1	UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS ILLINOIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
2	IN THE MATTER OF:
3	THE FACTFINDING MEETING REGARDING UNEQUAL POLICE
4	PROTECTION OF THE AFRICAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY IN CHICAGO
5	
6	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken in the
7	above-entitled cause, taken before MS. FAYE LYON,
8	Chairperson of the Illinois Advisory Committee of the
9	United States Commission on Civil Rights, taken at
10	the Ralph H. Metcalfe Federal Building, 77 West
11	Jackson Street, Room 331, Chicago, Illinois, at the
12	hour of 9:00 o'clock, a.m.
13	APPEARANCES:
14	CHAIRPERSON: MS. FAYE LYON
15	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. JERRY BLAKEMORE MS. STACI M. YANDLE
16	MR. HUGH SCHWARTZBERG MR. TOM PUGH
17	
18	DIRECTOR: MS. CONSTANCE DAVIS REGIONAL ANALYST: MR. PETER MINARIK
19	COURT REPORTER: MS. VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL
20	HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS
21	
22	as commission on CMIF yights
23	E.S. WINDSON
CCR	( -1
3 Meet. 365	HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1		I_N_D_E_X	
2	PANEL	PRESENTER	PAGE
3	1	MARY POWERS DR. THOMAS REGULUS	10 13
4	•		00
5	2	DENNIS NOWICKI WARREN FRIEDMAN KEVIN T. LEE	36 60 68
6	· 3	JOHN DINEEN	93
7	3	BARBARA PILLOWS	94
8	4	DR. ROBERT T. STARKS DR. BARRY RUNDQUIST	141
9	5	STEVAN M. LYNN	174
10		SYD FINLEY	190
11	6	ALD. WILLIAM BEAVERS	203
12	7	DOREATHEAR E. WASHINGTON	245
13		JANETTE WILSON MARION STAMPS	266 280
14	8	STANDISH E. WILLIS	289
15		PUBLIC SESSION	
16		MARY L. JOHNSON BRUCE JONES	308 316
17		FINONA BRIARD VANCE KIMBER	323 331
18		MARILYN SPEAKS	352
19		AUDWIN SHORT WILLIE CURTIS	363 368
20			
21			
22			

1 CHAIRPERSON LYON: The August 28th meeting 2 of the Illinois State Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights is going to 3 4 commence. We have present today as panel members, at my far right would be Jerry Blakemore. Seated to his 5 6 immediate left is Staci Yandle. Hugh Schwartzberg 7 will be sitting immediately to my right, however he 8 just walked in the door. I'm Faye Lyon, I'm the 9 Chairperson. To my left is Tom Pugh, and to his left is Connie Peters. 10 CHAIRPERSON LYON: And due to the 11 12 invitations that were extended to panel members and I'd like to read into the record letters we have 13 14 received, if there will be any that's going to modify 15 the agenda that has been distributed today. The first letter I wish to read is from Richard Daley. 16 17 the Mayor of the City of Chicago. "Thank you for your kind letter of the invitation for Mayor Richard 18 19 M. Daley to attend the Illinois Advisory Committee's 20 factfindIng meeting of August 28, 1992. Regretfully, 21 the Mayor's schedule does not permit him to accept 22 your invitation at this time. However, it is our

understanding that you are in contact with the office

1	of Police Superintendent Matt Rodriguez as well. We
2	ask that you keep us informed of any future
3	activities." And that's under the signature of
4	Barbara J. Grochala. The second letter I wish to
5	read is from Albert Maule, who was going to be our
6	9th panelist. "I regret that I will not be able to
7	attend the factfinding meeting of the Illinois
8	Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil
9	Rights. As you know, the Police Board's Executive
10	Director and I testified at the extensive hearings
i 1	the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights held in Chicago
12	in June regarding a wide range of police-related
13	topics, including excessive force. We also furnished
14	substantial statistical data to the Commission on the
15	Board's work. As I understand the proposition framed
16	by the Committee, 'Unequal Police Protect of the
17	African American Community in Chicago', the issue is
18	whether the Chicago Police Department has been
19	deliberately furnishing a lower level of police
20	services to predominantly African American parts of
21	the City, compared to the level of police services it
22	furnishes to other City neighborhoods. The Board
23	does not have any empirical data supporting or

refuting this proposition. You can rest assured that if the Board had such data or reason to believe the proposition were true, we would have demanded long ago that the Department correct such an obviously reprehensible situation. When I was first contacted by your office earlier this month about appearing at the Advisory Committee meeting, I requested any statistical evidence the Advisory Committee has supporting the notion that a race-based disparity in police services exists in Chicago. I have not received any such data. The Police Department, not the Police Board, is responsible for maintaining and deploying police services throughout the City. The Board would be very interested in hearing, however, whether the Advisory Committee develops any meaningful data supporting or refuting the existence of a disparity in police services on the basis of race. If there is a veriflable, race-based disparity, I certainly would do everything within my power as President of the Police Board to work to persuade Superintendent Rodriguez to correct the situation immediately. Based on what I know about Superintendent Rodriguez, however, I'm confident that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	he would not tolerate a race-based disparity in
2	police services for one second." The last letter I
3	wish to read is from John Klein, he is from the City
4	of Chicago, Department of Police. "The
5	Superintendent of the Chicago Police Department has
6	asked me to respond to your letter of August 6, 1992
7	inviting him to participate in an upcoming meeting
8	with the Illinois Advisory Committee to the U.S.
9	Commission on Civil Rights. Although Superintendent
10	Rodriguez will not be able to attend this meeting,
11	the Chicago Police Department already has
12	participated in and continues to cooperate with the
13	recent factfinding efforts conducted by the United
14	States Commission on Civil Rights. These efforts
15	include Superintendent Rodriguez's and other Chicago
16	Police Department officials testifying at the three
17	day hearing conducted in June, and the Department's
18	production of tens of thousands of documents. The
19	Department continues to complle additional
20	information requested by the Commission. It is
21	important to note that the assumption upon which the
22	title to your meetings is based is false. The
23	information the City of Chicago has provided the

.5
Α,
0

Commission, when impartially viewed, makes clear that
the deployment of police in the City of Chicago is
provided on an equal basis and is a function of crime
pattern analysis. I have enclosed a summary of the
findings of a consulting firm the City has retained
which recommends changes in the way police services
are provided to the residents of Chicago. Beginning
next week, a series of community hearings will be
held by the City to receive public comments on these
recommendations. A schedule of these hearings is
enclosed. Copies of the full report are now being
distributed to every branch library in the City of
Chicago. John J. Klein, General Counsel to
Superintendent" Matt Rodriguez.
Therefore, at 9:00 o'clock we're going
to have Mary Powers from Citizens Alert be speaking
instead of Albert Maule, which is represented under
the 9:00 o'clock agenda. We are also going to have
Thomas Regulus of the Loyola University speaking at
that time. Before the panelists come to speak, I'd
like to make an opening comment.
The meeting of the Illinois Advisory
Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

. 1

12 .

1	shall come to order. For the benefit of those in our
2	audience, I shall introduce myself and my colleagues.
3	We are here to conduct a factfinding meeting for the
4	purpose of gathering information on unequal police
5	protection of the African American community in the
6	City of Chicago. The jurisdiction of the Commission
7	includes discrimination or denial of equal protection
8	of laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age,
9	disability, national religion or the administration
10	of justice. Information which relates to the topic
11	of the forum which will be especially helpful to the
12	Advisory Committee. Proceedings of this meeting,
13	which are being recorded by a public stenographer,
14	will be sent to the Commission for it's advice and
15	consideration. Information provided may also be used
16	by the Advisory Committee to plan future activities.
17	at the outset, I want to remind
18	everyone present of the ground rules. This is a
19	public meeting open to the media and the general
20	public. We have a very full schedule of people who
21	will be making presentations in the limited time we
22	have available, and the time allotted for each
23	presentation must be strictly adhered to. This will

1 include a presentation by each participant followed 2 by questions from committee members. To accommodate 3 persons who have not been invited, but wish to make 4 statements, we have scheduled an open session at 5:30 5 p.m.. Anyone wishing to make a statement during that 6 period should contact Peter Minarik for scheduling. 7 Does everybody know who Peter is. 8 Written statements may be submitted to 9 the committee members or staff here today or by mail 10 to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 175 West 11 Jackson, Suite A-1332, Chicago Illinois, 60604. The 12 record of this meeting will close oh September 30th. 13 1992. 14 Though some of the statements made today may be controversial, we want to ensure that 15 16 all invited guests do not defame or degrade any 17 person or organization. In order to ensure that all 18 aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of experience and view 19 20 points have been invited to share information with 21 us. Any person or any organization that feels 22 defamed or degraded by statemetns made in these

proceedings shall contact our staff during the

1	meeting so that we can provide a chance for public
2	response. Alternately, such persons or organizations
3	can file written statements for inclusion in the
4	proceedings. I urge all persons making presentations
5	to be judicious in their statements.
6	The Advisory Committee appreciates the
7	willingness of all participants to share their views
8	and experience with the committee.
9	I'm now going to ask the panelist, Mary
10	Powers and Dr. Thomas Regulus if they are present, to
11	please take seats at the table, please.
12	I'm going to ask Ms. Powers to first
13	give a brief statement as to her comments today.
14	PANEL 1
15	MARY POWERS
16	Citizens Alert
17	I'm Mary Powers, Coordinator of
18	Citizens Alert. It's a police watchdog group
19	concerned with police issues in Chicago for the past
20	25 years. We are an accountable organization and are
21	concerned about deployment policies, procedures of
22	the Department. But most of our interaction with the
23	public is to do with complaints of brutality, use of

1	excessive force and that sorts of thing rather than
2	response time or service provided. So, I do want to
3	put my remarks in that context. By far the number of
4	complaints that we receive are from communities of
5	color, and largely from the African American
6	community. I really did have some reservations about
7	really talking about the perspective of the
8	community, and I'm glad people from the community
9	will be here to present those remarks later on. Ours
10	are it's an objective, in a way objective
11	observation of what we, the information that we
12	receive. But, at the same time, it isn't really from
13	the perspective of service or response. I am sorry
14	that the police department isn't going to be
15	represented because I think it's unfortunate that
16	this early in the administration of Superintendent
17	Rodriguez, who has given every indication that he has
18	great concern for equal service and response to all
19	communities, that he may end up taking the rap for
20	inequities that may have taken place in the past.
21	You may be familiar, and I'm sorry I
22	didn't bring it, I will bring it this afternoon,
23	copies of his new human rights policy, which is an

1	unheard of policy, 48 pages. It's been requested in
2	departments all over the country, spelling out not
3	only values that he wants the Department to
4	demonstrate in dealing with the public, but also
5	procedures and practices, very detailed responses of
6	what they should do and in questionable situations.
7	And I think that that indicates maybe a new approach
8	to dealing with the public. So, I do want to submit
9	that, maybe not on the behalf of the Department, but
10	certainly we are very much impressed with it.
11	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Let me state this,
12	because we could go into many and varied aspects of
13	the Police Department's activities in the City of
14	Chicago. We've not specifically limited to the
15	police brutality because we do think the U.S.
16	Commission is delving into that issue. They've
17	requested more documents. We wanted to be a little
18	more focused and discuss strictly the equality of
19	service, and maybe a citizen's group would be an
20	alternative means at least a supportive means to the
21	Police Department in servicing the community. And
22	maybe the committee members here would have pertinent
23	questions to ask in that regard to give you a little

1	more direction in some of your comments. But, we are
2	steering clear of the Issue of police brutality.
3	MS. POWERS: I understood that, that's why
4	I really didn't prepare any formal presentation, but
5	thought that I would be happy to respond to any
6	questions or comments.
7	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I think you're the only
8	really citizens group that we have here, so we are
9	interested in input and how your volunteers feel
10	about the organization and how they function and how
11	they work? How widely received is the program? How
12	it operates. So I'll turn to Dr. Regulus. Could you
13	give us an introductory statement?
14	DR. THOMAS REGULUS
15	Loyola University
16	Certainly. I'm Tom Regulus from Loyola
17	University. My comments are not related to any
18	direct specific work or research I have done as it
19	relates to police inequality. My work has generally
20	been, as it relates to this particular issue, more
21	the issue related to the area of police/community
22	relations, public attitude towards the police;
23	particularly minority attitude. In spite of the fact

i	that my own research has not delved specifically into
2	the quality of service issue or brutality issue, I'm
3	a great consumer of that literature, and to the
4	extent that I have drawn some conclusions about it,
5	primarily on the national level, there has not been
6	an extremely large amount of publicly disclosed
7	research on this Chicago situation. But, to the
8	extent that there has, and I'm privy to it, I
9	certainly would be happy to comment on those things.
10	So I think that's about what you would want from my
11	introduction.
12	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm going to open it up
13	to the committee members to ask questions.
14	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: The question really goes
15	both. We have been told by this letter from John
16	Kiein, the general counsel for the Superintendent,
17	that the deployment of police is the function of the
18	crime pattern analysis. And it is, in fact, our
19	understanding that when almost 30 years ago, O.W.
20	Wilson came in, he established a pattern of analysis,
21	a so-called weighted workload analysis. In an effort
22	to ensure that different communities secured
22	protection based on the crime within these

1	communities. It's our understanding that
2	periodically there must be new research done in order
3	to ensure that the WWL or weighted work load analysis
4	is, in fact, brought up to date. And it's also, I
5	assume, true, and there's some anecdotal evidence
6	that there is pressure within any system to respond
7	to those who complain loudest. And in the 19th
8	century Jane Adams, almost a hundred year ago, Jane
9	Adams speaking of that problem in the City says the
10	squeaky axle gets the grease. Highly verbal
11	individuals brought into the city by the community
12	police workshops that I and others helped establish
13	30 years ago now help move into different
14	communities, resources in response to their
15	complaints. We want to know to what extent the
16	underlying mathematics of the supposed WWL analysis
17	is effective. We want to know to what extent is this
18	really a function at this point of an ongoing
19	periodically reviewed quasi mathematical analysis?
20	Is there anything either of you can do, either on
21	that very specific tact or under the general question
22	of crime pattern analysis and deployment in the City?
23	MS POWERS. I'd just like to say this A

1	few months ago I think it was during the transition
2	period, either shortly before the Superintendent was
3	appointed or shortly after, there were newspaper
4	accounts of the deployment practices not having been
5	changed for I think 8 years and that would certainly
6	indicate, if that's true, and I think Superintendent
7	Martin indicated that it was that, you know, they
8	were not keeping current as far as crime rate
9	determining deployment. Eight years seems an
10	increadibly
11	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: If I remember, when O.W.
12	Wilson was Professor Wilson, he suggested that that
13	should be done every 2 years.
14	MS. POWERS: And I would think so.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Perhaps Professor Regulus
16	could help us on that.
17	DR. REGULUS: I have no way of knowing
18	what the review practices would have been as It
19	relates to that type of equation. I see you have Dr.
20	Barry Rundquist from the University of Illinois. I
21	guess he's scheduled this afternoon. He did a piece,
22	I think you're probably familiar with it, sought a
23	look at the natrol ratios across the various

1	districts by race. And I think I will his
2	particular piece of research will be illuminating in
3	that respect. The only thing I can say, again, I
4	can't answer, and respond in a direct sense in that
5	regard. One of the concerns is that the notion of
6	inequality and in the distribution of services and
7	the notion of effectiveness, obviously the
8	distribution of services, if you find an objective
9	criteria, define it on the basis of crime rate or a
0	variety of things. And if you distribute those
1	things according to ratios of crime and alleviate
2	political pressure. There's no way that can ever be
13	dissolved. So, you probably do have some combination
14	of crime rate versus political pressure, which
15	influences those things. The other thing that is
16	crucial is defense effectiveness; that's an illusive
17	term to the extent that I'm not sure that anyone,
18	particularly in Chicago, has really looked at that
19	issue of manpower patrol distribution relative to the
20	effectiveness in terms of crime deterrence or that
21	kind of thing. But that, I think, is a critical
22	issue. Inequality, justifying that you're going to
23	get a lot of different definition. Rundquist talks

1 about do you define distribution based on total crime 2 versus violent crimes versus other kinds of things. 3 He has some very interesting findings in that 4 respect. And according -- I don't want to represent 5 him, but his particular piece of research suggests 6 that in the fall of 1991 the Chicago Police patrols 7 were equally distributed more or less when you 8 considered total crime and total index crime, but 9 there was a disparity in the distribution if you use 10 as the measure violent crimes. In other words, as a 11 greater service need for violent crime intervention 12 in black and minority communities than white communities. So, again, it depends upon -- part of 13 14 the issue is simply whether there's an equation to 15 look at the incidents of crime as a function of how 16 you distribute your manpower and your resources. 17 But, it could get much more detailed and refined. 18 Are you talking about all crime or violent crime? 19 It's a real tricky issue. 20 I think it would be wise for the Police 21 Department to articulate specifically which one and how they're using it. But Dr. Barry Rundquist, I 22 23 would encourage you to question him closely on that

1	issue.
2	MR. PUGH: Are you saying generally that
3	the violent crime areas are not getting relatively as
4	much police as
5	DR. REGULUS: I'm saying a reading of his
6	particular piece of research suggests that there's a
7	disparity in the distribution of manpower as it
8	relates to violent crime, but not in terms of total
9	crime or total index crime.
10	MS. YANDLE: A question for Ms. Powers.
11	Can you give us some idea of the scope of your
12	organization in terms of how widespread your
13	activities are and as a result of whatever the scope
14	is, do you, from your work, from your organization of
15	work, have any sort of feel as to whether or not
16	there may be or are disparity according to whether it
17	be by district or any type of geographics.
18	MS. POWERS: We are a citywide
19	organization, but we really aren't able to be too
20	proactive. We respond mostly to complaints and that
21	sort of thing aside from some sort of routine
22	monitoring of Police Board meetings on a monthly
23	hasis and narticular events like that Wo've had a

1	particular interest in CHA, Chicago Housing
2	Authority. Some of our members, Board Members, have
3	been residents of CHA. Our former co-chair had a
4	local advisory council at Alba Homes and there, we
5	have the, had certainly had the feeling from people
6	we know and from complaint that we've had that the
7	feeling is that they are not adequately protected.
8	There are all sorts of reasons, as we all know, that
9	that can be difficult. But, I think actually across
10	the board the people of color with whom we have dealt
11	have felt that their communities have been
12	overpoliced and underprotected. And there may be a
13	large police presence and you may see cars going by
14	and you may, but when it comes right down to dealing
15	with the safety of the community and dealing with
16	specific problems that they bring to the police, that
17	there's a definite dissatisfaction with that degree
18	of service. That may very well be in the white
19	community as well, but because we deal mostly with
20	communities of color, it hard to say that. We, I
21	think this very fact that we do though, that our
22	complaints come largely from those communities says
23	something, that there isn't that degree of

1	dissatisfaction or people in other communities may
2	feel they have the resources to deal with those
3	problems without seeking assistance from our
4	organization.
5	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Had the assumption exists
6	on the part of some that as you move down the
7	socioeconomical ladder, people are less likely to
8	complain, particularly about minor crime. Has that
9	been borne out by your experience? Is there
10	anything that either of you can point to that would
11	indicate that, in fact, people are less likely to
12	complaint about minor crime from the more violent
13	areas or the areas of lower socioeconomic stage?
14	DR. REGULUS: That's been shown clearly in
15	a number of ways nationally. At any rate, poor
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Can you give us some
17	examples?
18	DR. REGULUS: Specifics of research compare
19	the national crime survey which is a victimization
20	survey which asks households about the incidents of
21	victimizations of all sorts. And you look at racial
22	socioeconomic breakdowns and then if you go and
23	compare those to calls to service or of crimes

reported at a national level, using official data, 1 FBI data, you find that there's a great disparity in 2 terms of what people say when they're asked directly 3 as to whether they've been victimized compared to 4 what the official data shows. You see a large 5 6 disparity of less serious crimes being reported to 7 official agencies by your socioeconomic minority 8 people. 9 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: So, if someone is going to 10 assign police based on rated workload analysis of crimes in particular areas, some degree of 11 12 consideration should presumably be given to the 13 tendencies not to report crimes in certain areas. Is 14 that fair? Is that a fair statement, assuming one 15 was going to assign police on a weighted work load 16 analysis program. 17 DR. REGULUS: That's a good assumption, whether or not that's a practical assumption, I tend 18 19 to play between the practical pragmatic versus the 20 ideally. Ideally I think you're correct, 21 pragmatically, I'm not sure whether or not the pay 22 off would be that great. I want to add on something

that Mary commented on to illustrate what I mean by

1 the pragmatics of it. 2 Citizens she has worked with, she reports have commented they feel overpoliced, but 3 4 less protected. What's the perceptual issue there? 5 In other words, they don't necessarily express not to 6 here a lack of police presence, but whether or not 7 that presence, in fact, ensures a measure of safety. 8 Now, I'll put it another way. If you numerically 9 talk about increasing the allocation of police to 10 minority communities, increasing the presence, but there's no demonstrably improvement of the perception 11 12 of service. So, the pragmatic issue is not simply the escalation. Increasing allocation of police 13 14 might be important, but the real issue probably becomes how does one translate that in terms of a 15 service component? 16 17 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Let me ask a question 18 along that line. In Chicago has there been any 19 indication that the presence of police has been 20 significantly increased in any of these high crime 21 violent areas that we know of from experience that it 22 makes very little difference. Have we ever done it,

23

doctor?

1	DR. REGULUS: I don't know per se. I know
2	there has been some discussion, and I think some
3	beginning experiment against notions of community
4	policing. I'm not sure to what extent those are
5	taken hold in the City of Chicago. I suspect that
6	policing minority communities, low income communities
7	is a different phenonemon than policing whites or
8	middle class communities. Strategically, for
9	example, you'll find gangs, et cetera, being much
10	greater concerns of these communities. The strategy
11	of gang policing often has been a very aggressive
12	type of policing strategy. I mean it may or may not
13	be effective with gangs, but it scares the hell out
14	of everybody else in the community, which is a
15	perception. And plus the gangs don't disappear.
16	The point I'm making, I think that part
17	of the issue has to be not simply managing numbers;
18	how many police are allocated, but really doing some
19	good management strategically, how you interact with
20	those citizens, both to install a sense of protection
21	and to increase a sense of cooperation while trying
22	to, in fact, deal with the crimes task. There's a
23	qualitative difference in the needs in terms of the

```
1
        type of policing which I think would be greatly,
        perhaps on the influence.
2
                 MR. PUGH: But, aside from the time of
3
         crises when additional police are brought in, crises
4
5
        neighborhood or at times when police are unleashed
6
        for gang crime has there been any, in memory, efforts
7
        on the part of the Chicago Police Department to
8
         significantly increase coverage in high crime areas.
9
                  DR. REGULUS:
                                 I don't know.
10
                  MS. POWERS: I can't tell you that either
11
         because I think that really has to come from someone
         who has the knowledge of the inner workings of
12
13
         department.
14
                                  I'm not aware of any.
                  DR. REGULUS:
15
                  MR. PUGH: Your not aware of it being done,
16
         of not knowing whether there's a value to It?
                                  Well, no, besides the point
17
                  DR. REGULUS:
18
         whether there's a value to it. I just don't know if
19
         that has happened.
20
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                 I think I have a question that
21
         sort of goes along with this and accept DrRegulus'
22
         point and observation, which I think you would have
23
         to, and I certainly do, that you can't just deal with
```

1	distribution in terms of numbers because of, or
2	because this perception is out there that certain
3	areas are overpoliced but underserviced, and if we
4	take that assumption and take that as a fact, of
5	course, that tells us that there are other factors
6	that we've got to look into if we are to make an
7	accurate evaluation on the level of equality in the
8	protection across different areas. A couple of
9	questions. First of all, from your research, have
10	you come across anything or anybody that would be a
11	source of that type of information where you could
12	sort of determine the nature of the intervention and
13	the nature of the service that's given in the various
14	areas? And secondly, do you have any knowledge as to
15	whether or not the Chicago Police Department has any
16	type of policy, whether they be policies to deal with
17	racial sensitivities, et cetera, that would address
18	the type of service that's been given in difficult
19	areas according to what the area is? Do you
20	understand what I'm saying?
21	DR. REGULUS: I understand, sure.
22	MS. YANDLE: Because if we're going to find
23	out this information, we've got to look at that

aspect of it is the nature of the service that's been 1 given, then we sort of need some sources to look to. 2 And I guess the question in my mind that first jumps 3 4 out is does the Police Department address that issue that there may be some disparity in the type of 5 6 service that's been given, depending on what area 7 we're talking about? So whether there's any policy 8 or programs to address that disparity. And I'm just asking do you have any knowledge or any information 9 10 that you can provide us on that? Well, there is accumulated a 11 DR. REGULUS: 12 lot of research on the notion of community policing, and I think that's a very important strategic thrust 13 14 that more communities, including Chicago, could use. 15 Again, I don't know specifically what the police is 16 doing precisely along that line. The one thing I 17 would add to it, even to suggest a caution about community policing, even when you talk about minority 18 communities, there are differences among them. The 19 20 police cannot be responsible for communities in terms 21 of shouldering everything that goes on there. And 22 some communities have the capacity of helping

themselves with some assistance. Some communities

1	are so socialy disorganized that any kind of a stance
2	is not going to per se resolve the problem of crime
3	and criminality. And so one must make distinctions
4	between communities and do some other things beyond
5	community policing, I think, in those most damaged
6	communities. And, I think there are that a lot of
7	people in the City of Chicago, one who will be
8	speaking later on that, have a lot of knowledge about
9	communities, community structures and this kind of
10	thing. So, the community is only one in really I
11	think one needs the Chicago Police ought to pay
12	better attention to not simply allocation, but it's
13	strategic quality of service issues that confront
14	different communities differently. I would be
15	concerned, though, if the Chicago Police Department
16	is asked to shoulder responsibility that really go
17	beyond their responsibility. You understand, I
18	think, what I'm saying.
19	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm going to have to
20	MS. PETERS: Do you have a comment, Mary?
21	MS. POWERS: I just want to say about our
22	concern, our overriding interest in community
23	policing, although we certainly think in principle

1	it's a progressive and positive thing, is the hope
2	that it will cut down on attitudes that officers
3	often display, contempt and disrespect; that sort of
4	thing, in minority communities. And when I say
5	overpoliced and underprotected, it's that I'm meaning
6	that people will see police, but really hesitate even
7	to ask for their assistance when they need it because
8	so often their experience has been that someone,
9	rather than responding the way they hope they will do
10	to a problem, is to come in, you know, with
11	brutality, with verbal abuse, with the sort of
12	disrespect that they don't necessarily want to bring
13	upon themselves. And that's so many people that I've
14	talked to in preparation for this say are you
15	kidding, well of course. Now, there aren't as good
16	schjools, their aren't as good health care, there
17	isn't as good housing, how can you expect that the
18	police would provides better service or an equal
19	service than any other elements of the city's
20	administration? Or the fact that we're part of a
21	racist city and a racist society tells us that the
22	lack of confidence in government goes right into the
23	lack of confidence in police who are the first line

1 representatives of government to many people. But, I 2 think we have to accept the fact that this, the 3 service itself is not the question as much as the 4 community's distress and lack of confidence in the system. And I think that community policing, I think 5 6 that superintendent's new human rights ordinance, and 7 increased training that's going to be done or is in the training academy will all help address those 8 9 problems. 10 This is in response to your question 11 about the training. There is sensitivity training, 12 training to people, et cetera. That's always been 13 limited to kind of the first line of people going 14 through the department, I mean through the academy, 15 but I understand now that people in higher levels 16 within the Department are going to be sent back for 17 inservice training in that, too. And I think that's 18 really necessary because of their racial animosity 19 that are expressed by the Commander and Sergeant and 20 Lieutenant. It's not going to do any good for the 21 first line person coming out of the academy to know 22 what he should do and how he should respond.

23 MS. PETERS: If we could go back to the

1	subject of unequal police protection in the African
2	American communities. If I could ask you two
3	questions. You mentioned the statistics that seem to
4	indicate reported in the press that the re-evaluation
5	by the Police Department had not taken place probably
6	in the last eight years. My question to that is, do
7	you sense, in your dealing with the communities, do
8	you sense a change in where in which communities and
9	their needs or their lack of response from the police
10	during the period of time that your committee works
11	with them?
12	MS. POWERS: I coulnd't pinpoint that, no.
13	You know, we don't have a general way of assessing a
14	community's feelings. It's only the people who would
15	be calling us.
16	MS. PETERS: But, what I'm saying, you
17	don't have don't see a change in the areas which are
18	coming to you reporting this, there concerns? You
19	don't see a moving spot?
20	MS. POWERS: We really haven't analyzed
21	that. I would suspect that it, certainly I do think,
22	and I guess without a statistical basis I can say as
23	neighborhoods change, there are always more police

1	activity, more apprehension on the part of new
2	commerce, on the part of new commerce into
3	communities. The resentment of the old residents is
4	sometimes reflected in an excessive number of
5	complaints to the police. And some of that over
6	policing we're talking about. And aggressive
7	behavior toward people who are changing the
8	neighborhoods in those instances. For instance,
9	Rogers Park comes to mind. Certainly we've had a lot
10	more complaints.
11	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Do either of you have any
12	doubts that say over the last six years the relative
13	incidents of crime patterns in Saganash or the north,
14	the far northwest portion of the City as against the
15	far northeast portion of the City have undergone
16	massive change?
17	DR. REGULUS: I have no doubts that that is
18	true.
19	MS. POWERS: We just practically never have
20	anything from the northwest side in the way of
21	complaints and have few, and have had an increase in
22	the northeast, as I was saying, as the neighborhoods
23	begin to change. But I think that it would seem all

1	sorts of patrol cars — that's what I'm talking
2	about, the over policing it's, there's a heavy police
3	presence, but whether that's really providing service
4	to the people of that community or whether it's
5	imposing this presence, you know, policing by
6	intimidation. Some people have said now we have gone
7	to policing by intimidation. And in many places
8	that's true. That probably reflects the frustrations
9	on the part of officers and the police what to do.
10	And some of the new things like community policing
11	may help resolve that.
12	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm trying to keep an eye
13	on the time. I think Tom has this one question.
14	MR. PUGH: I think Mary Powers has had the
15	more answer, but Dr. Regulus, do you believe that the
16	deployment of police in Chicago is provided on equal
17	basis in the black community?
18	DR. REGULUS: I have to hedge on that. I
19	can't answer that in a definitive way. I have no way
20	of knowing in terms of, again, I'm cautious of making
21	a judgment based upon an empirical counter of patrols
22	as compared to looking at the quality of what's going
23	on. My major concern at this point is more with the

1	quality and strategy of patroling and intervention
2	than with the numbers. Again, I'm relying at this
3	point on my recollection of the numbers Dr. Barry
4	Rundquist reported in his data for the end of 1991.
5	Numerically, I have no problem with those numbers,
6	assuming that there is a difference in terms of
7	strategy or qualities of policing. So, I think I
8	should end with that. I don't have any criticism of
9	the numbers at this point in time, and I have the
10	criticisms of qualities of service.
11	MS. POWERS: I think that's the question,
12	the quality.
13	MR. PUGH: Let me make clear what criticism
14	of quality. You think quality is less good in the
15	black community?
16	DR. REGULUS: In the sense that
17	strategically something different needs to be done.
18	Professional policing as simply a function of patrol
19	and intervention at a discretionary fashion does not
20	have the kind of impact in poor black, Hispanic
21	communities that we are concerned about in terms of
22	trying to do something about crime. That strategy
23	does not produce qualities of intervention with which

1	those communities. So, yes, that's my concern.
2	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay, thank you. I want
3	to thank Mary Powers and Dr. Regulus for joining us.
4	If the second panel, Dennis Norwicki does the
5	committee want to stretch or want to continue on?
6	Let's take a five minute recess.
7	(A brief recess was taken.)
8	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Our 10:00 o'clock panel
9	consists of Warren Friedman of the Chicago Alliance
10	For Neighborhood Safety and Kevin Lee, are you
11	MR. LEE: President of the Cook County Bar
12	Association.
13	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I believe I was informed
14	of that. And Dennis Nowicki, Illinois Criminal
15	Justice Information Authority. Thank you very much
16	for coming. Would each of you give a brief statement
17	of what you're going to present today, staring with
18	Warren Friedman.
19	PANEL 2
20	WARREN FRIEDMAN
21	Chicago Alliance for Neighborhood Safety
22	Okay. Well what I'm going to present,
23	what my statement is on is very directly to the

1	numbers and the way in which officers are distributed
2	around the City. I don't go into, in the statement,
3	equality issues, that's not because I don't think
4	they're very, very important, but because I
5	interpreted the proposition as being as going to the
6	question of numbers. So, that's what it's about.
7	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Lee, please?
8	MR. LEE: Okay.
9	KEVIN T. LEE
10	President, Cook County Bar Association
11	I will give a general statement from the
12	perspective of minority attorneys who, out of their
13	unique position in our community, come into contact
14	quite frequently with members of our community that
15	have suffered at the hands of police or been the
16	victims of unequal police treatment or police abuse.
17	CHAIRPERSON LYON: And Mr. Nowicki?
18	DENNIS NOWICKI
19	Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
20	I guess I want to follow through with
21	my structurally prepared statement here this morning
22	because I think it covers many of the issues that
23	were raised already by the panel. I think it's

1	important that you know a little bit about my
2	background so you know where I'm coming from as I
3	make my statement. I'm currently the Executive
4	Director of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information
5	Authority, but prior to that I spent 28 years as a
6	police. I most recently, for three years was the
7	Chief of Police in Joliet. Prior to that, 25 years
8	with the Chicago Police Department, rising to the
9	rank of Deputy Superintendent for the Bureau of
10	Administrative Services. And, in fact, was the lead
11	deputy superintendent at the time the last manpower
12	allocation was done in 1985 in the Chicago Police
13	Department.
14	I'm pleased to be here to talk to you
15	on your topic of the unequal police protection, which
16	is one of frequent concern to individuals, community
17	organizations and public policy makers. In these
18	times when personal safety is frequently on the minds
19	of taxpayers, the public more frequently looks at how
20	public safety resources are being used.
21	I'd like to begin by phrasing the issue
22	somewhat differently by turning it into a question.
23	Is there unequal police protection of the African

1	American community in Chicago? I must Immediately
2	tell you that there's no easy answer to this
3	question. We may know of many instances where police
4	may not have acted in the best interest of a
5	particular community, or police have been poorly
6	trained to respond to a unique ethnic community. I'd
7	also guess that most of us know where the police
8	weren't available in a timely fashion to respond to a
9	call for service. But, such random observations
10	cannot be used to arrive at a simplistic conclusion
11	that selected communities, such as the African
12	American community you are focusing on, receive less
13	police protection than they require. There is no
14	easy answer because the complexity of police resource
15	allocation and the number of critical factors that
16	influence police officer performance, and the
17	qualities of that performance. Among the factors
18	that are to be concerned are funding and resources,
19	composition of the officer corps, education and
20	training, law enforcement leadership, community
21	response and responsibility, and the philosophy of
22	policing.
23	If your committee is to do a credible

1	job of assessing issues before you, you will need to
2	address and fully understand the impact of each of
3	these issues. Let me help your bit here by drawing
4	on my personal experience and presenting some summary
5	information from the Information Authority on the
6	various policies and research efforts.
7	I'll begin with the funding and
8	resource issue. In the Authority's 1990 issue of
9	Trends_and_Issues an annual report card on adult and
10	juvenile justice activities in Illinois, and by the
11	way, I have a copy I can leave with you here. That
12	publication focused on the resources that are
13	allocated and subsequently expended to support
14	criminal justice. When you look at law enforcement
15	funding, we found that budgets overall have not
16	increased much while the workloads of departments
17	have. Specifically, in Chicago the number of calls
18	for service rose 15 percent from 1970 to 1988 while
19	the overall constant dollar expenditures for the
20	Chicago Police Department declined by 8 percent in
21	that same period.
22	Worse yet, federal monies that for so
23	many years supported local law enforcement have

1	diminished greatly. Federal block grants, that in
2	the heyday of the Law Enforcement Assistance
3	Administration provided considerable resources, I
4	believe in '77, \$88 million to the State of Illinois
5	to assist local law enforcement, were all but
6	eliminated by 1985. While there has been a recent
7	infusion of Anti-Drug Abuse funds to the State,
8	overall federal assistance to state law enforcement
9	remains relatively inconsequential. I begin with the
10	issue of resources for one obvious reason, sufficent
li	funding, either at the city, county and federal level
12	is paramount if local police agencies are to be
13	adequately staffed with a highly professional and
14	well trained corp.
15	Looking at who fills the ranks of our
16	police agencies is another important issue for your
17	committee. What is the composition of the officer
18	corp? Will it be the same in composition over the
19	next decade? Are we picking the right people to be
20	in that corp? These questions, focus on the pool of
21	recruits, recruitment strategies, and selection
22	criteria are critical to how a police department
23	carries out their mission. Simply stated,

1	inequalities in the make up of the work force can
2	certainly pill over to the problems on the street.
3	Authority research indicates that by 1995, 40 percent
4	of the Chicago Police Department will be eligible for
5	retirement. That means that over the next five years
6	our city's Police Department may well see the largest
7	change over in it's composition since the early '60s.
8	Historically, law enforcement in
9	Illinois has been predominantly white males. For
10	example, approximately 68 percent of Chicago Police
11	officers are white, and 86 percent are male. Looking
12	at the current projected demographic composition of
13	Chicago, it is very unlikely that these percentages
14	will remain. Blacks and Hispanics account for 50
15	percent of Chicago's population, while they currently
16	account for 31 percent of the Chicago Police
17	Department. Women, whose representation is growing
18	dramatically in all areas of the workforce in
19	Illinois, they account for 58 percent of the
20	workforce, currently make up 13.5 percent of Chicago
21	police officers. By the way, one of the highest
22	percentages in the nation of women in policing.
23	In order to provide equal and effective

1	protection law enforcement, agencies need to provide
2	balanced representation of all segments of society.
3	The changing nature of our workforce is one factor
4	that will necessitate the rethinking of recruitment
5	and hiring strategies on the part of law enforcement
6	agencies throughout the U.S In Chicago and most
7	other large urban departments, these changes have
8	aiready begun. Change in the Chicago Police
9	Department's race and gender composition is
10	unavoidable, given the make up of the current
11	eligibility list which accounts for approximately one
12	half minority and one third female applicants.
13	Let me now turn to the issue of officer
i4	education and training. The quality and level of
15	education and training of our law enforcement officer
16	corp is a major impact on equitable policing. Law
17	enforcement officers do not accidentally discover
18	professional enforcement policies and techniques,
19	they learn them. The average educational level of
20	males in on the Chicago Police Department is 12.8
21	years. For women it's 13 years. Throughout Illinois
22	the news is positive regarding those most recently
23	hired, with the average educational level at 13.6 for

1	new recruits. Beyond basic educational background,
2	the most forceful impact on our officers is often the
3	initial and inservice training that they receive. We
4	must continue to improve the quality and quantity of
5	training available to our officers.
6	One training need that is very relevant
7	in the topic of your inquiry is how to communicate
8	across cultures. I know that the Chicago Police
9	Department was one of the first In the nation to
10	include cultural awareness training in the basic
11	recruit training program doing so in 1983. I also
12	know that the training was given to inservice
13	officers. Further, it is my understanding that
14	currently all Chicago Police Department recruits
15	receive 28 hours of such training. By the way, a
16	recent survey by national police organizations found
17	that the average number of hours of pre service
18	training in that area is about 7. It's important
19	that such training be of sufficient quality to
20	influence behavior and further that it be
21	institutionalized within every police agency.
22	No review of issues affecting the
23	quality of policing can exclude the topic of

leadership. Leadership from public policy makers, 1 2 from the community, from the media, and from the chief law enforcement executive is a critical factor 3 in equal policing. We must look at leadership from 4 5 several vantage points. 6 It is very important that police 7 officers understand what is expected of them. 8 Unfortunately, instead of clear and consistent 9 directions, what our officers frequently hear are 10 mixed messages from some leaders that may encourage police misbehavior. On the one hand, there's the 11 outcry for police officers to eradicate crime and 12 violence in our society, "no matter what it takes". 13 14 Alternatively, we hear calls for more citizen's 15 review of police conduct as a response to perceived 16 problem of misuse of excessive force. Effective Law 17 enforcement and ethical conduct are not 18 incompatible. However, the manner in which the call 19 for more action from the police is delivered can be, 20 and is, misinterpreted by some officers to authorize 21 misconduct. Statements that describe the police force as the "biggest, baddest" gang in town would 22

suggest that the rights of the criminal are less than

those possessed by the general population have no place in the rhetoric of police leadership.

It is also important for agencies such as the Department of Justice, the National Institute of Justice, and other such agencies to promulgate concepts and programs that will help improve law enforcement leadership. Private organizations such as the International Association of Chiefs of Police, The National Sheriffs' Association, and the Police Executive Research Forum can provide a similar role in enhancing the leadership potential.

