# US COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

#### MEETING

## FRIDAY, 9 JUNE 1995

#### OPEN SESSION

The meeting took place in Conference Room 500, 624 9th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. at 9:30 a.m., Mary Frances Berry, Chair, presiding.

#### Present:

Mary Frances Berry
Cruz Reynoso
\*Carl A. Anderson
\*Arthur A. Fletcher
Robert P. George
Constance Horner
Russell G. Redenbaugh
Mary K. Mathews

Chairperson
Vice Chairperson
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Staff Director

#### Also Present:

### Staff Present:

Conner Ball
Barbara Brooks
Franklin Chow
James Cunningham
Edward Darden
Bobby Doctor
Betty Edmiston
George Harbison
Carol Lee Hurley
Frederick Isler
Melvin Jenkins
Jacqueline Johnson

\*Present by Telephone

William Lee
Stephanie Moore
Philip Montez
Miguel Sapp
Anthony Wells, Sr.
Audrey Wright
Nadja Zalokar

# Commissioners' Assistants Present:

Ronald Brown Thomas Gray Dennis Teti Krishna Toolsie Stella Youngblood

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

#### PROCEEDINGS

[9:30]

COMM BERRY: The first item on the agenda is to approve the agenda.

COMM HORNER: I have some additions here, Madam Chair.

COMM BERRY: All right. Commissioner Horner has some additions she thinks.

would like to ask that we consider the report at the next meeting. We got it about two weeks ago and it's a thousand pages and needs some adjusting. I've glanced at it. It looks as if it has great strengths and I think we need a little more time than two weeks to assure that we're doing it justice. So I would like to propose that we put on the agenda a deferral on the voting of that report.

And also I would like to add to the agenda a continuation of the discussion on the letters surrounding the CRA regulations.

COMM BERRY: Now, on Title VI remind me somebody the timing on this.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 2005

. 12

...:

1	Is this a statutory What kind of report
2	is this?
3	MS. MATHEWS Madam Chairperson, this
4	is our annual requirement for a Statutory Enforcement
5	report.
6	COMM BERRY: Which means that we should
7	get it out because that's our statutory report?
8	MS. MATHEWS Right.
9	COMM BERRY: Which is why the staff has
10	worked very hard to get it done.
11	COMM HORNER: Madam Chair, I recall at the
12	end of the last fiscal year we voted on a report two
13	days before the end of the fiscal year I think in
14	order to get it out, so I think between now and the
15	end of the fiscal year we should have no trouble
16	getting it out.
17	COMM BERRY: Are other commissioners
18	unready to act on this? How long have we had this
19	report?
20	MS. MATHEWS You mean the Commissioners?
21	COMM BERRY: Yes.
22	MS. MATHEWS Approximately two weeks.

COMM HORNER: And how long have the staff 1 worked on it? 2 MS. MATHEWS It's been in development for 3 about a year and a half. 4 COMM BERRY: I don't understand why -- I 5 mean we can do that if you want to, but I think two 6 weeks is a sufficient amount of time. We all have 7 special assistants. 8 COMM REDENBAUGH: Yeah, I'm unprepared. 9 We didn't have a heavy COMM BERRY: 10 I mean I can't force you to vote on it if 11 you don't want to, but I think that under the 12 circumstances staff worked very hard to get this done 13 and we've been trying to get things done with more 14 timeliness, and I don't want to wait until the end of 15 the fiscal year or two days before. 16 COMM HORNER: I don't either, Madam Chair. 17 But I would like to suggest that after a year and a 18 half of work, and I don't know how fast you read; 19 you're a professor, maybe you've learned to read fast. 20 But a thousand pages in two weeks in which we all have 21 our usual business responsibility is a bit much, and 22

I personally don't rely on my special assistant on 1 something this important for other than advice and counsel, and I do read it all and I want to read it all because my name's going on it. And I think two weeks -- Perhaps it could have been after a year and a half work provided us three or four works before the proposed vote. Frankly I think it's unreasonable and I think the fault lies with the staff, not with the commissioners in this 10 And I'm sorry to have to say this. COMM GEORGE: 11 possible in this case to compromise?

I wonder if it might be

COMM BERRY: I'm happy to compromise.

To establish an amount of COMM GEORGE: time for us to read it and then have a telephone call if it can't go to the next meeting. Would another two weeks be reasonable?

Why don't we discuss it COMM BERRY: today. It would be very disappointing to the staff if we didn't even discuss it after all the work we did on it and rushing to get it to us. I mean it was a very tough report to do and as you know it's involved a lot

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

> > W46UINCTON D.C ...

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So maybe we can discuss it, which I think 1 was Commissioner Horner's -- Her proposal did not 2 preclude discussing it. 3 It does preclude my COMM HORNER: 4 participating in the discussion, however. 5 COMM BERRY: Oh, even in the discussion? 6 COMM HORNER: Since I have not read it. 7 COMM BERRY: Oh, okay. 8 COMM HORNER: However I have no objection 9 to others discussing it. 10 COMM BERRY: Yes, Mr. Redenbaugh? 11 COMM REDENBAUGH: I find myself unprepared 12 also, although I would be happy to listen to the 13 discussion of my colleagues with the understanding 14 that we could have a further discussion, and hopefully 15 improved by my comments after I've read it. 16 Welcome, Commissioner BERRY: COMM 17 Anderson. 18 Yes, Commissioner Redenbaugh? 19 COMM REDENBAUGH: So anyway I would be 20 certainly amenable to a discussion today if that 21 wouldn't preclude a further discussion when I've

ij

1	prepared myself.
2	COMM BERRY: How many of you are unready
3	to discuss Title VI? I mean the report; I don't mean
4	the statute.
5	COMM FLETCHER: Madam Chair?
6	COMM BERRY: Yes.
7	COMM FLETCHER: When is the Adarand
8	decision going to come down?
9	COMM BERRY: It's going to come down
10	before the first of July probably.
11	COMM FLETCHER: I can't quite hear you.
12	COMM BERRY: It'll come down some time
13	between now and the first week in July.
14	COMM FLETCHER: Do you anticipate it will
15	have an impact on the report?
16	COMM BERRY: I don't think so, not on this
17	one.
18	COMM FLETCHER: Beg your pardon?
19	COMM BERRY: I don't think so; I may be
20	wrong, but I don't think it will have any impact on
21	this particular report. It may have some impact on a
22	lot of other things we do, but I don't think it'll

1	have an impact on this particular report.
2	COMM FLETCHER: Does that decision deal
3	with the Adarand decision? Does it deal with public
4	works?
5	COMM BERRY: It deals with Public Works;
6	the minority contracting provisions and the set aside
7	provisions and the public works transportation
8	programs, yes. It does do that.
9	Well, who knows what the Supreme Court
10	will do.
11	COMM FLETCHER: Your voice is fading out.
12	COMM BERRY: I said, who knows what the
13	Supreme Court will do, but as you know Adarand is a
14	constitutional case, and it's not specifically about
15	Title VI as such, but yes it has some of the theory
16	depending on what they do, what they say.
17	Can you hear me, Commissioner Fletcher?
18	COMM FLETCHER: I hear you.
19	COMM BERRY: May have some indirect
20	analytical impact, but I don't really think so. I
21	think this is a separate issue.
22	Let's not vote on it then. Let's not even

discuss it.

