CCR 3 Meet. 369 v.1

FORM FED LASER PENGAD/INDY 1-800-631-6989



HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS

CHICAGO (

(312) 236-4984

FORM FED LASER PENGAD/INDY 1-800-631-6989

1

INDEX

2	PRESENTER	PAGE
3	Keynote Address BY: NANCY CHEN	6
4	Calvin Manshio, J.D.	16
5	Tom Corfman	
6		28
7	Dr. James Lewis	47
8	Ping Tom	61
9	Ross Harano	103
10	Dr. Sandra Otaka	115
11	Dr. Kwang Kim	130
	Layton Olson	141
12	Ngoan Le	158
13	Dr. Shin Kim	171
14	Sandra Yamate, J.D.	196
15	Dr. Yvonne Lau	217
16		
17	Dr. Edwin Silverman	235
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

(The meeting was convened at 9:10 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN LYON: Good morning. This meeting of the Illinois Advisory Committee of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights will come to order.

For the benefit of those in our

audience, I'd like to introduce myself and my colleagues who are at the table. I'm Faye Lyon and I'm the Chairperson of the Advisory Committee. The members of the Committee who are here with me, starting at my right will be Nancy Chen, Preston Ewing, Hugh Schwartzberg, who usually comes a little late, he will be sitting next to Preston. I'm sure he will be here. Dorris Roberts, James Scales, and Rose Mary Bombela. To my left would be Man-Sung Son, Tom Pugh, and then we have Gloria Portela. The other members should be joining us shortly.

We also have today in our audience the Chairpersons for the other advisory committees. We have Lynwood Battle from Ohio, we have Janice Frazier from Michigan, we have Karen Rogers From Minnesota. I've seen her come in, but

2.2

she should be here momentarily and Emraida Kiram from Wisconsin and she's the acting chair for purposes of this meeting today.

We are here this morning to conduct a consultation for the purpose of gathering information on civil rights issues facing Asian Americans in metropolitan Chicago. The jurisdiction of the Commission include discrimination or denial of equal protection of laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin in the administration of justice. Information that relates to this topic will be especially helpful to those of us on the Advisory Committee, and hopefully to the community at large.

The proceedings of this meeting are being recorded by a public stenographer and will be sent to the Commission for it's advice and consideration.

At the outset I want to remind everyone present of the ground rules. This is a public meeting and it's open to the media and to the general public, but we do have a very full

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

schedule of people who will be making presentations within the limited time that we have available. The time allotted for each presentation must be strictly adhered to. will include a presentation by each participant, followed by questions from committee members.

The record of this meeting will close on June 25th, 1994.

Though some of the statements made here today may be controversial, we want to ensure that all invited guests do not defame or degrade any person or organization. In order to ensure that all aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of experience and viewpoints have been invited to share information with us. Any person or organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in these proceedings should contact our staff during the meeting so that we can provide a chance for public response. Alternately, such persons or organizations can file written statements for inclusion in the proceeding.

I urge all persons making presentations to be judicious in their statements. The Advisory Committee appreciates the willingness of all participants to share their views and experiences with the Committee.

I would now like to introduce Nancy
Chen who is a member of the Illinois Advisory
Committee and who has the Keynote Address.

MS. CHEN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

NANCY CHEN

Good morning. I would like first to thank the members of the Illinois Advisory

Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights for your authorizing this consultation project on Asian American issues in the greater Chicago area.

As a new kid on the block to the Advisory Committee, I'm very grateful that you took on this project that is very important to the Asian American community in Illinois and to me personally. As I understand this consultation on Asian American issues is a historic first for the Illinois Advisory Committee to undertake. From the inception of this consultation to the actual

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

conference it has been a gratifying experience working with the Commission's regional staff.

Connie Davis, Peter Miniarek and Carolyn Whitfield whose professionalism and enthusiasm greatly contributed towards the success of this project.

I thank you.

It is projected that Asian American population will reach 208 million by the year It is the fastest growing group in It is important that we do not under American. estimate the social, economic, and political impact of Asian Americans in the next 25 years, nor should we neglect the needs and concerns of this community today: Although Asian Americans have been in this country since the middle of the last century, we are often considered a new group in the civil rights community. The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights issued an extensive report in 1992 citing widespread discrimination and barriers against Asian Americans in many areas. At a time when our nation is going through another inward looking stage in which anti immigrant sentiment is not just expressed by a

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

few, but openly used by some politicians to win votes. This consultation project offers an important and timely opportunity for the public as well as Asian Americans themselves to take a closer look at a community that is still comprised largely of immigrants.

Asian Americans grew four times in population since 1965 from around 1 million to over 7 million in 1990. The Asian American community in the Chicago area almost doubled it's size from around 150,000 to almost 300,000 between 1980 and 1990. The uniqueness of our community here is that it is a microcosm of the Asian community in the nation with every major ethnic group from Asia represented, but no particular group more dominant than others, as is often the case on the east or west coast or Hawaii where Chinese and Japanese American communities are well-established. Asian Americans here have been able to work together without the exclusion of The best example is the Asian Coalition Dinner hosted by a different community each year through a rotation system. The dinner started ten

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

years ago by the Chinese community has grown to become a major cultural and political event for the city, a must visit for local and statewide elected officials and candidates. However, the uniqueness of the Asian community here does not free it from problems described by the Commission's report.

So today and tomorrow, tomorrow morning, you will hear testimonies from a group of community experts and scholars on issues with both national and local perspectives. Asian Americans here have often bemoaned the lake of political representation for the community. Unlike the African American and Latino communities in Chicago which have successfully obtained greater political power through redistricting, Asian Americans have remained largely ignorant of this process. Redistricting is a frontier which has been paid little attention, yet it is so crucial for Asian Americans to achieve full political empowerment. The panelists here will tell you how the redistricting affects Chinese Asian American voting power in the City of Chicago and Cook

County, an issue which is just beginning to be addressed by the community in the aftermath of the recent redistricting.

I had an opportunity to work with the Chinese community here and it has certainly been a frustrating experience for Chinese Americans in south Chinatown who try to learn that intricacies of the politics of remapping as they attempted to stop Chinatown from being fractionalized. Sadly they realized that they did too little and too late.

I hope that by bringing this issue to the forefront, Asian Americans will be better informed by the impact that unfair redistricting plans have to dilute their voting strength and discourage Asian American candidates from running for office.

It is also important for Asian

Americans to be aware that under the one person

one vote standard in the U.S. Constitution, Asian

Americans aren't protected from dilution of their

voting strength when we constitute a substantial

percentage of the voting age population, and

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

substantial percentage does not mean that it has to be over 50 percent.

While redistricting fights are more commonly associated with the black and hispanic districts because of the size of these communities, there will be opportunities for Asian Americans if only to be kept in tact in the district to comprise the influential swing vote. We are robbed of the opportunity to exert a maximum influence of our numbers if we are split over two or three districts as is the case in the Chicago City Council. Well let me also add that last year's U.S. Supreme Court decision in Shaw versus Reno which questions the ability of the majority and minority districts will have future impact on Asian American political process. lawyers in our community should watch how it is interpreted around the country by lower courts. It not too early for Asian Americans to be prepared for the next round of reapportionment following the census in the year 2000. Perception about Asian Americans are often contradictory with report such as the one in the February issue of

the Atlantic Monthly complaining about southeast Asian refugees taxing our nation's welfare system on one hand, and on the other, a study published by the Center for Immigration Studies warning about Asian American professionals edging out our minority groups and whites in high paying jobs.

Asian Americans in Illinois have been fortunate that there is less confrontation and hostility directed toward them from either the public or the private sector than those who live on the West Coast. However, job discrimination, glass ceiling and misconceptions about Asian Americans have no geographic limit. In the Chicago area those problems remain pervasive.

So today we have an opportunity to learn firsthand about conflicting images of Asian Americans. Affluence versus poverty, professional versus low wage workers, et cetera. The working standards of Asian Americans in this area ranges from unskilled workers, staying at the bottom rung of the job market to those highly trained professionals who are also in the rut of becoming what many called frozen talent, forever stuck in

their technical station feeling under utilized and disillusioned. Being labeled as model minority is more a curse than a blessing for Asian Americans, as this well-meaning nickname for Asian Americans ignores those in our community who have not advanced and ignores the barriers we face. The professions lost, the political appointments lost and not secured that we would otherwise expect from our educational and economic accomplishments.

As many Asian Americans share similar civil rights concerns, the diversity and culture and ethnicity found in the community here also present many challenges ranging from conflicts within the community to race relations with non Asian communities. The conflicts within this community can be attributed to different principles in religion and to historical animosity in the homeland. Although there have been fewer hate crimes against Asian Americans in this area compared to nationwide statistics, there are still concerns that such incidents are under reported because of the language and cultural barriers.

A more serious problem for Asian

Americans which is not shared by European or Hispanic immigrants is that we are often not considered American. As the head of Senator Simon's office, I was recently asked to meet with a constituant who was complaining about a service of my staff members, but when she saw me, she refused to deal with me because, as she put it, I was not American. And she also said that she would not deal with anyone who bombed Pearl Harbor. While, others may not be as insensitive and crude as this particular person, the perception that Asians are foreigners contributes to many problems we discussed here today. Anti Asian sentiment rises whenever political and economic friction rises between the United States and an Asian country. Asian American candidates have difficulty to be accepted because of their appearance and their ancestry. The feeling that Asian Americans just do not fit into the vision of America keeps Asian Americans behind in their professions. In politics and in their overall pursuit of happiness in a country to which they or their ancestors, as many as five generations back

have chosen to belong.

As we look ahead to the 21st century in which the Asian American population will have a more significant impact in our nation work force and economy, the issue of racial discrimination will not go away unless we begin to work on it. To achieve full equality for Asian Americans, we need a lot of allies to help work on common goals. The Asian community has begun to reach out to African American and Latino groups to build civil rights coalitions. It is also important that our policy makers do not view race relations as just black and white.

In conglusion, I want to thank all the panelists for their committment to be here to share their insight and to contribute to this important discussion about the state of Asian Americans. This will be the beginning of many more dialogues that we must actively pursue to promote better understanding and better relations with everyone in our city, state, and nation. Thank you very much.

MS. LYON: Thank you, Nancy. I'd now

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

like to introduce our first two panelists Calvin Manshio and Tom Corfman.

Mr. Manshio, why don't you begin our session?

ASIAN AMERICAN POLITICAL EMPOWERMENT SESSION I:

CALVIN MANSHIO, J.D.

Attorney At Law

(Creating a Bonding Force for Asian American Political Empowerment)

Thank you, Madam Chairman, members of the Commission. I appreciate the opportunity to make this presentation. As Nancy has said, Asian Americans are the fastest growing segment of the American population. This fact, however, is deceptive. The fact is that this has spawned a new myth, the myth that Asian Americans collectively will participate in shaping the multi cultural future of America. The reality, however, is the Asian Americans lack common heritage, racial identity, language, poor immigrant experience. We are at best a government created demographic classification. Unlike previous

22

23

1

2

3

immigrant populations Asian Americans are more widely dispersed geographically, have greater disparity in income and employment, and constitute different generational perspective. Given this reality, the only present Asian American commonality is global geography.

We all descend from an area west of Pacific and east of the Caspian Sea, and the task of developing an Asian American identity based on all these differences appears impossible. Given the facts, can we really pretend that an Asian American identity exists. In reality it does not. This is not to say that it shouldn't. While it will be difficult, it's essential. If Asian Americans are to become more than observers in the political process, an Asian American identity must emerge. Asian Americans must take steps to accumulate political power. But this requires paying the price, the time, energy, and effort. Asian Americans, I believe are at a crossroad. can take up the challenge to organize collectively or surrender ourselves to the emerging opportunists within our own community and/or the

kindness of non Asian American politicians. The alternatives to me are very clear. My preference is to help create an Asian American political identity. My presentation therefore will focus on the need to create this identity for some bonding force, that is, an attitude or perspective that will provide Asian Americans with a political identity to ensure all of our dreams as citizens of the United States.

The road to achieving such an identity must, I believe, overcome three hurdles. These hurdles are largely imposed upon ourselves by ourselves. First, recognition by Asian Americans that political power isn't given but gained. Example of African Americans I think is notable political power was achieved because they fought for it. Second, we must overcome the legacy of accommodation. Asian Americans were political disenfranchised in the beginning of the century. We have not overcome that legacy. We still, as a practical matter, believe that we are disenfranchised. Third, Asian Americans collectively must invest in political

organizations.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Let me elaborate on each of these points. Political empowerment means different things to different people. To avoid any confusion, my working definition of political empowerment for this presentation is the ability to control or influence decision makers or decisions that affect society as a whole or each of us individually. In 1938 Mao Zedong provided one perspective of political power when he said political power grows out of the barrel of a gun. That quote, I believe, provides two lessons about gaining political empowerment. First, you have to be willing to pay a price. Second, you need to consider your present circumstances. In post World War II, Mahatma Gandhi in India knew the lesson and applied them through a non violent protest approach. In 1994, loyal political power for Asian Americans in Illinois mean committing time, money, and effort in developing political organizations. In order to understand Asian Americans, I believe it's important to appreciate the political legacy imposed upon Asians in this

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

country going back to the first four decades of the century, Asian Americans were legally excluded from participating in the political process. As a consequence, our strategy became one of accommodation, whereas a popular Japanese saying provides Shichat gia kia, (phonetic) it can't be helped.

Since we couldn't change the laws and didn't have any powerful friends, our only practical course was to accept disenfranchisement. Over time this exclusion led to reliance of "friends" for assistance. Sometimes these friends were sincere, sometimes they were not. relationship was not based on equality. As a consequence, a distrust and disdain for all things political emerged in various Asian American communities. While other ethnic immigrant groups were learning to pursue political empowerment through direct control or indirect influence, Asian Americans largely accepted the fact that they were outside the process. Their political disenfranchisement resulted in an attitude best described in a Japanese proverb, "the nail that

sticks out gets beaten down." Asian Americans by and large did not want to stick out.

Notwithstanding our appearance, we did not want to draw attention to our problems or to our views or did not want to participate in political or social issues. This does not mean that Asian Americans were a political. We certainly were not. Our political focus however was overseas in our nation of ancestry or in our local community. We were concerned in these events overseas and in our own backyards, but not on an organized, mainstream basis.

Lacking organized community
involvement in politics, we became the model
minority. We paid our taxes, voted when we could,
and did not generally participate as a community
in the political arena. This period of non
involvement continued through the 1950s.
Outsiders to the Asian American community saw us
as a model for assimilation to other minorities.
The result is a legacy of accommodation.

What occurred to shatter this paragon was a series of events. Legislation that

produced more Asian immigrants, the Civil Rights
Movement of the 1960s that redefined race
relations; and more importantly, the influx of
Asian Americans by resettlement from southeast
Asia which altered the prevailing model minority
syndrome. As a result of these three causes, not
only the prevailing circumstances for Asian
Americans change, but also the prevailing attitude
of Asian Americans. Ironically, the federal
government contributed the most to this change in
attitude when in the 1980 they provided
demographic classification for Asian Americans.

Today political power, I believe, requires a focal point for money that's coming out of Asian American community. Votes that Asian Americans can provide. Media attention that's been focused on Asian Americans, and popular support among Asian Americans for various candidates. That focal point is political organization. In Illinois there's no Asian American polarity in any electoral area; therefore, demographics will not help elect an Asian American. Asian American candidates are

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

therefore left to their own devices when they run for office.

In 1994 more Asian Americans ran for political office in Illinois than in any other time in history. The results, however, demonstrate the continuing lack of Asian American political organization. In many cases, election victory, depended on traditional political party support, not Asian American efforts. In the past traditional political parties have provided the organization to generate votes for candidates. Asian Americans really lack the broad base support needed for the political organization. An example is the 1992 9th Illinois Congressional race by Glen Sukiyama, a Japanese American businessman against incumbent Congressman Sidney Yates. Although Sukiyama did well in the primary against Yates, he was not perceived as a community-based candidate. Thus, while he had broad base support, represented by a Chicago Tribune endorsement, he lacked support from the Asian American community because he was not known to that community.

Asian American communities across

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the United States, and particularly in Illinois, consist of numerous small core groups, church groups, clubs associations, social service providers. What is lacking among all these groups is a common agenda, common purpose, a sense of motivation for cooperation.

Whether the diverse Asian American ethnic community can learn to speak as one blended voice or continue to sing solely depends upon a number of factors. The manner in which Asian Americans can influence state, county, or city maps is probably neglible, but considering the importance of those maps in determining electoral boundaries, it is essential for Asian Americans to become involved. For example, the 1965 Voting Rights Act recognized the problem of minority populations and their efforts to gain political representation and required that where demographic population reflected, majority minority districts could be created which would adequately represent. minority populations. In 1990, Cook County voters, by referendum, decided to change the manner in which Cook County commissioners were

21

22

23

elected. In the past, based upon population distribution, 17 members were elected, ten from Chicago at large and seven from the suburbs. Beginning in 1994 these 17 members were elected from single member districts. Based upon our analysis of total population, African Americans in Cook County believed that they could claim 5 to 6 of those 17 seats. Latinos believed that at least two of those seats should go to the Hispanic population. Currently African Americans hold four seats and Latinos one. Although both of these groups worked together to produce a compromise map to reflect their demographic interests, the Cook County Board adopted a map more favorable to the current incumbent. Asian Americans notably were not even at the bargaining table, were not even aware of the issue.

Now, in addition to overcoming their lack of political indifference, redistricting at the turn of the century after the year 2000 U.S. census will take into account, as Nancy mentioned, the latest U.S. Supreme Court decision, Shaw versus Reno involving the North Carolina 12th

23

Congressional District. The Court, in a 5-4 decision found that the majority minority districts created by the Voting Right Act of 1965 needed to require some compelling justification for the creative crotography that was established by the map in North Carolina. While the Court appeared to object to the manner in which the map was drawn, it was drawn in a sea shape in order to reflect a significant African American population, the Court found that there had to be a valid compelling justification for the drawing of this map, which was lacking. The case is currently on remand to the U.S. District Court pursuant to the Court's direction. Ironically, one of the witnesses for the Plaintiff in the original suit that challenged the district's boundary acknowledged that today compact districts might not be as important in the age of the telephone and computer as they were years ago. Hence the use of technology communications of voters is being recognized. Whether Asian Americans can impact legal decisions based upon some new theory is problematic at this point. One possible remedy

21

22

23

may be found in the writing of Lonnie Ganier, once a nominee for the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights, but was withdrawn from consideration because of her writings. In her writings, Gainer gives the example of cumulative voting, where several candidates are put into a large geographical district. Each voter is given as many votes as there are candidates. Voter can then get as many votes to as many candidates as he or she wishes. If minorities want to put all their votes on one candidate from their group, they can do so. Unlike the plan criticized in Shaw v Reno, this isn't a race-based plan. Cumulative voting guarantees the opportunities to represent minority interests without guaranteeing the outcome.

Asian Americans in the midwest might not have the numbers to create majority minority districts, but we should make the effort to combine with other minorities to secure greater opportunities for minority candidates. The history of Asians in the United States has progressed from political exclusion to the

Americans themselves to realize whether they want to realize the full potential of political inclusion. This, however, comes at a price. This committee and other bodies can assist Asian Americans in acquiring political power. They cannot give it to Asian Americans. I would not prefer that it be given to Asian Americans. I believe Asian Americans, like Latinos and like African Americans have to earn political power. Earning it takes effort. That effort has to come out of the community. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Corfman, will you present your presentation?

MR. CORFMAN: Yes, thank you.

TOM CORFMAN

I'm honored to be invited today.

The late Milton Raycoff once said that one map

maker is worth a thousand precinct captains. And

in the latest round of redistricting, the Asian

American community didn't have a map maker, and

I'd say they're at least 900 precinct captains

short.

1

My remarks today will be based upon an article that I wrote that appeared in the December, 1992 issue of the Chicago Reporter and I'll indicate where I'm updating that article with additional information. In that article I looked at what maps could have been drawn, and what I found was that at every level of government from Judge to Congressman, political leaders divided the most populus Asian neighborhoods into smaller and less influential segments. And let me give four examples. For example, a northside ward could have been drawn that would have been at least 27 percent Asian American instead of one that is now 21 percent Asian American. A Cook County judicial sub circuit could have been drawn that would have been at least 18 percent Asian instead of 11 percent Asian. A state representative district could have been drawn that would have been 24 percent Asian American instead of 11 percent Asian American. And finally, the 9th Congressional district which has ten percent Asian Americans, could have had it's Asian American population increased by including the

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

neighborhoods of Albany Park and North Center to the west of the district. Those neighborhoods instead are represented by the 5th Congressional District. And neither Republicans or Democrats did the Asian Americans any favors during redistricting. City Democrats, for example, drew up a ward map. Black and Latino Democrats teamed up with Republicans to draw the judicial sub circuit map, and Republicans controlled the process that resulted in maps for the Illinois General Assembly and Congress.

Now Mr. Manshio has alluded to the Cook County Board in it's redistricting. At the time that I wrote my article, those boundaries had not yet been drawn. And my analysis showed that a Country Board district could have been drawn that would have stretched from the Lakefront to Park Ridge and would have been 19 percent Asian American. Instead the process resulted in three districts on the north side that are respectively 9.6 percent Asian American, 8.8 percent Asian Americans, and 8.15 percent Asian Americans. Why am I not surprised? Asian Americans lost the last

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

round of redistricting and the winners were primarily white incumbent for whom these boundaries on the northside — for whose advantage these boundaries on the northside were all drawn.

The situation in the southside with respect to Chinatown is much more complicated because of the necessity of drawing majority districts for Latinos and African Americans. Let me add one follow up to my story. The problem of low voter registration. After my article appeared, political consultant Don Rose wrote me to say that a low registration makes redistricting for Asian irrelevant. Rose said that if Asians were given a ward that was 50 percent Asian American they could not influence the election. There are no local estimates of the percentage of Asian Americans of voting age who are, in fact, registered. Typically for whites and blacks the registration rate estimates given are around 75 In comparison, about 35 percent of all Latinos over the age of 18 are registered to vote. This is a problem. In the absence of any clear estimate as to what the registration rates are,

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

still it seems there is a problem that the community has yet to address.

There are many reasons why Asian Americans did not make a meaningful effort to influence redistricting. Certainly the most obvious one is that their population is still very small. Mr. Manshio has alluded to the ethnic differences that make it difficult for the community to come together. Another problem is though one of party loyalty. And after the 1992 presidential election, national surveys showed that Asian American voters who voted in the election were fairly evenly divided, about one third or slightly more Democrats identified themselves as Democrats. About one third or slightly less identified themselves as Republicans, and about one third identified themselves as independent. But in Chicago, Asian Americans face significant divisions among themselves, and I would like to just mention briefly, 3 of them. First is that, and I think that this will become more apparent in the coming decades. In this decade Asian Americans are

increasingly divided between city and suburbs. More Asian Americans live in the suburbs than in the city. Even in Cook County about 44 percent of Asian Americans live in the suburbs. No other minority group can say this. Second, I think the Asian American community faces an increasing division between rich and poor. The median household income for suburban increased 7.6 percent to \$51,000 during the '80s. So suburban Asian households had a median household income of \$51,000. In the city Asian American media household income actually dropped 12.7 percent to \$28,000 and these figures are adjusted for inflation. Third, as Ju Ju Ling, a long time community activist said in my article, there are not solid grassroot coalitions among Asian groups. The Asian community has a particularly strong elite. It's affluent, well educated, and concerned about the issues facing the community. But in my opinion, the Asian American elite seems to have stronger connections to the white establishment than it does to it's own working class.

