1

2

3

COMMUNITY FORUM

HELD BY

THE WEST VIRGINIA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

9:30 A.M. TO 4:20 P.M.

POLICE-COMMUNITY RELATIONS IN LOGAN COUNTY EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY IN UMWA

RACIAL TENSIONS IN SCHOOLS

Hearing held at the National Guard Armory

Auditorium, Armory Road, Monaville, West Virginia on

Wednesday May 5, 1993.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LBPARY

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES, INC. 819 1/2 SIXTH AVENUE HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25701

(304) 522-3299 HUNTINGTON (606) 329-1370 KENTUCKY

TOLL FREE 1-800-867-3367 FAX: (304) 522-1245

CCR 3

3 Meet. 255

1	APPEARANCE PAGE
2	COMMISSIONERS: KI-TAEK CHUN, Ph.D.
3	DEPUTY DIRECTOR EASTERN REGIONAL OFFICE 624 NINTH STREET, N.W. SUITE 500
4	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20425
5	DONALD L. PITTS P.O. DRAWER SS
6	SHADY SPRINGS, WEST VIRGINIA 25918
7	ROBERT A. BRUNNER 849 WHISPERING WAY
8	SOUTH CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25302
9	JOAN T. HAIRSTON P.O. BOX 500
LO	OMAR, WEST VIRGINIA 25638
11	SAMUEL N. KUSIC 12 VISTA AVENUE
L2	WHEELING, WEST VIRGINIA 26003
L3	ELOCADIO R. URIAS 2031 MILL GAP DRIVE
L4	MARTINSBURG, WEST VIRGINIA 25401
L5	HUMAN RIGHTS, ATTORNEY GENERAL & AFSC RICK WILSON
L6	VINDEN SEYBOLD MARY CATHERINE BUCHMELTER
L7	JOANNE FARMER ROBERT WEISNER, MODERATOR
L8	POLICE-COMMUNINTY RELATIONS IN LOGAN COUNTY
L9	COL. THOMAS KIRK, STATE POLICE
20	REV. DAVID BELL, MT. OLIVE BAPTIST CHURCH REV. JEFF FRANCIS
21	DAVID CHATMAN QUEWANNOCOII STEVENS
22	
23	
Δl	

ſ	
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	
3	Bethel Purkey, President UMWA Local Union Willie Anderson James Major
4	
5	
6	Glynda Gooden, WV Human Rights Commision
7	
8 9	Moss Burgess, Logan County Federation of Teachers John Myers, Superintendent Logan County Schools Jean Turner
10	Sean Idinei
11	
12	
13	a de la companya de
14	
15	
16	
 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

_`

1	I - N - D - E - X	
2	STATEMENT	PAGE #
3		
4		6
5		9 12
6		16 15
7		18 22
8		27 30
9		37 40
10		46 53
11		55 58
12		60 63
13		65 67
14		71 73
15	Mr. Stephens	75 78
16	Mr. Frances	87 90
17	Ms. Buchmelter	92 96
18		97
19		
20	Mr. Anderson	100 106
21	Mr. Purkey	111 115
22	Mr. Pitts	119 122
23	Mr. Anderson	124 128
24	Mr. Purkey	131 133
24		13

1		INDEX CONTINUED	
2	STATEMENT		PAGE
3	Mr. Purkey Mr. Major		135 137
4	Mr. Anderson Mr. Major		138 142
5	Mary Clemons Mr. Purkey		142 144 147
6	Mr. Lindell		147
7	Racial Tensions in	n Schools	
8	Ms. Gooden Cora Hairston		152 157
9	Ms. Turner Mr. Hagood	• • • • • • • •	160
10	Mr. Myers Mr. Lonker		161 165 169
11	Mr. Burgess Julia French		177 185
12	Alena Hairston Ms. Buchmelter		186 193
13	Mr. Wilson Ms. Hairston		196 197
14	Mr. Lonker Mr. Myers	• • • • • • • • •	201 202
15	Ms. Farmer Mr. Stephens	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	202 209 210
16	Cora Hairston Mr. Burgess	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	215 217
17	Alena Hairston Mr. Lonker		217 222 223
18	Mr. Myers Mr. Pitts	• • • • • • • •	226
19	Mr. Kusic Mr. Myers	• • • • • • • •	230 232
20	Ms. Hairston Mr. Lonker	• • • • • • • •	233 236
21	Mr. Myers Ms. Buchmelter		237. 238
22	Cora Hairston Alex Nelms		245 246
23	Joanne Nelms	• • • • • • • •	248 250
24	Rosemary Bradsher Certificate Page	• • • • • • • • •	251 253

MS. HAIRSTON: I'm Joan Hairston. I'm the director for NEW. I'm also a West Virginia Human Rights Commissioner, and I'm from the southern part of the state.

We have some guidelines we are going to go by today, and the purpose of this forum today is a fact-finding mission. We are not here to point fingers. We are here to see how we can better work together as a community with different agencies so we all can have a better place to live and feel safe in.

I have to apologize on behalf of the Commission for having this in the middle of the week. We had no choice in this thing. We wanted to have it over the weekend. I have had about 50 people call me and blast me for doing this and not doing it on the weekend, but this is all we can do for the first time. Next time we will hold it on the weekend.

I'm going to read the statement by the Advisory

Committee why we are and what we do and advise: The

Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights

has been established in each of the 50 states and in the

District of Columbia.

Pursuant to Section 105C of the Civil Rights Act of 1957 and Section 6C of the United States Commission

on Civil Rights Act of 1983, the advisory committees are made up of responsible persons who serve without compensation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Their functions under mandate from the Commission are to: Advise the Commission of all relevant information concerning their perspective states on matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission; advise the Commission on matters of neutral concern in the preparation of the reports of the Commission to the President and the Congress; receive reports, suggestions and recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations, and public officials upon matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the State Advisory Commission; initiate and afford advice and recommendations to the Committees upon matters in which the Commission should request the assistance of the State Advisory Committee and obtain and observe any open hearings or conversations that the Commission may hold within the state.

This is the purpose of the West Virginia Human Rights Commission. Today we are -- our first session will deal with police, racism, sexism, police and community relation.

Our panelists for the police are to my left.

Immediately behind me is the West Virginia Human Rights Commission. We have panelists over here from the AFSC, Attorney General's office and whenever the Human Rights Commission gets here, they will be sitting over here also.

There is a sign-up sheet for anyone from the audience who wants to address issues pertaining to the community and police relations. Anybody who wants to speak from the audience please sign the sign-up sheet, because we will call your name off.

Keep your statements relevant to the issues, and it will help us get through in a speedy way. I'm sorry, when we speak, everyone is going to have to speak up because the PA systems aren't here.

The stenographer wants you when you speak up to give your name so that he can have it in the record.

Our moderator for today would be Bob Weisner. He has graciously agreed to moderate this, and we will begin with the panelists making your statements. You have five to seven minutes to make their statement.

If you would rather not make a statement, that's fine. If you would rather wait until the question and answer session, that is fine.

But I would like for the statements to start with

Mr. Chatman, the Rev. Francis and Rev. Bell and then 1 2 go that way. MR. PITTS: Let me just hold you for about 3 I need to talk to the reporter. 4 two minutes. (Off-the-record discussion held.) 5 MR. PITTS: Back on the record. 6 7 MR. WEISNER: I'm basically here as a 8 Hopefully I won't have too much to say and moderator. 9 let the panel for the most part take part in the discussions, and then we will proceed into the questions 10 from the audience. 11 At this time we will go to our panel. To my 12 left you have -- I don't know. Did you say you want to 13 14 have them seven or eight minutes for opening statements? 15 MR. PITTS: Yes. If you would, before you 16 give your statements just identify yourself so that 17 everyone here knows who you are. 18 MR. CHATMAN: My name is David Chatman, 19 and I am not the president but the co-chairman of the 20 Community of Law Enforcement Partnership in Logan. 21 Police-community race relations in Logan County 22 are not very good. We have had several incidents that 23 support this. A young African-American woman was thrown 24 to the ground by a deputy. The woman suffered a broken

hip and was hospitalized for many days. This was during a drug raid by the sheriff's department.

An African-American family living in the Holden area received many threats. They finally moved to Shamrock because of those threats. An inter-racial couple living in the Chapmanville area had their trailer burned by two white men.

In the fall of 1992 racial conflicts at Logan
High School erupted into a near riot situation, and
this was not the first time. In November 1992 a Logan
County African-American man was a victim of a terrifying
assault while driving down a lonely stretch of road.

Two drunken white men rammed and turned over his vehicle with their truck and attempted to set it a fire while he was still trapped inside. He narrowly escaped and was treated for knife wounds.

An African-American man was stopped by a deputy -- incidently the same deputy that threw the woman on the ground. The driver was not speeding, and he was not violating any traffic laws. The deputy said that he was looking for drugs on that stop.

The most tragic incident occurred January 3rd,
1993, when an 81-year-old African-American man was killed
by state police at his home in Logan after his daughter

asked police to check on him.

After hearing what sounded like a shot, the city police asked for a backup to obtain -- and obtained a mental hygiene warrant. Police claimed when they tried to serve the warrant, they were fired upon. A standoff ensued during which the house was barraged with tear gas.

State police claimed that he was shot when he threatened to shoot them. There are many more incidents of this kind that I will not attempt to mention here.

Now, in an effort to stop these incidents we have organized a committee called the Community Law Enforcement Partnership, and we call it CLEP. Our goal is to assist the law enforcement in carrying out an appropriate and effective and professional policing services to all constituents in Logan County.

Our first objective is to increase knowledge of the total community, that is all of it's parts, by the sheriff's department and increase knowledge of the sheriff's department by Logan County residents.

We hope that this will be a start to get better relationships between the community and the sheriff's department. We are starting out with the sheriff's department, and we plan to enlarge it by going to the city police and also the state police.

MR. WEISNER: Thank you, Mr. Chatman. We have the list of those speakers that plan to be here.

Some of them have not arrived as yet. We are going to just proceed as we have them listed here.

We will go to Rev. Jeffry Francis, First Presbyterian Church of Logan.

MR. FRANCIS: I'm here primarily to listen. I think it's something that we all must do. To participate in a discussion and to help discern issues; issues that exist and potentially to help in designing a vehicle to facilitate on-going and future discussions.

And I'm here because I'm a member of this community, of this county. But I'm also here because of perception. Mr. Chatman made some observations, some factual observations. I'm not so much concerned about factual observations as I am about perceptions.

It seems as if we have a perception problem. A perception on both sides. Both in the community and on the law enforcement side. Some may argue that we shouldn't necessarily focus on perception; that we should focus on the reality of the situation.

I would argue that the perception of reality is probably the most important component that we can focus on for it is perception of reality that is responsible

for emotional expression and behavior.

There is a strain, and it does exist between folks within various Logan County communities and law enforcement agencies, and I do believe it arises out of a perception.

A perception of not being treated fairly, a perception of prejudicial, and it seems to run along those racial and gender lines. It's a perception -- I'm talking about perception -- a perception that law enforcement response times will vary along racial and gender lines, community lines; perception that law enforcement officials may treat offenders differently based upon race, age, economic status and gender.

Whether or not there are any factual bases for these perceptions, I think the factual part is secondary; may even be tertiary. It is not a primary issue. The primary issue is perception.

I think it seems that it's our task today to try to address these perceptions that we must all, on all sides, community sides and in law enforcement side, we must all be sensitive to perceptions.

We must attempt to understand what may drive those perceptions, but we must first begin with the perceptions and assert for potential change; how we can

potentially change behavior. That might help us reshape our perceptions.

So I hope that we can address perceptions today, and that we can be sensitive to each other -- to each other's perception.

MR. WEISNER: Next we will call upon Rev. David Bell, Mt. Olive Baptist Church.

MR. BELL: Thank you. I'm, too, like
Brother Francis, here primarily to listen, and so far
I have listened to what Brother Chatman and Brother
Francis have said. To a point I agree with Mr. Francis,
with the point that perception may not be the total
problem.

There are also realities that we have to face. The facts do speak for themselves. A famous man once said that all it takes for evil to win out is for a good man to do nothing. We have some good, law-abiding citizens in our community, but they have felt and have realized that they have not got the cooperation from the law enforcement agencies that they should.

*Just recently in the community where I pasture we have had incidents where it took the law enforcement agencies more than 12 to 18 hours to respond to a call. \
That just cannot -- that is not a perception; that is

reality. That happened. That causes people to react in a negative way.

We must address the facts along with the perception. We must come up with a plan because all of us wants the same thing. We want our community safe for our children. We want our community safe for our aging adults, but we can't achieve that or accomplish that if we don't work together.

Perception, yes. Facts, yes. Reality, that is not what it should be. And if we don't attack those things together and if we don't come up with a conclusion that if we don't work together, it's never going to happen. Then the situation is not going to get better. It's going to get worse.

One thing I hope that we can accomplish at a forum like this is that we can sit down, see what the needs are, see what the problems are, and like men and women come together. As I say in Isaiah, Come let us reason on together. It has to be a reason process for us to move forward in Logan County. I hope that begins today. Thank you.

MR. WEISNER: We are very pleased to have with us today Colonel Tom Kirk, Superintendent of Public Safety for the State of West Virginia.

MR. KIRK: Thank you. I don't have a prepared statement. What we are here for is to sit and listen, answer what questions we can.

Realizing that there have been a number of lawsuits filed over the past couple of years. There are things that I can answer and cannot answer. I will answer any question that I have knowledge of. I also have parts of my senior staff, members of the state police community down here that control this area.

If I can't answers questions, I will refer to them. Hopefully they can answer whatever questions that come about.

One statement I would like to make before we go on, leaving as much time as we can for questions and for panel discussion, is that we consider the state police a part of this community.

I have been a state police member for 19 years.

I have only been superintendent for three months, but I have been with the state police for 19 years. One of the reasons why I'm a West Virginia State Trooper is because of the mesh between the state police and the community.

There is no way that we can do the job that we can do all over the state without the support and cooperation of the community. We pride upon it.

When I first came in the state police my first station was in Company D, which Logan is part of Company D. I have since then been stationed in every other part of the state, and I have never seen cooperation between the people and state police like I have seen in this area.

We hope that that continues, and again there is no way that we can survive -- the state police organization without that continued support, without that continued cooperation with the citizens not only in this area but throughout the southern part of the state.

We hope that not only continues, but we are also here to help improve that however we can upon Mr. Chatman being president of, CLEP Community Law Enforcement Partnership. I believe also that at times there are questions that are unanswered and because of that there is a wrong perception that comes up.

I applaud his efforts and look forward to working with him in any way that if there is a complaint, we can possibly tell him about our budget problems as far as response time goes or communication problems; and hopefully work with the community to help solve some of the problems so the community doesn't look upon any lack

of activities as far as the state police goes.

As anything other than us trying to regain some of the bugetary -- I guess maybe the correct word is things that we have enjoyed over the past 10 to 20 years.

West Virginia is in a budgetary crisis and no one that I know of in state government feels that as much as what the state police do. I don't really want to get into exactly what I'm talking about or bore you with the condition that our cruisers are in, the communication, but at the same time, again, if those are parts of the problem, then again I look forward to working with Mr. Chatman and explaining some of these situations to him so that if there is a perception that causes mistrust that we can work that out any way that we can. Thank you.

MR. WEISNER: Next we have Mr. Travis
Grimmett, the Sheriff of Logan County.

MR. GRIMMETT: As you were told, I'm Travis Grimmett, Sheriff of Logan County.

Community relation. Police agencies try to establish public relations or community relation units to form a means of recapturing or establishing rapport with certain segments of the community.

Programs are aimed at target populations who

either are prone to have violent confrontations with or have questions about police activities: Youths, minority groups, citizens working and living in a defined section of the city or county.

Communications gap. At earlier times police patrol officers had an intimate knowledge of neighbor-hoods they patrol. They were on foot; citizens saw the officers.

Two, police work was done by car placing glass and steel barriers between officers and the public.

Neighborhood barriers, neighborhood precinct houses which were community centers of information and problem solving eventually were closed down and centralized into a centralized police station, thereby becoming assessable for the general public.

Citizens have difficulty in identifying community police since the officers there are often too few to service the population effectively.

Community relations should be the responsibility of each police officer. Community police officers are the only variable that increases or decreases tensions within the community. Individuals and community groups provide relations to talk to the police on a departmental basis.

It provides the department with the mechanism to undo or address perceived wrongs committed by officers in the course of their duties. Community relations are just not the responsibility of the sheriff.

They must also become the personal responsibility of each and every professional police officer. Each officer represents the image of policing and his or her police department to the citizens of the county. How each officer deals with the citizens in his or her daily work reflects on the department as a whole.

All police officers should consider themselves as a community relation unit. Community relations should function in two major areas, crime prevention and public relations.

Many agencies have developed programs to help reduce crime. Community and special interest groups were educated in how they could help in the fight against crime and at the same time reduce the likelihood that they would be crime victims.

Neighborhood Watch programs was devised by the National Sheriff's Association. Each person in an area it responsible for watching out for suspicious persons and incidents such as burglaries, breaking and entering and theft.

The rules for this program is to foster the need for collective security in the neighborhood and instruct citizens under what circumstances to call for the police.

When available, citizens are issued decals that are posted in windows and doors indicating that the resident participates in the neighborhood program, thereby attempting to foster some sort of deterent.

In closing, let me assure you that most crime prevention programs have been only half-hearted attempts to improve police-community relations. Sometimes a program is conceived and makes the publicity in the media is generated and after a few months the program dies.

The truth is that some of the equipment for programs such as Neighborhood Watch, Operation I.D. and with similar ventures eventually does nothing but collect dust at police property areas. This must not be allowed in our county.

As your sheriff it is my job to evaluate the terms of the programs' permanent value to the citizens and the sheriff's department. I pledge my full cooperation to evaluate any program that we now have to maximize the full value to the citizens and the department.

As your sheriff I pledge to fully cooperate

with all communities in the Neighborhood Watch. Thank you.

MR. WEISNER: We have two more guests that have arrived. We will give them a few minutes to settle in, and then we will move on to questions from our head table.

(Off-the-record discussion held.)

MR. WEISNER: We have with us Mr. Que Stephens, Executive Director of the West Virginia Human Rights.

MR. STEPHENS: Good morning. I must apologize for the change of events this morning. I have been down in this area quite a bit to do a number of things. I think what happens in my arena, because I have to answer the mail, the citizens tend to call me to talk to me about issues that they feel empowered to do.

There is a lack of, I would say, lack of trust, when it comes to dealing with law enforcers. Whether it's on both sides, I'm not sure, but it's just -- a lack of trust is there.

I have had an opportunity to do some training at the academy on all levels. I think there are a number of problems that we can probably fix in this state, and I don't know how they are going to be fixed. One centers around the matter of education.

When I personally look at law enforcement persons, I see three different type jurisdictions.

I see the city police. I see the sheriffs, and I see the state police. Because of my background, when I look at the state police, I look at what one may determine as the elite because of the number of hours they must obtain at the academy for training.

Those troopers also receive an Associate of law degree at the time that they are there. When I look at the next level of sheriffs their jurisdictions have been different because you are talking about a county arenas where the areas are much larger, and their work has been different than the state police.

Then when I look at the city police the requirements to be a city policeman are a bit different than any state trooper. And what happens a lot of times that I have found when I have taught at the academy is that some of the police come from the local community.

They live there all their lives and never interacted with the populations of different races or sexes. They are basically told what to do by a nucleus of things which may be the family, the community they may live in, and they have never transferred

themselves from any other place other than their local community.

They go from there, which may be a small community of maybe 1,000, 2,000 people, to the academy. In a nurtured environment they are trained, and they go back to the same community. So they have not really changed a certain thing called behavior. That tends to be a problem.

When I look at the Logan area I have to personally hear complaints from citizens who talk about treatment, a lack of respect for them as citizens; the inability for them to hear what they are saying; the assumption they may make about a population, and that population may be a young black youth or even an older citizen.

And those are the things I hear which has caused me to come to the community on a number of occasions on allegations of abuse, lack of trust, lack of respect; inability for persons to hear what they are saying.

And because that person may be black, male or female, the lack of trust exhibits itself quite a bit. There have been a number of things that have occurred in the Logan proper that would appall me as a person who has been around the world and seemingly nothing is done.

As a director of a Commission that deals with human rights and civil rights all the time, it tends to bother me that at times things are not done. Because of that, the citizens tend to not accept or respect the local law enforcement persons for whatever reasons, and I know by nature, the nature of the beast, that people are people, and there are bad eggs in every bushel; and there are good policemen out there, and there are bad policemen out there; and it only takes one.

We cannot compare the Rodney King incident to things that have occurred in our community or this community, but I think what happens a lot of times is that people tend to think of those situations. They think of what may have occurred a few months ago in the Waco incident.

There are things that I am not empowered to do.

I can simply listen. I can write documents if I do have
jurisdiction to investigate and bring power to bear on
those persons who inflict harm to someone else by the use
of a weapon of our badge. I may do that.

I think what has happened here is there is a lack of trust. The mother/father tells the child, Don't trust that policeman because he is a bad guy or he will shoot you. That is not a thing I would like to hear, because

some parents do that because that has happened in that family or it has happened in the past.

So that lack of trust has been here. It hovers over this community, and I hear it all the time. I hear the law enforcement side because I'm around them as well either on a professional basis, or they call me to talk about issues that affect them as well.

So I hate the stigma. I hate the idea that if I were to say "Logan" in any community that this stigma comes out that this is a bad place to live. The police officers do not listen on any level, and that bothers me because as I see -- I see law enforcement persons differently, as I said earlier.

I see the local police differently than I see the deputy sheriffs, and I see them differently than I see the state troopers. I know that by my interacting with state troopers they have been very professional, but what is transformed here is that because of the lack of trust and the lack of respect, the local people, especially minorities, do not respect any level of the law enforcement persons.

That does not mean that those people are not professionals, just a stigma that hangs out there. As police may look at a black community the assumption may

be that all the black youths do drugs or all the black youths are only into sports. That stigma hangs out there as well and affects anything that they may do.

So if this community spent more time, I would think, on things like community policing, as is happening in the Charleston area, I think the trust will come back, but it's going to take some time. That is about all I have.

MR. WEISNER: We have four people from the audience that have signed the sheet and have indicated a desire to speak here today.

The first speaker that we have is Mary Reynolds, and we would ask that -- you don't plan on speaking -- if you would like to speak, we would ask that you keep your questions or comments to a five-minute time frame.

Andrew Reynolds? James Major?

MR. MAJOR: My name is James Major, and I live here in Logan County and have been here a few years. I am also on the program with Mr. Chatman at CLEP; community involvement with police work. I was the first black deputy sheriff in Logan County some years ago.

There is a problem that I would like to ask some questions concerning about times. Last week -- a few days ago I called the sheriff's department, and I called

the state police here in Logan; and there was a time involvement that sort of bothers me.

The state police said there would be nobody on duty until 9:00 in the morning. The county person on the phone said there wouldn't be any deputies available until 8:00.

I would like to know if there is a cutoff period, say 12:00 at night until 8:00 in the morning or 12:00 at night until 9:00, there is nobody available. And sometimes there is some problems that need addressing early in the morning, and I think that I just have to make this observation.

I would like to suggest that -- it's hard for you as police, either side, to determine whether it is, I suppose, a real problem that needs immediate assistance, but I think that if anybody would call that early in the morning for certain things, that it probably does need assistance; and I think there should be some encouragement given.

8:00 -- because I called about 7:30 -- that I did get some recognition from the deputy sheriff, and I called all the way into Charleston and some calls placed around; and I got a call back from Charleston concerning the

state police, and later in the day I found out that the state police did make it towards the area.

To me time is important. We know it, and if I call or somebody called in the neighborhood, especially we feel in the black neighborhoods, that the police won't come immediately, but we wonder if they will go to Middleburg or Justice Addition more promptly than they do in our communities.

Is there a reason for the 9:00 or the 8:00? Can you, as sheriff, tell me?

MR. GRIMMETT: Let me try to answer that question. What the public doesn't realize that sometimes during the evening, especially in the evening, we have like three and four deputies on hand. At the same time these three or four deputies, two or three of them may be transporting mental hygiene patients to different hospitals and youths to different places; therefore, you may only have one deputy right in the county at that time.

At the time of a call being placed, that deputy may be like down in Chapmanville, and we may get a call up in Buffalo Creek; and there is time lapses in that. We are working real hard on that right now to try to get a response time a lot quicker.

But there were times that they're tied up, and 1 it's impossible to make a run like that unless it's a 2 3 dire emergency. MR. MAJOR: From the state police? 4 MR. KIRK: First of all, you said you 5 6 were deputy sheriff at one time, a few years ago, I believe you said. 7 MR. MAJOR: **'67.** 8 MR. KIRK: How many hours a week did you 9 10 work? Eight except on Sundays and 11 MR. MAJOR: 12 certain nights I took care of the jail. Friday nights 13 I took care of the jail when the state police, city 14 police brought in persons to be jailed. 15 MR. KIRK: When I first came to the 16 state police my normal workday began at 8:00 in the 17 morning. It ended whatever time it ended. It might 18 end at 6:00 the next morning, and I was still required to 19 be on station at 8:00 that morning. 20 We work to at least 5:00 and sometimes 6:00 21 and even sometimes seven days out of the week. At that 22 time we were paid a salary, and that salary covered 23 us working all the time. 24 Since then the federal courts have stepped in and ordered us that we can no longer do that. I'm now saddled with paying my troopers for a 40-hour work week. At the end of 40 hours I have to let them go home unless I can pay them overtime.

If I don't have money to pay for overtime, I can't send them out. I might have to order them home. This year in the Legislature I probably get passed instead of a 40-hour work week, 160 hours for a four-week period so that calls could be answered during the night so we could better schedule people.

I had more support out of doing that from troopers in Logan County than anywhere else in the state I have been. I have troopers from Logan County on a constant basis calling the legislatures telling them to let me do my job. Give me the hours to do my job. I commend them for that.

Bottom line is it didn't pass. I still have to -- at the end of 40 hours I have to send them home. I have also been mandated by the federal courts, not only do I have to send them home after 40 hours, but I have to insure if they work more than 40 hour a week, whether they want to or not, I have to discipline them for working.

We are one of the few agencies in the world I

know where if you give your company more hours than what they pay you for, you can get disciplined for it, but, in fact, that is exactly what happens.

б

Now as a result of that and with the cut backs and the laws in the Legislature, what we have had to do are reschedule our people and the try to put them out at the peak time.

I still have people available for night calls, but usually when we do that it's only one person in the county that is available for night calls. We are working on a program right now that maybe where we can combine some counties so that we still have three or four people on a 24-hour basis in maybe a three- or four-county area.

I welcome any suggestions that you have and how we can better do that with the money I have alloted.

In your specific situation, I know I was contacted, and I think you received a call from Captain Blizzard. We are checking into exactly what happened in that situation, but again, I can almost tell you that it's just a shortage of me telling Sergeant Ables, You only have so may people; you only have so many hours. Schedule them wherever you think you need them at that time.

