

HAWAII ADVISORY COMMITTEE 1 TO THE 2 UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS 3 5 FACT-FINDING MEETING ON HAWAIIAN HOME LANDS 6 7 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 8 9 Thursday, August 2, 1990 DATE: 10 PLACE: Ramada Renaissance Ala Moana Hotel 11 Honolulu, Hawaii 12 CHARLES KAUULUEHI MAXWELL, SR. **BEFORE:** Native Issues Sub-committee Acting Chair, Fact-Finding Meeting Vice Chairman, 14 Hawaii Advisory Committee to U.S. Commission on Civil Rights 15 16 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 17 MR. EMMETT A. CAHILL MS. FAYE KENNEDY DALY 18 MR. ALFRED C. LARDIZABAL MS. HELEN R. NAGTALON-MILLER, Ph.D. 19 MS. CARMEN L. PANUI MS. MARION G. SAUNDERS 20 MR. BARRY L. SHAIN MR. OSWALD K. STENDER 21 MR. ANTHONY S. VERICELLA MS. JULIANNE R. PUZON 22 23

23 24

REPORTED BY: Joan Izumigawa, CSR No.136 Notary Public, State of Hawaii

25

### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

(808) 521-7815

CCR 3 Meet. 342

		1 A
1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2		Page
3	OPENING STATEMENT BY CHAIR	5
4	Comment by Alfred Lardizabal	13
5	Comment by Oswald Stender	15
6	PRESENTERS	
-	I NADAN I AND	
7	Alan Murakami	
8	Director of Litigation Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation	16
9	Panel:	
10	Chair	33
11	Nagtalon-Miller	36
12	Paul N. Lucas Staff Attorney	
13	Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation	42
14	Panel:	
15	Panui	52
כו	Shain	55
16	Vericella Lardizabel	61 66
17	Williamson B.C. Chang	
18	Director	
10	Native Hawaiian Advisory Council	6 9
19	Panel:	
20	Lardizabal	83
21	Daly	85
	Chair Saunders	8 6 8 8
22	Stender	8 9 8 9
23	Mililani B. Trask	i.
24	Kia 'Aina, Ka Lahui Hawaii	90

# **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

25

ſ		2
		Page
1	Colette Machado	113
2	Panel (to Machado/Trask)	110
3	Chair	121
4	Dulles Vericella	125
5	Puzon Shain	135
6		139
7	Kamaki Kanahele Chairman	
8	State Council of Hawaiian Homestead Associations	143
9	Ethel Andrade	
10	Member, Executive Board, SCHHA	152
11	Panel:	
12	Stender Panui	161 166
13	Puzon	167
14	Pat Brandt Office of the Governor, State of Hawaii	171
15	Haoliku Drake	
16	Chairman, Hawaiian Homes Commission Department of Hawaiian Home Lands	174
17	Panel:	
18	Chair	186
19	Puzon Panui	189 190
20	Lardizabal Shain	192 194
21	John Rowe	
22	Deputy Director Department of Hawaiian Home Lands	199
23	Panel (addressing Drake/Rowe):	
24	Shain	199
25	Chair	202
	POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815	

- 1		_	
1	<u>ı</u>	age	
2	Panel (addressing Drake/Rowe):		
3	Shain / Chair	199 202	
4	Shain Cahill	204 207	
5	Nagtalon-Miller Chair	207 209 214	
6	Nagtalon-Miller	215 219	
7	Daly Vericella	221	
8	Shain Dulles	223	
9	Chair	233	
	Rod K. Burgess, III Vice Chairman		ļ
10	Office of Hawaiian Affairs	237	
11	Panel:		
12	Stender	251	
13	Bill Tam		
14	Office of the Attorney General State of Hawaii	253	
15	State of Hawaii	255	
	Senator Mike Crozier		
16	Chairman Committee on Housing and Hawaiian Affair	s	
17	Hawaii State Senate	260	
18	Panel:		
19	Puzon Chair & Puzon	276 277	
20	Lardizabal	279	
	Chair	280 281	
21	Stender Shain	287	
22	Lardizabal	290	
23	Daly Cahill	291 292	
	Nagtalon-Miller	296	
24			
25			
	POWERS & ASSOCIATES		

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

### PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Can I have your attention, please.

We call this meeting to order. This meeting of the Hawaii Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights will now come to order.

The purpose of this meeting is to obtain information and views relating to the implementation of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920. Specifically, the Committee is interested in learning the extent to which the Federal government and the State of Hawaii are meeting the obligations for fulfilling the law.

Participants have been requested to address the following issues:

Have the Federal and State governments met their respective trust obligations to Native Hawaiian people under the Homes Commission Act?

To what extent have both the 22 Federal and State governments responded to the specific findings and recommendations issued by the Federal-State Task Force on the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act?

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

What assistance has been provided by the Federal government and the State to implement the Act? This includes financial, technical, legal, and staffing resources, as well as leadership and management direction.

Are the existing Federal oversight and State administrative mechanisms adequate to assure vigorous and effective implementation of the Act?

Finally, the Advisory Committee is soliciting recommendations for improving the performance and accountability of the Federal and State governments in fulfilling the mandate of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act.

Among those invited to address the meeting are State officials and legislators involved in Native Hawaiian home lands affairs, legal and advocacy organizations, and representatives of the United States

Departments of Interior and Justice.

Based upon the information collected at this meeting, along with the transcript of a public forum convened by the Committee in September 1988, a report will be prepared for the United States Commission on

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
This will serve to update an
 Civil Rights.
2
  earlier Advisory Committee report, Breach of
3
          Native Hawaiian Homelands, released in
  Trust?
4
  1980.
5
                I am Charles Kauuluehi Maxwell,
  vice chairman of the Hawaii Advisory Committee.
  The Advisory Committee receives information and
  makes recommendations to the Commission in
  areas where the Committee or any of its
10
  subcommittees is authorized to study.
11
                Other members of the Committee in
12 attendance during the meeting will be:
13
  Cahill, Faye Kennedy Daly, Alfred C.
  Lardizabal, Helen R. Nagtalon-Miller -- and
  Nagtalon Miller is one last name -- Carmen L.
16 Panui, Marion G. Saunders, Barry L. Shain,
17
  Oswald K. Stender, Anthony S. Vericella,
18
  Julianne Puzon ex officio. The Chair, Andre'
19
  S. Tatibouet, could not be with us today.
20
                Also with us today are staff
21
  members John F. Dulles II, Grace Hernandez, and
22
  Arthur Palacios from the Commission's Western
23
  Regional Office in Los Angeles.
24
                This fact-finding meeting is being
  held pursuant to Federal rules applicable to
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                        (808) 521-7815
```

1 State Advisory Committees and regulations 2 promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil 3 Rights. All inquiries regarding these provisions should be directed to the Chair or 5 to Mr. Dulles, the Federal officer for this 6 meeting. 7 The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States 8 9 government established by Congress in 1957 and directed to: 10 Investigate complaints alleging 11 that citizens are being deprived of their 12 rights to vote by reason of their race, color, 13 14 religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; 15 16 Study and collect information 17 concerning legal developments constituting 18 discrimination or denial of equal protection of 19 the laws under the Constitution because of 20 race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or 21 national origin, or in the administration of 22 iustice; 23 Appraise Federal laws and policies 24 with respect to discrimination or denial of 25 equal protection under the laws;

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 To serve as a national clearing-2 house for information about discrimination; and 3 Submit reports, findings, and 4 recommendations to the President and to 5 Congress. 6 I would like to emphasize that 7 this is a fact-finding meeting and not an advisory proceeding -- excuse me, adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with the Committee 10 information relevant to the subject of 11 today's inquiry. Each person who will 12 participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with 13 14 the Committee. 15 Since this is a public meeting, 16 the press and radio and television stations, as 17 well as individuals, are welcome. Persons 18 meeting with the Committee, however, may 19 specifically request that they not be 20 televised. In this case, we will comply with 21 their wishes. 22 We are concerned that no 23 defamatory material be presented at this 24 In the unlikely event that this meeting. situation should develop, it will be necessary **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

to call this to the attention of the person making the statement and request that they desist in their action. Such information will be stricken from the record, if necessary.

Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable in the area to be dealt with here today.

In addition, we have allocated time between 4:00 and 5:00 p.m. to hear from anyone who wishes to share information with the Committee about the specific issues under consideration today. At that time each person or organization will be afforded a brief opportunity to address the Committee and may submit additional information in writing.

Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact Commission staff before 4:00 p.m. this afternoon.

In addition, the record of this meeting will remain open for a period of 10 days following its conclusion. The Committee welcomes additional written statements and exhibits for inclusion in the record. These should be submitted to the Western Regional Division, United States Commission on Civil

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Rights, 3660 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 810, Los Angeles, California 90010.

Let us proceed.

2

3

20

21

4 I would like to turn -- if all of you have agendas or you wish to have some, you can obtain it from our staff. There are several changes to our agenda. The government was supposed to come out, but they have stated -- the Justice Department and the Interior 10 Department have stated that they will not 11 attend this hearing.

12 I would now like to call upon Al Lardizabal to read a statement that was 14 prepared by this Advisory Committee that will 15 be transmitted to the Interior Department and 16 the Justice Department.

17 MR. LARDIZABAL: Thank you, Mr. 18 Chairman. I have written a letter to the Commission, and I quote:

"This is to express my concern as a State Advisory Committee member of the 22 absence of representation by the U.S.

Department of the Interior on August 2, 1990, 24 to the fact-finding meeting in Hawaii. Committee is in receipt of the October 17,

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1989, letter to Senator Daniel K. Inouye from Mr. Timothy W. Glidden, Counselor to the Secretary of the Interior, and the July 17, 1990, letter from Mr. Glidden to Mr. Alfred A. 5 Fletcher, Chairman of the U.S. Commission on 6 Civil Rights. 7 "However, it is my belief that the U.S. Department of the Interior could and should play a much more significant role in the 10 return of Hawaiian home lands to the Hawaiian 11 people. The absence of representation by the 12 U.S. Department of the Interior denies the 13 Committee the opportunity to full discuss the 14 return of these lands. It is my hope that the 15 U.S. Department of the Interior will be able to 16 meet with this Committee in the future." 17 Thank you. 18 Okay. If you'll note THE CHAIR: 19 on your afternoon session under the 1:00 p.m., 20 State Government Panel, instead of Chairman 21 Kaulukukui, in his place Rodney Burgess, the 22 vice chairman will be testifying. 23 Mr. Bill Tam of the Attorney General's Office will be testifying for the Department of Land and Natural Resources. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

MR. STENDER: Excuse me, Mr.

Chairman. May I make a comment, please?

THE CHAIR: Yes.

MR. STENDER: This is really following hard on Mr. Lardizabal's letter to the Department of the Interior. I have read the correspondence which was addressed on this issue in response to the -- our inquiry.

I just wanted to, as a member of this Committee -- you know, I'd like to have it noted for the record that I am deeply concerned and disappointed that the U.S. Department of the Interior chose not to be represented at these proceedings. This correspondence indicates to me that the Federal government's refusal to accept the fiduciary responsibility for the administration of the Hawaiian Homes Trust is very disappointing.

It appears that the Federal government seems to choose those issues that they feel they are responsible for and those they are not responsible for, and I don't see how they can deny any responsibility for the work that we're doing. And so I just wanted to have that noted for the record.

### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

(808) 521-7815

•

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr.

Stender. I think that expresses the feeling of the members in toto as of yesterday's meeting. Thank you.

We are going to start calling the first presenters. But before I do, I would like to state that whoever comes up to testify, please, for the record, state your name and your -- who you represent, whether it be any organization that you're representing here.

I now would like to call upon the Hawaiian Homes -- excuse me, Mr. Alan Murakami and Mr. Paul Nahoa Lucas, the attorneys for the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation. They'll be giving the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act 1920 background and the legal issues.

MR. MURAKAMI: Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee, good morning. My name is Alan Murakami, the litigation director for the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation.

The Corporation has identified several areas of priority in representing Hawaiians in areas of great concern to them, one of which is the Hawaiian Homes area. We are representing several individuals and groups

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

at this time who are attempting to enforce various provisions of the Act.

3 I have been asked to give an 4 overview and background of the Federal and 5 State roles in connection with the administration of the Act. I found this to be 7 a somewhat overwhelming task, given the long history and the many abuses that have As a result, I find it will be occurred. 10 impossible to actually go into much detail 11 about all the various ramifications and aspects 12 of this involvement.

13 I have prepared written testimony 14 which has been submitted to the Committee, and 15 that should be before you today. But we have 16 also submitted our past recommendations that 17 have been submitted to another group, this 18 being the U.S. Select Committee on Indian 19 Affairs, who, in conjunction with the House 20 Committee on Insular and Interior Affairs, held 21 extensive hearings last August and more 22 recently in May in connection with the 23 administration of the Hawaiian Homes Commission 24 Act.

I would at the outset refer you to POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

25

an attachment to my testimony which gives a summary of some of the recommendations that I have submitted to those committees with regard to how we believe changes to the Act can be made in a positive and productive manner.

These are broken down between short-term and long-term recommendations that involve the proposals to enhance water rights of Native Hawaiians in connection with the administration of the Act, to initiate a program for an ombudsman/advocate for Native Hawaiian beneficiaries in view of the many problems associated with the Act and the relative inability of beneficiaries to effectively advocate for themselves in light of the shortage of resources and the complexity of the issues involving the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act.

I have a section in these recommendations urging a process for upgrading the inventory available to beneficiaries of the Act which will entail a pretty extensive and intricate system to enhance the types of lands that could be made available for homesteading.

As many of you already know, many POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 of the homestead sites currently available are 2 inadequate and too remote, lack sufficient 3 irrigation or access to natural irrigation water, and can only be developed for suitable 5 homesteading purposes for farms, residences, ranches, and aquacultural farms at extensive cost to the trustees, the State, and hopefully the Federal government. The point of this recommendation is that if in fact the inventory 10 is upgraded, perhaps these costs could be 11 either mitigated or eliminated and more people 12 can be settled on lands at a faster pace. 13 We have asked for independent

We have asked for independent counsel to be -- an Office of Independent Counsel to be set up so that beneficiaries have access to legal representation, sometimes the only means that beneficiaries have to enforce their rights under the Act, and there are several points under that particular recommendation.

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We suggested that a regular
Master's Report be prepared in connection with
the performance of the Federal and State
governments so that this particular program has
an ongoing review, something that has been

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

sorely lacking over the many years of its administration. It's only been over the last, say, five to seven years that any increased attention of any appreciable amount has been devoted to the program.

ì

We've suggested that real property taxes either be -- the power to assess taxes against Hawaiian Home Lands either be modified or perhaps even eliminated in harmony with some of the treatment of real property taxation issues involving Native American tribes on the mainland.

Secondly, in terms of long-term recommendations, we have suggested that serious consideration be given to integrating this program with a policy by the United States to grant self-governance and self-determination to Native Hawaiian people so that they will have as part of its land base the Hawaiian Home Lands base to administer by the Native Hawaiian beneficiaries themselves under a system accountable to them.

And finally, we urge that a program of community economic development be established so that not only are lands given to POWERS & ASSOCIATES

the Native Hawaiians to be administered and governed by them, but that they be given the tools and the resources to develop economies that have basically been destroyed as a result of Western contact over the two centuries of Hawaii's history, and that this fund be available to them so that they can determine for themselves what kind of economic activities and economic future Hawaiians would like to see for their children and grandchildren.

In my attempt to summarize what the performance of the Federal and State governments have been, I found the task overwhelming. In short, in terms of the Federal performance, I believe you had a site visit yesterday that probably helps you to view perhaps the tip of the iceberg of the types of problems that Native Hawaiians face.

I am deferring most of the discussion of this issue and others related to the Federal government's performance to my colleague, Paul Nahoa Lucas, who accompanied you yesterday.

Recovering Lualualei or something equivalent to

it is going to be no small task.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

The only thing I would like to add in connection with the Federal performance is, as I have mentioned earlier, the lack of a mechanism for effective self-governance by Native Hawaiians, which essentially lies at the root of all the problems and evils that have been previously identified by witnesses who have testified in innumerable hearings before this and other bodies in connection with the problems related to the administration of the Act.

Just briefly, what I attempted to point out in my testimony is that Hawaiians really stand akin to their Native American brothers on the mainland and in Alaska. They are an indigenous people. They were here before any Westerners discovered these islands. They have similar cultural and political histories in terms of their relationship with the land, their cultural philosophies about the protection of the land, and their ability to live in harmony with nature over centuries of existence.

In contrast, the United States really has only been in existence for a little POWERS & ASSOCIATES

over 200 years, and we are already seeing that in some respects we are at the breaking point of our ability to co-exist with nature. But in short, what the United States did with various 5 Indian nations as they currently exist today is 6 to form a government-to-government relationship 7 with them at a very early stage when there was 8 an initial contact with Indian tribes.

9

19

20

21

22

23

Now, there are many sad stories to 10 tell about that relationship and many broken 11 treaties that occurred as a result of this relationship with the tribes. 12 However, the 13 essence of this relationship is that the United 14 States treated Indian nations separately as 15 governments and recognized their sovereign 16 ability to have their own membership, regulate 17 their own affairs, and to govern and determine 18 their own future.

The Hawaiians have a somewhat different history than the Indians and there cannot be made an identical parallel with these However, I think because of Hawaii's history as an independent kingdom and the fact that the United States had treaties with the Kingdom of Hawaii and the fact that Hawaii, in **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

contrast with some of the Native American tribes that currently have tribal status and have a government-to-government relationship with the United States, was recognized in the international community of nations by scores of countries that still exist today, gives them a status that is perhaps even better than what Native Americans have to attain sovereignty amongst their people.

The second thing I would point out about this particular parallel is that U.S. policy towards Indians has fluctuated over history. It has basically fluctuated between policies that sought to assimilate these people with the mainstream society and a policy of self-determination.

We are currently in the era of self-determination that was formally initiated by the Nixon administration. This policy is to give native peoples their right to self-determination, to recognize that the United States trusteeship role is to assist them in enhancing their ability to govern themselves, and that this is the key to their economic future and ability to survive as a culture.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 This official policy continues to 2 exist in the form of numerous Federal programs 3 that exist to target Native American tribes recognized by the Federal government for special assistance in terms of housing, health, 5 education and a variety of social programs that 7 are designed to overcome some of the past injustices that have occurred in the treatment of Indians on their reservations. 10 Hawaiians basically have been left 11 out of that process. When the Hawaiian Homes 12 Commission Act was passed, when the 13 administration of the daily affairs of this 14 program was transferred to the State, the 15 United States was really at a time in its 16 history when it was trying to terminate its 17 relationship with native peoples, not 18 recognizing their unique status, attempting to 19 break previous relationships and treaty 20 agreements with them, and the like.

What has occurred since then has 22 been a radical transformation of the attitude of the U.S. government toward native people. 24 The unfortunate thing is that Hawaiians have been left out of this process. The reality

21

23

25

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

today is that Hawaiians are clamoring for the same treatment, and if this Commission is in fact looking at the ways in which laws are applied that might deny people similarly situated equal rights, then this is clearly an area of inquiry that I would invite the Commission to explore.

There are many complex issues

involved, but I simply wanted to say that there are many Hawaiian groups that are now advocating for sovereignty of various kinds and that it really is incumbent upon the U.S. government and Congress to deal with this issue in a forthright manner and to work with Hawaiian people in coming up with an ultimate resolution of the concern for sovereignty.

I have -- I am going to try to skip over a lot of what I have written in my testimony. I think a lot of the members of this Commission have information concerning the history of the Hawaiian Homes Act. So I would like to briefly summarize what I have put in writing in my written testimony.

First of all, I think it should be recognized that the Hawaiian Homes Commission

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

Act was really a product of intense lobbying by both proponents for the Act as well as counter-lobbying by large landed interests in Hawaii, primarily the sugar and ranching interests, and what resulted was a program that was severely crippled at the outset, crippled by primarily the fact that it was given so-called junk lands -- to quote some prophetic individual of the day in the 1920's, "lands that a goat couldn't live on. " That's a quote 1.1 from the Congressional Record.

What we have experienced since that time is a struggle over crumbs, the limited resources provided to the Hawaiian Homes Commission in terms of its financial ability to develop these lands and accompanied with an inventory of lands that were remote, in very poor locations, arid, and very, very expensive to develop.

Currently the Department has estimated that it will take \$900 million to put in the infrastructure and site development that would be necessary to settle the people on the waiting list, which is currently estimated to be 20,000 people, give or take a thousand due

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

to duplications in applications. This is an immense bill that the State of Hawaii alone can never hope to meet.

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

Unless there is a lowering of cost through the upgrading of the inventory of the lands available to them and increased support by Federal and other, private sources to match the requirements for infrastructure development, the mission of the Hawaiian Homes Department and the Hawaiian Homes Commission I think cannot be met.

In summary, that's the thrust of my testimony, that given these circumstances, I don't believe that the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands can accomplish its mission to settle 16 all the people on the waiting list in a reasonable period of time. I point out that the Department currently only gets less than 0.2 percent of the entire State operating budget of \$3.2 billion, and this is for a complex program to homestead tens of thousands 22 of people on Trust lands, to administer loan programs, to provide technical assistance, to 24 assist farmers, ranchers, and aquaculture 25 farmers with the development of those types of

> **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

activities for their long-term selfsufficiency, to build homes for people who are 3 either homeless or in crowded conditions in their existing housing, a complex program that 5 cannot -- that no one can reasonably expect 6 this Department to perform effectively without 7 greater resources.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

I think the current status of the homesteading program might give this Commission -- or this Committee the best idea of what has happened in the past. What I did, in an attempt to summarize this, is I looked to the 1987 Department of Hawaiian Home Lands Annual Report, and in there they have a pie chart of the breakdown of the use of Hawaiian home 16 I would like to pass it out to the 17 Committee today for their review.

There are two things about these charts that I think you should know. First, that 17.5 percent of the lands are currently being homesteaded and, as I understand, that 17.5 percent includes the raw lands that were distributed to people, or to Native Hawaiian beneficiaries, lands which they cannot occupy because of the lack of infrastructure. So that

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

is a conservative estimate of what lands have been homesteaded.

And more importantly, if you total up the general leases, the licenses, the DLNR-administered leases, the revocable permits, the illegal executive orders, we have over 62 percent of the land being used by non-Native Hawaiians under a variety of different conveyances. That's the first thing.

The second thing is that, unfortunately, this is out of the 1987 Annual Report of the Department, and I do not believe that the statistics have changed significantly in the three years. To the credit of the Waihee administration, there have been renewed resources committed to this program, and we recognize that.

My point is that given this -even given these increased resources, the
Department cannot be reasonably expected to
meet its mission of placing people on this land
within a reasonable period of time. If you
just look at the numbers, the Governor has
basically set an objective or goal for the
Department to settle 20,000 families by

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

building 20,000 housing units within 10 years, or the year 2000.

It has a similar commission from the Governor to develop affordable housing for the general population over that same period of time. What I have pointed out in my testimony is that in the latter objective, to accomplish the latter objective, the Waihee administration was successful in obtaining a 120,000 cash appropriation from the legislature in 1988 to pursue this objective. The Department at best can total up only about half of that in terms of revenue bonds, general obligation bonds, and cash to do pretty much the same thing within the same period of time.

The State has never accomplished a task of this magnitude in its history, and not for lack of trying. I think they're trying in good faith to accomplish it, because there's a critical lack of affordable housing in this state. And I'm certainly not trying to denigrate anybody's good faith attempts to try to do so.

However, what I am trying to say is that we must inject some sense of realism in POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

this whole situation to assess whether such a task can be accomplished. And the best at this point I can say is that my conclusion is that this would be a highly dubious objective to accomplish given the current resources, the current inventory, and the past commitment by particularly the Federal government to help in this regard.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Even if this task is accomplished -- And I certainly hope it is -- what I have pointed out in my testimony, and as I have in my previous testimony to you, is that there are still these many other tasks, primarily those cited by the Federal-State Task Force in 1983 when it issued its report of the shortcomings of the Commission in protecting its trust assets, resolving issues of back compensation for illegal uses of trust lands by public agencies and private entities, and failing to properly come up with a plan for disposing of the lands that may not be suitable for homesteading so they can be either exchanged or somehow conveyed out so that suitable lands can be made available for homesteaders in a reasonable period of time.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

The Department has not been able to do that. They have not been able to fully investigate the development of cluster housing that was suggested by the Task Force. I have listed about eight major points that I believe have been left on the wayside as a result of the Department's current focus on settling people in residential lots, due to the size of the waiting list.

So even if the major task was accomplished, what I'm trying to say at this point is that the various problems cited by the Task Force that I've listed on pages 7 and 8 of my testimony really have not yet gotten the attention that they deserve. Seven years have gone by, deadlines have passed, very few of these major concerns have been addressed by the Commission, and they continue to be left on the back burner.

A final concern that I have raised in my testimony is that the inability of the Department to obtain the sufficient resources to meet its goals has caused one consequence, and that is in the farm and the ranch homestead program.

# **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

What we are finding is now happening is because the waiting list of these programs is so long, even though they are less numerous than those for residential lots, what the Department is doing is attempting to settle as many people on lands irrespective of the ability of those people to create economically viable ranches to enhance their economic selfsufficiency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For example, they have recently passed policies -- adopted a policy of cutting up ranch lots in Puukapu to accommodate primarily people who at best raise two animal units -- an animal unit being defined as one head of cattle and one calf -- so basically enough land, that is, 10 to 20 acres, given the lack of irrigation, to raise two head of cattle and two calves at any given time.

Our concern is that what this is doing, in essence, is to reinterpret the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act without any congressional or legislative involvement, and that this reinterpretation of the Act, to ignore the basic thrust and idea behind the homesteading program, is a severe deterioration **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 of the initial intent of the program. 2 Because of this problem we have 3 unfortunately had to resort to litigation. 4 That is ongoing. We are still struggling with 5 the Department to attempt to reach some kind of 6 accommodation for the clients that we represent 7 who are serious about ranching, want to become 8 economically viable ranchers, and who are 9 finding that they are unable to do so despite 10 the fact that they initially applied for these 11 lots in 1952. 12 In view of the shortage of time, I would like to terminate my testimony at this 13 14 time and turn the mike over to Mr. Lucas. 15 THE CHAIR: Mr. Murakami? 16 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes? 17 THE CHAIR: Maybe the panel has 18 some questions for you before you go to more 19 testimony. 20 MR. MURAKAMI: Either way. 21 THE CHAIR: Based on our 22 involvement as an advisory committee to the 23 U.S. Civil Rights Commission and the end result 24 of this hearing through our commissioners to 25 recommend to the President or to Congress some **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 solutions to the problems, you have outlined in detail the many, many ills that face the Hawaiian homes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, everyone's got to agree that the Federal government and the past State government have seriously failed to help the Hawaiian people get on the land and it was misused. So how, in your interpretation, can we submit in our report some recommendations -- strong -- to the President or to Congress? MR. MURAKAMI: I believe Mr. Lucas has prepared the answer to that question. briefly, I have a list of recommendations that was submitted to the U.S. Senate Select Committee and the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. The theme of my recommendation is broken down into short-term

The important thing I would like to point out about these recommendations is that in the long term, I think this problem will not go away unless the issue of sovereignty is resolved and resolved in favor of putting this land base in the land base that is ultimately granted or allowed to be governed

and long-term recommendations.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

by the recognized sovereign that arises out of 2 this protracted debate over whether Hawaiians 3 should have sovereignty like their Native 4 American and Native Alaskan brothers and 5 sisters. 6 That's the short answer. There 7 are many detailed answers I could give. Let me take one. Lualualei has been on the front burner of this Commission, and rightly so. 10 commend the Commission for its foresight and 11 for its energy and dedication to seeing this issue receives national attention. 12 13 We have a Hawaiian population that 14 is perhaps the most dispossessed of any in 15 these islands. You can look over the 16 statistics anywhere. The Hawaiians are poor, 17 they are least-educated, they are in prison in 18 the greatest numbers and in the greatest 19 proportions, they are in the poorest of 20 health, and primarily they are housing-short 21 -- They are in overcrowded housing or they are 22 homeless -- and they are over-represented in these groups. 24 And yet you have the U.S. Navy now controlling 1,300 acres of prime potential **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
residential land on this island, the island
2
  with the greatest demand for residential
3
  homestead lots, the island with the greatest
4
  population. And yet the Commission, because of
5
  the lack of available and suitable homestead
6
  land, cannot provide those homesteads despite
7
  the passage of 69 years since the inception of
8
  this Act.
9
                Now, I term this illegal -- It's
10
  my opinion -- and I've termed it immoral, as a
11
  non-attorney. I believe that if this
12
  Commission focuses on that issue alone, it
13
  would accomplish a lot, and probably more than
14
  any other body has in recent years if it can
15
  overcome the hurdles to the return of
16
  Lualualei.
17
                                   See, if we can
                THE CHAIR:
                             Yes.
18
  substantiate that our rights as Native
19
  Hawaiians have been violated, which it clearly
20
  appears to be, then this is where this
21
  Committee would come in.
22
                Are there some other questions?
23
  Anybody else?
24
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                       Since the
25
  consultation today -- in this consultation
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

we're engaged in fact-finding, and you have 2 certainly provided us with important background 3 information and recommendations. As members 4 of the public, you can tell us, the members of 5 the Committee, what we can do or what role we 6 can play. 7 And inasmuch as you have given us 8 very good information, very extensive 9 information, I would like to ask: 10 fundamental goal can our State Advisory 11 Committee play or what can our Committee do to 12 obtain justice for Native Hawaiians in the 13 implementing of the Hawaiian Homes Commission 14 Act? Because we certainly can't come up a 15 report that would be voluminous. We would like 16 to make an impact. 17 So if you could tell us what 18 particular fundamental role we can play, what 19 we can do. 20 MR. MURAKAMI: I appreciate your 21 sincerity and I appreciate your dedication. 22 This Committee unfortunately does not have

decision-making power over the future of this

In that sense, I think there's nothing

23

24

25

Act.

directly you can do. We would have to ask

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

38 1 Congress, we would have to ask the 2 legislature, we would have to ask other 3 decision makers who have a policy-making role. What this Commission, I think, can 5 do, though, is to educate decision makers, 6 politicians, and the public about the perennial 7 problems facing this -- and chronic problems 8 facing this particular program. 9 My summary of recommendations 10 talked about a Master's Report. I see the 11 possibility of this Commission taking an 12

ongoing role in examining the performance of
both the Federal and State governments in
performing its mission with respect to this
Act.
Without increased attention to the

problems, I don't think changes will come, and I think the role of this Commission is to bring attention to the problems in an objective and neutral manner.

Mr. Lucas will go over some specific recommendations about, for example, Lualualei, what could be done in terms of statutory changes or administrative actions.

I think that this Commission POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

should make strong and positive recommendations concerning implementing this Act that would, one, increase attention to it; two, give and empower beneficiaries with an ability to force changes to the Act or force corrective action in the administration of the Act through the use of advocate/ombudsmen and legal independent counsel to enforce various provisions of the act.

I cannot hope to go over each and every separate recommendation that I could make on each of the ills that might have been brought to this Commission's attention.

However, I think that if a process and procedure was clearly established that beneficiaries could tap and sufficient resources were devoted to that, that you will find changes coming incrementally but progressively, and hopefully consistently, so that ultimately we will have no waiting list.

MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: Forgive me for asking another question, but since you're the litigation director of the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation, I would like to know: What statements would you like to make to the

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

Committee apropos litigation, since you're the expert or director of this particular group?

MR. MURAKAMI: As an attorney, I could talk about that in terms of what areas of potential litigation there might be, and clearly there are in this arena. But there are two things to consider with what views, what things could be done in court to correct abuses, to correct deficiencies.

To the extent -- first of all, to the extent that my office has the resources, we will continue to do so, serve as legal advocates and, if necessary, litigate where there are -- irreconcilable difficulties occur, and we have to do so, however reluctantly because of our shortage of resources.

We are a Legal Services-funded program, and we have a very small budget that's spread amongst the main priorities that have been identified for attention by this -- by our program. Hawaiian Homes is one of perhaps five major areas of concern to Native Hawaiians. We cannot do all the work, and that's why I have recommended these other advocacy type programs to assist beneficiaries. We have to pick and POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 choose. Secondly, I think the atmosphere 2 3 in the courts has been transformed over the 4 past decade. I just came back from a 5 conference celebrating the twentieth anniversary of the Native American Rights Fund, 7 and there seemed to unanimity amongst the speakers at that conference that the easy wins of native peoples during the 1970's is not being repeated today and that the atmosphere 10 11 judicially has changed tremendously through the Reagan and Bush administrations. 12 13 What the basic message of the 14 conference was, was that we need to look more 15 creatively or intelligently at the various 16 options open to resolving problems and not 17 simply through the courts. And so I think 18 administratively, legislatively, and in terms 19 of community education, there are many things 20 that can be done. 21 THE CHAIR: Alan, I think we've 22 got to move on --23 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes. 24 THE CHAIR: -- because of the time 25 constraints, and I would like to hear the next **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

presentation.

MR. MURAKAMI: I would, too.

3 THE CHAIR: The panel can ask

their questions after his presentation.

MR. LUCAS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

Aloha kakahiaka kakou and good morning, Mr. Vice Chairman and members of the Hawaii Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights. My name is Paul Nahoa Lucas. I am a staff attorney at the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation, and I have been asked today to provide testimony to this Committee on the role of the Federal government and their performance as a trustee in overseeing the management of the Hawaiian Homes Program.

The Federal government's failure to perform its trust duties to Native Hawaiians is premised on the fact that the Federal government serves as a co-trustee for the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act. This premise, however, has been sharply disputed by the Department of the Interior, the Federal agency which has assumed the role as "lead Federal"

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

agency" with respect to Federal responsibility concerning the Hawaiian Homes Program.

Upon passage of the Act in 1921, the United States government served as the sole trustee of Hawaiian Home Lands for the benefit of Native Hawaiians. At the time of Hawaii's admission into the Union in 1959, the United States transferred this primary obligation to the new State of Hawaii as a condition of statehood under a compact that the State accepted and incorporated into its constitution.

Historically, this transfer occurred during a period known by Native American advocates as the "Termination Era." During this period, the United States policy towards Indians was to terminate its trust relationship with Indian tribes in an effort to assimilate Indian people into mainstream America, even at the cost of denying them their sovereign rights.

For example, Congress enacted
Public Law 280 in 1953, which extended state
jurisdiction over Indian country for the first
time in several areas. The Hawaii Admission

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 Act was consistent in this regard. 2 Accordingly, Federal executive 3 officers have interpreted such transfer to 4 absolve the Federal government of any trust 5 responsibility. For instance, in a letter to U.S. Senator Daniel Inouye on October 17, 1989, 7 Timothy Glidden, Counselor to the Secretary and 8 the Secretary's designated officer for the Hawaiian Homes Commission, stated 10 unequivocally that the federal government does 11 not serve as a trustee and that it is only the State of Hawaii which serves as a trustee over the administration of the Hawaiian Homes 13 14 Program. 15 The Department's position was 16 based largely on a footnote in a Ninth Circuit 17 case entitled Keaukaha-Panaewa Community 18 Association versus Hawaiian Homes Commission, 19 |588 F.2d 1216 (1978) which stated "the State is 20 the trustee . . . the United States has only a 21 somewhat tangential supervisory role under the 22 Admission Act, rather than the role of 23 trustee." 24 Further, in the process of 25 approving State legislative amendments made to **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

the Act between 1959 to 1986, former President Reagan in signing Public Law 99-557 perhaps aptly reflected the traditional attitude of Federal officials about this program when he ignored the trust relationship and attempted to completely disavow any Federal responsibility for it by declaring:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

"I am signing this joint resolution because I believe, as the Department of the Interior testified when the resolution was pending, that the matters with which the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act is concerned should be left entirely to the State of Hawaii. The administration of the public lands in question can be competently handled by the State government."

The executive position, however, is clearly contrary to more than 100 years of case law establishing trust relationships between the Federal government and Native 22 American groups. Under well-established judicial principles of federal Indian law, a 24 trust relationship can be created through 25 treaty, statute, or executive order.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

The Act is a congressional statute which has established a trust relationship between the Federal government and Native Hawaiians. The Federal courts have held that termination of such a relationship should not be presumed absent a "clear and plain" expression to the contrary. The language and legislative history of the Hawaiian Admission Act shows no "clean and plain" intent on the part of Congress to terminate this relationship.

Contrary to the Department's position, the federal government does retain oversight responsibility in administering the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act. The Department of the Interior still maintains the right to, one, oversee rehabilitation and reclamation work over the various projects operating on Hawaiian Home Lands (Section 225 of the Act); two, approve of land exchanges between the State and other entities (Section 204 of the Act); and most importantly, retains the authority to alter, amend, or repeal any provision of the Act (Section 223).

On August 7 through 11, 1989, POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

- 1 Senator Daniel Inouye, Chairman of the Senate
  2 Select Committee on Indian Affairs, held
- 3 extensive oversight hearings on the Act
- 4 throughout the state. Throughout the hearing,
- 5 Senator Inouye acknowledged the trust duty of
- 6 the United States and explored alternative
- 7 means of remedying the multitude of problems
- 8 confronting the program.
- 9 As far as former President
- 10 Reagan's comments are concerned, I believe Mr.
- 11 Murakami has demonstrated that even the State
- 12 has failed to adequately perform its duties as
- 13 a trustee.
- 14 Arguably, the trust relationship
- 15 is not clearly discerned from the language or
- 16 legislative history of the Hawaiian Homes
- 17 Commission Act. In response to this concern,
- 18 the State has enacted Senate Bill 3236, now Act
- 19 349, which amends the Hawaiian Homes Commission
- 20 Act.
- 21 Act 349 further strengthens and
- 22 clarifies the State and Federal governments'
- 23 roles as fiduciaries in managing the Hawaiian
- 24 Homes Program. Section 1 of Act 349 provides,
- 25 among other things, that, quote:

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

"In recognition of the solemn trust created by this Act, and the historical government to government relationship between the United States and the Kingdom of Hawaii, the United States and the State of Hawaii hereby acknowledge the trust established under this Act and affirm their fiduciary duty to faithfully administer the provisions of this Act on behalf of the native Hawaiian beneficiaries of the Act."

End quote.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

These facts indicate that the Federal government does serve in a fiduciary capacity rather than an administrative capacity in overseeing the Home Lands Program.

As a co-trustee, the Federal government has breached its duties by allowing Hawaiian Home Lands to be leased to the military and other Federal agencies for nominal rent.

Lualualei is a classic example of 23 the Federal government's mismanagement of land specifically set aside for Native Hawaiians. On August 10, 1922, two years after the Act was

> **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

passed, the territorial Commissioner of Public Lands, the predecessor to the Department of 3 Land and Natural Resources, leased three parcels of Hawaiian Home Lands totalling 5 approximately 1,300 acres for the purpose of cultivating sugar. 7 In 1930 and again --8 THE CHAIR: Could you please summarize because of the time constraints. 10 When we want to ask you questions, we have your 11 statement in toto, so try and summarize, if you can, please. 13 MR. LUCAS: The next three 14 examples -- or excuse me, the next four 15 examples are instances of the Federal 16 government receiving lands from the Hawaiian 17 Homes Commission at fairly nominal rent. 18 Lualualei, I believe, as I've explained, was 19 set aside. These lands were set aside by 20 executive order in 1930 and 1933. It was later 21 determined that these executive orders were 22 illegal. That was in 1984. In the interim, 23 the Department of the Navy had been using these lands for over 50 years rent-free. 25 They attempt -- the Hawaiian Homes

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

attempted to regain control of its lands in 1984. They were barred from recovering these lands due to a statute called the federal Quiet Title Act and, as a result, the Department and the Commission now must seek other means, such as special legislation, to address this problem.

Pohakuloa is a similar situation on the Big Island. The Department of Land and Natural Resources entered into a lease agreement with the Department of the Army for the use of approximately 21,000 acres of land on the Big Island as a military training area. Included in that was 295 acres of Hawaiian Home Lands. The lease terms are for 64 years at \$1 for the entire 64 years.

Kekaha on the island of Kauai is another example. The same year, 1964, the Department of Land and Natural Resources entered into a lease agreement for 64 years at \$1 for 25 acres of Hawaiian Home Lands.

Keaukaha is the final example. In 1948, the President set aside approximately 53 acres of Hawaiian Home Lands for use as an FAA communications radar facility. Again, the use

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

(808) 521-7815

1 of these lands is rent free for an indefinite term. 3 The solution, and one that Mr. Murakami has suggested and which I have 5 advocated in my testimony, is to provide Native Hawaiians with a mechanism so that they can enforce their rights under the Act. present time they have no right to sue the United States government for breaches of trust. 10 They have a right to go into 11 Federal court and sue the State. They have a right to go into State court to sue the State. 13 The United States has a right to sue the State, 14 but Native Hawaiians do not have that right. 15 I would urge this Commission, if they would 16 like to do something, recommend to Congress or 17 to the President that Native Hawaiians be 18 afforded this right through special 19 legislation. 20 Just to touch briefly on some of 21 the comments the Commissioners had on 22 Lualualei, some of the suggestions to avoid 23 problems such as Lualualei in the future would 24 be to amend the Quiet Title Act, which 25 initially prohibited the State from going back **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

and reclaiming those lands. Amend the federal 2 Quiet Title Act to exclude Native American 3 groups from coverage, so that Native Americans 4 could go in and could sue to recover for 5 Federal lands which have been wrongfully taken. 6 Other alternatives include, again, 7 as I have mentioned earlier, special 8 legislation to allow Native Hawaiians the right 9 The State recently, two years ago, 10 enacted special legislation under the Native 11 Hawaiian Trust Judicial Relief Act which allows 12 Native Hawaiians to go into State court to sue 13 for breaches of trust. There are certain

One final solution to the Lualualei problem could be including suitable replacement lands in any Federal repatriation - - reparation, excuse me, package which Congress might want to propose -- or in the Governor's package, which is supposedly coming out next year.

severe limitations on that, but nevertheless

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

You are recognized.

MS. PANUI: Good morning.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

(808) 521-7815

23

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

there is that right.

\_\_

24 25

1 You clearly believe that the 2 existing State mechanisms for implementing the 3 Hawaiian Homes Commission Act are ineffective, and you have proposed self-governance. 5 how do you propose to come up with the selfgovernance vehicle that would be agreeable to the majority of the Hawaiian people? 8 MR. MURAKAMI: I can't come up with it. The Native Hawaiians will have to come up with it. What I'm suggesting is, the concept of self-governance really lies at the 12 root of the issue, because without it there is 13 no accountability for the performance of the administrators of this Act to the 15 beneficiaries. 16 If you have self-determination, if 17 you have self-governance, they become accountable and results follow. If they're 19 not, they may or may not have results. There 20 is no relationship, necessarily, between the 21 beneficiaries and the people administering the Act, something that can be enforced, something 23 that can result, if necessary, in removal of administrators who are not performing their

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

25

duties.

Currently the only way you can do that is through elections, and in this case, election of the Governor, because the Governor appoints the commissioners. But there is no direct accountability between the commissioners and the beneficiary.

then.

I can't propose a specific solution. I don't think that's -- I wouldn't even pretend to do that, and I think Senator Inouye is on record saying that he doesn't want to prescribe that, either, that he wants to be given that formula by the Native Hawaiian people, so that there is some onus on the beneficiaries themselves to come up with this package.

MS. PANUI: Can I further that,

Do you see the Hawaiian agencies perhaps getting together and providing this vehicle, to go out to reach the Hawaiian people to get their manao and bringing it back to form this type of self-governance that you feel is ultimately due to the Hawaiian people?

MR. MURAKAMI: There are many steps to be taken in this long process, and POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
what you've suggested is clearly one of them.
1
  What I would like to see initially is that
2
3
  there is more clear understanding of the
4
  concept and education amongst people to
5
  understand it better so they're not threatened
  by it, either, and that includes non-Hawaiians
6
  as well.
8:
                THE CHAIR:
                             Okay.
9
                Barry Shain.
10
                MR. SHAIN:
                             I just want this for
  the record.
11
12
                Both of you used the term, "breach
13
  of trust."
                MR. MURAKAMI:
                                Did we use it?
14
15
                MR. LUCAS:
                            Yes.
16
                MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, we did.
17
                MR. SHAIN: Where was the breach
18
  of trust?
              By the Federal government, by the
19
  State government, by the Hawaiian Home Lands
20
  Commission? Where does the responsibility
21
  lie?
22
                MR. MURAKAMI:
                                Are you speaking of
  a specific instance, or --
24
                MR. SHAIN: I'm talking about the
  entire concept of moving 25,000 people onto the
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

land right now. 2 Alan, the reason I'm asking you 3 this question is, the Federal government 4 refuses to show up here. 5 MR. MURAKAMI: That's right. 6 MR. SHAIN: There seems to be 7 something happening at the State government 8 level --9 THE CHAIR: Excuse me. Could you 10 speak into the microphone. They can't hear 11 you. 12 MR. SHAIN: The Federal government refuses to show up here, and I think it was 13 14 Paul who brought forward the statement by 15 President Reagan saying that "we're not 16 responsible," this letter that Ozzie and Al 17 talked about, that the Federal government is 18 not responsible. 19 I look at the State with the 20 executive orders taking 16 prime pieces of land 21 and, you know, \$1 a year for Waimanalo Beach, 22 things like that, the Federal government 23 reserves, et cetera.

> POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

Where is the breach?

24

25

responsible?

