corrections

Library Copy

3 Meed 853 V.1

1		THE SOUTH DAKOTA ADVISORY COMMITTEE THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS	
2	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
3	Briofing Forum	* on Women's Employment * PUBLIC HEARING	
4	Issues in South		
5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
6			
7		Ms. Charlotte Black Elk	
8		Manderson, South Dakota	
9		Doctor Dorothy M. Butler Brookings, South Dakota	
10		Mr. Marc S. Feinstein	
		Aberdeen, South Dakota	
11		Ms. Bang Ja Kim	
12		Brookings, South Dakota	
13		Mr. Jonathan K. Van Patten Vermillion, South Dakota	
14		Mr. Frank R. Pommersheim	
15		Vermillion, South Dakota	
16		Mr. James G. Popovich	
17		Rapid City, South Dakota	
18		Mr. William E. Walsh Deadwood, South Dakota	
19		Mrs. Kitty Werthmann	
20		Pierre, South Dakota	
21	ALSO PRESENT:	Mr. William F. Muldrow Regional Director, Denver, Colorado	
22		Ms. Malee V. Craft Civil Rights Analyst, Denver, Colorado	
23	PROCEEDINGS:	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing	
24		on the 17th day of September, 1993, commencing	
25		at the hour of 9:00 a.m. at the Holiday Inn City Centre, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.	

1	INDEX OF STATEMENTS		
2	Loila G. Hunking	Page	8
3	Candy Hanson	Page	27
4	JoAnn Redlin	Page	42
5	Rae Burnette	Page	55
6	Charon Asetoyer	Page	69
7	Patty Wells	Page	84
8	Lois Ann Rose	Page	99
9	Fern Chamberlain	Page	112
10	Frances Jefferson	Page	129
11	Jane Kono	Page	144
12	Martha Reed	Page	146
13	Joellen Koerner	Page	168
14	Greg Peterson	Page	184
15	Susan M. Randall	Page	208
16	Tom Burke	Page	223
17	Janice K. Nicolay	Page	241
18	Dr. Ruth Sather Sorenson	Page	264
19	Delila Caselli	Page	284
20	Fern Chamberlain	Page	290
21	Carla Paul	Page	291
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 MS. BURNETTE: If I could have your attention, 2 please. The meeting of the South Dakota Advisory Committee 3 to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights shall come to 4 order. For the benefit of those in our audience, I shall 5 introduce myself and my colleagues. My name is Rae 6 Burnette, and I am the chairperson of this advisory 7 committee. Members -- others members of the committee are, 8 and if you would like to introduce yourself, please, where 9 you are from. 10 MR. POPOVICH: Jim Popovich, chief of visitor services, 11 Mt. Rushmore National Memorial in Rapid City. 12 MR. WALSH: Bill Walsh, business person from Deadwood, 13 South Dakota. 14 MS. KIM: B. J. Kim from Brookings. 15 MR. VAN PATTEN: Jon Van Patten, professor of law at the 16 USD Law School. 17 MR. MULDROW: I am Bill Muldrow from the regional office 18 of the commission in Denver. 19 DOCTOR BUTLER: Dorothy Butler, Brookings. 20 MRS. WERTHMANN: Kitty Werthmann from Pierre. 21 MS. CRAFT: Malee Craft, regional office staff in 22 Denver. 23 MS. BURNETTE: We are here today to conduct -- to 24 conduct a fact finding meeting for the purpose of gathering 25 information on issues affecting the employment of women in

South Dakota. Participation in this forum will provide information, observations, and recommendations on this topic.

The jurisdiction of the commission includes discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or in the administration of justice. The proceedings of this forum, which are being reported by a public stenographer, will be used, along with other information collected through interviews and correspondence with individuals, agencies, and organizations in the development of a written report with findings and recommendations from the committee which will be released and distributed to the public.

At the onset, I want to remind everyone present of the ground rules. This is a public meeting open to the media and the general public. But we have a very full schedule of participants to fit within the limited time frame we have available. The time alloted for each session must be strictly adhered to. Twenty-five minutes have been allocated for remarks from each presenter which should include ten minutes for dialogue with the committee.

To accomodate persons who have not been invited to make a presentation but wish to make statements, we have scheduled an open period on our agenda from 7:00 o'clock

p.m. to 8:00 o'clock p.m. this evening. Anyone wishing to make a statement during that period should contact a staff member for scheduling. Written statements may be submitted to committee members or staff here today, or by mail to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1700 Broadway, Suite 710, Denver, Colorado 80290. The record of this meeting will close on October 1st, 1993.

Though some of the information provided here may be controversial, we want to ensure that all invited guests do not unfairly or illegal defame or degrade any person or organization. In order to ensure that all aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of experience and viewpoints have been invited to share information with us. Any person or any organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in these proceedings should contact our staff during the meeting so that we can provide a chance for public response.

Alternately, such persons or organizations can file written statements for inclusion in the proceedings. I urge all persons participating to be judicious and factual in what they say.

The advisory committee appreciates the willingness of those who have agreed to participate and share information with us.

Now Mr. Muldrow will share some remarks with you.

MR. MULDROW: Good morning. I would just like to add to what Rae has said; to emphasize that this is not a legal proceeding or an adversarial proceeding. The purpose is to provide information to our advisory committee on issues relating to the employment of women in South Dakota. All of the participants have agreed to participate voluntarily, and we do welcome and appreciate their participation, as well as those who will be interested in proceedings throughout the day.

This is part of a larger research project of the committee on this issue involving two phases. The first phase was the development of a legislative handbook summarizing state, federal and local legislation bearing upon the rights of women in employment. That handbook has been published. In fact, we just received it in our office yesterday. It has been mailed out to a long mailing list throughout the state here in South Dakota, and we have extra copies available in the back of the room for those-of you who would like to take one or several.

We will have another fact finding meeting similar to this in Rapid City on October the 29th. And between the two fact finding meetings and the research and statistical gathering that we have done, we hope to come up with a very substantial report on this issue. And then the committee will formulate in connection with that, of course, the

recommendations to either support positive things which are being done or hopefully to mitigate problems which may be identified. The report then will be distributed free of charge to anybody who might find it useful, and will go to our Commissioners in Washington to use in their work and in their own report to the President and Congress.

I would like to emphasize that the South Dakota Advisory Committee is a bipartisan committee. By law, no more than half of the committee can be from any one political party, so we have quite a diversity of persons representative of the population here in South Dakota. This project is their project, and the report which is eventually published with recommendations will be their report.

Rae has mentioned that we have an open session. We have invited quite a number of participants who have responsibilities or information on this issue. We've tried to include quite a wide variety of perspectives. In the open session, we will have some time allotted for persons who have not been specifically contacted or who were not specifically invited to participate, who will be able to make a statement to the Commission if they wish. Those persons who wish to do so should schedule themselves by signing the sheet at the back of the room there, and then one of the staff or committee members will talk to them briefly before that time to explain the purpose and the

1 ground rules for the forum. 2 So, again, I would like to welcome each of you, and we 3 look forward to a very profitable day. I'm sure we will 4 have quite a variety of people coming and going here 5 through the day. 6 The court reporter is taking down all of the 7 proceedings, and those of you who make presentations will 8 receive a draft copy of your remarks for correction and 9 verification before it's used in the report. Thank you 10 very much. 11 MS. BURNETTE: Our first presenter today is Loila G. 12 Hunking of the Sioux Falls School Board. We would ask all 13 of the presenters that are scheduled to introduce 14 themselves and give us a brief history about you personally 15 and professionally, and share your information with us. 16 MS. HUNKING: Thank you. I have hurt my foot and have a 17 difficult time standing. I'm wondering if I could sit and 18 borrow this mike. I don't need to borrow this mike either. 19 For a good share of my professional career, I was used to 20 addressing a classroom full of sometimes rowdy juniors and 21 seniors in high school, so I don't know as I would have any 22 difficulty reaching you, but we will try. 23 For some of you who don't know me, I want to make clear 24 that although I'm described here as a member of the Sioux 25 Falls School Board, my statements don't represent board

1 position in any way.

During the middle '70s I served in the South Dakota

State Legislature from 1973 through '76. Also served on
the South Dakota Commission on the Status of Women from
1973 through 1980. I was the first women to chair the
South Dakota Democratic Party, and the first women elected
to the Sioux Falls City Commission where I served from 1983
through 1986. And was, in June of this year, elected to
the Sioux Falls School Board.

I would have to say that a large part of my concern and impetus for public service has been concern for equal rights, and particularly for the avenue of education as a means to influence and certainly move forward that idea. And, Rae, since I'm not sure my watch is working, if you would keep me on target, I would appreciate it.

I do not have my remarks typed, but I will do so and present it to you. I brought a number of materials that I would like to have returned, but will give to you.

I think I am here today at the beginning of your program as probably the oldest living feminist historian in South Dakota. And so if you will bear with me, I'm speaking to you out of my experience as an educator, a mother, a wife, a state legislator, a member of the South Dakota Commission on the Status of Women, and all of those other activities in which I have been involved.

In 1972, after a decade of national citizen activism on behalf of minority and women's rights, and in the midst of the bitter and divisive debate on the Vietnam War, South Dakota underwent a quiet behavioral change. Richard Nixon and George McGovern were pitted in a presidential race that embodied all of these issues. And the McGovern candidacy was a partial catalyst in energizing South Dakota Democrats to political action, particularly younger people. Despite McGovern's loss, Democrats gained control over both houses of South Dakota's legislature and maintained control of the governor's office.

In that atmosphere, both political and social, throughout the state and the country, the legislative class of 1973 came to Pierre with a heightened awareness of civil rights, women's rights, environmental concerns and education. The largest number of women to serve to date in the South Dakota legislature were among that group.

Among other things, the 1973 legislature passed the Equal Rights Amendment, repealed the anti-abortion law, revised antiquated labor laws and created in statute the South Dakota Commission on Women. I came home enlightened, and joined the only subversive feminist organization then in existence, the National Organization for Women.

That session, that legislative session, began a decade of crusade on behalf of women that started with a bang and

ended with a whimper. Mary Lynn Myers became the first director of the newly created Office of Human Rights, with a budget and a directive to assess the status of human rights, civil rights in South Dakota. She was to research, advocate and educate, which is what she did with energy and effectiveness.

And at the time the Commission on the Status of Women was given enough budget to staff and run a one-woman office, and a special one-time grant of 50,000 dollars to research and develop materials regarding the status of women in South Dakota. Efforts focused on employment, credit, education, and child care. We found that women were being short-changed and cheated on all fronts, even in state government.

Routinely women were being denied credit based on their sex and marital status. They couldn't buy cars or get bank loans without a husband's signature. They were prevented by South Dakota laws from holding a job that required them to lift more than 40 pounds. Many of us were lifting children of that weight. They couldn't work jobs between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m., they couldn't compete in state basketball tournaments, and they couldn't find child care if they did find a job.

Widows and divorcees had no rights to their jointly acquired pension assets. If they got desperate or mouthy

in family disputes, they could be committed to a mental
institution without a hearing or due process. And
according to several state legislators, no one was a victim
of family violence.

9 ,

The Commission on the Status of Women actively compiled research, held public forums, published action guides, and advocated changes in the law from 1973 to 1980. And I brought with me some materials illustrative of those efforts. This pamphlet had to do with the rights of women who were divorced or widowed in terms of credit, employment, and listing resources. For schools, we compiled a bibliography -- it should be reprinted and redistributed -- of the history of South Dakota women and frontier women that is invaluable and has some wonderful pictures in it.

Results on another front -- or action on another front resulted in an issue that really is as old as it looks from the State Department of Education in response to efforts for equality there. In 1981, Governor Janklow issued an executive order regarding sexual harassment. Probably needs to be reread by a lot of people. Women receive credit where credit was due; an outline to women about how to gain credit; a report by the South Dakota Division of Human Rights on the status of women and minorities in state government, abysmal; a report on spouse abuse in South

Dakota which, when presented to a state legislative committee, resulted in one legislator walking out of the room and coming back shortly to report he had called his family doctor, and that doctor in 20 years of practice in middle South Dakota had never treated a case of spouse abuse; and an affirmative action plan for the State of South Dakota. Those kinds of things. And that certainly wasn't all that were the kinds of materials and activities pursued by the Commission on the Status of Women.

In 1974, I took the South Dakota High School Activities Association to task regarding their rules and expenditures. Mary Lynn Myers provided a crash course on Title IX, and research showed that the SDHSAA spent 84 percent of their funds on boys' athletics, and had rules prohibiting girls' competition.

Legislative efforts to disband the activities association and withhold federal funds to those schools not in compliance got their attention, and by mid year the association had revised their bylaws and hired a woman to oversee girls' athletics. Though far from perfect, South Dakota girls now had available to them one avenue to adult employment success previously open only to males. The way to the board room is through the locker room.

In the years from 1973 to '79, the CSW was the focal point that led the charge to improve the status of women on

all fronts. We took on schools, banks, employers, pension systems, outmoded laws, and Neanderthal legislators, and made some progress. We couldn't, however, escape the influence of the change in leadership at the top.

Following the Carter presidency and the Janklow governorship was a reaction nationwide and in South Dakota that troublesome women were a headache and should be silenced. In South Dakota the funding for the Commission on the Rights of Women was cut, the Equal Rights Amendment ratification was rescinded, and the Commission on the Status of Women was reprimanded for advocating for women in the halls of the legislature.

After questionable appointments were made to the Commission in 1979, those of us who had been advocates and activists knew that the effectiveness of the CSW was at an end. In October, 1979, several leaders resigned, and as an avenue for continued advocacy, founded the National Women's Political Caucus to carry on the fight for women's rights.

The Office of Human Rights was also effectively silenced by appointment of caretakers as directors. The message definitely was don't make waves. South Dakota trends were paralleled with the national level from 1980 to 1982 where efforts in funding -- efforts and funding to change the status quo were both mooted and neutralized. Though

changes were made in practices and laws that were overtly discriminatory, discrimination still persists in subtle and covert ways.

For instance, last fall many South Dakota women legislators, activists on behalf of their sex, were defeated in the 1992 elections. I would cite those as Mary Edelen, Dorothy Kellogg, Carol Maicki, Judy Olson, and others. There are in Sioux Falls no women on the city commission, and only one on the county commission.

That bastion of business networking, the Chamber of Commerce, elects five new board members to its board of 15 every year. Only three board members are women. You can figure that out. Every year they have a token woman nomination. There are no women bank presidents. Only one woman has chaired the Chamber. U.S. West Company periodically does its equalitarian best to demonstrate that women, too, can be executives and top managers.

All of these situations are outward manifestations of unspoken and sometimes unrealized biases that present barriers to women in employment. Actions speak louder than words. Unless we can control our physical and reproductive destiny, how can we control any other aspect of our lives? At the national and state level, health care, social service system, pension plans, insurance and family leave policies are only beginning to acknowledge the

T	special and unique nature of women's lives.
2	Where there have been some gains in law and practice,
3	women still today disporportionately shoulder the burdens
4	of child care, home maintenance, community service, and the
5	double squeeze of the sandwich generation, caring for aging
6	parents and at home adult children, perhaps even
7	grandchildren, while holding down full-time jobs for
8	part-time pay. 71 percent of South Dakota women with
9	children under the age of six are in the work force, the
10	highest in the nation.
11	The problems and challenges to women's health, sanity,
12	emotional well-being and economic stability are pervasive
13	and extensive, and deserving of your attention. Thank
14	you.
15	I don't see the clock, but if you have questions within
16	the time frame, I would be happy to answer them.
17	MR. VAN PATTEN: Let me ask if you've you've traced a
18	pattern of legislative reform that has changed in some ways
19	the conditions that confront women not only in the work
20	place but in other areas. But I take it you are highly
21	dissatisfied with
22	MS. HUNKING: The status quo.
23	MR. VAN PATTEN: the status quo. What's what, in
24	your view, is the connection of any of anything between
25	these past efforts and where we are today? Has it produced

1 anything or --

MS. HUNKING: Oh, yes. To say that nothing had changed would not be accurate. For one thing, a lot of the laws have changed. And there is no question, for example, that domestic violence is recognized as a problem, and dealt with legislatively. I was in education as a teacher at Brandon Valley High School for 20 years. Some of those things have changed. For example, the opportunities open to young women in athletics which I think is a -- is a major change in how those young women function in the work world.

But what I think is going on now is more subtle and pervasive, that it is -- and I think, you know -- when I started to put this together, I thought how can you address in 20 minutes 20 years of activities. And I left out a whole lot, of course. But, yeah, there have been good changes. What I see, though, is the need to deal with -- strongly with the legislation that is on the books, and then a widespread education effort.

There have been within the last couple of years studies undertaken by the AAUW, and you are probably going to hear from them today. Two of them. One of them that showed that in education the performance of girls was equal to boys up until about age 9. And then between 9 and 13, there was a change in their performance, their interest in

science and math, almost a sort of acknowledgement, even though perhaps not even consciously, that it was better to be not smart, that sort of thing. We have to deal with that.

Another thing that is happening, and some of this has emerged on the front pages of the "Minneapolis Tribune" and other Minnesota papers goes to -- or is addressed in another study just released from the AAUW called "Hostile Hallways." It has to do with sexual harassment in the school system. And I think all of us, if we think back on our school days, recognize that it happened. We now know that it isn't just part of boys will be boys.

So as the problems exist on all fronts and within all institutions from the church to education, to the school systems, I think it has to be addressed holistically. And it is a challenge since many of the practitioners in all of those institutions are themselves practicing subtle discrimination in sending messages.

MS. BURNETTE: Bill.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WALSH: Address child care a little bit. 71 percent are working. What are our challenges with regard to child care?

MS. HUNKING: Well, it's interesting that even the "Argus Leader" has discovered it as newsworthy this week. It isn't available. Parents are worried a great

deal about quality. As the work patterns of the American
family change, we find women not working just from 8:00 to
5:00, but night shifts and around the clock. And that kind
of child care is difficult to find. Particularly it is
difficult to find child care for infants.

Back in the mid '70s when there was -- when there were on the books a number of special mill levies that cities and counties could levy, there was one that was allowed for senior citizens' services, and I drew and we had passed a bill that allowed cities to levy for the same amount, levy two mills for child care for -- supportive of nonprofit child care. I think the only place that ever was effected was in Rapid City and Pennington County. And shortly thereafter all of those special mill levies were repealed and they were all rolled into the one lump sum.

So I think there are a number of things. Incentives, for example, for onsite child care. Perhaps property tax breaks, whatever. Also at a state level, something that I don't think would cost a whole lot of money but put our money where our mouth is, and that is, again, commissions that address the concerns of families, which are child care and employment and aging parents and children, adult children at home, all of those things.

The barriers to employment are not just laws. They are far more subtle than that. And it is contained in our role

still -- byt "our" I mean women's role -- still as the

primary nurturer and care giver within the family. And

until there are policies that address that, women are going

to be prohibited if not by law, certainly by circumstance,

from full participation in the work force.

MR. WALSH: Thank you.

DOCTOR BUTLER: No questions; just a resounding thank you.

MR. MULDROW: Statistics show that of the ten municipalties above I think 10,000 population in South Dakota, Sioux Falls is the only city that does not have a woman on the city commission or council. How do you account for that in an area which you would think would be one of the more progressive in terms of women leadership?

MS. HUNKING: I think there were several reasons. There were at one point, I think for two years, two women on the commission. Their disappearance from that body had to do I think somewhat with their own political styles -- I was one of them -- somewhat with simple attitudes about change in city hall, and I think somewhat also to do with a double standard that is applied to women any time they appear in non-traditional roles. What would be called assertive and activist on the part of men is called aggressive and annoying if those same behaviors are demonstrated by women.

I think also one of the situations that existed when I was the first woman on the commission, there were all kinds of -- there was all kinds of scrutiny given, and perhaps a great deal more press than was deserved, but as a result of that, and what seemed to be to a lot of people a lot of abuse and a double standard in judgment, during my time I think has discouraged women from running. A lot of women that I talk to say I wouldn't put up with that abuse.

It also has to do with women who are in the political

It also has to do with women who are in the political pipeline I think choosing other avenues. It has somewhat to do with the nature of the commission as well as the nature of how women in public service are treated. That is a full-time job. Many women in a career path now will not give up their careers to take perhaps a pay cut or to serve the public and take the heat that goes with that public service. They would rather spend their efforts in other ways.

It's a combination of a number of factors. I think it's extremely unfortunate that is the case because it's certainly a message, particularly to young women, about what's possible for them.

MS. BURNETTE: Kitty, did you have any comment?

MRS. WERTHMANN: No comment.

MR. MULDROW: Could I follow up?

MS. BURNETTE: Sure.

MR. MULDROW: What -- the former South Dakota Commission on Women is defunct as you have explained. Do you see a need for that now? And what would be the function of such a commission if it was reinstated, and what are the prospects for getting a commission reinstated?

MS. HUNKING: The pros -- let me go back. The prospects for reinstating it are probably slim and none. It still exists on the books. It's just not funded. Yes, there would be a role. I guess I would see it expanded in terms of perhaps women and the family. I do believe that women and men, even today, lead somewhat different lives in their relationship to their roles in the family and also in the work world. I think that in many ways those circumstances are prohibitive and inhibiting, and need to be addressed.

I don't know exactly how, frankly, a government-funded commission in a state like South Dakota could be as effective as it should be because there are a variety of perspectives across this state on women's place-in-society, and any time a stand was taken that offended some segment of the population, that means the -- that commission probably would not be effective there.

But I do think there is a role, and I think the fact that it is -- the commission is unfunded and it's not functioning now is indicative of how perhaps the state feels they have addressed the issues, or at least the most obvious ones, and moved on. And also, frankly, I think a reflection of priorities in the state. Perhaps in the same way that we address funding for education. We get a lot -- we give in this country a tremendous amount of lip service to the value of children and the family. But we don't have any policies or funding that follows that concern.

MR. WALSH: Are there any other agencies in the state that we need to once again look at and strengthen that may have been diminished in the last 20 years?

MS. HUNKING: Well, I do believe that the State Division of Human Rights, although still funded and operative, has been effectively neutered because perhaps of a more limited role being expected of them. There was a tremendous amount of education that came out of that audit -- of that office at that time. I haven't seen that happen since then.

In 1979 I filed a sex discrimination suit with the state office based on employment discrimination, and wound up, because I knew the law probably better than those who were supposed to administer it, wound up going to Pierre and virtually walking myself through the legal process. I won that claim. I think it's probably the first and last claim that was settled in that way. I won monetary damages.

But I don't see either the education or advocacy role in that office, and I think that is too bad. Commission -- at one time I was on the Commission of Indian Affairs, which 1 was both an educational and advocacy role. Then that commission was diminished, reinstated. And I am not sure; I couldn't make any judgments on its effectiveness or even its role at this time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will say one thing relative to the Commission on the Status of Women. At the time that the funding was in doubt, we had established I think a tremendous relationship with the Native American community, particularly its women. And when that funding was in doubt, there were a number of Native American women who came to Pierre to testify before the appropriations committee. And one of them, Tillie Black Bear, who I think some of you know, stated to that group that it was the only agency in the state government that the Native American at least trusted. And I think that's too bad because we have lost that avenue of dialoque. There were two or three Native American women on that commission most of the time, and it was an invaluable area for learning and dialogue.

MR. WALSH: Overall don't you think that we have made tremendous gains, maybe not as big as you would or I would desire, but since you served in the legislature or there were -- 3 percent of the legislators were women. Now it's 25, even though -- 25 percent even though two years ago it was -- it was 32 percent of the legislators were women. Isn't that quite significant in -- isn't that

1 really where the changes take place?

MS. HUNKING: A good deal of it. I guess I didn't realize that the percentages were that high. Are you -- you are certain of that? Okay. It ebbs and flows with a lot of things that have nothing to do with gender, but a lot of it does take place there. And until legis -- the legislative body recognizes the needs and acts upon them, they aren't going to be addressed legally, so that's, you know, that is a problem.

But when there -- there is now a -- in existence a South Dakota Advocacy Network for Women, which is a coalition, a loose coalition of groups which deal with women's issues, and they serve that advocacy role. But it's difficult because it's an all volunteer -- there is no staff to research. The complications of women's lives limits their ability to get there and influence legislation.

But, yeah, the legislative area is one. The other place I think that changes have to be made is in the education field.

MS. BURNETTE: I have one question, and we will let you go, Loila. In providing your history today of the legislation and where women are at in employment, you also coined the barriers of women, that women have had to overcome, as subtle and pervasive. And in describing women in public office and the biased treatment, if you will, or

the over-zealous treatment of the public to focus on the women in public office, don't you think -- or what -- do you think any strides that you have made or that women have made in South Dakota have to be equally subtle and pervasive to make a difference as opposed to what traditionally would be acceptable behavior in advocating for women's rights?

MS. HUNKING: It's interesting because in some ways I think you are talking about style. I have always abhorred women who seek to make their changes by manipulative behavior. I have always been very straightforward and head on, which, of course, has gotten me into trouble from time to time. But I think that there are all kinds of ways of making change. Let me point to the Sioux Falls school system, if you will.

I did my master's thesis paper on women in education administration. At that time there was only one female superintendent in the South Dakota schools. And most of the other women in administrative positions were directors and coordinators as opposed to being decision makers.

When I went on the board and looked at the handbook of the people who are administrators throughout the Sioux Falls school system, there has been a tremendous change in the last ten years. Tremendous. One of the things that -- observation that I made that may not be totally correct is

1 that of the school administrators in the elementary 2 I would say that at least slightly more than half of them who are women had doctor in front of their name as 3 4 opposed to the men administrators. And if I can leave you with a quote that I had on my 5 6 desk for a long time, it probably explains why I occasionally get into trouble. Women have to be twice as 7 8 good to get half as far. Fortunately that is not 9 difficult. 10 MS. BURNETTE: Perhaps we will take just a moment until 11 Ms. Hanson comes back in. 12 (Brief pause.) 13 MS. BURNETTE: Our next presenter is Candy Hanson of 14 Sioux Falls. And we are asking, Candy, that you introduce 15 yourself and tell us a little bit about yourself before you 16 make your presentation. 17 MS. HANSON: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair and members 18 of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the United States 19 Commission on Civil Rights. I'm happy to be here this 20 morning. My name is Candy Hanson. Rae asked that I tell 21 you a little bit about myself. I suspect that you are 22 probably more interested in the nature of my involvement 23 with women's issues in South Dakota, so that I will use 24 that as a focus.

I moved to South Dakota in 1970, and have lived here

25

ever since, in the mid '70s, I became active in a number of women's issues, notably; working in the area of battered women and domestic violence, served in various leadership capacities for a number of organizations, and when the United States -- or the South Dakota Commission on Civil Rights - on the Status of Women was not funded in the early '80s, provided part of the leadership to get together what is now known as the South Dakota Advocacy Network for Women, and the offshoot of that is which is the organization I chair, the South Dakota Women's Network Foundation. So I have been around women's issues in South Dakota for a number of years, and probably will rely on that experience today in giving you my impression of where women are at with employment in South Dakota.

I would like to clarify again for you, a little bit more in detail, the distinction between the Advocacy Network for Women and the foundation itself. Both of them -- they're sister organizations both of them are seeking to fill information and communication gaps on women's issues that were left when the state needecided to no longer fund the Commission on the Status of Women. The advocacy network was founded first, in 1984. It unites 25 organizations, state and local organizations in South Dakota, and individual members to monitor and influence public policy related to the 26 planks of the 1977 National

Plan of Action that was adopted during IWY, International
Women's Year. So the advocacy network is an activist
group.

The foundation that I chair is an educational and charitable group that enjoys strictly a charitable status with the Internal Revenue Service. We are responsible for doing research and education on women's issues. That's our mission. It's non-partisan, and strictly research and education oriented.

To those ends, what we established as our board came together were a number of goals. Some of those public education goals we fulfilled by helping to sponsor the National Women's Conference Committee annual meeting in Sioux Falls a couple of years ago. And then, again, our own research and publication efforts.

We hope to publish in this format "Issues Digest" quarterly in South Dakota. It is not primary research. It's secondary research. We like to think that we can became a central clearinghouse for information on women's issues, as you are doing here, explore a specific policy issue, collect the data that is already in existence, and publish it in a form that can be used by citizens and policy makers during public debate and deliberations. Ultimately we would like to get to the place of being able to fund and sponsor primary research on issues affecting

women and their families in South Dakota. We haven't met that goal yet.

Interestingly enough our second edition of "Issues Digest" -- the first edition that was published is on South Dakota women and their children. The next edition, the one that is currently being researched and written, is on women, jobs, and economic equity. I wish I had that to share with you today. I wouldn't have to rely on what now are only my impressions of how I see women in employment and the trends playing out here in Sioux Falls and across our state. But unfortunately you will have to rely on my anecdotal input this morning.

I want to talk about three groups of women, and how I perceive they are advancing in employment in South Dakota. It's true I think in, you know -- as I look back historically, certainly within the 20th century. **

extraordinary women, women of extraordinary wealth or extraordinary social influence or extraordinary intelligence or extraordinary skills, have been able to succeed in our society. I think in the '60s and the '70s when I picked up with the women's movement, and certainly in the '80s, what we saw were that exceptional women with exceptional skills, intelligence, and more or less better than average means, were able to succeed.

In fact, in the 20 years I have been involved in the

women's movement, it's hard now to look at South Dakota and
say, well, what's the first that I should go after because
we've aside from the first governor, the first
congressional delegate we have succeeded in becoming a lot
of firsts in South Dakota. We are now into the second and
third generation of legislators and county commissioners
and city commissioners, and people in public leadership
capacities in almost all of our communities. We are also
even, in some of the more progressive industries, into the
second generations of female CEOs, notably U.S. West, and
the publishers of the largest newspaper in the State of
South Dakota, the "Argus Leader" is the second women to be
in that position.

Now, I don't take that as evidence that the glass ceiling has been broken and that it's going to be easy for women to achieve those positions. Certainly we have. What I do see, however, is that based on what I see in the marketplace, I can assume that that glass ceiling may have cracked, but it's not been broken, because despite those notable successes, these women now of modest means, but considerable talent and energy, are following a different track toward advancement. They are mimicking a pattern that was set by immigrant families who moved here with their talents and energies, and were blocked by they don't look like us, they don't sound like us. And rather than

try and continue to challenge the system, learn to invest in themselves in small family enterprises. And I think as you look, especially in the community of Sioux Falls, you can see that pattern being repeated.

Women who have found that they were restricted in established public and private enterprises have now begun to invest in themselves. Our main street -- or our main business area is three blocks long. It's Phillips Avenue. If you go down Phillips Avenue, you will see over and over again the small businesses coming in are businesses being founded and run by women. And it is actually an explosion I think that won't be hidden very much longer. I think people are going to be able to catch onto that.

It's following another trend that we see economically in that is our country, and that job creation tends to be in the service industry and it tends to be in small businesses. And, again, these are women of modest means, but considerable energy and talent who have taken the opportunity to invest in themselves.

I see it again in legal practice. Extraordinary women have been able to hang out shingles and open their offices for years. Now we have two firms in South Dakota that are small, but they are particularly talented, viable firms, and they are consisted of women in partnership with each other.

Another thing that you see happening in Sioux Falls that indicates this, but due to down-sizing in larger industries or because women are getting to positions within established businesses where they are topping out and they are not being able to go farther, you are seeing them turn and look at their own sources and develop consultancies.

And it's not unusual because I consult, and have for the last ten years with my own practice, it's not unusual for me to get calls. In fact, I had two within the last month; one person who's trying to move but-and-out and has topped out in her field, another who is the -- was a victim of down-sizing in a large business in her company, wanting information on how do you do this, how do I invest in myself and get out there?

So if the battle for that kind of woman in the 1970s when I entered the women's movement was over getting a credit card and a credit history in my own name, the battle for these women in the 1990s will be for venture capital, construction loans, and credit lines in their own names.

The second group of women that I would like to talk about is the statistically average women. From the beginnings of our movement, one of the things we talked about when we talked about women in employment is that we wanted to see the day when the statistically average woman could be treated as equitably in employment as the

statistically average man; that it wasn't good enough to

let extraordinary --\(\frac{\chi_0}{\chi}\) open the door for extraordinary or

exceptional women, but for the statistically average

women.

For those women who are remaining in established businesses and public enterprises, the 1990s I believe will see a renewed interest in the issue of pay equity, an extension of the concept of equal pay for equal work. I can't say or point to any progress necessarily that has been made that I am aware of in South Dakota on that issue. But I do and I do think that women have reached the point where they are beginning to understand how they are being statistically segregated in jobs that do not pay comparably to other jobs where males are traditionally segregated. And so I see in the 1990s a renewed interest in the issue of comparable work and pay equity.

There is a third group of women that I would like to talk to you about this morning. And they -- this group of women I think are probably the ones who are most likely to challenge discriminatory practices or be victimized by discriminatory practices and have the least ability to work toward changing the situations they find themselves in. Both of the other groups that I have talked to you about are women of some means. When we face these issues, we have alternatives. We can invest in ourselves. We can

1 change jobs.

The trend in the last decade in South Dakota, at least as we reported it and as we found the statistics when this paper was published, was that female-headed households, the percentage of those households living in poverty grew over the last decade. I think it's fairly clear just by doing business downtown and visiting our city that the person who waits on you at the coffee shop, the person that you drop off your dry cleaning to, the person who checks out your groceries at the grocery store is probably going to be a woman. I mean, I'd bet money on that.

Because of the economy in South Dakota, they may actually, as far as minimum wage, be doing a little bit better than folks living in other parts of the country. Minimum wage is at about 4.25. But for an unskilled person coming to work in Sioux Falls, male or female, the entry level pay is about 5 dollars an hour. And I say that based on personal experience with businesses that I work with and have an interest in.

That 10,000 -- average 10,000 dollars worth of income-is still not enough to reach the 12,000 dollar market basket living allowance that was -- that the University of South Dakota reported out a couple of years ago as being necessary to minimally support a family of four in South Dakota. So women in what -- I see trends with women in

this kind of category.

If they are single women and not heads of households, what I do see is a trend that they work two jobs. They work a full-time job to pay the rent and pay for their basic needs, and they work a part-time job to have something to set aside or have something to -- to enjoy life with, to improve the quality of their life. Those women who are heads of households have obviously a greater struggle because -- largely because of the issue of child care and needing to find and pay for that. They don't have that kind of opportunity to invest in themselves by working more hours. And this group of women I think are -- is obviously the most vulnerable.

I think the civil rights issues that they will -- civil rights problems that they will experience will have to do with two -- two situations in which I think they are particularly vulnerable. The first is that they are vulnerable to having to stay in jobs where they tolerate disrespectful working conditions. It's an obviously hot issue in our country, sexual harassment, but when you are dependent on that income, and you do not have resources and the only thing you may be able to turn to is the benevolence of family and friends, you are likely to find yourself trapped without alternatives, and to put up with a lot in the way of disrespectful working conditions on the

job. I think it's a big concern.

The other area I think where this group of women is most likely vulnerable is in the issue of housing. And this is something that has come up in our community, and within the last year, there has been a lot of activism on. And it didn't even occur to me until we were several months into the issue of housing -- problems related to housing how much a women's issue this is because there women in this kind of employment situation are typically renting homes, and they are renting at the low end, and issues over the safety of the condition of their home, and what they tolerate again with disrespect perhaps in relationships between themselves and landlords is a great concern. If you do not have the resources to pick up and move, you tolerate.

If you complain -- in South Dakota there is no provision against retaliation—so that if you complain about unsafe living conditions or the landlord who wants to come over and check your electric lights at 11:30 in the evening, you have nowhere to turn. You have to face the prospect that you may indeed be evicted.

So those are the two -- the most vulnerable group I see. Those women who are -- are taking up many of those part-time and full-time low end paid positions, and are extremely constrained when it comes to meeting basic human

1 They are very much at risk, and I see that risk 2 playing on those two areas of their lives. Thank you. 3 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you, Candy. We will entertain 4 questions. 5 MR. POPOVICH: I have -- I quess when you talked a little bit at the beginning, you spoke to the feeling of 6 7 harassment and battered women. You didn't comment much on that, but do you feel that there is a feeling in the work 8 9 place that if these women complain, that they will be 10 released as well? And have you -- have you seen that 11 yourself? 12 I will separate out those two issues; the MS. HANSON: 13 issue of domestic violence and the issue of sexual 14 harassment. 15 On the issue of domestic violence, I happen to be a 16 consultant in group process, and one of the things that I 17 will do for companies is to come in and do focus groups. 18 And I don't think the agency that I work with would mind my 19 sharing some information from one of those. 20 Earlier this year we got together a bunch of -- a group 21 of-employers in Sioux Falls, and we asked them -- we were 22 trying to figure out what their job training and employment 23 needs were. During the process of discussing things with 24 them, we found out that their major concern was not over 25 job training and employment issues.

somebody who is basically literate and who has some social skills, we will train them for these jobs. What we are concerned about are family relationships that affect work performance. And that issue is highly rated. We tried in the -- that was based on the survey.

We tried in the focus group to get them to tell us what they thought was going on as evidence of that kind of situation in a family. They point to repeated phone calls from children or spouses, spouses showing up at work. It gives them as employers some indication that there is dysfunction, and in some cases some reason to expect the situation may be abusive. Yes, domestic violence does, I believe, have an impact on women and their ability to hold down jobs. I can't tell you much more than that.