As far as your comment about by responding to one

community over another. I would be extremely disappointed in any state police officer that would respond to one community any quicker or any slower than any other part of the region. If that would happen, I need to be made away of that.

But again, the community, state police reaction

But again, the community, state police reaction that we get from Logan, as well most of the southern part the state, I would be more than disappointed if that was, in fact, the case.

MR. MAJOR: I have also heard that.

MR. WEISNER: Mr. Major, if you would, I have been told that the comments should be directed first to the Commission and then the Commission in turn will question our panelists.

So your comments are well intended, but if you would direct them toward the Commission.

MR. MAJOR: My other question was and perhaps with -- I have heard or it has been said that if deputy sheriffs come or our state police come, they won't get out because they will look and go about their business.

I think that maybe personal contact with the people, somebody in the community would be a good solution to end the fear thoughts or whatever, whichever

side it's on.

Personal contact with somebody or some persons in the neighborhood, not just ride in the cruiser, turn around and go back out, the state police or deputy sheriffs, whichever one. I'll leave that to you.

MR. PITTS: I would like to ask Mr. Major a question just for clarification. Mr. Major, you are saying that when the law enforcement people are called to the black community they will not investigate the crime or the alleged crime? Is that what you are saying?

MR. MAJOR: (Witness nods head affirmatively.)

MR. PITTS: And that this call that went to the sheriff's department and to the state police that you were told that nobody would be able to talk to you or come out and investigate the crime until the next morning, 8:00 or 9:00?

MR. MAJOR: It was morning when I called, around 7:15, and work time according to the them was 8:00 or 9:00, either one of the groups.

MR. PITTS: Did you understand that to mean that there was no one working at that time either in the sheriff's department in law enforcement or in the state police department? Is that your understanding?

1	MR. MAJOR: Yes.	
2	MR. PITTS: Do you remember who you talked	
3	with?	
4	MR. MAJOR: Dingess is the lady at the	
5	state police or the it's a lady who answered the	
6	phone, both of the those places.	
7	MR. PITTS: Was it the dispatcher, or do	
8	you know?	
9	MR. MAJOR: Dispatcher. It would be a	
10	Dingess at the state police.	
11	MR. PITTS: We will find out.	
12	MR. MAJOR: I don't remember who was at	
13	the sheriff's department.	
14	MR. PITTS: Now, one other thing, are	
15	there any people here who came to give us information?	
16	You have the protection of the U.S. Commission on Civil	
17	Rights that if you have any information to give us, you	
18	can feel free to give us that information without any	
19	harm.	
20	Certainly if something should happen to you	
21	after you have given us information, through the	
22	Commission, we will bring the full force of the	
23	government against it. I understand oftentimes when	
24	people are face to face with law enforcement that there	

is some fear. Of course, that has always been the problem in our community because of how we have been treated.

You may release your fears today, and we will take down your statements regardless of who is present, and we will be mindful and watchful of the situation here in this county.

Make no mistake about it. We're here to do business. Sometimes there is a cost, but we are here to do business; and we don't want you to have fear to speak out and to bring us this information.

MR. CHUN: If I may just comment on that.

I was a little puzzled, if I heard you right, there was a
few people who signed up to request an opportunity to
make comments or ask questions.

And when you called upon those names, some, I think, people shook their hands indicating they did not wish to make a comment or ask a question.

What does that mean?

MR. WEISNER: I think, I'm just guessing on my part, that some of the people that signed this -- for example, some of panelists signed the list, and I think some of the others that may have signed were looking upon it as just a roster of who is in attendance.

- 1	
1	MR. CHUN: Let's ask, if I may. I
2	remember the name Mary Reynolds.
3	MR. PITTS: And Andrew Reynolds, both of
4	them.
5	' MR. CHUN: Is Mr. Andrew Reynolds here?
6	How about Mary Reynolds?
7	MARY REYNOLDS: I am here.
8	MR. CHUN: Did you, ma'am, sign up
9	intending to ask questions or make questions or ask
10	questions or was it merely for you to indicate your
11	presence here?
12	MARY REYNOLDS: I thought it was for
13	attendance for being here.
14	MR. CHUN: Thank you, ma'am.
15	MR. WEISNER: Any other questions for the
16	Commission of our panelists?
17	MR. CHUN: Yes, I do.
18	MR. PITTS: Yes, a statement.
19	CLARENCE RANKIN: I have got some
20	questions.
21	MR. PITTS: What is your name?
22	CLARENCE RANKIN: Clarence Rankin.
23	MR. PITTS: Spell you last name.
24	CLARENCE RANKIN: R-A-N-K-I-N:

1	MR. WEISNER: Mr. Rankin, address your
2	comments to the Commission, please.
3	CLARENCE RANKIN: What'?
4	MR. WEISNER: Address your question or
5	comment to the Commission.
6	CLARENCE RANKIN: Up in my community
7	there's a fellow up there who has been trouble up
8	there, and he runs his sewer right into the creek. And I
9	have reported it and went to Charleston and the guy said,
10	We don't live there, and I go call down there; and he
11	hangs up; won't even talk to me.
12	I can't get nothing done. I would like to see if
13	you all can get something done about it.
14	MR. PITTS: Mr. Rankin, we are sorry, but
14 15	MR. PITTS: Mr. Rankin, we are sorry, but we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding
	<u>-</u> ·
15	we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding body for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. There
15 16	we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding body for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. There
15 16 17	we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding body for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. There should be proper health authorities in your county
15 16 17 18	we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding body for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. There should be proper health authorities in your county there should be proper authorities in your county that
15 16 17 18 19	we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding body for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. There should be proper health authorities in your county there should be proper authorities in your county that CLARENCE RANKIN: I can't get nothing
15 16 17 18 19 20	we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding body for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. There should be proper health authorities in your county there should be proper authorities in your county that CLARENCE RANKIN: I can't get nothing done up there. I complained to them for three years, and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we cannot do anything about that. We are a fact-finding body for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. There should be proper health authorities in your county there should be proper authorities in your county that CLARENCE RANKIN: I can't get nothing done up there. I complained to them for three years, and I still can't get nothing from them.

1	authorities to follow-up.
2	MR. WEISNER: We have
3	MR. KUSIC: This question goes to Mr.
4	Rankin: Do you feel that the reasons that you aren't
5	receiving cooperation from the local health authorities
6	or the people in Charleston is based on race, color?
7	CLARENCE RANKIN: That is exactly what I
8	believe it is. If there's another way around it, may be
9	you can do it. Those politicians in Logan know each
10	other and won't do nothing to them.
11	I have been after them for three years and can't
12	get nothing done about it.
13	MR. KUSIC: Thank you. I just wanted to
14	find out what your feelings were about that. Could you
15	give us a telephone number? Mr. Renkin, could you give
16	us a telephone number?
17	MR. MAJOR: 752-2000.
18	MR. KUSIC: No, your telephone number.
19	MR. RANKIN: 239-3364.
20	MR. KUSIC: 239-
21	MR. RANKIN: 239-3364.
22	MR. PITTS: Will you see Dr. Chun
23	immediately after this session, and we will see whether
24	or not there is something that we can do about that

situation? Will you stay around to see him?

MR. RANKIN: Yeah.

MR. CHUN: If I may -- Mr. Stephens, did you have a questions?

MR. STEPHENS: A point about responses to that. I think what he is driving at is things I hear; things that come to me. It's a matter of response.

A citizen may call, and they know where that citizen lives, if it's in a poor or a black community, predominantly black community, what they're saying is that police law enforcers will not respond to them as they would respond somewhere else, and the citizens know that; and you cannot hide that.

So what they are saying is: Why does it take so long to respond to an incident? Or if they're established times by either the state police or the sheriff's department or the police department, then' establish those times so the citizens will know where to go when the bullets start firing, and that is what happens.

If there are weapons out there and people have weapons, they are shooting people, people are shooting at them; they are a bedroom community whether it's a white or black community, then tell them what it is.

I think, again, it's a matter of education. Tell a citizen where they can go for a response for help. Tell them that. But don't allow them to call, either call Kirk's people or the sheriff's department and get some dispatcher who might, in fact, be sensitive to the person on the other end knowing where they live and do nothing.

You cannot give the excuse the deputy sheriff is in another county or in another part of the community. And if that deputy is in another part of the community, then give it to another law enforcer so someone can get out there, and you do not have someone being killed. That is the case. That is his point.

That is what I hear: That they do not respond when they should respond, and that is the allegation.

MR. PITTS: Mr. Director, is this a reasonable law enforcement response to an emergency call from a citizen that there is nobody on duty? Do you feel in your experience --

MR. STEPHENS: I don't think there is any reason for that. I think there should be some recourse for any citizen calling. Again, I think it's a matter of jurisdiction.

Our citizens should know what -- who has

jurisdiction in a certain environment rather than saying,
Well, there is no one at work, or they're on another
side of the community.

I know they all do, but that is me. That is
because I am faced with them a lot. I know where the
border lines, so to speak is; what they should be doing

and should not be doing.

If a citizen asks me if I am the law enforcer, they ask me, Can you come out; someone is shooting. Then if I have no police officers in that area, the deputy sheriff or whatever, then I would make sure something happens.

If I am either a dispatcher or law enforcement person, I make certain something happens so that citizens can feel comfortable about the next door neighbor shooting at another neighbor.

MR. PITTS: So then in your opinion, and you have dealt with a number of these situations in calls, and you have some experience in dealing with this kind of issue; is that correct?

MR. STEPHENS: Yes.

MR. PITTS: In your experience do you feel that this is a lack of sensitivity to the black community in terms of both the sheriff's department and

the state police?

MR. STEPHENS: I think it's a lack of trust placed by the black community on the law enforcement persons, and they could not even be true. It could be, as the sheriff has indicated, that the deputy sheriff that may be on duty could be on the other side of the county.

But I don't think that is the response you should always give. It might be reality. A response may be, Yes, I have a deputy on the other side of the county, but the state trooper is somewhere, because I know they intermatch when it comes to the communicational system.

I think that's -- I think that would be more workable than anything else. I know that all these enforcers, law enforcement persons, communicate. I know they communicate almost on a daily base, some way or another whether it's to -- whatever.

They talk a lot. It's a small community. Unlike some other communities where the state troopers may be in another county, in this community it's a bit different. They are all here. So there is no reason that one of those three law enforcement persons cannot interact especially when the weapon is out there.

And we have more weapons -- in fact, they have

bigger guns out there than they have. So if I were a citizen paying taxes or even being concerned about someone else in the bedroom community, then I would feel comfortable if I had at least one chain of command, one of those three law enforcement persons can correspond and get out of the car. That's true.

They don't have contact. A lot of times they will come by the cruiser and not get out of the car.

Again, you have a matter of a lack of trust. We get that in community policing, and this is not really a good place to do it because it is a rural community, but there is still ways in the community you can do a number of things.

And if you're visible, people tend to respond differently if you're visible. Just as one would be on the highway, if a trooper was out there, that is there; we tend to slow down. If there is a deputy sheriff out there, people tend not to do things. As would be in the inner city, the inner city police people tend to do things differently.

MR. PITTS: In your experience have you found that dispatchers make the kinds of decisions that we heard here today to deploy or not to deploy a policeman or law enforcement personnel to an emergency

calling?

MR. STEPHENS: This is a bit different.

This is -- I have not been to all the counties, so I don't know who they all are, but this is the only time I have received calls where that type of situation has occurred.

It's the Logan area where I don't know what power the dispatcher has. I do know that many of the dispatchers are civilians, and it's a matter of caring, being sensitive to the needs of whatever, and not saying, Well, no one is at work, or they will get in at 8:00. I don't like that answer. It just does not go well.

But I have not received calls from citizens from other communities where a dispatcher has done that and failed to dispatch someone out to the community on an incident, but we are in a different state.

We are a rural state where things are a little different, and we can only talk about, say, Charleston, Logan, Martinsburg, those types of things in comparison.

MR. PITTS: Superintendent Kirk?

MR. KIRK: Yes, sir.

MR. PITTS: Is that a reasonable response that a citizen should expect from a dispatcher who is in some way associated with your department?

MR. KIRK: Again, I don't know all the facts. I would hate to answer that question based on this situation, if you are talking about, because I don't know all the facts of that situation.

Reasonably what a dispatcher does -- let me back up a little bit and try to qualify my answer here.

We have not -- normally what would happen is the dispatcher would call the on-duty sergeant and tell the sergeant the situation. At that point the sergeant makes a decision. If it's a situation where there has been minor property damage done, he will weigh whether to wait to send somebody out right then or the next morning.

Obviously if a life is being threatened, the normal course of action would be to dispatch somebody at that time. That is normally done by the sergeant on duty.

We have not had a sergeant's test in the state police because we haven't been able to afford it for the past four years. We are in the process this week of testing 240 some officers for a sergeant's position, sergeants' positions throughout the state. That is one way that we are trying to maybe keep the situation like this from happening.

Another point that I would like to address is

percent for that. There is no way that you can have personal relationships with the community unless you have personal contact with them.

At the same time I have to look at the other side of that. We are one of the few state police agencies in the nation that does not have communications once we leave our police cruiser. To show that this doesn't happen all the time, we had a trooper three weeks ago get out of his car to go up and find out, in a domestic disturbance, to see if he could help and find out what the situation is.

That trooper is dead today because he got out of his car and went up there with absolutely no communication whatsoever. A couple years ago another good friend of mine up north did the same thing: Got out of his car in a domestic situation to find out what was going on. He today is dead. Another trooper was critically wounded in that situation.

As trying to solve both those situations, we are trying right now to get communications so that once a trooper leaves his or her vehicle, they can get out in the community. If a situation arises where they need communication, either to transmit information or receive

information, they can do that once they leave their automobile.

So there is some hesitancy at times to get out of your vehicle to get away from communications especially in threatening situations, not to say the troopers don't do that, because they do. I just cited two instances where it had fatal results.

Again it's not that -- we are trying to address as many of these problems with a limited budget that we have. Hopefully the two things that I have established in the past three months are going to at least address both of these situations that we are talking about right now.

MR. PITTS: Let me ask you, if I may. I want to get back to this specific incident, and I know we can deal with perceptions, and we can deal with reality. I want to deal with the reality.

If we have citizens in the community who cannot get to the sergeant in charge, and a dispatcher is circumventing the person getting to the sergeant, I mean, you have to tell me whether or not that is a realistic expectation of the citizens.

I mean, if you were in an emergency situation and you called a dispatcher; she didn't know who you

were, and she told you that no one would be available until 8:00 or 9:00, I want to know -- what would you do?

What would you say to that person? What is the procedure? How do they get to the sergeant? We don't know how to get the sergeant yet. How do we get -- bypass this person or do something that gets us to the sergeant?

MR. KIRK: That is a very good question.

Our dispatchers, up until this year, have not had

formalized training to tell them exactly what is expected

of them, exactly what their procedure is. That usually

comes down by training by another dispatcher in front

of them, the dispatcher that has been there for years.

Normal procedure is that when a dispatcher receives a telephone call and a trooper is not on station at that time, the dispatcher asks at that time of the citizen that calls in of the degree of the emergency.

Again if someone says that their license plate was stolen, then information is taken, and what would be normal procedure would be for that dispatcher to ask that citizen, Would you like for someone -- I don't have anyone available right now, but would you like for someone to come out?

In cases of urgency, usually it's done immediately without asking that. But if there is a situation where it may or may not be an emergency, the dispatcher -- the normal procedure is to ask that person at that time, Would you like someone to come out at this time?

If they are told, no, 8:00 would be fine; 9:00 would be fine, and the normal procedure at that time is as soon as the person comes out that next morning is to refer him or her to that situation.

If that citizen says, I have an emergency down here, then again, normal procedure at that time point is for the dispatcher to record the information, find out where the call is coming from, put that person on hold and at that time call the sergeant or call whoever is available at that time to dispatch someone out there.

MR. PITTS: So you don't have a dispatcher that is just connected to the West Virginia State Police Department? I mean, in the county, it's one person, as I understand, that receives the call for whether it's city or county or state?

MR. KIRK: We have a state police dispatcher. In fact, I have a meeting today with the Logan County 911 System. Because we do not have that in

Logan County and many areas of the state, we do not have cross communications.

We have taken equipment and tried to make the equipment work so that there is a cross dispatch, but it's not normally done. Up until recently we haven't really had the equipment to do that. We don't really have the equipment at this time, but what we are trying to do is get involved in the 911 system.

Again, today at noon we have a meeting with the Logan County 911 system so that if somebody calls into 911 and the sheriff's office isn't available, then at least maybe there is a trooper available; at least there is a police officer available.

It might not be -- one agency might not have anybody out, but hopefully we can get things coordinated enough so that there is at least a deputy sheriff or a state trooper on duty and available at any time.

MR. CHUN: Can I say that --

MR. PITTS: I don't want to relinquish the floor, but I will.

MR. CHUN: I have a great deal of sympathy for your office and your state troopers being under staffed. I'm not glad to admit it, please trust me, because we are in the same situation, too.

Nevertheless, though, it is very clear from a comment that that particular response given by this unknown dispatcher was inappropriate. The proper thing for that dispatcher should have said is: No sergeant is available until 9:00, as you said, I would like somebody to come out at 9:00, whatever.

Now, given that an inappropriate response was given, do you plan to, then, either train your dispatchers or issue a new guideline as to what should be the proper response to citizen complaints and citizens requesting assistance, and the same questions to the Sheriff, if I may.

What would have been a proper response by your office when a citizen calls in with a request like that and the parallel question, what would have been a proper response, and if your office staff person has given an improper response, do you plan to issue a new guideline or train them, please?

MR. KIRK: Like I just said, we have set up a training program so that our dispatchers, before they are able to be by themselves at a communication center, that they will have to go through not only testing and training but a certain time period of which it will be like an apprenticeship.

We haven't had that in the past. That is in the process of happening right now. We already have the training schedule set up for it to happen.

If, in fact, when -- this case is being investigated right now, this particular situation. As soon as Mr. Major called, we began to find out exactly what the situation is, and I still don't know what the specific information is on that.

But if there was an improper response, we will take action not only on that response, but we will insure in the interim that between now and the time training is completed that this is the normal course of action; this is the way that we will respond to situations like that.

MR. CHUN: Sheriff?

MR. GRIMMETT: We have the same -basically the same set up with our dispatchers. Our
dispatchers are certified. As a matter of fact, we just
had three more certified.

Depending upon the seriousness of the case, in other words, it's like Mr. Kirk said, that if someone calls in and there is a license plate missing or something like that, that is not considered an emergency situation.

If we have got a life-threatening situation, then

the first thing that our dispatchers do is to get ahold of one of the units. If the unit it too far out, the next thing they will do is get ahold of the state police at EPS, and we work real well with the Logan detachment of the state police. They are helping us in every way that they possibly can and same goes for the sheriff's department on their end. Now, getting to the question of if we had a dispatcher that gave out the wrong information or did not dispatch according to the way they should, until we know what that dispatcher has done and the situation, you cannot respond to something like that. You have to have a problem. The problem has to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be addressed to me before I can correct the situation.

MR. PITTS: What you're saying is there has been no complaint made to you about that action?

> MR. GRIMMETT: That's right, sir.

MR. CHUN: Now we have one --

MR. GRIMMETT: I'm not even aware of the question.

MR. CHUN: Could I ask one factual This is a factual question because Rev. question. Francis and Rev. Bell remind us that we have to deal with not only the perception of the reality but also facts themselves in some coordinate fashion.

I think those are very sound reminders and constructive ones. In view of that I am compelled to ask this question:

Do you or does the state troopers or sheriff's office have a record of when request calls for assistance comes in and when the dispatcher is -- the assistance is dispatched? Do you have a record? What kind of record do you have?

MR. KIRK: We have what we call a duty log. Any call that comes into a dispatcher is the time the call comes in and the call is paraphrased; usually a one or two sentence description of what the call was about and then the response to that also.

If it's something of an urgent nature or a drastic situation, then usually more space is allowed for that, and the time and any kind of response. If the situation is such that somebody would call in and say that somebody is trying to break into their house, the dispatcher wants -- once they call the sergeant, once they get the information out on the air, out on the telephone, we will type in the time the call came in, this person at this address said that someone was trying to break into their house; come down the line

and on the duty log type in the time once again, and that 1 2 this trooper was contacted and was dispatched to the 3 scene. MR. CHUN: I follow you. That being the 4 5 case, it is possible if one wants to, it is possible to 6 analyze your records --7 MR. KIRK: Yes, sir. 8 MR. CHUN: -- to see the average response 9 time given to, say, affluence, white communities as 10 opposed to nonaffluent, say, black communities, as a way of dispelling this alleged perception of differential 11 12 response times. So it is possible, isn't it? 13 MR. KIRK: Yes. 14 MR. CHUN: Then given the repeated 15 allegations that minority communities do not receive 16 fair response; that there is this perception of a 17 differential response, would you concede to perhaps 18 doing a manageable, very reasonable sample analysis 19 of records once and for all and show the public so that 20 you can dispel at least this one perception of a 21 differential response? 22 Would you consider that? 23 MR. KIRK: Yes, sir. MR. CHUN: I would like to see that. 24

Is that question also directed 1 MR. PITTS: 2 to the Sheriff? 3 MR. CHUN: Absolutely. Yes, sir. 4 MR. GRIMMETT: I think we ought to really 5 MR. CHUN: б commend and ought to be appreciative of a citizen if something like that is being done, because across the 7 country not many jurisdictions do that, and if you can 8 9 do that, I think you will be a pioneer in improving 10 the police-community relations and in dispelling this nationwide allegation and distrust in the law enforcement 11 12 system that if you call, the minority community you just 13 don't get a fair shake. 14 MR. KIRK: One caveat to that -- one 15 warning to that I might bring up: In one of the 16 communities that I used to patrol, that was used at 17 one time. 18 The response time was used by the chief of police 19 at that time to get his patrolmen a rate, and the state 20 police and sheriff's office response time was pitted up 21 against his response time. 22 MR. CHUN: I see. 23 MR. KIRK: Response time in a city is 24 slightly different. There is probably an average of five

to six minutes, where in a community we're not only, as you probably found out, not only are four-lane highways at a minimum, but the two-lane highways are a little drastic. Response time is going to be on a average a lot more. So that is the only warning I would throw out at this time.

MR. CHUN: Here we are concerned about the differential response time within a community.

MR. KIRK: Yes, I realize that.

MR. CHUN: Thank you very much.

MS. HAIRSTON: I'm very concerned of the seven people who had complaints didn't show up today, which shows to me the fear of face-to-face confrontation with the police department. That is a concern I have.

I also have a concern about police department in the end whenever they arrest somebody black. I'm concerned because I am the mother of a son, and most of us black mothers have true concerns when it comes to police officer and our sons.

What is your response? Do you have disciplinary actions for people using this word. Is this word appropriate within the sheriff's office, the state police department when arresting somebody or even when just pulling somebody over?

1	Because we have repeatedly have had that done
2	here, and I'm just sorry the people aren't here to say it
3	themselves.
4	MR. PITTS: What word are you talking
5	about?
6	MS. HAIRSTON: Nigger. When a officer
7	approaches a black youth and calls him a nigger, when
8	they don't even know if a crime has been committed, or
9	even if a crime has been committed, where is it
10	appropriate to use this terminology?
11	MR. GRIMMETT: Let me. That has never
12	happened since I have been sheriff, which I have only
13	been sheriff for four months.
14	If that would happen, and it would get back to
15	me, then that officer would be disciplined.
16	MR. CHUN: Do you think the citizens
17	in this community know that; that the sheriff is willing
18	to be alerted to such misuse, and that you're prepared to
19	take actions?
20	Has that your residents, has it been shared
21	with the community at-large?
22	MR. GRIMMETT: Do I think the citizens
23	think that?
24	MR. CHUN: Yes.

MR. GRIMMETT: I don't know how to answer that, only that I'm ready, and if a complaint comes in, I will be glad to take it.

MR. CHUN: It may be worthwhile to share that, and it's an admirable position that you are taking. Perhaps it should be shared, maybe through the newspapers and maybe the sheriff's office should issue a public statement as to the expected standards of conduct among your deputies as to what you expect and what you would not condone.

And I think that would be a big step forward in improving the police-community relations if you were to make that kind of positive, forward-looking steps.

MR. PITTS: Let me ask the law enforcement people here if you, in fact, believe that the use of the term by a white officer towards a black person of the word "nigger" is offensive and inflammatory?

Do you believe that it is offensive and inflammatory?

MR. KIRK: Yes, sir. It's offensive for me to even sit hear and listen to that. We have -- in the situation as what was discussed by you, what we would do, any citizen that complained -- we have an internal affairs department that is housed out of Charleston.

I send them to any department anywhere in the state where we receive a complaint on an officer. We don't have to receive the complaint that is signed.

I know some departments out of state would check with, and some departments require a citizen to come in and sign a complaint and be polygraphed before they even begin an investigation.

We do not do that. Any time that we receive a complaint on a police officer out of Charleston we begin an investigation on it. Obviously we have to prove the validity of the complaint before we take any kind of disciplinary action, but we do take disciplinary action in that case.

I think your question was, when do I think that is appropriate. Hopefully that is never appropriate. I cannot think of any situation where that would ever be appropriate whether it was said jokingly on not.

So I guess to answer your question, not only would that never be appropriate, but I would encourage any citizen that would receive verbage like that to respond immediately to my office.

MR. PITTS: Are officers trained in either the sheriff's department or the state police -- first let me preface it with this statement.

I don't believe that white people really understand what it means when a white person calls me a nigger. And you don't know what feelings that brings up, and my question then goes to the fact, is there any training that officers receive that sensitizes them to the use of these terms as "boy" and "nigger" and other little things that they say that we pick up whether it's a perception, Reverend, or a reality that we pick up as a reality.

And if your department is not being sensitized to it, would you be committed to somehow bring about some programs through training or otherwise in terms of their relationship to the black community?

Because as I heard Director Stephens indicating is that they go to the academy whether they are from the police, the sheriff, the city, the sheriff or your department, but their attitudes are not changed towards some of those perceptions, and I think -- my question is:

Can you be committed as the top person, as the superintendent of state police, to begin to sensitize your recruits to this feeling that is enamored by these terms?

MR. KIRK: Yesterday Mr. Stephens has, as he has stated, come to our academy a number of times. I

talked to Tonya Woods yesterday and advised her that the only time that we can bring all of our troopers together at one time is during our annual in-service training.

And yesterday I sat down and talked to her and asked her to develop some kind of plan to where during our in-service training we have some kind of cultural person classes.

We have had those in the past, but again, because of budget restraints, it's like this year, our in-service was supposed to have lasted five days per trooper this year. We had to cut that down to one day, again, because of budgetary restraints. It was either that or send troopers home more than what I'm doing now.

I sat down yesterday with our personnel director and advised him in our planning research that next year we will have five days of training like we are supposed to, even if I have to start sending troopers home earlier. There are things, changes in the law, things like that that we have to cover.

And one of those things, again, that I talked to Ms. Woods with yesterday was setting up some kind of program for me within cultural person.

MR. PITTS: Who is Tonya Woods?

