1	UNITED STATES COMMISSION
ż	ON CIVIL RIGHTS
3	THE MATTER OF .
4	IN THE MATTER OF: FACTFINDING MEETING OF THE INDIANA ADVISORY
5	COMMITTEE TO THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL
6 .	RIGHTS:
7	"THE ENFORCEMENT OF AFFIRMATIVE ACTION COMPLIANCE IN INDIANA UNDER
8	EXECUTIVE ORDER 11246"
9	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS taken in the
10	above-entitled matter, taken before the Indiana
11	Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on
12	Civil Rights, commencing on the 27th day of April,
13	A.D., 1995 at the St. Joseph County Public Library,
14	304 S. Main Street, South Bend, Indiana, at
15	approximately 9:00 a.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Proc.
21	
22	U.S. Commission on civil rights

CCR 3 Meet. 373

23

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS, Chicago, IL (312) 236-4984

APPEARANCES:

CHAIRPERSON:

MR. PAUL CHASE

MEMBERS:

MS. DORTHEA GREEN

MR. JOHN NORMAN

MS. SONDRA MATTHEWS

MR. JAMES MC ADAMS

MR " MICHAEL GRADISON

MR HOLLIS HUGHES

MS. SHEILA KENNEDY

MR. SIDNEY TAYLOR

MS , JUDITH HAWLEY-CONLEY

-	INDLX	
2	PRESENTERS	PAGE
3	ALADEAN DEROSE City of South Bend	6
4	SANDRA HUENEMAN	
5	U.S. Department of Labor	8
6	ROGER MULLINS University of Notre Dame	43
7	SUE ROSANDER Society National Bank, Indiana	46
9	CYNTHIA LOVE-BUSH	74
10	South Bend Human Rights Commission	
11	RIKKI GOLDSTEIN Fort Wayne Womens Bureau, Inc.	77
12	DEBRA PINNYEI Career Directions	81
13	PUBLIC SESSION	
14	MR. JERRY PRICE	100
15	MR. JERRI PRICE	108
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
22		

CHAIRMAN CHASE: Good morning. This meeting of the Indiana Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights shall come to order. For the benefit of those in our audience, I shall introduce myself and my fellow committee members. My name is Paul Chase, and I'm the Chairperson of the Indiana Advisory Committee. The other committee members are John Norman, Sondra Matthews, Michael Gradison, Hollis Hughes, Sidney Taylor, Judith Hawley-Conley, Sheila Kennedy and James McAdams. Not with us right now are Dorthea Green, Kathyn Banks and Kathleen Brita.

We're here today to conduct a factfinding meeting for the purpose of hearing about the enforcement of affirmative action compliance under Executive Order 11246, which was signed into law 30 years ago.

The Indiana Advisory Committee is established to advise the Commission on matters pertaining to discrimination or denials of equal protection of the law because of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, age, disability or in the administration of justice,

and to aid the Commission in its statutory obligation
to serve as a national clearinghouse for information
on those subjects.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The proceedings of this meeting are public and are being recorded by a court stenographer. A report of these proceedings will be sent to the Commission for its advice and consideration.

At the outset, I want to remind everyone present of the groundrules. This is a public meeting open to the media and the general public. We have a very full schedule of people who will be making presentations within the limited time we have available. The time allotted for each panel will be strictly adhered to. This will include a presentation by each participant followed by questions from committee members. Presenters may enter information into the record as exhibits. To accommodate persons who have not been invited but wish to make statements, we have scheduled an open session today at 12:00 o'clock noon. In addition, written statements on this topic may be submitted to committee members or by mail to the Midwestern Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, the

address being 55 West Monroe, Suite 410, Chicago, Illinois, 60603. The record of this meeting will close on May 20th, 1995. In order to insure that all aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of expertise and viewpoints have been invited to make presentations, including the United States Department of Labor, law firms, employers, community agencies and selected individuals.

Some of the statements made today may be controversial. To insure that persons and organizations are not defamed by testimony received here today, any person or organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in these proceedings should contact our staff during the meeting so that we can provide an opportunity for public response. Alternately, such persons or organizations can file written statements for inclusion in the record. I urge all persons making presentations to be judicious in their remarks.

The Advisory Committee appreciates the willingness of all participants to share their views and expertise with the committee.

Our first presenter today is Aladean DeRose who is Deputy Attorney for the City of South Bend and is here today to speak on behalf of the Mayor's office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ALADEAN DE ROSE,

CITY OF SOUTH BEND

Thank you very much. The Mayor could not be here, but he welcomes you all to the City. Contrary to what you see outside, we do have springtime sometime, not today, unfortunately, for you. The City of South Bend is an aggressive equal opportunity employer. The City of South Bend is also an aggressive equal opportunity contractor within the spirit and intent of the law and as we, our efforts in affirmative action with respect to the City Contract has been tempered by the recent Supreme Court case in Crosson versus City of Richmond. But notwithstanding that decision, the City is engaged in doing whatever it can to open contracts, public contracts to minorities. We do this through an education process, completing pamphlets and public information brochures explaining the contract process with the city.

We're also looking toward eliminating some financial barriers to minorities in terms of bonding requirements, some of which are imposed by law by the state. But, to the extent that these can be softened to accommodate minorities and all persons actually who would fit within the scope of the intent of bringing everyone to the City who has services to render, giving them the opportunity to serve the City.

The mayor has also implemented a program making all of the department heads aware that small purchases by vendors should be made among minority vendors, familiarizing the department heads with all of the minority vendors that are within the city and requesting that all things being equal, that the department heads look to using minority vendors in the service of their small items within the department such as advertising, stationary, whatever, does not come within the public contracting or by, and by minorities. We mean all minorities, the full list and range that Mr. Chase read to us, it's not limited to any, to certain minorities. We believe that we've done an adequate job. We believe that

1	we've been sensitive to the needs of all persons,
2	that by equal opportunity, we mean everyone and that
3	persons of all race, color, creed, have an
4	opportunity to do business with the City and to be
5	hired by the City as employees of the City. Our
6	staff, we believe in all of our departments is
7	racially balanced, is as balanced as possible. And,
8	we believe that we also have the best employees that
9	we can possibly have.
10	So, we welcome you here again and thank you
11	for being here again. Sorry for the weather, but in
12	this environment, I think you'll be protected from
13	it. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you. Our first
15	speaker on the panel today is Sandra Hueneman.
16	Ms. Hueneman is Chicago Assistant District Director
17	for the U.S. Department of Labor with the Office of
18	Federal Contract Compliance Programs. Welcome.
19	SANDRA HUENEMAN
20	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
21	OFFICE OF FEDERAL CONTRACT COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS
22	Thank you. It's my pleasure to meet with the
23	organization here and to speak with you on

affirmative action in Indiana and to share with you
exactly what the Office of Federal Contract
Compliance Programs is responsible for, and how we
actually measure a contractor's compliance.

As I indicated, I'm from the Chicago
District Office. We're located in the Chicago Loop.
Our office is resonsible for the northern part of
Indiana and the northern part of Illinois. I'm aware
that last week you met with the Regional Director,
Malcolm Holloman and Mr. Steptoe out of Indianapolis,
and I understand they gave you a historical
perspective on the program. And, I hope that I won't
repeat that today. I'll try not to.

The regional director has asked that I share an article with you. It was actually a study on the competitiveness of management of diversity and the study's premise is that announcements of awards may be associated with competitive advantage. For example, the agency, and you'll see, and we're going to go through a little red pamphlet in a second, you'll see that the agency does recognize contractors' achievements through various awards. And publicizing those awards, contractors have been

found to have an advantage economically versus those 2 contractors who have had negative announcements, such as we have findings of discrimination. So, I'll 3 4 enter that into your record.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Chicago District Office is staffed with 1 district director, 2 assistant district directors, 18 compliance officers and 4 administrative staff persons. As I indicated, our geographic area that we cover is northern part of Illinois and northern part of Indiana. We have the largest contractor universe in the country. Indiana, the northern part of Indiana represents 13% of our contracting universe. As we go through the manner in which contractors are selected for compliance review, they're selected based on their EEO-1 reporting; basically, the composition of their work force and the various job categories. Most recently, the agency has reassessed its utilization of its staff. And while there has been a downsize in the number of staffing that we have, we've re-evaluated and now we're focusing on different things. That's, we're targeting contractors who are our worse offenders, who have a history of problems with the agency or with

affirmative action discrimination, failure to comply with that law. Contractors who are known to be growth industries, small contractors of less than 250 employees, and then initial contractors, contractors who have never been reviewed before.

At this time, I would like for you to open up the little red pamphlet here, What's OFCCP. I understand, I believe, that Malcolm Holloman did cover the executive order as amended, as you know, from there. There's some other action that we cover as well, but we'll try to focus on the executive order today.

We go down on the second end of the second page there, OFCCP's enforcement procedures. We conduct compliance reviews and complaints, investigations of federal contractors, personnel policies and procedures. Let me expand on that just a moment, please. There are two compliance type reviews that we conduct. One is a supply and service where a contractor is required to have a written affirmative action program if they have 50 or more employees and a \$50,000 contract. What's an affirmative action program? It's a set of oriented

procedures to correct problems that are actually identified.

If you will turn to Page 115 in the regulations, white book, Subpart B, Required Contents of Affirmative Action Programs. It's a set of specified and result oriented procedures in which a contractor commits to supply every good faith effort. And, I don't want to go into the specifics of the, all the requirements of the written affirmative action program because I think you want to move on with that. But, if anyone ever has any questions, they're free to contact our office. We do provide technical assistance.

2.15, actually on Page 118, how do we measure a contractor's compliance? And, I'll read that, "No contractor's compliance status shall be judged alone, whether or not it reaches its goals and meets its timetables. Rather, each contractor's compliance shall be reviewed and determined by reviewing the contents of the program, the extent of its adherence to the program and its good faith efforts to make its program work towards the realization of the programs, goals within the

timetable set for completion" and so on. So, when we measure a contractor's efforts and the success of the program, we measure their good faith efforts. What does that mean? What efforts did the company undertake to find qualified minorities and females to employ in those jobs where they are absent or there's an under-representation based on the availability of women and minorities. In the event of a reply in service compliance review, we would request a written affirmative action program be submitted within 30 days. Once the affirmative action program is submitted, we conduct what's known as a desk audit. And again, there's specific requirements to be contained in that affirmative action program. through and do a desk audit. We find our problems, focus on those areas and we schedule an onsight --MR. GRADISON: Indepth audit? MS. HUENEMAN: Indepth audit, I mean, we're looking at how their work force is composed, do they have the proper departments, their job groups which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS, Chicago, IL (312) 236-4984

is a listing of jobs having similiar wage rate

content and opportunity, how they have come up with

the availability for each of those job groups, have

they determined underutilization for each of those job groups and whether they are underutilized by one or more persons, they're required to establish a goal. They must develop an action oriented program to eliminate that underutilization, an action oriented program would be a set of procedures identifying who is responsible, what's going to be done, you know, actually what the problem is and what is going to be accomplished.

Contractors have been known to have violated their own written affirmative action programs. We have found contractors who if OFCCP doesn't call and request their affirmative action program, it collects dust just like books in the library might, they haven't been used over a period of time. The end result, we find either a contractor's in compliance or non-compliance. When we find a contractor in non-compliance, the contractor is required to enter into a letter of commitment generally that would be for minor technical violations or a concilliation agreement. Concilliation agreement would require there are some legal general provisions that are required to be in that document. Contractors are

1 required to submit progress reports, either if they enter into a letter of commitment or a concilliation 2 agreement with the agency. We monitor their progress 3 and where progress is not made, we contact the 4 companies. We do follow up reviews and if we find 5 them in compliance as a result of the progress 6 7 reports, you know, we note that and advise them of 8 I must say this last quarter, the Chicago 9 District Office had a considerable amount of, not 10 follow up, but progress reports that we monitored. 11 And as a result of that, we found that there were a 12 significant increase in minorities and females where 13 there had previously not had that opportunity for 14 those jobs. And, it wasn't until OFCCP conducted 15 those reviews that made contractors aware that there were organizations out there, and that there were 16 qualified individuals who could do the job. 17 Affirmative action is not hiring a less qualified 18 person. Affirmative action is not quotas, okay. We 19 don't deal with quotas. These are goals, these are 20 goals established by the contractors. 21 22 So with that, as I indicated, we do monitor 23 the progress reports in fulfilling the terms of the

```
1
          agreement. We establish linkages, linkage agreements
           with community organizations with colleges,
2
3
          universities and such who can refer qualified
           minorities and females. We provide technical
 4
           assistance to help contractors understand what's
5
           required by the law and who hopefully get them into
 6
7
           compliance. There's an ultimate sanction, though, if
           the contractors refuse to resolve violations that
8
           were identified as a result of a compliance review,
9
           or even, in fact, as far as a complaint
10
11
           investigation, we will take a contractor to
12
           enforcement and have done so. For example, there's
           been instances where a construction contractor was,
13
14
           failed to submit a progress report and was found to
           be in violation. And, while they were not initially
15
           found to have discriminated, the ALJ, Administrative
16
           Law Judge imposed a six month debarrment. The
17
           contractor could not enter into any government
18
19
           contracts for a perid of six months.
                                                 That was
20
           probably the most recent instance of termed
21
           debarrment. Prior to that, a contractor could remain
22
           in non-compliance for any given period of time until
23
           they go to court. And when they go to court, they
```

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS, Chicago, IL (312) 236-4984

١

come before the judge and they present their case. Okay, I'll sign it. So, after this time, they've discriminated, they've done all of these terrible things and they can go out in the afternoon and become a federal contractor. Now, the agency is taking a harder stand on that with the term debarrment. And also this particular construction contractor, while they didn't find discrimination during the compliance review, they did establish a linkage, sources that the contractor was to contact. The contractor failed to contact those organizations and as a result, the ALJ said you will contact these organizations. And if they had minorities and females who were qualified to do that job, you will now pay them back pay and you will extend a job offer. So, the initial finding was failure to take affirmative action and make good faith efforts, and that's the ultimate situation there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We have a close working relationship with other departmental agencies such as our Office of Solicitor who we deal with when we discuss our cases, Women's Bureau about the needs of working women, the Bureau of Apprenticeship Training regarding

recruitment and selection of apprenticeship programs.