On the issue of sexual harassment, I am truly not, I'm truly not sure how that will play out. I suspect based on my experience in the '60s with the issue of child abuse and in the '70s with the issue of spouse abuse, that just as our society finally admitted that those were problems, we saw an explosion in the statistic of reporting. And I suspect that based on the fact that our society is now recognizing and admitting to something that has been covered up for years, that you will see that same growth in the statistic of people willing to report. And I am not sure, to tell you, whether that means there is more of it

or there is just more being reported.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POPOVICH: The human services shows that there isn't a large percentage of people reported. But yet do you feel that maybe there is more, or historically do you feel the legislature has done a better job of recognizing it, or are they still on the fence? I guess I'm asking you from a historic perspective as well.

MS. HANSON: You know, I -- it's been a long time since I have looked at laws like that. I actually in one of the jobs I held a few years ago, was involved with a case like that, and ended up knowing a lot about the victims, and knowing a lot about what the victims thought, and how they handled the behavior that they were concerned about prior to the time that they reported. And I know in that case, I think we had counted and gone back and found at least ten other incidents involving ten other women who just simply thought it was easier not to report it, or two women said, huh-uh, this has gone on long enough, we are going to. So ,I mean , that may give you some indication of the I think I would stick by that; that we could find, when we finally became aware of it, we could find ten instances where we could say, that was definitely inappropriate, and only two then who were willing to say, and I'll report it. At that time I didn't think that there

was necessarily anything that I would change about the

laws.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have a philosophical problem. I mean, I agree that I would like to protect victims of rape, of sexual harassment. On the other hand, because a lot of those procedures, especially sexual harrassment charges, are closed to the public, the outcomes are hidden, okay. And in some ways it makes it harder to convince the next victim to come forward because there isn't a public exposure, and we don't know who's brought to justice, you know, and what the outcomes are. So I think that that will continue to be a stumbling block, more so than legislation. reluctance to expose one's self to public scrutiny. And I understand that would be very protective of the victim in that sense, and on the other hand, it doesn't serve public understanding or public policy very well that settlements are done in private and we don't know the outcome.

MS. BURNETTE: The question of lack of public consequence for complaints filed is something that we hope to look at, but given the time, thank you for your presentation. And if you would like to stay until break time and give the other panelists an opportunity to thank you themselves, that would be great.

MS. HANSON: Thank you very much.

MS. BURNETTE: Our next presenter is RoAnn Redlin, lobbyist, South Dakota Advocacy Network for Women. And,

RoAnn, welcome. If you would introduce yourself and tell
us a little bit about who you are and --

MS. REDLIN: Morning, Madam Chairman, members of the committee. My name is RoAnn Redlin. I am a lobbyist for the South Dakota Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault. I am representing over 21 domestic abuse shelters across the state, so I was very interested to hear your question on that subject. I do have some statistics with me today from the Department of Commerce. I'm also the lobbyist for the South Dakota Advocacy Network for Women who you have just heard from also. That is a professional organization, including — women who work in the home, lawyers, doctors, nurses, and teachers are all members of that organization.

My own position as a lobbyist in a male oriented legislature gives me a unique position to comment on the status of women across the state as I work in Pierre and as I talk to the many women that come to tell their stories in Pierre.

Just for my own information, I called the Secretary of State's Office one day to find out how many lobbyists were male and how many lobbyists were female. We do have 575 registered lobbyists in the state, one of the most lobbied legislatures in the nation I found out. Less than 14 percent of those positions are held by women. And of the

а

1 14 percent, I am the only women that works full-time 2 lobbying on women's and children's issues. So that was a 3 real eye-opener to me when I found that out.

Some of the remarks I would like to address to you today concern domestic violence, sexual harassment, particularly in our schools. I think that is a real problem. I think probably today you are going to hear a lot about the glass ceiling. I would like to go to the very other end of the spectrum and talk about the sticky floor, or the fact that most women do not get into the work place at all.

As I mentioned, I represent 21 shelters across the state. They are full every night. Most of them are full. Children's Inn in Sioux Falls, every bed is full every night of the year. I talk to Marlene Weires, their director. I used to compile statistics across the state for the shelters. And she told me that her statistics can't get any higher because every bed is full every night of the year. Aberdeen, same situation. Sometimes they even take extra women, double up in rooms and whatnot. The Rapid City shelter is in practically the same situation. Every bed is full every night. So we are talking about a large percentage of our population that are not in the work force whatsoever. They are in our shelters.

I also called the Department of Commerce this morning to find out how many women have contacted shelters in the past

year. They give me a figure of 11,650 women and children. That is a large part of our population that is being sheltered, that's not getting to the work place, that is not -- are not even applying for jobs. So I think we really need to consider those women as well when we have our discussion today.

I myself work with a Vermillion shelter for abused women and children. A large part of my job is referring these women to job training. Once we get the family stabilized and get the children back in school, our very first priority is to get the woman back to work to be able to take care of her family by herself, because obviously she has left the financial support of her abusive support.

I refer many women to GED classes to get their high school diploma so they can at least apply for an entry level job. Most recently, just to give you a case study, I sent a 61 year old women who had survived a 42 year marriage of abuse to Green Thumb. Green Thumb immediately placed her in computer training. She is learning computer skills. My concern is when she is finished with this program, what are her chances of getting a job. Will she be discriminated against for being an older worker?

So I have to be real honest with myself when I refer these women to what kind of chances we are giving them when we do send them back out in the work force if they are not adequately prepared to meet today's high techs -- technical demands.

Our campuses are growing larger and larger, too, with non-traditional students. Many women are starting over. They are either getting divorced, leaving abusive situations.

The very nature of domestic violence holds a pattern of isolation. The abuser will isolate the woman. Either she is home raising the children for many, many years -- some of our rural women are really in tough situations. When these women start over, they have no marketable job skills. And it's very, very tough. I think that is one of our segments of the population we really need to support, get them some training so that they at least have a fighting chance when they get back in the work place.

I work with another woman who escaped a violent marriage. She is much younger. She has three young children. What she is doing right now, we placed her with Sesdac (sp) which has a program for developmentally disabled clients. Because of the situation of her divorce, she has no vehicle. Every morning she rides a bicycle with a contraption attached and she puts her three children in a wagon that is hooked to the bike. She pedals off the road, she drops her three kids at the baby sitter, and then continues on to work. I don't know how much longer she is

going to have that get-up-and-go to get up every morning and go through this procedure just to get to work. Where is the incentive for that woman to show up at work on time, to compete, to advance herself in the work place?

The court decision that came down in that divorce did mandate the abuser to provide her with a reliable vehicle by 5:00 o'clock the same day as the court hearing. Sure enough, at 5:00 o'clock an old broken-down Fleetwood showed up at the door with the muffler in the trunk. And the car was registered in the abuser's girlfriend's name. So this woman does not have reliable transportation to this date.

And I think we need to hold our court people accountable for these kind of decisions in divorce cases. I think judges need to give equitable distribution of property settlements. I think they need to realize that most of these women are going back to work after many, many years of being home. I think we need to hold those people accountable to realize each and every situation is different; that women deserve a fighting chance to get back in the work place after being home for many years.

I am not blaming all the judges, but I would like them to educate themselves on these specific incidents of domestic violence, of the patterns of -- the dynamics of domestic violence, the dynamics of a divorce. I think we all know the statistics that a woman in America that gets

divorced reduces her salary at least by 50 percent, and a man will usually slightly increase his salary after a divorce. You know, those are the facts. And I think we need to take this high risk population of women and really put some work and energy into promoting them back into the work place. So, again, I'm talking about people that aren't even into the work place, that we are just grouping, getting ready to go back into the work force.

Because I am a lobbyist, I tend to look for enforcement of current laws and the creation of new laws. I have been lobbying for about five years now. In my first year of lobbying I thought if we just change the laws, then this will take care of everything. And the longer I lobbied, the quicker I realized that we have the laws on the books that we need. We just need to enforce them.

I sat in on a summer study committee on domestic violence and sexual assault this summer, and there was some excellent testimony, particularly by Jeff Bloomberg, state's attorney in Deadwood, who said that we have 90 percent of the domestic violence laws that are considered ideal in this nation. We have 90 percent of them in this state. And I credit some of the women that lobbied before me on the mandatory arrest, for example. I credit those women with those changes. At the same time, I think enforcement of those laws is extremely, extremely

1 important.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think you will hear a lot about affordable day care I would like to add my two cents. I think that is a priority. I myself have two small children. It costs me 1 dollar 50 cents per child per hour for day care. means I have to have a job that pays me at least 3 dollars an hour for me to walk out the front door in the That is not including my transportation, my morning. lunch, my wardrobe and whatnot. I do have an education. have a few more -- a few more advances than some women that aren't in this situation. So I can't imagine what it's like for a woman with no education that has three or four If she is paying anywhere near the same price as -- I assume she is -- I am for day care, that is a real deterrent to walking out the front door in the morning. I think you will hear a lot about day care today. I would like to just say that I support that idea.

I think that is one of the real necessary components of this whole study, is affordable day care and, again, affordable housing, as Miss Hanson told you. We have got to get these basics before women are going to want to join the work force. We have got to put a roof over their head, you know, food on the table, and a place, safe place for their children to go. So I think those are real priorities. I think you will probably hear quite a bit

1 about that today.

When I was researching my remarks for today, one of the biggest problems I think with the work I do is sexual harassment, not in the work place, but in the schools. I get calls every week from concerned parents. I work on a hotline, so I get some kind of idea of what is out there.

The number one cause and complaint I'm getting on the hotline sexual harassment with our young women in schools. Parents are calling me, and they are absolutely frantic about this situation. I had no idea how big it was, how pervasive it was, until I started going into the schools.

I teach date rape prevention and dating violence prevention in the middle schools and high schools. And when I go into those schools, I hear from the students, from the teachers, and I witnessed myself levels of sexual harassment that are frightening. And I don't know -- I think education and prevention is the key to this, and that's what I try to do when I go in the schools.

I taught 200 Girl Scouts dating violence prevention last spring in Sioux Falls. When I was done with my remarks, a woman came up to me and she was almost in tears, and she said my daughter is afraid to go to school every day. And this is in Sioux Falls. I said what's the situation? She says -- and her young daughter was with her, and her young

daughter did indeed have tears in her eyes. She said the boys have tag teams, and they run up-and-down the halls and they pinch breasts and buttocks, and they get points. And I am scared to go to school, to be in the halls when I'm not in class.

I said this is outrageous. We have mandated sexual harrassment policies in South Dakota. All you need to do is talk to your administrators. She said, I have over and over. I said what about the other parents. Can you get them together, can you organize, can you go to your school administration. She says we have tried to do that. I said, well, there is federal funding that is affected by this. Schools can lose federal funding if they don't address sexual harassment. Did you know that. She said, yes, we have talked to them about that.

So I am submitting to you that our administrators are not acting responsibly to sexual harassment charges. I think they need to educate themselves. I-think they need to take those charges very, very seriously. It must be virtually impossible to excel in learning when you're facing those kinds of peer pressures every day.

And, of course this behavior is going to spill over into the work place if we don't address it in the early grades, in the middle school grades. These young men are going to carry these attitudes into the work place. It's very hard to unlearn attitudes. In the most recent studies, you are probably familiar with some of them— one specifically by Carol Gilligan, the AAUW study "Short-Changing Girls, Short-Changing America," shows how with young adolescents in school, as young women approach the sixth grade, they lose their self-confidence and self-esteem; whereas young boys improve in that area.

There are a lot of factors involved with that. Teachers calling on young boys more often, validating their answers more often. A lot of personality traits in women; that we are self-sacrificing, that we tend to be peace-makers, that we are not taught to self-promote. And all of those factors go into some of these studies.

But I think it needs to be explored even more because when you take these young women, and you take away their self-confidence in the learning situation in our public schools, that is going to follow them out into the work force, too. These women are going to be afraid to self-promote again. They are going to be afraid to apply for that advanced position. They are going to be afraid to compete for the real advanced jobs. So I think we need to really do some early intervention in the schools, some early education and prevention.

As I said, I do believe we have some of the best laws on the books now, although I always think we can improve. And

1	I did notice, I just wanted to end with this, that there is
2	some legislation being introduced on the federal level
3	which lists a string of concerns from elementary schools
4	who call on boys more than girls, to high school
5	environments which discourage girls from taking math,
6	science and computer classes, to sexual harassment, to
7	inequities in sports. It is a four-part bill that is just
8	being introduced now, but I'm really glad to see that some
9	of these issues are being addressed.
10	Thank you for this opportunity. I would be happy to
11	answer any questions from the committee.

DOCTOR BUTLER: Could you tell a little bit more but how you began your program in the schools.

MS. REDLIN: Yes, I would like to address that. It

MS. REDLIN: Yes, I would like to address that. It wasn't a question of me taking my program to the schools. It was a question of them having incidents happening that forced them to call me for advice. All of the shelters across the state do have educational programs, and we all go into the schools. And it's a matter of being asked to go into the schools. And usually it takes an incident, and then they will call us.

MR. VAN PATTEN: I would like to ask, your comment about the laws in connection with the domestic dissolution, the divorce, those laws you perceived as being adequate, but not fully enforced, why aren't they enforced? What's the

1 Is it economic -- they can't afford a lawyer -problem? 2 or what is the problem? 3 MS. REDLIN: Basically part of the time that is what it The woman can't afford good representation. Many men 5 tend to do a little better in hiring good counsel. 6 just tend to have better resources, and they tend to seek 7 out better counsel. A lot of my cases are women that can't 8 -- my own attorney right now is taking on five pro bono 9 divorce cases for women. I do think the laws as far as divorce can be improved 10 11 I do think the domestic violence and sexual assault 12 laws we have are very good, and they need to be enforced. 13 We do have a couple bills coming out out of the summer study for this legislative session that include a 14 15 rebuttable presumption that awarding custody to the 16 batterer is not in the best interests of the child. So 17 legislation like that. But I think basically it's 18 an economic issue. 19 MS. KIM: I have a question. And I am just delighted to 20 see this women's organization working for the betterment of 21 women's status. But for most women this information is not 22 really disseminated. Are you listed in any telephone 23 directory or --24 MS. REDLIN: Yes.

MS. KIM: -- state agency directory?

25

1	MS. REDLIN: Yes. I think we are getting the word out
2	more and more. I think the attorney general, who has had a
3	lot to do with the victim compensation program, his strong
4	pro-victim stances, all of the shelters are required by
5	their funders to be listed in the telephone book. We are
6	also required to have a 24-hour hotline that is listed in
7	the newspaper. And Children's Inn, you are very lucky to
8	have that organization in Sioux Falls. I think they have a
9	high profile, and they do a really good job with that.
10	But we are required to list our services in the newspaper
11	and in the phone book. Of course in rural areas, it could
12	be a problem, you are right.
13	MR. POPOVICH: You mentioned that South Dakota has some
14	of the best laws in the nation as far as domestic violence.
15	MS. REDLIN: Yes.
16	MR. POPOVICH: But yet they are not being enforced.
17	Where do you see that changing? How do you see that
18	changing?
19	MS. REDLIN: I think mandatory education for law
20	enforcement officers, which is another bill we are
21	introducing this session, is vital to that. State's
22	attorneys are included in that bill, and to their credit,

because of separation of powers, the judges and state's

state's attorneys have asked to be included, re-included in

attorneys removed themselves from that bill, and the

23

24

25

1 the bill. The judges, however, did not because of the 2 separation of branches. But I think mandatory training 3 coming out of DCI, coming out of the AG's office, is vital 4 to that, to enforcement of those laws. 5 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much. We will now take a 6 short break until 11:50, and we will start promptly at 11:15 -- 10:50. I would like it to be 11:50. Thank you. 7 8 (Recess at 10:30 a.m.) 9 DOCTOR BUTLER: Your Honor, ladies and gentlemen, it's 10 now my pleasure to present our esteemed chairperson, Rae 11 Burnette, director, Sioux Falls American Indian 12 Service. And I would ask you if you could give us a little 13 personal background. 14 MS. BURNETTE: Certainly. My name is Rae Burnette. 15 am a member of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe. I was born and 16 raised on the Rosebud Indian Reservation. I have lived in 17 the Sioux Falls community since 1979, and will be providing 18 as a Native American woman my perceptions on Native 19 American women in the work place here in the urban area 20 specifically. 21 I am a single mother, and prior to moving to Sioux 22 Falls, I resided in Rapid City where I became friends with 23 the west river folks here. So I have experiences of 24 working with the Native American community in Rapid City as 25 well. So I come to you with just my knowledge of who I am

as a tribal person and my experience in those environments.

And I would preface my remarks today by asking that Madam Chairman keep me on task. I don't have anything as formally prepared as the predecessor speakers did. And they did a wonderful job. I will leave it up to my Native American sisters who will follow me to give you the details of their perceptions from their tribal communities and what they have seen as to support. And I will be glad to submit something in writing to bring my scattered thoughts together for you.

In trying to do a little bit of research and amongst a variety of activities that I am involved in, I thought it was important to note, even though you have the data in front of you, but for the benefit of the participating public today, that out of the approximate 700,000 people in the State of South Dakota, we do have a little over 50,000 Native American people according to the 1990 U.S. census. In Sioux Falls itself specifically, not the SMSA or Minnehaha County -- we have approximately 96,245 people in Sioux Falls proper, and out of that, according to the U.S. census, approximately 1500 of those are Native American people residing in this community.

And my work in American Indian Services uses data collected from service providers in this city, and through our own community needs assessment that was last conducted

in 1982, and we need to -- no, it couldn't have been '82.

I wasn't here yet. 1988. I can tell you that we estimate

at American Indian Services using data from the school

district counts, the service providers in this community,

that we have an average of 2,000 to 3,000 people throughout

different seasonal time frames, if you will, that reside in

this city.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was reading a Chamber of Commerce journal that is submitted to -- to other industries outside the State of South Dakota, if you will, in their quest to get people to move to our city, that there are -- there was cited from the Chamber of Commerce journal that there were 10,000 -excuse me, that in the past three years, 6,200 jobs were created in this community. Then they go on to cite, out of the same journal, that every day nearly seven new jobs are created. I don't know which one of these are true because they don't necessarily add up. But we heard this morning from three different presenters, one in particular, about job classifications in this community in particular. And we indeed in Sioux Falls are becoming a highly serviceorientated industry in terms of jobs that are made available to the people in this community.

I had my staff do a short survey of five of the major employers in this community. And I might preface my remarks by saying all their personnel offices were very

amenable to giving me the kind of information that I wanted
which was -- which was -- they were not as amenable, the
office themselves, not necessarily the people in those
offices today, were not as amenable seven years ago when I
asked for similar information.

But out of the seven major employers or seven of the largest employers in the city, there was approximately 10,000 jobs that we were looking at. Those -- those six people that we called have 10,000 jobs in their pool. Out -- which included both full-time and part-time positions. Out of those 10,000 jobs, 5,900 of them were held by women. A little over half of those 10,000 jobs were held by women by six of our major employers in this city. Out of those 5,900 women, 27 are Native American women.

Now, I suspect that employers will tell you that those 27 Native American women meet their affirmative action requirements that they are required to meet under Title VII which requires us to have 15 or more employees over more than 20 weeks, if that is correct. They will tell you that they are meeting their affirmative action requirements with their 27 Native American women. And I would submit that part of the difficulty in looking at women, and particularly Native American women, is that other minority groups can be included in their EEO-4 reports in meeting

their requirements. So that the necessity to actively recruit and to hire Native American women are not -- is not necessarily true because of the 1.5 percent that the U.S. Census -- that employers use to create affirmative action plans, that 1.5 percent that -- they meet that easily. So the effort to hire and the intent to hire Native American women is -- is not necessarily an important factor in recruitment or hiring per se.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I found it interesting this morning listening to Miss Redlin when she said she really wanted to talk about the sticky floor as opposed to the glass ceiling because certainly the typical profile for the Native American woman from my experience, and my Native American sisters may dispel that, but my personal experience is that the typical Native American woman who resides in this community in particular is a single mother, little to no education or training, has more than three children, and is living below the poverty standard. That is in my opinion what the majority of Native American -- the status of the majority of Native American women in this community, which if you take that typical profile of the women in this community, the Native American women in this community, and you compare that to what I spoke of earlier about this community in particular becoming a highly -- more of a service-orientated industry with the average hourly wage of

five dollars an hour, which requires little or no
experience with the training component included in that, I
would submit that the status or profile would fit -- would
indeed fit the demand for those service industry jobs that
are available out there.

You know, we have heard this morning about other barriers of women to employment that deal with child care, that have dealt with transportation. You know, those are the two that I can think of. And I would submit that you add to the transportation barriers, the child care barriers, you add to that the cultural barriers that Native American women may bring to the work place as an added hinderance. So generally tribal women can get to the door, and they can get their foot in the door, but tribal women cannot get through the door. We are generally waiting in line. And I think it's important for me to give you much -- my opinion, if you will, and I would leave it up to this commission to decide -- to do your research and find out whether it's true or not.

Employers generally -- when you go in and fill out an application, you are asked voluntarily to tell them whether or not you are a minority, you consider yourself a minority or not. Employers use that to justify and to report into EEO-4 saying they are meeting their requirements as set by Equal Employment Opportunity, and

their requirements. So it's -- for a tribal person, that's

- my perception, that is a catch 22.

We are used to -- we are used by the employers so that they can demonstrate to the federal agencies that they are indeed meeting the requirements, and they don't need to be looked at, but in reality, they are not hiring them. They can say that they got so many applications, they can say that they screened them, they can say that they interviewed them, but the bottom line is race is the criteria. You take a non-Indian woman with the same profile and an Indian woman, and nine times out of ten, if not a hundred percent of the time, the non-Indian woman will get the job.

Now, you take the non -- the male and a tribal woman, and it's definitely a hundred percent of the time that the white male will get the job with the same profile. And that -- that presumption I will leave to the committee as well to prove.

I might say, though, that there is some progress in this community, not necessarily geared toward women, but an effort by just two in particular private corporations that are beginning to take a real serious look at diversity in the work place.

Since the new -- the new political administration has come to fruition and reality in this country, I was always quite humored, if you will, by the plea that his work place

should reflect America. I always say that is high gloss
stuff and, you know, it sounds good, but the reality of it
will not be realized, I fear. And for South Dakota, that
is going to be a long time coming. And with respect to our
late Governor George Mickelson and the verbal effort and
blessing from our now Governor Miller in asking South
Dakotans to join with the tribal people of this state to
reconcile, to understand, to work together, cultural
diversity has become a perk word if anything. Cultural
diversity, multi-culturalism, understanding, pluralism.

I mean it's all -- they are all really nice words, and corporations like to talk about them in their mission, but the reality of those missions are something else. And I find today in my experience in this community that very few people walk what they talk, and it is up to the protected classes to work, as Miss Hunking stated earlier in her presentation that I found interesting -- that as a tribal woman working in this community and living in this community, I find my progress and the progress of the Native American community to be more effective while I work subtly and not openly because people don't like to be reminded of their behaviors that are not positive, and that that can be applied to women as well. So the sticky floor concept indeed is -- is the most prevalent issue facing the Native American woman as far as getting us through the door

in the work place.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other factor that I find real interesting is not necessarily the sticky floor, but when we -- for tribal women who are educated, who can get up and articulate their message, their issue, their jobs, their opinions, what we often find -- who have become through years of acclimation and performing along with their white sisters may be not -not just a hundred percent, but I find that Native American women in all communities, including tribal communities, have to perform at 150 percent to maintain the same acceptance level as their non-tribal peers in the work place. And I -- I find that discriminating in the sense that the expectation that as minority women, that we have to work harder to prove ourselves to be given the same nod of encouragement and acceptance is to me very disarming. And that I find very resentful. But, again, subtly and internally, I have worked through those issues as a reality that I can't make go away; that collectively tribal women and tribal people who are essentially powerless in this state and in this community, that's the truth, and we have to do the best that we can. So for educated tribal women working off the reservation, our access to middle management jobs, our

ability to be promoted in private corporations or public

corporations, public -- public -- the public sector are

1 It seems as though society and organizations 2 collectively become not necessarily -- to use the old 3 Clarence Pendleton phrase -- color blind, but it seems as though once a person, a minority person or a minority woman is able to maintain their status and their -- their work -their -- their work status and their personal status in the community, that after a while that person is no longer, by dominant society, viewed as a minority person. strip the identity willfully, and without the -- without the permission of that minority person who has been forced to, you know -- who has worked very hard to acclimate 12 themselves to that community. And that is another disturbing factor that tribal women

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

perhaps have -- or women of all color have -- have to face working in a community. And it's more so prevalent for Native American women in this state than anything. And that's discouraging, but, again, it's a reality. And perhaps that's a reason why more Native American women who are educated and who would contribute fully to the well-being of a community do not move off the reservation to a community.

So if I have any time left, I will entertain questions. DOCTOR BUTLER: Would any of the committee members have questions of Rae at this time, staff persons?

MR. MULDROW: Rae, you mentioned that Native American 1 women who do get a job have to work a 150 percent of 2 capacity to stay at that position or to acquire a position. 3 More and more Native American women are acquiring skills and education in the tribal college system and other 5 places. Are they able to find jobs commensurate with their 6 education when they apply for them? And those who are 7 hired, are they hired at a level that recognizes their 8 skills and education?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BURNETTE: I will focus my response to the tribal college premise that you -- that you have raised. Tribal colleges through Congress -- Congress recognized the need for tribal colleges to start because I would believe -- I don't know, I think I was too young when that bill passed, but the difficulties for tribal people who have been born and raised on the reservation to leave their environment, to go off the reservation to college, the barriers and the difficulties were tremendous. And to educate and to provide higher education opportunities for tribal people within their cultural environment that they were familiar with was one of the goals I believe of the tribal colleges. And I hope that we will have some presentations by representatives from tribal colleges later.

But what -- that goal for tribal colleges was to educate tribal people on -- within their home environment, and to place them in the work force. And to my knowledge, that is

1	happening. And I believe tribal colleges are educating
2	more women, you know. More tribal women are earning
3	degrees than men on reservations through the tribal
4	colleges, and they are being picked up within by the
5	institutions on the reservation which was one of their
6	goals were. So I would suggest that the tribal colleges
7	are meeting their goals, and fulfilling their goals by
8	providing tribal people with higher education opportunities
9	without having to suffer the culture shock, you know, adapt
10	to mainstream norms.
11	MR. MULDROW: Some of them undoublty make an effort to,
12	you know, get jobs off the reservations. Are they more
13	employable because they can come this direction? What is
14	the
15	MS. BURNETTE: In my experience in this community, I
16	have not had one request for employment where the grad
17	where the woman was a graduate from a tribal college. And
18	I would suspect that the women do not leave the reservation
19	to look for employment opportunities off the reservation.
20	MR. MULDROW: Because of what you have indicated,
21	problems that are entailed in breaking into industry, is
22	that the reason, or is it because
23	MS. BURNETTE: I think it's cultural, Bill. I think
24	it's cultural as opposed to leaving, you know, a home
25	place, meaning the reservation as a whole and your extended

2 aspects that are taken into consideration. And, you know, 3 certainly I think any tribal person or woman in particular 4 that has been born and raised on the reservation, 5 regardless of whether they leave or not for a short period, eventually do return because their cultural identity is so 6 7 positive. MR. MULDROW: You are something of an exception to that? 9 MS. BURNETTE: No, I'm not. 10 MR. VAN PATTEN: Rae, can you just expand on that a 11 little more. Can you just tell me, what do you mean when 12 you say that the culture presents a barrier? What does 13 that mean? 14 The best way I can explain it is by MS. BURNETTE: 15 telling you this little situation that was just so 16 enlightening to me, and it just made -- it made sense, what 17 I was trying to explain for so long. Speaking to public

I think there is a lot of cultural attributes and

would always ask me, how is it that you turned out okay.

Assuming that -- everybody on the reservation is not okay

21 is the presumption.

1

18

22

23

24

25

family.

And in speaking to groups about my struggles in this community, my personal struggles as a woman and as a mother, let alone as a professional, it occurred to me, and it was pointed out to me, and I can't take credit for this,

groups and school -- classrooms and church groups, people

but I -- and I can't tell you the name of the person that

go gently did it because he was able to sum-up what I had

never been able to articulate.

In growing up on the reservation as a tribal person, as a member of that tribe, as a member of that tribal community, I grew up in a dominant culture. I was part of dominant society growing up on the reservation. I wasn't a minority growing up on the reservation. Moving off the reservation after I turned 18 years old, I then became a minority. And not having experienced, because of my life on the reservation as a tribal person, as a person of that tribal community, that acclimation from the reservation life as a member of dominant society to becoming a member of a minority group and being looked at differently because society dictated, that was the biggest barrier of all in my success. So that's the easiest way that I can explain that.

DOCTOR BUTLER: Rae, I want to thank you for touching heart and soul here and now. I have questions, but I can wait.

21 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you.

DOCTOR BUTLER: I thank you very much.

Charon Asetoyer, would you come forward, please. I

would like to introduce Charon Asetoyer, executive

director, Native American Women's Health Education and

Resource Center. And I hope I have the name pronounced correctly.

3 MS. ASETOYER: Very, very good.

4 DOCTOR BUTLER: Merci.

MS. ASETOYER: Well, good afternoon -- or I should say good morning to each and every one of you. And I would like to extend a warm greeting to you -- for those of you who are not from South Dakota, and for those of you who are from, thank you for thinking of me down in rural Lake Andes.

I live, work and raise my family on the Yankton Sioux
Reservation in South Dakota. It's right along the Missouri
River where the river dips down and makes the boundary
between South Dakota and Nebraska. I am originally from
Oklahoma and raised up in California. I am Na-Numa. I am
of the Comanche, as you know it, the white way, the
Comanche Tribe of Oklahoma. I am of the clan of the eagle,
the eagle clan. And that is important for you to know
because that is who I am. And that is what we do when we
introduce ourselves, is we tell you who we are.

I came to South Dakota in 1975 -- yeah, '75, '76, the winter there, to attend the University of South Dakota, with all intentions of going home, when I was done with my education, to Oklahoma. Didn't work that way. I ended up marrying a Yankton Sioux, so here I am.

1	But one of the things that I noticed immediately in
2	South Dakota is the status of women, of Native
3	Americans. I am not a minority. I am an indigenous woman.
4	I am a first woman. I have always been, will and always
5	will be an indigenous person, a first nation's person. And
6	that is very important. I do not appreciate being referred
7	to as a minority because I am not. The international
8	scene, we are fourth world people. Indigenous people who
9	live within third world communities, developing
10	communities.

The status of women is at its worse it could possibly be in South Dakota for native women. In our community, you will not see, within our school system, the -- there is an all Indian school, and there are schools in the mixed communities, but they are within the exterior boundaries of the reservation, meaning inside that boundary, and there is approximately -- it varies from year to year, 48, 52, 49, 50, you know, 51, 50, 50, in terms of student population, meaning native and non-native.

The whole status of women starts in the womb as we all know that. And as we enter school, the preparation for pushing native women out begins. When schools do not recognize or validate within their curriculums our culture, when they base the children who will get special attention -- and I mean promoting them academically, that kind of

special attention -- on who knows their nursery rhymes,

Mary Had a Little Lamb, Itsy Bitsy Spider, Little Tea Pot,

okay, how to count to 100, their numbers, their

colors. They never once ask our children to sing Indian,

they never once ask our children iktomi stories, do they

know them. Do they know our cultural mores and our

values?

They pay no attention to the fact that our children are bilingual, the white children are not. Our children are probably far superior in their learning because of what our culture is, but they pay no attention to that.

So in our community we have an extraordinarily exceptional computer program within the Lake Andes School District for a rural community. I'm sure you would all be impressed. And they measure how much time a student gets on how academically they have excelled. So from kindergarten they start those children. Our children complain that they only got five minutes a week on the computer; whereas the non-native children, you know, got 15, 20, half an hour.

So we instituted a child development program to deal with that because the principal came over and talked to me, and he said these children doesn't know how to count. They don't -- in English. They don't know their colors or their numbers, they don't know nursery rhymes. And I say did you

ever ask them about iktomi stories? Did you ever ask them
about how to sing in Indian? Did you ever talk to them in
Indian? Can you? So these are things that are really
important.

The Denver Developmental test does not apply to native children, and should never be used and never has been used as a tool to measure our children's abilities because our children are brought up in a different cultural context. And that is very important. So from that point, you may have 30 children who start out in kindergarten that year, and by the time that they are a sophomore, you are lucky if there are five left. And, you know, to see two or three native children graduate, we are doing real good. That is where the employment problem starts, if you want to be real about the issue.

And you have got to deal with health. And you have got to look at women's choices and who tries to control those choices. The dominant society; people who know nothing about our culture, and how that may impact on us. And it usually impacts us in a negative way, a very negative way, when you deny us our cultural rights to practice in our cultural and spiritual ceremonies because you think they are wrong or you think they should be done the way you think they should be.

You have got to remember that education -- the whole

basis of boarding school, you know -- I heard you talking about the colleges. Well, let's back up here. We have got to look at Carlisle and their history. And we have got to look at the Lawrence Haskell Institute. The schools that were originally developed to -- to educate natives, yes, if you want a degree in agriculture, if you want a degree in -- not exactly sure what they called it then, but to be maids and servants of the white class, that is what those colleges did to us. That was what the dominant society felt our capabilities were. So of course there is going to be reason for bringing the college systems to -- onto the reservations.

You know, we don't have choices when it comes to education if we don't have a college on our reservation. Every other child in this country can usually go to community colleges if they choose. They can go to Harvard if they cut the mustard there. They can go to Harvard or Stanford or wherever. But they have options. They can stay home in their community and go to school. This is something new to us. And it's something new in terms of preparing our youth for the work force. Yes, we prepare them for the work force, a work force that rejects them.

South Dakota, Lake Andes, South Dakota, with this high population of native children in the school system, has no native principal, no native administrators, no native

teachers, and usually no native person on the school board until recently. And then the programs that are developed, federal programs that are developed to ensure that there is some educate -- curriculum that represents our way of life does not happen because there is a word in there that says "recommendation."

You look at the impact aid money that goes into it. For those of you who don't know about impact aid money, it's almost 2,000 dollars per native child that lives on the reservation that is educated in the school system where there is a tax base. And because federal land does not have a tax base, then the government comes up with this, you know, formula so that money can be generated into the school system. And it says that the parents have a right to be able to have input into -- into the way that money is spent.

Well, the word is "recommendation" which means it can be interpreted, and usually is, as we don't take any of the recommendations usually. The lawyer would say some of them you take, and some of them you don't. But they interpret it as never. So in terms of native curriculum, we don't have it. It doesn't exist.

And you tell us all our life that everything we do is wrong or bad, and our self-esteem is challenged. So you have to have a very good support system at home. And your

spirituality has to be intact in order for you to be able to have the self-esteem that is necessary to meet the challenges and the demands of the dominant culture.

We have a post office that doesn't recruit native people right there in our community and a county system that does not recruit. It's interesting how the sheriff's wife ends up being the dispatch, and then how the police officer's -- another police officer's wife ends up being the dispatch, and we never saw a job description being circulated, being advertised.

And the school system doesn't circulate and recruit -try to recruit native women for jobs, teaching jobs,
counseling jobs, administrative jobs, in the "Lakota Times"
or contact the Native Counseling Association that exists
within the state. They recruit in Sioux Falls or the paper
in Omaha, you know. So we really have to look at the
recruitment.

We have to look at the status of women. Status of health. We have to look at housing. And we also have to look at day care that doesn't exist very widely in this -- in this state.

You know, if a woman is going to work these days, most women have children. So what are we going to do with children when you are looking at a job entry level that is minimum wage for the masses or, you know, slightly above

for even middle management. Now, how is a woman going to commute to work, go long distances, and day care exist the way it does? There is no day care. There are no -- very few employers that are doing anything to help solve that.

Our project has taken on when a woman has a child, then they are allowed to bring the child to work so that she can nurse her child until that child is three months or rolls over, whichever comes first. And, you know, we promote that. I would like to see some of the employers do that.

I would like to see some of the employers look at training programs that would specifically fit the needs of native women. We have a lot to offer.

But I think the federal government has to do their part. They have to be accountable for the laws and rules and affirmative action that they have set up, those policies, and ensure that they are being met. They are not. They overlook them a lot in rural communities. They overlook them in urban areas. But little states like South Dakota where there isn't even a million population, you can definitely assure that there is not parity among Native Americans. Almost seven percent of the state is Native American. But you will not find that parity in any -- any employer unless they are owned, operated Native American. So that presents a lot of problems.

When a women's option is to drop out of school, well,

actually to be pushed out of school to have a child and look at the welfare rolls or go to school and probably have to leave her community in order to get a job that will -- will equal her education level in terms of income, that is not a choice. That means you have to go back to your communities and be willing to work for less money than what you know you could get somewhere else if you could get the job in the first place.

So I think that, you know, you need to hear these kinds of things, but you also need to know that we are looking at you for accountability in terms of -- in terms of the policies and the laws that you all have helped to establish and administer over. They need to be followed. They need to be enforced. And they are not.

