DELAWARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO

THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

FORUM:

IMPLEMENTING THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) IN DELAWARE

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

HENRY A. HEIMAN, Chairperson RALPH A. FIGUEROA HELEN SKINNER RAYMOND WOLTERS BLANCHE M. FLEMING JOHN ID. BINKLEY CAROL-LEE HURLEY

ALSO PRESENT:

EDWARD M. DARDEN, Senior Civil Rights Analyst

> J.C. Boggs Building 844 King Street Grand Jury Room Wilmington, Delaware

Thursday, December 17, 1992 9:15 o'clock, a.m.

WILCOX & FETZER
1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 655-0477

Wilcox & Fetzer

Registered Professional Reporters

ORIGINAL

THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning. I would like to thank everyone for coming.

Let me begin by saying that this body is the Delaware Advisory Committee to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission. The Commission has a network of advisory committees, there is one in each state and the District of Columbia, made up of residents of the state who serve without compensation.

As appointed members our purpose is to advise the Commission of information concerning civil rights within our respective states.

To do this we receive reports, suggestions and recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations, and public officials about matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the State Advisory Committee.

We are not an action committee in the sense that we carry out policies or enforce laws.

Our main function is to advise the Commission in ways that it may use to appraise the laws. We have been called the eyes and ears of the Commission.

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and its advisory committees have authority under federal law to collect and study information regarding

discrimination and the denial of equal protection of the laws under the United States Constitution when that denial is based upon race, or color, or national origin, or religion, or sex, or age, or disability, and it is pursuant to that authority that we undertake today's forum.

To assist the Advisory Committee a record of the proceeding is being made and all persons submitting information to the Advisory Committee are going to do so voluntarily. Failure to give information will not result in either penalties or sanctions.

For access to any of the information provided to you here today or any contained in the files of the Commission you may contact the Office of the Solicitor at the U.S. Civil Rights in Washington, D. C., and that information will be made available to you.

Do we want to discuss about the pamphlets and other materials that we have available?

MR. BINKLEY: Ed?

MR. DARDEN: In the anteroom as you came in we have just a few copies available of materials provided by other federal agencies about the ADA.

Those materials are from the Department of

1 '1 2

Justice and from the Equal Employment Opportunity

You can obtain copies for yourself, additional copies for yourself, by contacting those agencies.

Those materials are also available in braille, large print, and audio tape.

If you would like any of those materials you can get also from the area in the back a sheet that has the telephone numbers for all of the agencies that provide information about ADA.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. The Commission and its advisory committees are required by federal law to request that all persons who provide information refrain from degrading or defaming other individuals.

Federal law also provides that anyone who appears here today who does not want to be photographed, who does not want their comments reported in the press, can indicate that and we will take steps to honor your request.

Before we begin let me introduce myself, my colleagues, and explain how we will be conducting our forum today.

I am Henry Heiman, I am the chairman,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

chairperson, excuse me, of the Delaware Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. When I am not doing this I am an attorney in private practice in the State of Delaware.

My colleagues on the Advisory Committee, not all of whom are obviously available or here today, in alphabetical order are Ms. Emperatiz Alaix, who is the Executive Director of Wilmington's Latin American Community Center; Joyce Felton, a business owner with restaurants in Rehoboth Beach; Ralph Figueroa, who is a counselor to migrant workers for the Delaware Labor Department and a member of the Delaware State Human Relations Commission; Glover Jones, who is a research, pardon, senior research chemist at the DuPont Company; Emily G. Morris, Executive Director of the Dover Educational and Community Center, Inc.; Helen Skinner, who is Vice Chair of the Developmental Disabilities Planning Council of Delaware; Lynn Wilson, who is an attorney in public service in the Family Court of Delaware; Raymond Wolters, who is a professor of history at the University of Delaware.

Those are the members of the Committee, and now if I may I will take just a few minutes to explain what the Committee's rules are for the proceedings

today.

As you can see in the agenda that was provided, we have scheduled three panels to make presentations, and after the panelists have completed their statements questions will be posed by members of the Advisory Committee.

Due to limitations on time we will not take questions from the audience. If anyone has any questions, if they would prefer, would write them down and pass them up to us. As time permits we will see what we can do.

Let me underscore that the Advisory Committee isn't empowered to receive individual complaints that come within the jurisdiction of the Commission. If there are any persons here who feel they have grounds for a discrimination complaint, see Mr. Darden. Mr. Darden is standing over here next to the window.

Just another word about our complaint handling process. The Commission is not an enforcement agency and will not investigate your individual complaints. We will forward your complaint to the appropriate enforcement agency for review and possible investigation. The complaints we receive will be turned over to the Commission's headquarter staff for

processing.

If you prefer to contact the headquarters directly you may do so at the Commission's complaint hot line, and the numbers are 1-800-552-6843, or area code 202, that's Washington, D.C., 376-8513. The TDD number, (202) 376-8116. If you didn't get those numbers or you want them afterwards please let me know and I will make them available to you.

The objective of today's forum is to gather information for a report to the Commission on the implementation of the Americans With Disabilities Act in Delaware. The act is often identified simply by its initials, ADA, and we will be hearing this short form used often today.

The Advisory Committee has decided to undertake this inquiry at its recent planning session. This forum is part of an Advisory Committee general effort to monitor developing civil rights issues in the state and to report to the Commission on ways to better enforce civil rights laws.

Our focus is also shared by the Pennsylvania Advisory Committee, which has plans underway for a review of ADA implementation in Pennsylvania.

The Delaware Advisory Committee intends to

delineate the steps being taken to implement the ADA by public officials. We also plan to gather information about complaints reported by persons that ADA is intended to benefit.

Our plans for follow-up to the forum will be decided in later planning sessions by the Advisory Committee.

The level of this and the Commission's other advisory committees, however, continues to be affected by underfunding and staff resources. In order to keep our activities within the limits of the Committee and staff's limited resources we narrowed the scope of today's inquiry to a manageable but hopefully meaningful focus, one important factor in our decision to concentrate on implementation of the ADA and its recent application to all employers with 25 or more employees, effective July 26, 1992.

Persons with disabilities who are ready to enter the work force are more numerous than we realize. Some 43,000 persons in Delaware are identified as having work, mobility or self care disability, which constitutes 11.3 percent of the state's potential work force.

The Committee intends today to learn from

advocats for the disabled about the community civil rights problems and hear from responsible government officials about their commitment to improve civil rights monitoring and enforcement.

We will be convening three panels of knowledgeable speakers to bring us information.

In Panel A the Advisory Committee will hear from four public officials who will outline the steps being taken to implement the ADA in Delaware. Our objective is to ascertain the status of ADA implementation efforts by public officials.

In Panel B the Committee will hear from two ADA proponents who will relate information about a statewide ADA coalition and its activities. Our objective is to identify problems with Delaware's implementation and enforcement efforts.

Panel C, the concluding panel, will include two representatives of nonprofit employers and a statement by the Delaware State Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Burris, who was originally planning to be with us today, had to excuse himself because of other commitments.

The Committee's aim for this panel is to identify needs for education amongst small employers

2

3

4

6

5

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and nonprofit organizations to their obligations under the ADA.

At the conclusion of our public forum the Advisory Committee will gather the information we gather in preparation for a written report to the Commission.

I should just suggest, digressing for a moment, that we have a Commission member with us who will speak to us a little later, Mr. Redenbaugh, and of course his ears will bring to the Commission far more than the written report.

The meeting record will remain open for 30 days to receive comments from any person or persons who wish to contribute to our understanding of this important topic.

If there are no further questions from any of the Committee members, the first panel will begin shortly, followed by a break for lunch, and the second panel will begin at 1:30 here in this same room.

If there are any members of the media I would ask that they identify themselves now so that we are aware that they are in the room.

No media? We must advise you that the Delaware courts require you to obtain prior approval

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for photography of any kind, but since they are not here it doesn't matter.

We will now begin our forum, and I turn over to John Binkley, Director Eastern Regional Office, to get us started with a brief comment and introduction of our speaker, Commissioner Redenbaugh.

MR. BINKLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is my pleasure today to introduce to you Commissioner Russell Redenbaugh. He is one of eight Commissioners of the United States Commission on Civil Rights, and we are fortunate that he is conveniently close, a resident of Philadelphia, to be able to attend the meeting today and join us and present us with some background information and show the need for the ADA as we know it.

The Commissioners of the United States Commission on Civil Rights are part-time so they either are retired, have other occupations or something to take care of the rest of their time, and I don't know how Commissioner Redenbaugh has time to do all he does for the Commission because he is so busy and active in other pursuits.

He is partner and director at Cooke & Bieler, a Philadelphia based investment advising firm.

chairman of Action Technologies, Inc., a software development and consulting firm in California, and president of the Workflow Institute.

In addition, he has authored several papers and articles on a variety of topics, including monetary, tax and trade policy, business technology, management and other issues involving increasing the prosperity of disadvantaged citizens.

So we are very fortunate to have Commissioner Redenbaugh with us today. And I would also like to introduce with him his special assistant, Rachel Budd.

And I might add informally at this point that the Commissioner has a phone call to make at 10:30, so if you see him get up and leave, this is part of his other obligations and he will return and join us.

And at this time it is my great pleasure to introduce Commissioner Russell G. Redenbaugh of the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

MR. REDENBAUGH: Thank you. I am going to stand. Often when I talk it is the only way I can keep from falling asleep.

And, Ed, we have the same time line? How long would you like me to talk?

MR. DARDEN: It is your pleasure.

21

22

23

24

Well, no, we can't --MR. REDENBAUGH:

The first panel begins, first speaker begins at 10:00. We have half an hour.

MR. DARDEN:

MR. REDENBAUGH: Okay, good. You need to constrain me. I am a professor at University of Pennsylvania and we can talk forever.

I want to talk a little bit about my life, not because it is so interesting but because it is the one I know the most about and I want to connect that to why we are here today, the reason we have the Americans With Disabilities Act and the opportunity that that can be not only for the 43 million Americans with disabilities but also for the society, the economy and the business community.

It was 30 years ago this year that I became blind and lost most of my fingers. I was a 17 year old high school junior and I was building a rocket which exploded.

That event quite obviously changed my life. And until I was appointed to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, and I was appointed expressly because of being disabled, until I was appointed to the Commission on Civil Rights it is the first job I ever had because of being disabled. All the other jobs and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

opportunities occurred in spite of that, in some cases with some difficulty.

When this happened to me I was just a regular 17 year old gangly teenage adolescent boy with pimples, living in a little suburban area of Salt Lake City, Utah, and was really quite undistinguished in any way, fit in well with what was happening, which was not much in that time and place.

But I realized that whatever career plans I had needed to be altered dramatically, and I realized I needed to become suddenly very serious about achievement and scholarship and my academic life.

My attitude, which I had been following quite successfully, was that school was an interruption and was something that one should minimize. I minimized attendance at school so much that, actually, there was some doubt as to whether I would complete the high school there.

I did, however, get very good at skiing. We lived near a ski resort there in Salt Lake and you could leave classes at noon and be on the ski slopes by 1:00 and ski in the afternoon. So I took my PE on the ski slopes, not for credit.

That all changed and I decided I needed to

become a serious student. So I did that. I applied to the University of Utah and of course was accepted. They have a policy there of taking anybody in state.

And as soon as I appeared there my counselors began to recommend that I not pursue business because it was a career involving accounting and arithmetic, and there was an effort to push me into some of the softer things, sociology and psychology.

Fortunately for me, that didn't seem very appealing, and I stayed in the college of business and graduated there, did very well, and applied to Stanford to the Masters in Business Administration program, which was something that really interested me, to study business and study finance.

Unlike Willy Loman, I figured out that that's really where the money was, and that was very important to me.

Stanford declined my application, as did
Harvard, both of whom said we are certain that no one
who is blind could get through a program as difficult
as ours.

Harvard was actually even kinder because they didn't cash the \$25 check that goes with the application. They said, look, we don't even need to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

read your application, so there is no reason for you to pay the \$25. \$25 was a lot of money in 1962 to blind high school -- blind college seniors, so I was glad to have the money but unhappy with the result of their decision.

But Stanford really bothered me. I really wanted to go there. I could imagine myself living in California. I really couldn't imagine myself living in Boston.

So I reapplied to Stanford, mounted a very well organized campaign that included getting one of the donors who was about to make a million dollar contribution to the Stanford University to hold up on the check and that really got the attention of the admissions committee.

Plus I organized all my faculty members at University of Utah, some of whom were Stanford graduates, and he said you guys are making a mistake, this is our best student, he is third in the class and you guys are crazy if you think he can't get through your program.

So they reconsidered and they decided that they had really made a mistake, that I definitely would be able to get through a program as difficult as

.

they concluded that even if I, as now seemed likely, finished the program, I would be unemployable, and they just couldn't see that it would be responsible to waste their resources training somebody who would be unemployable.

And I don't know how they knew all these

theirs, but they had to decline my application because

And I don't know how they knew all these things, but they were very clear that they needed to act responsibly.

So I didn't go to Stanford. Instead I came to Penn, went to the Wharton School, did very well there, and then after, as a matter of fact, reapplied to Stanford, this time to the doctoral program, and by then there had been sort of a shift in attitude, as well as a shift in committee, and I was admitted to the doctoral program there in applied mathematics and didn't go, went to work instead.

But I had a very difficult time finding a job.

This was at a time when my peers at Wharton School

were getting more offers than interviews. It was a

time when, especially in finance, 1969, there was just

demand for top MBAs from Wharton, Harvard, Stanford,

that if you were in the top of your class all you had

to do to get a job offer was open your mailbox. In

_. ,

fact, it was easier to get a job offer than a Visa card.

But I found one offer, only had one, but, as somebody said, one was all you need and you can't accept more than one, and that was with this little investment company in Philadelphia that was small, 175 million dollar asset company. So I went there and have been there for the last 24 years. And the company is now six billion dollars, so we have grown the company a lot.

But I see what a very difficult time I had in getting the kind of education that I wanted, in getting an attractive, really in getting any kind of job offers. In fact, the only one I got was from this company that nobody had ever heard of that was very small and even local to Philadelphia.

Attitudes have changed tremendously in the last 30 years with respect to our willingness and ability to educate persons with disabilities. They have changed a lot.

This story could never happen today. Stanford and Harvard actively recruit and accommodate and encourage disabled people to be in their programs.

The dean of the Harvard Business School, the

dean of admissions at that time, who I made famous because whenever I told this story I always said his name, in fact, made him more famouse than if he hadn't turned me down, he got so famous that he even heard of me going around the country telling about him and he wrote me letter of apology and said, look, I made a lot of mistakes, but I think this is right at the top

of the list for the biggest one.

And he really saw, you know, how much, how different the attitudes were then from now and what a mistake it was to have the prejudice that he had. And so since and he apologized so sincerely I never say his name anymore.

But the attitude of employers has not changed. It continues to be very much what it was 30 years ago, 25 years ago. There continues to be an attitude that people who are disabled can't work.

You know, the unemployment rate among the disabilities population is 68 percent. There are eight million work ready persons with disability in this country, 43,000 in Delaware, that want to work and can't.

That's why Americans With Disability Act was passed, that's why the regulations are being written,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

public accommodation, to those of us who want to work and can work. Let me talk a little bit about coordination,

to open the work place, as well as the places of

not the normal physical coordination that you think of, that you see in a good athlete.

I want to talk about something more fundamental than that. The basic dance of life for any biological system is kind of a dance of coordination.

All of the animals, including humans, coordinate their behaviors inside the biological network in which we live. In humans, with humans we become very elaborate in our systems of coordination.

Commerce is a very sophisticated system of coordination. It has come to replace war as a method for accumulating resources, standard of living, social power.

The history of human development is the history of increases in our capacity to coordinate with others, to enter into this dance of commerce, to organize our lives and our social systems and our laws around the ability of people to make exchanges, make offers, to do this in a way that expands the social

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

6

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and economic well-being of the participants.

So there is something deeply fundamental about this issue of coordination, that is fundamental to being able to maintain yourself alive, is the ability to engage in this coordination of action with other human beings.

Disability then shows up as an interruption in that transparent ability for coordination. Disability is a breakdown that interferes or can block this capacity to coordinate.

The Louis Harris survey people recently did a study in 1991. It was undertaken for the National Organization on Disabilities. And the study surveyed a number of people and ranked the discomfort that people feel in dealing with persons with disabilities.

You know, there is a hierarchy of disabilities. The most preferred disability to deal with on the part of the nondisabled community are people in wheelchairs. About 45 percent of the people surveyed indicated discomfort in dealing with people in wheelchairs. About 53 percent reported discomfort dealing with people who are blind, 60 percent discomfort dealing with the deaf, and so on. It goes up to 80 percent.

People who are disabled produce discomfort in other people, and people like to avoid discomfort.

Part of this discomfort comes from this inability to know how to coordinate, how to behave, how to interact, how to be in this social dance or the dance of commerce with people who have limitations in the physical body.

Now, what happens, this happens because we confuse two things. You know, we confuse the limitation in physical body or in the mental capability, we confuse those limitations with limitations in the capacity to act, think or be effective in the world.

See, the two happen in very different domains.

One domain is the domain of the body. The other

domain is the domain of action in the world.

It is true that for certain actions you need a certain kind of physical body. But when we collapse these two domains that are fundamentally different and separate into one, we conclude that if you have a limitation in the physical body your limitation for action or effective action is tremendous.

It is just not true. Look at Stanford. They concluded improperly that because I was blind I

couldn't study, I couldn't learn, I couldn't go
through their masters program. They confused the two
domains.

And they did so without any experience because no one who was blind had ever tried and failed in their program. So they had no empirical evidence for what they believed, but they believed it without malice and with certainty.

One of the problems, the reason I had such a problem getting a job was employers confused these two domains. They confused the limitation in the physical body with limitation in the, with action called, in my case, be a security analyst, be a financial analyst, be an investor. It is a very different domain of action.

And they generally didn't see that doing well in graduate school, graduate school is a domain of action, that doing well in graduate school could be a good indicator, a good predictor of doing well as a researcher in the investment business.

It is curious because in both places you do research and write reports and study, reach conclusions, make recommendations. But they confused the domains.

This is a tremendous problem that we have.

This is the basis of the prejudice against persons

with disability. We confuse the domain. We confuse

the limitation in the physical body with the capacity

for action in the world.

What surprises me is not that I have been so successful in school, in business, in the things that I do in the investment business, in the software business, in the education business. What surprises me is that other people, is that there aren't -- what surprises me is there aren't more people like me, that there aren't more people like me, that there aren't more people doing what I do.

Part of what the ADA is about is giving more people the opportunity to do what I have done.

Let me talk a little bit about prejudice and superstition. You know, I realize that I have been doing all the talking and this group is pretty quiet and I need to check and make sure that I haven't anesthetized you.

Good, there are some vital signs still present. That's good.

So what are some examples of superstitions?

Are any of you superstitious?

MR. DARDEN: No, no.

6	1	MR. REDENBAUGH: No. Are any of you
	2	honest?
	3	MR. DARDEN: No.
	4	MR. REDENBAUGH: What are some do any
	5	of you know of people who are superstitious?
	6	MR. DARDEN: Yes.
	7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Everyone else in the
	8	world.
	9	MR. REDENBAUGH: Everyone else, all of
	10	our friends, associates. So what are some
	11	superstitions?
7	12	MR. DARDEN: Well
	13	MR. REDENBAUGH: Go ahead, somebody.
	14	Speak.
	15	MR. DARDEN: Friday the 13th.
	16	MR. REDENBAUGH: Friday the 13th.
	17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Black cats.
	18	MR. REDENBAUGH: Black cats.
	19	A VOICE: Broken mirrors.
	20	A VOICE: Under ladders.
	21	MR. REDENBAUGH: Under ladders. What
	22	else? What about the notion that the earth was flat?
	23	It was a commonly held belief at one time. Can that
1	2 4	qualify as a superstition?

7 1 Something everybody believed, knew to be so, 2 turned out not to be true. People believed if you went toward the edge of the earth, what would happen, 3 4 fall off. I would put that in a category of superstition. Anybody have any others like that? 5 6 MS. BUDD: Copernicus. MR. REDENBAUGH: Are you coaching me or 7 8 making that announcement to the room? If I couldn't 9 hear you I doubt they could. 10 MS. BUDD: No, I was telling you. Oh, you were telling me. 11 MR. REDENBAUGH: 12 Well, I already knew that. I already knew that the 13 sun was not the center of the universe. The center of 14 the universe has been well established to be located 15 in Salt Lake City, Utah. 16 MR. BINKLEY: Along with church. 17 MR. REDENBAUGH: Temple Square, that's 18 correct. You got it. Now, not a lot of people have 19 been convinced of this truth, but the number grows 20 every year. 21 Yes, I am going to suggest that the 22 superstitions you named, the black cat, the mirror, 23 the ladders, and the ones I named sort of more based

24

Wilcox & Fetzer

in the notion of science, aren't superstitions.

Those, in fact, are former superstitions.

They are the ones that we laugh at, the superstitions that are what I call the real superstitions are those things that we believe that aren't so.

See, it is a former superstition that the earth was flat, but it was a superstition when people really believed it and when they lived their lives based on that belief.

So what are the superstitions that we now have that in 50 years or ten years or a hundred years people are going to look back, maybe some of these people will be us, and say that wasn't true, it is amazing we believed that one so long, that was really a dumb superstition.

I don't think there are any smart superstitions. But what are some of the superstitions that, it may be our children are already beginning to see are superstitions that we think are so, anybody have any candidates for that list, the future prior superstition list?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Bort's concept of the atom.

MR. REDENBAUGH: Oh, Neils Bort concept of the atom.

1	A VOICE: Yes, I think about that a lot.
2	MR. REDENBAUGH: Me too. I was thinking
3	about that this morning, in fact, as an argument for
4	why we need a super collider.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Quantum mechanics will
6	tell you.
7	A VOICE: Persons with psychiatric
8	disabilities can't function in roles of society.
9	MR. REDENBAUGH: Psychiatric disabilities
10	can't function, good, that's a good one. What else?
11	A VOICE: Fix the problem but not in my
12	backyard.
13	MR. REDENBAUGH: Uh-huh, I am all for the
14	solution as long as it doesn't involve me.
15	MS. SKINNER: It is all right for you to
16	live here, but not next to me, not beside me.
17	MR. REDENBAUGH: Uh-huh, uh-huh. What
18	else?
19	The notion that, there is this whole notion of
20	throwing things away, the notion that there is an
21	away. Yes?
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: That there is an
23	unlimited supply of resources, Malcus Wright.
2 4	MR. REDENBAUGH: Not yet. He was

```
1
    premature, anyway.
2
                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Timing was wrong.
                  MR. REDENBAUGH: Timing was wrong.
3
4
     Interesting timing, everything you know you need to be
     right but in the right time. He may be right.
                                                      What
 5
6
     else?
7
                  THE CHAIRPERSON: Mechanism of
     transmission of disease?
8
9
                  MR. REDENBAUGH:
                                   Oh, that's one to get
     the doctors crazy. Doctors are the group that are
10
11
     most filled with superstitions and least able to see
12
     it, and every 20 years they have to rewrite all the
13
     books but they never admit --
14
                  THE CHAIRPERSON: That's true.
15
                  MR. REDENBAUGH: -- how little they knew
     last time because this time they have the real truth.
16
17
     Right?
18
                  THE CHAIRPERSON:
                                    Absolutely.
                  MR. REDENBAUGH: Yes, this time we have
19
20
     really got it. Yes. Yes, there is not much, not much
21
     historical learning in the medical allopathic
22
     tradition.
23
                  MR. BINKLEY: Capital punishment is a
     deterrent.
24
```

THE CHAIRPERSON: It is for the person it 1 7 2 is done to. 3 MR. REDENBAUGH: Yes, I don't think I 4 will answer on that. 5 A VOICE: How about funding is the solution? 6 7 MR. REDENBAUGH: Funding is the solution, yes, I like that one. Reducing the deficit is the 8 9 solution. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Government is the 11 solution? 12 MR. REDENBAUGH: Raising taxes. Our taxes are either too low or too high. 13 14 Some of those we are already beginning to poke fun at. Economics is riddled with this. Economics 15 8 16 even more than medicine has to repudiate itself with shorter and shorter half lives. So much of what we 17 18 used to believe in economics just, I mean, it turned 19 out to to be opposite. Not only didn't work, it did 20 harm. 21 A VOICE: How about government can solve 22 the problem? 23 MR. REDENBAUGH: How about the problem How about the notion, I like that, 24 can be solved?

government can solve the problem, but the corollary of that is there are problems without solutions, which means they are issues we need to put up with.

There are issues and problems, and problems can be solved, but if this is an issue, no, we need to tolerate it. Like death looks like an issue. It is not a problem to be solved. In fact, we would have a big problem if we solved the problem. You think we have over crowding now. Yes?

A VOICE: How about schools are the new surrogate parents?

MR. REDENBAUGH: Yes, that seems to be not working. Or how about the notion that school is where learning happens. Yes. Yes, then the notion of what we know begins to break down.

Every generation or so repudiates the knowledge of the prior generation, at least that's the the case in the last hundred years.

There was a time that lasted for about a thousand years where this wasn't the case. A thousand years where the knowledge base didn't change. In fact, there are only two important innovations in this thousand years. The two inventions that I am talking about during this thousand year period were the water

wheel and the windmill. And this thousand years in which nothing changed we now call the Dark Ages.

So there have been long periods where things didn't happen, but at least the way it looks now is about every generation we repudiate the knowledge of the past. The truths that we held self-evident and obvious and profoundly so, we see were just superstitions.

And I think that's what we are going to find when we begin to put and allow persons with disabilities to go to work, that mostly what we know about disabled is in the category of superstition.

Another way we could call this is prejudice, but prejudice has kind of a bad reputation.

It is tough to get people to admit they are prejudiced, that they prejudge. It is easier to get people to admit that they may have some superstitions.

But I think when we look back on this period we will do what Stanford did and say, oh, we were really superstitious. We were really prejudiced. We really had the wrong idea about what was possible for people who are blind.

So I think that one, that the big change coming in the next 20 years is the superstition about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what people can do who are disabled.

There is a real cost for having this superstition. Obviously, the cost is highest to the people who are kept out, people who don't, people who are disabled who don't have full dignity, who don't live a full life, who can't find a way to have the offer that they are accepted in the marketplace, who live their life because we are told what we can and can't do, where we can and can't sit, where we can work, go to school, shop.

And that still happens. You know, about every year I have got two Philadelphia cab drivers hauled up before the Public Utilities Commission because they refuse to have a dog in the cab.

But the cost to the people who are disabled is high, but there is also a tremendous cost to the rest of the society and the rest of the economy. eight million people who want to work, able to work, not working, not in the marketplace, not exchanging, not bringing customer satisfaction to the people who would participate in those transactions with them.

That's a cost. Eight million is a lot. know, there is only 600,000 people in Delaware, so that's, you know, a dozen Delawares. It is a lot of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So there is a big cost to that. people.

There is a cost that we all face of regarding people as different, separate. How we define the us and them. So the cost is high to everybody.

The Americans With Disability Act, I interpret it, and you are going to hear a lot more about it today, is basically a request, it is a request to employers, public accommodation providers, transportation, communication, all that it is is a request to examine your superstitions and prejudices.

And it is a request that people can decline, but, like declining most requests, there are consequences for declining.

It is not yet clear what all the consequences will be for those employers who decline this request to examine their superstitions, but there will be some. The courts are going to resolve what they are.

But it is a request to examine your prejudices. It is a request to say, wait a minute, maybe I am like Stanford, maybe I don't really know what is possible here. Maybe with reasonable accommodation there is something possible that I never imagined was possible.

Maybe I am ignorant about what it means to be

1.

disabled and what a limitation in the physical body means or doesn't mean in terms of the limitation and the capacity for action to do the job, and maybe we can do something here.

So the ADA is a request to have that kind of conversation with yourself and with the people that you work with and your company and to look and see.

That's why I support the ADA, because it is an invitation to look beyond what are traditional common sense interpretation about what people can do and can't do.

And so much of my adult life has been that, has been forcing people, whether they want to do it or not, to look and see.

I am really glad to have the ADA to help then in that, because an awful lot of people in my experience when they have looked they have said, oh, I had the wrong idea here, I made a mistake. It is, something is possible I thought wasn't possible.

Then we are entering this implementation phase of the ADA, and my encouragement to people is to look and see what is the opportunity, what is the opportunity for business that this new law is. What is the opportunity for having a more effective,

1

(Recess taken.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have as the first panel four people who are going to talk with an objective and the objective that we have asked this panel to talk to is to ascertain the status of ADA implementation in Delaware from public officials.

We have asked each of them to speak for approximately ten minutes. We can hopefully then take some questions from the Commission. If there are any questions from people in the audience, if you want to write them down, pass them up, as time permits we will deal with them. Unfortunately, there are limitations with the time and resources that we have.

The first panelist to speak will be Eugene Weaver, Manager for the Federal Affirmative Action, Philadelphia District Office of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

The second panelist will be Karen Peterson, Manager for Labor Law Enforcement, Delaware Department of Labor.

The third panelist is Kathy Kunkle, ADA Coordinater, Delaware Department of Administrative Services, and caretaker of those five feet of files that Brian Hartman talked about a little earlier.

productive, satisfying work place, a healthier corporate culture.

Because if it doesn't make money, if it doesn't improve things, shouldn't do it.

But it may only be the prejudice that has kept you from doing some of these things that make sense, that make money.

I think there can be dramatic change in employment, in the productive employment of persons with disabilities. And we are just at the start, sort of the front end of beginning to make that happen.

So I thank you for your interest and participation, for your dedication in being here today, and I want you all to know that the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights will be increasingly active in the area of disability, particularly with respect to employment of the disabled. So thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much, Commissioner Redenbaugh. That was very interesting and educational.

Our first speaker today is going to be Brian Hartman, Supervising Attorney for the Disabilities Law Program, who is going to discuss the review of the ADA titles and legal developments in Delaware. Mr.

Hartman?

MR. HARTMAN: Good morning, Henry. Good morning, Commissioner, members of the Committee.

I have been given the unenviable task of trying to give you an overview of the ADA in about 20 minutes. The law itself isn't really all that long, but the regulations certainly are, so this morning we are only going to be able to really highlight some of the key features of the Americans With Disabilities Act.

If time permits, if I don't get the hook from the Chairperson or Mr. Darden, maybe we can talk a little bit about two of the cases that the Disabilties Law Program has already brought under the ADA in Delaware and some of the opinion letters we have issued, in order to give you some perspective on the scope of the ADA and what context ADA issues can arise.

Let's begin with the ADA itself. Conceptually you can think of the ADA as having five different areas or components. One is employment; two, public accommodations; three, transportation; four, state and local government; and five, telecommunications. And that's the order in which I am going to be analyzing

them.

2 3

4

Commissioner has indicated is probably one of the more key aspects of the Act. The employment standards of

Starting with employment, which the

5

the ADA only became effective in July of this year, July 26th, and only covers the larger employers at

7

6

It covers employers who have 25 or more this point.

8

employees.

9

will be covering employers who have 15 or more

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That's going to change in July of 1994 and employees.

To put that in context, the state law that we have which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability covers employers who have 20 employees, so the state law was sort of in the middle.

The EEOC regulations were issued in July of 1991, and in January of this year the EEOC issued some technical assistance manuals, and I have noticed that some people have already gotten a copy of that, and I would highly recommend that you get a copy of that if you are dealing with employment in any way.

The examples that are provided in the technical assistance manual are excellent and it makes this much more understandable than just trying to read

the law and the regs.

Who is covered? Qualified individuals with disabilities are protected, and they are defined as individuals who with or without reasonable accommodation can perform the essential functions of the position in question. And the ADA covers all aspects of employment, from the application, hiring, promotion, benefits, and discharge.

Turning to public accommodations, this is another very key component of the ADA. The effective date here was not July but January of this year. The Department of Justice issued its regs in July of 1991.

And public accommodations are very broadly defined. There is a laundry list in the statute that is designed to be very encompassing. So we cover anything, any public accommodation really that deals with commerce. So we cover motels and hotels, and recreational facilities, bowling alleys, skating rinks, stores. We cover day care centers, zoos, banks. The list goes on and on.

Accessibility. Any new construction or alterations must be accessible, and if there are existing physical barriers they must be removed if it can be readily achievable, and that's defined as

easily accomplishable and able to be carried out without much difficulty or expense. Sort of a common sense approach.

Turning to transportation, the Department of Transportation issued the ADA regs in September of '91, and there is different treatment here for buses and for trains, and different treatment on the basis of whether they are public or private.

Public buses, any new buses ordered after

August of 1990 must be accessible. If you have a

fixed route system, like a DART system, and some

individuals with disabilities can't use that, then

there is supposed to be the provision of comparable

Paratransit or special transportation services unless

that would constitute an undue burden.

Turning to public trains such as Amtrak, new rail vehicles must be accessible and existing trains by July of 1995 must have one car per train that is accessible.

Private buses such as Greyhound, any new buses ordered after '96 or '97 must be accessible, depending on how big the bus company is, either the earlier, 1996, or the later deadline.

State and local government operations, we have

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

had Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act in effect now since 1973, and Section 504 already required of state and local government a lot of what the ADA requires, and the coverage is very, very similar. Ιt covers your state governments, your cities, your school districts, local government operations.

And the standard is similar. You can't discriminate against qualified individuals with disabilities, and that covers employment, also covers access to services. In the context of accessibility, new buildings must be accessible.

The governmental regs were issued July of last They were effective January of this year. Self year. evaluations are required of state agencies and the state, all the agencies of the state were submitting their self evaluations to Kathy Kunkle, who will be talking later on this morning, and I understand that they are about five feet high if you stack them up.

And if there is governmental entity with 50 or more employees they are supposed to designate a person to handle grievances.

Telecommunications, telephone companies are supposed to offer relay persons to persons who use TDDs by July of '93.

-

So that's a real quickey overview of the ADA.

The Disabilities Law Program has filed two complaints under the ADA this year. You may recall that the state and local government regs became effective in January.

Within 30 days of their effective date we had filed a case in Federal District Court challenging the expulsion of a special ed. student who was both learning disabled and socially and emotionally disturbed, and we brought it under various federal and state statutes but including the ADA.

We were able, with the Federal Court's intervention, to keep that youngster in school, and he was promoted to the next grade in June and got his credit, and then the state offered him a program which basically mooted out the case, so the court never had to reach the ADA issues on the merits.

Second case we filed in May with the Office for Civil Rights in Philadelphia involved a young man who is also dually diagnosed, person with mental illness and mental retardation. He was in the state hospital.

And we reached an agreement which was submitted to the court under which he was going to be

U

transferred to a prison down state and certain services were going to be provided, and all the agencies signed off on this official agreement.

And once he got down there they reneged and they wouldn't provide the services. And on November 20th of this year we got a decision from OCR in Philadelphia saying that they violated the ADA and 504 and certain compensatory educational services will be provided to that young man.

Opinion letters. We had a call from a parent of a youngster in a wheelchair who wanted to go to his local high school, and the school district was saying he had to go to another high school because his home school was not accessible.

And we looked at the ADA and it only requires program accessibility so they can do that under 504 and the ADA, especially since, as we looked at the survey that was done of the school, involved, they would need an elevator and a lot of expensive accomdations in order to make it accessible.

Interpreter. We got a call from a clinic that said they didn't get any federal funding, which was surprising, most clinics you would think would get Medicaid or Medicare and therefore would be subject to

504's Rehab Act, so this was a situation in which only the ADA applied, wanted to know if they had to provide an interpreter for hearing impaired patient coming in and we gave them our interpretation.

The ADA doesn't have a per say requirement, but what they say is that if, if it is going to be necessary to provide effective communication, especially in a specialized area, especially when you are dealing with health care vocabulary, it may be required that you provide an interpreter.

Same thing goes for law firms, so if somebody goes to Henry's firm for legal advice, there are situations in which an interpreter might have to be provided. And we have the same situation come up with a private psychiatrist's office.

The Commissioner mentioned his attempts to get into college, Stanford, and suggested that things are better now.

I had a call from a local attorney whose son was trying to, was applying for local college, and on the application form they wanted to know about any history of emotional problems. Well, they shouldn't be able to ask that anymore under the ADA and under 504.

1

2 3

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I thought, it is helpful to take a look at the, there is a check list that the EEOC has put into that EEOC guide I mentioned earlier, and this covers job application forms and has a list of examples of questions that may not be asked on application forms or in job interviews, and we have all seen these, but I thought this list was really interesting.

Have you ever had or been treated for any of the following conditions and diseases, followed by a check list of the various conditions and diseases.

Please list any conditions or diseases for which you have been treated in the past three years. Have you ever been hospitalized, if so for what conditions. Have you ever been treated by a psychiatrist or psychologist, if so for what condition. Have you ever been treated for any mental condition. Is there any health related reason you may not be able to perform the job for which you are applying.

Have you had a major illness in the last five Do you have any physical defects which preclude you from performing certain kinds of work.

It goes on and on. And I thought that that check list was very insightful.

And finally, I think it is interesting to apply that to an application form which I am going to quote from here, a couple questions, and see what you think of some of these questions, and then I will tell you where it is from.

Are you or have you ever regularly used, been addicted to or dependent upon narcotics, drugs or intoxicating liquor, or been accused of being addicted to any substance. If yes, please state the details, including dates.

Have you ever under gone treatment or received counselling for or consulted any psychologist, psychiatrist or counselor or any other medical practioner about the use of drugs, narcotics or intoxicating liquor. If yes, give the dates and details and circumstances and names and addresses of the persons providing treatment under counselling.

Have you been declared legally incompetent.

If yes, give the details. Have you ever received a diagnosis of amnesia or any form of insanity, emotional disturbance, nervous or mental disorder. If yes, please state the names and addresses of the psychologist, psychiatrist or other medical practitioner who made the diagnosis. You must enclose

copies of letters which direct each of the foregoing practitioners to furnish to the board any information the board may request with respect to any such treatment or counselling.

Have you ever received regular treatment for amnesia or any form of insanity, emotional disturbance or mental disorder. It goes on and on.

Henry, have you any idea where that is from?

That's the Board of Bar Examiners if you want to be a lawyer, which is a governmental agency. So there is lots of room for improvement out there.

May I answer that?

THE CHAIRPERSON:

MR. HARTMAN: Sure. There is lots of room for improvement out there, and the ADA can be raised in a lot of different contexts. You have got accessibility issues that come up, education, corrections, employment. And that's basically it in a nutshell.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Brian, what agency are you with? What is the agency that you are involved with that deals with this?

MR. HARTMAN: I am an employee of the Disabilities Law Program, which is a component of the Community Legal Aid Society, Inc.

12	1	THE CHAIRPERSON: And is there a phone
	2	number if people you say people call you with
	3	problems. Is that the mechanism to get to you?
	4	MR. HARTMAN: Normally they would call
	5	the Community Legal Aid Society and the case is
	6	screened by a receptionist and paralegal and then it
	7	gets to me.
	8	So I prefer that they go through the regular
	9	system rather than calling me directly, but the number
	10	there is 575-0660.
	11	THE CHAIRPERSON: If they want to do it
	12	by documents, letter, is that an acceptable method of
	13	getting to you?
	14	MR. HARTMAN: The address is 913
	15	Washington Street, Wilmington Delaware, 19801.
	16	Now, we have certain federal standards for
	17	eligibility, so not everyone qualifies for the
	18	services, but if you do qualify the services are free,
	19	and we work with certain priorities.
	20	THE CHAIRPERSON: What are the
	21	priorities?
	22	MR. HARTMAN: It is about eight pages
	23	long.
, 1	24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Class, that usually

involves some type of income or dependency situation 12 1 2 before you are involved. Does your involvement in terms of ADA also require that type of income 3 4 dependency? 5 MR. HARTMAN: No, the Disabilities Law Program is not a poverty driven program. You do not 6 7 have to be poor to qualify for the services. We do consider whether the person has 8 9 alternate means of achieving their objective, and so 10 if we can only handle two cases coming in the door, 11 for example, one person was poor and the other person 12 could afford private counsel, we would probably take 13 the poor person's case. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Blanche? 15 MS. FLEMING: In perusing the material 16 and reading some of the literature the phrase undue 17 burden seems to surface any number of times. 18 I would like to know how that decision is 19 reached. Is there a level according to the number of 20 persons or the size of the corporation or industry? 21 How do you attain --22 MR. HARTMAN: The regulations talk about 23 that is --24 MS. FLEMING: You have a level, a

percentage of? 12 1 2 No, it is not a percentage MR. HARTMAN: of. 3 How is it determined? 4 MS. FLEMING: Well, in Delaware we 5 MR. HARTMAN: 6 actually put a percentage in for employment, that an undue burden, it was presumptively not an undue burden 7 8 if it was five percent or less of an employee's 9 salary. 10 But the ADA does not have an analog to that. 7.7 And, in fact, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals in 12 Philadelphia, interpreting a case under 504 of the 13 Rehab Act and similar language of undue burden, struck down an agency's attempt to have a percentage figure 14 15 for undue burden. 13 16 So you have to look at, there are several 17 factors in the regs that say what an undue burden 18 consists of. There is no percentage, there is no 19 black and white answer. You look at the size of the 20 organization and their budget and those sorts of 21 things. 22 MS. FLEMING: Who makes a final call? 23 Well, a judge ultimately if MR. HARTMAN: 24 there is a disagreement.

13 1 MS. FLEMING: That's what I wanted to 2 know. 3 MR. HARTMAN: You negotiate. That's what I wanted to MS. FLEMING: 4 5 know. Thank you. MR. HARTMAN: Sure. 6 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Any of the other panel 8 members? Mr. Binkley? 9 MR. BINKLEY: I would like to find out if you have counterparts in other states. Do other 10 11 states have similar services? 12 MR. HARTMAN: Sure, all 50 states have 13 what are known as protection and advocacy systems for 14 developmentally disabled persons and persons who are 15 mentally ill living in residential settings. And that is pursuant to two different federal 16 17 statutes, but all states have P&A systems in them. Ιn some they are part of state government, like in New 18 19 Jersey, they are part of the Office of Public 20 In Virginia it is part of the government. Advocate. 21 In other places it is part of nonprofit organizations such as Community Legal Aid Society in 22 23 Delaware. And the governor designates the P&A for

24

each state.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BINKLEY: Thank you.

MR. DARDEN: Is there any way for you to anticipate in the coming year or so, or the next two years, how the response to the ADA might result in more complaints or more people coming to you for assistance? What do you think is going to be the experience in the disabled community?

MR. HARTMAN: Well, I think it does open up some doors. There are entities covered now which were never covered before.

We already had in this state an employment discrimination statute. We also have an equal accomdations statute, but it is not as well-defined as the ADA. So there is more that we can do for people when they come to our door.

Secondly, I think the ADA, because of the amount of publicity that it has gotten and the number of forums such as this one that have been conducted, have prompted persons with disabilities to be more aware that there is redress available if they feel they have been subject to discrimination.

So I would envision more people contacting lawyers and more people invoking rights, and the ability to do more for those people because of the 13 1 ADA. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: What do you or your group do in order to advise people who may have 3 4 problems but don't know it to come to you or some other resource in order to obtain some kind of 5 redress? 6 7 MR. HARTMAN: Well, we do some out reach. We do give presentations on various aspects of 8 9 disability rights. 10 I am on the Developmental Disabilities 11 Planning Council, along with Helen Skinner, and we 12 have put together a Partner's in Policy Making Group 13 in which we are funding consumers with various 14 disabilities to receive training every week for about 15 13 weekends in a row to empower them, and they are 16 going to be educated in all aspects of disability law 17 and self advocacy. 18 So we promote self advocacy. We give 19 presentations on request, on any number of issues 20 involving my constituency. We have pamphlets. 21 MR. BINKLEY: Can I ask another question, 22 Mr. Chairman? 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. 24 MR. BINKLEY: If I can phrase it. Αt

this point of time in the implementation of ADA would 13 1 2 you be willing to rate it on a scale of one to ten in terms of its implementation? 3 4 MR. HARTMAN: In the state? MR. BINKLEY: Yes. 5 6 MR. HARTMAN: No, I couldn't. Your observation? MR. BINKLEY: 7 8 MR. HARTMAN: I talked to Kathy Kunkle earlier this week, who has been collecting the state 9 10 agency's self evaluations, and I was asking if I could get copies so that I could get a better handle on what 11 12 that is looking like, and she said it was five feet tall. 13 14 Well, you know, I don't have the time to go 15 through that many documents. A lot of this is so new, 16 a lot of this is only effective in July, that I can't 17 say I have got a handle on the state's implementation 18 at this point. It is too early to tell. 19 I am aware that most of the agencies actually 20 submitted their self evaluation plans to Kathy, which 21 is good. 22 But we, we are going to have to keep raising 23 the issues in all sorts of contexts. I am on a 24 committee, for example, of the Delaware Council for

13 1 Crime and Justice, and we are looking at corrections 2 and their grievance system and do they, if someone 3 acts out in a prison are they going to be taking any consideration of the person's potential mental illness 4 5 or do they just say you did this, therefore this is 6 your punishment. 7 So the ADA, we keep considering it and applying it in all sorts of contexts in state 8 9 government, and you ordinarily couldn't even think of. 10 It is not just the employment. It is not just the physical barriers. It is the policies as well of 11 12 every agency in the state and local government. 13 It is mind boggling when you think of the 14 scope of the ADA. 15 MR. FIGUEROA: We do have quite a few 16 disability people around the state. Many of them, I 17 am not sure, they are not aware of the services we 18 have to offer them. Do you out reach, cover the whole 19 state, the three counties? 20 MR. HARTMAN: We have an office in each 21 state, Community Legal Aid Society. 22 MR. FIGUEROA: To reach these people in the other two counties, just not here in New Castle? 23 24 MR. HARTMAN: We have offices and staff

She

in all three counties. In fact, Sandy Reyes here used 14 1 2 to be the attorney in our Sussex County office. now works for the Attorney General's Office. 3 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hartman, I appreciate your time and the diligence you bring to 5 6 your job. 7 MR. HARTMAN: Oh, it is fun. THE CHAIRPERSON: I have known you for a 8 9 long time and you always have done a very good job. Thank you very much, appreciate you being here. 10 11 MR. HARTMAN: Thanks, take care. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: We are now going to set 13 up for our first panel. Are the four panel members 14 here, Gene Weaver, Karen Peterson, Kathy Kunkle and 15 Diane Treacy? One, two, three, four. Okay. 16 Mr. Darden, we are going to try and set it up 17 in a way that is meaningful because of the constraints 18 we have here. 19 (Discussion off the record.) MS. KUNKLE: I am Kathy Kunkle and I am 20 one of the next panelists. 21 It appears we only have 22 one sign language interpreter. Could we take a couple 23 minute break so that she could rest her hands and stay 24 up with us in the rest of the morning session?

And the fourth panelist is Diane Treacy,

Executive Director of the Governor's Committee on

Employment of People With Disabilities.

Mr. Weaver?

MR. WEAVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First, let me bring greetings from John, and Jake

Butler, who was the director of Philadelphia Office of

EEOC, and from Evan Camp, who was the chairman.

The Philadelphia office is the office of the EEOC that has jurisdictional responsibility for enforcement of Title I of ADA in the State of Delaware. Our district covers in addition to Delaware South Jersey and Eastern Pennsylvania.

As you know, Title I went into effect in July of this year for employers with 25 or more employees, and coverage will drop to employers with 15 or more employees in two years.

The EEOC began, well, prepared for our enforcement responsibilities by, first of all, spending a considerable amount of time communicating the requirements of the ADA and the regulations drafted by the EEOC for enforcement of ADA to all people within, within our district, certainly.

In fact, I am the person that's been primarily

_

_

responsible for conducting that communication.

I have addressed groups in Delaware on at least four occasions. One in Wilmington, I think it was the Wilmington United Way. I addressed the Delaware Wellness Center, I believe it is called, in New Castle. I participated in a seminar in Newark at the University of Delaware just a couple of weeks ago, and also addressed a group of employers, small employers, in Georgetown, Delaware, within a month or so ago.

We are taking complaints from individuals who feel that they have been discriminated against in violation of Title I of ADA, in one of several ways, an individual can either appear in person at our district office in Philadelphia and file a complaint, they can file a complaint in writing by mailing it to our office, they can call our office and do it by telephone, or they can, they can file their complaint with the Delaware Department of Labor with which we have a memorandum of understanding in which Delaware Department of Labor will accept the complaint and refer it to us.

I can tell you at this stage the number of complaints that we have gotten from all areas within

our district. At the last time I looked, which was a couple of weeks ago, numbered just over 30.

I don't know, I can't tell you how many of those were from Delaware, but if the distribution follows the pattern in our other, in the enforcement of our other statutes it would be a small number.

I can't assess for you how effective ADA is being enforced in the State of Delaware because I don't think there has been sufficient time in which to make such an assessment. You are probably in a better position to do that than we are anyway.

I can tell you we have not brought any lawsuits against any employers in Delaware, and I like to think that a part of that is because of the extraordinary length that the EEOC has gone to communicate to both employers and to the disabled community what the provisions of the ADA are, what is expected of employers, what their obligations are and what the rights of individuals with disabilities are.

As I said, I have spent a considerable amount of time addressing groups, both employer groups and groups that represent, advocate on behalf of individuals with disabilities, and have reviewed our regulations, reviewed with employers again their

obligations, have distributed some of the materials that the EEOC has published, that is available for public distribution, some of which are broad samples, of which I brought if you would like to have those, which again communicate to both sides of the equation, both employers and potential charging parties, what the requirements of the law are, what EEOC's enforcement role will be, how we will go about investigating complaints and reaching our determinations in those complaints.

EEOC as an agency has spent a considerable amount of time training our own staff in preparation for discharging their responsibilities under the law.

As a matter of fact, I was one of a handful of people that was rewarded with the benefit of spending five weeks in Dallas, Texas, in the middle of the summer, to conduct that training. And I can assure you that every investigator, every paralegal, every attorney and every manager has received that training and is well prepared to discharge our responsibilities for enforcement of Title I of ADA.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much.

think that what we will do is refrain from any
questioning until all four of the panelists have had

1

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

an opportunity to make their comments and then we will ask questions generally.

Ms. Peterson, you are next.

MS. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the Delaware Advisory Committee, my name is Karen I am the Administrator of Labor Law Peterson. Enforcement for the Delaware Department of Labor.

Also here this morning is the assistant administrator, Ed Taus, who is seated back in the corner, and Debra Neff, from the Secretary of Labor's Office.

One of the 22 laws we enforce at the Labor Law Enforcement Section is the Americans With Disabilities Act. We have been in the disabilities discrimination business for four years, ever since the Delaware General Assembly passed the Handicapped Persons Employment Protections Act in 1988. Consequently, we were already up and running when the ADA took effect in July of this year.

Because we were already under contract with EEOC to investigate charges of discrimination based on race, color, sex, religion, national origin and age, EEOC approached us about assuming responsibility in Delaware for the enforcement of the ADA as it pertains

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to employment discrimination.

Despite the fact that EEOC has no funds to pay the local agencies to investigate ADA cases, we, out of the the goodness of our little hearts, entered into a memorandum of understanding with EEOC on July 24th, this past summer, to handle those cases here in Delaware.

Our primary reason for entering into that agreement was really to provide Delawareans with intake services here in Delaware, rather than sending them to Philadelphia to file claims.

We have offices here in Wilmington for New Castle County residents, and we have contracted with the Human Relations Commission to provide intake services for us in Kent and Sussex Counties.

The Human Relations Commission does not conduct any of the investigations. They simply take the charges for a fee, paid by us, and forward the case to us for investigation.

Most of the disability cases we are handling involve discrimination which occurred before the effective date of the ADA.

We currently have 53 of those cases in our case load. That represents 21 percent of our total

case load.

The number of disability discrimination claims has been as high as 30 percent of our case load as recently as two years ago. We expect that the number of cases being investigated by our office will decrease as claimants opt to have their cases waived to EEOC, at our urging, I might add, because of the lack of EEOC funding for ADA enforcement at the local level, and because the remedies under ADA are far greater than those available under Delaware law.

Our law simply says that the victim is to be made whole again. Obviously, the ADA provides for a whole lot more than that.

Our law also provides a cap for cost of accomdations, which was mentioned a little earlier, and that cap is five percent of the employee's annualized wages, unlike the ADA which has no such cap.

So it is to the employee's benefit that the case be waived to EOC for handling under their law rather than ours. That's not to say that all claimants opt to do that. As I said, we still have 53 cases within our own case load.

With regard to any problems we have

Wilcox & Fetzer

Registered Professional Reporters

2

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

encountered with the ADA and our state law, which I was asked to address this morning, I quess the main problem we have, if you want to call it that, are the differences in the laws themselves. The cap on accommodation, for example.

They are not major problems. Most of them can be reconciled the same way we reconcile differences between Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and our own state statute prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, sex, religion and so forth.

One of the ways we have attempted to reconcile the laws is to adopt regulations, which we did on June 19th of this year. These regulations are consistent with the federal regulations and, in fact, they are almost identical to the federal regulations with the exception of the language dealing with the statutory differences.

Our definitions, our interpretations and applications of our law are, as I said, almost identical to those found in the regulations governing the ADA.

And I think that makes life a lot easier for the employers who have to comply with the two laws, as well as those of us who have to enforce the two laws

h

here in Delaware.

But if you were to look at our regulations you would think that you were reading the ADA regulations and they invited us to use those as much as we could.

The only other problem we have begun to encounter in enforcing the law in both the private and public sectors here in Delaware is EEOC's typically unreasonable attitude towards the local agencies.

And I am not talking about our office, our local office. I am talking about the national office.

Despite the fact that they are not paying us to take these cases or investigate them, they have already begun to bark out their orders as to how the cases are to be handled.

I don't mind if they make suggestions, but when they tell us, as they did earlier this week, that not only are we to take them and treat, and handle them for free, but we are to complete some of them within a hundred twenty days of filing.

Well, I have a backlog of 255 other cases that were filed before some of these cases and the other 255 are going to be handled first. We handle cases on a first come first served basis.

And basically I quess I would just like to

tell National EOC to take a reality pill, that we will 1 17 2 get to them when we get to them, and if they don't like it they can do it themselves. 3 But we plug along, understaffed and 4 underfunded as most government agencies are. We got 5 hit hard last year with early retirements and with lavoffs. 7 8 At one point I was down to two investigators for the entire state, with a backlog of 408 cases. 9 10 Nonetheless, we have managed to cut into the backlog. We are down to 255 cases now. 11 12 We have recovered some of the lost staff, and we are hoping in the next fiscal year's budget to 13 14 recover another one of the lost positions and to hopefully open some offices in Kent and Sussex County, 15 16 which we have never had in the history of labor law 17 enforcement, which goes way back to 1915 when the 18 Child Labor Law was passed. 19 So that's where we are, and I would be happy 20 to answer questions after others have completed their 21 presentations. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Ms. Kunkle? 23

24

Wilcox & Fetzer

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I

MS. KUNKLE:

2 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

would like to thank the members of the Delaware Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights for this opportunity to share my experiences in implementing the Americans With Disabilities Act and the services programs and activities of the State of Delaware.

My name is Kathy Kunkle and I am the ADA Coordinater for the State of Delaware government.

In this capacity I have gathered resource materials, worked with an advisory committee, developed self evaluation formats and conducted training for the employees in the State of Delaware.

I have a package of materials I would like to leave with you today which details many of the activities I will mention.

In planning ADA implementation in state programs there has been a driving philosophy, keep it simple, and to take it to the lowest level possible. If we can have each receptionist and front-line employee recognize a request for an accommodation ADA implementation will be successful. We have to build ADA into the regular way of doing business in state government.

On November 7th, 1991, Governor Michael N.

Wilcox & Fetzer

_

Castle signed Executive Order Number 100, formally setting up a process to begin the implementation of ADA. That Executive Order assigned each state agency with the responsibility for planning and carrying out the requirements of the ADA in their programs, services and activities.

In addition, an ADA Advisory Committee was formed to advise me on the implementation of the ADA. That committee was comprised of representatives of ten state agencies and three Delawareans with disabilities. Several other persons expressed interest in the committee and were included in its activities.

With the ADA Advisory Committee input, the ADA's grievance procedure was drafted and distributed to all Cabinet Secretaries and Agency Heads on January 24th, 1992. A self evaluation format for program, facility and employment policy assessment was distributed to each state agency in April. And self evaluations and transition plans were substantially completed by July 26th, 1992.

An ADA contact person was identified in each state agency as my primary contact. I am still in contact on a regular basis with many of those

individuals.

The self evaluation process resulted in hundreds of pages of reports and facilities surveys.

I am in the process of developing and planning a data base to allow for the summarizing and prioritizing of the information provided.

Since spring of this year my involvement in training was focused first on my ADA contact persons --

SECURITY PERSON: Excuse me. We had the media out here that said that you all knew they were coming.

MS. FLEMING: Media.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Go forward.

MS. KUNKLE: I will do this quick. So my training at first was focused on my ADA contact person so the self evaluation process would be handled as consistently across state line, agency lines as possible.

I have also developed training and conducted presentations for state agencies which is tailored to the individual needs of that office. Just some of the agencies for which I have conducted training sessions include:

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Department of Health and Social Services Annual Managers Conference; Department of Natural Resources Division of Parks and Recreation; Department of Administrative Services, all managers, and for the Division of Professional Regulation; Department of Public Instruction, including individual school district personnel administrators and building and grounds administrators; Commissioner of Elections and the Director and Deputy Director of each county office of elections; Department of Transportation and their ADA committee; Annual Conference of Judges in the States Court, State Courts of Chancery, Common Pleas, Family and Supreme Court; Department of Labor, Division of Employment and Training.

Public notice advertisements of the availability of ADA self evaluations and transition plans for review and comment were published in July in both daily newspapers in the state. The state's quarterly employee newspapers featured two stories about the new responsibilities created by the ADA and last year's story explained the use of a TDD.

I have developed an informal network with some officials of local governments. A lot of that type of activity occurred earlier this year as we shared

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

13

16

18

17

19

20 21

22

23

24

resources and made best quesses of what Title II might actually mean for our own governments. As the July 26th deadline for preparing transition plans approached, that type of context seemed to drop off. In my own case, it was just the need to focus time and resources in meeting our deadlines.

In the past few weeks my department has processed purchase orders to obtain auxiliary aids which will make it easier to effectively communicate with some persons with disabilities. That equipment includes portable public address systems with headsets for persons who are hearing impaired. The system is also compatible with many ears aids. Portable handheld magnifying cameras which will allow a visually impaired person to magnify on a TV screen material currently in a printed format, high quality tape recorders for the preparation of audio cassette tapes as an alternative to print, and video training tapes on how to use a TDD. This equipment will be available for use by state agencies without charge. A small amount of the appropriation still remains will be to provide better signage at high volume state buildings.

The procedure developed for handling ADA

The major

18

1

grievances is relatively uncomplicated.

7

14 15

16

17

18

19

12

13

20 21

23

24

22

steps are, one, upon receipt of a complaint the ADA Coordinator will make all parties aware of the allegation and will attempt to resolve the complaint. Two, if needed, the ADA Coordinater shall schedule a meeting and attend the meeting with all parties The State agency would have 15 working days involved. to answer the complaint in writing.

Three, if a claimant is not satisfied with the state determination, the claimant shall be referred to state agency designated to mediate such claims. ADA Coordinater shall also make available to the claimant information regarding federal claims processes.

This very basic grievance procedure was developed to attempt to quickly solve ADA-related concern at as low a level of government as possible, with minimal red tape, while preserving the rights of the claimant to other state, federal or civil mechanisms.

In the past year I have personally received no formal ADA grievances. Persons I have talked with who have concerns about their rights under ADA, and I believe there have been about five cases, have chosen

to work informally and not use the formal process. 18 1 2 Other individuals in the past called me as a source of information. 3 4 My personal opinion is that the number of formally filed grievances will increase over time, as 5 6 the population as a whole comes to better understand the broad responsibilities local governments have 7 under ADA. 8 9 I just want to add that Diane Treacy, the next panelist, has been on the road a lot with a lot of 10 19 11 training and materials, so I didn't want to attempt to steal her act. And I don't. 12 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 14 Treacy. 15 MS. TREACY: Mr. Chairman, Committee, I would like to thank the people who came today to hear 16 17 these presentations. These are a lot of the people 18 who are real strong advocats in the State of Delaware 19 for disability rights. 20 I am Diane Treacy, the Executive Director of 21 the Governor's Committee on Employment of People With 22 Disabilities. 23 Our parent organization is the President's Committee on Employment of People With Disabilities. 24

- -

And a lot of the work that I do is public relations and out reach work. The Governor's Committee is part of the Department of Labor, the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation. We have 21 members on our committee, appointed by the governor, and our mission is to promote the employment potential of people with disabilities.

We do this through a lot of activities. We have employer breakfasts, we have job fairs. We have awards that we give to employers and employees at an annual luncheon every year to motivate people to do more hiring of people with disabilities.

And there are two people right here in this room who are two of our award winners. Wayne Carter was one of our employees of the year and Bill Mennella out here was one of our employees of the year. Bill will be speaking later on.

I would like to get into the, what the Governor's Committee is doing in the involvement with the Americans With Disabilities Act.

I was very involved with the grassroots advocacy for the passage of the law. I was a part of the national task force for passage to try to get signatures and interested people, people of all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

different types of disabilities and all different advocacy groups, to write letter for formal passage of the law. And we had a lot of support in Delaware.

We proactively, the Governor's Committee proactively worked after passage of the law in July of '90 to January of '92 to give presentations and to try to inform people in the State of Delaware about the law.

We were greatly helped with the media and we did have a lot of media exposure before the law was implemented and will continue to have media exposure from the News Journal, which is our major paper here in Delaware, the Millford Chronicle, the Dover Post, State Chamber Publication, the Delaware Business Review, and an advocacy newspaper in Delaware called Handi, H-A-N-D-I.

I have brought copies of some articles that have been in newspapers in Delaware for the Committee to keep.

I have also brought an annual report of the Governor's Committee so that you can see some of our activities and specifically in conjunction with the Americans With Disabilities Act.

Also, the Division of Vocational

Rehabilitation published, has printed a short overview of the Americans With Disabilities Act, and I will leave a copy of that for you.

For awhile we were able to give a lot of these away for free and now we are just charging a minimal cost to cover the cost of our printing.

I have done a lot of training which has involved disability advocacy groups, consumers, businesses, nonprofits, and educators.

People in post secondary education are interested in the Americans With Disabilities Act as far as it affects job placement of their students.

And I brought a list of the number of, all the different places where I have done presentations.

Basically, I am real involved with information and referral. I provide direction to people who call me and ask specific questions.

I do not, I do not provide real specific answers. Sometimes that is a concern of attorneys or EEOC or that type thing. What I do is steer them in the right direction.

I have given people phone numbers of the EEOC, the Department of Justice, the Mid-Atlantic Center, who is the federal recipient in our region to provide

- 1

specific information about the ADA.

I give a lot of business to Karen Peterson in the Labor Law Enforcement. And people call me up with specific situations and they want to go on for 20 minutes explaining to me what the situation is, and I tell them in the beginning that they are going to have to call Karen's office, and they do.

And also I have referred business people to

Labor Law Enforcement just to find out if they have a

potential discrimination situation. And her staff and

I have gotten much closer than we were before this

law.

I just like to give two examples of the types of questions that I have received.

One was from, and I would like to say, I say this when I give my presentations, people think that I am more of a disability advocate, and I am always going to be on the side of the disabled person rather than on the side of business, and I try to just give a reasonable explanation of the law, and if one or the other is right or wrong just state that.

I had one woman in Human Resources who told me about a situation where a public, public relations director had a stroke, a very severe stroke where he

could no longer speak and his mental abilities were affected. He was no longer capable of doing his job.

Perhaps, it was unlikely that perhaps down the road, after a lot more rehabilitation, he may be able to come back and do his job.

And she wanted to know whether he should go on disability insurance or whether this new law, the ADA, would cover him and should they bring him back in making 50- or 60,000 dollars a year just to do some small tasks in the office.

And I referred her to the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and assured her that the ADA covered people who were qualified to do the job.

And they did follow through with the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation. They were real pleased with that.

I had, in a reverse example I had a disabled woman call me who had a back injury. She was very upfront with her employer from the beginning and told her employer that she could only work for an eight hour shift.

In her opinion the employer wanted to get rid of her and told her that she now must work a 12 hour shift. And she discussed with the employer that he,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

she told him from the beginning she could only work an an eight hour shift. She had a doctor's note to support this. And he still said either work a 12 hour shift or leave or we will fire you.

So I told her to specifically ask for reasonable accommodation. She said that she had talked with the employer. I advised her to put this in writing.

And she gave me a lot of yes, buts, it wouldn't work, she knew this employer wanted to get rid of her.

But still she listened to what I said. she put her request in writing, and she wanted a reasonable accommodation, she attached a doctor's note.

And about a few days later I got a message on my voice mail and she said thank you very much, Mrs. Treacy, victory was mine. She now only had to work an eight hour shift.

I get a lot of calls about technical assistance and I give phone numbers out for people in our state who do technical assistance. Wayne Carter's group with the Delaware/Maryland Paralyzed Veterans provide a lot of seminars about accessibility and

provides some answers to technical assistance.

We also have a Delaware assistance technology initiative which is doing a lot of work with that, and we have a couple of other people in Delaware, Steve Blatchford and Larry Field, who are doing a lot of private consultation and helping people to come into compliance through accessibility surveys.

I have also started to get requests for sensitivity training. Employers are trying to come into compliance with the Americans With Disability Act and they want to be more sensitive on job interview questions and in dealing with people in the work place. So this has become important new area.

When I do this training I bring in disabled speakers to do the training. I don't do most of the training myself. So they will hear from someone who is is a wheelchair user. Wayne has been one of my speakers. Someone who is blind and someone who is deaf.

I would like to give kudos to Wilmington

College. I teach there part-time and they asked me to

design a course about disability issues because they

wanted to broaden their horizons and cover all aspects

of minorities.

Also one of our nonprofits in Delaware who is doing an excellent job is the YMCA, and I would like to use them as an example later on when we do presentations, because we get a lot of concerns, frustration from nonprofits wondering where they are going to come up with the money for some of these accomdations.

I am part of the ADA Coalition, which stresses collaboration among all of the people concerned who are involved with implementation of the ADA. This was an idea advised by Justice Dart, the Chairman of the President's Committee, when he came to visit Delaware in March of 1992. And Bill Mennella will talk a lot more about that when he speaks.

The problems that I have encountered in doing my presentations and in listening to the questions over the phone are mostly from nonprofit agencies who feel that they don't have responsibilities under the law.

I must specify, they feel like they are in compliance with physical accessibility and that should cover the range of disability.

When it comes to some other disabilities like deafness or blindness that becomes more costly and

1 they feel that they do not have the funds to cover 1 2 those costs. 3 The biggest complaint is the cost of sign language interpreters, and we do not have enough sign 4 5 language interpreters to fill our needs. So if there is any way that this Committee can help us in 6 7 advocating for more training of sign language interpreters, that would be a real help. 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In the future I am scheduled to do a training for the Delaware Association of Nonprofits, and I hope that will lead to more contacts and more education in that area, and we plan to continue with media and with training. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. Appreciate that. I have some questions. I don't know whether any others have?

MR. BINKLEY: Go ahead, you are chairman. One of the aspects of THE CHAIRPERSON: the ADA that of course I am interested in is the legal profession's response.

And I note that in the law there is a provision for attorney's fees for suits being brought. I have several questions regarding that, one of which is can not the state and/or federal government make as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

part of any settlement, or whatever they do in resolving these matters, obtain fines or fees, attorney's fees or otherwise with regard to those settlements?

MR. WEAVER: I can answer with respect to the federal role in that. Attorney's fees are recoverable through the administrative processing of complaints within the EEOC for ADA, as well as all the other statutes, and they are also recoverable in court.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I was interested that, Ms. Peterson's comment that there were no funds available for the work that they were doing. wondering whether the, if you excuse the expression, guilty parties ought to be funding this for the state.

MS. PETERSON: We cannot recover attorney's fees under our statute. It would take a change in the statute to allow for that.

Our law simply says that the review board, if a case goes before the review board, can simply make the person whole again, but they have not included attorney's fees as part of that making the person whole.

> THE CHAIRPERSON: What about as a

24

23

deferral agency for EEO under the contract that you 1 2 now have? MS. PETERSON: 3 Well, that's why I was saying earlier that we encourage complainants to opt 4 5 for the EEOC coverage rather than ours because of those benefits under the federal law we don't have 6 7 under our law. THE CHAIRPERSON: You are the deferral 8 agency; are you not? 9 10 MS. PETERSON: Yes, we are. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: And, therefore, if you 12 pursue a claim under the ADA you would be able to 13 obtain funding in that way? 14 MS. PETERSON: If they, the charging party elects to have the case waived to EEOC and EEOC 15 16 picks it up and goes with it, yes. 17 But under our statute, our remedy is to take 18 the case before our Equal Employment Review Board and to get, to seek a court order in the Court of Chancery 19 20 to enforce the board's order. 21 But if you look at what the board is empowered 22 to do, they are not empowered to award anything except 23 back pay, basically. 24 MR. WEAVER: I think I understand the

1

Ι

You are suggesting that if thrust of your question. 1 1 2 the Delaware state agency acts as a representative of the charging party in pursuing an ADA complaint, 3 through this memorandum of understanding, that they 4 should then be able to recover their costs through an 5 award comparable with attorney's fees? 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Exactly. 7 8 MR. WEAVER: An interesting concept. don't know that that's provided for, either the 9 10 administrative aspects or in the statute. The relationship between state agencies and 11 the EEOC is spelled out in the statute and I don't 12 know that it envisions the kind of thing that you are 13 14 suggesting. But there may be something that may be worth looking into. An interesting idea. 15 16 MR. DARDEN: Could I pursue that just a I thought state agencies, in order to be 17 minute? 18 deferral agencies, needed what was substantially 2 19 equivalent laws at the state level so that they could function much as the EEOC would. 20 21 If in this area you are not substantially equivalent how is it possible for you to have the 22 relationship? 23 24 MS. PETERSON: Well, we just became

Wilcox & Fetzer

unsubstantially equivalent I guess upon the passage of 1 the Civil Rights Act of 1981. That's where a lot of 2 the benefits came from. 3 Prior the EEOC was pretty much in the same 4 boat we were, they could make the persons whole again, 5 so they could get attorney's fees and we couldn't, so 6 there was a minor difference at that point. 7 Now there is a major difference. Our law 8 doesn't provide for damages. Theirs does. We don't 9 10 go to trial, we go to the review board. There are 11 some big differences. 12 MR. BINKLEY: Point of information, Mr. 13 Chairman. I think Mr. Darden is referring to the 14 housing law which requires substantially equivalent operation at the state level. 15 16 MR. DARDEN: I think it is the same. 17 MR. BINKLEY: I don't think the EEOC, 18 State Labor Department, has that same requirement. There are standards for MR. WEAVER: 19 20 determining when a state agency --21 MR. BINKLEY: 22 MR. WEAVER: -- the code under Section 706 of the statute, when they, when they meet the 23 24 requirements to qualify for contract basically with

2

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

matter of fact, I am sure we have litigated cases in the district court down here.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And when there is this waiver that Miss Peterson is talking about does that mean that you are then going to pursue it out of the the Philadelphia office? I don't know that you have an office here in Wilmington.

MR. WEAVER: We do not have an office in The Philadelphia office handles all of the Delaware. State of Delaware. If a complaint is taken by the Delaware Department of Labor, forwarded to us, then it is investigated out of the Philadelphia office.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Does that help on your investigation problem?

MS. PETERSON: No, it doesn't. We will go ahead and investigate those cases. We will complete the investigation and we will attempt conciliation.

It is when conciliation breaks down that the charging party has to make a decision as to whether to proceed under state law or ask that the case be waived to Philadelphia to proceed under the Civil Rights Act.

So most of the work is done at that point in terms of the investigation. All of the investigation

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the EEOC to share processing of the workload.

I can't tell you what the status of the Delaware Commission is with respect to that because that's not what I do, that's not a part of my function in the office. And whether or not that is currently being reviewed or whether or not the state is trying to -- I can't comment on that.

There is a method for qualifying for that, but I can't tell you exactly how it works.

I can tell you that as far as I know all state agencies are having to undergo this process with respect to ADA.

While they may have contracts with EEOC to work share Title VII complaints, age complaints, it is a new ball game with respect to ADA because in many instances there are significant differences between the divisions of the federal statute and state statute that all have to be worked out.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It has been my experience that when there is a age or sex or race claim in Delaware it is brought by the Department of I don't think I have ever seen EEO actively pursue a case in Delaware. Do they?

> MR. WEAVER: Oh, yes. Oh, yes. As a

is finished. 1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: So you do the scut work 3 and they get the glory? 4 MS. PETERSON: No, they do give us credit 5 for substantial work completed on those cases. 6 MR. DARDEN: I have a question. charging party decides to go to EEOC with their 7 complaint are there any additional burdens that the 8 party incurs? Do they have to travel to Philadelphia? 9 10 Is there any expense involved that wouldn't otherwise be involved because there is no office in Delaware? 11 12 MS. PETERSON: No, we take the claims 13 here at the Delaware Department of Labor. The 14 charging party does not have to go to Philadelphia at 15 They can come to our office, file their 16 complaint and request that the case be waived 17 immediately, and we do that on a routine basis, waive 18 it to Philadelphia, and all we have done is the intake 19 and forward it to them. 20 MR. DARDEN: Once it has been waived, 21 then is the party ever called to Philadelphia? 22 MR. WEAVER: I assume you are addressing 23 that to me? 24 MS. FLEMING: Yes.

2

MR. WEAVER: They would be called to Philadelphia if, if we elected to conduct a fact finding hearing, what we call a fact finding conference, which is part of the investigation, those are almost always conducted in our offices.

Now, if we were talking about a complaint that arose in south Delaware or far from Philadelphia, then we can hold those hearings in the post office or any federal facility or state facility, neutral territory, if you will.

There generally are not expenses that charging parties have to bear because the complaint is being processed in Philadelphia, unless they are going to call the office to inquire about the status of the complaint and incur long distance toll charge.

Our office will communicate generally with the complainant. We will initiate the contact. If there are interviews to be done generally our people will come out and do those.

The situation for people in Delaware is no different than the situation for people in, for example, Scranton in Pennsylvania, which is a considerable distance from Philadelphia, or Harrisburg where we don't have offices, and where we conduct

discharge or enforcement responsibilities for all of 1 3 2 the statutes. 3 MR. DARDEN: So have you gotten any requests for opening an office in Delaware or is it 4 5 just no problem at all? I don't know. I don't know 6 MR. WEAVER: 7 whether we have gotten requests to open an office in 8 Delaware. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: If there is a waiver, 10 as Miss Peterson suggests, and you decide not to 11 pursue the matter, do you issue a right to sue letter 12 or is there a different procedure under the ADA? 13 No, the procedures under ADA MR. WEAVER: are going to be virtually identical to the procedures 14 15 that we follow on Title VII, so that if we elected 16 not, for some reason not to pursue a complaint 17 generally the reason would be of nonjurisdiction, we 18 would then issue a notice of right to sue to the 19 charging party. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: You would not bump it 21 back to the state? 22 MR. WEAVER: No. 23 MS. FLEMING: Then the person has an 24 option whether they want to go through the Department

3	1	of Labor or go through EEOC?
	2	MR. WEAVER: The person has the, has the
	3	option at the point that they elect to file a
	4	complaint whether they want to file it with the state
	5	agency or the federal agency.
	6	MS. FLEMING: Okay.
	7	MR. WEAVER: I am assuming they can file
	8	them both places.
	9	MS. PETERSON: Or both, and most opt to
	10	file both.
	11	MS. FLEMING: I want to say, they have an
	12	option?
	13	MS. PETERSON: Yes.
	14	MS. FLEMING: Okay.
	15	MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Chairman, are you
	16	through?
	17	MS. FLEMING: Yes.
	18	MR. BINKLEY: I would like to ask Mr.
	19	Weaver another question or clarification of something
	20	you said. Did I understand you to say that
	21	relationship with the State of Delaware and previously
	22	arranged deferral programs are funded but the ADA
	23	isn't?
_	24	MR. WEAVER: I
4		1

3 1 MR. BINKLEY: Is that the way --2 MR. WEAVER: I am not sure. We have a contract with 3 MS. PETERSON: 4 EEOC to complete a certain number of Title VII cases within the year. For each of those they pay us \$450 5 6 for each completion. 7 For intakes where we just do the intake and the charging party requests it be waived to EEOC or 8 9 the charging party comes in beyond our 90 day statute of limitations, but still within the 300 day statute 10 11 of limitations under federal law, we will waive that. 12 For those services EEOC pays us \$50 per intake. 13 Congress apparently didn't appropriate any money for contracting with fair employment practices 14 15 agency under the ADA so there is no funding for contracts at all. There is no reimbursement. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you feel feel put 18 upon? 19 MS. PETERSON: Well, in the beginning, 20 no, because Congress did fund staff positions for EEOC 21 and we thought that would be fine because we would simply waive the cases to EEOC where they would have 22 23 12 additional investigators, as originally planned. Now I understand that that's been cut and they 24

are not going to get any additional staff positions, 3 1 2 so I think both agencies are feeling put upon at this point. 3 4 MR. WEAVER: I would concur with that. And it is typical for 5 MS. PETERSON: 6 Congress or the General Assembly to pass a new law and not fund the enforcement of it. 7 And we have had a history of that over the 8 We have gone from five laws to 22 laws, and 9 10 there for awhile with no increase in staff at all over the years to accommodate the additional laws. 11 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any pressure, 13 I assume there is, to try to parallel the Delaware 14 laws, which appear to be a little older and out of 15 step, with the present federal laws? 16 MS. PETERSON: We have done that with the 17 regulations. That was a step in that direction. 18 In terms of rewriting the statutes, I 19 inherited the discrimination unit just two years ago 20 and while that's on the list of things to do, my first 21 priority was to dig out from under the tremendous 22 backlog of cases. 23 So eventually, yes, that would be a goal of 24 our agency but not right this minute.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Miss Kunkle, I had a 2 question. Mr. Hartman, when he made his initial presentation, read to me from a very interesting 3 4 document which was the Board of Bar Examiners application that seems totally rampant with violations 5 6 of the ADA. Would you agree? 7 I couldn't disagree with MS. KUNKLE: 8 that, not at all. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that an agreement? 10 That's an agreement. MS. KUNKLE: 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Have you discussed that 12 with Chief Justice Veasey? 13 MS. KUNKLE: No, not directly, because I 14 only learned about that specific instance a day or two 15 ago when I spoke with Brian about it. 16 I have, although, discussed many concerns with 17 the Division of Professional Regulation, and for the 18 state agencies, that are regulated or tested or 19 certified by the State of Delaware, gone to other 20 states and national groups to try to clarify 21 standards, and the Attorney General's Office has 22 reviewed ways of looking at a whole Delaware Code for 23 places. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that application

typical of the applications that are submitted to most 1 professional boards in the state? 2 MS. KUNKLE: I don't know because I 3 haven't seen the applications. Delaware Code does 4 give some, the way the code is written it does give 5 some leverage to each board and commission in 6 7 determining standards and criteria that are 8 appropriate for the practice of whatever they govern. However, ADA would supersede anything. 9 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: You agree with federal 11 pre-emption? 12 MS. KUNKLE: That's clear in the ADA, no doubt. 13 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is this something that 15 the state is looking towards dealing with these 16 various applications and other documents? 17 MS. KUNKLE: Yes. I will be honest and 18 say that the more I learn about ADA, the more anybody 19 learns about ADA, the more we don't know. 20 It is such a broad law and comes into so many areas, every time I think I have a handle on 21 22 something, I am shown something else that hadn't been considered before. 23

Does the state have

THE CHAIRPERSON:

24

sovereign immunity in dealing with these matters? 1 MS. KUNKLE: No, we can be sued. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Does it create any 3 4 problem, either for the state or the federal agencies, ′ 5 to be bringing suits against private employers where it appears that there may be violations even within 6 the state as it exists? 7 8 MS. PETERSON: We don't have any problem enforcing the law against either the state or, private 9 10 or public sectors. 11 We don't bring suits, though we take suits 12 before the Equal Employment Review Board and they 13 issue a decision and then we only seek court 14 enforcement of it. So we are not actually bringing 15 suit against employers. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 17 MR. WEAVER: Speaking for EOC, the EEOC 18 is a law enforcement agency. 19 MS. FLEMING: The EEOC can, right, right. 20 That's what I was saying. 21 MR. WEAVER: I would assure you, we have no problem in bringing lawsuit against any employer 22 23 that we found to be in violation of a law that was not 24 willing to correct the violation through the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

administrative processing of a complaint, whether that employer be private or public.

Actually, we would have to make a referral to the Department of Justice in the case of public We would do our investigation, make our employers. finding and make a recommendation that a lawsuit be brought against the public employer if we were not able to achieve compliance through our administrative processing.

But for private employers the EEOC has the authority to bring its own lawsuit.

> THE CHAIRPERSON: To your knowledge --

MS. FLEMING: It is more direct.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Um?

MS. FLEMING: I feel it is more direct because the, in the other instance you have to go before a review board and in many instances it is reconciled at that level. And the party is discouraged in some instances from carrying it farther. This I know. That's the reason I was interested in the option.

MS. SKINNER: How would you handle private contractors that have contracts with the state to provide services for those with disabilities?

1	Dover?
2	MS. TREACY: Yes.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: And they are familiar
4	with the various resources in the state?
5	MS. TREACY: Yes, yes. So if someone
6	calls that number with a question, their question will
7	be given out to an appropriate person to answer, given
8	an answer.
9	MR. BINKLEY: Question. I would like to
10	clarify something about the State of Delaware's
11	administrative setup. Ed may know this from talking
12	with you folks, but I would like to ask a couple of
13	questions.
14	Miss Kunkle represents the Department of
15	Administrative Services or works for them?
16	MS. KUNKLE: Yes.
17	MR. BINKLEY: And you are the coordinater
18	for ADA?
19	MS. KUNKLE: Yes.
20	MR. BINKLEY: From what you say you have
21	given training and have worked with divisions or
22	departments within that department?
23	MS. KUNKLE: And the whole state.
24	MR. BINKLEY: And the whole state.

MS. KUNKLE: Other state agencies. 1 5 2 MR. BINKLEY: Including the Department of Labor, Employment and Training, and HHS? 3 4 MS. KUNKLE: Yes. MR. BINKLEY: That's part of the role of 5 6 Administrative Services, to provide training and liason work with other departments? 7 8 MS. KUNKLE: The Americans With 9 Disabilities Act, yes. 10 MR. BINKLEY: The Department of 11 Education, for example, didn't have a coordinator for 12 ADA like you are for AS? 13 MS. KUNKLE: Well, I don't -- each agency 14 has set up people that they think make sense for 15 whatever they do. 16 In the case of the Department of Public 17 Instruction I have one primary contact person who I 18 use as my point of contact if I have a concern or a question or see a need that needs to be addressed. 19 20 In addition, they have a person in their Office of Civil Rights who is out there formally doing 21 22 additional training, contractual reviews and follow-up 23 in that area. She was with the Attorney General's Office, I believe. 24

1	MR. BINKLEY: How large is your staff?
2	MS. KUNKLE: Here I am.
3	MR. BINKLEY: How large is your staff,
4	Miss Treacy?
5	MS. TREACY: Me. I have volunteer
6	workers.
7	MR. BINKLEY: Miss Peterson, you said you
8	were down to two investigators or has that been
9	increased?
10	MS. PETERSON: That's been increased.
11	All together for all 22 laws we enforce I have 17,
12	includes child labor, minimum wage, prevailing wage, a
13	whole laundry list of laws that we are responsible
14	for.
15	But for that particular function we are back
16	up to five now, five investigators.
17	MR. BINKLEY: The investigators are sort
18	of assigned to types of complaints?
19	MS. PETERSON: That's correct.
20	MR. BINKLEY: Thank you.
21	MR. DARDEN: I have a question. Do you
22	have any interaction with local governments or do you
23	have any idea what part local government might play in
24	this whole enforcement scenario? For the whole panel.

MS. KUNKLE: Well, I would like to say that I have informal contacts with local governments, Lynnette Glover from New Castle County, I spoke with Faith Street from the City of Wilmington. I don't know if somebody is here.

Some of the smaller governments down state went to different types of training and we shared resource information.

But as far as enforcement goes, no. We are pretty much on our own.

MR. WEAVER: I would say that all aspects of society have a role to play in enforcing ADA.

State and local governments need to make sure that the services that they provide are accessible to people with disabilities. They need to make sure that their employment practices are in conformance with the Title I and Title II requirements.

They can play a role in disseminating information, through public hearings, making information available in the normal course of their business.

I have in the course of discharging my, what I consider my communication or prosamatizing role spoken to a variety of officials and representatives of state

and local governments, and answered questions, briefed them, gave them the same briefing that I give to private employers, and provided them with the information that we have available and answered their questions.

The questions that come from public officials are frequently different than the questions that come from private employers because of the difference in role and the difference in the source of the funding that makes the operation go.

I sometimes kid public employers in telling them that they probably will find it very difficult to argue that providing any accommodation would pose an undue hardship, that's a defense for not providing the accommodation, simply because they have deep pockets, they simply just go out and raise taxes in order to raise the money to fund the accomdations. They didn't think that was so funny.

But it is a distinction between the public and the private sector that the private sector has constraints that the public sector doesn't have, but the public sector has obligations that the private sector doesn't have. And I have discussed those where I have been invited to do so.

about this funding of EEO and its relationship to
Delaware. Is the decision to pay the money that Miss
Peterson talked about for certain Title VII
investigations and not to pay for the ADA
investigations a line item from a congressional
statute or is it a decision that was made by EEO in
Washington, or Philadelphia for that matter?

MR. WEAVER: It is not made in

I don't know, frankly, whether it is a line item in budget or whether it is an administrative decision that is made in Washington.

Philadelphia, I can assure you of that.

I suspect it is a line item and that it is a decision that has to be made in conformance with the statutory language.

In Title VII there is a provision for recognizing state agencies, as I said before, to share in the processing of complaints that are brought in areas where we share jurisdiction, and there are contractual divisions negotiated and contracts entered into that administer all of that, including --

THE CHAIRPERSON: We know contracts don't descend from heaven, they are made between people of

process of negotiations.

MR. WEAVER: And those contracts are made

in Washington.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And I guess the real

question, or thrust of it is, is ADA being given short

shrift as a result of decisions being made in

Washington or is it being given short shrift because

of a line item budget, because clearly it is not being

given.

given the accommodation in funding that it ought to be

- -

_ -

MR. WEAVER: I am going to say that I don't know, but I will speculate it is a line item because I can assure you that we did not get the money that the president requested in, for the budget for EEOC operations.

The Congress decided in the conference to appropriate an amount that I believe was something like 13 million dollars less than was requested by the president, ergo we don't, we did not pick up 220 additional staff people to handle the anticipated increase in our workload because of ADA and so on.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Just as a matter of interest to me, did Congress exempt itself from this law?

i	1	MR. BINKLEY: No.
]	2	MR. WEAVER: No, I don't believe it did.
	3	MR. BINKLEY: But the executive is
	4	exempted.
	5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, really?
	6	MR. BINKLEY: Yes. It is in the material
	7	here.
	8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Just something I hadn't
	9	focused on until I was talking about the funding.
	10	MR. BINKLEY: That's an interesting
	11	exemption because it is the other way around with
	12	Title VII and other Civil Rights Acts, Congress has
٦ ا	13	exempted itself is but includes the executive, it is
J	14	revested.
	15	MR. WEAVER: Although I believe in the
	16	'91 Civil Rights Act congress is in fact covered.
	17	MR. BINKLEY: Yes, you are right.
	18	MR. DARDEN: The rest of the panel needs
	19	an answer about local contact with the government.
	20	THE CHAIRPERSON: The question has been
	21	raised as to the other members besides Miss Kunkle as
	22	to relationships or liason with local governments. I
	23	don't know, I guess Mr. Weaver would not really be
7	2 4	part of that discussion. Miss Peterson?

MS. PETERSON: Our only connection with local governments is if a claim is filed against one of them or if they ask us to come out and to talk to their people about the enforcement of the ADA.

But we are a complaints-driven agency and we survive on complaints only, and we get them, against the state government and local governments.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Miss Treacy?

MS. TREACY: My connection would be, is that these people are aware of my services and we do out reach and we, I have a good relationship with Lynnette Glover, who is an HR person with New Castle County, done some training there. And I believe that's the only relationship that I have had.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I do note that employers are required to post certain types of statutory language, especially with minimum wage and certain other types of things. Is there a requirement to post one's rights under the ADA?

MS. KUNKLE: There is a new poster out by the EEOC that is called the ADA, Something for Everybody. It puts everything all in one poster.

I will admit to having difficulty myself getting copies of it from the EEOC. It is available

```
if you call a Washington, D. C. number and you can ask
7
       1
       2
           for it and they will send you one.
                         THE CHAIRPERSON: Is it mandated that it
       3
       4
           be posted?
                         MS. KUNKLE:
       5
                                      Yes.
                                      It is required that the
       6
                         MR. WEAVER:
       7
           poster be posted. The poster, that is the poster
           that's been updated to add ADA to the other statutes
       8
       9
           that were on the old poster, and I will be happy to
      10
           send you a copy.
      11
                         MS. KUNKLE:
                                      200. One or two hundred.
                                      I can't do that.
      12
                         MR. WEAVER:
      13
                                      That's why I don't get them,
                         MS. KUNKLE:
           I need 200.
      14
      15
                         MR. WEAVER:
                                      Those of you who might want
            small quantities --
      16
      17
                                      I will take 20.
                         MS. KUNKLE:
                                      Let me give you my number.
      18
                         MR. WEAVER:
      19
            Please feel free to call me. I will see you get them.
            I am at 215, 656-7064.
      20
      21
                         A VOICE:
                                   Can I make a comment?
      22
                         THE CHAIRMAN:
                                         Okay.
      23
                         MR. STALLS: I am Jim Stalls. I am on
            the panel later in the afternoon. I represent the
      24
```

Better Business Bureau.

And you brought up a topic that's been very sore with us right now because of the fraud involved in the poster requirements. The companies that do mass mailings to businesses all over this company -- this country were threatening and using scare tactics to sell you this package of posters that must be at exorbitant cost.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The question I was going to ask, this seems to be typical of the federal requirements, that you require something and then make it virtually impossible to accomplish it.

MR. WEAVER: Let me say this about that:
The poster is required to be displayed in a place that
is, in an employment office where people, the public
can see it, in places within a work place where other
kinds of notices will be posed.

In conducting investigations one of the things that our investigators look to see is whether or not the poster is displayed. If the poster is not displayed simply because the employer could not get the poster I can assure you you will not be cited for a violation.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We can cite you on it.

MR. WEAVER: You can quote me on it. So you ought not to expend an exorbitant amount of money for bogus poster.

I would go so far as to say you ought not to spend an exorbitant amount of money to purchase all of this mountain of material that appeared very suddenly after the passage of the Act to tell you everything you ever needed to know about ADA.

The federal government, I mean this is just a small sample of the stuff, has good material that is available free of charge or at a very modest cost, one of the best of which is our Technical Assistance Manual. This is my copy. You can't have this.

This includes the regulations under Title I.

The Justice Department has something similar for the other statutes. It includes explanations and interpretations and examples. There is a resource directory in the back of it. This publication sells for \$25, from the government printing office.

For \$25 you get a booklet, plus you go on a mailing list that gets you updates to the statute for the next two years free of charge. You get them in the mail.

You can pay \$25 for this or you can contact

the fly-by-night consulting outfit and pay three or 1 2 four thousand dollars and get stuff that results in calls that I get to my office frequently. 3 I am sort of the answer person for ADA. I get a person who calls and says, well, we had our 5 6 consulting company or our law firm modify our employment applications and we thought we would give 7 8 you a call to see whether or not they now meet the 9 requirements of ADA, and they tell me what is on the 10 application and they are still asking illegal 11 questions. 12 They have already paid. Why didn't they call I don't know. 13 me first? 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Because we have to eat Is this particular, and I assume I am looking at 15 16 the same thing you are, which is called Title III 17 Technical Assistance Manual --18 MR. WEAVER: No, this is the Title I Technical Assistance Manual. 19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Title I. 21 MR. WEAVER: You are looking at the 22 Department of Justice's manual. That's accommodation. 23 MR. BINKLEY: 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure, that makes it

1

clearer.

2

3

MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Chairman, which hat are you wearing right now?

4

THE CHAIRPERSON: I am an advocat.

5

MR. WEAVER: Mr. Chairman, there are five

6

titles to ADA. Title I deals with employment.

7

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.

8

MR. WEAVER: Title II deals with the

9

provision of public services. Title III deals with

10

public accomdations. Title IV I think deals with

11

communications and transportation. And Title V

12

contains some kind of miscellaneous provisions

13

implementing all the other ones.

14

There are several agencies that have

15

just as there are several agencies that had

16

17

responsibility for enforcing the different titles on

enforcement responsibility for the different titles,

18

the Omnibus Rights Act in 1964.

19

EEOC has enforcement responsibility for Title

20

21

enforcement of Titles, parts of Titles II and all of

Department of Justice has responsibility for

22

Title III. EEOC will handle the employment aspects of

23

Title II as it deals with public employers. And then

24

other agencies have other parts of it.

Wilcox & Fetzer

Registered Professional Reporters

1	
2	ä
3	+
4	+
5	J
6	:
7	، ا
8	-
9	ر
10	
11	
12	
13	
13 14	
15	

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

So we are all publishing our manuals and our assistive devices and our publications, because it, in trying to achieve compliance, I think the decision or the realization was achieved early on that one of the best ways to do that, in fact, as with any other law, is to get voluntary compliance and, therefore, if you can give people the information they need to know so that they know what is required and can make the modifications and adjustments, then our role is simply the sort of fail safe, when everything breaks down and the person is in fact still being discriminated against or believe they are being discriminated against they can come to the law enforcement agency that has responsibility for that, file a complaint, get an investigation and get an objective determination.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Figueroa?

MR. FIGUEROA: I have a question for Miss

Peterson. The way I understand you are working

together with Human Relation Commission to

21 investigate.

I am one of the commissioners in the commission. In Kent County we have trouble in language problem with Hispanics.

Many time I refer Hispanic to the commission 1 2 or to the department and no one can speak Spanish. These people cannot explain it to them because they 3 4 have a problem because they don't speak English. I believe your department should get together 5 with the director of the commission to get some 6 bilingual assistance in those areas, because many of 7 8 them come back to my office that they were turned down because there is no bilingual worker in the area. 9 10 MS. PETERSON: I don't have anybody in Kent or Sussex. Human Relations Commission does our 11 12 intake there for a fee. MR. FIGUEROA: And they don't have 13 14 anybody? 15 MS. PETERSON: I quess not. I have 16 somebody on staff here in Wilmington. If you will 17 call me and let me know, I will send my staff person to them and we will take the claim that way. 18 make arrangements. I do have bilingual staff people. 19 You will have the person MR. FIGUEROA: 20 21 come to Delaware? 22 MS. PETERSON: I can do that. That's good. I like that. 23 MR. FIGUEROA: 24 Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: But, excuse me, you need 1 to let me know. If you will make the contact directly 2 with me, I will make those arrangements. 3 MR. FIGUEROA: Okay, I get in touch with 4 you, yes, ma'am. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: It is noon, which is 6 the time that we had scheduled to break. If there are 7 no other commission questions from the staff, then I 8 9 appreciate everyone's being here with us and putting 10 up with us, and we enjoyed what you provided to us, extremely educational. Thank you very much. 11 12 MR. DARDEN: We will reconvene --THE CHAIRPERSON: For those of you who 13 14 are coming back, we will reconvene at 1:30. MR. DARDEN: Here in this same room. 15 16 (Lunch recess taken.). MS. SKINNER: Good afternoon, everyone. 17 18 I am Helen Skinner and I am the moderator for the 19 advocats, I am happy to say. 20 Our objective is to ascertain the status of the ADA implementation in Delaware from public 21 22 officials. And we are happy to have two panelists here to assist us with this. 2.3 24 We have Mr. William A. Mennella, who is

chairperson of the Delaware State Coalition for the ADA. Nice to have you with us.

MR. MENNELLA: Thank you.

MS. SKINNER: And Mr. James Stalls,

President of the Better Business Bureau of Delaware.

We are very happy to have you with us too.

Would you like to start, Mr. Mennella?

MR. MENNELLA: Sure, I would be delighted.

To introduce myself, I am William Mennella, and I am the, actually I am the co-chair of the Statewide Coalition for ADA, and I emphasize that point because as I begin to describe how and why the Coalition came to being, the importance of that, that prefix will become rather self-evident.

Perhaps if we could close the window. I know it might be a little warm, but I can't really project much more than I am right now.

MS. SKINNER: Thank you.

MR. MENNELLA: Back in March of this year Justin Dart, as you have heard earlier today, made a state tour to encourage the implementation of ADA and to lend some suggestions and recommendations and to emphasize certain key points in the process.

At that time there was across the state a very sincere interest in some of the points that he raised and a concern certainly on the part of the public and private sector about the expense and the various inconveniences and so on that that was, which was currently a serious concern.

From the Disability Committee we were hearing a lot of voices of mistrust and confusion about the extent and purpose of the law, and in general there was a overriding lack of information and good deal of misinformation being disseminated through the press and through the various networks across the state.

Numbers of people from across the state attended a luncheon and a forum here in Wilmington when Mr. Dart came and addressed us, and he I suppose you would say raised our consciousness and stimulated a good deal of interest, and out of that interest, under the initiative of Darryl Dobbins, who was then Director of the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, at his invitation we met, oh, I would say there were 35 or 40 people invited to attend a meeting around the issues of ADA.

They reflected quite a broad base of interest groups. There were representatives of Better Business

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Bureau, I am sorry, of Chambers of Commerce, there were disability advocats, there were businessmen. There were a lot of representatives of the state agencies and state functionaries.

All in all, we spent about four hours at the office in Smyrna hashing out what our concerns were, what the issues were.

I recall vividly that day the fact that I walked into the room with an article I had just seen in the Physical Therapy Journal and it was a consulting firm's offering for ADA training and it advanced all kinds of qualifications and credentials, and to this day I have been unable to verify any of them.

And there was a good deal of concern about that. Some of the discussion that is taking place here today reveals a similar concern.

In general there are no experts in ADA. There are experts in certain facets of ADA, and there are certain experts on the various issues as they relate to business, as they relate to the public sector and certainly as they relate to issues of disability.

But there doesn't seem to be any one credentialling organization or one credentialling

process.

And that, that vacancy or that vacuum was one of the things that really kicked off an interest in coalescing from the different points of view, from the different perspectives that needed to be addressed.

At that meeting we were able to identify three discrete sectors of the community that are directly impacted by ADA, that have the vested interest in compliance and issues of compliance, and that also maintain or are able to maintain a variety of resources that are required if we are to comply with ADA effectively.

Those three sectors of course naturally was the private sector, businesss and industry; the public sector, agencies educators and so on; and disability community itself.

And bearing that in mind, we organized a co-chaired, co-led coalition. I keep saying the word "co" because we do these things in unison and we really try to emphasize that aspect of what we are doing.

Perhaps the strongest raison d'etre for such a coalition is to reduce and eliminate vibes and avoid the various scams and the flurry of consultants that

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just naturally always convene on federal legislation of this nature.

But particularly in the area of disability, where there is issues of such intense sensitivity and where the expertise and the professional and socialogical issues of disability come so heavily into play, in compliance, in sectors that are not necessarily well versed in those issues, the idea that we needed to eliminate misinformation effectively and quickly is the key factor.

In addition to the misinformation issue is the issue of the appropriate dissemination of the accurate information that is available.

And so the Coalition established a mechanism, a network, if you will, for information, education, and just the appropriate dissemination of materials and information.

The third objective of the Coalition which we believe can only be carried out through the Coalition process is the effective education and training of very diverse sectors of the community as regards to ADA responsibilities.

For example, on behalf of the Coalition I have personally trained over 200 individuals. That ranges

Association, which is a, primarily a business oriented, industrial oriented organization in lower Delaware, to the Sussex County Library Association where we trained over 90 individuals who are frontline and trustees in the various libraries throughout the county.

Without the support and the organization of a coalition and the credibility that a coalition of diverse groups coming together sharing information, sharing resources and monitoring one another, okay, without the kind of credibility that affords that would not have been possible.

Another unique aspect of the coalition is that it affords us an increasing network. I am sure you have all noted that there is an awful lot that is being done on ADA. There is no doubt about that.

State agencies, individuals, organizations have all developed their ADA initiatives in all different directions. The coalition affords us a mechanism to coordinate and to share those resources in a way that doesn't exist otherwise.

And so we have enjoyed a good deal of credibility and we have been able to pull a rather

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

vast body of resource, both technical and socialogical, political resource, legal resource, and, in this, support, which is a key aspect of any civil rights legislation, this support of diverse communities.

I guess that's about all I need to say right now.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. We will hold the questions until we are finished with Mr. Stalls. Thank you very much. Mr. Stalls?

MR. STALLS: Good afternoon. My name is Jim Stalls. I am President of the Better Business Bureau of Delaware. I have been Manager and CEO of the Bureau for about 16 years. I have been in the Better Business Bureau for a little better than 20 years.

I appreciate the opportunity, I thank you, the Chairman, Mrs. Skinner the moderator, for the invitation for me to share some of my thoughts with you today representing my constituency.

One thing I would like to share with you is the fact of the ribbing I have taken since I walked in the door when they saw my name as representative of the Better Business Bureau listed as an advocat for

_

the disabled people. And I think you all know what I am alluding to.

But I do appreciate the opportunity. I have no problem with my name being listed under the Panel B.

I would like to read a brief statement to you and then kind of share some thoughts off the top of my head.

The Better Business Bureau of Delaware has a member, I am sorry, has as members more than 1500 small and mid-sized businesses, virtually all of which will be affected by the Act.

The majority of these smaller businesses are independently owned and most are without legal departments or other responsible, affordable sources of technical advice and assistance.

From restaurants to motels, from barber shops to dry cleaners, they are types of businesses listed in the Act as ones considered to be public accomdations. And they in particular depend upon the Better Business Bureau for leadership, information and guidance.

We feel we can meet this need by having available materials and resources to help these firms

_

find easily understood answers to questions concerning compliance with ADA.

For example, the council of Better Business
Bureau's foundation, in conjunction with the
Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund, DREDF,
has produced six industry specific guides entitled
Access, Equals, Opportunities. These guides provide
an overview of Title III of the Act and are specific
for car sales and service, fun and fitness centers,
grocery stores, medical offices, restaurants and bars,
and retail stores.

I did bring you a set that you can put in your files or can share with you. As I say, each is industry specific and I can talk about them a little bit later.

As an addition to our member business advisory service the council of Better Business Bureaus has produced a brochure entitled How to Avoid Scams and Schemes Related to the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, this particular brochure.

And the reason I spoke up this morning when Mr. Weaver made the comment about the posters, that is one of the prose that we get calls about on a regular basis, for instance, the availability of those posters

through companies who are charging fees for something that should be available on a lesser fee or no cost, but they use the scare tactics, the implied threats of costly litigation if you don't have these posters, et cetera S.

The Better Business Bureau of Delaware, along with the Better Business Bureau System and the Council of Better Business Bureau's Foundation, are promoting efforts to develop an alternative dispute resolution program that will be acceptable to all parties affected by ADA.

Title IV, Section 513 of the ADA, addresses the use of alternative dispute resolution, ADR, such as mediation, conciliation, fact finding and negotiation, where appropriate.

The drafters of the act must have recognized the potential advantages of encouraging a dialogue between the disabilitied community and others directly affected by ADA.

The Better Business Bureau strongly believes there is an equitable alternative to lengthy and costly litigation to ensure compliance.

By and large alternative dispute resolution provides people with disabilities and covered entities

an opportunity to discuss a mutually acceptable resolution of compliance issues without compromising the legal rights accorded by ADA.

To implement the ADA as fully as possible we strongly, we strongly feel that we must realize that more than a one time effort is necessary.

Implementation can only succeed through continuing education and training in the community. Business and community leaders must be, excuse me, must be informed and implementation efforts must reflect the interests of all affected groups.

That's one of the reasons why I have been involved and was invited to be involved with the statewide coalition, because of those efforts.

In addition, on a local basis we have worked with our staff in being sensitive to ADA issues, particularly through my complaint staff, the intakers, when we get calls, normally on a consumer problem, is trying to determine if there is more involved than it being a consumer issue, is there the possibility that it is a sensitive issue under ADA.

We also are working with our staff to become better acquainted with available resources, both private and public, for information and referral

agencies for issues concerning ADA.

We also make available and work with organizations in trying to put together programs and speakers. I have used Diane Treacy from her position to speak at groups.

We feel that the best thing we can do on behalf of the business community is to get the message out there so that people are not scared and are standing back and waiting to say, well, I am going to see what they are going to do to me.

I appreciate the opportunity for sharing these thoughts with you. I would be more than happy to answer any questions.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. Thank you very much. As an advocat, I have been an advocat for a long time, as a parent of an autistic son who is now 23, and I also spent time in Washington when we were going through the deliberations for the ADA, and it was a very exciting time to have such a composite of people.

But some of the issues that you have just addressed certainly were part of the whole community, and what we are trying to achieve I believe through this act is cooperation and really recognition of the individual and the individual needs of that particular

11 1 disability. 2 So I feel that we have some advocats here today and this is an opportunity for advocats to ask 3 4 questions of both of you with regard to what you see 12 5 as needs within the state. Some may have already been 6 met, some may not have been. Sometimes we just need dialogue between groups 7 to better help us understand the needs that are a part 8 9 of this Act. 10 And let's all focus I think on the fact that 11 this Act came into being because people felt that they 12 needed to be recognized, needed to have an outlet, and needed to be seen as an individual with particular 13 14 interests and special needs. 15 So are there any questions that people might 16 have? Henry? 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, the Act talks about reasonable accommodation, sort of what I call a 18 19 weasel word. 20 Obviously, different people will have a 21 different interpretation of what reasonable 22 accommodation means. 23 Mr. Mennella, did the Coalition have a concept of what reasonable accomodation means?

24

-

MR. MENNELLA: If that question were posed to me as a Coalition, you know, in the normal course of business of the Coalition, I will tell you how I would answer. I would refer them to the law and I would pull out my copy and I would read the wording.

Essentially reasonable accommodation involves a variety of considerations. It involves, first of all, which title you are protected under, okay. vary slightly from title to title.

But in general the basic considerations are the expense and convenience of the accommodation, and whether or not any accommodation will provide the person, the person with the disability, either access to or engagement in the activity at issue. Public accommodation.

And there are certain specific, certain specific language under the law that discusses issues of, for example, in the very often asked question on sign language interpreters, it is fairly specific language regarding the nature of the communication, and so if a guy who owns a deli in Rehoboth Beach wants to know does he need to hire a sign language interpreter, when I tried to work with him what I tried to work through with him is an understanding of

the nature of his communication and what will affect adequate and appropriate communication for someone who is deaf to come into his shop and buy a ham sandwich, or to ask a question about, you know, where the pay phone is. Okay.

On the other hand, if it is a dentist or an attorney comes to me and says do I need to hire a sign language interpreter, the nature and the scope and the complexity of the communication that needs to take place is the key consideration.

And that's actually, that's actually discussed in the content of the law. So that's our nature of our question. Accommodation, the reasonableness of the accommodation hinges on a variety of items, not the least of which is the nature of the activity to be involved or that is involved.

Does that clarify for you?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, it -- well, Mr. Stalls, do you have a feel for reasonable accommodation?

MR. STALLS: I really don't have a feel because I never tried to put myself into a position to interpret what the law itself means.

If someone asks me what do they mean by

reasonable interpretation I sort of put the monkey back on them, can you be realistic in how you can accommodate that person who other than the fact that he may have a disability will serve your purpose, whether it is employment, or have access to your accommodation or to your place of business.

What comes to mind was, and you may have all heard the experience, was an individual who wanted to hire an individual who happened to be in a wheelchair. Felt he was very capable. His concern was, a small operation, that he would not be able to use the current work station facilities because of the desk.

He made a reasonable accommodation by putting it up on blocks so that the wheelchair could comfortably slide under the desk, as well as the typing table that was attached to it. So that would be the way I would have to approach something like that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The problem that I have as a lawyer is that it seems that this law is designed to be interpreted by the courts because it is obviously open to multiple interpretations and subjectivity.

People seem to find that leaving the process

13

3

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to a case by case analysis leaves a lot to be desired, and lawyers and the judicial system I think take an unreasonable hit for being the fall guy for a lack of ability to be able to articulate what people want.

I don't really know that the answers you have given me, if a client came to me, even the delicatessen man you described, and said to me what must I do, not what should I do, what could I do, but what must I do, that I would have any ability under the basic interpretations or regulations to give him any guidance other than give it a shot and see what happens, inviting him for lengthy, protracted and And that does not strike me as expensive litigation. an appropriate way to implement a law.

Now, this ADR you talked about --

MR. MENNELLA: Can I just suggest, first of all, my disability activism and my disability rights involvement goes back almost 25 years, and during that process what came repeatedly to the surface in trying to establish the language of this particular legislation was that there is a different tier from other civil rights legislation in that there is a certain technical issue here.

There is a technology of equality for people

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with disabilities. There is a certain almost professional intervention mandate, if you will, when it comes to issues of disability and equality.

And I think the language and the case by case resolution of that issue covers that base very nicely because it permits the kind of and the most expert intervention, and permits us to share the technology of access with the one who needs to use it, the one who needs to make available to himself because he is a shopkeeper or because he is a business operator, the technology of access.

One of the things that I do when I am not doing this is that I am the coordinater for the Technology Resource Center, which is part of the Delaware Assistive Technology Initiative in Sussex County.

And what we have appointed ourselves to do there, at least in part, was to be a resource to the business community in making "reasonably" a more well-defined term.

Reasonably. We discussed this earlier when the Commissioner discussed superstitions. You know, in 1560 it was reasonable to say the world was flat. In 1992 it is ridiculous.

There are reasonable changes with our technology and our ability to manage information and the information that we have to manage.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I understand what you are saying, but Mr. Stalls, for example, represents this small delicatessen owner who may be a marginal business, he can't afford to be the test case to determine the meaning of reasonableness or the technological abilities to conform to some as yet undefined or amorphous term.

I mean do you agree with that?

MR. STALLS: I agree with you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Or do you think you are appropriate for that purpose?

MR. STALLS: No, I don't think we are appropriate for that purpose.

My question would be that are we going to be concerned about that court determination of what is a reasonable accommodation or do we need to look at the reasonable accommodation to accomplish the goal of the law or the legislation was that deli can serve that constituency from the disabled community, and that's where I would have a concern.

MR. MENNELLA: Let me add to that, again,

2 effort stands out. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: But good faith to whom? MR. MENNELLA: Good faith effort on the 4 5 part of a business or an employer to comply. THE CHAIRPERSON: Blanche? 6 7 MS. FLEMING: No, I wanted to ask a Of the 1500 small businesses that you say 8 question. that are represented in BB, what seems to be the most 9 general complaint or barriers as they see to coming in 10 11 compliance with the ADA? 12 I know it may run the gamut, but what seems to be maybe the five that pop up in your mind? 13 14 MR. STALLS: Well, I wouldn't even be 15 able to be specific. 16 The thing that I get the most is the confusion 17 as to what is appropriate. And again, we may be 18 getting back to what the Chairman is leading up to, is you get one person whose interpretation is this can, 19 20 and, depending on where you get your technical assistance or advice from, it may mean something else, 21 22 and he is confused. 23 And that's the reason I think from the business community we are seeing a stand back 24

in the body of the legislation the term good faith

13

1

situation and wait and see what is going to be the 13 1 2 first heavy hammer hit as far as litigation and cost before a lot of them are taking a step and finding out 3 4 what is going to be a reasonable situation. And what I am referring to is for the deli, 5 6 who may have one step up to the delicatessen, and somebody told him, well, you are going to have to 7 8 completely redo your entry, put a ramp, you know, from 14 9 the side and all this type of stuff, and here is a mom 10 and pop deli who can see 15- \$20,000 worth of 11 remodeling cost and he is going to step back. 12 Where, from what Bill is saying, that there 13 could possibly be a reasonable accommodation, rather 14 than the total restructuring of his entry. And there 15 is a --16 MS. FLEMING: Yes, I see that as a 17 structural barrier. What other barriers do they 18 bring? 19 Well, most of them would MR. STALLS: come, from that aspect, from ours, most of our 20 21 constituency would be, as I say, the small 22 businessman. 23 We are looking at a company with mom and pop operation, maybe up to eight, ten, 12, so we are

24

Wilcox & Fetzer

14	1	looking primarily Title III which is going to be a	
	2	real accommodations issue.	
	3	MS. FLEMING: What about employment?	
	4	MR. STALLS: See, we really aren't going	
	5	to get a lot of those questions because the larger	
6		employers that we do represent, we have about 1500	
	7	members who have less than 15 employees.	
	8	MS. FLEMING: Okay.	
	9	MR. STALLS: When they get over to that,	
	10	and my point is when they get over to that they	
	11	usually have access to legal advice, professional	
12	departments to handle the situations, or you get into		
,]	13	your major employers who have 500, 5,000, et cetera.	
	14	MS. FLEMING: I am not talking about	
	15	majors, no.	
	16	MR. STALLS: So I think your main	
17	thrust		
	18	MS. FLEMING: Is physical barrier.	
	19	MS. KUNKLE: Is going to be under	
20		Title III, accommodations.	
	21	MS. FLEMING: Okay.	
	22	MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Chairman, Madam	
23		Chairman, excuse me.	
1	24	MS. SKINNER: Yes, go right ahead.	
1			

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BINKLEY: Let me interrupt to say, wouldn't you say that the main objection or concern is financial, is not whether it is accommodation, whether they can up a ramp, but there is going to be an expense?

MR. STALLS: And I think the reason is that they have gotten misinformation, and I think that's a point Bill was trying to say, is that the law is not written to put anybody out of business, but to accommodate that disability, and that if you put your mind to it there are a lot of reasonable ways to accommodate that disability, particularly with the deli or whatever.

MR. MENNELLA: When we formed the Coalition there were numbers of people who were more business, and what became very apparent is that businesses had a lot of very legit questions, what is it going to cost me, what do I have to do.

They wanted to comply, they were not refusing compliance, they were very eager to be in compliance, but they really needed answers.

And on the other side of the table are all these experts in the field of disability, and we needed a mechanism to get that expertise delivered to

people who are not expert.

Λ

U

They were expert at making a sandwich or delivering hotel services or manufacturing widgets, but they are not experts in disability and they are not experts at accommodations for disability, and that's the kind of resources that we need to tap and we need to disseminate that kind of information thoroughly in the business community, as well as thoroughly in the public sector, okay, and that expertise doesn't develop out of those sectors independently. There has been to be a germ, there has to be a seed planted.

Some of the very large corporations, the DuPonts and Baltimore Trusts and those kind of guys, they have developed, at great expense, I might say, some expertise in the area of accommodation.

But, frankly, I have reviewed a lot of that kind of work and it is not any, it is not anymore expert than what we could have offered them either at no cost or very little cost.

MR. BINKLEY: Well, if I read the law, there is tax opportunities, you can get tax breaks for expenses.

MR. MENNELLA: You should mention that.

MR. STALLS: See, that's where my point is that we have got to be able to have available these types of resources and information so that that person is getting, that businessman is getting the right information to make those decisions.

And we have approached it in getting calls and types of things. I mean we are looking at a whole new market, I mean, you know, when you take in the figures that this law comprises from the disability community.

There is a lot of things that can be turned around to be positive. You are absolutely right, small businessman looks at dollars, what is it going to cost him to do some renovations to accommodate if he is getting some bad information.

So he has got an alternative, do I hear the other side of the coin, do I wait to see what it is going to cost me in litigation to have a suit or a charge filed against me from the Civil Rights

Commission or Department of Justice or whatever the case would be.

He is confronted constantly from both sides of the coin with dollars.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Ed, do you have a question?

MR. DARDEN: Yes. Commissioner

Redenbaugh described a kind of hierarchy of disability
with some being more acceptable, apparently, to
nondisabled people than others.

If that's the case, and if you agree with it, does that mean that there are certain kinds of disabilities that are going to have a more difficult time in making the ADA real for them, and, if so, what are they and what should we be watching out for?

MR. MENNELLA: Well, you know, there are no statistics in yet. It is kind of early in the game, Ed.

Frankly, you know, the history of disability suggests that people with obvious visible and well-defined mobility impairments cause the least amount of attention in nondisabled people.

Okay. That's very consistent with what the Commissioner cited.

The more disfigurement, the more deformity that that mobility impairment has attached with it, the greater disturbance or the greater attention that one finds.

And I think the rest of the hierarchy that he described is also what we might expect. What we are

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

seeing is there was a tremendous rush to accommodate mobility impairments, and really there is very little being done to accommodate hearing impairment or visual impairments.

And at this point we are not getting a lot of complaints. And again, we have to be very cautious how we measure these items because the lack of complaints, as I am sure you well know, is not a measure of what is happening necessarily out there in the field.

We are not seeing a lot of complaints, but what we hear in the grapevines and what we see out in the community is that people that are hearing impaired or visually impaired are kind of getting short shrift.

MR. STALLS: See, I think it is important, again, we get back to this education process and information, and one of the things, for instance, that the DREDF and our foundation was trying to accomplish with the industry specific, for instance, the guide on restaurants and bars will touch on all phases, the deaf, the blind, the wheelchair bound.

But a car salesman, he is not going to be concerned about a blind person and wanting to test

drive a vehicle.

2

3

4

5

1

So you are trying to disseminate the information that is best going to be suited to these particular needs of the industry to accommodate hopefully all areas of the disability community.

6

MS. SKINNER: Did you have a question you

7

wanted to ask?

8

I wanted to add, and the

9

MR. MENNELLA:

10 11

12

into substance abusers.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

hierarchy continues into the emotional and mental disturbances kind of situation, where there is any kind of emotional or psychiatric disorder, and then on

ADA, people with a history of substance abuse are protected. I think they are at the bottom of the hierarchy. They are pretty much going to have a hard time being assimilated into the process.

MR. STALLS: And that is another issue right there. Diane and I at a recent joint speaking engagement had an individual businessman who owns a local residential garbage and trash pickup, and his concern is where does he stand as far as his responsibility to potentially hiring an alcohol or drug addicted person to drive a pickup truck into a residential neighborhood with kids on the street.

15	1	He is not getting the right answers as to how
, ,	2	he should be concerned about those things.
	3	MS. SKINNER: Did you have a question?
	4	MS. CAROL-LEE HURLEY: Why isn't he
	5	getting the right answers?
	6	MR. STALLS: Diane, maybe
	7	THE CHAIRPERSON: There aren't any.
	8	MS. TREACY: No. In the meeting there
	9	was, like I said in my presentation, there is more
	10	acceptance, and Bill said, for someone in a wheelchair
	11	and then it goes down the hierarchy.
	12	The least accepted group I have heard are
7 7	13	substance abusers. They don't see why they were
L	14	included in the law, they shouldn't be covered by the
	15	law, and people feel, a lot of people feel very
	16	strongly about that. And AIDS is the other one.
	17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, really?
	18	MR. MENNELLA: A big overlay of moral
	19	issues there that come in and it is difficult to
	20	tackle that.
	21	MS. SKINNER: Okay. Thanks.
	22	THE CHAIRPERSON: I would like to get
	23	back to the dollars, because I think that's what
,	24	interests the businessman, and I was intrigued by your

1

concept of the ADR and various formats.

2

Initially I would like to know are they

3 4

That's the first question. binding.

5

they are not why aren't they, because that seems to me

And then, secondly, I would like to know if

Our, let me, and I know Bill

a far more cost advantageous mechanism of determining

7

the boundaries of reasonableness than going through

8

9

the litigation process.

16

has been involved in this and he and I have had some 10

MR. STALLS:

discussions, the binding aspect has been a very touchy 11

12 topic in all of the meetings I have attended with all

of the various organizations and agencies that are

14

13

involved.

15 And right now I think when any group, whether

it is the Better Business Bureau or these private ADR 16

17 providers are steering away from the binding aspect of

it and they are also steering away from a specific 18

19 area of arbitration.

20 And I think in going back into the area of the

21 law itself that allows for some type of ADR.

22 to be mediation that may be the most acceptable

possibility or avenue for an ADR attention to the 23

24 resolution of getting some compliance.

Wilcox & Fetzer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think that gets back to, again, as to what is the question or if you want to say charges or whatever being brought, what is the purpose?

I mean is the individual willing to, say, go after somebody, if you want to use that term. the terms of the law, as you said, being specific, Is he going for some type of what is he going for? damages or is he going to be going after somebody in order to have that place to become accountable to being, you know, say accessible for all phases of this thing or not.

Again, we are widening --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Does he have an attorney?

> MR. STALLS: Who?

THE CHAIRPERSON: This person you are talking about.

> MR. STALLS: Which individual?

THE CHAIRPERSON: The person he is talking about who is going to bring charges. Because if he is going to bring charges against somebody and he wants to hire an attorney, he has got two choices I would think, either to go for the damages and the attorney's fees under the statute or fund it out of

16 1 his pocket. Now, what choice do you think most people 2 are going to take? 3 MR. STALLS: Well, I think most -- I think we are putting the burden back on the person to 4 5 be realistic. Is he going to go after damages and charges, is it going take him ten years, or is he 6 7 going to be able to go out into that shoe store and 8 help himself, help pick out him or her a pair of 9 specially dyed shoes. 10 MR. MENNELLA: Not to mention the 11 strength of his case as he perceives it. Very often 12 someone thinks they have a case but the strength of 13 the case is questionable, as an attorney --14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Always, and most of 15 these cases the attorney isn't going to take the case 16 if he doesn't think he is going to get paid at the end 17 of the day. If he doesn't win, he is not going to get 18 paid. He is not going to take the marginal case. 19 MR. MENNELLA: What case is marginal? 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Um? 21 MR. MENNELLA: What case is marginal? 22 How would an attorney determine that? 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Start on case by case What you will find, it will start picking and 24 basis.

pecking, eventually you will find some ill-defined 16 1 2 border of what is clearly one thing and what clearly is not, and some gray area will start to shrink until 3 some other comes in. 5 MR. STALLS: I think the important factor is who is filing the complaint. Is it going to be 6 7 advocacy group wanting to make an example of somebody? We are not going to be involved in that. 8 But if we have an individual like Bill who 9 calls the Bureau and says can you help me, I tried to 10 11 go into the deli, and there is no ramp, I couldn't even get up in through the door, I think that is going 12 13 to be an important factor too at an intake type 14 situation. 15 What are we looking for, what is the 16 individual or what is the group looking for to 17 accomplish by this particular type of action. 18 MS. SKINNER: That's what you would say, 19 reasonable accommodation, then in a sense. 20 MR. STALLS: I think that would fit in 21 that term. 22 MS. SKINNER: In a sense we are all 23 trying not to create anxiety within groups or within

individuals too.

24

We are trying to see this as a

1

2

allowing them to have every, share in what everyone

mechanism of incorporating people into society and

else is able to do. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

MR. STALLS: That's the point I have

picked up and I have been trying to share with my

constituency in all the sessions I have been attending

for a little over the last year, in being able to meet

the various segments of the disability community, that

their intent is not to put anybody out of business but

to be able to go into that deli or be able to go into

that restaurant and have a meal.

Those are the types of things. I think that's what, if we have that opportunity to make the business community aware of the fact that Bill only wants to be able to go into that department store and comfortably be able to get something that he wants or needs in his day-to-day life, just like you or I have, then that's what he is entitled to.

And I think the business, the reasonable businessman is willing under that reasonable accommodation to accept it from that approach.

MR. MENNELLA: We have spent 30 years of our lives advocating on issues of disability and we have always struck adversarial kind of positions. Ιt

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

was us against them. It was the special interests versus the other special interests.

Throughout the history of this legislation that's evident. Okay. In fact, quite to the day it was signed it was evident. Tell you the truth, it is still evident under several conditions.

You know what we need now, we need a different kind of -- we have mastered the technology or the social technology of confrontation and adversarial negotiation. You know what, we need to master the technology of cooperation.

And that is, I think if there is any one thing that ADA demands of our society is developing that, that social skill, to a new height.

You know, you asked earlier, Mr. Heiman, what is reasonable. Reasonable is what we all agree to. Reasonable is what we can negotiate and arrive at a consensus on. Reasonable is what is not going to cause unnecessary injury to you or to I and will still accomplish our mutual and beneficial goals. That's what reasonable is.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, if that can be accomplished that is certainly devoutly to be desired.

I think the problem comes when one person's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

interpretation of what that is does not overlap another person's interpretation of what that is, and then you have conflict.

So I don't know. I understand what you are saying, and I wish it were true.

MR. STALLS: We talk dollars. I think if we key in on that particularly, the term, and put it back into a very positive situation, if you can talk to the small businessman and you can talk to him about tax credits and tax advantages available in addition to the potential opening of a new market, for instance, a restaurant who may get a tax break for ramps or redoing the entry, enlarging the doors, et cetera, you are going to see dollars, you know, and that's, you hate to be materialistic, but that's one way of accomplishing what we are trying to accomplish, particularly in Title 30.

MR. MENNELLA: I think we need to expand those tax incentives. If there is anything that would be helpful that would be it.

I think we also need to look into means of message for funding organizations like the one we are trying to maintain here in Delaware, which is that coalition concept where information that is accurate,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

up-to-date, that is technologically sound and unbiased, is disseminated evenly, and that the resources of the community are well disseminated, and that we known by experience, as quickly as possible through that mechanism.

I think those kinds of organizations certainly need to be looked into as a new method for arriving at a definition of reasonable, instead of the old method which, of course, originates out of conflict and ends up in litigation.

MR. STALLS: We talked in here this morning, the government agencies, we get back to another situation where you get laws passed but no one takes into consideration how you are going to get those laws implemented when it comes down to dollars and staff and all these different aspects of implementation.

MR. DARDEN: That's a perfect introduction to my question. I was going to ask you -- yes, come right in, is it your position, either of you -- I will get it for you -- that state and local government in Delaware is doing everything that it could be and should be doing and so, therefore, you

That's right.

Ed?

MS. SKINNER:

have no recommendations on what they need to be doing? 1 17 2 MR. STALLS: I don't know if I want to 3 touch that one. 4 MR. MENNELLA: I wouldn't touch that one 5 with a ten foot pole. 6 MR. STALLS: I think it gets back to some of the comments there were this morning. I think they 7 8 are doing what they can with what they have to do I don't know if that answers your question. 9 with. 10 (Committee Member Lynn D. Wilson now 11 present.) 12 MR. DARDEN: What does that mean? 13 MR. MENNELLA: I think what he is saying, 14 the resources that are being allocated to respond to ADA are limited and given those limitations we are not 15 16 doing a bad job. 17 Whether or not we are willing to or going to 18 be prepared in the longterm future to continue to 19 accept such limitations is another issue. 20 I think we, you know, I know when I answer 21 Title II entity groups I make a real strong point that what is deemed undue hardship now, what is deemed 22 23 unreasonable now, may not be deemed that way next year 18 24 after your next budget has been determined, after you

have established your next set of priorities.

Okay. That when you propose your next year's budget, you know, accommodation may have a much more exaggerated position in your line items than it did this year.

And if it doesn't, that may be seen -- you know, the status quo cannot continue, is the bottom line.

Jim only discussed that this is a process, this is an ongoing process that's not only in education, it is also in compliance. Okay.

So in terms of the state and local government doing enough now? Well, given today's resources, given today's allocations, perhaps. In some sections they have done better than in others. In some divisions they have done better than in others.

Is that being coordinated? Not terribly well. That would need improvement. We need to tune-up our resource coordination.

Will today covenants stand next year? I doubt it. I seriously doubt it.

MR. STALLS: I think another issue that may be affecting this too at this time of the year, and possibly for the three or four months prior and

18	1	for the next few months, is, from the government's
	2	point of view, and I am not speaking from a government
	3	advocacy, but I found it, I attended, summit I
	4	attended on the President's Committee on the
	5	Employment of Disabilities, it seems to me a wait and
	6	see view.
	7	How is the new administration going to feel
	8	about enforcement and implementation of this, how is
	9	it going to feel. How are local governments going to
	10	feel.
	11	I think they are kind of waiting to see some
	12	input from their leaders as to, hey, do we go after it
	13	or do we accept we don't have funds.
	14	So it is not a priority type situation and
	15	that doesn't help us.
•	16	MS. SKINNER: That doesn't help the
	17	advocats either.
	18	MR. STALLS: No, no, it doesn't help any
	19	aspect.
	20	MR. MENNELLA: Certainly doesn't solve
	21	any questions either.
	22	MS. SKINNER: No.
	23	MS. FLEMING: Aside from your seminars,
	24	training session and literature that you have
		1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

disseminated, are there any other resources that are needed to make your work more efficient?

I am talking now of the legal aspect. you have your seminars or workshops do you have somebody who can at least sit in and listen and sometimes help people to couch a question? to get some answers you have to be able to ask the question.

MR. STALLS: For instance, Mr. Weaver, I took the opportunity to talk to him for a few seconds, and whenever we put together anything with our constituency I do not hesitate to make sure that hopefully all gamuts of the discussion that will come up will be covered, and he gave me his card and he said if you ever put together anything that may involve Title I, either I or somebody from my staff would be accessible to be a part of that group. So we look for those types of things.

I do not pretend to be an expert in ADA. There are a lot of, there are a lot of resources that through, for instance, through this coalition we all have to be able to pull together to suit your individual needs as to what you are trying to accomplish for implementation of.

1 18 Very good. MS. FLEMING: 2 MR. MENNELLA: I am being prompted. Ι hate to interject so overly, but I am being prompted 3 4 that there were three basic tax incentives in existance for compliance with ADA. 5 6 One is a tax credit for small businesses that 7 are businesses with gross receipts of less than 8 \$500,000. Certainly covers my business, I will tell you that. And that is for hiring a person with a 9 10 disability. That is \$2300 per person. And there is I 11 think a 15- or \$20,000 cap on that, something like 12 that. Or there may not be a cap, I am not really 13 sure. 14 There is also a tax credit, I am sorry, a tax deduction for architectural barrier removal. 15 16 And there is also the Targeted, Targeted Jobs 17 Tax Credit, which is a percentage of the disabled 18 employee's salary for the first year. 19 And they are all substantial incentives. Wе 20 need a lot more of them. We need to see those things 21 expanded. The TJTC was just reauthorized. 22 MS. TREACY: No. 23 MR. MENNELLA: It was not? 24 MS. TREACY: So it is not in existance.

18 1 A VOICE: It is. It is. 2 MR. MENNELLA: Well, you see about information. 3 4 MS. SKINNER: Do we see that the coalition needs to be expanded, though, as far as 5 6 encompassing more groups from a standpoint of 7 expertise? 8 MR. MENNELLA: Absolutely. One of our major missions for this year is to expand membership 9 19 10 and really solidify our membership We believe, I mean the basic concept behind 11 12 coalition is that your members are your resource. we have taken great pains to identify and to engage 13 14 people in the various sectors that have various levels of expertise and all kinds of expertise, attorneys 15 16 and, for example, the Disability Law Project out of Georgetown is an active part of our coalition. 17 18 didn't call us. We called them. We sought out their 19 participation. 20 Jim was, actually Jim did call us, but, you 21 know, the kind of things we are doing with Jim and DBB 22 is important to us. That's a tremendous expansion of our resources. 23 One of our resources, not so obvious on the 24

surface, is the Library Association and the Delaware Division of Libraries, which affords us tremendous resources, meeting rooms, technical information, journals, telephones, you know, all kinds of in kind support that we would not be able to operate without.

In Sussex County we have over 15 libraries that offer us 15 training sites. When we want to do training, if we can't find a site at some reasonable expense, I can go to the Library Association and get a free reading room in any library in the county. That's a tremendous asset when your mission is to disseminate information and to educate and so on.

MS. SKINNER: John has a question.

MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Mennella, does the Delaware Coalition -- I should preface this to say we are certainly concerned about what is happening in Delaware, and especially the Delaware Committee, but some of us are from Washington and having projects in other states, and we are concerned about the implementation of ADA nationally as well.

MR. MENNELLA: Of course.

MR. BINKLEY: I am wondering if the coalition you are associated with is replicated in other states.

MR. MENNELLA: That's a good question.

When I, in August I was attending the ADA training offered by DREDF and IRU, in conjunction with EEOC and DOJ, and at that session, at that training there were about 200 people who were with disabilities and/or advocats who were involved in the training and they were drawn from around the country. We had people

During those five days we had ample opportunity to meet each other and to gather that kind of information.

from Alaska Hawaii and all over the country.

I don't know of any other state or any other locality right now that has a coalition organized and operating at the level we are at right now. And that, I mean that's an unofficial sampling certainly based on a very specific source of input.

But I think it was reflective of what is happening in the disability community and I think it was really reflective in the general terminology.

MR. STALLS: I get the same feedback Bill does in the groups I attend, D. C., and through our national group and participating with other bureaus, and I referred to a statewide coalition, you know, what is that, what is going on.

2

1

3

4 5 6

8

10

9

1.1 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think too we have to be realistic. is a unique situation. You have three counties. You can pull together a lot of agencies and organizations and business people and accomplish a lot. state like Texas or California, you know, a statewide coalition is going to be practically impossible.

But I think even if it is not a statewide that somewhere, somehow, again, we get back to this education and an ongoing process that coalitions of some nature, whether it is county wide or large metropolitan areas or whatever, and that was a consensus that came out of this President's Committee on the Employment of Disabilities early part of December.

The representation that was there was that same concern. And I mean these were disability advocats. These were business people. These were nonprofits represented. A large gamut of the things.

And I found, it was a very large meeting, they all had a lot of information to share, but they didn't have time to share it in a summit type situation, because, they were frustrated, when they get back to their geographical areas they have got to start pulling from here, maybe so and so might be able to

1

2

4

3

5 6

20

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

help me answer this question, and those types of things.

MR. BINKLEY: I had a similar question of The pamphlet that you have for these specific you. industry areas, are those also being distributed in other states of the United States? Are they as well organized as you appear to be in the Better Business Bureau here in Delaware?

MR. STALLS: These particular runs are available to all Better Business Bureau industries in the country. There are 177 Better Business Bureaus throughout the country. We have all had access to these.

It depends, and, again, we get back on the situation of where an individual situation, a Better Business Bureau, Chambers of Commerce compels, nonprofit, where your interest may lie in the position you have to take in ADA type concerns.

We have, for instance, we have made these available to our members for the last two months. just recently bought another supply of them that after the first of the year I am going to do a media release so that any business in the State of Delaware will have access to this information by contacting the

Bureau.

So, again, it depends. And again, that's unfortunate. You got a national organization, but each bureau is independent, and you may have a bureau manager who says I don't want to touch ADA with a ten foot pole because I have got members out there who fought against ADA and they don't want to look like, as I referred earlier, an advocat of this type of thing.

So it is unfortunate, but there are a number of bureaus, such as myself, that are very much involved and feel that there is a need to serve not only in the business community but in the local community as well.

We have, if you look at a bureau as a bureau, we have a commitment, we are a business organization. My sole operating budget comes from the support that we get from the business community.

However, the business community supports a Better Business Bureau for the public. The little bureau in Delaware responds to between 6- and 7,000 telephone calls a month.

And we feel that that's an ideal situation for us to use, for instance, with legislation such as ADA,

when we make our intake people sensitive to this type of situation, particularly when it comes to a complaint area, but also from the businessman's point of view, when he calls and wants information as a resource center.

MR. BINKLEY: I have another question both of you may wish to comment upon.

If a business makes clear or has changed its policy to accommodate people with a disability, do the disability advocats then give clear-cut public support to that business? Do they go to that business, do they trade with that business?

Kind of a reverse boycott, positive support to show --

MR. MENNELLA: One of the great incentives, you have to ask why would a corporation, why would a small company, want to have anything to do with us. They can afford an attorney. If they get sued they will deal with us. What would be their incentive to do that.

Well, one of the major incentives to share the resource with us and to be involved with it in the coalition is just that very idea that we can acknowledge good and appropriate compliance. We can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

aspect.

acknowledge extra efforts.

It gives us a mechanism for making it known to the public and within the business community who is doing good works and who is not. It is real simple kind of thing.

A good example of what Bill MR. STALLS: is saying, and I know there has been a lot of discussion in the coalition, for instance, you take, I can only speak from a local situation, you take the Wilmington Convention and Visitors Bureau, all, the package they now put together to send all over the country to organizations who are considering doing their conventions, et cetera, in the Wilmington area, when you get to the motels and hotels specifically states which hotel is totally accessible, has TDD services, accommodation for all the particular services, and all the restaurants, the hotels and all these types of things.

And that's part of the package and that's part of the promotion of what I think, from what you are saying, again, we are getting back to dollar signs.

> MR. BINKLEY: Right.

MS. SKINNER: That's a very positive Boston did a very fine job of that.

Δ

_

MR. MENNELLA: One of the projects we are exploring now is providing small businesses with some visible identification, almost like Good Housekeeping Seal of Approval.

It is a little tricky, there were some considerations, so the plan is not currently underway, but we are looking into that sort of thing, with the idea in mind that people should be acknowledged for their compliance, for their good will in the community.

We see ADA as a community concern, not just a piece of legislation to be complied with. And I think we have been very successful in the business sector, certainly, establishing that orientation to the law.

I think it started out with this adversarial thing and I think it is beginning to evolve into something else, and I think that's at least in part due to our efforts.

MR. STALLS: I think we have to be very concerned of that approach, and that's one of the reasons I feel very comfortable with this coalition and representing more than 2,000 businesses in the state, that in Delaware this coalition is not going after business on an adversarial situation.

And I think that if the law is approached on that aspect you are going to find a much more receptive attitude coming from the business community, particularly when we go back to, we keep referring to dollars, and if a businessman can see his advantages, whether or not through tax incentives, tax breaks, whatever potential new market he may be opening himself up to, the bottom line is we may be accomplishing implementation.

If that's not the right way to go about it, it is at least a way to accomplish it.

MR. MENNELLA: Before you ask a question let me raise one more quick comment.

The last time I saw Eugene Weaver we were presenting at Delaware Technical Community College in Georgetown for some business people who requested an ADA overview, and after the meeting a gentleman approached me who actually works for an architectural firm that owns one of the very prominent and very large and very successful pairs of shopping malls in Rehoboth Beach.

And he said, gee, you know, you guys were great, I really was interested, we would like to have you come down and do a onsite kind of walk through our

malls and give us some feedback on our accessibility and so on.

And as it turns out they have done a lot in that direction. And what we are working on now is doing that and doing that because they are very proud of their access and they see that as a wonderful piece of public relations.

That will be a wonderfully easily and zero cost piece of fantastic 6:00 o'clock news footage about these two malls. They are going to get a lot of free publicity. It is going to be exceptionally positive.

It is going to make them look good on several different levels. Okay. People will want, they will be happy to shop there because of these guys, because of what they have done. They have widened a few doorways, they have really done kind of an average job, but they are very proud of themselves. And to tell you the truth, I am very proud of them too because there are malls in the city that didn't do that, and these guys deserve recognition.

MS. SKINNER: Ed, did you have a question?

MR. DARDEN: Yes. I wanted to ask a

1 question abou

question about work force participation.

Do you see that the ADA is going to now result in a wave of people with disabilities applying for jobs where they hadn't been before or is this a situation where there might need to be some recruitment in order to prime the pump?

MR. MENNELLA: One of the great difficulties in disability is that you become isolated when you are disabled, that you become alienated from the mainstream of society, for a lot of obvious and not so obvious reasons.

I think that particular factor lends itself to a lack of information on the part of the disabled community. It means we need to really expand our out reaches.

And incidentally, the coalition uses the Handi Network News, which we discussed earlier today, as one of our main organs of information into the disability community.

We have, there are over 7,000 addresses on that mailing list, many of them with multi, I don't know, receivers.

I think as we begin to disseminate the information more effectively you will see an increase.

I certainly wouldn't expect waves.

I think as the whole process of viewing accommodation and disability and the personhood of the job applicant differently evolves, you will see significant increases.

MR. DARDEN: What kind of time frame?

MR. MENNELLA: It is hard to say. I

would say in a lot less time than it would have taken,
a lot less.

Within five years. I don't know. I would suggest within five years or so you will see significant increases on job applications by severely disabled people.

MR. DARDEN: Are there groups that are actively recruiting to place disabled people in jobs?

MR. MENNELLA: Well, you know, there are, there have always been groups that do that. There is the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and Division of Impaired. There are a lot of other advocacy groups.

You see, all those kinds of people have been doing that for decades. There is no mandate to do out reach under ADA. ADA does not require that business or employer do any unusual out reach to recruit or

actively seek out disabled employees. And I think that was a wise thing.

I think what we need to do is we need to educate the community as a whole. We need to educate the business community to the fact that it is the skills that you are purchasing, not the person's flesh, and that if his flesh is impaired, as long as you are going to provide the skills, you are required under this law to hire this person. Okay. I think that's the orientation we need to strike.

MR. STALLS: I think that we are seeing,
I know because I have received a call, we recently ran
a job ad, help wanted ad for an incoming telephone
receptionist or entry level telephone, a very good
friend of mine who happens to work for an employment
agency called me because she wanted to know the
specifics of the job itself to see whether or not she
had any qualified persons, because she is working with
the disabled community and specifically promoting that
pool of people that are now available, and it becomes,
I hate to say it, it may be becoming the thing to do.

We get into a situation, and particularly with a larger company who is able to get a disabled person into a mainstream work force much quicker, much

1

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

14

17

18

16

19

20 21

22

23

24

easier, much more cost effective than a company that only has five, ten, 15, 20, but they are not covered by the law, you get into 20, 25 employees, I think from that aspect we may see more of it because your larger companies are able to do it on the basis of, okay, well, I need to do that for this community. again, the thing to do, unfortunately.

Whether it does it or not for the thing to do, it is going to accomplish what we are trying to do.

MS. SKINNER: They already have built in support systems too in the larger companies, so that is very helpful.

MR. STALLS: I know we are getting over I wanted to make one quick comment of a very good example when we talk about government agencies or whatever and I mentioned it at lunch.

Our bureau in Miami recently worked with their members in the contracting industry because of the large amounts of government funds going into Miami area and South Florida area for reconstruction of businesses.

No one was making these businesses very much aware of the requirements for new construction under ADA, but yet the government is giving out this money.

		i
2	1	And it is a scarey situation, again, to go
	2	back, to be afraid of the fact that we have a law that
	3	was signed in July 1990, be put on the shelf and we
	4	are letting it sit there.
	5	MR. MENNELLA: That's a need to
	6	coordinate our efforts in.
	7	MS. SKINNER: That's right.
	8	MR. MENNELLA: That's really it.
	9	MS. SKINNER: Okay. Any more questions?
	10	We are going to have to move on to our next panel.
	11	Thank you so much, both of you.
	12	THE CHAIRPERSON: We are going take a
	13	five minute break at this time and we will reconvene
	14	at 2:50.
	15	(Recess taken.)
	16	MR. WOLTERS: May I call the third
	17	session to order?
	18	I am Raymond Wolters, a member of the Delaware
	19	Advisory Committee, and we are here now for the third
	20	and final of our panels for today, Panel C, on small
	21	business and nonprofit agencies.
	22	We have two panelists, Susan Cycyk, the
	23	Executive Director of Independent Living, and Drew
,	24	Hastings, the Executive Director of Delaware

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Association of Nonprofits.

There may be a third panelist, but I am not sure, of a Richard Heffron of the Delaware State Chamber of Commerce will be here.

Anyway, would Miss Cycyk and Mr. Hastings care to take a seat right up at the cross table there? Wе have a sign, your name tag in front of you.

We have one more member of the Delaware Advisory Committee who was in Family Court this morning and arrived a half an hour or so ago, Lynn Wilson, over here to my left.

Well, without further ado, let me introduce Susan Cycyk of the Independent Living.

MS. CYCYK: Thanks. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and speak before you. really is quite an honor.

I work for Independent Living and we serve people with disabilities, people with all sorts of disabilities, but I am really here today representing Madeline Warns, the Executive Director of United Way, and representing all the member agencies and affiliated agencies of United Way.

And I only have a few comments. The first one is that an awful lot of the nonprofits in Delaware are

Wilcox & Fetzer

Registered Professional Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in compliance, to begin with, from our agencies and the rehabilitation agencies, that I can tell, on the whole our employee population is very diverse, culturally, racially, with disabilities.

It is the kinds of folks that work with us and we were incorporated and designed to be service providers.

There is a great deal of willingness to comply with the ADA. Doesn't seem to be any kind of conflict like that, and we already have a lot of training in the law.

The United Way did training earlier this year, I believe, and there has been some other opportunities. So I don't think we need a whole lot of education. We don't need to be convinced to do what we all believe we should do.

We probably do need some technical assistance, more of the implementation, the how, the how to, more than anything, and perhaps since nobody knows what this will really mean, perhaps some financial resources.

Most of the nonprofits, like small businesses in Delaware too, don't pay a whole lot of money and don't have very rich employee benefit packages or a

lot of money in that area of training and personnel 3 1 2 and adaptation. So there may be some minor need for assistance in that end, but I don't think a whole lot 3 of training. 4 I think that the technical assistance type of 5 6 training we could probably get here in Delaware very 7 inexpensively, if not free through the Delaware Association of Rehabilitation Facilities. 8 9 I called their executive director yesterday and that's what she said we could do. So I think it 10 11 would be a fairly easy matter for us to tackle. MR. WOLTERS: Thank you. 12 13 MS. CYCYK: Those are my suggestions. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. WOLTERS: We will put some questions to you, but I will hold the questions until after we 16 17 have heard from Mr. Hastings of the Delaware 18 Association of Nonprofits. 19 MR. HASTINGS: Agencies, but that's fine. I would like again also to thank the members 20 21 of the Committee for this opportunity to address you 22 today. 23 As was stated, I am the Executive Director of the Delaware Association of Nonprofit Agencies. 24 DANA

is a coalition of nonprofit agencies and organizations formed to provide a mechanism to enhance the quality of human services by helping our members save money, share skills, and participate in public and private policy making.

Delaware's nonprofit sector, for those of you who are not familiar, is comprised of approximately 2,000 nonprofit agencies and they provide critical services to the Delaware community.

While a formal study of the impacts, of the sector's impact on the state has not been conducted recently, a recent study by the National Center for Nonprofit Board sheds some light on the nationwide impact of the nonprofit sector.

As stated in the NCNB study, if the United States nonprofit sector were a nation, its estimated annual budget of \$389 billion would rank at sixth among the nations of the world. The nonprofit sector is a vibrant and critical component in virtually every single corner of our nation, and addressing its needs, addressing the needs of our sector is of great importance.

There is a great level of awareness, I would like to echo what Miss Cycyk had to say about the ADA,

_

and the knowledge of its specific guidelines is surprisingly acute among our members, but there are areas where improvements need to be made.

Nonprofit executives and their staffs are a unique lot by and large. Give us a lemon and we will produce a ton of lemonade. The nonprofit sector is used to working with very little and leveraging it to the hilt.

Some of the concerns of the law are not as prevalent in our sector as they were in the private sector because many of our nonprofit members have been serving individuals with disabilities for a number of years.

In fact, many nonprofits were founded specifically to address the needs of the handicapped and have become nationwide models in their hiring practices and developing resources for the handicapped. Nonprofits have for many years been at the forefront of being accessible to those with disabilities.

As in the private sector, many initial criticisms by the nonprofit sector of the ADA turned out to be red herrings. The costs of compliance on a macroeconomic scale, for instance, have turned out to

be far less than expected.

A study conducted by the Dole Foundation found that 85 percent of the changes needed to accomodate people with disabilities will cost less than \$500.

But as is the case with any program of this scale, however, there have been some problems with individual agencies, both large and small, and some latent anxieties have continued to plague the sector as a whole.

One concern facing nonprofits is coming into compliance with its physical plant. Capital campaigns, by and large, tend to be some of the most difficult and time consuming to undertake for your members.

This is particularly true with those agencies that rely on only one or two sources for their income. Whether by design or happenstance, those organizations that have placed all theirs eggs in one basket have difficulty budgeting in these costs because their budgets are set up well in advance and locked in for the fiscal year.

The same is true for agencies relying upon government entities for a lot of their funding. Some of them have questions whether government in this age

_

of cutbacks will be able to step to the fore and provide additional moneys for capital improvements.

But there are ways to conquer fear, avoid frustration, and overcome adversity, and this is most readily accomplished by information.

The United Way has done a lot of training exercises, and in response to a lot of our members' inquiries what DANA has established is a sample bank.

This bank is designed to save nonprofits time and money by providing resource materials on topics of vital importance to our sector. Included in DANA's sample bank are a variety of source materials related to the ADA from the Delaware Department of Labor. Also included will be a variety of source materials including articles on the law, interview techniques, and foundations and organizations that provide information and funding to nonprofits that help them comply.

In fact, there is a national consortium of foundations that has been trying to be established to get \$500,000 of seed money to help study ways for nonprofits to come into compliance.

Also, we are also including the Texas

Governor's Self-Evaluation Checklist, which was

developed by the Texas Governor's Committee for Disabled Persons, and I think this is a great example of what government can do.

It is simple, it is direct, and free of the legalistic clutter often found in a lot of government documents.

And I think this which is also provided by our local Department of Labor here is a great tool for a lot of our member agencies.

On a more proactive scale, DANA is also going to be working with the Governor's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities to set up a workshop, open to all nonprofits, that will explain the law, its guidelines and what nonprofits need to do to comply.

Unfortunately, all the information in the world is not going to be able to tell any one of us what the effects of this law will have on the courts.

Many agencies realize that the burden of compliance is balanced by reasonable accommodation. The unknown is who and what determines an undue burden. No legal precedents have been set, and many organizations, both public and private, are holding their collective breath.

Nonetheless, I look upon the ADA as a very strong step in the right direction and see this law as a great opportunity. It is my opinion that most, if not all, agencies share my view that all of us want to be as inclusive as possible and to make this law work in every way we can. In fact, I think if you were to undertake a study today that you would find nonprofit agencies with the highest level of compliance.

In Japan the word used for opportunity and for problem are the same. I think our mission from our perspective at DANA and here today is to convince those that have not already been converted that the immediate problems that they might face in their compliance will provide them with greater opportunities in their future, and to the future of those who for too long have been denied the opportunities that they so richly deserve. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Nonprofit organizations obviously are unique in their funding problems.

Thank you, Mr. Hastings.

Because their fundings come from the rest of us, obviously you don't produce anything in terms of a

MR. WOLTERS:

Are there any questions?

salable commodity, so any modifications or changes or

whatever you do is going to obviously have to come out of scarce resources.

Could it not be argued that the resources that you are going to put into the modifications in dealing with ADA will have negative aspects, that is that you will be not doing somethings that you might otherwise do, and we would then end up in this conflict of special interests' tug of war?

MR. HASTINGS: Well, I just think as a whole, again, a lot, I would say that more of our agencies are already in compliance so as, in terms of, in terms of money that is going to be needed for it, I think they are going to be far less than a lot of people might say.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know how you can say you are in compliance when we don't know what the law is.

MR. HASTINGS: Just because a lot of, the fact that our agencies, like Independent Living, were set up specifically to deal with the, you know, with the needs of the handicapped and those with disabilities.

THE CHAIRPERSON: But that is their product, not their people. You have to differentiate.

7

10

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

I mean they deal with those people, that's what they deal with. It doesn't tell me whether the nurse they are going to hire has to be dealt with in an ADA way, or whether they have to have ramps accessible for the salesman that comes in to talk to them, or whether it has to have a hundred other types of things, or if somebody comes in and has a hearing problem must they deal with it in a certain fashion.

None of these things are known, as far as I am aware they are not known, and I am concerned that scarce resources in nonprofit agencies may be disproportionately going to one situation because of this as opposed to other demands that may be made of equal importance on the same agency. And I don't know how you feel about that.

MS. CYCYK: I would like to say that one of the problems for us in dealing with our resources is so much of the money that we all operate with is so restricted.

I don't know if any of the money we have really even could be used to, you know, bring into compliance with ADA because of the strings that are attached to it.

So that is a problem for us, not just the

scarcity of resources, it is the flexibility and to use the resources that we do have.

I would like to comment that in a lot of organizations there are already a fair number of persons with disabilities hired. So we have, in a nonprofit community we have made a lot of accommodations. We certainly probably haven't made them all and probably have more to make, but we have done those kinds of things for many, many years. It is part of our culture.

And that is why I think we are probably further along than some other places might be.

MR. DARDEN: Can I ask a question on that? Then why is it that there is a concern among nonprofit, and that is I guess a general term, is there some segment of the nonprofit field that doesn't participate in the culture that you are describing and that may in fact have a different outlook on complying with the ADA?

MR. HASTINGS: Well, I think there is some technical aspects of the law that aren't known.

As I was reading through it I don't know how many -- for instance, I know some organizations that do have to comply that I know probably don't realize

it are like day care centers that are based in churches. There are for profit activities.

I see that as a potential stumbling block right now simply because I am sure if an executive pastor or whatever of a church was reading it and said, oh, I don't have to comply with it because it says here in black and white the church, clubs don't have to comply, but they might not read that fine print, take the time and find out that maybe that day care center they are running in there does have to comply. I see that as one potential stumbling block.

In terms of also the moneys, I mean nobody has to be reminded of the current economic climate we are living in right now has been difficult, and especially with nonprofits.

And we are, everyone is facing, from top to bottom, money problems in terms of getting those moneys from foundations, donors. There is all sorts of studies conducted in terms of the level that goes down with the economy.

But I see there are some technical aspects of the law that are going to take some time to get out there and information known for a lot of our agencies.

MS. CYCYK: I would agree with Mr.

I don't think we have as much, you know, 1 Hastings. 5 2 fear or that there is a whole -- I don't think there is a whole lot of nonprofits out there that are 3 4 terribly negative and don't want to comply. But when we get into the technical issues 5 6 there is some lack of understanding and how to implement. 7 6 8 MS. FLEMING: Let me try and ask it this way: Have you had any complaints brought directly to 9 10 you of what was termed as unfair practices or complaints relative to ADA? Whether it be hiring or 11 12 structural? 13 MS. CYCYK: No. That's what I wanted to 14 MS. FLEMING: 15 know. 16 MR. HASTINGS: No. 17 MS. FLEMING: That answers it. MR. HASTINGS: 18 The other thing, just getting, one other point with the cost aspect of it 19 20 too is that there are a lot of creative and common 21 sensical ways to also come in compliance. 22 I think a lot of our members, we can help them know about that, might enable them to come in 23 24 compliance.

I know a lot of agencies, the first thing is with agencies is we are in a sense, you know, we always look at the money aspect in terms of where we can go and what we can fulfill in terms of our services.

But there are ways that, for instance, if they need to raise a desk, instead of buying a new one, putting it on a platform or two by fours instead of having to buy a whole new desk, or instead of buying a new drinking fountain, installing the plumbing, buying just a simple water tower that is lower, and things of that nature.

I think there needs to be ways that, you know, that organizations can find that they can -- they don't take a lot of money. As I said in that one study, 85 percent of the costs for compliance are under \$500.

But with some agencies, you know, with budgets of less than \$50,000 that can be quite prohibitive at times.

MR. WOLTERS: Do we have a question or comment from over on the side?

MS. TREACY: I have had contact with nonprofits throughout the state. One of the prominent

Wilcox & Fetzer

mention the name, has told me specifically that they will not be able to provide sign language interpreters for public workshops, they will look at it, but they do not see how they can come up with the money because a lot of the workshops are free and things like that. So that's a concern for me.

MR. STALLS: I was going to kind of follow up on Mr. Darden's question. And what we find, we are nonprofit, I think there is a misconception or a poor conception on the word nonprofit.

I think it is important to know the tax classification from IRS is a very important factor in that overall term of nonprofit.

Many of us know that Wilmington Medical Center is nonprofit, but we sure don't believe that they don't make any money out there. So I think that's an important issue in trying to justify compliance for nonprofits. You have got to get more involved than just a title of nonprofit.

MR. BINKLEY: What is the code, 501 (c)(3)?

MR. STALLS: 501 (c)(3) is charity, and that's what most nonprofits that you know and I think

1 we are referring to here --2 MR. HASTINGS: Right. MR. STALLS: -- fall under. 3 For 4 instance, we are a nonprofit. We come over 501 (c)(6).5 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: 501 (c) generally is There are various aspects of it. 7 nonprofit. 8 MR. HASTINGS: Right. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Why do we hear so much 10 about nonprofits having problems with the ADA? Because otherwise we wouldn't have asked you 11 12 here today to talk about it. That's what we have 13 heard. We have heard that nonprofits are specifically 14 and particularly having problems. 15 And you are telling me they are not. You are 16 telling me we are way, you are way in the forefront of 17 the dealing with the problems, and that's contrary to, 18 at least to my knowledge, of what I have been hearing. 19 MR. HASTINGS: Well, I think I am just 20 saying in terms of the forefront some of the agencies that have been set up, for instance, the ARC of 21 22 Delaware and others which is for the rights of 23 individuals with handicap, that's what I am talking about in terms of leading the forefront in a lot of 24

1 these programs.

I don't disagree that there are, as I said, there are still some problems out there, and I think they are mostly financially and money driven, and I think there are going to be some needs to be addressed.

As I said with capital campaigns, for instance, people undertake these over the course of years at a time, and in terms of coming together and developing their facilities and to then add on to these costs in terms of their building up their physical plant is difficult, and I think it is going to be difficult for the future for these agencies in terms of providing the same level of services.

There is going to have to be in some respects areas where somebody is going to have to pick up the slack in some ways because I think there are agencies that are going to have to make a choice between do we come into compliance with ADA or do we drop off the level of service we have been normally providing to the community.

And I guess the thing is are we going to have to take a short view or a long view with it, and really come down hard on non, and on those nonprofit

Wilcox & Fetzer

Registered Professional Reporters

agencies, or are we going to try to bring them up for 1 2 it. 3 As it is, I mean, there is really no legal What is an undue burden? precedent. 4 For instance, one example given in this one 5 article says according to the law there is, so long as 6 7 there is no significant loss of -- there is no loss of profit or loss of efficiency of operation. Since a 8 9 nonprofit is not going to have a profit how do you then determine whether it has to remove that barrier 10 11 or not? 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, efficiency, what 13 was the --14 MR. HASTINGS: States significant loss of profit or loss of efficiency of operation. I mean it 15 16 kind of puts into that, but --17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Where do you see your 18 agencies today? Are they standing back waiting to see where the lines will be drawn or are they taking the 19 20 proactive, culturally correct stance that you are suggesting that is appropriate and abiding by the ADA 21 22 standards? 23 MR. HASTINGS: Just to take a for instance, one member of our organization, they don't 24

1 ev 2 ar 3 as 4 re 5 th 6 wh 7 th 8 as 9 fo

even have -- they have only four employees, but they are moving into a new facility and they basically asked when they were, they had to, when they were revamping the entire program, they basically asked their contractor and he was able to tell them exactly what they needed to do to come into compliance, so that even though they didn't know the technical aspects of building a ramp which they needed to build for access to it, to the facility, their contractor knew it right away.

MS. CYCYK: I think people are making the active effort to get the information that they need. How much action and what steps each organization is taking I don't think I could comment on, but, except that they all work together.

Where there is 2,000 of us, but we are still a pretty small community, and we work with one another all the time, and there is a lot of free advice that goes back and forth to help us get our job done. So that's what is happening.

I don't know about a whole lot of movement or changes. I don't know about that really.

MS. WILSON: Have you ever done a more formal survey of the nonprofits to see if they are in

compliance or if they do have problems?

MR. HASTINGS: Our organization has not, but, as I said, we are planning on working with the Governor's Office to develop something along those lines and trying to -- I mean our organization deals with all the issues in terms of the running of the nonprofits, legal aspects, accounting and everything, and we see our role as helping, helping in any way we can to fulfill that mission.

As I said, with our sample bank of materials any organization that calls up and says can you give me information on the ADA, we will be able to supply it for them or direct it to those proper agencies they need to get in touch with.

And then also through our newsletter, through our workshops, quarterly workshops we sponsor. We are more than willing to get this word out to help give as much technical assistance we can as possible.

MS. WILSON: Have you ever seen a kind of sample survey that's been put out by any of the organizations that are dealing with ADA?

MR. HASTINGS: No.

MS. WILSON: Would that be helpful?

MR. HASTINGS: It would be helpful, but

Wilcox & Fetzer

1 with 2,000 agencies there is a cost into that. 2 mean, you know, it would in terms of --That's why I was thinking of 3 MS. WILSON: 4 reducing it by using -- I would think there are just certain questions you could ask and that might have 5 6 been standardized somewhere. MS. CYCYK: But there are some things 7 8 that have happened and our agency and I believe all 9 the agencies that contract with the Division of 10 Alcoholism and Drug Abuse and Mental Health, we had to 11 respond to a survey and come up with a plan to rate 12 our compliance. 13 So I am assuming that that information is 14 getting in, and I would assume if there was a problem with our compliance in my agency I would have heard. 15 16 So there is those kinds of things that are 17 already going on, already being part of what we do. 18 It is not just compliance. MS. WILSON: 19 It is also some other things that you might want to 20 survey. 21 MR. STALLS: Can I say something else? Ι 22 think it is interesting, what I am hearing here, 23 because it really distinguishes again that overall 24 umbrella, nonprofit.

7

_

For instance, we are nonprofit and the nonprofits that we deal with have totally different concerns than what we are hearing from this group here.

Of course, I am primarily dealing with 501 (c)(6). We work with all the trade organizations, the business organizations, the engineering groups, et cetera, et cetera.

And we have taken an approach that we have to look at ours as a small business. And in some respect, unfortunately, this person that we think of as the 501 (c)(3) has got to realize they have to operate as a small business when it comes to ADA. They are not going to make a distinction, you are a nonprofit, and that, again, we are back in that area, Mr. Binkley referred to it earlier, dollars.

I know from the 501 (c)(6) that's the first thing we look at is budget, you know, and until you get into enough knowledge and expertise as to really what you can do and what is going to be priorities and, again, from the Chairman, are we waiting to see priorities when somebody is sued, when a nonprofit is sued, whether it is a (c)(3) or (c)(6) or a (c)(9) or whatever the case may be.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Question?

MR. DARDEN: Question. A lot of the (c)(3)s I imagine have fewer than 25 employees. Are they behaving now as if they were, you know, are they preparing now to comply with the ADA or are they waiting for the next level of coverage?

MR. HASTINGS: I don't know definitively on that, but your figures are right. I think the latest nationwide statistic is something like 14 percent of the agencies have more than 25 employees as a whole across the country.

So we are talking, not talking about every single agency in the state. It is a fairly small percentage.

But in terms of formal saying definitively, giving you an answer saying I know for a fact 65 percent are in compliance or coming into compliance, I don't know that.

But I think, but I think -- but let me just qualify that in the sense I think, as we have stated, everybody in the nonprofit sector, I don't think anybody is against it. I think they want to be as inclusive and as positive about it as they possibly can, within their means. I don't think anybody is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to it.

various programs.

throwing up road blocks before it.

Once

MR. DARDEN: That's what I mean. the coverage drops down to I think it is like 15 and

you pick up many more of the smaller nonprofit

organizations who have the smaller budgets, do you see

that that is going to create an issue or a problem at

that point in time because of the scale?

MR. HASTINGS: I think the one thing you always have to be mindful of, the smaller the organization, the less resources they have to explore

They don't have a legal department. don't have the advantages of a huge corporation. Executive directors, they might be the janitor, the teacher and everything. And for them to sit there and throw a pile of papers on their desk and say here is the ADA, start complying with it, they will never get

I think it is just, it is just like, for instance, this checklist is just a great idea. Even if they just have this at least this way it is something they could go down and say, okay, I have got that, I have done that, oh, maybe I don't know.

It is something that is simplified, that gets

24

Wilcox & Fetzer

Registered Professional Reporters

the ADA down to its essence, is really important, and I think as you pare down to smaller and smaller agencies it is going to become more and more difficult for them to realize all the ramifications that they have to deal with.

MR. DARDEN: Is that getting down to them now, that sort of thing? Is your organization disseminating it?

MR. HASTINGS: We are just in the process right now of our own organization trying to get our sample bank together of all the related materials.

Not only with this issue, I mean there is a whole range of issues that we have to deal with. I mean accounting principles, maintaining 501 (c)(3) status is a whole conundrum in and of itself.

What we are trying to do with this sample bank is to get as many materials together as possible so that when an organization has a question we are the one stop shop for them, so they can have it all there for them, be it the information on how they have to comply, organizations that are supplying funding money for it, you know, sample interview techniques, questions you can and cannot ask.

So we are trying as best as we can, with the

limited resources that we ourselves have as well. 1 2 MR. BINKLEY: May I ask a question, Mr. 3 Chairman? MR. WOLTERS: 4 Sure. MR. BINKLEY: Are you familiar, are 5 6 either of you familiar with a gentleman named William Mennella, who is the co-chairperson of the Delaware 7 Statewide Coalition for ADA? 8 9 MR. HASTINGS: No. 10 MR. BINKLEY: He appeared here earlier The name of the organization is the Delaware 11 12 Statewide Coalition for ADA. They provide services and assistance. I heard you say earlier you have some 13 14 plan to do something with one of the Governor's offices. And Miss Treacy over here, do you know her? 15 16 MR. HASTINGS: Treacy, yes, she has been 17 very helpful. 18 MR. BINKLEY: What I am leading up to, there is a lot of resources you can tap, doesn't cost 19 20 you anything, a little time probably but that's a part 21 of your job, the way I understand it, to assist the 22 associations, maybe not necessarily yourself, 23 necessarily Miss Cycyk, but certainly Mr. Hastings in terms of the being the director of this association 24

1 DANA. 2 MR. HASTINGS: Right. MR. BINKLEY: I was going to ask you 3 4 also, I assume that DANA is replicated in other 5 states? 6 MR. HASTINGS: Yes. We have about 18 sister organizations and about 12 others that are 7 8 pending in states across the country. 9 MR. BINKLEY: And do you have a national 10 organization, you go to conference or convention 11 annually or once in awhile? 12 MR. HASTINGS: Right, the NCNA, which is the National Coalition -- National Council of 13 14 Nonprofits Associations. 15 MR. BINKLEY: When did it meet last? 16 MR. HASTINGS: It met last September. 17 MR. BINKLEY: Did you attend the meeting? 18 I had been hired about a MR. HASTINGS: 19 week prior to it so I was unable to attend. 20 MR. BINKLEY: Did you see the agenda? 21 Again, what I am leading to is did that organization, 22 has that organization put the subject of the 23 implementation of ADA before its members and tried to 24 give them assistance?

9	1	MR. HASTINGS: As of this point I can't
1	2	say with any definitiveness yes or no, whether they
	3	have. They might have done it in '91 or what have you
	4	or in that past conference.
	5	MR. BINKLEY: Well, I might suggest
	6	MR. HASTINGS: Right.
	7	MR. BINKLEY: you draw that to their
	8	attention.
	9	MR. HASTINGS: Sure.
	10	MR. BINKLEY: Use these resources.
	11	MR. WOLTERS: I have a question. How
7	12	many complaints have there been in the last year about
	13	the enforcement of this law in the nonprofit agencies
	14	in Delaware?
	15	MR. HASTINGS: Well, since I have been,
	16	only been with DANA now for almost three months I
	17	haven't received any calls during my tenure.
	18	Diane Treacy might be able to give you a
	19	better answer on that since I know she has expressed
	20	some concerns and had some complaints on it.
	21	MS. TREACY: I know of two specific ones.
	22	MS. CYCYK: I know of none.
	23	MR. WOLTERS: Thank you.
1	24	THE CHAIRPERSON: What were the nature of

1	the complaints?			
2	MS. TREACY: Excuse me?			
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: What were the nature of			
4	the complaints?			
5	MS. TREACY: That sign language			
6	interpreters would cost too much money and that making			
7	a workshop accessible was a pain in the neck.			
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, focusing on the			
9	first for a minute, who, can you tell us what agency			
10	it was?			
11	MS. TREACY: No, I would rather not.			
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: What was the upshot of			
13	it?			
14	MS. TREACY: The agency is now run by new			
15	management and they said they would be working on it.			
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Lynn?			
17	MS. WILSON: Would complaints normally			
18	come to DANA or would they go to the, directly to the			
19	nonprofit agency probably? Would a disabled citizen			
20	know to			
21	MR. HASTINGS: No, I don't think they			
22	would know to go to DANA. I think they would probably			
23	go to a state agency, something along the line of the			
2 4	EEOC.			

MR. STALLS: Can I say something else? think what, and again now I am putting this all under the umbrella of nonprofit, I think one of the things that I find, particularly the (c)(6)s are very sensitive to, and I am sure the (c)(3)s are too, we have a twofold problem.

We have limited funds, but we have an obligation to our constituency to be sure that our constituency is in compliance with ADA, for instance, the people they provide services to, but also then have to operate myself for compliance as a business to be sure that the organization itself, which is either a (c)(3) or a (c)(6), is in compliance.

And when you are trying to disseminate funds to serve both needs the bucket gets shallower and shallower.

MR. BINKLEY: That's why you have to share information.

MR. STALLS: That's it.

MR. BINKLEY: Ideas, assistance.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I think it goes beyond that. If you have a specific objective or an agency like Delaware Lung, which may or may not be one of the representative agencies, they have a specific

mandate and a specific agenda that deals with certain 10 1 2 specific and very specific diseases. If money that is given to them to deal with those things has to be used 3 for something else, that's a problem. 4 MR. BINKLEY: Well, Mr. Chairman, I have 5 6 had a secondhand experience with a few nonprofit organizations. What was that sign that said we didn't 7 8 intend to be a nonprofit organization but unfortunately we are. 9 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: But we are. MR. BINKLEY: Seriously, I have had 11 12 friends and family who work for nonprofit 13 organizations through the years and they always have 14 in a grant or in a approval of a proposal for contractor or grant overhead, and that overhead would 15 be a part of like the rent and everything else. 16 17 And if it is to comply with a law, you comply 18 with the law through part of that, and that needs to be -- if they have to instead of taking ten percent or 19 20 whatever it is off the top for overhead and operating 21 expenses, then they have to take 12. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Let me ask 23 this --24 MR. HASTINGS: Let me just comment on

that. Nonprofits as of late have been hit hard by various crises.

Let's be honest. I mean there has been, some of them have been our own doing, but some of them have not.

And I think the thing, one of the major things is that people, as a donor we all donate in some way, shape or form to some type of cause that we ourselves believe in, and when we do that donation we want to make sure that as much of that dollar goes to that cause that we want to support.

People are going to have, from a donor's prospective people are going to have a greater problem if they realize, oh, God, I am going to give you \$10 but if I know more, a greater chunk of that money is going to capital improvements that are not serving or doing anything to serve our members --

THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree.

MR. HASTINGS: -- the cause is going to be difficult. And because of that I think this -- I mean we don't know. I am not saying that it is going to happen, but it might have an effect on our ability to raise moneys from the general public.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I would like to ask

Have you been through the Miss Cycyk a question. 1 10 United Way interrogation process for grant? 2 MS. CYCYK: Yes. 3 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: It is not quite as simple as you go over and you say, gee, let me have 5 some money, right? 6 Right, right. MS. CYCYK: 7 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: You got to put a budget together and you have to explain where every dollar is 9 10 going to go, don't you? 11 MS. CYCYK: Right. THE CHAIRPERSON: If they don't like 12 where it is going to go they cut you? 13 14 MS. CYCYK: Correct. 15 MS. FLEMING: Or deny it. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Even if they say, gee, you really deserve this money but everything is going 17 18 to take a hit this year so we are going to cut everybody ten percent or whatever, then you got to 19 20 decide where it is coming from, is it coming from the capital improvement to meet the physical disability 21 22 requirements under ADA or is it going to come out of your budget for doing your mandated purpose. 23 24 Now, I don't know how you deal with this. Ι

just suggest that that's a problem. 10 1 2 MR. HASTINGS: Right. And there are two 3 things that have to be brought into the balance. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, except the thing is ADA is the law. 5 6 MR. HASTINGS: Right. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: So you don't have an 8 awful lot of choice about one side of this equation. 9 MR. HASTINGS: Exactly, right. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think that's part of 11 the problem. 12 MS. CYCYK: Maybe we have a choice, 13 though, as far as enforcement. Mr. Hastings and I 14 were talking before we started about timing, how long 15 you give a place to comply and what is the extent of the compliance. That's important. 16 Those kinds of 17 things will matter for us a lot. 18 MR. HASTINGS: I mean there is a fine line between lenience and patience. I think some 19 20 would need more, a bit more of patience in just 21 saying, look, we have, our budget process is such, in 22 such a way that we have to go at a certain time and we 23 are not going to get money no matter how much you bang 24 on our door.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

11

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, are the proactive people giving you the time? Obviously, at least in Delaware they obviously are because nobody is obviously beating down the doors to force you into the level of compliance might otherwise be required.

MR. HASTINGS: Delaware has the benefit to, we should also mention, our foundation grants and a lot of the donors in the Delaware area are very strong and have a very great belief.

We have a very strong nonprofit community, and we are lucky in the fact that in terms of capital improvements, although they are difficult and time consuming and take quite an effort, unlike other states, capital improvement programs are pretty well supported in the state, unlike others.

So I don't see it as a -- again, I see it as a sense of timing and getting in compliance.

MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Chairman, may I make some discussion along the line you were talking about of sharing the income or the grants, the contributions with need to comply with ADA in a nonprofit agency? would like to ask Mr. Stallings, Stalls? Excuse me.

> MR. STALLS: Stalls.

MR. BINKLEY: We discussed earlier that

the law provides that in compliance, I wouldn't attempt to go into details, but to make a short cut, a business can take a tax break, they can get deduction or something like that.

Now a nonprofit organization doesn't have taxes, but are you familiar enough with the law to know whether or not the IRS will give them some sort of assistance?

MR. STALLS: Our attorneys for our classification of 502 (c)(6), the attorneys with our national organization has pursued that through IRS, because we, as any nonprofit, we have, like you say, your classification review is coming all the time, you get audited and all this stuff.

To answer your question, we have been advised with our attorneys with our national organization there is no accommodation through that. So there is not that, there is not that edge as a small business.

And that was my point earlier, that we are in a twofold situation because we need to serve our constituency and help them comply, and whether it is providing services or working with the business community, but at the same time we don't have the advantages they have in order to have some type of

11	1	available resources to try to accomplish some of these
	2	things.
	3	THE CHAIRPERSON: For a profit making
	4	organization there is a carrot and a stick. For
	5	nonprofit there is just the stick.
	6	MR. HASTINGS: Just the stick.
	7	THE CHAIRPERSON: And which end you get.
	8	MR. HASTINGS: And the money. From my
	9	understanding I think, what is it, the first time you
	10	don't comply it is \$15,000.
	11	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's reasonable.
	12	MR. HASTINGS: For the nonprofit
,]	13	community, when you consider the size of the agencies,
	14	that's a, that's a huge chunk of money.
	15	THE CHAIRPERSON: It is ridiculous. Who
	16	is going to pay it?
	17	MR. STALLS: Not only that, that's the
	18	potential fine, what is it going to cost you to have
	19	your attorneys attempt to defend you? That's going to
	20	put many nonprofits out.
	21	MR. HASTINGS: Right.
	22	MR. STALLS: If you get down to where the
	23	Chairman was coming from earlier waiting to see do you
1	24	go after the action, go after the hammer? There is a

11	1	danger of putting out of the business more nonprofit
	2	than there is small businesses. Where would this
	3	country be without the nonprofit segment of our
	4	day-to-day
	5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Go defunct and turn
	6	around the next day and start up a new one. You are
	7	not losing anything. What is the difference?
	8	MS. SKINNER: I was going to say, go out
	9	of business.
	10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that too simplistic
	11	an answer? They don't have any carry forward, as I
	12	know of.
. 7	13	MR. HASTINGS: Right.
	14	THE CHAIRPERSON: They have money this
	15	year, they spend it this year, next year they will
	16	look for some more.
	17	MR. STALLS: Still if you form the new
	18	organization, you still aren't in compliance, you are
	19	going to have that hammer over your door.
	20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Eventually they will
	21	get tired.
	22	MR. STALLS: What are we trying to
	23	accomplish with ADA?

2

1

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

hard.

20

21

22

23

24

MS. FLEMING: But I also think your public, your resources for funding would be most amenable to see that their increase in contribution would help you to put forth compliances with ADA, because the people from whom you get your resources are of that kind of mind or else they wouldn't be funding you every year.

I know of some instances where I work that have, depending on what your mission is and what your prioritizing is this year, and this is a new boy coming on the block, and you explain it and you put out your one, two, three, and you might find that that would increase in their giving as opposed to incentives coming from this end saying I don't think there is any need to look for incentives coming from the government, so you have to build up the other end. THE CHAIRPERSON: You can look awfully

MR. WOLTERS: Any more questions? Comments? Well, I want to thank you for coming. We have had a fairly lengthy day here. We started with an interesting talk and we have had three panels since then. Thank you very much.

(Discussion off the record.)

11	1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Could I add to the
12	2	record, please, let the record reflect that Blanche M.
	3	Fleming was in fact here throughout the entire day.
	4	MS. FLEMING: Yes, yes.
	5	THE CHAIRPERSON: And the Chairman wishes
	6	to express thanks for her endurance and shared
	7	enjoyment. Thank you.
	8	MS. FLEMING: And shared with her your
	9	little thoughts.
	10	(Proceedings conclude at 3:45 o'clock,
	11	p.m.)
	12	
г ¬	13	
L	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
٠ ٦	24	
. 1		

Wilcox & Fetzer Registered Professional Reporters

State of Delaware New Castle County CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Eleanor J. Schwandt, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, pages 1 to 216 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on December 17, 1992, in the above-captioned matter before the Delaware Advisory Committee to The United States Commission on Civil Rights. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 28th day of December, 1992, at Wilmington.