UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

EASTERN REGIONAL DIVISION .

1121 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Rm. 710

Washington, D. D. 20425

ccR 3 Meet, 245

IN RE: Sources of Bias-Related Tensions on College Campuses; AND Approaches to Reducing Racial/Religious Bias Affecting Campuses

HEARING

VERMONT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Held Monday February 10, 1992, Memorial Lounge, Waterman Building, University of Vermont, 85 S. Prospect Street, Burlington, Vermont.

CHAIRPERSON - SAMUEL B. HAND

MODERATOR - KIMBERLY B. CHENEY

Members - Ferial L. Barber

Louvenia D. Bright

M. Jerome Diamond

Nathan B. Duthu

Helen K. Fleeson

Eloise R. Hedbor

Philip H. Hoff

Margaret B. Whittlesey

A. Peter Woolfson

ORIGINAL

CAPITOL COURT REPORTERS
P. O. BOX 329
BURLINGTON, VERMONT 05402-0329
(802) 863-6067



February 10, 1992

MR. HAND: Good morning. My name is
Samuel Hand, Chairperson today for the
Vermont state Advisory Committee to the U.S.
Civil Rights Commission and for those of you
who are living in some uncertainty as to what
our function is, what the State Advisory
Commission or the United States Commission on
Civil Rights is, on the back of your program
there are descriptions; and of course any
questions that will arise before us we will
try to address.

We will also be circulating throughout the day an attendance sheet. We will be distributing something which will give you an opportunity to say you attended.

I am standing up here for two purposes.

One is to introduce the members of the

Commission; and to my far left is Helen

Fleeson from Essex Junction. Next is

Margaret Whittlesey from North Hero. There

is Dr. Ferial Barber from Orleans. To my

right is M. Jerome Diamond from Montpelier

and Eloise R. Hedbor from South Hero; and

Kim Cheney, from Montpelier; and Phil Hoff

from Burlington; and to his right is

Tino Calabia, who is go representative from the Commission who came here from Washington.

I will not moderate the forum. Kim

Cheney will. As a member of the UVM faculty,

it seems perhaps inappropriate for me to

moderate a forum which is going to be

eliciting information about the University.

I would like to begin the program by introducing the President of the University of Vermont, Thomas Salmon.

MR. SALMON: Thank you, very much.

Thank you, so much. We are honored, Mr.

Calabia, to have you and your United States

Commission on Civil Rights at our campus

today. We have hopefully reserved a

convenient location on this distinctive

campus for this important dialogue.

Let me briefly say this panel that is here at the table, they number among some of Vermont's finest people, men and woman, that are deeply committed to Civil Rights, who have individually and collectively put their mouth were their money is over a long, long period of time.

It is fortuitous that you are seated

next to former-Governor Philip H. Hoff, who stands in the lines of many of us, but first among equals as a matter of lifetime principles.

The issues that you will address on this campus today evidencing input both from this University and from Middlebury College are profoundly important and topical issues. There is no question but what the incidents of hate speech for instance and the concomitant tensions that this brings throughout our community bedevil the more traditional work of this campus as we attempt to come to grips with these profound social issues; and as was said in a well-known book some years ago, I believe the author was John W. Gardener, "There shall be no easy victories here," but before we move to higher ground, which is the unremitting principle of the administration of this University, we must first gather the facts.

It's important we understand the facts and circumstances, the strong variety of opinions and perceptions that is central to a free society as we understand it, and then

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

collectively to learn, not only to listen, agressively to what we hear but to factor in all opinions of substance as we chart the course for that elusive higher ground.

I am pleased to join in at the beginning of your deliberations today, and I hope they will be productive. I know in the spirit of dissent that we honor on this campus, that many of the presentations will be aggressive and we welcome that; but we welcome most of all the fruits of your labor in term of what you learn here and what you learn throughout this land of ours, that will help all of us reach for that elusive higher ground.

Lastly, although Professor Sam Hand, Who has become something of a legend on this campus cannot formally chair the deliberations, Mr. Cheney will be the moderator. I did want to note that --

MR. HOFF: More than on this campus, a legend.

MR. SALMON: That's right. I am under the crutch of a schedule that some days is less tolerable than other days. I would be

pleased to answer any questions you might 1 have, or any members of the panel may in 2 their deliberations. 3 4 I will take my leave and move onto other compelling issues. 5 6 MR. CALABIA: Any member of the panel 7 have questions? Thank you. MR. SALMON: Thank you. 8 9 MR. CHENEY: Thank you, Mr. Salmon, and 10 good morning to our guests. As Dr. Hand 11 mentioned, I am a member of the Vermont Advisory Committee who will be moderating 12 today's forum. 13 14 Over the course of the day we shall hear from about three dozen panelists, and to the 15 16 extent that time allows, we hope to hear from many in the audience as well. 17 18 Anyone who has prepared remarks in written form should submit a copy to the 19 20 staff to use in developing the published 21 report. 22 If you would summarize your remarks leaving more time for discussion that would 23 24 be helpful. Keeping your presentations or 25 comments sharply low focused would aid in

moving the proceedings along. You will also help us to abide by our legal obligations by refraining from defaming or degrading any individual in your remarks.

I should note, too, that each of our panelists is here voluntarily, offering his or her comments for a public record being made by the court stenographer.

On the other hand, although the media was invited, any panelist or other speaker retains the right not to be photographed as he or she addresses us today. If you wish to retain that right, please inform staff so that we may accommodate your request.

For background let me note that the eight Commissioners who head the parent agency in Washington just launched a three-year national project intended to reivew the status of bias-related tensions in several urban area around the country. It began last month, when three of the hearings focused on problems encountered by the Latino community of Washington, D.C. In June the Commissioners will move on to Chicago, and after that to other cities.

Meanwhile, the Vermont Advisory

Committee and our counterparts in

Massachusetts and Connecticut have begun

this review of possible sources of tensions

affecting universities and college campuses.

In September Dr. Hand and I attended a

forum involving delegations from the

Smith College.

In April we expect to attend a forum involving the University of Connecticut, at

Storrs and Wesleyan Universities.

University of Massachusetts, at Amherst and

Those forums are designed to engage large public universities as well as private colleges. Likewise today we are here to listen to students, administrators, faculty, staff and others about the situation on the State's flagship campus in Burlington and at the small nationally renowned college in Middlebury.

While we may hear about problems of prejudice afflicting members of racial or religious minority groups, we are eager to learn about any current probrams, policies or suggestions on combatting campus intolerance,

as all of us search for solutions to those problems in the '90s.

Now will the speakers on the UVM student panel take their places, please? As they do, let me note that in the forums in neighboring States, we have tried to, or will, open with experts who will be in a position to provide an overview of compass tensions, problems around the United States.

Our staff invited similar persons to today's forum, but scheduling and travel problems caused us to have to forego such a panel today. However I think we have the real experts here, which are the people in the front line, so to speak.

As background for the eventual report on today's forum, we should be able to adapt what is to be learned from the national experts heard in Massachusetts and Connecticut. We learn from people who have made national presentations.

Our first speaker today is Jonathan

Alden. Jonathan, why don't you introduce
yourself and go ahead with your remarks

MR. ALDEN: I am a freshman

undergraduate, part Native American, and this past spring, I would like to say that this past spring I was invited by the Officer of Multicultural Affairs to come to a spring visitation. At this visitation I witnessed some kids of the Asian Student Union express unbelieve hard overt tension problems. This was an April 18 through the 20th, 1991.

Soon after that, many protests started.

I was still in high school then, but the letter I received from the Civil Rights

Commission stated that there would be wondering the purpose or whoever was to decide whether there was bias-related tension and I don't think that's -- I think that has already been decided with death threats and torching of campus establishments. I think it's to the extent of how much and how we are supposed to remedy it.

I have been rather uninvolved actually.

I am not one of the frontline people, but I hold the view of a person who is not emotionally involved, who has had no acts committed on himself, and therefore, I am able to somewhat stay outside and look into

what is going on and see that there is a problem as an outsider.

To remedy the situation, I'm not exactly sure how to do that. I think it would be a cop-out not to say anything, but everybody says to educate, educate, about difficult issues, bring in more diverse students, and I think you can educate and give knowledge to an extent, but if they don't see how it is used it's somewhat pointless.

I think they have to incorporate more events that are like, for instance, arts.

This may seem a little off the path, some people may think, but multicultural events, art speaks of different cultures.

Presentations, movies on different cultures, to see what different cultures are like, how they function and how similar they are, instead of focusing on the differences; and more classes I think would be excellent on different cultures, how they evolved and what their function is in society.

You may hear -- I would like this panel to make sure they listen to the overall

ı	
1	message. You may hear a lot of things,
2	redundant things; things said many times
3	over, but I think it's the overall
4	cummulative message that is being handed out
5	that you should listen to and where they are
6	all pointed. Thank you.
7	DR. HAND: Mr. Hoff has a question.
8	MR. HOFF: I am not clear where you
9	were born and raised.
10	MR. ALDEN: I was born in Columbus, Ohio
11	and I was raised in Dover, New Hampshire.
12	MR. HOFF: This is your first experience
13	with Vermont?
14	MR. ALDEN: Yes.
15	MR. HOFF: Since your arrival on the
16	campus, I guess that was in the fall?
17	MR. ALDEN: Yes.
18	MR. HOFF: This term, in your own
19	experience, do you have personal experience
20	of racism or prejudice exercised against you?
21	MR. ALDEN: Myself?
22	MR. HOFF: Yes.
23	MR. ALDEN: No, not myself.
2.4	MR. HOFF: Is that because you tend to
25	be identified as one of the majority?
1	

MR. ALDEN: I think because I am only 1 2 part. 3 MR. HOSS: How about in terms of others, 4 whatever their national background? MR. ALDEN: Yes, I have witnessed some 5 gay bashings gay slandering, towards the gay 6 7 community and some towards just someone who 8 is different, such as a girl that she was just dressed differenty. She had a leather 9 10 coat and black hair, but she had a big blond 11 streak in it, and I witnessed someone go right into her face, a boy, go right into 12 13 her face and yell at her, screaming at her, "Do you think you are cool?" And going 14 15 on and on; just harassing her like that. 16 MR. HOFF: Thank you. 17 DR. HAND: Any other panel members? 18 (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 19 MS. HEARD: My name is Allison Heard, 20 Vice President of the second-year students, 21 here at the University. I am from Chicago, 22 Illinois. 23 What is the purpose of this Commission? 24 What did they really come here for? Are 25 they here to patronize the issues of racism,

~

hoping that the problem will fade away?

If we distribute memos denouncing hate crimes, a symbolic ceremony on Martin Luther King's birthday or even this panel addressing racism, realistically none of those actions provide adequate solutions to the problem.

It is time for this University to change the foundation it was built upon and begin to dialogue with and listen to students of color. Racism at it bests acts as a barrier excluding blacks, Latinos, Asians and Native Americans from the same advantages and opportunities of other white students. The issues and concerns of students of color are unheard and this administration doesn't truly reflect a sincere commitment to cultural diversity.

What do I mean by diversity? Diversity meaning accepting people for who they are and embracing their cultural differences, and not encouraging them to conform. It is often found that when a program is going to be cut, they usually begin with the cultural programs first.

1.3

ends?

Students of color should not have to continuously be asked, why did you come to Vermont? Where were you raised? Didn't you know that this was the whitest in the Union?

It is our hope that this Commission today will review these problems and take action. Let's not see this panel be one of those dialogues that this University is so wellknown for.

My question addressed to you today is what will happen when you go home and take off your fancy suits or the new dress that you bought today?

DR. HAND: Thank you.

MR. HOFF: I am trying to be sure that what I heard is accurate. You are saying that only one course here at this University in the area of cultural is one-credit; that's the only one? Is that the only course period other than that, or are there other courses dealing with cultural diversity on this campus other than the one you mentioned?

MS. HEARD: Are you talking about maybe

One example of this is the Multicultural Student Organization which is a member of the MSO. Students were asked how they would like to be consolidated in one group with the other students who are student representatives for the Admission Office.

Well, our opinions aren't important. It has already been decided at that time the program would be wiped out.

This program is important to students of color and was a result of the '88 Watts

Agreement. In addition the race and cultural course which is offered is only a one-credit course which is not enough time for the student to learn about diversity.

I have never seen this University offer history, British literature or even mathematics courses for one credit. I was scared that students would actually take these courses.

Tsn't it time that we stop making excuses about what things can and will not work given minor technicalities? Isn't it time to stop using dialogue as a positive introduction whil only seeing negative

like -- We have a British Literature. 1 2 MR. HOFF: I am talking about a course 3 of cultural diversity. MS. HEARD: That is the only course. 4 5 MR. HOFF: How about a course in black 6 history? 7 MS. HEARD: I know someone, a student, 8 who wanted to take African Studies, and she 9 figured that at the most she would have to 10 do an extra year to complete her, so she 11 signed up for the course and couldn't get in 12 the course. The course was so packed that 13 she couldn't get in the course and she went 14 to the Registrar's office and they told her you have to take that in two more semesters, 15 16 but we couldn't find anyone to teach that 17 It was only offered for alternate 18 years. 19 MR. HOFF: Well, I would hope that 20 before we get to the University I would like 21 some specific information about what is 22 offered here at the University in terms of 23 courses. I assume somebody has that 24 information. 25 DR. HAND: That would give you the

1	courses that are offered overtime, but
2	MR. HOFF: Can we get the answer to the
3	question?
4	DR. HAND: Well, we have people
5	MR. CHENEY: I saw somebody leave the
6	room.
7	MR. HOFF: Well, at some point in time
8	I would like to know what is offered here at
9	this University in terms of cultural
1.0	diversity type programs.
11.	DR. HAND: We can bring a catalog in.
12	Some of this is going to involve personal
13	judgment as to what extent it doesn't reflect
14	a 、
15	MR. CHENEY: As moderator I suggest that
16	we have questions from the panel if there
17	are any.
18	MS. HEDBOR: I would be interested in
19	knowing what kind of living arrangements you
20	have, whether you are in a dormitory or off
21	campus, and a little bit about the situation
22	in dining halls and that kind of thing.
23	MS. HEARD: I live in a dorm, Mason
24	Hall, located on Redstone Campus. I am the
25	only black student in my dorm, I think one

of four on the-whole Redstone Campus. My roommate is Vietnamese, so we are the only two people of color in that dorm. I haven't had any blatant racism attempts. I have been walking down the street and have been called names outside of cars, who didn't stop.

MR. CHENEY: Any other questions of the panel?

MS. HEARD: I want to add when you look at the courses that you not just looking at the title of the course. I am in a philosophy course right now, and I am a philosophy minor, and the courses that I will take in the future do not focus on any African or Asian philosophy. That is evidently not because they aren't any. I think that you all need to look at each course in detail. I think that we need to have professors submit a syllabus of what they taught in other courses, and we need to start from there, because a title doesn't mean much when you are reading different things in a course.

MR. CHENEY: You mentioned something

-

/

about dialogue and a certain amount of comments; there is a lot of talk and no action. Do you have anything specific?

MS. HEARD: This University is known for just a lot of panels. I think that the misconception is that we have a lot of panels. You people have discussions and there is no a lot of action taking place. We often find that there is no action after you leave the room.

I sat on a panel for U. Massachusetts at Amherst, where some of you all were there, the Commission, and two weeks after the panel, I got a thank you letter that sitting on the panel, and after that I didn't hear anything else. I was curious as to know what solutions had been made; what progress had been made.

I am just saying that today I hope that
I don't go home and two weeks later get
another thank you note. I really would like
to see some kind of action taking place.

MR. CHENEY: This group is purely advisory. One of our functions is to provide a forum for people like yourself to state

1 your views and-the problems. We then make a 2 recommendation to the Commission in 3 Washington in the form of a report. I can tell you that it may seem like it 4 5 doesn't accomplish anything, but over the 6 years I have been on this Commission, we 7 actually have had some action. We created 8 the Human Rights Commission for example. think the -- except for forums such as this, 9 10 we may look pretty slow. It's our hope that 11 we can contribute to change. 12 MR. HOFF: Correction. Since I created 13 the Human Rights Commission. 14 MR. CHENEY: Excuse me. We initiated 15 it. Anymore questions? 16 Thank you. I am sure as we get more 17 into this, there will be more questions. 18 have a new panel. 19 MR. VROOMAN: My name is Bruce Vrooman, 20 President of the Student Association. 21 don't have a prepared statement. 22 (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 23 MS. MOSES: My name is Michele Moses 24 and I am a second-year graduate student in 25 the School of Education. Specifically I am

February 10, 1992

studying higher education and student affairs administration, so I have been here for almost two years in Vermont.

Previously I went to the University of Virginia, graduating from there in 1990. I am from New York City.

As I sat and thought about exactly what I was going to talk about today and what things I wanted to bring up I thought really important, it was a difficult choice because I think everything that will been bought up today is really important. I think we are going to probably focus on things that some people are already very aware of, such as major incidents of bias and bigotry on this campus, because there have been many.

One thing that I do want to mention and stress is that things that don't get paid attention to really are insidious sort of daily occurrences that happen to people of color, and I am not just saying to students, but all people of color on this campus and in this community; and I have just a few examples of my limited knowledge, such as students of color walking over and doing a

simple task that every student on this campus does, such as registration, and walking into registration and being met, after everyone in front goes right through, this student is met with curious stares and patronizing behavior and is asked whether or not he or she can speak English, or if they are an international student.

I think there are some basic assumptions that people hold here that just make it really hard to do daily tasks.

Another example is becoming sort of a major incident, if we talk about the Waterman occupation last spring and we think about how the students were arrested and treated that were in that wing. I believe it was a very small number of students and there were a very large number of police that came to arrest them.

Now we had a situation just at the end of last semester here. As an aside, I am also a hall advisor in the residence hall, so I have some knowledge there; but there was a situation, I believe it was in November, where there was a huge snowfall and a big

hundreds of students, mostly students, white students, who took part in this huge snowball fight that was completely destructive and menacing, as they and a huge pack of hundreds of students coming on campus and stopping traffic and causing accidents, breaking tons of windows, and causing harm, physical harm, to many students.

In that situation, there were no

In that situation, there were no police officers; so that causes me to think that, to wonder why when you have a group of less than ten students of color, we have many, many, many, I don't even know how many, police officers in here to remove them from the office, but yet you have hundreds of white students causing on the campus so much destruction, breaking policy — if we were to talk policy, there was policy breaking on both sides, but breaking policy and no action is taken, so —

Also in my experience as a graduate student in higher ed. at UVM in student affairs and administration, I have the opportunity to have internships in other

21

22

23

24

25

offices on campus which allowed me to attend such meetings of the administration and this is what I am training to do, and how I am supposed so seek role models here.

I have done an internship in the Office of Multicultural Affairs, and in that internship, I have had access to certain reports and lists, and one day I was looking through some of the lists of all, a lot of graduate students. I looked through it to see who was on it. I looked at it and it just struck me as really strange when I as someone who doesn't work in admission or anything like that that I can look at this list and realize it is a list from September '91 and realize that people on it aren't on this campus and never enrolled and don't come here. These are people that happened to have been admitted to my program, so I had personal knowledge that they didn't come, but yet were not on the graduating student list.

Mr. Hoff, you talk about wanting some specific information. I think you should really be aware of the specific information

February 10, 1992

that you get. -Oftentimes it is not correct.

I also will say that oftenstimes I think it's intentionally misleading.

An example of that is a report that was made to the Board of Trustees meeting this October. There is a report, I don't know the exact title, but I think it was called the UVM Progress on Cultural Diversity on this campus, and while I understand that it is really important to focus on positives, that we are struggling hard here.

I think it is also really important to read everything with a very critical eye, because as I read this report, I noted some startling misleading information in it, and examples of that have to do with enrollment numbers, and how in a sense the report was boasting how our enrollments are really up, but on closer investigation that really is not the case.

Apparently in '88 the University made such a commitment to cultural diversity on campus, but meanwhile in '88 to '90 the growth and a lot of student enrollment was not near as much as in 1986 to '88; and in

fact between '90 and '91, the enrollment decreased, but yet here in this report, that's called progress.

So I think it's really important to look behind just what is written; and to that, I want to say that I wrote a letter to the Board of Trustees voicing my concerns, as well as to the President and the Provost, and although I did get a response from the Provost, I really didn't get a response from anyone else.

Another example; the insidious racism on this campus really gets me. I have many friends that are students of color, and getting a group to talk about some of those incidents and finding out that poeple on this campus aren't surprised when they are the target of hate crimes, just makes me -- I just can't believe that people could come here and be here and it wouldn't be surprising to them to be a target of a hate crime. That fact just there just shows the need for radical change on this campus.

I guess that's basically what I wanted to say. I have other things, but I should

February 10, 1992

let other people talk.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much.

MR. HOFF: I am interested in the comparison between your experiences at the University of Virginia and here.

MS. HEARD: Which experiences?

MR. HOFF: In terms of racism; the overall atmosphere, courses offered, commitment to cultural diversity.

MS. HEARD: Okay. The University of Virginia is by no means a paragon of an anti-racism campus, definitely not; but the curriculum there I can say first-hand most definitely reflects a commitment to multicultural education.

I am a major of Latin American Studies, and contrary to popular belief, not only Lantino students took these courses. The students were mostly non-Latino; so that's just an example.

I think in terms of racism that I felt,
I have felt much more of it here on this
campus. That is not to say that I didn't
feel it at Virginia. When I got there,
people, or I was sent a letter telling me

that I should make use of the writing center, the tutorial services on campus, which was a real surprise to me, because I had never, this just wasn't something that entered my mind. I didn't particularly think that I would need those services, and as has happened, I didn't all though college, but my roommate, who is white didn't get that letter.

So that sort of made me wonder what kind of place I was coming to. I guess they were trying to be proactive, but that can be hurtful as well; but other than that, there were many more opportunities at Virginia to be educated and have support systems of people who understand my culture and sort of where I am coming from than on this campus. It's just not the same.

MR. HOFF: All right.

MR. CHENEY: Any other questions?

MR. DIAMOND: Were there a larger number of white students at the University of Virginia than there are at UVM?

MS. HEARD: Yes. There was definitely a significantly larger African-American

February 10, 1992

student population. Not significantly
Latino. It's a bigger school as well, so
larger, yes.

MR. DIAMOND: Did you ever inquire as to whether or not the letter that was sent out, to incoming freshman I assume, for use of the Tutorial Learning Center, whether that was sent out to all students who entered with a current level or a particular level of SAT scores; did you ever make that inquiry and find out what the rationale was?

MS. HEARD: Interesting; no I never made that inquiry. As a first year student, that wasn't really in my mind, but I don't think that ever came up for me, because I don't want to brag, but my SATs were 1350. I don't think that was an issue and a thing that was in my mind.

I don't mean -- this just didn't come into my mind.

MR. CHENEY: Any other questions. Do you have any sense any of the faculty resisting to change?

MS. HEARD: Any sense, that's an interesting way of putting it.

MR. CHENEY: I gave you an open-ended question. In your view of the faculty's perception of need for change?

MS. HEARD: It's really hard for me to speak about undergraduate faculty, because because I have never taken any courses here Though in terms of my graduate experience, I happen to have one professor who is extremely committed to the issue of multiculturism and had it not been for her I am certain we would have given the subject minimal treatment.

In a field that I think multiculturalism is really critical, and that is the only sense I have. Other meetings that I have been in and other students that I have spoken with, I have learned and come to understand that I think, yes, there is a resistance for change here.

Poeple don't want to be told what to do and people have a real, seem to have a real need to follow policies and procedures to the letter, and this institution to me seems extremely cold and policy driven rather than people driven, and I don't find there is enough leadership here by faculty or

administrators:

MR. CHENEY: In many schools I think the multicultural education process is considered less important and significant than the traditional curriculum. At least that is what you get from reading.

From person experience, have you asked yourself that question? Do you know; is it undervalued; or is there something else that is preventing these courses from being offered?

MS. HEARD: First of all, I am certainly not an expert on any of these issues, but I think that's an incredibly complex question, and if your society from it's foundation has created a system that has basically ignored or intentionally left certain people out, then yes, of course, courses on multicultures or cultural diversity or whatever word you want to call it will be devalued, because we have a system that is founded on European American knowledge, people that were active in history and that kind of thing; so in that sense, I am going to say, yes, it is devalued; but I think that

you have to look at the historical reasons why that is so.