```
1
               MR. MURAKAMI:
                               I think the breach
2
  initially is their failure to recognize their
  responsibility, the U.S. government.
4
               MR. SHAIN: You feel the breach is
  at the U.S. government?
6
               MR. MURAKAMI:
                               Sure. You have a
  trustee that doesn't even recognize his duties,
  and I consider that a breach.
9
               MR. SHAIN:
                            Okay.
10
               MR. LUCAS:
                            I see it as being
11
  both.
         I see it as both the United States and
12 the State.
13
               MR. MURAKAMI:
                               Well, in the sense
14 that the Federal government is debating the
  issue, it is really calling attention to the
16 fact that unless you get somebody to recognize
17 his duties, you're never going to get that
18
  entity to act properly, and we unfortunately
19
  have this current debate.
20
                If you look at the records ten
21
  years ago, the Solicitor General was saying the
22
  United States has a role as a trustee for
23
  Hawaiian people.
                     So they basically have
  inconsistent positions being expressed
  depending on the administration in office at
                    POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

į,

1 the time.

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, rather than trying to debate it, I think that what's been attempted, at least in this past session -- And hopefully this will be brought up in Congress -- is that we clarify this legislatively, and that's what the "purpose clause" bill was intended to do, was to clarify that the United States does have this trust responsibility.

If that piece of legislation is in 11 effect consented to by Congress, then there 12 will be no debate. But until we get that 13 resolved, we will run into this position of the Republican administrations. 14

15 MR. SHAIN: One more question, and 16 maybe we should keep it to a pretty short 17 answer.

> MR. MURAKAMI: Yes.

MR. SHAIN: Before sovereignty does take place and the Hawaiian people get together to discuss what their sovereignty should be, what is -- in terms of the corporate role, how do you see this model working with -- with also having communications from the Hawaiian people into it?

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

8 9

6

7

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Would the Federal government just be a fiduciary agency, the State government would administer it, Hawaiian Home Lands would implement it, and hopefully there would be some communications from the Hawaiian people to make this thing happen? I'm talking about presovereignty.

> MR. MURAKAMI: Pre-sovereignty? MR. SHAIN: Yes.

What's the model that you think this Commission should recommend that at least has a shot of working?

> Okav. I'm done.

MR. MURAKAMI: I don't think there's a magic formula, and there are many people advocating different roles for sovereignty.

MR. SHAIN; No, I'm sorry, not to the role of sovereignty.

Right now we have a problem.

MR. MURAKAMI: Sure.

MR. SHAIN: There's 96,000 acres being under lease, some even to people carrying foreign passports. How can we in the short term recommend -- what would your

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

recommendation be to this Commission to recommend in terms of a corporate structure, pre-sovereignty? Sovereignty has nothing to do with this. Should the Federal government put funds into here? What is the recommendation in terms of the structure?

MR. MURAKAMI: Well, I guess maybe your question to me -- you said, "corporate structure." I'm not sure that that is a corporate mechanism.

But clearly what beneficiaries are seeking now is more help from the Federal government, and I think the State is also looking toward the Federal government. The bill is too high, and the State cannot pay it.

In terms of trying to get people on the land quickly, then clearly if more money was available, more people can be settled more quickly. What I have suggested is that that be pursued, in addition to trying to lower the cost by looking at lands that are available that could be used for homesteading that are currently not available.

And that has not so far, I think, been given serious attention. We have

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

suggested in the case of Lualualei -- I'm sure the Navy's position is going to be, "We need it for national security." They have lands that they have taken improperly, I believe, from the 5 Hawaiian kingdom, lands that could be used for housing. These areas have to be explored in 7 order to accomplish the task of identifying ways to lower the cost of settling people on the lands.

10 THE CHAIR: Another question? 11 Anthony. Could you use the mike, 12 please.

> MR. VERICELLA: Sure.

With respect to the fact that the Federal government has said that they really do 16 not have any responsibility except tangentially but that the State is really the trustee, they've also said that they do have responsibility if it's proven that the State is not living up to its trust responsibility, and then in that case their lead agency, which is 22 the Department of the Interior, will involve the Department of Justice to then investigate 24 the matter. And that is correct.

> MR. MURAKAMI: Well, I think they **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

have even put it more bluntly: that the

Department of Justice has that role, not the

Department of the Interior.

MR. VERICELLA: But the Department of the Interior, as lead agency, would have to work in conjunction with the Department of Justice to do so?

MR. LUCAS: Yes.

MR. MURAKAMI: That's

theoretically what we're hoping to see, but what we don't see is that -- there is not a mechanism set up so that somebody with a problem can go see the Department of the Interior. "We've got a problem here. What do I have to do to make a complaint? What do I have to do to substantiate a complaint? Who do I have to see, and what time frame are you going to investigate and make a recommendation to Justice if you have to?" There's no procedure.

MR. VERICELLA: Since that is the case, in the meantime, while you're trying to get legislation to further involve the Federal government in their trustee responsibility, maybe a different approach could be a

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 recommendation from here that -- the Federal 2 government's saying that the State has all the 3 responsibility and can administer this trust or they have the skill to do so, and that's 5 exactly what President Reagan has said. 6 In that case, we go through the 7 State Legislature or the Governor himself to 8 condemn or revoke all executive orders and government proclamations that were done before 10 the federal Quiet Title Act ever existed. 11 And if he can rescind or revoke 12 those, or the State Legislature does, then the 13 federal Quiet Title Act doesn't even apply, 14 because it can't apply legally after an illegal 15 activity occurred in the first place, right? 16 MR. MURAKAMI: Your comments have 17 a beautiful simplicity. Unfortunately --18 MR. VERICELLA: That's why -- it 19 can't be that simple. 20 MR. MURAKAMI: The problem is, it 21 can never be that way. That was what the 22 situation was with Lualualei. 23 MR. VERICELLA: Right. 24 MR. MURAKAMI: The executive 25 orders were declared illegal in 1978 in a case **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 involving another piece of land. 2 MR. VERICELLA: Sure. 3 MR. MURAKAMI: But the same 4 principle applied. 5 MR. VERICELLA: Uh-huh. 6 MR. MURAKAMI: The problem is that 7 the United States has sovereign immunity from 8 suit, and until it consents to suit, it cannot 9 be sued. 10 It consented to be sued on title matters in 1972. Before that, nobody could 11 12 really sue. Okay? 13 Uh-huh. MR. VERICELLA: 14 MR. MURAKAMI: But it imposed a 15 12-year statute of limitations. In other 16 words, if it couldn't be brought within 12 17 years, if it wasn't brought within 12 years, 18 then you're out. 19 That was 13 years after we became 20 a state when Judge Fong said Hawaii should have 21 known -- or the Department of Hawaiian Home 22 Lands should have known that the Department of 23 the Navy was claiming this Lualualei property

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

situation where you really can't do anything.

So you have this very Catch-22

for its own.

25

1 THE CHAIR: Okay --2 MR. MURAKAMI: Not until Congress 3 changes it. 4 That's a good recommendation that 5 you could make. 6 THE CHAIR: We're going to allow 7 one more question. 8 MS. DALY: This is a very brief 9 question, but I think it's important. Both of 10 you have mentioned the denial of the NH's right 11 to sue. 12 What could this Committee do? Ι 13 noticed that you say we should make a 14 recommendation. I think it would help this 15 Committee make its recommendation if we knew 16 the main reason why they are denied this right 17 to sue. It helps to know the position of the 18 Federal government, because you say that we do 19 have -- the Native Hawaiians do have a right to 20 sue the State but not the Federal government. 21 Can you just tell me their 22 argument? 23 MR. LUCAS: I think the reason why is, they are claiming that they have no 25 responsibility any more over the Act, that they **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 no long serve as a trustee, they are just a 2 supervisor. And so the real problem lies with 3 the State, is what they're saying, so go ahead 4 and sue the State, but don't sue us. 5 THE CHAIR: Okay. 6 A1? 7 Just to follow up MR. LARDIZABAL: 8 the question -- but before I make that 9 question: You know, Alan, you talked about 10 money. The feds talk about \$500 billion to pay 11 off the S&L's. 12 The question is: The feds are 13 saying that -- on August 27, 1979, the former 14 Solicitor of the Department of the Interior 15 said that in fact the Department of the 16 Interior had a trusteeship relationship with 17 Then subsequently that was denied by this Act. 18 the current administration; is that correct? 19 MR. MURAKAMI: And the previous 20 one. 21 MR. LARDIZABAL: And the previous 22 one. 23 MR. MURAKAMI: President Reagan's 24 one. 25 MR. LARDIZABAL: And they also **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

used the case of the Ninth Circuit Court, Bryce 2 (phonetic) versus Hawaii, and Keaukaha-Panaewa. 3 MR. MURAKAMI: Uh-huh. 4 MR. LARDIZABAL: Is that the only 5 reasons why they're saying that they have no 6 longer a position of responsibility? 7 MR. LUCAS: I guess if you're 8 taking it from the same letter, yes. I mean, I would --10 MR. LARDIZABAL: What do you 11 think? 12 MR. LUCAS: That would be my 13 response, but again, it's a footnote and it's 14 -- I would argue that in that particular case, 15 they were determining whether or not Native 16 Hawaiians had a right under the Act to enforce 17 -- under the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act to 18 sue the State government for their breach of 19 trust, and in doing so the court had to analyze 20 certain factors. 21 One of them was the legislative 22 history and the language of the Act. In doing 23 so, the court in Keaukaha made that little side 24 comment, now.

