

OKLAHOMA ADVISORY COMMITTEE to the

US COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Senate Room Clarion Hotel 4345 North Lincoln Boulevard Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

June 1, 1994

INDEX

SPEAKER		PAGE
Dr. Earl Mitchell, Jr., Chair Oklahoma Advisory Committee,	rperson (Maraga	4
Melvin L. Jenkins, Director Central Regional Office, USCO	CR	6
Joyce Jenkins-Plunkett, Human Commission Coordinator, City		8
Dr. Joe Lemley, Former Superi Tulsa Vocational Technical Di	ntendent strict	10
Dr. Charles E. Butler, Direct African and African American University of Oklahoma-Norman	Studies	45
Sharon Bishop, Director Parents Reaching Out (PRO), O	klahoma	63
Theotis L. Payne, President Coalition of Civic Leadership		78
Patricia B. Fennell, Executive Latino Community Development		107
Virgil Franklin, JTPA Director Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes, S		143
Cu Nguyen, Co-Founder Asia Society of Oklahoma City		160
Fern Green, Executive Director Oklahoma State Council on Voca		169
Ollie Yeager, Metro Tech gradu	ıate	172
Jack Roper, Program Administra Administrative Services Office State Department of Rehabilita	e of the Oklahoma	193
Clarita Goodwin, Assistant Dep Superintendent, Oklahoma State of Education		211



SPEAKER	PAGE
Dr. Charles O. Hopkins, Assistant State Director Oklahoma Department of Vocational and Technical Education	228
Joe Glenn, Employment and Training Division Oklahoma Employment Security Commission	266
Dr. Kermit R. McMurray, Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education	295
Dr. Kara G. Wilson, Superintendent Metro Tech Vocational Technical Centers	309
George Lamb, Open Session speaker Office of Handicapped Concerns	323

ċ.

PROCEEDINGS

DR. MITCHELL: Good morning. The meeting of the Oklahoma Advisory Committee for the US Commission on Civil Rights shall come to order. For the benefit of those in our audience, I shall introduce myself. My name is Earl Mitchell. I am the chairperson of the Oklahoma Advisory Committee to the US Commission on Civil Rights.

The members of the committee presently here with us this morning is Dr. Maletz from Norman, Ms. Aurora Ramirez Helton from Tulsa, Mr. Bob Giago from Oklahoma City. We have Mr. Charles Fagin from Oklahoma City and Ms. Phyllis Fist from Tulsa.

We are here to conduct a community forum for the purpose of gathering information on selective education and employment issues. We will take a look at the broad range of perspectives in order to identify civil rights issues related to the job training in the employment area and vocational and technical education programs.

The jurisdiction of the commission includes discrimination or denial of equal protection of the law under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or in the administration of justice. Information which relates to the topics of the forum will be especially helpful to the

advisory committee.

The proceedings of this meeting, which are being recorded by a public stenographer -- we have Ms. Sue Brindley from On The Record -- will be sent to the commission for its consideration. Information provided may also be used by the advisory committee to plan future activities.

At the onset, I want to remind everyone present of the ground rules. This is a public meeting open to the media and the general public. We have a full schedule of persons who will be providing information within the limited time we have available.

The time allotted for each presentation must be strictly adhered to. This will include a presentation by each participant, followed by questions by committee members.

And to accommodate persons who have not been invited but wish to make statements, we have scheduled an open session from approximately 4:30 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. this afternoon. Anyone wishing to make a statement during that period should contact Mr. Ascension Hernandez for scheduling.

Written statements may be submitted to the committee members or staff here today or by mail to the US Commission on Civil Rights, 911 Walnut, Suite 3100, Kansas

City, Missouri, 64106. The record of this meeting shall be closed on July 1, 1994.

Though some of the statements made today may be controversial, we want to ensure that all invited guests do not defame or degrade any person or organization. In order to ensure that all aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of experiences and viewpoints have been invited to share information with us.

Any person or any organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in these proceedings should contact our staff during the meeting so that we can provide an opportunity for public response. I urge all persons making presentations to be judicious in their statements.

The advisory committee appreciates the willingness of all participants to share their views and experiences with the committee. Mr. Melvin Jenkins, the director for the regional office, will now share some opening remarks with you.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you, Doctor.

For the record, I want to introduce Ascension
Hernandez, who is the civil rights analyst responsible for
the states of Oklahoma, Kansas, Iowa, Nebraska, and
Missouri. He has been working with the Oklahoma Advisory

Committee for quite some time, and has put together the topic for today's meeting.

In addition to that, we have JoAnn Daniels, who is my administrative assistant, who will take the statements and sign the participants in today.

The topic that we are covering today is one of first impression for the central regional office out of Kansas City, and perhaps for the Commission on Civil Rights itself.

The information that we will gather today and the next day in Tulsa and the background information that has already been prepared will be utilized by the state advisory committee in preparing a report for the national commission.

In addition, once the report has been drafted and approved by the advisory committee, a press conference will be held to release the findings of that report.

Although the report may not contain recommendations, the advisory committee will work with key groups and individuals in the state of Oklahoma to ensure the report is widely disseminated.

The topic, again, is one of first impression.

We hope to be able to utilize the information not only for
the state of Oklahoma, but the findings may well be used
by the other advisory committees in the nine-state region

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

that we handle.

Again, we from the Kansas City office are happy to be in Oklahoma City today and looking forward to hearing the information from the various participants. Thank you.

DR. MITCHELL: Okay. We may proceed with the first item on the agenda, is a welcome by Ms. Joyce Jenkins-Plunkett from the city of Oklahoma City.

MS. JENKINS-PLUNKETT: Yes.

DR. MITCHELL: Would you come up -- yes, please.

MS. JENKINS-PLUNKETT: For the record, I am Joyce Jenkins-Plunkett with the Oklahoma City Human Rights Commission, and I have been given the charge by Mr. Hernandez today to bring the welcome and greetings from the mayor of Oklahoma City, Ronald J. Norrick [phonetic], to this community forum on civil rights issues pertaining to job training and vocational and technical education programs in Oklahoma City.

The office of the mayor applauds the Oklahoma Advisory Committee, the US Commission on Civil Rights, and the central regional division out of Kansas City, Missouri, for bringing together a wealth of knowledgeable participants for gathering information on selected education and employment issues in Oklahoma.

The public community forum is welcomed, and may each community that is represented here today enjoy your visit to Oklahoma City, and we encourage you to visit some of the sights that we have here for you to see today.

To the chairperson of the Oklahoma Advisory

Committee, Dr. Earl Mitchell, to the director of the

central regional division, Mr. Melvin Jenkins: Thank you

and your staff for inviting us to give this welcome on

your program today.

We also welcome your efforts toward making this public community forum in the area of civil rights issues a success today, and we thank you.

DR. MITCHELL: And thank you very much for your presence. We hope you can stay for at least part of it.

MS. JENKINS-PLUNKETT: Yes. I plan to.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you.

MS. JENKINS-PLUNKETT: Thank you.

DR. MITCHELL: Representative Dale Smith of District 27 was on the agenda and is not able to be with us because of death in the family. And we regret the tragedy of the family, and we understand that he could not be here.

And so we will move on the agenda, and we will move with Dr. Joe Lemley, former superintendent of Tulsa Vocational Technical District.

Mr. Lemley?

MR. LEMLEY: Thank you, Earl. I will have a handout for each member of the panel after I make a few comments.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you.

MR. LEMLEY: In visiting with Ascension

Hernandez over the phone a couple of times, I think it was decided that at this particular program -- we are talking about vocational and technical education -- he wanted me to address some issues in the state of Oklahoma and the historical perspectives that we have had over the years in this area.

And I will start by saying that I date back to the old MDTA days, for those of you who remember the Manpower Development Training Act. And then we had the Competence of Employment and Training Act, CETA. We went through those programs.

And then we have the JTPA, the Job Training

Partnership Act, which is prevalent at this point in time.

And then today we have BITS in the state vo-tech system.

We have had that for many years. That is Business

Industrial Training Services.

And I guess Oklahoma was ranked number one in the area of vocational technical education by our own peers, as nation-wide the vocational technical people

voted that Oklahoma had the best system around. It is a premiere system. It is up-front. It is quality.

And the business community and the industrial community -- they have embraced it, because it provides the kinds of skills and the kinds of individuals that they need to run their businesses and industries.

I personally felt -- and I will reserve my comments about the Tulsa area, because that is where we had the first technical school, and I was the first administrator of the first state school. And I will reserve that for tomorrow's program.

But today we will talk about the state-wide system. I do recall Mr. J.B. Perky [phonetic], who was state director at that time, and Mr. Perky was a great man, in my opinion, even though sometimes -- I was an industrial person, and he was an agricultural person, and we talked about Aggies occasionally. He loved Aggies, and I do, too. I went to OSU A&M College then; it was an Aggie school.

But J.B. Perky made this comment in 1964. If you will recall, the Vocational Education Act passed in 1963. Congress passed that act, and by '65, we opened the first school in this state, in Tulsa.

But J.B. Perky made this comment: "If the first school doesn't fly, we won't have any more in the

state. It not only has to be good, it has to be good for something."

So as the first administrator of that school, for the state system to get off the ground, he put the bee on my back to see that the quality was built into that school system. So we started off with that.

It wasn't very long till the law that was passed said these schools are extensions of public high schools. And they had very little vocational technical education, mostly home economics and agriculture, in the public high schools.

That has been traditional since Smith-Hughes [phonetic] Act of 1917 and later the George Barton [phonetic] acts. But, frankly, in 1917, when Senator Smith and Senator Hughes passed that act for vocational education in this country, it was passed for the purpose of having a place for students who weren't academically inclined.

And I don't think there were many people who really believed that training in agriculture at the high school level and training in home economics would provide paycheck employment. It was mainly for farm youngsters who would be farmers and for young ladies who would be housewives. And they were good fields at that time.

Since we have changed that over to some

ATEN & MTG. CO. 800-628-5313

employability -- there is some employability in those fields today -- those programs have been revamped. Thank goodness. They are meeting the needs -- as times changed, those laws were changed, and those programs were changed.

But getting back to this, as soon as we equipped some of the vocational schools in Oklahoma -- and we had an advisory committee, just like your advisory committee here -- commission -- to sit down with us and tell us precisely what equipment we would need to order, what kind of curriculum we would need to institute.

And I recall making a trip back to RaleighDurham, North Carolina, with Dr. Francis Tuttle [phonetic]
and Victor Vanhook [phonetic] and some others, to look at
a curriculum laboratory in that area. And it was great.
But it wasn't good enough to suit Dr. Tuttle and some of
the rest of us.

I said, We need the state curriculum; we need -- you know, if you are going to work on a 1975 or '78 or 1980 model automobile, Chevrolet, you are going to do the same thing to it in Oklahoma you do to it in Michigan or any other place. So you can have state curriculum when you are teaching these kinds of subjects. You can have it standardized.

I said, Why don't we standardize our curriculum and make it competency-based, CBE? You hear a lot about

the results-oriented education we have in the State

Department of Education today, but we started off with

competency-based education.

With advisory committees, we sat and isolated all of the competencies necessary in each occupation we taught in Oklahoma schools, vo-tech schools. Once that was done, Dr. Tuttle said -- we said, Get some money and put in a print shop. You print this curriculum, and the schools will buy it from you. We will buy it. We will pay you what it costs you to print it.

The state of Oklahoma -- the state legislature gave Dr. Tuttle and the State Board of Vocational Education enough money to do that. We built that print shop in Stillwater, Oklahoma. We printed and shipped curriculums -- standardized curriculums all over the state to every school.

As a result of that, industry and business saw that we meant business. And they got behind us, and they helped us financially; they helped with on-the-job training. And that is how this state stepped out front.

And those graduates went out there to work on the same equipment they were trained on, and the curriculum they were taught was the same curriculum the employers said, We want. They must know these kinds of things.

Now, I am an educator -- not a vocational educator. There is no such thing as that. There is no such thing as anything other than an educator. You -- I don't like the word "training." I will just -- I will set that out front for you now. You educate human beings, and you train animals.

And I recall, as a small boy going to my first circus, and I was absolutely astounded. I had been taught that fire was the greatest enemy of animals in the forest. When I saw a guy pop a whip, and I saw a tiger jump through a burning hoop, when enemy — his greatest enemy was fire, I said, That takes a lot of training. I knew that took a lot of training. Okay. Repetition, repetition; rewards, rewards.

Later on, I talked to the trainer, and he said, Well, sir, when it was smaller, he says he used to feed it, And I would feed it closer and closer to the flame. And, finally, I would hold the food on the other side of the burning hoop, so it would have to go through to get it. That is a reward. You get through here, and you will get a reward.

The reward for excellent training or education in the vo-tech system -- the reward is good employment, well-paid employment, up-to-date technical employment.

So there still are those in our midst who

really would like to separate higher education, vocational technical education, and public schools, period. Yet I would like to suggest -- and I was just getting ready to tell one of the committee persons, and I won't forget to do this.

I told a board of education one time, I said,

If I -- I don't want to be your superintendent, but if I

were your superintendent, I would take an ad out in the

Tulsa World, in the Tribune, a full-page ad perhaps, a

letter -- open letter to parents, and I would just simply

state: If you guarantee to keep your children in school

till they finish our system, the board of education and

the administration of this school district will promise

you three things in 13 years.

We are talking about kindergarten through the twelfth grade. We will promise you that, when they complete the system, they can, if so desire, access higher education.

We will also assure you that they will have skills -- if they elect to enter the labor force, they will also have skills acceptable to employers. And, furthermore, we will guarantee literacy. Three things in 13 years.

I said, Now, I don't see how in the world the taxpayer could argue that. But that gives us more time

than we really need. But I said, If we can't get it done in 13 years, we ought to get out of the business.

Those three kinds of concepts -- we wouldn't be in business today here in Oklahoma. We would never have had a first vo-tech school, had it not been for the fact that we had far too many young people hitting the streets all over the state -- little country schools, city schools -- might have a high school diploma or might not, but they couldn't do anything in the workplace.

So someone got the idea in Congress. Let's pass a vocational education act, and let's pay half the bills as an incentive for school districts to develop schools that will help these kids.

I call him them kids; there are young adults.

I was telling a member a while ago the first time I put adults in a high school class I almost got shot. Well, I have got two vacant seats. I said, I am looking for a return on the investment. I have got -- you won't let me keep but 18 students. I want -- I have got 16; I have got two more -- I have got two young adults I want to put in there.

And I finally convinced the hierarchy in this state that it wasn't a crime to mix young adults and high school kids together, if their ignorance level happened to be the same. And that is what it was.

18 |

So I am extremely pleased to be asked to say a few words today and tell you that this state has bent over backwards. It may be the only state in the union that has the capability of entering a contract through the governor's office -- and having our own State Board of Vocational Education has a lot to do with that.

I have to give Senator Bartlett, who was then Governor Bartlett of this state -- Governor David Bartlett is one that helped us get our state board.

Now, that state board is the State Board of Education we have here for the public schools, except it has another six members appointed from industry and business that sit with that board when they have a vocational tech meeting. They are the employers. They are the employers. They are the employers. They are the employers. They say, Well, yes, you need to do this.

So having your own state board, with half of that board being industrial and business people, made it possible to go first class. Now, it costs a little extra to go first class. My wife is always asking me when are you going to pay the difference? But it costs a little extra to go first class. But what does it cost if there is no class at all? What if you make an investment and there is no return on the investment?

And that is what it has been happening in some

of our schools all over the country. And sadly to say, I have visited a lot of states and looked at their programs. And the first one I visited was in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, the vocational tech school in Bucks County, opened in '54, nine years before the '63 act passed.

Those school districts got together and paid their own bills. Every school district participating paid so much money into the pot. And then they had somebody run it for them. And they decided who would go over there. And they sent the kids over there that they didn't want in the high schools. I spent two or three days over there, and it didn't take me long to find that out.

And those kids didn't want to be there. They didn't choose to go there in the first place. They later changed that system, and they enrolled through their high schools.

Well, we came back and we profited from looking at other people's mistakes. They learned their mistakes early. And the youngsters stayed there several weeks and then went back to the high school a few weeks.

Well, we kept -- in Oklahoma, the high school student spends half their day in their high school, and half a day in their tech school. They are never separated from either institution. So they feel a part of both all the time.

2 |

Psychologically, we had to look at social concepts, psychological concepts, everything in the world to overcome the citizenry's attitude that, if you can't hack academics -- I will make one final statement here, and I can prove this, because I have researched it for years.

We found out that the achievement at the local high school level in certain subjects had no bearing on their ability to achieve at the tech school. In other words, it came right down to this, that achievement coordinates more closely with interest than IQ. We found that out.

And we then knew why a lot of those youngsters were flunking out of high school and had D's and F's and dropped out or quit, and they come out and take something they had an interest in and make straight A's in it. So interest correlates with achievement more closely than ability.

So I never did accept this term, He or she is not college material. I do not accept that. I reject that totally, because you don't know that until you put someone in an area where they have some interest and aptitude. And then they achieve.

So we whipped that. That is why today that you have in Oklahoma a technical education system that is

working. It is providing the skilled labor that industry and business needs. It is not -- you are not socially stratified or lowered because of it. It is -- people are proud now to say, I am going to tech.

That wasn't the case -- and I will talk about that tomorrow in Tulsa. That wasn't the case in '65, when we opened the first school. But at least we gave dignity to those who work and produce.

And we don't even have people ashamed of dirtyhands occupations. And there are still a few of those
occupations going. But we make a good grade of Lava soap
in this state, and it works.

DR. MITCHELL: Since you have been retired from the -- since '88, you have hit on the -- some subjects in general. Can you give us some -- can you think of some very specific successes?

MR. LEMLEY: Let me mention one that happened back when we had a 3 percent unemployment. We had a call a call from a vice president at McDonnell-Douglas. He has passed on now, but rest his soul. He was a great guy.

They had a new contract, and they were desperate for airplane mechanics or sheet metal mechanics, aircraft mechanics. They couldn't -- they had big ads in the papers. I read them. They couldn't get a soul to apply.

They couldn't find people to train. They had a training program in-house. They called us in desperation, said, We have got to have help. So we started hunting people down -- we started looking for them.

Now, with 3 percent unemployment, you are looking at people that have never worked in their lives, basically. You are looking at people with zip basic education sometimes.

But we got a class of 28 people. We found them. We pulled them in there. And now getting back to the psychology of training, we had people from those companies coming in every night that would train those adults. And we only lost one. We lost one to the drug habit. But we got some of them off of it, too, and they stayed off.

But we got those people trained, and they compared those people, when they went to work at McDonnell-Douglas, with their in-house training programs, and they equaled or excelled the ones trained in the company.

But they would never have made it, had we not had those gentlemen coming in nightly and saying, Well, you got through another night here now; said, Don't -- you show up tomorrow; don't you be out tomorrow; you stay in here. Attendance was good. We graduated them and put

them all to work, except that one.

But that was a case -- and they met their contract date -- McDonnell-Douglas met their contract dates, because of those extra employees. They were about to lose their shirts on the contract, and they were desperate.

Now, that is what you call and business and industrial training services, and it is tailor-made to their needs. We only taught what they needed. They provided the equipment. They provided the curriculum, the metals.

Some of it was sophisticated. Honey-combing metals in the building of wings, if you will, is -- that is -- and you have a lot of stuff going with that honey-combing. And it is laminated; it isn't riveted. So it was laminations. And we had a lot of technology.

DR. MITCHELL: How would you describe the overall placement and involvement of what we call under-represented groups or protected groups -- blacks, Hispanic, American Indians, women, et cetera?

MR. LEMLEY: We have had good -- well, thanks to -- go back to 1976. Thanks to the vision of some of my board members, and even though some of the legislators didn't all agree with me on it, but I needed in the worst way to get some training facilities developed in north

Tulsa County.

And so I bought and built a campus -- I bought a -- and it was an abandoned building. I brought an architect over to look at it, 100,000 square foot building. It is what you now call the Peoria Campus in Tulsa. It is -- we have added to it, and it is a beautiful campus.

We have trained literally hundreds of minorities, Asiatics as -- all of them. They have all been there. Of course, that is in the north Tulsa community. It is right on Peoria, which is a main thoroughfare, going right on in through Sperry and north Tulsa County.

We had -- oh, I would say, at one time we would probably have 50 or 60 percent of our enrollment would be minorities, because they could get to that place. It was close and handy for them.

The other three campuses in that district aren't as accessible. We have bus routes, but then it costs money to ride buses. But we had a lot of people who walked to that campus, walked to that north campus, and were trained and employed.

So that one facility -- and then our air park over there is also in the north Tulsa County. So we have two of the four campuses in Tulsa located in north Tulsa

County.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we have had a good representation in minority training, and placement has been good. I don't know the last -- I have been retired five years; I don't know what they are right now, but it has been good in the past.

DR. MITCHELL: Committee members, we have got time for a few more questions.

MR. LEMLEY: Any other questions, any -- I would be glad to field any of them.

DR. MITCHELL: Committee members?

MS. FIST: Did you have a recruitment program for students?

MR. LEMLEY: Yes.

MS. FIST: How did that function, and does it function the same way today as it did when you established the campus in '64?

MR. LEMLEY: I started off doing my own recruiting when I was the first administrator. I would call the principals of the high schools and ask them to set up an assembly.

Now, it got to where that they -- because of behavior, they didn't like to have assemblies. I said, I will handle it. I said, Don't worry; we won't have any problems.

But I would go before the assembly programs, and I would have my slide program, and I would show them everything we did in all the classes at that school -- at our school. I used to do that personally.

When it got larger, I had to hire counselors to do it. And they went out and did these things. Then, later on, former graduates — a graduate would come back — and graduates would go into high schools and say, Well, I graduated three years ago, and I am so-and-so now; I am working such-and-such. I am with American Airlines; I am doing this.

We sold the program by word of mouth mainly.

We did send a lot of printed materials to each high

school. But we had -- the last count I had, we had 47

high schools sending students there, including the public

and private and parochial schools.

But I know you are more interested in adults and the needy to be trained, but that is your -- that is where they are coming from. They are coming out of our public high schools. They are -- I don't like the term "dropout." It is negative; it is derogatory. And no one should ever be called a dropout.

There are some real reasons for some people leaving school prior to completion. And some people have to. But there are some times they come back, also. But I

call it leaving prior to completion; that is better than 1 2 dropout. DR. MITCHELL: That is a good term. 3 MR. LEMLEY: And sometimes you encourage them 4 to come back, if you will treat them like human beings. 5 Any other questions? I may be running over my 6 7 time here. DR. MITCHELL: Yes. We have got another 8 9 minute. 10 MS. FIST: I have one. DR. MITCHELL: Go ahead. 11 12 MS. FIST: Thank you. I realize that you worked with mainly a 13 metropolitan area. Do you believe that there is outreach 14 recruitment into the rural communities within the state of 15 Oklahoma? 16 17 I would say for the life blood, if MR. LEMLEY: you are going to maintain, according to the law, that they 18 are extensions of public high schools, and juniors and 19 20 seniors and even sophomore program -- we have now some 21 things going in Tulsa that we need, and that is what we 22 call tech-prep. 23 We have reached a point in technology where that we now have to have some earlier experiences with 24 25 ninth and tenth graders, and sometimes go down as far as

the eighth grade with information and testing, and lead them all the way up, and put them into the training programs, but get them ready for it by their junior and senior years.

But, yes, I don't believe that the -- we probably have the resources available to do as good a job in the rural areas as we do in the metropolitan areas. The metropolitan areas are already there, fairly well condensed, and all these kids in these high schools, and they are all within 25 or 30 minutes of the tech schools.

Sometimes, as an example, you go as far away as Yale, Oklahoma, from Indian Ridge, the school in Stillwater. Yale is a pretty good distance east of Stillwater. But Indian Ridge is the school in Stillwater, Oklahoma; they go -- reach out to Yale.

Now, they can go to Yale. They do a good job with that. But then there is some little country schools, Cole and a few out in the country. And you do have to set up a calendar with those people and go out and tell them what is available and speak to parents and children about what is available for them.

People just have to know what is available.

And it costs a little to do that. But if you don't do it,

you are wasting your money, anyway. You have got to -
they have to know and have access to it.

I would say that that is one of the kinds of 1 things that we need to do a better job of. State-wide, we 2 need to do a better job of that. 3 Yes? MR. FAGIN: Just for information, does for 5 vo-tech training -- I guess I didn't realize this -- does 6 it require a high school equivalent or degree or to be --7 MR. LEMLEY: No. 8 MR. FAGIN: -- or to be in conjunction with it? 9 MR. LEMLEY: No, it doesn't. 10 So people are eligible to enter the 11 MR. FAGIN: 12 program --MR. LEMLEY: That is right. 13 MR. FAGIN: -- without necessarily going toward 14 a high school degree. 15 MR. LEMLEY: That is right. 16 MR. FAGIN: Okay. 17 MR. LEMLEY: As an example, I used to -- I will 18 use the term "bootleg"; it is a good term, I guess. 19 20 used to bootleg --DR. MITCHELL: For Oklahoma, yes. 21 MR. LEMLEY: Yes. I used to bootleg a 16-year-22 old dropout from my public high school into my school as 23 an adult, because, you know, under the federal 24 25 regulations, a 16-year-old out-of-school youth can go to

adult school. And I would put them into adult evening classes and adult day classes, and it gives them training, because they dropped out of public school at age 16.

And so, you know, there is just a lot of ways to skin a cat, so to speak. And so I say, Well, here is a kid that is 16 years old; he is not a junior or senior, but he doesn't know anything about these subjects. So let's put him in there.

I think probably that all institutions -- all public institutions, particularly -- I don't think the private sector is quite as bad as we are in the public sector.

But all public institutions need to look at their testing, their admissions policies -- they -- I made a comment to -- over the phone, I guess, to Ascension the other day that I believe in the right of a person -- if I have a right to pass a subject, surely I have a right to fail one.

And when someone says, Well, you can't take
that -- that is way above your head and your ability; you
can't handle that -- believe me, I know how they feel,
because I got into the wrong class in college one time in
industrial engineering.

And I was in -- it was supposed to have been the first course, and it was the fourth one. And the

professor opened the book and said, Look at problem 10, or whatever it was, and said, You may start on that anytime you would like.

And I looked at that and I was totally lost, and I finally just walked up in front of his desk with my book, and I said -- it was Professor Franklin from Northeastern State University. I said, Dr. Franklin, I am either in the wrong class, or I am too stupid for this program.

He said, What do you mean? I said, I don't know anything about this. I don't understand the first thing about it. He said, Have you had 1, 2, 3 -- have you had this, this, this? I said, No, sir, I haven't. He said, You are in the wrong class. I thanked God and left, because I know how it feels not to be able to handle something.

But, on the other hand, you have a right to try something. If you don't have a right to try, how do you know that you can't do it?

Now, if there is one weakness in all the public institutions that I have ever dealt with -- higher ed, common ed, and voc ed -- if there is any weakness, it is the fact that we don't want people to fail. And we will say, Well, he or she might fail if they get in there.

Well, he or she might pass more often than fail, too.

So I think probably we need to look at people's interests, abilities, and aptitudes, and put in a comprehensive testing program, and guide those people based on their strengths, and put their training programs in the areas of weaknesses, and strengthen those weaknesses.

When we put in reading and math, I thought there was going to be some people in Oklahoma have heart attacks, because math and reading wasn't our business.

But I had juniors and seniors and believe you me that they could not read.

They could not take a blueprint and figure out an overall dimension with fractions and decimals. They couldn't go interchangeably between decimals and fractions. And that was part of industry's needs.

So thanks to Roger Randall [phonetic] and a few others in the Senate -- I visited Cook County Jail in Chicago and visited with some young people there who were on training on machines and learning to read, and I talked with them. They were non-readers when they went to jail. They were going to get a job when they got out, because they were learning to read and do some things.

I wanted those machines, and they cost \$40,000.

And Roger Randall helped me get that money from the state
to put in our first reading and math labs in Tulsa. And

That

now every tech school in this state will show you math and 1 reading labs, because they will have some people who need 2 to be refurbished a little bit; they need some help in 3 those areas. 4 Unless you have the ability to learn, unless 5 you can read the printed word and understand it, your 6 learning process is very limited. 7 DR. MITCHELL: Do you know of public schools 8 that have this system? 9 MR. LEMLEY: Yes. There are some public 10 11 schools that have put this in since we started that. 12 is true. And that is great. MS. HELTON: May I ask a question? 13 DR. MITCHELL: Yes, please. 14 15 MR. LEMLEY: Yes. MS. HELTON: I want to know what your 16 experience has been in dealing with, say, reading-17 deficient Hispanics that might apply to your system? How 18 19 has that been handled? 20 MR. LEMLEY: Well, Mary Lucas was my -- the 21 best communications skill teacher I ever hired. She is 22 retired now. But I had a young man on a visa from Mexico. He is running a -- by the way, he is running an airport in 23 24 Mexico now. He wanted aviation mechanics with us. 25 He stayed with Ms. Mannion in Tulsa. And she

But

1

2

finished our two-year program, and he went back to Mexico 3 to operate his -- for an airport. 4 4 But we had -- she knew Spanish, and she would 5 translate his communications from English into Spanish, 6 7 and back and forth, till he got to be pretty good in English, before he left us, through that process. 8 But she was an expert in communication skills, 9 and she said, Unless he can go back and forth from English 10 to Spanish, he is going to have problems. So she made it 11 12 a --MS. HELTON: That is true. 13 4 14 MR. LEMLEY: Yes. 15 MS. HELTON: I realize that, because I deal with that myself every day. But, say, the average 16 17 Hispanic student in the system -- we have several of them 18 that drop out periodically between the eighth and ninth 19 grade. 20 That is right. MR. LEMLEY: 21 MS. HELTON: And then maybe a year or two 22 later, they decide that they qualify for vo-tech --23 MR. LEMLEY: Right. 24 MS. HELTON: -- or the new name for it. 25 how do they get into the system? What do they do?

has passed on, I think, now, bless her heart. She was a

great woman. But, anyway, he lived with her till he

they test them, or --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LEMLEY: They have some -- they don't have admissions tests. They have some aptitude tests and some interest inventories that they administer, I believe.

But how we handled that one time, we had a group of ladies from -- oh, gosh -- they were -- the Chinese that left China about 100 years ago and went over to that other little country over there by Vietnam someplace, and they couldn't speak English.

> MS. HELTON: Taiwan?

MR. LEMLEY: No, it wasn't Taiwan. It was just across the border.

MS. HELTON: Laos.

MR. LEMLEY: Yes. So we contracted with Tulsa University, and Tulsa University, with their language department, trained those ladies, about 20 of them, in English for so many weeks. And then they sent them to us, and they all took sewing with us.

They wanted to be -- work in a sewing factory. And we trained them in our commercial sewing. In fact, they all got jobs. We placed 100 percent of them. But they learned English at Tulsa University. They provided the staff to teach them.

So there is ways to contract. You -- if you have a class, you can contract for a whole class, if you

wanted to. Now, it is a bigger problem when they are slotted in, when they are mixed in, and you have got two or three in a class, and the teacher can't speak Spanish. And they can't speak English, and they don't understand it.

That is something that needs to be dealt with.

I don't know how we can handle that. At the same time, I

like to see them slotted in. I like to see them mixed in

together. I don't like to have them grouped.

We trained some inmates in from Horace Mann

Pre-release Center in Tulsa. And they wanted to send a

whole class of them out there, and I said, No, I want them

mixed in.

Now, they don't all need the same class. Mix them in. I don't want anybody to know anything about them. I don't want anybody to know that they are an inmate, and nobody will -- they will have some role models to look at. And that helped. We trained a lot of them out of Horace Mann there in Tulsa.

But training is a big word, but remember what I said about training. You train animals and educate human beings. And every time I write an article, I use training, because the state likes to use it. And the national, federal government and the Department of Labor likes to use it.

But that is the difference. But we are 1 animals; we are just rational animals. And we can be 2 trained, and repetition is not a disgrace. That is how 3 animals learn: through repetition. 4 DR. MITCHELL: People, too. 5 MR. LEMLEY: And people, too, because we are 6 animals. We are human animals, and we are rational 7 8 animals, and we can plan, where other animals cannot. That is the difference. Planning is the difference. 9 10 Well, you have been good listeners --DR. MITCHELL: Well, okay. Yes. 11 12 MR. FAGIN: Can I ask one more short question, 13 short answer? 14 DR. MITCHELL: Yes, one more. Okay. And then one for Mr. Jenkins. 15 16 MR. FAGIN: Is there a recruitment or some 17 other outreach program combined with the Department of 18 Human Services and their caseworkers on a state-wide 19 level, so that there is some interaction in terms of how 20 they refer or --21 MR. LEMLEY: I believe at the state level they 22 have instituted a deal, whether it is the Human 23 Services -- DHS -- I know that in Tulsa --MR. FAGIN: Well, I mean state-wide. 24

MR. LEMLEY: Yes, state-wide. I believe that

in their regional or district planning that they do make contacts with the vo-tech schools, because I know in Tulsa they are dealing with DHS regularly. And we have been dealing with them for years.

And it pays off, because we have been responsible, if you will, for helping a lot of people with additional income, more than they had on the welfare system. And, believe me, I have dealt with them long enough to know that nobody -- I don't know of anybody, really, personally that wants to be on welfare.

But if there is no other source of livelihood, even I would be on it. And people have to eat and have shelter. People have to survive. So -- but they don't like it. And they relish getting trained and getting a job and getting off it.

And DHS has been pretty helpful in that respect, in my opinion. They are trying. They are doing the best they can at this point, I believe.

DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Mr. Jenkins has one final question.

MR. JENKINS: Yes, one quick question.

Given your years of experience and working and seeing the program develop on the MDTA, CETA program and the JTPA program, and your involvement in vocational education -- Congress held a series of hearings over two

years ago concerning, one, the lack of minority participation in some of these programs, from a historical perspective even to the Job Training Partnership Act now, and also coupled with this was the creaming process, where you would take the best and take those folks into the education and into those training programs and not really care for those who were "untrainable" in the sense of the federal government or the state government.

evaluate minority participation in the JTPA program and in the vocational educational program, whether or not there has been total involvement -- you talked about the recruitment process -- but the whole idea of the creaming process and how that has affected minority participation.

MR. LEMLEY: Well, I am going -- we are not here -- we are here to try to fix problems, not fix blame. Sometimes when the Congress passes federal legislation regarding the Department of Labor and education and training, sometimes the laws are not the best in the world, but they are good laws basically.

But then they have people who do not pass the laws writing the regulations. So when the Federal Register comes out with the regs in it, and we get that down and we start looking, that is what we -- that is our Bible. That is what we have to go by is those

regulations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 🖟

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I will give you an example: the displaced homemaker. When that program first started, that was the lady who had no training. She had been a housewife. some reason, she lost her husband. For some reason or other, she had to find herself untrained, unemployed, with a child or two.

So we started the displaced homemakers program. Okay. And the regs on that program -- I will give you a perfect example. I remember vividly, because I had to get ornery to get something done about it.

We had group counseling with that group. if you had a program, you would bring all of them in together and you had group counseling, and that is what it was referred to in the regulations.

But I had some of them in nursing school, and they didn't have the books. Some of those medical books were 35 and \$40 apiece. And I had so much federal money, and they didn't have money to buy books. And I wanted to buy books for them. The books were disallowed. The money had to be spent for counseling under the regs.

And I said, Well, I said, Don't come visit my school and check on me, because I am going to see that some of these women have books. And don't ask me how they got them. And, sure enough, I bought some books, and some

of those nurses are working in the hospital in Tulsa today.

They got through nursing school with books. They didn't have books. So you can miss a counseling session or two and not pay a counselor or a speaker to come in and talk with them, and buy a book. And so sometimes you have to do some kinds of things.

and I told our delegation this one time when I was in Washington. I said, after you pass a federal act or law affecting training and education, when the regulations are written and printed in the federal register, you ought to have a committee of people who helped pass that law to look at the regs and see if it is compatible with the law you passed.

Does it meet the intent of the law, or has someone else put some stuff in there that you didn't intend to be in there? Now, that ought to be done, because I have found that in many cases -- many, many cases.

DR. MITCHELL: So, in other words, sometimes some of the guidelines do not enhance the participation of minorities. Is that what you are suggesting?

MR. LEMLEY: That is true.

MR. JENKINS: Are we too concerned about

2_{li}

performance base as opposed to hands-on training? When you look at the regs from DOL, you talk in terms of performance: how many nuts can you turn out, how many bolts you can turn out, how many people in training in this particular area. Are we too caught up in that in writing the regulations?

MR. LEMLEY: I think that is a new fad that has caught on. A hands-on -- well, let me put it this way. I made a statement -- I was giving a talk a few years back, and off the top of my head I made this comment about racing.

I said, you know, we can't all have

Thoroughbreds. But, you know, that is the racehorse. If

you can have a Thoroughbred -- the racehorse is education.

That is the Thoroughbred. Education is the horse;

experience is the jockey. And you can't win the race

without either one of them.

But experience is the hands-on you are mentioning. You are talking about what is very dear to me. Until somebody gets ahold of something and thinks about it and looks at it and takes it apart, puts it back together, tests it -- until people do those things, their performance is -- you can forget about performance.