It's not because it's inherently inferior, but because the way society was created, that was left out, so when people are used to a certain thing, that certain thing is good.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much.

Any questions from the panel? All right.

MR. VELAZQUEZ: My name is Carlos

Velazquez. I am a Senior in Environmental

Studies, originally from San Juan, Puerto

Rico.

The prepresentations this morning I hope have stated a clear message. In judging from the opening remarks of Vermont's moderator Mr. Cheney, the focus of this mission is to explore solutions to the growing racial and cultural intolerances that permeates our campuses; however, I will not feel comfortable in this noble gesture unless unless I state it's my hope that your committee accepts in the strongest manner manner that racism and cultural intolerances is a day-to-day reality for people of color

on this campus. Four years at UVM have allowed me to gather the following information as to why racial intolerance has grown on this campus. They are summarized as follows: fear, ignorance and arrogance.

Fear and ignorance are exhibited by students peers. I have seen fear and heard ignorance in student hearings as they express concern over distribution of power and change in the status quo; and ignorance is a trait that belongs solely at this institution to the administration.

We are treated as children and policy at this University do not do respect the judgment of students.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Any comments?

MR. HOFF: Well, as an overall

statement, I think that's fine, but could you

give us some specifics?

MR. VELAZQUEZ: When I listened to the opening remarks I felt discouraged to talk about specific evidence, that in fact you didn't come here for a fact-finding mission. You came here because you realized there is a problem and we should talk about solutions;

but if you want me to give you some specific evidence, I can tell you I have been called, -- can I swear -- I have been called a fucking nigger twice, and fucking Mexican once, being from Puerto Rico, so that is specific evidence; and to me that really doesn't matter. I really didn't want to say that, but if that is what you are looking for that is what I have been called. Those are three specific incidents that I know toward me on this campus.

MR. HOFF: Have you been excluded in any sense from activities here on the campus, either in academically or in extracurricular activities?

MR. VELAZQUEZ: No, I have not been excluded.

MR. CHENEY: Any other questions. If anybody else got your message that we are interested in only in solutions rather than facts, the two of them go together. First of all, it's not our job necessarily to come up with solutions, but it is our job to come up with some facts and expose them to the public so people can act. Please don't hold

back. I know-it takes a certain amount of courage to speak in this forum, but if there is anything that anybody wants to say about any specific fact, we would like to hear it.

MR. HOFF: As a matter of fact the more facts the better.

MR. CHENEY: Mr. Alden.

MR. ALDEN: The incident that Allison had mentioned about the snowball incident, that happened in the dorm, the dorm that I lived in, Willis Hall. It's a small dormitory, located away from the other two, and I would say approximately four hundred fifty members came over with ice balls, running up to the windows to break them. When that didn't work they started using rocks.

I went out back to try to defend the building. That's because our RA had informed us that we would have to pay for it. Obviously they might think that we want to go around and break our own windows. I don't know, but we went out back and I noticed a security guard or the UVM campus police, just tank upon the basketball court that is

located behind Willis Hall. He was kind of wandering around saying "move along" as they were running up to the windows and smashing them in. You could hear the glass breaking. I know of one student who was brought to the emergency room. They said he had been hurt in his eyes. That's just out of hand.

MR. HOFF: Could I ask a question about that. I understand the police security aspect of it, but I am not so sure -- that a mob scene. At least is seems to be describing a mob scene, but do you think it's interracial or was it racist?

MR. ALDEN: I think it's more that the campus let it get out of hand. The police let it go so far as to once they heard glass breaking, they didn't immediately move in and clear everybody out. If you hear glass breaking, I think that would be enough justification to make you move and tell everybody to clear everybody out. I don't think it was as much racism as just an example of how they let it get out of hand.

MR. HOFF: Thank you.

MS. HEARD: I think one thing that just

came to mind there, and while the snowball fight itself, he wasn't trying to say that is a racist incident. I think what he was trying to do was --

MR. HOFF: I understand. I understand what it was. I didn't interpret your remarks to mean that. I was just curious as to whether there were racist overtones here.

MR. CHENEY: Mr. Jagbandhangsingh.

MR. JAGBANDHANGSINGH: I guess I just -one thing I guess I would like to say first is I have one little confusion or one little thing that people tend to get confused about as President Salmon did, in his opening remarks, where he coined the phrase, "putting your mouth where your money is" and that seems basically the way the University is attempting to run, putting its mouth where its money is. Basically a lot of these issues don't really concern, or it seems like it doesn't concern it in terms of making money, so they will put lip service and will keep their money safe and ignore the rest. I though that was really cute, that he actually coined that phrase.

25

Just referring back to what other people have been talking about, about this snowball fight that took place, as Michelle was saying, there were a number of police that came to arrest students in May because of the student takeover. I am not sure of the exact number. The Burlington Free Press said something like the high sixtyies in terms of police officers. From other people that I have heard who were in the building said the number was closer to one hundred fifty police officers. I am thinking it is somewhere in between there.

The people who were also inside the building said there were police officers lining off certain areas of the building with riflem shotguns -- rifles or something.

The police who broke in the wing were breaking in with bulletproof vests on and riot gear on, gas masks, with guns and tear gas and all of that type of stuff.

This was all for nine people of color who were inside of the wing, so it's pretty odd to me even if the number, if you take a low estimate, is in the high sixties, of

having perhaps inne police officers for each person of color. I guess I don't understand that.

That is what racism is; it's a fear of people of color, of incredible strength and feats, to be able to tackle great white institutions in a single bound, and so they would need to have at least nine people to get each one of us. But I think it's indicative of the type of expression that the University administration is allowing other students to feel comfortable doing and other people within the University community. That is the type of way that you deal with people of color on campus.

Again, there was a similar thing that took place on September of last year, and the sit—in took place in protest the fact that there were issues of punishment that came from the takeover, regardless of whether or not you with the issues or you agree with the manner that the students were taking, the mode that students were using to voice their opinion, there was once again the University chose rather than to dialogue with the

students, to use police force.

_

I would say in this case the numbers weren't as excessive but the police brutality was a little bit more apparent. There was actually one student of color who was standing there and there was a whole little ruckus started because there were one student who was standing.

First I guess, I got arrested, because I was voicing my opinion. As I was carried out there was a student who was watching there, and I guess you could tell he was a person of color, and one police officer happened to push him, and he happened to fall into another police officer, and that police officer immediately began choking him and threw him down on the floor.

Now once again there is just something a little bit odd here. You are saying, well, this is not the University administration, but the University administration has been choosing to call people in and prepare them somehow psychologicall that this is the type of behavior they are going to need to deal deal with this type of students. That was

a totally peaceful sit-in that was taking place.

As the result of it I am to face internal charges at the University and external charges downtown; so the University forces me to go through this demeaning experience of getting arrested and then I have to take time of going through a trial downtown; and luckily, sitting before a white Judge in a courtroom where the only person who is standing there who is not white is myself; and that he found me not guilty for unlawful trespassing just proves to me once again in that the University administration is actually more oppressive than the State is, and I know that the State of Vermont has some ridiculous racism within it; but it's appalling to me that the University administration in an environment which is supposed to be a bubble space, which is supposed to be this kind of incubation stage, where we can throw around controversial ideas; where dialogue is supposed to be important on the issues; and and where we are supposed to be able to

25

communicate with each other. We are supposed to be pushing these ideas and kind of aiding society and we are actually more oppressive here.

I want to say there is some heavy, serious repercussions from this type of activity, and the repercussions that we can see have been manifesting in not just the behavior of family on campus and not just over administration, but it's manifesting itself in individual acts of racism that are taking place, and we have seen on campus, and people are just beginning to document it, and unfortunately, I don't have actual facts for you, but a number of cases have come up, of individual acts of racism which are now starting to rise more and more and more.

The takeover took place in April.

Arrests happened in May, and then on

September 23 there was a sit-in with arrests.

Then October 1991 n Asian-America student from UVM was physically attacked and verbally assaulted in racial slurs in downtown Burlington. That happened off the UVM campus, but for better or for worst

UVM plays a large part in the Burlington community. Our behavior, we must be allowed to have appropriate sit-ins on campus, and it affects the behavior that other people have within the Burlington community. On October 11, 1991 at National Coming Out Day, part of Gay Awareness Week, posters celebrating this day were defaced within the residence halls with "The only good fag is a dead." "It's finer to be straight," and "Nuke all fags.".

On November 18, '91 a Latino's door was defaced with hate speech. On November 21, '91 a poster for a Latino speaker defiled to show a violent rape scene between a white man and a Latino woman, with the word "conquistador" written underneath.

Now I am assume that many people here know what Diversity University was; and I have a little statement here that we had written about Diversity University and I want to read about the firebombing of Diversity University on the night of November 22.

It was a blatant declaration of racism.

Diversity University was a shanty town that

had been constructed on the University Of Vermont Green as an educational and laboratory space in the ongoing struggle against racism.

The burning was not an isolated incident, but comes out of the growing show of force which typified our oppressive society. This outright expression of white supremacy is only one of many such acts in an atmosphere of increasingly violent hate crimes.

It took a long time before the University of Vermont administration even recognized the act; said anything about it, and then decided to call it a hate crime.

Then on November 24, two days later, a note was left on the door of a student of color saying "No Niggers Here." On December 5, '91, two notes that a picture of a lynching were left on the door of a student of color saying "Get the message, leave now.

On December 7, '91, a poster on a student of color door saying "Whites only.'

December 8, '91, gun fired at student of color's window. These events have occurred

in concert with various crank calls and death threats on the phone.

The list goes on and on and on, and the more that people begin talking about it and feel a little bit comfortable talking about it, the more you find there are many more.

I think it's really important for us to note that this behavior, while we can say, yes, it is really bad, I didn't know it was like this; it must be really hard to go through that type of experience, and the administration is doing the same type of behavior.

It's my contention that the University of Vermont administration is the number one perpetrator of hate crimes on the UVM campus, because they are the ones that are setting up the example for others to follow and allowing people this is the way they can express their own beliefs.

I have a bunch more stuff, I can keep saying, but that's it. In terms of mob scenes, just one example of the mob example, that is basically what is taking place on the University of Vermont campus all the

time, a mob scene. The campus is a mob scene. It's mob rule. This is what we have.

If you think of all the definitions of a mob, it's not very intellectual. It's not working out with logic. Racism isn't a very logical thing, and if these are the types of behavior we are having on campus, there is mob rule, where people are working out of antiquated sets of greatly confused motives and not realizing where they are standing from.

It's just -- usually it's a little bit more relaxed. We all sit around and we talk very nicely to each other, but it's mob rule.

MR. HOFF: A question; if a student experiences some of the acts that you refer to, is there anyplace that you can report this to and to your knowledge is anything being done to investigate it?

MR. JAGBANDHANGSINGH: One example. I thought this was pretty interesting; what one particular student had this projectile shot through her window. Called police service of course because they were concerned about

1	it. Police services showed up and said,
2	"well, it's looks a little bit like a B B or
3	pellets or something like that, but I am not
4	quite sure what it is," and he left. So she
5	said, "Well, isn't there going to be some
6	further investigation? Aren't you going to
7	come back and see what happened? " So what
8	did the University do; they changed the
9	window for her. So she got a new window.
10	MR. HOFF: But there was no other
11	investigation?
12	MR. JAGBANDHANGSINGH: That was the
13	extent of it.
14	MR. HAND: They didn't locate the
15	projectile
16	MR. JAGBANDHANGSINGH: As far as I was
17	told, that was it. The police said that
18	was going to be the end of it.
19	MR. HAND: The University police
20	officer?
21	MR. JAGBANDHANGSINGH: Right.
22	MR. HAND: Are you permitted or
23	discouraged from calling state or city police
24	if there is an incident or you are just
25	supposed to call the University and no one
	II

-

3

2

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

else?

MR. JAGBANDHANGSINGH: Supposedly the University of Vermont police or police officers, which is another interesting thing. This I don't have the exact date this happened, but there was another student of color this year who was with several other people, students of color, with a group of whites, and the whites jumped them or began beating them and they were largely outnumbered; something like twenty to five, I was told, and when security came, or police came, they were accosted as if they were the ones who perpetrated this entire incident. Which is funny, there was the same exact thing that took place three years ago when people started creating a stink about what happened to me when I was on campus and we complained about it. We wanted to make sure -- why is it that here we are being attacked by three large rather drunk men. Why is it when security finally came even though Asian friends of ours called security, they assumed that we were the ones, even though we were in the process of getting beat

up, we are the ones that had started the entire incident and held us down while the whites who had jumped us ran out of the building. There is really not anyplace where you can turn to look for help.

MR. CHENEY: We are running about a half hour late. Mr. Walker. Is Mr. Walker here?
No; okay. Mr. Takayama.

MR. TAKAYAMA: I am a Junior here at the University of Vermont. My major is Asian Studies and History. I am an art minor. My parents, my father is half Chinese and half Japanese. My mother is a born and bred native Vermonter. I was born in Hawaii and raised in Vermont. Now I reside in Nashua, New Hampshire.

I would just like to say that I believe strongly in that you are determined not by what you are called but by what you answer to, but that doesn't give the University an excuse to leave students of color and other alternative groups of students, such as homosexuals and women without the means to improve themselves or have themselves defended as equal members of the community.

In fact I feel this University has done much to exacerbate the problem through the examples my peers have presented to this committee. Specific examples, being the hostility that was met with the Waterman takeover and other decenting movements that have occurred on campus.

I also feel strongly that the University is responsible for the lack of communication that keeps these students and this general attitude of fear and hate on this campus alive so that it can fan itself in different areas, and it can also continue without being remedied or approached at all.

I feel that the time is sorely too late to give a comfort to those who have been affected already, but I call on the University to try to alleviate the problems arising in the future, and especially for me, part Japanese, my family suffered greatly from the attitude of white America that prevailed during World War II.

My family was not interned because they lived in Hawaii, but they were moved and were accosted, and their privileges were taken

away as United States citizens, even though
they resided in U.S. territory. They were
accosted for being Japanese or Asian, and I
am a product of that hate. That was fifty
years ago, but it still exists today.

The example I give you is that today
America is in a general trend of buy

American; anything that is American has to be preserved, and those who don't measure up to the American yardstick that good old Uncle Sam pulls out, they aren't fit, they are cast aside; and the example that I see growing predominantly is that this University specifically does not want to offer Japanese language; with that language course can come a whole other slew of humanitarian social scientific courses dealing with Japanese and Asian American, and our roles within these enter-relationship between those two cultures and races. I feel that there is a growing trend to buy American and preserve America and preserve the sanctity of America, that this University in this respect will not be meeting the call to offset hate crime, to offset this reinvigorating of

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

racism in our society and in our campus community and within our social communities outside of the University; and I note specifically many times Dean Howard Ball has been addressed by faculty members that I am close with to get a Japanese language program and a social scientific program along withit.

It's a developmental phase, that everything will come along with their language program hopefully.

He has been addressed many times to install one of these courses at the University. It has been offered on an introductory level, to my knowledge, only once, and it was mostly open to community members who are continuing education program. It was not specifically designed or geared for student enrollment.

Many times he has been addressed this issue, and I have not yet from my academic peers ever heard his response to this issue; and again, we had just met recently before the term ended last semester, to again address another ultimatum to install a Japanese language program and other

21

22

23

24

25

non-essential programs on this campus, and the person who addressed this was a chair of the Asian Department Professor Al Andrews. I have yet to hear a response from the Arts and Science Department or Dean Howard

I think this is one example of how this University can take a situation which is ensuing across American and ensuing on this campus and alleviate it before it grows out of hand; but as in many case, I don't see the University taking a prescriptive role in trying to determine how we are going to solve things on this campus.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much. are running about half an hour beyond. (An off-the-record discussion was held.)

MR. VROOMAN: My name is Bruce Vrooman and I am in Environmental Studies, and I am a senior. I would like to discuss the formation of the Environmental Studies Department, which parallels that of the Ethnic Studies Department.

Environmental Studies major is a relatively new major which was developed in

response to student demand. It is interdisciplinary in nature and each student in the program has their own focus, selecting courses from the different departments, also enrolling in a core curriculum. There appears to be similar demands for an Ethnic Studies

Department.

I did not take a race and cultural course, but I feel would have been better educationed had I taken it. I don't agree with mandating all students to take this course, or the courses, but I do feel that students should be able to draw courses from the Ethnic Studies Department if they so choose.

The Environmental Studies major is the fastest growing major, not because of the environmental industry, which is rapidly growing, but because it offers students responsibility and individual focus. The courses are accredited as would be those in the Ethnics Department.

I would like to mention problems that are growing in academic fields such as

environmental studies is experiencing. The demand for this department has not been me with adequate supplies on behalf of the University. As a result if the school is to maintain academic quality, it would need to add department members or else limit enrollment to this particular department. What a shame it would be if qualified students could not take the course they so desire.

I would like to address my role as
Student Association President. There has
been said by a member of the Department of
Multicultural Affairs that a white in a
position of power is a racist. I would like
to dispell this notion with a constructive
approach. One of my roles is to ensure
that students perspective is hears by the
ears and minds of the administrators of
this University, who are paid to make changes
from the status quo.

A group of fifteen students from the

President's Advisory Committee, which is just

beginning to meet with President Salmon, we

have scheduled meetings for one half hour

every other week, and our role is intended 1 2 to serve him with our perspectives on one or two issues at a time. 3 Presently we are advising him on the 5

issues of advising on this campus. We have done research on this issue, which would provide him with perspective and a briefinig for him.

This same group could be sought by him for other issues of concern, including cultural diversity. This will only be effective if he honestly wants our perspective.

Members of the Cultural Organization are on this committee; but I will begin to worry if he doubts our creditability or if our meetings him are token. As of now I trust this University administration. I trust its sincerety so long as it continues to value the perspectives of mainstream students and those who feel oppressed by the system. I feel we need to speak up and I feel that he needs to be accepting of our views which do differ.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much.

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you all. I think I better shut off your time, because we have intruded on others. At the end of the day if there is anyone you wants to submit something in writing or if you want to stay, you are more them welcome. Thank you.

Our next ponel is a panel of University of Vermont administrators. There has been a court reporter request that you read slowly so that she can get a verbatim transcript.

Our first panelist is Delmas Taylor, the Provost of the University.

MR. TAYLOR: My name is Dalmas Taylor,

Provost and Senior Vice President of the

University of Vermont. I represent a very

short history from what I believe to be a

long historical context.

I came to the University of Vermont in July of 1991, but I think it's important to phrase my perspective and experiences and what I perceive to be a long historical commitment to issues of equality and justice at this institution.

The institution just this past year celebrated its Bicentennial, two hundred

years since it was founded, and as I look at that history, I see as far back as 1871, the principles of equality and justice and its charter are manifest in its commitment to equality by becoming the first eastern state University to admit women. Indeed the first two women who graduated from this institution graduated Phi Beta Kappa.

A few year laters in 1877, it's first black graduated from this University, again with Phi Beta. Kappa distinction and went on to teach theology at Eller Forest University in Ohio.

Since the 1870s the numbers of the African American and multicultural students at UVM has been small, but there has been a presence.

In the '70s an environment of Civil
Rights activity which had its impact
throughout the nation showed some promise
here, in that there were increasing numbers
of African American and multicultural faculty
and students admitted to the University. As
a matter of fact in the College of Education,
four black faculty were hired during that era

by the Dean.

2 3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the '80s I think there was a step-up of that activity as I reviewed the history. Now am I saying that I think the University of Vermont is perfect or that it is aggressive or does as much as it can in this The answer is absolutely no; but what area. I am saying, by this history and by my presence at the University and in this forum is that there is a commitment here to increase the diversity of the student body, of the faculty, and in the curriculum.

Is the progress as rapid as any of us would like to see, the answer is no; unequivocally no; but I think there are reasons for that.

George Bush in his State of the Union message indicated that Congress could even show the aging process. I think to some extent George Bush was also characterizing the Academy, the University, because of their governing arrangement and in which improvements in curriculum go through many committees and often take years before they are ever perfected and implemented, sometimes

greeted with impatience by some among the faculty, and especially among the students, and that is especially understood when the faculty and students are here for usually a finite period of four years. That kind of presence means automatically there is an impatience with the rate of change.

Now the drama on this campus increased in the '80s with the takeover of the administration building, and from that was a response from the administration to do some things that I think in retrospect many here have concluded that the promises were greater than the administration itself could deliver; especially in areas where that reflects or relates to the curriculum, because again, the curriculum is the responsibility of the faculty.

But I think it's fair to say that the administration nonetheless affirms with the support of the Board of Trustees and its reaffirmation to the issues of cultural diversity and curriculum modifications in this regard.

I think it's also appropriate to

frame these issues in the context of why the University is doing this, and I can certainly speak to that in terms of my own perspectives and philosophy on the matter.

I do come to those issues with a great deal of experience, both personally and professionally, and to me they are framed in a philosophy and in an ideology that addresses the larger historical and societal problems that we experience here at UVM, because I can assure you that that problem is not peculiar or specific to this campus or this state.

We need no recitation of the history of this country and it's Constitutional convention and the way in which some of us were defined and the provisions that were required subsequent to that ratification of that document that granted us citizenship, creating rights, and the struggle we have had to make each of those a reality.

Those issues still play themselves out in the institutional fabric or in the fabric of all institutional life in this country.

UVM is no exception and as a matter of fact

may stand as an aggregated instance or example because of the geographic isolation and the near 99% presence of the caucasian race in this state.

I think it makes the task more difficult but the commitment is there. How do I know the commitment is there. One, I can say I know the commitment is there because I have a large role to play in it and I know what my personal commitment is and I experience no significant frustration or resistance to my implementation of that.

I am the one who issued the report that the student critized earlier on the panel. She did indicate that all the persons that she wrote to about that report. Provost's office, that was the only one that responded to her.

Let me elaborate the issues that she raised in that report because I think it's the clarification is something that at least from perspective, the criticism and/or the criticisation leaves no room for or necessity for apology.

We printed some data that showed the

increase in the undergraduate enrollment of multicultural students between the years of 1986 and '91. We arbitrarily selected a five-year window, simply as a manner of tracking the progress from one point to another; and what we showed was that there was an increase of 124%.

These are small numbers so big

percentages can be deceptive, but the

increase, to give you a small number was from

two hundred two students to four hundred

fifty-two between the years of 1986 and '91.

Now what the student called to our attention was that between the years of I believe it was '90 and '91 there had been a drop from roughly four hundred eighty some students to four hundred fifty-two, and that's accurate; but the trend of the enrollment of multicultural students, undergraduate level, between those five years, is indeed a positive curve increase. That was all we were trying to present, and I am candidly aware that statistics can say in many instances misleading things, but I don't think this statistics is misleading because

the narrative and the context framed the point that we were trying to make.

The document that was submitted, and I am willing to leave this document here, also makes clear that no one and certainly not the author released this statement to to suggest that there is any basis for pride, but that this document reflected programs between those periods.

It is also the case that when I came to this campus one of the things I constantly heard was there were no course offering in that and the University is not doing that, and as I asked people it occurred to me there were many students and faculty who could not cite with any agree of precision what it was that University was doing, so we undertook a survey and found it strange no one had done this before, but our survey showed us that there are many courses on this campus that address issues of multicultural diversity, Africanism and so forth.

Now once again, am I saying by that collation of that information that we are doing enough, absolutely not; but that

statement, that collation makes it clear that we are doing something; and the only other way my office can proceed in this environment is to at least identify a baseline so that in future years we can measure whatever changes there are against that baseline.

So the collation that we have put together is a baseline and we have collected from the faculty a number of courses that have been identified either by title for content to address themselves to some aspect of that. How well that is represented in the catalog, I am not sure, nor have I made attempts to verify or validate what I have received from the faculty, so that again has to be accepted in that level.

I also have a list here. This is a recent submission because we are now asking deans and directors to give us on a quarterly basis progress in their units in the area of cultural diversity and affirmative action reports, and while cooperation with that request hasn't been 100%, I can say that from the last quarterly report we have an itemized statement from each dean and director except

one, of two or three pages of what has occurred between December and February. I am not sure about the dates. I have them here. Maybe it's October and February or October and December, but we are collecting on a regular basis.

One of the things that I have here is a list of approximately 24 faculty who are now working on specific courses that deal with -- I am just citing some of the titles.