COMM FLETCHER: Madam Chair?

COMM BERRY: Yes?

COMM FLETCHER: Can I hear opinion from Cruz on that?

COMM REYNOSO: Yes. My own opinion is that the Supreme Court opinion would not have an effect on this report because of the nature of the report. It may easily on the next report, but not on this one.

COMM FLETCHER: Then how critical is it that we go forth, Madam Chair?

sense that -- I just don't want us to delay anymore.

I've been trying in the last year to have us be much more productive than we've been, not during your tenure but before your tenure. I don't want us to fall back in the slough. And last year we just barely escaped the deadline on our statutory report and it was noted how close we came to the deadline. So I was trying this year to get it in in plenty of time so people would know that we had taken it seriously, but

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

.... ----

I can see where if we hold it over for another month 1 it doesn't make any difference. It doesn't make any 2 substantive difference. I was just concerned about 3 timeliness and not delaying it. But I understand the 4 sense of the commission, so yes. 5 COMM FLETCHER: Madam Chair, can I hear 6 from Connie again? 7 COMM BERRY: Commissioner Horner. 8 ' COMM HORNER: Yes? What would you like, 9 10 Art? Your reason for being COMM FLETCHER: 11 unready beyond -- What would cause you to be any more 12 ready than you are now, Connie? 13 COMM HORNER: What would cause me to be 14 more ready would be to have time to read the report. 15 Art, you weren't at the SAC meeting yesterday, but 16 from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. yesterday we were talking 17 with the SACs and that was an additional full working 18 day to be devoted to Commission business this month 19 which might have been I suppose devoted to the report. 20 However, I could not read a thousand pages in one 21 working day. 22

It seems to me that if the staff has worked one and a half years, two weeks might be easily understood to be a very brief time for commissioner to prepare himself and herself to discuss this intelligently and also I would say that if there are concerns about time it's incumbent to cut not the commission time exclusively but to cut staff time as well as commission time. A year and a half to two weeks seems to me not an acceptable proportion. We give ourselves a full month or more on SAC reports now, which are a tenth the length of this report. simply need a bit more time to read it. I do read things and therefore I'm perfectly happy to have only two more weeks and then do a conference call, providing we can have a discussion on the conference call.

COMM BERRY: We're taking up time discussing whether or not to discuss the report. So why don't we just defer the report until the July meeting and that way everybody can have plenty of time to read as much as they want to read and the staff will understand. It's not that we don't take your

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	work seriously; we do. But we'll just wait until July
2	and we appreciate your hard work on this. You can go
3	back to the office and do some more hard work.
4	The other one was the CRA and why don't we
5	do the CRA under the staff director's report.
6	Yes, Commissioner George?
7	COMM GEORGE: I wonder if we could move up
8	the State Advisory Committee report from West Virginia
9	to before the executive session. I have a proposal
10	about this.
11	COMM BERRY: Before the executive session.
12	So, okay. Anything else? Then somebody
13	move to approve the agenda.
14	COMM GEORGE: So moved.
15	COMM HORNER: Second.
16	COMM BERRY: All in favor?
17	ALL: Aye.
18	COMM BERRY: Opposed? So ordered.
19	Minutes of the May 12 meeting.
20	COMM HORNER: I move their adoption.
21	. COMM GEORGE: Second.
22	COMM BERRY: All in favor?

ALL: Aye.

2

Opposed? COMM BERRY:

3

The only announcements we have is that we

Announcements is the next item on the

5

don't have any announcements.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We don't have a date yet for the hearing on authorization. We think it will be some time in July but we don't have a date yet.

the other announcement is And yesterday at the State Advisory Committee chairs' meeting, the State Advisory Committee chairs' passed a resolution asking the Commission, which you'll get copies of, to recommend to the President that he convene a White House conference on civil rights.

I would suggest that we receive the resolution, and we can either discuss it if we want to or we can have the staff prepare something and tell us what things we think the president should discuss at a White House conference on civil rights so that it's not just a bare bones receipt, and then decide if we want to send a letter to the White House asking them, including the things that the staff suggests to us or

> **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

> > ----

things we might suggest that the White House include in such a conference, and get that to us so that we can discuss it or respond to it or something. But this just happened yesterday at the meeting so no one has yet written anything for us on this. So at the minimum we could note our receipt of the resolution from the State Advisory Committee and take it under consideration for commission response.

COMM HORNER: So moved.

COMM GEORGE: Second.

COMM BERRY: All in favor?

ALL: Aye.

noted. And we'll get something back from the staff on what might be discussed. Staff should talk to you or your special assistants or whoever you want them to talk to about what might be in such a letter and what things we might propose and then we can discuss whether we want to propose a White House conference and what it would do.

. COMM WANG: Madam Chair, could I make a small announcement?

----

1	COMM BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Wang?
2	COMM WANG: I guess we may know or may not
3	know, our Chair's been awarded an honorable doctorate
4	degree.
5	COMM GEORGE: Another one?
6	COMM WANG: Yes. In West Virginia.
7	COMM BERRY: Which one are you referring
8	to? Oh yes, the University of West Virginia, yes, at
9	the commencement which took place almost two weeks
10	ago.
11	How did you know that?
12	COMM REYNOSO: And did you have to deliver
13	a talk?
14	COMM BERRY: They wouldn't let me talk.
15	They were very smart.
16	COMM REYNOSO: Normally they have you
17	deliver a talk and all that. If you can get an
18	honorary degree without delivering a talk that's
19	great.
20	COMM BERRY: They're afraid of what I
21	might say.
22	Anyway, thank you very much, Charles, for

acknowledging that.