At the state level, agencies such as the Authority must continue to take the lead role with state, county, local law enforcement agecies. Specifically, we can collaborate with these agencies on planning policy and resource issues and on relevant research. I am pleased to report that our current level of cooperation with Illinois' local law enforcement agencies is at an all time high, with cooperative projects in several program areas. In fact, Superintendent Rodriguez and I have just begun work on a three state study of police ethics that will gather data from over 2400 officers on attitudes

1 toward misbehavior and sanctions for such 2 misbehavior. This study will have a direct effect on the training for future officers. 3 4 Law enforcement leadership at the local 5 level from our chiefs and sheriffs must set a tone 6 that demands equal policing, and then they must set 7 in place programs and policies that ensure their g objective is attained. From my 28 years as a police 9 officer and now as director of the Authority, I have 10 the highest regard for those that lead the front 11 ranks of policing at the local level. I want to 12 point out to you that a majority of these leaders started out as patrol officers. 13 Thus the many 14 issues I've already touched on, resources, funding, 15 recruitment, selection, education, and training, must 16 be constantly reassessed carefully to ensure that our 17 future law enforcement leaders have the skills to 18 achieve equal policing. 19 My list of issues affecting equal

My list of issues affecting equal policing is not limited solely to police issues. We must take some time here to focus on the role of the community itself. Law enforcement agencies do not do their work alone. They work and most often live

20

21

22

1 within the community, and their relationship to that community clearly is a two way street. And it's 2 critical to the success of their policing efforts. 3 4 For a variety of reasons, neighborhoods often actively fight against police intervention or 5 6 involvement. Other neighborhoods organize to work 7 with the police. There are parent programs. 8 neighborhood watch programs, et cetera, to fight 9 crime. While do I not have an instant cure for bad 10 feelings between the police and the community they 11 serve, I do know one very simple solution; community 12 leader and law enforcement officials must come to the table to map out strategies to fight crime and 13 14 improve the quality of life in the community? Police 15 officers must work with the community for a safe 16 city. 17 The Authority is currently working with 18 the Chicago Police Department's Area 4 to develop 19 sophisticated computerized crime mapping programs. 20 High tech? Yes. But in this case, technology meets 21 up with the neighborhood. And in Area 4 we are 22 working not only with the police, but with academic 23 researchers and the community to pinpoint areas of

1 drug, gang, and violent crime. We hope this project 2 will give Area 4 officers a chance not only to focus resources on high crime area, but also to begin the 3 4 process of identifying endemic community problems that must be addressed collaboratively with local 5 6 residents through a variety of resources. 7 All these factors affect the equitable 8 nature of police service, and I have yet to discuss 9 the complex issue of police officer allocation. 10 Assigning and scheduling police officers is a complicated endeavor for many reasons. At the same 11 12 time that reduced budgets and increases in gang and 13 drug related crime and violence have put greater 14 demands on the police forces. We have learned that 15 the old method of policing are ineffective. Some 16 things that we have learned through research are that increasing number of police officers does not 17 18 directly translate to a decrease in the crime rate, or to an increase in the proportion of crime solved. 19 20 We also learn from research that random patrol in 21 vehicles is not an effective means of preventing

neighborhood with police personnel does not reduce

crime or catching offenders and that saturating a

22

1	crime, it merely displaces it. And further, that
2	improving response time to calls for service has
3	little effect on the likelihood of arresting
4	criminals or even satisfying the involved citizens.
5	And that is supported by research.
6	Which leads me to my last and I believe
7	my most important issue; that is, the emerging trend
8	towards community oriented or problem oriented
9	policing. For the past several years police
10	communities have been evolving and patrol driven
11	crime reactive philosophy to a much more proactive
12	community problem oriented concept. As a result,
13	police agency mission statements are being rewritten
14	and philosophies changed. Polide leaders are
15	learning from research, are accepting the fact that
16	traditional methods are not enough to combat the
17	problems our communities are facing, and are
18	realizing that it is time to re-establish the
19	partnership that must exist between the police
20	department and all segments of the community.
21	Community problems-oriented policing is
22	founded on the recognition that community residents
23	are a key resource for establishing community

1	wellness. It calls for officers, working closely
2	with the community, to identify endemic neighborhood
3	problems; for example, gang or drug activities,
4	abandoned buildings being used for illegal activities
5	or even the absence of a needed community program.
6	Once these officers obtain initial information on
7	these problems, they then are called upon to bring
8	additional resources, both law enforcement and
9	noncriminal justice resources to bear. On the
10	problem. Staffs from such departments as aging,
11	health, housing or education may be brought onto the
12	team to solve the identified problem. The goal is to
13	work together to identify crime related problems,
14	determine their causes, identify alternatives, and
15	work together to implement durable solutions to the
16	problem. The end goal of community oriented policing
17	is to reduce criminal activity through eradication of
18	the source problem that helps promote and facilitate
19	that activity.
20	Evidence is growing that community
21	oriented policing can be a dramatically effective
22	policing philosophy. The Chicago Police Department,
23	along with many other larger urban departments will

1	be utilizing this approach more aggressively over the
2	next several years. This brings me to a cautionary
3	point for your investigation. I know your staff have
4	been looking at historic data on the ratio of patrol
5	officers to citizens in various neighborhoods in
6	Chicago. And that you have been analyzing manpower
7	distribution based upon weighted workloads from calls
8	for service and reported crime. What you must keep
9	in mind, and I have to emphasis, you must keep in
10	mind, is that these simple ratios, while helpful as
11	guidelines to officer allocation in the past, will
12	have reduced importance in future resource
13	allocation. Patrol allocation must be tied to the
14	department's philosophy of policing.
15	Community problem-oriented policing
16	presents a strategy alternative that makes more
17	effective use of police agency and other community
18	resources. However, it also requires a change in the
19	way police resources are allocated. The old method
20	of simply analyzing calls for service or reported
21	crime and making assignment and scheduling decision
22	from the results, the method used by the Chicago
23	Police Department will no longer be sufficient. If

1	we want officers to work with the community to solve
2	problems, resource allocation system must take into
3	account all the things we want them to do. Do we
4	want officers to focus on problems in specific
5	neighborhoods? Do we want officers to handle calls
6	for service in addition to engaging the community and
7	solving problems? How do we balance the demands from
8	calls for service with the need for blocks of time to
9	engage in problem solving? If the solution to a
10	problem requires full time personnel from the
11	Department of Housing to repair or tear down an
12	abandoned building, should those staff be counted in
13	our assessment of the strength of policing in that
14	particular neighborhood? These are all important
15	questions that must be addressed by a community
16	problem-oriented policing resource allocation format.
17	In summary, this new proactive philosophy of policing
18	cannot be measured by outdated allocation formulas.
19	Let me conclude by pointing out the
20	connectivity between each of the themes I've
21	addressed. They simply do not stand independent of
22	one another. Who gets recruited and selected
23	determines who's on the force. The level of

1	resources devoted to policing determines the
2	stability and quality of the department. How hell
3	educated and trained our officers are determines, to
4	a large degree, their ability to handle more and more
5	complex policing issues. These officer go on to
6	become our future police leaders, and in those
7	leadership positions, they set the tone for the
8	entire department.
9	Lastly, the relationship of departments
10	to the communities they serve and how those
11	departments rally a variety of other programs and
12	services to meet community needs, dictates the
13	success of equal policing. I hope that your
14	committee will weigh each of these factors carefully
15	before you arrive at any conclusions about equal or
16	unequal policing in Chicago. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Now we're going to open
18	it up again to the panel to ask questions. Does
19	anybody have a specific question?
20	MS. YANDLE: I assume since we've just
21	heard from one, is this for the entire panel;
22	questions for the entire panel?
23	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Yes.

1	MS. YANDLE: Well, I have probably two
2	questions for Mr. Nowicki. First of all, could you
3	give us an idea of the scope of your activities of
4	your agency?
5	MR. NOWICKI: Current agency?
6	MS. YANDLE: Yes, the Criminal Justice
7	Information Authority. What that agency does and
8	what type of information which would be relevant to
9	our assessment or to what we're interested in is
10	contained in your agency? And secondly, I guess it's
11	important to me understanding your comments, I guess
12	my question to you is do you feel that the weighted
13	workload method is obsolete in terms of addressing
14	the community needs or distribution? I mean, I
15	understand you're saying we cannot look at that
16	alone, but I guess I want to know is it your feeling
17	that that is sort of obsolete in today's society?
18	MR. NOWICKI: Briefly, the Information
19	Authority is created by state statute. There's a
20	Board that consists of elected for state criminal
21	justice officials; superintendent of police, the
22	states attorney of Cook County, Sheriff of Cook
23	County, and downstate representatives in similar

positions are members of the board. We have
basically 4 missions; first is to administer federal
funding that is directed to the state for state and
local law enforcement, state and local criminal
justice agencies. We administer the Anti Drug Abuse
Act, for example, and \$17 million currently coming
into Illinois. We also administer the Victim
Prevention Act which is a state created fund that
provides and also victims of crime funding at the
federal level. Our second mission is to to research,
and we have done some very significant research in
the criminal justice system and are very well done
and very respected in the criminal justice research.
So, the figures that I gave to you today reflect
that. This document really brings together a lot of
our research as well as the research that's done by
other entities in the criminal justice. We also have
a planning role where we do a lot of facilitating,
coordinating, and planning where we bring in a lot of
other criminal justice agencies to address specific
topics and long range plans to reach some solutions
to problems. And our fourth mission is we provide
computerized data system to and local county and

local agencies. We run a fairly large computer shop and we sell our services to state and local police sheriff's, things of that nature. As far as weighted workload is it obsolete, I think as the sole means of allocating resources, it's inadequate. I think you also -- I think you have a misunderstanding of what goes on with the weighted workload analysis, at least I come to that conclusion from hearing you talk. Weighted workload looks at calls for service and looks at reported crime. Those are two separate bits of information. Somebody once here posed the issue of whether violent crimes should cause more resources to be allocated. That's not an easy question to answer. What you need to look at is, well, first of all, less than 20 percent of the calls for service to the police involve crime. So, the majority of police officer's time is spent on non criminal activities. And the public expects the police officer to respond. Police officers do. They're the only folks available to respond in many situations. So, if you over emphasize violent crime, which is only one element of that 20 percent, you might then deplete the work force of the police agencies to deal with some of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

other issues that the community expects the police to respond to. And the other thing is that because weighted workload is a reactive allocation, it doesn't allow or take into consideration proactive policing activities which are very much a part of community policing. I don't think it will serve community policing needs well. As a reactive model, what you need to look at is the time, average time spent on handling a particular call. And we used to do that in the Chicago Police Department. I don't know what they're doing today. I've been away for several years. But, if you look at the average time spent on a call for service by categories of crime and you can develop your weighting patterns from that. You man find, for example, that some of the violent crimes take no more time to handle than some of the property crimes. So, if you're looking at manpower allocation as a reactive model to have resources available to respond to calls for service. you have to take the time spent on the calls in consideration. And you also have to understand the distinction between weighted workload of calls for service versus weighted workload of reported crime

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	because of that other issue. I done think weighted
2	workload as it's now used in most police agencies,
3	including Chicago, is going to adequately serve
4	allocation needs in community agencies.
5	MS. YANDLE: One last follow up question
6	and I'll yield so someone else can ask. To the
7	extent that the Authority, as it is, you stated in
8	your opening statement in response to some
9	questioning already, one of your missions is
10	research. And like you said, you've done research in
11	almost every relevant rea of criminal justice. To
12	the extent that your Authority has done research and
13	has data which has a direct bearing on the
14	information that we're seeking, will the Authority
15	provide those facts to this Committee?
16	MR. NOWICKI: All information that we
17	generate is available to you. I don't know what
18	information you think we might have relevant to this.
19	We've never, that I know of, have done any kind of
20	analysis of the distribution of manpower by time and
21	place of the Chicago Police Department.
22	MS. YANDLE: To the extent in your
23	statement you gave some factors that you felt were

1	relevant to the question that we're looking at and my
2	question is, to the extent that your Authority has
3	information on any factors which will be useful to
4	this committee in facing our question, can we get
5	that information?
6	MR. NOWICKI: Everything that I refer to is
7	derived from a published document that was widely
8	distributed. And if we have copies left, we'll get
9	you copies or we can Xerox our library, sure.
10	MR. FRIEDMAN: I didn't understand, nor the
11	gentleman to my left, that we were going to be asked
12	to forego time as opposed to summarize briefly what
13	our testimony was about. I feel like a decision is
14	being made to ignore what we have to say.
15	MS. YANDLE: No, not at all.
16	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm sorry. It was my
17	understanding, too, that it had been explained, you
18	were going to give a few minute statement and that's
19	what each of you had done. And after you proceeded,
20	I didn't want to be rude.
21	MR. LEE: Our understanding, I thought you
22	were asking us of an overview of what we were going
23	to talk about and come back

1	MS. YANDLE: That's why I asked if you were
2	opening up questions to the entire panel because I
3	didn't understand. The panel had given their opening
4	statement.
5	CHAIRPERSON LYON: But I certainly don't
6	want to shut you down. And if you wish to read your
7	entire statement, please feel free to do so, because
8	we missed some panelists and we can take the time.
9	MR. PUGH: I want to hear what Mr. Lee and
10	Mr. Friedman had to say.
11	MS. PETERS: So do I.
12	MR. WARREN FRIEDMAN
13	Chicago Alliance for Neighborhood Safety
14	Let me do some excertps, but I'll start
15	with, the CANS, the Chicago Alliance for Neighborhood
16	safety is deeply involved in community policing
17	advocacy for the last five years. This is an
18	important time for you to be having this hearing
19	exactly because of what Dennis said; that is, we're
20	at a big transition and the fate of weighted workload
21	in the new policing era is crucial, needs to be
22	understood, and there isn't enough, for example, to
23	understand it. Perhaps you can bring some light to

1	that. Let me just start reading at the bottom of the
2	page, "the figures developed by Professor Rundquist,
3	and that's cricial, although I know your own staff
4	did some of the same kind of development in his study
5	of distribution of police patrols in Chicago raise
6	serious questions of equity in the deployment of
7	police officers in Chicago. The police are supposed
8	to patrol and prevent crime and thus deployment
9	should be relative to a mission. Within reasonable
10	bounds, police levels should relate positively to
11	crime levels.
12	Though African American districts
13	receive more officers per 1,000 than Hispanics,
14	whites or mixed districts, this picture alters as we
15	shift our view from people to crime. From this
16	perspective, the more serious the type of crime, the
17	greater the deficit in officers. While black
18	districts get more officers per 1,000 residents, they
19	get fewer officers per 1,000 total crimes, fewer
20	still per 1,000 serious crimes, and still fewer for
21	1,000 violent crimes.
22	In terms of violent crimes, African
23	American districts get roughly one-third as many

1	officers as white police districts, one-half as many
2	as mixed districts, and roughly the same as Hispanic
3	districts. Given this, Professor Rundquist's figures
4	indicate that the African American community receives
5	less than it's fair share of police resources. The
6	unfairness is, in part, the result of historical
7	discrimination which results in African American
8	living in disproportionate numbers in low income,
9	high crime communities. That's not the police's
10	responsibility. But, in part, it's the result of
11	bias against all high crime districts in the Chicago
12	Police Department's deployment formula. Hispanics,
13	it should also be noted are also victims of the same
14	deployment formula.
15	In 1985, when the police last conducted
16	a major redeployment of personnel, they used a
17	formula to determine a weighted workload which in
18	turn determined how many police went where. This
19	formula was reflective of the full service
20	incident-driven philosophy inherited from the 1960s
21	and Police Superintendent Orlandp Wilson. Full
22	service promised to dispatch police cars to all calls
23	for service and thus a formula was used that made

1	cars available to implement this philosophy. At the
2	time, according to the Department's District Beat
3	Allocation Report, the department was responding to
4	about 32 times as many non criminal calls as it was
5	to serious violent crimes and even though more time
6	was spent handling each serious call, the Department
7	was spending roughly 12 times as much time servicing
8	non criminal calls as calls concerning violent
9	crimes. Overall, about 36 percent of the patrol
10	division's time was spent responding to non criminal
11	calls, and 55 percent of it's time was spent
12	servicing non criminal and Part II calls.
13	The deployment formula, according to
14	the District beat Allocation Report, was derived by
15	assigning all calls for service to which the
16	department dispatched cars to one of four categories.
17	Dividing each category of calls by the time it took
18	to service them, the Department assigned weights to
19	each category: 15 points for violent crimes, 10 for
20	index property crimes, 8 for non-index crimes and 6
21	for non-criminal calls for service. For deployment
22	purposes, each non-crime call had 40 percent of the
23	weight attributed to a violent crime and each

non-index crime had roughly 53 percent of the weight attributed to a violent crime. As far as deployment was concerned, the stolen bicycle was more than half as important as a homicide, robbery or rape. Part II and non-crime calls had a disproportionate impact on the weighted work load and thus the allocation method was biased against deployment by crime, more biased against deployment by serious crime and still more biased against deployment by violent crime. And, of course, against high crime areas.

As I said earlier, this committee's inquiry and findings are timely because the Chicago Police Department is about to launch a significant reorganization which will provide an opportunity to break with inequitable past practices. As a result of Booz, Allen's recommendations, it's called Improving Police Service, recommendation, their recommendations there will be fewer districts and more and smaller geographical beats. The beat will become the central unit of crime control and cars will be much more securely anchored to these beats than in the past. Beat boundaries and beat manpower levels will be modified every year or two. With the

streamlining proposed in the Booz Allen reporter,
there will be, in the next couple of years, between
1,600 and 2,300 more officers to be distributed among
these beats and districts.

The proposed reforms also include a new
dispatch policy that modifies the old full service

dispatch policy that modifies the old full service policy. Instead of sending cars to every call, the department will differentiate, sending cars to some immediately and some after delay. Some calls will not receive mobile dispatches at all, instead reports will be taken over the phone. The Department is thus beginning to dispatch cars to fewer calls for service, 212,000 fewer in 1992. Presumably, this will mean fewer dispatches to cold calls concerning minor crimes and calls about non criminal incidents.

These changes should be accompanied by the replacement of dispatched calls as the basis for weighted workload by a projected dispatch load with all categories of calls to which the cars will no longer be dispatched and removed from future workload calculations. In addition, the weights assigned to the categories of calls in determining the weighted workload should be altered so that, within the

1	context of adequate minimum beat staffing for all
2	areas, violent crime is weighted as more than two and
3	a half times as serious as cat in the tree calls. So
4	that the implication is put behind us that the murder
5	of an African American or Hispanic youth is not quite
6	twice as serious as a garage break-in.
7	Despite the significant changes
8	recommended by Booz Allen, it's not clear that these
9	are comparable revisions in the calculation are going
10	to be implemented. If this is the case, non crime
11	and minor crime calls for service will continue to
12	bias the distribution of police away from high crime
13	beats and neighborhoods, away from low crime, low
14	income and minority people in general and African
15	Americans in particular. If this is the case, the
16	maldistribution of the past will be continued and an
17	important opportunity issed.
18	It is possible, of course, that
19	Professor Rundquist's figures distort the deployment
20	picture by focusing exclusively on district
21	personnel. District personnel are not the whole
22	picture of police service in the city. It would be

important to know about the geographical distibution

1	of special unit assignments, like Gang Crimes and
2	Narcotics about which district officers are pulled
3	from when there are special events like Taste of
4	Chicago, and whether and to what extent patrol cars
5	cross district lines from busy to less busy areas.
6	Theoretically, the assignment of special unit officer
7	pulled disproportionately from overmanned districts
8	for special events and patrol cars crossing from
9	quiet to busy districts could moderate the present
10	picture which is based only on district deployment
11	figures.
12	This should not, however, be taken on
13	faith. The committee should ask for and be shown
14	figures to fill out the picture. Since the
15	Department has recently been studied by Booz Allen
16	and Hamilton with an eye to correcting what they
17	found to be a serious mismatch between deployment and
18	activity, it is possible that the data has been
19	developed to be made available without burdening the
20	department. It would provide the department with the
21	opportunity to correct the impression left by
22	rundquist's analysis, if it is false. It would also
23	provide the committee more complete data on which to

1	base its findings. However, desirable as fuller
2	information would be, to the degree there is
3	evidence, it supports the case for biased deployment
4	and for a recommendation from this committee to the
5	Commission on Civil Rights for a further expanded
6	investigation in police deployment and minorities.
7	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'll ask Mr. Lee to read
8	his statement and we'll open it up for questions.
9	KEVIN T. LEE
10	PRESIDENT, COOK COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION
11	I'd like to preface my statement by
12	saying it's somewhat different from the first two
13	panel members because I'm President of an association
14	of lawyers, and we don't approach this problem from a
15	clinical standpoint or a statistical standpoint. And
16	I can't analyze it from that perspective. We come at
17	it more from an opportunity, unique opportunity to
18	observe the effects of unequal police treatment. And
19	I think that the committee's definition of unequal
20	police protection of the African American community
21	should be broad enough to look at the concept in
22	terms of two components; one, the police I think have
23	a job to try to catch wrongdoers, bad guys, the

1	people that commit crimes. But they also have an
2	obligation to treat all of the citizenry that they
3	come into contact with with the proper amount of
4	respect, and to respect their Constitutional rights.
5	And oftentimes, that is where members of my
6	association come into play. As African American
7	lawyers who's clients approach them and say that they
8	have been abused by the police or they have been
9	wrongfully treated by the police and they have been
10	arrested for one thing or another. So, I just
11	preface my comments with that, that they are not
12	statistics or, you know, studies or anything from an
13	academic standpoint, but perspectives gathered from
14	members of our association on the results of the
15	problems that I think exist in terms of unequal
16	police protection.
17	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. Let me ask you a
18	question quickly. Are you going to be talking about
19	the issue of police brutality and so forth, and that
20	being an outcome of unequal police protection?
21	MR. LEE: Yes. I believe that that's
22	inextricably bound to police protection.
23	CHAIRPERSON LYON: And while I might agree

```
with you on that, I think I probably do agree with
1
        you on that. I'm not go -- we're trying to stay away
2
3
        from talking be that as an issue because the U.S.
4
        Commission themselves are doing that as an issue and
5
        as you can see from all the factors that Mr. Nowicki
        has given us and Mr. Friedman has given us, we have
6
7
        our hands full just trying to determine the
 8
         underlying factors and coming up with some way of
 9
         dealing with the problem. I'm not saying the problem
         doesn't exist, but we're here to try and point out
10
11
         why it's happening and what might be done to correct
12
         the problem. And if your paper has something to that
13
         effect, I think the committee is interested in
14
         hearing that. We would submit your paper to the U.S.
15
         Commission, but our topic, we've got to keep it
16
         focused in order to do the best job we can because
17
         otherwise, I mean just from the information we've
18
         been gathering, one day isn't going to allow us to
19
         gather all the information we need. We're going to
20
         have to ask for more materials and more information.
21
         So, to the extent that your paper would deal with
22
         that issue, we would like to hear those comments.
23
                  MR. LEE: Okay, thank you. The selective
```

1	enforcement of the laws in Illinois and devastating
2	economic climate in the African American community
3	combine to cause a disproportionate number of
4	minority youth to be brought into the criminal
5	justice system. Currently one in four African
6	American males under the age of 30 is somehow part of
7	the criminal justice system. Stopping this ever
8	increasing flow is the challenge of the 90s. A
9	recent SunTimes series dealing with the horrors of
10	the juvenile justice system substantiated a
11	longstanding and well-founded premise of the defense
12	bar; i.e., the white children picked up for minor
13	infractions of laws were diverted from the juvenile
14	justice system through use of station adjustments.
15	An example, less than one percent of minority youth
16	in similar situations were permitted this
17	opportunity. Thus, more of our youths come into the
18	system at a younger age for violations that do not
19	bring caucasian youths into this system. A visit to
20	what is labeled as gun court will routinely find that
21	90 to 100 percent of the defendants arrested and
22	charged with gun violations are minority,
23	specifically, African American and Hispanics. Why is

there this discrepancy? A white police officer has told a member of our association that he was told routinely stop cars carrying black youths under the theory that even if it was a bad search, the gun would be off the streets. Thus, on a daily basis throughout the African American community, you can see cars pulled over with youths leaning over the car as a police search their persons and interior of the car. Many police officers no longer feign a minor traffic violation when they stop the car, they just stop them and if they find something, good, and if not, they boys are on their way. A visit to drug courtrooms at 26th and California on any evening at 4:00 o'clock p.m. would convince any spectator that only African American and Hispanic youths use drugs. This is all you see in those courtrooms. Our young men are arrested and brought into court and encouraged to plead guilty by a system that is more concerned with the quantity of dispositions than the quality of justice. Testimony results, a disproportionate number of young African Americans start their adult life with a feloney probation that cripples them when they seek to enter the already

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

small work force. On this past Monday a 17 year old honor student at Homewood Flossmore was arrested for shoplifting a belt from a retailer. The youth was with his mother who offered to pay several times the belt's value if her son were let go. The father, a substantial businessman in Illinois, came to the police station and again offered restitution beyond the value of the belt. The white police officers at the station, in a predominantly white area of the city, refused and held the young man for seven hours waiting to check his fingerprints to make sue that he wasn't wanted for anything else. Had this been a white businessman, the watch commander on would have; one, accepted the restitution and let the youth go and if not, could have waived the print time based upon the representation of the mother and the father and allowed them to take the son home. This exercise of discretion is the fundamental key to the disparity and in treatment the first police officer decides who should and should not be prosecuted. Then at the station the officer must have the charges approved by a superior who exercises discretion at a more serious level. A States Attorney then comes into the picture

with the discretion as to charging. Due to society's race problem and their failure to challenge and try to correct the consistent onslaught of negative messages regarding minorities, youth very rarely, if at all, is the discretion exercised in favor of the young black boy. The news media on a daily basis shows that blacks commit all the crimes, so the white police officer being part of the society feels no reason to exercise compassion for black youth. His attitude is, if he didn't do this thing, he probably did something else. The training of police officers in this country directly contribute to this problem. Looking at the Rodney King testimony, officers are brought into a para military unit and indoctrinated into a us against them mentality. The Fraternal Order of Police is founded upon this concept. Citizens are suspicious and offenders. Police officers are the thin wall between civilization and anarchy. The citizens they encounter on the street and stop is no longer a person, he is a part of the group; the criminals. Once categorized as part of a group, he is no longer entitled to the human courtesies and dignities that the officer would award

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	to those like him. It necessarily follows that no
2	discretion will be exercised in favor of a member of
3	that group and is extreme discourteous and brutality
4	will be inflicted upon people in that group. The
5	level of disrespect heaped upon the minority
6	community varies according to race, gender,
7	education, and economic status. Thus, African
8	American women were strip searched while white women
9	were not. African American men are routinely stopped
10	and questioned when driving through the city while
11	African American women are not. Poor, uneducated
12	African Americans when stopped are more likely to be
13	frivolously charged than professional African
14	Americans who will obtain legal counsel and sue for
15	false arrest. But the news features following the
16	Los Angeles riot makes one thing perfectly clear,
17	African American news anchors, baseball players,
18	actors, doctors, and lawyers are stopped by police
19	officers throughout this country far more frequently
20	than white bank robbers. Upon first glance, you see
21	that these people are black men who are categorized
22	as them, not us, to the police officers of this
23	nation.

1	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. If the other
2	panelist don't mind it, I'd like to give a chance for
3	each of the panelist to comment on the other
4	panelist's papers. And I think I'm going to start
5	with Mr. Friedman again, and if you have any comments
6	with regard to the comments that were made by Mr. Le
	or Mr. Nowicki?
7	or Mr. Nowickir
8	MR. FRIEDMAN: Well, what Mr. Lees says in
9	addition to the numbers, there's the quality of the
10	service and the quality of the way in which officers
11	are in districts. And I think that's a separable,
12	but related issue. I think that if officers if
13	there is a bias, as I'm contending, and if a new
14	weighted workload number would redistribute heavily
15	officers into black and hispanic communities, my fear
16	is that would be a mixed blessing, unless the
17	officers understood how to behave. There probably
18	would be, with a massive redistribution, as much
19	potential for a Rodney King as for incidents as for a
20	reduction in crime. Nevertheless, the principle
21	needs to be wrestled with. To say, as I did, that I
22	think it's been biased in the past is not to come up
23	with the answer of how it should be weighted and

1	distributed in the future. I agree with Dennis,
2	although I think on weighted workload is decreasing
3	in importance. Although my picture of what Booz,
4	Allen is recommending as smaller beats, smaller and
5	more beats with officers during the one or two year
6	period they're assigned they're really anchored in
7	those beats and the number of officers anchored in
8	each beat being if it's responsive to a biased
9	weighted workload, has all the potential of starting
10	community policing over which I think is ultimately
11	the hope in minority communities, in black
12	communities, for building the truth that will cut
13	down some of the bad behavior. And black people look
14	to each other like humans. That was what happened at
15	L.A., the Christopher Commission, after the King
16	incident, recommended community policing on the
17	grounds that if the police and the communities were
18	not anonymous to each other, the kinds of behavior
19	that happend would be less likely to happen.
20	So, I think we're moving in a direction
21	where weighted workload becomes less important, but
22	something has to say these beats and these districts
23	have to have X number of officers. I think we've got

i	to know what, if the bias towards non-crime and Part
2	II calls for service is going to remain that skirt
3	the really crucial issue of well, if violent crime is
4	more serious than a Part II crime by how much that
5	weighted workload, and that's a tricky ethical policy
6	kind of debate that hasn't gone on. But, it has to
7	be open to that.
8	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Lee?
9	MR. LEE: I agree with a lot of the things
10	that Mr. Nowicki has said in terms of addressing the
11	problem, but what I believe the problem needs in
12	order to be truly solved is a two pronged attack.
13	Not simply more allocation or real locations of
14	officers, but a more indepth training of officers so
15	that they have a better understanding of the
16	communities in which they serve. So that they have
17	respect for the communities in which they serve. And
18	so that they have a very fundamental understanding
19	that if they do not engage in proper and professional
20	policing of African American communities as they do
21	all other communities, that it will not be tolerated
22	by the higher levels of the police hiearchy. And I

think the higher levels of the police hiearchy can

set a tone in which the police officers, the beat
officers, and the patrol officers will comprehend
that certain types of behavior that unequal treatment
is not condoned, it's not sanctioned and it will not
be tolerated. I think until we impart that knowledge
to every single police officer on the street, until
every single police officer has it in his psyche that
African American citizens, hispanic citizens oriental
citizens, all citizens have to be treated the same,
you will still see a continuation of this problem
because there is a great deal, as I tried to Impart
in my statement, of discretion that a police officer
has, once he is on the street and there is a great
deal of room for a police officer to color facts or
hide facts of things that occur on the street because
very often the people that the police officers come
into contact with may be uneducated, they may be
unsophisticated, they may be poor. And oftentimes,
if it comes down on a situation where they were
treated unequally or they didn't get police
protection, they may not know the proper way to bring
this to the attention of the proper authorities so it
will be addressed. And, therefore, if a police

officer wants to color a situation or an incident so
that it may appear that there wasn't unequal police
treatment, oftentimes it may go unaddressed. And
this will continue to happen unless we can change, I
think, the perspective of every police officer on the
street.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Nowicki?

I guess I'd like to caution MR. NOWICKI: you from accepting the premise that it's very easy to accept the premise that violent crime, because it's more serious in nature, should be the major factor in determining manpower allocation. But, if you look at it, if you try to get the emotion out of the picture and look at it in a way that makes the best effective use of police resources, that may not necessarily be the case. There are some complex preliminary investigations that have to take place for non violent incidents that consume a lot of police officer's time, and to give that less weight in the picture of the deployment might not provide the proper allocation of resources. I think violent crime has to be given a lot of attention, but certainly it does, in and of itself, just because of

1	the nature of the offense, shouldn't be a driving
2	force. But, again, even with those comments, that's
3	talkinga bout a reactive police agency, and I see the
4	Chicago Police Department moving to a more pro active
5	policing philosophy by adopting community oriented
6	policing. And if you're going to ask police officers
7	to engage in problem solving, you have to do some.
8	So, you have to factor that in.
9	I think Mr. Lee's perception, Mr. Lee's
10	comments about the perception of inequities in
11	policing derives directly from the agency's values.
12	And we heard Mary Powers talking early about some
13	initiatives by Superintendent Rodriguez to really
14	define and better define the values of the Chicago
15	Police Department. I think that's essential. Once
16	the values are set forward and the leadership has
17	been assured that everybody understands and buys into
18	them. And I think that both Mr. Lee and Mr. Friedman
19	commented on that issue as well. And I think that's
20	very appropriate.
21	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Friedman?
22	MR. FRIEDMAN: I agree that violent crimes

shouldn't drive it because the question has to be

asked what kind of violent crimes are a police
effective in doing something domestics? Maybe an
officer, but many one time, and there's referral to a
social service agency. But something is going to
drive It. The allocation of police resources and the
number of officers in any beat that that can take the
time to solve the problems. Community policing is a
different way of dealing with problems in the
neighborhoods. It's not reactive, but the number of
officers present to deal with those problems is an
allocation of deployment question, and so they're
separable under community policing. We may find that
officers will spend much more time working with the
community clearing up problems, clusters of crimes at
an intersection, and it will be much harder to say
how much time they spent on crime A as opposed to
crime B. But, the number of officers available to
the community, to the beat, to work with the
community, is the deployment question and whether how
you weight the different crime categories is still,
as far as I can see, and from a footnote in Booz,
Allen, I think is correct that they're going to go on
using some efforts of weighted workload, how they're

going to weight non crime and Part II crimes to make 1 officers available is not clear, and it should be 2 because I think there was a bias. 3 CHAIRPERSON LYON: I have one question of 4 5 Mr. Lee. Is there any way of collecting empirical data; the incident of the young boy who came in and 6 7 had taken a belt and his mother came in to make 8 restitution and you indicated that if it had been a white youth, a white boy, that they probably would 9 10 have accepted the restitution and that would have 11 been nothing further. Is there any way that you have 12 you can document those types of incidents? 13 MR. LEE: Oftentimes, unfortunately, it's 14 not possible to prove to an absolute empirical 15 certainty, a lot of the perceptions that we have in 16 our community. And, unfortunately, I can't say that 17 there's any easy formula to do that, but in order to 18 underscore the voracity of conclusions of that nature, I would just ask the committee to use their 19 20 observations and to analyze the issues in terms of 21 the facts that exist in the real world. Now, you 22 know, not to keep going back to the Rodney King situation, but in that situation had there not been 23

the video camera present, then a lot of people may 1 not have believed that that would have happened. It 2 simply shocked the consciousness, I think, of this 3 4 country and a lot of citizens. I think most of them, non minorities, were just amazed that something like 5 that could happen in this country. But, as a point 6 7 of fact, it happens frequently. It doesn't just 8 happen in Los Angeles, it happens in Chicago, it 9 happens in every major city in this country. And 10 oftentimes, there just is no recorder there. There 11 is no empirical data for you to say this is exactly 12 how it is. We're very fortunate in that circumstance 13 because we had something that could prove it beyond a 14 shadow of a doubt. But now the outcome of the trial is a different issue. But, you don't always have 15 16 that. And so through the experience of different 17 attorneys who go to these stations on a regular basis and they've had an opportunity to observe over a 18 19 period of time how different police officers handle 20 matters, how they adjust matters, what types of 21 punishment are meted out to their clients. They see 22 other attorneys come and they see attorneys come with 23 non minority clients and they see what type of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

punishment is meted out to them, and they form these perception.

Now, if you ask the police officer will he do a station adjustment different for a black youth or a white youth, he's going to sy no, and he would probably try to find some distinguishing factor to point to to say that's why he did it on case A and why he didn't do it on case B. But, if you were able to look at the entire body of evidence and see the number of times that it happens with African American youth as opposed to the number of times it happens with non African Americans, I think you would clearly see a pattern where it's there. Oftentimes these things are just perceptions because this point, a couple of years ago, I don't have the exact date, but there was a murder of a white female when she went to use a cash station. I think her name was Dana Feightler. It happend on the Gold Coast. Subsequent to that, there was a big uproar. There was some discussion of having cash stations changed in some fashion so this wouldn't happen. But at the same time, right around the same time there was a black woman who was also murder, but you didn't hear very

much about that in the news or the media because it wasn't deemed as important. Unfortunately, in our society, African American lives are not always given the same weight of lives of white citizens. The news media that reported it found it especially alarming because the person who was killed, they viewed as someone like them, someone who should have been insulated from a situation like that, someone on the Gold Coast, someone professional. And all of a sudden, she was murdered after that occurred. I frequently heard the superintendent of police on the news saying we're going to have a 24 hour manhunt until we solve this situation. And that was a response to the outcry, the cry from the community. And a lot of the white community just being shocked and appalled that this could happen on the Gold Coast. But, at the same time, there was no 24 hour manhunt for the black victim. I mean, it was just another situation where someone black was murdered in the black community. It gets to the point where it's almost expected that black lives will be lost in high crime areas. And it's just something we have to live with. But when it spills outside of our community,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

ይ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

```
then it's a true tragedy and it's a tragedy that they
1
2
        throw more resources at, they put more time into and
3
        they put more effort into it.
                  CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. I'm sorry
 4
5
        that it's 11:15 and our 11:00 o'clock panelists are
 6
         here and --
 7
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                 Can I make a suggestion,
         understanding that I think I know for myself, I can't
 8
 9
         speak for the rest of the panel, that I do have a few
         questions that I would like to address to the
10
11
         remaining panel members, that I would find helpful, I
12
         think the committee would find helpful, even if it is
13
         to state it in the record and ask if they could give
14
         us some response at a subsequent time, but--
15
                  CHAIRPERSON LYON: That's acceptable.
16
                  MS. YANDLE: But, I would hate to sort of
17
         let them go, but I could just state mine for the
18
         record and I can follow up with the panel members to
19
         make sure we have an understanding as to what I'm
20
         asking. And we can get the answer at a later date.
21
         First of all, for Mr. Friedman, I think I basically
22
         have three questions. The first one is how the
23
         Neighborhood Alliance is organized and how you
```

1	function. The second one is based upon your
2	information. I think you indicate that there's a
3	reorganization about to go on in the Chicago Police
4	Department, or I didn't understand whether it had
5	already begun. But, ask you to give us any
6	indication of where the Department is in that
7	reorganization process? What's going on at this
8	point? And last but not least, what is the extent or
9	what has been the extent of any dissemination of the
10	Booz, Allen report and how this Committee can find
11	out those recommendations, what those recommendations
12	have been? For Mr. Nowicki, I guess really my
13	question for you is what I'm understanding is that we
14	have, I'm sure there may be more, but basically two
15	perceptions that I'm interested in, the first one is
16	that in the black communities, which also directly
17	correlates generally with the high crime areas. In
18	those areas, there's a perception that those areas
19	are overpoliced and less protected. That's the first
20	perception, and also the perception that there is
21	inequality in the level of police allocation in those
22	areas. Based upon your experience on the force, with
23	the Authority, I'm just interested in knowing whether

1	or not or what your feeling is about those
2	perceptions; whether or not, in fact, you feel that
3	either those areas are overpoliced or less protected
4	or whether there is an inequality in the level of
5	police on allocation in those areas?
6	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Can I do the same thing
7	and offer one clarification in the matter of
8	overpolicing? It's my impression that those living
9	in high crime areas want more police, but yet they
10	are concerned about how police are used. That they
11	also want more police, and I simply offer that as
12	perhaps my own point to that general question. But,
13	I have the following question, I think that largely
14	Mr. Nowicki, Mr. Friedman, and Mr. Lee also might
15	want to comment; one is that there are certain we
16	were using certain formulas in terms of allocation.
17	I gather from both Mr. Nowicki and Mr. Friedman, they
18	believe the formulas should be changed, but I assume
19	that we would like clarification that formulas are
20	useful. I assume, but I would like to be told for
21	sure that the formulas should be periodically
22	applied. There's a minimum period of time that we
23	should use the revised formula in or else we lose

1	them. I assume also that both would feel that
2	because of the way this City is divided by race, by
3	economics, that the use of formulas tends to create
4	greater fairness or a racial basis. And I would like
5	the opinion on that. And I would also like to know
6	whether it would be useful if a new formula is
7	adopted or the old formula is retained and modified,
8	whatever that there be reporting to to state or
9	federal agency on a periodic basis. And finally,
10	that that information be publicly available.
11	MR. FRIEDMAN: Reporting of what?
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Reporting of what the
13	formula is and how it applied, understanding that any
14	formula has to be modified. That there are things
15	that don't fit into the formula, but that when we
16	collect statistics, there is a form of statistic that
17	would be useful to have. I don't know what the
18	answers are to those questions, those are intended as
19	questions and not as answers. And I would appreciate
20	answers on them.
21	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I think our Chairman and
22	our staff will tell us what the time frame is.
23	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I think the reports all

have to be in by September 30th. 1 2 I guess following up on that in 3 particular. Mr. Nowicki says that they do a lot of work with the federal government. They've got their 4 research. The question from me is, has Chicago ever 5 asked for this data? Have they incorporated it when 6 they were doing this other Booz, Allen report? How 7 8 much are these two cooperating? It seems that you've done a lot of it. It seems that a lot of factors 9 10 that you have indicated would seem like very pertinent factors, and if you've got some data 11 12 collected or if there is some type of, as a matter of course, that information will be shared and would be 13 implemented in the Chicago Police force. But, those 14 15 are some of the very same concerns that I have. 16 MR. PUGH: Right along the same line, 17 particularly to Mr. Nowicki, the community policing 18 function had appeared to have more non violent crime 19 work to the policeman's load which they become 20 building inspectors, child welfare workers, 21 counselors, social workers of all kinds. Nor 22 MR. NOWICKI: I have to comment to you know

on that because I can't let that statement, that's

1	not true. Community policing doesn't necessarily make
2	social workers out of police officers. What it does,
3	it heightens police officers so that they know how to
4	access those resources in the community with the
5	problems they're dealing with. They'll bring the
6	social worker there.
7	MR. PUGH: I don't mean to argue. You
8	telling me policemen don't already know that?
9	MR. NOWICKI: Correct.
10	MR. PUGH: That's too bad. Let me then
11	forget the premise and ask the question, will
12	community oriented policing, requiring significant
13	increase in the police force to provide the existing
14	level of violent crime patrol or will it, as I did,
15	yes, and put my premise out, simply diminish the
16	police time that's available for dealing with violent
17	areas.
18	MR. NOWICKI: I'd love to respond to you
19	right now.
20	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Your time is up.
21	MR. FRIEDMAN: So would I.
22	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I appreciate the panelist
22	for appearing and look forward to reading these

1	responses. Thank you very much for your time and
2	effort.
3	(A brief recess was taken.)
4	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We're going to call the
5	11:00 o'clock panel. Barbara Pillows standing in for
6	Patricia Hill. Is Barbara Pillows here? We also
7	have John Dineen with us. Is Jesse Richardson here?
8	Okay, Mr. Dineen, if you could give us a concise
9	statement of what your paper, what your position is
10	going to take?
11	PANEL III
12	JOHN DINEEN
13	Fraternal Order of Police
14	Really I came to answer any questions.
15	But the question of unequal protection in the black
16	neighborhood and minority neighborhoods, first, I
17	don't speak for the Police Department. Let me say
18	that much. I speak for the union representing the
19	police officers. But we have no authority of
20	assigning the police officers, the Department has
21	their own formula it uses in assigning officers
22	throughout the district, in the City of Chicago by
23	the detective division. What guides that right now,

1	I believe, is calls on for service that the Chicago
2	Police Department has over two million calls for
3	service a year. So, and they have a little over
4	6,500 patrol officers in the patrol force. So you
5	start dividing that calls up, you see that the
6	officers are very busy just answering calls for
7	service. Now the department is moving forward on the
8	theory of community policing, which takes a portion
9	of that patrol force away from answering calls for
10	service; and hopefully, that community policing would
11	reduce calls for service. The interaction between
12	the police officer and the people in the community
13	would reduce the calls for service rather than just
14	the police officers reacting to calls for service.
15	Right now the average patrol officer leaves the
16	station in a squad car, they may have 2 or 3 or 5 or
17	6 calls for service awaiting them when they get in
18	the car. So they don't really have an opportunity to
19	interact with the community.
20	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. Barbara Pillows,
21	could you give us a concise statement as to your
22	position here.
23	BARBARA PILLOWS

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	African American Police League
2	Our position is that the community is
3	under protected, but not in the sense of manpower.
4	We're looking at the quality of police protection,
5	not numbers. We're looking at the quality of service
6	that is presented to the citizens, and we feel that
7	it's lacking.
8	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Can you give me a
9	specific instance of how it's lacking?
10	MS. PILLOWS: It gets into an arrest
11	situation. As a police officer, when you're called
12	or there's a request for service and you arrive upon
13	the scene, you have a lot of latitude as far as
14	discretion as to what you can do. If you have the
15	knowledge that a crime has been committed, have,
16	based upon your job description, a committment to
17	take police action; i.e., arrest. But in a large
18	portion of calls there isn't a clear definition or
19	there isn't that precise notion that a crime has been
20	committed. Which means that you, as a person, have
21	to do some investigative work and ask particular
22	questions to make a valid determination as to whether
23	the person should be arrested or whether this person