Howard Ball is involved in a course in Civil Rights in American; the Social Minority, working with cultural diverse art sources; in re Women in American Politics, Native America Economic History and Reorienting the Western Landscape; Race Relations in the U.S., just to name a few of the courses on that list.

Now again those are courses that are under development, so I make no claim that you will find them in the printed bulletin, but I do make a claim that they represent the sensitivity of our faculty and strive towards improvement of the curriculum which currently exists.

Finally I would simply add that the

current administration has joined the commitment that has been passed down through prior administrations, I think in a very aggressive way. I have cited you some of the initiatives from the academic side of the house.

I would not rush to belittle the forum or the ritual or the opportunity for infusion at that time expression particularly the one most recently instituted or initiated by the President, which was a response to the hate incident on this campus.

There was a gathering on this campus at the Ira Allen Chapel, of the Governor, religious leaders from various denominations and various representatives from the educational community, the business community, and most impressively a group of grade school and high school children from the Williston community, whose participation in that program was a recitation of Martin Luther King's "I Have a Dream" speech.

Is there racism at UVM? Of course.

There is racism throughout America. Are we addressing racism at UVM? This Provost would

say unequivocably, yes; and we are addressing it by being very aggressive in terms of faculty appointments that we approve at the Provost level and by pressing more firmly in the Admissions office the recruitment of students and by pushing more firmly and aggressively with the faculty both providing reinforcement and support for their efforts in modifying the curriculum and pushing them beyond where they are.

This institution has provided special incentive funds for faculty to do recruitment and provide special incentive funds for curricula innovation.

We are delighted to have this forum and to listen to the perception of what we are doing and how well we are doing. We are here to adjoin those issues and I would issue an open call to any students that we in the administration are willing to meet with members on any occasion where there can be civil and rationale debate and discourse.

I will make that perfectly clear, that in my judgment, I don't think that occurs in a corridor outside of offices by groups of

students who drop in impromptuitively, as if the only way to have this dialogue is to disrupt our work and the ongoing activities and efforts of the University. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I would asked this panel to hold to their questions.

MR. ANDREAS: As indicated I am Rosalind E. Andreas. I have been Vice President for Student Affairs here at the University since August of '89, and during the time that I have been here, it has certainly been very clear that tensions exists on this campus, and I think you have heard that very, very clearly, not only from in the very clear voices of students this morning, but also in the Provost's comments.

I think I was aware first off when I arrived that the tensions were strongest between students and administration, as students were pushing aggressively for currucular change and for changes in recruitment and retention.

Although it was clear even in that first year that there were student-to-student

1.1

tension, and I-certainly remember an incident where one of our African American students, who was also a gay man, was denied acceptance into a fraternity, and from that several intervention things happened on the campus that began to indicate us more about issues of gay and lesbian members of our community as well.

It was also about at that time that

Vermont passed the Hate Crime Law, which made

it very clear a Constitutional illegal act in

intimidating, assaulting or threatening

others in our state and community. That

was also an issue of clear discrimination

for us to deal with on the campus.

In 1990-91, we also early in the year had an incident of what might be called ethno-violence, in which one of our Latino students had very hateful graffiti on the outside of her hall and the residential community came together right away to form a supportive community to investigate it vigorously and charges were filed under the Vermont Hate Crimes Law. We were able to identify who the individuals had been. They

were not students, and that was taken forward.

We also during that time period had an incident of anti-semetic language on the bulletin board, and again, we moved to work with the counseling center and staff and Residential Life to begin intervention in that hall as well, to confront people with the act of bigotry and begin to educate.

Certainly then during the year the tensions increased during the year culminating in the occupation of Waterman in the spring which has been referenced and the follow-up where students have continued to very vigorously push, there needs to be change at this University and to vigorously push away this racism in our society and the symbolic structure on the green, it became a way of teaching on this issue.

This fall, right about the time of the torching of the symbolic structure, just previous to that, we had supported with a network of faculty and staff a gay and lesbian individual who chose to come out during National Coming Out Day, and we knew

25

1

at that time that we were teaching on that issue that that would bring out the fear and ignorance and the uninformed behavior, and several of the students that identified that did happen and we immediately did an intervention at that residential hall. In fact, one of our panel was the key in helping that intervention occur, that people's ignorance and misunderstanding could be confronted, but right about that time, we were made aware by the Office of Multicultural Affairs that we were just having an increasing number of incidents of racial harassment, illegal acts and intimidation.

I would like to talk then about the steps we have taken, because I think that's critical for campuses. As I talk with my colleagues across the country, they too are having to deal with acts of bigotry, illegal acts of hate, and act that are hate crime, and all of us need to figure out how can we put in place those procedures, though policies, those practices that confront and begin to stop; because we are not safe

_

campuses for our students in this kind of climate and environment nor for our faculty and staff, who are targets of any of this.

A campus complaint intervention team was formed with representatives from Student Affairs, Police Service, Counsel Center, Affirmative Action, the Office of Multicultural Affairs and faculty. We brought in someone from the religious community as well, so that we could approach as many angles as possible. We identified a protocol that we could put into police reporting, because it's important to the for someone to report.

We began to gather all the knowledge we have about incidents that were occurring on campus and make sure we were all communicating very clearly, first of all to give support to the unfortunate recipients of these activities, and have a vigorous investigation, and then also beginning to communicate to the campus what was happening; because if you are going to build an open and honest campus, you have to be open and honest about the problems your facing.

We used resources such as a video that has been used on other campuses called "Still Burning," which helps identify procedures for dealing with ethno-violence; resources from national associations. We then planned, since all of this was happening right during the time of exams, on the advise of the Director of the Officer of Multicultural Affairs, we needed to time our activities right at the beginning of the new semester, as everybody was coming back.

The Provost and deans supported by writing a letter to all students clarifying that we could not condone illegal acts on this campus. I wrote a letter to each student, not only clarifying what were illegal acts according to our own state bill, the rights and responsibilities, but according to the Vermont Hate Crime Law, identifing some of the unfortunate incidents that we were having on the campus and also asked for students to join in helping to stop oppressive and violent acts so we could build a more varying community.

We asked for reporting. We asked for

individuals to-speak out whenever any
harassing words were issued, whether they are
jokes that are sexist, racist, homophobic,
bigoted in any way, to speak out. To model
behavior and activity working to promote a
campus environment.

We have also worked to continue to gather allies. As Provost Taylor mentioned the January 17 celebration as a way for all of us in the state and community to recognize we have serious problems here in Vermont and we need to address them.

We knew also that once you begin to call a halt to illegal harassing acts there will be those who say, well, wait, speech is protected; and we evolved with great foresight, we worked to schedule a forum on hate speech and on January 22, we engaged in presentations and discussions on differentiating between speech that is protected and speech that is not protected, so that we can begin to continue to address these issues on our campus.

I have also had discussion with the Provost and Deans that I believe our

next forum that is very much needed for the campus is a forum on prejudice and racism.

One of the best things we do at the University is to teach and we have many resources on campus to teach and we need to be educated.

The campus climate intervention teams meet every other week to monitor what is happening on the campus. It is also working to develop educational opportunities in out-of-class settings. A poster series is up in all dining areas. Interventions are occurring in residences.

We call, we have what we call active and passive programs. Passive, which tends to be posters. Active programs tends to be events, and we also refer to remedial programming when we have an incident that we really need to get in hands-on and work with people on the floor, i.e. why did you do this; are you aware that this is illegal?

We also have actively investigated incidents. We have found some individuals who are allegedly responsible in two of theincidents and are going through the

internal adjudication process.

This is only a beginning. Our society faces conflicts which are deep-seated in our society, and we have much work to do, but I think the will is here to do the work and certainly the urgency to do the work is very clear in the voices of our students. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much.

MR. BALL: My name is Howard Ball, Dean of the UVM Arts and Science College. I just will highlight a few points relative to activities, educational activities and the college, and I have already given copy of some material that was submitted to another organization recently.

One of the students talked about patronage,

and I think what we are doing ironically given the criticism, responding I think to the criticisms with some very solid educational activities.

For example, one thing that comes out of a lot of the comments, speeches, protests that the lack of student impowerment, and I think that semester the

college has organized students of departments to develop student activities committees at the college level and department level, where students will be involved in interaction with faculty dealing with academic issues such as the quality of teaching, the quality of advising, and other issues that concern them relative to education.

We are moving very quick on this.

We are meeting weekly with a panel of twenty-two students, I and my colleagues in the college administration. This week for example we are getting into some major concerns that they have and are going to be identifying those, and then next we can get a chair meeting and we have chair meetings to deal with these issues. They will be meeting with the chairs and expressing their views to the chair so we can have further interaction.

We are responding to the question of empowerment. We believe that students who are primary constituency should hav a role, should have a voice in the activities that surround them, educational, advising,

February 10, 1992

admissions and so on.

Secondly, as the Provost has pointed out, we are in the process of implementing a new requirement for all Arts and Science students. The faculty, last March, after about a year of discussion, voted to require all incoming students to take at least a course, a three-credit course, from among a number of courses listed in the area of race and ethnicity in America. The faculty voted on it last March. Since then we have been meeting as a cohort.

If you are around tonight, I would invite you to attend a cohort meeting at six o'clock, to get a sense of the faculty who are working to develop these courses that will be offered next year.

Right now there are about 27 courses
that will be offered next year. The
requirement takes hold next year. We
needed the year to develop these courses so
the bulletin that you will have next year
will have as a fourth requirement, a Race
and Ethnicity in America requirement.

We have changed our orientation session.

Every summer, June and July and in August we have orientation sessions with every one of the first year students and transfer students who come to UVM. This means almost a thousand students. Last year we added an hour and a half to every one of these sessions dealing with race and ethnicity. This year we are adding another two hours to that.

It's difficult because it's a tight schedule, but we I think it's important enough, and we have had presentations to all of those students last year and will continue to have those with respect to questions of race, ethnicity and discrimination and hate speech.

It's led to some very
interesting dialogues. Some people like what
we are doing and others don't, but it's
appropriate to raise these to begin the
dialogue at least at this level and hopefully
the dialogue will be there at the junior high
and elementary school level, but what we are
doing at orientation is addressing these.

We are addressing the retention not only

February 10, 1992

of students but also faculty issues. We also have changed requirements with regard tor ecruitment of faculty to unsure we have the widest possible pool of candidates.

We have done other things, such as teaching other such programs in order to address these issues, so I don't think that this is patronage. I think it's an effort on the part of faculty and staff and student to address some serious problems that are nationwide.

I think we are doing what educators should be doing, responding to prejudice and hate in the only way we can, and that is through courses and activities in the curriculum with orientation and with recruitment and retention.

Let me say a final word with regard to Japanese. This gets to some planning and management at the college. I have spoken to the faculty about this. We had to deal with the Chinese language problem to begin with. We had one faculty, and I said to the Asian faculty that we have to develop some strengths in Chinese, and then go to

Japanese rather than getting one Japanese and one Chinese faculty.

What we have done is to increase the number of Chinese faculty, and at this point we are turning to this other issue with regard to foundations and activities, but it's coming at the time when we have a budget crunch.

Last year I have had a delay in this kind of activity because I took a two hundred thousand dollar budget cut, which meant vacant lines were gone.

So we have a plan and the Asians do know about the plan, but they also know there is a budget crunch, and we had to deal with the Chinese language, since that is is already on board. We have a strength in that, and then turn to Japanese language needs, so I suppose it's a part of a communication process, but certainly this has not been an effort to derail. It's simply trying to get first things first, as is said somewhere. That'sa ll I have to say.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Mr. Patterson.
MR. PATTERSON: I am Rodney

Patterson, Director of UVM Multicultural Affairs.

I thought it was pretty interesting to begin with, to see that I was characterized as an administrator on campus, and the reason why I say that is because I normally think of administrative people as people that have the ability, capability of impacting change within the institution. I feel very much I am disempoweered on this campus as a result of who I am and what I do.

I know that there has been some clarifying on my part, as well as within the institution, trying to help me in clarifying so to speak challenge me in my thinking, to decide what my role really is, and am I really an advocate for students or am I an advocate for the administration of the institution and a what point do I cross that thin line.

I think more appropriately, I consider myself as an advocate for multiculturalism, which means I am not an advocate for a racist institution; but I am an advocate for multiculturalism within a

racist institution, so it oftentimes puts me in juxtaposition against institution and that is why I feel disempowered.

I have a lot of responses to a lot of what has been said today. I am not going to argue that the institution has a commitment. I am not going argue that point, because I believe in the minds of many people around here that the institution really does believe that it has a commitment to diversity the climate on the campus community.

My certain is that it's so miniscule it's not even funny. The reason why I say that is because I often hear Marion Metavier Read, who is the executive officer, another disempowered administrator on this campus, the Executive Office for Affirmative Action, say that the problem with the institution is that we are trying to use bandaid remedies for cancerous problems.

The reason why I am inclined to agree with her is because as I look historically, which I would invite my colleagues to do as well, being that I am the

February 10, 1992

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

longest tenured quote unquote administrator on this panel at this point, to look historically at what has happened at this institution.

There has been a document to diversify this place in the '60s and there was a follow-up document to deversity in place in the '70's; and there is a follow-up document to diversity in place in the '80s. We are presently in the process of creating yet another follow-up document that is supposed to be the end-all to all other documents in the '90s; so historically we see that the cancer within this institution continues to permeate throughout this institution and it's not getting better. It's getting worse, and the reason why is because instead of using the kinds of methods that medical practitioners have told us that need to be used to address these kinds of problems, we are still looking for bandaid remedies.

We need to get to the core of
the problem, and even if we don't, if all we
can do is put the institution in a state

of remission, then we know that in order to do that based upon what we know about cancer it means that we are going to have to induce sickening kinds of remedies, radiology therapy, and the effects of that are ver ydiscomforting for the individual that is going through it, but quite necessary, and that is what needs to happen on this campus.

So I say that to say that all of what I see happening are miniscule efforts that are being made are not going to cut the mustard.

I was disturbed by the report that the Provost put out, once again, recently, because he said that, and I believe this, he said that it was not his intent to help people to perceive the wrong message, but unfortunately people do.

People read documents and infer
things that may not be meant. I noticed a
mistake first of all on the first page
regarding the award from the National
Region to the race and culture course, and
it says that "Sam Battleberg, Director of

February 10, 1992

Race and Culture curriculum has won the network for educational equity and ethnic diversity award, called NEED, but I get concerned about that, because first of all Sam Battleberg didn't win it. The institution won it. And if the truth needs to be told about it, it's the students that won it, because if the students didn't take over the building in '88, there would be no race and cultural courses.

Another problem that I had as I looked at this document was that there are listings of the courses that are offered related to race and culture on race and ethnicity in our campus. We talk about the one-credit course that is offered at four colleges. We also talked about the College of Arts and Science and the School of Nursing, requiring students to take a three-credit course in race ethnicity. That has not yet occurred. That is supposed to occur in the future. That is a bit inaccurate.

We also talk about Business

Administration offering an elective

б

two-credit course. It's still not required, and then the College of Engineering and Math offering a one-credit course to be required next year again.

When I think about that, I am insulted that we would even offer this as a sign that there is a true commitment to this institution to diversify, because if you compare how many credits are required for student to graduate, again how many credits are required for students to learn about the equity of all human beings; all peoples, specifically a lot of people in our community and in our society, you will see a disheartening story, picture.

Some of the other things that struck me as concerns and problematic on our campus were the efforts that were bosted by others concerning the recent activities of both the President and the Dean of Arts and Science, the forum with the Governor and some of the other people within the community coming together.

The reason why those activities took place was the result of the institution

showing that it had a true commitment to verbalizing to this community that hate crimes are deplorable and will not be tolerated.

I shared with Rosalind Andreas, my
boss, before that forum of the President's
took place that I felt like it was a slap in
the face. I am inclined to even feel more
strongly that that is true now, and the
reason is because even after the President
had all of these people come together,
followed by statements that he made about how
deplorable hate crimes are, he later said on
a radio interview and in the newspaper that
UVM is not a racist institution despite what
the activists on campus say.

And if a person who is sitting at the helm of this institution doesn't acknowledge that it's racist presently and that it was built upon the premises of racism, then that concerns me, because this is the person that is supposed to be leading us to an anti-racist agenda, and helping us to become an anti-racist institution.

I also have the same concern about

the teaching, because the teaching was supposed to be like the President's forum, helping people to understand the problems that we have here, and to clarify and send a strong message to people that these acts will not be tolerated.

Both of them wrote letters to the campus and I applauded that, but my problem is, the follow-up activity that occurs. Instead of that teach-in docusing on hate crimes it began by focusing on hate speech, and instead of people walking away with the impression that hate crimes are deplorable, they walked away with the misunderstanding and more confusion about what should be tolerated and what should not be tolerated.

So to say that that was a successful program is ludicrous to me, if you look at what the intent and motivation for having the program was in the first place.

I am also concerned that in an institution that celebrates a Bicentennial that we disregard that the Native people of his area continuously tell us and help us

to be reminded-that Ira Allen came to this place when it was preoccupied by Native people, killed the people, took the land and established an institution, and those people now can't get an education here without paying for it and the land is planned preoccupied. There is no mention of that throughout the Bicentennial celebration.

It's those things that lead me to believe that there is a systemic cancerous problem that continues to permeate this institution and it's not going to change unless it happens at the core.

Finally I want to say that I am concerned because the institution is now talking about undergoing strategic planning. At the same time I mentioned that there is a committee of people who have come together to talk about strategically revolutionizing this place and making it an anti-racist place.

Unfortunately the majority of the people on that committee are polarized in his own perspective of where the institution is and what the institution needs to be doing,

number one; and number two, as the person who was selected as the chair of this committee, I have yet to talk to anybody about the simultaneous strategic planning that the institution is doing to revolutionize the institution at large, and that concerns me.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. HOFF: I have one question. I don't

I fully understand and I suspect I am pretty
typical of the nature of the promises that
were made in 1988. What happened to those
promises?. They apparently have not been
kept. Why were they not kept, and the
reason for their not being kept?

With that I will wait for guestions.

MS. ANDREAS: A report might be helpful to the panel, which was prepared by Marion Metevier Read a year ago, in which essentially the same question was asked. The Waterman Agreement of 1988 forged with Lattie Coor addressed four basic areas; areas of curriculum, area of recuitment and retention of students; areas of recruitment and retention of faculty and staff and other

policies.

After Lattie Coor left, assessment was made of progress on that decree and progress was short in a number of areas.

There had been completed progress in other areas -- and I should say progress not completed progress. There had been movement, and then with the beginning of George Davis' presidency there was work on what are our next steps and where are we going?

Students had asked for an endorsement of the agreement, and the language of agreement was, "I will try, as President."

Working for curricular changes in this University involves working through the appropriate faculty bodies and curridular bodies to bring about change.

Students wanted President Davis to
endorse that agreement that we continued to
move on that. The President felt it was of
greater importance to have a Trustee
resolution which would indicate it is
important for us to have a clear mandate that
will address curricular change, that will
address recruitment and retention of both

students and faculty and will address policy changes.

The committee which Rodney Patterson referenced, the Committee on Institutional Diversity, has been looked to to frame the elements of that strategic plan for the institution, but I think it would be useful for the Commission to look at the report thatwas prepared. I believe it was February 2; is that right?

MR. CHENEY: I don't have that. We will get a copy and have that as part of the record.

MR. PATTERSON: I want to respond to the question too and I am glad that you said earlier that you wanted us to be blatantly honest, because I feel I need to do that.

I felt like -- this is my own personal opinion, people may not agree with me. I feel like Lattie Coor was inclined to believe that he could bring about change within the institution when he signed that document, and I think that he knew it would not be the easiest thing to do, because he was met

by much opposition, particularly among the faculty, and even among administrators with whom he worked most closely; but I think that what happened was Lattie Coor decided to go into the corridor with the students alone, without other administrators, with a couple of faculty that he reached the conclusion after being convinced by the students that the need to change this place was so necessary that he set out to do that, and he was criticized from the day he signed that document until the day he left.

When George Davis came on campus, again
I was inclined to believe this is a person
that geniunely believed those changes needed
to take place and wanted to see them happen.
Unfortunately he didn't know how to do it,
and didn't have the kind of guidance and
support from administration to assist him in
doing it.

I think what happened to George Davis was, he saw how much the institution at large was against making the agreement happen, and did not want to send a message to the students that it could in fact happen, when

he didn't have the power or leverage nor the support to make it happen.

At that point I tried to get the President to sit down with the students before the students returned to campus and to avail himself to the students upon their return a panel of students which was a part of the '88 Agreement, until they came up with something that was agreed upon by he and those students. That never happened, and I wasn't around to make sure that it would happen, because I had unfortunately been involved in an accident and was in the hospital for about three days and didn't return to campus for a few months.

I don't know what transpired during that time, but for me, that was probably the point at which the institution made its biggest mistake, and since that time it's gone downhill tremendously and continues to slide down the mountain at an enormously quick pace.

MR. TAYLOR: My reaction to that question, they have to be taken in the context that I was not here during those

events, and so-it's retrospective and borne out of a great deal of reading and listening, up against my sense of how the academy operates.

I would like to also suggest that in trying to understand how the academy operates, one has to understand how UVM operates, in that the flavor of the town meeting model permeates this institution, that leads me to the impression or the conclusion that no president, regardless of how strong he or she is, could individually cut a deal with students and be assured that he or she could carry it off. I think that at least in part is my understanding of why that was not workable.

MR. CHENEY: Mr. Ball.

MR. BALL: I just wanted to point out and follow up on the Provost's comments.

It took us, because curricular matters are faculty matters, it took us over a year to get the faculty in Arts and Science to a point where there was a vote that did support the change in the curriculum.

Curriculum is a sacrosanct prerogative

25

of the faculty from a faculty perspective. It took a year. Rodney is right. President can change curriculum. make promises. If promises are made, then it becomes difficult to deliver because the faculty are the ones who have to make those judgments, and as I said, from the time I came here, I came here just as Lattie Coor was leaving, from January '90 until the following February, my Associate Dean and I were in conferences with the faculty, meeting with them, department meetings, Arts and Science meetings, to discuss these issues, and I think the faculty moved very rapidly, given the nature of faculty movement on curricular matters, and since last March or February, when the vote was taken, we are developing courses, hopefully about thirty, that will be on line in September of '92.

So that I think is one of the dilemas associated with any kind of agreement, especially when you get into curriculum matters; but the fact is, and this is the sadness, while some are saying that we are going downhill, I am saying as a cohort in

Arts and Science, I am saying thirty courses that we will be offering. I am saying that very fundamental changes in the way we interact with students, along with impowerment of students, so I for one have seen what has been happening as having a very important impact on college life here.

I have been in a number of places and this is a place where action and change has occurred very rapidly in about the two years since I have been here, with regard to orientation, with regard to improvement of students and with regard to curriculum change and residential and recruitment and other activities; so from my perspective there has been a great deal of change. A great of positive change in response to some very important messages, and I don't see from my perspective a slide downhill. I see another very clear direction taken.

MR. PATTERSON: I have a couple of things to say in response. If you are standing still and motion passes you, it doesn't look like it's going quickly, but if you are riding in that which is moving, then

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

you don't think it's going as fast as it seems as when you are standing still.

If you look at the institution from an historical, not a two-year perspective as Dean Ball is describing, but from an historical pespective, you will notice it is moving at a snail's pace. It's moving at a snail's pace and it's not as accelerated as Dean Ball is making it seem first of all.

Secondly, I think that one of the major problems with this institution is that students have been and continue to be disempowered on this campus because we are not only dealing with a racism issue, we are also dealing with a class issue, and I think the reason why that's the case, an example of how it's most apparent for me as a constituency working within the campus community is the fact that we so proudly as an institution claim that the power of the curriculum lies within the hands of the faculty; you have heard Dean Ball say today that students are our primary contingent, but students do not have the ability, nor do they have the power to implement curriculum change

on this campus. They do not. There are no students who have voting privileges in change in curriculum on this campus, so tell me, what is power; and tell me who are going to empower students without giving them voting privileges.

Meeting students doesn't do that.

Meeting students have left from sitting around meetings with people around here are so frustrated and so burned out that they refuse to meet again.

