TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS NEW JERSEY STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

LAW ENFORCEMENT POLICIES AND PRACTICES IN NEW JERSEY:

HOW ARE THEY APPLIED TO RACIAL, RELIGIOUS AND ETHNIC GROUPS

PAGES: 1 through 225

PLACE: Trenton, New Jersey

DATE: April 9, 1991

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

CCR. 3 .Meet. 223.2 Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS NEW JERSEY STATE ADVISARY COMMITTEE

LAW ENFORCEMENT POLICIES AND)
PRACTICES IN NEW JERSEY:)
)
HOW ARE THEY APPLIED TO RACIAL,)
RELIGIOUS AND ETHNIC GROUPS)

Board Room The War Memorial West Lafayette Street Trenton, New Jersey

Tuesday, April 9, 1991

The hearing in the above-entitled matter commenced, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

Chairperson:

ZULIMA H. FARBER, ESQ. North Bergen, New Jersey

Committee Members:

ROLAND A. ALUM, JR. West New York, New Jersey

DR. STEPHEN H. BALCH Princeton, New Jersey

MARIE T. CAMPBELL Trenton, New Jersey

CHARLES W. KADLEC Summit, New Jersey

J. ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ Marlton, New Jersey

SABARAH SABIN Maplewood, New Jersey

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

Also Committee Members:

SEYMOUR SAMET Fort Lee, New Jersey

DR. ADAM F. SCRUPSKI Hightstown, New Jersey

Staff Members:

EDWARD DARDEN

10 mg

C O N T E N T S

STATEMENT OF:	PAGE
HON. JAMES MULVILHILL, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL IN CHARGE, CUMBERLAND COUNTY PROSECUTOR	11
ACCOMPANIED BY:	
CAPT. MARIO BRUNETTA, ACTING CHIEF, VINELAND POLICE DEPARTMENT	15
JAMES CONLEY, ASSISTANT COUNTY PROSECUTOR, CAMDEN COUNTY	19
ACCOMPANIED BY:	
$^{ u}$ CHIEF GEORGE D. PUGH, CAMDEN CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT	24
HON. ROBERT HONECKER, MONMOUTH COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE	31
ACCOMPANIED BY:	
CHIEF JOHN WILLIS, FREEHOLD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT	38
ALAN ROCKOFF, MIDDLESEX COUNTY PROSECUTOR	40
CHON. JOHN J. FAHY, BERGEN COUNTY PROSECUTOR	48
ACCOMPANIED BY:	
CAPT. DONALD GIANNONE, TEANECK POLICE DEPARTMENT	55
VHON. HERBERT H. TATE, JR., ESSEX COUNTY PROSECUTOR	59
PAUL DePASQUALE, HUDSON COUNTY PROSECUTOR	75
JEFFREY MAAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF THE B'NAI B'RITH OF NEW JERSEY	136

STATEME	NT OF:	PAGE
•	YANG, PRESIDENT, ASIAN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION	156
	ACCOMPANIED BY:	
	TIM LEE	177
PREVENT	ID WEISBURD, DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR CRIME ION STUDIES OF THE SCHOOL OF CRIMINAL , RUTGERS UNIVERSITY	181

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: The staff informs me that we have a quorum. I would like to begin this session.

I am Zulima Farber. I am the chairperson of the New Jersey Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. It is my duty and pleasure to inform you of all rules for this public forum and to welcome you to participate.

The New Jersey Advisory Committee to the Commission is one of 51 such committees made up of volunteers appointed by the Commission. The committees were created to advise the Commission on matters pertaining to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability or in the administration of justice and to assist the Commission in its statutory obligation to serve as a national clearinghouse for information on those subjects.

Today the advisory committee will gather facts on the administration of justice and focus our attention on the following general topic: Law Enforcement Policies and Practices; How are They Applied to Racial, Religious and Ethnic Groups. Aspects of the topic will be covered by speakers in four panels. Two of the panels were heard yesterday, and two panels will be heard today. Your meeting agenda has details of the invited speakers.

I must bring to your attention also that the
conduct of our meeting will conform with provisions of the
Freedom of Information Act, the Government and the Sunshin
Act and the Privacy Act. Please assist us by reporting to
Mr. Darden before you make a statement to the committee.
Mr. Darden is the staff for the committee on the far left.
He will give you the necessary instructions.

The advisory committee is also empowered to receive individual complaints that come within the jurisdiction of the Commission. If there are any persons here who feel that they have grounds for a discrimination complaint again please see Mr. Darden. He will make the necessary arrangements for reporting.

I would like to explain our complaint handling process. The Commission is not an enforcement agency and will not investigate your individual complaint. We will forward your complaint to the appropriate enforcement agency for review and investigation.

The complaints we receive here today and the ones we received yesterday will be turned over to the Commission headquarters staff for processing. If you prefer to contact our headquarters directly, you may do so by calling the Commission's complaints hotline. The numbers are 1-800-552-6843 or (202)' 376-8513.

At the conclusion of our public forum the advisory

committee will review the information gathered in preparation for a written report to the Commission. This public fact-finding meeting is one key stage in our study. Our overall fact gathering will also include pertinent follow-up interviews as necessary.

1.9

Our record will remain open for 30 days to receive comments from any person or persons who wish to contribute to our understanding of this important topic.

I would also like to call your attention to the publications table which staff has set out outside the door of this room. Regrettably, these are the only copies of the Commission's publications we have here today. What we ask you to do is leave your name on the sheet placed below each report, and we will make sure that you get a copy of it by mail.

I would like to introduce the members of the committee who are present here today. My directory is in alphabetical order and we are not seated this way, so please just raise your hand and make your presence known as I go through the list.

Dr. Stephen Balch is a former chairperson of this committee and my immediate predecessor. He is an associate professor of government at John Jay College of Criminal Justice of the City University of New York and director of the National Association of Scholars.

1	Mrs. Marie Campbell of Trenton is a retired public
2	school teacher. She is national secretary of the NAACP Life
3	Membership Committee and a member of Top Ladies of
4	Distinction, Inc.
5	Mr. Charles Kadlec of Summit is an economic. He
6	is president and director of research of J & W Seligman &
7	Company, an investment advisory consulting firm. He is
8	former executive vice-president and director of research and
9	a member of the board of the directors of A.B. Laffer
10	Associates, Inc.
11	Rabbi Kushner has not arrived yet, but he is
12	expected. Rabbi Kushner leads the congregation at Temple
13	Ner Tamid in Bloomfield and is also a professor at
14	Bloomfield College. He is the president of the New Jersey
15	Association of Reform Rabbis and president of the Metro West
16	Board of Rabbis.
17	Mr. Armando Rodriguez of Marlton, New Jersey, is
18	former deputy director of the New Jersey Department of Law
19	and Public Safety Division of Civil Rights. He is also a
20	former chair of the Puerto Rican Congress.
21	Mrs. Sabarah Sabin of Maplewood is the executive
22	director of the New Jersey Martin Luther King, Jr.,
23	Commemorative Commission and also the president of the South
24	Orange and Maplewood Board of Education.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Mr. Seymour Samet of Fort Lee is the founder and

25

president of H.R. Factor Associates, human relations
consultants. He is also former national director of the
American Jewish Committee's Domestic Affairs Department and
former chief intergroup officer of the U.S. Department of
Justice's Community Relations Service.

Dr. Adam Scrupski of Hightstown. Professor

Scrupski is director of teacher education programs of the

Rutgers University Graduate School of Education. He is a

member of the Committee on Education and the Holocaust and
the New Jersey Division of the Polish-American Congress.

I think I have covered all of the members present and expected. Here is one more. He is going to think I am going to single him out because he is just walking in.

Roland Alum of West New York is just walking in.

He is the former regional representative for the Secretary

of the U.S. Department of Education. He was the

administrator of the New Jersey Bureau of Hispanic

Enterprise of the New Jersey Department of Commerce and

Economic Development.

Those are the members of our committee present here today.

I believe that each member of the panel that we have here received materials indicating what the topic is.

If anyone has any questions about what we are supposed to cover, this is the time to do it.

1	After that, because of the number of panelists
2	here present today, what the committee has decided to do is
3	give each panel member or each group, some of the groups
4	consist of a local chief of police and the county
5	prosecutor, and if each group would take ten minutes to make
6	their presentation that would take us an hour because there
7	are six such groups here and there are 12 of you.
8	Then we will take the second hour of this panel
9	for questioning by the committee members and comments by the
10	members of the panel.
11	If that is agreeable and there are no questions,
12	please identify yourself before you begin speaking. I guess
13	we will go down the line. I do not care which way we begin.
14	Mr. Mulvilhill?
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	,
25	、

STATEMENT OF HON. JAMES MULVILHILL, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY
GENERAL IN CHARGE, CUMBERLAND COUNTY PROSECUTOR

MR. MULVILHILL: My name is Jim Mulvilhill. I am the Assistant Attorney General and temporarily assigned as the acting prosecutor in Cumberland County. To my right is acting chief Mario Brunetta of the Vineland Police Department.

I have been acting prosecutor in Cumberland since October 15 and have continued the excellent working relationship between the prosecutor's office and our three police department, Vineland, Bridgeton and Millville. We also have two state police stations and station commanders and, of course, our sheriff. We meet on a monthly basis. We have a good working relationship with them.

There is a uniform firearms policy in effect in Cumberland County that was promulgated back in the early 1980s by the first full time prosecutor of Cumberland which requires that in any police shooting situation that the prosecutor's office be immediately notified.

Also, any complaints that come in from citizens regarding police misconduct are reviewed by the prosecutor's office by our Grand Jury chief, by the first assistant prosecutor, and final sign-off is with the county prosecutor with me.

We check with our police chief to make sure that he is aware or the station commander is aware of the complaint so that many of these situations are not substantiated, but at least he knows exactly what has been alleged and who is the officer involved and so on.

The other initiative that I have worked on with the head of the NAACP in Cumberland County, Sam Clarke, is to develop a county human relations commission. Sam Clarke approached the freeholders back in late 1990. The freeholders referred Sam to me. We formed a small committee.

We developed a proposed resolution and some suggested members for the commission and referred that to the freeholders. I understand the freeholders are going to act on that in the very near future so we can get our human relations commission off the ground, which would involve people from the law enforcement community, representatives of minority groups. The main two minority groups in our county are Hispanic and black citizens.

We have also had outreach during the time I have been there to the black community and to the Hispanic community in various ways. The incident that occurred in Vineland in 1989 that Chief Brunetta will tell you about was a very unfortunate incident, but I am very pleased with the way that incident was investigated and handled by the

prosecutor's office and the Vineland Police Department.

Basically what happened there, there was a shooting of a black citizen in August of 1989. The community was very outraged at what occurred. The investigation was done independently by the major crimes unit of the division of state police and the prosecutor's office.

The community was kept apprised step by step of how the investigation was proceeding. The prosecutor personally got involved and met with the family of the decedent. We had people from, of course, the division on state police. The division on civil rights were down at the scene.

There were some community meetings where the prosecutor met with the community to explain the process which resulted in the entire matter being presented to a county Grand Jury for an entire day by the then prosecutor, Steve Needer, and the first assistant.

Before the result was announced to the press the prosecutor met with Sam Clarke and the minority group representatives who he had been working with to explain exactly what had occurred. That incident was handled in the proper way.

I am also very proud of Chief Brunetta and what he has done in Vineland in terms of in-service training, which

1	is a very important element to what has to be done and
2	improved upon. In Vineland the in-service training is very
3	extensive. The chief will tell you about that.
4	I know also that the chief personally reviews and
5	has assigned detectives to investigate any allegations of
6	police brutality, and he personally reviews those.
7	Also, the acting chief has made very important
8	steps in terms of affirmative action to try to get his
9	police department to represent the community in Vineland.
10	He has had some success there; not entire success because
11	that is very difficult, but at this point I think I would
12	ask Chief Brunetta to make some comments.
13	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	,

STATEMENT	OF	CAPT.	MARIO	BRUNETTA,	ACTING	CHIEF,	VINELAND
POLICE DEF	PAR!	IMENT					

CAPT. BRUNETTA: My name is Captain Mario Brunetta of the Vineland Police Department.

To give you a little background of the community first perhaps, I would like you to know what the citizens are made of. There are 55,000 people in Vineland, which is probably small in comparison to some of the other cities represented here. Sixty-four percent of the population is Caucasian, 23 percent Hispanic, and ten percent black. There are 68 square miles that comprise the city, and there are 528 miles of roads.

We have 110 police officers. What the Assistant Attorney General here, Jim Mulvilhill, was saying, the police department does not reflect the community at present. Out of the 110 officers, ten percent are Hispanic and two to three percent are black. The problem is that not only are we not hiring enough minority officers, but once they are hired and trained they leave us. They are in demand it seems like, and they leave for county or state offers.

However, in the last two batches of officers we hired we are probably batting about 60 percent minorities. We hired 60 to 70 percent minorities.

All these laurels that were cast upon me, I am not

really doing anything that shouldn't have been done probably before. We had an unfortunate incident in 1989 which is still in civil litigation. I really do not want to comment on the intricacies of it.

However, there were some things wrong. The police department realized there were some things wrong. We will take the responsibility that we were not up to par in some areas at that time. One of those was with communications with a citizen tree. In many places there seems to be developing a separation where you lose contact with the community and the people in the community.

We are trying to change that. We embarked on a public speaking program and citizens information lectures to the various clubs, the churches and the neighborhoods themselves in trying to get the people familiar with the police department, what we do, how they can help us, how we can help them.

My own personal belief is that the answer is five and ten years down the road -- long term. We are doing some things to try and straighten problems that developed out now. I am a firm believer in juvenile programs. When I say that, I mean we tripled our officers in the schools. I am looking for five years down the road and ten years down the road when those kids that are 12 or 15 years old now are going to be young adults.

Hopefully, even though I may not be around to see it, things will be a lot better in ten years. Hopefully I will be around to see it.

Training also is a big thing with our department and myself personally. We have built into our schedule mandatory training every Friday for one-third of the police department.

The city fathers do not have to keep hollering about overtime. It is built in the schedule where every Friday one-third of the officers are trained, and that way we can reach everybody to get our ideas across. We tell them what we want, what we expect of them, how we expect them to act and give them a good, basic training.

The other thing I believe where we went a little astray on was the problem addressing citizen complaints. It is not that we were not addressing citizen complaints when they complained about the police, but it was short of a helter-skelter type arrangement where if a citizen would come in and complain, providing who was on the desk he might have been sent to sign a complaint with the courts or he might have had an appointment for him with the chief, but the citizens themselves went away not feeling like anything was happening.

We changed that by giving the person immediate action and telling them exactly what was going to happen

1	from day one, what is going to happen day two and day three,
2	and they were going to get an answer to their problem. If
3	it was unfounded, fine, it was unfounded. If it was not,
4	then if someone committed an act of wrongdoing then proper
5	action would be taken.
6	Basically I guess I have run out of time or things
7	to say, and that is all I have.
8	MS. SABIN: Madame Chair, can I have a point of
9	clarification?
1.0	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Yes.
11	MS. SABIN: The incident in 1989 was the killing
12	of a citizen by a police officer?
13	CAPT. BRUNETTA: Yes, it was a young black man was
14	shot by a police officer.
15	MS. SABIN: Thank you.
1.6	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•

STATEMENT	OF	JAMES	CONLEY,	ASSISTANT	COUNTY	PROSECUTOR,
CAMDEN COU	JNT	Ž.				

MR. CONLEY: I am James Conley. I am the assistant county prosecutor in Camden County. Prosecutor Wardon could not be here. He asked me to come.

Basically I would like to describe our county to you. The population is approximately 550,000 people. It is a very diverse population. There are 37 separate municipalities. There are, therefore, 37 separate police departments.

Also included within the county are various other law enforcement agencies. Since we have the University Medical Center in Camden, they have their own police force. We also have human services police in the Ancora facility. The Delaware River Port Authority have police officers within the county, so there are numerous law enforcement agencies all included within the county.

Again as I have indicated, the population is very diverse. The population of Camden itself is highly minority weighted to black and Hispanic, with a largely increasing oriental population. The remainder of the county is much more white, although there are other municipalities within the county. Two specifically are very highly black oriented, so it is a very diverse population within the

county.

What happens in our county if there is an allegation of police misconduct there is a specific unit within the prosecutor's office that is assigned to investigate allegations of police misconduct. There are approximately six investigators assigned to the unit, as well as two assistant prosecutors.

There is a policy within the county that any shooting involving a police officer, be that a shooting of a police officer by a citizen or a shooting of a citizen by a police officer, must be reported immediately to the county prosecutor's office, at which time the shooting incident is investigated by the homicide unit of the prosecutor's office, which generally speaking is comprised of the more as you call them elite investigative personnel within the office itself.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Even if there is not a homicide?

MR. CONLEY: Even if there is not a homicide.

As luck would have it, prior to Prosecutor Wardon, for approximately nine months our office had as its acting prosecutor Mr. Mulvilhill. While he was in the office he instituted several procedures. One thing he did was become involved in the reinstitution of the Camden County Human Rights Commission.



He also required all of the chiefs of police to supply the prosecutor's office with a list of various community leaders from the religious community and the different ethnic groups within that particular community.

R

It did two things. It forced the police department within the town to actually sit down and look at the community and gather a list of these people, which they then would have available to them if a situation arose where they would need to be able to address any particular segment of the population. They then supplied that list to the county prosecutor's office, and we now have that available to us as a resource.

He is also responsible for the creation of a civil disorder response team wherein because of the nature of the county and the fact that there are 37 municipalities there are police chief meetings once a month where all the police chiefs get together and discuss various issues and topics that are of interest to them. There is a county-wide mutual assistance plan which is in effect so that one department, if there is a problem, can receive assistance from the other departments.

The civil disorder response team was created in order to have members of the prosecutor's office, if a situation should arise such as a police shooting where it appeared that there was going to be some type of civil disorder arising over that, it allows the prosecutor's office to send their representatives out basically to get the pulse.

The local police chief is in charge of the situation in the municipality subject to advice from the prosecutor's office. The prosecutor's personnel are basically sent out to oversee the investigation if there is a shooting by a police officer or of a police officer. As I have indicated, that particular primary investigative responsibility lies with the prosecutor's office.

Investigation of other crimes occurring within the municipality, the primary responsibility lies with the local police department.

With respect to biased incidents themselves, the manner in which they are approached is strictly in accord with the New Jersey Attorney General's Office policy on bias incident and their investigation standards.

The major crimes unit of the prosecutor's office is specifically designated to review -- excuse me. I myself review all of the reports which come in from the local police departments involving bias incidents. They are then assigned to an investigator to do follow-up with the local police agency who is doing the initial investigation and to lend any assistance which may be necessary.

I would indicate that there does not appear to be, as far as our office can tell, any indication of county-wide

institutionalized police misuse of force. Part of the 1 2 reason for that is probably because of again, the large and diverse number of police departments. 3 In a situation like happened in Los Angeles where 4 you have a police department 3,000,000 or 4,000,000 people 5 6 it is easy for you to have a situation where you have 7 institutionalized violence within it. In our situation most 8 of the departments tend to be smaller, so even if there was 9 institutionalized misuse of force it would be on a much 10 smaller scale obviously, and it certainly would come to our 11 attention much easier because it is a much smaller problem 12 to deal with. 13 That is basically all I would have to say at this 14 point. 15 CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

1	CHIEF GEORGE D. PUGH, CAMDEN CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT
2	
3	CHIEF PUGH: Chief George D. Pugh, City of Camden,
4	New Jersey.
5	Just for some clarification, are we talking about
6	the violation of individuals' rights in general, or are we
7	talking about police brutality? We are talking about the
8	whole spectrum, aren't we?
9	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: We are talking about the
10	whole spectrum. I should add because no one has addressed
11	it yet, but it has been raised in complaints to this
12	committee, that the complaints not only deal with police
13	brutality, but also with other aspects of police work like
14	response time is one of the things that we have heard in the
15	complaints.
16	The police do not respond as quickly to complaints
17	from the minority community as they do from the white
18	community.
19	MR. SAMET: And verbal abuse.
20	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: And verbal abuse, yes.
21	CHIEF PUGH: Anything else?
22	The City of Camden has a population of
23	approximately 85,000; 45,000 black, 27,000 Hispanic, 13,000
24	white. Forty-five percent of that population is 20 years of
25	age or under. We have been granted the distinction of being

the third poorest city in the nation. We have tremendous financial problems.

The police department at the present time, it was not so structured before I took over; however, I do not want to sit here and let everyone think that I get the credit for all of this, but the police department at the present time is 52 percent minority. That is the entire police department. However, the supervisory structure is three percent minority.

MR. SCRUPSKI: Three percent?

CHIEF PUGH: Three percent.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: The supervisory staff.

CHIEF PUGH: The City of Camden being an urban center, as I said before, has diverse problems. In the City of Camden, like most other law enforcement agencies, the members of the department believe in traditional law enforcement and all that entails.

However, over and above traditional law enforcement we have to expand our services to the community. It is at that point that we run into problems with attitudes and personalities with some of our officers, and this is what spills over into the community when individuals feel that they are becoming service providers instead of the traditional law enforcement role.

That goes back to what the chief from Vineland

said that we have to do a better job with training. It is difficult after an individual has 15 to 20 years in a department to try to get him to change drastically in a short period of time. This type of training, sensitivity training to the community, is long overdue, and in many police departments they still do not provide sensitivity training.

One of the things that Prosecutor Mulvilhill when he came to Camden County pushed was training and sensitivity training. I was fortunate enough to be the chief of police -- I have been chief of police for three years in September -- when Prosecutor Mulvilhill came to Camden County.

We immediately established a bond and a relationship moving towards what I see as one of the primary answers to the problems that urban centers are faced with, and that is a community oriented policing philosophy which differs from police-community relation.

One of the major problems that I suffer with in the urban center in attempting to provide service to the entire community, and as I stated earlier, Hispanic, black, white and as has been alluded to by a prosecutor, we have an increasing Asian population that is growing daily and buying many businesses within the City of Camden and establishing their presence there, one of the biggest problems that I have in providing service to that entire community because

if I can't communicate with them then I can't provide the service that they feel they deserve.

ÇŞ₩ 24

Not being able to speak all of the different languages, it is difficult for me as the head to communicate. What I need are individuals in policy and command positions to assist me in that area. My biggest problem is the state department of personnel and the civil service system.

In order to reach the Hispanic community, for the last year and a half I have been fighting with the department of personnel trying to establish bilingual titles in the Camden City Police Department one lieutenant and two sergeants. Not 15 lieutenants and 20 sergeants, but one lieutenant whom I could have on my staff for that direct communication with the Hispanic community, an individual in a position to help with the policy making within the Camden City Police Department based on the fact that he has direct communication with that Hispanic community.

If the executives of local law enforcement do not have direct communication with all of its citizens it cannot provide the service, and everyone in your municipality is entitled to that service or they may feel because they are not getting it that they are violating their civil rights because they are entitled to the same thing that everyone else is.

One of major problems that I have been attempting to deal with is the department of personnel and their rules and regulations, which I feel directly affect my ability to administer the Camden City Police Department and provide a service to the entire community.

In state government when an individual takes over he is able to establish his immediate assistants and commanders so that he can run his department. The county, the prosecutors, are able to do the same thing.

The only place in law enforcement where you are not allowed to do that is at the local level, and we are the ones who are in direct communication with that population.

We are the ones that are held accountable by the prosecutor's office and the Attorney General's office for providing that service.

We are constantly required to do more with less -budgetary problems. In order to do that you have to be
able to staff your department so you can make it efficient
and effective. The stumbling block is the department of
personnel.

I recognize the fact that they say all of these abuses will occur if the individuals at the local level have that type of authority. They give it to the Attorney General and they give it to the prosecutor, but we can't have it. If we are going to be held accountable for

providing the service we need the tools to work with. You need a command staff who is working with you and moving in the same direction to fulfill your goals and objectives.

When you are dealing with a civil service list for promotion, as I have been over the last three years that I have been here -- we have been in and out of court on numerous occasions -- it is difficult to motivate people who are sitting at the top of the list knowing you have to promote them no matter what happens. They don't have to follow in line with what you are trying to accomplish. They feel that the traditional system will take care of them anyhow.

I feel that in order for me to protect the civil rights of all of the members in my community I have to be able to on a constant basis receive information and support from them, but I have to give the same back.

One of the major problems that have caused the police department and the community to separate was when they removed the walking officer from the beat and when they gave the police officer a brand new air conditioned car with a stereo where they have the windows rolled up and the music playing and they do not have that direct relationship with the community. All of these things affect the officer's attitude, and that affects how he deals with the community.

If we touch on the situation that happened in Los

1	Angeles, not only were the officers involved at the level of
2	execution, but the supervisor was also on the scene. The
3	supervisor has the responsibility for controlling his men.
4	When you have supervisors who are not trained, who are not
5	sensitive to the needs of the community, you have no
6	control. I think it that was evident in the videotape.
7	That is all I have to say.
8	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you very much.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	· ·

STATEMENT	OF	HON.	ROBERT	HONECKER,	MONMOUTH	COUNTY
PROSECUTOR	R' S	T 440	CH.			

MR. HONECKER: Thank you. My name is Robert

Honecker, and I am representing the Prosecutor John Kay and
the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office. I joined the

Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office in 1981 as a law clerk
and now hold a position of second assistant prosecutor for

Monmouth County.

