Library Copy

cu/corrections 25.3

1	UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
2	SOUTH DAKOTA STATE ADVISORY COMMISSION
3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
4	
5	IN RE: WOMEN'S EMPLOYMENT ISSUES IN SOUTH DAKOTA
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	Taken at
12	Tanon at
13	
14	
15	
16	Hilton Inn 445 Mt. Rushmore Road
17	Rapid City, SD 57701 October 29, 1993
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	INDEX TO APPEARANCES
3	Ms. Cleota Rae Burnette, Ms. Charlotte Black Elk, Dr. Dorothy M. Butler, Ms. Phyllis Old Dog Cross, Mr. Marc Stuart Feinstein, Ms. Bang Ja (B.J.) Kim,
4	Mr. Johnathan Van Patten, Mr. James G. Popovich, Mr. David Lawrence Volk, Mr. William Emmett Walsh, and
5	William F. Muldrow, Regional Director.
6	INDEX TO SPEAKERS
7	LINDA LEA M. VIKEN 7
8	BOBBI BROWN 30
9	JEANNETTE SCHIPPER 42
10	DENNIS HULL 58
11	SANDRA JORGENSEN 79
12	FAE JOHNSON 91
13	ELAINE KOHLER 100
14	GLORIA PLUIMER 118
15	PHYLLIS OLD DOG CROSS 128
16	CHERYL CRAZY BULL 138
17	KAREN ARTICHOKER 153
18	JUNE RADCHE 166
19	CAROL MAICKI 182
20	NADINE ZELLER 199
21	MS. CATHY 226
22	SUE SCHULZ 236
23	
24	
25	

MS. BURNETTE: We have a pretty busy day today, at 1 least our committee does. First of all, my name is 2 Rae Burnette, and I'm from Sioux Falls, South Dakota, and 3 I'm the chairperson of the South Dakota Advisory Committee 4 on the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. Before we proceed, 5 I have an opening statement for your benefit that I will 6 7 read to you. 8 The meeting of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights should come to order. 9 For the benefit of those in our audience, I shall introduce 10 myself and my colleagues. My name is Rae Burnette and I'm 11 the chairperson of the Advisory Committee. Members of the 12 committee are -- and if you will introduce yourselves and 13 14 where you're from beginning on my right, please. 15 MR. POPOVICH: Jim Popovich, Rapid City. 16 MR. WALSH: Bill Walsh, Deadwood. 17 MR. VOLK: David Volk, Sioux Falls. 18 MS. BUTLER: Dorothy Butler, Brookings. 19 MS. KIM: B.J. Kim, Brookings. 20 MS. BURNETTE: Also present are William F. 21 Muldrow, Director of the Rocky Mountain Regional Division 22 and Malee Craft, who is not here, and Evelyn Bohor, staff of 23 that office in Denver. 24 We are here to conduct a fact-finding meeting for

25

the purpose of gathering information on the issues affecting

employment of women in South Dakota. Participation in this forum will provide information, observations, and recommendations on this topic.

The jurisdiction of the commission includes discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin or in the administration of justice.

The proceedings of this forum, which are be being recorded by a public stenographer, will be used along with other information collected through interviews and correspondence with individuals, agencies, and organizations in the development of a written report with findings and recommendations from the committee, which will be released and distributed to the public.

At the outset, I want to remind everyone present of the ground rules. This is a public meeting open to the media and the general public, but we have a very full schedule of participants to fit within the limited time we have available. The time I allocated for each session must be strictly adhered to.

Twenty-five minutes have been allocated for remarks from each presenter, which should include 10 minutes for dialogue with the committee. To accommodate persons who have not been invited to make a presentation but wish to make statements, we have scheduled an open period on our

agenda from 7:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. this evening.

Anyone wishing to make a statement during that period should contact a staff member for scheduling.

Written statements may be submitted to committee members or staff here today or by mail to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1700 Broadway, Suite 710, Denver, Colorado, 80290.

The record of this meeting will close on November 12, 1993.

Though some of the information provided here may be controversial, we want to ensure that all invited guests do not unfairly or illegally defame or degrade any person or organization. In order to ensure that all aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of experience and viewpoints have been invited to share information with us.

Any person or any organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in these proceedings should contact our staff during the meeting so we can provide a chance for public response. Ultimately, such persons or organizations can file written statements for inclusion in the proceedings.

I urge all persons participating to be judicious and factual in what they say. The Advisory Committee appreciates the willingness of those who have agreed to participate and share information with us. Now, Mr. Bill Muldrow will share some remarks with you.

MR. MULDROW: I would just like to add my welcome to you. We do appreciate your participation and your attendance at this meeting. This is the second of two fact-finding meetings, similar meetings that we have held throughout the state. One last month in Sioux Falls, and this will be the second one here in Rapid City.

This information along with other research we have done on this topic will all be compiled into a written report with recommendations from the committee and distributed to the public free of charge. So each of you who have signed the registration sheet back there will automatically receive a copy of the final report.

I would like to point out this is a project of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. All of the members of this committee are citizens of the state and volunteer their time for this purpose.

It is a bipartisan or nonpartisan committee. No more than half of this committee can be from any one political party, so we have quite a diversity of persons on the commission in terms of political affiliation as well as recommendation from various sectors of the population throughout the state.

So again welcome to our proceedings today. If any of you who are making presentations or any of you in

attendance would like to submit additional material, beyond what time allows for us to receive today, as Rae pointed out, we would welcome those for inclusion in the record up to the date that she specified. I think we have 10 days after this proceeding. Thank you very much, and we look forward to the day with you.

MS. BURNETTE: Our first presenter this morning is Linda Lea M. Viken. Linda is an attorney here in Rapid City whose practice heavily involves women's employment issues. She is a former state legislator and is affiliated and worked extensively on the South Dakota Commission on Women, and maybe you can introduce yourself to the committee people and tell us where you practice.

MS. VIKEN: Thank you. I think I know most of the members of the commission, but I guess for the record I am a partner in the law firm of Viken, Viken, Pechota, Leach & Dewell. And historically, I have been involved in a lot of things that cause me to bring different experiences to this Commission, and as a result, I think, of those experiences, that put me in the position to learn some facts that I think form the basis of my opinions.

I have been a teacher, a lawyer, a legislator, and a judge so far. When I grow up I haven't decided what I want to be. I did teach school for eight years; two years in Yankton, and six years in Sioux Falls, Sioux Falls being

the place I grew up in. I have the honor of being the youngest woman ever elected to the South Dakota Legislature, which I still hold, and I also did represent both Minnehaha and Pennington County in the legislature, which for some people these days, seems to be an oxymora.

I served as a magistrate judge for a year and a half here in Pennington County, so I have seen a view from various points of vantage. As a lawyer, I work primarily in the areas of family law, labor and employment law, and civil rights. And as a result of that, I have had an opportunity to run across a lot of situations that have raised concerns for me about where we're going and how far we've really come.

I also served, and technically do still serve on the South Dakota Status of Women Commission. As a legislator, I was involved in sponsoring the South Dakota Human Rights Commission, established under that administration, and I've been involved in subsequent matters relating to employment rights for women.

And all that is well and good, but as this commission well understands, the issue is not just what laws and rules and policies we have in place, but do they really work? And that's a little bit of what I want to address with you today.

In South Dakota we certainly have laws that

establish the rights of women to equal opportunity in a work place, and grant them freedom from discrimination in employment but the question really is, and the question I think you're here looking at today is: Do they work? Do they really work? Is there more we can do and more we should do?

Just as an example for you of what I'm talking about, as a lawyer, and I guess, I particularly focus in on procedurally how do we carry out our laws? And let me give you one example that happened over the years in South Dakota that may seem small and, maybe, did to the legislators who did it at the time. In fact, it wasn't even really a legislative move. In fact, it was a budgetary move, and that was that originally when we established the commission, the South Dakota Commission on Human Rights, when a complaint was at a hearing, it was at the place the complainant lived.

Seems logical, doesn't it? However, there came a confidence time that the legislature, really through the incorporation process, changed that, and all the hearings were held in Pierre. Now, that might not seem like a big matter to you, but let me give you an example of a woman we represented and what that meant to her. She was discriminated against in employment. After an investigation, it was determined there was probable cause. The employer asked for a hearing. The

hearing, of course, was going to be held in Pierre. She was from Rapid City.

The dollar value of her claim was probably \$1,500. She could not afford to go to Pierre. She couldn't afford to hire an attorney to go to Pierre to represent her. She couldn't even afford herself to go to Pierre and bring her witnesses and pay for them to stay in Pierre. So as a result, she compromised the claim for half of its value.

Now, nice looking law in place. The Commission did its work as far as finding probable cause, but what was the result?

Not carrying out the laws in spirit or intent.

By the way, as I understand it, the Commission now does have a hearing back in the state again, but I point that out because I think that's the important question you have to be asking is, not does it look good on paper, but how is it carried out. In recent years another issue has surfaced and that's the question of the Human Rights Commission having the ability to require the payment of attorney's fees for the prevailing party.

One of our women attorneys here in Rapid City went to federal court and won attorney's fees based on the federal statute for her work before the Human Rights Commission. Now, one would think that the legislature would have then amended the statute to provide for attorney's fees at the commission level, but that did not occur. Instead,

what our law does provide now is something that, again, on the surface sounds good, but if you think about it in practical terms, it isn't.

And that is that the law now provides that either party may move the matter to state court. That is if I file a complaint in front of the Human Rights Commission and they find probable cause, the employer can move it into circuit court. Now, what's the practical effect of that?

The practical effect of it is, of course, you have to have an attorney, because if you're going to go into court, you really don't want to do that without an attorney. You don't have to legally have one, of course, but the employer is going to have one or two, so you need to have an attorney.

What do we get back to again? The question of dollars. And what does our statute now say about attorney's fees? The only statutory basis for awarding attorney's fees that the court has given is in employment and housing matters. Now, why does it say that? I can answer that question. I was there when it happened.

That's because the federal government required us to do that. Otherwise, we wouldn't have done it at all. It was pulling teeth to get that put in and only because of the threat of loss of federal dollars were we able to accomplish that. It doesn't make sense to me.

We have acknowledged then legislatively that, because we have to, we will give attorney's fees in one area but not in any other area. And, again, I think the commission must have understood the practical implications of that. If you have a \$1,500 claim and your attorney's fees are \$2,000, it's a pure economic question. It's not a question of vindicating your rights anymore. An economic question.

So you see, the law looks good, but without those necessary additions to it, it's not quite as good as it looks. There's something to be remembered about that too, and that is that -- and by the way, I wanted to commend the Division of Human Rights, because they've come to the legislature and they've tried to change the statute and improve it, but the reality is: Who's in Pierre besides the legislators? The governments that are affected, their attorneys, their lobbyists.

Where are the women that are affected? They're back at home working. They can't come to Pierre. They have to rely on the people who are in Pierre, but the people in Pierre are not hearing from them either, because they're busy raising their families, working, doing what has to be done. So we have to turn to organizations like this to make recommendations to the legislature that, hopefully, they

l | will listen to.

Another way that I see that civil rights in the state are undermined and diminished is through the budgetary process. I mentioned earlier one of the ways that that happens, but let me also talk about other ways. When you diminish the Division of Human Rights' budget to the point that they do not have adequate staff to accomplish their legislative tasks, you know what that means. Everybody has heard the saying, "Justice delayed is justice denied."

Well, that's especially true in employment matters. If you're out of a job and it's two years before you can get a hearing, and that may be an exaggeration on my part, but it makes the point. It is long time for a hearing and the reality is by the time you get your justice, it doesn't mean anything to you, and people get discouraged and they quit, and they don't pursue their rights.

So that's an easy way to undermine human rights, just cut the budget down. Several of us around the state talked a couple of years ago about trying hard to improve the budget for human rights in South Dakota, but we weren't successful in doing that. But that's another very subtle way that works if you want to do it.

A seemingly unrelated issue that's comes to my attention over the recent years that I want to bring to your attention is the effect on women on the South Dakota

| Employee At-will statute.

You know South Dakota has a law that says basically unless you have a contract or a negotiated agreement through your union, you're an employee at-will. Meaning, that the employer can terminate you for any reason or no reason except one, of course, that discriminates you, but he isn't going to tell you that that's the reason he's doing it or she's doing it, as the case may be. So the effect of that is that in a state like ours where, you know the statistics better than I, we have a lot of single-parent households headed by woman who work who are lower income to middle income in their jobs. They're not paid, in all instances, equal to men.

They have to work to maintain their families and households but they know that their employer can terminate their job without cause. And if they're going to avoid that they have to make their employers happy and that means that they tolerate a large degree of discriminatory practices.

And I know that to be a fact, because I have talked to a lot of woman about that, and when they come to me and they talk to me about what's going on, I can easily identify those issues as being discriminatory practices.

But when I tell them what the process is to vindicate their rights, they won't do it because they know it costs them money they don't have. They know that sooner

or later it will cost them their job and they figure they're
going to be blackballed, and they look out in the waiting
room at their children and they know they can't do it.

So they just merely look for an opportunity to find employment elsewhere while they continue to work at that job under those conditions. And our office represents a number of Indian people, and if you add to what I've just said about the discrimination that exists against Native American people and then you become a Native American woman, I probably don't have to say very much more to you about that, and there are others who are more eloquent to address that than I can, but this Termination At-will statute has an effect on men too, but because woman are often in the lower paying jobs, they're not even in a position to be able to financially vindicate their rights and it has a devastating affect on them.

I want the Commission to understand that I'm not the kind of person who goes around looking for discrimination. I have rarely felt discriminated against myself, probably because I refuse to be discriminated against, but, you see, I have that luxury. I am a white middle-class person who is her own employer, so I have a lot of abilities to shrug off what a lot of people can't.

But I have to tell you that I can still feel the essence discrimination around me. and unfortunately,

despite all our talk about equality, and I really believe and find that in the souls of many of our citizens, there's still a belief that woman are still not quite up to the task.

We still have a lot of education to do. If you don't believe that, talk to the women legislators about issues like spousal rape and what we've had to do to accomplish that legislation. And there are people sitting here who helped us do that, including your token male white republican. But it was not easy and yet one would think it would be, so it's still there. It isn't on the surface anymore. We don't hear it. We don't hear the terminology anymore. We don't hear it spoken overtly, but I'm afraid it still lingers in the hearts of many.

In that regard, I've been asked to comment on the Status of Women Commission. I was appointed to the Status of Women Commission in 1978, and technically that commission still exists right here in this little red book. And since I've never been replaced, under South Dakota law, that technically means I'm still on the Commission and I'm still treasurer of the Status of Women Commission, in case you would like to donate. Because the Commission was defunded a number of years ago, as I recall, under Governor Janklo. The Commission really went to the legislature and said: Either fund us properly or get rid of us. Of course, the

legislature, in their infinite wisdom did neither. They defunded us and didn't get rid of us.

Sometimes I fear that requesting a Status of Women Commission makes people angry, you know: Why don't we have a Status of Men Commission. One would only have to go through the list of boards of commissions that we do have to know that we at least probably are equal in rank to some of the boards and commissions that we currently have in this state.

I feel that it would probably be worthwhile to reinstate this commission if it were properly funded. The Status of Women Commission was the first group in this state to bring out the issue of domestic violence. We funded a study and issued a report called "Conspiracy of Silence," which some of you have probably never seen.

It was issued in 1979 as I recall, and it was the first report to discuss the issue of spousal abuse in South Dakota, and the first gathering of statistics and information that had real validity for the state of South Dakota, so I really think that if you had a properly funded commission on the status of women, that it could do basically what you are doing today. And that is that it could serve as a central body to view our laws to determine how they're working to determine ways that we could make them work better and, hopefully, do that kind of outreach

that's necessary to really talk to the people who are affected by the laws, the ones we really seek to protect by these laws.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those of us who are in a position to litigate on our own behalf or afford to litigate on our own behalf, are not really the people we make those laws tor. We make them for those people who cannot afford to have counsel, who cannot afford to come to the legislature, the congress, and lobby, so we cannot ignore any longer the statistics that show us what affect there is on women in the job market.

And we also know, of course, as a reality, that the needs of women in the job market and outside the job market are really, in many instances, different than men, some of which are caused by the fact that women are the head of single-parent households. And you're going to be hearing today from people tar more eloquent than I about the issues such as child care and how it affects children and families and women in employment. So maybe it's time for us to look again at a state and commission, a commission that has needs, and maybe we need a Civil Rights Commission, an Advisory Civil Rights Commission at a state level to do the kinds of things that you're appointed to do, because the reality is that we have come a long way, at least on paper, but we have a lot of fighting to go. Thank you. I'll be glad to answer any questions.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. VOLK: Linda, you have worked a lot on legislation in a number of these areas and we did work some on legislation just as I was getting ready to leave for Pierre. You touched on some of those today, but for the sake of the record, if you could come up with a laundry list of laws that you worked on and were not successful passing or laws that you see as a need.

Is there anyway you can capsulize that? I know you talked about some regarding legal fees and some of these others, but are there other things that you've worked on that were not successful that you wish had been successful?

MS. VIKEN: Well, in looking at the civil rights area, what you really need is easy access and ability for people to use the system, and in this area, some of the ones I've mentioned in attorney's fees and other areas are things that I've tried to work on over the years. The spousal rape issue that you and I worked on, one of the issues that you and I worked on, we worked on several, but that one was such an incredible demonstration to me. When I saw when I was in the legislature in the '70s. '73 to '76 is when we amended the law and put it in. Sounded simple. And then when I was out of the legislature, it was taken out and getting it back in was the most incredibly difficult thing I could not imagine. We worked on areas in divorce as well.

MR. VOLK: That was the one. The beginning of

child payments and what an incredibly complicated process that was. Like you said, many people just gave up.

MS. VIKEN: A lot of people criticize the federal government and the mandates of the federal government. I for one tend to welcome them, because the child support area is another area that the federal government mandated. They said you have to have a commission on child support. I happened to chair two state commissions on child support, one was Governor Janklo and one under Governor Mickelson, that worked on our state laws for child support.

And I know, there is no question in my mind, that had that not been a requirement, we would have never have gotten it through, and yet that's a vital area. If we don't have the child support paid, we have terrific problems with women being able to survive, because they don't make enough money in the job market.

They have to have additional help. There are so many issues like that and you know, Dave, especially because you've been around the legislature a lot, we still have a lot of work to do to bring the attitudes up. I mean, thank goodness we do have more women in the legislature. When I was in there we had five. Now, I think there's 21, something in that area.

We were the third highest for a period of time and that's helped, but we still have work to do, because not

only do we have the child support area and civil rights area, even domestic violence. There was a long period of time that people denied domestic violence existed. When we came out with our study -- I mean, look how long it's taken for us to do anything from 1979 until recent years in legislature to accomplish that. Yet, no one ever disputed the statistics. So there are probably a lot of others that are not on top of my head thinking of.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you have any questions, Bill? MS. BURNETTE: MR. WALSH: Granted, there's a great deal of discrimination in the area of employment with regards to women and we're hearing more and you're an expert on this. What rights do the employers have against the person who abuses the system, the female that comes in and is a lousy employee and the employer releases that person and then two weeks later she shows up and says two thousand now or it's going to cost you two thousand to have an attorney. She knows the system. She knows how to work the system, and I just suspect many employers write the check and say, make a business decision and say: I don't need it. I don't need a discrimination case. I don't even need to go through the looking for probable cause.

MS. VIKEN: Fair question. And I have represented employers as well as employees in sex discrimination cases, so I've seen that. I had a case where I saw that and we

were able to win in that case, but, yes, it does exist. I guess a short answer is that it may be a price we pay for civilized society. Just as people don't like the jury system and what rights we give to criminals in order to ensure that those that are innocent go free. But I don't mean to trivialize that. I do think there's nothing wrong with having attorney's fees awarded against someone who loses in a situation, whether that be the person bringing the complaint or the employer.

Would tell you, though, Bill, especially out in this area that I don't know of many employers who will write a check when they don't think they're wrong. And the other thing is, quite honestly, it's one of the prices that we pay because we have a system that is an administrative system and intentionally so avoids the use of attorneys for a period of time.

At least when I have people who have human rights, I send them to the Commission rather than going myself or trying to interpose. I like to have them have a clean slate for the investigation. Some of that just is going to be a fact of life. I guess, the reality is when the employers don't buckle under, the employees learn that real fast. And quite honestly, any good lawyer who looks at it is going to tell them that's the question.

1	MS. BURNETTE: Dorothy has a question, and we have
2	a couple of more over here for the next six minutes.
3	MS. BUTLER: One, where may we get copies of the
4	"Conspiracy of Silence"?
5	MS. VIKEN: They are in limited supply. I'd be
6	happy to find one for you.
7	MS. BUTLER: What steps would you recommend as
8	first steps toward the revival or revitalization of the
9	South Dakota Commission on the Status of Women?
10	MS. VIKEN: Probably a recommendation from the
11	Commission that it would be in the best interest to do that,
12	and then, quite honestly, it's motivating the people in
13	charge, the legislators and the Governor to do that.
14	MR. MULDROW: Linda, I was told that this
15	Termination At-will Policy in the state resulted not from a
16	particular piece of legislation but from the absence of any
17	legislation.
18	MS. VIKEN: No, we have an Employee At-will
19	termination statute. It's in the books. Unless you have a
20	contract with definite terms and rights such as due process
21	hearing, etc.
22	MR. MULDROW: Has there been an effort to revise
23	that?
24	MS. VIKEN: Oh, yes. There have been efforts made
25	over the years, particularly by the unions, to attempt to

1

eliminate that statute.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

MR. WALSH: Is that part of the Right to Work? It's in the same section. Othe MS. VIKEN: No. code, but it's not a constitutional provision like the Right to Work is. What has been attempted over the years is to add in a good-faith/fair-dealing requirement so that all contracts have a contract of good faith and fair dealing,

which at least raises you above the level of whatever the

employer thinks they should do, they should do.

MS. BURNETTE: Any other committee members?

MS. KIM: What is the present budget for the South Dakota Human Rights Commission, and what would be the optimal amount in your opinion to carry out all the complaints?

I don't think I'm in a position to MS. VIKEN: answer that question as far as: What is the current budget? I did know a year or so ago, but I can't tell you anymore, and I think you look at it not necessarily from a pure dollar standpoint but from a staffing need standpoint and then the dollars flow from that. I know for a fact, as far as I'm concerned, they need at least one, if not two more investigators in order to properly carry out their function. And I'm sure there are probably people here who can answer that better than I.

MR. VAN PATTEN: The argument about attorney's

fees and costs and the argument about the Employment At-will doctrine makes sense to lawyers. One of the problems I sense, at least in talking to my legislator, Vince Greene, is that when you try to make those types of arguments for reforming the legal system to give greater access, it's viewed by others as just a lawyer/welfare bill. Is there a way to achieve some kind of legislative success without running into the anti-lawyer argument or attitude?

MS. VIKEN: Well, it is a continual problem. Of course, there aren't very many lawyers in the legislature, but you're right, it's an issue that is particularly known to lawyers. It isn't a question of whether lawyers want fees. Most of us do a lot of pro bono work. It's a question of recognizing that someone will not pursue their rights, but you have quite clearly defined one of the problems.

The problem is that the people who are mostly in a position to know what needs to be done are the lawyers, and people do easily, the people on the other side easily use that as an argument. So it is important, and it's one of the reasons I bring it out.

Other groups besides lawyers need to come forward and recognize it as an issue and a problem and recognize it.

And if you can do that, get women's groups and other organizations for support to work for it, and perhaps it

| will be successful.

MS. BURNETTE: Linda, I have two things. One, if you will submit a copy of the Fire At-will statute and the "Conspiracy of Silence" to Mr. Muldrow's office, he can make sure that those members can get copies of that and it would be available to them. In your opinion, not fact, in your opinion, what in Rapid City on the western part of the state -- and I don't recall you telling us how long you've lived here?

MS. VIKEN: Fifteen years.

MS. BURNETTE: Fifteen years. And having been involved in women's issues and organizations and representing them in the last decade, in terms of employment discrimination and status of women in the work place in Rapid City, what's going on? I mean, we heard globally, collectively, from you.

MS. VIKEN: Well, I would be probably less than honest if I didn't say that I think that the conditions are worse here than they are in the eastern part of the state. And, again, I think part of that is a feeling held in their soul about the ability of everyone to fend for themselves, to "pull themselves up by the bootstraps" mentality that exists.

The reality is that that's not that easy for women to do, and also because our income levels and jobs are lower

here and because we have a lot of Native American population that are looking for employment and facing difficulties in finding employment, I think the picture is not as rosy here as it is in eastern South Dakota for women. MS. BURNETTE: So do you feel that women are being discriminated against in the work place and not reporting it and just living with it. MS. VIKEN: Oh, no question about that, absolutely no question.

MS. BURNETTE: To a significant degree?

MS. VIKEN: I think that goes, absolutely goes without question. The numbers of women that have talked to me just privately as a legislator, as a person whose name they have seen in the paper who works in this area, whatever, make it very clear to me. I probably, I suppose once a month get a call from somebody about an issue like that or run into them someplace else, and when you explain the realities to them, what you have to do, a lot of them just don't have the courage

MS. BURNETTE: And finally, you pointed out all the barriers that women have, not only in the judicial process, even when there is a finding of probable cause and the conciliation that takes place, financially or otherwise. And what I hear you saying is that there's this difficulty of women going out and hiring attorneys, number one, and not

being able to afford the attorneys for what their relief would be, but that there's also problems with not enough funding for the office on the Commission of Human Rights.

Again, I think it's important that we know that we can make recommendations, but we can't effectuate any actual change in Pierre, because the Commission, as citizens, we're going to face the same difficulty as you have in that. But if there were three things, whether it's legislatively making new rules changing statutes or empowering organizations with more money or staff, I mean, what would it be to address some of these problems? What would your recommendations be, I mean, hard core recommendations?

MS. VIKEN: Well, I mean, I guess if I could dream my dreams, I guess I'd correct the things that I pointed out today, because I think those access problems are what make the difference in sombody's ability to have the benefits of the law. I guess if I had my druthers, my ideal dreams, I'd change the law to provide for attorney's fees in cases.

I would reconsider whether a case ought to be able to be removed to circuit court in all situations. I would look at whether refunding the Status of Women Commission might not be a ongoing way to have an emphasis in an area that is important. You know the problem is you always need somebody that focuses on it. You focus on it now, and then the rest of the year nobody focuses on it, so it seems to me

1 that perhaps that's one of the answers that we need. 2 MR. WALSH: Let's accent positive on some things. 3 You know, the tremendous amount of women legislators from this area, even though yourself --4 Three of us got defeated last time. 5 MS. VIKEN: But -- in a number of the industries 6 MR. WALSH: 7 that have moved into the Rapid City area that employ women, 8 have women managers today. MS. VIKEN: And I do not for a minute 9 10 underestimate that there has been a lot of progress made. I 11 really know there has been, and I know that there is a lot 12 of good people, employers who are working hard to make a 13 difference. And you're right, I don't want to discredit 14 that, but I came here today because the charge you had was 15 to look at how effective it is. 16 So I decided I was going to be real honest with you about what I saw as the problem. But you're right, had 17 18 I enough time to focus on some of those things, I would 19 clearly tell you that I worked with a lot of employers out 20 here who are great people and who are working hard to make a 21 difference positively. 22 I want to thank you, Linda, and I MS. BURNETTE: 23 hope some that the rest of day there will be other 24 presenters coming before us that will be able to highlight

some of those things.

Our next presenter is Bobbi Brown, Program

Administrator, Child Care Services from the South Dakota

Department of Vocational Services.

MS. BROWN: One point I would like to clarify initially is I'm with the Department of Social Services, not Vocational Services. I don't know how we got that mixed up, Bill, but Child Care Services is a program in the Department of Social Services. My job as program administrator of Child Care Services came about in the state two years ago with a passage at the national level of the Child Care Development Block Grant, which was funding which came to states to work on the improving quality affordability and accessibility of child care.

In South Dakota, I'm sure if you've seen the recent news articles, we rank number one in the percentage per capita of women that work outside the home with children under the age of six, and for women that work outside the home with children six to 18. Statistically, 71.3 percent of our women who work with children under the age of six, work outside the home, compared to the national average of 57.9 percent.

For woman with children six to 18 in South Dakota, 81.8 percent of our women work, compared to approximately 67 percent nationally. We also rank in South Dakota number two in the number of families where there are two parents that

work outside of the home, so as we've had this movement of women into the work force, the issue of child care has escalated dramatically in this state.

The need for child care -- I did a study about two years ago of cities and counties in relationship to the availability of child care. It is crucial everywhere.

There are specific points that we know of in the state where it's more critical than others, and we have recruitment campaigns going on and training and we're trying to rectify some of that, but we have a long ways to go, so availability is an issue for women, for families when it comes to child care.

The cost of child care also is an issue for families. I looked at the average cost of child care in the state and compared that to a family that would make \$30,000 a year. To have one child in child care would consume 10 percent of their income. If they had two children in child care, it would consume 20 percent of their income. It costs approximately three times more to have a preschooler in child care full-time than what it does to pay for undergraduate tuition at state institutions.

So it is a major investment for families in a state where wages are at the bottom in this country. What we see in child care as women are moving into the work force to support themselves and their families is that often times

(605) 348-8610

1-800-456-0831

women are forced to leave children home alone. We've seen a rise in the juvenile crime rate. Older children, right now the average child being left home alone in South Dakota is eight years of age, which is pretty scary when you think of eight-year-olds home alone.

But the fact is that a lot of families, whether you're two-parent or single-parent have a difficult time paying for child care. The other thing that woman do is they quit employment and stay out of the work force because they simply cannot afford child care. And while the cost of child care exceeds the ability that families have to pay for that care, child care providers in this state are the lowest paid workers that we have, so it's really a Catch-22.

I'd like to visit with you a little bit about
Child Care Services and what we do and what our mission is.

As I indicated before, Child Care Services came about in
1991 authorized by the legislature and became an office
officially July 1, again, to address the issues of
affordability, quality, and accessibility. Over the last
two years, we've served 2,100 families.

We have a Direct Assistance Program, and I brought you some brochures and I'll leave these with you, Bill, for your distribution. We have a Direct Assistance Program.

This is for families who are not AFDC but just need assistance with their child care in order to stay in the

work force. You must be working or going to school.

It is income based. We go to 150 percent to the federal poverty level. So, for example, a family of four, a gross yearly income cannot exceed \$21,000. The families apply -- we have a different system than other Social Services programs in the state. Families apply to a centralized office, so we don't have field staff.

Our administrative costs in this program are minimal, and it was designed that way to reserve a majority of the money for the families. We do have a 1-800 number. Our applications are in local Social Services offices or they can call the 1-800 number and we mail them.

I believe we have overcome the problem of accessibility to our program. Other states are now looking at a similar organizational structure to minimize administrative costs. Families apply, and then all families on our program must pay a portion of their child care costs. We don't pay 100 percent, but it's based on a sliding fee scale of their income, and the number of people that they have, family members they have in their household. As I indicated, we go to 150 percent of the federal poverty level.

Food stamps go to a high of only 133, so we go a little bit beyond that. Our program is designed to prevent families from going on AFDC or those that are coming off,

helping them to stay off and not go back on. Nationally, two issues keep women out of the work force. One is transportation and the other is child care, so this program is designed to help keep families in the work force.