We can look at the community right down the road which is another community that is mixed within the -- within the exterior boundary of the reservation, and the same identical situation exists there. I think we have one or two native teachers, you know. I'm tired of hearing the excuse that we can't find a native counselor, a qualified native person. Well, if you -- if you searched in the appropriate places, people would know that the position is open. But they are not doing it because nobody is looking over them saying these rules, these laws, these policies, are the law of the land and they have to be enforced. So

what do you want from us? It's what we want from you. It's what we expect from you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, if a woman can find employment, she is met with a whole lot of other challenges. She is the primary head of the household. Does she have the day care responsibilities? Does day care exist? What about housing in this transition from, well, say, you know, leaving college -- the majority of the women, native women that go to college, have children, okay. And that is something that really needs to be examined. What kind of preparatory programs exist within the college that -- that -- yes, there are programs that recruit native students and recruit women -- no, that recruit native students to go to college within this state, but specifically native women that specifically are developed to meet the needs of our women while we are going to school? No. And once we are there, what programs within our university system actually recruit native women to go into law school or to go into medical school, go into the upper division career levels? They don't exist.

How many native women to you see running the halls of Pierre besides myself and a few core group doing education? We don't have any Native American women that are making the decisions. A few Native American men, but no Native American women.

I think this whole issue of -- this bill that just lost over gaming is a very good example when the head of the -- person that was, you know, organizing this whole thing in the first place, in two different times the media quoted that she didn't want gaming to -- betting limits to go up because the only people that was going to benefit are Native American.

I find that extremely racist to base a -- to base a campaign where people are going to have a referendum vote on racism because that is what it was. And that is how -- the vote obviously showed that. Gaming is here whether we like it or not. But, you know, if people are saying the only ones that are going to benefit are native people, it -- that's senseless. So we have to look at the mentality of people that are in control here.

And, of course, they don't recruit us into the kinds of positions where our input would make a difference in terms of policy. We have to fight for every little chip of the rock that we make in terms of impacting policy. We will not find native women other than a handful in this state who are welcome to participate in that process, and usually we are really not welcome, but we are there. Okay. We are usually not invited, but we are present because we do have a right to participate, but that right is usually not extended to us. We take it.

And there is a difference between people that have choices and people that don't have choices, what they do and how they go about it in order to get what it is they need. And that goes into the crime rate. We need to look at the status of women and how many women go to prison in this state because there are no services that adequately serve her and her needs. And that has a direct correlation with the way native women are treated in employment.

I mean I could go on and on and on, but I think you have got the picture, the message that I'm trying to get across to you. We have got to look at the status of women, we have got to look at the status of native women, and reinstitute that bureau or office within the halls of Pierre because it no longer exists. That's a step backwards for all women, including native women. Thank you.

DOCTOR BUTLER: Thank you, Miss Asetoyer. I would like to ask a question -- I see that Jim has a question.

MR. POPOVICH: I'm curious, we heard Rae, too, say that there are qualified women, Native American women, out there for jobs. But it seems as though the recruitment process — I think we need to get back to that a little bit. It seems like the recruitment process is not there, whether it be from corporations or any kind of group in your exterior communities that you called, or within the tribal

governments themselves. I'm wondering if there is some
sort of a system or channel in place to provide that
because it seems to me that that is also where we need
help.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Within the tribal systems here, it pretty MS. ASETOYER: much depends on who happens to be in office in terms of your tribal government as to how many women are in key positions, okay. And that -- that changes as often as an administration changes, which for us is every two years. But I think you have to also look at the federal systems that exist because they are the major employers; the BIA, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and which is under the Department of Interior, and the Indian Health Service which is under Health and Human Services, Public Health When you look at how many native women are in key positions versus how many men, you real really have to examine that, that you really have to look at them. Okay.

And once again, I have to refer to the lawyers and policies that are in place that need to be administered at the federal level to ensure that there are more native women in those positions because they are decision-making positions. Also within our communities, no, we do not have — we don't even have Indian men sitting on a lot of the county and city boards that do the hiring and make the

decisions, okay. So that whole piece needs to be 2 examined. 3 They are not going to recruit if they are not made to 4 recruit and do affirmative action. If affirmative --5 affirmative action does not exist within some of our 6 community -- rural communities, reservation communities, 7 where there -- we have state jurisdiction townships. 8 doesn't exist. It never got there. It's never -- it 9 hasn't arrived vet. And you all need to be really aware of 10 that. It doesn't exist because it never arrived. 11 wasn't like we are seeing now the trend of the general 12 population where it arrived, and then because of certain --13 because of the past administration, depleted. No. 14 never existed. It never arrived. 15 So you have to visit that piece in terms of, you know, it's doesn't stop in the rural area -- I mean in the urban 16 17 areas. I mean you have to ensure that these kind of 18 policies and laws, affirmative action ends up in your rural 19 communities. So it needs to be developed. 20 DOCTOR BUTLER: Question. 21 Referring to what you said about Native MRS. WERTHMANN: 22 American women being in prison, now, in order, what I 23 understand, to get to prison you have to break the 24 law. Now, do you base that on discrimination?

1

25

MS. ASETOYER: I'm glad you asked me that

question. When you have people who -- native men who are doing an enormous amount of time for stealing rings of baloney in the prison, when you have native people who have died in this prison up here on the hill because we chose to pray and practice spirituality in our way -- remember, it wasn't legal until the late '70s -- there is a problem.

When you have juveniles -- and it starts there, okay.

Usually the process starts there where -- in fact, there is going to be a study, folks, and you'll get it eventually when it's done. And you look at the non-Indian children and you look at the Indian children, and how the informal process -- how often was the informal process extended to those native children for the very same type of lawbreaking that it was extended to the non-native children. How many times has a native mother received the summons that her -- that the state's attorney is going to, on a first offense, terminate her parental rights, and for the similar offense a white mother doesn't receive that. Her child goes through the informal process two, three, four, five times before he even gets to court. That doesn't happen in the native -- among natives.

I know that because my child was involved in apple throwing, and a window was broken. First time. This is a gifted and talented child in school. This is a child who's very good, but he did what kids do; picked up apples and

1	threw them. Window got broken. The state's attorney tried
2	to terminate my parental rights. First time this child
3	that is why we end up in prison so much. That happens over
4	and over and over again.
5	And you have to examine that whole piece. And if we
6	take the time to do that, and make sure that there is
7	equity, that there is equality in administering justice,
8	you are going to find a whole lot of things about your
9	community that you don't want to. Very important.
10	DOCTOR BUTLER: It's the very hardest thing for me to do
11	this at this time. There is another speaker. Will you be
12	here during the noon hour?
13	MS. ASETOYER: I can probably stay during the noon hour,
14	but then after that I must go.
15	DOCTOR BUTLER: Well, if I may, then I can ask my
16	question at that time about some testing in elementary
17	school. It would take only about five minutes.
18	MS. ASETOYER: Sure.
19	DOCTOR BUTLER: I thank you very much.
20	MS. ASETOYER: You are very welcome.
21	DOCTOR BUTLER: At this time I would like to introduce
22	Patty Wells, assistant director, Indians Into Medicine.
23	MS. WELLS: Members of the panel, Mr. Muldrow, nice to
24	meet you. I am short, so this is going to be difficult.
25	I'm just going to hold it here.

1 DOCTOR BUTLER: Would you be more comfortable if you 2 were seated? If I do -- if I am, if I feel like sitting, 3 MS. WELLS: I will go ahead and sit down. 5 DOCTOR BUTLER: Okay. I am going to be very informal because this MS. WELLS: is who I am as a person. I am going to come to you from 7 8 the feminine aspect of being very subjective, and very 9 experiential, based on my personal experience. I know you 10 have access to statistics and numbers, and I do have some 11 of those also that I pulled from documents that we have in 12 working with addressing the under-represented minority 13 issue in trying to get American Indians into health 14 professions. And that's my job. That's what I do. 15 But I think what you would -- I would like to bring a 16 human testimony to this whole process, if I may, and I 17 would like to also ask that please ask questions as I speak 18 about my experiences, and the experiences of other Native 19 American women that I have worked with. 20 I am an enrolled Rosebud Sioux. My family has lived on 21 the Crow Creek Reservation. I was married, teenage 22 pregnancy, married at 16. I have eight children, and I 23 have six grandchildren. During that time period I never, 24 ever contemplated the idea that I would be standing here 25 today certainly, and have the opportunity to be a

professional. And I would say that that is the experience of most Indian women today. And so that is how I help and work with the issues that we -- we deal with regarding Native Americans as a population, and particularly women.

It wasn't until I got to the University of South Dakota and began my undergraduate program that I began to understand who I was as a Native American, a Lakota woman. That began to validate who I am. So that again relate -- reflect to the -- the education system that we have that does not validate people of diversity, people of color, and that whole educational issue. So it took me until I was 30 years old to become self-actualized, is that the psychological term that we use, you know. And I am very grateful for that. But along the way, it was very difficult.

In order for me to -- to become a professional, to attend college, to get the degree that I have, to be able to contribute to addressing the University of South Dakota School of Medicine's issues regarding minority recruitment, I had to leave my family, leave my children. And so that was a very great and grave sacrifice. Through the whole process, through the court systems, be they -- they were tribal court systems, there was never an opportunity for me to have a fair hearing in terms of custody, in terms of my ability to provide for my children, and so I had to make

l choices.

What else can I tell you? As I came through the
University of South Dakota, I had many opportunities open
up to me because of who I was in terms of being a Native
American and a woman. Consequently, I was able to be
employed as soon as I got my degree. The university asked
me to go to work in a -- the Trio programs which is for
disadvantaged students. I was also then able to move onto
the Native American financial aid counselor at the
institution, and work with all the Native American students
that came to the university.

And then this position at the University of South Dakota School of Medicine developed, the position I'm currently in. I had the higher education skills, and the relationships with the Native American community that I was able to access that. That wasn't until after very many years of sacrifice. And I guess that's the point I want you to know.

What else can I tell you? As I became knowledgeable in understanding and was able to articulate who I was, a Lakota person, I began to understand how much I did not know about who I was. And as I work with institutions and work with federal programs, I realize how much more that the mainstream does not know about who we are as Native Americans.

25 Americans.

1	I guess at this point, you know, I have some statistics
2	and things here that I pulled out of a proposal that we
3	developed last year for the health careers opportunity
4	programs in the Department of Health and Human
5	Services. And you know these statistics I think, but I'm
6	going to cite them, and then I would like you to be
7	thinking about questions that maybe I can respond to
8	because I am not a real good formal presenter, but I like
9	to really talk very informally to people, and I would like
LO	to respond to questions based on my experiences.
11	DOCTOR BUTLER: Well, I would like to assure you that
L2	you are an impressive speaker. And if the others have
L3	questions, would you prefer that we ask our questions as
L 4	they come up or wait until you have finished your
L 5	presentation?
L 6	MS. WELLS: I would prefer as they come up because then
L 7	I can respond and give a better presentation I believe.
18	DOCTOR BUTLER: Bill has a question.
L9	MR. WALSH: Tell us more about sacrifice.
20	MS. WELLS: The sacrifice. When my marriage began to
21	fall apart we were both very young. We were both
22	16. Indian teenagers. No opportunity. When we were
23	married about ten years. When the marriage began to fall
24	apart, we had seven children. And he was a very
25	influential man, tribal council person, had a had

1	control of the networks that were available. And that was
2	part of the reason why I was never able to get a fair
3	hearing in terms of custody of my children.
4	Consequently, I made the choice to take care of myself,
5	to find a way where I could take care of myself. Worked
6	toward the time when I could and would have a home,
7	employment, a job, that could take care of my family.
8	What else would you like to know?
9	DOCTOR BUTLER: I would like to know then, you are still
10	with the University of South Dakota?
11	MS. WELLS: Yes.
12	DOCTOR BUTLER: Are your children now in Vermillion
13	schools?
14	MS. WELLS: The majority of them well, my youngest is
15	14 and my oldest is 27. She has a degree from the
16	university, and works for the Northern Plains Health, which
17	is contracted by the Aberdeen Area Tribal Health
18	Board. It's a federal project. I have two other children
19	the next two children are married, and my youngest ones
20	are in the public school system.
21	MR. MULDROW: How many Native Americans in North and
22	South Dakota have gone into a medical career, say, in the
23	last ten years, and how many of these were women? Just I
24	mean off the top of your head figure.
25	MS WELLS: Well we have one Native American physician

1 graduate of the University of South Dakota School of 2 Medicine who is currently practicing at -- on the Rosebud 3 Reservation in the Indian Health Hospital there. She 4 graduated probably about six years ago now. Prior -- along 5 with her, and maybe a year later, there was one Native 6 American man who was a graduate, became a physician, and he 7 works in -- at the Kansas -- University of Kansas Medical 8 Center at this point. We don't have him serving our Native 9 American people at this point. 10 MR. MULDROW: So there are two graduates that you can 11 think of that have graduated --12 MS. WELLS: In South Dakota. 13 MR. MULDROW: -- forever? 14 MS. WELLS: There may be others who graduated from other

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WELLS: There may be others who graduated from other institutions, but they are very few and far between. The Indians Into Medicine program is a program that was developed at the University of North Dakota, and it was to address that need for health -- for physicians and health professionals for Native American communities. They have graduated a significant number of physicians over the past 20 years. But according to AAMC, Association of American Medical Colleges, the under-represented minority is not at parity with with the medical graduates coming out of our medical schools in the nation.

DOCTOR BUTLER: Could you tell me, please, whether this

1 is an active recruitment program and out-reach program. 2 And if it is, could you describe some of the recruitment 3 efforts, please. 4 MS. WELLS: It is an active recruitment program. The --5 the INMED program has a board of directors that has a 6 tribal representative from the -- all the tribes in five 7 states; Wyoming, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and 8 Nebraska. They -- they are an advisory -- in an advisory 9 capacity to give direction to the program. 10 The program's focus is to recruit from those tribes in 11 that five state area. They have a high school academic 12 enrichment program that pulls in 90 students every summer 13 for an eight week summer academic enrichment program from 14 those tribes and throughout the nation. And then 15 consequently the support systems are there, and the 16 majority of them go on. They may not go on to North Dakota 17 or South Dakota institutions, but they do go on to college. 18 So it's a progressive support system. 19 Are you aware that SDSU has an offsite nursing 20 program? 21 MS. WELLS: Yes. 22 Does it ever affect your effort to recruit 23 more Native Americans into nursing, or would you expand, if 24 you know the background or the resource of that program? 25 MS. WELLS: Well, the reason why the nursing -- nursing

programs are -- the recruitment efforts in the development of nursing programs for American Indians is because of the lack of nurses in the Indian Health Care Service The need for nurses in there. The Indian Health Service consistently has a, excuse the term, manpower shortage, which is what they use, of their health professionals throughout the Aberdeen area. Consequently, there is a consistent need for health professionals.

Not -- interestingly enough, and I don't know the percentages or statistics, but I do know that through -- through the INMED program, the majority of the participants are female. Also I do know that in our tribal colleges, the female student population ratio to males is about two to one. And this is because this is where the opportunity is, and in addition to that, just knowing that that is what we have to do in order to change our situation.

DOCTOR BUTLER: One last thing please. Would you please speak to tribal philosophy, your own tribal philosophy, please.

MS. WELLS: The -- well, actually I do have a handout here. The American Indian philosophy, and particularly the Lakota/Dakota philosophy, is based on -- on the four aspects of human health, or who we are as human beings, and that it has to be in balance. Those four aspects being the mental, which in Lakota is the woksape. That means

wisdom, the value of our culture. The physical, we have to have our physical being in order, which is woohitika. That means courage. That addresses the courage value of our philosophy. The other aspects is the spiritual which is wo cante ognaka, which is generosity, the giving, the -- the sharing that is necessary for us to survive as a community, and the emotional which is wo wacin tanka, which means respect. The essence of it is that our relationship to each other and to everything that is has always got to be in balance. I have a little chart here that could explain that a little bit.

We also keep in mind that the American philosophical premise is that the ultimate development of an individual must equally encompass those four components for balance and well-being. And the philosophy integrates these four components of development to include the unborn future, families, communities, nation, and the natural environment, how we relate to the other nations, the plant nation, the wind, the four-legged, and who are separate and distinct and have just as much value in our universe as we do. Very equitably based philosophy, not based on hierarchy, but based on the circle and equality.

MS. KIM: Is there any sex discrimination in Indian tribal philosophy or culture?

MS. WELLS: Oh, of course. Discrimination in what

1 Discrimination meaning unequal, maybe, maybe not. 2 MS. KIM: Unequal. 3 MS. WELLS: Discrimination meaning there are very separate and distinct roles of individuals that have just 5 as much value as the other one. So that value, they would be changing or would MS. KIM: 7 it be pretty much stable? 8 MS. WELLS: No. There has been -- there has been a lot 9 of change in the -- in the values of our 10 communities and our people with -- with the transition of 11 time. Contemporary individuals fall on a continuum of --12 of knowledge and experience about who they are as Lakota, 13 whether they are practicing their spiritual ceremonies or 14 they are practicing Christian ceremonies, whether they 15 speak the language, whether they don't speak the 16 language. And go across that. So not all Native Americans 17 -- particularly in South Dakota, we all function at 18 different levels on this continuum. Very much a lot of 19 influence and breakdown of understanding of those values 20 with contemporary time. 21 And often when I speak to students and groups, two 22 points. One is that be very aware that there is a 23 difference between our culture and the contemporary poverty 24 culture that exists, and do not equate the two. We have a 25 very rich and beautiful culture with very equitable values,

1	but as a result of European contact and the system's
2	oppression, most people have lost much of that.
3	DOCTOR BUTLER: If there are no other questions, then I
4	would like to thank you, Miss Wells, for your wisdom,
5	courage, generosity, and want to assure you that the
6	respect is mutual. Thank you very much.
7	Madam Chairman, do I have your permission to adjourn
8	this meeting?
9	MR. WALSH: Madam Secondary Chairman, could I have one
10	last question of Charon because, you know, 17 years ago we
11	did a study on Charles Mix County and the whole
12	jurisdiction thing, and that was right after the pork plant
13	incident. And at that time we realized there was a
14	tremendous amount of racial tension down there, and a lot
15	of inequities in the in the judicial process, et
16	cetera. And I just wonder what the climate is today, and
17	what role has now the Royal River Casino
18	MS. ASETOYER: Ft. Randall.
19	MR. WALSH: Ft. Randall Casino, how that has that
20	affected choices, self-esteem, accountability, things that
21	you have talked about?
22	MS. ASETOYER: The racial tension well, if you
23	remember almost three years ago when the state's attorney
24	when a group of Native Americans were trying to go
25	forward with building a shelter for abused women and

children to protect them for safety reasons, the state's attorney, when this group went up in front of the zoning commission because they needed a zoning variance because of the location of the home that was to be bought to be used as the shelter, this state's attorney referred to native people as Godless, lawless, jobless, and hopeless, a culture that is mongrelized, living wholly on the outskirts of western civilization. And it went on and on and on. That is our group. Okay. We are the Godless, lawless, jobless, and mongrelized culture that he was referring to.

We now have a shelter, and it's been open for two years. It was quite a struggle. That should tell you when women and children are fighting for their safety, their physical safety, that there are barriers that we have to be confronted with, and that they are based around racism, okay, and control. Because that is what racism is; it's all about control. Okay. Now, the -- and it's pretty much the same way.

Now, the -- one of the largest employers in the state, which just came into creation, which is the Ft. Randall Casino which is owned and operated by the Yankton Sioux Tribe opens up. And we are not invited or even made aware of or recruited to work within these systems that are run by the non-native population. There are quite a few. The

percentage is very high. It's over a third of nonnative.

We encourage the community, because everybody is a member, to sign up for a job. So there are both native and non-native working together side by side at the Ft. Randall Casino. It isn't because that is owned by the non-Indian. It's because it's owned by the Indian, by the tribe, and operated that because it is not in our nature to discriminate against our brothers and our sisters merely because of the color of their skin. So it took the native people of this land to create some cross-cultural work environments in the State of South Dakota. And you are seeing that in the casinos on all of the reservations. It's the only place where you are going to see so many native and non-native people working together very well.

It has done a number of things. There is still a lot of tension because the -- in those communities. Millions of dollars are now under the control of the tribes. And, of course, money is power in this country, and that in itself generates a lot of resentment when people -- when the whole paradigm shift occurs, and that's what happened, okay. So there is a lot of tension around that issue.

However, among the working class, there is a lot more harmony than you would find anywhere else in terms of neighbor to neighbor working together. So it is some --

2 relations. 3 But there are a lot of -- there is a horrendous group 4 out there who really resents the fact that now the money is 5 in our hands, and we hold the power to hire and fire. And 6 that has caught a lot of non-Indian people shaking about --7 worrying about whether they are going to keep their job 8 because a Native American walks in here qualified, knows 9 the boss and all this, because that is the same situation 10 we have had to live under. So the paradigm is shifting in 11 those communities where there are casinos. But like I 12 said, it is moving towards the right direction in terms of 13 parity and in terms of equal opportunity. 14 MR. WALSH: Is there parity and equal opportunity with 15 Indian women in this situation? 16 MS. ASETOYER: Well, the good old boys still run the 17 I don't care what color you are. Let's be real 18 here, folks. Okay. I think that's enough said on that. 19 DOCTOR BUTLER: Right. After everybody is adjourned, 20 please, I just have my five minutes. 21 MR. MULDROW: We will meet again right at 1:00 o'clock. 22 We have a scheduled speaker at that time. I will ask 23 everybody to be back at that time. 24 (Recess at 12:10 p.m.)

it's doing some good in the community in terms of race

1

25

MS. BURNETTE: I would like to bring the afternoon

session of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the U.S.

Civil -- to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, the

briefing forum, back into the afternoon session, please,

the briefing forum being on women's employment issues in

South Dakota.

Here with us this afternoon to begin our afternoon session is Lois Ann Rose. And, Lois, we are asking our participants, our presenters, to tell us a little bit about yourself first, and then proceed with your presentation.

MS. ROSE: Good, because that is what I had planned to do anyway. So that's great. My name is Lois Rose. I am an attorney. I have been practicing here in Sioux Falls for about 14 and a half years since my graduation from law school at USD.

I think it's helpful when presenting like this to maybe know a little bit about from whereof I speak, and how did I get involved in women employment issues. I graduated from college in 1970, and went out into the job market, and discovered that despite this wonderfully talented person that I was, that nobody wanted to hire me except to be a secretary. And my goodness, I had a college degree. I couldn't imagine this. And thus began my interest in women's employment issues. We -- struggled along with that.

And I was very fortunate a couple years later to be in

Pierre, and began work for Governor Kneip in the transition
in 1972 towards a career civil service system for the State
of South Dakota. It's kind of hard to imagine only 20
years ago that virtually every state job in South Dakota
except I think highway patrolman at the time was a
political appointment. And the governor was bound and
determined to change that.

When I went to work for him, the 1972 South Dakota Human Rights Act had just been enacted, and the state government was beginning to gear up to enforce that law. And one of the -- one of my duties was to begin work as the first South Dakota affirmative action officer. And when they told me that is what I was going to do, I said, oh, oh, what is that. And so commenced my, again, involvement from more of a working standpoint with women and minority employment issues as they at that time affected state government.

And during those early years, I worked pretty closely with Mary Lynn Myers who was the first director of our state human rights agency, and kind of put into place some of the very first things that were done in South Dakota.

After I left Pierre, I continued to have the same types of employment problems that I had faced when I first graduated from college. Again, you know, talented woman, you know, female looking for work. You can be a secretary

if you aren't a nurse or a teacher, and that was about

it. So I determined that I would go to law school, and if

nothing else, I could be self-employed.

So I went to law school, graduated in 1979, and went out into the world, and discovered that things were finally beginning to change, and was very fortunate. I was the first women hired by a major law firm in the State of South Dakota. I mean, you know, that is only 14 years ago. It's kind of hard to imagine, but things have changed.

The reason for the background perhaps is that my situation, I don't know but what it's very different from what a lot of women of my age probably have experienced in terms of their own employment situations, about their awareness and involvement in employment issues.

Because I was one of the early women lawyers in South Dakota, it just sort of naturally fell on you that when a women's employment issue from a legal standpoint would come up, that you would become involved in those sorts of things. And while primarily I was a business lawyer, employment law has continued to grow as a part of my practice. And I would say now that it's probably about 50 percent of my law firm practice.

I am, I suppose, internally grateful to Clarence Thomas and Anita Hill for the growth in my practice. I think probably more so than any single issue or public

controversy that I can think of in my years of law practice did they have a very direct and immediate impact on what I saw in terms of the phone calls to my office, the people that came to see me, and the kinds of cases and volume of cases that I see. And I think that other people similarly employed in that end of the legal industry would probably confirm that. I mean it was a very distinct and seminal point that I can identify.

When I had talked to Bill prior to presenting here today, he and I talked about a number of issues, and what I indicated to him, and I think as I can point to my own example, my own employment history, is that, you know, we have come a long way in terms of the ability for women to find employment, and satisfactory employment, in the State of South Dakota. Some of the real fundamental employment issues in this state I think are issues that affect not only men, but all -- and women; minorities and non-minorities.

And the key factor that I think is a significant difficult employment issue in this state, but it has probably a more disparate impact on women and minorities, is our low wages. Low wage jobs, you know, present all kinds of difficulties for people in employment circumstances. And it's kind of not really difficult, but if I look at a typical example of a person that I see in my

work who's got a problem of discrimination, another type of difficulty in employment, typically not always, but typically that person is female, typically that person is a single mother with two or more children earning a wage that she simply cannot raise children on in the State of South Dakota. She may or may not be getting some child support money. There are a tremendous number of people in these types of jobs who also do not have health insurance benefits and are, you know, really caught in a terrible squeeze.

It's also my assessment or experience based on cases that I have looked at that, you know, a lot of these situations where a woman finds herself in a situation of sexual harassment on the job, she is so job-dependent or perhaps health care dependent, if there is a health care benefit, that speaking out and doing something about it is simply not a viable alternative. So it becomes very difficult to know how those situations get addressed.

The next thing is probably the fact that a lot of the employers in South Dakota are relatively small employers. As you all probably are aware, the federal Civil Rights Act does not apply to employers with 15 or fewer employees. Well, that leaves the only coverage that is available for discrimination on the job are state law, or in the case of one of the towns that has a city

ordinance as we do here in Sioux Falls, a city ordinance that may cover it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In South Dakota the state law does cover the smaller The difficulty is that the remedies that are provided under state law are not anywhere near equivalent those remedies that are supplied under the federal And the biggest difficulty is that there isn't a provision for payment of attorney fees. So if you have a discrimination case, might be a good case, but under the law your damages, that is, i.e., the dollar amount that can be won by successfully taking the case to court, if there is no provision for payment of attorney fees in the law by the employer if the employer loses, you effectively don't have a remedy because there is just very, very few of the people that are caught in these situations that have the financial resources to be able to hire an attorney. And I cannot, nor can any other lawyer, run my office without fees to pay my secretary and my pay rent and pay my phone bills and whatnot. So what happens is that if the employer is not covered under the federal law, i.e, the really small employers, you know, there's pretty big opportunity to avoid enforcement.

Another difficulty I perceive is that the federal Equal Pay Act is really locally inadequate. Basically the way the pay act works in reality, I don't care what it says,

1	but in reality is that and I don't know that the way the
2	law works in reality is being abused in South Dakota. I
3	have never found a case in my years where it has
4	been. But, for example, it's not a violation of the law
5	unless you have a male and a female in exactly equal jobs,
6	jobs which require the same level of experience or
7	training, have the same title, and exactly the same duties.
8	And then if you pay them differently, you will have a
9	violation of the Equal Pay Act.
10	Well, I submit to you that in most small businesses, you
11	don't find any two jobs that are identical. And the
12	Department of Labor's position is unless the job is
13	identical, you know, the employer can probably justify a
14	difference in pay. And that's their approach.
15	The Equal Pay Act, unlike the discrimination law, is
16	enforced by the U.S. Department of Labor, not the Equal
17	Employment Opportunity Commission. They don't do
18	anything. They don't have anybody here. They don't follow
19	up. They are not around. So if in fact there are pay
20	issues or equity in pay issues, my perception is, based on,
21	again, my experience, is they are not being addressed.
22	I guess we started late. Do you want me to go a little
23	bit longer?
24	Okay. I handle a lot of cases of sexual
25	harassment. It's kind of the in thing or the current topic

or whatever. Sexual harassment cases, like other forms of discrimination cases, you know, have really mushroomed. The case backlogs, and I'm sure that Mr. Burke who I see is here and is going to be talking to you today can testify to, you know, the level of -- in increased number of cases. You know, it's added dramatically to the case load.

South Dakota, as I have said, since 1972, we have had a law against discrimination. It's questionable, I think, as to how much state government has chosen to enforce that law. The agency charged with enforcement of the law and investigation of the complaints that are made to it has been woefully under-funded since 1972. It has never in its 21 and a half year history had adequate staff or adequate funding. Since Thomas/Hill and the increased case load, this has become, you know, an even bigger problem.

I don't know how far behind the state agency is. I know that I have cases that have sat up there for two and a half years without even having a letter written on them. I mean they haven't even gotten to them yet. So I mean it's a severe problem. And for many, many cases, there is no other alternative.

When you file a case even with the federal agency, let's say it's a larger employer, there is 15 or more employees, it's not in Sioux Falls so that I can file it with Mr.

Burke and have it taken care of promptly, and it's a larger employer, so I file it with Denver. The EEOC then has a referral policy to the state agency. The EEOC sticks it in the mail and it goes to Pierre. Pierre logs it in, and says, well, we will add it to the pile.

And, you know, this creates a severe problem, not only for the person who's the subject of discrimination, but also for employers that have been charged with this because, you know, employers -- there are a lot of different questionnaires and other kinds of things that you have to fill out; have you ever been charged with, you know. And you have got this case, and it doesn't go away. It doesn't go -- one reason it doesn't go away is because nobody ever gets to it. So for three years running when the annual questionnaire comes up or when the -- when the company auditor writes to the lawyer for the audit opinion letter and says what pending litigation is there or what pending claims are there, you know, the attorney has to say, well, we have got this thing, and it's sitting there, and nothing is happening on it.

So what it means is that, you know, effective ways to remedy problems that are encountered are really not there simply because our state agency is so under-funded and has so inadequate of staff that they simply can't get to the backlog. And I don't know how many cases the backlog is

1 right now, but I perceive that to be a severe problem. 2 I could probably go on and on and on, but I will take 3 questions. MS. BURNETTE: Lois, is there anything in the rest of your presentation that's -- that you can summarize that you 5 6 feel is important that you haven't touched on yet before we 7 go to questions? 8 MS. ROSE: No, I think that's the key points. 9 MS. BURNETTE: Are there any questions of Miss Rose? 10 Sure. Lois, let me add -- just ask you MR. VAN PATTEN: 11 then, are you saying then that you turn down cases, say, 12 involving sexual harassment, primarily because of the 13 inadequacy of state remedies? As a claim, you think it --14 it's a good claim, but you turn the case down because of 15 the non-existence of state remedies, including attorney 16 fees? 17 Yes, lots of them. I also turn a lot of them MS. ROSE: 18 down because I think maybe there is a problem, but it isn't 19 so severe that if there were better, say, communication, 20 you know, that it couldn't be resolved. But, yes, I would 21 say I turn down a tremendous number of cases simply because 22 you can't -- there is nothing there in terms of remedy with 23 which to get paid. 24 MS. CRAFT: I have a question. When you work -- before 25 you went into private practice, did you yourself experience

1	any pay inequity concerns personally as far as when you
2	went in and the pay you were receiving versus male your
3	male counterparts?
4	MS. ROSE: Yes, but that would have been back in the
5	'70s.
6	MS. CRAFT: In the '70s, okay. At that time were you
7	you were actually in a pay inequity situation because I
8	think a lot of women you may have experienced certain
9	things back then that we weren't really aware of.
10	MS. ROSE: I'm not sure I was. And right off the top of
11	my head, I don't even remember when the Equal Pay Act was
12	passed. It was passed quite a bit after the civil rights.
13	MR. VAN PATTEN: I believe it was '68 is my
14	recollection.
15	MS. ROSE: '68, okay. I don't know that I was
16	aware. And, you know, there is corporate culture is
17	such that, you know, we are not supposed to talk with our
18	fellow employees about payroll, you know. You sort of
19	wonder where that all comes from. But it also effectively
20	means that if there is pay inequity, you don't know about
21	it. And that that type of corporate culture is still
22	around, very much so.
23	I worked for an extremely large national insurance
24	company, and I was their first female underwriter. You
25	know, somehow or another that was a male job and, you know,

they never quite knew what to do with me. And, you know, I know I was underpaid. You know, I know the men made more than I did. I made enough friends among men to find out what they were paid. But on the other hand, I was also newer than they were, you know, so some of it might have been attributed to years of experience or whatever. And at that point in time I was more interested in keeping a good job.

MS. BURNETTE: Bill, did you have a question?

MR. MULDROW: Lois, would you address the impact of the so-called state policy on -- fire at will policy, what effect that has on women coming forward to complain about abuse or inequities or to file complaints or to come to you with their cases.

MS. ROSE: I'm not sure exactly, you know, how it interacts. What Bill is referring to is that we do not have -- or our case law has not developed, nor do we have a statute in South Dakota that would allow you to bring a case for what is called in most places unjust dismissal or, you know, basically just sort of unfair treatment basically. In South Dakota most employers are considered at will employment situations, meaning you can quit at will, but you can also be terminated at will. And in South Dakota we also have no provisions regarding severance pay, nor other types of protections in the event of termination.

Sometimes I think it significantly adds to the -- the, I don't know, how do I want to say this, the fact that some cases which really might not be discrimination where people who are terminated attempt to make a discrimination case out of it simply because they have no other remedy, okay.

But conversly, it also casts, you know, what I call the chilling effect in legal terms or sort of the gray cloud or whatever over employees who might otherwise complain about what is going on in the work place. There is a tremendous number of people who have been terminated from positions where an employer gets away with it simply because of that policy, and because perhaps it's a little bit of a thin case in terms of discrimination, or even let's say it's a good case, but it's not one, for example, where you can afford to prosecute it.

MS. BURNETTE: Mr. Van Patten has a short question for a short answer, I'm sure.

MR. VAN PATTEN: How do you get into pay equity issues at a time when the national administration wants to reduce regulations and reduce the number of federal employees, maybe even consolidate the agencies? How do you get into pay equity without --

MS. ROSE: -- creating a nightmare. I don't know. Jon, I think that is a problem. But I think that pay equity is a much less significant problem than probably work place

- harassment right now. But I think it would be -- you know,
 I have thought about that. I think it would be very, very
 difficult to write a law that addressed what we really know
 is out there, but it's kind of hard to prove.

 MR. VAN PATTEN: Thank you.
- 6 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much --
- 7 MS. ROSE: Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 8 MS. BURNETTE: -- for sharing with us today. Our next 9 presenter is Fern Chamberlain from Sioux Falls. Fern, do 10 you need help with your --
- We would like you to tell us a little bit about yourself, Fern.
 - MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Well, I am Fern Chamberlain, and I am here as an individual. My personal experience with employment has been good. I have a master's degree, and my job was appropriate. My salary was also commensurate with that of my male colleagues, and that is before 1968. I was included in policy sessions on an equal basis. I am fully aware that I have been very fortunate. So I will not be talking about particular incidents, but in more general terms.

Progress has been made in opening up non-traditional occupations to women which has enabled the women going into these jobs to materially increase their income. We talk about the income of women in relation to the income of men,

and it's much closer now than it used to be, but
unfortunately, that is probably as much because men's
income has come down as women's income has gone up. In
fact, I think men's income has come down farther than
women's has gone up. And that's not what we had in mind
when we were talking about getting them closer.

But not all women want to move into these nontraditional jobs. And what would we do if they did? One
writer who was speaking on behalf of the plight of cleaning
women said one way, of course, to get them more income was
to train them all as computer operators. But then who
would clean the toilets?

Believe it or not, there are women who like to care for children, who like to teach, who like to nurse the sick, who like to cook, and even some who like to clean. Many of these women have a special talent for what they are doing. Women should not be forced out of these occupations in order to get higher pay. What is needed is a second look at the value of these services, which are commonly considered to be women's work.

Children are our future, and caring for them and teaching them are vital activities. And yet child care workers are some of the lowest paid of any professional job. Compensation for these services should be brought into line with comparable male dominated occupations. The

notion that men must be higher paid because they must support dependents is outmoded. Today many women provide the sole support for dependents, or contribute to their support. And that contribution is necessary. Women without dependents must plan for their retirement when they will be their own sole support.

South Dakota law, as S.D.C.L. 60-12-15 states, no employer shall discriminate against employees on the basis of sex by paying wages to any employee in any occupation in this state at a rate less than the rate at which he pays any employee of the opposite sex for comparable work on jobs which have comparable requirements relating to skill, effort and responsibility, but not to physical strength. And that sounds to me like pay equity, and not just equal pay.

So far as I know, no action has ever been taken to enforce the comparable worth provision of this law. No law can by itself change the perception of women's work, but maybe steps could be taken in this direction based on the authority of this law.

This discrepancy in wage levels has many, many repercussions. The low wages paid to women affect any benefit which is determined by a person's past earnings. Social security payments are an example. A friend of mine was employed in maintenance in an institution. Her boss

was a man whose salary was significantly higher than
hers. Her perception was that his main activity was

telling her what to do, (which she could have figured out
for herself) while she did the heavy physical labor now
that they are retired, his social security gives him a
comfortable living; while with hers, she is barely scraping
by.

