotas there. But the departmental positions, they were all added up that each state, based on population, was entitled to so many of these positions and they kept a regular log of how many were field and how many, whether there — they were over or under. But what happened because Washington, D.C. is between Virginia and Maryland, they were thousands over their quota. Whereas, if you

were from Nevada or from the State of Washington, Oregon, California, the government wasn't paying travel, they were thousands under their quota. So what it meant was to help the places under the quota get more of their jobs, a score of 75 from the west could and would go ahead of a score of 90 on many of the exams from Maryland and Virginia because they were thousands over their quota because they were so Now, nobody talks about this, but I worked with it close. for five years before I went to the National Labor Relations Board and I'm sure that there's some laws on the books, anybody can check it out if they care to. Minorities has lost its meaning because everybody today is a minority accept the white male heterosexual. You know, they added women, Asians and Hispanics and, you know, and the list kept getting longer to include everyone. the apportionment laws, as I said, doesn't have much impact now because it was a way for veterans and we had Korean, we had 20 million veterans. So that impacted against veterans and, you know, in a non meritorious way. And one book I thought was very important was American Apartaid by Denton and Massey. I believe they got a grant and they had this book published by Harvard University Press and they did about, I think years of research in the city and they came to the conclusion that access to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

housing market is just note there for black people and housing is very important because the zip code, besides shelter, your zip code means your peer group, the education of your children, the safety, the equity, insurance, and a whole lot of other things and you don't have access to zip codes, you're not in the main in any competition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Another thing I touched on, of course, I know through the might of hypocracy, but finally I'd like to close on something on reverse discrimination. Ιf you people have really thought about what real reverse discrimination would be, that would be the reverse of discrimination that happens to black people. reverse would mean that blacks would be disproportionately in decision-making positions throughout the country, we'd have say 70 black U.S. Senators and 300 blacks U.S. Congressmen and 40 black governors and CEOs of Fortune 500 companies maybe would have 300 of them college presidents and all over the list. And they would use this power to discriminate against white people for 300 years. people would be lynched and live in ghettos and be unemployed, have poor health and short life and be disproportionately in the prison system and the whole Now that is, of course, it never happened, it scenario.

never will happen. That would be real reverse discrimination. So when people understand that it kind of stretches their thinking. And as part of the healing process, if we're going to starts to do something about polarization and division here, so fortunately, you know, I can keep the dialogue going and I'm here to learn and that's what it's all about. You've got to keep an open mind because 30 years of reading a thousand books on this subject, I must have changed my mind on a lot of things many, many times. Because if you get facts and they don't change you, there's no growth.

So the rest of what I have to say is in my paper. So I've probably gone over my five minutes, so thanks very much for hearing me.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you, Mr. Smallwood.

I'm going to allow Ms. Milhouse to go next and after she has completed her five minutes then I will entertain questions.

DONNA MILHOUSE

AAA MICHIGAN

Good morning, I am Donna Milhouse. I have been a practicing attorney for 15 years in Detroit.

It is truly a pleasure to have the privileged opportunity to address the Advisory Committee on this important issue.

I am a proponent of affirmative action. Affirmative action, in my estimation, means any conscious effort to include African Americans and other under represented ethnic groups and women as full participants in society, particularly with respect to education and employment There is no question in my mind that opportunities. affirmative action is necessary. This necessity eminates from the historical and continuing preferential treatment enjoyed by white males to the detriment and exclusion of African Americans and women. The history of oppression is particularly acute with respect to the African Americans. It is accompanied by consciously cultivated and deeply ingrained notions of racial superiority and entitlement. It is precisely because of this that mere prohibitions against discrimination do not go far enough in breaking down solidly entrenched barriers and creating meaningful opportunities. Since oppression and discrimination particularly against African Americans was institutionalized, government sanctioned and endorsed by the judiciary for years, it's only fitting that the government take the lead, and it has, in affirmatively creating vehicles of inclusion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Affirmative action as it stands now is only mandated by employers and certain narrow

cicumstances, including federal contractors and those employers receiving federal or state or local funding. While these programs may need to be revamped to ensure greater compliance, there is certainly no justification for dismantlement. It is particularly troubling that here in Michigan the legislature is entertaining, as we speak, significant scale backs to affirmative action programs. One involves, and I discuss these in my position paper, one involves a proposed state Constitutional amendment to specifically prohibit public employers and institutions of higher education from granting preferential treatment to individuals on the basis of race, sex and other protected categories. A second proposed measure seeks to impose a host of prerequisites on public employers which must be satisfied before adoption or implementation of affirmative action programs. And a third measure that's being considered would preclude educational institutions and employers from adopting different cutoff testing scores with respect or on the basis of race, sex or other protected categories. These I believe are unfortunate developments and signal an unwillingness to acknowledge the continuing need for racial parity in our society.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

What also is particularly troubling to

24 me is the use of civil rights laws and Constitutional

protections to dismantle affirmative action programs. I think that the use of these laws in that fashion is completely contrary to the intent and the spirit of these measures. Civil rights laws and constitutional protection certainly were not enacted in response to any discrimination being suffered by white men on account of race or gender.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As an attorney, of course, I approached my position paper from a legal perspective somewhat and what I'd like to do is to quote from justice, United States Supreme Court Justice Harry Blackmond, his dissenting opinion in Regents of the University of California versus Backi which I believe captures the very essence of what must be accomplished in order to affect remedial change and move closer to a racially balanced society. And Justice Blackmond said, and I quote in his dissenting opinion, "I suspect that it would be impossible to arrange an affirmative action program in a racially neutral way and have it successful. To ask that this be so is to demand the impossible. In order to get beyond racism, we must first take account of race. There is no other way. And in order to treat some persons equally, we must treat them differently. We cannot, we dare not, let the equal protection clause perpetuate racial supremacy.

The history of inclusion in this country has been far from Indeed it has been shameful. The sooner all exemplary. members of our society become welcomed and productive participants, the less divisive this country will become. Achieving real and meaningful diversity in all segments of academics, economic and social life requires dispelling long held notions of superiority and can only be accomplished through concerted and deliberate efforts. Attempts to retreat from action which affirmatively seeks to remove obstacles to inclusion and creates genuine opportunities for groups which traditionally and continually face opposition must be resisted. We simply can not afford to ignore the realities of the past, the challenges of the present and the promises of the future with respect to race and gender issues in America." you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you, Ms. Milhouse and Mr. Smallwood. Are there questions of panel members?

Mr. Kobrak?

MR. KOBRAK: Mr. Smallwood, I'm intrigued with your institutional examples of racism, the oil companies and subsidies and that kind, the disproportionate to white males. The question I have of you is rather unfair to heap on you because it is something I'm puzzling about.

MR. SMALLWOOD: That's fine. Go ahead.

MR. KOBRAK: You don't mind joint therapy here. The individual solutions like affirmative action pit person against person. The advantage of an institutional support is that it doesn't appear in the form of subsidizing individuals. It has another societal goal. How do we deal with the legitimacy of giving some individual advantages over others in a way that will have meaning for white Americans in the society today?

MR. SMALLWOOD: Well, my best answer would be we're working towards that. It's very difficult because in a democracy the majority rule and the majorities are white people and we only can accomplish what most of them are willing to allow. And there hasn't been much.

One of the interesting things in the housing survey was that the white comfort on integrated neighborhood was only 7 percent integration. So when it goes beyond 7 percent, they move. And that's kind of a surprise to me. And then there's this whole animosity to so-called social engineered. When my research showed the Supreme Court decision of 1886 of Santa Clara versus the Southern Pacific Railroad. At that point Anaconda owned California and that decision was a decision that said that a corporation which is a group of people now has a

protection of an individual as far as the 14th Amendment and that was Santa Clara was trying to tax the railroad and the railroad went to court and said it was arbitrary and it didn't have due process itself. Well, the portion of that decision is that it opened the door for holding companies and interlocking directorates which led to the trust and the concentration of capital there is beyond labor. You know, until labor unions were legitimate and it helped a few people to control many corporations, you know, kind of get out of hand. If you study that, you go into that, you'd see it had vast ramifications. So maybe that doesn't answer your question, I don't know.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: What are some suggestions or remedies that you might have?

MR. SMALLWOOD: Well, after looking at statistics on poverty in the mis-education of children and homelessness and 27 million people getting food stamps, some of those people work for the minimum wage. So, indirectly, we're subsidizing marginal businesses. While looking at those problems, probably affirmative action is a peripheral issue. What I'm saying is the focus should be on the poor people rather than the middle class. So, the chief beneficiaries of affirmative action have been white females. Of course there's a reason for that. They

have the education, better colleges and that was originally now nailed down in the 1979 study at the Wharton School. They did a study of the Ma Bell consent decree, AT & T five years later and what they found that the white female got into management because Ma Bell recruiters had got them from the better colleges. And the benefit for the blacks, they moved up from janitors to . learn craft jobs; cable, placers telephone installers and blue collar foremen of the craft when the white ones had went to sales and management. So that continued. So, my recommendation would be if you focus on eliminating the ghettos and opening up housing markets, then we can move on from there. But I would say that would be, on the other hand, the beneficiary of affirmative action would be, of course, the white males that through the old boy network had access to the Savings and Loan money where with little or no collateral, because they knew somebody. In one case the man only had collateral of \$2,000 and borrowed several million. I don't know, I never heard of any black person or minority getting that kind of money. So, white female, white males had access to the Savings and Loan money. We all have to pay for that just like we're all paying for environmental clean up. You know, we didn't mess up the environment, but when it comes to all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

chipping into help the disadvantaged, then we slam the door and so on. No, this is free enterprise. You people are lazy, you've got to do something. But when it comes to cleaning up the mess the corporations made; the environment and bailing out the Savings and Loan people, we all have to pay our share. So, that's the kind of thing that happen in the democracy.

The minority needs help. They're out voted in the legislature because they don't have the representatives.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Ms. Milhouse, may I ask you, are you speaking as an individual or are you representing your corporation?

MS. MILHOUSE: I am most definitely speaking as an individual and the views I express here are not necessarily representative of Detroit Michigan AAA.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I just wondered in your corporate world whether or not you have seen any exemplary programs that are not mandated by federal law?

MS. MILHOUSE: I don't know that I have actually seen any exemplary formal programs. I think one of the points that I make is that I think that we need some legal mandates in order to encourage people to actually view this as an important issue to view racial

parity as an important issue and to implement these programs. I have, though, seen companies who are very active and conscious in making sure that representation of African Americans and women and other ethnic groups are increased. I think that a lot of times which what may be even speaks louder than words is when companies and businesses realize that it makes economic sense frankly to have a diverse population. Many companies and businesses have customers who are diverse and vendors and do business with other companies with a diverse population and it just makes good business sense to increase the level of diversity in companies and I have seen those measures taken to achieve more racial parity among the work force.

MR. GORDON: You spoke very articulately and I enjoyed your presentation. You indicated as we've heard from advocates of the position that we don't need federal laws anymore. Is the economics and economic necessity in the marketplace which is going to increase affirmative action and bring — and help them bring minorities in the workplace and help them rise. So I question how do we answer the proponents of that position that we still need the support of statutes and federal laws? What are those laws that would be necessary to help encourage that?

Well, I think that the laws

MS. MILHOUSE:

that are currently in place do assist. Those laws are It's really very curious to see the public very narrow. outcry and furor over affirmative action when affirmative action in terms of mandated obligatory requirements do not really impact the majority of the employer population. think that it is very difficult to dispel notions of superiority and entitlement automatically and that just to say we have a law that says you cannot discriminate is just simply not enough. It just is not and I think that affirmative action laws should be expanded and affirmative action means again just creating opportunities for qualified. I think that we make the mistake of thinking that these jobs and opportunities are being given, just handed out to unqualified persons, but to qualified and talented individual, African Americans, other ethnic groups and women. So that they are brought into the mainstream of society. We have seen, history has shown that without these mandates it does not happen. It just simply does not happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SMALLWOOD: Mr. Gordon, can I say something briefly about the Fair Housing Act because I've said this is a democracy when it was first the fatal immortal compromise is that it was not enforcement for a generation, then when it was enforced, they got an

inadequate budget, many communities refused to take federal money because they don't want fair housing. So, you have a law, but there are limitations.

MR. HWANG: I know that there's been a lot of examination with respect to affirmative action programs in terms of mending, not ending it. So there has been historical discrimination in certain populations, but are there certain ways that affirmative action programs in your view should be mended, i.e. no historical discrimination in a certain employer. Are there certain notions as to how these programs can be mended? If there's any problem or should they be continued as is? Do you have any position on that?

MS. MILHOUSE: I think if we view affirmative action as creating opportunities for people then everyone should be doing that. I mean I don't see where that is limited to a particular employer or a particular, you know, type of employer. Certainly where there has been a showing and these are very often difficult to prove a showing of manifest discrimination, which, by way the Supreme Court inquiries, you know, then certainly those affirmative action programs usually are mandated by either consent decree by the courts or there is some obligation to institute them. And that may mean

making sure that your representation of African Americans or other under represented populations and women met a certain level. But in terms of just in general I think that all employers should be and it makes sense for all employers to be more inclusive in their quest for employers and also in terms of the educational system that we should seek measures to reduce and strike down barriers to inclusion. We've been using affirmative action, affirmatively taking steps in the past to exclude these groups. Its now time to flip the coin and take affirmative steps to include these groups.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Smallwood, many individuals who would benefit from affirmative action programs and other social programs don't vote. How do we deal with that issue?

MR. SMALLWOOD: I think that when people like Jesse Jackson, the Urban League and the NAACP is working on that program and the people that are dealing with it are community leaders. There's one industry which is covered in my paper I didn't have time to talk about is he construction industry and union construction in cities, what I call mechanical crafts. They earn \$50,000 a year with overtime and that's probably the last area where just a high school education will get into an apprenticeship

that you can earn that kind of money.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, I get the impression most people think affirmative action has worked. It has worked for middle class blacks and white people -- white women. But these blue collar people, direction is what works for them. I'm talking about community organizations like Harlem Fight Back in New York headed by Jim Haughton, the Brotherhood Crusade in Los Angeles led by Danny Bakewell, in Chicago, the Chicago Black United Communities Their slogan is nobody works unless we led by Eddie Read. If you remember on t.v. truckloads of white workers going to L.A. to rebuild a city. They were stopped. There was no affirmative action. People were out there with iron pipes and 2 by 4s. Nobody works unless we work. So that's a sad commentary of government enforcement. that's what's opened up the construction industry. In Seattle an ex-marine started it, Tyree Scott, and in other And I know these people and I spent a lot -- part cities. of my life helping them cast doubt on the labor laws. served with the NLRB, so they believe in voting, getting people to vote, but in the meantime, they direct people in directions because that feeds their families and helps them send them to college.

MR. MARTIN:

Haven't there been some labor

groups that pairs to help -- that have paired with communities groups so they establish committees?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SMALLWOOD: There have been a major failure. After the drop out rate, a lot of them are starved. They worked them while they are apprentices because they are cheaper labor. It's a successful program for white males, but I say you see before the Civil Rights Act was passed in 1964, effective a year later in Title 7, 70 percent of white journeymen didn't go through apprenticeship, they knew somebody, showed up on the job and got up to speed at journeymen rates because the Davis Bacon Act says you have to get a training program if you're not a journeymen. Special rates have to be set by the government, 70 percent. Okay, well, once the law was passed, the unions changed. Everybody has to go through an apprenticeship. Apprenticeship became the eye of the needle for weeding out blacks, mis-training them so they never would become journeymen. And the Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training which Whitney Young called, and it's still some truth to it, a rest home for retired white business agents. They just weren't producing the journeymen and also five percent of the mechanical crafts plumbers, pipefitters, elevator constructors, sheet metal mechanics, electricians. Maybe I left someone out. Still

1	95 percent of white males and this is 30 years after
2	affirmative action so, you know, well, its all in the
3	paper if you want to read it. But what I'm saying is some
4	unions have tried to help, but others it's been just a
5	guise because they knew that most of the blacks weren't
6	going to get through the apprenticeship program. If they
7	did they're going to starve them so they're going to have
8	to leave the industry.
9	CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you. I have one more
10	questions from Ms. Sue Hamilton-Smith and then we will
11	have to take our next presenters.
12	MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: This is a short one to
13	Ms. Milhouse. You referenced three, I believe, bills that
14	are pending in the State legislature of Michigan.
15	MS. MILHOUSE: Yes.
16	MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: I wonder if you have
17	ready access to those bill numbers or are they included in
18	your paper?
19	MS. MILHOUSE: They are included in my paper.
20	MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you very much both
22	of you.
23	Good morning. We welcome our next
24	presenter who is going to be Mr. Ronald Hall, Michigan

Minority Business Development Council.

RONALD E. HALL

MICHIGAN MINOIRTY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

Thank you. Good morning, I am Ronald Hall of the Michigan Minority Business Development Council or the MMBDC as it's normally called. Today I take this opportunity to speak on behalf of our Board of Directors, our almost 700 MBE members and 334 corporate members.

Today I want to focus your attention on the part of affirmative action or as we call it affirmative purchasing which seeks to include minority businesses in the economic mainstream of this state and country including set asides.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Excuse me just a second.

You were not here earlier and so I have asked that you have five minutes to summarize what your comments are rather than to read your paper, so I don't know how you're approaching it, but I wanted to make sure that you knew the ground rules.

MR. HALL: Okay. Basically just what I'm saying is minority businesses have, unless there's some sort of affirmative action program in place, minority businesses will not enjoy their rightful place in the economy. And embedded in my paper is testimony of what was happening here in Michigan prior to 1970 when evidence was

being gathered about the lack of business growing in minority firms. In fact, our research indicates that it was .0007 percent going to minority businesses. Hood enacted some legislative act, public law 428 was passed in 1980 which created goals of 7 percent for minority businesses in contracts and 5 percent for women owned businesses. And throughout the '80s this program continued to grow and in fact, by the late '80s, 1987 to be specific, 15.7 percent of all dollars spent in state contracts were spent with minorities and women businesses. When the road builders brought a suit in 1988, that was ultimately held was ultimately ruled on by the Supreme It essentially struck down set aside programs. Courts. The gist of it is that Public Act 428 was not a set aside program, but it was goal- oriented. However, when the road builders brought suit, that was heard, virtually all businesses with minorities in the state ceased to exist. We have some statistics that speak to this We know. because Morris Hood in 1990 began to have hearings to try to re-establish the fact that there needed to be some sort goal-oriented effort on behalf of the state to include minorities and women. And in gathering information and data to do that, we found that -- we found out that only two percent of the business of the state was going to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

minority and women; whereas MDOT, the Michigan Department of Transportation which does still have a federal mandate to set aside programs for minorities and women. MDOT was, is constantly and continues to do 15 percent. So, we know the results when there is some sort of mandate to do business with minority firms, goal, mandate, you name it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Affirmative action, in my opinion, should be about inclusiveness, it's not about excluding anybody else, but it should be about including and making the playing field more level. That's the gist of what this paper says here. And, of course, we know that there's attacks on affirmative action now that's going on in Washington DC. From my own vantage point, the question that I'm asked for is what happens to MMBDC's programs, particularly our mission statement which indicates that we're in business to create opportunities for minority firms with major corporations to bring about more procurement opportunities. That's what we do, and I can tell you private corporations in America understand that for the first time in America it makes good business sense to do business with minority firms. We know that the minority population in the United States is going to double by the year 2010 from the statistics in 1990. Four out of five people entering into the work market by the

year 2000 will be minorities and women. Even today as I sit, minorities and, well blacks and Hispanics in particular constitute over \$400 billion of purchasing power and in today's global economy, any corporation who ignores that segment of the population is ludicrous and I can tell you that our private corporations have gotten the They are developing programs with more message. diversity. People of color now have halitosis and dandruff and they're driving cars on television. seeing all of this as a result of what's happening in It makes good business sense to be more America. inclusive and that is not being translated in the public sectors and I believe we're going to have to do something about it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We'll come back to you in just a few minutes. Ms. Hoffman?