Anyone else have any announcements? Then what we can do now is we need a motion to have an executive session to discuss personnel rules and practices.

Oh, I'm sorry. West Virginia SAC. I apologize. We're taking up the West Virginia SAC next, Commissioner Anderson and Fletcher.

COMM GEORGE: I think I can be brief about this. This is a good report and I think we won't have any trouble approving it. However, I'm glad this one comes up from my home state of West Virginia for which I have great affection and even more now that it's recognized our chairman at the state university in an appropriate way.

What I would like to do is to ask that this report be sent back for a technical adjustment. We some time ago now decided as Commission matter of policy, to request that findings and recommendations make explicit reference to the paragraphs in the factual background that justify what's found and recommended, and that hasn't been done in this report

and I think we really need to establish this really 1 with our SACs; I think it's just a very good idea for 2 them and for us. So what I'd like to do is just have 3 the staff do that technical adjustment and then I 4 think we'll have no difficulty approving it. 5 Have I made myself clear? Do you remember 6 the decision we made to include those references? 7 COMM BERRY: Staff Director, do you have 8 any comments? 9 MS. MATHEWS I do, Madam Chairperson. 10 wanted to raise this as well at the meeting so I'm 11 glad you did, because I was interested in some 12 clarification from the commission about the prior 13 discussion. 14 I reviewed the transcript and I did note 15 the comments that you made in regard to this issue. 16 I did not see however a commission vote nor did I see 17 really any other comment from any other commissioner 18 other than some indication that the staff found it not 19 to be a problem. 20 So I'm curious as to the commission's 21

MIACINIATAN - - -

reaction as a whole and another issue that the staff

was inquiring of me would be, if the commission intended for this to occur did they also intend for this to be the manner in which the document would be published, or was this merely a suggestion to facilitate the reading of the commissioners of the findings.

COMM BERRY: Vice Chair.

COMM REYNOSO: I had recalled our discussion where we --

COMM BERRY: Can you hear, Commissioner Fletcher and Anderson?

COMM REYNOSO: I'll speak up. I had heard discussion where we had indicated either by vote or by consensus that the recommendations should be based on the evidentiary findings of the report and that the report should be a self contained unit; that is that the recommendation should follow what was involved in the report. I confess that I didn't recall that we had voted or done anything with a suggestion that there would be a specific reference by paragraph and page. And I don't know, I'd like to hear from the staff as to whether or not they could do that.

Sometimes a recommendation may come from the entire report and it seems to me it may be a little bit awkward to say in this case, see pages 1 to 33. So I have some qualms about that recommendation, just technically; no qualms philosophically.

MS. MATHEWS I believe, Vice Chair, that your comment about occasionally a recommendation may relate to the entire document is true; occasionally that does occur. And the staff has informed me -- if I were to convey the preference it would be not to do this for a published document, however we're happy to do whatever the will of the commission is.

COMM GEORGE: What's the reason?

MS. MATHEWS The fact that it has not ever been done before in the commission and it does not appear to add to the context as much in terms of just facilitating reading if you want to compare particular pages, but that's the staff's preference. It's a personal judgment I guess that individuals may differ on.

. COMM BERRY: Commissioner Horner.

COMM HORNER: Madam Chair, a little

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

......

17

18

19

20

21

22

context that I recall for our decision; what I thought was our decision to ask for this technical change, was that we felt that time and again the findings and recommendations were being inserted on the preference of the writer of the report, but not correlated to the material and information presented in the body of the report. We felt we had to do this slightly awkward thing to counteract a really serious flaw in these reports. And I think that we are now being presented with a preference on the part of the staff that they not be asked to engage in this technical adjustment and therefore be permitted to go on with the fairly -- Well, not to put too fine a point on it, sloppy and some time inverse connection between the findings and the report and body of the recommendations.

Without the citation that Commissioner George asked for, we are left having when we have completed the reading of the report as commissioners with the task of going back and leafing through and trying to find a basis from some recommendations when we don't recall having completed the report that there

is a basis in the report for the recommendations.

so all we're asking is for staff to point us to where the basis for the recommendation occurs in the body of the report. Sometimes it will be very specific and precise; sometimes it will be extremely vague and generic. The vaguer and more generic it is the more important it is to have a citation because the vaguer and more generic it is the more susceptible the report is to simply reaching conclusions that aren't based on the body of the report.

COMM BERRY: Commissioner Wang.

comm wang: Although we didn't take a vote, but I would find it, Commissioner George's requirements, reasonable. I mean we could pattern our report in that kind of a manner; easy reading, also make the recommendation even stronger, then you will have a basis supported.

COMM BERRY: Yes?

COMM REYNOSO: The comments by Commissioner Horner would leave me to preclude that a partial response to the question of the staff might be, and maybe to serve our purpose, is to have the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

staff do that for our purposes; that is for our reading purposes, but not necessarily include it in the final printed report. It may seem a little bit awkward but maybe have it for our purposes; a review.

COMM BERRY: It really is a question of how much apparatus you're going to have in the published report. I can see why we would want, those of us who want it, would want that for ourselves as we read the report, but in terms of publishing it it just seems like too much clutter to put in a report, especially if it's a big one. After every section you have to have, see pages so and so, and then if it's no page then you say see the entire report.

strong feeling whether it should be published or not.

I do want to say for the record, it would have been nice if the staff had done the work we requested and then we could have decided to take it out for publication, satisfied with it; instead the staff has forced us to belabor this issue in a public session by not complying with the expression of the commission's intent here and I think it's a problem that needs to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 2005

be addressed. And sometimes when you get this level of resistance what you want to do is dig in your heels, and that's what's going on here.

COMM BERRY: Yes Commissioner George?

I didn't realize this was COMM GEORGE: going to be controversial. I thought we had decided, although I do recall that we didn't vote on it, I just thought it was a sense that the chairman summed up and it was going to be done. Nor did I think that it was controversial as an idea. Ron Brown's just pointed out to me that it's done in the Title VI report, and don't think that it done very well, and I detracts -- I take the chairman's point sometimes too much apparatus in the monograph can take away, but I think this would just aid any reader in analyzing a report and seeing if the reader agreed or didn't agree with the recommendation based on whether the facts that they could identify factual basis that really made sense of the recommendation.

So, I guess what we should do is I should make a motion, and I'll be happy to -- if the sense of the commission is that we shouldn't do it in the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WIACLINICTON - - ----

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

published report although my preference would be to just do it straight through, I'm happy to go along with that, but at least for our purposes I'd like to make a commission policy that we do this, and if it requires a formal vote I make the motion.