Unemployment insurance is another wage-based benefit. The amount of a worker's earnings determine initial eligibilty, the amount of the weekly benefit, and the overall total that the claimant can receive. Here we have as of December, 1922, the number of unemployed males, the number of unemployed females, those covered by unemployment benefits for the males, and those for the females. And I think that illustrates --

Incidentally, South Dakota has the distinction of being the state that has the smallest portion of their unemployed that receive unemployment benefits. This happens—to be 1990. But here we have South Dakota. Here are our neighboring states clear up to Alaska which is the top one there. This is the United States average. South Dakota, while the numbers have gone up, and these have shifted a little bit, the pattern is pretty much the same still. And so what has happened on this, in the United States we went from 42 percent to 3 -- almost 37 percent, while in South

	3 <i>0</i>
1	Dakota we went from \mathcal{Y} percent of the unemployed getting
2	benefits down to 17 percent.
3	The people who did the study that this comes from said
4	in comparing states, they thought the rules were not so
5	much different, but they found administrative practices
6	were different.
7	It's not that we don't have money. Here is the trust
8	fund reserve for unemployment. 43 million in 1990. By
9	1991 it was up to almost 47 million. It's well over 47
10	million now. The ideal reserve is a 30 million
11	reserve. We spend about 10 million dollars a year on
12	benefits. This 1.6 was the highest month at that time.
13	DOCTOR BUTLER: Excuse me. Perhaps Bill could turn that
14	around to the audience.
15	MR. MULDROW: Could we maybe stand over here, Fern,
16	while you are explaining that.
17	MS. CHAMBERLAIN: It isn't that we haven't tried to hold
18	this reserve down. Here is the rate at which the how
19	you measure what employers have to put in is by the rate,
20	the initial employer, the first year that they are running
21	a business, the rate they have to go by. The usual rate
22	is 5.4. Back here in 1984 we had a 3.5 rate. And here,
23	it's been going down, going down. It's now down to
24	1.2. And, of course, this is one of our big arguments for
25	bringing people into the state, the low cost of

unemployment insurance.

As I reviewed the regulations regarding unemployment insurance, it seems evident that women are more negatively affected by this. A person who voluntarily quits a job is not eligible for benefits until that person has again been employed for six weeks, and has earned wages not less than claimant's benefit amount in each of those six weeks. Voluntary quits include leave a job because of moving with the family to a new location, because of illness or pregnancy, because of problems with child care arrangements or other family demands. And these most often, of course, fall on women.

To receive benefits, a claimant must be available for employment. If pregnant, the claimant must provide a medical statement that she is able to work. If the claimant is responsible for child care, she must provide the name and address of a baby sitter who would care -- who will care for the child or children if she takes a job.

Another problem for women is the definition of work to include only employment for which the worker receives pay. Caring for one's own children or cleaning one's own house calls for as much expenditure of time and energy as if it were done for hire. Recently I saw a proposal that the unpaid work done by women should be included in the gross domestic product.

Representative Barbara-Rose Collins, Democrat of Michigan, has introduced the Unrenumerated Work Act of 1993 which would require the Bureau of Labor statistics to calculate the value of unpaid labor that mothers do and include it in the gross domestic product. Sounds like an excellent idea. Maybe if this were done, women who provide these unpaid services would be recognized as productive, and credit given them towards later benefits. I realize practically there are an awful lot of problems in trying to do something like that.

To summarize, the concept of comparable work should be employed in determining pay scales for jobs predominantly held by women. I think we should push as hard for getting those wages up for those kinds of jobs as we do for opening up the more non-traditional jobs.

Unemployment insurance rules should be revised to recognize response to family demands as good cause for quitting a job, and reinstate eligibility for benefits as soon as the claimant is ready to return to employment, but has no job.

The contribution women make through unpaid work should be recognized as a value, whether we can actually give it a value towards, you know, earning benefits, but it certainly would -- would help in this -- if it was recognized that caring for children, even if they are your own children in

1 Upur own home, you are being productive. 2 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you, Fern. Entertain questions 3 from the committee, please. Frank. MR. POMMERSHEIM: No. 5 MR. WALSH: Fern, have you -- once again, excellent testimony for the last 25 years or more. Have you ever 6 7 given much thought to, you know, the women in the home and 8 how we would compensate financially? I mean whose 9 responsibility is that? 10 MS. CHAMBERLAIN: There is talk today of somehow 11 crediting social security where there is one earner and the 12 other one is at home doing the duties there, of somehow 13 crediting that one earner's earnings to both of them. It's 14 just talk I think at this point. 15 MS. KIM: Would it be whether the family has children or 16 not, the women would be equal? 17 MS. CHAMBERLAIN: I think so. I think whether there are 18 children or not. But maintaining a home would be 19 considered a contribution. 20 DOCTOR BUTLER: I really appreciate your visual aids. 21 MS. BURNETTE: Kitty, have any questions? 22 MRS. WERTHMANN: Would you advocate that the husbands 23 pay the wives' wages? 24 MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Oh, well, I think they do now in a 25 way.

1	MR. MULDROW: What are the implications of that chart
2	you show where the insurance insurance reserve is way up
3	and the payments are way down? Why doesn't the state pay
4	more?
5	MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Well, we like to attract new
6	businesses by offering very low unemployment charges to
7	them, plus we don't like to pay out money.
8	MR. MULDROW: Isn't there I mean aren't they legally
9	bound to pay it out? How can they not pay it out?
10	MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Well, they have restrictive
11	regulations. Beyond that, I think what those people were
12	saying is that administrative practices can make a
13	difference, too.
14	MR. MULDROW: So the state says to new companies who
15	want to come in, if you come in, you won't have to pay much
16	insurance, is that what you are saying?
17	MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Uh-huh.
18	MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much for a well-documented-
19	and concise presentation.
20	MR. WALSH: Can I go back to the previous presenter for
21	a question?
22	MS. BURNETTE: Sure. You have three minutes.
23	MR. WALSH: Lois, in the case of someone that's been
24	released and then comes back to the employer and says you
25	discriminated against me, and you either pay me now or you

1 are going to pay an attorney, what recourse does the 2 employer have? 3 MS. ROSE: When you say pay me like --Give me money under the table, you know, I MR. WALSH: 5 will drop the discrimination charge, or you can pay your 6 attorney to fight this case. 7 MS. ROSE: My experience is that is no threat at all to 8 the average employer. None at all. I might just comment 9 on Fern's testimony. This whole thing with administrative 10 practice, you know, where there is a will, there is a way. 11 South Dakota has a huge bureaucracy to enforce this 12 unemployment law, and I mean they go after every tiny, 13 little possible nuance in the regulations to avoid 14 payment. But when it comes to enforcement of the Civil 15 Rights Act, you know, we have two employees; one 16 investigator and one secretary. That's it. 17 DOCTOR BUTLER: I wanted to ask earlier when you talked 18 about the huge backlog of cases, do you ever expect to see 19 resolution in any of those cases that have waited two years 20 to even be acknowledged? 21 MS. ROSE: No. No. Some of them do just kind of grind 22 to a -- sort of an insipid little ending, and there is some 23 resolution. Some of them just die on the vine. 24 leave the state. They, you know, go elsewhere. 25 -- I don't know. I don't see the statistics, you know, how

1	many they really close. I suppose they do somehow or
2	another, but I would be real surprised if the numbers are
3	very high on the really old ones. And right now the
4	backlog is the worst it has ever been in all the years I
5	have been in practice. It's terrible right now.
6	I wrote several letters to Governor Mickelson while he
7	was in office asking for additional funding. I talked to
8	him a couple of times about it. And this last year during
9	the session we had three employees for a while. We now
10	have two. So that was the end result of that.
11	MS. BURNETTE: When you spoke earlier, Lois, regarding
12	the number of clients that you turn away that come to you
13	for some legal help, do you refer them to like legal
14	services?
15	MS. ROSE: Well, I just about always, if somebody if
16	I think they have a valid claim, I make certain that they
17	at least file, you know. I may not assist them further in
18	the prosecution of their case, but I may send them to Mr.
19	Burke's office, I will give them the phone number in
20	Pierre. In some cases I will actually do the paperwork to
21	make sure that the case gets filed. But in terms of, you
22	know, active pursuit of the case beyond that, no.
23	MR. VAN PATTEN: Does East River handle those?
24	MS. ROSE: I don't know.
25	MR. VAN PATTEN: Were they prohibited or could they if

1 they --2 MS. ROSE: I don't know. 3 If you will bear with us, since you can MS. BURNETTE: 4 help fill in a little time here since I don't see Mr. Lyons 5 in the audience. Is he here? 6 MR. POPOVICH: I have a question. No. 7 In previous testimony that was given this MS. BURNETTE: 8 morning, we talked about the lack -- there was one 9 presenter particularly that addressed the lack of public 10 consequence, the cases that were filed and settled. What's your feeling or experience with that as far as your 11 12 complaints filed with local, state or other agencies and 13 the disposition of those cases which, to go one step 14 further, the -- there is a lack of motivation or incentive 15 on the part of the victim, if you will, to file a case and 16 employers themselves are not fearful of cases because there 17 is no public consequences for what is filed? 18 I'm not sure. I -- that's a very mixed MS. ROSE: 19 question. And the -- any time I have actively worked on a 20 case, it's a very difficult issue. And I represent not 21 only employees in these cases, in some instances, you know, 22 for different clients, I work for the employer. And so I 23 have had an opportunity to think of it, you know, from both 24 perspectives. 25 I will tell you, you know, from -- let's take it first

is publicized by the parties, i.e., the employee making the complaint or the employer, is entirely their own discretion. If one of them want to talk to the media and splash it all over, there is absolutely nothing to stop it. Once a complaint is filed, the complaint process itself is kind confidential, so that if a reporter calls the South Dakota Division of Human Rights and says is there a complaint and if so, what have you found, they are not allowed to comment on it. So it's private in the sense that the agency itself can do nothing. There is nothing to prevent the parties from publicizing the case.

Now, so let's take, for example, one where perhaps the complaining employee chooses to publicize. My experience with those are, you know, they get very heavy play, lots of times splashed on the front page of the "Argus" or front page of the "Rapid City Journal," whatever. It's pretty sensational for a while. And interestingly enough, at time of resolution or even sometimes at dismissal in a court where the employer is vindicated, you know, there is absolutely no play of that outcome, you know, of the ultimate vindication of the person.

So, you know, it's kind of a sad story. And that's not untypical either, I suppose, of a criminal case, you know, where you are charged and whatnot, and, boom, you are

1 acquitted. The damage has been done, so to speak. 2 On the other hand, when I am in advising an employee who thinks they can embarrass the employer and wants to splash 3 4 it all over, you know, you always have to caution a person 5 who is thinking along those lines that, look, if you want to live in this community, seek other employment in this 6 7 community, face the people you go to church with, the 8 people you will see in the grocery store, think about how 9 people will react to this. You may feel victimized. A lot 10 of those people may not agree with their perception of 11 this. And so it cuts both ways I think, from whoever's 12 side you are on. And I'm not sure that lack of publicity 13 or consequence is necessarily a bad thing. 14 I may have miscommunicated, but -- or a MS. BURNETTE: 15 misunderstanding, Lois. My -- what I'm interested in is 16 those cases where you know perhaps there wasn't any 17 publicity by either party prior to --18 But ultimately the employer is found _--MS. ROSE: 19 MS. BURNETTE: But ultimately the employer is found, you 20 know, to have violated --21 MS. ROSE: The law. 22 MS. BURNETTE: -- the law, and there is no public 23 consequence. I mean that's -- I'm more interested in, you 24 know, the media's inattention, if you will, to the

employers who have historically or are consistently found

25

1	to violate the law and discriminate against women, or
2	whether it's housing or I mean for this particular forum
3	we are talking about women, but from women we have heard
4	this morning, there are more they are less likely to go
5	and file a complaint because there isn't any public
6	documentation that there is a just resolution.
7	MS. ROSE: Or that this may be a bad employer.
8	MS. BURNETTE: That's right.

9 That you could sort of be on the lookout 10 I think in that instance you are exactly right, 11 that --

12 MS. BURNETTE: But you don't know to what --13 I don't have a feel for it, no. MS. ROSE:

14 MS. BURNETTE: One more question.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POPOVICH: Lois, you apparently had to work very hard to get to the position you are in, and you must have seen a lot of problems as you were reaching that level. just wonder if you would speak to us about your feelings of the glass ceiling, and where you have seen some areas of access for women trying to reach higher levels. We have a lot of statistics related to politicians or municipalities and legislation, but very little in the -- in the regular work force, corporations and that. Can you speak to some of those positions, and have you had a feeling from other women what the problems are there?

MS. ROSE: Not very well. You know, Sioux Falls is kind 1 2 of an interesting environment in the sense that, you know, 3 we don't have a lot of large corporations, you know, with the traditional kinds of corporate environments here. I 4 5 have a number of friends who, say, work at Citibank which would be a large corporate employer, where my impression 6 7 from things I have heard from them is that that's a pretty open environment for women, you know, in terms of 8 9 advancement.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, some of our larger employers are -- is the medical industry here in Sioux Falls; particularly the, you know, the two larger hospitals. I think that is a different environment. When you look at the fact that a lot of the people that work in hospitals are female, and look at the corporate power structure, it's mostly male. And so, you know, you might ask some questions about that.

I think another huge employer in the city is our school district. And women have traditionally been involved in the teaching profession for many years, and my impression is that that -- what had been I think a barrier in terms of moving into jobs as a principal or into administration has softened somewhat.

You know, I can speak, I suppose, most intimately with respect to the professions, you know; law and medicine,

1	accounting, whatever. And I think it's still real tough
2	for a female. I don't think there are real obvious
3	barriers. I mean I was with the largest law firm in the
4	State of South Dakota for six years, and I have spent the
5	last eight and a half years self-employed. And, you know,
6	it's I had a good experience in that other environment,
7	but on the other hand, I perceived there were some
8	problems, and a lot of them related to the fact that, I
9	thought, I was female. And I, you know, chose to be my own
10	boss because of that.
11	And I think, you know I mean I am aware of the number
12	of women accountants, women physicians and whatnot in town;
13	that I think in the medical profession there is perhaps
14	less of the barrier. We are still in a posture that there
15	is a lot of need for women physicians, and a lot of them in
16	fact can kind of write their own ticket. In the accounting
17	profession, I think that there are more barriers than in
18	the legal profession still.
19	MR. WALSH: How about brokerage?
20	MS. ROSE: I know a number of women brokers, but I have
21	never heard them talk much about their work environment, so
22	I don't have a lot of good feel for that.
23	MS. BURNETTE: Well, thank you very much, Lois, for
24	MS. ROSE: Now I'm really going to get out of here.
25	MS. BURNETTE: Thank you for taking some time. I do not

1 see a male in the audience, so if on behalf of the 2 committee, if I could beg Frances Jefferson from -- who is the equal opportunity specialist for the Women's Bureau 3 from the U.S. Department of Labor, Denver, who has been 4 5 with us all morning, I know you don't mind coming forward fast. 7

MS. JEFERSON: No, I don't.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much.

I am Frances Jefferson. MS. JEFFERSON: I am the equal Oleta Crain opportunity specialist and assistant to Olethea Crane, the Adminstrator regional assistant for the Women's Bureau, U.S. Department of Labor, Region VIII, located in Colorado.

I quess before I proceed, I want to say I have written my statement because I am representing my boss in case she asked me what I had to say.

The Women's Bureau, one of the oldest agencies in the U.S. Department of Labor, was created by Congress on June 5th, 1920 and given a mandate to formulate standards and policies which help promote the welfare of wage earning women, improve their working conditions, increase their efficiency, and advance their opportunities for profitable employment.

In the 1940s after World War II began, the Bureau helped the nation meet its need for industrial workers. While men served in the military, women were encouraged to enter the

labor force, while the Bureau worked with industry leaders to develop training programs for these newcomers. The Bureau also encouraged the development of child care centers.

Throughout the '50s and '60s the Bureau addressed a wide array of issues ranging from career oriented training and education to passage of the Equal Pay Act. The Bureau was instrumental in the creation of the President's Commission on the Status of Women in 1961. During the '70s, women joined the work force, and in increasing numbers. The Bureau worked for women's greatest access to employment and training, as well as careers of their choice. Another emphasis was the recruitment of women to non-traditional jobs in the trades, professional specialties, and the upper levels of corporate management.

In the 1980s the multiple earner family became the norm. There was also a rapid increase in the number of families maintained by single adults, mainly women, and mothers with preschool children entered the labor force in rapid numbers. New issues emerged around the limited amount of time available for family care needs, and the Bureau advocated policies and practices to help make work and family needs compatible.

As the Bureau proceeds in the 1990s, we in the eighth decade of service to women. Our injutives continue to be

balancing work and family, health and safety,
non-traditional, glass ceiling, work place innovation, and
a minority college iniative.

We might ask have we made a difference to the women in South Dakota. The 1990 census showed interesting information about the employment distribution between men and women in South Dakota. Are women making inroads into the better paying professional level jobs traditionally occupied by men? In occupations which had total employment of at least 100 in 1990, females accounted for 43 percent. Women were only 12 percent of the physicians, the highest paying occupations.

Among some of the other better paying professional level occupations in the state, women comprised 70 percent of medical and health service managers, 40 percent of public administrators and officials, 38 percent of pharmacists, 33 percent of marketing, advertising and public relations managers, 32 percent of securities, financial services, sales representatives, 30 percent of optometrists, 27 percent of general managers, 18 percent of lawyers, 7 percent of dentists, and 5 percent of electrical and electronic engineers. The percentage of jobs held by women in these occupations increased from 1980 to 1990 with the exception of general managers, where there was a slight decline.

The Women's Bureau does not take credit for the distance women have come. However, with the limited funds available for the six Rocky Mountain states, we have tried to make our presence known.

Let me say it's unfortunate that the Commission on the Status of Women was defunded for they were the catalyst that allowed the Women's Bureau to provide funding for programs that covered a broad spectrum on women's issues.

Just to mention some of the programs that we have done in South Dakota over the years; in April of 1990, worked with Judy Richards, Department of Education and Cultural Affairs. We provided a workshop on strengthening South Dakota families. This workshop addressed balancing work and family issues.

In August of the same year, working with the Aberdeen Resource Center for Women, we held a conference with American Indian women on reservations. The areas included training them as group leaders or advocates.

In 1990, working with the Resource Center for Women, there were three two-hour workshops on issues related to American week. Indian women, and domestic violence. They called that Balancing the Family Circle in Contemporary Society.

In 1991 we worked with the South Dakota Coaliton Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault. The objective was

ending domestic violence. It was a two-day conference.

First day was for nonprofit organizations, and the second

day was for the battered women and victims.

In September, 1992, we worked with the Sioux Falls Business and Professional Women, and we assisted them in funding a resource guide for women in South Dakota that provided information on finances, employment, credit, social security, and legal rights.

In September of that same year, we also assisted the Resource Center for Women in a workshop Facing the Future, Family Survival. This focused on the obstacles of the family.

In 1990, and for every year since then, we sponsored with Equal Education Opportunity Expanding Your Horizons conferences. This was emphasizing the math and science careers targeted for girls and Indian students for junior high and high school. Their attendance was 200. This last year they had 600 students.

Upcoming in September, next week, we are working with the Brookings Area Learning Center funding a conference on the glass ceiling, and a non-traditional employment workshop. The regional administrator will be one of the keynote speakers there. In addition, we have provided funds to the learning center for ongoing programs and to disseminate information on the new Family Medical Leave

1 Act. 2 Now and in the future the Women's Bureau will remain not 3 only a strong voice that advocates policy, but also a helping hand that advocates programs to assist working 5 women and potential workers, thus to carry out our 6 congressional mandate of 1920. I would like to thank you for the opportunity to provide 7 8 this testimony, and if we can be of assistance to the women 9 in South Dakota, we are there for them. Our phone number 10 is in the publication on the back tables. 11 Are there any questions? Thank you. 12 MR. VAN PATTEN: One thing that may affect actually the 13 Civil Rights Commission, and so that's why I started 14 thinking about it, and then it occurs to me in light of the 15 fact you're an agency or group within the Department of 16 Labor, is this recent initiative to reinvent government to 17 make it streamline, to cut out the jobs that are being 18 duplicated. I'm wondering just if you have a feeling about 19 -- I notice -- for example, I noticed when I first looked 20 at your -- at your title, I thought this is EEOC, but no, 21 it's not EEOC. It's Equal Opportunities within the 22 Department of Labor, so it's a different deal. But what

MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. I don't believe that my title

consolidated into EEOC?

would happen to your efforts if, say, everything was

23

24

	rage 13:
1	is good equal opportunity specialist = they're kind of dealing
2	with that, you know, why we even have that title, equal
3	opportunity specialist. I am on the Women's Bureau team,
4	but the Women's Bureau is not an enforcement agency. We
5	are a resource, and we not only provide resources and
6	direction on equal opportunity, but any issue that impacts
7	women as they try to balance their work and family, and
8	just survive in the world of work. And with women,
9	according to the Hudson Institute, becoming 63 percent of
10	the new entrants into the work force by the year 2000, I
11	think there would be a stronger emphasis toward the Women's
12	Bureau. Presently there is no duplication for the job that
13	we do.
14	MR. VAN PATTEN: Okay. I guess my larger question or
15	question that is just go at it again. Do you think
16	there is any danger in consolidation that your unique role
17	is not recognized by some person who is doing the
18	consolidating and cutting here and $\kappa_{\rho\rho}V$
19	MS. JEFFERSON: You know, I don't who that person is, so say. We have a new administration
20	I can't & I can't even venture & guess what Will happell
21	Oleta Crain " MR. MULDROW: When I spoke with Olethea Crane some weeks
22	ago, she mentioned a program called NEW, Non-Traditional
23	Employment for Women. And could you explain that program,
24	and what relation it has to the South Dakota Job Service.
25	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. The Non-Traditional Employment

	102-235
1	for Women Act, Public Law 102, in 1993 became one of the
2	Women's Bureau initiatives, and we received a certain
3	amount of funds. And they go through the job training office in the States.
4	They are States. They are JTPA funds that are monitored by the Women's Bureau, to the State has an opportunity to apply. States. And each > I don't believe that South Dakota
5	states. And each > I don't believe that South Dakota
6	there is a new grant period that just went through. But
7	that received last year the only state in the region we seek funds for
8	that particular program was the Western Wyoming College in
9	Rocks Springs, Wyoming, and that grant period just closed.
10	And I'm not sure if South Dakota made an application, but
11	they haven't made those selections yet for those funds the
12	that the one you are talking about? Non-traditional
13 14	employment is one of our initiatives, and there ere State plans that require specific goals and objectives.
	MR. MULDROW: You monitor the use of the funds, the
15	grant funds? the new grant
16	MS. JEFFERSON: Last year was the first year for 🗶 this
17	closing fiscal year is the first year for those funds, and
18	they were monitored out of the national office.
19	MR. MULDROW: And South Dakota you say did not apply
20	for
21	MS. JEFFERSON: No, South Dakota, I'm not sure if they
22	whoe ver received the grant for the new year, they have
23	not announced that yet. But last year there were only one
24	in this region, Wyoming was the only state that was
25	approved.

approved.

1 MS. BURNETTE: Could you explain that program, please.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's a non-traditional employment MS. JEFFERSON: program for women, and that's where funds are set aside through the governor's jobs training office. There are specific goals that they have to have in their state plan where they are going to address getting women into nontraditional jobs, where they will have training programs, specifically concentrating on employing women in those jobs and training women to be able to go into non-traditional fields. And those grants are applied for through the JTPA, and the government -- Governor's Job Training Act. applications said
like I say, they just received those, and I'm not sure what states will receive them, but in region -- let's see, I know there was one state in the District of Washington D.C., there was somewhere in Dallas; and Wyoming in our region is the only state that received those. dollars.

MR. MULDROW: The state is required to have some goals?

MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. The states are required to have in
their plan that they submit to the governor's state
planning for training, they are required to have goals for
training women in non-traditional fields. And they not
only have to have goals; they have to have the objectives,
and specific set aside things that say they will train
women, and what they are going to do to get them in those

1 fields. 2 MR. MULDROW: And do you monitor them, the progress 3 toward those goals? MS. JEFFERSON: We do not monitor that. The governor's 5 job training, JTPA. 6 MS. BURNETTE: I have more one more question. Before I 7 ask, are there any other questions here? 8 MS. JEFFERSON: I was going to say we do review the 9 state plans to see that each state has included goals, and I believe last year that the only state that did include --10 Let me see was it let's see, I believe it was South Dakota, -- 'South Dakota, 11 12 North Dakota, I can't remember right now, but there was 13 only one state in Region VIII that did include specific 14 All the other five states had to be turned session was a 15 So there had to be big training last year in 16 Colorado, and this year the state plans look much 17 better. The states did put in them what they were required 18 to put in. 19 MS. BURNETTE: Did you have another question? 20 DOCTOR BUTLER: Look forward to seeing you in Brookings 21 next week. I hope to be there. 22 MS. JEFFERSON: My boss will be there. I won't be 23 there. 24 MS. BURNETTE: Kitty, did you have a question? Malee? 25 MS. CRAFT: I have a question, Frances. The Women's

1	Bureau, I guess I am assuming that your office does receive
2	phone calls or whatever.
3	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.
4	MS. CRAFT: Can you tell us what which areas you get
5	the most concerns.
6	MS. JEFFERSON: We continue to get a lot of concerns
7	with sexual harassment, and continue to get a lot of
8	concerns with employment and pregnancy.
9	MS. BURNETTE: Is there a question down here?
10	MS. KIM: How do we get a hold of this list of non-
11	traditional jobs?
12	MS. JEFFERSON: You can get a hold of the list of non-
13	traditional jobs by contacting our office. And our
14	telephone number is 303-391-6756. That's 1801 California.
Suite 905, Denver, CO RO202-24/6 MS. KIM: That's fine. Is there such a list then	MS. KIM: That's fine. Is there such a list then of
16	non-traditional jobs for men?
17	MS. JEFFERSON: No, I don't believe we have a list of
18	non-traditional jobs for men, but we could look at it in
19	vice versa; those jobs that are traditional for women would
20	be non-traditional for men.
21	MS. KIM: Because in our nursing program, there is some
22	male students, and
23	MS. JEFFERSON: Usually go to any job that has less
24	than 25 percent of any one sex did I say that right
25	okav, is non-traditional.

MS. BURNETTE: I have two questions. First of all, I mean you went by the statistics so fast, and I know that I will be getting a transcript of them, but what were your sources and when did -- where did -- what year were your statistics gathered from?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JEFFERSON: That particular source was published in May, 1993 by the South Dakota Labor Department. And they were from the 1990 census.

In terms of the new project MS. BURNETTE: Thank you. through JTPA and the non-traditional jobs that you -- if we could just visit that a moment, in terms of response, is that the state's responsibility to monitor and look for in the RFPs that come out from Washington, D.C. to, you know -- is it just strictly an administrative duty where we have to -- I mean like I am in a nonprofit organization, and I -- the worst part of my job is reviewing the Federal Register for grant opportunities and RFP opportunities that might fit our needs as an organization. So I am assuming likewise with the states, it's the state's responsibility to respond; that there is not an active recruitment method on behalf of the Department of Labor to call the state and say, look, we have this wonderful new program, and South Dakota has, you know, more women that are living in the state. I mean there is not one single agency or office in Washington or in the region that actively recruits states

	. Hust
1	to apply for new funding? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, with I can't speak to all of
2	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, with I can't speak to all of fuere
3	them, but with reference to this particular program,
4	seems to be a big Wyoming, as small as it is, received
5	the 250,000 dollars. So they sent that information
6	directly to the Governor's Job Training Offices in the in the
7	state, The deadlines, they send it they send them
8	information that will know when the RFP will be coming out
9	and what the deadlines will be and the requirements.
10	MS. BURNETTE: So would a recommendation on your part
11	then, if I can be so bold, to have the women's groups in
12	South Dakota call that respective office and offer our
13	services
14	MS. JEFFERSON: To who?
L5	MS. BURNETTE: To our state, to the governor's office,
L6	and encourage them to apply for those
L 7	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. But they did apply. I'm sure
18	they did apply.
L9	MS. BURNETTE: South Dakota did apply?
20	MS. JEFFERSON: All the states did apply. I'm just not
21	sure who was selected this time.
22	MS. BURNETTE: Thank you.
23	MS. JEFFERSON: I was going to say, too, in relationship
24	to the funds for that, I'm not sure what organization you
25	are with, but the additional funds are what they call ISTE ${\cal A}$
	(ISTEA)

	Module Act
1	That is Inner Surface Transportation Efficiency. Are you
2	familiar with that?
3	MS. BURNETTE: No, ma'am.
4	Well MS. JEFFERSON: And under that program, I guess it says
5	in the language that a certain amount of the funds will be
6	used to plant wildflowers, and can be used to train women.
7	oroblen with this.
	And we are having a big \square having a big workshop
8	because they are a lot of people that don't realize that
9	all those funds have been set aside for that, and they seem
10	to be being used for planting the wildflowers than training
11	the women. That's a grant through the Department of
12	Transporation.
13	MR. POPOVICH: I was interested when you were talking
14	about the programs that you have provided funding for,
15	especially in South Dakota. How did those agencies or
16	those groups contact you? Did they directly contact the
17	U.S. Department of Labor, and then the Department of Labor
18	puts them in touch with you or
19	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, usually what has happened, since
20	the Commission on the Status of Women is defunct, it kind
21	of started off by, you know, I may call someone that was a
22	member or someone that worked with the governor's
23	commission and say, well, since you are not doing this
24	anymore, do you have, you know, any resources. And with

relationship to the things that we have done on the Indian

25

1	reservations, that was the result of our Minority College
2	Initiative Association. We just kind of call the different
3	reservations and tell them we have some funds, are you
4	interested in doing any training, tell them what your
5	iniatives are.
6	MR. POPOVICH: So you have funds available every year
7	for different training?
8	MS. JEFFERSON: Every year, unquote. I don't know what
9	the new administration is going to do, but that is that
10	pour focus, to provide training and research. That is
11	what we do.
12	MR. POPOVICH: Do you have anything going right to that
13	to speak of?
14	MS. JEFFERSON: The one I just mentioned next week in
15	South Dakota, we are funding a workshop they are having
16	through the Brookings Learning Center. We are coming to
17	the end of our fiscal year, and I believe that one we
18	are having ein Denver on September 30 for non-
19	traditional and ISTEA if anybody wants to come to that.
20	MS. BURNETTE: Plant wildflowers.
21	MS. JEFFERSON: We are going to try to see that they do
22	not plant all wildflowers.
23	MS. BURNETTE: Are there any other questions? Thank you
24	very much for working with us.
25	Let it be noted in the record that Jim Lyons, President,

1	United Food and Commercial Workers Union, I believe RSVP'd,
2	and is not present.
3	Martha Reed, Director of Human Relations, Citibank of
4	South Dakota, are you here?
5	MS. REED: I'm here. Yes.
6	MS. BURNETTE: Before Martha comes up, we will give you
7	a chance to gather yourself, Martha. Jane Kono.
8	MS. KONO: Well, Fran already gave good advertising for
9	us, but and I am completely out of fliers, but I am Jane
10	Kono, the director of the Careers Learning Center in
11	Brookings. And at the CLC, we work with unemployed and
12	under-employed individuals, and we work JTPA jobs. We also
13	have a displaced homemaker program.
14	And what we are doing next Wednesday, all day, is a
15	program called Women in the Work Force. It will start at
16	9:00 o'clock in the morning. It is at the Brookings
17	Holiday Inn, and Olethea Crane is going to be our keynote
18	speaker.
19	We're also going to have information about women in the
20	work force in South Dakota, who we are, how old are we, how
21	much education do we have, how much money do we make, where
22	are our children while we are working, and lots of other
23	information like that.
24	We have two panels planned for the day. One is on
25	non-traditional employment, and the other is on the glass

1 ceiling. And these will be local women in both of those 2 areas in non-traditional employment, and also in programs that offer non-traditional opportunities. And then we will 3 have women who have hit the glass ceiling, and who have 4 5 actually broken it in our community. 6 So we welcome you, and if you would like more information, I will be here all day, or you could call the 7 8 Career Learning Center, or you could just come to our 9 And we would certainly like to get the word 10 So thank you for the opportunity. 11 MR. VAN PATTEN: Earlier I said that I thought that 12 Equal Pay Act, this is a national legislation, had been 13 enacted in 1968. And I just wanted to correct that. I was 14 I did consult a very handy quide called Employment 15 Discrimination and Women in South Dakota, Legislative 16 Handbook, and in there it says that the date of that act is 17 1963. And it has that, and a lot of other useful 18 information as well. 19 MS. BURNETTE: Yes. 20 MR. POPOVICH: Sort of corrected yourself twice there, 21 is that right? 22 I would encourage all of you, before I 23 ask Miss Reed to come up for the presentation, to not 24 forget to take our latest publication by this committee on 25 Employment Discrimination and Women in South Dakota for

dissemination.

It is now 2:23, and Martha Reed is here. And, Ms. Reed, we would like you to come forwards and introduce yourself.

And thank you for responding to our invitation. Tell us a little bit about yourself.

MS. REED: Okay. My name is Martha Reed. And I am

Director of Human Resources for Citibank, South Dakota. I

have been with Citicorp for 16 years, and 11 years here in

South Dakota.

And what was really fun for me coming out when we started up the credit card center was it was a brand new business, and so we were able to take it from one person up to its current 2800 people and be able to watch the evolution of that over the years, and really be able to watch, you know, the evolution of the department, of our management team, moving it from a big eastern corporation, you know, New York corporation, to one where most of the management group and really most of the higher level staff are now local and regional people. So it was kind of interesting just as a cultural exercise, too, as you watch people talk to each from various parts of the country and varying interest groups.

I grew up in Minneapolis, Minnesota, went to school out east, and then came to South Dakota when the bank came to South Dakota.

So I thought what I would do is start by giving a little background of Citibank, South Dakota, a little bit about our organization, and then some of the things that we have done to really try and help women in the work force, and then some of the obstacles that we still see within, you know -- just regionally and for the state.

Citibank's presence in South Dakota began when its parent, Citicorp, which is in New York, made a commitment to further develop our bank card business. We have card members throughout the world, and we needed a place where we could really start to service those card members in a very, very flexible and efficient way, and to move out of -- out of New York where there are a lot of constraints in terms of charges that we could do for credit cards, you know, a good -- good, solid work force that we were looking for that was a little bit different, and we wanted to also move Citicorp into different geographic areas around the United States as part of a strategy of growing the whole business.

So the bank card business, it's very efficient, it's very customer-focused, and it is a service industry. We had more than 30 million accounts, and we really do have the pre-eminent share of bank cards in the credit card market.

In 1980 is when we really started looking as a

corporation for a place to come to for this business. And a study was done with South Dakota, and because of South Dakota's free economic environment, and really the quality of labor force that was here, it just really had a lot of appeal for us as a corporation. So then in 1981 is when we first started bringing out the credit card operation from New York, and we have been here since 1981. And as I said, we started with one individual, and we are now up to 2800 with three different buildings.

Little bit about the kinds of jobs that we have. When I take a look at opportunities for people, most of the jobs that we have in our organization are customer service related. So the people that we hire are those individuals who know how to help other people solve their problems with their cards, either through talking with them on the telephone, or if somebody should write into us and say there is a problem with my credit card, can you help me with it, then those individuals have to be able to respond to that person in writing.

And those -- those are very difficult jobs. They are very complex because there are so many regulations around credit cards, with Master Card and Visa association. So the people who come into those jobs, most -- the majority of our people have at least some college, and almost 40 percent of our staff in these jobs do have college

degrees.

We also have a center called the retention center, and this is when card members call, and they say I have had it with you, we are going to close my account, our account, we don't want your card any longer. These individuals then work with that person to make sure they understand the value of the credit card and the services they can get and the products they can get to try and save that account. So the individuals who come into these kinds of jobs have to have good sales skills and good, again, customer relations skills because most of the time the person will call us and are angry at first, and what they want then is someone to take them through that anger and understand what the real issues are, and then sell them again on the product. So that takes a special talent as well to be able to do that.

We also have credit services. This is where people apply for credit cards, and we are going to evaluate that person's credit worthiness. So the individuals in these jobs have to be able to understand credit criteria, match that against some thresholds we have for granting credit to people, and so they are trained on those credit functions.

Another thing we have is transaction services, and this is where when you pay your credit card each month, your statement comes to us, we process your check. And we also

print and issue credit cards. So that is really the part of our business which is kind of manufacturing in orientation. Individuals hired there, they are on their feet all day long, they are running quite a bit, so there is a lot of physical stamina you have to have in this particular job, but also constant attention to quality.

And we have information services. This is all of our systems development and computer work. And in this area we have a lot of computer programmers, and this is one of the areas where we have really tried over the years to develop people with these skills here in South Dakota. When we first started the bank, we would go really all -- wherever we needed to in the country to find people to do systems jobs for us because initially there just wasn't that kind of skill base broad enough in South Dakota that we could draw from.