Just to tell you what I am taking about, the Provost said today, and has said in other forums, that the administration is willing to talk to students, to have open conversation, to have civil dialogue, quote unquote, open dialogue, but then when the President set out to do that just a few days ago, last week, last Wednesday, the President first of all sent a letter to those students saying we can have open dialogue, but we cannot discuss items one through five, which is to say that we can have open dialogue, but we are going to decide upon which items that dialogue will be open. That is not open

dialogue. That is a contradictory statement.

MR. CHENEY: I will give you a chance

to comment, but we are about an hour behind and I want to hear from Susan Sussman, the Executor director of Human Rights.

MR. TAYLOR: I understand what Rodney is saying, but I think there is also an understanding of what the President did, and I think you have to draw your own conclusions, because there is a wider interpretation there.

Just to take one of those issues that Rodney alluded to had to do with the students insistance, I believe the word they used was demand, that the students who were physically dismissed from the University be reinstated and we are asking the President to do that.

Now as it turns out the facts bears on those students were dismissed for academic reasons, and this issue has reverberated through the corridors of this institution for a number of months now, and when it first reached my ears and former President George Davis's ears, what was said to the student is

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that these are individual matters.

If those students want to petition the basis for their dismissal, there is a process within the University by which that can go forward.

Secondly, as administrator or faculty, we are not allowed to discuss private student matters within a group of students. were personal and student issues that belonged with that student in question.

Now Dean Ball can perhaps respond to this, but to my knowledge neither the two students in question has ever appealed their academic dismissal, and until that process is engaged, I don't think there is a wider and certainly not in the forum being proposed.

So I think it's both intellectual and personally honest for the President to say I can't discuss matters with you. It would be improper; and further, there is nothing he could do.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you.

MR. HAND: Looking through here, I see a number of courses, history, arts literature, music, sociology, and theology, all sorts of

things that appear to me to be multicultural.

Now I see a list of some of them say they are offered alternate years, so I am not sure although they are listed they are offered at all.

I think it would help this panel a great deal if we could sometime in the next few weeks obtain a list of what courses of that sort, the ones that may address religion that is not western, or language or history or anything else, which ones were offered last fall or this spring and what the enrollment is in each, and if there were any people turned away; in other words, if any of these class were full and there were others who wished to take them and could not take them. If you would provide that information, we would much appreciate it.

MR. BALL: If I can say with regard to the courses that are being involved, at this point, we are going through a process. We have a curriculum committee at this college and there has been a sub-committee to review these areas. There have been about two of those courses that have gone through the

subcommittee and are in the curriculum committee.

There have been about eleven courses
that have been approved proffered by the Arts
and Science faculty just a week ago. We
fully expect that most of those --

MR. HAND: I am just interested in the ones that are listed in '91 and '92 and let's see where it goes. We are supposed to be talking about retention, and that seems to be implicit in what we are saying is somehow if we have greater diversity, we won't have serious tensions.

It's quite possible quite the contrary will occur, that increased diversity will enhance tensions, and so I guess what concerns me, does that mean if indeed there will be more enhanced tension that we want to diminish the University's commitment to cultural diversity, and I just know that because I know it's a very pertinent point.

MR. TAYLOR: Interesting proposition and I think on the face of it, your perspective is quite correct. As you introduce more and more change, there is

going to be more and more tension.

I think as the Presdient said, if you want to, it has to do with crops and plowing up the ground, you can't have a crop if you don't stir up the ground. That is why I

philosophical logical context. There are different perspectives on this panel of the same issues. As you notice with the students, there are perceptions as well as facts. We have problems of communication and making what our efforts are more visible to the total community and making the total community more aware.

(Continued on Page 110)

O

(An off-the-record discussion was held.)

MR. CHENEY: We will start with the UVM faculty and Mary Jane Dickerson, who teaches in the English Department.

MRS. DICKERSON: Thank you. I am on the faculty of UVM and have been since 1966.

When Dalmas Taylow spoke to the history of the struggle that has gone on at UVM it brought home to me that I have been here -
I have been here through all of the documents generated that Rodney Patterson listed and have been here and I hope if have been a part of the struggle and not part of the problem.

I teach courses such as the African

American Literature. Every course that I

teach I am fortunate in that I can teach

writers who represent the broadest diversity

of voices in America literature.

Because I do so, it means that I have the kind of contact with students and have been a part of a lot that has gone on here, and I can sympathize very much with Karl and others who speak of their dissatisfaction and how difficult it is to affect change.

I can say, and I have searched my heart and my mind, and I can say that I believe fully that without the student demonstrations and activities of 1988, and without the more painful demonstrations of the spring of 1991, the changes that Howard Ball spoke of, the more rapid changes, would never have taken place; and I say that with shame.

In my second year as a teacher in UVM's English Department, Martin Luther King was assassinated, and in the turmoil that followed I think many of us came of age in the certain way that maybe we hadn't come of age before.

For me, living in a state in which I was around more white people than I had ever been around in my whole like before, I began fully to confront what it meant to be white in our society. I had to learn to question that.

I have been fortunate to be able to question that in the context of probably the most exciting body of literature being produced by Americans today. I had to come to terms with who I wanted to be and

what kind of a world I wanted to be a part of; but in 1968, I think if I could have anticipated such a hearing as the one being conducted today, surely I would have brushed such a possibility aside, because even in Vermont, we should have learned how in the interval from 1968 to 1992 to transform the oppressive values in our society into self-awareness and liberation from the dehumanizing effects of racism.

But this semester, and I have just come back from a year's Sabbatical, in a course entitled "Literature of Black America," I listened once again to students slipping into "we" and "they" that is keeping them at a distance from the voices speaking to them in poetry and prose; and with only three students of color in the class, it will take another long semester for most students to begin to enter into what it's like as Bell Hooks describes it, to look both from the outside in and from the insight out, focusing attention on the center as well as on the margin.

What I try to do in my courses is to

show, through various approaches in reading and writing, how vital it is that we understand what poet Audre Lorde's words mean for each one of us, "We share a common interest, survival, and it cannot be pursued in isolation from others simply because their differences make us uncomfortable." Her simple word speak eloquently to what I think is the most urgent issue testing U.S. democracy. It's even worth the anger many students on this campus have expressed in their actions over the past year at the University of Vermont.

At the risk of oversimplification, I believe things have changed on this campus during the last four years. I am speaking just of the last four years. One incident from the remote past twenty years ago, I was asked if the course I teach in Black America Literature should be considered as qualifying as a course for the English major. I have not been asked that for twenty years, so that's progress.

I believe things have changed on this campus during the last four years both for

2

3 4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the better and for the worst. Let me try to explain.

First in the curriculum of a department such an English there is improvement in offering to reflect some beginning of inclusion of African American, Native American, Latino, Asian American, Chicano and women, among others. Although there is still a long way to go, especially with reference to having enough faculty with the necessary backgrounds for teaching these writers, and for many of us, we don't have the other languages we need. I need Spanish desperately. I have people in my classes who write in Spanish and in English and who want to write the kind of papers they are fully capable of writing need to be able to combine the two, and I need to be better able to help them do so.

There is beginning to be some attention to those writing in English beyond the British Commonwealth and North America.

Crossing boundaries has started and I predict it will gain momentum and go far to change what we do.

Students will benefit and so will the faculty as we learn from new colleagues and continue important retraining among ourselves, and we need retraining.

Howard Ball and other spokes of that and we need of course new colleagues who can help us learn.

Also we now have a faculty member teaching African literatures and who brings a comparative approach to enrich our curriculum and our ongoing conversations. I think maybe one thing that faculty members can say is that most disciplines are undergoing tremendous changes and upheaval. The whole structure of knowledge are undergoing such vast changes, and those are all things we have to pay attention to.

Also members of the English Department have been active in the design and teaching of the one-credit Race and Culture course offered to the first-year students since the fall of 1989 and in the newly designed courses for the College of Arts and Sciences race and ethnicity requirement.

And finally, the one semester African

American literature course will be a two semester course next year, which means we can teach more of the writers we need to teach in that basic survey course.

Curriculum changes do come slowly, at they must if the changes are to represent substantial improvement, but more has taken place in the last four years than during the previously twenty years. That is a hopeful sign.

But I for one do not think much would have happened if the students in '88 and again in '91 had not been demonstrated their serious disaffection with serious curriculum gaps. Although I think a lot has happened, it changes things, and recently as far as curriculum_I think it's just a beginning, and I cannot stress that enough.

Secondly, along with some positive curriculum development, students themselves feel greater anger toward institutions. that have failed to make significant changes earlier. Their anger has set up a resistance among those who see slow change as more desirable and more natural.

There are many of us who feel caught between the two. Somehow the '80s have made a difference in perception of a certain field of progress that marked the turbulance of the '60s and it's aftermath in the '70s and specifically here as part of the instructional team in UVM's Summer Enrichment Program for about fourteen years. This is a program that still exists, that brings incoming first-year students from Vermont and elsewhere to the campus, incoming students of color, to take courses and to have some head start on their University experience.

I remember the keen sense of participating in something important that I know all of us felt and that those incoming rural Vermonters and students of color took with them into the classroom and an active campus life, because these students were very active on campus. Maybe changes in attitude, from openness and hope to reserve and hostility reflect wider shifts in the culture.

Just two quick things. On Monday, last Monday, one of the students read a brief

excerpt from a speech given by a person, a Clanspersons. I think I can say Clansman without offending. She read that excerpt to us and this was in 1890, the speech was made, and it sounded so familiar, it could have been off the CBS Evening News from one of our candidates for president and maybe two. So it was uncanny how things do not seem to have changed enough.

Another person read some speeches from certain activists, among them Sojourner Truth and Francis W.E. Hart with others, and and these were as timely as if they have been spoken yesterday.

That made me go back and look at things that I do, and I go to James Baldwin a lot these days. I just want to leave with one of his works, an excerpt from "The Fire Next Time." "What it comes to is that if we who can scarcely be considered a white nation persists in thinking of ourselves as one, we condemn ourselves with the truly white nations to sterility and decay, whereas if we could accept ourselves as we are, we might bring new life to the western achievements

and transform them." Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Mr. Shiman.

MR. SHIMAN:. It's always hard to follow Mary Jane. I am co-chair of a Coalition for Institutional Diversity at the University, with Rodney Patterson, and I wanted to talk just a little bit about the coalition and some of the things it is aiming to do and some of the frustrations I have about this, because I see a greater consciousness on campus and a pocket of concern about these issues; but I am not convinced about institutional commitment yet by administration, faculty or students, in any of those three areas.

The coalition is made up of faculty, students and staff and it grew out of the occupation of last spring. It has taken various forms, the history I don't need to go into, but it's charged with developing an institutional plan for promoting diversity on this campus, and I think it's an incredible challenge, on how to change essentially a white university with essentially Euro-secular curriculum in a

heavily white state to a multicultural institution.

In a time of severe economic crisis, I think it's going to be an enormous challenge and I'm not sure it's going to happen in the next two or three years. I think we have seen steps in the right direction. We have seen new courses, et cetera, but I look at multiculturism and I see it as learning about each other; learning with each other and learning from each other, and I see the courses as learning about each other; and I think that's important.

I think we need the recruitment. We need the effort to retain more, to ensure that there is more learning with each other and learning from each other, again involving recruitment of faculty as well as staff from whom we all can learn.

Those are going very, very slowly at this institution. If you look at the pieces being proposed, we are talking about new courses. We are talking about ethnic studies program, which would be an academic minor at this time, if it gets into place,

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we are talking about some areas of recruitment and faculty, students and staff.

I think those are nice and I think they are all really important, but just to take, I am commenting on the curriculum part and that's all, since I know we have a really right schedule.

In the new courses and even in ethnic studies program have the danger of creating academic ghettos, where we have people -- the University and faculty can say we have those courses, we have that little program over there, therefore I don't need to worry about what I do. I think the real challenge to this University, and I'm not sure from talking with a lot of faculty, the faculty, or a lot of them are really willing to embrace the challenge, how to infuse multiculturism into the curriculum, not with just a new course with or without a program, but voluntarily take the challenge to look at my courses and to see to what degree I am drawing on women writers or women perspectives or feminists or African American perspectives or Latino or whoever it might be

in a particular course, and I have to be able to accept that challenge without hiding behind this barrier of running up a flag of academic freedom and say, what right do you have to raise any question about what I do.

The problem is even in the raising of the questions is seen as academic freedom, and it should be an engagement of people in dialogue, but it's seen as an infringement on something that has been a sacred right, and I think we need to examine that; and I am not sure a lot of my colleagues are willing to.

To me that is one of the greatest challenges and the challenge of how to do this at a time when we are talking about efficiency and we are talking a lot about excellence, and we talking about strategic planning, as Rodney commended, but equity and justice aren't words that are part of the planning documents and they should be. Unless they are built into those documents, we might have an institution that is efficient and excellent by a narrow definition, but it won't be serving the world in which we all live. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Dean Way.

MR. WAY: I am filling in for another professor, so I don't have any prepared comments, but I would say to say that I am a professor of natural resources. I have been here about three years. I came from the University of Washington serving on the faculty there. I have lived in five cities. I have lived in and around Boston, New Haven, New York City, Philadelphia and Seattle, Washington, and this is the fifth academic institution I have come into contact with.

I would just like to say right

off-the-bat that I think this a good place

to live. The greater subject is perhaps

Vermont, and I am coming here to Vermont with

my family. I have two small children in

school, in the Shelburne School District, and

beyond what everyone has said I do think this

is a good place to live for an Asian Minority

I am just speaking for that term, having

haven't experienced a lot of discrimination.

I have to admit that my children have been taunted at school and that is an

area of concern, but they have never been physically harmed or threatened in any way. Their being different is part of who they are, and they will learn the same lesson one learns as a child.

I was brought up in this country, so the makeup of this forum to me suggested that perhaps the University was on trial here.

I was wondering whether this was really the subject or if there was a broader subject and that is why I made those few comments in the beginning.

I think it's healthy for the University to be trial. It gets pushed a little bit. on the other hand I think really perhaps part of what we are talking about here is society being on trial, and if we are asked the question, is society unsympathetic in Vermont to the issues of my quote individual living in this area, I would say, sure, definitely.

Is the University part of that society?

Certainly. The University is made up of those people who come from the society. In that respect I think that solving the problems, the racial tensions and increasing

racial tensions by focusing go on the University and say, University, you need to clean up its equity, it certainly needs to do.

From my perspective is it missing part of the whole story. That if society wants to change the way it deals with people who are in the minority, it needs to start with respect to the whole society, and I would just like to offer that we can't forget the elementary and the middle school and high schools in this country as a starting place for trying to solve some of our racial tensions that are exhibited in universities.

One of the students who was working for me in this past fall was one of the students who threw a bottle through the window at Diversity University early on while it was still standing. Did UVM make them do that? I would say certainly not. It was a freshman; a freshman Vermonter, caught up in something he really didn't understand, and he was under the influence of alcohol at the time, and that probably confused the issues for him. I don't think the University made

him do that. I don't think what they taught him at the University made him do that. I think society made him do that and that's the society they need to address.

The question is asked is UVM a racist institution? I guess UVM is many things.

It's not a single entity. There are certainly racists in University.

Is the administration actively racist?

I would suggest no. It is passive. Is
there such a thing as differentiation between
passive and active racism, in other words,
the tolerance of racism? Probably so.

Because the sensitivity to minority issues
from a majority person is very difficult to
have.

I think the way the University has changed in the past few years is indicative of the commitment or at least a partial commitment to address that by introducing people into positions of control who have a different, who have the perspective to know how to make a difference.

I think it's really a difficult task and
I think it's a challenge that is going to be

very hard for the University to come through in the next few years, but I think it will eventually changed.

I agree with Mary Jane completely, it's really the students who generated this need to change. One of the issues I am sure is close to her heart. If in fact the student can effect positive change, who do you deal with with that. It's been dealt with very strictly in this University.

For myself, I am a product of that change. I didn't realize it at that time. I have degrees from Harvard and from Yale, and I was recruited from the faculty of the University of Washington to come here; and at that time I thought this was just a regular job and I was coming here to teach at the School of Natural Resources. It was only until I got here that I found out that the University is actively recruiting minority people and the idea of being here because of the color of my skin frankly was not much fun. I didn't appreciate that.

If I was here because of my qualifications, that would be fine; but the

idea that this recruiting activity somehow singled me out as being different wasn't really very satisfying in my eyes; on the other hand I am in complete support of doing that because I think that is how we get change.

So it's difficult, and I think that the efforts that are taking place now are sincere efforts to try to change things, and I guess I am pleased to be here at this University, and I think it's a good University, and I think it will evenually work itself through some of the problems that are occurring.

I just would wish it wouldn't be at such a cost to the students, because the students are really paying the price of the University education, of educating the University relative to those issues. Thank you

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much. Any questions from the panel?

MR. DIAMOND: Dean, I want to ask you a question in terms of expectation, because you raised the question of the University being on trial, is Middlebury College on trial?

This is the education system. Aren't we really just suffering the results of the same problems that society in general is suffering in not dealing with it very well?

My question to you is, is that really true? Is the University no different than society or do we not have expectations a little bit greater at the University and think that the academic community will respond quicker and deal with the problems quicker than society as a whole, in a better way than society as a whole, with initiatives and thought processes that society may not be yet ready to deal with, because of its cumbersome political system? Is there an expectation that is legitimate or is in fact the University system itself so encumbered by its own political system that we really can't expect that kind of leadership in the academic world that maybe some of us thought should be here?

MR. WAY: My own personal view, I think the University is obligated to be in a leadership position, absolutely. I would say any other institution, in looking at

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

itself, is also obligated to be in a leadership position; but given the types of things the University says they want to do, I would agree that it really should be in a leadership position.

I guess maybe part of my comments
earlier really try to address trying to
separate the social issues from the things
the University could really do something
with.

I think the student enthusiasm and perseverance in going through this is just amazing, but many times attributing some problem to the University that didn't have anything to do with the problem and the University wasn't involved in and of itself, sort of unfairly puts the university in a bad, bad light, but I don't want to de-emphasize that the University should and can take a leadership role in this issue.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you all for offering your remarks and glad that you were here.

(An off-the-record discussion was held.)

MR. CHENEY: Ita Brown.

MS. BROWN: My name is Ita Brown. I

was born in Puerto Rico and live there in the island for twenty years. I am not sure if everyone here knows, but Puerto Ricans are born American citizens but are considered a minority. Most Puerto Ricans are dark-skinned and have black hair. Not like me, who as a kid had red hair, white skin and freckles.

In my school they used to call me

Cheeto, because of my red hair. It never

really bothered me because I was the best

volleyball player the high school had and we

were number one in our league; as I was third

in the Caribbean in the breast stroke, so it

really never bothered me.

When I moved to New Hampshire in the '80s, to finish my Bachelor's Degree, I chose a college that had only two thousand students so I wouldn't get lost. There were very few minority in New Hampshire College. I don't ever recall being discriminated against.

In 1984 I came to Vermont. I was interviewed. I wanted one of the departments here at the University of Vermont and was

hired. The only discrimination I felt
there was a time when my professor expected
me to correct, to have all the correct
spellings in all of his correspondence. I
just told him English is my second language,
and I was smarter than him because I knew two
languages and he only knew one.

I knew then he could not spell even though he was not a minority and had a Master's Degree in English as was his first language, so he was the one with the disadvantage.

In '89 I started working in the office next to the lounge. During the Waterman takeover I felt threatened by the minority students who took over the wing and by the ones who were in the lounge.

I couldn't understand Spanish conversation that went on between them as to what they wanted to do, and it was very, very scary.

I remember one day sitting in my office when my supervisor and I heard a person say, let's use the bomb, and a little while later there was an insect bomb that went off in

front of 109 South Prospect where the administration was at the time of the takeover.

Another time during the takeover, I remember a lady coming into our office to ask us if a package had been delivered to our office. Nothing crossed my mind, but my supervisor asked the person, is there something we should worry about, and the lady told her, well, maybe. From this we determined that there probably had been a bomb threat here in the building so we closed the office and left. We took that as a disruption to our office work that day.

I believe that the hate speech on this campus is the result of a few unhappy minority students stirring the waters.

Minority students I know told me that other minority students were pressuring them to do the things the others were doing.

I believe that groups on campus have a commitment to do everything possible to bring minorities on campus and give the minority student a fair and equal chance as the other have. I don't believe anyone

should get more because we are minority.

I feel minorities often discriminate against other minorities by assuming that because our skin is white, we are not a minority. Well, my skin is white and I am a

minority and I felt they were discriminating

against me.

There were signs put around with the word, Whitey. I don't want to say the word, but -- now I work with one of the three different groups on campus that advise the administration, and I have learned that it takes a long time for a small change to be implemented here at the University of Vermont.

I tell you this today because I truly believe that the achievism I have accomplished in my life as a human being have been as a result of a strong character that has stood and will stand up for what I believe. I believe a human being can achieve in his or her mind whether or not regardless of the color of our skin. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. John Hedin.

3

4

5

6

′

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HEDIN: My name is John Trygve

Hedin. I am of Scandinavian ethnicity, part

Norwegian and part Swedish, but I represent

both Nordic types. One Nordic type is blond,

tall, fair, blue-eyed and long of face

and skull; and the other is shorter,

dark-complexioned, dark-haired, brown-eyed,

broad-faced and round of skull. Obviously

I am not blond, but I have a long skull and

dark hair and dark eyes and I am tall.

I was frequently taunted as a child in the neighborhood where I grew up and I was called "Squarehead," which didn't bother me too much because I associated it with the square sails on Viking ships and I was kind of proud of that heritage. When I moved to another part of the state, the shape of my head drastically set me apart from all other children. "Football head" became my I was treated like nickname. I felt shame. a freak. Since that time, my head has filled out so it's merely large, but there was an emptiness inside that I will get to a little later on.

I wanted in school to be like the other

children. Unfortunately I did become like that in taunting others, especially Polish, Jewish and other children in the neighborhood.

I learned to judge by appearances having lived in a basement apartment in the city and in poorer areas of several towns. I also experienced the prejudice of class. Being a scrawny, pimple-faced kid only added to my lack of self-esteem.

Everyone with the name John has experienced bathroom jokes; but when your last name is mispronounced "Head in," then you learn at an early age what fighting words are. It gets really sad.

I share this with you to make at least three points. First, by all appearances I am a white Anglo-Saxon Protestant male. In today's society that makes me fair game for the enmity of so many other people.

Empowered, a radical element of these oppressed people will use the words that hurt the most: bigot, racist, supremacist being among them.

When they use these words, they say they

hate, they become what they hate.

When students took over the President's wing of this buildings, they had many messages that were long overdue, but the one that came close, heard loudest, was a message on a banner that said, "F-U-C-K You Whitey." The sword of prejudice is sharp no matter who wields it.

Second: I am animated by something greater than chemistry. Regardless of what the ACLU says, every living human being is first and foremost a spiritual entity. We are dependent upon each other for our evolution out of evil, and that evil includes racial and religious bigotry.

We are answerable to our individual and collective souls for the harm we do each other. For too long in my life I was ignorant of this. I make this point because we have taken more than the word "God" and the concept of "God" out of public education. And I do agree that secular religious preference has no place in public schools, but we have stopped teaching our children about spiritual values, regardless of their

б

religion any religion, or no religion.

To deny spirituality is to deny reality. Therefore we look at each other as bodies and we see differences that we can ridicule, fear and hate. Instead we should look at each other as spirits and see our same ness so that we can show compassion, comfort and love.

Third: The source of tension on this campus is ignorance. Ignorance by the professor who turned when two custodians entered his class and he called them Daryl and Daryl. Ignorance by the protesting student who demans respect while treating others with contempt. Ignorance by anyone, of any background, who is self-righteous enough to be self-righteous.

Only through life-long education of the spirit, as well as of the mind and body, can we eliminate the inferior thoughts that foster racial and religious bigotry.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Kathleen Ford.

MS. FORD: When I was asked to be on this panel, I wasn't sure in what capacity. The capacity I have chosen to address would

be that is the concerns of international students. I am Interim Director of UVM

International Educational Services on campus, and the actual students have not been mentioned much this morning so I wanted to make a few points in terms of daily interaction with students, what some of the concerns are in the larger races or cultural diversity issue.

First of all when someone is called a nigger no one asked to see their passport, so students of color include students from the Continent of Africa and the Continent of Asia and many places of the world, and so international students not necessarily having the same sense of entitlement oftentimes will gear the racist attitude toward them silently or may share it with a trusted individual, so international students on campus have been the subject of racist acts.