> MR. LARDIZABAL: That's what **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

(808) 521-7815

25

1 they're using? 2 MR. LUCAS: Yes. 3 MR. LARDIZABAL: Okay. 4 THE CHAIR: Okay. 5 Mr. Dulles, have you got any 6 questions for them? 7 MR. DULLES: No questions. 8 THE CHAIR: Okay. 9 I'd like to -- on behalf of the Advisory Committee, Mr. Murakami and Mr. Lucas, 10 11 thank you so much for your exhaustive research into this matter. 12 13 You will be contacted, and I know that we can work hand in hand with some 14 solutions for our final report. Thank you so 15 much, gentlemen. 16 17 MR. LUCAS: Thank you. 18 MR. MURAKAMI: Thank you. 19 THE CHAIR: Now I would like to 20 call upon the current legislative and policy 21 Mr. Williamson Chang, Director of the issues. 22 Native Hawaiian Advisory Council. 23 I would like you to state your 24 name. 25 I would like to apologize, first **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 of all, for going into your time, but this was 2 a very informative thing we have to cover. 3 State your name, please, and who 4 you represent, and if you have a prepared 5 statement, if you could summarize and then we can ask you questions on it. 7 Have you got one? 8 MR. CHANG: I do not have a 9 prepared statement. 10 THE CHAIR: Okay. Go ahead. 11 MR. CHANG: There is no need for 12 an apology. The issues are important, and I 13 understand. 14 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 15 MR. CHANG: My name is Williamson 16 B.C. Chang. It is stated on the agenda that 17 I'm a director of the Native Hawaiian Advisory 18 Council. That is correct. That's a 501C 19 community organization whose purpose is to 20 provide Native Hawaiians with free legal 21 assistance as to water rights. 22 However, I am testifying today 23 here in strictly a personal capacity. 24 remarks are not to be attributed to that 25 organization, nor to any other institution that **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

£ 2

I have been affiliated with.

I would also like to make it clear on the record that as of June 30, I was no longer special counsel for the United States Senate Select Committee on Indian Affairs, and I left the employment of Senator Daniel Inouye.

I have checked with the United States Select Committee on Ethics. There is no problem with testifying before this Commission under the so-called Deaver Rule, the one with the prohibition against lobbying. So clearly the testimony is exempt, and clearly when one is not representing any organization, one is not lobbying.

That aside, I would like to say that I will not get into -- I think I have only got a half an hour. I would like to just simply present the big picture of what I see as the long-term and the short-term reasons for the Federal refusal to admit their responsibility as trustees to the Hawaiians.

The anecdote that I would like to start with, it was at the last hearing that Senator Inouye held in Honolulu. It was a follow-up hearing on the "purpose clause,"

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

WERS & ASSOCIA

and the hearings were in Molokai and in Honolulu.

At the last afternoon in Honolulu, what someone on the panel -- and this included Senator McCain who said something about Indians, and a gentleman in the first row, obviously Hawaiian, shouted out, "We're not Indians." There was a hush-hush-hush.

I would like to say that this is the issue: Are Hawaiians Indians or not? It cannot be explained that simply, but frankly, they are not. As a law professor, my opinion is that Hawaiians are not Indians, and this is the whole reason we have this problem.

Let me start by saying that the United States' relationship with the Hawaiian nation, Hawaiian people, is absolutely unique, and that is the reason why placing them within the Indian context is primarily a matter of practical significance; that the United States will not even dare to explore its true relationship with the Hawaiian people because it raises issues that undermine the fundamental concepts on which this nation was based, namely, that Hawaii, as everyone knows, was a POWERS & ASSOCIATES

nation that was annexed.

What other nation has the United States completely annexed? None. We cannot compare the annexation of Hawaii with the so-called Louisiana Purchase or the Oregon Purchase, the annexation of Texas, because those were basically acquisitions of property, not political sovereignty.

People forget that the use of the term, "annexation," in the Hawaiian context is misleading. When France sold Louisiana to the United States, that was an annexation of property. There are constitutional provisions under two property clauses that allow Congress to do this. But the annexation of Hawaii was the annexation of the political rights and independence of a complete nation against its will.

It cannot be compared with Texas, either, because the annexation of Texas did not dissolve Mexico, which was claiming Texas, as well.

The annexation of Hawaii wiped off the face of the Earth a nation by a unilateral act of the United States Congress in a joint

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

resolution.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, if one does a cursory review of the United States Constitution, there is no power in the United States to annex a political entity. It can buy property. problem. But point to me where in the Constitution the United States has the power without a plebiscite to annex a nation.

٢,

Of course, the modern parallel is Lithuania, which is a country that was annexed by a joint agreement of Hitler and Stalin. Ιt was a separate country, but at least there was the legal fiction of a vote of the people.

So the problem that we have here is that Hawaiians are not Indians. Indians claim a nation-to-nation relationship based on Supreme Court definitions of what a nation is, but first there must be a declaration that the Indian tribe is a tribe. In other words, there is a legal distinction between recognized tribes and non-recognized tribes.

There are approximately 400 recognized tribes and 20 non-recognized tribes. You have to meet a list of criteria to become a recognized tribe. What is the criteria? Well, **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 you have to be in existence for so long. 2 have to have a leader. You have to have 3 customary law. You have to have an area that 4 you considered your own. So people ask: Are 5 Hawaiians a tribe? And they say, "of course." 6 But that's like saying France is a tribe. Of 7 course, France has got an organization, a 8 But France is more than a tribe. government. 9 It's a nation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hawaii had a legal code. It did not have customary law. It had the legal code that it has today, the land court system. In other words, to say Hawaiians were a tribe and therefore to jigsaw within Indian rights is to miss completely the unique status of the Hawaiian situation.

In other words, Hawaiians are not a tribe. Hawaiians are a nation. Therefore, the reason that we should be before you and the Civil Rights Commission is not race discrimination, it's national origin discrimination.

In other words, Hawaiians may be a race, but what would the race be? Polynesian. What they are, are a nation of citizens being

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 discriminated against, and that may include people who were loyal citizens of Hawaii 3 without Hawaiian blood. A loyal Chinese citizen is a member, a citizen of a nation. 5 other words, the idea is not tribal status but 6 citizenship. That's how I see it. 7 Now, the problem is, the United 8 States has gone through a heart-wrenching debate with its conscience about Hawaiians. 10 Well, unfortunately, that debate took place 10 11 years ago. 12 When the United States made a 13 decision to annex Hawaii, if you examine the 14 congressional documents and the public 15 statements and the newspaper clippings from 16 that era, it took 10 years, and it was the main 17 issue of the United States political debate. 18 It wasn't like someone slipped this one 19 through. Many, many people, including 20 congressmen and senators, saw this as an 21 immoral act; they did not want Congress to do 22 this. 23 So if you study the 10-year period 24 after the overthrow -- Well, it's a 5-year

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

period, but going back -- and you examine the

newspapers from Maine, Minnesota, and Iowa, editorials against the annexation of Hawaii, people knew that this was fundamentally wrong, against the principles of the Declaration of Independence, and also a stupid thing to do.

heroes that we don't know about, senators from Maine, senators from Indiana who got up on the floor and said, "This is wrong." So what does that prove in terms of the United States
Federal responsibility? It proves that this was unique. And ultimately, of course, those congressmen who desired annexation won out.
But let me point out that in the years prior to 1893, all of the discussions of the United States and Hawaii becoming one nation were to utilize the legal mechanism of the treaty:
Both sides sign.

This was not done by treaty.

Treaty could not have been accomplished. This was done by annexation, simply a resolution of Congress. And you know that a resolution is not a law; it is a rather easy thing to pass in the State Legislature if you want something to simply be on the record.

## POWERS & ASSOCIATES

Why a resolution, the weakest form of congressional action? Because there were enough senators -- And only the Senate can approve a treaty on a two-thirds majority -- enough senators were against the annexation of Hawaii that that treaty did not pass.

So the end run political play was, the President of the United States, who had won his election based on expansionism in the Pacific -- He campaigned on "We want to become an empire; we want the Philippines; we want Hawaii" -- he won by simply proposing that Congress pass a joint resolution accepting the gift offer from the provisional Hawaiian government led by Sanford Dole.

That joint resolution only required a majority vote, and they had a majority in the Senate and they had a majority in the House. But let me tell you that if you examine the congressional history, it was a very, very tough fight. Why? Because they knew that this was wrong.

Basically the reason for the annexation of Hawaii had nothing to do with -- anything to do with the Hawaiian people. It POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

wasn't like the British wanting to civilize the Hawaiians. The Hawaiian people were viewed as a nation with a culture, a nation with a The particular reasons for history. annexation of Hawaii were, one, geopolitical in terms of its strategic location, and the second was economic.

Now, a compromise was struck in Congress at that time by the opponents of annexation with those who wanted it. Either the sugar barons or the military, led by the Navy, wanted Hawaii as their coaling station.

The deal was basically this:

"Okay, this is an immoral act, but you promised us that if you take over Hawaii, you preserve the day-to-day lifestyle, customs and traditions of the Hawaiian people. They are good people. We want to be sure that their life goes on as before. You can change the political status of Hawaii from nation to territory, but promise us that you will not destroy this race of people.

Few people know about this to date.