So one of the things that we have done over the years is to really work with local colleges and universities to develop internship programs for those people who are in an information systems major. And we have been able to do a lot of work there also with making sure that we are bringing women through those programs as well because typically what we have seen throughout Citicorp, as many industries, is when you get into the systems world, there are typically more men in those jobs than women. We wanted

to start to make sure we could bring women through those jobs as well because they tend to be very highly paid jobs.

Then we have a myriad of support services that you have in any organization, where we have a quality, a training organization, human resources, finance and accounting, banking and treasury, what we call service development, and other administrative types of support, which, again, most of those jobs, as we look at those managers, require college degrees. And one of the things that has been really good for us in South Dakota is we do have a lot of college graduates, and a lot happen to be women.

When we started the bank, because we are a service industry which is not unusual in any service industry, we are mostly female. 70 percent of our work force is female. And among our management, 45 percent are female, and we have 60 percent of first line supervisors — these are individuals who are working directly with our staff members. 60 percent of our first line supervisors are female. And the majority of those individuals have grown with the organization.

The one thing I think that has been really helpful for us as an organization being able to grow women into management is that we could develop with from within the organization, and then promote them. So we have about 220

supervisors throughout the organization. 60 percent of those are women, and most of them have grown from within.

One of the things we really focused on over the years as we do develop management talent is that we really focus on that talent and try and find people who we know are going to be able to provide good leadership. And we have not -- we have not insisted that people have college degrees. And I think that when, especially when you dealing with -- with women as a group, as I have seen over the years, because of family constraints and responsibilities, I have seen it is more difficult for women to complete their college educations. So we don't have a hard and fast rule you must have a college education in order to get into management.

And what has been fun over the years is to watch -- two of our current vice-presidents that we have are women, and to see where they started out in South Dakota. One was a dental assistant in a dentist office, and came to us in a clerical position, and through the years has grown into a senior vice-president position. And another one really had been a teacher, and decided to leave teaching and again came to us in a clerical position, and has grown, grown through the ranks.

So we have people that come with varying backgrounds.

They come to us because they are looking for career opportunities. And we see a lot of that with women coming

out of other -- other specialties, or there -- they have not been able to get a college degree and they want to start somewhere.

Because -- because we are so much of a female work force, over the years we have really tried to focus on work and family issues. Because we are a 7-day a week, 24-hour a day business -- which in particular here in this location we typically find people applying for jobs, if they are, looking for 8:00 to 5:00, Monday through Friday positions. For most women, if they have a family, that's really the schedule that is going to work best for them.

But because of our business needs, we have got to have people at various times, so we have tried to develop flexible schedules for people that they can select that best meets their needs in terms of their family circumstances, and then really to develop what we call, you know, some family friendly policies. We have had a long-standing policy of sick child care, so that if we do have staff who need to go and take care of a sick child, there are days allocated through the year so that staff can simply go and take care of those issues for themselves.

We have -- we started in 1987 a child care center which is run, of course, by McKennan Hospital, and that handles 250 children for us and takes care of about 120 of our families. And that has been one thing that has really

helped us. And I think that is one that continues to be an obstacle for women, is being able to find affordable, quality child care when it's needed. As I -- as I work with people applying for positions, and people who are staff members for us now, it is easy to find child care, relatively easy to find child care for Monday through Friday, 2800 jobs. It is very difficult to find child care for night jobs and weekend jobs. And that's where we really try and work with our staff.

We have what -- we have a consultant that is called Work and Family Directions that works with us that staff can call and talk to them about here is my need for child care. And what Work and Family Directions will do for that staff member is research within Sioux Falls available child care options, and work with a staff member to help them find that child care.

Some of the other programs that we have, we do quite a bit in terms of really prenatal seminars for mothers and making sure that they are getting the attention they need. In any given year we have 200 children born to staff members at Citibank. It's a young population, young, married, lots of babies being born. So we do -- we do noontime seminars and presentations.

We also have a program called Babies and You. And we have really found that that has been a good support system

for our pregnant staff because there is lots of anxieties, and it really just helps them really form some groups at work that they can talk to and bond with. So that program has worked really well for us.

We have a series of wellness programs because we have a fitness center and we do a lot of work through the year on nutritional and exercise programs for all staff, and we do have an exercise program for expectant moms as well. We work with the local hospitals to do those programs.

We also have an elder care referral program. While we are still a relatively young work force, we are starting to see people with elder care needs. And that typically -- again, most of those responsibilities fall to most of the women staff that I work with. And, again, it's a referral service where they can then get help to find care, find nursing homes, research nursing homes, so that then they can move their elder parents into those facilities without being disrupted by a lot of work.

We have an employee assistance program that people can access 24 hours a day, six days a week if they have needs. There -- a lot of work is done with that group, and a lot of the referrals are parent/child relationships. And we find that those can also be some of the most disruptive situations that occur for staff on the job. And so we do a lot of work, again, seminars that we do as staff at lunch

time; come down, bring your lunch, and we do a seminar on your teen and you or -- but we did one seminar recently, and it was, you know, when you reach the -- when you reach the time when you feel you're probably the dumbest person in your household, you know, kids talking to you, and just working through those kinds of issues and offering those programs.

We do have -- in terms of other events, we have paid sick leave. There is an emergency room to be able to take care of your emergency situations that might arise. If there is a fire or somebody's furnance is out or something like that where you have got to go and be able to just go and take care of some necessary life things, your employment isn't jeopardized in anyway because you have to go take care of those things. There are days allocated throughout the year when you can go do that.

And then the other thing that we have really for development which our staff really takes a lot of advantage of, and which women in particular access, is our tuition assistance program. Our tuition assistance program pays 80 percent of any courses that are being taken for undergraduate or graduate degrees. So what is really good about this one is when we do have people come into the organization who have not completed their college education, they are now able to do that through this

program. And we have -- at any point every year we have
about 600 staff who take advantage of that. And they can
receive up to 5,000 dollars a year in tuition benefits to
complete their education.

In terms of, again, some of the obstacles that we see, one -- one still that is very, very difficult, as I work, I work a great deal with employer relations and staff relations, also where we are trying to deal with a single parent who typically is female, being able to find affordable housing, being able to find affordable child care, and continue their education if they need to. And what are the support systems in place for those individuals.

So those I think are still some issues that -- that as a community we still need to address. And, again, the whole idea, I would complete undergraduate school or vocational training. Because of family responsibilities we often find, you know -- many of our women or female employees, they will have children relatively young, not complete their education, come back to the work force, and then they want to complete their education, so helping them with that.

The other thing that I have seen over the last few years is also the ability to accept additional managerial responsibilities because of family responsibilities. I

have had several, several unit managers, first line supervisors, turn down higher level managerial positions because they really felt they could not take on that responsibility and do what they needed to at home. And that's really a cultural thing I think within corporations that is being addressed. There is a lot of literature about it right now. And I do see that.

I have also seen women turn down managerial positions, even first line supervisor positions, because they really feel because of the demands of being in a management position, that they are not able to accept that kind of responsibility because of family responsibilities. And typically it was their children are very young. So, again, they tend to have -- there is a tendency sometimes I think for us to postpone career advancement until we can take care of some family responsibilities, and our children are a little bit older.

In -- I do see, of course, women then return to the work force if they have decided to stay home, and learning to work with that group of people. One of the things that we have found is that there is a different learning style once you become a little bit older and out of school. Just forget how to do that. And you don't want to learn that way anymore. And so we have had to make a lot of adjustments because early on we would find that women

1 returning to the work force after being home would have a 2 high failure rate in our training programs. And what we 3 had to do was really learn how to readjust our training so 4 that they could be successful. And they certainly have 5 become some of our best employees. They serve as mentors 6 to younger staff. So organizations have to continue to make adjustments in those kinds of activities, you know, to 7 8 deal with different ages, as most often what you see with 9 return to work force of course is women.

And the other thing just in terms of being able to continue providing opportunities for women is that as a community, you know, as a state, is to continue to build and develop and bring in, you know, quality businesses that are growing, that start -- start off brand new like we did with Citibank, or even a small business, that you are able to provide opportunity -- growth opportunities by bringing in new businesses and developing new businesses. And that is something as a community that we just need to continue to focus on.

I think that overall the programs we have going forward in Sioux Falls, Community Development Foundation, that is starting to happen now, and there are some good things happening, that will continue to provide opportunity for employment.

That's my basic statement to you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MS. BURNETTE: Any questions? I will entertain questions.

MR. POPOVICH: I think it's commendable that Citibank has been able to promote within and move within up the ranks. And that's good. I'm wondering though if there is a way -- how do you recruit other people, say minorities, or do you recruit from high schools or anywhere to start improving the program's steps for them so that they can also reach management levels? Does Citibank have a program for that as well?

MS. REED: We do. We -- we have dealt with trying to do a lot of outreach within the community, particularly early on. We have done a great deal of work with the handicapped and disabled community. And we have programs right now where we have a group of staff who -- really they have cerebral palsy, and they come and they work with us so that they are out of the shelter workshop, and are actually on the job doing, you know, just doing the work; just doing work in a regular work group. So we have worked with handicapped over the years to quite a large extent.

We have had hearing impaired, sight impaired. We have terminals that we have for a couple of them who are collectors, what we call terminals. They are sight impaired, so they are able to talk to card members that way. Outreach with the handicap has been very good.

1	Where we probably are not as successful as we could be
2	or even would like to be is with the minority
3	community. Part of the problem there is the trend has been
4	over the years that the size of the minority community
5	in South Dakota, but we have seen a shift over the last
6	couple of years, and we have been able to do a lot more
7	outreach to do that as well.
8	And then within the organization, we have regular
9	reviews of individuals where we are looking for talent for
10	management, and the management development program that is
11	open for all people to apply to. As we have recruited,
12	particularly in the systems area, and as we recruited,
13	sometimes we have had to recruit those individuals from
14	around the country. We try and we pay close attention to
15	what is the mix of people that we are bringing in. We do
16	have an affirmative action plan with goals that we made
17	each year for women, for minorities, and for
18	handicapped. And that's that's paid a lot of attention
19	to by the managers there, and as part of our management
20	process.
21	MS. KIM: Since you opened in 1981, have you done any
22	study on what's your retention rate of your employees,
23	especially women?
24	MS. REED: Uh-huh. We take a look at that all the time.
25	Because of the nature of the job that we have, we have

on the telephones all day talking with card members and taking one phone call after another. We have about a 15 percent turnover rate at the site. So there is a constant need to replace those individuals who leave. And, again, the majority of the people leaving are women because that is the largest part of our work force we have.

But typically when we take a look at the reason for their leaving, which is what we were really interested in managerially, we will find either people are dissatisfied with the job, but frequently, very, very frequently, we will see someone leaving to go home and take care of family responsibilities, and oftentimes, especially with young women, relocation. They are going to get married, they have a boyfriend, their spouse is transferred, and typically, you know, then they are going to go along with that individual. So those -- we see that. That's a very high percentage of people who are leaving. That's probably about 15 percent of the total population of people who leave that are women.

Typically find the turnover is highest among women who are in, say, their early 20s, either with family responsibilities or they find out that this isn't the kind of work they want to do, so they're now going -- they are continuing their education or exploring doing something

different. Usually find once we get, oh, say, into, you know, 30 and above, that there is a little more stability in the work force among the women there. But I think that is probably typical across the country.

MS. KIM: What is the average age of women employees?

MS. REED: Well, the last time we looked at it was about a year and a half ago, and it was 28 years old.

MS. BURNETTE: I have a couple of questions, if you will. Out of your 2800 employees, Martha, how many of those are part-time and how many of those are permanent, how many are full-time with benefits, and how many of those 2800 are permanent part-time? I know that Citibank has a contractual agreement with a temp service where you have a lot of temporary people coming in, you know. How -- what's that break out, and is that part of your 2800 and --

MS. REED: Okay.

MS. BURNETTE: As a follow-up to that, because we have heard -- we have heard some testimony today in regards to women not accessing jobs for, you know, some, you know, some of the barriers that -- obstacles that we talked about, but access to employment for several barriers, and one of them is benefits and not being able to afford to work. So I mean I would like to know out of the people -- you say that there is a high -- high -- you have a high turnover. Is that high turnover in those temporary jobs as

1 opposed to your permanent jobs? And lastly, if you can 2 remember all this, because I can't, but I'm sure she can 3 read it back to you --4 MS. REED: I will remember. 5 MS. BURNETTE: -- how many minorities do you have 6 working at Citibank? 7 The 2800 work force, there has been MS. REED: Okay. 8 evolution of the mix of staff that we have in terms of 9 permanent full-time, temporary. When we first came to 10 South Dakota, we accessed temporary workers a lot, an awful 11 lot. Over the last two years, we really have stopped that 12 At any point in time we will maybe have 20 to 30 practice. 13 temporary staff. And that's really in our new business 14 area where we have got credit card applications coming in 15 and we see real cycles in volumes. We really cut back on 16 that. 17 One of the reasons is that one, of course, they are 18 receiving no benefits or benefits through the agency, but 19 you just don't have the identification with the 20 organization if you're a temp. So we moved away from that 21 over the last couple years. 22 So of the 2800 people, about 400 people are 23 part-time. And our permanent part-time staff, they receive 24 the exact same benefits as a full-time person for medical, 25 dental, life. There is really no difference in benefits

except vacation allocations and sick time allocations
because of the lesser amount of time you are at work. And
what we have -- we found we really needed to do because we
need our part-time staff again to deal in variations in
volume that come through the organizations. So our
part-time staff is very, very critical to us. So we -MS. BURNETT: Again, how many part-time people?

MS. REED: About 425. There are about 450 managerial staff, so if we take a look at just staff members, we are talking about 2400 people, and then about 400, 425 of those being part-time. And we have tried -- we also have a strategy to try and move as much as possible to full-time, although we do find that, you know, the part-time people that we do have, that is what they want. They want to be working part-time. But anybody who wants to move into a full time schedule simply has to say I would like to work full-time, and we will move them into that. So we have been able to accommodate that over the years because it has worked out well for the indivudual as well as for us.

Then with the minority population we have at the bank, we are probably at about three and a half percent minority. And that -- that's a real mix. No one dominant group of people. We have a goal this year to try and get us up to between four and five percent if we can. And that -- that, again, has been something that has been probably

1	more difficult for us to accomplish. I'm not sure why. We
2	haven't figured that out yet. But that's the percentages
3	there. Did I get everything?
4	MS. BURNETTE: The number of people who leave Citibank
5	on a monthly basis, I mean how many job openings do you do
6	or screenings in a
7	MS. REED: Okay. We probably do at any point in
8	time, we will have about 100 jobs that are open. And we do
9	we do open interviewing. We do not, you know, demand
10	that people first call us and make an appointment, which
11	they certainly can. But once a week we do open
12	interviewing in the community which is advertised, and
13	anybody who is seeking employment can come out and do
14	an interview with us and a screening interview. So that
15	that in many cases has helped us just open up the whole
16	pool of people that allows anyone to come in.
17	MS. BURNETTE: Okay. Thank you for remembering all
18	that
19	MR. VAN PATTEN: The description of all these fringe
20	benefits is impressive. I assume that Citibank made the
21	judgment that these fringe benefits are cost effective;
22	that is, they produce a more stable and loyal work force?
23	MS. REED: Uh-huh.
24	MR. VAN PATTEN: Is that a result of being a big
25	company, or would the same argument work for a much smaller

company? In other words, are you able to do this simply because of your size? Could these things be provided by smaller employers? And if so, how do -- how would incentives to get them to try to do that look?

MS. REED: There is certainly an advantage to being large. You just have more available resources. And our benefit program is very comparable to any major corporation throughout the U.S. If you compare it to small businesses, there can be quite a bit of difference. And the reality is that it's expensive. We have a fringe rate that is about 32 percent. And for a small business, that is an awful lot of resources.

But we do find that the benefits that people are most focused on are medical and dental benefits, often eye care goes along with that, life insurance, and then time off benefits to take care of family obligations. Those are the ones that seem really critical. With a fairly young work force, we don't -- we don't see people talking a lot about retirement benefits, although that is excellent, or, you know, long-term savings plan.

And that's another benefit that I think, kind of a side note here, that women in particular need more education on, is being able to do long-range financial planning for themselves so that when an employer does have a 401K, and any small employer can do a 401K plan, that women in

1	particular get educated on being able to handle that
2	financially and think long-term in terms of retirement. I
3	certainly see that with, you know, with young men as well.
4	But there could be a lot more work done there I think.
5	But certainly with smaller businesses, I think as I talk
6	to employers around Sioux Falls and there is a Sioux
7	Falls Personnel Association, and we get together
8	basically most often what we see is the issue with
9	benefits, whether large or small, is the affordability of
10	medical care and time off benefits to take care of family
11	obligations without jeopardizing your job.
12	MS. BURNETTE: That's great. Well, I thank you very
13	much for coming and sharing with us today.
14	MS. REED: Sure. I enjoyed it.
15	MS. BURNETTE: We enjoyed learning about you.
16	Our next presenter is Joellen Koerner. She is vice-
17	president for patient services at Sioux Valley.
18	MS. KOERNER: It's nice that I am the last thing before
19	the break. And I have to think of the biblical admonition,
20	there is nothing new under the sun. Your heads must be
21	swimming by now.
22	It's a real pleasure to be here, and $\dot{\mathcal{I}}$ thought for this
23	daunting task of talking about women in the work place in
24	15 minutes, I would limit it to my area of expertise, and
25	that happens to be nursing and health care. And I do that

for three reasons.

I have been a nurse for 26 years. I represent two and a half millin women who work in the field of nursing right now, and mostly I'm telling you my story because Doctor Jean Auchteberg (sp), a medical sociologist, has done a large research study. In three years she studied the history of healing. What she discovered is that in every culture where healing occurs, society views it as deity of sorts. And sometimes we say of the physician, who does he think he is, God or something. And in fact society does.

And what she found in her study was that the relationship between medicine and nursing is a very clear mirror reflection of what is going on as it relates to gender issues within the work place and the society group. So I'd just like to briefly talk about nursing and its relationship to the larger community in three particular areas. First of all, within society, then within the - - health care field and the profession itself, and then within individual women.

Starting with the society, the people in society view nurses as bedside care givers, which in fact they really are. There are other opportunities for nurses, however. When I talk about nursing, I include everything from a nursing assistant who has two weeks of training to a

licensed practical nurse with a nine month education, to Ligarian

registered nurses First go to school anywheres from years

through a Ph.D.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What you acquire in that education very much determines the kind of work you will do. A lot of nurses work at the There are a number of nurses who are involved in bedside. education and research as well. And some nurses get employed by development companies. They work with high tech industry helping define patient care products. Another group of nurses works in regulatory practice. can work for the federal government. President Bush had a very powerful nurse in the White House talking about health related issues. Hillary Clinton is spending a lot of time on health care, and the American Nurses Association has been working on it. Another place is private practice. There are a number of nurses that now have their own businesses and they work for lawyers, they act as expert consultants on litigation cases and so on. And the last place is administrative practice, such as my own.

But I look at the issues that face those who work in the health care field. 67 percent work in hospital settings or in large tertiary care settings and in clinics.

There are two issues that are broadly dealt with in society that have particular importance to nurses. One of them has to be child care because we are a seven day a week

1 operation, 24 hours a day. Nurses have to be there on all 2 those -- on all those times. And because we deal with a 3 public that gets sick and -- well, it's very cyclical. Certain times of the year cause more illness. We get a lot 5 of ulcers during tax season, we get a lot of heart attacks 6 in the winter, so it affects our census. We have 95 7 patients in our intensive care unit one day, and 52 the 8 next day. So patients come and go, and with that your 9 staffing changes. So the people have to carry beepers with 10 them which may mean if there's an acute accident somewhere, 11 we will have to call in a group of nurses at 2:00 o'clock 12 in the morning. So if they have children, that is really a 13 challenge for them.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We do have a day care program, much like Citibank. It takes care of approximately 200 children. And aside from that, it doesn't meet the needs of a lot of women who are working that are young. There is a lot of day care available for children after they get to about five to six months of age, but those first few months are really very difficult.

And so we have worked with the State Department of Health, and we have developed what is called a family day care network. And the family day care network we now have almost 200 families involved in. That allows anyone in this region that is interested in providing day care to

call the Center for Women, and what they do is send out a team, a social worker and an educator, who goes into the home. When they are in the home, they look for safety factors; where does this family keep their cleaning fluids, so on. They look at the environment. They have a conversation with the care giver to see if he is interested and motivated and all those sorts of things. So they do a safety and environmental check. They then have a series of classes for this care giver.

Another thing they provide, if the patient -- if the employee that is bringing her child to this person is eligible for low income support, they provide nutritional support, and the state department pays for it. So we survey to see what kind of menus they put together; do they get popcorn and pretzels all the time, or is there a balance in the diet.

And last of all, they have a toy exchange program because if you take in children, they will tend to grow and change, and it's very expensive for an individual family to provide all the toys. So they have a toy swap that keeps changing toys as these children grow and mature. So that is one way that we deal with the child care issues for a group that gets called in a lot.

Another issue that is real important is the issue of security. And when you work in a large institution that

has a lot of narcotics and lots of high technology, there
is a lot of theft and a lot of risk that goes on with
that. Some of the things that we have to provide security
for these employees is, one, against infectious disease
such as HIV positive virus, tuberculosis, hepatitis and so
on.

The other thing that we have to protect them from is, especially late evening and night, is ER exposure. There are a lot of accidents and things that come in, and a lot of very disruptive and sometimes unsafe behavior. We have just added a security guard in our emergency room because of guns, knives, the sorts of things that happen in a large city. The emergency room is really your doorway to the community. And it reflects all the activity that goes on there.

Another thing we have is night security that goes throughout the hospital because the people that work in the pharmacy and those that work in the nursery area have a great deal of risk because of theft and so on. So security is another issue for us. And we always, always provide escort service to people when they have a change of shift. Everyone knows what time nurses leave and come. And the nurses resent terribly having to call someone to escort them to their cars and don't always take advantage of it, though they should. So security is

1 another issue for them.

Looking within the health care field itself, I would like to address just briefly some of the gender and power issues that occur between nurses and physicians. And there has been a lot literature written about it, and I would just like to share with you several things that I think are interesting.

The relationship between nurses and physicians, a lot of characteristics that we learn from our upbringing is attributed to them. For example, a lot of males that are in the 50 and older age category and the nurses in that age category have a very different relationship than the younger ones coming out. And so you can see as men and women are starting to be socialized different and play differently in the younger categories, it's very different.

But verbal abuse, sexual harassment is really a problem for the industry. We put a verbal abuse and sexual abuse problem in place three years ago to address this issue, and sent away two physicians and two nurses. One of the physicians was notorious for abusive behavior. And they went to a workshop in Colorado looking at why there is such a problem for nursing and medicine, and found that much of the issue had to do with the way they were socialized in their educational process.

And one of their assignments at the workshop was to put on a mask and go out and tell somebody one experience they had while they were in school that affected the way they relate to each other now. And this one abusive physician was telling about when he was a surgical resident, he had been working under a very well renown physician, and he was in the OR watching the surgery. They then went to class, and the surgeon asked what he had seen, and he answered the question, and surgeon said that is not right. Why don't you get in and watch. You never pay attention, really berated him in front of his peers.

So the next day they went to surgery again, and so he peaked over to be sure that he would catch the case, and the physician got very angry and said you are in my way, had the nurses tape him spread eagle to the wall, and he stood like that for two and a half hours while the surgery was going on. So there were a lot of those stories with physicians.

And the nurses, on the other hand, were talking about shame stories and about the way they were always ridiculed and so on, so forth.

I think the whole educational process, the way we are socialized into the field has a great deal to do with the way we react to each other once we come out in the work place. Not only our female gender as we are young people,

1 but the way the profession socializes us.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 We have now started a project called the Healing 3 And the goal of the project is to heal relationships between nursing and medicine so we can become better 4 And for years we have healers for the larger society. 5 6 taken medical and nursing students and put them in didactic 7 classes together. And we have looked at ethical issues, we 8 looked at some of the things around health care. 9 are trying to socialize people to be partners and 10 colleagues, and hope that we can break some of that 11 cycle.

Looking within the profession itself, it is primarily a women's profession. Unfortunately, it's still 95 percent female, and we have a very low participation by minority groups. There are several things I think that impact on that.

One real thing of concern to us is that the average age of a nurse today is 42. And by the time I get to be in a nursing home, with nurses of that average age, there will be no one to take care of me if we don't do something about recruiting young people into the field. As we look at some of the phenomenon around why people are not going into nursing any longer, one of the reasons, there are so many other career opportunities for women available today.

And having been a product of the '60s and '70s, I am

very appreciative of the feminist movement. The one
problem with it was it elevated the work typically done by
men without bringing along the work done by women which was
homemaking, nursing, social work, and teaching. And so a
number of people feel they want to get the important jobs
go into those other fields.

I would tell you that I have nursing friends that make 20,000 dollars a year, and I have nursing colleagues who make 250,000 dollars a year. So you can have very wonderful careers in nursing, though most people don't see that. So we must somehow put value back into nursing, education and social work, and so on.

Another thing that is difficult is that many of the people that go into the helping professions, literature tells us, come from low socioeconomic backgrounds. And so they need -- they need dollars to support themselves to get through school. And that is why it's really important that scholarships and funding and so on be available for those.

And then unfortunately one of the dark sides within nursing, the literature also tells us, is that a number of nurses come from dysfunctional families, and so they go into nursing to help others, and also to help themselves. It causes some internal dynamics within the profession where we are not always known to be very supportive of each

other. So that is an agenda that we have to deal with.

At the very end, I would just like to speak briefly to the individual person, and what it is that we can do to support people moving into advanced practice fields and more opportunity. And for that I would like to tell you about Hall, a sociologist, who says if you are to go to work and continue to develop your career and your life, there are four basic skills that you need. First you need fundamental skills. That's the basic tasks of the work. So if we want nurses to get into management, we have to teach them budgeting, staffing, scheduling, economics, and so on, that most of them don't have.

Secondly, he said you have to have inter-personal skills, the ability to speak well and articulate well. And nurses do that real well, but the thing they haven't learned is the art of how to compromise, how to bring in other different opinions and make room for them because we are taught that everybody has to be right or the patient will die. And unfortunately we sometimes carry that beyond the clinical side of things into the relational side.

The third thing, and this is where I think it gets more important, a person has to have imaginal skills, the capacity to imagine new, creative ways of doing things. In this time of great change, it is important that we can conceptualize different ways of approaching problems as the

world is changing.

Right now we are in the process of developing another management course for managers, and the four teachers will be a musician, an artist, a poet, and an improvisationalist. What we are going to try to teach them to do is to be a little artistic and creative as they approach the issues that come to them every day because some of the old ways don't work as things are changing.

And last of all, and most importantly, a systems perspective; the capacity to see part relationships, the ability to know that what I do here affects the broader piece. And when we do that, you see -- we see that we are part of the system, and it takes away this "we, they" sort of thing because we know that in some way we are all related to the whole.

The thing that we have tried to do to help the thousand?

In nurses that I have the opportunity to support in my position is give them back their practice. Nine years ago when I started I had a 52 million dollar budget and had to make every decision. We now have 15 units that run like cities. They all have their budgets. They do their own hiring and firing, their own staffing and scheduling, and they make only their own major decisions. Then we have a congress that runs the practice as a whole group. So we are trying to give them the opportunity to develop the

1	capacity for some of these other skills.
2	So with that, I would like to answer any questions that
3	you have.
4	MS. BURNETTE: I am sure the stenographer would be glad
5	for the break.
6	MR. POPOVICH: Very good.
7	MR. MULDROW: Very impressive view of the nursing
8	profession and situations that face persons in
9	nursing. We've heard from some people today who are
10	concerned about Native American women, for example, and
11	problems that they have getting into the employment arena,
12	even though some of them have very well developed skills
13	and individual education and background. How is it for
14	them? Do you have any program to reach Native American
15	nurses, or how many of them are incorporated into the
16	hospital?
17	MS. KOERNER: Unfortunately we have only two that are
18	Native Americans that are nurses, and we'd really like to
19	work more on that. There are two reservations that now
20	have nursing programs right on the reservation. And I
21	think, speaking on behalf of the city, and I will be kind
22	of bold about that, I am not sure that Sioux Falls is
23	nearly as user friendly as it could be to the natives.
24	Our own hospital, for example, we have been working with
25	Doctor Martin Broken Leg of Augustana College asking him

how we can make our hospital more accessible to the natives and bring more people in. He said, for one thing, you have no symbols, you have nothing there that welcomes them, that shows their culture. It's all our culture. So we have to do better about bringing culture in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing that I would say to you is in working with some of the native people that we have gotten as patients, sometimes the way we heal is not the way they heal. don't think we make enough room to honor that. An example would be we had a young child that came in that was severely abused, and we brought in a medicine man who helped the family go through the grieving process, and did some of the rituals and put the beads and things around the bed, and it was very healing for that family. We need to do more of that. In fact, we should have a medicine man on our staff. I don't konw if we would put him on the medical staff or on the spiritual care staff, but I think we need to bring more of those people in and make room for them. We don't do that now.

MR. WALSH: How many female doctors do you have?

MS. KOERNER: That is such a good question. You know, it's been interesting. This is the first year in South Dakota that the medical school has more women than men in the freshman class. And in the last ten years, I would tell you we have seen a shift. We now have -- out of a

1 medical staff of 350, we have about 52 that are women. 2 I would say to you that a lot of the female physicans that 3 were educated 20 years ago were as difficult to work with 4 in some ways as the male physicians. And, again, I think 5 it's role socialization. 6 But we are seeing a real difference now. 7 coming out of the schools have a different relationship, 8 and so do a lot of men. I'm not trying to be a male basher 9 because I really believe the way everyone comes together 10 has to do with the way we are socialized and taught to 11 be. And the system needs to be reinforced. So we need to 12 change some. And it is changing. 13 MR. WALSH: So it's a better working relationship in the 14 last 20 years --15 MS. KOERNER: Yes. 16 MR. WALSH: -- you think? Some healing? 17 MS. KOERNER: More of an acceptance of what each other 18

MS. KOERNER: More of an acceptance of what each other does. And I think a reason it's getting more so is that the patients are getting so acutely ill and the physicians are so busy. They just did a study, and the question asked was how much time will a physician spend with a hospitalized patient a week. And after the initial assessment, it's 28 minutes for the whole week because the physician has so many others. So they really rely on the nursing staff to call them when the patient is into

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trouble. So there is starting to be a nice working 1 2 relationship. I think the health care reform is going to 3 help that, too. 4 DOCTOR BUTLER: May I congratulate you on being one of 5 the alumni from South Dakota State. 6 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you for the report. I will ask my -- I would defer to my committee person and welcome 7 8 Charlotte Black Elk to the committee meeting. And for the 9 official record that will be produced in written form, if 10 you would explain that it's tobacco ties as opposed to 11 beads, so -- just for cultural correctness. Would you 12 please do that. 13 MS. BLACK ELK: No. 14 MS. BURNETTE: Okay. It's not beads that go around the 15 bed, but tobacco ties. 16 MS. KOERNER: I'm sorry. 17 That's okay. For the record we just MS. BURNETTE: 18 wanted to make that correction. With that, thank you very 19 much, Joellen. We will take a break, and reconvene back at 20 what time, Bill? About 3:20. 21 (Recess at 3:15 p.m.)

to tell us a little bit about yourself.

MS. BURNETTE: Our next presenter for this afternoon is

Greg Peterson. He is manager of the Sioux Falls office of

South Dakota Job Service. Mr. Peterson, if you would like

22

23

24

25

1	MR. PETERSON: Okay. I am Greg Peterson. Like Rae
2	said, I'm the manager of the Sioux Falls Job Service, have
3	been for almost eight years now. Mr. Muldrow initially
4	requested that my boss attend this and speak to the
5	group. You're real fortunate he is out of town, you got
6	me, because in 25 minutes, he is not even warmed up yet. I
7	will probably finish a little early, so that was your break
8	for the day.

Job Service of South Dakota is somewhat unique. We do something most states don't. We have -- the employment services, the unemployment insurance services, and all the programs including JTPA, and all the programs that are available and administered by the Department of Labor are all in the same office in all 17 offices in South Dakota. Most states have separate offices for unemployment, employment services, and their programs.

I'm glad I got the opportunity to come here because we are finally doing some things with the JTPA pregram. That's the Job Training Partnership Act. For some of you that have been around a long time, it's a spinoff of the old CETA program, somewhat similar. But in this program, we work with people that have multiple barriers to employment.

Most of the participants in this program are there because of economic reasons. It's because they're

economically disadvantaged. And as a result, you know, we have money in this program to do an awful lot of things. I hope you have all heard of the on-the-job-training contract where we will actually reimburse an employer half the wages if they will train somebody into a position. And the number of hours that we will reimburse for depends on the difficulty and the nature of the job they got hired for and what has to be obtained in the way of training on the job.

We will also send people to short-term training. Some examples that we have done in the past, there was a shortage of phlebotomists in Sioux Falls. Seemed like nobody was too anxious to work with blood products, so we ran a course only for these people eligible for JTPA, had a terrific turn out; 12. They were all guaranteed employment upon completion of the program. That was very short-term. It was a six week course.

We have also done an ad for carpet laying, some quick welding courses for various businesses in town that needed some welding skills, and will also go as far as to go to a vocational training school, in other words, two years of training. So we've got everything from the on-the-job training to actually two years of vocational school.

And if we are going to spend this money, we want to make sure the person is going to get into a field where they can make a living, where they will be unsubsidized by any form of government, no welfare, no food stamps, they can support themselves. So, of course with any female participants in this program, we always look at the non-traditional jobs because when we start talking about it, we are always talking about money when we start talking about non-traditional because there is no question, they pay more.

Job Service is funded almost totally with federal money. Very little state money in there. We have been using some lately because we have been working very close with the South Dakota Department of -- Social Services Department.

We have been right at the front in this welfare to work program. Not trying to stereotype women, but of the ADC recepients we work with, which is almost 500, we only have two men which are currently -- that we are working with receiving ADC. There again, you know, if we want to go from welfare to work, we have to be assured that this person can find employment that -- that will relieve him from the welfare. I mean where the salary is enough to make that person self-sufficient.

You know, I think if I read the letter right, you want to talk a little bit about traditional, non-traditional jobs. I heard some of these other speakers say how much things have improved in the last ten years. And they have. There is no question. Still a long ways to go. But
I mean I just brought a copy here of a real nice article
the "Argus Leader" did. I mean it was big news to them
with a female in the auto body repair business in Southeast
Area signing up for a vocational course.

So it's -- I mean it's still that old saying, when is the last time you saw a male day care worker or a female electrician. There is still a long ways to go here, but I will use some of the information from this article that -- most of it was received from our department, from the Bureau of Labor -- of -- I'm sorry, up in Aberdeen, Labor Statistics.

Women make up 43 percent of South Dakota's work force. That is very close in Sioux Falls. It's somewhat higher here. It's 43.6 percent of the Sioux Falls work force. The unemployment rate in Sioux Falls is currently 1.6 percent, which by anybody's definition, view or whatever, is extremely low. And so women have the opportunity to get into many varied fields, you know, just because the unemployment rate being so low, other than the acceptability of it. And I mean they have come a ways.

I mean I'm going to quote from the Labor Bulletin here now, you know. If you are going to look at careers that require a bachelor's degree or above, women have fared excellent. We are talking the better paying professional

1 type occupations.

Women currently are 70 percent of the medical and health service managers, 40 percent of public administration officials, 38 percent of the pharmacists, 33 percent of public relations managers, 32 percent of the stock brokers, 30 percent of optometrists, 18 percent of the lawyers, 5 percent of electrical engineers. These are just some I'm using. These increased very little from 1980 to 1990 is what the census show. They did not increase that greatly. Some of the other speakers I heard say this trend is changing. There are more at present in these college courses.

Now, in Sioux Falls I'm going to ignore these professional careers that require the bachelor's degree or above, and I'm going to ignore them for one reason. We have done well there. They are still doing well. And in Sioux Falls, at the present time, I guess I'm not bothered to say this, we have a surplus of educated workers in Sioux Falls. We have got a shortage of skilled workers. I guess basically what I'm saying is at least at Job Service, I could use a whole lot more vocational education graduates and less college graduates. And, you know, I think the work force is going to reflect this. And a lot of these trades and skills I'm talking about pay extremely well. Some of them will make a lot more than these -- than

somebody with a bachelor's degree could make for many years to come.

MR. WALSH: Can you be specific on that?

MR. PETERSON: I'll be real specific. Because of the -for three years in a row, Sioux Falls has set records for
the number and dollar amount of building permits. There is
a big shortage of plumbers, electricians, painters, dry
wallers, skilled carpenters, brick layers, block layers,
just about anything in that construction trade. And along
related with that, you have got drafters, architects -well, there again, I went into a four-year degree -- but I
mean drafters, something that you come out of a vocational
school. Big shortage.

One thing video lottery did here in Sioux Falls is created a big shortage of electronic techs. Never was a shortage until '89 when that came, but now because of all the skilled people needed to service these machines, anybody that needs an electronic tech is going to have a problem. In fact, you better look at the ones that are currently attending Southeast Area because the other ones out there are all employed.