We do have -- the majority of our families that we serve, currently we have 1,300 active cases, on a monthly basis 2,300 children, spending right now about \$200,000 a month in assistance to families, but it's not solely for single parents.

We have two parents in the work force that still qualify for assistance. The majority of our cases are single parents; the majority of our cases are women. I just want you to understand that in South Dakota we have cases where both mom and dad work and they still qualify.

As I had indicated, in our program you need to work or go to school. The majority of our assistance cases, families work, and what I find interesting as I look at cases individually, is a number of cases where it's not only one job but it's two jobs. It's not going to school full time if you're just going to school. It's going to school and two or three part-time jobs just trying to survive.

I'll leave these child care brochures for you,
Bill. And if you would like to have more of them, I did
bring a copy of our application if you would like to see
that. It's three pages, pretty straightforward, for you to

look at, Bill, if you would like that I will send that.

The other issues, we think that we impact with our Direct Assistance Program the affordability issue for families, obviously, and also quality and being able to access higher quality programs, because they do have some assistance. In the area of availability and quality, those three topics intermesh, and sometimes it's difficult for us to separate them. But we also have a grant program for child care providers and individuals interested in providing services to children.

In over the past two years, we've awarded a million and a half dollars in grants to providers in the state to meet minimal health and safety standards so that children are cared for and when families leave their children in these facilities, they know that smoke detectors work and there's fire extinguishers and they have an escape plan and that the facility has met standards.

We have funding that funds early childhood education programs, before and after-school programs, which are critical in many areas of our state, and then we have funds that we spend training, and we believe that that's the key to improving quality. Knowing that when a family leaves a child, their children in a facility, that they are a much more productive employee.

There's less absenteeism and less turnover if they

feel good about where that child is. Over the last two years we've seen a 33 percent increase in the number of providers in the state that have met those health and safety standards. It's not a guarantee that it's a perfect system, but it does say that these people have met these standards.

As I indicated before, we have some recruitment efforts going on in geographic areas in the state, lack of child care to meet the demands. Also there is the specific types of child care. Infant care, care for special needs children, care in rural areas, and also what we call shift worker care.

If you're employed from 6:00 in the evening to 2:00, or if you have a nighttime shift, care in those areas is very difficult to find. The majority of our providers still provide care 7:30 to 5:30, so if you happen to be in one of those industries, shift worker care is very difficult to find. And I think historically what happened is if you have that type of employment, you still had access to a family member but as our population has moved, we've lost those support systems that are no longer there.

We feel that we've done a good job, but we recognize that we've only taken baby steps and we have a long ways to go. The Department of Social Services and the Governor's office is very supportive of our efforts. In Bill's letter to me, he asked that I look at what

recommendations I might have. I think certainly a continuation of the efforts that we started in our office.

Some of the issues that I hear from women are a desire to have a flex schedule, to meet the needs of the children schedule. Maybe job sharing or part-time employment. Most women in this state that I visit with really have a desire to spend time with their children and are concerned about what's going on in their children's lives.

And as I work more with employers, my goal is to help them to see the importance of allowing flex schedules so that that mom's or dad's, whoever, can meet the needs of the job but also the needs that the children have. And, I guess, the final thing that we look at, I know I had a couple of newspapers call me when the articles came out about the percentage of women working and why it is that, and I think the Labor Department responded adequately: When you look at wages in this state, it's not really a choice for women.

Women are working just to survive in this state, and that doesn't matter whether you're a single parent or married. For a lot of our families it's just basic putting food and rent, having some kind of health care, medical insurance.

I really appreciate the opportunity to visit with

1.8

1 These are kind of my ideas. And I wanted to share you. 2 with you where we started. And, again, I appreciate the 3 time, and I would be happy to answer any questions you might 4 have. 5 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you, Bobbi. We have about 6 five minutes to ask questions, so unless your questions are 7 specifically targeted to Bobbi's program and what her 8 testimony was -- David. 9 MR. VOLK: Bobbi, the federal government, how do 10 you interact with the federal government and what they do in 11 this area? Do they do anything? 12 MS. BROWN: The majority of our funding comes from 13 the federal government and over the last year and a half, 14 I've had the opportunity to serve on a ten-member advisory 15 board to the federal government on the Child Care 16 Development Block Grant and early childhood programs. 17 issue for states in this is that there are currently five 18 funding streams for child care and the paperwork and the 19 reporting is overwhelming. 20 I feel sometimes I spend so much time doing 21 paperwork and reports that it's really difficult to really 22 work programs and develop programs, so what we've worked 23 with them on is streamlining the funding sources into one.

MR. VOLK: Five federal sources of revenue?

MS. BROWN: Five different reporting requirements,

24

1	different eligibility requirements.
2	MS. BURNETTE: What does the state give you?
3	MS. BROWN: The state gives me matched federal
4	money.
5	MS. BURNETTE: 50/50?
6	MS. BROWN: No, right now it's at the FMAP rate,
7	federal Medicaid rate. And right now I think that's about
8	26 percent of the state dollars, 74 percent. The Child Care
9	and Development Block Grant is 100 percent federal.
10	MS. BUTLER: Bobbi, are you directly involved in
11	quality insurance?
12	MS. BROWN: Yës, we license all child care
13	facilities in the state, and we fund a tremendous amount of
14	last year, I can't tell you how many numbers, dollar-wise
15	it was at \$50,000. Yesterday and today there is a major
16	training going on in Sioux Falls. It's a training, the
17	trainer's concept to train child care providers to care for
18	special needs children. If you have a special needs
19	children, care is almost nonexistent.
20	MS. BUTLER: Facilities as well?
21	MS. BURNETTE: Can I just interrupt. We can
22	continue with the questions, we might have a little bit of
23	luxury time if Jeff Stingley is not here.
24	MS. SCHIPPER: Excuse me, Jeff was not able to
25	attend, so I am Jeff Stingley today.

THOMPSON REPORTING 1-800-456-0831 (605) 348-8610 MS. BROWN: We also do parent education and this
is how you choose quality child care.

MR. POPOVICH: This committee heard from Joanne Redlin over in Sioux Falls, that the lobbyists, there's 575 lobbyists, and out of those she is one of the only lobbyists that's worked on child care issues and women's issues.

Do you feel that the legislature listens to your causes in these issues, employment for women and child care?

Also, are there any laws now presently on the books that you would like to see passed by the legislature that would help?

MS. BROWN: I think that what I've seen historically in child care is that before Child Care Services became a program, an official program in the Department of Social Services and the state government, there was a major lobbying effort. Now, in the last two years we've seen less of that, because our office deals directly with advocates and works with them to provide child care in the state.

There are currently in -- South Dakota Coalition for Children has a work group on child care that we were working with. I'm certain it could be more, I think, information shared about child care and children in general, children's programs in this state. As far as specific laws, child care is licensed in this state as child welfare, Child Welfare Agency, and we're working to look at that and to see

how that impacts child care as an industry, versus, like, a residential treatment facility.

Our big push in the next year will be to work with employers to try to encourage them, share with them. I'm meeting with Economic Development Committee not next week, the following week, to share information with employers about what options they have, what they can do. Often times, I think, employers don't want to do anything in the area of child care, because they don't know very much about it.

The only solution they see is building an on-site child care facility. They can't afford it, don't understand how it's run. Nationally, those programs haven't been successful. When they built on-site child care facilities verely but use their employers kind of use them, other options.

MS. BURNETTE: I have one last question and perhaps if you don't have the information, we can get some of it sent to Bill, because I think it would be interesting, and that is: Other than just raw data, what's the length of time a child spends in this program? You said you had 1,300 cases. What's the demographic make up of those 1,300? How many are tribal? Nontribal? How many are handicapped? Special need?

MS. BROWN: I don't have all of those numbers, but I can certainly share those with you. When the state

received funding to begin this program there were six tribes that also received money to begin programs and we worked very closely with them. They decided that they wished to seek funding from the federal government and have been successful in their program. We worked very closely with the tribes. So there are tribal programs and then there are state programs and families can access either program.

MS. BURNETTE: And we don't have the luxury of time, but I would be interested, and I'm sure the Committee would be, to know where out of that 1300 that concentration is and where your need is, which is where? I assume, your recoupment efforts are going on is where the need is, the Child Care Services, so we would be interested in knowing where. And that, I think that would tell us where women are in the work place. Thank you very much for sharing that with us.

MS. BURNETTE: Our next presenter is

Jeff Stingley's representative who is the secretary for the

South Dakota Department of Commerce and Regulations. If you

will introduce yourself and tell us why Jeff sent you.

MS. SCHIPPER: I am Jeannette Schipper. I am the business manager for the Department of Commerce and Regulation. And Jeff, being the department secretary, sometimes is not able to put his time where he wants to put it, and he did intend to come but something came up so I am

1 the last minute replacement. I have been with the Department of Geng 2 3 Regulation for 13 years and have worked with the Division of Human Rights over the years in the areas of budget and personnel, and very recently with funding administrations of 5 6 the Human Rights Division in addition to my other 7 responsibilities. So as far as the inner workings of the division, I am very new and I do have a lot to learn. And 8 9 the little bit I do learn, I learn, but I have more to 10 learn 11 But I understand what you wanted today was 12 basically about --13 THE COURT REPORTER: Ma'am, can you speak a little 14 louder, please. 15 AUDIENCE SPEAKER: Excuse me. Can you put the 16 microphone in? 17 In 1972, the South Dakota MS. SCHIPPER: 18 legislature passed the South Dakota Human Relations Act of 19 1972, which was effective July 1st of that year and is published in \*\*Qualified Laws\* Title 20, Chapter 13 and it 20 21 prohibits discrimination because of race, color, creed, ancestry, disability, religion, sex, and national origin. 22 23 to employment practices, labor union 24 membership\* and services, housing accommodations, education, 25 public accommodations, public services, and property rights.

It also makes discrimination illegal against anyone who has filed a complaint for the Division of Human Rights or who has testified on a matter before the Division, or who has assisted the division in investigating. The South Dakota Human Relations Act of 1972 applies to everyone with few exceptions.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are allowances made for religious institutions in the areas of employment and education as exemption well as protection for sex discrimination for voluntary youth services organizations, veteran\*s organizations, fraternities, sororities, and father/son, mother/daughter The commission, like other state agencies, may against not enforce the laws 🕰 the federal government nor assert tribes or jurisdiction over tribal-owned enterprises. Relations Act of 1972 created the Commission on Human Rights, and that's a five-member commission. Ivera Harris, here from Rapid City; Doug Evenstad and Karen Gerdes from Pierre; Gordon Jones, and Eric Rasmussen from Brookings are the current members of that commission.

They are appointed by the Governor for a four-year term. They are not state employees they are, however, individuals who are very devoted to the responsibilities to which they have been assigned. Their basic responsibility, division along with the basic responsibility of the individual, is to the promote these laws to the administration entire importance.

of the Human Relations Act of 1972.

works to permanently correct any discrimination practices.

The Commission, a commissioner, the Attorney General, or a state's attorney may initiate a complaint and investigation if they believe the South Dakota Human Relations Act has been violated.

The Commission holds public hearings on complaints

finds a complaint justified, it does seek settlement and

The division investigates complaints and if it

for a determination where probable cause is made and where a settlement could not be reached by contacting the affiliation or the staff members. Where the Commission issues finds a violation as a result of the hearing, it is the and to prevent orders to correct the discrimination practice after the event of the occurrence.

They can grant equitable relief in the form of back pay and reinstatement. They can only provide for attorney's fees and housing actions. The commission has chosen to meet on a quarterly basis. The next meeting is in December via the RDD Network. The division and employees do provide technical assistance to employers, real estate agents and landlords, labor unions and others interested parties in affirmative action and voluntary compliance as well.

And they also provide public information, and we

work with individuals in civil professional groups and civil rights groups to promote equal opportunity.

And I'm sure that you're all aware of the act and what it does cover. In employment, it's unlawful to hire, lay off an employee, or treat persons differently in terms and conditions of their employment because of race, color, creed, religion, sex (including pregnancy), which was recently ancestry, disability, and national origin. Currently, the Division of Human Rights does have a director of investigation and an investigator.

The division has undergone some turbulent times in the past few years. Our past division director Beth Pay, a little over two years ago found that she had cancer and fought long, hard battles against that iteme, but in the end, she did lose the war and we lost Beth last spring.

During that time it was difficult for Beth to work on a full-time basis when she was fighting her illness and receiving treatment, so we did go through some rough times then. At the same time that we did lose Beth, Beth was unable to work full-time, we lost our seasoned investigator, who chose to move out of the state, so we have had some rough times and we are working to recover from those times.

When we lost talk, he moved out of state, we were able to hire Bill O'Toole as the new investigator who,

Louis
of course, had to be trained in the Human Rights office and

the workings of the state and the workings of the laws, and has done very well and we're very pleased with Bill.

During the latter part of Beth's illness, during the last legislative session, we also lost one FTE in the corresponding budget dollars, so there we were losing an FTE, a very ill director, and a new investigator. So we've been struggling. During the legislative session, we did receive some dollars to get some construction and contractual investigation and that is working out very well. When we lost Beth, we advised then for another investigator and and behold the individual who had left the state and who had left the Division of Human Rights had returned and was looking for work and wanted to come back to Human Rights, so we were able to hire him.

So right now we do have two employees working in the division, both in the investigative process. As far as well and discrimination, we average about 50 charges that we have to investigate each year. It was 54 in federal fiscal year 1992; it was 52 in federal fiscal year 1989. I was unable to get you the '93 figures, because as our luck will sometimes have it, our computer crashed and I couldn't get those for you. The two investigators work very hard, are very dedicated and very thorough in their work. Most of their intake is done via the telephone.

√ They take the initial complaint

from the

from t

charging party, forward it on to the respondent, who is given an opportunity to respond and from there an investigation ensues. Because of some of the hard times that we have faced in that division, we do have a backlog and our current caseload is over 200 cases, That doesn't includeCall of the areas we must investigate.

We do have a contract with the Equal Employment

Opportunity Commission, who provides us some federal dollars

based on that contract. The way is contract is determined to the
they look at the number of cases we completed between July 1

and June 30th of the prior year and determine what the
contract will be for the upcoming October through September.

We have for the 1994 fiscal year, a contract for 54 cases, I
hope.

I haven't heard any differently. As I understand the regional office is affording us in that contract, after the end of the third quarter they will reevaluate and maybe give us an upward modification of that contract. This year, with the contractual help and with the fact that we have two seasoned investigators on the staff and having moved some of the administrative duties out of that office to free them up to be able to handle their caseload, we're hoping that we're going to go way past that contract and work towards getting more federal budget dollars coming into the state of South Dakota.

1 I think that's about all that I have to say, and I thank you for putting up with me instead of Jeff. If you 2 3 have any questions that I can answer, I will answer them. If you have any questions that I can't answer, I'll make a 4 5 phone call and find the answer. MR. VOLK: What is your general fund dollars from 6 7 the state? What was your budget last year? Do you know 8 what that was? 9 MS. SCHIPPER: In fiscal year '94, which just 10 started July 1st, our general fund dollars are about \$90,000 11 and our federal fund authority is \$20,000. That will change 12 next year. We try to monitor what federal funds we expect, 13 so it changes year to year. 14 MS. KIM:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is it including staff wages or salaries? MS. SCHIPPER: Yes.

In terms of time, you say 200 MR. MULDROW: backlogged cases. In terms of time, what does that involve?

MS. SCHIPPER: It's not all backlog. It's the current caseload, and there is a backlog within that. We receive probably over 50 charges each year, but we do have some that are getting quite old. We measure them in increments, and I can't give you the number, some that are probably 270 days old. We're feeling good that we're forging ahead right now, but it is going to take time. not something that's going to be taken care of overnight.

1	
1	MR. VOLK: Did you say who the new director is?
2	MS. SCHIPPER: We do not have a director. The
3	Commission, the Department, the division employees, and the
4	EEOC kind of got together and did a fact-finding, its a
5	brainstorming session, and the decision was made that
6	probably the best thing to do, because of the hardship that
7	we had experienced, was to focus in on investigation and
8	focusing on settling many of these cases we have, there; so,
9	therefore, that is why I have the administrative side. We
10	don't have a director as such.
11	MS. BURNETTE: Phyllis has a question.
12	MS. OLD DOG CROSS : Do you handle complaints
13	from reservations the same as you would from a
14	nonreservation area?
15	MS. SCHIPPER: As I understand it, we do not have
16	jurisdiction over tribes and tribal-owned enterprises. I
17	don't know if that's one thing I would have to check for
18	you. Like I said, I'm kind of on the administrative side
19	and have a lot to learn.
20	MR. POPOVICH: Out of those 50 charges, that was
21	in 1992 I understand?
22	MS. SCHIPPER: Federal fiscal year 1992, 52
23	charges.
24	MR. POPOVICH: How many of those were job-related?
25	MS. SCHIPPER: I can't tell you. We don't break

1	it down into those areas. It would include harassment,
2	discrimination and hiring. And it would include housing, so
3	I cannot break it down.
4	MR. POPOVICH: On a follow-up, you have two
5	investigators that service this whole area, service South
6	Dakota? Sioux Falls
7	MS. SCHIPPER: Yes, there is a South Daketa Human
8	Relations Commission also that services Sioux Falls and then
9	the Rapid City Human Relations.
10	MR. POPOVICH: So, I guess, generally you feel
11	under-funded, wouldn't you, that you can't take care of all
12	these cases?
13	MS. SCHIPPER: Well, we did go through some hard
14	times with Beth's illness, which was a very long, lengthy
15	illness and with turnover in the staff, that has put us
16	back. We are working with contractors right now, and we
17	feel we are going to be forging ahead.
18	MR. VOLK: The backlog is essentially due to
19	what's gone on with the turnover. Generally, you have in
20	the past kept up with your caseloads.
21	MS. SCHIPPER: There's always going to be a
22	backlog, just because of the nature of the business. You're
23	waiting for responses from respondents or witnesses or

24

25

whatever. We've always had somewhat of a backlog, and

that's going to happen under the circumstances, definitely.

I did just go along with the Bill O'Toole, who is the 1 director of investigations to a meeting of the EEOC, for the 2 division, and we are not the only State with a 3 MR. MULDROW: Jeannette, to follow up on what you 4 5 said, I think that the one complaint that I have seen most 6 is the long waiting period entailed after a complaint is filed and it has a chilling affect on other women filing 7 8 complaints because they say it takes such a long time that by the time all the investigating is involved it's almost, I 9 10 mean, you know, I sense something different from what you're saying that aside from the inner turmoil that you had on the 11 12 staff you are able to satisfactorily keep up. 13 14 15

MS. SCHIPPER: No, I'm saying that there is always a backlog, and currently we do have quite a backlog. It has run into where there a several coessions that are overrun 270 the 70 day period. We have and working with the EEOC where we are going to have to try to work from different stages from the backlog to relieve that. Sometimes you can't always get to the backlog because a new case will come in and that goes right along.

MR. MULDROW: At one time the Commission had a lot more staff. Do you feel that the level of resources you have now is adequate to meet the need in the state in terms of complaints that people have?

MS. SCHIPPER: I work for the state of South

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	
1	Dakota. We have, in the upcoming budget, requested the
2	reinstatement of one FTE and the corresponding dollars to go
3	with that. I don't know what the future of that is.
4 j	MS. BUTLER: Did you indicate that there is a
5	backlog of 200 cases?
6	MS. SCHIPPER: A current caseload of about 200
7	cases, not necessarily a backlog of 200 cases.
8	MS. BUTLER: And your total staff consists of
9	two
10	MS. SCHIPPER: Investigators.
11	MS. BUTLER: There was a question about the number
12	of women or minorities on the staff currently employed?
13	MS. SCHIPPER: Right now, our two employees are
14	gentleman, white males, but they are very dedicated
15	individuals.
16	MS. BUTLER: I understand that and appreciate
17	that.
18	MS. SCHIPPER: On the Commission, we do have two
19	women. One of them is a black woman. We have a Native
20	American gentleman also on the commission.
21	MS. BURNETTE: I have a couple of questions. It
22	sounds to me we heard in Sioux Falls near rage about EEOC's
23	lack of responsiveness to this state's needs, and what I
24	hear you saying from your testimony is that you're in
25	somewhat of a Catch-22. The number of cases that you settle

I should his some 54

is dependent on how much money you get as claimed in your

contractual agreement with the EEOC and what comes back into

your office.

It sounds like you're in a pretty tight position here. What is your total operating budget and out of that, how much is EEOC? And how much in percentages and what does the state general fund give you, and with that we heard in Sioux Falls that there have been little or no efforts to go before the state legislature.

And what was required was an immense lobbying effort to empower your organization to do what you have been charged by that very legislature to do in turning out your work. What efforts have been made, that you're aware of, to put your office to full function order, where people's complaints can be met expediently, and what do you, other than, what, one FTE, what is it as a state employee do you feel you need to make that office work better for all citizens in South Dakota?

MS. SCHIPPER: The first question you had was dealing with the EEOC and a Catch-22. Like I said, the contract is determined based on the completion of cases from July 1 to June 30th for the upcoming October through September. When we did experience the loss of the FTE, the EEOC regional office director did come down and participate in the brainstorming session to pursue our caseload with the

current staff and what plans were made for the future.

We have increased our caseload. We were at 37 cases at the end the third quarter of the last agreement. They did give us an modification of a couple of cases, so there wasn't a lot of money coming. The current budget that we are operating out of right now is \$81,100 grant on funds and \$19,300 federal funds.

In the next year with our case contract being 54

cases, we are hoping to increase the federal funding a

little bit, but because we've asked for the reinstatement,

we are achief for an upward increase of the federal

fundament and it is a Catch-22.

I did talk to the regional director just earlier this week, and we have informed him that we are going to be working very hard to more than fulfill that contract so we can get out of that Catch-22 when it comes to federal fiscal year '95. Rive been in more cases where people have shown? they are working on it and hopefully at that time after this contract, we will have more federal dollars coming in.

As far as what we can do to get the division full steam ahead, our current investigators and contract help are working. They are making progress. They are submitting 15 cases this month to the EEOC alone. And that doesn't count cases that we would complete or close that are not EEOC cases. Of course, we would like to have the FTE back that

we did lose last legislative session, because right now we 1 are working without support staff, and we 2 3 brainstorming sessions that we should go with the investigative staff totally. 4 5 As we have two investigators working alone, we 6 find that staff is very, very vital just for the computer work that needs to be done, so we hope that we get 7 that support staff and I think that's going to make a big 8 9 difference. As to whether or not we would still continue 10 with the administrative functions as care of outside (the Divisions) the division to free up their time to work on the budgetary 11 12 istues. I do not know. 13 MS. BURNETTE: Let me clarify. If you had one 14 more FTE, you feel that that would be adequate to handle the 15 caseload that you have? 16 MS. SCHIPPER: I don't know, personally, myself 17 I do know that the one FTE what would be adequate. 18 reinstated would be extremely helpful. Support staff is 19 necessary. 20 Thank you very much for coming MS. BURNETTE: 21 today. 22 I would like to know, are there MR. VAN PATTEN: any changes in the law that you think would be necessary in 23 24 order to make your division more effective, or is it, at

this point, a matter of funding alone?

MS. SCHIPPER: We have just started a review

process of legislation in the Department and no changes are

being sought at this time. In the Division of Human Rights,

it doesn't cover age, but we will not be approaching that.

MS. KIM: I have one question, if I may. When

does the case become backlogged? And what is your oldest

backlog dated? And what is your average time taking to

close the cases?

MS. BURNETTE: B.J., maybe that's information that Mr. Muldrow can get.

MS. SCHIPPER: Yes, that would be better, because I don't know.

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much for coming.

Before we lose some of our public this morning, I would like to invite all of you to take the "Employment Discrimination of Women in South Dakota" legislative handbook. This is the result of the first phase of this committee's work was compiling federal, state, and local laws and ordinances that govern women's protection in the work place and their civil rights. It also has a resource directory in the back of it where we can go to file complaints. So this was the first phase of our project and we're in the midst of the second phase of our project at this point, so we don't want to let you go without mentioning this pretty valuable document.

And that of which John Van Patten deserves a great deal of

1 credit for his expertise in putting this together. MR. MULDROW: Copies are available in the back. 2 3 MS. BURNETTE: We're going to be taking a 15 minute break. We'll be at back at five minutes to eleven. 4 5 (Recess.) I would like to call our forum back 6 MS. BURNETTE: 7 to order, please. Our next presenter this morning is here 8 and he's on a tight time frame as well. I would encourage 9 you, Dennis, if Stephanie can't hear you, and she's from New 10 York, a recent resident to Rapid City, so to articulate. 11 It might be helpful, if you're not uncomfortable, 12 to stand at the microphone so that we don't have that 13 difficulty. Our next presenter is Dennis Hull, and he's a 14 civil rights program manager for the Division of Finance for 15 the South Dakota Department of Transportation. Welcome, 16 Dennis. 17 MR. HULL: Good morning. I guess as a sideline, 18 we were talking in the hall and some of the faces are 19 familiar. I think it was about eight or nine years ago we 20 were up at the college talking about minority business 21 programs and many of the faces on the committee are still 22 here. 23 Members of the committee, Director Muldrow. 24 name is Dennis Hull. I am a manager of the Civil Rights 25 Program of the South Dakota Department of Transportation.

The Department is pleased to be a part of your briefing

forum today. And, as I indicated, we don't want to make it

eight or nine years between visits if we can.

As an employer and as a recipient of federal funds, the South Dakota Department of Transportation, of necessity, must meet a number of what we broadly term civil rights requirements, equal opportunity in employment and bidding, nondiscrimination in the use of federal monies, opportunity for disadvantaged business enterprises to participate in federally assisted contracts, on-the-job training programs for minorities and females, and enforcement of EEO and nondiscrimination requirements for contractors and sub-recipients of the department.

The Civil Rights Program in the Department is responsible for the development and implementation of the in-house programs necessary to carry out these mandates.

Many of these requirements have been integrated into the regular procedures and process of the Department and the role of the Civil Rights Program is not so much to manage but to monitor performance, provide information to the Department executives and provide the required reports for the federal Highway Administration, which is the lead agency for many of these programs and which funds, of course, the largest part of our activity.

The department also works with the federal

assistance programs of the Federal Transit Administration,
Federal Aviation Administration, or the Federal Railroad
Administration, all of which are component agencies of the
U.S. Department of Transportation. Today I'm going to
provide you with some information relative to the employment
of women in four areas with which the Department is
involved.

First is employment of women within the highway construction industry. Second, the participation of women in the on-the-job training program, which is a component of our Federal-aid Construction Program. Third, the Department employment of females in nontraditional roles. And then fourth, I will briefly touch on the participation of women business enterprises in the Department construction contracting.

I have provided a packet of materials for each of you, and the first item in the packet of materials is a copy of an employment report form, which is filed by each contractor and subcontractor who has a contract of \$10,000 or more on a Federal-aid project and who is working during the month of July. In other words, it's a snapshot picture. There is a separate report for each contractor for every project that they work on, so we end up with some seven or 800 reports.

We compile those individual reports into a

comprehensive report for the Federal Highway Administration reporting total employment by race and gender in each of those job categories shown. It is from these reports that I have taken the information on female employment, which is found on the second page in your packet.

This page is a summary report covering the years since 1980. Please note, however, that 1985 is missing. I couldn't find the report for that year. I did not attempt to analyze all of the job categories listed but selected those four categories which include most of the female employees, the equipment operators, truck drivers, semi-skilled laborers, and unskilled laborers.

But I should mention that there are women in most of those other categories from time to time, but those are the four largest. Because the actual number of employees, both total and female and their distribution among the various categories varied so much from year to year, it might be best if we use percentage as a more valid measure of participation.

For example, in 1980 females accounted for 9.1 percent of total contractor employment. That's in Column 4, which they're not numbered but the fourth column from the left. In 1993 this percentage increased slightly to 9.3 percent but in the intervening years, it ranged from a low of 5.7 percent and a high of 9.5 percent.

Quite frankly, before I began reviewing this data closely, I had expected to find a generally upward trend in this percentage from 1980 to the present, but as you can see this is not the case. Contractors have pretty consistently been employing females on highway construction projects somewhat in excess of their parent availability, which is based on the census data and in excess of the overall employment goal set by the U.S. Department of Labor, which is currently 6.9 percent. In other words, I had expected to see the lower figure back in the 1980's and then gradually come up, but it's been fairly good all the way across.

Taking a look at some specific categories, in the equipment operators categories, we see that the average participation is 3 percent of the equipment operators.

About 10 percent of the women who are working are in that category. If we toss out the low of 1 percent and the high of 5.1 percent in this group, we find the participation has remained fairly steady at about 2 1/2 to 3 percent.

When we move over to truck drivers, we see much by drawation in the same pattern. Quite a participation rate ranging from about 2 percent to a little over 6 percent, pulling an average right around about 4 percent. Even wider swings in both actual numbers and in percentage rates become apparent when looking at the semi-skilled laborers. However, this is not a precise definition. There is not a precise definition

for this category. It becomes almost a contractor's decision as to which laborers might be considered semi-skilled and which are classed as unskilled.

I would expect that we would find air-tool operators, for example, in this semi-skilled group, people who work with such things as rock drills, concrete chippers and so on. But contractors might also include pipe layers, landscape workers, pilot car drivers in here. I have no way of knowing. Regardless, you will note that the numbers are fairly small for the semi-skilled group averaging somewhat less than 17 persons or about 7.6 percent of that category.

By far, the largest single employment category for females is that of unskilled laborer. This would include common laborers, flaggers, might also include, depending upon the contractor, pilot car drivers, landscape workers, and maybe even again some air-tool operators. Here the numbers range from a high of 136 women or 30 percent of group down to 42 persons or less than 14 percent of the group. Averages are 83 and 20 percent respectively.

The last two columns on the right are the total of these four categories that we've been talking about. In 1993, for example, the number of women in these four categories total 197 or almost 94 percent of all the females reported that year. The other 6 percent would be scattered in other categories. These four categories do account for

the bulk of female participation in contractor work force.

If I were to draw any general conclusions from this data, I would say first, the contractors, in my 25timation information, have done a good job in involving women in what has traditionally been a men's world, that of highway construction. There have been modest improvements in the participation of women in the more skilled and higher paid positions over the years. I'm sure a skilled statistician would probably be able to discern other patterns and trends here that I have missed, but, I guess, I have always been pretty proud of the contractors for their consistent efforts over the years.

It is worth noting, too, I think, that female employment is higher for some types of contractors than others, just given the nature of the work involved. So the mix of projects which is going on during July of any one year has a lot to do with what the actual participation of women in the contractor work force will be that year.

For example, bridge builders have a very difficult time attracting and retaining women due to the fact that there is a considerable amount of heavy physical labor associated with bridge building, placement of forms, moving and placing and reinforcing steel or placing concrete.

And normally bridge builders rely on temporary detours and the use of signs and traffic cones around their

work sites, so they're not going to have flaggers either.

So the opportunity for women with that group is very small,
and if you happen to get a summer where you're building a
lot of bridges in July, the women numbers are going to be
down, at least I would expect to see them down.