Their performance is going to be substandard unless they know how to manipulate equipment, service it,

FORM CSR . LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-628-6313

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

deal with it. So I think probably this performance-based thing -- now, we had that -- that is what they call it, PBE, in public schools today.

We had CBE, competency-based. But what we did was isolate the competencies the employers wanted and needed. Now, that is different. We isolated what the employer said, We need. If you don't need a transmission specialist, don't teach transmissions in automotive.

If you are going to put another engine in the car, if it is going to cost you more to overhaul the engine by labor than it will to put another engine in it, don't teach engine overhaul, because the employer will buy another engine and drop it in there.

DR. MITCHELL: Cut your losses early.

MR. LEMLEY: That is right. So that is just a wasted curriculum, wasted time, as being a --

DR. MITCHELL: I am sorry; I have to cut this off, but --

MR. LEMLEY: Yes. Okay.

DR. MITCHELL: You are a wealth of information that I hope we will have some -- you will spend some time with us today, if you have some more time.

MR. LEMLEY: Okay. Let me -- I plan to -- my wife is out with my car, so I will be here till noon at least.

DR. MITCHELL: Okay. But I do appreciate the time that you have given us, and I appreciate the enlightening information that you presented to us.

MR. LEMLEY: I wanted each of you to have some kinds of things that I have alluded to here, for your own information. (Handing documents.)

MS. HELTON: I was one of the ones that was sorry to see you leave from Tulsa.

MR. LEMLEY: Well, after 43 years --

MS. HELTON: I know.

DR. MITCHELL: We can pass that out.

MR. LEMLEY: Yes. Okay.

DR. MITCHELL: Just give it to us; we will pass it out. And thank you very much.

(Pause.)

MR. LEMLEY: Okay. Appreciate it. You are good listeners.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you. I am glad we had the time for you.

We have Dr. Charles Butler -- you may come up -- who is professor of human relations in African American studies -- African and African American studies and educational leadership in the policies of the University of Oklahoma.

Dr. Butler is a native of Oklahoma and has been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

educated throughout the system: high school, college, junior college -- Cameron Junior College -- master's at Central State, doctorate in -- from the University of Oklahoma -- and has been involved in the Human Relations Center for -- I won't tell them how long. But Dr. Butler has been with us for quite some time.

And we are pleased to have you before us to give -- make a presentation, Dr. Butler.

DR. BUTLER: Thank you, Chairperson Mitchell, for the Oklahoma Advisory Committee to the US Civil Rights Commission, which Mr. Jenkins -- for which Mr. Jenkins serves as the central regional office director.

I am thankful to be here. I have the misfortune of following a very learned, knowledgeable I am not quite as knowledgeable as that. We are not even in the ball park. But I do appreciate the opportunity that I have to reflect on the issues that are before the committee and the commission.

I do, in fact, have a statement, which I have taken the time to type and which I will read to you. don't know if the committee would want to have this copy reproduced. I did not reproduce copies of it. I will make it available, and if the committee wishes to do that, it shall -- it can.

I am normally not one who reads statements, but

to bring about a society which is better and more humane than the one that I found at birth.

Should the commission fail, God forbid, in the achievement of its goals, it will not only be a failure of the commission; it will, indeed, be a failure of our whole society.

No doubt, failure would reflect the certain reality that the citizenry does not care enough to initiate or to engage in a proper dialogue, which is a dialogue which would convey to the commission that the achievement of its goals was as important to us as a nation and as individuals.

My presence here is also influenced by the commission's interest in issues of education and employment in Oklahoma. Indeed, my career as a professional educator is involved with both of these highly related entities and processes.

I share the ambivalence of my colleagues in the academy in this education-employment dynamic to which the earlier speaker spoke. The purist in all of us would suggest that education should only have a random relationship to employment, but the realist in us accepts that the vast majority of the citizenry do indeed see education directly and purposely related to employment.

Indeed, many of us in the academy would agree

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that education has, in some respects, been negatively affected by a too-close relationship to employment. motivation presumed to exist when employment is directly linked to education is frequently lost when those who are educated are unable to find employment.

So this questioned issue of employment as the necessary and desired result of education is one that continues to impact job training efforts across the nation.

I am here also today as a citizen as Oklahoma and the metropolitan community in which I am involved in various committees. My background includes education and training experience with federal programs, grant development, and administration.

I have had and do now have professional colleagues, friends, and relatives who have been involved in an assortment of programs supported primarily be the federal government.

And although my direct involvement was 20 years ago, I have retained an interest in such programs and believe that I understand the broad issues related to job training programs in general.

My status as a minority member in higher education has provided me, also, with many opportunities to reflect on and assess the practices and outcomes of

1,

manifest the idea that the larger community has a responsibility to maintain and strengthen the community by strengthening all of its members.

In addition, as a chain is no longer than its weakest link, the community can be no stronger than its weakest element, particularly in an economic sense. And we are all better served when every person in the community can participate in the economic life of the community at the highest level.

These programs have the additional important element of making major programmatic decisions at a relatively local level by a mandated diversity of individuals and interests.

To the extent that people tend to support more fully the activities which they need, the JTPA programs seem to be tailor-made for specific areas and situations. The cultural diversity which characterizes JTPA programs reflects that of our general society.

Obviously, the relatively low level of programmatic standardization make comparative evaluation difficult. Standard performance-based head-count-driven evaluation appears on the surface to be inconsistent with the diversity characterized by JTPA programs in general.

One might raise the question as to whether or not a program which trains and places 100 inner-city

welfare mothers is somehow less important or more deserving than a program which trains and places 800 displaced workers from a major defense industry in similar jobs.

There is no basic disagreement with the performance-based approach to program evaluation and as a criterion for having a proposal funded. Rather, it seems appropriate to diversify criteria for evaluation and funding. And I suspect that this approach may put minority potential contractors at a significant competitive disadvantage.

I recognize the evolution from the early

Manpower Development program days through the CETA era and
to the present-day JTPA era. A distinguishing

evolutionary characteristic of that evaluation is from
less to more involvement in education and training on the
part of the private sector.

It has been, on the main -- in the main, a very desirable evolution, on the one hand. On the other hand, this evolution has frequently put non-private sector potential contractors at a significant disadvantage when competing with superior financial and human resources of the private sector.

Cost-reimbursable programs are a primary examples of the advantage-disadvantage concern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

Community-based African American and other non-profit organizations and agencies are particularly affected in a negative manner.

In many instances, it is the community-based programs with which the participants most identify and to whom they return when things do not work well in the funded programs.

Beyond that, these community-based agencies and organizations frequently serve to legitimize grantees and other deliverers of services in the event they are not community-based organizations. Indeed, many of the support services can probably best be provided by the community-based organizations in question.

Mr. Chairman -- both chairmans -- I believe that no federal or state programs are more important than those that train and educate for employment. programs, like others, are frequently subjected to unwarranted criticism, skepticism, and examination.

My experience is that it takes a fairly long period of time to refine a program to the point that the number of issues of concern are minimized. the programs that endure are those in which these concerns have been minimized to the point that the programs have become institutionalized.

And in that regard, it seems to me that the

.

model of JTPA, in terms of performance-based evaluation, could profitably be applied to other programs that are funded by government at all levels.

Should your hearings indicate -- excuse me; I have a 9:30 sinus voice. Should your hearings indicate that major problems exist in the areas of education and employment programs, I believe that they can be addressed without throwing the baby out with the bath water, so to speak.

In the end, I sincerely trust the commission to be true to its tradition of making the tough decisions and telling it like it is, or as they see it. Obviously, none of the programs that you will examine are perfect or as good as they can and will become. I am confident that your findings will contribute to that improvement.

Finally, I would like to add that it might be appropriate in the future to conduct hearings -- and it follows a little bit along the lines of the former speaker -- in the future to conduct hearings that focus specifically on discrimination issues in education provided in general: public education that is provided by public schools, K through 12.

I would suggest that areas that might be considered include the training and hiring of minority teachers and administrators, the suspension, expulsion,

13:

perhaps the dropout of African American and other ethnically identifiable students, and student assignment and policies and practices that tend to lead to the resegregation of schools, after 20 years of slow but steady progress towards the goal of desegregation and its primary goals of integration and equal educational opportunity.

And I believe strategically that we must insist that schools be desegregated whenever and wherever possible. Not to insist on desegregation is to signal the concession of defeat and give at least a psychological, if not a practical, victory to those who have consistently fought and resisted desegregation and all other efforts to achieve a society which is more free of the types of discrimination with which the commission is concerned.

It certainly would be easy to conclude that since school desegregation facilitated the desegregation and opportunities in non-school sectors of the economy, including housing and employment, that a return to segregated schooling might likewise trigger a resegregation in all of these sectors.

I thank both chairmen for this opportunity. I look forward to the results of your efforts here in Oklahoma. The African and African American Studies

Program at the University of Oklahoma stands ready to

1

undertaking. 2 Thank you very much. I will respond to 3 questions, if you should desire. 4 DR. MITCHELL: Not only have you addressed the 5 points, but you have also given us a challenge -- yes --6 which is pretty big and probably been on our minds for a 7 very long time. 8 And, panel members, do you have any questions 9 or comments? 10 MR. GIAGO: Yes, I do. 11 DR. MITCHELL: Yes, please. 12 MR. GIAGO: Dr. Butler, you mentioned and 13 14 talked a bit about the desegregation of schools, which is probably what we most feel like is always -- should be the 15 way it is now than what it was before this happened. 16 My concern is, do you feel that -- in addition 17 to the desegregation of schools, do you think, say, the 18 19 state vocational boards and the school boards should be more desegregated, as you would say, including all groups 20 21 of the protected classes? 22 DR. BUTLER: Certainly. 23 MR. GIAGO: I am an American Indian. like to say Native American. We don't -- everybody is 24

native Americans living right now.

assist you and the commission in your laudable

24

25

1

2 1

3

4

5

6

7

DR. BUTLER: Most of the people in here, anyway.

MR. GIAGO: We are American Indians coming from different nations. My concern is, because I am involved and have been for the last 20 years here in Oklahoma City with the Native American or the American Indian dealing with the vocational training schools from CETA days on, we are very strong -- I am -- I have to put -- my opinion is I am a very strong individual dealing with the vocational training schools.

Many of the people I get coming in from the rural and reservation areas are coming from isolated areas. So without any more saying on that, back again, do you think the board makeups for a stronger input of the protected classes from all areas -- Indian, Hispanic, oriental, whoever -- do you think the current makeups of these boards of public schools and vocational training schools and universities are equal?

DR. BUTLER: Are proportional -- I --

MR. GIAGO: Proportional.

DR. BUTLER: Okay. I -- in the main, they are There are some exceptions. I think we have made valid efforts on the regents boards of higher education to where you have the appointed process to proportionalize those boards.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think, in school boards, where people are there on the basis of election, I think we simply have not done well with that. And I think my reading of -- as we reflected in The State of Black Oklahoma is that there is a disproportionate under-representation of minorities on local school boards, in spite of the fact that probably a third of the youngsters, K through 12, are minority kids.

But that is not reflected in the makeup of the various kinds of boards, and in particular are the elected boards. So I think we can do better in that, and I think we have to.

> MR. GIAGO: Thank you. I have another --DR. MITCHELL: Sure.

MR. GIAGO: You mentioned also the communitybased organizations in which I am part of. The strong makeup of local CBO boards are representative of the people. I am sure you will agree with that.

DR. BUTLER: Uh-huh.

MR. GIAGO: Over the years, our dealings with other CBOs of other minority groups has been very close. But our common problem, again, is, are we through -- do you feel -- back to JTPA again, with the CBOs, do you feel that the Department of Labor, which is the funding agency of the JTPA -- do you feel that their regulations, their rules, and their policies based on performances are

meeting those most in need at the local community-based level?

DR. BUTLER: I would generally have to say no.

And I suspect that at least part of that has to do with
those rules and regulations that make it more difficult
for community-based organizations to become contractors
for services that are let, and in particular for those
contracts for which there are so-called reimbursable
charges, in which the agencies have to undergo some charge
themselves and then seek to retrieve their money.

And it seems to me that the community-based organizations often are not wealthy enough or big enough to be able to do that and survive until the money comes.

And so I think, to that extent, the community-based organizations, which really ought to have a major piece of that action, I believe, in the education of minorities in those areas, simply aren't able to get that, because they are simply not large enough, in many cases not sophisticated enough, to be able to put together the kinds of packages that you have to put together, with the kind of track record you have got to have to secure contracted funding in the first place. So, in that regard, I think not.

I would say this, and I think it is something that I alluded to in my statement, and that is, I think

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

that the success, though, of many of the programs, in my own mind, will depend very majorly on the extent to which you have the involvement of community-based organizations that are generally representative of those ethnically identifiable communities in question.

And I think, if people are looking for places to go to make it better, in my mind, that is one of the places that they ought to go.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. JENKINS: Let me follow up on that, given your experience in human relations. As you know, the Department of Labor issued the report concerning the Work Force 2000, that you have quite a few minorities and females -- an overriding number of minorities and females in the work force come the year 2000, 2010.

Now, taking a look at that, and given the human relations and types of racial problems that we are going through in May of 1994, and given the work -- the labor force in the year 2000, what type of human relations and racial problems do you see developing with, supposedly, the white male in the minority, as opposed to being in the majority, in some of the programs and some of the skilled areas that will come about as a result of the overriding number of minorities and females in the work population?

> DR. BUTLER: I think -- Mr. Jenkins, I think we

are already starting to see some of the outline, the molding, for that, with the increased number of reverse discrimination suits that are being filed by Caucasian males in particular.

And I think this contamination of the work

place by those attitudes of competition and mistrust and

this kind of sense that somebody is getting what I ought

to have -- so I think that we are already seeing that kind

of contamination in the work force.

And it seems to me that it really says that,
for those organizations that are undergoing major
transformation in the nature of the work place, that they
perhaps ought to spend some time trying to train in areas
of human relations.

I don't think it is enough anymore to simply train people to fix widgets. And I think we have to move beyond that kind of training. I think we have to combine those. But it is certainly not enough to fix the widgets. In fact, as I had my choice, I would do the other, as opposed to fixing the widgets. But that is not a choice that I have to make, and I -- but I recognize that we need both.

But I do envision that -- I, indeed, envision as -- part of the work force makeup of the year 2000 will not only be a work force that is made up of people who are

"indigenous" to the country -- or natives, if you will,
Mr. Giago -- but that is also going to be supplemented and
enhanced by this major amount of immigration that we have
experienced over the course-of-the past 20 years.

And I think I was reading some figures that would indicate that, in the past 20 years, 80 percent of all the immigration that has occurred in the whole world has been immigration to the United States.

And I think we are already seeing pieces of problems, not only society problems generally, that reflect themselves perhaps in a Rodney King type incident, but I suspect in Miami before LA, but I think that kind of competitive animus will probably be reflected in the job force as the better jobs have stronger competition and people are, you know, dealing with this sense of whether or not I have got what is coming to me and so on and so forth.

So I look to see a lot of those things that have to be worked through in the work place, and --

MR. JENKINS: Do you see the onus in the future dealing with the employers or with the educational system or a combination of both -- because so often, as you know, in the minority community we talk about sensitivity diversity training for police officers and for those public servants.

DR. BUTLER: Yes. 1 MR. JENKINS: That is fine and good. But what 2 about those folks who are getting and going through the 3 voc ed programs and through the job training programs? 4 5 DR. BUTLER: Yes. MR. JENKINS: Should that be a part of the 6 7 curriculum process? 8 DR. BUTLER: Oh, I think so. Indeed, it may well be a requirement for funding. You know, to be . 9 contractive -- to become a contractor, one may well have 10 to say, well, you know, in order to qualify for this, then 11 you must either have done this or agreed to this, and you 12 13 may spell out what that is going to be. MR. JENKINS: Thank you. 14 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any other panel 15 questions? 16 17 (No response.) DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much for --18 DR. BUTLER: Thank you. 19 20 DR. MITCHELL: And we would appreciate a copy of your statement at some time. 21 22 DR. BUTLER: Okay. 23 DR. MITCHELL: Oh, copies of your publication, 24 State of Oklahoma -- is that available through the Urban 25 League?

1	DR. BUTLER: The Urban League has we have
2	run out of money to produce many more than we did, Dr.
3	Mitchell, but
4	DR. MITCHELL: It happens every year almost.
5	DR. BUTLER: Okay. But I do have my own
6	private copy that I would make available to you.
7	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. We I am sorry. We
8	have one copy. We can make copies from it. Thank you
9 -	very much
10	Okay. Ms. Sharon Bishop, director of the
11	Parents Reaching Out program, PRO thank you. Good
12	morning.
13	MS. BISHOP: Good morning.
14	DR. MITCHELL: And you may pour your water
15	first.
16	(Pause.)
17	DR. MITCHELL: So for the record, state your
18	name, and do you have a written statement for us?
19	MS. BISHOP: Yes, I do.
20	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you. Proceed.
21	MS. BISHOP: My name is Sharon Bishop, director
22	of PRO, Oklahoma Parents Reaching Out in Oklahoma,
23	Parent Training and Information Center.
24	PRO, Oklahoma is sponsored by United Cerebral
25	Palsy of Oklahoma and is a project of the United States

Department of Education. We are funded at \$141,649 per year.

PRO, Oklahoma is comprised of five staff members, all of whom are parents of children with disabilities.

PRO, Oklahoma Parent Training and Information

Center is a parent-directed center providing services

state-wide to parents and professionals enabling them to

work together to provide an appropriate educational

program for a child with a disability.

Parents of children with disabilities often have needs for specialized information, skills, and training. The child may be of any age from zero to 21, with any type of disability: learning, physical, emotional, or mental.

PRO, Oklahoma provides the following services free state-wide: workshops which would entail basic rights, which is also related laws; IEP, individualized education program; communication skills; early childhood, zero to five, transition; transition from school to work and home to community; specialized workshops and/or presentations; individual assistance and information; parent volunteer advocates; and a newsletter.

The mission statement that we have is Congress has authorized the United States Department of Education's

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Office Programs, OCEP, to found and administer the PTI program as part of the large-scale effort to provide a free and appropriate education for all children with disabilities.

The specific mission of the PTI program is to provide parents of children with disabilities with knowledge, skills, information, and support so they can obtain increased and improved educational services for their children.

The PTI program mission strongly underscores the important role that parents play in their children's education and in helping other parents become more knowledgeable and effective.

The most significant aspect of the mission is the emphasis placed on parent control of the majority membership of organizational specialized governing committee of disability-oriented membership organizations.

During grant year 1992, which is our last physical year, a total of 10,548 individuals were served by PRO, Oklahoma. Of the 5,368 parents served last year, which is an unduplicated count, the minority status was 12 percent, confirmed to be minority parents of children with disabilities.

The PRO, Oklahoma PTI has been in contact with a total of 178 national, state, and local clearinghouses,

agencies, service organizations, and parent groups during our last physical year.

It is an honor to speak to the committee regarding job training and vocational technical education programs and civil rights concerns as it affects minorities and disabled persons.

I would like to speak about general concerns state-wide that is consistent as we assist parents of children with disabilities access and obtain vocational technical education programs.

It has been our experience that the main barriers would be accommodations and options to access programs at the vocational technical programs. Depending on the disability -- be it learning, physical, emotional, or mental -- often limits opportunities for options in programs offered through the vocational technical programs.

Many vocational programs have specialized programs for particular disabilities. These programs vary from one institution to another. Individuals with particular disabilities would then be expected to participate only in that course. Other options are not considered.

When individuals with disabilities complete required assessments, many times individuals, when

ORM CSR - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

persistent, are allowed to attend vocational technical programs under duress.

Accommodations for individuals with physical disabilities are of great concern at vocational technical programs outside of the metro areas. If accommodations are not adequate, then the individuals are not able to access the vocational technical programs at all.

A specific population that needs further study in accessing and obtaining vocational educational programs is the population of developmental disabilities. Limited program options are made available when the population tries to access the vocational technical programs.

When many individuals have pursued vocational educational programs, they have found that the institution was not able to modify programs and/or purchase specialized curriculums.

When the individuals themselves with the disabilities could provide the modified program, specialized curriculums, aids to assist the individual, the institutions were still not able to provide. This resulted in the individuals with disabilities dropping out of vocational technical programs.

Suggestions that would assist vocational technical programs improve services for all individuals with disabilities are as follows: personnel training on

2 d d A A 4 R

disabilities; personnel training on sensitivity awareness; disability laws training, which would include Carl Perkins Act, American Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, IDEA, which is the Individual Disability Education Act.

Procedural safeguards need to be written to
ensure that all individuals with disabilities receive an
appropriate opportunity for vocational technical programs.
All training would benefit administrators and staff of
vocational educational programs.

We ask this committee to take the necessary steps to ensure that all individuals with disabilities receive the opportunity to access and obtain vocational educational programs state-wide.

It has been our experience that job training programs through JTPA are generally good programs when they do exist.

Information contained in my oral presentation or written statement does not necessarily represent opinions of our funding source or sponsor. I will end my oral presentation here and submit my written statement. Thank you for your attention.

DR. MITCHELL: Good disclaimer. One of the things I want to find out about is learning disability. How do you include learning -- do you include learning

disabilities in your -- in terms of the students that you are dealing with, and how do you accommodate the learning disability, in terms of educational opportunities?

MS. BISHOP: Okay. They are included in all disabilities when I say emotional, mental, physical, and learning disabilities. They are incorporated in just as any student. I am not quite sure what specific information you are needing.

DR. MITCHELL: Well, I guess I am -- yes. I quess I am -- in terms of learning disabilities --

MS. BISHOP: You are speaking in general?

DR. MITCHELL: Accommodations -- what kind of accommodations have you seen made for learning disability, particularly the learning disability, as opposed to the physical disability?

MS. BISHOP: At the vocational technical programs, what we have — the feedback we have gotten back from individuals who are successful at the programs at the current time have been that they had the guidance to get into a particular program that was offered at that institution and it worked well for them.

As far as any specialized accommodations or modifications being successful, we have not been a part of that experience of hearing that information.

DR. MITCHELL: You alluded to outside of the --

I guess, the urban area -- rural vo-tech areas. Is there a bigger problem there? You alluded to maybe there would be a larger problem there than the urban?

MS. BISHOP: As far as the accommodations -- DR. MITCHELL: The accommodations.

MS. BISHOP: -- the physical accommodations, because that might vary from one institution to another. But we have had -- or reports that have been reported to us have been the actual accessing the building, and perhaps that has been because they haven't done updated ramifications -- modifications. I am not sure.

One thing I would like to add is that oftentimes individuals, as far as pursuing to try to get the institution to make these kind of barrier-free accommodations, they just don't feel comfortable about doing that. There is a number of reasons.

DR. MITCHELL: Committee? Yes.

MR. FAGIN: This question goes back to something with learning disabilities, because it came up in our discussion -- but, for example, dyslexia and those kinds of things.

There are now many classes -- maybe not enough -- in the public school systems and so forth to help get over those difficulties in reading and writing educational process.

But to try to get into vocational or other educational processes, in your experience or your feedback from those, are those institutions doing anything to accommodate to make it possible for those kinds of children or young adults to have education -- a vo-tech education -- for example, reading difficulties and other kinds of things which take specialized education procedures for it.

MS. BISHOP: Right. Through the local education agencies?

MR. FAGIN: We are just wanting in terms of vo-tech, if you have any at all.

MS. BISHOP: Right.

MR. FAGIN: We should ask those people directly.

MS. BISHOP: Right. The remedial courses at the vocational technical education -- they have had some remedial courses. Some of the institutions have recently got cut on funds through Carl Perkins Act and no longer offer those remedial classes for individuals who might need -- still need further tutoring. And that has been the feedback on that, as far as learning disabilities.

MS. HELTON: May I ask a ku?

MS. BISHOP: Yes, ma'am.

MS. HELTON: How long has your organization

MS. BISHOP: For Oklahoma, it has been in 2 operation for seven years. 3 4 MS. HELTON: Seven years. I wish we would have had it when I was going through the process with my child. 5 It is a good program, and I appreciate it. 6 MS. BISHOP: Thank you. 7 MR. MALETZ: I wondered if there are new 8 technologies coming along that make more occupations 9 accessible to physically disabled people? 10 11 MS. BISHOP: Yes. 12 although I am not aware of specifics. 13 14 MS. BISHOP: 15 16 17 make that a real focus in life. 18 19 20 21 22 23 opportunity. 24

been in existence?

1

MR. MALETZ: I have a sense that there are, Yes. When we talk about America 2000 and the goals for the nation as a whole, as far as work and employment, that does include individuals with disabilities. And the American Disabilities Act helped There are assistive technologies, and that is making it possible for many individuals to be very active in the work place and very competitive. And there -- that is why this is very important that individuals get an MR. MALETZ: Well, is it -- should it be something that the vo-tech system is working on, preparing

people to use these assistive technologies and training people to train others to use them, or can you comment on that? Should this be something that the -- that more effort is devoted to in a systematic way?

MS. BISHOP: I think that would be excellent as far as the suggestions to help individuals as far as personnel training at the vo-techs.

If -- when they would get into the process of disabilities and the laws that relate to them, as far as education as a whole, they would see the need to -- Assistive Technology Act would be a part of those laws that they could also understand. And there are many, many avenues for them to receive the training they need. And then they could incorporate that into their programs at the institutions.

MR. MALETZ: If I may, just one other question. A theme of your remarks seemed to me -- and your statement was very clear and precise. But a theme seemed to me that the vo-tech schools were not flexible enough in or acting quickly enough to meet the needs of people with disabilities.

MS. BISHOP: That is correct.

MR. MALETZ: And, in your opinion, is that because the law and the regulations are not shaped appropriately to encourage them to do that, or is it a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

lack of funding, or is it a lack of understanding? How do you -- what is the root of that inflexibility, if you --

MS. BISHOP: I think it is lack of education and understanding on the individuals' parts that they are not aware of individuals with disabilities.

And that is part of the education as a whole that is in action right now, as far as community awareness with individuals with disabilities. You see more individuals in commercials with disabilities. They are on tv programs now.

And so it is a step-by-step process to educate people and train people on why individuals with disabilities are human beings, too, and have the right to have a life in the United States of America as any individual, and regardless of sex, race, or -- so that it goes back to education and training and to get people not to be fearful.

Oftentimes people are fearful of people who don't have hidden disabilities. There is many individuals -- like a learning disability is a hidden disability oftentimes, or a behavioral problem could be a hidden disability.

But the person with the physical disability are more obvious than -- that does present issues for individuals who may feel uncomfortable, because they

individuals with disabilities. And then that is where the 2 sensitivity training needs to come in, and disability 3 awareness. 4 MR. MALETZ: Thank you. 5 DR. MITCHELL: So it is a little bit more than -- --6 just following the letter of the law. 7 MS. BISHOP: However, the letter of the law is 8 there and is active. 9 Yes. I mean, that is --DR. MITCHELL: 10 MS. BISHOP: Right. It is no excuse. 11 DR. MITCHELL: But it goes -- that is the first 12 step. Yes. 13 14 MS. BISHOP: Right. That is correct. DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Committee members? 15 MR. FAGIN: Well, just one more. 16 17 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. 18 MR. FAGIN: The vo-tech people, I think, are 19 going to tell us they do a pretty good job with this, or try to. But in terms of physical disabilities -- deaf, 20 21 blindness -- are there programs in your experience in 22 which -- again, in vo-tech -- that are available for these 23 people and any recruitment, any efforts? 24 MS. BISHOP: They vary from institution to 25 institution. If you --

haven't had that experience. They are not acquainted with

MR. FAGIN: There are some, though. 1 MS. BISHOP: There are some. 2 MR. FAGIN: That have aggressive programs for 3 this. 4 MS. BISHOP: Right. There are some that have 5 specialized programs for individuals, and then there is 6 others who do not. If you happen to live in that area, 7 then you would be fortunate. 8 If not -- but then, also, what I would like to 9 say is that just because there is a specialized program 10 does not mean that individual with that particular 11 physical disability is interested in that particular 12 13 program. MR. FAGIN: Oh, I understand. 14 MS. BISHOP: They need to be given options, and 15 sometimes that is not made available. 16 17 MR. FAGIN: I understand. MR. MALETZ: When you say there is a particular 18 program, you mean it is a kind of training for one type of 19 20 career or job, and it would be preferable if they had options for many different -- all the different kinds of 21 22 training or education. 23 MS. BISHOP: Have the opportunity to look at 24 all the programs at that institution as any individual

would have the opportunity to do so, and then go from

there.

MR. GIAGO: In your opinion, Ms. Bishop, the barriers that are faced by parents and/or the disabled child and the non-education of those providing the education, you know, in the system — do you feel that cultural differences-play a part in this, and if so, have you experienced this from those that you are working with, from either the parents or the institutions, that that is an actual barrier that they have to deal with, and are they dealing with that?

MS. BISHOP: There are -- and it has been in my experience that there have been reported cases of instances where it was felt it was cultural, yes.

MR. GIAGO: And how are they dealing with that, or can they?

MS. BISHOP: In most situations, it is not being dealt with.

MR. GIAGO: Why would that be?

MS. BISHOP: Because most individuals with a disability or their family member is not pursuing the course. The culture we have seen the most action with would be the African American.

MR. GIAGO: Have you had any dealings with the American Indian and their cultural diversity?

MS. BISHOP: Yes.

1	MR. GIAGO: Is there anyone that you know of in
2	the system the educational system that you have been
3	working with and for readily available to help you in
4 ,	these areas?
5	MS. BISHOP: Yes. It varies from regions
6	across the state and tribes.
7	MR. GIAGO: Okay.
8	DR. MITCHELL: Any other questions?
9	(No response.)
10	DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much for the
11	welcome information.
12	MS. BISHOP: Thank you.
13 +	DR. MITCHELL: And we appreciate the statement.
14	Next is Mr. Theotis Payne, who is president of
15	the Coalition of Civic Leadership. You had no idea you
16	would just run in and sit down, did you?
17	MR. PAYNE: Hey, from the radio station to
18	here.
19 ‡	DR. MITCHELL: Thank you.
20	Charles, will you preside while I
21	(Pause.)
22	MR. PAYNE: My name is Theotis Payne. I am
23	here as the president of the Coalition of Civic
24	Leadership, an umbrella organization of many organizations
25	throughout the great state of Oklahoma, specifically

African American organizations. 1 MR. JENKINS: Do you have a statement? 2 MR. PAYNE: Yes. 3 MR. JENKINS: Oh, go ahead. 4 5 MR. FAGIN: Do you have a prepared statement that you wanted to read or comments then? 6 MR. PAYNE: I do want to make some opening. 7 comments; I do not have a prepared statement. I do in my 8 head, but not on paper. 9 MR. FAGIN: We are ready to proceed, then. 10 11 Thank you. MR. PAYNE: Okay. Thank you very much. 12 13 First of all, I appreciate this opportunity in visiting with the representative from the United States 14 Commission on Civil Rights. I am very clear that this is 15 16 not only a privilege, but an opportunity to speak as an African American. 17 I happen to work at Langston University, which 18 is the only higher education institution that is of 19 minority status here in this state prior to statehood. We 20 21 are approaching our 100-year celebration. 22 But as -- in part of my job at Langston, I go 23 out through six counties in the state to recruit young 24 people from the ages of 12 through 24 to help them 25 understand about the availabilities in education,

including vo-technical education, et cetera.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

I want to talk about what we see as what is, in

my opinion, an institutional bureaucracy. Once upon a

time, there was a coin termed [sic] by Stokley Carmichael

called institutional racism. I want to take it to a 5

higher level of consciousness and define it as

institutional bureaucracy that is not conducive for people

of color, specifically African Americans.

In Oklahoma, we have a real problem with what I call a lack of transportation for African Americans in order that they may achieve some of the same things that the majority of Americans I want to define as Caucasian have access to.

COPTA [phonetic] is an entity that the federal government allows to go in various communities to set up transportation systems.

Just northeast of where we sit is a community called Spencer, where a large population of African Americans live. They do not have access to get the vo-technical systems right here less than a mile from where we sit, a very clear problem of blatant discrimination referring back to institutional bureaucracy.

Once upon a time, there were transit systems in place to help disadvantaged and poor people get to the

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

resources, but due to what they defined as a ridership problem, the program was "eliminated" without any input from the community that was benefitting from the service.

When you go into other parts of Oklahoma -three weeks ago I was a guest at the Interdenominational Ministerial Alliance in McAlester, Oklahoma, where the second top ranked student in that community -- happened tobe an African American female -- did not receive any resources information about vo-technical education, higher education, et cetera, et cetera, no scholarships, et cetera.

But the school had over a half million dollars of scholarships funded to them, a very clear bureaucracy that was not conducive even in the far southeast part of Oklahoma.

Last week I attended Jones High School graduation, where the fifth top student of 65 happened to be an African American, where again there were over a half million dollars of funds for scholarships: no -- zero -funds to this particular student, no information about vo-technical education.

Just simply just a student at a school in rural Oklahoma -- I think there were three African Americans out of the 62 students -- and reiterating, this person was fifth of that class, so clearly a high achiever qualifying

for resources and information in the areas of vo-tech, whether it be nursing or electronics, whatever that program -- no information.

I have been working with her, and she was coming with me today, but I rushed her from my radio show that is on 11:00 and 11:30. So I went out and recorded it early so I could be here, because this is too important to our great state.

But getting back to my point, that we are not mirroring our communities in vo-technical education at all -- in higher education, it is worse.

And when you start talking about vo-technical education and voc rehab education, most of the implementers of the programs in Oklahoma happen to be from the majority community: again, Caucasians that have not had any form of sensitivity training so that they can begin to go out and be what we consider a manager of diversity in dealing with the uniquenesses of those individuals.

And we see this as a clear problem, and there are processes in place to resolve some of them. But, again, we are losing too many young people of African American descent because they have come up with this concept of hopelessness.

And it is clear because of the number of crimes

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

that we are starting to see throughout our community, and when you go out and work with young people like I do -- I work with what some of you all define as street gangs; I just call them people that is in little sets, because the terminology is somewhat user-friendly for people to just stereotype people to make them a part of the problem.

But there are many type of gangs, but I don't want to get into that. I want to stick with the subject that these young people, if they were tested with the sensitivity test -- not a standardized test -- the potential of their success would increase, because the testing mechanisms that are used in most of our schools to determine if children would go to vo-tech sometimes have the biases that most standardized tests have been clearly defined as: They are not racially sensitive.

In our community, most of our students do not know about the two schools of thought from the African American community, and I will just briefly touch on that, which is the W.E.B. Du Bois and Booker T. Washington two schools of thought.

And in paraphrasing it in my own mind to try to help me explain it to you the way that I understand it is some of us will be academicians and educators and lawyers and psychologists, et cetera, but some of us can be great brick masons and carpenters, and et cetera, but because of

the lack of sensitivity, the lack of resources, our children begin to fall between the cracks very early in life.

So by the time vo-technical education becomes an accessibility, the student has begun to accept the fact that they will not succeed based on their ethnicity alone.

So we are just appreciative to be here this morning to make these comments, but specifically to hope that this is not just another form of what I call inertia, just smoke and mirrors, because I am doer.

I am appreciative, again, to make comments.

But I just see too many task forces, too many studies, and no one implement them, other than, once they finish them, they stack them on the shelves.

So I hope -- because I am not as knowledgeable of this process as I probably should be, but then again, if I would, I probably would not have come -- that this will be something that this state can begin to look at, pressured by the federal government, because our state, with the 7.4 African American population, is misleading.

I happen to be on the governor's 2000 Census

Planning Commission Board. I am the only African American
on that board.

And 7.4 is not, clearly, a representative group of African Americans, because when you go into the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

McAlesters, the Muskogees, the Lawtons, the Ardmores, and places like that, some of them were never even approached by the census commission people, who was coming up with the data in order to give us some real tangible data to look at so we can start talking about our problems, because, again, and not to overuse this word, there is no sensitivity.

If someone came to me and stated that they wanted me wanted me to fill out this document, and here I am poor, disadvantaged, in rural Oklahoma, and I happen to be African American, and I might be on some type of funding, be it DHS, ADC, or SSI, et cetera, I would not fill out a piece of paper myself.

Many professionals didn't fill out pieces of paper, because some of us have the part-time jobs on the side that we may have not reported that cash income.

So there is so many problems that are leading to the fact of why our young black students, middle-aged black men and women, older black men and women are not having access to this. It is so extended I think you can't even put a finger on it. It is just an enigma to this point.

So I just hope, again, that whatever we can do -- as the Coalition of Civic Leadership president -that we can make this process user-friendly for the people

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

who are victims of this what I defined as institutional bureaucracy. And that is my opening statement.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.

Committee members?

MR. FAGIN: Well, is the problem you mentioned in terms of outreach into these areas in vocational or other kinds of education -- is that a problem of the educational system, do you think, itself, the schools, or of the vo-tech or even the colleges in not getting into those systems. Where do you see the responsibility?

MR. PAYNE: Well, the responsibility falls on the community. I don't want to make government be the whole institution or solution.