But if we look at some of these occupations which do pay very well that require less than a bachelor's degree, females have done well in some, and haven't done anything in a bunch of others. They are still 96 percent of the

registered nurses, 92 percent of dental hygienists, 83

percent of the radiologic technicians, 76 percent of

respiratory therapists, 54 percent of accountants, and 44

percent of computer programmers. So they have done well in

some of these fields that do not require the four-year

degree.

I guess what I'm saying is when we get into the trades, there hasn't been much progress made. I think this is changing. In fact, the dominance of women in a lot of these professions I just named, including the health care field, is shrinking. Men are starting to get into these positions of nurses and dental hygienists, and they are getting into it because there are jobs available, and they are good paying jobs.

I had Labor Market Information in Aberdeen make a printout for me. What it is, it's a very long one. I won't -- I won't unfold it all. But it's listing just about every occupation that there is in South Dakota. But if we just pay attention to the occupations that employ 100 or more people, from 1980 to 1990 there is a significant number of fields here for women where women accounted for -- in 1980 for far less than 25 percent of the work force, and in 1990, went over. And, you know, I will go through just a few of these briefly to give you an overview on what is happening.

1	Chief executives and public administration officials
2	went from 9 percent to 26 percent. Purchasing managers
3	from 9 percent to 40 percent. Marketing and PR managers,
4	17 percent to 33. Stockbrokers from 15 percent to 46
5	percent. We get into the computer systems, 8 percent to
6	43. Statisticians, 12 to 61. Chemist, geologists, just
7	about every science field, way up. Pharmacist way up. Get
8	into the teachers now, and I don't know, tells me
9	something. They are still dominating elementary education.
10	They are by far the majority of the teachers. But from
11	physics teachers in '80 there were only 14 percent. Now
12	they are 44. Computer science teachers, 19 to 74
13	percent. Law teachers, from 0 to 67 percent. Kind of hard
14	to figure some of those out. You take judges, they are 40
15	percent of the judges now.
16	But I mean I'll leave this. The letter said you could
17	leave any statistical information. It's pretty
18	revealing. It's got just about any occupation you would
19	want to look at.
20	The one thing might I say, Job Service and the
21	Department of Labor is extremely interested in getting more
22	females involved in these non-traditional skilled
23	employment areas because we are working with a big
24	population number of single parents that need the income
25	that some of these pay, you know, to make themselves

self-sufficient, not require any assistance from anybody
whatsoever.

After hearing some of the other speakers, I think I will just cut it short here. I don't want to get redundant because you have been here a long time listening already. You are still going to be here a long time. I would be glad to answer any questions.

MRS. WERTHMANN: I'm certainly glad you projected a little sunshine for women. This morning we heard the downside; the dark side. It's sounded like that the only way that women could get ahead would be to shoot all the men.

MR. PETERSON: Well, you know, there are some areas, you know -- I keep harping on these skilled trades, and one I should have brought up in my presentation, just one year ago, a local contracting company that does roadwork, the EEOC was on him hard because they had no women concrete workers or supervisors. They had a lot of women employed, but they were in the office, driving a truck or holding the sign. And I mean they were on them hard.

I spent 1800 dollars of that company's money advertising Minneapolis, Omaha, Kansas City, and even Denver because Denver had such a high unemployment rate, trying to find experienced female concrete workers. I did not get any results. I did not get one application. I mean I just

could not find any. We are talking a job that would have paid in the mid 30s for ten months' work and unemployment for two months. And just couldn't find it.

I mean in some of these skilled trades, a female would have a distinct advantage to obtaining employment if they had the necessary training. It's not uncommon at all for these construction companies to remind us to please send any qualified female applicants.

MR. MULDROW: Could you just elaborate a little bit on problems women especially have going from the welfare system into the labor force.

MR. PETERSON: Well, the biggest problem that a lot of them are -- or at least the ones we are working with, have been on welfare for at least two years. And usually the problems are education, work experience, child care, you know, and we have to address all these job problems. We work real close with an organization -- I see Sue Randall is on after me. We work real close with Turn About.

The biggest barrier we have to do is to get these people to see themselves in a better light. We just have to work on their self-esteem. We have to really convince them that they are better off working than they are on welfare. And I mean move it to them. I mean put it right up on the blackboard, that a 5.50 or 6.50 dollar an hour job is better. And like I said, we don't go for that 5.50 dollars

an hour job, don't get me wrong, because we realize it does take more than that to support a family.

But usually, you know, these -- the biggest problem they have is lack of training, and their work history is not -- their work history, they don't have one. It's very spotty. It's a red flag to about every personnel manager around because they probably haven't held a job for any period of time.

MR. MULDROW: Your program would provide them with training and experience on the job, would it, to enable them to work --

MR. PETERSON: In that program, we have a lot of latitude. We have even sent people to four years of college on this program. I mean this is Social Services' money, not Department of Labor money. But we have some that will finish up, that will finish up this fall in nursing programs at the state colleges. We do have quite a few over at Sioux Vocational School.

There are things we won't do. And I don't know if anyone is here, but we won't send them to school to be a cosmetologist. We really will not send them to school to be a secretary. We are aiming for the jobs that pay somewhat higher if we are going to send them to training. And the ones we do have over at Southeast Area Vocational School, they are in the electronic tech program,

1	they are in the computer aided drafting program, they are
2	they are in the programs that if they complete, they can
3	obtain a very good paying job.
4	MR. MULDROW: We heard this morning about a program for
5	non-traditional employment for women which provides federal
6	grants to states for to fulfill objectives in this
7	area. Are you familiar with that? Are you involved with
8	that program?
9	MR. PETERSON: Yes. The Department of Labor is. JTPA
10	is making a grant for this program to work with women in
11	non-traditional jobs. The grant is in the process of being
12	drawn up. It has not been submitted. Vocational education
13	is already working in this field. They already have a
14	full-time person that is working on that.
15	MR. MULDROW: You set goals for the program, numbers of
16	women, what
17	MR. PETERSON: I'm sorry.
18	MR. MULDROW: Are you required to establish goals for
19	utilization of this program in terms of the kind and number
20	of women that are reached through the program?
21	MR. PETERSON: Well, at this time the program I'm
22	talking about, and I should have said the name of it, it's
23	a family independence program. And at this time, yes, we
24	have definite goals, obtaining employment for so many of
25	these participants. I might as well say women because they

all are, except for one or two exceptions. We definitely have goals.

Last year our goal was to place 180 females in unsubsidized employment so they are off welfare, and making it on their own. We did meet the goal. Like I said, we are very fortunate here in Sioux Falls that wages are coming up because of all the competition for employees, and we have a 1.6 unemployment rate. So there are employment opportunities. So we are one office that really would not have an excuse for not meeting that goal.

MS. BURNETTE: Your 1.6 percent, Greg, that does not include the residents of this community that are not required by Department of Social Services or some other legal requirement for services -- what is the projection of people that are not actively seeking work that you have not shared with us?

MR. PETERSON: Well, Rae, they tell me that this -- and I don't do it, I'm not involved in it, but they say it's a scientific survey and a valid study. They are supposed to contact so many households monthly to check -- no, the people that are not actively seeking employment are not counted in this rate. The ones that have given up and become so discouraged that they just dropped out of even searching, no, they are not counted. But the 1.6 is supposed to be very representative of the number out there

1 actively seeking employment. The 1.6, Rae, is far less 2 than the number we have drawing unemployment from our office, you know, that worked for a place that closed up or 3 4 lost their job. Does your agency administer the 5 MR. MULDROW: 6 unemployment insurance program? 7 MR. PETERSON: Yes. 8 MR. MULDROW: We heard this morning from one of our 9 presenters that the state had a reserve of like 54 million 10 dollars in its fund, and they only expended 10 million. 11 MR. PETERSON: I will tell you what. The balance in the 12 unemployment insurance fund, it's more like 44 It's a pretty big surplus. For the last two out 13 14 of the last three years, the state legislature has raised the weekly benefit amount for unemployment. Yes. This is 15 16 a fund. It's a dedicated fund. I mean this was all paid 17 in by the South Dakota employers. I mean there is no 18 taxpayer money in this fund. This is a hundred percent 19 employer paid. 20 And I don't think anybody in the business would argue 21 that that balance is excessive. So I quess maybe again 22 this year the legislature is either going to have to look 23 at raising the weekly benefit amount that somebody would 24 receive or lowering the rate that employers pay, or 25 both. And they have done this twice very recently; lowered

1 the rate employers pay and raised the benefit amount. 2 is a very large balance in there. There is no question 3 about it. But I mean it's not money that can be tabbed for 4 any other reason. I'm sorry, may I finish what I began? 5 MS. BURNETTE: ĸ MR. POPOVICH: Go ahead. I was distracted for just a moment. So MS. BURNETTE: 8 you do not have any idea what the population base is or 9 what the percentage of the Sioux Falls population would be 10 that is our discouraged workers not included in that 1.6 11 percent? 12 MR. PETERSON: I have never seen that figure. 13 We don't know that. We can't hazard a MS. BURNETTE: 14 quess about that? 15 MR. PETERSON: No, I couldn't. I have never heard or 16 seen a figure on that. 17 MS. BURNETTE: Could you make a presumption that your 18 JTPA program and the programs that you work in -19 collaboration, Department of Social Services and Turn 20 About, are serving those discouraged workers that are not 21 counted in the 1.6 percent? Would you make that 22 presumption? 23 Yes, I would. MR. PETERSON: 24 MS. BURNETTE: All right.

Definitely.

25

MR. PETERSON:

1	MS. BURNETTE: The other question I had on the
2	employment on your employment side, if a person comes
3	in, moves to the community, is looking for work, and they
4	go to Job Service to see what your job index is, do you
5	have any idea what your users are that walk in the door to
6	Job Services looking for work, and you make your three
7	referrals to job sites? Are those predominently women, are
8	they and what's your placement rate locally with those
9	people that walk in the door, and their gender?
10	MR. PETERSON: I did not bring the printout,
11	Rae. Everybody is printed out to the characteristic of the
12	people applying at Job Service. But it would be something
13	you know, it would be roughly 42 percent women. Pretty
14	close to what I said the work force was, you know, and much
15	you know, 58 percent men coming into our operation
16	looking for employment. Presently the placement rate is
17	extremely high. I mean almost anybody with minimal skills
18	or anywhere near a decent work history I mean employers
19	want somebody that has demonstrated they have stayed with
20	one employer for 12 months someplace. I mean you will be
21	surprised how many people have not held a job for 90
22	consecutive days anyplace. Very difficult to place those
23	because everyone is going to figure they will be their next
24	90 day stop.
25	But the placement rate at this time has been running

1 about 87 percent. And I mean it's because, you know, 2 almost every manufacturing, assembly production, credit card, finance company in town is looking for help 3 4 presently. 5 Do you have a rundown on the percentage of MR. WALSH: 6 women that come in from rural areas into the Sioux Falls 7 area to work? 8 MR. PETERSON: No, that I have never seen. No, we don't 9 There is no question you are seeing -- you have that. 10 know, Sioux Falls' success, you know, has been noted 11 nationwide. Unfortunately, you know, a lot of the 12 communities somewhat close to us are having no success or 13 losing jobs, and forcing more and more people to come to 14 Sioux Falls for employment. The opportunities are 15 here. There is very limited opportunities in a lot of 16 communities. Probably no opportunity in some. 17 MR. WALSH: And you mentioned that you had a supply of 18 women with degrees versus the skilled workers. 19 there were -- if you could create two or three industries 20 to meet this supply, what would they be? 21 MR. PETERSON: Well, you know, we have got a variety of 22 degrees out there. I guess what I would really like to see 23 would be -- would be something like a high tech industry. 24 You know, I'm not plugging Gateway here, but something 25 similar to this that could use a variety of experience and

1	degrees. You know, where you would need sales people,
2	auditors, accountants, you know, maybe some physicists.
3	You know, because and sometimes I am hesitant to say it,
4	but the opportunities at present are very limited for, I
5	don't care if it's male or female, with a bachelor's
6	degree. We have got too many educated people, not enough
7	skilled people.
8	MR. WALSH: Finally, what is the percentage of women
9	working in Job Services?
10	MR. PETERSON: It would be a little over 50 percent. We
11	have five managers, two of them women. And I am not
12	counting it, but I know we have more females than males on
13	our staff. We have done our job, Bill.
14	MR. MULDROW: What about native women on your staff?
15	MR. PETERSON: You know, I was hoping nobody would ask
16	this. I do not have a minority on the whole stuff. I have
17	been there eight years. I have never interviewed a
18	minority for a position on our staff.
19	MR. POMMERSHEIM: If a women wanted to enter the
20	building trades in South Dakota, what should she do? What
21	would you advise her to do?
22	MR. PETERSON: I would advise her to check out the state
23	technical and vocational schools to see which one was
24	offering the program that she would be interested in
25	because there is quite an array there. I mean anything

1 from the drafters -- we do have a -- I'm sorry, we don't 2 have one in the state. I was going to say concrete 3 That is -- Job Corps has one. But we do have the building trades, carpenter, we do have electrical 4 5 trades. You know, those are available in the state, so that would be the first place I would check. 6 7 MR. POMMERSHEIM: Do unions provide any? MR. PETERSON: Yes, they do. The unions are somewhat 8 9 limited in their apprentice programs, though. I mean verv 10 few are admitted every year. Yes, they do have them, and 11 the percentage that has been getting into those lately, 12 women have constituted, oh, got to be close to half. But 13 there again, that's a limited number every year. 14 unions, you know, they have got their own program, and it 15 is a limited number that is allowed in those. You know, I 16 would say in Sioux Falls, you know, you are looking at 20 a 17 year. 18 MR. POMMERSHEIM: To me it just seems like a classic 19 area where women, by your own testimony, aren't working at 20 all, and would be a place that would be classic to have

sort of -- some kind of unique training program to get
women into that flourishing area of employment.
 MR. PETERSON: I think the vocational schools have done
everything they can to try to encourage them to look at

21

22

23

24

25

Dakotah Reporting Agency
300 North Dakota Ave., Suite 304
Sioux Falls, South Dakota
(605) 338-8898

these programs, like the electrical programs and the

1	drafting programs. And I think they really have. I you
2	know, why more are not getting into it, I don't have
3	an answer for that.
4	MS. BURNETTE: Jim.
5	MR. POPOVICH: Greg, can you tell us the Jobs Training
6	Partnership Act, that is a national program, correct?
7	MR. PETERSON: Yes.
8	MR. POPOVICH: What's the percentage of women that are
9	in that program nationally versus South Dakota? Can you
10	give me an estimate?
11	MR. PETERSON: We have goals. Our goal is 37 percent.
12	MR. POPOVICH: What is it presently?
13	MR. PETERSON: We are right at it. It's 37.
14	MR. POPOVICH: You are right at it.
15	MR. PETERSON: Yeah. The JTPA program is very specific
16	in the target groups you are required to serve. You know,
17	it's very specific; so many old people, so many dropouts,
18	so many women, so many welfare. It's very specific by
19	category who the money is to be spent on. And we have to
20	adhere to it. We spend our budget every year, so we are
21	serving every every target group they mandate to us.
22	MS. BURNETTE: Malee, did you have a question?
23	MS. CRAFT: I had a question, yes. You had indicated in
24	answering a previous question in your that Job Service,
25	you have no minorities. Were you referring just to the

1 Sioux Falls office? 2 MR. PETERSON: Just to the Sioux Falls office. I'm 3 sorry. There certainly are --MS. CRAFT: Okay. 5 MR. PETERSON: -- state wide, yes. I wanted to ask you in reference to that, 6 MS. CRAFT: 7 due to the fact that you administer JTPA programs, have you 8 tried or considered some kind of a training program that 9 might infuse or open the doors for minority individuals 10 into Job Service, for example? 11 MR. PETERSON: Okay. I will tell you what I have done 12 there. This goes back about four years ago. The NAACP 13 officials came to see me about the fact that there were no minorities on our staff. And at that time I explained to 14 15 them that I have never had the opportunity to interview 16 one, not one. I explained to them, you know, the 17 requirements and the procedure to become a Job Service 18 representative. And I am going to, you know -- I probably 19 should have done this a long time ago. 20 So at the same time I made this -- like I said, this is 21 over four years ago, and I will be honest, I didn't follow 22 through. But I let other minority organizations in town 23 know what was required, and I still have not interviewed a 24 minority application in the eight years I have been 25 there. To be a Job Service rep does require a bachelor's

degree in any field.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BURNETTE: But might I ask one question in regard to that. Any person regardless of whether they are in the protected classes or not under civil rights does have to first get screamed -- no, screened and rated by Pierre before you are even given a roster to choose from, so it's perhaps -- do you think the process is cumbersome to a troubled person or a minority person that might want to access that, and it's a procedural problem as opposed to --MR. PETERSON: Well, it could be because they only give -- a test is still required to be a Job Service representative, and the test is only given at certain locations around the state. So because of the distance, yeah, it could be a major problem for some people. You know, just to give you some idea, when I said we've got too many educated people around, not enough skilled, when we do have an opening in Sioux Falls, there are over 500 applications for the opening.

MS. BURNETTE: So the competition --

MR. PETERSON: The starting pay is eighteen three, so I mean, you know, that just highlights what I said about too many educated people around.

MS. BURNETTE: So -- but in terms of that, not just education, is it not true that to make the certain -- because I don't want it to be misunderstood by the

participants here today, that the local Sioux Falls office 2 has that power to recruit and hire minorities because they 3 do have to go through a state procedure --4 MR. PETERSON: Thank you. 5 MS. BURNETTE: If the competition is that immense for a 6 minimum qualification of college degree, the likelihood --7 and if experience enters into making that cert in the area 8 of personnel or human resources, the likelihood of a woman 9 with just a college -- with a college education with no 10 experience is not likely, let alone a minority, to make the 11 cert. So the appearance that you try to recruit minority 12 people into Job Service locally really is a state problem? 13 MR. PETERSON: You are absolutely right, Rae. I mean 14 the Bureau of Personnel sends me a list of who I interview. 15 I do the selection on who we hire, but they send us -- Rae 16 Burnette was probably our last minority to work in Sioux 17 Falls, and that was way too many years ago, so something 18 should be done there. 19 MS. BURNETTE: You can strike that from the record. 20 MR. PETERSON: You went onward and upward. 21 MS. BURNETTE: It was a part-time job I might add. 22 Dorothy, you had --23 DOCTOR BUTLER: My question also related to the process 24 through which people reach the interview stage. So that 25 was answered.

1

1 MR. PETERSON: Rae stated it very nicely, yes. 2 MS. BLACK ELK: How much of the percentages of women in 3 different professions has to do with allowing women to keep welfare benefits while they are going through these --4 5 through schooling or training programs or even to keep Title XIX when they first begin --6 7 I don't think it would be reflected in MR. PETERSON: 8 that report at all because this is something that -- that 9 is basically just begun within the last three years. I 10 mean a solid welfare to work program, that report there 11 reflects the difference between the 1980 and the 1990 12 So the numbers there, you know, they would not census. 13 show up again now until we get -- until we get the next 14 census in seven years. And I suspect it will have a very 15 strong reflection in a lot of those occupations. 16 Because I mean South Dakota, along with a lot of other 17 states, are really getting into the welfare to work. I 18 mean it's just cost effective to do it. 19 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much, Greg --20 MR. PETERSON: Thank you, Rae. 21 MS. BURNETTE: -- for making your presentation. We 22 really appreciate it. 23 Our next presenter is here. She is Susan Randall. And. 24 Susan, if you could share with the participants a little 25 bit about yourself and give us your presentation, you are

welcome.

MS. RANDALL: I am impressed by the length of the agenda, and how long you have apparently been sitting here listening to this kind of input. Thank you for your contribution of time.

I speak as a woman today who has had 24 years of employment in the work force. I also speak as a person who has been in both a staff role and a management role. I speak as a sociologist. My educational background is Ph.D. in sociology, and my current position is Director of Development Operations at Turn About, Incorporated here in Sioux Falls.

Turn About is a nonprofit corporation that assists people who have dropped out of high school with completing the educational attainment, either the GED or high school diploma, provides job search assistance and training, parent education, and attempts to either move them into further education for the work force or directly into the work force.

All of those things I think are pertinent to the things that I wanted to say today. But I suppose most of all I would say my remarks are focused around my viewpoint as a sociologist. We live in a culture that has a long history of valuing women's work as less than that of man's. It's a form of institutionalized discrimination that is fairly

widespread I would say in the Sioux Falls work force, and I would suspect throughout the country. I have some specific information to present that I think supports this, the reality in our community and in the region here.

Historically women's work in the culture has been in care taking, in nurturing, and in support roles. As our society has moved those roles into the marketplace, and has extensively involved both males and females in paid employment, the jobs created in what I would call this historically women's work area tend to carry lower pay than do jobs requiring a parallel level of skills and education in what might traditionally be recognized as the men's work arena.

And I would like to present some examples that I think begin to illustrate. I'll take a particular job that is typically identified as women's work, secretarial/receptionist work, and parallel it with carpentry and finish work, more characteristic as man's work.

Secretarial/receptionist position today requires the intelligence and ability to operate complex machines that range from computers with typically a variety of software applications the performer has to be capable of using effectively, the fax machines, copy machines, and telephone systems that have become increasingly complex. They also must be effective in oral and written communication, they

need to have good organizational ability, capable of managing sometimes fairly complex filing systems, be capable of relating to a wide variety of individuals with courtesy, and handle competing tasks under difficulty, usually deadlines. Furthermore, the wardrobe demands of people in clerical positions are usually quite demanding, and require a continued investment in apparel and accessories.

A more characteristically male work is carpentry and finish work, and those positions require, of course, intelligence, the ability to use carpentry tools, to measure, to fit materials together, to work with a variety of materials, handle competing tasks under deadlines. The positions typically in Sioux Falls at least require that the person provide their own tools and work pater.

In the most recent listing South Dakota Job Service listing for the Sioux Falls area, the position showed the following salary ranges and requirements. For secretarial and clerical positions, they required a high school graduation, and starting wages for those positions as listed ranged from 5 dollars an hour to 7.95 an hour.

Carpenter, finish work positions were also listed. No high school graduation was required, but experience was typically a requirement. Starting wages for the carpenter, finish work positions started at 6 dollars an hour and went

up to 8 dollars an hour. So the starting wage was a full dollar an hour different in -- among the collection of positions listed. Top end for the range was only 5 cents higher for the carpenter as opposed to the clerical.

I will just repeat those ranges again. The starting wage ranged in those secretary positions from a low of 5 to a high of 7.95 an hour, and for carpenter, finish work, from 6 dollars an hour to 8 dollars an hour. And that was just the last listing.

Other common areas of female employment besides secretary and receptionist work, food preparation, serving and child care. These traditional areas of women's work are also areas where pay remains low. An analysis of starting hourly wage ranges, for individuals completing Turn About in FY '93, which would have been June 1st -- or July 1st of 1992 through June 30th of '93, shows the following results: And I thought this would be interesting information because the people completing Turn About have finished typically a high school education, GED, or high school diploma, and are moving into the work force. And this -- the data that we have reports their hourly wage and doesn't get confused by whether they are working part-time or full-time or whatever those arrangements might be which could distort the comparison.

For those that completed GED and job search programs

starting wage was 5 dollars and 95 cents an hour. The females that we served had an average starting wage of 5 dollars and 51 cents an hour. I'm going to repeat that.

5.95 an hour was the average starting wage for the males, and 5.51 was the average for the females.

Then we served a group of dislocated workers in 1993, and these tend to be more mature workers, people who've been on the job for a period of time, find the place that they work closes down, lays them off, and they have to move on. The average starting wage for the males that we served was 7 dollars and 75 cents an hour. The average range for the female was 6 dollars and 7 cents an hour. And I might add that we hired one of those female dislocated workers, an excellent, very talented person, at a starting wage of 6 dollars and 83 cents an hour in one of those clerical positions, which is a very demanding position, I might add, without hardly a moment's rest as the week goes by.

The high school diploma graduates that we -- that of instance completed Turn About in '93, this was Awhere the discrepancy went the other way. And this was sort of interesting. The males had an average starting wage of 5 dollars and 58 cents an hour, and the females an average range of 5 dollars and 69 cents an hour. So the females that had completed high school diplomas were a somewhat higher

1	starting wage than males.
2	That I went back to analyze it further to see if I
3	could find an appropriate explanation. And I did. The
4	food industry in Sioux Falls tends to pay very low wages.
5	And of those high school diploma completers, 29 percent of
6	the males went into the food food preparation
7	field. And I think that explains why their wages on
8	an average were lower; whereas none of the women high
9	school diploma grads from Turn About in '93 went into the
LO	food preparation employment. And so I would think that is
L1	probably why the discrepancy shifts the other way.
L2	Before I leave this, I just thought it might be
L3	interesting if I read to you just a list of the types of
L 4	jobs that the male dislocated worker got as compared to the
L5	female dislocated workers. These are more mature
L6	workers. Not necessarily more advanced in education, but
L 7	they have demonstrated by their maturity and job records
L8	that they are - they are reliable employers or
19	employees.
20	The males entered jobs like machinist, laborer, a route
21	sales job, meat packer, janitor, meat packer again, a
22	warehouse job, industrial production, and
23	horticulture. The females entered positions labeled bank
24	clerk, retail assistant manager, retail sales,
25	administrative assistant, typesetter, retail clerk dashier,

census taker, retail sales, three of those, and one cook.

So I think that to some extent illustrates that women do typically tend to pursue what in many cases has been women's work, and suffer for it I think in terms of the pay that is available to them.

Another example, a case manager at Turn About told me that in 1989 -- by the way she has a backelor four year backelor degree and some experience.—She was the director of a child care center in Sioux Falls. It was a center with a licensed capacity for 140 children and a full-time enrollment of 70 children. In 1989, she was paid 17,500 dollars for being the director of this center, full-time enrollment of 70 children.

Her administrative responsibility, the risk factors in the operation, and the service being provided, namely care, guidance, education and nurturing of children at the most fragile time in their life, matches and probably exceeds the responsibility and risk factors of a bank manager. And yet the pay was incredibly low. And I note that this is not atypical of people in child care that are in a managing, organizing role, and it's even more severe for those that are in the direct service role in child care.

Changing this institutionalized discrimination is certainly not a simple matter. There have to be forces in the marketplace that begin to change the whole dynamics.

Turn About is also an employer, and when we look for
employees, we are constantly surveying what is the wage
market doing out there and what do we need to set our pay
scales at in order to be competitive for the kind of people
that we want to attract.

We also tend to be somewhat idealistic, and if we can do better on wages for positions that we think have value, then we do what we can. But we still live in an environment where we are constantly scrutinized by those that fund us, and so we have to be accountabale to the broader community and the wage structure that exists. So it isn't an easy matter. Just because one's idealistic and wants to have better wages for care givers of children, for example, doesn't make it a simple matter to turn that into reality.

I do think that pay equity legislation is an avenue that can be pursued. When you move in that direction, then government at least becomes one of the players-in-the marketplace that can push equity in pay according to the value of something, a task that people are performing. And as they become a player in the marketplace and can push the wage into a more fair realm, that can begin to have spinoff effects that affect — that impacts other players in the marketplace.

I also think public education is an avenue. I don't

think public education alone will change that. There have
to be some other economic forces at work.

Beyond that, I don't have any other brilliant suggestions, but I know in your letter Mr. Muldrow indicated suggesting ideas if I had some. One other variation on this theme of institutionalized discrimination is the contining bias in people's minds. And I'm sure they would never admit it to you if you asked if they believed it, but I think it's a subtle attitude that pervades at least the Sioux Falls community that you can hire a woman for less than you can hire a man. I believe that women have been so conditioned in our culture that they believe that as much as the men do. And it subtly affects the positions that qualified women pursue and the pay that they expect.

For the most part, I am not aware of blatant discrimination in hiring whereby a man and woman are hired for the same position, and the man gets more than the woman, at least not blatantly and intentionally. It does occur, but I would say most often if it occurs, it's unintentional.

In my own case, I have faced this once in my employment years. When I pointed it out to my employer, and presented justification as to why the differential in pay was unfair, the situation was corrected. From conversations I have had

1 with professional women who have experienced such 2 differentials, I know they are often reluctant to speak up 3 for fear of being viewed as a troublemaker or being labeled as a radical. I think further education of women as to 4 5 what their rights are could give them courage to speak up in those kinds of situations, and that it would be a 6 7 prudent direction to go. 8 I was pleased when I spoke with Mr. Muldrow earlier when 9 he was in Sioux Falls when he told me about a publication 10 that you are authorizing be put together that informs 11 people of what their rights are if they think they have 12 been discriminated against in employment. And I applaud 13 you for that. I think that will be a really useful tool to 14 women, and to men, too, who may find themself in a 15 situation where they think that there has been unfair

That concludes my remarks. And if you have a question

18 --

19 MS. BURNETTE: Thank you, Susan. Kitty.

20 MRS. WERTHMANN: No comment.

treatment.

16

21 MS. BURNETTE: You said no comment. Dorothy.

DOCTOR BUTLER: Several years ago I read about the
program getting started, and I was wondering -- I know that
it has grown, and it's very successful now. How do you

recruit students, if you do? Do they simply apply?

MS. RANDALL: You mean to Turn About? 1 2 Yes. Do you have an outreach program? DOCTOR BUTLER: 3 MS. RANDALL: We do -- we do. We also ask people when they come to Turn About how they learned about Turn 5 About. And the single most common way that people come to the program is by word of mouth because somebody they knew 6 told them about it. The second most common way that they come is that they were referred by an agency in a 8 9 community. There have been 22 different agencies or 10 schools listed by people who come to Turn About that have 11 referred them there. 12 We are -- we haven't had staffing to go out and do 13 active on-the-street or that kind of recruitment, but we 14 now have some new support that is here that will allow us 15 to be more proactive in the outreach area, and I'm pretty 16 excited about that. 17 MR. MULDROW: I quess the thing that surprises me most 18 from what you said is the small differential between 19 secretary pay and the carpenter pay. It's not very much. 20 In Colorado I would say that carpenter pays two and three 21 times as much as a secretary. 22 MS. RANDALL: Well, I might add these were non-union --23 these are non-union listed positions, and they are 24 positions as listed at Job Service. And I don't know if 25 that affects it. It may to some extent make a

It may be the skill level of the listing 1 difference. 2 entity -- I don't know how that might vary, but --3 MR. MULDROW: Is the wage differential between traditional and non-traditional jobs for women enough to 4 5 put -- provide them with incentives to go into 6 non-traditional --MS. RANDALL: Well, I know at Turn About case managers 7 8 tell me they try to encourage women to pursue non-9 traditional employment. And they do that because they know 10 the wage opportunities and the progress is -- is much 11 greater for them. And I think they have some success, but 12 there's still a tendency for them -- they themselves to be 13 drawn to more traditional areas of employment. 14 MR. WALSH: You know, I employ between 85 and, I don't 15 know, 150 people at times. It's always been of interest to 16 me that I can't find women in food preparation. 17 -- I don't know why that is. It's an interesting phenomena 18 because a lot of those good chef make good money. They are 19 I don't know why. I mean it's a silly question, 20 but I toss it out. I don't know why -- I mean I have even 21 asked my sisters who are excellent cooks to come up and 22 cook for me. I don't know why. 23 MS. BURNETTE: Do you know why, Susan? 24 MS. RANDALL: No, don't have an answer. 25 MS. BURNETTE: Frank, do you have a question?

No. I thought you were going to MR. POMMERSHEIM: 2 answer Bill's question. 3 I don't know why either. MS. BURNETTE: 4 MR. POPOVICH: Just thank you for coming, Susan. One 5 thing I might add to the secretarial job description is 6 I think that that is one we may not always think 7 The Turn About program sounds very interesting, and I wonder if there is any -- there is any correlation 8 9 between young men and young women as far as how many are 10 dropping out. Is there any -- is --11 MS. RANDALL: I haven't analyzed our statistics with 12 that in mind, so I guess I don't know for sure. 13 MR. POPOVICH: I know this doesn't apply much to 14 employment, but I just wondered how that might apply to 15 what we are doing today. It just seems interesting. Are 16 there more women dropping out now than there have ever 17 been? 18 MS. RANDALL: You mean from the program? I don't 19 As I said, I haven't analyzed how that goes. I know 20 that we have a much higher number of women enrolled than we 21 do men. 22 MR. POPOVICH: You do. 23 Yeah, we do. MS. RANDALL: 24 MS. BURNETTE: Are you speaking to high school dropouts? 25 MR. POPOVICH: High school dropouts, not program

1

1 dropouts. 2 MS. RANDALL: Oh, I see. I don't know. 3 MR. POPOVICH: You are able to place most of them then, 4 the women that are in your program? 5 MS. RANDALL: Yeah. I don't -- some of them are parents 6 of young children, and the problem they face is with just a 7 high school education, finding a job that pays enough to 8 really get them off of welfare and being an incentive to be 9 off welfare is difficult. The case manager I talked to 10 said at the minimum they have to make 6.50 an hour and 11 benefits provided to really be at that break even point 12 where it was worth -- worth that change. And, you know, 13 that's one of the difficulties for single parents in that 14 situation without advanced skill levels. 15 MR. POPOVICH: Thank you. 16 MS. KIM: How is Turn About funded, privately or --17 MS. RANDALL: Very diverse. We probably have about 20 18 or 21 different funding sources. They include the 19 Department of Labor, Department of Education, school 20 districts, the Department of Social Services, United Way in 21 Sioux Falls, individuals and businesses that contribute 22 directly to the program. So it's a real diverse mix. 23 MS. KIM: Does this program then attract anyone who 24 walks in your door? It is not limited to the Sioux Falls

25

area?

1 MS. RANDALL: Four county area. Minnehaha, Lincoln, 2 Turner and McCook Counties. We've had people from 3 somewhere else who have moved in and we have served them, 4 but we don't have outreach in other locations. 5 MR. MULDROW: Just one quick question. Do you make a 6 special effort to involve native women and what is 7 accessible to them? 8 MS. RANDALL: We certainly desire to. The enrollments 9 of Native American minorities have not been high in our 10 And that has been a source of some dismay. There 11 are -- I don't know for sure what the percentage is because 12 on our case reporting, we don't list people by minority 13 But I know that in some information I looked at a 14 while back on our child -- we have a child care center 15 onsite that provides child care. And 25 percent of the 16 children in the child care center whose parents were at 17 Turn About were minority status children. And I would say 18 that is probably fairly typical. That it's about 25 19 percent, which is higher than the general population of the 20 community, but probably not what it ought to be if we were, 21 you know, to serve the individuals that -- where the 22 service might be really helpful. 23 MS. BURNETTE: So would they be predominantly Laotian? 24 It's a mix. It would be a mix. MS. RANDALL: Some are 25 Native Americans, some are --

- 1 MS. BURNETTE: Handicapped? MS. RANDALL: -- black Americans, some handicapped. 2 3 MS. BURNETTE: Malee. 4 MS. CRAFT: What's the age group that you serve? 5 MS. RANDALL: In our base program, you have to be 16 6 years of age or older to be served. MS. CRAFT: And your staff, how large is your staff? 7 8 MS. RANDALL: Well, we have just been adding some 9 programs under contract with the Sioux Falls School 10 District, so our staff is now about 40. 11 40. Do you have any minorities on staff? MS. CRAFT: 12 MS. RANDALL: Yes, we do. 13 MS. CRAFT: Women? 14 MS. RANDALL: Not a large number. Yes, we have one 15 woman who has native -- she is not full Native American, 16 but I think 50, you know -- half Native American heritage, 17 and another percent of Asian heritage. No one who is 18 black, however. 19 MS. BURNETTE: Well, Susan, thank you very much for
- 20 sharing with us today.

 21 Our next presenter will be Tom Burke, director of the
- 23 MR. BURKE: Thank you very much. Nice to be here.

Sioux Falls Human Relations Commission.

22

As a follow-up to what Susan Randall had to say, I think

25 it's kind of ironic to note how zealously the federal

government guards against underpaying men in traditional roles in the construction industry when we talk about the Davis-Bacon Act, but they don't seem to have the same interest and desire to make sure that the females who are being paid through various government programs, traditionally female roles, are being paid decent wages. I think the federal government has to eat a little crow in this area.

Well, I dropped in this morning for a little while, and a little while this afternoon, to kind of get a flavor of what was being said, and I'm really glad to see you were talking about things that are real impediments to women in employment; impediments that don't amount to violations of the civil rights laws, but serious obstacles for women to succeed, especially here in Sioux Falls. Things like the child care, things like the housing, the lack of city involvement in improving housing, things of that nature.

But I'm not going to talk about that because I administer and enforce the civil rights laws of the city. And it's like the EEOC. You know the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission enforces Title VII of the Civil Rights Act. And as I understand your charter, you are looking to make findings and certain recommendations to make sure that at least in the area of Title VII, that the federal laws that prohibit discrimination against women in

the work place are not being violated or there are no
serious obstacles to women to achieve equal opportunity in
employment. And I would like to perhaps suggest some
recommendations that you might be able to make in this
area.

The EEOC, federal agency, is charged with the administration of Title VII. I am not. The South Dakota Division of Human Rights is not. It's the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. How well are they doing their job in South Dakota? And how do you arrive at an answer to that question?

We are in the law enforcement business. How visible is the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission? How visible is it in the communities of South Dakota? Not so much Sioux Falls, not so much Rapid City, but throughout the state. And I submit that they are totally invisible. And there isn't any reason for that. Lack of funding, lack of manpower.