We also contact the Equal Employment Opportunity

Commission for every review that we do, as well as

the Department of Human Rights, be it in Indiana or

Illinois.

If you'll turn to the back here, it's not always negative. There are some positives.

Contractors who voluntarily comply with the law, the agency is looking at to award them in some fashion, by means of the Eve Award, the Epic Award or the Secretary's Opportunity 2000 Award.

One of our other special initiatives is the glass ceiling. And in that -- well, it's a routine compliance review. We're also looking at the advancement of minorities and females into upper management positions and how they're getting there. We're looking at how non-minority males have made it to the top while similiarly situated minorities and females have not.

So, I would hope that I could answer any questions that you might have. If I can't, I'll surely take those back and get that for you. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you. And, we do have
2	some time for questions, if we have questions from
3	committee members, Michael?
4	MR. GRADISON: What's the experience in
5	temrs of numbers of debarrments? Are you making
6	compliance over the years in terms of compliance with
7	the EEO?
8	MS. HUENEMAN: I would say in 1991, there
9	was one debarrment and in 1991, it's progressed not
10	really significantly, but we are in the process of
11	working more closely with our solicitor. So, we're
12	having more debarrments or preparing cases for
13	debarrment.
14	MR. GRADISON: But, during the history of
15	this executive order, it's a much broader period of
16	time, back 20 years or so, what has been the
17	experience in terms of debarrments, number of
18	contracts being debarred for failure to take
19	affirmative action, has it gone up, down, is the
20	jagged tooth on a graph?
21	MS. HUENEMAN: I would say prior to 1990, it
22	was pretty stagnant at almost zero. I think from 1986
23	to 1990, it was at zero. 1991, I believe we had our

1	first one.
2	MR. GRADISON: They must have been pretty
3	offensive, I imagine, with a contract.
4	MS. HUENEMAN: Again, we're working with our
5	solicitor. And so the other side of it is we're, our
6	staff is being trained more effectively in order to
7	do the compliance reviews.
8	MR. GRADISON: So, if your negotiation leads
9	to settlement as opposed to having to go and follow
10	the debarrment, you're more successful negotiating
11	settlements?
12	MS. HUENEMAN: Exactly, exactly. Because we
13	don't take a contractor to enforcement doesn't mean
14	we haven't found discrimination and sought back pay.
15	I think the Chicago District Office had somewhere
16	around \$2 million in settlements, and that was
17	findings of discrimination.
18	MR. GRADISON: So, they'd have to be pretty
19	obnoxious to be debarred, unwilling to negotiate with
20	you in resolution.
21	MS. HUENEMAN: Basically, it's refusing to
22	enter into sometimes a concilliation agreement where
23	there's no debarrment. And, at some point in the

1 future, if an agency is looking at eliminating letter 2 of commitment, either the contractor is in compliance or not compliance. If they're not in compliance, 3 let's not go through the process of, I don't want a 4 letter of commitment. I want a concilliation 5 agreement. Contractors look at as though a 6 7 concilliation agreement is really harsh. But, the bottom line is if we give you a letter of commitment, 8 9 we still take you to enforcement. It may take us a 10 little longer if you don't want to comply with the 11 law. 12 MR. GRADISON: It seems to me that the horror shows that we've heard about in the media 13 14 lately with the attack on affirmative action seems that if you've only gone to debarrment with one in so 15 many years, you've been able to negotiate with some 16 17 success, and putting these contractors in compliance 18 with the EEO, that the horror shows are a little bit 19 overdrawn. Is that, I mean, is that a safe 20 assumption to make? MS. HUENEMAN: Well, I would say. 21 I would 22 also say that it's rather unfortunate, many times

23

contractors, as soon as they get our letter, they'll

```
1
          either call a consultant and/or an attorney. And,
           many times we have to provide technical assistance to
2
           those folks with whom the company is actually paying
3
           large sums of money. Now, the agency will provide
 4
           technical assistance to contractors in putting
5
           together their affirmative action program, and we'll
 6
 7
           provide technical assistance as far as recruitment
           sources and such, who would be more in a position to
 8
           provide qualified individuals for the particular jobs
9
10
           and try to get them into compliance. Regulations
           don't require that a contractor go out and hire
11
12
           consultants and all these kinds of folks. We do
           provide technical assistance.
13
14
                    MR. GRADISON: For nothing?
                    MS. HUENEMAN: For nothing.
15
                    MR. GRADISON: And, you're aggressive about
16
17
           that, offering to these people?
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Yes.
18
19
                    MR. GRADISON:
                                   It would be no expense?
20
                    MS. HUENEMAN:
                                   We're not doing the program
           for them, but we will assist them in showing them how
21
22
           to understand what it is they need to do.
                    MR. HUGHES: Based on what you've said,
23
```

1	you've said that this area, this region is the
2	largest contracting universe in the region?
3	MS. HUENEMAN: Right.
4	MR. HUGHES: And, the end result of the
5	enforcement as it relates to negative impact on the
6	economic, on the contractors has been about \$2
7	million.
8	MS. HUENEMAN: Has been about \$2 million.
9	MR. HUGHES: In terms of penalties, you were
10	talking about penalties?
11	MS. HUENEMAN: We've had about \$2 million
12	and when we find discrimination, maybe I should back
13	up a little bit. When we find a contractor's in
14	non-compliance, all they would have to do is enter
15	into a concilliation agreement to agree to desist.
16	MR. HUGHES: And, that's a no cost type of
17	thing?
18	MS. HUENEMAN: Exactly.
19	MR. HUGHES: Okay. I guess the number that
20	confused me
21	MS. HUENEMAN: The number that I gave out
22	was settlements of back pay.

MR. HUGHES: Settlement of back pay.

1	MS. HUENEMAN: Exactly.
2	MR. HUGHES: Which are actual dollar costs
3	to contractors in this universe?
4	MS. HUENEMAN: Who have discriminated.
5	MR. HUGHES: Exactly, who have been found
6	guilty of wrongdoing results in \$2 million.
7	MS. HUENEMAN: And, what we have found
8	again, this was the last year. We only seek back pay
9	with interest and front pay, and any other benefits
10	that individual maybe lost. That's what we're
11	looking at when we talk about that. So, the
12	contractor basically is responsible for, these are
13	whole new decisions. Okay, the U.S. government is not
14	telling companies to discriminate so that they can
15	come out and charge you extra money. We're not
16	telling you to go out and seek outside assistance.
17	All those, it's not that they do, but we do provide
18	that for them, and that contractor community has not
19	been aggressive in that area. I guess that if it
20	wasn't for OFCCP, they would leave the affirmative
21	action program on the shelf and women and minorities
22	would still be trying to find some of those jobs.
23	MR. HUGHES: Okay. But, the \$2 million

```
figure was in the last year?
1
2
                    MS. HUENEMAN:
                                    In the last year.
3
                    MR. HUGHES: Just trying to get a handle on
 4
           enforcement is detrimental economically in terms of
           cost. $2 million to the largest region is
5
           insignificant in terms of that financial cost of
 6
7
           enforcement.
                                   Do you have a number on, the
 8
                    MR. GRADISON:
9
           gross number of, annual number of the gross numbers
10
           of federal contracts that you supervise compliance?
           Do you know how many contracts?
11
                    MS. HUENEMAN: How many federal contracts?
12
13
                    MR. GRADISON:
                                   Yes.
                    MS. HUENEMAN: No, I cannot give that to
14
15
           you. We have what's known as an E & ARE system.
16
           That's basically how many contractors are selected
           based on their EEO-1 reporting. And, while we may,
17
           say, have $8,000 to $10,000 in this particular area,
18
           not all of those may be federal contractors that meet
19
           the criteria, the threshhold of $50,000, 50
20
21
           employees.
22
                    MR. GRADISON: Hollis and I both say in
           terms of this impact of these fines, penalities, back
23
```

```
1
          pay against contractors, trying to put that in a
           larger contract, $2 million may be a very tiny
2
           number.
3
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Well, it is. And again, it's
 4
           nothing more than is due to someone who was denied
5
           that opportunity. You're making someone whole.
 6
           We're not taking from the company. These are
7
           decisions the companies actually are responsible for.
 8
                    MS. KENNEDY: Could you clarify one thing
9
10
           about that? When you talk about awards and back pay,
           I'm assuming from what you're saying now that these
11
12
           are individuals who have come to you and said we were
           discriminted against?
13
14
                    MS. HUENEMAN: No.
                    MS. KENNEDY: So, this is people who might
15
           have been --
16
17
                    MS. HUENEMAN: No.
                    MS. KENNEDY: -- hired but for --
18
19
                    MS. HUENENAN: Okay.
                    MS. KENNEDY: And, how do you define those?
20
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Let me explain that.
21
                    MS. KENNEDY: Yes.
22
                    MS. HUENEMAN: For the most part, that's a
23
```

1	finding as a result of our complinace review process.
2	During our compliance review, we take a look at the
3	company's employment process, women and minorities
4	who were denied opportunity or who were not selected.
5	We find out reasons why they were not selected, and
6	most of them are arbitrary and very subjective. And,
7	that's how we find them as we go through conducting
8	compliance reviews.
9	MS. KENNEDY: But, these are defineable
10	applicants for particular positions?
11	MS. HUENEMAN: Exactly.
12	MS. KENNEDY: So, it's not like, well, if
13	you had been more forthcoming, maybe somebody in this
14	particular applicant pool would have.
15	MS. HUENEMAN: No.
16	MS. KENNEDY: It depends, I'm hearing you
17	say that you, that that employer does then have an
18	opportunity to demonstrate why the person chosen was
19	arguably more qualified, and that they have been
20	unable to make that class.
21	MS. HUENEMAN: Exactly, exactly. They're
22	selecting; for example, non-minority males. They're
23	continuing to seek applicants, non-minority males

when they have qualified female and minorities in their applicants log who are actually qualified and more qualfied than those they were selected from.

MS. KENNEDY: From the due process standpoint, there's an opportunity for that employer to justify that decision, and back pay is awarded when he or she's unable to do so.

MS. HUENEMAN: Well, again, we negotiate that and that's the final resolution. When we find discrimination, we seek to make whole relief, which includes the back pay with interest. And, that's something that's due the individual because they were unlawfully denied that opportunity.

MR. MCADAMS: I have two questions. The first one is a little philosophical. You said that the objective of your office is to measure non-fulfillment of specific goals, but instead to assess whether good faith has been present or not. And, I wonder if you could give us some specific idea of the kinds of indexes that you look for in measuring good faith, then I'll ask my second question.

MS. HUENEMAN: For example, say you're a

contractor and you have a particlar job group, let's 1 call it professionals, and you say establish a goal 2 3 of, let's say you say that your availability of minorities might be 25%. And, let us say that the 4 job group currently consists of 100 employees. 5 at present, you have 1 minority somewhere around. 6 7 So, if you look at the utilization there, you're underrepresenting. Your goal is 25%, yet you only 8 9 have 1 encumbent. What did you do? Let's say you 10 had 10 opportunities, new hire opportunities. What did you do to find qualified minorities for that job? 11 12 Did you continue to advertise in the newspaper? Did you contact the job service? That's not adequate. 13 First of all, you're probably not going to find 14 qualified minorities from those sources, okay. So, 15 that's what we're looking at. 16 MS. KENNEDY: What would be adequate? 17 MS. HUENEMAN: Okay. Your efforts to go out 18 19 and seek community organizations, colleges and universities who are capable of referring qualified 20 individuals. And with that, we would site the 21 22 contractors for failure to take good faith efforts. 23 MR. MCADAMS: If a hypothetical contractor

1	would to say, look, let's continue discussing this,
2	keep coming back to you, let's do this, let's do
3	this, would that constitute good faith?
4	MS. HUENEMAN: You have to demonstratejust
5	the other day, I had a contractor tell me, I said
6	what did you do for good faith? Well, I advertised
7	in the newspaper and I used the EEO tag line on, and
8	I contacted the job service. And, that's not going
9	to get it because that's not going to get it. If
10	those sources are capable of referring those qualfied
11	minorities for you, it's working, okay. But, there's
12	no progress. You had 20 opportunities, you had not
13	one minority who applied because you're not going to
14	the right sources.
15	MR. MCADAMS: So, that's how it is. He
16	looked at
17	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Is the second question
18	going to be on good faith or?
19	MR. MCADAMS: No.
20	CHAIRMAN CHASE: I have one follow up to
21	that. When you have 18 compliance officers and it
22	seems to me that it's somewhat of a subjective
23	measurement. Still. I mean, how can you be sure that.