But government should have a key role in creating programs that will get us out of the walls, as we define it, out into the communities, because reach-out is a new scenario, I quess you want to say, of attaching people to people that look like them, that act like them, that have a lot of similarities, to show that there are some resolutions to the poverty or to the -- you know, the color barriers, et cetera, by education involvement.

But if no one is in those communities -because the example in the educational system here, when you look at the secondary education, when you look at all the assistant superintendents up under the superintendent,

_

none are of African American descent.

So when you start talking about bureaucracy and that homogenous group making their decision, all of them look and act alike.

So there is no diversity to even give any input into the problems that we see as we -- the foot soldiers, as I define people like myself -- that we encounter because of the fact that, once we get out there to the young person, many of them-have already been discouraged because 80 percent of the instructors in this state are white females, which no disrespect to white females.

A lot of young African Americans and other ethnic minorities have had very little contact with them in social settings. It always the dictator setting: The instructor is the dictator, and the students are the dictatees. So that clearly shows a systemic problem there.

So -- and more and more African Americans are not going into education because of economics. We clearly see that, I think, the starting salary for those in those fields are 24,000.

And when, you know, you are in a situation where that is not viewed as a lot of money to them, then their minds focus on being an engineer, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. So it is a paradox.

3 "

So again -- but because of the number or the lack of numbers of African Americans in the field -- in these various fields of vo-tech education -- two weeks ago, the vo-tech system -- I don't know if this was a coincident or they knew you all were having this forum.

The vo-tech system invited 100 African

American -- i.e. -- leaders, whatever that is, to the vo-tech system at Francis Tuttle, and then we went out to Canadian Valley, to begin to look at the systemic problems from within to help them recruit African Americans into the field, not just students, but older people that are wanting to change careers, et cetera.

So those type of things should have been going on simultaneously in this community, in Muskogee, in McAlester, in Ardmore, in Lawton. There are large pockets of African Americans all over this state.

But if just those in the urban areas are the only ones that have access to this information, we will continue to get what we give out; that is, nothing.

So I hope I am answering your question, because I really -- if I knew the answer, I would probably be a millionaire, because, you know, in Oklahoma it is just some big bureaucracy.

It is a good-old-boy, good-old-girl system, where a lot of these persons are appointed because they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

put x number of dollars in somebody's campaign or something. So they end up with an assistant superintendentship at the state law.

Now, I don't know about all the different schools. We probably have more school districts in Oklahoma than in some of the larger states like Texas.

So I am just telling it, in my Afro-centric words, like it is, because we need to get down to the core of this problem, because when I was invited yesterday by the lady that is over the Oklahoma juvenile justice system -- she is the coordinator -- she stated to me, Theotis, 85 percent of the people on 5905 North Classen are African American males. We cannot let them go, because they all are here on violent, vicious crimes.

And when I think of African American males being only 3.2 percent of the state's population, but we are 85 percent of the juvenile justice department right here less than a mile from where we sit, that is frightening, because, again, I work with troubled boys. Some of us call them street gangs.

Someday those young boys will be released. And if we don't get them into these vo-technical systems, then we are going to continue to have a high number of what is not a popular subject, black-on-black-related crimes, because it is easier to steal from somebody who look like

you, because they might not turn you in, where if you begin to go into other communities -- i.e., the Quail Creeks and Cherry Hills of the world -- then you may be caught just because you are not supposed to be there, -- anyway.

So I just hope, again, to not ramble to you all -- that this problem is so severe -- in the last two weeks I can tell you of eight murders that I knew the person. That is frightening.

Now, that might be because I work with the people in the world -- some people call it in the street -- but it -- just common people are starting to know these younger adults.

And something like this -- some pressure on this state from the federal government will begin to show that this is blatant racism. I don't know no other way to call it, because no one has really won any class action suits against this state, because this whole state is out of compliance.

There is no doubt in my mind -- and I am not a lawyer. I am speaking as a behavioral scientist. There is no doubt in my mind the civil rights of African Americans and other ethnic minorities are being violated on a day-by-day base.

When you look at the educational system, the

200-628-6313

juvenile system, the criminal justice system, the numbers are too disproportionate. You do not have to have taken research in human relations to understand it. You could just be a common person on the street and see that the media is perpetrating the violence.

There should be class action-cases against the media for not using the educational system as an alternative for society, because society — this society I live in Oklahoma is up under siege, where common people like myself — I am under my own advisement of whether I need to start packing a gun.

You know, I am just telling it like it is, because we are just -- we are in between a rock and a hard place in this state.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. JENKINS: Let me go back to something you said earlier. You indicated that, I guess, oh, 100 black citizens of Oklahoma had been invited to -- by the, I guess, voc education systems.

MR. PAYNE: Vo-tech -- the -- yes, sir.

MR. JENKINS: One of the things that probably I want to touch on is, during the course of the meeting, what recommendations emanated from these black educators to conduct more outreach recruitment services? What advice was given to these persons in power?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAYNE: I thank you for asking that 1 question. Similar to what I stated earlier about having 2 people at the sites of the school -- for instance, I 3 graduated from a school called Dungee [phonetic], where 4 the counselor's function was to be a clinician, not a 5 schedule-of-classing person. And there was some one-onone to try to find out Theotis' strengths, as well as my weaknesses.

Now, this was 22-years ago, where I left a little bitty black school and was accepted into OU "on a voc rehab scholarship," because I have a visual impairment.

If that counselor had not taken those minutes out of the day to find out what strengths were, then no way in the world would OU had accepted me in 1972, when I think there was 620 blacks total in a university that had 25,000 students. But they went out and found us. And these type of things were more appealing to me -- I am speaking first person.

And in that same class, six or seven of my classmates had that clinician approach by our counselor at our school -- not someone from DHS or the Department of Education -- someone who we knew that knew our parents and had contact with us on a day basis, that we knew were in our best interests. That was a suggestion given by that

group.

And, also, in the professional area: There are brick masons, electricians, plumbers that could be teaching some of the courses at some of these vo-tech systems, because they are the experts in those areas, not those of us that went off and got masters or PhDs. Some of us don't even know how to hold bricks that went to some of these universities.

So -- but because of all the rigid structural program that you must qualify for in order to be an instructor, the institutional bureaucracy, again, discourages the specialized person with the Booker T. Washington mentality to even approach the system for a job.

So that was another suggestion. But it was so formal that only 60 of us showed up, because, again, back to the climate, the corporate culture of Oklahoma, sometimes we even think it is inertia when they invite us out.

MR. JENKINS: How -- yes. Go ahead.

DR. MITCHELL: I am sorry. Is there going to be a follow-up on this?

MR. PAYNE: Prayfully. You know, again, they may have heard about this. I don't know. I am just speaking as I know it.

We suggested to them, because of our own concerns, because we failed as a community -- and I want to go on record as saying this. We failed as family members, as people that believe in God -- we failed, also.

But, in Oklahoma, even some of our people that are really trying to do better, they have these one-time things, and they brought us from all over the state just one -- the first time I have ever seen them bring us from all over the state.

The rural part of Oklahoma, they are really going unrepresented, because -- this is a touchy subject, but this is one of my favorite: this equal representation thing.

I think that is the solution to a lot of problems in our state, because when you think of 101 representatives, only two, three being African American; 48 senators, only two being African American -- again, you do not have to take research in human relations to understand that that is disproportionate.

When you say that we only legally have 7.4

percent people fill out the census, well, I will assure

you -- I would say it is 12 percent -- I would almost say

14 percent. Half the black people didn't fill out

censuses.

I would almost risk my salary this month on

1	this, because when you talk to professional people that
2	don't trust bureaucracy and government and census and all
3	that, what do you think about the low socioeconomic
4	African Americans that is in little pockets all over this
5	state that probably even never gotten a letter, because
6	some of these places in rural Oklahoma don't even have
7	addresses
8	So I guess, in not getting off on a tangent, I
9	don't know what the vo-tech system's intent would be to do
10	the follow-up. I don't really know.
11	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. You have another
12	MR. JENKINS: Go on. I can reserve.
13	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. I was make sure that all
14	the
15	MR. JENKINS: Yes. Right.
16	MR. TOURE: I had a question, Mr. Chairman.
17	DR. MITCHELL: Yes, Mr. Toure.
18	MR. TOURE: Of course, I have to warn the panel
19	I know Theotis very well, and we can talk all day here.
20	MR. PAYNE: That is right.
21	MR. TOURE: But I wanted to ask
22	DR. MITCHELL: Well, don't you don't have
23	to.
24	MR. TOURE: I won't do that.
25	DR. MITCHELL: I just wanted to make the record

clear.

MR. TOURE: Specifically, out of the meeting with the vo-tech folks and your experiences in working with young people -- my notion is that we bring about the concept of civil rights and quality and so forth through employment, for one thing. People have jobs and they don't have to kill each other and so forth.

Is the vo-tech system now capable of providing training and, in a sense, jobs to the young people that you deal with, who turn out to be gang members and juvenile delinquents, and when they become adults or when they become treated as adults, become prisoners?

MR. PAYNE: Philosophically, yes; theoretically, no.

MR. TOURE: Okay. Well, how can we turn the philosophy into the actual practice?

MR. PAYNE: Based on that orientation that day, most of us that were there did not know that it was an opportunity for you to be self-taught at your own pace, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

That is a unique concept, because you don't have to go in with a class, and all of you start in December and graduate in May. That part of it was intellectually excitable to those of us that didn't know that we could go tell young people that.

But the second problem with that is -- the second condition that we are talking about is some of us are not disciplined from early enough ages and phases in our life that we can go down and sit at a computer and come out with the same results to pass the test.

So that is why I say it is a twofold problem.

I don't want to use the paradox. It is just a twofold condition, where that if there -- if the concept is going to continue to be that you can be self-directed at pass the test at your own pace, et cetera, then that is acceptable for a certain culture.

But the concept over here is some of us must have instructions for the preparing of the tests prior to taking them, so that if we are staying in there too long, because we don't understand the self-discipline that it takes in going on studying and there won't be a teacher standing over you, et cetera — that that theory could be used at the elementary level, so that the elementary teachers cannot just spend time talking about learning about your A's and your B's and your C's, but spend that time letting students work independently at an earlier age, so that they can have a better concept of what the vo-tech system's approach to obtaining the germane degree or whatever they may be at that particular level.

So I don't know, Representative -- I am -- I

really don't know, because, you know, being a former schoolteacher, some of the students that I had a problem with -- we deemed them as high-challenged, to not call them special ed -- they are good with their hands, but the way the computer system is designed to pass the test, I just don't know if they have the intellectual capacities to feel in the blank.

So maybe if there was like, say, the driver's test. We finally went to where the driver's test is oral, for those that have -- writing skills. Maybe if there is an oral approach to the instruction, more than just a hands-on computer typing approach, maybe that can give a person a connection with another person, because we all learn differently.

And that is about the management of diversity issue that I think is prevalent here, and that is to try to deal with each person uniquely, because --

DR. MITCHELL: Yes. Let me ask about just one point. You say the intellectual capacity, and let me see if I am understanding. Intellectual capacity or intellectual discipline -- when you say intellectual capacity you denote an ability to really learn, as opposed to discipline -- intellectual discipline.

MR. PAYNE: Oh, okay. Well --

DR. MITCHELL: If -- and I am wondering if --

you say people are good with their hands and don't have the intellectual capacity -- or is intellectual discipline? They have not been disciplined enough to use intellectual capacity. You understand what I am saying?

MR. PAYNE: Yes, I do. And you are right. But if the capacity is to sit down at a computer in order to pass the test, and you have never seen a computer until you entered that building, I don't know if that is -- maybe they do not have the intellectual capacity, because some of our schools -- I am talking about poor, disadvantaged black schools now -- they do not have access to computers for every student in the school.

But then, when you go to the vo-tech to learn some of the functions, based on what we observed that day in this orientation, it is the computer that most of the time is the tester. So --

MR. MALETZ: Well, that is sort of a familiarity issue -- familiar -- are people familiar with using computers, and then have they been trained to use them? Are they accustomed to using them?

MR. PAYNE: See, there are some schools that they have this connection with some big organization, and they go and buy them computers. But there are other schools that, just simply because of being impoverished or being African American or in the wrong community or

whatever, they do not have the same access to the volunteers that work with them at that early --

MS. HELTON: But do you feel that just the African American has that particular problem? Don't you think the other minorities might have the same thing -- say, the Asians, the Hispanics, the Indians? They, too, come from poor backgrounds. They are not all very affluent. So therefore some of the -- and then some of them have the language barrier.

MR. PAYNE: Well, now, if you want me to start speaking as a multi-cultural expert, I could go on and on and start talking about single white women and white males that feel threatened.

But I was speaking more Afro-centrically, because I was under the impression that was what my presentation was supposed to be based on, the number and the problem within the African American community.

But I believe it has an as equal of a problem with any individual --

MS. HELTON: That is right.

MR. PAYNE: -- but because -- now, let me say this, and I want to say this carefully but truthfully. Because of the longevity of discrimination that have been placed upon African Americans in this state, that is why I came here with my Afro-American hat on, because where

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

constantly the Dowversus [phonetic] case goes back and forth, back and forth, back and forth.

And being astute and being a civil rights person myself, I know that when you raise the tides, it raises all the ships. And I believe that through a multicultural perspective that this will help Oklahoma as a whole.

But if I don't talk about the number of African American males that are being victimized by the system of bureaucracy and institutional racism, I won't be talking about what I feel is a bigger problem for now for the whole society, when I alluded to the 85 percent young men in the juvenile justice system less than a mile from here.

Now, if that was 85 percent of all ethnic people, then I guess that would piggyback on what you are saying. But those 85 percent are all black boys that will someday be released.

Now, I am just telling it, again, like it is. I am concerned about all people. But this thing around crime and violence that is associated with the lack of access to education is a real problem for black people in this community -- this state community, not this neighborhood community.

So -- but, again, I am not a reverse racist. just felt like that, when you look at the numbers, you

1	won't see those numbers of Asians. You won't see those
2	numbers of American Indians, because of their tribal laws
3	and being able to have the sovereignty, et cetera, et
4	cetera, et cetera.
5	So but I don't come here really prepared,
6	but we could spend a whole 'nother day on that whenever
7	you all come back.
8	MR. TOURE: Follow-up, Mr. Chairman?
9	DR. MITCHELL: We got some people going to
10	address that.
11	MR. TOURE: Just last follow-up.
12	DR. MITCHELL: Yes.
13	MR. TOURE: I just want to know, Theotis,
14	again, based on your visit and could you right now go
15	out I assume that you can go out and pick out 20 kids
16	who are the worst kids in the neighborhood
17	MR. PAYNE: Yes, sir.
18	MR. TOURE: who are probably going to leave
19	high school before they finish.
20	MR. PAYNE: Yes, sir.
21	MR. TOURE: Can you take those 20 kids right
22	now and get them into the vo-tech system, with the idea
23	that they will finish the vo-tech system, have a skill,
24	have all the ability and the wherewithal to become
25	productive members of society, or are there some barriers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

in getting those 20 kids in the vo-tech system and getting them through?

MR. PAYNE: No, sir. Out of those 20 representative -- I am not a numbers person -- maybe two would succeed, because of the fact that, if these are the 20 "problematic" children that we would select, there are so many other systemic problems that they have already been introduced to -- the fear of white males, white females, white police, white judges -- they would be intimidated that this is the fact of -- that this is not for me, because when they would go to the system, there wouldn't be enough people sensitivity-ly trained to deal with the culture -- not the ethnicity, their culture.

Example: Two years ago we had an opportunity to put ten young black boys to work at Seagate, a major company nation-wide that is here in Oklahoma City, to try to start getting agencies and organizations to help us with the alternative problem representative.

Out of those ten boys -- young men, because they was going to be between the age of 18 and 25 -- nine of them completed the program. Five of them are now working other places, thanks to Seagate and the others that worked with them. One of them is in, you know, the correctional facility for murder.

But none of them really benefitted from it,

other than the fact that we hand-picked them. You know, they were gang members. But I hand-picked them so we could do the research game and make the results be high. It is called manipulating the numbers.

But if they were -- if I just went on the street and picked out ten brothers and said, Okay, all ten of you brothers -- or ten American Indians or ten Native Americans or Hispanic Americans -- is off the street; c'mon, you are going to the Seagate, we wouldn't have had that success rate.

So the system is not user-friendly. It is clearly -- even walking through there that day -- and you can ask any other person that was there, because you know most of them -- there was so few people of color until I thought I was in another town. I didn't even realize this existed. And I consider myself bright, intelligent -- I mean, and an Oklahoman.

So -- but, again, I wouldn't have felt comfortable in there preparing to be a skillful person in this particular area, whether it was to be a secretary or whatever, because nobody done knew and understood me as a culture.

And that is the way that people are perceiving: that society is its enemy; government is its enemy. And this commission that you all serve on -- through

litigation, through confrontation, through agitation, we are beginning to break down the walls of this word I defined -- this term I defined earlier as institution bureaucracy.

DR. MITCHELL: If all the people or the majority of the people in this tech system, would that solve the problem?

MR. PAYNE: If all of the students or the -
DR. MITCHELL: The teachers and administrators

were in the vo-tech -- would that solve the problem?

MR. PAYNE: I think that would create another problem. Any problem you solve will create another one. This is the way I personally and professionally feel. But I believe -- again, I -- and being real brief, I believe in multi-culturalism.

It is -- America demographically is clearly changing, where the majority of people in this country by the year 2000 will be of color, will look like this panel. And if we don't utilize that perception and that reality, then we are not continuing to make America what she can and ought to be.

And it is not to be against white males or anything, but I am going to give you a simple example:

100 United States senators. Young people watch C-SPAN,

also, and when they look at 90 of them being millionaires,

FORM CSH - LASEH REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one of them being African American, one of them being Hawaiian -- I am just talking about the reality of the common people, not those of you on this panel that are astute in your fields of civil rights, because most people think we don't need civil rights anymore.

Even those that are involved in it in this state -- we are criticized because there is this mentality that there is this level playing field, until you walk in there and see them 77 judges and only one of them was African American. So -- and that is not when you go to trial court; that is when you go to district court -those kinds of things.

And until we begin to utilize commissions such as this to bring about inclusion, not reverse racism -- I am against any person or any race being treated differently because of their ethnicity.

But the reality of it is when you look at America -- and let's be specific -- when you look at Oklahoma, and you go down to Oklahoma University, where there is no one in power of color -- when you go to Langston, our vice president is Caucasian. Why can't OU, OSU, and all of them have vice presidents of color?

We just got to call it like it is. It is blatant discrimination against the races of people that are not from the majority community, and this state is in

that we are not mirroring the customers. 2 And we pay taxes. And we deserve equal 3 representation at every level of government. And once we 4 get that, then we will begin to get the rewards of what 5 uniquenesses and differences bring about. 6 It is like confrontations with your spouse: 7 They make your loving relationship better. And it is hell 8 when you are going through it, but once you come out of 9 10 it, you feel like you have grown as a couple. And America needs to begin -- this state needs 11 to begin to approach everything like a marriage -- not the 12 divorce rate, the marital rate. 13 DR. MITCHELL: I think we can move ahead. 14 15 MR. PAYNE: Okay. 16 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. PAYNE: Thank you all so much. 17 18 DR. MITCHELL: That was a most enlightening discussion. Thanks. I appreciate it very much. 19 20 I quess -- is it Ms. Pat Fennell -- yes, 21 Fennell -- Ms. Patricia Fennell, executive director of the Latino Community Development Agency. Good morning. 22 MS. FENNELL: Good morning. (Speaking Spanish.)

violation.

1

I am not a lawyer, but I have common sense

I have started my little spiel talking to you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in Spanish, because my experience in this community is that locally, as well as when I have been in Washington in some of the federal agencies, the first question that people ask me is: a Latino agency in Oklahoma? You mean, there are Hispanics in Oklahoma?

And the reality is that, yes, it is a population that is exploding. But we are an invisible community in a lot of ways, and so, therefore, we have been -- we have suffered a very benign neglect. And this is very much exemplified in some of the institutions that you are looking into today.

The fact that there is no recognition that there is a growing Latino community here has promoted a total lack of bilingual, bicultural staff members in the agencies.

And that is one of the basic problems that we have, because not having bilingual, bicultural staff that represents our community and our interests, programs cannot be formulated in a way that reach our community. There is no materials written in Spanish.

We do want -- we encourage people that come to this country to learn English. That is the language of America. But if many of you have studied languages before, that is not an easy and overnight process, and we need to have some kind of bridge while people learn

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

English.

But one of the basic problems is that neither the JTPA offices, nor the vo-tech educational system, has any representation, or if they have a representation, it is a very minimum representation of Hispanics in their staff.

I have brought with me two of my staff members that implement the JTPA program, in case you ask me any technical questions, because one of the things that I personally have experienced in attempting to understand the JTPA program is that it is a very cumbersome program. It has conflicting regulations. You get conflicting information.

And so I considered myself a very competent person, and if I have not been able to understand and get a good hold of the program, I know that it is a program that is very difficult for people to understand and reach.

So I have some of my people that deal with the technical nitty-gritties in case you ask me anything technical, because, you know, I just admit my limitations on that.

One of the big problems that we have that affect particularly the Hispanic community -- and I am sure other minority populations, too, and maybe everybody -- is the process of verification for income in

FORM CSR - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

order to qualify for the JTPA program.

Now, I have to also tell you that in the Latino agency we have been trying to -- we have been implementing a program to serve Hispanics, and, really, we have faced so many barriers, and we have received collaboration from the JTPA office in helping us break down some barriers that have existed here that have prevented Hispanics from being served by JTPA, so that there are some modifications lately. But there is still—a long way to go.

In qualifying people for income -- you know, to determine their eligibility on the income guideline, before we used to have to -- the parents used to present all kinds of proof of income for the last six months.

That -- oftentimes people do not realize the importance of keeping that income. That presupposes, also, that you are going to have employer collaboration in providing the proof of income of someone that has been employed for a period of time.

Now they have gone to allowing the students to qualify for the program simply if they are eligible for the school lunch program. That change has occurred in the last two or three months.

However, also, it is not sufficient now for them to just simply present the form from the counselor with a check mark. What now is required is a copy of the

letter from the Free Nutrition Act office.

Okay. Problems that exist with that: Number one, this, again, supposes that there is a need for school collaboration. If for some reason a school is not able to provide to you or not willing to provide to that information for you, how are you going to determine that the kids are, you know, qualified for the free lunch program.

Now, also, the other interesting program, especially during the summer, is that the school district now is going to offer a JTPA program. So why would they collaborate with a community-based organization that has the cultural sensitivity, that has the bicultural staff to provide the information, when they are competing for it?

You see, one of the basic problems that we have in JTPA is the system of reimbursement -- okay -- which prevents the small agency -- last year -- our agency is three years old. Last year, we were approved for a program -- the year before last -- and \$84,000 to implement the JTPA program. They liked the program. They liked everything.

But for a small agency like ours, that is just starting, that does not have a cash reserve, it is impossible to do it, because the way the program is set up -- and I don't know if those are federal regulations or

local structure of the program -- is that you have to get the students in the process.

That sounds easy, but it is not. You have to motivate the kids. You have to explain to the parents the importance of the program. You have to help them surpass all the barriers to getting them enrolled.

Then you have to retain the kids. You have to, you know, collaborate with employers and so forth. But you do not get any payment for any of that until the students have completed the total process.

So for an agency, that is just starting, to embark on the risk of hiring a full-time person to do the program, you know, and then there is all these barriers to enroll students, how in the heck can we take the chance of going, you know, \$50,000 in the hole? So, obviously, we had to say, Thanks, but no thanks. We could not do the program.

We are three years old now. Our capacity has increased. But, still, you know, it is the kind of program that you begin the program with a chance of going in the hole. So that is a big problem.

Okay. So now you have the school district -you are -- to get the kids eligible for the program, you
depend on the school providing you that certification.
Now, the school has a JTPA program; they have the same

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

thing. They don't get the kids in, they don't get the bucks.

Okay. So why would they collaborate? Why would the schools collaborate with this community-based organizations when they are competing with us? Okay. It doesn't make any sense. And if that is what, you know, gets the kids enrolled, that is a real problem.

Again, the schools in the summertime -- you know, we want to have a summer program with JTPA -- not all the -- the information is not easily accessible through the school district, because everything has shut down for the summer. So, again, it is very difficult to determine the economic eligibility of the kids.

Okay. So now you can get a letter. They have kind of revised this. Okay. We can bypass the thing with the schools. So now you need -- you could get by with a letter from the Free Nutrition Act office. Terrific. Great idea.

Okay. JTPA goes and asks for that. Ut-oh, we can't give you that information. We have this Privacy Act. Okay. So how can we get the kids, you know, deemed eligible? So where is the collaboration that is supposed to be between the Free Nutrition Act office and JTPA?

Okay. That means that the parents are going to have to go to the Nutrition office to request that

information themselves. Okay. They go there; everybody talks English. Many of the parents -- they have lived here for a long time -- how can they communicate with the Free Nutrition office if nobody there speaks Spanish, if they only have the information in English?

Many of the parents have, you know, jobs that are paid by the hour. That means that, if they take time off to go there, they lose income that they can't afford. So there is not a motivation for the parents to go through all that process. So it is making it very difficult.

Many of the parents don't have transportation.

So I think that what we need is an easier process to get the kids -- you know, to determine the financial eligibility, whether it is verification from the counselor by phone or only the form, but some other system, because that has been a real nightmare to overcome that barrier.

Now, if the students are not on the free lunch program, then again we go back to the original system, which is parents have to provide six months of proof of income.

Many people are not sophisticated enough to know that they have to keep all the check stubs and keep all of that. They can't provide that. Many employers don't want to collaborate. So that is putting control on

-

the eligibility on someone else.

We also have a case -- and I know that nationally, you know, we are in a time where we are beginning to do the immigrant bashing thing. So now it is -- I am saying this statement knowing that I am at risk that at some point, you know, that the federal regulations are going to change, and right now there is beginning to be a tremendous surge of discrimination and really hatred against immigrants. So what I am saying is with risk.

But the fact is that we have children who are US born, teenagers that could qualify for the JTPA program, whose parents have been in this country working without the proper documents, paying taxes because they are doing it, you know, through maybe a false card.

But they are here contributing, providing, remaining self-sufficient. They are not depending on programs of assistance. They are working parents. But they cannot present the proof of income because what employer is going to give them a written proof of income that the employers are violating the law?

But the employers love it, because oftentimes they are even paying those parents less than minimum wage. So, you know, it is a Catch-22. So we need to find some system of qualifying -- the economic eligibility has to be modified.

.

Another thing that is incredible to me -- and maybe this happens in other areas, but it was kind of -- the first time that I heard it, I thought, Now, wait a minute; I am not understanding this well enough, like I don't understand a lot of things about JTPA.

But the fact is like I told you -- okay -- that we do not get reimbursed until the students go through the whole process. They go through the 30 days of doing this gymnastics and the 30 days of doing this other gymnastics, and then the other final phase of the program, and then they get certified, and that is when we get our income.

So we have actually operated a program for a period of time that can be three months without any funding coming in.

But the thing that was happening is that the entity that disburses the -- that administers the JTPA funding, which is the city of Oklahoma City, also implements a JTPA program.

Okay. So what happened initially is that our case manager went through the process of selecting the kids, motivating them, telling them how good this is going to be, why it is good, doing the same thing with the parents, explaining to the parents that this is going to -- you know, all these benefits -- helping them go through all of the different barriers that they have to go

RTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

to get certified, and once they get certified, the kids get assigned to another program.

And we have done all this freebie work for the city of Oklahoma City and not gotten a penny for it. So needless to say, we say, Wait a minute; you know, that is not fair, guys.

some reimbursement for all of the processes that I described. But where you really collect the money is at the end of the completion of the program.

But if those kids are routed to the program that the city is offering in competition with ours, it makes it impossible for a community-based organization like ours to reach, and yet, you know, we are the ones that have the cultural expertise, because JTPA doesn't. You know, we have the bicultural staff. They don't.

So -- but we are competing against a massive entity like the city of Oklahoma City as a non-profit community-based small agency, trying to reach and meet the needs of our community, and we are working in opposition with the people who give us the money.

So that is something that I don't understand.

If that is the way it is done in other places, and if
there is some reason for it, you know, I guess I am
limited in not being able to understand that.

I guess that is kind of all that I am going to say about JTPA. I think I kind of have painted the picture a little bit. And if you have any questions on that before I talk a little bit about vo-tech education, I will be glad to do that, or I can go on to say a little bit more about the vo-tech education, however you want to do that.

DR. MITCHELL: Why don't you go on? We will have to sit on our questions until after you cover both parts.

MS. FENNELL: Okay. On vo-tech education, the reality is that many -- we are unfortunately leading the nation now in the school dropout rate. The same thing is happening to Oklahoma.

You see, in Oklahoma, one of the various curious things was that, as I told you before, there is no recognition of an emerging and large Hispanic community.

Well, but let me also share with you that up until July of 1990, there were no Hispanics born in Oklahoma.

Okay. Let me repeat that. Until July of 1990, there were no Hispanics born in the state of Oklahoma.

Okay.

Now, we have done some advocacy work. We have worked on that. We have pushed the right buttons. And now we are registering Hispanic babies. So now, as of

July 1990, we do have Hispanics born in Oklahoma. But guess what? We are now born Hispanic, but we die white. Okay.

So we -- so the point that I am trying to say is that, when it comes to the numbers game, you know, there is no way of documenting what is the school dropout rate, what are the issues, because the kids -- even the school dropout rate is only counted up to -- from a certain grade level.

We are beginning to lose our kids in the grade schools, in the elementary schools. And not only that, but we are beginning to get schools now that are refusing -- against the law, they are refusing here to admit -- to register Hispanic children from undocumented parents or Hispanic children who are undocumented.

And I know that is -- I have been dealing with the school superintendent in his office at the state level, and the districts have been called to attention and they are reversing that.

But those were just instances that we learn about. And we know that in Oklahoma that is happening, you know, everywhere -- if it is happening here, in Oklahoma City, in Edmond, and in Moore, you know that it is going to happen. That particular case did not happen in Oklahoma City district. That happened in Edmond, and

1 | that happened in Moore schools.

But what I am trying to say is that so many of our kids -- our problem in here in the area of education -- it is kind of like the Maslow scale of values, you know. You -- the first thing you have to do is feed the people.

I mean, whereas vo-tech is kind of like having dessert, but we need the entry level first, because you can't get -- you know, you can't get to vo-tech if you have not even completed the sixth grade.

So our educational problem in Oklahoma -- when I say our, I mean Hispanics -- is the fact that we have such a difficult time with educational level in many areas. There is a tremendous amount of inequities.

For instance, in the Oklahoma City public schools, there is a little bit under -- and I did not bring the exact figures, but it is under 900 students in the Asian program and the kids who are in the bilingual program. Okay. They have seven positions in their office.

In the Hispanic program, in the ESL program, we have 3000 kids. Now, that is just on the ESL program, you know, comparable to the Asian program. We have 3000 students, with five positions. That is what I interpret as being inequity. Okay. Because there is not sufficient

services, that is, you know, a way that we begin to lose our kids to dropout.

We also have a big problem here with testing.

I don't know how many of you have been involved with the whole area of testing, but the reality is that we are having kids exempted from the Iowa tests simply for being Hispanic.

Okay. Now, the idea is that, well, listen, these kids, you know, if they don't know English -- if they are not proficient in English, it is not fair to test the kids, because they are not going to do well.

Well, let me tell you, if that is the excuse, we have had -- oh, and the -- how can I say -- the legal sanctioning of that process is that the parents are asked to sign a letter saying that they give permission for the children not to take the test.

Okay. Our parents don't always know best.

They don't understand the whole system. And so when the parents sign the exemption, they are actually allowing the district to fill the legal requirements and exempt the kids legally.

But the reality is that those kids ought to be tested. And so if we are using that language is the reason for the kids not to be tested, why are the letters being sent to parents of Hispanic kids who do not know a

word of Spanish -- if language is the reason for not testing?

so what is happening here is that Hispanic kids, because we are considered to be -- you know, not to perform well -- we bring the scores down, and we want to bring the test scores up -- that our kids get exempted.

And that, you know, is something that we are really fighting. I think the district is maybe making some progress in addressing that. But the reality is that that happens.

And not only that that, you know, contributes to our kids not being identified as kids -- if they don't do well on the test, they need all of the remedial programs to help them meet the needs and do well on the tests -- but it also creates a tremendous problem with self-esteem on the kids.

We have a program that is based in ten schools where we work with at-risk Hispanic kids. And the kids -- you know, they will say, Well, Maestra, you know, we are going to the zoo tomorrow. Heck, why are you going to the zoo tomorrow? Well, because tomorrow is test day, and we are not going to be taking the test.

Well, why are you not going to be taking the test? Well, you know, Maestra, because we bring the test scores down. That is horrible to do to kids, you know.

So when we are talking about vo-tech education, you are talking about the frosting on the cake, but we have to have a cake before we can frost it. And so, you know, I am bringing this out because there is a tremendous amount -- and I know that is not exactly where you are focusing today, but that is where we need to look first.

Now, what happens is that, again, when we get to the area of vo-tech, again, there is not enough bilingual, bicultural staff in the vo-tech school district -- in the system to really design the programs, design the materials, design the outreach efforts, so that it reaches our community.

So the utilization of vo-tech educational system is very minimal with the Hispanic community. There is no classes in Spanish. And sometimes there have been efforts to have a class in Spanish, but the outreach efforts have not been such that our community has responded. So you can't have one without the other.

I think there is a sense of interest in remediating that. In the agency, we are getting ready to develop a multi-service center that is going to be comprehensive with a variety of programs in there.

And we are going to be visiting with vo-tech to invite them to be part of that concept and to have some representation in there, because once you begin to create

those bridges, it is going to be easier for our community to feel, That is a service that is for us, too, and this is the way that I can communicate, and this is how I can go about it.

So we are in the process of creating some bridges there. But the reality is that it has been very difficult for Hispanics to access the vo-tech education.

Now, if a student is enrolled in the public school district, then they have a easier access to vo-tech. Okay. And most of the time the kids are going to know English, but the parents don't. So you need, again, the bilingual staff to help them.

But the reality is that, if we have a person -a young person that has dropped out of school or that is
beyond the public school age level, they cannot find
financial aid to enroll in vo-tech.

And, in Oklahoma, we can be proud that we have, as I understand it, one of the best vo-tech systems in the nation. But it is wonderful, but it is not reaching our community.

And so I am interested in seeing all that wonderful resource that we have in Oklahoma serve our segment of the community. And I don't think that is happening right now for a variety of reasons.

MR. JENKINS: Can you explain why that is not

happening, and what recommendation would you have to remedy that?

MS. FENNELL: Number one, I think they drastically need to increase the number of bilingual, bicultural staff. To me, that is essential, because when you have somebody -- and this is true for all of the other ethnic minorities. You know, every community has its idiosyncracies, the ways that people respond to.

If you don't have somebody within an institution that can guide you -- Hey, the best way to reach this community is by doing an event in such place at such time. Do you know that there are two radio stations in Spanish? Do you know that there is such papers in Spanish? -- that they can communicate.

And, you know, if you have an institution that puts a wonderful ad -- you know, free tuition, free classes in Spanish -- and you put it in the Anglo newspaper, it is not going to do it.

So you have to have more bilingual, bicultural staff, not only in the teaching area itself, in the teaching positions, but in administration, a person that have some say that help design the programs.

And then you need to have front-line people that, when you might have a student that wants to access the vo-tech system, but the parents are not bilingual yet,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, they have some way of communicating. So that is one of -- some of the reasons for that.

MR. JENKINS: Okay.

DR. MITCHELL: If you have difficulty in -well, competing, in terms of with the system for JTPA programs, is there a possibility of some sort of cooperation? Is there a provision for subcontracting? Do you know of any --

MS. FENNELL: Well, my -- you know, my -- as an administrator, I deal with a lot of entities that give funding, you know. Most of the time what occurs is that entities that control the funding provide the services through community-based organizations not in competition with it.

They have been willing to collaborate with us. We have had -- they have made some tremendous changes in the last few months. I mean, for one, the fact that now they can use the school lunch criteria is a big modification.

But still one of the big problems that we have -- again, because as a small agency, I have to be careful how much risk I can run in hiring personnel when I know I am not going to get reimbursement until so many students get enrolled, because if for some reason I cannot get the students, I have gone in the hole financially and

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

I am going to destroy my agency.

So as an administrator, that is very risky. And I don't know if that is the way the programs are established nationally.

DR. MITCHELL: Well, yes, I think there is a problem with this particular program, because there are many other aspects of federal programs and other programs which the money comes when your program starts, and you begin to spend the money as you use the program.

And that is fairly common in almost any kind of a granting situation, where the check gets there and you start spending it as you use it, rather than wait for the end. And that is something I -- you know, that maybe needs to be addressed as part of -- we are looking at regulations that may prevent the --

MS. FENNELL: Right. And I know that is --DR. MITCHELL: And this may be one of the guidelines and may have nothing to do with --

MS. FENNELL: Yes, because we deal with funders that have two different criterias, for instance -- I mean, and three with JTPA, but -- one is that you incur expenses, you present the receipts, and you get paid back on it.