Something else I think we need to keep in mind is that what happens in the greater society we are talking about and even the world affect our international students on campus.

Two examples of that would be a Japanese graduate student here has been on campus for four years without any instance of discrimination or racism. In December she was walking across the college campus and had rotten eggs thrown at her.

We have an Iraqi student on campus who for whatever reason was very defensive about his homeland and in support of Saddam Hussein and Iraqi's entitlement to Kuwait, having been outspoken, has received threatening calls, basically saying, if I knew where to find you, I would take my gun and come and shoot you.

So international students for political reasons and for reasons of racism are often the topic of racism in more subtle will ways.

I hear oftentimes international students' say that we are outsiders. I am not being let in. I walk through a certain building on campus, I walk through Votey building and I am hearing, take this class, but I never really am able to get inside. I never become an insider.

So those are some of the comments I

wanted to share that have come and continue to come from international students on campus.

I wanted to comment, and I will be brief, in terms of some of the subjects areas that have been covered this morning. One is the area of curriculum and when we hear people talk about curriculum, it sounds as though we are hearing people talk about including or expanding the curriculum for people of color as opposed to saying we need to expand the curriculum because we want to give everyone a truthful education.

Expanding the curriculum or offering ethnic studies isn't just for people of color on our campus. It is so we all the chance of having a comprehensive education.

We are hearing about recruiting and retaining, and I think we see part of that as retaining, that we have a history of actually recuiting students, faculty members and staff members. What really needs to be looked at is how long do we have to retain those individuals, and that leads me to the question of the campus climate, which is an

3

4 5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intangible, something that we really need to focus on.

I can approach campus climate from a lot of different aspects. I can recite one is sitting in this room. Everytime I come into this room, many of the most important meetings on campus are held in this room. Ι look at the pictures on the wall and every picture on the wall is a male, and it's a white male, and it's generally an older white male, and it does not reflect students. does not reflect women. It does not reflect people of color. It does not reflect people that are different or disabled; and everytime I sit in this room to discuss these issues, I am struck by the pictures on the wall and the busts I am supposed to look at, who are supposed to be my mentors and my examples.

Here is a real concrete place where we can change the campus climate, by making this room more reflective of the diversity we so gravely seek on this campus.

Two more things, and they are equally important. We hear about the students having raised this issues again, and again,

and are continually being punished for having raised this issue. If we are serious about moving forward, we need to drop the charges against students. We need to wipe the slate clean, and say, let's sit done as equal partners and start talking about this issue. While the charges against students are remaining, the polarization that exists will also remain.

The third and my final point, as a recap of what I have heard all morning, is that we continue to talk about plans and dialogue; and I think plans and dialogue are ongoing processes, but unless we see concrete implementation, people are going to be continually discouraged about more dialogue and more planning.

The implementation of things that we know need to happen, need to have started twenty years ago. Those are my issues.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much. Enrique Peredo.

MR. PEREDO: When I was asked to speak on this panel about my experiences as a person of color and as a person from Guam

employed here, I was concerned about how safe this environment was and about whether or not being more visible made it more difficult to maintain a good working environment.

After thinking about it for a couple of days I realized that I simply wish to relate my experiences, and what sometimes makes it difficult to embrace my own rich cultural background.

I cannot pretend that I have not had racially prejudice remarks directed at me, so I choose to relate to you here the kind of comments that I have been privy to be at the receiving end. I will also preface my remarks by saying that most of these comments have occurred since the occupation of the President's wing last April.

The diversity comments have occurred in a classroom. As an employee also sign up for classes periodically. As we awaited the late arrival of the instructor, this was during the takeover, there was a lively discussion going on about UVM's role as a educational institution.

I was an observer until one of the

students turned it me and said, "What are you? What do you think about all of this?"
What are you, as if through my ethnicity made me less than human. My response to the question was, "What do you mean, what am I? I am from Guam." Their remarks changed to, again from the same student, "Well, that's a different country altogether and you will gone after your education." My response was that Guam was a U.S. Territory and I am a U.S. citizen; and I am also employed by UVM and I choose to live in Vermont. The discussion ended.

Since then I have been called "A damn foreigner" by a person in a moving vehicle.

I have had two men mimic a conversation in Chinese on a public street in Burlington. I have been in an organizational sit-in where some recognized I was a person of color and proceeded to discount me because I was too assimilated and therefore didn't count; as if I failed to test that stereotypically as a person of color.

There is also a fashion in which Press remarks are made by members of this campus

5

from the panel? Thank you.

MS. DeChile: I am a faculty member of Middlebury College and I am in the Spanish Department, and I have been at Middlebury for sixteen years; and I would like to address my few comments as a faculty member.

It is my feeling that Middlebury has been successful in attracting students of color to the college community, particularly when one compares the situation at Middlebury now as to sixteen years ago, when I first started teaching there; however it has been slow in recruiting Latino students. Most of the emphasis and energy of Middlebury College in this regard has been oriented toward African American students. The time has come for Latino students to recieve the similar kind of attention, even though you do notice the presence of a few Latino students on campus, in the last four or five years, their number is very small.

In addition the retention of those students has also been a problem. Over half of the Latino students which started three years ago have left Middlebury.

that is much more subtle and conveniently used to publicize activities of the student demonstrators as a vehicle to put the point across.

These remarks are hurtful in the sense they exclude me as a person who struggles in my own way to promote the acceptance of all people on this campus and in my community.

It continually makes the point clear that if I choose to make the choice not to embrace my own cultural background and accept that to be white, however that is designed, I can find myself a place that exempts me from being unwelcome to Vermont.

I deduce from these substantive remarks that because I don't have an accent that is necessarily considered foreign or because the color of my skin isn't dark enough, that I somehow should not experience these remarks as prejudicial against me, even if I clearly know that my own cultural background is not commonly American, yes, I do feel unwelcome on this campus and this community; and yes, it hurts.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Any questions

My recommendation would thus be as follows: Number One; be more aggressive in the recruitment of Latino students. I would suggest to recruit in areas of large Latino possible population like Los Angeles, the southwestern states and New York.

Given our lack of Latino alumini, which could help us in this regard, I would suggest that admissions of the officers as well as faculty members be sent to various high schools in these areas to talk to high school students.

Two: Establish support programs for these students once they arrive to Middlebury College. The addition of this personnel should be qualified to work with Latino students.

Three: Hire an official committee chosen by the faculty to deal with matters of faculty and curriculum development in areas of minority.

Currently there is only one ad hoc committee dealing with this issues. Even though its members do have very good intentions and a lot of goodwill, they have

very little power, if any, to recognize faculty committee could thus require the department in the humanities and in the social sciences especially to offer courses in the areas of minority issues.

It could also require chairs of the various departments to hire new faculty who could teach courses in these areas.

Four: Develop an atmosphere inducive to faculty development in areas of minorities.

These could be then in a variety of ways.

First, it could give current faculty time off, the equivalent of one course for example, to prepare courses in minority issues.

B: Support summer projects for faculty to prepare courses in this area.

C: Change the rules of promotion and tenure of faculty, so that retraining our teachers and teaching courses in areas of minority issues count toward promotion and tenure.

D: Raise conscienciousness among the faculty and college at large regarding these issues. This could be done for example by

inviting lecture speakers to talk about topics dealing with minority issues on a regular basis, and two, call regular seminars for faculty and staff dealing with issues of minority.

In sum, even though I feel that

Middlebury College has moved positively in

the area of attracting students of color and

faculty of color and offering a few courses,

it is my feeling that it has been slow in the

process of doing so. Much more needs to be

done, particularly in the recruitment of

Latino students.

MR. ĈĤENEY: Thank you, very much. Rudolf Haerle.

MR. HAERLE: I am Rudolf K. Haerle, Jr. with the Department of Sociology; and we are going to run a little out of order, so some of my comments may be introductory than some of what Ms. DiChile said.

In late April of 1968 following the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. the then President of Middlebury College, James I Armstrong appointed a special committee later referred to as the King

quote from his letter. "To make recommendations to the President on the role of Middlebury College in the national efforts, number one, to seek remedies for the grievous problems of our society of the urban ghetto, poverty and racist discrimination; and number two, to support and strengthen the pre-immenence of non-violance as the most moral, practical means to the desired end."

At that time President Armstrong suggested certain issues that might be addressed or studied by this committee, including curricular offerings, admissions procedures, student involvement through student organizations, exchange programs, and general funding programs.

I might add parenthetically that the cloud of financial limitations as hovered over these and all of our subsequent deliberations at Middlebury, and I am sure they have here at UVM.

In its general report of January 1969
the King Committee took these general
guidelines and came up with several

recommendations, including many of the kind of issues that I am sure you have dealt with here at the University of Vermont.

The minorities or appointment of persons of color to staff position, I feel it's necessary to comment on the different terms that we use, historically, so I may mix up and use terms that were more relevant to the '60s.

Expansion of recruitment of disadvantaged students and there was a particular discussion about trying to open up admissions to more risk, so-called risk students, risk admissions; expanding financial aid funds for the disadvantaged, a term that was used then; implementing curricular reform, adding course materials that would deal with minority issues.

There was a particular program that was developed by students at that time, it was called Y.O.U. It stood for Youth Opportunity for Understanding, and that that program really was at the initiative of students, and what they did was essentially set up a summer camp-like program for young teenagers

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

From the ghetto to come to Middlebury,

Vermont and participate in a program that was both academic as well as social, and that ran for about five or six years, largely due to the initiative of the originators; and then that initiative, that enthusiasm finally died out after the original people left, and it was hard to carry that on as is often true of program like that. The college was supportive of that program.

There was also a need to set up a summer We have called it the program. Pre-enrollment Program. It has been in existence about three weeks prior to the beginning of school, to have minority students and others who had, any other students who had potential academic deficiencies, to have them come in early for a so-called remedial program. At times that program has been directed only toward minority students and other times it has been opened up to all students who have demonstrated that need or potentially thought to have that need.

There was also concern about increasing

the activity on faculty recuitment, of minority faculty recuitment.

These recommendations have had a checkered history at Middlebury. In July of 1981, then President Olin Robinson convened an ad hoc committee on minority concerns, later termed the Twilight Committee in memory of Alexander Twilight, who was Middlebury's first black graduate in the 1820s. The purpose of the Twilight Committee was to evaluate what had taken place in the interim from the King Committee, tocheck out the progress of the college and to make further recommendations where warranted. As might be expected the outcome of those recommendations were mixed.

Improvements were noticed in the follow areas: There were appointments to several staff position, appointments of minority individuals to several staff positions.

There was the hiring of an individual to be active in the recruitment of minority students in the admissions office. There were improvements in changes in the student recruiting procedures, part in line with what

my colleague recommended, in sending admissions counselors to schools where there were predominantly minority students.

There was an increase in financial aid made available to students, and this so-called Pre-enrollment Program, the three-week program, prior to the beginning of school was set up and has operated since, although it has changed off and on.

I should comments that of all of those areas of improvement there were a lot of fits and starts there. It wasn't always an increase in improvement, but a lot of ups and downs.

At the same time in the Twilight

Committee report there were several areas

where some progress had taken place far from

a satisfactory level of improvement. That

included the recruitment and retention of

minority faculty, and I should emphasize size

retention as was mentioned here earlier in

one of the other panels; also the retention

of minority students. I think the admissions

office has been successful in recruiting

minority students, but we do have a problem

with retention.

There have been many curricular changes, but I think there are still many more that are needed; and the area of student life became more and more important as we began to getting increasing numbers of minority students, the whole issue of a supportive social community became more and more of an issue, so there was need for more activity in that area.

So as a result several additional recommendations were made by the Twilight Committee regarding particularly student recruitment and financial aid, minority faculty recruitment, the Pre-enrollment Program, curriculum, student life and also minority alumni, a new kind of addition to the agendas. Many of the same issues were still on the agenda, more effort was deemed necessary.

In my judgment based on almost thirty years at Middlebury the college has made significant progress in achieving its goals, but at the same time there is much to be done, much more to be done. It may sound

paradoxical or contradictive, but it seems to me that there has been tremendous changes.

I have been there thirty years and I have seen tremendous change, and yet we have barely begun.

I hope you understand the distinction I am trying to make there. It's a difficult one to deal with and to be clear about.

From my experience the ingredients of successful change that we have had at Middlebury has involved the following kind of ingredients.

You must have commitment and leadership from the top. When I say the top, I mean the Board of Trustees and the President, and the top administrators. I think you have to have very carefully and clearly focused objectives. Sometimes those objectives may be fairly narrow and specific, so they may not satisfy certain constuents.

I think that those objectives need to be the result of a wide consultation. These are all things that we hear all the time, but it's true. I think that consultation will include not only the traditional groups of

faculty, staff, students and administration, and so forth; but also a alumni groups, perhaps people from the outside.

Middlebury has on both a formal and on an informal basis gotten people to come from the outside as sort of informal consultants, and they have had very strong input and have been very helpful to us.

In that line, I would emphasize the importance and the distinction between formal channels of communication and informal channels of communication.

The group that Ms. DeChile just
mentioned a moment ago of faculty and staff,
it is a kind of an ad hoc group that has
been active on the campus, soliciting
information and talking about students and
bringing in people from the outside, getting
ideas and passing those ideas on to the
administration in the form of I guess
informal recommendations that has been I
think relatively successful, and I stress
relatively successful.

I think it's also important to have initiative and support from all levels. One

of your UVM panel members commented on the importance of student initiative, and I think that has been very important at Middlebury.

And then finally of course having money and resources, which is always a major problem, difficult but priorities must be established.

It seems to me over time that Middlebury has been reactive and has just reacted to situations, and at other times I think the college has taken the initiative. It's a mix, and there is still much more to be done.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Mr. Wyatt.

MR. WYATT: I am a member of the History

Department. I am also the Chair of the

Social Sciences Division. I have been at

Middlebury approaching six years.

Picking up on my colleague, Rudy
Haerle's remarks, I would like to talk about
the curriculum at Middlebury.

While those of us who are members of small private Liberal Arts institutions are usually painfully aware of the detriment and also the peculiar advantages of being at

4

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

_

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these places, there are still some other benefits of membership that are so taken for granted that I think they are seldom adknowledged.

One of these is the capacity for such institutions for quickly effecting if necessary large scale, indeed sweeping, curriculum change.

Sometimes before the current multicultural debate became a fashionable past-time in academic circles, and unfortunately sometime before arriving at a climate that might have precipitated demonstrations on the part of the student body, Middlebury began to move in a direction that would broaden and enrich the curriculum with respect to diversity. In particular acting largely on the recommendations generated by such documents as the 1982 Twilight Committee Report, which Professor Haerle has mentioned, the Middlebury curriculum was recast in ways that had the effect of heading off or pre-empting accusations of bias by respecting and incouraging diversity in the classroom

through what is taught in the classroom.

At the same time however it's important and fair to say that these diversifying adjustments in the curriculum were made more out of farsightedness than out of some sort of defensiveness at being caught ouside of the trend at Middlebury. I think that is something to the college's credit.

Apart from administrative initiative, which I believe played the smallest role in the Middlebury case, this curriculum recasting process was carried out by two types of organs.

Through the course of offering policies of the major departments on the one hand and through the faculty committees on the other, standing faculty committees of the college, curriculum recasting through department is worthy of discussion first, because it's less bureaucratic in nature, and it's also an excellent example of how Middlebury works, I think.

This example is the clusters of concentration or groupings of four or five courses that each student is required to take

outside of the division of his or her major.

Even though most concentrations are departmental some are interdepartmental, because of their interdisciplinary focus, all must be approved in consultation with a body that we call the Curriculum Committee, and more recently by our residing coordinator of academic programs.

Within the past several years

concentrations have generally become more and
more reflective of interdisciplinary as well
as multicultural concerns. Among the more
recent and relevant concentrations are

Womens Studies, Third World Studies, Judaic
Studies, Latin American Studies and African
American Studies.

The popularity of an interdisciplinary concentration is often a prelude to and principal determinant in establishing it as full-fledged interdisciplinary program.

This brings me to the more bureaucratic procedure for facilitating curricular change, and this is the academic programs.

Programs are distinct from departments at Middlebury, because they are to a large

degree interdisciplinary in focus; and while many programs programs do grant a degree that is a major, some of them do not.

Normally the proposal for an academic program, the presentation of the rationale for its creation, is channeled through a faculty committee called the Educational Council, which itself is usually assisted at the proposal deliberations stage by the Curriculum Committee, which I mentioned.

In my own time at Middlebury I have had the good fortune of serving on both of these committees and I can testify that they and the faculty they represent have been acutely receptive to and promoting of change that is designed to combat problems of bias.

A specific example is probably in order; and this one I think best describes this process in action. Prior to 1989, while there was a Women's Studies concentration at Middlebury, there was no Women's Studies program or major; but beginning in the fall of 1988 and intensifying at the beginning of 1989 a movement grew to convert the existing Women's Studies concentration based on

its popularity but also its relevance to full program status. It is important to note that this movement developed in an atmosphere that was antagonistic to the creation of new programs.

There is a running debate at Middlebury even now that the college is too big, too top heavy. In many respects this atmosphere still persists. Eleven of Middlebury's thirty-eight major programs are majors in interdisciplinary programs, and a lot of people feel that is too many.

But after much pressure, cajoling and institutional agonizing Women's Studies overwhelmingly passed by the faculty, receiving degree granting status, program status, in the spring of 1989 and producing its first graduate in the spring of 1991.

I just offer these data, I guess as an historian, but also because I jaws want to emphasize that all of this happened very quickly.

I have offered the foregoing description of curricular changes at Middlebury because more than anything else I think it confirms

my belief that a flexible curriculum enacted by a sensitive faculty can do much to forestall bias-related confrontations within a given campus environment; but I do not mean to suggest that such an approach can be without cost, both in human and in dollar terms for the institution involved.

And no matter how far changes in the curriculum can take the institution toward avoiding or reducing bias-related tensions, such changes are themselves not only dependent on the cooperation and interest of the immediate communities they serve, but often on the alumni and the legislatures, especially in the case of our public institutions, that extend beyond them.

Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank your. Janine Clookey.

MS. CLOOKEY: My name is Janine Clookey and I am in the Mathematics Department, and this my eighth year at Middlebury College.

Some at my remarks today are coming from the position of a faculty member and I am most interested in student-faculty

interaction. I am interested in the level of understanding the faculty has about their students and the degree to which our students feel welcome, and my colleague's remarks about sensitive faculty is basically where I am coming from in a way.

I am going to give my remarks by offering three stories, anecdotes, things that really happened over the past eight years in my office or on the street; then I will talk about a project that is coming up for faculty to undertake, and if I have time, I will talk about the Bicultural Center that we have at Middlebury, of which I am one of the two faculty advisors.

The first one is perhaps the worst.

A student of color, an upperclassman mentioned to me that he had to negotiate very hard to get on the roster of a popular course where he ended up being the own person of color; and then very shortly after that he missed a class, and by the way for a very good reason, and in class the next time the teacher came to him and asked his name and looked at him very intently in a way that

made him uncomfortable and slightly worried, and he wasn't sure about what the teacher's attitudes were, but he never missed a class again; and during the course of that discussion the issue came out, am I being judged by a differ standard because of my race.

The second story also is about a students of a first-year African American woman who came to me asking for some help. The instructor in a rather small literature class had never called on a black woman in the class, where there were more than one, so she frequently called on women in the class, white women in the class, and at the end of the fourth week the student saw there was very little from African American on the syllabus, and she said to me, "I feel there is no place for black women in this class."

So we decided what our approach would be and what we ended up doing was calling the instructor. I called the instructor and we had a careful and lengthy discussion about this situation, and this story ends up the

way you would want it to end up. That is, at the end the student felt this class really made her term. By the end of the term, it was her favorite class.

The issue that came out there was are we aware of the effects of our teaching practices? Are we giving the messages we intend to give; and also, as we heard earlier, is the curriculum appropriately focused on the work of African Americans, Hispanic Americans and other minorities?

The third story, a first year student, a man, who was used to doing well in his high school, but he found himself on the probation list at the end of the first term.

Focusing on a class that he had failed, he said, "Why am I the only one doing poorly? I seem to be speaking as well as anybody else, but I am the only person of color in the class. Is that the reason? We had a very lengthy discussion together in my office. We worked on many things. We talked about scheduling, a plan of attack for the spring. We talked about how many students typically drop courses or go on

probation, and we talked about other things, like social interaction and partying, but the topic kept on coming back to isolation; how Can I get along in a rural white environment and be able to juggle the personal adjustments and academic ones?

In these three situations two have them met with a sort of proper resolution, it's my feeling. I am interested in faculty making it safe for students and learn about them. Learning who they are, not just assuming who they are. Learning about the messages that they give in class and if they are the ones they intend to give. Learning to comit yourselves to helping students to make connections to our institutions and communities and being available and perceptive and volunteering information as we go along.

So I am interested in these issues and I bring them to you from an interaction with student perspective and I would like to talk a little bit about a project that is coming up to address these issues from the faculty perspective as classroom teachers.

Coming up we have a project next winter term, we have a one-month winter term, where we have standiong courses that recieve one credit, where we are offering a special project next term, that focuses on issues of diversity, where the academic content is concerned with issues of diversity and emphasizes it, and the goal is to do some consciousness raising as well as addressing serious academic content.

So the student can enlarge their perspective on each other and on our world, and for the faculty, it's an opportunity to teach courses with new and important content. It helps our own teachers in light of the changing student population and the changing student needs, and to discuss institutional policy in light of these changes.

The course cluster includes such things as African American Studies, Latino American Studies and issues of race, ethnicity, issues of economic differences and differences in physical abilities.

The most important content I feel is that faculty will participate in an intensive

workshop on issues of diversity in higher education, and the workshop will certainly be confrontational and specific to the needs of faculty in our institution.

The last thing I wanted to mention today, to bring up and saying these things, because the Director of our Bicultural Center could not be here today, and as one of the two faculty advisors, I offer these comments on the Bicultural Center.

During the academic year of 1989-1990 the African American Reliance submitted a proposal for a living center with the support of the Hispanic American League.

In spring 1990 the Committee to Enhance the Experience of African Americans and Hispanic American Students at Middlebury College voted unanamously in favor of an Aferican American and Hispanic American bicultural center. The center is a residential facility which houses eighteen students. They are selected by committee of students and faculty that evaluate students interested in education in either of the two cultures, without regard to their race

б

or gender or their sexual orientation, but they are dedicated in the interest in the two cultures.

The center consists of living facilities as well as a library, museum and faculty space; and the library is a part of the regular library system on campus. The museum houses artifacts. The faculty space is used by faculty to offer office hours space.

The basic functions of the center are to educate all Middlebury College students about cultural diversity, and faculty, as well; to increase retention of African American and Hispanic American students; to be a resource for cultural programs and communication developments between Middlebury and nearby colleges pertaining to cultural events; to be a place for reception and social gatherings following cultural events; to be in an essential meeting place for returning African American and Hispanic American alumni.

I would like to offer some comment too about the living, how students feel about living and serving in the center.

These are all comments contributed by 1 the Director of the Bicultural Center. 2 As you can imagine the residents are 3 extremely active and put out a tremendous 4 amount of work on campus and in the center. 5 A close feeling of community exists in the 6 center. There are tensions and pressures in 7 the Bicultural Center which reflect the 8 tention all over the campus. 9 There is a pride and a need to prove 10 oneself and as a result residents sometimes 11 12 can be overly critical of each other about certain issues. 13 If something negative happens, if the 14 15 person does badly, there is a feeling that is reflects on all students of color. There 16 17 is a lot of pressure. 18 Beyond these difficulties however there 19 is a good feeling and a excitement of feeling 20 of great productiveness, about living in the center and working toward its goals. 21 22 MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Any questions? MR. HOFF: Is there anything going on in 23 24 Middlebury? 25 CLOOKEY: I think when the informal MS.

course clusters address issues of our classroom practices, I think there is a lot of desire to move forward and be better helpers to all of our students and to be better helpers to our students of color.

I think the faculty, there is a situation of mediation like the one I have spoken about needs to move forward. They would like some help in doing so. I think the faculty that I have spoken to view it as part of their practice and improved practice in the classroom. I think there are areas that need work, but we are addressing it.

MR. HOFF: Are there any prejudices on the campus?