COMM BERRY: With the understanding I would hope that this would refer to specific matters.

Do you want them to also cite just simply the entire report?

it's the entire report we would then infer from the fact that it is not a reference; that is the entire report, and then we'll have to of course judge for ourselves whether in fact the entire report supports this or it's in there because it's the preference of the writer lacking a particular fact or predicate. So that's fine, I'm happy to have that understanding. But where a factual basis in the report other than the report as a whole can be given let it be given.

COMM HORNER: I second the motion.

. COMM BERRY: Any more discussion?

COMM REYNOSO: I take it the motion is at

this point is that it will be done for our reading 1 purposes. 2 Well if that's what you 3 COMM GEORGE: prefer then I'll go along with it. I mean if I'm 4 standing alone --5 COMM ANDERSON: Madam Chairman? 6 COMM BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Anderson? 7 COMM ANDERSON: As I look at the Title VI 8 report and see how unobtrusive citations in various 9 finding and pages is in our chapters and 10. recommendation section of the Title VI report, I don't 11 really understand what the problem is in putting in 12 the facts; you're talking about a footnote number and 13 footnote. And I don't see how that is in any way a 14 15 problem. COMM BERRY: Commissioner George. 16 COMM GEORGE: I want to be accommodating 17 on this, so if Commissioner Reynoso has a strong view 18 on it I'm happy to defer. 19 COMM REYNOSO: No, my suggestion is that 20 we at least start out having it be for our own benefit 21 and it will be like an experiment. If it turns out 22

1	that it's unobtrusive and makes sense to us that then
2	change it and put it in the published report then we
3	can do that later. So I just suggest that we start
4	out sort of as an experiment for our purposes.
5	COMM GEORGE: I will accept that amendment
6	as a matter of cooperation.
7	COMM BERRY: So the motion is that we have
8	citations to portions of the report that support
9	recommendations.
10	COMM REYNOSO: Findings and
11	recommendations.
12	COMM BERRY: Findings and recommendations.
13	And if the entire report is a source of the finding
14	and recommendation it is not expected that the
15	citation says, see entire report. And that this will
16	first be done for the use of the commissioners with
17	SAC reports and the commission will at a later time
18	determine whether it also wishes to include such
19	apparatus in the published report.
20	Is that the motion?
21	COMM GEORGE: That's exactly it, thank
22	you.

1	COMM BERRY: Somebody second it.
2	COMM HORNER: Second.
3	COMM BERRY: Any further discussion? All
4	in favor say aye.
5	ALL: Aye.
6	COMM BERRY: Opposed? Okay. Motion
7	passes.
8	Now what do we do about this one? Do we
9	send it back to do this with or do we go ahead and
10	pass it? What is your preference?
11	COMM GEORGE: Uh
12	COMM HORNER: Madam Chair, I would
13	like
14	COMM REDENBAUGH: I think I'd like to see
15	that.
16	COMM BERRY: Like to see what?
17	COMM REDENBAUGH: What we've just asked
18	for; the linkage between the findings and the
19,	evidence.
20	COMM BERRY: Okay. All right so we'll
21	send
22	Now let's see if we have anything left

here.

COMM REDENBAUGH: I have one related.

COMM BERRY: Yes? Commissioner

Redenbaugh.

COMM REDENBAUGH: No, I just wanted to review my understanding of what we did with respect to these SAC reports, which my recollection is the following.

That we did a rather extensive study of the process by which the reports are prepared and made specific recommendations which the commissioners adopted for the process of preparing these reports. In effect then what we did was specify rather carefully the process and standards for the report, making certain that we were not specifying the content, but process and standards, and then requiring staff and the staff director to ensure and certify to us that that particular process for production and preparation was followed. And that what Commissioner George has pointed to as one of the standards that we specified in that process.

So I would like to, with that



understanding, ask the staff director -- two things. 1 Is that your understanding and is the process that we 2 agreed on, I think more than a year ago, is it working 3 from staff's standpoint and from your standpoint? 4 MS. MATHEWS The overall process, Commissioner 5 Redenbaugh I think is working, yes, I do. This 6 particular issue was not clearly understood by the 7 staff and I think now we have clarity, and so it 8 should be very easy to follow what was just agreed to 9 here today. 10 My impression and REDENBAUGH: COMM 11 opinion is that the quality of these reports has been 12 very good and certainly the process from my standpoint 13 seems to be working very well. 14 Thank you. 15 Okay. Thank you. MS. MATHEWS 16 take the COMM BERRY: Well Ι 17 responsibility in part for this misunderstanding since 18 the meeting apparently we didn't vote and 19 apparently I sort of mumbled something that it made 20 good sense; why don't we just do it, and no one said 21

anything. So we just went away on to the next item.

And I didn't say, well, let's vote on that and let's 1 make a point that everybody agrees. So I take 2 responsibility for confusing the staff and I'll try to 3 avoid doing that in the future. 4 Always with a new COMM REDENBAUGH: 5 process it takes some time to clarify what it really 6 I would expect this kind of iteration as we go 7 through it. 8 COMM BERRY: Okay. We need a motion for 9 Item 4 to have an executive session to discuss 10 personnel rules and practices of the commission. 11 Someone has to move that. 12 COMM REDENBAUGH: I would move that. 13 COMM BERRY: Okay. Would you then second 14 it Commissioner Wang? 15 COMM WANG: Second. 16 COMM BERRY: Okay. The purpose of the 17 executive session would be as it says, to discuss 18 personnel rules and practices. 19 Is there any discussion before we vote on 20 this? 21

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

COMM WANG: We received a memorandum from

the union asking that they be present.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I asked the staff COMM BERRY: director to respond for me, and I think you were faxed copy but -- that the union thought that the collective bargaining agreement meant that they should come to the meeting, but the collective bargaining agreement does not permit and does not even cover participation by the union in meetings between management and management. It's between management and employees that the collective bargaining agreement section that they cite says, that they have a right to participate. But since this is not a meeting between management and employees' rights as it is said at the collective bargaining agreement; it's not this kind of It says the union shall be given the meeting. opportunity to be represented for discussions between employee employees the ormanagement and concerning personnel policies, representatives practices, grievances or other matters affecting working conditions of the employees in the union. It is clearly part of the agreement; I mean that's the way it reads.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.A.