My primary responsibility is to offer suggestions and advise Prosecutor Kay on certain directions and policies for the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office. In light of today's particular hearing, there are a number of different areas where Prosecutor Kay, the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office, as well as different agencies within Monmouth County have gotten together to form policy and direction concerning police action and community relations.

First of all, let me just describe what Monmouth County is all about. Monmouth County is centrally located in the State of New Jersey. Its border on the east is the Atlantic Ocean. It has 27 miles of coastline. It borders on the north with Middlesex county, on the west with Mercer and Burlington County, and on the south with Ocean County.

It has 53 municipalities. Its three major urban centers are Asbury Park, Long Branch and Red Bank. The

other municipalities form communities which typically are referred to as bedroom communities servicing employment in New York City and the City of Philadelphia.

What we have been involved in in Monmouth County in the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office is that every allegation of police misconduct is investigated by the field operations unit. An assistant prosecutor and six investigators are assigned full time to that unit.

The discharge of firearms -- any firearms -- in Monmouth County, be it an accidental discharge or be it an intentional discharge of a firearm by a police officer, is investigated by the major crime section of the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office.

Every instance of actions of police officers that are called into question are referred to by the municipality in which it occurs to the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office. Our internal investigations unit of the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office handles each and every allegation of police misconduct or police brutality.

What we have seen in the past few years has been a rise in complaints to the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office concerning actions of police officers. We hear the same type of allegations, I am sure, that this committee has heard about police officers and how they have reacted to the community.



What we did is starting back in 1988 is begin to analyze why we were beginning to see these complaints from the community. One thing we noted is as our training of our police officers increased, that is, the scientific training, the firearms qualification, which New Jersey has one of the toughest firearm qualification policies for police officers in the United States, as we introduced computers, as we introduced fax machines, we began to see that one of the old-time police training techniques of know your community and what is in vogue now, community policing, seemed to have shifted focus and seemed to have gotten out of the mainstream.

What we decided to do in coordination with the then Attorney General Cary Edwards, who instituted bias crime guidelines, is the re-train all of the senior police officers in Monmouth County in regards to community policing or sensitivity.

We conducted three annual seminars, if you would, for senior police officers and have approximately 250 senior police officers from chief through sergeant who have been trained.

There have been individuals from the community.

We have had the three presidents of the local chapters of
the NAACP in Monmouth County come and speak to the police
officers and register the complaints that they receive from

constituents in their community and what their reaction is when individuals come to them and make allegations of police brutality.

We have had representatives from the

Anti-Defamation League also make presentations to police

officers to identify the type of bias crimes involved with

the Jewish community in Monmouth County.

Most importantly, we bring in individuals from the United States Department of Justice and the conciliatory program for counselors to involve themselves in sensitizing police officers to their community, to know who their community leaders are and to reach out to the community leaders because the role of police in acting with the community leaders is very important to having a community that works together. That is the police aspect side.

What the prosecutor has also done is in February of 1990, he has, in consultation and coordination with the National Conference of Christians and Jews, formed the Monmouth County Human Relations Commission.

In February, 1990, an exploratory meeting was held where a number of individuals from all segments of our community were invited to participate. As a result of that initial meeting, in April of 1990 the Monmouth County Board of Freeholders recognized the Monmouth County Human Relations Commission as a county entity.

At this particular time there are 55 members of the Monmouth County Human Relations Commission, and they have involved themselves in a number of aspects. The first one was to take a look at all of the bias crimes that have occurred in Monmouth County; that is, crimes motivated by bias.

1.8

The Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office has a bias crimes investigation unit staffed by a captain, a sergeant and two investigators. That particular unit teaches incident training to the police recruit class. In 1988 we also established an eight hour training program for every new police recruit.

Our plan was two-fold; to address the senior police officers, the supervisors, who had been on the police force for a number of years and then introduce the same type of sensitivity training to the individuals who were new police officers.

What we have also done is we have seen that in working with the Human Relations Commission that there are other aspects that individuals failed to report to police for one reason for another in allegations of police brutality.

I think the same type of problem areas that you have been listening to for the past day or so is that people did not want to report to the same agency to investigate

allegations of police brutality because the prosecutor's office was simply viewed as another arm of the police department and those allegations would be washed away or covered up or what you will.

What we established on April 1 of this year in Monmouth County is the Monmouth County Human Relations

Commission Bias Hotline. Any individual can call this hotline and report to the Human Relations Commission any allegation of discrimination, be it housing, be it civil rights or be it police brutality.

If that individual is afraid to go to their municipal police department for whatever reason or the prosecutor's office the Monmouth County Human Relations Commission will take that complaint, and they will, of course, contact us because we are mandated, since the prosecutor is the chief law enforcement officer for the county, to handle those investigations.

It provides an avenue so those investigations can continue and so individuals who may have apprehension to report these can reach out to the Monmouth County Human Relations Commission and make that report.

We are only good police prosecutors as what is reported to us. If it is not reported to us we cannot function as a law enforcement agency in these matters, so to reach out to the community and to promote that the

_	1	individuals who will be conducting these investigations will
	2	do so in a fair and impartial manner and most of all promote
	3	from the prosecutor all the way down through the chiefs of
	4	the municipal departments that police misconduct will not be
	5	tolerated by the law enforcement community and crimes
	6	motivated by bias will not be tolerated by the law
	7	enforcement community.
	8	Once the community is aware that the individuals
	9	who hold the trust and the responsibility to conduct these
	10	investigations will do so in a fair and impartial manner, I
	11	think that the community will then realize that they have
	12	individuals and agencies that will fairly conduct these
	13	matters.
	14	I have Chief Willis from the Freehold Township
	15	Police Department with me this morning.
	16	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you very much.
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
A. With	24	•

1	STATEMENT OF CHIEF JOHN WILLIS, FREEHOLD TOWNSHIP POLICE
2	DEPARTMENT
3	
4	CHIEF WILLIS: I am John Willis. I am the Chief
5	of Police of Freehold Township.
6	Freehold Township is about 38 square miles in
7	central New Jersey. Its population is about 25,000. It was
8	primarily farmland until the mid-1960s when housing
9	developments began to take over the farms. It is
10	approximately three percent black, two percent Hispanic
11	origin. It completely surrounds the borough of Freehold,
12	which is a little over one square mile and which has a high
13	minority population.
14	The police department started full time in 1967.
15	Presently it has 43 men. All Freehold Township police
16	officers have received special training in victims' rights
17	conducted by the Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office. All
18	Freehold Township police officers have received in-service
19	training on prisoners' rights and the handling of prisoners
20	by the New Jersey Department of Corrections.
21	All supervisors have received training from
22	Monmouth County on bias incident investigation and
23	reporting, and we have a bias incident investigation team if
24	there is any indication of any form of bias.

25

The police department conducts numerous programs

in the school starting with kindergarten with the Your 1 2 Friend the Cop program, school crossing, things of that nature. It continues through for drug education and to the 3 high school on alcohol abuse and things of that nature. 4 We have very, very few complaints. 5 The primary 6 complaint we receive are motor vehicle complaints. We have 7 very, very little contact with the minorities. 8 I think probably the key to a lot of our problems 9 is that we have had a lot of training. People are aware of 10 people's rights, starting at the police academy. 11 also fortunate that by many standards we are a young police 12 department, so we did not have the influence of a lot of 13 some of the older departments. 14 CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you very much, Chief. 15 Prosecutor Rockoff? 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

STATEMENT OF ALAN ROCKOFF, MIDDLESEX COUNTY PROSECUTOR

. ~ 24

MR. ROCKOFF: Thank you very much, Chairperson Farber. My name is Alan Rockoff. I am the prosecutor of Middlesex County.

We are a population of approximately 700,000 people, and I would like to tell you about our experience in attempting to cope with the pervasive problem of bias and hate crime, actual and perceived, that occurs between citizens in our county and those that are alleged against the police and other law enforcement agencies by those arrested and confronted because of their involvement in criminal incidents in our society in our county.

I prepared some comments, just about two pages, which will take me about five or six minutes to recite, but more importantly than that, I would like to refer you to the ten packages that I left with you that have samplings of what we are doing in the county. As I go along I would like you to just make a mental note to go back and look at those because they tell the whole story.

I am just the spokesperson for what we are doing in the country. I think some of the documents that we gave you and the flier for the up and coming conference that we are going to have on hate, crime and youth for the police and for the citizens in our county show more substantive

work than my comments do.

We have approached the problems of hate crime on two separate tracks. In 1986, in response to incidents of graveyard and church desecration, ethnic, religious and racial slurs on commercial and residential walls in the form of graffiti and assault and harassment that were bias related, I formed an investigative task force in Middlesex County known as the JUST unit, the Joint Unit to Stop Terrorism, utilizing the same tactical approach toward bias crime that we use in our attack upon the drug trade.

In other words, we use surveillance. We use informants. We use investigators. We enlist police officers from the 25 communities in our Middlesex County area to utilize them when needed. They are basically juvenile aid officers. Some of them come from the narcotics unit. Wherever they are needed they will be utilized for the purpose of evaluating and investigating a bias crime.

I have allocated three investigators in my office to work on this, and we have successfully reduced the flood of desecrations that we had in 1986 and 1987 and then the Hillel investigation that we had in 1989 where we made arrests. We have reduced that to a trickle.

We have a saying in Middlesex County that we will never accept hate crime as a way of life, and I think our commitment to that JUST unit indicates that we mean it.

We have learned through our investigations, however, that the root causes of hate crime are not institutionalized bias, but rather they emanate from juveniles who listen obsessively to heavy metal music. They adopt the lifestyles and indicia of the so-called skinheads and the white supremacy, and they fit a profile that includes poor academic achievement, social isolation, poor self-image, disestablishmentism, drugs, sex, rock and roll of the heavy metal and the skinhead variety.

震力 24

We prosecuted many of these cases. We prosecuted them successfully. Then we have gone into the field and we have lectured at PTAs, a civic clubs, at schools, at churches. We have written about it, and we have at least at present curtailed the problem and made people think of the problems of hate crime that start and emanate with the youth problems in our society.

Of course, there is another track. That track is in the area of creating better human relations and understanding between police and citizen groups. Our involvement in that area began in June of 1988 in the City of Perth Amboy.

Incidentally, the Chief of Police of Perth Amboy, Chief Poloka, was supposed to be with me today, but he had an emergency commitment and called your chairperson and indicated that he could not be here, so I am speaking for

1 him.

Our office was called upon in June of 1988 to intervene in a volatile situation in which the death of Mexican man and a serious injury to his brother by a Perth Amboy police office caused two nights of rioting and a potential riotous situation in that city.

We gathered a cross-section of religious, ethnic and racial and government leaders together quickly, and we created the Bias Incident Reporting Committee, a BIRC. It was bilingual. It had to be because of the composition and demographics of the City of Perth Amboy that is over 50 percent Hispanic at this time.

We brought in the United States Department of Justice Conciliatory Service. We brought in the Attorney General's office and the Civil Rights Commission, and we developed a memoranda of understanding of how citizens could air their complaints against government officials. That is part of the hand-out in one of the addendums that I have given you.

The committee was formed, and it continues to function. It is very interesting to observe that the number of bias incident complaints by those arrested in Perth Amboy has dropped off to almost none -- zero -- in the last year.

The reason for that can be attributed to the fact that only legitimate complaints are aired before the

committee. No longer do people make frivolous, unsubstantiated and self-serving false accusations against police because they know it will not get past the BIRC level, the Bias Incident Reporting Committee level, and will make them look foolish in the eyes of their own peers.

∰ **24**

The BIRC approach has encouraged other towns in Middlesex County to form civil rights commissions. We have initiated sensitivity training in the police academy and in-service training in the police departments.

At present we have developed a very active and expanding county-wide human relations commission, similar to the one that is now very active and effective in Monmouth County, that is educating the police, working with law enforcement, getting the message out to the media and creating corporate consciousness for the need to create an atmosphere of brotherhood in our county.

I am enclosing a sampling of some of the documentation that reflects the coordinated effort ongoing in Middlesex to promote an awareness of our obligation to accept the diversities and differences among us and to develop a way of live that enhances our chances to live in peace and harmony with each other as Americans.

If you will look at the hand-out, on June 22, 1990, I prepared a letter to all the chiefs of police and directors of police as to what their involvement should be

in this battle against bias and against the kind of incidents that can develop in the aftermath of a police shooting of a minority citizen.

I sent off a checklist, a civil order checklist, as to how the police should anticipate the problem. In that checklist you will see many of the comments that are being made at this table that are codified in this plan. This checklist is not just indigenous to Middlesex County. This is something that is being used throughout the State of New Jersey by all law enforcement officers. In other words, there is planning going on at the present time.

I would like to read to you just the last paragraph of that checklist so that you get a full flavor of the philosophy of law enforcement, at least in my county, and I think this particular philosophy speaks for many of the prosecutors, if not all of the prosecutors, around the state.

This was after the incident, of course, in

Teaneck. Prosecutor Fahy is here. I am sure he can
elaborate on it much more than I could and much better, but
it not only affected the Teaneck situation, but the Perth
Amboy situation, Jersey City had their racial problem,
Plainfield had their racial problem.

I said in this letter to the chiefs and directors that the past incidents have taught us to anticipate that

problems of civil unrest can arise anywhere at any time. In our present political and economic environment we cannot provide the police presence that once saturated the communities.

Apparently we cannot maintain sub-stations in the neighborhoods that can be called hot spots, and we cannot return police back to basic walking the beat and working only as full-time police officers without part-time jobs, but we can strive to develop relationships and rapport with the Third World immigrants and minority members of the community who come here with cultural differences and who, without our guidance and respect, find it difficult to adjust to the rules and regulations and responsibilities mandated by our government of laws.

We have to start developing sensitivity training for citizens, as well as police, in the schools, service clubs, unions, police academies and in-service at police headquarters. The time is not too far off when bias incident reporting commissions will be commonplace in many communities, just as human rights commissions are becoming more frequent. We certainly should not accept civilian authority over police department operations, and we must not be timid in our assertion of police power.

On the other hand, memoranda of understanding between police and organizations that represent large

1	segments of the minority population, such as the NAACP, can
2	and should be promoted to assist in the effort to prevent
3	civil disorders.
4	There is a measured response and a balance between
5	law enforcement and citizens that can be achieved and can be
6	promoted and promote the common goal we all have to live
7	in peace, dignity and harmony.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you, Prosecutor
10	Rockoff.
11	Prosecutor Fahy?
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•

	48
1	STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN J. FAHY, BERGEN COUNTY PROSECUTOR
2	
3	MR. FAHY: Thank you, Commissioner Farber. My
[:] 4	name is John J. Fahy, and I am the Bergen County Prosecutor.
5	I came into office approximately a month and a
6	half after the Teaneck incident. That incident occurred on
7	April 10, almost a year ago. It will be a year ago
8	tomorrow. I came into office towards the very end of May.
9	At that point in time the case had been taken over by the
10	Attorney General's office, and they are handling that
11	prosecution.
12	I would like to talk a little bit about Bergen
13	County. It is the largest county in the state. It is a
14	very large county to manage also. There are 70 separate
15	towns in the county. There are 69 municipal police
16	departments, as well as county police and interstate park
17	police. We also have a sheriff's department.
18	Our police chiefs meet once a month, and it is at
19	those monthly meetings that we discuss various policies
20	within the county. We discuss various problems that

In the area of bias crimes the prosecutor's office has a bias crime unit with an assistant prosecutor assigned to it, as well as one full-time investigator and as many other investigators as are needed on a case by case basis.

individual chiefs may have.

21

22

23

24

The police academy has instituted bias training for all new police recruits. We have a two and a half day session on cultural awareness and bias training for every new recruit. That has been in place for about a year and a half. In addition, at least two or three times in the past year we have offered courses in bias training at the police academy, which has been optional, for police officers from the various departments.

3 44-

Thirdly, each department in the county has a bias officer, and that bias officer's function is to report any bias incident to the prosecutor's office where we will either do the investigation with them or, depending on the type of investigation that is needed, we may take that investigation over.

The freeholders in Bergen County have set up a commission similar to the one in Monmouth County. It is called the Commission on Cultural Perversity. It was started about two and a half months ago. There were representatives from various groups throughout the county, including the prosecutor's office, and I sit on that commission.

The purpose of that commission is again to study and make recommendations as to how people of various backgrounds can be integrated into the County of Bergen so that there is an awareness of the cultural differences that

1 we have between us.

Eg. 24

As I mentioned earlier, I got into office about six weeks after the Pannel shooting. Since then there have been about 34 marches in Teaneck, all of them without incident. There was one incident, and that was a riot that occurred after a candlelight vigil the day after the shooting. I was not in office when that occurred.

The mood in Teaneck right now, in my opinion, is that the community is divided. The community does have problems with its police officers. I think the police officers feel as if they do not have the support of the community behind them.

There have been a number of things that the prosecutor's office and the county in general have been trying to improve that situation, but whatever it is that we try to do, I do not think the situation is going to be resolved until after the trial.

As you know, Officer Spath was indicted on these charges, and I think that until there is a public trial as to what events occurred on April 10 the community is not going to be satisfied, the police department is not going to be satisfied and the citizens are not going to be satisfied.

Until that trial is completed I do not think

Teaneck is going to be able to be at rest with itself. That

is not to suggest that we should just move on and forget

about the death of Philip Pannel, but it is to suggest that Teaneck does need to move on, and that moving on is only going to occur after that trial.

There are a number of things that we have been doing since then. As I said, there have been about 34 marches since April 10. All of them but one were without incident.

There is a mutual aid pact among the towns of Bergen County whereby the various police departments will report to the scene of a civil disorder. The prosecutor's office coordinates the mutual aid committees, and it has been successful. We have had one march that brought forth approximately 3,000 protestors, and with that march everything went very smoothly.

In addition, Bergen County is unique in that only in Bergen County does the prosecutor mandate training for the police officers in the county. The prosecutor can mandate two days of training.

For the year 1991 one day of mandated training was what is called FATS training, a firearms training system.

It is basically a video game with a gun where you shoot at various scenarios that come up on the video screen. Every police officer in the county will have gone through that, approximately 2,000 police officers, by the end of this year.

In addition to that simulation, prior to the officer taking that simulation he will have a one hour lecture on the use of deadly force.

W.

In addition to that in the late spring last year the prosecutor's office, with the NAACP, sponsored a youth leadership summit where we took primarily juniors and seniors from high schools in the Teaneck, Hackensack and Englewood area, as well as other schools throughout the county, and gave them a one day course on the various aspects of the community, including law enforcement, government and education.

The participants for this program were chosen by high school principals and community leaders, and the participants were people that were kind of on the fringe. They were students that were somewhat troubled and without perhaps some pushing or some extra help might perhaps either drop out of high school or perhaps go on to things that would not be viewed favorably by society.

In addition, the prosecutor's office, with several community groups, including the urban league, the NAACP, the Rainbow Coalition and various church groups has gotten together at least on a monthly basis throughout the summer when the marches were going on to try to make sure that peace was kept in Teaneck and the surrounding towns.

In addition, either myself or people from my staff

were in Teaneck for approximately one day every week last summer while the marches were going on.

Two of our local police departments also started a cultural exchange program whereby officers from the Englewood Police Department and officers from the Ridgefield Park Police Department actually would switch places for a one week period of time.

We would have a black officer from Englewood ride in Ridgefield, which is primarily a white, middle class town, for one week, and then the white officer from Ridgefield would go to Englewood and ride with an Englewood partner for a week so that officers from the bigger towns and the smaller towns could get an opportunity to see what problems were facing their counterparts in respective towns.

Lastly, there are perhaps some problems with hiring of minorities in the various police departments throughout the county. It is not something I focused on, but I have focused on my own particular organization. I put an emphasis on trying to hire more minority applicants than have been hired in the past.

Since I have been in office we have hired seven attorneys. Three of them have been either black or other minorities. I have hired three investigators, two of which were minority members.

That is basically what we are doing in Bergen

1	County.
2	Captain Giannone from the Teaneck Police
3	Department is here. He would like to say a few words.
4	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Welcome, Captain.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	55
1	STATEMENT OF CAPTAIN DONALD GIANNONE, TEANECK POLICE
2	DEPARTMENT
3	
4	CAPT. GIANNONE: Thank you, Madame Chairman, for
5	inviting us here.
6	On behalf of the Township of Teaneck I would like
7	to make a statement. Teaneck is a community of
8	approximately 38,000 people. We are about six miles from
9	New York City. We are squeezed between two cities, the city
10	of Englewood on the east and Hackensack on the west.
11	We are considered a middle-class, diversified
12	community. We have at least 27 different ethnic groups
13	within the community. Children in the school systems speak
14	at least 28 different languages. Teaneck prides itself or
15	had prided itself on what we considered our diverse
16	community.
17	Approximately a year ago, as the prosecutor said,
18	we had a tragedy in our community. What we thought we were
19	doing may possibly be that we weren't doing it as well as we
20	should have been doing it.
21	We have had sensitivity training in the Teaneck
22	Police Department since 1967. I happened to be a
23	participant back then, and in the Conference of Christians

and Jews, through the auspices of Jackie Robinson and Larry

Doby, presented forums back in 1967 and 1968 to try and deal

24

with the police officers and the community, and the minority community in particular.

Every three or four years thereafter we did meet to work that out and try and resolve differences within the community. In 1985 again with the Conference of Christians and Jews we took approximately 40 some odd police officers up to Pawling, New York, on a retreat to deal with the issues in relation to minorities and how we related to the community through community relations and public relations.

After that we came back down to Fairleigh
Dickinson University, and we met through panels of different
interested groups within the community in various aspects -kids from high school, the college itself, FDU itself and
interested community groups -- to try and work out
relationships between the police and the community. We did
not resolve every issue, but we thought that we made inroads
into dealing with the problems that everybody thought that
they encountered.

Last year, as I said, we had the tragedy of the juvenile being killed in Teaneck. We requested the assistance of the state division of civil rights to come in and assist us because we were having problems relating to the community.

They came, and we had a forum attended by some of the aggrieved juveniles and other interested community

groups to see if we could work out some resolutions to deal with the problems. I wouldn't consider that we made too many inroads there, but we attempted.

We also sent all the Teaneck police officers to an ethics course that we conducted in Teaneck since that time to reflect on what their jobs and what their responsibilities are and what their responsibilities are to the community.

We thought as a police department that we had a decent rapport within the community. We thought that what we were doing, although not at all being successful, was what the community standards and what the community wanted, both black and white.

If that is not the case, we are willing to try any positive approach that will work to heal the wounds that have been encountered by this episode. The police department's stand is that the shooting was not a racial issue. There is contention in the community that it was.

Be that as it may, any positive approach that any unit, any organization or any individual is willing to come forward with we are willing to participate with.

Also be advised that our department right now is in a transitional period. Our chief of police is on terminal leave, and the operation of the department is bestowed upon one of three captains at any given time as

1	acting chief until such time as the township management
2	makes their choice.
3	Thank you very much.
4	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you, Captain.
5	Next we will have Prosecutor Herbert Tate.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 .	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	· ·

	1	STATEMENT OF HON. HERBERT H. TATE, JR., ESSEX COUNTY
	2	PROSECUTOR
	3	
	4	MR. TATE: Thank you, Chairwoman Farber. My name
	5	is Herb Tate. I am the prosecutor in Essex County, New
	6	Jersey.
	7	Essex County in terms of population is the largest
	8	county in the State of New Jersey with a population of
	9	between 800,000 and 850,000. Clearly in terms of the volume
	10	of criminal cases and arrests that are made in the State of
	11	New Jersey, we handle by far the largest volume.
	12	Twenty percent of all the arrests that are made in
	13	the State of New Jersey occur in Essex County.
	14	Approximately 33 percent of all the arrests for violent
	15	crimes occur in Essex County, so you can see that we have
	16	some tremendous statistics in terms of a crime problem.
	17	The Essex County Prosecutor's Office itself
	18	handles about 30,000 criminal complaints a year, and the
	19	number of arrests from all the departments totals in excess
	20	of 50,000.
	21	For a number of years that I have been prosecutor,
	22	since August of 1986, there have been a number of
	23	transitions and programs of innovation which have come down
ng bir	24	to county prosecutors from the Attorney's General office.

As you heard earlier, Jim Mulvilhill mentioned the bias

incident guidelines which were promulgated under Attorney

General Cary Edwards. Jim Mulvilhill himself was personally
in charge of making sure that every county prosecutor's

office implemented those guidelines.

We in Essex County had prosecuted the first successful bias incident case, which occurred in the town of Maplewood. Since the implementation of the guidelines from the Attorney's General office, we expanded on those guidelines to formulate more stringent requirements for supervision of local police departments and utilization of the victim/witness program, as well as bringing in outside organizations to assist us in training police officers on how to identify and deal with bias incident crime.

Mr. Mulvilhill himself has been very active in Essex County in working with these groups, and I think that we have been able to make some very significant inroads with the police departments in terms of their sensitivity to these types of incidents.

Beyond that, some of the more I think important approaches that we are getting into now dealing with the issues that this Commission is concerned about, reporting of crime and issues of complaints against the police, be they brutality or otherwise, are now coming to the forefront here in the State of New Jersey in terms of the perception between the law enforcement community and the community that

it seeks to serve.