EMILY HOFFMAN

WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY

Good morning. Thank you for inviting me. I'll be reading a small section of my paper. It will be five or six minutes. The subject of greatest controversy concerning affirmative action is whether or not the implementation of affirmative things results in discrimination against white males. I was fortunate in

studying with an imminent labor economist, Professor Ronald Walaka (phonetic), who noticed that under affirmative action white males were for the first time having to compete for good jobs. Prior to affirmative action white males had a distinct advantage in getting the most desirable jobs. The reason for the favoritism was quite straightforward. Typically the person with the authority to hire or promote was a white male. Understandably since the familiar seems safer than the unfamiliar, those white males in charge of employment decisions preferred to hire other white males. It should be carefully noted, most likely in the face of white males that there's a real distinct difference between the loss of the advantage of being discriminated for and of actually being discriminated against, which would be reverse

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

distinct difference between the loss of the advantage of being discriminated for and of actually being discriminated against, which would be reverse discrimination. Notwithstanding the emotional reaction that they are one in the same. The implementation of affirmative action in the higher education labor market might be considered to serve as an example of non discriminatory hiring for other labor markets and academic hiring. The most qualified person is supposed to be offered the job. They are both objective and subjective criteria used to determine who is the most qualified, but

they should be applied equally to all the applicants. Ιf it should happen that a male candidate and a female candidate for instance are judged to be equally the most qualified, the female candidate probably would be hired if the ratio of male to female faculty in that department is much greater than the ratio of male to female faculty in that field nationwide. As an example of the application of affirmative action to a non academic labor market, consider the method of police department promotions and what appears to be a reasonable and fair system. The promotion procedure in many large police departments is that police personnel applying for a promotion take an examination to see if they are qualified for that In general, those who receive the highest promotion. scores above a predefined minimum level are the ones However, some police departments have decided promoted. that blacks can be considered as sufficiently qualified for promotion, even if they score lower than some whites. As a result, those departments were able to promote greater numbers of blacks than would be otherwise For example suppose whites made a score of 80 to be considered qualified for promotion while blacks need a score of only 70. Understandably this results in resentment by those whites who receive a score say of 75

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

which was above the black minimum, but below the white minimum and who; therefore, were not promoted, even though blacks with lower scores were promoted. It's a very different value judgment to decide if this procedure is right or wrong. In any case, it should be considered as a short term expedient, not a permanent solution. However, before deciding that affirmative action is a unique abberation in our society's otherwise level playing field, let us consider some cases of unequal treatment which our society does seem to find justified or at least condones. For example, veterans preference is an instance where we have decided that there is good reason for making an exception from strictly equal test score criteria in an appointment also to civil service positions. Veteran's preference consists of awarding extra points to examination scores based on a person's status as a While it generally seems quite a different veteran. emotional context, veteran's preference is mathematically equivalent to allowing black police promotion applicants to meet a lower criteria than white police promotion applicants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In a different area, some students are admitted to college based on their athletic ability or their status as a child of an alumnus and not strictly

according to high school grades and college admission test scores. Here again our society departs from strictly merit based decisions, yet while there is relatively little public complaint about these procedures, there tends to be a public human cry when lower passing scores are required of minority persons. Why does our society approve of non equal policies in the case of veterans, athletes and children of alumnae, but not in the case of minorities? Rhetorical question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Properly applied affirmative action does not require a need not result in discrimination against white males. Indeed, affirmative action has operated successfully; and therefore, affirmative action should be continued until the inequities of labor market discrimination have been eradicated. Unfortunately, affirmative action is not a band-aid, not a cure for the problem of illegal discrimination. We have had affirmative action without legal implementation since World War II and with government enforcements since the 1960s. But we have not yet succeeded in providing equal employment opportunities to all members of our society. Our society, our country is changing. The laws of our great nation require that all citizens be treated equally without regard to gender, race, religion or any other

discriminatory characteristics. Yet, we all must admit that although however noble an intent may require active governmental policies to bring the intentions contained in the words of the law to actuality in society. Affirmative action is just that policy and with respect to bringing a truly level playing field to the workplace.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you very much. Are there questions? Mr. Hwang?

MR. HWANG: Mr. Hall, since you represent the Minority Business Development Council, can you address what is happening post 1988 with the minority contractors? Are they becoming subcontractors? Are they going in with other contractors? Are they getting smaller, lowering their costs to try to become competitive? What is happening to those minority contractors?

MR. HALL: In my opinion, the private sector is being more proactive. Since 1992 I've seen a dramatic increase in that advocacy for the reasons I stated earlier and its particularly being driven by the big three. I know we're in an automotive state, but General Motors is the number one company in the world, the number one corporation in the world. In 1984, 62 percent of Cadillacs sold to Americans were sold to people of color. In 1994, 28 percent of Cadillacs sold were being sold to people of

The Japanese sent the auto industry a wake up call color. in the '80s and basically launched us into a grab ball competition, downsizing, right sizing and what have you. But simultaneous to that the, growth of minorities in America is increasing and we constitute a greater market for corporations who are conscious of the bottom line. Two and two and a half years ago Mr. Yeaton, the president of Chrysler gave a speech that said by the year 2010 30 percent of Chrysler's products will be in the hands of the minority community in America or Chrysler will be out of That's how serious they are. Ford Motor Company business. in 1987 took their top management on a retreat and they demonstrated for every percentage point they penetrate the minority community with their products meant \$20 million to the bottom line. It doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out why these companies have embraced cultural diversity like they never have before. As I said before, it makes good business sense. What we're arguing about now is public dollars. Affirmative action, at least in terms of my what I'm interested in which is purchasing. I mean education is a whole other issue and I have some opinions about that as well. But we're talking about public

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

dollars in the United States. Minorities are getting less

than two percent, so what are we arguing about for crying out loud? I mean we've got to have affirmative action.

We've seen what happens in the states that do away with it. Those public dollars are not going to the minority community and yet they're collecting our tax dollars and that's what we're really talking about. So, I've seen an increase of advocacy in the private sector, a decrease in the public sector.

MR. GORDON: Actually you may have just answered one of my questions which was, with the changing demographics and what's becoming mandatory to include minorities in the business community, my question really was why do we need federal or state statutory mandates for affirmative action? I think you may very just answered that. It is the public sector that is not impacted by the changing demographics the way it should have been.

MR. HALL: Exactly.

MR. GORDON: My other question, since you answered that one, I have to have an opportunity to ask my other question. There's been historically great debate between quotas and goals and whether you need quota less goals, really whether quotas, whether goals can remain goals and how you distinguish between them. Particularly, in the public sectors. I'd like you to comment on this,

that in your perception, perspective, the quota/goal debate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Win decision was rendered in MR. HALL: the road builder's case set aside programs ruled unconstitutional. We had a governor here, Governor Blanchard whose attitude was, he was extremely disappointed. He talked about it publicly. He made public comments to all of his department heads that while set asides were ruled unconstitutional, he still expected for goals to remain. That they should make every effort to continue to support minority businesses. And he took that attitude. And we didn't see a significant drop until we had a Republican governor who went in and I happened to like Governor England personally, but we have not been able to hear one iota from that governor about how he feels about minority businesses in terms of advocating that their department should do everything they can to make sure that minorities are included. So, in effect, we've become invisible under that scenario. without any spoken goals or any agenda being referenced by our state leaders. We've become invisible and when we become invisible, people tend to do business with people who look like them and I haven't seen too many state minority purchasing agents.

MR. GORDON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Mr. Kobrak?

MR. KOBRAK: Mr. Hall, you drew a contrast, and I didn't catch the agencies, which was interesting between them the percentage of minority purchasing and the Michigan Department of Transportation and what was the other agency?

MR. HALL: Just general purchasing by the State of Michigan and --

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Management and budget.

They do all the rest of the purchasing.

MR. KOBRAK: And you found significant difference in terms of where the laws were being enforced and not enforced. That's a very interesting contrast that you're able to draw there.

MR. HALL: The Michigan Department of transportation is handling federal dollars and because they're handling the federal dollars, the federal set aside programs are still in place and so they are able to utilize that to make sure that 15 percent in of what they're purchasing goes to minorities. The State of Michigan management budget department that does all their other purchasing is under no constraint to do anything like that.

MR. KOBRAK: And their percentage is what?

MR. HALL: Less than four percent.

MR. KOBRAK: Dr. Hoffman, you're the first speaker today who has articulated, even used the term, some concern about social contract that you've got to worry about somehow meeting majority expectations, even while you're righting minority wrongs and I was interested in your contrast between veteran's benefits where you can make a justification in terms of individuals and children of alumni where there really is no basis for making that kind of justification. Why are we able to accept the children of alumni getting preferential treatment if we're not willing to accept minorities getting preferential treatment?

MS. HOFFMAN: I cannot really answer that.

First of all, the children of alumni that would tend to be only — it's mostly going to be the case of small private colleges where that occurs, so it isn't a big issue to affect all that many people, okay. There's no answer to that. The answer to my rhetorical question is basically much of the public tends to be racist, unfortunately.

That also we cannot spend very much time worrying about what society thinks. My own mother is against affirmative action and I told her I was coming here to speak about

that. She thinks its unfair to white males, but she's —
if the public is mistaken, they're mistaken. We go by the
laws of the land and not uninformed public sentiment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KOBRAK: What if we were to approach the higher education problem differently and I wonder if they might not be comparable things elsewhere. If a disproportionate number of people who do not attend college are minorities because they're low income might not a solution be to raise the amount of money available for minorities — well for poor people across the board to go to college and thereby eliminate the minority stigma?

MS. HOFFMAN: Right. In my paper I called for expanding affirmative action to include the poor, low income disadvantaged people and that affirmative action is a band-aid. If you removed the band-aid, following the analogies, the person could bleed to death. In other words, it's a band-aid, it's better than nothing, it's needed, but it's not the cure. We have -- 30 or more years have gone by. We have to address the underlying problem of poverty that not everyone is going to be equally qualified. There is not equal opportunity right now, okay, and we have to address equal opportunity from birth and do much more to help poor children. Even for the fortunate under privileged people who do get admitted

to college, the system works against them. They cannot get credit for remedial courses and in their Freshman year they have to take full credit courses because it's required to stay in college that you take a certain number of credit hours per year. And yet we have a lot of students, some of them are minorities, but in other words, their main problem is poor, disadvantaged. They're entering college under prepared and then they fail. They drop out and colleges don't have a solution to that and then we can go back to the high schools and the elementary schools and the pre school years that we must try and do something to get good educations for everyone. That's where it has to start on.

And affirmative action has definitely been successful. In the paper that I cite, in my paper a study by Jonathan Leonard, he found affirmative action has definitely increased employment for women and minorities. Now his findings were different than the ones Kenneth Smallwood quoted. Kenneth Smallwood just before said white females had been helped the most by affirmative action, at least at AT & T I think he said. Whereas, the study by Johnathan Leonard is a broader study. It says black males have been helped the most in employment followed by black females, followed lastly by white

females. Well, I cannot resolve that. I don't know who has been helped the most. The point is affirmative action does work, it has helped increase employment. I'm not that old, but I'm not that young I can remember being affirmative action that in the academic area people were hired through who they knew, over the phone. There was no public advertisement of job openings, okay. And to eliminate affirmative action, you would be going back o the bad old days.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I have a question.

Mr. Hall, do you or your organization invest any monies into lobbying efforts with state legislators in order to ensure that the public sector dollars in this state in the future will in the future be driven in the same way that the private sector dollars are? Since you who have so many of the fortune 500 companies represented on your board, have you approached them in anyway to assist?

MR. HALL: We've attempted to be a little more proactive than that. While I have some personal views which I have shared with the committee this morning, as an organization we are 501C3 and cannot lobby. We have established a Minority Legislator's Advisory Committee and we meet at least once or twice a year with the minority

legislators in Lansing for informational reasons to find out what's going on. If we aren't being told what contracts are coming down, what sort of projects is the state engaged in that we might be able to get our members involved. I did make some strong statements that I will stand by in terms of the lack of procurement that this state is engaging in, but by the same token, I do have lines of communication with the state purchasing folks. I was always able to come down and take part of a purchasing conference that we had and we're trying to track to see if anybody got any business out of it. So, while we can't gets a public statement out of the governor, we are attempting to mitigate the situation by being proactive in meeting and continue to say what folks ought to be doing.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you. Ellen?

MS. HA: Yes. My question is for I guess both of you. Something that Mr. Smallwood said this morning really struck me at least and that is when he indicated that minority definition of minorities has now broaden to include just about anybody and everything except white male. And even in my own time I believe that to be a very true, accurate definition of what my belief of what minority has become. In that sense, when we talk about affirmative action being a band-aid to include all

socially disadvantaged and all those social evils, are we including too much? And at the scope of affirmative action do you think that maybe we're straying away from what was originally included to be affirmative action or should other avenues and should we have different sorts of policies for a — for different types of the social classifications and should affirmative action be applied differently for different groups of people for different programs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HALL: I'll take a shot at it. To me affirmative action is more about cultural diversity. talk to our corporate members, we're talking to them about diversifying their supplier base to be more inclusive of the marketplace. And getting back to Peter's question earlier, I'm just an example. The president of the University of Michigan was our speaker at a luncheon last year and I had the occasion to talk to him over lunch about affirmative action how it affected the University of Michigan education sector. He basically was very, very much against it. He said affirmative action at the educational level is about cultural diversity, the same thing I'm talking about it and being inclusive of the mind and talent of people in the United States and that he and the University of Michigan used affirmative action and

cultural diversity to make sure that rural poor people in the peninsular who did not have the benefit of proper education who could not pass test scores, could get into the University of Michigan. It doesn't necessarily have anything to do with race, but they used a lottery to make sure that these students was culturally diverse because in the academic community he feels as though we need to take advantage of all of the ethnic groups that are available in this country. And then he gave a story of how he was raised on a rural farm in Iowa and could not ever have gotten into Harvard unless they had a cultural diverse They reached out to different affirmative action program. areas of the country and, in fact, those notices will say that it's harder to get into Harvard if you live in their backyard than it is if you live in rural America or in different places in America. But it's Harvard's way of making sure they get the benefit of mind of everybody in America and I think that's what it is about. And, of course, when he got there, he was able to perform and show that he could do the work and I think that speaks to what I don't think we're diluting it. I think folks try to take away from what we're talking about in terms of identifying minorities. For instance we don't include white women in our minority classification, but that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

doesn't mean that white women don't face problems and hurdles in the marketplace and my original works very well, very close with women organizations, but when I talk to corporations, we ask them to report on their minority dollars and if its in their best interest, like K-Mart, they have a very active women's program with 75 percent of the purchases made at K-Mart are made by women, it would be stupdi if they didn't have a program for women. So they talk about how many dollars they spent with women, but they talk about how many dollars they spent with minorities and that's how it should be. Don't cut the pie smaller, include people in there — women in there. Cut the pie wider. I hope I've answered your question.

MS. HA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I think we could go on all morning with this particular discussion, but in the interest of time we have 4 presenters between now and noon I think I'm going, with the permission of the committee, maybe opted to continue the discussions and go straight through and then have a longer break at lunchtime? Do I have your permission to do that? Thank you.

ROBERT L. WILLIS

Attorney At Law

Good morning, thank you so much. I

think I came earlier to see if I could get some clues from some of the presenters. I'm glad to see this committee.

There are so many of you I know from other hats that I wear and so I feel very comfortable addressing you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

First of all, my name is Robert Willis, I'm an attorney. I work for the State of Michigan so I tell people I have a private clientele. I work as an Assistant Attorney General but I am not speaking with the hat of an Assistant Attorney General, just as an attorney who understands some civil rights laws and has a burning desire to ensure that fairness is available to all people I've also been active in this community as recent president of the Southern County Branch of the NAACP, active in Detroit and many, many other things. I think a discussion on affirmative action is just by its very nature different. I think I'm getting close to pronouncing it as a tool that is no longer available. The Supreme Court has, through its evolution, starting with the case of Backi in 1978 through the case of Hoffwood has applied the standard that they call strict scrutiny. Strict scrutiny says that we're going to take good look at any affirmative action plan and make sure that it is serves a compelling government interest and before we get to that point we're going to make sure that there's clear

evidence of racism in employment, environment or in an academic environment. Two things have changed with that. First of all, strict scrutiny is stricter. We don't know what it is, but until the case of Hoffwood, strict scrutiny would say we can have an academic environment that considers because it is important to have diversity in an environment. Hoffwood is the University of Texas case that suggests that diversity is no longer compelling governmental interest. Diversity is now just something that would be nice, but not compelling. They also talk be the factual predicate. Again in Hoffwood the court was concerned, the 5th Circuit Court was concerned that the University could not defend specific acts of discrimination that it's affirmative action plan was trying to eradicate. It was a plan that had been in effect for over ten years, maybe as much as 15 years, so and because they could not bring in specific demonstrable incidents of racism that they were trying to cure. court opined that affirmative action could not be used, so the 5th Circuit Court case of Appeal, Hoffwood I think is going to be reviewed if the Circuit Court is going to be as consistent as cases across here, with cases like My guess is it's going to be nearly impossible to Adaran. develop and sustain an affirmative action plan. And I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

think one of my concerns is affirmative action is a tool, its only a tool, its a method of eradicating the current effect of past discrimination and as a tool its design but I don't think people of color wake up everyday and say, you know, I'd like some affirmative action today, I would like some civil rights today. I would like to make sure that I have special treatment in academia or in the I think what people of color, what minorities, what people who are not considered majority population in the country want on a daily basis to be relieved from the sting, from the burden of racism and affirmative action was a tool that would assist anyone from the sting -- to allow anyone to be relieved from that sting of racism. think if it no loner exists, it will be reviewed in advance of the Copler decision by the Supreme Court in the very near future. I think though my concern is not necessarily the legal -- I think its someplace else. Also they politically we have a few people, the Grahams and the Pete Wilsons who have used affirmative action. In fact, misused it to get national attention. You can see them. We can't have a quota, it's wrong. Affirmative action has not allowed quotas since 1978 with Backi. well, we can't do race norming. Race norming is the perceived adjusting of scores based on racial

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

considerations. African Americans who make two points, Spanish Americans will get one and a half. If you adjust the scores at least so the testing process from a level, perceived to be fair, we can't do race norming anymore. We have to put an end to it. Race norming has been illegal. 1991, with the advance of the civil rights bill that was signed by our last president. What we get is hysteria, a lot of heat and because it seems to be so effective, is a way, it is a wedge because it seems to attract media attention. Pete Wilson had a run at the highest office of the land. I think that is the use of the tool. I think it is the use of the tool. I think the other side of that is people of color are in a position to hear this. We can see, maybe not all of us can tell you what the reaction of the Supreme Court is going to be, but we can see the victory of the conversation that comes out of our political atmosphere. We can hear the Pete Wilsons and the Grahams and in this community the David Jacks that says you don't, we're giving them an advantage. now -- we now have a country that is open and above board for all and I don't know why they want special treatment? Why do they want something that we're not giving ourselves? Again, it's not true. It's not a request for special treatment. It's not something you wake up to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

everyday and say gee, I'd like my share of affirmative I wake up everyday and say I would like racism to action. not impact me and I would like racism to not impact my When people of color hear they, what they've said family. here's a tool, a tool that's been effective for years that in some ways have brought people to go sometimes in the workplace by force, sometimes in academia by force, the net effect I think is a stronger country, a diversified work force, the ability to use the talents of all of us. And what we see is those doors closing and when those doors close, we see that there's a different tactic we have to take. In one point I told my kids and so many of us will tell our children that it is okay the door to the world will be open to you. You have no fear, go into the workplace and try hard. I am not sure. I'm not as comfortable with that saying as I used to be. I would wonder if it makes sense to say to my kids, we will buy a house in Stilton Heights, buy a house in other communities where the appearance of opportunities for housing is not I wonder if I could? You could listen to the there. conversation on the radio, black talk/white talk that seems to say that from oftentimes not very complimentary ways, they are the enemy. It is clear we now have to do something about it. We now have to arm ourselves and I've

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

heard that we have -- we have to arm ourselves with We have to arm ourselves and create our own weapons. separate from the larger communities or we have to make sure we keep those minorities on the other side of Benton It used to be something that was said in polite companies, said with soft words,. Now the adjectives are blunt. Now we can say it, say the N word and the H word and the every other word that we have and you can say it openly and out in the air and what happens is you will find someone who picks up and says, yeah you're right, we have to do it that way. I guess my concern is, and it's difficult trying to cover such a large area in five minutes and I really thank you very much for the opportunity, I guess my concern is I don't see a door to open up anything between people. I see it closing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I was given an analogy this morning. I thought it was interesting. One, if you took bees and you had a major bee hive and you put one bee hive on top of the other, you would get this huge swarm of bees and each swarm would do everything they could to kill each other. But, if you put if same two bee hives together and you open up a small hole large enough for one or two bees to fly through, what you'll get over time is a very large, very working community, that you would get the input of

the bees of both bee communities. I thought civil rights was the tool that opened up the little hole. I think this turn of political legal events is what's closing the hole and I think when the two bee hives get together, I think, metaphorically speaking, they're going to go out there and destroy each other.