THE CHAIR: Let me interrupt you.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

```
1
                What we have to do, we have to
2
  kind of confine ourselves to the core
3
               I mean, you know, it's beautiful
  questions.
  what you're coming out with, but our time
5
  constraints don't allow us to go into stuff
6
  like this.
               So mainly this body is looking for
7
  direct recommendations from you as to how our
8
  final report can be put together to make an
  impression on our Commission's recommendations
10
  to the President and the Congress.
11
                So if you can confine your --
12
                MR. CHANG: I promise you I will
13
  compress everything I say.
14
                THE CHAIR:
                             Well, okay.
15
                MR. CHANG:
                             You just let me know
16
  if I'm wandering, okay?
17
                THE CHAIR:
                             I will.
18
                MR. CHANG:
                             All right.
                                          You do
19
  that.
20
                What I'm simply saying is that
21
  three documents -- and the one that we're
22
  concerned with is the third -- the first is
23
  the Newlands (phonetic) Resolution on
24
  Annexation, the second is the Act of 1900, and
25
   the final one is the 1921 White House
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

Commission Act -- were structured around a compromise of the promise to keep Hawaiians basically living in their lifestyle.

The Annexation document says:

"The public lands, the so-called ceded lands,
taken from the Hawaiian government shall be
used for the inhabitants of Hawaii" which, at
that time, meant Hawaiians. In other words,
the whole point of the so-called 5F Trust that
we see today was, Hawaiians were to be
protected.

The 1900 Organic Act that was restated -- but the thing is, the people who administered both acts did not understand the unique public trust relationship, and by 1920 Hawaiians had been totally left out, so Congress entered into a special congressional act setting aside from those lands special lands for Hawaiians.

The key issue, Chairman Maxwell, is that by the time of statehood the term "inhabitants of Hawaii" was read in the wrong context. It was read in the context of 1959 as opposed to 1898.

And who do we have in Hawaii in POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 1959? Hawaiians are a substantial minority, 2 and the term "inhabitants of the islands" was 3 read to be "general public," so that the 5F 4 Trust gets read as a trust that includes the 5 general public, meaning all the other people 6 who came afterwards. That explains a lot of 7 the reluctance of the State to introduce a 5F 8 Trust for Hawaiians. 9 Now, let's turn over to the issue 10 of today, which is the Hawaiian Homes 11 Commission Act. Now, the Hawaiian Homes 12 Commission Act -- I said Hawaiians are not 13 Indians. What are they doing under the U.S. 14 Senate's Select Committee on Indian Affairs? 15 That's a very good question. 16 As you know, the Hawaiian Homes 17 Commission's amendments go first to the Energy 18 and Public Lands Committee of the Senate, 19 because Hawaiian lands are public lands. 20 there is an agreement that given the indigenous 21 status of Hawaiians, the Senate Select 22 Committee on Indian Affairs has paramount 23 jurisdiction. 24 So where does the Federal trust 25 begin from? It begins from the compromise **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

struck in Congress and continued through 5F, which has been misinterpreted in terms of the general public. The reason that the Federal government refuses to acknowledge its obligation is that at the time of statehood, the deal that was struck was: We give you statehood, you take care of the Hawaiians. We don't want any more responsibility.

The problem is, the people who negotiated that agreement did not represent the Hawaiian nation, and therefore they did not -- and neither did they understand the original compromise struck by Congress in 1898. Hence the Federal government does not want to acknowledge that arrangement, and, if it is pushed to acknowledge it as Hawaiians being a tribe, they have some fairly good legal arguments, which are: They're more than a tribe; they're not really a tribe; they're not a recognized tribe.

The Interior Department has been using that to deny Federal responsibility. But what I'm saying the big picture is, is that Congress itself has forgotten the compromise that created initial Federal responsibility.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 The purpose of Senator Inouye's 2 work has been to return to Congress, to remind 3 them that it was they who created the fiduciary 4 duty, and it's their administrators up until 5 statehood who misapplied and did not interpret 6 it correctly. It is their negotiators who let 7 the State off the hook in terms of defining who are the beneficiaries of the 5F Trust, as well as Hawaiian Homes Commission Trust. 10 So this is why it's so 11 complicated. 12 THE CHAIR: Okay. 13 Any questions from the panel? 14 I have to advise you that the 15 audience can't hear the panel members, so 16 please speak into the microphone. 17 MR. LARDIZABAL: Mr. Chairman. 18 Mr. Chang, you stated that for 19 statehood, a deal was struck. Are there any 20 records indicating that these feds did convey 21 and the State agreed to the conveyance of that responsibility, or is this just your opinion 23 that we've heard? 24 MR. CHANG: I don't understand the 25 question.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

MR. LARDIZABAL: Well, you say a deal was struck. Was there any records to show that the State accepted the responsibility that the feds got out of?

MR. CHANG: Well, I won't say yes or no, because I would like to do legal research on that.

What I would say is that to me, clearly the Federal government is saying that "As of 1959, we are not responsible for Hawaiian Homes or 5F."

And if you look at the records, the records that I have looked at, there was much discussion on who 5F and Hawaiian Homes -- the Federal government was very worried that the State would not take care of HH. Many promises had to be made.

If one looks at the discussion -the papers -- the negotiations for statehood,
it's clear that the Federal government was
worried that the State would not fulfill its
obligation. But they did not -- but one of the
compromises of statehood is: "If you want to
be a state, take care of your own people. We
don't want a continuing obligation."

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 Now, I don't consider that to be a 2 valid legal reason for them signing off 3 because, one, the Hawaiian people were not represented in these negotiations. Can you 5 consider the territorial government to have represented the nation that was destroyed in 7 1893? 8 In other words, Hawaiians were looked upon as if they were one of the many 10 different races in Hawaii as opposed to a 11 separate national entity that lost its 12 citizenship. It was not just a low-income 13 group that needed special help, but had a 14 particular legal claim that had never before 15 been recognized in American history. 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 17 Any more questions? 18 Mr. Dulles? 19 MR. DULLES: No. 20 MS. DALY: Do you have any 21 specific recommendation that could help this 22 Committee prepare its report, something that is 23 very important for us to state or something 24 that we should do that's specific? 25 Well, the history that MR. CHANG: **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

I've given you is the first thing that must be made clear: that Congress does not remember the compromise, that it itself did this awful deed, in other words, annexed a people without any votes, completely against American principles.

It did this awful deed only by promising the Hawaiians that the Hawaiians could live in the lifestyle that they had, as they did before. That was the whole point of the Hawaiian Homes Program. But they got it all wrong.

Hawaiians cannot be simply plugged into American institutions like 40 acres and a mule. Hawaiians live in a communal system around valleys. They didn't have property.

They forgot all that.

So the first point is that

Congress must be reminded that it must -- in a series of bills starting with the "purpose clause", in my mind, then going to the Trust

Council bill -- realize that it had this responsibility, that it is explicit. It's not just something that's floating around there.

It's in the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act. It

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

must be corrected. 2 MS. DALY: I know how lawyers love 3 to talk, so I won't encourage you, but I just think what you're saying, really, is education; 5 That's the main thing. You can try to educate 6 these people. 7 MR. CHANG: Well, I understand that you're basically advisory. Well, one thing that you can do is to educate Congress. 10 They feel that the Hawaiian claims to health 11 funds are the claims of poor people who need it 12 simply because they're poor. That's not true. 13 The claims go back clear to the destruction of a national entity, now, which is totally 15 unique. 16 I mean, they view us as a welfare 17 program, a food stamp program, and therefore 18 we're, you know, competing only if we can show 19 that we're hardship cases. That is not the 20 issue. 21 Yes? 22 THE CHAIR: However, we have got 23 to bear in mind -- and I think we have to keep

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

apart the fact that the annexation or the

Hawaiian Homes Act is not any sort of

24

I reparation because of the annexation. That has 2 to be not linked together with reparations. It's two separate -- it's a separate issue. 3 4 MR. CHANG: I agree totally. Hawaiian Homes Commission Act is explicit. Ιt 5 is the lands withdrawn from the public lands 6 7 that were set aside for the Hawaiians, and Congress saw that their administrators were failing so they wanted to make it very clear what the purpose of the things is. It is not 10 reparations. Of course not. 11 It was -- we aren't even 12 fulfilling the promise we made to you in the 13 Reparations go back to that initial beginning. The compromise was an unholy 15 annexation. 16 compromise. But even the promises they made 17 -- The devil's promise to take care of you in 18 exchange for your citizenship -- they're not even fulfilling that. That's the awful part of 19 20 it. 21 MS. SAUNDERS: Mr. Chairman? 22 THE CHAIR: Yes. 23 MS. SAUNDERS: Mr. Chang, the 24 United States government in its relationship to 25 the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands had **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
1
  recognized that local custom should be
2
  incorporated with American legal precedent.
3
                Was there any recognition in the
4
  annexation that Hawaiian custom would have any
5
  legal standing?
6
                MR. CHANG: Yes.
                                   But the point
7
  is, when Hawaii was annexed, it was not a tribe
  of people who did not have written laws.
9
  was a sophisticated nation with an extremely
10
  sophisticated legal system.
                                 There's no problem
11
  with looking at the legal system there and
12
  saying, "This is the law of Hawaii."
13
                In that law itself, it recognizes
14
  customary law.
15
                THE CHAIR:
                             Thank you.
16
                Ozzie?
17
                MR. STENDER:
                               Only one, Mr.
18
  Williamson.
19
                What is the vehicle that is needed
20
  to correct the wrong that was done at
21
  annexation and to get the Federal government to
22
  admit to the issue you mentioned?
                                       What is the
23
  issue of that bill that you passed through
24
   Congress, that you sue the Federal government
25
   -- or what is the vehicle that we need to
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

1 correct that wrong? 2 MR. CHANG: The most viable 3 vehicle is, the Federal government is sitting 4 on 400,000 acres of land, of ceded lands, 5 federally-occupied ceded lands that are not paid for, nor are they paying rent. When they 6 7 start a national park somewhere else, they buy 8 How about paying some rent or giving it back? 10 THE CHAIR: Okay. 11 Mr. Chang, thank you so much for 12 your participation in this meeting of ours, 13 fact-finding meeting, and your comments will be 14 taken into consideration and into our final 15 report. Thank you. 16 MR. CHANG: Thank you, Mr. 17 Chairman. 18 THE CHAIR: I would like to call 19 up now Mililani B. Trask, Kia 'Aina, Ka Lahui 20 Hawai'i, and Colette Machado, Ke Kua'aina 21 Honaunau (sic) Hou. 22 MS. MACHADO: Hanauna. 23 THE CHAIR: Hanauna, sorry. 24 Never offend someone from Molokai. 25 MS. MACHADO: Not if you want me **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 to carve you a dugout. 2 I would like for you THE CHAIR: 3 to state your name and who you represent. you have written testimony, if you can, 5 summarize it, so to speak, instead of going Then we can ask you a lot of over it. 7 questions. 8 Aloha. Charlie --MS. TRASK: 9 THE CHAIR: Aloha. 10 MS. TRASK: -- and members of this 11 Commission. It's a pleasure to be here again 12 to present testimony for you. 13 I am here in my capacity as Kia 14 'Aina of Ka Lahui to represent our 7,000 Native 15 citizens. When you were here in 1988, we had 16 just completed our first constitutional 17 convention. At that time, we had 250 members. 18 Since that time, we have enrolled 7,000 Native 19 Hawaiians into our nation. 20 We recently completed an election which was certified by the League of Women 22 Voters, and we now have a seated legislature representing 33 districts. We have 64 Native Hawaiian and Hawaiian elected representatives and an executive of four people, of which I **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

happen to be the governor.

The letter that I received from the Civil Rights Commission posed certain questions for me and asked me to respond, to make answers to them. In my testimony, what I've done is, I've divided my testimony into three parts. The first are responses -- brief -- to those specific interrogatories that were posed.

In the second part of my testimony, what I've done is try to direct your attention to what I feel is the larger problem, which is an inherent conflict of interest both by the State and the Federal government with regards to the Hawaiian Home Lands as well as the ceded lands.

And then in the third part of my testimony, I am presenting for your consideration some short-term recommendations, as well as some long-term recommendations.

Firstly, under responses to interrogatories: Have the Federal and State governments met their trust obligations to the Native Hawaiian people under the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act? With regards to the United

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

States, the answer is no.

2 I am in possession of the letters 3 that have been sent to you by Interior, as well as the letter sent by Interior to Senator 5 The Department of the Inouye in October. Interior has retracted the position that it 7 stated to this Commission in 1979. documents before me indicate that, in fact, the Department of the Interior is now relying upon footnote number 7, which appears at the bottom 11 of the page half-way through the Keaukaha 12 decision. Interior's legal basis of relying upon this is pretty thin. The Keaukaha case 14 did not address, and the question of Federal 15 obligation was not briefed or argued in 16 Keaukaha.

That little footnote -- was -- is
dicta in the case, and legally it means
absolutely nothing. What it means politically,
though, is a matter of great import. What it
means is that the Department of the Interior is
trying to escape out of its obligations. And
this Commission is very well aware what was
happening in 1979.

You were beginning your inquiry.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

Where we are 11 years later is that the evidence of the extensiveness of the breach, the injury, and the cost for repairing the trust is now very clear, and so Interior is changing its mind. I feel that Interior's position is bad faith, and I think that there are other incidents of bad faith that I would like you to look at.

In 1989, we had Ms. Ruth Van
Cleve, who was a commissioner with me on the
State-Federal Task Force. She worked with me
for nine months. We have her coming in to
testify before Senator Inouye, saying that the
State was in compliance with the
recommendations of the Federal-State Task
Force.

Ms. Van Cleve had flown into the state 24 hours earlier. She had not, from 1983 to 1989, monitored any of the State's activities, and yet she flew in, paid by Interior, and made a statement that there was compliance.

We also have both Ms. Van Cleve and Tim Glidden appearing before Senator

Bennett Johnston's committee in March of 1990, POWERS & ASSOCIATES

and I really hope that this Commission will get a copy of the oral transcript of their testimony before Senator Bennett Johnston.

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

They were asked -- with regards to 5 their review of the proposed amendments of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, they were asked 7 what they actually did to review those 8 amendments and the impact of those amendments. Ms. Van Cleve's statement was that they 10 basically read the documents to see if there 11 were any typographical errors. I think that 12 that is clear indication of where Interior is 13 coming from.

The State has failed miserably to uphold its trust obligations. You don't have to go much further than the 1989 audit of the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands to have the evidence on that. Now, the Deloitte Haskins & Sells audit was a soft audit, but although that was true, they could not in any way hide the extensiveness of the problems with the Department.

The State itself has undertaken procedures to avoid funding the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands while making it appear as POWERS & ASSOCIATES

though they are funding the Department. In my testimony, I have attached for your review the HUD memo that was sent by the local HUD office to the national.

When you talk -- when you see the campaign propaganda that's coming out, the statement is that the State has provided \$80 million to the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands. When you read the legislation, you'll see that about 26 million was grant; the other 50 million was bondable.

The bonding requirement was imposed upon the fiscal appropriation with full knowledge of the Governor and the legislature that the Department by statute would not be able to float \$50 million in bonds. You can't float that kind of bonding unless you have the liquid capital to pay back the bond.

So if you say, "Department, here's 50 million. All you have to do is float bonds" -- And there's not a single lending institution in the State that will give them a bond, and their own capital flow will not cover more than \$15 million worth of bonds -- you know that they're not going to use the money, and in fact POWERS & ASSOCIATES

the money will lapse back at the end of the period to the State.

We've got 20,900 Native families waiting. I don't see a possibility of settling them in the next 100 years. We have a very valuable second trust that should be made available to our people in the Ceded Lands Trust. We have instead the State enacting legislation in the form of H.B.2896 that waives the Native claim to 1.4 million acres of ceded lands. Those lands, and access to them and revenues, are needed by the beneficiaries of the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands if we are to address this problem.

Interrogatory two: To what extent has the State and Federal government responded to the specific findings and recommendations of the Task Force?

The State has undertaken certain cosmetic steps to resolve their accounting problems. They failed to pursue recovery of Lualualei until the statute had run. In my estimation, the State in passing the right-to-sue statute did not at all address the concerns of the Task Force.

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 The Task Force wanted to seek 2 State and Federal action with a right to sue that would allow beneficiaries to have access to their lands, monies to build homes, monies 5 in the form of back rent. When you look at the State standing-to-sue statute that was passed, 7 it says that Hawaiians can sue, but if they sue and win, the court cannot give them either land or money, and the court must return their land and money back to the State trustees that have 10 just been found in breach of trust. If that's 11 not an example of bad faith, I really don't 12 know what is. 13

On the Federal side, we have had some fiscal appropriation in the last two years. We had about 2.4 million Federal dollars come down. These came down as discretionary funds from HUD.

19

20

21

22

23

I would like to point out that these funds came down for the infrastructure of the Pahe'ehe'e Ridge Association, primarily because when you were here in 1988, I submitted testimony to you pointing out that the civil rights of the Pahe'ehe'e Ridge Association had been violated.

## POWERS & ASSOCIATES

By testimony presented by Ilimoki Iliaia (phonetic) against them in the State Legislature. And as a result of that, the Department, working with Senator Inouye, was able to bring down this amount of funding.

ş,

1

2

3

5

6

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

In 1989, the Affordable Housing Alliance and the Gibson Foundation, of which I am executive director, presented requests to Senator Inouye for amending the HUD laws so 10 that we could have access to programs for 11 elderly housing, mortgage insurance. Senator 12 Inouye's staff refused to carry the 13 legislation.

We worked this last year to have the legislation put into the House through Pat Saiki. We cleared the House yesterday, and we are currently using that approach to get 18 Senator Inouye now to support identical language so that we can move that through. We 20 did not receive the support of Hawaiian Homes Commission Act or the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands in these efforts, but nevertheless that is something moving on the Federal level.

We have tried for many years to get Federal standing to sue. I've attached my **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

testimony on this matter for you to review. We have not been successful. I would like to you read the exhibits to my testimony.

There's a letter in there to me from Senator Inouye dated 1988 saying that he would not -- would not -- move legislation for Federal standing to sue because he had been asked to hold off by the Governor, who was preparing a land settlement of the ceded lands.

This letter came to me in 1988.

The legislation depriving our people of their ceded land entitlements did not come out publicly until 1989. I consider that this letter is evidence that Senator Inouye was well aware that the State and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs were taking action to gut the Native entitlements for the ceded land.

In 1990, we have legislation being proposed as a "purpose clause." The State bill 3236, the Federal corollary being 349, Ka Lahui and I oppose that legislation. In seven and a half weeks we got 32,000 signatures against the Inouye purpose bill. What it does is it's cosmetic, and it's campaign-year grandstanding.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

We do not need a "purpose clause" to the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act saying that there is a Federal trust obligation unless we can have, number one, same way to enforce that obligation; number two, some clear definition as to what the Federal obligation to our people really is; and number three, the financing to achieve the goal.

· 1

If you look at the Native American cases that have been coming before the Supreme Court of this country for the last 15 years, what you're going to see is 15, 20, 30 years worth of litigation because Indians got a statement saying, "The Federal government has a trust obligation to you, but they never defined it."

We don't want to be in that position, and we don't to see 3236 passed into law. That legislation is dead in Washington. I have just returned from Washington. There is a possibility that it will move again next session, but we will oppose it unless the substantive amendments are made that we have requested.

Interrogatory three: What POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

assistance do we have from the Federal and 2 State to implement the Act? We have 2.4 3 Federal dollars. The State has made some nominal efforts to finance. 5 Interrogatory number four: 6 the existing Federal oversight and State 7 administrative mechanisms adequate to assure effective implementation? 9 The answer is no. There really is 10 no Federal oversight mechanism in place. There's Tim Glidden saying, "I have the 11 responsibility," but not doing much other than 12 reading for typographical errors. 13 14 We have a State administration 15 that cannot function properly, has a 42 percent staff vacancy in its Honolulu office, is 17 woefully underfunded, and we do not have 18 access to the State or Federal courts for 19 meaningful judicial review. We have really no 20 State or Federal oversight mechanisms really in 21 place. 22 I would like to point out in this 23 that just this past June, the Senate Select 24 Committee in Washington had hearings on a piece 25 of legislation that could have addressed this

> POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

1 problem, the oversight problem. And what it 2 was, was, it was Bill 2451 creating a special 3 trust oversight office, person, counsel within 4 the Department of the Interior. 5 That person, Federal trust 6 counsel, was to look after the Federal uses and 7 obligations relating to Indian trust assets. 8 Not only were Hawaiians not included in the bill, but the bill was circulated in Hawaii 10 stamped "Confidential" all across the top of 11 it, and I got a copy of it quite by accident. 12 I filed it as an exhibit. 13 Ask yourself this question: is Federal legislation dealing with Federal 15 trust oversight to Native Americans coming for 16 hearing within one week in Washington, D.C. 17 why is that legislation not circulated in 18 Hawaii and, instead, transmitted to Eddie Ayau 19 of the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation staff 20 and to Inouye's home office stamped 21 "Confidential"? 22 How is it possible that Federal 23 legislation impacting Native benefits should be 24 held confidential from the public? I think

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

that this is a good indication of the great

care that is being taken on the part of certain Federal delegates to make sure that we are not included and that these problems are not addressed.

What specific recommendations do I have for you? Firstly, you know, people are saying that this Commission doesn't really have a lot of power, this Commission really can't do anything but advise. I want to urge you to do the best job you can.

What we need is a detailed report documenting the extensiveness of the State and the Federal governments' breach of trust and their fiduciary obligations to our people. We need to have included in your reports specific references to testimony given by the Native beneficiaries at the Select Committee oversight hearings.

We've had oversight hearings by
Inouye in August of 1988, August of 1989, and
May of 1990. The great wealth of the data is
available for you. If we do not have a
strongly worded and detailed report, we cannot
in any event move legislation to correct the
situation in Washington.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

And let me tell you that in my meetings with Interior last week and also Justice, in Washington, they expressed great concern and anxiety and fear that this Commission may in fact do just that, because what they're banking on is that we'll go into Congress with legislation to correct this, that the testimony and the opposition of Interior or Justice would be sufficient to kill it.

What they're worried about is that a strongly worded and supportive report from this Committee itself would be seen by Congress as reason to push aside Justice and Interior's opposition to legislation that we need.

Point number two, we need access
to Federal courts ourselves so that we can
bring litigation to stop the wasting of the
Hawaiian Homes and Ceded Lands Trusts. In my
right-to-sue testimony, it has been included.
It's very detailed.

I'd like to see an amendment to 32 USC 3761 that would allow us to go into the Federal courts. Other Native Americans have had the opportunity to go into court. I think that those -- that recommendation in your

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

report needs to be made clearly to the House and the Senate Judiciary Committees. You cannot take this to House Interior Committee.

You cannot take this to Senator Inouye's Senate Select Committee.

Read my testimony. I have tried for seven years to get him to introduce the legislation, and he will not do it. And so the correct recommendation on that point goes to the Judiciary Committees of the Senate and the House of the United States Congress.

Also, we need to have an immediate request to the Department of the Interior to initiate investigation and, if necessary, litigation based on the 1990 OHA audit and the 1989 DHHL audit against the State for breach of both the Hawaiian Homes and the Ceded Lands Trusts. This action needs to be taken immediately because what we need to do is preserve available lands, waters, and fiscal appropriations so that we can accomplish the goal.

Right now the State is moving to block the trust transfer land, put our waters on Molokai under the State and the County water POWERS & ASSOCIATES

If we delay for another four to five years, these lands and natural resources and waters will be transferred out, and they will be put under contract to other non-Hawaiian We cannot delay. parties.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This Commission needs to take a' look at what the real, overriding problem is. You can't just focus on the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act.

The situation is simply this: We've got State and Federal trustees who have helped themselves, historically, to the Native lands. They're in it up to their necks. There is a great deal of collusion. If you look at Lualualei, the Federal contract with the State to control Lualualei, who do you think wrote that contract? The State Attorney General and the Department of Justice. Do you think that either of those attorneys is going to support or initiate litigation to find themselves in breach of trust? I don't think so.

What we really have as a larger problem is an inherent conflict of interest, because the State and the Federal governments have other needs and other uses for these

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

lands. The Federal government wants to use Hawaiian Home Lands for military bases. They don't want to pay rent. They want to hold on to 1,000 acres of ceded lands, thousands of acres. They don't want to pay rent.

The fact is that the problem, the larger problem, is untenable. I know that members of this Commission have discussed some way of reorganizing the Department of Hawaiian. Home Lands, some way of combining the trusts. Should we put it under some Federal agency? Should we reorganize under the State?

I would submit to you that the conflict of interest is such that no matter what plan you choose to reorganize, if you place it under any State or Federal agency, you will not be providing us with the remedy that will address the problems.

Part of the problem is the

Democratic Party political network. I want to
go on record in my testimony for pointing out
what the situation is. We have, clearly,
situations of a breach. I'm not just talking
about 1983, action for civil rights, but
there's more than sufficient evidence for 1985,
POWERS & ASSOCIATES

collusion on the part of State and Federal employees to deny the Native Hawaiian people their rights, civil rights.

Let me point out Exhibit K, interoffice memo, again stamped "Confidential," from the Senate Select Committee staff to Senator Inouye, indicating that they have to have strict control over the oversight hearings in Hawaii, that they have to conform to and provide special services to State witnesses.

And let me say for the record that prior to the May 1990 hearing of Senator Inouye, Maui police officers were dispatched to the homes and the businesses of Ka Lahui members to encourage them not to show up and present testimony. I have an exhibit in my testimony that I sent to the Mayor. I have asked for an investigation of this.

We are entitled to present
testimony to the Senate Select Committee. We
consider it a violation of our civil rights
when police officers come out saying that
they're bringing a message from the Sergeantat-Arms of the Senate Select Committee and

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 that perhaps we shouldn't show up to testify. 2 We're asking that you follow up 3 with the Mayor and follow up with Mr. Henry 4 Giugni in the Senate to find out exactly why he 5 is investigating Ka Lahui members. There was 6 absolutely no reason for that. 7 Excuse me, Haunani. THE CHAIR: 8 MS. TRASK: It's Mililani. 9 MS. MACHADO: Mililani. 10 THE CHAIR: Excuse me a second 11 time. 12 Please try to confine -- we've got 13 to recognize Colette. 14 MS. TRASK: Okay. I'll sum up in 15 about five minutes. 16 With regards to what the 17 continuing controversy is, it's simply a 18 question of who is going to pick up the tab. 19 Hoaliku Drake sent me a letter this past 20 legislative session saying that in order to 21 meet infrastructure needs for two-thirds of 22 the waiting list, the bill was at \$900 million. 23 The Governor, the Attorney General fought us on 24 the right to sue, saying that if we had the 25 right, we would bankrupt the State. We lost **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

the legislation.

Six months later, the Governor came out on the front page of the paper announcing that the State of Hawaii, being the smallest state of the union, would be enjoying a budget surplus for 1988 and 1989 in the amount of \$900 million.

What the situation is, is simply this -- And I propose this to you as a very interesting question for you, because I have researched the Civil Rights Commission documents: What you have in Hawaii is, you have the United States and the State, by the actions of their agencies and their agents, undertaking a course of conduct with respect to our people that manifests a pervasive scheme of discrimination based on race and national origin.

Native Hawaiians are Native

Americans. We are not Indians. We are Native

Americans, and we are not allowed the special

status and protections of other Native American

classes, and that is a classic jurisdictional

problem for this Commission. We're not

Indians, but we are Native Americans.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

The question is: Why do other 2 Native Americans have separate governing 3 bodies, why do they control their lands, why do 4 they have the right to tax, why do they have 5 the right to go to court, and we as Native Hawaiians do not? The answer is very simple:

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 Because of our race and because of our

national origin. And this Commission has got to address that and take the bull by the horns.

I'm recommending in the short term that we have the creation of a Federal authority, a Federal authority whose members should be seven Hawaiians with a background in housing, finance, land development, and economic self-sufficiency.

We're going to need counsel on the part, hopefully, of the Civil Rights Division for Justice, and we need that in three to five years so that we can build the homes, put in the infrastructure, and sue the Federal and State governments for the capital that we need to get the job done.

Following the termination of the Federal authority, I am recommending that we move into the recognition of a sovereign entity **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 or entities under the Federal policy, and to 2 respond to what the question was earlier --3 How are we going to do this? -- Ka Lahui proposes a mechanism where democratic and 5 elective -- we recognize that other sovereign 6 groups exist. Let me say to you that I --7 THE CHAIR: Thirty seconds. 8 MS. TRASK: -- that I do not 9 accept the idea that we all have to be crammed 10 into one model. If there can be 16 Sioux 11 nations in this country and 5 Onandaga 12 nations, I don't see why there can't be more 13 done to accommodate the Hawaiian people. 14 Ka Lahui would like to include 15 everyone, but if people wish to have their own 16 mechanism, Ka Lahui would respect that. 17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you, 18 Mililani. 19 Sorry, Colette. 20 MS. MACHADO: Oh, no, don't 21 apologize. 22 Basically, I'm going to pull down 23 everything previously, because I've been 24 speaking as attorney, so having some legal 25 relationship either with the non-profit entity **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

or even working for sovereignty like Mililani.

I come to you today merely as a Native Hawaiian who is on the wait list, that is a third-generation Native Hawaiian client or Native Hawaiian eligibility.

I'm real confused as to -- I'm on the wait list. Again, I have half-Hawaiian. My mother is pure Hawaiian; she's on the homestead. I am the next-named successor. My grandparents were one of the original seven families that started the pioneering approach in Kalamaula. So I'm still waiting. I have been waiting for 14 years.

My mother was provided a homestead. Then it was taken away because we were on welfare on Molokai. She comes down to -- we try to make a new life on Oahu. She applies. They take her application. Finally, in 1976 they want to give her a lot in Waimanalo, and they found out that she cannot accept the lot because she was already given one and she gave it up. She gave it up not based on her own willfulness. Because the Department felt that financially she could not make payment.

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 So you look at my history in the 2 last 16 years. You come from a pioneer's 3 courageous background, and you come -- with my 4 mother, in the last 16 years, getting screwed 5 by the Department. Here she was waiting for a homestead when we moved to Oahu. When she was 7 finally given one they told her, "Sorry, Mrs. Machado. You were given one, but you gave it back. Our policy states that you can only have 10 Let me see how much Hawaiian your husband one. 11 has."

So my father, who is a little over three-quarters Hawaiian, is now the lessee, and my mom is pure Hawaiian. And I am now on Molokai waiting to get a homestead. This is my introduction.

Homes Commission under Judge Georgeanna Padeken and also with the State Land Use Commission.

I have been the bureaucratic route, and

I believe that is not the way to make the kind of changes that make permanent impact on people like my family and others in the home and throughout our state.

I have been actively involved on POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

I also served on the Hawaiian

25

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Molokai, not only as a want-to-be or I-want-to-be-on-the-homestead, but also as a community organizer protecting our water resources. have approximately 25,000 acres that we abandoned because Hawaiians have had difficulty with getting the adequate resources to begin the first steps in self-determination and self-esteem and to get some self-pride as being Hawaiians.

The lands we abandoned because previously the State entered into an agreement with the pineapple plantation, which was Dole and Del Monte, for a master lease agreement where these abandoned 35 acre lots would be then taken under their use to cultivate pineapple and, in return, the homesteaders would get a measly 100 to 200 dollars in December during the bonus season. "You will get a Christmas bonus."

When the plantations decide to pull out, they take everything, including this agreement, and the lands get back -- returned to them very fouled with all this pesticide that was pumped into the ground, all this plastic, paper. Nothing can be done on the POWERS & ASSOCIATES

land for over 10 years. And even now in these certain areas, these things cannot be developed.

2

3

4

5

6

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what we see here is that a race of people, a group of homesteaders, individuals, let the State, through this agreement to the private so-called plantations, to utilize the land, and when they were tired of us, they decided to leave because we were so 10 costly, and these lands were left there. the monies that were given to support these 12 individual families were then taken away.

Then: you have other individuals that are non-Native Hawaiians that come in -and I'm talking this is happening on Molokai. This is not something that is going to happen; it is happening, and continues to happen -where you enter into a third-party agreement with a non-Native Hawaiian that comes in, picks up like the pineapple plantations, and say, "I want to contract your property, your lands, and generate some income for you by farming." What this does is that this one non-Native Hawaiian controls the market on watermelon, he controls the market on tomatoes.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Sweet potatoes, that's solely owned by Hawaiians. Sweet potatoes from Molokai -- Molokai is the sweet potato kings. That's done by two Hawaiian families that are homesteaders: the Mokuau family and the Apofamily.

And that's it. The rest of the produce that comes from the island is held by one hable -- His name is Larry Jefts -- who also is the chairman of our Water Commission for Maui County, unquote. That tells you something else that's happening within what we call secondary: government level, which is our county.

Anyway, farmers were -- at some point in our history a few years ago, there was approximately 25 young Native Hawaiian farmers that inherited successor lands that were farming. This guy comes in, squashes them.

Mac Poepoe, who is now a farmer, cried. He would prefer leaving his lettuce rot in the field, and his eggplant, because it was not worth his time because he couldn't get any income value to what was put into it. He went under.

## POWERS & ASSOCIATES

There are testimony given on this third-party agreement arrangement by his wife before Senator Inouye some years ago. There is also testimony given to Senator Inouye recently over the use of water.

Molokai is now fighting with Tokyo Kosan, because they want to amend our community plan, take 2,300 acres and place it into a special project area that would put in two golf courses, the only golf course school in our Pacific, along with creating this high-class development of 1,200 acres. And they want Phase 2 to be strictly what they call a project district.

The bottom line is: They have insufficient water. The power is still with the homesteaders because of our priority rights to the aquifer that sits on land that is Hawaiian Homes inventory for our people.

There is this going on continuously, even as we speak. Next week Wednesday is the hearing before the Planning Commission to review the environmental assessment prepared by Tokyo Kosan for the proposed golf course and amendments to our

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Molokai Community Plan.

2

3

5

6

7

8

25

There is also a signed -- It gets crazier -- right of entry with the Department of Hawaiian Homes. This is where Tokyo Kosan, who inherited this lease from the ranch, will be laying a 24-inch water line to the resort.

The Kualapuu Aquifer's sustainable year is limited. Hawaiians to the State MIS arrangement with the development down on the

west end with the ranch and the State of
Hawaii has two-third preference. Our water is
depleting.

13 Do you know what the outcome is, the bottom line? Hawaiians don't need the 14 water, because they don't farm. They farm 16 derelict cars, and they got -- they just don't 17 farm, period. These are the simple things that 18 people talk about Hawaiians in general, in a rich homeland area like Molokai where the water 20 is still the cheapest in all of Hawaii and 21 where there's access with priority rights and superior rights are clearly defined as being 23 for Native Hawaiians. But yet we're still 24 being prevented.

THE CHAIR: Colette?

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 That's it. MS. MACHADO: That's 2 it. 3 THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. 4 Panel? Questions from the panel? .5 John? 6 MR. DULLES: No, thank you, 7 Mr. Chairman. 8 THE CHAIR: Okay. Let me ask a 9 question. 10 Colette -- And even Mili --11 knowing the frustration we all have, knowing 12 the limitations this Committee has, we're 13 sitting here because we have a hope of trying 14 to come up with something that we can present 15 to our commissioners in Washington that they 16 can lobby the President -- Our commissioner, 17 Art Fletcher, is a very close friend of the 18 President -- or Congress, per se, that can 19 immediately correct some of the ills, not the 20 long-term ills but immediately, some statement, 21 some positive wording that we could work out in 22 our final report. 23 So in other words, what I'm trying 24 to ask is -- I know this is -- all the problems 25 that you pointed out, this is fantastic. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

going to be compiled. But something that's 2 simple that our commissioner, our Chairman, can go up to the President and say, "You know, the Hawaiians need blank, the Hawaiians need this, 5 the Hawaiians need that, we have to try to help 6 them to get some of their land back." 7 Millie, can you try and respond on 8 this, please? 9 MS. TRASK: Yeah. I think really 10 what needs to be done is set out a good, 11 detailed report, and I would support taking it both directly to the President as well as 12 13 making it available to the various committees of the Congress, and also, I think -- and also 15 to the State -- the Federal agencies. You've 16 got to involve Justice and Interior. 17 Yeah, but try let me THE CHAIR: 18 |just interrupt you by saying that we have --19 our guidelines are such that we got to come up 20 with a report. Our report got to go to our 21 commissioners, and they will then pass it 22 through. That's what I mean. 23 So I know you have something for 24 Maybe, because of our time, can you make 25 something that I just asked about, some **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

definite questions?

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. TRASK: See, the thing is that 3 I think that whether you go to the Congress or whether you go to the President, you're going 5 to have to provide them background on what the 6 problem is. Now, the executive -- there's a 7 suggestion coming out of the Senate that new federalism for Native Americans be changed and 9 that there be direct executive agreement 10 between the Native people and the President of 11 the United States.

Now, this is being provided, again, for Indians, and what it is, it's available only to those that are Federally acknowledged, and it gives them a portion of the Federal Indian budget based on their population. You know, that is one approach that might be proposed.

In my meetings with the people in Washington, they look at new federalism as taking 5 to 10 years. You know, Charlie, it's not going to be anything quick.

THE CHAIR: No, but what I hear you saying, and many of the previous speakers, is that first our commissioners, our commission

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

-- our report has to contain the fact that we have to be recognized as a nation, as a sovereign, and that we had a sovereign nation and it was taken away from us, but we haven't lost that yet.

MS. TRASK: What I'm saying is, number one, we need to create for Hawaiian Home Lands a Federal authority so that we can gather the lands and move ahead with housing. I do not wish to have the waiting list growing to 25,000 while we're strategizing how to address the sovereign issues.

In the short run, recommendations for access to the court, Interior, to sue the State, and also move on the authority is the three- to five-year recommendation. Upon the closing of the authority, we can then address the sovereign question. But there will have to be specific legislation passed by Congress, or executive agreement similar to what the new federalism proposes. Those are really the only two avenues that I can see, because this touches upon the Admissions Act of the State.

So you're going to have to have special legislation, I think. But I'm willing POWERS & ASSOCIATES

to work with the President on it. And frankly,

it's something that I intend to pursue myself

within the next six months, is approaching the

executive. You know, that's why we've gone to

Congress to lay that foundation.

But immediate action to protect
the trust, to protect the waters of Molokai.
You see, these things cannot wait for
resolution and framing of the larger sovereign
question. That's why I'm saying that we've got
to have an authority created. We've got to
have Justice come in and act and move to
enjoin the wasting of Molokai's water.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.

Do we have a question for Colette?

John?

MR. DULLES: I do.

THE CHAIR: Use the mike, please,

19 John.

MR. DULLES: Colette, you stated that Native Hawaiians are not farming on Molokai, yet when I came to visit you and I drove around the island, there's great, valuable land, there's water, and the Native Hawaiian people are not farming.

## POWERS & ASSOCIATES

Is that, once again, the absence of the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands having a management plan, or what is the explanation for that land not being used in a productive manner by Native Hawaiian people? I don't understand.

. 12

MS. MACHADO: When I mentioned that there were -- those individuals that initially started the farming program under the Hawaiian Homes entity, the bulk of them went under. These were going to be the lead farmers in a lot of the vegetable crops that we have in the State of Hawaii, along with watermelon.

So initially -- that group has dwindled because they can't get a competitive price. The rest of those that are farming are those that do what you saw a lot of; it was third parties, that haole boy in there farming Hawaiian lands and controlling the market.

What you saw was those who -- you saw the sweet potato farming area is totally controlled by two Hawaiian families.

There are subsistence going on.

There are intermittent kind of crops that are

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

produced by families, but there is really not a consistent group except for those that are doing green onion, and now we're forming a taro association to do dry-land taro for chipping purposes.

But there is that development.

We're talking 3, 4, 5 acres. We're not talking tracts of land. It used to be farmed by the other 25 that had access to the only leases to farm up to 400 acres.

THE CHAIR: Do you got a followup, John?

MR. DULLES: It just appears to me that Molokai could be a model of some creative and innovative, imaginative approaches, economic development. The land is there. The water is there.

MS. MACHADO: We've been fighting for meters. Thank goodness that somebody heard us in Congress. These homesteaders are going to get a cost-sharing through the Department of Ag so they can get access. \$4,000 to hook up to get a water meter from the State, and the water should be free for Hawaiians. That's not even -- that's not even calculating the

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

filtering system and the lateral lines to the rows.

Equipment. You need equipment to rip and rotivate. You need a tractor. You need a lot of sorts of things. You need valves. All of these things, calculated, talks resources. There's also a large delinquency problem with the Department for ag loans that were provided. So, again, they raise their hands and say, "Oh, we're not going to give any monies." So resources are lacking.

Okay. We're perfect. We want to

be the bread basket of the State of Hawaii. We

have access to the lands. Hawaiians can

control the market in key vegetable crops.

There is a positive future ahead of us, for

Native Hawaiians on Molokai. The access to

land and water is what we need.

We need help from the Federal government to install a first secondary windbreak system. The flat plants are heavy with the winds that rip off from the northern shoreline. These things are lacking. We need help to fill in -- primary and secondary windbreak system.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 During the dry months, the weeds 2 grow, and when the fire lights, it just goes. 3 Those are the things that we've been appealing to the government. Nothing has occurred. 5 We're sort of a lower priority. 6 So resources obviously is 7 important. We want a full investigation. 8 not sure how you do it. I'm not sure if you can appoint and identify in your report that 10 somebody in the Justice Department or 11 independent counsel -- we've said this through 12 our water petition that we filed with the 13 senator's office. 14 We also made it known that we want 15 a water moratorium on the State Water 16 Commission before they start designating where 17 these waters go. Development or Hawaiians? 18 We're talking survival. 19 MR. DULLES: I don't think you 20 need investigations or studies. I think you 21 need some action. 22 MS. MACHADO: Can you give it to 23 us? 24 MR. DULLES: I think you've waited 25 too long.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Tony?

MR. VERICELLA: Yes.

This is for Ms. Trask.

In terms of the first step, what you're saying is the establishment of the Federal authority, and I -- you know, given all the information and testimony so far, that seems to be a very good first step and recommendation. And I certainly agree that has to go before the sovereignty issue ever starts.

MS. TRASK: Uh-huh.

MR. VERICELLA: The sovereignty issue would just take too long relative to the current problems.

But in the establishment of this

Federal authority, how do you envision that

effectively working with respect to the DHHL

and OHA and in terms of Hawaiian Home lands and
the ceded lands? And how do you envision it

actually working? Who would control what? Who

would have responsibility over what? How would
they interface within the State and the various
Hawaiian organizations?

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
1
                MS. TRASK: You know, let me just
  say that in my recommendations -- excuse me.
3
  My previous statement was what this Commission
  could do.
              The sovereignty is here and now.
5
  Lahui's government exists and the issue is on
6
  the agenda. We are moving that independently.
7
                MR. VERICELLA:
                                 I understand that.
8
  I mean, in recognition -- with the U.S.
9
  government recognizing that.
10
                MS. TRASK:
                             Right.
11
                MR. VERICELLA:
                                 That's a different
12
  issue.
13
                MS.
                    TRASK:
                             That's right.
14
                MR. VERICELLA:
                                 That's a longer
15
  term issue.
16
                MS.
                    TRASK:
                             Right, that's a little
17
  bit longer term.
18
                When I look at the authority, what
19
  I'm doing is really going back to the
20
  recommendation that we made as Federal-State
21
  Task Force commissioners, and we had workshops
22
  on it, and there was a great deal of
23
  information that was provided to us, some of
24
  which I've xeroxed and put into my exhibits.
25
                But what we were looking at was
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

changing the structure of the Department only at the upper level. We're not going to be firing people, moving documents, or changing -- you know, leave the building where it is, leave everybody where it is. The problem is that instead of having commissioners that are political appointees, what you have are -- what I'm recommending is seven Hawaiians with the background.

I want my own people there,
whether they're of Native blood or not, but I
want seven Hawaiians who demonstrate the
capacity and the commitment to resolve this
problem put into positions and given the powers
of an authority so they can levy taxes; so
that they can say to Justice, "Get in there and
bring the suits right now. I need the back
rental to put in these homes"; so that they can
say to Justice, "Enjoin the application of the
statewide code right now on Molokai. We cannot
have the Kualapuu Water Reserve drawn down."

The thing is that when you have an authority, what an authority really is, is it's a political mechanism that is short-term, that is task-oriented, and the tasks and the steps POWERS & ASSOCIATES

were laid out in the Federal-State Task Force report: some creative approaches to financing, some prototype models for housing.

But we're also going to need the ability to levy and collect taxes and to collect that back rent. And I'll tell you why. From Ka Lahui's perspective, self-sufficiency means that you don't lay it on the shoulders of the taxpayers for time immemorial.

Hawaiian lands -- Including the military, I'm afraid -- then it's time for the users to pay the rent. I think that it's extremely unfair to lay it on the taxpayers. We've got some people -- you look at the DHHL appendix, all the general leases going out. My goodness, we could be making quite a bit of revenue off of that, and that's how I see the authority working.

It would not impact the staffing and the building of the DHHL, but it would change at the upper level. Who would be there to administer this program? You cannot have people who are political appointees, who are ingratiated to the State taking action that's

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

going to be directly accusatory of their boss. And I say this as a general statement. 3 I'm working now with Hoaliku and 4 Nathaniel and the rest of these people, and 5 they're good people. But let's appreciate the 6 political nature of the situation. 7 With regard to OHA, I don't 8 really see it having any impact on OHA. OHA 9 has never done a single thing for Native 10 Hawaiian homesteaders. I see it impacting the 11 ceded lands, because OHA has tied up a deal 12 with the Governor to prevent the DHHL program 13 from having any access to those lands. 14 I also see it having a potential impact on the \$100 million pay-off to OHA. 15 16 In the legislature, I was in there 17 saying, "Let's take the 100 million. 18 ceded lands revenues. Let's put it to meet the 19 needs of the homesteaders who are their 20 beneficiaries." 21 THE CHAIR: Okay. 22 MS. TRASK: We did not get the 23 action. 24 THE CHAIR: We're going to have to 25 move on.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Julie.

MS. PUZON: I would like to address this to Mililani Trask.

You mentioned earlier that the significance of our report could be to counter the negative efforts from Justice and Interior. I think we all appreciate that assessment, and it would give us an added sense of importance for the work that we're doing.

I would like to ask you: Based on the time that you have spent in Washington, D.C., do you sense support in any areas of Congress? Some of the people who testified earlier said that things have changed very much politically since the 1970's when it was much easier for Native Americans to gain their rights, and the times have changed now. What I'm looking for is some kind of encouragement or optimism about possibilities in Washington.

And also, do you feel that our congressional delegation can and should be making more vigorous efforts to support Federal legislation to enfranchise Hawaiians?

MS. TRASK: Let me take those one step at a time.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