MR. STEPHENS: She is with affirmative

action, state affirmative action. I would like to point out that a young trooper receives a two-hour block in minority relations and inter-relations, other relations, two-hour block on community policing, but that is the young trooper; that is the young person that, again, from those communities who may go out and do their thing.

But still you have the older troopers and maybe only one or it may be a network of a number of people, because we are talking about an attitude that we need to get rid of. It may be one person who is a bad egg, so to speak, that is out there that are doing these types of things.

So he may even come into the force as a good person but get with the bad egg and tend to say things that are inappropriate for, one, the workplace and, two, for interacting with people.

I do know that -- I don't know if the Colonel is going to do this, but Colonel Buckle had mandated a class that will teach the troopers how to talk to people. There is no class within the curriculum how to talk to people, so to speak, whether it's black, white, female, et cetera.

They tend to get into procedural things, which is okay, but still there was no class on how to talk to

1 people. I quess I'm saying all of this to say there 2 is, in fact, on the curriculum a class of minority 3 relations with the community relations for the young 4 trooper. Before they had one trooper class in the last X 5 6 number of years, 77 troopers and the older troopers 7 would not have had that unless it's an in-service 8 requirement. So here we have a lack of an opportunity 9 for the troopers who are already out there to talk about 10 This is not a popular issue. That is the this issue. 11 point. 12 It's not like an oozy or a new nine millimeter. 13 It's an issue that tends to deal with socios, and a lot 14 of people don't like to deal with it. That is reality 15 and the nature of the beast. 16 MR. PITTS: I do have several more 17 questions that I think I need to ask. 18 How many dispatchers work in this county either 19 through 911, the state police or the sheriff's 20 department? 21 MR. GRIMMETT: The sheriff's department 22 has four. 23 MR. PITTS: Are any of those black? 24 MR. GRIMMETT: No.

1	MR. PITTS: That may be one of the
2	problems that you may have to deal with. As I ask the
3	question, Mr. Kirk
4	MR. GRIMMETT: We had a black dispatcher,
5	but she left. She left the county.
6	MR. KIRK: We have five dispatchers in
7	Logan County, of which none are black.
8	MR. PITTS: Let me ask you if either one
9	of you would be committed to hiring I mean,
10	affirmatively seeking out, recruiting and hiring black
11	dispatchers? Is that something that your office can do?
12	MR. GRIMMETT: Let me say this: Our
13	dispatchers are correctional officers. They do the
14	dispatching, and the civil service board has to send us a
15	list of who is going to be hired.
16	The sheriff has very little say so in who is
17	hired; who gets a civil service test. But, yes, I would
18	have no problem with that, of hiring a black.
19	MR. PITTS: Do you have any black deputy
20	sheriffs in this county?
21	MR. GRIMMETT: No, sir.
22	MR. PITTS: Again, that is going to the
23	civil service roster?
24	MR. GRIMMETT: Yes.

MR. PITTS: I believe in our last hearing
one of the things that we did in central West Virginia in
terms of community-police relationship was to see if law
enforcement people were willing to be proactive in terms
of recruitment of minorities and women to their
departments.
In other words, to go out and work with possible
recruits and work with them in some affirmative way in
order to help them and prepare them for the civil service
exam. I know you don't do that in the white community,
but it's basically a word-of-mouth thing in the white
community anyway.
But is there some affirmative action that you
would be willing to take in order to recruit and retain
minority officers and female officers?
MR. GRIMMETT: Is that question directed
to me?
to me? MR. PITTS: I'm looking at both you and
MR. PITTS: I'm looking at both you and
MR. PITTS: I'm looking at both you and Mr. Kirk, but Colonel Kirk, if you don't mind, Sheriff.
MR. PITTS: I'm looking at both you and Mr. Kirk, but Colonel Kirk, if you don't mind, Sheriff. MR. GRIMMETT: I will let Mr. Kirk have
MR. PITTS: I'm looking at both you and Mr. Kirk, but Colonel Kirk, if you don't mind, Sheriff. MR. GRIMMETT: I will let Mr. Kirk have this one.

and I think 67 made it, out of the recuiting numbers of 1,800 people.

When it comes to the state trooper, you are talking multi-bucks. You are talking about a class that I think -- putting the class on, I think, is one million dollars. We have not had a class of state troopers in a very long time.

I can honestly say that the last class of people that they recruited for the state troopers was done fairly. State troopers are different, because it's quite costly to put a trooper through a class. It takes longer, and that is mandated by the Legislature.

So you can't come up and help the state troopers increase its population with the females and minorities unless you come up with some dollars, and right now we are into this cutback, cutback.

Deputy sheriffs, city police are a bit different. They are still mandated by dollars, but still it's a bit different in that what they could possibly do; they can't too because he is mandated by dollars. It's no recourse.

But I can say in the last class of state troopers that they put out into the field, they did have one black female. She did not make it. They did end up with three black males and four woman of the population of the

67.

So based on the numbers of recruits they had in there, the number of students they had on station, they did quite well based on the population.

MR. PITTS: One last question to the law enforcement people. One of the recommendations that came out of our central West Virginia investigatory hearing was a recommendation that a state legislated body be created to investigate police misconduct made up by citizens from across the state.

Would either of you support such a piece of legislation?

MR. GRIMMETT: Yes. Any time that there is accusations being made and everything, it ought to be brought to the front and ought to be brought out in the open.

If a person is being accused of something, if he doesn't have anything hide, it shouldn't be any problem with anyone whether it be a police officer or anyone else.

MR. PITTS: I think what we're talking about is trying to get rid of that perception of the police policing the police or policing themselves and that many citizens feel that if the police are policing

themselves, then they are never going to be found that they did anything wrong.

7.

So what we are suggesting and recommending to the Commission and our recommendation goes to Congress and to the President, so we are recommending in states where they do not exist, some type of citizen body to investigate police misconduct against citizens be established.

And my question, Superintendent Kirk, is whether or not you would support such legislation?

MR. KIRK: I would have to take a look at the wording of the legislation. The reason why I say that is because any time that we get a citizen complaint, and again, we start out with our internal affairs investigator.

We realize that is within our department. It goes from that organization within ours directly to me. It goes from me to -- if it needs investigated further -- to the county of which the allegation is made.

It also goes to the FBI and any other federal agencies that might have an interest into that investigation. There are already a number of people that investigate that.

If you are asking if I would go for a totally civilian investigation into a strictly police matter, I would probably resist that only from the fact that I would not try to go into Union Carbide and try to second-guess everything that they do.

At the same time if you are asking if we would welcome input into a situation like that, absolutely.

MR. PITTS: I will relinquish the floor to Rev. Bell, then you may proceed from that point.

MR. BELL: Thank you. I want to interject something that we were talking about a little while ago. I think it's kind of a core of the problem that we have here in Logan County. It's starts to talk about the word used to black youths.

It has happened on more than one occasion, but one occasion I know of specifically that no violation has been done, no wrong had been done, and it seemed like this term was used to intimidate or try to initiate a response from the youth; and that scares me.

I have a teenage son, and I would hate to think that the people he is supposed to be trusting in -- I have always raised my children that if you get in any trouble or ran, there's police to go to. If that is the people that you are supposed to be trusting in, but if

they are going to try initiate something, then who else is he going to turn to?

That is the gist of the trouble that we have here, and it seems like we talk about being sensitive.

If I know if calling you names is going to make you do something so I can knock you in the head, that's the kind of mentality that we see or we perceive, the perception.

The reality is things are happening like that.

That is the core of the problem of the way the black community is getting the message from the law enforcement, and we need to address those issues.

I'm like the gentleman to the right of me. If somebody called you that, you are going to react whether it's a police officer or whoever, and if you have the advantage, you are going to get the billy club or the gun or whatever; you are going to knock me in the head or whatever, call it resisting arrest or whatever, but it will initiate a response.

It's those kind of things that we think the law enforcement agency needs to be aware of and more sensitive to that we feel that way in the black community.

MR. WEISNER: We do have one more person who signed up that wished to speak here this afternoon

and that is Carolyn Johnson.

ALENA HAIRSTON: My name is Alena
Hairston. I just want to ask the question speaking to
the sensitivity and the training. I don't know who to
address this to.

MS. HAIRSTON: Address it to us.

ALENA HAIRSTON: You all were talking about the budget, and you are having problems with the budget as far as getting sensitivity training for the different officers or whatever. But I'm wondering what is the priority of that?

As far as the things that you have to obtain, is this a top priority as far as sensitivity goes, because it is a problem; and if it is not a top priority, how do you feel about it becoming a top priority?

MR. KIRK: First of all, I do consider it as a priority. Depending on what type of training you are talking about, if you ask me tomorrow morning, and we are getting ready to partition money now for cultural or sensitivity training, and I get a call that we have the Silver Bridge collapse, at that point I have to make that decision.

I have to make priorities at that time. Are you asking me is that a top priority? Yes, it is. Like I

1	say, I have already put that on our agenda for our
2	up-coming, in-service training, so that is a priority.
3	So hopefully that takes care of that.
4	The second part of your question, would I make
5	it a priority? I have already done that.
6	ALENA HAIRSTON: Is it a top priority for
7	you as well?
8	MR. GRIMMETT: Well, we are set up just a
9	little bit different. Our officers have been in training
10	at the academy. I would have to give it more thought as
11	far as top priority. There are some many things that fall
12	under top priority.
13	As far as the if you lay the issues, a lot
14	of issues on the floor and everything, then you take a
15	look at it and make a decision on the top priorities.
16	I would have to study that just a little bit more.
17	MR. KUSIC: I have a question, Mr.
18	Stephens.
19	MR. STEPHENS: Yes.
20	MR. KUSIC: Let's just say we have a
21	problem in Logan County, assuming we have a problem in
22	Logan County, with the sensitivity issue
23	ALENA HAIRSTON: It isn't an assumption.
24	It is true.

MR. KUSIC: No, no, don't get me -- I'm a 1 It's a reality. It's a reality in Logan County. lawyer. 2 Is there a possibility that something like Tanya 3 4 Woods could talk to you, could talk to Colonel Kirk and just say, I don't know how long -- I don't know how 5 6 many officers there are in, let's say, Logan County, 7 could we just have like a pilot project? If there's a problem in Logan County, let's 8 9 address that problem before we try to address it 10 statewide; just come to Logan County one afternoon and talk to the officers. 11 12 Maybe it's too simplistic a solution, but 13 couldn't something like that be worked out? 14 MR. STEPHENS: I would personally think 15 it could be worked out, but he is the Colonel over the 16 state troopers. He mandates what is to happen. 17 I think he wants to do what MR. KUSIC: 18 is right. 19 MR. STEPHENS: I understand. 20 MR. KUSIC: We want to do what is right. 21 MR. STEPHENS: Lets take one issue that we 22 felt was a priority, sexual harassment. As a corrective 23 agency I decided to go out and train. The state troopers 24 agreed to that. Back before Colonel Kirk came, Colonel

Barkley has mandated that all managers/supervisors would receive sexual harassment training, because he and I had a discussion. We talked about it.

So we went to all the companies except the turnpike company regarding -- and we are going to hit the headquarters here in a week or so on that issue. That was an issue we felt was important at the time, because, one, you have now an influx of female troopers coming about.

You have the idea that if a state trooper stops a lady on the highway, she may, in fact, charge him with sexual harassment. So we mandated that to happen.

The questions you are asking I think can be facilitated through the same process, and we have done things like that. We pretty much burnout on training, but I don't really care as long as we feel it's a proactive measure.

We are doing something to help an agency, in fact, this state agency, and in the long run if I can save us, the citizens, some money or the state money by training a citizen on an issue, then the state does not lose money because they don't get sued; the state doesn't have to pay the bill.

Yes, we can do that type of thing, but again, you

have -- I don't know how many troopers he has here, but it's still a matter of five, six -- you still have to do it at a time that facilitates meeting all of them. It might be split. It might be an hour that is between the split of the schedule, those type of things.

MR. KUSIC: Just ride around in the car?

I don't know.

MR. STEPHENS: There are ways of doing this. Colonel Kirk is a new Colonel, and he has a lot on his plate right now. I was concerned that he is even here with the strike possibly coming up, all of these things. I really applaud him for being here.

But the bottom line is there are things that we can do, and the Human Rights Commission can do; we do already. We do more of it. We are offering -- because I consider sensitivity an issue that is very important.

If I feel as a person I cannot trust him because he's a law enforcement person, then I'm going to transcend that down to my three-year-old and might have already transcended it to my 24-year-old.

So you have three generations there that have been told not to trust him because he will hurt you; he will shoot you; he has a badge. It might not be true, but there may be one of those members that may do that

because of their behavior; and we will not look at the obvious sensitivity training as a push. They will look at an ozzy as being important and a lot of other facets of in-service training.

I guess my point is by saying all of this is, we are offering our services to mandate this through his system, to do it anytime, and all we ask is that the troopers come with an open mind, because we have talked before a captive audience and hear their problems from the captive audience.

When they are mandated to be there, it's not always receptive, so we have to find out through the course of actually training.

MR. WEISNER: If we can now go to Carolyn Johnson.

CAROLYN JOHNSON: My name is Carolyn Johnson, and I'm the daughter of Mr. Williams who was shot and killed by the West Virginia State Police in January of 1993, and I am the mother of an 18-year- old who was, I felt like, very badly mistreated on behalf of the sheriff's department in 1992 in the summer.

He had took me to work on a morning, a weekday morning, and my son was mistaken for a drug dealer by one of the sheriff department's men. He was followed

from, I think, along the state police headquarters to the Omar area, Route 44, 119, connecting to that route somewhere.

And he was pulled over and so the officers proceeded to approach the vehicle after he had unholstered his gun, and the kid is thinking -- you have got a 17-year-old youngster here. I mean, the kid is scared out of his wits. The officer approaches my car, and he tells my son, Throw your hands out the window.

Don't move. I mean, pistol in hand.

All right. Now, my son where he had gone to was to a friend's house. So after he pulled off the road to go to his friend's house, and the officer that was behind him pursued him, or whatever, and approached his car, and he wasn't allowed to get out of the car.

It just so happened his friend was there waiting for him to pick him up, so he witnesses the whole thing. So the officer asks my son, he said, Let me see your credentials. Leave one hand out the window or whatever way they do it, just very slowly.

So my son showed him his credentials and everything was in order. At that point he still wasn't dismissed. The young officer proceeded to ask my son if he knew of a certain young man that maybe at one time

lived in the Logan area who happened to be a drug dealer. 1 And my son responded, I know of him. 2 Do you know where he is? 3 No, he told the officers, I have no idea where 4 5 he is. 6 Do you know of anyone who lives out of state 7 in the State of Ohio who drives a vehicle like this? 8 My son says, No. 9 He was inquisitioned by this officer. Now, after the officer found out who my son was, he should have left 10 11 him alone. He evidently was not the person that the officer thought he was, but he did. 12 13 And you take a 17-year-old -- the kid was scared 14 out of his wits. He said, Mom, I was so scared I was 15 shaking. He said, I felt like if I had moved or if I 16 had just got out of the car or made one move, I just felt like he would have shot me. 17 18 I don't know. I just feel like communication 19 between the sheriff's department and Logan County area 20 certainly needs to be worked on, because you have young 21 men out there working. 22 I don't know what they feel or what is going 23 through their head, but I felt like if he followed my car for about 13 to 14 miles, he could have run a check on my 24

1 license and found out who that car belongs to. 2 And every black person don't look alike. My son does not look like this man who he was mistaken for. 3 Even though he was tall like the man, he don't look like 4 5 him, and my son is 17, a high school student, a 6 good athlete, a good student. 7 He didn't appreciate that, and I didn't either. 8 As his mother I was very upset when he told me about 9 When the officer reported he left him, he never I think it would have made the kid 10 apologized to him. 11 feel a whole lot better if he would have just said, Well, 12 look Curtis, I'm sorry. I just mistook you for somebody 13 else. I'm sorry for the inconvenience like this. 14 And I just feel it's very poor on your sheriff's 15 department. As a matter of fact, Mr. Grimmett, you may 16 I have known you for a number years. not remember me. 17 I grew up in that area. I tried to call you after that 18 several times to tell you about that, and I was not able 19 to get through to you.

MR. GRIMMETT: How long ago did that happen?

22

23

24

CAROLYN JOHNSON: This happened last year.

MR. GRIMMETT: I wasn't sheriff last

year.

CAROLYN JOHNSON: Whoever. I'm sorry.

3 Whoever it was --

MR. GRIMMETT: I have only been sheriff since January. It must have been the other, my predecessor.

CAROLYN JOHNSON: But anyway, I feel like there is some type of a communication that should be worked out on a better -- and the young kids are afraid. Curtis was like, Well, Mama, how can he mistake me for -- which this guy that he asked him about, my son knew him.

He was like, Do I look like him, and I wonder if they will stop me again? Because this young man is wanted in Logan at this time. And because he was wanted, and he was an escaped drug dealer or whatever it was and he was wanted by the Logan County Sheriff's Department, it doesn't give you good feeling as a young boy to be mistaken for a drug dealer and simply going about a day's business, picking up a high school friend, and scared out of his wits by a sheriff officer and the gun is present.

I asked him, Did he point the gun at him, but he said, No. But it wasn't like he couldn't because he had it unholstered in his hand. It's just scary.

You feel like you can't communicate with these people enough. I just feel like if the officer had done his homework a little more closely, he probably would have found out that this young man wasn't the young man he was mistaken for. I was very, very upset as his mother, and the kid was more upset than I was.

Of course, concerning the death of my father, my siblings and I are very upset. That's what I am not here to discuss today, but we are unhappy with that situation, very unhappy, and I have said about that.

But that is just a concern that I wanted to voice here today as a citizen of Logan County. I encourage my son to get his education and definitely not come back to Logan County. I don't feel that this -- I'm here because I have a senior that is getting ready to graduate, but I hope that if everything goes well, and in a couple of years I will be out of this county.

I don't like Logan very well anyway, and it's not because of the people. It's because a lot of the communication with officers and the police department. You're let down lots of times and everything, and it doesn't make you feel very good.

I certainly don't feel at this point in my life, considering everything that happened, that I can

certainly call an officer of Logan County, and they would assist me. It's not a good feeling to have.

There was something that happened within my life only about three weeks ago, but I'm at a point where I don't feel like I could have called the Logan County Sheriff's Department or the West Virginia State Police Department, and they probably would have assisted me, because of situations and everything you just don't feel like they'll help you.

And the young people are scared that -- just like Mrs. Hairston said, you have got a young, black male, so what? He was driving a Cadillac, but that doesn't mean he was a drug dealer.

It's not like the Cadillac is costing us like to even have. So he is young and he was black and he was driving a Cadillac, but that doesn't mean he was a drug dealer.

And I really think that the sheriff's department owes my son an apology. I could never get in touch with whoever sheriff -- I can't remember even who he was at that time.

MR. KIRK: Adams.

CAROLYN JOHNSON: This young officer had been in some type of mishap before concerning the

people in the community of Logan. I thought that was

very, very -- and it did something to Curtis. It made

him feel like, Mom, they just -- how can they be treating

me like this?

He didn't even say, Well, I'm sorry. He said, If

the officer would have said, Ma'am, I'm sorry for

inconveniencing your son, and then go on about his way

inconveniencing your son, and then go on about his way and not inquisition him about drug dealers or where he may think one is, or where he lives. I mean, you know?

And I wanted to voice that to the Commission because these are concerns in this county.

MR. KUSIC: I would just like to -- I think it's a sad day when an individual does not have the trust in either his government or the law enforcement officials.

I may not fully understand, but I can be very sensitive to it, because I know through personal situations up north where we personally felt that the another state's police department was in cahoots with someone.

You just feel you couldn't go to them. I, as a lawyer, representing clients who had been arrested, was told by the chief of police to get out.

I say, but the I'm the lawyer.

And the guy with the gun said, I don't care who you are. There's the door unless you want to join your client. I left. So I do understand somewhat what you mean.

MR. PITTS: Mrs. Johnson, your report has been recorded, and it will be a part of our official report. If you desire to make a written or a later interview concerning any other matters in your life, you may talk with Dr. Chun after this particular hearing; and we will also make that a part of our official report, if you don't care to do it here and you have a desire to do that.

We thank you.

MR. CHUN: If I may, I would like to come back to a couple of panelists, per Rev. Francis in particular. I'think you started out with something that many of us, and I think in the constructive fashion; here we have a complicated situation, very complex, and as you pointed out, perception/reality is one of the key factors of area that was in this complex situation.

I wonder if you would mind sharing with us your thoughts as to what, say, religious communities, a church in your position, could do, should do, or is doing in connection with redressing or rebalancing this perception

problem and perhaps hoping to improve the policecommunity relations here in Logan County as well in others.

Perhaps -- it looks like, apparently, you have given considerable thought, and I will be interested in hearing your opinion or personal thoughts.

MR. FRANCIS: I guess I have to start out by saying one of the most segregated institutions that still remains in the United States today is the church, which is a sad commentary on the church.

The church is still segregated along racial bounds or boundaries, and it has been my concern for years that that occurs. One of things that -- I mean, there are several folks here who are members of the congregation of First Presbyterian or the friends of the congregation, and they know that that is the perception; how we are perceived; how we perceive others; how others perceive us. That is something that I talk about a lot.

First Presbyterian Church is a white church for the most part. We have few minorities represented. That's a concern. Because if you look at -- for me, if you look at the biblical imperative or the gospel imperative that should not occur. This should never exist.

Most folks hear that each Sunday, if they listen, and most folks hear that when they visit with me. That's one of my primary concerns. I think the only way that we will ever change the perceptions here is by constant talking and what Ms. Johnson said.

It would have been nice had the officer in this situation as she described it, had the officer said, I'm sorry. That is a step. I mean, just to acknowledge that another human being has feelings, was frightened, for law enforcement officials to understand the fear and the power of the uniform, of the badge, and to realize that a mistake has occurred, to say I'm sorry. That's just a start.

Somehow we need to begin to communicate with each other and understand feelings. Logan County is one of the smallest areas I have ever lived -- in which I have ever lived. I grew up in Tulsa, Oklahoma. I lived in Chicago. I live in St. Louis. Coming here has been a real culture shock because of the overt racial problems.

Now, we can write them off and say this happens in every community. It's true. My high school in Tulsa where I went to school just had a huge racial incident where guns and knives appeared and all of this stuff.

But here it's indigenous to this culture, and somehow that has to be changed. It nauseates me, nauseates me to see children, small children make the comment that I won't even make about an individual of color, of black color.

And I have seen them walking down the street in my neighborhood, and I have heard them. It nauseates me to the point where I call them down.

Here I go. I'm sorry. I'm going on a sermon. I guess it's a part of you. It's also disturbing. My perception is at times and as I sat here and listened to your comment earlier about not knowing what you feel when that is said to you.

I may not know exactly what feelings may go on inside you, but I know for darn sure it nauseates me, and it has nauseated me for years. Somehow all other folks need to be nauseated by the same things before they change.

You would hear that from me on a Sunday. That's my -- the gospel message is about loving people of all colors, of all genders, of everything. I mean, acceptance, reconciliation.

MR. CHUN: Thank you.

MR. PITTS: I suppose it's time to bring

this to a close even though I think we could go on.

Are there any other comments from --

MR. BELL: I would like to add to what
Rev. Francis said. Church ought to be leaders. We
have sat back for so long, and we have stayed in our own
little corners and let the community go on.

It's time for us both in the black community and the white community to become the leaders of the community that we once were and to recognize what is happening around us.

I can't preach somebody into heaven if they're hungry or if they're frightened or if they have no shoes. I have to address the problem, and what I have heard in the last two weeks is all the people say they are afraid to come out on their porch.

I have heard persons say they're afraid to let children out of their sight because they feel it's totally up to their community, and they feel like nobody is going to hear them. That is what I have been hearing these last few weeks.

We as the church community have to step forward.

We have to stand up, and I appreciate this past week that

we had an interdemoninational prayer meeting, cabinet

meeting in our community outside.

There was Baptist and Methodists and Penticostal, and we all got together to pray together that the situation in their community would change. We are going to have to be leaders in that. Maybe we have been negligent in that for a long time, but we have to change that. And I for one am willing, and we begin to get that feeling out of the community that our church has come together, and if the people see us together, you know. This is still the bible belt. The majority of the people are still church-going people, and if we can get the churches to get together, it will have an impact on the community. Let me ask if you and Rev. MR. PITTS: Francis would be willing to sit down together and come up with some ideas and concepts of dealing with race relations through your churches as a start to bring harmony to this community? Definitely. MR. FRANCIS: MR. BELL: Definitely. That's a beginning. MR. PITTS: I will relinguish the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

floor to Mrs. -- I have trouble pronouncing your last

name.

MS. BUCHMELTER: I'm Mary Buchmelter, and I'm the Deputy Attorney General for Civil Rights in West Virginia. As such, I'm chief counsel for the Human Rights Commission and deal personally with Colonel Stevens on a weekly basis on Commission business.

As Colonel Stephens was saying earlier, the Commission has been taking under his watch as executive director a proactive role, and rather than having the Commission be just the repository for many complaints on allegations of violation of the Civil Rights Act or Human Rights Act in West Virginia, a protective role in education is part of their statutory — their mandate is to educate on the issues of civil rights.

As he was saying earlier about some of the education that he had entered into with Colonel Buckley, also Colonel Stephens was part of the task force, a committee, and Mr. Kusic brought up about sensitivity training.

This committee has been doing some work in multicultural training with Kanawha -- with the Charleston police force in the last couple of weeks. It's an on-going kind of pilot project on educating in Charleston their police force on multicultural issues.

Perhaps if you -- earlier Rev. Francis had talked about maybe this meeting becoming ultimately a vehicle to design or facilitate some kind of on-going project.

That might be something that could come out of this.

One meeting here to discuss what appears to be some kind of intrinsic problem in the community isn't going to be enough. Everybody here will leave and the people in Logan County will still be here to deal with whatever, and I will continue with the theme of perception or reality, or reality of the perception of a miscommunication and at very least miscommunications.

So it seems to me in listening to this that along with the Commission's statutory mandate to education, they also have within their act a right to call on other state agencies and work out -- we are all -- that is a poor state.

Everybody has budget problems. The Commission knows better than anyone what budget problems are. The Legislature says, Go out and do this, this and this and then doesn't fund them. This staff, Colonel Stephens and Mr. Kirk has been a team going around the state trying to put out fires, and they have been effective in their educational project; but maybe out of this somehow would come with the force of you all who are this Advisory

Commission to the United States Commission on Civil Rights. That is quite a title and should carry some weight maybe for Logan County.

I think it was Mr. Kusic who said something about a pilot project or whatever. If it has been identified, then this community does have concerns, and I'm sure that the law enforcement would have concerns also about it that maybe some kind of an education will come out of this.

MR. CHUN: Just to respond to this. I think that is a brilliant extrapolation of some of the ideas that got mentioned this morning.

Would the Attorney General's office be interested in or willing to render some sort of formal support in such a citizen coalition including religious organizations and other community organizations, or hopefully perhaps law enforcement agencies might participate?

Would there be such willingness and readiness to participate in this type of -- to be from the citizen coalition so that we can open up an avenue of dialogue?