I think I've gone over my five minutes.

I would welcome any questions.

VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Thank you Mr. Willis.

May I now have questions from the committee? Mr. Hwang?

MR. HWANG: Mr. Willis, I can understand where they may have the rationale to talk about diversity is nice, but not a compelling interest. But, isn't examining historical under representation still part of the equation?

MR. WILLIS: No, I don't think they reached that. I think what they are saying in Hoffwood and Adaran, now you have to find the identifiable act of racism and place a cure on that. Strict scrutiny has become so strict and so fine in its approach that you have to find the act. And I think the only way you're going to do that is someone says here's the act and I'll leave my business card with you for future reference. I'm not sure we're going to get that.

MR. HWANG: I guess — let me make an example.

.1 percent penetration for contractors under
representation of a student body. How do you make the leap
when its so apparent, given the numbers that's shown that
it's drastic? How do you translate that into justifying
the affirmative action program because you thought you
were looking for justification?

MR. WILLIS: It's no longer there. I think the rules have changed to the point where its no longer there. We're saying now that minority students would have to come in fully qualified in employment, in academia, and I think if we had to look at a place we have long struggled in, whether or not does k-12 education should be 9 years. That can we make sure that all of our students are prepared to go into academia, go into the workplace. We haven't made that commitment. We have only said when we gets to the point where they're rewards, you will no longer be eligible.

MR. GORDON: Mr. Willis, we've heard earlier today that because of the changing demographics and what success there has been in affirmative action and cultural diversity that at least in the private sector maybe we don't need — maybe the private sectors is taking care of itself and working on inclusion and its really in the

public sector, the public dollars, where affirmative action programs are necessary. I throw out the question to you. Do you agree with that and if not, why not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I guess not completely. MR. WILLIS: we have something along the lines of five percent of minority. We have employment in unprecedented numbers. think corporations need to be, the more money they can get in some situations and in that situation, just the part of the proper motive, the doors are open. I wonder what happens when the cyclical economy starts cycling back to where it was and now we have to make some kind of decisions. Decisions that's not so important for marketing, for profits, but other kinds of decisions. I would really wonder. I think you're right, something needs to be done in terms of public sector, particularly with the kinds of contracting numbers that we have but its -- I wish I had an answer to that. I think that would be -- I wish I had an answer.

VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Mr. Willis, is it your view that workplace diversity in middle management level is what it was five years ago?

MR. WILLIS: I think it is. In some situations it has increased and I think a lot of work has gone into that. Sometimes it has increased because

there's direct pressure. If you don't do something, then maybe we'll get in trouble. I have heard of employment situations, a company says we need to hire minorities. I've never asked where the did the need come from? marketplace or legal pressure. But, yes, I think its increased. I think its definitely beneficial and I think there's some secondary gains there that this community, particularly around Detroit metropolitan area, that are growing, unlike the West Bloomfield Southfield that now have large populations of minorities. These folks are middle class. They are the first generation of middle class and I think it's absolutely clear that we have increased to the middle management, upper management and we even had a CEO Fortune 500 company in the last two years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: You think that Pete Wilson, Phil Graham when they tried to make affirmative action a national issue, to hell with the --

MR. WILLIS: I think it got their name on the front page, but I don't think it was enough to sustain an entire campaign. And like so many things that get your name on the front page, it does provide a lot of heat and—

MR. GORDON: You had recited the recent

Supreme Court case, not so recent to the history of the Supreme Court, reducing affirmative action programs, make it more stringent, the criteria for it. What impact have you seen in the community as a result of those cases?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. WILLIS: Again, I see affirmative action as a tool. What I see in the community is people recognizing that the tool is no longer available and thinking I am not going to try. That is not a route, a major amount -- may not be one that I can follow. I need to do something else. I will create my own community. I heard discussions. There's a community and I can't think of it it's Upstate New York that created a barter and the idea is in a only people who recognize this is a real point of exchange could then engage in spending. Its like a barter system and I have heard people talk, well, let's have a black dollar. Its not illegal. We can have a barter system and one thing we can do is to make sure its fair and also it wouldn't be taxed. So if there are schemes and ideas and but I think more than the schemes and ideas that may or may not work is the belief that you have to do something else because the doors are no longer open.

MR. KOBRAK: You do describe kind of a mixed system to the employment to some extent has improved in

some areas. The affirmative action vehicle seems to be closing I think is what you're arguing. But why is our younger generation so much more pessimistic than your generation about the situation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think I heard a word of MR. WILLIS: enthusiasm from my parents that said now the doors are thrown open in a way they've never been thrown open. Μy parents told me that in order to make it in this world I have to work twice as hard to get half as far and it's something I learned to believe and the doors were thrown I think my kids are seeing the I saw optimism. doors closed and I think what they're seeing is pessimism and with both situations the glass may be half full. I think they can also look at the direction that it is going and hope one of the things that, I've seen it, I think its hard for me to reckon with is that a number of us have moved up middle class and I need to say I am a product of affirmative action. A number of us who have reached middle class have had a hard time teaching our kids the same kind of discipline, the same kind of things saying this is what you need to do to reach middle class. I'll telling you well the doors are closed. Well its more difficult because -- and do I have an answer? think I have some things that I just can't answer.

MS. HA: Actually I have a question do you believe that currently there is a leadership and a movement for civil rights and affirmative action presently?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

NAACP.

MR. WILLIS: I think the difficulty of leadership is one that in essence reflects on the progress. At one point we can all say that we were probably in a pre civil rights environment. We all lived in the same side of the track. We all had essentially the same kind of income. We had the same -- all faced the same type of burden everyday. Now we don't -- there are some of us who have two cars, two chickens and a hut and we have -- there is no person that can speak for the group of us in any context. So there's a leadership. I can't recognize it is. I think though there's more belief in principle the fact that we are going a certain way were a -- that now needs to be checked -- were a number of other things which a leadership of ideas rather than people and not have a feel formatted in every situation, but leadership of ideas.

VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: You're in Oakland
County, right?

MR. WILLIS: Yes, I am working with the

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS
P. O. BOX 43043 CHICAGO, IL 60643
(312)236-4984

VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: What's the employment situation in the county with relation to minorities?

MR. WILLIS: I think it's one of the wealthier counties in the state.

VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Public state, county,

MR. WILLIS: No it hasn't and there is very little leverage to make a difference. The County essentially will say it's including, like those numbers are just horrendous.

VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Do you think a part of that problem is the function of fact that the minorities frequently don't vote in numbers they should?

MR. WILLIS: I think that that could be true in Southfield, but if you look at the numbers across the county, we are approximately 78 percent of overall population and because we tend to move with our children it's been estimated that we may be 5 percent of the voting population with those types of numbers. Unless there's goodwill from the employment sector, we don't have the political strength to do it. Oakland County has an affirmative action plan that is probably 25 years old. I've taken a look at it. My belief is that it's still good many but no one else is taking it as it seems. No

1	one really cares what's going on with it.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you very
3	much.
4	MR. WILLIS: I have a copy of my statement on
5	diskette.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Would you please make
7	sure that Peter Minarik receives that before you leave?
8	MR. WILLIS: Thank you so much.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We have a short break.
11	We're waiting for Gail Nomura of the University of
12	Michigan and perhaps she's held up by weather.
13	(A brief recess was taken.)
14	CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you we're ready to
15	get started again and may I welcome everybody who is just
16	coming. There are a few newcomers to the group. I would
17	also like to just briefly go over the ground rules since
18	you were not here earlier today to hear. I'm asking that
19	you limit your presentation to five minutes and that you
20	not read your prepared work, that you give an overview of
21	what's contained. You may start at any time.
22	GAIL NOMURA
23	· UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
24	Certainly there's a great deal of

confusion again with affirmative action and Asian

Americans and so on my position paper as a history and I

thought the best thing to do is to talk about the history

of Asian Americans in the United States to place them

within historical context for their place in any program

dealing with affirmative action. I thought that it was

important for us to understand the legacy and the

continuing legacies of discrimination and past exclusion

of Asian Americans from full participation in our American

society.

I also got into the topic of the great diversity within Asian Pacific American community, including some of the generational and class differences that have emerged due to different types of legislative immigration policies. I thought that that was also important to understand some of the rather confusing, sometimes conflicting views that are represented by representatives of various Asian American groups and I do not present myself as a representative of an inclusive homogeneous view of Asian Americans.

Asian Americans with the affirmative action issue is the ways in which Asian Americans have been portrayed.

Sometimes by poets of affirmative action, using Asian

Americans as the model minority that is claimed to be an example of a reason why affirmative action is not necessary. And we can go into the various details as to the inadequacies of this kind of use of, false use of model minority might know what they had that places Asian Americans at a disadvantage, both with respect to coalition building with other groups of color as well as in this affirmative action context.

Asian Americans know that racism and sexism exist and its alive and well in the United States and they support affirmative action measures through eradicating discrimination. However, they have mixed views as to the interpretation and implementation of any affirmative action which has been perceived by many Asian Americans as to have been implemented, interpreted in a way that had a negative impact on the Asian American community. And this is particularly true in its application dealing with higher education and misunderstandings that come from that as well as ideas of over representation of Asian Americans.

I think I just want to conclude by asking you to consider the history of exclusion and impact and one of the most obvious impacts of the legacy of over a hundred years of exclusion, restrictions of Asian

American immigration naturalization rights is the effect of certain numbers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This whole idea of over representation is a curious idea since there was federal legislation that ensured that there would be a permanent under representation of Asian Americans in the United States due to the fact that if we had had unrestricted immigration during periods in which there was unrestricted immigration in the United States, what would be the percentage of Asian Americans in the United States? Αt this point it rather galling for Asian Americans to look around and says within our small -- its very easy to be so-called over represented as many of you understand. Wе are often the one and only token Asian American on anything, in any position; and therefore, that seems to be the upper limit quota. One of us in any spot and often not even one because at 3 percent of the population sometimes we don't even merit the one token position. So I think basically my premise was to look at the legislative action, federal legislation which has indirectly intervened and study the growth of Asian Americans in social opportunities, economic and political opportunities. And the last thing, legacies of this, despite the fact that we may have some upper income Asian

Americans in our community, that does not prevent them from suffering the impact of, negative impact of racism and sexism and anti Asian violence and other types of anti immigrant sentiment projected against our community. Many of us wondered how many generations, how many hundreds of years do we have to be in the United States before we are recognized as Americans?

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Is there anything else you want to add?

MS. NOMURA: I think you're supposed to have some questions.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Yes. Well, if there are questions from the committee members, we'll entertain those questions at this time?

MR. HWANG: In your work as an historian, have you examined how affirmative action programs have been successfully applied and inclusive of Asian Americans?

MS. NOMURA: In the early years Asian

Americans were included,. I'm more familiar with the

higher education issues and that's very, very complex, too

complex to fully discuss at this point. But in early

years Asian Americans were included in so-called equal

opportunity programs and it was a class kind of

prerequisite to inclusion into these programs. There were Asian Americans applying to be included into programs looking at under representation in certain kinds of fields. Well, this presently does occur. For instance, at the University of Michigan I think that they were successful at least in opening opportunities to many of us in the field. I think that more broadly from affirmative action programs were not making specific preferences or goals or timetables that included Asian Americans, but did force Universities to look at a more diverse population and that opened the doors for Asian Americans. a rather complex issue, but with the new arrival of immigrants and our population has doubled, these censuses, so we have larger numbers of students going into higher education right now. Also higher numbers of those that come from certain kinds of income that would provide some access to higher education and so forth. Its a little complicated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One of the issues, of course, is

California and this is Michigan, but California is one of
these tough zones. A look at affirmative action kind of,
since there is a high pool of Asian Americans entering
there.

MR. MARTIN: Could you take a minute to

summarize the history of Asian Americans in the United States?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Very quickly.

MS. NOMURA: It's immigration restrictions right, we're the first melting group designated as a whole class, a whole country that was prohibited from entering the United States. We're the only group that by race was discriminated, prohibited from acquiring naturalization rights as a, such a fall off of that led to many other restrictive legislation privileges, other types of occupation, education, segregation and so forth. This is not actually well known and we hope that it gets better known about; and thereby, federal legislation as well as state legislation itself often confused exclusion and restriction of Asian American -- Asian immigrants occurred only in the west, the American west. But there was a national policy and that's why I talked about the direct federal intervention that skewed the development of communities and kept us segregated, stunted in our growth and limited, extremely limited in our opportunities and that continues now. As we know the usual cliche is to talk about the glass ceiling and we know that the power structure in the upper echelon has not changed. get these entry level positions, but do we have jobs that

are commensurate to our abilities, talents and education and we can show you that our income level and our occupation status does not match that, compared with whites with similar backgrounds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MARTIN: When are they removed?

They're removed very gradually. MS. NOMURA: Some of the immigration laws go back to World War II where we were fighting for supposedly for freedom and liberty and we were ashamed that we were discriminatory against our so-called ally countries, immigrants from those So in '43 it was a repeal of the Chinese countries. exclusion law which granted Chinese immigrant rights, naturalization, immigration. But only a token immigration quota of 1 to 5 a year. Then there was an immigration exclusion ending for Filipinos, South Asians; that is, people from the Indian sub continent in '46. In '52 by Congressional Act, race was removed as a bar to immigration and naturalization, but again Asian countries are given a quota of generally one hundred equal to that of Monaco and its not until '65 when the Immigration and Naturalization Act which then gave equal immigration quotas to all countries of the world, including Asian, that immigration was then more freely allowed to this country and that leads then to that pent up back log of

immigrants to the United States.

One of the things that people don't realize is that also in '65 we gave references to certain types of immigrants and that also skewed our population; and therefore, those professional classes were given higher preference as well as family unification which is what Asian Americans do support. But as such, you know that led to the doubling of our population in the United States, but also led to higher increased numbers of immigrants; those who come in the post civil —— I'm sorry, civil rights era that perhaps do not have a historical knowledge of the history of Asian Americans, the long held theories of Asian Americans in the United States.

Also, the 1975 fall of Saigon led to the immigration of large numbers of refugees of Southeast Asia with various economic and social disadvantages coming with that status.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I have just a point of clarification. There was one other group in this country who was — you were speaking about not being granted naturalization rights — that was not even considered human many at some point in their histories. So I don't want you to think you're the only ones that were

discriminated against in terms of immigration and naturalization.

MS. NOMURA: Yes, I understand that.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I just heard you say we were the only group, that's the only thing.

MS. NOMURA: By race after the Civil War because the naturalization laws then were changed after the Civil War to include whites and those of African descent. And thereafter, the only group that was discriminated against was, by race, those of Mongolian race and also those Indian descent South Asian descent who were, in fact considered heathens was crazy, ethographical (phonetic) races, categories at that time as white.

So, in general, Asians were after 1970 the only group. So, of course, we understand the long history of African Americans in the United States.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Sue?

MS. NOMURA:

MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: If you could give some examples the way in which the model minority has disadvantaged the Asian community in terms of participating in existing affirmative action programs?

complex. There are many things written on that and its also interesting, of course, as we point out; one, we're

The model minority myth is very

considered the model minority. I think we would less chafe on this if we were called the model American. when you're called the model minority, obviously there's somebody manipulating this and using it to their own It's a very divisive use and it pits one group advantage. of color against another. Supposedly we have achieved success despite or in spite of any kind of discrimination that, in fact, no affirmative action is needed to do this by sheer willpower of wanting to succeed, it's very damaging. Of course it's not true. We look at our own population. Where are we? Have we really achieved success and how do you achieve success? How do you define this kind of success? We can go by income and so forth. Sometimes I don't even like to look at those kinds of I can tell you about income discrepancies of which of course there are more Asian American family members that produce that family income that's supposedly a high family income. The disparity between education and actual job occupation status and so forth. But I think that it's very damaging for those in the Asian American community to believe that somehow by their own sheer will they can overcome what they see around a racist society. It prevents or hinders at least some of the coalition building that some of us are very committed to. I think

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that the model minority is very damaging. I think that it is very destructive and we have seen through that and its not — it's a myth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: You said something about being socially excluded and if that goes along with the whole myth of being the model minority, has it caused other minority groups to perhaps not do the coalition building with Asian Americans?