1.9

Essex County, with a population of over 800,000, is a multi-diverse county in terms of culture. About 30 percent of the population in Essex County has African-American citizens. When I use the term African-American I am also including Haitians and Jamaicans, as well. Approximately ten percent of the population is Hispanic, and the remainder of the population would be made up of different ethnic and religious groups that are of the white community.

With this dichotomy of population, the problems in terms of dealing between communities you can see is very multi-faceted. As a county prosecutor I must deal with every community. I don't have a suburban community or a predominantly white community. I don't have an urban community or predominantly black or Hispanic community to deal with. I have to deal with all communities, and I have to deal with all police departments that must deal with those communities.

The largest city in Essex County obviously is the City of Newark, which maintains a population of over 400,000 and represents nearly 60 percent of our entire caseload of activity that comes through the office. When you add in the towns of East Orange, Orange and Irvington, which are all also predominantly minority communities, you have

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

approximately 80 percent of all the cases that come through the Essex County Prosecutor's Office.

Essex County has had in the past three years ten incidents in which citizens were shot and killed by police officers. We have been working very closely for a number of years with community groups and organizations on an informal basis.

Chief Pugh, who was talking primarily about departmental make-up and employment and personnel practices, was touching on I think a very significant aspect in terms of how departments are going to service communities that they have to represent. I want to say this up front that this is not any kind of a call for affirmative action because we are talking about hiring people on merit and hiring people who are qualified first before we are going to deal with any other aspects of bringing them into the office.

We have, since 1986, in the Essex County

Prosecutor's Office made a very active attempt to indicate

to all members of the communities that applications for

employment in the Essex County Prosecutor's Office are

welcome and that we would like to have persons who can help

and assist us in various community activities in the

capacities of assistant' prosecutors, investigators and

support staff.

It has been my philosophy as county prosecutor that we are not just a state's attorney, but we are a victim's lawyer. We have to service clients, and that is the citizens of the county who are the victims of crime because they have really no other recourse or remedy in our society because most of the defendants are judgment proof. You really can't collect any money from them.

В

So, in order to be made whole they look to the criminal justice system, and they look to the treatment that they can receive in the criminal justice system. I know we are talking about police departments and the activity that they conduct, but the perception of the community and the perception of the community with respect to their local police department is inextricably linked to the entire criminal justice system, not just to that department and its behavior.

I go out constantly and talk to community groups with local police personnel, and I hear the complaints against the police officers in terms of response time, in terms of inability to deal with criminals in their neighborhoods, the fact that arrests are made and a defendant is back out on the street in the next three to five days, the fact that they do not see any real progress against criminals in their neighborhoods.

I have to explain to them that it is not the

police's fault. They do their job. As you can see by over 50,000 -- 55,000 -- arrests by the departments, the police are out there doing their job, but because of the system and the lack of resources that we have we are not necessarily able to keep up with the volume -- the tremendous amount of volume of processing of criminal cases.

I digress for a second because I want to deal with the idea of perception of the community with respect to police from a totality of the circumstances with respect to a prosecutor's office and that local police department because we become inextricably linked in the eyes of the public.

As I indicated to you before, the ten incidents in the past three years of shootings by police officers that resulted in death primarily have been without major incident in Essex County. I think part of the reason for that is we have a standing policy in the county that any time a police officer does get involved in shooting a citizen in the performance of his duties which results in death that case must go to the Grand Jury after investigation.

Now, the investigation takes the form of two avenues. Certainly the local department is free to conduct its internal affairs investigation, but the Essex County Prosecutor's Office is notified immediately and conducts simultaneously its own investigation in conjunction with,

but not totally reliant upon, that department.

That is handled by one of two units, either the homicide squad or the citizens complaint bureau, which are both separate units formed within the Essex County Prosecutor's Office. It is the discretion of the prosecutor to determine which one of those units would best serve that investigation. Sometimes they work in tandem together. Once the investigation is done that matter is then taken before a county Grand Jury, and the evidence is presented to it.

What has been going on even more recently is that when there appears to be certain problems of misunderstanding in the community we try to develop lines of communication now where we have members who are not associated with the office, but who are professionals in various capacities and various disciplines throughout that community who are formed on subcommittees that we can contact and talk to and make sure that we have a dialogue if there is a problem in that community.

Most recently the Attorney General has asked all county prosecutors this past summer in 1990 to conduct community forums. We did that in Essex County at Essex County Community College. We invited and had attend approximately 250 community leaders and law enforcement officials in one forum.

The panel of persons that were invited to present information and to listen was the Superintendent of the State Police, Justin Dentino; the First Assistant Attorney General, Douglas Eakley; the Director of the Division of Civil Rights, Greg Stewart; the Essex County Sheriff at that time, which was Tom Delessio; myself; and the Police Director from the City of Newark.

That forum, we reported. We also passed out questionnaires for every one of those community leaders asking them to detail to us the problems that they perceived in their community between the police and the law enforcement, to prioritize five issues, to write when they felt necessary suggestions on things that they would like to see further done in the community and also to make suggestions as to how better we could have an ongoing relationship.

We compiled that information, and we found that there were three main topical areas that the community leaders had prioritized as one, two and three.

They were sensitivity training, believing that there was a need for more sensitivity training, not only for police, but also for community residents about what police have to go through and particulary targeting young people to understand what the role, duties and responsibilities are of a police officer in the community.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

The second was youth development, which had to deal with the drug issue, and the third had to deal with crime prevention; that is, mobilization of housing project neighborhood groups for better communication and support with their local police precinct command or department.

As a result of that we have taken those concerns and we have implemented with a subcommittee group of about 40 professionals throughout the city, those who are representative of the cross-sections of those communities, and I could give you some names just for the record.

We have on our central communications committee as it has been generically called Jeffrey Moss from the Anti-Defamation League; Amos Jackson, the executive director of the Urban League; George Edmund of the NAACP; Carolyn Wallice of the International Youth Organization; Barbara Bell of the Newark Boys and Girls Club; Miguel Rodriguez, who is president of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce;

Milton Medina, president of the Hispanic Law
Enforcement Officers Association; Brenda Beavers of the One
to One New Jersey Foundation; Mr. John Hull from the
Attorney General's Office; Mr. Paul Dietrich, who is the
director of the Newark Housing Authority Police; Claude
Coleman, Police Director; Ms. Pearl Beatty of the Martin
Luther King Commission.

The list goes on and on and on. We have

representatives of the FBI, who have their home office in Newark; DEA and various other religious groups, too, Dr. Verna of the North Jersey Black Churchmen, and the Hispanic Churchmen are also represented.

We felt that it was important that these persons become involved with our office and with the law enforcement community on these subcommittees because they become our direct liaisons. They become our direct link into that community structure so that we can continue to have ongoing dialogue. Rather than wait for an incident to happen we have to know that the communication is there already.

It has been the establishment of this communication which is now building us toward setting up programs within these community groups which will directly involve police officers in the law enforcement community toward the project goals that they identified of youth development, crime prevention and sensitivity training.

It is going to be my goal in the very near future because one of the members that I did not mention before, and that was Dr. Wenworth Gunther, who is a nationally renown historian and expert in multi-cultural dynamics, to have Dr. Gunther conduct a sensitivity training for us for the Essex County Prosecutor's Office as a model.

It is the contention of Dr. Gunther and others that two days is not enough and that we are going to have to

be more intense about dealing with this issue and trying to have more sensitivity training over a longer period of time. That is now in the formulation process of getting down for the Essex County Prosecutor's Office.

. 2**4**

The mix of personnel within a department certainly does not cure the issues that you have tried to bring to the forefront here today in this discussion, and that is just by having persons of different multi-cultural groups in a department is not in and of itself going to solve the problem.

It is a step towards solving that problem because the community certainly must be able to identify with the personnel of that department, be it a police department or a prosecutor's office. They must be able to identify.

Certainly a Hispanic community must be able to communicate actively with that department, and it is necessary to have officers or assistant prosecutors or investigators or support staff that are able to have that bilingual communication that would be necessary and an understanding of the culture that they have to deal with from a law enforcement standpoint.

It is important that that is there, but that does not solve the problem in and of itself.

I think Chief Pugh made a very good point that you can have your line people out on the street, and they will

have to react to some very difficult situations. People departments and police personnel are one of the few professions which have to go out every day and put their life on the line.

It is a stressful job. It is a dangerous job, and it is a job which you cannot anticipate circumstances the way we think we can in most other jobs. As a result of that, a lot of judgment and subjective decisions have to be made in a very short period of time.

Training is designed to help officers with that, but more importantly, and I think Chief Pugh brought it up, supervisors are really the key in terms of how your officers on the line are going to react out in the street and what they feel that they are taught to do.

The experience in Los Angeles where there was actually a superior on the scene and also the radio communications in which there was a superior listening in on the conversations is quite telling from the fact that that department probably does have a problem up and down the chain of command.

It is the chain of command in law enforcement which is really going to make the difference. Policy makers in the office and the superiors that do the supervision, as well as the discipline, are the ones that will probably make the biggest changes that a department can have. It is

important that all of us realize that.

Just by putting people in place and saying I hired somebody of African-American descent and somebody of Hispanic descent or Italian or Irish is not necessarily going to make a difference in a policy of the department or an attitude or a perception with the community because, as long as they talk to one another, as long as they are willing to sit down and actually communicate with one another, will make the difference.

I do not have to be a white prosecutor to go into my suburban communities to talk about crime problems and to tell them how they can better structure their community because I have been given a certain level of expertise in knowing what I am supposed to be talking about.

Simultaneously, it is not necessarily that only a black face or an African-American or Hispanic can go into that community to delivery that message.

What is important is that we sit down together and respect each other and understand that we can do it, that we are listening as well as formulating. That is really the thrust of what our central communications committee is about because to wait for an explosive situation to happen and not have talked at the table makes those that finally come to the table after an explosive situation happens very suspect about the motives as to why they are now finally coming down

and sitting at the table together.

This really I think is one of the things that we have at hand going on now in New Jersey that is a real opportunity for us to improve the relations between communities and police.

You are going to find problems everywhere. With 30,000 cases I cannot tell you that Essex County is blameless in terms of complaints of brutality or complaints against police officers, but for the number of complaints out of that 30,000, which may be 100 to 200 a year at best, that is less than one percent and is not a very good statistic to color an entire profession or department.

Nonetheless, when these things occur they get highlighted and people's perceptions begin to change. That is what we have to deal with. We have to deal with reality, but I think even more so we have to deal with perception -- perception of trust or lack of trust.

In terms of the models that are ongoing now and some of the efforts that are being made, I believe that we are in the right direction. Yes, we have a long way to go, but progress is being made.

That which I have seen in Essex County in the past five years I am very proud of, but I cannot tell you that I won't have an incident as my counterparts in other counties have had because'I very well could tomorrow, and then I will

have to react and I will have to deal with it. I may become lambasted. I may become maligned, but nonetheless, everybody should realize that these jobs are not easy jobs to do.

1.9

I just want to finish up by saying that in terms of the investigation of these incidents when it happens with a department, it is incumbent that a strong role be played by the county prosecutor because in most other jurisdictions you do not have the chain of command, and when I say jurisdictions I mean out of the State of New Jersey, that exist between a prosecutor's office and a local police department.

The problems that New York faces in calling for special prosecutors is because that there is some inherent club mentality that is going on between that district attorney's office or that local department.

Our accountability structure all the way up through the Attorney General with the checks and balances makes us have to really tow the line, I believe, in terms of bringing out the facts in these situations.

I think that prosecutors, when these incidents happen, have to be involved immediately, and they have to assume the jurisdiction. They have to remove the incident away from the local community and have it investigated on a more dispassionate and neutral level.

1	Now, a lot of departments may disagree with me on
2	that, but I think it is in their best interest. I think it
3	is in their best interest that the professionals from that
4	level of the county prosecutor take over and look at those
5	cases and investigate them and, as I have indicated, when we
6	have a death resulting I think that these matters have to be
7	aired out in front of a Grand Jury where all matters are
8	investigated.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you.
11	Prosecutor DePasquale from Hudson County?
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	`.

MR. DePASQUALE: Thank you very much. Because I know that you might want to ask one or two questions I will be very brief. My name is Paul DePasquale. I am the Hudson County Prosecutor.

Hudson County is the most densely populated and ethnically diverse county in the state. A great many of the things that you have today you have heard sort of repetitively. All of the programs that you have heard about are basically statewide programs. The reporting of bias incidents is a statewide requirement. All of these things we have.

What I would like to talk to you about is something that I think we may have missed that I believe the Chairwoman brought up a few minutes ago, and that is essentially why do these things happen, not what do you do after they happen and what are you doing to prepare for these things, but why do they happen.

I think it ties in, Madame Chairwoman, with your comments regarding response times and verbal abuse and those things that put distance between us as members of the law enforcement community with the community at large. What puts that distance? What changes it when a police officer sets out on a foot pursuit of a suspect? Why does the

community not help him, but why does the community join in pursuing him? What causes that kind of distance?

A 3. 24

It has been touched on in a number of different presentations this morning. When you begin to talk about the administrative control of a department that one of the chiefs mentioned before, the supervision of that department that several of the prosecutors and other police representatives have also spoken about, in the enforcement of the law when you start to talk about the use of force there are various levels of force. You have deadly force, non-violent force.

You also have verbal force, and that verbal force, I think in my experience -- I have been with Hudson County Prosecutor's Office for 16 years, 11 of those years as an assistant prosecutor, so I have come a long way in that office and I have seen a lot.

I can tell you that the small incident, the one that is not reported, is more damaging than the shooting incident because the shooting incident has a beginning, it has a reason, and it has an end. Whether it is a mistake, an accident or deliberate act it is a single episode. All it does is serve to spark that which is already there.

If your department enjoys a close relationship with the community that shooting incident is much easier to handle with the community than it is if your police officers

verbally abuse people when they come on the scene. They roll up on the scene of an incident and become involved in verbal abuse. How does that happen? It happens in a number of ways that have been referred to previously.

officer, the best police officer in the world, the one -- we have all the academic experts. We have all the training programs in the academies. We have all the sensitivity training in the world. Why does that officer go in a matter of a year or two years to someone who can verbally abuse a citizen on the response that he makes to an incidence?

How does that happen? How does a good, motivated police officer turn into somebody who is going to verbally abuse a citizen and do damage to his own reputation? He is doing all the things that he shouldn't be doing, and he knows he is doing it.

You take a man and you put him a patrol car eight hours a day, and you send him around to 15 or 20 or 30 incidents during the course of the day. He chases calls all day long. He is never on time for any of the incidences because he is still trying to clean up the last incident that he was at.

He is 25 minutes late, 40 minutes late to a burglary in progress. The citizen is understandably upset that he hasn't got that response. The police officer is

upset because number one, he hasn't been able to do his job because he has been over here taking a cat out of a tree when there is a burglary in progress. The citizen starts to yell at him. He has had it up to here from a full day, and then they go at it back and forth.

You get into supervision. The administrative control over a police department has a lot to do with violations of civil rights, and I think the violations that are most important to the police community dynamic, are the small ones that we never hear about. I won't hear about a police officer who tells a citizen to shut the hell up and get out of here or uses some kind of ethnical or racial slur.

I am not going to hear that in 99.9 percent of the cases because nobody is going to report it to me. We are not going to get that report because everybody thinks nobody is going to anything about it. All he did was call me a name.

That is violence to that person, what that citizen has been told. He calls up expecting a professional police response and then is involved in this exchange and either a racial epithet or some other epithet is used in response to him. There has been violence done to his concept of law enforcement, of his place in the community, of his connection to the power establishment of that community.

That has all been damaged by that single response,

and I can't get a report on it. If I don't have a report on it I can't reach it. What happens or what causes that is the fact that we have not got a sufficient handle on how to administer single things in a police department.

One of the towns in Hudson County that I am particularly familiar with had no prioritization of its radio calls. Now, that sounds like a very bizarre topic to bring up at a Civil Rights Commission hearing, but that lack of prioritizing of those radio calls caused the dispatching of cars on an as-needed basis, so you would wind up chasing calls all day.

There was no priority. If a cat in a tree came in before a burglary you went to the cat in the tree. The burglary came next. It was simply the order that they came in.

Minor adjustments to that priority schedule and simply deferring those calls with cats in the trees and cars blocking driveways and prioritizing that created a department who reduced its response time by 300 percent to calls involving indictable offenses. It also dramatically reduced the number of complaints against the officers. It raised the officers' morale.

There are many, many other things that flow from that. Simply administering that department properly will do a great deal to reduce the conflict between the police and

1 the citizen.

There is an inherent conflict. I think everybody understands that. If you are trying to enforce the law you are enforcing conformity in a society that believes in individual freedom, so there is to a degree a natural tension in that area.

If it is handled professionally and if the community has confidence in your ability and in your objectivity to do that then you will be able to survive that dynamic without any severe distress to the system. I think that is what we ought to be striving to do.

I have to borrow some of Herb's comments with respect to supervision. If a supervisor is on the scene or if a supervisor responds or becomes aware of the verbal abuse of citizens, regardless of whichever race or ethnic group you choose, and does nothing he has sent a very clear message to his subordinates that this is acceptable behavior.

If a supervisor rolls onto the scene of a traffic stop and sees the kind of beating that we saw in Los Angeles and does nothing you know that that message is going out.

That is not prohibited conduct. If you permit the enforcer to become the perpetrator then you have major league problems across the board, and the only person who is going to stop it is someone who has the ability to

discipline those people who would enforce the law. That is their supervisors, their superiors, their administrative controllers and prosecutors and attorney generals.

We escalate up that line, depending on the severity of the problem, but I do not think it is sufficient for us as executive level law enforcement people to say that we planned this program and we have introduced sensitivity training in the police academy and we have done all these things. We are sure we will turn out a good product.

That police officer who comes out will be in theory, for the most part, a dedicated professional who wants to do a good job, the violations of someone's civil rights being the furthest thing from his mind.

We have to be concerned with him on an ongoing basis so that he remains close to those ideals he left the academy with.

I think that we have all taken steps in that direction, but we have to concentrate more fully on running these departments professionally, divorcing politics from the operation of police departments and giving the officers the tools, as the chief referred to, and supervision that is professional and adequate and competent to do the job free of the restraints that sometimes civil service imposes on him in other civil rights that may have been given to other members of the police community, which is probably the flip

1 side of all of this, too.

When you become involved in one of these incidents you are not only dealing with the civil rights of the community as a prosecutor investigating this thing. You are also dealing with the civil rights of the officer. You are dealing with the unions and union representatives.

All of those other things are in conflict, so if you do not have community confidence in your objectivity and professionalism, you are not going any place.

We only have five minutes for questions, so I am not going to go any longer.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: I want to thank all of you for your presentations. If you notice, what we tried to do was draw from the various parts of the state with communities with small minority communities, communities with large minority communities, north, central, south. I think we have done a pretty good job of getting a representative group on this panel.

I was much less than punctual, and so were you, and we do have limited time. If I may indulge my committee members and try to pose some of the questions that I know arose yesterday as a result of the other two panel presentations and ask some of you to make comments on those topics maybe we can save some time and then open it up to questioning by committee members if I miss anything or if

there is something that they want to bring up that has not brought up, if that is okay with the members of the committee.

There was a concern among the committee members as a result of the presentations yesterday about what appears to be a lack of data with regard to reports of police brutality. The Attorney General and the people on his panel were questioned about this lack of data. The report from the Attorney General and his people was that some inroads are being made in that area.

The problem for us is that as I sit here and as the members of the committee sit here we cannot tell you that there were 300 reports of police brutality by citizens -- reports from citizens -- last year and 300 the year before and we either going up or going down.

It simply appears to us that there is no central repository for that kind of information and no analysis of those kind of reports to determine whether this state is doing good, bad or indifferent with regard to that problem.

The committee would like to know how difficult it would be to those kinds of statistics which, of course, have to begin at the local level, then do it by district, which I guess would be by county, and have some way of keeping and analyzing that information.

If I could hear from anybody?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1	MR. TATE: In Essex County there is a requirement
2	that any time a police officer discharges a gun
3	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: I am not even talking just
4	about shootings because those you are going to heard about.
5	Those are going to get investigated.
6	MR. TATE: I was going to try to give you a
7	progression as to what we have and what we don't have.
8	We have reports on all discharges of weapons that
9	come into the prosecutor's office. We have obviously all
10	incidents reported that result in a shooting injury or a
11	death.
12	We do not necessarily have, however, every
13	incident where there may be a beating because unless we get
14	the complaint either directly from a citizen or referred to
15	by the department we are not going to necessarily know every
16	time that there is an act of alleged police brutality that
17	goes on.
18	The verbal abuse that has been referred to as
19	well, we do not have a mandatory reporting system for that.
20	However, if a citizen becomes irate enough that they press a
21	complaint, any complaint that is pressed with the local
22	department is referred up to our office, and we do have
23	those statistics that we can compile and make available, but
24	we have not been asked to do that on a regular basis.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Do you mean that there is no

. . .

	-									
2	MR.	TATE:	Not	that	I	am	aware	of.	Maybe	somebody

statewide requirement that that be done?

3 else knows.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Prosecutor Rockoff?

MR. ROCKOFF: My remarks indicated that we created a Bias Incident Reporting Committee which is the buffer between the citizen who feels he doesn't want to bring the complaint or the woman doesn't want to bring a complaint to the police. She brings it to this committee who then reviews it.

If they believe that that problem is a real one and that it is not being used as a defense for the subsequent prosecution of an individual they will present it to the prosecutor's office.

We keep our statistics from those complaints. I indicated to you that we have had very little of those complaints that have been made this year.

We have urged all the other municipalities in the county to do exactly the same thing, to have a Bias Incident Reporting Committee established. The other municipalities have not done that, so what we have done is created this human relations commission in the county, and that is one of the things that they will be doing. They will be creating a forum for the presentation of these bias incidents to the commission.

The commission will then have a committee that
will review, and they will send it to either the local
police department or to the prosecutor's office or to both
for that purpose.

9.

. 24

There is that that exists in the state, and there are vehicles. Granted, it is not universally done in the state now. It is not under the auspices yet of the Attorney General's office, but that is something that is in the works eventually because you are going to have to know what your problem is before you can find solutions to it. We are in the process of doing that now.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: But the complaints that are referred to the unit that you were speaking about are bias incidents which may or may not be against police officers.

MR. ROCKOFF: Yes, they are all -- the bias incidents are against governmental authority. In other words, the Bias Incident Reporting Committee, for example, would not get citizen against citizen complaints.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: I see.

MR. ROCKOFF: They were only getting complaints against governmental authority, whether it be the fire department or the building inspector or the police department.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: And is there a way of segregating the complaints that are against the police

24 XXXX 24

2 MR. ROCKOFF: It is done in the complaint itself, 3 and then we know which ones they are referring to.

MR. SCRUPSKI: It appears to be essential from what you are saying that there would be a local agency able to screen these complaints and dismiss those reciprocal complaints made by apprehendees to utilize to negate and to defer or to bargain with respect to --

MR. ROCKOFF: Well, the citizen complaints, citizen against citizen, that would go to the police department.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: No, he is not talking about citizen against citizen. He is talking about the citizen who charges a police officer with assault as a way of plea bargaining, so you just want to dismiss that.

MR. ROCKOFF: The cross-complaint, if it is a formal complaint, will not go to a bias incident reporting committee. It will go to the police, which will then ultimately find its way to the prosecutor's office. The prosecutor's office will then evaluate it.

Whether or not an incident is a bias incident or not is one that has been a subject of debate in many of these towns that have created these civil rights commissions. When is a bias incident to be considered one by the police officer? Do we allow him subjectivity to

	•				
1	േര	c_1	ae.	tha	1T 7

There are checks and balances on that because if an individual feels there is a bias incident -- for instance, we have an Indian community that complains that there are bias incidents that are not being reported by the police as bias incidents.

We have set up a structure in the prosecutor's office to review these complaints and if in fact it is partially motivated by bias or by hate then we will treat it on that basis, and we will subject a bias incident report to the Attorney General's office under the structure that has been set up.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Prosecutor DePasquale?

MR. DePASQUALE: I think, and correct me if I am wrong, Madame Chairlady, you are talking broad based statistics available on police brutality as opposed to just bias incidents. I do not believe there is anything presently available.

However, the normal manner in which those offenses are reported, at least in Hudson, and I think it applies across the state, would be for an individual, an aggrieved party, to go to the internal affairs department or the local police department and register a formal complaint.

That information, I think, we can give you in terms of data. It is not presently collected, but I think

through the Attorney General's office it could be collected.

The problem is not the collection of the data, but the analysis and the interpretation of that data because they are in most cases -- 75 or 80 percent at least in Hudson County -- connected with an ongoing criminal prosecution.

There is a great deal of analysis that would have to be applied in order to interpret that data accurately, which you would come into conflict at that point with the defendant's Constitutional rights what we could reveal to you about the facts of the file prior to the time a criminal case is disposed of.

The data I think is within your reach. I think you can arrange to get that type of data. The analysis of the data at a time when it is timely is really the question.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Yes. I do not think that the committee is as much concerned in the method of analyzing the data that is used to get a result.