> DR. MITCHELL: Cost reimbursement.

MS. FENNELL: Cost-reimbursement basis. Wе

barrier.

have programs that give us a flat amount of money a month to provide x amount of hours of service, and we have to provide the documentation.

DR. MITCHELL: Right. Yes.

MS. FENNELL: But this one is a killer, because you have to get the kids through the whole entire program --

DR. MITCHELL: That is a serious problem.

MS. FENNELL: — and that is a tremendous

MR. GIAGO: May I ask a question?

DR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. GIAGO: You know, I am concerned about that with all groups, but especially, say, the Latino community versus the American Indian, because we deal -- because of treaty rights from way back, we can go one-on-one with the Department of Labor.

But in the Latino community, you have to deal with the Oklahoma City government, for example, versus — when the dollars come in, they come into the governor's office, the state. He, again, by proposal from Oklahoma City will give that city so much dollars. Within the dollars that come into the government, the state, it is based on Latino unemployment from the census. It is based on census figures.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, what would you think about you -- the Latino community that exists, in a bona fide manner with your center -- going to the governor's office and saying, We want our fair share?

I mean, we make up 2 percent of the population in Oklahoma County. We want set-aside monies from you, governor, to the Latino community. We then will begin to serve our people. You don't send them to Oklahoma City. You send them to us. We will take care of them.

Are you following what I am saying on that? MS. FENNELL: Yes. I definitely -- but is there a possibility -- is there flexibility within the JTPA structure, though, that funds even for that to happen, to bypass the city to get the funds directed from the governor's office?

MR. GIAGO: Yes. Right. You will never know until you make that move. Now, I have talked to the city. When I first started, again, CETA, way back in the '70s, the city of Oklahoma City, any American Indian that came to them, they would send to me, because they would say, There is an American Indian -- our CETA program up there -- you go see Bob.

I had to differ with that with the city, to the city council and the mayor, because I think -- and the Latinos can still do this type of thing. What you are

bringing out is good, but I think there is another way, a better way, to serve the Latino community. And this is what you are saying. You can.

By doing what you are doing, by -- they have got you over a barrel, in other words, by having you do this first before you get this. You know, the cake and the frosting bit.

But, again, I would say -- and I mentioned this to others that the American Indian also makes up a portion of Oklahoma City's federal funding that comes in. All groups do. That is how they get their monies from the state.

MS. FENNELL: You know -- and that is an excellent suggestion. The one thing that really crunches us as an ethnic community is the numbers game, because like I told you -- I mean, so much of that is, you know, well, who is Hispanic?

who is Latino, anyway? You know, is it a surname? Is it self-identify? Is it -- you know, so we are counted as other. We are counted in all kinds of different kinds of ways, and basically we are not counted properly.

But when it comes to the numbers game, I mean, we are using census figures here that are four years old, that are, to begin with, a tremendous under-count. My

rule of thumb is that any census figure -- I feel very comfortable saying that any census figure, I multiply by two or three, and you have a more accurate picture.

But the reality is that also in four years in Oklahoma, because of the emerging anti-immigrant sentiment that is occurring in other states, what is happening is that we are seeing daily coming to our office families that are coming from other states to Oklahoma.

But where are those numbers reflected? You know, we see the growth in the office. We see after the earthquake that — a couple of weeks after the earthquake, we had about six families that moved to Oklahoma, and they were looking for housing. I mean, that is just what we see, and we are just a little agency. So you know that that is happening.

We are having people coming from Texas. We are having people coming from -- a lot of Puerto Ricans from New York. We are having people coming from Chicago. So that Oklahoma is still being seen as a place where maybe things are not quite as miserable as they are somewhere else, and they are coming here.

But it doesn't give us any help with numbers, because how do I prove that? You know, how do I document that? And that is something that is very difficult to -- because we are not getting our fair share even according

to the census figures, let alone to the undocumented count.

MS. HELTON: That is what we are -- the trouble we are having in Tulsa, because basically they say we only have around 12,000 Hispanics. Well, that -- it is more like 35,000. It really is.

MS. FENNELL: Exactly.

MS. HELTON: All you have to do is go certain places that -- anywhere, the grocery stores, the department stores, different places where they congregate. You can see them there 24 hours a day coming through the stores. Education-wise, what are you doing when they refuse to certify those children as US citizens?

MS. FENNELL: When -- well, I got the call -this only occurred about three weeks ago. I got a call -and, see, our people -- what they are going to do is keep
the kids at home and not say anything.

That is exactly what is going to happen. You are going to have a whole generation of kids without an education. They are going to stay at home.

But I got the call, and it was at the Moore school district. I called a gentleman in Moore, and I said, I have been told that, you know, this is happening. And he said, Well, he said, We are not going to break the law, and these people are breaking the law.

district's business what the immigration status is. 2 are violating the law. You have already done something 3 wrong. You are violating the law. 4 So immediately I called Ms. Garrett's 5 [phonetic] office and related that that was the case, and, 6 7 of course, immediately, they called the Moore district. And they have reversed that. And now that particular 8 family was allowed to enroll. 9 But the same thing is happening in Edmond. 10 got a call about --11 12 MS. HELTON: Well, to begin with, they can't be immigration officials. 13 14 MS. FENNELL: Pardon me? 15 MS. HELTON: To begin with, the school, the police cannot be immigration officials. It is not 16 their --17 MS. FENNELL: No. But it is the fear --18 19 MS. HELTON: You are right. But they do --20 MS. FENNELL: -- that is being instilled, 21 because in Moore not only that they would not register the 22 kids, but they told the mother that, if she insisted, they would report her to immigration. So we are dealing, you 23 24 know, with a tremendous problem. 25 MS. HELTON: With a fear element.

And I said, Listen, it is none of the

MR. GIAGO: Do you think, Ms. Fennell, that, 1 again, the Latino community would be better off if they 2 had set-aside monies, say, in JTPA, strictly and directly 3 between the Latino community and the governor's office of 4 the state by proposal? 5 I definitely think that it 6 MS. FENNELL: 7 could -- it would be better, because there would be programs like in Tulsa that we would be able to do it 8 directly. 10 MS. HELTON: Yes. Right. MS. FENNELL: You know, it would eliminate so many of the steps in the bureaucracy. Now, I have to tell you, just to be fair, that

in the city, because they have recognized that they have not served Hispanics, they have brought about some changes, including one of the staff members, and of course that is going to -- it is more of an individual kind of thing.

She has come to our office to do some of the application process in our office to eliminate some of the fear barriers, the transportation barriers. So they have been trying.

And I myself have not been able to understand the limitations of the program and separate what are the federal barriers and what are the local barriers, because

ij

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think that there is barriers at both levels, you know.

But what we are told is that, you know, those are the

regs. Well, whose regs are they that they cannot change?

So if we can get the funding, you know, if we are able to do that directly bypassing, fulfilling just what are the federal regs, and being able to deal directly with that and to begin to know exactly, you know, where to bring up the issues, if it is the -- you know, if the federal regs are what are blocking, then we come and report specifically.

But right now there is not even enough clarity to really know what is local and what is federal mandates. So it would be a tremendous help if we could do that.

MR. GIAGO: If you dealt one-on-one with the state.

MS. FENNELL: Yes.

MR. GIAGO: Do you know that there is also a migrant workers group, I think, [Spanish].

MS. FENNELL: Yes.

MR. GIAGO: Okay.

MS. FENNELL: Right. And I know that they have their own parameters and their own limitations, you know. And as a matter of fact, we had a job fair last month, and Oro was one of the entities that was there, because, you know, it makes sense. We work in partnership in most of

L

our programs.

But the things is the barriers that I have described that makes it almost impossible for Hispanics to be served -- Latinos -- you know, in many of these programs.

MS. HELTON: Do you know, Ms. Fennell, whether they have any recruiters at the vo-tech level that are Hispanic, that go out and recruit Hispanics?

MS. FENNELL: If there are, I have not met them. I have -- I believe, it might be the only administrator in the vo-tech system -- serves on our board of directors. But I do not know of any front-line recruiters that are Hispanics.

If there are, they have not made contact with us, and we are the only Hispanic agency. So, again, it would say something to me, if they do have any such person, that something would be going wrong with the outreach that they don't go through our office.

MS. HELTON: Well, I just wondered if -- well, what I was wanting also to know is, do you know whether the vo-tech has made any effort to recruit even in the regular public schools -- to recruit the Hispanic student for entrance into the vo-tech program?

MS. FENNELL: No. I cannot tell you they have made a direct access with the school -- with the public

The counselors or anyone has --MS. HELTON: 2 3 they have not worked? MS. FENNELL: I -- you know, but, again, when 4 you look at it, the school district have a single Hispanic 5 6 or bilingual counselor. So --They don't even make an effort to 7 MS. HELTON: send any kind of a notice bilingually in Spanish to the 8 parents that you know of? 9 MS. FENNELL: You mean through the vo-tech or 10 11 are you talking about through the school --MS. HELTON: Either vo-tech or the public 12 school system, both, in order to get more of the students. 13 14 MS. FENNELL: I think that there is an emerging sense of awareness that there might -- just might be 15 Hispanics in Oklahoma. And we had a lady from the public 16 17 school district that is starting a vo-tech program this summer, and she came to one of our staff meetings to meet 18 19 with us and trying to reach out. Beyond that, I do not 20 know what other efforts have been made on that. 21 MS. HELTON: Any one that is existing? Okay. MS. FENNELL: Yes. You know, and some of the 22 23 materials -- I think that, see, just sending materials in 24 Spanish, or just sending literature, it is really not the 25 same thing.

schools. I do not know if they have done that.

You have got to -- when I talk about cultural competence -- is to be able to understand the idiosyncracies in a community and to know how to communicate with the people in a way that people can understand.

Very quickly let me tell you an example of what I am talking about by creating bridges. Campfire Boys and Girls, you know, they have been wanting to serve Hispanics for many years, very unsuccessfully.

They put a school program, and it was great; the kids responded. But anytime that the Campfire wanted to include Hispanic kids in an overnight camping program or even in a day program and take the kids away, the parents would immediately say, No. You know, they would not let the kids go.

So they came to us and they said, Would you help us create a bridge? I said, Yes, but you have to be flexible. And, you know, you can't say, The regs say.

You know, you can't be rigid. I said, If you are willing to be flexible, we will work with you.

I said, Let me ask you something -- and let me ask you the same thing -- if somebody came to you and said, We have this tremendous program for your kids, and I want you to send, Mr. Mitchell, your children. I want you to let me have your kids to go to an overnight to the

fogata feliz.

How eager would you be to send your kids, you know, to something that you haven't heard about, that you have no idea what it is? You can't relate to. You get all these materials that tell you how great this is, but you haven't experienced it.

So what we said is, Okay, we are going to help you design something entirely different. We are going to take families camping overnight at Campfire. Okay. It blew everybody's mind. We had babies from two months old to a grandma in her late 70s, and everything in between, and we went overnight.

And the parents were going, Gee, this is terrific, you know, because they could see the safety of the program, how it was worked, that it was a good thing. How come we haven't known about this before? How come somebody hasn't told us about it before?

Sending materials doesn't always do it, you know. That is what I am talking about understanding something. Now that the parents have been there, they know what the program is; they have experienced it.

Now they are allowing the kids to go camping, and the word spreads. That is what I am talking about cultural competence.

With vo-tech, one of the things that we did

1

2

3

41

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 "

17

18

19

20

21

22

try, and we do periodically during the year, is that we have a Hispanic Metro Tech night. What we have done with that is invite Hispanic families to go to a Metro Tech night.

And we have refreshments there. And we let the moms go through whatever programs they choose, which should be, you know, the traditional kinds of things like the sewing and the cosmetology area and all that and the nursing. And we might let the dads and the boys go into the car repair and the carpentry shop and everything.

And it is amazing how very little people know about Metro Tech and about vo-tech. It is a wonderful resource. But our community -- and I have to also tell you that we are a difficult community to reach. I mean, that is just an idiosyncracy that we have on our side.

That is why it is so important to have programs that know how to reach the community. And just going on the traditional things of publicizing something in the newspaper or sending a flyer is not going to do it. You have got to do the kinds of things that allow people to understand that.

DR. MITCHELL: A couple more questions.

MS. HELTON: Well, you know, since you have done this -- I want to finish with this -- I think it is something that you have started, and I am glad to see it

happen.

And it is something that should be spread state-wide to the rest of the vo-tech community so that they can implement it wherever they have any kind of a nucleus of Hispanics in the area.

MS. FENNELL: See -- but, again, a lot of the stuff that we do, again, we do it without funding. You know, there is not a source of funding to help us, a program like a community-based organization like ours, create the bridges for them, because we could.

Let me tell you, we have the capacity. We have the knowledge and the expertise to design something that might sound really way out, but it is what is needed to bring more Hispanics into the system.

MR. GIAGO: It is true.

MS. FENNELL: But there is no funding to do that. And we are a small agency; we don't have the resources to do it. But that is what is needed.

MS. HELTON: Have you tried going to the private funding?

MS. FENNELL: Do what?

MS. HELTON: Have you tried going through the private industry, private funding?

MS. FENNELL: Oh, we do it all the time to survive. We couldn't do it -- we operate on a \$24,000 a

operating from. 2 MS. HELTON: Okay. 3 MS. FENNELL: Again, like I said, in this development of this multi-service center we hope to have 5 involvement of Metro Tech, because that will begin to chip 6 at the barriers that exist. And the one thing that is 7 very effective in our community is the grapevine. 8 9 You know, once we can have several kids that have gone through the program, or several families that 10 have gone through that, have experienced that, that is 11 12 going to spread. So --DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much. 13 14 MS. FENNELL: Okay. 15 DR. MITCHELL: I think -- I don't want to let 16 you go without one last statement, and I think -- and I don't know why Ms. Helton let this get by -- but she gave 17 a good case for a Hispanic council -- which she has been 18 talking about for a long time. 19 20 MS. HELTON: I know. All right. 21 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you very much. MS. FENNELL: Okay. Thanks. 22 23 DR. MITCHELL: We are quite a ways from 24 schedule, as usual. We started late.

year deficit. So that is where we get that funding for

25

Is Virgil Franklin -- Mr. Franklin? Oh, I am 1 sorry. Yes. He is coming. 2 (Pause.) 3 DR. MITCHELL: Can I declare a five-minute 4 recess? 5 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 6 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Mr. Franklin, you ready? 7 MR. FRANKLIN: Uh-huh. 8 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Next is Mr. Virgil 9 Franklin, who is a JTPA director for the Cheyenne and 10 Arapaho tribes in Concho [phonetic], Oklahoma, and at 11 least for what -- about ten years. 12 Mr. Franklin, thank you for coming. 13 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes. I appreciate it. And I 14 prepared a statement here. I guess they have given us 15 three minutes. Right? 16 DR. MITCHELL: You can take a little bit longer 17 than that. 18 MR. FRANKLIN: Honorable members of the 19 advisory committee, ladies and gentlemen, good morning. 20 am Virgil Franklin, director of the JTPA program in the 21 Cheyenne and Arapaho tribes of Oklahoma, a position I have 22 23 held for ten years. I have been affiliated with the Cheyenne and 24 Arapaho tribal government in some capacity for the past 30 25

years. Incidental to this, I am also a southern Arapaho chief.

I am providing this information about myself because of the direction I chose for this presentation to the advisory committee. My background and the positions I hold and have held over the years enable me to speak with some degree of insight into the problems which are here being referred to as discrimination. Where I come from, it is still perceived as racism.

Here in Oklahoma, American Indians are the largest minority racial group, constituting 8 percent of the total population. Why, then, we do not have 8 percent of the elected representatives in the State Oklahoma Legislature? Why do we not have 8 percent in the professional fields? Why do we not have 8 percent of the college graduates?

Why are the unemployment rates for tribal groups in the 30 percent to 60 percent range? The unemployment rate for the Cheyenne and Arapaho tribes is 62 percent.

It is my belief that the dominant society label of second class citizens that was applied to the Native Americans way back in historical times have remained intact, not only in the eyes of white society, but far worse, in our own eyes.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Recently within the past five or six years, the media has began to take a different approach with respect to depicting the American Indian. The recent trend has been to tell the Indians' perspective and to get a truer indication of how the Indians lived pre-20th century. However, the many decays of being portrayed as savage and heathenish has imprinted in people's minds, not to be easily erased.

Most of these Indian tribes practice some form of government within their tribes. Government was departmentalized by chiefs, headsmen, and clan leaders.

We were not heathers. The Great Spirit was spoken to daily, and thanks were given to him for all the rich blessings that he bestowed. The Indians respected all living things and recognized their usefulness and the right of all living things to co-exist.

In the town where I reside, El Reno, which is also the seat of the tribal government, we are not considered a part of the community. From federal program dollars, income off our trust resources, we contribute approximately \$6 million annually to the local economy.

Yet the city government, the chamber of commerce -- planning meetings or in other -- or -- and the citizens ignore us. We are not asked to participate in any of their major planning meetings or any of their

surveys.

For example, when they collected signatures and data on a need for foreign-exchange rate for El Reno, the tribes were not contacted, although we are major users of telephone service.

In the face of continued racism, whether it is subtle or blatant, it is unfair to expect students and adults to excel. It is not an exaggeration to say that each day we experience some form of racism. For this reason, it is not difficult to understand why the majority of our people want to stay close to the reservation area, to work reservation's lands, if possible.

We have assisted young adults with training and job search, only to see many of them fail and return to the historic area, sometimes to try again, sometimes not. Seeing this occur year after year, I know in my heart that it is not a failure of the program or even failure of the federal laws on equal protection. It is the failure of the society to appreciate and respect the differences among all the people of this good earth.

Racism is more than a violation of human rights. It is a violation of a person's character and soul. Despite all this, I believe the Commission on Civil Rights is doing all that it can.

Racism is an intangible quality. The ax of

racism can be curtailed, hopefully eliminated through laws and policies and the monitoring of these laws and policies.

We should not be accused of stamping out the little fires while the bigger blaze consumes us, because the fires of hatred and racism burn within the individual and are out of control.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the organizers for providing me this time and to encourage the committee and participants in all your efforts regarding civil rights.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much. What are some of the really real barriers in employment? You gave some numbers. You said the employment rate for the Cheyenne and Arapaho was around 60 percent. Does that mean that unemployment is close to 40 percent? Is that -- that is pretty high.

MR. FRANKLIN: Sixty-two percent.

DR. MITCHELL: Sixty-two percent. That is pretty low employment as a whole, in terms of nation and other areas.

MR. FRANKLIN: Well, in our eight-county area;
you know --

DR. MITCHELL: Eight-county.

MR. FRANKLIN: -- such as OJT. And we cannot

give them half of their salary. But they just won't hire 2 them. 3 DR. MITCHELL: Is this the local industries --4 5 the state agencies or the local industries? 6 MR. FRANKLIN: There is hardly any. 7 DR. MITCHELL: Anyplace. MR. FRANKLIN: But the state agencies, I think 8 we had one Indian on DHS, and that was -- he is gone now. 9 10 In our area, they just won't hire Indians, although we have people with college education, master's degrees, 11 whatnot. 12 13 DR. MITCHELL: What is the -- what is your relationship with the vo-tech schools, in terms of 14 training? 15 16 MR. FRANKLIN: We have a good relationship, because they need our money just as well as anybody else. 17 18 DR. MITCHELL: That is the relationship? 19 MR. FRANKLIN: That is it. 20 DR. MITCHELL: No need to say any more. 21 MR. GIAGO: Virgil, you know, I worked out in 22 the Cheyenne-Arapaho country for years as the 23 administrator of your Indian hospital. I know I am not a 24 tribe from Oklahoma. I am a Lakota; as the non-Indian 25 knows us, I am a Sioux, from the north.

get people to hire our people, although we are willing to

But I have been in Oklahoma for years. I grew up here, you might say. And racism, of course, despite the civil rights laws that we have got, has always been alive and well. Everybody knows that. But we knew it more so out in that area.

I can give you an example and the people an example of me going down when I was living in Weatherford, which I drove to Clinton -- but I talked to the business people. I can talk with anybody. You know, not all of us are like that. I can talk with anybody I want, you know, and feel free doing so.

But the businessmen in Weatherford, for

example -- I think this is where the last cross was

burned, in Weatherford, in this state. The businessmen

will ask me, after I am talking with them -- you know,

visiting with them, talking -- what tribe are you, you

know?

And I used to tell them, Well, I am a Sioux from South Dakota. And they would come back and say, We didn't think you were one of those Indians from around here, which would be the Cheyenne and Arapaho. After that I immediately became a Cheyenne and Arapaho, as I talked with them.

So I know what you are saying. And that hasn't stopped across this state. Now, as I would have to term

and make a statement -- and I hope this can be for the record -- that Oklahoma is 20 years behind different portions of this nation, both in justice and equality for their people that live here.

Now, the American Indian that lives in Oklahoma, again, is like Pine Ridge, South Dakota, where I am from, the home of the Oglala, the Lakota. All the small communities surrounding us are very, very racist, you know.

It is like Oklahoma is saturated with American Indians of different nations, 30-some-odd different nations within this state, with different languages. So I know, and you know, and you have heard me talk to the federal people about these types of things.

I think what is not part of your statement, you know, is that the frontier mentality here in Oklahoma is alive and well along with that racism in the bureaucracy and in the justice system. It is here.

You know, so we do have -- and you would agree,

I am sure, or would you -- that we have a hard row to hoe
here in this state.

MR. FRANKLIN: Uh-huh.

MR. GIAGO: But I think, with that in mind, knowing all of this, what would your recommendations be to this commission in the way of how can we better serve the

MR. FRANKLIN: Well, one of the things is money, you know. And just like now I have 250 summer youth applications, and I can only place 65. That is all I have. I wish I could reach all of them, you know, and put them to work, give them something to do, because there is plenty of it out there.

Cheyenne and Arapaho people in the western districts?

And then in my classroom training, I have got applications just filled up there, just like hunting jobs, you know. And --

MR. GIAGO: Can you send them down to the El Reno local JTPA?

MR. FRANKLIN: Oh, yes. They went everywhere. And they got a little extra money; I don't know why they won't hire them. And they don't get a very good break on anything, you know. And they like to be on my program; they would rather come to my program.

And we have had some success in classroom training. We have had -- we were able to get jobs for some people, for the young and those that are willing to work.

And in our eight-county area, there is no industry. So you can understand the dilemma that we are in, where the businesses -- the people hire their own.

DR. MITCHELL: What about county government?

1 Can you get them in the county government? The reason I 2 asked that question is because my wife does -- she is the county commissioner, and she opens up to a lot of JTPA 3 students in the county, and got the other commissions to 4 5 hire them, too. Well, that is a big area that could have hired for the summer. 6 MR. FRANKLIN: But we can't get nobody in 7 there. They know somebody -- my cousin, my -- and they 8 all get the jobs, you know. And there is no Indians on there, or -- you very seldom see blacks. 10 MR. JENKINS: And you attributed that to 11 discrimination? 12 13 MR. FRANKLIN: Racism. 14 MR. JENKINS: Okay. 15 MR. FRANKLIN: Discrimination, whatever. 16 MR. JENKINS: Not only in the public sector 17 jobs, but also in private industry --18 MR. FRANKLIN: Sure. 19 MR. JENKINS: -- you attribute it to racism. 20 MR. FRANKLIN: Exactly. 21 MR. TOURE: Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question? 22 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. 23 MR. TOURE: And I am sorry, Mr. Franklin; I 24 probably missed it when you were asked what the employment

rate or unemployment rate for Cheyenne and Arapaho is

MR. FRANKLIN: Sixty-two percent.

MR. TOURE: Is that employment?

MR. FRANKLIN: Employment.

MR. TOURE: Okay. So 38 percent unemployed?

MR. FRANKLIN: Uh-huh.

DR. MITCHELL: That is pretty high.

MR. TOURE: Okay. Now, in terms of the employment -- unemployed, I would like to know, if you could help me, the relative skill level of the unemployed; in other words, if they were involved or if they have received job training that would be accessible through the vo-tech -- vocational technical schools in this state?

MR. FRANKLIN: We had the CETA program, to begin with. And going for six months at a time, they laid them off. You can just work for six months, and that was it.

Okay. We talked about it and we talked about it, and Bob was in on it. We said, We need certificates for this for these children. That is what we need. I got up on the council floor, and I asked the people to back me up.

And this JTPA came in, and they had classroom training in there and certificates. And we had to have standards and everything like that, but we -- it was a

blessing to us, you know, that classroom training.

We could support the schools, and then at the same time they got a diploma out of it. And we have some real intelligent kids. You know, they excel in a lot of their classroom work and in the public schools and everywhere. But when it comes to working and jobs, they just can't seem to get them.

MR. TOURE: Well, I guess I am trying to figure out this chicken and egg concept of which comes first. Is it the lack of job training and skills, or is it the lack of positions after the job training and skills have been acquired?

MR. FRANKLIN: Right now we have -- people are graduating every day, you know, just graduating each year, and they have nothing. And, of course, with this training money that we have is a blessing to us, but if we had more, then we would get them off the streets, you know, because there is a lot of them on the streets right now.

MR. JENKINS: The end to that -- if you had the training program to get them off the street, the end product is getting them full-time employment. But you are saying the barrier -- the main barrier -- one has been discrimination, that once you are trained or go through the system, you can't get jobs because of racism.

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes.

	MR.	JENKIN	s: No	w, in	follo	wing	that,	have	you
all or have	mem	bers o	f your	stafí	coun	seled	d perso	ns t	0
file compla	ints	of di	scrimi	.natior	with	the	Oklaho	ma	
Commission?					-				

MR. FRANKLIN: We have it there.

MR. JENKINS: What has happened?

MR. FRANKLIN: They don't file it.

MR. JENKINS: I am sorry?

MR. FRANKLIN: They won't file it. They say,

Oh, what the heck. I can't get a job. I have been over

there three or four times. They won't hire me. What good

is it going to do me to file something?

MR. JENKINS: Okay.

MR. FRANKLIN: I mean, that is just their attitude. And, you know, they get put down so many times that they just quit.

DR. MITCHELL: Can we -- is it possible for you to -- we have got 30 days from today to get things into the record here. Is it possible for you to get us at least some numbers of people that are trained, that have skills, but are unemployed?

If we can get some numbers on that in your area, that would be very helpful, if it is possible. We don't need to know individuals, but some idea of the number of people that have gone through training that are

helpful to us. 2 3 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes. - DR. MITCHELL: And I want to come back to Toure 4 5 here. 6 MR. TOURE: Yes. I wanted to ask one question, 7 Mr. Franklin. One of the problems that I see, in terms of 8 high tech industries, is that perhaps they generally -- or don't generally locate in rural areas. 9 10 And if the vocational programs are more geared 11 toward high tech jobs, then those jobs are not going to be 12 in certain areas. They are going to be in Oklahoma City 13 or they are going to be in Tulsa and places like that. 14 Do you see that as a problem? 15 MR. FRANKLIN: That is correct. No, I don't 16 see that as a problem. I think that is good, because they 17 get out of there. You know, once they get trained, they 18 leave. You know, and wherever their job takes them, they will go. 19 20 In fact, we just -- we don't have enough money 21 to train them. And there is a lot of them there. And 22 then there is some that come out of college that can't 23 find jobs. 24 MR. TOURE: Well, I guess what I am asking --25 is it they can't find jobs in their home communities, or

still fairly much unemployed. I think that would be

they can't find jobs anywhere in this state, I guess is what I am asking.

MR. FRANKLIN: And, yes, just like Bob explained it a while ago: That is just the way it is.

MR. JENKINS: Jobs are just difficult throughout the state.

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes.

MR. JENKINS: And you compound that with the discrimination within the system, and you are at a loss.

MR. FRANKLIN: There you go. You said just the right words.

MR. JENKINS: Okay. Now, in the area that you represent, the eight-county area, are there industries relocating there? It is just -- okay.

MR. FRANKLIN: Nothing out there. He has been out there I don't know how many years, and he knows.

MR. GIAGO: I think the only thing that -again, this is for the commission's information. I know
and I think most of the commission members are not
educated along the ways of our people. And these things
have to be said some way or somehow.

Now, the only way, as I see it, you know, with the Cheyenne and Arapaho is their casino -- the gambling monies that can come through, as they say. Of course, you will have trouble with the government, state, again, in

(E)

getting class three gaming, but that is beside the point.
You don't even need that.

But there again, there is jobs for your own people here. But here again, you have got the state legislators and so forth against that, because of taxes. Anytime you have your smoke shops and taxes, you are hurting the non-Indian, or as we would say, the Caucasian, or as I would say, the white man.

Anytime you tap him in the pocketbook, you have got a fight on your hands, you know. And this is a hard fact the way it is. In Oklahoma, it is that way. All around here where they are saying, You are taking our tax dollars. That is our tax — the taxpayers.

I am a taxpayer. I would like my money to go that way, you know. And that is the hard fact, too, but we are a minority.

MR. FRANKLIN: Well, I -- that was an industry type thing that came in, you know. We put up our bingo hall. And -- but you are getting 20 hours and 25 hours a week, you know. So would you call that a job, you know?

MR. GIAGO: It is part-time.

MR. FRANKLIN: And that is all they make. And they just barely survive, you know. They are barely surviving now, but they just barely survive on that.

MR. GIAGO: That is not even enough, either.

MR. FRANKLIN: But that is -- I mean, that is the time frame, you know, that they got set up. Of course, everybody has got to make their money, you know, and make sure that they pay their bills.

But in our area, that is just the way it is, you know. And there is no -- there is -- job markets are beginning to fall, you know. We don't have a job market now. It is almost nil. So I don't know where we are going to go from here.

DR. MITCHELL: I think there are a number of things that you brought to us that we can follow up on particularly with your testimony, because -- well, some data and some other things that we will probably want to get within the next 30 days from you.

MR. FRANKLIN: If there is anything in particular, such as the trained people and everything that is not working, I can get that.

DR. MITCHELL: Right. We would really like to have that very much.

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes.

DR. MITCHELL: My stomach tells me it is lunchtime. But we have Mr. Nguyen -- is with us.

Thank you for -- Mr. Franklin, for your patience in coming with us, and we appreciate your testimony very much.

1	MR. FRANKLIN: THANK YOU all very much.
2	(Pause.)
3	MR. NGUYEN: Mr. Chairman, my name is Cu
4	Nguyen. Just for the record, I would like to correct my
5	title here a little bit. I am the co-founder, not the
6	founder, of the Asia Society.
7	DR. MITCHELL: Co-founder.
8	MR. NGUYEN: Yes. And there is many founders.
9	And, also, the Asia, without n not Asian Society.
10	So this is a small correction.
11	DR. MITCHELL: It is an Asia Society.
12	MR. NGUYEN: Right.
13	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. All right. Yes. Thank
14	you.
15	MR. NGUYEN: And thanks again for the
16	opportunity to appear before you to discuss with you
17	several issues about Asian American in Oklahoma.
18	Particular, Mr. Hernandez discussed with me about the
19	issue of vo-tech school system in Oklahoma. So I am going
20	to focus on that.
21	But before doing that, I like to give some
22	background about the Asian community in Oklahoma, so you
23	have broader view about our community.
24	Since the collapse of Vietnam in 1975, there

was great influx of immigrants coming to Oklahoma from

Vietnam. And in 1975, most of them came from very upper part of society. So it is very easy for them to adapt new culture in this country. And like they are doctor and attorney and engineer. So there wasn't a big problem for them.

But recently I think there is a program to help the former officer who serve alongside with US American soldier in Vietnam. And most of them about like 40 years old to 60 years old frame, and they try to bring them over here through the program called HO -- abbreviation HO. I don't know what that mean.

But most of them -- about 90 percent of them are political prisoner. And although they have some high school diploma in Vietnam, but they have very difficulty trying to adapt this society -- very difficulty.

Their children about age of 20, 25. So it is very difficult for youngster trying to learn new language and custom. So it is a different group of people, totally different.

That is one -- those two extreme. The group right in the middle of them -- I don't know if you remember -- they call boat people. And those different. And they succeed so well, because they determine to come to this country and to live, and view this society is their second chance. So they excel very, very well in

that circumstances. They determined to do that.

The group -- the recent group, like I mentioned to you earlier, they do have great difficulties trying to adapt. A recent statistic show that 50 percent of refugee immigrant who came here, they are on welfare.

MR. TOURE: How much? I am sorry.

MR. NGUYEN: Fifty percent.

MR. TOURE: Fifty percent.

MR. NGUYEN: And they come from Laos, Cambodia, and most of them come from Vietnam through the program that I mentioned before. Most of them are political prisoner. So as for -- this is the background.

For the purpose of vo-tech school system, I believe that -- look at the statistic, and I like to turn this one in for the record, if you -- if I might. And this only my copy, so if anybody can give me back, I appreciate that.

For the Oklahoma vo-tech school education system, they have about 443 employees, and only have about two people on the staff from 443, so it is about like .46 percent.

The -- this is very important, because, in our culture, we believe that it is -- that is why most of Asian American value education so much. It is a foundation that we only need strong foundation, and then

we can go from there. We don't rely on something else beyond the foundation of education.

And for the vo-tech school, if you look at the number, and it doesn't reflect the population, the region, because -- we don't believe in quota. Let's make the record straight. We believe in equity and fairness.

The reason why the vo-tech is so important, because we want to get some training, so they can get some technical skill. They can open the restaurant. They can open the garage. And then they can hire youngster work for them.

So you have -- you don't have -- if they are successful, then you don't have to have JTPA program. You don't have anything else.

So the key important thing is we need to have some bilingual teacher can teach them how to operate business, how to write the business plan, how to get the bank to loan the money, and how to train them the technical skill how to repair cars and air conditioning and computer. So they can go out and open the business.

If you get a chance to visit Northwest 23rd and Classen, you are going to see that that is a community that we are very proud of. Most of them came from Vietnam in 1975 and adapt so quickly.

And so that is the key that all we need to do

is maybe four or five position -- teaching position at vo-tech, bilingual instructor, so they can learn quickly. They can go out and open their business. And then we don't have to rely on anything.

All we need is the foundation. And right now we don't have that foundation, because they don't have language skill to go to vo-tech school and listen to the English instructor. And that is difficult for them.

But if we have a bilingual teacher, then really eliminate a lot of problem. And I think it is a very minimal investment, and it is achievable. And so that is my conclusion.

I know that you all want to have lunch, and it is late now. So -- but the final note is all we need to do right now is to have some foundation, so we can use that foundation and to build our business.

We build -- most of Asian American very entrepreneur. They go and they build -- it difficult for them to find a job. So they say, Heck with it. I am going out and open my own business.

So -- but they have to have the skill in order to open business. And they have to know how to run the business. And right now they don't have that. They don't know what the business plan is. They don't know how to talk to the banker.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

But they have the willingness to do business. So I believe, if we have an adequate in the vo-tech school system, several position have bilingual capability, then I think I am happy with it. That is all I ask.

DR. MITCHELL: Phyllis, you have a question? MS. FIST: Are you saying that the student that vo-tech would be serving is a new immigrant, or have they been through the local school system prior to that possibility, because --

MR. NGUYEN: They -- vo-tech school have several program for student -- Asian student.

MS. FIST: Right. They do.

MR. NGUYEN: They do. But most of them were taught by English teacher.

MS. FIST: Yes.

MR. NGUYEN: And they have -- the problem is they have some English problem. It take time for them to transist into the society, maybe five -- four or five years, and so they can feel comfortable.

MS. FIST: Right.

MR. NGUYEN: At the moment, they do have some program, like English skill school there, and that is about it. Nothing about like technical skill, like teach them to be a mechanic -- garage mechanic or home builder -- kind of thing like that, they don't have.

1	DR. MITCHELL: Other questions?
2	MR. TOURE: I just had one question.
3	DR. MITCHELL: Yes.
4	MR. TOURE: And it might have been in the
5	material. What is the Asian population of Oklahoma and
6	Oklahoma City?
7	MR. NGUYEN: The population in metropolitan is
8	about like 18,000. And it I also want to submit as a
9	record, if you may, for the I don't have the state of
10	Oklahoma and federal government, but I do have some city
11	of Oklahoma City.
12	They have made great progress, but still there
13	is a long way to go. You are talking about 2 percent
14	population Asian, but if I look at the statistic right
15	here, the I think we can do much better than this.
16	MR. FAGIN: The 1990 census showed 33,500, if
17	it is accurate, in Oklahoma.
18	MR. NGUYEN: 3000?
19	MR. FAGIN: 33,500, which is about
20	MR. NGUYEN: Right. I mean, this I am
21	talking about just Oklahoma City and surrounding area
22	MR. FAGIN: It would be over 1 percent of the
23	population.
24	MR. NGUYEN: not Tulsa and other places.
25	And they only about .42 percent for city. And so I

don't know how much in state and federal.

But the key is our people very hardworking people. We very dedicated worker. And just give us the opportunity, and we certainly give you the very fine product. And so it is just a lack of that understanding. So that is lack of that effort to try to go out and recruit more of our people in community.

So I hope that, through this hearing and through this meeting, you will send a message to them that -- you may be the best recruiter for us. But we very dedicated group of people. Just give us an opportunity, and you won't be -- regret it.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.