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

No significant redistricting effort can be launched until Asian leadership begins to motivate the entire community. The day will come when the Asian American community truly elects one of their own to public office. That candidate will be someone who comes from the community, not one who is passed on by party bosses or chosen by white voters. And as Shenae Chung said, when that day comes, it will be thrilling for the community. It will be like the birth of a child. Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. Panel members, any questions of either of these two speakers?

> I have one question. When you say that there aren't any grassroot efforts Nancy Chen had made mention that there are some differences in the cultures that are brought over from the homeland. Is that why maybe -- is that prohibitive from maybe forming some grassroot efforts?

> MR. MANSHIO: I guess I would agree with Tom that there aren't any grassroots, by grassroots, I regard as a very populus street

10

FORM FED LASER PENGAD/INDY 1-800-631-6989

level organization which people can relate to. Tom mentioned, there are fairly active professional associations, social service groups in the Asian American community. The only example from the grassroot is the Asian American Coalition that Nancy alluded. It was really an organization started over 12 years ago, now based upon a commonality of interests. The Chinese American community believed that there should be one Asian American celebration. They talked to other groups, and it became a focal point and various times different communities from the Chinese American to the Korean Americans Tai's, Vietnamese, Pakistanians, Indians, have all sponsored the event. But, the extent of cooperation have been limited to hosting this particular event. It hasn't progressed beyond that.

CHAIRPERON LYON: I know my practice I have several Vietnamese that come in. There are three subcultures. The three of them still have animosities. You can't get them to sit down and talk about different issues. And I'm wondering if

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that inhibits forming some grassroot effort because they can't seem to get past these homeland differences to come together to accomplish what they need to accomplish? I don't know if you see that a lot or if it's just something that I'm seeing?

I think it's in all Asian MR. MANSHIO: American communities, frankly, not just the Vietnamese. Even in the Japanese community which I'm a member of, you see a large Japanese national population that's here with a Japanese corporation which is different from a Japanese American population that grew up in the midwest, which is different from the Japanese American population that grew up in California. But see you got these differences and then you have the generational perspective. Most of the community-based organizations are very, very senior leaders. By senior I mean 65 and older heading the group. You have a big gap in generational leadership. I'd like to call the generational glass ceiling that a lot of the more younger and professionally oriented members of the community find more outlet

in professional Asian American associations than
they do within their own community because of this
generational glass ceiling.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: What would you
recommend as solutions to try and facilitate maybe
a meeting of the minds and laying some groundwork
for some common interests?

MR. MANSHIO: I think the commonality is

MR. MANSHIO: I think the commonality is it's bigger than the Japanese community, it's bigger than the Chinese community. The only way we can maybe collectively have an impact on the larger society is through the Asian American perspective and there has to be, I guess enough of an appreciation for all the different sub groups and sub segments of our community to realize that if we want to be a player in the future, we have to gravitate around an Asian American identity.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions?
Tom?

MR. PUGH: You mentioned the disenfranchised. I wonder can you give us a little bit of history of what actually happened?

COMMITTEE MEMBER: I didn't hear the

question.

1

2 The question relates to, I MR. MANSHIO: referred to disenfranchisement of Asian Americans 3 and I guess Mr. Pugh would like me to refer to what I'm referring to, and I guess I go back to 5 the federal legislation in effect denying Japanese American, Chinese American, Philippino, Asian Indians, the right to vote, the right to obtain 9 citizenship. there are a number of cases in the 10 turn of the century which related to Asian 11 American immigrants comin to this country, whether 12 or not they would be eligible for citizenship. number of contacts resulted in legislation where 13 14 these Asian group were specifically denied the 15 right to become citizens based upon an 16 interpretation in the Constitution which meant 17 that the citizenship was only open to free white 18 citizens, and it was only changed by the 14th 19 Amendment for African Americans. So there are 20 some case law and there was actual denial of 21 citizenship rights by Asian Americans during the 22 first part of the century going into the 1840s.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG:

If I may address a

22

23

I think both of you had addressed yourself to political power as it focused upon the election of symbolic leadership of a particular player. Political power, of course, has other aspects. Indeed there are those who will suggest that the initial symbolic leader for any ethnic group tends to sell out the interests of that group in exchange for personal power. Are there issues which potentially bind the Asian American community? For example, there is a proposal before the Congress which would deny welfare benefits to those who are not citizens. From my vantage, which is totally separate from the community, I would assume that that community would be more highly impacted by that proposal by most communities. Is there a sense within the community that that is true and is that the kind of issue which might bind that community together, and if not, what are some of the other issues that might bind that political community if, in fact, it's ever to become a community?

MR. MANSHIO: You're certainly right.

There are issues that are of concern to Asian

22

23

1

American population from immigration is one I think that transcends all the different cultures. The only thing the Japanese American population immigration is not as important as it used to be 30 years ago. The Japanese American population needs to realize that it's important because it's Asian American issues and it affects Asian Each of the Asian American communties Americans. need to realize that it may not affect them individually that much, but it's an Asian American It the development of the Asian American issue. issues that is long overdue and, in fact, maybe we don't have the maturity as a community in this country to appreciate, to look beyond our immediate needs and to the long term interest of the Asian Americans. But that's something that has to be developed and it has to be appreciated at the local level, and until that's done, we're not going to have these binding issues that are going to pull it together.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Same question for Mr. Corfman?

MR. CORFMAN: I think part of that

23

problem, that all politics is local, isn't it? And I think that is an issue that national organizations have worked very hard on in Washington, but it's an issue that I think that, like most federal legislation, is remote to people here in Chicago. What the Asian American community probably needs as an issue is, you know, for the city to shut down the Ravenswood el line, you know, that goes out to Lawrence Avenue the way Mayor Bilandic did in 1979. Because that's the one single issue I can think of as a local issue, purely local issue, that the Asian community has worked very hard on is the question of persons with international medical degrees. Now, but that is not hardly anything that you could -- that is not a grassroots political issue. Whereas, you know, I think that immigration is a question that affects a huge portion of the population, of the Asian American population, I think it very difficult to rally support around.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Ms. Bombela?

MS. BOMBELA: I wanted to ask a couple

of questions. The first to Calvin and that is,

just for seeing and being a part of the hispanic community and how some of the coalitions have been built, many times it's a feeling of frustration and anger that forces people to go from different communities like the Mexicans and Puerto Ricans. Is that amongst the leadership of the Asian American community, do you feel a growing frustration and anger on the lack of political representation or is it based on other kinds of issues? I don't know. I had personally heard that this one issue was something that's going to help the people together.

MR. MANSHIO: I don't think there's an anger about the lack of political representation. I think that there's an expectation in the community that, in effect, we've been relatively successful as an Asian American identity over the last few years in getting some recognition from this various aspect of society, and I think the idea of a Asian American candidate, Asian American office holder, in effect, would kind of validate this trend. But I think the problem, the frustration comes in is that the success has come

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

relatively easy compared to Latinos and African Americans. The level of effort, and I guess I'm overly critical of this, is not there.

You mentioned voting rights. corollary to voters right is voter registration. Asian Americans is going to have to put the time and effort into voter registration. How can they cry disenfranchisement? To me there is an, for the lack of a better way, the ying and yang of things. If you want power, you've got to go after it, and you've got to figure out how to do it. Nobody's going to give it to you. Frustration comes into -- see that we're very crises driven at this time. If there is a hate crime incident or there's an immigration problem, there's immediate galvanization of the community to react to that crises. My feeling is that we should avoid this crises mentality and build a solid foundation for an agenda of where we want to go into the '90s and into the turn of the century and not constantly react to crises.

MS. BOMBELA: And the second question, I guess to all of you, and I guess Mr. Corfman, you

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

said that 44 percent of the Asians are moving to the suburbs. I mean, isn't political empowerment, when you were talking about a smaller political organization and proces, is it to the suburbs that perhaps we should be looking for the first Asian American candidate and not in the city where the numbers are working against you?

Well, there are, in June MR. CORFMAN: of last year, I reported, did a story about minority elected officials in the suburbs and found as a kind of general finding or somewhat to our surprise that minority candidates were doing very well at the village and town level in holding city positions. I believe, however, that in the six county area there are only two Asian Americans now holding municipal office. So there is still a way to go there. And you're right, I believe that the suburban movement creates an opportunity for Asian Americans at -- for suburban government. makes it difficult, however, in terms of unifying a community that is so much both in the city and in the suburbs.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions?

MR. ROBERTS: I had a question for Mr.
Corfman. In your research, being involved with
the Black Task Force for Political Empowerment, it
has been stated on a number of occasions that the
Asian community, I'm speaking primarily of the
area that we know as Chinatown, which was
basically from 22nd Street to 26th Street prior to
Harold Washington's administration, was given a
great boost by the Harold Washington
Administration who did spend quite a bit of time
there and that that expansion has gone now from
21st to 31st because of the inroads from Harold
Washington's Administration. And one of the
things that is somewhat of a negative is that this
is a business community and it didn't help as far
as the votes that were coming in from that area.
You have any

MR. CORFMAN: What you say is interesting, and I haven't studied economic development in Chinatown. I mean, I can't say as a matter of law one of the reasons that, as a matter of law, that the political questions in Chinatown are rather thorny and the reason why my

article did not look at redistricting possibilities in that area is because of the very difficult competing demands to create districts that would be either majority black or majority Latino. The promotion that theres an obligation under the Voting Right Act to create a so-called influenced district where, for example, Asian Americans would at least be concentrated, if not a majority, as a significant minority in a single district is not clear under the law. certainly even if there is such an obligation, it would take a backseat to what you might refer to as a primary obligation to create a majority district where another minority group could actually control the district, and that's, you know, partly what went on in terms of the redistricting in the Chinatown area. There was an opportunity to create a majority Latino district as well as preserve existing majority black districts, and that's one of the reasons why the district was so, that area rather was so chopped up.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any further questions?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thank you both for your presentations. 1 2 3 JAMES LEWIS, Ph.D. 5 CHICAGO URBAN LEAGUE 7 Rights) 8 9 10

Next on our agenda is James Lewis and Ping Tom.

Why don't we start with James Lewis?

(Chicago Area Asian Americans and Their Voting

Madam Chairperson, members of the Committee, I would like to thank you for the opportunity to testify today regarding Asian American voting rights. My remarks this morning are aimed primarily at assessing the strengths and weaknesses of Asian voting rights claims in relation to the drawing of election districts. Asian Americans in Illinois are now at the front end of the challenge of gaining political power. White ethnic, African Americans, Latinos, historically have been elected to office by mobilizing members of their individual racial groups behind their candidates and winning seats in electorial districts, dominated by their particular group.

The analysis I have conducted

suggests that over the next 20 years Asian
American's route to elected office may have to be
different. Because they are unlikely to
constitute majority of voters in a single district
in the near future, Asian American will need to
either form new coalitions with other ethnic
groups or work within existing political
structure. Only a small number of Asian Americans
have run for elected office in the Chicago area.
None have been elected to the State Legislature,
Cook County Board, Chicago City Council, let alone
Illinois Congressional delegation. Beyond lack of
candidates, there are a number of possible reasons
for the lack of Asian American elected officials
in Chicago, and in Illinois. The Asian American
community is perhaps the most buried ethnically,
socially, and economically of all the major racial
groups, and may lack the broadly understood
political ideology that might define Asian
candidates within the political spectrum.

Many Asian Americans are not citizens and many who are citizens are not registered to vote. A large percentage of Asians

2.2

are new to the United States. Many Vietnamese and Cambodian and Laotian refugees are unfamiliar with the American political system. Finally, with only 2.4 percent of the total Illinois population and less than 6 percent of Chicago's, Asian Americans tend to lack the numbers to enforce their political will. Projecting Asian American political power is also made difficult by their wide residential disbursal.

Asian Americans are widely disbursed among many voting districts in each of the major Chicago area governmental jurisdictions. The voting districts with the greatest Asian American concentration is Chicago's 39th Ward which is only 21.2 percent Asian American.

While it has been suggested that the current political powers in Illinois have intentionally denied Asian American concentration districts, no evidence to that effect has been developed. Rather, the disbursal of Asians among many districts most likely is the result of a lack of political strength. The lack of concentration of a large number of Asian American in a compact

geographical areas, and their presence in parts of the city that have been the site of hotly contested redistricting battles where Asian American interests were subordinated to those with far more powerful race based political forces.

Asian Americans are found in large numbers in two areas of Chicago. However, in all of Chicago there are only four precincts that are at least 50 percent Asian American in a voting age population. Asian Americans living in Chinatown are concentrateed in 17 precincts for a total population of approximately 12,000 persons. The north side Asian American population is much larger than Chinatown, and far more disbursed. 43 north side precincts have a voting age population that is at least 20 percent Asian American; however, none of these precincts is as much as 50 percent Asian American.

Persistence of these demographic conditions preclude creation of any voting district that would be majority Asian. The most promising opportunity for creating a majority district in the future would appear to be the

Chicago City Council. To accomplish this after
the year 2000, it would be necessary for
approximately 26,000 Asian Americans to be
concentrated in a single ward in a map where if
current population trends continue, each ward will
have approximately 52,000 persons. Attaining a
majority in the single state legislative district
appears to be a remote possibility, but attaining
majorities in county board or judicial
congressional districts is clearly an
impossibility within the next several decades
because of their very large sizes. For the most
part, Chicago's residential patterns have dictated
that Asian American pôlitical aspirations fall
victim to efforts of whites and African Americans
to maintain political power and Latinos to attain
that. Both African Americans and Latinos have
successfully litigated districting cases in recent
years, and are clearly protected by the Voting
Rights Act because the size of their population
and the documented history of discrimination. The
first priority of those who have drawn maps for
any of the Chicago or county jurisdictions have

been first to draw districts for Latinos and African Americans in a way that would satisfy the requirements of the Voting Rights Act of representation of those two minority groups. The politics of map making also made it a difficult concentration of Asian Americans in voting districts. Also complicated political battle took place during the 1990 redistricting on both the near south side and north side. In both cases the location of Asian American neighborhoods meant that aggregating Asian Americans in single districts would have disrupted white political arrangements or cost whites or Latinos political power.

Chinatown is located at a point where concentrated Latino, African Americans and white population meet. In both the Congressional and city ward maps it was divided in order of the needs that those two groups might be met. On the north side, separation of Asian Americans into multiple districts has been a product of partisan interest in protecting income as well as the desire to maintain the maximum number of districts

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

likely to elect white candidates. In the case of all five of the Chicago electoral district maps, the creation of a district unifying Asian American population would have made addressing other political interests either ackward or impossible.

The drawing of voting districts is governed by state, local, and federal law, depending upon the jurisdiction in question and by the interpretation of the 14th Amendment and Voting Rights Act by United States Supreme Court. Although voting rights law could surely change over the next decade, the major question that might face the Asian American community were it to try to enforce creation of Asian American voting district through litigation would be whether it could draw a district for any jurisdiction that would meet the standards established by two major cases brought before the U.S. Supreme Court; Thornberg v Jingle, 1986, and Maurice Shaw v Reno. These two cases provide much of the legal framework that will likely provide the major guidance for courts and legislatures in creating districts following the 2000 census. Federal

23

1

2

15

courts, including the Northern District of Illinois, and the 7th Circuit in particular have also applied a standard of totality of circumstances. A further assessment of whether a minority group has been improperly denied access to the political system and the ability to select representation of it's own preference. Asian American litigants would likely need to comply with each of the three standards enumerated in Jingle before a court would apply a totality of circumstance test. Demonstrating that a district can be drawn wherever a majority of total population is of that racial group, the primary import in justifying the creation of that district for a racial group. The court's ruling in Jingle means that if the voting district will not be at least 50 percent Asian Americans age 18 and over, then the jurisdiction may not be legally obligated to create an Asian American district. Given the lack of total population in Chinatown and the dispersion of population on the north side, only in the case of north side ward would it appear possible to obtain a majority voting age

population in a voting district in the next decade.

A second legal option could be brought into play where Asian Americans willing to concede the need for control of a district can join with another racial group to form essentially a minority coalition within a single district.

Courts have accepted this option where litigants were able to show that the two racial groups acted in a politically cohesive way. Litigants would have to demonstrate that Asian Americans or either African Americans or Latinos in that area consistently voted for the same candidate and shared political agendas.

The next two Jingle's tests
political cohesion and racial block voting address
the issue of whether a majority group is denying
the minority group to elect the candidate of it's
choice. Political cohesion would be defined as
whether Asian Americans within the area under
consideration for a particular district vote for
the same candidate in the election. Political
cohesion is difficult to ascertain precisely

23

because no public record exists of how persons of different races vote. That information thus, therefore, must be derived through statistical inference. This is difficult in the case of Asian Americans because the two favorite statistical methods, homogeneous precinct analysis and ecological regression can only work when there are concentrations of population to study. To date, only one public analysis of Chicago voting patterns, a political atlas publish by the Chicago Urban League, Northern Illinois University, and the Metro Chicago Information Center throws any light on who Asian Americans have voted for. analysis suggests that Asian Americans tend to vote as a block in individual elections. 18 elections analized, the candidates supported most by Asian Americans received an estimated 75 percent or more of the Asian American vote, suggesting Asian American cohesion. Analysis of two Asian American candidacies for Cook County Circuit Court judgeships in the March, 1994 democratic primary affords an opportunity to assess the extent to which Asian American voters

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

have supported Asian American candidates. On average, Amat Patel ran about 4 percent better in precincts with Asian Americans than in those without. And Lin Kolomotto ran approximately 7 percent better in those precincts.

Having ascertained that racial minority groups voted as a group for a particular candidate, it is then necessary to demonstrate that the candidate for whom that minority group voted lost because of the precinct of a white voting block. Again, a lack of data because of the policy of Asian American candidates make drawing firm conditions difficult. The election analysis referred to a glove found that Asian American on the north side of Chicago voted almost identically to their white neighbors. In ten of the fourteen elections analyzed, Asian Americans and white majority supported the same candidate. In the four cases where they divided, the Asian American candidate was the winner twice; Bush over Dukakis, and Clinton over Tsongas. The two Asian American traditional candidates were indeed defeated by non Asian American majorities,

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

although those majorities include white, African American and Latinos. It is also not clear that the Asian candidate received strong support from Asian Americans themselves. Even in the strongest Asian American American precinct, Patel and Kolomotto received the votes of only a small fraction of the voting age population in the precinct.

Based upon this evidence, it is difficult at this point to argue that Asian American political choices have been thwarted by white voting blocks largely because so few have run for office.

In summary, Asian American population dispersal makes it unlikely in the next decade that an Asian American voting majority district of any kind can be drawn. The best chance of a Chicago ward, because of the size, is the smallest. Unless the Asian American population increase in concentrated areas, the prospect of winning voting right lawsuit appear minimum for several reasons. It may be impossible to draw a district for any jurisdiction of 50

percent voting Asian population. It's sure that the Shaw ruling could provide the most difficult in that it seems directed towards moving away from the very orderly shaped districts that have been part and parcel of a lot of Voting Rights Act voting rights work in the past. It appears that Asian Americans do vote cohesively, however, there is no evidence that there choices in elections are consistently defeated by a white majority. often than not, they vote with whites and the preferred candidates are as likely to win as are the white preferred candidate. To build a voting rights case, more Asian Americans need to run for local office. Asian Americans should take up the challenge of conducting the political analysis necessary to understand how Asian Americans vote, and to the extent to which members of other racial ethnic groups vote for them. Asian Americans should also begin documenting and discussing publicly the extent of social conditions, political party slating processes, history of discrimination, racist political campaign have impeded their access to the political process.

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

This is the work that's necessary to prove a totality of circumstances case.

Although these areas are now somewhat subordinate to the more quantitative tests discussed above with respect to Jingle, they are considered relevant to enforce Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act and to help to build both the legal and population political inclusion.

Finally, Asian Americans should work hard to build a coalition with other racial ethnic groups and their neighborhoods who may share similar concerns about disenfranchsement and lack of political power. Given the relatively low number of Asian Americans in many communities, and the dispersion of both African Americans and Latinos on much of Chicago's north side and in the suburbs, it may be possible to fashion political strategists based on mutual interest. In order that our elected bodies represent the full range of people within their jurisdictions, political parties should be encouraged to develop Asian American candidates that can be slated and supported for office. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. Mr. Tom? PING TOM

Chinese American Development Corporation

(The Political Dissection of Chicago's Chinatown) Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the Committee. Thank you for this opportunity. The Chinese have a proud heritage in civilization. Their recorded history begins over 4692 years ago, long before the Egyptian Pharoahs built the pyramids, before the Aztec built the cathedral and before stonehedge was even conceived. The Chinese discovered paper, gunpowder, the compass, silk, herbs, acupuncture and many other important developments. But despite these notable accomplishments, the Chinese Americans have faced numerous problems and continuous discrimination in housing, education, and employment. More subtle problems include discriminatory quotas, glass ceilings which limit the upward mobility of many people of Chinese Ancestry, immigration laws which tear families apart, and limit the growth of the Chinese American community. Those of Chinese ancestry need to educate themselves about the

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

political processes of this country and become active participants. Just learning who are their representatives in government and what can be expected from them is not sufficient to the Chinese. To have a government responsive to community needs, problems, and concerns. Nor will such education by itself make government resource to the Chinese American community real.

Government investment in the Chinese community requires political empowerment, an empowerment that emanates from both voting blocks.

In the past the population, the numbers of Chinese and other Asian Americans in Chicago are too small to have a voice in political affairs. Today, however, that has changed. Asian American communities, including the Chinese American community is as others have indicated here today, the fastest growing community in Chicago. The sustaining of the voting block dream, however, and the political power in communities that are racially and ethically similar must be able to act collectively in order to achieve real representation of their interests.

1

į.

Officially 43,000 residents of Chinese ancestry live in the six county metropolitan areas. That number is substantially lower than the figures that have been given by the Organization of Chinese Americans which estimate it to be between 50,000 and 55,000. In the City of Chicago, 98,000 or 3.5 percent of city residents are of Asian Pacific Island ancestry. 22,000 or 21 percent of these individuals are of Chinese heritage, which make them the second largest Asian ethnic group in the city. Chicago the largest concentration of individuals with Chinese ancestry is in Chinatown. But these gains of population have only come in recently. A strong persistent political resistance to Asian immigration have existed in this country for over two centuries. This evolved early in this country and still lingers in the national setting. Most of this anti Asian hostility have been directed at the Chinese. Immigration laws have limited the number of Chinese allowed to enter this country and withheld citizenship from those who did come.

As Calvin has indicated, in 1790 the

21

22

23

Congress of the United States began it's persecution by passing legislation limited naturalization only to free white persons. with the passage of the 14th Amendment in the mid 19th Century, Congress modified it's 1790 laws and allowed aliens of African nativity and person of African descent to become naturalized citizens. But at the same time, expanding naturalization rights to individuals in the southern hemisphere, Congress deliberately rejected extending that right to Asians, making Asians the only population who was now barred from naturalization. And although by this action Congress excluded all Asian groups, the exclusion was understood to be primarily intended for the Chinese whose immigration in the United States was beginning to increase at that time.

The Chinese began coming to the United States in the 1850s. They initially arrived to work in the coal mines in California and later were used to provide labor for the construction of trans group railroad. These first Chinese immigrants were predominantly males. The ratio of

22

23

male to female was approaching a hundred to one. This gender imbalance reflected the purpose for Chinese immigration. The Chinese that arrived here in the 19th century didn't come for, most, to take up permanent residence. They came with the intention of finding temporary employment, working, accumulating savings and then returning to their native China. With the major railroad construction completed in 1860, job and opportunities for employment -- job employment opportunities in the west became limited. Antagonism set up against the Chinese workers who, primarily because of their immigration, work habits, continued to be immigrated and worked for less than other workers. This spread into a bitter resentment among non Asian workers, and mobilized political efforts to obstruct Chinese from competing with white workers. The eventual result was a series of immigration restrictions banning an Asian from owning property.