İ	should be given other directions and other options as
2	to what can be done to alleviate the problem.
3	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Do committee members have
4	questions?
5	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: You indicated that you
6	did not Bell there was a shortage of police in
7	certain areas. If we would move to such experimental
8	programs as safe paths, an attempt to ensure that
9	children have a safe path to travel from their home
10	to their school, doesn't that require greater numbers
11	of police than are presently available?
12	MS. PILLOWS: If I lead you to believe that
13	I thought that there was a shortage, I'm not naive
14	enough to believe that simply by having more bodies
15	in a geographical region you're going to have "what
16	we look upon as being protection". You know, just
17	because you have a person there, that person isn't
18	delivering a a certain level of quality of a service.
19	It makes no difference how many people you have, the
20	quality is not there. So, numbers mean nothing, it's
21	the quality of service. And as far as what I
22	personally believe in certain areas, no, we do not
23	have enough police to deliver corvice. Wo're just

that we receive. MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Then let me, for both of you, raise this question for some of us and here I do reflect a personal bias. The level of violence directed at children within portions of this City is far greater than previous civilizations have been willing to tolerate or have experienced. To what extent does meeting that problem in the view of either of you require substantially greater resources in terms of additional police?

overwhelmed by the calls and by the types of calls

MR. DINEEN: Well, with the children it's not only directed towards the Police Department, but towards other parts of the governmental entity. The children are now in the process of going back to school, the criminal elements, whether it's just the bully robber or rapist, always picks on those they feel are most vunerable. And, of course, the children are very vunerable to people who will prowl the school yard. And some of them are students, but the stronger ones pick on the weaker ones. It's the way that mankind was created, I guess, and you know the Board of Education has a responsibility in that

1	they are now moving police officers into the grammer
2	schools of our community. I think it's a terrible
3	viewpoint of what's happening in our communities, but
4	undoubtedly those empowered with directing the Board
5	of Education feel it's necessary. I mean 15, 20
6	years ago it was started at the high school, now it's
7	down to the grammer schools. So, it's not only a
8	police problem, it's being pointed at the police and
9	the police are given the responsibility. But the
10	whole system has to be reallocated somewhere there,
11	you know, then the police, of course, have a greater
12	presence now with the Police Department has created a
13	school patrol set up not only those in the school,
14	but those who patrol around the schools trying to
15	create a safe path, as you call it, for the children
16	to get back and forth to school. You used to hear
17	stories about the kids who had to give up their lunch
18	money to get to school or something. So it's a
19	definite problem and you don't have enough police
20	officers, I mean you've got a school system that is
21	taking care of almost a quarter of a million
22	children. You realize everybody cannot have their
23	own police officer to walk them to school, so that is

1	a particular problem. And a lot of it goes to the
2	families of the perpetrators because most of them are
3	juveniles. They should give some direction to their
4	children. The Board of Education, I guess, feeling
5	that the answer is to call upon the police to come in
6	and police the schools. Is that an answer? I don't
7	know. And then now have additional police around the
8	schools during the hours of schools are open and
9	around the parks and playgrounds after school. But,
10	you can't have an armed camp moving with the youth of
11	our community at all times. That's the real problem.
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Mr. Dineen, I heard you
13	say that we need more police. Can you quantify that?
14	MR. DINEEN: I can't. If you go to
15	community policing, you have - are there more police
16	necessary and that would be part of it making the
17	children safe. One of the failures in Houston was
18	they took 40 percent of their patrol force and put
19	them in the, over 40 percent and put them in the
20	community policing, and left only less than 60
21	percent to handle a hundred percent of the calls that
22	they were handling the day before, or you know,
23	during the period before. New York made a

1	committment to hire 12,000 additional police when Lee
2	Brown went to New York to try to institute the
3	program there. Somewhere along the line I talked to
4	Dennis Nowicki before he left. They started to
5	institute the program during his tenure in Joliet and
6	calls for service did go down in their target
7	community that they started it. So, hopefully that
8	would be part of it. But the Chicago Police
9	Department has less police officers now than we had
10	in 1975. That's one answer. We have almost 2,000
11	less police officers than we had 15 years ago. So
12	that's economic reality. If you read the papers
13	today, or since at the time the schools, the City
14	colleges of Chicago are turning away students because
15	they don't have the money to open up the classrooms
16	anymore.
17	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I take it you're talking
18	about a period in which there's been a 15 percent
19	increase in crime?
20	MR. DINEEN: A 15 percent increase in crime
21	and a corresponding 15 percent decrease in manpower.
22	MR. PUGH: And a decree in population?
23	MR DINEEN. Decrease in population and

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

ł

1	still an increase in crime. It's police officers,
2	citizens
3	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Excuse me, is Jesse
4	Richardson here? Okay, thank you.
5	MR. PUGH: I'm sorry?
6	MR. DINEEN: Its not a theory of police
7	officers to citizens, it's a matter of police
8	officers to necessity or calls for service, demands
9	for service.
10	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: They're not even just
11	calls, you can't even go by the calls because many of
12	the arrests the police officers make are on view, on
13	the street. They're not called to assign
14	MR. PUGH: Let me ask a question. I
15	apologize for coming in late. I missed the opening
16	part of your statement. Mr. Dineen, is the
17	deployment of police we were told that it's been 7
18	years since a significant remand
19	MR. DINEEN: Allocation at the structure.
20	MR. PUGH: So, I would assume that for 7
21	years the places that haven't had police still
22	haven't had a policeman, and the crime is more apt to
22	he committed where there gran't notice than where

1	there are police. And you'd have to be a pretty
2	stupid criminal not to realize that there are no
3	police here. Does that create a kind of a problem in
4	a black neighborhood in this community?
5	MR. DINEEN: Black, white or any
6	neighborhood where you have a changing pattern. In
7	some of the interior of the City, some neighborhoods
8	have gone almost to empty lots over that period of
9	time, you know, but the structure is still the same.
10	So they may have a police officer riding around three
11	square blocks of open ground, almost except that that
12	officer is constantly called out of that area to
13	answer calls. And you've got other areas that maybe
14	7 years ago were lower crime areas that, and the
15	movement of population and the movement of crime, the
16	opportunity is no longer in the X neighborhood to
17	commit a crime, so they move to the Y neighborhood
18	because there's something to steal there and there
19	last been no reallocation of manpower to that
20	neighborhood. So, suddenly they are very overworked
21	the officers in the neighborhood.
22	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: You said something that
23	amazes me. You're saying that in addition to not

1	having gone though the normal redeployment process
2	MR. DINEEN: Right.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: That the actual
4	redeployment has not taken place?
5	MR. DINEEN: They have what they call
6	primary beats in every district and they have
7	secondary beats. Primary beat are the ones where
8	there's a lot of identifiable crime. The secondary
9	beat is one that perhaps has a lot of traffic in the
10	day time because it's a business district and very
11	little traffic at night, so it becomes a secondary
12	beat on the the night watch or something. So maybe
13	secondary in the day time because it's residential
14	and there's not a lot of people there and
15	theoretically, hopefully not a lot of crime that has
16	a lot more people back in there at night time. So,
17	they switch. That's done with the district. So it's
18	a matter of where you're going to put your manpower.
19	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Has any additional
20	manpower been placed in the northeast portion,
21	extreme northeast portion of the City?
22	MR. DINEEN: They opened up a new district
23	out there, the 24th district, and they just bled

1	manpower from other districts; the 20th district.
2	They got a new station and it's one of the smallest
3	manpower allocated districts in the city, by the way.
4	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: So there's been some
5	redeployment, it just hasn't been done by any kind of
6	formula that is publicly available, even within the
7	department or maybe it hasn't been done by formula at
8	all.
9	MR. DINEEN: When they opened up the Rogers
10	Park district, what they did was take certain beats
11	out of the Foster Avenue district and they just said
12	how many men do we have there now? How many do we
13	need, add up the additional manpower data for
14	administrative desk, look up and clerical work and
15	moved them over.
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: So that's your
17	understanding of how it's done, rather than by any
18	general fromula?
19	MR. DINEEN: But they did not reallocate
20	manpower throughout the whole City of Chicago. They
21	attempt to do it when they hire, you know. If they
22	don't, say if we get a period of time they hired
23	quite rapidly last year, they hired over 700 officer,

1	they didn't lose that many, so they take the
2	additional the manpower and try to distribute that
3	where the people downtown think it's necessary, which
4	is usually at your high crime areas.
5	MR. PUGH: But the Districts are
6	undermanned to begin with, right?
7	MR. DINEEN: Right. And if they go through
8	with this closing down of the stations, they are now
9	talking about creating super stations, 600 patrol
10	officers at a facility, but they will have the
11	additional responsibility of a larger area to police.
12	MS. PETERS: But when the newly hired and
13	trained police officers are assigned on the basis of
14	what seems to be the need, is there any sort of logic
15	the formula is based on; the workload or the crime,
16	or are they simply assigned?
17	MR. DINEEN: I'm not speaking for the
18	department. It's my perception that every commander
19	asked for, I need more officers, I need ten, I need
20	two, and the people downtown make a decision of where
21	they believe because they have an overall picture of
22	the whole crime picture in the City of where they
23	helieve that mannower will hest serve the needs of

```
1
        the City of Chicago. Thank you.
2
                 MS. YANDLE:
                                I have a question and I
        guess -- well, first I have a question for Ms.
3
        Pillows. To the extent that you yourself, you are a
4
5
        police officer, correct?
 6
                 MS. PILLOWS: Yes, I am.
 7
                  MS. YANDLE: And I guess my interest, the
 8
         question in my mind is, as a police officer, based
 9
         upon your experience and your training whether the
10
         initial training or any follow up or subsequent
11
        training that you have received through the
12
         department, whether or not you can give us any
13
         information on whether or not the department, through
14
         it's training or continuing education or whatever
15
         requirements has made any attempt to address the
16
         problems in certain geographic areas of the black
17
         community in terms of the quality of the service and
18
         I understand that what we're looking at is not -- we
         can't look at it in isolation and I don't personally,
19
20
         I believe that you've first got to look at the
21
         problem and there may be a problem with the numbers,
22
         but beyond the numbers, there's certainly a question
23
         of the quality or the disparity of the type of
```

service or protection that is given, depending upon where you are. And I think we can all agree that a lot goes into why that disparity may be. But the question I have is certainly if we realize that, as citizens in general, realize, which they do because the perception is out there, whether or not the Chicago Police Department has training, seminars, what have you, policies, anything, has made any attempts to address the problems in the quality of service in high crime and areas of the black community.

MS. PILLOWS: Okay. To answer your question, first of all I think that the word training is the wrong word to use. You train animals, you educate people. And I think that is one of the problems the department is trying to train people. You do not train people, you have to educate people, and through education certain views and opinions are either changed or they remain the same. But, still that information is imparted. When you train a person, it's like you tell them you going to do this, this and this, and there is no thought process going on with that person as to why I'm going to do this or

1	why I shouldn't do that. So, I don't like the word
2	training, you know. I think the department should be
3	educating people. As far as what they "training", no
4	I don't think officers are properly trained or as
5	they should be educated, and that is a problem. And
6	part of the problem with that is in my own personal
7	opinion, those persons that they have training, the
8	police officers are not qualified, and I'm saying
9	that not from just the standpoint as police officers,
10	but as a former educator, you know, in order to
11	accomplish a goal you have to have those persons in
12	those positions who are qualified, capable and
13	committed to attaining that goal. So, if you do not
14	have those three conditions met, then you're going to
15	fall short in your goal. So, no, the department is
16	not doing what it should.
17	MS. YANDLE: Okay. I have a follow up
18	question on that. Can you give us some idea of based
19	again on your experience and also the work of your
20	organization, the levels, can you characterize the
21	level or what is the level of the disparity in the
22	quality of service by geographics or by depending on,
23	I mean, without necessarily having to be technical.

I think you're in a unique position of being able to 1 tell this committee you know what the level of 2 disparity is and I'm interested in however you want 3 4 to articulate that. What is your perception of it? 5 MS. PILLOWS: I cannot give you numbers. 6 The only thing I can do is give you an incident. My 7 partner and I went on a call to a middle aged couple. 8 middle, you know, they were pillars of the community, 9 people didn't have any problems. They got up, went 10 to work everyday, raised their kids, did the right 11 thing. They lived in the area where there's a lot of 12 drug activity going on and also they lived between two houses that were former drug houses, and the 13 14 houses were continually set on fire. So, we get the 15 call also that there was a fire and, of course, we 16 asked well who is complaining, and they direct us to 17 this couple's home. So, we go in and we start 18 talking to the couple and they start telling us, you 19 know, they've set these two particular houses on fire 20 at least ten times within the year and then they also 21 told us, we've never seen the police. And I look at 22 her and said, what do you mean, you've never seen the 23 police? She says we've never seen the police. And I

1 say well, Miss, you know, if we receive a request for service and if there's a fire, we have to come. And 2 3 the lady says, we've never seen the police. You're the first police that we've ever seen. And I looked 4 at her and I'm going to myself, well something is 5 6 wrong here. It's, I mean, it's possible that the police did not go, but someone was dispatched. And 7 8 so in sitting there, and we stayed there for maybe 9 two hours talking with this couple, and what we did is that we had to educate them as to; one, how the 10 11 Department works and what to do if you don't receive 12 service. And it was -- we spent all of our time 13 educating them and telling them what they should do 14 in the event that they request service and no police 15 officer shows up; how to do documentation, how to do 16 call back, you know, keep calling back until you get 17 an answer to your satisfaction, even if no one does anything, at least there is a process that you follow 18 to get to, you know, some particular point. 19 20 So, as far as level of quality, I think 21 it isn't there because you do not have a committment 22 from the police officers of the Chicago Police 23 Department, and I state this, I don't know how true

1	my figures are, but minority officers comprise
2	approximately 18 percent of the Department where, I
3	don't know, according to whose figures you use,
4	African Americans are somewhere between 40 to 60
5	percent of the populus of the City. So right there
6	that says well, if we are the majority of the people
7	in the city, how can we be the minority in the
8	Department? And based upon the history of America,
9	we live in a racist society, racism is
10	institutionalized. Police officers come from
11	society, therefore, they bring with them their
12	personal blases. So, how can a person who takes a
13	job to serve and protect who is not professional
14	enough to set aside his blases and render service to
15	the people do that? His whole mental make up is
16	going to prevent him from giving those people who he
17	considered to be inferior and has preconceived
18	notions, he's not going to give them the service that
19	they deserve, and that they pay for because they
20	employ you.
21	MS. YANDLE: He's not being educated by the
22	department.
22	MC DILLOWS. No hair not How can you

1	have someone who is, I don't want to say that they're
2	up front in their agenda to carry this out, but whose
3	best interest it is to keep you oppressed, to keep
4	you uneducated, uninformed, to give you any type of
5	service that is going to uplift you or provide your
6	needs, provide the compliance?
7	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Let's take the meeting,
8	the failure to respond. This is really to both of
9	you. Have you, to what extent is there adequate
10	internal review of complaints of failure to respond?
11	I know Mr. Dineen, you have difficulty with external
12	civilian overview?
13	MR. DINEEN: I do.
14	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: But, to what extent do
15	you think the Department might, without unhappiness
16	on your part or your organization's part, establish
17	greater internal review over things like failure to
18	respond? I'm not talking about the IAD's concerns
19	within it's other branches, I'm talking about
20	something like failure to respond.
21	MR. DINEEN: Well, first of all, the
22	Department has a policy, you've got to answer the
23	radio. If you don't ansewr the radio, you get red

light, so they know whether or not you answered it. 1 How do they know? 2 MR. HUGH: You have to come up on the air 3 MR. DINEEN: 4 and respond. If they call, I'm working beat 111 and 5 they call 111 on the air and I come on and they --6 you've got a job at 22nd and State Street on the 3rd 7 floor. All right, I've been notified. Now the 8 theory is, if I show up --9 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Mr. Dineen, let's see 10 how this sometimes works in real life because I've 11 worked with the police department. Sometimes you 12 have a call like this anecdotal example we've been given where maybe the police officer gets called over 13 14 on something else, and it's an emergency, sometimes 15 the police officers says, well, I came by and I rang 16 the bell and there was no answer. Sometimes he says 17 well, I must have been given a wrong address, but I assure you there are examples in which the anecdote 18 19 she gives is very real. The follow up that ensures 20 that there has been a response may take more than the 21 officer's report of what he has or has not done. Obviously, if we are to believe this couple, they 22 23 have been making calls and there were no responses.

1 You can't just have the officers response or his 2 attempt to avoid his being regulated. First of all, if it was a fire MR. DINEEN: 3 4 call, the majority of them don't ask for police or 5 then a building burning down with people in it is not kept in the Chicago Police Department, it's 6 automatically transferred to the fire department. The 7 8 fire department shows up, when the house was on fire, 9 and if the fire department then calls for a police to 10 direct traffic or something, then the policeman may be sent. But, I'm not defending what may or may not 11 have happened there. That's the procedure in the 12 13 communication center. MR. SCHWARTZBERG: All arson at this point 14 does not involve the police unless the fire 15 16 department involves the police. 17 MR. DINEEN: Right. If they ask for an 18 investigation of what caused the fire, if the fire 19 department gets on the scene, puts out the fire and 20 they say it's of a suspicious nature, then the police department is called because we are the arson 21 22 investigators. If the fire department just puts it out and does not call for follow up investigation, 23

then it's just a fire that the fire department puts 1 2 out. MS. PILLOWS: Okay. I'm in the patrol. I 3 work in Englewood, and we get calls of fires all the Ą 5 There are different levels. You can do a 6 missile X, which means that it could have been --7 there wasn't any damage to real property, it was personal property you've got. It wasn't of a serious 8 9 nature. 10 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: That doesn't come 11 through the fire department? 12 MS. PILLOWS: I cannot address what the fire department does. I'm not the fire department. I 13 can tell you what the police do. Any time there's a 14 15 fire, we are sent to make a determination what is 16 burning. Is it a rubbish fire, is there a house on 17 fire, is there a car on fire? There is something -if you get there and it's a rubbish fire, the fire 18 19 department is gone, you can come up with the code. 20 If you get there and it's a fire of a class that 21 requires that you do a missile X, then you do that. 22 But, if it's a fire in which personal property has 23 been damaged, it's arson, you have to get an RD

1 number. So we go whenever there's a fire because, you know, by working in patrol a lot of times I get 2 calls of a fire, you get there and you're trying to 3 4 find out where the fire is. So, we go, you know. I 5 do not know of any instance where there has been a 6 fire and the police were not automatically sent to 7 make some determination as to whether or not police 8 service was required at that time. 9 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I'm still to get back to 10 the thing that threw this out. To what extent is your organization willing to welcome additional 11 12 internal review, internal review directed toward this 13 kind of failure to respond for the benefit of the 14 reputation of the police force in the City? 15 MR. DINEEN: If there were police sent, as the officer says they -- to respond, somehow usually 16 17 with a code or with a case report or something, you 18 know. Now, if the people called back and said I 19 called and asked for the police and the police did 20 not come and they find out that there was a code, the 21 code may have been nobody there when they got there, 22 or they maybe made out a case report and said there's

no damage and if they put someone's name down that

1	there was a complaint made, they go out to try to
2	find out if the officer really got there.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I take it that you're
4	telling me because you believe there's no problem,
5	you don't want to answer the question?
6	MR. DINEEN: I can't see what, if you got a
7	department that has two million calls for service a
8	year, if you think there's some way to follow up on
9	all two million calls to see if the people
10	actually the police show up, that
11	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: No, I'm saying when
12	somebody says that they have made the call and it
13	hasn't been responded to, should there be some
14	portion of the police force that is publicly known,
15	that is publicly available, which is expected to
16	respond to that kind of complaint?
17	MR. DINEEN: It's there now. They will
18	send out a supervisor. If the public alls back, they
19	will send a supervisor out to speak to the citizen.
20	But if they make a further complaint, it may go down
21	to IAD or INS for investigation.
22	MS. PILLOWS: That big word, if. If they
23	call back. The majority of people that I come in

contact with have problems with police service do not 1 2 know how to complain for the lack of service that they have received. Plus, as my experience, and it's 3 4 not as lengthy with the police department as Mr. Dineen, policemen can play games. They're very good 5 6 at it. So if you don't want to give a particular 7 person service, there is a way you can get around 8 giving that person service within the "relms of the 9 department's guidelines". There is that much 10 latitude. And, you know, getting back to it, if the 11 people don't know how to complain, they won't 12 complain, therefore, you don't get that follow up. 13 And with this particular couple that I referred to. 14 they were on the 7th District Steering Committee. 15 they were members of Acorn, and I couldn't believe 16 they didn't know what to do. Because I'm saying here 17 you are on these community groups and you have no 18 idea of how to do a follow up on the police service. 19 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And I take it, the final 20 question on this, that your impression is that the 21 lack of knowledge of how to make these complaints or 22 the unwillingness to do so tends to be greater within 23 the black community than within the white community?

1	MS. PILLOWS: Generally speaking, but not as
2	a rule. There are black communities in this city
3	where they do receive certain levels of police
4	service, and I was fortunate enough to be raised in
5	one, to attend school in one. Because there is a
6	certain level of education in the community, so
7	therefore, the tolerance for certain kinds of
8	disrespect is very low, and the officers who work in
9	that district are aware of it, and they recognize
10	that, and therefore, they are going to render the
11	service that's needed.
12	And the problem with the Department is
13	not that there aren't guidelines to deal with the
14	failure to respond, it's being able to actually
15	follow up on it. You know, if it's okay to put
16	guidelines there and say we're going to do this and
17	this, but if you don't get that initial process
18	started, you know, you've just got guidelines. But
19	the Department does have guidelines to deal with
20	police officers. And in response to your question,
21	in talking to police officers; black, white,
22	whatever, would be willing to say that the vast
23	majority have no problems with any type of internal

investigation because they, as people, and their
upbringings, there's just certain things they're not
going to do to people, and therefore, they have
nothing to hide. So, it's not the majority of police
officers who are against any type of internal
investigation or a or I don't believe of any
civilian investigation; however, I think what the
problem is when civilians come in to investigating
the police, you want to know what are their motives?
Why? Why do you want to sit there and make
determinations about what is happening to a group of
professionals when there are management to deal with
those people who they are paid to manage? So, I
think it's a matter of motive. You want to know what
is the civilian's motive for wanting to sit on the
Police Board? You know, has a police officer done
something to him that he's there, you know, to make
sure I'm going to get back at each and every one of
them instead of going at that individual? And I
think that is the problem with the attitude that
policemen have about civilians. They want to know
what is their motive. And I personally, you know,
when I come in contact with a with civilians in a

```
way that from my experience as being an educator, I
1
2
         ask questions that we don't recognize what it is that
        I'm going for. But you do try to find out what is
3
         your motive. What's the reason?
4
5
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                 I have one final question to
6
         address the panel and this is with respect to your
7
         organizations that you sit here representing. By way
8
         of example, I'm actually, from Bellville, Illinois,
9
         the southern tip of the state, Bellville, where I
10
         think the experiences may be slightly different. I'm
11
         originally from East St. Louis, Illinois, and I work
12
         in East St. Louis and I think that everyone in the
         country is aware of the problems of East St. Louis.
13
14
         Crime, it's -- recently there have been, I think
15
         within the last month two or three blue flu days with
16
         the police department where the officers called in
17
         with the flu call. The purpose or what was
         underlying this problem was the working conditions as
18
19
         perceived by the police officers in terms of the type
         of equipment, the resources that are available to
20
21
         them in East St. Louis for different reasons. But.
22
         the lack thereof, and what they are expected to do.
23
         It would seem to me that as a police officer,
```

1	everytime you go out on duty, you're risking your
2	life, that you would have a sense of whether or not
3	you feel that when you go into certain areas you have
4	the level of support or resources that you need to
5	feel safest. So, to that end, I guess my question is
6	have you all, in your organizations, dealt with
7	complaints, et cetera, from the membership, from the
8	officers in terms of the allocation of resources?
9	And now I guess I'm talking numbers and other
10	resources in certain areas, high crime areas, based
11	upon the apprehension of protection in there. It
12	just seems to me that if these resources are not
13	being adequately allocated, that that is a problem
14	for the police officers in terms of their own safety,
15	and that would cause some type of outcry complaints
16	grievances, et cetera. To what extent has that
17	occurred? And the second part of that question is,
18	has there been or what has been the nature of these
19	by the Department?
20	MR. DINEEN: We handle the grievances for
21	10,400 some officers covered by the contract. There
22	have been complaints, there have been complaints
23	about equipment, squad cars that weren't provided.

1 methodology they use to make the squad cars prison 2 transports had caused some problems in all the districts, not only the high crime. Anywhere they 3 4 have to go. The Department is using one officer 5 squad cars, intimidating officers to work one officer rather than two officers, which is a safety factor. 6 7 The ammunition that the police officers use, must use 8 in their weapons. The weapon that they have the 9 option to carry. We're involved in all kinds of 10 that. And some of it is resolved through talking. 11 some of it is resolved through a third disinterested 12 party making a decision for the police department, 13 which neither side wants, but sometimes that happens. 14 But that's an ongoing, everyday process with the 15 Fraternal Order of Police. 16 MS. PILLOWS: The Fraternal Order of Police. 17 they are the official recognized bargaining unit for 18 the police, and as far as our members, what we do is whenever they come to us with a complaint, we do 19 20 direct them to the union because those are the people 21 you pay your dues to to represent you. And if there 22 are other people that you need to get in contact 23 with, we direct them there. But we do send our

1	people to them. And then based upon certain
2	guidelines, I believe that you make a determination
3	whether or not the grievance should be followed up on
4	or whatever. And to your response to the blue flu,
5	you know I think one of the problems with police in
6	America is that somehow we've gotten away from what
7	policing really is. And if you go back historically
8	as to who the police were, they were members of the
9	community. They weren't some people that dropped in
10	from outer space to be an occupied force. They were
11	community members. And I was reading something just
12	the other day, something that came out of the Harvard
13	School of Government that when the police officer was
14	chasing a criminal, he started screaming and everyone
15	came out of their houses and everyone was chasing the
16	criminal. So it was a community based policing. So
17	that is the history of policing in America. Somehow
18	we got away from that, and getting away from that you
19	create this us versus them mentality where we're not
20	people. And people tell me this all the time, I
21	don't believe you're the police. I go to people's
22	homes in uniform and my partner, tells well, you
23	don't act like police. And I say what are we

```
supposed to act like? I'm a person. When I take off
1
2
        this uniform, I go home. I have a family, I have
        children. I do the same things you do. I just do
3
        this for a living. This is a job. I'm a person. So
4
5
        this blue flu thing I think is brought on by the
6
        conditions that have led to us versus them. I think
7
        that the working conditions that I mean I don't
8
         dictate our working conditions, you know, that's
9
        management. I think that if they did do something
10
         about the working conditions, some of these things
11
        that lead to blue flu would be decreased. And then
12
         there is this lack of support from the community.
13
         And I think that it's based upon a lack of education,
14
         a lack of exposure to one another where we say to
15
         them we're people just like you. I get angry, I cry,
16
         I'm happy. I do all the same things that you do.
17
         It's just that this is my profession, you know, this
18
         doesn't make me any different than you. You know,
19
         I'm just like the carpenter or a doctor. I do this
20
         to earn a living, to take care of my family, but I'm
21
         a person first and a policeman second. We use the
22
         term, I'm a citizen who just happens to be a
23
         policeman.
```

i	MS. YANDLE: I guess, and I understand your
2	answer, and I promise this is the last, as a follow
3	up and because I'm really interested in what your
4	answer to this direct question would be. Is, has the
5	African American Police League then dealt with
6	specific complaints and you've referred to the
7	Fraternal Order of Police from your officers that are
8	based upon the feeling that they do not have adequate
9	support in certain areas, the black community, the
10	high crime community. In other words, that you can
11	directly tie that to the lack of resources. In other
12	words, what I'm saying is, one perception is that not
13	only the quality of service, but that there is a
14	disparate allocation of police resources in terms of
15	numbers, equipment, et cetera in certain areas.
16	Okay, if you go from that premise, if I'm a police
17	officer that has to do my shift or my duties in one
18	of those areas, if there is a disparate or what I
19	perceive to be a lack of adequate police resources
20	necessary in that area, that's going to cause me some
21	concern for my own safety and me being able to
22	perform my job. So I guess my question to you is
23	have you had those type of complaints from your

1 officers with respect to particular areas? Look, we 2 don't have adequate resources in Englewood to deal with this or we don't have adequate support or 3 4 because there has been no reallocation, no formal 5 reallocation in seven years, you know, there's only 6 ten of us in this area and there's got to be twenty, 7 we can't handle it. Have those specific type of 8 complaints come to your organization, and as a 9 result, then passed on to the Fraternal Order or the 10 Department, and what has been the type of response? 11 MS. PILLOWS: I think that from our 12 organization and from policemen in particular. 13 especially in the district where I work, everyone 14 complains that there's not enough physical bodies 15 there to handle the workload. There's not enough, in 16 particular, complaints from our members. There is a 17 problem with recognition for working in a district 18 where we don't have maybe not the volume of calls. but the type of calls that we have are far more 19 20 serious than anyplace in the city or, you know, there 21 are other areas who may be equal, on par, but we 22 don't get the recognition. And there is a loke that 23 as far -- as long as I've been on the Department,

when you come to the 7th district you've gotten 1 2 dumped. This is the dumping ground. And here the 3 people, a lot of people who come, you know, for some reason they've fallen out of grace with the powers 4 5 that be. They come to 7. But then there are a lot of people who I guess simply by draw of the straw you 6 7 end up in 7 as an assignment. I've been in 7 my 8 entire career on the police department. So, that 9 lack of recognition for someone coming and saying to 10 you, we recognize that, you know, you're in a war 11 zone. You don't get recognized. It seems like every time you turn around there's someone trying to take a 12 13 day's pay from you, and you're saying, you know, here 14 I am caught in the middle, management is doing it to 15 me, the citizens are doing it to me, and here I am. 16 So you feel that you're being dumped on when you're 17 trying to give a service. And they even come from 18 white officers. They complain about it too. We feel like people are walking on our backs. Here we're 19 20 doing the best that we can. We do more work than probably some officers in the rest of this city do in 21 22 one 28 day period than they do the entire year. But 23 yet you're looked down upon. You hae all these other

```
adversities and no one says, you know, hey, you've
1
        done a good job. You know, we jokingly say we should
2
        receive combat pay for coming to work in the 7th
3
4
        district. If we come everyday they should be very
        happy and pleased that we even show up because, you
5
         know, most people feel like sometimes I would rather
6
7
        walk away from this, but because of my need to
8
        support my family, I'm here.
9
                      As far as the representation. We have
10
        a problem with the number of African Americans who
11
         are represented at all levels of the police
12
         structure. We have a problem with the number of
13
         African Americans who are on patrol. We have the a
14
         problem with the number of African Americans who are
15
         first level management and on up to the top. And we
16
         have a problem with the types of people who are put
17
         in those positions because they are insensitive to
         the needs of the African American community. There
18
19
         is no sensitivity. They don't care. They don't want
20
         to care, and they don't know. They have the mind
21
         that's forced amongst our members. They don't really
22
         care, and you know, there's a joke we say if you
23
         don't vote, you don't count. And it's been
```

i	documented that in this city African Americans don't
2	vote; so therefore, you don't count. So, therefore,
3	they really don't care what your needs are. You
4	don't really exist for all practical purposes.
5	MS. YANDLE: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. Any other
7	questions? Connie?
8	MS. PETERS: Yes. I understand because
9	we've heard testimony from others this morning and
10	Barbara, you've been very specific and I appreciate
11	you being very straightforward in saying that what
12	you see we need and what many others have seen is
13	quality of effort from the police department. But I
14	would ask you both please to comment if there were
15	quality in the education of the police officers,
16	would then you need more physical bodies there to
17	walk the beat, to police, to prevent and deal with
18	the community's problems, specifically in the African
19	American community, or do either of you see that
20	there is a need for more bodies if there were better
21	use of those bodies in training, in education, would
22	we be able to do with fewer. And specifically, I
23	don't know. does that in the African American

community indeed there is a lesser quality of police 1 2 service? 3 MR. DINEEN: First of all, I don't want the 4 panel to get the impression that the police officers, 5 I'm not talking about the Department, the police officers are insensitive and don't care about anybody 6 7 in any community. I mean the fact that the Police 8 Department is roughly 66, 67 percent white is because 9 the average officer spends 29 and a half years on the 10 job. You're not going to get a change overnight. 11 The Department has been hiring roughly one-third, for 12 the last 12 years, one-third white, one-third black 13 and hispanic males and one-third females. So they're 14 trying to make a change. Do I think that you need 15 more police officers, yes. I mean, if the demand for service is going to go up, you're going to have to 16 17 have more manpower. 18 MS. PETERS: But, specifically, do you see, 19 do you recognize, do you sense that there is a lack 20 of police protection and equal police protection to 21 those communities? 22 I wouldn't say it's a lack of MR. DINEEN: equal protection. You have more police officer 23

i assigned to the higher crime districts, which happen 2 to be some of the black districts. So is that, you know, is that a committment or not, I don't know. 3 It's a matter of how many officers you are going to 4 5 put in there. You have the number of officers in the 6 largest physical boundary districts in the City of 7 Chicago. That's because the Department does not 8 perceive there's a need for the officer. There's not 9 the calls for service. The district with the highest calls for service in the whole Police Department 10 11 right now is the 9th district, which is a rather 12 small one, but people call more from there, I guess. I guess that's just one factor, calling by that. But 13 14 the Police Department in Chicago is undermanned. The police department in probably every major city in 15 16 this country is undermanned, and the main reason for 17 it, and I'll tell you right now, is dollars. No big city, whether it's New York, Chicago, Philadelphia, 18 Los Angeles, can afford to put another ten thousand. 19 20 New York is trying it, I don't know if they're going 21 to be able to do it or not because they're almost 22 broke again. And all they do is live off of the 23 public employee's pension fund out there. But, to

```
1
         put manpower and women power, police officers out on
         the street without some kind of a return. And right
2
3
         now they spend all their money they could building
 4
         jails and hiring more judges. And they filled the
5
         jails and the courtrooms and that's because the
 6
         police officers are doing their jobs, you know. And
 7
         I don't think that there is any lower attempt to give
 8
         service in any community. The difference is when
 9
         you're working in the 2nd District, 3rd District, the
10
         7th District, the 11th District, 15th District,
11
         that's the black belt. If you go across the City of
12
         Chicago, the calls for service, the calls that are
13
         given to the officers in the squad cars are
14
         overwhelming. You got 6 or 7 calls. You set the
15
         priority. No one tells you which one to go on first.
16
         They give you 8 calls, you don't know who called 5
17
         minutes ago, who called an hour ago. And then you
18
         start going to those calls and you knock on someone's
19
         door 4 hours after they called the police, they're
20
         not happy at all, no matter what they called for.
21
         But you don't know that. And you've got all these
         calls to handle.
22
23
                                 I recognize what you're saying
                  MS. PETERS:
```

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

i	and I appreciate that, but perhaps almost a yes for
2	if they were better quality preparation and education
3	or training of the police officers, would then the
4	number of officers assigned to a particular
5	community, specifically the Afro American
6	communities, would the quality of police protection
7	improve in your opinion; both of you?
8	MR. DINEEN: Well, the City is now
9	attempting to put in a requirement, two years minimum
10	college for hiring. It's going to take a period of
11	time, I imagine, to do that. Some of the suburbs are
12	starting immediately. Would that produce a better
13	police officer, only time would tell.
14	MS. PETERS: Barbara?
15	MS. PILLOWS: that question, it leads to a
16	lot of different answers. Our position is we do want
17	an increase in number, but the people who are going
18	to make up those numbers make a difference. As Mr.
19	Dineen said, now there is this, the formula that came
20	out of the lawsuits that the League filed that said
21	you've got to have this quota system that you hired X
22	number of different kinds of people, but the problem
23	that we have it's one thing to bring them in the

1 front door, but when you're putting them out of the 2 back door quicker than you're letting them in the front door, you have a problem. And I don't know if 3 4 my figures are correct, disciplinary time, officers 5 who are being punished for doing something, black 6 officers, approximately 18 percent of the police 7 force, but we're doing 83 percent of the disciplinary 8 time. That's, something is wrong there. How can we 9 be the minority and doing the majority of 10 disciplinary time. So that's a problem. And there is even a problem going on with, there was a lawsuit 11 against the person, I can't remember his name, a 12 13 white male who the Department had hired to do the 14 psychological testing and there was the test that 15 this white female someplace developed that police 16 departments use. And she was really bright when she 17 did it because the only person who could grade the 18 test was her, and she charged the fee of \$10 to grade 19 the test. And they found out that this particular 20 person the City had hired to weed out those people 21 who were psychologically unfit was getting the \$10 22 from the City and he was not sending the fee to her. 23 And the people who worked for him let it leak that

1	how he was making the decision as who was basically
2	fit to be on the job, throw the paper up in the air,
3	one pile here, one pile here, one
4	pile, you know, okay, okay you pass, one pile unt-uh,
5	and one pile no, no way. So we have a problem with
6-	that, you know. In an institution that is
7	historically racist, you don't have to go out of your
8	way to not give a certain quality, it's going to
9	automatically happen simply because of who it is that
10	you bring into this institution. They're going to
11	carry out the institution's mission. It's like
12	they'e been programmed in America. I think there's a
13	certain amount of programming, and this just isn't me
14	saying it, an African in America. There are studies
15	being done by white people who are bringing this out.
16	You know, any time you have white Americans appaled
17	and they ask you to give a dollar amount that you
18	would want if by chance you were given some
19	medication and you turned black, how much would you
20	want each year, and they come up with a million
21	dollars a year. That tells you right there that
22	there is a serious problem in this country. So our
23	problem is it makes no difference about numbers

1 unless you give us certain kinds of numbers 2 addressing education. As an educator, I have been in situations where I was the only black on staff in a 3 4 parochial school in the Louisiana and they would tell 5 me things, well, you're different. And I stand back 6 and look at them. What are you talking about? I 7 know a whole lot of black people just like me. 8 Education does make a difference because then you're 9 able to overcome those stereotypes. 10 So when you have better educated 11 officers, and I'm not just saying in the normal sense 12 of going to college, you do get a person who is going 13 to deliver a better product, simply because he's been exposed. And, therefore, all these myths that have 14 15 been perpetuated throughout your existence here in 16 America are slowly whittled down. And I think that's 17 even evident by the recent uproar over rap artists 18 because they're now starting to touch not only black 19 youths, but youths period in America. So we do want 20 an increase in number, but we want to know who those 21 numbers are going to be. 22 MS. PETERS: thank you. 23 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Let me ask two brief

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1 questions. 2 CHAIRPERSON LYON: Very brief. 3 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: It's really a question 4 from my right. If there are any particular written 5 materials, statistics that the organizations 6 gathered, we would appreciate them being submitted. 7 It's really more, Mr. Dineen, it's the reverse of 8 what you said. You pointed out that in 2, 3, 7, 11 9 and 15 an officer essentially is juggling 4 or 5 10 calls, who doesn't know when they've come in, is 11 dealing with a series of emergencies. I take it it's 12 still true that say in the far northwest or some of 13 the other districts an officer may reasonably have 14 the time to follow up on a single call where he goes 15 to a single person and has the time to respond to 16 that single call. 17 MR. DINEEN: The orders are, you will have 18 more time when there's times in the 16th district up on the far north side, the west end of the 8th 19 20 district, the west end of the 12th district, you go 21 out on the 4:00 o'clock, which is supposed to be a 22 busy watch and get four calls in eight hours for your

squad car. And I don't think that anybody in the

1	Englewood district gets four calls in eight hours.
2	MS. PILLOWS: We get more. sometimes you
3	can get more than four calls in an hour. I mean
4	these are not my numbers. I think it was a report in
5	the SunTimes this year where they did a breakdown on
6	the number of policemen you had allocated to
7	districts based upon the per thousand violent crimes.
8	Not all crimes, not calls for service. And they
9	found my numbers may be off, that in the African
10	American community we're allocated around 16.5
11	officers per one thousand calls of violent nature.
12	Whereas, in the white community it was 21.5. And if
13	we had more violence in our communities, why don't we
14	have more police officers, you know, called for
15	service. If all I have to do is have ten calls of,
16	you know, a burglary, which I'm not saying that it's
17	not of importance because someone coming in and
18	taking your property is important. But, it's not
19	something that requires an officer to be there
20	immediately if someone is taking your lawnmower. It
21	makes no difference whether or not I physically come
22	within the next 15 minutes or I come within the next
23	half an hour, unless you happen to you know, at the

1	time that the burglary takes place, you see that
2	person walking down the street with your lawnmower.
3	But, if you go to the work in the morning and come
4	home at night, your lawmower is gone. If it's been
5	gone all that time, you can wait another hour or two.
6	So certain types of calls do not require immediate
7	police response because there's really nothing I can
8	do besides come there, make you a report and tell you
9	to call your insurance company and tell them their
10	lawnmower is gone.
11	MR. PUGH: Do you have telephones in
12	Chicago Police cars?
13	MR. DINEEN: No. They have communications
14	for radio.
15	MR. PUGH: So I wait four hours rather than
16	call someone. Do they give you telephone calls?
17	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm going to ask the
18	panel members to respond to that in writing because
19	we have to, Mr. Dineen has another appointment at
20	1:00 and you have a half hour to get there, we can go
21	on and on with many factor scenarios here. I want to
22	thank the panelist for coming to speak before us. If
93	Voll have anything you'd like to submit in writing

1	please do so before September 30.
2	Mr. Dineen, if you have any responses
3	to Ms. Pillows, Ms. Pillows, to Mr. Dineen, we would
4	appreciate hearing those comments. With that we are
5	going to adjourn and reconvene at 1:00 o'clock.
6	Thank you.
7	(The hearing was adjourned for lunch at 12:15 p.m.)
8	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm calling the Committee
9	on the Illinois Advisory Committee to the United
10	States Commission on Civil Rights forum on Unequal
11	Police Protection in the African American Community
12	in Chicago is reconvening. And our 1:00 o'clock
13	panel is seated at the table. We have Dr. Barry
14	Rundquist from The University of Illinois at Chicago
15	and we also have with us Dr. Robert Starks from
16	Northeastern University. I'm going to ask Mr.
17	Rundquist to give a few opening statements as to what
18	you're going to present to the committee.
19	PANEL IV
20	DR. BARRY RUNDQUIST
21	University of Illinois at Chicago
22	I have some prepared remarks. I don't
23	know if it's time to start them.