MS. NOMURA: Yes. I think Asian groups are still seeing to this very day as we talk about forever foreign. I can be here. We've all been asked and I said before how many generations do we have to be here to be considered Americans, right? And so with other ethinc groups, racial groups, other ideological groups and so forth. We still have sort of a scapegoat as foreigner taking away foreign jobs. I'm sorry, American jobs, American opportunities. And this greatly hinders us. There's a great divide there that segregates us into ghettos and previous decades and separated us and segregated us from larger society. There is -- certainly were the usual conventions, the restrictive covenants that prevented us from living freely as most other groups of color in cities, residential areas, suburbs, country

sites. We were prevented from owning land. We were prevented from interracial marriages, socially restricted, even in religious groups how freely were they able to participate in even churches.

MR. GORDON: What areas would you believe that affirmative action programs would be helpful for Asian Americans and what kind of affirmative action programs has either historically been helpful or would you believe it would be helpful?

MS. NOMURA: I think Asian Americans and again I would have to be very careful when I'm talking about Asian Americans in the --

MR. GORDON: It's a large, very diverse group.

MS. NOMURA: Yes. In my opinion, I think we have to consider the present to this day anti immigrant sentiment and anti Asian bias that is I think embedded in American society. When I walk into a classroom, when I am in committees, when I'm in larger society, I still think I'm perceived as this foreigner in a somehow does not belong. As now when you hire people, you hire people that are just like you or one of us and I think that Asian Americans are never perceived by the larger society as one of us. So I think that affirmative action gives you that

opportunity to become one of the teams to be able to show our talents and to succeed in that kind of way. think that many misunderstandings occur that keep those doors closed, that keep promotions closed to us. In part I've heard engineers been told that well, we never ask you anything about supervisory positions because you Asians don't like to take leadership roles and you're not vocal, you're not oral. In fact, those that accuse us of not going into political office when, of course, we were not able in the earliest generations to even run for office since we were not allowed to become citizens. But if you look at the State of Hawaii where I'm from, we were quite active in politics. As soon as we were able to get a generation that was able to vote and able to run for office, yet it was thrown up to us in our face so many times that these kinds of stereotypes and images of us as being passive. When you look at the legal record, every restrictive legislation, law, code, we've always contested, both through the court system, through informal systems, diplomatic channels and subversive channels, but we were not passive and yet again that stereotype not only the model minority myth, but has and deserved what they got because they're passive and that is, of course, a perpetuation of a way of characterizing a group to hold

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

them down to continues that kind of oppressive measures against them. So as for affirmative action, I would really want various -- there are so many different programs and policies I think that each corporation, each well in federal legislation that we examine what are the goals of this particular program and to see how that is applied to Asian Americans and to eliminate these kind of historic biases against Asians and misunderstandings and myths and negative stereotypes of Asian Americans. that were given equal we wants only equal treatment and justice and that's all that we're seeking and think that affirmative action programs must consider the inclusion of Asian Americans in any of its programs and policies because I do see a good legacy in the present day of continuity and Asian, anti Asian sentiment in the United States that prevents our full growth in the community, our full growth as individuals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KOBRAK: Dr. Nomura, in the Michigan Civil Service, the highest average salary is male oriental. The second --

MS. NOMURA: Asian.

MR. KOBRAK: Excuse me the second highest is female Asian and the third highest is male white. First the -- the first of two questions is do you think that's

partly due to affirmative action or do you see that due to other dynamics?

MS. NOMURA: One of the problems in this is that I don't know your statistics. I'm wondering how many are you basing this on and how --

MR. KOBRAK: This is the statistics for the Michigan Civil Service.

MS. NOMURA: And how many Asians does that represent? My daughter in her school represents all of Asians in her school. So she happens to have the highest position in the student body, then all Asians would have that kind of average. I don't know anything about the statistics. I don't doubt that you're accurate in that, but I hesitate to make any kind of comment on that specifically. If in general you're talking about national norms or—

MR. KOBRAK: This is the State of Michigan.

No, I'm talking about the State of Michigan, the people
who are in the state civil service --

MS. NOMURA: I'm wondering --

MR. KOBRAK: I don't know what the aggregate is, so I'll let that go. My students, just out of memory, are in those positions. Their feeling is they have a glass ceiling, the kind that you're talking about, but its

at a very high level, so they usually feel that. The same question which intrigues me in terms of this thanks to you you've partly educated me over the years coming to testify to us and I guess I see the Asian American community having the kind of bifurcated problem. Some well off and then a large number who are less visible, not so well off and you have trouble getting the second problem out in the But what, you know, you do succeed in doing and this is what I'm curious about is unlike the other minorities, Asian Americans are continuing to go to college in high numbers and I'm very disturbed about the fact that that's not happening proportionately for black To what do you attribute that success? Americans.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. NOMURA: That will take too long to discuss. It's the usual, asking why one group does better than another? There are studies now being done, in fact, by student PhDs looking at white Asian comparisons and I can refer you to several of these kind of dissertations. It gets a little tiresome to have certain kinds of questions phrased in a certain way. We're not just bifurcated in one end the super star person that can have immigrated from Asia refugee from Viet Nam two years ago, valedictorian, goes on to the top Harvard and medical school and so forth and then the poor Vietnamese that has

or the Mung student that doesn't have -- comes from a family that has been dessimated by the war, does not have the education is living in the inner city Detroit so efforts. We have a full range, actually but we're portrayed in that kind of way but either we're the super star the refugee. Many of our students dislike that bifurcation that's imposed on them and the images why are we succeeding in these kinds of rates? I can give you some of the quotes on that, but I would say that, you know, to then say why is it that other groups don't succeed? I think that we have our history of African American history, Latino history, native American history to look at some of legacies and continuing discrimination that occurs in those kinds of ways and then to say if you look at the Asian American then you're saying does that not apply the same kind of advancement? Does it apply? Ι think that there are too many complicating factors on this, including the fact that we have selectively stunted certain types of immigration. If Asian we have let in certain type of immigrants from Asia and you know those kind of money capital, educated immigrants that then are able to give that kind of capital boost up for their own children. The kind of feelings of those certain kind of immigrants that education is the only way you have. And

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

so they will devote whatever kind of style that money that they can give to achieve those kinds of things. There's high cost also in the focusing only on high education when we say has it actually paid off? Has that kind of education paid off in the kind of job and level of status that these people have achieved?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KOBRAK: I'm interested that you kind of resist the notion of norms that might be helpful. I quess I don't see myself -- let me finish my question then you can answer it -- as having asked you a stereotypical question where I twisted one end against the other. I was really asking you is there's one group that is fairly well off and then a continuum and then the group that you've been most concerned in your testimony over the last couple of times that have not had the same advantages and what intrigues me is the norms that -- and the faith that not just those coming from the better off families. But also I've had a number of students from the poorer Asian American families and the data seems to indicate they're coming to college as well as I think the question is not so much what are other groups doing badly, but we can learn from what you're doing well. I don't think that's a stereotype question.

MS. NOMURA: Its how you mean well and what

groups are doing well. But also people have been looking at the immigrant phenomenon and that's why they've been doing some new research on Russian immigrants, white Russian immigrants and there's comparative studies for Russians, comparing supposedly white Russians with Korean immigrants and what they're coming down to is immigrant syndrome, particularly in the past few decades in which there's a big -- into this idea that you can achieve and the vehicle for that, at least for the children, the younger generation is education. So, there are ways in which studies have been done now looking at ways in which we can encourage and fuse that kind of idea, make it a reality for other groups. Not only false kind of myths, but a reality that everyone gets equal access to quality education that indeed does give them the skills and talent and create the opportunity for these skill talented educated people who are coming up through our better education system to achieve in a larger society, to get rid of all glass ceilings and even entry ceilings. And so yes I think that there needs to be some understanding of why, how people are inspired and how many actual opportunities are created, not some sort of false kind of dedication to a kind of way to achieve and to shut them off at the end. I'm really worried about the ways in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

which any real opportunities have not been open —— have not been opened up and its kind of confusing rhetoric and they're attacking and scapegoating certain groups, holding certain other groups on certain kinds of pedestal and not really examining how you creates a much more open and equal society for everyone without there kind of—

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Roland?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Not to give any testimony but MR. HWANG: just to make a point with respect to needing some imperical research, but I think that the Asian Americans are under represented in state civil service employment just like other groups of color. But that if there is an area where there are some numbers that are accountable, they're in the Department of Mental Health. And so there are a number of psychiatrists of Asian American descent and they sort of ask you the numbers, but I think the point of research would be to compare those numbers with those people in mental health and to see how they compared with psychiatrists in the private sector or what other sectors there are and to see whether they're on the same income levels as those that are, you know, outside of the state civil service. Just a point of information for this imperical research. But just to address this question for--

MR. KOBRAK: There's also long history of for
instance our skilled trades. Lots of studies on that as
to the discriminatory practices of our unions and our
various trades and I come from a union state. I'm a card
carrying union member, so forth. But there are some
problems in the contracts and we look at Asian American
representation on these non professional levels. I wonder
where affirmative action policies might be able to address
those kinds of issues also. I don't think that anybody
can say that Michigan Asian Americans are overpaid, over
represented in the highest level. And they
CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I'm sure without some
statistics to back us up.I don't know that we can even
entertain that debate at this time.
Are there any questions from the group?
Any further questions from the committee members?
Thank you for coming to us again. As
Peter Kobrak said enlightening us each time that you come.
We're going to break for lunch at this
time and hope that those of you who are here for the
afternoon will come back. It's about 12:15 now, we will
reconvene at 1:30.
(A lunch recess was taken at 12:15 a.m.)
AFTER RECESS

Good afternoon ladies and CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: gentlemen. We're about to begin the afternoon session of this consultation. I want to welcome those of you who have just arrived to the Consultation on Affirmative Action that is being undertaken today by the Michigan Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on civil rights. I would like to just remind you again of -- again those of you who are just coming in this afternoon that we would like for you to take about five minutes in terms of your presentation and we're asking that you not read verbatim your report, but that you give us an overview of what it Now, if your report is timed for five minutes contains. then there's an exception there. We would like to have you give us the gist of you or your organization would like to say on the issue. And I'll begin with my Jeannie Jackson, Detroit Medical Center.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JEANNIE JACKSON

THE DETROIT MEDICAL CENTER

First of all I want to thank you for inviting me. I really appreciate being here. I am the equal employment manager for the Detroit Medical Center and also owner and president of EEO Monitoring Consultants. Most of all, a black female who has benefited from affirmative action and I came here to give

you a little bit different viewpoint on it, more from a cultural diversity standpoint. My background is affirmative action. I was an equal employment planning analyst in private industry and also a compliance officer with the Office of Federal Contract Compliance for ten So I audited companies for affirmative action plus years. I was in private industry side and also wrote affirmative action for companies which I do now as a consultant. the paper I give you more of background, but I won't go into that, just the body of the paper. I'm taking a little different stance on this. We've heard about affirmative action for the last 30 years. All the arguments for and against it. Of course I am for it. So, what I wanted to talk about a little bit is some different concerns that Americans have right now. I've been hearing from colleagues and people that I do consulting for and groups that I talk with about their concern about the immigration and people coming in illegally and I want to kind of tie that into affirmative action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Some of the concerns that I've heard about and that Americans have been talking about is in the immigration, is over population threatened environment growth of illegal immigration, seeming lack of government control, crime and disease, burden on school, burden on

the welfare rolls and language barriers. I think at a time when the economy is lacking for jobs and the pressure is on, especially at the lower level for jobs, that's when we're not as tolerant of people coming into our country from other countries. We want to be protective of those jobs because they're not enough to go around for what we think are ours because we're here. But, we also forget where we came from. We all came from somewhere else other than the native American. So, you know, we have to remember that, we have to remember the fact that we immigrated here from somewhere else and just because we've been here longer does that give us the right to say, okay we got to shut the door and not let anybody else in? Well, I'm not so sure about that. There's pros and cons I won't go into that, but I just wanted to for both. mention some of the concerns for people that I've talked to about immigration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reflective of the fact that three quarters of those polled felt that the national current policy has gotten out of hand and the government should limit immigration more strictly. In many cases the new wave of immigrants appear to be poor and have fewer job skills to bring with them than previous immigrants. I think that is the concern.

Of course there are many who have come here who are highly educated who will find jobs. But I think a lot of concern is people will come here and there are a lack of jobs and then to have people come here and work at a lower rate, you know, illegal immigrants coming. That's a concern of people. But I think we have to look on the other side of the coin and feel that we can benefit from other cultures. We can all learn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Also, yes, people have come here, yes they're illegal immigrants. But also people come here and share with us their differences in music and art and literature and we've had many, many experiences have taught us many things with that. So I think that's a good We're affirmative -- where affirmative action is concerned is that we need affirmative action. We can't be totally consumed and concerned with the immigration of people from other countries and not consider and keep in the forefront the fact that we're all here, we're all in the same situation of struggling for jobs. Affirmative action is important, we need it. If we do not have laws to force people to do the right thing, we'll go back to those days; the good old boy syndrome days. People have a wrong notion of affirmative action that we've arrived. That everybody's okay now, that women and minorities are

looked upon as equal and we can just -- if we're educated and we have the right experience, we can just move right into the jobs and everything's fine. That's not true. Ιf we don't have those laws to protect people, we'll go worse than what we did before. We'll go back to days that we haven't even thought about it. It will be worse because one thing there's negative connotation on affirmative action and through years that's been built up, people have looked at it as a privilege of minorities. Its not a privilege, it was just a right to be treated equally and we will go backwards. Not only will we go back to the time we came from where we weren't treated equally, now it will be a hostile environment if we don't have laws to protect people. People always want to bring people into the workplace that look like them and the people that have the jobs, the majority are still non minority and men and we all know the statistics behind those. So my contention is that we have to have the laws, they're necessary. certainly have benefited by them as a black female and if we don't have them, we're not up to parity. We have not I doubt if we have reached that. reached that. reach it in a time where there is not a majority and that's coming, too. That's coming to a point where people of color will be the majority, but right now that's not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

At that point when the people of color are the majority, we won't have to worry about that because people will be scrambling to get anyone for the jobs they need. They will want people who are educated and who have the skills, but we're not there and because we're not there, we've got to keep in mind we need these laws. We've got to have them or we will go backwards. What I'd like to do is just ask for your support for affirmative action laws and Executive Order 11246 and I would like to mention, that the Detroit Medical Center did give testimony to the state legislation on state laws that are pending. sure you've heard about the different House bills that are pending on abandoning preferential treatment. There's always been preferential treatment. If you go to somebody and you bring them on, we've had it, its not going to go away. Why do we have to talk about preferential treatment when it comes to minority.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the Detroit Medical Center stands on this as they support affirmative action with or without the laws. Even if the laws were to go away, go off the books today, the president of the Detroit Medical Center has pledged his supports of affirmative action. And I'm here to mention that today and tomorrow say that there's no other way. If we don't have them I can see major

setbacks. I can see uprising and things I don't even want to think about.

So I just want to say that I would recommend that the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights formally and publicly support continuation of the Executive Order 11246 as amended and thank you.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I'm going to hold questions until after we hear from Ms. Watson.

JOANN NICHOLS WATSON

NAACP, DETROIT BRANCH

Joann Watson and I'm the Executive Director of the Detroit NAACP, President of the National Anti Klan Network, Senate For Democratic Renewal which is carrying the major responsibility for the investigations and monitoring the white supremacy groups in America and also currently we're very busy trying to organize and help provide support for those persons in congregations victimized by the bombing in the black churches.

"It will be impossible to arrange affirmative action programs in a racially neutral way and have it successful. To ask that this be so is to demand the impossible. In order to get beyond race, sometimes we must take account of race. There is no other way. And in

order to treat some persons equally we must treat them differently. We cannot, we dare not let the equal protection clause perpetuate racial supremacy." These are the words of Supreme Court Justice Blackmond in the 1978 Backi decision. One little noticed fact in the affirmative action debate across the country is that many of the principal underlying affirmative action, including the use of quotas have been accepted in the spheres outside of race and sex without a peep from those persons who were anti affirmative action. Take, for example, the for compensation, for past injustices. There is this government's payment of \$1.2 million to the families of Japanese Americans who sent World War II in interment. The payment to the Japanese also affirmed -- Japanese Americans also affirmed the notion of sons paying for, atoning for the sins of their fathers? Nearly one third of those who pay taxes last year and therefore, contributed to the reparation payments were born after World War II, they could have not supported the government policies of putting Japanese Americans in prison camps. That was an immoral, racist, illegal policy, by the way. Like affirmative action there was a remedy for past wrongs and one of quotas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 10

11

12

13

514

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(312)236-4984

In 1986 the administration negotiated a

trade agreement with the Japanese under which this country set a quota, a goal, if you will, of American manufacturers to gain 20 percent of Japan's market in the computer chips. The policy, though highly contentious, is still in force. Yet, some people who were often backers of free trade say purchasing decisions should be based solely on quality and merit have generally not criticized this kind of quota as a manipulation of the market. Also so far as business and industry is concerned, affirmative action is not just a moral matter, it's good business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

White males already make up a minority of the work force and 85 percent of new recruits between now and the year 2000 will be women or persons of color. So, firms with a good track record of producing non white managers and managing people from different backgrounds will, of course, enjoy greater advantage of recruiting and motivating workers and have higher profits. Firms that continue to favor only white males will find themselves fishing in a shrinking pool of perspective employees and Bottom line, white men, while lower profits. constituting about 29 percent of the work force, still hold about 95 of every 100 senior manager positions defined as vice president and above. Also white people, according to the work force taking nearly 40 percent of

all jobs nationwide compared to 30 percent three decades ago.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This information is drawn from a report by the Glass Ceiling Commission and it uses 1990 census data and the results of surveys by consulting firms to discuss the corporate landscape for women and people of color and to identify barriers to their advancement. Principally the fears and prejudices of white male executives on the lower rung of the corporate ladder. of the speakers to follow me, wonderful Mr. William Brooks is one of the authors of that glass ceiling report, may I say. The report cited various studies that the glass ceiling exists because of the perception of many white males that as a group they're losing the corporate game. Their losing control and losing the opportunities. middle and upper level white male managers view the inclusion of people of color and women in management as a direct threat to their own chances for advancement, according to that report. These male managers also should know, however, that they stand no better odds of reaching the top today than they did 30 years thing. always been competition, however the face of it has changed. White men, according to this report have circled the wagons against challengers whom they view not in terms

their merit, but in terms of color and sex.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Across the country Commission members have heard hundreds of top and middle level managers, male and female, report that white males are stymied the progress of people of color. And when those who do break through are often shunted to the ante rooms of Executive suites, dead end staff jobs like community affairs, human resources and public relations. According to the recent New York Times, CBS poll, most Americans sat they object to blacks being given preferences in jobs and college admission, but they don't mind the advantage being given The American public, according to this to white women. poll, also believes, like the politicians, that African Americans dominates the fruits of the present affirmative action, but it's not true. Mary Barry testified years ago that white women have been the biggest beneficiaries and black women certainly have not kept pace with white women nor black men, according to attorney Ron Arnold (phonetic), Executive Director of the Lawyer's Conference for Civil Rights Under Law. And in the mid '60s for white women were less than five percent of law schools, now they're 45 percent. The critics of affirmative action often claim their goal is a truly color blind society that's supposed to offer equal opportunity to all but the

challenge to affirmative action are not being carried out Many review limit part of an overall attempt in a vacuum. to roll back the rights of all disenfranchised people of color, women, and the poor. The challenge to affirmative action; therefore, cannot be regarded as well-intentioned, albeit misguided efforts to achieve a color blind society. They're an integral part of a much broader effort to take back the limited political and economic power, limited power that lets me say people of color and women have achieved in recent decades. Unless we all want to see the clock turning back, we must make a defense of affirmative action and human rights at top priority. Quite frankly America's failure to safeguard and protect the rights of all people while allowing those most vulnerable to be scapegoated and villianized has laid the foundation and fuel the fires of hatred now exploding across black churches in the south and would strengthen the white supremacy movement across America. Affirmative action has never been a cure all, but it certainly has been a prescription, if we don't want to declare the patient dying or dead. To kill affirmative action would be to provide assisted suicide for human rights in America. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you, Ms. Watson, Ms.