So how do they try to fill that gap, you know? How does the EEOC try to do its job out here in South Dakota? Well, they contract with the South Dakota Division of Human Rights, you know. You know, look, South Dakota Division of Human Rights, we need some help. We will give you 500 bucks a case if you investigate and make findings and determinations on all these complaints we get in violation

of Title VII. 500 bucks. You can't investigate a complaint of discrimination for 500 bucks, especially if it's 500 or 600 miles away from where your office is.

South Dakota Division of Human Rights is not visible in South Dakota. They can't afford it. They haven't got the money. They don't have the commitment of the state government to have branch offices. They don't make periodic regularly scheduled visits to the outlying areas of the state. The people out there don't have the slightest idea of how to complain, and what their rights are under Title VII.

So one of my -- one of my suggested recommendations to you is to look at whether or not EEOC is doing its job, and whether or not the South Dakota Division of Human Rights as their agent is doing their job. I think Lois Rose talked about the lack of commitment of state government to that office. They are two and a half years behind. How would you like to file a complaint of sex harassment in a work place, and two and a half years later they haven't even appointed an investigator? If that's not an obstacle to equal employment opportunity, I don't know what is.

EEOC has to recognize that the way they are trying to be visible, the way they are trying to enforce the laws in South Dakota plainly isn't working. HUD, for example -- not that HUD is one of my favorite agencies -- they pay

1 1500 dollars a case for investigations. So you might look to see whether or not the EEOC reimbursement rate is doing the job here in South Dakota. In my view, it's not.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They were two and a half years behind in investigations. What did the legislature do? They cut one of the three positions from the budget. That's -- that's the demonstration of the commitment of the State of South Dakota to the enforcement of civil rights.

And I know you are talking just about the employment of women, but I think you have got to consider that, you know, that is not our biggest problem. If I were going to rank order in Sioux Falls the problems we have with the administration of civil rights laws, women in employment would rank down -- a little bit lower. So just because we are talking just about that today, let's not forget about the problems of the Native Americans, and the blacks, and the refugees.

We now have 34 different languages spoken by the school children in the Sioux Falls School District, which means that we are getting a lot of third world countries' families coming in. Those people also have serious obstacles in employment.

But, again, I would urge that you put to task the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to do a better job in South Dakota, to be more visible. Every Podunk town in

South Dakota has a sheriff drive through every now and
then. Is that law enforcement anymore important than
enforcement of the civil rights laws of the citizens of the
state? I don't think so.

I'm not faulting the South Dakota Division of Human Rights. I know there is funding problems. But that is EEOC's responsibility. If they are not doing the job for EEOC, have them come out here and start some field offices. Have them become visible. Have them help the people in South Dakota understand what their rights are. So that's my recommendation to you.

Another example, three years I have been trying to get an agreement with the EEOC so we could dual process complaints. You know, instead of me investigating a complaint and somebody in Denver investigating the same complaint, why not just have one? Why not combine this, let's have an agreement. They said, no, no, you can't have one. We have a policy that we don't make agreements with local agencies. We make them only with the states. I said, okay, fine.

Along came the Americans With Disability Act which increased, under Title I of the ADA, increased the work load of EEOC immeasurably. Still they wouldn't contract with local agencies. So I was thumbing through some obscure federal regulation the other day, and I saw that

policy of EEOC. I thought we don't deal with locals, we
deal only with states, the exception being unless the state
is more than nine months behind in its investigation of
complaints. They knew damn well the state was two and a
half years behind, but they didn't do anything about
it. They didn't have the sense of urgency, you know, to do
something about that.

So we have got -- we have got -- we have got to energize these federal folks in Denver, these federal folks in Washington. If they mean business with respect to equal opportunity, put their money where their mouth is. Come on out here and take a look. When's the last time a member of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission came to the town of Mitchell, the town of Vermillion, the town of Aberdeen, Box Elder. God knows. They are leaving too much of the work up to the state, and the state is not doing the job. So they have got to correct that.

So that's all I have got to say. Any questions?

DOCTOR BUTLER: Yes. Mr. Burke, could you tell me,

please, what the make-up of the Sioux Falls Human Relations

Commission is, and what powers do you have as a commission?

MR. BURKE: We have identical powers to the powers

enjoyed by the state commission. State law says that every

municipality may, at their discretion, pass a law so they

can have laws similar to the state, and I think we are the

only one that has. Our make-up, we're made up of 11 commissioners. We are required by our ordinance to take into consideration the minorities of the community, so we have Native Americans, we have blacks, we have Southeast Asians, we have disabled. I think we are, you know, we have pretty good coverage.

As far as women are concerned, I wish Loila was still here. Loila at one time objected to the fact that over the period of time, our commission became very heavily, you know, male oriented. I think we had nine to two at one time. So she very properly said, hey, you know, talk about equality. So now I think it's nine to two the opposite direction. So the thing switches every now and then. But we try to maintain that balance. It's hard work. This is not a honorary position.

I can -- one thing different about our law that I think you might be interested in, unlike the state law, the director of the state office can look at a file and say, oh, there is nothing here. I am going to dismiss it. We don't do that here. Every case is investigated. Every case is given to my 11 member commission, and they issue the determination of whether or not there is cause or no cause. I don't have that power to do it myself, and I'm glad I don't. I think it should be a consensus approach. Questions? Passing time here.

1 MR. POPOVICH: What is the -- what's the highest 2 complaint that you get? Which ones are the most for women 3 as far --MR. BURKE: Sexual harassment. MR. POPOVICH: Sexual harassment. 6 MR. BURKE; I wish it wasn't a civil rights matter. 7 think sex harassment should be a tort. I don't think --8 because it's an assault. If I get drunk and I go out and I 9 find Susan Randall today in the bar and I kick her around 10 and beat her up, I'm not going to be charged with sex 11 harassment. I'm going to be charged with assault. 12 I think it's the same thing in -- this sex harassment in 13 the work place. That would be my preference. 14 courts look at it as -- although quite frankly virtually 15 every sexual harassment complaint we have had that has gone 16 for hearing in the past three years has ended up in court, 17 not only as a civil rights violation, but also a contract 18 law violation, intentional infliction of emotional 19 distress, as a tort. So the lawyers in town are processing 20 sex harassment cases through the judiciary in order to get 21 more damages. And I think, you know, there is less 22 emphasis on the civil rights violation aspect as there is 23 on the breach of contract, employment contract, or tort. 24 Frank, did you have any questions? 25 MR. POMMERSHEIM: Is the number of complaints you are

1	getting more and more? Do you judge you are being
2	effective in helping people?
3	MR. BURKE: Well, I certain believe I am, but don't take
4	my word for it.
5	MR. POMMERSHEIM: Right, I won't. I just
6	MR. BURKE: We are not getting more complaints.
7	Contrary to what Lois Rose said, we didn't see a large
8	increase in the number of sexual harassment in the work
9	place complaints following the Anita Hill controversy. We
10	saw a great deal more interest. We saw a great many more
11	opportunities for us to give speeches and talks and
12	seminars with various groups on what sexual harassment is.
13	And right now the Supreme Court is wrestling with what
14	is sexual harassment. They are having a terrible time with
15	that. And it looks like it's going to go the way when
16	is the employer liable for what his employees do, you
17	know? And they are not going toward the negligence theory
18	rather than the absolute liability theory. But it's very
19	difficult to determine what point in time inappropriate,
20	unprofessional, insensitive conduct becomes sexual
21	harassment, thereby exposing the employer to God awful
22	consequences.
23	Got questions? Yes, Bill.
24	MS. BURNETTE: Excuse me.
25	I'm an interlocutor here.

1	MS. BURNETTE: Bill, do you have any questions?
2	MR. WALSH: A couple times it's been mentioned the
3	Division of Human Rights only has two or three
4	people. Haven't they contracted with individual
5	investigators? Aren't there aren't they trying in the
6	state to narrow the gap from two and a half
7	MR. BURKE: Yeah.
8	MR. WALSH: Don't they have a number of investigators?
9	MR. BURKE: They have employed a couple of consultants.
10	I did 15 cases for them last year, you know. State law
11	says that the Human Rights Commission will promptly
12	investigate complaints of discrimination. While the word
13	"promptly" doesn't have a particular precise definition, I
14	submit it's not two and a half years. That's my view.
15	MS. BURNETT: B. J.
16	MS. KIM: Are the commission members appointed or
17	MR. BURKE: Yes. They are appointed by the City
18	Commissioner of Finance. It must be approved by the whole
19	city commission.
20	MS. KIM: What is the period of length of service?
21	MR. BURKE: Six years I think it is.
22	DOCTOR BUTLER: Do you have very many cases of housing
23	or public accommodations or employment discrimination?
24	MR. BURKE: We we annually run anywhy from 55 to 75
25	complaints formal complaints

- 1 MS. CRAFT: That is what, per year?
- 2 MR. BURKE: Per year.
- 3 MS. BURNETTE: Bill, you had a question.
- 4 MR. MULDROW: Are you able to keep up with your case
- 5 load, your complaints?
- MR. BURKE: Yes. Not because we are good, better than
 somebody else. Everybody is here. I go out and talk to
 the witnesses, I bring them in, you know, we get face-toface confrontation. We don't do telephone interviews. The
- state has to do that.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. MULDROW: Why doesn't the state contract more cases
 with you, and you investigate --
 - MR. BURKE: I told them -- I told them I wouldn't do it for that kind of money. 500 dollars. There is a young -- there is an attorney in town who's one of my commissioners who is doing some consultant work for them. She is not going to make any money. She is going to lose money. You know, it's pro bono work maybe. But 500 dollars doesn't go very far, especially if you want to do something more than pick up a phone and have a telephone interview. You want to get out -- you want to get out to the work place and talk to the employees, you know. You have got to go out there. Costs money to drive around this state, as you know, Bill.
- MR. POMMERSHEIM: Has the budget of the commission grown

1	with the commensurate with the growth of the city?
2	MR. BURKE: Local commission's
3	MR. POMMERSHEIM: Yes.
4	MR. BURKE: Yes. We have no difficulty having the city
5	commission appropriating what we consider to be a
6	sufficient amount of money to run our office.
7	MS. BURNETTE: Malee had a question.
8	MS. CRAFT: Mr. Burke, what does the Human Relations
9	Commission do as far as educating the public on what their
10	rights are in Sioux Falls?
11	MR. BURKE: We give anywhere between, oh, seven and ten
12	seminars a month, appearing before various groups. We also
13	have an educational program, have our pamphlets. We have
14	published 45,000 copies of hard back informational
15	brochures covering discrimination in employment,
16	discrimination in housing, what the Human Relations
17	Commission is and how it operates, what its powers are,
18	handicapped, disabled discrimination, housing
19	discrimination. And we've we tried to get always
20	people that don't know about it, but we are still working
21	to achieve that. It's a never ending process,
22	education. And we know how valuable that is.
23	MS. BURNETTE: B. J. has another question.
24	MS. KIM: Is your commission case report public
25	information if anyone wants to look into it? Is it

1	available?
2	MR. BURKE: Only if a decision of probable cause is
3	made. From that point on, the entire matter is a matter of
4	public record. Before that time, the investigative file is
5	confidential. So the person who testified earlier that
6	said she note that people don't know what is going on is
7	right in that respect.
8	MS. KIM: So you do not make then an annual summary
9	report to public?
10	MR. BURKE: We make a monthly report to the public. But
11	it does not identify names, or that type of thing. Just
12	the type of case, how long it took to process, what the
13	results were.
14	MS. KIM: Is your report in the newspaper or how
15	MR. BURKE: We provide it to the newspaper. The
16	newspaper only picks up on public hearings involving things
17	like sex harassment, for example. I hate to say this.
18	People are a hell of a lot more upset, you know, about a
19	little blond-haired girl getting pinched in the butt than
20	they are about the Native American who can't get a job in
21	the city. One gets headlines; one doesn't get anything.
22	MS. KIM: So maybe do you suppose we need some kind of
23	public dissemination to inform, you know, or you don't
24	think it ever is going to improve and
25	MR. BURKE: Public understanding of the process? I

1	think it will improve, you know. It's hard to get people
2	to read things, especially annual reports, you know. My
3	feeling, annual reports, the people who read those are
4	mentioned in it. I think there's a lot of truth to
5	that. Fancy things, I get them all the time. Fire
6	department puts out one about that thick, pictures and all.
7	I'm not interested in reading it.
8	DOCTOR BUTLER: Mr. Burke, once a finding of probable
9	cause is made, then do you attempt to mediate
10	MR. BURKE: We are required by law to mediate the
11	case.
12	DOCTOR BUTLER: Are there any that absolutely defy
13	resolution?
14	MR. BURKE: No.
15	DOCTOR BUTLER: Do you always find a remedy then?
16	MR. BURKE: Yes. I the parties are very willing to
17	attempt to mediate a case to avoid going to a public
18	hearing, or to avoid having the case go to the circuit
19	court because that is now their option. Under state and
20	local law, and even under the federal law, once a
21	determination of probable cause is made, if either party
22	wants to remove that matter from the administrative agency,
23	then they can demand that the matter go to trial in circuit
24	court.
25	MS. BURNETTE: I have a couple of questions before our

next presenter who just walked in the door, and I will be brief. And they are just a couple short questions. But I first would like to preface my question with you can be assured that the committee members hear your frustration and your passion about EEOC's lack of attention to the State of South Dakota and handling of complaints. And I mean that came through loud and clear, and you are very effective at that, Mr. Burke.

Are you -- what has been done in terms of perhaps your office or other lobbying efforts or any type of efforts with the state legislature in terms of strengthening -- I'm sure you are not absolving the state Department of Human Rights for the responsibility who was -- whose office was created by the legislature to indeed look after the human civil rights of the citizenry of South Dakota. I hope that, you know, I hear your message loud and clear about EEOC, but we also need to look at the state agency and its responsibility.

MR. BURKE: Uh-huh.

MS. BURNETTE: You know, looking at the human and civil rights as well, are there any efforts and has there been any efforts in the past to go to the legislature, and ask for them to strength that office so that they can duly handle complaints on a timely basis? I mean we know the triangle as you have explained it here today.

1 And in terms of your office in particular in Sioux 2 Falls, and knowing that the city government indeed 3 recognized that we needed an office in this community to 4 address human and civil rights complaints in Sioux Falls 5 and its citizens, how many staff people do you have in your 6 budget? And I notice with your -- the statistics that we 7 have, you know, it's a four year cumulative stat of 285 8 cases. And comparatively, you know, in a year -- to the 9 yearly cases that we have reflected in our data from the 10 Human Relations -- Human Rights office in Pierre -- I mean 11 comment to that, please. And certainly I want to hear your 12 views about the state's responsibility, and not just EEOC's 13 responsibility. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BURKE: Certainly. There has been no effort from my commission or from the City of Sioux Falls to lobby to strengthen the state office. There has been individual attorneys in town who have done that, but we have not. We've confined our lobbying to expanding the coverage of the law to include various groups such as the aged, familial status and disabled, things of that nature.

MS. BURNETTE: But you are not absolving the state of any responsibility?

MR. BURKE: No. As I said, the law requires that they promptly investigate complaints, and I submit that they are not complying with the law. My suggestion was every member

1	of the state commission resign because if they are not
2	going to be given the tools to do what they are supposed to
3	do, what the hell are they doing.
4	MS. BURNETTE: In terms of your office and the number of
5	yearly complaints, we have a four year cumulative here.
6	MR. BURKE: Yes.
7	MS. BURNETTE: What's your average case load and how
8	many staff people in your budget?
9	MR. BURKE: We have three staff people. Our average
10	case load will vary, but our average processing time from
11	the date the complaint is filed to final disposition is 81
12	days, which is up. It's up from last year. But
13	MS. BURNETTE: And the total number of cases in a year?
14	MR. BURKE: Anywhere from 55 to 75, somewhere in that
15	area.
16	MS. BURNETTE: Are there any other questions?
17	MS. CRAFT: I have one. Are there other local human
18	rights commissions in South Dakota?
19	MR. BURKE: There are, but in my understanding, none of
20	them have opted to passing local legislation to give them
21	the powers that the state commission has. I think ours is
22	the only city that has opted for that. We do have a
23	commission in Brookings, a commission in Box Elder,
24	Vermillion, and Rapid, but I don't believe they have got
25	the powers.

1 Thank you very much, Tom. MS. BURNETTE: 2 MR. BURKE: Yeah, you bet. 3 MS. BURNETTE: Our next presenter is Janice Nicolay. 4 Janice K. Nicolay. 5 MS. NICOLAY: Better get that right. 6 MS. BURNETTE: Welcome, Jan. She is principal of Washington High School, and a South Dakota State 7 8 Representative. And if I may, are you still the Chairman 9 of the Appropriations Committee? Do we have questions for 10 you. 11 MS. NICOLAY: Great. 12 MS. BURNETTE: Welcome. Tell us something about 13 yourself. MS. NICOLAY: Well, thank you. I am Jan Nicolay. I 14 15 have been in education about 30 years, and have been 16 through an interesting process in my professional career 17 and also an interesting process now in my legislative 18 career in terms of things that have happened. But I quess 19 I would like to start with a positive comment. 20 When I look back as I did when the gentleman was here 21 and we talked in my school one afternoon, when you stop and 22 think about it, progress has been made. It's just seems 23 like we take one step forward and two back, and one forward 24 and two back, and away we go.

I think in talking to some of the people who are here

25

for the other testimony, some of the comments that I would have made have probably already been related to you. And I know that you have heard, you know, the concerns on the domestic violence issue in terms of women and children not being protected primarily. And we do have an interim committee that is dealing with that. And, you know, some of the suggestions at this point that are coming forth, I think can be an improvement in that system, and I hope they come to fruition during the next legislative session.

One of the areas I probably spent my most time in has been in the field of education. And I think we still have some work to do in South Dakota in that area because we are looking at women in administrative positions, and if you get to the secondary level, you will find in the State of South Dakota the number of women who are superintendents is very, very small. In fact, some of them last a semester and they don't make it through the year, and the board decides to buy them out, and they go onto another position and leave our state. Women in secondary administrative roles in the State of South Dakota, very few in number.

There is an organization in the State of South Dakota that we organized, I want to say, about three years ago, four years ago, specifically for women in administration, you know, to give them that support and back-up that they need. And about, I don't know if I can remember, ten years

ago working with the state college at SDSU in Brookings, we started to organize specifically to help women get in administration, specifically into the secondary level. And that is an area that I do think we need to continue to work on and needs to be concentrated on in the State of South Dakota.

Women in a leadership role in the state legislature has been interesting also. We have had, you know, speaker of the house was the first female, and that has been within the last ten years. Women chairing committees in the legislature, we probably did our best in this last session to accomplish that and see an improvement in and an increase in the number of women in that position. Women in terms of elected leadership positions on the Senate side, probably made the first big steps forward in this last session in terms of having people either as a whip or assistant, the majority or minority elected a leadership position.

Women's issues in terms of the legislative process, it's been really challenging. I think in fairness I would have to say if it takes two years to pass certain kinds of legislation, you can double that or more to get that accomplished in the State of South Dakota. We still chuckle when we talk about how long it took to get the marriage license fee increased in the State of South

Dakota, which dollars were dedicated and were going to be devoted to shelters and so on in the State of South Dakota.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This last legislative session I introduced a resolution on the rights of women nationwide through the United Nations, and thought it would be appropriate for us to do it in South Dakota because we didn't think women should be sold and so on as they are in India, or used as collateral as they are in some countries. And there were a lot of things in that resolution that I evidently didn't understand because we didn't get it passed because of all the other issues that somebody reads into that. You know, like quarantying women have an opportunity to have an education, appropriate medical health, specifically prohibited abortion, but yet they thought it was opening the doors for abortion. So I -- you know, it's an interesting process when you have to go through But that resolution will be back in the next that. legislative session, and we will try it one more time and see if we can get that accomplished.

Again, a lot has happened in South Dakota that we can feel good about, but we can't sit back and relax yet. We have specific areas in employment where women are not -- and I understand you already heard discussion on that from one of the speakers this morning, so I won't go over that again. We do have some fields specifically where women

are, you know, not probably as successful as they should be and can be. And, again, I think you heard about that today from previous speakers.

I don't need 25 minutes because I think I could say ditto to much of what was said by previous speakers. So I guess I would kind of like to open it up to questions and we'll go from there. If I have missed something, Bill, you can raise that issue. Rae, said you had a lot of questions.

MR. POPOVICH: We heard some comments this morning about schools, that there is quite a bit of sexual harassment among youngsters in school. Do you find that to be the case as well?

MS. NICOLAY: You know, I have to be perfectly honest with you. I won't tell you there is a lot because I don't know what that word means. If you are talking about we are dealing with it for the first time and we are recognizing it for the first time, yes. But it's not any different — the behavior is not any different than it has been except we are now talking about it. We have got, you know, words to describe it, and we have a process in our particular school system that is spelled out in policy now that we have to follow, you know, which we didn't have before.

And so when you talk about a lot of it, people are now becoming aware of it. I mean we went through the denial

1	stages of domestic violence for a long time, too. You
2	know, even though the neighbor next door was probably, you
3	know, a victim, people would still deny that there was
4	domestic violence in the State of South Dakota.
5	So I want to be careful how I, you know, state that,
6	because, yes, it's there. Yes, it's been there. Now that
7	we know what it is, we start working with it. But I don't
8	know if all districts in the State of South Dakota, you
9	know, have good policies. I can't speak to that. Ours is
10	very good.
11	Rae, you said you had lots of questions.
12	MR. MULDROW: It's our understanding that you are one of
13	two women principals in the State of South Dakota, is that
14	correct?
15	MS. NICOLAY: Oh, you mean of a high school?
16	MR. MULDROW: Of a high school.
17	MS. NICOLAY: That could be true. There are assistant
18	principals in the senior high school, though, in the State
19	of South Dakota.
20	MR. MULDROW: How do you account for this in light of
21	the fact that women more and more are reaching high levels
22	of industry, and education is an area where women are
23	traditionally trained and have worked historically
24	MS. NICOLAY: But at the elementary level.
25	MR. MULDROW: but they don't reach principal level?

1 MS. NICOLAY: Well, you have -- there are two reasons. 2 First of all, elementary principals, female elementary 3 principals, of course, have been, you know, active and have 4 been strong for a long time. I don't think women pursued 5 To be perfectly honest, I don't know that women 6 actively pursued it. There is a tremendous time commitment, you know, if you 7 8 are going to be a principal of a high school, specifically 9 because of the tremendous amount of activities that go on 10 in a school. So there is a time commitment. 11 So, one, I don't think people pursued it, and in some 12 cases I can tell you throughout the state as I have talked 13 with women in our little coalition that we have, and I have 14 worked with women who became administrators and then, you 15 know, were continually challenged in their position by male 16 dominated school boards, or males and in some cases 17 females, you know, that they were working with, that were 18 their colleagues. 19 MR. WALSH: Follow-up on that. It's -- to have 87 20 percent of the mayors out there under 10,000 people being 21 women, and not having them as principals blows me away. 22 Interesting, isn't it. MS. NICOLAY: 23 MR. WALSH: What a contradiction. 24 MR. MULDROW: The time commitment, is this because of 25 family commitments?

MS. NICOLAY: You know, I have to be honest with you.

We have difficulty finding secondary administrators,

period. I mean it doesn't make any difference whether you are male or female. I'm questioning why. No, I don't mean to say that. But I think there has been, if you talk to superintendents and you talk to school boards, not only in South Dakota, but around, you are going to find more and more people not willing to take on the responsibilities of being secondary administrators. And then I know in South Dakota there were a number of districts that had difficulty finding anybody who wanted to do the job. And if they did, the applications were, you know, not desirable applicants.

MS. CRAFT: When you say the areas had a problem finding people, do you mean they actually went out and asked people if they were interested in jobs, or what do you mean? The reason I ask you that is my experience has been when you look at male versus female, many times the males will encourage or seek out other males for positions. And then the women side -- or the women's side, they may put a position out there, but not really seek out women. Is there -- and if a women steps up to say I am interested -- MS. NICOLAY: I don't think there is any doubt what you just described is probably accurate in some cases of what has happened. Right. But I can tell you that I know just

in this last year, there were systems that would have taken
a good male or female. I mean there wasn't any, you know,
thought about who; just a good, qualified candidate to do
the job. And that wasn't there, and they couldn't find
people because they don't want to do the job. But it does
happen. And I could give you specifics in parts of the
state where it happened.

MS. BURNETTE: Jim.

MR. POPOVICH: Over the last several years now it seems like the ratio of women has changed in the state legislature. Do you see that getting better all along or is this just a trend right now? And then I have a follow-up.

MS. NICOLAY: Well, my -- and I have been through now -this is 11 years that I have been in the legislature, and
we have been up-and-down. I mean if we look back at the
history, at one point we were in the top five in terms of
number of women in the legislature. And then we went down
and then, you know, we kind of, you know, surfaced
again. And it doesn't have anything to do with whether you
are Democrat or Republican. If you look at those numbers,
if you go back a few years ago, you know, one party had a
number of women there and the other one didn't have as
many, and now that has kind of shifted again. I don't know
if I can say we are in a trend.

1 I'm just pleased that when I first went to Pierre and 2 lobbied for education, it was hard to find a woman. 3 fact, one of the bills that was introduced was to make sure 4 women had a bathroom. I mean that's only been ten, twelve 5 years ago. So --6 MR. POPOVICH: Now I will put you on the spot. 7 you haven't probably said whether you are going to run for 8 governor or not, but if you did, do you feel the time is 9 right for a woman? And also do you feel discrimination in 10 your own case, that maybe people wouldn't recognize your 11 abilities versus your gender? 12 MS. NICOLAY: Oh, the answer to the last question, I 13 haven't tested that yet to know whether people would 14 accept, you know, me per se, you know, without saying, 15 well, you are a women and you can't do it, because I 16 haven't taken it up the flagpole yet. But it would be 17 an interesting experience, wouldn't it. Maybe I should've 18 done that so we could answer that question today. No, I 19 haven't. Right now I love my job, the job I have. 20 MS. BLACK ELK: That is a yes or no? 21 MS. BURNETTE: Does anybody have any other questions? 22 DOCTOR BUTLER: Yes. This is a little bit 23 different. In the 30 years you mentioned that you have 24 been in education, could you just compare the differences, 25 for example, in behavior problems, oh, 30 years ago and

now? Well, as I understand it, earlier there were little infractions, gum chewing, impertinence, and now people are coming to school with heavy weapons.

MS. BURNETTE: Dorothy, can you tie that to employment potential in any way?

MS. NICOLAY: Let me try to answer that. The changes in education, I don't think we have enough time to talk about those, you know, as much as I would like to in the time we have here this afternoon. The number of young people that are challenging, in my opinion, has not changed dramatically. Three percent of the kids consume most of our time. Five percent — another two percent added onto that three percent, make our job interesting. But let me tell you what does bother me about the three percent. The problems are by far more complex and more involved.

And the other thing in society that we have done and we haven't felt the total impact of that is that we are keeping all kinds of little babies alive today, and those people are coming through our system now. And they are definite challenges. You know, when you look back 30 years ago, we didn't have special education. Most of those children, I think I can say, never went to school, you know, with the special needs that we have today. And today if you look at those children, young people, that are in our educational community, I mean some of them are not able

to function themselves. You know, their diapers are changed, they are 20 years old. Some of them are not capable of breathing on their own. Some of them are tube fed. And honestly I think if we looked at 30 years ago, most of those young people would not have survived, but medical advances have moved us forward.

Fetal alcohol syndrome is probably one of the biggest challenges that I see ahead. And we didn't know what fetal alcohol syndrome was. We didn't have it identified, and now we do. When I look ahead in education, we have some real challenges in how we are going to meet the needs of those children.

The number of those three percent that keep us really busy, and the other two percent that challenge us and keep our day interesting, that three percent, those problems are truly more involved, but it's because of, you know, things like fetal alcohol syndrome and so on. But I just have to tell you, and -- 95 percent of our kids to 96 percent of our kids are outstanding. I'm proud of them. I mean -- well, I just have to say that. They're great.

DOCTOR BUTLER: There was a really interesting announcement just this week about the fact that five midwestern states -- and it's interesting to me that they are all in the midwest. South Dakota has one of the very highest ratings of schools -- of children -- students

1 performing on national tests, and yet our pay scale is 2 among the very lowest. 3 We have outstanding teachers. MS. NICOLAY: DOCTOR BUTLER: Yes. 5 MS. NICOLAY: My last three weeks have been really interesting, and I don't know what I would do without the 6 7 staff that I have. 8 I would like to keep the conversation MS. BURNETTE: 9 back to employment here. Marc. 10 MR. FEINSTEIN: Jan, when you said that South Dakota has 11 a lack of basically people applying for a lot of the 12 administrative positions, just by my knowledge of South 13 Dakota, I'm assuming that is more in the smaller areas. 14 What about the major -- are not the major cities having 15 problems, too? And the only reason that came to mind was 16 up in Aberdeen, they do have probably the other woman 17 principal. But they also were looking for a superintendent 18 a year or two ago, and the assistant superintendent was in 19 the final three. I don't know if that was tokenism or 20 what. 21 MS. NICOLAY: That is why I answered her question the 22 way I answered it. It was one of the examples that came to 23 my mind. 24 MR. FEINSTEIN: And I guess -- I guess I was just 25 wondering if it was outlying areas or bigger areas where

1 they are having the same lack of people, period? 2 MS. NICOLAY: Here. 3 MR. FEINSTEIN: Right here in Sioux Falls, Aberdeen, 4 Rapid City? 5 MS. NICOLAY: Sure. 6 MS. BURNETT: Bill. 7 What are the top three things you would MR. MULDROW: 8 like to see happen in view of the position of women in this 9 state? 10 MS. NICOLAY: That's -- I don't know if I can give you 11 I think we need to develop a stronger mentor 12 program by far. A stronger mentor program. I think I 13 shared with you when I went into secondary administration, 14 I didn't play golf and I didn't go to the Y, you know. 15 was like a little -- for a day or two, guite a while, a 16 lonely island because you weren't, you know, mixing. 17 wasn't going to the Elk's, I wasn't doing those kind of 18 things. And that's changed now because we have, you know, 19 larger and -- a number of women in adminstration in Sioux 20 Falls. But I think a stronger mentor program needs to be 21 done. 22 Often what happens in my opinion is that we put people 23 in these positions, and then there isn't somebody there, 24 you know, to help them go through the process and work 25 through it, and give them some guidance. So if we could do

1 any one thing, I would like to see a stronger mentor 2 program. Bill has a question. 3 MS. BURNETTE: MR. WALSH: As chairperson of the appropriations 4 5 committee, and as a woman, and being sensitive to some of the programs that directly affect women, if you had money 6 7 to spend, what would be the areas of importance for you that directly bear on women in this state? 8 9 MS. NICOLAY: Well, two off the top of my head would be education and social services. 10 11 MS. BURNETTE: Jim. 12 MR. POPOVICH: That's interesting you mentioned the 13 mentor program. Rapid City and Sioux Falls both have 14 leadership programs. Do you believe those are effective, 15 and is that the kind of mentor --16 MS. NICOLAY: I helped start the leadership program in 17 Sioux Falls through the Chamber of Commerce because I felt 18 such a need for it. And one of the things we did was to 19 work to make sure that women were included. And it wasn't 20 hard to do because the people we were working with were 21 very receptive to that. 22 MR. POPOVICH: Is that the kind of program you would 23 like to see expanded throughout the state? 24 MS. NICOLAY: I would like to see more of that, but I 25 think we need to have mentors in specific areas.

1 just think back to my first year as an administrator in the 2 Sioux Falls school system. I was the only female during 3 that time, challenged by some of my male counterparts who 4 didn't think, you know, I could pick up the football player 5 and jerk him around, and like that's the only way you can 6 handle that, but it's not. 7 MR. POPOVICH: Good swift kick. MS. NICOLAY: Yeah, right. 8 9 MS. BURNETTE: I will take the liberty to reserve the 10 last five minutes that I have been so patiently waiting 11 for. 12 MS. NICOLAY: I wondered when you were coming. 13 MS. BURNETTE: In terms of your position as principal at 14 Washington High School, can you tell me if you have any --15 MS. NICOLAY: I need to tell you that I am speaking --16 I'm not representing the Sioux Falls School District today. 17 I mean that really --18 MS. BURNETTE: I'm sure you will be able to answer this. 19 MS. NICOLAY: I just want to make sure you understand I 20 am not speaking on behalf of the Sioux Falls School 21 District. 22 MS. BURNETTE: Can you tell me at Washington High 23 School, minority people or handicap people that you have 24 employed at that particular school, and what's your

minority population at your school?

25

1	MS. NICOLAY: Total minority including everybody, and
2	you mean special ed? You are putting everything together?
3	My new count with special ed, about 200. Student body is
4	right now today, I just found out, 1570. I have about
5	probably 225, 230 altogether, if that is what you are
6	talking about, Rae. Then in terms of staff that have been
7	hired, are you including women in that?
8	MS. BURNETTE: No, just in protected classes.
9	MS. NICOLAY: Okay. Probably one.
10	MS. BURNETTE: One. And in terms of your student body,
11	are they predominantly female, majority?
12	MS. NICOLAY: You mean the staff now?
13	MS. BURNETTE: The students.
14	MS. NICOLAY: The student body? Oh, right now the
15	females out number the males, but I just we just took a
16	count today, but I can't remember what it is, and it's not
17	very big. The difference is not great.
18	MS. BURNETTE: In terms of just I mean this is a
19	broader question, and you may decline to answer if you wish
20	because it's a feeling on the part of some people in this
21	community that the recent shooting incident was motivated
22	based on race.
23	MS. NICOLAY: Huh-uh.
24	MS. BURNETTE: You don't believe that?
25	MS. NICOLAY: No.

1 In terms of your hat in the legislature, MS. BURNETTE: 2 we have heard comment today and testimony today regarding 3 many things, different offices in Pierre. And I would like 4 your viewpoints primarily from your perspective as chair of 5 appropriations what you would do for the benefit of the 6 audience to strengthen through appropriations the South 7 Dakota Division on Human Rights. We have before us or was supplied to us information that 8 9 in 1992, that there were 165 cases filed, 71 were disposed 10 -- you know, were dealt with; compared to the Sioux Falls 11 Human Relations Office where there is an average -- there's 12 two staff people in the South Dakota Division of Human 13 Rights Office, there is three staff people in the Sioux 14 Falls office. They handle 55 cases a year. 15 disposition of those are within 80 days. They are able to 16 handle the case. This is, you know -- is it wrong to say 17 that, you know, this is serious? And how can we get the 18 state legislature to --19 MS. NICOLAY: Let me tell you what happened in the last 20 legislative session. Nobody even talked about human 21 rights. 22 MS. BURNETTE: I wasn't done. There is a two and a half 23 year backlog of cases sitting up in Pierre at the Division 24 of Human Rights which means --25 MS. NICOLAY: Yeah.

1 There are women, predominantly women out MS. BURNETTE: there who have had the courage to file a complaint who are 2 3 not --4 MS. NICOLAY: There is no advocate for that There is nobody that speaks for that group. 5 6 when you talk about Sioux Falls, you talk about the leadership we have in Sioux Falls, you know, who speaks up 7 8 and advocates, and hand built a coalition of people. 9 I think the one in Pierre has the potential for 10 But there isn't any advocacy group that really --11 and I'm talking about right there -- that can speak and 12 does speak and does lobby for that. Not one person came to 13 us until after the budget was established about what 14 happened to the budget in this last legislative session. 15 From an organizational standpoint, MS. BURNETTE: 16 however, a public administration standpoint, and the 17 charges -- and the charge and the responsibility of the 18 legislators as well as the administrators, and ensuring -----19 that the mission and the purpose of their offices are 20 carried out, I hope that you are not implying that all 21 legislators that are elected by the people, sent by the 22 people, have to have an advocate and lobbyist before 23 serious issues be can be addressed. 24 MS. NICOLAY: No. And you know better than that, Rae. 25 MS. BURNETTE: No. But I want to clarify that because

what I heard come back from you is that because -- the

South Dakota Division of Human Rights is in the shape it is

because they don't have an advocate. And it's broader than

that, I believe.

MS. NICOLAY: Broader than that, but what they don't have is a structure or a mechanism in which to talk to people, to educate people, and to go there that preserves — if you think back, and my time line may be wrong, but it might be five years ago when there was a real movement — maybe it was six, I don't remember. Fern can probably tell you better than I — when there was a move to gut that agency period. And what we ended up doing was shuffled it around a little bit. But there isn't anybody truly who speaks up for that group. Individual legislators do, but there isn't a group that does.

MS. BURNETTE: At the commission we have heard a lot about the Commission on the Status of Women and its demise and how effective it was. Would you care to speak to that, and what you perceive in the future and what would help women in the work place from your position.