1 you know, if each compliance officer goes out, how 2 can you be sure that they'll all come to the same conclusion, and is there any kind of discussion once 3 they come back to the office to even, you know, to 4 analyze, you know, what consitutes good faith or is 5 6 it just officer by officer, who measures that? 7 MS. HUENEMAN: Well, essentially good faith 8 is what did you do, contractor, to find qualified 9 minorities? Okay. Let's say I'm the contractor. I 10 contacted this organization, this organization, and 11 this organization. Well, I'm telling you I contacted 12 those organizations, but those organizations can only 13 supply me with minority laborers. You have an obligation to contact sources who are capable of 14 referring qualified individuals, and so that's how 15 it's looked at. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHASE: And, you're suggesting it's fairly easy from the compliance officers' standpoint 18 19 to come to that determination? 20 MS. HUENEMAN: Uh huh. 21 CHAIRMAN CHASE: Jim? 22 MR. MCADAMS: My second question has to do 23 with audits. One of the lessons that we learn in our

testimonies in Indianapolis was that audits can be
nerve wracking, but also fairly expensive,
particularly in terms of time and hours that have to
be invested, very important. I'd be interested in
hearing what, in recent years, say, within the last
decade has been done in your office to make audits
less expensive and easier on contractors?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. HUENEMAN: Okay. Our office, would say with the last two years before compliance officers don't want to cite with regard to services that apply to compliance review. They do case break-in with their manager and together they agree on the issues that need to be discussed, and actually investigated while they're on the site. We send an on site letter. That letter should be received within two weeks prior to the review, prior to the on site. That gives the contractors an opportunity, it's very focused. For example, if we have an area, say, of a hiring situation that we have some concerns about, we're going to ask for the 20 minority applicants who applied and the 5 non-minorities who were hired and the employment process, individuals who were involved in that process, and the reasons for non-selection of

1	minorities. It will be very, it's very focused.
2	MR. MCADAMS: Would you say that there's
3	been a kind of a learning process here in your office
4	on time, in making a lot of this more focused, or do
5	you think they've always been focused?
6	MS. HUENEMAN: Over the last several years,
7	they've probably been more focused because again, we
8	don't want to use any more of your time, and we have
9	a lot of contractors do, so we want to get in and get
10	out as quick as possible, but yet do a thorough job.
11	MR. TAYLOR: What percentage of contractors
12	do you audit every, per year?
13	MS. HUENEMAN: A contractor cannot be
14	reviewed every year, they're generally reviewed, at
15	least if they haven't been reviewed in the last two
16	years, we would generally review them. But again,
17	because we have maybe such a large universe, you have
18	to understand that not all the contractors are being
19	reviewed.
20	MR. TAYLOR: What percentage?
21	MS. HUENEMAN: I would probably say a rough
22	guestimate, probably around 10%.
23	MR. TAYLOR: So, there's 90% might be not in

1	compliance?
---	-------------

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. HUENEMAN: But, again, they may have 2 either currently are on reporting, from a prior 4 review, or it has not been two years since our last review.

6 MR. TAYLOR: You review 10% this year and 7 10% next year?

> MS. HUENEMAN: Keep in mind, though, that of that entire universe, not all of them are federal contractors and they don't meet the threshhold. And, the other thing is they have to be identified as a contractor who has been nagged, that's how we're focusing, contractors who, based on the representative minorities and females. It would not be right for us to go in and do a compliance review of a company who has significant minority and female representatives in the work force.

MR. HUGHES: Ms. Hueneman, we talked last time, we heard some testimony about concerns with hiring persons with disabilities in the construction contract industry. And, in thinking about this a little bit more, I'm just concerned as to what OFCCP can actually do in trying to enforce compliance with

the hiring of persons with disabilities, especially when under our various federal laws, employers cannot ask a person what their disabilities are in their hiring process. And, unless it's fiscally apparent, which may or may not be the case, there's no way of actually knowing if they're hiring the person for disability. They can't ask that question. So, has there been any discussion from the OFCCP about how to address that issue to insure that the people with disabilities are hired?

MS. HUENEMAN: Okay. You're talking about construction industry?

MR. HUGHES: This is actually in any of the contracts.

MS. HUENEMAN: The contractors also have an affirmative action program in an effort to cover persons with disabilities. There are also outreach and recruitment methods required there, and we also evaluate those when we're on site. The Chicago District Office, the middle of last year, worked with an organization and put together a listing of sources who could provide persons with various disabilities to employers. And so, yes, they could be found to

1	fill a good fit for the recruitment of persons with
2	disabilities as well.
3	MS. KENNEDY: Hollis' point is if you're
4	precluded from asking someone whose disability is not
5	apparent, how do you know?
6	MS. HUENEMAN: The company can ask the
7	individual to self-identify for affirmative action
8	purposes, but they have to extend the offer of
9	employment first.
10	CHAIRMAN CHASE: It's a volunteer.
11	MS. HUENEMAN: It's a voluntary thing.
12	CHAIRMAN CHASE: So, it's up to the
13	individual on whether they want to?
14	MS. HUENEMAN: But, it cannot be done prior
15	to the job offer. Prior to ADA, Americans with
16	Disabilities Act, that's one of the things that we
17	required contractors do, to invite applicants and
18	employees to self-identify themselves. And, under
19	ADA, no, they cannot do that until after the offer is
20	made. But, they can't voluntarily seek that
21	information.
22	CHAIRMAN CHASE: One last question from
23	Michael.

1	MR. GRADISON: It, to clarity my
2	understanding about your particular audits, again,
3	about 10% at any given time are being audited. What
4	provokes the audit; a series of complaints, a
5	pattern, you automatically do it, or do you
6	specifically with those contractors about whom you've
7	received a certain number of complaints?
8	MS. HUENEMAN: Basically, it's based on
9	their minority and female representation in the work
10	force. As I indicated earlier, we have specific
11	initiatives, most specifically probably this fiscal
12	year, those are the contractors who are our worse
13	active, who have had a known problem before OFCCP
14	with, regarding compliance contractors who are in the
15	group mode, small contractors or contractors who have
16	less than 250 employees at a facility.
17	MR. GRADISON: So, it's a combination?
18	MS. HUENEMAN: It's a combination thing.
19	And then, there's the other, I would say maybe 15% of
20	all reviews that we would do probably would be
21	through other sources, let's say a complaint. But,
22	the majority of the time, they're done through the
23	system of their representation in the work force.

1	MR. GRADISON: You look at their numbers?
2	MS. HUENEMAN: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN CHASE: We actually do have a few
4	more minutes, I'm looking at my watch. And, I did
5	want to ask you also about, you know, we talked about
6	the concerns I think the contractors have. And, you
7	were mentioning the fact that there are actually
8	these awards that are given which, you know, award
9	them for taking action and hiring minorities, women.
10	How many have been awarded over the past few years,
11	is that on the increase?
12	MS. HUENEMAN: I would say it's on the
13	increase. As we speak now, actually we have someone
14	from our regional office in Washington reviewing
15	nominations.
16	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Do we have a number?
17	MS. HUENEMAN: No, I don't have the number
18	with me.
19	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Okay.
20	MS. HUENEMAN: But, if you'd like, I can get
21	that for you.
22	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Yes, I'd be interested in
23	knowing the number of awards in the last few years

39

```
MR. HUGHES:
                                 While we're talking about
 1
 2
           numbers, I would also like one. The number of new
           audits initiated in this region. I'm still kind of
 3
 4
           confused, it seems to me that the 10% keeps getting
           compressed. They say they're going to audit a
 5
 6
           percentage, but it's a percentage of a percentage.
 7
           So, if you can tell me the number of new audits --
 8
                    MS. HUENEMAN:
                                   The number of initial reviews
           in this region, when you speak this region, are you
9
10
           speaking, Region 5 takes in a number of states. Are
11
           you speaking just in the Chicago District Office?
12
                    MR. HUGHES: Well, the Chicago District
           Office.
13
14
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Again, I don't have that
           information. But, I'll surely check that for you.
15
16
                    MR. GRADISON: You'll provide it to all of
17
           us, then?
18
                    MS. HUENEMAN:
                                   Pardon me?
19
                    MR. GRADISON:
                                   You'll provide it to each of
20
           us, then?
21
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Sure, I'll give it to Peter
           and he'll give it to you.
22
23
                    MS. HAWLEY-CONLEY: We have received
```

testimony that indicates that the technical 1 assistance perhaps that you mentioned is less than 2 user friendly. That contractors are not able to have 3 access to your office. Can you indicate whether or 4 not the technical assistance you were speaking about 5 is of a preventative nature, such that you actually 6 go out to the contractor's offices and assist them 7 before there's an allegation of a violation, or is it 8 something that you, that your office does once an 9 10 allegation has been lodged? MS. HUENEMAN: No, I'm not aware of this 11 situation that you're speaking about. I, our office 12 has--a contractor, all they have to do is, say, call 13 our office and say they'd like to speak with someone 14 regarding technical assistance in putting together an 15 affirmative action program. Or, they're having a 16 17 specific problem with a particular ingredient in that program. Or, we'll turn them over to myself, the 18 19 other assistant director, even the director or 20 compliance officer. 21 . MS. HAWLEY-CONLEY: Would they have to come to either the Indianapolis office or --22 23 MS. HUENEMAN: Exactly.

1	MS. HAWLEY-CONLEY: You don't have an agent
2	that can actually go on site with them to assist
3	them?
4	MS. HUENEMAN: We have not, in the past,
5	sent compliance officers out to do that because if we
6	were doing that, I suppose we could probably do that
7	if it was a unique situation or if the compliance
8	officer was in the area conducting the review. But,
9	keep in mind that we're more than happy to provide
10	technical assistance. But, if the officers are on
11	site giving technical assistance, it's taking them
12	away from their business as usual in conducting the
13	complaint for you.
14	MS. HAWLEY-CONLEY: I'm just thinking about
15	the small business that's owned, operated, and
16	managed and supervised by one or two persons who may
17	not have an opportunity to leave their business per
18	se, and actually get to the Indianapolis office. Say
19	for example, they were in Anderson or Muncie,
20	something that's 45 minutes away. How is that office
21	then, facilitated?
22	MS. HUENEMAN: I would suggest that they
23	contact the district director in that office or

```
assistant district director and state their address,
1
           their particular situation, and see if there can't be
2
          some accommodation made for their situation. And, my
3
           other suggestion would be if they find that they're
 4
           getting a policy response from a tech office, they
5
 6
           should be contacting the regional director.
7
                    CHAIRMAN CHASE: Hollis has one last
8
           question.
                    MR. HUGHES: One last question for
9
           information. Could you supply us with the names of
10
           any Indiana award winners?
11
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Again, I'll get that for you.
12
                    CHAIRMAN CHASE: Ms. Hueneman, we want to
13
           thank you for coming here today and presenting
14
           testimony and answering our questions. Thank you
15
           very much.
16
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Thank you. It's my pleasure.
17
18
           If you have any other questions, feel free to contact
19
           us.
                    MR. GRADISON: We'll pass them through
20
21
           Peter.
                    MS. HUENEMAN: Thank you.
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN CHASE: Our next panel consists of
```

two individuals. We have Roger Mullins, who is the Director of Human Resources with the University of Notre Dame, and we also have Ms. Sue Rosander, who is the Assistant Vice President of Human Resources Manager for Society National Bank, Indiana. And, we welcome you both here today.

We have a little time now, we have 10 or 15 minutes if you'd like to make statements.

SUE ROSANDER

SOCIETY NATIONAL BANK, INDIANA

First of all, thank you for allowing the opportunity to participate in this panel. My name is Sue Rosander, and I'm the Human Resources Director for Society National Bank of Indiana, the northern area. I'm responsible for around 900 employees, positions in an organization, and also I'm the affirmative action coordinator for the State of Indiana. In the state, we employ somewhere around 1600 people in the financial services industry.

Affirmative action as it relates to our business, I guess I view it as an instrumental tool in helping us achieve our business objectives. It's one of many tools that we use. We are, we have a

major focus on multi-cultural programs and
initiatives throughout our organization, which focus
more on diversity in the workplace.

Affirmative action is an instrumental tool to help us measure our effectiveness in that regard and is valuable. It does provide opportunity for the targeted groups of people that we are looking at. I review our plan quarterly. We share it with senior management, but it's one tool of many that we use.