On the D.C. question, there is no doubt that in the last 10, 15 years -- And this is not only true in Congress but also with regards to litigation in the Federal courts up to the Supreme Court -- there has been a 6 distinct turning away from the more creative 7 political atmosphere that was for Native Americans, and it's now swinging on the conservative side. That is a general 10 indication that gives me some concern.

1

3

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But my week in Washington, I went to the two committees in the Senate as well as in the House, their staffers, and Interior and |Justice, and what I found was a great deal of interest with regard to the Hawaiian question: senators, congressmen saying to me, you know, "Are you trying to say that Hawaiians are not really allowed to control their lands? How is this possible?"

And my response to them was, "How is it possible that for 10 years we had Senate Select Committee oversight hearings, and you are a ranking Democrat and you've never had a report from the chair? Have you considered that?"

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

There was not only a great deal of interest, but there was encouragement, and they suggested that we either obtain a professional lobbyist or that we continue to come to Congress. The House of Representatives has not had oversight hearings here since the early eighties, when we were all before them for reparations. And I told them, "Gentlemen, this is not reparations. This is a question of civil rights violation. Why is a special class of Native Americans not being afforded the special privileges?"

A great deal of interest on that point. Their feeling was that if this type of material were made available to them, such a detailed report as could be coming from here, it would certainly justify their coming down to conduct their own oversight hearings. They told me very clearly that the mistake we have made politically is putting all our eggs in the basket of the Senate Select Committee, knowing that there was conflict of interest on the part of the State and Federal delegates.

And that brings me to your second question. I think that when you look at my POWERS & ASSOCIATES

testimony, you know, when you hear what Colette is saying, I mean, we've all been here for years. We've all presented volumes of testimony to Senator Inouye. We went through the whole thing trying to get reparations.

We have not seen a response from the Federal delegation because they are Democrats and they protect the state Democratic Party here. And for this reason my feeling is, yes, Ka Lahui will continue to work with them. But we are now going to Washington to work with other people.

And we are also supporting different candidates going into Congress. I mean, we've tried every possible thing with Akaka and Inouye. No response for 14 and 31 years. We've got to go other places. We've got to take the incentive to do that.

The Hawaii delegation -- certainly we'll continue to try to work with them, but as Colette says, we've presented the testimony three years in a row. And in the Senate I was told that the protocol in the Senate is, you have an oversight hearing, and within six months you generate the legislation.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

1 I had Senator DeConcini's aide 2 tell me, "We haven't been able to figure out 3 what's going on, and we're on the Senate Select Committee. We've had oversight hearings for 5 three years in a row, but for some reason we don't see any legislation coming out for your folks." I said, "Well, what's your assessment 8 She said, "Well, you know, he's the of it?" chair of the committee. We thought he was just going down there for a vacation with the staff, 10 11 because there's no legislation coming out." 12 The thing is that there's many other committees in the Senate and also in the House, and there's people who want to help us, 15 Republican and Democrat. They do not see this 16 as a partisan issue. Partisan politics was not 17 coming into play up there. They see it as a 18 human rights issue. 19 THE CHAIR: Okay. I've got to 20 allow one more, and Kamaki, you will have your 21 15 minutes that I'm cutting into. We're going 22 into the lunch hour, but this is very 23 important.

24

Barry.

25

MR. SHAIN: This is for both of POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

you. Thanks to both of you for coming over from Molokai, because I think it's my favorite island.

MS. MACHADO: Thank you.

MR. SHAIN: I've spent quite a bit of time over there.

Apparently there's been at least a breach of morality by DHHL, it sounds like by the Federal government, by the State government. What is the system that you would like to see come up to make this work?

model that are kind of upsetting to me are giving the Federal government the right to sue when in fact they haven't been vigorous when they did have the right to sue before, leaving it in the hands of the DHHL when in fact they haven't been able to administer the land, by what you've said. And is there a need for another entity just to receive funding, and how would that entity work in terms of representing the Hawaiian people truly?

MS. TRASK: Let me clarify what I just said. I don't believe that we need to give the Federal government the right to sue.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

They have it, but they're not utilizing it.

That's why I'm requesting that there be a strongly worded recommendation that the provisions of 28 USC 1361 and 1362 be amended to allow us to go to Federal district court ourselves.

On the second point, with regards to allowing DHHL to control the lands, I'm not suggesting that. I'm suggesting that the restructuring occur and that there be a Federal authority in the short term to address the immediate problems, that the President of the United States appoint seven members who are Hawaiian upon the recommendation of the homestead associations and the general community not upon recommendations of Justice and Interior and the government, but the homestead associations and the general Hawaiian community.

We make the recommendations, we have the President appoint that, and we let this authority go for three to five years and give it the opportunity to litigate as well as collect revenues that we need. Upon the termination of that specific period -- because

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

authorities must terminate; it cannot go on forever. And upon the termination of that, segregate out our lands, set aside the Native lands, including a fair portion of the ceded lands, and allow the Native governing entity or entities to take the ball and proceed.

We are the wealthiest Native
Americans in this country when you look at our
Hawaiian Homes, ceded lands, natural resources,
waters. We are the wealthiest. We are capable
of generating the revenues. If John Waihee can
come up with a budget surplus of \$900 million
in two years, he is generating this off of the
State's use of our trust lands.

We're capable of doing this instead of dumping it on the shoulders of the taxpayers. Wardship transfers responsibility to the pocket of the taxpayers of the State and Federal government, and that's who we are. That's who I am, and I'd rather do it as a Native nation in conjunction with a Federal policy. I don't want to be an Indian.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Okay. I would like to thank you, Mili and Colette, for giving us a very

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 informative presentation. 2 I now would like to call upon 3 Kamaki Kanahele, President, State Council of 4 Hawaiian Homestead Associations. 5 State your name and who you 6 represent. If you have a prepared statement, 7 you can summarize as we go around because I'm 8 sure we have a lot of questions to ask. 9 MR. KANAHELE: Mr. Chairman, I am 10 most grateful for the talented legal counsels 11 that have come before me because it's very 12 obvious that the issues are extremely 13 technical, involved, and in mechanisms of law 14 and in policies there are a range of 15 complicated things that --16 THE CHAIR: Excuse me, Kamaki. 17 Could I have some order here, 18 please? Thank you. 19 Kamaki, go ahead. 20 MR. KANAHELE: -- our everyday 21 Hawaiians on Hawaiian Homestead lands would 22 find absolutely confusing. 23 If you're wondering why this room 24 is not filled with hundreds of Native Hawaiians 25 who live on the land, then you need to know **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

that it would be impossible for them to have, because their priority is getting to work and trying to make a living.

The complications are not -- for us is that complicated. The homestead I belong to, the average income in Nanakuli is between 11,000 and 13,000 a year, requiring at least two or three adults to be working out of one family, reaching approximately 35,000 to 39,000 dollars a year, barely paying for a mortgage and therefore barely able to eat, and that is three jobs, usually, to work. So our Hawaiians, when you discuss the technical, legal issues of what and how you can make their lives better, they're out there trying to make their lives better, and that's an extremely difficult time.

May I please for the first time introduce to you the SCHHA, since this is our first presentation before the Committee. I am Kamaki Kanahele, Chairman of the State Council of Hawaiian Homestead Associations. The acronym is pronounced, SCHHA. Today the SCCHA consists of the boards of 24 individual Hawaiian homestead associations.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

ì By the way, I officially welcome 2 the two new boards to the SCCHA membership. 3 They are Kalamaula Homestead Association and 4 One Alii Homestead, located on the island of 5 Molokai. 6 In the audience today is the 7 president of Keka (phonetic) Homestead, Mr. Juny Stuart (phonetic), and a member of my executive board, Kahiko Okalani Ross 10 (phonetic). To my right is also a member of 11 the State Executive SCCHA Board, Ekela 12 Andrade. 13 In order to be a member of the 14 SCCHA, one must be elected to his or her 15 individual association's boards. It is 16 estimated that members of the SCCHA represent a total homestead population of 27,000 Native 18 Hawaiians on the land, making it the largest 19 Native Hawaiian organization in the state. The SCHHA is also in the process of inviting the 21 19,000 members on the waiting list into our 22 organization. 23 Mr. Chairman, the State Council of 24 Hawaiian Homestead Associations takes its first 25 step in presenting before you, this

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

distinguished Committee, itself. In doing so, it is trying to present its petition of long-standing neglect by both Federal and State governments.

Because of time, I would like to get right to the point. The testimony that I have before you I hope you will keep for the record and read yourself through it. They are recommendations. In many ways the majority of them are the recommendations that have been put to you by Ms. Trask and Bill Chang, and many of the recommendations also are included that have come from the two representatives from NHLC.

Our Hawaiian situation on the land |-- And we represent those who are on the land |-- are complicated in the fact that we have to live daily, which is a very difficult task. The position, then, for us as the SCCHA is for the first time in Hawaiian history, this, the largest Native organization, has decided that to make a difference in our lives, we voted to support a position on self-governance and self-government.

The council feels that as Native

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

Hawaiians with an ancient land base of 200,000 acres presently designated Hawaiian Homestead land, it does require the implementation of such a government to exist and will look towards opportunities of support from this Committee. We request from this Committee support of this effort in allowing us your expertise, with recommendations to be brought from you to us in beginning to structure such an entity.

we would like to ask and recommend that you educate yourselves. The SCCHA requests that this Committee request the minutes and the records of all of these hearings as an official accounting. The hearings I refer to are the hearings chaired by Senator Daniel Inouye when the Senate Select Committee did come to Hawaii. It is vital that you receive all of those records for your edification.

In the land review model, it is very important that you select just a few to give you a general overview of the impact of the alienation of we Hawaiians by (sic) our lands. At this time, I recommend -- the SCCHA POWERS & ASSOCIATES

recommends that the Committee orientate itself in a sample of the abuses by recommending a review of the Lualualei acquisition by the Federal government and a review of gubernatorial executive orders issued prior to statehood. That will give you a quick and instant array of incidences of breaches by both governments. We request that this Committee support a full Federal audit of the lands under this Act.

Also, with regard to the FederalState Task Force, we -- the SCCHA has also been aware that the recommendations for compliance, so we have been told, have been met. We therefore recommend that the Committee request from the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands its listing of its efforts in accomplishing these recommendations thus far for public record. We have seen none, although we have been told that the compliance has been met by the State. We are concerned because we have absolutely benefited by none of it.

In ceded lands, we request the Committee look into the laws that are provided as it affects the 5F Trust and its

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

beneficiaries. We also request Federal 2 judiciary review of the commitments made prior 3 to the state's acceptance into the Union and up In the dispensing of to the present time. their fiduciary duties in the management of both trusts by both governments, the council 7 feels that too many attempts have been made to break both trusts without consideration and/or participation of its beneficiaries. 10 With regard to water, the 11 recommendations that we set forth today also 12 carries with the recommendations that have been 13 brought forth by Mililani and our other legal 14 counsels here. Our water rights must be known. You need to educate yourselves on it so when 16 you present yourselves before the executive 17 branch of this nation's government, clarity and 18 specificity can be certainly presented well by 19 your Committee. 20 In the standing to sue, the SCCHA 21 recommends that corrective action come from you 22 and your support group, to occur by the 23 implementation of our immediate right to sue in 24 Federal courts. It is a priority for us, and 25 we recommend that you look into it very well,

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815 with our highest recommendation that this be item number one.

Our cultural rights and concerns are also recommended within my presentation.

Housing, community and economic development -- we stand by the positions that have been presented by the councils that have come before us. The effort that needs to be translated for you today is important.

However, we are concerned that too much rhetoric has occurred over the many years, as Native Hawaiians on Hawaiian homelands and off Hawaiian homelands, that the SCCHA recommends at this time, also, that we test the system.

Although we may carry our mission well here in Hawaii and then a mission to Washington, D.C., in my 10 years as a fed in Washington, D.C., I find that we have not even begun to fight if we insist on remaining in Hawaii and fighting from these grounds. The complications of politics in Washington, D.C., are too confusing, too frustrating, and they are not very truthful people, when you come down to it.

## POWERS & ASSOCIATES

So we would like to ask this

Committee to test the skill and the loyalty and
the commitment of the Federal and State
government. We ask, then, that our priority
for your support in presentation in your report
be, first, a test to implement our right to sue
in Federal court, implement fully the
recommendations of the Federal-State Task

Force, and works towards the first phase of
reparations. These three items we would like
to test and have the support of the Committee
and add it to their records.

We are the largest Native Hawaiian people on the land. We do not trust the system. We have suffered too much by it.

Although a range of venues have been presented before you today, our distrust is a solid one and we would like to, in turn, test it. We ask, then, our submission for only these three points. We look for it as an effort to trust loyalty within our own membership, within the State, as well as within the Federal government.

Simple as it may seem, it is almost an impossibility as we hear of it today.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 Everything has been set aside for the 2 Hawaiians, and we on the land and off the land 3 have suffered by so much not being done and too 4 many promises. 5 I submit the entire report before 6 you, with the recommendations enclosed and 7 would like to now introduce the wonderful lady 8 sitting next to me. She sits as part of our 9 Her name is Ekela Andrade. She is a 10 rancher on the beautiful island of Hawaii, 11 Waimea homestead. 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Kamaki. 14 MS. ANDRADE: Ladies and gentlemen 15 of the Advisory Council and ladies and 16 gentlemen of the audience, aloha. 17 My name is Ethel Andrade, and 18 I bring you greetings and best wishes from 19 Puukapu Homestead on the island of Hawaii. 20 I am one of the original 48 pioneers awarded 21 300 acres on July 11, 1952. Yes, I have 22 farmed my land in accordance to the Act for 38 23 years. 24 I run 200 head of Hereford Angus

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

No, I do not sub-lease;

25

Branqus beef cattle.

I work my own land, 305 acres. I have received three awards from the State Conservation 2 3 Service for outstanding ranching. I live on one acre in Kuhio Village in Kuhio Homes, and 5 I received three awards from Governor Burns for 6 outstanding home care. 7 It is with this background that I appear before you to share with you the life on a homestead. In my experience, it has been 10 Just abutting our homestead -- first 38 years. 11 of all, Hawaii, on the Big Island, totals 108,000 acres of Hawaiian home lands. This is 13 the largest home lands in the State of Hawaii, 14 of which 21,000 acres is presently being 15 homesteaded by Native Hawaiians. 16 Abutting our area, 295 acres in 17 Humuula is leased to the U.S. Army for 65 years 18 for the sum of \$1 for the whole term. 19 Hawaiians pay \$1 per year lease. 20 Secondly, abutting my 300 acres at Puukapu are 21 200 acres of prime ranch land that has been 22 given to the University of Hawaii for 23 ranching, learning what we have forgotten in 38 24 years, for free.

It was only through the efforts of POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

one Sonny Kaniho, who demonstrated, who got jailed, that the consciousness of the unconscious was brought to the public. So finally this 200 acres was withdrawn from the State use for free, and now open, hopefully, for new awards.

My concern is principally -- I have advocated the placing on the lands of my fellow Hawaiians on the 1952 list. I was one of 48 fortunate to be granted 300 acres. The subsequent list of lessees were lost, discarded. Through the efforts of Sonny Kaniho it was found in archives. It's alive today. And for 38 years, we have come before the Commission in public hearings asking that the 1952 list be placed on the land.

Ladies and gentlemen, we have the land. I have documents here that I will submit as exhibits, in addition to the documents that you have, that will explain how this can be done, and they are all exhibited. I also have copies of our presentation before the Senate hearing in August of how the wrongs can be righted.

And I have before me also the POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

plight of the Hawaiian estranged on his own land of his land. This is a true story of a Hawaiian boy raised on Hawaiian Homes land in Kahua Ranch, where his grandparents were evicted from the land.

At the age of 22, he joined the United States Air Force, hoping to do good for his country. After 38 years in the armed forces, he returned back -- after doing good for people throughout the world, coming back to his homestead and finding out that his father died on the Hawaiian Homes wait list, that he, too, is on the wait list, and the injustice that carried on from 1952.

Because of his consciousness -And we consider him a crusader of all Hawaiian
people who have been judged wrong -- I want to
share with you that he has been jailed eight
times, and through his personal sacrifice we
have raised the consciousness of the
unconscious administration and the plight of
the Hawaiian has improved.

This past Saturday, Sonny Kaniho again demonstrated on the land abutting our lands in Puukapu that is being leased to non-powers & ASSOCIATES

Hawaiians, and he was arrested on Saturday,

July 28th. His hearing will come before the

magistrate on the 15th of this month. It will

the the ninth time that he has been arrested and

jailed.

When a man gives his life, ladies and gentlemen, it is because he cares. And I hope between 4:00 and 5:00 he will come back. At this precise moment, Mr. Kaniho -- Who the state of Hawaii considers a crusader for Hawaiian rights, the Prince Kuhio of the nineties, the eighties, the seventies, and the sixties -- he is on the steps of the Capitol demonstrating to the Governor for the consciousness of the unconscious.

And I submit this to you as a story that is true and that continues, and also the exhibit that appeared in the local paper when he was good enough to warn the institution that he was going to demonstrate. The police arrested him. He also wrote to the Governor and said, "I have been arrested, I am waiting to talk with you, I have asked to talk to you for four years and you have refused me."

So, ladies and gentlemen, I submit POWERS & ASSOCIATES

this to you. When you invited me here today, you asked me for my specific recommendations of what can be done. I would like to share with you as a Hawaiian, as a homesteader who lived through the ranks for 38 years. I have seen the injustice throughout the years.

I would specifically wish to recommend, number one, that a Federal legal attorney be assigned to each island to work with the homesteaders in support of the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation because of its limited funding, because the Hawaiians have not had legal advice.

The Act is intact and perfect, but the administration manages to bring in rules and regulations to confuse the homesteader and the Hawaiians on the wait list. We need this Federal legal counsel, somebody to protect us. We have no protection.

Number two, I recommend that the Hawaiian Homes Commission be elected from qualified homesteaders on the land, off the land, who are qualified to serve, equivalent to the legislators of the State of Hawaii, with salary and the benefits, for the terms as POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

elected by the homesteaders. Who else but the homesteaders have a right to decide its own destiny?

Ladies and gentlemen, for 70 years we have been kicked around. Too long. We are qualified homesteaders. We are educated. We know our problems. We should decide our destiny. The appointment system by the administration does not work, has not worked, will not work. I know. I've lived it.

We've campaigned. Hawaii has the biggest number of acreages. We campaigned for 10 years for two commissioners of the Big Island. When we finally got that commissioner several months ago, he was not of the Hawaiian community, but he was very receptive to the community.

After a year serving as a commissioner, he was like another peg on the board. He did not respond. This is the common practice when you have appointments by the administration. The appointees become conducive to the administration. When we called and said this was happening -- "I'm sorry, I'm too busy." You see, they become POWERS & ASSOCIATES

blase, uninterested, unconcerned because of the pressures of the administration.

Ladies and gentlemen, my third recommendation is, Department of Hawaiian Home Lands should be staffed, must be staffed by qualified Native Hawaiians from the homestead who knows its own problems, who knows the aina. They are people of the aina. They have the feel of the aina.

How does it faze you to go to
Hawaiian Homes to apply for your land and have
a non-Hawaiian tell you, "You have no kumu
ohana, no genealogy; go back and research."
Yet your father, your brother, your sister on
the land for 30 years. You understand what I
am saying? My phone rings 24 hours a day. 24
hours a day Sonny's phone rings. We sit, we
pule, and we're -- "What can we do?"

you. We are a people of intelligence. We want to decide our own destiny. For the first time in our history of 70 years as homesteaders, the Hawaiian Homes Associations Council under the leadership of Mr. Kanahele will be meeting for the first time with the Department of Hawaiian POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

Homes staff, with its Commission and its chairman, on the island of Maui, just because of the persistent efforts of Mr. Kanahele. This is the first time in 70 years. Well, maybe that's a toe in the door.

But because of my 38 years of experience, let me tell you we need your help. We need for you to recommend to upstairs that we are -- they have placed us in this position, and they have made us activists.

The samples of the lands on Hawaii that are being used for free is not only on the Big Island; it's throughout the State. The Federal government owes us reciprocation retroactive from day one. The State owes us the use of our lands, our water rights, from day one.

With the funding back from the State and the Federal, ladies and gentlemen, we are prepared to run our own business. We are self-governed. I am an example. We can run ourselves and ask you for your consciousness to join our consciousness, and I thank you for your aloha.

Mahalo.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 THE CHAIR: Let me express the 2 fact that I know exactly how you feel. 3 been on the waiting list for 27 years for 4 Molokai, from 1963. And then they lost my 5 application for 10 years, so 15 years. Then I got found again, had to resubmit a kumu ohana, 7 spend \$500 to search my kumu ohana. 8 And because one of my ancestors 9 was linked with somebody else down here, we held up a -- who tried to protect his home. 11 And they said, "Well, you cannot. You belong 12 to him." So, you know, it hurts. And I feel 13 your frustration, and it's been too long. 14 MS. ANDRADE: Mr. Chairman, thank 15 you so much for sharing. 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 17 Questions? 18 MR. STENDER: I have a question 19 for both Ethel and Kamaki. 20 With the council being formed in 21 your first meeting and your, I guess, wish to 22 have better representation at the Commission 23 level, do you see that maybe the council can 24 act as maybe an advisory board to the Hawaiian 25 Homes rather than the Commission in its --**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

MR. KANAHELE: I think what we are looking at as Ekela has just stated, in a couple of weeks we're sitting as beneficiaries for the first time in 70 years with the entire Commission and hopefully a large number of their staff. That would be definitely one of the things that I would be putting before the council, and to hear the reactions of the Department.

We're sort of going to be feeling out one another. Imagine. Beneficiaries have never sat for three days in a massive conference like this in 70 years with the people who administrate them. So it's going to be a very historical conference.

But, Ozzie, you're right. The question needs to be asked, and we will be asking specifically that question. And who knows? I'll let you know the results, and maybe it will provide you that answer.

MS. ANDRADE: Mr. Stender, I would like to add that we are three years old. We'll be three years old in August. And I think we've come a long ways. We feel good about ourselves. We feel that we are qualified to POWERS & ASSOCIATES

run our own affairs.

All we need is the kala that they owe us and all we need is to have our water rights returned to us that they have taken. We have the land base. As the Chairman has stated, we do have the land base. We have some water on our lands that they have diverted.

We would like that back, and we think we can help ourselves. As it is now, without any support, we're helping each other. So we feel good about that. We feel we have a good future.

Yes, sir?

MR. STENDER: One other question.

You mentioned the hundred-or-so-thousand
acres --

MS. ANDRADE: Yes.

MR. STENDER: -- on Hawaii. And I'm just quickly trying to calculate how much has been awarded. It must be some 90,000 acres or more awarded, untouched.

MS. ANDRADE: You're absolutely right there, at least to private people. But what I'd like to do, since you touched on that -- I think that's very interesting for you, to POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

know that the Department is considering making land awards of 5, 10, and 20 acre parcels, coincidentally, in September, just prior to election.

, 23

I would like to mention that 33 acres were awarded five years ago at Puupelehu by the reservoir, and these people have moved from Honolulu to Waimea. They're renting houses in Waimea at \$800 a month, and they've waited for five years.

And then in Puukapu, where my ranch is, they awarded 5-acre parcels to 85 farmers, parcels to 85 farmers, and Ilihu (phonetic) is one of them, our state treasurer. And she sat on that property for seven years, not being able to use the property.

And down in Kawaihae on the Golden Coast, we have 105 homesteaders awarded lots five to seven years ago, and they're right on the highway with utilities and infrastructure, but they haven't been able to reside on their land. By hook or crook some of them have built on the land.

But these are things that we need POWERS & ASSOCIATES

to sit with the Department, have a master plan. We've asked for it. We worked before with the other guys that were there, and new guys come on, new ideas. And now in September, so conveniently before election, the Department is announcing that they're going to award new lands, and they're reduced from 300 acres to --but I'll hand this in so that you see the summary.

MR. STENDER: Does the Department intend to meet with the homesteaders periodically?

MS. ANDRADE: No. This has been our largest -- in the past, we did meet with -- when we had a 10-year plan going for Kawaihae and Waimea. But then when you get new commissioners and new chairmen, you know, they scrap the whole thing and they start from scratch. They drive you nuts.

MR. KANAHELE: Ozzie, as a point of clarification, the Department does meet on a monthly basis on designated sites, but it is, for the record, that opportunity and the only opportunity that beneficiaries have.

If they miss that one meeting -POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

166 1 by the way, for example, when they go to Kekaha 2 on Kauai, which is completely on the other side 3 of the island from Anahola, Anahola has to go 4 all the way to that side, and if you miss that 5 one meeting, you're not seen for another year. 6 But on a monthly basis, they do meet island by 7 island. 8 MS. ANDRADE: But it's not 9 sufficient. 10 STENDER: Thank you. MR. 11 THE CHAIR: Any more questions? 12 MS. PANUI: Yes. 13 THE CHAIR: Yes? 14 Aloha, Kamaki. MS. PANUI: 15 MR. KANAHELE: Aloha. 16 MS. PANUI: In your past 17 experience as administrator for the Office of 18 Hawaiian Affairs, how do you now see OHA as 19 helping to implement Hawaiian Homes in their

MR. KANAHELE: Number one, I hope
they keep their mitts off of it. I see OHA as
a State government agency that has a specific
mandate. They do not consider themselves a

service-oriented organization. But their POWERS & ASSOCIATES

endeavors to get people on the land?

(808) 521-7815

25

1 mandate is very clear. 2 By the way, it's completely 3 separate from the Department of Hawaiian Home 4 Their participation with the 5 beneficiaries or the Department of Hawaiian 6 Home Lands, I would like just sitting down and 7 finding out how that can work. It has not yet 8 done so. 9 I have just been told that just 10 recently, which is about a week or so ago --11 I stand corrected -- that is the first time 12 that the entire Hawaiian Homes Commission and 13 the trustees ever sat down on a social basis to 14 get together. In the time that I have been in 15 OHA, that never, ever happened. 16 MS. PANUI: You see some hope for 17 the future, then? 18 MR. KANAHELE: Absolutely, 19 absolutely. We have to sit down and work 20 together. 21 Thank you. THE CHAIR: 22 Any more questions? 23 Julie? 24 MS. PUZON: I'd like to address 25 this question to both of you. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

In Mr. Lucas' written report to us, he noted that in 1978 the constitutional convention allowed for leases between the Hawaiian Home Lands Department and other governmental agencies to be withdrawn at any time.

I have looked at the annual report of the Department, the 1989 report, and in the back there's a very detailed listing of many, many leases which have been negotiated by the Department since 1978. Many of these are to Federal, State, and City agencies for ridiculously low rates. They're well below market value.

To your knowledge, have any of these leases ever been withdrawn according to the provisions of the constitutional convention?

MR. KANAHELE: I think, to my personal knowledge, none. They have just waited until the leases ran out, and then, of course, a year or so before, come back in to renegotiate. But I know of absolutely none that have been withdrawn for any reason whatsoever, which is unfortunate.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

1 MS. PUZON: Thank you. 2 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 3 I would like to personally Okav. 4 -- Kamaki and Ms. Andrade, thank you so much 5 for your participation. Hopefully your 6 comments will be included, and when we make our 7 report you will be contacted prior to 8 Thank you so much. submittal. 9 MR. KANAHELE: Mr. Chairman, 10 I would like to take just a few more seconds. 11 It is very important for the State 12 council to pay special heed and respect to the 13 work that has been been done for us by Mr. John 14 Foster Dulles. I think his sensitivity in 15 coming to each of us, recognizing an 16 opportunity that we should have, recognizing 17 the sensitivity of the people on the land and 18 off the land, needs to be credited and highly 19 praised. He is a very wonderful man. I do 20 that for the record. 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 22 MS. ANDRADE: I would like to 23 second that, Mr. Chairman. 24 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 25 MS. ANDRADE: Thank you so much. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
1
                THE CHAIR: And now we will break
2
  for lunch. Exactly at 1:00 o'clock we'll
3
  reconvene, and we're going to the government
4
  panel, the Hawaiian Homes, the Governor,
5
  Attorney General. Thank you.
6
                (Recessed: 12:10 p.m.)
7
                (Resumed: 1:00 p.m.)
8
                THE CHAIR: I would like to call
  this meeting to order.
10
                We are going to take a short
11
  recess.
12
               (Recessed at 1:03 p.m.)
13
                THE CHAIR: Let me call this
14
  meeting back to order.
15
                Let me call upon the State
16
  government panel, which consists of Hoaliku
17
  Drake, Rod Burgess, Bill Tam, and Pat Brandt of
18
  the Governor's Office.
19
                As soon as you're ready, Pat,
20
  would you want to come up?
21
                Would you please state your name
22
  and who you're representing.
23
                MS. BRANDT: Do you want me to
24
   start?
25
                 THE CHAIR:
                             Yes.
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

MS. BRANDT: Okay.

Good afternoon, Commissioners,
Mr. Maxwell and staff and members. My name is
Patricia Brandt with the Governor's staff, and
I'm here today to present a written statement
on behalf of the Governor to the Civil Rights
Advisory Committee.

Basically, I just want to say that because we are in the midst of negotiations on several issues and ready to present papers and packages resolving several of these issues, we felt that it would be inappropriate to discuss the kinds of negotiations that were going on. However, in respect to the Committee and their role, we have provided you background information, a status report, and some history on what the problem is and where we are at.

For the benefit of the

Commissioners and the audience, I would just

like to say that basically there have been many
things going on and many panels, congressional
panels, here in the last year, and reams of
information that have been prepared documenting
the problems and the activities going on to

resolve those problems within the Hawaiian
POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
1
  community.
2
                Basically our position is that
3
  with all of the good things going on, we would
  like to keep in touch with you and keep you
5
  posted, because we will have a lot of progress
6
  to report in the next few months.
7
                The Governor has also attached
8
  copies of pertinent bills and testimonies that
9
  might be helpful to you in following the
10
  progress of the negotiations and the
11
  legislation regarding Hawaiian activities.
12
                Thank you.
13
                THE CHAIR:
                             Okay.
14
                Questions for Ms. Brandt, panel?
15
                MR. CAHILL: Is she submitting a
16
  written statement?
17
                THE CHAIR:
                             She is submitting a
18
  written statement, and she is open for
19
  questions right now.
20
                MR. CAHILL: Will we each get a
21
  copy of the written statement?
22
                THE CHAIR:
                             I think you have
23
             It's in front of you.
  already.
24
                MR. DULLES:
                              It's entitled,
25
  "Statement to the Hawaii Advisory Committee,
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

1 Executive Chambers." It has been distributed. 2 THE CHAIR: And I'm not quite 3 aware if she is able to -- well, we had core questions, like I had asked you earlier -- told 5 you earlier, and it was specific questions. 6 MS. BRANDT: Yes. 7 THE CHAIR: However --8 MS. BRANDT: Mr. Maxwell, as 9 the Governor indicated, he was not able to 10 participate in the panel. For your 11 information, he is -- was supposed to be home 12 yesterday, but because of weather conditions 13 his flight was cancelled. So they are in the 14 air now, on their way, and therefore he has 15 opted to provide written testimony. I am not 16 prepared to participate with the panel at this 17 point. 18 THE CHAIR: Okay. 19 Panel? John? Any questions? She 20 said she couldn't --21 MR. DULLES: No. I think she came 22 to deliver a statement --23 THE CHAIR: Okay, great. 24 MR. DULLES: -- for the record on 25 behalf of the Governor, Mr. Maxwell. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 THE CHAIR: Okay. 2 The next person I see is Bill Tam. 3 Do you want to --4 MR. TAM: I'll defer to Mrs. 5 Drake. 6 THE CHAIR: Okay. 7 Auntie Hoaliku, go ahead. 8 MS. DRAKE: Mahalo. 9 Vice Chairman Charles Maxwell, 10 distinguished members of the Hawaii Advisory 11 Committee, ladies and gentlemen, ano ae me 12 kealoha ia ou kou apau. 13 THE CHAIR: Ano ae. 14 MS. DRAKE: Ano ae. 15 I'm Hoaliku Drake, Chairman of the 16 Hawaiian Homes Commission and Department of 17 Hawaiian Home Lands. Thank you for the 18 invitation to provide information relating to 19 the implementation of the Hawaiian Homes 20 Commission Act of 1920, as amended, especially 21 with regard to Federal and State action to meet 22 their obligations under their law. 23 The Hawaiian Homes Commission Act 24 was enacted by the United States Congress in 25 1921 and administered through the Territory of **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 Hawaii. Upon statehood, as a compact between 2 the State of Hawaii and the United States of 3 America, and as a condition of admission, Hawaii adopted the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act as a provision of its constitution. 6 and gentlemen, this was in 1959. It's not 7 noted here. 8 THE CHAIR: E kala mai au. MS. 9 DRAKE: Ae. 10 THE CHAIR: We have your statement 11 in total. 12 MS. DRAKE: Yes. 13 THE CHAIR: If you could possibly 14 just give highlights, it would be easier for 15 you, instead of reading it all, and we would be 16 very satisfied, and then we can ask you 17 questions. 18 MS. DRAKE: Certainly. 19 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 20 MS. DRAKE: What we have --21 perhaps in touching on many of the concerns 22 that were touched upon when congressional 23 hearings were conducted in August of last year, 24 much of the testimony by the Native Hawaiian 25 people, by the agencies, after the Governor had **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

called many of the State agencies together to meet as a task force, what really emanated from this task force was the need for housing.

If you will look at my testimony, it will touch upon this great need. In order to meet these needs, we have come up with a plan, and this plan is a master-planned community. The master-planned community will be part of one of our divisions within the Department. We have been working with HFDC and training our staff to implement this master-planned community.

We are starting out at Kawaihae.

I'm not going directly page by page, but I'm

giving you an overview. Kawaihae, to my mind,

is a very exciting place because the lands

abutting the port there belongs to Hawaiian

Homes.

So when you move a large group of people from any area, you need to provide them with jobs. You need to provide them with a stable economy for their families. So if you're thinking in terms of 3,500 homes in a planned community where churches, subdivisions -- where we'll be having commercial types of POWERS & ASSOCIATES

businesses to support those 3,500 families that will be moving into this planned community, we are working with Kohala Ranch to make sure that this area is planned well, and including with the vision for our people to have beautiful homes.

What they need, whether it will be rental units, whether it will be for kupuna housing, whether it will be for condos, or whatever needs that they may have, this is what the planned community will address. There will be multi-unit homes, different kinds of homes. They'll have smaller homes. they'll have some large homes, because many of our Hawaiian people have the kala and can afford homes, beautiful homes. All that they need are the lands and the opportunity to do so.

So these are some of the visions that we have. And it's not a vision; it is going to happen, because we're planning it, and we're planning it well. We have had a reorganization, and Lardizabal has given us the okay -- Of course, with the Governor -- for our reorganization. I'm just hoping I'm talking to the right person. But anyways, I'm just POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

touching on some of the things that we're talking about.

Under the Federal Task Force, I know that we've submitted in addition in answering the 134 recommendations that came out of the Task Force, and if you -- you have not had the opportunity to read all the comments, but you will see that much of the recommendations have been fulfilled, and I'm really very happy about this.

We're taking a beneficiary survey which will be completed in December of this year. On the basis of this, we will be able to address the needs of our applicants.

I would like to touch on the fact that you have heard many testimonies about applications being in the number of 20,000, but you have to realize that of this 20,000 applications, in many of our families, husband has applied for two applications, both a farm lot and a resident lot or an agricultural lot, and the wife has also applied.

So you have a family of five,
let's say. A son who's 18 and a daughter who's
21 have had two applications each that they
POWERS & ASSOCIATES

have submitted. So after refining all of this, we actually -- applicants now, the number of applicants total approximately 12,000, and not the 20,000 that has been loosely thrown about through many hearings that I have attended and up in the legislature. I certainly want to set that matter straight.

We have many financial options and of course FHA has been a boon to us because they have been able to assist us in meeting much of our needs, so that much of the monies that we are able to receive from the legislature can go into infrastructure. Farmer's Loan has been another help for our people, and of course we have submitted loans to our own people for the constructions of their residences.

The problem is, we do have a gap group, and this is where I think OHA would be able to assist us: in making loans to this gap group, who would not be able to -- would not be able to quality for FHA because of their income and the number of people that are within the family home. They would not be able to qualify for Farmers Loan.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So therefore this gap group -which is quite a number of people since we're working now with these people to put them on We've found that this gap group needs homes. special attention and special help. that this is where OHA can assist us: giving a low-interest loan to these people who do not qualify for these other loans.

We have received over \$80 million from the State legislature in the last two bienniums, five years. Unfortunately, I cannot say that of the Federal government. In the last 70 years we have not received any kala at all, any monies. But historically, the last two years we were able to obtain \$2.4 million of community development block grant funds which have been very helpful in Pahe'ehe'e Ridge.

If we were able or if we are able to get more kala from the Federal government, this would be very helpful. If they would only match the State government, then we Hawaiians would not need to go to seek funds elsewhere.

The Federal government, to my

mind, has not fulfilled their trust **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

obligations to us. The Department of the Interior, which has the trust responsibility to the Native Hawaiians, has given us nothing but lip service.

In my last trip to Washington,

I spoke with them about getting someone to
survey all of our lands. They were very
helpful, and the gentleman will be down here in
two weeks. But he will also take an assessment
as to the cost factor involved, because there
is no kala coming from the Federal government.
We will have to go the route to our
congressional delegation, who were responsible
for the \$2.4 million coming to us from CDBG,
and not the executive branch of government.

We Hawaiians, I believe, have been bisected. We've had our hearts opened. We've cried. And I want to stop that. We don't need to do that. Our dignity is intact. We have to move forward.

I believe with the help of

Governor Waihee and the legislature -- who has

given us the ability to start building 450

homes this year and 1,200 homes next year. We

have a goal of 1,400 homes in the next decade

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

at a cost of 2.4 billion.

You know, many people say, "Now, Mrs. Drake, you must be crazy to be asking this kind of monies." But no. This is a fact. This is a need that must be fulfilled. Whether we are going to get it from the Federal government, whether we're going to get it from the State legislature, whether OHA will be able to kokua us, I know there is an answer.

Whether we from our own revenues will be able to take care of this amount of money, we will accomplish these goals.

I was first brought on by the Governor, and my first mandate was to build homes and put people on the land. The commissioners themselves, when I met with them, gave me this mandate to build homes and get people back on the land. And I am carrying out this mandate, and I need help. I can't do this alone.

I've gone back to the people.

I've gone back to the homesteaders. Every

meeting where I meet with them, I talk to them

about the political process and how important

it is that they themselves elect the right

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

officials, that they themselves make sure that doors at the counties are open, so when I go on the islands, they can take me to meet their mayor, they can take me to meet their council people.

The political process is very, very important for our people. So what I have done, I have enlisted the aid of all the homestead associations. I have gone back to the grassroots people for help. And with them, I am able to move mountains, because they are the people, they are the grassroots people. Without them, you and I would not exist.

People talk about land as being the most important thing, but it's not the land that's important. It's the people. They're the most important part of our assets. Without them, land would not be necessary. But land is there to feed them. Land is there to clothe them. Land is there to quench their thirst. Land is there to shelter them, to keep them warm, and to love them.

That is what our aina is about. I've been hearing nothing but aina, aina, aina. It's not the aina that's important. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

(808) 521-7815

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

It's the people that's important. Without them to love them, without them to support us, we are nothing. You -- all of you folks are nothing. We are all sitting here because of their needs.

**' 24** 

I may be carrying on. Please forgive me. But I'm been on every island.

I was on Maui, and I went up for a small subdivision that the county has given me so much problems on. Just 40 homes being built. Now they're asking additional sidewalks, additional other things.

I could use the power of Hawaiian Homes to circumvent all of those things, but we are lawful people. We are abiding by the county rules for safety and health sake. Now, this is why I'm going to our people. They have to take me to their mayor, and they had better put him in or out, and they'd better get that county moving.

That really hurt me when I went there and listened to them making excuses. All I ask is that our 40 homesteaders be allowed to move into that subdivision right away. What do they do? They tell us, we're putting in -- POWERS & ASSOCIATES

there's an 8-inch water main running alongside of that. They expect us to put a 12-inch main. I says, "Okay. We'll put a 12-inch main."

That adds to the cost of the subdivision cost. That puts up the \$45,000 per infrastructure lot up to 50. The additional cost that they're asking us to do may even go up to 75,000 per lot. That's just ridiculous.

But I've gone back to the people where the power is. Maui will be moving to help me, to resolve that particular problem that I have. It's not only money. It's the political process that is so necessary for our people to understand for our people to move government so that I can build, so that I can make sure that they can return to the land.

It's very easy for you to say, "We give you this amount of money. Go build your houses." That's not the way it is, because you have subdivision plans, planning and designing of these subdivisions, and when the County starts playing politics with the lives of our people, that's about time when we should be moving our people to respond to them, because they vote for those very, very people.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

No problem.

I am.

No, no.

Excuse me for carrying on the way

I just want to make you aware of the fact that this Committee in its advisory status has been addressing this problem for almost 13 years, from the early -- well, from about 1978. And in 1980, you know, we came out

MS. DRAKE: Yes.

with this breach of trust --

THE CHAIR:

THE CHAIR: -- which culminated other interests into finding that the -- in fact, having the task force created and having the 16 illegal executive orders.

I mirror your frustration. We mirror your frustration. We're not happy having these hearings unless it has something positive. We're all trying to grasp for some vehicle that can serve our people. You and I agree, got to agree, that from 1920 to now, this Act has been misconstrued, misled and mishandled by all phases of government.

We have to agree on that, because there is somebody here -- if it's not the United States government, if it's not the State POWERS & ASSOCIATES

of Hawaii, somebody has to take the blame, number one, first, for mishandling this Act, whether it be in 1920, 1940, 1960 or now, because if we plan -- what this Committee has to do is gather information, recommend to our commissioners that they recommend to the President or Congress.

So we're asking you, I am asking you: Do you see any recommendation? You have the core questions we submitted to you. can we help the Hawaiian Homes in our final report that we come up with? Do you have any recommendations on that?

MS. DRAKE: Yesterday, we went on a journey to Lualualei. I know you were taken by the beauty of the land and by the values and beautiful area that Lualualei is situated at. I know you also noted the many structures and areas where the storage of live ammunition and other kinds of equipment were stored. You also realized the magnitude of clearing up such an area would entail.

We have been to court on this issue. Senator Inouye has spoken with our commissioners and he has spoken with the **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

(808) 521-7815

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

homestead associations and with other groups, and he has come up with some recommendations that if we do want to go back into court, there may be the possibility of us not winning in the judiciary arena.

His other recommendation is that he do it as a part of his congressional negotiations with other senators and through Congress. I look at the Defense Department, and they have problems at this time because they're recalling much of the American troops home.

because it would take millions of dollars to right the wrong of Lualualei with the many kinds of ammunitions that are stored there and what has been done to that land. And I look at Bellows Field and I look at Barber's Point, and I would like to recommend that this body recommends that a fair exchange of lands be made. Much of the bases will be closing down. If this is so, then this is the time when we need to sit at tables and -- to do some kind of recommendation.

But I also would like to insert POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

here, because I have lived in that area for 40
years down in Nanakuli, Lualualei has been good
to that community. The Navy has been good to
that community. It has provided jobs for our
people. It has provided a good kind of
community relationship between the military and
the Hawaiian community there. And there is a
good kind of relationship.

But because this goes beyond that, of this goodness that has happened within our community by providing jobs for our people, my recommendation is that if they want to retain Lualualei, then they should come to the table, pay us for the past compensation use of that land at fair market value, and pay for the compensation use of the land at this particular time, look at exchanging that land for Barber's Point and Bellows Field.

It's not too farfetched. I think that can happen because the time is right. But these kinds of things need to be addressed.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Questions from the panel?

MS. PUZON: I would like to add,

Mrs. Drake: Regarding Lualualei, you said that **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

(808) 521-7815

1 the Navy has been very helpful in providing 2 jobs to residents of the area. In the August newsletter of OHA, there was an editorial by Frenchy DeSoto about health hazards caused by 5 naval facilities at Lualualei, specifically by electromagnetic radiation and very high rates 7 of serious illnesses. 8 Is this a concern? 9 MS. DRAKE: I believe this is a concern of anyone in the community, especially 10 when the Board of Health came out with a 11 But since then they have rescinded 12 report. this alert to the community and have come out 13 14 that in their testing, they have found this not to be true. 15 16 I think many times we need to look 17 at whether these kinds of reports are for 18 alerting the community, and then when they're 19 found not to be true and then -- let us know 20 it's not true. So I don't know who to believe. 21 But the Department of Health has rescinded that 22 alert at this time. 23 MS. PANUI: Aloha, Auntie. 24 You have made a recommendation that the Federal -- on the Federal level for

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

the exchange of lands that have been used by the Federal government.

ž 3

My question -- and I am a new member to this Committee, but I have lived for years as a homesteader, and the frustrations coming from the homesteader, looking at missing lands.

How do you propose -- or how would you recommend to the State that they go about compensating Hawaiians for these missing lands?

MS. DRAKE: We are now in negotiations with the Department of Land and Natural Resources, the Office of State Planning representing the Governor, and ourselves. We already have identified all these parcels of 16 lands, both private and lands that were sold that belong to the Department of Hawaiian Homes.

These are the things that Pat was just talking to you about and at this time we're not at liberty to disclose, because of the negotiations, proceedings going on. I can assure you we are doing something about it.

> MS. PANUI: Will that include **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

(808) 521-7815

25

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
1
  access both to the mountains and to the
  beaches?
            Because many of these parcels have
3
  excluded Hawaiians from those areas.
4
                MS. DRAKE:
                            We're addressing all
5
  of these land controversies at this time, every
6
  land controversy, and we're documenting all of
7
  these parcels of land.
8
                MR. LARDIZABAL:
                                 Mrs. Drake, I'm
9
  impressed with your testimony, but you
  mentioned something about the frustration with
11
  the County.
12
                Are they being cooperative in
  general, or is this just one coincidence about
  the -- their own planning, health plan
15
  programs?
16
                MS. DRAKE:
                            Well, the County of
17
  Maui, we had this -- when I came aboard, these
  40 people had waited four years.
                                      First of all,
19
  the County had to be informed that we did not
20
  need to go through county zonings --
21
                MR. LARDIZABAL:
                                  Right.
22
                MS. DRAKE: -- that we were --
23
  that we did not need to meet these kinds of
24
          It took them a year to -- for our legal
25
  department to finally persuade their legal
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

department that we did not need to go through the ordinances, through the zoning codes.

2

19

20

21

22

24

So then another thing happened.

We tested the soil, and then we needed Board of
Health to come forth and say whether or not we
would be able to -- oh, we needed to hook on to
the sewer line. The sewer line was about a
mile or two miles away. So there were many
things that were needed to be done that we were
able to accomplish and were willing to do.

11 It's the things that have transpired lately that added onto the cost. 12 13 First of all, they didn't require us to have 14 sidewalks. Now they're telling us to put in 15 sidewalks. Then they said we didn't need to 16 go underground for our utilities, for our 17 lights. Now we've got to go underground for 18 utilities.

Now, this subdivision was first started -- plans were started about three years ago, and today -- it's just one thing after another. I've spoken with the Mayor, and as you know and I know, when the Mayor has served his office and his term of office is almost over, he does not carry the amount of weight

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