1	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Well, the prepared
2	remarks are going to be submitted to the panel and
3	because of the time, we had one other panelist do
4	that this morning, and it gave us problems with time,
5	and we want to leave as much time as we can with
6	dialogue. But the committee members will all be
7	reading your reports. Could you tell us what the
8	substance of your report is?
9	DR. RUNDQUIST: Sure.
10	CHAIRPERSON LYON: It doesn't look like it's
11	a long report.
12	DR. RUNDQUIST: The report is long. These
13	are separate remarks.
14	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Why don't you go ahead
15	and read your statement.
16	Thanks for asking me to come. I'm here
17	today to discuss a study that my co-author Jung Ho Re
18	and I finished in May. The study is entitled,
19	Exploring the Distribution of Police Patrols Among
20	Police Districts in Chicago. And it was supported,
21	in part, by the Joyce Foundation and the Office of
22	Social Science Research at the University of Illinois
23	at Chicago. The major finding of this study is that

1	predominantly white police districts where violent
2	crime is lowest averaged nearly 3 times as many
3	police officers per one thousand violent crimes as do
4	predominantly African American police districts. The
5	white districts also averaged more than twice as many
6	officers per one thousand violent crimes as do
7	hispanic districts where violent crime is also much
8	higher than white districts. Specifically, we found
9	that there were 140.2 police officers for every one
10	thousand violent crimes in predominantly white
11	districts compared with 47.5 officers per one
12	thousand violent crimes in mainly African American
13	districts. The comparable figures for hispanic and
14	mixed districts were 64.6 and 95.9. So, those
15	numbers again are 140.2 police officers per every
16	thousand violent crimes in predominantly white
17	districts compared with 47.5 in black, 64.6 in
18	hispanic and 95.9 in mixed. This is the principal
19	finding of the statistical study of census crime and
20	it's difficult to obtain police manpower data, for 2
21	typical days in 1991. The study also found that
22	there was no, and this is an important part of the
23	study, the study also found that there was no direct

Ī	discrimination against heavily African American and
2	Hispanic districts, either in the absolute number of
3	patrols or in the number of patrols per district
4	population. It found only slight bias in the ratio
5	of pay of the number of patrols to the number of
6	serious crimes in black, white, hispanic districts,
7	and only a slight bias in the ratio of patrols to the
8	number of total crimes. But, it concludes that
9	because the method used by the police department for
10	allocated police activity does not distinguish
11	violent from other serious crimes. African American
12	and hispanic districts end up having
13	disproportionately small numbers of police patrols
14	per one thousand violent crimes. Okay. The paper
15	concludes by noting some of the limitations of the
16	study. First we noted that it's based on data from
17	only two days in 1991, and might not indicate how
18	patrols are normally distributed. However, since
19	finishing the paper, we have analyzed the
20	distribution of police patrols for two more days; one
21	in April, 1992 and another in July, 1992. In each
22	case our basic conclusion that there are more patrols
23	ner one thousand violent crimes in prodominantly

white than in African or hispanic police districts is
upheld. We have also learned of similar studies done
by investigative reporter for the Chicago Reporter in
the late 1970s and early 1980s. These studies
revealed the same relationship between the
distribution of patrols among police districts and
the incidents of violent crimes. Thus our findings
for two days in 1991 appear to reflect an ongoing
reality in Chicago. Second, we noted that the study
did not measure the effectiveness of assigning more
police patrols to a district in reducing the
effectiveness and reducing the number of violent
crimes in that district. Thus, if more patrols were
shown to either not affect or to actually increase
violent crimes, it might be inadvisable to increase
the number of patrols in African American and the
hispanic districts or to reduce the number of patrols
in white districts in order to equalize the number of
patrols per one thousand violent crimes in each type
of police district. However, to the best of our
knowledge the research is so far inconclusive
regarding the relationship between patrols and
violent crimes. We think that this research raises

1	questions that should be addressed by policymakers.
2	Should more police patrols be assigned to combat
3	violent crime in African American and hispanic police
4	districts? Do the activities of special police units
5	such as the gang crimes unit compensate for the
6	relatively low ratio of patrols or violent crimes in
7	African American and hispanic districts. Will the
8	new community policing initiatve of the Chicago
9	Police Department result in more patrols and/or more
10	effective policing in areas of high levels of violent
11	crime?
12	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. Dr. Starks?
13	DR. ROBERT T. STARKS
14	Northeastern University
15	Yes. I have a very short statement so
16	I'll read it very carefully. The existence of police
17	brutality, police violence and misconduct against
18	African Americans in Chicago and In other cities in
19	this country will never decrease or be eliminated
20	until two important factors occur.
21	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Dr. Starks, quickly, just
22	to re-emphasize. The topic today is on unequal
23	protection, not police brutality. Police brutality

```
is being looked into by the U.S. Commission, and
1
2
         we've tried to be a little more focused, although
         well as an out movement of it and it's pertinent
3
         issue, we do not have time to mitigate that, but we
4
5
         want to keep this the issues because we're trying to
6
         look to ways to resolve it. So we're trying to get
7
         the data we need to make a report and make
8
         recommendations to the U.S. Commission. So could we
9
         have your comments pertinent to that particular
10
         issue?
11
                  DR. STARKS:
                                Okay. In view of that, I will
12
         however, I had defined unequal protection in the way
13
         of looking at brutality as one of the ways in which
14
         unequal protection occurs.
15
                  MR. PUGH:
                               I'm interested in hearing the
16
         way you defined it. I don't think the statement is
17
         long. I don't think it would bother us. I would
18
         like to hear it.
19
                  DR. STARKS:
                                 Okay. The only way in which
20
         the police brutality which I would conclude in my
21
         definition of unequal protection because as far as
22
         I'm concerned, the definition of police is to
23
         protect, but if, in fact, they do not protect of
```

1	brutalizing citizens, that is indeed unequal
2	protection. These two, the only two factors that
3	would mitigate that are, of course, two things coming
4	into being, one, the empowerment of the African
5	American community to the extent that the community
6	can demand and receive proper response from the
7	police; that is, protection rather than brutality.
8	And two, that American society faces the reality and
9	deals squarely with racism and white supremacist as a
10	systemic problem within the African American
11	community and within society at large. The reality
12	is that unequal protection and police brutality arise
13	out of an overall theme in American society and in
14	police departments in particular, which is based on
15	the them espoused by the justice program better be
16	taken in the 1859 Dredge Scott decision; that is,
17	"black men have no rights, that white men are bound
18	to respect". This prevailing attitude permeates the
19	society, and especially with the Police Department of
20	the City. Witness, if you will, the impunity with
21	which Chicago policemen continually violate the
22	rights of African Americans and again do not give
22	equal protection on a daily bacic. In particular

1	the impunities of Mr. Lieutenant Burge whose behavior
2	has been so offensive and brutal in the last 20 years
3	that he has attracted worldwide attention through
4	Amnesty International. Yet, Mr. Burge received
5	promotions, raises and accolades the more brutal he
6	became over the years, in spite of the cry from the
7	African American community to stop him. And I need
8	not tell you that this attitude on the part of the
9	police and the city administration has further
10	strained the relationship between the police and the
11	community. Therefore, my testimony here today is not
12	intended to restate the statistics and problem that
13	African Americans face with police because those
14	numbers and those statistics will be stated by our
15	members here on these panels throughout the day, and
16	today, however, I'd like to give five recommendations
17	that I think would help in reducing police brutality
18	and equalizing protection within the AFrican American
19	community. The first one is the passage of the
20	enactment of the Police Accountable Act which is now
21	in the U.S. Congress and House. It's House Bill
22	2972. It would, in fact, make it unlawful for any
23	person under the color of law to abuse citizens,

1	whether they be in the army or the police or
2	whatever. And it would give powers to the U.S.
3	Attorney's office, to the Justice Department to keep
4	systematic data on police brutality on unequal
5	protection as well as assign criminal penalties to
6	individual and groups who have suffered from police
7	discrimination. And unequal protection as well as
8	brutality. Secondly, the enactment of legislation
9	that would establish an independent counsel at the
10	state level with the power to subpoena and the power
11	to recommend indictment of policemen who engage in
12	excessive force. Again, I'm saying that the local
13	governments have such close contact until we need a
14	body outside the local government to look at some of
15	these cases. The third recommendation is the
16	federally mandated civilian review board for each
17	state, and possibly for each city. Fourthly, the
18	establishment of a nation, the national standards for
19	training of policemen. Just as we have national
20	standards for, and guidelines for training of
21	teachers and doctors and lawyers, we also need
22	national guidelines for the training of policemen.
23	And lastly, and possibly the most comprehensive thing

that can be done to alleviate the present condition
that we have, including the one recommended, talked
about by Professor Rundquist, and that is a study
that could possibly be done by the National Civil
Rights Commission and/or the Congress, and that is to
look into the collaboration between police and drug
dealers in the African American community. It's
absolutely a fact that African American gang members
and drug dealers do not import guns. They do not
import drugs. They do not manufacture or sell or
even distribute guns, yet guns are plentiful in the
African American community. It's hard for anyone of
reasonable sound mind to believe that African
American youngsters can have access to the level of
weapons. These are sophisticated weapons that have
been found in raids that are on the level of tactical
weapons in the army. Without it's hard to believe
that those weapons can get into those communities
without the collaboration of police at some level.
Secondly, it's impossible to believe
that the level of drug penetration that's coming into
our communities can be done without some level of
collaboration with the police. Again, it's important

1	that that kind of study be done and that something be
2	done to stop it.
3	Lastly, I call to your attention the
4	fact that in the last week the U.S. Attorney's office
5	for this district had arrested and indicted a
6	policeman in Suburban Chicago for selling illegal
7	guns to street gang members in the City of Chicago.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm going to start with
10	Staci, if you have questions.
11	MS. YANDLE: I'm going to stick to one
12	question per panelist and be as succinct as I can.
13	First of all, Dr. Rundquist, you referred to or made
14	mention in your opening remarks or made a point that
15	the method which is currently used by the City of
16	Chicago Police Department does not distinguish the
17	violent crimes from other such serious violent
18	crimes.
19	DR. RUNDQUIST: No. The same violent,
20	serious crimes from other serious crimes, violent,
21	specifically violent index crimes from non violent
22	index crime.
23	MS. YANDLE: Okay. With that

understanding, and I and the study, I would assume
attribute some of the problems to that failure as I
have come to understand the method that has been used
by the Chicago Police Department, is this weighted
workload method of deployment or allocation of
manpower. My question to you is, as well as the fact
that the method does not make a the distinction that
you mentioned, do you feel that it's a problem or
what do you feel is the effect of the failure by the
Police Department to devise and reassess under this
method on a periodic basis? In other words, we have
been told or we have information that the weighted
workload study has not been conducted in eight years.
What, in your opinion, is the effect of the failure
to consistently review and revisit this study is my
question to you. And I can go ahead and give my
question to Dr. Starks. Dr. Starks, have you, first
of all, an observation that I think is important for
me to make to understand my question. As a member of
this Committee, I agree that our fact finding should
be focused here today on what the topic is, the
unequal police protection, but I also feel that to an
extent to look at that question in a vacuum totally

1	isolated is not feasible because when you talk about
2	the inequality of police protection, it's difficult
3	for me to totally separate then the disparity in
4	treatment because I think the predominant school of
5	thought that we have here all day from all panel
6	members, it's not just the numbers, we've got to talk
7	about the qualities of service provided. So you
8	can't totally get outside of the police mentality and
9	policemen's conduct. And I understand that if we're
10	going to find out the facts, we can't totally look at
11	them through a vacuum. With that understanding, Dr.
12	Starks, have you done any study or are you aware of
13	any studies or any other data which has been
14	compiled, based upon your theory of the unequal
15	protection that you can provide to this committee,
16	have you personally done this? Dr. Rundquist, you
17	had the first question.
18	DR. RUNDQUIST: Well, but it sounds like
19	you can say yes or no.
20	DR. STARKS: Personally I have not done any
21	study. There are some studies that I can cite for
22	you to that question that I would submit to the
23	nanel.

1	MS. YANDLE: Thank you.
2	DR. RUNDQUIST: To answer the question
3	about whether I think that our reviewing the
4	allocation for formula in the last eight years has
5	some impact. My — the straightforward answer to the
6	question is, I don't know, but and the second part of
7	it is it would only have an impact. If the review
8	resulted in paying some attention to the, or more
9	attention to the number of violent crimes in the
10	district and separating out violent index crimes from
11	other non violent index crimes. Now, it's not
12	necessarily the case that that reviewing it every
13	year would result in doing that. I think that's a
14	policy decision by City government to decide to
15	include the number of violent crimes in the formula.
16	And there are pros and cons, as you probably know.
17	DR. STARKS: Commissioner, if I might add
18	to that, some years ago, I can't recall the exact
19	year, the Chicago SunTimes and the television and
20	Channel 5 did a series in which it was called killing
21	crime in which it was shown that the City of Chicago
22	systematically downgraded the classification of
23	crimes in white communities and in communities

1	boardering our downtown area in Chicago, the area
2	where tourist go and systematically then gave severer
3	categories to crimes in the inner city communites.
4	So this is consistent with what Professor Rundquist
5	is saying that that is a consistent practice. I have
6	no evidence, maybe Professor Rundquist has, that that
7	practice has stopped.
8	DR. RUNDQUIST: Well, it was said I
9	guess I have an impression that it was said to have,
10	there was a report reform that was supposed to happen
11	in 83-84, and what's intriguing to me about our
12	results is that when the Chicago Reporter 1983 study
13	was disseminated, the Tribune will, and other, in
14	fact, the Reporter included remarks from the Police
15	Department saying we're changing all this. There's
16	been a hiding of underreporting of crime, and there's
17	a new system in place and what's intriguing to me is
18	that the basic relationship between patrols of
19	violent crimes is the same now ten years later as it
20	was before that side of reform. One response that
21	I've been hearing, too, to our little study is the
22	same set of caveots to the people who know a lot more
23	about policing than I do that were made in 1983. So,

1	it seems to me that the statistic is something we get
2	hung up on and pay attention to.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Clarification. I'd like
4	to hold the question for later, if I may. The
5	clarification on the last point, the FBI in response
6	to the earlier disputes for a period of time was
7	unwilling to recognize the figures from the City of
8	Chicago. As I gather, at the moment, the figures are
9	being recognized by the FBI, but I take it it's
10	implicit in what you say that perhaps the FBI itself
11	should take another look at the statistics it's
12	getting?
13	DR. RUNDQUIST: No, that's not implicit in
14	what I'm saying.
15	DR. STARKS: It's implicit in what I'm
16	saying, absolutely. In fact, I would go one step
17	further, Commissioner, and ask that the FBI establish
18	standards for reporting that could be uniform across
19	the country. They say they have uniform standards
20	for reporting.
21	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I guess I my other
22	question. To what extent should we be looking for
23	state or federal legislation specifying the

1 collection of certain data with oversight as to 2 accuracy, as to data collection in either state or 3 federal level? 4 DR. STARKS: I would definitely be in favor 5 of that, and that would, in fact, go in tangent with my recommendations for an enactment of the Police 6 7 Accountability Act which is now before the Congress, 8 and the establishment of a Citizen's Review Board at 9 the state level, and independent counsel that would 10 have the power to act on individual cases of either 11 the unequal distribution protection and police 12 brutality of the policemen. I speak from a very narrow 13 DR. RUNDQUIST: 14 perspective of, you know, social scientist sitting in 15 the basement of the behavioral science building, 16 trying to figure out what's going on and better data 17 really would make a lot of difference. You cannot 18 believe the inadequate nature of the data for just 19 describing what policing in big cities consists of, 20 The crime data is, you know, maybe underreporting. 21 Underreported may have flaws in it, but it's fairly 22 standardized. What you cannot lay your hands on is

23

manpower data.

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I for one would
2	appreciate specifying what data you would believe
3	would be useful, and if you can, the extent to which
4	this data is already being collected and therefore,
5	doesn't have a full initial cost factor, and to what
6	extent you're talking about is cost? And I would
7	appreciate that in writing. I'm not going - I don't
8	want it off the top of your head because I don't
9	think that's as useful.
10	DR. RUNDQUIST: I don't think it's useful.
11	But would you like me to prepare a little thing on
12	that?
13	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Very good.
14	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Yes. Let me, in
15	clarification, too, based on Staci's earlier
16	observation that I make the prefatory comments with
17	regard to police brutality. Obviously that is a
18	factor to consider. We're looking for data in
19	preparing our report; however, I don't want this to
20	become an impassioned meeting where all that was
21	talked about because there are several other factors
22	that we have to deal with. We're trying to gather in
23	all those factors. So when I make those prefatory

1	reports so that your reports would be made in the
2	context of that knowledge of what perspective we're
3	coming from. So, obviously, it's not an isolated
4	factor, it has to be taken into context and it's a
5	very real problem. But there's a lot of extensive
6	research going on with that and I'm afraid some of
7	the areas may overlap, and that's why the committee
8	decided to we had Mr. Lee here a little earlier
9	who was reciting incidents of maybe plea bargaining,
10	whether or not somebody's actually charged with a
11	crime; a black youth as compared to a white youth.
12	Maybe in the plea bargaining agreements that go on
13	between the States Attorney and with the attorney in
14	trying to go a little easier on a black youth as
15	compared to a white youth. But, there's no data
16	there, and that's what we're here for. We're looking
17	for the data. We may all know it goes on, but until
18	we can get an empirical proof of it, we listen to it.
19	So, therefore, anything you or the civic
20	organizations can do to help us collect this
21	information to present this data is going to
22	strengthen the cause. And so that's kind of where
23	this committee was coming from.

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: If I could follow up on
2	just Mr. Lee's specific point was also, I believe,
3	went to the question of station adjustment. Station
4	adjustments in this City began in part in the 18th as
5	a deliberate attempt at diversion in order to see
6	whether or not there would be reduced recidivism by
7	station adjustments as opposed to sending people down
8	to St. Charles Training School. I for one have no
9	idea of whether or not the experiment in that area
10	have been equally attempted in lower income
11	communities and African American communities where
12	whether or not our attempts to experiment in that
13	have, in turn, produced differential use of those
14	devices in different communities. Now, that may be
15	too much to bite off or whatever, but frankly, I
16	would for one would be very curious.
17	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I have one question for
18	Dr. Starks. The enactment of the legislative
19	independent council and then your third proposal was
20	a federally mandated civilian review board. Can you
21	maybe draw a little clearer distinction between those
22	two entities?
23	DR. STARKS: Well, because of the, I think

1	what we call territoriality as expressed by local
2	police union, Fraternal Order of Police, the
3	proximity of the police to the political system, the
4	fact is in many communities mayor as well as city
5	council men are elected on the plea for reduction in
6	crime and in many communities when it comes to
7	cutting across the board, cuts in budget, the last,
8	absolute last thing to go is the Police Department
9	cuts. And that's because this society has this
10	fixation that manpower equals the reduction in crime.
11	But, in fact, I think you could I could produce
12	studies to show that there's no real correlation
13	between the two. And, in fact, the lesser would be
14	in this war that the fire power does not equal the
15	lessening of tension. But that's a myth that all
16	people are fixed on. But my point is to take it out
17	of that local potential and put it at an independent
18	state level, at the state government level, and give
19	those, both of those bodies independent power to
20	bring charges and investigate cases of police
21	brutality. Because in the City of Chicago, I did not
22	hear testimony of Ms. Powers, but she cites
23	statistics that are just horrendous in terms of

1	number of cases that will come before the City of
2	Chicago, Department of Internal Investigation, that
3	have not come out. There has not been one single
4	white policeman that has been indicted and convicted
5	for brutalizing an African American citizen in the
6	City of Chicago in the history of this city, not one
7	single one. There have probably been some
8	suspensions here or their, but no criminal
9	convictions. Now, there's no way under the sun that
10	you can tell me that the city has been in existence
11	for over 150 years that in all that time that African
12	American people have not been brutalized in a
13	criminal way by police. So, what I'm saying is take
14	it out of city and county government's pervue and put
15	it at the state level with the power to call a
16	special investigator that would override just as we
17	have the U.S. Special Counsel.
18	MR. BLAKEMORE: Madam Chairman, if I could
19	follow up, Dr. Starks, my apologies and the panel,
20	for continuing, I'm a member of the federal
21	litigation, unfortunately today in a major case and I
22	apologize for missing your presentation. As a follow
23	up to the Chairperson's question, how would these

Ì	independent counsel be part of the Attorney General's
2	office or do you see it as separate and apart from
3	the Attorney General's office?
4	DR. STARKS: Separate and apart because,
5	again, even in the Attorney General's case, the
6	Attorney General is, his actions are clouded by
7	politics. He is, indeed, in many cases, looking to
8	avoid cases that he feels might endanger his ability
9	to get him elected. So, I would say it would have to
10	be independent, just as the counsel is independent
11	and at the federal level.
12	MR. BLAKEMORE: At the federal level, my
13	understanding is that the Attorney General of the
14	United State can determine by appointment that an
15	independent counsel is necessary under specific
16	circumstances. Are you saying that that would not
17	be the case here or that you would have some other
18	form of appointment or election of independent
19	counsel?
20	DR. STARKS: No, I would give it the power
21	of appointment to the Attorney General, but the
22	civilian review board would, in fact, recommend the
23	counsel. The cases that would come from the locality

1	to the state civilian review board or whatever I
2	don't know what you would want to call it, review
3	board of some kind of investigative body and then
4	passed on to the Attorney General to then appoint an
5	independent counsel that that person would not be a
6	sitting office, he would be appointed when needed.
7	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Tom, do you have a
8	question?
9	MR. PUGH: Yes, for Dr. Rundquist.
10	Generally, your study has shown, the one that's
11	printed and the one you made reference to, has
12	shocking inequalities between the number of patrols
13	in white and black districts in relation to the
14	violent crime at shocking 3 to 1. Has that been
15	generally publicized in the press and television in
16	Chicago?
17	DR. RUNDQUIST: Yes.
18	MR. PUGH: Were there any response from the
19	Police Department?
20	DR. RUNDQUIST: Yes.
21	MR. PUGH: That it's a lie?
22	DR. RUNDQUIST: Well, they haven't, I can't
23	say they haven't refuted the figure, but they've

1 questioned the research design, you know, whether I 2 have perhaps properly designated police districts by race, whether I have all the -- whether I really got 3 4 got at the people at the officers in the patrol division who were, you know, in those particular 5 6 districts on that particular day. In other words, 7 normal questions about the use of public data, and 8 most of them I explain in footnotes that they're a little bit shaky. The robustness, though, of the 9 10 finding and probably overpowers any small limitations 11 that researched this issue. 12 MR. PUGH: I wish the Chicago Police 13 Department were here to speak to this. You may not 14 be aware that they were invited and they declined to 15 participate and sent us a letter. May I have the 16 letter? It was read at the beginning of the hearing. 17 You may not have heard it, but one particular 18 paragraph I think you should realize. This is from John Klein, the General Counsel to the Superintendent 19 20 and his, he's responding on behalf of the 21 Superintendent to an invitation to appear here today. 22 In this sentence is included in here. It's important

to note that the assumption upon which the title of

your meetings is based is false. The title of our meeting, Unequal Police Protection of the African American Community in Chicago, that's what we advertised the hearing as. The information in the City of Chicago has provided the Commission when impartially viewed, makes clear that the Department of Police in the City of Chicago makes clear that the deployment of police in the City of Chicago is provided on an equal basis and is a function of crime pattern analysis. Would you challenge that in respect to violent crime patrol?

DR. RUNDQUIST: Yes.

MR. PUGH: Thank you.

DR. STARKS: Mr. Pugh, let the record show that I challenge it also, absolutely. And the reason I challenge it is that in the African — in the white community police protection is protection primarily of people. In the African American community, police protection is protection of property and containment of people. There's a fundamental difference there. And that fundamental difference, the thing that explains it is the overwhelming police brutality that occurs in the African American community. Again, the

1	protection of people in the white community and the
2	protection of property in the African American
3	community, and containment of people. It's a big
4	difference.
5	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Dr. Rundquist, are you
6	also, you're in agreement to that on the question of
7	brutality is that
8	DR. RUNDQUIST: My data can't speak to what
9	the patrols are doing.
10	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: You're just saying that
11	the allocation of patrols doesn't fit any pattern
12	that, any logical pattern that you can find?
13	DR. RUNDQUIST: Well, it does. There is
14	logic to it.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And the logic is that
16	you find
17	DR. RUNDQUIST: Well, the logic is that
18	there are more patrols in areas that have more index
19	crime and more total crime, but not more violent
20	crime; violent index crime. And if one of our
21	concerns of policing is to do something about the
22	violent crime epidemic, then that should be a
23	question. The question of whether a natrol should be

distributed responsive to the incident of violent 1 crime is one we need to talk about. 2 CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm going to ask Connie 3 Peters to ask one question and I have to --4 MS. PETERS: Just very quickly. Inasmuch as 5 6 your statistics seem to indicate that there has not 7 been as much change as we might have thought after 8 the clean up and the reacceptance by the FBI of 9 Chicago statistics from the Police Department, would 10 it be safe to accept that, to have the Police 11 Department reassess the need in the various districts; specifically the Afro American districts 12 and provide more deployment of police officers in 13 14 those districts. Would it be safe for us to believe that there would indeed be a better and more 15 16 equitable deployment, and would there be more equal 17 protection in the Afro American community or would we 18 have to seek another avenue in order to better 19 equalize the protection? 20 DR. RUNDQUIST: I don't know. Somebody 21 asked me about how the Police Department responded. 22 The main response of the Police Department has been

through the criminal justice department at U.L's

where a number of my colleagues are in much closer communication with them. And now several of them have raised the fundamental issue which is how, which underlies almost all this about policing which is how do you combat violent crime. First of all, what is violent crime? Is it, you know, household crime? Is it drug warfare, et cetera, et cetera? And then how do you combat each of those kinds of crime? And it seems as though the criminal justice literature is indeterminate on that. They are, though, quick to point out that, you know, aggregate data like those that we have produced, you know, kind of gloss over the issue of how you actually do it, and I agree. But there is still the fact that if you look at the deployment vis-a-vis the incidents of violent crime, you get that tremendous difference, and you know it might be fine. I just think that we should as responsible policy makers need to say yes that that's okay, that's the way it should be because you fight violent crime differently or something like that. It's just I just want to see that. MR. PUGH: Nobody's arguing however that violent crime is not greater concern than other types

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of crime, are they? 1 1 2 DR. RUNDQUIST: You know, somebody in the 3 Tribune article that was written about our thing, somebody trotted out that those white police 4 5 districts with low violent crime rates have need to 6 which, you know, dot, do justifies the --7 Non violent needs, apparently. MR. PUGH: 8 DR. RUNDQUIST: Yes, non violence necessary 9, that justifies the number of bodies vis-a-vis the 10 incident of violent crimes in those districts. So. yes, people say it's - there's very, very, very 11 12 little data on any of these explanations. CHAIRPERSON LYON: And I think that's one 13 14 reason why we're trying to pull in so many different 15 Avenues, and once again, encouraging every panel member the more you can help the commission with 16 17 empirical data, the more we're going to be able to 18 make the case with that. I'm going to have to --DR. STARKS: Could I just say one thing 19 20 before we leave here. It's an indictment on my 21 profession and that is the academy. We often accept 22 the wrong definitions and that it seems to me is 23 really we're prisoners of the kind of definitions

that we have. The realty is that we not only needs a 1 better deployment of people in African American 2 3 communities, we need a different kind of person in those communities. It would make no sense. You 4 5 could double, triple, quadruple the number of 6 policemen in the 7th district and you would still 7 have the same amount of crime, unless you root out 8 the collaboration between the drug dealers and the 9 police, the collaboration between the Police 10 Department who, and that protect people who abuse 11 African American citizens. And unless and until you 12 root out discrimination within the police department. 13 And lastly, unless until the community that is being 14 patroled has the sense that it's being served and 15 protected as opposed to being contained and abused, 16 that is the realty. 17 Now, we can go and look at all the 18 statistics. I can say to you panel, it would do you 19 absolutely no good. I would do it, give you a mound 20 in one hour and it would do no good. And that's why 21 you look into it. And that's why I would engage in a

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: A police officer that I

22

23

statistical game.

1	was talking to not too long ago said, you know, had
2	some years back, back 30 years, one family in seven
3	might have been involved in crime. My problem, he
4	says is that all of a sudden the majority of the
5	families in the high problem districts have some
6	member of the family involved. And for this police
7	officer, himself Afro American, there had been a
8	complete change of worlds. For him to attempt to
9	deal with problems in the way in which police have
0	been dealing with them for decades was no longer
1	appropriate. But, he was also thinking that if you
12	do not have the numbers up to a certain amount. You
13	can't begin to deal with those problems, and I take
14	it that both of you, to the extent it falls within
5	your expertise, while you may question the myriad
16	issue of personnel, are not saying that additional
17	personnel are not needed.
18	DR. STARKS: Absolutely.
19	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. Thank you, once
20	again, Dr. Rundquist, Dr. Starks. We'll take a 5
21	minute recess.
22	(A brief recess was taken.)
23	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Calling the meeting to

1	order. We now have the 2:00 o'clock panel.
2 1	Evidently, as the invitations went out, we had Mr.
3 ¦	Finley, Ms. Wilson and Mr. Lynn coming at 15 minute
4	intervals. So we're going to start with Mr. Finley
5	at 2:00 o'clock. He's going to give a concise
6	statement of why he's here and what he wishes to
7	address. And we'll open it up for about ten minutes
8	of questions.
9	MS. YANDLE: Question, madam Chair, this is
10	Mr. Lynn.
11	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We'll start with Mr.
12	Lynn. Without further ado, we have Steven M. Lynn of
13	Cabrini Green Residence Coalition.
14	PANEL V
15	STEVEN M. LYNN
16	Cabrini Green Residence Coalition
17	Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to
18	thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak
19	with you on this issue that is very, very, very
20	important. As you see on my title, I'm the community
21	organizer currently working at Cabrini Green. For
22	those of you that don't know where Cabrini Green is,
23	it's approximately five blocks from here going north,

may be four or five blocks going west. So, it's right on the outskirts of the Loop. In my opinion, this neighborhood epitomizes exactly what we're trying to identify here. Is there unequal police protection in the African American community, and I have to tell you my experience is, yes, without a doubt, and I'm going to tell you why. Cabrini Green is located in near north, which is in this country, the third most prevalent, most richest neighborhoods in the whole country, only topped by Beverly Hills and Manhattan; that includes the Gold Coast, Lincoln Park, River North, River West. Okay, for those that are not here, these are the Gold Coast; Michigan Avenue. If you go three blocks from Michigan Avenue going, I mean going west, you turn into a whole different time zone. Okay, as soon as you cross one street, that's all the way different. I can't tell you how many countless times that there has been shootings, which is every day now for the last three years. They're shooting every single day, and I'm not exaggerating whatsoever. They have police reports that are compiling now that have never been done. So it took time everyday, all day. We're not

1 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

just talking about at night like in the old days when there was a lot of gang, when gangs started becoming prevalent at night time, that's when it was a lot of shootings to scare someone. Now, it's not like that, it's during the day because I can see you and I have one shot to get you. So all times chaotic. Rarely, rarely do you see police driving through there, rarely do you see police get out of their cars. If you see something happening, your training and just your instincts should already tell you something is getting ready to go on. Rarely do they get out of the car. They'll stop, bring some people over there, yell over the speaker on top of the car, brake it up and drive off. That's not breaking up anything, that's a joke. They move away for a minute, go around the building and come back, start shooting, okay? On several instances which are being documented now, which you will have all this information, they have never even shown up after a shooting. They don't show up at all. They'll come maybe an hour later. Now the person's already either gone to the hospital or dead, usually a child. But, if you go right across the street, and I mean

1

2

3 ,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

literally right across the street, and you're African American male, and you grab one of the lady's purses, she might be getting ready to go down to Jewel or in Lincoln Park, it's very beautiful, grab their purse, and they give the description. They'll have you, they'll come in your building and get you, you won't even know why. And they will come and get you. If you go over there and break in someone's house or stick them up, they will apprehend three or four black youths and make them tell who did it. But, something happens in our community, there's no investigation. You don't really hear about it. You see the person that shot at you walking around the next day and you can point him out to the police and they won't even do anything. And all this is being documented, as I tell, and I know you need numbers and statistics, but this is the real truth. And we're talking right across the street, Division Street. If you cross over into this area, what you can see out of your building, don't go over there setting off any firecrackers or definitely shooting any guns, the police wham on you. Right now the 18th District, but when I go back over into your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
1
        neighborhood, they're never there. And now we've
2
        come to find out with this new deployment, this new
3
        initiative that the police department that is located
4
        within our projects, in our neighborhood, is going to
5
        be taken out and the police officers are going to be
 6
        redistributed to the districts. Now they're already
 7
        well understaffed. Maybe 6 or 7 officers at a time
        there, and when they are there, they're so busy with
 8
 9
         women coming in because they've gotten in fights,
10
         kids are running, they're trying to do all these
11
         different things. Now they're going to take them
12
         away and the people will have nowhere to go. They
13
        already have to wait 20 to 30 minutes for somebody to
14
         come from the 18th district and now they have
15
         nothing.
16
                       There was no town meetings about this
17
         getting ready to occur. None of the public officials
18
         who knew about this study came to them. All of a
19
         suddent they heard it on the news.
20
                  MR. PUGH:
                               Have the police left Cabrini
21
         Green?
22
                               Okay, first ---
                  MR. LYNN:
23
                  MR. PUGH:
                               They will?
```

MR. LYNN: Yes. We picketed, we had a
demonstration down at City Hall. We had town
meetings, the first that they have ever had to try to
do what we can to keep this small, but yet effective
police force in those projects. There's ten thousand
people that live in that one and a half square mile
radius, ten thousand, and the police department is
some five blocks away. The 18th District, they get
there when they can because they have such a large
area. So that the police force there was like a
haven, especially for women that had got beat up.
They had to get out of there, their boyfriend, you
know, things of that nature, go get the policeman,
he's right down the street, those type of things.
They were real effective for that to muddle things.
Now, they're gone and we have no avenue. We have
tried to talk to Matt Rodriguez, the Superintendent.
We understand that he's a new Superintendent. That
he has lots of problems, but there's no more problem,
no larger than the shooting incident and the sniper
fire in Cabrini Green, none. Yet, we cannot get them
to act on it at all. We can't get any real clearcut
answers from the Mayor's office as to what we can do

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	to fight this. We get petitions, we have to march
2	again, but we don't have to go through these type of
3	channels, you know, to keep a small ten staffed
4	police in Cabrini Green. We're not talking about
5	many other areas where you might have one shooting or
6	your street this month or all this summer. We had
7	two deaths on our block. We have it everyday, every
8	single day. I mean my office, I'm telling you this
9	very honestly, everyday you hear shooting, you see
0	them running around the corner just shooting, pow,
1	pow, pow, and then leaving and everybody hit the
2	ground. I know I call the police and they never
3	come. They might come a half an hour later and drive
4	through, you know, those type of activities. And
5	that is really what's going on.
16	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions?
17	MR. BLAKEMORE: Would you please explain a
8	little bit more about the organization that you're
19	representing? How long it's been? What it's primary
20	mission and purpose?
21	MR. LYNN: The Cabrini Green Residence
22	Coalition started approximately a month ago and it
23	was a result of a young lady named Lajanda Edwards

```
1
        who was killed. She was an honor roll student,
2
        upward bound program. She was going to Purdue for
3
        this summer, was standing in front of her house, it
        was early evening, playing jump rope on a fairly warm
4
5
        summer night and was gunned down.
                                               The outcry in the
6
        community was so overwhelming after this incident
7
        which is about the 5th child that was killed this
8
        summer, that we hadto do something. I've been there
9
        three years as a youth counselor, as an advocate in
10
        all areas. They had come to me and said we have to
11
        do something, you know, we have all that doesn't talk
12 .
        to any of the agencies. We have agencies that don't
13
        communicate with each other, we don't know the new
         commander of the police force. We're hearing all
15
        these rumors. We have to organize. And that's what
16 <sup>1</sup>
         we did, we organized around security. Our main focus
17 :
         is security within the community. How can we enhance
18
         that? How can we ensure that we will get our
19
         Constitutional right to feel secure within our own
20 |
         homes, which we are not at any time?
21
                  MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Who is the present
22 i
         commander?
23 |
                  MR. LYNN:
                              Commander DeVito.
```

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Did you report to DeVito
2	that I had called in and gotten no response?
3	MR. LYNN: Commander DeVito is the new
4	Commander. He's been excellent. He's really tried.
5	He's concerned. He's been at a lot of our meetings.
6	He's trying to cut through the bureauracy to get more
7	police cars in there until the 1st. The target date
8	was the 1st, so we had to hurry.
9	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: As of the first are you
10	still within the 18th?
11	MR. LYNN: Right.
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: So the response to calls
13	and the like still is DeVito's. You're talking about
14	removal of a sub station at what?
15	MR. LYNN: Public housing north.
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: At what address?
17	MR. LYNN: 365 West Oak Street.
18	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And there still is a
19	pattern. I gather there's not of organization. As
20	far as are the residents organized by buildings?
21	MR. LYNN: Yes.
22	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Are they making
23	systematic complaints about shootings to DeVito?

1	MR. LYNN: Yes.
2	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Do they get responses?
3	MR. LYNN: No. They are now since we had
4	the march, since we put together a coalition, a
5	unified front, we have been getting response.
6	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Are you making certain
7	that you or someone provides a center point for
8	complaints?
9	MR. LYNN: We're going straight to, as long
10	as public housing north is still there until October
11	1st, the Lieutenant Commander McClendon is our point
12	man. Especially since they're right there. I also
13	have personal contact with Commander DeVito each week
14	and we go over where the shots are coming from. See
15	what it is is it's so complex that we're talking
16	about a big drug war for real.
17	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I want to make certain
18	in terms of Commander McDermott, M-c-D-e-r-m-o-t-t is
19	replaced by branch and they go through a very long,
20	long list, it becomes important over the long run
21	that complaints of non response be not only
22	documented, but that they be supplied not only to the
23	Commander, to the person in charge of the sub

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1 station, but to the Commander of the district and in 2 writing because we have, this is the second time 3 1 today we have been told about non response to 4 shootings and reports of shootings. And it seems to 5 me that if there is a breakdown in internal 6 supervision, of failure to respond to complaints of 7 shooting, the only way we can change that is in a B couple of places there are systematic record kept and 9 that there is some place where those are deposited. 10 And I would strongly urge that that be done because I 11 think that is a tiny portion perhaps, but certainly 12 part of the overall problem. 13 MR. LYNN: Right. We have to no doubt 14 about it, documentation is the key. They have not 15 done it before because that community has been such a 16 turmoil and they have had no leadership whatsoever. It's predominantly women, as you know, and young 17 18 kids. They really didn't know. Now they're still 19 under the stream of, I called the police, they'll be 20 there and they're very, very disillusioned. 21 CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. We need to move on 22 to the next panel member. If you have further 23 questions, I ask the panel to write it on to the

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

```
1
        piece of paper and pass them down to the end and
2
        Peter can give them to Mr. Lynn and he can address
3
        them. I want to state also that we're looking for
4
        statistics and data, but obviously testimony is
5
        important. So, I don't want to in any way
6
        underestimate the power of the testimonial.
                 MR. LYNN:
                              No, I understand.
 7
8 1
                 CHAIRPERSON LYON: But sometimes the numbers
9
        speak a little more readily. So, anything else you
10 |
         would like to submit, we would like to receive that.
11
                 MR. LYNN:
                              Thank you.
12
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                And just to make a point
13
         because I understand Mr. Lynn said someone from his
14
         organization was at the present compiling this data
15
         and would be submitting it to us?
16
                  MR. LYNN:
                              Right.
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                And I assume you have numbers
17
         on reports and et cetera. Let me just ask you, if
18
19
         possible, could you include in the data that you
20 |
         submit to us any documentation of instances where
21 1
         since you've been functioning where your
22
         organizations that had contact with principals of the
23 |
         mayor's office or the police department and had
```

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

ţ

Ť voiced your concerns and what the response has been? 2 In other words, I think that we're interested in not 3 only statistics about reporting cases and what has occurred, I'm interested in knowing since the police 4 5 department is going through their reorganization 6 there, that's supposed to be community-based 7 policing, I'm interested, in order to your А organization, what your experience has been in terms 9 of the cooperation that you've been getting from the 10 police department, et cetera, when you've been 11 voicing this concern. So, if you can give us 12 specific examples, I think that would be helpful 13 also. 14 CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you so much. 15 MR. LYNN: I just wanted to say one more 16 thing real quick. All the data is with what my 17 colleague said before me, is no good unless you 18 almost see it for yourself. I wouldn't believe it. I 19 have never believed it. We're going to give you the 20 data, but I would urge that if you can just drive 21 through some of these neighborhoods. I know it's 22 scary, but just drive through sometimes and I'm 23 telling you arbitrarily you'll see something happen.

1 and you'll look around and just park your car to the 2 side and you'll see what actually we're talking 3 about. á. MR. PUGH: May I give you an anecdote out 5 of the past? It may support this committee is 6, interested in that we have made reports before and we've done some. One time a few years ago I found 7 myself taking pictures at Cabrini Green and out of a 8 | 9 window of my car, in fact. And suddenly a police car 10 came up behind me with his thing whirling and said to 11 me -- I couldn't hear him because I don't hear that 12 well -- over his loudspeaker that I was in a very 13 dangerous neighborhood, what I was doing was very 14 dangerous and I should get out of there. So I didn't 15 hear him. He got out of the car, came over to me and 16 said who are you? I told him that I was taking some 17 pictures for the United States civil Rights 18 Commission. And he then told me that you're in a 19 very dangerous neighborhood. I'm frightened as shit 20 was the words he used. The station is about two 21 blocks from here. I'm going to be there in about two 22 minutes and if you're smart, you'll be there, too. **23** ( That I have at least some memories of that