Would the sheriff's office and state trooper's office be interested in that as a possible solution to the problem?

1	I see Colonel Kirk nodding, and I see the Sheriff
2	nodding. I think that is a splendid idea. Maybe we can
3	kick this around and
4	MS. BUCHMELTER: I would be happy to take
5	back to the Attorney General, who, in our Attorney
6	General, has kindly expressed commitment.
7	MR. CHUN: I think the Governor's
8	office we don't have a representative from the
9	Governor's office this morning, unfortunately they were
10	going to be, but I'm sure they will be very supportive of
11	such an idea; and I think that is something worth trying.
12	And if the religious leaders can participate,
13	perhaps they could make a considerable amount of
14	difference, I think.
15	MR. PITTS: Dr. Chun, I would like to add
16	that I believe it was Mr. Chatman who indicated that CLEP
17	was already organized which should also be included
18	MR. CHUN: Absolutely.
19	MR. PITTS: in any discussions to
20	organize for race harmony. I just want to make that
21	MR. CHUN: Thank you.
22	MR. PITTS: clear for the record.
23	MR. CHUN: I didn't mean to overlook that
24	at all. I just took it for granted.

MR. PITTS: Are there any other responses from the panel?

Are there any other questions on this particular subject of police and community relations? Sergeant Ables?

SERGEANT ABLES: It's not so much a question but a statement. Everything that is said here today is good, and it's got to work. We have got to make it work.

One thing this lady over here said: These folks are going to leave today. I'm going to be here, and Sergeant Blizzard will be here. Don't forget us. If you have a problem, call us.

I'm the one person in this detachment that is not paid hourly. You own me 24 hours a day. My children go to school with your children. My wife works with some of you. My name is in the book. You own me. You can't find a sergeant; you can't find a dispatcher; nobody is home, I will give you an answer.

Don't forget. We are the ones here that have to help you today, have to help you 30 minutes from now.

Don't forget about us. These folks solve major problems, but we still got to serve you; and you still got to count on us. You have got to count on me, because I

won't let you down.

No matter what the situation, you call us and someone will come. And if you can't get someone, call me at the house. Bottom line, call me. Is that okay?

MR. CHATMAN: Sheriff, what do you say next?

MR. GRIMMETT: Call me. Call him first, though.

MR. CHATMAN: After all of this, there are particular communities that are frightened and afraid. They know what has happened to them and maybe all of them are relevant, but they are afraid to go and get a warrant.

Should there be some system if it has been investigated by the state police, sheriff's department that a warning somehow could be given without that person having to come to the --

MR. GRIMMETT: As of April 1st people don't have to go to magistrates to get warrants. They bring their problem to the sheriff's department, the state police, and once it's investigated by the sheriff's department or the state police, then it's up to the sheriff's department or the state police to take the warrants. That is going to take care of that problem

there. They don't have to get the warrants themselves now.

MR. PITTS: That is a legislative mandate, so the sheriff's department or state police doesn't have anything to do with how that's done now. That's mandated by the Legislature which requires that method.

At this time we would thank this panel and for your very honest and forthright responses, and your responses will be made a part of the record, and Sergeant Ables response and statement will be part of the official record; and we will make recommendations on what has gone on here today.

You will also be able to receive the final report and the recommendations that come out of this hearing today. We certainly thank you for your time. We certainly know that you all are very busy people, and it will be a tremendous help.

We have not attempted to offend but to get to the issues and to strip it of every pretense in order that we may give a forthright report.

We also have a report that is being released today from our 1991 hearings in Huntington for central West Virginia, police-community relations forum, and it's on the table over here. We hope that you will pick

that up and look at it and see some of our recommendations.

Members of the Advisory Committee will be available during the lunch hour for comments or for you to talk to us. We certainly appreciate the community's response also, which would be made a part of the official record.

Mr. Weisner, I don't know where we are in terms of our time, but we went far beyond the time alloted for this panel, but I think the results will be very good.

We certainly appreciate everybody, and I don't know what we are going to do right now, but we need to take about five --

MR. WEISNER: We will just recess this hearing at this point.

(Recess was taken at 11:45)

MR. WEISNER: We are ready to resume session two of today's hearing. This deals with equal opportunity in the UMWA.

We have four speakers that are on the panel.

Each one will give an opening statement, and then we will take questions from the audience. Those that have signed up, and those questions and comments will be directed to the Commission and may, in turn, can direct those

comments towards the members of our panel.

First of all we have Mr. Norman Lindell of the West Virginia Human Rights Commission.

MR. LINDELL: Thank you. Good afternoon.

My name is Norman Lindell. I'm the Deputy Director of
the West Virginia Human Rights Commission, and the
purpose of this forum, as I understand it, is to discuss
racism in relation to labor unions.

The Human Rights Commission is a state agency empowered to receive, investigate, communicate complaints of discrimination in employment which include labor organizations.

In general terms I would like to share with you the types of complaints we have and that we deal with dealing with labor organizations, and in a little bit about kinds of complaints we have dealing with employers in general.

Unions, as everybody here well knows, are charged with different kinds of violations of our statute. The two main kinds that we get generally are: Failure to process a grievance and failure to represent a member during the grievance process. Those are the two general kinds of complaints we have dealing with unions. We get other types, but those two are the broadest kinds that we

get.

In investigating these kind of complaints it's often difficult. In the first scenario we have failure to process a grievance. A couple of major questions arise, and the first one usually is, is the grievance that person wants to file a legal grievance under the collective bargaining agreement in question.

And second, has that grievance already been judged by an arbitrator that has set some kind of a precedent that has forced the union and the company into acting in a certain way regarding this particular issue.

As you know with arbitration, generally binding arbitration often set precedents in having a particular kind of a grievance under a specific section of a contract, and so a lot of times, like law, they look to those arbitrary decisions to determine whether this issue has already been judged or arbitrated. Those are a couple of questions that arise when we deal with those types of complaints.

In a failure to represent complaints, it's a union's obligation to serve the interest of all the bargaining members without hostility or discrimination toward any specific group or any individual race or sex or color or the other things we cover within our statute.

The union is obligated to exercise its discretion in good faith and with honesty. The union breaches that discretion and that duty to represent only when it conducts -- only when its conduct toward a member is arbitrary or discriminatory or in bad faith.

Obviously, the Human Rights Commission can only deal with discriminatory aspects. We don't cover labor practices per se. We don't have any authority to do that.

Now, the courts have dealt with all types of labor issues regarding the grievance process. They have given the unions a great deal of flexibility in determining the unions' duty to process a grievance beyond the first or second step in any kind of grievance process.

The courts have concluded that barring obvious evidence of discrimination or arbitrary decisions, the decision whether or not to take a case all the way through a grievance process to arbitration rests with the union, whoever the union officials are at the local or higher level to determine what cases go to arbitration. Generally an individual member cannot make a union go to arbitration on a specific question.

Now, let me say a little bit about what happens

to unions that we are able to do with other questions. If a union is found in violation of the West Virginia Human Rights Act, the Commission is empowered to order them to make the appropriate relief.

In doing that -- in general the Commission had two class action cases involving a union -- it wasn't the UMWA -- over the last few years, and the issue involved around seniority lists. It just so happened that this particular union and the company had seniority lists that were segregated for blacks and whites and within a certain craft.

That case went through litigation, and the union lost because they didn't settle, and they were forced to pay attorneys' fees; they were forced to pay back wages to the union members who lost job opportunities because the way the seniority list was set up, and they were ordered to clean up the seniority list and make it right.

. Several years later we had the same union basically doing the same kind of a thing. It came up again. They settled this time and didn't have to go through the litigation, and they corrected the situation.

When the Commission investigates a case, the objective of the investigation is to show whether or not

this is probable cause, which means that the allegations tend to be supported by evidence, or no probable cause because for whatever reason the allegations could not be substantiated.

A probable cause finding after an investigation does not mean they are guilty of anything. It would be similar to a grand jury indictment. Then the next step would be, if the case wasn't settled, then we go to the litigation phase, which I will talk about in a minute.

Regarding employers, the Commission gets all kinds of complaints about employers whether it be failure to hire or failure to promote, equal pay issues, just any gamut or any facet of employment that we generally cover.

So after the Commission investigates the case, and we are determine whether there is cause or no cause, if we issue a probable cause decision and we cannot settle the case, then we are obligated to take that case to what we call an administrative hearing, which is a trial before an administrative law judge.

If the complaining party prevails in that situation and the Commission tries to make their complaint that -- which means they would be entitled to relief had there been no discrimination.

An example would be, let's say, somebody was discharged from a job. We would order that employer to instate that person, pay them their back pay, some interest, some small amount of damages -- we are limited to 2950 at the moment, \$2,950 -- out-of-pocket expenses, put them back in the seniority, if that is an issue, and the benefits that go with all those jobs. If they have private counsel, award reasonable attorney fees.

That is generally what we do. In just the brief discussion we are having here, we do not cover labor management issues. So if this was a labor management issue where people think of union labor/management issues, there are other agencies that deal with that, like the National Labor Relations Board, on the federal level deal with those kind of questions.

We don't deal with those kinds of questions per se. We just deal strictly with discrimination of civil rights issues.

So, in concluding my remarks I would just like to quote from the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, and they said in a court decision involving the Commission "that the concept of equality is so basic to our system of government that the Legislature has declared denial of these rights to properly qualified

persons by reason of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, sex or disability is contrary to the principles of freedom and equality of opportunity and is destructive to a free and democratic society."

"Therefore, every act of unlawful discrimination in employment is akin to treason undermining the very foundation of our democracy."

So our court here takes it very serious, civil rights in our state. When we start talking about something that can be akin to treason, I don't think you can get much higher on the flagpole than that. So that is how I will leave my remarks.

MR. WEISNER: Next we will hear from Willie Anderson.

MR. ANDERSON: My name is Willie Anderson.

I'm a resident of Holden, West Virginia. I'm a retired

and disabled miner. I have used the last 20 or so years,

after I came out of the mines and after I stopped my mine

work, lobbying for legislation for the United Mine

Workers of America, most prominently the black lung law.

95 percent of the legislation that came from miners

itself came from me over the last 25 years.

Having only eight minutes, I have to try to get through the basis part of this, what I said, but before I

start I want to reflect on something Mr. Lindell just said, and he made the best example I could find of it.

How age discrimination as the Civil Rights

Commission is able to help the mine workers. It's mostly
totally uneffective, and I will tell you clearly why. We
have in the United Mine Workers -- we have a contract as
he explained. We have in it what we call a 52 percent
penalty clause.

What that clause means is if a miner -- normal retirement in the United Mine Workers is 20 years, but we have what we call a 1954 contract, a 1974 contract. I am retired under the '50 contract. Most of the miners you see in the street out here today are under that contract.

Now what this 52 percent clause means, it's actually age discrimination, but it has to be prosecuted under another type. The Civil Rights Commission cannot help us in this, and the reason they can't help us in this is that anything that is tied to a wage agreement in our union cannot be handled under normal civil rights laws.

And this we have any number now. We have some miners out here now that have 40 years in the mines and don't get as much as some men with 20 because of that

discrimination clause.

Let me say this and say this very quickly.

United Mine Workers of America is clearly at a crisis situation, where the crisis on health care -- and I know there is nobody in here that don't know that just very recently the Rockefeller Bill is supposed to have saved us in equalized pension plan.

I personally don't believe it, and I have read it 50 times. Few people out here have ever seen it. The reason they haven't seen it is the administration won't let you see it. The United Mine Workers won't let you see it. Your favorite congressman won't let you see it. All what's in these laws most people don't know.

Right now, today, we are in a new set of negotiations with the United Mine Workers of America, and as bad as I hate to say it, we may -- this may be the beginning of the end for the United Mine Workers of America because of this health care thing that we are involved in now.

These coal companies do not like to reach back provisions in this legislation. I don't care what nobody tells you. This is what the strike is all about, and it's going to take hell to win this.

Now it's a give away. The coal companies ought

to be glad to sign it, because actually it's costing them very little. Now, what this legislation says is we have two funds. Any miner, anybody that is a retired miner, he is under the 1950 pension fund which has the 1950 trust. One takes care of his check; the other one takes care of his pension. Okay.

Now, as of this piece of legislation, the Rockefeller Bill, which is what this strike is all about, is all about that one piece of legislation. Now what they are going to do, the coal operators now -- now anybody that is under the '50 fund is under a protective status because it's a paid-up trust.

They got more money than they will ever pay to the '50 miners, more money than they'll ever need. So what they done in this piece of legislation was to borrow from it. I'm certain and I'm hopeful that they don't bankrupt it, and it's fully funded for people drawing a check to take care of themselves, to take care of their health care.

I'm certain this is what's going to happen, because I think one of the things we are going to see, and you can look at the tape and see after this, is all over if I'm wrong, companies are going to fight this.

A lot of them are going to get out of the BCOA

structure because of this piece of health legislation. I believe they will. I hope they don't because if they do, I will be one of the first people that go down the drain.

When we talk about civil rights and human rights, to me, they go together. They are one in the same, and there is separate. And this is what their strike is about. They're not striking out of the black mine. They have eliminated him from the mine.

When I was a boy the coal fields from here to Bluefield, the whole southern coal fields was 75 percent black. Anybody here in and around my age knows that. What has happened over the period of time, over a period of time what has happened? Black miners have been become extinct.

Go from here to Bluefield and see how many you can find? Most of the sons they don't let back into the industry because they seem to have, more than anything else, a revolutionary history of fighting and sticking up for their rights and won't roll over for everything the coal operators want. That is a cold, hard fact. That isn't rhetoric. This is the basis of it.

I think my eight minutes is gone and maybe during the questions I hope you fellows could just pour on the questions.

MR. WEISNER: The next we have James Major.

MR. MAJOR: My name is James Major. I began work in the coal mines at an early age, but I was raised in the coal fields. And like Mr. Anderson said, there was years ago about 75 percent of the people who worked in the coal mines were black.

I sort of figured it up to be about 73 percent and 13 percent were foreigners who lived in boarding houses; three percent were Mexicans, and 27 percent were the white individuals.

And most of those came from counties outside of the coal areas like Lincoln County and other counties where timbering and wood cutting was the economy of the county. But soon they began to bring those into the counties where coal mining was and taught them how to do labor, and it was a little bit too hard for them; and eventually they did learn how do it and as the process went on through, then the whites took over and the blacks were moved out.

Twenty-nine years I spent in the coal mine, in and around the coal mines. I worked on both sides. I worked for the State Department of Mines as a safety instructor for seven years. I worked for Pittston Coal

Company as a safety department employee for seven years, and I worked in the coal mines as a union man for about 17 years. So I got a chance to see both sides.

In my last 14 years that I worked, because I retired in '84, I worked for a coal company, and as I was in the training department and safety department I was able to encourage or request that the company hire some black young men. They did hire some few black young men.

And they put them at the different mines, but I think we have a total of about 1,300 men working at this coal company, and I think it was about 15 blacks. When I was working for the State Department of Mines I was going through five different counties where I was to teach safety and to train the miners on safety.

Two of those areas I went into, coal companies over in Boone County, Westmoreland, I guess I am permitted to say it, and Walton, which is Eastern Associated, had no blacks.

When the state mining inspector wanted me to go with him in January 1st, 1970, he said, When you get to these mines, they are going to look at you funny because you are black. And I replied, I will look at them funny because I know what I have come over here to do.

After working at these two particular areas and finding out and knowing that one of them, when it was organized and the company started up, they brought some men from here in Logan County to take care of the union, as the union president, and safety man, and their point was not to hire any blacks.

Now, this was union people back in the 1960's.

Unions were determined not to let blacks work in the coal mines, and it wasn't the coal companies as bad it was the unions itself. I know that the coal companies were bad, and they did a lot of things; but I know and feel within my heart, and you could see it and anybody can tell you that the big part of the discrimination comes from the union within.

I have seen people who come to the coal mines that have no mining knowledge, and because they were white, they were given training jobs and were later hired to take the specific job.

When blacks came, there was, No, and it is still happening. There is no jobs available. I have heard it over and over said that if a black goes to coal mines and he gets a job, that the white union brothers will say, If you hire him, we won't work.

So then the operator himself cannot hire the

black because the other men will not work. When I worked in the coal mines at an early age, hey, we believed in going and see how much work we could do.

If the day shift said, We load up 125 cars; we would say, We will get 135. Who the hell would come and say, We will get 145 five? Now the young man wants the job and the pay and no work, but there is not blacks doing it.

There is no blacks being hired for all this big, high wage that is being paid to coal miners, but they are all white and it comes, and I still say and will say it comes from the union.

I will say that the companies have done a lot of things. Perhaps you read in the last year about the mess to determine how much dust a coal miner was taking and found out the operators were somehow behind it and focused -- it was theirs to minimize the dust that was being -- but I saw coal miners who would not put up check curtains and dust to keep from getting the dust into the sections where they were working.

They refused to do the work to protect their ownselves, and I saw coal miners who would take a dust pump in the coal mines to examine the dust, and come back out and say, It fell off my hip into a mud puddle, so

you don't get an accurate read.

I have had to go into the mines and spend a whole week on one section to make the men put up curtains to protect themselves from getting black lung, but there is maybe one black in 50 whites at this one mine.

Things have changed, and we need to ask the union. I saw Jesse Jackson two years ago running all over the country with the Pittston coal miners trying to get a contract. Jesse Jackson was helping Eastern and all these people get contracts.

But they were not hiring and are still not hiring any blacks, but the black man was out in front helping them to get their contract. Thank you.

MR. WEISNER: The fourth speaker on this panel is Bethel Purkey.

MR. PURKEY: I would like to comment on what James just said. Really both of them has told you the truth. I have been in the mines for the last 22 years myself.

I worked with companies that has got approximately 500, 600 people, and all the time I have worked with them I think we have had four black people that worked with us, and all those is dead now; and they have all been my friends.

It seems like there is a trend to that. We are talking about equal employment here, and to me equal employment in the United Mine Workers, I can't agree with that. Up until probably two months ago you thought everything was pretty equal. Talking about seniority rights, seniority is what kept you working in the mines.

Pittston just recently signed a contract, but anyway they just signed a contract that has done away with our panel rights for about 500, 600 people. They have got a right to pick and choose who they want to hire, and that's regardless of age, color.

It's a bad situation because that really gives them a right to discriminate against anybody they want to. The first two people they are going to hire at this job, and what they are going to hire is we will hire the youngest man on the panel and the oldest.

But to me that don't get it. Job security in the coal industry has always been the seniority rights.

Seniority today is a qualification for a job is what kept you working. Today we don't have that.

I presently work under a contract that I have had to negotiate a deal with the company to help some of my people to go to church on Sunday. I work seven days a week. I work Saturdays, Sundays, straight time.

I have got a preacher, I just recently got his job back; he had been off two years. The company bypassed him on the panel, and I say it was because it was of his religion, religious beliefs.

In fact, the last year he worked in, he had several funerals, and he was missing work. Because of his religious beliefs, they overlooked him. It took an act of Congress just about to get that man back to work. He is working today.

What I'm seeing in the United Mine Workers right now I don't like. When we get the right to pick and choose over one man because of color, age, it's a terrible thing.

I think I'm like seven or eight months maybe to retirement or having 20 years in. This contract that they have got, there is no way that I can get that.

People that has been hurt on compensation stuff, these people are going to be overlooked for jobs.

It's just facing the facts, if we give these companies the right, they will control us. I don't agree with it. I think we have been bought and sold, and it's always been that way for a long time. I think James will have to agree with me.

What we are going to do to change this is -- I

don't know what it's going to take, but I know there is several of us out there trying to make a difference, and I would like to see us all live together and work together.

Logan County right now we stand to lose between 500 to 600 people out of Logan County because they are not going to have no jobs. My job is supposed to go to the 23rd of June. As to what operation they put in, they can pick and choose; told me I don't have the right to a contract, and I'm president of this local.

I have asked the international people for a contract and asked for a contract. Nobody will give me a contract. This man here even sat here and explained a while ago on his grievance procedure. I have got three grievances filed. I don't have a contract to know what I'm arguing. I'm sitting talking to these companies. They are telling me, You have got to believe us what is in there.

So how do I represent the people when I don't have what they're working under? To me we have got to have a lot of changes, and that is all I have right now.

MR. WEISNER: We have had one speaker to sign the roster wanting to address this panel, and,

Stephen Hairston, you may address the Commission with your question or comment, and they, in turn, can direct your concerns to the panel.

STEVE HAIRSTON: Good evening. My name is Stephen Hairston. I'm the President of Kazin Coal, Incorporated, which is 100 percent minority owned business, a coal mining business.

I was hoping that representatives of the UMWA that I'm used to dealing with were here so I could direct these questions to them: Mr. Green, Bailey or Ojeda Jackie Barker, these guys.

I understand your questions of retirees and things like that, because the underlying question that everybody keeps coming back to that needs to be addressed, and I am very willing to hire minorities. But I can't being a UMWA -- signatory UMWA contract miner because of panels.

Panels, I feel -- I have done a lot of research and study to back up what allegations the gentleman made. Blacks have been systematically eliminated from panels, systematically eliminated.

I have four blacks working for my company now, and I got them in there -- I honestly got them in hook or crook. I have tried to approach the UMWA with an

affirmative action program so that I can hire some 1 minorities. No way. No way. 2 As far as I can understand the United States 3 4 government will not make the UMWA have an affirmative 5 action program. 6 I'm here to say I am a minority operator. to hire minorities, blacks. I want to see more blacks in 7 this county, Logan County, working. But to me being a 8 9 UMWA signatory company, if there is not anybody on the panel like that, I'm just in trouble, and 99 percent of 10 11 the places you go the panels are lilly white. 12 Like I say, I was hoping the other gentlemen would be here who I deal with all the time. 13 I don't 14 deal with them very much. I deal with other gentlemen 15 on the contract talks and issues and things. 16 Why can't I, as a 100 percent minority-owned 17 company, hire some minorities legally? That is my 18 question to the Commission. 19 MR. PITTS: Would give us the names, Mr. 20 Hairston --21 STEVE HAIRSTON: Sir? 22 MR. PITTS: Would you give us the name of

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

those persons that you listed earlier, the names

23

24

you called out.

MR. CHUN: Mr. Green and what other names? STEVE HAIRSTON: Mr. Green, Faylin, Bob Faylin, Ojeda, O-J-E-D-A. Jackie Barker is the president of the local union that is up in Man, West Virginia. MR. PITTS: Jackie? Barker, B-A-R-K-E-R. STEVE HAIRSTON: MR. ANDERSON: 8217 is the number of the local you are looking for. STEVE HAIRSTON: Caldwell, I thought Caldwell would be here today. I had another company called Blacknight Energy on Kelly Mountain, and the reason why I went nonunion at that time, because I tried to do affirmative action to hire minorities. They said I'm going to hire them anyway. So I went nonunion, and that company got destroyed literally. Folks run around from the UMWA looking for that nigger company up on Kelly Mountain. I just recently changed my name to Kazin Coal Company, because I heard recently I might not be in Rock House much longer. Some union members say they are going to run me out of there if you're not gone from Rock House. And one of the officials of the UMWA told me they have heard a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Here I am; run me out.

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

lot about the nigger-owned company up here. I tell them,

I will continue to hire minorities, blacks. Like I said, well, if you want some documentations on grievances being filed, National Labor Relation Board charges being filed, I can get all you want.

MR. PITTS: Let me make an observation.

I think that the union can develop some type of program under affirmative action simply because as a matter of fact we know that the history of the UMWA has never been supportive of black miners.

A part of that grows out of the fact that at the turn of the century when the white miners organized against the companies, they brought the black people in from South Carolina and the southern states to operate the mines.

That's why when Mr. Major speaks of the fact that when he went into the mine, and Mr. Anderson, that there were a great number of blacks. And a part of the organization or organizing of the UMW was specifically on John L. Lewis to keep blacks out.

We don't recognize that. We don't talk about it, but that was a part of why the white miners organized the union. Then they refused to allow us to become a part of the union.

I think that that history, that philosophy, is

preeminent today in the UMWA and certainly in southern West Virginia. But I think that if we look at the history of the AFL-CIO in terms of what they did in the area of civil rights, they were supporters of the early civil rights movement of the sixties, and they did develop certain programs.

So when the union comes and says, the UMW says that they can't do that, we have already in place a history in order to develop those types of programs.

As I take it today, the real persons are not here to address the issue of the whole pattern of discrimination that has taken place with the unions and the companies.

Perhaps what I would like to do at this time is ask the Commission through Dr. Chun to allow us to call another hearing wherein we will bring in the union officials, both locally and nationally, and the companies in order that we may address these issues.

I don't know how other members of the advisory committee feel about that, but I think we cannot get to the meat of the issue without those persons being present and making some answers.

MR. CHUN: I think that issue certainly has to be addressed. There is no question about that.

In response to the question that you raised, we did contact Mr. Green.

He couldn't make it today because of local elections being sort of at high gear, but in his place Mr. Caldwell has agreed to come, and I don't think he is here yet. So that's the reason why the union is not represented.

But if you can be a little more specific as to the questions we should pursue, I think our committee would be more than happy to do what we can. As a way of warming up or giving a specific, perhaps you may like to cite a little bit more, for my education more than any of the members.

You said that you can't hire minorities even though you want to because you are a union signature company, and more importantly, crucially you can't because of this panel system.

Would you mind telling us a little more how that works? In what way is the panel system stacked against hiring minorities?

STEVE HAIRSTON: Yes, sir. Where I am at, I'm at Rock House, West Virginia. That is up in Man, West Virginia, behind Man High School. I'm a contractor to M&H Coal Company. We were Paliton (phonetic) Coal

Company. They bought Paliton Coal Company out.

Before I came into the hollow -- I'm going to say this, but I'm not 100 percent sure -- I don't think there was ever a black in the hollow, ever. The ways that, I guess God, made available, I have came to own it, a coal company up there.

I, in turn, had some friends who -- they're my friends. They live in the community, built three different companies; we moved around together -- four different companies. There is the four.

Three of those companies were nonunion. That is the only way to hire them. The fourth company, this one is union. I'm signature, so on one end fairly legal, other three I have hooked and crooked a little bit.

Okay. But I got them in.

And I'm getting ready to -- one mine is down there, and I'm going to open another one very shortly. What the panel system is the gentleman who are laid off from the parent company, M&H from Paliton, they are supposed to have first preference on the jobs up there.

That is why there's a systematic elimination of blacks. There are none there. There is none at Bellville, none at Chafin. There is few in Pittston, Alum Creek is a dinosaur now. It's gone.

So what I'm saying now is -- I'm a minority-owned company, 100 percent minority-owned company. I would rather hire minorities. I want to see some minorities back in work. There are a lot of able-body guys around here that can work and that want to work.

But me being an UMW signatory company, I can't hire them legally and on the panel. They have to be on the panel.

I did a lot of research, not this year but a couple years ago, on affirmative action. I called Washington D.C. I called a lot of places and talked to a lot of people. I said I am 100 percent minority owned. At the time that I was going to be.

I would like to initiate an affirmative action program, a percentage, just a percentage. I have 62 employees. I have four black. I have got three of those in sideways, but I got them right now.

Does it make it a little bit clearer what I'm saying now?