But since employees' representatives will not be present at this meeting and employees not present and we're not discussing the employees' rights it is not that kind of a meeting. So I asked Mary to send just a note back saying we appreciated their interest. She did do that. That I asked her to respond and the collective bargaining agreement specifies union participation at meetings between management and employees or employee representatives, and since that is not the purpose of the executive session it would be inappropriate for the union to participate in this session. So it's not a union negotiation.

comm Horner: Madam Chair, I want to ask a question. I'm not sure whether I should ask it before the session or after the session. My question is, who should be here to advise and assist us during the session, for instance our general counsel, solicitor.

Is that Mr. Sapp?

. COMM BERRY: Miguel Sapp.

COMM HORNER: We've spoken on the phone.

1	So Mr. Sapp is here as acting solicitor to advise us.
2	Do we have the director of personnel?
3	COMM BERRY: No.
4	COMM HORNER: Who is the director of
5	personnel?
6	COMM BERRY: We have an acting director of
7	personnel.
8	COMM HORNER: Who is the acting director?
9	Ms. MATHEWS George Harbison.
10	COMM HORNER: And he is not here?
11	COMM BERRY: No, and he wouldn't come to
12	this meeting anyway.
13	COMM HORNER: Okay. Well the reason I'm
14	asking is I wonder if we have technical questions; if
15	we need an EEO person and a personnel person to
16	answer.
17	COMM BERRY: We can conclude that when
18	we're in the session if such should happen, but we'll
19	just wait and see.
20	COMM HORNER: Okay.
21	. COMM BERRY: Okay. Could we vote on
22	whether to have an executive session?

Anymore discussion about whether to have 1 one? 2 All in favor, say aye. 3 ALL: Aye. 4 COMM BERRY: Opposed? 5 Mr. Sapp, could you determine for me 6 whether the purpose I have stated is a valid purpose 7 for having an executive session? 8 MR. SAPP: Yes, Madam Chair. Based on the 9 motion that has been voted upon by the commissioners 10 this does fall under the Exception 2 of the Government 11 in the Sunshine Act, 5 USC, 552B(c)(2). 12 COMM FLETCHER: Madam, will you have him 13 get close to the mic, I'd like to hear what he's 14 saying. 15 Madam Chair, based on the 16 MR. SAPP: motion that has been voted upon by the commissioners 17 it is my opinion that this falls within Exception 2 of 18 the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 USC, 552B(c)(2), 19 which is internal personnel practices and policies of 20 an agency. . 21 All right, thank you very COMM BERRY: 22

much. Then we will have an executive session. So could everyone who is not a commissioner, and that includes special assistants leave and only commissioners and the staff director and the solicitor will remain in the meeting.

(Whereupon the meeting was adjourned at 10:05 to begin executive session)

(On the record)

[12:37]

(Whereupon the meeting reconvened at 12:37 to continue open session)

attendance that we took such a long time in our executive session, but we had scheduled today discussion of personnel rules and practices of the commission and it turned out that it was rather an extended discussion with a lot more details and complications about the personnel practices than we had imagined. It was a very enlightening and interesting exchange of information with the staff director. And the only thing I want to say on the record about it is that, at the last commission meeting there was some discussion about a large number

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 PHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 2005

of top ten employees of the commission filing grievances, and we clarified how many people had grievances at this session, not individuals but just in terms of talking about numbers, and there was only one of the senior 11 employees who had filed a

grievance but that we did discuss grievances.

I simply wanted to say that because we've had some discussion of that on the record last time. But the session was about personnel rules and practices of the commission.

The item on the agenda that we need to discuss is the State Advisory Committee appointments. We have Hawaii, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, an interim appointment, and Wisconsin. These can be found in your book under Tab 8. I think we should take them up in turn, and I note that the SAC member from Hawaii is sitting there watching us do the SAC from Hawaii. She has agreed that the next State Advisory Committee chair's meeting will be in Hawaii. She will invite us at the expense of the SAC of Hawaii for us to come to her area.

So we have these appointments for Hawaii.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

d

1	Does anyone want to make a motion concerning the SAC
2	appointments in Hawaii?
3	COMM GEORGE: So moved.
4	COMM WANG: Second.
5	COMM BERRY: Okay. Any discussion?
6	COMM REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I just want to
7	comment favorably that I see that 3 of the 13 are
8	youngsters under 40.
9	COMM BERRY: Right. We had some
10	discussion about that yesterday at the SAC's chair's
11	meeting, some reinforcement of that.
12	Are there any other comments before we
13	vote?
14	All right. All in favor of the SAC
15	appointments of the Hawaii Advisory Committee indicate
16	by saying aye.
17	ALL: Aye.
18	COMM BERRY: Opposed? So ordered.
19	The next one we have was the New Mexico
20	Advisory Committee. I don't see the SAC Chair from
21	New Mexico, not that the SAC chair has to be here to
22	get the appointments passed.

Can I have a motion concerning these? 1 COMM GEORGE: So moved. 2 COMM WANG: Second. 3 All right. What is your COMM BERRY: 4 reaction to this, Vice Chair? 5 COMM REYNOSO: Again, Madam Chair, I note 6 that 3 of 13 are under 40 and that's doing a lot 7 better than what we've done, and I assume from that 8 that the staff has been in contact with the SACs and 9 I think that this is a very good movement on the part 10 11 of the SACs. COMM BERRY: Okay. 12 on behalf of the COMM GEORGE: So 13 generation under 40 I would like to thank Commissioner 14 Reynoso for this solicitude, and wonder if he'd be 15 prepared to represent us in a class action for social 16 security some day. 17 COMM BERRY: All right. All in favor of 18 the New Mexico --19 COMM GEORGE: Oh, I do have a serious 20 question. 21 I note on New Mexico that we're appointing .22

a member of the House, a fifth term member of the state House of Representatives. There was an issue at one point about the appointment of governmental I think we resolved that in favor of officials. they're not being a problem with -- it's general opposition with appointing officials, but if we do have any policy on it we should probably take note of this now. We had that discussion and COMM BERRY: some of us thought that we should not and we finally agreed after a long and protracted discussion that we would not even note this as a requirement or as a disabling, and we have an appointment before us today, interim appointment, that came out of that discussion for another SAC, so this person would be able to serve and would not violate our policy.

COMM GEORGE: Thank you.

COMM BERRY: All in favor of the New Mexico SAC appointments indicate by saying aye.

ALL: Aye.

. COMM BERRY: Opposed?