MR. NGUYEN: Thank you.

DR. MITCHELL: Well, committee members, we are a hour off schedule. And I think the 1:00 people are coming in, and we have not had lunch yet.

MS. FIST: What do you want us to do -- skip lunch?

DR. MITCHELL: What do we want to do?

MR. JENKINS: Let's break to 1:30.

DR. MITCHELL: I think we can break till 1:30.

MS. FIST: Okay.

DR. MITCHELL: Can we -- take a half-hour

25 | break, start at 1:30?

DR. MITCHELL: Okay. So I think, for the record, we have to take a half-hour break, and we will be -- 1:30.

So those of you who are here for 1:00, please bear with us. We are running a little behind schedule.

Thank you.

7

8

9

10

(Whereupon, at 1:00 p.m., the meeting was recessed, to reconvene at 1:30 p.m., this same day, Wednesday, June 1, 1994.)

1:30 p.m.

AFTERNOON SESSION

~

DR. MITCHELL: Call the meeting of the Oklahoma Advisory Committee on civil rights back to order. And this afternoon, we are running, again, behind schedule, and we hope that we can at least keep close to schedule for the afternoon group.

First on the agenda is Dr. Fern Green, who is executive director for the Oklahoma State Council on - Vocational Education.

DR. GREEN: Thank you, Dr. Mitchell.

DR. MITCHELL: Welcome, and it is delightful to have you here.

DR. GREEN: Thank you.

Dr. Mitchell, I am going to have my two guests come up here with me.

DR. MITCHELL: Delightful.

DR. GREEN: I would like for you to meet Ms.

Ollie Yeager. Ollie is a vocational technical education

Metro Tech graduate, and the school is located here in

Oklahoma City. Her coordinator is Ms. Carmelita Walker,

who is coordinator of the Displaced Homemaker, Single

Parent Program at Metro Tech.

We have public hearings of this nature in vocational education, and we host these as well as the

state vo-tech department in Stillwater. And from my experience of these public hearings and the people who attend them, the most interesting and the exciting are when you bring your outstanding graduates. And that is what Ms. Ollie Yeager is today, is one of our outstanding graduates in one of our vocational programs.

But to back up and tell you a little bit, I think all of you received our evaluation report that had the charts, graphs, and statistics on enrollment data and employment data and the other agencies that we work with. So I am really not going to get into this, unless you want to ask some questions on this, because this was made available to you.

We do this report every two years, and we are very fortunate in the state of Oklahoma that we have tremendous support from the Oklahoma Department of Vocational and Technical Education, as well as the Oklahoma Employment Security Commission, because that is where the Job Training Partnership Act programs are funded and administered from that agency.

We tell each of the respective agencies what data we need, and they supply all the data. And this is not true in many states. Many states have to go out and the council has to collect on their own, without the support and the coordination of the other agencies. So we

are very fortunate in Oklahoma that we have this kind of support.

And we reciprocate likewise. When we do a draft of this report, we get this report to each of those agencies and say, This is the data which you have sent us; have we accurately depicted this information? And only one year did they come back and say, Well, we do have some corrections. And so we worked with them on this. And I think this makes for a better coordination of -- and more factual data that is distributed to the general public.

Now back to Ollie. As you will see, she is -appearance-wise -- I see Ollie almost every day. She is
dining room supervisor at Metro Tech. She -- this is just
not a special appearance. Ollie looks like this every
single day. She is dressed immaculately.

And I can tell you, from being a vocational teacher, you can teach students in a program, but for them to go out of the program and have the skills and then be able to package themselves and carry themselves with dignity, this is a special trait.

And I give Ollie the credit for this, because we are really proud of you, Ollie --

MS. YEAGER: Thank you.

DR. GREEN: -- and the accomplishments that you have been able to do.

As I said, she is supervisor of the dining room program, which she started in 1990. She came to Oklahoma City, and she had heard of the program. And I asked her, I said, How did you hear about Ms. Walker's program at Metro Tech Area Vocational Technical School? She said she really couldn't remember, but she gave her a phone call.

So from this phone call, I am going to let Ollie tell you her experiences in vocational education.

And I told her that perhaps some of you might have questions of her later.

So, Ollie, I introduce you now.

MS. YEAGER: Well, my name is Ollie Yeager, and I came to Oklahoma City, I think, probably in 1986 or something like that, and I was divorced here -- 1987. And somebody had given me Carmelita Walker's phone number and her name and told me that I could probably get enrolled in school.

in. And I talked with her, and she explained the program to me and everything. And I think she gave me a list of classes that were available for me to take. And I chose the commercial foods program.

And I -- at that point in my life, I think I was just totally despondent, because I had gone through with a divorce. I had four children. And my mother had

just died. So I am like, Okay, what do I do?

And I know that I needed training, but I didn't know what I could do besides clean house, maybe comb a kid's hair, you know. But -- so I look in the newspaper, and I said, Well, let me see if I can find something; maybe somebody need their house cleaned or some clothes ironed or something like that, you know.

But I went through the commercial foods

program, and I think I was in there for like two-and-ahalf years, and the teacher called me out of class and
asked me how would I like to work full-time.

And just before I got divorced, my kids' father was telling me, he say, You know you will never make it on your own. You can't do that. With four kids, you can't do it.

(Pause.)

DR. MITCHELL: But you did.

(Pause.)

MS. YEAGER: Excuse me.

(Pause.)

DR. MITCHELL: How old were your children?

MS. YEAGER: They were like five, six, seven,

and eight, something like that --

DR. MITCHELL: At that time?

MS. YEAGER: -- at that time. Yes. So by him

telling me that, of course, I was more determined than 1 ever that I would make it. 2 DR. MITCHELL: That is a good incentive. 3 MS. YEAGER: And I had no family here. It was 4 just me and my four girls. And --5 MS. HELTON: Who became your support system? 6 Who helped you with your children? 7 MS. YEAGER: I didn't have anybody to help me 8 with my children. They knew that when I was at work they 9 were to stay in the house, you know. 10 And, of course, I got trained through Displaced 11 Homemakers. You know, they taught you how to go for job 12 interviews and dressing. And they helped you with 13 14 Thanksgiving baskets for Christmas and gifts for the kids around Christmas time. 15 And all that was like great help, because 16 before then they would, like, get nothing for Christmas, 17 you know. And kids would tease them, and they would say, 18 Well, it is not my birthday, anyway; it is Jesus' 19 birthday, you know. 20 21 But it was a challenge for them, too, with me 22 working, because that took me out of the home. And they 23 would always say, Well, Mother, you know, it feels so 24 strange with you not being here when we get up in the

morning and get ready for school, because we are used to

you being here. And there was just like nobody else but me.

so the teacher called me out and asked me -- I remember when I used to go to the welfare office, I used to put my hand to the door, and I would say, you know --

MR. HERNANDEZ: Could you describe the commercial food program in terms of the types of things that you did that were different and new to you?

MS. YEAGER: Yes. It was preparing for wedding receptions and banquets, which consist of anywhere from -- let's see -- maybe 40 to 200, 300 people, and cater-outs different places. And then it was just very interesting, you know, and I got to be around a lot of people.

And then when I first started, of course, me talking to people, that was just not me, you know, because you are so shut up being in the home, you know, you are just not used to talking to people.

So I had to come out of being so quiet and reserved to being able to express myself, you know. And being at Metro Tech really brought me out in that way, you know. So --

MR. FAGIN: What was your background before you came here? I mean, did you graduate at high school, or --

MS. YEAGER: Yes. I had graduated from high school, and that was it.

MR. FAGIN: High school. You had had some work experience, but not really.

MS. YEAGER: No. Very, very -- you might as well say no -- no work experience. And then, of course, being a part of Metro Tech, I -- one of the benefits is being able to take classes for free. So I am still doing that, trying to better myself.

And I -- of course, I had no transportation.

So I would walk -- you know, walk to work. And I guess—
through me walking a lot, people would get to know me,
like the police officers and the bus drivers. They would
always say, Well, we always see you walking, you know.

If it is raining, snowing, whatever, I know it was my responsibility to see that I got to work on time.

And if I had to get up at 4:30 in the morning to get walking to be there on time, then I was going to be there.

So a lot of times the bus driver may stop and take me -- give me a ride home, or the police -- I mean, the police officer would pull up and say, Well, get in the taxi cab, you know, and they would take me home, you know.

And the kids would say, Mother, we thought you were in trouble, you know. You pulled up in a police car. But they had gotten to know me through just, you know, walking back and forth to work.

Or I would take one of my kids' cars -- I

mean -- cars -- one of my kids' bikes and ride it to work sometimes if I had to be back in the evening for a banquet or something like that. But I knew I had to make it. So if that is what it took, then -- you know.

MS. FIST: May I ask a --

DR. MITCHELL: Yes, please.

MS. FIST: When you went to Metro Tech and you went through the aptitude testing, how did you feel about that? Was it appropriate to what you expected, or --

MS. YEAGER: No.

MS. FIST: No.

MS. YEAGER: No. By taking the test, to me, it was -- I mean, I was -- at that point, I guess I felt like, if this is what my rating, well, then I would -- really couldn't do anything still -- to me. That is how I felt, you know.

But I guess to go out to Metro Tech and all the -- the people were always so kind. It was just -- you know, it kept kind of encouraging me. And then Displaced Homemakers -- just knowing that somebody was there to back you with transportation and tuition, and help me with my uniforms and all that type of things. I just felt like that I could make it at that point.

MS. FIST: Okay. And they helped counsel you to this program, rather than another field? Is that -- or

the aptitude testing showed your strength in that area -- is that what you are saying to us?

MS. YEAGER: Yes, I guess, because I felt like, if anything, I could probably cook, you know.

DR. MITCHELL: Four kids.

MS. YEAGER: Yes. Why not, you know? So -MS. FIST: Was this the program that was funded

through the federal government several years ago?

DR. GREEN: The Displaced Homemaker program initially started with a state legislator from Norman. We had Representative Cleta Deathridge [phonetic], and she wrote the first state legislation, and the first program was started in Norman, Oklahoma, at Moore-Norman area vo-tech school. And that was started with state money.

And then, with the vocational education money, then we have received federal funds for that. And Carmelita might know the exact percentage of federal money, or Dr. Hopkins, who will be on your program later, could tell you the exact figures there of federal and state dollars breakdown.

But then I was in the state agency at that time, and we wrote a rural displaced homemaker program, that covered areas like Chickasaw, Duncan, Fort Cobb. And we had that area in the rural area, where Oklahoma City, Moore, Norman would be considered a metro displaced,

single parent program.

And these had been very, very well received.

As a matter of fact, there is times, Carmelita, that I really don't realize how the coordinators can work with as many people.

And men are also eligible, because they can be single parents. And as you would imagine, there are far more women in the program than men, but it is open to both males and females. This particular program is.

DR. MITCHELL: Does the program deal with child care at all, or any provisions?

DR. GREEN: I believe it does. It depends on some of the grants --

MS. WALKER: We do have some set-aside funds, each program across the state, that can be used for transportation or for child care. Many of our clients, however, are eligible through Department of Human Services for child care services. And so we then use that for transportation funds instead.

Now, at Metro Tech, we also have a child care facility on one of our sites, where our students, although they would be paying for it in some manner, at least their child is there on the site, where they can pick him up, drop him off, go have lunch with him, if they would like.

DR. MITCHELL: Would DHS cover some of the

costs of that? 1 MS. WALKER: Yes, it does. 2 MS. FIST: Ms. Yeager, you are to be commended. 3 Thank you for being part of our community. 4 DR. GREEN: You can see she is very successful. 5 DR. MITCHELL: You are speaking very softly; it 6 7 is hard to pick you up on that. MS. FIST: Oh, I am sorry. 8 DR. MITCHELL: It is for the recorder, not --9 MS. FIST: I didn't realize it was even on. 10 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. Well, we are trying to get 11 12 all the -- everything. DR. GREEN: Carmelita, are there other things 13 about the program that you would like to add? 14 15 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. You have another --MR. HERNANDEZ: I have a couple of questions. 16 17 DR. GREEN: Okay. Yes, Mr. Hernandez. MR. HERNANDEZ: Dr. Green, in your work with 18 the state council, you do evaluations of programs 19 20 throughout the state. 21 DR. GREEN: That is right. 22 MR. HERNANDEZ: And Ms. Yeager talked about she 23 got recruited by a notice. Could you compare the recruitments in terms of the urban vo-techs and the rural 24 25 vo-techs, and compare the -- how effective both are?

DR. GREEN: Both are? I can give you another example. When Mr. Hernandez called me, and I told about the rural program that was in the McCurtain County area, which is the far southeast part of the state that borders Texas and Arkansas.

We have a similar program there with a coordinator. And in the past, I have had one of their graduates, a Ms. Darlene O'Bannon [phonetic], come and tell about their program.

And very much like Ollie's story, she heard about it word of mouth. You know, just someone who had gone to the vo-tech school and had said, you know, You need to go there; they can help you find a job. They will give you training, and you can get a job.

She was a grocery store clerk in a rural -- in Valliant, Oklahoma, and had two children. She had to drive -- I believe it was 52 miles round-trip each day to get to the school for the training.

And she took a very non-traditional training program, which was industrial maintenance, for that area.

And they have two large facilities there: Brown and Root, which works with Warehouser, the lumber industry.

And so she took that industrial maintenance program, was successful, and her displaced homemaker coordinator, as well as her program instructor, placed her

on a job at Brown and Root.

That is a job that she still has today. And she tells the story that, when she came in to the vo-tech school to enroll, she was a grocery store clerk at minimum wage, probably did not have a car, either, but she had to find transportation to get to the school.

Now, then, she makes -- I believe it is something like \$15 an hour, and has all the benefits that she never would have had before, and attributes all this to her training programs that she had at the vocational school.

So that I know they do a lot of mail-out brochures, because in our hometown, where we live, in Stillwater, Indian Meridian -- or it is now called Meridian Technology Center is located there, and they do extensive mail-outs each year.

And another thing I will have to commend the vocational programs in this state for -- they try to accommodate the clients by offering programs day and night, where if people do have a job and they want to go back for retraining or upgrade training, they have the opportunity after their work hours.

If after work for them happens to be 8:00 to 5:00 work, and after 5:00, they can go to the vocational school and take training. We have a lot of programs on

25

1

2

3

4

weekends, just in this area. You will notice -- you will get brochures, and they will have short-term programs for the students.

So as far as the outreach, how they let people know, it is different media. They work with the counseling staff of the public schools, as well as, as I mentioned earlier, the Job Training Partnership.

A lot of times the local PIC -- Private Industry Council people will have programs at the vo-tech schools, and people will hear about it in different ways. So there is just a multitude of ways that people are recruited for these programs.

MR. FAGIN: Another question --

DR. GREEN: Uh-huh.

MR. FAGIN: One of your main jobs, of course, is to evaluate both the vo-tech and the JTPA system.

DR. GREEN: Right.

MR. FAGIN: And the recent amendments, I think, talked about the integrated system and how they should be working together a little bit -- both programs should work together.

DR. GREEN: Sure.

MR. FAGIN: Could you elaborate on the advantages and disadvantages of --

DR. GREEN: Of the two systems?

MR. FAGIN: -- those two systems, because you got feedback from the different superintendents and the SDA directors and --

DR. GREEN: Right. Exactly. As a matter of fact, if you have had an opportunity to look at the complete report, probably the JTPA PIC directors were more favorable in their comments about the coordination and integration efforts than the area vocational technical school superintendents were.

And we have recommended on several occasions that the PIC should have vocational school representatives, as well as the vocational schools, when they have their advisory committees -- and sometimes they have a school-wide advisory committee -- that they should also have PIC and JTPA representatives on that.

And the reason, we can make the dollars go
further, whether they are local, state, or federal, if we
have coordinated our efforts, because many of these
programs have like adult programs that could overlap, but
if we are talking to each other, then we can make the most
of those dollars for the people that the training funds
are actually intended.

And so we try to do that. I think in this state we have been very successful in our dual system, even though there is always room for improvement. I don't

care where you are located, because there is a lot of communication between the staff, because, like, the people who are now in the JTPA area, some of those people I have worked with for over 20 years.

And if there is something that I need to know,

I just pick up the telephone and call them. And I have
that kind -- and other people have that kind of assistance
from them.

And I know we get articles -- you know, if they want us to know about something that is coming out that would overlap with, say, like a -- because they also, from JTPA, have funds for displaced homemakers in that area, too.

And so our coordinators are very aware of this when they are working with their programs. So I think the dual system in this state has worked quite well. And it is because of the coordination. And we have done this because of the people in this state, not because it was just mandated.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. TOURE: We are looking at -- our function here is to look at vocational education from the aspect of how it affects the groups which are protected under the Civil Rights Act.

DR. GREEN: Certainly. Right.

MR. TOURE: And a common theme, it seems to me, 1 that has been expressed today by other speakers is that 2 there is a lack in the vocational education system of 3 people who can identify with those groups; that is, 4 5 whether it is bilingual in the Asian community or Hispanic community; whether it is Indian communities, which could 6 be language or identification; and even with blacks --7 8 well, it is not a language barrier, but people who identify. 9 DR. GREEN: A role model. 10 MR. TOURE: A role -- I guess it is that kind 11 12 of thing. DR. GREEN: Okay. 13 MR. TOURE: And there has been -- they see it 14 as a problem that there is not more of that in there. 15 I 16 am just wondering whether you see that is a part of 17 something you ought to concern with or address, and 18 whether -- how it affected you. 19 Did you deal at all with any blacks when you --20 in the system, either in teaching or in getting you into 21 the vocational training -- they were all just --22 DR. GREEN: I think, you know --23 MR. TOURE: And it didn't affect you, the fact

MS. YEAGER: No, it didn't.

25

24

that you weren't --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TOURE: Okay.

MS. YEAGER: I mean, you are just looking for somebody that cared that can help you. That is the main thing, you know.

MR. TOURE: Sure.

Now, the deputy superintendent at DR. GREEN: their school is black, and he has been there a number of years at Metro Tech. And they have, you know, numerous teachers and aides both. But she might not have in contact with them, you know, in her commercial food program, per se.

MR. TOURE: But this time I am not even challenging whether or not there should be.

> Right. DR. GREEN: Sure.

MR. TOURE: But I only heard these comments, and my question is whether, in your experience, you agree that is a factor, if that is appropriate or not.

DR. GREEN: And, too, the state agency has realized that we need more role models. So they assisted in the formation -- and I -- for the life of me right now, I can't tell you the name of the group that was formed for blacks, and it promotes them.

And recently they had a -- I don't know if it was a regional or a national conference here, but the vo-tech director worked with them in forming this

1 organization.

And it was because we were finding difficulty in finding instructors. And so they thought, if they formed this organization, they would attract blacks to vocational education. So — and this is in that particular area. So, yes, we do have a need, and we realize that, to provide role models.

MR. MALETZ: I wondered if you could tell me what your perception is of the success of the vo-tech system in instructing and training with disabilities?

DR. GREEN: With disabilities -- I know, when I worked in the equity area, we had grants that would go out to the local schools that would help them with making their buildings accessible, because as you can well imagine our public schools were built before the Rehabilitation Act, where it calls for accessibility.

So they have had to build ramps and things like that, not only to get -- just to be able to enter the building, but also after they enter, to get to the different program areas.

So this has been an area that has been addressed. But all of our vocational schools have tried in their construction to very much follow that, as far as physical accessibility.

And I think our schools have done a good job in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

25

the area schools, because they had a different funding base than the local schools, because they have state, local, and federal dollars going into those vocational schools.

So they try real hard, like, and Dr. Wilson, when she speaks later, who is the superintendent at Metro Tech, will give you specific data on what they have done. But I know different schools -- Moore-Norman is one. many years, they have had a person who was -- worked with their deaf students as an interpreter.

And other schools have had language interpreters for the students who had a language different than English, that would help them in that area. And then they have had mechanical devices to help the blind people in the business office area.

> Is that the kind of question you are asking? MR. MALETZ: Well, yes. That is very helpful. DR. GREEN: Okay.

MR. MALETZ: When -- but I wanted also to ask you -- you were describing the outreach efforts, the mailouts and the other ways of letting people know what the programs are about.

> DR. GREEN: Right.

MR. MALETZ: And I wondered if any of that is specifically targeted to --

DR. GREEN: Yes, it is.

MR. MALETZ: -- persons with disabilities of
all kinds, whether the material that is sent out mentions
that there is accommodation for people with disabilities

5 or special training?

DR. GREEN: You know, there is always the statement that goes on all of our brochures, the printed information, the -- you know, non-discrimination statement. But, yes, they—try to address—that in the materials that go out.

And, also, in the counseling -- we have each summer a summer conference, where it brings in all the teachers and administrators in the total vocational system, whether they are in comprehensive high schools or area vocational technical schools.

And many of those program speakers in a specific area will address things of this nature to help the students -- the special population students.

And in that special population, we also have, in the Carl Perkins law, the inmates in our public reformatories come under that, and we provide training programs there. So they are a part of our special populations.

And I really don't know, Dr. Mitchell, if your area address inmates, or if it strictly --

1 2 employment -- or unemployment. 3 4 5 DR. MITCHELL: 6 7 8 DR. GREEN: Sure. 9 10 11 12 opportunity --13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 her selling herself. 22 23 24 25 true, that she is now an employee and not having to depend

DR. MITCHELL: We -- no. We are interested in that area, because that is a very serious part of the DR. GREEN: Right. And it really is for Oklahoma, with our growing inmate population. I think we are --DR. GREEN: We appreciate --DR. MITCHELL: -- to maintain the schedule. DR. MITCHELL: I appreciate your --DR. GREEN: I appreciate very much the MS. WALKER: Could I say one thing? DR. MITCHELL: Yes, please. MS. WALKER: In Ollie's nervousness here -- I would just like to reiterate that Ollie came to the vo-tech program as a divorced mother of four on welfare for \$440 a month. And two-and-a-half years later, she was asked to become an employee of Metro Tech, based on her skills and attitude and everything that goes together in She has been with Metro Tech now for over three years. She has not on welfare. She is making a lot more than she was the other way. She has realized a dream come

on any other particular system.

But I think one of the things most important to her is she has been a role model to her four children.

And just recently she was telling me about two of her daughters have summer jobs. Her kids are still in the school -- public school system. But she just wanted the good examples of a vo-tech school, and I don't think she got to tell all of that.

DR. GREEN: And I think the other thing, besides a role model to her four children, she is a role model --

MS. WALKER: Oh, yes.

DR. GREEN: -- to the students -- every single student. And they have lots of business and conferences at that particular school. So she is a role model for every single person who comes in there.

And I really did not realize -- see, I go there every day almost for lunch. I did not realize, until I had talked to Ms. Walker, that Ollie was a graduate of one of our programs.

And thank you so much, Ollie, for sharing your story.

MS. YEAGER: You are welcome.

DR. GREEN: You have done a beautiful job.

DR. MITCHELL: Paying those taxes now. Right?

1, 1993.

DR. GREEN: What? 1 DR. MITCHELL: Paying taxes, too. Right? 2 DR. GREEN: Right. Thank you, Dr. Mitchell, 3 very much. 4 DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much. I 5 appreciate it. 6 Mr. Roper, I appreciate your patience in 7 staying with us the afternoon -- Mr. Jack Roper, who is 8 the -- are you program administrator? 9 MR. ROPER: Yes. 10 11 DR. MITCHELL: For Oklahoma State Department of 12 Rehabilitation Services. MR. ROPER: In the administrative services 13 office of the --14 15 DR. MITCHELL: Administrative office -- okay. Thank you. 16 17 MR. ROPER: Right. On behalf of Mr. Dunlap, the director of the 18 19 Department of Rehabilitation Services and the Commission for Rehabilitation Services, we feel honored to be asked 20 21 to be with you today, as one of the newest departments in 22 the state of Oklahoma. 23 We were created about this time last year by 24 legislative action, and became our own department on July

Prior to this time, we were a division of the Department of Human Services in Oklahoma, and had been with that department since 1968, when by legislative action we were moved to the Department of Human Services from the Oklahoma Department of Vocational Technical Education. Last year's legislation created the new department and the Commission for Rehabilitation Services.

It is the mission of the Department of Rehabilitation Services to assist in providing all persons with disabilities opportunities to identify their individual vocational, social, and personal goals, and to reach their potentials, if possible.

Rehabilitation Services has four basic program divisions:
Rehabilitative Services, which works with individuals
whose primary disabilities is other than blindness; Visual
Services Division, whose primary mission is to work with
those individuals who are legally blind or totally blind;
the Oklahoma School for the Deaf, which is located at
Sulphur, Oklahoma -- it is a residential facility for
children and youth; the Oklahoma School for the Blind,
which is a residential facility at Muskogee, Oklahoma,
sometimes known as Parkview School; and the Disability
Determination Services Division, which is a division
assigned to making primary decisions and adjudicating

1

3 4

5

б

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

applications for Social Security disability at the various Social Security offices throughout the state.

That is 100 percent funded federal program, and we are -- work with the Social Security Administration to provide those services.

Rehabilitation Services provides vocational rehabilitation services designed to result in employment for persons with severe disabilities. Priority is given to those individuals with the most severe disabilities.

We also have an independent living services program, which provides services to individuals with severe disabilities to allow them to function more independently within their home or community, if it is -the determination is made that gainful employment is not an appropriate goal for them.

We have a community rehabilitation services program, which administers what we term supportive deployment services to individuals with the more severe disabilities in their goals of obtaining gainful employment.

We have special services for the deaf and hearing impaired in our program. And we have a transitional living center for students who are deaf who are maybe graduating from the School for the Deaf or -the transitional living center is a dormitory type

facility which allows them to come -- it is located in Oklahoma City -- and function on their own under supervision and in terms of apartment living, in terms of developing vocational skills, in terms of making job applications, and in terms of seeking employment.

They may have graduated from the Oklahoma School for the Deaf with certain vocational skills, but yet when those individuals come — they may leave their home community and they come to the city seeking employment.

We have a dormitory type facility in which we provide close supervision and assist them in seeking employment, and after they have found employment, live under the supervision until those involved can determine that, yes, they can really make it on their own out in the community without supervision.

Visual Services program provides the same vocational rehabilitation services to those individuals who are blind or legally blind. They also have a program of rehabilitation teachers for the blind, who visit in the homes of newly blinded individuals to help them adjust to their disabilities and to their — to the sudden realization that they no longer are able to visually observe.

The Rehabilitative and Visual Services

divisions have field staff located or assigned to every county in the state of Oklahoma, even though they may not be officed in each county.

Our Visual Services and Rehabilitative Services counselors are assigned geographical territories. We may be co-located with the Department of Human Services offices. We may be co-located with other facilities or other service providers in the state of Oklahoma.

And in the major metropolitan areas, Tulsa and Oklahoma City, we have offices within the public school -- public high schools, which works with those individuals who are disabled and who are graduating from the high school and the public education program.

We may start working with those individuals and the public schools in a work-study program as early as the tenth grade, in order to provide a work-study arrangement whereby they can meet their academic requirements for approximately a half or nearly three-quarters of the day, and yet we will work with them in finding employment in a local area, so that they can get used to the world of work prior to the time they graduate from high school, and assist them in defining what job opportunities are there, or what training opportunities may be present.

Also, in Visual Services, we have responsibility for the Oklahoma Library for the Blind and

6 ·

Physically Handicapped, which provides books and magazines and special media to blind individuals and print-limited Oklahomans throughout the state.

Visual Services also administers the Randolph Shepherd [phonetic] Vending Program, a vending facility program in Oklahoma, securing suitable locations for vending facilities, helping design and install specific equipment, and training and placing and supervising operators for these facilities.

That very basically and generally is the Rehabilitative and Visual Services divisions' work to reach the mission of the agency.

Another division, the Disability Determination unit division, is responsible for adjudicating application for Social Security disabilities. This division is not involved in therapeutic or diagnosis or provision of treatment for individuals.

This division take the information provided through the local Social Security Administration offices and adjudicates their applications for disability insurance within federal guidelines.

The Oklahoma School for the Blind and the Oklahoma School for the Deaf provide -- both provide full pre-school, primary, and secondary educational programs for residential and day students, serving Oklahomans who

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

are blind or severely visually impaired or who are deaf. 1 Both programs are designed to provide students 2 with individualized assessments or individualized 3 assistance in order to overcome the limitations placed on 4 them by their disabilities. 5

Both schools are responsible for outreach programs, who work with the public schools over the state of Oklahoma as either an evaluation facility or in an advisory capacity to the individual local educational school districts.

Very generally, those are the responsibilities and the activities within the Department of Rehabilitation Services.

I will have provided with you information regarding the work profile of our staff. We serve -- the Rehabilitation and Visual Services divisions serve over 30,000 Oklahomans in fiscal 1993.

Out of that 30,132 Oklahomans, 15,059 were female, and 15,073 were male. 25,096 individuals were white; 3,317 were identified as black -- 1,512 American Indians or Alaskan natives, and 217 Asian or Pacific Islanders.

> Of this number of individuals, of the 30,132 --DR. MITCHELL: No Hispanics?

MR. ROPER: Not information that I have.

DR. MITCHELL: Okay.

MR. ROPER: 21,654 persons were determined eligible for services and participated in individualized written rehabilitation programs with services. And 8,111 were identified as disabled to meet the eligibility requirements of the program, with a little -- 13,500-plus identified as severely disabled.

I give these statistics to you. Additionally, they are in a handout that Mr. Hernandez has and will be provided to you, of course.

The Oklahoma School for the Blind -- the residential facility for young people has an enrollment -- just completed the school year with an enrollment of 95.

That information -- that breakdown is 58 females and 37 males, 8 black race, 7 American Indians, and 80 white individuals.

The School for the Deaf has a slightly higher enrollment of 144, with statistics show that 17 are blacks, 11 American Indians -- 10 Hispanics were identified at the Oklahoma School for the Deaf -- 2 Asians, and 101 white and other -- and 2 others.

And basically the breakdown between male and female was almost 50 percent there: 71 female and 73 males.

I have also provided you with information

regarding the work force of the department. The new department has, I believe, 861 employees, and the information with that breakdown for each of our divisions is provided to you.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.

MR. ROPER: I would be glad to respond to comments or questions or --

DR. MITCHELL: Are there any -- yes?

MR. FAGIN: Other than the several schools mentioned, do you operate vocational schools, or do you work with the other vocational schools in the areas where you are --

MR. ROPER: No. We do not have vocational schools.

MR. FAGIN: Do you work with the others?

MR. ROPER: We work with the others. We have written agreements with all the area vocational technical schools to either -- to purchase their services, if the individuals are not eligible for free enrollment based upon where they live -- but -- and not just the vocational schools, but with the private vocational schools, with some junior colleges, with area vo-techs, and with the universities, of both a private and a public nature.

We develop working agreements or contracts with each educational facility where we might be able to obtain

training for disabled individuals.

DR. MITCHELL: Other questions?

Yes, Dr. Maletz.

MR. MALETZ: In these contracts or agreements, have you found the schools, the vocational schools -- and the universities, for that matter -- have you found them to be receptive and sufficiently flexible in dealing with people who have severe disabilities?

MR. ROPER: To my knowledge, I have not heard of any documented evidence to the contrary. We assign staff to work with each of these individual schools in order to -- we term these people as supervising counselors -- in order to assist our clients as they attend that facility, if they have problems academically or otherwise.

In many of the schools, in many of the higher education facilities, we have staff on campus, which is a great benefit to the disabled individuals. If they have a problem medically or academically, we assign, regardless of where their home is, we will have a supervising counselor available to them at regular intervals.

MR. MALETZ: Will they --

MR. ROPER: We -- excuse me.

MR. MALETZ: Will they act to sort -- to represent the interests of the student --

obstacles?

MR. ROPER: The student or the client, yes.

MR. MALETZ: -- if they are running into

MR. ROPER: Yes. We have developed, over the years, a very positive relationship, especially with the public, and the universities and the public educational facilities, and the private educational facilities.

And in our contract with them, they have to -they certify that they recognize the accessibility issues,
and they certify that they recognize issues which are -would be addressed by individuals with disabilities.

And we have not had to cancel a contract because of inappropriate steps or lack of steps by facilities. We monitor these, and we renew these contracts every year, and they are signed.

DR. MITCHELL: What about job placement -- what kind of results you get with job placement?

MR. ROPER: Our job placement activities and responsibilities to assist each individual client is assigned to their local counselor, or to their counselor to help them develop their job placement skills and/or assist them in seeking employment.

And our counselors are charged with developing job placements opportunities in their own home community.

If we have a client who is leaving their home community

for training and coming back to that home community, our staff is charged with that responsibility to assist --

DR. MITCHELL: What kind of success rates you have?

MR. ROPER: I would not venture to give you a percentage right now. I know that in terms of rehabilitated individuals that we rehabilitated 3,000-plus individuals in the state of Oklahoma in fiscal 1994. I can get those statistics and provide them to the committee, if that would be --

DR. MITCHELL: But we would like to know something about the degree of rehabilitation in terms of success.

MR. FAGIN: Even generally, do you see it as -see that as a problem? In other words, the image that
many of us have is that employers are very resistant, even
large employers, to give the disabled the -- a chance,
even with training them. I am just wondering if you all
meet with -- met with that.

MR. ROPER: We experience those same problems with many employers. That is why we have charged our staff with making employer contacts in order to establish the opportunity for the person with the disabilities, and follow up and be available for that employer if they began to experience problems with an individual and they relate

FORM CSR - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that to us, or if our clients relate, Hey, I have got a problem here on my workplace. Can you come back and help me?

We try to follow our clients -- we are mandated to follow our clients for a minimum of 60 days after placement before we make a determination that this case should be properly be closed.

Sometimes those first placements aren't always successful. So we don't automatically close the case, once that individual starts a job. If we did, and we closed a client in what we term a status 26, a rehabilitated status -- that is a data information status -- we have the opportunity to provide follow-up services and be available to that individual after we -and reopen a case if other services might be needed.

Society has changed. Society is changing in terms of recognizing the capabilities of persons with severe disabilities. And we think we can document successes, and we will get that information to you.

DR. MITCHELL: Committee members? Yes.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Mr. Roper, I am trying to take a real quick look at the -- your staffing patterns here.

> MR. ROPER: Yes.

MR. HERNANDEZ: And I know, in my conversations with Director Dunlap, that the department was organized

not too long ago, a year or so ago.

MR. ROPER: Yes, sir. We haven't completed our first year yet.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. You separated from the Department of Human Resources -- or Human Services, and my question is that you have like close to 900 employers -- 861, I believe --

MR. ROPER: Yes.

MR. HERNANDEZ: I think there was like a golden opportunity to, I guess, use affirmative action. And I am just wondering how that reorganization was done, in terms of bringing employees on board -- new employees, or did you use, you know, hiring from within promotions?

I notice that -- well, first of all, that the disabled person isn't in this --

MR. ROPER: Identified in there. And --

MR. HERNANDEZ: And a couple of questions: How did minorities fare in terms of the different levels -- at the entry level, the mid-management level, and then at the administrative level -- in terms of --

MR. ROPER: Since we have become our own department, we have been identified in a non-classified service for new hiring. And I say that -- classified services -- under the Department of Human Services, we were under the jurisdiction of the Office of Personnel

Management.

The specific language did not -- which created the department did not specify that we were to continue under the Office of Personnel Management. And so we had the opportunity to direct-hire people in the unclassified service.

Within the past three months, we have hired a person who is an equal employment opportunity officer and reports directly to the director on those types of activities, and is doing a study of our activity.

within recent months, within this year, we have made an effort to contact those training facilities which -- whose graduates may qualify for employment within the vocational rehabilitation program and within the other divisions of our department.

So we feel we have taken some positive steps within the past 12 months now to expand our notices to schools, to -- and try to draw in applications from persons of minority races, for example.

DR. MITCHELL: Are there any disabled individuals in the administration of this -- of your whole agency? Are there any disabled individuals employed as administrators or --

MR. ROPER: We have hired -- the division administrator for Rehabilitation Services -- for

administrative services division is a lady who has been 1 with us two months -- three months. We have hired an 2 individual --3 DR. MITCHELL: Is she disabled -- from the disabled community? 5 MR. ROPER: No. 6 DR. MITCHELL: No. 7 - MR. ROPER: No. 8 DR. MITCHELL: The question was --9 MR. ROPER: Oh, disabled. 10 DR. MITCHELL: Right. Disabled from the 11 disabled community. 12 MR. ROPER: We have in the administrative 13 staff -- our director is blind. The director of the 14 Visual Services program is blind. As far as new hires 15 within the administrative services within recent months, 16 17 we have not -- I would not classify any of those as just 18 being disabled right now. 19 That is a question which is not allowed on job 20 applications now, but you need to find -- they haven't brought disabilities to our attention. 21 22 MR. JENKINS: Who has indicated that you cannot 23 ask that particular question? 24 MR. ROPER: It is my understanding that that is

not allowed on the application forms that were published

MR. JENKINS: Again, I am looking for what 2 source that is, besides somebody said that, because --3 MR. ROPER: Okay. 4 5 MR. JENKINS: -- even under the federal guidelines, there is -- you have some flexibility in 6 asking certain questions. 7 MR. ROPER: Yes. 8 9 MR. JENKINS: And you use it for statistical purposes, also, because one of the things, as you 10 mentioned here, you don't have the breakout as to those 11 12 from the disabled community who are employed by your 13 agency. 14 MR. ROPER: Okay. 15 MS. HELTON: May I ask a question? 16 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. Go ahead. MS. HELTON: Mr. Roper --17 18 MR. ROPER: Yes. 19 MS. HELTON: As a former employee of the old 20 Vocational Rehab Department, do you have any Hispanics 21 since Ursla Eidichun Velasquez and myself retired from 22 that department? Do you have any Hispanic workers --23 employees, that you know of? 24 MR. ROPER: Yes. We have what started out as a 25 project in Altus in southwestern Oklahoma, a project to

by the Office of Personnel Management.