MR. CHUN: Yes.

STEVE HAIRSTON: You have to be on the panel before -- if you're a signatory union, signatory company, you have to be on the panel. There is a lot of laid off UMWA miners in the area, a lot, and most of

them are lilly white panels. So they won't allow me to hire minorities.

I think there should be a percentage myself. This is my personal opinion. That there should be a percentage that -- especially of me being 100 percent minority-owned company. I would like to hire a few minorities. I think it ought to be everywhere.

The UMWA is like what it started to be for the brothers for unity of people, the advancement of people like the NAACP supposedly is. People go together and form a union.

Then why can't they have percentages if all these panels would just get a few minorities back to work?

But that don't matter with those panels.

MR. CHUN: Who decides as to who gets on the panel?

off in the union as to where they are laid off. I don't have anything to do with that. You have panels for custodians and people like that. They tell me -- they give me a sheet of paper and this is the way you have got to hire these people.

You have got to hire them like that, and like I said, the minorities I hire, I have got grievances and

things right now where they want to get them out, try to 1 get some of them out to get the men who were on the 2 3 panel back. Let me ask the question. MR. PITTS: 4 5 MR. CHUN: Mr. Anderson, I understand you 6 have a --7 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. Let me address what 8 The buzz word now is you pick up your he is saying. 9 paper and when you look at 6:00 o'clock news, and the first thing they say when they say UMWA is job security. 10 11 When he is talking about the panel, that's the 12 UMWA's interpretation of what job security is. What it means is when there is a layoff at a place, based on the 13 14 numbers of years that you have there and so forth, you go 15 on a list of a number by seniority. That means the longer you have been there, the higher you are on that 16 17 list. 18 But that's a dog and pony show because we have a man -- this man sitting here next to me right now 19 20 recently laid off, 22 years in the mines, and he is a 21 brand new man as a result of a panel. 22 It's a lot of ways -- it's the way they do it. 23 It's the way you manipulate a system just like he is

You can't

saying, and it takes quite a going over.

24

just explain right here. There are 1,000 ways to manipulate a panel, and this is what they do.

Now, the reason that you keep talking about the UMWA people aren't here, your leadership, they wouldn't come in here for five minutes. They are going to pass by here by the very first virtue of what we are talking about in discussion.

They ain't coming in here. They're not going to sit down and look people in the face and tell them this. Right now this whole strike we are in now is about job security. It's supposed to be about job security. Okay.

Now, whether you are black anymore really or whether you are white, they can use a panel and manipulate it and discriminate against anybody white just as easily they can anybody black. There are ways to bypass a panel.

Let me tell you the number one way they do it, is to say, Well, okay, qualification. Right now the number one problem that you people have read about in the paper and in the Gazette or in the Logan Banner Sunday about this mine up at Lorado, which this man sitting next to me is president of Local Union 1971.

Here is how you manipulate panels: The oldest

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

man can become the youngest man. The youngest man can become the oldest man by a process of manipulation.

One of the ways they do it is job qualification walks hand-in-hand with your seniority.

Now in his mine, the mine that he is president of, 1971, what they done there is: Here we have a mine that has traditionally been a deep mine. It has always been a deep mine. Deep mines are being phased out all over the coal industry right now. Deep mines are becoming extinct in this industry.

So what they do, you sign the panel. Now we will take you if you are able to be qualified using the qualification given. You have to now get off of that part of that coal tipple you work on and go on this mountain and be able to step in and operate the most sophisticated piece of equipment that they have, or you are not qualified.

So that's the gimmick they use, and that's the reason this man with 22 years becomes a brand new man. He has no history of doing that.

In the years to come if you read -- if any of you ever get a chance to read the Pittston agreement, this is what it's structured on. Pittston Coal Company is going to do away with deep miners. They are doing away with

them every day.

The only thing that they are going to run and they're going to operate is the most sophisticated long wall mines. That is a deep mine. They will be very few of them, and then the rest of it will be all stripping. It will be these big outside jobs. Only the biggest, the largest of the large.

MR. CHUN: If I may, who determines -we have two laid off workers. Who decides as to where
these two laid off workers are to be placed on this
panel? Is it union officials or by what rules and who
determines that?

MR. ANDERSON: You go on the panel.

If that company that you work for decides to go into another operation they are required by law to use that panel. Anything that decides --

MR. CHUN: No, sir. My question is: If he and his colleagues, they are both laid off, who has decided that he, Mr. Purkey, is lower on the panel and another young person is higher than he? Who decides that?

MR. PURKEY: To start with, just take me, for instance. Say I was hired on June the 3rd and he was hired on June the 4th and we both had the same

qualifications, the company will call -- we have what we call a panel custodian in the local.

MR. CHUN: Panel custodian?

MR. PURKEY: Yeah. The company will call the oldest man, like where you started June the 3rd and I started the 4th, they called you if you were qualified. If you are not qualified for that job that they're hiring for, you go down that panel until you find somebody that is.

In other words, you have got a list of 100 names, and say the number one guy on top, he is not qualified, they can go to the last man on the panel and hire him if he has got that on his panel form.

What you do on the panel form is you put down what you are qualified to run. That's the only thing they will call you for. They won't call you -- if you don't put down, let's say, general labor, somebody just shoveling, clean the garbage or something, if you don't put general labor on your panel form and there's a general job that comes open, they won't call you for it.

MR. PITTS: So actually it does away with the old bump system. Is that what I'm understanding?

MR. PURKEY: It's not really a bump

system, because any people that is working at this mine,

- 1	
1	because I will get laid off at one of them, I can't bump
2	those people.
3	The only way I can go is if they have an opening
4	and I'm the man on the panel, the man to go.
5	MR. PITTS: That's what I said. It does
6	away with where you used to be able to bump the lower
7	gu y ?
8	MR. ANDERSON: Like the railroad does.
9	MR. PITTS: Sam, if I may. I think we
10	have really missed the issue that was raised by Mr.
11	Hairston. I need some clarification so make sure I am
12	right, Mr. Hairston, if you will help me.
13	The union and the company maintains a list that
14	is called a panel?
15	STEVE HAIRSTON: Yes.
16	MR. PITTS: That list is based on your
17	time with that particular company. Now you are a
18	subcontractor with Paliton, is that what you said?
19	STEVE HAIRSTON: M&H.
20	MR. PITTS: You are then required to pull
21	from the M&H list only or the panel only? Is that what
22	I'm understanding you to say?
23	STEVE HAIRSTON: Right.
24	MR. PITTS: If no one is found on this

panel that you want, it's going to be pretty impossible 1 2 to do, isn't it, then you can go to some other list of 3 some company or some local union? STEVE HAIRSTON: From the street. 5 MR. PITTS: You can go from the street? STEVE HAIRSTON: I can go to the street. 6 7 The problem is is that in the MR. PITTS: 8 past hiring and union membership we have a small 9 insignificant number of black miners that qualify for 10 anything. Is that basically what you find? 11 STEVE HAIRSTON: Yes, and those that do, a 12 lot of them do qualify are not on any panels that I can 13 get to. Like I say about Pittston, Pittston has eliminated the deep mines so who are you going to get 14 15 today to run the machine which is computerized. 16 Everything on the -- so my basic question is, doing the hooking and crooking or whatever we need to do, there is 17 no blacks to do it with. 18 19 MR. PITTS: What you are willing to do --20 Sam, just a few more. What I understand you are willing 21 to do is to work with the union and any governmental 22 agency that will allow you to set up on-the-job training for minority minors? 23 24 STEVE HAIRSTON: A percentage, yes. Ι

don't want to blatantly overrun your panel, but just give 1 2 me a percent. Let me hire 10 percent, 20 percent 3 minority just to get some minority people legally to work. That is all I'm asking; affirmative action. 5 MR. PITTS: Mr. Purkey, let me ask you if your local would be willing to support such an effort 6 7 coming from this minority owned company to --8 MR. PURKEY: I have no problem with it at 9 all. They have got to equal -- somehow we got to 10 equalize this out. I agree with him. I know what the international director will tell you, no, flat out no. 11 I 12 can tell you that. 13 We can deal with that. MR. PITTS: 14 MR. PURKEY: My understanding is you 15 want to start a new mine? STEVE HAIRSTON: 16 Yes. I have a few men --17 at this time one mine is down right now. We should be 18 opening it. I have another face up that I was going in 19 in a month. 20 Now, I would like -- my three men, I'm going 21 to get them back to work, three minorities, but for the 22 new mine I have got to go from the top of M&H Mountain --23 I have got some men I can move over. From that point I have got from the top of M&H Mountain and start down. 24

MR. PURKEY: What you're contracting off 1 2 of them and what you're going to do is go back -- if he 3 had opened that property, he could take his people and the new mines he can staff it any way you want to under 4 5 our contract. He could do that. But where he is on that company's property and 6 7 that subcontractor has to be on that panel. 8 MR. PITTS: I understand that. 9 STEVE HAIRSTON: That is where we want 10 to go. 11 MR. PURKEY: The coal aspect of it, that 12 is going on somebody else. 13 MR. PITTS: This thing against hiring 14 blacks to work in this area, I mean, is this the 15 sentiment of the communities? Is this the way that these 16 communities live? 17 I mean, I'm not an outsider. I was born, raised 18 and bred in West Virginia. These are some questions 19 that we have to face. Apparently we can't face them 20 today. 21 Is this from childhood to the grave that white 22 people feel that we can't work with them, and that we 23 don't deserve to be a part of the employment process in 24 this community? Somebody help me out.

1	MR. MAJOR: I believe it is what we call
2	the new generation. Years ago one good quality about
3	the coal mining, if he was a shop foreman, black
4	or white, Mexican or whatever, they all got the same
5	pay; didn't matter.
6	But they have figured out ways, like he says, to
7	exclude, we say blacks, with this panel we deal with
8	that they have. Here is a big I tore up a napkin here
9	which would represent a coal company.
10	They contract out the mines so that puts 12
11	men here, 15 here, 25, 30 here, and this one black over
12	here was there and the fellows decided, hey, we don't
13	want him on our section.
14	The coal company per se doesn't have anything to
15	do with it. It's contract now. It belongs to
16	MR. PITTS: Belongs to the union?
17	MR. MAJOR: Yes, union.
18	MR. PITTS: And then the union members
19	take some type of active role against this black
20	employee?
21	MR. MAJOR: Right.
22	MR. PITTS: And sooner or later he is
23	eliminated. That is the kind of thing I'm asking. Does
24	that also run like through the entire community?

It is: it is. 1 MR. MAJOR: So then the real problem 2 MR. PITTS: 3 begins before you get to the age of work? MR. MAJOR: Yeah. The grandchildren that 4 should be here are not here because their father's 5 couldn't get jobs, and they had to go somewhere else. 6 7 MR. PITTS: What do you think would help 8 to change the situation? What would help to bring about 9 a harmonious workplace? 10 MR. ANDERSON: Let me answer that, and I'll try to do it very brief. In 1946 is when this mass 11 12 exodus of black workers from the industry or from West 13 Virginia started. When you look back and you hear this 14 every day, then I can understand why the younger people 15 don't really understand the meaning of it. 16 When we brought in the health and welfare fund, 17 the whole concept was predicated on the fact that we 18 would lose 70 percent of the people that worked. You see 19 that in the paper every day. How many people really know 20 what that meant? 21 So what they done, they traded mass mechanization 22 of the mines. Clearly before they said, Well, we will 23 have less people if we accept it. We had to do that

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

to get coal companies to fund our health and retirement

24

fund. That is a fact. Okay.

In the early fifties we can take communities all through here. I was born five miles from where I'm sitting now. I know what is going on in Logan County.

Let me tell you.

MR. PITTS: Tell us.

MR. ANDERSON: We lost communities.

Number seven hole, they obliterated communities, and you talk about -- but the one beautiful thing about the days I grew up, and anybody here in my age category, there was more blacks because there was more of them in the industry. There was more of them around in here.

The average white man didn't have to work in the mine, so you had a lot of blacks working in the mine.

But that was the beginning of the mass exodus of blacks out of the industry.

It started early in the fifties, and it has went on to where all companies was doing it because you needed more. As you mechanized the mines, you will wonder when we went to the days of manual labor to mechanization how you happen to lose so many people.

Now, there is two things that haven't been said here today, and you can't address none of what we say if you are talking about mining in this industry unless you

at least mention these two things.

When we look at labor, labor as a whole, we have got two basic things, craft unions and how they work, and then we have to look at labor unions and how they work.

Right now most of the people that are going to work in this man's mines, that are going to be working a totally different type of labor, those people are going to come from -- those heavy equipment operators are coming from craft unions.

We have the same identical thing there simply because craft unions pick and choose who they let in their unions. They are basically -- the reason the average electrician or high tech man from any craft union is white is because they only bring their sons and daughters and their cousins in that local.

I went into a craft local in Columbus, Ohio, and just asked how would I get in an apprenticeship program, and I tell you, it's very simple. You get five dues-paying union people in that local to bring you in, and you just might as well be looking for a pink elephant if you are black, because that's the reason they kept us out. They still keep us out. If you're black, you can talk all you want to. You know what I'm talking about.

MR. PITTS: I'm sorry, but is it the

process that goes on to become a member of the UMW? 1 2 MR. ANDERSON: No, it is not. MR. PITTS: The question is, is looking at 3 the United Mine Workers of America, what is there, and I 4 know that you probably cannot answer this question, but 5 what is their role in preparing and training blacks to 6 7 have mobility within the mining industry? And from what I heard Mr. Hairston say is zero, 8 9 is nil. MR. ANDERSON: 10 He's right. 11 MR. PITTS: Then do we have within this 12 county a training program for miners -- and I must plead ignorance in terms of what special crafts are within the 13 14 industry, but I know that you talk about a roof bolter, 15 and you talk about an electrician; somebody that runs 16 some type of miner, continuous miner, I quess is what 17 it's called. 18 Where are these people trained? How do they get 19 into these programs? How can we move minorities and 20 women into these programs for this training so they can 21 become a part of this system? That is the issue. That's 22 where it seems to me that we have to go. 23 Where is this? How do we find it?

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

MR. MAJOR: Some few years ago, and this

24

1	is a fact, the State of West Virginia has an employment
2	agency in Logan. At night whites were called to come in
3	and take tests upstairs which excluded blacks, and they
4	were given these tests.
5	Coal companies probably sent in some names for
6	some occupations, but there is a county nearby where
7	they could go and learn, Boone County, but the blacks
8	were not given
9	MR. PITTS: They were eliminated in that
10	process.
11	MR. MAJOR: By the employment agency,
12	state employment agency.
13	MR. PITTS: Sam, I will yield the floor.
14	I'm sorry.
15	MR. KUSIC: Two questions, one: Once they
16	get someone in let's say they're looking for
17	occupation A on a panel. They bring the guy, go down
18	the list and find an A person, whatever that might be.
19	They bring him into the mine.
20	Can they then change his if they hired him as
21	in occupation A to get to him, can they sort of work him
22	at something else? Do they do that?
23	MR. MAJOR: They do that.
24	MR. KUSIC: You know what I'm saying?

You pick up your person; you set your qualifications to pick you, then I bring you in, and I have you do something else, but they want to get to you. So are they fooling around that way?

MR. MAJOR: Yeah.

MR. ANDERSON: If I can't do every job in the mine, not just one job, I couldn't get a job no way. They don't hire a man because he is just a good pin man or just a good roof bolter or anything.

They hire him because he can do five things fluently. They have the best of both worlds in there when they pick, but I understand your question. That's right.

MR. KUSIC: The other question is this:

Did the panels come about at a point in time after blacks

were -- if you put the panels in when blacks were at

75 percent, you would be locked in the panels, but did

the panels come in when your employment was way down,

like two or three percent?

MR. MAJOR: When mechanization took over, that's really. Then they would take the young white and teach them how to run a load-machine or bolt-machine or whatever, and then he was able to go to the mines and qualify for the job.

Not only did they discriminate against blacks, they discriminated against women. We opened up five different mines, the company I worked for. There was women dispatchers. Dispatchers was making as much money as the miner operating the mines. If he was making \$30, the lady who was dispatching was. They did this and worked these jobs for about three years; the company closed down. They repicked and reshuffled the panel, and those women were not working when they went back to work. The unions use their panel to get rid of the women because they had the good paying jobs. MR. WEISNER: We have one more person that has indicated a desire to speak, Mary Clemons. MARY CLEMONS: I'm speaking --MR. PITTS: We can't hear you. MR. MAJOR: She is speaking for her husband, Morice Clemons. MARY CLEMONS: -- on behalf of my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MARY CLEMONS: -- on behalf of my husband. He is not here because he had to go to the doctor. He went to the W.P. Coal Company in January of '93. They said they had been hiring. He asked why he hadn't been called to come back to work. He is on the panel, and his name wasn't even on the panel.

1	His name was put in the personnel file where the
2	contractor would come, and he wouldn't be available for
3	work. W.P. was hiring up the street, and they had a
4	arbitrator in Charleston, and they ruled that W.P.
5	illegally hired men and neglected the panel, but he
6	wouldn't make them hire him because the men had been
7	working for three years.
8	MR. PITTS: Ms. Clemons, your husband
9	worked for W.P. Coal Company?
10	MARY CLEMONS: Yes.
11	MR. PITTS: Before it had the list, the
12	panel?
13	MARY CLEMONS: Yeah. And the guys that
14	they had hired was behind him, and he was up further on
15	the panel; but they skipped over him.
16	MR. PITTS: Do you know whether or not the
17	union participated in that reshuffling of the panel? I
18	mean, they maintain a panel list from what we heard
19	today. Is that your understanding?
20	MARY CLEMONS: Uh-huh.
21	MR. PITTS: So the union then had to
22	participate to some degree before the company could
23	approve this panel?
24	MARY CLEMONS: Uh-huh.

1	MR. PITTS: Those of you, either Mr.
2	Major or Mr. Anderson, may be able to help me with this.
3	How could this occur if there was a union panel or Mr.
4	Purkey you may be able to help me.
5	How could there have been a union panel and the
6	union allowed the company to circumvent its panel to get
7	these people that they were hiring off the street? I
8	mean, these people off the street would have no
9	qualifications whatsoever.
10	MR. ANDERSON: What she raised, what they
11	are using on her, they are saying he was her
12	husband he was untimely filed, but this is what we
13	keep talking about.
14	MR. PITTS: A grievance was untimely
15	filed?
16	MR. ANDERSON: Panel manipulation.
17	MR. PITTS: But are we saying that Mr.
18	Clemons filed a grievance untimely.
19	MR. ANDERSON: I don't believe he did, but
20	this is one of the things they can do.
21	MR. PITTS: You would have to have
22	knowledge of it before.
23	MR. ANDERSON: Not necessarily, not unless
24	you check the mine every day, watch everybody going in

and everybody going out.

б

MR. PURKEY: What has took place, part of these other people are not sitting here, if they don't say something, they hire a younger man. I went through the same thing. Okay? They overlooked me on the panel, same situation, but I caught it.

A worker come to my house and told me, and I went and filed a grievance.

MR. PITTS: What I want to know is, how does the union allow this manipulation to take place?

They have the panel. What does the union do about it?

It seems to me that if I were a part of the union and I was on a panel and this panel was manipulated by the company, quote/unquote, my union has an obligation and a responsibility to do something.

I want to know what is the correct -- what do you expect the union to do? If it were you, Mr. Purkey, what would be expected, your union to do for you?

MR. PURKEY: I would expect them to restore me to my job just like they should have done him. What you have got, you have got a panel of custodians. We have called people off the panel. They're are supposed to notify the recording secretary of that local. I don't know who he is. I don't know.

But that recording secretary should have looked at that panel form and should have went down, and if than man was older as of that day, you call that man and let him file a grievance and get his job. That is the way it's supposed to work.

What it is, if somebody sat back, and we get a whole lot of it in the union or local office, they don't do their job. That is basically what happened to this man.

MR. PITTS: Is it that they don't do their jobs when black miners are involved, or they don't do their job when white miners are involved?

Because I know if I was one of those guys, and I was white and I would see my friend who lives in my community being eliminated from the list, I would call him. But if I see Mr. Anderson's name on the list who may live down at Sharples or wherever, I'm not going to call down there.

You understand? That is the kind of thing that I'm asking if that occurs.

MR. CHUN: If I may, just one. Mr. Major, we hear allegations that some of the so-called crucial phase, the step called panel or paneling is being manipulated.

1	Earlier on you said a union would be found in
2	violation of the state human rights acts if it fails to
3	process grievances, and if I remember right, if it fails
4	to represent members.
5	Does this kind of manipulation of paneling
6	process by any chance fall within the jurisdiction or
7	purview of the state human rights act?
8	MR. LINDELL: It would if it was done in
9	a way to eliminate people because of their race or their
10	sex or something like that.
11	MR. CHUN: The allegation is very clear.
12	MR. LINDELL: Yes, that would fall within
13	our purview.
14	MR. CHUN: Now here the allegation is
15	unmistakable that manipulation is based on race and
16	gender. Given that, what can the Human Rights Commission
17	do?
18	MR. LINDELL: We notified them about
19	that. We can investigate that. We can make a corrective
20	situation.
21	MR. CHUN: To initiate this process of
22	investigation, what should take place?
23	MR. LINDELL: One of the people who has
24	been grieved or if the union feels grieved, they could

1	file on that person's behalf.
2	MR. CHUN: I see.
3	MR. LINDELL: If a member is grieved
4	because of his race, and one of these things we covered,
5	one of the categories we covered, feels grieved, they
6	have the right to file a complaint by this and start the
7	investigatory process.
8	MR. CHUN: Mrs. Clemons can file a
9	complaint with you, then?
10	MR. LINDELL: Yeah.
11	MR. PITTS: Will he be, Mr. Clemons, be
12	preempted because this may have been more than 180 days?
13	MR. LINDELL: Yes. It has to be within
14	the jurisdictional time frame.
15	MR. PITTS: But if it is continuing, would
16	that put it within it the framework of the Commission?
17	MR. LINDELL: Continuing violation would.
18	MR. PITTS: So then also if Mr. Hairston
19	felt that he was being discriminated against in terms of
20	the panels, then he could also file a complaint, is that
21	true?
22	MR. LINDELL: This gentleman?
23	MR. PITTS: Yes.
24	MR. LINDELL: In terms of not being able

1	to hire a man restrictive of the panels?
2	MR. PITTS: Yes.
3	MR. LINDELL: I don't think so.
4	MR. PITTS: No. He said that he couldn't
5	get some panels, and he prefaced that with the fact that
6	since he was a minority company that he was facing these
7	statements of the "nigger company"
8	MR. LINDELL: Oh, yes, sir.
9	MR. PITTS: coming from the union and
10	coming from the members of the union, coming from the
11	I don't know if there are control operators and whatever,
12	and he is unable to get ahold of these panels merely
13	because he is a minority company.
14	If that is a continuing violation, he can bring
15	an action. I think he can bring a complaint for
16	investigation?
17	MR. LINDELL: Yes. If he is being
18	harassed because he is a minority owner and in that
19	complaint calling him the "N" word, yes, he can file
20	a complaint with our agency.
21	MR. CHUN: Thank you.
22	MR. WEISNER: There will be no further
23	discussion at this hearing. We will recess briefly
24	and then reconvene the final session of the day.

(Hearing recessed at 2:00 p.m.)

MR. WEISNER: This will be the third and final session that deals with racial tensions in school. We have seven panelists in this session, and we are going to ask each of the panelists to keep their opening remarks to within five minutes to expedite the hearing that would be public comment.

First, we have Glynda Gooden from the West Virginia Human Rights Commission.

MS. GOODEN: Good afternoon. My name is Glynda Gooden. I am employed by the Human Rights

Commission. I live in Charleston, but I was born in West Virginia in Bluefield, the City of Bluefield, and from the information that I want to share with you, things have not changed a lot since the seventies when I was in school.

I will speak as loud as I can. I hope everybody will be able to hear me. The 1990 struggle is for America's conscience and future, a future that is being determined right now in the minds, bodies and spirits of every American child, wife, African-American, the Hispanic, Asian-American, Native American, rich, middle class and poor.

We know that discrimination still exists. We

sense that racism is among us even in its most subtle forms. Often people do not perceive their problem as discrimination and therefore do not file formal complaints.

How we define a problem by and large determines how we work toward the solutions. As parents we have a primary role to teach our children how to live in this world. Once they leave our care and enter the school system we trust the educators to teach our children.

We have to assume that our teachers have the expertise in theories of human growth and development. We assume that they are aware of the concept, the planning and the implementation of effective classroom instruction that addresses equality, multi-ethnic and racial population in the system.

We assume their designation as professionals equips them with all the necessary tools to educate our children. Many have specialized knowledge and have taken intensive academic training. It is therefore reasonable to assume that they're well-versed in their field and have a proficiency in many aspects to be classified as competent.

What we have come to realize is there is a gap between teachers and students that widens when teachers

do not understand that all students do not come to the classroom with the skills and behaviors that identify them for success.

A lot of this unfamiliarity comes from not knowing the cultures of many communities and not accepting the legitimacy of those differences to exist in the school environment.

One of the most obvious differences in dealing with diversity is the concept of race. The use of this concept serves only to classify individuals based on physical characteristics and addressing only certain combinations of inherent physical traits.

The difficult problems educators have had over the years has been in overcoming the uncritical use of the term "race." Assumptions have been made based upon race, personal habits, intelligence and a variety of genetic impurities. The bottom line being that people of color are automatically assumed to be inherently less than those classified as white.

There are numerous theories that assign genetic inferiority to individuals based solely on their race particularly African-American, Indians and Hispanics.

For example, in dealing with standardized tests,
African-Americans students are usually at a disadvantage

because the questions and answers are based upon the majority of the white culture.

The irony of the whole uncritical use of the concept of race is that we have yet to hear social scientists or educators profess the genetic superiority of Asians over whites based on their continuing superior performance on standardized tests.

We can no longer afford to ignore our cultural differences. We must be willing to learn about the lives, styles and cultures of other people whether it is the way they talk, dress or their social and economic background.

We must accept differences in people. Once diversity is accepted and respected, the differences will be a help in the development of a successful and effective teaching and learning environment.

When school systems fail to value diversity by establishing clear standards of behavior that exemplifies equality, these differences become disadvantageous.

To elevate racial tension we must avoid certain behaviors. One is that of stereotyping. This behavior denies individuals a knowledge of the diversity and complexity of any group of persons.

Do not associate racially ethnic group members,

males or females, with one primary personality trait, physical characteristic or role.

Secondly, black students are outnumbered in the classroom and some feel as though they are invisible because of how they are treated or how they are ignored. What further compounds this problem is that the text-books and discussions quite often exclude the contributions made by minority groups and the resulting implication is that certain groups are less valued, important or significant in this society.

The contributions and experiences of racial and other ethnic groups are different than those of the majority population. The value system is different. It is this difference in values that creates misunderstandings resulting from lack of empathy for, sensitivity toward and acceptance of minorities.

Teachers often impose their own values on students. Every culture is worthy of its own recognition. Believing in and showing respect for others for who they are cannot be stressed enough.