Jackson. Are there questions from the committee?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GORDON: Ms. Jackson, actually both of you can answer the question. You obviously both believe that affirmative action should continue. My question for you in what specifically both government and in the private realm do you consider to be an effective affirmative actions programs which should be exhorted or implemented?

Well, I believe Executive Order MS. JACKSON: 11246 is effective. Now there are some changes that are needed, I grant you that. They were implemented at an time that things were different and some of the paperwork that's involved there is not necessary, but we all know that even the people that enforce that law. But I think what we need, we continue to need goals. They are not quotas as people often want to say they are. They're not, they're not mandatory made goals. It's good faith efforts and it's goals to try to find qualified women and minorities for positions. So we still need the goals. We need good internal audit reporting by companies. need to have something in place to show what are they doing to get qualified women and minorities? What are they looking at in their work force in how are they training people? What kind of programs do they have to

bring people up to train them and many people that have benefited that are not minorities and women, non minority males that have benefited from those programs. So, affirmative action helps everyone, not just minority and women. So I think those two areas, the goals, the internal reporting, we still need in order to have good affirmative action efforts.

MS. WATSON: I agree with my colleague totally. I would add to that that any corporate entity which benefits from what is sometimes called subsidy, what I call a form of welfare, anyone who receives a subsidy or write off in any form from the federal government from the Congressional legislation ought to, by mandate, have levels of adherence to affirmative action, equal employment and injustice to all persons as a matter of course. Its a question of compensation and it's a question of reparations.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Mr. Martin?

MR. MARTIN: Ms. Jackson, in your comments you indicated that one reason for the anti immigration syndrome in this country was competition for low wage jobs?

MS. JACKSON: Yes.

MR. MARTIN: Is their any research that you can offer to support that contention?

MS. JACKSON: Yes. The article that I got that idea from was the special issue of Time, The New Face of America and in that article they had many examples of why Americans are threatened by the influx of immigration flow that's different than before.

One of the comments I made was the fact that before it was felt that the more immigrants brought more skills here, maybe carpentry or things they had learned in their country. But now we're having an influx of immigrants that don't necessarily have skills. Some do, some don't. I think there are more, they are poorer than before. So now equal our concern about well there are only a few jobs here and now I have to compete not only with the people that are here, but with the people that are coming here and a lot of that is due because we don't have the enforcement at the borders. We always hear about not having enough INS agents to stop the illegal immigration, people becoming in. So people are concerned.

It was a poll done in that special issue of Time where a cross section of Americans and asked them what they thought about it and that was one of the issues, the fact that we have illegal immigrants here that are taking our jobs. That was one thing that was mentioned in that article.

MR. MARTIN: That was not a scholarly article, was it?

MS. JACKSON: No.

MR. MARTIN: Because I would think if you just look around Michigan you see so many minimum wage jobs advertised and they don't seem to be people to fill them.

MS. JACKSON: That's true. Now perception might not be true. That was their perception. It wasn't necessarily true. It was just what American people think is happening with immigration.

MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: Ms. Watson, as it relates to affirmative action efforts that have been successful, could you share with the committee and the audience and hopefully this will go to the federal government some of the goals and accomplishments of the Fair Banking Alliance.

MS. WATSON: Yes. The Fair Banking Alliance.

I thank you for asking the question Sister Smith. Detroit
has been blessed with opportunities to come together among
human rights and other activist groups and just monitoring
groups; The National Lawyer's Guild, NAACP, the Urban
League, Booker T. Washington Business Association, New
Detroit and others and as really in response to decades

and decades of red lining and disenfranchisement in the financial institutions, major financial institutions in this city. We came together and developed a monitoring and a tax for some implementation that called the financial institutions to task relative to their loan policies, their employment, their access to people of color and suppliers and vendors and have turned it around. They have the most impressive multi level agreements that have been adopted with major banks of any city in the country at this point approaching \$3 billion has been negotiated by this team of persons who have worked with the banks not -- there have been no protests and other levels of public demonstration, although there have been some tough meetings that have resulted in now every single bank having a person of color as a senior vice president which was not in place prior to this fair banking alliance coming together. But the \$3 million has been earmarked using affirmative action principles. Using affirmative actions principles we negotiated certain levels of money for those persons who are seeking mortgages for those who are seeking special loans for small and mid size businesses and big businesses. There are now some banks that for every travel agent they use who is not a person of color, they now use a person of color for their travel

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and their landscaping, for the supplies that they And it's been a major break through in terms of making the goals of affirmative action and equal opportunities real throughout Detroit. Of course it's not been a process that was cured all the ills of the financial industry, but it's been outstanding and its really an international one because one of the banks that we've worked with, Michigan National Bank has merged with the Australian National Bank and therefore, the kinds of agreements that have been negotiated have international proportion because they also apply now in Australia and not just America. The National Bank of Detroit, which is one of the nation's largest and also Comerica Bank make up those three major financial institutions in Detroit that are a part of this \$3 billion agreement that has not just been leveled on paper, but is monitored by a committee and we meet quarterly to work with the banks to make sure there is access and inclusion and a win-win support team to make sure that affirmative action equal opportunities become the mainstream of becoming the norm in the financial industry in Detroit. CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I'd like to know if any of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(312)236-4984

loans at the institutions?

those senior vice presidents have the authority to approve

1 MS. WATSON: We don't like the empty 2 promotions, Ms. Frazier. I thank you very much for asking 3 the question. As a matter of fact, sometimes to the fact 4 to help our own people understand opportunities there's, you know, Bill Brooks taught me some frank talk some years 5 back and I'll never forget a brother who we had to work 6 7 with -- everybody's my brother and my sister, but this brother worked for a particular bank and when we were 8 pushing for senior vice president of color, he was trying 9 to save and apologize and move his CEO off the hot seat 10 11 and beginning to make statements like he might not be 12 qualified. So we had to work with him and first of all tell him to be quiet and remember how he got there. 13 But we also provided levels of recognition and provided a 14 leadership succession program which we helped them draft 15 16 for this particular institution looking at, in some cases, 17 women who had been there the 26, 27 years toiling doing 18 outstanding work but never getting on that track to senior 19 vice president. Senior vice presidents are not born, they're made, they're nurtured, they're mentored and 20 21 they're monitored and they're assisted and there are those 22 kinds of internal success that have given us the most 23 pride in the Fair Banking Alliance.

Thank you for your answer

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER:

testimony this morning that really kind of supports the idea that in the State of Michigan we are moving away from major problems with major institutions in terms of their understanding of the need for diversity and their ability to work within their community to move many of them, especially the larger corporations. The problem as it has been reported to us seems to be that government, public sector, there has been a retrenchment. Is there anything that you can offer in terms of strategy, in terms of ideas to help within the State of Michigan to see that if that in fact is the case that we not continues to lose ground? Has your organization been in the forefront of any efforts to prevent that?

MS. WATSON: Oh, heavens yes, we have. The NAACP has taken a very major role under our president, Rev. Wendell Anthony in addressing what we have been able to testify about. In fact, we've had an affirmative action hearing and a testimony for the citizens of Detroit held in a major college in Detroit a year ago and also conference on when hate groups come to town. One of the things we were able to documents, were able to pick up from our witnesses is there has been a retrenchment and the level of commitment, even public commitment to

affirmative action at the state level. For example, some report that relates to African American and other people of color advancing to technological and business sectors are not even being kept anymore. Certain statistics and data are not even being maintained at the state level which is a warning sign. You also have levels of funding that have been decreased across the board and things have become commonplace and in most states in terms of citizen's rights and programs equal just basic service citizen access program, not necessarily equal opportunity.

Now there's been cuts. They've been assailed, and of course the city and the state that has the highest African American — of people of color, the City of Detroit has been, quite frankly, in the eye of the storm as far as it's state policy, state direction. I would add to the first comment you made; however, we're also working very closely with companies and big business. We do note that the position that everything is okay and big business and institutions in Detroit, Michigan that all is well, even when you see people of color sometimes in high places monitoring it's needs. We have seen retrenchment among people of color at the highest levels. Certain departments that very always had strong monitoring when people of color and women who have been able to

operate with power to making policy decisions, many of
those have been retrenched or removed. And the fact that
there is a major utility in this city, a major utility in
this city that only had one black board member on it's
board. That person died, the person who died happened to
be president of another utility. Eighteen months ago,
other than the storm of protests they received from the
NAACP, most people in the city are not aware that this
company, this major utility that all of them pay something
to every month, has not replaced this major African
American male with another person of color or even a woman
on its board. And that kind of business is going on all
the time. They think it's a federal retrenchment and
corporate statements and other statements and political
statements anti affirmative action have had an impact on
corporations and businesses industry, and they're very
much in need of monitoring and certain levels of
involvement from people like you, from people like us.
CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you. Questions?
Peter?
MR. KOBRAK: Ms. Watson you've worked a lot
with hate crimes as well as affirmative action.
MS. WATSON: Yes, sir.
MR. KOBRAK: To what extent are the hate

crime groups picking up on affirmative action as one of their complaints and how do they view it, if they are?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. WATSON: It's interesting that in the infamous David Duke when he was running for Governor, now Lord have mercy, he's running for Congress. But David Duke, when he was running for governor was among the first planks of his platform was total abolishment, eradication of affirmative action in his 20 point plan. Some of those plans have been resurrected in America in recent years through some folks in Congress. But David Duke who was an acknowledged, a publicly avowed member of the Klu Klux Klan is a representation of the kind of wedding of some level of white supremacy and the hate group and some level of political circles and sometimes religious circles. There's a church in Michigan and a church called the Identity Church and this church preaches that Jews are the product of Eve mating with Satan and that black people are They actually teach this, that we're the pre Adam. descendants of beasts. So when you have this identity church business going on connected with many of the extremists, anti government groups like the militia which is a very large group in Michigan, tied into the white supremacy groups. This young man who was thought to be on trial this summer for the Oklahoma bombing. At the time

of his arrest, had in his hands one of the bibles of white supremacy and I'm certain that there's a connection, there's a reason why those Freeman in Montana hoisted the confederate flag just moments before they gave themselves up to the officials of this government. So, there's a connection in the hate group and the so-called right wing extremist movement in America and it's one that has been tracked, has been monitored. The Senate for Democratic Renewal, the National Anti an network is busy working with a lot of groups, including Tom Turner see who used to be a campaign manager for George Wallace, now is among the key advocates of justice in the south. We'll be talking to him tomorrow about his role in suing the Knights of the Klan because they have clear evidence connecting them with one of the church fires in the south. connection between the white supremacist group, the anti government movement, the far right and some religious extremists and some hate talk which we also want to cite as a grave concern. 77 percent of the talk in America is hate, in our opinion, and the two most listened to broadcasters, Rush Limbaugh, G. Gordon Liddy and you know, G. Gordon Liddy said on the air that if the ATF agent comes to your home, get your gun and aim for the head. So the hate is being recycled and perpetuated across this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

country at an alarming rate, and this is no time to abolish affirmative action against a backdrop of all the hate, violence, racism spilling over in America.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Roland, and then we'll have to go on.

MR. HWANG: I'd like to just make a comment, something that you said about hate. Hate is one of those elements that you can't control and you can start off hating groups, different groups of people and eventually it comes full circle and I think that's to some degree what we're seeing with the militia movements and the anti government movements that it's coming back home to roost. Just that comment. I really don't want to—

I have a question for Ms. Jackson. You made reference to the commitment of the DMC to continue with affirmative action programs, notwithstanding any obligation if the political landscape should change. I was just wondering beyond the civil rights groups that have taken a position regarding affirmative action has their been any monitoring or organized response with respect to legislative efforts in the state legislature to do away with, scale back, limit affirmative action, the ability to monitor affirmative action programs?

MS. JACKSON: Yes, there are three bills now

in the House, one is Resolution -- and I have the numbers of the other ones in my paper, banning preferential treatment and I've been active with State Representative Floyd Klak. He has developed a group of people to all. industries to come together to try to figure out how we can get the word out about how these bills are going to hurt us all. We have been meeting on a pretty regular basis becoming up with ideas and mainly getting the word out about what these bills actually mean. People don't Like in California when they passed the bill, the same kind of bills they have there, when they passed the bill the way it was worded, it made people think that it was a good thing, you know, the preferential treatment was bad, this was going to hurt them the way it was worded when these same people were actually polled about what they thought about affirmative action. It was helpful, they said, yes that should. They didn't even understanding the bill in the first place. Representative Floyd Klak gotten on the band wagon said I'm going to nip in the bud. When those bills came out, he sent out notices to organizations to give them -- to tell what their position was and it was open to everyone. Of course people came and there were many more people that came, probably ten times as many that support affirmative

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

action that came to tell their testimonies about how it many help them and how their companies were doing and they should support it and so he has gotten this group together and I'm responsible for getting that word out and some of the companies in the Detroit area. So we've been meeting and the ACLU is part of that. They've been meeting in different other large organizations, small organizations, individuals, many that are just interested that have been led by affirmative action that have been meeting to talk about what is our next step, how do we get the word out and get information to these people on these opinions and how it would hurt us if they should be passed. So things are happening and to a great extent.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I'll thank both of you very much for taking the time this afternoon to come here and share with us your knowledge. We really appreciate it.

MS. JACKSON: Thank you.

MS. WATSON: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Our next presenters are Ann Malayang and Patricia Bell, so we're going to hear from John Blackwell.

ANN MALAYANG

Asian American Center for Justice

Good afternoon. As you know, my name

2 is Ann Malayang and I'm a Filipino American, in case some

3 of are you wondering. I'd like to thank you for this

4 opportunity to speak with you this afternoon. I basically

5 want to summarize the paper that I wrote and I just handed

6 it to Peter. Now, I would just like to say first at the

7 outset that I am not an expert on the affirmative action

8 | issue. All I know is what I've read about and also from

9 personal experience. Basically my paper was broken down

10 -- is broken down into three sections; historical

11 perspective of the history of Asian Pacific Americans here

12 in the United States, and the second section dealt with

model minority myths, concepts, and then I sort of kind of

tied it into anti Asian or anti immigrant sentiment and

15 affirmative action.

14

23

16 I'm not sure how much you've heard

17 | about the history of Asian Pacific Americans, but I notice

18 that Professor Nomura is here so I may be -- Professor

19 Nomura is here, so I might be saying the same thing she

20 said. Just to enforce whatever she said, the Asian

21 | Pacific Americans have a long history here in the United

22 States, the first recorded Asians to come here was a

priest from China in the year 489 A.D.. He stayed with

24 the Native American Indians for about 40 years and then

returned to China. In the 1600s, Chinese and Filipinos landed in Mexico on the ships from the Manilla Galleon. The first recorded settlement of filipinos was in New Orleans in the 1700s. As a matter of fact, one of the 46 founders of what we now know as Los Angeles was Filipino. The first Asians that arrived here in large numbers were the Chinese back in 1848 after gold was discovered in California. They began arriving about 1849 as labored indentured servants, not only in California, but all over the United States. During the reconstruction era, southern plantation owners imported Chinese laborers and northern industrialists also used Chinese laborers as strike breakers because they worked for less money than those that belonged in the union. When the Central Pacific Railway completed its part of the railroad in 1869, its work force, including 12000 Chinese, over 90 percent of its work force. The Chinese worked in mines, fisheries, farms, all kinds of different jobs all along California and other parts of the United States. laws were written to target the Chinese.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In 1854 the California Supreme Court ruled that Chinese immigrants were not allowed to testify against a white persons. Notice 1870s when there was an economic downturn, the Chinese became the scapegoats and a

movement to exclude the Chinese began. In 1870 the Naturalization Act excluded Chinese from citizenship and prohibited entries of the wives of the laborers. In 1882 the Chinese Exclusion Act was passed prohibiting Chinese laborers from entering into the U.S. and the Supreme Court, the United States Supreme Court eventually upheld the law as Constitutional and two cases 1889 and 1893. The act was supposed to last only ten years, but it kept being extended on a number of occasions. Many Chinese during the first half of the 19th Century were detained on what is known as Angel Island in the San Francisco Bay before they could be brought into the mainland and they were, at that time they were subjected to intense interrogation. Some stayed for more than two years on the Island and there were numerous suicides that took place at that time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In 1883 the Japanese began replacing the Chinese as a source of cheap labor and thereafter various laws were again enacted to limit the Japanese immigration and the Naturalization Act was extended to exclude other Asians from obtaining citizenship. Numerous other laws were put into effect during the early 1900s and in 1941 as you know, that's when Pearl Harbor was attacked and Executive Order 9066 was signed in 1942 rounding up

more than 120,000 Japanese, 75,000 were Japanese Americans and they were incarcerated in interment camps. The order stated that the enemy had to be evacuated to the interment camps. But, despite what the U.S. thought as enemies, they allowed certain Japanese Americans to fight in the military during the World War II and the 442nd Regimen of the combat team became the most decorated unit during World War II.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Essentially in the immigration of Asians -- really this came into full force after 1965 when amendments to the Immigration and Naturalization Act abolished national origin as the basis for allocating Today, 80 to 90 percent of immigration quotas. immigration of the Asian Pacific nature, entered through the U.S. through the family categories. As a result of those amendments, Asian Pacific American are now the fastest growing minority group in the United States, although we only made up 2.9 percent in the 1990 census. Between 1980 and 1990 are a slight increase over 95 percent compared to whites in the 1980 s who made up 83 percent of the population, they only increased 36 percent during the '80s. African Americans also in 1990 made up 12.1 percent of the population increased 13.2 between 1980 and 1990. Hispanics, 9 percent of the population, grew 53

percent. The Bureau of Census really does not reject what the Asian Pacific population will be in the next century, however, all the studies done by groups interested in Asian Pacific American issues say that there will be 17 to 20.2 million Asian Pacific Americans in the year 2020 which will be an increase of over 145 percent. As—

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: May I ask you, in the essence of time, I know that there are some succinct points that you probably want to leave today and if you could just focus on those things that you think are positives or negatives as it relates to the Asian American population and affirmative action.