One of the responsibilities I have is to conduct compliance reviews on selected projects each year to verify contractor compliance with EEO requirements of the contracts. I have included in your packet an outline of those requirements, which my office prepared for use by contractors in putting together their own EEO programs, and I should mention, I could make a separate pitch here, I think, myself that federal government, in its infinite wisdom, at one time had three sets of EEO requirements in their contracts all generally the same, but varying some in detail.

We're now finding down to two, and if we could ever get it down to one so they would all be in one spot, it would help. That was the purpose of the outline was to try to consolidate some of those requirements. The outline identifies each of the requirements that have to be met for federal-aid highway projects.

I have also included one of the sets of EEO contract provisions, because this is the one which shows the specific minority and female employment goals for projects.

These items are included for your information. As a result of EEO reviews which we conduct during the construction season, contractor efforts in terms of meeting employment goals, our experience and finding indicates that contractors have consistently demonstrated good efforts to try and locate and recruit female applicants for available positions.

One of the problems we have and I say we meaning the Department and the contractors, is that women have not organized the types of organizations similar to that minorities have for job placement. There is no female equivalent, for example, of the United Sioux Tribes or others. For example, United Sioux Tribes has become a very useful recruiting device for us in areas outside of the reservation.

They may refer some females, but they are not primarily set up as a female referral organization. At one time, for example, I had hoped that the women's resource centers which were developing would be able to fill that niche, but they have tended to focus on different problems, different areas such as family programs, spouse and child abuse, and they are not really structured right now to become an employment referral source. So contractors have to pretty much rely on the old Job Service in most areas of the state as being their best employment referral source.

′

Another facet of contractor employment is the on-the-job training which takes place on selected projects. These projects are selected by the Department based on the suitability for handling OJT's. Specifically, of course, we're looking for projects whose scope and duration would likely permit an individual to complete a training program.

I included in your materials a copy of the Department training program. I'm not going to review the details here but mention only two parts of it. First, the intent of the program is to train persons historically under-utilized in the construction industry, minorities and females.

Secondly, the contractor makes the ultimate selection of the training program to be used of the five which are available, and he selects the person to be trained. The selection of the individual, however, must meet with the approval of my office to ensure we are hitting targeted groups. The OJT Program has been in existence in the Department since mid 1970s but our permanent records only cover the years since 1980. These records are summarized in the sheet in your packet which follows the training program.

We have had 388 persons enroll in the OJT program since 1980. Ninety-two or about one fourth of the number have been women. Their distribution in the training program

shows six in the heavy equipment category, four in medium equipment -- excuse me -- 71 in light equipment, seven as structure trainees and six in what we call general.

Of the 92 female enrollees, 54 have completed the program for a graduation rate of 58.7 percent. This is a bit higher than the male graduation rate of 160 out of 296. Twenty-five of these 92 women or 27 percent of them were minorities. Of that 25, 11 graduated for a graduation rate of 44 percent.

It appears that this proportion of females has been fairly consistent. About one fourth or slightly more of the trainees have been female. It will jump a little bit year to year. It is also understandable why the largest single group involved is enrolled is the light equipment program.

This would be the normal starting point for most persons who join a contractor work force in or out of the training program. You start with the equipment which is quickest to learn, which is less intimidating in terms of its complexity of operation or type of work involved and then over time gradually move on to operate the more complex equipment.

After looking at this data, the question might be asked, "If about one-fourth of your trainees are females, why don't contractor reports show a higher percentage of

women as equipment operators?" I can only answer based on conversations with contractors and workers not on the basis of any scientific survey.

We do a six month follow-up of trainees, but we're not set up to track graduates long-term, but part of the answer to the question is that contractor work forces are becoming less mobile, for maybe a lot of different reasons, but it seems like family situations with both males and females are a big factor these days.

Another factor which is not peculiar to either men or women is that employment in the highway construction industry is no longer seen as long-term. Contractors seem to have fewer and fewer of those employees who have been with them 10, 20, 30 years.

Even though construction industry wages are generally higher than the average nonconstruction job, the employment is seasonal, subject to weather, and the success of the contractor at bidding. It may be that workers are willing to sacrifice some dollars on the hourly wage side in exchange for stability and year-round employment.

To shift to another area, I would like to offer a few comments relative to Department employment. The South Dakota Department of Transportation is a large agency for the state with about 1,200 full-time employees. The Department work force constitutes about 15 or 16 percent of

the state payroll. Given the nature of the work we do, mainly highway construction, we historically had a fairly traditional work force. When I first came to the Department, in 1976, for example, there were no female engineers and there were no females on our maintenance crews. There were other positions like engineering techs, which also had little or no female representation. The employment of women was mostly confined those jobs which were viewed as traditionally female, clerks, typists, secretaries, and other office workers.

Beginning in the early 1980's the Department strengthened its efforts to attract females and minorities with some success, and I'm talking about females now in nontraditional roles. I've provided each of you with a copy of our current EEO/AAP, Affirmative Action Program, which we developed annually in accord with FHWA requirements. In this plan we analyze current work force and current census data availability and various job categories, and from that analysis we set higher goals and timetables.

On Page 9 of that plan is a summary of minority and female employment since 1982. You will see a fairly steady progression in female participation in nontraditional jobs from then, 1980 to 1993 and as of the date of the update, we had 79 women as engineers, technicians, accountants, maintenance workers and so on. In addition,

about the same number of females in the office and clerical positions. Progress on the minority side has been slower and remained almost static until the last three years when we instituted an Indian and/or female hiring preference plan for under-utilized positions. With both groups, however, we've had about 150 percent increase in participation over the last 10 or 11 years. The department has demonstrated that as an employer, we can make changes in the composition of our work force, and we can do it without wholesale disruption or traumatic revisions in the manner in which we go about recruiting and hiring employees.

Our goal is to have a work force representative of availability based on the state's civilian labor force and we're coming close to that goal. We currently utilize our employment preference policy, for example, for only a very small number of positions, and hopefully we will not need to maintain the preference beyond the current year.

There is one additional program which has some impact on the employment of women and that is the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise or DBE Program, particularly as it relates to WBE's, which are one group within the larger disadvantaged group. Beginning in 1983 we had Minority Business Enterprise or MBE Program, to throw another acronym at you, mandated by federal statute. This program was applicable to businesses owned and operated by

minorities, required the Department to establish a 10 percent goal for MBE participation in construction contracts.

We also had a voluntary WBE Program at that time with a participation goal of 2 percent. The MBE Program was continued and expanded by the 1987 Federal Transportation Legislation, and since 1987 the program has focused on socially and economically disadvantaged persons or DBE's. Women are now included within the definition of disadvantaged along with minorities, so the 10 percent goal now becomes inclusive of women.

The next page in your packet is a summary of activity since federal fiscal year 1984. This chart summarizes two types of federal-aid expenditures, which are used to measure goal accomplishment. Prime contract awards to DBE firms plus subcontract commitments or intended subcontract participation. And then that participation in turn is broken into two or three sub-categories Minority Business Enterprise male, which are MBE's; minority women MWBE's and then white women or WWBE's. The sub-categories are mandated by the federal highway so that we can track minority and female participation separately.

From '84 to through '86 total participation of both MBE's and WWBE's increased from about 11.79 percent to a high of 18 percent. With the inclusion of women firms

within the DBE definition beginning in 1987, there has been a gradual reduction in the proportion of DBE activity going to minority firms, either male or female, and a corresponding increase in the volume of business going to WWBE firms.

With the exception of 1990, the Department has always exceeded its 10 percent annual goal. It is a general assumption that congress intended to accomplish two things, I think, with the DBE Program. The first was to increase the number of minority or female entrepreneurs and increase their participation in a significant federally assisted program. This has obviously occurred.

The second was to increase the number of minority and female workers in the highway and construction industry under the assumption that minority and female owners were more likely to hire minorities and female workers respectively. This assumption seems to have held true for minority businesses in South Dakota, but probably not to the same extent for female businesses. They do not have reliable information going back over the years to support the impression that that's the general trend. It was true in '93, because I did check the data for that, but I cannot speak about prior years.

For example, minority firms had somewhere around 260 employees reported on that form that you saw at the

beginning, 60 some of whom were minorities. Women firms had about the same number of employees, seven of which were women. The department currently has 27 women-owned firms on the DBE roster. Now, that's white women because minorities, women can fit in either of the other categories. They go over here (indicating).

I've included a copy of the current directory in your packet for your information. Since the program began and we began tracking participation, we have had 106 women-owned firms on the list at one time or another including today's 27 for varying lengths of time. There are specific standards for certification as a minority or woman-owned business and certification has to be renewed annually, and for a lot of reasons, some of them to do not renew.

Of the 27 firms currently on the list, I would classify nine as very active, eight as somewhat active and 10 as either new or relatively inactive. Of the active firms, we have three which do a high volume of work exceeding \$800,000 over the last two years. We have one with 13 projects averaging about \$85,000, another with 21 projects, averaging about \$65,000. And a third with 58 projects, which average about \$16,000.

Each of the three are different types of contracts, however, the first is basically a grading and

dirt operation. The second specializes in guardrail and erosion control. The third is traffic control. This accounts in large part for the average size of their contracts, their different types of work. At the other extreme we had five women firms who had only one project over the last two years ranging in size from about fifteen and a half thousand to 426,000 and another four firms with only one or two -- excuse me -- two or three projects.

In conclusion, I guess, I would say that we've had increasing participation in our highway program by women-owned firms, consequently more dollars to women owners, but we cannot currently measure any increase in the number of female workers among these firms. It will take more years of data collection to discover any employment trends with this group and we're now trying to do that.

This completes the review of the history of status of female employment in South Dakota as we see it and the highway construction industry of the Department. We, meaning both the Department, I think, and the industry has achieved a fairly positive record which demonstrates a commitment on the part of the industry and the Department to improve opportunities for female employment in what has traditionally been a very male dominated sector of the economy.

While there is still room for improvement, I can

say with some assurance that everyone involved, contractors and the Department will continue to seek ways to recruit and employ a greater diversity of employees. Neither the industry nor the Department can ignore any segment of the labor force as they seek to build a work force which is capable, productive, and strongly committed to customer service and satisfaction.

I will be glad to entertain any questions you might have, and I do have copies of the statement, which I will make available to the steno for use later.

MS. BURNETTE: We're about 10 minutes behind schedule. I will state for the committee a thank you for the extensive preparation of material that you submitted to each one of us.

MR. VOLK: Dennis, we did some hearings years ago. Bill, when was that we did that on the --

MR. MULDROW: Eight years ago the man said.

MR. VOLK: Oh, eight years ago. One of the things that came up then was the use of kind of a phantom minority and women construction companies, companies that presented themselves as a minority business, but, in fact, were kind of front organizations and so forth. What is the process, and I'm sure I was probably told eight years ago and have forgotten but what's the process to make sure we're dealing with legitimate WWBE's and DBEE's and whatever that is.

MR. HULL: It has become increasingly more sophisticated even more so than it was then. I would be the first to admit that we have growing pains with that program, and there were firms, both on the minority side and the female side, who presented themselves as being one thing which in actuality were another, and I won't speak to what their intent was or who else may have been involved or anything like that because they were not bona fide.

The standards, or the application of the standards has tightened to the extent now, at least, on the women's side there is a strong voice I believe it was Joanne Payne, which heads the women's organization, is saying that it has become almost discriminatory towards women because of the application of the standards and attempt to make sure they are bona fide focuses on a couple of very tough problem areas.

One is family owned businesses where women allegedly own and control the firm. You can understand, try to figure out what the spousal involvement is and how to uncover any real involvement tends to be very sticky, so that has changed. The same thing is true on the minority side, and I beg to differ a little bit with what some of the federal people are telling me because they seem to want to go beyond the standards, particularly in terms of Indian-owned businesses, beyond what we would normally

accept as being proof of Indian status, and they want to go further beyond. I am relatively satisfied that probably most of the firms that we have, at least, in the state, the ones that we work with consistently are pretty legitimate.

Don't hold me to that 100 percent of the time, because with a good lawyer and accountant, you can fool a lot of people, and if you want to fool me, it can be done. But the process, we've got a pretty involved documentation process. We do a on-site review. We check with a lot of folks, including the financial people that the business is involved with. We watch them very closely on project activity, at least in the initial stages, so I think with some degree of assurance, the credibility of the program is better now than it was eight or nine years ago in that respect.

MS. BURNETTE: Any other questions?

MR. WALSH: Do you have any statistics with regard to tribal involvement and contracting?

MR. HULL: I can provide you with that. When you say tribal involvement, do you mean by tribal government involvement or are you talking about individual Indian involvement?

MR. WALSH: Both.

MR. HULL: I can go back and pull out, the computer will pull out my Indian-owned businesses and

provide that information to you. We only have had two tribal entities involved in our DBE Program; one at Cheyenne River; one at Lower Brule. We are now in the process of working again with Cheyenne River on a different aspect, and I don't know whether it will all come to fruition, but it would be tribally owned enterprises.

MS. BURNETTE: We would appreciate it if you could get that information to Mr. Muldrow. Are there any other questions? Thank you very much, Dennis. We hope you get back to Pierre in time for your next meeting.

Our next presenter is Sandra Jorgensen. She's a compensation director for the South Dakota Bureau of Personnel, and before you start, Sandra, could I ask is Fae Johnson here?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I am.

MS. JORGENSEN: I can go fast and we'll make up lots of time. Dennis has briefed you on what state government looked like when he started back in '76, and my experience is not that much different. I started state government 16 years ago in 1977, and at that time we just didn't see a lot of women in managerial roles or in the advanced technical and professional roles either. Since that time, as Dennis says, lots of things have happened.

And I don't know if I want to stand up here and give you all the numbers, but perhaps what I can do is give

you an appreciation for the kinds of things that we do in state government to make certain that those numbers actually happen. You have to start from the perspective that when we get all three branches of state government together, including the Board of Regions, we have about 25,000 people each year that come through the state system in an employment relationship.

Many of those are part-time people, work study students. But nonetheless, our state people are supervising hiring those folks. So what we have is we built a system which will allow us to monitor employment, to accept employment applications, to promote people, educate and train them. We have currently about 46 percent of our state government is women. That's our full-time work force. That's out of about 13,100 people.

We have a system called the Career Service System and what that is is our civil service within the state of South Dakota. That civil service system covers the bulk of those people in the executive branch of state government. When you have a civil service system you put into place things like a hiring process where you evaluate applications based on objective criteria, certify applications.

We conduct the interviews and we go on through the entire the person's entire employment career in state government providing at different steps and at different

times, things to look at to make sure that women are not being discriminated against.

One of the biggest things that we've done in the last few years that's helped Dennis achieve those 79 women in professional positions is to put in what we call an affirmative action certification request, who allow agencies to request that at the time we certify five or 10 people for a job interview that if they have a situation where women are under-represented or other minorities, they can request three additional names of either women or minorities be added to that list.

And what that does is give those people an opportunity to interview that they wouldn't ordinarily have, because a lot of times what happens is you'll have people applying for the jobs who have a lot of education and experience, and they'll keep the women and minority folks off the list because they just don't have the points in our certification process to make the interview list.

That's part of what Dennis has done. Other things that we do to ensure that we're paying women properly, that we're paying minorities properly is that we have a job evaluation system. What it is, is the Hey Point Factor System where you go in and you rate jobs based on know-how, problem solving, and accountability. That's how we set up our pay ranges, based on those factors.

(605) 348-8610

1-800-456-0831

That's how we determine what we're getting paid for each job. When we set up that system, we were concerned about things like whether we were underpaying our clerical folks as opposed to our janitorial people, our traditionally male versus female occupations. We had the new Company go back through and look at all of our job evaluation results to ensure that, in fact, we didn't have that kind of bias in our system, and we do that every few years to make sure that we're still on track.

Another thing that we do with our employees is to make certain that all our employees are paid within a salary range so that we don't have a lot of pay disparity problems. We also do things in the performance/appraisal area. Our performance appraisal system, a few years ago, had a rating that was assigned to each performance category. And we were concerned that women were not being rated wrongly. In other words, that the men weren't getting the outstanding scores.

We ran those figures and it was very close to 50/50 of the percentage of men and woman who were outstanding out of the work force. That's really very good for an organization like ours. Especially with the number of people that come through there every year. Other things that we've done is that we've made it a goal with that number of employees coming through the system, to make certain that our supervisors know what we expect in terms of

hiring and discipline, that they know that sexual harassment is something that will not be tolerated in state government.

We have formal training classes that all supervisors must go through within their first six months of being a supervisor so that they learn and understand how to conduct a performance appraisal, that they learn what sexual harassment is and that it's not accepted; it's not tolerated and that something will be done when those kinds of complaints are filed against them. But with the number of employees that we have, I'm sure you can appreciate that our efforts have had to be in the area of training our supervisors and managers rather than watching each little spot situation.

One of the other things that I looked at after I found out that I was to make this presentation was the issue of a glass ceiling. You hear a lot about that in connection with the employment of women and that's that perhaps women aren't reaching the top managerial levels because of some form of discrimination. In state government we have one third of our cabinet as women.

That's about as high as you're going to go unless you're the Governor, but then when I went back and I looked at it, we're about right below the cabinet level, and right below the cabinet level, the statistics that I pulled from 1976 had 2 percent of our women employed in positions where

they were paid over \$43,000 a year.

By 1990 that increased to 8 percent and by '93, 14 percent of the women in the level right below cabinet level were women. When you go and you look at the different departments, you see some disparities. I went and looked at our EEO-4 Report, which lists all of the departments by function. By things like streets and highways, hospitals, financial administration, and what I found was in financial administration in '86, we didn't have any women at all in that upper pay bracket.

Eight years later in '93, 6 percent of those people were women. In the hospital category in '86, we didn't have any women in the top pay bracket. Actually, there weren't any women in the top pay brackets in 1986. By '93, 33 percent of those people were women, to contrast that with what has happened in the streets and highways and natural resources.

Again, back in '86, there weren't any women in those top pay brackets, and by the time we get to '93, each one of those areas had one woman in the top pay bracket. That's not a lot but you have to appreciate that when those women who are now there started in state government, we didn't have any women engineers. We didn't have women in the top science and engineering categories, so there weren't women to move into the top brackets.

What we're seeing now is slowly women are getting
into the top pay brackets. They're becoming the seasoned
professionals that it takes to promote.

That concludes my comments. Do you have

That concludes my comments. Do you have questions?

MR. VOLK: Sandra, I think there has been real progress in getting women in top paying positions, but when I was in state government, one of the things that I was very much aware of were women who came into government stayed in government a long time, and really for one reason or another did not move up.

They stayed in a position -- I had some women in my office and the treasury that were there 20 years, 25 years --

MS. JORGENSEN: They're probably still there.

MR. VOLK: Some of them still are there. The problem came about where over the course of a long period, they got their somewhat often meager raises, but they still got raises. And all of a sudden you had somebody that had not changed; their job position had not changed; their responsibilities, but because of the length of time they were there, all of a sudden were making incredible salaries -- I mean, for the position, making very, very high salaries for that position.

And I always was wondering, because I was not

involved myself because I had some flexibility being elected official. How do you deal with that in the Hex system where you have people that really have great service to the state. Their knowledge, their experience is a very great benefit, but quite frankly, their job title, they've outgrown it because they've been there so long.

And now all of a sudden you're talking about a real ceiling, a salary cap at that position. How does the Hey study -- how do you people at compensation at personnel deal with that particular system without saying you're not going to get any more raises for the next 10 years because you're way off the scale for what that position is worth.

MS. JORGENSEN: What we do is to encourage that person to take on more responsibility, perhaps to go and take night classes at Capital City University, the university in Pierre, or take advantage of other correspondence courses so that they can get the skills to move up. We do, in state government, have a number of long-term employees who don't want different job responsibilities, and we've explained to them that if that's truly what they want, they can stay in their position but as you suggest, they're not going to be paid over the maximum of salary ranges.

Essentially their salaries are frozen until the ranges move far enough to catch up with them. We started

	Haw
1	with when we did the Hey study, there were something like
2	180 employees that we found in that particular condition.
3	Since that time a lot of those people have moved on and up
4	in the organization. They've been promoted, or salary
5	ranges have moved up to capture those people.
6	MS. BURNETTE: Are there any other questions?
7	MR. MULDROW: Just one request: Could you send
8	us, Sandra, some of the statistics that you mentioned, some
9	time depth on employment by the Department?
10	MS. JORGENSEN: Sure. They are organization by
11	the Department is by EEO function, but each EEO function
12	pretty much has a department associated with it.
13	MR. MULDROW: Can you send those?
14	MR. FEINSTEIN: I missed the first figure. How
15	much?
16	MS. JORGENSEN: 46 percent of full-time employees,
17	that's remained we did some work for Mr. Muldrow, and it
18	looks like that's remained fairly constant over the years.
19	MS. BUTLER: I was particularly interested in your
20	addressing questions of glass ceiling issues, but I missed a
21	note here. You indicated pretty high percentage of top
22	managerial levels, women in those positions, but I'm not
23	sure I wrote 33 percent.
24	MS. JORGENSEN: Six out of the 18 cabinet people
25	are women, which is wonderful.
	il .

1 MS. BUTLER: I couldn't believe it. Is the Hey Study an ongoing study or was 2 MS. KIM: 3 it a one-time study? MS. JORGENSEN: What we did was we brought Hey in. 4 5 They established a job evaluation system for us. We're still using that evaluation system., We have since '85. 6 7 Now, there was a component of the Her system for 8 compensation, and what that did was pay employees based upon 9 their performance score and the distance they were into the 10 salary range. That program has since been dropped and part 11 of the reason it was dropped is it was not well accepted by 12 our employees. 13 They didn't understand it, because they would see 14 things happening like a new person coming into the system 15 with very few years of service who had a high performance 16 score would get a bigger raise than a person who had been 17 there 10 or 15 years and had the same performance score and 18 the reason was their distance, their salary range. 19 It wasn't accepted at all. So now we've moved to 20 a system where we've moved all employees at the rate of 2 21 1/2 percent every year up to the midpoint of the salary 22 range but it takes them seven years to get there. 23 MS. BUTLER: At the meeting in Sioux Falls, 24 similar to this one, there was a gentleman in Sioux Falls 25 office from Job Service who indicated that in his eight-year

tenure as manager of that office he has never interviewed a women of color or a Native American, and we didn't have a chance --

MS. JORGENSEN: Who?

MS. BUTLER: He just indicated that he had never ever interviewed such a person, but I was told that those lists of possible interviewees are generated in Pierre.

MS. JORGENSEN: They are. Right, they're generated by our office and what that particular manager needs to do is get together with their personnel officer and provide some information that talks about the under-representation that -- I mean, obviously if he's never interviewed a minority candidate, they need to start taking some steps to get those folks on the list, and we can do that through the affirmative action certification, provided, of course, that the minorities will apply.

MS. BURNETTE: I'm curious, and you may not know this information. It's also something that also can be submitted to Bill. Out of the 25,000 work force that you spoke of in your opening comments and the 46 percent of the thirteen-one being women. I'm assuming that those full-time employees. Including that 46 percent, of that 46 percent how many are women in supervisory positions in reference to your glass-ceiling statements. I don't know quite what norm you use when you refer to --

1	MS. JORGENSEN: When I was referring to the glass
2	ceiling, I was talking about women not being able to go into
3	the very highest levels of management in state government,
4	which is our cabinet level. And I'll have to get those for
5	you, because we don't have them.
6	MS. BURNETTE: And the number of minority and
7	handicapped people that state government does employ. With
8	the provisions that you shared with us in terms of ensuring
9	pay equity and access. All of that is interesting. And in
10	reference to your six out of 18, what norm are you using
11	when you say: One-third of our cabinet is about as high are
12	we as we're going to go. I mean, what are you comparing
13	that statement to?
14	MS. JORGENSEN: Beyond the cabinet level.
15	MS. BURNETTE: Of the cabinet level being
16	one-third of the cabinet level are women, six out of the 18,
17	those are employment positions?
18	MS. JORGENSEN: Yes.
19	MS. BURNETTE: Is that a norm across the state,
20	across the nation that a third of the
21	MS. JORGENSEN: I don't know.
22	MS. BURNETTE: Oh, okay.
23	MS. JORGENSEN: I would be surprised, I think, if
24	other states had that many women in their cabinet, but,
25	again, that's something I don't know. We would have to go

and survey each state. it's just that we're proud of that here.

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much for sharing with us today. Our next presenter is Fae Johnson she's president and owner of F-A-E Construction.

MS. JOHNSON: It's not my normal position to be in front of a microphone. I'm a lot more comfortable on a crawler. I am Fae Johnson, sole owner of F-A-E Construction. I have been involved with the construction business since 1966. My business is primarily involved with heavy construction and utility construction. I am certified with the South Dakota DOT, and have talked on several occasions with Dennis Hull, one of your presenters this morning.

As owner of a business, I have been an operator, a superintendent, big projects, handled the financial and bonding issues, a very big issue in my business. It would be real nice to say there's no discrimination in this business against women or minorities. However, after I talked with Mr. Muldrow I went back and looked through some things that I would like to forget and decided I would bring up a couple of these instances.

On one occasion on a project -- and I am from Wagner originally and just come to this part of the state about seven or eight years ago. On a station project in

me that was probably due to the female engineer that the state office had to hire.

In 1981 I had a project with a state and federal agency. The state engineer is still in that position in Pierre. And the state agency was handling all of the inspection, direction, everything. There was an outside inspector on this job, and I was pushing to get my job inspected because it was holding up my progress.

And the inspector, head engineer told me, "Lady, don't push me." So I thought: Okay. But we kind of did. On my completion letter from that office, my letter that said the project was completed was addressed to a contractor in Sioux Falls. The name was crossed out, and my name was added in. That really upset me, and I'm still upset about that, because I thought it was very poor for a state office to do that.

Another instance, I don't have too many problems with the people I hire, actually. They don't mind me telling them what to do, I guess. But I did have a couple of equipment operators on a project at Mobridge in 1979 that quit one time and said they weren't going to take orders from a female and left me high and dry.

But I don't really have any statistics or pamphlets to give you that say I have certain goals that

haven't been met or been met. I try to hire people when they come to me if I can have a position for them at all. I'm not a big company. It's a very difficult business to get into, I feel. It's very high finance. It can be extremely difficult to get bonded.

You need a lot of backup from your banker and you need letters of credit. And as soon as you get that, they'll say, "Well, you need the experience." And as soon as you have that they say, "Well, you do need this equipment and that equipment." They just go down the line. Because I've been in it since 1966, I've overcome some of that, I feel. Well, I'm still here anyway.

I think it's more difficult being a female, white or black or any color, to be in the construction business than it is to be a male minority. Men visit with men on things regardless of color. Women, I feel, probably have a little more problem, and I don't feel it's just me. I've visited with other women on that subject in depth.

I don't know if you have any questions to ask me.

I hope I've covered everything.

MR. POPOVICH: Well, Dennis gave us quite a few statistics on the employment of women in the contractor field. Do you feel that those statistics are an accurate representation of the women? Do you think that there are more women out there that could do heavy equipment work, and

are they seeking that kind of work?

MS. JOHNSON: I don't think the women are seeking that kind of work. They may be, and the figures that Dennis gave you, I'm sure, are representative of what -- we all have to turn in our little reports too often, because we want to do other things than turn in reports, but I just don't know that there's that many women that are interested in doing, particularly, the heavy construction operation.

They don't mind -- and I'm not degrading them at all, because I have two daughter's that worked with me in the business in the past, but I don't think women are that wild about getting on a scraper, or a motor grader or a crawler. They don't mind, while they're going to college, take a summer and go be a grade checker and get some money to go through school, but I don't think they're that crazy about standing out there and doing the things that you do in the construction business.

MR. FEINSTEIN: The assumption of MBE, when you have a minority or a women company is to increase the employment of the minorities and apparently looked like it didn't necessarily help in the women's area, but it did help in the minority area. Do you find that the women that are seeking that kind of work, maybe, come to you because you are a woman MBE?

MS. JOHNSON: They might, but I'm not a big enough

company that everybody knows. I mean, it's not like some of the major construction companies: Oh, I'm going to go get a construction job, so I'm going to contact, for instance, Hill's or Simon's or Corner Construction. I'm not that much on the face of things. MR. FEINSTEIN: Do you feel the idea is working, in that respect? MS. JOHNSON: I think that everybody is trying to make it work. Like I said, I don't think the women are exactly that anxious to be in those fields. I don't know. 

MR. MULDROW: Fae, how did you get into this business? Can you tell us how you got started?

MS. JOHNSON: It was kind of through farming. We rented some -- I got married and we rented some ground and was going to farm with my father-in-law, and, of course, another family on the payroll is like: We have to find more ground to farm. So we rented some ground and it was tribal ground in the area of Wagner, South Wagner.

And part of the thing on the lease was, if you cleared the land, you can farm it for a reduced price, so we got a crawler and started clearing land. And there were some articles that come out that there would be an increase in soil conservation type work. And farming got tougher and we slid over into the construction business.

MS. KIM: When you face some difficulties or

problems such as a state agency, what did you do or was 1 there any channel that you could have reported those kind of 2 problems? 3 4 MS. JOHNSON: You mean, for instance, with the state engineer that said --5 6 MS. KIM: Yes. MS. JOHNSON: Actually, I just wanted to get the 7 8 project done, and I just hung tight on getting it done. And 9 I didn't really report to a state agency, but because of these noted little things here and there, I made lists of 10 stuff and -- well, I didn't really make a list, but I 11 learned of the SBA State Aid Program, and I put all these 12 13 things together. So in reality, it helped, because I was certified 14 into the State Aid Program, which is primarily set aside for 15 minorities and women. And at the time I was certified, 16 17 there was eight in the nation and only two in construction that were certified into the program. I graduate this year 18 19 from that program. It's been very helpful, I believe. MR. MULDROW: What's been your experience with 20 21 women and minority employees? Have you had good 22 experiences? MS. JOHNSON: Very good experience. My work 23 force, for instance, this year out of varying numbers 24

because of the different -- different jobs requiring more or

less people, but out of a steady average of probably 10 or 1 12 people, I have probably eight minorities. Mostly Indian 2 males, however, but they are minorities. And I don't say: 3 Because you're a minority, I'm going to train and hire you 4 over someone else. It's just that the people I have working 5 6 are good people. MR. MULDROW: Are they good workers? You hire 7 them because of what they can do? 8 9 MS. JOHNSON: Very good workers and very good 10 sense of humor. MS. BURNETTE: Fae, do you find in your work force 11 then, with your work primarily being seasonal, correct? 12 13 MS. JOHNSON: Right. 14 MS. BURNETTE: That your work force then stays pretty consistent? I mean, your 12 employees, you know, 15 maybe 70 percent of them come back to you every year, so 16 that your attrition isn't that great? 17 MS. JOHNSON: Pretty much I have the same 18 employees year after year. Sometimes a project may only 19 require five, and somebody might go off and be doing 20 something else, but mostly the people I hire are involved in 21 the construction industry. And while this contractor might 22 have a job that might last this while, I'm doing this, and 23 he does his job, and he'll come back and check with me or 24

25

one of mine who isn't really a regular or go over here and

work and then come back to me. It's kind of shuffle them back and forth, but my business is only real active, probably seven months out of the year, maybe eight.

MS. BURNETTE: Could you give the committee and the general public here an idea of the range of your tasks as the majority owner or the sole owner of your construction company. From the beginning of bidding a project, I mean, how much of that do you do? Do you do all the bidding on down to the completion of the project just to give us a range of what your skills are.