Now we have the North Carolina Advisory

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON D.C. 20006

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



1	Committee. I think I saw Mr. Spaulding here earlier
2	but I guess he gave up on us.
3	The North Carolina Advisory Committee.
4	COMM REDENBAUGH: So moved.
5	COMM BERRY: Do we have a second?
6	COMM GEORGE: Yes, I have a question about
7	this one.
8	COMM BERRY: Yes?
9	COMM GEORGE: We have been provided in the
10	past with explanations for non-reappointments. I
11	don't see Carol Lee here, but I wonder if would get
12	it There were two people who were separated without
13	explanation.
14	Do we have that explanation?
15	MS. MATHEWS That information was
16	provided. I'm looking to see if it's right here. I'm
17.	trying to put my finger on it here.
18	I don't see it quickly. I'm sorry,
19	Commissioner George.
20	COMM BERRY: Do'we know where Carol-Lee
21	is? Maybe she knows.
22	COMM GEORGE: Do we know whether there is

any controversy over it at all?
COMM BERRY: There are no appeals.
MS. MATHEWS No, there is no controversy
over this that I'm aware of, and I'm sure that it
would have come to my attention prior to my forwarding
this package to you.
COMM GEORGE: Just a reminder then.
COMM BERRY: In other words people don't
have to serve on the SAC for the rest of their lives.
COMM GEORGE: The 13th Amendment still
runs.
COMM BERRY: Why don't we pass it with the
understanding that or vote for with the
understanding that the staff director's answer covers
the question and we do have no appeal before us. And
if it should turn out otherwise we have recourse.
COMM GEORGE: Yes. But just a reminder to
provide the information.
COMM BERRY: Okay. All in favor of North
Carolina SAC?
. ALL: Aye.

1	South Carolina Advisory Committee.
2	COMM REYNOSO: So moved.
3	COMM WANG: Second.
4	COMM BERRY: Any questions about South
5	Carolina?
6	COMM REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I just note
7	both this and the previous one have just two members
8	under 40 and not enough for me to vote no on, but I
9	would hope they might do a little bit better.
10	COMM BERRY: How many do they have?
11	COMM REYNOSO: Two each.
12	COMM BERRY: Under 40.
13	So they both have two. So we urge in the
14	next appointment to those SACs, try to add to the
15	people who are under 40.
16	All in favor of the South Carolina SAC
17	indicate by saying aye.
18	ALL: Aye.
19	COMM BERRY: Opposed? So ordered.
20	Wisconsin Advisory Committee.
21	· COMM GEORGE: So moved.
22	COMM REDENBAUGH: Second.

1	COMM BERRY: Any questions about
2	Wisconsin?
3	COMM REYNOSO: Madam Chair, again on the
4	same basis. I notice it's only one under 40, so
5	following my prior practice I'll have to vote no on
6	this one.
7	Ms. MATHEWS On Wisconsin?
8	COMM REYNOSO: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm looking
9	at Virginia.
10	MS. MATHEWS Wisconsin is three, vice
11	chair.
12	COMM REYNOSO: What happened to my
13	Wisconsin?
14	COMM BERRY: Wisconsin is after South
15	Carolina. The chairperson is Geraldine McFadden.
16	COMM REYNOSO: I've got it here. Yes,
17	thank you.
18	COMM BERRY: So it's okay. We have three
19	here.
20	COMM REYNOSO: Yes.
21	COMM GEORGE: On Wisconsin, the other SACs
22	that were re-terming this time I was pleased to

1	see we had consultation with religious bodies.
2	This is the one case where we did not, and I won't
3	vote against it for that reason, but I would again
4	urge the staff to make sure that that consultation
5	includes religious organizations. I'm prepared to
6	vote.
7	COMM BERRY: Yes, that's supposed to be a
8	routine part of the procedure.
9	Okay. All in favor of Wisconsin indicate
10	by saying aye.
11	ALL: Aye.
12	COMM BERRY: Opposed? So ordered.
13	Then we have Virginia. The interim
14	appointment, Mr. Gonzalez.
15	All in favor of the appointment to the
16	Virginia SAC?
17	First, I need a motion I guess.
18	COMM WANG: So moved.
19	COMM BERRY: Second?
20	COMM REDENBAUGH: Second.
21	COMM BERRY: All in favor of the interim
22	

indicate by saying aye. 1 ALL: Aye. 2 COMM BERRY: Opposed? 3 Now Madam Chair, this is COMM REYNOSO: 4 the one that I was mistakenly looking at before and I 5 just would suggest to the staff director that she 6 might communicate with this advisory committee to see 7 if they want to add some members, further interim 8 appointments because this is the one that has only one 9 person under 40. 10 COMM BERRY: That's right. They only have 11 12 one. MS. MATHEWS If I might respond, I noted 13 that as well and this particular SAC was approved by 14 the commissioners as you see the statistics there, but 15 I had the same reaction and we will be getting back to 16 you in terms of a possibility of an 17 appointment. 18 Thank you. COMM REYNOSO: 19 COMM BERRY: That's the last one, right? 20 .MS. MATHEWS Right. 21 The only other item that I COMM BERRY: 22

wanted to mention to you that we didn't mention earlier was the budget study.

You've been sent I think the Civil Rights
Budget Study, which as you may recall last year some
time we said in our program plans that we were doing
a civil rights budget study. In fact two years ago,
fiscal year two years before, we promised that we
would do a budget analysis of the federal human rights
agencies in time for them to use it for the
appropriations process and we didn't do it; we didn't
get it finished.

So we agreed that we would tell them that while we didn't get it finished last time we would finish one this time in time for them to have the information for the appropriations process.

You received a draft report from the staff director just this week so obviously we're not going to discuss it at this meeting, but the hope is -- it's a small report. The hope is that you could read it and that we could take a poll vote at some point and if it seems not to be contentious that we could pass it and send it up because they will be marking up

appropriations bills on the Hill before we meet again, 1 and it wouldn't do them any good if we intend to send 2 a report to send it after we mark up the 3 appropriations bill. 4 COMM GEORGE: So we'll do a telephone? 5 So that's the idea, Yes. COMM BERRY: 6 that we would find a time convenient to you, give you 7 some time to read it, and then have a poll vote, and 8 there it appears to be consensus then we would agree 9 If it turns out that it is contentious or 10 there isn't consensus we may have to do something 11 else. 12 Is that agreeable? 13 COMM GEORGE: Yes. 14 COMM BERRY: Commissioner Anderson, is 15 that agreeable to you? 16 COMM ANDERSON: yes. 17 COMM BERRY: All right. 18 Okay. Are there other agenda items that 19 commissioners would -- Yes, Vice Chair? 20 . COMM REYNOSO: Madam Chair, just for 21 future agenda items. Somehow I always seem to be 22