We could not tell you that there were 800 reports of police brutality in the state last year of which 99 percent were deemed by somebody to be totally frivolous and the one percent that needed investigation were investigated and here is the result. One police officer was indicted, two police officers were reprimanded, one left. We do not

Τ	have that kind of information.
2	A second step after you have that system is to
3	check on the system to see that they are properly
4	categorized, but we do not even have a system that will tell
5	us that.
6	MR. DePASQUALE: The two principal agencies that
7	you would be involved with in that respect, since
8	administrative discipline is administered or handled by
9	local departments, any administrative action they would
10	take, the data would be maintained by the local department
11	and then probably by civil service.
12	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Right.
13	MR. DePASQUALE: One of the chiefs could tell you
14	that. Indictments or criminal charges we would be able to
15	give you.
16	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: If there were ten complaints
17	against one police officer that he used obscenity or racial
18	epithets in incidents
19	MS. SABIN: Or he was rude.
20	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: or he was rude or
21	whatever, those may not even be catalogued anywhere. The
22	police dismiss it and it goes away.
23	MR. DePASQUALE: Yes, that is likely. In the case
24	of rudeness I don't know that we are ever going to get to

the point where we can eliminate rudeness.

L	MS. SABIN: Except that is the point, and I think
2	you made the point very well, the fact that these start as
3	small things like rudeness.

MR. DePASQUALE: That is true.

Past 24

MS. SABIN: Then it escalates, and the community then begins to believe that they cannot feel safe or trust that police officer.

If it were done on a local level and these kinds of things were kept, it would help, in my opinion, the police department because it would clearly say that the police chief or whoever the supervisor is took this into consideration; this was taken care; it happened with this officer maybe once or twice; it has not happened again.

It just gives the community the feeling that indeed the police department that is supposed to protect them, not only protect them, but to be there for them in other ways, is indeed there. It would eliminate the feeling that --

MR. DePASQUALE: I agree. It would be wonderful if we could. I just don't know if rudeness is too high a standard to set at this point. I think to begin to collect data on that would be extremely difficult. We are without standards.

Certainly in terms of violence and verbal abuse, we can record that, but what is rude to some people in some

L	circumstances	may	not	be to	o othe	r people	in	other
2	circumstances	. It	is	very	kind	of nebulo	ous	contact

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 MR. ROCKOFF: That is the big problem you have. In other words, it is the cultural differences that create the imperceptions that somebody is being rude.

> I will give you one illustration. We have learned this through the United States Conciliatory Service, and I never knew this, that in some parts of South America the Hispanic male or the Latin male feels that it is an insult to touch him even about his clothing, that it is a macho thing not to be touched about your clothing.

When a police officer is patting down a suspect that police officer to that Hispanic male is being terribly In fact, that is one of the reasons why he will react. Sometimes you will have a resisting arrest because of the fact that he does not want to be touched.

Now, I have lived in a multi-cultured area for many, many years, and I never knew that until a few years I have heard it since then. I don't know how many people on the Civil Rights Commission know that. Maybe you ought to check it out.

These are the problems you have, the cultural differences, and that is why we are trying to bring together the police and the citizens to learn about each other's problem, not the police problem itself. The citizen has to

meet the policeman 50 percent of the way -- halfway. Not one-tenth of the way, not one-third of the way -- halfway -- and learn about his problems, as well as the police officer has to learn about the citizens' problems.

MS. SABIN: I think that makes my point even more clearly that fact that you as an experienced law enforcement officer did not know that. It gets back to cultural diversity and what our police officers and the people who supervise them need to know about whatever community it is that is in their town.

MR. ROCKOFF: Cultural leaders of some of the minority groups have an obligation to tell us what the problems are as well so that we will be able to filter it down to the police officer.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: If I may move on, the other thing that I think cuts across the presentations that we have heard and some of the complaints is what appears to us to be the lack of uniform procedures for all of the police departments.

Granted that what might be a necessary procedure for a very large police department may not be necessary for a very small two or three man police department, but things like use of force, discharge of firearms, sometimes something as simple as processing complaints.

We heard from the Attorney General there has been

an initiative since he took office to create those procedure and that in fact some six protocols, if you may, have been created and are in the final stages of being implemented.

It seemed to us to be a rather simple affair in a jurisdiction in New Jersey where the line of command is very clear from the Attorney General through the prosecutors to the local chiefs of police that it would be very simple to implement those kinds of protocols, at least for the areas that touch upon every department's operation on how it conducts its business with the community.

If anybody has any comment on that, it just seemed that it is something that is doable and that should be done so that you have a way of measuring the conduct of the officer against standard operating procedures.

MR. HONECKER: Well, I think most county prosecutor's offices and municipal police departments have standard operating procedures. For the use of force it is mandated that we all have standard operating procedures.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: And are they all the same?

MR. HONECKER: Yes. Well, yes, they are all followed. There is a leading case, <u>Tennessee v. Gardner</u>, which came out in which the Attorney General at the time promulgated guidelines which must be adopted by each and every county prosecutor's office and then filtered down to the municipality:

Although they may not be word for word the same policy, the concepts based behind that Supreme Court decision are incorporated in each and every standard operating procedure on use of force.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Does that apply also, for example, for how to handle a person who has been arrested who becomes --

MR. HONECKER: Yes. There are standard operating procedures on arrest procedures, search and seizure procedures, dealing with the media, dealing with a variety of issues, homicide investigations, all standard operating procedures.

For bias investigation the then Attorney General Cary Edwards, through Mr. Mulvilhill, set forth for each county prosecutor's office and each municipality to adopt standard operating procedures. Again, although they may not be word for word the same in each municipality, the standard guidelines or the general guidelines are adopted and are reflective of what a statewide uniform standard operating procedure should be.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: What are the protocols that the Attorney General's office is working with in conjunction with the chief of police president?

CHIEF PUGH: They are trying to establish standards that the entire state will abide by. The problem

is we have enough standards in place in many areas that are just not being properly enforced. Again, it relates back to supervision.

S . .

The Attorney General's office puts out guidelines through the prosecutors which they would encourage departments to adopt. They are guidelines, and each department may alter those guidelines to suit their particular situation. We lose that standardization.

If you try and set a standard for each and every department in the state to adopt it would be very difficult, in my opinion. What has to happen is whatever the standard is it has to be constantly monitored.

In the Camden City Police Department we document each and every complaint. If you come to our internal affairs section you can see how many complaints we have relative to each and every situation, the ones that have been substantiated, and the ones that have not been substantiated.

We work in close conjunction with the Camden County Prosecutor's Office. The problem as has been stated, those small complaints which eventually erupt into the major incident, may not reach the top because of the problem of supervision. When an individual is rude to a citizen, that complaint has to be addressed also.

One of the things that happened when I became

1	chief of police is we aggressively pursued all complaints.
2	Do you know what happened? Our complaints increased because
3	the community felt confident that the police department
4	would address their concern. Our complaints increased.
5	It meant more work for the police department, but
6	we need the confidence of the community if we are going to
7	be able to solve some of our problems. We will never be
8	able to solve all of our problems, but what we will be able
9	to do is manage our situation a lot better.
LO	MR. KADLEC: Have the complaints continued to
L1	increase?
L2	CHIEF PUGH: No, no. They reach a level, and then
13	they taper off. We had indicted. We have fired. We have
L 4	terminated.
15	MR. KADLEC: So they have now gone back down?
16	CHIEF PUGH: They have now gone back down.
17	MR. KADLEC: Above or below where they used to be?
18	CHIEF PUGH: Below. What was happened in the
r9 .	Camden City Police Department, as in many police department,
20	you have that good old boy network, and we in the police
21	department for many years have attempted to just take care
22	of our own and not effectively pursue situations where an
23	individual should have been terminated.
24	Again, a lot of that goes back to the department

of personnel because you have to document each and every

thing in progressive discipline in order to terminate an individual or you will fire him, as I have done, and wind up having to take him back and pay him back dollars. Then the business administrator and the mayor get upset because of those dollars that have to go out.

<u>پي</u> کو د پوت

The head of the department is caught in the middle trying to serve the community and increase the quality of service that we are delivering to the community. We document things as we understood that they should have been, and then the department of personnel, through an Administrative Law Judge, says no, you can't fire him. You have to take him back. The documentation was there.

Your supervisors get frustrated, and your good officers feel what is the use because Harry is back and look what he did. You have to document each and every incident in order to deal with the department of personnel to terminate an individual who may be violating someone's rights or violating the law.

MR. KADLEC: Chief, are you recommending here then a change in civil service regulations or whatever?

CHIEF PUGH: I am recommending that a serious look be taken at the civil service rules and regulations as they exist in the arena of law enforcement.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: One other concern that has been brought to the committee's attention is the apparent

lack of objective criteria by which to measure the effectiveness of a police department and, if you will, a quality assurance program for the department.

... 24

How difficult would it be to implement such a program and to try to measure the effectiveness of a police department?

MR. ROCKOFF: It is being done. There is an organization in Virginia that is known as an accreditation society for police departments that has already accredited three or four of the municipal police departments in New Jersey.

I will let the police chiefs talk about that, but in addition to that, the Attorney General's office and the statewide chiefs' association has stated to develop the police bureau of the Attorney General's office.

Through Dr. Wayne Fisher and through the police training commission they have started to develop their own accreditation program so that the municipalities will not have to spend the several thousand dollars that it takes to bring in the Virginia people in order to have the municipality evaluated for accreditation purposes. It can be done gratis as a result of the networking being done between the police department and the Attorney General's office.

I do not know if the chiefs want to speak to that,

1	but I know it is being done at the present time.
2	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Do you know what criteria is
3	used?
4	MR. ROCKOFF: There is a whole book. If the
5	Commission wants to receive a copy of it there is manual
6	a very thick manual of the various functions of a police
7	department that are evaluated and are scaled. A passing
8	grade has to be affixed to that particular function in order
9	for them to get a full accreditation.
10	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Is this an independent
11	agency?
12	MR. ROCKOFF: It is Cliff Maurer, who was here
13	yesterday, the chief from Plainsboro. That is in my county,
14	but now he is a state chief. His department is accredited
15	by the Virginia accreditation society. You can ask him, and
16	he will give you all the details.
17	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: We will.
18	MR. ROCKOFF: That is the reason why they have now
19 .	gone to the Police Training Commission and said it cost us a
20	lot of money in Plainsboro to get accredited. Let's do it
21	in state.
22	CHIEF PUGH: I am aware of that program, and it is
23	an excellent program. However, it can cost you \$30,000 to
24	\$40,000 by the time it is completed. But, it is an excellent
25	program, and we are pursuing it.

There

1	MR. ROCKOFF: But wouldn't it be wonderful if the
2	state could do it for you?
3	CHIEF PUGH: Definitely.
4	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Questions by committee
5	members?
6	MR. KADLEC: Yes, and it is on this subject.
7	Quality control has to do with customers. Based on your
8	comments is it fair to say that the customer here is the
9	citizen? Would anybody disagree with that?
10	MR. FAHY: The victim of a crime.
11	MR. ROCKOFF: The customer?
12	MR. KADLEC: The customer. The customer here is
13	me, any citizen, the community.
14	MR. ROCKOFF: But remember, many of these people
15	who make the complaints against the police are not the
16	victims. They are the defendants.
17	MR. KADLEC: I have been learning that during this
18	hearing this morning.
19	It seems to me that what we may need on an ongoing
20	basis is not just a one time quality review which I endorse
21	certainly as a place to be starting, but an ongoing way to
22	measure customer satisfaction and then to publish that so
23	the community also knows.
24	This whole idea of perception versus reality,

sometimes perception is a nice way to say prejudice.

1	can be prejudice against police, like any other part of our
2	community, based on uninformed opinion. It seems to me that
3	you have as much at stake here as anyone in pursuing
4	customer satisfaction and publishing the progress you are
5	making.
6	It also puts it more on a positive agenda, as
7	opposed to rooting out problems. If you want excellence
8	then what you need to do is measure excellence and reward
9	excellence recognize excellence.
10	MR. ROCKOFF: We need a PR man. That is what law
11	enforcement needs. We need the media to show the positive
12	side of law enforcement rather than the negative side.
13	MR. SAMET: Or both.
14	MR. ROCKOFF: Or both.
15	MR. KADLEC: I don't want to trivialize it with
16	the notion of PR man. I am not saying that is what you are
17	trying to do, but I want to make it clear that no, this is
18	not PR. This is an ongoing rigorous program to measure
19	customer satisfaction and to continue to see improvement.
20	MR. ROCKOFF: Yes, but realistically you can never
21	expect that because the defendant is never going to praise
22	us
23	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: We are not talking about the
24	defendant.

MR. ROCKOFF: -- and the victim is never going to

1	praise us unless the pocketbook thief gets the electric
2	chair.
3	MR. KADLEC: I would respectfully disagree with
4	your position.
5	MR. ROCKOFF: I recognize that, but I am saying
6	that from 20 years as a Judge and as a prosecutor. The
7	criminal justice system never wins. It never wins, but we
8	have to continue to do our job day in and day out.
9	It can never expect a pat on the back. Once in a
10	while it will get a nice letter to the editor. Once in a
11	while it will get accreditation given to it by its peers,
12	but it can never expect to be accepted as being a friend.
13	MR. KADLEC: I never said friend, and that may be
14	so. I challenge you to then look at how a system could be
15	invented so that you could be recognized for the
16	contributions you are making to our community.
17	I think most citizens agree that our communities
18	would be far less hospitable to raising our families if it
1′9	weren't for a police force and a law enforcement system that
20	we have in this country. The elements clearly are there for
21	a pat on the back, if you will.
22	My own background is one of looking at companies
23	and industries, and I must tell you your remarks are
24	reminiscent of those made by executives from manufacturing

companies, the most notable and most covered being Detroit

when	they	were	trying	to	compete	with	a g	roup	of	companies	3
from	a di:	ffere	nt count	cry,	Japan,	that	had	foct	ısed	maniacal	Lly
for a	a lone	g peri	iod of t	time	on tota	al cus	stome	er sa	atis	faction.	

1.5

Now we are seeing that Detroit can do what it said it could not do. It is producing higher quality cars.

Chief Pugh's comments here I think are much to the point. In industry time after time they find out that quality control reduces cost. It does not increase cost. What we find here is that yes, initially the number of complaints go up, but long run the total number of complaints goes down.

MR. ROCKOFF: But there is a counterpoint to why the total number of complaints goes down. We had the same experience, and I was surprised. When we set up this citizen review panel to review these bias incident reports the bias incidents went down.

People were not speculating to their neighbor or to the fellow sitting next to them at the local bar about how he was abused by the policeman. Now he had to put up or shut up. He had to put it in writing. He had to go in front of his peers. He had to tell them the story and let the peers said wait a minute, that is not a bias incident. The policeman was doing his job. Therefore, the number of complaints reduced considerably.

MR. KADLEC: It sounds to me like you increased

1	your customer satisfaction.
2	MR. ROCKOFF: That's right.
3	MR. KADLEC: Then you acknowledge that it is
4	possible?
5	MR. TATE: Right, it is.
6	MR. ROCKOFF: Oh, I acknowledge that it is
7	possible.
8	MR. TATE: It is.
9	MR. KADLEC: And that it was measured in this case
10	by the number of incidents and also that you brought
11	perceptions more into line with reality.
12	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Mr. Mulvilhill?
13	MR. MULVILHILL: One of the big areas that we have
14	accomplished in the past five years is through the
15	victim/witness efforts statewide in the county prosecutor's
16	offices and local police. Up until 1986 there was no real
17	requirement to have a county effort. A new statute was
18.	passed, and now there is a county victim/witness
19	coordinator. Every county prosecutor works with a staff.
20	There are Attorney General standards.
21	There is new legislation that has been passed now
22	that gives a crime victim a right to talk at the time of
23	sentencing to the sentencing Judge. We have a
24	Constitutional amendment for crime victims that is pending.

It just passed the Assembly, and it may go before the Senate

1 soon.

The prosecutors and the Attorney General are much more victim oriented, or as you say customer oriented, as a result of these initiatives, so we are going down that path. There is more that we can do, but we are doing that for our customers, the crime victims and the citizens.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Prosecutor DePasquale?

MR. DePASQUALE: I have to disagree radically with what you are suggesting because first, we are not in the business of customer satisfaction. We are in the business of enforcing the law. The customer has the ability to modify the law that we enforce, not me. I can't change it.

If you think for a moment that I can explain to the satisfaction of one of my "customers" that the Court has suppressed a piece of evidence and I am bound by that suppression and that even though this is a search for the truth according to everyone's perception that I cannot present the truth because of a technical error that someone made that they will then be satisfied with that explanation you are sadly and permanently mistaken. That will not happen.

He will say there is the gun that murdered my wife, and you are telling me you can't introduce it because that Judge said you can't. That is exactly what I am telling you, and if you don't like it, change it. Then I

	1	will introduce the gun. I have the gun. I have the
	2	ballistics expert. I have the capability and the desire to
	3	present it. The law will not allow me to present it.
	4	I cannot be gauged like making corn flakes because
	5	I am not making corn flakes. If you want to change the
	6	system change the system.
	7	It has been mentioned before about bail. When we
	8	arrest that defendant at 1:00 today and by 3:30 he has
	9	posted bail and is back in the community, if you think I can
	10	explain to the community the viability of the Constitutional
	11	right to bail and the presumption of innocence when that
	12	person is charged with raping a five year old in that
	13	community I don't think you are being very practical. That
	14	is not going to meet their expectation. That is not what
	15	they expect from me.
	16	They have to get together. If they want to change
	17	they have to change it. I take a given set of laws and
	18	facts, and I enforce them. You change the facts and rules,
	19	and I will change my enforcement.
	20	MR. KADLEC: May I clarify then the direction I am
	21	headed?
	22	MR. DePASQUALE: Sure.
	23	MR. KADLEC: I fully respect the limitations of
er e	24	your position. The case you mention, I illustrate them.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Some companies discovered when they asked what

constituted customer satisfaction they were very surprised
what their customers said. They thought is was one thing,
and they found out it was totally different. It maybe was
not tolerance of .000001. It was getting there at a certain
time and a certain place.

So, yes, do not establish criteria that you cannot fulfill. Put forward here is what we accept responsibility for. These are the things that we say we can do and we are willing to be held accountable for.

MR. TATE: Mr. Kadlec, you are talking about implementation of a service to the public. I think Prosecutor DePasquale is saying that maybe you want to change us on results alone where results are more like win or lose because we are in an adversarial game.

I think what maybe you are getting at with the Commission here is that can people, regardless of whether or not a defendant is convicted or whether they get the property back or whatever, walk away feeling that they were respected, satisfied and felt good --

MR. KADLEC: That is a different issue.

MR. TATE: I know it is a different issue, but we are having a little communication problem here, which is really the essence of what I was trying to talk about before.

You have to sit down in front of each other at the

table and find out what those things are that the people want because you absolutely right. When you sit down you find out that they don't necessarily want that this guy is going to go to jail.

There are a lot of other things that they want out of the police and out of the prosecutor's office in terms of a certain amount of dignity and self-respect, and that is translated into a lot of intangibles.

MS. SABIN: That's right.

10 MR. TATE: I know exactly what you are talking
11 about.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: That is the point.

MR. TATE: We do not always look at it that way because we are in such an adversarial game. Sometimes we have to go past that, but again, these things have to be articulated because we have a certain mind set, which is not necessarily bad. Do you know what I am saying?

We are here to try to get -- as I told you before, as the victim's lawyer. We advocate the victim's position in a court of law, and that becomes our client. Now, the question becomes you as a victim or you as a citizen or you as a person who is a witness. Those are different roles and different people who have different concerns and different results and different objectives that they want.

All of those things have to be dealt with in

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1	different categories before you can say whether we have
2	quality assurance because we are a multi-faceted service
3	organization.
4	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Questions? Yes.
5	MS. SABIN: I guess my question is more of a
6	global question. I think I heard from Prosecutor Rockoff
7	his feelings on it.
8	I guess my question is do you think there is any
9	place for any kind of civilian review board or whatever you
10	want to call it, and if not, why not? I guess my real
11	question is do you believe the police can police themselves?
12	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Prosecutor Fahy, do you want
13	to tackle that one?
14	MR. FAHY: No, but I guess I will. I don't know,
15	but I think there is only one community in this state that
16	has a civilian review board. I think it is Willingboro. I
17	guess it is in Camden County. I don't know what that is or
18	is not. I am also aware that Teaneck is considering having
19	a civilian review board.
20	I don't know whether it would be useful or not.
21	Today is the first time I have heard Prosecutor Rockoff talk
22	about the benefits that it brought to his county. It is
23	something I have thought about. There are mechanisms in
24	place right now where in Bergen County we review every

complaint against police officers anyway, so --

1	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Might I interrupt you for a
2	second?
3	MR. FAHY: Sure.
4	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: The issue is before the
5	complaint gets to you. The issue is that citizen out there
6	that has a legitimate complaint threatened by the thought of
7	bringing that complaint to the very police department that
8	he is complaining about.
9	What is he left to look at to bring that
10	complaint? Does he see the prosecutor's office as just
11	another extension of the police department? Is it
12	preferable to have some independent body or at least a body
13	that is perceived to be independent out there where that
14	citizen with the legitimate complaint can go to?
15	MR. FAHY: I haven't thought it out well enough.
16	MR. ROCKOFF: A citizen with a complaint, not a
17	legitimate complaint?
18.	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: With a complaint. That is
19	right.
20	MR. ROCKOFF: A citizen with a complaint?
21	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Yes. I stand corrected.
22	MR. ROCKOFF: That is the critical approach to the
23	problem, to give that individual an opportunity to tell his
24	peers what it is he is 'complaining about so that they can
25	articulate it for him.

It works and there is nothing wrong with it, but it is not a civilian review board. It is not getting into the police department to see what assignments they are giving and see what their quotas are or what their ratios are or anything of that sort.

MS. SABIN: That is not my thrust.

MR. ROCKOFF: What they are doing is just being a spokesperson for those in the community who can't afford to go to a lawyer and really doesn't know the law, doesn't know the culture, the practices and the obligations and maybe came from a society where it was fearful because you don't go to the police because if you do the policeman takes you away and then your family never sees you again. That is not the United States of America, and that is where we are sensitive to their needs.

I expect the people from Perth Amboy or from

Teaneck should not be going to the Teaneck police now with a problem about a Teaneck policeman or a Perth Amboy policeman because that trust has to be rebuilt, so they have to go to some peer group, and then they have to have an opportunity, a unit in the prosecutor's office that handles that.

We have a bias incident unit now that we were able to obtain through a grant fund that we got as a result of the victim assistance program that Jim Mulvilhill talked about. There is networking going on and we are learning

2	MR. KADLEC: Is the BIRC a voluntary organ	nization?
3	MR. ROCKOFF: Yes, and it is not run by t	ne
4	municipality. It is a separate, independent autonom	nous
5	group.	

MR. KADLEC: Thank you.

slowly, but there is some progress being made.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Who wants to take a crack at this one I do not know, but yesterday, and this is the theory that at least I was able to listen more in detail to the perceptions of our alleged police brutality and the attorney, you know, does not want to call it brutality, but that is what the people who testified yesterday morning called it.

They attribute it to perhaps two theories as I got it. One is that it is the macho image of the police officer. You give him a badge and a gun, and he becomes a macho man. He is going to abuse the rights of individuals. Their other theory is that most of these violations that the police are charged with are racially motivated.

I am very much interested in talking to Mr.

Honecker with regard to this human relations commission

because he spoke of a situation in which this human

relations commission in Monmouth County is going to

investigate cases of discrimination. We can talk about that

later.

Now, it seems to me from what I gathered this
morning, and I would like to be corrected, that most of you
agree or at least most of you have said that what is needed
is training. Some people call it sensitivity like Mr. Tate
and Mr. DePasquale. Other people call it something else.

My questions is this. Is it training with regards to the procedures that the police department must carry out and observe so that citizens are not denied their civil rights, especially Fourth Amendment rights, or is it the opposite?

Is sensitivity so the police officers do know, as Prosecutor Rockoff says, the differences in ethnic, let's say, don't like to be touched or don't be embracing and so on and so on? Which one is it?

MR. MULVILHILL: All of the above. The weakest thing we have in New Jersey is in-service training. It is left to each department to come up with in-service except for firearms, which is twice a year. That is one of the most difficult things.

They say Vineland has an excellent program. They have 17 days a year of in-service training. It can do a great deal with their people.

The other departments that don't have the resources or the overtime and money to do it, and I commend Prosecutor Fahy and his predecessor for coming up with a 16

hour training program a year that is mandatory for the chief on down to the patrolmen, and he has mandated this year this FATS training for every police officer in Bergen County. He can accomplish a great deal because he has this 16 hour block. To me that is one of the crucial things.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: If that is the case it seems that this is what their arguments were this morning, that it is all of the above.

I think as Chairlady Farber was saying, then the question is what are the practices and the policies and the procedures that are in place to take care of the macho image if that is case? Being that is the case, as you have admitted, are there procedures in place to take care of that?

On the other hand, do you have procedures in place to take care of a situation in which, as has been so many times expressed here this morning, you have rude police?

You do not have any records as to who has been rude. How are you going to punish or discipline as you want to do; Mr. Pugh, that officer that is constantly being rude but you do not know about?

We have in the department of personnel what we call the POR system, which is the performance operational review, in which you are given certain standards so that you could measure them. Maybe that is what we need in police

work and law enforcement, some sort of a standard that says if we find like we did in Long Branch -- the civil rights division did in Long Branch -- where somebody was harassing blacks with racial epithets and we went there and we investigated and we said this is what you have to do.