```
neighborhood. I assume it's no better.
1
2
                 MR. LYNN:
                              Worse.
3
                 MR. PUGH:
                            You say it's gun fire going on
4
        today?
5
                 MR. LYNN:
                              Today. Weekends are the worse.
        Hot days. When it gets hot, police are nowhere to be
6
7
        found. And that's exactly because it starts early.
                 CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. I'm going to draw
8
9
         it to a close and get the other two members up.
10
                 MS. PETERS: Steven, are you going to be
11
         here for a brief time? I'd like to talk to you
12
         because you're absolutely right in your description?
13
                 MR. LYNN: It's a scary situation, but on
14
        the other hand, things are looking better. But since
15
         they organize, they were never organized. Nobody
16
         talked to each other. They had all these rifts. So
17
         now we have finally gotten everyone to come together
18
        on one chord. Security within our community; that's
19
         the first issue. CHA is ready revitalized. We're
20
         getting more programs that we had been allocated that
21
         just never got implemented.
22
                  MR. PUGH:
                              Do you see police coming into
23
         the projects or not?
```

1	MR. LYNN: They'll drive through.
2	MR. PUGH: I mean after October.
3	MR. LYNN: They'll drive through, but right
4	now the situation is so severe that we need at least
5	two cars driving through there at all times which is
6	going to stop a lot. That's going to keep a lot of
7	them in the building. We need those binoculars that
8 :	they have now that at dark we know where the shots
9	are coming from. We know, and as soon as the shot
10	comes out of the building, it comes out on a printout
11	real quick, and we can pinpoint exactly which window
12	that shot came from. You know, we have to have a
13	real sting operation over there.
14	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. Thank you.
15	Our next panelist is Syd Finley, NAACP
16	Chicago Chapter.
17	I'm going to ask, if you could, to make
18	a few comments from your paper. We can read your
19	paper because we're running short on time. And in
20	that way we can have some dialogue between the
21	committee members and yourself, if you could.
22	MR. FINLEY: How much time am I allowed?
23	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We've got a total of

ï	about 15 minutes. We're behind 15 minutes.
2	MR. FINLEY: I can do this in about three
3	and a half or four minutes. Is that adequate?
4	CHAIRPERSON LYON: As long as the other
5	committee members know that we're confined to ten
6	minutes for questions.
7	SYD FINLEY
8	NAACP, Chicago Chapter
9	As representative of the National
10	Association for the Advancement of Colored People,
11	NAACP, Chicago Southside Branch, I'm pleased to
12	testify before this august body, the Illinois
13	Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on
14	Civil Rights. I represent Chicago'd oldest civil and
15	human rights organization; a membership association
16	which was first commissioned as a branch of the NAACP
17	in 1910, later chartered as a branch in 1913. An
18	international association having grown to 2, 500
19	branches since being organized in 1908.
20	We at the NAACP are tremendously
21	concerned about law enforcement and police related
22	activity not only from a national perspective, but
23	specifically with regard to the City of Chicago.

1	Our NAACP Branch concerns are so great
2	that we intend to conduct public hearings in November
3	that will address law enforcement activities in
4	Chicago. It is our Branch intention to hold hearings
5	similar to those conducted by the National Task Force
6	of the NAACP which had been analyzing police
7	activities in several urban communities for the past
8	year.
9	Additionally, of interest to this body
10	might be a booklet released at our recently held
11	covention in Nashville, titled, Crime_and_Criminal_
12	Activities_in_the_U.S.A Surprisingly, the
13	aforementioned document reveals that of six urban
14	cioties, Chicago, Detroit, Houston, Los Angeles, New
15	York City, and Philadelphia where complaints were
16	registered against police officers, Chicago
17	registered 112.1 complaints per 100,000 people. You
18	might know the attached exhibit on the back page.
19	The highest of those six cities; Philadelphia was the
20	lowest with 23.1 per 100,000. However, we are
21	concerned that this statistic is misleading because
22	of the perception Chicago does little to resolve

1 initial observation in Chicago that Chicago must quit 2 dilly-dallying around and more seriously address the 3 questions relating to negative police activity. 4 Areas of police activities is a major 5 concern to our Branch are in the area of police 6 aggression, which would include physical abuse, 7 verbal abuse, equipment abuse, harassment, and legal 8 redress for complainant. Specific recommendations at 9 this point in time would includes the following: 10 Closer rapport of police with community residents to 11 cause for a better trust between both parties. 12 Mandatory, meaningful human relations training for police officers. A more stringent psychological 13 14 evaluation and screening to weed out perspective 15 employees and periodically screen those on duty. And 16 I might point out the need to stop a moment and point 17 out what we feel is a tremendous need for additional black detectives. When Eugene Sawyer stopped being 18 the Mayor of the City of Chicago, there was an 19 20 insignificant number of blacks, somewhere in the 21 neighborhood of less than ten out of a total number 22 of detectives that registered somewhere in the

neighborhood of 220. The second point that I'd like

1	to make with regard to that same point is that the
2	fact that I think the evidence will indicate that
3	numerous lawyers that I have talked to who are in
4	criminal practice, criminal law practice, criminal
5	practice too probably, but in criminal law practice,
6	that one lawyer told me, and this was in a setting of
7	about 16 criminal lawyers who happened to be black,
8	and one lawyer told me he had handled thousands of
9	criminal cases and had yet to be to have testify a
10	black detective. And I think that tells you
11	something about the specific needs of black
12	detectives in the Chicago Police Department. A more
13	stringent psychological evaluation and screening to
14	weed out perspective employees and periodically
15	screen those on duty. That all police training
16	include sensitivity training, and that there be more
17	racial, ethnic, and sexual diversity on and
18	throughout the Chicago Police Department. The need
19	for processing complaints against police officers in
20	a non-blased unbureaucratic fashion and a quick
21	immediate termination of service for those police
22	officers found in violation of proper and lawful
23	police conduct. I might point out here, I heard one

of you indicate that you were looking for hard, cold statistics, and I heard one the other day was reported to me by a member of the Cook County Bar Association which again happens to be a group of predominantly black lawyers, predominant group of black lawyers in the City of Chicago who indicated that they had information that 70 percent of the complaints in one district of Chicago happen to be against one police officer. And that happens to be the district commander, Officer Burge. I don't know if that was correct or not. I don't even know if the Cook County Bar Association has testified, but it certainly might be worthy to look into. Previously I mentioned our national task force which conducted public hearings in Norfolk, Miami, Houston, Los Angeles, St. Louis and Indianapolis. An initial assessment is that there's a towering wall of distrust between African American citizens and police built in large measure by historicalk mistreatment of African Americans by the police. The same hearings consistently and disturbingly found a police attitude of "it's us against them". This attitude can no longer be tolerated.

Ĩ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	To those men and women charged with law
2	enforcement, we say publicly while we are firmly on
3	the side of justice, we will not tolerate or accept
4	brutality as a right. We must pay to achieve
5	justice. I'll concluded my statements. I think that
6	the graph at the tail end of this report or the chart
7	will certainly point out that kind of statistical
8	information that I mentioned in my remarks. Any
9	questions you might have?
10	MR. BLAKEMORE: Madam Chairman, I note
11	personal briefly, I've had the opportunity to work
12	with Mr. Finley on a number of information on my
13	professional life. I'm pleased to see that he
14	appeared the Cook County Bar Association was present
15	this morning by Mr. Lee. He was here. Although I
16	don't have a question, I'm more than happy in your
17	capacity for you to be here.
18	MR. FINLEY: Pleased to hear it.
19	MS. YANDLE: Mr. Finley, given the fact
20	that certain brutality is one indication to the
21	qualities of service or protection that afforded by
22	police. Does the NAACP have any data in Chicago
23	NAACP have any data regarding the quality of service

as would be reflected by response rating or lack of 1 documentation of incident. Anything of that nature 2 3 other than the manifestation in terms of brutality in an African American community? In other words, has 4 5 the NAACP gathered any other data outside of the 6 incidents of complaints of police brutality that 7 would be indicative of a different quality of 8 service. For example, again not reporting, the lack 9 of reporting or the lack of responding to incident calls. 10 11 MR. FINLEY: Yes, we do have substantial 12 data in that area. We almost did something very 13 dangerous, and I'm glad that we didn't. We have had, much of the data that we have accumulated over the 14 15 last year and 18 months when it was determined that our national convention a year ago this past July to 16 17 form a task force to go into those communities that I 18 indicated, Chicago was picked as one of those 19 communities, and we said no, we would conduct our own 20 hearings when we're ready and go about to take care

of our own back yard, and our own business. And the result of that was that we kept the national task

23 force out of the City of Chicago, but we had again to

1 compile the same kind of data that they were using, 2 and we not only learned from some of the mistakes 3 that they did, by making that move, but likewise I 4 think will have a much better hearing process. And 5 certainly indicate many of the things that you just 6 mentioned. 7 I don't want to go into detail on many 8 of them, but I think that you will find, for 9 instance, that there's a tremendous need certainly in 10 the City of Chicago as there used to be for the man 11 on the street kind of cop. I have relatives who are 12 involved in law enforcement in one fashion or another 13 and I was listening intently to what the preceding 14 speaker had to say about cars in the Cabrini Green 15 area and I think that that is certainly, that area 15 certainly calls for, in a very meaningful fashion, 17 the need for cops on the beat. Those who can establish that close kind of contact with those who 18 19 are residents of Cabrini Green area. And also when 20 you look at police brutality, you don't have to look

too far as you had to do is analyze those complaints

standards, and we have sent many of those complaints

that have happened over at the officer, professional

21

22

1	to the Office of Professional Standards only to find
2	that they are white washed. And I mean that
3	literally, that establish that they're not taken in a
4	meaningful fashion. They're happily in a very
5	bureaucratic fashion. I can think of several of
6	them. When I say a bureauracy I mainly mean that of
7	a long lengthy time to process those complaints. I'm
8	thinking of the 16 years old honor students on the
9	north sides who took better than 4 months to get a
10	reply to when it's accousted, harassed, and
11	brutalized by two police officers. And then that was
12	a form letter and, these are the kind of things that,
13	yes, we're working on. If the incident of Stan Jones
14	had occurred in the majority of metropolitan
15	communities across this country and I'm sure all of
16	you know who Stan Jones is. That was the policeman
17	who was shot on 63rd Street or on 69th Street about a
18	month or so ago. And the first report out of the
19	police department was that there was a struggle that
20	will ensue between he and the police officer. And
21	that Stan Jones was, in fact, shot and killed. The
22	second report came out that there was an accident,
23	that he had attempted to put the car in park and

1	somehow — the van in park and had somehow gotten
2	into the reverse position. The gun was hanging in
3	the window and the gun went off, thus killing Mr.
4	Jones. I mentioned it to say that have occurred in
5	the majority of metropolitan communities in this
6	country. It would still be before the media and
7	still be before the community with many
8	investigations taking place. One newspaper, the
9	Chicago SunTimes, did not carry one line on what
10	transpired in that incident. The Tribune carried
11	maybe 2 lines buried in some kind of strange report
12	that they do in the back of the paper. Yet, this
13	Chicago Defender made big headlines out of it. Now,
14	I've been in the human relations business all of my
15	life and I know the, certainly the need for getting
16	correct information out to the public without doing
17	it in an inflammatory fashion so that you might
18	create that kind of a situation which none of us
19	would like to. She, yet, on the other hand, to not
20	even report the incident, I think just tells you a
21	tremendous need that is lacking in this community.
22	MS. YANDLE: I have to interrput you
23	because we are pressed for time. But can I just ask

```
į
        you since the NAACP has compiled this data, you said
2
        you have substantial amount of data and maybe that
3
        can be narrowed down, in terms of our needs with some
4
        discussion with our staff. But I would like to make
5
        a specific request that we be provided with that
6
        information that you have compiled which reflects on
 7
        the questions that I asked.
 8
                                Most certainly. I understand
                  MR. FINLEY:
9
        the question and intend to do what we have, probably
10
         not until those November hearings take place.
11
                  MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Is there any materials
12
         that I can supply with our September deadline if we
13
         are. We will appreciate it because realistically for
14
         the data to be incorporated in our present report,
15
         Finley, I'll see if that's possible. But, Mr.
16
         Schwartzberg, we're not redeveloping the wheel. This
17
         is nothing any -- the same incidents have occurred in
18
         the last 18 months that we are zeroing in have taken
19
         place year in and year out for the last three
20
         decades. So, we're not providing you new material.
21
         I've been before this Commission on other occasions
22
         and it's nothing in the way of new material, it's
23
         just new data.
```

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: It, as I may well be
2	aware, the way in which this state advisory committee
3	operates is it provides specific reports and specific
4	subjects as well as dealing with generality of
5	problems at the moment, we would be preparing or can
6	be expected to prepare a report on the question of
7	unequal protection. And that is time limited
8	necessarily. I appreciate that. Certainly not
9	asking you for new materials, but if it's possible,
10	probably would be of use and we will appreciate it.
11	We want to have as comprehensive a report as possible
12	on the immediate subject.
13	MR. FINLEY: Perhaps I should elaborate on
14	those hearings we plan to hold in November, and It's
15	simply this, that the information that we're
16	gathering up, we hope to make public throughout the
17	massive black community of the City of Chicago for
18	the protection of black and hispanic residents. That
19	is our aim and objective main aim and objective,
20	not that calling out to lend assistance to stop what
21	the police would do, though we would welcome that
22	assistance, we are concerned about the protection of
23	blacks and hispanics in the City of Chicago.

İ	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I think that must be your
2	first priority.
3	MR. FINLEY: Certainly. Any other
4	questions?
5	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I think I need to call
6	our next panelist. Mr. Finley, thank you for coming.
7	MR. FINLEY: It was a pleasure.
8	MR. PUGH: There's a seriousness of the
9	unequal protection of blacks in Chicago of such a
10	degree that you think need investigation might be
11	merited by say the Department of Justice?
12	MR. FINLEY: Yes. In fact, we, on the Stan
13	Jones case, our consideration, and that's still
14	pending, though it may not be on the front page of
15	the newspapers, it may not be being discussed in some
16	of the legal corridors, we're still looking into that
17	in a fashion where we hope to call in the Justice
18	Department, which has not been done, to our
19	knowledge, to take a look at what's going to happen.
20	And we've had a series of these teenagers who were
21	picked up by the police at the White Sox ballpark and
22	driven over into the bridgeview area in a fashion
23	where they were turned loose and threatened by a mob

1	over there, and those two police officers are no
2	longer on the police department. There are many
3	incidents of that nature that tell us that there is a
4	tremendous need for some outside agencies that
5	carries some clout to come into this community and
6	take a long, hard look at it. And, yes, I believe
7	the job could be done, even by those who are
8	presently in charge in this community.
9	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you very much.
10	MR. FINLEY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Five minute recess.
12	(A brief recess was taken.)
13	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We're now calling the
14	meeting to order. The 3:00 o'clock panel, our first
15	speaker is William Beavers, an Alderman from the
16	Chicago area. Thank you for coming, Alderman
17	Beavers. He is the Alderman for the 7th Ward. And
18	we ask to you give a concise statement as to your
19	views on unequal protection and then we will open it
20	up to the other panel members. And we have
21	approximately 20 minutes per speaker from this point
22	on. Mr. Beavers?
99	DANITI VI

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	WILLIAM BEAVERS
2	Alderman, 7th Ward
3	Thanks, Madam Chair, I'm delighted that
4	you invited me here today. I didn't bring a prepared
5	statement, but let me give you a little history of my
6	background.
7	I'm a native Chicagoan. I've been here
8	all my life. I went to public schools in the City of
9	Chicago and attended the Junior College here. I'm a
10	21 year veteran police officers of the Chicago Police
11	Department. I took a leave in 1983 when I won as
12	Alderman. In 1984 I was elected as Human Rights
13	Committeeman. I've presently been the Alderman for
14	nine year and on my second term.
15	There are some things that you wanted
16	to ask about the type of service they get in the
17	minority community as far as the Chicago Police
18	Department. In some areas, that's very true. In
19	some areas when I was a police officer, we responded
20	much faster than they respond today, under the old
21	system, PO5-1313. I worked in the highest crime rate
22	of the City of Chicago, which was 48th and Wabash,
23	which was called the second district. We can respond

1 to calls in 3 minutes because of the make up of the 2 beat and the particular district, the number of men 3 that we had, and the dedication among policemen that 4. worked in that district. It was a total black 5 district which ran from, at one time, from 31st to 6 60th, from the lake to Wentworth. Then they changed 7 the boundary to 35th to 60th, from Cottage Grove to 8 the Dan Ryan. Dan Ryan is the expressway, if you don't know. At that time, they had the number of 9 10 between, I'd say 62 and somewhere, 70. The people 11 got the utmost respect from the police department, 12 and they also got a fair response. But, if you go 13 into the outlying areas in the area where I moved 14 from from the second district to way south, what I 15 call the better community, the services were unbelievable. I couldn't believe that a police 16 17 officer to respond a half an hour after you called. 18 And some areas now it's still the same way. The 19 communication center is overloaded. Hopefully we'll 20 have a new one very shortly. And in an area that I 21 represent, I represent a multi racial area, hispanic, 22 blacks, Puerto Ricans, Mexicans, Irish, Jewish. The 23 area that I represent right now, and I found that

- <del>1</del>	there still are some problems as far as service. I
2	found that hispanic policemen treat their people
3	worse than anybody, especially if they're migrants
4	and don't speak English well. Black police officer
5	have learned that to respect their people. But,
6	basically, and the area that I can speak for that I
7	represent, the majority of the police officers that
8	work in that particular district live in that
9	particular district, and they have a tendency to
10	service their people much better than they service
11	the people where they don't live. So, it's always
12	good to have a police officer live in the community.
13	And Chicago is one of the few cities that a police
14	officer has to live in his community. They try to
15	put you in adjacent districts in which you live.
16	But, as time goes on with the union and so forth, you
17	can pick, and most people, policemen try to pick to
18	work somewhere close to where they can protect their
19	own. You can't mistreat people in a community in
20	which you live in because you have to come back to
21	that community.
22	I've always said that California had
23	the worse police department in the country, and I

1	documented that for years and years and it's coming
2	to be proven too. That some of the new procedures
3	that police departments have adopted now is some that
4	I wrote. I was the first person, after becoming
5	Chairman of the Police and Fire Board for the City of
6	Chicago, was to get rid of Dr. Fogle who made a
7	mokery out of OPS. It's all documented if anybody
8	would like to see the transcripts of how he misused
9	that office. He should have been charged with
10	malfeasance of office and convicted. His job was
11	basically he wanted to write a book. Some of the
12	things that happened in OPS really is a bad leaves
13	a bad taste in people's mouth for years and years to
14	come. Since that time we gotten rid of him, we tried
15	to upgrade OPS, and we're doing some things now
16	there, reaching back getting some cases that are
17	three and four years old which I don't like, but
18	everything, and the speed up time of the
19	investigation. I heard the gentleman testify four
20	months. It used to take two years. So at least
21	there is some progress. I'm glad it only takes four
22	months now. It's a number of things at the police
23	department that could be changed to make them better

```
1
        serve the community, and some of those things that we
2
        are working on in the City of Chicago, and I hope
        that we will have them worked out. There used to be,
3
        they used to have older police officers come into the
4
5
        academy and just arbitrarily call people names, you
        know, try to see what would tick them off. They kind
6
7
        of phased that out. That was a big help, letting the
8
        person know that what you think about another person.
9
        The city doesn't care what you think, everybody
10
        should get the best of service. That's what the
11
        Police Department rules and regulations are. That's
12
        what they try to teach. But you can teach all you
13
        want, but when a person gets out there in the street,
14
        he gets John Wayne syndrome. I remember reading in a
15
        small town where a police officer, they took them all
16
        out of uniform and put them in blue blazers. I think
17
        they had a manpower of 13. I think all 13 quit
18
        because they couldn't show that macho. And I wish I
19
        had kept that article, it's about ten years ago.
20
         They couldn't show that macho. So, this is what they
21
        called the John Wayne syndrome. You have a few out
22
         there that have John Wayne syndrome and they will
23
         always have John Wayne syndrome. You try to
```

```
1
         eliminate them as time goes on, but in some cases you
2
         do and in some cases you don't. By and all I would
 3
         think that all minority people or law abiding people
 4
         are law abiding people. They're more concerned about
 5
         the law than the rich or the well to do. The poor
 6
         people depend on the law, that's all they have. And
 7
         when they look for you to serve them, they look for
 8
         you to serve them the best you can. The police
 9
         officer is the only person, especially in the City of
10
         Chicago, that answers all calls. I don't care what
11
         kind of call it is, Chicago policemen answer. If
12
         it's a loud dog, he has to answer. If it's a loud
13
         canary, loud music, and this sometimes slows up the
14
         response time, even though they take the emergency
15
         calls first. In other cities they don't handle these
16
         kinds of calls, but I'm glad that Chicago police do
17
         handle them because it's good public relations. It
18
         makes them closer to the people that they serve.
19
                       It's a number of things that Chicago
20
         does that other cities do not do, and I'm not saying
21
         that the Chicago Police Department is totally the way
22
         I want it to be, but you have to realize I spent 21
23
         years out here serving the people, and this is
```

something I don't forget. And I see the good and the 1 2 bad. And there's some good and there's some bad, and 3 I've seen some situations that I wouldn't want to see 4 anymore. But, I've seen more good situations than 5 bad. MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Let me present two areas 6 7 that other speakers have been concerned about. 8 First, when O.W. Wilson came in, he established a 9 series of formulas to attempt to ensure fairness in allocation of police by district in the City of 10 11 Chicago. Applying those formulas every 6 months, 12 every year, every 2 years, perhaps he suggested was necessary in order to ensure continuing fairness that 13 14 you had to have some kind of formula or else you were 15 going to get whoever squeaked loudest to get the 16 response. And it would ultimately, as was suggested 17 by some of the witnesses about the Afro American 18 community, the poorer communities which would suffer 19 in the allocation. We've had other people who have 20 suggested that, in fact, if you looked at the Afro American community, if you look at patrol per violent 21 22 situation, that it's those communities that are 23 sufferring. Two questions. One, are you suggesting

1	as Chairman of the Police Panel that you have any
2	expectation that there will be a new application of
3	formulas on weighted workload or otherwise on
4	reallocation of the Police Department?
5	MR. BEAVERS: Hopefully they're going to
6	change the beat map structure and reallocating
7	manpower as needed. That's the way it's supposed to
8	be done now, but we haven't had a change in oh, for
9	ten years.
10	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And to what extent does
11	that concern you and your position as Chairman of the
12	Police Committee of the City Council?
13	MR. BEAVERS: Itconcerns me greatly as
14	Chairman of the Police and Fire and I'm testifying
15	here also as a citizen.
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: It has been suggested
17	that areas 2, 3,7, 11 and 15 are areas in which the
18	response time to complaint is necessarily slower
19	because
20	MR. BEAVERS: You must mean district, not
21 `	areas.
22	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I'm sorry, 2, 7, 11 and
23	15 have much slower response times because the

1 individual policeman is attempting to juggle four or 2 five complaints at once. He doesn't know exactly when these have come in or he may not. And with that 3 4 kind of a load is out of proportion to the rest of 5 the city. 6 MR. BEAVERS: It's most likely, but in the 7 60s I used to answer three at a time. At midnight 8 when you get in the car, you can give them three, but 9 you could answer them within a short period of time. 10 But you realize police officers has to move when 11 citizens move. The area that I worked in then is no 12 longer the same area. You don't have the same amount 13 of people that you had there. You have a lot of 14 vacant lots there. It looked like a bombed out. It 15 looks like a demolition zone. There's nothing there. 16 People have moved, so the patrolmen, the police 17 officers should move with the people. If you catch 18 Filmore, Filmore had the highest murder rate, highest serious crime rate back in the 70s, but 2nd district 19 20 had the most calls. But, when you look at those 21 areas now is some of those area look like bombed out 22 zones. So what I'm saying is the police officers 23 have to move when the people move.

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I appreciate that.
2	There's also a reasonable limit on the number of
3	calls that a police officer should be asked to
4	respond to, given the degree of violence in the
5	precinct.
6	MR. BEAVERS: Every call you get is not
7	going to be a violent call. You might go a week
8	without getting a violent or necessarily a shooting,
9	I as one individual, there might be two shootings a
10	night, but say you got 25 cars working, only two
11	people going to be involved. The others have to take
12	up the workload.
13	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What do you think is the
14	reasonable limit for that?
15	MR. BEAVERS: It's not necessarily the
16	police officer's response time. Last month you know
17	what has been happening, the Police Department did
18	some strange things years ago. They changed the
19	rules to promote women. Before you could be a zone
20	operator, you had to spend 5 years on the street.
21	You knew the area in which you worked, then here
22	comes times where we got to do this, we got to do
23	this. Now, they took people off the street who never

į worked the street, in some instances, put them on 2 that zone. They don't bit more know what they're doing than a man in the moon. They don't know how to 3 4 talk to people in one. You can kill all beefs on that phone. I've just had two incidents within the 5 6 last month. One police responded four hours later to 7 a shooting, a man dead because of a dumb operator. 8 The other one was because the police responded 20 9 minutes later after the fire department had came and 10 took him to the hospital. They responded after he was gone. In my investigation I found out that it 11 was coming from the zone operator, not from the 12 13 police officer. They never received the call. Never 14 received it. And it's always been a habit, even when 15 I was working in zone 5, which was the 2nd district 16 and the 3rd district, and some part of 21 and part of 17 9, zone 8 always had slow response time. That's the 18 area I live in. They would always hold the calls and 19 take their time and issue them out. This is where 20 your number one problem is is in the communications 21 center, and until we get a new communications center, 22 we're still going to have those problems unless you 23 going through there and clean it out.

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: But are you suggesting
2	that the problem is only in the communications
3	center? That if you clean that out, the level of the
4	number of calls per policeman then won't be
5	excessive?
6	MR. BEAVERS: First of all, you have to do
7	a number of things. Number one, you have to train
8	operators how to talk to people. Number 2, they
9	should have some experience on the street. That's
10	the next one. Number 3, when a call comes in, they
11	should dispatch it as soon as possible.
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: That's fine in
13	communications.
14	MR. BEAVERS: Right.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: How about at the level
16	of the guy who gets the calls and has to deal with
17	it? Should they be dealing with fives calls at a
18	time?
19	MR. BEAVERS: If it's necessary, if it's
20	disturbances, loud music. I've been out there, I
21	know, okay. Kids playing in the yard, something like
22	that. Yea, you can handle five calls.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What if it's more than

1	that?
2	MR. BEAVERS: If it's more than that, it
3	depends on what kind of call it is.
4	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Well, what eye suppose
5	we're coming down to is how many police are needed?
6	MR. BEAVERS: We don't have half as many as
7	we had when O.W. Wilson was here. So we are steady
8	hiring and we hope to hire some more. We had
9	manpower of 12,500. I don't think we have, I think
10	we might be at 11,800.
11	MR. HUGH: The something, somewhat 15
12	percent increase in crime, 10 or 15 percent decrease
13	in personnel?
14	MR. BEAVERS: I'll tell you what, if you
15	can come up with the money, we'll be glad to hire
16	them.
17	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Well, I'm also concerned
18	about finding out whether or not the local political
19	structure is going to make this as an issue within
20	its own community. Now this is by way of information
21	in terms of our knowing what kind of a problem is,
22	how long it's likely to continue, what those
22	etructures are the problems?

MR. BEAVERS: Okay. What we're planning on doing here, we're planning on community policing. I guess you've heard that we're trying to put police officers back out to solve some of the problems before they get out of hand. People can be able to talk about this, try to bring it back to where everybody's kids on the street knows who the police officer on the corner and everybody knows everybody. So everybody will tell on everybody, which is good and maybe we'll cut down on crime. But, the only way you're going to cut the calls down is that you're going to have to do something other than hire police officers. You're going to have to stop the drugs from coming into the country. That will cut down on calls. Not only would it cut down on calls, it will cut down on murder rate. Murder rates will go down, police officer won't have as much to do. You won't have as many robberies because you don't have the drug dealers sticking up each other and other people. The number one problem in this country today is drugs, and if nothing you talking about the drugs. If you keep going on and on and on, you're not going to have enough police officers. Not only do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	you have police officers involved in drugs, you ever
2	doctors involved in drugs, you have firemen involved
3	in drugs, you have school teachers involved in drugs.
4	And until you stop that drug problem, I don't care
5	how many police officers you hire, I don't care how
6	fast they respond, you still going to have the same
7	problem.
8	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Were you concerned about
9	the number of black detectives on the force.
10	MR. BEAVERS: Very much concern. I gave
11	Leroy Martin totally hell. I talked about him like
12	he stole something because when he became
13	Superintendent, we had something like 135, when he
14	left we had 67 and he could have and he could
15	appoint ten percent at random, just appoint. So we
16	talked about the lawsuit with the union, but I'm
17	still not satisfied. And this is one of the first
18	things I told Superintendent Rodriguez that he knows
19	my feelings about detectives. You can't solve crime
20	when you got a white man walking in the black
21	community looking like a fly in a bowl of milk, or
22	just the opposite.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: To what extent do you

i	think it's reasonable to expect the force to demand
2	that there be police walking in Taylor and walking in
3	Cabrini Green?
4	MR. BEAVERS: They did it before, they can
5	do it again. But times change, times change, you
5	know about everybody always talking about I don't see
7	no human cry when the police officer is shot. He has
8	to walk that area.
9	MR. PUGH: May I ask a question? Did you
10	hear the testimony of Robert Starks from Northeastern
11	University?
12	MR. BEAVERS: No.
13	MR. PUGH: He testified just before you
14	did.
15	MR. BEAVERS: No, he didn't, no he didn't.
16	The guy from the NAACP testified before I did.
17	MR. PUGH: Earlier. One of the things he
18	said very strongly twice was that he believed the
19	involvement of police officers or collusion of police
20	officers in the drug trade was obvious.
21	MR. BEAVERS: That's a professor, so he
22	would say anything, you know.
23	MR. PUGH: My question to you is. do you

1	think that it's—
2	MR. BEAVERS: No.
3	MR. PUGH:A problem?
4	MR. BEAVERS: No. Most police officers
5	involved in drug is drug users, not sellers. Most
6	firemen that's involved in drugs are users. Most
7	school teachers, most doctors that's involved in
8	drugs are users. Few in the distribution. No, very
9	few, maybe one or two. We just had a policewomen who
10	got a hundred years, she got her natural life in the
11	pennitentiary because she was involved with her son.
12	MR. PUGH: As Chairman of the Police and
13	Fire Commission, you have some responsibility to
14	that?
15	MR. BEAVERS: Oh, yes.
16	MR. PUGH: Has there been any cases brought
17	before
18	MR. BEAVERS: No.
19	MR. PUGH: Any?
20	MR. BEAVERS: No.
21	MS. YANDLE: One observation and one quick
22	question. First of all, Alderman Beavers, the
23	observation. I'm pleased to see you have and so if

1	the community has an opportunity to speak to you, I
2	think you would be a valuable resource to this
3	community, given your experience as a police officer
4	and also your current position as an Alderman, a
5	holder of public office. And to assure you that it's
6	the purpose of this committee to discover facts that
7	may facilitate a resolving of what is perceived to be
8	a situation that I think is a bad situation. I don't
9	know. Since you weren't here earlier, if you're
10	aware that representatives from the Mayor's office,
11	representatives from the Police Department declined
12	to appear here today, and which is why I really think
13	it's important or it's valuable to me to have you
14	here as an Alderman, and I just wanted to impart what
15	I think is the importance of that. While I do sense
16	a bit of defensiveness on your part, I think I
17	understand it, but I find it very important to me to
18	say to you that I think your contribution could be
19	very important here because you can see things from a
20	lot of different perspectives. Given that
21	observation as chairperson of the Police and Fire
22	Committee, and I think you mentioned a couple of
23	problems that you said you dealt with with response

1	time. You mentioned a couple of examples of
2	situations that have been brought to your attention
3	with response time. One was four hours and
4	MR. BEAVERS: Right.
5	MS. YANDLE: Are there documented — other
6	documented examples of these type of problems that
7	have been brought to the attention of your committee
8	that you have had to deal with in one way or the
9	other?
10	MR. BEAVERS: Most of the time most people
11	that call 911, if they don't get a response within a
12	certain period of time, they don't call anybody.
13	These just happen to be in my area and my people just
14	call me. So, I had my commander to go down and
15	listen to the tape. Everything is on tape for 30
16	days. When he listened to the tape, he found out
17	that it wasn't his problem, that it's the problem
18	with the dispatcher. And then, in turn I did the
19	proper thing. In the next case, the gentlemen called
20	me and told me I called the head of the
21	communications center and him to listen to the tape.
22	And when he listened to the tape, then he decided
23	that something should be done. But most people when

1 they call 911, if they don't get a response, they 2 don't tell anybody. So, the issue of lack of or 3 MS. YANDLE: 4 the response time or lack of response is not 5 something that is dealt with by your committee, which would be documented by public record, is that 6 7 correct? MR. BEAVERS: It would be documented by 8 9 public record because of the time that they issued 10 the call and the time that you received. But we 11 wouldn't keep records of just like, okay, the only 12 time that somebody would bring a complaint to me, 13 then I've got 30 days. If they bring it to me within 14 30 days. Then I have somebody listen to the tape. I 15 don't care whether it's any part of the city. If 16 they were talked to in a rude way, we can also do 17 But, most people won't call their elected that. 18 officials or won't call anybody and say hey, it took the police 20 minutes to get here or it took the 19 20 police 30 minutes to get here. In one instance I 21 have a community group that monitors the time that 22 the police, it takes for them to get there. Everybody in the community calls and they happen to 23

Ť	call about a boy shot. It took the police 20 minutes
2	to get there and that's when they called me. They
3	weren't so concerned about him being shot because he
4	was a drug dealer. But they was concerned in the
5	case they get shot, would the same would it take
6	the same amount of time?
7	MS. YANDLE: Is this documentation that has
8	been provided to you? Is there documentation that
9	has been provided to you by this community?
10	MR. BEAVERS: Yes, all they have to do is
11	call me, that's all they have to do.
12	MR. YANDLE: From what I understand, what I
13	understand it's documented by you and passed on to
14	them.
15	MR. BEAVERS: Yes, sir. It's documented.
16	In other words, everybody looks out their window to
17	see when the police could respond.
18	MS. YANDLE: Is that information this
19	committee can get?
20	MS. BEAVERS: I'm giving it to you. It's
21	no documentation. They don't write me a letter.
22	They call me on the phone.
23	MS. YANDLE: Was it documented.

1	MR. BEAVERS: Documented by phone. It's
2	told to me. I had the commander to go down, listen
3	to the tape and he came back. He told me, you're
4	totally right, the people are totally right. I can
5	probably give you the number of the incident which I
6	got a number on it from IAD, Internal Affairs
7	Division while they'll investigate and find out why
8	it took so long for that call to be issued. Now, if
9	it was on the dispatcher, then she going to get some
10	time, he or she is going to get some time.
11	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Do you have access to the
12	IAD files? Not in terms of discipline of individual
13	officers, but in terms of complaints or failure to
14	follow through on calls?
15	MR. BEAVERS: No.
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Should you have?
17	MR. BEAVERS: No.
18	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Should there be any
19	other way other than IAD in which there's a form of
20	complaining?
21	MR. BEAVERS: There's OPS. That's the
22	other form of complaint.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And you don't have

İ	access to OPS either?
2	MR. BEAVERS: No.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Should there be a more
4	open forum for that kind of complaint so that there
5	is reporting after the fact of what has been found?
6	MR. BEAVERS: I can get all the information
7	that I need, but I don't think that I should have
8	access to all the files of OPS. I don't feel
9	that's
10	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Should the city in some
11	way have statistics on what complaints there are, and
12	what the results of the complaints are?
13	MR. BEAVERS: They do.
14	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And should those
15	statistics be generally available?
16	MR. BEAVERS: They are available if you
17	request them.
18	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What about statistics of
19	the level of deployment of manpower, police manpower?
20	Should those be reported through the state and/or to
21	the federal government?
22	MR. BEAVERS: Not necessarily to the
23	federal government.

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What about to the state?
•	
2	MR. BEAVERS: Not necessarily to the state.
3	I think the city, each representative of the city
4	should know what the manpower of his particular
5	district.
6	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: That doesn't tell me
7	what that is relative to the whole
8	MR. BEAVERS: Doesn't tell you what?
9	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: The fact that he knows
10	what he has in manpower doesn't tell him whether it's
11	a fair portion of the whole.
12	MR. BEAVERS: I don't think any other
13	representative is concerned about anything other than
14	what he represents.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: In other words, do you
16	as Chairman of the Police Committee consider the fair
17	allocation of police deployment as a matter of your
18	concern?
19	MR. BEAVERS: It's a matter of my concern
20	because I'm Chairman of the Police and Fire, but if I
21	was not Chairman of the Police and Fire, I would be
22	more concerned with my area being protected than any
22	other areas

i	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: As Chairman of the Police
2	and Fire, I'm trying to make certain that there is
3	fair deployment. Wearing that hat, do you have
4	access to the statistics?
5	MR. BEAVERS: Oh, yes. And not only do I
6	have access, every Alderman that has to approve the
7	police budget.
8	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: That doesn't necessarily
9	tell them what deployment is.
10	MR. BEAVERS: No, it doesn't tell them what
11	deployment is, but it tells them how many total man-
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Are assigned or allotted
13	or allowed, but not necessarily the actual.
14	MR. BEAVERS: No.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Is there any way in
16	which the public should have access to that kind of
17	statistic?
18	MR. BEAVERS: I'm almost sure that's public
19	information. That any person wants to know exactly
20	how many men are assigned to each district in the
21	City of Chicago, then you should be able to get that
22	information.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: That assignment under

1	Wilson's theory was in firm term reflected of a
2	formula. Should that formula be public knowledge?
3	MR. BEAVERS: Well, the point, the more
4	serious crime, the more manpower you supposed to
5	have.
6	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Wilson actually worked
7	out a point assignment by crime.
8	MR. BEAVERS: Yes. He gave certain crime
9	certain points, and that's what I'm saying. Serious
10	crimes
11	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Now given that formula
12	which was applied the last 7 or 8 years ago, how is
13	there any possibility of our getting a system in
14	which every two years, whatever formula is supplied
15	gets applied, the public learns about it, and knows
16	that, in fact, there's been an attempt at making a
17	fair, every two years or so?
18	MR. BEAVERS: I think that's what your Booz
19	Allen report recommends.
20	MR. HUGH: It was also recommended by O.W.
21	Wilson. And I'm concerned that over the last 7 or 8
22	years there hasn't been that kind of public
23	reallocation.

1	MR. BEAVERS: No. The last 7 years the
2	police department went backwards. They didn't give a
3	detective exam for 7 years.
4	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Is there any way that
5	you, sitting in the council, might begin to get a
6	public requirement of a formula allocation and a
7	reallocation on some schedule; whether it's every 6
8	months, every 2 years or every 3 years or what, so
9	that we get some sense of public fairness?
10	MR. BEAVERS: Once they put in a new
11	procedure and recommendations in Booz Allen and
12	whatever else, hopefully that that will be part of
13	it. And if it's not, I'll probably see what I can
14	do?
15	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Connie Peters?
16	MS. PETERS: Alderman, we appreciate you
17	coming before us and speaking so frankly. I would
18	like to ask you if you're satisfied that there's
19	equal police protection for specifically minority
20	areas, and especially Afro Americans?
21	MR. BEAVERS: No, there's not.
22	MS. PETERS: No. You're not satisifed?
23	MR. BEAVERS: No, I'm not. We can use more

```
men. I can use more men in my district. I'm short.
1
2
                 MR. PETERS:
                                I appreciate that answer.
                 MR. BEAVERS: There's a number of other
3
4
        districts that could use more manpower. We could
5
        have more than 67 black detectives out of 1,500,
        1,200, whatever. I can tell you that's been one of
6
7
        my big beefs, and I don't bite my tongue when I speak
8
        to people in authority.
9
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                Do you feel, by the same
10
        token, there are areas that could use less officers
11
        than they currently have because, I know you said
12
        there are areas --
13
                  MR. BEAVERS:
                                 Yes, there probably are
14
         areas, but, you know, crime has a tendency to go
15
         where --
16
                  MS. YANDLE:
                               Where it can.
17
                  MR. BEAVERS: You know, under the system of
18
         O.W. Wilson when he first came here, used to pass the
         car every block, you know. People used to say, if I
19
         can make it past 43rd and the el I've got it made.
20
         When they got off the el. It was just passing cars.
21
22
         You know somebody is going to stop you. There's a
23
         number of things that could be done, but I told you
```

```
İ
        what the real problem is, it's drugs and it's not
2
        just -- it's everywhere.
3
                 MR. HUGH:
                              The oneness to as long as drugs
4
        can continue to come in, there's presumably a level
        of policing that's required to ensure that to help
5
6
        reduce the number of kids dying violent deaths.
7
                  MR. BEAVERS:
                                 So, in other words, you
8
         saying you ain't going to deal with the drug problem,
9
        you're going to deal with the police problem.
10
                  MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I'm saying as long as the
11
         nation hasn't figured out any way of building a wall
12
         around itself.
13
                  MR. BEAVERS:
                                  I don't know no minority that
         own no ship, no boat, no plane or no train that bring
14
15
         the drugs in, okay?
16
                  MR. SCHWARTZBERG: There's no sense of blame
17
         here.
18
                  MR. BEAVERS:
                                  It's a sense of blame here.
19
                  MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What we're looking for,
20
         I think, is if we say --
21
                                  It's a sense of blame because
                  MR. BEAVERS:
22
         if you don't have these problems, we don't need you,
23
         we don't need you to sit up there and tell us that we
```

```
1
        ain't getting the proper protection here. We ain't
2
        getting the proper protection there. We ain't
 3
        getting it here. We wouldn't need you because crime
 4
        would be down. People would be able to walk the
5
        street. We wouldn't need no hearings. People are up
 6
        to here now. You know, we almost to a vigilante
 7
        state. Most people think black people are hoodlums
 8
         and criminals. Black people are more concerned about
 9
         law than any other ethnic group that I know of. They
10
         want to be able to go to the store, walk the streets.
11
         They worked hard all their lives, that's why they're
12
         dependent on this changing. Misuse of police power
13
         is the cause of things being like they are today.
14
         But dependent on it is coming back. There was a lot
15
        of powers that were misused by police officers.
16
         That's why the laws change and change. But it's
17
         coming back.
18
                       You talk about disorderly, loitering.
19
         We lost the loitering law in 1983. People want it
20
         back. They're asking for it back. They're concerned
21
         because nobody's giving us any help from anywhere
22
         else. But until the drug problem stops, we're still
23
         going to have the thing. It's not loitering, it's
```

```
standing in front of your house selling drugs just
1
        like they got a licence. You got 12 year olds, 8
2
        year olds who have no fear. They think they watching
3
        a cowboy movie, that's why they shooting. They think
4
        they going to get up and walk away and the real
5
        serious problem is with the automatic weapon,
6
7
        everybody they shoot at, they miss and hit the
8
        innocent people.
9
                 MS. YANDLE:
                                I just have one quick, and I
        promise I won't ask.
10
11
                  MR. BEAVERS:
                                  I'm here.
12
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                We're pushed for time.
13
                  MR. BEAVERS: But I'll answer any question
14
        you have.
15
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                 Okay, but this is my question.
16
         Given the fact that, again, I think I informed you
17
         when I last asked you a question that our invitation
18
         to the police department, various areas of the police
19
         department and to the City of Chicago had been
20
         declined and in so declining it was communicated to
21
         us in a -- let me ask you this. I think you've
22
         already said that it's your opinion that there's
23
         unequal treatment in terms of police protection in
```

1	certain, in the African American community. Would it
2	surprise you, Alderman Beavers, to know that they
3	various or the public entities, and Tom, I'm not sure
4	which office that this opinion came out of. That, in
5	fact, our premise that there was unequal treatment
6	was false. Was that out of the Superintendent's
7	office? General Counsel. Would it surprise you that
8	the General Counsel for the Police Superintendent has
9	informed this committee that our premise that there
10	was unequal police protection in the African American
11	community, that that was false?
12	MR. BEAVERS: No, that wouldn't surprise
13	me. You have to realize this man has a job and he
14	has to — his job is to protect the Police
15	Department. He would be stupid to come here and say
16	anything else. That's what he's supposed to say.
17	MS. YANDLE: That's what he's supposed to
18	say?
19	MR. BEAVERS: And I wouldn't get mad at him
20	because he said it because that's his job.
21	MS. YANDLE: I think, to me I think it's
22	important to me that this record reflect, and I
23	agree I don't agree with you, but I think I

1 understand where you're coming from that, in fact, 2 that was said because that's what he's supposed to 3 say as opposed to that whether or not that is the 4 case. 5 That's what he's supposed to MR. BEAVERS: say. Do you think if Leroy Martin was still the 6 7 Superintendent and Gerald Hooper came as the same 8 thing? 9 MS. YANDLE: My training as an attorney has 10 taught me when you have the answer you want, you back 11 off. And I'm now backing off. 12 MR. BEAVERS: Now I want to let you know if 13 Gerald Hoover was the attorney. If Leroy Martin was 14 sitting there. If he came to testify, he would tell 15 you the same thing. That's his job. Most of them 16 are police officers, just regular police officers 17 become Deputy Superintendent. I don't know what rank 18 he he had before, but you have to realize you've got a good paying job with a good pension and you're not 19 20 going to blow it talking to somebody like you. Get 21 you here today, gone tomorrow. Might not never see 22 you no more.

MS. YANDLE: I understand.

1	MR. BLAKEMORE: Madam Chairman, one final
2	question. It was recommended during this hearing
3	that what one of the recommendations is to create an
4	independent counsel with authority statewide,
5	possibly appointed by the Attorney General, and
6	having as part of that citizen review panel that
7	would make recommendations with respect to who should
8	be investigated for police brutality and some other
9	kinds of related issues. Do you have a position on
10	that type of
11	MR. BEAVERS: Anybody that's changed with
12	any complaint against anybody, a complaint goes in,
13	should be investigated.
14	MR. BLAKEMORE: I guess the question, the
15	recommendation was creating a statewied as opposed to
16	a local investigatory agency to look at
17	MR. BEAVERS: No. I don't think that's
18	necessary.
19	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Let me ask you a question
20	with regard to Mr. Pugh's question earlier which you
21	gave a very definite answer to. You had stated that
22	Mr. Pugh had stated that one of our panelist had
23	stated there had been some collaboration between the

1 police and those supplying the drugs and guns, things 2 of that nature, and although you may not be convinced that there's definite collaboration, although I think 3 4 it's naive for me to believe that there may not be. Would you suppose that it's possibly, use the lack of 5 resources, whether it's about manpower or whatever, 6 7 that allow it to--8 MR. BEAVERS: No. What it is, police is a 9 good target right now. If you want to bash somebody, 10 why not bash the police. And Professor Starks, 11 that's his thing. The police are the most vunerable 12 people, they think, right now. Under the past 13 superintendent, in order to attack the police, you 14 had to attack the black superintendent, you had to 15 attack the black chairman and you also had to attack 16 a black head of OPS. So, you couldn't do it very 17 well then. But here we don't have that anymore, so 18 you can attack the police officers and say they're a 19 part of the drug problem. Police ain't part of the 20 drug problem, they're part of the solution, but not 21 part of the problem. 22 CHAIRPERSON LYON: That's what I'm saying. 23 I think realistically you cannot respond to every