MR. HAERLE: I would say sure there are and you will perhaps hear about some of those shortly. It's a real mixed picture. I think we have not done well in recruiting minority faculty and more importantly retaining the few minority faculty that we recruit. We have not -- we don't have a very good record on retention amongst students and those are very serious problems.

Over the last few years, there have been

a number of student concerns that have been voiced and some of them have come through this sort of ad hoc voluntary minority issues group, and recommendations have been made to the college and some of those have been responded to quickly. Some have been responded to over a period of time and some have not been responded to, for a variety of reasons, some of which I am sure are going to be financial.

There is an awful lot of work to be done, but I think the college is trying to move in what I see is the right direction.

MR. CHENEY: I have a question of Mr. Wyatt, who is the first historian I have been able to corner today. Mr. Shiman at UVM talked about creating academic strategies and the dangers of doing that. It's really a challenge to directing curriculum more toward traditional areas. I got somewhat a sense of a reformation taking place, and I wondered as an historian, can you identify any period of the Western history that has had such an assault on its Common as what is going on today?

I think

1 MR. WYATT: There is a kind of myopia because we live in this time it seems more 2 pressing to us and most relevant to us, but 3 probably in intellectual terms in this 4 country probably not. 5 6 MR. CHENEY: Is this a significant 7 period as far as historically? I think it is. 8 MR. WYATT: 9 it's a watershed and it's a double-edged 10 sword. There will be many positive products 11 and quite a few negative ones too. 12 MR. CHENEY: At Middlebury do you have 13 problems with potential academics growth that 14 challenges the academic --15 MR. WYATT: Ideally one of the issues I 16 think about programs, be at Afro American or 17 what have you, they are important to have. 18 I think in the ideal sense, they should be 19 transitional. Afro American Studies should 20 be taught in every course to which it's 21 relevant. Perspectives on woman should be 22 taught in all courses in which is it 23 relevant. It should be -- basically these 24 are compensating devices.

25

MR. CHENEY: That was Mr. Shiman's

point, was that faculty invested in the traditional curriculum and aren't about to do that. Academics stands in the way.

MR. WYATT: But that's not excuse for not having them at all.

MR. CHENEY: Are there no such problems in Middlebury?

MR. WYATT: On what level?

MR. CHENEY: If we are in an intellectual educational watershed, it would seem to me that are going to have a lot of problems putting together about what is being taught in the traditional courses to get into that mainstream and I haven't heard anything about it.

MR. WYATT: There are voices of dissent, but I think on the whole that the faculty, the one hundred and eighty or so folks that we employ, are very much committed toward a progressive view, but I think the bastion of protectionism of sort of Western civilization traditions, I think their voice are in the minority at Middlebury.

MR. CHENEY: Could someone describe the nature of your this afternoon faculty meeting

<u>4</u>

and intended outcome?

MR. WYATT: What are you asking about?

MR. CALABIA: Can you describe what the nature of the meeting is and its outcome?

MR. WYATT: Well, it's essentially a regular faculty meeting that we have. It's not abnormal. We have it once a month.

MR. CALABIA: I was under the impression it had to do with working on some statement regarding --

MR. WYATT: You want to know some of the agenda; yes. We are working on a statement concerning sexual harrassment; and we working out the language, the particular language, the details; but I have little doubt that this particular statement, it will go in force. We will arrive at some sort of a decision. It's just when you try to get one hundred plus people trying to agree on the language of a document, it's quite a trial.

MR. HOFF: Particularly the faculty.

MR. HEDBOR: Reflecting back, I am sorry she had to leave, but she referred to something that she would like to see as far as having different criteria or at least

broaden the criteria for tenure, 1 2 specifically regarding faculty members who 3 expanded their field of expertise to include perhaps some minority issues or areas. 4 Is that being done at all at Middlebury 5 or is it under serious consideration? 6 7 MR. WYATT: I would say not in a formal The real standard for review 8 way, no. evaluation, at least at the tenure level or 9 10 teaching and research, there is the nod given 11 to service on committees of course. 12 MR. HAERLE: I think you would find 13 differences of opinion on that and it will 14 a position of contention. That issue and every other one of these issues. 15 16 If you think that Middlebury doesn't have any contention, I think we get some 17 consensus somewhere down the line on most of 18 issues. 19 20 MS. HEDBOR: But a lot of the issues 21 are at the top. You are talking about going 22 more forward looking so you didn't end up in 23 tremendous confrontational aspects. 24 MR. HAERLE: I think it varies. MR. WYATT: We might disagree on that, 25

but I think the progress that has been made as a result of FIAT from the top is minimum at Middlebury.

I am convinced of that largely because I don't think personally speaking I could commit myself to a place that worked on that model.

MS. HEDBOR: Was their leadership as opposed to the FIAT?

MR. WYATT: Certainly there is leadership and guidance, but I don't think we have a real idealogues at the top. I think the faculty makes decisions for itself.

MS. HEDBOR: Is that the strength, the fact that their aren't idealogues at the top?

MR. WYATT: I prefer to be positive and say the faculty thinks for itself.

MR. HAERLE: When I mentioned before, I said one of the ingredients of successful programs is leadership and commitment from the top, and I do believe that strongly; but that doesn't necessarily mean that the initiative would start there, but you have to have support.

I can remember a situation and I

remember the admonition in these documents not to mention specific names of people to say anything about them, but I can remember a situation where someone requested particular support from the top and in my judgment it wasn't as forthcoming as I thought it could have been, but things get done.

When I say leadership and commitment it doesn't necessarily say that is where the ideas come from and certainly not by FIAT at all.

But when ideas start to get generated and get developed you need support at all levels, and I think by and large we have gotten that, but not in every case and not for every issue; and sometimes there are honest disagreements about thoe things, and there are problems of priority and financial things.

Faculty can say all kinds of things because we don't have to sign any checks. I don't want to be quoted on that, as if I would acknowledge that.

MS. CLOOKEY: I would like to make one more comment about the curriculum not

changing or whatever. This course cluster and the whole project has attracted a larger number of faculty that is able to accommodate this year, and hopefully it will run a second year.

Having said that, it is not a very large number of faculty. The interesting part of it that you know that always in any community there is a core of activists. This has attracted people who are not in situations and that's a step forward; but having said that, even though the course cluster is a means for developing new units to be used in other courses as well as doing ones own consciousness raising, there certainly is a group of people who would never consider taking this type of a step.

MR. DIAMOND: Could you describe -there seems to have be be some insitutional
differences between the way Middlebury exists
and the way UVM exists; to have ended up in
1992, with what is going on on Middlebury's
campus and what is going on at the UVM
campus, my question is, have any of you had
an opportunity to think about the

institutional differences. I am talking about maybe the way faculty is organized, the way governing decisions are made.

MR. WYATT: I am not sure I know enough about how issues of governing are decided at UVM. I have my inklings, but I don't have a real sense.

MS. CLOOKEY: I was just thinking that one big difference I am very well aware is the great teaching focus at Middlebury College. It's a very large focus and perhaps that makes a great deal of difference for faculty, what we are supposed to and address what we want to do.

MR. WYATT: That's particularly relevant part of my time contraints are basically dictated by that, teaching, the first day of our term.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much for coming. We appreciate your contribution to the forum.

(An off-the-record discussion was held.)

MS. SUSSMAN: Thank you for inviting me here to speak today. I will try to be brief, so you can hear the more important

<u>4</u>

testimony from the rest of the people who are here.

As you have already heard bias-related incidents which have seen an alarming rise around our country, have surfaced in reprehensible ways in our colleges in Vermont. Where once confrontational rights enabled people of color and people from various ethnic and religious backgrounds to attend colleges, now freedom of expression is being used to cloak many forms of racism on campuses.

The Vermont Human Rights Commission investigates and prosecutes individual charges of discrimination in housing, in state employment and in places of public accommodation.

Vermont law defines a place for public accommodation to include schools. The Commission does, the Vermont Human Rights Commission does have a process where individuals can bring complaints of harrassment of different treatment, where the Commission would investigate those charges, would attempt a reconciliation and

make a finding whether or not the Commission believes the discrimination occurred in that instance, and will pursue litigation.

I would like to respond to Jerry
Diamond's question about whether college
campuses should be held to a different
standard as society as a whole or whether
there is an opportunity for college campuses
to respond in a different way than greater
society.

I would say that the cases that have come to the Human Rights Commission involving college campuses have, I think all of them so far have dealt with issues of sexual harassment, and that's where the Commission has had a number of cases.

The standards that are used and I suggest personally anyway that standards to be used on a college campus would be similar to ones where the law requires employers to create an environment that is free of racism, free of sexism, one where a person whether there are an employee or a student or a faculty member or a staff member can operate, can get an education, can participate in that

sort of discreet environment without fear of harassment, and that is something to consider, and I think it's something that is being considered in all of the debates here.

The Commission also operates as a clearing house to gather reports of violated incidents around the state. Today I heard about many more incident than have come to the attention of the Commission.

I believe a lot of that is because on campuses people tend to see it as an insulated environment and not to go beyond that environment unless something causes, something from the outside comes in.

I think that you also need to know that others than UVM and Middlebury, there have been incidents at other campuses around the State. Some reports have come to the attention to the Commission in a confidential manner, so I cannot speak about them today, but I would like the Commission to know it is not limited to UVM. There are incidents going on on other campuses around the state.

It's too bad that the hearing today

3

4

5 6 7

8 9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

couldn't travel further than this one site, but I understand time and budget constraints as much as you will understand mine.

I believe that students sometime fee safer to pursue complaints of harassment through a University process when a process is seen to enable some change to occur or redress to occur.

Other times I believe student are fearful of using that process or fearful it is going to subject them to more harrassment rather than to resolve problems.

I also do think, as I said before, that people are reluctant to come outside of the University to raise those issues. think that is important and I want to thank the Commission for holding this type of hearing and this forum to focus attention on a serious situation on campuses. Hopefully what will come out of here are some constructive recommendations to administrators to take action, to listen to students, to address the wrong to victims of bias-related incident and to create a climmate where such acts will be clearly not

tolerated, which can hopefully be prevented 1 2 and where all students will be able to feel safe and welcome. Thank you. 3 MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Any questions? 4 5 MS. FLEESON: You heard a number of 6 instances related here that had not been brought to the attention of our office. If a 7 8 student suffered that kind of treatment and 9 was not satisfied with however it was handled 10 here on campus, would this be something that 11 your office would get involved in? 12 MS. SUSSMAN: We would become involved 13 with it if the student called. 14 MS. FLEESON: If the student called you 15 you would be willing to get involved in 16 trying to see what could be done? 17 MS. SUSSMAN: Yes, that's part of our 18 jurisdiction, if of course the person knew 19 who was committing the act. 20 MS. FLEESON: You would the not 21 investigate to find out the perpetrator; you 22 don't have the capability of that? 23 MS. SUSSMAN: No; we have a staff of two 24 investigators, myself, and a half-time, 25 because of budget cuts, we have gotten

reduced this past year.

MS. FLEESON: They have to know who did it in order for you to really be of much assistance?

MS. SUSSMAN: That's right. We don't have the staff to go out and do the larger investigation, which is hopefully campus police could do.

MS. FLEESON: We hope so. Maybe you could bring the pressure on the State to do so.

MS. SUSSMAN: That's a broader issues than just bias-related incidents on campus. The State's Human Rights Commission is very involved right now in developing and helping to develop curriculum for police officers, both at the Police Academy, and also for bettering the in-service officer training.

We put together a group of people who are specifically working on it, that came as an outgrowth of the State Commission to get passage of the Hate Crime Bill. Now there is a law there needs to be training around that law, and I should also say that UVM capus police have been very involved in

developing this program, and there are some people there who certainly have a commitment to doing that.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you:

MR. MULLER: My name is Chip Muller, and I am President of the Student Government Association. I just have a few quick comments I wanted to note in reaction to the faculty statements, that I think one very important thing about Middlebury that makes it different from UVM is it's generally considered to be a more conservative and a smaller campus which creates more of a family atmosphere, where the attitudes may be more, let's kind of work it out as a small community, rather than a different attitude that may be like a small city atmosphere.

Another quick comment about ghettoization. It is a great concern for many faculty and students that ghettoization in the curriculum. We have strive to be a liberal arts institution, as opposed is to the University, where students should feel free to go across department and program lines. Even though we have a smaller

curriculum and in a smaller school we have found ghettoization already. So to hear someone from UVM say they are experiencing ghettoization is quite shocking.

As President of the Middlebury Student Government and Student Body, and as a Protestant American leader of the Middlebury Studenty Body a report of two incidents of racism at Middlebury could be describes as below average.

Though tension among raises or ethnic groups is present and sometimes felt, the only racial incident in my memory was the one alleged to have occurred between African American college students and Middlebury townspeople, and not other college students; and that was before I received this letter, copy of the letter from Chief Hanley, who is with the Middlebury Police Department that I think we have all received.

That was last spring. The tension between black students and the town is quite tangible. In this most white state and perhaps the most distracting part about being an African American at Middlebury, although I

would never speak to that experience directly, I do not know of other such incidents beteen minority groups and townspeople.

Tension on the other hand is more pervasive and chronic. I use this term to describe an ongoing atmosphere of unease in the interaction between races and ethnic groups, or in the policy debates that affect such minority groups.

I also think this tension applies to most of the African American students' relations to the white majority.

I personally could not ascribe to the same degree of tension to the relations between another races or ethnic groups.

Middlebury has quite a light heritage, though significant progess in the early '80s though today has diversified the student body considerably and has gains have been quite hard fought.

Today African Americans through vehicles like the African American Alliance or as individuals still push the administration and fellow students for a greater support system,

greater minority recruiting efforts and greater financial aid commitment, for instance, just to name a new.

These efforts cannot help but be a source of tension. These efforts are necessary. They are progressive and they are productive, but they are at times necessarily active for aggressive.

Changes as we have seen does not come about by chance but by hard work and pressing the status quo; but at time perhaps these efforts have been at the cost of greater tension between students and administrators and student to students.

A great deal of the policy that the students government considers relates to the expansion of opportunities for minority groups on campus, and sometimes the lack of restrictions of such opportunities, yet the student general assembly continues to be largely white, even when split between men and woman. Again at relates to the white heritage of the school.

So much of the efforts of the AAA for instance, just as one group for instance, is

outside of the schools kind of traditional policy-making structures. This works well; and I would also recommend the greater involvement by students of color in the college government and policy-making structure.

This is something that our Government has worked on this year and will continue to work on. By involving more of campus community in the efforts toward greater diversification, the efforts may take on a more cooperative spirit, which may have the effect of stengthening the movement and help to abate feelings of tension between student government regarding policy creation.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Leilani McClellan.

MS. MCCLELLAN: My name is Leilani
McClellan and I am a junior at Middlebury
College and I wanted to speak to you about
racism and all of its definitions.

I think that a lot of time, especially now with everyone really getting caught up in being politically correct, there is a tendancy to ignore racism in all of his

forms.

I can offer my battle scars and horror stores about covert racism, for example, being called on in class to give the perspective of the entire black community of the United States, or having racial slurs yelled to me out of a moving truck at my freshman year, but I am still standing and I am still here.

I think that when we start to deal with the issues of institutional racism, within a college campus within the academic arena, you have to really look at the way policies within a college have a tendancy to perpetuate or contribute to the racism against its students.

I think that one of the ways that we can begin to combat this is to get rid of the ambiguous administrative positions that are reflective in the wording in the statement that the administration has; and I would use this opportunity to take as an aside, to one of our faculty members who commented on the agreements that we are working on today. I hope that we worry about that when we start

1.2

wording it, issues for sexual harrassment, for example.

Racial tolerance, as if being tolerant dealing with something that is undesirable, or an increase in racial bias, there is no increase in racial bias, but there is an increase in the recognition of it, which students have tried to do the last couple of years.

Another example might be the administration pointing to the pipeline rather than taking the position from the top. It seem to be passing the buck or form a committee to sit back and debate and debate and debate.

The call for multicultural education I think is very important. Multicultural education is not a plan to study a small section of American culture, but rather an effort to make our very under-represented contribution to America culture a part of the curriculum, and along these same lines recognizing the diversity within it.

Multicultural education as it appears as
African American studies or Latin American

studies is just as necessary as fully intergrating our contribution into each class and department.

Specific departments do not create academic ghettos. African American and Latin American studies, for example, provide the opportunity to concentrate on an important history and culture and how it affects us today, just as much as programs such as environmental studies provides the opportunity to focus on issues that are directly affecting ut today.

To use the term academic ghettos is not only offensive but it has a tendancy to deny the validity of the cultural studies.

We do need them and they should be described in this manner.

I think that the retention rates overall and at Middlebury point somewhat to the racial atmosphere on campuses as being uncomfortable. It's very difficult to combat racism in the academic arena, if you are the first and only person in the class of color, and I think that it's very necessary to have sensitive professors, faculty and

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

administrators on the staff of Middlebury.

I myself can personally attest to the fact that my choice of major has been directly affected by the amount of sensitive professors to my standing as a person of color.

Finally enforcing the code against discriminations that are established in the handbook. I think that we need to change the traditional definition of racism. have to expand it to the academic arena and and start understanding it and recognizing it. It comes in many forms. Thank you. MR. CHENEY: Thank you.

MR. KERN: I am James Kern. I am Student Representative of the African America, Hispanic and Bicultural Center, which was explained to you by Ms. Clookey.

James Kern.

I am also the treasurer of the Latino American Latino Alliance at the Middlebury College. What I would like to speak about today at Middlebury College, I can't speak for UVM, but Middlebury College has become in very large part the way people act in the governing, but the governing body of the

behavior at Middlebury College, and it seems like it has become detrimental to people because the politically correct definition of how a person may act, a concept under which they may lead their life gives individuals a superficial vocabulary in the issues in which they express their befief in it defines for them exactly what is correct and what is politically correct, without educating them as to why they are correct, and without giving them a basis for what they should believe.

And I think that in the curriculum the college should bring in the multicultural aspect and given them a basis for which to believe and to express their ideas so that they are not following racial tendancies.

I think in the administration an analgous issue is where the administration tries to give the students of color and the woman, they try to support them in certain ways, but at the same time it's a very few superficial support and they don't give the complete dedication to creating an atmosphere in which those people are comfortable and are

considered equal.

I think going on with what Leilani said, it's important to have both programs in women studies, African American studies and Latino studies as well as incorporating those aspects into all of the other disciplines which are taught at Middlebury College.

Addressing the issue of retention of students of color, I think that it's difficult for students of color who are called upon to be educators for the rest of the people in the school, where they have to represent the entire race of which they were a member, it creates a lot of pressure for the students to continuously be try to learn themselves and also be educating the entire white community.

I myself am of Latino and white origin.

I am mixture; and I inow that in the creation of the Bicultural Center, I personally last year sat in on countless hours of meetings with the President and the Dean of the College and other students on school nights when I wasn't doing my homework. I was arguing with them why we needed these

things, giving them my feelings why we need them, and it was a long debate. It was a long process, at a time when I could have doing my homework and better grades, and I am certain there are people who are negatively affected by that.

I think that the college has to realize that there are other people better qualified, that are educated already and are looking for professional positions to help the people of their race, which could be better utilized for our situation. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Carlos Brown.

MR. BROWN: My name is Carlos Brown and I am a sophomore at Middlebury College. I would like to start by going back to a question posed by one of the UVM faculty earlier; that question being whether or not UVM, Middlebury College, institutions as such, are on trial. And my definitive answer to that would be yes; and I would just like to share an anecdote that I use so support that.

Last year I was enrolled in an American
History course. I went downtown to buy a

book, came back -- well, actually I got
there and I couldn't find the book in the
usual bookstore, so I went to the used
bookstore and asked if they had the book
and I was told, well, we probably have it in
the minority section, so we got up and we
walked all the way to the back of the store
and down the stairs in a musty room, and
people looked at the minority section, which
is pretty close to the women's section, which
had even less books than the minority
section, and we found the books, and then I
left and I was pretty chagrined.

I was walking down the street and saw a Malcolm X poster and pretty heartened by that so I bought the poster, and I was walking back to my dorm with my poster in my hand, and I got into my dorm and as I was walking through the hallway, two white females students looked at me and they moved around to see who was on the poster. So I turned this very large poster around so they could see it, Malcolm X, a big profile, and they looked and didn't say anything, and I kept walking, and as I was walking away, I heard

them saying, who was that in the poster. I remember being just dumbstruck, because when I came to this country from Jamaica and I remember even in Jamaica I knew who George Washington was, and when I got here, one of the first things they told me was who George Washington was.

So obviously these students were not properly educated in elementary school or junior high school or high school for that matter as to who people like Malcolm X are; so the point is, that there is no proper starting place in educating people on the contribution of traditionally disinfranchised in this country.

I think we need to fight the battle on all fronts. I attended the DeWitt Clinton High School in the Bronx, New York, and DeWitt Clinton High, I am pretty sure has a partnership with Middlebury College, where Middlebury College sends faculty to the high school to speak to the students and the students find out about Middlebury College and we come up for visits.

I came up for two visits before I

2.4

decided to attend the college. Usually a couple of busloads are brought up, and only about three people from each class usually consider applying to Middlebury, much less coming here.

I remember when I was making my decision on which college to attend, I had decided not to attend Middlebury until Dr. Norman, who is currently the head of academic support came to DeWitt Clinton High School and spoke to me. I was heartened by the fact there was a black man in a position to help black students on campuses who are having academic troubles. Several of my friends made the decision on which college to attend on the same basis as well.

My point here being that it's not just enough to actively recruit. There has been to be a sufficient number of black faculty on campus to make black students comfortable.

The question was posed before was, well, should the move to multicultural diversity seems to be what is precipitating all of this tension, should it be abandoned, that move. I would say no. If the move to

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cultural diversity was not as important as it is, people wouldn't be talking about it now.

The problem is that on various campuses

I don't feel that the entire faculty is

geared toward accepting these concepts.

A benefit of being an English student as at Middlebury is that I am afforded the opportunity to hear talented writers who come and read from their works. At each of these I usually enjoy conversation with professors from the English Department; and I remember attending one where not one professor from the English Department showed you, and I remember wondering where were these people? After about ten minutes, it hit me, the difference between this reading and the other readings was that the speaker was not only black, but he was a homosexual, and to this day I really feel that that was a determining factor in whether or not these professors that I respect so much intellectually failed to show up.

In regard to bettering the situation, I belong to the Human Relations Committee, a group of people that I feel is dedicated

-

toward heightening sensitivies toward minority issues.

We are currently in the planning stages of a multicultural symposium for the fall. Things like this are very needed and especially in areas such as security staff. Last summer I served in the position of program assistant for the Middlebury Pre-enrollment Program, during which time I was responding to the Dean's office on an official charge of disrespect to a college official, so I calmed myself down and went to the Dean's office, and apparently a security officer said that he had had an altercation with me, and that I was disrespectfull to him.

It turned out that he had this altercation with another black gentleman, and because I had been as prominent as I was in my capacity, he assumed it had been me. I was extremely offended by this. I wrote several letters to the Dean's office and to the security office, to the head of security, and made it my mission in life to explain to the security officer that contrary to what

some people believe, not all black people look alike; and people laugh when it's said, but I still hear the jokes, and it's still as insulting whether or not people laugh at it. Thankfully the Dean's office was quite understanding and very forthright in helping me to deal with the situation.

I would suggest that programs be instituted on an all college campuses to heighten the awareness of its security staff, its professors, its administrators, everyone, to the needs, the sensitivies of minority students. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Ofelia Barrios.

MS. BARRIOS: I am going to be speaking
being on behalf of my efforts and own
personal experiences and also on behalf of
the Hispanic American League at Middlebury
College.

Racism always seems to be labeled or addressed only buy those who are an affected by racism, but racism affects all. Racism in most cases is only relevant when there is quote a minority or a person of color in a classroom or within the area of discussion,

Ç

yet such discussions do not alleviate racism.

Racial biases in our white, Vermont towns, such as Middlebury, is not easily dismissed because most students who are affected by such racial biases have to do with racism on a daily basis.

Although racism affects all the students in Middlebury College most white students never recognize racism. I believe that they do not recognize it because to them racism is not an issue that they feel comfortable talking about, because of the fear that they might be labeled as racist, simply because they feel they are not able to talk about such an issue, since they are not quote a minority student.

Maybe they cannot talk from the same viewpoint or standpoint that I will be talking about today, but I believe that as a peer student, that I am in Middlebury College with every white students.