Are the prosecutors ready to recommend and the chief of police ready to support a situation in which you put this into this so-called operational review so that we can say that an individual who has the macho image or the one who has the racial motivation is done with? I think this is the only way.

I had spoken to Mr. Tate before at another meeting. Maybe that would be the time which we will increase at least to some extent the opinions of people having this perception about police.

And maybe, Mr. Rockoff, you hit it right on the head. One of the few people that probably we missed that we did not invite to this meeting was the media. I agree with you. Sometimes it creates more confusion than resolving the problem. Can we do that? Can we set the standards?

MR. TATE: Well, I think there are certain standards that you can set, but probably one of the most difficult things to try to actually identify is racism in the interactions of people between each other unless somebody is going to actually clue you in as to why they are

1	being rude or insolent or brutal.
2	You may say there is a presumption of racism if it
3	happens between a black and a white or a Hispanic and a
4	black or a Hispanic and a white, if you identify those two
5	parties.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No, but Mr. Tate, if
7	MR. TATE: I am trying to understand your
8	question.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: If somebody is going to arrest me,
10	considering the time that I have spent in this country I
11	should have known what is an arrest and somebody is going to
12	arrest me. I am going to be arrested because I know better.
13	But, if the guy who is going to arrest me sees
14	that I have cheek bones and my hair is this way and I have
15	an accent and he calls me a name,
16	MR. TATE: Well, if he calls you a name.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Listen to what I am saying. If
18	that officer is identified I am taking too much time.
19	Someone said this morning about what happened in

Someone said this morning about what happened in Los Angles and that the supervision was covering up in a sense. This is what I am saying. If you don't have a process, you don't have a procedure or a practice in place by which you say to your supervisor if you hear an officer abusing a Hispanic or a black or a Jew or a gay and if you cover it up you are going to be in trouble. This is what I

1	am saying.
2	Do we have these things in progress in some sort
3	of a file that you could go to and say this is it?
4	MR. TATE: Not as a standard procedure, but those
5	things happen, and they do get reported. As a standard that
6	has been put in a policy, I don't know of any that have done
7	that.
8	You are talking about administration. That would
9	be a bias incident reporting requirement between the law
10	enforcement person and the defendant and/or the victim or
11	any other person or witness that came into it. That would
12	be a bias incident if it rose to a level of what would be a
13	reportable crime.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just one question, Mr. Honecker.
15	MR. HONECKER: Yes?
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You said you were going to be
17	investigating cases of housing discrimination and employment
18	discrimination?
19	MR. HONECKER: The Monmouth County Human Relations
20	Commission established on April 1 a bias/hate hotline
21	reporting system. It is very similar to Prosecutor
22	Rockoff's reporting system in Perth Amboy. However, it has
23	county-wide jurisdiction. That is the only difference. It
24	is on a grander scale. '

On the Human Relations Commission, as I think I

mentioned, we have 55 different individuals and agencies
represented. One of those particular agencies is our Office
of Housing. In effect, if an individual reports to the
Human Relations Commission that they have been discriminated
against in their attempts to seek housing then the report
would come in. It would be then referred to the human
relations committee member or the housing commission for
investigation.

. . 24

The Human Relations Commission does not investigate itself, but what it does do is it refers allegations of crime and misconduct to the prosecutor's office, civil rights to the Civil Rights Commission. It is basically a data gather system and also a referral system.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: It is like a referral?

MR. HONECKER: Exactly. It is not an investigative agency.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you. Mr. Balch?

MR. BALCH: The conventional notion of police

brutality and the use of police power in civil rights

problems arises from the supposition that most of it occurs

in situations where the police officer is not a minority and

where the person who is being abused is. The further

inference is made that there may be racial motivation upon

the abuse.

Yesterday when we had a representative here, Mr.

Jones, from the state NAACP, I asked him about the types of complaints that he received and how they break down according to that particular categorization. He said about 97 percent of the complaints that he gets involve a minority complainant -- I assume in most cases black -- and the officer who is being complained about he said in 97 percent of the cases is white.

May 24

I am just wondering, obviously there are some other theories that have been offered which might at least account for some of these and don't have to do with racial motivation, per se -- explanations arising out of the pressures and tensions of the situation, explanations arising out of the notion of the sort of macho personality. It is either a fact of the police work or that the circumstances of police work can create.

I am just wondering among the chiefs of police and representatives of police departments who we have here, or anyone else for that matter, what is their perception of how the pattern of these complaints fall out. Does it tend to be in most situations a minority person complaining a non-minority officer, or is it a more complicated mix of situation?

CHIEF PUGH: Well, in the City of Camden it is extremely complicated. We do get a lot of minorities complaining about white officers abusing them, but we get a

large number of minorities complaining about other minority officers abusing them.

Originally we got complaints across the board from all segments of the community complaining about all segments of the police department. Again, with the prosecutor's help we took action, and a lot of our complaints diminished.

We have an individual who is under indictment, a minority on a minority. It goes across the board with individuals who violate other individuals' civil rights.

Again, the macho image plays a big role.

One of the things that we experienced in the City of Camden, and I don't know, I think when I took over all our rules changed. One of the things that I experienced was neighborhood police departments and officers would take the test to join the Camden City Police Department because the pay was a little more.

We would do background investigations, and these officers who had been problems on that police department, they were just happy to get rid of them, so they gave them to Camden. We have an individual who comes on the Camden City Police Department who has a nickname of the Terminator because it is the macho image. In one section of town there were complaints on the Terminator -- that is the way the community knew him. The other side of town, they wanted the Terminator over there to deal with their problem.

	1	That is another problem that we were experiencing
	2	in the City of Camden. This individual was traveling around
	3	in uniform with this macho image. Certain members of the
	4	community who were experiencing problems relative to crime
	5	in their area, they want him to come over there and violate
	6	individuals' civil rights.
	7	It takes a constant effort on my part and other
	8	members of the department attending these community meetings
	9	and explaining that we cannot violate the law while trying
	10	to enforce the law. We have to get out in the community and
	11	let them know what our role is. We do that continually.
	12	MR. BALCH: So you would not see proportionate,
	13	say, to their representation within the department race
	14	having much to do with the officers who are subject to these
	15	various allegations and complaints?
	16	CHIEF PUGH: It would be difficult for me to
	17	answer now. I would have to go back and get some statistics
	18	on that.
	19	MR. BALCH: It has not clearly presented itself to
	20	you in that way in your general experience, though?
	21	CHIEF PUGH: Well, recognize that I testified
	22	earlier that we just moved up to 50 percent minority within
	23	the police department down to a level of execution. Prior
130%	24	to that it was definitely a minority/white officer problem.

MR. BALCH: Would any other department

representatives care to comment on that?

MR. ROCKOFF: Well, I will comment. Police brutality breaks down into many categories. Many times we find a juvenile is complaining about the macho image of a police officer causing him to be abusive to the juvenile. It isn't a juvenile pursuing a complaint. It is the parent that is doing the complaining, and it is not a racial problem.

It is across the spectrum of racism because a juvenile always complains that he is mistreated by the police. Not always. That is a bad characterization, but 99.9 percent of the time he is mistreated by the police officer in the way he was handled it is either the first time and he feels he has some sort of a special position in society, the teenager in our society today.

With respect to the various breakdowns of the demographics in the 25 communities that I have, or if you go to Essex County and you talk about what they do in Short Hills and what they do in Newark, you have totally different justifications for people to complain.

The wealthy complain many times. How dare you put me against the care. The white police officer in Newark who has to go into the project area where he is confronted by a mob of people around him saying, you know, you're white and you're brutal, and therefore we have stereotyped you and

therefore even if you put someone against the car we are going to make a claim against you for police brutality.

為 24

You cannot put it into nice, clear, crisp categories. There are so many different types of justifications and rationales and irrationality for making complaints against police:

It is fashionable to make complaints against police, particularly now. The worst thing that happened out of this California incident in Los Angeles is that it becomes fashionable now to bash police. You know, we are back again into the 1960s syndrome where the policeman was called the fuzz, the pig. Now again, those who want to use it for their private agendas will continue to start making these allegations and complaints against police officers.

We cannot go to an extreme in trying to pin blame on policemen for creating the problems of society.

Policemen are really the messengers. They are sent to enforce the law, and there has to be a government of laws and not of men in this society. Men can complain, but police officers still have to enforce.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Mr. Samet?

MR. SAMET: I for one would like to commend each of you for further sensitizing me, and I would presume other members of this hearing group, to the complexities of your job, to the difficulties of it.

We recognized when we came into this that you did not have an easy job, and it is not going to get easier as the problems of society faced by you and the people with whom you work.

Simultaneously we were sensitized yesterday by representatives of minority groups who maintain that they feel that they are on the receiving end of unequal treatment of equals and that while each of you and the people with whom you work have the responsibility to enforce the law as it is written, it is differentially applied, they claim, to people of color, to people from different ethnic groups, to women, to gays.

In that context they charge the governmental officials with not fulfilling their obligations to the society that has said we make the laws, and you are to enforce them. You have enforced them in a way, they feel, that is not to their best interest.

When a black child in Maplewood is making a phone call to his mother to say pick me up from the movies and a policeman comes over to him and starts to arrest him for making the phone call and he says he was acting suspiciously — that has happened in one case that we were talking about yesterday — the argument is that that would not have happened if the child was white.

When a teenage son of a member of the board of

education in Teaneck is stopped by the policeman who was riding by and is told that he is acting suspiciously and what is he doing on the block he becomes irate, as do his parents, over the fact that he was even stopped. He happened to live in front of the house at which he was being arrested.

These kinds of perceptions that each of you spoke about tend to get repeated and tend to be the problems that the communities, both the minority communities and the majority communities in these areas, tend to reflect upon to say this is the example of what the police are and what they should not be.

On the other hand, you are telling us, and I appreciate and support that you are doing all the things that you could or most of the things that you could be legitimately expected to do. You have sensitivity training. You have inter-cultural training. You have communication systems in various portions of the organized and in some cases the unorganized community. That is all to the good.

One wonders if you are doing all of these things are they working. In doing all of these things why is there the perception that they are not working? Have you evaluated them? Have you evaluated your sensitivity training or merely sensitized officers?

What do you really mean by sensitivity training?

1	How do you distinguish between sensitivity training,
2	inter-cultural education, inter-group education? We have
3	heard these terms interchanged. I, coming from a
4	professional background, find that they are supposed to mean
5	different things, but do they mean different things as you
6	train your people?
7	You also have talked about human relations
8	commissions, councils, committees. I once headed the first
9	official human relations commissions, and I know, as do you,
10	that there are no quick fixes. They do not resolve your
11	problems for you. They are just another resource. We are
12	wondering one, do you feel that they are important adjuncts
13	to your work? Do they really help? Are they merely a
14	buffer between you and the citizens? Do the citizens
15	perceive them as a buffer?
16	Are they working is the question, and do you have
1.7	any kind of records you can share with us that would help us
18	to say and to recommend to others that they should or should
19	not do certain things about training commissions about these
20	kinds of resources that you are using?
21	MR. TATE: There is a lot that you have put out in
22	front here.
23	MR. SAMET: I didn't mean to be easy.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

the commissions and a buffer, I guess the independent

MR. TATE: On the last part you talk in terms of

24

civilian review board type of a bureaucracy that has been discussed before.

. 4 24

My presentation dealt with a different concept, and that was a concept that departments that will have multi-cultural police officers and have to service multi-cultural communities have to have an ongoing community dialogue and have to have projects in which they work together.

to be in the days when communities were small and everybody was familiar with everybody. Seemingly then police understood the people that they were dealing with, and when certain things got out of hand they knew how to handle it in a very sensitive way so that that community felt protected, but at the same time felt that the law was being enforced.

We do not have that society in a lot of places today. The difficulty now is how do you break back that department or that agency to be directly in touch with that community? Do you do it through residency requirements of a department? Do you look at the make-up of the department's personnel? Do you look at the superior officer force of the personnel?

In what ways you gain that communication directly back between that agency and that community is really the key because unless they know each other as people, yes, we

can talk about racism. Racism is alive in all aspects of our society. Prejudice is alive, and it works both ways. Prejudice works both ways.

Я

à 24

The idea is how do you break those barriers down. A civilian review board buffer, well, that would work in a limited way because the people who get on that board after about six months of listening to the complaints they are going to understand a little bit better about what the police are up against.

That is what is going to happen because when I brought in all of these people and started working with them on complaints which are racially or ethically motivated and showed them what it was the investigation had brought and what they had brought in terms of people who had independent knowledge about things, they began to judge credibility of people and versions of their stories and had a much better appreciation and understanding of the investigator process.

That is something that I don't fear, and I don't think we should really fear that, but that is not going to solve your problem. It is a political expedient measure. It is not going to do the long term change, and the change is how do you link that community with the department, with the agency, that is supposedly designed to service that community. That is direct communication.

MR. ROCKOFF: You do that through human relations

commissions, and you do that through the networking of the citizen with the police officer and let the police officer become involved with them in these organizations.

Let me tell you how networking can be done so simply now that the Governor and the Attorney General, both in the last administration and this administrator, have become so aware of the needs of the citizens to become involved more in protecting their own society.

We now have alliances, and alliances are the drug education programs that are getting their money out of the penalties that are being paid by the drug dealers to the courts.

We now have youth service commissions. Those youth service commissions are funded now by the state for the purpose of promulgating the statute that came out, the family court statute, that requires each community to have that.

We now have DARE officers working in the schools that are being funded by the police departments to put the police officer in so that he doesn't look like a persecutor, he looks like the counselor. The kids are getting an idea of what a police officer is like. They are not just seeing him at a crime scene or in the movies or being negatively portrayed by the press:

And now we have civil rights commissions that are

supposed to be formed in each one of the communities.

Frankly, that has failed. I don't know why it has failed,
but they are not being formed in each one of the

communities, or if they are they have died out or they are

just lip service.

We now form these county human relations commissions, and we require the networking of the human relations commissions with the alliances and the youth service commissions and the police and the DARE officers in order to what? To develop those civil rights commissions within the municipalities. That is the grass root. That is the commission that will do the job for you in the community.

If you have a city like Newark then you need more than one civil rights commission. You need a neighborhood civil rights commission to do that kind of work. If you can continue to get the volunteers, those people who are interested -- you can't get different groups because you are not going to get a whole group of people coming out from the youth service commission, a whole group coming out from the civil rights commission, a whole group coming out for the alliances.

You are going to have to have the same kind of people, the leaders of the various ethnic, racial and economic and religious communities coming in and networking

1	together. They will work with the police and the
2	prosecutors in order to get what you want, and that is the
3	standards and the respect, the mutual respect, the mutual
4	understanding accomplished in our society. I think it can
5	be done.

1.9

್ವು 24

We have the apparatus developing in place now, and the state is going the right direction of the leadership of the Attorney General, so things are not so bad in New Jersey. Not so bad.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: On that note, unless somebody has a burning comment, which I see they do --

CAPT. BRUNETTA: I have a burning comment. I think that the civilian review board shows a failure on the police departments. I think that if a civilian review board is necessary in a locale -- my locale -- I would take that as a failure of myself and my department.

This gentleman alluded to the fact that people were in here yesterday saying that there were certain problems -- the young child making a phone call. If these things are true and they really believe that -- even if they aren't in fact true -- it is just as bad as if it were true. If the citizens believe it, then it is just as bad as if it were true.

As for the macho police officers, I think that perhaps there are some. Is sensitivity training helping?

It probably is, but I think that even if I never had any sensitivity training I think I know what is right and how to treat people and what is wrong. I do not believe that you can put that in somebody's skull. They should have had that from way back when. If they don't have it or if they can't comprehend it then we have to get rid of them.

Like Chief Pugh said, supervision has a lot to do with it. If we have guys high up in the ranks on the police departments because they could pass a civil service test, I mean, I am not complaining about that system. I have done that, but sometimes the two don't go hand in hand. What is sensitive to the needs of your community and passing a civil service test, you know, may not coincide. I think basically that is the problem.

I guess everybody wants to go somewhere else. I think that really is the way I look at it, the basic problem. Sensitivity is fine. We've got it. It is good. It looks good on a record. If something happens you can say hey, I got 200 men that had sensitivity training. My mother would swat me across the head sometimes when I was a kid. That is your sensitivity training.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: I want to thank everybody for absolutely great presentations and for sharing with us your day to day frustrations and problems. It has given this committee, at least me, and I think I speak for everybody

1	else, a better understanding of what the problems are that
2	we have been hearing about.
3	I want to apologize for keeping you this long. It
4	obviously was bad planning to try to do this in two hours.
5	I want to thank you for persevering with us.
6	If you have any other information that you would
7	like this committee to consider, the record will remain open
8	for 30 days. We would welcome that information through the
9	mail.
10	Thank you very much.
11	(Whereupon, at 1:40 p.m., the hearing was
12	recessed, to reconvene at 2:30 p.m. this same day, Tuesday,
13	April 9, 1991.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
2 =	

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	2:30 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: We are going to start a
4	little early. Let's do the videotape. Let's do the proper
5	introduction for the record.
6	MR. DARDEN: The videotape will not be transcribed
7	for the record, so Jeffrey when you begin would you give the
8	title of the videotape and any other identifying information
9	about it?
10	MR. MAAS: Sure.
11	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: And the videotape itself is
12	part of the record because the Attorney General made it part
13	of the record yesterday.
14	MR. DARDEN: We have a copy of it for the record,
15	but the transcription of the audio from the video which
16	might normally appear in a transcript will not appear in
17	this one.
18	MR. MAAS: Madame Chair, I have a discussion guide
19	that I will be introducing for the record which will go into
20	further detail which will give you a fairly good script of
21	the film.
22	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Would you introduce yourself
23	so we have a proper record?
24	•

1	STATEMENT OF JEFFREY MAAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
2	ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF THE B'NAI B'RITH OF NEW JERSEY
3	
4	MR. MAAS: My name is Jeffrey Maas. I am the New
5	Jersey Regional Director for the Anti-Defamation League of
6	B'nai B'rith.
7	The Anti-Defamation League, the ADL, is a 77 year
8	old human relations organization. We are part of the B'nai
9	B'rith, which is the largest Jewish fraternal organization.
10	We were founded 77 years ago to stop the defamation of the
11	Jewish people and to create equal opportunity for all
12	citizens.
13	One of the things that we have become over the
14	years is the country's largest producer of human relations
15	materials for schools, for the media, for in this case law
16	enforcement.
17	We have been working with the problem of hate
18	crimes in the State of New Jersey for over 11 years with
19	many of the people who I understand have testified at your
20	Commission hearing this morning. One of the things that we
21	realized early on is that the need for training is of
22	paramount importance.
23	The Anti-Defamation League has an audit of
24	incidents of anti-semitism. New Jersey has, since we have
25	been publishing this audit, appeared in the top five states

in numbers of reported incidents. I emphasize the word reported because not every incident gets reported.

I would hazard to say that in the area of hate crimes with the kind of crime being as personal and as piercing as it is, the under-reporting is probably larger than the under-reporting of other types of crime. I think the federal statistic is something like 50 percent of all crimes actually go unreported.

Rather than get hung up in terms of the numbers, we decided to take an activist role in terms of taking a sensitivity training program to law enforcement. We had a law that Governor Byrne signed into law in 1981 making New Jersey one of the first states to have hate crime legislation.

We have an amended law which Governor Florio had re-signed last year which includes sexual orientation, also making us one of the first states in the country to cover under hate crime crimes based on sexual orientation.

We needed a tool because the first person on the scene of a hate crime is often the local law enforcement official. We were getting reports, especially nine or ten years ago. We didn't think this was important. We didn't feel it was permanent damage.

You cannot tell me that when Sabarah Sabin had her tires slashed or a cross burned down the block there was no

permanent damage. You could not tell me that when my temple got desecrated there was no permanent damage. You had a community of victims who were very real and who had real pain. All too often it was that we didn't realize that there was something serious here.

We decided to try to fill that gap with a piece, with a tool. We had standards that came by. Assistant Attorney General Jim Mulvilhill spoke to this group. He had chaired a committee of state law enforcement officials to develop bias training standards.

We wanted to develop a took that could be used in a variety of situations, ergo the film. The Anti-Defamation League raised the money for the film on its own. It was a product of mutual co-production. The State of New Jersey retains all rights to the film, which has been distributed, I understand, to every police jurisdiction in the state. I cannot talk about its use because I really don't know about that.

We also produced a discussion guide, which I will submit for the record, which has been endorsed by U.S.

Attorney General Thornburgh. My understanding is that the FBI has used and viewed the film as part of a hate crime training package that they are instituting.

To the film. 'It is 15 minutes, as you heard Ed Darden say. It is designed to be used in a variety of

formats. It can be used in the morning before the precinct			
panels before the cops get scattered on their assignments.			
It will fill a 20 minute training spot. It can be developed			
into a full-blown session up to three days or a half a day.			
It has a variety of different utilities.			

÷ 24

Because the State of New Jersey is the most densely populated state in the country and because of the variety of opportunities that the state provides for locales, meaning urban, suburban, rural, you will see that is almost no mention in the film itself of New Jersey, which is why I think over 400 police departments across the country have found the film handy.

Again, Hate Crime: A Police Training Video.

(Whereupon, the videotape was played.)

MR. MAAS: I am letting the credits run because I want you to get an idea of the number of people, communities and institutions that were involved in this production.

The film was an attempt again to provide the State of New Jersey, which has distributed this to every municipality as a tool that they could use. This was one aspect of the direction that I said that we wanted to go in — the training, providing a tool, enabling the state to in fact take national leadership.

For the record, the resources provided by the state Attorney General's office and the local towns, without

their participation this film would have never been possible, certainly not at the amount of money that we are talking about.

Flowing from the film several questions develop.

One, is there a role in hate crime for organized hate groups in our state? The answer is not really, although we do have KKK. We do have skinheads. I have for the record, Madame Chairman, reports that the ADL has distributed with sections on New Jersey which I would like to see incorporated into the record.

The question develops of where do we go from here? What do we do now as a follow-up, if you will, to the standards, to the training recommendations? I would suggest that there is something missing now within the State of New Jersey's structure to combat hate crimes. The thread that would pull all of the diverse elements together is as of this date missing.

There does not exist at the state level an investigatory unit which will bring in training, analysis and interpretation in the area of hate crimes. We have out of state police a central security bureau and intelligence division which was responsible for the arrest of ten Klansmen in December leading to indictment, which in fact broke the back of a certain Klan organization in the state.

We have a UCR reporting mechanism out of state

police which produces an annual report which is excellent in terms of monitoring. We were one of the first states to do this. Hate crime. I understand that this has been brought to the information of this commission, as well.

震場。24

However, there exists no institution that will sit down with this information and say in 1989 the town of Teaneck reported the largest number of bias incidents in the state. That is a bit of information that was just left hanging. No one is doing interpretation. No one is doing planning. I would suggest that this be a direction that the state pursue in terms of trying to deal with the problems of hate crimes.

We have operating in several counties local human relations commissions. I work with the one in Essex County. Herb Tate has done some tremendous work in terms of outreach, but what is missing is that county level to the state level.

You need that thread, if it were, to hold the whole piece together. This should be something with certain police powers with investigative powers in order to go out and assist local police departments in doing the work.

There should be training facilities built in. We have police training academies. However, there is nothing mandating bias crime training, hate crime training. There is no law. Community service/police community relations,

1	depending on the local academy, the amount of time police
2	officers get varies, but there is nothing again to weave it
3	all together.
4	My conclusion is that the State of New Jersey has
5	taken the outbreak of visible bigotry seriously and has in
6	fact shown significant national leadership in the direction
7	that it has taken. This film is again one example, but if
8	we are in fact to make further progress we have to put
9	additional resources into the battle.
10	I thank the Commission for turning its attention
11	to this problem and wish you all much success in your
12	deliberations. I thank you for being asked to participate
13	in your deliberations this afternoon.
14	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you, Mr. Maas.
15	Questions from members of the committee?
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just one question, Jeff. Do you
17	really think that given the situation in terms of the
18	lowering of discrimination was their focus from the legal
19	point of view, which is discrimination in housing and
20	employment discrimination?
21	Do you foresee this newly created human relations
22	commission given the enforcement power to do the things like
23	you were referring to?
24	MR. MAAS: I'don't know that these human relations
25	commissions should be that vehicle. I also don't know that

the state division on civil rights, which has the enforcement in the area of housing and employment discrimination, should be that vehicle.

I was thinking of something out of the division of criminal justice, out of the department of law and public safety where you have police powers because what seems to happens is that at the local level if the call for assistance goes out there is no one to do that concerted follow-up that analysis.

I have worked with some good people in the division of criminal justice over the years, and I know that there are some outstanding personnel there.

MR. SAMET: In the whole area of training, your film being one of the instruments for it, it occurs to me that one of the problems is that very often training is presumed to exist if a group of people are told that these are things that should be done or these are the attitudes they should have. For example, the film by itself indicates that there are problems and they should be attended to.