```
1
        drug call that may come in. That doesn't necessarily
2
        mean that you're collaborating with people who are
        bringing in drugs. But, you cannot respond to
3
4
        incidents that may be drug-related.
5
                 MR. BEAVERS: People are not telling on
6
        their kids, their grandkids. Aint' nobody dealing
7
         drugs but us, okay? You can't deal drugs in your
8
         community if you don't live there. Ain't no
9
         strangers coming in dealing no drugs. They might be
10
         bringing it in, but they're not standing there
11
         dealing.
12
                       Up until we passed this loitering law,
13
         nothing the police can do. They standing there. You
14
         have to understand what it's all about. These kids
15
         are smart. The drugs is over there, they're standing
16
         here. They ain't got nothing but money in their
17
         pocket. Everytime you run up to buy, they run over,
18
         get one bag, boom, they go. It's 15 standing there.
         nobody has nothing in their pocket but money. Now,
19
20
         it's not against the law to stand on the street.
21
         What have they done? They haven't violated any law.
22
         If the police tell them to move, you don't even have
23
         the right to tell them to move up until the 6th.
```

1 These kids have not done anything wrong. They can 2 walk up and search them, they ain't got nothing but 3 money in their pockets. The drugs are in a bag over 4 there around the corner somewhere just laying on the 5 street underneath the hood of a car. Everybody just standing there looking at the police laughing. As 6 7 soon as he pull around the corner, somebody come up, 8 they sell them some. They got the neighborhood kid 9 on the bike. He ride up and down the block, he 10 holler 5-0 when the police are coming. Everybody 11 clean up, everybody clean up. Take all the drugs out 12 of their pockets. So it's a useless case. Now we 13 passed the loitering ordinance, and I was one of the 14 sponsors, and I think like a drug dealer. I'm a 15 street person. If I take him, remove him away from 16 his drugs, somebody's going to steal those drugs. If 17 I done removed him for a half an hour, by the time he 18 gets back, those drugs are gone. Now he's got to pay for those drugs. He's got to pay for them one way or 19 20 another. He's got to pay for them with his life or 21 with some money. The murder rate might go up, but 22 the crime is going down. You have to understand. 23 You have to think like they think. You dealing with

1	sophisticated college educated kids, and they do it
2	as an American business. If they stood in front of
3	your house and you called the police and the police
4	tell you I can't do nothing, you going to say what do
5	I need the police for? Next thing you want to hear
6	is get your shotgun and come out there and shoot
7	them. Now you in trouble, or they intimidate you and
8	they tell you they going to throw a brick through
9	your window, have you afraid to call the police. You
10	always put in a drug free hotline which was ordered
11	from me to the police department. We the only police
12	department in the country who didn't have a drug free
13	hotline. Now we hoping that the people will use it.
14	They don't have — their name don't have to be used.
15	Their name don't come on the screen where you can
16	tell the address or anything, and we just put that in
17	effect last week. That was another one of my orders
18	to the police department. And I had some opposition
19	on that, but it worked. People can use it now. They
20	can you put a quarter in, they can dial
21	1-800-CRA-CK44 and tell on anybody they want to tell
22	on.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: One of the suggestions

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	that was made for this committee today is that there
2	be increased education and sensitivity for police
3	officers, particularly in the field of communication.
4	MR. BEAVERS: That was one of the things
5	that they used to teach in the academy. They used to
6	have seasoned police officers go in there and just
7	kind of intimidate the kid to a point where they
8	would blow up. And then they calm them down and just
9	tell them these are some of the things that you have
10	to take. Because one things they don't understand,
11	the police officer and one person. There is no
12	disorder. The Supreme Court has ruled that you and a
13	police officer cannot create a disturbance of
14	disorder. They lock a person up for disorderly. And
15	these are things that they have to understand.
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: To what extent have you
17	used your position to lencrease the amount of
18	sensitivity training and human relations training
19	that police officers in the City, and to what extent
20	it might be useful?
21	MR. BEAVERS: You can always talk.
22	Whatever you need to pass in the City Council, you
23	need 26 votes in the City Council to pass it. So.

1	you can always suggest you do this and do that and do
2	this and do that. So, I hope to be working with the
3	Superintendent, especially {on;oh} hiring some
4	detectives. That's the key to solving a lot of
5	crimes. And like I say, I'm not talking about
6	Rodriguez since he's the new Superintendent. He's
7	only been here a short while. The same thing I told
8	you, I talked about Leroy Martin publicly. He knows
9	how I feel about that. Not only that, we need some
10	black police officers, some more black police
11	officers. We're working on the ratio of trying to
12	make it a little better.
13	MR. PUGH: Just a question. On West
14	Madison and you see people panning on the street.
15	People driving up to, things happening. The
16	assumption is there's drug trade going on. I'm not
17	driving a police car on the street, so they don't
18	dodge me. I assume you've driven down the street
19	MR. BEAVERS: They don't dodge me.
20	MR. PUGH: What's going on?
21	MR. BEAVERS: They selling dope, that's
22	what they're doing. You know, they ain't selling
23	MR PUGH- And the Police Department knows

1 that. 2 What you didn't see, you MR. BEAVERS: didn't see that kid on the bike a half a block before 3 4 you got there telling the rest of them when the 5 police is coming. And if you turn the corner in a 6 blue and white, it's obvious. But every kid in the 7 City of Chicago knows a plain car. Every kid in the 8 City of Chicago knows a plain car. MR. PUGH: If we had 500 additional black 9 detectives who they didn't know --10 11 MR. BEAVERS: They can get out and walk. 12 MR. PUGH: But, that's I'm just observing. 13 That seems to be one of the reasons that people say 14 or perhaps some people think there's police duplicity 15 because it's so wide open. 16 MR. BEAVERS: Let me tell you something. 17 You know why it's like that? I can rides through 18 many communities, all the young kids selling drugs, how you hanging, Alderman Beavers? I've got to wave 19 20 back, and people say the Alderman he's waving. This 21 is because I know them. They've played basketball, 22 baseball, or I know them as drug dealers and they

know who I am and they'll wave. You have to wave

1	back. The people say, hey, he's waving at the drug
2	dealers. They are my people. I'm not afraid of my
3	people. I know what they're doing. It's wrong.
4	They don't know as soon as they wave I'm going to get
5	a squad car, tell them to go back and get them. But
6	they're standing there with nothing in their pockets
7	but some money.
8	I can walk down the street and there is
9	drug peddlers will speak to me just like everybody
10	else. You have to understand our culture. We can't
11	leave each other. We can only go so far. The drug
12	dealers can go as far as you can go. You can't hide
13	from him. I don't care where you go. They sell dope
14	in the suburbs. You you up in the north side, they
15	sell more dope on the north side than they do in my
16	community, because that's where a lot of them come
17	from. They do it in a different way.
18	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions?
19	MR. BEAVERS: Thank you very much for
20	inviting me.
21	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you for coming.
22	MR. BEAVERS: And if you feel that you want
23	to call me back again I'll be glad to give you a

1	lesson in street justice, okay?
2	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Let's take a recess for
3	five minutes.
4	(A brief recess was taken.)
5	CHAIRMAN LYON: We will call the meeting to
6	order. We are now ready to proceed with our
7	previously 5 panels. I'm going to read off those
8	listed, then that panel. I'm going to start with
9	Doreathear E. Washington, Women Winning For Women.
10	Janette Wilson from Operation PUSH who also will be
11	seated at the panel. Thank you very much for coming.
12	We will start with Doreathear and would you please
13	make a few short statements and then we'll open it up
14	for dialogue? Thank you.
15	PANEL VII
16	DOREATHEAR E. WASHINGTON
17	Women Winning for Women
18	Good afternoon and thank you so very
19	much for inviting me, and I hope that the information
20	that I share with you this afternoon will be
21	beneficial to you and for those that you represent.
22	My name is Doreathear Elizabeth
23	Washington and I'm the Chairperson for Women Winning

1	for Women which is an organization that was formed
2	more than eight years ago to address some of the
3	particular needs that women in the City of Chicago,
<b></b>	preferably in public housing, have at the present
5	time. I serve as the Chief Investigator in the Legal
6	Department for the Chicago Housing Authority, which
7	obviously gives me access to people who have
8	particular problems in CHA and particular family
9	problems and problems associated with police and
10	their overall protection on the basis of that. It
11	helps me to be able to shed some light on some of the
12	problems and to assist them in whatever way our
13	organization might be able to do that on a one on one
14	basis. Based on that, if there are any questions
15	that you'd like to ask me, feel free to do so.
16	MS. YANDLE: Point of clarification. What
17	did you say you're currently, your position with the
18	Chicago Housing Authority?
19	MS. WASHINGTON: I'm chief investigator in
20	the Legal Department.
21	MS. YANDLE: In your experience in that
22	position, has the CHA gathered any type of
22	information in that department or anywhere that

1	you're aware of that would provide us with some data
2	in terms of or some documentation in terms of
3	indicators of unequal police protection or police
4	services in black communities?
5	MS. WASHINGTON: I could not say exactly
6	what data would be available, but the person that you
7	would be contacting to secure that information would
8	be Cathy Kelly who is our press person. And if any
9	information is available along those lines, it
10	certainly would come out of her department.
11	MS. YANDLE: Thank you.
12	MS. WASHINGTON: She's located at 22 West
13	Madison, 791-8898.
14	MS. YANDLE: Thank you very much.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: There's much discussion
16	in the community-based policing and community
17	organization by other witnesses at an earlier date.
18	Certainly in the 1950s and in the 1960s the CHA
19	included in its budget monies for community
20	organizations, building by building, in such areas as
21	the Cabrini Green area which someone has appeared
22	from today. Is there any CHA budget today for monies
23	for community organization and use of community-based

1	facilities?
2	MS. WASHINGTON: There is money. There are
3	those such organizations in effect at that particular
4	time.
5	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And are they throughout
6	the public housing areas in Chicago?
7	MS. WASHINGTON: That is correct. In each
8	one of the 19 developments.
9	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And have those been
10	involved in police/community activities?
11	MS. WASHINGTON: To what extent they have
12	been involved. I would be unclear as to what that
13	percentage would be. I could not answer that
14	effectively for you.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Do you know whether
16	there has been any change in the budgeting available
17	over the past many years?
18	MS. WASHINGTON: I'm pretty certain that
19	there's lots more money now than there has been in
20	the past.
21	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And where would we find
22	that information?
23	MS. WASHINGTON: You would be contacting

1	Patricia Bobo who is our Director of Finance for the
2	Chicago Housing Authority. You would be able to
3	reach her at that same number.
4	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: There was discussion
5	earlier this afternoon, the difference between
6	response to police in vehicles driving in and about
7	public housing projects as against those actually
8	walking the streets, being in the buildings. Is
3	there a pattern known to you as to what areas police
10	walk as opposed to what areas they ride through?
11	MS. WASHINGTON: Are you talking about the
12	City police or are you talking about the Chicago
13	Housing Police itself?
14	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Let's take the city
15	police itself. I take it that the city police at
16	this point are still maintaining largely a two car
17	ride through attitude towards the various housing
18	projects?
19	MS. WASHINGTON: I would say that's about
20	correct.
21	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Now, turning to the
22	CHA's city guard, which I assume
23	MS. WASHINGTON: Let me correct you, police.

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Is the CHA police,
2	which, for the record, I take it are not a portion of
3	the Chicago Police?
4	MS. WASHINGTON: That's correct.
5	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Turning to the CHA
6	police. They are not car-based, is that correct?
7	MS. WASHINGTON: 50 percent of them are. I
8	would say that's an accurate number.
9	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: The 50 percent that are,
10	are they two cars?
11	MS. WASHINGTON: Two man cars.
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I take it that in the
13	overwhelming number of cases we are here talking
14	about Afro American or other minority populations?
15	MS. WASHINGTON: What we're talking about is
16	blacks and minorities. See, I think that there's
17	some confusion in our society which has placed us in
18	the position that we are in right now. Clearly, in
19	the '50s and '60s when we begun to try to approach
20	the whole problem on civil rights, many people made a
21	very serious mistake by putting blacks and minorities
22	in the same group. And now we're at the threshhold
23	where we can not be able to discern which is which.

7	and we come up short all the time. We are the
2	majority of the minority, should have always been
3	defined as being black and minority, and that's what
4	we've got, beginning to stop making the distinction
5	because when we start talking about our fair share,
6	when we start talking about equality, then we've got
7	to be clear about the numbers because when you start
8	cutting the pie on the minorities, blacks come up
9	short all the time, and that's where the distinction
10	must be made. And it should have been made 30 years
11	ago. But, somewhere along the line, somebody wanted
12	to be fair and now we're in the mess that we're in.
13	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Speaking for myself, I
14	try to use whatever lingo people like themselves to
15	use when I'm talking with them. I take it that a
16	majority of what you're dealing with, the
17	overwhelming number of cases are black and
18	minorities, is that correct?
19	MS. WASHINGTON: That's correct.
20	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Now, the CHA police is
21	that an integrated effort?
22	MS. WASHINGTON: Yes, sir, it is.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And what is the

1	integration? Do you have that for us in percentage
2	terms, approximately?
3	MS. WASHINGTON: Give or take now are we
4	talking about gender as well as minority?
5	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: YES.
6	MS. WASHINGTON: Racial breakdown?
7	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: The percentage if, to
8	the extent you can give them off the top of your
9	head.
10	MS. WASHINGTON: Since I do not know
11	exactly, I would just be giving you what I assume to
12	be because I see them everyday. I would say that the
13	force is about 75 percent black, about 25 percent
14	female and everybody else falls into either one of
15	those categories.
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What triggers an
17	investigatory action, if anything, by the CHA police?
18	MS. WASHINGTON: First thing is a call
19	seeking, if you get shot at.
20	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Let's assume that there
21	is a call. Who might make that call? Who talks to
22	the person at the who talks to the police officer
23	himself or herself?

1	MS. WASHINGTON: We have dispatchers and
2	it's set up on the same order as the Chicago Police
3	Department?
4	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And who is the
5	complainant that triggers this incident.
6	MS. WASHINGTON: It can be John Doe citizen.
7	It can be a resident of CHA. It can be just about
8	anyone.
9	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: How do they know to call
10	that number?
11	MS. WASHINGTON: They will know based on
12	several variables; number 1, they can call 911. They
13	will most likely refer them to the CHA police if they
14	live in public housing and are able to determine
15	that, obviosly from the 911 call. And secondarily,
16	because of the effort on the part of the Authority to
17	post the information throughout each and every
18	building. And I believe, if I'm correct, it still
19	comes out on their monthly rent statement as to how
20	to call the police.
21	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I suppose what I'm
22	looking for is the Oak Street sub station about which
23	we've heard something earlier is actually a sub unit

1	of the 18th district. That's not CHA police?
2	MS. WASHINGTON: No, it's not Chicago
3	Police.
4	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: What determines whether
5	or not a particular unit assigned to a particular
6	public housing is CHA police or a sub unit of the
7	Chicago políce?
8	MS. WASHINGTON: That question I would not
9	be able to answer for you because I really don't
10	know.
11	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: In determining what is a
12	fair allocation of police protection, one of things
13	we presumably should factor in is the Chicago, is the
14	CHA police. On the CHA police is there a body of
15	statistics that tells us where they're assigned and
16	how many patrol people they have and the like?
17	MS. WASHINGTON: Yes, there is.
18	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And we get that through
19	which of the people?
20	MS. WASHINGTON: Our Director of Public
21	Safety who is now Leroy Martin.
22	MS. YANDLE: The former Superintendent?
23	MS. WASHINGTON: Yes.

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Now a question was
2	raised about CTA, RTA. Are you in a position to
3	answer whether any CTA or RTA people are assigned to
4	fulfill police functions as opposed to reporting
5	functions?
6	MS. WASHINGTON: No, I cannot. But I can
7	say that from a personal experience of driving
8	through each and every day because my job is a field
9	operations job, the CTA police are certainly present
10	and in high visibility in areas that are in proximity
11	to public housing which, for instance, 35th and State
12	because, you know we've got White Sox Park, so we
13	have to protect all those people going to the park.
14	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Madam Chairman, I would
15	suggest that we advise staff that the Director of
16	Safety for the CTA, RTA might well be contacted so
17	that we make certain that in giving statistics or
18	statistical conclusions we don't warp them by
19	ignoring the CHA police, CTA, RTA. How large,
20	roughly, is the CHA police in terms of numbers of
21	people involved?
22	MS. WASHINGTON: Are you speaking of police
23	officers?

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984 (

1	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Yes.
2	MS. WASHINGTON: Are you talking about
3	personnel that is physically on the street and
4	including the office personnel as well?
5	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Let's break that down in
6	terms of police. How many are police officers?
7	MS. WASHINGTON: There is about, at this
8	point, be 190 with 100 in school coming out, I think
9	next week.
10	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Police personnel? How
11	many are the total personnel in CHA police, police
12	officers, including police officers?
13	MS. WASHINGTON: About 250.
14	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And how many of that 250
15	are present on the street?
16	MS. WASHINGTON: In any one watch?
17	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Well, how many all
18	watches?
19	MS. WASHINGTON: I would say roughly, and
20	I've got to guess because I don't know for sure, I
21	would say between 100, 110.
22	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: So, there is something
23	like 35 per watch, is that correct?

1	MS. WASHINGTON: Maybe 50.
2	MR. PUGH: And on a project?
3	MS. WASHINGTON: We don't have any projects,
4	but we have some developments. We have 19.
5	MR. PUGH: That would be an average of
6	maybe one or two people per development.
7	MS. WASHINGTON: It depends on how you look
8	at it in terms of our CHA security force, which is
9	the back up force for the CHA police, along with our
10	contract security force, which we're now talking
11	about an additional 400 or so persons who are
12	working. I'm not sure if you're aware of our
13	operation Clean Sweep which has secured more than 90
14	some odds buildings of which we have two security
15	officers stationed in the lobby of those buildings
16	and we have a mobile patrol that is in place; two car
17	mobile patrol which is the CHA security force and/or
18	contract security. So we have a large contingency of
19	security in and around the developments, all 19 of
20	them.
21	MR. PUGH: Do these security people carry
22	guns?
23	MS. WASHINGTON: They are licensed by the

1	State of Illinois and, yes, they all are carrying
2	weapons.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: By Clean Sweep, you're
4	referring, I take it, to that program whereby there
5	was a massive search for weapons in a particular area
6	and an attempt to reduce weapons and drugs in that
7	sweep, is that correct?
8	MS. WASHINGTON: What we're talking about is
9	an emergency housekeeping inspection and if, in fact,
10	through plain view, as they say, legally we happen to
11	see weapons and drugs, well, we do what we have to
12	do.
13	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: But I take it that this
14	is that operation where the primary publicity that
15	resulted with that in terms of seizing weaponry and
16	drugs, is that correct?
17	MS. WASHINGTON: Correct.
18	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: In terms of those who
19	are out on the street, they walk the projects, is
20	that correct?
21	MS. WASHINGTON: No, they walk the
22	developments.
23	MR. SCHWARTZRERG: I'm sorry. They walk

1	the developments and have they had problems of being
2	shot at?
3	MS. WASHINGTON: Most assuredly.
4	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Have there been any
5	fatalities?
6	MS. WASHINGTON: Yes.
7	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: How many?
8	MS. WASHINGTON: Three.
9	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And that's three out of
10	a standing force at any given time who might have
11	been subject to that of less than 300?
12	MS. WASHINGTON: Well, it's more than that
13	because you realize because you have to factor in the
14	CHA security force and the contract security force,
15	which is well over 600.
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Total how much?
17	MS. WASHINGTON: Well, over 600.
18	MS. PETERS: Could I interrupt and ask, I'm
19	very confused on the numbers. I tried to write some
20	of them down. At one point I understood you to say
21	there were 190.
22	MS. WASHINGTON: Those are uniform officers
23	who are actually detailed in the care and they are

1	driving in and around the developments.
2	MS. PETERS: Those are CHA officers?
3	MS. WASHINGTON: CHA police.
4	MS. PETERS: And that's back up police?
5	MS. WASHINGTON: That is what you call CHA
6	security force.
7	MS. PETERS: so, how many are those?
8	MS. WASHINGTON: About 400, give or take.
9	MS. PETERS: and then there were 100 people
10	who were going, who were going to be ready next week?
11	MS. WASHINGTON: That's for the CHA police.
12	Now remember we have over 300 contracted security
13	officers.
14	MS. PETERS: IN addition to the other
15	number?
16	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Are these people who
17	live in the developments?
18	MS. WASHINGTON: Some of them do.
19	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Is there a general
20	policy of trying to hire from within the
21	developments?
22	MS. WASHINGTON: Most assuredly.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And how has that policy

1	worked out?
2	MS. WASHINGTON: Fairly well.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I just want some
4	clarification on what fairly well meant.
5	MS. WASHINGTON: That's my interpretation of
6	fairly well.
7	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Are you suggesting that
8	suggesting it was possible to hire most of the
9	contract personnel from within the developments that
10	they were expected to serve?
11	MS. WASHINGTON: When you say contract, are
12	you talking of private security firm? I have
13	absolutely no knowledge of how they recruit the CHA
14	security force. Personnel certainly are recruited
15	from within, and if they can pass the drug test and
16	if they can pass the literacy test and all of those
17	other kinds of things, then certainly they are
18	considered.
19	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: When did this program
20	begin?
21	MS. WASHINGTON: A year and a half ago.
22	MS. YANDLE: I have a couple of quick
23	questions for you. Me Washington and I'll just snow

1	them out and you can answer them in whatever order
2	you feel appropriate. First, with respect, you're
3	here representing the Women Winning For Women,
4	correct?
5	MS. WASHINGTON: Correct.
6	MS. YANDLE: Can you tell me to what degree
7	your organization has addressed or has been
8	addressing the problem of unequal police protection
9	services in the African American community and cite
10	us some examples of, first of all, whether or not, in
11	fact, you identify that as being a problem? Of
12	course you understand that we have heard from some
13	that would say that that's not the case and, if so,
14	what types of things have you been doing and what's
15	going on on that end? The second thing, based upon
16	your experience as working within CHA as an
17	investigator, I'm interested in how you would
18	characterize, you've talked about getting a lot of
19	facts about different activities of the CHA police
20	and the CHA security force. And I'm curious as to
21	what level, if any, of coordination, cooperation has
22	occurred between the CHA police force, for example,
23	and the City of Chicago Police Department? In other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

А

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

words, has the City of Chicago Police Department been working with the CHA? Is there some cooperation or coordination or has CHA pretty much been given the responsibility, in effect, to handle its own in terms of the development?

MS. WASHINGTON: As you probably may be aware, the majority of the hiearchy of the CHA police came from the Chicago Police Department. So, we have our fair share of the Chicago Police Department very well represented in those positions. And obviously with that comes a kind of comraderie and relationship with their former colleagues. And so I would feel comfortable in saying that they have probably a very good working relationship in reference to our organization and how we try to interact with the problems that occur within the African American community. And the police is on somewhat of a difficult situation. I think what we've tried to impress upon people is how to talk to the police to get what you want from the police that sometimes people have to be taught that in a hostile situation where the Authority feels the need to be in charge, that you may not have the option to always say what

1	you want, the way you want to say it. And yet you do
2	not have to lose your dignity or pride. You do not
3	have to feel subject to abuse, but there is a way to
4	approach that situation. And so consequently, we try
5	in our communication skills to be able to impress
6	upon them that you can get what you want, but if a
7	person or a male, which is most of the time the case,
8	you're female, you realize the obstacles that we are
9	up against as women, not only in this situation, but
10	in all situations. That sometimes it does not allow
11	you the privilege to to be able to call him out of
12	his name if he's not doing exactly what you want him
13	to do because you might go to jail. And so there's a
14	way to approach that process; to remain calm, to get
15	your point across. And if you feel that you're being
16	treated unjustly, then you need to call for a higher
17	authority. And so I think that's one of the things
18	that we try to impress upon people.
19	MS. YANDLE: I have one quick question.
20	One of the previous speakers, I think it was Dr.
21	Starks
22	MS. WASHINGTON: Robert?
23	MS VANDIE: Voc Made a statement that

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	when asked about the inequality and the level and
2	quality of protection of service provided by Police,
3	Chicago Police in the African American community as
4	opposed to a majority or all white community or mixed
5	community, his statement was that in the white or
6	mixed community the service that's provided is one of
7	protection of the people; whereas, in the African
8	American community what you find is a protection of
9	property with the containment of people. So we're
10	talking about different police strategies. In your
ii	experience in the housing developments and other
12	areas of the African American community, is that
13	would you agree with that?
14	MS. WASHINGTON: One hundred percent.
15	MS. YANDLE: And do you see that as a
16	problem in inequality of police protection?
17	MS. WASHINGTON: No question. There has
18	always been, to the best of my knowledge, a
19	philosophy that has eminated throughout the Police
20	Department as a whole that property in the African
21	American community is more important than people
22	because of several factors. That in the African
23	American community that most likely the store down

1	the street, the business down the street is not owned
2	by a black person, unfortunately, and so there is a
3	reverse feeling about what is important and how they
4	prioritize the respect that should be given to every
5	individual, regardless to their color. But much of
6	the problem associated with that can be attributed to
7	black Americans not standing up for what they believe
8	in and not demand it, their fair and equal
9	protection. If we as a people are not insulted to a
10	degree to make a difference, it will always be there.
11	You cannot legislate nor write into law how people
12	are going to respect us if we don't respect ourselves
13	and demand that we be respected. See, you can sit
14	here and yang all day about what's right and what's
15	wrong and how wrong it is, but we as a people have to
16	get tired and be sick and tired of being sick and
17	tired of being sick and tired. And when we do that,
18	we make a difference. We are the margin of profit
19	for every major corporation in America, but we don't
20	demand anything. We think somebody ought to just do
21	it because it's right.
22	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I'm going to have to cut
23	Volu off now Your coments are well-taken and I need

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	to move on to our next panelist here to allow her
2	some time. Ms. Janette Wilson from Operation PUSH.
3	Tell us what Operation PUSH is and a brief statement
4	of what you wish to present to this panel?
5	JANETTE WILSON
6	Operation PUSH
7	Janette Wilson, National Executive
8	Director of Operation PUSH. It's a 21 year old
9	civilk rights, human rights organization which has
10	national or local, national and international impact
11	and focus. I think that the hearing as it was
12	designed is rather misconstrued and misorganized.
13	Really, it has no real substance. When I received
14	the letter, when we received the letter at PUSH, it
15	was not clear to me what the intent of this hearing
16	was. But, as we reflected on the general statement
17	that we perceive in the African American community as
18	one of fact and really does not require a great deal
19	of discussion, that there is unequal police
20	protection in the African American community, and
21	then as I listen to the questions you just raised Ms.
22	Washington, most of those questions should have been
23	answered by submospace or request of records from the

1	Chicago Police Department, The CHA Police, safety
2	patrol, from the Police Board, from the States
3	Attorney's Office, and from the government agencies
4	who have the responsibility and authority to provide
5	those kinds of services, those kinds of statistics.
6	There is no African American community-based
7	organization, leader, perhaps other than the Urban
8	League, who does a great deal of research that would
9	even have the resources on to give you that kind of
10	statistical data. And even if you had it, and I'm
11	sure you must have had it by now, it doesn't seem to
12	make any difference. The unequal distribution of
13	police resources in the African American community is
14	purely based on racism in it's purest form. And in
15	Chicago, one of the most racist cities in America,
16	the segregatioon of the races and the cultures is so
17	endemic in the system that the Police Department
18	itself is so racist. You had Mr. Dineen on here and
19	really you should have had him with some of the
20	community organizations so we could challenge, there
21	are several issues, the police presence in our
22	community is hostile, it's not for protection, the
23	stereotypes based on a racist perspective from birth

and the institutionalization of racism results in the police viewing African Americans not as victims, but as criminals primarily. So when they see women on the street, children on the street, and particularly African American males, they are seeing a suspect, they are seen as suspects; and therefore, you have more African American juveniles arrested and charged and later convicted with crimes than in any other community. Where young white boys are given station adjustments, our children are arrested, convicted, sentenced and incarcerated. Therefore, the prisons are overcrowd with over 90 percent African American males. So the police presence is perceived by the community in a hostile manner basically because of how the police perceive us. So, there's an adversarial relationship between the community, not just in CHA development, but throughout the African American community. We do not see the police as our friends. They do not he see us as people and humans which they are bound to protect. But, in fact, as people they need to contain, incarcerate and remove from the scene as quickly and effectively as possible. So, the response time, if you check police

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	records for zones in our community, 911 calls are far
2	longer than in our suburban counterparts. And some
3	of that is basically beyond race. It's classism.
4	Barrington will get a quicker police response than
5	Chicago, basically. And then there's certain
6	communities, when I lived on the Gold Coast, the
7	resopnse time for the police was 99 percent faster
8	than where I live now in the 17th Ward. When I lived
9	in Dunne's ward we had police protection and the
10	violence was not as great. So There's a
11	vulcanization by the Police Department. The
12	assignments that are controlled by the Fraternal
13	Order of Police, not the superintendent. So, it does
14	not matter if the head is African American or now
15	hispanic does not have the power to assign the
16	supervisors to the districts who have the cultural
17	sensitivity and relationship to be effective. And so
18	you have this group vulcanization among police
19	officers. So when you have a white police officer
20	assigned to my community who perceives me as the
21	enemy, not as somebody he seeks to protect, he will,
22	you know, if he gets a call from an elderly citizen,
23	he will wait longer: that's just another one of them.

1 And so, and even in CHA I've had calls at Operation 2 PUSH and visits by residents who have asked for the 3 police and the police have -- they have said they 4 have watched the police sit by and watch crimes in 5 progress, and they waited until someone is injured. 6 They scoop them up like animals, take them to the 7 County, to the County Morgue or to jail. But there's 8 no prevention initiative in the mind of the police. 9 So the community relations in the African American 10 community are far difficult. 11 I would suspect also that the number of 12 officers assigned to the various communities are 13 disproportionate. I would also believe that the 14 police services are not decentralized enough to be 15 effective in the African American communities. They 16 are a lot of crime prevention programs and 17 opportunities that most of our people aren't aware 18 of. So, there's little education about the positive 19 aspects of police protection that were given, even in 20 the more affluent African American communities. We 21 look at the Pill Hill rapes, with the superintendent 22 of police living in Pill Hill and they couldn't find

him. Then in Chatham, one of your more affluent

1	communities, a man remains at large until an Alderman
2	has to take it upon himself, with other men, to walk
3	the streeets, and police officers could not be
4	assigned enmass to stop, obviously, a massive
5	problem. Then there is, in our community,
6	disproportionate number of people being harrassed by
7	police officers; particularly our public officials.
8	I mean, there's a record that the Congressional Black
9	Caucus has, and other community organizations around
10	the nation where African American males have been
11	targeted for police brutality and harrassment. The
12	Rodney King situation in L.A. and the Burge case here
13	are clear examples. And I would submit to you that
14	you should ask for the records of the complaints of
15	police brutality that have been filed and not even
16	brought up; have not been resolved to date. How many
17	are there and why does it take more than five years
18	for the complaints to be resolved? And so I think
19	the solutions clearly are community-based protection,
20	but the officers must have had the multi-cultural
21	skills to deal with the ethnic diversities which
22	exists in the city. You should not send an Irish
23	policeman to an African American community. There is

no redemptive value in that, and you create hostility 1 2 from the moment he walks into that community. He is 3 anti us and we are anti him, basically because of the 4 media stereotyping. And then somehow there has to be 5 control of the media images. There is a media 6 education which is anti police officer from the 7 perspective of the African American community. You 8 look at Terminator 2, you look at all of the movies 9 that appear on cable and regular television and in 10 the movies there's an anti community police thread in 11 many of them. 12 I would submit that this body ought to 13 survey the community to see about their attitudes and 14 about police in general in the African American 15 community and what they'd like to see. And then 16 survey the police officers about their attitude and 17 how they view different communities. 18 What we've done at PUSH is we've 19 generally met with the leadership of the Police 20 Department and tried to have some relationships with 21 them, but if the superintendent has no power. We had 22 a young lady that was shot with a BB gun across the 23 street in a park at, across the street from Operation

1	PUSH. Called the police, the normal 911 help, they
2	sent a young women who is not gang crimes, not
3	familiar with the situation. Who was not even
4	writing down the complaint. This is in PUSH's
5	building. So I said to her, you must leave and we
6	will call your supervisor because she's almost like
7	community relations. Here we have a child who's been
8	shot a minor; 14 years old, not in a gang, never been $_{\mathbb{Q}}$
9	in a gang, parents in church, both parents working.
10	Finally we go all the way up to the district
11	commander who then comes out and assigns gang crimes
12	and they said, well that place has been known to be a
13	gang territory and drug riddled. Then why haven't
14	you solved the problem? Why do we have to call you
15	and then, you know, that's a problem. Why would you
16	send an officer that's incapable of handling the
17	situation? That's the kind of example of what
18	happens daily. And I'm sure Ms. Washington can
19	confirm it, it's throughout the African American
20	community.
21	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any questions by the
22	panel?
22	MC VANDLE. To the extent that your

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	suggestions have been well-taken, Ms. Wilson, to the
2	extent that this particular body does not have
3	subpoena power, to the extent that the particular
4	body requested the presence of representatives from
5	the Mayor's office, the Police Department, and
6	others, and our invitation was declined, we went into
7	that a little bit earlier, was declined in one
8	instance on the basis that our assumption was false,
9	our assumption that there is inequality, to that
10	extent
11	MS. WILSON: Who declined?
12	MR. BLAKEMORE: General counsel to the
13	Chicago Police Department.
14	MS. WILSON: The Superintendent declined.
15	MS. YANDLE: To that extent, and to the
16	extent that it's still the very strong feeling of
17	this committee that there must be factfinding to
18	activate the Commission to do anything, what would
19	you suggest in terms of sources for information,
20	given those limitations, sources of information that
21	would be helpful to this committee in terms of its
22	factfinding mission on this issue?
23	MS. WILSON: I would ask for the United

1	States Justice Department to conduct the
2	investigation in the Chicago Police Department in
3	terms of its disproportionate assignment of resources
4	to the African American community versus the white
5	community.
6	I cannot fathom how they could decline
7	to appear and we're going to put some community
8	pressure on them tomorrow morning. I just can't
9	believe that.
10	MS. YANDLE: That's why giving your
11	statement I wanted you and everyone else to
12	understand that it's not based upon this committee
13	being naive in terms of what the best source of
14	information is. We tried, believe me, we tried.
15	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: While I'm somewhat
16	knowledgeable of the Chicago Police Department, the
17	CHA police being a relatively new facet of it, and
18	I'm someone who seems to have some information, we
19	decided we would pick up the information that way.
20	MS. WILSON: Leroy Martin needs to
21	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Its not Leroy Martin.
22	MS. WILSON: It's CHA.
23	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: There was no formal

7	declination of Martin. There was a formal
2	declination from Mr. Rodriguez's representative.
3	We'll be glad to make the letters available to you or
4	any member of the public immediately after this
5	hearing for examination.
6	CHAIRPERSON LYON: And further
7	clarification. We're not even sure represented in
8	these letters was the fact that there may or may not
9	be analytical data which would support or not support
10	our position. But we're not even clear that they
11	are, in fact, collecting or doing what's necessary to
12	collect the data necessary to really make an true
13	evaluation of the situation. So, really having it
14	come from the Afro American community may, in fact,
15	be more credible than what we might get from other
16	sources.
17	MS. WILSON: I guess the issue I have is,
18	you know, you going to want at some point some
19	statistical data and somehow, someone is going to
20	have to
21	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: We have secured some of
22	it
23	MS. YANDLE: We are getting it in different

1	areas.
2	MS. WILSON: And I would be interested to
3	see where we get it and how we get it. There ought
4	to be some studies ordered by the federal government
5	to look into it because we do need to document it and
6	we can conduct surveys of, the Aldermen can be
7	challenged to, you know, survey their particular ward
8	residents and look at this issue.
9	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We have three Aldermen
10	who were invited today, only one showed up.
11	MS. WILSON: Okay.
12	MS. YANDLE: And I understand we're being
13	cute, but just let me say to Ms. Wilson, just a
14	general statement because I'm seeing your response to
15	these various things that you're learning, if you
16	have the time to stick around for a few minutes, I
17	know myself and probably the other members of the
18	panel who would be happy to fill you in on the
19	particulars of where we are, where we have been. So
20	that certainly any assistance that the community can
21	provide us in gathering this data and addressing this
22	problem, we know we need. So I'd be happy to take
23	the time.

1	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. I need to take
2	just a three minute recess and then we're going to
3	start with our next speaker. Thank you so much.
4	A brief recess was taken.)
5	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We're going to back up
6	with a panel member from the 3:45 panel. It's 4:30,
7	we have two panelist at the table, Marion Stamps and
8	Standish Willis. We thank you and appreciate you
9	coming to speak to our committee. I'm going to start
10	with Marion Stamps. Could we just have a few
11	introductory remarks and we'll go to Mr. Willis and a
12	few introductory remarks, and we'll open it up to
13	dialogue. And I remind everyone to keep in mind the
14	time, please. Ms. Stamps?
15	PANEL VIII
16	MARION STAMPS
17	Tranquility Marksman
18	Good afternoon, my name is Marion
19	Elenia Stamps, I'm executive officer of Tranquility
20	Marksman Organization which is a 20 year
21	community-based organization located on the near
22	north side Cabrini Green community. Our mission is
23	education of racial and self determination pending

1 revolution. And what we do is to work with young 2 people, families, elders in our community to instill 3 a sense of self determination. They don't understand we have a right to make basic decisions about how 4 5 we're going to live and under what circumstances 6 we're going to live under. We operate alternative 7 high schools, we operate People's Community School 8 which is an after school latchkey program dealing with young children. And right now we're involved in 9 trying to bring about agreement between the four 10 11 major gangs in the city. And I have to word that 12 that way. So, that's a major test. And when I received the letter, I was kind of like yea, what 13 14 now, you know, folks want to put some faces behind names. I have to be very honest, I'm very leery of 15 16 the United States Commission on Civil Rights all of a 17 sudden being interested in whether or not the Police 18 Department in Chicago or anywhere for that matter, in 19 the black community, really serves and protects. We 20 have been involved in the process. I've been in the 21 civil rights movement for 30 years. I've lived on 22 the near north side for 28 years, and the attitude of 23 the people in my community and my attitude, which is

important as a leader in that community, my attitude really is important because how I perceive things is the way other folks going to perceive things. And my attitude about the police is that they are part of a mass conspiracy to destroy black people. And I don't care if they're white police, black police, hispanic police or rainbow police. They're not there to serve and protect the black community. I thought I would be able to sit here and talk to you all in a real unemotional, very professional profound manner, but just the thought of trying to explain to somebody what police do to us in our community is very emotional. You know, police kill our sons in our community and they rule that stuff justifyable homicide. Police give guns to gang members in our community for them to kill off us and our babies. And they say it's all part of a sting in order to catch somebody up in the ski. The police bring drugs into our community, they supply the drug, they supply the drug dealer, they supply the money, they get a little nickel, dime nobody and in less than 6 months they done made him a drug king that's infected thousands of our people and. We giving birth to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	cocaine babies. And the police will say, this is all
2	part of a sting to get to somebody way up there.
3	But, they have destroyed a whole lot of folks in the
ţ	process. I have seen police in my community take
5	young men from one side of the neighborhood to the
6	other side of the neighborhood, drop them off, holler
7	out a gang sign, laugh and pull off. I know how
8	police set us up in a community because police have
9	set me up in my own community. Had planted stuff and
10	even they was planting stuff so fast that the other
11	policemen know what the other police was doing;
12	didn't know. I have seen police call the elders in
13	our community old bitches. I have seen police pick
14	up 3 and 4 year old children and talk about them,
15	their momma and who they think their daddy may be.
16	And I'm standing there, I know this child's mother,
17	give him to me, I'll take him home. He isn't lost,
18	he ain't ran away, he's not connected, he just came
19	across the street. I'll take him home.
20	You talk about unequal protection,
21	they're not there for protection and we understand
22	that. We understand. We don't expect the police to
22	protect us. This summer I found myself in a

situation that it's scary to me because on one hand I 1 could see myself setting my own self up, but on the 2 3 other hand, I have to do what the people feel that 4 need to be done at the time. So, I'm fighting for 5 the City of Chicago, the Superintendent of the Police 6 Department, the head of the CHA security to keep a 7 police station located within the Cabrini Green 8 community. Why would you all pull this police  $\mathbf{g}$ station out now when babies can't even sleep at night 10 for bullets? Why would you all do it now without any notification, any justification, any anything? Why 11 12 now? When we have seen the worse summer in the near 13 north side than we've seen in the last ten years and 14 you all want to leave. Police hide in our community. 15 They ride through there shooting. They shoot up just 16 like all the gang bangers. It's hard to distinguish 17 who is the gang bangers and who ain't when it come 18 between the Police Department and the gangs in some 19 cases. I take my chances with the gang. There is no 20 protection. There is no protection. There is no 21 respect. There is no concern. There is no 22 sensitivity. There is nothing. And you know what 23 makes it so bad when you black, poor and a women, you