```
1
  that he normally would if he was just working
2 his four-year term.
3
                The City Council is running for
4
  office.
           Everybody's running for office, and
5
  so --
6
                MR. LARDIZABAL: Mrs. Drake.
7
  question really is: Are you required by the
8
  County general plans and/or ordinances to
  follow them, or can they be waived for
  construction?
10
11
                MS. DRAKE:
                            We can do this, but
12 we want our subdivisions to be taken care --
13
  the roads to be cared for by the County.
                                               Wе
14 want our people to receive all the benefits
15
  from the County. If we don't adhere to County
16
  rules, then they will say, "You take care of
17
  your own roads. You take care of all the other
18
  things that would support the subdivision."
19
                MR. LARDIZABAL:
                                  Thank you.
20
                           That's sewage and
                THE CHAIR:
21
  everything else? If you don't comply with
22
  their --
23
                MS. DRAKE:
                            That's right.
24
                THE CHAIR:
                            Barry?
25
                MR.
                    SHAIN:
                             I guess I've been
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                        (808) 521-7815
```

```
involved in this issue for the last eight years
2 with Charlie, sitting here on the Commission,
  and the last eight years, I've been sitting
  here listening about how the State can't get
5
 the County to do this and how the Federal
  government doesn't want to do this, how there
  aren't resources here, how OHA isn't
  cooperating here.
9
                Somewhere, somebody is responsible
10
  for this, and the ultimate place I see the
11
  responsibility is at the Department of Hawaiian
12 Home Lands. Now, it's not the negative
13
  responsibility, but the responsibility to get
14
  the job done.
15
                MS. DRAKE:
                            I do that.
16
                MR. SHAIN:
                            Okay.
17
                I would like to know if you think
18
  -- after decades of people waiting for land,
19
  do you believe the Department of Hawaiian Home
20
  Lands still has a viable trust?
                                    We've talked
21
  about trust breach from the Federal government;
22
  we've talked about trust breach from the State
23
  government; we've talked about lack of trust
24
  with some of the other Hawaiian organizations.
25
                Do you believe that there's
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

1 enough trust left in the Hawaiian grassroots 2 community, as you put it, for the Hawaiian 3 Home Lands to turn things around and to put people on the land, and that people are going to sit here for another 10 or 11 years and wait to hear about 12-inch water pipes and waiting 7 to hear about sidewalks being put in? Because it's the same thing we heard 16 months ago in That's my question to you. testimony. Did you find out in the community a lot of trust for 10 the Hawaiian Home Lands Commission? 11 Sir, I am from the 12 MS. DRAKE: 13 grassroots. I'm a people person. If anybody 14 knows people, it's me. That's my business. I know the political ramifications. 15 16 what it is to go and massage the counties. 17 I know what it is to go and massage the 18 political system. That's the reality of life. 19 That's what part of being a director is all 20 about. 21 I was sharing with you the things 22 |-- some of the things that have been happening. 23 If it sounds familiar to you, then you know 24 that this has happened in the past. It will 25 always be there. It's a reality of life for **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

any department.

But when you talk in terms of our people -- and these are the Native Hawaiians who are homesteaders -- this is the first time that we are -- now, with the SCCHA, we have funded a convention, and we are going back to our people, because -- I don't know if you were listening to me, but I explained to you that it wasn't the land, it wasn't anything else. It's the people that are important, and fulfilling the needs of these people.

I was sharing with you many of the things that have happened on Maui, and it was only one incident. It was not many. We have many good things that are happening on Hawaii. Their council fully supports us, fully supports my role there as the Director of Hawaiian Home Lands. They are working in concert, full concert with us. And this is where Charles and I have to sit down and talk about the realities of the politics of what is going on in Maui.

I realize these things because

I have gone to the people for help, not to you,

to the people, because it's from the people

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

```
1
  where the power exists.
                           It's not all of us
2
  here.
         It's the people. Unless the people
3
  support me in this program, nothing is going to
4
  happen.
5
                MR. SHAIN: I want to try to get
6
  that question answered.
7
                Do you still consider that the
8
  Department of Hawaiian Home Lands has the trust
9
  of the people?
10
                MS. DRAKE:
                            Yes.
11
                MR. SHAIN:
                           Absolutely?
12
                MS. DRAKE:
                           Absolutely.
13
                           Okay.
                MR. SHAIN:
                                    That's
14
  question number one.
15
                Question number two: I think we
16
  last had hearings in 1988.
17
                THE CHAIR: 1989.
18
                MR. SHAIN: Yeah.
19
                How many new home lands and how
20
  many people have been put on the home lands
21
  since that time?
22
                MS. DRAKE:
                             I don't know.
23
                John, can you answer that
24
  question?
25
                John is my deputy, and he's been
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

```
around a long time. I've only been on eight
1
2
  months.
3
                THE CHAIR:
                           Would you take a mike,
4
  sir, please?
5
                Could you state your name and your
6
  position, please?
7
                MR. ROWE:
                           My name is John Rowe.
  I'm the Deputy Director for the Department of
  Hawaiian Home Lands.
10
                In response to your question, back
11 in 1984/85, the Department awarded 2,500 lots.
12 Approximately 2,000 of those lots were
  unimproved. We have gone back now and we have
  begun to put in the improvements. And we also
  have implemented a program to construct 450
16 homes during 1990, which will be our primary
17
  source of home construction since the last
18 hearing.
19
                MR. SHAIN:
                             Okay.
                                    So nothing has
20
  taken place since the last hearing until today,
21
  though?
22
                MR. ROWE:
                            It has.
23
                MS. DRAKE:
                             It has.
24
                MR. ROWE:
                            It has.
                                     We've at least
25
     we've started -- we have programs in which
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                        (808) 521-7815
```

```
we have requests from contractors that we're
2
  looking at who will build 50 homes in Hilo.
3
  approximately 40 homes on Maui, approximately
4
  35 homes on Kauai.
                       We already have under
  construction 45 homes, so we already have
5
  started.
7
                MR. SHAIN:
                             Okay.
8
                My next question is: Out of the
9
  $80 million budget, how much of that is
10
  supposed to be bonds that you're supposed to
11
  issue yourself?
12
                MR. ROWE:
                           Approximately 43
13
  million.
14
                MR. SHAIN:
                             Okay.
15
                And do you have -- I believe you
16
  need assets to write against the bonds.
17
  have assurances from the banks now that they
18
  will underwrite those -- that $43 million
19
  float?
20
                MR. ROWE:
                           At this time, we're
21
  working with a -- an underwriter to the
22
  Department of Budget and Finance, and they have
23
  assured us that it is feasible -- We're still
24
  in the implementation stages -- that it is
  feasible for us to do so based on the income
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

that we would want to dedicate for repayments. It is the Department's intention not to float more than \$25 million. 3 4 MR. SHAIN: So, in other words, you have 37 million in actual money, and you 5 have a right to write a bond for up to 43 million, but you'll only write a bond for about 7 25 million, correct? 9 MR. ROWE: Yes. MR. SHAIN: And that's if you can 10 11 get the bond underwritten with your current lassets? 12 13 MR. ROWE: Well, it's not the 14 current assets that will underwrite the bond. The bond will be based on the income that --16 the income that we dedicate towards the 17 program. 18 MR. SHAIN: In September of 1988, 19 a letter was written to Ilima Piianaia, and I 20 guess the request was followed up with two 21 other requests. These were all signed, I 22 believe, by Charlie. And then in March of 23 1990, the Commission was told that we need to get a response to these questions, and we still 25 haven't.