MS. MALAYANG: Basically I think the main issue is that I state all this history just so that people will know that to defeat the model minority myths that people have of Asian Pacific, we have been excluded all through the years we've been here. We have been discriminated against all through the time that we've been here. The model minority myth is a tool of oppression that in two ways it denies the existence of present day discrimination against Asian Pacific Americans and it also legitimized the oppression of other racial minorities and poor whites. There are several critiques of this model minority image. One critique is it ignores the history of

Asian Pacific Americans. Another one is that it also ignores the history of African Americans history. can't combine in -- we can't just look at one minority group's history and make an overall assumption of what civil rights or affirmative action programs should be just based on that. For example, during the 1992 riots much focus was put on the racial issues between black and However there was no discussion with regards to the problems in the law that the Korean Americans faced during that riot. Another critique is the glass ceiling effect that Asian Pacific Americans experience despite their educational attainment. Unpublished subpar data here in Michigan shows that Asian Pacific Americans with a Bachelor's earn \$4,000 \$4,500 less than whites, and if you break that down to individual incomes, not just household income, Asian Pacific Americans have the lowest median income here in southeast Michigan. A 4th critique of the model minority myth is that it doesn't take into account the different patterns among the various groups in the Asian Pacific American community. For example, the Laotian community, the poverty rates there is over 67 In the Mong community, its over 65 percent. The Cambodians, over 46 percent, and the Vietnamese over 33 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Finally, the model minority myth 2 ignores the fact that again Asian Pacifics are not discriminated against because the myth is perpetuated that 3 4 because the Asian Pacific Americans do have, 5 successful. I think overall the reason why they do have 6 the higher education, that they are there is so, is that 7 8 9 The immigration discussion is looked domestic policy. 10 upon as separate from domestic issues and civil rights There are a long line of Supreme 11 issues in particular. 12 Court cases that essentially says you can discriminate 13 14 15 perpetuated discrimination against those who are

race is not taken in as a factor in the immigration issues because it's characterized as foreign policy as opposed to against this group because on an immigration, based on the race and I think that's added to by judiciary and Congress considered foreign. And we've seen that in violence I think you're familiar with a against Asian Americans. chain store and also a more recent incident that happened here in Grand Rapids where a white male, former high school hero was given a light sentence for killing a Mong resident. Also in college settings, I know there's a great debate, especially out in the West Coast that affirmative action programs should not -- that Asian Pacific Americans should not be included in affirmative

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 action programs. However, I think just for the sake of being diverse, we need to be considered into affirmative 2 3 action programs. Just even to recognize the fact that 4 Asian Pacific Americans do exist. 5 Again, in the employment setting we experience the glass ceiling. 6 I'm going to have to call 7 CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We're at about ten minutes. Would you like to have 8 9 use one closing statement? 10 MS. MALAYANG: Basically I think if main 11 point is that we have to shift the paradigm of what is now between black and white and to include other people of 12 13 color into the discussions of affirmative action. Thank 14 you. 15 CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We'll get back to you on a question and answer basis. Mr. Blackwell, Ms. Patricia 16 Bell, who is going first? 17 18 MS. BELL: The lady. 19 PATRICIA BELL 20 FEDERAL GUIDANCE COUNSELOR 21 We are together on this particular 22 issue, so we will be doing the dialogue which is what we've done since we started. When I was asked -- let's 23 24 put it this way, I was asked, Patricia, do you have an

opinion on affirmative action? I said yes. Then I get a letter which I'm very grateful for inviting me to this August body to do this position paper. Well, I realized I didn't know nothing about affirmative action, that I was stuck on stupid and there was no way I was going to give any credence or write anything about affirmative action And as I was going across the until I did some research. country, this was in March, I was going across the country, was talking to people about it as I was going across the country. And so when I got back home I called, I said could I have a little more time? So in the meantime I was talking to John and we both realized that as lay people whether we've been through the process, I was a pioneer, one of the pushers back in the early days that opened up avenues and once those avenues were opened, I was able, because of good networking to take advantage so I too knew affirmative action was very positive. Ιn fact, it's what we might call democracy in action because what it does is it opens the doors and when the door is open, I'm going to push through. All I ask is just open the door. So, anyway we begin to do our research and our research and we will share with you succinctly what our research covered and we're just getting really started on what we will be doing in the future so other uninformed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

people who have opinions, and that's why we're in all this trouble, they've got opinions but they're uninformed opinions. We hear things and we hear she said he said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JOHN BLACKWELL

I'm John Blackwell and I'd just like to say thank you again for allowing us to speak to you. Ιn the process of doing so of the research as well as the interviews, I went out and asked a number of people what is affirmative action and to my surprise many people had no idea as to what affirmative action was. As you will find from our objective when you read our paper, we've stated that affirmative action is so misunderstood from the lay person's perspective that it's considered just another form of discrimination. Being the history buff that I am, I felt that it was necessary to look into the history of affirmative action and in the midst of looking for that history, I found that affirmative action existed for many, many years. Of course then under a different name.

As I looked back, I found that the pilgrims as well as the early American Indians needed a form of affirmative action. As we look at the 13th Amendment, oftentimes called the Dread Scott Amendment which was enacted in 1865, we find probably our first real

point here as far as affirmative action is concerned. There are many acts that follow that particular Amendment. The Civil Rights Act of 1866 which guarantees the same rights to white citizens as to the former slaves. found 13th, 14th and 15th Amendment also played a vital As we move through history, the civil rights act of 1875 proved that discrimination was wrong in the private This would go through a number of factors, Veteran's Preference Act of 1944 which is a one over time mentioned we talk about the later ones, the Equal Pay Act of 1963, Title 7 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and of course President Johnson had the Executive Order which added to helping the Civil Rights Act of '64, plus the Age Discrimination Act, Veterans Vocation Rehabilitation Act, Freedom of Information Act. All of these acts we're hoping, according to the authors, can be taken care of with simple affirmative action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. BELL: And then we get to my favorite part, the promise and the practice. The promise of equality, fair opportunities and all of these wonderful things. And one of he things that is having the promised 40 acres and a mule first affirmative action program and had that been enacted, the ground would have been leveled and we would not be here today. We know that no matter

what we do it's always going to be discrimination. What we want to do is to take the steps we want to reduce it and we need affirmative action as a tool for helping to Some of the fears that people have is that reduce that. they feel that the protected groups and the people who are benefiting, that while their income gains are rising, they're so afraid that they're going to get too much or the people are going to be satisfied. So that is one of the fears and then that fear gives rise to reverse discrimination. If we can get rid of the Afro phobia because the only time we hear reverse discrimination is when affirmative action is viewed in a black/white context. That's when reverse discrimination comes up, people become afraid of it and if we can get people to forget that and really look at the opportunity and all the problems that could be solved by getting rid of some of the fears of affirmative action and trying to learn more about file. For example, nobody, and that had been touched on earlier, the Veteran's Preference Act, the GI Bill, nobody has ever said anything against that bill. has never been touched and 35 years ago that was started and people are still getting benefits from that. In fact, the best beneficiary from that is today, the white male. White females, even black males have not or black females

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

have gotten all of the many advantages as the white male in that GI Bill of rights, even though most -- because we were not all allowed, it wasn't that many of us that were allowed to be in the service. So we need to look at some of these; that's just one example. Then there's another thing that in our many, many travels, behavioral People say well laws can't change behavior, laws can't change attitudes, but laws can change behavior. And if in the change of behavior people gain new experiences, they're added to their attitude change soon to follow and there is research to support that there's a great deal of research that supports that and then I talked to some businessmen in the State of Michigan. And as I was traveling down south and some of them said well, you know the law really helped because if they gave me a problem and I brought on the knowledge I could say that's what the law says. And so the law gave them some help. in my own experiences in the early '50s when I was one of the pioneers of integrating schools in Kentucky, what happened was the experience that brought on these changes. If you never had any experience of being with other people, you can't bring about these attitudinal changes that are absolutely necessary. I think that one of the things that we failed to take advantage of in America is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that we must have an educated citizenry and since our citizens, they are ignorant and I have a PhD and there's a lot I haven't had time to learn about and when I realize just all of the emotion and rhetoric that surrounds this affirmative action, it really is scary when you stop and think that it only means open the door and there's going to be a fallout, some people are going to move on, some are not. So there's really no worry. It means competition, competition in the real old fashioned sense.

MR. BLACKWELL: I think, in conclusion, in 1964 President Lyndon Johnson gave a speech at how Howard University and there I think he sums up what we are trying to say today. Many of you do not take a person who for years has been hobbled with chains and liberates him, bring him up to the starting line of a race and say you're free to compete with all the others and still justly believe that you have been completely fair, thus its not enough just to open the gate of opportunity. All our citizens must have the ability to walk through those gates. We thank you.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Are there any questions?

No questions. Thank you very much, now we are scheduled for a short break? Do you need a break right now? Let's go then.

WILLIAM C.BROOKS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION

Thank you for having me here. It's certainly a pleasure. My name is Bill Brooks, Vice President of General Motors Corporation and at the outset, I would like to say I'm in my third career and affirmative action has certainly helped me and when I move to the 4th one, its going to help me in that one, too.

I had the opportunity to serve as Assistant Secretary of Labor in the Bush Administration. One of my responsibilities was overseeing the Office of Federal Contract Compliance. I had responsibility for all of the affirmative action programs in this country, Arthur Fletcher having been the first assistant secretary of labor for ESA and his role and he is a former Chairman of the Commission of Civil Rights. His role was to get boarding passes for minorities and women. I happen to be the second African American. I will be the second African America in that role. My job was to provide upgrade and one of the reasons I went to Washington really was Elizabeth Doyle's insistence that I certainly would have the opportunity to develop the reflecting around the glass ceiling that was prevalent there and is still prevalent. It's the great opportunity then of having worked for me a

fine person, outstanding individual who happens to be the Regional Director of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Ms. Connie Davis, who got me through my tour down there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would give you today a General Motors position on affirmative action and very briefly and answer questions. In General Motors we believe diversity is essential to our capabilities and success for winning in a global marketplace. To that end, affirmative action is a viable solution for achieving diversity from a race and gender perspective in the short term. As a result of the changing demographics of the work place, affirmative action goals can aggressively be achieved. Is it our further belief that due to these changing demographics as well as the changing worker attitude, it is essential for General Motors to move beyond affirmative action and to a critical mind set of managing diversity, defined as a process of creating an environment that works for all. Principles related to managing diversity will, in the long term view, position General Motors to enhance it's capability in understanding the needs of customers around the world and to vigorously develop, design, manufacture and market products and services that meet and exceed customer expectations.

In that regard, General Motors stands

firm on its commitment to affirmative action as movement into principles related to managing diversity. On March 25, 1996 Jack Swift, Chairman of the Board, CEO, President of GM reaffirmed GM's position on affirmative Action and I might add last year he was invited to the White House where the President was thinking about what to do with affirmative action. And at that meeting with a small group of people help advised the President that General Motors could care less about who was in Washington and what they felt. That affirmative action is an integral part of our system and we would not move back and forth, depending upon the whims of politics. That we have our targets set and we were moving beyond the principles and the numbers that you might have in affirmative action. And I'd like to quote a statements that he made and sent to all of our officers and all of our leaders. "Concern is being voiced that the momentum for minorities and women and the nation's commitment to affirmative action is diminishing. Let me stress this is not so at General Motors. As important as this debate is, let me say bluntly, we do not see any advantages in simply keeping up with current attitudes or laws. When it comes to affirmative action, we will continue to press the envelope where at the same time we will be moving to a broader

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

concept; that is, managing diversity. As a global company, we want to fully benefit from a diverse work force. Our commitment to diversity extends beyond the doors of our company. It includes our dealerships, our suppliers and in the many communities where we operate. In our industry, as in this nation, our diversity is our strength. There diversity is more than merely part of our national heritage, it is part of our national pride. Having people of widely different ethnic, racial and social backgrounds in our corporation has not slowed our pursuit of excellence, it has accelerated it. continue to do everything possible to bring minority group members and women to General Motors in a mainstream of the economy. We cannot, we must not waste this talent. Progress must continue at General Motors, it will continue." Using affirmative action as a tool for creating a diverse work force is needed for the short Managed diversity is a future state tool that will allow GM to effectively compete on a global basis to ensure world leadership in transportation product and Managing diversity for us is really not an services. option. For example, in GM the people who are 55 years of age and older, 78 percent are white males, 22 percent are women and people of color. Of those 27 years of age and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

younger, 51 percent are women and people of color and 49 percent are white males. So you see in our early retirement programs most of the people who are leaving or the time to leave are white men. And last year we brought in 41 percent of new people we brought in were women and people of color. Therefore, we see the work force changing right before our eyes and for us managing diversity is not a legal, social, moral issue, its a business imperative. And if we are to be able to compete globally, we have to manage diversity and those are the people who are going to win and that's the mindset of our leadership at General Motors. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Are there questions?

MR. GORDON: Now that General Motors, as well as our other corporations, have acknowledged and recognized the business mandate to diversify, what roll, if any, do you see for the government in affirmative action?

MR. BROOKS: I have had a couple of very extensive conversations with the Secretary, Bernie Anderson, who is responsible for these. One, I believe that affirmative action has changed dramatically. The world has changed and as I tell people, I can't understand why America is so enamored about affirmative action and

don't want anybody to touch it. If it's done so much in the last 30 some years, why is it that we have 1 percent or so of the Fortune 1000 CEOs women and minorities? I think it has failed miserably; therefore I think it needs I think it has to be focused more on the to be changed. upper end of the companies. I think there's a role and at the same time also I think that there should be some reward for people who do it well. You shouldn't have to continue 30, 40 years with all that onerous paperwork if you're doing the job. I think you need to focus on people who aren't doing the job and get them up to speed and when I say that I mean in our case if we were to live by the letter of the law in affirmative action and be able to get past any review, we would be flunking from a business perspective because we don't get the right people. can't worry about the numbers game and win this game in a global economy, you've got to get the people and if you go to a business school today or engineering school over half of the students are going to be women and if you get the best students, you'll come out there with women. And if you're playing that number game quotas or goals, you'll end up with just dealing with that number. So I think you need to arrive, in fact I'm very pleased that Secretary Anderson came to Detroit recently. He visited with me and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

we deliberated on I say enhancing the system.

· 1

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: You say focus on people who are doing the job right. What kind of incentives have you thought about? What kind?

MR. BROOKS: The only incentive I would ask for is to preclude or stop having these companies get involved in all of these affirmative action plans and all the administrative review.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Without adequate record keeping of some sort, how would you ever know who is doing it right and who is not?

MR. BROOKS: I wouldn't say recordkeeping, I say all these report. I mean knowing what you have in this jar of jelly beans and what the flavors are is, a part of doing business today and people who say they don't know who is in their work force, its hard for me to understand because once you set up that computer system, it makes sense to understand. You see, if you have to treat people as individuals today, there may be locations in the world you cannot send a women. There may be places that you can't send a certain nationality person, but there may be places where you want to send a specific person. Its no accident that when the President of Libya was here and wanted to do a deal to get business with him,

that I was the one who met with him. There was no accident that I hosted the President of Botswana because they wanted to speak to somebody who is like me. It's no accident that Shirley Young a Chinese American, is one of our main speakers in our dealing with China. I mean so we have to know people. We need to know people who speak certain languages, particularly in Mexico, Venezuela or those countries or people who speak French. So we can't go away from understanding who is in our work force.

MR. HWANG: Mr. Brooks, given the policy statement on behalf of General Motors from Mr. Smith, has there been an effort to create a position or a dialogue on, let's say the Motor Vehicle Manufacturer's Association or the National Association of Manufacturers or the Conference Board, those boards that GM participates on to sort of develop a unified policy?

MR. BROOKS: No, we have not. It's hard enough to take care of our own business.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Brooks, in GMs below age 55 employment tier, is there any perceived threat to diversity or affirmative action? I mean, anything been vocalized to management?

MR. BROOKS: You know, as we start our diversity efforts Dr. Roosevelt Thomas, you probably know

who has written the book Beyond Race or Gender, in fact the brand new book, the forward is written by Jack Smith. Chapter 10 of that book is about GM's case study on managing diversity. We have Roosevelt and I have done I think 56 four hour sessions starting with our Board of Directors down. In fact we're going next week to Paris and London and we've been to Mexico and we've come down through the organization. When you get halfway down, people become threatened because you're talking about change and change is not easy for people and people start seeing the fact that white males may not have it, in their judgment, as good as they used to have it in. In every one those sessions, I have to make this statement, that the white male myth is very easy to deal with. --it's a leadership issue -- all you as leaders have to do is always tell the truth, layout all the facts because sometimes when you have three women promoted or three minorities promoted and you can have 15 whites promoted at the same time, they will say nobody's getting promoted but women or minorities. So you have to keep all the facts out on the table and leaders can't take off their bars and get down and say oh how bad things are for us white men. I had young white man a guy fly from San Francisco to Detroit and he asked me the question about 26, very sharp,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

is there going to be a place in industry for me, a young white man like me, Mr. Brooks? And we talked all the way I gave him Roosevelt's book to read and he's now one and. of our major spokespersons on diversity. But if I would have said to that young man, you know what Paul, things going to be bad for you white boys, he would have left there and I would have relinquished leadership. I believe that when we have or competed in this country like Japan, 99 some percent literacy, Korea, Poland and Hungary, virtually 100 percent literacy, we cannot afford not to utilize anybody who is white, black, brown or yellow in both genders. We do not have enough. become a second rate nation in a global struggle if we don't utilize all of our people. And I think leaders have to say that and not allow people to sit back and stew in this world worried about if I'm going -- am I going to be a part of this new system or not. When you discuss diversity in MR. MARTIN: your touring foreign operation, is that a foreign concept to them? Do they understand what the issues are? MR. BROOKS: One of the most fascinating things happened when Roosevelt and I was down there in 160 of their leading executives, which included Mexico. Mexican, African American males, African, white females,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Americans, people from Italy, Spain, they do not have the words that equate to affirmative action, but they do understand how to deal with people who are different and as far as diversity, as Morgan mentioned, than sex, then gender, than race. In that particular country we're having cars engineered in Germany and U.S., manufactured there and shipped to other places. It is a diversity issue and they understood, probably better than any. Personnel Director Kean Kenyan, an African, was in one of our sessions and he indicated that you're really right on target talking about managing diversity. We had an African American male come to Kenya, managed our work force and flunked because he couldn't manage diversity. He looked at all the work force as being the same, when there were 12 tribes nine different languages and a number of those tribes hated each other, and understand because they all looked the same to him, but if he just studied long enough, he would have known how to distinguish one from the other.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm going to speak in London next week at the request not of General Motors, but the request of some foreign companies who want who say one of the major issues they have in becoming global companies in a shrinking world is how to manage diversity around the

world. Then we're going to Paris and speak to our french operations here with France. We will speak to them a little differently, obviously, than here, but the concepts are essentially the same.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you very much for sharing with us this afternoon and giving the insight you've given to global diversity. I'm sure it will help us.

MR. BROOKS: Thanks very much.