Racism should be taken seriously.

Middlebury College has a reputation for being a liberal arts college, yet it does not address racism. They often fail to address

race issues because as I said Middlebury
College Police Department, during a racial
confrontation said "There was no information
to establish that the incident itself was
motivated or initiated as a racial incident."
This was when black students were harassed by
white female townsmen and they were called
nigger. They were harassed and chased.
Now for that same reason those black students
students who were harassed and have been
seriously given racial slurs they have left
Middlebury College.

Middlebury College administration does not address race issues because they believe that they have "Students of Color" on campus it is a student duty to address such an issue. Many times members of the Hispanic American League, now the Hispanic Alliance or the African American Alliance have had to leave their study hours to plan out events such as the Latin American History Month or Black History Month; yet when they are in probation for academic reasons, the administration believes they are not doing their best.

But they fail to see that students of color are spending too much time doing the administrative work which I feel is the reason why several students that I was very close to left Middlebury College.

I have made an agenda and I divided it into three sections. One is how racism affects all of us, not only students of color, but white students. I believe students of color are affected by racism on a daily basis.

First of all, there are always questions about why we all sit down at the same dinner table for dinner; why do we all hang out at same places, but yet white students never seem to reallize that white students sit together with only white students. They never question the fact that they themselves may be installing racial issues that are never addressed.

As a student, for example a Latino, we are in most cases overviewed. They believe that because we are not black, we know that we don't face any racial stereotyping, any racial slurs, but I have been in several

incidents where people have said to me, oh, well, your ethnicity, what are you; where do you come from, and when they hear my accents is Spanish, they say, oh, you are this, and I believe that in most cases Latinos are under represented even in a college such as Middlebury.

A lot of time we spend either doing or trying to help promote race awareness issues, yet I believe that it is not our duty to do that. We are also put in the position to be the spokesperson for all of our cultures yet we are not the educators. We came to Middlebury to be educated.

Another thing is necessary is to expanded curriculum at Middlebury. I don't believe in multiculturism because that would mean that only one section of my heritage would be taught.

I believe that there should be a Lati studies major, not Latin American as in the country, but Latins who are in the United States and their experiencees, because I often feel that is dismissed.

I also believe that the recruitment of

Latino, Asians and Native Americans should be expanded, but they shouldn't be only the duty of the student of color to be there on a constant basis, trying to recruit them on calling up on the phone. I think we need a person in the position to do that.

My next section is what we need to stop racism. I believe as Middlebury is expanding by bringing different cultures, we should have a Dean of minority affairs, a person who well help us in our struggle to survive in Middlebury, because it's not a choice; it's a choice to be here, but our survival is much greater than that.

I believe that the faculty and the administration should address issues on a wider atmosphere. They should talk about racism and promote racial diversity.

I believe they have liked that because when I see the Bicultural Center, I was one of the persons who was in the committee, I don't see any progress in it. I believe that once a report like the Twilight report came out, and now this one that was passed,

once that reports are passed, nothing is done about it. It's just in writing and no action is done.

I also believe that it is good that we are expanding our curriculum but we shouldn't believe that Women's Studies represents all women. As a Latino woman I sit down here and I can say I have only taken one class in the whole history of Middlebury College where I have been represented as a woman.

I also believe that we should not only see certain issues as being limited, we should expand them in all areas. Women of color are the majority pretty soon and I think we are under-represented in all areas as women also. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Jonathan Freirich.

MR. FREIRICH: My name is Jonathan

Freirich. I have participated in many
capacities at Middlebury, many of which have
been concerned with my Judiasm as well.

Most of the others have been concerned with
political and ethnic difference at

Middlebury.

I think that what I am going to talk about here is couple of problems that I faced as a Jew, which are not many, but which lead to greater problems such as ethnicity and racism as Middlebury.

As a Jew at Middlebury one is seldom notices as anything but other white American. As a local Jew at Middlebury one is approached often on the basis of, gee, what is the Jewish opinion or abortion? This has happened a couple of times, mostly by friends, mostly by people who are just curious, and that address the issue that we are talking about of ignorance.

In any event, more along the lines of an offensive action or discussions that I have been baited on controversial issues, I have been approached in the past specifically by people who consider themselves to be aware of world issues, et cetera, on things like, so, what do you think of the Israeli oppression at Aushwitz, like what the Nazis had been doing to the Jews fifty years ago in Germany?

This overt attempt to say things like people can pounce on me, I don't mind that,

because I don't argue, but the intent is there, that because I am Jewish I agree with the Israeli stance or whatever action happens to be taken.

Again it's an assumption of opinion based upon my observed or discussed or admitted ethnicity.

This leads to my major subject, which is assumptions of Middlebury, and we have basically touched on it in various forms here; and the fact is that at Middlebury everyone assumes a group is supposed to act a certain way -- not everyone, but there is a large portion. There is not a lot of interexchange between the races at Middlebury.

We talked about separate dining tables at Middlebury, separate houses. Middlebury is definitely on the verge of being a segregated ghettoized society, and Chip mentioned the family nature of Middlebury, and it seem like that. We are a small crowd, two thousand student. How could it be anything else but a family; but the fact is that there are different groups.

There is the French group of Middlebury, which eats in one dining hall. There is the athletic, more mainstream group of Middlebury which eats in one floor of another dining hall, and then there are various sub-groups, and these have not changed.

Other factors are the radicals, the artsy groups, but it's still my home. I feel uncomfortable almost in another eating place in Middlebury, and what happens in Middlebury.

It was asked before is there anything wrong at Middlebury, and the question is not is there anything wrong, but is it doing anything about it, and the faculty and administration at Middlebury have tendancy to subdue the questions and problems.

Ofelia talked about reports made and then discarded or not discarded but just we have this comittee report and look at all of the thing we have addressed but not done anything about.

I believe we are talking about ideology before, and I came up with all of these phrases while I was listening, and what we

don't have at Middlebury is ideology; they participate in many monologues, and tend to avoid dialogue, but they are certainly not ideologic.

We have a lot of miscommunication. We have a lot of problems. We have a lot of tension, and everyone has brought up specifics here; and what happens is, we address them and shove them under the table and what they are saying is, we are all a family; we are all a group of families. We all get along well and anything that appears otherwise, well, we will talk about it and dinner is over and we wake up the next morning and everything is fine.

A big issue at UVM seems to be their curriculum and we have addressed curriculum here a couple of forms.

The curriculum at Middlebury is a minor problem. I would say what was more importance in terms of what is taught is not well, it was taught, but how it's taught and by whom.

Two years ago there was a huge uproar because the sole course of African American

History in the History Department was taught by a white southern Baptist woman. I have no problems with her as an individual. I adored her classes, she made me a history major for a little while, but the fact is her perspective in that course was not suited and she was posed with situations that were difficult for her to solve and it's not her fault as an individual. It's her fault from background and I think it was a poor administrative decision. I know there were other qualified professors to teach that course at Middlebury and in subsequent years they have.

I think these are the kinds of problems that we need to address most at Middlebury. Thank you.

(Continued on Page 219)

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Germain Trong.

MS. TRONG: My name is Germain Trong

and I am a sophomore at Middlebury College,

and for the purpose of this discussion I am

including international Asian students within

the category of Asian Americans.

One of the main sources of tension and hostility towards Asian American students is that we are often the victims of racial sterotypes. I would like to discuss how these perceptions are limiting and damaging in that they often translate into biased acts, attitudes and practices.

As Asian Americans, we often struggle against negative preconceptions of our race that we are all quiet, passive and do not make good leasers, or that we stand as a threat to the academic or financial positions of our white counterparts.

We are often still considered foreigners, though in fact many may be second, third or more generation American citizens. As foreigners, then, we can only speak broken or incorrect English. As foreigners, our achievements are seen not as

bettering this nation, but as threatening it.

Also Asian Americans often are the target of antagonism towards U.S. economic and political affairs. I overheard a conversation between two white students in the T.V. lounge the other day. After watching a report about the Japanese car industry, one student commented "They're taking over America." The other student replied, "Yeah, I'm doing all this work just so some "Jap" can take my job." I believe that this sort of hostility towards foreign goods and imports if often misdirected towards Asian Americans of every nationality.

On the other hand we are perceived as being the "model minority" intelligent, hard-working, high achievers and largely math or science-oriented students. Those all seem like positive qualities, but I would like to point out some very serious and dangerous consequences of this myth.

First, the attitude that Asian American students are inherently more intelligent and will naturally succeed grossly undermines the hard work that each individual has to put

in.

Second, this myth creates a great deal of resentment against Asian Americans. As a result, there is a growing anti-Asian sentiment at many colleges as well as a surge of violence against Asians throughout the nation.

Last, this myth leads to the attitude that "Asians have already made it, they don't need any help." As a result, we are often overlooked when it comes to many programs designed to help minorities. Not only does this disregard the large percentage of Asian Americans who live in inner-city conditions at or below the proverty level, it also disregards the fact that as Asians we, too, face similar obstacles of racism and discrimination.

Seemingly harmless preconceptions do lead to very real acts of discrimination at Middlebury. Stereotypes and racial notions do stay in people's minds and emerge as subtle as well as overt acts or racism or racial insensitivity.

These acts, however, go largely

unreported, but they range from blatant racial slurs to seemingly innocent, yet insulting statements like, "Do you eat dogs?" We often do not know who to turn to or feel hesitant to complain about what seems like an isolated, insignificant act. also we are so often frustrated in that most acts of racism or discrimination take place in very subtle forms from personal experiences, and I admit that it is very difficult to simply ignore such incidents, derogatory or insensitive comments are significant because they can greatly affect one's sense of comfort and well-being on campus.

I think it's time for Asian American students at Middlebury to speak out against the concerns, problems, frustrations we often face as being a minority at a predominantly white campus. Much of the hostility or racial insensitivity comes from a lack of understanding or awareness.

Stereotypes are broken when people come into contact with those individuals who do not fit their preconceived notions. Yes, tensions will arise at these confrontations,

but we must continue to fight these myths and stereotypes.

Therefore, I stress measures to increase

Therefore, I stress measures to increase interaction between people of different backgrounds and races; and that is why I am concerned about the large under-representation of Asian students at Middlebury.

I think that Asian Americans are often overlooked in the college's effort to recruit students of different ethnic races.

It has only been this year that Asian Americans have been officially classified a minority group in the Admissions Department. thus, when the college speaks about increasing diversity on campus, I do not think we are given equal consideration as a minority group.

I do not wish to undermine efforts made to recruit students of other minority groups. My hope is just that Middlebury could reflect that sort of commitment towards Asian students as well. I just would like to see equal efforts made to make more Asian American students aware of the possibilities

that Middlebury offers as a college. On the same note, I would like to make the college more aware of how much we have to contribut to the spirit and color of the campus community.

The lack of focused recruitment efforts is only a part of the reason for the low number of Asians. I would also like to see greater efforts made on the part of Middlebury College to appeal to Asian American students.

For instance, looking at the college prospectus catalog that Middlebury publishes every year for potential applicants, there is a section that addresses the experiences of being a minority student at Middlebury. The catalog states that "The largest minority groups on campus are African Americans, Hispanics and Asian Americans." However, this is the only mention of Asian Americans. There are three quotes by African American students concerning the exchange of contributions between student and college as well as a brief description of the African American Alliance and Hispanic American

League.

This catalog is of significant importance in representing the college. For many applicants, this is their only exposure to Middlebury College. I am concerned that some Asian American applicants may be hesitant to come to Middlebury due to an apparent lack of representation.

It is not my intent to foster

competition between the different minority

groups. I would just like to see more

recognition of the contributions Asian

American students make to the diverse

traditions and heritages found at Middlebury.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Rita Glavin.

Thank you.

MS. GLAVIN: Good afternoon. My name is Rita Glavin and I am probably here as a quote unquote mainstream Middlebury College student. I am a junior. I am a junior counselor. I live with twenty freshman girls on a residence hall. I am into athletics. I have played on the women' basketball team for two years, golf, judicial counseling, the whole nine yards, someone who

has what you might call almost everything.

After listening to a number of the comments on this panel today, I come into great criticism for some of the things that I have to say, but I do disagree with some of the things that were said, and would like to address a few specifics.

The first being regard to the professor that was teaching the African America History coarse. She was extremely well-qualified. She is perhaps one of the best lecturers that I have ever heard on pampus or in high school, and I recently finished a course with her on the Civil War, and I thought her sensitivity to minority issues was something to be admired.

Secondly, when we discuss certain groups being recruited to Middlebury College, we are a small college of two thousand students and while I understand the frustrations of African Americans, the Latino community, and when Jonathan was mentioning about saying people saying he should represent the Jews, perhaps another minority is the Irish Catholics, and many times I have been called

to defend that too and the fact that we haven't shaped up on birth control yet, but I would like to say that Middlebury also does a wonderful job in diversifying its student body and recruiting people from rural areas, where people have distinct lowcalities, which I also think are just as important in diversifying the student body.

People that are -- we have people that are extremely wealthy, extremely poor, people that have different interests, that not just jock interest, but also things as being interested in Arts and having talents which I think he is very important in diversifying the campus as well as religious backgrounds.

My experience at Middlebury College has been very good at addressing the racial, ethnic, religious and issues involving people having the right to their opinions. As a freshman I distinctly remember the Director of Residential Life, as part of my orientation, saying, take this opportunity where you are with people that you probably never been with before or will experience different people of different backgrounds to

learn about them, as a chance to educate them. As part of my training to be an junior counselor we were well-informed on the current college policies regarding harassment of all groups of people, and in fact, the first day I posted it on my hall, and I have it with me and I was looking at it.

I think that what is being desired here is a perfect society, which is very difficult to obtain, and Middlebury to my knowledge of other colleges is doing a good job in regard to diversifying racial issues.

My brother and sister, my sister is a
Villanova alumni and my brother is a student
right now, and they are called Vanillanova.
They don't understand how we accept
homosexual groups here. They are from the
big Catholic college, and I think that
Middlebury is a campus where it's almost
uncool to be unaccepting of other groups,
to make remarks that might be termed as being
ignorant or bigoted.

I think many issues are addressed among the students in regard to diversity issues.

I had countless conversations with other

groups of students about this. People who make comments, at say dinner or wherever, are sometimes viewed as they lose a lot of respect in the eyes of their peers.

Middlebury is a community, and I believe it is a family-type atmosphere that does strive to overcome ignorance and everyone wants to be seen as quote unquote enlightened, and that I have found that to make certain comments has people stare at you with disgust.

I can't deny that that this is some racism that exists on the Middlebury campus. I know that it exists. It exists in every walk of society. I think we are fortunate enough that I think it is much less at Middlebury than it could be at other places.

Lyndon Johnson, 1965, following Selma,
Alabama, at the march, pronounced it brought
tears when Martin Luther King sang, "We
Shall Overcome" the first time on national
television.

We see Martin Luther King later on in the late '60s expanding his vision that he wanted to include and get away from everyone

being poor, wanted greater equality in society than he had ever asked for, and perhaps these goals are very much desired and they were desirable for Middlebury College campus, but in a sense unrealistic, and what I would say is that Middlebury should continue the course — obviously we can always do better. There is always room for improvement, but it has done a good job, and I would disagree that we are any worse off than any other campus, and in fact, I think we are much better off.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much.

Any questions from the panel?

MS. FLEESON: I see you as an Ambassador here and I realize it takes a lot of commitment on your part, but I would like to commend you for making the effort, and unfortunately unless you make the effort, I don't think there will be any changes in the colleges.

MR. CHENEY: I want to thank you for your very articulate statements and giving us another view of Middlebury that I am sure is new to me and I think you have really helped

us out a lot. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

(A short break was taken.)

MR. CHENEY: Mr. Nicholas Clifford.

MR. CLIFFORD: My name is Nicholas Clifford, and I was Provost at Middlebury from '79 to '95, and I have been Provost again since last fall. I am also an historian, in fact, you have had, on your panel this morning, you have the only two Chinese Historians at Middlebury, Don Wyatt and myself.

Let me just say before I begin, since there has been some talk at the faculty meeting, even as we speak, one of the items that will be voted on is a general harassment statement, that started out as a sexual harassment statement, and became enlarged to include sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, religious beliefs, physical ability and age, and we trust it will pass.

I am not handing this out, however, because it's still a documents under consideration by the faculty, but that is what is going on.

This is first day of classes for us in

25

1

2

the spring term and therefore kind of a busy day. I have a statement here which I prepared which I will read rapidly in view of the time. Some of this you have heard before, because at the beginning I think a real concern at Middlebury with minority issues goes back to 968 after the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, when the committee which Rudy Haerle referred to, Rudy and I were both members of that, looking particularly at the recruitment of minority students and that did mean by and large African American in those days; and also for the first time to take a general look at our curriculum and see how we could broaden it.

The recruitment of minority students since then has been varied from the reasonably successful to the sometimes dissappointing. Applications since 1980 have risen from fifty or sixty a year to well over three hundred now, if one includes African American, Asian American and Latino. Last year there were one hundred sixty-seven admissions of whom fifty-two actually

- 5

matriculated, a yield rate of about 31%, which is actually a bit on the low side in recent years.

We have also sought transfer students, and since 1987 we have entered in articulation agreements with Fiorello LaGuardia Community College In new York, with Miami-Dade Community College in Florida, and with Bronx community College and Montgomery Community College. These kinds of agreemens, as you probably know, are designed to encourage students from those colleges to transfer to Middlebury after the first two years of higher education.

We have also entered into as you heard this afternoon a special agreement with DeWitt Clinton High School in New York. We send several interns there during our winter term to try to draw on their graduates for admission to Middlebury and we have faculty visits in both directions.

In Boston, New York and Washington we have alumni groups or task forces actively engaged in minority student recruitment in cooperation with the Admissions Office, and

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have a committee of four members of our Admissions staff who are particularly charged with minority recruiting.

For a number of years now we have set aside one weekend every winter to bring to campus a number of minority student prospects, to give them a chance to see what Middlebury is like, to meet other students, To see what the academic program is like, see what the social life is like on the campus, and for these students we have been able it provide some travel support on as-needed basis; and finally for some years, since the early 1980s, we have had a policy of need-blind admissions in financial aid. Moreover for the students with the highest level of need, Middlebury has a program that replaces the \$2300 loan component of the aid package which a supplemental grant.

Eligibility for this of course is not determined by race. We have no minority scholarships as such, but some of our neediest students of color have benefitted from it.

Admissions is one thing, as a number of

people have said this afternoon, retention is somebody else again. We have made reasonably good progress is recruitment and in matriculation, but we have like other college of our sort, we have a way to do in retention. Our Board of Trustees, a couple of years ago, voted to try to reach a goal whereby 10% of the graduating class would consist of American students of color.

I believe that a stipulation of this sort would be a better measure of success than X% of admitted freshman.

It's a goal that sound modest enough, but it a goad that he have yet to reach. Figures compiled a few years ago show that over the period of a decade the average was two out of three graduating, not a very good retention rate, but one that unfortunately reflects similar experiences of other colleges of our sort, so obviously we have to do better.

We have run since the 1970s a pre-enrollment program for the three weeks before the fall terms begins, in the hope of increasing our retention rate.

The program typically serves some thirty students. Again of course it is not specifically directed as minority, although they have played a role in it. It has attracted the services of some of our most talented faculty. It's hard to measure the success this kind of endeavor but generally it has been praised by the students it has served.

We have also built up various programs of academic support in various kinds of tutoring, writing, mathematic. Jean Clookey, whom you heard from earlier this afternoon, has been very strong in the math side of that, and we think it served a very useful function and we are looking for ways to strenghten it, and in January 1990 we established an Office of Academic Support. John Norman, the first director is in the room.

We have had a budget of over one hundred thousand a year for this area, again obviously this program is open to all newcomers but a strong focus has been on students of color.

Recuitment of minority faculty as you have heard from Professor Haerle and others has been a little bit less successful, despite our various efforts and various programs in that regard.

We made a number of an appointments of African America faculty. We have also lost some over the years. The same is true although less so with Hispanic faculty. We have been more successful with Asian Americans, in part because we have strong programs in Chinese and Japanese, and most of those faculty are of course Asian-born and so many of them are American educated and they are now living in this country, either as permanent residents or naturalized citizens.

We have had since about 1986 a program to encourage departments to recruit more minority faculty. Essentially we tell them that is in the course of a search for whatever it might be they come up with a good minority candidate who may not be in the field that the department is looking for, we will find the money to open up an extra position in that department. That has led to

a number of appointments over the last few years, not all of whom are still with us, of course; and it's led to a number of offers of appointment, at least three of which I know of have been turned down.

I am not counting in this number of course minority faculty who are appointed through regular channels simply because they were the best qualified for the position for which we had advertised. this is a program which creates new positions.

At present we have five black faculty, one of whom is in physical education. We are going to have two more next fall.

Neither one however African American, both of them were born outside of the United States.

We have eight Hispanic faculty.

Probably we will have about the same number next year, although the recruiting for next year has only recently begun, and I can't yet tell how it's going to come out.

We have thirteen Asian America faculty, although that include those that were Asian born but who have become citizens or permanent residents of the U.S..

24

25

1

In the administration and staff we have a total of ten African Americans and Hispanic in various positions, primarily in student services.

Finally though I gather it's not specifically the business of this meeting, I should mention that we have been reasonably successful in recent years of recruiting international students of color, particularly Their presence of course adds to from Asia. the multicultural dimension of the college. They are not American minorities, but their presence on campus has probably been generally supportive of students of color. About 8% of the student body is made up of foreign nationals, although that number includes Canadian, West European, as well as students of color, and I think I will stop there.

I do have some figures on admissions, which I gave the stenographer and would be glad to give anybody the literature.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Karl Lindholm.

MR. LINDHOLM: Thank you. I will talk

very briefly about some of the concerns I

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

have and some of the projects that are close to me.

In responding, just at the outset for a moment to the student pane and some of the responses of the Advisory Committee, in my fifteen years at Middlebury, I just completed a stint of three years as Dean of Students and now I am working on some other projects, Largely to do with off-campus duty, but in the fifteen years in the Dean of Students' office, I have learned from talking to students that it is indeed hard to be a student of color at the College of Middlebury no matter what efforts are made on your behalf and how well-meaning some people may I think of all the be or appear to be. isms on our campuses, racism is the most subtle and most complex and the most pervasive.

All college students, all of us, but college students especially face discrimination, discrimination everyday. A C on a test in which your roommate received a B, or I may be sitting on a bench playing behind someone who I know is not a good as I

am. We fact these discriminations all the time.

I think for a student of color on a campus like Middlebury to have to determine to determine whether or not these discriminations are racially motivated is a constant and difficult pressure.

I think the racism that we see, most of it is the kind of racism that a sociologist that visited Middlebury a couple years ago, from Cornell, John Delvideo talked about has made his career studying, calling it an agressive form of racism; racism among the well-intentioned.

Pointing out that 85% of all of America says they are not racist, yet he documents in his studies patterns of behavior which conform to racist remarks.

Following that I think the more common form of racism in my view that our students face is condecention, a view that all the students at Middlebury are there because of some sort of affirmative program.

To agree, that honestly would be unjust.

To treat them equally to the white students

doesn't take into considerations or special efforts on their behalf and so forth and so on. You have heard those stories.

Now we have a Bicultural Center, which has raised controversy on campus in which fifteen students live. This is the visibility factor. You put eight students of color in the dining hall and it's segregation, not acknowledging that there are one hundred ten to one hundred fifty students of color who are not sitting at that table, who are presumably being perhaps interacting with other students.

We ignore the fact that the whole football team seems to go off together and that segregation doesn't seem to bother us in the same way that fifteen students of a group of about one hundred twenty seem to bother us; so there is a visibility I think the spotlight is always on, and I think it gets to be tiresome.

I came back to Middlebury after about ten years away teaching high school and working on a graduate degree in American Literature. In order to work in the program

that Nick just described, we created another program which at that time in 976 was a program exclusively for minority students, and also to teach two special writing classes to students who emerged from that program and to work in the Dean of Students' office.

That program which has been directed the last couple of years by John Norman is to me the best thing that we do. It's the thing I enjoy the most. It's a three-week program in August. Now we take any student who predicts particular adjustment challenges; students from the the Northeast Kingdom in Vermont, who would be rooming with a a student from DeWitt Clinton High School in the Bronx.