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

ì I guess this is a follow-up to 2 Ruth's questions, and it has to do with the rights of Native Hawaiians to engage in hunting for their economic self-sufficiency, the idea 5 on leased land on Maui, to be able to use the 6 beach for fishing and things like that. 7 I don't believe the Commission has 8 received a response yet. I was wondering if you could give us a time when we could get a 10 response to that. 11 MS. DRAKE: Yes. I think we need 12 to work with some agency, because in the past what has been found is that a lot of people 13 14 that have been going on to private or Hawaiian 15 Homestead lands have been trashing the area. They have stolen cattle. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Excuse me. 18 MS. DRAKE: I'm just telling you 19 what we've found and what we're going to do 20 about it. 21 We need to be working with 22 agencies, and this is what -- DLNR, we've met 23 on this, and we're finding community agencies 24 who will be responsible to make sure those people who go onto the land will be there who **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
are Hawaiians. I'm talking about Native
 Hawaiians.
               THE CHAIR: Auntie Hoaliku --
4
               MS. DRAKE: Yes?
5
               THE CHAIR: -- you are speaking
  directly about an incident that I am involved
7
  with, Kahikinui --
8
               MS. DRAKE:
                           Yes.
9
                THE CHAIR: -- 15,000 acres --
10
               MS. DRAKE: I know.
11
                THE CHAIR: -- and Maui Factors
12 closed the right of way. It has no given right
13 by any man that I got to check with. It's my
14 right to transfer that land and go to the
15 ocean.
          They have alienated us of this right by
16 closing all the public right of ways.
17 15,000 acres that they are in control of, they
18 give to who they want to --
19
                MS. DRAKE: I see.
20
                THE CHAIR: -- if you are nice to
  that company, which is the former mayor, Elmer
22 carvalho.
23
                Furthermore, that letter also asks
24
  -- and I've been asking this question for 10
  vears.
          Maui Factors leases 15,000 acres --
                    POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                        (808) 521-7815
```

```
1 and I said this in 1988, that they are using
 36,000 acres from Hawaiian Homes property and
  they're only paying for 15,000. And we cannot
  go down to the ocean to fish or cannot shoot
5
  goats, which was traditional before, before
6
  these people. When Ulupalakua Ranch had it,
7
  they let the Hawaiians go fish and hunt.
8
                I've asked this question for
  years, and I have not gotten the answer.
10 That's frustrating.
11
                MS. DRAKE: May I speak --
  Charles, may I speak with you more fully on
12
13 this matter --
14
                THE CHAIR:
                                   Definitely.
                            Sure.
15
                MS. DRAKE:
                            -- when we're pau
16 here?
17
                THE CHAIR:
                            Sure.
18
                MS. DRAKE: Fine.
19
                THE CHAIR:
                            Good.
20
                MR. SHAIN:
                            My next question is:
21
  At the last hearing, I remember it came to our
22 attention -- I went back over the testimony,
23 and we know in Hawaii that beachfront land is
  considered prime land just by seeing the
  purchase prices around Kahala.
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

Do you believe that Hawaiian Home 1 Lands is receiving fair compensation for beach 2 3 parks that the City is leasing? My question 4 number one. 5 And to follow up on Ruth's and now Charlie's questions, do you also feel that it's 7 fair when Native Hawaiians decide to camp on Hawaiian home land that happens to be leased to the City that they should be arrested for 10 that? 11 MS. DRAKE: At this particular time -- there was litigation. You're speaking 12 on Kauai, about the island of Kauai? Is this 13 14 what you're speaking about? 15 MR. SHAIN: I'm also thinking 16 about Waimanalo Beach Park, too. 17 MS. DRAKE: Waimanalo Beach Park 18 -- the people who live across -- it's being 19 fully used by Hawaiian homesteaders. Waimanalo 20 Beach Park -- the residents themselves asked 21 the people to move off the beaches because they 22 were not even permitted to go on to their own 23 beaches. You talking about an area that you know nothing about, because I was involved during that period of time.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

206 1 The people themselves who lived in 2 the homestead were not even permitted to go 3 over. Why? Because there was -- people just 4 went there and squatted. They were not even 5 allowed -- their children were stopped from 6 going onto the beaches. 7 THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's have some 8 order. 9 Continue. 10 MS. DRAKE: I'm talking in terms 11 of the people in Waimanalo. We came up with a 12 I remember Mrs. Georgeanna Padeken, who 13 was chairman at the time, and we met with community groups, and that problem was 14 15 resolved. Because the people wanted those 16 lands returned to them, because the 17 homesteaders themselves are in complete use of

that Waimanalo Homestead lands, that beach lands.

It's right across the street from where the homesteaders live. Their children walk across. Their children play there. The

families go there, they use the pavilion.

18

19

20

21

22

23

That's their beach. They've planted their flag
on that area. That's their beach. It's the

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

```
1 Hawaiian homesteaders beach.
               THE CHAIR:
                            Barry, response?
2
               MR. CAHILL: Mrs. Drake?
3
4
               MS. DRAKE: Yes?
5
               MR. CAHILL:
                             This is unrelated to
6 what's gone on before.
7
               THE CHAIR: Use the mike, please.
               MR. CAHILL:
8
                             Okay.
               This is unrelated to what's gone
9
              I believe the Departments of
10 on before.
IN Interior and Justice have the responsibility to
12 ensure enforcement of this State's obligation
13 in carrying out the Act of 1921.
               Have either or both of these
14
15 Federal departments conducted a formal
16 evaluation of the Department of Hawaiian Home
17 Lands, and, if so, have they ever indicated an
18 interest or an awareness of your department's
19 problems and frustrations, or have they been
20 supportive in any way, if they have been
21
  around?
22
                            Well, in 198- -- the
                MS. DRAKE:
23 Task Force that was formed, the Department of
24 Interior did send down their attorney, Ruth Van
25 bleve.
```

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

```
1
                MR. CAHILL: What year was that,
2
 please?
                MS. DRAKE:
                            1982.
3
4
                MR. CAHILL: Okay.
5
                MS. DRAKE:
                            1982, the Task Force
  did send down Department of Interior to
6
7
  represent the Interior. And they took an
  active part in that task force, and the
8
  recommendations that did come forth from this
 task force are answered in the attachments that
10
II are submitted before you.
                MR. CAHILL: Yeah.
12
13
                Have you ever heard from them
14 since 1982?
15
                MS. DRAKE: They have been
16 very --
17
                MR. CAHILL: You don't have to say
18 it.
19
                MS. DRAKE: They have responded
20 to letters from us. We've been in contact.
21
                MR. CAHILL: All right.
                                          Thank
22 you.
23
                            Thank you.
                MS. DRAKE:
24
                THE CHAIR:
                            If I may recognize --
25 Helen?
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

```
MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                      Thank you.
1
                In your testimony on your goal,
2
 you mentioned -- and I quote -- that the
  "department's primary goal is the placement of
  Native Hawaiians on Hawaiian homelands.
6 goal for the next 10 years is to deliver more
7
  than 14,000 lots and homes at an estimated cost
  of $2.4 billion."
8
9
                MS. DRAKE:
                            Yes.
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                       "This
10
  figure includes $925 million for off- and
11
  on-site infrastructure."
12
                MS. DRAKE:
                            Yes.
13
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: My question
14
       What is your plan to fulfill those aspects
15
  is:
  of your goal that don't require money, more
  personnel, et cetera?
17
                You said that you've been on the
18
  job for six, seven months.
19
20
                MS. DRAKE: For eight months.
21
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                       For eight
22 months.
23
                In a way, I'm probably putting you
  on the spot, but we need this information as
25 members of the Committee.
```

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

```
1
                MS. DRAKE:
                           Are you talking about
  the operating budget?
2
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                      Well, I'm
3
4
  talking about what you have here as your goal,
  that you want to put these many more people on
5
  the land and so forth.
6
7
                My question is:
                                 What do you plan
  to do with those aspects of this particular
8
  plan that doesn't require money? I guess I'm
9
  talking about your role as the head of this
10
11
  Department.
                MS. DRAKE:
                           My role is to
12
  administrate --
13
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                       Yes.
14
                MS. DRAKE:
                           -- this program;
15
16
  my staff's role, to come up with the plans.
17
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                       Right.
                                               As
  the head of this particular department --
18
  because I think you're aware that we are trying
19
20
  to get some facts and some information so that
  we would know what to recommend in our report.
21
22
  So this is very important to us.
23
                MS. DRAKE:
                           Well, the bottom line
24
  is more money.
                  That's really the bottom line.
  If I'm able to come up with more money, I'm
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

able to -- well, first of all, I would like to share with you that prior to 1988, the monies 2 that were utilized from our general leases, our 3 revenue kinds of monies that we received, were used for our operating budget. 5 In 1988, for the first time in 6 history, the legislature appropriated enough 7 monies for us so that we were able now to fund our employees, and we have 98 civil service employees. 10 What I would like to see happen is 11 to hire more technical people, more engineers. I would like to hire a hydrologist, and I would like to hire more people in identifying our water needs. They will be at the Planning Department on special projects. 17 But I believe this need is very, 18 very apparent, because without water we will 19 not be able to build the many houses that we're 20 talking in terms of. Many of the departments 21 that we're talking about, I'm looking for ways 22 and means so that we'll be able to get these 23 technical people aboard. 24 MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: Mrs. Drake, 25 I think that my concern is that -- I realize

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

```
1
 that if we had more money, we could do
  something.
2
               MS. DRAKE:
3
                            Yes.
               MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
4
                                      All of us
  are in agreement.
5
6
               But at the same time, there must
  be some aspects of your job, your task, as head
7
  of the Department that really didn't require
          And I'm sure --
  monev.
9
               MS. DRAKE:
                            Certainly.
10
               MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: -- you're
11
  more familiar with that than I am.
12
               MS. DRAKE:
                            Certainly. What we
13
14 have been doing at this particular time -- and
15 we would like to share with you -- we've been
16 working with other landholders and other
17 Hawaiian agencies in coming up with perhaps
18 sharing some of their know-how and their wisdom
19 as to how they were able to develop some of
20 their lands. They're coming back and sharing
21
  with us.
22
               We at this particular time have
23 formed committees to look at some of our
24 pastoral lots which we will be awarding in
25 September sometime, and we're talking about
```

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

larger lots than those that were originally planned. I'm -- because it was passed by the Commission, I'm sure we will be addressing some 3 of these things that you're talking about right 5 now. We're linking up with the Office 6 of Hawaiian Affairs. Last Sunday during lunch 7 was the first time historically that the Department and the Office of Hawaiian Affairs were able to meet and just sit down to talk 10 with one another, to get to know who we are, 11 who you are, sharing these kinds of philosophies, one with the other. 13 Hopefully, this relationship may 14 bloom into where we will be able to work with them so that they can include us in their plans and include us, perhaps, on some of the loans 17 18 that I was talking about with gap groups that we were not able to fund, and maybe looking into other avenues that they could include us 21 in their plans. 22 So these are some of the things that we're doing. 24 MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: Are there things that you could do without waiting for POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
1
  the other person to invite you?
                MS. DRAKE:
                             I don't wait for
2
3
  anybody to invite me. I invite them.
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: Could you
4
  just detail for us a little bit for us.
5
                                              What I
  think we're interested in knowing is that there
6
  must be some things in here that don't require
7
  money for improvement of the Department, and I
  think I would like to hear a little bit more
9
  about that.
10
11
                MS. DRAKE:
                           Are you talking about
  the programs?
12
                THE CHAIR:
                            Maybe I can clarify
13
  what she means.
14
                MS. DRAKE:
                            Yes?
15
                THE CHAIR:
                             One of them might be
16
   -- like she's aware that we had several
17
  hearings already and heard that there's people
18
19
  that have died that are waiting on the list,
20
  that there's people here like Sonny Kaniho
21
  waiting 45 years for a house.
22
                Two years ago we asked this
23
  question:
              Will you give precedence to these
24
  people to get lots first, while us who has
25
  waited 27 years can wait another 5 or 10 or 15?
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

```
1
 Do they have precedence?
2
                MS. DRAKE:
                             You're talking about
3
  the pastoral list.
4
                THE CHAIR:
                            Well, whatever.
5
                MS. DRAKE:
                             Yes.
6
                THE CHAIR: Not necessarily.
7
                Any applicant -- And that was my
  question two years ago -- any applicant that
  has waited a hell of a long time, and they're
10
  old, they need a place to stay; do they have
11
  precedence?
12
                MS. DRAKE:
                             If they're on the
  |list and they're way up on the list -- can you
14
  explain?
15
                THE CHAIR:
                            Yeah.
16
                So if they're waiting 30 years and
17
  45 years and all, they must be --
18
                MS. DRAKE:
                            They must be up there.
19
                THE CHAIR:
                             Right. That's what I
20
  mean.
21
                MS. DRAKE: In order to be
22
  awarded, sure.
23
                            Can they be considered
                THE CHAIR:
  first, is what I asked two years ago.
25
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: And that
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

1 That's what I'm asking doesn't require money. 2 you. 3 THE CHAIR: Yeah. 4 MS. DRAKE: You're talking about 5 the program itself. You're talking in terms of 6 the circumvention of that list --7 THE CHAIR: Implementation. 8 MS. DRAKE: -- and whether or not we weigh one over the other. 10 THE CHAIR: Right. 11 MS. DRAKE: We have rules. We have to abide by the rules. You're talking 12 13 labout the circumvention of that list. MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: 14 No. I don't 15 mean circumvention. I realize that people have 16 to have rules and regulations, but I think I'm 17 thinking about changes or directions that could 18 be undertaken that will not require money or 19 waiting for Washington to do their part. 20 MS. DRAKE: I think this 21 department has looked into areas where you're 22 talking in terms of social needs. We looked at 23 some of the elderly who are in need of 24 transferring their lots because -- Papakolea, 25 they're up in a high area where they can't walk **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

down the stairs anymore, and they would like to exchange their homes to a flat area. 2 3 We have conducted these changes. 4 Where there has been needs for reconstruction or because of their age they needed to move from one island to the other, we have helped them do this. I think on a one-to-one basis we are addressing their needs as they come forth. MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: I quess the 10 reason why I'm pursuing this is that I see this 11 as very interesting testimony, because you do 12 have a goal of master-planned communities. yet I realize that from the hearings we've had, consultations we've had -- and today is no exception -- we realize that there are problems 15 16 and people have come forth with them. 17 And so as a member of the 18 Committee, I'd like to understand what the 19 problem is so that we can come up with a clear 20 idea of recommendations and so on. That's why 21 I'm pursuing this --22 MS. DRAKE: Certainly. 23 MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: 24 questions. 25 If you were to take that goal that **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
you have described here and try to put them
2 down into very different -- in little tasks
3
  that might have to be undertaken, which ones
  would be the ones that you feel are very
  important to do so that some of the needs of
  the Hawaiian community will be met apropos this
7
  problem?
8
               MS. DRAKE:
                            Our community,
9 I think, ranges a wide spectrum of needs.
10 you look at some of the needs of our elderly,
  they are no longer able to take care of their
12 homestead. They need a smaller area to live
13 in.
       They would like to move into a seniors'
14 center where other kupunas would be there, so
15 that they could be able to socialize.
16
               We, along with Alu Like, have come
17 forth -- Because Alu Like had some Federal
18 funds -- come forth with some programs for our
19
  senior citizens, and we've targeted these
20
  groups to service them with meals.
21
  targeted these groups so that they're able to
22
  come into schools, our community buildings that
23 OHA has -- I mean, that Alu Like has --
24
               THE CHAIR:
                            Excuse me, kala mai,
25
  ma'am.
```

### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 MS. DRAKE: Yes. 2 THE CHAIR: I'm going to have to 3 move off this subject because we have other questions that need to be answered. 5 MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: Certainly. 6 THE CHAIR: If you don't mind. 7 MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: Right. 8 Thank you. MS. DALY: As a new member of this 10 Committee, I'm very conscious of the II frustrations of all the people, of Native 12 Hawaiians, because there's so many things that 13 seem as though they're about to happen and they 14 fall through the cracks. This question is 15 based on that awareness. 16 I understand that there is a 17 cabinet-level task force set up as a result of 18 an August, 1989 congressional hearing, and I 19 just wonder if you would be able to briefly 20 discuss, you and your assistant, the results of 21 this task force, a cabinet-level task force. 22 MS. DRAKE: This task force. 23 I believe, has come together and assessed the needs and the many programs and services that 25 the Native Hawaiians now receive. Their health **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

needs have been addressed. Many of the social problems in housing, in elderly programs, all of these were assessed.

3

5

And when they were put together -- This is what I was talking about -- even though the education portion came through Federal, the State monies went into education and many private monies went into education for 9 the Native Hawaiians. This wide area of 10 services to our Native Hawaiians came together. 11 And really what filtered through this whole 12 thing was the need for housing, and that's what 13 happened at these meetings.

14 Every -- they met. They talked 15 about health in one area, what were the 16 services being provided to the Native 17 Hawaiian, what were the health services being 18 met, how were they being met, and the number of 19 dollars that were going into the programs.

20 These were all reports that came 21 forth. We'll submit something for you on that. 22 And then what finally resulted was -- and what 23 really came out and emanated from this group was the need for homes for our Native 25 Hawaiians.

# **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

THE CHAIR: Anthony?

MR. VERICELLA: Yes.

I just want to get into the record and actually commend you on voicing for the first time today: The real heart of the issue from this Advisory Committee's standpoint to the U.S. Civil Right Commission should indeed be the rights of the people, of the Native Hawaiian people, and in which way, if any -- and there certainly have been many ways that they have either been discriminated against or injustices have been applied to them.

And I think that even though the critical need stems back to the land, the resources for using the land, or incomes generated from lands, whether they are used directly or just used as an income source for the Hawaiian people, those are certainly the critical assets and critical needs of the Native Hawaiian people.

But it's the human and civil rights of those people that is the proper approach for this Committee to properly take with regards to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission, and that is the way in which to get

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

the critical needs for the people, which are the land and the water and the income, past, present, and future, from other folks using the land, is to attack it from the civil rights standpoint, from the human rights standpoint, and the fact that the Native Hawaiians have indeed been discriminated against and injustices have been applied to them 

And that's the tack that we should be taking on the Advisory Committee side. And that's one point.

Hawaiian organizations and who has responsibility and who doesn't have responsibility, just like our approach up here should be to -- and I think where we'll get the most bang for our buck, so to speak, is on the human and civil rights side, likewise for the Native Hawaiian people and all of the various Hawaiian organizations. You will get the most bang for your buck if it is finally possible for all of your organizations to set aside your various differences and sit down and as one body and one unit make a much stronger voice, and be prepared to put aside who's right and

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

```
who has the right approach, who has the wrong
  approach.
3
                The only approach is to get back
  the rights that you -- that were taken away
  from you and the resources that were taken
  away from you.
                   That should be the singular
7
  focus of your intention.
8
                THE CHAIR: Okay. Could I have a
  little bit of order here, please?
10
                Go ahead, Barry.
11
                MR. SHAIN:
                            The 2.4 billion,
  what's that for?
12
                MR. ROWE:
                           The 2.4 billion is --
13
  approximately 925 million will be for off-site
14
  and on-site infrastructure, and one -- the
16 remainder, a little over 1.4 billion, will be
17 for home construction funds.
18
                MR. SHAIN: How many families does
19
  that reflect?
20
                MR. ROWE: That would be for the
21
  minimum of 14,000.
22
                MR. SHAIN:
                            14,000.
23
                My calculator may be wrong, but
  that would take us at about 1.8 million per
  family. Is that possible? What does it come
                    POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                        (808) 521-7815
```

```
1
  to?
2
                MR. ROWE:
                           I don't have the exact
3
  figures --
4
                MR. SHAIN:
                            Does anybody have a
5
  calculator on them? My calculator only went up
  into the millions.
                       What is it? About 1.8
7
  million per thing?
8
                So it's 180,000.
9
                So in other words, without land
  acquisition, because we already own the land,
  it's going to be $180,000 per family?
11
                                           Is that
  what you're saying? That's just to build
12
  14,000 units which we should be able to do
13
               Is that what you're saying?
14 wholesale?
15
                MR. ROWE:
                          Well, our plans include
16 starting from now with an inflation factor over
17
  the 10 years, to bring it back to what the
18
  overall needs would be over the next 10 years.
19
  If it was --
20
                MR. SHAIN:
                            Excuse me.
                                         I don't
21
  mean to interrupt, but I will interrupt now.
22
                The bottom line of what you're
23
  saying is $180,000?
24
                MR. ROWE:
                           The bottom line, what
25 we're saying is, we estimated our cost to be
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