In 1892 Congress passed the first in a series of restrict immigration measures, the Chinese Exclusion Act. This Act prohibited the

immigration of Chinese workers for ten years. years later Congress extended the immigration act to include all Chinese and further denied re-entry into the United States to any Chinese who left the country. In 1892 the Gerry Act extends the Immigration Act another ten year and required all Chinese currently living in the United States to obtain certificates of residence to prove that they were legal residents. In 1904 Congress passed the ultimate Chinese exclusion legislation banning all further Chinese immigration. This ban was lifted in 1943, but a stringent quota of Chinese immigration was still maintained; a quota of 105. After World War II, various Asian and Chinese immigration money began to crumble. 1952 Congress passed Farren-Walter Act ending all exclusions to Asian immigration and granting Asian immigrants naturalization rights. The Act was amended in 1965 establishing an annual quota of 20,000 immigrants per country. It was this legislation that finally allowed for the sizeable Chinese immigration to the United States.

These historical developments are

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

reflected in the Chinese population of Chicago.

Because the early immigrants were almost
exclusively male, restricted the numbers by law,
and a great number of these immigrants returned to
their families in China, only a very small
proportion of today's Chinese are descendants of
the early Chinese immigrants.

Consequently, most Americans with Chinese heritage have a recent family history in this country. Although the Chinese made their way into Illinois as early as 1866 and the first Chinese community was established in Chicago as early as 1880, it was not until the 1960s and '70s that sizeable number of Chinese began to come into The 1960 census showed the Chinese population of approximately 7,000. By 1970 the population doubled to 14,000 and Chicago ranked 4th in the nation in the number of Chinese in the United States. Twenty years later, the Chinese population of this six county metropolitan area had increased three times to 43,000. Today's Chinese community is a mixed population consisting of descendants of early Cantonese, Chinese

immigrants, Indo Chinese refugees, new immigrants from Taiwan and mainland China.

The present day Chinatown developed in three stages. The first concentration was located in the south at Clark and Van Buren and it was considered the first Chinatown. Local covenant laws prohibited the Chinese from owning property, so Chinese and businesses in this area were rented in short term leases and were particularly vunerable to shifts in the economic and political climate of the city. The first Chinatown was relatively small, both in area and population due to the small areas of Chinese and the lack of available space and high rent.

A second Chinatown began developing south in Chicago at 22nd and Wentworth, as Mr.

Robert indicated. Around 1912 a number of Chinese moved from the original area on South Clark to Cermak and Wentworth, when their landlord in the downtown area began to raise the rent. The Chinese influx was made possible by a series of ten year leases on buildings which were contracted through the H.O. Stone Company by members Aldeon

Businessmen Association and then this will become 2 the center of Chicago's Chinatown.

. .

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The early 1970s they began razing the original Chinatown area at Clark and Van Buren to build a state correctional facility, and this prompted the third phase of today's Chinatown since most of the businesses then moved to the southern part of Chinatown as well as Argyle. unofficial boundaries of Chinatown are the south branch of the Chicago river, Cermak on the north, the Dan Ryan on the east, 35th on the south, Halsted on the west. This area is part of two neighborhood communities; Armour Square and Bridgeport and encompasses 12 census tracks. boundaries of Chinatown are not clearly defined, and there is a growing Asian population in the surrounding tracks. The total population of 12 census tracks is 20,000, and the number of Asians is 8,769, 42 percent. This is in short contrast to the demographics of the area ten years earlier. In the 1980 census reported 22,000 residents and 5,000 Asians, or 24 percent. So, although the total population has shown a slight decline in

total number, the Asian population that is grown both in number and percentage to the community.

Recent research data shows that this Chinese of non Chicago areas of the metropolis are largely composed of married couples, 76 percent, as compared to Chinatown area which is 66 percent. The data also shows that middle class married couples are more likely to settle in the suburban area where people who are single, elderly individuals without family or refugees remain in the metro city for reasons of survival.

Historically Chicago Chinatown, like it's counterparts in other major cities, has been a place of support, protection for those of Chinese ancestries. It still meets that need as the presence of 15 mutual aid organizations, a community center and two churches and tests. But it's also a cultural and economy within this city accommodating not only for it own residents, citizens of Chicago service to the city.

The government of the City of Chicago is divided into the executive and legislative branch. Much political power in

•

23

Chicago resides in the City Council. legislative branch of the city government. city council enacts ordinances which govern the city and approves the mayor or Department head or commission appointments and it consists of 50 aldermen, one for each of the 50 wards. aldermanic district today represents around 53,000 people. Aldermanic elections are non partisan and have no political party designation and there's no primary election. Because of the size of the City of Chicago, direct access to city administration is through community funds is limited, Aldermen become the key link between city service and city Those individuals with access to local residents. Aldermen are more able to have their complaints weeded out and services provided, and because the city districts are themselves are so large, Aldermen are only typically responsive to groups who have real significant voting power within the district.

As fare as redistricting of Chicago Chinatown and the Democratic party and Republican party are the primary sponsors for these kinds of

23

1

2

20

activities.

spent by both parties in Illinois to the redistricting process. In Chicago the Democratic party dominates local politics and controls City Hall. And following the 1990 census, the Illinois state Democratic party employed Kimball Grace, the Chicago Election Data Service Company with headquarters in Washington to assist the state organization, Chicago Democratic Party. Since the City Council has responsibilities for drawing the Alderman map is technically non partisan, it is unclear to outsiders who actually contracts for map consultation services and how these services are paid. EDS and Kimball-Grace did provide computer services and matching software to the city administration in 1991 and supporting these services with full time dictation who conducted the day to day expertise and training the local officials. The aides to Alderman Burke in that ward where the primary article of the aldermanic district maps adopted by the city district council and approved by the voters on March 17, 1992. The democratic party in the City of Chicago is -- the

Hundreds of thousands of dollars are

City and ruling Democrat party is divided into racial, ethnic among three groups. Each with a sizeable population block. Whites have 38 percent, African American, 39 percent and Hispanic 18 percent.

sponsor on the city map was drawn to the advantage of the incumbent white politicians at the expense of the minority politicians. So the coalition of minority Aldermen organized a rival coalition map to challenge the administration map and broad district ward map more representative. They named it as The Fair Map Coalition. The original coalition included most of the city's black and hispanic and three maverick white Aldermen and most of this work of the Fair Map Coalition work was done by my counterpart, Mr. Lewis here, Vice President of Research and Planning of the Chicago Urban League.

In the initial stages of the project, the Fair Map Coalition tried to forge a cooperative between the Mexican American Legal Defense and Education, MALDA, but ultimately the

interests of the two groups splintered and both filed suit in federal court under the Voting Rights Act to stop the implementation of the city council truth map, and the suits are still pending.

Mr. Lewis explained that the Chicago Chinatown is particularly vunerable to dissection by the three major community powers. I quote:
"Since voting in Chicago falls along racial lines, all map drawers in the city are trying to maintain a corps racial voting base in as many districts as possible. Core voting strength is optimal at around 65 percent of the district. That means that map drawers for African American districts are trying to draw as many districts as possible where black voters are 60 percent of the population.

Because some of the areas of the city are so seggregated, even after map drawing process for African Americans with districts that are a hundred percent black and you try to avoid that because any voting block strength over 65 percent in a district is wasted from a political

standpoint because that is voting strength that cannot be used in other districts. That's where Chinatown comes into play. It is not sufficient in it's own right to be a district, so it becomes the last piece of a population that fit into other people's plans. And it's particularly vunerable because it sits adjacent to the three competing interests.

In drawing the fair coalition map by extending the boundaries of African American districts into Chinatown, it was a perfect political plumb to redistricting. The area was contiguous to an all black area of the city, so they incorporated Asian residents of the community would be sought by a majority black vote of government districts. Asian, in essence are sacrificed for the welfare of the African American interests and I'm sure that MALDA ultimately sought the same thing." End of quote. Despite the cultural creation of the area, Chicago's Chinatown area is not and has not been united geographically entirely within one aldermanic ward. It has been chopped and parceled out as

23

needed to complete political interest. dimunition of Chinese political power in Chinatown was used during the 1980s and again in 1990. aldermanic ward map of the 1980s dissected Chinatown into two parts. The area's northeastern portion was placed in the 1st Ward, the ward encompassing the commercial and business interests of the Chicago Loop and Chicago Gold Coast residential areas. The southwest section was pushed into the 11th Ward, an onclave of white residents on the near southside of Chicago, the Mayor's home district. Aldermen from the 1st and and 11th wards were generally unresponsive to community problems in their Asian sector. Perhaps they were waiting for the Asians to act together as a political block, and until then, the concentrate their efforts on voting constituants. Regardless, business and community leaders in Chinatown were forced to work directly with City Hall in resolving problems and these efforts met with some success.

Recent mayoral administrations, including Mayor Byrne, Washington, Sawyer and

presently Mayor Daley, have promoted improvements
in the Asian community. In Chinatown there's a
new public school, there's a new library, and
there's a new parking development. The current
mayor has been helpful in all of these issues.
Whether this cooperation has been out of genuine
good will, or for other personal reasons. But
because of these success, it was hoped that this
new cooperative spirt between Chinatown and City
Hall will keep Chinatown in tact during the 1980
redistricting. There were meetings between
Chinatown leaders and city officials to discuss
the issues of concern to the community. In the
course of these events rumors began circulating
that a new district map was being drawn by the
administration with again dividing Chinatown, this
time into three different wards. Another section
of Chinatown was being sliced up and transferred
to the 2nd Ward of the area of itself.

On October 22, 1991 Chicago

Chinatown Chamber of Commerce urgently requested a meeting with the Mayor to address this issue.

With the mere 40 days available before the City

Council was to consider the new district map, the community leaders in Chinatown were on the outside looking in as a non player in this important political decision.

Mayor Daley met with the Chinese delegation in November. The delegation expressed it's concern to the Mayor about the rumored dissection of Chinatown, expressed the desire to be unified into one district, preferrably the 25th. The Mayor listened, but could make no committment or promise to the group. He did recommend that the group; visit with Alderman Burke representative on the second floor of City Hall.

The delegation met with representatives of Alderman Burke's office and expressed concern about the position of Chinatown. Several political maps were discussed, none of them contained the Chinatown area in them. The political reality was Chinatown was caught in between the major political interests of the city and it's residents were without influence. The major political, competing political groups had

substantial population boardering this Chinatown district and all were trying to hold a 65 percent racial ethnic voting percentage, and using the Asian population to round out the ward.

In the end, the 1991 redistricting again divided Chinatown between two aldermanic districts, the 25th Ward to the north, because the northern eastern section, the 11th ward to the south, to the southern western portion. The Chinese constituancy commanded 20 to 25 percent voting block in one ward is reduced to ten percent voting block in two wards.

The political fallout of this division is also affecting the power of the Chinese Community in two ways; first the power of Chinatown residents is diluted. No one Alderman is threatened by the issues of Chinatown. Second, addressing the specific needs of the Chinatown area required the cooperation of multiple Aldermen. Each of them has to divert attention and resources to the area to make the parts consistent.

Chinatown is in many ways a

relatively new intergration into society. Their
continuing stream of new immigrants and continuing
exodus of other immigrants. It is not uncommon
experience with other Asian groups, and for many
countries of Asian orgin who have had very short
or non existent experiences with democratic
traditions. This convention of non participation
in government must be exorcised. To be a full
participant in the political structure of Chicago,
the Asian community must be a political player, a
drawer of maps, not a petitioner of maps. The
political climate of the city has changed
dramatically in the last 20 years. For years the
political powers struggles in Chicago were between
European ethnic groups. In the past alderman,
city administration really did ignore the
situation of the Asian American community. In the
last two decades, however, new political alliances
have been forged in racial and cultural
identities. In the 70s the African American
community began to acquire genuine political
power. In recent years the Hispanic community has
viable vessels of support. On a smaller scale

this is also true of the Asian American community and the community has benefit as a result.

Given this political reality, the
Chinese community and other Asian communities in
the city cannot afford to be non participants and
entrust the good will of elected officials. The
political establishment with respect to response
to the needs of the Asian community when it acts
as a cohesive effort. But, to this point in time,
the Asian community has not had the mission to set
a common goal. The community has been asleep and
our efforts at empowerment have been very lame.

cultural heritage. American culture focuses on the individual. A person can succeed, but on an individual basis. The Chinese culture is different. It draws it's strength not from the individual itself, but from the community. The person grounded in the family unit and his extended family. When a serious problem arises, the American strategy is to assess the issues, develop a strategy and then implement the plan on an individual basis. The Chinese's approach is to

1.9

include all parties in a collective discussion and base a decision on what is best for the entire community.

These cultural differences directly impede the Chinese and other Asian communities from political power, and an effective representation. As individuals within the community, Chinese tradition restrains an individual from moving ahead of it's community, standing out on an individual basis or drawing attention to a personal problem. When we need help, we look internally, not externally. This could cause an insulation of the community at large and restrain it from effective political participation.

Such cultural traits can be a source of strength, but people from the south side can easily feel that the Chinese and Asian American community do not care. That issues are not important to them. That Asians are willing to accept greater hardship and that decisions can be made on their behalf. The Chinese community and other Asian communities need to respect and use

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the potency of the culture, but merge that into an understanding of American culture in which it lives

The new political dynamic emerging in Chicago congeals around racial and ethnic cultures and identities. The Chinese and Asian American communities are poised to be a critical informed player. To do this, though, there is a need for a ground swell community, the business community, welfare agencies, and other sectors of the community recognize the individualistic nature of American society and disseminate this selfish structure into their culture so that Chinese and Asian Americans could become partners and have an equal share of the prosperity of society. takes vision, planning, unity, and a willingness to change. Essential to even minimal success is learning American politics, getting counted, and voting.

We need to focus on three areas.

The Chinese and Asian community must realize that this individualism of the American society culture is different from most Asian culture. The Chinese

23

community and Asian community need to recognize and learn the mores of American culture in politics. 2. To be recognized as a legitimate player toorrow different society, numbers matter. The Chinese and Asian communities need to prepare for the 2000 census and work during the census to ensure the complete count. This takes planning, coordination and communities. Discussions and strategies for full counting should begin formulation today and in the waning months of the census. And 3, Chinese American and Asian American community needs to register and vote. Until the committment is made to fully register all voters in the communities and vote in substantial numbers, Asians will be relegated to accepting the mandates of those who do. It is no longer the case that Asian voting strength is not sufficient. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any questions from the panel?

MR. ROBERTS: It was mentioned earlier, and I think it was mentioned now about voter registration. Have there been voter registration

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

drives that have targeted the Asian American community?

I can't speak in general, but I do know that for the Chinese community that we've had both discussion on the national basis and on the local community basis. Again, part of our problem is that it has that problem of understanding the political basis of a lot of Chinese immigrants. In China they've never had sharing of democratic policy. They don't have this identity, it's usually based on the extended family where we draw our strength. So, although where we try many times to have voter drives in the churches, community centers, many of the new immigrants just simply don't understand even after becoming naturalized citizens, they don't understand the policy and the result. They're beginning to become aware, but it's a very slow process.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Is it likely that there will be a change in that with the increase of democrazation of Taiwan? Has there been any sign of that having a secondary influence here?

MR. TOM: Well, again, it depends on immigration policy. The really change within this very recent history Senator Simon was instrumental in increasing the numbers that we were able to gather from the Chinese quotas, but Taiwan is a different situation. Taiwan is economically very strong, so there isn't as much of a stream of immigrants coming here as there is in Main China, which is really the bulk of it. So you have a different — it's a long lengthy education process.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Tom, could you please speak into the mike so we can pick it up? Thank you.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I had asked the two previous guests whether there were specific issues which troubled the Asian American community or any portion of it. Is there any particular problems which you would like to raise other than the general political structure and things of that sort?

MR. TOM: I can't identify any. I think that as Tom Corfman has indicated, a lot of the

22

23

political effort had been strictly on the local basis and with our recent ability to get the -we're in the process of getting a new locals grammar school. We've gotten recently a library which has the largest circulation in the city, and that's a brand new branch. So, I would say that within the last decade we at least in trying to help ourselves, a lot of the community needs have been met on that level. Immigration, of course, is still a national problem. We still have broken families. We need to try to reunite them. if -- but most of the others are universal; better education, we want opportunities to find employment, job creation. Those are not on racial issues, those are more economic. Those are universal. That's true with every wave of immigrants that have come to this country.

We've been unique in the sense that for years there's been such a blatant discrimination, again, we really had not the numbers and there have been effort now to change that. But we need to do more to educate ourselves to develop the social skills.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: A final follow up. What is either of your estimate of a possible impact if, in fact, welfare payments are limited only to those who are citizens?

MR. TOM: I don't know to what degree ——
I've never done the research on that and I have no
idea the percentage of immigrants in our community
that are dependent on it.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: There have been some discussions in the New York Times and elsewhere within the last two or three weeks suggesting that for the Asian American community that will come as a great and substantial surprise if, in fact, the legislation is passed.

MR. TOM: I have no doubt. I don't think that that has been on their consciousness. Clearly the elderly members of our community of Chinatown are recipients of that benefit, but I don't think it's been brought home to them as to that; how it's going to impact. I think that for many of the communities that have still maintained traditional values, the family members, the younger generation, the children, still have been

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

supportive of the elderly out of social security payments and so forth. But others, I simply have no idea.

MR. LEWIS: From my experience, the heaviest impact of that would be on the new American population primarily out of refugee communitys that on the northside of Chicago. Particularly in both the Vietnamese, Cambodian communities there still are very high rates of welfare utilization, and one of the ongoing struggles that's taken place nationally over at least the last decade has been the extent which federal refugee programming would continue over multiple years after a new refugee is resettled because we have found in the refugee community that many people did not become self sufficient within that last two or three years, particularly we went through a lot of younger people as well. The process took a lot longer. A lot of those people are on Public Aid. I hope you will ask that same question to Mr. Ed Silverman later on in the day. He is indeed an expert on precisely that question. But I think particularly on the north

FOHM FED LASER PENGAD/INDT 1-800-631-6969

side with the refugee population, the kind of welfare changes they are going to be talking about may have very, very, serious implications.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Tom?

MR. PUGH: Mr. Ping, your history is excellent and I appreciate that very much. The question in regard to the coalition building of Asian Americans. Is there much involvement between the Chinese and Latino community and Chinese and Indian communities to take the three largest national groups?

MR. PING: There really hasn't been sufficient. I think we've done it on a social level up until the time — a number of people have alluded to the Asian American coalition, a lunar celebration which is held once a year. That has really served the purpose as to the community, being traditional community groups coming to at least the leadership and trying to begin to understand one another. There are vast interests. For example, when the Indians hosted the celebration, they didn't have an understanding what a lunar celebration would be and so they

....

wanted to shift it into a different time period, which was in the summer, which coincided with one of their holidays, and the community went along with it just as trying to understand and to work together.

we have tried to form the coalition on a more permanent basis and I think one of the outcomes of at least networking among groups has been the creation two years ago of a new organization called the Asian American Institute which would be, we hope, the forerunner for the more strategy and substantive issues. I think what we need to do is distill issues to perhaps 1, 2, or 4. That very commonality, and then beginning to build on that.

Part of the problem again is as we've indicated, that for many of us we're recent immigrants and their home and politics interferes. If there were problems with the Chinese as Japanese as a rule of word, the Koreans and the Vietnamese, so forth, older generation, it's difficult sometimes for them to overcome that tradition. And so it's really hopeful that the

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

younger generations that are involved and the young professional groups such as the Asian American Young professional or the Asian Bar, those will be able to work upon a better basis and so that we can have a unified word to run in.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Roberts?

MR. ROBERTS: I have a question. upon the new Ward map and the fact that you indicated prior to the ward map that you were part of the 11th ward and the 1st ward. I understand that it is now the 2nd ward which encompasses most of the northern end of Chinatown. Do you think that that coalition ---

MR. TOM: No, let me correct you. now between the 11th ward and the 25th ward, assuming that there's no other changes in the lawsuit, but the northern part of Chinatown is within the boundaries of the 25th Ward.

> Not the 2nd Ward? MR. ROBERTS:

MR. TOM: Not the 2nd ward, and from 26th further south is part of the 11th Ward, and really as I indicated in my discussion, we were not part of that discussion. I mean, it was after

the fact. We just simply didn't know what was going on.

1:3

MR. ROBERTS: Not in the 2nd Ward at all. The 2nd Ward extends down 12th Street to Madeson Street.

MR. TOM: Right, but Chinatown only goes up north as far north now, even to the new expansion to 18th Street. But the eastern boundary is the Dan Ryan Expressway and so we don't — it doesn't go beyond that. And so that's where the 2nd Ward boundary is.

MR. ROBERTS: The boundaries of the 2nd Ward comes down --

MR. TOM: On the Dan Ryan, that's right.

MR. ROBERTS: What do you then attribute that germane and is that helpful or devisive?

MR. TOM: Well, certainly it's devisive for us because I think, as I indicated, our voting power is decided on the population. If we look at a population, a ward population, a number of 53,000. If we had been all within one Ward, assuming our numbers are close to 12,000 or 15,000, we would have been a much higher

percentage than we are instead split up in two wards:

Right now we really don't have — we've been fortunate in getting the good will of both the Aldermen, but that is not through strength or voting, which is a different issue.

MR. ROBERTS: Basically it will be through contribution?

MR. TOM: Yes. There's either voting strength or contribution. So it's through contributions.

MS. BOMBELA: That kind of follows up on the question that I had because they say politics is local, but also politics is money and I was curious as to how you see the strength of the Asian American community in that respect when you've — when we've seen other groups, other ethnic groups, religious groups gaining power through their influence financially to campaigns.

MR. TOM: Well, as far as the Chinatown community, it is still considered a low moderate income community. It has a fairly large immigrant

the businessmen groups, the Chinatown Chamber and so forth have been cognizant of the need to be involved. And so it's the route that they've chosen is through contributions wherever possible. But it's still — the numbers are not that generous, but I think that for many of the political styles, they would try to welcome any groups they would recognize. I think the need for voting voice and we would share it if we had ours.

MS. BOMBELA: In your opinion, is the issue an elected office or is the issue political power or influence perhaps?

MR. TOM: I think ultimately it's the ability to elect officials, but that seems relatively remote. We need far more activity before we get to that point. But that is the ultimate goal. Many of the people were not going to be recognized, but it takes a lot of work and effort and, you know, a lot of, as has been indicated when you get unifying forces, when you're against something, you have a common enemy and most of it has been, in our case, has been

directed towards the federal government with the immigration laws. That's been our real problem. The local politics has been not so much of an issue because, as I say, we've been able recently to get the benefit of schools and the parking and so forth and so on. And so that's not so much we're crying out, but we need to get someone elected that's much more sensitive to what we have.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: One last question from Nancy Chen.

MS. CHEN: My question is for Dr. Lewis. You had reported, and I think we are, all the Asian community, all of us were working and looking at the redistricting plan, were very cognizant of the fact that attaining a majority district in the near future is almost impossible and I'm not even sure even in the next 25 years whether the Asian community would ever be at that the population, would ever be big enough to form a district that's 50 percent Asian. And in light of the fact, however, as Tom Corfman said before, there are districts where Asians form 25 percent,

28 percent, or 23 percent. Can you give us any advice in terms of strategies? What can the Asian community do to make sure that we at least are able to retain the site that we have and what we call Jo Pos (phonetic). Are there strategies, particularly that you can recommend in terms of working with other groups and also in light of the fact that other groups they are competing interests, as Ping has mentioned in the Chinatown case. They're actually competing with the Hispanic and with the African American community in terms of redistricting. Can you share with us your suggestion?