MS. NICOLAY: I think there are some groups in the state that undermine what women -- what needs to happen to women. They are very specific in nature. They are very conservative in nature, and they are fundamentalist in nature. And they make it very difficult to get what needs

1	to be accomplished done for women.
2	MS. BURNETTE: And lastly, and I'm not quite I'm not
3	quite up to snuff on all the cognitive aspects of this, but
4	we have heard a lot today, and there was questions posited
5	to different presenters about the unemployment reserve, if
6	you will, and the benefits paid out, and that disparity to
7	women. Fern Chamberlain made quite a good presentation.
8	And I know Mr. Muldrow is more articulate about posturing
9	questions about that, and you might want to help me out
10	here about that.
11	MR. MULDROW: We heard there is a large reserve of
12	unemployment insurance reserve there, like 44 million, and
13	the pay out is 10 million or something like that. We are
14	wondering why this large discrepancy wasn't disseminated
15	to people for work?
16	MS. NICOLAY: Why the state doesn't have a larger pay
17	out?
18	MR. MULDROW: Yes.
19	MS. NICOLAY: It's legislative decision that that not be
20	done. And people have tried to change it, and haven't been
21	able to get it accomplished. It's that simple.
22	MR. MULDROW: When they have this large reserve, why
23	aren't they willing to spend it then?
24	MS. NICOLAY: I think if you know the philosophy of the
25	state legislature, which is even worse after the last

1 election in terms of that kind of an attitude, you would 2 understand why that happens. I'm trying to say it kindly, 3 Bill. 4 I appreciate that. MR. MULDROW: 5 MS. BURNETTE: Are there any other questions for Jan --6 Janice. Okay. 7 MR. POPOVICH: Just one more. We heard this morning, 8 too, that there are some -- there was some 11,000 cases of 9 abuse of women last year that really never got into 10 shelters. Could have got into shelters. Can you speak to 11 how the legislature deals with the appropriations for 12 shelters? 13 MS. NICOLAY: Well, I was one of those that worked with 14 the shelters before they got anything, you know, trying to 15 get something through that process for them. 16 again, it's one of those that took a long time, and I don't 17 know why. In fact, I don't even know if we would have 18 gotten where we were today if the state's attorney -- or 19 the attorney general at that time and the governor at that 20 time, you know, hadn't probably for politcal reasons 21 decided that it was, you know, a crucial issue and we 22 needed to deal with it. 23 And a lot of us worked, you know, with the governor to

could be targeted for the shelters. And what we quite

get the money that, you know -- from specific grants that

24

25

honestly have done is reserved that money, matched it, but
we have also tried to rely on the marriage license fee
bill, and in this last session now, a divorce fee bill, you
know, so that we can help the counties fund that.

We have counties who are blatantly not following specifically the laws of the State of South Dakota. And that -- that is unfortunate. The money is going out of state instead of staying in the State of South Dakota which was brought to our attention, and then the last legislative session, we got somebody that is working on that and will continue to work on that.

But it's been done primarily that way and tried to be kept at the local level and not put under the bureaucracy of the State of South Dakota. I mean I can't -- bureaucracies, you know, cost money. They don't make money available readily. I don't want them under the jurisdiction of the State of South Dakota. I like them where they are. --

MS. BURNETTE: Are there any other questions? Thanks, Janice.

MS. NICOLAY: Thank you.

MS. BURNETTE: I would like to know what the wishes of the committee are. Can the -- it's 5:35, and Patrick J. Kane, a Sioux Falls attorney, was to be our next presenter, and he is absent. Would you like to wait for five minutes

1	and then adjourn for dinner and reconvene at 7:00 o'clock?
2	And if Mr. Kane shows up, we can ask him to come back to
3	our meeting. What is your pleasure?
4	MR. MULDROW: I think we might as well adjourn.
5	MS. BURNETTE: We want to thank you all for coming, and
6	invite you back this evening for our open session.
7	Our open session will be an opportunity for those of you
8	who may have questions today or would like to make a few
9	more comments on the public record after listening. You
10	are welcome to do that. And we would hope that and
11	encourage you to come back.
12	Doctor Ruth Sorenson will be on right at 7:00
13	o'clock. Then we will move into our open session. And I
14	welcome you back at 7:00 o'clock. Thank you for being here
15	all day.
16	(Dinner recess at 5:35 p.m.)
17	MS. BURNETTE: I would like to call the evening session
18	evening forum back into session. Thank all of you for
19	returning to hear this final public session. Doctor Ruth
20	Sorenson, who is the chair of the Department of Sociology
21	from Augustana College will present to us now.
22	DOCTOR SORENSON: Okay. Thank you for inviting me. I
23	am glad my remarks are in the evening because I think
24	the topic than earlier they're a little more tangentially related to the remarks
25	that are more directly related to employment.

I have attended most of the day because I am very interested in the area, and you have had such a wonderful array of speakers, that it was extremelty interesting for me, and in my position and my work and personally to hear that.

I want to give you a little bit of background about myself. To give you a little more background about myself, my academic preparation is mainly in that area of the family, and I have done research in the area — the interface between work and family, and also religion and family. Currently I teach in areas that are primarily race, class and gender, although, I was telling some of the folks, that I am also teaching a course in medical sociology, so I was real interested in some of the things Joellen Koerner had to say.

I appreciated the information presented today from the AAUW surveys. I am a recent past president of AAUW, and those surveys that have been conducted on gender bias in education, and sexual harassment in the schools I think and have been very well received, have had a real impact. And if you don't already have copies of those research reports, I can get them for you, and I would get those for you, so that you have that information because I think that is very important to your issue.

Well, Bill asked me to speak about my involvement with

1	women's issues in the church. And I will preface those
2	remarks to give you a little bit more background about that
3	involvement that I have had. I have chaired the committee
4	for women in the South Dakota Synod of the Evangelical
5	Lutheran Church in America for the past two and a half
6	years. I am not currently the chair, I just discontinued
7	that, but I am still on that committee, serve on
8	that committee.

I was instrumental in in getting that emphasis on women in our synod. And for those of you who don't know, the Let is one of the largest church bodies, and in South Dakota it is the largest, South Dakota synod means the whole state of South Dakota, the churches that are part of that. I was instrumental in getting that emphasis at the synod level.

We did have a Commission for Women at the national in the yound level, and they chose, with the reorganization and the most recent merger of the church, pet to subsume that under another board, and we felt that there needed to be that emphasis on women at the synod level, so we lobbied for that, and got a committee formed.

Another involvement that I have had that relates to the church and women in the church is with Lutheran Women's Caucus. And we have had a chapter of that here in Sioux Falls for ten years. It's a national organization. It's a

equal participation of women and men in the church. I also speak from personal experience, having been reared in a family that was a church family, and also experienced in teaching at Augustana which is a church college, and many students who have been reared in very religious families.

I think the church is — I don't think — the church is a significant influence in many women's lives. And it's very — it's — it shapes many of our attitudes and beliefs. I think the church can be viewed as a barrier to women's employment because the church tends to support traditional roles for women. There is a very high value placed on motherhood, and this is— these roles are either by the church, implied or stated, and also carried out in our families of origin. And I can say more about that if you want, but I'm not sure about time, here.

Another barrier, and the one I want to talk a little bit more about, and you have heard a little bit from previous speakers, is that of abuse for women. This is a barrier for employment because that experience, especially sexual abuse, has very deep and devasating effects for women that are often lifelong. Things like low self-esteem, feelings of no self-confidence, frequent depression, and which depression are frequent. And these feelings keep women from both education and employment opportunities.

	Usually women are employed these women are employed,
wo	omen with that experience, are employed in the service
se	ector jobs, in secretarial, child care and generally low
pa	ying entry level kinds of jobs. If they do gain
क्रिय	weation and many women who have had abuse experience, aspecially and here I'm thinking a let in terms of incest and
se	exual abuse, they do have responsible employment, they
ma	struggle still with problems of depression, low
se	elf-esteem and self-confidence. And these are
hi	indrances for doing their job.

One of the activities that our committee became involved in was sponsoring workshops for pastors on violence against women. We felt this was a real important issue.

an important one in the church, important for pastors as professionals to have some background about because they do a lot of counseling. They didn't have information and sensitivity to it.

We were aware of previous research that many women in the church had had experiences of abuse. Previous research supported the fact that conservative conservative religious families often were— there is a linkage between conservative— conservative religious families and abuse, and also families that are more isolated, and more rural families. This was research that was done set here in South Dakota, necessarily.

we wanted to be able to support the fact that

there— this actually happens to women in South Dakota,
and South Dakota Synod, and also to Lutheran women, and we

also wanted to survey for some other reasons. So we did a

of women South Dakota Synod. We wanted to know also
what some of their other experiences relating to the church
were, so this wasn't the only thing we were looking at. We

also wanted to know some of what they felt were issues for
our committee to address.

had responses from 345 women, Lutheran women. And the findings that I will report, what I will do is I have a report, the full report that I will leave with you, but I will just cite/a few of the findings particularly related to abuse experience.

In our survey, 20.5 percent of the women had reported an experience of abuse, and this included all types of abuse experienced; emotional, physical, rape, sexual harassment, the whole range. And to give a little — to filesh this out a little bit better in terms of what kind of numbers we are talking about for women in the synod, we have on 10,000 — '40,000 women who are in the South Dakota Synod, its an estimate of how many women in the synod, this would mean that 8200 of those women had experienced abuse at some point in their life. And so those are pretty

big numbers, and some good background for some good data

for us to be able to say to the pastors that, yes, indeed;

this does happen for Lutheran women.

The experience of abuse was associated with age. Those in the 40 to 49 year age category were more likely to have reported that experience. Many of them reported experience of childhood abuse and incest, but most had not reported fo author, ties at the time.

it! 76 percent said they had not reported that abuse. The primary abuser was the husband.

Lim-going to I'm not going to read all the statistics for you, but this is a part of that report. So that was one of our primary kind of concerns, and we have been continuing to provide information, both at synod assembly, and at women's conferences, from that survey.

Another thing that we did with our committee was to produce a videotape of a woman's experience of incest.

his is a woman from one of our congregations here in Sioux Falls who has come out to tell about her experience, and is quite willing to tell about that, told her story on a videotape. And it's quite powerful. And so we had that video filmed, and then we had it edited, and with all the stuff you do on the headings and that so it can be used it in a usable length and form. And that's another piece, another educational kind of piece that we have available.

And it's now available for loan through our syned, the

1 resource center at the synod office.

Well, I probably summarized a bit too much, but I think those are some of the kinds of things and some of the concerns that I have, and that we have had in the church as far as the issues for women. If you have questions, I would be glad to address them.

MR. WALSH: What are some of your observations of being with pastors and presenting this? Where does it go from there, you know? The idea of presenting that to the pastors, what do you hope them -- hope for them to do with that kind of information?

DOCTOR SORENSON: Well, we are hoping that they will do two things primarily. One, that they will provide some informational programs in their congregations so that people in congregations are more sensitized to the issue, and also that when women come to them for counseling, they will be more aware. And if they are not comfortable, that they should refer them to a qualified professional who can do some counseling and support for the women that are dealing with those issues.

MR. WALSH: You deal with a very serious challenge there because of the nature of the church and the very male orientation of the church, and the very somewhat sexist interpretation of scripture. It's really sort of like rolling a stone uphill.

1	DOCTOR SORENSON: I think well, we have had a really
2	good reception I think, especially from women
3	course. But we were a little concerned that even women
4	might think that we were treading on ground that maybe they
5	would think should be left alone. But, for instance, when
6	we exhibited at the WELCA conference, which is the women's
7	organization in the church, there were many women who came
8	up to me and said, I am really glad you are doing this.
9	And one of the questions in our survey as far as what
10	what issue we asked them an open-ended question about
11	what issues they would like us to address, and violence in
12	the home was the top issue. That came out on top. Second
13	was leadership roles for women, supporting women for
14	leadership. And we felt like we were doing what they
15	wanted us to do. which was nice.
16	MS. KIM: With the sociology background, could you say
17	that this 25 percent of women that experience some sort of
18	abuse would be higher compared to the general population of
19	women in the United States?
20	DOCTOR SORENSON: It was 20.5% No, it's a little
21	low. It's a little low. But we we felt that one of the
22	things that operates is that there is a reluctance to
23	report, er even in a survey, but there is also we were
24	kind of surprised it was that high actually because of
25	that. But there is also many women may have experienced

1	that, but aren't sensitized, don't even recognize that that
2	is what they have experienced. So that may be a reason for
3	it being a little bit lower, too. But, no, it's a little
4	bit lower. I am sorry I can't tell you the exact percent
5	have expected of what we would and it would depend upon the type of
6	abuse, too. We have lumped them altogether.
7	MR. MULDROW: Were these statistics related to church
8	women, Lutheran church women?
9	DOCTOR SORENSON: Yes.
10	MR. MULDROW: They say the church is a microcosm of the
11	larger society. Do you think these statistics then reflect
12	what is going on in the larger society, or are they less
13	than what is happening out there, or how do you have any
14	indication of
15	DOCTOR SORENSON: Well, I think that was partly her
16	question. There is a fair amount of research, you know, on
17	violence and families now. There research has been
18	Conducted or more. going on for 20 years probably, some of the research that
19	we have. And, of course, initially we have a lot of
20	estimates, and they go from low to extremely high.
21	And initially, people were just when that research was
22	begun in the early '70s, it was you know, these people who
23	Were doing this work are, you know talking about something
24	wasn't widespread that just ion't this isn't that big of a doal. And then
25	gradually, of course, they kept doing that work, and now we

Many
have some good years of research related to that.

our numbers are Ithink lower, but most of the numbers still are estimates because so much is not reported. I think there is more willingness to report now as it becomes a little that more socially acceptable to be able to talk about that, for women to be able to talk about what happened in their childhoods. This is the reason Ann did her video, is that she is talking about her childhood experience. And she has had a lot of the first that it was okay for them to talk about her theirs.

MR. MULDROW: Almost every speaker today does somehow tie -- mentioned this problem, sexual harassment, abuse, physical, sexual or mental of women, which seems to be part of the -- I mean it ties into the employment situation in that women in their employment arena are dominated by a male hierarchy, and it reflects the same kind of a pattern in the employment arena as it does in the home or sexual harassment at the office, or what have you. So the correlation between these two is very -- very interesting, and it seems to be something that reflects a basic problem which holds women into a pattern which prevents their full participation, not only in the employment arena, but in all arenas.

DOCTOR SORENSON: In all areas. I think if I were to

Ţ	try to simplify the situation for women, and what are
2	what are the concerns, what are the ways in which they're
3	tyrannized, if you want to use that kind of word, it's
4	it's domination of men. And some people feel it's stating
5	it too strongly or making it too simplified, but it's
6	it's that male domination. And if they have it at work and
7	at home, it's the way things are. And fortunately there
8	are some women who have never had that experience, that
9	have grown up in homes where that wasn't true, and they had
10	a different kind of experience. But many of us did grow up
11	in those kind of homes.
12	MR. WALSH: What are the various denominations where
13	women fare better and want where they fare better?
14	DOCTOR SORENSON: The denominations where they fare
15	better?
16	MR. WALSH: As opposed to other denominations.
17	DOCTOR SORENSON: The Quakers are I think the most
18	egalitarian. I'm trying to think of the others. Anybody
19	else help out here?
20	MR. MULDROW: The theological tie-in here, subservience
21	of women, church, women should be silent, not speak,
22	reluctance to ordain them or to let them have places in
23	leadership?
24	MR. SORENSON: One of the the Lutheran
25	women's caucus group, one of the things that we do is a lot

19
of study relating to the kinds of interpretations of the
scripture that have been interpreted to support that more
submissive role for women. And we don't see that. There
are many feminist theologians who would not support that as
an appropriate interpretation of the scripture. So it
end that's really - weld, one of the other things, and I
didn't include that in my remarks because I thought, well,
that was that is another research project, but I might
if we have time, I will tell you a few a little bit
about it. You might be **ind of interested in that.
← My husband is Doctor John Sorenson who is Augustana.
and one of the pieces of research that we have been doing
for the last six seven years is looking at some of
the books that are written by Christian authors and sold in
Christian bookstores, and books for - they're books about
marriage, = = tamilies, books about parenting, books
for women, magazines, and look at what kinds of roles
they support, what kind of advice. They re really kind of
advice giving things.
And they really do come out supporting more traditional
roles for the most part. And even if they support
employment for women, it's there still is this
that motherhood is your most important role, and fathers
should still be the head of the household for things to be

right, and it's viewed as God ordained.

MS. BURNETTE: Does anybody else have any questions?
MS. BLACK ELK: Yeah, I do. Doctor Sorenson, I guess we
all remember the discussion in the legislature a couple
years ago where one of the members made the remark that if
you can't rape your own wife, who can you rape, and talked
about having a spousal abuse law. But do you find that as
women are going into different types of employment, given
the background of abuse, and I think not even physical
abuse, but institutional abuse, that women tend to seek out
those areas of employment that they view as safer, like
nursing and where there is numbers, teachers, rather than
we just heard from a gentleman that said there is no
concrete people in this state?

DOCTOR SORENSON: Yes.

MS. BLACK ELK: There is not safety in numbers there.

DOCTOR SORENSON: I think it would be very difficult. I can't imagine a woman who had been — who was especially in an abusive situation, but who had expierenced a lot of that, being — having the confidence to go into an occupation that was male dominated, and the kind of experiences, the kind of treatment she might have to undergo in order to make it in that occupation. I really can't imagine that those women would choose that. Yes, I think you are correct, they are more likely to choose the occupations that are safer, wore, you know, viewed as

1	appropriate roles for women.
2	MS. BURNETTE: Marc, did you have a question?
3	MR. FEINSTEIN: No.
4	MS. BURNETTE: Dorothy?
5	DOCTOR BUTLER: I was interested in the fact you say I
6	believe 76 percent of those who had been abused did not
7	report it?
8	DOCTOR SORENSON: Yes.
9	DOCTOR BUTLER: So then they suffered in silence, but
10	then was there some sort of protected atmosphere where they
11	were willing to share information or
12	DOCTOR SORENSON: They shared it with us in the
13	survey. They probably had never shared it with anyone. $oldsymbol{\mathbb{Z}}$
14	mean, you know, they reported that in the survey. Some of
15	the reasons they didn't report it was because they said it
16	was too long ago, and we didn't talk about those things
17	then, they didn't want to break up the family, it was too
18	personal, they felt fear or shame, or they felt they
19	wouldn't be believed. There are a lot of women that don't
20	report. But when you do a mail survey that is very
21	anonymous, they can report on paper some things that they
22	might never reveal to anybody else, teet.
23	MS. BURNETTE: Did you have a question, Kitty?
24	MRS. WERTHMANN: Doctor Sorenson, do you believe that
25	mothermood is not important?

1	DOCTOR SORENSON: Oh, I'm a mother. I believe
2	motherhood is extremely important. Yes, I have two
3	wonderful children, -uh=huh, and I feel that is very
4	important. But I don't think a woman should be required,
5	because she chooses motherhood, to not choose employment.
6	MRS. WERTHMANN: A nation is formed in the home.
7	DOCTOR SORENSON: Pardon?
8	MRS. WERTHMANN: A nation is formed in the home.
9	DOCTOR SORENSON: I think I can still have a strong
10	home. And I think that one of the areas we have never
11	addressed very much or looked at is the employment of
12	fathers, and the fffect of that on children. And we are
13	always concerned about mothers being employed, and not the
14	fathers, and their absence because of their employment,
15	which is happening a lot. So I think both parents can be
16	employed and both can share more in the child rearing, and
17	can have a strong home.
18	DOCTOR BUTLER: Is there time for one more question?
19	Just prior to our reconvening I was visiting with Doctor
20	Sorenson, and you described what was called a doctor/nurse
21	game, sociologically speaking. Could you tell a little bit
22	more about that.
23	DOCTOR SORENSON: This relates a little bit to we
24	were talking about Joellen Koerner's presentation, and I
25	was real interested in the fact that they are doing some

T	work to address the power impalance in the relationships			
2	between the males and females that work, in primarily With			
3	doctors and nurses.			
4	Well, I teach a course in medical sociology, and one of			
5	the pieces of literature is a person whe is identified			
6	can't even remember the author right now, but what he calls			
7	doctor/nurse game. And it's a game that is played out,			
8	interplay between the doctor and nurse, so that the the			
9	nurse who spent more time with the patient and knows what			
10	probably medication should be prescribed, for instance,			
11	lets, in her subtle way, the doctor know what would be			
12	appropriate, but yet he is the person that comes up			
13	prescribing it.			
14	And it's a - it's a game that is played and in I'm			
15	not sure if this is probably changing unless she said			
16	some of the younger doctors work differently, but the			
17	nurses who aren't willing to play that game are not viewed			
18	well in this study.			
19	MS. BURNETTE: Doctor Sorenson, I just have a couple of			
20	questions, and then we will let you go.			
21	DOCTOR SORENSON: You are not going to let me go			
22	yet. Okay.			
23	MS. BURNETTE: We are not going to let you go. Out of			
24	the survey that was conducted, I am interested in more of a			
25	profile of your women and what they reported. Out of the			

people, out of women that responded to your survey, can you tell us how many of those women were employed, how many of those -- I mean was this abuse suffered by women in the work force or were they women who had never been in the work force? And secondly, in your survey, what the status of these women were that reported, who they -- were the majority of the women married and stayed in their marriage in view of the abuse, or were the majority of them single or single mothers in terms of that criteria?

Then the second question I -- third question that I had in regard to that is for you to speak perhaps about what the Lutheran Synod and theory are practiced -- their views are towards women in leadership positions and what that status is in the church, of women in leadership positions in the church.

DOCTOR SORENSON: In how many minutes do you want -- MS. BURNETTE: About four.

DOCTOR SORENSON: About four, okay. I will try and be quick here. As far as the profile of the sample, we had a pretty highly educated sample. Our largest category was college graduate. 28 percent were college, and 26 percent were high school graduate? So we did have a well-educated family -- or excuse me, sample. We had -- let's see, I guess I don't have the statistic offhand here overall. 22 percent were professionally employed, 29 percent

1	** 29 percent were not employed, and that could include
2	some women who were employed at one time, were retired
3	because we did have, you know our sample there was a
4	fairly large group of older women because that is that's
5	reflective of the church. Let's see, and 84 percent were
6	mothers. 67 percent were married or had been married, and
7	were now widowed, 18 percent were widowed. 7 percent were
8	divorced or separated. So it was a pretty low number of
9	divorced women.
10	MS. BURNETTE: There wasn't any cross tabbing?
11	DOCTOR SORENSON: We did cross tab some of those things, $\wp_{\mathcal{O}}$
12	but I recall many of the women who reported abuse, and then
13	Wwe asked who was abuser, and they checked husband, what
14	they put is ex-husband. So I think in most cases or in
15	many cases, depending upon the type of abuse — that was
16	especially for the physical and sexual. But, yeah, there
17	some ef these cross tabs that we probably still could do
18	To answer your question about leadership positions
19	of the things we asked women was whether they had - had
20	θ^{r} of the things we asked women was whether they had $\frac{1}{2}$
21	held leadership positions in the church, and this isn't
22	quite what you are asking I think, but it relates to
23	it. And I was a little bit surprised, I guess, maybe not
24	totally, but a very large percentage, 38 percent, had never

held a leadership position in the church, either in their

25

1	women's group or in like the church council or something
2	like that. 38 percent had never.
3	And the younger ones - there was a direct correlation
4	with age. The older went up, the more likely they were
5	to have held a leadership position. And that may reflect
6	some of the younger women being involved with work and
7	families and not having time to do that. But is was the
8	-area the second area of issues that they wanted us to
9	address, was providing some help, I think, to and help
10	and support for leadership in the church.
11	You are asking about an official position, officially,
12	and now in our church we have quotas in terms of boards at
13	the synod and national level, how many have to be women,
14	and there has to be equal kinds of representation.
15	MS. BURNETTE: How recent was that?
16	DOCTOR SORENSON: About four, five years. I can't
17	remember the exact year.
18	MS. BURNETT: Have you seen an impact
19	DOCTOR SORENSON: Oh, yes. There is an impact at the
20	MS. BURNETTE: on the leadership?
21	DOCTOR SORENSON: on the board at the national and
22	synod level. I think what happens in many congregational
23	levels, they still don't have women = it really varies by
24	congregation, but some smaller, rural congregations still
25	don't have women on their church councils, for instance.

It's unusual. But, for instance, in some of the big

congregations here in Sioux Falls, it's frequent. It's

there have been women congregational presidents and, of

course, women pastors. We have quite a few women pastors

in the South Dakota Synod, and a lot of support for women

pastors.

That was one of the pieces of data that the women pastors on our committee were really pleased about because over 90 percent of the women said they would be willing to have a woman in their in their woman as a pastor in their congregation even though they didn't already. So that was great.

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you very much, Doctor Sorenson.

Thank you. It took a lot of your time here. Thank you for your interest.

Well, this is the part of our forum today where we will venture into the open session. And, again, we have allowed until 8:30, and then all of the committee members, who have been very patient, will get to go retire. And we have one individual who has requested to make a presentation during the open session. And her name is Delila Caselli. She is from AARP. So welcome, Delila, and thank you for being here all day.

MS. CASELLI: Thank you. And I really would like to commend each of you for dedication to women, and their

of like have quality in the State of South Dakota. It means a lot to me as one of the senior citizens about whom she's spoken in the ELCA, who has been fortunate enough to serve on the Board of Deacons at our church, and can say that, yes, we are served now by a woman president of our congretation, with a woman on our staff, as a pastor. And I see it as a wonderful, wonderful model to my granddaughter. work as being most beneficial to my sons, my daughters, and my grandchildren.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have spent a career in education. I'm a doctor of education, and have educated preschool children through post-graduate, and have enjoyed it immensely.

Now that I am retired, I'm working with AARP on the legislative committee. And it has been my assignment to do a position paper regarding age discrimination. And when I just returned from a trip yesterday afternoon, and read in my pile of mail about your committee hearings here today, I thought, aah, this is where I get my resources for my position paper. And unfortunately I haven't heard anything today that deals with age discrimination and women. And itis my understanding I'm seeking this information.

It is my understanding that the displaced homemaker is among those who experience! the most age discrimination. And so I would like to have us note, as we're studying further, if that is not the case. There are so many of

1 these people who have been abused, and have had to go out 2 and seek employment after they have gone through the 3 earlier years of their life. They might also be widows who have had to go out after 5 they're 40 or so to seek employment and may have had 6 preparation in a career, but have been away from it. 7 the same thing is true for those of us who choose to be mothers full-time and homemakers full-time, and after the 8 children went out of the nest, we choose to go back. 9 10 does happen to men, too. And I realize that. But I wanted 11 to see today what your perception was in regard to women 12 and age discrimination. 13 Unfortunately in our laws in the State of South Dakota, 14 there is a real vacant spot where it comes to age 15 discrimination when they talk about the other discriminations that should be protected by law. Thank you 16 17 for hearing my request. 18 MS. BURNETTE: If you would wait for a second, somebody 19 may want to ask you some questions if you wouldn't mind. 20 MS. CASELLI: I did come wanting answers, so don't 21 expect that I have very many. 22 MS. BURNETTE: Well, we will duly note in your testimony 23 today that that is something that we cannot overlook in our 24 research and our fact finding process as we proceed through 25 this second phase.

1 MS. CASELLI: I was really disturbed last year when I 2 prepared a position paper on the same subject that there 3 were those who felt there was age discrimination, but when 4 they went to report it and seek help, there really wasn't 5 much that could be done. And I would imagine the same nappened 6 thing has dend -- as has been pointed out regarding other 7 forms of abuse is true here; that there isn't legal help, 8 and the system is overburdened at the state level, as well 9 as the district level, and therefore can't give you data. 10 MS. BURNETTE: Well, if you would bear with us, perhaps 11 if somebody does have a question, that we can entertain 12 them. 13 MR. MULDROW: I would just like to thank you. 14 you have pointed out an area that we omitted. And I think 15 you have a point that women kind of suffer age 16 discrimination to a greater degree than men. I think we 17 would like to make you an ad hoc member of this committee 18 and charge you with supplying us with your findings in this 19 area. 20 MS. BURNETTE: Is there a motion? 21 MR. POPOVICH: At least we could have a copy of your 22 paper that you prepare. 23 MS. CASELLI: I will see that you get a copy of the 24 paper. 25 MR. POPOVICH: Good.

1 MS. BURNETTE: Bill.

MR. WALSH: And I agree with you, that it's a whole subject we missed, and it's a very, very important subject. I just want you to know in the gaming industry we employ as many displaced people as we can, as many old people as we can. I mean they are fabulous. I have two old going codgers that deal blackjack. They fool everybody.

MS. CASELLI: And they wear skirts and high heels, right? Where are those old codgers in skirts and high heels?

MR. FEINSTEIN: My business, too, you are the exact -in women's retail, you are the exact person we look for. A
person that does have time to give us a whole day, and
isn't, you know, a 19 year old who is going to be there for
a short time. I mean just you were perfect, and we
employed everyone we could find, everyone that applied for
us. I mean there are certain industries where it is -- and --I'm not saying the retail is high paid, but there is some
room out there. And like Bill said, you know, there are
some real values.

MS. CASELLI: Will you come and testify, please, in Pierre because the legislators who speak in opposition to this say that as soon as we put it in law, there will be greater discrimination, there will be greater reluctance to

hire older people because they will be concerned that there might be litigation against them. So please come and tell them your story.

MS. BURNETTE: In closing, I would encourage you to try to assimilate as much information as you have, being a representative of AARP, in regards to those barriers that you see specifically for women, and forward them on to Bill, and any sources or resources that you feel we could access pertinent information for our own research to be included in the report.

You know, I can think of several issues that are germane to your particular interest, and one, of course, is the barrier of hiring an older person and what the employer's perspective is on the benefit package and, you know, not only the social aspects, but the economic aspects of barriers that -- to an employer in hiring an older person.

I would encourage you-to think about those, those things, and give some thought to them, and please forward them on to Bill so that we can follow up with you and make sure we do the kind of job that you would like to see us do in our report. Thank you.

MS. CASELLI: Thank you, Rae. I would like to say at this point AARP will be most interested in all of those issues as well as this issue because we want to be seen as

an organization who is interested in the future of our 1 2 country. 3 MS. BURNETTE: We certainly have a lot to learn. Thank 4 you very much. There being no other --5 Well, please. MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Fern, Fern Chamberlain. MS. BURNETTE: 7 I am Fern Chamberlain. I have MS. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes. 8 been associated with Aid to Dependent Children since it 9 In the early days, any mother who took employment 10 out of the home was frowned on, to put it mildly. All of a 11 sudden in the -- well, in the early '50s there was a 180 12 percent turn in public opinion, and people were suddenly 13 saying, why aren't these women out employed and supporting 14 their own children. 15 Up to that time, one of the major reasons for closing 16 ADC cases had been the mother had secured employment. 17 Since that time, we have had all -- a whole series of working centers, one kind or another. Family 18 independence 19 industry is the latest one. There has been some increase 20 in the number of ADC cases closed because of employment, 21 but really not all that much. They always -- that always 22 was one of the major reasons. 23 At the time in the '50s when they first began talking 24 about why aren't these people employed, we did a survey. 25 We happened to do it in Pennington County, but we found

that the women who were taking employment and leaving ADC were women who had child care that they were comfortable with, and had access to transportation. That was before the days of Medicaid, so that didn't enter into the formula.

Every one of these programs as it's come along, I have explained to people that if they just would provide good child care and transportation, and of course now your medical benefits enter in, you wouldn't have to go through all these hoops and hassles that they put people through trying to get them into employment. And I would hope that that could be done as a resource in the community that anyone who needed that kind of help could get, and not necessarily be targeted at the recepients of ADC, as if they were somehow less competent, less able themselves.

If the workers didn't have to go through all the hoopla, they could spend their time encouraging women, whose self-esteem needed to be built up to a place where they can use these resources, and not have to go through all this stuff with the ones who would act for themselves if the resources were available. Questions?

MS. BURNETTE: Thank you. Please step up. This is Carla Paul. Identify yourself, and --

MS. PAUL: I am Carla Paul. I am unemployed. Not seeking work, so I'm not sure how relevant all this will

be. And I guess it's more or less a summary from some of the things that I have heard today and my experiences and a lot of women I know.

I am a women who isn't employed, but I also never stay home. It's very hard to reach me on the phone. And I guess as I -- I have got six children, four boys and two -- were first and then two girls. And I think when I look at the lives of my children and anticipate grandchildren and such, that the family which is loving and caring and sets limits and where both the father and mother are involved, if possible, helps eliminate a lot of the problems that then have to be fixed later on, or at least a person has to struggle with to become emotionally healthy.

If we can have a society in which families and the support systems for families can build self-esteem and emotional well-being, where there is not an abuse of any kind tolerated, where there is help for those who are abused to receive help early, and not have it hidden for years and years and not have it tolerated for years and years, I think raising children where you raise your boys and girls that there should be no -- absolutely no discrimination based on sex, race, age, handicaps, anything. And I hope that we have achieved that with our six children, you know. There's -- they know they don't dare do it around me.

I think raising them also that education is absolutely necessary for girls and for women. And that's something we don't teach, that a woman's life goes in phases. It's very, very hard to get a woman's life together where you are doing all things at once at 18 or 22 or 26. In your 30s, you are beginning to be able to achieve that I think. You can achieve it by your 40s and 50s where you combine everything.

б

But basically it goes in phases. You have to spend time on an education before you have those three children. Or you have to struggle with it at the time of the divorce, and then you are stuck with the three children and no education and have to struggle. You have your children. And really concentrate on giving them, you know, the good self-esteem and emotional well-being, limits, that sort of thing. Eventually you can combine fully family and children in my opinion.

I think it's absolutely necessary for the father to treat the mother as an equal, whether that women brings home income or not, with an equal say in how the children are raised, but an equal say in all the family decisions. And that sets a pattern also for the children for equality.

I'm very concerned about pay equity. It's -- we have devalued -- we grew up in a society, and it was said

in our society was where the lower paying jobs were, and
they have remained the lower paying jobs. And
unfortunately, even though I don't try -- I am -- one of my
pet peeves is not -- not to start evaluating people on how
much money they earn. But many people in our society do,
and when the pay is low, the people are valued less in our
society. So comparable pay for comparable work.

Unfortunately, two income families seem necessary today, especially in South Dakota. 71 percent have children under six. I don't like that. I don't think it's the ideal, but it's the reality. And I don't see -- the families I know, it is necessary for both to bring home an income. Sometimes that's because of choices they made earlier like not getting -- not delaying family for education and some things like that. But it's necessary here.

And yet when there is a break-up of the family, the women -- and women become the single heads of households and raise the children alone, it's poverty. Most of the women I know raising children are just struggling. And I -- I see them daily, and I can't directly help them unless I took one of their children into my home, and I do not have enough space for that, for all the ones I know. They are -- they are going on four hours of sleep, struggling with the children, some of them rebellious, trying to make

the income, and having no help from the ex-husbands. And some of them didn't even choose the divorces.

Those who are different in our society, and this I think is true anywhere in the country, but here I think it's -- I think -- I have lived in different places, and I think there is actually hatred here, not -- more than prejudice. And I can't imagine the barriers that there would be, the subtle barriers there would be if I were of a different race or disabled or from a different national origin and spoke with a heavy accent. The barriers are just doubled or tripled or whatever.

I personally am a feminist, but the reality of my life is that I have had choices, and I guess I would like to be able to see that other women have choices. I have been very, very lucky to have the option of having those choices.

Again, I reiterate that in my personal philosophy pay does not equal work. And I hate to have that in our society. That's the one big thing that I had against Betty Friedman's book when I read it back in 1965. And I've based my life trying to disprove it.

Personal life and family interactions are extremely important, and when those are not going well, it spills over into everything else; into the schools, into the work place, into a person's feeling of worth.

And I -- the thing is that I realize I am only a heartbeat away, my husband's heartbeat, away from poverty myself. And I'd give it one year that I could pretty will try to get educated -- re-educated for a job. And I think there is enough money for one year, and then I don't think that I would be able to get a very good job. And I think I would have a completely different standard of living. Luckily that has not happened while our children are very young. I only have -- we have got five in college this year, and one at home. So you know, that -- that helps, that it didn't happen when they were in grade school or junior high or something.

I think in this society, you have to have a very strong personality to be different. And I -- the women I know personally, some of them I see have great strengths, some of them living in poverty who I know have great strengths. And just to be able to survive the kind of life they have shows a great amount of strength. I know others who just seem completely unable to deal with life. And if you can just sort of carry them along, keep them -- gradually maybe you can get them to be more assertive, to have better self-esteem, emotional well-being. And I see around me women of all types, with great strengths and great weaknesses. And, of course, all of us have some mix of those two, strengths and weaknesses.

1	Anyway, I guess that's just sort of my summary of the			
2	day and personal note here. Thank you.			
3	MS. BURNETTE: Thank you, Carla, for sharing.			
4	Are there any other comments before we officially bring			
5	today's South Dakota Advisory Committee to the U.S.			
6	Commission on Civil Rights hearing on women in the work			
7	place to a close?			
8	Hearing none, we will now adjourn. Thank you all for			
9	coming.			
10	(Adjournment at 8:05 p.m.)			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) :SS	CERTIFICATE			
2	COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA)	CENTIFICATE			
3						
4						
5	I, Kerry Lange, Court Reporter in the above-named County					
6	and State, certify that the above-entitled proceedings were					
7	reported by me, and the foregoing Pages 1 - 297, inclusive, are					
8	a true and correct transcript of my stenotype notes.					
9	Dated at Sioux Falls, South Dakota, this day of					
10	September, 1993.					
11						
12						
13			Kerry Lange			
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						