MS. JOHNSON: Well, I'm not directly on the project as much as I used to be. I used to be a full-time operator, visit with my banker, beg my bonder, but now I primarily have personnel and I don't superintend my jobs as much as I used to. I did go out one time this summer and thought I was doing fine, and the guy said, "It's a lot better when you're not here."

Because the inspector started picking on them, so I don't know if that's because I was on the job or what.

But I don't spend as much time directly on the job as I used to. I'm more strictly in the bidding and in the office, but in years past, I've done everything and done everything all at the same time from running equipment, to bidding the job, to taking the check to the bank and taking care of the IRS. I don't know if that answers your question.

1	MS. BURNETTE: What is the biggest barrier for
2	women getting into the construction industry?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Financial, I feel very, very high
4	priced, extreme high capital field. I mean, depends on what
5	area of construction you want to be into but heavy
6	construction where scrapers can be three or four or
7	\$500,000, and you need three or four of those plus all the
8	other backup equipment, and you can easily have several
9	million tied up and that's not easy
10	when you don't have money to start with.
11	MR. FEINSTEIN: Is, basically, everything
12	government jobs or are there any private?
13	MS. JOHNSON: I have done primarily federal work
14	the last couple of years. I have some portion of my company
15	working back in Wagner all the time that do farmer/rancher
16	type work and township work, so we're still involved in the
17	private segment too.
18	MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much for sharing
19	with us today. You did a great job.
20	We'll recess and reconvene back at 1:00 again
21	sharp.
22	(Lunch recess.)
23	MS. BURNETTE: I'd like to get started. We have
24	some committee members still trying to finish their lunch,
25	but for the purposes of our schedule, we'll reconvene our

afternoon session and welcome those of you back who were with us this morning. And our first presenter today is Elaine Kohler, who is a state equity supervisor for the South Dakota Department of Education and Cultural Affairs.

And, Elaine, for the benefit of our transcriber, we would really appreciate it if you could stand at the microphone. If you feel totally uncomfortable in doing that, we could arrange it to be at the table.

Please tell us who you are.

MS. KOHLER: I'm Elaine Kohler. I work for the Department of Education and Cultural Affairs in Pierre. I'm also the equity supervisor for vocational education out of Pierre. First of all, I'm going to give you a general idea of what our programs are and the Federal legislation that started with our programs.

And then after, we will meet Gloria Pluimer who will give you a little bit more detailed idea of what the programs in this area are and what they are doing and some problems that they are looking at. The first federal vocational education legislation was passed in 1917 with the primary purpose of preparing people for work. And it's overriding mission has not changed for 76 years.

However, both the nature of work and the composition of the work force in America have changed. In the year 2000, only 14 percent of the jobs will be available

to workers with less than a high school education, yet 58 percent of the women have a high school diploma or less. The more education a woman has, the greater likelihood she would be employed. Among women 25-54 years of high school, only 51 percent were in the labor force. The Carl Perkins Vocational Education Act of 1984 reflected these changes and called on vocational education to meet the challenges of changing technology and the training needs of new and returning workers.

They have required each state to spend 12 percent of its federal dollars on programs, services, and activities for single parents, displaced homemakers, and for programs to promote sex equity. The requirement of this law, the 1984 law, was that a full time sex equity coordinator be employed.

The sex equity coordinator had the responsibility to assist in eliminating sex bias, stereotyping in vocational education as well as administrating the funds for single parent/displaced homemaker programs and sex equity programs.

The management of the program funds included the support of a wide range of activities, as long as any one of these activities expanded on vocational institutions' capacity to equip the single parents or the displaced homemaker with marketable skills. Local school systems

received a great deal of latitude addressing the needs of single parents/displaced homemakers.

Possibilities could include programs that train single parents and displaced homemakers for nontraditional training, employment and support services such as needs assessments, child care transportation, counseling and instruction in self development or self-esteem.

And then also the team's self-sufficiency program that provide employability and life management skills, vocational assessments, vocational education, work experience, child care and transportation. The intent of the sex equity provision was to increase these programs, services and activities at the local level, both secondary and post-secondary levels.

The evolution of this federal vocational legislation has resulted in Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act of 1990, which continued these programs but the inference in 1990 is more services, not necessarily programs. As part of the new legislation, the state plan, and every state must provide assurance that funds available for single parents/displaced homemakers and the single pregnant women will go to programs with individuals that have the greatest financial need.

Also assurances must be provided that the state will furnish relevant training in vocational education to

men and women who desire to enter occupations that are not traditionally associated with their sex. In South Dakota we have 11 single parent/displaced homemaker programs that were funded through the Competitive Request for Proposal process in fiscal year 1992.

And most of these stats are for fiscal year 1992. The '93 stats have not been put together. They will be within the next month, so if you would like some more information on what's been happening in the past year, I'll be able to provide that.

These programs conducted classes in more than 38 communities across South Dakota. The number of single parent/displaced homemaker participants totaled over 1,753. Of this number 103 were secondary level females. At the post-secondary level there were 1,551 females and 202 males. Of these clients, 155 were Native Americans and 10 were Hispanic or Asian.

Many more were touched by outreach projects and those could be workshops or just a one-time outreach project for single parents. And we did not keep an account of those numbers, but many more were reached by these programs. Emphasis was given to assisting individuals with the greatest financial need, and need is determined through the Social Service agencies and Job Service assessments, and that's basically how they determine the need.

Projects serving displaced homemakers must give special consideration to displaced homemakers, who because of death, divorce or disability of a spouse must prepare for paid employment.

Nontraditional programs were encouraged due to the studies that show that women in a nontraditional career will earn 20 to 30 percent over the traditional career. Rural populations were a prime target with special efforts made to recruit Native Americans and other minorities, and we have seen these numbers doubled by the addition of a Native American counselor with some of our programs.

Fifty-nine thousand five hundred dollars were awarded in scholarships to single parents or displaced homemakers attending a post-secondary vocational technical institution or secondary facility.

These scholarships were based on need for child care and the support available from the Department of Social Services, the amount of money available through Pell grants and guaranteed student loans, finances for daily living, cost of the program for which they are enrolled, and the outside support available.

Now, I'm going to describe some of the programs that were funded in fiscal year '92 and most of these are running. We have an additional two more programs this year, and I'll talk about that at the very end of what's happening

right now, too. From the Black Hills Special Services

Cooperative there is a program called "Goals in Action."

It's a program to help single parents/displaced homemakers

get back into the world of work with an emphasis on

parenting, stress management money, management, self-esteem,

job skills, vocational planning, and communication skills.

They also have a program called "Positive New Beginnings." That was a teen pregnancy and parenting program in which teens learn life skills, which include job skills awareness and training in addition to receiving credit towards high school graduation.

Beresford Community and Adult Education for South Dakota. They had a career planning and job search workshop. And this program was five 24-30 hour series of pre-employment courses. The instructions were based on self-concept enhancement, job search skills, parenting, wellness, legal issues, career issues and networking.

Although the post-secondary level was targeted, they did have a few secondary students in this program. Then also there's the entrepreneurship program for single parents and displaced homemakers offered through "Black Hills Special Services Cooperative." This offered workbooks, workshops, and individual counseling for single parent/displaced homemakers that were in pursuit of the business ownership. Coordination with Western Dakota

Technical Institute and Black Hills State University through
workshops, enhance the resources and the importance of
furthering their education.

The Brookings Career Learning Center, in Brookings, South Dakota, has a program called "New Horizons." It's a series of weekly programs offered to facilitate improvement in self-awareness, confidence, communication and job-seeking skills.

At Lake Area Technical Institution in Watertown, this single parent/displaced homemaker program at the post-secondary level provides scholarships for enrollment, support services, counseling, special assistance, and workshops designed for the single parent/displaced homemaker.

Then at Mitchell Technical Institute in Mitchell, the single parent/displaced homemaker program provides a series of workshops concerning life and job skills focused to the single parent. Individual counseling, again, scholarships, support services, and special assistance is also provided. Support services do include child care, any type of transportation.

Sometimes it might include five dollars for gas as far as the part about the support, also for books. Most of our institutes do have a book lending system, or they will help them out with a special book if they need to own that

book. There's money provided for that.

\_

At Northwest Area Multi-District in Lemmon, South Dakota, their program is called "Goals Unlimited." It's a course taught for rural, low-income, single-parent/displaced homemakers.

This series focus on employment skills, employment skills, self-esteem, assertiveness, entrepreneurship, and recruitment into educational programs. Emphasis was stressed on high Native American population exhibited in this region. This program covers 13 communities over 20,000 square miles. Again, there is an emphasis on entrepreneurship in this area, and now there is a teen/parent program also in this area because of our high teen pregnancy.

Pierre Public Schools, in Pierre, South Dakota.

"Young moms/Young Parents." It's an adolescent pregnancy support program to prevent teen parents from dropping out of school. Weekly classes are conducted on relationships, goals, career exploration, parenting, life skills, career development, educational planning and guidance.

Southeast Technical Institute in Sioux Falls. The Single Parent/Displaced Homemaker program assists with career assessment inventories, financial aid, support services, scholarships, personal counseling, and individual support.

Then at Western Dakota Technical Institute in Rapid City, workshops to address study skills, parenting, self-esteem, again, job seeking skills and wellness are part of this program. Individualized counseling, scholarships, support services, and special assistance is also provided. And this is now where we employ our Native American counselor or coordinator also in this area, because of the high number of Native Americans interested in vocational training.

And then last is our Springfield Correctional Facility. All incoming female inmates participate in classes that teach career opportunity in vocational education. Classes teach self-esteem, goal setting, and job skills.

Then on the other side of the coin is our equity component. Nine equity grants were funded in fiscal year '92. "Expanding Your Horizons," a conference with an emphasis in math, science and nontraditional careers for middle school and junior high age girls and minorities were conducted at four statewide locations with about 1,000 individuals participating with hands-on workshops. This continues every year with about the same number of girls and minorities that it expects.

"Power Teaching and Gender Expectations and Student Achievement," is an equity teaching concept, and

these were conducted in three different sites to administrators and teachers and that's just through the vocational side. On the "K" through 12, there's at least double that amount.

"Lakota Traditions." That's a program developed to promote a greater understanding of Sioux culture was conducted in 13 West River school districts. South Dakota played host to the 1992 National Coalition for sex equity and education, and that conference theme was "Kaleidoscope of Perspectives and Culture." And then 16 new scripts were developed for the "Metoo Career Choices."

It's a puppet kit that promotes nontraditional career choices and vocational technical education. The kit includes a puppet, scripts and activities designed for the elementary student. This puppet is called "Metoo," and it is a genderless alien who helps students look at careers without bias and stereotyping associated with many of today's careers.

We've also expanded on this and we feel it might be one of the next dinosaur type things. We have videos now with the nontraditional careers. And that will be coming out within the next month. And that's one of Gloria's projects, so maybe she'll talk about that too.

Continued funding for the Rapid City Girls

Incorporated was made possible. "Operation smart," science,

math, and relevant technology. It's a program to encourage girls in science and math through a series of weekly classes. A minimum of 220 girls were involved in fiscal year '92, and I know that's gone up to close to 300. They're involved in twelve different career tours designed to expand girls' understanding of and interest in math and science as they relate to vocational technical careers. "Operation smart" goes beyond what I talked about in vocational.

This is the only part that is funded under the vocational Carl Perkins funds. It has other funding that helps out through the Bush Grants and they do more on that. They also have mentoring of girls with women in nontraditional and vocational technical careers.

The Pierre Public Schools the Ft. Pierre and Pierre American Association of University Women and the League of Women Voters targeted Pierre Junior High with a career exploration seminar. Ten presenters, most in nontraditional careers with vocational/technical education backgrounds, spent the day with 209 ninth graders. Follow-up to the seminar included job shadowing and in-depth career research.

Hands-on seminars in vocational technical fields were conducted through three post-secondary vocational technical schools with an emphasis in math, science and

nontraditional careers.

Participants in these equity outreach seminars totaled over 908 secondary students. Then we have a curriculum called "Choices Curriculum." It has been implemented in an alternative school where the students are attending through court order. This project helps secondary students explore traditional and nontraditional career choices and to learn the decision making process.

We have found that a shortage of money to support these programs on an ongoing level is a big concern of the single parent/displaced homemaker supporters. Although funding is continued through Carl Perkins, an increase in awareness, demand for high technical careers, and the promise of family self-sufficiency through the post-secondary technical education puts an increasing demand for support services such as child care, transportation, and books and then tuition for the technical schools.

Some technical education programs such as nuclear medicine have wait lists. However, graduation from high technician nontraditional vocational programs guarantee at least a starting salary of \$12 per hour plus benefits. Over 90 percent of the graduates have been placed in jobs upon graduation and in some fields, six months before graduation, the demand is so high.

I have given you a brief overview of the

vocational programs available for women in South Dakota. As of this date, there has not been any assessment of the success of these programs, formal assessments. And the implementation of a study this year, we're going to evaluate all of these programs as to their success in building self-esteem, job skill enhancement and employment and then there are parenting skills. When listening to firsthand testimonials, the coordinators of these programs have no doubt as to their success. Thank you.

MR. MULDROW: What kind of special problems do displaced rural women have in getting back into the job market? What kind of displaced women are there out there? How do they get in the job market?

MS. KOHLER: The rural women are really troubled with transportation because they live miles and miles away from anything. They have very little job skills because they might have gotten married in high school or just out of high school and went to the farm right away. If there is a farm failure or divorce, death, they've more or less relied on husbands for their income and then all of a sudden that's gone, where do they go?

They're so far away from anything. One way we've covered this is having small groups in different communities. Again, though, there's still the problem of transportation they might not have a car that works. In

rural South Dakota there are no bus systems, so we have to
make arrangements for that.

MR. MULDROW: Where do they go for work? There are only two metropolitan areas. Do they look for work in our rural communities, or do they have to come to Rapid City?

MS. KOHLER: Sometimes. And that's one reason we've emphasized entrepreneurship in western South Dakota. We have one program through Black Hills Special Services Cooperative, and then we have another one through Lemmon, the northwest area multi-district, it's a small program there's very little money in that. There are no special programs through economic development for women that we know of that they can use to start up businesses, but they look at their own skills and how they can build up on those.

MR. MULDROW: Do you try -- like, Fae Johnson who was here, I mean, she built on her farm machinery skills to develop her own construction company.

MS. KOHLER: We like to encourage the women to look at the nontraditional, but what we've been finding is that many of the women that know how to work on diesel engines, don't want to. If they go to Western Dakota to go to school, they want to look at secretarial training. It's hard to get them to look at -- here they've got all these skills, and they can use those skills. But they want to go

back into traditional training. That's where we do the 1 2 individual counseling and assessments. 3 4 5 education. 6 MS. KOHLER: 7 year 2000, will be. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 not guite sure what's going to happen. 19 20 Perkins. 21 22

23

24

25

MS. BUTLER: The demand for vocational technical workers is far greater than those people with university 80 percent of the work force in the MS. BUTLER: At a Sioux Falls meeting, someone pointed out the number one demand was for people with electronic skills who could repair video lottery machines. MS. KOHLER: You'll notice our technical institutions are expanding greatly. We've had several ground-breakings. We're still having problems getting people in there. There are waiting lists to get in. Western Dakota has a wait list and Southeast, I know, had a wait list. And our funding is getting tighter and tighter. We have two more years of this Carl Perkins and then we're I'm sure we'll be funded again through Carl I'm sure they'll have the single parent/displaced homemaker money. The funds would be the same, but there would be no increase. I see money going into the school and work transition and the tech prep. And if we can incorporate some of this getting, the equity into tech prep

and the school/work transition, we'll work with that too.

MS. BUTLER: I was taking notes, but I want to be certain. You indicated that there was a career that would command at least \$12 per hour to begin, but I didn't --

MS. KOHLER: Nuclear medicine is one of them, and that is probably one of the hottest careers right now. This might be a little farfetched, and it wouldn't happen in every instance, but I'll give you an idea. A single parent went through the nuclear medicine program. It's a two year, about 24-month program. They have a six month internship.

Every person is employed at that 18 months, before they finish their six months. They've already been hired by somebody, so they're guaranteed a job. And with hospitals, they have all those benefits with that. But this one particular woman had three children, single parent, went through the program and went through internship at a local hospital there.

Six months after her employment at that hospital, she became department head making \$38,000 a year. So when you look at technical education, it's not limited to just above minimum wage. We're looking at more. There are some careers, yes, and those are your traditional, such as child care. That would be lower.

MR. POPOVICH: I don't know if I was asleep after lunch here or what. I must have missed something here. How many single displaced homemakers are there out there? Do

1	you have any idea
2	MS. KOHLER: I don't have it with me.
3	MR. POPOVICH: how many people that you are
4	serving?
5	MS. PLUIMER: I have what we're serving in this
6	area, Jim, and that's approximately 150. When I say this
7	area, that would be Pennington County, Lawrence, Meade.
8	MR. POPOVICH: How do they find out about your
9	services?
10	MS. PLUIMER: Newspapers, promotional efforts. We
11	work very, very closely with the agencies and each of the
12	community social services.
13	MS. KOHLER: All of the coordinators have direct
14	communications, usually direct telephone lines with social
15	services. We do have pamphlets that we try to get out as
16	much as possible. I feel like the awareness is really going
17	out, that they're really onto it. Many of the coordinators
18	will do a talk show or presentations, any type of community
19	service groups.
20	MR. POPOVICH: I guess my follow-up question is:
21	In the rural areas, do you feel you're reaching the people
22	that are out there?
23	MS. KOHLER: We could do better. The problem with
24	Perkins, the emphasis is small groups really get it in
25	there. With South Dakota, we're so spread out that our

groups may be five or six people, like in McIntosh or

someplace like that. In Sioux Falls you can get the

numbers, and then you start thinking, is this justifiable

for spending the money.

The greatest amount of money in the northwest area

goes for transportation for the coordinators to get around

from site to site, but it does belowith the self-esteem and

The greatest amount of money in the northwest area goes for transportation for the coordinators to get around from site to site, but it does help with the self-esteem and awareness as far as job skills and how you can get into the work place. And we wanted to emphasis the entrepreneurship part, too.

MR. POPOVICH: If you could improve your services, how would you best do it?

MS. KOHLER: I would like to see more money in vocational training, first of all. Second of all, do a secondary support service, such as self-esteem enhancement, job skills enhancement, that kind of thing, where it would be into vocational training. I think that every single parent should have some type of post-secondary training, but if they don't have even their GED or the high school diploma, we have to do that first.

MS. BUTLER: You mentioned the Brookings Career

Learning Center and that has so many successful stories and

Jane Kono, who advertised at that meeting presented a

wonderful program on women in the work force.

MS. KOHLER: I was there.

MS. BUTLER: I knew I had seen you before.

MS. BURNETTE: I had like to move on and reserve the two questions that are coming, because I'm making the presumption that Gloria can answer some of those as well. Thank you very much.

Our next presenter is Gloria Pluimer. She's equity coordinator for Black Hills Special Services Cooperative.

MS. PLUIMER: Thank you for the invitation to speak today. This topic, of course, is very important to me personally and also professionally. I am the coordinator for the single parent/teen parent group in the Hills area, and I want to give you a little background information on those programs and point out some difficulties that we see on a national, state, and local level and give you some insight on what I have needs to be provided in encouraging women in amployment areas.

The Single Parents/Displaced Homemakers and Single Pregnant Women Program, Goals and Actions, and Positive New Beginnings are made available to participants in Rapid City, Sturgis, Lead/Deadwood, and Belle Fourche throughout a nine month period. These programs serve to assist numerous single parents in increasing not only their life skills, but also in the area of career planning with a special emphasis, as Elaine indicated, on nontraditional careers as a viable

1 | option.

The curriculum used in these classes is entitled "Goals in Action" and it presents information on the topics of self-esteem, self-evaluation, self-assessment, communications, assertive communication, goal setting, stress, parenting, time management, and career awareness. Stress management, I should say.

Outside written and video resource materials are used extensively to enhance the program. Community members in each of the communities bring in their expertise in the various areas of study.

Tours of Western Dakota Technical Institute, Black Hills University, and South Dakota school of Mines are conducted allowing the participants to assess their options of advanced training and education in various fields of interest. Community members in nontraditional types of careers present information on educational preparation for their career and the benefits and difficulties of choosing a career that is dominated by one gender. The career and learning centers in Rapid City and Spearfish are used extensively in providing participants with career assessment and planning, job search training and placement activities.

In addition, computer training, typing tutorial, and GED programs at the Career Learning Center are utilized by the single parents and displaced homemakers. Some of the

programs that we've presented to participants in addition to the ongoing curriculum includes: HIV workshop for the Native American single parent group, who work closely in Rapid City on Project Dakotia and Bruce Long Fox has been just remarkable in allowing us to come in and have our class with the Project Dakotia participants.

Native American Entrepreneur workshop, featuring
Michael Willcuts, which is an air brush artist living in the
Rapid City area. Consumer Credit Counseling presentation,
offering expertise on personal budgeting. A presenter from
the Rapid City Police Department was one of the female
police officers in Rapid City.

She spoke on nontraditional careers. Presenter on linguistic and assertive communication, presenter on self-defense, presenter on vocational education opportunities at Western Dakota Vo-tech Institute, and as Elaine indicated, Western Dakota's enrollment is beyond what they can handle. However, they do have a facility approved.

Presenter from the Rapid City Career Learning

Center on the GED Program, job shadowing, presentation by a local attorney on child support and the legal rights of single parents, presenter from Job Service on on-the-job training.

In one of our high schools, we have what's called the Triple A Program for teen parents. This is designed to

contract with the teen parents and expectant teen parents to attend their classes on time, put forth an honest effort in completing their school work, display a cooperative attitude toward learning, such as the Triple A Academics, Attendance and Attitude.

Production of a public service video by the participants of the program in regard to the realities and difficulties of being a teen parent. And this is being presented to some of the lower classes, letting them know that being pregnant and a teen parent is not always an easy route to take.

Presenter on childhood illness, immunization and emergency care, presenter in battering and prevention of abuse, presenter by an RN on nutrition, presentation by a licensed day-care provider discussing what to look for in a day-care center and how to establish a babysitting co-op. And then, of course, efforts in coordinating other agencies and businesses in the area.

As some of the population in 1992 and '93 in the teen parenting classes including 29 participants. 86 percent white, 7 percent Hispanic, and 7 percent Native American. A summary of the population of single parents and displaced homemakers served in 1992-93 included: 98 females, 10 males, 60 percent white, 40 percent Native American.

۰.

As a note, of that particular group, 60 percent were with incomes of less than \$5,000, 22 percent with incomes between \$5,000 and \$10,000. So, definitely below the level of poverty.

Barriers to employment for rural women: The need to address income levels for female single parent/head of households can be substantiated by considering the increased numbers of unemployed and under-employment on a national, state, and local level. Of the approximately 67 million families in the United States in 1992, 12 million or 18 percent were maintained by women. In 1991 women represented 63 percent of all persons 18 years old and older who were living below the poverty level.

The poverty rate for families maintained by living with no husband present were six times as high, 35.6 percent. As for married-coupled families, 6 percent. And these statistics were gathered from the U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics. That is January '93.

An estimate of 43 percent of the women in the labor force earn wages below the poverty level as compared to 27 percent of men. And 54 perfect of all poor American families are headed by women. And 40 percent of these female head-of-households are working. This is from the National Commission on Working Women. Females with children under six years old in the labor force in United States in

1 | 1990

1990 was 59.7 percent, while females with children under 6 years old in the labor force in South Dakota in 1990 was 71.3 percent.

And this, by the way, is the highest percentage of all 50 states. Females with children six and above in the labor force in the United States in 1990 was 75 percent compared to 81.8 percent in South Dakota. The divorce rate in the state of South Dakota in 1991 was 4.1 compared to the divorce rate in Pennington County of 7.5 percent.

Divorce rates in the counties of the Northern

Black Hills include Butte County at 5.3 percent, Lawrence

County at 4.6 percent and Meade County at 5 percent. This

is from the Business Research Bureau of the University of

South Dakota.

During the last decade, women have been entering South Dakota's labor force in dramatic numbers. From 1980 to 1990 the number of all South Dakota women in the labor force increased 20.3 percent from 64.5 percent in 1980 to 81.8 percent in 1990. South Dakota ranks 13th nationally for the percent of children in single-parent families with 13.1 percent in 1980-84 to 19.9 percent in 1987-91. The national rate for families headed by women living below poverty level in 1989 is 31.1 percent compared to 38.7 percent in South Dakota.

I believe these statistics show that rural America

is suffering greatly when we're talking about poverty levels. Households headed by women with children under five years old living below the poverty level in South Dakota in 1989 is 62.2 percent compared to a national rate of 57.4 percent for the same group of people. In 1990, close to half of the women workers, 46 percent, were employed in relatively low-paying service and administrative support occupations such as secretaries, waitresses, and health aides.

Occupational segregation, Wage discrimination, lack of affordable, quality child care, limited education and training opportunities impede the progress of low-income women seeking better jobs. Barriers to male-dominated occupations are especially formidable. Women and girls need more than just good training.

They need assistance and support to overcome the multiple barriers to higher wage occupations. In addition to targeted recruitment activities, many women need assessment services remediation of basic reading, math, and communication skills, job-skill training, job-search training and job placement, child care assistance, counseling and various other support services.

Support for nontraditional enrollees can take many forms, including nontraditional role models, job placement services, information on dealing with discrimination and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

harassment, staff training on gender bias, screening for health concerns that conflict with occupation and encouragement to continue training and upgrade skills.

Continuing emphasis on the benefits of nontraditional jobs for women is imperative. Male support for women in these areas of employment is crucial to their own welfare. Support from both males and females in recognizing and rebelling against the income and image barriers of positions that are referred to as "women's work" is paramount in providing the opportunity to go beyond meeting the basic needs of security and attaining self-worth. Questions? Were you first, Bill?

MR. WALSH: The only reason I haven't been able to ask is David Volk takes so long to ask his questions.

Gloria, do you work with the Office of Economic Opportunity in the state level or regional level? Obviously, when we attract new businesses into the area it depends upon our work force, and is there a coordination there with regards to displaced homemakers, etc., to fill those new jobs coming into the state? I mean, do we know where we're going and is there a communication?

MS. PLUIMER: I'm, fortunately, one of the few coordinators who works directly with the Governor's office in economic development. I am the executive coordinator for economic development in the Sturgis area. I have the

that office

opportunity to visit with that office on a regular basis, so

Unfortunately, the rural areas have a difficult time in attracting large manufacturers. We don't necessarily target the very large manufacturers. We're looking for the companies that can come in and provide five to 10 jobs for people. There is, of course, an ongoing attraction to manufacturers in more of a metropolitan area. That hasn't been beneficial for us.

MR. POPOVICH: I don't know whether you can answer this or whether we need to get the statistics from the state, but the statistics that you gave were alarming as far as the poverty levels of these people. Do you have an idea how many of these people do not have a GED or high school education at all?

MS. PLUIMER: I don't have that available to me immediately, Jim, but that is available through the Women's Bureau, and I believe I have it in my file if you would like me to look it up.

MR. POPOVICH: If you could do that, certainly.

MS. PLUIMER: I would be happy to.

MR. POPOVICH: It would seem to me that that certainly is a barrier if they don't already have it and they're in a rural area, and it's hard for them to get more education either through transportation or whatever.

THOMPSON REPORTING 1-800-456-0831 (605) 348-8610

MS. PLUIMER: What also happens with regard to

especially with our teen parents, those

ten times do not complete by

They do not that and especially with our team parents, those teem parents often times do not complete high school, have additional children which puts up make harri GED and post-graduate education , but I will provide Bill

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MULDROW: I was pleasantly surprised at the amount of Native American women involved in the programs. Do you target them specifically?

MS. PLUIMER: There is a large population of Native Americans in the Rapid City area and Jennifer Sieves has done a phenomenal job of coordinating directly with Bruce Long Fox and setting up a class specifically for lative action -- through Project Dakotia, so that was an effort specifically for the Native American/single parent group, and it has been very successful.

I've enjoyed working with each one of those people. They have been extremely supportive. And that's where the inner-agency, working in our areas is so important, because there's so many things that other agencies can provide our clients in addition to what we can provide to them and it's been a very positive experience.

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you. Speaking of which, I don't see Mr. Bruce Long Fox.

MS. BURNETTE: Until Bruce gets here, we're going to invite Phyllis Old Dog Cross to the podium. Our fellow committee person. Phyllis Old Dog Cross is retired and remains a member of our nursing consultants. She's retired from Indian Health Services.

MS. OLD DOG CROSS: Thank you to the panel for allowing me to comment today. I'll make some comments on women's employment issues. My name is Phyllis Old Dog Cross and I'm a nurse counselor. I'm a retired federal employee, and I've worked as a counselor with many Native women for the past 15 years in this area. And I've also worked with many Native women as colleagues. I'll keep my remarks today focused on the issues faced by Native American women in the state of South Dakota.

There are many employment issues faced by Native women and most of them are interrelated. You can't say there is this one without starting to talk about another. First of all, many native Americans are raised in conditions of poverty and the ramifications that that brings. They do not have the opportunities the average American does. Sometimes they do not receive the proper food, clothing, or other necessities of life. Many of them grow up in welfare situations, sometimes even to the third generation.

They are not exposed to working adults in the home and learn a whole different life-style of dependence and

\_--

they learn also, the attitude that other people have towards welfare recipients. Next, many of these native women grew up in dysfunctional families or in families where there are a single-parent family. This type of upbringing sometimes lends itself to various psychological problems and adult dysfunctions.

A good education is necessary in order to find employment. Education means the total package, not just the books, even though that is vital; but it's the activities that you get when you're getting an education. The experience of the education: Chorus, cheerleading, groups, peer relationships that help the girls in school. When the Native woman moves from the reservation or even the urban setting to live among the dominant culture, she faces many stereotypes.

And it is very, very difficult to break through these stereotypes. Some of the stereotypes held by dominant society is that Indians are lazy and they just can't do the job. Another one is Indians are drunk or dumb or slow and don't understand. Some employers even feel that an Indian employee will discourage customers. Indians do talk differently. Not only do they sometimes have an accent and a certain style, but they have cultural differences in talking and conversing.

They may not respond readily to comments or

questions. For some, direct eye contact is still considered rude and they will avoid looking at a person directly.

Another sterectype of Indians is that they will not be a stable employee. They will leave after their first paycheck or that they will miss work a lot. Some employers feel that Indian persons will be belligerent and cause trouble. A stereotype barrier -- a stereotype is a difficult barrier to overcome. The Indian person himself has to face the stereotype of what the other person has of them.

If one looks around Rapid City, one sees few brown faces in the stores, banks and other institutions. There is sparation still a confusion between Indians and non-Indians. Why is there is such a separateness. Even something as simple as having the proper clothes to look well at one's job may be a barrier. Many women live at the poverty level and cannot afford certain clothing.

Transportation has been mentioned before. It's a big barrier getting to and from the job is sometimes next to impossible. Many woman work shift-type work in hospitals and cannot use public transportation. I have known many women who want to work but cannot do so because they have no car and have no way to travel.

Now, one of the biggest barriers of all, that of child care. Many Native women have children, babies, toddlers, young children even up to the teens. If one could

find adequate day care, it's much too expensive. Then
there's the transportation of getting them to day care.