MR. LEWIS: I guess I would see two major strategies; one is in each of those local communities to form the coalitions with other community groups that may be based in other racial groups such that that combination might start to approach the kind of numbers that could control probably a Ward, possibly a state legislative district, but have those meetings, community meetings be involved in one another's organizations to the extent possible, try to

21

22

23

1 identify those local issues like the Ravenswood 2 line I thought was an excellent example how a local economic issue could possibly unify a 3 community and how the closing up of el stations in 5 the past, you know bridging small communities in 6 small ways. Perhaps there will be issues around discrimination, hate crimes, things that will 8 appear to cross racial groups, and I think at a local level it's more to really build those 9 10 bridges in coalitions to the extent possible so 11 that when that opportunity comes people are ready 12 to move forward without the divisiveness that, in 13 fact, has plagued a lot of redistricting effort at all levels when groups couldn't get together, and 14 I think have missed some opportunities. 15 16 17 18 19

The second thing I think to do some analysis of how the part of the politics are going to work out on each of these, each of the maps drawn in a different way by a different jurisdiction, and for instance, in the end the Republican drawn, the state legislative plan, the Republican map was the one that won in the Congressional districts. Whoever worked with the



Republicans had a better chance of having their interests served on each of those maps because that was the party sign work. On the other hand, you know, if at the city council level and in Cook County it was Democratic that drew it then, so I think that the people who were working in the community need to look carefully at how the chips are collected. All — politically, in terms of who is likely to have the votes, how in the past a map in county, in the past a map in the state legislature and make sure that they work closely with those existing political organizations and they export the opportunity that they have.

MS. CHEN: Ping, I have a question for you. I thank you for an excellent presentation. You have been a leader in the Chinese community as well as the Asian community and here we're talking about redistricting and I think we all recognize the importance of redistricting for the Asian communities, not necessarily to achieve a majority district, but however, to retain our political power, our voting power. What suggestions do you have or do you think that you would do after this

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

consultation project, go back to the Chinese community to go back to the Asian community that to — what would you do to start to get prepared for the year 2000 for the census and also do we approach them after that?

Well, again, we were naive MR. TOM: this last period and we were late and I think we need to begin the process now. We set up a group of Chinese Americans who cut across, I mean was not only within this community itself, but it was in large metropolitan area and we had a 4 series, as discussed, these issues and I think we need to begin now in discussing it and devising the strategy that's required. Waiting until that year, we need to build the structure today for what's going to happen in the year 2000. That to me is at least within the Chinatown community. far as the larger Asian community, that's it. Wе need to recognize that we can. We're so small a part of this whole community that ultimately unless we do networking and work together, our efforts will come to naught. And so we need to work on that.

FORM FED LASER PENGAD/INDY 1-800-631-6989

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: If I can play devil's advocate for a moment. Much of this discussion seems to be centered on a desire to elect a representative following the pattern of having a majority in a district as as been pointed out, it's terribly unlikely in the foreseeable future that even for all Asian Americans as a whole that that will come about. Is there any feeling that perhaps it's better for the Asian American community to place substantial minorities in a number of districts in order to have the swing vote strength in those districts later than placing all of the Asian American's marbles in one basket.

MR. TOM: I think for the interim that's the only strategy that's possible. We're disturbed even between on Lawrence Avenue, on Devon, most of the Japanese community, most of Philippinos is disbursed. So really that's the only thing that we can do and hopefully find candidates in those districts that have the largest number and be in the Chinese community should supports recognize who these are, and

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

wherever possible be within the Chinese community throughout the metropolitan area.

When we talk about the Patel running as Judge, many of our communities realized that it was an Asian name. It's not one that we would recognize, but I think that we need to do a better job of working on those that are willing to be the forerunners and to build on that representation.

MR. TOM: I'm sorry I've gone overtime. CHAIRPERSON LYON: That's okay. very informative.

I'm going to take a five minute break at this point and I want to thank our speakers. :

(A brief recess was taken.)

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Our 11:00 o'clock speakers are Ross Harano and he has provided me with a resume which I'd like to read for the record. He is a former banker, business man, state government executive, president of the World Trade Center Chicago Association. Prior to his being at the World Trade Center, Chief of the Crime Victim's Division for the Office of the

Attorney General where he also served as Director of the Advisory Council and the EEO officer. As a businessman he was the operating partner for two international trading companies and served as a Chief Financial officer for a third company doing business internationally as a banker. He was vice president at the Bank of Chicago and later at the Community Bank of Edgewater. He's the President of the Illinois Ethnic Coalition and also the Chairperson of the Chicago Chapter of the Japanese American Citizen's League. In 1992 he was also the first Asian American to be appointed as an elector for the electoral college in Illinois. And then we also have Sandra Otaka.

We want to thank each of you for coming and we will start with Mr. Ross Harano.

ROSS HARANO

Illinois Ethnic Coalition

(Assimilation of Asian Americans and their Participation in the Political Process)

Thank you very much, chairperson. I appreciate the opportunity to be here this morning. I have prepare a written paper which I

ë

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

passed out to those of you here. I just would like to make some comments on them.

The other day I was giving a talk to a group from out of town at the World Trade Center Chicago talking about international trade. After I gave my talk, someone walked up to me, a gentleman walked up to me and said gee, where did you learn to speak English so good? And I told him gee, I grew up in Kenwood Oakwood actually, went to Oakenwald Grammar School, went to Hyde Park High School, University of Illinois. And said oh, but where were you born? I was born in California, came to Chicago as a baby. Where were your parents born? Well they were born in California, too. Where were your grandparents born? They were born in Japan. Oh, you're Japanese. "And I think that's sort of part of the problem that we as Asian Americans face in this country that our history has never been legitimatized in history books. We study history of the United States from war to war to war. can recall studying about the French and Indian war, the war for independence, the war of 1812,

1

the Mexican war, the civil war, World War 1, Spanish American war before that. We also studied about the Germans, the French, the English coming into this country, and after that we didn't hear about anybody else coming here. I can recall in U.S. history II somewhere along there was a page in the book about a ship going pass the Statute of Liberty, showed people coming from eastern Europe. And that was probably the first time that at least when I took American history that any other group was mentioned that came to this country. Perhaps we have to begin to study American history, not from war to war, but from the point of immigration. That a group comes to this country, they start at the lowest level they can, that they're able to get into. They may be servants, some were slaves, some worked as indentured servants, some came to work on the railroads. through the process of years we're able to go up another ladder, a step in the ladder, another group comes in, fills those jobs. It's a history of immigration, and there's conflicts as a result of that. There's been tremendous conflicts as

people are vying for those same jobs as groups go up this ladder. Perhaps we can teach American history this way, and recognize the fact that groups have been coming to this country for hundreds and hundreds of years. The Asian Americans came to this country, came as Ping Tom and others have talked about, to get jobs. We thought that the streets were lined with gold. My grandfather came to this country thinking that he was going to make his fortune and return to Japan. After working for \$3 a day for Union Pacific, he never made his fortune, between owing the country store and sending money home and trying to survive, he stayed here.

Another thing that happened to him was that he wanted to get married, so he wrote home to his family and said I'd like to get married. So they arranged for a bride and they sent my grandmother to be's picture to him and somehow he got up to Portland, met the ship and with this picture found my grandmother. So most of the first generation Eas Asian, first generation women were picture brides. That's sort

FORM FED LASER PENGAD/INDY 1-800-631-6989

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of the way it worked in those days. Somehow they got married up there, came back and my grandfather became a farmer in California.

The history of immigration in terms of Asians are an important part of the political process that we face today. Every time an Asian group came in and began to settle in this country, exclusion acts began to appear. There was Chinese Exclusion Act. There was a gentleman's agreement which stopped all male immigration from coming from Japan. And finally the Asian Exclusion Act which prohibited all Asians from coming to this country, except for maybe a hundred out of the Asian Pacific triangle for a year. naturalization laws of this country is based upon the census of 1790 where there were no Asian here in 1790. So when when my grandfather wanted to to become a citizen for this country, he could not because there was no provisions of Asians in the My grandfather and others tried to become citizens and couldn't. Finally a Supreme Court case in 1922, the Yama case, the Supreme Court ruled that Asians cannot become citizens in this

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

It wasn't until 1952 under a special country. rider of the Walker McCaren Act that those immigrants who had been in this country over 50 years were eligible to become citizens. So my grandfather became a citizen in the late '50s, and the first time he voted for president was for John F. Kennedy. I can recall as a child him coming home and saying this is the first time he had an opportunity to vote.

It is estimated by 1940 there were over 500 state and local and national laws which specifically discriminated against Asians in this country. Because of our not being able to become citizens, we had no political base, no political power. We see this changing now with the 1965 Immigration Bill which finally allowed Asians to come to this country. As a result of that, our population doubled in 1980 over 1970 and it almost doubled once again in 1990 over 1980.

In terms of the national political impact of Asians, our largest populations are in four states California, New York, Texas and Illinois. And those are the keys states in terms

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS

23

of national politics. For an individual to become president, they must at least carry those four states. So we see on the national level at least a movement to include Asians into the political process. For example, in 1984, for the first time the democratic national convention in San Francisco, we had over a hundred Asian delegates attending the democratic convention. The same in 1988, and the same in 1992. We have played a role, a very active role in terms of this last 1992 presidential election. As you would guess, I am a democrat and I guess this last election was the first time I was able to vote legally twice for the same candidate; once in November, and once in December as an electoral delegate down in Springfield. So I was able to vote twice legally, which is interesting. It's fun.

Some of the issues we face today, because of the rise of the number of Asians in this country is a backlash movement. There was pay backlash now aimed as Asians. As an Asian American, unfortunately, my position in this country is dependent upon the relationship of the

United States and Asia. During World War II as a Japanese American, I was born in a concentration camp for Japanese Americans. During the Korean War people would think I'm Chinese and they would call me a Chink. During the Vietnamese War people would think I'm Vietnamese and they would call me a Gook. The problem we have in the country is that, once again, people cannot tell us apart. see that happening, you Vincent Chen who was murdered in Detroit, beaten to death with a baseball bat. He was Chinese, but they thought in Detroit he was Japanese, and he was murder. And that's part of one of the issues that we as Asian Americans face today. We believe that political empowerment is the way to perhaps try to solve these issues. When we went to get redress or reparations for Japanese Americans who were in the camps in World War II, it was a coalition, not only of Japanese Americans, Asian Americans, but other groups who were very supportive of our efforts to succeed. In 1988 President Regan did sign legislation which, for the first time, allowed redress, reparation of the Japanese

÷

1 Americans that were in the camps in World War II.

national level that affect us, employer sanctions. It was a trade off, the Simpson Rizolli Bill to have employee sanctions if you were an employee who hired someone who is illegal, there's penalties against it. This has had a tremendous impact for those people of color. We know that there was a study done by the federal government which showed that if you were Latino or Asian there's a probability that you would not even be interviewed for that job. So that's an important piece of legislation that we need to cull this with the Latino community in terms of repealing employer sanctions.

We're also concerned about the immigration backlash in terms of benefits. I believe somebody else will be discussing this issue with you, but it seems whenever times are bad or economics are a little low in the United States that Asians, people of color seem to be the first victims of it. And we're seeing that there's some movement to limit benefits to those



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

refugees that are here as non citizens that are here legally. So those are some of the issues that we're concerned about right now.

In terms of a coalition, an Asian coalition, the first one that was created here in Chicago, I believe was in 1976 when we created the first Asian Political Coalition while we worked for the candidacy of Jimmy Carter. It was the first time that we had a group that worked together and it was -- since then we've had coalitions thoughout every national election. But, if a coalition is to be effective, it must have several principles. One, an Asian coalition must have a domestic agenda. We can only be concerned about those issues that face us as we reach these shores. If we get involved in an international agenda, we wouldn't be, all of us wouldn't be in this room together. Because we come from countries, we're decendants from immigrants of countries that were at war at one time. There's a lot of historical differences The Asian coalition must also define the issues and agree upon some comon goals. I think

23

we've done that here in Chicago, in Illinois is what the common goals are. We've put together -the community has put together, the Asians have put together a Lunar Coalition Dinner which was first put together by the Chinese community some 11 or 12 years ago, and the purpose was to be a showcase to show the unity of the community, plus it also invited all of the politicians to it. I can recall, Governor Thompson at the first one announced the creation of the Asian American Advisory Group and also the having of an individual who would be responsible for Asian American affairs within the Governor's office. And we saw right after that, Senator Simon doing the same, Strator Dixon, Harold Washington. Almost every political, major political official now has either an Asian liaison for them or an Asian American Advisory Committee of some sort which plays an important role in terms of liaisoning between that elected official and the community itself.

The third aspect of the coalition is that the members of coalition must all participate

on an equal basis. They must contribute the resources equally. We all have to make sure it's an Asian coalition rather than an Japanese coalition or a Chinese coalition or a Korean coalition or whatever. It has to be truly an Asian American coalition.

perspective on the topic and also the need for political movement here in Illinois and in this country. The two main challenges that face the Asian American political movement that we must keep in mind this decade. Must be an advocate for the needs and concerns of the Asian American community. It must recognize what our community needs are. It must advocate for them in terms of the politicians and elected officials. I know that when we do have these dinners for Asians or for elected officials, that afterwards there is a response from those officials in terms of we now have a way to communicate with them about what our needs are. That's very important.

Lastly, it must be vigilant because too many out there really don't like us and we

must be aware of that. We must stand together, we must work to stop the firebombings that have taken place in California. There's been Buddhist Temple that's been bombed here in Illinois. There's been several cases of hate crimes directed against Asian Americans and we as a community must respond, we as a community must respond, we as a community must react, and once again we must be vigilant to make sure that the elected officials and government officials do respond and help us in terms of dealing with these issues. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you very much.

Ms. Otaka.

SANDRA OTAKA, J.D.

Asian American Bar Association
(Asian Americans and Political Influence)

Good morning Madam Chair, Committee members. Thank you for creating this opportunity for us to speak. I want to address my comments to the area of Asian Americans in political empowerment and much of which you've heard this morning, but some which bears repetition, and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

;, .

perhaps there will be some new thought.

My focus or my experience comes primarily in the legal area as an attorney, as past vice president of the Asian American Bar Association. I've been involved for the past six years I would say in efforts for getting Asian Americans on the bench. As we all know here in Illinois, electing judges is oftentimes one of first steps communities take in trying to achieve political empowerment. It's through the effort of the Asian American Bar Association in Chicago that we have succeeded in getting the first Asian American on the bench. That was not an electoral process. There are two ways of selection judges, and associate judges are selected by all of the full circuit judges. We succeeded in a campaign effort to get the first Asian American on the bench, and frankly it was very difficult. It was two years of hard campaigning, meeting with major politicians, meeting with Supreme Court justices, meeting with the Chief Justice and frankly we ran a community campaign of awareness in all the Asian papers and in the Tribune and the SunTimes to let

the the electoral know that even though Illinois had the, at the time the 4th largest Asian American population in the United States, there was not one Asian American judge in the State of Illinois. And it was through that baptism that the bar association stepped into really the political arena as far as in the legal community which is in the effort to get judges.

Unfortunately, we have to report today that there is not one Asian American elected judge in the State of Illinois and it is not for lake of trying. The Asian American community has a large number of attorneys, and some of them have recently run for judge. In the last Democratic primary there were two Asian Americans who ran for judge. Both of them sought slating by the Democratic party. Through meetings with many of the important power brokers in the county and statewide, meetings with Supreme Court justices. We were, however, totally unsuccessful in getting the Democratic party to slate an Asian American for judge. And the slating was one of the most singular critical factors in getting elected in

the Democratic primary. In Cook County depending
on which district you're running in, it's largely
this it's terribly significant to win the
Democratic primary because that means in all
likelihood you will be elected in the fall. There
are some districts that are Republican, but
therer's a large number that are Democrat. So, it
is through that experience that we came here today
and say that the Democratic party really was not
responsive to the needs and interests in the Asian
American community regarding judgeships when it
came to the most critical decision, which was
slating. There were many nice words said and many
acknowledge the importance of the Asian American
community. When push came to shove, in my
estimation, it was a power decision. Who was
close to which powers and how close were you, and
if you were close, you got slated. The Asian
American community, unfortunately, despite all of
it's efforts, was not close. That was the very
unfortunate experience.

I do not mean to exempt the Republican party from criticism. I understand

this is a bipartisan panel and so let spread my criticims equally. The focus on judgeships was Democratic, but the Republican party has fared not much better when it came to slating. The Republican party has slated two people in the current election; one for the county treasurer and one for the county commissioner running against Cal Sutger. Those are positions where there were no other Republicans who were willing to run, frankly, to my knowledge, and those are positions that are seen as nearly — let's put it this way, we hope that they will win, but we view it as a very difficult challenge.

So it seems that when it comes to slating, both parties tend to give Asians what no one else wants, not what everyone want, which is slating in a race where you're likely to have a significant chance of success. So, we have a very significant problem, and if one come to political empowerment, the parties do not slate Asian Americans, and that is something that we need to move on. We do have Asians running, and that is probably one of the most optomistic things that's

happening right now. There are a number of Asian Americans who ran this last time, a couple as judge, one for state representative, and the Republicans that I mentioned. So we are seeing an increase in Asian Americans running, but unfortunately we have not been successful. To my knowledge, there is not one Asian American elected to any statewide office; to state representative, no state Senator, there's no one who has been elected to Alderman or to county commissioner here in Cook County. We just have no Asian Americans of significant political influence.

mentioned, I believe there are four Asian
Americans who are elected to to levels of trustee
in minor villages. Other than that, there are no
Asian Americans that hold political office. That
does not mean that the Asian American community
has not made some progress. Ross has talked
about, and I'm sure others have and will talk
about how we now have Asian liaisons in various
positions with most significant political offices.
We have equally, and someone said even more

importantly, we've got people who are on staff like Nancy Chen who has welded tremendous influence for the Asian American community. She has looked out for our needs and done an excellent job. There has been counterparts Steve Dikata in the Republican party? So there has been some strides in the area of Asian liaisons and Asian staff and those people do carry some influence, but it has not been — that's a limited kind of power. It's secondary. It's one step removed. It is not the same as having your own elected official.

One of the primary reasons I think for the lack of Asian political empowerment has to do with the population. When we are at 3 and a half to 4 percent of the population, our numbers are small. In California Asians out number blacks according to recent statistics. I believe Asians are about ten percent of the state there. Here we are only 4 percent. While we are a very fast growing, and some say is fasten growing minority proportional wise, merely double from the last census count in 1980 to 1990, the numbers of 4

percent are very small, but that number is
compounded by the fact that we are dispersed. As-
people have mentioned, we have Chinatown, we have
Uptown, the Argyle area with the southeast Asian
community and the Chinese community. We've got
Devon with the Indian community. We've got the
Greek community up on Lawrence Avenue. We are
dispersed, and so obviously that dilutes our
voting strength in terms of one sub district.
We're not just dispersed geographically, we are
dispersed ethnically. All of those different
onclaves tend to be done on an ethnic basis and we
have historical racial tension between our groups.
There are many in our community who are very
ethnocentric and they do not view themselves as
part of a broader Asian American community, and if
that 4 percent is diluted because you will only
really support a Korean candidate or you will only
really support a Pakistanian candidate, then we
become more dispersed. So we become dispersed
geographically and we become dispersed ethnically
and we become dispersed politically. Other people
far more learned on the topic than I talked about

how the districting has been done in such a way that communities are divided. So Chinatown gets divided, Koreatown gets divided when it comes to a judicial sub district because they want to give to the Hispanics. So we become dispersed further politically because of those maps. We also have a problem because we have low voter registration. Those are not for strange reasons. Those have to do with the fact that some of them, which Ping last talked about, our communities are new. Many of our community people are not registered to vote because they're not citizens yet or many of them do not understand the process. We have a low voter registration and so that 4 percent becomes diluted even fürther when it translates into practical political terms, which is how many votes do you have? How many votes can you deliver? percent and the dispersion of that 4 percent really weakens our community. We have to do things then to maximize the strength of that 4 We have to get, first of all, we have to gets Asian Americans, more Asian Americans to run for office and frankly I think that that's likely

23

to happen. Just a recent trend suggests that some of the younger generations, some of the third, fourth, and fifth generations are running. Some of the -- a number of Asian American candidates ran this last time and I think we can predict fairly that there will be more in the future. they will only run if they have encouragement from the Asian American community. And I think that one of the things that I would like to stress is that the Asian American community must see itself as one community. We cannot focus on just Koreans or just Pakistanians or simply Indian or simply Philippino. We must view ourselves as one to maximize that 4 percent. So that when John Lee Bingham runs, everybody has to work to support him, when Lourdes Lund runs this fall, the Asian American community needs to support her. When Jean Puchett runs, we need to support her. We have to notelook at whether someone is Philippino or Chinese. We must have an Asian American identity and without that, sorry, that 4 percent is going to be diluted where it becomes insignificant. We must also support those

candidates with money. Asian Americans must form
more political camps. There is have been some in
the past, there are some now, but we need more.
We need more money to be channeled, not just by
individual businessmen who want to increase their
influence with a particular Alderman, which is
important, but we need to do it as a community so
that we can then go to the Democratic or
Republican county president and say, party
president, say, look it this is our community and
that is what we need. And then they will be
responsive because frankly while I would like to
see both parties say we'll slate because it's the
right thing; to do, I frankly don't think that that
is likely to happen. They will be responsive to
power, which is economics. They will be
responsive to votes, which is power. They will be
responsive to public opnion, and those are the
things that our community has to do. Our
community must push to have the parties slate and
the parties must take some responsibility to see
the importance the Asian American community is
the fastest growing. It has some economic
₹

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

strengths and both parties should wake up and say this is an investment in the future, and we should be slating these people and getting their support. Now, the Asian American community is not monolithic in it's party identification. It's open to both parties and those parties will be wise to address their future by looking at the interests of the Asian American community through slating. When candiates run, it's important when we look at 4 percent to realize that they must reach beyond the Asian American community. Many people have talked about building coalitions, and depending on what the focus is in that particular race that they must look beyond the Asian American community because Asian American candidates, even with a 20 percent district, cannot win. So, obviously their base must expand beyond the Asian American community which means they have to address the needs of other groups, whether they're minority, whether they're other people who share the same interest in the shutting down of an el stop, whatever it is. The Asian American candidate must broaden their perspective beyond

.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the Asian American community.

And I guess I would close with what's probably one of the most important things and that is voter registration. Parties could direct, if they sought to increase their investment in the Asian American community, efforts toward voter registration in our community. That would be a great boon for them, it would be a great boon for our community. a win-win situation. It advantages the party that invests, it advantages the Asian American community, it advantages the democratic process in it's entirety. Community groups need to be added to that, not just the party, but also Asian community group centers and non Asian community The League of Women Voters could focus on the Asian community and encourage the drive in the Asian American community for voter registration. This is the essence of the democratic process, and the focus is there. I think all communities, Asian and non Asian will benefit. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any questions from the panel?

MR. EWING: In the slating for judgeship, how many positions were there to be filled?

MS: OTAKA: If I recall correctly, the focus was on countywide judgeships and there were I believe many, 9 or 10 county wide judgeships that these two candidates were looking at. There was, however, numerous sub districts and neither party suggested well, if you will go in this sub district we will slate you. So, while there were only 9 countywide, there were numerous, I mean 3 in each judicial sub district which there are 15. I think there were in excess of 40 sub district vacancies. Now you have to live in that sub district and so that limited it.

MR. EWING: But there were 9?