1

1

work with persons of Spanish -- Hispanic race, in terms of we have a project where we have hired at least two people 2 to serve the southwestern part of Oklahoma. 3 MS. HELTON: You don't have any in the Oklahoma 4 City office? --5 MR. ROPER: I can't answer that question in the 6 affirmative right now, Ms. Helton. 7 MS. HELTON: Okay. 8 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. I think we need to push 9 on, time-wise. 10 MR. GIAGO: I have one question. 11 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Fine. Yes. 12 MR. GIAGO: On your -- say, on your American 13 Indian employees --14 MR. ROPER: Yes. 15 16 MR. GIAGO: Are they -- I know it may not be 17 required. Can they document that they are Indian --American Indian -- that they are enrolled members of a 18 19 tribe? Or are they just claiming it on their application? 20 MR. ROPER: I would hope that they can. We 21 have not taken steps to verify those. But I would hope 22 that they would be able to. And that is not the best 23 answer, but that is the best answer I can give you. 24 DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.

MR. ROPER: I certainly appreciate the

1	committee's time, and we will be in contact with Mr.
2	Hernandez and get you some information that you asked for.
3	Thank you.
4	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Ms. Clairita Goodwin
5	thank you for your patience.
6	MS. GOODWIN: No problem whatsoever.
7	DR. MITCHELL: And
8	MS. GOODWIN: I would like to and I am going
9	to provide three copies a copy a table of the
10	information I am going to be referencing.
11	DR. MITCHELL: Make sure he gets one; he will
12	see that we all get one.
13	MS. GOODWIN: Okay. Great. And if you need
14	additional copies on that, I will get those.
15	(Pause.)
16	MS. GOODWIN: I would like to thank you for the
17	opportunity to share with you what I believe is very much
18	in keeping with your task for the day.
19	DR. MITCHELL: I guess I haven't been doing
20	this right. Would you state your name?
21	MS. GOODWIN: I am sorry.
22	DR. MITCHELL: Okay.
23	MS. GOODWIN: I am Clairita Goodwin, and I am
24	the assistant deputy superintendent for public education
25	and for the area of school improvement, which does include

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

all the federal programs, all the content areas, Indian education, student assessment, the arts -- you name it. Anything that goes in school improvement area, that is under the school improvement division.

What I am going to share very briefly -- and I will try to keep to the 15 minutes. I would like to share with you what I believe is a framework that really does support from the state level.

In fact, when I say state level, I am talking about the total state of Oklahoma, with a commitment to equity and excellence in our public education system.

And I think that what I am preparing to do today is to share with you some documentation that sort of developed the framework, and then let you know that we are indeed now not only have the talk, but we are truly walking the walk through a ten-year school reform initiative.

One of the things that I would leave for your perusal is our State Department of Education affirmative action plan, and I have noted with the paper clip and have highlighted those areas that you have been asking questions specifically regarding minority recruitment and those types of issues.

Peggy Wilson is the individual at our state office, and her name is in here. If you need additional

10.

information, I would direct you to make phone calls -- a call to her, or if we need to visit with her in any way, we will make her available. So I will provide this for your records on that. Thank you.

Very quickly -- and I think that, in 1983, when the nation at risk gave back sort of a pulse on how are we doing in public education, they focused only on excellence. They did not focus on what I believe was the other most important component, and that was equity, as well as excellence.

In 1990, probably some of the most visionary leaders of this state came together and drafted what I believe will go down in history as being legislation that is going to provide not only national, but international, leadership for public education.

And to those individuals in this state who drafted the words of House Bill 1017 -- and that is our ten-year funding reform act -- I -- this state is truly indebted to these people, because what they did was they brought together looking at the end -- literally started with birth -- a birth to three program, and looking at those individuals as they moved through the process up to the ages of 21.

And they said, What can we do to bring two things: equity and excellence? With one driving vision,

that, upon graduation through the Oklahoma public schools, students would have only two choices: either employment or higher education.

And so with that vision there, the story begins to unfold. And I am going to provide for you one of the first ten copies that were signed from House Bill 1017 for your perusal, and this is also for your records.

document is, is that the -- was a directive that we provide a core curriculum. A core curriculum was in six areas: in the language arts, of course, which included reading, writing, speaking, and listening; social science -- social studies; science; mathematics; the arts, and for Oklahoma we had defined that as visual arts and general music; and then lastly, languages.

Now, for Oklahoma, we have defined that as foreign language, Native American, and American Sign. And so with that being the six core curricular areas, then what we were to do were to find those skills and knowledge in and among those core areas that would really drive toward this vision.

There were four areas that were to be provided for in integration -- integrated into the curriculum. And those areas -- and I think specifically to your task today -- instructional technology -- all those kinds of

things that helps learning to happen through -- via technology; of course, health, safety, and physical education; then information skills -- we believed that must be life-long learners, and so information skills that are integrated into the curriculum to truly facilitate the development and proficiency in locating, selecting, and organizing and communicating.

But also within this bill it spoke very specifically to technology education, hands-on career exploration. And that was to be embedded within this curriculum at grades six through ten. And I think that is a very key component that those visionaries had.

Now, as we began with this, we also had accreditation standards, and you will find that I had those -- that I have provided those for you.

Those accreditation standards were taken literally from the verbiage within House Bill 1017. And it speaks very clearly; we minced no words in what we were about.

"Quality curriculum shall be accessible for all students. The school shall provide an organizational structure and curriculum which allows each student to progress in accordance with his or her individual growth development.

"The overall educational program shall

incorporate high expectations of successful experiences for all students. All instructional learning materials shall be selected with consideration for the appropriate treatment of racial, ethnic, and cultural groups and the avoidance of stereotyping."

As we began to look at the revision -- we have already been through one revision -- of what we had -- we called first our learner outcomes. And we realized with that first drafting -- and it was a document of over 7,000 outcomes -- that some of them were not clear.

Some of them were not really academically focused, and we said, This will not do, because if we are truly looking for that vision, we must be very clear, not only with the skills and knowledge, but clearly denoting the levels of learning that must span from knowledge all the way through the evaluation level, because we felt like what we had found too many times we had — our learning had fallen too much just at that knowledge level.

And so with that in mind, we came together -in fact, this is -- we celebrated yesterday our first
anniversary of having completed the revision of our
priority academic student skills. And let me just
describe to you very briefly what we have done on this.

We took those 7,000 learner outcomes and we literally took those through a consensus approach. Now,

you have to understand that the names written in this document exceed 800, which include common education, vocational technical education, higher education, many community organizations. And they are all listed by name.

Over 800 individuals came together, and we literally cut those learner outcomes apart. And we then decided which among those were truly essential for our vision.

And we then actually crunched those down to exactly what we feel were the skills and knowledge that really were needed by the students to really find that they could be employable or could move on to higher education.

Now, even with that, Superintendent Garrett was not satisfied that even though we had really been very inclusive with these people who had come together -- and when I say in a consensus group, let me assure you it was.

And everyone weighed pros and cons on each of these objectives. We voted -- long deliberation -- on each and every one of those skills that were put in here. And many times, via technology, we would have a skill or knowledge written five different times, and we said, Is there any way we say it more clearly? Is there any way we can use an example, because we wanted to be right on the target. If this is what we wanted to have learned, then

let's be very complete.

But Superintendent Garrett said, unless we go to each of our congressional districts and have public input, then this is not going to be endorsed and supported by the state of Oklahoma.

And so literally we traveled to our six congressional districts for input sessions from the public. And over 1,000 came and gave us input regarding this document.

And what they said was, in some cases, that we still had some editing needs in there. In some cases, we still needed to have a more clearer explanation of the learning that was to happen. And so because of that, we have also added a glossary.

The other thing that we had already embedded within this document was exactly how we were going to test -- a criterion-referenced test that clearly related to these skills and knowledge.

There have also been over 200 teachers, again, representative from all those levels of education, who have been working to ensure all the kinds of equity types of questions and issues that, as we built our criterion-referenced test that would test these skills, that indeed we were speaking to the needs of all students.

In fact, I will just share just one quick story

with you. It was at this point in December when we had our -- our committees were working on this test, and I got home about 10:00 that evening, and I was notified that the Clarion was on fire.

And I thought, well, one, either a committee member has set it on fire, and we are going to do away with this particular test, or perhaps there has just been a little, you know, something happening, because there was a lot of renovation.

The next day when I came to the meetings, without any hesitation, a representative from each and every one of those committees came to me and said, We went down to be sure that each of these documents were secured. This is the best testing program that we have ever had, and we cleared them, even though we knew we had violated security; we took them out so that they -- nothing -- no harm would come. That is what happens when you get the stakeholders together.

Now, this is contained all within this document, and this has been our driving force, the standard for, and this includes and encompasses not only the curriculum, but instruction, climate, and assessment. All these pieces must layer together.

And we must be working cooperatively with all levels of education, and I think you will see that this is

definitely happening from the very moment of inception of this particular document.

One of the other things, as we worked with this document, we provided -- and I have -- I am sure you are very familiar with the Hatch and Buckley [phonetic]

And we said to our committee members, You treat each and every one of these programs -- you can take out the word "experimental," because if you invade in any of these kinds of rights that these students had, then it will not be a part of this document.

So we were very sensitive that we had a balance and a very clear sensitivity of what we should keep in mind as we were developing this document.

In closing, what I would like to tell you is that, as we envisioned these skills and knowledge, that must be brought about in all 572 of our school districts, we know that, unless we have teachers, administrators, the community that truly has the skills and knowledge — their toolbox is sharpened — unless we have professional staff development that truly links to making this learning happen, nothing is going to work, unless ultimately through this whole effort we touch that learner.

Sometimes that learner is a teacher. Sometimes that learner is a parent. Sometimes that -- but most of

4 5

all, that -- we are looking at that, focusing on that child going through the public education system.

But unless we keep this -- and I am looking -if you see what I am doing, this happens to be that

Newton's cradle, and I still see this -- unless you get
that going and touching that learner, then this is for
naught.

So what we are engaged in right now is probably the most extensive professional development program this state has ever seen. Through now and through 1995, we had probably the gurus in all of the curricular areas and all of those instructional areas that — instructional technique areas that really make a difference in students learning.

We are bringing them to the state. And let me tell you, our teachers and educators are hungry for staff development. We had to close our reading -- excuse me -- our writing conference that we are having next week. We had to close it. We thought we would have between 300 and 500. We had over 1,000 applicants.

In each and every one of these areas that we are providing for professional development, Oklahoma educators are saying, Absolutely. You are on the money, and we are focused.

To summarize, what we have done then is truly

say we have one textbook for the state of Oklahoma. And now rather than a professional company coming in and saying, Let me tell you what we have within our educational materials that will really serve you well —that no longer works.

We say, You tell us what you have that will make the words from these pages come alive, if it is a resource person.

And, for example, with our Native American curriculum, what we have found is that this -- and many of -- among many of our tribes, truly those languages were dying.

And in one tribe in particular, there was only one individual who still spoke the native -- that particular language. And so that culture is coming alive because of what we are doing.

What we are doing now, we are just going into our state adoption -- formal state adoption. And how wonderful it is that we can truly say that, if you do not have -- in your instructional materials, if they do not speak to what the skills and knowledge that we have as our textbook, don't even bother to bring them forward for consideration.

And so now that we are so focused, everything seems to be clicking into place. We are speaking one

language, and that is learning. I don't think I have ever in my 30-plus years experience in education been in a moment of time that I believed that change is going to happen in Oklahoma.

I just sat in the board of regents meeting this last week, and I watched as they brought many stakeholders represented by -- excuse me -- many business organizations, our common education, the vocational technical schools -- and they came together again looking at what they could do in a particular project to really solidify that spirit of collaboration and cooperation.

I am seeing this over and over and over. And so by this time next year, all 572 school districts in the state of Oklahoma are required to have a birth to three parent-training program. That, to me, is extremely exciting, because if we can intervene -- prevention is so important, because of the money and time that we will be saving.

We are finding that many counselors are saying, Absolutely, let me be a part of that, because then I can counsel those students with some things that are more related and important to making that connection with skills in the real world.

Dr. Roy Peters, who is our state superintendent of vo-tech, speaks of this technology education linkage

that is included within this curriculum that speaks of that career awareness from grades six through ten.

He speaks to this as being another prevention program, because as these youngsters from six to ten are saying, What relevance—are—all these skills and knowledge to the real world? We then bring in that relevance, that hopefully we will keep these youngsters in school and on track.

So when I -- when you had called and we had visited, this came through my mind as an opportunity to let you know at the national level that, indeed, we do have that broad focus, thanks to the leadership of some very wise visions of focused legislators.

The other thing that you need to know, in closing, is that not only did the legislation pass in 1990, but in 1991 a historical event happened in this state. Our taxpayers came forward and voted to affirm that, indeed, this was a reform package that they wanted for the state.

So not only was it just legislatively generated, it was total state supported. And they are going to find at the end of this ten years that their vote was a vote of confidence for the public education in this state, and they will not be disappointed.

DR. MITCHELL: Committee members?

MS. GOODWIN: Yes, sir. 2 The -- and it is not about what you MR. TOURE: 3 mentioned. It may be in the materials. The certification 4 of teachers in Oklahoma -- there is a governing committee 5 6 that works on those standards and what-have-you. Is that correct? 7 MS. GOODWIN: That is correct, sir. 8 9 MR. TOURE: Are there any Hispanic representatives on that committee? 10 MS. GOODWIN: I do not know. I am not familiar 11 12 with that -- I know the committee is formed, and they are 13 working very diligently on these issues. But I do not 14 know the ethnic composition of that committee. But I could find that out for you. 15 16 MR. TOURE: Okay. 17 MS. HELTON: We need them. 18 MS. GOODWIN: Yes. You --19 MS. HELTON: We need them very, very 20 desperately. 21 MS. GOODWIN: I will get those for you. I have marked on this one copy. These are just some particular 22 23 components that you will find in here that speak to some 24 of the equity issues. 25 You will find that we didn't mince any words

MR. TOURE: I just had one question.

about what we were about. And I think that you will find 1 that we are doing exactly what we said we were doing. And 2 I will be glad to get that information for you. And I 3 exceeded my 15 minutes. 4 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. But --5 MR. GIAGO: Do you have any dropout rates on б 7 the protected classes in the public school systems, especially in the Oklahoma City area? 8 9 MS. GOODWIN: I do not have that information, but I, again, can get that for you. 10 MR. GIAGO: We will need that. 11 12 MS. GOODWIN: Okay. For the Oklahoma City 13 area, on the dropout -- okay. 14 MR. GIAGO: Dropout rates of the protected 15 classes. MS. GOODWIN: I can get that for you. 16 17 MS. HELTON: I would like to have the same thing, only for the Tulsa area, and then what you do as a 18 19 follow-up to the dropouts. 20 MS. GOODWIN: Okay. Great. 21 MS. HELTON: Okay. 22 MS. GOODWIN: One of the things that I 23 understand will also be coming under my school improvement 24 is that new legislation on alternative education. That, I 25 can hardly wait to get my hands on. So we -- and so next

time I come, I will guarantee you I will have firsthand information of what we are doing.

DR. MITCHELL: This record will stay open for 30 days, in case there is anything else we need or we can contact you and let you know.

MS. GOODWIN: All right. Absolutely.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes. I am sorry. You had --

MR. HERNANDEZ: One quick question: When you were talking about the core curriculum --

MS. GOODWIN: Yes, sir.

MR. HERNANDEZ: -- you mentioned 800 persons took part in developing this. Could you give me any kind of a notion in terms of the protected classes participation input into that in terms of --

MS. GOODWIN: Let me tell you, when we designed those committees, not only we went -- we took the state map -- not only did we look at where they were located, but that type of representation was on the committee, the very kinds of things that you are asking about. And we had very clear representation with all the minorities well represented on all these committees.

MR. HERNANDEZ: And the disabled?

MS. GOODWIN: Absolutely. So those were some issues that we knew at some time people would say, I was not represented, but we can stand and say, Yes, you were,

1	and we can point to those names.
2	DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.
3	MS. GOODWIN: Thank you.
4	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. I guess Dr. Hopkins is
5	here.
6	Thank you and welcome.
7	DR. HOPKINS: Thank you, Dr. Mitchell.
8	DR. MITCHELL: And so before you begin, for the
9	court reporter, so name and title and welcome.
10	DR. HOPKINS: I am Charles Hopkins. I am with
11	the Oklahoma Department of Vocational and Technical
12	Education. I am assistant state director there. And I do
13	bring you greetings from our state director, Dr. Roy
14	Peters. So I know he would want me to do that.
15	Back earlier in the year, for the committee
16	purposes, we provided Mr. Hernandez a considerable amount
17	of material. So if there is something that I don't have
18	for you this afternoon, and he doesn't have it
19	DR. MITCHELL: I can't imagine that.
20	DR. HOPKINS: I will assure that we will be
21	more than pleased to provide.
22	What I would like to do is just briefly cover
23	the areas that he has asked me to cover, and I would like
24	to allow as much time for questions as you would have,
25	because that interaction would be more important than me

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

covering material that you already have.

I do think that it is important for everyone to understand the governing structure for education and vocational and technical education in Oklahoma, because it is important and it provides us with one thing that many states don't have, is that we have two constitutional boards, which is the State Board of Education and the State Regents for Higher Education, and their staffs, which are by constitution.

But the Oklahoma Department of Vocational and Technical Education and the Oklahoma State Board are statutorily created for the purposes of setting policy and administering vocational and technical education in Oklahoma.

That, we believe, has been one of the strengths that we have had in this state. It also is a very close tied link of many years ago to help with the economic and industrial development for the state, that if we can make people more employable, then we are going to help the citizenry as well as business and industry to be more profitable. We create more jobs. We think that is extremely important. So as we look at our mission, that is one of the things that we always tried to do.

We have programs now, and the junior high programs that I am talking about are primarily the

> 24 25

technology education. We are in 31 junior high schools and eight middle schools.

And I cover this because these are relatively new programs in technology ed, and we are using those for career expiration programs. And one of the things that we think that is important, that if individuals have an opportunity to explore careers, they understand them better, and we hope that they will be able to make better choices.

We have provided our school-to-work model. I did not bring models today, and now I apologize to you for not doing that. But in our school-to-work model, we feel strongly that you start at the early level, at the kindergarten.

And the expiration is things that we have been hearing for 20 or 30 years, Dr. Mitchell, about the fact that, if we can get people aware of careers and talking about them, that they will -- that as they go up and start taking their curriculum, they will take the kinds of things that will start them to preparing better for the workplace.

The expiration is, then, the sixth through tenth grade, and we are trying to get students to explore. But by the time they start the ninth grade, we would like for every student to have an educational plan that would

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allow them to pursue their education regardless of where 1 they want to go or to enter employment regardless of what 2 level they want to enter employment.

So if we can accomplish that, maybe we can get the seamless system that we are hearing a lot about today for every individual.

We have 439 high schools. And I want to call your attention that the previous speaker represented the State Department of Education. But what many people don't know in Oklahoma is that we offer lots of vocational training in high schools.

People see the area schools and they feel that, well, that is where we offer the training. But we have training in 439 high schools. And when I get to the enrollment patterns, you will see we reach far more students in comprehensive high schools than we reach in area vo-tech schools.

We have now 50 campuses or sites of area vocational technical schools. There is 29 districts, and those 29 districts have 50 sites. So that gives accessibility to almost every individual in the state of Oklahoma.

There is a few pockets, and we are working on The panhandle, the three counties there, we have not been able to get the citizenry there to vote a school

district. And we have some other pockets in sparse areas.

But our state board wants the school made available to every individual, and we will continue to work to try to get that done, because we feel that it is extremely important.

So that is our area vocational technical schools that we have. On page 2, you see our enrollment, and I will just briefly go through that and call your attention to what we do.

In our high school programs -- and I think you can read your headings -- but we train 70,435 individuals in high schools. That is nine through twelve -- well, let me rephrase that. That would cover our technology education programs, seven through twelve, with that 70,435.

A predominant number of those programs are vocational agriculture and home economics. So I don't mislead you. In our rural areas, those will be the two predominant type of programs that are offered in our rural schools. Most of those schools are also complemented by the area vocational technical schools.

I also think it is important for the committee to have some feel about how credit is offered in the area school. The area vocational technical school does not offer the credit for the classes or programs that students

enroll in. That is -- the credit is given in the home high school.

so if you go to an area vo-tech school, that is an extension of the curriculum of the home high school. So all the credit is awarded back in the home district. So rather than it being Tulsa County or Tulsa -- or let me get this right now -- Tulsa Technology Center, that it would be Owassa [phonetic] High School that would offer the credit, if it is in the Tulsa area.

DR. MITCHELL: So you got used to the name change, too.

DR. HOPKINS: I have a hard time keeping up in all of the changes in our names, but I will try.

But that is the way that we worked it, and we worked it that way intentionally, because the State

Department of Education accredits programs. And so we say that we are an extension of the high school.

The intent that we were trying to do was put the expensive high-cost lower enrollment training programs in area vocational technical schools so that we could make a comprehensive program of vocational technical education available to the student population. It is not feasible to duplicate high-cost programs in area vo-tech schools.

We believe that if a class-sized unit can be put in a high school, and that it is not a real high-cost

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

training program, that it is better to put a class-sized unit in a high school than an area school.

It makes it easier for scheduling, and it makes it easier for the student to have access to it. And you can serve a larger number of students by doing that. places where we can't put together class-sized units, then the area school makes a very good model for one to follow.

Our area schools serve 14,413. That is in addition to the 70,435. So we are running between 14- and 15,000 students in our area vo-tech school secondary population. Those are basically juniors and seniors, basically.

Then our full-time adult -- and those would be individuals who are going full-time at least three hours -- or 525 hours a semester -- is that we have 13,540. It is almost equal to the secondary enrollment -for a total of 98,401 persons. So we serve almost 100,000 individuals annually.

The last figures that I ran on this -- and I did not put them here -- but we will reach about 56 percent of the students before they graduate out of their senior year. In other words, we will see them at least one time during their nine through twelve school years. So we will see about 50 percent of the students before they reach their senior year.

The page 3 -- and I would just kind of like to very briefly go through this. And I am setting the stage for our recruitment effort, and I will share with you.

One of the things that we have been trying to do is to hire more minorities, and in our state department and in our school systems that we have in the state. And one of the difficulties that we are having is that we are having difficulty recruiting people that come in to the system that have the vocational backgrounds.

And one of the things that we are being told over and over again is that "they are not in the pipeline." In other words, they opt out, and that they are not going on.

And particularly, if they pursue a higher education degree, many of those individuals -- most of those individuals do not choose any vocational technical career field that they are pursuing.

So in looking for employees with many of the jobs that are available that we are having difficulty finding people who even will sign up and come for an interview.

So we have been working for about four years, and we are trying to get people into the career paths.

And it is the feeling of the communities which -- and the persons that we visit with, that if we can get them into

the career paths, then we are going to have a lot more individuals in the pool that we can draw from. So we would like to try to do that.

off is that we had two meetings -- and one in the Francis
Tuttle area vo-tech school here in Oklahoma City and one
at the Canadian Valley -- recently where we invited all
the community leaders to a meeting to tell them about
vocational and technical education, what we are doing, to
see a campus, and talk about the career opportunities that
were going to be available for young people.

our information is that the leaderships that were there -- and they were clergy as well as leaders in the community -- they were extremely amazed at the opportunities that would be available and left very enthused and very complimentary.

Now what we are going to do is cascade that.

We are working for that to happen in the Tulsa area very soon. And then we want to cascade to more of the rural areas.

An initiative that was made by some citizenry of the black community is that we had at one time several black ag teachers in the state of Oklahoma, and they look around now and we don't have anyone majoring in vocational agriculture.

They came to us and said, Hey, look, we think this is wrong -- is that we would like to try to get more people. And so they approached us with how can you -- how can we help you to get more students that are interested, and particularly to pursue a career in this field.

So we are financing their expenses. They have volunteered their services, and they are going to be working and going into schools and talking to young minorities -- and we have extended this to all minorities -- is that they are talking about how vocational education may be a very viable option for them to look at for the future, because I heard you ask the question a while ago about dropouts.

Dropouts continue to be a problem to us in Oklahoma. It continues to be a problem, I guess, across the nation, everywhere. And to my knowledge, we haven't found the magic thing yet that it is going to take, except that the literature is showing that if you can interest a student young enough in a career path, and that they can see the opportunity at the end, and someone takes an interest, they are more likely to go through and pursue and go on and enter into the work force by whatever major that they choose to go through.

So we want to work in this arena and try to help with our dropout population. Every state in the

nation should be embarrassed about the dropouts and not -Oklahoma ranks really good compared to some states in the
nation, but we still would like to do better in our state.
And we want to try to help that.

On page 4 -- and I don't think I want to cover that. You can see what we do from the standpoint of recruitment. And I do want to cover with you that we don't think that we have been successful with the things that we are doing.

And we are asking ourselves every day — and by the way, we have involved advisory committees from the community and all the protected groups to come in and sit down and serve with us and say, Okay, what can we do next? What can we do next? What can we do next? And we will continue to do that, because we have made a commitment, and we are interested.

On page 6, you will see a breakdown of our staff. We have been downsizing for the last three years in our department, and I only have one-year data, which was a year ago. We are less staffed today than we were a year ago. It looks like that we will stabilize someplace around 430 to 435 staff positions.

But with downsizing, we have not hired a whole of new individuals. In other words, we are not in a growth pattern, as we have enjoyed in the past. But we

1	look at our information, and we truly would like to do
2	better. And I will share that with the committee.
3	MS. HELTON: May I ask a question on this,
4	please?
5	DR. HOPKINS: You certainly may.
6	MS. HELTON: I notice that you have listed here
7	on the Hispanic column that you have three. May I ask in
8	what capacity are they employed?
9	DR. HOPKINS: I do not know.
10	MS. HELTON: I mean, are they teachers,
11	janitors, or whatever?
12	DR. HOPKINS: They would be I know that in
13	our skills centers we have some instructors. We do
14	operate skills centers DMA training centers and skills
15	centers. We do have some instructors. And our media
16	staff, I don't know. Again, I can find that out for you.
17	MS. HELTON: Would you, please?
18	DR. HOPKINS: Yes.
19	Ms. HELTON: Okay.
20	DR. HOPKINS: I am thinking do I have any that
21	are I think that there is one that works with our
22	maintenance. Matter of fact, I know we have a Hispanic
23	that works with maintenance.
24	MS. HELTON: Okay.
25	MR. GIAGO: Dr. Hopkins, I have one.

2	MR. GIAGO: The same thing I would like to have
3	for your American Indians. I am glad you got American
4	Indians rather than Native Americans American Indians.
5	DR. HOPKINS: On how many that are employed and
6	what positions?
7	MR. GIAGO: Yes. And can they prove that they
8	are
9	DR. HOPKINS: Those will be professional
10	positions and instructors. I know that one.
11	MR. GIAGO: And can they prove that they are
12	American Indian I mean, documented proof, because we
13	have a problem
14	DR. HOPKINS: I think so.
15	MR. GIAGO: We have a problem across the
16	nation, and Oklahoma can be notorious for that, for
17	claiming Indian but cannot prove it.
18	DR. HOPKINS: Yes.
19	MR. GIAGO: Somewhere back in their
20	MS. HELTON: Great, great, great
21	MR. GIAGO: My great, great grandmother was a
22	Cherokee princess and that is
23	DR. HOPKINS: If I remember the definition,
24	anyone who has ever had an ancestor on the tribal roll
25	MR. GIAGO: The Cherokees never did have

DR. HOPKINS:

Yes.

1	princesses, by the way. But, anyway, I need
2	DR. HOPKINS: But they are in professional
3	positions.
4	MR. GIAGO: I need to have that within the 30
5	days that you have got allowed here.
6	DR. HOPKINS: Now, what is the specific
7	question you are asking? What positions they have and can
8	they document that they are Indian?
9	MR. GIAGO: Can they prove that they are
10	American Indian? Are they enrolled members of tribes?
11	They can do that.
12	DR. HOPKINS: I don't know that we will have
13	that data.
14	MR. GIAGO: They have it.
15	MS. HELTON: They have it.
16	MR. JENKINS: The question being
17	DR. HOPKINS: Well, they may have it.
18	
	MR. GIAGO: Yes. That is what I mean. Can
19	MR. GIAGO: Yes. That is what I mean. Can they identify it?
19 20	
	they identify it?
20	they identify it? MR. JENKINS: In collecting your EEO data, do
20	they identify it? MR. JENKINS: In collecting your EEO data, do you depend on self-identification or documentation? I
20 21 22	they identify it? MR. JENKINS: In collecting your EEO data, do you depend on self-identification or documentation? I think that is

1	show me anything that you have got 16 there. Example:
2	Oklahoma City claimed we had downtown offices had 17 to
3	31 American Indians. Going through that whole office
4	procedure, looking at anyone, for example, just visually,
5	they had one Indian there, and I knew that person.
6	DR. HOPKINS: Yes. We will be more visible
7	than that.
8	MR. GIAGO: That is right.
9	DR. HOPKINS: We will be more visible than
10	that, I assure you. So
11	MR. GIAGO: I think documentation is going to
12	be a good key thing. On my recommendations to the
13	commission is going to be that anyone claiming, as far as
14	affirmative action and EEO, should and better have
15	documented proof that their people that claim Indian are
16	Indian and show that. They can do that. So that is going
17	to be very serious.
18	DR. HOPKINS: I understand.
19	MR. JENKINS: It is a different story for
20	Hispanics.
21	MS. HELTON: Same way with Hispanics.
22	MR. JENKINS: No. It is a different story.
23	MS. HELTON: Hey well, we can go on that
24	later.
25	DR. MITCHELL: You are talking about the

federal quidelines on that.

MR. JENKINS: Federal guidelines on that.

MR. TOURE: I don't know if I can prove I am black or not. He asked me.

DR. MITCHELL: Well, you have problems.

MR. TOURE: Yes. I have got problems.

I have two questions, Doctor.

DR. HOPKINS: Surely.

MR. TOURE: One was the -- your assessment that the recruitment efforts have not been successful. Why or what have you found to be a problem, other than not having folks in the pipeline? I assume that there is somebody who would apply who are -- who may be capable of applying.

Is it income or -- pardon me -- salary or fringe, or what is the problem?

DR. HOPKINS: I really think truthfully that the educational system has been a vehicle -- this is what I think that it is: because they don't choose it for a career.

That vocational education has been looked upon with the image that it is a low-paying occupation, that the status has not been what it should, which is something that we have had to work with since 1917, that when they choose to pursue a career that they choose not to opt to go into one of the career professions of vocational and

technical education.

And, you know, that is not just true of any protected group. That is true in general.

MR. TOURE: Let me ask you -- and perhaps I -you have explained; I just missed it. Are we talking
about being employed by school systems -- public school
systems in that area or by the separate vocation
department?

DR. HOPKINS: By both. Is that -- we have done better in the school systems, and particularly, we do better in the metropolitan areas than we do in the rural areas.

But it has been both that they -- that when you look at the -- if you go to OSU or Central State, you won't find anyone majoring in the vocational technical career teaching fields.

MR. TOURE: Okay. Just a quick follow-up on that, and then let me get to my second question. And I don't mean to cut you off.

DR. HOPKINS: No. That is fine.

MR. TOURE: I know that a lot of folks have questions.

How do you plan, if you can, to get around the state hiring freeze if, for example, I brought four or five people that were qualified to you? Four or five

people came into the door, and one was Native American, one was American Indian, one was Hispanic, one was Asian, and so forth, and they met all the criteria. How could you could get around the hiring freeze?

DR. HOPKINS: The way that we apply now to the secretary of education, and if they allow us to hire, then the position is posted and it is open. Then we recruit and then we hire from the recruitment.

MR. TOURE: Okay. Thank you. The question that I wanted to ask you about that is not in your material is, as I understand it, each vocational technical school has a district that it operates in. Is that correct?

DR. HOPKINS: That is correct.

MR. TOURE: And each district school, then, can determine what courses it will offer if certified or authorized by the state department?

DR. HOPKINS: If approved by the Oklahoma State Department of Vocational Technical Education.

MR. TOURE: Are you familiar or aware of any allegations that some schools -- I think Francis Tuttle might be one of those -- has been accused of limiting the number of slot in classes in order to keep minority students away? After so many slots are open, then folks from outside the district can come into the school?

a slot.

DR. HOPKINS: The -- and this was because of an issue several years ago -- there is a reciprocal agreement in Oklahoma County among all the schools -- but it is no slots -- is that, on space available, any student from any district can attend any program in any of the metropolitan areas in Oklahoma City that, if a school doesn't have a program, then they can enter another program. And that is a reciprocal agreement.

And, you know, this is my next -- we do civil rights compliance every year. I have not heard that complaint. The biggest problem you have in an area vocational technical school -- and if you will think with me -- we always have many more applicants for the positions that is available in a single teacher program, generally speaking -- not always, but almost always -- than we have the ability to serve.

If you have 150 people want to sign up for a program, which we have had, and you have 15 openings, then you have got 15 openings. And in the -- since 1979, we have looked at the admission policies to make sure that there are no barriers or no discriminatory practices.

MR. TOURE: Well, I guess I am asking that -DR. HOPKINS: But it is tough if you don't get

MR. TOURE: Well, I guess one of the things

that -- I probably missed it -- but sometime during the presentation about vocational education is that it is being touted and we want to provide opportunities for people who are locked out right now, who are dropping out, who don't have employment opportunities, and vocational education is a way to get over that barrier, if you will.

And if a district -- let's say in the middle of Oklahoma City or northwest, where there are no Indian people; there are no Hispanic people, or very few; there are no blacks, or very few -- and the slots are limited, and they can't get in, they have to go another vocational educational system that does not provide the facilities and wherewithal and what-have-you, then aren't we still doing the same things that we have problems with in public education, where some schools are good schools, and some schools are bad schools, and the bad schools are the ones that provide education for minority students?

DR. HOPKINS: Well, let me ask you a question first. We do have districts, and districts are tax based. And the taxpayers of the community do have the slot privileges, because they are taxpayers. That is the way it is set up. They voted the tax upon themselves they are taxing.

The individuals that come from outside of the district have to pay the share of the costs of that, and

they do not get preference if they live outside of the tax base district.

And if their situation is there, then that would be true, that preference would be given to individuals who were within the tax base. But, you know, if you are asking me -- I know of very few instances where individuals can't -- or I don't know if I know of any instances where individuals can't pursue a program even with the tuition base.

But that is the way the law is set up, and that is the way the districts are formed. And I think you understand that.

If you are outside of the district and you apply for that, then if it is filled from constituents within the district, then you would not have an opportunity to attend during that semester.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes. Right here. Yes, Mr. Maletz.

MR. MALETZ: I would like to ask if the -- if there is any system-wide planning being done to provide special programs to meet the needs of students with disabilities?

And by that I mean, not just making sure that sites are accessible for persons in wheelchairs or whatever, but are there any -- are programs being designed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in such a way as to accommodate persons who have severe disabilities, but who could benefit from a program and become employable if it is handled in the right way?

Is there -- are resources being targeted to this? Are the schools aware of the need to show some flexibility in handling these kind of students and preparing them for some kind of job or employment?

DR. HOPKINS: Ms. Melanie Ack [phonetic], that I brought with me this afternoon, works full-time in this area in servicing and doing that.

Every program that we have -- and I am not just saying this. Every program that we have -- the enrollment is open to anyone with disabilities and that if they go -that when they go through the individual education plan arrangement correctly, and that they can benefit from the program, then the supplemental services are provided to enhance them to go through that program.

And that, if you will look at the enrollment, you will see a sizable number of individuals that are being served.

The key that we believe that if truly an individual education plan, if you go through the right assessment process, you go through the right way of making that individual education plan, then a determination can be made on the programs that those individual can benefit

DR. MITCHELL: You do monitor the area schools 2 on this. 3 DR. HOPKINS: We monitor all the schools. Anyone offering vocational and technical education are 5 monitored. 6 - MR. MALETZ: And if a student or the student's 7 parent or guardian or whatever felt that the school was 8 not providing sufficient -- not showing sufficient 9 flexibility to deal with their needs, do they have a right 10 of -- do they have some way of appealing a decision or 11 pushing ahead to get a review of that --12 DR. HOPKINS: You bet. 13 MR. MALETZ: -- or seeking some adjustment? 14 15 DR. HOPKINS: Yes. MR. MALETZ: How would they do that? 16 DR. HOPKINS: And we have -- we do have that 17 occur, in that we do have those occasions which we look. 18 19 MR. MALETZ: How would that --20 DR. HOPKINS: But correct me if I am wrong, but 21 the parent alone does not have the say in one's individual 22 educational plan, that it is a team of trained experts 23 that is supposed to have that. The parent and the student 24 is only one member of that team. But they do have a right for appeal. 25

from. Then they are enrolled in those programs.