Some turn to relatives to help out, but many times this solution does not work out for them for many reasons. Thus, they must turn to welfare for their solutions rather than seek employment. Finding adequate housing in Rapid City is very difficult. Many Native women move to Rapid City to find work but they cannot find housing that they can afford.

Sometimes they will move in with relatives, but, again, they face the barriers of racism and poverty. One cannot get a job until one has a place to stay; yet one cannot pay for a place to stay until one has income.

Some of the things I'm not sure how to address are if employers are providing the proper protection for women. Do they provide adequate security at a place of work? How about proper job benefits? Health care? Maternity leave? Do they have a safe work place for them, including a place free from smoke?

Is there protection from sexual harassment? And in my work I've heard many, many Native women talk about harassment that they have received at work both sexual harassment, but also harassment because they're an Indian person.

Finally, is the work place and manager taking

1 2 3 4 5 I'm open to questions. Bill, do you have a question? 6 MS. BURNETTE: 7 MR. WALSH: 8 9 10 11 12 off the reservation? 13 MS. OLD DOG CROSS: I'm not too familiar about 14 15 go through the cultural gap over the bridge. 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

steps to deal with racial stereotypes to ensure racial understanding and acceptance? I would imagine each case would have to be on an individual basis, but it could be looked into. Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and

I trust in the last several years the education, specifically on the reservation, those wonderful new schools, is that making an impact at all with regards to training Indian children to cope with the two cultures and have the skills necessary if they make the choice to live

some of the programs on the reservations on training, how to I know that children are talking about it and are dealing with that issue at the reservation level. I've heard some groups and so forth talk about how it is to be caught in cultural stereotypes.

There's still a shock of when you get here. I think, that it's one thing to talk about it, but then when you get here, either to Rapid City or some other city and face, just face blank for the first time some of the ways that you're treated when you come here.

Nothing prepares you, I think, for that first time

that you realize that you're facing someone that doesn't like you or doesn't want you around because you're an Indian person, and that's the first learning you have to start to learn to deal with.

MR. MULDROW: Phyllis, I'm impressed with what you have to say. The barriers almost seem unsurmountable for Indian women who are trying to get into the job market in a non-Indian society. What can be done? We've heard of some really great programs here today that seem to address some of the concerns you've raised, child care and training for rural women and displaced homemakers and in both traditional and nontraditional fields. How do you assess the adequacy of these and what do you think needs to be done to address the concerns you've raised?

MS. OLD DOG CROSS: Some of the speakers that I heard ahead of me have very excellent programs, and if we can get into those programs and be able to come and know they have somebody taking care of their children while they're in the program. Finding ways to get -- I'm sure there's transportation barriers to get to wherever the programs are being held.

Many Native women want to work. They want jobs. They want to support themselves. They want to have their own places, but I think the first major barrier that any Indian woman faces that comes here is finding someone to

And if that barrier could be overcome, then I 3 4 think you would be on your way, and perhaps transportation but most or many women move in with their relatives and that 5 6 just leads to sometimes not very harmonious situations, and 7 many give up and go home, or maybe move in with somebody, a 8 mate that is not all that kind to them and get themselves 9 into other situations, but I think child care is the biggest 10 issue that faces Native women. 11 MR. MULDROW: Is the state program that we heard 12 about impacting Native American women? 13 MS. OLD DOG CROSS: I don't know. 14 MR. MULDROW: Well, if it was, chances are you 15 would know. MS. OLD DOG CROSS: I don't know if it is or not. 16 17 Some other speakers may know. MS. BURNETTE: Bill, did you have another 18 19 question? MR. WALSH: Child care is the number one obstacle, 20 21 one of the traditional roles, and I hear it, and I employ a Native American as our own nanny, is their wonderful ability 22 23 with children. Is that something that we can build on as 24 far as employment and day-care centers or nannies or

take care of the kids while she's seeking out a job or

1

2

25

whatever?

seeking training.

I know it's an old traditional role, but it's an asset, it seems to me, that is there that can provide some real job opportunities. So is it a Catch-22 because they have to leave their children to provide for other children?

MS. OLD DOG CROSS: You know there's always been the saying that a grandma takes care of the kids and that relatives will take care of children and so forth, but what you usually see is someone will come from the reservation then they'll bring along a relative, maybe a young teenage relative to provide child care during their stay. And that doesn't usually work out because there's no stability to it.

I think what you mentioned about using someone as a nanny or perhaps some child care situation is an excellent idea and can be built on. I know some reservations do have child care. My reservation does. You can bring your children there, and it's free child care.

MS. BURNETTE: I'd like to ask Phyllis, not for any concrete facts, but what you've just generalized. In your years of experience in working in the Rapid City community at the Indian Health Services, have all of the majority of the patients you see, we're making the assumption they were women, how many of those women are long-term residents who you have seen in and out of the job situation and what's the prevailing factor to their difficulties in mental health?

MS. OLD DOG CROSS: Some women I saw for the full
15 years that I was there, and many of them, as I said
before, really did want a job. They didn't want to be on
welfare. They wanted to have a job and a place of their
own, someplace for their kids. They were probably several

I would say many of these women are chronically depressed because of what they're facing, lack of family support for them. You can just miss so much time at work and then you get fired, so they would always be in kind of a chronic job situation or chronic housing situation.

And many of these woman would seek out partners in their desperation for support and help that weren't all that good to them. They would frequently have a partner that was not very supportive and maybe abusive, so they were also facing that.

I would say that probably about three-fourths of the ones I saw would be considered permanent residents.

To the reservation

Although, they would sometimes go back and live for a while.

About a fourth would be people that would just happen to be coming through.

MS. BURNETTE: In 15 years, can you say that from 15 years ago when you moved to this community to this point in your life now when you're getting ready to leave the community, in retrospect, that the Native woman is -- I

}	
1	mean, there has been some progress for the Native woman from
2	the time that you began your participation in this community
3	to now?
4	MS. OLD DOG CROSS: I think there's been great
5	progress. I see Native women now that are proud and have
6	good families and stable relationships and good decisions.
7	There's not as many as I'd like to see there, but I think it
8	is changing very, very slowly and professional women, but
9	I'd like to see more women you go into the bank and do
10	your checking account and like to have a Native women there
11	or be the hostess in one of the local restaurants or
12	something. We're not seeing that but I think her position
13	has increased positively in the last 10 years.
14	MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much. I'd like to
15	entertain a break for about 10 minutes. And do we have any
16	presenters in the audience that are here in the event and
17	your name is?
18	MS. ZELLER: I'm Nadine Zeller.
19	MS. BURNETTE: Okay.
20	MS. MAICKI: Carol Maicki.
21	MS. BURNETTE: We'll be back in 10 minutes.
22	(Recess.)
23	MS. BURNETTE: Our next presenter for the
24	afternoon will be Cheryl Crazy Bull who is the vice
25	president of Sinte Gleska College from the Rosebud Sioux

1 tribe. Cheryl.

MS. CRAZY BULL: As Rae said. I'm Cheryl Crazy
Bull. I'm the vice president of Sinte Gleska University on
the Rosebud Reservation. And. I guess, I'm happy to be able
to come and share with you some of the concerns and issues
that are present in the Native community around Native
women's employment. I was kind of uncertain at first as to
really how to address this issue, and then I realized that
that could be the basis for what I'm about to say.

It's my perception and, I think, experience from talking to other women that there isn't a discussion in the Native community about women's employment issues, because it's viewed by people as a non-issue. And it's an issue that while we as women are aware of discrimination and harassment in our work place on the in hiring and then while we're employed, because of our primary focus on just on survival, trying to get enough resources to do the work that we have to do, We don't discuss issues of women's employment.

So I thought that that would be the first thing that I would bring to your attention is that. In looking around, we have yearly inadequate or nonexistent data or information about Native women's employment. We don't have any awareness. We don't have any of the language around Native women's employment issues in our tribal community.

I have to qualify that a little bit by saying that I am not totally familiar with the experience of Native women who are living in urban environments. Most of my experience is with women living on the reservation. I assume that they have some of the same experiences, but they may require different strategies.

There are lots of barriers to addressing Native women's issues. One of the reasons is that we've had the imposition of nontribal values in our society, barriers on the role of women and on their status. And I do quite a bit of work around economic issues, and clearly in Lakota society, tribal women held the best status when it came to the economy.

Their productivity, their ability to manage and utilize the tribal resources were the basis for the tribal economy. It wasn't whether or not the was could go out and hunt. It was what a women did with what was hunted. The kind of gathering processes in making a household and family personal item? and all of that kind of economic activity rested with women.

And we had a course, because of our encounter with European cultures, we had a big change in women's economic status in our communities, and now women and men are pretty much dependent on outside resources.

On the Rosebud reservation, we have about an 87

percent unemployment rate, so it's pretty hard to discuss among people employment issues. Because so many people are unemployed, that's where we focus most of our attention, how to create more jobs and economic opportunity, not whether or not men and women are being treated equally in the jobs that are present there.

There is a marked lack of understanding that bias is illegal, you know, that discrimination and harassment are illegal the tribal counsel, tribal administration level.

My experience is that this is, as I said, a non-issue for them. Or if you bring it up as an issue then you're harassed or discriminated against for bringing it up.

And I have had personal experience with speaking before the tribal counsel and having counsel members get up and talk about the traditional role of women is to walk behind the man and not to get up and speak, so they are, as women refer to it, distorting our cultural practices and women modifying them to suit whatever their current structure.

Tradition is, as they perceive it. That's also going to the inadequate representation of women in leadership positions. We have very few women serving on our tribal council.

Rosebud just had its election yesterday, 20 tribal council elected and only three women. I think that there are nine tribal presidents in South Dakota and with the fossess, at Sisseton, removal of Lorraine Passo, which is currently in dispute, we

have no women in tribal chairperson positions, so I think

these create tremendous barriers in trying to deal with

discrimination and harassment in our work environment.

I would say if you were to research tribal government's personnel policies and procedures, you might find in those documents that are sent out by the Civil Rights Commission or someone that supposedly addressed sexual harassment or discrimination in employment, but you would not find anything that anybody has an ownership of.

There wouldn't be a policy that they sat down with a group of people and figured out what their policy was going to be about sexual harassment or what their policy was going to be about discrimination. You would find, I think, if you were to look at those kinds of things, for tribal entities, tribal programs, even the tribal colleges, you would probably find the same situation.

I would imagine that you would find a complete lack of recordkeeping that would document that they are not engaging in discriminatory practices. I would imagine that if you went in and looked at the interview and selection process for tribal positions that you would find inadequate evidence that they are not, in fact, engaging in discriminatory practices.

I know from experience and from talking to other women that women are usually discriminated against in

THOMPSON REPORTING 1-800-456-0831 (605) 348-8610 hiring. They are discriminated against in salaries. We do

have the mentality that if you have a two-person-working

household that the woman doesn't need a financial proportion

MR. MULDROW: Are you talking about on the reservation?

MS. CRAZY BULL: On the reservation. So I think that what you have here is much less awareness, perhaps than you would even have in the rest of society, and I know you're probably getting a lot of people who have horror stories about how it is in mainstream society, but I think on the reservation it's not an issue that people discuss.

And if you do discuss it people will resort to calling you names or saying that you're man hating or stuff like that, because you're pointing out ene of the discriminatory practices or bias in the work place. I feel that the kind of work that the Civil Rights Commission could do around this area is that we do need some intensive public education of our tribal governments that these practices are, in fact, illegal practices.

That in acting as sovereign, that it is crucial that they protect the civil rights of individual Native people, both men and women. And we certainly can't allow the state to come into our tribal communities to enforce fair employment practices. We need that kind of support, I think, from the federal government instead, because it's

more important to us as a sovereignta.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In engaging in that kind of public education that is necessary to inform women that, in fact, these practices are illegal and that there are alternatives or routes for them to follow in order to have those kinds of things addressed. I think it would be an important thing that we could do and I also think to develop some kind of on a day to day, or doing some kind of statistical ba research to determine where the employment discrimination actually occurs. What are some of the experiences that people have? I think that you would probably find not only discriminatory practices around women but probably around age as well, discriminatory practices in other aspects of our lives just because it isn't much of an area that's discussed in our communities. That's basically what I wanted to share. If you have any questions or comments.

MR. MULDROW: Cheryl, you're the vice president of the university there. Your students are comprised almost entirely of tribal people. What about those who graduate from the university? What do they do? What problems do they have? And what do they want? Are they able to achieve their objectives? Could you address that?

MS. CRAZY BULL: Well, we find that at the university where actually about 75 percent of our student population is women and about 85 percent is Native American,

our graduates tend to be employed because that's where our programs -- the programs we've developed have been in these areas where there's local employment, in education, teachers, in human services where people go into counseling positions or work for social services.

We just recently graduated five students with tribal management degrees, and one of them was already employed at the university and the other four are currently unemployed. I think what you would find in that situation is that those four students, they were all women, and I think that they will experience some education discrimination, that, you know, you have admeated people in tribal management that people don't particularly invite you to participate in those jobs that are available there and they're all women, and I'm sure they're experiencing discrimination as women.

I've talked to a couple of people about jobs they didn't get, and there were people who got the jobs that weren't educated. So they don't know what do to do as far as whether to have employment. The tribe does not enforce any kind of employment discrimination.

MR. MULDROW: It's my understanding that on Indian reservations that unless it's a program that received federal funds that civil rights do not apply, cannot be enforced. It's up to the tribe to regulate these kinds of

(605) 348-8610

1-800-456-0831

1 -- does Rosebud Tribe have any anti-discrimination measures 2 or requirements? You indicated that they're not in force, 3 so I think you've answered that, but are there -- is there 4 any recourse for a person who would be able to complain about discrimination? 5 MS. CRAZY BULL: I don't know of any recourse. 6 7 MS. BURNETTE: How about within the university 8 itself? I mean, in your organization? 9 MS. CRAZY BULL: We have a policy that we 10 developed ourselves on discrimination and sexual harassment, 11 but I think as an exception, that's not the rule that we 12 have that policy. We have had sexual harassment situations 13 arise in the university, and we've handled them using our 14 policy. We have not had any discrimination suits brought. 15 MR. MULDROW: Cheryl, 75 percent of your students 16 are Indian? 17 MS. CRAZY BULL: Yes. 18 MR. MULDROW: I would think that pressure would be 19 building up to, you know, incorporate more women in tribal 20 employment situations, and for that many women, pretty soon 21 the women are going to be the ones that have all of the 22 expertise. 23 MS. CRAZY BULL: I guess, though that there are a 24 lot of women employed in the tribe. I don't mean to

represent that there are not a lot of women employed in the

not as well paid as their counterparts and that when it 2 comes to positions within the tribe, administrative and 3 4 managerial positions that women do not get equal grayfor 5 their positions. I think we will see pressure on the tribal aguernment 6 <del>element</del> to <del>kind of</del> respond to that pretty soon, I hope. 7 MR. MULDROW: Do most of your Native American women graduates seek employment on the reservation? 8 9 MS. CRAZY BULL: Oh, yes. The majority of our 10 students stay on the reservation. 11 MR. MULDROW: Why? I mean if it's so hard to find 12 equal opportunity on the reservation, why don't they look elsewhere? 13 14 MS. CRAZY BULL: Well, I think it's their home. 15 ere homeland that's one of the reasons. 16 reason, I think, is because they would like to contribute to 17 improving the conditions in the tribal community. 18 sure that there are, in fact, good opportunities for those 19 people off the reservation. 20 I would question whether there is any more 21 opportunity or if those opportunities, in fact, don't carry a big price for them in terms of there cultural and social 22 23 experiences and relationships. I would think it would. know I wouldn't choose to do that, because it would just 24

tribe. I guess I would say that these women are probably

1

25

carry too many social ramifications for me.

1	MS. BURNETTE: Any other questions?
2	MS. KIM: What is your most popular program on
3	your campus?
4	MS. CRAZY BULL: Well, they're all kind of the
5	same. We have bachelor's degrees in Human Services in
6	elementary and secondary education and business management.
7	They all appear to be popular to me. They all lead to
8	employment, and they're all really particularly geared to
9	that environment, so I don't think there's any one that
10	dominates the other programs.
11	MR. FEINSTEIN: I might have missed it, but what
12	is enrollment?
13	MS. CRAZY BULL: We just went to 740 students.
14	MR. FEINSTEIN: What percentage graduate?
15	MS. CRAZY BULL: I couldn't tell you exactly.
16	It's not a high percentage that graduate. There are lots of
17	barriers to people's success. It may be a lack of financial
18	resources. We have to provide everything from
19	transportation to child care to resources for them to try
20	and survive. I think we've graduated a little over 300
21	students.
22	MR. FEINSTEIN: Are most of them from there, or do
23	they come from throughout South Dakota?
24	MS. CRAZY BULL: They're all from the Rosebud

Reservation area or northern Nebraska all the way east to

1	Gregory area. We don't get students from the Martin area.
2	MR. FEINSTEIN: Nobody from Eagle Butte or
3	MS. CRAZY BULL: Well, Eagle Butte has its own
4	tribal college.
5	MS. BUTLER: I was wondering if any of the
6	graduates in your teacher education programs, are any of
7	them holding positions as teachers now in the schools?
8	MS. CRAZY BULL: Oh, yeah, all of them do. All of
9	those who want to work in the school system have jobs in the
10	schools.
11	MR. MULDROW: On the reservation?
12	MS. CRAZY BULL: On the reservation and the
13	schools hire our students practically before they graduate.
14	So we have excellent employment rate.
15	MR. POPOVICH: Cheryl, if you state that women in
16	employment is considered a non-issue on the reservation
17	itself and through the tribal government, and yet you feel
18	that one of the ways we can accomplish more is through a
19	statistical base on information.
20	Who would you feel could charge that program to
21	get it to go and how would it happen because you have to
22	have tribal assistance, I would think. Do you have any
23	ideas at all? I mean, I think it's a good idea. I just
24	wender if you thought about how it could get gone done.
25	MS. CRAZY BULL: Every tribal coun <del>sel</del> has <del>like,</del> an

Economic Development Program or Resource Development 1 Program. I would approach it from there. I would say that I was looking for statistics on employment and how to 3 4 improve employment. MR. POPOVICH: Do you think then they would support it if you went to individual tribal groups? 6

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CRAZY BULL: We could try it in Rosebud if you like and see how it would work.

MR. POPOVICH: I think it's a good thought. I also like your idea about intensive education has the government itself. Any ideas on that as well?

MS. CRAZY BULL: I don't know. I haven't given much thought to how you might do that. I think the two things that really work for tribal government is: One, recognition that they are sovereign, and that this is an agreement as a sovereign government to have fair practices, and to say this is a service or an opportunity for them to ensure this.

By approaching it from that angle and then to network or ally with people in the tribal community that are doing work about restoring women's status in the community. So those groups can carry a lot of weight in the community. We spend so much time on issues of creating jobs and stuff that even those of us who work in those areas don't spend a lot of time trying to gather statistics on women's

1 | employment.

MS. OLD DOG CROSS: Cheryl, you talk about how women are treated when they appear in front of the tribal council. You're not supposed to speak and all that sort of thing. I'm speaking more of attitude now. Do you think that attitude prevails not only in the council but sort of in the home and in the lower elementary schools, like, women have certain roles of inferiority, or they're not supposed to speak up before the men and so forth. Is that an issue that seems to be prevailing?

MS. CRAZY BULL: I know that we just came this of afternoon, and Karen will be talking after me. She was one of the people there, the education meeting where we did a workshop on gender bias in the classroom in the school environment because there's so much attention in our communities in education and integration of Indian studies, that we haven't paid a whole lot of attention to women's studies issues, so all of our heros are males.

That's how we -- names that we are teaching our kids are males. That's when we name the men, so we try to address that at the community level. If you look at our traditional society, women had a place in society that allowed them -- didn't allow, but they had power when it came to decision making and to choices that were made for the tribal people.

And I think there are lots of families where women

still are the heads of the household it still is a

matriarchal environment, but there are people who have been

very oppressed and changed their practices and behaviors of

households because of it, so I think you have both. And we

we're working on that.

MS. KIM: I'm wondering about your faculty competition. Do you have some women faculty members, and if you do, what's the percentage?

have to try to figure out a way to address that issue, and

MS. CRAZY BULL: I think that our faculty is about half men and half women, and all of our department chairs are either Indian or women. We don't have any white males as Department chairs. Charlotte likes that. We have to address the -- at the university I have concern, because our Indian Studies Department is predominantly male, and I feel like this is an important and serious issue for us to be addressing. Why is it not equally female for us in that department, so, yeah, we have our own work to do.

MR. POPOVICH: I just have one follow-up question. You work for a university but in the school system itself we had heard some complaints about discrimination of young women in schools as well. Do you find that on the reservation as well.

MS. CRAZY BULL: Oh, yes. That was what we were

discussing this morning is that there is a good deal of gender bias against girls in the school system, the same kinds of things that prevail in schools off the reservation where girls don't get called on as much. Where boys and girls group themselves apart, where girls' sports doesn't get as much attention as boys' sports, all those kinds of things. And we also have a lot of violence against girls in our schools. So we do see it, and we do not think it's traditional to our communities, so the approach that we've taken is: How do we restore what is actually the traditional appropriate way that men and women treat each other.

MS. BLACK ELK: Cheryl, when we were in Sioux
Falls we heard a study done among Lutheran women that showed
that women among that community, as they were dealing with
sex abuse, incest, spouse abuse, had a tendency to flock
toward traditional women's careers like teaching and nursing
as an area to be safe, and that in order to really empower
women in the work place, you needed also to deal with a way
to overcome all of that baggage. Are you finding that?

MS. CRAZY BULL: I think one of the reasons we have so many women in our school is so many of our programs are women's programs, the nurturing, caring programs. It was very surprising to me when we got our tribal management degree that it was predominantly women that wanted to go

into that field, because I expected it to be men that wanted to, and I think they've experienced some discrimination because of it.

Because we don't have a lot of outside employment in our communities, I think women are providers because of their welfare, because they're able to get welfare and so it's kind of distorted that traditional provider role going into those kinds of nurturing areas. I mean, the option isn't there for a lot of them.

MS. BURNETTE: We really appreciate you taking time out. Our next presenter is Karen Artichoker. She's the director of the White Buffalo Calf Woman Society. And Karen, if you could feel comfortable in standing at the podium at the microphone, I know we had some gentleman come in who does have a hearing problem.

MS. ARTICHOKER: As Rae said, my name is Karen Artichoker. I began at White Buffalo Calf Woman Society in May of 1991, but I have about 14 years of experience in talking with women, and, I guess, looking at women's issues as it were. The White Buffalo Calf Woman Society was founded in 1977 by Grass Roots Women on the Rosebud Reservation when women started meeting throughout the reservation and talking about issues that affected women that tribal government did not address.

And at that time women prioritized violence

against women as a primary issue at Rosebud. That tribal government was not willing to or able to address. In 1978 the organization incorporated and began offering a crisis line to women and children. And in 1980 White Buffalo Calf opened the first shelter on an Indian reservation in the United States. So we have a long history of serving women.

Although, we like to think that we act as a women's resource center and the shelter is our primary project and we quickly found that out that when we went in that direction that the shelter is very time consuming and takes all of your energy and money. Although, we do have several small projects that we do and recently we began offering services to violent men who are court ordered to attend our program for violent men.

When I was asked to address this body and talk about Native women and employment, I said, "Well, there's really not too much to talk about. What employment? Discrimination and employment? What employment?" On the reservation, of course, and at White Buffalo Calf, we have one -- actually two staff, myself and another advocate that we employ. And then being a small private nonprofit, we use the tribal JTPA Program to employ. We get one slot. And most likely, we are not going to be in a financial position to retain that woman permanently.

Although we have had many, many women that have

completed that training time. In our position to the stereotype, people aren't going to stay in those positions. Although, I do have to say that at White Buffalo Calf we try to be human beings when it comes to employees, and we recognize that women have children. Women have other demands on their time, especially when they have large families, and so we're very flexible and we really work hard to help women be able to do the job that we need to get done there.

I think that in our community there's really kind of a stereotype, a historical stereotype that the poor man doesn't get to hunt anymore. He doesn't get to protect much anymore, and so he's really got it bad. At least women can still cook and sew and watch kids. So we haven't been quite as hard-hit by genocide and colonization as men have been.

And I would agree with Cheryl when we talk about the status of women. We know as women we literally lost virtually all power in our communities. Those communities really became very male dominated with an imposed male dominated system.

And, of course, men didn't willingly buy into that system, but Lakota men have gained privilege from that system that was imposed on us. So when women are in shelter, and if we're going to talk about why women are discriminated against in employment and why women have

difficulty with jobs, etc., of course, we are going to relate the cause to a hierarchy that is male dominated.

That makes it very difficult for women to achieve, so, you know, we like to move to the south positive things, because if we're going to change something, the way we figure it, is we need to know what the south is. Otherwise, we're just dealing with the branches.

We know that in South Dakota every year the shelters will house approximately 3,000 women. And we know that shelters shelter about 10 percent of battered women, so we know that minimally there are probably about 30,000 battered women in the state.

We also know that in South Dakota half of the women that are sheltered will be Native women. And while there are some shelters on reservations, we know shelters like Rapid City and the more western and northern shelters will shelter large percentages of women. I believe the Sturgis shelter shelters about 70 percent Native women, and Aberdeen may even be higher.

So many of the shelters in the state have a vast majority of Native women that are seeking refuge. So when we talk about the things that will stop women from gaining or achieving gainful employment, we really need to look at violence against women as a major, major issue. And I know Lisa Cook is doing her survey of tribal colleges and the

reported that 70 percent of the women that that she surveyed said that violence in their lives was significant in impeding their college education.

We know that men have punched out college professors when they get jealous. We know that men pull the wires on women's cars to stop them from going to class and make their lives miserable so they quit or fail. We see violence against women as a basic Human Rights issue, and there is a movement in the women's community in this country.

CK:

U.N. has been asked to recognize violence against women as a Human Rights issue.

I thought it was very interesting that Amnesty international agreed with that perspective, but didn't want to make it official, because they said it was too big. It was too big, they couldn't handle it all. When we shelter women, we try to help a woman do what she thinks needs to be done in her life so that she can achieve some safety and often times that may mean "sheltering out," as we call it, to another shelter in the city and often times that will be, Rapid City, Aberdeen.

Brookings has been more popular to Native women and they can start school there. And there are certain shelters, I'll be very frank with you, that we describe recommend that women not go to and we know that racism is just too great in some shelters and women go to the shelters

in the cities. Often times, I know, in Rapid City the shelter may be full and they end up eventually in the homeless shelter or in motel rooms that are too expensive for them.

They become maids and eventually end up back on the reservation, so even though -- and we don't feel that that's a wasted effort, because often times just for women to get away is very helpful for them to gain perspective and take some time-out. One of the things that I've noticed, and I'm not sure that's something that this body could address and it's maybe something that we need to address in our own communities is that sense of hopelessness and sort of a lack of dreaming.

I was visiting with a woman the other day. She's got two children, a premature baby, I think, on a machine. She has to be constantly monitored. And, I said, "Well, you know, in a few months the baby is going to be through this and then what? You're obviously a smart woman. You're intelligent and capable and maybe this is something you would like to do." And just nothing.

So I think that sort of intangible attitude whether it's in our own communities, through a sense of hopelessness, or whether it's in that larger society, that kind of unspoken knowingness that men are dominant, that men are superior that men and women both have in this country,

Τ.

the combination of those two attitudes is devastating.

MR. WALSH: Where does that violence start? It ends up in the physical abuse of women, but what is its -
WMS. CRAZY BULL: When you look at the broader picture, it's permeated in society. Again, it's society that sends innumerable unspoken messages to males that, indeed, men are smarter and men are dominant. And it sends innumerable messages to females that, you know, you can't beat them.

I mean, you'got to let them win, and we're supposed to be "sugar and spice and everything nice" and I think that, number one, it permeates society and there is that unspoken message to men that it's your privilege to do whatever you choose to and you see that reflected in the laws when you hear about a woman who has been killed by her husband and you find out that if he ever went to court, if the police ever arrested him, he may have gotten a \$50 fine.

There's very little consequence for violence against women. We also know there's a lot of discrimination in the -- there's sort of that attitude. There has been many studies done around the attitude that women are more readily rehabilitated so give them a longer sentence. We do know that women who kill their partners get longer sentences and are more likely to be convicted than men who kill their partners.

So it permeates society, and certainly, that may or may not be the case in the individual family we know that boy children are more at risk of becoming abusive as adults when their fathers are abusive. There is no evidence to prove that girl children are more likely to become victims in growing up in that type of household.

For Native people we also know that while the dynamics for violence are the same as for any other population, we also know that the Christianization of our people, the missionizing which used corporal punishment taught our people to be violent and the purposeful introduction of alcohol into our society are the two primary factors in the violence.

MS. BUTLER: With regard to your providing shelter for people in certain need of help, how long is a stay and what provisions might there be for support after a stay?

When MS. CRAZY BULL: Many shelters allow women to stay up to 30 days we don't have any time limit. Housing is a main problem in our community; there isn't any, so with no housing we figure that if we force women to leave after 30 days, their options are going to be very, very limited and it may force them to make decisions they don't want to make.

We don't consider ourselves to be a treatment program, per se. We feel that women are in shelter because they are victims of a crime, not because they're sick, not

because of something they've done wrong or some issue they may or may not have, so we try to be very clear that if women want any kind of individual psychological services, we are capable of referring that.

But otherwise, we really try to treat women like they're grown up women, and if they want to connect with us when they leave shelter, they're capable of doing that.

MR. MULDROW: Karen, I'm truly startled. Half of the women in shelters in South Dakota are Native American and about 5 percent of the population, disproportionately represented 10 times what they should be, which is really of epidemic proportion. I would think that would be a major, major concern. Is there any -- I think South Dakota, compared to other states has a commendable program to combat violence against women in terms of providing shelters. But I don't think there's that much awareness of the magnitude of the problem. Do you think there is, that there's a general awareness of this problem on the part of tribal council, for example?

MS. ARTICHOKER: I don't think you can live in a Native community and not be aware of it because there is a lot of violence, so we are aware of it. But, again, we're talking about a male dominated tribal government and the officials themselves -- batter. So I think there's a denial around violence against women, per se.

We might talk about violence in our schools and sort of a generalized violence in our community, but to actually talk about violence against women, you know, unless we bring it up. I sincerely doubt that the tribal government is going to see it as an issue.

I know one of our candidates, on our walk -October is Domestic Violence Awareness month and we had our
annual walk. He said that at 'my last administration, I
certainly didn't -- I didn't address this at all." It didn't
occur to him because they have important things that they
have to talk about like how to get the Black Hills back and
mineral rights and water rights how to stop state troopers
from coming on the reservation.

So violence against women is real low on the list, and I think that's real typical, but, you know, we're a people who have been under a fog. The purpose of us was for us to not be on the face of the earth anymore, so when we fight, we're fighting for our survival as a people and often times women's issues will take a backseat to that.

MR. POPOVICH: Couple of questions. First one to follow up on Bill a little bit there. We had understood that there was state funding. Did you say or did you indicate that you do not for your shelter have state funding?