MS. OTAKA: 9 countywide and typically the way that goes, my understanding is there was an agreement if your were appointed by the Supreme Court, you would be slated. And so I believe there were six people, I believe who were slated for because they had previously been appointed by the Supreme Court which then left the remaining 3

21

22

23

1 which everyone else who was interested in county 2 wide fought over. Well, what was interesting, in 3 the last judicial process is that the parties 4 often slated people in sub district or county wide 5 who were fall unqualified by most of major bar 6 associations. So it was not an issue of 7 qualification. In fact, one of the Asian 8 Americans who was running was endorsed byt he 9 Chicago Tribune. Her qualifications were 10 extraordinary. She is a sitting judge right now, 11 Judge Lynn Karamotto, the only Asian judge. But 12 it worked out that we did not have significant 13 clout to get her slated. 14 CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions? 15 Very good. Thank you so much. 16 I. believe that brings us to the 17 conclusion of our morning session and we will reconvene at 1:30. Thank you. 18 19

(The meeting was recessed for lunch at

12:00 o'clock p.m.)

AFTER LUNCHEON RECESS

CHAIRPERSON LYON: We're going to reconvene the discussion. We have our 1:30

. 22

agenda, Dr. Kwang Kim and Kishore Tampy had to return to the hospital for a surgery that was taking place, but we have with us today an associate of his, Layton Olson who is going to be presenting the paper. For the record, I am accepting the presentation in written form that was going to be made by Dr. Tampy and we will go ahead and proceed with Dr. Kim.

SECTION II: EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION and HEALTH ISSUES FACING THE ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY IN METROPOLITAN CHICAGO

KWANG KIM, Ph.D.

Western Illinois University

(Asian Americans and the Successful Group Myth)

It's my pleasure to be here. As Mr. Tom mentioned this morning, Chinese immigrants came in 1850, then they were subjected to the numerous kind of prejudice and discrimination. So were Japanese immigrants and many of the Asian immigrants. What this kind of historical experience shows from one century, the middle of the 19th century to the 1950s that there was all kinds of negative prejudice against Asian Americans as a whole, and Asian Americans were

1.

: : . ;.

categorized as discivit, immorat cuming,
treacherous, sneaky, inscrutable, all kinds of
negative remarks. Then in the 1960s the negative
image turn around so they are positive
stereotypes. So I think this is the topic of my
presentation, why the image turn around to the
kind of postitive image in the '60s. And I'd like
to mention two factors; one factor relates to the
age of American socioeconomic status. The other
was the racial tension in the United States in the
second half of the 1960s. In the 1960s, by that
time the second generation or third generation of
the Chinese immigrants, Japanese immigrants, they
achieve the high their educational achievement
was high, high proportionate college education.
So, in terms of the proportion of the Asian
Americans who complete the college education in
the proportions was higher than that of the white
in the 1960s. Then, as you know, there are many
family members were employed, so their family goes
higher. Then many of the Asian immigrants, they
were either the small business and the children
with college degrees, they were in the white

collar occupation. So, as a whole the proportion of the Asian Americans in the white collar occupation was higher than whites. So, basically three things; the educational achievement, family income, and proportion of those who are in the white color occupations were both the factors in the 1960s.

status was higher than even that of the dominant group, the white. Then in, after 1965 a new wave of Asian immigrants came and they were highly selective groups. They came with high socioeconomic status. So their occupation, their income, their family income was high, too. So originally social image developed in 1960 based on the socioeconomic achievement of the second, third generation of Chinese immigrants. And that kind of image was re-enforced by the new immigrants who came after 1965.

So that those are kind of the imperical grounds to promote the idea of success image. The second factor was that, as you know, there was a whole lot of rioting in the second

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

they pick up the case of Asian experience and say Asian experience shows that this country is the land of opportunity. So it send a message to African American as if Asian Americans can make it, why can't you make it? So from the beginning the success image carry heavy critical message. Say land of opportunity, then that then was used to divide minority groups. So the question is are the Asian Americans, are they really successful, the successful minority? I think the question is based on your concept of success. What is success? And one way to measure success is that they are Asian American's educational achievement. Asian American's family income. Their occupation, American prestige. Whether there are thousands of Asian Americans in white collar occupations. those terms, if their achievement is higher than whites, then say they are successful group. I think by that standard Asian Americans are a successful group, both natural born and immigrants. And as you know, today about six major ethnič groups; Korean, Chinese, Japanese,

half of the 1960s, then the American mass media

Philippino, Vietnamese, Asian Indians, and they are 95 percent of Asian immigrants and Asian immigrants are from those six groups. They are both native born and for immigrants, I think they are by the standards I just mentioned, by that standard Asian Americans are really successful, are successful. The question is is that kind of standard correct? And here's one of the big problems. This kind of framework does not consider the cost for investment. So our contention is that when we discuss the success of the Asian North Americans, we have to think of the cost for investment aspect of Asian Americans as their achievement.

Suppose the Asian can get a job, only they are overqualified, then can we say that they are occupation successful, no. That's kind of the issue. So we propose when we measure Asian American's success, we have to consider it is not just outward achievement, but input. The cost and investment that I'd like to call eco moto (phonetic), fairness motto, and by that standard. Okay, so from this active perspective Asian

23

1

American socioeconomic achievement cannot be considered successful. On Page 7. It cannot be considered successful on the following condition of disparity within the investment and outcome of the Asian American socioeconomic status. one, Asian Americans -- whites are equally achievement with Asian Americans investment is much higher than that of the white. 4, while Asian American and whites are equal in achievement, whites achieve much higher than that of the Asian Americans or say why Asian Americans higher investment than whites, but whites are higher in achievement than Asian Americans. You know it is that kind of conditions we can not get a slate. With this kind of ecomoto, actually we analyzed 1980 census data, the income as the dependent variable, then we used actually this to formulate ways to develop by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights their publication. So using their formula,s we tested many investment factors and of workers, educational obtainment, occupational prestige, mean income of the worker, state, number who went with work, and number of hours worked,

1

and the preceding work of data relations. this kind of formula, our finding was that when we consider this investment factors, the income of the Asian immigrant was much lower than the white under the ecci condition of investment. And take native Asians, their income was much higher than the Asian immigrant, but still given our income of the native Asian there are and was lower than whites in the condition of investment. So here the workers shows that if you use the ecciomoto or fairness motto, Asians are disadvantaged and why their incomes are lower than whites in spite of education and so many factors. So we analyze that with the data we selected from career immigrants in the Chicago area in 1986. This research was funded by the National Institute of Mental Health and we interviewed 622 Korean adults and we analyzed the occupational experience. And as you know, the immigrant's occupation depends on two factors. With what kind of background they came here pre immigration, socioeconomic status, plus the kind of occupational change available to them in the United States. Combining particularly the

7.

think the best way to describe their experience is

for categorizations. The kind of specialty as
that immigrant doctors can take, the kind of
hospital where they work, it all indicates very
unfavorable as types of speciality or hospitals.
And why they are so many Korean immigrants in
small business. It's quite clear they say in the
case of the Korean males, majority adult, they're
already out of college already in Korea. So when
they come over here, many of them, they are
excluded from professional occupations. They are
excluded from managing careers. So when they are
excluded from that kind of thing, small business
is the only thing they can do. So when we
consider their educational background, the college
degree in their native country, and many of them
they had to work in the white collar occupation in
their native country. With that kind of
investment on what they can do is to run small
business. the small business, not regular small
business. It's, the scale is so small. We say
Korean small business is really small, small
business or family small business. It's not
regular small business, and they heavily deal with

. 19

20

21

22

23

minority customers who are extremely labor intensive. They are in that kind of thing. what types of occupation do they have with the college degree? They are self employed in certain of marginal merchandise center of this economy. Now those are the manual workers. They are merely classical case of people who work for such a low What we call secondary market, they employment. So our analysis concluded with ecciomoto condition of investment, Asians earn less for native immigrants, and immigrants -- and our analysis of Korean immigrants shows in occupational, they are highly disadvantaged or unfavorably unfavorable conditions. So, when we consider this kind of factors, I don't think there is any fairness for any success.

So our contention is that part of ecciomoto of fairness model, the idea of the Asian successful ideas is a myth. It has little validity in reality.; And I think the success image raises two civil rights issues, one is that Asians are in this disadvantaged image of the labor market. success image is dead guys or concealed.

23

1

that the Asian -- see the reality that their labor markets are positioned. So it turns away attention, thus encouraging a forced consciousness or the diquised sense. And the second problem is that because of success image there today Asian Americans are excluded. Many are programmed designed to benefit minority groups, so they have excluded Asians. As you know, there are so many Asian students, when they apply to the Ivy League schools -- there was a well documented case of discrimination and the Ford Foundation, they exclude Asian Americans from the category of minority. It's not just the Ford foundation, Months of the programs that sponsor, when sponsored by the Ford Foundation, Asians are excluded. So my conclusion is that by the eccimoto we are the successful image is a myth. It has real reality or validity, but that kind of image diguise, considering Asian American labor market condition. Furthermore, they are excluded from the program which is designed to benefit minority workers. So we are the success image,

So turning away attention is not

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

but to Asian Americans its is kind of double jeopardy. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. Mr. Olson, please.

LAYTON OLSON

(For Dr. Kishore Thampy)

Thank you. My name is Layton Olson, I'm an attorney and I'm here today because I understand in the past I have aided several Asian Americans to give them opportunities to exercise their due process rights to practice their profession in the United States. Many of these physicians are of Asian background. I note in my data from the testimony you can identify particularly some of the background of resident physicians. It's clear in this country that between 20 and 25 percent of the physician population, practicing physician population currently is trained overseas. I think that some of the comments that I have reflect in relationship to what Dr. Kim has described in the sense of I speak of not only in regard to the profession of medicine, but there are many other

23

licensed professions which could use the assistance of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission to take a look at research and to be a clearing house to identify barriers to international work force migration to uphold appropriate standards, but not add additional standards. I think in the physician population you will find many persons who come to this country with the process of licensing. Maybe one which may never allow a person to be a physician, but may become a surgical assistant, a physician assistant or perhaps not a laboratory person, and I will go into some of those things as I read Dr. Thampy's testimony in just a moment. But I want to give a couple of examples where I believe that the Civil rights Commission can look at perhaps physicians as a model that might be applied to other professions where there is a state licensing requirement because I think the Civil Rights Commission could work together with the Employment Opportunity Commission to help bring about a lowering of the barrier which may or may not have been consciously but do result in discrimination.

22

23

1

For example, for internationally trained persons, there is often a separate statute. If we could put this back into the concept of is this separate and is it equal? think that in the physician licensing area we now have in 1994 when uniform license for all physicians to take both in terms of entry into residency and into licensing activities, there are tremendous difficulties in the training process where internationally trained physicians have lost credit for prior examinations they had taken. with those examinations I think that state licensing agencies can have greater and greater confidence that all physicians meet the same quality standards. We will go back then and look at the great disparity. Over half the states have requirements that internationally trained physicians take three years of residency training. Domestic graduates can take only one year. has been thought to be a double and triple check to be sure that the quality is there.

I think we are finding other ways to measure quality, and if we look to the future, if

internationally trained physicians have been seen in many places as a good supply of highly trained specialists, as the American health care system needs more family practice physicians, the persons who get out in one year are often going into family practice and specialization will be in relative decline. We need to go back and take a look at that.

I note that Illinois has been a leader in providing people treatment, including the requirement for equal residency requirements of the internationally trained and domestically trained physician. It also has a stated state policy now that there will not be discrimination based on the location of training, whether in this country or overseas.

I would like to then proceed to go
through some of Dr. Thampy's testimony to give you
a picture of the circumstances that a physician
might encounter. Dr. Thampy is the Executive
Director of Liberty for American Minority
Physicians. He is the former past president of
the American College of International Physicians

which is based in Washington, D.C., and it has
1,500 members, and although mainly Asian, it
derives it's support from all ethnic and racial
backgrounds. LAM, is the educational and legal
research organization affiliated with the College
and dedication to the illumination of
discrimination against physicians based on race
and national origin. Dr. Thampy also speaks from
his background as a physican who was born in
Kenya, of Indian parents, received his medical
education in Spain and came to the United States
to specialize in psychiatry in 1970. He has also
personally experienced discrimination and has
counseled thousands of physicians on how to cope
with racial attacks, often camouflaged as peer
review. As a physician here in Chicago, he is
basically always on the telephone. You will see
his name in the American College of International
Physician's magazine. He receives, and other
colleagues receives a tremendous number of calls.
The successful passage of a Visa examination is no
guarantee that a Visa, let alone the opportunity
to enter residency training. Many requests for

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 applications to those training hospitals are not 2 even given the courtesy of a reply. Other institutions simply respond by saying they do not 3 accept international medical graduates. 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

cases, the international medical graduates are immigrants or citizens who have passed several examinations and tend to live in the U.S.. In 1992 Congress prohibited hospitals receiving U.S. public health service grants from refusing to send applications to international medical graduates. This, of course, does not stop many hospitals from not recruiting qualified physicians who are legal immigrants based upon the school of graduation. poll shows whereas the majority of the hospital executives believe that hiring IMGs would adversely affect patient care, the majority of patients surveyed found that IMGs to be slightly better than domestically trained physicians. Again, this is at variance with the public image that is sometimes put forward. There are

currently about 130,000 licensed IMG physicians in

this country or about a 5th of the practicing

وسينيو وجويهم هدر

22

23

population. The LAM receives many complaints of an abusive peer review practices by hospitals, government agencies, and peer review organizations. The most noteable example is a disproportionate number of IMG physician's mailing agents who were subject to adverse peer review actions by the Illinois Department of Public Aid whose Peer Review Committees were dominated by domestic medical graduate physicians. It took intervention under legislative action and freedom of information to force the IDPA to release data and it took legislative process to include due process aspects into the system; including such things as enabling a physician to have another physician assist that person in going through that process.

One case recently involved a prominent medical journal which claimed that international medical graduates were at a higher risk for malpractice suits. However all evidence is to the contrary and but no one but international medical graduates objected. These are the kinds kinds of information that is going

out into the public domain and needs to be dealt with. I believe these are the kinds of things which are felt in many professional licensing circumstances. The federal government has a limited role. The states have the primary licensing role, and I think that the U.S. Civil Rights Commission can go through and assist some of the state licensing people to take their responsibilities very, very seriously and provide due process.

I'd like to just finish for Dr.

Thampy by identifying key national issues which were faced by international medical graduates today. There are legislative proposal in Congress to limit the access of qualified international graduates who are legal immigrants to obtain residency training. There are, as I described before, attempt through the Federation of State Medical Licensing Boards to restrict the ability of international medical graduates to take the new licensing examination. There is a substantial amount of discrimination in the federal government. The Peace Corp said, domestic

23

graduates ony need apply. The Navy, a number of other federal agencies simply do not enforce the law, and many times they are not even aware of the discrimination they are practicing. There has been a tremendous amount of focus on the area of reciprocity. Once a physician is in this country and has had his credentials checked, you will often come back 20 or 30 years later, the state medical licensing board will say, bring us your original copy of your transcript from halfway across the world. Certified copies from the first state is simply not acceptable. I think that states should be held to be brought into the modern era in that regard. There is a federal law which requires the National Advisory Council on Licensure which was adopted in 1992, has not yet been implemented, and that is to assist internationally trained physicians to be appropriately treated.

I think we also need to understand that some of the health care proposals are watering down the state, any willing provider law. Any willing provider law says that if you're a

qualified physician, medically, you cannot be turned out of a position for a provider of a position. Many insurance companies are simply closing the door for internationally trained and other physicians who often tend to be individual or small practice physicians and I think — and they provide a very poor market. But those are areas that need to be reviewed. Those are a number of the comments, and you have the testimony and I thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. Any questions from the panelist?

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I have one for each one. Mr. Olson, wear meeting here in Illinois and Illinois, for example, is among those which requires certified copies of diplomas as opposed to photostats, certified copies of transcripts as opposed to photostats. Where someone who may want to get this out to bat, may want to get up to Bucharest, may find that it takes months if not longer to get these documents. Is there any reality behind the requirement of this certification? Are there any states which have

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

been willing to accept photocopies which do not march through all these hoops? And isn't it a fact that as we're presently structured, this is largely a design to limit physician qualifications of those already here as opposed to independent?

I'm not exactly familiar MR. OLSON: with all the states, all the state laws. the education commission for medical graduates which provides the certification function to try to get the best record in the first instance, and I think that if Illinois were to require that an applicant have submitted an original application at some point and that was validated either by the first state or by the éducation commission, you could then not have that problem. While this may disproportionately be a burden on the internationally trained physician, it's a burden on other trained physicians. Yet if there was any questions that a Xerox copy wasn't quite right, you might be able to go back. I think that the reciprocity with the first state certification, I think is an appropriate way to proceed, but no state wants to sort of be the first to step.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I wasn't asking about the first state. I'm well aware — I understood what you're saying about the first state. If you go to a second state, the first should be recognized. What I'm suggesting is the idea that it's possible to get certified copies of some of these records out of something like Bucharest three years ago, just imagine that, and that those who try to get these materials find that they are blockaded by the inability to get to a government halfway around the world to get them to move.

MR. OLSON: That's correct. And that's also a continuing circumstance. I know that it would be useful for you in any state to provide an alternative. I know that Cuban physicians, for example, in Florida were able to go through a procedure to obviate that by going through a number of other activities and very, very difficult when you don't have the cooperation at the other end. And I think that to have that be a continuing opportunity for persons from any country, Viet Nam, for example, would be very appropriate.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: One follow up, and this is a suggestion. Mr. Kim, can you, running this campaign, public attention, most people in the United States are not aware of the degree of intern shortage at most hospitals in this country face. And that if the country as a whole were more aware how much of a shortfall there is say in Iowa, Iowa would not be putting the kinds of barriers that it presently does against foreign physician or against foreign trained physicians that we presently find. But, most people assume that we have far more interns and residents available than what we do.

MR. OLSON: I think that's exactly correct, and there was also a survey taken in New York and particularly in terms of specialist interns being trained there. They identified that if you actually had to replace, if you cut about a third, for example, the number of interns there. We all realize these persons are not paid a very large amount of money. If you had to replace them by a nurse, you would double the cost of providing those exact same purpose services.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: The question I had for Professor Kim, of course, is an entirely different area. You pointed out two things. pointed out the Ford Foundation now takes the position that Asian Americans are no longer a threatened community. You've also pointed out that there's an enormous disparity within the Asian community. So that while you have a portion of the Asian American community which appears to be extremely successful in economic and education terms and also a large portion of the Asian community which is not. This creates, in effect, a potential permanent two world division within the Asian community. If the Congress does what it is now threatening to do, which is to deny welfare monies to those who are not citizens of the United States, given the fact that the study shows that's more true of Asian Americans than of any other group who are on welfare at that level for that and similar reasons, aren't we also having simply another set of factors added that are going to divide the Asian American world into a world of very rich and very poor?

1

I think you made a good point. MR. KIM: In each age and ethnic group there's so much difference in their socioeconomic status, it's highly polarized. Then also among the Asian Americans so much interethnic group difference. So there are high proportion of Asian Americans that are economically in big problems, and I think the Asian American system is not the case I'm familiar with the immigrants and they have been here for a long time, but some are psychological data and they wanted to get their U.S. citizenship. So they are not citizens and also what I want to stress is that traditionally you know we are from the country where the fear of all the cultures is very strong, but that's rapidly broken down. Now what we see from Asian American family is that nuclear family and nuclear family means that becomes husband and wife and the consent of the family that mean the entire generational relations, and second relations with family. So, even our adult children and elderly parents, their relationship is not smooth. Elderly Asian that really needs the problem, needs \parallel help.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I pose this final follow up. Is there any way that we can help ensure that the Asian American community understands in advance what denying welfare payments to those who are not citizens will mean to vast numbers of that community?

MR. KIM: Any way the committee can do?

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Any way this committee
or we as individual citizens can do to deal with
that problem before it becomes a reality?

MR. KIM: I think there should be active campaign and I know in Chicago there are Korean newsapapers, they start reporting that implication, but once, unfortunate things that U.S. Congressman who originally from Korea, he's one of the persons who sponsored that bill. So I think the Asian politicially diversified, from my subjective point more consciousness is a serious problem for so many Asian Americans.

MR. SMITH: I was interested in the comments about the Ford foundation as well and I wanted to know in your research could you find any

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

basis for this position taken by taht foundation 2 and whether or not there are other national 3 foundations which have also taken the position because that really cuts the community out from 5 grant making? MR. KIM: I don't think much justification, in fact, I wrote Ford Foundation 8 and asked them to explain it. Their reply was that Asian American was not excluded from 10 category, it was simply not included. That was

really have a justification.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions? Very good. Thank you, gentlemen.

their reply. It was not included. So they didn't

MR. OLSON: I would just finally like to call your attention to the last three pages in my testimony as the kind of resources which might be used by the U.S. Civil Rights Commission to analyze due process aspects of persons in any licensed profession.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. Our next speakers will be Ngoan Le and Shin Kim.

We will commence with Ngoan Le.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

NGOAN LE

Illinois Department of Public Aid

(The case of the southeast Asian Refugees in

Chicago, Policy for a Community at Risk)

Thank you very much for the

opportunity to present some facts and recommendations about the southeast Asian community. You just talk about the probable of welfare dependency as a factor. Very little is known about the fact that a substantial number of Asian Americans are, in fact, living in poverty and most of those happen to be southeast Asian refugees, and I want to clarify the term southeast Asian refugees first to avoid any confusion as possible. They are made up of Vietnamese, Cambodian, Laotian and also the Mung who is a minority in Laos. Sometimes people refer to them as Indochinese. Some of the southeast Asians do not like this term because it refers to a Colonial So you will hear the term southeast Asian refugees frequently, and it basically refers to

those three people from Cambodia, Vietnamese and

|| Laos.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

As some of you may know, the southeast Asians have been resettled in the United States as part of the world wide rescue effort subsequent to the Communist takeover of Cambodia, Laos and Viet Nam. The U.S. resettled the largest number of all nations and data from the U.S. State Department confirmed the fact that as of September, 1991, southeast Asians constituted the largest group of refugees worldwide admitted to the U.S. since 1975. According to the 1990 census, there are over a million southeast Asians in the U.S.. Some who are ethnic Chinese may choose to identify themselves as Chinese and therefore not included in this group, even though they are also from southeast Asia. Of these numbers, there are 614,000 Vietnamese, about 147 Korean and about 239 Laotians which includes 90,000 Mung.

In the State of Illinois the 1990 census identified 16,700 southeast Asian refugees.

Among these approximately 56 percent are

Vietnamese, 26 percent are Laotian, 16 percent

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Korean, and 2 percent are Mung. A little bit less than 50 percent live in the city. It's primarily in Uptown, Edgewater, Albany Park, Rogers Park and the remaining over 50 percent live outside of the City, principally in Cook, Kane, DuPage and Will counties.

It's a very young community. majority of southeast Asians arrive in Illinois less than 15 years ago. And that there are little differences between groups. For example, 16 percent of Vietnamese 85 percent of Korean, 75 percent of Laotian and 55 percent of the Mung arrived here after 1980. I point out these different times of arrivals because it's very important to understand that each of the cohorts, represent different characteristics. The group who arrived here earlier usually represents the elite in their countries of origin. Whereas the late arrivals tend to have been exposed to more trauma in their home countries because of persecution or imprisonment. Their escape attempts tend to be more dangerous and thus stays in refugee camps tend to be longer because of

difficulty obtaining permanent asylum. There has been a small number of studies done on the southeast Asian refugees, but what the data has shown is that for those who are in the U.S., they represent survivors, the people who have attempted to escape outside of their country and also from the refugee camps.

The cambodians, for example, show that there is some 12 percent who are widow because of the dangerous escape as well as the warfare inside of Cambodia. Many of the refugees from Viet Nam, Laos, and Cambodia have rural areas as well as urban areas. Vietnamese and Chinese tend to be from urban areas. The Mung and Cambodian and Laotians tends to be from rural areas.

If we look at the 1990 census, we also learn a little bit more about these communities. Again it's the very young community, close to 50 percent or more of southeast Asians are 25 or younger in comparison with 36 percent of the U.S. general population of this age group.

And the Mung in particular, 70 percent of the Mung

2

3

5

b

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

are younger than 24 years of age.