We have many outreach programs, especially for the youth and I would like to kind of talk about those a little bit. First of all, we have a partnership with the middle school, Jackson Middle School where we mentor 12 to 15 targeted kids who are on the fence of going right or left and could use a positive influence in their life. Bank professionals integrate with those kinds. It's not a human resources by any means. It's a culture within our organization that we promote. Most of those kids are of the minority and female population, come from some rough backgrounds.

The second thing that we do, we have a youth apprenticeship program where we reach the high

schools. We work with South Bend Community School
System in South Bend. We work with the Elkhart
Community School System in Elkhart, and we also have
the same program in Indianapolis, in northern
Indiana. We have the job readiness program right now
where we are sending 18 students of South Bend and 15
students in Elkhart through a job readiness program
where we incorporate professional minority men and
women from the community to help us facilitate those
sessions. There are Saturday sessions between four
and five weeks, and they can lead to employment with
our organization. We'll employ 10 kids between the
South Bend and Elkhart area for summer internship
with us.

In addition to that, we are very strong promoters of the Inroads Program. I was in Fort Wayne just last Saturday interviewing some top notch candidates. And, they work with internship with us, and we hope to have them with us their entire four years of college and then employ them in professional positions in our organization.

Along with all that goes training. The pre-job training, the readiness training is

instrumental, the orientation to our company and culture is instrumental in their success. Commitment by senior management has been phenomenal, and the support of middle management in recognizing the value of all of these programs has really made it work.

I guess that would conclude my opening statements.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you very much. We'll now hear from Mr. Mullins, and then we'll have time for questions.

ROGER MULLINS

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

Thank you. Thanks for asking me to be with you this morning. Let me give you just a little background on Notre Dame. We're the largest employer in the South Bend area, probably one of the largest ones in the northern half of Indiana. We employ 3500 regular, full time people. That does not count part time and exempt kinds of workers. We receive annually approximately \$29 million in grants and contracts from the federal government. That accounts for about 9% of our overall revenue. So, you can see it's a fairly significant source of revenue for us.

As Sue indicated, we participate in many of the same programs that she outlined that Society is involved in. We have many outreach programs in the community, and we're really committed to trying to get focus on youth and get them into our employment environment.

There's one aspect that I'd like to touch on as it relates to Notre Dame, and that's the issue of compliance versus the issue of intent, the spirit of the law. We certainly recognize our obligation to comply with the numbers that are necessary and the normal affirmative action plans and documents, and we spend a lot of effort and energy to make sure that we certainly are in compliance.

We have found the OFCCP to be helpful in that regard. We have been audited a number of times over the years, obviously, and we found them to be of help to us during the audit. We have not sought help outside of the actual auditing process. More important to us, however, is the spirit of what we're trying to do. I'm not trying to minimize the importance of compliance because that's the important part of doing business. But, it's much more

1	important to us to comply with the spirit of the
2	regulation to achieve a diversity mix. In our
3	environment, that's consistent with that spirit. We
4	have placed a lot of effort over the past several
5	years in making sure that our student body is
6	representative of the demographic groups in the
7	United States, and that requires us to have
8	representative faculty and staff and administrators
9	also, so that they can see that Notre Dame's
10	commitment is true to the spirit of the law.
11	So, with just those brief opening comments,
12	I would just suggest that the spirit to us is more
13	important than compliance.
14	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you very much.
15	Michael?
16	MR. GRADISON: With regard to the internship
17	program that you have at your bank for, is it both
18	high school and college kids that are employed during
19	the summer, and how are they compensated?
20	MS. ROSANDER: We employ students from
21	Jackson. We employ students from the college group.
22	We employ students from the Inroads Program and we do
23	employ students through the youth apprenticeship

program, the high schools. They are compensated at
the clerical entry level, according to our job grade
and our, the job duty.

MR. GRADISON: And, there's a commitment that they stay with the program and meet the standards and, you know, achieve the standards, that you'd like to offer them a position of employment within Society Bank.

MS. ROSANDER: Every year we revisit the internship program. We invite all the prior participants to come back. Sometimes we have openings, you know, college kids graduate, they move on, they get married, they may find other employment, they may find that the financial services industry really isn't for them. But, whether they are employed by our organization or not, they have gained valuable skills, expertise and cultural learning in the business environment.

MR. GRADISON: But, if they stay with their program, they indicate they want to become employed with Society Bank, are they guaranteed a position with Society Bank?

MS. ROSANDER: There's no guaranteed

```
positions with an organization. They have inside
1
 2
           opportunity at our internal position to apply for
           those positions.
3
 4
                    MR. TAYLOR: How many black managers, branch
           managers and vice presidents do you have in northern
 5
           Indiana?
 6
                    MS. ROSANDER: Vice Presidents and branch
 7
8
           managers, four or five, probably.
 9
                    MR. TAYLOR: That covers from what part of
10
           Indiana north?
11
                    MS. ROSANDER: Ranging from Winnie (ph) back
           to South Bend to Angola.
12
13
                    MR. TAYLOR: Do they include Hammond, East
           Chicago?
14
15
                    MS. ROSANDER: No, they don't, no.
16
                    MR. GRADISON: How many branches are in that
           number there?
17
18
                    MS. ROSANDER: 45. about.
19
                    MS. HAWLEY-CONLEY: Does your bank have a
20
           program with regard to the Community Reinvestment
21
           Act?
22
                    MS. ROSANDER: Oh, yes, yes.
23
                    MS. HAWLEY-CONLEY: Can you give me some
```

L	information	about	that?

MS. ROSANDER: We received outstanding ratings at our CRA efforts the past couple of years. We're very much committed to community reinvestment with products as well as services. We have a department that's dedicated toward that, but it's not the department's, again, it's the cultural initiative that may be coordinated in some of the administration work. But, it's everyone's responsibility for CRA.

MS. HAWLEY-CONLEY: Sure it is, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: I would assume or well, maybe I shouldn't assume, I wonder if both of your organizations have gone through compliance investigations by OFCCP. And, if you could, if that's true, if you could elaborate on your experiences over time.

MS. ROSANDER: I think the last time we were audited was more than seven years ago. So, I have not, with this organization, been through an audit. I know there are parts in our national organization that are audited and to lead on, for instance, was a very, it was a very positive experience. It was no, it was informational. It was, we were in compliance.

1	There were no problems. I think back in 1992,
2	Society Bank was honored with the Eve Award, and that
3	was a, that was quite an honor.
4	MR. MULLINS: We were audited in the late
5	'80's, I think '88, '89 was the last time we were
6	audited. Again, we found the experience to be
7	positive. One, I must admit I guess that there was a
8	lot of emphasis on the format of the report and the
9	display of the information. It seems to be important
10	how the information is shown. And, the
11	recommendations related to our audit were primarily
12	related to formatting and display.
13	CHAIRMAN CHASE: I think, did you indicate
14	that you sought assistance, technical assistance from
15	the office? Did you mention that?
16	MR. MULLINS: At the time of the audit, yes,
17	that we found them to be very helpful to us in terms
18	of helping. Not only did they point out certain
19	deficiencies to us, but they had suggestions on how
20	to correct them. We have not used an outside auditor
21	in the process.
22	MS. MATTHEWS: Mr. Mullins, I would just be
23	interested to know how do you translate, what does

the spirit of the law translate into at the
University of Notre Dame?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. MULLINS: I guess one of the best examples I can give you is the, we have what we call a cultural diversity committee, which is made up of a multi-disciplinary group at Notre Dame. And, the purpose of that committee is to evaluate and offer recommendations for change in every aspect of the academic community. It reviews and audits the students admission process, it reviews and audits the quality of student life or once the student arrives, we look, obviously, at the numbers of faculty that we have, both traditional minority and women, and we look at creating programs that we have in place to attract and retain those faculty members. We also do the same thing on the staff side of the university where we're looking at not only what kind of programs are we using to recruit, but what's the quality of the work like once they arrive at Notre Dame. the quality of student life that we have at Notre Dame? We integrate cultural diversity training in our leadership development program. We have, for our, for people that are in leadership positions at

the University, to help them understand that perhaps
cultural differences do matter, and they're important
and it can't have any influence on how we lead and
manage people.

MS. MATTHEWS: Does that extend to scholarships outside of athletic scholarships?

MR. MULLINS: Yes, yes, there's a very strong commitment to financial aid for minority students. It's, in fact, a priority of the university and is strongly recommended in our most recent long range plan.

MS. MATTHEWS: I was just interested, both your organizations, did you ever have an affirmative action officer or affirmative action committee prior to you being human resources --

MR. MULLINS: We have never had a separate affirmative action person at the University, and that's a conscious decision. We've discussed it at great length. We feel like that accountability is critical to success, and when we're talking about accountability, we're talking about accountability of the people making the hiring decisions and how it's integrated into the operation and organization of the

university. It's our observation that if we establish a separate affirmative action office or person, then it becomes very easy for, everybody says it's not my job for affirmative action, it's this officer's job, it's this person's job. We want everybody to understand that it's your responsibility if you're in a hiring position, it's your responsibility to make sure that your recruiting and your retention programs are effective.

MS. MATTHEWS: So, is there a committee or a group that comes together at a period of time to review that as a whole to see if that's been accomplished?

MR. MULLINS: Yes, we meet, there are three primary groups that would be involved in reviewing the success of the program. One is there's a staff affirmative action committee, there's an academic faculty affirmative action committee, and then those, the input from those groups are merged at the cultural diversity committee, which I referred to earlier. The academic departments are required to make reports on a regular basis in terms of their recruitment efforts as are the non-academic

departments. Again, our observation is that if you
don't integrate it into the accountability structure
of the organization, your chances of really meeting
the spirit or intent of the law will be compromised.

MS. MATTHEWS: You don't have one, you haven't had, to your knowledge?

MS. ROSANDER: That's true, we have not had. It's as he could have almost spoken for us. It's really the accountability of the hiring managers and the administrative piece of it is the statistical analysis and the information gathering or dissemination is supported through human resources to the CEO and disseminated to the operating committee. And, that's our measure for progress.

MR. MULLINS: If I can just add one comment. We did do extensive research; of course, we're academia, so we research everything. We did do extensive research. We visited our peer institutions that had affirmative action offices and individuals, and those that didn't. And, we carefully evaluated the outcomes and successes of both of those. We found very little difference. There's hardly any distinction between the actual results of whether you

have an office or whether you do not have an office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. HUGHES: Yes, being somewhat familiar with Society's operations, Ms. Rosander, you say that you have about 40 banking facilities in this northern Indiana area. How many of those are located in Lake Elkhart, St. Joe and LaPorte Counties?

MS. ROSANDER: I'll have to get back with you on that. We have most of our branching offices in Elkhart County and St. Joe County. That's the primary grouping of our branch system. branches are very different. There may be a branch in Columbia City that houses three and a half FTE, and we have a branch in St. Joe Bay or the old St. Joe Bank. Society Bank is right here in South Bend that has over, you know, a couple hundred employees in there and there are multi-facets of our organization represented. So, when I talk about the branch system, I don't want to just narrow on one segment of retail banking. We really want to talk about commercial trust investments and all of that. And, we are all travelling more and all doing business in a little different way than traditional banking.

MR. HUGHES: Could you also, if you talked a

great deal about outreach types of activities or activities that occur where the bank is reaching out to the community. Could you speak a bit more about internal procedures and internal culture?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. ROSANDER: Sure. We are committed to promoting from within. And, we also have a multi cultural committee that's comprised of different backgrounds of people in northern Indiana that's focused on three or four initiatives. One of those initiatives is career development and one of them is networking and finding the opportunity for people who are entering the work force and experiencing maybe. I don't know if I want to use the term ignorance in our career pathing, but our organization has changed so rapidly that there are a lot of new opportunities that people are not aware of, plus a lot of new skill sets that we're demanding of people today to hold positions such as maybe certifications in four different positions. Maybe a certified financial planner where four or five years ago bankers didn't need to be certified in that kind of thing. Maybe we're looking for people who have knowledge of investments and that's where we can bring our

1 training into this committee and get it disseminated 2 to the right kind of people who can further their careers. And, if we didn't do it that way, then it 3 may not happen because everyone is so busy doing 4 their own thing and trying to out-out their own jobs. 5 a lot of time you don't think about the future. And, 7 what this committee really is focused to do is to 8 help minorities and females, especially, see things from a big picture. So, that's one of the 9 10 instrumental initiatives that happened. And, it's 11 much different probably than the traditional banking. Human resources has a very strong committee to the 12 13 mix of candidates that we present to hiring managers for their selection. We have a screening process and, 14 with an eye on affirmative action goals, and we have 15 a voice in the business, which enables us to 16 17 influence towards diversity in our organization in 18 those kinds of decisions. And, that can only happen 19 through the support of senior management. So, all of 20 these things could have happened in concert, and has so far proven fairly successful. We're not there by 21 any stretch of the imagination. I don't think we'll 22 23 ever get there, but all of that stuff, especially if

1	we can bring the youth in, that also promotes other
2	decisions.
3	MR. TAYLOR: Could you tell me what type of
4	program you have for minority owned businesses,
5	especially a small business that might not have the
6	credentials to get the regular type of loan?
7	MS. ROSANDER: I can get you the specific
8	products that we have for that, but home assist is
9	one of the big things that we have for the low to
10	moderate income people so that they can become
11	homeowners. We have a small business initiative
12	that's very successful in their CRA efforts with
13	different structures for financing. I'm not real
14	familiar with all of the various products that we
15	have, but we try to be responsive and use best
16	practices in our business decisions. And, as those
17	evolve and change, we target the various communities
18	and it translates back to our extending CRA efforts.
19	And, if you're interested, I can get you the listing.
20	MR. TAYLOR: I'd like that.
21	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Ms. Matthews, you have a
22	question?