MS. ARTICHOKER: South Dakota shelters receive

1 \$125,000 for the state of South Dakota and then they take 2 \$125,000 for the federal drug money, which I think is a 3 three or four year grant, which is now up. So they're 4 talking about whether or not they're going to be able to 5 seek more money. \$125,000 for the state of South Dakota is 6 certainly not making a commitment to the women of the state. 7 The White Buffalo Calf Shelter, from the state of South 8 Dakota we get \$8,700 a year. 9 MR. POPOVICH: And how much does it does cost for 10 you to run that a year? 11

MS. ARTICHOKER: \$120,000.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POPOVICH: And secondly, I guess, you had mentioned that you felt that in all shelters there was racism in the shelters?

MS. ARTICHOKER: Some are better than others. I'm very proud of our coalition, the South Dakota Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault. I think it's a role model for interracial organizations. We use consensus. We have co-chairing, one Native woman, one non-Native woman.

And it's something that when the Native women began getting involved with the coalition, said, you know, "Lots of Indian women come to your shelters. How many Indian women are on the Board? How many Indian women advocates do you have? And they were, of course, saying,

1 "None."

1.3

And they have really stood up to meet that challenge and I think are very actively conscious of equity and conscious of racism, and so there are some shelters that, I think, that are doing exceptional, exceptionally sensitive and good work, respectful work.

There are also some shelters, mostly the eastern part of the state, that have broken away from our coalition that did not want to deal with issues of racism or any bigger political issues and they were poor, battered women and didn't care if they were green, purple, blue, or whatever. And we have some issue with those shelters and we feel they are not acting and trying to accommodate.

MS. BURNETTE: One more question.

MR. MULDROW: The time of employment. Do most of the women who leave the shelter, Native women, go back to their home situation? Are they trying to get out of the home situation?

MS. ARTICHOKER: We haven't done any studies on it, so I really wouldn't know; and I think the popular stereotype is, "Well, she's just going to go back anyway. And it's very easy to forget that many, many women, possibly women sitting in this room, have left abusive partners and moved on, so it certainly does happen. Women do leave.

I think the poorer a woman gets, the more 1 difficult it gets to leave. Your options become more 2 limited. Your housing options become more limited. Child 3 care options are very limited. I know, I was visiting with 4 5 a woman a couple of weeks ago and she said they were going to move to Pierre, and I said, you know, what we know about 6 7 violence is he's not going to stop coming in, and so please, have the number to the shelter in Pierre ready. And she 8 said, "I know he's not going to stop." But she has six 9 children, all stair-stepped, and she said, "Maybe when the 10 11 kids were older." I mean, she's planning this. When the kids were older, it would be easier and she could leave him. 12 The first step toward having control of your life 13 14 is having control of your body, and when there's violence in a relationship, women don't really control their 15

MS. BURNETTE: We'll take one more question.

Marc, if you have a question.

reproductive rights or any other rights either.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FEINSTEIN: I was quite surprised a little bit with that statement that corporal punishment came through the white society and I guess the missionary's concept. The society is violent, is that when I read you to say?

MS. ARTICHOKER: That's something that we learned from priests and nuns that in our homes children and women are sacred and what we promote and teach is that violence

within the family was an exception not the rule. 1 MR. FEINSTEIN: How could you back that up? 2 do you feel there's anyway possible to do that, to get that 3 idea out that violence is okay or reverse what happened to 4 5 the corporal punishment idea that was introduced. MS. ARTICHOKER: Well, I think that's what at 6 7 White Buffalo Calf what we're constantly trying to do is reteach this was not our tradition, this was not our way of 8 9 being with each other in the family. MR. FEINSTEIN: But you're teaching the women 10 11 that. MS. ARTICHOKER: We're talking about a public 12 13 education campaign, and also, we're starting to do the work 14 with the men now, too. 15 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much, Karen. next presenter is June Radche, who is here for Irene N. Mee 16 17 who is the regional director from the Office of Federal Contracts Compliance Program out of Denver, Colorado. 18 MS. RADCHE: Good afternoon. I'd like to thank 19 Ms. Rae Burnette, Bill Muldrow and the members of the South 20 21 Dakota Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission for this opportunity to talk about the Department of Labor's efforts 22 23 to achieve equal employment opportunity for women and 24 minorities in the state of South Dakota.

25

I'm the director of operations for the Denver

Programs. and across the country employers that do business with the federal government, who sign contracts, they guarantee that they will not discriminate and are to take equal opportunity in all of their employment practices.

It is our job within the limits of our resources to ensure that contractors meet their obligations. I have with me two compliance officers from a Denver district office Charlotte Faris and Ann Occhuno. They are part of our compliance group. who this week have been working on compliance reviews of our government contractor distributed.

Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, North and South Dakota.

We're headquartered in Denver, but we have district offices in Salt Lake City and in Denver. We enforce the OFCCP regulations on federal contractor establishments in South Dakota, and this is done by our compliance officers.

We have 14 in our region at this time.

When companies become federal contractors or Clauses subcontractors they commit to following all the causes that are contained in their contract documents. These contractors may be construction companies on federal or federally-assisted construction work or may provide services or supplies to the federal government.

They must ensure that in all employment areas

neither applicants nor employees suffer because of their race, their color, religion, sex, national origin, disability or their veteran's status. Companies that do business with the federal government must do more than not discriminate. They have to take affirmative action to hire and promote members of the protected groups I just mentioned.

Contractors that fail to do so are not complying with the requirements and could become ineligible for federal contracts in the future. OFCCP has three statutes and an executive order that we enforce. What began in 1941 as a limited undertaking to prevent job discrimination by defense contractors is now carried out through the Executive Order first issued in 1965.

This executive order prohibits discrimination in all employment decisions. Contractors who do over \$10,000 in government business a year are covered, but those who contract of so,000 or more have 50 employees or more and a contact of \$50,000 or more are required to develop written affirmative action programs.

And that same coverage applies to the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which protects individuals encountering job discrimination because they have a disability.

And it mandates affirmative action for Vietnam Era veterans under the Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment
Assistance Act of 1974. This act provides equal employment

opportunities and aftermative action for Vietnam and disabled specially disability veterans of any war.

We also join the Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training in enforcing equal employment opportunity and affirmative action in apprenticeship programs and we investigate complaints filed against apprenticeship sponsors.

In the course of our compliance reviews, we assist the Immigration and Naturalization Service in examining certain citizenship records of new employees. The compliance officers from our Denver District Office review the employment practices of covered contractors in South Dakota to determine whether or not they are fulfilling their equal employment obligations.

In some cases a compliance review is conducted because a complaint has been filed. But more often than not, we scheduled routine compliance reviews to investigate employment practices. One of the most important aspects of a review is determining what special efforts have been made in equal opportunity through affirmative action measures.

During the review, the compliance officer analyzes the affirmative action plan and compares it to the actual employment practices of the company. The investigator will look at the personnel tiles, pay, interviews employees, and investigates all aspects of employment.

When contractors have fewer women or minorities in a certain job than would be expected based on their availability, they must establish goals. They then must make every effort to meet those goals, but failure to meet the goal is not a violation.

The failure to make a good-faith effort to demonstrate one has tried to meet those goals could be a violation. When a review turns up problems, we make every effort to conciliate and then we enter into a conciliation agreement. This agreement could have back pay, restoration of seniority, promotion, or other forms of relief if we find discrimination. If our conciliation efforts fail, we refer the case to the office of the solicitor for administrative enforcement.

Sanctions for contractors can include losing their government work, having payments withheld or being debarred from future government business. Travel and staff resources have limited some of our work during the past several years and we have had to ensure an equitable distribution of resources in six states. Even so, we have done 50 compliance reviews in South Dakota in the last two or three years. Several of these reviews are still in process.

They're being completed, some of them this week.

The completed reviews resulted in 18 conciliation agreements
and 13 letters of commitment. The letters of commitment are

used when the deficiencies are per technical nature only.

The conciliation agreement always require the contractors to report their implementation on a regular basis to the Department of Labor.

terms of the agreement may result in enforcement action.

This year several cases have been submitted to our solicitor with a recommendation for administrative enforcement. PA

large number of our reviews have been on construction work.

There is a nationwide goal for covered construction contractors of 6.9 percent in each trade for women. At this time no contractor is coming anywhere near that goal in all their trades. The 1990 census points out that there could be a disparity, because the census figure shows that in South Dakota there are 3,383 men listed as carpenters and only 33 women. So if you only have 33 women and you try to distribute them among all the contractors, it would be difficult for everyone to meet 6.9 percent of their work-hour goal.

The same is true in other trades. Electricians only have 12 women in the electrician trade in South Dakota; and painters, very few, and other construction maintenance work.

There are four women listed in other types of construction work. So you see, there is a need for an maintenance.

women in these

applicant pool of Vrequisite skills. OFCCP evaluates a contractors performance against these goals. If a contractor is unable to recruit women even after making every effort, that contractor is not found in noncompliance. To do so would make the goal a quota, and we do not have quotas in our program. We have targets or goals.

Compliant officers analyze data for discrimination. Sometimes this can be found in a compliance review and since applicant records may not be completely accurate, individuals who believe that a contractor may be discriminating may choose to file a complaint. We may not have uncovered discrimination in a compliance review reutinely; however, there may be someone in an interview that you missed that chooses to file a complaint and those complaint forms are made available to the public.

Our contractors even have them, so contractors are aware of the rights of their employees to file with us.

OFCCP accepts all complaints of discrimination from persons who are protected under the contract compliance programs.

They may be filed by individuals or by groups of individuals, classes, organizations, or third-party complaints filed in behalf of another individual on a problem that they have been made aware of.

If a complaint filed under the executive order involves only one person, we refer that to the EEOC may complaint 706 Agency commission who then refers that to the state office.

class action

Complaints filed directly to our office are handled in the District Office.

Denver for class action 7

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

\_\_\_

23

24

25

Individuals runder 503 of the Rehabilitation Act and all Veterans' complaints are handled by us. # In order to develop <sup>1</sup>a assist the Tribal Employment Rights Officers, OFCCP has assist us in very good relationship with TEROs. The TEROs can identifying ossible discrimination, often, and when we find an under-utilization of minorities? we have a working initiative relationship referred to as our Linkage Program, where we notify the TERO that we have reviewed a contractor and the or women contractor at this time has no minorities/, and at such time they notify as there is a hiring opportunity, woman, if the contract was under-utilized, to them for employment

The same sort of arrangement on the Linkage

Program exists with the Job Service Office. Upon completion
of a compliance review, we notified the Job Service in the
same manner, so that when a contractor places an affirmative
action job order request with a community-based organization
or United Sioux Tribes or a TERO, that they would be able to
then refer out, along with other applicants, women and also
the minority group people.

When we are conducting a compliance review, we look at opportunities for all minorities and women. In South Dakota we particularly look for the utilization of

Indian women. Indian people overall who work on federal contracts. The unemployment rate for Native Americans is

24.1 against 4.2 unemployment statewide we are working with the TEROs to attempt to address some of the employment barriers that they encounter.

initiative referred to as Women in Nontraditional Jobs where we joined with the Women's Bureau, and talk about what we can do, community-based organizations and the Women's Resource organizations to attempt to see how we can get an applicant pool necessary for employment of the minority woman.

The glass ceiling is another area that was mentioned earlier today. We're also heavily involved in that, and we've done a number of glass ceiling reviews nationally, and what we have found is that women tend to be at first-line supervision levels in many of the companies the larger companies in nonconstruction, but they don't seem to be able to get out of the first-line supervision.

Some Problems being lack of job opportunities many of the companies are down-sizing but prefer to call it right-sizing, and has eliminated many of the opportunities for employment so women have to be ready and almost wait for retirement of an individual, or in the case of unexpected death, that creates an opening, and they are able to move in provided they prepared themselves for that opening.

The Corporate Management Review Concept or Glass annual glass Ceiling
Ceiling Review is fairly new. They do have an award that
they are offering to employers: Those who do the most to
move minorities and women through the Glass Ceiling, and
that's an annual award. We also have the Voluntarily Award
it's called the Exemplary Voluntary Eve Award, and that
award is given to any employer who goes over and above
normal compliance and affirmative action to try to have new
protected groups
innovative approaches to take people out of the mainstream
and get them into nontraditional work or employment, alone

We also have a special initiative in our own employees have office of hiring handicapped women. We we found it very successful. We've had great success with the blind and hearing impaired, and in sees years past, we had never such hiring thought of wand now that we do and found it successful, we passed it on to our contractors. It's a great approach to employment! Findividuals with disabities.

South Dakota, associated General Contractors

of trying to improve and resolve problems and why people aren't moving into construction especially women. And so they a developing videos that are being used in the schools which will bend to the young children's thoughts of: When I am older, I would like to be a construction-type trade person.

the novel approach being to be introduced at

thought was very novel. Also, at our compliance reviews this week, we found that the same problem seems to exist.

where you have a lot, of/employees who left the reservation to come in/for intermediate periods of time where they choose to not remain for a long period.

They choose to return to the reservation. In response to that, some of our contractors have worked with economic development approaches on the reservation to employ the Indian on the reservation. And in looking at records we found that in one example 344 Indians employed on the reservation work over 1992 and '93, 26 of whom were women.

Those were employed on federal work by employers. The concept being: Bring the work to the reservation rather than try to get the Indian to leave the reservation and work off the reservation. At this time, I'd like to answer any questions anyone has.

MR. POPOVICH: I know you work with compliance but we've heard, this committee has heard from several people that have talked about how women also may not always want nontraditional jobs and that nontraditional jobs are fine and, of course, we should be trying to get them towards that goal. But is there any way to recognize traditional roles of women that have always been in the past giving them more

1	credential? Has the Department of Labor worked on any
2	anything like that? Rad+ke
3	MS. RADCHE: All of our nonconstruction
4	contractors who fall into traditional types of jobs, they
5	have an affirmative action plan that is designed based on the
6	requisite skills in the recruiting area and internally $\theta$
7	within the work force, and develop plans, and set goals, work
8	towards those goals. And they do it through affirmative
9	recruiting so that they don't have people in their internal
10	work force that they can promote from a lower grade to a
11	higher grade in another category.
12	Then they have the external recruiting approach
13	that's used. Our focus in doing our fieldwork is probably
14	40 percent construction and 60 percent nonconstruction-type  There is recognition given to training for more
15	contractors. Yes / very much so. Although, our special creden
16	programs at this time, we're dealing with nontraditional
17	jobs.
18	MR. MULDROW: Did you say you have 19 compliance?
19	MS. RADCKE: In our region, 14.
20	MR. MULDROW: 14, okay. Are these adequate to
21	deal to monitor the federal contracting programs? And how
22	do you choose the companies that you do target for
23	compliance review?
24	MS. RADOME: The first approach is if a complaint
25	comes in, we piggyback a compliance review on that complaint

because we have to investigate the complaint. Complaints 1 2 are number one priority. MR. MULDROW: That's an individual complaint? 3 MS. RADCHE: Right. And after that, it would be 5 based on if we got a concern from the community. Often, a third-party complaint doesn't come in so we'll hear 6 7 something by either a phone call that Contractor-A is noticed to have a particular situation that we need to look 8 9 And we decide to do a routine review, provided we have 10 government contract coverage. 11 The rest of it is based on what we call the 12 It/s a computer microfish process that comes out of covering 13 our National Office, where every contractor who files an EEOl Report. which describes their total work force. lata goes into a computer system and is sent out quarterly to all 15 16 the regions. And it lists all the employers and the ranking of 17 minorities and women within each establishment, and if we 18 find a particular establishment that 19 20 area, that would be targeted for a review. 21 Is that why you're here now in the MR. MULDROW: 22 state with a whole team of people? We're here in the state, probably a 23 24 deals with employment resources budget. We had a number of people here about two weeks ago, also, And we

	the weather
1	wanted to finish the northern states before it got too bad
2	weather.
3	MR. MULDROW: Have you ever recommended
4	withholding federal funds as a result of your compliance
5	reviews?
6	MS. RADCHE: The Department of Labor has no
7	authority to withhold progress payments. Federal agencies
8	that have the funding process directly, we can recommend to
9	them that they will hold progress payments, out. For
10	example, we could recommend to HUD that HUD would have the
11	housing authority, withhold a progress payment on a
12	particular contractor. But it would be their decision and
13	because it would be that that contractor violated the contract
14	provisions. We can only go through the Due Process System
15	that deals with the compliance review the conciliation
16	agreement; a violation of the agreement and then going to an
17	administrative complaint, and keep on in the procedures
18	MR. MULDROW: How does South Dakota stack up in
19	the region?
20	MS. RADCHE: In terms of compliance?
21	MR. MULDROW: Yes.
22	MS. RADCHE: Across the nation, no contractors
23	have been able to be meet goals in the class for women.
24	Some have met goals for laborers, and laborers is considered
25	Could a trade, in a sense, that woman goal <del>can</del> be met. However,

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the class I have not seen it and I have not seen it in South Dakota. Minority utilization with some companies and some trades for the Indians is very good. And in other trades, they are not represented.

MS. OLD DOG CROSS: Back to TEROs, do you have any control over them, you know, you were talking about reporting. What if a TERO misbehaves in a certain way and somebody had a complaint about a TERO, could they report to your office and say we feel that they're either not doing their work or stepping out of bounds or doing something that they shouldn't be doing? Would that be appropriate?

MS. RADCHE: That would be handled either through the tribal government AEEOC Commission that funded them. We don't fund them. Our relation with the TEROs is very strong in that TEROs are the counterparts to our compliance officers, and so we've done training sessions on how to be a compliance officer, how to handle a preconstruction conference, the things that our office would know how to do. We've trained them and given them training manuals on how to have procedures on the reservation We we dome, videos so that they know how to do these have made processes and that has been very effective. And they are the ones who alert us to problems with contractors frequently And it will result in a compliance review that we will conduct.

MS. SHAW: I have a question for you. Earlier you

mentioned -- my name is Sharon Shaw and I'm a compliance 1 2 officer --3 MR. MULDROW: Ma'am, would you mind coming forward 4 so we can hear you. MS. SHAW: I had a complaint last year that was 5 sent up to the department of labor, and it's still up there. 6 7 The status is they'll send information back to the 8 individual and, like earlier you said, go back to the state 9 and then -- so I was going to follow up. I called them a couple of times. They said, "Well, we have 400 complaints 10 11 here and you're on a waiting list." 12 MS. RADCHE: And that's the EEOC Commission? 13 MS. SHAW: Right. 14 MS. RADCHE: And that's true. Since ADA came into 15 play, there have been thousands and thousands of complaints 16 filed under ADA and they're just terribly backlogged. And-17 what has happened, because of all the press, the majority of 18 the complaints have been going to EEOC, because they've been 19 the lead agency, Although, we have the same authority they 20 do. And because of their backlog, that's very possibly why 21 you haven't heard. 22 MS. BURNETTE: Sharon, could you tell, Stephanie, 23 please, where you're from and your name. 24 MS. SHAW: Sharon Shaw, from Rosebud, South 25 Dakota. I work for Tribal Employment and Contractor Rights

l Office.

MS. BURNETTE: Any other questions?

MR. MULDROW: Does the general public in South

Dakota know how to file a complaint with you? I mean, do

you think people really follow through on their right to do

this? Are they aware of --

MS. RADCHE: We try to do as much coverage as we can. And we do it by means of conferences that we hold. We give complaint forms when the Women's Bureau does a seminar or workshop with community groups. We want the community groups to share it with the public. We give the forms to the TEROs. We do as much as we can in passing out the complaint forms because we do want to have that activity.

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much, June. The next presenter is Carol Maicki, is that correct, Maicki?

MAICKI: Maicki.

MS. BURNETTE: South Dakota Advocacy Network for Women.

MS. MAICKI: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you, committee for hanging in there this long. I know you must be exhausted. I know I am from just sitting and listening. First of all, I'm listed as representing the South Dakota Advocacy Network for Women, and that's all right because I am on their board, but I am also here as a former senator, an advocate for women and children.

I'm on many boards. My work for the past 17 years has been involved in this area of women and children, particularly in violence and against women and children. There's one thing I wanted to clear up. Before, Cheryl and Karen asked me to be sure that she didn't leave the impression with you that domestic violence is more prevalent among the Native American population, because that's not true.

One of the reasons that our shelters off the reservation have such high percentages of Native American women is because, first of all, they have less resources than white women. And secondly, Native American women are more accustomed to using Social Services.

I mean, that's the biggest industry on the cut's reservation in the first place, but domestic violence comes across all economic lines, all racial lines, all religious lines. That we do know for a fact and alcohol doesn't cause it. I want to make that clear, too. It's a factor, but it doesn't cause it.

And the reason I can that say that with assurance is that in communities, for instance, where there is no drinking, the domestic violence rate is just as high as it is in any other community.

What I would like to talk to you about today is policy makers. I would like to talk about that aspect of

this whole thing, and then I would like to talk a little bit about what I know about locally.

In all my years of working, I always thought: it was just one more thing, if I could just get one more law passed, that would solve the problem. If I could just do one more education program that would solve the problem.

I've come to realize now that all these wonderful programs we've heard about are not going to solve the problem. We've got to have a change in our leadership and we've got to have a change in the will of our policymakers.

And to really bring home that point, I had a conversation with a woman who worked, she's retired now, for the Bush Foundation. They give grants to nonprofits, huge amounts of money in South Dakota, North Dakota, Minnesota, and the eastern part of Wisconsin.

They were considering and they had a meeting to consider just cutting out South Dakota for any funding because our policymakers and our legislature were so in the dark ages as far as keeping up with what the needs of the people in this state were.

They felt that they were just throwing money into a situation where they didn't have any partnership from the government. They decided not to do that because we were so pitiful over here, that they decided to wait and see if maybe there could be some changes. So some of the grants that

Bush has given has been to towards that end as far as helping the legislature to see.

So far it's not been successful. I don't think it's benign neglect. I think that it's aggressive, and I think it's purposeful sabotage, any kind of steps forward to make our state more inclusive as far as sharing our resources here in this state.

I have many examples of that, but I'm not going to bore you with them. But I think the barriers to employment for women in this state, number one, is the violence against women. We have such a high instance of violence against women. We've had five rapes in just weeks here in Rapid City but that's not unusual.

And even one of the police officers said, "Well, we expect that many rapes anyway." But the reason it's on the front page of the paper today is because they think they may have a serial, a couple of serial guys. It's just not the common ordinary rape. The cavalier attitude toward violence against women just enrages me and I need to get my anger -- I keep trying to direct in constructive ways, but --

MR. MULDROW: How does that tie-in directly with employment? Violence is a serious problem, but can you tie it in? How does that affect employment?

MS. MAICKI: I have a quote here and I don't have

the cite, but I can get it for you. "Battering is an important cause of female absenteeism in the work place.

Battering, not pregnancy or motherhood, is the main reason women leave the work force altogether." For starters, that's one.

б

My experience in working with battered women in the shelters here in Rapid City and in Sturgis, all around the state, really, and in Wyoming tells me that if you're in a battering situation in your relationship, there are women that work in spite of that, even though their husbands know when they're on break.

They make sure they don't speak to co-workers. In some cases it's as bad as that. I've had employers call and say, "Do something about this duy. She can't get her work done. He's harassing everyone else here." And, of course, we can't. As an employer he can say that he's not allowed on the premises.

Now that we have the stalker law, that helps a little bit, also. But my point is that this permeates all of our society here and starts at the top. I believe that. I've seen incidents of battering, if you will, on the senate floor. Until our numbers are equalled out, we're going to have this situation. As long as you have one group of people controlling all of the resources, making all of the policy decisions, you're going to have this situation. It's

as simple as that as far as I'm concerned.

get phone calls all the time all across the state even though I'm not a senator anymore; but, for instance, a nurse was being slapped and hit by her surgeon whenever he would have a temper tantrum, and I know we have these laws in place, but I know that our laws are not working.

And I know that there is an access and I know that women are terrified of using those processes here in the state. I know a teacher here in one of our higher institutes of learning who was being harassed by a fellow teacher and these are professors, by the way, and she did start with her first you're supposed to go to your immediate supervisor, and she did that and then things really got bad for her. Her health suffered.

She was on the verge of a nervous breakdown. He would walk into her class when she was presenting something and whisper something obscene in her ear on the pretense of coming in to have a conference with her. If that isn't a barrier to employment, her only recourse she thought -- she knew she would be blackballed and there would be no job for her in her field, and it was pretty specific, and so she just waited her time until she could find another position.

It didn't solve the problem. That man is still there. He's still doing it. There are no full-time jobs

for women in this community. They work part-time jobs and you heard today that some of them work two and three part-time jobs. Tourism is one of our biggest industries, and the women who are at the bottom of that industry who clean motel rooms are the ones that are most exploited, as far as I'm concerned.

They have a little scheme now in some of the places where the women are not paid by the hour, they're paid by the room. And when you equal it all out, they're making less than minimum wage. I don't know how they get around the laws, but that's how they do it. There are so many women lined up for those jobs that they'll give them two hours a piece.

You cannot support a family, you can't even buy cereal on the hours that they're giving them, so they'll clean here for a couple of hours and clean over there for a couple of hours. And, of course, child care. I won't go into that. You've heard that. That is another barrier, but back to the policymakers again, and my statement that it isn't benign neglect but that it really is purposeful and it is planned.

Money that comes into the state from the federal government, if it's based on population, my experience has been on funds that come in here for battered women and sexual assault. Under Governor Janklo, we started getting

\_\_\_

2.5

the bulk of money, which is the Victims of Crime Act money and it was very clear.

The federal government said, "This money -- (it comes from fines, by the way that criminals pay. It doesn't come out of tax dollars and it was based on, as I say, population, so our stake was less than \$200,000. The first priority was for sexual assault victims; second, priority was battered women; third was child sexual abuse.

Rather than giving that money to the programs who were providing those services, he created a job -- and her name was Laska Showenfelder, so she took all of that money for the first couple of years until the local programs started making some noise. Now, that money is being disbursed.

That's just one example. There's plenty of them. The money that comes to the Domestic Violence Programs is in the Department of Commerce. That makes no sense to me at all. We have tried to get it moved out of there, and it's a temporary appropriation that they have to go every year and beg and plead to the legislature to get their measly \$125,000.

I do think that we're the lowest state as far as commitment from state government. To me it's a matter of priorities. It's not that the state is broke. The state is in good shape. But the priorities are not our women and our

children. I believe we can only go as fast as the slowest of us and it's to all of our benefit to have healthy, involved people, and I don't understand why the powers to be don't understand that. It's an us and them kind of proposition.

It's ours to accumulate and the rest of you to scramble as best you can. That really is the attitude, I've even heard, when I was serving, "Hey, look, you already got your one bean through this year. What do you want another one for?" As though women's and children's issues were something like the dessert after we've taken care of our good old boy things. And it's not just men that belong to the "Good Old Boy" club. There's lots of women that belong to that club also. And it's a matter of survival.

I believe that they think that that's where their best interests lie, so I don't blame them, but I think until we have more people speaking out, it's going to remain that way because there is no reason to change. What is the reason to change, and when I thought about coming here and someone said, "What good is that going to do, Carol? It's just another committee."

But the commission did some wonderful work in the field that I'm interested in, and that's domestic violence. When this commission 10 years ago came out with seven criticisms of State Attorney's Offices or District

Attorney's Offices. It's taken 10 years, but now, all the new legislations that's popping up all over this country is from those first seven criticisms and how they wouldn't prosecute domestic violence cases and how they had barriers up to women in saying, "Well, she won't sign the complaint so, I'm not going to do it."

Rather than them taking on the responsibility, and we have some wonderful prosecutors here in our state that runners are forefrenters, and one is Jeff Bloomberg, who is up in Deadwood. So what you do may not seem like you have an effect in the beginning, but I'm envisioning 10 years from now if I'm still walking around, I'm going to be, like, "Look what the Commission on Civil Rights said. They recommended this."

As far as violence against women, racism, and sexism, I don't know if I finished saying it. Those are, in my opinion, the three biggest barriers to employment. We have, people in South Dakota want to work. We have a lot of working poor, and it is true that people who are on welfare, the average time spent on it for a family is two years in South Dakota.

That's not outrageous. We don't have a generational problem like they do in maybe inner-city

New York or L.A. People only use it when they absolutely have to. And I don't believe that it is being abused, but

the general feeling out there is, "Well, I saw this woman and she was in the grocery store and she was buying, whatever."

But that's all part of this -- I think it's a conspiracy. You just take their mind off of what's really going on and get them all fighting with each other, and then they won't notice how we're slipping money out the back door, which goes on, and as a legislator, I know it, and I've seen it and I know about it. That may be why I'm not a legislator anymore.

I heard someone mention resource centers, that that would be a good place to do a job. You know, finding these pools of people; and I agree, it would be a wonderful place and the shelters would love to do it but they just can't do it with the burden that they have now and they're scraping for existence.

If the state would fund them so that -- and we're not asking the state to when we wrote up the original enabling legislation, we said that the state could not fund them more than to percent of their total budget, because we wanted them to be autonomous and to have local support so there's not ever going to be a danger of the state having to put too much money into those programs, but that would be a good place.

There are now close to 30 of these domestic

violence shelters across the state, and where they're, situated, it would make good sense, because women who decide to leave, if they don't have a job the first thing, of course, they have to look for is a job. And if there were a way that they could know about nontraditional jobs, ——and I don't think it's that women don't want to do that work.

I don't think it's anything that's ever been presented to them as a viable occupation. I know years ago in a small farming community, where we were in Wyoming I ran a small youth center so the kids that didn't go to college. I thought: How can I expose them to what jobs there are, and so I said to them, "If you could go and spend a day with anybody, who would it be?".

And all the boys wanted to go to a car dealership, and all the girls wanted to be with a hairdresser. Now, that's what they knew. When they went to town, you know? they saw these guys strutting around selling cars or the girls saw hairdressers.

That's all they saw, and so I let them do that and they all decided -- (this is a small high school only 20 kids), but it's tough work standing on your feet all day and the boys saw how tough it was to sell cars and that you had to not always be totally honest. And so then they said, "Well, what else is there out there." And so I'm just saying, presenting the opportunity is half of the battle, I

think. I think I've covered about everything I want to say.

1.3

MS. KIM: I'm real impressed with your statement and could you sort of give us some suggestions about what would be an active solution or any idea to help out women's problems. Especially with your wisdom, can you shed any lights on the American women's problems. It seems they are in double jeopardy.

MS. MAICKI: It's true they are. I think we're making some baby steps towards dealing with our racism, and I speak to you as a White person. I believe it's our problem it is not Native American people's problem. It's ours and learning to undue our own racism is the challenge. And I see community groups who are starting to do that now.

And I've been a part of that group. I learned a lot about myself. I think it's good. That's where it has to start neighbor-to-neighbor, household-to-household. I don't think the state or this group can do anything about the racism, but I do know that in this area where I live here in the Black Hills, it's so overt. if you lived anywhere else in the world and come here, I heard people remark: It's right out there in the open it wasn't that long ago that there were signs in our stores that said: No dogs or Indians allowed. And those people are still around.

So it was not very long ago. Phyllis, you don't see any brown faces in the stores, in the local stores.

That's where the problem is. And people who come from the reservation here, we have a population I believe it's about 6.000 permanent residents who are urban Indian people.