1	DR. MITCHELL: You are talking about
2	assessment an assessment of the
3	DR. HOPKINS: For assessment and the
4	composition of the individual education plan. But, yes,
5	they do, and they do appeal.
6	MR. MALETZ: And how would they do that? To
7	whom do you make that appeal?
8	DR. HOPKINS: They register it first with the
9	school, and then there are times that that is registered
10	with us. And we go out and look to see that all the
11	correct procedures have or have not been followed.
12	(Pause.)
13	DR. HOPKINS: I can elaborate more if you wish
14	for me to.
15	MR. MALETZ: Well, I am guess I am trying to
16	get a sense as to whether there is sufficient flexibility,
17	if it has one of the themes that we have heard today is
18	that sometimes the schools are not receptive enough to the
19	needs of persons with disabilities.
20	And there is a problem of flexibility, if they
21	are not willing to make sufficient accommodation or
22	provide a little bit of extra resources or whatever might
23	be needed to make it possible for such a succeed.
24	DR. HOPKINS: I think the key that we believe
25	is that you set up your program and your career path and

your standards and that you have a process of assessment that you go through.

And you have, as you are aware, your individual education plan, and that if that process is correctly followed, 95 percent or 99 percent of all the problems will be solved, if that process is followed.

What we find in an appeal or where someone has had difficulty in that either teacher, school, individual, anything that has occurred has usually been when the IEP process has not been followed appropriately, and that the student — that the program is not appropriate for the student and the placement was not appropriate for the student, when you really look at it.

when we get people to sit down and really look at that, then that is the key. We believe and are working full-time to in-service and with the State Department of Education on how to go about making that IEP process more meaningful for everyone.

Many times a parent will want a student enrolled in a program -- and, I mean, I can give you real incidents -- and they just know that this is where they want it.

Then we take them in and we show them machinery and the things that they are going to be around, and the first thing they would say, There is not any way that my

child would be safe in this environment.

But before they saw the program and saw the training and saw the things that are involved, they were just sure that that was the way it wanted to go. And so, you know, that appropriateness, to us, in doing the individual education plan is something that we want to work doubly hard on and are working doubly hard -- is that we are committing lots of resources to try to get that process followed appropriately.

And you can correct me if I am wrong, but we don't believe that you water down curriculum and do anybody a service by enrolling them in a program, because if they are going to become employable they got to meet the standards for employability.

So those standards for employability is where we start. And then any kind of supplemental service or any kind of adaptation or modification is that there are resources available and that the IEP will cover those things. When they short-circuit the IEP, we generally find problems.

DR. MITCHELL: Anything else?

MR. JENKINS: Yes. I have a couple questions.

This morning we heard from a speaker -- I think he attended the session that you invited some representatives in from, I guess, the minority community

to meet with the administrators of the voc educational staff.

And in his discussion this morning, he talked about the concern -- and you hit on it, too -- about the lack of commitment, the lack of recruiting and the problems in recruiting minorities.

He also mentioned the fact that there will -did not have that -- some special services available, like
transportation, for one thing, in trying to bring persons
of the minority community to some of the training programs
in the vo-technical schools.

Is that something that you can do, or have you talked to others about that? Is that a concern? How do you address that?

DR. HOPKINS: If they are a secondary student --

MR. JENKINS: We are talking -- not a secondary student -- outside of secondary.

DR. HOPKINS: That transportation is provided. We also have provisions for adults to ride the bus from the destination school to the school. So, you know, we got special legislation to allow adults to ride the bus.

Depending on the type of financial aid that one qualifies for, whether it be JTPA, whether it be displaced homemaker -- there are other financial revenue available

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

that would assist individuals in doing that, all the way to bus passes -- you know, I can name several different approaches that are being used.

MR. JENKINS: Okay. Well, what were some of the other concerns raised by those representatives in that meeting I think you mentioned earlier, also?

DR. HOPKINS: There are lots of barriers, and they are all not ours. So please let me know -- if an individual qualifies for student financial assistance and you are a clock-hour student -- you have to have attendance policies for the school.

The attendance policies for anyone that is qualifying for a Pell grant or a thing along that line -the attendance policy has to be the same for all students.

You can't make any exceptions and still be able to qualify. So you can't have a set of attendance policies for a protected group or for a displaced homemaker that is not the same for everybody. And that is a little different.

You also can't award all the grant unless you want to take some of it back before the individual completes a certain amount of schooling and -- so clockhour attendance for students is different than credit-hour students, when you qualify for a Pell grant.

Many times one of the factors that we get into

with transportation and many other things is that all of these things that we have to do to qualify for financial assistance gets violated. Then they will say, Well, we don't have the money for that.

But we are not allowed -- and I am not saying it -- we are just not allowed to make several privileges. The schools are not allowed; it is not us. The schools are not allowed to do that. So whatever the policy is -- policy for everyone.

MR. JENKINS: Well, is that policy -- the statement you just made -- well known to representatives of the minority communities or protected classes, because so often --

DR. HOPKINS: Probably --

MR. JENKINS: Sometimes programs can get a bad rep by not knowing the information.

DR. HOPKINS: Probably not.

MR. JENKINS: Okay. How would you go about informing them -- informing the community?

DR. HOPKINS: I would hope that some of the efforts that we are doing where we are identifying the leadership and we are providing them information and that we really are reaching out now and that we are making them more aware that they -- we find that they -- we hope that they will be away that weekend and reach that population.

You can put it in news media. You can send things home. You can try to go through -- you know, you can go many different ways. But it doesn't always get to the people who need -- that it needs to get to.

So what we are trying to do is do the community involvement kind of thing, which we would hope would have some effect.

MR. JENKINS: Okay. One last concern that I have, and it concerns the training for the year 2000. And given what we have heard today and even what exchanged earlier here about claims and allegations of racism or discrimination or things of that nature, what is the vo-technical education system doing to develop some type of human relations training or curriculum in concert with the technical training that you are providing?

Are you aware? Are you doing something in that area, or just not really concerned, or you are concerned and not doing anything about it?

DR. HOPKINS: Elaborate a little more so I will understand what you are asking me.

MR. JENKINS: Okay. Exactly -- in the sense of curriculum development, so often we stick to the core courses in vocational education. We deal with various training components. We forget about the human relations aspect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

How do folks get along? How do people work If you have a white supervisor, how do you get together? along with that person? Or if you have a minority supervisor, how well or what are some of the things that you should be aware of -- the diversity type training?

DR. HOPKINS: We will be integrating that into a curriculum -- are and will be continuing to do that. Conflict resolution is one of the things that we feel ---

MR. JENKINS: That is a catchall these days.

DR. HOPKINS: Well, maybe so, and maybe what I am saying is not relevant. But we believe that one of the problems that we are having with juvenile and with the dropout and with some other things that we are facing is conflict resolution that is not being resolved early in the -- and we are not in charge of the early elementary years in school. So please let me tell you that.

But some information that I read, that if you don't reach those individuals before they get into the third grade, or three to five years old, you are probably going to have difficulty ever reaching if you are going to resolve conflict. And the older they get, the more difficult it is going to get.

But the more that we can make people aware of the diversity -- and there is cultural diversity -- and that we do need to talk about that in the employment

world -- the workplace itself is changing, also -- and that what the work force is going to look like in year 2010, or 2000 even, is not the way it is going to look today.

And so what we are trying to do is, within our curriculum approach, to make people aware about what the work force is going to look like, what is going to be required, what are going to be the actual educational requirements for jobs who are going to pay economic self-sufficient wages.

And so I do agree with you. And, yes, we are probably the largest vocational curriculum development entity in the nation, outside of the military, at Oklahoma Department of Vo-tech. A lot of people do not know that. We have very fine curriculum development capability.

And we do stay on the cutting edge of most curriculums and with the activities that are taking place and what is required in the workplace. I hope we do a good job of forecasting what it is going to look like.

MR. JENKINS: We will see.

DR. MITCHELL: Are there other questions?

MS. FIST: Yes.

DR. MITCHELL: Oh, I am sorry. Yes. You never got yours the first time.

MS. FIST: Thank you. You are always leaving

me out.

I see that vo-tech has 13 skill centers for inmate population. We heard today that that population is growing. Are you planning to provide services across the state, or what -- do you have any plans for inmate populations?

DR. HOPKINS: Yes. But our plans are pretty much hinging on what the state legislature appropriates for us to be able to do that.

And that -- we are conducting it in -- all except one of those, and it has just been voted in to the -- a skill center that you are looking at -- but in inmate training centers, they are all behind prison walls right now.

MS. FIST: Yes. Right.

DR. HOPKINS: And that we are growing -- we did get an appropriation to expand that this year, and so --

MS. FIST: Okay. That is a separate appropriation, then, from your general fund.

DR. HOPKINS: That is -- it is a line-item appropriation in our budget.

MS. FIST: I did not realize that.

DR. HOPKINS: It also is done in conjunction with the correctional system. In order to expand your program, you have got to expand your facility.

2	DR. HOPKINS: And that takes capital
3	investment. And probably the program is the easiest part
4	of the thing to put in, but the capital investment for the
5	training facility becomes a requirement for the
6	departments of corrections. And so in order to make that
7	grow sufficiently, it does take a large amount of capital
8	investment.
9	And I think you know the status of our prison
10	population in Oklahoma.
11	MS. FIST: I do.
12	DR. HOPKINS: And so that is not and I might
13	also say, with the constituency, evidently it is not a
14	real it is an alarming fact that it is growing, but it
15	is not something that we care to
16	MS. FIST: To address
17	DR. HOPKINS: to address.
18	MS. FIST: at this time.
19	DR. HOPKINS: It is growing alarmingly.
20	MS. FIST: Yes, it is.
21	DR. HOPKINS: It takes approximately \$15,000 a
22	year to incarcerate about \$15,600 a year per prisoner.
23	MS. FIST: All right. Do you
24	DR. MITCHELL: But you can do it much cheaper,
, ,	con/+ vov2

Right.

MS. FIST:

DR. HOPKINS: That is just to incarcerate them. 1 That is not training them. 2 DR. MITCHELL: Well, I mean, the training. 3 DR. HOPKINS: Yes. 4 MS. FIST: Do you count your 13 centers in your 5 total number of facilities that you gave us on this --6 7 DR. HOPKINS: I did not put the skill centers 8 on this enrollment. No, ma'am, I did not. 9 MS. FIST: Okay. DR. HOPKINS: We train about 4,000 -- a little 10 11 over 4,000 in those 13 centers annually. 12 MS. FIST: That is more than I expected. 13 DR. HOPKINS: I will verify that, and if I am 14 wrong, I will -- matter of fact, I will give you the actual information for the committee, because that is what 15 16 I remember, but --MS. FIST: Do you have any follow-up on the 17 success rate with that? 18 19 DR. HOPKINS: Yes. We have recidivism rates 20 and -- that we can provide. 21 MS. FIST: Thank you. 22 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Just one last thing, and 23 I will throw this slow fast -- slow hardball. There is 24 territories, in terms of education, in this state, and you 25 gave a division of how the education system is set up with

the statutory requirements of the vo-tech and then the constitutional requirements of higher education and also the state superintendent's office.

In the vo-tech education, there are things that you need from students before you can teach them the skills. They have to know how to read. And we had a question here today about bilingual education, in terms of getting Hispanics in or Asians. There were very serious questions there.

What is happening, or is anything happening, to cross those barriers, in terms of the three agencies getting together and discussing their overlapping interests, because there are some territorial things involved in this, which no one talks about publicly, but it goes on politically.

Would you want to comment on that? And I see the state regents office here later, so he will get to comment on this, too. He will get a chance to respond.

DR. HOPKINS: I see more collaboration today than I have ever seen in the history since I have been involved in vo-technical education, 25 years, or in education in Oklahoma.

I do see us looking at the individual, and I do see us working to try to bring ourselves to look at not duplicating, maximizing the resources, and taking the

client -- the individual as a person that has a right -- not has a right -- that we want to move through the system with a minimum amount of effort and a minimum amount of dollars.

I think that is -- I think it is a real positive mood. We are in educational reform in the state of Oklahoma. I think the nation is in educational reform, in addition to that.

What one needs to pursue a career today is not the same as even five years ago -- is that, unless they are academically prepared, they are neither going to be able to enter into the work force with a job level that is going to pay them enough wages that they won't be on some kind of public assistance -- and if we do this appropriately, the individual should be able to pursue their career in a vocational track, and then that should also not hinder them at all if they want to pursue a higher education degree, because their preparation ought to be the same.

And if you look at the requirements that we talk about today -- if you look at requirements for continuing education to a higher level, or whether you are looking at it preparing yourself for a high-technology occupation, high-performance occupations, which are being talked about across the nation, you will find very little

difference in the real "academic" preparation that one needs. 2 I think we are realizing that. I think we will 3 move toward it. DR. MITCHELL: What is the impact of 1017 on 5 vo-tech? Has there been any --6 DR. HOPKINS: Pardon? 7 DR. MITCHELL: What is the impact of 1017 on 8 vo-tech -- HB 1017? Has there --9 I think the major impact of 1017 10 DR. HOPKINS: is the guidance in the counseling area that has been 11 required. I think we are attracting more people into the 12 profession as a result of helping increase a little bit 13 14 with the salary -- probably not enough yet, but in that 15 area. 16 I don't know that class size and the 17 consolidation has impacted that much at the present time. 18 But guidance is a big one. 19 DR. MITCHELL: Well, thank you very much. 20 appreciate your time. 21 DR. HOPKINS: Thank you all very much. 22 enjoyed it. 23 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. Okay. 24 As we are moving along at a snail's pace, do 25 you need to take a break?

1	Okay. Then I chill Mr. 50e Gleim:
2	(Pause.)
3	DR. MITCHELL: I haven't seen you in a long
4	time.
5	MR. GLENN: How are you, Dr. Mitchell and
6	panel?
7	DR. MITCHELL: How are you doing?
8	MR. GLENN: I may have to ask you to bear with
9	me. I have recently gone through some chemo and radiation
10	treatment, and my throat is just healing up. So I may
11	have a little difficulty speaking at times.
12	Originally, I was supposed to be the backup,
13	but the main person is not here. He was called away for
14	something just as pressing and oh, that would be a big
15	help. Thank you.
16	DR. MITCHELL: It is for the record.
17	MR. GLENN: Okay. How have you been? Good to
18	see you again. It has been years.
19	(Pause.)
20	MR. GLENN: But I am Joe Glenn. My primary job
21	with the state JTPA agency is I manage the dislocated
22	worker program. Secondary responsibilities deal with
23	ensuring that our subcontractors are aware of and have in
24	place methods of administration that give reasonable
25	guarantee that they will follow civil rights laws and

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

equal opportunity procedures.

I was under the impression that the committee needed some more information and some more documents. was not made clearly aware of just exactly what that was. However, today what I would like to do is to find out for sure just what additional documents might be needed, and if there are any specific areas of concern, that if I can't address here in person, that we might provide some documentation on later.

But essentially I would like to give you a general view of just what the program is all about and how we administer from the state level.

As you are aware, programs under the Job Training Partnership Act, which we lovingly call JTPA, are under the responsibility of each governor of a state, who in turn directs an agency to administer the JTPA program.

In our case, the governor directed the Oklahoma Employment Security Commission to administer the JTPA program. Our division is, therefore, within the boundaries of the Oklahoma Employment Security Commission.

We operate under a state job training coordinating council, which is made up of mandated representatives from different parts of the community, from government, from education, from substantial segment representation, from community-based organizations -- a

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

general board that is brought together to oversee the operations of each state Job Training Partnership Act program.

What we administer essentially under the act -under Title 1, of course, it provides us our administrative procedures and our guidance for older workers programs.

Under Title 2, we have the quidance for adult training programs and youth year-round summer programs and employment programs. Title 3 is the dislocated worker program, which is designed to assist workers who are faced with unexpected job loss: mass layoffs, business closings, such as we are going through right now.

And, of course, Title 4, is the nationally administered programs, such as your American Indian programs, migrant seasonal farm worker programs, veterans programs, and the like.

So under those four titles is generally the concept of the Job Training Partnership Act. Our function, in a few terms, is to prepare unskilled youth and adults for entry into the labor market at the most appropriate salary level and professional level as possible for the individual we are working with.

Oh, thank you very much.

(Pause.)

25

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

MR. GLENN: Now, as I pointed out, our headquarters, so to speak, is the Oklahoma Employment Security Commission. We subcontract with 13 program operators in this state that have programs virtually in every county in this state, and if not in every county, there is access through every county somehow. -

These programs are administered by local private industry councils, which are made up of essentially the same as our state job training coordinating council, that we have business, labor, education, community-based organizations, and the like represented locally.

The local councils actually administer the programs, in terms of hiring staff, professional training, at least at the local level, determining where and when the funds will be expended and how, and finally for making sure that each of these programs is operated within the guidelines that we establish for equal opportunity and civil rights.

What this entails is as a result of the recent issuance of our -- let's say -- implementation of the Civil Rights Act through the Department of Labor's Directorate of Civil Rights -- I think it is 29 CFR Part 34.

In there, they give us nine points that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

state must attend to in order to offer a guarantee that we have reasonable administrative methods in place that will, at least, give assurance that we are trying to adhere to civil rights laws and to equal opportunity policies.

And we did provide the commission with a copy of that overall document; however, let me elaborate just a little bit, providing my voice lasts. This has been an I am -- this kicks me right in the head, because ordeal. I am an old-time broadcaster, and without my voice, I feel naked.

> DR. MITCHELL: I understand that.

MR. GLENN: You know, just -- but it is -everything is okay, and it is coming back to normal. And a year ago I could just barely whisper.

But, in any event, what we have given as a quarantee with regard to the Department of Labor and the Directorate of Civil Rights deals with, as I said, these nine points.

First of all, that we have designated an individual -- a responsible individual that is in a higher level chain of command to administer the equal opportunity program for the state, as far as JTPA is concerned; that we have developed and distributed policies and procedures dealing with civil rights and equal opportunity procedures; that we have developed these methods of

administration and provided them to our local subcontractors, so that they might in turn develop this same set of standards to operate their local programs.

The second element dealt with communicating policy and training staff. The communication of policy -- excuse me -- is done through what we call a state issuance system.

For all the pertinent information with regard to policies, procedures, and so forth, we have an official issuance system, which is a numbered, dated system that provides information to all our directors and to appropriate staff on what is going on in the program.

As far as training is concerned, we have annual training with regard to civil rights and equal opportunity procedures, and at these training sessions, we specialize -- or that is we concentrate on areas that are of pertinent interest.

For example, you mentioned work force diversity. More than a year and a half ago, all our subcontractors received training through the Department of Human Services on work force diversity. So it is not a new issue to them.

I am not saying that it has been a panacea to solve all their problems. But it is an awareness thing, such as, for example, with the Americans With Disabilities

Act.

We can't say that everything under the act is perfect; however, we can say that our subcontractors have been trained and made aware of the act and made aware of their responsibilities with regard to that act, and aware of the penalties that could result as a fact if they do not comply with that act.

So our training is not so much as kick them and run, but as making them aware of what the law is, making them aware of where to go when they have problems, and making them aware of the fact that our office is available should they need technical assistance or advice, which we gladly provide.

In addition to communicating policy and training staff, we went a step further, as far as our program is concerned, and wrote personal letters to representatives of all local community-based organizations, to some state-wide organizations that we knew of, inviting participation in the planning process for JTPA programs, inviting input with regard to recruitment, and encouraging representatives of substantial groups in the state to contact our local program operators if they have any questions or any concerns.

A copy of the letter that we use is included in

that overall methods document that we provided the commission sometime back.

And I might add that I have heard from some of the representatives that we wrote letters to, wanting to know, Are you guys for real? And, well, if you -- if we hadn't said anything, we wouldn't be for real. But the mere fact of inviting -- that tells me that we are for real and that we have got to be prepared to expect questions, to expect input, and to expect involvement.

In some areas it is happening; other areas, it is not. But we are hopeful that in coming months as this program evolves -- and as you all know, it is evolving -- that that total coverage will be there somehow.

But we are making an effort to make sure that the awareness is out there, that we know what cultural diversity is, that we know what the new edicts under the Americans With Disabilities Act talk about, and that we know where to go when we need help. So, that, we are doing. The effectiveness, time will tell.

The third element is a review of plans, contracts, and assurances. We have a system in place whereby each local job training plan is reviewed by appropriate staff; that is, our planning staff, our management information systems staff, our civil rights staff, our overall policies and procedure staff. Each one

is reviewed and signed off in each respective area.

Each contract that we write contains the 29 CFR 34 recommended assurance that guarantees that that contractor knows the law, that is aware of the specific laws that they must attend to in terms of civil rights, and that they are also informing their staff and their subcontractors of those laws and those responsibilities.

So, again, the information is out there. The extent to which it is being used and the extent to which it is being -- that it is effective, again, time will tell.

The fourth area is providing equitable services among substantial segments of the eligible population.

This, in my opinion, is a rather outdated statement, in that the direction of JTPA is not so much oriented toward ethnicity, as it is oriented toward those who are most in need, those who have multiple barriers to employment, in that an individual could be Caucasian, could be disabled, could have less than a high school education, could have no skills, and et cetera, et cetera, down the line.

Well, that person, being Caucasian -- you would think that under the general mentality of civil rights that a minority individual would get services first, but that is not the case.

Again, the program is evolving and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concentrating on what needs concentration: helping people who need help most, regardless of race, creed, color, or gender.

There are some special efforts, however, with regard to women, in that we have a special direction with a new law that was passed in 1989 called the Non-Traditional Employment Act for Women. And that is encouraging JTPA practitioners to recruit and train women in non-traditional occupations.

And, again, this goes back to the very basic problem: of many women having families by themselves and not having adequate employment and compensation to raise that family and to deal with the everyday problems they have to deal with.

What we have done, for example, just to point out one area that we have been attending to, is that we have set up a special fund with our limited dislocated worker funds, which is -- let's say it amounts to about 6.5 to \$7 million for this program year.

Well, some \$2-1/2 million have been set aside, and one of the activities that is contained in using that \$2-1/2 million is this money is to be used to train women in non-traditional areas of employment.

It is being used to train people in long-term training environments -- instead of going to school for

two weeks to learn how to dot an i or cross a t, to encourage training of 24 months or more, so that there is a viable occupation, that is usually an employment-oriented long-term type situation.

And we are also encouraging with that money to train individuals who have been displaced from so-called declining industries: for example, people that have worked in the oil fields who no longer have employment, farmers and ranchers who have lost employment, people in small manufacturing units that have been hit hard by recent economic times, individuals that are affected by the North American Free Trade Agreement that might be in declining industries.

So we have tried to put some emphasis on those particular areas, in spite of the fact that that is not necessarily the direction that the overall program is taking.

In this one, we are saying, regardless of the status of the woman that you are working with, if you will train this lady in a non-traditional area, whether it be pole climbing, computer repair, or police -- whatever, a viable occupation where a living wage can be earned, then you can pay for it out of this pot of money.

So we are trying not only to adhere to the changes based on the program evolving, but also to pay

special attention to those areas that still need attention.

And, again, we know that there are laws on the books. We know that we have to follow that law. However, we also know that, without the input and the encouragement of groups such as yours, a lot of things would be forgotten, and a lot of people would say, The laws-are on

the books; we don't have to fool with that anymore.

But we know that attention garners interest.

And interest, in many cases, garners action. And what we are doing here today, I am hopeful that it will give us something to go on to cause some of these things to improve and to ensure that the information we are putting out is being used as it is designed to be used.

And to cut short on that and go on to the next element that we are dealing with, information on substantial segments, for example -- which I felt was rather an outmoded statement, let's say -- what we do in that case is we provide each of our program operators with statistical information that is gathered together by the Employment Security Commission expert research staff.

This includes women and minorities occupations, family status -- much of this information comes, of course, from the 1990 census. But this is provided to each of our local planning groups, so that they will know

what groups they must target locally. What are the substantial groups within their area?

And this does not mean that they seek out people to give direct services to, but that they make sure that if they do have a substantial segment, so-called, group in their community that they provide information to that group with regard to JTPA programs eligibility quidelines -- who do you see, what do you do, who do you call -- so that that information is available, and if perchance someone wishes to avail themselves of that service, then they know what to do about it.

So that is essentially the thrust of providing them on that information. Another area is ensuring access to individuals with disabilities.

Again, we put the information out. We require that each of our subcontractors do a self-assessment, and in doing that assessment, they are required also to call upon local representative groups to assist them with their assessments.

We have an expert at the state level that we call upon on the -- which used to be called the Governor's Committee on Employment of the Handicapped. I understand the governor's committee is changed, and it is now Committee on Employment of the Handicapped.

Thelma Rex [phonetic] is our resident expert

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, anytime we have a question, anytime we have a problem, or anytime we want someone to be told something and told right, we call on Thelma.

So we encourage the local program operators to do the same thing. If not Thelma, then call upon your local representatives of groups that are substantial in your communities. And, again, we are hopeful that when that information is out there it is being used properly. -

Okay. On the -- another area is the collection of data that is required to -- not only to administer our programs, but to see if we are, in fact, doing what we are setting out to do, and that is assisting unskilled youth and adults to enter regular employment.

There are some things that I think you may want to know that we are not in a position right now to tell However, at the end of this program year, we will you. be.

For example, at this point, there is only so much information that our local planning people are required to collect and report to us. However, after this year, we have got what is called now a -- it is called a -- oh, gosh -- special program information reports --

MR. HERNANDEZ: Standard --

MR. GLENN: Oh, standardized program information reports -- thank you -- the SPIR. And that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will require more information than what is being reported to us now, which will give us the ability to make some comparisons and to push some buttons and to get some figures and to see what is happening.

Much of -- I think much of this was not only prompted by the Directorate of Civil Rights in the Department of Labor, but also by a report that was issued by the Office of Management and Budget some two or three years ago, where it was alleged -- not alleged -- but it was stated that in employment and training programs nation-wide it appeared that women and minorities were getting the short end of the stick, and that women and minorities were being channeled into short-term training, that women were being channeled into traditional secretarial or baby-sitting or whatever-have-you types of jobs, and that white males between the age of 22 and -let's say -- 40 years old were channeled into the skilled occupations, were more apted to be in an OJT slot, as opposed to in a subsidized employment slot.

Now, what we did here is we did some special questions and polled our sub-state -- I am sorry -- our state program operators, and we learned that much of what was said in that report was true in Oklahoma. So, again, we get back to the point of awareness.

However, the one thing that we could not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

determine is why these things were happening. Is it local discrimination? Is it choice of training that many people have the opportunity to opt for? Or what is the problem? We have never been able to really put our fingers on it.

But we do know one thing for sure: that the awareness is there, that all our program operators are aware of that report. We had special emphasis during a training session when this report surfaced about two years ago.

And that in addition to the new requirements of the SPIR reports -- I have a good feeling that much of that situation will be erased -- not a good feeling -- I am fairly sure that that will change drastically.

And, again, when we have the first opportunity to analyze the SPIR reports, we will be able to tell what is happening to people on an individual basis -- excuse me -- as opposed to simply being able to tell you, Well, 21 percent of our participants were black; 15 percent were Hispanic; 12 percent were American Indian.

This way we will be able to tell you individually wage earnings after a certain period of follow-up time, type of employment, specific training that the individual might have had -- so that a year from now we can push buttons and get some good information.

Right now it would be a trial to get much of

the information that would be germane to what we are doing here today. But rest assured, if you ask for it, we will either get it through the SPIR report, or we will make that special effort to do some surveys and find out what is happening tomorrow, if we really need to.

The next area of concern, which there are two-more, is the adoption of discrimination complaint processing procedures.

We have developed at the state level procedures for processing virtually any type of complaint that could arise under administration of JTPA programs; that is, anything from administrative complaints to labor complaints to discrimination complaints.

The procedures have been developed. The procedures have been distributed to all our local program operators. And they, in turn, will copy the state procedures and adapt them to their own local situations.

That we know for sure has been done, so that each individual program operator has a valid complaint and grievance process and knows how to deal with complaints, knows what to do if a person has a discrimination complaint.

And, of course, their first reaction is to call me. And we go over the process. We go over the procedures. We go over the law -- and to make sure they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

.16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FORM CSR . LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-628-6313

are doing all the things they are supposed to do and, number one, to make sure that the complaining party is aware of his or her rights, is aware of where they can go with that complaint, should it not be processed locally, is aware of the fact that they are not tied to that local system to have their complaint processed, that they can choose to either file it with the state at my office, or that they can file it with the Directorate of Civil Rights in Washington, DC.

So the thrust of that, for example, is not so much to ensure that they know the process, but to ensure that the individual knows his or her rights and that they have been informed of all the things that they need to be informed of.

We haven't had a lot of use for our complaint process in the past two years. Why, I don't know. for the past two years, we have had no formal complaints filed in my office. We have had no complaints appealed to my office, whereas prior to that, I would say, within the eight years preceding, there were probably as many as 20 or 25 that were processed either locally or through our office.

And all were resolved without having to go through the legal process of going to court or going to attorneys. So I am satisfied that the process is adequate

and that it does work when it is handled properly. But they are all in possession of that process, and I know that it is being attended to, because I get the calls with the questions on what do I do.

The last area of concern dealt with corrective actions and sanctions. Excuse me. We have — again, we have developed a corrective actions and sanctions policy to deal with any problem that arises as a result of monitoring, as a result of community or individual complaints, or simply as a result of reviewing records and finding that there are inconsistencies or there is something inadequate.

so -- and, again, that policy for corrective actions and sanctions has been passed on to our local program operators. And, again, they in turn develop their own local corrective actions and sanctions policies on how they will deal with their subcontractors.

So we have, incidentally, made that methods of administration document available to the Directorate of Civil Rights in Washington. We have yet to hear back formally the Directorate of Civil Rights' reaction to our methods of administration.

We are hopeful that they will be approved, and at the very most, we may be asked to make a few corrections here and there or maybe elaborate a little bit

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more in certain areas. But I feel comfortable that we have covered each area of concern adequately, and we will just have to wait and see what the final outcome of that will be.

As far as our pro and con on whether or not we are doing the job, in terms of providing services to all groups -- all representative groups, the numbers look The percentages look good. But that does not tell the whole story.

And when we get the -- again, when we get down to that -- the final on that SPIR report, then we will really know what the whole story is all about. But at this point I can tell you that our percentages of participation, minorities and women, as opposed to the majority group, is more than adequate.

With that, I am prepared to respond to any concerns or questions you might have. And I would like to know if there is anything else that we might be able to provide, in terms of documents or explanations about the program or any concerns that have been raised during these hearings.

DR. MITCHELL: Well, I thought for a moment I had seen a day when Joe couldn't talk, but I take that back. You have done excellently; you are up to old standards.

MR. GLENN: Well, thank you. I am --1 There is some information I DR. MITCHELL: 2 think we will just -- it would required sending additional 3 information for the record. So we will be sending you 4 letters on that, in terms of budget, et cetera. 5 MR. GLENN: Okay. 6 DR. MITCHELL: Yes? 7 MR. HERNANDEZ: I have one real quick question 8 for Mr. Glenn. 9 In the CETA days, and maybe to some extent in 10 the first part of the JTPA program, there used to be 11 compliance reviews, where they had -- if there were -- if 12 a program was in non-compliance, there would be a 13 corrective action plan that had to be monitored by 14 either -- the Department of Labor, I believe it is, at the 15 regional level and to some extent by state folks. 16 MR. GLENN: Right. 17 18 MR. HERNANDEZ: Have you -- has the program 19 switched over to voluntary compliance, or is it --20 MR. GLENN: Well, up to a point. It depends 21 upon -- let's say, for example, if we do have a problem --22 a compliance problem, we have the opportunity to deal with 23 it first. 24 If, after a certain period of time, it is

either not dealt with properly or the guidance we give is

25

not accepted, that is when the Department of Labor comes into it.

But we do have the option of dealing with it locally first. It is the same with discrimination and administrative complaints. We have the opportunity to deal with it first. Even though the avenue for going higher is there, they do give us the opportunity to deal with it, and if we can't, they take over.

So that is still in place. It is just that it has --

MR. HERNANDEZ: Is that system working?

MR. GLENN: It has changed a little bit.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Is it working?

MR. GLENN: Well, we haven't had a chance to try it yet. We haven't had any serious matters of non-compliance.

The last time we had a -- let's say, a question or an inquiry with regard to services given was one of our board members had some questions about some programs in southern Oklahoma, and had -- he had some concerns from some local minority citizens that wanted to know what was going on.

And what it required was us getting some statistics from the local program operator to show the numbers of people they were serving by gender and by

satisfied with it, and the complaint went nowhere. 2 But, again, that is our option. We can deal 3 with it locally or we can send it to the Department of 4 Labor. We choose to deal with them locally, if at all 5 It is cheaper. It is quicker. And I think 6 possible. 7 there is a lot more confidence exchanged between us and our program operators when we can do it that way. 8 MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you very much. 9 MR. GLENN: Thank you. 10 11 DR. MITCHELL: Other questions? MR. GIAGO: Yes. I have one. 12 13 Joe, you mentioned a letter of -- for planning input given or sent to the local CBOs. Now, are you 14 15 talking about all CBOs that exist within, say, Oklahoma City? 16 MR. GLENN: Oh, not just in Oklahoma City. 17 18 MR. GIAGO: All over. 19 MR. GLENN: State-wide. 20 MR. GIAGO: And what --21 MR. GLENN: State-wide programs or individuals 22 that we could identify. And what we got there, we got a 23 list from our affirmative action officer at the Employment 24 Security Commission with a list of -- updated list of 25 community-based representatives, in terms of minority

minority status. And as it turned out, they were

1	organizations; for example, the Asian Society, the
2	Hispanic representatives, the NAACP Urban Leagues all
3	the recognized visible groups in this state that have
4	contact and have input.
5	MR. GIAGO: What about the American Indian?
6	MR. GLENN: The what?
7	MR. GIAGO: The American Indian.
8	MR. GLENN: Yes.
9	MR. GIAGO: I have been in business for 20
10	years in the city; I haven't gotten anything.
11	MR. GLENN: You have been in what business?
12	MR. GIAGO: JTPA, CETA.
13	MR. GLENN: Well, now, are you involved in an
14	American Indian funded program?
15	MR. GIAGO: CBO, Title 4.
16	MR. GLENN: You are funded directly by the
17	federal government. So there would really be no need for
18	you to become involved in the local JTPA
19	MR. GIAGO: There is
20	MR. GLENN: since you are actually doing
21	your
22	MR. GIAGO: There is, because the governor does
23	receive federal dollars based on our stats, much like the
24	Hispanics or anyone else. So the money that is coming
25	in we are not here we are funded because there is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need, because we have that special relationship with the federal government. That doesn't mean my program takes away the responsibility of the state. We only supplement that.

MR. GLENN: Oh, exactly.

MR. GIAGO: So our people -- we still need to be informed, so we can give our input, and so that we can receive what your plan is for the next two years or whatever to put that input in there.

> MR. GLENN: Yes.

MR. GIAGO: I have dealt with the city before on some of these things. Now, I had to instill in the mayor and so forth that we are not replacing your responsibility. You have that responsibility. We are only here to supplement. So don't send me all the Indians that come to you. You serve them.

Now, we need to make sure this is being done. I basically stayed away -- I was on the PIC council for the city. I was removed for -- I don't know. I never -when they started it again, I never heard whether I was removed or not. But I never got any more information from them at all.

So my concern is, one, a letter of planning input by a local CBO, which should include the American Indian. I will give you my card.