23

MS. MATTHEWS: Ms. Rosander, speaking of

your branches in the areas that you would identify as
low to middle income minority communities, can you
tell us how many branches you have in those areas and
if they are full service or not?

MS. ROSANDER: Branches in low to moderate income, as according to the definitions by the government, is that what you're talking about?

MS. MATTHEWS: It doesn't matter what definition you want to use.

MS. ROSANDER: I can get those specific branches for you. I hesitate to even venture a guess. I do not know. And, when we talk about full service branches, if you're referring to is there a branch where we have an on-site trust person, investment person, commercial lender and all of that, we probably don't have very many. But, we do have a referral system throughout our network that they're there daily, if need be. If there's a business need, they're there. For instance, say I have a branch in Howe, Indiana which we do. There's like 800 people in the community and we have over 2000 accounts there. And so, we're pulling people in from the Sturgess grouping from other outlying areas. That's not a full

1	service branch, but we do very good investment
2	business there. We secure small business loans
3	there. We secure commercial business there, and
4	trust business there through our referral network.
5	So, we're not, we're really moving away from the
6	brick and border definition of branch banking to more
7	of the relationship building and the referral network
8	in our unit in your organization.
9	MS. MATTHEWS: I just asked the question
10	because I was just wondering how far people in rural
11	areas and people who live in the low to moderate
12	communities have to travel to actually do banking
13	business.
14	MS. ROSANDER: I see. I can give you a
15	listing of all the places that we're in and maybe
16	that will
17	MR. TAYLOR: No, in Elkhart, I know pretty
18	well where the low and moderate income area is, and I
19	don't remember seeing a Society Bank in that area or
20	any bank.
21	MS. ROSANDER: I'll be happy to provide a
22	listing of all our branches.
23	CHAIRMAN CHASE: I have a question. When I

was speaking here, asking Ms. Hueneman earlier about the issue of employing persons with disabilities. I think you were both present at the time, and I was just curious what, you know, what kinds of steps are set forth in your affirmative action plans regarding the hiring of persons with disabilities in terms of goals or, you know, objectives to obtain that. And then also, have you encountered any practical problems with the fact that persons under the law are not required to reveal their disability, and that an employer might actually violate the law by asking those kinds of questions?

MR. MULLINS: Currently, we do not have a specific goal or objective in terms of at least numbers of what we're trying to do in terms of employing the disabled. It obviously is an integral part of our plan, but we really haven't reduced it to a specific number. We do have very close working relationships with the local services in South Bend, and we place a lot of emphasis on hiring disabled persons of all sorts. I must admit that I feel like the most recent legislation, the ADA is a most challenging piece of law for employers to comply

In fact, I would suggest that it's almost, it with. would be almost impossible for most employers to comply with that because it sets forth so many avenues and remedies in terms of reasonable accommodations, but doesn't adequately define for the employer what it is. Your question, your point earlier was we can't ask the questions that we need to, but we're expected to employ and hire these individuals. So, we find ourselves in a Catch-22 as it relates to the ADA requirements. When it comes to the fiscal changes, the renovations that we have to make, that's easy. I mean, it's expensive but it's clear what we have to do there. But, in terms of scraping our culture, trying to, in fact, make reasonable accommodation to get an understanding of what does, people suffering from stress and depression, how does that relate, I mean, that those kinds of aspects become very, very challenging from our perspective.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. ROSANDER: And, I would agree the ADA is very challenging for employers. If you'd focus on ability to perform a set of job functions, I think that's the only safe thing that we can do

pre-employment and ask them those types of questions. And, if we're assigned this, do we have the insurance we can, therefore, monitor their performance. The Act's way, we monitor a person's performance and if not, what are the reasonable accommodations that we can make, and then what becomes unreasonable and really serves as an injustice to everyone else, to the point where maybe we have to add staff to help that person do their job. It's frustrating to figure that out. And, we do not have specific goals, either.

MR. GRADISON: What about your specific experiences, though, with disabled employees that you've retained. How comfortable are you with your empoyment record and the performance of those disabled employees in the various positions which you placed them? I ask that of both of you, actually.

MS. ROSANDER: We've made several accommodations that were very easy. I think that it does facilitate the communication that helps people ask for accommodation, and it helps us to understand how we can help them do their job better.

MR. GRADISON: Customers better, too.

MS. ROSANDER: Oh, yes. And, our customers much better, that's true. We employ disabled regularly, I mean. We have them, we have disabled people in our work force and it works well, as long as they can perform the essential job functions. had, even before ADA came into law, at the bank one of our senior people in trust was blind, and it didn't prevent him from conducting his business. He ran a very successful trust operation. And, I think helped us understand, too, the difficulties that are associated with the disability. So, I think this is happening, but I don't know if ADA does a lot of justice to really capturing, as my colleague says, the spirit of the law. It's mostly right, but, and that's frustrating.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. MULLINS: We've had just outstanding success with the employees that we have that are disadvantaged in some degree. We find them to be generally better employees than most of our other employees. They stay with us longer, they work harder, and they're just very, very strong contributors. In fact, this year, just by way of annecdotal story, one of our disadvantaged employees

will receive a presidential award from the
University. He doesn't know that yet, but it's the
highest award that we can give to the staff
employees. This person cannot hear or speak, but he
has not missed a day of work in 8 years, not one day
in 8 years. And so, we find them to be just very,
very strong contributors.

One area that I, again, we look at specific areas is the area of depression and stress. Just to give you an idea of the complexity or the demands we have to comply with accommodating depression, but we don't really necessarily know that the person is suffering from depression. We cannot ask if the person is suffering from depression. So, if we have, if the person's performance falls off and we have to take some kind of disciplinary action, we could find ourselves in non-compliance because of certain protections like ADA. Again, I just offer those as stories to give you an idea of the challenges which we face in terms of really complying again with the spirit, the intent of what we want to do with this law.

MR. GRADISON: Well, in the spirit of Father

Hessberg, I think you have significant enoughchallenge anyway at Notre Dame, of all places.

MR. MULLINS: We again, as I said earlier, with Father Hessberg's leadership and commitment, compliance is really a secondary issue for us.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: Hollis?

MR. HUGHES: Could, if both of you would attempt to answer this, what are the specific costs associated with your affirmative action efforts and any compliance? Are there specific costs that you see associated with this kind of an effort?

MR. MULLINS: Hollis, I'll give you a guess in terms of annual cost would be really not, if I factor everything in, less than \$100,000 a year. \$100,000 sounds like a lot of money, but on a budget of \$370 million, it's, you know, not burdensome. Now, there are some one-time expenses which we're committed in doing. Obviously, that number I gave you did not include physical renovations, which we're required to make under the ADA. It would not include; for example, a recent purchase which my office made of an applicant tracking system that allows us to be more responsive in terms of identifying different

minority groups. That would, you know, just to give
you an example, that piece would be in the area of
\$60,000. So, it doesn't include those kinds of
expenses, but the \$100,000 would be an ongoing annual
cost that would be built into the budget.

MR. HUGHES: What kinds of activities, this is what I'm trying to get a handle on.

MR. MULLINS: Some of the \$100,000 would be a soft dollar, what I would call soft dollar in terms of team people. You know, just personnel account people involved in the activity in somewhat the time involved in meetings that we're doing different aspects of it. Since we don't really, since we're not employing a specific person or office, most of that responsibility is distributed out across the organization. I said my best guess because most of it's soft dollar as opposed to an absolute dollar figures I can give you that are qualified.

MS. ROSANDER: Our costs are covered by, it's really in the reasons from belonging to a \$67 billion corporation where you have a corporate multi-cultural division, which absorbs all of those costs and then reallocates it throughout all the

1	banks across the country. So, for the banks such as
2	our bank, there are no hard dollar costs. It's part
3	of a management fee in servicing that the management
4	company provides. I'm not aware of what the
5	multi-cultural budget is on an annual basis, but I'm
6	sure it's in our annual report. I can get that.
7	MS. KENNEDY: Can I ask a follow up to that,
8	particularly from the banking standpoint? How much
9	of whatever that cost may be represents cost of doing
10	something that you've determined to be good business
11	anyway, and you would do irrespective of the legal
12	requirements?
13	MS. ROSANDER: With today's changing economy
14	and our global focus and the economics of our
15	demographics, we would probably do most of what we're
16	doing anyway because it's just good business.
17	MR. GRADISON: We like to hear that.
18	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Yes, we have one more
19	question, then we'll
20	MR. HUGHES: Maybe this is not, I guess I
21	would like an answer to the question. Does it appear
22	that the real challenge and the real fear associated

23

with ADA and other affirmative action kinds of issues

is not so much the actual dealing with problems, but fear of the unknown? That depression case, that hasn't hit you or that crossing the bridges between what's reasonable, it just appears to me there's a lot of anxiety associated with something, and it's about something that's totally unknown, sort of a fear of what if, which is a game that's just astronomical.

MR. MULLINS: Yes, I would agree, Hollis, with your comment. I would say it's typical of most new legislation which employers are forced to comply with. It usually is written in a manner that leaves it up to the court to decide what is reasonable accommodations. So, it's just a matter for sufficient court cases to be determined. Then, we'll start having a better idea of what it is exactly that we're expecting to do. But, it's fairly typical of all kinds of laws. There's a lot of confusion and it's not clear and all this kind of thing. Over the course of time, it gets settled down.

I had one thing I should mention, I failed to mention earlier, and it related to your question of costs. We had recently established an office for

1	disabled students, and that will be a hard dollar
2	cost. And, we're in the process right now of
3	recruiting a director for that position. So, that
4	will have a specific budget. I believe we're talking
5	about that department's budget will be about a couple
6	hundred thousand dollars a year. So, that's our first
7	major commitment that would deal with the student
8	aspect of ADA. And that, again, that's a bigger
9	issue, renovating rooms and all that.
10	MR. GRADISON: Would it be in the
11	administration building?
12	MR. MULLINS: Well, we don't know. There's
13	a major debate, everybody wants to be in the
14	administration building. We can't do that. But, it
15	will be an integral part of the power structure. It's
16	going to report to the officers of the University.
17	So, again, it demonstrates a clear commitment to be
18	responsible to what we need to do, then.
19	MS. KENNEDY: Will you have established the
20	program in the absence of the ADA?
21	MR. MULLINS: Yes, yes. It really just
22	serves as a good example of the ADA question, but it
23	really, again, speaks to the intent of what we're

1	trying to do. Most of what we do would happen
2	irregardless of compliance issues.
3	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Well, I'd like to, on
4	behalf of the Commission, thank both of you.
5	MR. HUGHES: Now that the griling is over, I
6	would really like to, as someone from St. Joseph
7	County, express appreciation to Roger and Sue,
8	representatives of two really fine organizations in
9	our community that I believe to take the spirit of
10	affirmative action to heart and to most impressively
11	struggle with trying to make, take a working reality.
12	It's indeed a struggle because it's something that
13	whenever you have organizations filled with human
14	beings, you have to work with it. But, two fine
15	institutions that struggled to create right outcomes
16	for right people, and we appreciate you being here.
17	MR. GRADISON: You get our Father Hessberg
18	award.
19	(WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.)
20	AFTER RECESS
21	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Okay. We're back in session
22	and we have as our next group of panelists Ms.
23	Cynthia Love-Bush, who is Senior Investigator for the

South Bend Human Rights Commission. Ms. Rikki
Goldstein, who is Director of Client Services for the
Fort Wayne Womens Bureau. And also, Debra Pinnyei,
who is Director of Employment Services for Career
Directions. And, we have 45 minutes or so. So, if
each of you want to take five minutes or ten minutes
to make statements, and then we'll have a period of
time for questions. And, why don't we start with you,
Ms. Love-Bush?

CYNTHIA LOVE-BUSH

SOUTH BEND HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

Thank you. Affirmtive Action, and I start off this morning with affirmative action under fire. And, you may be asking yourself, why is she starting in this direction? When affirmtive action was designed originally, the purpose was to dismantle the process of discrimination by removing unfair advantages that white males have enjoyed as a class due to past discriminatory practices. To enforce Title 7, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission was created and given the authority to investigate complaints of discrimination. EEOC has extended its jurisdiction to state and local agencies. And this

morning, South Bend Human Rights Commission is one of those agencies who have gained what we call 706 status. And, what that is is an agreement between the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the local commission to accept charges of discrimination and to investigate those complaints that we have jurisdiction over. Those complaints that we do not have jurisdiction over, we forward them to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. However, a person still has the luxury to file the complaint with our agency.