They've lived here for many years and with the movement around there at any given time could be eight or 9,000 Indian people. That's a significant minority that you don't see anywhere, but ironically, whereas the tourists come in and they're in love with "Dances with Wolves," maybe it wouldn't be a bad idea to have an Indian person up front.

the larger percent, if this group could recommend that the start would be a huge step. There's an 800 number or there used to be an 800 number that citizens could call. It's closing up more and more all the time rather than the opposite. I think the legislature just might do it just to save face.

MS. BURNETTE: When you talk about when you address the purposeful neglect of public policymakers where I sat here listening and say they represent all of us. Is the South Dakota they represent constituents and bring to the policy-making forum the attitudes of there constituencies. And true, we all should know better but can you, from your legislative days, other than the Bush Foundation, recall possible withdrawing from the state because of policymaker's inability to recognize serious

l | issues?

Can you think back of any other specific incidents that during your term, perhaps with appropriations that dealt with women, that could have perhaps been attached with women in the work place, whether it's empowering, giving more money to -- moving anything other than -- more specific, that's more blatant from a policy standpoint, because when you address policy makers, you really address the citizen of South Dakota in general, because they carry to legislature, so is there anything specific dealing with women?

MS. MAICKI: Every year the children's — the Advocacy Network for Women sponsors they have what they call the children's agenda, and this would be the third year.

Not one part of that has ever been refunded. The bulk of it is federal money, and the state's share is so little. It's just this meanness of spirit that we have here that they'll listen.

The shelters; they recently appropriated \$250,000 of state money and found this federal money and rather than adding onto it, took away the state money which requires tons of paperwork. I could go on and on. And I would be glad to list some of the examples where it is, to me, purposeful and blatant.

MS. BURNETTE: From the testimony that we heard in

THOMPSON REPORTING 1-800-456-0831 (605) 348-8610 Sioux Falls and here today, it seems as though the programs, state programs in particular that are designed to address some of the barriers, they're out of federal legislation and federal mandates from highways to the Carl Perkins Act. I mean, they're here in South Dakota only because of that federal legislation and mandate. Is there anything that you can think of that the state of South Dakota, through their own initiative, developed in terms of policy for women and children with their own dollars?

MS. MAICKI: No, I can't think of a single instance.

MR. MULDROW: I just want to say before you leave the stand that your advocacy for women have not gone unnoticed with this state. I've talked to a lot of people in connection with this project, and your name keeps coming up over and over as a person who has been a tremendous source of encouragement and support for women, and I commend your efforts.

MS. MAICKI: I thank you for saying that, but I also have to let you know that I have nothing to lose. My husband and I own an electrical engineering firm, so I'm not afraid of losing my job. A lot of people speaking today are terrified that they may say the wrong thing. They did punish me, because as a senator they told me I had a conflict of interest. As far as I know there were only

three legislators who were told: You choose between your legislators job and duties. All three of them woman; all three Democrats, and all three senators and all within the last three or four years.

MR. POPOVICH: I just wanted to comment that I had, since our last meeting, received our newsletter and Carol is quoted in this issue and I wondered how widespread this is or whether the legislature briefed this or had access to it?

MS. MAICKI: They didn't publish it for a couple of years, because they didn't have any money. They just got some money from U.S. West and they do read it. All of the legislators may, legislators you meet also. In, yes, it's a terrible problem. None of them argue on the floor. It's all done underground and I was one of the people that -- and that was just three years ago when we got rid of the "exemptions for husbands" is what I call it, in the rape statutes. Then it was out in the open, but the press picked them up. So now, they're smart so you don't hear them out in the open. You won't hear a legislator that says. "Well, I think domestic violence is okay." They may think it, but they don't say it anymore.

MR. POPOVICH: We also heard that 90 percent of the laws that are out there on domestic violence are good laws but no enforcement.

people did on one of those commissions that had those seven 2 3 The police are arresting; the prosecutors are prosecuting. From my perspective it's light-years. 4 MS. BURNETTE: So judicially it's made a 5 difference, not necessarily administratively or otherwise. 6 7 Thank you very much. Our next presenter is Nadine Zeller, FIND 8 counselor, at Job Service of South Dakota. 9 MS. ZELLER: I'm Nadine Zeller and I'm a Rapid 10 City Native, so I'm well aware of everything that goes on in 11 Rapid City, and just as a point of interest, I think you 12 13 might like to know that I, too, at one point was, a single mother and had five children, and for seven years worked two 14 jobs in order to support my children due to lack of child 15 16 support. My job primarily is te-make and to help welfare 17 clients become self sufficient, and free of the welfare 18 system with the ultimate goal of full-time employment. And 19 I want to preface everything by saying that I feel like I 20 21 have to say exclamation point, exclamation point, exclamation point, because everything I'm probably going to 22 say you've heard so maybe just by hearing it again and again 23 it's like an exclamation point. 24 I'm self-sufficient and free of 25

THOMPSON REPORTING

1-800-456-0831

p clients become self-sufficient and

(605) 348-8610

MS. MAICKI: That's changed because of what you

From that we determine the factors that need to be worked on and make a plan to try and overcome those factors.

And before I go on, one thing I'd like to address, and it's kind of been bented at a little bit, is the statement that many of you'll hear people say, "Well, they're on welfare. Why don't they just get a job and get off welfare?"

Hopefully, by the time you've heard everybody

today and by the time I'm through you'll understanding that

many of these people have so many factors that they deal

because of the backgrounds

with, that just coming from the backgrounds that they come

from, many of them come from backgrounds of alcoholism,

and sexual abuse, that it isn't that cut and

physical abuse we've been hearing about. And I might

like highlight on the physical abuse.

because

With a lot of our women, as they have been very submissive to their husbands, as they start to make a step of the comes forward to become independent. The very threatening, to their husband or male friend, as whatever to that male friend that they're going to lose control of them. So many a lot of times they become physically abuse to keep in their place them back in the position and to keep them submissive.

And many times, as Phyllis and other people have said, when somebody has been physically abused, you wonder why they go back to that same situation. We know now we've hear the most said of being alone or just think they can't make it on their own.

They come from backgrounds of alcoholism, physical abuse, sexual abuse. and other emotionally degrading things that make them unable to move to employment. All of this creates and enhances their feeling of low self-esteem, which is one of the biggest things that we have to overcome. Many times I've said to my clients, "If I could give one gift to you, I would give to you the gift of self-esteem." Because if you can to begin to feel better about yourself, you can begin to move on.

Now, we move on to some of the other factors that I will talk about, and what's been talked about so many times. One of the major factors, and I consider it one of the major factors, is child care. And we have talked

## about that. We heard Bobbi Brown talk about it.

Many of our clients, particularly our Native

American clients who come here from the reservation come all alone with no one else. They have no family. They have no friends. They have nobody to rely on, and if you come from a background of being sexually abused or any other kind of abuse, you're very protective of your children.

You're afraid to just leave them with anyone. So that makes it very difficult for them to be able to go suitable day care.

Then if you can find child care, it's many times cost-prohibitive. Child care is expensive. If you get with a day care or some private day care providers, they expect a deposit. You pay for the days your child or children are there, whether they're there or not, and you have to pay all the time. Many of the jobs that we have are part-time jobs, so it's hard to find day care they can afford

Many of the jobs that we have are evening and weekend jobs. That's almost impossible to find day care for evening and weekend jobs. When a client is on AFDC, if they're going to school, we pay a dollar and a quarter an hour per child while they're in school. That rarely covers it. Lots of times they have to use either their grant or their student loan to help pay for it.

However, when they go to work they have to cover the day care themselves. When a client is on AFDC if

they've been on for four months and their grant closes to due to employment, they get what we call continuing benefits for a year. They get medical and child care. We don't physically give them child-care money, but we give them credit for it, so by the time you do your budgeting, they end up with possibly some AFDC grant plus their wage.

AFDC clients, and we try and show them the reasons for working, why it's more profitable for them to work. We talk about fringe benefits. We just don't talk about the fringe benefits that we think of, insurance and annual leave and such.

We talk about the fringe benefits of being able to better support yourself and feeling better about yourself, about meeting new friends, about having a reason to wake up. I have clients tell me, "I have no life. I have no reason to get up in the morning." So we try to tell them why it's profitable for them to go to work.

So we tell them that and then they go out and they get a job that's nothing but a minimum wage job and they're paying day care for two or three kids. It doesn't take much of a mathematician to realize that they're never going to get anywhere and they're never going to make any money.

So it's easy for them to fall back on welfare. We've been trying to do some things to cut down on the

recidivism. Yen knows Social Services says we have to stop the recidivism of people who keep falling back on welfare, but it's very difficult when you have clients who probably very little education or very little work history to get a job that pays any more than minimum wage.

And regrettably in this day and age, a lot of fire there there are don't have to pay the benefits. You den't have to pay the other things, and it's just not possible to be self-sufficient that way. Bobbi Brown did talk about her state program for the Child Care at Risk. That's been a real boon. However, for the Child Care at Risk, you have to have a licensed day-care provider.

That makes it even more difficult to find day care, because there are not a lot of licensed day-care providers. And then again, if you find them, they are cost-prohibitive to be able to get them, but it is a step in the right direction.

Lack of transportation has been mentioned before and does pose a problem. They either don't have a vehicle; they don't have a driver's license, or maybe they've had a DUI, and they can't get it. Maybe they have no insurance, so it would take paying a fine and having to get the insurance. So it's like teaching our kids, it's not just getting a car. It takes getting insurance and everything else that goes with it.

1 We did fight hard in Rapid City and a year ago, we 2 did get our bus system in Rapid City, which is a lot of 3 help. Regrettably, it only covers the city limits. doesn't go to Rapid Valley or to Box Elder or to Black Hawk 4 where a lot of our low-income housing is, but it is a start. 5 <del>als</del>o it doesn't 🗫 evenings a<del>n</del> but it is a great improvement over what it used to be. And it's really very low cost. For a \$20 bus pass

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you can ride unlimited rides, for 520. You can't operate a car for \$20, per one of the problems with parents who are working and with day care, if you have to take a child to day care and then to a job that riding the bus you ride a bus you don't just go from Point A to Point B, like in a taxi cab, so it's difficult to do it. transportation still poses a problem.

One of the other reasons and the position I'm speaking from now, welfare women move off of the welfare system. trying to show you some of the problems that they face in moving off and thun some of the things that we try to do to help them.

One of the big things is the fear of losing their medical coverage and as I said, if their case or grant closes due to employment, they'll have transitional for a year. Toward the end of the year, you do have some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

co-payment, but it's very minor. So that is a real fear, if you have children or if you have a child that has some medical problems or you do, moving off of anything where is hot going to be A medical care is a real problem.

Being a single parent is a form of discrimination or they face discrimination that way. Employers think if you're a single parent you're a going to miss a lot of work because of sick children. We've heard cases where people have had to quit because of sick children.

They worry you're going to get pregnant. don't think you're stable. Being divorced 🚁 Can Causel discrimination, a connotation that sometimes leads to forms of harassment that aren't always there. Regrettably, there are some employers who still direct-interview questions to, "I see you have children. Do you have day care problems? Do you plan to get married again?" I mean, questions that you can't believe employers ask, but they do ask those questions.

As I said, many of our clients have little or no work history and they lack even a high school diploma or a GED, which has been addressed. A lot of our clients have maybe an eighth grade education. That's a long ways to come from and things to get through. We have a really good GED program through our Adult Basic Education Program.

They're set up at the Jefferson Building, and they

meet Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday, and Saturday
morning. Every day but Saturday morning they have day care
provided and they have classes morning, afternoon, and

4 evening, and they also remediation to help.

There are all kinds of assistance programs there to help, and it's in a real nonthreatening situation, so we really encourage our women to work on their GED. We can help them by paying for the GED test when the time comes, and then we also try to urge them to go on for education or training if at all possible.

As I said, we pay day care for them, and we help them fill out financial aide forms, the different things they do. Before we urge them to do this, we do a lot of testing in our office. We give an aptitude test. We give an interest inventory. We do vocational counseling so that when somebody is heading into a educational field or a training field that we know, that they really have an interest in that or that they have the aptitude for it.

Also, if we find they have a real need for some remediation in math or reading, we can help them. I always try to tell my clients that I'm here to help you be successful, not to set you up for failure. And if I would send somebody to college and they have a real math problem or a reading problem, probably what would happen is that they wouldn't call me until the damage was done and they

1 dropped out of school.

So there are a lot of things that you have to keep on top of. Even clients with education and training face the obstacle of finding employment that will support a family. We've talked about that. Linda Lea spoke of that, other people have, that women rarely command a salary that a man does, and often when women, even though they have education, when they go out to look for jobs they're categorized into the clerical or less than management positions, the old traditional stereotypes and they need to earn the same amount of money that a man does, but they still don't command the salary that a man does.

Many of our Native American clients face an even greater problem becoming employed. Sometimes it's very difficult for them to even get an interview, and then even those with training and experience have difficulty securing competitive jobs. and when I was doing orientation one morning and in speaking to clients before this, I had one young client say to me, she said, "Please mention that even when a client. I have brown when somebody really wants to work that if you're pregnant, nobody is going to hire you." And we know that's not a reason for not hiring, but we can do things silently and not give the reason why.

Another major factor that's been mentioned briefly and is really dear to my heart that we're working very hard

on is the lack of affordable housing. We have seen in Rapid

City this year more people living in their cars this summer

in parks. There are more cardboard boxes up.

There are more things that are happening than

we've ever seen before, and when you talk to people about it

There are more things that are happening than we've ever seen before, and when you talk to people about it they think it's just one more government handout we're looking at a lot of social issues, created from these conditions.

Phyllis touched on the fact that many people move here and all live together. We have found that when families move together, lots of times that's when the alcoholism, the abuse, everything else comes forward because it's just too many personalities, too many factors going on. And I will tell you, it's very hard for them to come into my office and say, "You are going to find a job today."

Then their main concern is where they're going to sleep with their kids tonight.

So we have to be real sensitive to everything that's goes on and we have to look at these issues. When I talked about the clients that deal with a lot of psychological problems, the alcoholism and all, I had to the common to allow the time of he had to the head hard with my superiors? because, of course, they think our job is that everybody just comes in. You send them out; they have the job and they're off welfare.

Well, let me tell you, that's not the way it goes.

\* lot of my clients, it takes them six months to a year to 1 help them become employable, and I finally said, and I 2 argued and I argued, because they kept saying, "We have all 3 this recidivism to keep falling back on." And I said, 4 Yunless you let us take the time to help them become 5 healthy, they are never going to stay off welfare 🗡 6 I can send them out, and they'll get a job, but 7 then something will flare-up and they will be drinking or 8 there will be abuse or they will have a flashback of 9 something that's going on and the job is gone. I have a 10

real good relationship with several of the counseling

agencies and we make referrals.

We get people into counseling. We get them into alcohol treatment. There is a lack of adequate alcohol treatment available. It takes a long time sometimes to get somebody in and we've worked real hard on that.

If somebody needs alcohol treatment, you have to send them when they're ready. You can't have them say, you know, "I'm ready to go." And then six months later, say, "Well, we've got a place for you." Because maybe six months later they're not ready to go, so we have all those things that we have to work through to help make people job-ready, and we have to help involve the different things that are there.

Nonpayment of child support was brought up and

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that puts many people in the position of having to work at 1 low-paying jobs. They're left alone and they don't have a lot of experience and had they had some child support, maybe they could have been survived, and many times it means working two jobs and then that creates lots more problems. the day care and all the other things that I've already touched on.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The treatment of women in the work field is often so subtle that you can't put your finger on it. Women feel threatened to stand up for higher wages or their rights for fear of losing their job. Consequently, they are willing to work for lower wages and in less than desirable situations. Women feel a lot of discrimination, things in subtle ways that aren't mentioned either. They feel that because they may be a little fat, too old, some of those things that possibly that a man wouldn't feel, they are not

Those things are situations. When they go to interview, maybe the employer will write back and say: not fit the employment setting they were going to be in. They didn't say anything about what, but it's not hard to I mentioned to you several of the factors figure that out. that I see that are of concerns see why women have a hard time transitioning off welfare, but I'd like to mention a few of the things that we try to do to help them get past this.

For one thing, we have a Job Search Assistance class. We have a two-day class where, they come in and they learn how to interview, how to effectively fill out a resume, how to effectively fill out applications, and I say that, effectively, because lots of people don't even know how to do that right.

We do a videotaped interview where they could see how they come across in an interview. When I teach the class, I spend a lot of time working on self-esteem all of the things that are bolsters to them, because those are the things that they probably are lacking the most. We have what we call Community Service. That's where our clients for valuate aren't paid but they're given a stipend of \$25 a month?

This is to me I equate it to the old

apprenticeship program, and I see it as so valuable, because

when you have people that have no work experience or no

training or not much education, but they're interested in

maybe learning a job, we get an employer who is willing to

work, and it's like volunteer time, but they're given that

stipend for a bus base of the week to whatever.

we do help them with day care while they're on he program.

He program

	.0.0
1	about adult basic education. We provide supportive
2	services. Rhyllis, again, touched on the fact of clothing.
3	A lot of our clients when they come in, it taken
4	had the money to buy clother suited for work or an everything they can get to get their shildren clothed to go
5	interview cly they teached. We can help them buy an interview outfit. They
6	get a job that requires that they address for a job, then we
7	can help them put together a wardrobe.
8	We can help buy uniforms. We can help buy gas or
9	bus passes until get your first paycheck. We do
10	one-time car repairs, and that's only when it's kind of like
11	the last vestige that we do to make them self-sufficient
12	can do car repairs within an allowable amount.
13	things that we can do to help them.
14	Also, there is the JTPA which has been touched
15	on, the Job Training Partnership Act and on-the-job
16	training bwhere the government pays 50 percent of the wage
17	during the training period. After we've done the testing
18	found aclient and the interest for a joint and the joint and the interest for a joint and the interest
19	something, we can self them to an employer that way. We
20	also then, of course, have the eld job referral where we've the client for sob Alarch.
21	get them coming in weekly to get them in the field.
22	And I would like to probably end by again
23	emphasizing that most welfare clients would rather be

working than be on welfare. They don't want to be on

welfare. They want a better life for themselves. We do

24

the ciple we are triging to break, are
we're trying to break the cycle. We're trying to reach the
clients at a younger age. We're trying, through
legislation, to not allow them to be on'so long. But most
of them would rather be working to better be able to take
care of their kids.

Then I heard you ask some people what you thought
for recommendations and I thought of two things, and I guess.

Therefore would be to encourage affordable day-care
centers. If there could be some day-care centers that could
be located that would be at an accessible point, where people
gould them drop them off. But more people are going to be
kept out of the work force because of day care.

And then one thing that I think, that I would charge you all to do and that I try to do every chance I get, and that is to educate the public about the plight of the welfare client. Again, they don't want to, most of them dent want to be on welfare.

A lot of them are put there due to circumstances that were totally out of their control, and they're trying to about They're overcoming major factors. I think when we fought for the bus system, we had a lawyer that was on our board and he said, "I'm a single man. I have two cars in my driveway. I didn't know that we had a transportation problem in Rapid City." And I think that's the problem that we have with a lot of things is people don't know that we

have a problem in Rapid City, that we have a housing problem. 1 and that we have clients who are fighting to survive. 2 I think a lot of it is that we just need to 3 educate people that when you hear the old saw, "Well, 4 they're on welfare. Why aren't they out working?" And you 5 can say: Well, maybe they didn't get out of a warm bed this 6 7 morning, and maybe their tummies aren't full, and maybe they didn't have a car to drive to the job, and maybe they didn't 8 have a day-care provider when they got there. 9 And maybe all they had to put on this morning was 10 a light sweater and it's snowing out. So maybe we need to 11 12 educate people that there are reasons why this happens and that we are all working very hard to try to change that. 13 MS. BUTLER: I have one recommendation. Number 14 one, was affordable day-care center. Somehow I missed 15 recommendation number two. 16 17 MS. ZELLER: The other one was my charge to you to The public about how did 18 19 e to be on we Do you believe that 20 Two things. the National Health Care Plan being proposed will help or 21 will it hurt? Will people want to regress back and stay on 22 welfare, or do you believe that this will actually help them 23

MS. ZELLER: I think the fear of losing health

get out into the work place?

24

care would be eliminated. There is so much to this health care plan, and I don't feel that I'm an expert to speak on it, but I think when you have a health care plan so that > wont be so warried abo One thing that we do people feel that there is something, llowing welfo have, you know, we have a lot of doctors in Rapid City that won't accept Title 19 so even though they have Title 19 they can't find health care. We do have a Rapid City Community Health Center now, which has been great, and they have five or six doctors 

now, which has been great, and they have five or six doctors on staff and a couple of P.A.'s, and a couple of nurses, and they're going to build a new facility. The near future So again, we're making some strides, but I think them the standpoint if it allowed affordable day care, make

from the standpoint if it allowed affordable day care, make, there's always the old saw the other way, and that's that a lot of small employers say they can't stay in business in their jobs. I did want to touch, too, about, and then I'll get back to that, when Jim Walsh said this morning, "There's all kinds of jobs around." There are a lot of jobs in Rapid many are minimum wage and part-time

And the thing that's very discouraging to us when we sit and watch economic development brings employment into Rapid City, a company will look at Rapid City and they were talking, when they talked about coming, about paying six and \$7.00 an hour. All of a sudden, they're in Rapid City and they're here and what are they paying? Four and a half an Thus section is po confusing. To make sense of it, would be just to pay - There is a need for creating about minimum wage jobs, Economic development.

1-800-456-0831

(605) 348-8610

hour and that's really discouraging because economic

development money is going into it and all other things.

And they talk about management positions. Ver

rarely do these jobs bring in management positions. The

bring management in. They're coming in here for the

laboring force, so granted, it is creating jobs, but it's creating minimum wage jobs. And I would like to see anybody

take care of a family on it.

I mean, I, speaking from experience, worked 40 hours a week for the state and 25 hours a week for Sears for seven years, to try and take care of my family, because it just needed to be taken care of. My kids were getting to be teenagers by the time I was alone and getting ready for college and they're not cheap at that age, so it took a lot of money.

MS. KIM: I'm so touched because of your compassion for welfare people. It's really wonderful, and what's your workload? Sometimes don't you feel like you get burned out?

MS. ZELLER: Very much. And, you know, it's funny when you asked that because the burnout comes lets of times from the bureaucracy and the paperwork that we've heard about. There are times when you don't see an end to anything, but I have lots of success stories. They talked about the 38,000. There are a lot of people that use the

welfare system right.

ه ایا

I had a little young lady walk in when with a six She began at the week old baby in her arms, and we got her going to the School of Mines. Fours years later she graduated and moved to Denver with a \$40,000 a year engineering job. Lots of them use the welfare system as it should be used. They use it as a transition period into it, but people don't see that.

And they don't know and they're tax payers and they're the ones who are willing to do it. Anything in the

human services field is burnout. I've been at this for 10

years and I have a caseload that's right now it probably around 120 cases. It used to run around 200, and you do get overwhelmed, but what overwhelms me is the paperwork and the more than anything else.

MS. BURNETTE: Are there more questions?

MS. BLACK ELK: We're looking at the change in federal law that's going to require employers to deduct child support, and hopefully, that will clear up some of those problems, but is your organization looking at adjusting so that the person who may get child care or child support, still will need that transition and the support maybe the GED's or learning to get out in the work force.

MS. ZELLER: Right. And you mean even if they weren't on AFDC?

MS. BLACK ELK: Even if they didn't qualify for

		1
1		AFDC, are those services still available?
2	•	MS. ZELLER: Sure. Everything with the state is the public.
3	ava	I mean, the Job Search class, the career counseling, the
4		testing all of that is free. Adult basic education is free
5		the only difference. With the AFDC clients when they come
6		with us we can do the supporting services. We can give more
7		individualized time to them. But somebody that's there
8		that's one of the regrettable things too, about child
9		support. It somebody receives child support, lots of times
10		it cuts them off and it's not quite enough and if their AFDC
11		case closes due to child care, they lose all the
12		transitional benefit of child support.
13		there are a lot of things that we fight for.
14		One thing that we fought for and just got that's really position
15		the new Transitional Employment allowance.  Positive. Many times clients would fail in their first
16		month of work, because Social Services figures on
17		prospective wages. When a client get a job and they prospect that the would be
18		rough income to close their cash.
19		Okay. That's too much. You're PEDC closes.
20		the AFDC closes right now but the first
21		paycheck doesn't maybe come for three weeks and in that
22		three-weeks time you had to pay your rent; you had to get
23		clothing for the kids. You had to do things, so they quit.
24		They have just instituted *** the TEA, the

25

Transitional Employment Allowance, and what happens is when

their grant closes due to a job, they get the one more check grant check, so it transitions them through. <del>And we fough</del>t long and hard to get that, because we could see, you know. lots of times the system sets itself up for people to fail. Everything about the system isn't right. Closed ing due to child support, and then denoting those mothers lphatheir continuing benefits for that reason. If it closes for any reason, they should get to continuing benefits. 

MS. BURNETTE: I have one question, and you're an appropriate last speaker, if you will, after what we've heard all day today, and it seems as though your task is awesome, and I was waiting for you to dispel the myth for me, to dispel the myth that that it's better to stay on welfare than to go to work.

And you talked about some of the things that your office tries to do or does, on the AFDC, but I still didn't hear enough information for me, given the economic climate of South Dakota, to get women off of welfare, and I'll tell you why. And I believe you've probably been here in the state as long as I have, but for a period in South Dakota we heard leadership, talked about how we needed to address the brain-train in South Dakota and how all of our best people were leaving the state and then through economic development, a great effort on behalf of the state

leadership for economic development. We heard today, as part of that, we're now -- we're more of a service-orientated industry with the minimum wage jobs coming in that don't offer the benefits.

It seems as though there are some contradictions here in what our government programs are trying to accomplish, with all good intent aside to what the reality is. And I know that there's some talk in the state about some welfare reform. Keeping that welfare reform in mind, that, you know, people are talking about welfare reform and recognizing the status of jobs and economic climate and all the factors that you talked about, what are your solutions?

MS. ZELLER: I wish I really had a viable solution and, I guess, maybe, the solution is to get more people educated better to hopefully move into better jobs, to get them the work experience, their on-the-job trainings. The welfare reform that you speak about, that I'm ward of aware we and limiting the time a client can be or

One part of welfare reform was that no one who was job-ready would be on welfare more than two years. Anyone who was in a four-year educational plan would not be on welfare more than five years. and so I guess that's positive ways of getting people off welfare that it's doing. I wished that the climate was such here that the jobs were

who feel this.

and it's not just my welfare clients that do

As we worked to get the bus system and as we're 1 working on the housing problem, there are a lot of working 2 poor in Rapid City and that's kind of just a label, who 3 could qualify for a lot of programs, but aren't on AFDC, but 4 it's very difficult:) I quess what I would like to see is I 5 would really encourage the state in using their economic 6 development money, and that they really do see about 7 8 bringing in jobs that create more than minimum wage jobs. 9 In western South Dakota, primarily, the job industry is service-oriented jobs and they are seasonal jobs. (And so 10 lot of things do go. We do have a l 11 12 off the welfare role. And it's through getting jobs that do pay more. 13 14

And it's through getting jobs that do pay more.

Even the Career Learning Center with their short-term

skills, we update cherical skills and things like that, and
they can command \$6.00 an hour but that's, again, if they
can find day care. And that's why I think we really have to
look at affordable day care.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BURNETTE: Can you access information that will tell this community how many of these women come off of welfare that go into -- I mean, can you share at some point with Bill, give us that kind of information?

MS. ZELLER: Sure. I will try and get that information. I'm sure we can. I didn't come loaded with statistics today, because I thought I wanted to present the

story as it was without statistics. Sometimes I think they 1 become skewered to fit whatever. 2 3 MS. BURNETTE: I think what's important is that this committee is charged in fact-finding to present an 4 objective picture of what's going on in South Dakota for 5 women in the work place. And sometimes how we feel and what 6 7 we sense is out there --8 MS. ZELLER: So you want to know how many people 9 are moving off? 10 MS. BURNETTE: Your assumption is that, we have, I 11 don't know what percentage of women coming off of the 12 welfare system. Now, whether those women are leaving the state would be interesting to look at. 13 14 MS. ZELLER: I will do that and I'll get it to 15 you, Bill. 16 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much for sharing 17 with us. John Van Patten has --18 MR. VAN PATTEN: An antidote. MS. BURNETTE: We'll let him do it. 19 MR. VAN PATTEN: I just wanted relate to the 20 21 committee part of a conversation that I had at lunch with 22 Pat Duffy, who is a lawyer in Rapid City, and he said that 23 he handled a matter that could have gone to the Human Rights 24 Division, but he decided this was a pro bono matter, which 25 he took directly to court.

1 And he said that he called up the Human Rights 2 Division in Pierre and said, "I've got the case." And 3 describes it and says, "Do you know how long would it take for me to get a hearing?" And he was told by the office 4 5 that it would take 17 months if he filed the charges today. 6 And I thought in light of the statement this morning that --7 well, there were a few cases over 270 days this was a 8 statement that was made by the office back in February that 9 -- I take it that the average waiting period was 17 months. 10 So I thought I'd at least report that to the committee. 11 Also, on a different but a related matter, and 12

Also, on a different but a related matter, and that is a different case, Pat also said that when the investigators came and did their work on a case that they were extremely good. He was very complimentary of the investigators.

MS. BURNETTE: When did the case get reported or when was the inquiry made?

MR. VAN PATTEN: He made the inquiry in February of this year.

MS. BURNETTE: Of '93?

MR. VAN PATTEN: Yes. And actually, it was part of the finding of the court that judge -- I can't remember now the name of the judge, but the judge made a finding that because of the lack of a viable remedy through the state that the legal remedies were inadequate that he was issuing

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

the injunctions, because that's one of the showings that you 1 have to make is that legal remedies were inadequate and so 2 3 he made a finding, a specific finding that they were not 4 viable remedies. And I asked him to send me that transcript 5 and I would pass that along to Bill. 6 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you. And in adjourning this 7 part of our session today, I would ask all the committee 8 members, I know some of you can't come back. I think we 9 have one open testimony tonight beginning at 7:00. 10 MR. MULDROW: We have one scheduled testimony 11 tonight. MS. BURNETTE: We have one scheduled testimony 12 tonight. I think it's from the Military Women's Group and 13 14 encourage all of us as much as possible, each and every one 15 of us, to come back at 7:00 for that. It will only be an hour at most to end this, and in Sioux Falls we had not as 16 17 full a slate as we did in the morning here. And we will adjourn this second session of the meeting and reconvene at 18 19 7:00 in this room, Bill? 20 MR. MULDROW: Yes. 21 (Dinner Recess.) 22 MS. BURNETTE: I'd like to reconvene the third This is the Committee on Civil Rights and I know 23 session. 24 that we have one speaker and that has signed up to speak to

us tonight in our open forum. Thank you for being patient

1 all day. Introduce yourself and where you live, and 2 welcome. 3 MS. CATHY: My name is Cathy. I live on base and 4 I'm a military suppose. I would like to thank this 5 committee for the opportunity to address you, and I've 6 wanted to tell you some of the experiences that I, as a 7 military spouse have experienced. I can't speak for 8 everyone, only for myself, only from my own personal 9 experiences. I agreed with Mrs. Viken earlier about the 10 positive legislation that has come about in various places. 11 I have had very many obstacles. Anything from when you 12 apply for a job, if you are pregnant, strike one. If you're 13 a military spouse, strike two. A lot of people have had a 14 little bit of a difficulty with that. 15 MR. MULDROW: Give us a little background. How 16 long have you been here? 17 MS. CATHY: Six years, sir. 18 MR. MULDROW: You've worked or you're trying to 19 find a job while your husband is in service? 20 MS. CATHY: Yes, sir. 21 MR. MULDROW: What is your profession? 22 MS. CATHY: Well, I'm a paralegal as far as that's 23 my degree, in paralegal science. However, I haven't pursued 24 that. I have this thing, once I've met my qualifications, I 25 want to go on to something else. Many of the problems that

I've experienced is like job applications where they ask you your marital status and your husband's job and things like that, which to me, that's kind of a little bit too personal. And it's still out there and it's not an option. It's something that you don't fill in as an option.