In regard to educational attainment among the adults age 25 and older, 40 percent of Vietnamese, 60 percent are Cambodian, 60 percent are Laotian and 72 percent of Mungs have high school education. Data shows that approximately 1 out of 4 Vietnamese, 1 out of 2 Cambodian, 1 out of 3 Laotian, and 2 out of 3 Mung live below poverty line. When you look at this data, it becomes very obvious that the southeast Asian have had a very difficult time to make the economic adaptation to American society and also contributing to the difficulty includes illiteracy in their own native language, English language barriers which prevents them from obtaining training of either education or vocational training that would help them to find work, and without new skills whether it's English skills or vocational skills, they are not able to find the job that can support the whole family. For those southeast Asians who have professional credentials, they may no longer be employed in the same profession because it's not unusual that

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

their credentials are not accepted in the United States. And for that reason, the majority have to learn new skills or update their training to be more modern world in the new economic environment.

We talked earlier about welfare dependency. You should know that the refugee policy very much discourages southeast Asians from relying on public assistance, even though the majority of them arrive more or less without anything, they have had to count on public assistance for the first few years of their resettlement. When we examine the data from the Illinois Department of Public Aid from June, 1993, that's roughly 4,000 persons of Asian ancestry receiving Aid to Families With Dependent Children and about 1,700 receive transitional assistance. If we were to assume that the majority of these individuals are southeast Asian, this data revealed that approximately 35 percent continue to have to rely on public assistance. So that's about one out of three southeast Asian in Illinois today. If we look at those who have found work, the data does show that the employment retention

rates improve each succeeded years in spite of the fact the majority southeast Asians have had to make major occupational changes. The majority of southeast Asian, some 64 percent held blue collar jobs when we look at data from the office of refugee resettlement. And for those people who were once farmers and fishermen, a very small percentage were able to find work in that occupations now. For those who are in the labor force, the average wage earned during the first five years is estimated at \$209 per week or \$5 per The relative low wages for the first five hour. years and the very large family sizes may explain why southeast Asians continue to have to rely on public assistance. For example, households that have more than six members and less than two individuals working would still need to rely on some form of public assistance such at food stamps to sustain that family. Outside of the economic conditions there are other issues that challenge this population, such as mental health. have been conducted on the mental health conditions of the southeast Asian have shown that

22

23

they're a tremenous amount of people experiencing depression. For Cambodians in particular, post traumatic stress syndrome is a common experience for many of those adult children who survived the killing fields in Cambodia. The Mung has a very special product, very many men people who are healthy individuals die suddenly in their death and the causes are still not known, but many of those who have studied this problem have attributed this problem to stress and the problem of having to adapt to the new life as well as making reconciliations of painful memories of their loses. These mental health studies have also shown that the mental health of individuals from southeast Asia is predicated upon the condition whether preceded their arrival in the U.S., and the condition of community in the settlement.

A study by Professor Al Rubin Rombalt, mental health and refugee experience conducted in 1985 found that 65 percent of Cambodians have lost at least one family member and 83 percent were separated from their families.

For Vietnamese, on the other hand, 42 percent reported having family members jailed by the government in power, 30 percent were assaulted during that escape, and 39 percent had lost family members.

Outside of the mental health problems, refugees from southeast Asia also experience a number of health problems, and health problems in part was presented by the southeast Asian refugees as one of the major barriers to their ability to find work. The Center for Disease Control identifies the PL problem experienced by southeast Asian using flu, tuberculosis, Hepatitis B, anemia, malnutrition, hearing, vision, and dental problems.

So, you have listened to the litany of problems of the southeast Asian in the United States and the experience before and during their resettlement in the U.S.. So, if we have to analyze the prospects of southeast Asian refugee community will seem very dim, but in effect we do see signs that it's reason to be hopeful as well. On the one hand, community and the individuals

from southeast Asia have to overcome a number of barriers to become successful, even just to survive. On the other hand, if we look at data made available by te Office of Refugee Resettlement, it shows that after ten years the average income of those arriving in 1975 is \$17,000. So compared with the U.S. average, the 1975 arrival will achieve an income equal to that of the average United States resident. And I want to emphasize the fact that those arriving in 1975 represents the earlier groups who suffer loss, traumas and probably come more equipped for mainstream perhaps in this country as opposed to western cultures prior to where they are in the settlement.

Another date that shows some sign of hope is that the Bureau of Census shows between 1982 to 1987 the number of businesses owned by individual identifying them as Vietnamese increased 40 percent from close to 5,000 businesses in 1982 to about 25,700 businesses in 1987 with the reported receipts of \$1 billion, 300 million.

23

1

So this data shows that the community has been able to set up businesses and; therefore, create jobs for their own people as well as for the local community. You can travel to Argyle Street between Sheridan and Broadway to see the visible sign of economic vitality of the community. We also look at reports of the student's performance and indeed that has been outstanding individuals who have graduated top of their class or who have won scholar contentions. Again at the same time that we look at these reports, we examine drop out data and it showed that close to 35 percent of southeast Asians dropping out of high school. And I want to explain that some of the factors contributing to the drop out rates that many of the youngsters, especially high school age, have not been in schools for a number of years, whether because they were in the refugee camps or because while they were in the countries themselves, they were not allowed to attend school because of their family affiliation with the former regime.

When we talked earlier about the

23

polarization of the Asian community and even within the southeas Asian community you see you got a picture of the extremes. There are individuals who are making it and there are some who have a failure to make it in this country. And what it means for public and private institutions who are concerned with competency issues that they need to be sure that southeast Asian community, they are not overturned. sometimes believe we think of an Asian community as those who have been able to adjust and have been able to achieve some economic success in this country and will forget to recognize that the southeast Asian community is unique in it's own problem. The unfortunate facts about this is not only public and private institutions, I'm not aware of this problem. The community leadership sometimes don't have enough data to work on programs that could help in making improvements for the community. Most of the community leaders are very concerned that their efforts and strategies to work with families living in poverty as soon as possible because they don't want to see

the people living in, either having to depend on public assistance or just below poverty line to develop generational poverty. Often we already seen incidents of gangs being created. Many new international problems as well as domestic problems.

Another important factor to point out here is that since most of the southeast Asians are not doing well, they are living in poor neighborhoods of inner cities, and because of this reason, they tend to come into contact more frequently with other poor communities who may be resentful of the fact that as new arrivals, southeast Asians may be competing for a limited resources to assist people living in poverty.

On the final note, though, I do feel very strongly that southeast Asians could become a useful group builder between communities of different economic and social background because of their unique experience in which many who were once the haves are now the have nots. And we have seen such examples of Uptown where southeast Asians have taken the leadership role to help

build affordable homes and/or affordable programs that not only benefit their population, but other populations such as Indians, African Americans, Latinos. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Shin Kim.

SHIN KIM, Ph.D.

Chicago State University

(Political Economic Nature of Korean-African

American Conflict; Its Civil Rights

Implications.)

Madam Chair, members of the committee, I am honored to be here at the same time, but somewhat uneasy appearing here.

There are several reasons for that, but first of all, as you can see, the topic of my discussion testimony is belong to Session III which is scheduled for tomorrow. Because Dr. Sen could not be here at the last moment. So it appears like I'm opening Kenneth Wong without any time to follow it's and recheck him. I'm also academic, meaning that I don't have much illustrative details or stories to tell or

practical staff such as, but most of the topic of my discussion interracial group relations. a very emotional topic so that my discussion will be somewhat from a Korean's perspective. said, I want to point out a couple of things. One my title of the topic has been changed a little bit because I found out under the similar topic there's articles was published in the fall and also another thing I want to point out is that the title of the paper which is a microanalysis which means in some both at the level of the discussion as well as the size of sample is very small. you have to take the conclusions, whatever conclusions you decide to with draw from there. You have to take it with a grain of salt and another thing is that even though I point out only the conflict between Korean and African American conflict does not mean these two groups only conflict exists. As a matter of fact, if you will look at what happens in Chicago between Korean and African Americans, there is guite a lot of cooperation between these two groups. If you also look at what happened to other cities, then I

23

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

think Chicago has a lot more cooperation between these two groups than any other city.

> Nonetheless, we know there's a conflict, so I just concentrate on the conflict.

> With that introduction, I want to just read some part of my paper. I'm sorry I did not have enough of them, copies of the paper. 1993, Los Angeles disturbance, commonly call Saiego (Phonetic) in Korean Street terms means 4 to 9, April 29, it changed the normal dimension discussions regarding the Korean and African American conflict. As you already know it was an African American expression of anger against the American citizen oppressive to racial groups, but such an expressive act led to massive scale looting or burning of local businesses in African American, Hispanic neighborhoods and several nearby areas. We all know that the media immediately, because of unrest, was that a trial over the police beating of Rodney King, but African American resident's anger over the trial verdict resulted in destruction of large number of Korean businesses located in south central L.A. as

well as Koreatown, which was nearby. More than
2,000 Koreans owned businesses are estimated to
have been lost or burned and also disrupted the
daily life of local residents and deprives them of
stable supplies, daily necessities, and job
opportunities. A large proportion of the
destroyed area like this remain as an urban waste
land for a long time to come. I hope now, but
always past experience of other rise on the for
several weeks after that the news media focused on
the Korean and African American relationship. In
this coverage, the man, Korean store owners, them
Korean store owner's own traumatic experience are
the desire of their own and their family members
work was hard dimensioned. It seemed only ironic
that the victims who were depicted as victimizers,
but whether this coverage was intentional or not,
nevertheless, this coverage I think has increased
the hostility and between these two groups, racial
groups. To some degree I think it's come down
somewhat, but right after this high blow to the
level of counterproductive and in the sense you
can say it's highly cohesive, real depressing to

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

both groups.

What happened to Los Angels can happen in any of the major American cities. Citizens, many of them is a small business in the inner city, African American community, thus their business emerged as highly visible targets of local resident's hatred against the system, as demonstrated by the cases of a family apple store in New York in the Roosevelt area, and another recent Chicago experience at the Bulls' Championship games as a way to cope with such volatile racial issues, it's urgent to examine the nature of the relationship between these two groups. The relationship between these two groups; particularly Korean store owner and African American residents must be investigated with both macro and micro perspectives. Nonetheless, there's the possibility and further study on this issue. I did not print my paper, but it will be very helpful if somehow the committee can support or finance the last study, size of the study of this relationship. As anecdotal, small size studies are helpful, but

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

also we need some large studies. In any case the posture of the focuses on this issue, this paper exposed the limited aspect of this relationship with a small sample.

I will once again want to emphasize it's a micro level. And the structure is the source of Korean/African American conflict we all know is the history pattern of white and African American relationships. I think Douglas Siandenten (phonetic) has a very good book about talking about the migration of African Americans from rural south to the urban north. For example, 80 percent of African Americans were in the rural south in 1870, but a century later a similar proportion of African Americans live in urban areas and many of them settled in major American cities such as Chicago. In these, the major American centers, African Americans are very segregated, they are racially segregated. have also been occupationally disenfranchised. African American's living conditions in those areas have been further degenerated by the loss of manufacturing jobs in urban areas in recent years.

This deprived situation creates an extreme form of concentrated poverty in those areas, and we know that the underclass reas that they get to live has been plagued with crime, drug problems, teenage pregnancy and so on. Under such life conditions, it's just natural that the rest of them feel despair and resent white dominated institutions which are probably, to a great extent, responsible for their deprived life condition.

As these urban ghettos are socially and economically degenerating, these areas are also commercially. White store owners left the area, big chain stores withdraw, and even African American middle class moved out. As immigrants without security come into the base in the United States where immigrants have filled this vacuum, they took over numerous existing businesses or create new business in the abandoned area. Korean stores are not zealous to their African American customers and employers to their African American employees. These positions of career store owner help to create chronic on those areas. The residents, local residents are now customers and

relationships between their local residents often
becomes defenders of economic interest of their
own community. And in this capacity, local
residents are reported to express their complaints
about Korean businesses as follows: Dominance of
businesses in African American communities, store
owner's disrespectful treatment of African
American customer, the unwillingness to hire
African American worker, inferior quality of goods
or higher prices of goods at Korean stores, the
owner no refund policy when the owner either
concerned with the work fair of the African
American community, Korean store owners concede
their relationship with the local residents, with
the perspective of status and employer, from his
perspective, many owners that are reported to be
gravely concerned with some of the local
resident's behavior such as pilfering attempts,
sporadic robbery, attempts or other violent
attempts against Korean stores, unreliable
performance of the African American employees.
Most of these two sets of the issues reveal that
Korean store owners and local residents that their

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

relationship with different perspective that therefore, with different sets of issues as a premier study for hope, the understanding of relations between these two groups, this study, this paper selected only 4 following issues. 1, customer's view of Korean stores and Korean store owner's behavior; 2, local residents as perceived by store owners and local residents; 3, African American employee's performance as perceived by store owners and local residents, particularly employees themselves; 4, store owner's perception of a community development.

I want to note that robbery attempts or violent attacks, the physical safety issues of this Korean store owners is not included in this paper, but they -- it's pretty small. I mention this about 60 or so. The cases and the store owners, I included myself, employ African American employees of a Korean store and the customers. We interviewed about six of my students, African American students at Chicago State University. The paper speaks of respondents is pretty much pretty wide known there. The Korean store owners

21

22

23

have been hearing about it ten years and so everyone thinks they have had their college career before immigration, and most of them are married and majority of them is living in suburban areas. They operate five main lines; clothes, shoes, dry cleaners and beauty supplies and some others, but virtually the old owners reported that they have a heavy pressure of competition in their current business with other Korean small businesses within their own area, and African American employees of Korean stores are found a little younger than owners. They are either single or married. are also majority of them have family to support and customers also have pretty much same as employees, and the local resident's view of Korean store owners and store owner's behavior is somewhat a myth.

I mentioned several things about good things about Korean store in their neighborhoods such as convenience, such as also some of it measures low price of goods, good quality of goods, some have good things and some other things and some customers report that Korean

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	

store owners are politeness and courteous. But if you also mentioned that some owners -- but the majority of them customers, about 54.2 percent majority of them expressed negative views of Korean store owners. They bitterly complain about owner's disrespectful treatment of African customers and also no refund policy. Again, I repeat the first one, the constant surveillance of the customers. There was some customers, relative minority of them also said that they hate the presence of, simply hate the presence of Korean stores in their neighborhood. Very few of them mention also they have high price or low quality, but a pretty small minority mentioned that. Korean -- local residents of Korean stores is one of the issues I think is a very emotional issue. At the same time, it's very hot topic in a way. When we asked the customers, employees, and store owners; do you think that many customers, sometimes employees, try to take goods from the store without paying the price? Most of the store owners, 88.2 percent, and most of the same percentage African American employees and about

11

2/3rds of customers believe that many customers are attempting shoplifting at Korean stores. the same time, most of the store owners and half of the employees indicate that many African American employees also attempt pilfering at Korean stores. These findings show that it's the percentage of the same piece of the same pie though that there's wide recognition that many local residents, customers and employees, attempt pilfering at Korean stores. As to why their local residents attempt shoplifting at Korean store, many employees and people answer that there are economically motivated. They want to get, but don't have the money to pay, and some people say they want to make money by selling those goods. Virtually all of the Korean store owners also think that local residents and employees economically motivated in their pilfering attempts. One interesting part, I believe, is that additionally half of the owners also indicate that local residents, the race that the residents of lifestyle as a way of life. These are my topic contribution, in view of the local residents.

Store owners have devised the punishment of those who will get caught. Some owners believe that such a attempts should be severly punished and also owners say put on your minds the punishment. The rest of the owners do not want punishment unless the goods are returned undamage. The cost is relief that when the customers be caught for pilfering in the store, they should file — they would file a statement, a little bit, but some customers will not file any statement at all. Interestingly, many customers indicate that customer are more attempt to pilfer at Korean stores than any at the white stores or at the African American stores.

customers offer the following explanation for such temptation. One is because some black residents hate Korean, 2, it's easier to pilfer at Korean stores than at other stores, 3 when customers get caught many Korean store owners do not severely punish them, and let the customers go and Korean store owners are less likely to call police than other community groups. But, the African American employee of Korean store,

contrary to the common perception, almost all the Korean stores big enough to hire anybody found to hire black workers, African American workers. think this finding also is true with others. findings that's done by Great American Community Organization and you will hear more of those things I think tomorrow, most of the Korean stores hiring very few number of African American employees because they are small in size and also the majority job African American employees have been employed by their current force for a short period of time; about a year or less. But about 35 percent of them have been working in this store for a long period of time. Last, some employment stability not a whole lot now. They've been paid at the time it was about 4 years ago, \$4.25, and it's more than I think minimum wage, but it was not that good paying. Regardless of length of employment and amount of pay, most of the employees indicated that they wanted to change, they would like to change their credentials. Korean store owners are not satisfied with the performance of their African American employees.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-ORM FED LASER PENGAD/INDY 1-800-631-6989

Most of the owners acknowledge that in addition to attempt to pilfer, the employees are generally In sharp contrast, African American unreliable. employees generally believe that they do a good job, particularly so considering the low wage and hours of work. But the store owner's perception and community involvement and most of the store owners do not personally know any African American community leaders, nor do they remember that any African American community leader ever visit their store in community affairs, but half of the store owners reported that they are attempting some community relation meetings. Most of the African American employees and customers do not believe that their community leaders are capable of mobilizing people to African American people. Contrary to this, most of the store owners think African American communities are incapable of doing so. They were coming to an ability to hear the store owners express their desire to do something for the welfare of the African American community and in reality however, only two of the store owners are found to be actually involved in

the community activities.

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

For the conclusion, with the recommendation of two structural resources, I'll put in there and the study having received only micro level source of conflict, which means the conflict occurred in daily contact with Korean store owners with African American customers and employees in inner city African American neighborhoods, thus the conflict is economic in nature and devoid of any personal or cultural effects. This data revealed that both Korean store owners and African American local resident customers and employees look at each other with mixed attitudes. Persons of Korean store owners apparently find some profit making opportunity in the southside of Chicaago. At the same time, they have a lot of problems, as we discussed before, and African American customers also recognized several positive aspects of Koreans businesses in their community, such as convenience and so on, that kind of thing. Also, virtually every Korean store is big enough to hired anybody, hire many local residents as their employees, thus creating

jobs. It is not that big, the impact,
nevertheless, job creation for local residents.

And the, nevertheless, many customers bitterly
complain about the rude way that they were treated
at Korean stores. Many customers feel humiliated
and spread their sense of anger, indignation to
Korean store owners. Some local residents resent
the presence of Korean stores in their own
community.

What are the civil rights implications of these findings? As demonstrated by Korean and African Americans is chronic in Los Angeles and within which was open and violent conflict. This open and violent conflict involves a gross violation of civil rights of both groups. The physical safety is threatened, their number of business transactions is terribly disrupted and also the local resident's job opportunities is lost. As a whole, the normal legal and moral America would regulate the relationship between store owners and local residents would be broken down creations of the state. In light of this reality of urban underclass neighborhoods, African

American resident's leaders need to view the residence of Korean stores and their business activity with realistic understanding. like the local residents and the community leaders see Korean stores with some nationalistic ideological perspective, that's considered Korean store owners as outside invaders in what might be called exploitation. This perspective, similar implausible actions and a pronto action statement is pronto or violent conflict. With a relationship between customers and the store owners is again, I think both sides have hardly any understanding of their actions violating the Civil Rights Act. Both sides violated each others. Korean store owners I think need an intensive training for these tough issues, help to lay out their merchandise, help to monitor the customers without violating their rights and also how to recognize that the patrons are shoplifters, you know, if the hand of the customer is caught from pilferage. The ownership is spread human dignity or civil rights of customers. At the same time, the local residents, as well as the leaders

9

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

have to be trained to respect the civil rights of 2 the Korean store owners in which case is going to 3 be conducting the incoming life free of them. Regarding the employee, with employees of Korean 5 store owners have little understanding of this 6 secondary labor market for inferior work habits and secondary types of worker. They have to be 8 informed or trained of the American labor law relating to their small business management and 10 also they have to need the training. The effect, how to manage the African American employees in 12 such a way they can utilize the employees 13 effectively or to respect the human dignity of 14 these employees. 15 16

The vicious cycle found if both the national Korean/African American relation between Korean store owners and customers is what Korean store owners and the African American employees We will condemn the bad decision of the need. African American community for negative stereotyping by both sides as much as we will condemn this stereotyping.

Given the racist nature of American

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

society, this stereotyping probably will continue. What we need is an awareness, raising the training of each other's civil rights, conduct one's economic livelihood.

I want to mention please in conclusion that the store owners and community leaders must also understand that you cannot own a store in someone else's neighborhood and not be involved in community affairs. He must be voluntary, and these suggestions, plus a few other things I'm pretty sure tomorrow's panelists will have suggestions concerning whether the issue on the micro level and the efforts on the micro level may reduce the occurrence of often or violent conflict. But it does not deal with the basic source of the conflict that deprived life conditions of African American residents and concentration of Korean small businesses in these It's necessary for Korean stores and local residents to develop some common strategies to improve the county position of local residents and the welfare of minority groups as a whole. Macro is not just a summation of micro; therefore, the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

micro macro level solutions must be carried out simultaneously and continuously, otherwise it's conflict between these two racial groups will never be solved. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any questions from panelists?

MS. PORTELA: Gloria Portela. I have a question for Dr. Kim. As I sat here and listened to you, it seemed to me that part of what you were describing could be the immigrant experience of any ethnic group. The desire to own your own business rather than to work for someone else, the desire to prosper, the fact that because you're a small business owner things like pilferage, shoplifting, et cetera, impacts you much more adversely than if you're Bloomingdale's. question that I'm struggling with I guess is what is it that makes this particular conflict unique or what are the antecedents of this conflict, real or perceived, between these two communities because it seemed to me that until we isolate whether, in fact, there is anything specific about this conflict we will be limited in our ability to

٠.

2

3

solve it.

5

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I believe that the contrary to DR. KIM: other immigration experiences, we have the conflict between Korean and African Americans is interminority conflict, that's one specific about it, for example, between us and African Americans. So this interminority conflict meaning that both groups are minorities in terms of their relationship with dominant groups, and they do not, some of them do not perceive or do not understand that we are forced into this position of being a conflict. We shouldn't have conflict between us. We should have more cooperation of conflict between these two groups, but nevertheless we are in a way forced in, both groups are forced into this conflict position. we have to be very -- this isn't about the mainstream or mass media attempt to portray, to the L.A. riots or disturbance as Korean and black and conflict. That's one thing I can be specific about this one.

Another thing, specific about this one Korean/African American conflict, I'm pretty

14

sure it also like the pride to other Asians and African Americans or hispanic conflict which is that there is underlying current among the African Americans, for example, feel that Asians who are late comers, new comers and late comers and they do not know much about Asian. They're not American citizens, but nevertheless, they are able to open up their own business in their community areas, meaning there must be some government or mainstream society support for these groups; therefore, they resent these Koreans. For example, Korean store owners with a much more higher degree because they feel it's support from the white mainstream society which is not true.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Dr. Kim, I want to recognize this is a micro analysis. Is there anything you've come across, anecdotal, that suggests any difference in the responses between a Korean grocer in an African American community and a Palestinian grocer in an African American community?

DR. KIM: I frankly don't know a whole lot about it. I really cannot answer.

MR. SMITH: It's a good question though because if you go back 15 years, this conflict centered between African Americans and Arab stores. So it's a good question.

DR. KIM: Even now some areas and also there is some areas that Korean store owners who are out and other Asian groups are taking over like Pakistanians.

MR. EWING: Let me ask this question.

Has there been any data on the average age of shoplifters, people suspected of shoplifting?

DR. KIM: I don't think so, but I think the average age of a customer about 30.