You say that what is needed in addition to that, I presume from what I saw on the table there, is that those who are participants in the training need an opportunity to express their concerns, their reservations. There needs to be guided discussion, and in sensitivity training and other areas there is a need for that kind of gut expression of

1	feelings about animosity.
2	Before that gets out does the training itself
3	really mean much?
4	MR. MAAS: You are talking about attitudes and
5	values.
6	MR. SAMET: Right.
7	MR. MAAS: I am not making any sort of assumption
8	that in a 17 minute film you are going to be able to affect
9	deep-seeded attitudes and values.
10	You are certainly right that in long term training
11	you need that two-way communication. You need the break-out
12	breaks. You need the development and the commitment of time
13	to do some long term changing.
14	What I am talking about is trying to let through
15	the vehicle of this film these cops know that if there are
16	bigots among them when they go out into the street they are
17	one color, and that is blue. We were getting disparate
18	reports of disparate treatment.
19	Certainly if we are talking about getting into
20	people's hearts and changing attitudes a 15 minute film is a
21	quick fix. But again, the idea is and why we have all this

material that you alluded to is that we need to do long term, ongoing work. Bias sensitivity training is not a one shot deal. It is something that the cops need. It is something that school teachers need.

22

23

25

&J: 24

The ADL has a program called A World of Difference
where we go in and we will work with law enforcement
institutions, educational establishments. The ADL is
trained in its Workplace of Difference program, which is a
diversity program, we have trained the Dallas Police
Department. This is 7,000 people who have gone through
sensitivity training, a proven program that has demonstrated
its impact.

We are not talking about again just a quick fix or a one shot deal, but an ongoing series of implemented programs which will address some of the things that you are talking about, these feelings.

MR. SAMET: I wasn't suggesting that police training is going to in all likelihood give enough time to really be able to change attitudes, but we are concerned about changing behavior.

I am suggesting that the showing of the film, this kind of a film, or the lecture that might be given by somebody at a sensitivity training program is not likely to do that unless the people have a chance to explore what that really means and are given the opportunity to say look, you are telling me that I should reassure these people, but they call me names. How do I handle them calling me names? There is that whole panoply of incidents.

MR. MAAS: This is why a discussion guide goes

along with the film which spells out certain key questions to ask.

要: 24

By the way, those were real victims of real hate crimes who came forward after much tracking down and speaking to and being convinced in some parts because there is a very personal nature to these types of crimes. They did not want to come forward. They were not in every case willing to re-live the experience, which is what this film called for.

The questions that are guided here are useful for a police administrator to frame that larger experience than just the 17 minutes. But again, the best of all worlds is something that we have to aspire to, and this is a workable piece that fits the bill in terms of bringing that message. The only thing that we are seeing in New Jersey is not just the swastika on the temple or a cross burned, but an attempt to try and deal with the problem.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Any other questions from committee members?

MR. KADLEC: You said several times that you have had ongoing programs that have been effective. How do you measure effectiveness?

MR. MAAS: How do you measure effectiveness?

Well, one of the things that I look for is the number of arrests. The number of arrests were up in the State of New

2	before.
3	MR. KADLEC: For hate crimes?
4	MR. MAAS: For hate crimes, yes. I think the fact
5	that Prosecutor Rockoff has a JUST unit to try and deal with
6	that kind of a situation represents a measure of
7	effectiveness, if you will. I think the fact that New
8	Jersey had been looked to by the FBI when they were
9	developing their hate crime statistic information as to how
10	we collect the information here measures a certain you
11	know, speaks well for a certain effectiveness.
12	I think the fact that the state police had looked
13	to the NAACP and the ADL and other organizations to help
14	develop an ongoing sensitivity training program for its
15	troopers speaks for the effectiveness of what we are trying
16	to do here.
17	There is no scale. You can look at the statistics
18.	and say, you know, they are up one year and down one other
19	year, but we are just at the beginning stage in terms of
20	assessing statistics. Rather we are just collecting them.
21	We are not into assessing them yet.
22	This is only the third year that the state has
23	come out with bias information, which puts us three years
24	ahead of the rest of the country.
25	MR. KADLEC: The part of the question that I would

Jersey last year over, I think, 125 percent from the year

1

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

like to touch just briefly on is not the effectiveness of
the ADL's program, but the effectiveness of training
programs for police forces.

How do you measure or what would you look to to measure the "sensitivity" -- after all, that is what we are training them to do, right, the sensitivity training -- of a police force?

MR. MAAS: Good question. I think you would look to what goes on in the community. I think you would look to see whether or not the community has open precincts, say, for kids to come in.

I think you would look to see what happens in an actual crisis situation. Is the local chief of police sensitive to the cross that had been put on someone's lawn sensitive enough to call the NAA in, the call the ADL in?

Is the process of examination ongoing? Are there continually built into the local jurisdiction opportunities for the kind of in-depth training that Mr. Samet was calling for and was referring to?

I think that that is some measure of what goes on by getting down on the ground, on the street, and talking and seeing and touching and seeing if the police are part of the problem or part of the solution.

MR. BALCH: This morning Al Rockoff, in talking about crimes and incidents of this nature, mentioned a

connection that he thought existed between a certain type of juvenile who is out to commit these crimes and a certain aspect of popular culture which he identified according to a variety of factors, one of which was listening to heavy metal music of a certain cult, sort of the skinhead sub-culture connected to a type of rock.

Do you see this connection as something that is new and causative; that is to say it is actually an aspect of the youth sub-culture that has developed within relatively recent times which is impelling people to commit hate crimes that they might not otherwise have gotten involved with?

Or, are these kids just troublemakers of a sort that have been with us for a long time who happen to gravitate toward these cultural styles and would do the same things under other circumstances? Are we talking about a phenomena where a popular culture is influencing the way in which people behave?

MR. MAAS: I believe the latter. I believe that we are seeing a popular culture, because it is popular, it almost gives an imprimatur. If you listen to some of the songs of some of these groups -- Guns N' Roses, Megadeath. I mean, unbelievable -- anti-black, anti-Semitic anti-woman. It almost gives a signal to the kids that this kind of attitude is legitimate, and then the next thing

Ĺ	leads	to	the	acting	out.
---	-------	----	-----	--------	------

I am not going to say that every person who ever listened to a Grateful Dead record is a heavy metal fanatic and is going to get into satanism and whatnot, but I think the fact that we have seen an increase in the junk level, if you will, of popular culture with anti-Semitic black rap groups and anti-white racist skinhead groups, yes, it definitely creates an atmosphere which makes it possible to put a swastika on someone's home.

As a matter of fact, one of the things cited in the Anti-Defamation League's annual audit contributing, if you will, to the increase in incidents of anti-Semitic vandalism is this junk culture.

MR. BALCH: Forgive my ignorance of these things, but these are widely heard groups? They are on the radio?

MR. MAAS: Let's put it this way. There is not a mall that you can walk into in the state and not buy the records on the labels I have just run off.

These groups that have horrible, horrible languages. One of the investigators out of Prosecutor Rockoff's office has a blow-up of some of the lyrics. This is just unbelievable, and this stuff is readily available and is part of the youth culture.

MR. BALCH: Is it played on the radio?

MR. SCRUPSKI: Is it played over the media?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

MR. MAAS: It is played on some media. It is played on Saturday nights on more esoteric little low powered stations who get into heavy metal hours. It is played. If not played it is acted out in the clubs, in city gardens here in Trenton and places down by the shore.

1 kg 24

MR. SCRUPSKI: It seems to me rather than precise distinctions between various kinds of music I see a kind of glissando. It seems to me one moves into the other. Are they all that distinct?

When I hear popular music today I hear a violent's sound. Maybe heavy metal is more violent than others, but much of what I hear and much of what I see on MTV are angry visages and angry people. I do not know that they are really angry, but they look angry.

It seems to me that the distinction between what is called heavy metal and the rest of what I see is not that great. Did you want to comment on that?

MR. MAAS: I wish I had some of the lyrics for you because some of the lyrics are just inhuman. They talk about ripping women apart. They talk about gay bashing and blood running. I understand what you are saying. To the uninitiated who flips past MTV I want to keep flipping past.

I can't really argue the point because I am not that fine-tuned into the distinctions, but I know that there are distinctions. I know that there are things that MTV,

1	for, instance will not play. There are videos that they
2	have viewed as too violent or too sexist or too racist to
3	play.
4	MR. BALCH: Do these things come up under major
5	labels?
6	MR. MAAS: For instance, some of the rock groups
7	have come out under major recording labels.
8	MR. BALCH: Are representations made by groups
9	like yours?
10,	MR. MAAS: Yes.
11	MR. BALCH: What is the response of the companies?
12	MR. MAAS: The response is people are free to buy
13	it. People are free not to buy it. We don't advocate
14	censorship. Then we say neither do we, we advocate
15	responsibility. The argument goes back and forth.
16	MR. BALCH: Are there any major offenders in terms
17	of large companies?
18	MR. MAAS: I really couldn't talk to that.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: From the Jewish experience alone,
20	without getting into other groups, have you seen an increase
21	of this sort of hate perpetrated by let's say other
22	minorities toward Jews, or has it remained the typical
23	Anglo-Saxon?
24	MR. MAAS: That is a good question. To the best
25	of my knowledge there has never been an arrest in the State

1	of New Jersey, and I am talking about what I know, of a
2	minority black, Hispanic, Asian American for an
3	incidence of anti-Semitic vandalism or harassment. There
4	has never been.
5	Generally I answer that question in the context of
6	black/Jewish relations, but no, to the best of my knowledge
7	there has never been an arrest of a minority in terms of
8	incidents of anti-Semitic vandalism or harassment.
9	MR. ALUM: But that is not the question. Just the
10	fact that there have not been any arrests doesn't mean an
11	incident has not taken place.
12	MR. MAAS: Correct.
13	MR. ALUM: I think the spirit of Armando's
14	question is more of your knowledge rather than of the
15	legality aspect.
16	MR. MAAS: On a personal level, no, I am not aware
17	of any.
18	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Mr. Samet?
19	MR. SAMET: In the past two days we have heard
20	representatives of the Latin community, the Hispanic
21	community, the Asian American community indicate that
22	representatives of the government in the form of police
23	officers have treated people from their communities in ways
24	that are objectionable. There has been verbal abuse. There

has been physical abuse.

	1	The question to you is have you examined or do you
	2	have reason to believe that there are evidences of the same
	3	kind of treatment toward religious groups Jewish,
	4	Catholic, others?
	5	MR. MAAS: Again, I have no firsthand information
	6	on that. Over the years I have been working with the NAACP
	7	through Harding Dunlop, the director of their urban programs
	8	office, in trying to document police over-reaction or police
	9	brutality, if you will.
	10	The question to me was do we have records of
	11	incidents reported to us, and the answer is very
	12	infrequently. I honestly cannot say that has been a
	13	priority issue for my office.
	14	MR. SAMET: Is there any evidence that with the
	15	current influx of Jews from Russia that they may be treated
	16	in a manner that we are told some of the other recent
	1.7	immigrants have been treated; that is, if you don't like it
	18	here go back to where you came from that kind or form of
	19 .	a verbal abuse?
	20	MR. MAAS: This is delivered at the hands of law
	21	enforcement officials? Again, I haven't had any reports of
	22	that.
	23	I have had reports in Essex County where a large
Sec.	24	number of Soviet Jews are living in the Ivy Hills of Newark

of conflict -- cars getting ripped off and kids getting

1	jumped for coats which unfortunately is epidemic in our
2	society. I don't believe it has to do with a person being a
3	Soviet immigrant or a Jew as much as just being there and
4	having that property and being an available target.
5	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Any other questions from the
6	committee?
7	Thank you very much, Mr. Maas. Do not leave us
8	because there may be other questions that become relevant.
9	Our other panelist member is Ms. Sue Pei Yang, if
10	I have pronounced it correctly.
11	MS. YANG: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Who is the president of the
13	Asian American Lawyers Association.
14	į.
15	
16	
17	
1,8	
19	•
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•

1	STATEMENT OF SUE PEI YANG, PRESIDENT, ASIAN AMERICAN LAWYERS
2	ASSOCIATION
3	
4	MS. YANG: Thank you, Ms. Farber. I would like to
5	thank Ed Darden of the U.S Commission on Civil Rights for
6	this opportunity to speak before you. I guess I represent a
7	newly recognized component of the ever-changing mosaic of
8	New Jersey.
9	As you know, Asian Americans are the fastest
10	growing minority group in America, as well as in New Jersey.
11	Based on the 1990 census, over the last ten years we grew by
12	162 percent in New Jersey and account for 46 percent of the
13	total population increase in New Jersey.
14	MR. SAMET: May I interrupt a moment? When you
15	speak about we, when you speak about Asian, whom do you
16	incorporate into that?
17	MS. YANG: Asian Americans include Americans whose
18	heritage are from Southeast Asia, including Chinese,
19	Japanese, Vietnamese, Korean, Filipinos and Indians from
20	Asia.
21	MR. SAMET: Thank you.
22	MS. YANG: And Mongolians. We have a sizeable
23	Mongolian population in New Jersey also.
24	MR. BALCH: Would that include Arabs or Kurds or
25	people like that?

MS. YANG: Right. As our numbers increase our experiences with the greater community will also become more divergent. Today I come not to police bash, but to find out the police community perspective from other groups, as well as to brainstorm about how we can all contribute to foster a better relationship between the various entities of the community and the law enforcement segment of our society.

I am the founder and past presiding officer of the Pacific Asian Coalition also, and this is a group similar to the Anti-Defamation League in that we are concerned about Asian American welfare.

It was at least 15 years ago that I first met Jeff
Maas because we had a problem in the Asian American
community with regard to negative stereotyping of books in
the school systems as it relates to Asian American children.
It just happened to be that the only story that the children
were reading about Chinese. The pictures were derogatory.

We didn't quite know how to deal with it.

Eventually we did approach Jeff Maas to get some idea of how the Anti-Defamation League would approach that. He advised us to go to the state education department and so on and so forth. We are sort of learning how to deal with issues of concern to us.

First and foremost, we Asian Americans are keenly aware of the fact that we are physically identifiable from

the majority living in this country, and all too often we are perceived as foreigners and treated as such, even though we may have been here three or four generations.

I have lived in New Jersey for 20 years, and I have been at the cutting edge of many Asian American developments over this period of time. I can honestly say that with regard to police very few Asian Americans have had interactions with the police force.

I think New Jersey is unique in that most Asian

Americans in New Jersey are of middle class background. Our

problems for the most part center around restricted

opportunities in the employment arena.

A major concern is the revolving door policy that Asian Americans face. We would like to know why Asian Americans are often the last to be hired and first to be fired and other specifics such as apparent quotas limiting us from certain desirable positions and the apparent glass ceiling for upper mobility in the employment institutions.

While these are not topics that are under discussion today, we hope that at a future date this committee will address these issues.

While we have not had that much interaction with the police, we at the same time want to dispel the notion that we are somehow the model minority. Too often we see that as pitting one minority against another. We do have problems, and they do need to be addressed.

While we have had very few incidents with regard to police brutality, there have been isolated incidents over a period of time. I understand Mr. Mono Sen from Jersey City had testified yesterday. I don't know the specifics beyond what I read in the newspaper, although I recommended that he testify before you because of the recent Jersey City incidents.

Some incidents that I have heard of have related to I guess, as I said before, the Asian Americans being easily identifiable as being different. For instance, two young Asian Americans in Patterson were just sitting in a car and police approached them and thought they were illegal aliens and roughed them up. That is a concern that some of us have that we are still perceived as not being real Americans.

In terms of fostering better police/community relationships, I think first and foremost that the police forces should probably gradually reflect a diversity in the greater community.

For instance, I don't know of a single Asian

American policeman in New Jersey. I have seen Asian

American policemen in California, in Florida. I think Asian

American children in New Jersey have to feel that yes, if

they want to be police officers or be part of the law

enforcement segment of society that they should have that opportunity.

This is true not only for police, which is what we are talking about today, but I think for a lot of other segments in society. For instance, as my children were growing up they never saw an Asian American teacher, so they thought that that was beyond their reach. I think as we assimilate into the society the society should open up greater opportunities to all Americans.

As the Chief Justice of the New Jersey Supreme
Court has said, the demographics of New Jersey is fastly
changing. Right now the minority population is like 21
percent of New Jersey. At some point down the line in many
states the minorities will become majorities. As this
happens we need to be able to feel that we have the
opportunity to participate and contribute to all facets of
this society.

Some concerns that we have been approached by like law enforcement officers, the Bergen County Prosecutor's Office has contacted me. I did speak before them last year with Attorney General Perretti and Jeff Maas and a group of us before the police officers in Bergen County to discuss community relationships.

At this point they are now asking that I help them reach out to the Asian American community there because they

feel that there needs to be more community outreach to Asian American groups to explain to them their rights and their responsibilities as it relates to law enforcement.

3.

This is a good first step because many new immigrants do not know the expectations and norms of this society. The investigators said to me I think perhaps some of the reason is immigrants don't know that child abuse is punishable under law and that perhaps there are social service programs that they could be guided towards if they knew about it. I think it is just a matter of developing a greater understanding.

We see a need for developing these relationships and liaisons between the law enforcement segment of society and the targeted ethnic communities. Tim Lee, who is a civil rights expert, and I are in the process of forming a consulting firm to deal with some of these issues to see how we can establish sensitivity training for police officers and be a liaison between the communities and the police force.

This more or less concludes my talk from the Asian American perspective. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Thank you. Questions from the panel?

MR. SAMET: Have you found the very fact of a significant increase in the population size of Asian

1	Americans has created animosities in any of the communities
2	in which you have worked, animosities among government
3	officials in particular?
4	MS. YANG: Yes. Even in upper middle class
5	neighborhoods we felt that we were the target for certain
6	decisions made by those policy makers.
7	For instance, the community where I used to live,
8	as the Asian American community grew in the town we began to
9	use the community centers more and more. It wasn't that we
10	were competing with other groups to use the center because
11	this particular community had three different centers, and
12	we were the only ones using it on weekends because we had
13	family activities.
14	We did not drink, so liquor was not an issue. We
15	were the only groups using it, but we were using it on a
1.6	regular basis. Before we knew it the rental of the center
17	went up 1000 percent.
18	We were told from certain individuals within the
19	community hierarchy that that was specifically targeted
20	against us, although it was denied.
21	Yes, we have concerns, and we do see areas where
22	we need to foster better relationships with those in power.
23	We are newcomers, and sometimes our needs are different from

For instance, many, many communities now have

the needs of those who are more established than we are.

.... 24

Chinese schools. Some communities have been more receptive to allow these parents to organize Chinese schools and use the school facility or community center at a modest fee. In certain other communities there seems to be resentment in that the rates are becoming exorbitant. They are pricing these local Chinese schools out of their community.

1.9

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sue, much, much has been said in the last two days in regards to alleged police brutality and police abuse and so on. Yet at the risk of stereotyping, I am going to make an assumption because from what I heard, and perhaps it goes to the Jewish community also, Jeff, there is not much complaint with regard to police abuse toward these groups.

My question then is is this a cultural trait or sort of a kind of sense in which you people, and I am not meaning you on the other side, see these things different -- see the police different?

Just to give you an example of what I am saying, and maybe I am confusing you more, but for instance, I think it was an example like from the picture I think it was. I remember when I was growing up in Puerto Rico my first grade teacher was married to a cop. He came, and introducing her husband to us was like if you don't behave he is going to put you in jail and so on.

At the same time, we began to see that officer, at

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1	least in my own case, as a friend because he would come not
2	necessarily to talk to us and not necessarily to take us to
3	jail. He was coming to pick his wife up. We saw the
4	uniform, and we developed I guess some kind of relationship
5	We were told, if not literally at least psychologically,
6	that this is our friend.

Sometimes I find myself looking at cops like in the situation that was showed to us, and I just cannot imagine a human being doing what I saw in that particular case.

Is it part of our culture, if I may throw myself in there, that we look at this different? Is it something that comes from the family, from the culture? Is it learned here? Is it a personal thing that you have that you were born with? Do I detect that?

MS. YANG: I think that is a good question because I have thought of that, too. First of all, as you know, the Chinese are taught for thousands of generations to respect authority. This is part of the Confucianism. You respect the police. You respect your teacher. You respect the principal. You obey your father and mother. That has been ingrained in us.

I think when you say culturally I would say yes, culturally we are. Respect for those in positions of authority is fully ingrained in us, but whether we have

negative elements in society, I would say yes, just as any other ethnic groups. You hear about the China Town gangs and the Vietnam gangs in California and so forth.

I wouldn't say it is solely because of our cultural upbringing that we have very little dealings with the police. I think it is just the type of Asian American that has immigrated to America. Most Asian Americans in New Jersey are college educated. They were the cream of the crop from their own countries, and they came here looking for better opportunities for themselves and for their children. Basically in New Jersey I think you are just talking about a very unique niche with regard to that.

MR. MAAS: You know, when you go back a couple of generations, Armando, it was the local authorities who conducted the pograns in Russia and Poland against the Jewish community.

You have a change of venue. You have a change of society, and it is to the law enforcement officials that the Jewish community came early on in the late 1970s when we noted a problem of increasing swastika dabbings and cross burnings.

I think that from what was the traditional relationship of the Jewish community to law enforcement, we have turned it on its head in this country, which does not mean that there may not be problems out there, but it may

1	mean that the Jewish community views its relationship to law
2	enforcement somewhat differently.
3	No doubt when I drive along the highway I fit a
Ą	different profile than my friend
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Pei Yang.
6	MR. MAAS: Sue Pei Yang or Sabarah Sabin, for
7	instance. That, of course, presents a different reality.
8	I also grew up with my parents teaching me to say
9	good morning, officer; good afternoon, officer. Every
10	policeman wherever we saw, this was the way I was brought
11	up.
12	I don't think today that resident in the central
13	ward of Newark is going to, because of again the culture
14	that they are raised in, have that same approach to that cop
15	no matter if that cop is black, white, Hispanic of whatever.
16	Again, that is the difference in culture today.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I keep bringing this back because
18	I am very concerned from what I hear from community
19	speakers. It was hammered over and over yesterday morning
20	that there are two theories of explaining what is wrong with
21	police and their aggressive behavior with regard to
22	minorities.
23	The two theories, at least the hypotheses that
24	were presented, from what I understand, was that either
25	because the cop takes the macho man image, one, and from Mr.

Jones from the NAACP, he said that these actions by police officers are racially motivated. In asking this morning the prosecutors and the chiefs of police, they agree that it is both of them.

Everybody agrees that there has to be more sensitivity and, therefore, there has to be training. My question is training where? Is it training with regards to their procedures so that cops know or at least learn -- I am calling them cops -- so the police officers become aware of the procedures that they have to follow so therefore they respect my rights as a Puerto Rican or yours as a Jew or Asian American or whatever or black?

Or, is it that we need training telling them like

Sue says or like I said -- I have different traits, a

different culture, different religious beliefs and so on. I

don't know which one of the trainings we need.

MR. MAAS: I think we need both. I think the cops have to know that if they are talking in a Pakistani interviewing a possible victim of a hate crime they are not going to get eye contact. It is not that the guy is dodging their eyes. There is a different cultural overlay with which different ethnic groups relate to authority. They are not keeping information. It is just that the eye contact is not there.

By the same token, they may be dealing with a

black l	kid who has been hassled by cops for standing on the
street	corner for no other reason than he is standing on the
street	corner. By that time the cop is playing out a macho
role.	The cop may not be much older than the kid on the
street	corner.

This may be two years ago a high school senior which is playing out all kinds of authority, so I think a combination of the both has to be instilled in what we call training.

That is a euphemism because training indicates a stopping and a starting. Training is ongoing, and it requires constant examination of where you are, where you are up to, what feelings are inside.

I did a session in the town of Denville a couple of years back, and there was one trooper there, a state trooper, a black officer, who said I don't like to get sent out every time there is a call to a black neighborhood. Why am I the one who has to go answer it? Why is that the expectation?

Until that moment I never quite thought hey, this happens. I never realized that this was a real situation that this cop has to sit in. He has been stereotyped, and he has been pushed into it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But at the same time, at least from my concern, I think it is very important that we begin

to look into this three percent of people referred to as the
hot potatoes in the police department, these racially
motivated people who are doing these things.

5.0

I think that we had better be prepared to take the bull by the horns and began to extricate these people from the system. They just simply do not belong there. There has to be some procedures developed by police administrators to weed these people out and forget about sensitivity training and so on.

MR. MAAS: You have bigots. You have racists. You have people who are hatists. They have no place being in the police if their behavior is acted out, and remember, that is what I said before. You can hate, but once you go out of that room you sit on it.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Ms. Sabin?

MS. SABIN: Yes. I would like to go back to the Asian American community for a minute. Something that you said interested me very much, the fact that in your culture and in your religion respect is taught.

I guess my question to you is from the people that you have talked to in your community do you see that respect from the police in your everyday activities, the respect that you give automatically because that is what has been taught to you and you believe in that?

In your everyday dealings with the law enforcement

have people of your community seen that kind of respect come
back to you just as a matter of course because they are
policemen and you are members of the community?

MS. YANG: Most of us live in the suburbs, and we just don't really see police. I mean, it is not like you see a policeman on the street corner. I think the only time we might see police is if there was some sort of vandalism in the house, you know, and you call the police.

I guess there is that respect given. There is, I think, a distinct feeling of distance between the police and the Asian American community. We just don't really know each other. As a minority group I think we are just very, very cautious that we don't do anything that might appear to be illegal or that might bring down the wrath of an institution that we know nothing about and really have no control over.