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

-----

1	concerned about dates for future meetings and i'm
2	already getting calls on matters for next calendar
3	year. If we could have a suggestion on when
4	meetings maybe at the next meeting.
5	COMM BERRY: Oh, I know the other thing I
6	need to do. I forgot to do the mini-hearing in New
7	York in July, which I must do at this meeting,
8	otherwise we can't get it done. We almost walked away
9	without
10	COMM REDENBAUGH: I wasn't going to let
11	you do that.
12	COMM BERRY: Good. Thank you,
13	Commissioner Redenbaugh.
14	The New York mini-hearing, the date is
15	what?
16	MS. MATHEWS July 26.
17	COMM BERRY: And we must have at the mini-
18	hearing you already polled or had someone call the
19	commissioners, right? About dates or did something?
20	MS. MATHEWS In terms of July 26, yes, 1
21	did.
22	COMM REDDY. July 26

1	And we have to have at least two
2 .	commissioners, one from each of the major political
3	parties. Which means that if I go to the hearing,
4	which I intend to do, and I am an independent, that
5	doesn't satisfy the requirement. If you went,
6	Russell, it wouldn't satisfy the requirement. If
7	Robbie went it wouldn't satisfy the requirement,
8	because we're all independents.
9	COMM REDENBAUGH: We're very disqualified.
10	COMM BERRY: Yes. So we can go but we're
11	independents. But we need a Democrat and a
12	Republican.
13	COMM ANDERSON: Is this July 26?
14	COMM BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Anderson.
15	COMM GEORGE: One day?
16	COMM ANDERSON: I think I would be
17	available for that.
18	COMM BERRY: All right. So we would
19	appreciate it if Well we want everybody to come.
20	We asked Commissioner Fletcher if he had
21	a date open and he did and Commissioner Wang has a
22	date open. I don't think Vice Chair does. So we have

it on the 26th, and we will expect --1 Under the statute I think and the regs we 2 have to formally say that there will be commissioners 3 designated -- at least the two from --4 Well, why don't we do this. We hereby say 5 that we will have the hearing on the 26th and it is 6 our understanding that the commissioners who will be 7 present are Commissioner Anderson, Commissioner 8 Fletcher, Commissioner Wang, and I will be present, 9 the chair. 10 I think what we should do is pass a motion 11 12 that the make-up of commissioners at the hearing in New York on July 26 will be the commissioners I've 13 named, and to second that. 14 COMM GEORGE: I'll be there as well. 15 COMM BERRY: Will you be there? 16 COMM GEORGE: Yes. 17 COMM BERRY: Another independent. Others 18 19 can come, but at least we will have a bipartisan nature to satisfy the bipartisanship. 20 . Do we need to pass something to do that? 21 COMM GEORGE: Well there's no harm in it. 22

1	COMM REDENBAUGH: Why don't I make that
2	motion.
3	COMM BERRY: Make that, please.
4	COMM REDENBAUGH: As articulated by the
5	chair.
6	COMM BERRY: Thanks, Russell.
7	Somebody second it.
8	COMM GEORGE: Second.
9	COMM BERRY: All right. So all in favor
10	say aye.
11	ALL: Aye.
12	COMM BERRY: Opposed. And I hope you
13	could all come.
14	And then we have the calendar. We have a
15	Miami hearing, which we are saying to have on
16	September 14 and 15.
17	Is this based on discussions or what is it
18	based on? I don't remember. Oh, I see, it tells
19	whether it's good for people or not.
20	The proposal was September 14 and 15. It
21	seems yes for me; not good for Cruz; not good for
22	Commissioner George.

So is there some other date? 1 COMM REYNOSO: Unfortunately, I have a 2 very heavy semester in the fall, so once the semester 3 starts probably Thursday and Friday is as well as any 4 date, because I think I'll have Friday free. 5 Thursday and Friday are probably the best dates for 6 7 me. COMM BERRY: Are all Thursdays and Fridays 8 bad for you, Commissioner George, or just this one? 9 No, just that particular COMM GEORGE: 10 one. Well, not just that particular one; the next two 11 12 are bad. COMM BERRY: There's nothing here for 13 Commissioner Redenbaugh. It doesn't say whether this 14 is good, bad or indifferent. 15 COMM REDENBAUGH: Well, the travel 16 conflict which I had I think --17 COMM BERRY: Or Anderson, Commissioner 18 Anderson. 19 COMM REDENBAUGH: Well let's deal with 20 schedule first. 21 COMM BERRY: We need to resolve and if we 22

1	do not do it here we need to do it after this meeting,
2	but some time in the next
3	COMM GEORGE: Would it be possible to go
4	into October for that?
5	COMM BERRY: For the hearing?
6	COMM GEORGE: For the hearing in Miami?
7	COMM BERRY: We're having the Mississippi
8	Delta I guess so if we can't do it in September.
9	Mississippi Delta we have November 15, 16
10	and 17. That's not good either for Vice Chair.
11	Commissioner Anderson, what's good for you
12	in September, October and November?
13	COMM ANDERSON: The three weeks in
13	COMM ANDERSON. THE CHIES WOOLD IN
14	September; the last three weeks in September are good
14	September; the last three weeks in September are good
14 15	September; the last three weeks in September are good for me.
14 15 16	September; the last three weeks in September are good for me.  COMM BERRY: The last three weeks?
14 15 16 17	September; the last three weeks in September are good for me.  COMM BERRY: The last three weeks?  COMM ANDERSON: Three weeks in September,
14 15 16 17 18	September; the last three weeks in September are good for me.  COMM BERRY: The last three weeks?  COMM ANDERSON: Three weeks in September,  yes. The first week in October is not a good week for
14 15 16 17 18	September; the last three weeks in September are good for me.  COMM BERRY: The last three weeks?  COMM ANDERSON: Three weeks in September,  yes. The first week in October is not a good week for a hearing.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

....