MR. GLENN: There is a list of about 25 1 organizations we have sent that letter to. I am 99 2 percent sure that --3 MR. GIAGO: I know it is not your fault. 4 MR. GLENN: -- American Indian representatives 5 are on that list; however, you may not be individually. 6 But I will tell you what --7 MR. GIAGO: There is only -- yes. 8 MR. GLENN: -- we will make sure the next one 9 that goes out, you will be on it. 10 (Pause.) 11 MR. GLENN: Thank you. 12 MR. GIAGO: Well, I will be asking for some 13 more information as far as compliance. You know you have 14 to comply by your plan that you send to the Department of 15 Labor through the governor's office. So I would be 16 interested in that. 17 You do not deal with Title 4 at all, do you? 18 It is only 1, 2, and 3. 19 MR. GLENN: Yes, just essentially 1, 2, and 3. 20 MR. GIAGO: Yes. We have 4. 21 22 MR. GLENN: But as a -- not necessarily a point of contention, but sometime back I phoned the US 23 24 Department of Labor, our federal representative, and for 25 purposes of informing all our local program operators of

2	programs there are locally, I have yet to be able to get a
3	list of Indian programs that are funded in the state of
4	Oklahoma through JTPA.
5	MR. GIAGO: Oh, yes. Who did you contact?
6	MR. GLENN: Jerry Garson, Robert Lorea
7	[phonetic] and through them, we should be able to get
8	that kind of information, but
9	MR. GIAGO: I think, if you are dealing with a
10	bureaucracy, if at all probably your best bet is to
11	contact the Assistant Secretary Rojas [phonetic].
12	MR. GLENN: Okay. That is
13	MR. GIAGO: We have been dealing with that with
14	him, and he has come a long way in dealing with us.
15	MR. GLENN: Okay. We just we were using a
16	little protocol there in contacting
17	MR. GIAGO: Yes. You are using if you
18	contacted me, I can give you a list of everyone in the
19	state the tribes, everything.
20	MR. GLENN: Okay. See, now, again, the purpose
21	for asking for that list was to make sure that our local
22	program operators knew you and knew about you, and knew
23	what you had to offer and what they had, and that you
24	could get together and communicate. But it was
25	MD GIAGO: Vec

the Native American or American Indian -- whatever type

24

25

DR. MITCHELL: Let me jump in here, because I 1 think what I am hearing is a problem of protocol, but the 2 protocol is not working properly. I would, you know, 3 4 encourage you, if you need to get the list, to get it from him. But I think the system will operate like it is 5 supposed to operate. 6 7 MR. GLENN: It should, yes. DR. MITCHELL: And it should if you -- but 8 don't let the -- because the system doesn't operate 9 doesn't mean you cannot find other ways of getting 10 information. 11 But I think it is important to make the system 12 13 operate like it is supposed to. If you are supposed to get it from the Department of Labor, that is where it 14 15 should come from. 16 MR. GLENN: Exactly. 17 DR. MITCHELL: If they are not doing it, 18 then -- then it should function that way, and if you can't 19 get it that way, then I think you use the other avenue, 20 which you probably wasn't aware of. 21 MR. GLENN: Yes. Well, I will review our list, 22 the local list that we use, the local letters that we send out, and make sure that -- excuse me.

> DR. MITCHELL: Can we just move a little faster? A few more things --

1	MR. JENKINS: We will be sending you a list of
2	questions. Should we direct those to you or to the
3	department head?
4	MR. GLENN: I would suggest that they go to
5	Glen Robards, the individual that the letter was addressed
6	to
7	MR. JENKINS: Okay.
8	MR. GLENN: And then he will pass them on to
9	me, I am sure.
10	DR. MITCHELL: And cc him.
11	MR. JENKINS: Okay. So that he will
12	DR. MITCHELL: So he will know.
13	MR. JENKINS: Thank you very much.
14	MR. GLENN: Well, thank you very much. I
15	appreciate the opportunity to be here. Thank you.
16	DR. MITCHELL: You have done well. Thank you.
17	It is good to see you.
18	Well, I think the people remaining I
19	appreciate your patience in hanging with us as we are
20	going through this session.
21	And the next person is Dr. Kermit McMurray from
22	the State Regents office State Regents for Higher
23	Education, representing the chancellor.
24	DR. McMURRAY: Good afternoon. It is good to
25	see everybody.

DR. MITCHELL: And state your name just for the record.

DR. McMURRAY: For the record, my name is

Kermit R. McMurray, associate vice chancellor for academic affairs, with the Oklahoma State Regents for Higher

Education. I am here today representing Chancellor Hans

Brisch, who is the chief executive officer for the state regents.

Not knowing specifically what the committee may have been interested in, I thought it may be appropriate to take a few minutes to give a general overview of what the state regents is and what it is all about organizationally.

I gleaned from the letter some inferences with regard to technical occupational programs, and so I put together a couple-minute presentation with regard to that.

I have also put together -- or had staff put together for me a variety of different charts that may provide some insight pertaining to the ethnicity of students who are enrolled in those technical occupation programs, and I have several copies here for the committee.

After that, it may be appropriate that I respond to any -- or attempt to respond to any questions that you might have.

As I mentioned at the outset, I did bring a formal presentation to share with the committee at this particular time. And given, as I mentioned early on, your unfamiliarity with the State System, this may serve as a backdrop for any questions that you may have as a result of my presentation.

Let me just say that the Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education is the state's legal structure providing public education at the collegiate level. The Oklahoma State System of Higher Education was established in March of 1941, when the people of the state adopted an amendment to the constitution, Article 13(a), creating the State System.

The amendments provide, "All institutions of higher education supported wholely or in part by direct legislative appropriation shall be an integral part of a unified system to be known as the Oklahoma State System of Higher Education."

The constitution provides the following specific responsibilities for the State Regents: "One, prescribe standards of higher education applicable to each institution; determine the functions and course of study at each institution to conform with the standards prescribed; third, grant degrees and other forms of academic recognition for completion of the prescribed

FORM CSR . LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

courses at each institution; four, recommend to the state legislature the budget allocation for institutions in the State System; and lastly, recommend to the legislature proposed fees for all institutions in the State System, and any fees shall be effective only within the limits prescribed by the legislature."

The constitution further provides that, "The State Regents shall allocate funds to each institution according to its needs and functions from lump-sum appropriations made by the Oklahoma legislature to the State Regents without reference to any particular institution."

The constitution also provides that, "Private denomination or other institutions of higher education in the state may become coordinated within the State System under rules and regulations adopted by the State Regents."

The State System, in short, consists of 27 public colleges and universities, two comprehensive graduate universities, ten regional universities, and 14 two-year colleges. There are also eight other constituent agencies in the State System which are part of or directly related to public higher education in the state of Oklahoma.

Organizationally, the State Regents is a ninemember board appointed by the governor of the state and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

confirmed by the state senate. Regents members serve nine-year overlapping terms.

The chancellor for the Oklahoma Regents is appointed by the State Regents and serves as chief executive officer for the Oklahoma State System of Higher Education.

As previously noted, the work of the Oklahoma State Regents is defined by constitutional provisions, state statute, or State Regents policy delineating coordinating responsibility for the State System of Higher Education, and including the areas of institutional function, programs of study, standards of education, and finances.

The State Regents office is the administrative headquarters of the State Regents, and its functions include three primary responsibilities: executing State Regents policies and programs, gathering information about the State System for State Regents review and consideration relative to policy-making, and providing coordinating leadership at the state level in the general operation of the State System.

One of the primary goals of the Oklahoma State System of Higher Education is to provide access at some public institution for all Oklahoma citizens whose interest and abilities qualify them for admissions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Annual combined enrollment exceeded 230,917 students, and that is an unduplicated head count as of 1992-93. Of that total, 16 percent are enrolled in technical occupational programs.

Most recently, data shows that Oklahoma ranked 15th in the nation in the percentage of population enrolled in public higher education.

Given the large number of individuals who annually seek admissions to the State System, it is recognized that no single institution can physically accommodate the total student enrollment, nor can any institution type meet the diverse needs and demands of all students from various kinds of educational programs.

Therefore, each institution and each institution type has been assigned a specialized role within the total State System, in order that all qualified individuals may be accommodated at some institution.

It appears from reviewing the correspondence received by the chancellor that the advisory committee was specifically interested in looking at job training in vocational technical education programs.

Oklahoma's 14 two-year colleges are the primary responsibility for the delivery of educational programs of a technical occupational nature. Specifically, all twoyear state colleges have similar functions.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

They provide undergraduate lower-division study, with emphasis on programs designed to achieve these several purposes: general education, formal and informal programs of study specially designed for adults and outof-school youth in such a way as to serve the community in general, in addition to providing technical and occupational education in a variety of fields of study.

Let's now turn our attention to a brief overview of relevant information pertaining to institutions that offer technical occupational programs. There were 25,510 students in the fall of 1992-93 enrolled in technical occupational programs.

Over the past year -- I am sorry -- over the past five years, since 1987 to 1992, there have been 14,085 degrees conferred by State System institutions offering technical occupational programs. State System institutions offer approximately 350 different technical occupational programs throughout the state.

If you were to look at the ethnic composition of state -- on a state-wide basis, the following data would be of value to present -- and that is the information that you have in front of you.

If viewed as a student population percentage enrollment by race in technical programs -- and this is in technical programs at the two-year colleges only -- in

fall of 1992 indicates that 82 percent or 20,895 of those students were white; 8 percent or 2,077 were African American; 7 percent or 1,676 were Native American; and Hispanic and the Asian enrollment represented 4 percent, of a total enrollment of approximately 800 students.

African American students, Asians, Hispanics, and white students make up over 60 percent of those students enrolled part-time. Full-time enrollment for the above-mentioned group consists of almost 40 percent.

Native American or American Indian enrolled in technical occupational programs, on the other hand, showed a higher percentage enrolled full-time: 58 percent versus 42 percent part-time.

enrollment in technical programs versus enrollment in non-technical programs of study is presented, as you notice, on table 3. Those -- that information, I think, will give you kind of an overview of the State System, particularly as it relates to technical occupational programs, both in terms of ethnicity as well in terms of number of students enrolled.

I think I will stop there, because I think it would be more valuable to the committee to attempt to respond to any questions that you may have as you continue your work here this afternoon.

MR. FAGIN: I may be embarrassed to ask this

1	question, but can you clarify when you talk about
2	technical programs, this is not vocational educational
3	programs that is under the department of vocation these
4	are separate technical vocational programs. Is that
5	right?
6	DR. McMURRAY: Precisely. These particular
7	programs
8	MR. FAGIN: Within
9	DR. McMURRAY: are programs that
10	institutions two-year schools award an associate of
11	applied science degree.
12	MR. FAGIN: When you mentioned there were 14
13	technical
14	DR. McMURRAY: I said 14 two-year colleges
15	offering technical occupational programs in the State
16	System.
17	MR. FAGIN: Okay.
18	DR. MITCHELL: Yes.
19	MR. TOURE: So the tables that you provided us,
20	Doctor, would be
21	DR. McMURRAY: Just Kermit not Doctor, just
22	Kermit.
23	MR. TOURE: Doctor Kermit
24	DR. McMURRAY: Just Kermit.
25	MR. TOURE: The information you provided us

would be, then, the students who are enrolled in the two-1 year courses -- two-year colleges, rather, that are both 2 in the technical programs and the non-technical programs. 3 DR. McMURRAY: That is exactly right. 4 5 MR. TOURE: Okay. DR. -McMURRAY: -- That is exactly right. 6 7 MR. TOURE: Now, are any of the four-year schools -- do any of the four-year schools offer technical 8 programs, also? 9 10 DR. McMURRAY: Yes, sir, on a very limited 11 basis. Cameron University has a two-year college admission. Langston University has a two-year college 12 13 admission. And Oklahoma Panhandle State University has a two-year college admission. 14 MR. TOURE: Mr. Chairman, if I can just go on 15 16 to another question? 17 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. 18 MR. TOURE: I guess one of the concerns I have 19 had is whether the vocational and technical programs 20 offered by the state actually serve minority communities. 21 And is there any effort to do any outreach to 22 minority communities to get interested students to go to 23 the technical programs offered by the four-year or the 24 two-year colleges, as opposed to the other track offered 25 by the two-year and the four-year colleges?

DR. McMURRAY: If there is any effort that would answer your question, it would be done at the institutional level, rather than at the State Regents level.

So the answer to your question is that it would be more appropriate, I think, to consult with a representative from the two-year college community to determine the extent to which there is, in fact, outreach under way or planned, or to the extent to which it has been, indeed, successful, or whether or not, in fact, it has not been.

MR. TOURE: Last question: Is there any tracking or follow-up in regard to the students who go through the technical programs at the colleges in relation to employability or --

DR. McMURRAY: Yes, sir. Institutions are required to maintain some evidence of employability of those students who have graduated from those technical occupational programs.

As a part of the State Regents policy, there is, in fact, in place an examination of technical occupational programs offered by institutions of a two-year nature.

One of the criteria for that examination is to determine the extent to which those institutions do, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

fact, have evidence that the students who are going through those programs are -- have, in fact, been gainfully employed.

The other piece of that I think is equally important to present is that there also ought to be in place an advisory committee made up of community and industry, to determine specifically what those students are going to need in order to meet the standards that they would like for them to meet, in terms of being able to be gainfully employed.

So that is another piece of the equation that I think is equally important to present here this afternoon.

MR. TOURE: One of the -- and I don't mean to monopolize.

> DR. McMURRAY: No. Please do.

MR. TOURE: This might be my last question, Mr. Chairman.

One of the concerns that some of the other presenters gave us and what-have-you is that there is a lack of teachers, instructors in vocational technical areas, because they are not moved into that area in terms of teaching experiences and what-have-you.

Is there any coordination between the Department of Education and the Higher Regents to provide more minority teachers in the area of vocational technical

education?

DR. McMURRAY: Again, I can't respond to that, again, because that is a particular initiative that will be taken at the local level. Certainly, we encourage it. Of course, we would have no policies that dictate that we can mandate that they do that.

But certainly it is something that we ask them to take seriously into consideration when they are looking at their staff. Certainly, the social justice policy that we have in place would encourage that to take place as well.

But by and large, you have got to be mindful that the coordinating -- let me put it this way. There are other boards of regents, who are also in place, who have the authority to hire and fire, because State Regents do not have the authority to hire nor fire either the president nor the faculty at those institutions.

DR. MITCHELL: Let me see if I can help with this a little bit. Being at the institution -- the State Regents is the constitutional coordinating board for the system, and you know that. But the individual institutions have a little bit more autonomy over that than the State Regents would have in that sense.

I will just give you one bit of information.

The two technical schools that are under the jurisdiction

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma City Tech and Okmulgee Tech, are two-year schools that offer programs.

And I did a study for the institution in 1990 on affirmative action programs at those schools, looking at the employment of -- distribution in terms of women and minorities in employment and also with the student distribution.

And there were -- in terms of the other institutions -- compared to other institutions, they were -- they looked good compared to the majority institution -- the large -- they looked good, but still just barely approaching parity, in some cases.

But the student population looked quite high --Okmulgee had a very high American Indian population. is down in the Creek nation area. So they had a very high American Indian enrollment.

And Oklahoma City had significant minority enrollment, too, and I think they still do in the technical school -- higher than the institutions of higher education, the majority institutions do.

And there were some programs to deal with women, which I think Okmulgee had a very special program for day care for their single-parent students. And Oklahoma City Tech was working on the same sort of program.

So there is a number of things that are left the individual institutions to handle. And maybe we should get some of that information, in terms of technical education and in terms of employment. And I think we can get some of it from the institutions as part of our follow-up.

We have one -- we have a month to get information, and probably if we need to get it, they can get it for us, in terms of what is being done in the technical schools, in terms of their employment and student populations.

And they also can tell us about employment of their students, too, the kind of jobs they get. So we might want to get that information as part of the record from the State Regents office.

(Pause.)

DR. MITCHELL: Yes. We have kept Dr. Wilson here all afternoon. I think she has had a great time sitting here listening.

If you don't mind, I think we can -- unless anyone has any other burning questions, I think we can follow up on some more information. And I appreciate your taking the time to come.

DR. McMURRAY: No problem, Mr. Chairman. Let me -- I think someone raised a question early on to Dr.

working collectively together. 2 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. Please do. 3 DR. McMURRAY: I brought a document that I 4 think that will certainly summarize the work that the 5 three institutions -- or the three educational systems are 6 doing. So I will leave this here for the committee's 7 review as well. 8 DR. MITCHELL: Excellent. Thanks. 9 DR. McMURRAY: Is that all? Thank you, sir. 10 11 DR. MITCHELL: Dr. Wilson, please. DR. WILSON: This will be a very quick guided 12 tour. I will do a -- yes. If you wouldn't mind, I am 13 14 just going to pass those out to them, and two are on paper 15 here for you. 16 (Pause.) 17 DR. WILSON: I feel like a tag team, and I heard you say we need to be out at 4:55. This will be 18 19 very quick. And I don't mind having stayed and listened, 20 because --21 (Pause.) 22 DR. MITCHELL: Please. 23 DR. WILSON: I ought to clear myself for the 24 record, I guess: Kara Gay Wilson. I am the 25 superintendent of Metro Tech, but I have only been at

Hopkins with regard to the three systems of education

Metro Tech for one year. And I have spent the last 20 years in common education in Oklahoma.

So I have had the wonderful opportunity to transition from K-12 education into vocational education and to note some of the similarities and contrasts that you are talking about, have had the opportunity this year to work closely with Dr. McMurray on articulation agreements to make sure that we don't duplicate courses that we offer.

For instance, at our aviation center, when a student takes avionics, they get credit for that at the junior college that they go to. So we have just signed articulation agreements in several areas, particularly in the technical trades that allow students not to have to duplicate.

It is not a very user-friendly term to our clients, but these "articulation" agreements allow them not to have to repeat courses or credits that then have college application.

Very quickly, so that you will notice that we have a very conscientious, purposeful, multi-cultural brochure, that even deals specifically with gender occupations that try to cross some of the traditional boundaries in our Metro Tech brochure, I would also draw your attention to the fact that the map on the very back

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the map on the back of our full-time programs shows that we have locations throughout the metropolitan area of Oklahoma City.

We are the second largest vo-tech in the state, but we are one of four in Oklahoma County. There is Francis Tuttle, Eastern Oklahoma, and Mid-Del at Midwest City. These are Metro Tech's locations; these are not other locations.

Inside this long brochure are our full-time programs, and you will notice whether they are offered for a year or 18 months, the length of time of the programs.

These are the ones that people can attend full-time, and our high school students attend half-day.

Also in our brochure under special needs, special services, on the back, next to the last page, we deal with the fact that we do try to inform people that we have special services to meet their needs. This year we had interpreters in both Spanish and Vietnamese. And we do hire part-time interpreters as needed, based on client request.

We have -- this is the brochure on our shortterm adult training programs. We call it ACE, Adult Continuing Education. There are over 100. Metro Tech trains nearly 10,000 adults a year in industry-specific or short-term programs that basically are offered through here.

The other thing I put together is just, again, some quick information -- and then questions, because I realize we are running out of time. Metro Tech aggressively recruits federal funds for disadvantaged groups. And that is something that is not only consistent with the board's philosophy, but my philosophy, and it has been a long-time practice at Metro Tech.

And I do think it is unique, because you will not find this -- while other districts are interested in it, it is also, I think, important to a metropolitan area to particularly find additional funding.

The state funds simply cannot meet all of the needs of -- that impact a metropolitan area. Therefore, our jobs grant, which is -- we call it BEST. Basically, it stands for Basic Education and Skills Training.

Our BEST program is a quarter-of-a-million-dollar program, and you can see that, based on the numbers on the second page, we have about 100 students. These are adults who are referred to us from DHS. I am referring to the white page here that was paper clipped together.

In addition to BEST, we offer -- all of these are federally funded programs -- the displaced homemaker, of which you saw one of our graduates. Ollie Yeager is the lady that started this afternoon's testimony with you,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

and she is a graduate of the displaced homemakers. the next page, you can see we have had over 300 people in that program, four of whom were male.

We also have non-traditional careers. specifically tries to interest women in -- and men in nontraditional careers, and the government funding for that is one of the programs we have offered at Metro Tech for a long time. We currently have about 90 students in that program, and it is, in this case, all female. We have had one or two males. But in this time, it is all females.

And our population of over 500 people currently being served through federally funded programs that deal with primarily economically disadvantaged -- and the breakdown of minorities and disabled are listed in here.

The other thing I am very proud of -- and I had nothing to do with it -- this is an initiative taken by the director of the business technology center, and this is because we had some students who were vision- and hearing-impaired.

So she went to the State Department of Education when they began to offer their state grant to create opportunities for funding of equipment to create labs that particularly serve in business technology training students who are vision- and hearing-impaired.

We have one grant for that. But we also have a

grant that buys the adaptive equipment for the employers, so that when these people go on-the-job training, the employer can actually experience that this is not an expensive addition to your firm. We can show them that the student has the skills when they have the adaptive equipment.

So one of our grants pays for equipment to go on loan to the employers. And I think that is kind of an exciting grant. It is not very much money, but, again, we don't have large populations in this program, and the adaptive equipment is reusable.

A quick profile of -- and on the pink sheet of our adult population -- and, again, these are students -our adult population, full-time, are students who are in our classes side by side with high school students. That is not always a popular position for either group. Sometimes adults would prefer to have a full adult class; sometimes high school students would.

We think that there is advanced learning potential for everyone in that, because it is more reflective of a true workplace, where you will have different ages and different maturity levels in the workplace.

We also -- I have also included on the green sheets something you probably should have some reference

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is what gets us our Carl Perkins money. Carl to. Perkins money is the be-all, end-all of vocational education. It is the federal funds for which we have to provide extensive documentation.

This -- to give you -- this is a list of the area vo-techs on the green page. ... If you would find Metro Tech, Metro Tech in the first three columns is showing that out of -- look at the second column first.

Of the 2,000 or 2,700 students in high school vocational programs -- these are not the area vo-tech schools. This is home ec and business and DE. Of those 2,700 students, 1,500 of them are disabled or handicapped. That is their count of those, or 56 percent.

We have to, in order to get our Carl Perkins funds, show that we serve equal or more than that percentage at our area vocational technical school. the 837 that you see in column 5 are the number of vocational high school students served on our Metro Tech campuses, of which 492 are disabled or handicapped -excuse me -- disadvantaged or handicapped, and of that -that is 58 percent of the available population.

So we are equal to or above the numbers that are currently in Oklahoma City, Crooked Oak, which are two feeder public school systems, and that allows us, then, to qualify for Carl Perkins funds. This is a very difficult

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

count to get, but it is one that is, again, the key to the core of all of our funding.

Very quickly, again -- and this will help you, I think, in maybe asking questions to the area vo-tech school tomorrow, because Tulsa Tech is the largest in the state -- this will give you -- and I won't do anything except ask you to find the first bar graph on the large cream-colored packet.

This is a breakdown, and you will notice that the first columns will not change, because these percentages are the same. These are the number of secondary students, white and minority, available in our district. That is Oklahoma City public schools and Crooked Oak. And these are the ones we serve. That is what is next to them.

So available in the district -- right under that, chart number 2 -- these are the adults available in the Oklahoma City Metro Tech district. Those two bar graphs don't change, but the numbers served do. And it goes on through there for each of our campus sites.

At the Springlake campus, we do health training, business technology, and child care, as well as operate an extensive conference center. At the -- what they call Metro Foster Estes, that is primarily trades and industrial programs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also operate, if you will continue, Metro Skills Center. This is not a prison center. This is an alternative high school. It is not reflected in that earlier count under skills center.

It is an alternative high school that we run in conjunction with Oklahoma City public schools. In fact, it is just about two miles -- I mean, two blocks over here on 48th Street, and Metro Tech, our main campus, is just two miles to the east.

We do operate the Aviation Training Center out at the airport. That figure is given to you as well. That enrollment is down, as is the industry employment in general.

And then for your references and for your reports, the charts 5, 6, 7, and 8 may be useful, because they reflect minority populations throughout counties and areas of Oklahoma.

The last chart that I have, because Mr. Hernandez had asked about placement -- right now we don't have databases that pull placement based on race or disabled. We do have cumulatives, and that is what you are looking at in the bright yellow pages here, that show sort of a period of enrollment.

And under the area called follow-up, those items that are asterisked -- those items are what they

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

call positive placements. Either the person went into the military, was employed in a related field, was employed, but not necessarily in a related field, continued their education.

Those numbers continued to grow for Metro Tech, and although you don't have the sums of those, that -under that follow-up, that has gone from 85 percent in '88, '89, to about an 88 percent employment in '91, '92, of what we call positive placement -- either continuing education, military, or job-related placement.

DR. MITCHELL: A quick question: Do all the vo-techs do this, or you just do this at yours?

This is a report generated by DR. WILSON: No. the state. And so we have to turn the data in, and then they generate --

DR. MITCHELL: Okay. So the state office would have it for all --

DR. WILSON: Yes. You would have it for all of And you may see a form similar to this when you talk to Tulsa Technology tomorrow.

And although that is a whirlwind tour, I also would point out that today while I was waiting it was very opportune. You have already --

DR. MITCHELL: We have already -- you have already been involved. That is right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. WILSON: I was just so pleased to see that, because what we are stressing is that --

DR. MITCHELL: Good timing on our part.

DR. WILSON: We are just getting ready to work with Oklahoma City schools in reopening Southeast High School, which is a high school that has been closed, as a technical careers academy, just like we have science academies and fine arts academies.

And we are excited about that, because it is not either vo-tech or college; it is and. This article stresses that one student is MIT bound, and one is going directly into job-related training.

It can't be one or the other anymore, and Metro Tech realizes that. We are working toward that end. And the vo-tech system in Oklahoma is an exciting place to be. Education in Oklahoma is an exciting place to be right now.

But vo-tech is clearly where it is happening, and Oklahoma has an opportunity to be a true demonstration state for the rest of the nation. And we are very hopeful -- we are not very optimistic, because our political leverage is considerably diminished in Washington, DC, and yet we have something here that really the rest of the state -- the rest of the nation needs to know about as they begin to move toward this school-to-

work transition.

And I realize your time is short, too. So -
DR. MITCHELL: That is all right. We have one,
two questions -- the one over here first.

MR. FAGIN: There was comments made this morning directly relating to the Oklahoma City area, and I wanted to speak -- because you had indicated, for example, in some of the outlying areas, such as Spencer or Jones and so forth, transportation, outreach, even knowing that these -- specifically talking about vocational education not being known and so forth.

Part of that may be the school system itself, which needs to do some outreach. But do you all do any in your -- in this area, do any outreach itself, speakers or making it known that it is available or that kind of thing -- or it may not be your function. I am just --

DR. WILSON: We do -- no. It is. We have a marketing budget. We -- and all the vo-techs do. Ours is probably more visible than others.

I will tell you the real problem is most high school counselors are my age, and most of them went directly from high school into college, and they still don't believe that vo-tech is a viable option for most students.

They are still routing students to college-

bound directions. And schools brag on having 75 percent of their students go to college, and they don't tell anybody that, of those 75 percent, only 25 percent will actually complete in four to five years with a degree.

So, consequently, part of the problem is the word is not even out among parents, who are also my age or slightly younger, who went a pretty traditional track as well. We are all pretty brainwashed that it is either or. There is the college-bound, and then there is those other kids.

And that is the worst things we have done to ourselves as well as to the nation, because technology right now in Oklahoma schools is not in the schools unless it is a vo-tech. It is not in higher ed. It is in the vo-techs. And we are not accessing the richest resources we have.

And just like they mentioned today, even those resources have a certain degree of limitation, because of simply class size, access to equipment. The best route to go is to the adult short-term classes.

And we are discounting our costs to high school students to come take our adult night classes, because we think we will find students who are not -- the concern initially expressed to me was that, oh, well, this is -- this will be only for dropout students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

I think that the student who has a part-time job and would like to learn phlebotomy, so they can get a \$7 an hour job instead of a \$4 an hour job, might come to us so they could pay their way through college.

So we are discounting our short-term adult classes for those five-week, twelve-week, 15-week training two nights a week, just like adults are doing, because that is how the adult population in this state has changed their mind about vo-tech, is by short-term intense training. It is not because they have all gone through the traditional four-year programs.

And we think we need to get high school students and their parents involved in short-term intense training that allows them to earn higher dollars while they are -- whatever their plans are.

DR. MITCHELL: I think I am going to have to cut it off, because we have contracted this room for a short time.

DR. WILSON: And I will stay available.

MR. MALETZ: Could I just ask one definitional question? That is all it is.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. MALETZ: On your green chart, where you were talking about those percentages that are so crucial for the Perkins funding --

_	DK. HIBBON. 105.
2	MR. MALETZ: The category was
3	disadvantaged/handicapped. What does disadvantaged mean?
4	Is that economic?
5	DR. WILSON: It is economically disadvantaged,
6	free and reduced meals. It has to be consistent with
7	documented evidence at the school level.
8	MR. MALETZ: Just economic disadvantaged
9	okay.
10	DR. WILSON: It is economic disadvantaged.
11	Right.
12	DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.
13	DR. WILSON: Thank you all.
14	DR. MITCHELL: And we have to move into our
15	open session. Does our court reporter need a break?
16	THE REPORTER: No.
17	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. We don't have time for a
18	break.
19	(Pause.)
20	DR. MITCHELL: Okay. It is time for open
21	session, and we need to move very quickly. And we can
22	allow how many people do we have? Just one? Okay. We
23	have to be out of here in five minutes six minutes.
24	MR. LAMB: I can do it in three, because Dr.
25	Wilson just helped me.
ſ	

DR. WILSON:

1

Yes.

DR. MITCHELL: Okay.

MR. LAMB: My name is George Lamb, and I am a senior disability program specialist with the Office of Handicapped Concerns. And the reason why I wanted to address you was basically Metro Tech.

They are an anomaly. They solve problems a little differently than -- I have been to all the technical schools. I have been to all the colleges. I have been to all the universities. I have had to almost threaten everybody else.

And so I wondered how come Metro Tech -- how come their system works? And I figured it out. They do the problem-solving differently. If you go, for instance, to their office and ask to see what do they do for disabled people, they have sat there and got a book this thick, where they did a lot of research and put a lot of time and energy into it, to see exactly what they are doing.

And they have gone outside of their expertise, which most of the schools do not do -- they have their own experts who have no clue as to what is going on, or at least aren't current with what is happening. Well, Metro Tech does that.

And Metro Tech has problems. One particular problem they had, they sat the person down and they did

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

something that few people do, and it really shocked me that they did it. They asked the person this question: What do you want? What can we do for you? What would make you happy, sir?

And the person said it. And they got the people together, and they solved the problem so fast I. wasted my drive down there.

Now, when I go to the other vo-techs, I don't waste my time. We threaten them. We go to court. See, that -- but that is not good. That is not what we need to Because we talk about the rules and regulations we do. have for JTPA -- I am a graduate of that -- and some of the vo-tech schools -- the people are falling through.

And the American Indian, for instance -- I do a lot of -- I am an attorney, and I do a lot of legal work for disadvantaged people. And if we have an American Indian with a disability, I call that a double-whammy. Their chances of getting through the system are so slim.

And a lot of it is cultural. And if people don't bother to see why that is a problem -- why does the culture of the American Indian prohibit them from functioning at a vo-tech?

Well, I went down to the airplane place that they have, and I found out that they have got people with They have got American Indians. They have got

women doing men's job -- white men's jobs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I asked this instructor -- I said, How come you have got these people doing this job -- because I wanted to tell the other vo-tech people. He says, You know, they are just like ghosts to me. If they can do the work, if their ability is commensurate with what we need, and if they try, he goes, We will make sure they succeed.

His attitude was so good I thought I was going to be an airplane mechanic. I have never seen anything like it. And I am going to go to school there, because there is a lot of things I want to learn. And I am out of district, so I will probably have to pump it up, pay a lot of money and stuff like that.

DR. MITCHELL: For you a special deal.

MR. LAMB: Well, maybe. But the thing that concerns me the absolute most: I heard one gentleman come up here and say something like, Well, we can't do anything special for anyone. We can't -- I can't remember exactly what he said, but you know what I am talking about, about doing things out of the ordinary.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. LAMB: And that is a joke, and that is an insult. I was insulted by that. When we go to Metro Tech -- and I hate to harp on them, but they are the only ones that I have any kind of luck with -- in a kind way --

FORM CSH - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-828-8313

because we succeed.

Believe me, we have a 100 percent success rate, because we can give you a \$300,000 reason why you should do it the right way. We are serious about this. And they just do it anyway. So they are no fun. They are kind of -- like I say, it is a waste to go out there. But -- DR. MITCHELL: You don't make any money on them.

MR. LAMB: Well, no, you don't make any money on them, because they ask questions. I don't know what their problem is. But can you imagine the situation where a person actually goes into the -- just one quick example I guess I should give you.

And to use an American Indian example, a gentleman went to a vo-tech out west -- and we don't want to name names, so we won't. And they looked at this person and said, You are learning-disabled -- actually, what they said was he is retarded.

And then I was there. And they said, You are going to have to get rid of this ponytail. And, of course, the guy is so easygoing -- he is a friend of mine; he is a nut -- I would have slapped the guy's face, but he thought it was a joke and said, oh, he would get rid of it.

So he put a sack around it -- tied a sack

FORM CSR . LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-826-6313

around it -- walked around with a grocery bag on the back of his head, so you couldn't see it.

But, you know, they almost would not enroll him because he was learning-disabled. He wasn't learningdisabled. He was American Indian. But he did things differently. His very appearance -- just his appearance shocked them so much.

I asked the lady -- I said, I won't say your name, but why did you give this guy so much trouble? We don't want his type here. Plain discrimination. didn't want an Indian there, and because there is a large Indian community in that area, and they don't want it. Same thing if you go far south where there is a large -they are discriminated against wholesale.

And we have had people from different organizations here. I complained about some people who were deaf, who happened to be American Indian, and -- you know, and we filed an official complaint. What do you think happened to that complaint?

Three people in suits come to my office and tried to get me fired. And you know what the director of my office says? George, from now on, we will handle that internally. We don't want to talk about that. We don't want to do anything about that.

Same thing with another black couple: They

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said -- this company said -- I said, You can't do that to disabled people. And I gave them a black example, because it is a good example to give people who don't understand disability rights. He said, Well, we don't hire blacks either -- that is not what he said, but that is what he meant.

So I went to the employment -- well, I wouldn't I went to this government agency and said, How sav that. many blacks have you sent out to this place in Arkansas that does chickens? Well, they won't tell us. Now, why is that? Because they are not doing it.

They have got so much power in the local area -- these little bitty places -- they are gods down there. The employment manager of that office is a white guy I talked to, and he told me so much stuff off the record I made it part of the record. I mean, I couldn't keep a secret like that. And what do they do when these people mess up? They promote them. They make them supervisors. They can't get rid of them.

And it is incredible. You go to a courtroom or -- one little nine-year-old girl was Hispanic. She had been raped. And what does the judge do, because she doesn't speak English? He incarcerates her, because she had been raped and she was hysterical. She couldn't speak English. I guess that is a crime.

And what do these people do? They are not making it through our system, our laws. They are simply not making it. The people who are making it -- and I will let you go, but let me tell you who is making it -- is the disabled people like me who have good IQs.

aggressive and won't take no for an answer. It is the Hispanic person who luckily is a little bilingual -- I don't know why -- but is a little -- and they don't have an accent for some reason, and they are not stereotyped. They have lighter hair, or something is going for them that they can fit into the white community. These folks, yes, are making it through the system.

But that darker black person or that American

Indian who is just not that aggressive or that Hispanic

person who doesn't speak good English or talks like the TV

shows, they are not going to get in the door, unless they

are lucky enough to go to someplace like Metro Tech.

excuse me -- where they check all this. But the other vo-techs are not doing it. And all they are doing is giving you numbers -- like an American Indian -- let me tell you what an American Indian is. That is someone who says they watch war movies about Cochise or somebody. Am I right? That is right.

And a Hispanic is anybody who speaks Spanish.

And a disabled person is anybody who has ever had an
accident or fallen. That is what they are doing.

We went and did one thing on a TV show, and a person said, Well, how do you know this person is disabled? What is your disability? I have headaches. What is your disability? I don't know; I am female. And female is not a disability, though it could be in some areas around here.

But that is what I wanted to tell you, that
when you look at the regulations, the people who are
looking at these are so biased and prejudiced on the local
level that they are not being done — they are not being
looked at like they should be looked at. They are doing
everything they can — like with the Americans
Disabilities Act — they are looking at the minimums, not
the maximums.

Where Metro Tech made a mistake years ago in their accessibility 80(a), what do they do? They just reversed it and said, We made a mistake; we are reversing it. And maybe that is another one of their deals: They make mistakes, and they admit it.

But the other people are not admitting their mistakes. And they are not -- we are not making progress.

And I see little kids every day suffer -- because I mostly

do children -- and I see adults who lose their families, 1 who lose their cars, who lose their homes, because they 2 speak Spanish or because they happen to have been born 3 here a long time ago. 4 And it has just got to stop. And I hope that 5 you can help with that by realizing that people who come 6 7 up here and give you these names and numbers -- I mean, that all looked good, but it is not working where I am at. 8 So thank you. 9 DR. MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you. The court 10 reporter needs your name and official --11 MR. LAMB: George Lamb -- L-A-M-B -- Office of 12 Handicapped Concerns. 13 And I will submit something in writing, because 14 I have all --15 DR. MITCHELL: Please. I would appreciate it 16 17 very much. 18 MR. LAMB: Thank you. DR. MITCHELL: Yes. I guess we will recess 19 until 9:00 tomorrow morning in Tulsa. 20 21 (Whereupon, at 5:15 p.m., the meeting was 22 recessed, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., Thursday, June 2, 1994, in Tulsa, Oklahoma.) 23

ند

CERTIFICATE

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

1

3 HEARING: Oklahoma Advisory Committee to the US

Commission on Civil Rights

LOCATION:

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

6 DATE:

June 1, 1994

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 333, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Sue J. Brindley.

Studia Poster 06/13/94 (Transcriber) (Date)

On the Record Reporting, Inc. 5926 Balcones Dr., Suite 115 Austin, Texas 78731