It is kind of a dual thing. Yes, I think you are correct to say that since we show respect to the police they in turn reciprocate that respect, but I think it is also that feeling -- it is probably unspoken -- just that they are them. We don't know anything about them, and they don't know anything about us. There is just that distance.

MS. SABIN: Do you see that as a problem perhaps down the line when your numbers continually increase? As your numbers get bigger there has to be more interaction of

some kind because police brutality, in my opinion, is in the eye of the beholder.

If you are out there as a private citizen, and we talked about rudeness before with the group that we had this morning, if a policeman is rude to you or it goes beyond that because rude to some people may not be rude to some other people -- I guess what I am trying to elicit from you is perhaps a future problem, if you will.

MS. YANG: Certainly as the Asian American community diversifies and we are no longer just in this one niche called the professional niche we are going to reflect the rest of society. I can foresee problems.

MS. SABIN: I am sure, for instance, people from Vietnam, people who were boat people who have come here, are not all middle class right now.

MS. YANG: Right.

MS. SABIN: Perhaps they will move into that at some time, but it would be interesting I think to us as a committee to hear if they are having the same problems that you are having or different problems which would then denote something different in your entire community because Asian American is a broad category.

MS. YANG: We are a real mixed bag. We might be called Asian Americans, but we come from different cultures and different socio-economic levels and so forth. I do

1	foresee problems coming up down the road, and I think
2	perhaps this is the time to develop a greater understanding
3	and nip some of this in the bud.
4	MS. SABIN: That is my point. Thank you.
5	MS. YANG: I think Tim Lee had some comments to
6	make, if he may.
7	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: I have one comment to respond
8	to, and we will invite you, but Dr. Weisburd has arrived,
9	and he is a member of a panel. You can come up and sit and
10	join the panel.
11	My observation is this. We heard a lot from the
12	law enforcement community presented in the panels that have
13	appeared before us that one of the problems they encountered
14	when a situation or a crisis arises is that there is little

There is what I will editorially describe as a scrambling after every such incident, usually a serious incident such as a shooting, to reach out from law enforcement to the community to create networks and lines of communication to calm down the situation and deal with it at a different level.

communication between the law enforcement community and the

community that they are supposed to be serving.

I see the description of your community as you have presented it as the problem that law enforcement has described to us, which is if there were an incident tomorrow

who is it that they reach out to to explain it to the community and to establish those lines of communication because they are not here now.

Perhaps the problem is one of establishing those lines of communication. That is just a comment.

MS. YANG: Right. I think, for instance, that is what the Bergen County Prosecutor's Office is attempting to do is to identify some Asian American leaders and develop these communication lines.

MR. BALCH: Sue, in reference to the subject that we were addressing when Jeffrey was speaking with respect to those bias related crimes and incidents of harassment directed against various Asian American groups, how responsive have the law enforcement authorities been and how satisfied would you be with their degree of the kind of sensitivity in addressing these issues pertaining to those groups you represent?

MS. YANG: Well, I think Mono Sen probably addressed that yesterday with regard to the Indian community in Jersey City. For the most part the bias incidents against Asian Americans have not risen to the level of police involvement.

You know, the children in school are forever being taunted. When my children were growing up here in a very affluent community in New Jersey kids were, you know,

1	talking about slanted eyes and all these little rhymes that
2	they had. They sort of learned to live and bear with it.
3	That is the level of hate crime when you talk about hate
4	crime.
5	MR. BALCH: There is not much in the way of
6	vandalism or physical attacks or threats or stuff of that
7	sort?
8	MS. YANG: See, we don't really have a center.
9	There are very few centers that are owned by Asian
10	Americans. We have that goal, you know. For instance, the
11	Chinese American Cultural Association in Middlesex County
12	has been fund-raising for years and years in hopes of
13	establishing a center. The Filipinos are in the process now
14	of buying a small house in New Brunswick as their center.
15	MR. BALCH: I know in New York, of course, local
16	businesses have sometimes been targeted for those kinds of
17	assaults. That has not happened in Jersey?
18	MS. YANG: Well, with regard to the Korean
19	merchants in Newark and so forth. I can't really say
20	because their problems haven't been brought to my attention,
21	so I really don't know.
22	In terms of suburban Asian Americans, I have not
23	heard of such incidents. For the most part, as Ms. Sabin
24	said, we are so small, and we try to be quiet. You are sort

of on the fringe of society, and you just try not to provoke

1	problems.	As	our	numbers	increase,	you	know,	incidents	may
2	happen.								

MS. SABIN: My whole concern is that you shouldn't have to feel like I want to be quiet and I don't want to be a part of this. You are a part of this, and you are entitled to be a part of this.

The notion disturbs me, the notion that you feel that if we are quiet they won't notice me here and everything will be all right. That is just not a feeling, I think, most of us should have, although many of us have felt that way.

MS. YANG: I think as we understand the American culture more and also as the next generation grows up they will want to assert themselves, and they will seek equality more than their parents did.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: If I may, I would like to introduce Dr. David Weisburd, who has been sitting patiently. He is the director of the Center for Crime Prevention Studies of the School of Criminal Justice at Rutgers University.

He is also a specialist in law enforcement practices and an author in that topic. His perspective represents the academic research pertaining to the topic which is before this committee, and the general comments that he will make today will be supplemented following the

1	presentation for the record.
2	I would also like Sue Yang to please introduce the
3	gentleman sitting to her right.
4	MS. YANG: This is Tim Lee, who is an affirmative
5	action officer and civil rights expert.
6	
7	•
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	· ·

STATEMENT OF TIM LEE

MR. LEE: I think that my purpose is primarily to reflect the multi-diversity of cultural concerns. Certainly the Asian community and the black community have a common denominator, common economic growth and a common experience here in the United States.

I think that there is perhaps in response to your question a very fine line distinction between the suburban Asian and the urban Asian, and certainly the problems of the urban Asian is more reflective of that of the urban black with regard to police misconduct, as well as police conduct.

I think that the gentlemen from the B'nai B'rith I believe spoke of training as an option. I think that the problem is certainly multi-faceted where we have somewhat of a separate and very distinctly unequal kind of policing community. White suburban communities perhaps for the most part don't have the rather pervasive nature of reflected bias, as opposed to urban and predominantly minority communities.

I think one of the key ingredients is perhaps a notion of multi-faceted training, a concept of community policing, if you will, something of removing the technical cop, removing the technical cop from the squad car and perhaps putting him back to the beat so he is in tune with

1	the community.
2	I think we have gone too much probably in the
3	training of the Fourth Amendment and have left out certain
4	elements in training with regard to sociology and psychology
5	so that the cop understands the socio-economic relations and
6	realities, as well as the cultural distinctions of a given
7	patrol that he is assigned to.
8	Bias certainly is very much alive and prevalent in
9	the United States, as well as in America. Certainly we
10	can't expect a police department not to reflect that kind of
11	attitude. It is there.
12	I think we have to unravel it in a very systematic
13	and a multi-faceted long term, short term approach to get
14	rid of it, to remove it. Include training, re-orientation.
15	Include accountability from top to bottom, crosswise, all
16	the way across. From the Attorney General down to the first
17	foot patrol accountability is a key ingredient. Then put in
18	some very rigid kinds of standards for again community
19	policing.
20	That is the relationship between Sue and myself.
21	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Mr. Samet, you had a
22	question, and then we will hear from Dr. Weisburd.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Tim Lee.

yourself a little bit more?

MR. LEE:

23

25

... 24

MR. SAMET: I have two. Could you please identify

1	MR. SAMET: And you are an affirmative action
2	officer?
3	MR. LEE: I am an affirmative action specialist in
4	the Civil Rights Act department.
5	MR. SAMET: As a private?
6	MR. LEE: As a private. Sue and I have a working
7	venture.
8	MR. SAMET: Earlier you made reference to the fact
9	that there are few, if any, Asian Americans on the police
10	forces of the state. To your knowledge, has any recruitment
11	effort been made by any of these police groups to bring
12	Asian Americans? Have there been recruitment efforts in
13	other minority communities?
14	MS. YANG: I am not aware. I know of some
15	Filipino guards, you know, for instance at Rutgers Law
16	School who would like to become a policeman in a community
17	but as yet they have not had that opportunity.
18	MR. SAMET: Have not had the opportunity or they
19	have not taken advantage of it?
20	MS. YANG: They haven't.
21	MR. SAMET: Which?
22	MS. YANG: Pardon?
23	MR. SAMET: They have not had the opportunity, or
24	they have not taken advantage of the opportunity?
25	MS YANG: Well they haven't had the opportunity

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1	to be selected as a police officer.
2	MR. SAMET: Are you implying that they have been
3	rejected because they are Asian Americans?
4	MS. YANG: I am not sure because they are Asian
5	Americans or for whatever reason, but what I am saying is
6	there has been interest in the Asian American community.
7	Whether there has been recruiting efforts by the police
8	department, I am not aware.
9	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Dr. Weisburd?
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	· ·

STATEMENT OF D	R. DAVID WEIS	SBURD, DIR	ECTOR, CEN	TER FOR CRIME
PREVENTION STU	DIES OF THE S	SCHOOL OF	CRIMINAL J	USTICE,
RUTGERS UNIVER	SITY			

DR. WEISBURD: Let me say that I do not really have prepared remarks. I said that I would respond to queries related to the empirical evidence, if any, on some of the questions you have raised.

Let me just make two comments at the outset, and both of the relate to what I think is the complexity of the issues you are dealing with and the fact that the answers are not as simple as they sometimes seem.

I think there is a strong sense, especially in the minority community when you take surveys, for example, of a tremendous degree of disparity in the operation of the criminal justice system. The empirical evidence when you actually look at the operations of the criminal justice system are quite mixed.

In fact, in regard to policing most of the major studies show that there is not very much of a race bias once you take into account demeanor, for example. These vary from place to place. You will find studies that find disparity on the basis, for example, of race or other ethnic traits, but most of the major studies done in larger cities don't find very much when you look at the whole statistical

1 profile.

震。24

That is not to say that there are not tremendous problems out there. I guess what I am saying in part is that the empirical evidence is as yet not in. Indeed, there is a lot of time spent I think on trying to solve problems that we are not really certain what they are about yet.

The approach is a little like not trying to figure out what exactly the disease is and then developing cures along the way. That gets a lot of politics I think going. It sounds good quite often, but it often doesn't really help the people that it is really meant to help, of which we should be doing something for them.

Let me just say to give you a sense of how complex the issues are really let me just tell you of an experience of mine from an evaluation of the pilot program in community policing in New York City, which is one of the largest community policing programs in the country now. I bring it up because of the gentleman next to me because of his sense that community policing provides a sort of answer to some of our problems.

It sounds that way to a great degree because community policing, what it really is about is going to the community to get a sense of what law enforcement should be all about. It is looking to the communities' morals and their values, their sense of what is important, and then

defining and policing in those terms to bring the police and the community closer, but not in a sense only let's say of community relations in the 1960s, but in a sense of solving problems.

24 24

Community policing developed primarily as an urban strategy. If you think a little carefully about that you can see some of the complexities that derived from it. For example, in some communities the morales and values, what they want the police to do might be to keep certain types of people out.

I remember being with a police officer, and we were at someone's house. They were talking about, and this was of the same ethnic identity case, but they were talking about a local 18 or 19 year old kid who was selling drugs at the school. They were very, very upset.

They were talking to the police officer, and the police officer said well, I will try to observe that. Maybe I can catch him. He said it is very hard to do that. It is very hard to carry through. The person turned around and said why can't you just beat him up? He said I am sorry, but I can't do that.

One thing to remember is that when we create some of these programs while they achieve one part of what they want they often have other consequences we may not want so much.

Some of it may be communities where there is a
change and whites are feeling threatened by non-whites
coming in and want the police to operate on that if there is
some black kid in their neighborhood in the street. The
others may be that it is not race bias, but it may have to
do with the 16 to 20 year olds, who are a group that
particularly have a lot of contact with the police.

I think this is just a short example, but it raises the issue of how complicated it is to identify these sort of questions.

Let me just raise one other issue. We have a problem when we talk about treatment of minorities in the criminal justice system, especially in a place like New Jersey. In social science we call it a sample selection problem.

By that I mean once you start getting into the criminal justice system you have a very, very large group of minority people in the system. When you examine what happens to those people it might be that that large group of minority people and a small group of non-minority people are treated quite similarly.

But, of course, one of the questions you want to ask is why does the system have so many minority people in it. One of the common answers might be that in the poorer communities, which are more likely to be minority, there is

more offending, etc.

赛海 24

But, there are other possible answers, and as I said, the empirical evidence is not in, unfortunately, because these sorts of questions have not been asked as much as of late.

In a study done, for example, in Bronx by the Hispanic Research Center, of which I was a consultant on, they found that the rates of offending according to self-report surveys by teenage Hispanics was actually not very different or lower than a similar study done by Delbert Elliott in Colorado of a national youth, a sample of the entire nation. But, the arrest rates for the Hispanics was much, much higher.

That just raises the concept I am bringing up about the selection bias. It is very hard to deal with these things. Once you get in the system everything may be okay, but it may be a question of who gets in the system and who does not.

Finally in that regard --

MR. BALCH: Are you suggesting that for the same type of offenses Hispanics were arrested at a much higher rate than the non-Hispanics?

DR. WEISBURD: We don't know that particularly.

We know that if you look at what the teenagers are telling

you about what they have been doing that they are not doing

1	much	differ	rent	from	what	the	natio	onal	youth	survey	kids	were
2	doing	, but	thei	r arı	rest	rates	are	much	highe	er.		

_{2.76} 24

Now, that may be in part because New York City happens to have a fairly large police department and they focused on the certain areas and they may use arrest and the criminal justice more than in some other places.

MR. BALCH: The control being a national sample of people all over the country, not some rural country up in the mountains?

DR. WEISBURD: That's right. It included the sample from the entire country. They weren't done as a control case. One was one study, and one was a second study. I was just comparing them to give you a sense of that.

MR. BALCH: Well, if that were true that would suggest that since it is a serious matter no matter where you are to be caught up in the coils of law enforcement, that that would suggest a very serious problem.

DR. WEISBURD: Where you are in the country probably has a large impact. Is it because these kids are Hispanics that that is happening, or is it because they are in New York City? That you cannot tell from this particular study.

I guess what I am saying is the issues are complex, and I am raising them in that regard. I think

there is something else to think about there that it is the case that poorer people -- let me just note something I heard earlier. Jews and other ethnic groups that we now do not think about as having a lot of contact with the police historically have had quite a bit of contact with the police.

In a famous study done in Chicago by Thrasher, a professor at the University of Chicago in the early 1900s, he did a study of hundreds of gangs in Chicago. Many of those gangs were Jews, Poles, lots of white ethnic groups that don't have contact. They were doing all sorts of terrible things to people. My grandfather, who it turns out was in one of these gangs, said they once dropped a cop down a sewer.

These things happen to different minority groups at different times. When Jews were in New York City, a large proportion of the poorer community, they also were a fairly large proportion of the arrestee population. I think Jews at that time and historically had a lot of negative feelings towards the police. This is my sense.

Right now it is the case that police departments have become even more social service oriented. In the poor communities they don't have the money to afford all sorts of other services, and the police fill the gap.

People in minority communities where many of them

are poor, therefore, tend to use the police a lot more
often. They have a lot more contact with the police. They
use the police to solve a lot more problems that would be
solved informally in other cases. That may also lead to
much more contact and more arrests, for example, and other
situations that might occur.

Sir ii

MR. KADLEC: For example, what kinds of problems do they solve?

DR. WEISBURD: Domestic problems, for example. One of the biggest case loads for the police is domestic disturbances. Domestic disturbances are quite a problem actually. There are many cities now that have mandatory arrest policies, for example. That creates large arrest populations out of that.

In many depressed inner city areas the police are there are the time. They are called in for all sorts of activities and for all sorts of disputes that in suburbia they would want to keep the police away here. Here the police end up a part of it in terms of dealing with their children, in terms of protecting the children from people on the street, so the police play a much more intimate role in these communities than they do in others. They use them more often.

By the way, when you do surveys in the minority communities -- for example, I did one in New York in the

Hispanic areas -- it is not that they don't have concerns about the police. Most people like the police. Most people have positive feelings for the police. They don't hate them.

3.

> 4. 24

If you ask an 18 year old kid -- the cops always say that up to age 12 or 13 the kids love the police and the police love them. Then the teenage years come, and it is a terrible situation. Of course, anybody who is a person that sees their kid go into that also has some similar sets of problems.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: That is quite interesting what you have been saying, doctor. Is there any attribute to the media and the new communications systems that we have?

If I am following you, some of these things actually are not what they are, but the media portrays it that way. There was some argument this morning, some statement made this morning. The question was why don't you use the media more to your favor, and the answer given by the prosecutor was that they can, but the negative is always there. Is the media part of it?

DR. WEISBURD: The media's responsibility is different, for example, than a researcher's responsibility. Let me say that the media plays a role in the drama of criminal justice, if you want to call it that.

I always think it is a very uncertain process to

allow extreme events to determine public policy, but what often happens is that it either takes an extreme event or an extreme event gets used as a way to make a general policy about how we want to deal with all sorts of issues. The media picks up on that and develops it.

Los Angeles, I don't really know enough about that to comment, but in some sense it becomes a gigantic media event. It is a terrible situation. It is a terrible event. For the people involved, of course, it is horrible, but a question in my mind becomes how do you want to make public policy.

How do you want to get a sense of what the real problems are? Do you want to get it from there, or do you want to get it from some general sense of what is going on, taking into account that that event in itself is very important because it is so terrible?

I will give you an example of how the media affects problems. Two weeks ago I was in Oakland as a consultant for a drug abatement project in which they used civil ordinances to try to control the drug problem. It is an innovative idea, and it avoids arrests and all that stigma. It just tries basically to close down houses and use civil ordinances.

They got a Court Order for a house, and they went to close it down: They said why don't you come along. I

came along, and this was a few days after the L.A. situation, maybe a week or two. I went there. They just went to put these red signs on saying this house was being declared a nuisance or whatever.

Understand, there had been two homicides in the house over the year and maybe 50 or 100 arrests in one year. There is not a question about this place. It really is a problem. All of a sudden about 30 or 40 teenagers come. There was also a television camera. They see the television camera, and they start screaming curses.

There were two black cops, I remember, and they were standing there. These kids came up and said you nigger, you honky. They started cursing them out right to their faces. I was surprised at the restraint. They were very restrained.

Of course, in particular since the L.A. event and the television cameras being there they probably were perhaps more restrained than otherwise, but the incitement, the sense of it, the feel of it from these kids, they were like the whole L.A. incident. It is like our moment of fame, so the media can play sort of a negative role in criminal justice.

Certainly this particular police group were not the L.A. cops involved in that situation. Their whole approach was different. Their whole sense was different. They were there to help the community, and I think they were doing it successfully, but the media in that type of situation created a near riot in that case. I think that it is very common for those sorts of things to happen.

150 24

The media can be very constructive. Obviously it can also be very destructive. Mostly it is not the media per se. It is how we make public policy. If we are going to make public policy in response to what the media writes on Monday and two weeks from now everybody forgets that is not a way to do it.

Of course, it takes a long time to learn what is really going on. It takes money and investment on the part of public officials to do that, and often we don't want to do that because it is really cheaper and easier to do things very quickly.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are policy makers in a sense using the kinds of empirical data that you can in fact provide?

Is government, especially in law enforcement, looking for this kind of empirical data that you have and research?

DR. WEISBURD: I think it is mixed. I think there is not enough, and I think certainly one of the problems is to be fair to policy makers is quite often you can't want a year or two waiting for a commission or a study to be done because the people want some sort of solution now.

In response to the L.A. case the Department of

Justice asked the National Institute of Justice to conduct a survey of major cities on police abuses. Whether that will impact public policy or the Attorney General's office I don't know. Whether it is a knee jerk reaction I don't know.

(24

I will say that I think it is very common to detail solutions before we have a good sense of what the problems are. That is not to say that I don't understand the need sometimes to act very quickly to ameliorate what are real concerns on the part of the public.

MR. BALCH: Two questions. The first is a specific one. Much of our discussion over the last two days concerned the problem of the abuse of power by police officers, police brutality, etc. Now, whatever the motivation for that, clearly there is a violation of civil rights involved when people are brutalized by police officers.

Several remedies have been suggested. These range from sensitivity training directed at making people more aware of cultural difference, programs emphasizing the implication of stricter professional norms and also independent review boards within departments or statewide, if necessary, which would monitor, oversee and perhaps enforce the maintenance of standards and regulations.

My first questions would be do you have any

1	general comment about these remedies and how they operate
2	and what is to be gained by it?
3	DR. WEISBURD: Each remedy has to be evaluated in
4	particular cases, and in each place there may be
5	differences. Certainly the atmosphere in the deep South is
6	different than the atmosphere in California or New York.
7	MR. BALCH: Let's take New Jersey since that is
8	the jurisdiction we are involved with.
9	DR. WEISBURD: Let me say that as a general rule
10	there is good evidence that training helps. The better the
11	training, the more it is devoted to a certain particular
12	issue perhaps the better it is.
13	MR. BALCH: Are we talking about professional
14	training or are we talking about
15	DR. WEISBURD: From police departments.
16	MR. BALCH: sensitivity, multi-cultural
17	training? We have distinguished those two types of
18	approaches.
19	DR. WEISBURD: Let me just say that education
20	works generally. Sensitivity and those sorts of concerns,
21	while many times the police department is really there, the
22	cops are saying oh, poo-poo and this is whatever. There are
23	impacts.
24	I think that in part it reflects when the police

are confronted with these sorts of programs they are

confronted with a reality that says these are important issues they have to pay attention to.

Let me just raise what I think in terms of my own expertise is one problem. One problem is that you can't maximize everything at the same time. You can't give the police 100 different messages at the same time because like anyone else, you can't register it all.

By that I mean this; that for a number of years in the 1960s, beginning actually with a well-known book by Jerome Skolnick, who was at the University of California and is still there -- it was called Justice Without Trial -- Skolnick, what he basically said was that most American justice occurs in the street with the police, and there is very little attention to questions of the rights of subjects, etc.

In the 1960s there was tremendous concerns with these issues, community relations programs and police departments. There was a tremendous development and expansion of what some scholars like to call police control, control of the police, as opposed to citizen control, control of the citizens.

Something happened in the last ten years. All of a sudden people in communities, and many times minority people were at the forefront of this, began seeing their communities become dangerous places to live, places where

crime was endemic, places where their children couldn't go to school safety, places where disorganization was rampant.

In that setting they started to call for crime control. They wanted the police to do something about their communities. They wanted the drug dealers off the street.

I am running a federally funded program in Jersey City actually on drug markets. They want those problems to go away, and they are frightened. They want something done about it. They want the cops to be tough. The want the community police officer to come in, know that they don't want that kid hanging out on the corner, and they want him to get rid of him. Whatever tactics they have to use, within the law, of course, they will carry through.

The message to the police I think in part, and I am actually editing a book related to this, was that crime control is an essential feature. There is a kind of balance here because what happens is that it is very hard to maximize crime control and police control at the same time because sometimes the most effective strategies — intimidation, threat, etc. — sometimes those are pushing at the boundaries, if you like.

At other times, as one police officer once said to me after -- I forget, I think it was the Bumpers case in New York City with the woman who is retarded who was killed by a police officer, but it was that case and the subsequent case

with the choke hold. He said to me well, I am not going to do anything, and that solves the whole problem.

My point is that these are very hard to -- I think one of the things we have to do for the police, if you like, is we have to as a public policy to give very clear signals about how far we want them to go and what we want them to do.

MR. BALCH: My more general question gets at a comment that you also made about how people view the police and the nature of police work.

Civil rights is not really, it seems to me, a question of having your property or life or freedom infringed by the authorities. It is also having your property, life and freedom infringed by anyone else who is doing it unlawfully.

In point of fact, virtually everything the police do, omission and commission, in keeping with their mandate and violating it involves civil rights issues.

How is this perceived? You mentioned something about the perceptions that people have of the police in areas in which crime was a problem or in various communities. Could you elucidate a little bit on that?

Are the police, in your opinion at least, seen as a problem? I am sure it is a mixed picture, but to what extent are they seen as a problem? To what extent are they

1	seen	as	something	that	the	citizens	would	like	to	have
2	aroun	nd n	nore?							

... 24

DR. WEISBURD: I think that if you walk into any community and said I am going to give you another 500 police officers they would be very happy. I think that there is a generally good feeling towards the police in a general way, but in many specific ways there is not a good feeling.

It is very easy to tell the police to be tough on the problems, but then when the problem is related to you, then of course your feelings change in that particular case.

I think the general attitude towards the police is actually fairly positive in most places. It depends. When you have a series of terrible incidents in a particular community the attitude towards the police may change, but most people want to be --

MR. BALCH: You are saying it is mixed. Could you be a little more specific about the dimensions in which it would be positive and would be negative according to the surveys and studies that you know about?

DR. WEISBURD: What I would say is that if you asked people about their attitudes towards the police mostly -- in Jersey City we just did a survey like that. It was 70 percent positive. Most people were positive. The numbers go down a bit in the minority community.

People need the police. They want the police. At

the same time, if you ask the same people perhaps whether they have always been treated fairly or whether the police might sometimes treat minority people not as well as non-minority people I think they would probably say yes, that is probably true.