We have football players and some international students and we get an early look; we get to meet early with those students who are the neediest in academic terms, and often in other respects as well, and provide whatever support we can at the very outset.

I would also comment on a program or a group of people that call itself the Minority

Issues Group. This is a group of twenty-five or thirty faculty and staff members interested in supporting students of color on campus. It emerged from an all-day faculty meeting in September about five years ago, and this group wanted to maintain the momentum of that concern. It's an ad hoc group which meets once a month and on special occasions, whose purposes are to advocate for students of color and to work, to plan events and work on events in order to provide a stimulating atmostphere about learning about issues of diversity.

I bring these issues up because to me these are part of any kind of a retention effort that will have. Retention efforts will be I think somewhat broader based and above all the numbers of different ethnics.

Quickly two other project that I am working on that I think will help us. We are hoping to develop a vigorous sort of domestic exchange school, taking into consideration that 45% of Middlebury students study abroad, 45% of our junior class is abroad this hear in various

_

programs. Other students sometimes study in programs in the States.

There is a group at Middlebury of students who feel quot unquote trapped at Middlebury, ironically trapped by financial aid. They can't afford to go anywhere else and our financial aid doesn't travel generally, so we are hoping to develop six exchange schools. It would be a one-to-one exchange where no money changes hands, but students from one school can swap. A lot of schools have it.

We have exchanges with Swarthmore in Philadephia and with Berria in Kentucky as well. Also with James Thompson, we are trying to begin to maintain some momentum in alumni relations. We think it's terribly important to get our minority alumni group engaged on campus. They provide the role model that we sometimes lack in Vermont.

Since 1970 we have had a significant number of minority students graduate from Middlebury. They are now into the world, successful, and they came back and provide us with I think with a lot of help.

25

1

To conclude, I'm not sure why I involved myself so enjoyably and satisfyingly in these efforts, except that the most compelling events in my life outside of Vietnam, were with the Civil Rights events of the '60s. I graduated in 1967. chance to hear a lecture on Jackie Robinson three or four times in high school and I'm a baseball fan, and I hope I realize the limitations of my role, but I do think there is a role for people like me, to play and helping to make Middlebury College and Middlebury, Vermont a congenial place for Thank you. students of color.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Brenda Andrieu.

MS. ANDRIEU: Thank you. What I keep hearing are two splits; one saying that Middlebury College is a wonderful place where everything is beautiful and we believe in cultural diversity and everything gets along well together, and the other is that there are problems at Middlebury College regarding racism.

And since the agenda is racism I have come to talk about what my perspective on

1.8

racism is and how that is affected at Middlebury.

My position is that racism is alive and well at Middlebury. One of the major reasons why racism is alive and well at Middlebury is that at Middlebury College, all the people in decision-making positions at the college are white males, and unless you are sharing power with people of color, where they can make decisions also, you get an imbalance in what affects them, the policies that are affecting them. They are not able to decide for themselves and there is distemperate.

Some people have talked about the recruitment of minority faculty and staff, and maybe not maintaining faculty and staff once they are here, once they are here at Middlebury College. One of the references that once they are hired, they find out that they have no role to play in the decision making. Also if they are hired at one position, they find out that that's where they stay.

They talk about the Cinderella Syndrome

or the glass ceiling. For ethnic minorities there is a cement ceiling. You don't see anything up there. You don't move. So they move out.

When it was spoken about the number of African American and Hispanic on staff, I am not in a decision-making position, so therefore my numbers may not be correct, but as far as I am aware, there is only one African American who is tenured at Middlebury College, and there is one African Hispanic faculty member on the tenure track. Now there may be one or two more that I don't know about, but those are the only two that I know about.

The other people that are mentioned as faculty as far as African American, as far as I know aren't not on the tenure track.

Like I said I am not part of the decision-making process, I could be completely wrong about that.

The other thing is that the Hispanic faculty that were mentioned, I think one of the discomforts that Hispanic students have is, to my knowledge, before last year, there

4 5

.

were no faculty who were Hispanic, who were born in the United States.

Now we have a Hispanic person that I believe was born in Puerto Rico, but that's not the same as someone being born in New York or California, somebody who understanding Chicano or Mexican American cultures.

And as some of these students talked about, the need not to just study Latin

American culture from South American, but the need to study it and understand what is happening in the Latin American cultures here in the United States of America.

When I say cultures there is more than one culture of a Latin American here in the United States of America, and we are not dealing with any of that; and when we put people on staff and say that, okay, we have got Hispanic here, and not dealing with — that's like saying, okay, I bring this person from Germany and he understands what happens to somebody in Chicago, you are an America who is in Chicage. That's not true. That is just not true.

But that's basically what we are saying, and like I said, I could be wrong about this, but I don't believe that any of the faculty represents mainland U.S.A. Hispanic cultures, and that is something that the students feel, at least they have told me that they felt uncomfortable about, and students need the support of people that they can identify with.

I think one of the students was talking about how he was recruited by one of our faculty staffpersons who was African

American. He made the decision to come here because that person reached out to him.

That is a need for a Hispanic American student also and I think it really is — it has been a misnomer that Hispanic American have been actively recruited, and they need more support once they get here. Both the African American and the Hispanic and the Asian, all three of those groups need more support.

We are not actively recruiting Native

American students, which probably we should

be doing also.

So we at Middlebury College have a lot of work to do in those areas. Faculty when they are hired are hired, and staff when they are hired are pretty much recruited and hired as though they are to work with the general student population.

I was here when a faculty from the University of Vermont was saying he was recruited as any other faculty member, at least he thought, but once he got here he found out he was recruited as a person of color and that bothered him.

I think what bothers us people of color, is that if we knew we were being recruited as people of color, that would be different; to work with minority students, that would be different; but they are telling us one thing and what they expect from us is something different; so we are recruited because they are not racist and like these people said, we don't want to deal with racism here, and I think that is what you have heard from some of people saying, well, no, we don't really have a problem. We have cultural diversity, everything is beautiful, because they don't

want to deal with the real issue of racism, so we are recruited like there is no racial problem and you are to deal with all of the students, but once you get into that situation, you find out. You have got your job to do the same as the Euro-American who was hired next to you, but he doesn't have the expectation that he is going to do extra work and be that token for that minority student.

The student feel short-changed because you can't give them all the attention that they need and that's the reason the students were talking about the need to have somebody who is working directly and committed to that issue of working and supporting them, because they don't have anyone who is committed to working and supporting them. They do spend an exhorbitant amount of time writing proposals, lobbying, planning financial plans, and flunking classes. Very competent students, I have seen them come and I have seen them go; students who could have graduated and made us very proud of them as Middlebury graduates, but they got bogged

down.

I have seen seniors bogged down, not who had been very competent, but they had to have their theses held for awhile so they could finish that, because they had been working on something like getting the Bicultural Center going.

Racism is reflected in the way students relate to each other. Racists incidents do happen on campuses and in the community, and as we all saw this report at that came out pretty much saying this was just a disagreement, it wasn't really racist.

I think there are a number of students at least that have talked to me that have had racial slurs directed at them, both in the town and at Middlebury. I think that Middlebury does tend to be pretty much a supportive community. I am not trying to paint a total horrendous picture, but to say that it's not there is incorrect also, because it does happen, and most of the students who are visible minority had had it happen to them.

I think you get a different, when you

have like, you know, there was this woman who was from Puerto Rico who was talking here earlier saying that she didn't feel that here. Well, when people see you and they see color they react differently than when they see a person who look basically as they do, and they are not as much maybe related to what your his historical background is, but to the visible minority that you are.

So that the students at our campus have, most of them have experienced some kinds of racism, and even the ones who seem like they are very culturally adopting very well to the system, sometimes these are the students who are experiencing the most racism.

white. They go every place with them. But what happens is they hear all of these things, all the jokes, all the put downs about people that look like them, and they kind of brush it off so they can keep going and keep surviving and keep relating.

I guess I have to say one other thing.

I am from the Counseling Center so I get the
person problems, so I know what these

students are grieving over.

And students also are malicious to each other when there is interracial dating; and that certainly is racism also, when other people regards to their color, when they are the same color or a different color are telling others it's not okay for you to associate with a certain person or be intimate with a person because of their color and a lot of that happens at Middlebury.

MR. CHENEY: May I ask you to finish up, please?

MS. ANDREIU: Yes. I am sorry. We do have supportive groups at Middlebury the way a lot of people have mentioned, but I think it's really important that we as concerned people, and I would say all of us here on the panel are concerned, we do speak up when we find minorities being excluded inappropriately or included as token in inappropriately. Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. John Walsh.

MR. WALSH: As the Chaplain at the College for the past six years, I have had the same opportunity that Dr. Andrieu has had

in meeting students outside of a classroom and sometimes even out of the academic context to talk about personal issues.

A lot of students perceive levels of discrimination and prejudice. perception makes it for them a real experience, and that rality has to be addressed and dealt with.

David Duke represents I think not an anomaly of the political system, but I think he represents a mainstream of undercurrent of hostility, of anger, of fear and pain in the America society today, worried about what little I have got and who is going to take it away from me.

What David Duke represents and what Gallop suggests, or at least the exit poles suggest to us from his recent campaign in Louisiana, was that a majority of white males in that State voted him or supported him. That tells us I think that we have a very serious problem that has to be addressed.

People have these fears they are losing something, always afraid that something is being taken away from them by other people,

24

25

another group, other classifications;

perception on both sides of fear and

alienation and being lost in the system that

it's running away from them.

I have been asked to address the religious aspects of this question, although I teach courses that are related more to the political and racial question than I do — I may be the Chaplain, but I don't teach any religion courses. There is a growing religious diversity in our college as well as as in our community and our state. There is a growing critical mass, to use Dean Lindholm's favorite term, of religious diversity. This is not the white Protestant state it once was.

We have at Middlebury more Jewish students and Jewish faculty than we have ever had. In fact this year we have our first ever Rabbi. We have him once a month, but that's progress. That's enormous progress.

We have a new professor of Islamic
Studies, new this year, to begin a program.
The Arab language is perhaps the next part of

that Islamic studies program.

We have more Muslim students now than we have ever had. Over the past five years I would argue the presence of those Muslim students and their active visibility within our community went a long way to keeping a lot of I think the public prejudices toward Arabs and Muslims that existed in this country last year to a minimum, because we knew them. We were friends with them. We ate with them, we studied with them. There was a new awareness of who they were, the diversity of that group, and I think a better understanding of who they were as opposed to the stereotype.

I think that same is true of the Judaic Studies Program; of the higher number of Jewish students and the presence of a Rabbi on campus now, although not enough.

I think there is a better awareness of these kinds of issues, and Jonathan and I have this discussion on a regular basis, and I am glad he didn't go much further in discussing that African American history class that he talked about, because I taught

the course the following year.

We have a growing religious diversity;
more Catholic students than we have ever had.

A greater awareness of the local Catholic
parish of the college on the campus than has
ever existed.

I think that growing awareness and these growing numbers has produced a healthy dialogue and a growing understanding. I argue the greater the diversity and the greater the numbers, the more likely we will reach a better undering, but that greater diversity creates greater tension; but I kind of like the way (Inaudible) puts it, when he says "Tension is nothing more than ecstasy bound up in chains waiting to be set free."

Thank you.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you. Charles Kittrell.

MR. KITTRELL: My name is Charles

Kittrell and I am a Sergeant with the

Middlebury College Security Department, and

I was only notified at the last minute about

this. I had to replace my director who

couldn't make it for this, so I don't have

too much prepared, but I guess the reason for this meeting is to discuss sources of bias-related tensions on college campus and possible solutions, and I only started at Middlebury back last October. Until then I worked at Colby College in Waterville, Maine, for thirteen years, and I never actually ran into any problems.

The only real problem I saw at Colby was about three years ago during the Martin
Luther King Day celebration. Some idiot lit up a cross on one of the academic building lawns and we never found out who did it.
That's about the only thing I remember happening at Colby during my time there and I have seen knowing here at Middlebury since I have been there.

I think looking at the source of racial tension, hatred, bigotry, prejudice, in my view only just about the greatest source or perveyor of hatred, bigotry in this country is the media. I don't know if the media is here today, but I think that is one of the greatest perveyor of racial tensions.

You know, children, talking about

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

college kids, these kids came up, they grew up watching T.V., going to the movies and getting to form a lot of opinions in the way they think from watching what they see and read. We did it and they are doing it today.

I think if that, T.V. media especially, I think is the worst perpetrator. As you sit and watch T.V. and anytime there is a special or anytime any program is done on anything concerning crime, drug abuse, welfare, prisons, homelessness, prostitution, teenage pregnancies, poverty, street gangs, what is the focus on? Blacks: Blacks and Hispanics, and we get -- we sit and watch T.V. We all know what a powerful medium the media is and the news media, and we get a lot of opinions formed by those people, and if you got kids now that sit and watch that every night they are watching T.V., they are going to the movies, even the college kids, you watch these programs, I watch them and I see them, and you notice the focus is always on Black people or Hispanics. It's very seldom when you see a white face mentioned or

white person.

I would like to relate to you a letter that I wrote, I personally wrote myself to five T.V. programs, which was 48 Hours, 20-20, Sixty Minutes, Sunday Morning, and Nightline. I sat down and wrote a letter, this was way back a year ago. I wrote this letter to these programs pertaining to what I am talking about here. I have a rough draft of it. It's not very much. The letter is addressed to the controllers of the three major T.V. networks and cable.

It says, "I am a black man who has been watching and getting a lot of information, having a lot of opinions formed by the T.V. media. There is something that is going on that is always done and continues to do, that the T.V. media continues to do. It seems to me no one seems to notice that every time one of these programs is done, the focus is on black people. The black face is the first face you see and is usually the last face you see on those programs."

I went on to say, "If you don't think so, please tell me and all of the other black

people in in country why is it that whenever there is a news item done on a T.V. special like Dan Rather's 48 Hours, Hugh Downs and Barbara Walters 20-20, Sixty Minutes or Charles Kuralt's Sunday Morning or even Ted Kopple's Nightline, whenever there is a program done about one of these topics, the focus is always on black people."

These college kids, they are watching this. The little kids are watching this as they come up. They are watching these programs. I notice that every now and then you see a white face, but very seldom. The focus is mainly on blacks.

I am sure that if you looked around that somewhere in this country you can find white people that use drugs or abuse drugs or sell drugs. If you look hard enough you can find a white neighborhood to send in the Drug Enforcement Police and kick down the doors and handcuff a guy and drag him out leaving a white mother clutching her little baby crying for a change.

If you think about it, think back, everytime you saw one of those programs, what

did you see? Kicking down doors of black guys, arresting black guys, like they are the cause of the problem. As you grow up in this country seeing that continuously you are going to think that the black people and Hispanics are the cause of the whole problem.

I said, "I am sure there are just as many, if not more, white homeless people out there than there are blacks, but the focus is almost always on black people."

When I wrote this letter it was way
back last year, and I had recently watched
the program on teenage pregnancy on Dan
Rather's 48 Hours, and as usual the focus was
on black teenagers. The reporter
interviewed a black teenage father and a
black teenage mother, and the only white
teenage mother they showed, which was only
for a few seconds, had her face bleeped out,
but the blacks were shown full frontal, open.

I don't know if you ever noticed this, but sometimes they bleep out the white faces, but the black people, they are shown full frontal, and you can see everything as he intersviews them right straight on.

I say, "Correct me if I am wrong, but I really do believe there is someone in the media someplace, some person or persons who is actually paid to makes sure that whenever a program like that is done, that the focus is on blacks, Hispanics, sometimes even Native Americans; Asian Americans. We all know you watch T.V. and you see it, and from now on I hope you notice it and maybe pay more attention and start to do something about it.

Knowing how apathetic the white attitude is in this country toward us blacks, Hispanic problems, African, Asian, is it the aim of the media to cushion whites from the seriousness of the problem for fear that if whites see more blue-eyes blondes in trouble that they might start to be made more aware of something of the problem and sought to demand that something be done about it; thereby making our Government pay more attention to what is going on, to what is going on in this country, rather than what is going on in Poland or the Middle East or Romania or West Germany or whatever.

25

1

I see that the T.V. media has such a profound impact on our beliefs, and we all know that it does, the media could do so much to ease prejudices and hatred and separatism in this country if it really wanted to. think programs like Webster, Different Strokes, let's take those for a change. say they are usually raising a little white kid or raising a white person. I think it would do so much just for a change to see a black family raising a little white kid. Have we have ever seen it? We have never seen a black family raising a little white The kids grow up and see this, so naturally the kids get the idea that the whites is the top and the blacks people always are the bad people, the blacks and Hispanics."

I recentaly watched a program, this was back last year, when I wrote the letter, it was movie called "Murder in Black and White, and it featured Richard Crenna and Diane Carroll, and of course Richard and Diane were shown in an intimate relationship, even kissing. When was the last time we saw a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

black man and a white woman even in the hint of an intimate relationship.

The T.V. media, in practicing this rule, seems to me a little apartheid system of keeping everybody in their place. Any time you see a program where you see there is a black and white relationship, it's a black woman and a white man. When since "Guess Who's Coming to Dinner" have you ever seen a relationship between a black man and a white woman, and even in that one you never saw Sidney Poiter and the woman in an intimate, even an embrace. If you remember they always stayed apart.

I think that things like that is what purveys separatism, bigotry, racism and the media plays the greatest part in this country by keeping us separated.

I went on to say that, "If I were a little white kid coming up in this cultural right, I think by the time I got grown up I would be prejudiced too, because look at what I see all the time."

So I think the colleges, start in the colleges, if we are really serious enough

about wanting to get rid of the racism, we would right letters to the T.V. news media and let them know that you are sick of seeing this continuously of black people, Hispanics, Native Americans being portrayed always as the bad people. Shows us that white people do it too. Just be equal; that's all. Just equality. Show us that everybody does bad things.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you, very much. Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. My name is James Thompson, from the Admissions Office, and I have been there for three years. I am a graduate of Middlebury 1987.

Middlebury has talked about the King
Report of 1968, the Twilight Report, and
there is another report that we just
completed. Dr. Norman completed a report on
Enhancement Lives of the Students of Color
on campus, and these three reports are
basically saying the same thing. We talk
many, many years apart.

One area that I can say Middlebury has done very well is in the area of recruiting.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Within the last four years we have recruited or more students have matriculated to Middlebury than in the previous nine years.

When we talk Hispanic students, in the past four years, Hispanics and Latino students, more Hispanics and Latinos have matriculated to Middlebury than in the past fifteen to twenty years, so we are doing a fairly good job in that respect.

But as you know, no group is homogeneous. Some of the areas I think that Middlebury needs to improve on is creating diversity within the ethnic groups that we target. We need to do more than just going to New York and looking for African American students. We need to do more than just going to Florida or California in search of Hispanic or Latino students. We need to create the diversity within that Hispanic We need to look for students that are from Cuba or have that background, have that cultural. We need to look for Chicanos; and we need to look for all types of students, and we need to look for students from the African dissent that are from the

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is]ands.

It's not just enough for us to go into the New York area or to California, to Florida, and feel that we have created diversity on campus.

I also feel that Middlebury needs to increase the number of Asian Americans. I can say that this year we are doing a better job at targeting that group.

Some of the things that have made Middlebury successful, one is the task force we developed in New York, Boston and D.C., and these task forces are made up of Middlebury alumni of all colors. They are made up of college counselors. The group is made up of principals and other people that are involved in education.

This group helps us to identify students that will meet with success at Middlebury. Now by no means is Middlebury an institution for all students of color. There is a certain type of student that will be successful at Middlebury because racism does exist there at Middlebury.

There is a hidden racism; there is

unintentional racism; and some blatant racism. It may not be there on Middlebury campus. It may happen in town.

Coming to Middlebury in '82, and this is sort of a piggyback offer of what you were mentioning about television, there was nothing on television at this particular time that was positive, when you looked at the black male, nothing at all. You looked at the Jeffersons. You talk about anything on television, everything is negative.

I remember as a student going down to
the Grand Union. It was late and stayed open
twenty-four hours. I am sitting in a
parking lot, trying to count my change to go
into the store to buy some Pepsi or something
like that, and I noticed a lady come out.
It's about twelve thirty at night. She is
coming out their door and I am parked next to
her. She comes out with her basket and
she gets up to the car and she sees me
sitting there and she takes off and runs back
inside the store.

It may seem funny to you, but can you imagine how I felt as a black male. The

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fact that there was, at that particular time we didn't have a John Norman, a black male on campus. We did not have Professor Wyatt, no one I could go talk to; no one I could go and tell about this particular incident, but it left a negative scar in that respect.

So it may not be on campus. It may be off campus. Middlebury has done well in some other areas, but with a large influx of studentss within a short period of time, you are talking in the past four years, close to one hundred sixty students of color we have brought to come campus.

As I mentioned that is more than we had in nine years previously. So just as quickly as we are successful there, we need to move as quickly in creating an environment for these students, an environment that would help them meet with success.

I note we don't have very time, so I am not going to continue on. Nick Clifford covered a lot of the things that I was planning on talking about. I think that is pretty much it.

MR. CHENEY: Any questions from the

panel.

MR. HAND: For the record, we have haven't covered this, and this is true for UVM and Middlebury. I wander, there must be a large percentage of students who when they enter college will enter the most culturally diverse environment they have ever experienced up to that point, and this is true of both minority or majority students, and I wonder if in the counseling or anything if anything of us are concerned about this or if there is any mechanism to deal with this?

MR. WALSH: In a conversation I had with a student a few days ago commenting to me that she had never in her life studied in a classroom with an African American, and she was African American herself.

The ramifications of your question are profound. Yes; we see these students from time to time who talk about these feelings.

MR. HAND: Is there anything structurally, programmatically that we have to deal with it?

MR. WALSH: The Pre-enrollment Program is one way that we deal with that issue,

because in some cases we are bringing students out from the innercity, the DeWitt Clinton partnership, students living in the Bronx, a predominantly all black institution and for some of those students, this is night and day. You are coming from literally an all-black environment to the whitest state in America; so the Pre-enrollment Program is a way for us to in some way help these students to make that change.

MR. CHENEY: I have a question for you.

You mentioned something about recruiting the faculty member that represent mainland Hispanic culture, and given the fact that even that culture must be diverse, how is it possible to do that? Seems to me that --

MS. ANDRIEU: Yes, we have Latino faculty at Middlebury College, but as far as I know they are either white European American, or they are Hispanic, who have been born in South America, except we have one person now I believe who was born in Puerto Rico.

What I am saying is that it would good to recruit Hispanic people who are born in

22

23

24

25

1

the U.S., who have the same cultural background.

MR. CHENEY: Let's say you found that person, wouldn't he or she be under the same disability as any minority people?

I am saying they should MS. ANDRIEU: speak for all. I am saying they should be represented. They should be here. should be looking for them. We should hire There are -- I guess, I am going to them. say Hispanic Americans born in the U.S.A. who have college degrees and graduate degrees that we could recruit to work at Middlebury. I am not saying that one person could speak for all Hispanic American students. They could not. I cannot speak for all African American or Indian students, though I have that heritage. I couldn't possibly do that.

However sometimes I might be pulled out to do that, and that's wrong. That is inproper inclusion, but still there should be more people of African American heritage hired and they should be in decision-makeing roles and not just there visible.

MR. CHENEY: I understand. Any other

questions.

MR. WYATT: If I understood you, you were not talking about minority students but the majority students of color. The only thing I can think of programmatically that we can do is that in the freshman orientation there is an effort to open up these questions and to show people how to deal with them.

Of course that is the wedge with which the poor kid is being hit, with different directions, so it may go in one ear and out the other.

It's also a student-to-student, it's a major part of the orientation program; and it's always student-to-student and a number of those students are participating.

MR. CHENEY: Thank you all for coming.

We have been at it all day and you have been wonderful to come and give us your time and incite. I think it has been a very helpful group.

(Hearing concluded - 5:00 P.M.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Wynona H. Glover, Notary Public and Court
Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing 277

pages, inclusive, are a true and accurate

transcription to the best of my ability of the

United States Commission on Civil Rights Hearing,

held Monday, February 10, 1992 at 9:00 in the

Memorial Lounge, Waterman Building, 85 S. Prospect

Street, Burlington, Vermont, before the Vermont

Advisory Committee, Burlington, Vermont.

Wynona H. Slover

Wynona H. Glover