MR. MULDROW: What kind of applications? For large companies?

MS. CATHY: No, sir, no, sir, just employment applications, and that, to me, is embarrassing.

MR. MULDROW: Could even be illegal, I don't know.

MS. CATHY: Yes, sir, it is. It's something that should be optional. Another problem we face is the fact that because we are transient, people don't want to hire us because we're only here for a certain time, and I understand that. I respect that view, because they have incurred expenses training us, so I do understand that. But I also wish they would understand our point of view.

We're pretty lucky on base. We do have the resources available when we do come face to face with obstacles such as this. They work with us and try and help us to overcome the situation that may be at hand. Another thing that we face and I don't -- I'm not proposing preferential treatment, is the fact that if I had the same qualifications as a local here and they knew the people, then their chances of being hired would be a little bit

1 greater than mine. And that's a fact. That's everywhere, so it's not 2 just a regional thing and those are basically the only 3 things that have really concerned me, but like I said, it's 4 5 come a long way, but we do need some more legislation and I 6 would like to help. Thank you very much. 7 MR. MULDROW: Well, have you found a job? MS. CATHY: No, sir, I haven't. I've had a lot of 8 9 obstacles. A lot of it probably is, I am have from a 10 different region. I know I'm different in several respects, 11 more outgoing, but that's not a problem, I hope. And so I'm 12 working with the people on base, and they're helping me to 13 put my portfolio together so that I could be more targeted 14 for the area. 15 MR. FEINSTEIN: What type of jobs -- I don't mean 16 specific employers, but what type of work were you looking 17 for where you've been denied? Any particular trend? 18. MS. CATHY: I don't want to go into that, because 19 the ground rules basically stated we weren't supposed to 20 slam or we weren't supposed to defame anyone. MR. FEINSTEIN: I guess my question is whether it 21 was government? Whether it was retail? Factory work? 22 23 MS. CATHY: No, sir, it was not government nor was

MS. BURNETTE: Was it the private sector?

it retail or factory.

24

2	MS. BURNETTE: Was it administrative or middle
3	management? Clerical? I mean, can you help us in job
4	classifications here without naming?
5	MS. CATHY: Okay. I'm sorry. I just didn't want
6	to I would say more clerical. I know I have the skills.
7	I just have to prove it, I guess.
8	MS. BUTLER: You are proving it. You are proving
9	it by the mere fact that you're here and that you've made
10	the presentation. I am very much impressed, when you said
11	earlier that you were different, but you know that you are
12	qualified for the type of work that you're seeking. Have
13	you had interviews or are you stopped at the application
14	stage?
15	MS. CATHY: I'm stopped at the resume, yes, ma'am.
16	MS. BUTLER: And the six-year term is still called
17	transient?
18	MS. CATHY: Well, actually, I've gone some places
19	where I've been there for six months. I have been to
20	other bases for eight months. I have been to places for 13,
21	22 months, 29 months. This is the longest I've ever been
22	anyplace, so you know I have a lot of variety that I can
23	bring to the job with me. I have been everywhere.
24	MR. POPOVICH: You stated that the base was
25	working with you on a portfolio

THOMPSON REPORTING 1-800-456-0831 (605) 348-8610

MS. CATHY: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS

MS. CATHY: Yes, sir.

MR. POPOVICH: -- and helping you develop that.

I'm curious. They have people all over the world that they
go to different bases. Isn't there a program established
for women like yourself within the base that would help you
understand what the local community needed or wanted --

MS. CATHY: Yes, sir.

MR. POPOVICH: -- and try to fit you back in?

MS. CATHY: Yes, sir.

MR. POPOVICH: Are you using that?

MS. CATHY: Yes, sir, I certainly am.

MR. POPOVICH: But it hasn't helped?

MS. CATHY: Well, I go, "Okay. I'm not getting anywhere, so let me reevaluate and start from ground zero." So I started taking the seminars and workshops, and the next step I have to take is targeting to look at my resume to see what's wrong with it. Is there something -- am I overqualified trying for a less qualified job? Am I not qualified enough for the jobs that I'm targeting, but I'm not trying to be a paralegal. I love it. I'm not knocking it. It's something I would like to do, but I'm like every mom. I want to spend time with my children as well, and that's -- to me, they are my prime interest.

MR. POPOVICH: Does the base have child care available for working women?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 everything. It's just that it was awhile back. 8 9 option for you not to state that? 10 11 MS. CATHY: Oh, I don't put marital status. To me 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CATHY: Yes, sir, they do. And they are very heavily regulated. I mean, they're more regulated out there on base than they are downtown. My children are of the age, they're past the need of child care. So I was out of the job market for a while and I'm trying to get back in. That's my biggest problem. I have the experience and

MS. KIM: Most of the standard resumes I have reviewed doesn't reveal marital status. Could it be an

that's private. That's something that I don't want to stress to them. The only thing that probably hurts me is my professional affiliations OWC, things like that, that would target me for being base personnel. That's basically it, but I don't think there's a discrimination in that respect.

I just think that the biggest obstacle I've faced during interviews is: When are you going to get your orders? And that's like pulling a rabbit out of a hat. You can't determine when you're going to leave.

MR. MULDROW: Is that a general problem on base? MS. CATHY: Some of them -- some of them take the option to stay at home and be with their children. others, we want to get back into the work force. My oldest son is 21. I want to get back in. I hear some of them talk about it and they do work with us. and we have a good rapport with the downtown.

Most of the places do help and they're welcome to see us because they know we're dedicated hard workers. So I'm not trying to say that it's a bad, bad problem, but it does exist.

MR. MULDROW: Just one suggestion. One of the presenters, two of the presenters that we had today, Elaine Kohler and Gloria Pluimer, have programs that work with situations like yours, helping women to get back into the work force that have been out for a while. I don't know if you heard of them, but I would suggest you might get some help or suggestions from them. Especially Gloria Pluimer, and we would have her address back there. I'm sure she would be very glad to help you.

MS. BURNETTE: Are there any other questions? I have a couple of questions. How large is the Ellsworth Air Force Base community?

MS. CATHY: I haven't kept up with it because at the time I know there has been a little bit of a scale-down. I believe when I came here, there were approximately 8,000 people as far as the working force of the base itself, not including spouses. We're basically a community on our own.

MS. BURNETTE: Right. And I can only guess what some of the organizations are that you cited with your

1	acronyms. What was that, Officer's Wives Club?
2	MS. CATHY: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. BURNETTE: Is there any networking between the
4	civic organizations or, if you wanted I call them civic
5	organizations, where you might call them military civic
6	organizations, is there any networking that occurs between
7	the military base organizations, such as your women's group,
8	with local Rapid City township women's groups or other civic
9	organizations that you may be interested in?
10	MS. CATHY: I'm probably too base oriented. I
11	mean, I've been with it for 13, almost 14 years.
12	MS. BURNETTE: Do you feel the Rapid City
13	community as a whole embraces that base population
14	MS. CATHY: Yes, ma'am.
15	MS. BURNETTE: in all areas other than, perhaps
16	employment?
17	MS. CATHY: I didn't mean, specifically, but to me
18	that was the biggest obstacle that I had was the employment.
19	MS. BURNETTE: How many women in your Officers'
20	Wives Club do you know other women that are experiencing
21	the same difficulties that you are in accessing jobs?
22	MS. CATHY: Well, that's why we have the workshops
23	out there and they want to make sure that we're aware of the
24	situations that do take place. And it's basically to get
25	you back in, too, because when you've been out of the work

force, I mean, FAX's and everything that never existed when I first entered, you know, that's out there.

I have computer experience. I have three of them at home, so at least I can keep abreast in that respect. But then there are a lot of women that don't have that opportunity. They work with us. They don't do anything negative. They enforce the positive. And, like I say, we're lucky. Whereas another woman that would be in this area wouldn't have those opportunities that I'm enriched with.

MS. BURNETTE: Finally, you may not be prepared to do this this evening, but could you supply the Regional Office with a contact person and the appropriate office out at the base where we could perhaps follow up and discover some of our own facts regarding military wive's, you know, attempts or how -- I mean, what's the office that you work with? Could you give us that contact?

MS. CATHY: It's the Family Support Center and they did an excellent job. In fact, she said she felt that -- she and I worked together Monday, I would probably be employed. I told her I was at the point to do volunteer work again just to get back into the swing of things.

MS. BURNETTE: But that might be worth getting just to see what the problem is.

MS. BUTLER: If you'll be here for another couple

1	of minutes, I'd like to just mention a couple of ideas
2	privately.
3	MS. CATHY: Yes, ma'am. I don't want to get into
4	trouble.
5	MS. BUTLER: When my husband was in the military,
6	we were stationed at Ellsworth and I remember being a member
7	of the OWC also. But, you see, I worked as a classroom
8	teacher in the Douglas School System.
9	MS. CATHY: Well, see, I don't have the skills. A
10	lot of us have we should get jobs that compliment our
11	husband's jobs.
12	MS. BUTLER: But you have three computers in your
13	home, and I'm thinking, have you ever thought about starting
14	your own business, because there are some small business
15	administrations. They're called mini-loans for women, and
16	that maybe something you will want to investigate.
17	I know it doesn't solve the immediate problem, but
18	it appears to me that you are a self-initiator and that you
19	could probably set up a modem system or some sort of network
20	and do wonderful things from your home until you did find
21	employment.
22	MS. CATHY: I'm definitely I enjoy staying
23	busy. That's not a problem.
24	MS. BUTLER: There is the Office of Minority
25	Business Enterprise and sometimes they will provide

assistance for you in the form of resource people who can 1 2 I show you how to set up a business plan, how to do 3 projections and so forth. 4 MS. CATHY: Well, see, I do live in base housing also, so I would have to fall into their guidelines. 5 MS. BUTLER: I understand. 6 7 MS. CATHY: So you understand. MS. BURNETTE: I'm sure you and Dorothy can visit 8 after we adjourn our meeting about Dorothy's insights into 9 10 all of your potentials, so if there aren't any more questions, thank you very much for coming back and sharing 11 with us. I do have one question: What brought you to the 12 13 hearing today? 14 MS. CATHY: Actually, I read it in the paper and 15 then I spoke with Mrs. Harris out at the Job Service. It's basically, it's a liaison with Job Service and that, and 16 17 they gave me some more information, so I said, "I might as well try this and see what exactly it's composed of." 18 19 MS. BURNETTE: We appreciate it. Thank you. 20 there any other people in the audience that would like to 21 provide testimony to our committee regarding women in the 22 work place? Yes, ma'am come forward and tell us who you are and as much as you would like us to know about you. 23 24 MS. SCHULZ: Thank you, Madam Chairperson, members 25 of the Committee: My name is Sue Schulz, and I'm here this

l | evening --

MR. MULDROW: Could you give your full name, ma'am and your address for the record.

MS. SCHULZ: Okay. My name is Sue Schulz and my address is P.O. Box 842 Sturgis, South Dakota, 57785. I am the executive director of Crisis Intervention Shelter Service in Meade County, and our shelter is located in Sturgis, South Dakota. I'm also one of the co-chairs of the South Dakota Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault. Our coalition is -- I'm just going to read this. I just try to ad-lib too much and I lose my place.

Our coalition of women is a coalition of women united together to fight for the rights of battered women and children. We're working to try to end violence in our state. We're working to advance the awareness of the problems of violence against women. I'm also here tonight as a woman. I am a single mother of three children and I have lived some of the difficulties here in South Dakota.

First of all, as a director of the Shelter for Battered Women, I see the barriers to woman on a daily basis who come to the shelter who face extreme danger in their own homes. They come trying to start a new life without their abuser. They're trying to start with hopes that they will be able to start over again.

I see women who are battered and abused forced to

leave their jobs because they are battered and abused. Their employers are afraid to help them. They will terminate women who are being harassed at the work place because of the interferences with their jobs and so on. Again, the employers are afraid of what is going on. They refuse to intervene.

They also refuse to seek help for themselves and trying to understand what is going on with the battered women in the work place. I see other woman who have somehow gained the strength that it takes to go back to school and to further their education, only to have their books and work, after work at 2:00 in the morning literally thrown out the window and dashed, because their abuser cannot stand to have them trying to empower themselves to maybe get away from that situation or to be able to help their families with thefinancial situation.

I see the same women in the shelter who would like to go back to school and that's some of the things that we offer these women is educational counseling to get them back on the road again, but they have so many more barriers.

Women come in the shelter who have old school loans they have defaulted on, and the default is because the abusers have not allowed them to pay or keep up payments on them, so they are not able to go back to school.

I see women in shelter who are unable to get a job

while they're in shelter or what we call in transitional housing. Again, it's because the employer or the potential employer is looking at the potential risks of that abuser coming back and hurting her or possibly hurting other people in the work place. When a battered woman is forced into the job market too soon because of financial constraints, it is very dangerous for her, for her children and for all others involved.

The statistics show that one out of four women are involved in abusive relationship today, and that statistic is dropping. Some studies show that one out of three women are involved in an abusive relationship. Many women find it hard to get out of this type of relationship. They have nothing to go to.

Another problem that we have as a woman in South Dakota is we have come to rely too much on Social Services. However, it's one of those damned if you do and damned if you don't situations. They get on Social Services and then stop the system to jobs which just do not pay enough to support a family.

Guess what happens to woman like this? They often will go back to their abusers or find another man to help them and be back in the cycle once again. Child care is a problem and I think that's something that you heard all day long. Take a woman, for example, an average job is about

\$6.00 an hour, and she has two children that she has to support in day care, and she's not receiving her child support. And she's trying to support herself and those two children on \$6.00 an hour.

After her disposable income, after day-care costs, is what ADC pays in South Dakota right now. You can't live on what ADC pays for two children for a single mother in South Dakota. You can't live on \$6.00 an hour and try to support a family. A woman who is presently working is caught up in this exact same situation.

Then it comes to the employment problem. Her boss has told her now. "The next time you have to take off for court or you have anything to do with that ex-husband of yours, you'll lose your job.".

He hadn't paid dime one in child support. The divorce has only been over for about a month and a half. He picks the child up for visitation and doesn't return the child. So she has to get ahold of the sheriff. She has to go through the whole hassle of trying to find the baby and bring the child back home.

And if she goes to her lawyer, it's going to cost her another \$500 up-front just to get child support from this guy. She needs to take off to go to Social Services to Child Support Enforcement, which meets here on Tuesday mornings.

She can't take off work because her boss has already told her, "One more hour off because of this mess you're in, you lose your job." This woman needs to get that child support. She deserves that child support. She has no system that is willing to work with her.

She's the working poor that falls in between those cracks. We found that women in South Dakota are not compensated for their skills that she has developed by being a homemaker. The husbands divorcing women in South Dakota are able to show that she has not contributed financially to that marriage, and she becomes a loser in property settlements.

Often times there is not anything there to further her education or further or advance her in a job situation so she can be independent. She is systematically denied, even entry-level positions, because she is a mother and I've seen this happen many, many times with women in shelters.

Many women are looked at as unreliable workers because, guess what, kids need go to doctors once in a while, and if you're a single mom, you're the only one that can do that. Bosses around here don't like to see that. They become be labeled unreliable workers. Men don't have to take off as much, and they don't have to take off work for family matters.

They don't have job history interruptions, where

women who are trying to raise their children, even married woman who are trying to raise children often have job interruptions more than men do.

And since South Dakota is a right-to-work state and there is no enforcement of discrimination laws an employer can hire and fire at will and they do. Many women lose their jobs because of family matters or other situations such as domestic violence. This is not reported, work history. What is recorded is they are unreliable. The true picture is never really documented.

One of the things which I had encountered which hits close to my heart is that because I've become an activist for women's rights in South Dakota, I really believe that I am going to be limited to what I can do once burnout hits me as a director of a battered women's shelter. Even with my education attained today, and what I can do in the future, it is still going to be very difficult for me, because I am labeled a radical. I am labeled an activist and heaven forbid, a feminist, but I'll wear that label proudly.

And most certainly, the job I do today as a director, I should be getting paid anywhere from \$35,000 probably up to fifty or \$55,000 a year. But what I do, people don't want to do. I'll work with victims of violence, rape victims, battered women, battered and abused

| children.

I'll tell the awful, lurid truth of what is happening in our state with violence. I'll remind people of the deaths, and we've had many this year. The death toll due to domestic violence in South Dakota is incredibly high. Twelve people have died to date.

I personally worked with a woman who was in my shelter and who was shot and her three children were also killed that same day by her abuser. I also, in providing direct services, I also administer a budget, a personnel director in the form of: Well, I'm volunteering. And for the young woman who was just talking, I have a volunteer position for her, always looking for volunteers.

I'm also the one that does the schedule in the shelter in needs such as maintenance, quality control, food and equipment, fund raising. I need to understand the intricacies of grant writing, lobbying, and I also work as a occasional director in our community. And for this, I get paid \$15,000 a year, if my small nonprofit has the money. That is what is unfortunate, 60 hours a week, telephone constantly ringing, but I believe in what I'm doing.

These nonprofits have to be looked at and looked at with the same credibility as United Way nonprofit does, for instance, or other nonprofits that have men as executive director, for instance. Women's projects in the state of

South Dakota are the first to be abandoned. At this point in time, we do not have a Commission on the Status of Women.

It was abandoned years ago by this state. The state has not made an attempt to fully compensate women. We have not made an attempt to fully utilize -- harassment of women in the work place and not fully realized the impact of battered women or women who are being raped in their homes not by strangers on a daily basis.

Our state in the last four years has only appropriated \$125,000 each year to put into our battered women shelters. To date, we have 32 of them in the state of South Dakota. New programs are opening up almost every day and West River is getting more and more of them finally. And this \$125,000 is all the state has appropriated for all of these people.

We have sheltered over 3,000 women and children in the state of South Dakota. And according to the status report of South Dakota Women and Children Issues Digest South Dakota Women's Network Foundation, Incorporated, during 1993, South Dakota has the highest percentage of working women with young children in the United States.

Yet 11.6 percent of all South Dakota families are living below poverty level. One in five children live in poverty and 66.2 percent of those households are headed by women. Women's unemployment rates for all women in 1990 was

1 4 percent, while the unemployment rate for all men was 4.3 2 percent in 1990.

What this tells me is that women are working, but, obviously, not making enough to adequately support their families. This is enough evidence for me to see that there's a disparity in the income in the state of South Dakota. I believe our medium income last year was like \$16,000.

The women who are delegated to what I call the "pink ghetto jobs" are not making this in a year.

South Dakota has jobs for women, but these jobs have no security, no chance for advancement or further education.

These jobs are just jobs. There's no incentive to anything more, and after years of doing this type of employment, these types of jobs, many women are resigned to that's it.

That's all they can do.

The problem that I've encountered also, which is real evident in Western South Dakota is what I call the "boot strapper cry." I take that from my dad, because he's one of those, "You pull yourself up, by golly. You get an education and you be someone." And to quote the marines, "Be all that you can be." Go for the gold. Go for the guste. Get a piece of the American dream.

Well, for women especially here in South Dakota, I believe that the American dream is still finding the right

man, getting a house with a white picket fence, 2.7 children, two and a half cars in the driveway, and retiring to Florida. That's about all women can really hope for. That's how the women that I deal with every day, that's all they can hope for.

1.5

Women are still looked at as odd around here if they're not married by age 25, and if they get a divorce by golly, there better be a good reason, and it better be something like he's not supporting you. People still don't use battering and marital rape as a problem. Women are often still asked by potential employers what they plan on doing if they don't have any children.

How many do you plan on having? If you're not married, are you planning on getting married? And also in that same vein if you're single what is your sex life like. You know, it's not on the application but it's asked. And they also want to know things about significant others. What is that relationship like and how stable is it, etc.

And if a woman complains about this, again, that. right-to-work state comes back in, don't need to have a reason to hire and fire. And if a woman complains, she will lose her job because there are plenty more to take her place. That is the mentality. Women are going back to college later in life. Campuses in South Dakota have large increases in nontraditional students, most of which are

women.

It's hard to encourage women sometimes to go back to college when they're in the shelter when I see that they're not going to be able to get a job where they're going to have to incur \$15,000 worth of student loans. Clinton has something new out that's not available at this point in time, and it's hard to see a woman want to do something if she's got children, and guess what happens?

Her dream gets dashed because she's not allowed to leave the state of South Dakota if she has job dreams or if she wants to go outside the state of South Dakota to go to school. The civil courts do not look favorably upon women who leave with children who want to go back to school and have jobs.

So she has a choice: Either give her children up or give her up her dream of that particular education or that job. Women with children find it very difficult to complete an education. It takes them longer to get through college. It takes them longer to get a master's degree or attain professional-level programs. The last point that I want to make is that women working in South Dakota really are the working poor.

They fall in between the cracks and there isn't much there to help them. When they're working they don't get Social Services. They get cutoff ADC. We do have some

child care help, but that's not available to everybody, and then this is the other thing that everybody's talked about and we're finally getting some headway on is health insurance.

Q

The working poor don't have health insurance. I personally don't have it. I have some real hopes for what's happening right now, but what's going to happen between now and the time we get this health insurance plan. I won't go to the doctor unless I'm darned sick and hurting bad. I know women who won't go because they can't find a doctor who takes payments, or if they do find a doctor who takes payments it takes forever or either it's constantly turned over to collection agencies and women don't want to use the emergency room. That's not adequate coverage here.

I'm willing to pay for affordable health premiums, but what I'm concerned about is my small nonprofit might have to reduce my wages to be able to pay for that premium, so I'm wondering today how many women are going to die because of insurance dilemma.

In closing, I believe that the state of South

Dakota needs to pull its head up and take a look at the

problems surrounding half of the adult population. We need

to protect our future by empowering women, better

enforcement of laws, more equity in divorce settlements, and

less hassle to woman trying to support themselves, more

education in equality in every aspect of our lives.

We need to also start looking at patriarchal values and how our society no longer has room for such values we need to start valuing women and providing the same education of women, beginning in the elementary schools. We need to begin educating our young men in how to be responsible for their actions and holding them accountable for their actions when they choose to act inappropriately. "The New World Dictionary" states, "A dilemma is an argument necessitating a choice between equally unfavorable or disagreeable alternatives." This is where I see too many women in South Dakota today, having dilemmas and not have real viable choices. Do you have any questions?

MR. MULDROW: That pretty well sums it up. Do you have any -- you mentioned divorce settlement but you didn't say anything about that in your presentation. What is the problem there?

MS. SCHULZ: We're seeing a lot of times because of gender bias in our court system, we're seeing that when a man is able to prove that a woman has not financially provided to the home, she is, therefore, not getting the property settlements which are due her. In other words, what they're doing is not putting a financial equity on her homemaking skills. We see them as primarily women who have stayed home and raised the children and being the caretakers

l in the home.

MS. BURNETTE: Sue, wouldn't you speculate a little, I mean, to go beyond that on an economic or social level? An example would be, it's my experience that a couple going through a divorce who has inherited wealth or made a lot of money for a duration of a 30-year marriage that judges because of the attorney participation in it, that there is a concerted effort on behalf of the two people who can afford an attorney to go to court and really fight for the money.

Even if the woman stayed home and had that luxury of time. I mean, there's still money there, a divorce -- it's difficult in a home without any inherited wealth or significant earned income throughout -- for lower income people. For instance, a married couple that worked in the service industry wouldn't -- do you see an equity as more according to class bias as well in the system?

MS. SCHULZ: Oh, yeah, and I could give you an example in my own situation. If you want to hear that, I'll tell you.

MS. BURNETTE: I do think that class bias is prevalent in this state, from the judicial aspects, towards women.

MS. SCHULZ: I really believe that we suffer highly in this state from sexism, classism, racism. I don't

know which one in your hand carries the most weight in that, but those three things are rampant in the state. I believe that. From my own personal experience, I saw how that class system works my ex-husband who was my abuser at one time, I am a former battered woman. He had the money. He had the resources.

I was from Minnesota and my family was back there. When we went through our divorce, I didn't have dime one. And we did not have an equitable property settlement. I ended up having to pay for my own attorney's fees. That cost about \$8,000. His cost more, and that's the only satisfaction I got out of that, I guess. But the property settlement was because he had the money to pay his attorney so his attorney could continue to work for him, and I did not have that.

And this is something that I see constantly, especially with battered woman who are looking to try and get out of that marriage. They cannot afford a family law attorney. We do not have resources through our legal system — through our legal services, I should say, to provide help in obtaining a divorce and custody is even harder. And if you have no money, I'm sorry, you know, you have no chance is what it comes down to.

THOMPSON REPORTING

MS. BURNETTE: Are there any other questions?

MR. POPOVICH: Sue, first of all, I want to thank

1605) 348-8610

1-800-456-0831

you for condensing all of that into a workable speech, but I 1 think that we've heard all those problems before, but you 2 put them together very eloquently. There is a couple of 3 things I'd like to ask first and then I'll come up with the second question. You mentioned that your salary comes from 5 a nonprofit organization is that the Women Against Domestic 6 7 Violence? 8 MS. SCHULZ: Well, it's a nonprofit called Crisis Intervention Shelter Service. 9 10 MR. POPOVICH: And how is that funded? MS. SCHULZ: We're funded primarily through small 11 12

MS. SCHULZ: We're funded primarily through small pots of federal money. We get a piece of that whopping \$125,000 from the state. We also have some user fees that we collect through marriage licenses and divorce filing fees within our counties, which is a state mandated funding tool for the abuse shelters in South Dakota prime foundations, donations, wherever we can find it from.

MR. POPOVICH: And the state pot of money, the \$125,000, that is spread out among all 33 shelters?

MS. SCHULZ: Right.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POPOVICH: How do they divide that?

MS. SCHULZ: They have a formula and a granting system. The domestic violence shelters are city and state government in the Department of Congress and Regulations, Community Assistance Programs. I have a problem with where

that's at too, but that's different. And I should add in there that the state has, up until just this year have also found some federal matching money to that \$125,000 but that's all the state is willing to put into it, and if we don't get that \$125,000 matched throughout the areas next year, all of our state funding will be cut in half.

And they have a formula in which they distribute that money. We do -- our coalition right now does have a problem with that formula because no one can figure it out. We have math wizards that are trying to make these figures work and it just doesn't work. Our coalition also has all of the reservation programs, long-term coalition, and we're seeing that there's also some problem coming from the state in how the Native American programs are being treated by our state.

MR. POPOVICH: My last question is: There's another twist here that I hadn't thought about before and you talked about the employers being afraid also, afraid to lose probably the employees, I guess, somewhat as well, but yet afraid to take that next step and help somebody. Are there programs out there by anybody that is actually addressing the employer's situation and trying to help them through this terrible dilemma?

MS. SCHULZ: Right now, I am developing a program that I can take to our Chamber of Commerce and deliver on to

the business people. I do a lot of public presentation and awareness on domestic violence and I will go out at any time. All shelters across our state will also go out and address to anybody those kind of problems on a one-on-one, if that's what it needs, or go and do a community presentation if that's what it takes. But, yes, we do address these problems. A lot of people don't want to come to us, because heaven forbid they're going to have to admit that there might be a problem in their employment arena, where there might be some domestic violence or child abuse, maybe sexual molestation or incest. It's tough, it really, really is tough. These people don't want to see it.

MS. BUTLER: How wide an area does your shelter serve and is there a limit to the number of days that you can offer?

MS. SCHULZ: We're in Meade County, which happens to be the largest geographical county in the state of South Dakota. In fact, I think it's the number 10 largest county in the whole United States. However, the population is only 20,000 people there. We service the entire county. We also will go up -- we service in Butte County, which is a neighboring county.

We do a little exchange work with the Lawrence

County program as well as Pennington County down here.

There's no limit to the amount of days the women can stay in

the shelter. They come in and if the work is being done and it might take a woman maybe three months if she's going to leave and wanted to go on to her own private living arrangement, it takes at least three months for her to do that.

Housing has become extremely difficult. We just can't find enough housing. We can't get enough low-income housing. My average shelter days, and it works out to be about 11, is what my average stay is for the families that come in.

MR. MULDROW: You must have a lot of dealings with the courts, the judicial system in the state I'm told that there are only two women circuit judges in South Dakota.

MS. SCHULTZ: And they're not here.

MR. MULDROW: Does that make a difference in the way -- does it make a difference that most of the judges feel -- does that show up that there is a bias there or lack of sensitivity?

MS. SCHULTZ: I believe that there is, and that there is a definite lack of sensitivity. A lot of it comes down to the fact that there just hasn't been enough education that the judicial system has been mandated to get. It's real hard to make judges go to domestic violence education courses. They're judges and they don't feel they need to do that.

We've been trying to get some mandated education for them. However, not to talk against our judges, I really do believe they try the best that they can. However, it's just like everybody else, and they don't have the proper tools and without that education they cannot be sensitive to

We've had some problems where the judges, and I really believe it's a gender problem, is unable to see that a woman is truly a battered woman it she's not showing missing teeth or permanent debilitating injuries or anything. A lot of times the judge won't ever see a woman who has been battered or see the bruises or scarring or whatever because that's all over with.

He sees the woman or he sees the criminal, the perpetrator, and we have to remember our Constitution is written for the rights of the accused. It's not written for victims, so they have to also balance that. And I understand that, the Constitutional rights that these people have, but they also have to understand that the victims are in great danger by their insensitivity, by not following through on some of our mandated laws and also they put our society at risk by putting these people, constantly putting them back on the streets and letting them reabuse and re-offend constantly. I don't know if that answered your question or not.

the needs of a victim.

MR. MULDROW: Thank you.

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much for preparing your testimony and being here tonight.

Are there any other individuals in the audience who wishes to make a public comment? With that I happily call this meet to a close. Thank you for coming. For the two of you, Sue, you will be receiving a copy of your presentation for correction, and you will have a time frame to make corrections to what has been recorded and send back to Bill, is that correct, so you have that opportunity, to review that. Thank you.

(Proceedings concluded.)

1	COURT REPORTER S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA )
4	) ss.
5	COUNTY OF PENNINGTON )
6	
7	I, Stephanie Levatino, a Court Reporter,
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I acted as such Reporter
9	at the Proceedings of the within-entitled action, and that
10	the foregoing transcript, pages 1 to 159 inclusive, is a
11	true and complete transcript of my stenograph notes taken at
12	said hearing.
13	That I am not kin or in anywise associated with
14	any of the parties to said cause of action, or their
15	counsel, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.
16	Dated at Rapid City, South Dakota,
17	this day of New new . 1993.
18	
19	
20	Stephanie Levatino
21	Court Reporter
22	Supranu devatur