We must face up to rather than ignore our growing racial problems. Problems such as perceived lack of concern by white teachers, disproportionate discipline, labeling, lack of adequate counseling and active

You bet your life. That only scrapes the surface. Now getting to the guidance counseling there. They are not prepared to help black students towards their college careers. There is a lot of money available for minorities, but the lack of knowledge and communication from the guidance counselors causes the black students to miss out. The quidance counselors either don't know about

The guidance counselors either don't know about these opportunities, which is a minus, or simply fail to pass these opportunities onto the students, which is another minus.

Until the community of Logan County as a whole accepts the fact that just being tolerated because you are black is not acceptable; sweeping incidents under the rug and listening to problems but not hearing or acting upon them is not acceptable.

Until we do something about these, racial tension will remain a constant Mount Pinatubo. That is a constant belching volcano that erupts periodically.

MR. WEISNER: Jean Turner.

MS. TURNER: Good afternoon. My name is Jean Turner, and I was born and raised in Logan County; and I have five kids that graduated from Logan County.

One of my daughters wanted to go away and get

her a job because she couldn't get one here. I kept her oldest child who is 14 years old. He goes to Logan Junior High School.

I have been disturbed because three weeks ago

Jamie carried a gun to school, a BB gun. I had the gun

hid, I thought. It didn't have any BB's in it, but he

carried it; and he let this little boy see it, and this

little boy took the gun home. He brought it back.

Jamie didn't know the gun was in school. The little boy shot the gun, a dry BB gun. Jamie was sent home for nine days. I was gone to Florida on vacation, and I called home. Dean Griffith had took Jamie back -- he went to school but Jamie took him back home.

Said that he couldn't go to school until he got a letter from the board of education. Monday I got a letter from the board of education from Superintendent Myers that said Jamie was expelled for the rest of the year.

Jamie has never been a problem child. And all of this I feel is because of the fact that Jamie was trying -- this girl liked him, a little white girl. When Jamie first started school this term he was in the Dean's office working for him.

The little girl was continuously kissing on him.

The Dean called it sexually harassing on Jamie's part. He gave him in-school suspension, And then he didn't want Jamie in the office no more because of this.

So now Jamie has got a blemish on his record.

He can't go to school. He don't have anybody teaching him, and I know what this is about on account he was going with this little white girl.

And she was the one caught in my house all times of night, and I don't like it. And if we don't do something about it, it will never get straightened out.

It is has been a racial tension all along, and it's always going to be if everybody don't stick together, because I don't like it. Thank you.

MR. WEISNER: Mr. James Hagood.

MR. HAGOOD: My name is James Hagood.

I'm a retired teacher, and I have taught in separate but equal school systems for 16 years; and I found out after teaching there that it was separate but not equal.

I also taught 22 years in the integrated school system, and I got a chance to make a comparison of both sides, conditioned and unconditioned.

I felt that plans should have been made for integration at this crucial time to work together with the principals, teachers, students, community, to prepare

for this important move, integration.

With such involvement with the community and et cetera, the transition would have been a much easier role. Working to understand the diverse cultures would have made a better understanding.

Now, we had a superintendent at that particular time that had a plan to carry out the law of the land, and he felt that because that we were minorities that were qualified; he had to place some in principalships, coaching, guidance, directors, band directors, and because of this plan, it was scratched.

They felt that this was moving too far too fast, and the superintendent felt that since most of those individuals had their masters degree from colleges of which the same principals and superintendents got their degree, that they were qualified. But nevertheless this plan was scratched.

The superintendent became somewhat disturbed, and it wasn't long after that that he resigned the position. When integration came every black teacher had to have a degree or he couldn't teach; so they were qualified.

And we found out through several means that quite a few of the teachers, white teachers, were working on provisional -- some of them had 96 hours. So they had to

go to school.

They had set up schools for them here in Logan

County from Marshall, from West Virginia U, in order

that they could get their degrees, and in order that they

would be qualified -- or certified, I should say.

Right after that, and you say, why do I know that teachers weren't placed in these positions? I happen to have been one, because when integration came I was a band director, and I was no longer qualified for that.

There were several principals that had to leave here; left here and went to Ohio, and they were soon placed in principals' positions. There were guidance directors that left here and were placed in the proper positions. So all we are saying here is that qualification meant nothing at this particular time.

We are talking about 1962 when the last high school was closed and, quote/unquote, integration came. There was little preparation made in order that the transition would have worked smoothly. The students went into a new environment unprepared as to what they were going to meet.

Teachers went in with the same feeling of not knowing, but being adults we were able to stand our own ground because we were trained how to work under adverse

conditions.

We realize that all of these tensions came about, and as I listen to other panelists, we cope with the same type of tensions at the school of which I taught, but thank God we had seven strong teachers that whenever a problem arose, we would meet with the principal immediately, work out a solution.

We were thankful that we had a strong principal that went along with us to help to resolve these problems. So we managed to overcome, not all, but quite a few of our problems in that particular situation. But we are talking about 1993, and some of these problems are still in existence. We are talking about over 30 years of integration, and we haven't resolved all of the problems.

I have heard the expression that, it's getting better. Well, my grandchildren will be dead before it gets better at the rate we are going now. What we are saying, it seems as if the problem is, we must take a child not because of his race, not because of his color, not because of creed; take that individual because he is a human being and teach him. That's the purpose to me of school.

We have not had that at that particular time, and

I won't even go into all of the problems that we had to face, but they were numerous. But we worked on them, and we are still having these problems and until the community, until the church, until the schools come together to resolve these problems, are we are going to continue to have them.

MR. WEISNER: Mr. John Myers
Superintendent of Logan County Schools.

MR. MYERS: Thank you. Let me start by saying that -- I think kind of a take-off from Jim's comments that these are my prepared remarks that I have submitted, but in the essence of what I'm going to say is that schools are a reflection of our communities and a reflection of society today.

I think that has been pointed out in -- I sat in on some of the morning session, and part of the afternoon session. In fact, one of the gentlemen here spoke part of my presentation right as I was coming in the door.

Good afternoon. It is an honor to have such a distinguished Commission in Logan County on this very day and to share in the discussion of our community's concerns and how, as a society, we might address these concerns.

The shift of population in Logan County has been

dramatic since the late 1950's until today. We have seen a drop of our school population decline from approximately 22,000 to that of 8,600 students today.

Although the coal industry still provides the major area of employment due to advance technology, the manpower needed has declined dramatically. I am sure this is not only having an affect upon the school system but economic, social and political areas of this county.

This, in turn, has affected the way of life of not only the minorities but the public in general of this county. Although the percentage of minorities might not have changed dramatically, the sheer number in decrease is certainly significant; and I think this was pointed out by the gentleman on the previous panel.

This, I'm sure, has created additional stress on this sector of our community. Our school population has a minority population of approximately 3.3 percent. Our high school minority population at Logan High School is approximately five percent. At Man High School it is one percent, and the Chapmanville and Sharples areas having less than one percent.

Do these schools have more or less or equal degrees of racial tension than our society as a whole? I would contend that our public schools nationwide are

a reflection to some degree of the society in which they exist.

I would further speculate that you would find different perceptions of the degrees of racial tensions to be perceived differently depending upon the area of the county in which one lives.

Although one would be blind to sit here today and say we don't have some racial problems that we must deal with from time to time, I would also subscribe to the premise that many problems that occur in the school as being racial tensions, oftentime does not originate as a racial problem at the beginning.

However, as the individual problems tend to grow and draw others into the issues, it often lines up as minorities and others, and I speak to this as just as an example. It could be minorities or whatever, but often many of the problems that our young people have in the school in relation to physical violence, often involves boy/girlfriend relationships.

This is not only true in relation to our discussion today, but in general when dealing with discipline problems in our schools. However, when these individual problems spill over to other students and as the group swelled, it unfortunately lines up in many

times as minority versus others; students from the particular area of the school district versus students from other areas; relatives or clans versus other clans, and on and on.

However, when it lines up as a minority problem, it tends to get a great deal of attention from our community and from the media. Thus as far as the coverage grows, often the magnitude of the problem grows in proportion of the coverage. This usually makes it more difficult for school officials, students and parents to work through these problems.

It has been my experience in the past nine and a half months that I have been here in Logan County that a discussion such as today address the general concerns of the public before they become specific acts and are beneficial in creating better quality of the life through education for all those involved rather than having to respond to emergency situations.

And I commend the African-American community here in Logan County as problems did generate this fall and those subsided; they were willing to sit down and start to work, not so much in addressing that particular problem, but the problems we have and symptoms of what existed in the school system as well as in our

communities throughout the county.

I have found the minority members of the community to be very open and willing to discuss their concerns and likewise to assist us in workshops, et cetera, in addressing preventative applications to our people which may decrease the ability of future concerns.

Hopefully this will be another productive step forward not only for our county, but the state and nation in making this great country what our forefathers dreamed of when it was founded. Thank you.

MR. WEISNER: Next is Bob Lonker, Principal, Logan High School.

MR. LONKER: You know, I got sort of reluctant to say anything. I have a lot sitting over here on my right, a lot of comments there and a lot of comments on the left.

But getting back, my experience is like Jim
Hagood here, and I have been someone that has been around
the county quite awhile. I started in 1960. I
spent one year at Omar Junior High; then came down to
Logan. I spent eight years at Logan High as a coach and
a teacher with Mr. Akers and Mr. Todd Willis.

Then I decided to leave and go to Carolina and stay in coaching and Berlington Industry down in

Carolina for eight years. I came back to Omar one year and low and behold I went back to Logan as the head football coach for five years.

I didn't do so well there, so I decided to go back to Omar in administration. So I went back to administration at Omar; stayed up there five years and now I am back at Logan as principal of Logan High School since 1987.

We have always had, I think, some kind of a tension as far as racial goes in our Logan County schools. I can recall, Mr. Nemmis probably recalls, one of the Oceana -- at that time they didn't have any black athletes.

Remember Carlos Mitchell? Carlos came out of a ball game crying, tears in his eyes, head down and ready to fight, muscles all tense and said, Coach, that boy called my mother this and that. And we looked at him and Coach Quinn looked at him and said, Carlos, I'm going to tell you something, that boy doesn't even know your mother.

We all started laughing then, and that was sort of an ice breaker that those boys, those young men had that played ball for us and went on through high school. We run into a lot of obstacles, I guess, you

would say, a variety like that.

But athletics you can overlook those because it's more important to stay out there and play the game. I think here talking to you all is more important to stay in there and try on a daily basis than to give up.

A lot of times we want to give up and say, Hey, that person is wrong. We don't have racist tension at Logan High School. What are they talking about? When this first came about, about five years we talked about racial tension. We had a lot of publicity. I won't mention names.

We had one of the great athletes at Logan High School -- for some reason or the other this young man wasn't in school; came over on the island drinking and said something to this black student athlete and said something very cursing, very derogatory to this person.

I ran this young man off the island because I saw him right before the bus had run. I said, You guys -- Coach, let me go this way because the cops are going to get me and put me in jail if I go over here next to the bridge. I said, Okay, get on off the island.

So he went the back way and went off the island and went over by the fire station, police station and for some reason or other this athlete didn't like what

was said; and he went over there and decked him, and four or five other black people went over there, and so help me goodness, this black athlete could have killed him. He didn't need any help. I mean, he went ahead and did what he was going to do to the young man and walked off.

Well, some of the other black persons standing around there kicked the boy and just got their kicks in, I guess you could say, and that carried over into school the next day. Well, we did have it.

The minute Coach Stone was going down the hall, unfortunately he was going down the hall and something broke out again when we were right there, and we broke it up. The news media, as you are well aware of, TV cameras and all, said that was one of the biggest riots that ever happened in the county or in the State of West Virginia at Logan High School.

So help me, as God strike me dead right now, this person can verify this, we had nobody, nobody at all the racial riots that we had at Logan High School, to bring blood yet. There is no blood whatsoever. A lot of hurt; a lot of ill feelings -- don't get me wrong there -- but nobody brought blood.

Also that summer we had some other athletes

involved in going to summer school, and a young man up in Man came down to go to summer school that didn't like that. It so happened him and one of our student athletes got into it and about 200 got into in out front.

We had to be out there again, myself and a person that wasn't even a teacher at Logan High School, down at Chapmanville now, helped break up the little scuffle that started, and the cops got over there right quick and the cops rounded up about 10 or 15 young men at that particular time because they wouldn't disperse. They wouldn't disperse, so they had to lock them up.

Then the board of education decided that they would have a forum over at the Logan High School at the fieldhouse, and all the members in Logan County decided to have a board meeting and invite all the black community in to iron out the laundry or grievance or whatever you want to say.

We got over there and it got to be what was mentioned that we misused athletes at Logan High School. That we used them for playing purposes and after that we didn't doing any for them.

About that time Craig Cheatum from down at WSAZ-TV/Channel 3 came in and was talking about we had a basketball factory and no academics at Logan High School,

and he wanted to vent this to the public. But when he found out that all of our athletes on the basketball team were honor students, he shut up. He didn't like that at all.

So what we are saying, our athletes at Logan High School -- and most of them do get scholarships and go on to school, but under the systems, under the system that Coach Akers had, he didn't have an individual player, he had an individual team.

He run the fast break and everybody got into that system. He used all five players, and I don't care whether he was the sixth, seventh man or whatever, they went in there and run that fast break; and you got points. But he didn't just set half court and work one player and make him an All-American.

So therefore, a lot of these colleges did not pick our students at Logan High School. They went to school, but they went to state colleges. So they went to the state colleges a lot of them, but they didn't go on to state universities like Indiana, Kentucky, West Virginia, you name it.

But yet they did go to school. The state college got them an education and played ball there. So we have a lot of people, a lot, and you know it yourself,

student athletes, black athletes have had very good jobs in the State of West Virginia right now that played athletics for Logan High School.

And I don't have that list. I can't give you that list, because he has compiled a few injuries. You know it, too. It's fortunate that we have some that make it, and it's unfortunate some of them didn't make it in the grades. But we do continuously stay after them about their grades.

And I would be the first one to say that we do have some tension at Logan High School, but we realize that we got tension; that we get out in the halls; we get outside, and we try to control it as much as possible.

And you also are going to have some problems in society as long as you got a mixture. Right now at Logan High School we have three minority teachers. They are all males. We have Mr. Davidson, Mr. Cunningham and Mr. Black; that is it.

There is nobody for a young girl, black girl, to go to talk to. We don't have any black guidance counselors, as you mentioned. We got those three male teachers, and that is it.

If a young lady wanted to go to Logan High School and talk to somebody, she would be far fetched. There is

nobody there. Nobody in the administration that is black at this time.

It's not our fault when the jobs, just like you're talking about in the coal mines, when they come open and the jobs are posted and whoever has the qualifications or seniority will usually get those jobs if they have certification.

So again it's nothing that I can do. I can interview, and I can recommend; but it basically boils down to who has the seniority as to who gets the jobs.

Most of the staff at Logan High School at this time are your older staff members, older teachers because they have been here more or less a longer time, getting close to retirement but not quite ready for retirement and they are there.

So they do a good job during the daytime, an excellent job in the classroom, most of our teachers. However, they are not going to get out there and give you that extra after school, because they have done what they done all these years.

So none of them get out there at lunch time and run the halls like the young teacher will. They don't have the energy, if you want to say. This is unfortunate, but they are going to teach; and they are

going to do a good job teaching. Thank you very much. 1 MR. WEISNER: Next we have Moss Burgess, 2 President, Logan County Federation Of Teachers. 3 MR. BURGESS: First of all, I didn't 4 know I was going to be speaking, so I'm going to 5 be speaking, I guess, extraneous from material and things 6 7 that I have been associated with as a teacher. I have worked with Jim Hagood and had the 8 9 privilege when I first started teaching; and Jim and I --Jim was one of my, I quess, peer people in the sense that 10 11 he helped me quite a bit as a young teacher. 12 One of the things I guess that I perceived about a lot of problems we have in our schools, and I do agree 13 14 with Mr. Myers, that some things are social problems 15 dealing with jobs that our young people don't have today. 16 It's really sad that we are living in a society 17 that we are losing our jobs and our young people from 18 Logan County. I really hate to see it because it bothers 19 me because this is my home, and I was born and raised 20 here. 21 For you folks that are interested, my great 22 grandmother was full-blooded Indian, Cherokee, and so 23 I do understand about people and feelings, and I'm 24 proud of my heritage; and that is part of the heritage

and so forth. My mother's side of my family came from Greece, so we have a mixture there of two different groups of people, I suppose.

I guess what I'm trying to say is we do have problems in our schools. We have problems in society. I think one of the things we are forgetting to do is we are asking people who are in professional positions to answer things about our schools.

You know the people we should be surveying and the people we should be asking are our students, both black and white or whatever minority. We should be surveying these kids in any school and ask them what do they perceive as the injustices, inequalities and so forth.

You know, I have always felt what kind of survey should we ask all our students? For example, I have students, and I'm talking about white and black, who tell me -- you go ask students, and I'm relaying this because this has happened to me, that the athletes are given a little bit of extra position because they are an athlete.

Coaches would say, No, but students will say to me, and I'm talking about students in general would say this: Well, you know, if I do something, I'm on the road. Now, if so-and-so does something, is he on the

road?

This may be just an opinion, but maybe sometimes people need to ask all the students, Do these things happen? Is it true? Is it true of a particular sport? Is it true of a particular Coach? That is one of the things I hear from students.

As a teacher I have taught blacks and whites, minorities from other countries and things for many years, and one of the things I perceive as a teacher myself -- and this is not something I just say to you because I do know of some people that I -- some of your children I probably talked to, black and whites, that I was trying to set this goal for me, and I tell my students at the beginning of the year this:

If I do anything for one student, I would do it for every other student. That's something that as a teacher that I try to do. I'm going to tell you, well you say, that is easy to do.

No, it's not, because when you are a teacher, and you have a student who is a discipline problem in your class, sometimes it's hard for you to be objective. You think it's not, you try it sometime with your own children. You know it's difficult sometimes.

Last year, for example -- and I have taught 26

years -- one of the students I had that created the most trouble for Logan High School was a white student, an athlete.

And all the years I taught school -- I talked to Mr. Lonker about it; I talked to the Deans about it, this student would come into the room, and the first thing he would do was grab this child's hair in front of -- we are talking about a 12th grade student now, and doing things like that.

It was a consistent, on-going thing to disrupt the class every day. Now I know that this may sound trivial. We have come to the point, and this is one of the problems that Bob has made, the state here in West Virginia has said to us at teachers, we want these kids in school. We don't want dropouts.

But at the same time if a student is disciplined and they are suspended from school and absent from school -- you follow what I'm saying? You want them in school; you don't want dropouts. You want discipline so the other kids can learn.

As a teacher we have these problems. It's sort of a two-faced situation that I see. We got to have discipline in our schools, and as a teacher I agree with this concept. All students should be treated the same as

to the disciplinary rules. I don't care who they are.

The rules have got to be fair for all, because if you look at that, you can't tell me whether it's a -- what group of kids, a poor kid, rich kid; and I look at myself and -- my dad was a coal miner. You know what I mean?

The mines shutdown in the sixties. My dad was out of work. I worked at a service station. When I got out of high school, I worked for people -- I tell people today -- they probably won't believe it -- I worked 12 hours a day for seven days a week to earn enough money during the summer to go to college and start my college training.

What I'm saying is that somehow I think we have lost our work ethic; we have lost our desire to try to help kids and be fair. And I think what kids perceive, whether it's realistic or not -- I don't think there is a lot of good coaches who don't do this; we have got a lot at our high school who are good coaches who don't do this, but I think kids perceive injustice, and we need to doing something about it to say there is no injustice.

We need some kind of checks and balances on the system. Like the examples with the gun. I didn't know about the gun, but I'm just saying, if it was a BB gun, I

mean, any gun is dangerous. But the same thing should carry for this kid.

If he hits a child, if he does something to him and damages, if he brings a knife or brings something else that damages him, it should be the same. The discipline should be the same. I think kids perceive this, and as a teacher I feel that we need to look at the whole picture.

What can be done? I don't know. I don't know the answer to that, but I think that discipline is something that bothers me. I feel sometimes that as a teacher, and I shouldn't say this in front of Mr. Lonker; he might get upset with me and not because what I am going to say here is on account of him, is that we have a policy for example on shorts, on short-shorts. Right Mr. Lonker?

MR. LONKER: Right.

MR. BURGESS: It says five inches above the knee. I just, sometimes I say, What are we supposed to do? We have got to the point we are specific on short-shorts, and I think we should set some standards -- don't get me wrong -- but at the same time if I walk down the hall and I see all these teachers walking by these people that has got those short-shorts up here,

what am I suppose to do?

You understand what I'm saying? It's a system that, I guess, we are all going to have to be responsible to set some standards whether we agree or not, and that's one of the problems I see.

You got 55 faculty members at Logan High School. We are all different. We came from different cultures. We all have different concepts, and we all have our prejudices, whether we like it or not. And a lot of the prejudices that come into the school come from parents outside the school.

I think what we are being asked to do is we are asked to be doctor, psychologist, lawyer, social person. We are asked to do all these things and somewhere we can only go so far. You know? We can only do so much.

It's going to have to come from somewhere, not just with the teachers. Maybe what needs to be done is somebody needs to start asking the kids; ask the students, not just a select group of students but all of our students what the problems are. Survey our kids and find out what it is. Run that survey and not this one school.

Let me say I'm talking about Logan High School.

That is my school. One percent of our kids or even less

cause problems at Logan High School. If I would say that number -- and I'm talking about one percent, a few kids. We have kids who are put in our school who shouldn't be there; that the courts have put into our schools.

And you may not agree with me on this, kids who have caused all kinds of problems, behavior problems, I mean real problems with our children, other children.

Now, the courts have said, Okay, release them.

The courts have made our schools, in a sense, prisons
where we have our other kids -- and I call it good kids
versus bad kids, and believe it or not there is bad kids
out there in all groups.

In other words, we have become a prison to ourselves, and the courts themselves need to say, Okay, if a child does this, then we need to have a place to put that child until that child reforms and bring him back.

I remember the days when I was growing up in school they called it a reform school. Believe it or not it scared me. When somebody said, we are going to send you to reform school -- we don't have anything anymore to really scare kids.

If you suspend the kid, what are you doing?

Are you really helping the kid out? Hey, I got a vacation

б

from school. I come back, and it don't make any difference. I can make up on my work, and you know they can't make up their work. They can't make up those days they missed, but they have a right to make up everything.

So what are we doing? Somewhere society goes beyond us as teachers. Somebody is going to have to look at -- our legislators -- some of the rules and regulations. I hope I have ran rambled too long.

MR. WEISNER: We are going to move onto the next segment which includes comments from the public. We have four people on this list that have indicated a desire to speak again.

We ask that you direct your questions or comments to the members of the Commission. The first speaker is Julia French.

JULIA FRENCH: I'm Julia French, and
I'm speaking on behalf of the children of Sharples High
School. We know that we have a low percentage in Logan
County that is listening to the calls on low
unemployment.

The coal companies buy the people out. They have to go. They take their children with them. They say we have a high rate of dropouts, but I don't think they are

talking about the high rate of dropouts.

Anyway, our children are being bused 30 miles to school this coming school term, which is a long way they have to go. And they will be putting them -- sent to a school that do not have any black students, and we don't have very many black students. We only have a small number.

But they are fearful of what is going to be said and done to them when they get down there. So that is my issue.

MS. HAIRSTON: To clarify something, are you saying, Ms. French, you don't think the voters have taken into consideration when schools are closed, or what are you saying? Is that what you're saying?

JULIA FRENCH: Yes.

MR. WEISNER: The next question or comment comes from Alena Hairston.

ALENA HAIRSTON: I would like to -- I'm not going to be brief. It's almost like an opening statement, but I think I will be justified taking a minute or two to speak, so bear with me. I will go ahead.

There is a myth that race relations are better, and if we assume there is no problem, then when outbursts

of racial tensions come about, we are unprepared, and since we are unprepared to deal with these problems, we deny them.

As a recent graduate of Logan High School, memories of race relations are quite clear with me. There was an incident where white teachers made outright comments: If we can just throw all the niggers in the ditch, we wouldn't have this problem. This is reference to inter-relationships.

On a subtle note, there was an incident where a white teacher, quote, accidentally gives a black student the wrong grade and says the grade was someone else's. But, indeed, that grade was no one else's, because the black student checked to see who actually received that grade, and no one in the class received that grade. It was a contrived grade.

What if that student hadn't kept a separate record of his or her grades to contradict the teacher?

The blacks are perceived as a problem. Even when the white student initiates a fight with black students, it's the black student's fault. He should have turned his cheek. And this brings me to my point.

These incidences go undealt with fully. Yes, we have had a black history class implemented in the

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

2.4

school. This is an accomplishment in itself, and I don't know if they have kept up with class, because at the time I was taking it, which was the first year that was brought into the school system, there was rumors that it was going to be dropped, and I don't know if it's still being taught. Is it?

MR. LONKER: No. We put feelers out like always and nobody signed up for it, so it was dropped.

ALENA HAIRSTON: I'm going to tell you why it was dropped. It was an elective, not a required course. But isn't black history a part of American history? At least 400 years of it is. There is an issue that transcends the county or state level. Indeed, this is a national issue.

If black history isn't perceived as significant as a class, then how are blacks perceived as a people?

How can we progress in race relations when one third of the formula is ignored?

Let me tell you. Our black history book at the time I was taking this class was no more than an inch thick. Chapters were three or four pages long, large print. It was a book designed for grade school, and we didn't even finish it in the full year of classes, not a semester or full year. We did not finish that

book.

Black history is not only for blacks. Everyone needs no know about it. It is a part of the American history. Blacks are not tolerated, are not respected, are not protected at Logan High School. This goes from the student level to the administration level.

You can't just say we have a black history class; you can't just publicize black history month, if that is done at all now at the school. You can't just address the student body at the beginning of each year and say we are all going to get along and not keep saying it afterward.

You can't just use superficiality. While I acknowledge the effort, I don't applaud them because they haven't gone passed lip service. You have to uphold the ideology. It won't uphold itself. Implementation is not a statement; it is work.

I talked to my mom last night. She told me I was to be talking about this, and I was really scared that I was not going to make the comment, statement. I was afraid that what I was going to be saying would bear generalization. And I really wasn't sure if I was going to be able to have anything concrete to say. I didn't know if what I was going to be basing my observations on

were just faint memories or just hearsay. 1 2 But last night I thought long and hard, and I 3 remember when I was in 10th grade a certain incident that came up. Back then in 10th grade I wasn't really --4 5 I still was thinking there is no such thing as race 6 relations. 7 Mom and dad always told me, They're just a little 8 bit prejudice, but I know better because there was a 9 comment made back in my 10th grade year, and I'm going to 10 go ahead and recite it to you. 11 I watched a white student mumble to his friend, 12 That damn nigger, in front of a teacher in the hall. 13 I looked at that teacher to see if he could do anything, 14 say anything. He just turned around and went aside to 15 his classroom. You can't tell me there is no problem. 16 There is. 17 MR. BURGESS: Alena, did you report this 18 to the administration? 19 ALENA HAIRSTON: What I just said? 20 MR. BURGESS: I'm talking about the one the 21 teacher made the statement. 22 ALENA HAIRSTON: No, I didn't. 23 MS. HAIRSTON: Which brings us back to the 24 comment, if I may do so, why don't our black students

feel like they can go to teachers and administrators and make these statements? Can one of you --

CORA HAIRSTON: Can I answer that?