For the period 1993 through 1995, a total of 597 complaints were filed with the South Bend Human Rights Commission. Of the 597 complaints, 301 were EEOC only, which meant we lacked jurisdiction in those complaints. Also, of the 597, 304 complaints were dual filed, which meant the South Bend Human Rights Commission would be the processing agency. Of the 597 complaints filed, 304 of those complaints were based on race alone. And, I repeat that number to you. Of the 597 complaints filed, 304 were based on race alone. And, we're talking for the period 1993 through 1995. That's an alarming number of race

complaints walking through that door. And, we're talking about the issues such as hiring, promotions, and discharge.

When I get a case and I look at a race case and I see clearly a black and a white potential applicant, however the white applicant was selected and the black applicant was clearly more qualified, it raises the question, have we eliminated discrimination. And, the answer is obvious. We're making some strides to the inroad, but we have not reached that goal of eradicating disrimination totally. The remainder of those complaints were based on other protected class statuses.

The South Bend Human Rights Commission, in our contractural relationship with EEOC, those cases that we investigate, we see a large number of probable cause findings as well. And, in those probable cause findings, we still have employers who deny that they have discriminated against an employee or potential applicant. And, it's alarming to see an employer walk into a public hearing at this stage, this last and final stage of the process and continue to deny discrimination when the evidence has been

1	presented before them. That still happens in the
2	1990's. Based on the number of complaints received
3	by the South Bend Human Rights Commission, it's
4	evident that affirmative action is needed to continue
5	to eradicate past discriminatory practices to insure
6	equality for all. And, that's why I say affirmative
7	action is under fire, and we need to continue to do
8	all the work in this area to insure that affirmative
9	action, to insure discrimination has been eradicted.
10	And, as an investigator, when I see those cases cross
11	my desk, I have to say to myself, am I living in the
12	'60's or is this really the '90's? It's alarming.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you, Ms. Love-Bush.
15	We'll next hear from Ms. Goldstein.
16	RIKKI GOLDSTEIN
17	FORT WAYNE WOMENS BUREAU, INC.
18	From where I come is a not for profit social
19	service agency, so our perspective is from the
20	perspective in the view of the client, and I believe
21	that I bring another way of looking at this problem.
22	Affirmative action from the perspective of
23	What we see as a client directed organization

happening to our clients or not happening to our clients. Another piece that I, as I listened to the people who preceded us, I just want to insert a comment before I read my prepared comment that there are ways, and I would like to go into them later of overcoming the fears that you alluded to of ADA, and things like that. For example, when someone mentioned depression and not being able to ask about that, Fort Wayne Women's Bureau offers services like employment assistance plans. And, if a human resources person sees behaviors that are changed and inappropriate in their employees. I have an arrangement with two employers in the community and the employer calls and says I offered your services to one of our employees and he or she will set up her There's no communication between me own appointment. and the employer, but I'm in a position where I can, as a counsellor, I can help the client and then recommend treatment if she's or he's in depression. So, there are those kinds of things that help. And, I think affirmative action needs to recognize is available and there are ways to overcome difficulties of dealing with the law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, I'll go into my prepared comments. an agency, which is dedicated to promoting equity and opportunity, Fort Wayne Womens' Bureau receives a plethora of affirmative action letters from area employers, many of them from the rural communities surrounding Fort Wayne. Most simply state the policy with the caveat that should they need employees in the future, they will notify us. In most cases, that's the last I hear. And, from January to April, I get stacks of letters. In a few cases, I have received calls, follow up calls from the EEOC in Indianapolis inquiring if I received a notice from a specific employer. And then, on one occasion last year, I received a letter asking me to contact an employer that they were observing and offer my Needless to say, I wrote a letter and said services. this is a not-for-profit. I don't have the kind of staff to do what they are supposed to be doing. From the perspective of the Womens' Bureau. there's a compelling need for enforcement of affirmative action. In meetings I have suggested

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS, Chicago, IL (312) 236-4984

that employers who are located; for example, in rural

communities of northeastern Indiana provide bus

service or van service at their times of each. what do you call it, the committee shift, so that people from Fort Wayne, they claim they can't recruit enough women and or minorities in the small rural communities, that they don't apply. But, our clients either don't have serviceable automobiles or if they do have automobiles, they can't afford the commuting, the additional cost of paying for gasoline to go to work and come home every day. And, I've suggested a van to pick up 10 people at each shift. The better paying positions which are traditionally held by males are still more attractive to males. Unless a company offers an orientation and some training as part of affirmative action, women continue to be discouraged from applying for typically male occupations for which they probably could do well with some on the job training. I've received only one letter of these affirmative action letters which even alluded to offering on the job training, and I have not received any job offers. They stated that they do offer on the job training, but we haven't gotten any job listings from them. In addition to monitoring whether contracts go to minority owned

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	businesses, and I have no intimate knowledge of that.
2	I have not been intimately involved with that. But, I
3	do believe that that's the process that OFCCP usually
4	does.
5	I would further suggest that hiring
6	practices be observed, paying particular attention to
7	what kind of affirmative action procedures and
8	activities are in place to promote application and
9	hiring of females. Until companies are compelled to
10	deal with issues which are perceived to be women's
11	issues, affirmative action exists only in policy
12	statements. I believe compliance needs to be closely
13	monitored with factors such as sexual harassment,
14	child care, health benefits, full time employment,
15	and training for new hires being viewed as crucial
16	and critical to affirmative action.
17	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you. Now, we'll hear
18	from Ms. Pinnyei.
19	DEBRA PINNYEI
20	CAREER DIRECTIONS
21	To clarify a little bit where I'm coming
22	from, I'm the Director of Employment Services of a
23	large rehab facility in Elkhart County. Our purpose

is to assist persons with disabilities in obtaining community employment. So, I'm fortunate in that I do have a staff that can go out and develop directly with employers to explain our program and to provide assistance, be it through a reasonable accommodation of being there and providing the person with the disability and finding employment in the community. If it's purchasing and helping the employer be aware of what type of accommodations they can provide to be able to employ a person with a disability, we're able to do that. By that, it has increased our opportunities of placing persons in employment. But with implementation of the ADA, there was a major fear of employers. It hampered us for a good year in our placement opportunities in that many businesses were scared to death. We actually, an affiliate from our agency at that point in time where we had a part of our services went out and did educational presentations to our business, arrange a mock trial with, through the Elkhart Chamber of Commerce where we were allowed, where we got businesses in there and we showed them how a trial would go if they were filed against, discrimination for not hiring or not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

employing a person with a disability. Those type of things have been most beneficial. They've also, we've seen other presentations done where we've went and they've really scared businesses again. So, we must go in, I often prepare a lot of facts when I'm going in, in order to let the employer know a reasonable accommodation may be as simple as allowing them to take a break earlier or we've done a lot of job sharing. And, again, it's been education. We still face a battle and if a person's job changes after we've faded off the scene and they do have a disability, the businessman wants us to come back and do the retraining at that point in time. They need to start taking on the responsibility. They retrain any other employee, why is it necessary just because the person has a disability we be involved?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We do get some letters, as she talked about, from companies saying they're interested and again, they're cursatory. they're telling us if you have anyone who might be interested, go down to the local work force development where we do all our hiring.

Our person would never get a job if we sent them down through those steps. We also have companies that we

have made approaches with that are thrilled. They are interested in working with and increasing their work force, but did not know how to go about doing it, and have been willing to make some real accommodations.

We have to also educate co-workers what that means, and become accepting in this.

I still feel we have a long battle to go, but the ADA is making some strides, but businesses need to be educated that this is a positive, that this is a source that gives, allows them to make, to tap into a previously untapped resource of employees, that the government is not out to penalize them, but to let them know there are employees out there and there's tax advantages if you're willing to make the accommodations, that you can realize those.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you. We have some time for questions. Michael, would you like to start?

I'm sorry, John?

MR. NORMAN: I'm very interested in the comment, the statement from Cynthia. In the example, Cynthia, that you used where the black male was more qualified than the white male, and yet the white male gained the position. This is in front of a board or

1 whatever, a commission, your particular example. 2 Could you, I'm not sure I'm asking the question properly, but my, the point I want to get to is if 3 the verdict still rests with the white male getting 4 the position, was there any recourse, any complaint 5 or any procedure that you could pursue to object to 6 7 that finding? 8 MS. LOVE-BUSH: Are you asking about our 9 process, more or less? 10 MR. NORMAN: Yes. MS. LOVE-BUSH: The way our process works, if 11 we find probable cause, which 9 members, our 12 commission consists of 9 members, basically they 13 receive our commission packets and they have no 14 15 knowledge of what employer we're talking about because it could be one of their employers. So, it's 16 17 all anonymous. But, they have the facts and they 18 review those facts and they sign off on the recommendation that our executive director makes to 19 them, which comes from the staff investigators of 20 probable cause. Now, once probable cause has been 21 rendered, the employer is given the opportunity to 22

23

make the parties whole through what we call our

1 concilliation process. At that point, they can 2 either simply find a job for the person and make that person whole, and give them some benefits in terms of 3 monetary reward. Now, most often we see that just 4 doesn't happen, okay. So then, the case goes on to 5 public hearing. During the public hearing stage, all 6 7 parties enter the room of what we call an 8 Administrative Law Judge, who is an attorney, who will hear all of the facts, okay. Now, if that 9 10 attorney or the Administrative Law Judge finds that there's sufficient evidence, because what we're 11 saying to the hearing officer is look, we believe 12 13 there's probable cause that discrimination has occurred here, and we want you to look into it more. 14 15 And, through the public hearing process, that's what 16 happens. Now, if the hearing officer substantiates 17 the Commission finding, then the hearing officer at 18 that point can impose a monetary relief on the company that they will pay the employee X amount of 19 20 dollars. What we've found in a lot of cases, the employee simply does not want the job, you know, they 21 22 don't want to work there for the fear of probably it would, they wouldn't be promoted. Chances are 23

1	whatever opportunity they get to get rid of that
2	employee, 1, 2, 3, they're out. I mean, the policy
3	would more likely be implemented, I mean, by the, I
4	mean, to the letter for that particular employee.
5	So, the hearing officer can impose a monetary damage
6	and it could be substantially high.
7	MR. NORMAN: Okay. If that happens, that's
8	an easy way out. What's, what are some of your
9	thoughts in terms of how do you get this thing on the
10	right track?
11	MS. LOVE-BUSH: If I were a king, I call my
12	little if I were a king list
13	MR. GRADISON: What about queens?
14	MS. LOVE-BUSH: Or queens, I would say look,
15	what we need to do is restore that person rightfully,
16	what we need to do is to restore that person, put
17	that person into the position if he wants that
18	position, and you treat him or her just as you treat
19	the other employees. You give him the same
20	oportunities that they would have had had you hired
21	them. By that time, the person that they hired
22	probably received severalpromotions, could have
23	received a lot of on the job training, which could

have enhanced that person on their next job
assignment. Afford that person that opportunity and
then I'd say pay them their due back pay.

MS. MATTHEWS: His question, as I heard it, was that if when you get to the Administrative Law Judge and he finds not for what you recommended or what you all found, but said that the employer was correct, even though the black employee had, you felt that the black employee had been discriminated against, and even though he was more qualified than the one that was hired, the white man was hired. What recourse does the black employee in this case have or you have as representing him when the Administrative Law Judge finds with the employer?

MS. LOVE-BUSH: They have to go to court. They have to file the case in court. They actually need to--see, the way I understood his question was--but anyway, what they would have to do at that point is they really would need to obtain an attorney, okay, to file in court and also at that point they can obtain a right to sue notice from EEOC. And, they still need to file in court.

MS. KENNEDY: But, that brings up the

1 question that may be more fundamental. People can argue about this case or that case, but what I find, 2 and I'm the Executive Director of the Indiana Civil 3 Liberties Union. We get a number of calls that have 4 nothing to do with the civil liberties, but they 5 don't know where do you go. You referred to how many 6 complaints come to you and you refer to the EEOC. 7 There's such as, of course, we've got the Civil 8 Rights Commission. Is there no way, what if you were 9 10 king or queen again, would there not be some way? I don't know if it's a 911 number for discrimination 11 12 complaints, but there's not, for people who feel they've been discriminated against, there's not a 13 14 user friendly system. How does your agency or any other agency go about getting the word out? 15 people who are most likely to be discriminated 16 against, in my experience, are those who are least 17 likely to know what their rights are, what their 18 19 remedies are, where the agencies are, you know, what any of the jargon we hear in these hearings is all 20 about. So, how do we go about reaching people and 21 simplifying this system, just at the very inception, 22 23 not worrying right now about some of the quirks of

the law, by just the intake process for all this multiplicity of agencies.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. LOVE-BUSH: Education and outreach, I always say that's the best tool is that we have to come from behind that desk and go out into the community and educate. And, it's interesting that you brought that up because we always look at the grassroots persons because they're the ones who actually have no knowledge of what they're supposed to do. Here in South Bend, we have a lot of neighborhood centers and recently our mayor has started what we call neighborhood partner centers, so we can captalize on their night out and go out into the different communities because we have a center on each side of town which, I believe it's about four or five centers, and we can go out and train them, okay, just merely educate them as to what we do at the commission. And, we explain the investigative process as well as the intake. We explain the no probable cause. And, at the same time, we let them know because there are a lot of people out there who try to use us as a weapon, you know, it's like I'll go to the Human Rights Commission, you know, and they will

get you. Well, no, no, no, we don't operate like
that. If we find probable cause, okay, then the
system will work. But, if there's no probable cause,
then there's just no probable cause that an act of
discrimination has occurred. So, here in South Bend,
we really try to get out there and educate.