10 CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We'll break at this time.

11 Let's take about ten minutes.

(A brief recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you. We're ready to begin now we have a quorum and who is speaking for --

VICTOR MARSH

I am, Victor Marsh on behalf of my
boss, the Honorable Ricardo Soloman, Chairman of the 15
member of the Wayne County Commission. My name is Victor
L. March and I bring you greetings on behalf of the
legislative arm of the county government as well as the
2.2 million residents of our nation's 7 or 8th largest
county, depending on who you ask. The Wayne County
Commission has legislative oversight of the counties \$1.6
billion budget. We represent 43 cities, villages and

townships. Wayne County has a County Executive form of governance and it's the Executive Branch of government, charged with the equal employment opportunity, affirmative action monitoring and enforcement of the county's policy in this regard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I took the liberty to invite Mr. Ron Miller to my left who in his capacity as Deputy Director for the county's office of Human Relations is our designated hitter to executives in charge of EEO, affirmative action enforcement. We're proud of our record in affirmative action in Wayne County. In February of 1969 the county allocated its first dollars to this. effort and created the Office of Human Relations and by March of '69 appointed its first Director. The Department is now headed by the Honorable Irma Clark. In 1973 Wayne County endorsed and subsequently adopted the Detroit plan as the most effective means of achieving equitable minority participation in the construction trade industry. The Detroit plan was formally adopted as the affirmative action plan for Wayne County on March 13th, 1973. December 12th, 1990, the Equal Contracting Opportunities Ordinance was developed by Commission Chairman Solomon, created a small business purchasing program in Wayne county. For the record, I have brought three documents

with me to be entered into the official record of this hearing today. The first is the copy of the Ordinance I just referenced by Chairman Solomon and all of you have a copy of that and the second and third pieces are copies of the Wayne County Human Relations Division's 1995-96 and 1994-95 goals and objectives and major activities report listed directly from the county's budget book for those years. I want to defer to Ron Miller at this time to discuss the activities of the division just briefly because I know we have a five minute total presentation time. Ron is really the technocrat in this area and representing the Commission. I guess you could call me the Bureaucrat.

MR. RON MILLER: Good afternoon and thank you. The human relations division is responsible for the operations and performance of five programs and investigative review of discrimination complaints inside county government in and out. This is the part of resolution set forth by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Wayne, now referred to as the Wayne County Commission. The resolution establishing contract compliance procedures for the implementation of the Fair Employment Practices Program was established May 4th, 1970. The remaining programs are the County Base

Enterprise, Small Business Enterprise, Minority Women Owned Business Enterprise, Registration and United States Department of Transportation, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program. These programs are the results of the original purchasing resolution 83-138 adopted June 16, 1983 and amended on August 2nd, 1992 with resolution 92-168 to address the need for accounting base and small business enterprise recognition. In addition to the minority women owned business enterprise registration program was established to identify the goods and services these firms could provide to Wayne County for purchasing resolution which identified additional duties and responsibilities for the human relations was amended again on July 7th, 1994 with Resolution 94-457 to adjust the apportionment of credit given to a county-based firm per contract. Human relations has also been assigned the responsibility of certifying firms as disadvantaged business enterprises for the airport, as described in federal regulation 49 CFR 523 for the Federal Aviation Administration. The May 4th, 1970 resolution establishing fair employment practice require human relation to make investigative inquiries into the equal employment opportunity practices of vendors contractors to ensure Wayne County guidelines are being met.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Madam Chairman, pages 4 and 5 of MR. MARSH: the document in front of you. Page 4 chronicles the legislative history of affirmative action in Wayne County government and Page 5 is a historical chronology of the Office of Human Relations in Wayne County government. Peter asked us --CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Which document are you reading? MR. MARSH: The very first document from my remarks Madam Chair, you're the only one with the copy of that. Peter asked us to put this on disk and we complied with that. We are ready for your questions. CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I was just about to say can you give me some idea of the percentage amount of procurement that you do annually or perhaps using last year as a benchmark in Wayne County with DBE firms county wide and that includes both your regular purchasing departments as well as your airport purchasing?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MILLER: Unfortunately, I can't give you that information. I am not part of purchasing. We are part of corporation counsel, so I will not know that I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Okay. Do you have goals that you are aware of county wide in terms of the amount

of business that you do annually. Is your goal somewhere around 25, 30 percent? Is there a Wayne county goal that you've established?

MR. MILLER: As far as the set aside itself, there is no set goal as far as that is concerned because we're still working on a disparity study so we can set goals; however we do have goals set in the disadvantaged program out at the airport where it's required for ten percent as far as federal Aviation Administration is concerned, but we set goals at 30 percent trying to make sure we achieve the ten percent and better.

MR. MARSH: Let me just add on that the biggest program economic development program to hit Wayne County in nearly 30 years will be the expansion of Metropolitan Airport. Chairman Solomon has set forth a floor of 40 percent to have minority participation in every phase of that. To put it in some sort of economic perspective the Renaissance Center that we are in right now is only a \$320 million deal 25 years ago and of course you know that General Motors bought this building for \$74 million just a few weeks ago.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Are there any questions, other questions from any other members then?

MR. GORDON: What do you perceive as being government's role in affirmative action?

MR. MILLER: The continued effort to monitor firms and to assure that as they say "qualified" minorities get positions in companies and attain those positions and keep those positions and after having — and not having a turnstyle so they are in the door and out the door and just to meet the goals and meet contract needs.

MR. GORDON: Should the government have been setting those goals for private industry?

MR. MILLER: Based on the fact how affirmative action came about, it was originally set forth by private industry, then the government came in the loop of adjusting to affirmative action, we see the government as a good arm to keep a monitor on it. But it would be appreciative if all the main corporations that started it continue their efforts to affirmative action.

MR. MARSH: I just want to add to that, Mr. Gordon. I cut my teeth on affirmative action for then Mayor Coleman Young. I was part of the team that wrote the affirmative action program for the Detroit Water and Sewer Department. Executive Order 6 and Sue you might want to help me out because that was the main thrust of

the Mayor's program in every job classification in the water department, we had a target of 45 percent women and 55 percent minorities in every category. The Mayor was very serious about that and it really sets the pace for affirmative action in the police departments and fire departments all over this nation. And I think in going back to Bill Brooks' comments, leaders must lead, especially if elected leadership.

CHAIRPERSON FRAZIER: So does that mean that you believe that government can and should--

MR. MARSH: Oh definitely we need to be out front.

MS. HAMILTON: Mr. Miller, I need to ask you for some clarification. If I understood this correctly, did you say you have a 30 percent goal in an effort to reach a ten percent —

MR. MILLER: Right, as mandated by the FAA that ten percent of any federal projects at the airport about at ten percent goal. To achieve that many times you probably want to go over it a little bit because you have a tendency to have fallout. When you award a contract vendors will — contractors will list 50 minorities and so forth, but when it comes down to actually signing there's been problems with signing these particular

individuals, the contracts and so forth, then you have a lot of fallout. So what we're trying to do is really boost up the goal to achieve the goal.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I might add, I don't know what the current goal is in terms of what has been achieved, but signs I do have some dealings with the airport I can honestly say that the ten percent is a given by federal law. I don't think that Wayne county has ever settled for ten percent and so the 30 percent is a real goal and that includes not only the construction end of i, maintenance, but its also really kind of relates to concessions. And they've far exceeded ten percent. Mr.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Marsh, is there any overt out kind of resistance to Chairman Solomon's initiatives at the airport?

MR. MARSH: I'm sure there are, Mr. Martin, but they haven't reared their ugly head in our suite in the 4th floor of the county building as of yet. But we expect some resistance. The opportunity for the commission to lead on this matter is extraordinary. As you know, not only does the county executive and Northwest Airlines have to have approval of the Commission, but the other airlines and also the FAA as well. So, we feel

very confident about our position.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Do you fell equally confident about your position now that the county Executive has given over the total construction and design and airport to Northwest?

MR. MARSH: To Beck Tell --

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Not Beck Tel, Northwest

Airline. Do you think you'd be able to still achieve the

goal?

MR. MILLER: Let me explain a little bit about that design concept, whole concept. Northwest has been assigned duties and responsibilities for their main terminal, mid field terminal. The runways, taxiways which are the other part of the infrastructure will be built by Wayne County. It is upon commission itself and the County Executive to work out a working relationship with Northwest to get DBE participation in the part where Northwest is providing new money for the terminal.

MR. WALSH: The Northwest portion of that deal is about \$600 million and there's still a billion dollars of the other infrastructure that is going to be under Wayne County control.

MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: Given the amount of construction, new construction that Wayne County is

engaged in, has the government had any conversation with the building trades about their past practices and any efforts and initiatives they may have to be more inclusive?

MR. MILLER: I've talked with Ms. Ann Harrell from the U.S. Labor Department in the area concerning a couple of firms already, but we are going to talk more. That's why it's very important 11246, it helps a great deal there. Are quite a few firms that have already contacted me because of the apparent threat on affirmative action that they see as though affirmative action is dying, they go by the way side and they don't need that. But in all actuality, it's hard for Wayne County to itself to start a project and have regular citizen clientele travel our regular by ways and seeing jobs performed by mostly white males and it's hard to explain, and believe me I get enough phone calls already about that very concern.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Are there other questions? If there are no further questions I would like to thank you both of you for appearing before us and for leaving your materials.

Our next presentation will be made by Jacqueline Morrison from the Detroit Urban League and Mr.

Jimmy Myers. Will you come up to the speaker's table, from the America Association for Affirmative Action.

Let me reiterate, probably for the fourth time today that I'm very pleased that you took the time out to come and speak before this body. We are asking that you limit your remarks to five minutes and that you give us an overview of what is contained in your paper rather than read it verbatim. Thank you and we'll start with Ms. Morrison.

JACQUELINE MORRISON

MICHIGAN URBAN LEAGUE

Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights for inviting the Urban League to participate in this very critical consultation session. My name is Jacqueline Morrison, I'm Senior Vice President at the Urban League and I'm here representing Ronald Griffin, President and Chief Executive Officer who, unfortunately, could not attend. He obviously thought it was important because I'm here to share some of the thoughts of Mr. Griffin and the Urban League.

The Urban League is one of 114

affiliates of the National Urban league headquartered in

New York who was formed to assist African Americans

migrating from the rural south to the north to help them adjust to conditions and complexities of the new community. We have a broad based that we represent and we fulfill our mission of assisting African Americans and our people of needs through service, research, and advocacy, and affirmative action and ensuring equal opportunity for those that we serve is critically important to us. But I wanted to start my remarks with an African proverb which says: "Only when you have crossed the river can you say the crocodile has a lump on its snout." I am certainly a beneficiary of affirmative action; however, I do believe that America's affirmative action policy has failed. New York Times recently has reported that during the last three decades of affirmative action preferences; the proportion of the poorest African Americans has grown. While many already upwardly mobile African Americans have benefited enormously, far too many of the less fortunate have been left behind. In many cases there have been preferences inherent in the affirmative action policies that have caused it's inefficiency. In many ways it has served as an entitlement program for middle and upper Many of the poor still seek to benefit from classes. America's affirmative action policies. It has not had a major impact on poverty and, in fact, it has been a failed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

racial remedy. But all of this does not mean that we have 1 2 to throw it out. Failure is not final. I've been on a diet for the last ten years and I just keep trying. 3 4 Affirmative action is important in our community. 5 have been great accomplishments in terms of diversifying the work force. It helped to diversify the work force and 6 we will continue to need affirmative action policies in 7 The unemployment rates for African Americans 8 9 is still twice that of whites. Only 1 in 7 African 10 American families are middle class compared to 1. It's a proven method for providing women and 11 families. 12 minorities an equal opportunity to gain access to the 13 mainstream. We know that our nation is becoming increasingly racially diverse, but at the same time it is 14 15 becoming economically and socially polarized and so affirmative action in this environment needs to be 16 17 reassessed, it needs to be re-engineered and we need to 18 look at it again. Employers and leaders, community 19 leaders and politicians, and the government must recognize 20 and address the changes in demographic profile in the country and make a commitment to diversify the work 21 22 force, whether its called affirmative action or not. But 23 more importantly, to ensure that opportunities get to 24 those who need that opportunity the most. Now is

definitely not the time to stop government spending for In fact, we should be reducing affirmative action. government spending and government waste and re-doubling our efforts to improve our affirmative action policies. Many scholars, civil rights activists, political leaders have researched and addressed and thought about affirmative action and what needs to be done. For me it was a recent reading of the book by Tony Brown, Black lies, White Lies that helped me understand why we must retain affirmative action and to improve upon it by creating what he called affirmative opportunities. Не used the parable of the prodigal son that's how come I could relate to that because I heard that many, many We know the story very well. I think perhaps this times. parable is a blueprint for breaking the cycle of racial animosity and relieving our economic crises. The parable is a lesson in love, compassion and fairness for it tells the story of a son who left the father's home, squandered all his riches and came back saying he was not worthy to be the son and the son who stayed home and did believe, kind of got angry when he came back and the father welcomes him and killed the fatted calf and embraced him and loved him. His compassion and his fairness towards his son, the weaker son at that point, is what affirmative

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

action needs to be looking at, strengthening the weakest chain in our community. I believe that in the final analysis it will be love, compassion forgiveness and fairness that will drive the country's productivity and gross domestic product. As we stick to strengthen the weakest link in our community, affirmative action and affirmative opportunity will be critically important and in that they'll be critical. We must not continue to support a privileged class affirmative action system in this country. What we have to say yes to a system of affirmative action and affirmative opportunities that are based on need, not gender or race or sexual preference. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you Ms. Morrison.

And now we'll have Mr. Myers speak and we'll take
questions after you have completed your presentation.

JIMMY MYERS

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

I'm with the American Association for Affirmative Action and that's a professional association of affirmative action practitioners. It's a volunteer organization. It's a national organization and I'm the Midwest Regional Director for the Association. The regions are divided the same way the region, the federal

region is, so this is Region 5.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

My paper is entitled, Affirmative Action and the Politics of Humanism and what it attempts to do is, well it starts by giving a brief history of how we came to be gathered here and even talking about affirmative action. It talks about how mainstream America is being influenced by a white Supremacist agenda who have done more to mis-educate and mis-inform the population about the true benefits of value of affirmative action than affirmative action professionals have and ordinary people have in educating the population as to what affirmative action is about. And so it talks about how humanism as a philosophical position is distorted and mis-applied and used as a harsh label to, in fact, dehumanize certain segments of the population so that benefits can be taken away without any concern for conscious. Humanism is a philosophical position that holds that people have within themselves the power to control their own lives, their own destiny without any need for divine guidance or intervention. That whatever the human being can conceive, then the human being alone Now there's a very profound Christian Rights can achieve. movement underway in the United States that has been influenced by right wing extremists organizations into

believing that Jews are satanic and evil and were murderers of Christ and that African Americans are pre Adam, that means before Adam, they're not really people, they're more akin to the beast in the field. And after years of putting forth this doctrine, they put forth, they also step up and support anti Washington Legislation, 2nd amendment issue of the right to bear arms and align themselves with people who are legitimately concerned about say abortion for religious reasons and they begin to resonate to an agenda that they don't know is not their own.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So this paper attempts to put some of that into context and it defines affirmative action. Ιt shows how the political process is used to further the It shows how or attempts to show how this objectives. humanism label is used to alienate and make estranged certain segments of our population instead of providing a solution to the problem. People are given someone else to We blame welfare, we blame Hatians, we blame blame. immigration policy, African Americans, Native Americans, Asian Americans and people resonate to this because if in this paper proposed to show how people are being manipulated into taking stands against affirmative action based on their agenda that's being put out. So that's the essence of what I have to say.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Questions?

MR. HWANG: I have a question for you Ms.

Morrison. I recently read an article arguing against affirmative action indicating that it was an economic class following race problem as predicates to that and then given your testimony indicating that poor African Americans are being left behind in the affirmative action process. How would you address that issue and yet still be supportive of affirmative action programs?

Well, I think that as we look MS. MORRISON: at affirmative action programs, we need to take that fact into consideration as we modify them to make them more You know I don't know the answer. meaningful. I think that it is does require a study that needs to be taken into consideration. Affirmative action programs need to be adjusted so that this does not continue. So that those who are left behind, in fact, can benefit from affirmative action creating affirmative opportunities. I don't know the answer to how the program should be adjusted, but the fact that we do have a growing class distinction in our society, then we need to pay more attention if we're committed to equal opportunity to all pay more attention to those who are, in fact, being left behind because

perhaps the affirmative action system that we've established is not helping people that we think it should be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: May I ask you do you have any kind of monetary guidelines for determining poor because as I'm listening to you, you know, all of us that came out of my community in Montgomery Alabama who benefited from affirmative action were poor, we just didn't know how poor we were until we got someplace against which it could be measured.

MS. MORRISON: Well, I guess the point that I'm making is that in our community, I'm not -- you can use federal guidelines for, but poverty has many issues; cultural poverty, social poverty, economic poverty. the opportunity of affirmative action has afforded are not inclusive of all and if you look in our community and you look at those who aren't making the cut, who don't even get to the point where they're satisfactorily completing high school to apply to college or perhaps an affirmative action policy may exist that would benefit them, then we need to look at that system a little bit further. So I'm speaking of poverty in a very broad sense, both economic poverty, cultural poverty, social poverty that there's just far too many people in our societies, African

American, Hispanic, whatever race, who perhaps could benefit from a revised revamped affirmative action policy,

Mr. Martin?

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Myers, has your association designed any programs to educate the population with respect to issues of affirmative action?

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER:

MR. MYERS: We have and part of that
education campagin involves doing things just like this as
a national organization in an office, a person in
Washington who has access to some of the national leaders.
Some of the national board members have appeared on
television on various talk shows, that sort of thing.
There are training programs that occur periodically.
We're planning one right now for October 12th through the
14th in Chicago. And so it will be the program, it will
be a conference where we hope to get the word out sometime
before the election so that people will have a clearer
understanding of what's involved.

Part of the misunderstanding about affirmative action, if I could back up just a bit to give some history of how it was developed, the concept of affirmative action grows out of a demand for the payment of reparations for the descendants of former slaves. It was placed squarely in front of the Kennedy administration

in 1963 as a serious proposition and its been reported that the President didn't like the idea of even entering into that discussion. And out of that came a possibility of some kind of, as the president referred to it, positive affirmative action to address the demands that were being So, as it has developed and placed for reparation. evolved over the years, one thing I'd like to make clear that it wasn't intended to end poverty or all of society's ills, it was a limited approach to achieve a limited end. To that extent it has been successful. Social problems that we have today won't be resolved through even the most effective affirmative action program. We hope to get this Between the period of say 1965 and now there message out. has been more mis-education about affirmative action than there has been education, and with this major assault on affirmative action occurred. There was no civil rights organization to reach back and to reactivate, it had to start all over again. I can say that there is a brand new network that's in place that has arisen as a direct result to this challenge of affirmative action and its entirely new configuration of people, new players. White women have benefited from affirmative action more than any other single group and they're very active in getting the So hopefully some of these misconceptions can word out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be corrected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KOBRAK: Mr. Myers, I'm not clear why you introduced humanism into your analysis of affirmative action and you were very good about being very brief and I wondered if you would explain that linkage?