MR. EWING: Well, the reason I ask that question, you see this story brought back some memories to me. I grew up in a small town and within two corners there was a black store, small black, there was a Syrian and there was a Chinese, and from time to time some of my schoolmates, I knew they did shoplifting, in fact, I found myself in the store with them at sometime and they tended to not shoplift from the black store, not because it was black, but because the owner might be able

1:

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to identify them and knew their parents, and they tended to, between the Chinese store and the Syrian store, determine which was the most 3 vunerable at a given time, based upon the number of people in the store and the number of youths that would go into the store to create a diversion. So that's why I was raising the question and I think that's what they were pursuing is that whoever is there, no matter what ethnic identification they have, they tend to be the target of some of those. CHAIRPERSON LYON: Very good. I'm going 13 to have to have just one more. 14 MR. SCHWARTZBERG:

I'd just like to comment. The fact that we're asking no questions of Ngoan Le simply from my point of view, simply serves as recognition that whenever I have a preparation paper, it answers all of the questions clearly and concisely and we thank you once again and we thank Shin Kim.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: I want to take a five minute recess and reconvene at about 3:25.

(A brief recess was taken.)

P.

AFTER RECESS

2

three speakers; Sandra Yamate, Yvonne Lau and

Edwin Silverman. We will start with Sandra

5

SANDRA YAMATE

CHAIRPERSON LYON: We're going to have

6

Asian American Bar Association

8

(Asian Americans in the Legal Profession:

9

Yamate.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

15

FORM FED LASER PENGAD/INDY 1-800-631-6989

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Exclusion from Power)

Thank you. My name is Sandra Yamate and just by way of background, I have been a practicing attorney for about ten years and I'm the immediate past president of the Asian American Bar Association of the greater Chicago area. don't generally perceive attorneys as necessarily needing to have any particular attention or concern thrown their way, but this afternoon I would like to raise some points of problems that do face Asian American and sometimes other minority attorneys as well.

There are a growing number of Asian Americans entering the legal profession and it's a rather rapid growth which suggests to the casual

glance that law may be a field that's offering limitless opportunities for Asian Americans. The reality, however, is very far from that. Much of the information that I shall be presenting to you this afternoon comes from the Asian American Bar Association which was organized in the latter part of 1986 and the early part of 1987. It was formerly incorporated in August of 1987. There is little demographic information about the status of Asian American attorneys in Illinois prior to the Bar Association's formation. So that most of the data I shall be discussing comes from this 8 year period.

There's no precise count as to the number of Asian American attorneys licensed to practice law within the State of Illinois. Rough estimates can be made based upon the U.S. census data and the number of Asian sirnames listed in comprehensive directories such as Sullivan's Law Directory and Martindale-Hubbell as well as listings of new admittees to the bar. This, of course, has its obvious flaws. It overlooks Asian American women who are married and taken the name

	ı	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
i	0	
l	1	
l	2	
l	3	
l	4	
l	5	
i	6	
i	7	
l	8	
l	9	
2	0	
2	1	
>	2	

of a non Asian spouse and by a multi racial Asian
Americans who have non Asian surnames and who,
according to Professor Larry Hagemi Shiogawa of
Sinoma State University in California are
statistically more likely not to identify
themselves as Asian American. Publicity about the
Bar Association's existence and it's various
programs and projects in addition to word of mouth
within the legal profession and the Asian American
community has served to attract additional numbers
of Asian American attorneys into the Bar
Association's membership or at least it serves to
advise us of members of the Asian American
community who are also members of the profession,
regardless whether they choose to actually become
members of the organization. While not perfect,
the process has allowed us to identify many, if
not all, Asian American attorneys in the State of
Illinois. although the U.S. Census Bureau
statistics don't offer complete information about
the number of Asian American attorneys, they do
offer a convenient frame of reference as to those
who do dentify themselves as Asian American.

According to the census between 1980 and 1990, the
number of Asian American attorneys nationwide
tripled, from approximately 3,650 in 1980 to
10,500 in 1990. In the six county metropolitan
area of Chicago where the majority of Asian
American population in Illinois resides, census
statistics reports that in 1980 the Asian American
population was approximately 141,000 with 138
Asian American attorneys, 106 males and 32
females. In 1990 the Asian American population in
the same area grew to approximately 250,000 with
374 attorneys, 239 of whom were male, 135 who were
female. While this increase in numbers may be
interpreted to suggest a natural growth
progression, it does ignore accompanying growth in
many of the underlying problems that face Asian
American attornesy and prevent them from fully
realizing their potential.

Private practice and it's oft proported numbers of attorneys in a varietyu of statistical breakdowns is certainly one of the easiest areas within the legal profession from which to illustrate some of most obvious problems

. .

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

facing Asian American attorneys. Begin by Statistical information about such attorney is considered a large law firm, please so callwd large firms have well over a hundred attornevs. Indeed at least ten of them have over coming close to 400. Success within these firms is considered important by many within the legal profession because these law firms wield power at any number of levels, including the highest and most influential clients, high impact cases and projects, high visibility, strong support Partnership at such a law firm, while not a opportunities. According to the 1994 Chicago Lawyer Diversity Survey which was reported in the May, 1994 issue of Chicago Lawyer, partners at

23

large law firms numbered 3,279. Of that number 3,224 were European American, only ten were Asian At the associate level the survey found American. 2,901 attorneys, 2,709 of whom were European American, Asian American attorneys numbered 535. What that means that in these large law firms, including those with over 200 attorneys, they generally employ only one or two Asian American attorneys. That is an average. Certainly some firms have far better records than that, but many more have worse once. Some might attempt to argue that these number will improver overtime on their They suggest that as increasing numbers of own. Asian Americans graduate from law school increasing numbers will find their ways into these large Law firms. Recent history however suggests In 1986 when we were organizing the otherwise. Asian American Bar Association, we started with approximately 50 members, of that 50 there were two males and one female who were already partners in large law firms. At least a quarter of this initial membership was comprised of women working as associates in large law firms. Interestingly,

eight years later, all except one of those women have left the large law firms with which they Indeed during their eight year history, the pattern has continued to repeat itself as we see many members obtaining entry level opportunities in large law firms, but very little retention at senior levels. One might put forward to excuse these dismal statistic is that of the search for the qualified minority. According to this myth, everyone would like to hire or promote more minorities, but they must be the so-call qualified minority. Now, no one is suggesting someone incompetent be hired or promoted, yet if you seem willing or able to question whether by virtue of the definition being implied, they very definition of what indeed is qualified. We have predetermined of course a course of failure fore most minorities. Furthermore, even when we are able to satisfy a European American standard as to what is qualified, there is no quarantee that a European American dominated mainstream will feel comfortable or relate to or work well with, much less hire those whom they perceive to be unlike

1 themselves.

For instance, during my own tenure at a large law firm, I had the opportunity to participate in the firm's recruitment program for I recall one law student who was law students. interviewed with the firm. This young man ranked 3rd in his class at a top ten law school. firm was impressed by his credentials and anxiously planned which prized associate attorney will be delegated to take the young man to lunch and woo him to the firm. The young man appeared for his interview at the appointed time, and lo and behold, he happened to be of Indian ancestry. Not only that, he happened to be a very dark complexed man. That upset the firm's plans. Not that they didn't want to still hire him because he was, after all, obviously one of the rare qualified minorities, the firm presumed, however, that because he was different from the young European men they had planned to have wine and dine him that they would not be compatible. firm projected it's own discomfort with his difference onto him and they quickly assigned an

African American associate to take him to lunch figuring that both being dark skinned and male they would certainly have something in common.

Indeed, that attitude of unwillingness to see minority and Asian American attorneys as individuals compounds the frustration, the dissatisfaction and the demoralization that many Asian American attorneys experience and that might be characterized as normal within many large law firms.

Another case in point occurred while I was still practicing with a large law firm. I was one of two Asian American female attorney at the firm. while I was Japanese and wore my hair long and curled, and the other was Chinese American with shorter straight hair and glasses, my Chinese American colleague's last name was her husband's surname, Peterson, and before long the firm had confused us and created a third attorney named Sandra Peterson. Sandra Peterson started to get firm memoranda, she got interoffice mail and sometimes my friend and I would get telephone calls looking for Sandra Peterson. Even after I

left the firm I understand that it was sometime before Sandra Peterson disappeared.

There are many more stories about life as an Asian American in a large law firm that I can relate. Stories about lack of mentors, limited light exposure, except for in room license, of course, limited opportunity to excell and a consistent struggle to dispell stereotypes and reassure the powers that be that one is not that terribly differet from them. But given the limited time that we have today, I shall not, but suffice it to say that for Asian American attorney's survival within a large law firm environment, much less attaining partnership has been made far more difficult for them than for their average European American counterpart.

The American Bar Association has attempted to address some of these problems through programs such as it's Minority

Demonstration Program where large corporations commit to using minority owned law firms for some percentage of their legal work, and small minority owned firms are paired with large firms so as to

ostensibly provide minority attorneys with practical legal experience in areas of the law where there are few minority practitioners and the minority partners in large law firms program which seeks to enhance business development opportunities for minority partners in large law Such programs are to be applauded for their efforts and if for nothing else, focusing attention upon some very real problems for Asian Americans and other minority attorneys in private practice.

Unfortunately, the effectivenes of these programs is limited by the spirit of the participants. Some of the Asian American firmms participating in the Minority Demonstration Program have been disgruntled by some of the large corporation's willingness to enjoy the public relations aspect of participation without making any serious efforts to work with these minority owned law firms, citing the minority owned firms as lack of expertise in various areas of the law. Other Asian Americans participating in this program emphasized the well-intentioned nature of

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

these programs point out that the actual number of cases or projects that actually end up being served by them are very low. Minority ownership in itself may not be sufficient to determine or direct opportunities intended to assist minority attorneys in advancing within the legal profession. At one minority owned firm, for example, at least half the attorneys were European American males or hispanic surnamed attorneys who did not care to identify themselves as hispanic. Yet this firm was quite successful in attracting business through the program. When the firms had an opportunity to select an attorney to learn financial bond work, although a minority attorney requested the opportunity, it was given to a European American male.

Working as inhouse counsel has always been an alternative to private practice, but has proven an illusive opportunity for Asian American attornies. Only a handful have succeeded finding inhouse positions. While most seem to find it satisfactory, they feel they have limited opportunities to choose local counsel or promote

the use of other Asian American of minority
attorneys by their respective corporations. Many
feel that they are slotted into areas focusing on
dealing with specific groups. Indeed, one
tenuously retains a position as in-house counsel
by virtue of the treat of filing a race
discrimination lawsuit against his employer. If
partnership in a law firm represent the pinnacle
of success in one model of the legal profession,
then perhaps the accession to the bench represents
another. Yet here too Asian American attorneys
have faced a very low glass ceiling. During the
early years of the Asian American Bar
Association's existence, for instance, when the
Chicago Bar Association compiled a survey of
judges in Illinois based upon their gender and
race of ethnicity. The notion of judges of Asian
ancestry was so foreign, Asian Americans were not
even included as a category. the first and only
Asian American judge in Illinois was sworn into
office in February, 1991 and that came only after
an intensive two year long lobbying effort by the
Bar Association. Still an associate judge of the

22

23

Circuit Court of Cook County, this sole judge in the state serves at the very lowest level in the Illinois judiciary. Asian Americans who have run in elections for positions as judges have been defeated each time. The situation is not much better at the federal level. There the sole Asian serves as an immigration judge. The lack of Asian Americans in the judiciary in Illinois does not simply reflect the small population. Indeed, states with much smaller Asian American populations, both by percentage of a population and sheer numbers have more Asian American judges. Arizona, for instance, has four judges of Asian American ancestry, including the Honorable Thomas Tang of the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. has eight judges of Asian American Ancestry, Washington has 14 and Utah and Pennsylvania have 12 each.

Recent debate over judicial election methods have served to underscore Asian Americans and their lack of representation in the judiciary. Appointive selection proponents point to poor voter turn out and lack of voter education

regarding judicial election, yet Asian Americans have not seen appointive selection likely to increase opportunities for them to serve on the bench, especially given some of the same concerns about the so called qualified minority.

Judicial sub districts on the other hand, which was promoted as a means of increasing minority representation on the bench has been successful for African Americans, Hispanic Colleagues. Politics has prevented any sub district from having an Asian majority. Indeed those areas where an Asian subdistrict could conceiveably exist, those places have been split to satisfactory other interests. Thus neither approaches realistically enhances opportunities for Asian Americans to reach the bench.

The lack of Asian American judges may be tied to some degree to glass ceiling issues both in private practice and also in government work. Regrettably, Asian American attorneys who seek a career in government service may not fair much better than their colleagues in private practice. Hiring is certainly one problem. When

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the Asian American bar Association was solicited for names of Asian American attorneys who might be interested in being considered for a vacancy in the Northern District of Illinois, we had to evaluate our own membership to determine who, if anyone, had the requisite skills and experience. We were forced to acknowledge that few had the federal trial experience to match other potential candidates. Yet, this was not simply just a cause of lack of interest or youth resulting in limited experience. If an attorney wishes to be a viable candidate for the federal bench, politics aside, the attorney would do well to seek federal court and trial experience. And the best sources for such experience are large law firms that have clients likely to be involved with other large business partners in deals or arrangements in excess of the jurisdictional minimum or while age Asian American attorneys seem to be able to acquire entry level positions in the firms, we have already discussed some of the obstacles making it unlikely that they will remain there. Another source of federal trial will be working

22

23

for government. Yet government hiring has been spotty at best and the working conditions sametimes painful. For instance the U.S. Attorney's office for the Northern District of Illinois which offers significant opportunity for federal trial experience, employs 130 attorneys, yet in the entire history of the office, it has hired only one Asian American attorney. Federal Defenders have not hired any. And certainly it varies from agency to agency. While we have here some very good reports from the Asian American attorneys working for the Environmental Protection Agency, by contrast, however, we understand that an Asian American attorney who was working for the Department of Health and Human Services was instructed by a superior to arrive at the office before anyone else in order to make the coffee.

At state and local level, government opportunities for attorneys who are Asian

Americans have been more frequent than at federal level, but still rather limited. According to the Chicago lawyer, the office of the Illinois

€.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Attorney General employs 256 at nice, 5 of whom are Asian American. The Cook County States Attorney employs 888 attorneys, 24 of whom are Asian Americans. The Cook County Public Defender employs 490 attorneys, 9 of whom are Asian The City of Chicago Corporation Americans. Counsel: employees 246 attorneys, 4 of whom are Asian American. With the exception of the Coke County States Attorneys office where a few Asian Americans have reached supervisory positions, most of these other positions held by Asian American attorneys are at or near entry level. Since many of the positions of both

Since many of the positions of both government and private practice entail trial work, Asian American attorneys interested in pursuing such jobs are put in disadvantage by stereotypes and other false perceptions about Asian Americans. For example, common stereotype qualities for Asian American include being quiet, passive, docile, meek, mild mannered, inscrutable, traits one would not necessafily would want in an attorney. Part of the problem stems from the lack of Asian American role models for young lawyers and law

students for both Asian and non Asian students.

They have few opportunities to discover firsthand the fallacies of these stereotypes. Although the number of Asian Americans enrolled in law shoools are growing, both Asian American and non Asian American law students and lawyers need to see Asian American role models. Asian Americans as partners in law firms, as judges, as trial attorneys, as Corporation Counsel and certainly as law school professors. Especially in the classroom where a teacher or professor is presumed to command some modicum of respect, one would hope to fine opportunities to challenge respect and expand expectations as to who can be successful in the legal profession.

Despite growing Asian American enrollment, however, law schools are not providing these models. According to Bruce Schulte,

Assistant Dean of IIT Kent College School of Law approximately one third of his school's entering class this fall was Asian American. At

Northwestern University School of Law the enrollment was approximately 18 percent. In spite

of growing Asian American enrollment, however, of the six law schools in the metropolitan Chicago area, only one has an Asian American employed full time on tenure track. Two others share an Asian American action professors. Furthermore, we should exercise caution when discussing the growing Asian American enrollment in these law schools. While record numbers of Japanese, Chinese, Korean, Indian, Pakistanian, Philippino American students are entering law schools, some part of the Asian American community are still grossly underrepresented in the legal profession. In Illinois, for instance, there's only one licensed attorney of Vietnamese ancestry, two of Indonesian ancestry. To the best of our knowledge, there are none from the Thai, Cambodian or Laotian community. Model minority myths aside, while these record numbers of Asian Americans are pursuing a legal education and a career in law, increasing law school admissions and entry level hiring may be creating a false perception that the legal profession may offer limitless opportunities to a bright, energetic, ambitious young person.

In realty if that person is an Asian American, they face a rather low and thick glass ceiling based upon and re-enforced by stereotypes and culturally modified behaviors that are almost a complete opposite to the traits that are desired an attorney. The limited or non existent political power, limited or no access to control corporate clients, and limited or narrowly conflined areas of expertise.

In order to make this a reality, to give Asian American attorneys access to real opportunities within and without the profession, I suggest the following: The need to expand affirmative action admissions policies for law schools so as to include underrepresented segments of the Asian American community. The need to implement programs to hire and retain Asian Americans in law school faculty where students of all races and ethnic background can become used to the notion of Asian Americans holding positions of authority, respected in the profession. Programs to encourage the hiring and retention of Asian Americans as government attorneys and in private

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

practice. The encouragement of the appointment of Asian Americans and other minorities to the bench. The publicity and promotion of Asian American attorneys as role models encouraging stimulating open discussion about the problems facing Asian American attorneys and encouraging community and business support for Asian American attorneys as well as educating judges, attorneys, and the public as to the many different ways and styles by which one can be an effective and excellent attorney. Lastly, we need to re-examine, redefine the attributes of what a good attorney should be. Thank you. DR. YVONNE LAU

Asian American Institute (Asian Americans on Chicago Area Campuses: Profiles and Trends)

Hi, I'm Yvonne Lau and I would like to thank all the members of the committee to committing their day here. I know it's a very intense process, but it's been really great to meet all of you. I guess I'm the Assistant Dean of the Loyola University of Chicago and I'm also

w

the other hat I wear is President of the Governing Board of the Asian American Institute. I would like to mention my report today reflects my personal commentary and not necessarily my two institutions, though I would regard my work following the mission of the Asian American Institute in advancing Asian Americans and their communities through research, identification, advocacy.

I'm going to be talking today about Asian Americans on select Illinois college campuses, the profiles and the trends. I guess — Asian Americans, as many other articulate folks have mentioned today, Asian Americans have been historically shrouded I think in mystery and misrepresentation and they continue to be viewed with some confusion. Burdened by general admissibility I believe that Asian Americans are often excluded whether from conversation on race relations in the United States or from institution policy makers. Touted as the fastest growing group, the dramatic increase of various Asian American constitutancies have not necessarily

brought recognition or representation. Nowhere is this more apparent I believe than in higher education. In 1976, 198,000 Asian Americans were involved in higher ed. By 1988 the number had climbed to 497,000 or 4 percent of the higher ed population. Today it is estiamted that Asian American enrollment is hovering around 650,000.

While Asian Americans represent over 3 percent of the population on some midwest college campuses, student enrollments are ranking from 10 to 20 to 35 percent. In some California campuses, Asian American students are close to 30 to 35 percent. These students enrollments however need to be understood in the context not only of admissions, as a popular debate has focused on, but in terms of quality of life on campus. The issues including campus climate, access to services and institutional resources, interethnic differences, student retention, minority status, curriculum, must be considered in their developing a status report on Asian American students.

Another critical area for analysis, I believe, focuses on the status impact of Asian



American administrators. Despite the major increases in student enrollments, faculty and professional staff positions have not risen at the same rate. The gains that have occurred were in full time faculty slot have been disproportionately in non tenure track positions than the tenure track posts. Typically such positions are less secure, less prestigious, and lower pay. Nationally Asian American faculty in '91 numbered about 26,000 or 5 percent of all full time faculty. Similarly to minorities, student ratio, Asian American faculty now are often the largest so call minority faculty. As recent studies show, it is important to aggregate the data for Asian Americans because of fast intergroup differences by ethnicity, nativity, generation, language, gender, and class. Evidence of this need for sub group distinctions comes from noting that among full time Asian faculty, foreign nationals constituted 42 percent. Only 2.8 percent of all higher education faculty are Asian Americans with U.S. citizenship. Most of these faculties are in engineering and science.

Data from a study of minority doctorates indicates
that disproportionately fewer doctorates are
awarded to Asian Americans in a social sciences,
humanities and education. This vast under
representation of Asian American faculty in the
College of Arts and Science poses serious problems
for the rising constituancies of Asian American
undergraduates. The possibility of role model and
mentors in select disciplines contributes to the
: severe occupational seggregation facing Asian
Americans in the workplace. In this study's
limited survey of five Illinois institutions,
efforts were made, given the available data, to
address this concern and this aggregates some
institutional data.

Another issues centers on the severe under representation of Asian American administrators. several studies have found that only one percent executives and managerial positions in higher ed are held by Asian Americans. This lack of presence in upper administration contributes to the omission of Asian Americans of institutional policy, more

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

strategic planning endeavors, and from the absence of Asian American and general dialogue on race relations on campus. A majority of the requested institutional data was received recently, I feel I can only outline some of the projects perameters and present the data in preliminary form. This is a draft outline. I'll present some of this in summary form.

Basically my goal was to provide American profiles on select Illinois higher institutions addressing possible non inclusion of Asian Americans from a minority status or protected classes and affirmative action policies. My method was to obtain student enrollment of undergraduates by race and ethnicity and faculty staff members by race, ethnicity, rank, condition, and gender. Status report. Of the six institutions that I requested from, UIC, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, University of Chicago, Northwestern, DePaul, Loyola, the UIC has not yet responded. Of the five, due to variation of reporting perameters, format, not all the data has been readily

22

23

accessible. For example, only Northwestern provided faculty data by rank, gender and only U of I provided aggregate data detailing tenure track and non tenure track by race. So I do have some charts and tables about it. I guess some of the highlights of the data insofar is that with the exception of DePaul, Asian American undergraduates constitutes the largest minority group on these campuses. DePaul's enrollment is about 5.4 percent Asian American goes to 11 percent for Loyola, 13.6 percent at Northwestern, 11.9 percent at the University of Illinois at Champaign, and 24.5 for ther University of Chicago.

Another table that I have here talks about Asian American faculty. Asian American faculties are not entering these five Illinois campuses at the same rate as Asian American undergraduates. While they do outnumber other minority faculty, they remain vastly under represented in undergraduate divisions of the College of Arts and Science. For instance, besides Loyola's small percentages on the Chicago

23

campuses of Water Tower and Lake Shore which is 2.6 percent faculty, Northwesternm's charts indicates that about 5 percent of the College of Arts and Science faculty Asian American, and also these two institutions in particular have medical I feel that we have to break down the faculty data in terms of divisions because as you can see from the charts later on, a vast majority of the Asian faculty are centered at the medical schools and downtown campuses. So, and then another chart gives a close look at one institution, my institution, Loyola, and sort of the history of freshman enrollment. Given the political context of race relations on college campuses, I feel it important to review the changing demographics of the entire campus population and the varying history. Since tenure rate data was sent by only one U of I, tenure rates have yet to be charted. So far, Asian Americans share the following distribution. They have basically the lowest rates among all the groups charted. Their tenure rate is about 32.9 percent, 12.5 percent tenure track, but not

tenured, and 4.6 percent on non tenure track
positions. This compares to whites at U of I,
55.2 percent tenured, 13.9 percent tenured track,
not tenured and 31 percent on non tenure track.
The African American faculties show, for instance,
41.8 percent tenured, 28.4 percent tenure track,
not tenured, and about 30 percent are non tenure
track. These are troubling statistics for the
Illinois State institutions, raising concerns with
how the private schools compared. Tenure status
is a strong indicator of institutional
committment. As researchers has discussed for all
faculty, but for the faculty of color especially a
disproportionately large increases in non tenure
track positions can negatively impact on faculty
retention. EEOC data indicates that during the
1980s the number of non tenure track positions
could grew at a much faster rate than tenure
positions. For Asian Americans, the percent
changed from '81 to '91, and tenure track
positions was 62 percent. The percent change in
non tenure track was slots 142 percent. If we
breakdown this latest figure by gender, you can

8

11

12

10

1314

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

see a major gender gap, while Asian American men experienced 124 percent increase in non tenure track positions, Asian American women showed a 197 percent increase, almost 200 percent. For whites the gender gap was 23 percent to 59 percent. The total faculty of color, the gender gap was 83 percent and 89 percent.