Because they know nothing is going to be done about it.

They will talk to them face to face; give them this long sermon about how they don't turn around and do this and that, and as soon as they walk out the door, they may call the teacher in and then they discuss and probably laugh about it. Nothing will be done about it.

That's why they don't get reported. It's just like water off the duck's back. Nothing gets done about it. It's been since 1958 that way.

Like I said, I had to think long and hard about something that really brought it in focus for me, and that was back in 10th grade.

Back in 10th grade I was not fully aware of what was going on. As far as race relations I thought everything was hunky-dory, and that was really my immaturity. But through personal experiences and things that I learned, by the time I was in 12th grade I was pretty much sure what was going on.

At that time I did report things that happened.

I did make it clear, but I understand what you are

saying. If people don't report things, then nothing gets done, but you need more than one person to report it; and you need the person you report the stuff to to do something about it.

And when I say when you have an ideology, right now Logan High School is talking about multiculturalism, and we need to go ahead and all get along. That is all good and well to say that, but you have to be able to back it up.

And you have to have more than one person backing it up; more than two people backing it up, and it's got to go from the student level up to the administration.

MR. MYERS: Could I say something? I would like to say something in defense of educators in this county, and I'm not saying at the same time I was talking about schools being a reflection of society, I'm sure educators are also a reflection of the same society.

They are part of the solution; they're part of the problem, but I can assure you there are an awful lot of educators out there who would have been very offended by that remark.

And not to sidestep it, unfortunately whoever did that chose not to do anything about it, but I can

assure you that there are a lot of educators in that school, and I'm sitting beside one here today that would have been highly critical of that remark as well as other people and would have addressed the problem. Unfortunately that person didn't. I yield the floor to Mary MR. PITTS: Catherine, if you don't mind me calling on you. MS. BUCHMELTER: I would like to ask a question based upon what she said. I don't know if you all were here this morning when this panel here was introduced. I am the Deputy Attorney General for civil rights representing the -- counseling to the Human Rights Commission. And what this brought to mind -- two things that she said that I think are -- I mean, of all that she said you could categorize into two things. One is the atmosphere as it relates to the curriculum, but the second, her representation about remarks she heard and your response leads me to tell you: I do a lot of work in sexual harassment, and I lecture to employers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One of the things that we always tell employers is they need a mechanism for reporting infractions. And the mechanism is -- you ask, Did you report that to the

administration? Often who a person is reporting to is a person who may be doing it.

And so what we tell employers is: You need someone out of that chain of command to report to. You need an EEO officer or you need a human resources person. And maybe what would be good to think about is someone that a student could go to in confidence and report to because -- remember, she said she was in the 10th grade.

Who in the 10th grade has the nerve to report on a teacher? I want to tell you I filed an ethics complaint against a judge. I'm a lawyer, and what I went through, I mean, if I had known after this report I would think very carefully about filing another charge again against a judge for making an antisomatic remark in court in front of a human rights lawyer.

So expecting a 9th, 10th, 11th or 12th grader to report a teacher, it's a very high expectation and maybe one place to start is with a person. You said earlier there were no black women, and that is another issue, so that is role models.

But also who reported, if there was someone there that people knew and whether -- I don't know if that is a function of guidance counselors could play or not, but some kind of a human resources -- what in employment is

called a human resources person would be a real good person to start to gather that kind of information.

And also an issue of role models, and we don't have an understanding that -- I think I understand the problem of hiring as you explained it. There are other ways to present role models and some of them are like career days.

Ms. Gooden gave a wonderful example. I don't know if you people could hear because it was so noisy when she was talking earlier when we first convened, about bias and expectations of lots of white people about minorities.

And to bring in to a staff that is basically white to have career day, for example, and have some role models, minority role models, and Glynda would be an excellent one. But other people who are -- I mean, women and minorities who are in professions, that may be some person some student can go to; Logan County could think to aspire to.

I would say when I was in high school I wasn't exposed to women attorneys. It wasn't a role that I thought was possible, but there are ways besides -- I think you should attack the thing about, Are there enough representation of minorities, representation of teachers,

but another way to handle the problem in an immediate way is through programs that integrate role models for young women and minorities in this.

My understanding is this is a sexism and racism forum, and that's why I'm putting it in those terms.

MR. WILSON: I would like to tell an incident nearly everyone in this room is aware of that happened in my home town of Milton in 1991 when the Logan girls basketball team came there, and there was a very ugly incident, name calling, people disputing and a little bit of everything else that has happened there.

The story didn't start there; it didn't end there. There was a good outcome to it. I'm going to suggest a possibility for Logan County because I have been involved in it.

In 1990 the Cabell County Board of Education created a multicultural education counsel composed of teachers, resource people and students in all the county, every school that really took a proactive stand.

Before there was a crisis and that first year -again before the peace pagentry of 1991, subcommittees
were working on parents' attitudes, teacher attitudes,
curriculum, textbook selection, affirmative action so
that when a crisis came there was a structure in place to

move it along.

One positive result that came out of that incident is there was a program in Cabell County called BDTI in reference to middle school kids and nearly every middle school kid in the county goes to, and one result of that incident that was there was a class instituted in handling differences and other things that covered prejudice that -- and everybody in the county goes through it.

If there is a structure in place to promote multicultural education on an on-going basis and integrated throughout the curriculum, I think it can move things along, and we would be in a better position if these crises come along.

And if Cabell County with the board of education coordinates it, and other people who work on it would be glad to share information and are eager to spread this model around the state, and hopefully maybe at some point this could help in Logan County and other places, too.

MS. HAIRSTON: I want to address the issue Mr. Myers brought up. Like I'm saying, we aren't going to point fingers, and that's not why we are here today. We are here to try to reach some common ground and to deal with some problems.

I have a problem with this silent majority, and I'm sorry. You said a lot of teachers would have been offended if they had heard that. But would they have said anything?

In my lifetime in Logan County schools, and I was in that integrated class when they were closed there in '62. I have only heard one white teacher, I'm sorry, come to the defense immediately when something is said, immediately, to let a child know they're worth something.

I'm not saying it because she is in here now, but I believe in giving everybody credit while they can hear it, because when they're dead and gone, it doesn't matter; that was Jean Quinn.

When we went over to that school, every black child at Logan High School tried to get in her class, and Lord, she was one of the hardest teachers going. That was not the reason. She was a fair teacher.

If she heard a teacher or somebody made a racial remark or derogatory statement, she didn't care where she was. That was not appropriate. How many will do it today?

That's why the children perceive a double standard. In this survey we did last year, this set the board of education off, but we had teenagers interview

teenagers, stacks about that high. You would be surprised to some of the answers that you get, because kids perceive us in black and white.

They can see through the phoniness just like anybody else. I don't care if it's a black child or white child. They saw the racism in everything else. They even got to interview some teenage clan members, and it was an eye-opener for our exchange students.

We need to do immediate actions, not six weeks later, because six weeks later that child has bled for six weeks. I'm trying to be very objective and not be a mother, because that's my child.

When she came home and made that statement she made about the other teacher, throw the niggers in the ditch -- she overheard that. That is not hearsay.

I was so angry I did all I could to keep from going down to that school and pitching her in that creek they wanted to pitch the rest of the black people in.

Immediate action is what's needed and straight, across-the-board treatment. We don't mind being hard. Blacks are hard on their children. We don't mind discipline, but we want the same discipline for our children that the other children give.

If you were to expel my child for ten days for

cursing or expel a white child for ten days for cursing, I'm not going to say anything. I have nothing to say. She had no business doing it. He had no business doing it.

My child gets into a fight; you expel her three days; the white child three days, fine. But don't come with this one day, ten day, 20 day, no days, and say, Okay, and brush it under the rug and say we don't have a problem, because that is why each year it erupts.

MR. CHUN: I would like to build on what Mrs. Hairston just commented upon. Perhaps I should phrase my question to Superintendent Myers and Principal Lonker.

I assume, or can I assume, that the kind of things we heard this afternoon, namely allegations to the effect that teachers are, in effect, perhaps not by design, but in effect, appear to be insensitive to minority students' concerns and apprehensions?

And then the allegation that teachers or school administrators seem to be partial in handing out disciplinary actions and these allegations, some of them we have heard this afternoon, I assume they are new to you, or are they?

MR. LONKER: Let me try to answer that.

First of all, she made the statement --1 I mean, I have a real question 2 MR. CHUN: to ask, but I will ask that question first. 3 I'm not aware of the incident MR. LONKER: 4 that she had mentioned. It was not brought to my 5 attention. If it was brought to my attention, then that 6 7 teacher would have been written up and a copy to Mr. 8 Myers' office. There is no question about it. 9 Any racial slurs of such, and I think anybody in here would verify that that knows me, I don't go for 10 11 that kind of thing. Mr. Myers, I think, knows what I 12 was talking about. Mr. Burgess will verify that, too. I think we do have some teachers that don't want 13 14 to get involved in our system, and one reason is because 15 maybe they are afraid of certain students in our school 16 or in our building; and that does determine the way 17 they get involved. I think this might be true, but 18 again, I would like to say no. 19 MR. CHUN: Barring specifics, at the 20 general level do these allegations come to you as a 21 surprise? 22 These direct statements? MR. LONKER: 23 MR. CHUN: No, allegations, generalized 24 allegations that teachers are --

1 MR. LONKER: No, sir. MR. CHUN: They are not? 2 3 MR. LONKER: No, sir. MR. CHUN: Then my next question has 4 to do with, assuming that it is not a surprise to you, 5 6 what do you think it will take? 7 I remember, the Superintendent reminder that 8 racial tensions and problems are a reflection of society, and the teachers cannot be held to resolve all the 9 10 problems we face and so on. 11 Keeping that in mind, though, I still would like 12 to sort of ask and get your reaction to something like this: What do you think, given those allegations and 13 given that you have been aware of it, what actions have 14 15 you taken, and what do you think will be necessary to 16 improve the situation at perhaps the individual school 17 level or at the county level? 18 MR. MYERS: I think your comment is as to 19 whether these types of allegations surprise one. 20 really, because I think those same kind of comments are 21 made in society in general. I said earlier I think the 22 school is a reflection of society, unfortunately. 23 Whether they should be tolerated or not I think 24 are a different ball game when it comes to educating

children and what ought to be tolerated in the school versus what ought to be tolerated in the back streets of our communities.

I don't think it should be tolerated, number one, and I would say that this -- and I'm not at liberty to discuss this, but we have a situation where we have identified some of these things happening, and we are addressing it.

How do you solve it? I was hoping you all were going to have the answer today. That is one of the reasons I was anxious to come, and I say that facetiously because I know that you don't have the answers anymore than we do.

But hopefully sharing our problems and things that have been discussed here that is happening in the schools and in the community and with all sectors of people who are dealing with it, that maybe there are some answers and some solutions that will come out this today.

Now, let me just answer one other thing. The sensitivity level is important. The same question that you raised was raised by Joan, raised here, raised over here, these people came in; work with our administrators, we hope to go beyond that.

They have been there before. I don't think it's

going to be one trip, and it's going to be solved. We are going to have to keep hammering at it. We are not only going to have to look at that direction but how we expand out into other directions within the school system.

But I also would layout the premise to you that the schools are not going to solve the problems. Somebody mentioned earlier we are expected to be doctors, lawyers, counselors, I mean, the whole gamut for society.

Schools are not going to be able to solve the problems of society. It's going to have to be a concentrated effort. Someone said the churches and other governments, and all those might solve the problem, but I'm telling you in the end when people decide to solve the problem, that's when problems are going to get solved.

We're the people. You're sitting there as people. I'm sitting here and this audience is sitting here, and we might make end roads into it, but it's never going to be solved until the people decide and the communities and in counties and the country that solve it.

MR. CHUN: I'm compelled to respond to your superintendent. I agree with you that a school

system or a superintendent should never be expected to serve as, at best, as agent of change but never be the ultimate support or vehicle of change. You and I know that, and I think everybody agrees with that.

But nevertheless, unless we clearly articulate

2.4

But nevertheless, unless we clearly articulate the areas over which we have some responsibility and obligation to attempt some change, then I think, unless we do that, we are abdicating our professional responsibilities, and it is in the latter context I think I would like to address my questions.

My question really was: Given the fact that we are now made aware of these allegations, what plans do you have to improve the situation, to bring about some changes, if you have?

If you don't have, and you would rather respond later, that is fine, too.

MR. MYERS: I don't know that what comes out of this meeting today, and I am going to be able to explain to you today as to what those plans would be. I would say that we are working with --

MR. CHUN: You are certainly welcome to submit something in writing later on.

MR. MYERS: I would say this to you: We have addressed, with the help of people within this room,

as to some of the areas that we think we can make a difference with some attitudes, but I think a lot of it is an attitude probably as much as anything.

Number one in what we can do is develop a sensitivity level within a professional level and help to take that over into the youngsters. And that has been started with the administrators. There are plans to carry that over into faculty in-services for the coming year.

I think one of the other areas that we have to work very strongly with, and I don't think it's just in the area of dealing with minorities, sensitivity to minorities, but I think it's in the area of a total concept that we should be the examplers; and we should be setting the examples in our schools in America and not only just in Logan County.

As professionals we want to be addressed as professionals; then I think we need to be professionals. And part of being that professional is the example that this young lady had to go through, should never have happened. That goes into other areas as well.

The unfortunate thing is that I would say to you is, as Moss pointed out earlier with some of the court decisions and so forth, I'm not sure they're going to

allow us to set those examples.

MR. CHUN: Superintendent, how about building on the idea that our Deputy Attorney General sort of mentions? Is it conceivable that perhaps you might develop or formulate the standard for permissible and inpermissible conduct on the part of the teachers?

For instance, the kind of comments that would not be tolerated by school officials and a few other things and then the promise and declaration that you will be dealing out discipline actions in a fair way?

Those standards, if they are clearly conveyed to the student population and held in some sort of context of workshops along with the parents and so on, that might be one huge step in restoring perhaps some trust back into the system, and then encouraging them as to what they can report back to you.

MR. MYERS: I think the young lady across the way that made the comment about sexual harassment, we are certainly, in our profession as well it is in other professions, is a new area that is being dealt with. And some people are having to certainly change the way they act, the way they respond, the things they say.

I think the same thing can also be said of racial harassment and comments. They should not be tolerated

and contemplated any more or less or be expected in sexual harassment situations.

I can say this to you, the gentleman sitting right beside of me is dealing with that situation. I can't sit here and talk about it today. I can't sit here and talk about it being dealt with, and those are probably the ones we deal with are probably the more verbal ones that's out there.

And people have not been really sensitive at all, and hopefully as we deal with those, those tend to set examples in dealing with other folks and trying to deal with them in a fair manner, in fairness to that individual as well as anyone less.

MR. CHUN: Principal, I'm happy to hear that, therefore, efforts are being made to resolve the situation.

MR. MYERS: I would like to jump onto what the county I came from had, a crisis team. You mentioned teams over here, and I think maybe a team setup, not only as a crisis team but is something to deal with in the order of what we are talking about here today, is an excellent suggestion.

MR. CHUN: Can we expect sometime in the near future some issuance of some guidelines, some policy

statements from your office with regard to --1 I want to go back and review MR. MYERS: 2 I don't have them here with me today and certainly 3 them. 4 wasn't here when they were involved in the policy statements, but I do think that there is statements that 5 6 probably are there on the books at the present time, 7 maybe not in specific terms, but in general terms that 8 cover these areas. 9 MR. WEISNER: One comment here. 10 MS. FARMER: This is just a comment and 11 observation I made this year. 12 MR. PITTS: Who are you? 13 MS. FARMER: Joanne Farmer. I'm the 14 Assistant Director for Unemployment for Women. 15 I, along with Mr. Hagood, Mr. Major, Mr. Chatman, was 16 asked to go to Omar Grade School to talk during the Dr. 17 Martin Luther King celebration. 18 Those teachers in that grade school did such a 19 wonderful job with their students, black and white, that I believe that if we did that in all of our schools and 20 21 we taught race relations, we wouldn't have the problems 22 we have when they get to high school.

ERIC G. SMEAD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
DEMPSEY & ASSOCIATES
(304) 522-3299 & (606) 329 1370

Those students could tell us more about Dr. King

than we could tell them. So I think that is when we have

23

24

to start, when they are very young.

MR. PITTS: I yield the floor to Director Stephens at this time.

MR. STEPHENS: Yes. This is subject policy more than anything else. I'm a product of racism as a child. I was raised by an educator in a segregated environment.

The advantage that I had as a child is I was raised by a school teacher in a segregated environment, and that is what I have been hearing from the community members here in this community.

They would love to return to segregation because their children are being nurtured. There is no separation in the old school system when there was a matter of segregation as it is now.

Counselors should counsel. Counselors should not treat kids separately. That seems to be the case. The greatest thing about an athlete, a student athlete is toughness to do it anyway, but we shouldn't play these little games with children's lives, and that is what is happening.

I don't just get wrapped up in Logan. I go
throughout the state. I voluntarily coach football at
West Virginia State College. I talk to athletes. I ask

them about their background. I go to other colleges, and when I'm on the other college campuses I talk to those athletes and ask them how were they nurtured when they were in high school.

2.4

In most cases they were not nurtured. They played football; they played basketball; they did well enough to make it into one of these colleges; that's great. They were not nurtured enough to do those things, and they did not.

My point is, because I taught ROTC in the state, too, I know their counselors do not spend the time with these children and tell them how to get on the college tract. Don't worry about the college preparatory tract, just get your high school diploma and go on.

We know, all of you that are educators know that a lot of our kids need ACT scores or SAT scores to make it to a lot of the major colleges; that doesn't happen.

So what I get from community members when they call is, my child is not being nurtured, and if that family is not into the educated arena, in essence, their mother and farther are not really in tune with them.

What that child needs is A's and B's and forget the C's, D's and F's. Forget that stuff.

They are not making A's and B's, and they know they are not going to make it. This young lady should not go to an all collateral school. She should be able to go wherever she wants -- there is nothing wrong with the school she chose -- but every child in that school should be nurtured so much that they can want to stay home, which would seem to be the thing.

Well, thank God they leave the state. They need to leave the state and learn something about other things out there, and that is another one of my advantages.

I get burned up when it comes to education because I see this. That is the answer. The answer is you nurture the child. Nurture them young. You don't wait until they're in the 10th or 11th grade. You nurture them at a young age, and you treat them equally. That is not happening in the school system.

I prolonged this by saying a lot of things,
but I really get burned up. This is one of the things of
all the things that I do that pertains to discrimination
that really burns me up, because I know what is
happening.

You are right, Principal. Teachers a lot more are 7:30 to 3:00 and they go home. I'm married to an educator. I'm burned up on the rules in Kanawha County

which says the person who works here the longest keeps their job.

I'm married to a women with a masters degree and 45 hours replaced by a lady with a bachelors of science. That is wrong. That is wrong because I know how she has been nurtured. I know what type of teacher she is.

And she is in a school that is predominantly black, and that school you have two black teachers.

There is something wrong there. Those kids cannot relate to those white teachers because they do not give. They do not give back.

So that is what the black community is saying is that there should be someone that I can trust, and, yes, there are white teachers there who they trust, and they believe and they hear, but not visually; and that is what they are saying to you.

I do not feel comfortable because you are not being fair to me. If you want to nurture me, then tell me when I'm in a certain grade which is 8th, 9th grade those things I need to do that make sure when I get into the 11th grade one of you can pass the ACT or SAT, and that doesn't happen.

You have kids who wait until the April-May time

frame to take the test in their senior year. That is wrong. That is wrong, and you know that.

We have athletes who, even at state colleges, we have to wait until they take the stupid test that they should have been nurtured to do in 10th grade. That does not happen.

Yet you have a white student who is nurtured, and I know that because I'm part of the system; and it hurts and I can say bad words without persecuting anything. So of all the things I deal with, sexual harassment racism, employment, et cetera, education of our children is the one that bothers me the most, and it doesn't change.

I have been out here on four occasions. The first year Dr. James came down and gave a sensitivity training and the place was full. You were less sensitive by putting up in Chapmanville a segregated club.

The second year you had an option for an in-service training, and that class had Dr. Ivan. You had less than ten people in that class because the teacher chose which method; that they were not sensitive enough to understand that they had a minority problem.

Third year, the same thing; the choice by these instructors. Same thing, no leadership and a small

population, a positive of teachers.

A new superintendent came about, we had him service training. They were there. That should not happen. If a child is saying I'm hurting, don't give him a bad knee, fix it and stop talking about it. These are our children. They are going to leave here, and they're not going to be nurtured; and they are just going to get on the general tract, and they won't come back.

We want them to come back. We want them to stay. We want them educated. We want you to nurture them and care about them and love them. Thank you.

MR. PITTS: Mr. Weisner, the committee yields the floor to Cora Hairston.

CORA HAIRSTON: What I was going to say was, I heard Mr. Lonker mention of how his educational background life was nurtured, so to speak.

He had the opportunity to go to Omar, Logan High, Carolina, back to Logan, back to Omar, back to Logan
High. How many black teachers with the same degrees, same education could have left Logan High went to Omar and back and forth like that?

That is good that he was able to do that, but our black role models that we had as I was young coming up didn't have an opportunity for that. They took one or

two at Logan High when they went there. The rest of them had to go elsewhere.

So what have we got now? No role models, be they male or female, for our black students to nurture on because they have seen that down through the years to become a teacher in Logan County was useless.

They have taken all the nurturing, all the role models from them. That's what integration did to the black student. In turn they get insensitive white teachers that show them no sensitivity and give them nothing to nurture on.

And for us to blame society when we have our own problems here, we have got our own little society here in Logan County, and unless our leadership takes it upon themselves to take these sensitivity sessions that they have been giving, hopefully they remember what they were about somewhat and put them into action once these sessions are over, then these sensitivity sessions aren't getting through.

If they are not getting through at the top, how are they going to pass it down to the teachers? If the teachers, as Mr. Quinn said, are being given the choice to come if they want to and not come if they don't want to, the fact that I was speaking of the leadership in my

statement is, leadership is the problem there.

MR. MYERS: May I say something in relationship to that?

MR. PITTS: We have Mr. Burgess first. I will yield the floor to Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: I know it's getting late, but Alena made the statement -- I'm a certified social studies teacher, and I have never taught it in my life because they have always needed science teachers and that is the reason.

But one of the things I think that any minority group, and I'm speaking also as a union person. I am president of the National Federation of Teachers. I have some problems with our social studies, too, not just with that.

Let me give an example, and I'm not referring to a teacher. As a union representative I, in my duty in the UMWA, have wanted some labor history taught in our social studies. We are talking about in this state.

All we have is we have the people that are teaching, and I'm not talking about the teachers. Some of them are members of my union. You follow me? But the books that were put out or published are by people who live far away and far away from the labor history.

For example, Blare Mountain. I'll give you an example of the Blare Mountain issue. To me it's a very important issue. I have taken a stand, written letters on it and so forth because I think that is a part of our heritage here in Logan County.

But you look in our history books. How much information can you find concerning that? Getting back to what she is saying. I personally don't think we should have a labor history course, though. I think it should be integrated into the social studies programs.

And I think that, like we have a black history now, and you make take offense to me what I'm going to say, I don't think we should have a black history class per se.

But I think that somebody who comes up with a learning outcomes, those are produced by the state, and people should go to the state and to ask the state to incorporate black history into the history for all students. Labor history should be for all students from day one all the way through.

So what I'm saying: There is a mechanism for doing that. I right now as a science teacher I have learning outcomes which I'm supposed to go through and process when I teach science. I'm supposed to hit on

1 certain areas. I try to. 2 Now, those learning outcomes some of them were 3 made by me and by other teachers as we got together 4 years ago, but those learning outcomes can be changed. 5 The expectations are supposed to be written up by every 6 teacher. 7 So what I'm saying is that could be incorporated 8 if enough issue was taken that someone would incorporate 9 history or whatever for all. Like I said, labor history, 10 black history, the history should be a balanced course. 11 Jim is a social studies teacher, and I think 12 he and I can totally agree on some of this. But that is what I think should be looked at. That is an opinion. 13 14 MR. PITTS: Mr. Burgess, let me ask you a 15 question: Based on your remark, is there any comparison 16 to what happened at Blare Mountain to what happened to 17 black people in America? 18 Is there any comparison? 19 MR. MAJOR: No. 20 MR. PITTS: I want Mr. Burgess to respond. 21 MR. BURGESS: No, what I'm saying is 22 that 23 MR. PITTS: I understood what you are 24 saying, but I want you to tell me if there is a

comparison.

MR. BURGESS: I don't think any injustice to anyone justifies any excuse. What I'm saying is that all of these things, and I'm speaking as an individual, not as my organization leader, that all of these things should be brought out in our history.

We should not say that we want to close our eyes to one part of history just because of someone else. Any suffering of anyone, whether it be the people in Serbia today, whether it be the jewish people, Indians or anyone else should all be put in our history books.

That is the way I feel, and that is why -- I think what bothers me, and like I said, I'm not trying to step on a toe here, but we are saying that we are going to go and take care of one group, and we should take care of no group. We should take care of all society.

MR. PITTS: What happened on Blare Mountain must have happened to white people.

MR. LONKER: 20 percent black.

MR. PITTS: But I'm saying

basically of white; the history of it would be white.

Is that a fair statement? So it's already a part of your history, but what happened to black people in America is not a part of your history and why would

you want us to be reduced to a chapter in a book that may contain 30 or 40 chapters?

How you can you deal with the history of our people, the richness of our people, what we have given to America, what we have given to you in a chapter?

MR. BURGESS: I didn't say a chapter. I said the integration from the beginning --

MR. PITTS: I --

MR. BURGESS: Let me finish now. You asked me the question. From the beginning, from the beginning of social studies in the first day of grade school, they should be brought forth, because American history, for example, goes over several years, and the integration is brought over several years.

And I don't think you can teach in a semester -can you teach in a semester; can you teach in a year all
the history of anything? You can't. And I know that in
taking, for example, the American history that I have
taken in the university. It took me something like -- the
American history alone, I think, it was three semesters
of hard study. That is what I'm saying.

Integrate everything together. Like I said, this is just a personal opinion, but this is how I feel. I hope you're not offended, but I'm going to be honest with

you.

MR. PITTS: No, I'm trying to see. See, this is part of the problem that most people don't want to recognize is that you are willing to reduce us to the history of Blare Mountain.

ALENA HAIRSTON: You are willing to drop the class, and it was an elective. When the class is dropped, the black -- this is my statement when I was talking.

When I said the black history class was dropped, you also dropped black people, period. Black history at Logan High School was there for one year. The book was an inch thick. It wasn't even gone through. We weren't even finished with it.

The chapters were three or four pages long, and they were large print: I can read. See John jump.

It was third grade material. I'm getting emotional, but I'm not directing it at you.