MR. GRADISON: The other two, answer the same question; Rikki, Debra, answer Sheila's question.

MS. GOLDSTEIN As a social service agency, we incorporate those kinds of answers to every class we do. We have contracts with the Department of Public Welfare, now known as the Department of Family and Children. All the classes that we provide for all the people who are being trained to enter the work force are given tools, told about if you're discriminated against, what the ordinance in Fort Wayne is about, what it covers, how to proceed and if in doubt, call the peer counseling office of Women's Bureau and they will explain it to you more thoroughly.

We also have now in Fort Wayne a domestic violence, rape, and sexual harassment commission.

And, that is our focus for the next three years, to 1 2 educate, educate, educate. And, we have posted telephone numbers to call. Sometimes going to Metro 3 in Fort Wayne or the South Bend Human Rights 4 Commission is scary to people who have not been 5 involved with government or even they're afraid to go 6 in the City-County building. So, a place like the 7 Women's Bureau or in other communities, YWCA's and 8 agencies like that have counsellors, Urban League in Fort Wayne, the Benito Juarez Center, we all share 10 11 the kind of information on these legal issues of discrimination. We've put together just a one sheet 12 13 that says what to do if you feel you are, if you think you're being sexually harassed, just to hand 14 out to anybody and everybody who thinks they are. 15 They don't have to give their name to get it. They 16 can just kind of mull it over before they get 17 18 involved in the legal system, which I think is what's 19 scary to lots of people. MS. PINNYEI: I agree, to follow up, you 20 know, the people that are linked up to our agency 21 that are there, we can give them that number. 22

the ones that are out there and just have no idea,

and if you've ever tried to look up a government number or a state number in the phone book, it's, I mean, I don't know how many calls a day I look up the number for people because it's impossible to find I think public service announcements, if you feel like you've ever been taken advantage of, please And as you said, it's an 800 number, it's no big deal to make the call, but finding that 800 number is astronomically impossible, especially if you're talking about a person that may have limited intellectual abilities. So, I think some public service announcements would be very beneficial to television, radio, so that it's just if you feel you have been discriminated against or taken advantage of, you know, call this number, someone will advise you. And then, they can take steps from there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. GRADISON: Debra, the question I have for you, you mentioned earlier at one point the Department of Work Force Development and your relationship thereto. As I said last week to our hearing we had in Indianapolis, I work for Work Force Development in Indianapolis in the State Office, and as being an employee of the state as well, how

extraordinarily, I mean, I delight in the fact that there's an extraordinary number of disabled people with every conceivable disability, I happen to be one myself, working for state government. And, it's so easy, they're in the flow, it's just amazing how hundreds of employees pouring, there's that office building, and it's a wonderful thing to see.

What has been your experience working with the Department of Work Force Development? I couldn't tell whether it was negative, you know, tinge or remark, I couldn't figue out if you had problems or not because simply, you ought to address them.

MS. PINNYEI: When working with Work Force Development, again, their counsellors are very aware they don't have the skills necessary to work with persons with more severe disabilities and placement, that if they were just to refer to so many companies, come there and can store referrals for employees and our employees would be initially screened out if they had a disability because they'd say they can't do that. They're missing one arm, whatever. With a modification, they could very well do that. So, the Work Force has worked very closely with the state

1	vocational rehab agencies in referring persons that
2	have disabilities that would cause limitations to
3	them to the VR office so they can work through that
4	system and get the extra assistance they may need in
5	order to secure employment that's realistic or is
6	within what they want, be it some further training,
7	do a lot with, you know, working with them and on the
8	same committee. So, they're aware that we're out
9	there so if they get someone that walks into their
10	office that they don't feel like they can provide
11	adequate services to, they can, referring them on to
12	our office so that we can work with them and help
13	them in their area. So, it's been a
14	MR. GRADISON: I want to talk to you about
15	that outside the meeting because that's something we
16	should be working very aggressively with. That's part
17	of my job description, so I would like to talk to you
18	about that.
19	CHAIRMAN CHASE: You have a question, Mr.
20	Taylor?
21	MR. TAYLOR: Yes. To the lady with the Human

Rights Commission, in Evansville, the Human Rights

Commission, by ordinance of the City Council, cannot

22

take a discrimination case within city or county government. Can you do that in South Bend?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. LOVE-BUSH: We can. Let me tell you the way we avoid what we call "conflict of interest". will merely take the charge and forward it to EEOC for processing, okay, as far as the investigation. They will conduct the investigation. So, actually, the City of South Bend, I should say the Human Rights Commission, we have no knowledge of what the response was, what type of documentation was sent, unless somebody over in the city legal department come over and tell us, and they don't operate like that. They have never come over and tell us what has happened with a case, okay. So, that's how we get around the issue of conflict of interest because we do have a lot of employees who say, you know, well, I don't want to go to the Human Rights Commission because they work for the City, too. So, they have that feeling, you know, that they don't get justice. we let them know their options.

Option number one, we can take the charge or forward it to EEOC, or we can give them Judith's direct 1-800 telephone number and have them to call

the Indiana Civil Rights Commission, where they will take the charge.

MR. TAYLOR: In Evansville, I don't think they can even take the charge because I've told them, people that call me, I tell them to call the Indiana Civil Rights Commission.

MS. LOVE-BUSH: Our ordinance, it says specifically that we are to investigate cases within the city limits of South Bend. Now, the only way we can really get out of that is unless, let's say the employee — well, first of all, they have to work and live in the City of South Bend. So, if they work in the City but live in Mishawaka, where we lack jurisdiction anyway, so the most we could do for that person is take the charge and forward it to EEOC.

Now, if some person who lives and works, like I said, we give them the 1-800 number and they call down to the ICRC, and they will take it.

MR. TAYLOR: The reason I was saying that in Evansville or wherever in the state, it's sort of ludicrous to have a Human Rights Commission and they can run out to Bristol-Meyer, Squib or Whirlpool Corporation and tell those people that they're

1	discriminating, but next door to them, they cannot
2	investigate a complaint.
3	MS. GOLDSTEIN: I was in the Commission in
4	Fort Wayne. I was a commissioner for 8 years and our
5	ordinance does allow us to investigate city
6	employees. So, the Metropolitan Human Relations
7	Commission has many times received complaints and
8	filed for city employees.
9	MS. MTTHEWS: I just heard that the people
10	on staff don't extend the interpretation to that to
11	the same as you do.
12	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Ours states it because we're
13	not technically a city commission. We are termed a
14	Mayor's commission.
15	MS. MATTHEWS: Ours is, too, and I think the
16	ordinance reads the same, but they just don't
17	interpret it broadly.
18	MR. GRADISON: You were created by a city
19	ordinance, the Fort Wayne Commission?
20	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, but we do accept
21	complaints against the city government.
22	CHARIMAN CHASE: I had a question about the
23	OFCCP, you know, they've presented testimony both

1	here and down in Indianapolis and about working with
2	communities as part of a community outreach effort on
3	their part to provide these linkages. Have any of
4	you been contacted or do any of you work with OFCCP?
5	What's your relationship, if any, with that office?
6	MS. PINNYEI: I have no relationship.
7	MS. GOLDSTEIN: I have not heard from them.
8	I think I did get one telephone call asking if I had
9	received a letter from a rural manufacturer. But that
10	was, and I did not have it on record and that was
11	but, I've worked there for 19 years and I got one
12	contact from OFCCP that I recall.
13	MS. LOVE-BUSH: I haven't heard of any.
14	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Do you think that, do you
15	have any recommendations regarding that? I mean, do
16	you feel that if we are to establish or if they, on
17	the other hand, were to establish a better working
18	relationship with your agencies, would that, do you
19	see that that would have any positive affect on
20	employing minorities, women in agencies in the area
21	that you serve?
22	MS. GOLDSTEIN: I think it would because one
23	of the things we do, and I think we do well is to

1 train more companies. So, if the OFCCP were to find 2 someone in non-compliance, we're in a position we 3 would have to be paid for it. But, I don't think 4 there's anything wrong with a company paying to learn 5 something they don't know. And, we offer training in the cultural diversity in sexual harassment and have 6 7 performed that. Amex Coal Mines had us come in and work with all of the supervisors that they employ to 8 9 train them to supervise women when they had just 10 began hiring women in the mines. And so, we're 11 available and OFCCP could use us, but they don't. 12 MR. GRADISON: And, no for you and no for you, no contact with either one of you, either? 13 14 MS. LOVE-BUSH: No. 15 MS. PINNEYI: Not that I'm aware of. 16 MS. LOVE-BUSH: But, that doesn't say, in my 17 case, that they didn't contact city personnel. So, I 18 really can't address that because I don't know. But, 19 in answer to Paul's question, I mean it would be a 20 good working relationship, but I think given the 21 dynamics of how we work, I think they would be more 22 inclined to refer directly to EEOC and then EEOC,

23

because we're a 706 agency, have the authority to

```
1
           kick it down to us to investigate a given company.
2
                                   But, that hasn't happened in
                    MR. GRADISON:
3
          your experience?
                    MS. LOVE-BUSH: No.
4
                    MS. MATTHEWS: I was interested in linkages.
5
           The two previous panelists, Society Bank, University
6
           of Notre Dame. Have you had any relationship with
7
8
           them in terms of their programs? They seem to have
9
           very good programs and; of course, Society Bank, she
10
           mentioned a number of programs that they have. Are
           there any linkages established between --
11
12
                    MS. PINNYEI: I mean, they hired persons
13
           through our program.
14
                    MS. MATTHEWS: They know you exist?
15
                    MS. PINNYEI: They know I exist. It was our
16
           approach out to them.
17
                    MR. GRADISON: They're using you?
                    MS. PINNYEI: They're using us.
18
19
                    MR. GRADISON: You're based out in South
           Bend?
20
                    MS. PINNYEI: I'm based in South Bend.
21
22
                    MS. MATTHEWS: And you?
                    MS. GOLDSTEIN: I'm based in Forth Wayne,
23
```

1	but there's not a Society Bank.
2	CHAIRMAN CHASE: And, you wouldn't
3	necessarily have a relationship with them, it would
4	be an adverse position?
5	MS. LOVE-BUSH: For the record, it would be
6	adverse.
7	MR. GRADISON: Do you serve Mishiana, the
8	tri-cities, how broad is your
9	MS. PINNYEI: I serve entire, Elkhart County
10	and I also provide services into LaGrange County. I
11	can come into St. Joe, but the majority of my
12	individuals live in and service centers provide
13	services and good will in St. Joe County.
14	MS. GOLDSTEIN: We have linkages just as a
15	connection with IPF, with Indiana-Purdue Fort Wayne,
16	and we have done training for them, for staff, for
17	students and they list the Women's Bureau as a
18	resource for legal and discrimination questions.
19	CHAIRMAN CHASE: I have another kind of
20	question, I guess, about affirmative action. Do you
21	feel that it has made a difference so far in what you
22	see as far as employing people that you serve? I
23	mean, how much of an impact, I guess is what I'm

asking you, it may not be easy to measure. Do you think that, I guess I suppose do you think that it's more employers are seeing that it's just good business practice to hire a diverse work force, or do you feel that they're still being driven, in large part, by adherence to requirements under affirmative action?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. LOVE-BUSH: What I'm hearing out there, the new buzz word, "diverse work force". And, I think they're being more driven diverse work force rather than affirmative action. And, affirmative action was here before diverse work force. My theory is if you hire qualified minorities and women, you automatically have a diverse work force. There's no need to have a special program to say we're going to now diversify our work force. How can we do it? That's the way I feel about it. Yes, affirmative action has, you know, done good, I have to say that. But, there's still a lot of work to be done when I go to work every day, and there's a race case that crosses my desk. The issues of hiring, promotion, and discharge, and I'm talking about those cases that we find probable cause. And, it raises the question, and the answer is their need for affirmative action.

Yes, and when I do those statistical analyses and I

can see underutilization as whether it be a minority

in terms of Hispanics, Asians, Blacks females, yes,

there's a need. So, yes, affirmative action, you

know, we have made some strides, but by far, we're

not done.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: Anybody else want to tackle that one?

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Well, I think there's still a very, very strong need for affirmative action. I think there's reistance. Society Bank and Notre Dame really, I got a rush hearing them because of their attitudes and there are other people like them, but not enough. And, I think we need to get the message out of the benfits of a diverse workshop of hiring and the message has been out. The year 2000, there are going to be, the people who are entering the work force will be women and minorities. Those are words, but I think the messages aren't playing yet. But, there are such benefits. Our agency is one. We have always had a very, we're all females, but beyond that, we're very diverse racially, religiously, age,

sexual orientation. There are 53 on our staff.