```
1
        got to deal with white men, white women, white
2
        children, middle class black men, middle class white
 3
        women and middle class black children. We get
 Ą.
         nothing. Maybe they get something in Chatham. Maybe
 5
        they get something in Pill Hill, maybe where Leroy
 6
         Martin live it's a little bit better, but baby in
 7
         Cabrini, don't nobody care, black or white. So, our
         hostility runs deep, runs very deep. You get kind of
 8
 9
        tired of watching somebody. We are afraid to call
10
         the police. We call the police. They tell us who
11
         doing what. We give police the name, the police go
12
         back and tell them we told, then they knocking on our
13
         door, you know, threatening our children, threatening
14
         us. So you between a rock and a hard place. You
15
         damned if you do, you dammed if you don't. But, we
16
         supposed to respect the police. We supposed to
17
         believe that when we call the police we are going to
18
         be protected. Never. It has never happened, and
19
         it's not because we don't try. Every time they send
20
         police to Cabrini for punishment. Any police you
21
         talk to can tell you that you don't go to Cabrini
22
         because you're a good cop. You go to Cabrini because
23
         you're a bad cop and you have, you're being punished.
```

```
7
        Sometimes some of those cops that they send to us
2
        turn out not that bad after all and they find that
3
        the punishment is not a punishment but, in fact, a
4
        mission. They don't last. They don't keep them
5
        there any time. Any policeman in our community
6
        develop any kind of positive relationship with
7
        anybody, including the preachers, they get
8
        transferred real quick. They want to keep the
9
         hostility there between the people and the police.
10
         We just got -- we going through that right now. We
11
         are fighting for them to send two police back that
12
        they took away because the first time in 30 years
13
        I've been in that community, no, the second time, the
         first two was Howard Stafford and Renalt Robinson.
14
15
         They took them quick. Then that was about 20 years
16
         ago. Now, 20 years later we got two more young
17
         brothers who understand that I'm a black man first,
         then I'm a policeman. And all of a sudden the little
18
         children was beginning to understand that and they
19
20
         were beginning to relate to them little boys when
21
         they walk through the neighborhood, hey it was
22
         conversation, it was conversation and it was no
23
         question in their minds, in the minds of the adult
```

```
1
        community that they were some good policemen. It
2
        wasn't no favoritism because see we all want the
        crime to stop. We all want the killing to stop
3
        because ain't nobody getting killed but us. We want
4
5
        the shooting to stop.
                 CHAIRPERSON LYON: I give you one more
6
7
        minute.
8
                  MS. STAMPS:
                                But for some reason they took
9
        those policemen away. In the last 2 weeks every
10
        racist policeman that we have fought and struggled to
11
         get out of our community showed back up, every one of
        them. I know police 13 months ago, policeman shot a
12
13
         14 years old boy in the back and killed him in my
14
         community. I know about the police. Most police
15
         carry three guns, two for us and one to put on us.
16
         Unequal, it does not exist. It does not exist, not
17
         in our community. And whoever this Advisory Board,
18
         you know, just food for thought. The next time you
19
         all ain't got to catch nobody on no minicam and
20
         realize that Rodney King happens all over the country
21
         at least 2 or 3 times a day in our community. We
22
         have had to work real, real hard this summer to keep
23
         a lid on our community, but we understand there's a
```

i	conspiracy for us to go off. That's why we're
2	working so hard for it not to happen. So, the
3	Commission needs to really, really get out there and
4	talk to the people so you can understand what's
5	happening; the pulse. That the bomb is real and the
6	fuse is lit.
7	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. Let me just state
8	in response to that. We chose this topic long before
9	Rodney King. We came together almost a year ago and
10	chose this topic. So this committee realizes
11	MS. STAMPS: It's a shame we couldn't have
12	had the hearing before then.
13	CHAIRPERSON LYON: It's a shame that I think
14	all of us realize the importance of this issue, but
15	it was chosen before Rodney King because we all
16	realized it. Rodney King was just kind of, if you
17	will, an omen that we were on the right track and we
18	needed to put some
19	MR. STAMPS: So, what happens after this?
20	CHAIRPERSON LYON: With this particular
21	hearing, once again we've had different speakers
22	here, many of them who have had given us the same
23	information that you have given us. They submit

1	further data. The committee members have asked
2	further questions, asking them to submit further
3	data. All the data has to be in by September 30th.
4	September 30th there will be a report filed. We each
5	will respond to that report that will be included,
6	and then it will be sent to Washington, D.C It was
7	my hope, and I think it's the hope of the regional
8	staff here that there are limited resources that
9	cover many states, just not Illinois. That may be
10	possibly by the end of the year the report will be
11	submitted, sent to DC, and that was an estimate given
12	earlier today. But once again, we're also staffed
13	with limited resources. Each committee member here
14	is really truly interested and dedicated to trying to
15	point out that problem and help in whatever we can as
16	individuals, if not committee members, to resolve
17	that problem.
18	Mr. Willis, if you please make your
19	comments?
20	STANDISH E. WILLIS
21	Attorney at Law
22	My name is Standish Willis. I practice
23	law in the City of Chicago. Most of my work about

1	80 percent of it now is filing lawsuits in the
2	federal court against police that engage in abuse
3	against our people. I've been practicing ten years.
4	I was with the People's Law Office for seven and a
5	half of those ten years, and a lot of what I would
6	say would pretty much parrot what Marion has said.
7	The police in our community see them as an occupying
8	force. As a matter of fact, the last superintendent
9	used to use terms that suggest the war zone. He
10	would refer to patrolmen as his men, and he would
11	refer to our community at war, in war like terms as
12	though the police were there to conduct war
13	maneuvers. So, it's no wonder that police when they
14	come into our community, they come in as combat
15	troops. What is happening in Chicago is happening,
16	I'm finding out in many, many areas in the
17	metropolitan area. I've gotten calls and indeed have
18	filed lawsuits against probably most of the suburban
19	areas on behalf of black people. Very recently I got
20	a call, May 17th, as a matter of fact, from a family
21	whose son had mysteriously committed suicide in
22	Calumet Park jail. Michael Kipper. Three weeks
23	later another young black man committed suicide

1 mysteriously in Calumet Park's jail. I was visiting 2 a community on the west side, a Reverend Mattox in 3 the Austin area who's son was in a Harrison Kedzie iail house 3 days ago and he mysteriously committed 4 5 suicide. Our people are very concerned about these 6 mysterious suicides. There should be some kind of 7 investigation regarding people in custody committing 8 suicides. I've been in Chicago, you know, all of my 9 entire life and I've never known of a great history 10 of black folks committing suicide. Maybe we're 11 changing. But the suicides are increasing. 12 Something wrong is happening in these communities. 13 What's happening in the suburban areas, black people 14 that are moing into suburban areas are finding that 15 they're moving into areas where they have all white 16 police department. In these all white police 17 departments they are targeting particularly young 18 black men. They don't want them there, they think 19 they pose some kind of threat. They're beginning to 20 use this king of gang terminology to justify 21 harrassing these young men, and we're finding more and more incidents, and I'm getting more and more 22 23 calls from, you know, Calumet City. I just sued Blue

1	Island about 8 months ago. A black family called the
2	police because they were having a kind of domestic
3	problem. The police came and shot their son to death
4	in their living room. So, it's going on all over the
5	state as it relates to black people. In Chicago we
6	don't have an effective means to discipline police.
7	And not having an effective means to discipline
8	police tends to encourage the segment of the police
9	force that would tend to be psychotic to behave the
10	way that they behalf. We have the Office of
11	Professional Standards. I don't know the, if they
12	appeared before this Commission, but the Office of
13	Professional Standards has done very little to
14	investigate and discipline police.
15	We have had a number of incidents where
16	the top officials of the Office of Professional
17	Standards, along with the Police Superintendent,
18	would arrive on the scene of an incident hours after
19	the incident has occurred, and basically exonerate
20	the police officer basically said the police was
21	justified in doing whatever he or she was alleged to
22	have done. We saw that in the case of Leonard
23	Rannister where the superintendent evenerated the

1	police before an investigation had taken place. And
2	so what that does to the police on the street, at
3	least that segment of the police that I would refer
4	to as psychotic, it basically tells that police that
5	they can do whatever they want to do in our community
6	and get away with it because they are encouraged from
7	above. They are never disciplined and, in effect,
8	many of them are promoted. It was a Lieutenant Burge
9	that was in Area 2 some years ago who had engaged in
10	torturing young black men who is now Commander Burge
11	at least he was until the more recent investigation.
12	So, we have all of those kinds of problems within the
13	police force and the police force's relation to our
14	community. What we need, I believe, and what we
15	beginning to organize, we've come to realize that the
16	police are indeed moving around in our community as
17	an occupying force. We've come to realize that
18	lawsuits are not the solution, although I continue to
19	sue them in the appropriate case.
20	We are beginning to organize our
21	people. We've organized. We are organizing a
22	coalition called the African American Defense
23	Committee against police violence. We begin to

```
1
         organize our people, we begin to push for a true
2
         civilian community review board as well as the
         solutions. we're beginning to organize cop watch
3
4.
         programs in different parts of our communities so
5
         that our people will begin to go out and start
 6
         watching cops, taking cameras, taking note pads. And
 7
         we're going to begin to record the police officers
 8
         that are abusing our people, and we're going to be
         consistent about our approach to them.
9
10
                       That's one of the problems in the past
11
         in our community, we've had incidents, we've had
12
         killings, we've had kinds of spontaneous reaction to
         those incidents, and then we've sort of just let it
13
14
         die. We're not going to do that in the future.
15
         We're going to organize our people very
16
         systematically. We're going to begin to record the
17
         abuses. We're going to keep track of them. We're
18
         going to begin to put their names in a computer.
19
         We're going to begin to track their modus operandi.
20
         Some of them torture, some of them like to beat folks
21
         with flashlights, and we're going to go after those
22
         police. It's very clear to me that time is running
23
         out. It either we get something like a civilian
```

1 review board that have some power that's totally 2 divorced from the Police Department that's going to 3 begin to weed these police out of our community or Ţ they're going to continue to come into our community and they're going to come into our community at their 5 6 own risk. That's what time it is right now. So we 7 are organizing our people. We are organizing them in 8 many different ways. We're going to begin to keep 9 closer eye on legislation, things that's passed like 10 anti loitering bills. Right now there's a bill on 11 Governor Edgar's desk. We've been organizing to send 12 to Governor Edgar a very strong message that he has 13 to Senate Bill 1789. The effect of that bill would 14 be to set a three year statute of limitation on any 15 act or allegation of police misconduct representing 16 the police board. That is, if that act, if that 17 recommendation doesn't reach the police board within 18 3 years after the alleged act, then the police board 19 can't consider it. Well what that would mean is 20 someone will suffer police abuse by a police. They 21 file a complaint with OPS and OPS will sit on it for 22 three years. And that will be the end of it. And 23 that's what happens at OPS. It took ten years for

```
1
        the Officer Burge, Commander Burge to actually be
2
        acted upon by OPS. In the first instance, OPS did
3
        what? OPS always did it, didn't sustain the charge
 4
        against Burge. It was only after a lot of organizing
5
         by many community people that OPS had to take another
 6
         look at it. After Amnesty International came and a
 7
         lot of other focus on that particular atrocity. So,
 8
         OPS was, sat on it. And if OPS doesn't sit on it for
 9
         3 years, then the officers or the lawyers
10
         representing the police will find other ways to stall
11
         it. The effect of that would be we would never be
12
         able to get rid of police, that abuse of our people
13
         under any circumstances because all of those claims
14
         would be barred. We are encouraging not -- we're not
15
         encouraging, we are demanding that Governor Edgar
16
         veto the bill. The bill is sitting on his desk.
17
         It's been passed by the Senate and the House.
18
         Unfortunately most black representatives had voted
19
         for it, but they've since amended their ways.
20
         They've sent him a letter from the Black Caucus
21
         telling him and urging him to veto the bill. If the
22
         bill is not vetoed by September 3rd, it becomes law.
23
         That's next Thursday, and this will again just add to
```

1	the overall climate. The laws are becoming more
2	repressive. The police are becoming more abusive.
3	We are organizing, we're not waiting for this
4	Commission or any other Commission. We're going to
5	to deal with police in our community.
6	CHAIRMAN LYON: Questions?
7	MR. BLAKEMORE: Thank you. This question
8	is for either panelist or both. It was recommended
9	earlier in the hearings today that a congressionally
10	authorized citizen's review board be set up at the
11	state wide level which would, in effect, provide for
12	independent counsel to do investigations. And the
13	reasoning behind that, and I'm not speaking for the
14	advocate for it, could not within the local
15	communities more than likely get the kind of
16	objective review. I'd like to know whether, in fact,
17	you support the state level and independent counsel
18	or citizen's review board, or is it something that
19	you think should be done at the local level or should
20	not be done at all. Either panelist.
21	MR. WILLIS: The African American defense
22	committee is organizing to organization a coalition
23	for local civilian community review board and also a

```
state wide special prosecutor. It's very clear that
1
2
        the local prosecutor is incapable of prosecuting
3
        police. The State's Attorney's office, that very
        reality almost rarely never happens. So, it's true
4
5
        that there has to be a prosecutor outside of the
        political influence of Cook County and perhaps that
 6
 7
        could come at the state level. So to that extent, I
 8
         agree that that is necessary as part of the overall
        accountability with regard to police.
3
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                 One question for Ms. Stamps.
10
11
         Can you tell the committee where you, when I say you,
12
         I mean your organization, where you are in terms of
13
         trying to save the sub station in Cabrini Green?
14
                  MS. STAMPS:
                                 About two weeks ago we had a
15
         community meeting. At that time we had invited
16
         Charles Borg who is the administrative assistant to
17
         the mayor and he was there representing the Mayor,
18
         Leroy Martin was there, Commander DeVito, the 18th
19
         District was there, Deputy Commissioner was there,
20
         and somebody else was there. And at that time they
21
         told us that the police station would remain open ran
22
         by the police. Because we were very specific. We
23
         want the police station to be remain open, ran by
```

1 the Chicago Police Department, and not by the Chicago Housing Authority police folk. And they said it was 2 done. However, about a week after that, we was told 3 4 that there was a meeting with certain representatives 5 from those different agencies again, without us, and 6 they had offered to pay the policemen \$20 an hour to 7 become sophisticated security guards. At that time 8 we tried to contact these people back and now we're 9 waiting for a meeting. However, in contacting 10 Superintendent Rodriguez's office, he does not -- you 11 cannot make an appointment with him, you have to send 12 him a letter spelling out what it is you want to talk to him about, and then based on the letter, he will 13 14 assess as to whether or not he want to set an 15 appointment up. That can't work if you calling this 16 man telling him be a community being seen. So right 17 now I don't know where they are. You know, we have 18 taken a bottom line position, you know. We want the 19 police station to stay there and we're going to 20 struggle around that issue. They're playing games 21 with it right now. 22 MS. YANDLE: Our understanding is that at 23 this point, as far as what you know, the station is

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

1	there until October 1st?
2	MS. STAMPS: Right, right.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: No questions at this
4	time.
5	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We had a gentleman here
6	earlier, Steven Lynn. Do you work with him in the
7	effort that he's making?
8	MS. STAMPS: Right. We're part of the same
9	group.
10	CHAIRPERSON LYON: He's stating the police
11	are being more cooperative there. I got the
12	impression there are regular community meetings
13	trying to resolve the problem. But that's not your
14	impression?
15	MS. STAMPS: No, that's not an impression,
16	that's sort of like not the case. In fact, what is
17	happened is that two of the policemen and it's kind
18	of interesting, these two particular policemen who
19	are black have been in that community for about 15
20	years as police officers. They have never lived
21	there, but they have always, you know, through
22	whatever process, been able to sort of like maintain
23	a business there. All of a sudden they are now part

of a community organization along with ministers and 1 2 some of the folks who were involved with us when we first got started with the demonstration and the 3 4 community meeting. So, I don't know what that is. So Steve Lynn is a young person, just new to the 5 6 community. So maybe his eyesight, he sees them as 7 being more cooperative, but that's because he don't 8 know the history. You know, there's a more 9 visibility of the police in our community. There is 10 more of a presence of the police in our community, 11 but it has also escalated the harrassment. I mean. 12 the less policemen you got, the less folks they stop. The more police you got, the more people they stop, 13 14 and that's what they have started doing. They have not done anything. I don't see anything that has 15 16 happened that have decreased the shooting, see, and 17 that's my concern. My concern about the bullets going in the window with the babies in the house 18 19 getting shot, you know. See, I don't understand if 20 we know where the shooting is coming from and we done told the police where the shooting is coming from and 21 they have increased the police in the community, then 22 23 why are they still shooting? See that's the stuff I

1	don't understand.
2	MS. YANDLE: Ms. Stamps, can I get you to
3	clarify one thing you said because I'm not sure I
4	understand. You said two police officers have been
5	there for 15 years, two African Americans?
6	MS. STAMPS: Are part of some community
7	group.
8	MS. YANDLE: You don't know?
9	MS STAMPS: I don't know. How can I
10	explain to you, when I don't know.
li	MS. YANDLE: When you say they are part of
12	the community
13	MS. STAMPS: Check this. Okay, they've
14	been there for 15 years. I've never known them to
15	participate in any kind of civic anything in that
16	community. They did run some gamerooms, you know,
17	where you put quarters in video arcade. Like I found
18	out on Wednesday that these two policemen are now
19	part of a group called the Near North Concerned
20	Citizen's Coalition.
21	MS. YANDLE: Okay.
22	MS. STAMPS: So, maybe they, and what I'm
23	saying is because Steve is like new to the community.

1 he see that as a, you know, yea, we getting together. 2 Who are these? MS. YANDLE: 3 MS. STAMPS: Officer Dana and Brown. And I want to make one other point. We had a policeman in 4 5 our community, name was Strain, he is dead now. I 6 just want to make that as part of the record because 7 wouldn't nobody make it part of no record. I want it 8 to be part of this record. We had a policeman in our 9 community, name S-t-r-a-i-n, had been there for about 10 11 or 12 years, right. He the one when I say police 11 selling dope, he was who I was talking about. When I 12 say police giving folks gun, he the police I was 13 talking about. When I say police taking gangbangers 14 from one end of the street, that's who I'm talking 15 about. Now, I went to Superintendent Lercy Martin, I 16 went to the superintendent before him. You can check 17 OPS. Not only have I filed all kinds of complaint's 18 but I'll give you names of a hundred other people who 19 filed all kinds of complaints against this policeman, 20 right. This policeman o.d.'s.. He died from a drug 21 overdose and nobody refuses to investigate. Didn't 22 nobody investigate it. I asked if it's a lie then 23 prove us wrong. But, we're saying that he o.d.'s?

1	MS. YANDLE: When did this happen?
2	MR. STAMPS: It was spectator. It was July
3	or August. Won I can find out exactly when he died,
4	but this policeman, because I cut out this obituary.
5	Right now there are brothers in jail dog time for
6	this policeman setting them up. OPS, all kinds, it's
7	there. The 14 year old boy that got killed, he shot
8	that 14 year old boy in his back, he had it. Now, of
9	course, he denied it, you know at first, but after
10	awhile he was running around in the community
11	bragging to that young brothers about how he got rid
12	of him. This same policeman have taken young men out
13	of our community and put them down in sewer systems,
14	sewer system contract murder. And you think we think
15	the police there to serve and protect us and Leroy
16	Martin then would do nothing about it. OPS, you want
17	statistics, just pull the complaints from the near
18	north side, Cabrini Green, that OPS has and look at
19	the different complaints between this particular
20	officer and other officers out of our community. The
21	picture will become crystal clear. Ray Charles is
22	blind, but he could see it. But Leroy Martin got
23	both sight in his eyes and he couldn't see it. But

1	now he wants to come up in Cabrini and talk about he
2	going. If you could not deal with how many is it
3	27,000 well-trained police officers, how in the world
4	you going to deal with these untrained, really don't
5	want to be no police, ain't really no police for
6	real? Okay, but you going to bring a Disciple from
7	the south side and put him over in a Blackstone
8	building. That's what you're doing, setting us up.
9	Like some of the security guards that's there right
10	now that again Leroy Martin ex-police superintendent
11	refuses to deal with, refuses. Instead of him coming
12	up in there cleaning house of these gang bangers that
13	they done hired, he come in there firing the Police
14	Department, and whether we want to deal with the fact
15	that they unequal, they ain't right, they low done,
16	they still authorized polices. We can sue them.
17	What we going to do with a security guard? It's
18	ugly.
19	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Have you ever talked with
20	Alderman Beavers?
21	MS. STAMPS: I've talked. I done talked to
22	every alderman you want to think of; Alderman Cardis
23	Collins, Jesse White. I have talked to anybody who

1 would listen, especially when all that killing 2 started happening. CHAIRPERSON LYON: What was Mr. Beavers' 3 4 response to you? MS. STAMPS: 5 You know, they say you got to prove it. That's everybody's response. You got to 6 7 prove it. But if they had done an autopsy, damn it, 8 it would have proved he was a junkle. He even though 9 they don't have to do an autopsy to prove he was a junkle. The policemen seen this man just like we 10 11 did, deteriorate. We know what a junkie is. If we 12 don't know anything else, we know a glorified junkie, 13 and he was a glorified junkie. And even I used to 14 ask his partner how can you ride with this plan, 15 knowing what he is doing, knowing what he is doing, 16 never. You're not telling the truth or you're just 17 overreacting or you just paranoid. It's like 18 silence. But how could you do it? You see this man planting guns on brothers. You see him, you tell him 19 20 if you don't bring me two guns people's going to put 21 some dope on you and I'm going to take you to jail 22 simple as that. They knew what he was doing to the 23 black, young black men in our community. They knew.

1	So now that the community is looking up, seeing it
2	like it's your fault and I'm saying, no, it isn't our
3	fault. If it's anybody's fault, it's the United
4	States government's fault because see anybody, any
5	time you take a little guy standing on the street
6	corner selling a \$10 bag of reefer and turn him into
7	a drug kingpin in less than 6 months because you
8	trying to catch somebody, you don't catch nobody but
9	who you have invested in. That's all you catch. But
10	you know what the black community catches hell. We
11	catch hell because now we got a bunch of mothers who
12	have become drug addicts and didn't nobody make them
13	an addict, but the government, because it was the
14	government's money that set the sting up. It was the
15	government's money that helped perpetuate the sting,
16	and it was government's money when they moved to say
17	oh we done busted these big old drug dealers. But
18	they were not big drug dealers when you came and
19	offerred it to them.
20	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Are there any other
21	questions?
22	MR. PUGH: I had a question of Mr. Willis.
23	How large is your organization, how new, how strong?

```
i
                 MR. WILLIS:
                                How strong, very strong.
2
                  MR. PUGH: Okay.
3
                 MR. WILLIS:
                                We've been organizing for
 4
        about 2 and a half months. We're still building, we
5
        anticipate spending the next year or two on just
 6
        organizing the coalition within our community and not
 7
        reaching outside of the community at this point.
 8
        It's strong. It has a lot of potential.
9
                  MR PUGH:
                              You mentioned your own practice
10
         in a lot of cases that had suburban locations as
11
         well, but I presume the majority of the attention is
12
        on the City of Chicago?
13
                  MR. WILLIS:
                                No, the majority of the
14
         attention is on black people. As a matter of fact, I
15
         was out in South Chicago about two weekends ago
16
         talking to brothers and sisters out in that area of
17
         the county. I've met with people in Bollingbrook.
18
         No, it's going to be much broader than that. If
         we're going to have any chance of getting effective
19
20
         state law, we have to be much broader than just the
21
         City of Chicago. And we, of course, recognize that
22
         the problem is much broader than the City of Chicago.
23
         So, we're reaching out much broader than the City of
```

1	Chicago.
2	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Okay. With that, we are
3	going to take a break. I want to thank you both for
4	coming. We certainly
5	MS. STAMPS: I'm looking forward to the
6	report.
7	MS. PETERS: Thank you for speaking so
8	honestly and openly.
9	CHAIRPERSON LYON: At 5:30, any of those of
10	you who wish to speak to the committee must register
11	in the back of the room. If you don't register,
12	you'll not be able to speak.
13	(A brief recess was taken.)
14	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We're going to call the
15	meeting back to order. It's 5:30 on the agenda we're
15	going to allow members of the public to speak. Let
17	me give you ground rules. We're going to limit your
18	presentation to 5 minutes. There will be a gavel
19	warning at 4 minutes leaving you a minute to
20	conclude. The committee will be allowed to ask you
21	questions, but there is no that there is no time
22	limit on. The record will remain open if you have
23	any other documentation you wish to submit, you will

i	have to submit that to the local regional office.
2	The first name on the list is Mary Johnson.
3	PUBLIC SESSION
4	MARY L. JOHNSON
5	My name is Mary L. Johnson and I'm a
6	native Chicagoan and I could say my case goes back to
7	the 60s. That was the first time I actually came in
8	contact with a, say the procedure of filing a
9	complaint against the police. And because of that,
10	my family, my whole family was targeted because I
11	didn't know at that time that I didn't have civil
12	rights, even because I was born in Chicago. And the
13	thing that I wanted to share with you is the fact
14	that I have lived in all black neighborhoods, I have
15	lived in the neighborhood where my son bought a
16	building. He was the first in the neighborhood,
17	first black, I lived with him and now I'm living in
18	Logan Square which is a mixed neighborhood. So I
19	definitely know the difference in how you're treated
20	according to where you are. And that's the thing
21	that bothers me, the fact that I see young men
22	standing around drinking, but I've never seen the
23	police grab a bunch of whites. They will grab a

```
1
        hispanic if he's by himself, but not a group of them.
        But blacks, they dehumanize them. They have them
2
        pulling their pants down and they come out with these
3
Ą
        guns upside their head. I was on, I'd say near
5
        Roosevelt Street one day picking up a person and the
        police just jumped out the car with their guns and I
6
7
        was so afraid because I had my keys in my hand and I
8
        know they say everything looks like a gun. So I
9
        was froze. And these young men, they stuck those
10
        guns to their heads and then they went on. They said
11
        they was looking for someone, you know. And I think
12
        about the terror that the people in our neighborhood,
13
        the death that our children see. And with me as a
14
         person that raised my children to respect the law,
        see things, start flashing back to me. As I start
15
16
         going through this police brutality thing, I start to
17
         realize that I have been given this message as a
18
         child being black that if you're bad, the police will
19
         get you. So whatever the police did we accept as
20
         black people. In my neighborhood when we see the
21
         police beating somebody, my grandmother used to turn
22
         out the lights and tell us to peep out the window.
23
         don't let them see you. And we would all assume he
```

1 must have did something wrong. It was like the 2 police had a right to persecute us. They could 3 execute any kind of punishment. 4 It was a police station on 115th 5 Street, we used to pass it in the neighborhood and 6 we'd hear people hollering and we'd state, so he must 7 have did something wrong. See, the thing that got me 8 though was when my son came to me and he hadn't did 9 anything wrong, and I went down and filed this 10 complaint. I guess if he had did something I would 11 have been still going along accepting it. You 12 shouldn't have been bad. And this is the way a lot 13 of blacks accept this. This is how they can get away 14 with misusing us because we don't even know our 15 rights. We don't know that just because a person has 16 a job working for the police that he don't have a 17 right to abuse you. He don't have a right to 18 mistreat you. They didn't have a right to talk down 19 to me when I came to inquire about my son. They 20 didn't have a right to make mockery of my size. But 21 I had to take all of this. And it got to the point 22 where I took so much off of them and I felt so 23 dehumanized as a human being until I just numbed out.

1	It was so hard for me to tell my children that I
2	can't do anything for you. I didn't know how to tell
3	my children that I had actually taught them wrong
4	because what I knew was wrong. I assumed that people
5	couldn't do things in the south, but in the north I
6	didn't know that they didn't like us, you know. I
7	didn't know that my family kept me in this protective
8	area for my safety. I thought we was staying there
9	because we wanted to. So, the thing that I want to
10	say, I work for the Public Ald for 23 years and I
11	have witnessed the difference when a black or white
12	caseworker goes into Bridgeport or Canaryville, they
13	cannot treat people the way they treat people in
14	Robert Taylor. And this was very stressful to me
15	when I saw them talk condescending to my people. But
16	then when someone else come in, even my own people,
17	they treat them different. They treat them with
18	respect. So it's because they can get away with
19	this.
20	And the thing that is so hard to go
21	along with with drugs, the only thing that's missing
22	in our neighborhood now is the pimps. They have been
23	replaced by the policemen. They're pimping off our

1	neighborhood. If they tell the person to have so
2	much money for them when they come back, they'd
3	better have it. And if they don't have it, they go
4	through a system that can't give them no protection
5	because they all first cousins. And I heard
6	Superintendent Martin say something once and now I
7	really believe him. He said that the Chicago Police
8	was the baddest gang in town, and they proved it.
9	And this loitering law just give them some more
10	points. They get points when they arrest us and we
11	feel like a helpless, hopeless people.
12	So I'm glad you all took interest in us
13	because we need this because we don't want to go
14	through this rage that happened in Los Angeles, but
15	when you feel just so helpless, what can you do?
16	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Questions?
17	MS. YANDLE: I have one. You said that you
18	lived in various neighborhoods or the neighborhoods
19	with various racial composition. You lived in an all
20	black neighborhoods in Chicago, all black community?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I was raised
22	MS. YANDLE: Then you lived with your son
23	when he moved in was predominantly white, and now yo

1 live in, which I think you said Logan Square, which 2 is a mixed community. Can you, based upon those 3 experiences, tell us with any sort of detail, whether 4 or not you have perceived any or any differences in 5 the level of police protection and police service 5 provided for those various areas. In other words, 7 how would you characterize those things in the black 8 neighborhood, in the mixed neighborhood, in the 9 predominantly white neighborhood, in terms of, for 10 example, response time, whether the officers do, in 11 fact, respond to calls, what has been your 12 experience? 13 With the neighborhood that MS. JOHNSON: 14 I'm in now, the only way that I know the police is 15 out there is if I'm walking my dog. You know, I'll 16 see them maybe arresting someone. Yesterday I was 17 walking my dog in the morning, they went in this 18 restaurant and they arrested a man. And when they 19 put him in the car. They wasn't pushing on him, you 20 know, calling him all out of his name. And when I 21 was in this all white neighborhood, some spanish 22 people were in there. The things that I noticed

about them, they had a big raid one day and I didn't

```
Ť
        even know the police was out there except I got ready
2
        to leave home and they had the whole area blocked.
3
        And the way, they were so professional, you know. I
4
        said, the police out here? But in my neighborhood,
5
        when they come around, they calling you all out your
6
        name, kicking down doors, and I mean it's just
7
        frightening. You get so you fear the police.
8
                  MS. YANDLE: I understand that. I guess the
9
        other thing I'm interested in is between the three
10
        neighborhoods, is there any difference in terms of
11
         whether the police do come around, whether they do
12
         respond?
13
                  MS. JOHNSON:
                                 When they come, they come.
14
         Say if you tell them it's a shooting, they definitely
15
         wait until the shooting stops before they come.
16
                  MS. YANDLE:
                                In the black community?
17
                  MS. JOHNSON: Yes. And then when they come,
18
         you afraid because they start messing with everybody.
         If you call the police, you know anything, they got
19
20
         you up against the wall. It's not respect. They
21
         give whoever is doing whatever they doing time to get
22
         away, and then they misuse the community. That's why
23
         a lot of time people don't want to call. Whereas the
```

1	only thing I can say about the white neighborhood is
2	that when I saw them, the police was already there
3	because see I never had to call them myself. But in
4	the black neighborhood, they so abusive until you can
5	hear them, you know they're there. So that's the
6	difference.
7	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions? I
8	want to thank you for coming and speaking. And if
9	you have any other documentation you want to leave
10	with Mr. Minarik, he's sitting over there.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I have something. I was
12	at the Commission when they came in May and I turned
13	in some papers, and this is in addition to it. And I
14	wanted to say that since the FBI came in our
15	neighborhood, that's when the gang drive by shooting
16	really came to be popular.
17	MS. YANDLE: Can I have your name again?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Mary L. Johnson.
19	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Next on the list is Bruce
20	Jones. Well, my first experience with the police was
21	in a very personal, meaningful way was in 1965, maybe
22	in August. And this is, I hope, is going to be
23	meaningful to you. I studied philosophy and

1 psychology rather than study law because when my civics teacher in high school took me to a court 2 maybe in my junior year of '41 or '42 the person that 3 4. was in the court for killing a policeman in the 5 street was a student who had been sitting next to me maybe a year or two older than me, for two semesters. 6 7 And very quickly I realized that if that boy could be 8 sitting in that courtroom for killing a policeman in 9 the street, then I could be there. I thought of 10 that. I have that much empathy and 11 interchangeability. So, I studied philosophy instead 12 of psychology because I had a time in phychology and 13 was ready to try philosophy. That was in '46, '45, 14 say while I was in the Pacific, say, but anyhow in 15 '65 at the flowering of the civil rights movement and 16 the Vietnam War, I was on a night clerk duty at the 17 hotel on 47th Street, the buckle of the black belt, 18 been in Chicago all my life and lived very close to the buckle of Michigan and King Drive. This is a 19 20 block through King Drive, though very nice hotels, 21 not nearly nice now, and I'm acting as a night clerk. 22 And the vice squad officer that had been next door in 23 the tavern, he decides to come into the hotel. This

1 vice squad officer just a month or so before was on a 2 two wheel bike with two guns. But you see he had almost killed a lady that owned the tavern in the 3 4 next block and they took him off motorcycle and maybe 5 put him on vice squad. So he was disgusted. And 6 they told me that he was next door in the tavern and 7 so when he comes, and I had seen him before out there 8 on his bike. So he comes into the hotel and which is 9 a transient hotel as well as we had some residents, 10 you know. And you may know what that means. That 11 means that police can intimidate the hotel owners if 12 it's a transient owner because sex out of wedlock is 13 against the law. But anyhow. He comes in and he 14 wants to know if I would give him the keys to the 15 Room 307 which is a suite, 307 and 309, maybe 306 and 16 307. And I know I had put some sexually preverted 17 males in that room may be a few weeks or a month 18 before as permanent guest. They said they didn't 19 have anyplace to stay. We didn't usually rent the 20 rooms to people of the same sex, but two young people 21 are running the hotel. So we decided to put them in. 22 We changed the management, and so we put them in. 23 And the police wants keys to these rooms. So, I know

i	who he is. I know who is in that room. I put them
2	in there myself, you know. And I says very nicely, I
3	says, you're not in 306. He says, no I'm not in 306,
4	he says, but I'm a detective. And I said, oh, you're
5	a detective. And he says, yea. I said well, do you
6	have a warrant? He says you black motherfucker, I
7	don't have no warrant, and I'll arrest you and
8	everybody in this damn place. Now I heard what he
9	said, but I didn't realize that he would do that
10	because, or that he really did that because you see
11	he took me out of the hotel knowing that I might be a
12	little bit funny to be fooling with.
13	CHAIRPERSON LYON: You have one minute.
14	MR. JONES: You have one minute. And he
15	took me out of the hotel and took me to the station
16	and evidently they called the squad cars and his
17	partners, they broke in those rooms, arrested 3 or 4
18	people. They arrested 3 or 4 people on the
19	stairways, they arrested 4 or 5 people that was
20	sitting there waiting for me to rent a room. Took me
21	three months in court to find out that these people
22	were inmates of my disorderly house; a feloney count.
23	While the black judge told me that I had to get a

```
lawyer, I couldn't have a public defender, I had a
1
        little money. Finally, I get the head of the defense
2
        counsel in Illinois, a Mr. Harold Rosenthal I think
3
        his name, 1965 of August. Mr. Rosenthal, the minute
 Ą.
5
        the policeman made his charge, Mr. Rosenthal moved
 6
        for a dismissal of the charges. He got no evidence.
7
        He's got nothing but me and these 14 people that are
         standing up. I didn't recognize, I didn't know or
 8
9
         realize they were there with me, inmates of my
10
         disorderly house. Mr. Rosenthal got, you know, the
11
        Judge dismissed the case immediately. I'm standing
12
         up there struck because finally I realize these
13
         people are with me. Rosenthal says, Jones, you've
14
         got to get out of here now. I says what about these
         people? He says I don't represent those people. He
15
         pulls me out. On the way out I says, I want to sue
16
17
         for false arrest. He says, I can't sue for false
18
         arrest. I said what do you mean you can't sue, we're
         not even out the courtroom. He says, I can't sue for
19
         false arrest. I said what do you mean, you can't sue
20
21
         for false arrest. He says, Jones, if I go back in
22
         that courtroom and use for you, I can never go back
23
         in there and take anybody out like I took you out.
```

```
Ì
        I've been arrested falsely six times, maybe not for
2
        the last ten years, but since '65, six times on three
        occasions my friend sitting in the Baldwin chair at
3
4
        the civil liberties committee defended me. He told
5
         me himself, one of the biggest lawyers in civil
6
         rights, told me he couldn't sue for false arrest,
7
         maybe for brutality if you get beat to death;
8
         especially if you get beat to death. Yuo might be
9
         sued. I think the first suit is a person I sold the
         house to at 48th and Ellis. He got killed by the
10
         policemen in front of his house and his wife was able
11
12
         to collect. But he was a pretty good businessman,
13
         too, but anyhow.
14
                  CHAIRPERSON LYON: Mr. Jones, your minute is
15
         up. Can you draw to a conclusion real quickly?
16
                  MR. JONES:
                               Yes. I'm just about at the
17
         conclusion. What I want to say to you pointedly, is
18
         that if you're talking about protection being
19
         unequal, as you begin to hear, there is no real
20
         protection. Not only of minority community people,
21
         but there is no protection of anybody in this society
22
         by the police. They are very definitely to hurt
23
         people and to intimidate people, and they they
```

1	certainly have more of an excuse to intimidate
2	minority and especially blacks. Okay, if you're not
3	going to be able to take the guns off these policemen
4	soon and reduce the level of violence in this
5	society, you're going to have, at the very least
6	you've got maybe it will come to me if you set
7	up a civilian board, you certainly got to give that
8	civilian board the wherewithall to investigate
9	independently of the police, and they should even
10	have the power to at least suggest what charges
11	should be placed against the policeman after they
12	review a case. You cannot allow the policeman and
13	the State's Attorney to decide that this is not
14	meaningful and that we can make a change at least as
15	we wish to, you know, even plea bargain it away. I
16	did have a real thing that you might be able to
17	translate into legislation and I can't fix it in my
18	mind this second.
19	CHAIRPERSON LYON: You could submit it in
20	writing to Mr. Minarik, you can do that before
21	September 30th.
22	MR. JONES: Because I just thought of it
22	since T've been here in the building

i	CHAIRPERSON LYON: And we'll be glad to
2	review it when we review all the other materials. Is
3	there anybody on the committee who has a question?
4	MR. JONES: Oh, that is what it is. My
5	example, the business of not being able to sue for
6	false arrest. There is the real current of our
7	problem in our relationship with the police as
8	citizen, anyplace if you cannot sue for false arrest,
9	that means the police have you as a pawn. If they
10	can abuse you and arrest you falsely, they can
11	intimidate you. It used to be that if you had an
12	arrest record you couldn't get a job and that's
13	really what came out of that situation where you
14	cannot fight City Hall as my lawyer told me, and you
15	cannot sue for false arrest. This is the basis of
16	our problem in human society as free citizens, okay?
17	If you can't get the gun off the police, you've got
18	to fix it so you can sue for false arrest. I will
19	bet you that at least 25 percent of the people in
20	prison are there under false arrest and false
21	prosecution.
22	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you very much Mr.
23	Jones. Once again, if you want to develop that

1	further, give it to Mr. Minarik.
2	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Our next speaker is
3	Finona Briard.
4	FINONA BRIARD
5	Thank you. I forgotten your name.
6	MS. JOHNSON: Mrs. Johnson.
7	MS. BRIARD: If you're tired, when you get
8	tired of dealing with the problem when we get
9	tired of dealing with it, it will no longer exist.
10	My organization is a new cop watch program that will
11	be organized here in Chicago and is a 24 hour, 7 day
12	a week on the streets via foot and investigation with
13	cameras, very credible citizens out on the street.
14	As in former judge, lawyers, civil rights leaders and
15	human rights leaders. These type of people need to
16	be on the street so that police are not walking
17	around intimidating citizens; whether they rob
18	someone or not, whether they're a citizen who's done
19	anything but this policeman feels like he needs to
20	intimidate this person. Someone needs to be out
21	there to monitor the problem. You all are a
22	commission that's here to determine if the police are
23	coming on time if they're accessible to communities

İ	they're there. Nine times out of ten they may be
2	harrassing some citizen who has not committed a
3	crime. There's plenty of police out on the street to
4	monitor communities and to be there on time when that
5	call comes in, but they may be harrassing some group,
6	for instance my organization, that's the cop watch
7	program. They may be harrassing those individuals,
8	following them around, trying to see who they're
9	making appointments with. They've been sued for it
10	before; the Red Squad case, 1974. You may want to
11	look that up, a very big suit. It involved FBI
12	agents, the Chicago Police and the U.S. military. I
13	will forward that information to you; very big case.
14	It's still going on today. I say all that to say
15	this. You do need an independent citizen's board to
16	monitor them. OPS should be obsolete. Internal
17	affairs does not need to investigate harrassment or
18	misconduct cases as they do now. You need an
19	independent citizen's board OPS. Is costing us \$3
20	million this year. Internal Affairs, their budget is
21	over \$4 million this year. A lot of people go to OPS
22	to file complaints, but your complaints can be put on
23	hold. Just because you go there and file, it does