I think you have both at the same time. By that I mean I think there is basically a positive attitude toward the police. The police are performing functions in the minority community, the poorer communities, more often. They perform a lot more necessary functions, and they are appreciated for that, if you like.

There also sometimes are problems. That is what I mean by mixed. It is possible both to feel positively toward the police and have concerns. It would be my sense that if you went into almost any community that you might be thinking of -- inner Newark or whatever -- that you would find at least at the moment probably basically positive attitudes towards the police.

MR. BALCH: Would other members of the panel want to comment on that? Maybe there is some discussion we could have.

MR. LEE: I am a little disturbed by some of the comments that the good doctor has made, especially with regard to what appears to be an erosion and a limitation to some extent of criminal procedural safeguards that in order

1	to have effective policing in the urban or black community
2	or the minority community that they should give up certain
3	Constitutional guarantees.
4	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: That is not what the good
5	doctor said.
6	DR. WEISBURD: No, I never said that.
7	MR. LEE: I kind of got the impression
8	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: That is not what I understood
9	him to say.
LO	MR. LEE: that crime control was basically of a
11	higher
12	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Mr. Lee, how about if you
13	don't characterize what he said and just tell us what you
L 4	want to say.
L5	MR. LEE: Okay. Thank you. I think that there is
L6	perhaps some concern that the minority community has with
L7	regard to having a customer oriented police department a
L8	police department that treats the taxpayer with some degree
L9	of customer service; if you will, a quality control kind of
20	standard, which for the most part the individual out in
21	California did not have, and I understand there are some
22	questions about other communities in New Jersey like Teaneck
23	or Vineland or Plainfield where the individual did not have
24	sort of the benefit of the doubt of customer service.

I think that the minority community expects not

only for a police department to do its duty, but also to treat the community who foots its bill when it is doing its job correctly and who absorbs its liability if in fact the officer is doing it incorrectly.

They deserve a kind of respectable service, be that a respectable, courteous kind of greeting when the officer pulls over a taxpayer for a routine driver stop, be that doing a custodial arrest.

I think that the distinction should be made between keeper of the peace and law enforcement officer because often, and here lately, we have had some confusion with regard to the role of the police with regard to whether or not we are going to give them carte blanche in terms of being judge, jury and executioner.

I understand that sometimes those kinds of situations require a reasonable and measurable kind of response. However, to say carte blanchly or to suggest carte blanchly that in order to keep crime control down in urban areas is to have more of a police state and to some extent a limitation with regard to what we Americans believe is our fundamental freedom is not what the minority community is looking for.

Thank you.

点题 24

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Mrs. Sabin?

MS. SABIN: I will pass. Oh, no, I remember what

1	I wanted to say. I am going back to something that you said
2	about domestic violence.
3	DR. WEISBURD: Could I respond to this? It is
4	kind of important to me personally.
5	MS. SABIN: Sure.
6	DR. WEISBURD: I guess I should make my position
7	clear not because that is what I am here for, but just
8	because it is important to me personally.
9	The book that I am editing, for example, the
10	reason I am doing it is because I think there has been a
11	decade of concern with crime control without recognizing the
12	implications it has for the treatment of citizens, whether
13	minority or not.
14	I think in a sense the purpose of that project for
15	me, for example, was to try to say that we need in any of
16	these programs that we develop to make these issues that we
17	research and to make it part of the project.
18.	What I was noticing, for example, is that there
19	has been a series of community policing in certain projects,
20	for example.
21	I only know of one article on supervision and the
22	implications, for example, of abuse that these programs
23	have. In fact, it is something I did in the Vera study.
24	My concern I guess is this; that we ought to

recognize that we can't have everything at the same time

maximized. When we go about making decisions we have to balance them. You can't have your cake and eat it too in the perfect situation.

The task is to find that right balance as you are doing it and to recognize that you need to find a balance.

I guess that was my position. I certainly wouldn't want to belittle the comments you made.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Mrs. Sabin?

MS. SABIN: I just wanted to go back to the comments you made about domestic violence. I wanted to make sure that I heard them correctly.

I think, and correct me if I am wrong, that we have seen I guess in the recent past the issue of domestic violence particularly as it pertains to women who have been terrorized by the men in their life, whether it be their husband or their boyfriend or whatever and that the police have particularly not been sensitive to the issues of women in these cases -- women and children and minors.

I guess my point is I hope that you were saying that that is an area where there needs to be particular attention paid to the kind of -- I don't want to call it justice; I am not quite sure what to call it -- treatment that families get.

What we are hearing is the police would go in where a man is beating up his wife, and he would say well,

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Ç.,

3.6

1	you know, actually she probably deserved it or whatever the
2	comments were, which left this woman and children
3	continually terrorized and not protected.
4	I just wanted to make sure that that was an area
5	that you indeed believe needs to be looked into because we
6	really didn't get a chance. We went on to something else.
7	DR. WEISBURD: Domestic violence occurs in not
8	only minority communities
9	MS. SABIN: I wasn't talking about minorities.
10	DR. WEISBURD: but across the town. It does
11	take a substantial proportion of police resources. Domestic
12	violence is an example of how even when there is research it
13	can be used in a political agenda.
14	There was a famous study in Minneapolis, and I am
15	not sure even where, about ten years ago called the
16	Minneapolis Domestic Violence Experiment. It was a
17	randomized experiment. It randomized who was going to get
18	arrested or not for domestic violence. The assumption was
19	that arrest would not help. They found in this study it did
20	help that when they arrested people it reduced domestic
21	violence.
22	That fit an agenda at the time within I think a
23	special women's community that we needed to get tougher on
24	domestic violence cases, and a mandatory arrest policy in

many cities started developing. The criminal justice system

again became the solver of what is perhaps a wider problem that has to be addressed from a number of different directions. This one study became the support for a policy throughout the country.

There have now been four or five replications of which the results are quite mixed and suggests that it may be, especially in what some call the under-class community and the poorer communities, that arrests backfire because these people have nothing to lose and it may work where people have something to lose. These are very, very complicated issues.

The strategy for one of the issues is that the criminal justice system, I guess my sense of having looked at a number of areas in the criminal justice system, is that we are always looking for the criminal justice system to be worse than the rest of society in terms of treatment.

In many ways it is under more scrutiny than the rest of society and may operate sometimes in ways that we actually want it to, let's say, in the courts or other places where we look for disparity. At the same time, we can't expect the criminal justice to solve all our problems.

Certainly arrests or putting people in prison, etc., given the state we are in here in New Jersey, for example, you are not going to be able to do it. Maybe there are other solutions as well to problems of domestic

•	
1	violence.
2	MS. SABIN: Thank you.
3	MR. ALUM: I want to backtrack to a previous
4	comment that you made. I just wondered for clarification
5	purposes.
6	If I understand you correctly, one of the things
7	that you mention is we should be looking at social class
8	rather than gender, nationality and so-called "race" if
9	there happens to be an incident because poor people happen
10	to be living together and happen to coincide in certain
11	ethnic and racial backgrounds, which you pointed out as the
12	issue of socio-economic class. Is that correct?
13	DR. WEISBURD: What I want to say is that one must
14	be careful about attributing some of the effects you might
15	see to race. In many studies that have been done other
1.6	issues seem to explain that away. You don't find
17	disparities based on that.
18	I would be very, very hesitant to say there is not
19	racism in the criminal justice system. There is tremendous
20	racism in our society. It is going to be in the criminal
21	justice system as well.

In a study, for example, that Al Reiss did in three cities he found that race did not matter, but demeanor mattered in terms of violence. He also found that white cops had a lot of racial stereotypes. It may not have

affected arrest policies at the time. It may have affected other elements.

It is also the case, by the way, that most research we have is in big cities -- New York, Chicago.

Even places like Jersey City and Oakland now and other places that are now receiving some attention are relatively large.

Places like Teaneck, for example, that I know you are mentioned are smaller. I think we know much less about what is going on in places like that, and I have that there may be many, many more problems in places like that.

In New York City, even in Los Angeles and other big cities, there has been a tremendous concern in terms of training and sensitivity. These have been issues in the department. Anybody who has been in those departments knows they have been issues.

In smaller departments the training is not as careful. The auditing techniques are often not as careful. We know a lot less about those places. They are not under as much scrutiny. I have a sense in my mind at least that it might perhaps be that those places provide more evidence that these sorts of racial disparities and treatment.

Nonetheless, it would be very fair to say that a lot of what we see has to do with class and economic status, etc., rather than race, though it is very hard to tell from

1	the	evidence.	Ιt	is	terrible	of	me	in	a	way	because	I	am
2	not	giving you	an	ans	swer. I	am	sor	ry.					

3 MR. ALUM: That is okay. I used to be called a professor, too, so I understand.

DR. WEISBURD: Les me just give you something that Ed Darden mentioned to me yesterday. I know you had some testimony about a study done on the highway, the highway patrol study. I actually called Joe Naus last night, who was the researcher who did that study, because it struck me that that was an interesting example of some of the problems you are talking about.

When I spoke to Joe about it this was the impression I got, which is slightly different I think, perhaps, than maybe the general impression was. Let me just repeat it to you in a sense of how difficult this all is again.

What Joe Naus found, I believe working for Legal Aid or some other petitioner against the Attorney General, was that looking at Legal Aid cases and cases they were able to gather that most of the people arrested, the arrest rates were very high for minorities on the turnpike. They had no, for example, data on who was doing the arrest, whether whites or blacks, so there is no evidence that blacks or whites arrested anybody more or less.

It is also the case even there while it seems that

Joe's study provides us with some sense of there being a problem, Joe remarked to me that what they were trying to do was get more data from the Attorney General because they didn't have all the arrests.

In fact, what happened in that case was that it was never done because there was another series of cases that came up in which the Attorney General then dropped 200 of the investigations, and therefore the Judge, I believe, did not follow through.

My only point is that here is a case where we seem to have something that we could bite into, you know. There is something here. Let's figure this out. Why is it? Is it a profile? Is it just a sense they want to stop these people, etc.? But, we don't go any farther. We can't really know, and that evidence is not that strong really even though it got a lot of press.

MR. BALCH: What was particularly missing? They did a study of the number of people and the types of people who were stopped along a certain stretch of the Jersey Turnpike? Is that correct essentially?

DR. WEISBURD: Yes, but they didn't -- for example, they were using cases, if my conversation with Joe is correct, provided to them by Legal Aid or some other organization. They did not have all of the cases, which would have been useful.

1	I have a feeling that all of the cases would have
2	showed the same thing, but then you have another problem
3	that Joe pointed out when I spoke to him, which is they also
4	looked at the proportion of minorities arrested for drug
5	related crimes in New Jersey, and it is very, very high.
6	MR. BALCH: Well, if you say they already got the
7	cases from Legal Aid then you are talking about people who
8	are not only stopped, but who then were arrested.
9	DR. WEISBURD: Right, although I don't want to
10	you should have Joe testify to exactly what he found.
11	MR. BALCH: That would be the inference that I
12	would draw. That was not a sample of people who were
13	stopped, but of people who were arrested.
14	DR. WEISBURD: It was evidence that was startling
15	on its face and was good evidence for a good start, but it
16	would have been nice in a sense to learn a little more.
17	They never got that far.
18	I guess what I am saying is even when you find
19	evidence of this type that you would like to know more about
20	quite often you don't get to know more about it.
21	MR. BALCH: But is that a correct inference that
22	in that study what we are looking at there is the data on
23	people who not only were stopped, but then were arrested
24	presumably?
25	DR. WEISBURD: I believe that is true, yes.

	MR. ALUM: And people who got some help from this
2	particular Legal Aid.
3	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Correct. It definitely was
4	not all of the people who were arrested. It was the people
5	who were stopped, arrested and who somehow ended up with the
6	agency that ordered the survey.
7	MR. ALUM: The rich that were stopped went
8	somewhere else.
9	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: And some went nowhere. Some
10	went through the criminal justice system.
11	DR. WEISBURD: See, I have no doubt if you want to
12	ask me what I think of that situation. I did some work for
13	the state police a few years ago, and as part of that I got
14	a chance to talk to people, etc. There was tremendous
15	emphasis on drug arrests at the time, and a lot of reward
16	for making good arrests.
17	Of course, you know, if someone is speeding you
18	can stop them. Indeed, the state's defense, the Attorney
19	General's defense, was that all these people were guilty
20	even though we stopped these particular people.
21	In a sense these cops are trying to win their
22	rewards, if you like, and are being told go out and get
23	this. They knew that they were five or six times as likely
24 × 24	to get it with certain types of people as others, and

whether they have an official profile or not, we worked in

1	that direction.
2	You have to ask yourself from the other side.
3	When we work with international terrorism, for example, we
4	have very set profiles, and we do stop people who fit those
5	profiles. They are not complete classes of people, but they
6	are restricted classes of people.
7	MR. BALCH: Race is not used, though, in those
8	profiles I would assume?
9	DR. WEISBURD: Those profiles actually restricted
10	access. You can't get them. I would be very surprised if
11	ethnic origins were not used. I am just saying there is
12	this kind of very important public policy to know what you
13	are doing and make those balances and make them reasonable.
14	MR. ALUM: The race of the arresting office is not
15	revealed?
16	DR. WEISBURD: That data was not available, but
17	certainly available to the Attorney General.
18	I guess what I am saying is that if people wanted
19	to understand these problems better I think there are
20	opportunities even within the constraints of what we
21	presently are collecting and know about.
22	MR. KADLEC: One of the areas of discussion before
23	this committee over the last two days was this whole notion
24	of the customer being the citizen and some notion of quality

control. This leads to the question of what do you measure?

1	What criteria would you use? Would any of your research	
2	shed any light on those kinds of questions?	
3	DR. WEISBURD: This issue of how you measure	
4	police performance is very, very difficult. For a number of	
5	years the technocratics of the policing was talked about.	
6	Every police department was dying to get measures that were	
7	like how many arrests you made or how many contacts you had,	
8	how many times you got on the radio. These were very	
9	technocratic types. They weren't related to service at all.	
10	They were related to number. That was part of the	
11	"professionalization" of policing.	
12	In the last ten years or so there has been a	
13	change to a lot of community policing and orientation,	
14	service to the community, if you want to call it, both in	
15	terms of crime control and in terms of other sorts of	
16	activities.	
17	The question is who is the customer and who is the	
18.	person that a client of the system. The problem is that the	
19	customers have a lot of differences about what they want.	
20	It is very difficult for the police often.	
21	In Jersey City where I happened to study, the	
22	chief was being besieged by community groups about drug	

markets. Here he had a Department of Justice study that I

was conducting on drug markets. He said what can we do

23

25

about this?

<u>≸</u>≽ 24

I said let's run a community survey. We will go
to every block in the city and ask people whether drugs are
a problem in the block. I said that way you will know
whether the community groups are right. They were telling
us everywhere we have to have a community police officer in
this part of town, this part of town, this part of town.

.... 24

We went and did that, and we found that actually very few places in the city had any drug activity. That drug activity may be a very bad thing, but it is highly clustered in very specific areas. Only about four percent of the citizens we interviewed could identify drug activity on their block.

We went to every block in the city. In turns out that some of those areas of which you got the most pressure about what the customers wanted that they didn't have the problems, and some of the areas you didn't hear from, they had lots of problems.

How do you decide who is the customer? Is it the persons coming to you more often? How do you decide how to do the quality control?

Herman Goldstein, of the University of Wisconsin, has a concept he calls problem oriented policing. The idea is you don't focus on making arrests or putting someone in jail. You focus on solving the problem. You go out, you find out what the problem is, and then you try to solve it.

1	What is the measure of what police are doing?
2	Whether they solve the problem. That is better than
3	arrests. In New York City in a drug area you can make
4	arrests all day long. It is very hard, and again, as a
5	researcher I can tell you if you told me the kinds of issues
6	that you were concerned about I probably could develop
7	reliable measures of that.
8	The question is what are the concepts of public
9	policy? That has to be made by policy makers. I often tell
10	my students that sometimes academics uses sort of the cloak

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

my students that sometimes academics uses sort of the cloak of the Ph.D. or something to talk about all sorts of things they really don't have any expertise on or know about.

The issue here really is when the community is clear about what it is looking for -- solving problems, arrests, stopping drugs -- then you can develop measures to try to deal with that. I think that is the way to go about it.

MR. KADLEC: And you think that is a fruitful area to encourage?

DR. WEISBURD: Yes, I think it is very fruitful, but I think especially in terms of this Commission's concerns that we also pay attention to the conflicts that go into that about how we define the problem and how we attack it.

25 Right. MR. KADLEC: It may be very different from

one community to another.

.11

DR. WEISBURD: That's right. You know, in terms of the politics of the problems in terms of what middle level managers have told me is it is one thing when you are in a sort of ethnic neighborhood where you have one ethnic group and they are telling you to do something about an ethnic group in the area based on some criteria about what they are doing. That is easy.

The same strategies apply to communities that are changing in which there are conflicts, let's say, from blacks and whites between other ethnic groups. That becomes much more difficult because then you start playing sides in the community.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Any other questions?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doctor, I remember one time, and this was a long time ago, and I cannot remember where was I when I read it -- probably when I was in high school -- but I read an article written by some author at the University of Chicago that in fact profiled a police officer. It was very controversial, I may add.

Is there any recent research done on that?

DR. WEISBURD: There are a number of books that have been written about the police officer's task, the police officer's job. Those tend to be sort of ethnographies of learning about the way in which these

people work. When you work with police you see lots of things that are disturbing, and I think that these are often evidenced in these works.

There is another problem here that relates to research, and that is that police departments don't want people to come in and do research on some of these sorts of issues because they can't help them in any way.

You have to have a lot of courage to be able to work in police departments on tough issues. I think, for example, in Jersey City now and the relationship I have developed that we could often deal with tougher issues, but it is very hard.

My sense is that when you start in with a tough issue as your central issue then many times you may not get into certain places where those problems are the greatest. If one makes it a standard policy across departments for certain auditing techniques, etc., or the allowance of certain sorts of research endeavors that perhaps will work.

It is much easier to invite Dr. Weisburd in to evaluate whether the Oakland drug abatement program is abating drugs than it is to invite him in to evaluate whether the Oakland police officers are abusive, if you know what I am saying, from the chief's perspective. He would like to have more control over that sort of problem.

MR. SAMET: Are there any studies that you know of

1	in the State of New Jersey or elsewhere that would indicate
2	that police are either disproportionately prejudiced or of
3	attitudes which identify them as racists or as
4	anti-Semitics or as bigots generally?
5	DR. WEISBURD: I think there is a literature
6	related to that. It grew out of the authoritarian
7	personality literature.
8	MR. SAMET: That is quite old.
9	DR. WEISBURD: Right. It followed through on
10	that. What I would say is that there certainly is not
11	one has to be careful about this. Most cops are not the
12	same people who are running corporate law firms. They are
13	not from the same backgrounds, etc.
14	Police in general come from let's call them a more
15	working class backgrounds, for want of a better word, etc.
16	In those communities there often might be attitudes, etc.,
17	that continue when the police officer is in the department.
18	I do not think there is a good amount of evidence that
1.9	police are somehow racist or that they are much worse in

What does happen, though, is this. I remember this in terms of a Newark housing project. It actually was not research. Someone had stolen a briefcase with an important manuscript in it of which I did not have another

this regard than other segments of population from the same

population from which they are drawn.

copy. I called someone I knew in the department and mentioned it to them, and they had said that there is a unit that deals with this housing project and maybe that person could help me.

18.

I went to that unit, and it turned out that we couldn't find the briefcase, but while we were there it struck me that this guy -- this was a white officer -- spent almost his whole life in a setting of all black people of which he spent a lot of time with those people who were not doing, in his opinion, very good things, of which he saw broken families and drugs and all these terrible social ills.

There is an element to that that one starts seeing it of a certain color and shape. I think maybe that is more pervasive than other sorts of problems. One begins to get a statistical sense of -- How should I put it? When people go to New York City and they walk down the street and they are all minorities those people could all be middle class, but I think something clicks quite often because they have a statistical profile in their minds. They are all 18 to 21 years old when they see kids on the train, etc.

I think police develop that in a much worse way because they are dealing with that all the time. At the same time, the one big advantage of some of these new programs like community policing is all of a sudden the

police don't always spend their time with the problem people. All of a sudden they spend their time with good people in the community.

In any community with a lot of crime most of the people, and I don't want to use police jargon of good and bad, but most of the people are law abiding citizens. Most of the people want to see the drug houses closed down. It is more complicated than all that, but some of these problems help that along.

I think that is more common where over the years one develops this sense of well, all the people I deal with -- the old wrong philosophy -- are black and they commit crime, so all blacks commit crime or something of that sort. I think that is a more significant part of the problem.

Let me say again that I don't think we know enough about all of this. What happens quite often, by the way, is both for researchers and commissions and others is many people walk in as outsiders to the police and find out hey, they are not monsters, you know. Then all of sudden, since they are starting from a different place, they think they look better than maybe they should.

MR. SAMET: I have one other question, and that is do you believe that the view expressed by some that society would like the police to be those who actually punish those who are apprehended in the society committing crimes, not

only to apprehend them, but also to punish them?

1.7

. 24

DR. WEISBURD: I think that there is a tremendous desire on the part of many inner-city communities for their problems to be alleviated. They get awful frustrated when some guy is out on the street three days after being arrested. They get awful frustrated when they can't arrest a drug dealer because he is using ten year old kids to transport his drugs. They would be kind of happy to see some excuse for that guy to be done away with.

MR. SAMET: Are there any studies to validate to study that this is a prevailing view.

DR. WEISBURD: Dennis Rosenbaum at the University of Illinois -- there was a conference on drugs that I went to in November -- reported evidence that is not published yet from a survey he had done where he found that the community was more likely to want violations of people's civil rights, if you will, than the police themselves.

Whether that is widespread, how much, etc., I don't really know, but I think it is reasonable to say that the police in places like New York City, while there are often problems of abuse, they have drummed into their heads don't go over this line. Remember, this is a job that you go 30 or 40 years, good retirement. One mistake -- one big mistake -- and that is it. The citizens, on the other hand, sometimes say can't you rough him up a little? Can't you

use harassment techniques?

1.2

Turning back to the turnpike situation, community policing, for example, problem oriented policing, a lot of these strategies they began using the law to their advantage, such as the Oakland program I was talking about.

By that I mean they look to the law to find all sorts of ways to use it in ways it really wasn't meant to be trying to develop statutes for loitering even knowing the arrests aren't going to hold, harassing people to get them off the corner, using all sorts of ways, being at those boundaries and using them to accomplish what you want. Then the community often supports it.

Just one example in my mind that struck me, I was out with a group of cops. They were doing a sweep. This was New York City. In the community policing program drugs became a big issue. One of the things they used to do on a certain street where a lot of drug problems were, there would be parked cars with windows closed. They would go to each car, knock on each window and say who is in there, etc.

They went and they did that. If no one opened the window and they knocked again and no one opened the window they would draw their guns, a very dangerous situation. Finally they opened the window. These four little old ladies are in the car, you know, frightened to death of these cops with guns drawn.

I said to them afterwards, I said how do people feel about this? This strikes me that people could be pretty upset about all this. They said we go to the community block association and say look, the only way we can deal with this problem is if we stop every single car on that street and ask who is inside, etc.

They said sometimes it is going to be you who is stopped. Sometimes it is going to be your son who is stopped, but if you are not doing anything wrong then it is not going to be a problem. If you want us to deal with it that is what we are going to. They get support for that.

Certainly these things are at the boundary lines of what is permissible conduct. Whether they go over or not, those are issues that I am not qualified to deal with.

CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Any other questions from the panel?

On behalf of the panel, doctor, I would like for you to submit for our record any research reports that you have dealing with police practices, police procedures in New Jersey and anything that is out that, and I know this is a full order, that could help us in our search to find the facts and make recommendations for improvement of police relations with the community.

DR. WEISBURD: I will try, and I will try to make it not something too overwhelming.

1	MR. SCRUPSKI: Those studies you suggested early
2	on, I guess they were recent studies on the significance of
3	demeanor rather than race or perhaps even age rather than
: 4	race in accounting for what would appear to be racial
5	variations and arrest apprehension would be of some value.
6	DR. WEISBURD: I will look for those. Note that
7	those studies were done in the 1960s at a time when there
8	was tremendous concern about these issues. I think we will
9	find a paucity of recent research in the same area.
10	CHAIRPERSON FARBER: Any other questions?
11	I want to thank the members of the panel and those
12	who have left also for your presentations. They have been
13	very helpful to us. We would welcome any additional
14	materials that you would submit.
15	Thank you.
16	(Whereupon, at 4:50 p.m., the hearing was
17	concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<i>to</i> + 24	•
25	、

1		REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2		
3	DOCKET NO.:	N/A
4	CASE TITLE:	Law Enforcement Policies and Practices in New Jersey
5	HEARING DATE:	April 9, 1991
6	LOCATION:	Trenton, New Jersey
7		•
8	I hereby	certify that the proceedings and evidence are
9	contained full	y and accurately on the tapes and notes
10	reported by me	at the hearing in the above case before the
11	United States	Commission on Civil Rights.
12		
13		Date: 4-9-91
14 15		_ Michael M. Canr
16		Official Reporter
17		Heritage Reporting Corporation
18		1220 L Street, N.W.
19		Washington, D.C. 20005
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		•