MR. MYERS: Well, there is an era that some people refer to as an era of wedged politics where a lot of highly visible talk show hosts, political practitioners, politicians attempted to drive a wedge between the American people and separate them into groups. Had each group positioned against each other and an instrument for doing that is affirmative action and also a new instrument and that is with the concept of humanism. Humanism refers to many, as I mentioned earlier, people being accused of being God less people. That they believe when Louis Farrakhan said to the Million Man March audience that you should go back to your community thief, start your own businesses, protect your black women instead of brutalizing them, instead of abusing them. we have a commitment to do this, then we can do it. particular statement of his was separated from a number of other remarks that he made and became the subject of various talk show radio programs for a period of time. And the criticism was that Mr. Farrakhan, if you don't

the reason that you're you and your people are having the problem that you're having is because your religion of humanism that's your distorted view of what's possible. If you don't have the Divine hand of the Lord guiding your way, then your people will always be in the position that they're in right now. That resonated throughout the That's the way people are beginning to refer to country. certain groups of people, in my opinion, in an effort to dehumanize them. When that happens, there is no conscious there. A person can be justified in committing any number of atrocities against people. So I'm very concerned about that, of these labels being pinned on people to take away their humanity at every turn and their message is being directed at people who are themselves truly religious who are very fundamentalist Christian who begin to believe that maybe there is something about the religion of Louis Farrkhan or his followers or people who intend to go back to their communities and attempt to do something on their own that somehow they may be outside of the religious mainstream and we don't need to give them a second We can justify turning our backs. justify police brutality, church burnings, a lot of other unspeakable acts. So its being used as an instrument to further divide the American population is my point,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: I would like to just ask you to hold that until the open session if there's some things that you still don't understand about the concept, self help versus religion is basically what he's talking about and you do it outside of religion, then there's something wrong with it.

I would like to ask if there are any other questions from the committee members? And I'd like to bring on our final two presenters and then we'll come back and I know that someone here has indicated that there were some people in the audience that spoke earlier that they'd like to question again. So if you could just stay around for a few more minutes, maybe another 15 or 20, we would like to have an opportunity to talk to you, even if it is one on one. Thank you.

At this time I would like to call Dr. Paula Allen-Mears and Mr. Horatio Vargas, new Detroit, Incorporated.

Good afternoon, welcome. I thank you.

Dr. Allen-Mears I think you're going to be the first one
to present and them we'll go to Mr. Vargas.

DR. PAULA ALLEN-MEARS

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Thank you. I'll be brief and to the

(312)236-4984

point. I am pleased to have this opportunity to address the Advisory Committee. I'm here to report to you on behalf of James Durestat, President of the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor and to deliver his position statement on affirmative action. I have brought this afternoon a statements on diversity as well as an article that I will be talking about in my remarks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A bit of history about the University of Michigan to clarify it's support of affirmative action. University of Michigan is probably one of the most outstanding universities in the United States. considers itself the Harvard of the midwest. You probably have heard that before. But the University of Michigan has consistently been at the forefront of higher education grappling with these difficult issues such as morality and promoting equality. From our earliest beginnings the University of Michigan focused on making a university education available to all economic classes. At our founding we attracted students from a broad range of Europeán and ethnic backgrounds. Also the University of Michigan was the first large university in America to admit women in 1869. Consistent with this history, I now will share select themes found in the most recent statements of Duerstat entitled Affirmative Action,

Diverse University Policy Benefits Everybody. Its a different spin on affirmative action, something that we do not talk about. This particular article was published in the Detroit Free Press and you have a copy of it. worked hard to improve our recruitment of staff, students and faculty of colors at the university. In this statement several important points are made in support of affirmative action. Please allow me to enumerate some of First universities always have considered varieties of factors in admitting students who are otherwise qualified academically. At some institutions preference is sometimes given to students of alumni. We strive for geographic representation, students who stand out because of special talent in art, music, writing and sometimes other areas are favored. Second, universities try to assemble an entering class that is diverse in many ways because this enriches the educational experience for everyone in the classroom and in extracurricular Third, non minority students benefit directly activities. from academic programs designed to help other students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In the position statements prepared by President Duerstat there are several convincing illustrations. These illustrations include such programs as the Women in Science and Engineering Program and the

Undergraduate Research Opportunity Program. Though those programs were originally targeted for women and/or minority students, they have enriched the academic environment for other students. Fourth, students are not the only ones who benefit from such efforts, faculty members at the University of Michigan is more open, rigorous and fair process than ever before sites to affirmative action initiative practices and policies that are in place that assure a more objective evaluation for qualification of hiring staff and administrator as well as faculty. These policies and practices do not involve quotas. Fifth, diversity is essential to any university as we approach the next century unless we draw on the vast diversity of people and ideas. We cannot hope to generate the intellectual and social vitality we need as a country to respond to changing conducts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In summary, our historic role at the University of Michigan has been to provide a world class educational opportunity to all students who have the ability to succeed. Affirmative action programs help not just minority groups or women or the disabled, they benefited all groups, white included. I thank you for this opportunity to address the panel.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you. Mr. Vargas?

HORATIO VARGAS

NEW DETROIT, INC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Good afternoon, I'd like to commend you, all of you for staying this late and listening to presentations. My name is Horatio Vargas and I'm the Manager of Race Relations at New Detroit and I'm here representing Mr. William J. Baccum, President of New Detroit. New Detroit is the nation's first urban It's a coalition of leaders from coalition. community-based organizations, labor, business, community, education and clergy. Funded by corporate donations and foundation grants, new Detroit is dedicated to the promotion of cultural and ethnic diversity, increasing minority economic development and enhancing quality and life of the citizens within and beyond the metropolitan Detroit area. What I have put together for you and I failed to pass out copies, but I do have copies, are several activities that new Detroit undertook within the last two years and reviewing affirmative action and asking our board of trustees to take action. The first was a statement, a summary on affirmative action that was shared with race relations committee of New Detroit which was the committee that took responsibility and in reviewing the topic and preparing the recommendation for the Board of

Trustees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Also, in the material was excerpted from written testimony that was presented by the former New Detroit President Charlie J. Williams to the House Judiciary and Civil Rights Committee of the Michigan House of Representatives to talk against several bills that were submitted by legislators to amend affirmative action in Michigan and also-- well to eliminate affirmative action and to amend the Elliott Larson Civil Rights Act. the document talks about two actions that were taken; one in 1995, which was a reaffirmation of an action taken by the board in 1988 and it basically reaffirmed New Detroit's position on affirmative action. In 1988 New Detroit had worked with various community-based organizations and corporations and try and promote the need for affirmative action. In its activities there was a document that was presented and prepared which is Affirmative Action Detroit Style and an update. This is the second booklet that was prepared. The first basically covered some of the -- and I gave a copy to staff persons so that it would be included in the material. It talked about the various corporations that have been committed to affirmative action and also listed the number of employees they had and a break down.

In March of 1996 New Detroit also took again a position on affirmative action which again basically reaffirmed its previous decisions and also makes significant commitments. One of them was to try and assist in forming a broad based coalition of appropriate groups and individuals to support and promote affirmative action programs. The second was to take the leadership role again in providing the necessary advocacy to supports affirmative action and the third one was to offer its support and assistance by hosting a dialogue for the purpose of formulating an advocacy strategy to support and enhance affirmative action and this is something that through the race relations committee is being planned for this fall and we would like to say that this committee and others would participate in planning for this strategy. I think that the presidential election is going to be very crucial and the candidates need to understand, along with the parties, the need and the importance of continuing affirmative action. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Questions from the committee?

I have one question. Dr. Allen-Mears, some of us have read in recent years continuing problems at the University of Michigan with what I would call race

relations. What kind of permanent steps have you taken to ensure that these kind of things are not occurring and I know in some cases it's been reported that some minority students felt threatened, unsafe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'll be happy to comment to DR. ALLEN-MEARS: that. I don't want to portray that we are a perfect institution. We are struggling with diversity like many other institutions and in this society that we live in today and really a university is only a microcosm of a larger context. But I must say that the deans of the University of Michigan as well as the president have engaged in extensive dialogue about how we can change the climate of the institution. We've made some gains in terms of the recruitment of minority students, faculty as well as staff, but now our objective is to tackle the climate to make it conducive and hospitable to all of its citizens and there are a number of programs around race relations in the dormitories. In my own school we celebrate diversity. We celebrate MLK Day, Martin Luther King Day there. There are opportunities to engage in dialogue about how differences contribute in unique ways to the intellectual life of the institution. Even with those initiatives underway and some of which are being developed as I speak, we still have a long way to go to

change the climate. And as you know, as numbers increase, sometimes there's polarization, there's more fear and threats and a way of addressing that is to provide opportunities for discourse, for dialogue in order to get a better understanding of what each of us brings to the institution and not — we're not there because we are female or a person of color or that we are a white male.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Thank you.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Vargas, the affirmative action resolution adopted by New Detroit Board, was that adopted unanimously? Was there any dissenting?

MR. VARGAS: Yes, it was unanimously.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Further questions? Mr.

Gordon?

MR. GORDON: I do have a question for Dr.

Allen-Mears. My question is how the University of

Michigan has achieved increased reputation both in terms
of students, faculty, departments heads. Where you are
now? Where you came from? What your roles are and how
you've accomplished that and avoided quotas?

DR. ALLEN-MEARS: I don't have all the data on the various units. I can speak for myself. Within the School of Social Work there are certain objectives that I set. For example, I'd like to have 25 percent of our

students being of minority status. And we recruit, we aggressively identified applicant pools and we go after them. That takes time, energy as well as diversion of funds because it requires effort to locate and to identify large pools of applicants who will qualify and meet the admissions criteria. But there are no quotas. There are goals and objectives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GORDON: How do you define minorities for purposes of doing your activities?

Racial and ethinc DR. ALLEN-MEARS: minorities. Jim Duerstat has one initiative. referred to as the Michigan Mandate. That particular program targets minority students. Then he has another initiative, it's entitled Women's Agenda and that particular agenda is to make Michigan a more hospitable place for women throughout the institution, whether you're a female student in engineering or you are a female dean and a person of color. It's to bring attention to the need of diversifying along the gender lines as well. Objectives have been set, but no particular targets, no number has been identified. It varies. Each unit -since we are a decentralized campus, I have my particular targets, but the Dean of the Medical School may have is another target or he may have a more need to increase his

or her racial composition. Being that I have a School of Social Work, automatically I attract a broad group of students from different economic classes as well as racial and ethinc background. The Medical School dean may not have that automatic mechanism, the goal and objective to attract and he or she may have to work harder at it. But if you would like more information, I would be more than willing to get for you responses to your questions.

MR. GORDON: Thank you.

MR. HWANG: I'm familiar with the Minority

Students Service Office and the Office of Minority

Affairs. I was just wondering to the extent that they

have an effect that they have an effect at the unit level,

do they have an imposed program for each of the units or

is that decentralized as well?

DR. ALLEN-MEARS: We draw upon that. It's centrally located. It's a resource to all the various academic units on the campus. I have an Office of Student and Multicultural Affairs in the School of Social Work.

Many of the respected academic units have a comparable unit and that is a hub that we pull from for resources, expertise and direction. Does that answer your question?

MR. HWANG: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: Any other questions?

If there are no other questions. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think we've come to the end of our day. We can now go into -- we can now close session and go into open public session.

Are there additional persons who wish to speak at this time or are there questions from the audience?

MS. HAMILTON-SMITH: Madam Chair I think that we should that note of the fact that several of our speakers have talked about the reluctance or downright lack of participation by the State of Michigan in keeping statistics that would allow us to monitor and; therefore abdicate for the citizens of this state. When we had our last public hearing on the issue of disparate discipline and a report was generated, I think that report would have been even more effective if the State of Michigan will kept proper statistics. And again, we're in a situation where speaker after speaker came to us and talked about their inability to keep statistics. I certainly agree with Bill Brooks that people keep statistics. It leaves me speechless that in this age of computers there are individuals who want us to believe that keeping statistics is somehow difficult. I think that it's the

responsibility of the State to advise the public of how public dollars are being spent inside our educational institutions and also inside just a general operation of government. And it's the responsibility that this Commission has, as I understand it, keeps citizens aware of issues that affect equity and justice in our state. It's difficult for us to do that when we can't receive the proper information. So I think it ought to be noted in this record that we ought to note in some correspondence to the state this lack of ability answer the questions seems less than possible.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: It's duly noted and recorded.

MR. MINARIK: If you want to recess this particular part of the session with respect to the affirmative action consultation, but we will have to have an open session at 5:00 o'clock because it was announced in the register.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We're probably going to go to the private session after this. Mr. Martin is poking me. Dr. Bell would you and Mr. Blackwell return?

MR. MARTIN: I think you indicated that you conducted a survey that indicated that people aren't that knowledgeable regarding affirmative action issues. Could

you tell us a little bit about the survey results and the samples?

MS. BELL: It was not a formal survey. I took a few notes. What was happening that the point I was traveling a quilting circuit and so because I had so little knowledge, I was asking along the way and I was asking people just what is affirmative action what did they know about it. And so that was what I picked up from my informal — no, I did not formalize it. The time was short, we had talked about writing out an official, a formal one and really doing it, but the time was just too short and the person with whom I was traveling suffered a terminal illness. So, therefore, I was not able to do as much as I would have liked to.

MR. BLACKWELL: Let me just say, Dr. Bell got into this and had got me the into it after she already started. One of the very first thing I needed to do was to fine out exactly what was going on with the term affirmative action. And, therefore, I spent a lot of time just generally talking with people. Many of them being educators, many just plain everyday people and that's how I came up with the fact that so many people did not understand what affirmative action was.

MS. BELL: The people that I talked to, it was

a diversity of people and they were predominantly white female.

I was down in Kentucky and I was, I said I was on this quilting circuit and I just, as I met up with people standing in the line waiting for food or all of these things, I would engage in conversation. So it's really began to intrigue me and that's why as soon as I got back, I asked if I could have more time because I knew I had to participate in this project.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FRAZIER: We will recess until 5:00 o'clock.

PUBLIC SESSION

CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen, I'd like to call to order the public session of the Michigan Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and I guess the first presenter will be Mr. Howard Simon representing the America Civil Coalition.

MR. SIMON: Thank you very much. It's an honor to appear before the Advisory Committee to the Michigan Advisory Committee to the U.S. Civil Rights

Commission. I've never had the privilege of doing so, though I know some of you individually. I've never had the privilege of appearing before you as a body. I was

not invited to be a presenter, but I nevertheless have a prepared statement which I guess I'm requesting at this time that it be included as part of the consultation and I can --

CHAIRMAN MARTIN: It will be and you can give that to Peter.

MR. SIMON: And I'll give that to Mr. Minarik.

What I would like to do is just briefly summarize it and
then let the rest of the statement stand for itself. This
is just two or three points I would like to make.

CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Mr. Simon, excuse me we've limited the other speakers to five minutes. If you need a little more since there's nobody here --

MR. SIMON: I hope to use less.

CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Okay.

MR. SIMON: Let me just say that I'm here representing the Michigan affiliate of the American Civil Liberties Union. I'm the Director. I have been the Director for the last 21 years, since 1974. I'm not an at attorney. My previous career is in academia. I have a PhD in legal and world and political philosophy from the University of Minnesota and taught at various colleges in the Midwest for about ten years before I received the position as Director of the Michigan affiliate of the

American Civil Liberties Union. I'm here to try to re-enforce the view of the American Civil liberties Union that while there may be no single measure that can be used to eradicate discrimination, we believe that affirmative action remains a moral imperative as well as an indispensable strategy for addressing discrimination in this country. I think discussions of discrimination suffer from too much over simplification and rhetoric, especially now in this presidential election season and too few specific examples in which affirmative action is justified based on some judicial or other finding of past discrimination and whether it's been a clear benefit to society. Let me give you one good, concrete example. Back in the early 1970s, a group of African Americans challenged the Alabama State Public Safety Department and in longstanding practice of excluding blacks from all In the 37 years history of the Alabama Highway positions. Department, not one African American person had ever been hired. A federal court issued an affirmative action order. The department had to hire one black trooper for each white trooper hired until African Americans constituted 25 percent of the entire work force. Now there is some people that would like these days to characterize that 25 percent as a quota. And does the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ruling of the Court in this particular case show that affirmative action means little more than quotas. I don't think it does. I think quotas are illegal, bias practices, have been so pronounced in some cases that the Courts have exercised their power under the 1964 Civil Rights Act to impose a range of remedies as in this case from Alabama that I mentioned, including hiring goals and timetables which estimate the number of women and minorities who would have been hired if there were no discrimination. These goals are flexible, remedial, narrowly tailored instruments of inclusion, while guotas were used historically to exclude members of some ethnic groups from work places or educational institutions. Now there are lots of other examples of which I think affirmative action would be justified, like correcting discriminatory employment practices in the Alabama Department of Highway Safety. There are good examples here in Michigan. Our organization was responsible perhaps for the largest most extensive example by which a federal court imposed affirmative action on an employer also back in the mid 1970s in our case of Stamps versus Detroit Edison which I'm sure you're familiar with. Ιt was probably the most, as I said the most extensive monetary award to the victims of discrimination and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

perhaps the most extensive affirmative action program imposed on a private employer.

There are other good examples involving the Wayne County Sheriff's Department and; of course, if there's a success story anywhere in the country of affirmative action, it exists in another case in which we amongst many other groups involved with and that is defending the affirmative action program of the Detroit Police Department. I would suggest that you study that as an example of how that has both addressed the effects of past discriminatory employment practices and how it has benefited society generally.

Let me just conclude by saying that it is our view that in, as I say, both affirmative action when its used to address the past effects or excuse me the present effects of past discrimination. It's both beneficial to society, has opened up employment opportunities for women, for members of racial minorities. It has benefited society as well. Now there are some people out there that I think that are engaged in what can only be called I think a dis-information campaign about affirmative action. There are those who want to equate remedial goals with quotas, as I mentioned, and painted {a} an} cartoon characterization of affirmative action and say

that what affirmative action means is the promotion of
unqualified people who are hired or promoted over
qualified people solely on the basis of race or gender.
Now if one accepts that characterization of affirmative
action, I don't think anybody here would support
affirmative action. But that is not affirmative action.
That is a cartoon characterization of affirmative action.
That's not what affirmative action has been about.
Affirmative action has been about opening up the
educational institutions and primarily employment
opportunities for people who have been shut out of those
opportunities for decades and decades in this country. It
is, as to conclude, and as I say in the statement, not
only an indispensable strategy for addressing
discrimination in this country, it's, I think a moral
imperative, and with that I'll let the rest of my comment
stand in my statement and I thank you for the opportunity
to appear before you and for staying so late on this
lovely afternoon to hear what I have to say. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Dr. Simon, for
coming. My apologies for not starting the meeting
precisely at 5:00 O'clock. Why don't you be seated. We
may have some questions.
Questions from my colleagues? Okay

```
thank you very much. It's in the record.
1
                                Thank you very much.
2
                  MR. SIMON:
                  (The meeting was concluded at 5:20 p.m.)
3
4
5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I, VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL, the undersigned

Notary Public in and for the State of Illinois, do hereby
certify:

That the annexed and foregoing testimony of the witness named herein was taken stenographically before me and reduced to typewriting under my direction;

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;

I further certify that the proceedings, as transcribed, comprise an accurate transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers, and all objections, motions, and exceptions of counsel.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my and affixed my official seal this 1/3th day of August. 1996.

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Illinois.

enne to Halold from

Certificate No. 0084-001831