```
1
        not mean it will be investigated. It can be put on
2
         hold and not assigned a number. You need to talk
 3
         with OPS. That whole organization needs to be looked
 4.
         into it's affairs. It's a waste of money. Internal
5
        affairs can in no way investigate police. They're
        one in the same. They're not going to be objective.
 6
 7
         You need an independent body, you don't need anyone
 8
         who is put in there by City Hall who has to report to
 9
         the mayor, who has to report to the police
10
         superintendent. You don't need that. You need
         someone who reports firstly to citizens. They should
11
12
         be responsible to us, after all we pay them a lot of
13
         money. You don't need the FBI to investigate police;
14
         they're in the same group. The FBI agents, if you go
15
         to the FBI agents about being harrassed by police,
16
         you're being harrassed by FBI agents. Your house
17
         will be bugged by FBI agents. It's massive. They've
18
         been sued before. You can't go to the State's
19
         Attorney. You think -- someone mentioned a state
20
         wide independent citizen's board through the Attorney
21
         General. The Attorney General, we can't deal with
22
         the Attorney General. It has to be independent,
23
         totally independent report to the citizens first.
```

There's corruption, corruption. It's been on the 1 2 books. You can look up the cases. We don't need to 3 depend on anyone who is that closely knit with 4 police; we'll never solve anything. We'll never have 5 any rights. People are tired, very tired. I've 6 7 been dealing with this situation since March of '91. 8 I'm very tired, in a constructive way. I'm not going to go out and burn places, but I understand what 9 10 those individuals felt like. They're tired, no one 11 listens to them, so they felt that they should burn 12 places. People don't understand that. I'm sure 13 someone has a daughter or son hee whose kicked walls 14 in or broke glasses because they couldn't go to the 15 prom. It's no big deal. The only difference between 16 that is those individuals burned down buildings. 17 Your daughter may have broken glasses and kicked 18 walls in your house. That's her house, her glasses. 19 In L.A. that was their neighborhood, they burned 20 their own neighborhood. Frustration hits, just 21 happens. So, before it gets to that point here in 22 Chicago, somebody better really take a look at what's 23 going on. They're police who go into Cabrini Green,

1	and any other neighborhood, on time when the call
2	gets there. If they're not having a KKK party over
3	in some other neighborhood or over with prostitutes,
4	which they do, they do frequent prostitutes. It's
5	been on the news. They've been caught. You need to
6	investigate these stories. They're not isolated
7	cases, and it's not just a small group of them. This
8	is going on in large numbers. They would like for
9	you to think it's a small group, but its going on in
10	large numbers. They protect one another, that's why
11	citizen's watch groups are forming because when you
12	have very credible citizens watchdog groups, very
13	credible, you don't have to worry about the code of
14	silence. We want to be inside of those prisons,
15	inside of those jails. I was beat inside of a jail
16	with handcuffs while a black cop watched and a
17	sergeant watched. I was beat outside of the police
18	station with handcuffs on. So you see, you cannot
19	trust sergeants, you cannot trust inside that police
20	station thinking these individuals are very
21	understanding citizens. No, they're doing a lot
22	inside because no one's watching, no one's watching.
23	Luckly I'm very credible. I was able to get out of

1	there, but I had been beaten with handcuffs on and
2	the same officer that beat me after I filed a
3	complaint with OPS, parked in front of my house on
4	LaSalle Street where the LaSalle Street bus does not
5	run on Sunday. He was in a CTA police car. I did
6	pictures of this. This is an everyday occurrence.
7	They have time. They have time to go to
8	neighborhoods if they're not following me around and
9	I've had 20 of them following me around. And if you
10	don't believe it, check the cases. You need to talk
11	to all these people who have sued them for
12	harrassment. They have the order of protection;
13	women's whose husbands have threatened to kill them.
14	They would mean a whole lot — police say they don't
15	have time to follow these men around. Well if they
16	have time to follow me, they've got time to follow
17	these men. And sit in front of those wive's houses
18	and watch for those husbands like they sit in front
19	of my house. Look up the case.
20	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Questions?
21	MS. YANDLE: What is the name of your
22	organization?
23	MS_RRIARD. Taxpavers I or You I but

1 something in front of you. 2 Secondly, is the focus of your MS. YANDLE: organization on the harrassment and brutality matters 3 4 of the station versus the inequalities that exist or 5 do you also focus on other aspects or will you be 6 looking at other aspects? 7 MS. BRIARD: Misconduct, harassment and Я brutality. 9 MS. YANDLE: Thank you. And the third 10 question I had was within Chicago, what is the 11 geographic scope of your organization? 12 MS. BRIARD: We have not gotten out on the 13 street yet. We will be out by April, '93. We need 14 vehicles, cameras, unforms, that type of thing. It 15 will be in and everywhere. They are, for instance, 16 emergency rooms, the beatings are taking place in the 17 emergency rooms. You need to be in those areas. You 18 need to get permission to be there. You need proof 19 that the beatings are taking place inside those 20 jails. That's one spot we want to be. We have to 21 seek an injunction order to get in anyplace where 22 they are. Dunkin Donuts, they're there quite 23 frequently.

1	MS. YANDLE: As opposed to particular types
2	of places you was mentioning, would you be in
3	different neighborhood settings of communities?
4	MS. BRIARD: Yes.
5	MS. PETERS: I had a question; a couple of
6	them. You said there were three people in the Red
7	Squad Case, three groups; the FBI, the Chicago Police
8	and —
9	MS. BRIARD: U.S. Military intelligence.
10	MS. PETERS: And you said OPS has a yearly
11	cost of \$3 million?
12	MS. BRIARD: \$3 million plus.
13	MS. PETERS: And \$4 million plus was for?
14	MS. BRIARD: Internal affairs.
15	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions?
16	MS. PETERS: I appreciate you answering the
17	question and coming forward.
18	MS. BRIARD: Can I make one note? Between
19	1975 and 1990, 103,771 complaints have been filed
20	with OPS. Those people will be at my conference.
21	We'll go over the radio to get those individuals to
22	come to the conference to give their stories. That's
23	a lot of complaints, 2,223 were sustained by OPS.

1	Out of those that they handled and I can forward that
2	information to you.
3	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. Our next
4	speaker is Vance Kimber.
5	VANCE KIMBER
6	Good evening everyone. Let me first
7	say that I didn't hear about this today and I don't
8	know why I didn't. What I heard on the radio this
9	morning was an announcement on V-103 that a Jim Brown
10	was here doing a conference in the City. Jim Brown
11	the ex-football player from Los Angeles. And when I
12	looked in the paper, no one knew at work, on, finally
13	on page 48 of the SunTimes they had a small article
14	about this meeting here this afternoon. Let me give
15	you my background. I'm a Chicago Police Officer.
16	I'm a sergeant of police. I've been a policeman
17	since 1973. As a Sergeant I have a I've been a
18	Sargeant since 1985. I've worked Madison and Kedzie,
19	the Museum of Science and Industry, just to give you
20	a geographical background of me. Some of you
21	probably are not from Chicago, the Museum of Science
22	and Industry. I've worked the Fullerton Market, the
23	beat rep program. I've work in communications and

1 I'm currently in the labor relations, union manager, 2 labor affairs section of Chicago. As a patrolman I work Robert Taylor ten 3 4 years from 1975 to 1984 -- From '74 to '85. I work 5 downtown in '74. I spent two years in human 6 relations interacting with racial and ethnic crimes 7 in the neighborhoods and I spent one year in senior 8 services. I'm a member of the Guardian Police 9 Organization which is a police organization of the 10 oldest black police organization in the City. I'm 11 also a member of the Knights of Peter Claver Hall 12 Francis Club Alumni, Holy Angels, St. Thomas Apostle 13 member. And I'm on the board of directors of the 14 Southeast Commission, which is the division of 15 University of Chicago on the south side of the city. 16 I think what we need to look at when we 17 specify unequal, as your title of your commission has been, is what do we compare it to? Is the service 18 19 for police unequal in minority neighborhoods? When 20 we say minority, hispanics and blacks as it is in 21 white neighborhoods. The Police Department uses 22 calls for service and this is across the nation, not

only in Chicago, Atlanta, New York, Detroit,

1	Philadelphia, all departments are basically the same.
2	They use the number of calls of service on index
3	crimes. When you compare mostly crime statistics and
4	conviction and things like that for calls of service
5	maybe the police department is deploying its manpower
6	as it should. But when you look at the neighborhood,
7	when you take an area I was born and raised in
8	Chicago when you look at the service area in the
9	neighborhood take, for example, the social break down
10	of the community of Oakland area which is King Drive,
11	Martin Luther King Drive 35th, 39th Street in the
12	50s. I compare that area to what I looked like today
13	to what it looked like in the 50s and I grew up in
14	the 60s in the Blackstone Ragners and I watched
15	Woodlawn deteriorate to the desert zone. You look at
16	Englewood in the 60s. At one time was a thriving
17	area, and you look at it today and it's not. And
18	these patterns, the patterns of these neighborhoods
19	exist in this city, and I didn't even cover the west
20	side which is just one area that is totally
21	devastated. These area exist in the city and they
22	follow the same pattern. It's gangs, drugs and crime
23	and unemployment. And the police department is the

1	final net. When you go to a social, economic
2	neighborhood that is at the bottom of the ladder, the
3	police officer in these neighborhoods tend to be
4	everything for the community. And what is happened,
5	in my opinion, what's happened is that the police
6	officer is not everything for the community because
7	he's not well-informed, doesn't have enough
8	information or doesn't have the tools in which to
9	fight the crime.
10	If I call. Washington D.C. and ask for
11	some information over the telephone, I can get more
12	information from the telephone operator in
13	Washington, D.C., on what department I should go to;
14	whether it's the Treasury Department or Federal
15	Reserve Department, just by telling her what I need.
16	I can say I need a correction on a dollar bill, she
17	sends me to the direct department. And I think what
18	happens in our neighborhood is that the police
19	officer doesn't know or doesn't have counterparts in
20	which to send someone to a direct department.
21	Usually when someone calls from a poor socioeconomic
22	crime riddled neighborhood, it's not only crime that
23	they call for they call for because there's no other

```
social service or net to help them. There's no other
1
2
        community organization to help them.
3
                       I live in a fairly diverse community.
A.
        I live in Hyde Park, and when there is crime or
5
        incidents of crime, we have a great outpouring urge
        of community groups. When you go to poor
 6
 7
        economically social deprived neighborhoods, they
 8
         don't have that network or that community
9
        organization. I believe the calls for service is the
10
        same. The police officers respond, but keep in mind
11
         when an officer respond, he's responding to a lot
12
         more crime. As I said, I spent ten years in Robert
         Taylor and I can tell you I responded to a lot more
13
14
         crime in Robert Taylor than I did at Monroe and
         Racine when I worked in the 12th district. The
15
16
         solutions, or in my opinion, some of the solutions,
17
         and in this 5 minute segment on this one day seminar,
18
         the solutions can't be totally looked at. But we
19
         should look at some of them. The beat officer that
20
         works the street, that deals directly with crime
21
         needs to be informed; information needs to be there
22
         for him. He needs to have direct contact with
23
         someone in the education department, the welfare
```

i	department, the utilities support and that
2	counterpart needs to have just as much authority as
3	he does. So that if you come to me - you will be
4	surprised the number of people that call and the
5	reason they call the police is because their
6	electricity is off and the police says, well now
7	whatever, dial Commonwealth Edison's number. And
8	they call and it's 2:00 o'clock in the morning and no
9	one answer. You need to have the authority, as the
10	police officer, to make that that extra step. When
11	you go to small communities in different
12	neighborhoods throughout the country. You go to a
13	suburb in Washington, D.C., and Baltimore, you go to
14	a suburb in Atlanta, you find the police officers in
15	those neighborhoods have the authority and the
16	responsibility and the ability to make decisions for
17	small things such as that for Commonwealth Edison for
18	utilities or for things that go out. We need to
19	offer the same service to the average citizen.
20	Let me wrap this up by saying, in case
21	you have any questions of me, we need to offer the
22	same service to the average citizen that the American
23	Express cold card Hortz Elite card and Continental

Airline Company offers to their customers. When you 1 call American Express, if you have a gold card or 2 green card and ask for service, they are very 3 helpful, they are very professional, and we need to Ą have police officers making that same kind of service 5 to the public. And the way, the only way we can do 6 7 that, you have to remember it's just a service 8 industry for American Express. All it is is 9 materialistic. It's nothing more than a cost 10 efficiency for them. However, law enforcement deals 11 with the very existence as human beings in our 12 neighborhoods, and when you go out to crime riddled 13 neighborhoods, existence is very, very, very, very 14 low. 15 And, finally, let me say to show it's 16 so difficult sometimes for someone from crime riddled 17 neighborhoods, from a socially economically deprived 18 neighborhood to express to a group or a person who 19 doesn't live in that neighborhood just how bad it is, 20 and we see it on t.v. and we talk about it all the 21 time and I can only say this, as an example, every 22 year I travel to California where my brother lives in

a very nice neighborhood, not too far from where

1	Ronald Regan lives, in a very nice neighborhood. And
2	I picked up a little girl, my niece had a girlfriend
3	in the car and as she got out of the car we said, be
4	careful, and the question to her question to me
5	was, why should I? What for? What's the matter?
6	Now, when you come to Chicago and someone gets out of
7	the car, you say, be careful on your way home. They
8	say, ckay. So, the cultural difference is so great
9	of what crime really is. Whereas, yor door may be
10	unlocked and they may have their door locked with a
11	steel gate and a dog and a gun waiting. That's where
12	the difference is. And I think, and your Commission
13	needs to look at statistics. They need to go into
14	communities and possibly neighborhoods, community
15	groups and you need to publicize it more and you need
16	to get a diverse data base of all the information
17	that's out there to be put in and then comeup with
18	some type of solution or recommendation. Probably
19	the best Commission report I've ever read in my life,
20	and I'm not that old, the best Commission report I've
21	ever read is the current Commission report. They
22	made the best suggestions ever. The Los Angeles
23	riots, the same riots of 1968 that took place in

1	L.A., they said you would have two separate societies
2	in the Kerner Commission Report, and sure enough,
3	we've got two separate societies. They recommended
4	community policing in the Kerner Commission Reporter
5	under a different report. They called it community
6	service, and no department was really taken on. We
7	do report we have commissions, but we don't listen
8	to the experts or we don't listen to the data once we
9	get it. And that, to me, was one of the best reports
10	that I've ever seen.
11	CHAIRMAN LYON: Questions?
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Actually I have several.
13	MS. YANDLE: Since you have several, can I
14	get one out?
15	With that, I may not have a question.
16	MR. KIMBER: I'll tell you whether or not I
17	can answer.
18	MS. YANDLE: With respect to weighted
19	workload methods of allocating and we realize that
20	allocating police services in terms of numbers is not
21	exclusively, we realize that, but certainly we've got
22	to start from that.
23	MR KIMBER- Right

1	MS. YANDLE: The Department's method of
2	allocation by that method which we understand has not
3	been revved or revisited for the last eight years.
4	Given your experience in working the various
5	districts throughout Chicago, let's say within the
6	last eight years, the time in between it was last
7	done and now, do you sense or do you see any evidence
8	that because of the length of time since it's been
9	done, that those figures are now allocation, the
10	allocation is out of sinc and you can really see the
11	difference.
12	MR. KIMBER: Let me preface my answer by
13	saying I've worked, basically I've been in labor
14	relations for the last four years, so I haven't
15	worked a beat car in the last four years. When I
16	worked in the second district which is 51st and
17	Wentworth, Robert Taylor, 1974, it had to be close to
18	400 police officers assigned. At the time, no vacant
19	lots, vacant apartments. And when I go back there
20	now, my mother still lives in the neighborhood. When
21	I drive down there now there's vacant lots, vacant
22	apartments. Now they've changed some allocation of
23	calls for service and now the number of policemen

1	we've redone some of the things since then. Your
2	question is, is it better now?
3	MS. YANDLE: I'm saying can you see just my
Ţ	impression that if you have not made any significant
5	adjustments in an 8 year period that it's out of sinc
6	somewhere?
7	MR. KIMBER: Oh, yes.
8	MS. YANDLE: And I'm saying, as a policy
9	officer, having to go into those areas, can you see
10	the difference geographically which may have which
11	probably resulted to a large degree in not having
12	made those adjustments?
13	MR. KIMBER: You can tell me about your
14	calls for service. What happens is when I worked the
15	second district, and keep in mind as I say, I left
16	there in 1985, but to give you an example, in 1974
17	was the highest number of homicides that the city has
18	had. They keep comparing that year, okay. I was
19	there in 1974. We ran '92 homicides, I thionk, in
20	the second district and I ran consistently all the
21	time you ran from call to call. So, what happens is
22	they reduce the number of policemen that they may
23	have there since then and when I worked at Monroe and

Ţ.	Racine, I wasn't as busy as I was in the second
2	district. So, you need to probably, you need to
3	reveal your manpower, so to speak, or you need to
4	look at your stats and your statistics and your
5	community organizations, groups, and you need to talk
6	to them on a yearly basis and make adjustments as
7	well as summer, winter and spring. I think that way
8	always.
9	MS. YANDLE: Thank you.
10	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: The first question goes
11	to your service in human relations. Do you have any
12	specific recommendations to actions that have been
13	taken in the human relations field with respect to
14	the police department?
15	MR. KIMBER: Well, as I said, I spent two
16	years there and my impression is that there's a
17	cultural difference between white, black, hispanic,
18	european background. So, if anything, probably more
19	training, cultural wise. It gives you a real good
20	example of when I say training, when you grew up you
21	probably ate buffet style. Your mother came to the
22	table and she cooked the dinner and if it was pork

chops, it was all on one plate and you got your

1	piece. When most black people grow up, they grow up,
2	my plate is already fixed because it was just
3	portions. So, the mother has to divide it up. So,
4	it's a cultural difference. So, what happens is we
5	grow older and we have a cultural difference when we
6	work together. So, when we're working together as
7	two police officers and we're not aware of these
8	types of things, what happens is our cultural
9	differences come and plays a part whereas I may sit
10	and listen to someone talk for 20 minutes regarding a
11	problem which seems totally insignificant to you and
12	it's only because you're thinking why aren't they
13	smart enough to realize that this is the stupidest
14	thing in the world? What are they worried about?
15	They're 9 volt radio and IJ'm thinking about this is
16	all they've got and that's why they're worried about
17	it. So, that human relations expertise is to make
18	each person aware of their cultural differences, just
19	as we have globally for Indians and overseas, we need
20	to do the same thing with the police department. We
21	need to probably have a more intensified training,
22	not so much from individual officers, but from
23	outside agencies, not people that are police

officers. We need people to come in that aren't 1 police officers to express the different culture and 2 3 this needs to be something that needs to be done on a 4 yearly basis. It needs to be brought back in every single year and hammered across because when you 5 6 reach the age of maturity when you're 25, 26 years old, you're pretty set in your ways. Whatever 7 racism, whatever your prejudice, your biases are, 8 you're set. So, you need to be re-enforced every 9 10 single year, given information so eventually it sinks 11 in, hopefully, over a period of time. 12 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: If you set up a formula 13 for deployment of personnel, there has to be some 14 mechanism in it for exceptions, I would assume. I 15 would assume you worked in some people earlier here 16 talked about near north which had some exceptions in 17 the 1960s, but in the presence of juvenile 18 delinquency, you worked in the Southeast Commission. 19 and I assume there were some exceptions during the 20 heyday of the Southeast Commission in terms of 21 greater police personnel in that area. How can you 22 arrange to have exceptions without ensuring that it's

the well to do areas, the areas with high crime that

## get the exception?

1

MR. KIMBER: I think your management has to 2 have the self conscious right thing to do and that's 3 the only way. If management people that actually Ą make the decisions don't decide to make the right 5 6 decisoins, it won't happen. You have now, as of now 7 we have areas where we make deployment. We have a 8 gang crimes unit. We'll have a narcotic, DEA, you know. We've got different neighborhoods with 9 10 different organizations that we have, you know, 11 different sub organizations. As we see crime go up, 12 we may bring the gang crime unit in. We may bring the 13 narcotics unit in if we see crime in a certain 14 neighborhood. So, I htink it's important that you 15 have the right management and I think they're 16 responsibility, they're accountability is to the 17 people in charge. But, their real accountability is 18 to the public. And what happens is I think the way 19 you make them accountable to the public is that you 20 make them out there in front of the public all the 21 time. I mean, if I'm in charge of the Chicago Police 22 Department and every once a month I have to go to 23 some community meeting or not just my subordinates,

1	but me, myself, I have to be at the meeting. Then
2	the accountability rests with me. But, you just
3	can't come here as Sergeant or Lieutenant and I come
4	here, Chief of Police, Mayor, here's the
5	accountability. I'm the last person to make the
6	decision, and the decision of this wasn't made
7	because of such and such. So, I think the access to
8	the person that's in charge, whether it's the CEO of
9	the company or whatever needs to be an open door
10	policy so that some community group can get in, not a
11	liaison person that goes to community groups and
12	says, I'll tell the person what you want me to hear.
13	It has to be an open door policy where I can come in
14	and if I'm ticked off, I can curse you out because
15	I'm ticked off and somebody got killed in my
16	neighborhood and you turn around and said, they've
17	got a problem down there and that problem got to be
18	solved. Or somebody else has got a problem. So, you
19	have to take that most forceful attitude and that
20	only comes when you feel that true community
21	pressure.
22	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: It was suggested that the
23	Fraternal Order of Police and others have in effect

1	indirect influence on assignment of commanders and
2	the like that creates personnel problems. And this
3	may be either because it's beyond your expertise or
4	if you consider it inappropriate to comment, but of
5	course please don't, but can you give us any guidance
6	of whether or not there are things in labor areas
7	that can make that that can be done to decrease
8	inequality in policing, we would appreciate it.
9	MR. KIMBER: I don't htink I understand you.
10	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Okay. I'll withdraw the
11	question. You made an interesting suggestion and I
12	have not heard before that the policemen out in the
13	neighborhoods should have a general referral resource
14	availiable by telephone. Can you give us some idea
15	of the time kind of questions that might be answered
16	by that kind of telephone arrangement?
17	MR. KIMBER: Not necessarily a telephone
18	arrangement, but at least a knowledge of what goes on
19	in other agencies. You know, when I refer you to the
20	welfare, if you come up and you have a problem with
21	your welfare or juvenile delinquency, you know, your
22	kids or whatever and if I refer you to an agency
23	other than a law enforcement agency, other than the

į	police department, I might as well tell you to go to
2	the phone book and make a phone call. Not me
3	personally, but my suggestion is the officer needs to
4	be trained in what your agency does. If you have a
5	problem with drug enforcement, you want to talk to
6	somebody at DEA. He should have a general idea about
7	how DEA works, the Drug Enforcement agency. If you
8	have a problem with the building department and the
9	building because you'd be surprised, years ago they
10	used to say 75 percent of your calls are service
11	calls and they are service calls and they're no crime
12	calls. They're service calls. And the police
13	officer, if he's really good, professional police
14	officer. So when you call me for a problem with your
15	building because you can't get a tenant out or the
16	building's not built properly, I should be able to
17	refer you directly to someone in an agency and I
18	should know what I'm talkinga bout when I refer you.
19	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Can that, to some extent,
20	be handled at the communications intake? IN other
21	words, can some of those calls at the point of intake
22	be funneled to a police general list who is going to
23	be more knowledgeable about the referral of

## 1 resources? MR. KIMBER: It could be, but I take the 2 position that the commercial takes when the company 3 says they lost 50 percent of their business because 4 they don't meet, and I think what happens is and I 5 6 refer all this back to my beginning. To me, 1975 in the area when I worked in Robert Taylor, they have no 7 8 one to talk to. There's no agency there, you know, ahve no one from this commission is going up in 9 10 Robert Taylor. So, when they call the police, a lot 11 of times so because they feel they're calling someone 12 with authority. And when I deal with them on the 13 phone, then they feel I'm doing whatever bureauracy 14 does, you fluff them off and its just not a one to one. And I think you need that personal police man 15 16 to go out, even though it may be expensive and it 17 costs a great deal, even though it gives that that 18 because someone who calls because if you go to 19 Northbrook, Wilmet, when you call, they come. 20 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I think that requires 21 also a form of annual training. 22 MR. KIMBER: Exactly.

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And one final question.

4	We've been concerned here about unequality of police.
2	You've indicated that one of the things that is
3	different is when you're out in Racine or it's
4	obvious less hectic, you have more time to deal with
5	problems than in the high crime areas.
6	MR. KIMBER: Right.
7	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Given the present level
8	of crime, given what it's like in District 5,
9	District 6, et cetera, how much would our police have
10	to be increased overall to provide the same level of
11	response in the high crime area without affecting
12	MR. KIMBER: That's a very good question
13	because my belief is, and this is just a personal
14	belief, we can increase the police department as much
15	as we want to as long as we take law enforcement just
16	as law enforcement. We won't solve there will be
17	no solutions. The problem is not only law
18	enforcement, it's education and unemployment. And as
19	long as you have a high unemployment rate and as long
20	as you ahve people that are not educated properly,
21	and if they do get educated, they can't find jobs,
22	they're going to turn to crime. And what we need to
23	have is a network of not only the police department

1	working in hand with law enforcement and with the
2	court, but law enforcement working with the
3	corporations and the educational system. Because if
4	you have, I'm a very fortunate blessed and fortunate
5	kid, I think, and I say that because I went to an all
6	black male catholic school where they had given us an
7	example. When I say this network system, my youth
8	officer assigned to my school was a policeman, knew
9	my uncle, knew someone who was on the police
10	department. When he knew when I came on the
11	police department, all the way across the baord, I
12	knew someone that knew someone else. So, you had
13	that support. And we've lost that support system for
14	people in these neighborhoods.
15	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Any other questions?
16	MR. PUGH: Just a question. Do we have his
17	name and address?
18	CHAIRPERSON LYON: I don't have his address.
19	Peter, will you get his address before he leaves.
20	MR. PUGH: It's Vance?
21	MR. KIMBER: Vance, yes, sir.
22	MR. KIMBER: K-i-m-b-e-r. Have a good
23	evening.

1	CHAIRPERSON LYON: We have one more
2	representative of the public who would like to speak
3	and that's Marilyn Speaks.
4	MARILYN SPEAKS
5	Good evening. I just want to say that
6	I'm a little bit nervous. I read about this in
7	today's paper and I was wondering should I come down
8	or not. So, I decided that I would. But, I would
9	just like to say that my daughter was an innocent
10	bystander between what took place between two
11	gangbangers and my daughter was shot in her leg June
12	5th, her prom day. And when I called the police,
13	first I dialed 911 and the call for an ambulance, but
14	before the ambulance could get there, the police were
15	there and while the police were there, my daughter
16	was bleeding from her leg and the ambulance still
17	hadn't got there. So, I had to call back to 911 and
18	then they goig to ask me are the police there yet?
19	And is the shooting still going on? I said, no, the
20	shooting has stopped and the police is out here. So
21	then they proceeded to send the ambulance. So, it
22	took me to the hospital with my daughter. They
23	didn't leave any report or anything. So, the next

1	day was June 6th. I called the police and they told
2	me that it would take from three to five working days
3	before a detective would be assigned to the case.
4	Okay. I kept calling from that Monday to that
5	Wednesday of next week. Finally, I think it was that
5	Wednesday I — the detective that was assigned to the
7	case, I talked with him and he asked me how did I get
8	his name. And I said because I called and asked
9	whoever he was the desk sergeant, whoever, who was
10	assigned to the case. He took my work number, asked
11	me different things about what happened, and told me
12	he was going to get on the case. Okay. I kept
13	calling the detective and leaving my number for him
14	to call back. He never returned my call. And so I
15	kept talking to the desk sergeant. That's as far as
16	I got was to the desk sergeant and he would get smart
17	with me at times and tell me that they have other
18	cases to handle and that the detective was gone on
19	vacation. So, I went down to the Office of
20	Professional Standards on July 6th to file a
21	complaint and all they did was told me to get in
22	touch with a Commander Beavers. Okay, I went to him
23	July the 10th and I talked to him for almost two and

```
a half hours. He told me well, I'm going to
1
        personally get on the case. Okay, that was July 10th
2
        and I haven't heard anything from him. So then I
3
4
        went to the Alderman in my Ward, the 8th Ward, and as
        far as I got was to the assistant whose name was Kim
5
6
        Gilmore. So, I went there like that Monday and that
        Tuesday he calls me back and tells me well,
7
        Commissioner Beavers says he is going to personally
8
        work on this case and foryou to get the bullet and
9
10
        your witnesses and take the informatio to him. So, I
11
        couldn't believe that something like that, they call
12
        it assault and battery when it was an attempted
13
        murder on another young fellow and my daughter was
14
        standing in the doorway and they was shooting back at
15
         him and she took a bullet in her leg and the police
16
         have did absolutely nothing about it. And it will be
17
         three months September the 5th and I haven't heard
18
         anything from the police department, nothing. And I
         mean it's like my daughter, she's going to - it took
19
20
         Commissioner Beavers going to ask me how do you know
         your daughter's not in a gang? My daughter's not in
21
22
         a gang. I think the parents, I tink that a parent
23
         knows. Like the young fellow who busted through my
```

1	front door to pull this fellow out because the young
2	fellow they were shooting at, he ran through my front
3	door, and the fellow who was trying to kill him is
ţ	going to bust in the door behind him to pull him out.
5	When they couldn't pull him out, they proceeded to go
6	down the street and fire off three shots back at him,
7	but they missed him, but the front door was open
8	because my daughter was, you know, going on the prom
9	We were videotaping and she was going to go out the
10	front door. That's the only reason why the front
11	door was open. So, they fired off three shots and my
12	brother found the bullet. The detective, nobody ever
13	came to the house to take fingerprints, the bullet,
14	he's going to tel lme to bring the bullet to him.
15	Why am I going to take the bullet for him to be lost
16	and for him to say you don't have a bullet. It's not
17	my place to be the detective, that was my daughter
18	and I mean it's like when I'm watching the news at
19	night and I'm watching how they handled some cases
20	and hwo this case that my only child, she could have
21	been killed and she took a bullet for someone else
22	and the father of the one who they attempted to
23	murder, he's not doing anything. The mother of the

```
one who busted through the front door, she's not
1
        doing anything. And it's like I'm the one that's, my
2
        front door was damaged. I got a bullet hole in my
3
        front window. It's like I'm the victim, you know,
4
        and nobody is doing anything about it, you know.
5
6
                 CHAIRPERSON LYON: It's because you're the
        victim. Commander Beavers was here a little
7
        earlier --
8
                 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: No, Alderman Beavers
9
10
        there's a family relationship. Alderman Beavers is a
11
        former police officer, his brother is a present
12
         police officer and Commander of one of the districts.
13
                  MS. SPEAKS: At 111th Street. I don't know
14
         what district that is, but it's like my daughter, she
         didn't provoke this. My daughter was going on her
15
16
         prom and it's like how do you kow your daughteris not
17
         in a gang? I know my daughter is not in a gang just
         like the mother of the young fellow who busted
18
19
         through the door she was saying how she had put him
         out because he had stole her car and she knew she
20
21
         said she had tried to get in contact with the
22
         juvenile authorities to have something done about
23
         that and the police told her it was nothing that
```

1	could be done, okay. So, she just put her son out.
2	Now, but I mean, it's like I'm the one that's doing
3	all the running around. I'm not a very verbal
4	person. I'm real nervous sitting here right now
5	telling this, but I mean, I'm gettiong letters like
6	from my insurance company where they paid for the
7	bill, but they're like telling me that if I come into
8	some money, that they want to be reimbursed. I mean,
9	I'm in a situation where well, what is it, what am I
10	supposed to do? The police are not doing anything,
11	what am I supposed to do? Who do I go to?
12	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Are there any questions
13	from the other panel members?
14	MR. PUGH: You go to your Alderman, not the
15	alderman, your alderman.
16	MS. SPEAKS: I went there and she was the
17	assistant to her and all he did was talk to
18	Commissioner Beavers and just what Commissioner
19	Beavers told me, I'm going to personally handle this
20	case, and that was July 10th. It will soon be three
21	months and he told, this one named Kim Gilmore who is
22	the assistant to Lorraine Dixon the same thing that
23	I'm going to personally get on this case and it will

```
be three months like I said. I don't think that I'm
7
2
        that ignorant of the law where something like that
        happened, attempted murder on somebody's life where
3
        the police I thought a detective was supposed to come
4
        out and investigate that.
5
                 MR. PUGH: You're exactly correct, and I'm
6
        not kidding, I'm saying you've got to keep going to
7
8
        them, coming to us isn't going to make a difference.
        Go to the city authority and remind them of the case
9
10
         because you have to, as you have, its the only way to
11
         di it.
12
                  MS. SPEAKS: Well--
13
                  MR. PUGH: Don't give up.
14
                  MS. SPEAKS: No, but it's like as far as I
15
         got was through the desk sergeant. He's going to
         tell me that murder cases take priority. So I asked
16
17
         him, well you telling me that all these murders going
         on in Chicago, I mean, so you're telling me that
18
19
         because this was an attempted murder that nobody was
20
         killed that my daughter's case is on the back burner?
21
         He going to say, but when I talked to Commissioner
22
         Beavers, he's going to tell me that's not true. You
```

just assuming that. I'm not assuming because they

haven't did anything. I mean, it's like when I see 1 2 murder cases on t.v. like the little girl that got killed, they jumped right on that case, you know, 3 trying to solve that case. But this case that's 4 between my daughter and the other young man, it's 5 6 like it's going on three months and they haven't approached my daughter, they haven't approached the 7 8 young man who they tried to kill, they haven't 9 approached the fella's mother who tried to bust through my front door to pull him out. They haven't 10 approached anybody. So, it's true that my daughter's 11 12 case is on the back burner. They're not doing 13 nothing about it. 14 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I think we shall make a 15 number of things clear. You're before a group that 16 deals with broad general problems and it's not really 17 set up to give you advice. So, that's the first 18 thing that we should be very clear about. The next 19 thing we should be very clear about is it may be that 20 you have a reason to go to a lawyer for -- your own 21 lawyer in this particular situation, legal aid or your own lawyer in this particular situation. But, 22 23 the third thing is that you have a complaint about a

```
policeman which is before IAD, presumably, which is a
1
2
        long, slow process and you should call the
3
        superintendent again and say look, I made a complaint
        about the investigating officer, but I would like to
4
        find out at the moment something else, who is
5
6
         investigating the crime now because I still have the
         evidence, the bullet and no one has investigated the
7
         crime yet. So, that's another formula in this case.
8
         I think it calls for a letter on your part and your
9
10
         keeping a copy of the letter, your keeping after them
11
         with additional phone calls. Now, that isn't what
12
         we're here for. We're not here to give advice in
13
         this kind of situation, but you're here and we're
14
         here and therefore, we're making some, just not as a
15
         commission member, individually I'm suggesting that
16
         that's something you're going to want to do. Because
17
         you're here and you have a very real pain and very
18
         real situation that has to be dealt with. It does
19
         also give us each of us here as members some real
20
         insight into the kind of problems that can get
21
         created. So, it's been very useful to us for your
22
         coming; that you came.
```

MS. SPEAKS: Well, that's why I came to make

1	a point and trying to find out like innocent
2	bystanders that are hurt and are caught between the
3	police not doing anything and not knowing where to go
4	and what to do. I mean, it's like this is not
5	something that I'm used to. This is the first time
6	something like this has ever happened to me, you
7	know, and I mean, it's like the police are doing
8	nothing about it and I mean, it's very disturbing
9	that something like this happened. My daughter could
10	ahve been killed, you know, but I guess if she had
11	been killed maybe they would have did something.
12	But, I don't feel like that police should have to
13	wait until someone is killed before they can take
14	action on something. Because these same four young
15	men that tried to kill this other young man are still
16	walking the street and they are not doing anything
17	about it.
18	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: If on the way out you
19	would see the gentleman over there and give him your
20	address and phone number.
21	MS. PETERS: Your point, before you leave,
22	your point is well taken. When you pointed out that
23	Voll have seen other instances similar instances in

i	other areas reported in the news media where
2	something is done right away and I think that's the
3	thing that is of importance to us and this commisson
4	that's what we're trying to find out.
5	MS. SPEAKS: They didn't come out to take
6	fingerprints. My brother found the bullet. they
7	didn't look for the bullet. They didn't take
8	fingerprints. They didn't take nothing.
9	MS. PETERS: We appreciate you coming
10	forward and giving us your experience.
11	MR. MINARIK: Madam Chair, one more person.
12	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Three minutes.
13	AUDWIN SHORT
14	Yes, I'm a resident of the Englewood
15	area on the south side of Chicago and I'm not here to
16	represent Englewood in any way. I'm just here
17	representing myself. Right now I can tell you what
18	kind of climate ther ei in the southside of Chicago.
19	There's a great distrust for police officers simply
20	because the police are now out of touch with the
21	community. Being a black male, I'm more likely to go
22	out into the world and lose my life than any other
23	ethnic minority. And that doesn't stop me from

1	living. It never has. I have seen friends die. 1
2	have friends who are known friends who have died, but
3	we continue to go on because we have to do what we
4	have to do. I constantly hear complaints from the
5	police that there is no sympathy for them, but at
6	this point I have none. Police officers should know
7	that if something happens to me, if I get shot, if I
8	get stabbed, if someone robs me, then they are
9	called. They have some type of warning. They have
10	training, they have guns, they have bulletproof
11	vests, but I have nothing. It doesn't stop me,
12	knowing this, from living my life. And it shouldn't
13	stop them. There's a separation between police
14	officers and citizens which is causing the conflict
15	right now.
16	They have a few law in progress, the
17	loitering law. I think you know of it, where police
18	officers have the right to arrest known gang members
19	that are on a public corner in a group together
20	somewhere, but the Englewood area and other southside
21	areas are predominantly black, majority of police
22	officers that are on these beats are white males. I
23	know of gang members. I know of people who have run

HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS CHICAGO (312) 236-4984

ľ

with gang members and pushers, what have you, and I 1 2 know these peoplebecause I've lived that. I've been there a great part of my life and when you have a 3 police officer who is only been in this area because 4 it's his beat or because he's assigned to a certain 5 6 area, he doesn't live there, he doesn't know who the 7 known gang members are. He doesn't know when the activity will take place or where it will take place 8 9 because he does not live there. The only way he could know is if he got to know the people in that 10 11 community. If he knew the residents, then he could 12 ask them well, is anything going to go down at this 13 location or well, it happened or who are these gang 14 members? Most police officers don't ask, they only 15 assume. There's no way a police officer can know who 16 the know gang membes are unless they believe an 17 assumption or speculation. And doing that it brings 18 out a lot of stereotypes. For instance, I have never been in a gang in my life. I may know gang members, 19 20 but I don't associate with them. I don't stay with 21 them, I don't hang around with them. But, if I was on 22 a corner with a group of my friends who are not gang 23 members, a police car could roll by, they can stop,

1	they can question us, they can arrest us. They can
2	detain us, and they can say they suspected us of
3	being gang members. And only because they saw a
4	group of black males or black people together and
5	assumed. They can only give an assumption. And
6	there are many aldermen, many community leaders hwo
7	say this will help, but it only fuels the fire that
8	has caused things like the L.A. riots that have
9	caused other violent acts against police officers and
10	brutality against the citizens who the police
11	officers are supposed to be protecting.
12	I would really like to trust police
13	officers again because I know of a lot of chilren who
14	want to grow up with no violence in their lives, no
15	harm to come to their families. I would like to get
16	to know the Officer Friendly that I grew up with, but
17	right now the only officers I've known are the
18	officers, put your hands on the car, don't say
19	anything or I'll blow your head off. This brings
20	about a distrust. It also does when someone is
21	violent towards someone whbo hasn't done anything, it
22	brings about the hatred, it brings out songs like
23	"Cop Killer". It brings out anti police groups. It

\*

1	brings out the community policing themselves instead
2	of having the police police them. I would like for
3	the police officers to go into the community, get to
4	know the people, ask them questions, know how things
5	are around there, whether they're white, black,
6	hispanic, get to know the people in your area. If I
7	were to be a police officer, I could not go into a
8	hispanic area to say I know who the gang members are
9	because it's only a beat. I go there for a few hours
10	and I come home in the different area, different
11	neighborhood, different environment. I can't say I
12	know who the known gang members are. I can only make
13	a speculation as to what I see. And if I go along
14	stereotypes, then brutality happens and innocent
15	people get hurt by police officers.
16	I would just like to end in saying that
17	children need someone to look up to and police
18	officers are at the same level as firemen. Firemen
19	have to risk their lives also, but they don't come
20	home and take out all their frustrations of the job
21	on their friends or relatives or anyone. They don't
22	take their frustrations out on the people who start
23	the fires, whether it was deliberate or a accident.

1	They don't take their frustrations out on the people.
2	I don't think police officers should do it either.
3	There's no excuse for it. I know they fear for their
4	lives, but that's what they went to the academy for.
5	That's what they went to the gun shops and gun ranges
6	to practice using the gun for. That's what they're
7	paid to do is their job. I don't think that they
8	should let their jobs influence their activities to
9	the point where they have to relieve a frustration
10	somehow and only way to do that is to grab a suspect
11	a little harder or wait for the suspect to do
12	something in a threatening manner and take it out on
13	them. Once that happens, then there will be a
14	distrust on the public. The public will get so angry
15	that any police officers that is in the area will be
16	chased away because of the distrust.
17	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Questions from the panel?
18	MR. CURTIS: Very important issues and I
19	don't want to cut anybody short. I drove a cab in
20	this town, 12 years as a cab driver, so I observe a
21	lot of different things. I'm a community original
22	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Why don't you come up
22	hara and talk in the mike?

İ

## WILLIE CURTIS

2	A real quick observation. I drove a
3	cab for 12 years in the city and just look at the
4	attitude of policemen during certain periods of time
5	before the Washington and Sawyer era. There was a lot
6	of hostility among cab drivers, I mean, towards cab
7	driveers. We were catching hell. They were writing
8	tickets, police writing tickets on me saying I was
9	going too slow, anything like that. During the
10	Washington era and Sawyer era, it changed and it
11	changed a great deal. The attitudes of policemen,
12	even though they were still maybe hostile, they
13	weren't exerting their hostility and they were
14	more I'll give you an example. Before Washington
15	and Sawyer, you go to the police station on the
16	ticket, it was a big they give you right or I
17	bond, no, you couldn't get it. You had to wait until
18	the lieutenant on call come in. We already know
19	police could write I bonds, then. Anyway, when
20	Washington and Sawyer came, the Mayor, when
21	Washington became the Mayor, you go to the police
22	station, you couldn't have to ask for I bond, sir,
23	would you like to have an I bond? Right away, just

give us about ten minutes. Just like that. So, they 1 cannged the attitude, changed the -- because of who 2 the leadership was. And at this present time, the 3 police department is very hostile. The particular 4 head of the police department is the Mayor, that's 5 6 who it is. It's the mayor. The Washington 7 administration, he had guys checking on policemen. He 8 had two policemen stopping, one who stopped him for 9 the violation, the next one who stopped to find out 10 what the other police were doing. So, he had a lot of checks and balances at that time. So, even now 11 12 they were the same racist type police, hostile 13 police. They could not exert it and bring it out. They feared their job. So, they held it back and I'm 14 15 saying, again, I'm still dealing with cab drivers and that one demonstration the last week was one of the 16 17 biggest complaints over the safety was the attitude 18 of policemen and how they discriminate against cab 19 drivers. A good example on the north side they will 20 ticket every cab on the street, north shore area, for 21 parking in front of their house because they said cab is a commercial vehicle and every morning one guy got 22 23 a ticket every morning since he's been there, about

i	two or three years now. They don't do it on the
2	southwest side, south side, just that particular area
3	because they discriminate because the complexion of
4	the people who are parking the cabs, they're
5	easterners and mostly Africans from Africa who drive
6	cabs. So, they discriminate there. So, it's just
7	change. You can see the change withinthe present
8	Mayor got back in office, the attitude went back to
9	hostility.
10	CHAIRPERSON LYON: Thank you. We appreciate
11	those comments and if you have any documentation or
12	anything in a written form that either of you would
13	like to submit, you can submit it to Peter Minarik
14	and he can help you.
15	MR. CURTIS: Where would you submit it to?
16	CHAIRPERSON LYON: The Regional Office
17	address.
18	MR. MINARIK: 175 West Jackson Street, Suite
19	A-1332, Chicago, 60604.
20	CHAIRPERSON LYON: And then for the record,
21	I'd like to note that Dr. Kenneth Smith is here and
22	he is a committee member and he's been sitting here
23	for quite some time and he's been sitting out there

1	with you and we appreciate his participation here.
2	Without further ado, this meeting is
3	adjourned. Thank you.
4	(The hearing was adjourned at 6:55 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
<b>2</b> 2	
23	

Ī	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL, a Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for
6	the County of Cook, State of Illinois, hereby state
7	that I reported in shorthand the testimony given at
8	the above-entitled cause, and state that this is a
9	true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes
10	so taken as aforesaid.
11	
12	
13	
14	1
15	The day to Hala of - A sall
16	VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL, CSR Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois
17	notary rubite, cook county, inmois
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	