I'm going to now talk about affirmative action practices and why I don't believe they include Asian Americans in higher ed. Affirmative action, we should understand it has nothing to do with preferential hiring, but with targeted recruitment. I think that affirmative action really when we talk about affirmative action, it really depends on availability. It has nothing to do with population percentages. Affirmative action mandates that the employer looks at what's available and compares that to what they have. So that if utilization is less than availability, yes, the institution or the employer is supposed to make goals. They're supposed to open up the net and bring those who are underutilized in. I think that in terms of

understanding the whole dynamics of affirmative
action and how it impacts on Asian Americans, I
should also mention that since we're talking about
availability, that I think that faculty of color
are widely available. Just to mention that in '81
to '91 faculty of color rose 49 percent. All
faculty rose about 11 percent in that period. And
in terms of how most higher ed administrators
point to the low supply of minority doctorates to
explain why percentages of a faculty of color have
not increased much, yet more researchers, myself
included, would argue that the lack of momentum in
hiring minority faculty can't be explained by
arguments about the availability pool. There's
more evidence to demonstrate that minority
doctorates, including Asian Americans, have not
received faculty positions in proportion to the
number and the Ph.D pool, despite the average
rising number of minority doctorates and the
favorable climate for minority doctorates. I
mentioned minority doctorates with an overarching
caveot. From my preliminary survey and anecdotal
information, Asian Americans by and large are not

considered as part of the targeted group for faculty hiring. For instance. at UIC they offer a special incentive program targeting African American and hispanic Ph.D.s with special funding and special release time. While UIC doesn't offer an official definition of host minority, in reviewing major university wide programs or initiatives, UIC administrators agree that the University attribute minority status to Native Americans, Latinos, and African Americans. interviews with University of Chicago officials responsible for the Committee on Minority Issues, while acknowledging that Asian Americans are included in federal categories, they pointed out that such categories belonged to the government and that those distinctions are not meaningful to the University of Chicago. The committee deserves to "collect and disseminate information about the university's efforts to increase participation in it's programs by members of all targeted minority groups, they're also concerned about the numbers of minority faculty and have worked in finding ways to encourage departmental search committees

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to search as widely as possible for possible candidates from minority groups."

While Asian numbers are included in the chart contained in this report, the term Asian American or the mention of Asian American is seldom found in the text. I could give you many other examples of how Asian Americans are not actively recruited. But getting back to the argument of the wide availability as far as Asian Americans are concerned, I would share with you that Asian Americans experience the largest increase in terms of the number of Ph.D.s, 46 percent in the number of Ph.D.s earned between 1979 and '89. The gain was simultaneous with a doubling of doctorates earned by Asian who are not U.S. citizens. About 5 percent of all full time faculty at the U.S. colleges and universities are Asian American. As I mentioned before, 42 percent of Asian faculty are foreign nationals. About 67 percent are on tenure track positions and 33 percent are on non tenure track positions. nationally in '91. In 1991 doctorates awarded to Asian Americans rose 64 percent. So I think it's

22

23

safe to say, given the rise in undergraduates that Ph.D. production for Asian Americans will continue to rise. Another indicator that Asian Americans are being admitted passively, not included or at worse actively excluded from recruitment compared to other doctorates of color is that only 38 percent of Asian American doctorates reported plans to enter academic positions in 1991. For African Americans, for instance, 59 percent had definite committments to academic positions, and 64 percent of Hispanics Ph.D.s made a committment to academic emproyment. About 51 percent of whites had academic offers. In 89 the faculty surve showed that African Americans, Hispanic and female faculty in general reported at a higher rate receiving firm job offers, whereas Asian American men and white men were the least likely to receive firm job offers.

So these are some of the issues facing Asian Americans concerning their non participation in affirmative action programs.

While I would argue for a systematic analysis of where Asian American utilization rates fall below

availability, I would suggest that reviews must be done department by department or at a minimal, division by division. Because of the severe under representation of Asian Americans in the social science, arts and humanities and education, it would be easy for institutions to mask these gaps for using aggregate numbers, particularly where there are medical schools or engineering schools.

Finally, on the staff side of affirmative action; though I don't have all the data in yet, I would guess that with the 1 percent national ceiling that I mentioned before, affirmative action is not working for Asian Americans in the staff positions. While the number of minority faculty rose, minority full time administrators increased by almost 7,000 during the '80s. Many of these folks were likely to be faculty levels before they were administrators, and since faculty of color are more concentrated in non tenure track positions, the pool of faculty members from where administrative appointments are made is quite small, particularly for Asian Americans who are severly under represented in the graduate school

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of education, the pool of qualified candidates would be severely limited.

In terms of some preliminary policy issues, I guess I see that certainly there's a need for additional data to be disaggregated by Asian ethnic group, by citizenship, by generation, by language skills, et cetera. Certainly other people have talked about the many differences that exists between ethnicity in terms of their target demographics and their needs and just what their major concerns are today. I would also argue for the inclusion of Asian Americans in the so called minority reports, including retention studies, needs assessment, curriculum, agendas because often when you look at minority reports, Asian Americans are rarely mentioned. I would say that we need clarification on the eligiblity of Asian American for affirmative action programs and practices; including faculty and staff hires and graduate training. That we should do more research on the gender gap for Asian Americans in the production of doctorates and faculty hires. And that we should certainly review tenure and

promotional practices to determine causes for low tenure rate in Asian American faculty and concentrate on non tenure track positions and finally, that we should review hiring practices to assess the causes for severe underrepresentation of Asian Americans among higher ed administrators.

In conclusion, as Nancy Chen mentioned this morning, the status and outlook for Asian Americans presents numerous contradictory patterns. While Asian Americans have entered higher ed in large numbers because the basic demographics, immigration trends and the disproportionate investments made in education by Asian American families, the return on their investments are problematic. Their lack of access to role models, mentors and advocates places them in a disadvantage position when it comes to institutional resources and disability. impact of not having a critical mass of faculty evenly distributed across disciplines and administrators who are attendant to their needs and career interests contribute to an acute occupational seggregation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I can share many stories about Asian
American students at campuses all around the U.S.
that have become disenfranchised and
disillusioned, particularly recently with students
who are needs and concern that are rarely
legitimatized. Some of my southeast Asian
students, for instance, who have survived wars,
camps and relocation feel especially invisible on
college campuses and prohibited from entering
graduate college because of a lack of funding.
That's generally excluded, and there's another
instance I think this is just another instance of
Asian Americans being homogenized where all Asians
are viewed, as in this case, model minority
students. If most of the working Asian Americans
that students see on college campuses are
disproportionately seggregated into a various set
of discipline, predominantly into non tenure track
positions that are lower paying, less prestigious
positions, if they see very few people who are
actually in decision making positions and policy
making areas, how are they going to feel about
themselves. We are unfortunately telling them to

22

23

disinvest in themselves. Already some of the repercussions of this are evident, a higher suicide rate among Asian American college students, a higher drop out rate and in later years, an overtrained, overeducated group of professionals who are underemployed and dissatisfied.

I would ask the committee and all those concerned about our childen, about our future generation of leaders, scholars, artists, writers, to help combat the serious systemic barriers to the education of career advancement of Asian Americans. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you, and our final speaker, Mr. Silverman.

DR. EDWIN SILVERMAN

Illinois Department of Public Aid (The Health Care Crises facing Asian American Immigrants and Refugees)

Madam Chair, thank you very much for this apportunity; I'm very pleased to be here. I commend you on your perserverance, your capacity to absorb statistic, and mostly for your

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

committment to exploring the issues facing Asian

Americans. The fact is that the civil rights is

not working for Asian Americans. In fact, it's

probably a good estimate that it's not working —

that it's working for no one.

I have coordinated the Southeast Asian Refugee settlement for the State of Illinois since 1976. In that time we've resettled about 40,000 people, mainly from southeast Asia. I'm here as sort of out of place and the only way I can explain it is that I have friends who are cocoanut brown on the outside and white inside. have friends who are bananas and I'd like to think of myself as a hard boiled egg. I've had the opportunity of working not only with the southeast Asian community, but with the greater Asian American community, and it's been an enormous sense of gratification to see the flowering of Asian Americans in the period from 1975 until today. And, as quite clear from the testimonies, we still have, those communities have a long way to go. In addition, Illinois had the first bilingual refugee health screening program in the

22

23

nation and for the last three years I have chaired a national committee on refugee health in an effort to promote sound federal policy in this area, despite the challenge. You know, you've already heard it from the very beginning, the wonderful presentation by Nancy Chen, that this is the largest, most rapidly growing minority More than 40 percent of immigration population. to the United States since 1970 has been Asian and it's high time I believe that our institutions better address the needs of this growing population as well as those of other limited English speaking immigrant groups. The demography of the United States is changing. The white population, which was 86 percent in 1970 is now 75 percent and by 2020 will probably be 50 percent and that, in my mind, is the challenge we have before us.

I will not dwell on the myth of model minority, I'd like to give it a slightly different spin. A recent study indicated that in addition to the model minority, and the growing numbers of professionals, it's true, nevertheless,

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that Asians live below the poverty level at one and a half times the rate of white people, and in the major concentrations of New York, Los Angeles and San Francisco, they are twice likely to be living below the poverty level; twice the rate. The issue being, and this for all minorities, poverty results in poor nutrition, poor health, lack of access to preventative and primary health care, and the data indicates that large numbers of Asians have not escaped the inevitable.

Also, I'm here to help dispel the illusion that Asian American exists only on the east and west coast and that's why I think your activities in particular are so important. seen time and time again discussions in Washington that focus on Asians in San Francisco, Asians in New York and no one every thinks about the Asians in the Midwest. And, in fact, although this is the largest urban concentration of Asians in the Chicago metropolitan area, I know from this experience that there are Asians in Missouri, Iowa, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ohio, Kentucky, and Tennessee, and these are significant

communities. Moreover, there are Asian living in 59 out of 102 counties in the State of Illinois as well. I would like to emphasize that we have a fair share of the problems, that relations, experience, and as demonstrated today by this suburb agenda, we certainly have more of the fair share of the expertise to help solve the problems. Given that very long-winded preface, I realize I have only two, really I have only two major points to pick. One is that Asians do have significant health care needs; and two that overt discrimination and benign neglect impedes licensure preventative health care. Access to primary care and health care research.

Based on those observations, I have three simple recommendations for you. Over 90 percent of the refugees who have resettled from southeast Asia have been screened by our refugees health screening program, and there is limited data nationwide, but I have been able to compare it with data from other states, most significantly, California on one end and Georgia on the other end. But the mythology is remarkably

23

similar. Over 40 percent of the south Asian arrivals carry tuberculosis, ten percent of those people will become active cases. In 1992, nationwide although Asians are only 3 percent of the national population, 40.7 percent of all TB cases were Asian pacific islanders. The CDC, The Center for Disease Control, estimaates that 3/10ths of one percent of the United States population carries Hepatitis B. The limited national data seems to indicate 4 percent among southeast Asians. In Illinois it has been consistently between 12 and 14 percent carrying Hepatitis B, which, beyond it being dangerous, leads to all kinds of further catastrophic The huge cancer rate is 18 percent situations. higher among southeast Asian men than white. Liver cancer rates is two times higher. I could go on with that, but I won't.

Regretfully, a major barrier in developing the understanding of the broader Asian population is the lack of widespread and uniform data collection. Nevertheless, the data does exist that does sound an alarm and at least

7...

present a call for serious data collection
efforts. TB is growing among Asian Pacific
Islanders 5 times faster than the general
population. Falasemia (phonetic), a congenital
blood disorder affects 3 to 7 percent of Chinese
Americans and up to 36 percent of southeast Asians
carry the genetic trait. Nearly 50 percent of the
Asian immigrants carry some kind of intestinal
parasite. Southeast Asian refugees are often
found with multiple parasites which lead to
further disorders and sometimes death.

Mental health crosses it's next

Mental health crosses it's next line. According to the 1992 study, elderly Chinese and Japanese American women have the highest suicide rate of all racial and ethnic groups. The study further indicated that there was 300 percent increase in suicide among Asian American children. Although HIV cases are low among Asian Americans, and that maybe a reporting problem as much as anything else, the Asian American health forum found 150 percent increase between 1989 and 1991.

The evidence that has begun to

23

1

approve was heavily towards the need for research and action in particular the need for comprehensive health assessment to minimize primary and catastrophic care. Preventative measures are all the more important for Asian Americans because in addition to the health problems, they encounter a number of payers and possess a behavioral pattern that exacerbates their needs. Unfamiliar with the western medical scene, many Asians failed to pursue primary care. One study indicated that only ten percent of affected Asians actually sought medical care, otherwise they tried to care for themselves. Another study indicated that Asians are reluctant to provide immunizations for their children. There's a cultural perception that many American diseases as such as HIV, Alcoholism, and substance abuse are not applicable. There is also the reluctance on the part of Asian Americans to discuss some problems with strangers.

When Asian Americans endeavor to
...a.

access health care and if they are limited English
speaking, they are not apt to find professional

incerpretation and information in there hative
language. Often they are not treated with
cultural sensitivity and respect. At root in many
health care related probables is the failure of
the Office of Civil Rights to carefully define
discrimination based on national origin, and to
clarify, prevention or remediation procedures.
And this carries over into two levels. At one
level there's often insurmountable barriers for
Korean pharmacists, Philippino nmurses, or
Pakistanian doctors who immigrants they are needed
and yet they are impeded and often not utilized.
Remedial education through Americanized
credentials, is often non existent. Often the
choice is to go back home, abandon medicine as a
career or start education over again. Foreign
doctors who pass the ECFMG fine have few
internship opportunities. State licensing
regulation often discriminates against foreign
education in the health care field. There is a
clear needs to examine the barriers to
professional careers in particular. There must be
vigilance within the current movement toward

health care reforms. If dependency on health care alliances is the corp of reforms, yet we will need to be a mechanism to guard against discrimination, or in this case a mechanism to make sure that Asian Americans and other immigrants or language minorities are allowed equitable participation in those alliances. In addition, they must be assured if they are professionals, that they can receive hospital affiliations on an equitable basis. $\underline{\ \ }$ Moreover, health care reform must take into account the need for and growing number of native healers in this case in particular, acupuncturists. Acupuncturists have not received equitable recognition by the medical profession. The current practice of acupuncture, which is thousands of years old, has proven effective in treating a whole range of chronic disorders. it's true that Asians coming to America must come to understand western health care practice in order to be assured benefits. It's also true that western medicine should develop more attention and respect for acupuncture and other holistic approaches to health care.

23

1

At a second level of concern there is a lack of appropriate attention to the absence of trained health care interpreters, and that's for all language minorities. If discrimination based on national origin is to be avoided, there must be a concerted effort to train license and diploma interpreters for American's growing limited English speaking population. The need for reliable interpretation in preventative care, primary care and catastrophic care situations is too obvious to belabor. Think about it. 58 percent of the community in this City of Chicago have significant percentages of foreign born. Four of our communities have more than 40 percent foreign born. Six of our communities have more than 30 percent foreign born. 16 communities have more than 20 percent foreign born, 15 have more than ten percent foreign born. Those people are entitled to equitable and accessible health care, and I submit that they are being denied that opportunity if they're not trained interpreters available. 🖡

A recent study by the Chicago

.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

1

Reporter, it's estimated that 20 percent to 25
percent of Chicago residents needed translation
assistance. Now, the Reporter surveyed 177
hospitals and clinics, only 84 responded. Of the
84, only 10 have at any time hired interpreters.
Suburban areas, 2 out of 34 hospitals. Only 42
hospitals in Chicago and 21 suburban facilities
have translated materials. Those materials are
limited and they're generally in Spanish. What
I'm asking is that this Advisory Committee be
aggressive in it's leadership before the
Commission on Civil Rights. With the ever
increasing demographic change which is
irreversible, it's imperative that our
institutions and the health care industry in
particular deal responsibly with that reality. A
proposed result clarifying the roles and
responsibilities of agencies receiving federal
funds and providing services for limited English
speaking person. It was, in fact, drafted. It's
a marvelous document. I saw a pirated copy in
August of 1993. It's still sitting on somebody's
desk and it should at least be published so that

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

there can be discussion, there can be debate and hopefully there can be the raising of institutional awareness. This body could seek answers as to why the EEOC and the Department of Health and Human Services has not released this document.

Second, the Commission on Civil Rights should address a letter to the White House seeking assurance that health care reform will not promote discrimination based on national orgin, either against medical practitioners or the consumers that serve us. You know there have been any number of testimonials in the very lengthy and elaborate testimonies collected by the White House in the formulation of the health care reform policy. Time and again people have raised the issue of the needs of limited English language minority people and there has been absolutely no response. Recently, I believe three weeks ago, the White House had a special session just for Asian American health care concerns, and they listened all day, but there was no result and there is no primise of any results. And there was

no evidence that they've heard the message.

The Commission, third, should urge the Office of Minority Health and the Department of Health and Human Services to draft language minority guidelines for state governments that would provide for needs assessments, coordination of resources, interpreter training, curricula and an interpreter's pool.

Models. All of this information is floating out here and it's not going to come home and come into us unless the federal government codifies it in some way. Thank you very much for the opportunity to share my observations. In closing, I again want to urge the Advisory Committee and the Commission to pursue a very courageous and aggressive strategy, not only to respond to discrimination, not only to express horror as we all must against the number of growing crimes against Asian Americans, especially on the West Coast. This body needs to promote, enhanced group relations and responsive services to language minorities. The expression of the xenophobia captured by the media, the effort by

1

2

3

the Congress to deny benefits to non citizens are very disturbed symptoms of a growing social insecurities, and in an unsettled inclination to lay blame on immigrants just because they are different. The Commission on Civil Rights must help turn that around. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. questions from the panel?

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Dr. Silverman, during the course of the morning I raised a number of questions where panel participants suggested I should at least direct such a question to you when you appeared. Now, as you know, I might have come to that same conclusion without it. I'd like to discuss, therefore, something with you that is not health care crisies on point. It's proposed that all of our welfare payments cease with respect to non citizens. We've heard several times during the course of the day that for a variety of reasons a substantial portions of the Asian population never acquire citizenship and other substantial portions are awaiting citizenship. What will the effect be in the State of Illinois

in terms of clarity in terms of our non public needs in health and otherwise if, in fact, the Congress of the United States passes that kind of a legislation, what will happen? What does it mean to the Asian community? What will it mean to the State of Illinois and what will it mean to the country as a whole?

that or tax dollars will go up because the federal government in denying benefits are simply promoting transfer of costs to state and local governments. Over the past 14 years we have seen a steady erosing in the refugee program. As a small example. Since 1987 our costs per refugees arrival has been cut by 50 percent at the same time the numbers of new arrivals have increased at the same time the differences in ethnic groups have increased.

Currently, nationwide there have been 11 million people who have not accessed or have not naturalized. Now if these people have been here for more than ten years, a large percentage,, and I can't recall the exact, so I

, •.

23

won't even guess. A large percentage are elderly. Elderly immigrant arrivals have a great deal of difficulty mastering the English language, one; two, we have and unfortunate situation with perhaps the exception of the City of Chicago, where INS is wearing two hats, one is law enforcement officer, and the other is an public service agency. Only in Chicago have they worn that hat of public service agency. Outside of Chicago there's tremendous fear on the part of the immigrant community to even deal with INS. that certainly presents a barrier to accessing naturalization. In addition -- well, that's part of the answer to one of your questions. State of Illinois 18 percent of Medicaid costs are for foreign born and so what they are proposing in Washington is that the State of Illinois pick up a hundred percent of those costs. As it is there are a whole range of unreimbursed costs. over the last six years, lost, I don't know, more than two hospitals. We have hositals today who --Mt. Sinai Hospital has a 48 hours cash flow. That's no way to run a multi million dollar

business, and the business devoted to helping indigent people. So, we must make a committment —

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: You've indicated the responses within the State of Illinois are, cost of Medicaid will be born by the State. What else is the effect here? What's the effect on the Asian gommunity?

DR. SILVERMAN: We already have the insufficien resources to provide adequate health care. The whole movement of community-based health has not received very great support from the public sector in part because this whole network is begun to really flourish in a period in which federal resources were being diminished in all other directions. But there are important resources in the Hispanic community, in the Asian community, and in the African American community that needs to be supported.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: You've answered this in terms of health care, and I appreciate that that's the topic that you were asked to address, and if you broaden it beyond health care, what

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

happens to the Asian American Community if this passes?

DR. SILVERMAN: Help me.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I see Ngoan Le has a comment in the rear.

MS. LE: I think the specific proposals are being considered in Congress today talk about the elimination of the SSI program for immigrants, for legal immigrants who are not citizens and the significant percentage of those are from Asia. So, assuming that the legislation is passed, what it would mean that the immigrant's family would have to bear the burden of supporting the families and actually most of the cost associated is associated with mediocal costs and that's where dependence on SSI or SSA would be the most severly impacted.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What happens to maybe other people are seeing it differently. What happens to the old Asian couple who are living three blocks off of Argyle where the only thing they've got to live on are those payments?

DR. SILVERMAN: They're disenfranchised.

23

1

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Forget about disenfranchised, what do they live on?
DR. SILVERMAN: Nothing. They become homeless.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: How many of them are there? How many new homeless are we talking about creating by this piece of legislation?

DR. SILVERMAN: I wouldn't know where to begin to estimate. I know that there are 60,000 foreign born dependents on SSI. One of the confusion things in Congress which is very disturbing to me SSI is a payment not only for the aged, but for the disabled. So potentially you have an immigrant. The immigrant comes here, is working in counters, unfortunately an accident in the work place where otherwise, because of disability is no longer able to provide assistance to his family, become eligible for SSI. Congress is talking as though SSI is only for the aged. That's one. Congress is also disregarding the fact that the real cost for SSI recipients are medical costs, as Ngoan pointed out. According to Business Week immigrants produced \$90 billion in

federal taxes in 1992 and they also estimated \$5
billion repayment in public benefits. Who here
wouldn't invest a dollar to get \$18 back, you
know? Even a more recent study done by the Urban
Institute indicates that very conservatively and
they disregarded the whole range of other positive
asset calculations. According to their
calculations, immigrants pay more than \$30 billion
in taxes, then they retrieve in services. Now,
the situation is that the taxes are paid to the
federal government and the cost for education, for
health care, for infrastructure, they're all state
and local. So they want to show even further the
cost for those services to state and local
governments. Governor Edgar has very strongly
opposed that and will continue to. Recently,
however, in the Ways and Means Committee the SSI
proposal that Ngoan was speaking about lost by 35
to 4. And I guess from the newspaper today next
week it could be a tight score

There being no other questions, I guess we will adjourn for the day and reconvene

tomorrow at 9:30.

I want to thank our panelists for joining us and making their presentations. Thank you.

(The meeting was concluded for the day at 4:45 to reconvened at 9:30 May 26th, 1994.)

ged mile vi.,

CERTIFICATION

I, VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the County of cook, State of Illinois, hereby state that I reported in shorthand the testimony given at the above-entitled cause, and state that this is a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.

VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL, CSR No. 084-00183 Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois