CCR 3 meet 345

## UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Nevada Advisory Committee

--000--

Commission on Civil Rights

Police-Community Relations Forum

University of Nevada, Reno

Midby-Byron Building

May 9, 1991

Reno, Nevada

ORIGINAL

4

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 | Reported by:

LYNDA CLARK, CSR #73

3 CCB

uputer Transcription by:

IBM - PC

Meet.

RENO, NEVADA; THURSDAY, MAY 9, 1991; 9:00 A.M.

--000--

THE CHAIR: We are going to call the meeting to order.

This meeting of the Nevada Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights will now come to order.

I am Margo Piscevich, Chairperson of the Nevada

Advisory Committee. The Avisory Committee receives

information and makes recommendations to the Commission

in areas which the Committee or any of its subcommittee

is authorized to study.

Other members of the Committee in attendance during this meeting will be, starting to my left, Steve Walther, Merle Snider, to my immediate right David Sanchez, Candice Sader and John Marini. Some members are not able to be with us today.

Also with us today is staff member, Thomas V. Pilla from the Commission's Western Regional Office in Los Angeles.

Participants have been requested to address the overall climate of police-community relations and the impact of programs initiated by law enforcement agencies to foster the effective community-oriented law enforcement, community concerns about law enforcement

ر

training, including cultural awareness, the police complaint process and whether a creation of a civilian review board would assist the community and law enforcement, an overview of the community-oriented policies and other efforts by law enforcement agencies to innovate progressive change.

Among those invited to address the meeting are city elected and pointed officials, representatives of law enforcement agencies and community organizations and advocacy groups.

Based upon the information collected at this meeting, along with interviews conducted by Commission staff, a report will be prepared for the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

This fact-finding meeting is being held pursuant to Federal Rules applicable to State Advisory Committees and regulations promulgated by the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

All inquiries regarding these provisions should be directed to the Chair or to Mr. Pilla, the federal officer for this meeting.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States Government established by Congress in 1957 and is directed to:

1. Investigate complaints alleging that citizens

are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin or by reason of fraudulent practices;

- 2. Study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin or in the administration of justice;
- 3. Appraise federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws;
- 4. Serve as a national clearinghouse for information about discrimination and;
- 5. Submit reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress.

I would like to emphasize that this is a factfinding meeting and not an adversary proceeding.

Individuals have been invited to come and share with the
Committee information relevant to the subject of today's
inquiry. Each person who will participate has
voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee.

Since this is a public meeting the press and radio and television stations, as well as individuals, are welcome. Persons meeting with the Committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised. In

this case we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this meeting. In the unlikely event that this situation should develop it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the persons making these statements and request that they desist in their action. Such information will be stricken from the record if necessary.

Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable in the area to be dealt with here today. In addition, we have allocated time between 4:30 and five p.m. to hear from anyone who wishes to share information with the Committee about the specific issues under consideration today.

At that time each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the Committee and may submit additional information in writing.

Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact Commission staff before 4:30 p.m. this afternoon.

In addition, the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of ten days following its conclusion. The Committee welcomes additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in the record.

These should be submitted to the Western Regional

Division, United States Commission on Civil Rights, 3660 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 810, Los Angeles, California 90010.

We have an agenda, and I hope everyone has a copy of it. What we will do is we will start with our first speaker who has been invited, Peter Sferrazza.

Would you please state your name and identify yourself for the record.

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: My name is Pete Sferrazza, and I'm the Mayor of the City of Reno. I'm here today for a very brief time, so I would like to maybe get directly to questions. But the major thing that I had talked about was perhaps instituting a uniform discipline policy for any officers or employees of the City of Reno who does something that is contrary to a code within the City.

Right now one of the chief complaints I get is that different people are treated differently depending on what the alleged act is that they commit. And I think that creates both a public moral problem and also a moral problem in the police department. At the same time I believe that the most successful programs we have is the community policing and quality assurance program, which I'm sure will be discussed in detail later today.

But I would like to spend my remaining time here just answering questions if there are any.

THE CHAIR: I have a question that I would like to start off with. You just indicated that you have received complaints that different people are treated differently and that you believe there are morale problems within the police department itself.

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: /

Yes, for sure.

THE CHAIR: What do you mean by that? Do you mean arrest? Do you mean in bookings? What do you mean?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: No. I mean when an officer commits an act, that one officer may receive a lesser punishment than another officer, or a person higher up in the chain might receive a different treatment than someone lower in the chain. And it's fairly arbitrary.

And I'm not saying that anyone purposely does that, but the end result, not having a uniform discipline policy is that it's totally discretionary with the Chief. And if it's discretionary, what ends up happening is you tend to favor those people who you are more friendly to than those who you are not.

And I don't think that is a good way to do things.

I think we should have a uniform discipline policy that
has specific sanctions for specific offenses, and that
would alleviate part of that problem.

At the same time there is some perception in the community today that on some occasions maybe the

discipline was too small or the sanction was too small for the act that was committed.

MR. SANCHEZ: May I ask a question regarding this moral problem and the lack of uniform discipline? Is there a problem in terms of uniform discipline of minority officers? Are they treated differently in terms of discipline?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: Well, if anything, the only two occasions that I can think of the complaints were that they were treated more favorably, Lonny Jackson, and the most recent occurrence where someone was filmed on a videotape.

But I don't think-- That wasn't the issue at all.

It wasn't minority or non. It was a question of

whether-- It could be that they are, too. You know, I

don't have any history of that in terms of the records.

But it could be that somebody in the future because of

the arbitrary policy could be discriminated against based

upon their race.

The area that is of most concern I think is on the streets where officers might be in minority neighborhoods committing an act. And if we don't have a uniform discipline policy, I think that the likelihood of that occurring is greater. I think people should be treated equally within the police department.

MS. SADER: Mayor, to your knowledge has the Chief been presented with a uniform discipline policy?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: Something which I brought before the Council and was told by the City Attorney at that time that we could not institute a uniform discipline policy because it was not within the discretion of the Council, that it was up to the labor negotiations because we have to negotiate for a uniform policy.

However, in my discussions with some of the representatives in the police union and also the fact that the City does have total authority over all unclassified employees and department heads, et cetera, it appeared that we could institute, at least at that level, a policy. And then we could require negotiators to negotiate for a uniform policy.

So I think it's possible to do it. I think there was a reluctance on the part of the administration to follow through on that, at least when I proposed it. But to me it still is a very real issue and is one that does result in moral problems within the department and also a perception of unfair treatment or bias towards friends of the Chief or the administration. And that may not be true but the fact is that there are people who have been treated differently in the past, and at least it creates the opportunity for it to happen.

MS. SADER: Do you see anything happening in the future?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: Well, that is one of the reasons

I was here today I guess is to urge that you look at that
issue. And maybe it's unfounded on my part, but I think
it does exist.

MR. WALTHER: Does there seem to be some opposition in the police department to initiate some kind of uniform procedures?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: I think that at least when this was proposed that there was-- I can't say that the Chief ever addressed it. The initial opposition was from the City Attorney's Office that that was not an issue that we had authority over, and it is something which I believe could be pursued in the future.

It's my belief in talking to the City Attorney afterwards that she indicated that that possibly could go forward, but it would take clear direction from the Council requiring our negotiators to do that.

But people are terminated in the City on different bases all the time, and it appears to me at least over my tenure that there is no specific uniform policy that is followed.

And we came to the department heads-- In fact, when the Fire Chief was terminated, the City Attorney

came up with a procedure to follow, but it was not a procedure in a code or anything else. It was her perception of what due process was required to terminate somebody.

I think that should be laid out in law, in ordinance and in writing so that people know what their rights are and what the policies are and what policy can result in termination. I mean if you do a certain act, that that may result in your termination and what the process is that we would follow in the event of that type of act.

THE CHAIR: Are you saying that for city employees overall, including the police department, that there is no grievance procedure?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: Oh, there is a grievance procedure. What I'm saying is there is no--

THE CHAIR: List?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: There is no list of sanctions or code of conduct that says that if you do these things, you will receive this punishment. And so you have one officer that might beat somebody up, and he will get four days' suspension, let's say, and somebody else might be terminated.

THE CHAIR: But wouldn't that depend on the circumstances of say the beating?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: Absolutely, but I think that when you are-- At least in criminal law you know you have a certain sanction, at least a maximum/minimum sanction, for a certain offense.

Right now it's pretty much totally discretionary with the Chief. It's his interpretation of what that particular offense deserves in terms of punishment. And I think that we do not have a very specific code of conduct or even a level that says whether you would classify some offense as misdemeanors, for example, and some offenses as felonies.

We don't have any gradation between different offenses or what the sanction might be. And so if somebody commits a certain act, an off-duty crime, for example, some people are treated differently than others or there is a whole gradation of offenses.

And I simply believe that in terms of letting people know what offenses will result in what type of punishment that there should be some code. You couldn't conduct our system of law in that way, and yet in the City there is almost total discretion so far as I see within the administration as to how they are going to sanction a particular offense.

And I just over the years have seen a long history of it, and it may in fact be somewhat uniform. I don't

believe it is. I think the department heads depend on their own personal biases, et cetera, and depending upon their personal feeling towards a person tend to treat different things differently. And I don't think that is a good system.

MR. WALTHER: Do you think, Mayor, that there is a need for-- Do you think it would be advisable to have a review board or something akin to that in Reno?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: Yeah, but when I have talked about that issue, I don't favor that specifically set up for police. I would like to see whatever thing you are going to set up be uniform for all employees.

So I don't think police officers, fire or anyone else is any different than anyone else. It happens that police perhaps get involved in more acts of violence, but the fact of life is other employees do as well, and they are the same type of acts that are committed by all employees. I see no reason to single out police and say, "We are going to have this board review your actions, but other employees in the City of Reno are exempt from that," that they have--

THE CHAIR: One of the reasons, though, we are trying to concentrate on whether or not there is a need for a civilian review board with respect to the police department is because really the police are out on a more

day-to-day contact with the citizens of Reno versus perhaps yourself, you know, somebody that is in the Parks Department or whatever. Do you see what I'm saying?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: No, I understand, but the Parks

Department is there all the time. I mean the same acts

the police commit other people commit. You have sexual

harassment charges against police officers. Other people
have the same thing.

I mean by isolating a particular group of employees you have already made them suspect and different than the others. I think in terms of people in government that if people commit acts or crimes or whatever, that they should be treated equally. That is all I'm saying. And I believe that that should be across-the-board.

MR. SANCHEZ: Mayor, anywhere in the city have any of the departments in the City of Reno adopted a progressive or positive discipline procedure?

MAYOR SFERRAZA: Well, the quality assurance program and the community policing does in fact review officers' actions with individuals. And I don't have time to get into it right now, but I'm sure it will be discussed later today.

And that program has a very positive and progressive impact on relations between the police and the community, but that may isolate a person and discover

a person who is having problems with the community or some officer who routinely gets complaints against him or is discovered through that quality assurance program.

But it doesn't go to the question of what happens to that person after they are found to have been abusive or whatever. It doesn't deal with what is going to be sanctioned for the person.

And I believe that we should have uniform sanctions for uniform offenses, and you may say there is a range of punishment to fit a range of crimes, you know. You allow some discretion, but to have total discretion— That is essentially what you have right now. And I think that that allows for arbitrainess and eventually for discrimination.

MR. WALTHER: What can be done to establish this kind of world that you are talking about here, or have you done anything or has the City Council considered doing something along that line?

MAYOR SFERRAZZA: Well, I would like to pursue it once again if I'm in office, which I don't even know. So I'm waiting until after June. But right now I'm just advising you of it because I think if you think it's worthy of recommendations, I'm sure this Board can do that.

And I apologize, but I'm late for a meeting, so I

1 have to go.

THE CHAIR: No problem.

Are there any other questions of the Mayor?

MAYOR SFERRAZA: Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

The next person we have asked to come is Mr. Dick Brand.

Would you please state your name and identify yourself for the record.

MR. BRAND: My name is Richard F. Brand. I am Chairman of the Public Service Department of Truckee Meadows Community College. Within the Public Service Department is the program of Fire Science, Criminal Justice and the Police Academy.

THE CHAIR: Go ahead, please, and make any statement you would like. Then we will ask questions.

MR. BRAND: Okay. I don't really have a formal statement to read or give you. What I would like to do is talk about the last couple of years, my participation with the police academy and so on, if that would be all right.

THE CHAIR: That would be great.

MAYOR SFERRAZA: In July of 1989 Chief Bradshaw, Chief Coxey, Sheriff Swinney and President Gwaltney decided to put the High Sierra Regional Training Law

Enforcement Academy under the umbrella, so to speak, of Truckee Meadows Community College, and that I would run it, I would be the Academy Commander.

THE CHAIR:

Community College.

Since that period we have had four graduating classes through our academy. Our academy is a category one POST certified academy. There are four of them in the State of Nevada. What this means is that we are teaching the classes certified by the State, mandatory classes, for training. Our academy is 480 hours in length of training, and that is required by the State for category one.

MR. BRAND: Yes. That would be your peace officers, whether they are police officers in Reno, deputy sheriffs, so on, those who are on the street. It differs. Category two would be investigative agencies such as state. Category three would be corrections officers. We are teaching academy one at Truckee Meadows

Is category one the highest category?

Our classes are varied from approximately 36 to 18 in our last graduating academy last Friday. As I mentioned, the academy is 12 weeks long. It opens from eight in the morning until five in the afternoon generally. There are some weeks where the academy actually is out of there, receiving training in the

field, defensive driving, range, defensive tactics.

The second to the last week we have scenario training where we take part in building searches, vehicle stops and so on. They are given as realistic a training program as we have been able to come up on.

The big difference in the academy I'm running and the academys in the state, the other five, is that our academy is a nonstress academy. By "nonstress" let me explain that there are two concepts in law enforcement. The first one is the stress academy where the recruits, rookies, whatever you want to call them, are subjected to a lot of physical abuse, verbal abuse, general harassment techniques and so on.

And at the time I was appointed to this job the Sheriff, the Chiefs of Police, President Gwaltney, all wanted a nonstress academy. They wanted an academic environment to have an environment of learning, understanding, working with people and so on. That is what we have been trying to do. Each one of the academys has gotten progressively harder as far as academics. In our last academy the 18 young folks that graduated last week scored the highest overall test grades in the POST exam in the State of Nevada. The average was, if you believe this, 86, which is very high. The lowest score was 82. The high was 91. Generally the grades are in

the 70's.

My point in mentioning this is I feel that this type of academy is much more beneficial to the young recruits. They are in an atmosphere of learning. It's just like a college course. Once they start they start picking up speed as to how to read better, how to work better with each other, how to study better together at night and so on. They start accelerating themselves. They almost consume more and more material than we can give them as we go along.

During the 12 weeks they are given a tremendous amount of material to learn, not memorize, learn. We are not actually teaching the POST test or anything like that. We are teaching material. They are given five different examinations, fifty-one being our final. That is the last week. The second to the last day they are given a POST exam. The whole time we are pushing, pushing, pushing for them to understand the material, not memorize numbers, dates, things like that.

THE CHAIR: With respect to the curriculum, does it contain any course work in terms of, you know, human interaction, cultural awareness?

MR. BRAND: There is a lot of personal communication courses with different groups. We don't stress courses as such, say community relations. We have

gone away from that. We have broken that apart into various areas. There is more areas such as working with different groups, different people, different situations that we are stressing, including scenarios. We will actually have them act out various ways of handling different types of people, different situations, and so on.

THE CHAIR: Do you believe or have an opinion as to whether or not a creation of a civilian review board would assist community law enforcement? Do you have any opinions on that?

MR. BRAND: I am a former Oakland policeman who went through the '60's in that turmoil. I do not believe in civilian review boards as such, no.

THE CHAIR: And why not?

MR. BRAND: Basically they have no authority. I would rather see it handled through the City through a review method. I don't know of any examples where a civilian review board has really done anything to help.

MS. SADER: So do you then concur with the Mayor that there should be a uniform discipline code for City employees?

MR. BRAND: That is really out of my area. What I'm teaching is young folks how to be good policemen, understand the theories, law, so on. I'm really not

involved in what happens once they leave.

MS. SADER: You are discussing a citizen review board. What other ways do you see dealing with complaints from the citizenry?

MR. BRAND: You mean within the City itself?

MS. SADER: Yes.

MR. BRAND: You want a personal opinion?

MS. SADER: Sure.

MR. BRAND: I would like to see it worked out through the police department through city policy, a neutral body doing it but within the City that has the authority.

MR. SANCHEZ: Mr. Brand, let me understand this.

You have a police academy there that is basically

training police officers from a variety of departments,

the Sheriff's, Reno-Sparks?

MR. BRAND: We haven't had anybody from Sparks, primarily people from Reno, Washoe County. Let me add that the last academy we had civilians. We were able to get the law changed last year within the State. In fact, Chief Bradshaw and Sheriff Swinney were very instrumental in helping me get it changed so civilians can now attend our academy.

What this means is they will have a clear background investigation done. They pass the physical

fitness requirement of the State. They enroll. They go through the same academy that the sworn police officer is going through. We had three graduates last Friday.

MR. SANCHEZ: How are people selected to get into the academy?

MR. BRAND: Can I pass this out?

THE CHAIR: Yes.

MR. BRAND: This is our flier that has just come out. It's for the academy starting September 9th.

Basically it's a first-come, first-entrance to the academy. The agencies have the first preference because basically it's set up for the agencies. If they send say 25 people, we can comfortably accommodate 40, so maybe of the 15 positions the first 15 people that apply would get it.

MR. SANCHEZ: So then these people, who go through the training center, this High Sierra Law Enforcement Training Center, have not been previously selected by the City of Reno, et cetera? They have not done--

MR. BRAND: In the past they have been. They have been brought on board as a rookie, cadet, whatever you want to call them, so to speak, not able to work the street yet, but in a training status.

In other words, they would be a sworn officer who hasn't gone through training yet. They would attend our

academy. They would go from our academy to their agencies, from the On-field Training Officer Program then to the street. We have nothing to do with the selection of the people that come from different agencies.

MR. SANCHEZ: Are they selected before they get to you?

MR. BRAND: Yes. We train who they send up.

MR. SANCHEZ: They go through some sort of civil procedure or testing?

MR. BRAND: I think the County has a different policy and the City and so on.

MR. SANCHEZ: They all have different policies before they get to you?

MR. BRAND: Yes. We have set up a standard on physical fitness, which is 40 percent of the Cooper scale, that they have to meet coming in. We don't have an entrance exam for people coming in to see where they are. I want to establish that.

MR. SANCHEZ: How many minority officers do you see coming through your training center and how many females do you see coming through your training center?

MR. BRAND: During the past four academys we had 23 females and 10 minorities out of a total of 121 people going through. What it breaks down to is 121 total, 98 males, 23 females, which is 19 percent, 10 minorities,

which is eight percent. I am not mixing females with minority.

MR. SANCHEZ: Can you break down minorities in terms of blacks, Hispanics?

MR. BRAND: In the first class we had two Hispanics, one black and one Indian, East Indian. In the second class we had one Hispanic -- two Hispanics, one American Indian. In the third class we had one East In the fourth Indian, one Hispanic, one American Indian. class we had one American Indian and one Chinese.

MR. SANCHEZ: Let me ask you this: In conclusion then, based upon your experience as the Commander, do you feel that the agencies that are sending you potential police officers are doing a good job in terms of out-reach affirmative action?

MR. BRAND: I don't really have any view on it. take the people that come in. We have no problem with having all minorities if they come in. Everybody is treated equally.

THE CHAIR: I think what he's getting at is are you perceiving a sort of prescreening selection process going on before females and minorities sign up or enroll by the agency sending them?

> MR. BRAND: What do you mean by prescreening? THE CHAIR: Do you feel they are doing this in

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

an equal access environment?

- MR. BRAND: As far as I am concerned, they are. I know of nothing contrary to that at all.
- MR. SANCHEZ: You are not familiar with the system that they use in terms of selecting--
- MR. BRAND: I have nothing to do with the selection of the people the agencies send. I'm not on the selection panel or anything like that.
- MR. SANCHEZ: What about dropout rates in your training center?
- MR. BRAND: Very low. The dropout rate has only been in the area of physical ability. In other words, two people I can think of were dropped because they physically could not do the State requirement. They were— One was in the late 40's and one in the early 50's. It was difficult for the body to do what the mind wanted it to do. He couldn't pass the physical fitness part.
- MR. SANCHEZ: So then regardless of the training selection methods that agencies use once these candidates get to you they seem to survive and succeed?
- MR. BRAND: I think they are doing a better job because we have imposed a physical fitness requirement coming in.
  - One person was cut in the last academy because on

the weekend he was playing football and seriously injured his knee. He couldn't continue. He was terminated by the agency.

One lady was taken out of the academy because in the second week she had a blood clot. She couldn't continue with the physical fitness part. We are mandated by the State that they meet a certain physical fitness level in order for graduation. The same with passing the POST examination. We have to follow that standard, and I find it a very reasonable standard to follow.

MS. SADER: The background information given us said 25 percent of your enrollees are women and minorities. Do you track these people once they leave? Because the information that we have says that we are low on hiring in this community women and minorities. Do you track these people after they leave? Where do they go when they leave your training center?

MR. BRAND: They will go back to the agency that sent them. We don't track them after that.

MS. SADER: An Agency sends them? People don't just enroll without being sent to you without a--

MR. BRAND: Now, they send civilians -- tend to track them, help them get employment, yes. Other states have adopted a plan of sending civilians to community colleges, to academys, and it has worked out. To me it's

a tremendous idea because it saves agencies a lot of money as far as training. Civilians are paying their own way through the school, and then they will get employed at the end, instead of the City or County paying their way through.

To me it's very cost effective, plus they have a chance to look at the people for a three-month period during the academy; and then when a person actually graduates, they have a track record to look at. And then they have a full year to look at them as far as a probationary period instead of six months or nine months. It depends when they were hired.

MR. SANCHEZ: You have a 1200-dollar registration. So if you bring civilians in there, they have to pay up front your \$1200?

MR. BRAND: Right.

MR. SANCHEZ: If we are talking about affirmative action, out-reach, a bunch of civilians coming in, do you think this would impact minority recruitment for a lot of agencies? Do you think they could afford a 1200-dollar registration, then going into the field looking for jobs?

MR. BRAND: I think it's too early to answer your question properly. The three people that just went through, one of them went through on a JOIN sponsorship from the Federal Government. Firstly, a grant-- I know

grant money is available for people that want it. One man worked at night 30 hours a week roughly and ended up being our Valedictorian. I think the school would bend over backwards to help people on financial aid in these areas. They do receive 24 units of college credit for the program, the transfers.

MS. SADER: Do you have any numbers for us on how many women and minorities have graduated from the training center?

MR. BRAND: It would be 23 females and 10 minorities. I can leave this packet with you if you would like.

THE CHAIR: That would be great.

MR. BRAND: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Do we have any other questions for Mr. Brand?

MR. WALTHER: I'm curious to know what is done to see if a person is emotionally able to handle the rigors of law enforcement in your standy curriculum.

I don't know how you test or exactly how you perceive, but is that ever something that you evaluate?

To me a person might be able to pass some objective tests that are information but really not qualified or capable of handling tough situations in law enforcement. Does your course take a look at the person's qualifications in

that area or how do you deal with those issues?

MR. BRAND: We get some indication how people would do under stress with some of these scenario problems, building searches, for example, vehicle stops and so on.

It has not been our policy to terminate out of the academy based on those things. I prefer that myself. I think the Chiefs and the Sheriff do that if they want to have a good chance to look at people more closely.

Police work today requires a lot of education, a lot of study, a lot of understanding of the law. Police work is an art. You have to learn it. It's more than a skill. It takes years and years of experience to be a good police officer. It's not something that we can teach these people in 12 weeks. We are trying to give them exposure to the law, to understanding procedures, to the current literature and so on.

As to how somebody will turn out when they leave our academy, that is very difficult to say. We are trying to set them in the right direction, and we try real hard. And I think we have had some pretty good results.

The FTO programs from what I have seen spend a lot of time working with the people, trying to help them if they are having problems. We will pick up major problems such as a physical disability where a person can't

perform in a safe manner with another officer. That is about it really.

As far as knocking people out of the academy for scholastic reasons, we have never had a problem. People get into our program right away. Like I say, they get accelerated, they get very competitive with each other, and they really study real well. They do a very good job.

MR. SANCHEZ: As a follow-up to that question, the idea for stress academies is to expose candidates to the high stress that they will receive as a police officer and to see if they can handle the situations obviously.

Had you ever thought about using psychological screening upfront to assess their ability to handle this? I know there are several police psychologists, one out of San Jose, Dr. Mike Roberts, that is here in Nevada, assessing certain police departments. Have you ever considered using that prior to bringing people on board?

MR. BRAND: No, I have not. Like I said, I haven't been selecting the people in the past. I would certainly look at that kind of information. Anything we could do to have a better product I would go along with.

I want to emphasize that I do not believe in stress academies, because when you are stressing people constantly, they are going to leave the academy with a

chip on their shoulder. They are going right out into public and be transmitting that. I personally feel that because I went through a very strong stress academy. We are emphasizing working with people, working with the public, avoiding problems, certainly avoiding shootings, beatings. We play that down. Our department has asked for that. We are totally in support of that. We want people to work with people. We want to be community oriented.

MR. WALTHER: How do you know when somebody gets out on the street-- No matter how much education they have there is going to be some people out there that will pose a threat verbally or perhaps physically to them.

How do you know in advance how that person, regardless of the training, will be able to deal with that situation?

MR. BRAND: I don't know how we can test for that,

sir. I really don't. We are not having those kind of problems. At least if we are, we are not recognizing them. I don't know how to look for that.

MR. SANCHEZ: You say you don't follow up your candidates. There is no no way to assess--

MR. BRAND: No. We are an academic function. We are a college.

MR. SANCHEZ: So you admit there is no way for you to assess the performance of the individuals that

graduate from your training academy and how they perform in the field?

MR. BRAND: I have no authority to do that at this point, no.

MR. WALTHER: We have gone beyond that a little bit. At least in my question I was asking what procedure would be a good procedure to develop some assurance that people out there are not getting such a chip on their shoulder they are likely to use violence excessively in a certain case. There must be some kind of ongoing— I mean I consider it an art— I would say it's a profession. You develop your own standard of ethics, how you deal with people under certain given circumstances, how you—

MR. BRAND: Our program is a sharing information, happy, warm program. We are not beating these people, so to speak. We are working with them very closely. I talk to them, one or two recruits a day, as to their individual problems, anything that they are experiencing, any way we can make it better, try to help them with study habits. That is the way we are accented right now.

THE CHAIR: In the fact-finding that has been done by the Commission there is some inferences that the newer street cops, the new ones, are having more difficulty working within the system than the older ones who have experience and that approximately right now a third of

our police department is relatively new because we have had a hiring I think in 1988 or '89 of 88 new officers.

Do you have any opinions about that? Has that come back to you from any source, or do you know anything about that?

MR. BRAND: I see a different kind of person going into law enforcement today than when I went in. Times change. You know, we have to change. Attitudes have to change. I like the changes from the '60's. I was on a department that did a lot of changing.

THE CHAIR: What type of people do you see going into law enforcement today, and what are the differences?

MR. BRAND: That is a difficult question. Size is no longer an issue. Race, sex is no longer an issue. There is a place in law enforcement for everybody. I think there might be some resistance in the field to that philosophy.

I'm a big advocate of education, stressing it. I think our area is very fortunate that the three major people in key jobs, two police departments and sheriff's, are all highly educated people.

We have a lot of command people with Master's

Degrees. We have some working on doctorates. Education

is emphasized within the departments themselves. I'm not

sure you can compare our area with any other place in the

United States. I think we are moving right along in that area. I think we are very progressive.

MR. MARINI: I have a question. How do you follow up in terms of -- Now, these people are on probation after they leave your academy for a short period of time, right?

MR. BRAND: Yes.

MR. MARINI: Do you talk to their commanders about how they are doing? I mean do you have some kind of feedback as to how your graduates have done after they get out?

MR. BRAND: Just what I might pick up. My authority is just at the school itself. I don't even have termination authority. I more or less work as coordinator/supervisor of the educational program they are receiving.

MR. MARINI: But you must hear from the people who use your product whether they think these people are doing a good job once they hire them, right?

MR. BRAND: From what I have been hearing it has been very favorable, yes. We do meet after every one of the academies, and we critique the exit questionnaire that the recruits fill out at the end to make it a better academy to make it better.

MR. MARINI: So there is some informal feedback?

MR. BRAND: We definitely want to improve.

Certainly we have a long ways to make it better, but we are quite open. We have a very open policy there. We are constantly changing instructors to have better instruction. We had classes—POST mandates a certain number of hours. We can add optional courses, and we have done that where we have seen various needs.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions?

Thank you, Mr. Brand, for coming. We appreciate your comments, and we would be more than willing to take your notes and your documentation as an exhibit to this hearing.

MR. BRAND: Okay. I am quite receptive to any kind of comments or criticisms or ideas to make it better.

THE CHAIR: I have a feeling through today's hearing we will find out lots of different things, because we have community representatives through law enforcement, from the academic area to the political side. So hopefully we will have some ideas.

MR. BRAND: Okay. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: What I would like to do right now is take a short recess and work on the sound system.

(A recess was taken.)

THE CHAIR: I would like to reconvene the hearing.

Next is Professor Ken Peak.

For the record, would you please introduce yourself and tell us where you are from.

PROFESSOR PEAK: Sure. I'm Ken Peak, Chairman, of the Department of Criminal Justice, of the University of Nevada-Reno. I reside in Sparks.

THE CHAIR: Would you please feel free to start with your introductory comments or opening comments, and then we sometimes interrupt and ask questions?

PROFESSOR PEAK: Okay. I have relatively short prepared remarks here, and I would be happy to disseminate those to the Committee at the conclusion of my presentation. Will that be all right?

THE CHAIR: That will be fine.

PROFESSOR PEAK: It is my pleasure to appear before you today. Having begun in law enforcement in 1970, and during the intervening years I have kept a hand in police training having instructed several unit classes on community relations in Kansas and Nevada and also teaching not only in the university setting but also police academies, as Mr. Brand may have indicated to you earlier this morning.

I think I teach on average two four-hour blocks of police/community relations at the High Sierra Police Academy each year. And that, of course, as you know, includes personnel, newly hired personnel, from both the

Reno Police Department and Washoe County Sheriff's Office.

You have already I believe a copy of the synopsis for the course, and I just thought it might be well for you to see what is included in the course. And I will allude to that again here in a moment because I've also instructed the same course at the Sparks Police

Department, and just recently last November I went to Winnemucca to instruct four regional police officers in that area.

I might just point out that as a member of the Criminal Justice Advisory Board of Truckee Meadows Community College I have raised a question a couple of times.

I am personally a firm supporter of police stress recognition and management instruction. It's my view that police need to know how to take care of number one before they can be expected to do a decent job taking care of everybody else without.

But I think to the credit of the Police Chief and the Sheriff they have operated in the past to keep the community relations course. And at least at the outset for a couple of years the police stress training I don't believe was offered, but I'm now happy to report that our regional police academy offers both, and I think they go

hand-in-glove. They are very important. We need to get these people to understand some techniques for stress reduction even before we can even begin to expect them I think to take care of the public.

I would like to point out, too, that this particular four-hour block of instruction seems to have had a quantitative impact on at least one police agency. You have a letter from Chief George Coxey. I just solicited it a month or so ago because they had informed me via the telephone that this course at least quantitatively was indicating some nice things were going on.

I think it will point out to you a 45 percent reduction in the numbers of complaints by the public against police officers over a two-year period. I simply asked him to provide me something in writing in that regard so that I can send it down to Mr. Larry Stout, who is the Director of our Peace Officers and Standards and Training, the State Police Academy in Carson City.

My hope is that Larry Stout with his contacts around the state can disseminate this data, and maybe other jurisdictions needing this training will give me a call. And I would be happy to try to take this thing on the road as it were.

I also again did the very same course in Winnemucca

again last November, but I don't have any follow-up data from there as yet.

Now, I know you are primarily interested in police/
community relations as regards the Reno Police
Department, so I will now turn my attention to that
venue.

You are no doubt aware that the Police Chief,
Robert Bradshaw, is retiring very soon, I think the end
of this month. I don't know how much you know of the
community policing program, which was inaugurated here
in '87. If I can speak at some length to that program,
having done some research and used some of the data that
they have collected over the past four years, I guess
it's fairly safe to say that back at least prior to '87
for a few years the police department here, it's fairly
commonly known, was perceived as I kind of compare it to
an LAPD perception.

It used management by an objective management technique. The department was, on paper and quantitatively again, very effective, but it's fair to say, and I think Chief Bradshaw would tell you, that the department was perceived as being rather heartless. It was professional, but it didn't have a heart. It was looking good, but it wasn't being good.

And I think it's-- This sounds rather maudlin

perhaps, but I'm told that at a meeting in Washington,

D.C., he literally scribbled down on a napkin the genesis

for the community-policing program. Cop Plus we now know

it as.

And part of the Cop Plus program, at least its original structure and until now, has been the quality assurance portion of the program. That is the "plus". And part of its charge is to perform scientific community surveys, and they come out a couple times a year, to try to get students to help in that regard, try to get other objective people to assist, and they will try to contact seven to 800 citizens in the community and ascertain what the public views have been.

A couple years ago I thought it would be nice to take all this mountain of data that was just sort of lying there and scramble it up in a computer and look to see what had happened to the perception of the police department in Reno.

Capt. Ron Glensor, who is sitting behind me, had done some work here in the Department of Political Science here for his MBA. That was his thesis, so he already had something on which to build. And then with Chief Bradshaw's input I can report to you that those data have been analyzed. The findings have been published in the Police Chief magazine.

I don't have copies, but they can be made available to you. It's a relatively short article in the October 1990 article of the Police Chief. This is the official publication of the International Association of Chiefs of Police, and it has, I'm told by the publishing office, some 30,000 subscribers that receive this magazine worldwide every month.

I can also report we took what I call the granddaddy article -- That is where you have all the tables, all the data, and that is some 30 pages in length. It has recently been accepted for publication by the Journal of Criminal Justice, and it should be appearing in the latter part of this year.

In my view the data don't lie. Again I don't know how much you want to know in terms of perceptions of a quantitative sort of thing. Everybody has been excited about some of the success stories that— taking the Pat Baker Park kind of thing, sending police on dirt bikes out into the mountains to get rid of people that were doing some dangerous and noisy off—road driving up there, getting rid of approximately a hundred abandoned vehicles up in the northern area.

But by all accounts quantitatively, and there has been a fair amount and a nice amount of publicity on the thing, this program has been very successful.

\_\_\_\_

I am told also by the Reno Police Personnel that approximately 100 other police agencies have either contacted Reno or visited Reno. And I just talked to Capt. Glensor yesterday on another matter. He tells me he's going to--

Where is it, Ron? Next week.

CAPT. GLENSOR: Stockton.

PROF. PEAK: --Stockton to discuss the program.

THE CHAIR: I don't mean to interrupt your train of thought, but could you give us some comparisons that these statistical information is provided--

PROF. PEAK: Sure. Yeah. And I don't want to paint with too broad a brush. Nothing is ever perfect. We know that from some comments in part of the big article in the Journal of Criminal Justice.

We did a survey of the rank and file, and as always there are going to be some people who don't wholeheartedly endorse the program. But in the main I think the data would indicate that it is working.

Just some noteworthy kinds of figures for you here. The department's image-- Bear in mind again that we are moving from the benchmark of 1987. About mid year when the program was officially launched and all officers attended a 40-hour inservice training session on the program's philosophy-- about a year and a half following

the inception of Cop Plus the data show that the department's image began to improve very significantly.

In June of '87 they took a survey, and one-third of the respondents felt that the Reno Police Department had a poor or below average image in June of '87. November of '88 40 percent rated the department as good or above average, and then by March of '89 over half, 50.5 percent, rated the department as good or above average.

When you are using these figures, are THE CHAIR: you -- Is it a study based on making random calls into the community?

PROF. PEAK: Yes, it's very scientific. You may be told later, but one of the officers I believe was sent to the University of Michigan where that was the sole purpose of the training, how to perform a scientific survey, research and use that methodology.

> THE CHAIR: There was a phone survey--

PROF. PEAK: Randomly.

THE CHAIR: --in all quadrants of the City?

PROF. PEAK: It cuts all across, yes. It's very scientific. You ask for a particular party in the household. You capture a good cross section of the

community.

MS. SADER: The last one you quoted was '89 as 90.

20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

22

24

The ratio has gone down now from '89's ratio of acceptance. Can you explain that?

PROF. PEAK: I don't know about ratios. We stay away from these ratio figures.

Bear in mind, too, now that I'm looking at the first six surveys. There have been one or two more done since, but I'm stopping at the point where our data were scrambled here on campus, and that would be the first six again. I think that would come up to about the end of '89.

The overall performance ratings, people were asked about that. Prior to Cop Plus only about 14 percent of the people-- I'm sorry-- about 14 percent of the respondents felt that the department performed at a poor or below average level while 49 percent said it was good or above average.

Following the program again, as we track it to the tail end of at least this analysis, 54 percent perceived it as good or above average and only 6.2 percent—I think this is the key figure, 6.2 percent—found it poor or below average. So we went from 14 percent down to 6.2 there.

The respondents were also asked to remark on the quality of police contacts, whether or not the police officers conveyed a feeling of concern for their

problems.

In March of '88 30.6 percent of the respondents indicated that the police officers did not project a feeling of concern, and by May of 1989 their figure had decreased to 18.7 percent.

So those are some of the more salient findings of the study. And then as you probably know in May of '88', the voters after turning a bond issue away twice at the polls approved funding to hire 88 more police officers in the Safety '88 Campaign.

Are there any questions about-- Yes?

MR. SANCHEZ: Question. At the beginning of your statement you said that the police department was not perceived to have a heart. Do you feel that the police department now has a heart?

PROF. PEAK: My opinion or in the public opinion?

MR. SANCHEZ: Both.

PROF. PEAK: Both? I think so in both, yes, uneqivocably. I'm again a numbers scruncher. Having done research on it, I think that the data show it did, and I know that back again under MBO a lot of reliance was placed on numbers or a lot of untimely radar grants, people getting just a blizzard of tickets and other setbacks just before bond issue election time. And I think that the data show and that the bond issue shows

that there has been a major turnaround here. I think other jurisdictions have taken note of that. That is why they are contacting the Reno Police Department.

MR. SANCHEZ: You have done an awful lot of number scrunching as you admit. Have you personally gone to members of the various communities here to ascertain their views, community leaders, from the black community, Hispanic or Samoyan community?

PROF. PEAK: No. That's the focus of some follow-up research we want to do. We want to explore that group more, the neighborhood advisory group, which is a major part of the program. We don't want to stop. We want to continue analyzing it, watching the data. It's there if we are going to continue to do some things with it, but those are things that we intend to do in the future.

MR. SANCHEZ: So your research is incomplete at this point?

is an article, and Capt. Glensor would agree and Chief Bradshaw, waiting there just on a group concept itself.

MS. SADER: In regards to Cops Plus, through staff interviews we have learned that less than 30 percent of the street policemen, the policemen out in the community, embraces this concept. Is that true, first of all? And if it is true, why do you suppose that is?

PROF. PEAK: Less than 30 percent embrace the concept?

MS. SADER: Of Cops Plus.

PROF. PEAK: Well, I would have to look. What is the source of that data?

MS. SADER: Well, our sources are confidential, but through an interview system. It was an interview. That is all I can say.

PROF. PEAK: I purposely don't have the material with me where we would include that because of copyright problems. The Journal of Criminal Justice wants to publish it and have it not reproduced in any form prior.

MS. SADER: It doesn't matter if it's 30 percent or 40 percent. Do you think that is a close number?

PROF. PEAK: All I can say is based on our recollection that does not reflect what was found in our survey. Now, that survey would have been done six or eight months-- approximately six months ago. There were

some negative, that it was too much quote ass kissing.

You are always going to find some of that. Police

officers are cynical. I have been in the business 21

years.

But we were pleasantly surprised to see the support for the thing, and I would—— I'm not saying I would take issue with that finding. And again a lot of things have maybe intervened since then internally in the department. But as of six months ago my survey at least indicated that there was a lot more support than that, or maybe turn that around. There was a lot less opposition to it than that. I am sorry. And I got quotes and cites and all kinds of things on the big paper. I'm not able——

MS. SADER: The perception where you think the police community is right now in regards to better communicating with the community, what are your feelings on-- Are they headed in the right direction?

PROF. PEAK: I think so, yeah. I think the NAGS are continuing, and again I haven't-- I'm on the outside looking in admittedly on some in some of these areas, not having focused on a NAGS group yet, but there have been some drawbacks. You know, there have been some things that have occurred, the so-called chokehold episode, the arrest of the Deputy Police Chief for DUI, and a labor relations problem with the Reno Air Race last year.

However, and I am just at this point— Again, this is another direction we are going to take over into the research a little bit later, but I'm told by informed sources in the department that on the heels of say the arrest of the Deputy Chief for D.U.I., that at least a survey shortly on the heels of that did not indicate a major reduction in the perception of— positive perception of the police department,

And we think that is significant because a lot of times police chiefs go out in knee jerk fashion. If they perceive that there is going to be a lot of heat coming down, they will drastically or radically change their policies. But at least from the community's perception, the people of Reno have taken these things in stride. They have put it into context, and apparently the data haven't fallen as a result.

MR. WALTHER: One of the comments from the Mayor indicated that he would support— he thinks that the department, perhaps the City in general, should have some general data for violations of policy, similar to our criminal statutes. So if an employee does something or a law enforcement officer does something, there is some standard by which the sanction can be imposed. So that there wouldn't be arbitrary treatment or at least the perception of the arbitrary treatment by those

administering the sanction. What do you think about that?

PROF. PEAK: Well, yes, I think that is what policy and procedure manuals are for.

MR. WALTHER: But is there a policy and procedure manual that exists now?

PROF. PEAK: I'm sure there is. In the Reno Police Department? I'm sure there is such a manual, but I don't know how extensively it covers behavior and what will happen in terms of termination or suspension. But I think he's probably looking at this Deputy Chief's arrest and the flap that was caused there. And I can see where it probably would be better to be more precise as to what will happen given a particular type of behavior in terms of equity.

THE CHAIR: I may have misunderstood one of your comments. I was under the impression that you said the rank and file may not support the program when we are talking about Cops Plus. Did I misunderstand that statement?

PROF. PEAK: I said some of them. And there was some comments in our survey, as you are going to find in any department, saying that it just is too mamby pamby, you know.

And my comment, as I recall, was that I said I

don't want to paint with too broad a brush and indicate this is whole heartedly endorsed by all police officers, because something that is service oriented or smacks of social work simply isn't going to be bought into by all police officers. That is a given. A lot of these people have been in the business a long time, and they have seen a lot of nasty things. They have become hardened. But again on the basis of my survey the results were overwhelmingly positive and the comments were positive.

THE CHAIR: In your survey did you see a difference in attitude between, for example, the 88 new police officers that we would consider relatively new officers versus old guard?

PROF. PEAK: Could I ask Capt. Glensor a question in that regard?

Ron, did we separate those out? Do you remember there was some reason I didn't think we could for some reason? Is that correct?

CAPT. GLENSOR: That is correct. We don't generally find that anyway.

PROF. PEAK: I know we discussed doing that before or after, but for some reason-- I think we did allude to it. If you would like, I could go back and look at the comments. I think we alluded to it. I'm just unclear.

25 I don't remember--

THE CHAIR: Would you mind-- We can receive evidence from this fact-finding hearing for the next ten days-- if you would send a copy of that to my attention or to Tom's?

PROF. PEAK: I think we have to be very careful to protect anonymity.

THE CHAIR: We are not asking for names.

PROF. PEAK: I mean in the course of the survey. I know we had to be very careful there, and they were asked to indicate, as I recall, in the survey when they have been hired, how long they have been on the force. But a lot of people were equally reluctant to even indicate that kind of information.

THE CHAIR: Do you have any explanation for why the newest survey has shown a decrease in the percentages?

PROF. PEAK: I'm sorry. The recent one?

MS. SADER: In the recent survey community perception, positive perception, is down.

PROF. PEAK: I'm not sure, no. My energies have been devoted to getting these first six surveys into order to get those published. I think it would have come, though, at about the time there was the flap with the Reno Air Races. I'm not sure. I can certainly be corrected. I seem to recall being told by either the

Chief or Capt. Glensor, who I talked with both fairly regularly about this, that at that point in time that is when it took kind of a dip.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions for Prof. Peak?

Do you have any other comments that you would like to express or thoughts?

PROF. PEAK: Well, I would just say that again I'm on the outside looking in. It's hard to assess the picture and get it in very perfect focus. But I think that— And certainly it's hard to assess what goes on on the street in the hundreds and thousands of contacts the officers have with the public.

But I would close by saying that in the main overall in my view the situation is an invaluable one, and again there have been some setbacks as there will be in any size of sizable police department. You need to bear in mind that the relations between the local newspaper and the Chief of Police were openly, shall we say, to put it mildly unfriendly.

And I think that in the face of that the police department has done remarkably well. And I think that it is in good shape. The program, Cops Plus, is going to keep the department in good stead as it searches now for a new Chief Executive, who we hope will continue to improve the image and the rapport with the public. And

hopefully let's hope that the door will be open a little bit at least for the news media to come in and have better access.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

PROF. PEAK: Yes, sir, a question?

MR. WALTHER: How are we timewise?

THE CHAIR: You are a little over, but ask your question.

MR. WALTHER: I was curious to know what kind of procedures are in place or if they are not in place how they should be in place to just monitor just an officer's progression in law enforcement to see if an officer is becoming jaded or cynical like you say or if an officer seems to be continuing to progress professionally to the point where he's not— that jaded attitude doesn't occur?

It seems to me with ongoing just a type of attention to problems, so that we don't hear comments from you, well, they have been on the force for 20 years; therefore, they are cynical. It would be nice to say that they have been on the force for 20 years, they are damn good, and they get better every year?

PROF. PEAK: Yeah, well, unquestionably I would like to see our course continued as one becomes a quote veteran at some point, but I don't know if anybody has found that yet. And this may sound selfish because I

have gotten paid fairly handsomely to go out and do this thing for the police department, but normally I try to do this stuff free, gratis.

I would like to see the veteran officer get this training and maybe at a selected point in time throughout one's career when cynicism tends to increase. But quite frankly I haven't been asked to do so for the veteran officer. And at this point it's just for the new recruit, and we hope that they will take that with them away from the academy. But I don't know now. there is something in-house connected with the annual performance evaluations or some mechanism to recognize when somebody is stressed because of his way of dealing with people or she needs to go get some help in terms of There may be something, but as far as I know counseling. there is no formalized training thing involving policecommunity relations at this time.

THE CHAIR: I hate to cut you off, but we have to move on to the next person.

PROF. PEAK: I have to give a final.

THE CHAIR: Thank you for coming.

Our next presenter is Mr. William Moon.

Would you please have a chair and introduce yourself and tell us something about your background and then make any introductive comments you would like to

,

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

make.

MR. MOON: My name is William Moon. I am the President of the Reno-Sparks NAACP. Previously I was for nine years the Civil Rights Officer for the Department of Transportation in Carson City, and I am presently the Equal Employment Manager for the Bureau of Land Management, which is part of the U.S. Department of The Interior.

I have been in this community for approximately 21 years. I have served on the Reno PlanningCommission for about three years. I have been active in the community a number of years in various capacities. I'm not sure what else I need to say. I have a statement which I would like to give for the record, and also I have opening remarks.

Is it appropriate at this time?

THE CHAIR: It sure is.

PROF. PEAK: As I have been active in the Reno-Sparks NAACP for approximately 20 years in the area, just recently I was elected as President.

I would like to begin by saying that every year hundreds of complaints are heard about how police officers use excessive, sometimes deadly force, against blacks for offenses that whites never encounter.

Now, while each of us are a potential victim, young

blacks are at especially high risk. When this occurs, not only does this kind of treatment inflict pain and suffering on them as victims, but it exacts a high price from our community in terms of human resource potential.

When I look at the work force profile of the Reno Police Department, there is a manifest imbalance of blacks and other ethnic minorities. The work force at best reflects token representation.

This did not just happen by chance. It is deliberate. It is systemic. It is conscious racial exclusion-- No, it is genecide. The vast majority of cases alleging the use of excessive and deadly force in the City of Reno involve blacks and other ethnic minorities.

I know there is something in the newspaper today which indicates it goes beyond that. But giving a minority youth a police record systematically eliminates him or her from ever pursuing a career in law enforcement. And this is probably true across the country.

Some of the things which I have noticed and have been brought to my attention as President of the Reno-Sparks branch is that our youths have been stopped for curfew violations. When there are blacks and others together, the blacks are usually singled out, stopping

groups and harassing the young people when they are being seen in groups. When they are exceeding the speed limit just marginally, they are stopped and pulled over and given tickets. Their cars, when there are defective lights broken or in some other ways, they are usually pulled aside and harassed in some ways.

Jogging in the parks— When young people are working in an area and up at the university— Just recently it was brought to to my attention where the kids working at the university were jogging around the field, and they were pulled over and harassed in that way. Being in a location where police think they don't belong, they are harassed in that way. They are pulled aside, and told they resemble someone suspected of committing a felony in some way.

Citizens certainly must recognize the harm that this behavior is causing and the importance of stopping this kind of practice. A Citizens advisory group should be formed. I am an advocate of that. It would force better communications between the police and the general community in my opinion.

Some of the things that I believe it would help is that it would review current police programs, policies and procedures and their impact on police-community relations and crime prevention. It would offer advice or

suggestions for modification, addition or broader dissemination.

1.8

It can't be overstated I might add that the police officers possess awesome power, not only are they expected to preserve peace by using force and sometimes even deadly force— That is why it is essential that these powers be subject to constant scrutiny, to insure that they are not abused. Just as a tree is known by the fruit is bears we will be judged by our actions and by the way we handle our responsibilities toward our fellow man.

In this ongoing fight against police using excessive force against blacks and other minorities statistics tell only part of the story. It's more than a violation of the law. Every time a policeman violates a black citizen's right to due process it creates a disregard of the basic rights all citizens are guaranteed under the Constitution. The statistics of the number of blacks with criminal records is staggering. Whenever a policeman stops a young black, that experience creates an emotional trauma. Their self-confidence is shaken and their respect for the law is shattered.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Moon, when you talk about these experiences, are your comments coming from peoplethat you have communicated with and these are the feelings of the

minority community in Reno?

MR. MOON: In Reno, yes. I have had personal contact with people in the incidents in which I'm alluding to.

THE CHAIR: Have you and the people that you have talked with seen any perceived improved relations since the enactment of the Cops Plus program?

Have you seen any changes at all?

MR. MOON: Well, I have seen some change, but it is too early to assess the amount of change that we expect.

Not enough time has passed.

THE CHAIR: What type of changes, if any, have occurred as a result of the Cops Plus program?

MR. MOON: Following the Cops Plus training— Let me go back. Prior to that there were policemen on every corner. You turn around and there is a police car, two or three. Whenever a person is stopped, five or six police cars kind of congregate and right in front of them. When there is a traffic violation, there is no obvious danger to the public or to the police department. You would see them just congregating there. They will be located in strategic spots in the community, in Northeast Reno particularly, as an ominous threat. It's a form of intimidation. And our young people— They feel rather threatened when they see this awesome power there, and

they become rather panicked I might add.

THE CHAIR: Has there been any change in the last couple of years since the Cops Plus program has been initiated in those type of perceptions and feelings?

MR. MOON: The kind of things I'm relating to have happened within the last two years. So I guess it takes much longer to see the results than we have had up to this point.

MR. WALTHER: In the initial part of your comments you said that you felt that there was a racism in the department that was conscious. What facts make you think it is conscious?

MR. MOON: I would say during the recruitment process there has not been a conscious effort to recruit other blacks from the local community. There have been efforts to go outside of the community, but it's not the same as having people that grow up in the community, that know the community and will be able to communicate with the community. I don't see that kind of effort being extensively pursued. There have been token efforts to go outside of the community, to bring people in, but they have been totally ineffective in my opinion.

MR. SANCHEZ: Earlier you also mentioned that the representation of the work force is somewhat token. Have you had a chance to look at the affirmative action plan

of the City of Reno?

MR. MOON: The affirmative action plan is outdated. It's not current. I have seen the initial affirmative action plan, and I have some questions about the statistics that they use and the manner in which it is laid out in the plan.

MR. WALTHER: Have you communicated your concerns regarding the discriminatory recruitment with the law enforcement officials in Reno and Sparks and Washoe County? And in addition do you see it as pervasive among all law enforcement agencies or are we talking simply about Reno?

MR. MOON: Well, I'm only talking about Reno now, and I think we have expressed our feelings, I know I have to the police department officials, and we have had several meetings. And this has been a prominent issue that is often raised.

MR. WALTHER: What steps have been taken toward improving the recruitment process in Reno, or did they recognize that there was a problem with the people you have talked with about the recruitment, that they are recruiting from outside the area for minorities?

MR. MOON: They recognize that there is a need to do something. But there is always that refrain that qualified blacks and other ethnic minorities can't be

found in this area.

And I go back to a previous statement I made. The young blacks and other Hispanics and groups that when they— when they are growing up in high school and in college, when they get a record, they are usually systematically eliminated from pursuing a law enforcement career because this is probably one of the qualifications, that they have to be clean. And how can they be clean when they are constantly harassed and a record is being constructed against them, and they don't have a chance?

That is why I say it's systemic. It's a conscious effort to do that so that when the young people are found, they are being eliminated because of that record.

MR. WALTHER: Do you see this as something that's solely done in Reno? Is it done in Sparks and Washoe County, or is it done in other law enforcement agencies?

In other words, are there other law enforcement agencies that might take a young black kid that has had a problem in the past but is really doing a good job and say we are going to give him a chance? Has that happened in Washoe County, Sparks or other agencies?

MR. MOON: It is not happening to my knowledge. I know that there has been some expression made that drug problems keep people who have a problem with drugs--

That is looked on very seriously, but these other minor situations that are not felony situations—— But this is not just limited to the police department, this kind of behavior. In my opinion I think it happens in other agencies as well.

When you look at the work force on the provile of Reno in general, you find it is almost like blacks don't exist in the Reno-Sparks area, especially in highly visible positions where certainly qualifications is not the criteria. There is a lack of qualifications among blacks and other ethnic minorities.

But I don't believe that the affirmative action program is working. I think it certainly needs something to simulate compliance with the federal laws and employment across-the-board.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you happen to see any systematic affirmative action out-reach on the part of City of Reno across-the-board, not just for the police department but to fill other positions?

MR. MOON: No. I could say emphatically, even though about six months ago there was some hue and cry about making goals for women and minorities. But, as far as out-reach, follow-through, I have not seen anything extensive where this could be fully implemented. And I don't believe the City of Reno at the present time has

any effective affirmative action plan. I am sure it is outdated and hasn't been updated.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do they happen to have an affirmative action officer or somebody designated--

MR. MOON: Oh, yes, they have a person who was appointed last year I believe.

MR. SANCHEZ: Does this individual work as a liason with the communities here in Reno?

MR. MOON: Well, let me say that this individual is visible in the community. As a matter of fact, he has a church. He is a pastor of a church in the community. So if you would say that is an attachment or liaison with the community, then I would have to say, yes.

THE CHAIR: Please feel free to continue with your comments. I'm sorry to have interrupted you.

MR. MOON: Well, back to the police department now.

There has not been to my understanding one case where the

Internal Affairs has found the actions of one of its

police officers to be illegal, using excessive force.

The drug problem in Reno has helped promote a reign of terror in my opinion, especially against blacks in Northeast Reno. Young black men and women are victims of a condition they certainly did not create.

When blatant acts of brutality is practiced against minorities and especially blacks in small towns like

Reno, nearly every person in town hears about it. It becomes almost impossible for them to ever reclaim their sense of dignity and pride.

Most citizens are law-abiding citizens, and that goes without saying, and having the police around for security— all of us seek that. But what happens when the citizens themselves become the victims strictly on the basis of their color and their gender, when young blacks are harassed by the police, families also become victims.

Police officers in my opinion are seldom held accountable for their action against minorities, especially blacks. Last year in Northeast Reno following a confrontation between police and a group of young black youth in Pat Baker Park a group of concerned citizens was formed, and I happen to be one of those concerned citizens. We were formed in order to deescalate a situation we feel was getting out of hand.

We contacted the Justice Department in San

Francisco for help, and they sent one of their

investigators here. And we have been meeting

periodically with the Police Chief in order to come up

with some kind of solution to get a grip on this

situation and reduce that kind of tension.

It was proposed that sensitivity training be given

to most of the policemen who had business over in the northeast part of the town. This training would deal with cultural awareness and sensitivity training. All of the officers were required to attend at least four hours of that training, and we believe that the training was quite effective. And some other ongoing training is also being given.

Police brutality and the use of excessive force is a problem that merits serious attention. Citizens must remain vigil for this kind of behavior in the fight against police using excessive force. We must reaffirm our determination to uphold America's promise of liberty and justice for all.

THE CHAIR: Does anyone have any questions?

MR. SANCHEZ: I would like to pursue the

affirmative action plan once more. I have in my hand,

and the other members do also, an EEO Affirmative Action

Plan, 1989, Department Goals, Police for the City of

Reno. It looks like a five-year plan to effectively

eliminate disparity in the work force in the police

department.

It is interesting to note that this was developed in 1989. The bottom line of the five-year plan calls for a reduction of 83 males, an addition of 83 females, by the year 1994, and the elimination of eleven whites, and

the elimination of five blacks in the police department and the inclusion or addition of 10 Hispanics, seven asians, and reduction of one native American.

Have you had a chance to take a look at this? And what is your opinion about that?

MR. MOON: I have not looked at that personally, but I have heard comments on that. I don't believe that that is in keeping with the federal guidelines of eliminating people.

I think you replace other people and try to do some positive recruitment when you have the opportunity to do so. I don't agree with this, because I am an affirmative action officer, and I develop plans all the time. They have some ambitious goals. On paper it may look like it's something that is being followed, but in my opinion I don't have any confidence in that.

MS. SADER: Chief Bradshaw has been quoted as saying that the communities, the minority communities, should bring to him, to the department, their best young men and women to become officers. How do you feel about that? Do you think the community should help recruit police officers?

MR. MOON: Well, I certainly think the community should be involved. That goes without saying. But we need some assurance. When we bring qualified minorities

there, we don't have any assurance that they will be hired because of the Civil Service System. You have to go through a system of a screening process, and often they are screened out rather than being screened in. So, you know, I hear what the Chief says, but it's not that simple. Unless everybody is on the bandwagon and marching to the same drum beat, I think we are just using good sounding words without any substance at all.

MS. SADER: I have another. What is your perception of the NAG groups, Neighborhood Advisory Groups, and the police department's response to them?

MR. MOON: I have only attended about a couple of them, and usually it's a griping session. As a matter of fact, one that I attended on the police department— they are the ones that conducted it. They kind of determine who is going to talk and how long they can talk. And some of the people have come to see me and say— Well, they just don't feel very good about it at all. Others have said, "Well, at least this is an opportunity to at least hear some feelings and, you know, get pressure on in that way."

I suspect if I was to rate it on a scale of one to ten, I would say I would give it about a four, 10 being high.

MR. SANCHEZ: Mr. Moon, you have been in civil

rights and an affirmative action officer, et cetera, and are you aware-- do you have any information that the selection procedures being used by the City of Reno comport with uniform guidelines on employee selection procedures that have been outlined by the EEOC?

MR. MOON: Yes.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you know if the tests are valid, in other words?

MR. MOON: Well, there are some challenges. The test itself in Reno-- I think when it was adopted, there was some questions about the validity of it. It had gone through the screening process and the validation process, but it still seemed to lack something because a disproportionally high number of women and minorities are often screened out of it and that system. And that is still the case. Nothing has changed in that regard.

MR. SANCHEZ: So there is an adverse impact on minorities and women in their test procedures?

MR. MOON: Absolutely, without question.

MS. SADER: I have one other question, if that is all right.

Through interviews we have been told that over a hundred officers haven't received sensitivity training, which you alluded to earlier. Could you just discuss sensitivity training, and to your knowledge is that going

to be reinstated in the department?

MR. MOON: I don't know whether it will be reinstated, but I know that the Chief was very supportive of all of the officers being given that kind of sensitivity training.

I think there is some ongoing training now, and the group that I was involved in provided some training only for the people who worked over in Northeast Reno. But we feel that that same kind of training should be exposed to all of the policemen in the city. Whether that is going to be done, I have no idea, and I can't respond to that. We certainly think it should be done.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. I'm going to try to keep to the agenda as closely as I can.

A final question if there is any?

Thank you, Mr. Moon.

The next person that is on the agenda is Mr. Clayton Holstine, the City Manager.

Is he present? Excuse me. I'm sorry. I took someone out of order. I'm sorry.

Reverend Chester Richardson. I apologize.

REV. RICHARDSON: The Reverend Chester Richardson,
Associate Minister of the Second Baptist Church in Reno,
the Chief Administrator of the Reno Martin Luther King
Hall, and I'm also one of the chief negotiators for the

Northeast Reno Concerned Citizens Group, which is a coalition of minority organizations and African Americans who have been dealing with the Reno Police Department and some of the problems that have faced our community.

I have a brief statement, but after listening to all the people speak I really want to address some of the questions that you asked of them. But I want to say historically the relationship between African Americans and law enforcement has not enjoyed the privilege or the benefit of a long and trusting relationship nor even mutual support.

And I have discovered through some research that when you find a law enforcement commander that is willing to meet and discuss and debate and develop a better rapport between the African American community and the local law enforcement agency, change, positive change, begins to happen.

In the Reno area African Americans have had the misfortune of being an easy target of the local media, and thus are often polarized as being prime sources of criminal activity and drug problems.

Statistically we can prove that African Americans are not the majority of the abusers of drug use, yet they are in a high incarceration rate compared to non minorities.

Thus, when you have officers, rookies, new recruits, who are not privileged to the scientific process behind this, they are subject to being influenced by these polarization situations.

When police policy is discussed, planned and implemented without citizen input, you are guaranteed to receive problems from an uninformed public. This is especially true for the community that may consist of African Americans and other minorities, who have not been a part of this process.

I would like to also share with you that I have been involved with the policing problems in the Reno area, and I have been a silent, indirect observer of what has been going on in Sparks and the Washoe County, and I have been, as well as in Hawthorne, actively involved in the policing problems down in the Las Vegas area to the point of helping to orchestrate the marches on the strips.

You have asked several questions in your opening statement, and I would like to address that as far as the overall climate of the police-community relations and the impact of programs initiated by law enforcement agencies to foster effective community oriented law enforcement.

I would like to say that overall in my opinion the Cops Plus program is -- the theory is excellent. It's

outstanding. And I remember exactly when the Chief first broached the subject with us, and that was hopefully by bringing this program about would help to get the bond passed to bring on more officers.

At that point the department was more receptive to hearing what the community's concerns were and what their perceptions were of the department. And we felt that that was the beginning of a change in the attitude of the Reno Police Department, at least towards the African American community.

After the bond had passed there seemed to have been a drop in the pursuit of the department's interest to pursue Cops Plus, you know. It was on paper, but it was not in actual implementation, not in the Northeast Reno community where there is a high concentration, though not a majority, of African Americans and other minorities.

Thus, when issues or problems began to arise, there was no clear-cut avenue to address these issues or concerns. Whereas, if the Cops Plus process had been fully implemented, perhaps we could have used that avenue to address these issues.

Thankfully, though, the current Chief of Police who will be leaving has shown some signs of being willing to sit down and meet with us. Our only concern has been-And I want to get this in before my time runs out-

that we have no clear-cut mechanisms in place to insure that the concerns and fears of the African American community will be addressed.

Chief Bradshaw is leaving, but who is going to take his place? Will that person be as receptive to our concerns and our fears as he was? We are not saying that Chief Bradshaw was a hundred percent there all the way, because he had his problems just as well as we do, but, nevertheless, he is better than what we have had before. We worked so hard to break him in. Now, we have to start all over again.

So in essence what mechanisms do we have? Okay.

The Community concerns about law enforcement training and cultural awareness, well, that has been nil. Again when the Safety 88 officers were hired, we did put a component in the training sessions to make them aware of perceptions that the black community had at that time.

And most recently due to the recent incidents that have taken place in the community the Chief was receptive to us doing an orientation for officers who were working in the Northeast Reno area, but that is only the officers assigned to the north.

There are other areas, special operations or SORT units. There are other avenues there of law enforcement that needed to receive this orientation on how to

understand the thought process of African Americans and other minorities when they are confronted by police officers and understanding the certain cultural differences that are involved in the thought process and reaction process to officers when they do certain things.

So there is a limited area there, and I say we have only had two training areas. This not only needs to be across-the-board not only in Reno but definitely in Sparks, by the way, which I cannot believe that that law enforcement agency still exists behind the times. To me that is one of the most poorly trained agencies I've ever seen in my life, especially dealing with weapons and using them in the community. The Sheriff's Department is a little better. Of course, I don't even want to begin to address Las Vegas, because you are dealing with Reno. But I have serious fears as an African American moving to Las Vegas at this point in time.

THE CHAIR: For your information we may be doing a similar study in Las Vegas. We will see how this one comes out.

REV. RICHARDSON: Well, you are in for a surprise.

THE CHAIR: So you think that would be warranted,
for the Commission to do that?

REV. RICHARDSON: You should have went there first.

THE CHAIR: Interestingly enough, they don't get

the press that Reno does.

REV. MOON: Really? I think that with the incident that has happened about the Charles Bush incident and resulting— As you know, there is hearings being held now by the citizens police review committee that is taking testimony from the public on incidents that they have had with law enforcement.

I have had the privilege of participating in that and the march on the strips. There have been two marches to this date. There have been several meetings with Sheriff John Moran. For whatever reasons his receptiveness to hear community input is not on the level as Chief Bradshaw was. Consequently, that is why we have not had the form of resistance and public outcry as you had in Las Vegas.

MR. SANCHEZ: Reverend, may I interrupt since I'm from the Las Vegas area. They do have a black police officers association. My question to you is have you networked with any of the black police officers here in Reno that could be used as role models for recruiting and for some of your community problems?

MR. MOON: Yes. We are very fortunate here to have a Deputy Chief of Police who is African American, a lieutenant who is African American, and that is it in terms of command. And they have been very instrumental

in trying to recruit African Americans into law enforcement, but that is like saying why don't you come into this bear's den and join me, you know.

So there is an intrinsic fear of African Americans in dealing with law enforcement, which I'm sure you all are familiar with based on historical accounts, not necessarily here. But you understand that since Reno, Las Vegas or Nevada is a highly transient community most people move here— So they have had bad experiences.

Me, being from the deep down south, whereas I have not had any bad experience with police in my past, I knew my place, they knew theirs. I knew if I crossed that line, I was going to get my head busted in. So consequently up here, you know, we have to rise to the occasion to address the concerns and needs that face our people.

MR. WALTHER: The previous question I asked was a result of comment by Mr. Moon. Do you share his views about the conscious disregard or racism involved in the lack of willingness to recruit in this area? Is that a statement that more strongly you would make or do you see any problem in the area? Is it problem free?

MR. MOON: The Reno Police Department has done an outstanding job in getting the word out to the various community organizations that they are looking for

minorities to come in and join the force.

But actually developing a system of helping them to get into the program other than providing them the standard opportunities that are available to everyone else— I would say that when dealing with African Americans, other minorities, you have to go a step further. You have to provide special classes. You have to provide them certain incentives to encourage them to come in. You have to start early with them to dispel the fear that exists in minorities.

So paperwise, as far as their approaches, reaching out, it is there. I cannot in all honesty say they have not made a conscious effort to make the--

THE CHAIR: What I would like you to do is go ahead and answer the other questions that we are inquiring into. I'm going to try to have your comments concluded in about five minutes, so we can sort of get back on schedule. We are running a little bit late, but that is okay.

REV. RICHARDSON: Okay. My concern also is that with the police review board, if I can address that, a police review board is needed I believe when the community has no assurance that their concerns are being properly addressed or that they are receiving truthful information in terms of discipline.

Currently now if a charge is made against an officer, he is investigated, and there is an informal investigation. And you have to basically take the word of the investigating officer or internal affairs or the bottom line the Chief that there was no evidence to warrant certain discipline actions because by law or whatever reasons that information is not public information. So you can't go through that information and assess it for yourself.

So basically you have to go on whether or not you trust or have faith in the Chief of Police, that he's being up front and honest with you and not covering up for his men.

In the particular case I was not an advocate of a police review board as long as the complaint process was made available, that we had forums available.

THE CHAIR: I'm curious. Are you talking about the complaint process where a citizen brings in a complaint, or are you talking about when an officer is in trouble?

MR. MOON: No. When a citizen brings a complaint against an officer. We don't have that information or the final-- We can't read the investigative report.

THE CHAIR: Are you aware that in most professions if there is a disciplinary action, it is not public

unless there is some reason to make it public, like a finding of malpractice or a finding of something warranting the taking away of someone's license, that most professions don't have just a quote discipline charge made public?

MR. MOON: I understand that, but when you are dealing with law enforcement and you are dealing with African Americans who feel they have been abused or misused by a law enforcement agency and then you have this same internal investigation that is making a decision whether or not to pursue or whether or not that charge is warranted, then you are asking me to accept that, to accept your opinion or to accept your final decision. Now, that is fine and dandy if you have faith in the Chief, but what if you get a new Chief in whose faith you don't -- It's an inherent distrust of the system. What I was advocating for was-- There is a Bill AB 240 in the Nevada Assembly, 306, which lifts the ban on police review boards.

As you may be aware, there is a ban that prohibits police review boards from being established in the State of Nevada. Why? This was started or pushed through by Las Vegas Metro Police, which had a fear of having to be accountable for their actions.

I think that this is totally unconstitutional, to

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

prevent local municipalities from establishing police review boards if they feel there is a need for it. There is also another bill on the Senate side sponsored by Joe Neal, which I don't think has been introduced yet, but possibly will depending on the outcome of AB 306, which mandates the establishment of police review boards.

Now, my concern is that every city, every community, ought to have the right to determine whether or not they feel the need for a police review board and that ban should be lifted.

I would like to submit for your consideration the testimony that was given by Chief Bradshaw and other law enforcement entities on this AB 306, which they opposed him, and their reasoning for it— And I think it is greatly unfair— as well as the testimony that they gave on AB 240, being the chokehold as well as revised copies of those bills as opposed to the original bill.

At this time I would like to say that I believe overall the police-commuity relations has improved under the tenure of the last Police Chief. Unfortunately I believe that we are going to have to start all over again with the selection of a new Chief because there is nothing in place to ensure that our complaints will properly be addressed and our concerns handled.

I believe we should have some definite input in the

1.5

selecting of a new Chief of Police as well as being a part of the investigation of the background of that Chief of Police as well as some definite input in any new policies that are implemented in the police department.

Before policy is implemented there ought to be community input.

The affirmative action plan to me is weak at best.

The affirmative action officer has no power. He is

merely a token. He answers to the Personnel Director,

not to the City Manager, whom he should. He should be

accountable only to the head, and that to me is a fallacy
in the system.

I wish I had another three hours, because I have been spending three years working on this. I thank you for your time and consideration. If you hold this in Vegas, I would like to come down and--

MR. SANCHEZ: I'm sure we will work on that.

THE CHAIR: We are not quite done. If you would like to give us the documentation, put it on Tom's desk, that would be fine.

MR. MOON: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Next I would like to call on Mr. Clayton Holstine.

Would you please state your name and tell us who you are.

MR. HOLSTINE: Clay Holstine, City Manager, Reno.

Thank you for the opportunity to come and speak to you this morning. I would like before I get into any substantive remarks just give you by way of background my official capacity as City Manager is about 48 hours old, so I am fairly new to this position.

I have been with the City of Reno for a period of four years, and I have been the acting manager since the latter part of January of this year. Most of my focus in the months that I have been in this position have been in terms of trying to address a fairly significant budgetary problem that we are facing this year.

And I would like to at least give some discussion of that this morning in terms of the Cops Plus program, plus other programs in the city, and basically in terms of the philosophy and how we are trying to address that.

My remarks this morning are not going to be specifically geared to the Reno Police Department in isolation but rather to the City of Reno as an organization and how the police department and the Cops Plus philosophy fits into that in my perspective.

This community -- For those of us who live here we appreciate it not from the things that are appreciated by the people from the outside as a 24-hour, glamorous, fun sort of town, but as a community of neighborhoods. That

is what has impressed me in the period of time that I have been here, that this is a city that has very distinct neighborhoods. It has very proud neighborhoods, and it has neighborhoods that have very specific concerns and problems.

That requires a city organization and city government that is oriented towards working in a neighborhood sort of function. We do this not only in terms of the policing program but also in terms of the other services that we provide to this community.

We have a very active neighborhood planning process through our Department of Planning and Community Development. That process attempts to work not simply from a land use planning perspective but also encompassing the other services of the city's specifically police department.

We also have in a couple areas of town, and perhaps in the future we will expand this, a neighborhood council concept. Neighborhood councils are established right now in the Stead area and in the northwest area with the joint council of the City of Reno and Washoe County.

These councils are formally set up through the City government. They are appointed by the City Council.

They have certain authorities and duties, and they have become a fairly effective vehicle for the city

bureaucracy, if you will, to work with neighborhoods in terms of identifying specific issues and problems that need to be addressed.

One of the areas that I think that we have had some success with over the past couple of years in terms of neighborhood planning is in the Neil Road area. You may be familiar with that. We have worked with that neighborhood through our community or Planning Community Development Department. We have identified a need for a police substation in that area. We have identified a need for a community center and for additional parks and recreation-type facilities there.

All those, of course, have budgetary impacts, and certainly that is going to be the challenge of trying to address those needs. But that was a very collaborative process in which the staff of the city worked closely with the neighborhoods to try to pull together what was needed in those neighborhoods and try to address some problems. We have also done that in the Stead neighborhood area.

For those of you who do not live here, Stead is an area that is not physically connected to the City of Reno. It is kind of fairly isolated geographically, and consequently they have always felt a sense of isolation in terms of whether they are getting their fair

shake of services they are paying for.

We have over the last two to three years worked rather vigorously with the Stead Neighborhood Council, not only in just police issues but also in parks issues, some environmental issues out there, as well as just some development issues of different types of progress that are going into that area.

The downtown river corridor area is an area that we have had a lot of work in terms of the police department, the Redevelopment Agency of the city.

In attempting to address some of the real, significant problems with itinerants that camp along the river, crime that was happening along the river that made it fairly—discouraged people from using bike path along there, discouraged people from just basically wanting to come into the downtown area.

I believe that with that said, I think the organization's basic philosophy, certainly my philosophy, is that we need to the best that we can try to be a proactive organization.

Now, that is a very nice term, but what that requires is having some resources to go out and try to identify problems rather than simply reacting to them.

That is clearly the philosophy of the Cops Plus Program, and it is also a philosophy of our neighborhood planning

process.

Our challenge is to-- or my challenge specifically and my role is to attempt to pull the organization together a little more in terms of collaboratively working with neighborhoods, not just simply police issues but bringing the whole organization together to try to address the area of problems.

THE CHAIR: Excuse me, sir. From the newspaper articles that have been written recently there is obviously some budgetary concerns between the police department and the City of Reno and how to address those shortages.

What is the city's philosophy in terms of—
Obviously there is not enough money to go around. In
doing that is the priority to cut out—— I'm going to
call these quote social services like Cops Plus and other
programs or is the priority to keep them there under
budgetary concepts?

MR. HOLSTINE: Let me be very frank with you. I don't know that that issue has been thoroughly aired or philosophically decided upon by the people who need to make that decision, which would be the City Council.

The first reaction in terms of cutting back any sort of a service is trying to find what your basic service is. And clearly in a police agency or police

organization it is their duty to respond to calls as they come in. That kind of moves the department back more maybe into a reactive mode as opposed to trying to get out in front on some of these areas.

It is my philosophy, and what we are going to try to work through this year is to try to maintain some of these basic organizational functions, so that we aren't simply getting back to a reactive mode.

It's going to be a challenge. It's going to be a very difficult challenge for us to try to maintain some of the pro active things that we have done over the past few years. It sometimes gets to be seen as frosting as opposed to the meat.

In terms of developing a budget-- And I can't sit here and with any surety tell you that some of the things that we have been able to do that I think are very positive in this community over the last few years are going to be able to completely be maintained through this problem.

MS. SADER: How do you think the budget restraints will affect hiring and affirmative action?

MR. HOLSTINE: What we are hoping to do, if you are speaking specifically to the police department, and I will speck specifically to that and the organization as a whole secondly, we are hopeful that we will be able to

budget this year for a police academy approximately in the January time frame six months out of the year.

The police department has a fairly consistent attrition rate. It's fairly predictable, so we have a sense of when we will be down so many officers. If we are able to do that, then our efforts in affirmative action in terms of recruitment for that academy I think will go on unfeathered. I don't think that will be a problem.

MS. SADER: Is the attrition about 14 officers a year? Do I understand that about right?

MR. HOLSTINE: I believe it is one and a half officers a month, which would be 18. The police department itself could probably speak more authoritatively to that.

Now, in the broader organizational sense we are right now-- presently have all open postitions of the organization on hold. We are not filling any positions that are coming open. We are looking at a potential of the early separation program, which I think from an affirmative action standpoint would have a positive impact because most of the people who would be going out would be workers that are close to retirement age, not a lot of the newer recruits, which I think for the most part tend to be-- We have done better in the last few

years in terms of women and minorities. So most of them are younger in terms of the work force.

But we may very well get to a situation where we have to do layoffs, and layoffs are pretty well described for us in terms of a contract or the Civil Service rules. And there's not a whole lot of flexibility we have in that area. So if we get to that point, that could have some impact in terms of the numbers, parity of numbers, that we have.

MR. SANCHEZ: Mr. Holstine, how do you plan to fill the position of Police Chief here in Reno? Do you plan to do it from within or are you going nationwide?

MR. HOLSTINE: That is probably the most often asked question in the last couple days, what exactly our plans are. And let me address that as well as I can right now.

What I'm doing at the present moment— To answer your first question, we will definitely look both inside the organization and outside the organization. Whether that is a regional recruitment—And when I say "regional", I will be talking about the western states—sort of recruitment or whether it be a national recruitment, I don't know at this point in time.

We are presently investigating, researching recruitment processes that have been done by other citys

for Police Chiefs, citys roughly our size, over the last couple years in terms of not necessarily where they are recruited but the evaluation process they used once they have a pool of candidates.

We are also in contact with a couple of the recruitment firms in terms of their assistance in creating a pool of candidates for us. I hope to be working relatively quickly with the City Council in terms of developing a profile for the candidate of Police Chief.

Our process is that I have the appointment authority. The Council confirms that appointment. I provide them one name, and they choose from a list of names. But they have a very definite role in the process. I don't believe they should play a role necessarily in the evaluation process because they actually confirm, but they definitely need to be involved. I hope to be able to have them involved to help in that profile.

MR. SANCHEZ: Will the minority groups here in Reno have any input into the selection process?

MR. HOLSTINE: My general feeling is that we will probably end up with some sort of different types of panels that will do different types of interviews. And to answer your questions succinctly, yes, not just the

minority community but all different sorts of interests in the community. I think that is important.

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I need to step out for just a moment. I have got sidetracked.

Is it going to be a philosophy of the search committee or yourself to have a new Chief that is receptive to the community input and to developing community relations, you know, like has been discussed here this morning? Is that a priority?

MR. HOLSTINE: I would definitely think so.

THE CHAIR: I will turn this over to Dave.

MR. SANCHEZ: We have heard some testimony this morning highly critical of the hiring practices of the police department, and one in particular has to do with the affirmative action officer, the effectiveness of that particular position, especially since he reports to the Personnel Director.

As new City Manager do you have any ideas about changing that particular relationship?

MR. HOLSTINE: At this point in time I have no plans in changing that.

- MR. SANCHEZ: Do you see a conflict between having an affirmative action officer report to the Personnel Director rather than yourself?

MR. HOLSTINE: A conflict? No, I don't see a

conflict.

MR. SNIDER: Is there any conflict between affirmative action, the Personnel Director and the Civil Service Commission at this particular time?

MR. HOLSTINE: Conflict between them?

MR. SNIDER: Yes. Historically there has been conflict between the Civil Service Commission and the Personnel Department.

MR. HOLSTINE: I only pause because I'm trying to think. I do not have any recollection of any particular conflict. The only thing in terms— I mean I've only been here for a little bit of time. The only thing I would respond to in terms of what testimony that I heard was that first of all the affirmative action plan that we have is not outdated.

It may be criticized for other purposes, but it certainly is not outdated. The powers of the affirmative action officer are to some degree limited by the various other constraints that come into the whole hiring process. Those are directed to some extent by contract and to some extent by Civil Service rules.

So it's a fairly complicated thing to just infer that somehow or another that position should have more authority I think in the hiring process.

MR. SANCHEZ: You suggest that the affirmative

action plan is not outdated, even though this one I have in my hand states it's 1989, and it obviously doesn't include the 1990 census information in here.

MR. HOLSTINE: Well, I don't know exactly what you are looking at. I believe the Affirmative Action

Officer, Mr. Taylor, brought a plan to the City Council sometime last year. And I would have to check on that, but I believe he did bring that to them last year.

And that is something that, you know, is an ongoing document that does need to be updated or worked on and brought up to date from year to year. But I believe that the previous time that it was--

Again I'm not sure what you are looking at, Mr. Sanchez, but I believe, as I recall, the document that Mr. Taylor updated when he updated it was somewhere in the neighborhood of five to six years old.

And in the time since I have been with the City of Reno we have hired an Affirmative Action Officer, and that is now-- First, he was hired as a half-time position. That was about, roughly speaking, three years ago. And since that time it has been moved to a full-time position.

And it's our intention to maintain that as a full-time position in the city. So I mean I think we have made efforts to try to improve that program.

MR. SANCHEZ: We have also heard testimony that there is a tremendously adverse impact on minorities in your testing process, Civil Service wise, and certainly with the police department.

Are you aware of that, and also are your tests in conformance with the EEOC guidelines on validation?

MR. HOLSTINE: Well, I'm probably not the authoritative person to answer that question. I am not aware of the adverse impact, and I am not aware of validation problems. But I am not the person that has been specifically working with that, so I'm not the best person to give that testimony.

MR. SANCHEZ: Are there any other questions from the Committee?

Thank you.

The Committee will now hear testimony from Rosemary Flores, Director of the Nevada Hispanic Services, and Maricela Gonzalez from Servicios En Espanol.

MR. SANCHEZ: Would you please state your names for the record, and then you may make your presentation.

MS. FLORES: My name is Rosemary Flores. I am Director of Nevada Hispanic Services and have been Director for four and a half years.

MS. GONZALEZ: My name is Maricela Gonzalez. I have been in the Reno area for four and a half years. I

was formerly the Director for Employment Training, and for a year and a half now I have been in business for myself with an organization called Servicios En Espanol, which is directed mainly at the Hispanic and Spanish speaking community.

MS. FLORES: Nevada Hispanic Service's role is mainly a social service role. We are a non profit agency that provides services to the non English speaking Hispanics in the areas of interpretation, providing them with information, referrals and we also assist them with immigration, the process.

Some of the positive things I have seen with the police department and sheriff's department is that they are recruiting minority and bilingual staff. That I am really supportive of.

I do know for a fact, though, that it has been difficult to recruit the minorities and bilingual staff.

I have sat on the oral board, and I have seen the process as to how they ask the questions.

I am also aware of the D.A.R.E. program, which I know is very effective in the schools. And I have also spoken to children at the schools, and I have seen a change in the children from before. The D.A.R.E. program does make them aware of the dangers of getting involved in drugs and also the dangers of getting involved in gang

activity.

I am concerned about the growing numbers of kids who are falling through the cracks and are somehow involved with the wrong group, especially in northeast Reno and southeast Reno. But I also know that there is a skinhead gang in some of the schools. The P.A.L. program is also very effective with kids. I know that they have their office at the boys and girls club, and they have programs for the children.

Unfortunately it all comes down to funding. There is never enough. There are more kids than there are services that can be provided to them. Modesto Reyes, liason officer for Hispanic children, has been meeting with some of the Hispanic kids. Some of the kids have been involved in gang activity.

I have seen some changes in northeast Reno. I have seen a positive trend where the kids are getting involved, and some of them know that now they have a future. And unfortunately there are some who just really feel there is no way out. I feel that we do still need to work with the youth of this community.

The Nevada Hispanic Services are very involved in having a community resource center built in the fish hatchery center right off Neil Road and Motley Lane. I am aware of the police substation building built there,

too, and they have also been very involved in our -- They listen to our concerns of not making it an intimidating substation for the community there, and they have listened to our requests.

The master plan is already in place, and right now there is a consortium of the social service agencies. We have not yet contacted the police department to be part of the consortium, but at this time we will—— I am a member of the consortium, so is Children's Cabinet and the child care center. The boys and girls club was part of it. We don't know where their focus is now. But our main concern is to provide services in that area where it's heavily populated by non English and Hispanic families.

Chief Bradshaw has been very open to our concerns.

He has invited us to the neighborhood advisory meetings.

We have assisted those meetings, and we have talked about concerns from the community.

Clients do come to our office and do make complaints against police officers who in some way or another have discriminated against some of our clients.

Many of our clients still fear to go to the police department and make a formal report, and I can understand that. I have not been able to get anyone to write a statement and have it notarized. And I cannot force them

to do that. There is a population of undocumented clients or individuals in the areas, and that is one of the main fears that they have, so they will not report it.

THE CHAIR: With respect to the complaints that are undocumented, how many do you see a week or a day or on average?

MS. FLORES: I would have to say that I personally have heard of seven reports.

THE CHAIR: Can you give examples of the types of situations involved.

MS. FLORES: One of them is -- They are all throughout. It's not just Reno P.D.. It's Sparks P.D., and it also has been the Tonopah Police Department. One of them dealt with a racial slur toward one of our clients.

When I mentioned this to the attorney, the attorney simply stated it's their word against the client's. The client pleaded guilty to the charge that was given to him, and unfortunately the racial slur was never mentioned in the Court. The client did not pursue this because she was very embarrassed, because she was accused of shoplifting, which she stated that she had not done.

The others-- Most of them have been dealing with racial slurs. And that does concern me because I know

that many of the officers out there are really trying hard, but there are some who are still not aware of what they are doing I guess. I just can't answer that. I don't know what the reason is.

And it's very difficult for me when the clients do not want to pursue the case. I was disappointed when we did have a meeting, and out of one of the neighborhood meetings it came out that it was necessary for us, the Hispanic community, to get together with the police department and to have a survey done where we could find out what the concerns of the police department were concerning the Hispanic community.

And we felt that that was not -- our request was not followed through. Instead we were invited to another meeting, which I thought we were going to compile this questionnaire, but instead it was moderated by Meg Price, which was excellent.

And she asked what our concerns as Hispanics were about the police department, which was in reverse. We wanted to know what the police department's concerns were about the Hispanic community. One of the main concerns they have is the gang activity.

What we decided to do was to wait. Victor Agria was present. Dr. Brandenberg, who is a member of our board, and several people who are very involved in the

Hispanic community, took part in this meeting.

And I'm not saying that it was not a good meeting.

It was an excellent meeting, and it was an excellent workshop. But what happened at the end was that a consultant was hired to do the cultural awareness classes for the department, which I felt that was not our intention.

The consultant was non minority. She did ask questions of other minority groups and so-called leaders. I do not consider myself a leader. I'm Director of Nevada Hispanic Services, but that was the key word used.

This is not an efficient way of doing multicultural awareness for the police department. It needs to be more indepth. We need to do more about getting to those people who still have some prejudices. You might want to-- I feel that you really have to put them in a situation and give them examples of what racial slurs do to people.

I have done multicultural workshops for the police department through our agency at no cost, and it seems like most of them are very receptive to the way we view the Hispanic community. And it really bothered me when the consultant asked us, "What are the main characteristics of Hispanics?"

That question alone told me that this consultant

was not the right person to represent us in doing this workshop. So that was my concern. I have not talked to anyone at the police department about this, and I probably should have before I did this presentation.

THE CHAIR: I have a question for you, though, in terms of their perception, meaning the police department's perception, of Hispanics. You indicated that they were concerned about gang activity. In your opinion is that perception a fair one, a bad one?

MS. FLORES: It's a fair one. There is a problem.

There is a problem with our youth, not all of them. It's a small segment of a certain community, and people need to understand what is happening in the family and why this is happening.

That is why I feel that the D.A.R.E. program and P.A.L. program are very important and also the substation along with the community service agencies on Neil Road, because we can work together on this.

I am not saying that there is no-- that all the kids are excellent kids. Some of them are pretty tough kids. They are very tough kids.

Modesto Reyes has talked to some of them, and I think he's doing a great job in getting them to go through another road. But, like I said, some of them will fall through the cracks. And we do have the

criminals in our community, and they do need to be told, and they need to be stopped.

We are not patronizing the Hispanic community, but that was just my-- My main concern lately has been that the follow-up was not-- What we had intended at that meeting did not come about. Instead, maybe because of the pressure for time to have the training done, this consultant was hired and was the one who provided the multicultural awareness for the asian, Black and Hispanic community. And I just was not comfortable with that.

I think that it's necessary to have people on a panel the way they used to do it before and have them come in and talk to the officers. And still one hour is definitely not enough. I mean each culture. It's just not enough. They need to focus more on providing— I don't know if you still want to call it multi-culture awareness, but providing awareness of these distinct communities and learn more about what is really happening.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you know how many Hispanic officers are on the police department?

MR. HOLSTINE: I only know of three, but I work-- I have been at meetings with Modesto Reyes. He is the one I have been working most closely with, but no other officer. There is one person who is bilingual, and she

is on the phone. But I'm not sure if she is the only one who is manning the phones. I think there is -- I think there might be some more.

MR. SANCHEZ: My concern is is there a need for bilingual officers here in the City of Reno?

MS. FLORES: Definitely. Our community is 10.7 of the total, which is approximate, and that is according to the census. It is definitely more but according to the census it's over 22,000. If I were to calculate that, one-third of that population is non English speaking. That is very high for even ten officers to handle.

And in that situation the Hispanic Services does and has worked with the police department in meeting the needs of victims who have been-- In one case there was one attempted rape, and they were very helpful. So there is the good, and there is the bad.

MS. GONZALEZ: I would just like to share some of the concepts and images my clients have of the police department.

I deal mostly with the older people trying to legalize their children, their spouses, not so much the youth, although they do express concerns for their children. They are concerned that they might get into some kind of gang activity or drugs, because there is not a lot for them to do.

And the programs that do exist are not out there to this community— They are not aware of it. They don't know that there is a P.A.L. program. They don't know that there is a place that they can go and stay out of trouble and get involved with some sort of activity. And the parents have two jobs sometimes, and they are not home to find out what their kids are doing. And when they do come in contact with the police department, it's always on a negative for something that has already happened that could have been prevented quite possibly.

I think that in general there is a lack of sensitivity on the part of the police department towards this Hispanic community. It shows through lack of representation, like was just mentioned a little bit before that. I know of just one person on the phones from three to eleven I believe is her shift. If somebody calls in to report something that occurred, they say, "Call back at three when the gal is here," or if they go in and there is not an officer who is able to help them out, "Come back later when there is somebody here who can take care of you."

And that shouldn't happen. There has been a lot of times when people come to me a week after something has happened, and they say, "Well, you know, this happened to me on the way to work," or whatever. And I say, "Did you

report it? Well, I tried to, but they couldn't understand me or they asked me to come back, and I don't have time. I don't want to bother." And that shouldn't be, you know.

That happened to me myself trying to report something that had happened. I first called at 12 o'clock, and the gal says, "We don't have to send an officer out. You can do it over the phone. Call us up."

And, sure enough, that is what I tried to do. For three hours I called this number. It was busy, busy, busy. Twice they put me on hold, once for 26 minutes, the second time for 15.

I got upset and called back and said, "You know, I can't spend my time on the phone. Please send an officer out to me." And the gal said, "Yes, I will," and that was that.

MS. SADER: Were you calling in regard to a criminal activity?

MS. GONZALES: Yes, a burglary at my office.

MR. SANCHEZ: Did you identify yourself?

MS. GONZALES: Yes, absolutely.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you feel it is because your last name identified yourself as Hispanic that you were given this treatment?

MS. GANZALES: I don't think so because first I called to explain what had happened. She didn't really ask me my name until after, towards the end of the conversation.

But my client who the same thing happened to him at the Old College Building the next day, and he was assaulted with a knife. And they said, "Call back."

You know, that is pretty bad. And so the Hispanic community, they don't want to deal with the police department either to report something that has happened or to help-- Maybe they saw something, because they feel that any contact is negative and that it will jeopardize in some way either their legal status that is pending or in process or maybe one of their family members.

They are just afraid. Everything they hear is so negative. And I think that there has to be a community relations program to show these people that the police is

there to help, that it is a help agency, that they are concerned about what goes on and that their information is important to them to solve crimes or to better the force.

~12

MS. SADER: Do you think that a community review board for the police department would be a good idea?

MS. GONZALES: I think so, but I think it has to be-- the image that the police department has within the community has to be improved through education and awareness directed specifically to this community and not in the standard ways or ways that work with the anglo community, let's say.

I think that there has to be something specifically designed to focus on this community to make them aware of what is going on to prevent a lot of the problems that are happening.

THE CHAIR: This is a question for both of you.

If you could form this community relations group, how would you do that?

MS. GONZALES: I would recruit more Hispanic speaking officers and people to be on this board.

THE CHAIR: How would you also at the same time try to change the perception of the Hispanic community, that because you get involved with police it's not necessarily a bad thing?

MS. GONZALES: Public television spots. It's free.

If they had more Hispanic Spanish speaking officers to

come on and say, "Hey, we are here. We are here to help

you. Don't be afraid of us."

There is three that I know of, and Mr. Reyes is the only one that I see at meetings and different activities.

And once in awhile I have seen other officers, but it seems that they are not interested in being there.

I have been to meetings where officers are there in uniform, and I feel that it's for tokenism. And they are there doodling, looking at their watch and talking between the two, because they always sit together. You know, that is not right.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you feel there is no out-reach program for Hispanics so far as recruitment for police officers here?

MS. GONZALES: No, I don't believe so.

MS. FLORES: One of the things at that meeting we had, which is a workshop-- Much of the groundwork is already in place and the reports are there where we have discussed this. And we stated what is needed, which was bilingual officers, bilingual staff, on the phones all day, 24 hours a day, someone who can do the reports for them instead of giving them the report and having them come back.

And that is already in place, but I feel that we still need to go back and have that survey done because we don't know what the perception of the police department is. It's not to make it public. It's only to help those of us who have done the multicultural awareness to do an effective job and to be able to answer their concerns.

If they are prejudiced, I'm sure they are not going to say that they are. But if the question is stated in a way that— "What is it of the Hispanic community that bothers you? What is it of a certain sex of the Hispanic community that tends for you to stop a young man who is dressed in black who has his bandana and is crossing the street and is stopped? What is it that makes you stop this individual?"

Questions like that will help us then go back to the Hispanic community and say, "What is it that concerns you about the police department?" But we have to start somewhere so that both can meet at one certain point.

I am not saying that the police department has not tried. They have tried, but it's not being funneled through to some of these other officers. That is what my main concern is.

THE CHAIR: Are you saying that the rank and file officer on the beat is the problem, not particularly the

\_

·

Chief and administration?

MS. FLORES: I am saying that there are some officers out there who are prejudiced, and there are some officers out there who are trying very, very hard to understand the situation of the family. And they will go as far as calling us to place a woman who has been battered and to help with the interpreting.

But I'm also saying that they are stopping-- One of the other incidents was that one of our clients was stopped because he was dressed in black and crossing the street. He was let go. But what is it? Why label a certain group of kids?

And it's happening even though we have talked about it in multicultural awareness classes. It's still happening.

MS. GONZALEZ: I would like to add to that an incident that happened last fall. We had two trainees— Part of the center employment training mission is to provide English as a second language. We had two young males approximately 22 years of age going home from class at night. It was about ten o'clock.

One of the men was walking home while the other one went to get the car because they were going to work.

They worked at Bally's. They had a graveyard shift. The man in the car got home, got his clothes and picked up

the other one who was still walking. And all of a sudden there is a police car following them. And they lived in the northeast section of town off Montello. And the gentleman that was driving says to the friend, "Hey, isn't that weird that this police car has been following us since we turned onto the highway?" And the friend says to him, "Well, what did you do? Are you speeding?" He says, "No, I don't think I'm doing anything wrong. He's not flashing his lights."

And he said, "Well, he must just be going the same way." These are very good kids. Not everybody is gang related. They go in to the parking lot at Bally's, the employees' parking lot. And by then there is ten police cars there. They get out of the car. These are two nice guys. They are thrown onto the concrete. They are bruised, beaten, kicked in the head. And they said, "Shut up." They are asking, "What did we do? What is going on?" They said, "Just shut up," and beat them. And then after they call back or whatever they let them go.

MR. SANCHEZ: Was this reported?

MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, it was. I know it's in dispatch. It was on television. It was brought to the media's attention. They were never told what happened, why they were stopped, why they were beaten, why they

were thrown.

The only thing that the police officers did make a comment is afterward they said, "Well, the reason why we stopped you--" or they didn't say it to them, but to the people, the media, "was because there was a car reported in the area similar to yours, and there had been a killing."

But these kids did not deserve that type of treatment. Nobody does. His shoulder was bruised. There are pictures on file. That is just excessive. They are just so ready to say, "You are guilty," just because he was Hispanic or they were Hispanic.

THE CHAIR: What was the response of the police department?

MS. GONZALEZ: I think it's still under investigation. We don't know what has happened. These two gentlemen, because they were going through the legalization process, decided to not continue to pursue this. But as far as we know the investigation is still going on.

MR. SANCHEZ: Because there are a lack of bilingual police officers who speak Spanish are you aware of any program within the police department to train the anglo officers in some conversational Spanish so that when they are dealing with members of the Hispanic community, they

can at least give them instructions?

I know the Metropolitan Police Department in Las

Vegas has been doing this. Is there any program that you

are aware of here in Reno that would assist those police

officers speaking some Spanish?

MS. GONZALES: No. There was talk about it, about last winter, but I don't know what has developed, if it has continued.

MS. FLORES: There is one. Yolanda Baldrich has written a book. I can't remember the title, but it has conversational little phrases for them to-- It's a pocketbook they can pull out, and they can just say, "Okay. "Como se llama," and go on from there. It has worked for some police officers, and some police officers are very grateful. But I understand that Sparks Police Department is the only one that has gone through the extensive training.

One other thing that I wanted to mention was that when I provide the awareness classes, I specifically stated that immigration documents should not be asked of individuals when they are stopped. This happened yesterday where a client of mine was stopped in his place of business and was specifically asked for immigration documents.

I asked him to be here today at 4:30, so I'm hoping

that he will show up. This is again another client who is going through his legalization process, and it's very difficult for them to come and testify because they do not want to be labeled.

They also feel that in some way immigration is hooked in with the departments, the Sheriff's Department, the Sparks Department and the Reno Department, because for some reason they have an immigration hold. When they are arrested, nobody knows how and why immigration shows up all of a sudden. But they do have an immigration hold when they are released.

And that is a main concern of mine. Why is it that they are asking for immigration?

MR. SANCHEZ: From the Hispanic community's point of view the police department and they are together, or there is that perception that one is with the other in terms of communication?

MS. FLORES: Yes, especially with those individuals who are in the process of becoming legalized.

Salvadorians, Quatamalans, now know that they have an opportunity to have protected status in the states.

But before they would say they were from Mexico, because they did not want to be deported all the way to El Salvador.

And these are the types of things that need to be

stated and stressed to the police officers so that they can understand why there is these lies when they are interviewing an undocumented person.

MS. GONZALEZ: I would also like to ask if anybody knows why—or maybe they are all gone, the police that were here— is it that these police have to ask for their immigration status when they are not breaking— Their only concern should be if they are breaking a law, if so, let's take them in. Don't say, "Under the circumstances let's see your green card," just because the person is Hispanic.

And I don't believe a lot of police departments do that, especially in California I know they don't, and they don't have anything to do with the police department— excuse me— with immigration, because it's irrelevant. And here the minute some— Even if they are pulled over for speeding, the first thing the officer says to that Hispanic looking individual is, "Do you have your green card?"

MS. FLORES: One other case I was working on this morning and I'm still trying to finalize is we have a young man who was held at Sheriff's Department. And the reason he was arrested was because he was trying to open a bank account with his false Social Security number.

The Social Security number is under his own name.

In this case it was Sparks where he got arrested.

The client was was handcuffed and taken to jail. He had
to spend the night because he didn't have the money for
the bond.

One other thing is that one of the police officers made-- It wasn't really-- I will let you decide what you think it is. But he asked the brother for his identification and asked him what his name and birth date was.

When the brother was able to answer the name and birth date that was on his I.D., it was like, "Very good. You have really--" I can't remember the exact quote, but making it seem like, "Oh, you finally memorized your card." And it was very humiliating for that family to be in the bank and be arrested and taken out.

And right now he's sitting in jail trying to find out what is going to happen to him. These are the types of things that are really happening. I can only talk about the cases that I hear about, and I feel for those people who do not say anything and just ignore it because they don't want to deal with the system. They don't want to get into more trouble.

It's a beginning. When I first started at the agency, there were quite a bit of concerns. We have come some steps forward, but there is still a very long way to

go. Number one is getting the bilingual staff and people who are aware of what is happening in the community.

MR. SANCHEZ: It is still in existence here?

MS. FLORES: Yes.

MR. SANCHEZ: Will they be able to provide any services to the police department in terms of--

MS. GONZALEZ: They would love to do anything they can.

MR. SANCHEZ: --conversational Spanish?

MS. GONZALEZ: Absolutely.

MS. FLORES: We have also been offered that task.

Unfortunately we don't have the staff to do the

conversational training. There are some people also in

the community who have extensive training and can do

that, and I have also offered names from organizations

who have worked on indepth training with the police

officers where they put them in a situation and make them

understand what is on the other side, "How does it feel

when you are approached by someone who does not speak

your language," and going through the whole emotion of a

crime at that time and not being able to understand.

And it seems to be very effective. I can't recall the place, but I do have the information at my office and can provide that to Thomas V. Pilla.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions?

	120
1	We really appreciate your comments and your coming.
2	Thank you.
3	What we will do is be in recess until 1:15.
4	(At 12:15 p.m. a recess was taken
5	until 1:15 p.m. of the same day.)
6	000
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	•
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	RENO, NEVADA; THURSDAY, MAY 9, 1991; 1:15 P.M.
2	000
3	
4	THE CHAIR: I would like to call the Commission
5	meeting back to order.
6	Next is Chief Robert Bradshaw, Sheriff Vincent
7	Swinney and Chief George Coxey.
8	Welcome, and I will let you start out by each of
9	you introducing yourself, and we will go on.
10	CHIEF BRADSHAW: I'm Robert Bradshaw, Police Chief
11	of the City of Reno for awhile.
12	CHIEF COXEY: I'm George Coxey, Chief of Police in
13	Sparks.
14	SHERIFF SWINNEY: I'm Vincent Swinney, Washoe
15	County Sheriff. I'm beginning my third term as Sheriff.
16	THE CHAIR: Do any of you gentlemen have
17	introductory comments you would like to make?
18	CHIEF BRADSHAW: I would.
19	THE CHAIR: I will let you know in advance we have
20	lots of questions.
21	CHIEF BRADSHAW: I notice from this morning And
22	I had prepared some comments that I wanted to make, but I
23	also realized after being here this morning that you
24	would have more questions, and perhaps my comments are
25	somewhat esoteric as opposed to the more indenth

questions that you have. But I would like to make some of those particularly just to set the framework from the perspective of the community police model that we use here in the City of Reno if it would be permissible.

THE CHAIR: Please do.

CHIEF BRADSHAW: I passed out a blue folder that is symbolic of what we call our marketing plan with the community policing. And if you open the folder, on the right side of the folder is some material having to do with some of the programs and some of the things we do to reach out to the community.

On the left side there is three documents, one is an organizational chart, one is a comment on surveys, and another one is—— the last one is some training material.

I will refer you to those as we go through, but if you can find that material—

Tom's letter asked me to comment on a couple things. He asked me to talk about some comments on the changing nature of law enforcement and the role of the Police Chief and innovative programs having to do with Cops Plus. I will try to do that all in one sort of framework.

As I see policing today in the United States, I see things changing. Obviously one of the things to change is going to be because of this Rodney King incident down

in Los Angeles. I think the kind of work you are doing is going to be instrumental in some change along with some of the things that incident will bring about.

In this community we are seeing the same demands that are occurring in a lot of communities, larger demands for service, fewer dollars available and a changing demographics.

And as a result of two failures before the voters in the mid '80's, we decided in our staff meeting one day and after a trip that I had taken to Washington, D.C. to make some changes in the organization. And we came up with a community-oriented policing, Cops Plus, model that we currently use.

You have heard a lot about that this morning, and I believe -- And I won't bore you with that, but I would like to make a couple comments on what I believe that is.

I see policing changing from law enforcement back to policing, and that is an interesting phenomenon that I heard about when I visited last month. I was a member of a group involved from Harvard University, John F. Kennedy School of Public Administration where we talked about community policing. And George Kelnig, who was one of the tops in the field and was coauthor of the broken window philosophy of crime prevention, mentioned this distinction that he saw occurring.

All of us that have grown up in this business have sort of tried to get people to think of this thing as the more professional term of "law enforcement". He said, "You're wrong. It ought to be going back the other way because what we are doing in community policing is really a policing model, not law enforcement. We are not here to enforce the law. We are here to solve problems with the community, that this whole model is not just going out as you could imagine in the old days when you used to watch Dragnet, "Just give me the facts, only the facts."

What we are talking about now is not treating the symptoms but trying to determine the underlying problems. And I think that is what community policing is about, and I think that is the only way that we will survive. And that is the reason that the Reno Police Department has survived after our two failures before the electorate.

We need to empower our employees. That is, the traditional model of a change of command, people reporting to people, and demanding checks and balances, have to be loosened up to where the employee on the street who knows the community, who works in the neighborhood, can help set the priorities.

And we have to empower those employees to go out and solve problems. And that is what we have been able to do in the last two years. And things that you would

never think that were important problems to the community are things that in our traditional model had nothing to do with the robberies and the murders that we thought the people were interested in.

They are still interested in them, but their touchstone is a battered vehicle in front of their house or the group of kids harassing an elderly couple on the corner or the derelict on the front lawn.

That is what they are worried about. And what this does is empower—what this model does is empower an employee to try to solve that problem. We have to protect the discretion of the officer on the street and allow that officer to come up with innovative ways to solve people's problems.

We have done some really unique things. For instance, out in the Stead neighborhood when we started a community policing, we had complaints from the neighbors at our neighborhood advisory group meetings that, "Our kids have to walk in dirt to go to school. Can't we get any sidewalks?"

Well, on the traditional model that would have been, "That is not our problem." That would have been our standard response. The captain, who is not here today, "Well, maybe I can do something with that." He took the chance to step out of the standard mold, called

over to Public Works and suggested that they had a problem out there. And the Public Works Director says, "Well, we will build sidewalks out there in 1994, you know. It's on the list. It's right here."

"Can you do something about moving that up? It would really be appreciated."

Long story short, within a month they had sidewalks. And the police department was a hero for a change in this scenario. And I think that that is an example of the kind of thing that we have to do if we are going to be in partnership with the community.

Also community policing is customer driven, and what we have done to try to make it customer driven is to try to get as much community participation as possible.

If you look at the organizational chart, you will notice that the circles on the organizational chart represent community advisory groups, neighborhood advisory groups. We affectionately call them NAGS. Some people don't like that. They think that is demeaning to them. So we changed one of them in the south area. We now call it NAB, Neighborhood Advisory Board. These are not formal in the sense of your board. They are not formal in that they have a president, vice president. They are groups of people who have come together to talk about common problems in the neighborhood.

And then in some cases there is only one group in the downtown area, for example, the central area of town.

Capt. Galli's board consists of the downtown renovation folks, and they meet with him.

If you look at the south area, we have a number of people who tend to follow the community around, so there is a core group of neighborhood advisory group members.

And wherever the meeting is they sort of bring people from the neighborhood in.

The idea of this is just to get the community to help us set priorities, to find out if the traffic in the neighborhood is the biggest problem, not necessarily something we find in our crime analysis.

And when we run into these neighborhood groups, we sort of expand beyond that because—— You heard Rosemary Flores talk this morning, not in these terms, but in referring to getting together with the police department and giving them input. And I refer to that Hispanic advisory group as my advisory group, and they tell us what is going on in the Hispanic community. That is the largest growing community group in the City of Reno. So we do a lot of work to try to get direct community input.

The second thing we do-- And the next chart or the next paper is a community survey, and that is the "plus" in the community policing model. While there is probably

two hundred agencies or more across the United States now that use community-oriented policing, very few, if any, use the community survey as a driving force behind the decisions that are made in the organization.

Most of them, as are tradition, look at every quarter of the Part One crimes that come out from the FBI, that 16 percent of the things we deal with and tried to make decisions to reduce the number of Part One crimes. It's almost ludicrous to try to do that.

First of all, we have very little influence over any of those; secondly of all, it only represents about 16 percent of what we do. Most of what we do has to do with odd maintenance type things. So what we have done is we have created two kinds of surveys. You will hear more about that from Deputy Chief Jackson and his crew. And that is the "Plus" in our community policing model.

And this defines some of the benefits to that, and I won't bore you by going into those. The things we find out from these surveys are rather interesting, however. We have been doing surveys since 1987. Before we did the reorganization we had a baseline survey we did, and Dr. Peak talked about some of that this morning. I came in the middle of his presentation.

But interestingly enough we find out that police officers-- This isn't interesting. We all know that

police officers are the most important product in terms of the community's attitude. It doesn't make any difference what I do or what my command staff does. That contact with the police officer in the community is the most important ingredient and that officer's attitude in that contact is the most important thing that can happen.

We know if the contact is positive, if the relationship is positive, between the individual and the officer, 90 percent of the time that person will come back on our surveys with a high mark on our image portion of it. Even where the contact is negative almost 50 percent of the people come back and give us a good mark on our image in the surveys.

Now, I heard the comment this morning about my relationship with the local media, and not being so well, and that was accurate. I'm not particularly enamoured by some of the comments that were made in the press, because I don't think they go deep enough into the issues. They tend to skirt over them. But the media we find out from the surveys is our biggest factor in influencing some of the ratings. That is our best communication device.

We also know that their impact is very short-lived, and I think that you commented this morning about us taking a dip in one of our surveys. We took a dip. That was directly after that survey was taken, the week after

some very controversial things had occurred in the department. And on the next survey, the one you will hear a little bit more about this afternoon, we started back up on the upward scale again.

And we think that that is an anomaly. We don't know on these surveys what the optimum is because nobody is doing it this way, and no other police department is doing it this way. We don't know what the standard should be. We don't know if we are better or worse than somebody else because we can't compare it with anybody else. But with that we rely heavily on the survey. We think that is an important gauge, barometer, if you will, to what the community is saying about us and what kind of service we provide.

Let me quickly comment on the community policing and what it is and what it isn't. It's not a specialized unit. A lot of police departments say it's a community relations program. They take some handpicked people, assign them to the community-relation unit and say, "This is our community policing model."

That is I think a mistake. I think it's window dressing frankly, and they are not committed. Community policing is a philosophy in the organization, a philosophy that says the customer is telling us what the priority is. It says we solve problems; we don't treat

symptoms. Another thing that it is not is somebody else's responsibility.

I believe everybody in the Reno Police Department is a community-oriented policing officer. It isn't somebody else. It's their responsibility. It's just not another program. I think I spoke to that, and it's not a p.r. gimmick, although we very strongly believe in marketing this organization as some of the handout material you have seen.

We don't believe that that is misleading in any way. It is not a p.r. program. While there is a community relations component to it, if you will, it isn't a facade. That isn't the sole purpose of what we are doing. Community policing I think is the wave of the future, particularly if they use the survey instruments to help make the decision. And I believe the departments across the country will begin to pick that up more and more as time goes on.

THE CHAIR: If the panel doesn't have any problems, what I would like to do is have introductory comments from all three gentlemen. Then we could ask questions of the three. Does that sound fine?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: If I can say one more thing about a question Tom asked me about the Chief's role, and I think Vince and I talked about this a moment ago, and you

heard this morning some comments about police review boards or citizen review boards. And I take a very strong stand in opposition to that, not because I necessarily disbelieve in review boards, but I believe what review boards are there to do is my responsibility as the Chief Executive Officer of that police department. Hold me accountable for the actions of my personnel. If I am not doing the job, find somebody else that can do the job, who is willing to do the job and is willing to hold those people accountable.

To me review boards is a cop-out in my belief, that if somebody comes in with a complaint, I can turn to them and say, "Well, go see the chairman of the review board. They take care of that."

Believe me, ladies and gentlemen, my job would be tremendously easier if I had the ability to do that. But I don't think it's right because I think it's my job.

CHIEF COXEY: I'm George Coxey, the Chief of Sparks.

As part of the letter from Tom, I will focus on just some of the programs that our department is involved in, and hopefully you will think that some of them are a little unique and a little aggressive in our philosophy to try to get out into our community.

THE CHAIR: Chief Coxey, how long have you been

Chief in Sparks?

CHIEF COXEY: I've been Chief in Sparks for a little over four and a half years.

I don't want to-- I started formulating a long list of different programs, and I don't really want to take your time today and touch on each and every one of them. And I don't mean to-- By stepping over them I don't mean to deemphasize or devalue them, but I don't want to waste your time on programs you are probably very well familiar with and represent widely across the country.

But I'm looking at the D.A.R.E. program--I'm sure you are familiar with that--the Neighborhood Watch or Block Watch programs, Operation I.D., but I will submit these for your review later.

I've got a couple outlines here of some of the programs that we do provide. We have I think a number of programs that are perhaps overlooked today in reaching out into the community, and one that I particularly enjoy is the National Student Government Day.

Our city I guess has participated in this program for the last couple of years, and I kind of smile because I remember back when I was in high school, and I had the opportunity to ride with a deputy sheriff for a day. I don't know if that is why I'm where I'm at today, but I

think it's an extremely good program. And in our city it has led to the team forum where representatives from our two high schools meet with members of our City Council on a regular basis.

In fact, one of the outgrowths of that team forum program right now is we are looking at hosting a police department -- the city is looking to host our two high school graduating classes at Wild Island Waterpark here sometime next month.

Some of the other programs I think that are overlooked are ride-along programs where citizens are not only allowed but encouraged to come down and take a tour of duty with one of our officers.

Some things that I think most departments again probably have is equipment to communicate with the audio impaired in our communication center where we can help anyone who has a speech or hearing problem by means of this equipment over via the telephone.

Another program we found very helpful is our police reserve officer program. It's a way of introducing members of our community to a possible career with the Sparks Police Department or a law enforcement career elsewhere.

We participate in an awful lot of job fairs. We through our Personnel Department and Human Resources

Department try to pursue an accurate minority recruiting program. Some of the things that we do—and again I'm sure a lot of other agencies do—is participate in community social events or special events. And I received a letter yesterday thanking us for our participation in the black cultural awareness picnic a couple weeks ago where we sent an officer and Explorer and some of our equipment over for an opportunity to meet with some of our of citizens.

A program that is probably not uniform across the country but in a lot of places is our police chaplain's court. We have ten members of our religious community, community leaders and religious leaders, that assist the police department in the delivery of emergency messages and plan to assist us in any major dissaster in the community.

I think it's an excellent program, and we are looking to an expanding role in that program to assist in relieving the stress of some of our officers. And certainly it's been a program very worthwhile and very productive in assisting some of our citizens.

Quickly I would like to talk about a few of the programs, again maybe not all that unique, but we have embarked on a program of training our front-line personnel, not just our police officers and dispatchers,

but front desk personnel, in Spanish. And we have another week-long class starting I believe it's Monday.

And I will be happy to leave this stuff with you.

In addition to being aware of a language problem with just, you know, any conflict or difference in languages among people in realizing that the Reno-Sparks community sees an awful lot of people from around the world that may have problems or difficulties or need advice or help, we have entered into a contract with AT&T. They have a language bank.

And again I will leave this stuff for you. But we have a contract where within about 15 to 20 seconds we can be in contact with an interpreter of any one of 140 or so languages. We think that it's important to be able to provide the services and certainly not deny anybody their rights simply because we don't understand what they are saying.

Within one of the things we have done in our redevelopment area is--Many of you may have picked up the theme--Victorian Square. And to that end during some of our special events downtown we put officers in Bobby uniforms.

And this has been a very popular program with our citizens and with the officers. I think at first they thought, you know, "The Chief has gone off the deep end.

You know, that isn't for us." But I think within a couple hours of the first time we had the Bobby uniforms on the Square I had a couple officers, one saying, "Chief, this is great. What do I have to do to convince you that you need a walking beat down here in a Bobby uniform and I'm the guy for the job?"

1.7

Another comment was, you know, along the lines,

"Chief, this is really great. I can't walk 40 feet

without somebody handing me their child and asking to

have our picture taken together. " And the officers found

that to be really a very positive— extremely positive

experience and that, "Gee, we are not here enforcing, you

know, arresting and, you know, in a role that is

difficult at times. This is very positive, and we really

enjoy it." And at appropriate events we turn out about

eight to ten Bobby uniforms at different events.

Another program that I would like to share with you is to our knowledge we are the fourth in the country of what we call the Sparks Senior Phone Patrol. And very quickly what that does is on a daily basis we turn on a computer, and it makes telephone calls to senior citizens that are enrolled in our program to check on their welfare.

Very simply, if they can answer the phone, they get a recorded message, but by answering the phone it tells

our computer that they are all right. If they were not all right, but can still get to the phone in order to dial 911-- However, we also tell them that, you know.

"If we don't get an answer, you know, we will try again later because if you are in the shower, we don't want you-- The idea here is not to see if you can break your leg running down the stairs to answer the phone. We will check back with you."

And certainly the program checks back. And if we are still not getting a response, we have a system where they can also call in and say, "I will be at the beauty parlor or church tomorrow. I won't be here at the time the phone call comes." Okay, "fine."

But the bottom line is that if they do not and we do not have a call from them telling us where they will be, we will send an officer to check on them. We have a complete dosier on them with their next of kin, any medication, if their doctor— You know, if they have any pets, you know, certainly any pet gorillas, that tells me we may want to bring help. But if we are dealing with an eight-pound puppy, we can deal with that and get hold of the veterinarian and arrange to take care of the pets.

And in the last two years this program has been in effect I don't want to say we have saved eight lives, but we certainly have assisted eight citizens that may have

gone through some unnecessary suffering, everything from falling down where they couldn't get up to strokes. So it's a program that we are very proud of, and we feel it's a terrific program.

The last program I would like to touch on is our citizen's police academy, and again very simply what that is is we invite citizens from our community—— Right now we are focusing on community leaders and members of our Neighborhood Watch Program, schoolteachers, you know, just a wide variety of people to come down.

It's an eight-week program, not everyday of the week but one evening from about seven until ten. We expose them to different programs or different parts of the department, everything from the tour to ride-along with officers and a few hours in our communication center. We put on a demonstration by the SWAT Team. We explain what is involved in criminal investigations. We get into a few of the things like search and seizure.

Basically we try to answer a lot of the questions that citizens would have as to why do police officers do that and why do they do it the way they do. We also try to make it fun and a lot of hands-on. We set up some mock crime scenes and teach them a little bit about fingerprinting, crime scene sketching and have them actually go through and process some of these mock crime

scenes, everything from those important photographs to lifting fingerprints and things like this.

We are in our fourth academy now, and this is a program we have a lot of positive feedback from our citizens on. In fact, they have decided to form an alumni association. And we plan on meeting several times, at least once a year, maybe more often, at picnics or dinner.

And here a couple months ago I was able to bring in Mr. Jim Loder, Head of the FBI for Nevada. And he presented a very timely presentation to them on terrorism during the Desert Storm period here.

With that, I think I will end my comments and certainly be available for any questions, and I know you are pressed for time. So I will turn it over to Sheriff Swinney.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

SHERIFF SWINNEY: Part of my comments will be repetitive because we are involved in some of the same programs. We are involved with the D.A.R.E. program countywide. I'm proud to say the Sheriff's Office introduced D.A.R.E. to the all agencies to put a D.A.R.E. officer in the school and helped bring about the uniformity that is occurring at the present time.

As an agency we are also the first one in the state

to have officers certified as drug recognition experts and did the initial training state-wide on that. That is a program whereby if you get a suspected driver, who appears to be intoxicated, you get a reading on the breathalyzer. You do such things as pulse rate and expressions of the face, the gaze nystagmus, this type of thing. And you can tell if they are under the influence of other substances. And this is a program that I think has removed a number of dangerous drivers from the road.

I would agree with Chief Bradshaw that service is the predominant theme of the day. We are returning to a policing concept, service-oriented, delivery of what is needed in the community.

I would like to comment before I forget that the media makes much use of words like the war on drugs and the battle against crime, and I would like to point out to you that we can't when we find the target issue a cease and desist order in the sense of destroy-and-kill mission to go out there and take it out of the military unit. We must abide by some legal standard. So I think the use of terms like battle, war, this type of thing, sets up a lot of expectation on the part of the public as to what the police can deliver. At least we can't simply deliver in the same means that a military operation can.

I would like to also point out that crime has

become regional, national, international and empowered of law. Enforcement is basically local. So we must do a great deal of coordination and cooperation to achieve the same thing.

One of the two areas of criminality that is getting a lot of popular coverage is the serial rapist and serial killer. And these are people who are here today and then gone to Great Falls, Montana the next day and may be committing a crime in each location and may or may not return to our area for the same criminality. So crime is not only local, but is regional, national, international.

I think with regard to any of this that the initial philosophy of the agency becomes a standard barrier for the field delivery of service. I think you start with the premise that you are the good guys and you empower your officers with a chance to be the good guys, to be polite, to be concerned, to go beyond the initial response.

And in that regard I think that the community relations is a result. It's not a bandaid cover for a problem.

In terms of what Chief Coxey talked about with regard to programs, we borrowed some from them. We are also doing a citizens' academy. It's proving very

•1

successful. The Sheriff's Office is unique in that we have the responsibility for some additional activities. Like in the civil processes we are required to serve evictions, executions on real property, some fairly sticky legal environments that take a great deal of diplomacy to bring off without undue conflict.

Also we have the responsibility for search and rescue, and this gives us a positive image builder that if it's done correctly keeps you in front of the public in a very positive way.

And in that regard we have got one of the best first response teams, at least on the West Coast, in the Hasty Team, which was just commented on by the legislature in terms of resolution and naming of yesterday's date as Hasty Day in Nevada.

The Sheriff in the State of Nevada is also charged as a first legal responsibility with the keeping of the jail, and we have got a 700-bed facility, which is now averaging a 700-daily population. We are going through 29,000 bookings a year for a total of 19 agencies. And we are recognized as one of the top three direct supervision facilities in the United States at the present time. This is not by our evaluation, but this is by the evaluation of the National Institute of Corrections and in the bodies that are sending teams here

to look at our detention facility and what it is doing.

And in that regard it is a model.

Last year we played host to over 20 foreign groups of dignitaries of foreign countries, including the ten Russian judges that were here at the judicial college. We were the only local facility that they toured. And so we are guite proud of that.

With regard to inmate programming, in that direct supervision environment we have a literacy program going that is automated. We have a GED program that will pass our hundredth graduate this year in GED. We have got other basic adult education programs going. We also have the capacity to host Alcoholics Anonymous, Narcotics Anonymous, Gamblers Anonymous, some of the other help programs that are self-help oriented.

We use approximately 200 volunteers a week in the jail, everything from religious Bible study instructors to religious services to tutors and helpers in the literacy program.

The last two items I would like to comment on is I would like to echo what Chief Bradshaw said. I think a civilian review board is a mistake. I think the civilian input needs to be on the front end where we talk about philosophy and practices and goals and objectives of the agency and set that basic philosophy through the delivery

of service.

I think we have tools enough on the back end that when an error is committed or a wrong is done, you have got the chance of prosecution. You have the chance of the Grand Jury, the Coroner's Inquest. There are any number of things that can review the incident afterwards.

The one thing that I think is the Sheriff's or Chief's responsibility, and if he's not doing it, then bring him to task or her to task, not set up a review board that is going to take six months to a year to decide what was decided in the field in less than a second.

I have got real strong feelings. In fact, if the Legislature passes a civilian review statute, I will seriously consider retiring on its face.

Also with regard to minority recruiting—I know this question came up this morning—it's extremely difficult. Chief Bradshaw and I started a program several years ago with the black community and asked them to recruit potential recruits for police work.

We went out personally and met with these people.

We had an initial interest group of 40 after the

screening. We ended up with four on the hire list. So

it's extremely difficult to recruit in that particular—

several of the ethnic identities you talked about this

morning.

With that I will sit with the rest of them for questions.

THE CHAIR: I'm going to take my prerogative as being Chair to ask the first one. We have had some different opinions expressed this morning from different minority communities, and there is a perception that somehow immigration is connected with the Reno Police Department.

If they get picked up, automatically they are deported, et cetera, and a lot of the Hispanic community especially feels that regardless of what is happening, if they are picked up for speeding or something really, you know, in consequence that they are always asked for their green card.

Do you have any comments about that?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: Well, I guess my reaction to that is that— I have heard the green card comment before, but I haven't heard it recently. That was something that was brought to me in '84, '83 or 4. That was fairly prevalent. I haven't heard that recently regarding the connection between immigration and the police department.

I have no idea where that comes from. I don't have any feeling that there is even any connection between the two. I don't believe that there is holds put on at

booking necessarily unless somebody has a warrant out for them or something like that. So I guess I'd have to have the specifics of those incidents before I could look into them.

THE CHAIR: It's strictly a perception that when something happens, then immediately immigration is there.

And that is the perception of the minority community.

CHIEF BRADSHAW: Yes. I really don't have a good feel for that. I can look into it and find out. But that is sort of a new complaint to me.

THE CHAIR: What do any of you do about--Again this is a perception issue probably. I don't know how factual it is--the perception of a lot of the young people in the minority populations that, you know, have contact with the police and it is quote a bad experience. And how do you try to turn that around so that it's not a degrading, et cetera, type experience?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: My initial response to that is all three of us have programs to try to put youngsters into positive contact with uniformed police officers, the D.A.R.E. program, the programs that we have all mentioned, PAL and those kind of things, Explorers.

And so I don't-- If you are talking about the enforcement aspect of it, and that relationship, obviously we are going to-- You will hear a little bit

more this afternoon. And I believe all three of us do this. We do what we call cultural communications training.

We try to get the officer to look through the eyes of the person they are talking to to understand from their perspective what is going on, and I think that is the most important type of training you can do, because we do a very good job of training people how to be police officers and how to enforce the law. We don't do a very good job of teaching them how to feel when somebody who is the law comes up to them, and I think that is probably— if we make a complete transition to that, that is probably the best training we can can have.

THE CHAIR: Is that training mandatory?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: As we speak right now, there is a training class, a 24-hour training class, that is going on in the Reno Police Department Training Center that is mandatory for every employee, not just civilian but sworn and non sworn, below the rang of captain. So I guess it is, yes.

You heard comments this morning also from Rosemary about her concern about the type of training we had, and there it wasn't definite. I agree with that. When we went to community policing in 1987, we went through 40 hours of community education. We brought in every aspect

of the community, the Hispanics, blacks, gays, the handicapped, and we had them give presentations. The suggestion from some of the community members was to do that same type of training again.

And it was my decision and, therefore, my responsibility, and I said I'm going to step back from that. I'm going to go and do some values training. I would rather get a good foundation on community values and values that exist in the community rather than getting down into the department, because I think you need that foundation before you can really go into the techniques type thing.

So the type of training we are trying to do now is based upon the values. We have done the departmental values, so that is part of it. And then we have the consultant come in and try to talk about different values, different cultures.

THE CHAIR: Do you think the minorities are being too hard on the police department? Are their expectations out of whack with what you can provide?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: One of the mediums we talked about this morning, which is the Hispanic NAG, the meetings that we have got together, that was frustrating for me because they wanted immediate change. They wanted a quick fix. If we had officers that were asking for their

green card, they wanted that stopped.

Now, we can stop it from occurring, but we can't change the attitudes by writing an order. You can't change people's attitude by saying you shall not do this.

My sense is a long-term fix is to get them to understand why that is offensive to the officers, to build a foundation instead of that. So I guess to answer your question, if they want a quick fix, I think that is too much to expect at this point.

MR. SANCHEZ: Chief Bradshaw, two questions: You worked very hard to put together this philosophy of law enforcement. One, do you have any input into selecting your successor; and, two, do you have any assurance that the next Chief will continue with your programs?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: I think the answer to that is a qualified no. I've not been asked to be involved in the process. It's left to others to select my successor, with the exception that I have a lot of faith in all my executive staff, the captains and the assistants and the two Deputy Chiefs, that they believe in this philosophy. I think that and I truly believe that we are a step ahead of most other agencies across country. And that is based upon the experience I have been through in the last year. I would think that we would continue along this philosophy if we are inside, but I have no guarantees

that will occur, nor do I believe that there is a strong push for that at this point.

SHERIFF SWINNEY: I would like to make comment on the question with regard to minority youth. None of us in the three agencies that are represented here— None of us under the circumstances have manpower sufficient to simply turn someone lose to be a contact person in the minority youth community. A field officer can spend the majority or all of his time or her time in that particular environment.

We are operating something less than two persons per thousand in Field Operations. And the national average is approachings 2.5, and some of us are back to 1.7, 1.8, per thousand. So manpower would answer part of that problem, and there is just not the budget in any of the three entities to cover that kind of manpower increase.

MR. SANCHEZ: Sheriff, do you have any bilingual officers?

SHERIFF SWINNEY: Yes.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you have any programs similar to Chief Coxey in terms of training your people in some conversational Spanish?

SHERIFF SWINNEY: We have gone through a training program with conversational Spanish, and it probably

should be about time to do it again. And we try to keep it scheduled, for instance, in detention. So we have bilingual people on each shift in detention because that becomes a problem when they are arrested and brought in.

We also cooperate with a number of language banks, so we have access to interpreters.

MR. SANCHEZ: One final question. I know that the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department as well as the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department are finding themselves having to go outside their communities to recruit in general. Do you find yourself in the same situation?

SHERIFF SWINNEY: The last corrections or detention deputy lists that we tested for had over 300 applicants, and the majority of them were from outside the area.

MS. SADER: This is for Chief Bradshaw. In testimony this morning we heard that additional Spanish speaking officers are needed, and yet Mr. Reyes is rotating out of his Hispanic Liaison Officer position and is not being replaced. Do you think that will be a detriment to the department?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: No. I'm not sure where they got that impression. The Hispanic Liaison Officer that we have is what we call a temporary duty assignment. That is an assignment that I decided to do based upon some

conversation with the Hispanic community that has not been approved by the City Council at this point.

I made a presentation to the budget hearings last Saturday and requested that they make that a permanent position along with the PAL positions and the D.A.R.E. positions. At this point that is still in limbo. They haven't made that decision. It is more of a technical issue.

My intent is, and I think the intent of the people that are on the department now, to maintain that. The liaison position I think is an important position.

Now, Modesto Reyes has asked to go back to the regular patrol assignment. We would have to find another officer to replace him.

If I may make one comment, if I can, Madam

Chairman--Chairperson I guess it is--about the comment

about INS. The INS office is a building that we use as a

training, work card facility-- Some of the requests with

the police department maybe is easily explained being

they are the same location of the major faction of the

police department. I hadn't thought of that possibility.

MR. SANCHEZ: Chief Coxey, earlier we heard testimony from members of the community here that indicated that they felt that the Sparks Police Department is not as well-trained in the use of weapons

as some of the other agencies. Do you have any comment on that?

CHIEF COXEY: Well, I think Chief Bradshaw and Chief Swinney can maybe elaborate a little bit further in terms it is my understanding before I came to the Sparks Police Department there was some concern or I don't know if there is movement to come down and literally close down the Sparks Police Department due to lack of training and certification of certified officers.

Since then I have been getting beat up by the officers because we have 80 hours of inservice training every year. And as far as weapons and such are concerned, we go through a weaponless defense. We qualify with the duty weapons on a quarterly basis.

I guess I am biased. I'm very much in favor of training. Our standards are— The State's standard while they require only 20 hours of inservice training for an officer during the year I am proud to say in fact we are doing at least 80 hours. That is not counting where we sent officers to schools in other locations. It's in addition to our POST academy— you know, sending officers to POST academy. It's in addition to our field training officer program where an officer comes out of the academy. We orient him for another three months or so to the way we— you know, our philosophy, our way of

doing things in the Sparks Police Department.

We train-- Our instructors are certified. The 80 hours of training is approved by the POST Board every year and has been for the last few years since I have been here. I'm trying to think of here a couple other points I would like to make as far as training is concerned.

In the community relations area we have reduced citizen complaints by 45 percent over the last few years.

I attribute an awful lot of that to our training program.

We have expanded or made the citizen's complaint process available on a 24-hour basis. We have a watch commander on every shift that is certainly available to respond immediately to that citizen and try and explain or satisfy any questions or issues that they have, and they certainly don't try to talk anybody out of it.

We have a follow-up process with an internal affairs investigation, and we-- I sent a letter to each citizen that signed a complaint informing them I'm aware of their complaint, it is being investigated and when we are through with it, we--

During the course of the investigation they are obviously in touch with the assigned investigator or commander. We use a lieutenant or higher to do the investigations. At the end we send them a letter giving

them the disposition.

And our internal investigations are reviewed by the City Attorney's Office so that when it goes out of the house, there is so to speak no cover-up. There is no whitewash. If they are doing something wrong, we will straighten it out.

And we don't just look at the officer's actions.

We also try to do a policy that may be involved. And,
you know, if it's the Chief, and we have a bad policy, we
change it. We look at doing that. If there is a problem
with equipment, we look at changing that. So we try and
seriously evaluate those complaints, and they also play a
role in identifying some of the courses or topics,
subjects, that are going to be covered in our next
inservice training program.

An example of how important I think our training is is two years ago we had a demonstration in Sparks on B Street around the Nugget. It was the American Disabled for Access to Public Transportation, if you remember that. They are a nationally known group. They take some extreme measures in their demonstrations.

And we ended up making 74 arrests. We have not been sued. We have not been— There were no complaints against the department. In fact, representatives of that organization spoke up in court complimenting the police

officers, and another group appeared before our City Council saying they had no problem with the way the Sparks Police Department dealt with them.

And it's kind of amusing I think that when they left, we asked them, "Well, you know, if this was a school, what kind of a grade would you give us?" And I think the one guy wanted to say, "We will give you an A," but looking at all his friends I don't think he could do that. So he said, "I will give you a B plus."

So I said we had 74 arrests during that week, and the training that went into how to deal with that I think was extensive. It was elaborate. We sent commanders to different cities where they have had problems or encounters with this group before, and we were able to come back and work with the community resources, with the Reno Police Department and Sheriff's Office and other agencies and the school district. We were able to do the resources that we need to deal with them and the environment that was respectable of their right to demonstrate and at the same time, you know, providing public safety to other people that were at the hotel.

THE CHAIR: I'm just going to ask one question because we are running out of time. You have made your point real clear that you don't believe in some type of citizen's review board. What is your recommendation in

terms of -- for this committee in getting citizen input and getting some of the communication gaps worked out?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: I guess my response to that would be an expansion and maybe refinement of the model we already have with the Community Neighborhood Advisory Groups, getting involved with the department and continue to encourage continuation of that kind of a program.

Another area that I think needs to be studied from a research standpoint tends to be—— citizen participation tends to be directly in relationship to the number of issues that are concerning them. If there is no issue, you don't have a good turnout. That happens to be our model in the northeast area. If we have a lot of issues and lot of a notority, we have 150 people turnout. If it has been a slow period, we will have 10 or 15. I think some of that participation needs to be examined from a research standpoint to see how to keep people involved in these kind of programs without having to have an issue or a hot spot that has to be treated.

THE CHAIR: Anybody else, anything to add briefly?

SHERIFF SWINNEY: Another point on citizen activity

I would like to see is time and budget. I have been in

the budget process with my own agency for in excess of 20

years, and the most citizens that ever turned out to

speak for or against the Sheriff's Office's budget has

been three.

THE CHAIR: Do you think if you had input from the citizens, you would have different results from the budgeting process?

SHERIFF SWINNEY: I really do, and I think that-They talk about us spending money after the fact. They
very seldom show up to express their opinions as to how
they would like us to spend that money, and the dollar
does get attention.

MS. SADER: We heard from some officers that when they are disciplined, they don't seem to be dealt with fairly or on the same level. Do any of you have any comments about uniform discipline codes?

CHIEF BRADSHAW: I do. I have an opinion on everything. You know, I think that is really a difficult situation. We tried, oh, about seven, eight years, ago to come up with a uniform code, and surprisingly enough about the time we were putting the final touches on it the ones that were the most critical of it were the unions and associations themselves. They were opposed to it. Yeah, I think have you to take each case individually, and you have to treat each situation within some parameters of progressive discipline. You have to treat them as individual cases. And I get accused of being unfair— unequal treatment on some of these

discipline issues. And I have looked at those very carefully, and I have a self-serving statement. I tend to believe I weigh the evidence very closely, and I scrutinize it very closely before I make a decision.

The idea of discipline is to keep that behavior from repeating itself. That is why we do this.

Sometimes you have to send people out of the organization to keep that from recurring, but as long you can accomplish that goal, I think that you can say you are a success.

My biggest problem in 1981 when I came here was off-duty conduct, and frankly this town, as you can imagine, is very conducive to that kind of stuff when you have a bunch of young employees who want to go off after work and have a good time. It took me about four or five years before I was able to straighten that situation out.

And it ended up I had to send a very good police officer down the road and tell him to go find another job before I got that straightened out, but I don't have that problem anymore. So I guess it's the proof of the pudding argument. Although none of us of us like to be disciplined when you are subject to it, oftentimes it's unfair to you. But if you can keep those kind of behaviors from recurring, it's been a success.

THE CHAIR: I would like to thank you all for

coming.

And what we will do is take about a two-minute break and try and get back on schedule here.

(A recess was taken.)

THE CHAIR: I understand that Sgt. Roger Clark is here.

All right. We will call this Commission meeting to order again, and would both of you please introduce yourselves.

SGT. CLARK: Madam Chairperson and member of the Commission, I'm Sgt. Roger Clark, Reno Police Department.

I'm Training Commander for the department.

OFFICER SHIRLEY GILETTI: I'm Shirley Giletti, temporarily assigned to recruiting for the police department.

THE CHAIR: Please go ahead.

SGT. CLARK: Thank you very much. I welcome this opportunity to make a presentation before this Commission. I think it is long overdue. We should have I believe in the police department — Our training over the last few years should receive some recognition.

I can think of no better forum than this to present to you what efforts and strides we have made in training members of our department. When I say "training for our department", I mean all members of our department, not

just sworn personnel, but we traditionally hear about also the non sworn members of the department.

I would like to start out with— I would like to in a chronological setting and start my presentation out with the community—oriented policing training that the department under—went when they implemented the Cop Plus philosophy to the department when Chief Bradshaw first indicated that was the service delivery philosophy which was being employed.

In October of 1987 Chief Bradshaw met with myself and several members of the executive staff and indicated, like I said before, he wished to implement the Cop Plus philosophy--"Plus" being the assurance section of it--but in general the community-oriented policing philosophy in our department in order to turn around some of the problems we have been having in the community, the relationships with the officers and not just officers but the civilian employees also, to the community.

At that time I was directed to establish a training program 40 hours in duration of all members of the department in focusing on the introduction of individuals from the community representing the community a, broad spectrum of the community and all aspects, who the police officers come in contact with. The relationships have been somewhat less than desirable between those entities.

At that time we implemented the 40-hour training.

It went for three months, and it was so diversified and broad in spectrum that it included in addition to the cultural awareness also heritage awareness training put on by the black, Hispanic, American Indian, asian communities all the way down to members of the Alarm Committee and everything in between, including members of the gay community, members from the elderly community and things like that.

The idea here was to make the officer aware that there were other things out there in the community that were available to him or her or to the civilian aspect—were available to them to assist them in handling the problems that come up in their contact with the citizens.

I think that at first initially there was some resistance to that as there generally is to change in philosophies. The officers didn't feel that it was something that they wanted to really participate in, and there was some resistance to that. However, I think as the program progressed and the training progressed—

We completed that training, by the way, over a 12-week period, 40 hours a week. As it progressed they began to see that the job was getting a lot easier. They weren't getting as many complaints against them as a result of their contacts, and there is an awareness of

the fact that they weren't out there alone. They didn't have to do everything themselves. There were other agencies out there that could assist in their problems.

. 2

The idea was to stimulate them in their thought processes in dealing with situations in other than the traditional law enforcement way, which was strictly an enforcement type of thing. You broke the law. You are going to jail. We wanted to make them aware that there were agencies that were there to assist them in family counseling, if necessary, crisis intervention and things like that in dealing with the diversified problems out there.

Since that time we have undergone several other training programs in that same vein, in particular the interpersonal communications, which is part of the original training, how to talk to people, how to talk to each other—A lot of the problems stem from officers not talking to each other—or how supervisors talk to subordinates, et cetera. That was all included in there, but since that time we have carried on with that. We conduct that interpersonal training communication at the academy level, and we do it inservice.

We started our Safety 88 hiring in 1988. We implemented with those officers recruiting from the--graduating from the academy sort of a mini retreat, a get

together with the Chief of Police and the officers and their wives and sit down and talk out the issues, explain to them what the officer's job is, to take some of the stress off the officer, too.

Part of the problem is the officer is under a lot of stress, and he reflects that stress when he deals in the community. Get the stress out of the family, if at all possible, alleviate that to some degree, and maybe the pressure will be off the officer. He will be able to respond a little bit better to the citizen.

We underwent that with all the Safety 88 hirees we did, and that was part of their training. We implemented the Regional Academy at the Truckee Meadows Community College, and it really didn't focus in on the Reno Police Department's philosophy.

As a result, we established a three-week orientation at the end of that academy so when they completed 12 weeks at the academy, they had an additional three weeks of training, in-house training, to orient them to the Reno Police Department's philosophy and its procedures, policies and procedures.

And that is followed up by the Field Training

Program, which again the officer, the new hire, is placed

under the direct supervision of a regular officer who

should instill that philosophy, Cop Plus philosophy, in

the new officer as they apply the lessons learned in the classroom.

Just recently we have conducted and as of today as we speak are conducting a 24-hour program on cultural communications, problems of policing and a variety of other pertinent training techniques to the entire department, both sworn and non sworn.

Now, that is over and above the 24 hours of POST mandated training we put on normally. The police department also has five critical task areas that they receive training in on an annual basis, and that includes handgun qualification, weapons defense training, nightstick training, first aid, CPR and defensive driving techniques.

So this all goes on continuously throughout the year as time permits. You have to understand to try to staff the police department and provide the service level that we have been it takes an awful lot of commitment on

the part of the department, manpower, to put on training for all of its personnel. It is quite a thing to juggle. It's my responsibility to try to coordinate that, but it does have a tendency to reduce our staffing on some occasions.

Now, the Field Services Division have been very innovative in their scheduling of personnel. So we have two days a week as it is now that we can afford to pull people out and do some rather extensive training. And we have been utilizing that.

In addition to my responsibilities as the training sergeant for the department, I'm also in charge of the department's reserve officer program, which has grown in number from two to right now we are at a 40-person level, 40 members, in the reserve program. This provides us with an excellent avenue for employee development.

A lot of the people that are in that program are there because they wish to become police officers, but for maybe one reason or another they have not had the exposure or the ability to receive training or other things that might make them competitive. They fill the reserve program. We have an opportunity to get a protracted view of them over the years. There is a career path available to them. We have just in the last year got a career path available for transitional hiring

of reserve officers, and it's an excellent affirmative action program. And we do use that.

THE CHAIR: I have a question for you in terms of recruiting young men and women. If they have any type of criminal record, even it it's, you know, something that is not significant, I mean in terms of the range of crimes, are they automatically eliminated?

SGT. CLARK: It would depend a lot on what the crime is. It's very important what the crime is. If it's a narcotic violation, it's very minor, it's a possession charge or something like that of marijuana or something like that, that may not factor in. It would certainly be considered. Any criminal record would be very closely scrutinized. We would do the presentation to the Chief. It's his decision.

THE CHAIR: What we are trying to find out is as a practical matter a lot of the young people today are not quote clean. They have had a brush-in with the law for some reason or another. No offense. Some of us grew up in rural Nevada. If we got caught, we would have probably had worse records. That is why I'm wondering how significant that is.

SGT. CLARK: Well, let me pass that question on to Officer Gilletti who can really give you some astounding figures just how bad that is.

OFFICER GILLETTI: It is getting worse. We do the backgrounds, of course, on people, and we are finding—
Every time we do backgrounds we are getting more and more admissions of major drug use. There was a time when probably all officers could put in a clean sheet, not any longer.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in conjunction with that, as you know, we have the D.A.R.E. program and PAL program and everything starting in schools now. And the sergeant has started--He has put a presentation forward to the Chief for a new program budget called the Yes Program. And this is directed in correlation with "Say no to drugs, say yes to a law enforcement career." Because our drug usage on our backgrounds is just getting unbelievable. We are having people that are having major, major drug usage up until about six months before they decide they want to be a police officer and expecting us to hire them. And nothing, nothing, is a total disqualifier other than a The Chief has said no to anything that hallucinagen. could have a flashback. THE CHAIR: What about vandalism or shoplifting?

OFFICER GILLETTI: The only thing by state law is a felony conviction. You cannot be a police officer if you have a felony conviction. We do have a questionnaire we have them fill out. They are usually very candid. It

can be backed up with a polygraph. It is not always.

And certain areas are highlighted, and those are anything from shoplifting, drug use, everything, and it is listed serious admissions and critical admissions. Critical admissions being the worst.

And we have had people admit to felony convictions on that that up until that time we had not considered. We have had other people admit to committing felonies but just not getting caught. Of course, in this state marijuana can be a felony. But it's just what type of drug, what type of crime, and how much, how recent, and it's a whole package presented to the Chief.

MR. SANCHEZ: I would like to ask a question of Sgt. Clark. You were talking about stress earlier. Do you happen to have an employees' assistance program here for the officers?

SGT. CLARK: Yes, the City does have one.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you find that is effective?

MR. SANCHEZ: Another question. Maybe you are not

the right individual here, but I asked a question earlier concerning psychological testing for police officers. Is that done here in the City of Reno as part of the selection process?

OFFICER GILLETTI: Yes, it is, by Dr. Nims. We use his services.

MR. SANCHEZ: Is he local?

OFFICER GILLETTI: Yes.

MR. WALTHER: If you get -- it appears apparent from evidence that an officer is maybe passing through the initial screening, but after a few years is not perhaps emotionally dealing well with problems with the public, does he come back for another screening, another look, from the psychologist?

SGT. CLARK: If he's been identified as having a problem as a result of stress, are you talking about, in his ability to handle people or deal with people?

MR. WALTHER: Whatever.

SGT. CLARK: There is psychological counseling available to members of this department.

MR. WALTHER: Who decides when that is obtained?

In other words, suppose you gettting some complaint or incident that calls to your attention that the officer is really not handling this situation well? Is there somebody in the department who has the authority to say,

"Before you go back out on the street we want to have you see so and so?"

SGT. CLARK: The Chief of Police has that authority.

MR. WALTHER: And is it done?

SGT. CLARK: Yes, I believe it has been done.

OFFICER GILLETTI: Yes, it has been done.

SGT. CLARK: It's not just that. It could be a drinking problem or something like that, so it's a variety of problems that would be referred.

MR. WALTHER: There was substantial criticism this morning, and just to probe into it a little bit, apparently notice gets out to areas in the minority community that there are potential job applications, but it's difficult to have somebody make the decision. They come in and then get through that process.

Is there anybody in your affirmative action group or your affirmative action officer that goes out and actually gives special attention to some people in the minority community who have potential who go out and try to bring them in the system even if you have to grab them by the back of the neck and try and get them out of the situation they are in? Do you have that potential?

SGT. CLARK: Yes, there is. Officer Gilletti does that amongst others. We also have other officers that

identify them in the department who once a good applicant or candidate is recognized, whether they apply or not, we certainly would go out there and try to sway them to apply.

Over and above that I might add that should the applicant not do well on a test, not do high-- or finish high enough to be hired, if that person is recognized as an excellent candidate or something like that, we approach them and ask them to affiliate with our reserve program. Because maybe it's just that one little thing, that we need to get them up there, that is going to assist them in getting the career that they want, if they are a good applicant, good candidate.

You have to understand, ladies and gentlemen, if we are talking about 800 applications here and maybe we are hiring 10 people and maybe the score here between the first place finisher and the tenth place finisher is less than one point, those people that are down below ten are still very good applicants, very good candidates. And particularly if they are minorities, you certainly don't want to lose them.

MR. SANCHEZ: Sergeant, this document was just laid on my desk. I wish I would have seen this last night.

It's an executive recruitment and selection plan from Hughes, Hites & Associates, and I know they are very

expensive, so--

SGT. CLARK: That is a very comprehensive report.

MR. SANCHEZ: They have made some recommendations here on page 19 concerning the number of refinements that have been considered to strengthen the effectiveness of recruiting women and minorities. I notice the last one on page 2015 is, "Replace the rule of three with banding."

Now, that you have raised the issue of scores,
"replace"-- And in this I know it means a Civil Service
rule issue. If you are going to the rule of three and
you have minorities, I know that depending on the
reliability of your test, there may not be a great deal
of difference between somebody who got a 92 and somebody
who has got an 89 or even a 90 and someone who has-Have these recommendations by the consultants been
implemented? Are they in the process of being
implemented? What is the status of this?

SGT. CLARK: After this report we graduated, you know, one academy since then, and it was very minor. We only had one regular officer attending. So a lot of these have been discussed. We are still looking at them. We look at the cost effectiveness. We have to consider the cost involved in some of these recommendations, too. They are extremely— Some of them are expensive. Not to

say that that is all important, but it is certainly a fact we have to consider.

We have not been given a go-ahead to start recruiting for another academy. Should we get that, certainly we will look at these very carefully and make a decision on what we want to do.

Now, the rule of three and banding was discussed in the presentation that Mr. Hites made before the City Manager, and I believe that there are certain provisions in the State of the law that require a rule of three to be implemented here.

MR. SANCHEZ: I have to object to that, but the Chief wants to make a statement here.

CHIEF BRADSHAW: I can tell you what the status of that is, Mr. Sanchez. That was presented to the City Council. The City Council has referred that to a Charter Review Committee. They review that to get that implemented. We favor that kind of revision, but it has not yet been implemented.

MR. SANCHEZ: I know. I've seen it done in many agencies throughout Nevada. Whether they have to change a charter or Civil Service rule, this I think probably would be one of the best boons for you in terms of affirmative action.

SGT. CLARK: We don't disagree with that. We would

like to do that.

OFFICER GILLETTI: We discussed along with that-However, we did not have any blatant ethnic group that
did not do well this last time. We were really pleased
with every group in our-- In the top of our list we had
every representation in the top 50.

THE CHAIR: Do you have any explanation for why it's necessary to recruit minorities outside of the area?

OFFICER GILLETTI: Well, if you look on page 11-I know you asked that earlier. That was one of the
things we tried this time. And as you will see, number
80, page 11 on our successful people, they didn't come
from out of the area. So this was an excellent
experience for us. We went to many places in California,
Oregon and Washington trying to get more minorities to
apply, which we did. However, our successful finishers
were right here at home.

SGT. CLARK: There is some reluctance on the part of minorities to leave their own community to come up here to an unknown— OFFICER GILLETTI: Some of our trips were directly related to speaking to the leaders of the community. We spoke to a couple people here at UNR, one was Dave Torres. And two of the trips to California were a direct result of what Dave Torres told us about recruiting Hispanics.

THE CHAIR: How do you go into the community here locally? What do you do?

OFFICER GILLETTI: We attend all the job fairs that come up, classes, UNR, TMCC. Any time they call and ask us to come speak to their class we have a recruiting video we show.

We are currently working on a video, "A day in the life of a police officer," to kind of give students an idea of actually what the job really is rather than what they see on t.v..

We just did a video on how to complete our test, and we show those to anybody. And we will go speak to anybody--

THE CHAIR: So even if a group asks you to come for a presentation--

OFFICER GILLETTI: We have gone to nursery schools.

SGT. CLARK: --we are there.

OFFICER GILLETTI: We will go to anybody.

it as extremely important that we start orienting people toward law enforcement at a very young age to keep them clean throughout. We want to work closely in conjunction with our other youth groups, especially people who are in the high risk groups. And so that if they come up to us, they are not putting in a resume saying that they used

cocaine 500 times in the last two months and they still think they should be hired.

There has to be awareness right from the beginning at a very early age. Through our youth programs I think we can do that. We can build a good foundation, human resource foundation, right here in this community. And these are the people that are going to maybe be the best police officers in my estimation, the ones that have a vested interest in this community and want to work for this community.

MR. WALTHER: Is there ever a communication or maybe a cooperative effort, maybe a committee, between Sparks and Reno and Washoe County to go out and find qualified minorities in the community? Is there a committee where you kind of say, "I know Fred over here and, you know, we are full here, but--" you know, communicate so that these efforts are not being competitive or a group--

SGT. CLARK: We talk informally with the other agencies, but I want you to keep in mind we are all in competition for the best people, and I'm not going to go over to Sparks and say, "Look, you know, here is a real neat guy. You ought to recruit him," when I can recruit him myself. It's very competitive. We are not only competing in the public sector, but the private sector is

extremely important.

Minorities now know there is a great demand, tremendous demand, for them, you know, for good paying jobs. And we have to offer a lot to get the good ones to come in here. It's not a give-away program. They know they are being heavily recruited. You go up to any University's campus and minorities are heavily recruited because of affirmative action, particularly women. And we are in direct competition.

We can't offer them money, so we have to offer them benefits and a good, steady job, a good paying job, a good career, and that is what we stress.

THE CHAIR: I hate to cut this off, but we are running again behind time.

MR. SANCHEZ: A real quick comment here. We have had testimony from members of the Hispanic community stating that in this area Hispanics comprise approximately ten percent of the population.

In the report and elsewhere I have seen in documents presented to us that the Hispanic population of the City of Reno is five percent, and that is indicated on page nine of this report. Then we go to page 11, talking about successful women and minority candidates from the Reno-Sparks metropolitan area.

My concern is this: The Equal Employment

Opportunity Commission, if I'm not mistaken, requires in an affirmative action plan that you compare yourself in terms of the population of a standard metropolitan statistic area. And so playing with statistics perhaps that Hispanic disparity may be up to seven, eight, nine percent that you have yourself up against.

So you might want to pass it on to your affirmative action officers. I don't think five percent would be the benchmark that you would be looking for if you are talking about the entire metropolitan area.

SGT. CLARK: I want to qualify that, too, Mr.

Sanchez, by saying that while that is a realistic figure that you are throwing out at us, let me tell you from the standpoint of recruiting I hold myself to minimum numbers. If we can recruit 14 percent Hispanic, we will do it. If they are good, qualified people, we will do that. I'm not sitting here shooting for parity in the community at a minimum. If I can get more, I'm going after all I can get. Okay.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Your information will be most helpful.

Next I would like to call on Deputy Chief Lonny Jackson.

Did you have someone else with you?

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: Yes. I have Mr. Steve

Bingham, Statistical Analyst for the Quality Assurance
Bureau.

THE CHAIR: Please come forward and introduce yourselves.

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: First, I would like to introduce myself. I'm Deputy Chief Jackson from the Quality Assurance Bureau for the Reno Police Department, and this is Mr. Steve Bingham, who is the Statistical Analyst in charge of surveys for the Reno Police Department and Quality Assurance.

On your agenda I notice that you have Capt. Ron Glensor, who was going to speak in my absence. I just returned from Philadelphia, and I have a prepared statement to the Commission that I'm going to deviate from somewhat.

Perhaps it's because I have an enthusiasm for the Reno Police Department. I guess that is parallel. I have been associated with them as a civilian since 1965, and I became a police officer in 1971.

During that period of time I have noticed a transition from an era of segregation in the Reno area. If you can recall, Reno itself was segregated as late as 1964. Blacks were not allowed to go into the clubs, and some Hispanics, some native Americans were not allowed to go into the casinos. They could not live where they

wanted to in the Reno area. Housing was segregated.

Jobs were segregated. There were no police officers at all of color on the Reno Police Department.

So, therefore, I have been able to witness from total segregation to what I consider total integration, and I can't be more specific than that.

I heard you ask questions as to who goes out into the community to see if there are qualified people. I know there are people like Referend Cooper, Mr. Moon, Referend Richardson. We have been involved in this process for in excess of 20 years. They themselves will be able to comment that we have taken liberties with people and almost physically have taken them down to the police station and said, "Now, why don't you try out to be a policeman."

Now, once we have introduced them to the system where they fall out in the screening process the police department has nothing to do with that, because the tests are administered by Civil Service. So, therefore, if there is a fall-out process where we do not get enough applicants to graduate from the process, I think you should look a little higher than the Reno Police Department.

I will try to get back to what I am here to speak about, which is quality assurance. Quality assurance in

my idea, my estimation, is a networking of the community and the police to gain an advantage on what the community wants.

In other words, it's pro active to the extent that we would like input from every segment of the community.

Now, when we initially started the Quality Assurance

Bureau, we had a lot of reluctance on the part of the

Reno police officers because quality assurance means that

we go out into the community, and we solicit ideas and

input from the citizens.

The officers at that time perceived that we were going out soliciting complaints. And, as you can imagine, when the administration goes out to solicit complaints against officers, you have a problem. So it took us in excess of eight to ten months to convince them that we were there to embellish, to enhance, to monitor and to critique their operation but not to investigate them.

And in fact I didn't allow anyone on my staff to use the word investigation, even in their dreams I wouldn't want it, because once you say "investigate" or "inspect", the officers formulate in their mind that this is an internal affairs or a political or even some other type of investigative bureau.

So I would like you to know that we do not in the

Quality Assurance Bureau investigate or inspect. We are there to monitor, critique, evaluate and recommend if we see that something is wrong.

I will get back to my prepared introduction, and then we can go from there.

First, I would like to thank Mr. Pilla and the Equal Rights Commission for inviting me to speak on the inception of Cops Plus and quality assurance, and I would like to preface my remarks with a thought that I'm overly optomistic with the accomplishments of RPD.

I have been affiliated with this department since 1968. During that time I observed tremendous changes, the better part of which occurred between 1986 and 1991. At that time Chief Bradshaw returned from Washington, D.C. with the idea of implementing a community-oriented policing with the additional concept of quality assurance, which he called Cop Plus. The "Plus" in the community-oriented policing is quality assurance, because once again we monitor everything that goes on in the police department.

I will embellish that as we go on to give you examples. I have in my notes to make a pun here that Cops Plus is a quality assurance program, which is a plus. And later on I received a D.U.I., and I don't know if that became a minus to the Chief or not. I would like

to talk about that as we go on.

The entire department initially perceived Cop Plus as just another p.r. gimmick. I myself was a little skeptical. The Chief took me on the side, and he says, "The most important point of the "Cop Plus" philosophy is that people have a believability factor. They can believe that if we say something, we mean what we say. If the police officer is in contact with you, they have to believe that what you are doing is for their benefit. If the captains, the lieutenants, look at you, there has to be a believeability factor."

It took time to build up that trust, and I think that by my own perception that we have been able to do that, alluding to the 91 percent public acceptance of the job that we do that Steve will talk about in our surveys, community input.

Chief Bradshaw indicated that it was absolutely necessary to have as much community input in our operation as possible. He initiated survey taking, NAG group meetings, and we have the 1500 of full-out training that Roger talked about.

I personally along with the other staff went out into the community, and we asked native Americans, Hispanics, senior citizens, the gays, the blacks, anyone that felt that they had some comment they would like to

make against the Reno Police Department or for the police department, to come and be a part of this presentation to the policemen.

Now, imagine this scenario. You have policemen, some of which I don't have any idea of what their history was, but they were traditional. They were the type that thought that the community wanted a police car parked in every driveway at their beck and call, that the community wanted a separation of the have and the have nots, that they have had preconceptions of what blacks were, what Hispanics were, what gays were. They had conceptions of what the white community was all about, some misconceptions.

But we brought in every officer in a setting like this, 40 at a time, and set them down. And we had those people I just mentioned to stand up and say, "I do not like policemen cause of--" Blacks would say, "I do not like cops, because you call me 'Boy,' and because when you stop my car, you make me lay facedown on the street with a gun at my head."

Orientals would say, "I don't like it because when you tell me I'm doing a good job, you give me a sign like that (demonstrating), but in our culture that means something different. We like this."

The Hispanics would talk about, "You call me

'Spick'." The gays would say, "You call me other names."

But after an interaction between the two-- And then the

police would say, "Well, I don't like you because you do

this. I don't like you because of this."

We found that we were able just through communication to have the policemen respect those people in the community that were sort of diverse. And again the community, those people that were diverse, were able to gain a healthy respect of why the police did certain things.

So we came out a big winner there, and I think that that is what enabled us to have our policemen go out into the community, react in such a manner that we achieved community acceptance, and we were able to get 88 more policemen because at that time--

Yes, sir?

MR. WALTHER: Sheriff Swinney said that there wasn't standards that appeared to go with what you think about actually allocating--You have 88 policemen. That is a big addition to the staff--a person to go out into the community to really just work on bringing in minorities, so the disparity here.

And there has been proof, and I grant that is handled with some dispatch, and that parity in some of these goals that are suggested are met with respect to

minority hiring.

Do you think that maybe the priority ought to be shifted a little bit so that when you get 88-- You know, you have a budget for 88 more people, maybe one or two people ought to be allocated just to deal with that?

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: Okay. Two things come to my mind. Number one is when we hired the 88 policemen, I think we had in excess of 1400 applicants initially for those 88 positions. Then we paired that down to 400. Out of that, and I don't know the exact figures, but I think there were 45 minority applicants.

And if you think in terms of the pyramid system whereby you have 45 out of 400, when you reach the pinacle there, unless these people are super Jackie Robinsons, they are never going to attain the top of that pyramid.

Chief Bradshaw delegated me and Lt. Berry from my department—Also Officer Primus was made a part of the recruiting team—to target minorities, to go to Hug High School where the majority of the blacks go to school, to go to Reno, to go to Wooster, to go to the University of Nevada, to target blacks, to churches. We went on Sunday mornings. I will be able to go through that.

And I was personally assigned the mission of going out to make sure that we reached some target of trying to

interact with everyone. I don't think anyone can come before this Commission and say at one time or another I haven't talked to them personally about recruiting in the minorities in the Reno area, not one. And if someone would say that, I would be glad to raise my hand and say, "Hey, remember."

But to get back to it, yes, we do target them. And as Sgt. Clark said we have special tutoring classes for people if they would like to become involved in that. But we actually take them by the hand. I have personally directed five to six people to Roger to become involved in the reserve program, also to become involved in the cadet program.

Now, we looked at these programs as being able to develop minorities because if they can't compete because of the multitude of people seeking one position, then we can develop them in the reserve program and in the cadet program. It's like a grow-your-own-type thing.

We can take them right out of high school, get them in the cadet program, take them when they come in, and if they do not pass the test high enough to be hired, put them in the reserve program. And then at some point when they become certified, we can hire them right out of the reserve program.

So we do have I think, to answer your question, a

vehicle whereby we can target minorities so they do not have to compete against the majorities.

MR. WALTHER: When they are in the reserve program, what is that? Do they get paid?

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: No, sir. It's a non paying position. It's like an auxillary program. You are hired. You take an examination. You are put into the reserve program, and then you are elevated from a level three through a level one, which means that you receive training. You receive training at the police department. You receive training at Truckee Meadows College, and then eventuallyly you go through an academy. And that takes I think 200 hours, if I'm not mistaken, which is hard to achieve if you are a working man or you live out of the area.

But if you want the job bad enough, that is the sacrifice that you will make. But once you reach a level one, which means that you are certified, if we have an opening and we do not have an academy, the Chief has a latitude, saying this man is qualified and he can be put onto the police department.

MS. SADER: In this report that we have been handed there are no blacks, there are no Hispanics, there is no asian, there is no anything in the reserve unit.

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: I think that report is

outdated. I think there are two blacks, I think three Hispanics. I don't know about native Americans. But initially we couldn't find-- And when I say "couldn't find", people that wanted to spend their free time.

Remember, we are talking about spending 16 hours a months, and before you can become eligible to become a police officer I think it's 200 hours. Don't quote me on those exact numbers, because I'm not in the program myself.

MR. SANCHEZ: It suddenly dawned on me a little while ago that Reno has a bifurcated system. You have the Civil Service Commission and Personnel Department, with your Civil Service Commission basically having the responsibility to do your testing for you, your written testing portion and examining, is that correct?

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: Yes, sir.

MR. SANCHEZ: Has the police department ever looked into that written test they use or has anybody looked into this?

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: I think Chief Bradshaw would be better to answer that, but I can tell you at staff what we have done. We have questioned why minorities have obtained a certain level, whether it be passing or below at staff. We have gone back to Civil Service, and we have discussed this at length, what are the reasons

that we are not getting more minorities to pass. But it seems as though it isn't always the written test that disqualifies them. It's some other screening processes.

MR. SANCHEZ: That was one of my points here. I asked the City Manager earlier if he was aware if the written test or the testing procedures had been validated in accordance with EEOC guidelines. And he said he really couldn't tell me that, that was really up to the Civil Service Commission.

But I'm looking at two reports here that contradict what you just mention, because on your Quality Assurance Bureau, service level surveys, 1990, there is an indication that 53 percent of the blacks failed the written test as opposed to only 8.7 of the whites and 7.1 percent of the Hispanics. So this might indicate that there is a high failure rate on the written test for blacks at this level here.

Also on page 13 of the Hughes, Hites & Associates report they also indicate that the mean scores for black males and black females is 68 and 64 percent respectively, well below the passing percentage of any other group on the written scores.

So it seems to me that somebody might want to take a look at that written test that is being used, because if the written test is weighted along with the oral and

the physical agility, if you combine that across-- you can't wash that out, you combine them altogether with this 25 percent, 30 or 45 percent, that is going to affect the level of the final eligible list.

If you don't have a banding, rule of three, you are not going to be able to pick up as many minorities and/or women as you would like to do.

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: We have noticed that. I would like Mr. Bingham to answer that.

MR. BINGHAM: On the quality assurance part of the survey on the recruiting practices I think the number of blacks that we actually surveyed was a very small percentage. So even though it came into 53 percent, which on the surface looks like a drastic difference, it still doesn't reach a significant level of 95 percent.

MR. SANCHEZ: Was it significant enough to even survey then?

MR. BINGHAM: I'm sorry.

MR. SANCHEZ: Is your population that you sampled significant enough to make any recommendations on--

MR. BINGHAM: The population was-- The specific element of blacks that we surveyed in that was not.

MR. SANCHEZ: Then your data here may not indeed be subject to interpretation with any confidence?

MR. BINGHAM: On that particular question dealing

with race in the written test.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions of these gentlemen or any other comments you would like to make?

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: Yes, I deviated somewhat. I would like to finish this up. But I would like to think of some questions that--

THE CHAIR: We are running a little bit behind time. Can we do this in about five minutes?

THE CHAIR: Okay. Great.

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON:

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: In the handout that I gave you I spoke about the Pat Baker Park incident. I would like to tell about an incident that occurred in the Cops Plus enhanced perception.

Yes.

At Pat Baker Park 30 blacks were believed to be selling cocaine, and the community acquired— The police department rather than knocking on the Mayor's and City Council's door, we had the input on how to handle the situation. We went out and personally told them— They came to us and said, "We have this group of people selling crack cocaine out of the park, and we would like you to arrest them."

And we said, "We will come over, and we will do our best. But we know that as soon as we arrest them you will say we are harassing them. So we would like to give

you an opportunity to go out and resolve this problem yourselves while we observe. And then if you can't resolve it, we will give you our idea of how we can resolve it. And, therefore, collectively we will try to do that."

We had ministers come back to us three weeks later and say, "We can't resolve this problem. They are stopping people on the street, and they are selling them crack cocaine." We formulated a plan of action. We did the police work. We went over. We arrested 30 suspects, and the community applauded us for arresting these suspects, and not one person came down and said there was any harassment involved.

The Cops Plus theory I don't think can work unless you have a different philosophy of policing. But the Cops Plus theory— I can't conceive of an incident like that involving the LAPD occurring here because of our unique chain of command and staffing, whereby the district captains report directly to the Chief of Police. And the activities are monitored and critiqued by the Quality Assurance Bureau.

I can't see any negative collusion of personnel taking place without the administration's knowledge.

Each officer is held accountable for his own actions.

However, this administration takes full responsibility

for the deportment of every individual within the agency, the eyes and ears of the police department.

We are aware of our many diversion cultures, racial groups and ethnic traditions. We are very alert to the demographics of the city. We have established neighborhood advisory groups so that we have eyes and ears in different parts of the community. We have open dialogue with the Department of Justice in the San Francisco branch and have had in excess of eight meetings with them. We have meetings with every group within the boundaries of Reno that would like to participate. We have meetings Saturday mornings, and we attend church gatherings on Sunday mornings. We meet Friday evenings at hospitals, schools, recreation centers, anywhere anyone is willing to discuss our philosophy of policing.

I feel that the reason the City has given us a 91 percent performance rating is because of the openness of this department. I began by saying I am optomistic about this department. I am also well-aware that the messenger is often beheaded. I received this memo this morning, and it relates to filling the position of Chief of Police on an interim basis while searching for a permanent replacement.

I feel strongly that this technique for filling the acting position will disrupt long-standing traditions and

values of this department that have been built over the last ten years. There is a process to fill the acting position, the Assistant Chief of Police.

Why this process is being subverted I have no knowledge. However, I would request this Commission ask that question of the originator of this memo, which you have in your possession and I gave you earlier.

Because of the success we have experienced in the Cop Plus Program we have decided to market this concept. The area chosen for marketing was the accreditation of the department. I hope all of you are familiar with police accreditation processes.

Accreditation is granted through COALEA, which is the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, who are working in concept with NOBLEE, who is the National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives, IACP, International Association of Chiefs of Police, and PERF, Police Executive Research Foundation.

We are in the final stages of accreditation and are looking forward to certification around July of 1922. I spoke with the Chief—— In closing I spoke with the Chief the other day, and I am one of those people that they say I carry out his philosophy along with the rest of the staff and, therefore, when he leaves, the philosophy of the Reno Police Department won't change. I think that is

for the better. Some people don't think so.

The political furor that has come around— When Chief Bradshaw announced his retirement, he says he feels about the department like a parent with a child. He's apprehensive about the future of this department if it should revert back to its traditional way of doing business.

We like the Cop Plus concept, and I think we are good for the community. In closing I would ask if there are any questions? If you would like to discuss my D.U.I. or any negative impact that you think it has played in the department's image, please feel free.

THE CHAIR: I have one question along that line.

The Mayor the very first thing this morning said that he felt what was needed in the police department because of poor moral was a uniform disciplinary policy with uniform sanctions. Do you feel that that is a need or not?

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: I feel that the department policies are in concert with the courts. If an individual is convicted of theft the first time, normally you would expect he might be given probation. If he's convicted of theft a third time, there is a provision whereby he can be considered a habitual criminal. And, therefore, you are adjudged and sentenced accordingly.

I would like to think along the lines of my D.U.I.

that was more political in getting me and the Chief than it was-- If you can recall, it set a record of sorts.

Some people didn't want me to be the next black Police Chief in my estimation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WALTHER: Doesn't that argue for having some kind of guidelines, sanctioned guidelines? I mean then nobody can claim, well, there was favoritism here. this treatment because this is in the guidelines. me maybe if I were subject to some kind of sanction and concerned about perceptions in the community, I could say, you know, whatever you say about treatment what I got was within the guidelines. And it might help the perception problem if there is one in the community, and I sense there is, too. But you might have a lot better feeling about that than the Mayor and Police Chief Bradshaw. But that to me is a way of resolving the perception problem.

DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: You recall that when the Chief spoke, he said at one time we were well-along the line of developing those, and it was the unions, the same people who are saying the same thing, that said, "We don't want that." You can't have your cake and eat it, too. We had developed 90 percent of that program when they said, "We won't allow you to implement--"

MR. WALTHER: Let me just ask you this: I gather

- you are for it then, but the problem is the unions aren't for it?
- DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: That was the last thing that 4 I heard.
- 5 MR. WALTHER: Well, are you for it?
- 6 DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: Myself?
- 7 MR. WALTHER: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

- DEPTY CHIEF JACKSON: I think there should be guidelines as to how we deliver punishment, yes, definitely. There are the statutes, you know, for the Courts. It gives you a guideline which you can work into, and I have no problem with that.
- MR. SANCHEZ: Chief Jackson, isn't it a fact that the unions didn't want this or they wanted to negotiate it into the contract?
- DEPUTY CHIEF JACKSON: I can't tell you at this time.
- MR. SANCHEZ: I think they are coming up, so we can ask them.
  - THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
- Next I would like to ask Todd Shipley, President of the Police Association to come forward.
- OFFICER SHIPLEY: Miss chairperson and members of
  the Committee, what is in front of you is just a
  transcript of what I'm going to read, and then we will be

open for questions afterwards.

The Reno Police Protective Association appreciates the invitation this afternoon to speak with you, and we would also like to thank Mr. Pilla for his professional demeanor during this investigation.

Mr. Pilla has addressed in his correspondence several areas on which the Committee is interested in hearing the opinion of the Reno Police Protective Association: Police-Community relations, Community-Oriented Policing, the fairness of the internal investigations, efforts to promote professionalism, training, and innovative proposals to insure progressive law enforcement service to the City of Reno.

The officers of the Reno Police Protective

Association are very proud of their department. The

officers of the Reno Police Department feel they are some

of the most professional in the country. They are also

committed to doing the best job they can do for this

community and the desire to do an even better job.

Police-Community Relations: The cooperation between the community and the police department is better than it has been in years. The adoption of the community-oriented policing concept puts the Reno Police Department on the cutting edge of police-community relations in this country.

The addition of a Quality Assurance Bureau to poll the community and identify problem areas is positive and progressive. However, the attitude of those that have to implement the program has not been taken into consideration. The officers on the streets of this community are concerned, concerned for a program that is oriented around public perception and not reality. A facade more concerned with making the public believe we are doing a good job than actually protecting the public.

In some cases this position has gotten the Reno Police Department away from traditional protection of the public. An unwritten policy has been implemented that believes in leaving armed, drug and alcohol crazed individuals in Reno neighborhoods because times are a changing. An attitude that believes that the more time you put between a publicized incident and public statment about that incident the better the chances that the public will forget. The officers of the Reno Police Department are concerned about protecting the public and ensuring that this community gets what it pays for.

Currently one of the most explosive situations in this country faces this community, the problem with gangs. The administration of the Reno Police Department has an unwritten policy that it will not openly address the gang problem. So far the administration has

responded to the increased gang activity by appointing a gang officer whose primary duties are to insure that graffiti is removed from buildings and to maintain information collected on gang activity. The administration the Reno Police Department has adopted a policy of burying its head in the sand. The problem that this policy poses for both the police officer and the community is that it creates the perfect environment for gangs to flourish.

Unlike those in the administration of the department the patrol officers must deal with the realities of the gangs' increasing criminal activity.

Absent any planned policy for effectively dealing with the gang activity the patrol officers are initiating what they feel is appropriate for each circumstance.

However, a lack of training and information on the problem and the means to control it hinder the officers options.

Internal Affairs: The Reno Police Department takes an aggressive look at most every legitimate complaint, concerning non supervisory officers from citizens in this community. The officers of the Reno Police Department understand the need for investigating those complaints, the need for maintaining a professional police department and weeding out those that do not meet the standards set

by the department is a concern of its officers. However, the fairness of the application of discipline resulting from the Internal Affairs process has been a matter of public debate.

Internally what has fostered an air of distrust has been repeated violations of officers' rights under Nevada Revised Statute 289, "Rights of a Peace Officer." As an example, a policy that had excluded officers' rights to representation in shooting review board hearings was only resolved when we pushed the issue.

After one shooting incident I personally was ordered out of shooting review board after insisting the officer had the right under Nevada law to have a representative present. The situation was resolved later when a hired legal advisor to the department told the Chief of Police that the officers had a right to a representative. In other words, the Reno Police Department had been violating the rights of its officers.

Many other incidents have occurred were the officers right to representation have been violated. To have a command officer call an officer and ask him to simply to relate an incident surrounding a complaint quote so we can resolve a complaint unquote or that quote we are just doing an inquiry. You don't need a representative unquote violates the intent of the law and

the protection afforded under the law to the officers.

The officers are concerned when they see a disparity in the treatment of supervisors within the department.

Complaints against supervisors have gone uninvestigated.

Public accusations of criminal wrongdoing by at least one command officer have never been addressed to this day. How does the community know if that command officer is trustworthy, if the allegation is simply dismissed as not valid and not investigated.

A rank and file officer accused of a crime surely would be investigated to determine the validity of the complaint.

Training: The Reno Police Department in conjunction with the Truckee Meadows Community College and the other local agencies provide the best available academy training. A good base education is provided through the college both academically and practically. The Reno Police Department has employed one of the best field training program models in the country. Officers are evaluated and rated according to strict standards.

The program provides for means of excluding those persons not capable of performing to the standards set in the program. The only recent problem in this area has been inclusion of patrolmen with one year on the department, and in one case an officer with one less than

a year as a police officer, as training officers. The problem was complex and involved the mass hiring of officers in short period. The inflexibility of management and their resistance to solving problems caused older and more experienced officers to suffer burn out during this period.

Besides the field training program the department employs several officers and a sergeant to provide inservice training. Our training section has many dedicated officers, a satellite system, the finest education and training equipment money can buy. The training section has the best facilities locally for conducting training. In fact the sergeant in charge has an office as nice as the Chief of Police. It's no wonder since he lives in, provides the maintenance for, and manages the building that the City of Reno leases the space from.

Until we advised the management of the department of a possible ethical problem and potential conflict of interest, that same sergeant managed the building from his Reno Police Department office. At one time he was using the city employed secretary to take messages for rental inquiries. The section with all this fine equipment and capable manpower has not been used to its full potential in providing training to the officers of

the Reno police Department.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proposals for Progressive Law Enforcement: The Reno Police Department, as has already been stated, is in many ways on the cutting edge of modern law In others we are far behind. A police enforcement. officer in general needs to be self-sufficient while at Often he must handle dangerous situations alone without any assistance. Why then have repeated requests for the department to provide costly individual body Each officer must provide out armor not been resolved? of his own pocket \$400 to \$600 for this protection and and our training section has the best of equipment. armor is an item required by law in California and paid for by each department. The tactical team on the department necessarily to handle the most extreme of situations is without necessary basic equipment and regular and consistent training. There is an attitude by the management that a situation like what recently happened in Sacramento, California will never happen in Reno, or at least they are betting on the chance it Can this community afford not to have the best of won't. protection and the assurance that in the most extreme of situations that the police will be trained and equipped to protect them.

The Reno Police Department has computerized itself

to a degree that has brought it into the main stream of society. The Reno Police Department has a networked personal computer system that has brought the officers from computer illiterate to being unable to operate efficiently without them. However, the Reno Police Department has a computer-aided dispatch and criminal history system called PLIMS that is fraught with problems. A multimillion dollar boundoggle that has never operated as designed and is expected to take more tax dollars to resolve. Solutions to certain immediate problems have been suggested. Some programs could be placed on the more successful personal computer system. This would solve some immediate investigative concerns.

Every time responsible requests or suggestions for cutting the department's losses on this system have been made, and unyielding and resistive attitude has been shown by the administration. An attitude that the PLIMS system will be made to work no matter thematter cost to the taxpayers in this community.

The RPPA successfully bargained for, and obtained, a contractual Labor/Management meeting on a monthly basis to discuss these and other problems within the department. Many issues have been successfully resolved before any conflict has occurred. The forum unfortunately has been avoided by the administration at

times and has caused RPPA to react publicly when issues could otherwise have bee resolved internally.

Promotion of professionalism: Above all, the officers of the Reno Police Department see themselves as a modern professional police department. The Reno Police Protective Association takes every opportunity to instill in its members and remind them that although some in the community public may dislike police, in general most citizens in Reno believe in them and support them. The officers of the Reno Police Department are proud of their community and the protection that they provide.

Occasionally some officers may not exemplify this commitment to the community, but overall the officers of the Reno Police Department tower above their peers as providers of professional law enforcement to the City of Reno.

And with that I would like to thank the Committee for its time today, and I would certainly be open for questions.

THE CHAIR: Officer Shipley, I have a question for you. Do you perceive there is a difference in the philosophy of the officers on the beat versus the administration in terms of things like Cops Plus?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Well, the philosophy is a good philosophy, and as I stated I think that it's brought the

Reno Police Department into the main stream of what is going on in law enforcement, certainly the cutting edge, because it's the philosophy that is permeating the country at this time. And most departments are looking towards it as the means to resolve problems. Traditional law enforcement is not necessarily the means of going out there and just busting heads. That is not what is needed in communities anymore. Law enforcement has recognized that as a profession we are directing our energies more towards resolving problems in the community.

The difference in the opinion between the officers and the administration is that we feel that the administration is seeing this as a political ploy and p.r. tool to make the department's image better, which is fine. But we, the underlying people that have to implement the program, are not brought into the program and not sold the program itself. There is a problem and that has been where from the beginning the problem started was that the program was sold to the community before the officers that had to implement the program ever had an opportunity to do anything with it.

THE CHAIR: How are the officers responding to the program now that it's been in effect for a few years?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: I think that they are responding to the program in theory and problem policing very well

and actively want to go out and solve problems. In a lot of ways they don't feel, though, that they are getting the support that they need at the street level to go out and actually do the work. They feel that there has been with this concept getting away from doing actual police work to protect the community and insuring that we have got a p.r. program that makes the public think we are doing a good job. There is a significant difference between that reality and that perception and the reality of what is actually going on in the streets.

MR. SANCHEZ: With respect to the reality on the streets, how do you perceive the officers treating minorities, Hispanic, black, whatever?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: I think, and I haven't been here today to see what the testimony was, but I don't think that there is a grave attitude within the department of racism. Certainly all the officers were appalled at what happened in Los Angeles. The officers when they saw the videotape of what happened here by one of our black officers on a white suspect certainly did not think that was within reasonable judgment and understand that officer was punished for it and understood why he was punished. Did I answer the question?

THE CHAIR: Well, how do you actually get along on the streets?

÷

OFFICER SHIPLEY: For me that is a difficult question, because I'm a detective. I haven't been on the street for the past year and a half. The last time I was rotated out to uniform I think that there was a good, positive communication with the minority groups. The communication was getting better in speaking with—— And I've had several meetings with Reverend Moon or, excuse me, Mr. Cooper on occasions. And we have been trying to resolve the situation.

The Chief has taken a progressive look into that in trying to resolve those problems and get the officers to understand community problems and minority problems, including what is going on currently at the department.

The training section is putting on sensitivity training for those officers to make them understand how to deal with minorities better.

MR. SANCHEZ: Are those types of sessions being well-received?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Yes and no. Yes in the sense that the officers understand that they need a better exposure to minority problems. I think they are accepting that part of it. They don't like some of the ways that the program has been forced on them. One of the problems I always hear about is the value section of the class. And I don't know if you have been given a

rundown of how the class goes. One of the sections is about values. Values are important.

At one of the last executive retreats that I was invited to with Chief Bradshaw, his executive retreat, they had a class, and the Chief will have to tell me because I can't remember the Chief's name that came from Colorado that put on the class about how to make a set of values for the police department.

He explained in detail how a group of people can determine what their values are collectively and how they want to be and what standards that they want to be held to. And it was I thought very enlightening and a very positive thing for the department, something they rally around, that the officers could be part of, that they could get involved finally in determining the standards that they wanted to be held to.

And the group that was there, the Chief's executive staff, went through the process of determining that point, that group's values, and the one thing that the speaker stated was that, one, this has got to be done by the group, the group has to determine these values; two, the process has to be institutionalized from above.

Well, during the current Cops Plus training they have been teaching a block of values. To regress a little bit, after that executive retreat there was a

committee put together, and we were supposed to meet and discuss this and try to come up with and determine the department's values.

Well, it never happened. There was one meeting planned, and then it was canceled. And nothing ever happened. And then Cops Plus training comes along. Now, there is a set of department values.

Well, the person teaching those values was one captain that nobody has any respect for below the rank of sergeant and has had a credibility problem with those officers, and he's up there trying to teach them what their values are and should be within the department.

And the officers just looked at him and laughed.

They have since changed the person teaching that value section, but the whole process of determining what the department's values are and what they should be and the standards that those officers should be held to the original concept when it was told to the group, the original group was that the department as a whole has to determine what those values and those standards are. You can't just make them up and press them on a group.

MR. WALTHER: Again what values are you talking about?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Well, what you think-- Values is a real broad term.

1 MR. WALTHER; I know. That is why I'm asking.

OFFICER SHIPLEY: We are talking about how you operate within the department, what your standards of not only training but your treatment of minorites is and how you want to be treated. It covers a broad spectrum, and it's a statement of how you want to treat the community and how you want the community to treat you and the way you are going to provide your service to that community, what standards you are going to be held to.

MR. SANCHEZ: Detective Shipley, when is your contract up for renewal?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: When is it up? It was up July 1 of last year.

MR. SANCHEZ: Are you in negotiations at this point?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: We are currently at an impasse.

We have gone to fact finding, and we are waiting for the fact finder's report, which we should have by the end of the month.

MR. SANCHEZ: Is there some animosity right now between the P.O. and administration?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Right now and for about the past ten years.

MR. SANCHEZ: What is the position of the Reno P.O. toward affirmative action?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: I don't think we have taken a public stand on it, but we have never had any problem with affirmative action. The department has taken a progressive look, the city has taken a progressive look, at affirmative action, and I know the Chief has gone out of his way with the current hiring program of Safety 88 to try to locate minorities to hire for the department.

MR. SANCHEZ: Has the P.O. in any way been involved in assisting--

OFFICER SHIPLEY: No. In that respect no. We have not been asked and have not become involved. That is a Civil Service process, and we have not been asked to be involved in that.

MR. WALTHER: As far as complaints against policemen go, I understand that on the complaint you refer to do you think there should be a point when these hearings are opened to the public?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: The hearings in what respect?

MR. WALTHER: Involving any police misconduct.

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Well, that is a real volatile situation. I'm certain the officers would tell me that at no point do we want— I know prior to coming to the department I came on in '78 as a cadet. They did have in the mid '70's a civilian review board that didn't process very well, and I know Assistant Chief Deputy Jackson can

tell you a lot about that. But the officers feel that there is a process within the department that does work. They don't like sometimes what occurs from it, but to have the public input into how they function they are afraid in the sense that people, the public, doesn't understand what they do.

And although Deputy Chief Jackson says he wants to correlate the discipline along with the courts the things we get disciplined for the courts don't even look at. We are talking personnel matters where, you know, somebody does something wrong, and he gets disciplined for that action.

MR. WALTHER: A good example is— You know, you could take a whole series of potential happenings involving the episode in L.A., but you have a number of officers who are using language which was racial or racial slurs and racial conduct, discrimination, excessive violence. Now, suppose you have that situation. It seems to me that there needs to be some kind of ongoing guidelines by which conduct can be judged.

Now, I understood that there was a movement toward it and that the unions then scuttled it. Can you shed some light on that?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Movement towards what?

MR. WALTHER: I'm going to define my remarks right at the moment to the uniform guidelines for misconduct for sanctioning--

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Let's refer to the differentiation. As I always publicly say, we are not a union. We are an association of officers. We were formed in 1936 as a fraternal order, and we have been that ever since. We take on the responsibility of the union, and I understand what you are saying.

And as those members of the board that are from
Reno know, we publicly went to the Council and asked for
review of the disciplinary process in this community. So
you can't say the Reno Police Protective Association
didn't ask for it because we were at the forefront. The
other unions were concerned about what we wanted, but
they didn't have the problems that we had in our
department and our concern about disparity in the
treatment of officers. And so we are on the forefront of
trying to resolve that issue and ask the Council to look
into it. And the Council was advised by the City
Attorney's Office that they couldn't do that.

MR. WALTHER: Right. So it sounds like the Police Chief wants it, your organization wants it, and the unions don't?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: The other unions-- What was put

on the Council agenda was a review of policy within the city because they couldn't for whatever reason limit it to the one department, and we were concerned. We think there should be some form of uniform disipline. So an officer knows when he goes out and calls somebody a bad name and he's out of line, that he knows he's either going to get a letter of reprimand if it's the first time or a day off if it's the second time, so that there is some guideline. So he knows, just like the laws that are on the books in the Nevada Revised Statutes, that if you commit a burglary, you know what you are going to get.

MR. SANCHEZ: Isn't it true you would like to negotiate--

OFFICER SHIPLEY: We never said anything about negotiation. We went to the Council and requested them to review the policies and discuss it. There has been no movement past that. We haven't even brought it up because the Council won't listen to it.

MR. SNIDER: Of the total police force how many officers or personnel representatives--

OFFICER SHIPLEY: We represent 230, approximately 240, non sworn personnel or, excuse me, non supervisory personnel in the department.

MR. SNIDER: That is sergeant and below.

OFFICER SHIPLEY: This is just officers. We don't

represent any supervisory rank.

MR. SANCHEZ: Is there any bilingual training that goes on for your officers in the streets?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Sporadically over the past ten
years that there has been some attempt and one of our
concnerns has been there has been no incentive for it, so
the officers don't do it.

The officers understand that there is a problem, and many officers have taken it upon themselves to learn another language, specifically Spanish because it's the most common other language in the community. There has been some disagreement at the negotiations table on when an item goes on the table that has not been resolved to have an incentive for the officers to be bilingual.

MR. SANCHEZ: A bilingual-bonus type of situation?
OFFICER SHIPLEY: Yes.

MR. WALTHER: Do you have an opinion on the citizens review board or does the organization have a policy they have adopted in connection along with something where lay people would have some judgmental role about the policeman's conduct?

As a lawyer we have begrudgingly, but it's been good I feel, injected lay people into the discipline process for lawyers. It gives the public a better understanding of how the process works, and we have found

that it works pretty doggone well. You don't have to be in a professional field in order to properly adjudicate a problem of discipline. I'm just wondering if maybe that is a step that couldn't be taken at some point.

OFFICER SHIPLEY: Well, the Reno Police Protective Association has not officially or publicly taken a position on citizen review boards, because they have not actively come up yet in the community. And we just haven't discussed it.

We have discussed within the Department of having some kind of peer review board for disciplinary matters, and the department has not seen fit to discuss that other than at a tacit level at our meetings. And nothing has been done with that issue.

MR. WALTHER: Would you like a pear review board?

OFFICER SHIPLEY: I think it's something that needs
to be explored. We haven't discussed it enough, but the
Chief has always taken the position that he's the one who
imposes discipline and publicly said that if that
authority is taken away from him, you know, what use is
he, because that is his main function in control of the
department. I'm paraphrasing, and he's probably going to
correct me, but something to that effect.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions? What I would like to do for the court reporter is take about a two-

```
222
     minute break. And I know we are running a little bit
 1
     behind schedule.
 2
 3
                         (A break was taken.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MR. SANCHEZ: I would like to convene the meeting again and call upon Patricia Lynch, City Attorney for the City for the Reno Police.

Identify yourself and the guest that you have there.

MS. LYNCH: Thank you. I am Patricia Lynch, the Reno City Attorney, and I have with me today Mr. Stephen Volek, who is one of my city attorneys. He is assigned full time to represent the police department.

He advises them on all civil matters. We also deal with the police department on criminal matters, but we just handle misdemeanors in the municipal courts. We are not involved in any gross misdemeanors or felonies.

Prior to my coming into office there really was no one assigned to advise the police department. I think it was somewhat hit or miss, and I think that in and of itself is improving.

I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Volek.

MR. VOLEK: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

For four years I was working in the Washoe County
Civil Division, representing the Sheriff. And I met
Patricia and came to work with her when she was Deputy
Attorney General representing the Highway Patrol. And we
had conferred on a number of issues involving law
enforcement. So when Patricia was elected, she called me

up and invited me to come over and assume responsibility for representing the police department.

Before you I believe is my resume. I have been practicing law about 17 years. Better than half of that has been dedicated to law enforcement representing both the agency and individuals.

The issue that you are wrestling with today in part is as old as time. In preparing some notes to speak to you today I recall that 2,000 years ago a Latin or Roman writer in effect said, "Who will watch over the watchmen? Who will guard the guardians?"

And that seems to be the issue that we have been struggling with ever since. Who do you put in authority over you and how do you control them or how are you assured that they will protect you and not dominate you? That has been a concern of mine all along, and one that certainly is a recurring theme.

All we have to do is look at the evening news even in Los Angeles or even up here when we had the incident at the Cal Neva. When Tom and I spoke sometime ago on the possibility of my appearing before you, I think I bent his ear for 90 minutes in outlining my experience. And I will try to compress it down to about 15 minutes. I will just hit the highlights. If you would like some support testimony or supplementary material, what I will

do is arrange to file some additional material with you to look at specifically.

Let me begin by saying that my position as legal advisor prevents me as a matter of policy from becoming involved in criminal prosecutions because I am in effect a critic of the department when I have to be, as any good lawyer is.

You will have to tell your client things he doesn't necessarily want to hear. And so I have been divorced from the prosecution system, and my job is solely of that, representing the department. And if it comes to a division of the ways between the department and an individual officer, I represent the department.

If we come to discipline, I am the prosecutor of the internal disciplinary action, whether it be before the Civil Service Commission or before an arbitration panel under the contract, under the labor contract.

By way of general involvement with the City
Attorney's Office we try to take a fairly pro active--I
hate the word, but it's easier to say it than the
alternative--position with regard to information we
receive regarding criticism of police action.
Customarily what we do whether it comes to us under the
circumstances by way of information that filters in

through municipal prosecution or in some cases I will

receive cold calls from citizens simply calling up saying, "I was treated in such a way, and I think I was treated badly--" And I am not part of the formal internal affairs process. I'm not a investigator. So what I do is I turn it immediately over to the responsible commander or to the internal affairs unit, which is then responsible for following up.

To my knowledge the calls that I have received have in fact been followed up. They have been followed up rather consistently. On fairly complex investigations I would be consulted substantially on a matter as a prosecutor might be consulted in a criminal case, which very often the investigator will come to see me and say, "This is what I think I have got, what have I got or where are the holes, or what is wrong with this?" And I will advise them of what I think we need if we are going to go forward or if I think we need something on the order of finding additional witnesses or so forth.

It has been my experience that the internal affairs process within the police department is effective. It is in my experience reliable. I am not consulted on every case. Ultimately the decision regarding punishment lies in the Chief, but there are certain basic principles that should be followed, which are referred to as what is called horizontal and vertical consistency. That is

simply that you punish like acts in the same way, and you punish on a progressive basis. That is that while there is some room to maneuver in terms of the seriousness of the offense, if I have an officer or if we have an officer who has committed a serious crime, we are not going to start with a written reprimand. It's not a real good way to do business.

On the other hand, you do not terminate an officer for failing to make a municipal court appearance for the first time. So there is a concept of progressive—

There has been testimony earlier along the lines of that— It just slipped my mind.

MR. SANCHEZ: Can I interrupt you for a minute, because I asked a question earlier. Are you then suggesting that the City of Reno has a policy of progressive or positive discipline?

MR. VOLEK: Yes.

MR. SANCHEZ: Because I asked that question, and it wasn't really clear to me.

MR. VOLEK: I have seen it in use. I have seen it, and I have seen it upheld by arbitrators consistently.

You do not execute people for minor offenses to put it in the vernacular.

MR. SANCHEZ: So you would have something like an oral reprimand or written reprimand?

MR. VOLEK: In the department's General Orders

Manual there is one of three documents, a general order

on discipline, and it lists the permitted disciplines in

progressive order. It does not specify what will be used

as to what crime. What you are looking for is a matrix

of punishment.

And I have not been asked this before, but I'm going to go ahead and offer an opinion. I am leery of a matrix— some kind of a formal matrix of discipline. I will tell you why. Because it fails to take into account the individual circumstances that may apply to a given set of facts.

All you would have to do for those of you who are attorneys on the Committee is look at all the pain and suffering that has gone into the recommended federal sentencing guidelines and the agony that the Commission went through and the trouble the Commission went through where you have a declared range of penalties and then you have five pages of aggravating or mitigating circumstances.

You would wind up I think with a punishment matrix that would be as big as the disciplinary or as big as the General Orders Manual itself. That doesn't mean that the principle of progressive discipline should not be applied. It has to be applied, particularly with

arbitrators. If an arbitrator senses that progressive discipline is not being applied, he may uphold punishment but mitigate the discipline, saying you are getting way out of line.

I had a case--not with this department--where we demoted a supervisory officer for racial discrimination, because we had deep concerns. It was his first offense, and we were deeply concerned. We couldn't sustain the termination. It was only after the arbitrators sustained everything we did that in the footnote and in his arbitrary award he told us we could have fired the guy. So there is a self-limiting process that goes into discipline, which is that you are worried--

MR. WALTHER: Is there any way you should have known that? It seems to me elementary. You ought to be able to know what you can fire a guy for. You are just going a little further than that. We all know judges now have their own guidelines. They are in the statutes.

There is a certain minimum penalty for certain types of offenses, and I don't find that offensive so to speak, some guidelines in there rather than just say in a given case, you know, we use progressive discipline with no-- Basically that is a policy statement, but there is still unbridled discretion that can be used, and it does give the perception and sometimes perceptions are as

important as reality.

MR. VOLEK: I agree.

MR. WALTHER: That there can be an arbitrary imposition of sanctions, and so to my mind-- And Chief Bradshaw says he was going for it, and we hear, you know, everybody is going for it except I guess Pat or maybe somebody in the office said, "You can't do it because of unions and--"

MR. VOLEK: No.

MR. WALTHER: We ought to clear up the area.

MR. VOLEK: Let me clarify one thing, that the problem that was alluded to by Todd was a problem of access by the union to counsel during the pendency of labor negotiations. It was not an objection to the concept of a matrix or a written matrix or some kind of a written set of punitive guidelines.

MR. WALTHER: Mayor Sferrazza said he was for it,
but he was advised by the City Attorney's Office that the
guidelines could not be implemented. That is what he
testified to this morning.

MR. VOLEK: I never-- Let me put it this way: I never rendered that advice. I never rendered that advice. I had urged before that even broke out-- I had suggested sometime ago that was a possible way of looking toward consistency as a rule of thumb, that what should

be done is that the internal affairs unit compile a statistical summary going back over the past eight or ten years of the violations that had occurred and had been sustained, the nature of the violations and the level of punishment imposed to provide a rough rule of thumb as to what, you know— to reflect the Chief's philosophy.

/ 6

And I agree consistency of discipline is paramount because as you pointed out—— And you have stolen my, favorite line which is appearances are every bit as important as the facts. And if you don't have a disciplinary system that is seen to be fair, it's useless. It is absolutely useless, and because of the And because of the individual's ability to rationalize the punishment as, "All they did was get me." And they don't accept the legitimacy that "I did something wrong."

MR. WALTHER: It just seems to me that you have a situation where you have one person who has a full range of sanctions that can be imposed. And granted there may be a history, but there is nothing that requires history to be utilized in a given instance. It seems to me some guidelines ought to be appropriate; and if the main roadblock is investigation from the City Attorney's Office, then maybe there needs to be some verification.

MS. LYNCH: If I could just sum up, there are a couple of things that are playing a part in this, a lot

of tension between labor and administration. I'm really glad this is being discussed at this hearing I have to tell you because I advised the City Council that it would be an interim bargain for them to meet with the labor union to discuss discipline because that is something under NRS 288, that they have to negotiate. Therefore, it would be very inappropriate for them to come into the council meeting and discuss discipline. So we have bargaining units. I believe they would have to negotiate.

1.4

Now, the the city could say, "All right. This is how we want to handle this point," but they have to negotiate that with each unit because each unit has the right to negotiate their own discipline. Then you have people who are not in bargaining units. They may fall under Civil Service. They may fall under confidential.

So you have all these different groups. And what I think the Mayor was looking for was a very simple answer to a complex problem. And I am telling him—

Unfortunately when it gets down to having to defend the city the answers aren't that easy, and so I am just kind of telling you where I'm coming from. There are a lot of things going on that I don't want to go into necessarily at the time, but I can tell you that the discipline that was given was consistent. And there were people trying

to make hay out of inconsistency, and it was in fact consistent.

MR. WALTHER: You are talking about the fact situation. I am not. I have no interest in pursuing that particular case. I'm just looking at the theory, why there seems to be all these roadblocks when everybody wants it.

MR. VOLEK: Perhaps I can satisfy you with a couple comments. First of all, Patricia's comments are something in my mind. One of the concerns I had at the time was that you have extensive case law that I hope the concepts that police officers are held to a higher level of trust and scrutiny than the guy driving the school bus. And, therefore, they can be more closely supervised, regulated and theoretically punished for their conduct.

So it would be unfair and inconsistent to adopt a city-wide schedule of discipline, which you would have to predicate upon the police department unfortunately as being the highest level. And then you get into the argument about consistency, which is what is being done by police officers as opposed to file clerks in the clerk's office.

So, there was a further problem, personal problem--I don't know that I ever expected it--with the

fact that you are comparing apples with oranges, that the police are going to have to be judged at the police department, sworn personnel are going to be judged in the context of that employment and not— The discipline cannot be so diluted that you are saying that we are going to treat every city employee, sworn or not, in a certain way. I'm not saying that some kind of schedule of discipline is not workable. I mean that is dishonest.

What I will tell you is that my concern as a prosecutor and to an extent as advisor to a Chief of Police is that what will happen if the guidelines are too stringently drawn, which is that what you will lose in that process is the ability to adjust the discipline to fit the circumstances.

In effect you have got this schedule, and the first thing that is going to happen is that when the Chief feels there are some serious, aggravating circumstances which go beyond—— I mean you still have this violation, but there are aggravating circumstances which go beyond the mere line on the page the first thing that will be challenged is, "By God, this is part of the contract, and you can't discipline me any more than what is on this page."

And I think that is the concern as well as whether you can create a matrix sophisticated enough to give

latitude to allow a Chief to be able to be consistent.

MR. WALTHER: That kind of problem surfaced in the legal system.

MR. VOLEK: I'm not saying it can't be done. After this mini confrontation before the Council the matter was more or less just kind of put aside and nothing-- I mean nobody ever came to us and said, "Well, give us a run on how we could do this." Maybe the discussion today will prompt that, and it's a challenge. It will be something maybe we can do.

MR. SANCHEZ: Earlier I asked Detective Todd
Shipley, "Isn't it true you would like to negotiate
discipline?" I can't recall what his comment was, but I
didn't get the impression this was an item on the table
that was being negotiated at this time. Are you saying
that it is?

MR. VOLEK: No. Chapter 288 of the Revised

Statutes provides for certain mandatory items of

bargaining. One of the items of bargaining is

discipline. That has in the past been a matter of how

the discipline is subject to review, not what is or is

not a disciplinary item.

The negotiations have centered around-- And nothing I'm saying should be understood as being any kind of majority comment about collective bargaining. This is

just the facts.

The Reno City Charter in Article Nine sets up the Civil Service System and Civil Service Commission, which is a check on the discretion of individual administrators in personnel matters.

In fact up until a few years ago any discipline imposed had to go the Civil Service Commission because police officers are Civil Service. One of the items that was subsequently negotiated at each one of the collective bargaining agreements was an alternative pact. And that alternative pact was after you get to the step of final review by the City Manager that the employee has the choice—And this is written up in the labor agreement—of invoking his Civil Service Rights and going to the Civil Service Commission or of waiving his Civil Service Rights and going before an arbitraitor. You are familiar with the process?

MR. SANCHEZ: Yes, I am.

MR. VOLEK: So that is what was negotiated, and that is what has been negotiated under 288--

MR. SANCHEZ: That's on the table right now. That is being-- They said that they were at an impasse. I don't want to get into this at this point.

MR. VOLEK: I've not participated-- One of the other things I have been expressly kept out of to be able

to do other things is out of the collective bargaining process, so I'm not in a position, even if I wanted to, to comment specifically on what is or is not an impasse.

MR. SANCHEZ: I think the whole discussion centers around discipline. That is where we are coming from.

MR. VOLEK: I would be very reluctant to try to rigidly tie a schedule of discipline to a labor contract. I have a lot of misgivings from the again standpoint of freezing the relationship between frankly the Chief and the individual officers. I think it's incumbent on the Chief to be consistent and for his actions to be seen as being just. That is certainly critical.

But as a matter of fact in trying to recall——I'm very active with the National Association of Chiefs of Police and with their Legal Officer Section——and I don't think I have ever heard a comment suggesting any other department in this in the last couple of years having adopted this kind of a matrix of discipline. There is great talk and great concern about consistency and progressivity, but I don't know whether that has ever been formalized.

MR. WALTHER: What if the Chief says, "Well, this is what I'm likely to do," and have kind of a schedule of sanctions so people can see where he is at on things and judging by his own standards and criticizing if they

- don't like his standards? "This is what I'm likely to do." That is why you have a Chief.
  - MR. VOLEK: I guess I don't understand where you are going with that.
  - MR. WALTHER: Well, again I think my perception is that people think that there is potential for--
    - MR. VOLEK: Abuse?

- MR. WALTHER: --Abuse, favoritism.
- MR. VOLEK: The Chief answers to the City Manager.

  The City Manager answers to the Council.
  - MS. LYNCH: We also operate as a check on the Chief because we are the people who go in front of the arbitrator or go in front of the Civil Service Commission. And if we did something— And hopefully we are involved at an earlier step to jump in here, Steve. We will say, "No, you can't do this. This is not appropriate." So we are there also as a watchdog.
  - I have been allowed to comment on proposed discipline and have been listened to in terms of, "Given the conduct, given this guy's disiplinary history, I think what you are suggesting is too much," or in at least one case, "I don't think it's enough. I don't think you have the man's attention yet. I think you have to do something else."
- MR. WALTHER: But that is him relying on your

subjective evaluation.

MR. VOLEK: I agree. I'm not arguing against it.

I'm just saying that this could be something we could

work on in the future.

THE CHAIR: Interestingly enough with one of the speakers this morning it was their impression that in discipline minorities were treated better in the police department than non minorities. I thought that was an interesting comment.

MR. VOLEK: I'm trying to remember any discipline
I've been involved in that involved a minority to be
honest with you. I'm not involved in all discipline. I
kick in when it appears the disipline is going to be
formally reviewed. So I'm not in every failure to appear
in Reno Municipal Court, which gets a reprimand and that
type of thing. I don't know whether that is true or not
to be honest with you.

MS. LYNCH: Lonny Jackson.

MR. VOLEK: I don't know if the argument-- Yeah, I guess I could make one point here. There has been repeated criticism of the incident Chief Jackson referred to that Chief Jackson got a better shake out of the situation either because he was a commander or because he was black than certain other officers.

And since I was involved in both disciplines I'm

here to tell you of my own-- I can't get into detail, but of my own personal knowledge and belief he got no favorite treatment in that and that in fact the discipline that he took was identical to the discipline that a line officer took for the same conduct.

THE CHAIR: I have no clue what people were referring to.

MR. VOLEK: Unfortunately you have been hearing very oblique statements today, which are not very helpful to you, and I have heard some of them as well. Let me try to speed this up. You are running out of time, and you are concerned about--

MS. SADER: Just one quick question. When the citizen complains to you about a specific police officer, what are your recommendations to that person? You don't advise them because you represent--

MR. VOLEK: I will tell you what I do when I get what I call a cold call. Basically my secretary calls and says, "So-and-so is on the line and wants to talk to you." I say, "Why?" She says, "Well, they want to talk to the police lawyer, the police attorney." I say, "Okay, put them on."

I try to get their name. I try to hear them out through a first cut of exactly what their complaint is.

If I can determine what it is, I urge them to do two

things. I urge them to contact -- If it's a fieldservice situation, I urge them to contact the responsible
captain. That's the starting point.

If it is not a field-service person, if it is someone in support services or detective activities, I refer them either to the Chief of Detectives or to whoever I can determine is basically their unit commander, because that is the person who is responsible for making the first cut on a complaint.

Customarily I will give them the name and direct number of the officer I want them to call. I tell them to tell the person that they are calling at my request and to use my name on the theory that if there is any reluctance at all it will disappear when they hear that I told them to call.

I make a personal point of waiting a couple of days and then checking with the responsible commander to see if in fact he has received a phone call. Now, there is nothing I can do to make somebody call a commander, but I don't want to be put in the position of being a back door to criticism of the police department or, you know, to a complaint. That's not my role.

I don't see a conflict at that point because I am being approached for information about who do I talk to.

I feel that I'm being approached with the question who do

I talk to, how do I get my complaint heard. And in that regard I feel that, well, the best I can do is get them to the right people to hear what they have to say.

On a couple of occasions I have said-- You know, they say, "Well, I don't want to talk to the cops or I don't want to talk to the commander." I say, "Why don't you talk to the Chief." I give them the Chief's number. The Chief has an open door-- literally an open door policy. He will take a phone call, and he will listen.

And, you know, based on that he will refer it to the responsible supervisor.

So I've never known of a situation where a complainant got the door slammed on him. And on several cases I have had information brought to me not from a citizen complaining but from another source. And I have picked up the phone, called the responsible supervisor and said, "I think you need to look into this." And they have.

MR. SNIDER: When an officer is subjected to discipline, doesn't the RPD give them some assistance in this matter or do they stay out?

MR. VOLEK: No. Both under state law, which is Chapter 289, which is a peace officer's bill of rights, and under the disciplinary general orders of the department they have certain rights. There is

an obligation to inform them when a formal investigation is initiated. They have a right to have a representative, whether it be lay or an attorney, present during any formal questioning.

There is, on the other hand, no obligation that they be questioned, okay. So they have certain rights, and to the extent that I've been involved they receive those rights.

MR. SNIDER: Who does the arbitration in the event the disipline--

MR. VOLEK: Under the agreement I have a really good working relationship with the representatives from the basically two units, line union and supervisor union. After the formal steps have been exhausted—— And the formal steps are a divisional review at the supervisor's level, immediate supervisor's level, divisional review, sustained or not sustained, and the recommended discipline. This is what I'm going to recommend to the Chief. I think the charges are sustained, and I think that I am going to recommend a two-day suspension."

And this is with the labor representative present with the officer. "Now, you have a choice. At this point you can take the discipline, in which case this case is closed. If you feel that the discipline is too much or you feel that the case isn't there, that I'm

wrong, you may take an appeal to the Chief. And you have I think it's 10 days to perfect an appeal to the Chief."

At that point then a meeting is scheduled with the Chief, with the individual officer and with his labor representative and with the administrator of the Internal Affairs Union. And it's held in the Chief's office, and the Chief basically sits down and says, "Tell me about this," and he goes through it. And this often takes a couple of hours.

At the conclusion of that the Chief then will indicate whether it's been sustained, whether he agrees with the discipline, whether he agrees that it's been sustained, but he disagrees with the level of discipline and he mitigates, the officer mitigates. He says, "Yeah, you did what you did, but I think you only deserve a written letter of reprimand. So I'm not going to escalate or I'm not going to sustain the level of punishment."

If the employee is still, you know, disappointed with the result, the charter says, and contract agrees, that he has the right of review, right of appeal, to the City Manager. Actually the City Manager delegates that authority back down to the indiviual department heads. So the way it works now is that if the employee is to satisfy what the employee has received at the hands of

the Chief, at that point he makes a choice. He can either go to Civil Service for review or he can go to arbitration. The labor arbitrator calls me or a letter is sent to Human Resources saying, "We demand arbitration." I call up the labor representative in Sacramento, and we say, "Are you going to write the letter or am I for the panel?"

I mean you may know this process, but very often—And, of course, we have the right to strike, but normally on the phone we agree to one of five people on the subs list. We schedule an arbitration, and then we go in and discipline. The city has the burden of proof. The city has the burden of going forward, so we put on our case.

One final comment, and then we will wind this down. In talking this over with Tom Pilla one of the questions that arose, and you have alluded to it today, is the issue of civilian review boards. And let me just give you a couple of comments. Then I will offer some research that an organization has done on this and make it available to you.

It is a common expression among law enforcement people that discipline from civilian review boards is never as ridgidly—— that investigations are not as thoroughly applied, and the punishment is not as rigidly imposed as it is in the case for the Internal Affairs

process.

Internal Affairs is viewed as being much more critical of conduct because internal affairs and Chiefs believe they know better than civilian review boards whether the officer's conduct is not acceptable.

In other words, there is less hesitancy to give the benefit of the doubt to the officer. There is a lot of criticism of civilian review boards of diluting the executive authority of a Chief of Police.

Nevertheless, I do not sit here and in all good faith tell you that it is impossible to have a civilian review board. I simply have never seen one and don't know of one that works well, nor do I know of one that has ever lived up to its proponents' expectations.

I practiced in Kansas City, Missouri before I came here. One of the civilian review board inventions of the '70's occurred in Kansas City. The Kansas City Police Department had had a horrible time with its minority community. Kansas City is about 45 percent black now. It also has a sizable Hispanic population.

One of the solutions that was urged, as a matter of fact was imposed more or less on the Board of Police Commissioners, was the adoption of the civilian review panel that would allegedly help the process along.

I have to say that in the remaining time I spent in

Kansas City I never saw it come alive or be able to do anything like what Sid Willens, its proponent, thought it was going to be able to do. I regularly return back to the city. I have relatives and family back there, and I go two or three times a year.

And every time I go back it is my impression that the Kansas City, Missouri Police Department is in every bit as much trouble as it ever was with regard to minority relations.

You know, perhaps my feelings aren't as strong as Sheriff Swinney, where I will resign if something is put in. On the other hand, I will have to tell you that I am very skeptical of the efficacy of that kind of process, because I've never seen one that worked, and I've never heard of one that worked the way its proponents wanted it to for a lot of reasons.

MR. WALTHER: What are those reasons?

MR. VOLEK: Part of it is resistance from obviously the police. There is very strong arguments against them in terms of— I don't necessarily subscribe to the argument, by the way, but I will just list them briefly. Nobody knows the job I do until they stand in my shoes is one of the most prevalent ones.

MR. WALTHER: We have always heard that on disciplinary boards in the State Bar, but it's proven not

to be valid.

MR. VOKEK: Steve, I'm not suggesting that I subscribe to it. I'm just saying to you that it does dilute and confuse the responsibility of the executive, of the Chief or of a governing body, a Board of Police Commissioners. It dilutes it. I wonder why you are going to it when we already have a Civil Service Commission and arbitrator process that reviews discipline.

It seems to me like if you are talking about somebody on a review board, where is the City Council and where is the Civil Service Commission in all of this?

It's like you are inventing something else out there to do essentially what the political executive, the Civil Service Commission, is supposed to do. Most of the--

MS. SADER: Can I add a point? It is the citizen who doesn't trust the system when they have to call a captain of the police department to complain about a policeman. That is where the system breaks down, or that is where it is perceived to break down. That is why we have been discussing citizen review boards today because it's— What did you say, Steve? The perception is—What was your comment?

MR. WALTHER: Is as important as reality.

MR. VOLEK: Perception is reality in many cases.

MR. SANCHEZ: There is no Civil Service Board, review or appeal process at this point for a citizen complaint.

MR. VOLEK: No, that is true. That is true.

The other thing is I think the general criticism that there is a fear of inquisitorial process in the worse sense of that term, which is that you will take an officer and you will have some kind of an outside panel that will conduct an inquisition in its technical sense, not as perjorative, but in its technical sense. And even if the officer is exonerated, his name is ruined.

There are legal concerns which maybe just the lawyers on the panel would recognize. There is the problem of destroying liberty. There are some severe problems about being able to compel officers to give testimony in violation of the Fifth Amendment Right. We have case law which allows the police investigative unit to order a police officer to give a truth-related statement regarding a job-related incident. If he refuses, he can be fired for it because he has been immunized to any subsequent criminal prosecution.

I don't know how that works if you are conducting a public hearing. I just don't see how that works because in effect what you are going to wind up doing is having

to make a conscious decision to give up criminal prosecution to get at a disciplinary issue. I don't see how those can be reconciled.

MR. WALTHER: But that doesn't relate-- It seems to me just because a person is a lay person as opposed to a police officer that there is a procedural problem or maybe a Fifth Amendment problem, but that doesn't to me relate to who is hearing the information--

MR. VOLEK: Well, as a matter of fact it has led to problems with a couple of boards with regard to what investigation a board can see and officially rely on.

They put together an issues paper a number of years ago called Police Survey Review Boards--I will offer it to you--which gets into a lot of details. This starts out-- Actually this was written by someone who started out very critical of civilian review boards. The funny thing about it was that once it was written it was

reviewed by some academic at Berkeley who came back and agreed with it, much to everyone's surprise. They expected it to be torn apart, and they came back and said basically, "The criticisms are valid."

There are a lot of good technical issues that are raised in here that you might want to look at and think about that I could go on for hours on, and I know I shouldn't.

THE CHAIR: We are running out of time. You need to conclude--

MR. VOLEK: Okay. One last final comment. That is that there are a number of statutory provisions governing employee relations and rights of peace officers in this state that would have to be addressed by the Legislature if you were going to overcome some of the problems that I mentioned. There is no authority in my opinion for the creation of such a panel simply by ordinance or by the City Council. If you are going to do it right and reconcile the problems that I have been outlining, you are going to have to go to the State Legislature to do it.

I appreciate your patience and thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Could you make a copy of that article available to us?

MR. VOLEK: Yes.

THE CHAIR: That would be great. You can send it to me or Tom.

MR. VOLEK: What I thought I would do is have a copy-- get a copy to Tom.

THE CHAIR: That would be great. Thank you for coming.

Our next presenter is -- This is great. My last name is Piscevich, but I'm having a little trouble with these names.

MR. SANCHEZ: Peaua and Afoa.

THE CHAIR: Please come forward.

Would you please introduce yourselves, and then tell us a little bit about yourself and express your concerns, please.

MR. AFOA: My name is Lafayette Afoa. I'm Director for the Tongan Society of Reno.

MR. PEAUA: My name is Sitelimani Peaua. I am the Chairman of the Tongan Society of Reno.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Please proceed.

MR. AFOA: I would like to make sure that we are here not to complain or to do something that is not relevant to the programs. We are about 2,000 Tongans that lives over here in the City of Reno and Sparks or Washoe County.

The Tongan Society of Reno was established in 1977,

but it didn't incorporate until 1988 because of no interest from our community, you know, to get involved with the community and civic leaders and state, county and city.

But Tonga Island is in the South Pacific, and it's close to Samoa. And that is where I come from, and the organization is trying to serve the needs of our people over here in this area of the United States.

We had a meeting with the representative from the census bureau, and some figures that that lady brought down to us was inaccurate. To her office there are only 6,000 Tongans in the United States. And that is not true. There are more. There are over 35,000 Tongans in the Bay Area alone.

We like to get to know the Commission on Civil
Rights Agency because we do believe that there are some
complaints that involve not only the Tongans but Samoyan
people in employment or any other activity that United
States has set out for the people that lives in this
country. We also would like to work together with our
law enforcement department agency.

I learned a lot about minority sensitivity when I was involved with that police training about a month ago
I believe. I think that not only the law enforcement needs to find out about Tongans and Samoans but any

American citizens to learn the cultures and the customs of our people.

The Samoans' and Tongans' traditions are similar.

In Tonga there is a king, and it's the only island in the South Pacific who have a monarch, and in Samoa the islands or each village is run by Chiefs. There is a high Chiefs and there are token Chiefs. And in both cultures if the token Chief don't know the exact words to address somebody like you folks, then he shouldn't be sitting in that seat.

We have Chiefs who take cares of all the problems, domestic or-- Well, we never had money before back in those days, but we do now. And those noblemen and Chiefs, they are the ones who is trying to take care of the problems in the family. And that culture and that custom we want to bring that over here and still exercise in the United States or any part of the world.

There is no-- There is hardly any domestic problems in our people over here in the United States due to the fact that we each respect each other and the traditions. And if there is domestic problems, we don't like to have the police involved with it. We have community leaders, and we have bishops, ministers, in our church. There are six different denominations for the Tongans over here in Reno and one in Sparks.

THE CHAIR: Sir, do you perceive that the police get involved in problems that they shouldn't be in?

MR. AFOA: I don't think there is a need for the police to involve in the family matters, let's say a husband and a wife or childrens. We were raised back in the island in the old ways, and they still exist, that we can spank our childrens. It's not we spank our childrens because we hate them, no. It is a discipline, and it is love. Because we always tell them, "Life is hard. Someday your parents will be out of this world, and you will find out how difficult it is to face the life by yourself."

And that is why we discipline our kids over here the way our parents discipline us. And they still have that control on us, even if we are 40, 50 years old. My father is about 80 something years old now, and he still take care of me, not of the needs that I am working for, but counseling. He always tell us to walk the path of rightiousness, those kind of things that our parents taught us when when we are young, and it's the same thing that we are trying to tell our childrens even they were born here in the United States.

THE CHAIR: But what I'm trying to find out is do you perceive that the police are interfering with your families when they shouldn't be?

MR. AFOA: If it is a matter of let's say somebody holding a gun, then I think, you know, the law enforcement should be involved. But if it is just a shouting match, we can handle that problem.

THE CHAIR: Are your people experiencing any problems with the police or law enforcement?

MR. AFOA: There is not to us over here in Reno, but I hear a complaint about some peoples in the paper that the police department or somebody from the sheriff department had—— a piece of an article from the paper that there is a Tongan gang over here in Washoe.

And that upset me because we know our people. We know our community. And we are trying to have that officer-- I made some calls to the Chief of Police and to the Police Community Relations Sergeant, because I want them to point out those individual, those kids, that they said in the paper that they belong to a Tongan gang. No response.

THE CHAIR: You have not received a response from the police?

MR. AFOA: No.

THE CHAIR: When they indicated that there was some kind of problem with the Tongan gang--

MR. AFOA: They mentioned this when I was in the minority sensitivity training about a month ago, and then

later on I read in the paper after that incident took
place in some--

MR. SANCHEZ: Mr. Afoa, are there any Tongan police officers on the Reno Police Department?

MR. AFOA: No.

MR. SANCHEZ: Are there any Samoan officers?

MR. AFOA: No.

THE CHAIR: Have the police departments or entities that you contacted been open and receptive to talking to you?

MR. AFOA: Right now we have a good—— I don't want to use the word good, but right now we are starting to establish a relationship with the police department. And then the people that are responsible for this article I try to get in touch with them, and I never get any word from them. So I don't know what is going on, but we are starting to establish a, you know, fair relationship with the police department so far.

MR. SANCHEZ: But is it my understanding you would like the police department to respect your culture and domestic matters regardless of what the laws on our books may be and let you handle those matters yourself?

MR. AFOA: Can I--

(A discussion was held off the record between Mr. Afoa and Mr. Peaua.)

MR. AFOA: I think after talking to the President of the Society again I say that there are problems we can handle in domestic, and then we have our childrens. We always want to teach and train and discipline our childrens just the way we were.

THE CHAIR: Well, maybe that is part of the conflict, and that is what we are trying to find out is is the way that you were taught and the customs that you have in conflict with our laws?

(A discussion was held off the record between Mr. Afoa and Mr. Peaua.)

MR. AFOA: I know that there is a conflict in the law and our cultures, but never in the history when I grew up in the island, and also Mr. Peaua, that a parent abuse childrens the way you people describe it over here in United States or any part of the world.

But when we spank our children, it is not that we hate them. But it is a discipline, and we show them the love of the parent, of a father and a mother. But over here we also try to make sure that we don't step over the line over here in the United States because of the law. And some of the kids already know if a parent has spanked them, you know, they can call the police and—

MR. SANCHEZ: Is there, Mr. Afoa, any Tongan tradition about striking a wife?

1 MR. AFOA: No.

MR. SANCHEZ: In other words, are there any domestic disputes concerning a husband and wife that the Reno Police Department would then get involved with?

MR. AFOA: I don't think there is any incident like that ever happen or occur.

MR. SANCHEZ: Just spanking the children then?

MR. AFOA: Yes.

THE CHAIR: So what is happening is the children are then making complaints against the parents?

MR. AFOA: No. They respect the teaching of the parents.

THE CHAIR: How does law enforcement or social agencies then become involved when you indicate there are some conflict between the laws and your culture?

MR. AFOA: I didn't say that there was anything went on so far, but I am just giving you a picture or an idea, you know, because we have to make sure-- You see, right now in the community not only that we are teaching our children but we are also helping our parents, you know, to make sure that the law is the law. And this is the United States of America, and this is not Tonga, and this is not Samoa.

THE CHAIR: I see.

MR. SANCHEZ: Mr. Afoa, are your children then

becoming Americanized?

MR. AFOA: They were born here.

MR. SANCHEZ: No. I mean in terms of adopting the American culture as well as the Tongan culture.

MR. AFOA: My childrens speak three language. They speak Tongan and Samoa and English, and if you tell them we are going to have a hula dance practice, they will dance.

THE CHAIR: I think we understand. Thank you. Do you have any other comments that you would like to make?

MR. AFOA: I will have him say something.

MR. PEAUA: You know, I would like to continue—
Like Mr. Afoa said, like we growing up in— We in the
place growing up never had somebody— I mean the father
or the mother abuse the children, okay. And this one
like in here, like Mr. Afoa said, you know, this why we
teach our kids, our children, like in the island like my
parents teach me. They told me, you know, do that, you
know, and stop that. And if I don't, you know, follow
what they said, one, two, three, four times, five times,
they spank me, okay. They hit me, you know. And they
told me, you know—

I like to say that, you know, the animal I can tell him three, four, five times, okay. Human beings it is one, two, three, four is enough, you know, to change,

stop, you know. But this is why we spank, you know, the kids.

But, you know, the next one, we don't believe the police department love our kids more than us. See, we don't believe that.

THE CHAIR: Are your people having any problems with the police?

MR. PEAUA: Yeah, I mean like Mr. Afoa said, okay. Like, see, if we teach our culture, you know, when the parents started to spank, you know, they tell them five, six times, and they don't stop it, you know, spank them. And the kids call the police, okay. And the police come and tell the parents, "If you hit the kids, we take the kids away from you, okay."

THE CHAIR: Okay.

MR. PEAUA: This is why we don't believe the police department or any department love our kids more than us, than the parents, see.

And the next one, we never say to our children,

"Okay, step outside from our house. I don't want to see

you here in this house." We never do that. We never say

that in our whole life in our culture, you know, except

how bad-- You know, I mean our kids, they are still

welcome home. We still love them. We never-- You know,

it's bad in our culture if I say, "Okay. I don't want to

see you here, you know, my son or my daughter. You know, we never do that.

2.2

THE CHAIR: So you are having problems with the police coming in and threatening to take the children away from you?

MR. PEAU: You know, some of us-- The police, I mean, tell the kids call to the police. This is the problem, okay. The kids call the police, you know, "Mamma or Papa hit us." The police come, and you have no say what I said. But we believe this is the part our kids-- our lesson to teach them like this.

THE CHAIR: All right. We understand that.

MR. PEAUA: And our next one, like Mr. Afoa said, I think maybe three, four weeks ago our—— See, yeah, I mean we saw in the paper that the police department said—— Okay. Our culture in here we know every Tongan here. We, you know, know each other very good. But, you know, some 14, 15 year olds when the Tongan work at home, you know, the one boy, he walk to this house, you know the Tongan boy there, and they walk to the other house, something like that and when they walk in the—— I mean in the street, I think the police, you know, take them and put them——

THE CHAIR: --a gang?

MR. PEAUA: Yes, and they put them in a jail I

think maybe three, four weeks ago.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So what you are saying is when your children get together in groups of three or four, then they are perceived to be a gang and put in jail?

MR. PEAUA: See, okay, you know, they don't know.

They don't understand. They want, you know, to wear anything they want, like T-shirt, something like that.

They wear, the police tell them, you know, something like a color, they are a gang or something like that, but the kids they don't understand the color belong to the gang.

You know, like jacket, they wear something like that.

THE CHAIR: You mean wearing like an arm band? Is that what you are saying?

MR. AFOA: I think whenever a police patrol car drove by and saw maybe four or five Tongans or Samoa kids are walking along together or standing in a corner, presumably the cops said they belong to a gang.

THE CHAIR: Are they wearing gang colors or special colors?

MR. AFOA: I did some survey, you know, what kind of dress or what kind of jacket they wear. The majority of everybody in our neighborhood, all the kids in our neighborhood, wearing that L.A. Rams or Raiders jacket, you know, that black jacket from the Raiders jacket, you know.

And I call to the Spanish people, you know, I take a look at them. They wear the same kind of jacket, the Raiders, even some of the white kids, you know, the black kids. You know, and I think our kids is trying, you know, to intimidate, you know, but somehow the police have a wrong idea of dressing or manners as a gang, you know.

And we have only I think about nine or 12 kids that go to the same school. They all walk together to the school, you know. They play around and somehow, you know, an officer said, "Well, there is a Tongan gang, you know."

That is why it upset me when that thing was mentioned in the paper, you know, because we know our community, and we all live together in the same neighborhood. A few of us live in Sparks, and the majority of the Tongans are residing at the northeast neighborhood in Reno. And to me the police has to evaluate what they say, you know, how they address people.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you have a complaint of the police department that they have not gotten back to you?

MR. AFOA: No. They never return my call. I call the sergeant who was responsible for the police-community relations. I didn't get a call from him.

I called the other sergeant in the Sheriff's

Department because he was the one who mentioned it in the

paper.

You know, in addition of what Mr. Peaua said about the disgrace to us if we throw somebody out of the house, that is a no/no in our culture. Even if your uncle or your aunt or cousin or relative, a distance relative, doesn't have no money to provide the needs for himself, we don't do that. We don't just tell somebody to get out of the house. There is no homeless Tongan or Samoans on the street.

MR. SNIDER: In what area of the Reno do most of the Samoans or Tongans live?

MR. AFOA: It's on the northeast of Reno.

THE CHAIR: I hate to cut this discussion off, but we are running a little bit late. Thank you very much for coming. Is there anything else that you wanted to tell us other than those couple of points that we have gotten?

MR. PEAUA: Can I finish?

THE CHAIR: Sure.

MR. PEAUA: Like I said, the last two, three weeks, okay, the police get-- you know, Tongan kids walk in the street. They took them in, put them in jail, okay. They said-- You know, I think they come from the movie,

something like that, and, you know, why they don't take them home? You know, they take them straight to the jail and put them in the jail, you see.

THE CHAIR: Unfortunately Chief Bradshaw was here all day except for about the last hour. We will see if we can get the message to him.

MR. PEAUA: This is why we are concerned I think, why they took them to the jail and don't take, you know--bring them home, something like that.

MR. AFOA: Can I add one more thing, please?
THE CHAIR: Yes.

MR. AFOA: Our people don't use profanity language, and that is something I told those officers, "Well, you go minority sensitivity training. We don't use profanity or swearing. You know, we respect anybody. We respect our elders. We respect the young, and we respect any other minority or any other ethnic groups." And I know I like to make sure the police department or anybody else—that when we address each other, we make sure that we know each other is individual.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Now, we have an open session, and I have been provided with one person who would like to speak. I have been provided with a name.

Is Mr. Cooper here or has he left?

There is a sign-up sheet. Did anybody see it outside? Let me go see if I can find it quickly.

Tom, do you have a sign-up sheet?

Would people who would like to speak please sign up. Why don't we just do that, and who would like to speak? Okay. Why don't we start with you, and then would you fill out the paperwork and give it to Tom. And them while she is speaking would other people fill out the paperwork and give it to me. And we will move this along.

Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the court reporter or your first name if it's difficult.

MS. SHAW: My name is Shirlee J. Shaw,

S-h-i-r-l-e-e, S-h-a-w. I live at 1945 East Second

Street, Reno. And I'm here on behalf of myself out of concern of our people. I live on the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony. I'm a little bit nervous.

THE CHAIR: Just relax. It's okay.

MS. SHAW: Okay. The reason I'm here is I'm concerned about the interaction that the back-up police have with our people when the tribal police make a call to them. We have had instances where we have had those officers verbally abusing our people.

THE CHAIR: Which officers, the back-up?

The back-up Reno P.D. officers or MS. SHAW: officers from Washoe County or Sparks Police Department. We consist of 28.38 acres there, and we have approximately about 750 people there, okay. And the 5 majority -- As I say, there is about maybe 300 adults there.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we have had instances where one of them, who was my cousin, was being chased by the police officers--The Reno P.D. showed up. They chased him. My cousin had a broken arm. They pulled him by his arm, and it was overheard by one of the police officers that they wished he would have ran so he could have shot him.

We are having a lot of abuse by those officers that back up our tribal police officers. And I think it's getting out of hand, and I think something really needs to be done.

I just have a couple of questions. THE CHAIR: Ιs the Indian Colony federal?

MS. SHAW: Yes. We are under federal jurisdiction, and I do not know if those officers that come into our jurisdiction if they are commissioned to serve federally.

THE CHAIR: Okay. But somehow the police have access to be on the land?

I really don't know, because they are supposed to be commissioned. But I don't think the

majority of them are commissioned. I think they take that upon themselves, and I do-- I have seen Reno police officers ride through the area on bicycles. I have seen patrol cars go through our colony and around it. And I think they are out of their jurisdiction.

And I think that when they are called, they should come with respect and find out what the situation is.

And if they need to stand by and watch while the other officers are in whatever matter they are taking care of, they should stand there and just preserve the peace among the people.

MS. SADER: Did your cousin file a formal complaint against the police officers?

MS. SHAW; Well, no, because the people are intimidated. We have a lot of complaints even within our own department. When we have had problems, the majority of the complaints have gone to File 13. So there is that lack of confidence in the system, so they won't do that.

MR. SNIDER: Are there occasions where your own police officers do call upon the Reno Police Department or Sparks Department for assistance?

MS. SHAW: As far as I'm concerned, yes, they do.

I think sometimes they cry wolf. And I think some of our
police officers make the situation worse than what they
actually are. And I think that also they need to enhance

their abilities with communication with the people, too, and not just be there to strongarm our people as well as allow other agencies to do the same.

MR. SNIDER: Do your police officers have a working arrangement with Chief Bradshaw or any of the--

MS. SHAW: I really don't know. I don't know what those inner actions are between the agencies. As far as I'm aware, Washoe County were the only individuals who were authorized as commissioned, and I don't know if those commissions are carried on any longer.

MR. SNIDER: Are you stating that only certain officers are commissioned to go into the Indian Colony?

MS. SHAW: Yes. As far as I know, there are only certain commissioned officers.

MR. SNIDER: Just a few officers?

MS. SHAW: That would be through the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

THE CHAIR: Are you finding that when other than tribal officers get involved that the situation becomes abusive and there is excess force?

MS. SHAW: Yes, very much so.

MR. SANCHEZ: Have you lodged any complaints with the Bureau of Indian Affairs regarding this?

MS. SHAW: Yes, we have. And we have with our own police officers where we have problems. The BIA hasn't

really done anything. They haven't-- Oh, you know, you don't hear from them. You don't receive a report back of what the findings might be or anything. So it's just, you know, hard to get at those problems and try to get them resolved.

THE CHAIR: Please don't get too discouraged with us, but it takes us awhile to get our reports out, too.

This does not happen overnight.

MS. SHAW: But I would like to be considered as a Committee member, if you have such, regarding--

THE CHAIR: Your comments will be taken into consideration, and they are part of the record and part of the findings. That is one of the reasons that it is important that you fill out the form.

MS. SHAW: Yes, because I have another appointment, and this is the first time I've ever spoken before anybody, so that is why I'm nervous.

THE CHAIR: You did fine.

MS. SHAW: Okay, but I am really concerned about other officers coming onto the federal reservation. And I think that they should let those officers check out the situation and give guidance to those other officers that come on and not allow-- Because I have even seen a Reno police officer harassing a native American off of the colony on the street. When I came around the corner, he

stopped. I saw him grab that young kid and start to beat on him, and when I came around, he stopped.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. SHAW: So something needs to be done.

THE CHAIR: I appreciate your comment.

MS. SHAW: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Bishop Vincent Thompson, please. He stepped out, so we will go with Sue Smith.

MS. SMITH: Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you this afternoon.

THE CHAIR: Would you for the record state your name.

MS. SMITH: Sue Smith. I'm a Reno City Council member. I represent Ward 4, which is the area of town that does have quite a few minorites living in it. And I have had occasion often to interact with many of those minority groups. And in fact my life has been very enriched by their involvement in my life.

When I first came on the Council, we were short police officers. We needed to hire police, and Chief Bradshaw came forward to the City Council and asked that that happen.

I went back and I looked through the records at two previous questions that had been placed on the ballot and found in fact that Northeast Reno and all of Ward 4 had

voted in favor of that proposal on both occasions.

Therefore, we went forward, and we got the police officers that were needed. I guess my point is that the people in that area want to have police service. They want to enjoy police protection. But I think they also want to be treated with respect in the process of receiving that police protection.

I would like to be quite clear that I realize that police work is a hard job, and I would never propose that police officers be sent out on the street with one arm tied behind their back. On the other hand, I do think that there may be some opportunities to have police officers be more culturally aware and maybe be more sensitive.

And this was one of the things that I spoke of during the time that we were going out to the community trying to get an increase in our police force is that I felt that we needed to have police officers out there, but I also felt that they needed to be well-trained and almost a first-line social worker kind of thing. I myself have a social work background, and so I'm sensitive to that.

I am aware that we are trying to hire minority officers in the Police Department. I gave all of that information to Mr. Pilla. Since the time I spoke with

him--And perhaps he has spoken with some of the people that I'm going to refer to--I have had minority police officers come forward and explain to me that they are not treated well by their other police officers that work the beat with them.

And it almost is unconscious the things that are said. And I, therefore, think that we need to do a better job. I would propose that we do review the possibility of having a citizen committee that oversees the Police Department.

I guess the reason that I say that is because I see that work with other departments in the city, and when there is citizen involvement, there is a way of getting direction. I am concerned about the things that the City Attorney has brought up as possibilities. And I would in no way want to have my comments slight the current Police Chief or any of the administration. I just think that there is some room for improvement in these areas, and I think that we would value your direction in telling us how we might go about achieving those things.

MR. SANCHEZ: Have any of these minority officers that have talked to you lodged any complaints within the system?

MS. SMITH: No, no, but I don't know that they would. I mean they told me these things in confidence,

and then when somebody tells you something in confidence, how do you really take care of it without doing something to, you know, undermine that confidence.

And so I think it would improve if we were able to recruit more minority officers. But we do need some direction along those lines on how to get those people forward and in uniform and also trained in sensitivity.

And as I told Mr. Pilla I am saying that, right, I am often at neighborhood meetings, and we get people standing up complaining about the way their kids were treated by police officers. And just as often somebody will come to, you know, the officer's aid out in the community, saying in fact your kid was doing something wrong and, you know, that officer doesn't--

So it's hard to sort out, but I think that maybe we could use some sort of a citizen involvement in the police department, and the Chief has honestly tried through his neighborhood advisory committees I think to have the police department out there interacting with the community.

But maybe we are not getting the full story through that means, and we need to have a means where the community talks to us instead of us talking to them or something.

MR. SANCHEZ: As a member of the City Council,

would you support the notion of charter change or whatever it takes to change the Civil Service Rules to go to a banding concept and doing away with the rule of three--

MR. SANCHEZ: I don't know that I am. I would be interested in looking at it.

MR. SANCHEZ: --for affirmative action purposes?

MS. SHAW: If it would in fact move us forward toward getting more minority officers hired then, yes, possibly I would be. I very much think that we need to have minority police officers and female police officers out in the street.

And as I have said, we are trying—— You know, there are programs. We have the Police Athletic League. We have police officers going out and doing athletic programs with kids and getting gang members so that they see their police department and other means. So I think there is some efforts, but I just think we could use some direction about what to do.

I also would like to add from a fiscal point of view I think this is a big concern because of the number of brutality cases that we are having brought against the city at this point, and we could use some direction about that. And I know that it probably is being enhanced because of the Los Angeles situation, but we really need

to not have those cases being brought forward.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. SHAW: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Is Bishop Vincent Thompson here?

Would you please state your name for the record.

BISHOP THOMPSON: I'm Vincent Thompson.

THE CHAIR: Would you like to introduce yourself again.

REV. RICHARDSON: Reverend Chester Richardson.

BISHOP THOMPSON: First of all, I would like to give some testimony as to a statement that I had heard from Deputy Chief of Police Lonny Jackson that there was racism that existed towards blacks in law enforcement.

I had not heard any discussion of that during his trial, the trial that he was charged with his charges. I forgot what those charges were. But racism was not addressed during the trial, and it tends to be something that is lacking here in Northern Nevada.

Racism very seldom is addressed, regardless of whether it's in the police department or whether it's in any other form.

Here are some headlines that appeared in the paper periodically, "Regent UNR, Racism Continues; Skinheads Arraigned in Murder; Trial Postponed for Three Teenagers in Racial Slaying in Reno; Racial Motives Seen in Car Lot

Vandalism; There is New Racism Seen Emerging up at UNR."

There is constantly headlines indicating that racism does exist, not only in law enforcement, but it exists in a large segment of the society living here.

That statement that I just made—— I made the statement before. Nothing has ever been done. Committees like your Committee come in, and they listen, they find facts, and they do nothing about it. It tends to be a continuous problem here in Northern Nevada that people come and gather statistics and leave and don't do anything about it. Not only does it start at the White House that nothing is being done about civil rights today, and nothing is being done here.

That is a statement that I would like to make to this fact-finding Committee, and those are my feelings. The headlines speak for themselves. The statement made by a senior law enforcement officer speaks for itself. The study made by this professor at UNR on the constant jailing of black men speaks for itself. So the statement is made to you this evening that racism does exist. It exists extremely against black men in Northern Nevada.

THE CHAIR: Do you have any suggestions for what we can do to help that situation? And right now we are focusing on police relationships.

BISHOP THOMPSON: Well, I think that number one,

you know, we get bogged down in statistics and suggestions.

THE CHAIR: We are here to get some answers.

BISHOP THOMPSON: There is something that needs to be done. It's the same story since the days of Martin Luther King, "Where are your statistics? What are your suggestions?" But when you go back to the White House, the President still wants the same story, "Where are your statistics?"

You get bogged down in studies. There needs to be some direct action in the area of affirmative action.

THE CHAIR: Can you give under the circumstances what you like to see done if we could solve the problem?

BISHOP THOMPSON: I would like to see some blacks working in the legal system here as attorneys and judges, judges mainly. There never has been a black judge in Northern Nevada. There needs to be some working in the legal system. And then the affirmative action program should not be something that is just on paper that is never read, only when somebody questions it. But it should extend to the legal system, and it should be put to work. So I would like to see affirmative action be worked as a plan and something done about that.

THE CHAIR: I don't know if this is true or not, but what was represented to us by the Police Department

since they have been able to hire 88 new policemen is that their increase in minorities and female representation has increased. I don't think it's perfect, but it has increased.

BISHOP THOMPSON: Well, I'm quite certain with the census reports that have possibly been the same for the last 20 years here on the minority representation or black— On black it has not changed, and it's going to bear out some phony statistics that they would have anywhere in any office here.

MR. SANCHEZ: Bishop Thompson, I raised some questions already earlier in the day regarding the affirmative action plan here in the City of Reno. There is a little confusion in my mind whether the plan is updated. We have been told that it is updated, and we have been told it's not updated even though the 1990 statistics have come in for this area.

My confusion also lies in the fact that they are not utilizing standard metropolitan statistical data either. I am concerned about that, and I'm not so sure anybody knows what the affirmative action plan says here, and we have not had an opportunity to have any testimony from the Affirmative Action Officer or from the Reno Civil Service Commission, et cetera. So your point is well-taken about the affirmative action plan.

BISHOP THOMPSON: For years we were told that we had an Affirmative Action Officer at the City of Reno, and we had no Affirmative Action Officer. There was a clerk that was acting out of the Personnel Office, and we were misled. We have been misled by the consent decree to hire firemen. And there was no Affirmative Action Officer monitoring that program.

When it comes to affirmative action in Northern

Nevada there is a lot of talk and no action. When it

comes to doing something about racism there is a lot of

talk and no action. And those statements I want to make

loud and clear. And discrimination continues, and it is

in a very very blatant form. Racism continues in a very

blatant form here in Northern Nevada. That is my

statement this evening. Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Would you like to add any comments, Rev.

## Richardson?

Mr. Sanchez raised about the affirmative action plan of the City of Reno, when Mr. Glenn Taylor was brought on board by virtue of the federal decree, as it pertains to Willy Washington, the Fire Fighters, the city was mandated to come up with an affirmative action plan that Mr. Taylor did submit that is about two and a half years

old but is by no means an acceptable affirmative action plan because they did not follow the decree as a judge mandated that involved minority organizations and agencies and organizations that dealt with civil rights issues.

So we felt the city was in violation of that further testimony that was shown that they failed to follow the decree by hiring women in place of minorities when the decree specifically mandated that he hire ethnic minorities. So the city has shown bad faith in following that decree. So consequently we have no confidence in the affirmative action plan that currently exists. I don't know if that answers your question.

And in response to the statistics that you pointed out, why there is a decrease in African Americans over the period of a year is the fact that they believe the other minority groups were going on the rise, including women, therefore, changing the parity levels.

So instead of increasing minorities they plan to decrease it systematically based on the census count, which we plan to challenge as being inaccurate in that it has not changed in the last, as Bishop Thompson said, 15 to 20 years. We have been 6,000 blacks for 10, 15 years. That is unheard of.

BISHOP THOMPSON: Our churches have increased.

When I first came here in this city, we had four black churches. Now, we have 16. But our population stays the same.

I have something that I found in a telephone booth here this afternoon, and it is called The National Association for the Advancement of White People. It says, "If you would order 100 for two dollars and keep the chain going or call on the 24-hour hotline and get a free packet of literature call this number."

There tends to be more of this type of information floating around, and the quiz on the front of it— And I can pass it to you if you would like to see it for your own information and that would keep me from expressing what I had to say. But those sorts of little things are being found in phonebooths and on park benches all over the city now. And it will increase racism.

MR. SANCHEZ: Bishop Thompson, is your point that there still exists racial bias and prejudice and as a result racism and as a result of racism employment discrimination?

BISHOP THOMPSON: Yes. One of the things that I faced when I first came here is I went to an employment office, and they told me to go back where I came from.

And I asked them was it because I was black, and the lady said, "Yes. We don't want any blacks living here. We

want as few as we can possibly have."

And from that day on I began to look at the signs here. In the post office there is still swastikas. On the floor of the county courthouse there is swastikas and in several other buildings around.

But the excuses that are given by the politicians and by community leaders is that these swastikas were part of the building designs in the days that these buildings were put up. But that is not so. It brings to the attention of young white people that the support of racism is still very strong in Northern Nevada.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do you have anything further?

REV. RICHARDSON: I just want to add one thing in terms of recruitment and so forth. There has been a lot of comments made about trying to recruit local African Americans to become part of the Reno Police Department.

I would like to point out that I have been a part of, as I said, designing the cultural sensitivity training for the Reno Police Department prior to the Safety 88 officers being hired and orchestrated a major sting operation in one of the local casinos and an undercover embezzlement.

And when the Safety 88 hirees came long and they said they wanted minorities, I wanted to see if they

really meant what they said. So I went out for the Reno Police test, and I passed the written, the physical, the psychological and went for the oral exam.

There was one officer on there who I had saved her life when she was a meter maid, and we chased down a mugger in a corner five years earlier. She was now a police officer, and there was a native American on the board who claimed that I knew all of the other African Americans. They had asked. So they couldn't have one sit on my oral board.

I answered all the questions to the best of my ability and felt very confident at the conclusion of my little oral exam. The minimum score was 70. I got my result back. It was a 69.8. When I went in to talk to the Chief Civil Service Examiner with another individual, another minister, to ask why did I fail to meet the minimum score when I thought I did so well, she did not know.

She opened up the folder, and the first thing out of her mouth was, "Well, Mr. Richardson, it appears you have trouble with public speaking. Do you stutter? What I'm asking you, sir, is do you have trouble expressing yourself?" And from then it went downhill.

Those are the kind of problems and the problem that was faced there that they recently made a change was the

fact that that oral exam had a motivational part on the test, which was purely subjective. It was the highest score on that test, which is two questions, "Why do you want to be a police officer?" There was no right or wrong answer. "What did you do to prepare yourself to be a police officer?" No right and wrong answer, purely subjective.

When I tried to meet with the Chief to point out there was some discrepancy, they launched an internal investigation because there was a whole lot of incidents involved and the community—— The type of pressure that I received from the Reno Police Department based on me raising those concerns through the media and so forth was just unbelievable. It was only by the Grace of God that I was able to survive that.

Later on I brought that issue up before the Civil
Service Commission, which refused to launch a formal
investigation, saying that I did not have merit, but yet
they approved changing that examination because they felt
that those two questions were purely subjective.

MR. SANCHEZ: Reverend, I have to make a comment here, a personal comment, as a member of the Committee and also to Mr. Pilla, after listening to testimony today I just feel dissatisfied that we were not able to have testimony from representatives from the Civil Service

Commission, because many of the indications of testimony indicate there may be some things that we need to look into at that level.

REV. RICHARDSON: The Affirmative Action Officer was here today. I don't know if you knew that. I went and got him and asked him to come and speak and to address your concerns, but I don't know what happened. He probably got tired of waiting, but I think that is just indicative of the attitude that the Civil Service Commission has as well as the Human Resource Department towards addressing the concerns that we have raised.

And I don't like to give horror stories, and I do believe in the Reno Police Department. I wouldn't have tried to be one if I didn't believe in it. I just want to see it made better.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Next is Laura and Jose Segura.

Would you please go ahead and state your name and make any comments that you would like.

LAURA SEGURA: He needs to have a-- I'm Laura Segura.

JOSE SEGURA: Jose Segura.

LAURA SEGURA: Yesterday me, him and his brother went to the bank to open up a savings account for his brother, and one thing led into another. We waited about

45 minutes. The teller was stalling, stalling. We didn't know why. She said that the I.D. was false, and she didn't tell us this until four police officers came behind us.

The police officer said, "Do you know why we are here?" And I said, "No," He says, "Because the Social Security belongs to a lady in Washington." He accused me and my husband and his brother of laundering drug money, took my purse without a search warrant or didn't even ask me to take my purse, and said he was looking for a gun or knife and opened it up and took out our money. He said, "What is all this money in your purse?"

I had just gotten an appeal -- I had unemployment, and I got my settlement the day before yesterday, and we got our income tax money.

I don't launder drug money, and I don't like people going through my purse without asking or a search warrant and being accused of that. They took his brother to jail. They took our I.D.'s, photocopied them, would not let us go until our things were ran to see if we were in any other trouble or anything and was asking--

Well, I guess he can say. Do you want to-
(A disucussion was held off

the record in spanish.)

RAQUEL SEGURA: My name is Raquel Segura. I'm not

part of this group or a relative that I know of. And I want to interpret for them.

He wants to know why he was treated that way when he never uses drugs, why was that search necessary, that treatment necessary?

MR. SANCHEZ: Has there been a complaint filed with the Reno Police Department?

LAURA SEGURA: There has been a complaint filed against the Police Department and the bank. His brother— They set his brother's bail at \$2,500, but they won't let us bail him out, not even with cash. And so the Hispanic group, one of the ladies that was here today, she is trying to get him out.

But they took his I.D. and was asking him questions on his I.D. and saying, "Did you know your card well," like he was lying, and being really rude and sarcastic to both of us.

(Jose Segura spoke in Spanish.)

MR. SANCHEZ: Would you translate for the reporter.

RAQUEL SEGURA: The police took his card, looked at it, said, "So what is your birth date," and they were kind of— very sarcastic about it. And they said, "It's very good you know your birthday, huh," kind of sarcastic also, like making fun of him. They asked him if he had a gun or any other arms.

MR. SANCHEZ: Do you have the name of this officer with you?

LAURA SEGURA: I think it's Officer Miller.

MR. SANCHEZ: How did this officer communicate with Jose?

RAQUEL SEGURA: The policeman asked if he had any guns or any other arms, and he replied, "No." He wanted to know-- He told the police, "Why are you asking me these things?" He wanted to know why he was treated that way.

LAURA SEGURA: Also the officer asked the lady, the clerk at the bank, to call Jose's work to see if he really worked there, to call immigration to see if Jose really had an immigration card. I mean Jose has his immigration card, and he has his driver's license.

So the clerk was playing Miss Detective, and she is the one that brought the police officers in there, and then she was working with the police officers trying to find if there was something wrong with us.

MR. SANCHEZ: So he was asked for his immigration papers?

LAURA SEGURA: He was asked for his I.D.-- I mean his driver's license and asked if he had his immigration papers. He said that he had his card. She called immigration.

RAQUEL SEGURA: That seems to be a thing that is happening to a lot of Latinos. The police will stop and ask for immigration papers.

MR. SANCHEZ: Earlier the Police Chief testified before this Committee that that was not a common practice.

RAQUEL SEGURA: It is. It happens a lot. He was also arrested without cause, and he was even handcuffed.

Also I would like to say--

LAURA SEGURA: His brother, he was working under a fake Social Security number, and he did tell the police officer he was illegal. Well, immigration doesn't want anything to do with it because of the procedures, the way it went, so they are going to stay out of it. And then they will pick him up later.

But there is no way we can get him out of jail.

What are we supposed to do? I mean we have been trying since yesterday. We have the money to bail him out, but they don't want to bail him out. They won't let us bail him out because he doesn't have a social security number.

And when we first walked in, me and Jose went and got an account two months ago. We walked in, showed both our driver's license-- We had our account within five minutes, the same account. We walked in with his brother because his brother doesn't speak any English at all.

The first thing she was did was call his work, call the Police Department, call Social Security, then call his work back. He no longer has a job. You know, she is doing this for the police officers. Her job is a clerk. She is not immigration.

I just don't think we were treated fairly yesterday. And his brother is sitting up in jail, and no one can help him. Even though we have the money, and we have the colateral, and we have everything, there is nothing we can do.

THE CHAIR: My recommendation on that would be get a criminal lawyer, seriously, and he would probably qualify for the public defender. I would check on that immediately. There is the Public Defender's Office. He would probably qualify and to check with that first.

MR. SANCHEZ: Is this the first time that Jose has been dealt with by the police? Is the first time they have approached and dealt with him the same way?

RAQUEL SEGURA: Never before has he ever had any problems with the Police Department. He says on a previous occasion they were driving a vehicle, and another vehicle got alongside of them. And they started to throw rocks.

And one of the people that was riding in the car with him got hit in the face with a glass from the broken

window with a rock. The police stoped and investigated it, but that was the reason.

LAURA SEGURA: One more thing I would like to add.

When the police took me and Jose's driver's license, he
looked at them and said, "Oh, are you guys married?"

Then he says, "How long have you been married? Is that
his baby?"

It's not their business. They don't have any right asking us how long we have been married and if this is his baby. Yeah, we have been married for almost a year, and this is his baby.

RAQUEL SEGURA: He wants to know why his brother is in jail. He didn't commit any crime at all to be incarcerated for.

THE CHAIR: That is why I think you need a Public Defender. I can't answer the question. There could be a a problem with using the social security number--

LAURA SEGURA: But what they don't understand—
They said— All his I.D. has his name on all of them,
his real name. He's not giving a false name, but the
Social Security is fictitious. It's no good. It's
somebody else, some girl from Washington.

THE CHAIR: I think there is a federal law against that.

LAURA SEGURA: But also we don't understand-- For

fake I.D. the bail is a thousand dollars. His is set at 2500. Why is it so high?

I'm not involved with the criminal system at all. That is why I recommend that you see somebody that is.

They say they take fake Social RAQUEL SEGURA: Security cards to make a living and be able to put a roof over their heads, not to do any harm to anyone. They are not aware of the laws against doing those things. says why do they treat them like thieves?

THE CHAIR: There is a problem with the treatment, no question.

Thank you very much.

Before we officially close is there anyone else that would like to make any comments for the record?

This is the editor of the La Voz RAQUEL SEGURA: Delos Hispanos, the Spanish newspaper, that was arrested the day before Cinco De Mayo. I will speak for him. doesn't speak English very good. He was working late, getting ready for Cinco De Mayo. It was late. The alarm sounded in the building. The police came down, and he was arrested and handcuffed.

> THE CHAIR: Would you please state your name.

MR. TORRES: Herbrigo Torres.

MR. SANCHEZ: Would you spell that out for the

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

reporter.

MR. TORRES: H-e-r-b-r-i-g-o, T-o-r-r-e-s.

First of all, I would like Mrs. Segura to give me a

little hand with English because also I have some

limitations. I publish the newspaper because I have seen

a lot of things wrong with immigration and police

officers and some other people.

(A discussion was held off the record in Spanish.)

RAQUEL SEGURA: He says unfourtunately that in the City of Reno the Police Department instead of inspiring confidence they inspire fear with all the Latino community in general. He says the first thing they do when the Police Department stops them is ask them for their immigration papers and if they are legal in this country.

MR. SANCHEZ: Is that a common practice?

RAQUEL SEGURA: It's a very common practice. They did it to him and took him in, and we were trying to raise the money so he could be free for May 5th for 765-dollar bail. They handcuffed him like a common criminal, and it took us several hours. We got him out about one o'clock in the morning.

THE CHAIR: What was the reason for stopping him?

RAQUEL SEGURA: The alarm rang in the building

because he was leaving. We were working late. We were

preparing for that festivity. So when the police came down, there was three of them. They let two of them go and took him in because he says, "What is the problem? Why are you doing this to me?" And the next thing you know he was handcuffed. He was in jail.

They called me. I was in bed already. We had to get out and try to go to a casino to get cash, because they wanted nothing but cash. We took the cash out there. They let him out about one o'clock in the morning.

THE CHAIR: Reno P.D.?

RAQUEL SEGURA: Reno P.D..

MR. SNIDER: When did this happen?

RAQUEL SEGURA: May 4th.

MR. SANCHEZ: The day before Cinco De Mayo?

RAQUEL SEGURA: The day before, yes. This is a problem. He is a member of our community. He is the editor of the newspaper. So there is no differentiating who it is. They treat everybody the same way, you know. If they stop you, they ask you for your papers.

(A discussion was held in Spanish.)

MR. SANCHEZ: Would you translate that part.

RAQUEL SEGURA: We are situated on the third floor of the building. The two fellows that were helping assist him with the festivities were downstairs. When he

got downstairs, the police was already there, and they were abusing the two younger fellows. He interfered, and then they say -- They don't even know how to say our names. And they say, "Jesus, these Mexican fuckers don't even know their names."

MR. SANCHEZ: The officers were speaking in English?

RAQUEL SEGURA: Right. It was really hard to get him out, believe me. They gave us a very hard time. So we are writing about it, of course.

MR. SANCHEZ: Let me ask you a question: Is it the perception of Hispanic community here that they are harassed by the Police Department because they not only look different but they also either have Spanish accents or do not speak English as well?

(A discussion was held in Spanish.)

MR. SANCHEZ: He thinks that is part of it, that they look different?

MR. TORRES: Yeah. I asked them for a business card, and they gave me a business card. But before they take me-- you know, took me to the truck they ask me to give them the business card back, you know. So we couldn't write the names.

RAQUEL SEGURA: He asked them for a business card, police card, but when they put him in the truck, they

took the card back. They searched him for the card and took it back.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. I appreciate it.

RAQUEL SEGURA: Also the Immigration Department is harassing our people quite a bit in gatherings. We have dances. They show up. We have the Amnesty Unification Program. The people— We interviewed Senator Bryan last week and asked why is this practice continuing of getting the people to go ahead and file for the papers, and they file for the papers. And while they are waiting they are not given any receipt at all, and then the police and immigration comes and throw them out of the country. The people have already filed the papers.

And the response of the Senator was that there was not enough people to process the papers, and our response was why do you put the people— throw the people out who are processing the papers? So these people are going around in circles. They are throwing people out that already have this documentation. This goes on and on and on. It's a vicious circle. It has got to be stopped.

MR. SNIDER: This is the immigration people?

RAQUEL SEGURA: The police and the Immigration

Department. Between the two of them it's incredible.

THE CHAIR: We heard that.

RAQUEL SEGURA: So we thank you for listening to

us.

THE CHAIR: The last person is Roberto Delgado.

MR. DELGADO: My name is Roberto Delgado,

D-e-1-g-a-d-o. I live at 1820 Greenbrae, Sparks, Nevada

89431. I came here to just listen to my uncle's

complaint, but I heard all these people talking about

what was going on. And like it shook me up a little.

I just wanted to give you guys a recent story of what happened to me. It was somewhere like around last winter when there was an incident in downtown Reno.

There was a little scuffle in Circus Circus. Everything happens there, you know. And I exited with a couple of friends, and two were blacks and one was Philippino. And we were all a little minority group.

And we came down the stairs to get away from the scuffle, when two policemen--They didn't flip out the badge or nothing--just started throwing profanities at us. I guess they were undercover. They told us to get down on the sidewalk. Well, I didn't know who they were, so I just got down, but my other two black friends got offended. They started a fight with the police officers.

I told my friend, you know, "Let's go. Let's run."

But I didn't see any policemen chasing me or any I.D.

being shown at me, so I didn't bother to do anything. I

just kept running just to hide or get out somewhere.

So I hid behind this one pillar, and I came out.

And I heard, "Reno P.D.. Put your hands up." So I put
my hands up, and I just started hearing these smart
remarks about my nationality and about the way I was
dressing. I was just dressed in normal dance wear.

MR. SANCHEZ: What did they say?

MR. DELGADO: They were saying, for example, "How did you get that drug money, you Spicks?"

MR. SANCHEZ: Did they use the word "Spick"?

MR. DELGADO: Yeah, it was a woman cop that was

doing this, and she was undercover, too. And I was just

like, "Why are you guys saying this? I didn't do

nothing." She goes, "Shut up." I go, "No, I got my

rights." They go, "No, you don't have no rights." I go,

"What do you mean I don't have rights?" They go, "That

is right."

So they handcuffed me, and they called four other patrols. And they go, "Yeah, we got this guy over here that you guys are looking for." They go, "Hold him."

So I was right there, and then two cop cars come.

One guy gets me, and I was handcuffed. And he pounds my head into the back thing. I was handcuffed. I go, "What did I do?" He goes, "You just hit me between the legs." I go, "How could I hit you? I was standing and I was handcuffed."

Then he again hit me, and then the girl pounded me against the car. And then I was thrown on the ground, and three more cop cars came. And a senior-- It looked like a Chief or something came out. And they hogtied me, and then they all went at me. They all started kicking me and hitting me.

And then I looked up, and the guy said, "Time.

Everyone stop." They pick me up hogtied and threw me in the car." I was then took downtown. They threw me on the pavement, put me in the paddy wagon, and I was scraped up, my hair was falling, everything.

But then I was droven back, and then, you know, I wanted to say something to an attorney or something, but I'm like all the kids in my minority, they are so intimidated by the cops. And they put me down to where I was intimidated, and I couldn't do nothing. I was like, you know, a minority--

MR. SANCHEZ: How old are you?

MR. DELGADO: I'm 17. It was me, a minority, against about seven cops with a badge.

MR. SANCHEZ: Did you ever file a complaint?

MR. DELGADO: I never filed a complaint. I was
totally intimidated, but I wanted to say something since
I was here and I was hearing all these complaints on
minorities. And, you know, this wouldn't have happened

except downtown gets so much trouble. But I mean it's because there is no where else to go in Reno except downtown, and there is so many people that hate each other.

And everyone wants to have fun, and they all go downtown and see each other. Of course, this is going to be a fight because there is no where else to hide from that person. And I mean you guys can have more community dances or something, because all the dance clubs here they all got shut down and everything because the bodyguards were too rough on people or something happened.

But I mean if you guys have more community or city sponsored dances or something to get the kids going somewhere, start to spread them out, I mean you wouldn't have the problems with downtown and stuff like that.

And I would like to see more police, you know, attention on the complaints by minority people because it's not just Hispanics. And I get racial things said at me by public officials and everything, but I don't let that touch me because I know who I am, and I'm not going to let that offend me.

But I wish there was somebody who could speak for us and give us more attention and focus on what we can do, because I mean a lot the things-- We have poor jobs,

and you have a lot of crime. But they can't find any other jobs. I mean if we can get more government jobs through to the people who are permanent residents or to the black people who can like express themselves more to the employers in the government, that way touching them off so they have a sense that, you know, we are not just thieves and stuff, you know.

You can give us more jobs with higher pay, and then those people that are higher pay can touch off on the poor people so they can get started and help them out.

But, you see, almost all the Mexicans are porters, dishwashers, bus persons. We have to go get fake Social Security. And the black people— There is so much animosity— And the people, when we go looking for jobs, as soon as you look at a pure Hispanic or black, right away they check criminal records. It's the first thing they do.

And I mean there should be less animosity from the employers to the minorities or otherwise we aren't going to be able to get jobs. We will have to look for that money that we need.

I just want more jobs for the minority, better paying jobs. I would like to see an effort by the employers to go out to the minorities and tell them that there is jobs for higher pay instead of them going out

there and finding low paying jobs and thieving, you know. Because I don't like seeing my race going out there thieving just to get their money. I don't think that is right. But if that is the only way they can get money, and no one is giving them a job, I can't say nothing. MR. SANCHEZ: Roberto, thank you, because for being only 17 I think you have presented a very clear picture 

of what is going on.

MR. DELGADO: Well, I just wanted to say something.

Thank you for coming. THE CHAIR:

The Commission meeting is now adjourned.

--000--

1 STATE OF NEVADA SS. 2 COUNTY OF WASHOE 3 4 I, LYNDDA CLARK, CSR #73, a Notary Public in 5 and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, do hereby 6 certify: That on Thursday, the 9th day of May, 1991, 7 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. of said day, at the Midby-Byron 8 Building, 1041 North Virginia, Reno, Nevada, that I was 10 present in the matter entitled herein: 11 That said hearing was taken in verbatim 12 stenotype notes by me, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, 13 and thereafter transcribed into typewriting as 14 herein appears: 15 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 304, is a full, true and correct 16 17 transcription of my stenotype notes of said deposition to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. 18 19 Dated at Reno, Nevada, this 23rd day of

LINDA CLIMK

Motory Public - Code of Hovid's

Application of the first of the production of the public to the publ

LYNDA CLARK, CSR #73

20

21

22

23

May, 1991.