What I'm saying is, when the class was dropped, and it was like Mr. Lonker said, it was given as an elective. I understand the reason the class was brought about was because of the racial tensions in the high school, and this was seen as a means to elevate some of the pressure, but it wasn't upheld.

1	The class was dropped. It should have been made
2	a requirement. Somehow it could have been made a
3	requirement. If you can make gym a requirement, you can
4	make black history a requirement.
5	The classes also later on it could have
6	somewhere along the line we could have come out and put
7	that into American history, because it is a part of
8	American history, and it is more than one chapter; it is
9	more than two chapters.
10	That is my thing. When you drop the class, you
11	drop the respect for the black people, and that is
12	what is wrong with Logan High School. There is no
13	respect for black people.
14	MR. PITTS: If I may continue. Mr.
15	Lonker, is the correct pronunciation?
16	MR. LONKER: Yes, sir.
17	MR. PITTS: Let's go back a few years when
18	you were there with this young black athlete and someone
19	on the field made this derogatory statement.
20	What would have happened, sir, had you taken your
21	entire team and walked off that field? What would have
22	happened?
23	MR. LONKER: They would have got the
24	message.

1	MR. PITTS: So you failed to deliver the
2	message. You see, your action you have to understand
3	that your action to reduce what happened to the child a
4	joke continued to take the child down, continued to
5	destroy the
6	MR. LONKER: No, that was not the intent.
7	MR. PITTS: It may not have been your
8	intention, but I'm trying to get to you break through
9	to see that those that are in authority positions need to
10	take a positive, proactive stand at the time that the
11	degradation takes place.
12	Do we understand? Can you agree with that as
13	a fair statement?
14	MR. LONKER: Yes, we did take a stand
15	to the officials and to the coach, and we did protest
16	it. We continued the game because we didn't want an out
17	and out riot at that particular time, sir.
18	MR. PITTS: But if you would have
19	walked off, would there have been an out-and-out riot?
20	MR. LONKER: We would have walked off.
21	MR. PITTS: This again comes to
22	the point of sensitivity. I remember as a high school
23	student this thing happening. My coach, who was white,
24	took the team and walked off. We got on a bus and went

1	back to Wheeling.
2	Now, that made a statement, not only about that
3	coach, but about his philosophy in life. Now, we are
4	dealing with the issues. What is your philosophy when it
5	comes to teachers calling children niggers or making the
6	statement in front of, black children, of niggers in the
7	ditch? What is your philosophy?
8	MR. LONKER: They should be terminated.
9	MR. PITTS: What is it when a teacher
10	calls a black child 13 years of age a black bitch or a
11	nigger bitch? What is your philosophy?
12	MR. LONKER: They should be dealt
13	with and terminated.
14	MR. PITTS: Why was she not terminated?
15	MR. LONKER: The courts would not uphold
16	it. It wasn't in my authority.
17	MR. PITTS: It wasn't in your school?
18	Mr. Myers, it was in your school district.
19	MR. MYERS: If you say it was, no, I
20	wasn't here.
21	MR. PITTS: You were not here?
22	MR. MYERS: No. I don't even know where
23	the
24	MR. PITTS: I am corrected. What

1	would be your philosophy of that? What would
2	MR. MYERS: I would say this to you
3	MR. PITTS: What would be your philosophy?
4	What would you do? What would your position be? What
5	proactive affirmation would you give to the black
6	community?
7	MR. MYERS: Let me say this to you and
8	tell you what I would do. It would depend on the
9	situation. We are dealing with a hypothetical situation.
10	I can tell you this
11	MR. PITTS: This was an actual fact. I
12	want to know based on
13	MR. MYERS: I don't know what all was
14	involved.
15	MR. PITTS: If that fact came to you
16	MR. MYERS: I'm saying it would be dealt
17	with, and it would be dealt with swiftly.
18	MR. PITTS: Do you think the teacher
19	should be terminated or not?
20	MR. MYERS: I would say this to you: My
21	dealings with people, and I have only been here nine
22	months, so people are going to have to judge me by what
23	record I set as I work through the situation here.
24	But I can assure you this, as situations have

developed I have dealt with them and tried to deal with 1 2 them in a fair manner whether they be whites, blacks, 3 nationality, whatever they are. There was a mention made of a student earlier. Ι 4 didn't know until that mention was made here just a few 5 moments ago that that student was black. That had no 6 bearing on any decision-making process I was involved 7 8 in --9 MR. PITTS: Are you talking about the gun 10 situation? MR. LONKER: -- any decision process that I 11 12 make in relationship to teachers in the Logan County 13 school system. I think you have to deal with them as 14 human beings and individuals in compassion that you would 15 expect somebody to deal with you and deal with them 16 firmly and fairly. I can say this to you: It wouldn't 17 be tolerated. 18 MR. PITTS: When you say that you didn't 19 know the student was black a moment ago, was that 20 referring to the gun situation? 21 MR. MYERS: The earlier comment of the 22 young man, yes. 23 MR. PITTS: I think that someone else 24 said there was a white student that took the gun to

1 school. MS. TURNER: A qun, this was a BB qun 2 but this boy was white. He got nine days. 3 MR. PITTS: He was given a nine-day 4 suspension, as I understood it, and yet when the black 5 6 child who did not have the gun at the time was in the 7 possession of --I'm not familiar with the --8 MR. MYERS: 9 MR. PITTS: May I finish? 10 MR. MYERS: I don't know what the other 11 situation was you were referring to, but I can assure you 12 this --13 MR. PITTS: We are talking about a gun, 14 Mr. Myers. 15 MR. MYERS: When it comes to guns in 16 the Logan County school system and where I'm aware of it, it will be dealt with. I can't deal with situations if 17 18 I'm not aware of it. 19 This is the problem of white MR. PITTS: 20 people not wanting to hear black people. This is the 21 problem. The problem is every time that a black person 22 raises an issue, it's like, Well, you got to convince me 23 that that is really what happened. 24 This is an allegation. You have sat here. You

have heard -- this lady has no reason to come and to give something false to us, and if it proves out to be false, then we would disregard it from the report.

My question now deals with the disparity in disciplinary treatment of children, and my question to you is: Why is a black student who has -- who doesn't even have the gun, is suspended from school altogether; a white student who has a hand weapon is only given a ten-day suspension?

I mean, My God, you have got to have some -
MR. MYERS: I'm just sitting here dealing
with -- taking the situation, and it's being dealt with,
so I'm not going to be able to discuss it.

But I would say this to you, it had nothing to do with, from where I dealt with it, as to the nationality, the race, the religion or anything dealing with that student.

I dealt with the situation and the student involved not knowing whether the student -- I don't have any idea who the other student was, whether the other student is a racist student, religion, parents' background, parents' job, whatever it is.

And frankly whether it was -- whoever it was I would have dealt with the situation in the same manner.

Now if something is brought forth to show that our 1 2 decision was wrong or should be re-examined, I will 3 certainly re-examine it at that point. MR. PITTS: Let me finish please. just let me get some clarification. Which incident 5 6 occurred first? MS. TURNER: The white boy brought the --7 Mr. Lonker informed me that this was before --8 9 MR. LONKER: The way I understand this is 10 that the boy was suspended for nine days, and the court 11 put him back in school. They were going for expulsion. 12 The way I understand it, Moss, you might 13 shed some light on that, I don't know. And I understand 14 the courts put him back in school. They could not do 15 anything with them. Supposedly --16 MR. PITTS: If you already have that --17 if you already had that court decision --18 MR. MYERS: I wasn't involved in that. 19 MR. PITTS: It's like, let me tell you 20 what a problem is. White people in America 21 constantly say, quote, We never had slaves; it was our 22 forefathers. But you are unwilling to say, We are sorry 23 that we brought you from Africa; that you worked in 24 our fields as slaves, and now we begin the healing

process.

This county cannot heal until somebody decides to take a stand for what is right. Now, I heard Ms.

Hairston talk about the leadership. To me I'm asking the leadership of the school here in this district if you are willing to make a commitment to what is right.

You don't have to have much of a problem with telling me whether or not the white child should have been suspended for the full time, but the black child is going to be suspended for the full time who didn't have a gun.

You can understand that that is disparate treatment. You can understand why the black community will rise up, or perhaps you can't.

MR. MYERS: Let me just say something. I make no apologies to you or anybody in this room for taking action against students who were involved in bring a gun into the schools of Logan County.

I sent a message here today. I will send a message tomorrow, and I will send it next week; it's not going to be tolerated, period. Whoever it is.

MR. PITTS: I also heard you say that if somebody felt that you made the wrong decision, did I understand that to be that you would reconsider the case

on the black child or that you would not?

MR. MYERS: I would reconsider -- what I said was, if there is additional information than what I'm aware of in relationship to that matter, that would be considered.

But as of what I have right now, I make no apologies to anybody here, and let me tell you something, we are also responsible for the safety and welfare of the youngsters. And if the same situation would have occurred in his school, I would have dealt with it in the very same manner at least with the information I have, or any other school.

MR. KUSIC: Can I ask a question? I know in the legal system there is an attempt to try to make sure that if someone does a crime in a certain area of the country, let's say Florida, that he is treated the same way as someone is treated in Michigan.

In other words, if I shoot someone in Florida and I shoot someone in Michigan, the same person is treated the same way.

Is there any mechanism in the school system to review suspensions to see that they appear to be equal?

In other words, that all ten-day suspensions or 20-day suspensions or expels, the crimes are sort of the

same, that the punishment meets the crime? 1 Is there any review mechanism? 2 3 understand the mechanism that is there. Does the 4 superintendent suspend everybody? MR. MYERS: Principals have the authority 5 to suspend students from school. When it comes to the --6 7 MR. KUSIC: Do we view those? MR. MYERS: Not all those 8 suspensions. Now, major suspensions usually come to my 9 10 desk, yes. Expulsions, yes. Expulsion is made upon the recommendation of the principal to the superintendent; 11 12 it is reviewed at that level. Then that action is 13 recommended onto the people that they report to, and in 14 general that would be made to the board of education, the 15 only people that have the authority to expel students 16 from school, so I am familiar with expulsions. 17 MR. KUSIC: So all expulsions would go 18 through you? 19 MR. MYERS: All expulsions would go 20 through the superintendent. 21 MR. KUSIC: But if it was not an 22 expulsion, you probably wouldn't review it? 23 MR. MYERS: Not in all cases unless it 24 would be severe situations.

MR. KUSIC: In other words -- I'm just 1 2 saying, for example --Have we reviewed all 3 MR. MYERS: suspensions and so forth? 4 In theory. 5 MR. KUSIC: I am not as familiar. MR. MYERS: 6 7 course, I was ten years in the system I was in before 8 and had a mechanism set up there. I'm not familiar 9 here with it, no. 10 MR. KUSIC: In theory, principal A -- in theory, and let's say Ohio County, principal A, a student 11 12 could have come in with a high-powered weapon and that 13 principal could have said, I'm just going to suspend you 14 for a couple days. 15 In school B the same weapon could have come into 16 Ohio County and that principal could you have said, I 17 recommend that you be expelled from school. 18 MR. MYERS: In this county there is a policy that governs. Of course, the severity, that is a 19 pretty severe example you gave, and I think there is 20 21 a policy that covers that; and it all should be dealt 22 with in the same manner. Other things resolve 23 differently by different principals given the situation

24

that exists.

1	MR. PITTS: Let me finish. I still have
2	some concerns here. I heard you to say, Superintendent
3	Myers, that black people, some of those that were sitting
4	here in this room today
5	MR. MYERS: I'm not hearing you.
6	MR. PITTS: That black people from the
7	community, many of them that were in this room today have
8	been willing to come and to try and discuss ways to
9	resolve the problems.
10	Have white people had that same opportunity?
11	MR. MYERS: You mean to be here today?
12	MR. PITTS: No, to be in this session
13	with the black people that were that came to the school
14	and sat down and tried to resolve the problems.
15	MR. MYERS: I mean, from the community?
16	MR. PITTS: Yes.
17	MR. MYERS: No, because let me just say
18	that I think when we dealt with this there was a
19	committee set up prior to my coming here. Jim, I don't
20	know who was chairman of that. I kind of contacted
21	whoever that was and had them contacted to kind of set up
22	the group that came.
23	We did involve we did expand the group
24	to involve principals at all levels. But thinking back,

probably the only person that was -- you came in; right?

Joan might be better able to respond to that.

MS. HAIRSTON: After the race riots and the first time in the school -- was it 1990 or 1991 -- we formed a minority action committee. It was open to whites.

We had one or two whites who would come to meetings, because they -- they perceived it as a black problem. You know, it happens to you black people. Ever though it happened between black and white, they perceived it as a black problem.

When Mr. Myers came and we met with him -- it was sanctioned by the board of education, too, by the way. When Mr. Myers came, he did include the principals who are white. He got one from the junior high level, the grade school and the high school level, Mr. Lonker.

And in all fairness, that was one step in the right direction because we got to incorporate and talk to people we needed to. That's why we are having this forum and hopefully this will reach out to the white community who perceived this as a black problem, and it's not.

We are not fighting among ourselves. We are not fighting with ourselves. This is not black on black.

1	This is a problem, but the white community sees it as a
Į	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	black problem. You can look out in the audience and tell
3	that.
4	MR. PITTS: If I may continue. Mr.
5	Lonker, you are the principal of the high school. What
6	is your stated policy or understanding among your
7	teachers and yourself of inter-ethnic dating?
8	How do you perceive that? How do you handle that
9	in the school system at your high school?
10	MR. LONKER: We do not have a policy.
11	There is no policy. If a person wants to do that, that
12	is their prerogative.
13	MR. PITTS: So if there is inter-ethnic
14	dating in your school, teachers and nobody speaks against
15	that?
16	MR. LONKER: No, sir. They may speak
17	against it privately, but they don't openly, no, sir.
18	MR. PITTS: And it has not been brought to
19	your attention?
20	MR. LONKER: I didn't hear you?
21	MR. PITTS: It has not been brought to
22	your attention.
23	MR. LONKER: I'm sure some people are
24	against it, yes, sir.

MR. PITTS: Mr. Myers, what is the board 1 2 of education's position on inter-ethnic dating? MR. MYERS: I have a hard time hearing. 3 I'm deaf on one ear to the side you're speaking to me. 4 MR. PITTS: I'm from a long line of 5 6 preachers, and I can crank it up. What is your position on inter-ethnic dating in 7 8 the school system here in this county? 9 MR. MYERS: I have no position whatsoever. Any boy that I would have on -- I grew up in a Protestant 10 community as to where, I suppose, Protestants dating 11 catholics was an issue at one time. I never had any 12 13 feeling one way or the other. 14 MR. PITTS: Protestants dating catholics 15 is relatively a white issue, isn't it, and it's one of --16 MR. MYERS: In the community I grew up in 17 it was very much of an issue, and it was a segregated 18 issue within that community, within the church. 19 The thing I'm saying to you is the board of 20 education or myself certainly has no policy or intent 21 to dictate who students date or who they don't date. That's an individual decision for those individuals, 22 23 whoever that might be. 24 MR. PITTS: We have heard evidence here,

and you were sitting here when the person gave testimony that her son worked in the office, and because he had some relationship with a little white girl that he was totally removed from the situation. MS. TURNER: This is from junior high. I don't care, but it's in MR. PITTS: this district, and my position is to you -- or my question is: Was it right to remove that child from his little job in the office because he had a relationship with the little white girl? MR. MYERS: Absolutely not. It appears to me from what I MR. PITTS: have heard that our children are dying in the school system, and no one really cares about it. There is no position that protects them in any situation basically unless they are athletes, and what I'm trying to come down to is whether or not from you, Mr. Superintendent, there is going to be a commitment to see that these children, whether they are dating inter-ethnically or not, are going to be protected in the school system. MR. MYERS: What do you mean by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"protected."

MR PITTS: Don't you think they nee

MR. PITTS: Don't you think they need to be protected? To me one of the greatest things that a

youngster could do --

MR. MYERS: I don't think the school system should be involved in who date's who. If you are asking me if we have people within the school system that are trying to dictate students telling them who to date for either racial, ethnic, religious or whatever reasons, then the answer is absolutely not.

And we certainly don't condone that. We discourage that from every standpoint and discourage it strongly. That is none of their business.

MR. PITTS: We are skirting the real issue. The real issue is -- I'm looking at a child who takes pride in doing something in terms of dealing with working in this office that he was working in, and all of a sudden he is stripped of that.

And certainly this hurts him very deeply. The child is wounded, and we just excuse it and say, Well, we are not going to take any position; we are not going to do anything.

MR. MYERS: I don't think that is what I am saying. The thing I'm say is this: You are talking about a different issue. You are talking about where somebody has made a decision in a school to take somebody out of a situation, and I would certainly say to you if

I was aware of that situation, we would deal with it appropriately.

But that wouldn't be any basis -- if the student was doing something they shouldn't have been doing, and I'm talking about involving money or anything of that nature, but if it's over the issue that you're certainly talking about, that is certainly no issue to remove that student.

I would hope that we develop a school system and develop an attitude that encourages every young person to develop a positive attitude about themselves in the system. That is the only way we can do it and at the same time their personal worthiness as a human being and the respect for other human beings of their personal worthiness.

MR. PITTS: My question is that in developing your policies isn't there some type of policy that you could develop that would speak to that kind of issue and let everyone beneath you in the school system know that that is a policy?

MR. MYERS: Let me say this to you; you are going to have to be the judge. I can develop like -- or Mr. Ward can develop all kinds of written policy, but I still think there are deeds that are going to speak

higher than written policies will.

If there are written policies, people might conform to them on the surface, but hopefully through leadership of people to my right and people on my left and their fellow colleagues that we can develop an attitude that that is not tolerated, not even thought.

I could sit here -- you can't develop a policy for every issue. If we are going to say we are going to develop a policy, that may be more of a mission statement, but the personal worthiness of our students is a primary objective of this school system, yes, I'think that is.

To develop a policy to say you are not going to do this, and you are not going to do that, I think it needs to be much broader than that. We need to work with people to insure that those kind of things you just related to me don't happen, and I sincerely -- I say this with all sincerity: I commit myself to that.

MR. PITTS: Thank you. I heard you say earlier that, and you can correct me, that the school system merely reflects our society or our community.

My question to you as an educator: Should not our communities be a reflection of our schools?

MR. MYERS: Let me think about that one.

That is a pretty good comment.

MR. PITTS: While you think about that, let me preface what I am saying so you can understand what I'm saying.

Several years ago I came into this county on an investigation of a situation under the appointment of the federal district court, and I turned up into, I believe it was, Mill Creek Hollow, and the first thing that greeted me was a big sign that says, No niggers; white man's land.

As I drove further up into the hollow it was written across the huge rocks, side of mountains, banners across the road, tacked on trees, and then you know how they do the big railroad crossing that tells you danger? Right dead in the middle of the street and the highway there is this big sign written.

This is what I perceive as an attitude, and I know that if that is what is happening in communities and another community has a sign that says, No racial fool should come here or something to that effect, now if these kind of signs are all over the community, is there a real expectation that the attitudes of white people in this community can ever be changed --

MR. MYERS: That is what --

MR. PITTS: -- through education or

otherwise?

MR. MYERS: That is why I was a little hesitant to answer your question when you said could we be what the community is. And when I speak of the school being -- and I'm talking about society of school, the action of students, that the people being a reflection of that society. I didn't mean that that shouldn't be changed, because I think we do have -- and I don't want to insinuate.

I said earlier, and maybe I went overboard with that, that we can't change anything, but I do believe we are a part of the change process. We are not the answer to it all, but we are a part of that answer; and I would hope we never lose sight of it.

MS. HAIRSTON: On that note, our moderator is gone. It's 20 after 4:00. I have two more names on this sheet, and I saw one hand go up.

Mary Catherine Buchmelter, Joanne, did you all mean to speak to these school issues or did you sign this sheet? Your names are on the sheet. What I'm asking right now, do you want to speak to this issue from the audience, or did you sign up on this sheet.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can. I can

speak to both. This morning I would have liked to be here, but I had an appointment -- on that racism situation, because was a victim of it.

MS. HAIRSTON: Okay. After Mary Kay
I have Alex Nelms and Joanne Nelms on here. I am tired.
Everybody in here is tired. I have about had it, and I
do plan to go home today.

We will follow those three issues as it is. If the Commissioners want to question, so be it and that will be it; and I will thank every one of you for being here.

MS. BUCHMELTER: Mine is brief. About the policy, civil rights lawyers are strong believers in policy. Policy reflects your attitude, and it doesn't cost you anything. It let's people know where you are.

What about a policy -- I recommend to employers all the time what about a policy that says students in this school system have a right to an atmosphere free from sexual and racial harassment.

Anyone who believes they are being sexually or racially harassed can have recourse in the following manner. It's real simple. If you believe it, you do believe it, and when it's written it gives the official sanction.

Now, you're right when you say that just because things are written down doesn't mean they believe in them. Those were the original criticisms of civil rights law. A law can't make people change the way they think. That's true. But laws make people change the way they act. And so do policy.

And after people act a certain way long enough, they start learning that way. That is mine.

CORA HAIRSTON: Can I say this, Ms.

Hairston? Mr. Lonker just said they have that policy

that she is talking about, but they first have to come

forth to them, and I think that is where the problem is.

They're waiting -- nobody in the leadership roles want to take any action on enforcing what is already, he says, is written down. If you have that written down and you are waiting for a 10th grade girl to come and tell you something, you will be waiting forever.

So you need to let everybody know when they walk through the door the first day, this is the leadership role. It will not be tolerated. You don't only read it; you do it.

And it starts from the head down. If the head is just going to let it happen when it happen, it never happens. That is the reason I say the leadership has to

2	MR. LONKER: Can I suspend you for
3	
- 1	fighting before you fight?
4	CORA HAIRSTON: We are not talking about
5	fighting before you fight. We are talking about what you
6	have written in black and white.
7	MR. LONKER: You have got to do something
8	before
9	CORA HAIRSTON: No, no. You are
10	misunderstanding, deliberately, I believe.
11	MR. LONKER: No.
12	CORA HAIRSTON: If the policy if it's
13	written there, and in the first place if a student knows
14	that they have got some protection before an incident
15	happens, if a student feels that they can feel
16	comfortable in going to the leader in the school or the
17	principal or whatever, and say, I just overheard
18	something so-and-so and so-and-so said because they know
19	that something will be enforced about it, then you will
20	get somebody to come forward.
_	But when they don't have that comfortableness
21	
21	about them, they don't feel like they can.
	about them, they don't feel like they can. MR. LONKER: I hope we do.

MR. LONKER: I hope if they go to Mr. Burgess, they go to me. He has before. I can name a lot of teachers they go to.

MS. HAIRSTON: All right. Alex or Joanne, do you have anything to say about racial or sexual tensions in the schools?

ALEX NELMS: Well, I can't go to school because they haven't had transportation out there about nine years. They had a lot of it on those buses, and I would report it to my supervisor. They did nothing about it. They sweep a whole lot of stuff under the carpet, and I would like to see something done about racial.

Set up some kind of a machine in which -- it happens, first started with the individuals and our school -- when what you were talking about down at Mill Creek there is in front of that school inside about 10 or 15 feet up above that school, No niggers. Right in the school there.

And those children there from the 1st through the 6th grade I handle those children there for about six weeks, and those children, they don't know what it's all about. And I see this thing there and other boys go talking about it saying they'll put it right back on the

1 limb. 2 MR. KUSIC: Excuse me. Where is that? 3 ALEX NELMS: It's down on Mill Creek. 4 was put down there, and I got burned out down there. 5 MR. CHUN: Is it there now? 6 ALEX NELMS: It's down there until this 7 boy -- what they got on the house here, you get out there 8 and take it off. And the deputy told me that is what is 9 going to be done. It's on the road right in the front of 10 the school. It's up above the school when you go around 11 the curved hill. 12 I go down there all the time, because we had got 13 property down there. It's still there. There is sign 14 up there, Nigger, Nigger, and the boys who put 15 the sign up, he's paying. He's in the pen right now, 16 and the judge made him come back and apologize to me 17 what he had done because of my race. 18 MS. HAIRSTON: Are there anymore 19 statements? 20 JOANNE NELMS: Yes. After we got burned 21 out down there we had to move to Omar, and we were 22 harassed at Omar and live at Mill Creek. 23 We have had KKK wrote on all over the bridges 24 up there. We was harassed by people. White people

harassed us down at Mill Creek. Black people harassed us 1 2 up at Omar. We get it coming and going from both sides. 3 I think that most people are racists people. MS. HAIRSTON: I think sometimes -- this 5 is going to be the final statement. When people holler 6 harassment, they have legitimate gripes. When people 7 holler other things, this is to deal with racial tensions 8 in schools and high school. 9 Because, you see, I beg to differ with you on 10 that issue, because I'm one of the families you are 11 talking about. 12 I'm not blaming it on the --JOANNE NELMS: 13 MS. HAIRSTON: I'm blaming-it to put it on 14 It had nothing to do with race. If it's not 15 pertaining to schools, that is it. We thank you for 16 being here. 17 ROSEMARY BRADSHER: I want to say 18 something. I know you are saying this is not pertaining 19 to schools, but I have a problem with this town; and I 20 have been here all my life. I'm 39 years old. 21 I have a complaint with the Human Rights 22 Commission about Logan General Hospital which the West 23 Virginia Human Rights had to go to Sharples to give me a

job because of the man that is on the board for mental

24

health where I got fired in '74.

Okay. So they did -- the Human Rights Commission made me go into the hospital and rehire me back at the hospital because they were on -- the administrator was on the board for mental health. I worked there nine and a half years. They had a big cut off there. Okay. I was an aid, a nurses' assistant.

When they cut off the nurses' assistants and some of the orderlies, they hired other people in different positions which a applied. Okay. They sent me a certified letter telling me to come in immediately because they are not going to post that job, and if you want the job, come in and apply.

I went in and applied for the job, and everybody that -- I think there was like ten people in line besides myself. All of those that were in that line got the position but me. They said that there wasn't enough evidence to prove that they were doing anything against me.

MS. HAIRSTON: Let me just stop you right here. We have the Human Rights Commission here and some of the members of the Civil Rights Commission have agreed to stay for a few minutes or whatever it takes after it.

You need to talk to them. We need to let these people go. We need to thank the panelists for coming. We need to thank the audience. The Civil Rights Commission has assured us this is just a first step. They plan to come back. But we did get some issues out there that we needed to deal with in the school systems and stuff. And not to cut you off; the issue is important. They will be here if you want to come up here and address this. The rest of us, we can go. Thank you. (WHEREUPON, the hearing concluded at 4:20 p.m.)

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, To wit:

I, Eric G. Smead, a Notary Public and
Certified Court Reporter within and for the State
aforesaid, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby
certify that the testimony taken about, was duly
taken by me and before me at the time and place
specified in the caption hereof.

I do further certify that said proceedings were correctly taken by me in stenotype notes, that the same were accurately transcribed out in full and reduced to typewriting, and that said transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties to the action in which these proceedings were had, and further I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

My commission expires the 3rd day of July 2001. Given under my hand and seal on this 9th day of May 1993.

Eric G. Smead, CCR

Notary Public