1	it is okay. I don't know for sure, but I think it is
2	okay.
3	COMM REYNOSO: That's when we would
4	normally have our commission meeting.
5	COMM GEORGE: The 12th and 13th?
6	COMM ANDERSON: We have it on the 6th in
7	October, don't we?'
8	COMM GEORGE: Yes, that's right.
9	MS. MATHEWS October 6.
10	Madam Chairperson, if I could add
11	something to this discussion?
12	COMM BERRY: Yes?
13	MS. MATHEWS I believe when we last
14	pursued this, the date possibilities, we were trying
15	to avoid early October, and I would stress that
16	attempt again, because it's too soon to predict our
17	appropriation status, but in past years if we are in
18	a continuing resolution situation in the early weeks
19	of October there would not be sufficient funds to
20	enable us to conduct a commission hearing.
21	. COMM BERRY: Commissioner Redenbaugh?
22	COMM REDENBAUGH: I have a collateral

issue, which is I'm skeptical that either the 1 commissioners or the staff could do two hearings in 2 two months. 3 We plan to have three COMM BERRY: 4 hearings in two months. 5 I'm starting Right. COMM REDENBAUGH: 6 with my -- I'm willing to fall back to the three 7 8 hearings. Does somebody have a plan about how we 9 would do that? 10 COMM BERRY: We'd just spend all of our 11 time. 12 COMM REDENBAUGH: I see. And the staff 13 would spend all of their time. 14 I'm skeptical about a project plan that 15 shows us doing three hearings in three months. 16 COMM BERRY: Well we have to do Miami and 17 the Mississippi Delta somehow in the fall; somehow. 18 That imperative and my COMM REDENBAUGH: 19 skepticism have just collided. 20 Okay. But we have to try. COMM BERRY: 21 And we may when we get down the road figure out we 22

can't and may go into the spring, but we need to try 1 to get the staff to get ready to do it. 2 Miami might be nice in COMM GEORGE: 3 December; is that too late? 4 COMM REDENBAUGH: The concern that I have 5 here is I don't want to be in the condition where we 6 determine after the fact that we haven't produced a 7 sufficient transcript. 8 COMM BERRY: And have to go back again? 9 That's clumsy. COMM REDENBAUGH: Yes. 10 COMM BERRY: Why don't we schedule Miami 11 if we can, and then tentatively schedule Mississippi 12 Delta for right now, so that people can work on their 13 schedules. 14 What about the weekend of the 7th and 8th 15 of September? 16 COMM ANDERSON: Well, it's sort of good 17 and bad for me. 18 COMM BERRY: That's bad? 19 15th's 14th and the And **2**d Commissioner George. And the 21st and 22nd is bad for 21

Commissioner George, is that right?

NEAL R. GROSS **COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

22

for

bad

Is there any part of the month -- How 1 about the 28th and 29th? 2 COMM GEORGE: 28th and 29th? 3 MS. MATHEWS Madam Chairperson, those are 4 the last two days of this fiscal year, and I would not 5 recommend those days given that the funding dies. 6 COMM BERRY: Are other days during the 7 week better for you, Commissioner George? 8 It's just Well, no. COMM GEORGE: 9 September is a terrible month. I just might have to 10 I can only do early September; the miss this one. 11 last three weeks of September are bad for me. 12 COMM BERRY: Maybe you could do some part 13 of it. 14 Could everyone else do the second or week 15 in September? 16 The week of the 20th? COMM WANG: 17 COMM BERRY: Yes. 18 COMM WANG: Yes, the 20th is better for 19 20 me. COMM BERRY: Could you do the 15th and 21 16th, Commissioner Vice Chair? 22

1	COMM REYNOSO: 15th and 16th? You mean
2	14th and 15th?
3	COMM BERRY: Yes, could you?
4	COMM REYNOSO: Yes.
5	COMM BERRY: And Commissioner Redenbaugh,
6	could you do the 14th and 15th of September?
7	COMM REDENBAUGH: I believe so; I'm
8	checking now.
9	COMM BERRY: Well, then that would mean
10	that the only person Well, Commissioner Horner's
11	written out here. Yes, she could do it.
12	so the only person who can't do it is
13	Commissioner George, and we might persuade him to
14	somehow work out his schedule, or kidnap or something.
15	COMM GEORGE: To Miami, yes.
16	COMM BERRY: So let's do it on
17	September 14 and 15.
18	Now, what about November 15, 16 and 17 for
19	Mississippi Delta? Mississippi Delta, November 15, 16
20	and 17.
21	MS. MATHEWS The 17th is already a
22	commission meeting day.

COMM BERRY: Okay. November 15 and 16. 1 All right. Commissioner Anderson? 2 COMM ANDERSON: The 16th and the 17th? 3 COMM BERRY: Yes. We have the meeting on 4 the 17th already. 5 How about the 15th, 16th and 17th? 6 COMM ANDERSON: Well, that's not good for 7 8 me. COMM BERRY: Could you manage the 16th if 9 not the 15th? 10 I think I might be able COMM ANDERSON: 11 12 to. COMM BERRY: Well let's try to do that, so 13 let's keep that as a date; we're trying to do that. 14 Okay. Now, for other commission meeting 15 dates during the year we have a schedule all the way 16 through until the end of December. Are you suggesting 17 you want to schedule beyond that? 18 I'm suggesting that Yes. COMM REYNOSO: 19 we might talk about the schedule for the following **2**d year, maybe not this meeting but maybe the staff can 21 make a recommendation at the next meeting for the 22

meetings for the next year. 1 COMM BERRY: Or maybe they can get in 2 touch with people in between the meeting and try to 3 come up with a schedule for the next year between now 4 and the next meeting. 5 All right, are there any other matters 6 that we should discuss at this meeting? 7 COMM ANDERSON: Madam Chairman? 8 COMM BERRY: Yes, Commissioner Anderson? 9 Let me just ask again. COMM ANDERSON: 10 The Miami hearing is September 21 and 22? 11 COMM BERRY: No, no, 14th and 15th. 12 COMM ANDERSON: Okay, thank you. 13 COMM GEORGE: Madam Chairman, the Chicago 14 Report, is that going to be published or has it been 15 published or what's the status? 16 COMM BERRY: What's the status of the 17 Chicago report, do you know? 18 MS. MATHEWS The Chicago Report is in the 19 final editing before going to the printer and it will 20 21 be published. COMM BERRY: Commissioner Redenbaugh, did 22



you -- Yes? 1 COMM REDENBAUGH: And the Chicago Report 2 will be published with -- It's the custom to publish 3 that with the dissents? 4 MS. MATHEWS Yes, it is, Commissioner 5 Redenbaugh. 6 COMM BERRY: Okay. Anything else? Then 7 I will entertain a motion to adjourn. 8

COMM GEORGE: So moved.

COMM BERRY: Non-debatable.

(Off the record)

[1:02]

12

9

10

11

.... ----