2 MR. GRADISON: Disability?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, yes. And, because of our diversity, we have been recipients of grants because when there are pilot programs, they like to go to places that have a diverse work force in order to pilot a program. So, there have been benefits to that. And, if we can only get the message out to business that your client base, your customer base will increase because people come to a store or a whatever where they see people like themselves. they see someone in a wheelchair, a person in a wheelchair will go there and give, for their business. If they see people of color, if they see women, they will, other people who are qualified and good workers will apply. But, until they see that mirror, they don't come and that's why I get up to here when people say well, we sent a letter to the Women's Bureau, but we don't have any women applying for our apprenticeship program. Well, it takes more than a letter.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: You have a stab at that last question.

1	MS. PINNYEI: I think affirmative action has
2	made a difference. I think the statistics showing in
3	the year 2000, 50% of the population employed will be
4	some type of minority group. And, I think it has
5	made a difference. I still think that the education
6	portion is not there, and it's still a mystery and
7	scary law to businesses in all areas. And, I think
8	we continue, need to be educating what that law is.
9	MS. MATTHEWS: Can you have a diverse work
10	force and the company not be an affirmative action
11	attitudinal company? In other words, can the work
12	force be diverse, but those people not get the
13	promotions, not get whatever perks and different
14	things that are accorded on a routine basis to white
15	males?
16	MS. PINNEYI: The statistics can look good.
17	MS. GOLDSTEIN: And then you look at
18	turnover.
19	MS. LOVE-BUSH: I was just going to say a
20	couple of weeks ago during one of my investigations,
21	I asked a local employer for their EEO-1 report. They
22	did not maintain an EEO-1 report because there's no

federal contract compliance. So, my next question

1	was well, provide me your statistical data. Out of a
2	company of 150, 1 minority, 1. And, the case was race
3	discrimination. 1. And, that's recent. And, I see
4	those types of statistics often. What are you
5	telling me in the 1990's? There are no qualified
6	minorities out there? Where are you recruiting?
7	Where are you going? Let's take a look at your
8	application procedure, interview, your hiring
9	practices and some of these were promotions. Let's
10	look at your promotion practices, qualifications,
11	what are you basing this on? And, these were recent
12	statistics.
13	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Well, it sounds like we're
14	going places, but obviously we still have a long way
15	to go. And, I know we're out of time, so I want to
16	thank all of you; Cynthia, Rikki, and Debra for being
17	here and coming before us today.
18	We're going to take a five-minute break
19	right now, then we're going to open the floor for
20	public testimony and so, take five minutes right now.
21	(WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.)
22	AFTER RECESS
23	CHAIRMAN CHASE: We're now back in session,

and this session is our public session where we are set up to receive testimony from the general public. And, a couple of quick groundrules. We will be adjourning at 1:00 o'clock and also, depending on the length of testimony here, we do ask that people that want to come forward, we have ten minutes per individual that would like to speak to us. You can take up that ten minutes totally in terms of your testimony, or if you'd like to spend less time and that would give us an opportunity for questions and answers, that would also be okay. But, we have a total of ten minutes per person. And, the first person that I'm aware of that would like to come forward today is Mr. Jerry Price. So, if Mr. Price would come forward and have a seat up here and we'd be happy to hear from you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JERRY PRICE

My name is Jerry Price. I'm a student at Ivy
Tech here in South Bend. I just have a question.
What's being done to combat institutional racism
right now? As a matter of fact, I'm doing a research
paper on affirmative action with institutional racism
being part of the problem, that what's being done. Is

there anything being done to combat it? 1 2 MR. GRADISON: Tell us what your experience is, why would you ask that question? 3 MR. PRICE: Well, in the paper that I have 4 5 been researching over the last five or six months, I'm finding out that practices that were in practice, 6 7 you know, like selective, put it like this, family members and friends of family members getting out the 8 word about a position that's open. There are other 9 type of employment practices where companies will 10 raise the qualifications so it will almost, how would 11 I say this, it would make it impossible that most 12 minorities and women wouldn't be hired. There's 13 14 certain things that institutions do, companies, businesses do. It seems like this is, it's not being 15 16 checked, you know what I mean? The affirmative action, it's in the works, you know. Back in 1965 17 civil rights, 1964 the Act, it's in the works, but 18 seems like it's being deflected by almost all 19 companies. There's only a few that I've found that 20 21 are actually using, how would I say, a non-biased; of course, that's pretty, being non-biased or race 22 neutral employment practices to bring in a work 23

force. And, it worries me that it's being, there's nothing being done about it because, you know, you look at I've coached football in South Bend, in track and I've had children and friends that go on to college and they've had to select the same thing as educational institutions, people being steered away from Harvard, Dartmouth, Brown, Cornell, people of color and some white women —

MR. GRADISON: Not at Brown.

MR. PRICE: Well, okay, that's just --

MR. GRADISON: Not at Brown, and I know how aggressive we are.

MR. PRICE: They might have just started this. I know for a fact. I've got friends, I'm 44 years old, that were not admitted there and they still are trying to find out why. So, my whole thing is knowing that this is going on. People are being turned away from positions that they qualify and I, for a fact, I feel the affirmative action should stay in place. Drop the quota system. It wasn't supposed to stay in place anyhow. But, is there anything that's going to be done to equal these things out knowing that, you know, I mean, racism is the biggest

problem people have. Racist ideas, and they even use racist procedures and they're not even, they may not be racist, you understand what I'm saying. They may not, deep down in their heart they're not racist.

But, because of the racist procedures in place, they continue to use it because it's comfortable.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: Do you, you know, part of what our discussion is today is about the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, which is supposed to look at whether agencies are complying with affirmative action requirements. In your research or investigation, do you see any of these kinds of agencies are helpful or more hinderance, people go to seek if they feel that they're encountering discrimination racism. Do you feel that people are availing themselves of these programs that are set up to supposedly deal with the issues or do you feel that these programs are effective at all?

MR. PRICE: There may be too many programs, there may be too many doors to go into, you know.

There may be too many agencies. That is, some people are confused about where to go.

CHAIRMAN CHASE: That gets back to the point

that Sheila was making before that there are many agencies.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PRICE: If there could be just, you know, people could be just funneled into a few doors instead of many doors, all the different abbreviations and numbers and orders, I've read through all of this and it's like okay, it all leads to the same order, you know. But, like I say, what I'm seeing now is a lot of companies, school corporations, I mean, they're number one right now that are turning their back on qualified people. My own experience right now going to Ivy Tech. I'm in catering administration trying to get a program started up here in St. Joe County, hospitality administration with the Chamber of Commerce of St. Joe County. I work, my academic advisor get a program here. We can't understand why there's not a program here. Then, we kind of see what makes up the Chamber of Commerce, if I may step on some toes by saying this, but we see what makes it up, and that's why we don't have a program here, you know. This is not educational programs, businesses that need to come that would come here that would employ people of

color or more white women, you know. They're turned away or they don't want to come here, you know, that's my whole thing, you know. We're actually beating ourselves, you know what I mean? The whole system is set to. it's almost set up like it's set to lose or to fail and if somewhere down the line people, you know, the group of people in power, if they don't step up right now, I can't say that, I'll rephrase that. What's happening is people are freeing themselves from bondage, you know what I mean, from being held back by going to school. And if you can go over to Ivy Tech or in South Bend, Gary, any other schools, colleges, universities, you see people are taking matters into their own hands. The classrooms are filling up with minorities, you know, and more females, you know, white women and but still though, when you get to a certain level, you run into an invisible wall, you know. You find people that are being accepted or into colleges or getting hired in on jobs that, you know, are barely qualified than you or people that, you know, but it's allowed to happen. It's, I'm really, it's going to be a backlog the year 2000. I've done all those statistics about 1983 the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 number of jobs, the executive type managerial 2 supervisory jobs, 50% were held by white males. By 3 the year 2000, there's about 12% that's going to be 4 held by the same group of people. So, it's going to be some problems if people, it's going to take over, 5 you know what I mean, the people of color, white 6 7 women are, I guess one of the ladies that just said there are, they're going to move in, they're going to 8 9 be in power because it's inevitable. But meanwhile, this five years ago, and there's a lot of things 10 going wrong right now like the militia's and all 11 these other different things that are trying to stop 12 13 this from happening. There's a thing of affirmative 14 action, people that oppose it. And, I hope I don't run over my time limit. People that are opposing it, 15 16 the only reason they oppose it because they want to regain territory, power, and job loss from when the 17 Civil Rights Act took place, when it was put into 18 effect. 19 MR. GRADISON: And, they're bigots. 20 MS. PRICE: And, that sounds ugly, but I see 21

MS. PRICE: And, that sounds ugly, but I see it as the number one reason why, I've answered my own question, the number one reason why there's such, the

22

23

opposition is growing, which is sad because you know, we're going to suffer, the whole nation is going to suffer, not just minorities. There's no reverse discrimination. Who is being discriminated against, you know, the whites? Say they're being discriminated against, white men, the minorities, you all, we all are going to be discriminated against because this thing about power, political, economic power, if we don't just open the door and let it through, let it happen, then we're not going to be in power.

MS. MATTHEWS: Mr. Price, it seems to me that as for doing research, you have a legitimate reason for posing your questions to institutions that you think may have racist practices. When you do research, you have primary and secondary research information, and your primary research would be where you would go and ask these people what are they doing to combat institutional racism. And, in fact, if you could review some of their files on their activities. Have you done that to any of those institutions?

MR. PRICE: Yes, yes, I've gotten a lot.

I've done a lot. I've talked to people from IBM,

1	Levy Strauss, a lot of the large corporations that
2	have benefitted from affirmative action and want to
3	know. Those are the companies that they comply and
4	that are complying. But, there's so many others. I
5	have, there's documents that you can get, you can
6	read, you can call people. They will give you not
7	necessarily an answer over the phone, but they will
8	send you things. They will send you statistics if
9	you really want them. I've done that.
10	MS. MATTHEWS: So, at this point in your
11	research, would you say that, you know, there are
12	things that are being done to combat institutional
13	racism?
14	MR. PRICE: Yes, there are some things that
15	are being done, but it's not, the whole box of tools
16	aren't being used. There's just, instead of using
17	all the tools, they're using a hammer, a chisel or a
18	hammer and a screwdriver. They're not using the
19	whole box.
20	MS. MATTHEWS: So, are you finding one of
21	the tools that they have is the affirmative action
22	program?

23

MR. PRICE: It has to be. But, there's --

1	MS. MATTHEWS: The reason why I asked that
2	because we've been told like from the University of
3	Notre Dame that they have not had a review in 8
4	years, and they say they're the biggest employer in
5	this area. And so, if they haven't had one in 8 years
6	and people tend to look at what happens to the big
7	boy first, see what's happening to them, then the
8	other people start getting their ducks in a row and
9	start getting things right, do you find that these,
10	have you actually seen some affirmative action
11	programs from some of the other institutions?
12	MR. PRICE: Besides University of Notre
13	Dame?
14	MS. MATTHEWS: Yes.
15	MR. PRICE: In St. Joe County?
16	MS. MATTHEWS: Yes.
17	MR. PRICE: Yes.
18	MS. MATTHEWS: You actually have in your
19	possession for your research?
20	MR. PRICE: Yes. There are some companies
21	for me, you know. As for other people, I can't speak
22	for other people. They're small compared to what you
23	would think.

1	MS. MATTHEWS: What's small?
2	MR. PRICE: Well, the results, you know, the
3	number of people being hired trying to stay away from
4	the quota system, you know what I mean, the qualified
5	people being hired or being allowed to go to school,
6	the changes are small. If you look back, I guess you
7	want to look back years. You want to look to the
8	'60's, not the '90's. I guess you can say the changes
9	are vast. I don't think so. I think it's cosmetic,
10	you know what I mean? It only go so far, then it
11	stops.
12	CHAIRMAN CHASE: We're out of time. I do
13	appreciate you coming forward and asking these
14	difficult questions. And, I don't know that we can
15	address them all fully today, but I think this does
16	help, at least in our mind.
17	MR. GRADISON: You want to share your
18	findings, you can get Peter's card and, you know, you
19	can talk to the regional office.
20	MR. PRICE: This will help me with my
21	research.
22	MR. GRADISON: Just talk to Peter.
23	CHAIRMAN CHASE: Thank you very much. Would

1	anybody else in the room like to come forward and
2	present testimony to the Commission today? Well,
3	nobody coming forward, then I think this meeting is
4	adjourned.
5	MR. TAYLOR: So moved.
6	MS. KENNEDY: Second.
7	(WHEREUPON, the meeting was adjourned
8	at 1:00 p.m.)
9	* * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	•
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, VERNETTA HALSELL, a Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter doing business in the State of Illinois,
5	certify that I reported in shorthand the testimony
6	taken in the above-entitled matter, and that this
7	constitutes a true and accurate transcription of my
8	shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	VERNETTA HALSELL, CSR #084-001830
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	