```
1 approximately $80,000. And I don't have all
2 the reports here to make it -- as to the
3 mathematics, but the approximate cost that we
4 were using per lot came up to approximately 80
5 to 90 thousand per unit for the infrastructure
 and the home.
7
               MR. SHAIN: I don't know.
                                           We're
8 missing a few billion dollars here somewhere.
 so --
               MR. ROWE:
                           I'll be happy to go
10
II back and review our figures and --
               MR. SHAIN:
                            Maybe I'm
12
13 oversimplifying it, but all I'm really doing is
14 dividing 14,000 into 2.4 billion, and that's
15 hot $80,000 per unit. I mean, maybe that's --
16
               MR. ROWE:
                           Well, I don't have my
17 balculator here.
18
               MR. SHAIN: I guess maybe we can't
19 Look at it that way.
20
               MR. ROWE:
                           Yeah.
                                  You know, all
21 we're saying is that we wanted to build 14,000
22 homes over the next 10 years, and what will
23 that cost.
24
               MR. SHAIN: Over the next 10
25 vears.
```

#### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 So in other words, what Okay. you're hopefully predicting is, over the next 2 3 10 years you're going to retire your entire 4 waiting list? 5 MR. ROWE: Yes. 6 MR. SHAIN: And add another 2,000 7 people to that, 2,000 families to that, and also get them settled on the land? 8 9 MR. ROWE: We anticipated Yes. 10 what our current needs were for homes and what the increase is, the net increase in the 11 waiting list would be over the next nine years. 12 13 Okay? 14 MR. SHAIN: Okay. 15 Have you thought -- it was told to 16 us today -- And it's something I didn't know in 17 the past -- that ancient Hawaiians before 18 haoles came were communal people? 19 Have you looked into alternatives 20 in terms of -- instead of your standard lot 21 house, building structure, having the people 22 live in a more communal way and possibly having 23 the farms also operating in a more communal 24 I mean, the structure has worked in other way? 25 countries around the world.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
1
                MR. ROWE:
                           We're looking at
2
  alternatives, yes.
3
                MR. SHAIN:
                            Okay.
4
                THE CHAIR:
                            A last question?
5
                MR. SHAIN:
                           No.
6
                THE CHAIR:
                            Thank you.
7
                John?
8
                                    I'll try to be
                MR. DULLES:
                             Yes.
9
  brief.
10
                I want to commend Mrs. Drake for
11
  putting this figure out here.
                                   I think that one
  of the reasons that people are afraid of this
  Hawaiian Homes Commission Act is the expense
14
  that's going to be required to make the
15
  reality, and I think we have to confront the
16
             And I don't know if it's 2.4 billion,
  reality.
17
  but it's a large amount of money that's going
18
  to be required, and somebody's got to come up
19
  with it.
20
                And the question is:
                                       Would you
21
  agree or disagree that the State was in
22
  compliance with the funding provisions, Article
23
  12, Section 1 of the 1978 Constitutional
  Convention, which it's my understanding commits
  the State to full funding to implement the
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

1 purposes of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 2 the responsibility of which has been accepted 3 by the State of Hawaii? 4 And I'll ask the same question to 5 Senator Crozier, but I would like your view on 6 that. 7 MS. DRAKE: I think the -- I 8 didn't hear the last portion of your question. 9 MR. DULLES: It's my understanding 10 that under the Constitutional Convention of 1978 --11 MS. DRAKE: 12 Yes. 13 MR. DULLES: -- Article 12, the State of Hawaii committed itself to full funding for the implementation of the Hawaiian 15 16 Homes Commission Act. That was an amendment to 17 the Constitution of the State of Hawaii. 18 In view of the resources that you 19 presently have from the State and other 20 sources, and in view of the estimated cost of 21 over \$2 billion to achieve the purposes of the 22 goal, is the State of Hawaii in compliance with 23 the full-funding provisions of the 24 Constitutional Convention of 1978, is my 25 question.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

The article you speak MR. ROWE: 1 of I believe called attention that the 2 legislature would assure that sufficient 3 operating funds from the following list are made available to the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands. The legislature, prior to 1988, had looked upon that by their approving our 7 budget in which we were using -- utilizing our own funds, was in response to that article. Since 1988, however, because 10 Governor Waihee has included the funding 11 request for our operating budget, they have 12 since fully funded our permanent costs. 13 that, we feel is adequate until we make 14 additional requests for additional permanent 15 employees. 16 In terms of the infrastructure 17 needs, if you look at the over \$2 billion that 18 we need, we believe that our becoming eligible 19 for FHA-insured financing is a possibility for 20 funding being provided for home construction. 21 Therefore, what we need to do is create approximately 925 million for the off-site and 23 on-site infrastructure.

The State is currently providing, POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

25

over the last few years, approximately \$20

million of that cost. We would need something

like \$100 million. Now, that is not that we

-- the executive branch and the legislature

needs to take a look at it.

You know, like the lady had asked the question earlier, what -- is there something else you can do? And the frustration of this program is that we sometimes deviate from what our goal is, to put it -- to put people on the land.

We're looking at attaining the 925 million right now. We don't have it, but we cannot deviate because we don't have all the resources now. We need to just keep going until we have the money. It may take us one year or it may take us five years, but we're committed for once and for all: putting people on the land and not having them wait 20 or 30 years on some waiting list.

MR. DULLES: Well, my
understanding is that you have set your goal.
In 10 years, this is what you want to deliver.
This is what it's going to cost to get it done.
Now, whose responsibility is it to fund it?

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
The income-generating leases? Certainly
 What?
  that could not be your approach. Certainly not
  the Federal government, which has come up with
  less than $2 million.
5
               If the State is responsible for
  implementation of the Act, then why not the
  legislature and the executive branch of the
  State? I'm asking you. You've stated your
  goal, and this is what you need to get the job
  done; whose responsibility is it to get those
11
  funds so you can get the job well done?
               MR. ROWE:
                           We think it will be our
12
  responsibility, working in conjunction with our
14
  State legislature on obtaining those kinds of
15
  funds.
16
               MR. DULLES:
                             So when you go to the
17
  Governor and you go to the Department of Budget
  and Finance, you're going to request full
19
  funding to achieve the purposes of implementing
20
  the Act as per your goal; is that correct or
21
  not correct?
22
               MR. ROWE:
                           That's a possibility.
23
               MR. DULLES:
                             Sir, I encourage you
24
  to pursue it.
25
                MR. ROWE:
                           Within the reality of
                    POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

what the State funding resources can provide to us.

MR. DULLES: And very briefly, the Federal-State Task Force recommended a comprehensive management audit of the Department.

Do you know if this has been completed, and, if so, could you make a copy of it available, the management audit that was proposed by the Federal-State Task Force in 1983? Has that been conducted, to your knowledge?

MR. ROWE: Yes, and we can make you a copy of it.

MR. DULLES: Okay. All right.

What efforts are being made by
your department to make sure that all the
leases and licenses are yielding a fair level
of compensation? Is that part of the review
process that's under way, and, if so, can you
tell us what time you expect to finish that
task so that we might have some idea of the
results?

MR. ROWE: Working in conjunction with the staff of the Governor and the POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 Department of Land and Natural Resources, we expect to have a package to present to the 2 3 State legislature by the start of the 1991 session in January of 1991. 5 MR. DULLES: Which will include 6 that information? 7 MR. ROWE: Yes. 8 MR. DULLES: Thank you very much. 9 THE CHAIR: I have a question. 10 How much land does the Hawaiian Homes have? We 11 asked this question two years ago; they were 12 Do you have any information how uncertain. much at the present time Hawaiian Homes own or 13 14 control, approximately? 15 MR. ROWE: Approximately 187,000 16 acres. 17 THE CHAIR: At one time, when the 18 Act was consummated, it was for 230,000. 19 there any feasible answer as to what happened 20 to that lost acreage? 21 MR. ROWE: Well, the land issue 22 was presented -- how it's described in Section 23 203 of the Act is, say, to give approximately 24 5,000 acres in a certain area, and then it 25 provides exclusions, like lands that were **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

presently under sugar cultivation or lands that were in conservation. Later on, it had come down to being identified at 203,000 acres.

We have since said that 187,000 acres, after you take out all these exclusions, are what we have right now. This is what everyone agrees is Hawaiian Homes land. Then as part of the controversies, we are also making additional claims of other lands that we feel belong to us.

THE CHAIR: If you see that the general leases aren't bringing in enough or was under -- substandard to start off with, are you cancelling their leases or asking them for more money?

MR. ROWE: Well, at this point, actually that question really relates, also, back to our ability to float \$43 million in revenue bonds. One of the reasons that the Department has chosen to only float 25 million is that to effectively float 43 million, we would have to use all of our general lease revenues, including some of the revenues from large landholdings that are in pastoral or farm POWERS & ASSOCIATES

general leases.

So what we did was, we know that perhaps 50 to 60 thousand acres of those lands, those general leases, will be coming due over the next two or three years, and we do not intend to extend those leases.

would like to think this means that it might be -- but I see lost income that has been lost since I found out about it over the last 10 years. I have written letters that you might have in your files -- and that you can get added income if you stop next week Monday. In Kahikinui, Maui Factors, like I said, is using 15,000 acres. They're leasing only 15,000, but because they don't have a fence line mauka of the 15,000, they're using 36,000 acres of Hawaiian Homes land.

So you should go into their lease and look at the back rent and ask them for monies from 20 years ago that you leased them these lands that they've been using 36,000 acres. You would get a hell of a lot of money, thousands of dollars that's there.

MS. DRAKE: Thank you very much, POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

 Charles.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

THE CHAIR: And I honestly expect to have an answer in writing as to what has been done, and I'm not going to wait two years.

Thank you.

Any more questions?

Personally I would like to Okay. thank you, Auntie Hoaliku, for coming out and bearing your soul to us. It was very touching. 10 This is a tremendous problem that we're all affected with. And it is enough of these 11 12 hearings, and it's enough that we've been 13 divided, and if we are Hawaiians and we believe 14 in the people -- The people is land, land is 15 people; they both work together -- then we all 16 get on our horse and put our differences aside and sit down and really work together, if we really, truly feel that we're representing the people.

Thank you.

Thank you very much, MS. DRAKE: Mr. Chairman, and I would like to again express my mahalo. And also your goals in organizing and our people going forth as one people are my And I ask -- and I go back to our goals.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
1
  people to ask for their kokua in fulfilling my
  position as Chairman of the Hawaiian Home
2
3
  Lands. Mahalo.
4
                THE CHAIR:
                            Okay, Mr. Tam?
5
  you want Mr. Burgess to go? You still have a
6
  lot of time.
7
                Mr. Burgess, would you state your
  name and who you represent, and if you have a
  prepared statement, which I know you do, can
10
  you just give us a synopsis of it as we go
  along, because then we can ask you questions.
11
  You don't have to read it verbatim.
12
13
                              Aloha, ladies and
                MR. BURGESS:
14
  gentlemen.
15
                THE CHAIR:
                            Excuse me, Rod. Would
16
  you wait a second.
17
                MR. BURGESS: My name is Rodney
18
  Keliimahiai Burgess III. I am the Vice
19
  Chairman for the Office of Hawaiian Affairs.
20
                I am also the oldest son of Rodney
21
  Keliimahiai Burgess, who was on the 1952
22
  pastoral list that mysteriously disappeared.
23
  My dad died 30 years later never having
24
  received an award, and until this day my family
25
  is not in receipt of any of the Hawaiian home
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

lands that I believe were set aside to resettle our people.

I am one of nine trustees who were elected by the Hawaiian people, and on behalf of the Board I would like to thank you for this opportunity to deliver testimony.

As you know, OHA took an active and responsible role in the Federal-State Task Force study which was conducted in 1982, so much so that it was the Office of Hawaiian Affairs that in part funded that report.

When the Federal-State Task Force was appointed and various members were appointed to that commission by both the Governor and the Secretary of the Interior -- James Watt at that time -- that task force received no Federal funds with which to accomplish its mission.

Once again the Hawaiian people had to chip in, OHA contributing \$50,000, Hawaiian Homes contributing \$50,000, and the Department of Interior contributing \$35,000 in in-kind services for us to conduct the report study, which took nine months and consummated in 136 recommendations.

# POWERS & ASSOCIATES

I think the report of my testimony which I wish to read begins on page 2, and it expounds upon -- since the publication of the Task Force Report in 1983, advances have been made toward repairing the trust. At the State level, some of these efforts include:

The incorporation of administrative cost of the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands on a massed basis in the executive budget, which goes back to the question that John Dulles just recently asked of the previous panelists.

Prior to that, it was up to the Department to generate its own revenue via leasing of its lands in order to underwrite its administrative budget. The intent of the constitutional amendment back in 1978 was to alleviate the Department of using its lands at the expense of the intended beneficiary and to place that burden instead on the appropriate party, that being the administration of the State of Hawaii. In spite of the fact that it was a 1978 ConCon amendment, it was not until the change in administration from the Ariyoshi administration, who refused to address that, to

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 the Waihee administration that the intended purpose of that constitutional amendment was 3 And, yes, in fact the fully implemented. 4 Department's administrative budget is now 5 being underwritten at the State level. 6 Two, the cancellation of 7 Territorial and State gubernatorial executive orders which had illegally set aside 28 8 thousands of trust lands for non-beneficiary 9 These executive orders were cancelled, 10 uses. and these lands are now back in the possession 11 of the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands. 12 These do not include, however, lands that 13 14 continue to remain under Federal jurisdiction 15 illegally. 16 Three, a negotiated land exchange 17 between Hawaiian Homes and the State to replace 18 the value of trust lands used for state 19 To expound a bit on that, Molokai airports. 20 Airport in Hoolehua and Waimea Airport on the 21 Big Island were built on Hawaiian home lands. 22 The Department received no rent for them for 23 many, many, many years. 24 Finally, as a result of the State 25 Task Force findings and as a result of a march

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

by our beneficiaries to the Hilo Airport, that the State admitted -- and backed up by a court |ruling -- that the lands were in fact trust lands, and an exchange occurred between the Department and the State administration, and the Department received lands at Fort Shafter 7 Flats which do generate revenue, as a resolve to that situation. 8 9 Four, in 1989, a legislative appropriation of \$51.5 million for Hawaiian 10 11 Homes infrastructure. 12 Five, a 1990 legislative 13 appropriation of an additional \$20 million for Hawaiian Homes infrastructure. 15 Six, an accelerated award program 16 assigning raw land to the eligible Native 17 Hawaiian. 18 Seven, an extension of the term of 19 lease from 99 years to 199 years to assure that 20 eligible beneficiaries can remain on the land. 21 Eight, an expansion of water 22 rights for Hawaiian Homes by the 1990 23 legislature. And I understand that will be 24 covered later. 25

Nine, a recommendation passed by POWERS & ASSOCIATES

the 1990 legislature for the "purpose clause" 1 of the Act which clarifies the Act, facilitates the settlement of Native Hawaiians on the land, 3 and reaffirms the fiduciary responsibility of the Federal government to Native Hawaiians, 5 which again answers Mr. Dulles' question. 6 7 Ten, an aggressive commitment within and in the current State government to 8 responsibly address the fulfillment of trust 9 goals. 10 At the Federal level, these 11 nominal advances have been made: 12 13 One, an appropriation of \$1.3 million for Hawaiian Homes infrastructure in 14 the 1988 Federal budget, the first such 15 appropriation in the 50-year history of the 16 trust. 17 18 An additional 1.16 million 19 appropriated in the 1990 fiscal year has been 20 allocated by HUD in community development block 21 grants for infrastructure. Additional grants 22 under this program are being considered, so we 23 are told. 24 And lastly, the availability of 25 FHA-backed loans for Hawaiian Homes lessees

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

recently announced by our congressional delegation.

1.9

Within the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands, new methods of providing homes for beneficiaries are being explored. Foremost among these is the concept of master-planned communities which would provide a range of housing options for beneficiaries as well as small business opportunities.

welcome, much more remains to be done. As you know, the pressing problem is the interminable wait for so many qualified beneficiaries, a wait that often amounts to a denial of benefits because beneficiaries die before awards can be made.

We believe there are two major causes for this unconscionable wait. The most galling is the historic practice of the Federal government in illegally setting aside trust lands for military purposes, à la Lualualei. Enough land has been taken from the Hawaiian Homes trust corpus by the Federal government to satisfy the needs of all those beneficiaries on the waiting list.

# **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

Efforts to pursue the return of such lands set aside in this manner have ended in a Catch-22 ruling, a declaration that the statute of limitations had run out even before the law permitting such lawsuits was enacted, and now we're playing the game with Senator Inouye, trying to get these lands returned. The second major cause of the year-after-year wait is the lack of funds

year-after-year wait is the lack of funds
available to the Department of Hawaiian Home
Lands. The trust lands are often isolated,
waterless and harsh lands. It requires large
amounts of cash outlay to equip the lots with
basic infrastructure.

The rest speaks for itself. But to answer the questions that Mr. Shaw (sic) raised earlier, yes, it does cost \$180,000 to deliver one lot, Mr. Shaw, and the reason for that is not only your on-site improvements, but the mere nature of the lands that were set aside.

To return in 1920, they were those lands that were inarable and non-productive for use agriculturally that were set aside. They were those lands that were away from your water

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

sources. And it is the off-site improvements,

such as the development of water sources, that

require much cash outlay before some of these

properties can be put in position for awarding.

The problems facing Hawaiian Homes are intensified by an explosion in beneficiary interest in the land. Spurred by the acceleration program in the 1983 waiting list of 7,900 has tripled to approximately 20,000.

This Committee is Federally empowered. I see dramatic affirmative action by the State, a restoring and reaffirming the trust of the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands where little or no progress has been made at the national level.

It is time to -- and I put forth four recommendations. One: Encourage a Federal right to sue with retroactive application to 1921. After a long and vigorous fight, we Hawaiians were able to obtain at a State level in 1988, with many conditions, the right to sue the State for breaches in their trust responsibilities.

Yet it is our position that upon the establishment of the Hawaiian Homes

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 Commission Act in 1920, at a time prior to statehood, when all the lands that the United 2 3 States received as a result of the Treaty of Annexation in 1897 in which we Hawaiians 5 surrendered 2.5 million acres of our own lands, it is our contention that the trust 6 7 responsibilities of the Federal government was not abrogated under the statehood Admissions 8 Act and that that trust responsibility remains 10 still today. So we should not be ashamed to 11 say, "Federal government, you guys are still 12

So we should not be ashamed to say, "Federal government, you guys are still responsible. If you want to be irresponsible, then you return to us Hawaiian people all of the land that you took, because you still owe another 400,000 acres of our land."

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What happened in 1920, under this program that was set aside to offer piecemeal settlement to our people by giving us 200,000 acres of land that other people had no use for, with no funds with which to properly develop them for homesteading purposes, continues still to this day.

Under the statehood Admissions

Act, all what they did was pass the buck. Why

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

are we having such a difficult time today administering the program? Because the feds passed the buck. As a condition of statehood 3 and under the statehood Admissions Act, the feds merely said, "Here, State of Hawaii, it is 5 now your responsibility to administer the Hawaiian Homes Act that we instituted, " with 7 gross limitations: no funding, no process with which to obtain the objectives of the Act, 10 which was to put our people back on the lands, 11 the lands that were stolen from our people. And here we sit here chasing each 12 other as to whose responsibility it is to correct this situation. The responsibility is 14 15 crystal clear in many of our minds. It is the 16 continued responsibility of the Federal 17 government. Why? Because they continue to 18 hold until this day the balance of our lands, 19 lands that we can generate revenue on to cure 20 our own problems, to go put in our own infrastructure. 21 22 When do we start receiving rents 23 on Pearl Harbor, Fort Shafter, Bellows Field, Schofield, Pohakuloa, Puu Honua Honaunau? And I can go on and on and on.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

We're dealing with the problem on such a piecemeal basis that we find ourselves chasing our tail. Let's get to the bigger problem.

We Hawaiians, although it was well-intended under the national policy of colonialization, have never succumbed our culture and what we are.

We are a separate people. We come from a separate culture. Hawaii is our home lands. We cannot go back Ireland. We cannot go back to the Philippines. We can't go back to China. Where do we go back to? Hawaii is our home.

What is shameful that is now happening, while we trying and correct some of these problems over at Hawaiian Homes, is the total displacement of our people. Our statistics show that the State of Hawaii, 1 million people, we Hawaiians comprise 210,000 of that. We represent 20 percent of the population of the state. Do you know what's frightening? There are 200,000 of us on the continental United States, and we do not know how much more in other places of the world.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Why are they back there? 1 back there because they have been displaced from their homeland, because there is no opportunity here for them, because they cannot afford a \$300,000 home. So they're at Pocatello, Idaho, because that's the only place that they can afford. 7 Do not they have a right superior 8 to the rights of the many visitors that subsequently make Hawaii their home, to a piece 10 11 of their own land? Do they not have to 12 maintain their birthrights until this day? Our contention is that they do. 13 14 Sorry for deviating, but I have 15 three more issues to cover here. 16 THE CHAIR: If you can encapsulize 17 those issues, Mr. Burgess. 18 MR. BURGESS: Okay. 19 Issue number two, abrogation of 20 all illegal set-asides and the return of such 21 lands to the trust. 22 There is no issue in Lualualei's 23 situation. I mean, there is no doubt that the 24 lands were illegally taken from the trust, and the trust should be made whole. And that is POWERS & ASSOCIATES

the civil right of our people.

1 î

Three, include Native Hawaiians in all Federal programs and funding available to other native groups, from housing guarantees to water development to rural electrification programs. There are existing Federal programs that, remarkably so, is not available to the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands.

Although a major breakthrough was made in the way of FHA, that is only the tip of the iceberg. There are many other Federal programs that could assist in off-site development costs that would accelerate this process, and -- to answer Mr. Shaw's question in a more appropriate manner that would make more rational sense.

Four, to honor the Hawaiian people in a trust relationship by upholding rather than avoiding responsibility.

With that, gentlemen, is the end of my testimony.

THE CHAIR: Yeah.

Let me make a correction for the record. You're referring to Mr. Shain, not Shaw.

# **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 MR. BURGESS: Shain. I'm sorry. 2 THE CHAIR: Okay. Questions from 3 the panel? 4 Yes, Ozzie. 5 MR. STENDER: Only to make one 6 comment, Rod. As you went through the list of . **7** things that you felt that the State was doing and the feds were doing, I got the feeling early on that you were satisfied with what is 10 being done. But in your closing four points --11 and you eloquently stated that not enough is being done. 12 13 And is that correct? Is that 14 your --15 MR. BURGESS: Yes. 16 MR. STENDER: -- manao on it, 17 and --18 MR. BURGESS: I'm saying that the 19 present administration has accepted their 20 responsibility and is moving in a very positive 21 manner. 22 MR. STENDER: As the State is 23 concerned? 24 MR. BURGESS: At a State level. 25 MR. STENDER: But as far as the **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

|Federal government's trust responsibility, 1 that's been abrogated? 2

> MR. BURGESS: Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

And I say that also as a member of the Federal-State Task Force that I was a member of. One of the recommendations was that a closer working relationship be established between what's going on here in Hawaii under the program and the Federal government.

And the answer to that was the appointment of somebody over in Department of Interior that was to serve as this liaison. Her name is Cleve or something.

> MR. STENDER: Yes.

MR. BURGESS: And while that occurred initially, it subsequently broke it down. And when you have people in the Department of the Interior that are so far down the ladder that decision making becomes near impossible, that is not my idea of opening up communications between and reaffirming trust 22 responsibilities.

I sincerely believe that a more 24 definite mechanism needs to be established, needs to be established.

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 MR. STENDER: Thank you. 2 THE CHAIR: Any more questions? 3 Okay. 4 If not, Mr. Burgess, thank you for 5 your participation. This Committee would like 6 to compliment you on your presentation. 7 Thank you. MR. STENDER: 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 9 Mr. Tam? 10 MR. TAM: Mr. Maxwell and members 11 of the Committee, my name is Bill Tam. I'm 12 with the Attorney General's Office. 13 Mr. Paty regrets that he's unable 14 to be here today, and he asked me to come to 15 address specifically the issue regarding water. 16 Therefore, what I have done is attached a cover 17 sheet on Mr. Paty's testimony and attached 18 testimony which the Attorney General provided 19 to Senator Inouye's committee recently on 20 Molokai. I think that will give you the most 21 recent update with regard to the situation 22 regarding Hawaiian Homes and water. 23 I would like to cover a couple of 24 things very quickly. I know your time is 25 I would point out before I just address short. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

water. With regard to executive orders which were cancelled and those lands on which State facilities still exist, as Mrs. Drake indicated, there are active negotiations to resolve those through land exchanges.

ì

A number of them have already occurred. I think you can look forward, as she indicated, to hearing more about them in a report in January 1991.

Secondly, with regard to Hawaiian home lands presently administered by the Department of Land and Natural Resources, the Department of Land and Natural Resources does that on behalf of Hawaiian Homes, and any time they wish those lands back to administer directly, they have the authority to withdraw them. So actually the State is incurring the administrative cost of administering the lands for Hawaiian Homes.

To focus very briefly on water, as Rod Burgess pointed out, most of the Hawaiian home lands tend to be in arid areas. In terms of water to develop Hawaiian home lands, the question is money in order to drill wells.

Very little of that water is going to come from POWERS & ASSOCIATES

surface sources.

Nonetheless, I should inform you that last October, the Federal district court, the Federal Ninth Circuit, issued a decision in the Robinson case which has given the Water Commission a great deal of authority in order to help resolve remaining water issues.

I won't go into the details of that other than to state this is important for providing the flexibility of providing the water to Hawaiian Homes and to other current users.

Very briefly, Hawaiian Homes has four kinds of water interests which you may be interested in. Under Section 221 of their Act, they have some very strong rights to cull water that is on State lands: for agricultural purposes, for aquaculture, for livestock, for domestic purposes. In effect, they get a first call of water derived from public lands.

It's our position that if money is available and the infrastructure can be developed, the State will ensure that Hawaiian Homes always has access, legal access, to the water which it needs. As it's been pointed

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

out before, the legal problem is not a serious issue. The serious issue is going to be the cost of getting pipes and wells to places where the water is.

Frankly, time is going to be a critical factor, because as other people develop water that's close by, the real issue for Hawaiian Homes is going to become how soon and how far away do they have to go in order to get the water they need. So it's not just money generally, but money now as opposed to money later.

That's going to be a critical issue on Molokai, where the Water Commission is looking at the situation with regard to the aquifers there and has under review the question of whether to designate that area in the Water Code.

Secondly, Hawaiian Homes has rights under Section 168(4) involving the Molokai irrigation system. They have rights as landowners, as any other private landowner would have it, and there are also rights protected by the Hawaii Constitution, which I will very briefly summarize.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

ì The language in the Hawaii State Constitution, amended in 1978, requires that 3 the State assure appurtenant rights, whether used or not, and the appurtenant rights are essentially the lands that were in taro production at the time of the Mahele; those are mostly kuleana lands. Secondly, existing correlative rights, which are groundwater uses that were in existence at the time of the 10 Constitution. And third, repairing uses that were in existence at the time of the 11 12 constitutional amendment. Those are very 13 important rights. 14 I realize this is very technical, 15 but if I can summarize very briefly, the Water Commission will be having meetings between the 17 staffs of the Hawaiian Homes Commission and the Water Commission, and the two commissions 19 expect to be jointly briefed by their staffs 20 within the next six to eight weeks. We have a 21 dialogue in process in that regard, and I'm going to be trying to assist Hawaiian Homes in looking at what water resources they have. 24 I think it's probably more useful if I'm available for questions, rather than **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
1
  trying to go into the details of this.
                                          So I'd
2
  be glad to answer any questions you have.
3
               MR. LARDIZABAL:
                                As a member of
4
  the Committee, any questions of Mr. Tam?
5
                If not, I'll begin one, Mr. Tam.
6
  Just a few minutes here.
7
                One is, again I hear the same
8
  story that the lands available are all arid
  lands.
          In your opinion, was that by design by
  the Federal government?
10
                MR. TAM: I don't think it was by
11
  design by the Federal government.
12
13
                MR. LARDIZABAL:
                                 By whom?
14
                MR. TAM:
                          But the manner in which
  it was written -- I'm not personally familiar
15
  with the Congressional Record on the Hawaiian
16
17
  Homes Commission Act; the others might be.
18
  When you exclude by definition sugar cane
19
  lands, those lands were the most arable lands,
20
              So by putting that exclusion in,
  obviously.
21
  you've by definition taken out --
22
                MR. LARDIZABAL:
                                Someone made a
23
  decision on the cane lands first. Who was
24
  that? The Federal government?
25
                MR.
                    TAM:
                          It was in the process of
```

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

```
negotiating for the Act, and you would have to
2
  go back into the history of the Act to
3
  determine that.
                    I'm not familiar enough with
  that history to tell you.
5
                                I'm just trying
                MR. LARDIZABAL:
6
  to get down to the trust again, see. It's a
7
  trust issue.
8
                MR. TAM:
                          Well, it was in the
9
  congressional Act.
                       So as the Hawaiian Homes
10
  Commission Act was being negotiated through
  Congress, it was the House and the Senate
11
12
  members in their negotiations that made that
13
  decision.
14
                MR. LARDIZABAL:
                                  Members, do you
15
  have any other questions?
16
                If not, we'll take about a one- or
17
  two-minute break and wait for the chairperson
18
  to come back.
19
                Thank you, Mr. Tam.
20
             (Recess taken at 3:15 p.m.)
21
                THE CHAIR: Let me call this
22
  meeting back to order.
23
                I would like to call Senator
24
  Mike Crozier.
                  State your name and who you
25
  represent, Mr. Crozier.
```

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

SENATOR CROZIER: Good afternoon,
Mr. Chairman, members of the Hawaii Advisory
Committee. I am Senator Mike Crozier, Chairman
of the Senate Committee on Housing and Hawaiian
Affairs of the State of Hawaii in the Senate.

I was going to summarize my testimony, but you folks may miss out on some pertinent points. I know most of this you've heard already, but to give a complete picture, I would like to read the whole testimony, if that's okay.

THE CHAIR: That's okay. Go

SENATOR CROZIER: The State of
Hawaii has been, is, and will be committed to
implementing its responsibilities under the
Hawaiian Homes Commission Act. In 1983 the
Federal-State Task Force Report on the
application of the Hawaiian Homes Commission
Act made over 100 suggestions to the United
States and the State of Hawaii to improve
implementation, and the State, using those
suggestions as a guideline, has worked hard to
improve the status of Native Hawaiians waiting
for homestead properties.

# **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

The Federal government has not been so quick to follow, and I welcome the willingness of the United States to accept its trust responsibilities and to become actively involved in resolving these issues.

The Hawaiian Homes Commission Act
of 1920 was adopted by the United States and
the Territory of Hawaii to aid the Native
Hawaiians who had been displaced from their
lands. The Act envisioned leasing certain
lands to Native Hawaiians for residential,
agricultural, or pastoral purposes.

Unfortunately, the lands placed in trust were largely isolated, unimproved lots, and for many years little was done by either the United States or the State of Hawaii to actually place Native Hawaiians on these sites.

In 1983 a Federal-State Task Force was convened to review progress under the Act, and the State, following the Task Force's recommendations, began a serious effort to carry out its trust responsibilities.

The success or the failure of the Federal or State governments in implementing the Act will ultimately be measured by how well

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

or poorly we do in getting the Native Hawaiians

back on the land. We're doing better now than

before. We have never done very well.

The condition of the waiting list needs immediate attention. At the present, there are over 18,000 applications pending for residential, agricultural, and pastoral lands, and 28 percent of the applicants -- over 5,000 people -- have been on the list for over ten years.

5

6

10

25

Some have been on the list for 30 to 40 years. Both the length of the list and the length of the wait make the vast majority of Native Hawaiian people despair of ever receiving an award of land.

The main problem in putting people
on the land where they belong is lack of
infrastructure. The vast majority of land is
uncleared and unimproved. It is estimated to
cost between \$30,000 to \$45,000 per residential
lot to clear it and to bring in basic
infrastructural needs such as water, sewers,
roads, and electricity, and the cost is even
higher for agricultural and pastoral land.

Given this high cost of bringing

### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 infrastructure to each lot, the Department has been unable to afford to improve all the lands by itself. During the acceleration period of the mid-1980's in which the Department tried to 5 get the lots to people as fast as they could, approximately 2,500 awards were made, but only 7 400 were improved lots on which homes could be built.

The unfortunate result is that 10 many awardees technically have land but cannot live there because they lack the resources to improve the lands themselves. Awardees face a 12 difficult, almost impossible time obtaining funds to improve their lots. 14

15 For example, FHA and Farmer's Home 16 (FMHA) both require subdivision approval, which 17 is impossible on these unimproved lots. 18 However, without improvements, the counties 19 will not grant subdivision approval, and 20 without subdivision approval, building permits 21 will not be issued. Thus many supposedly lucky 22 awardees have found their awards of isolated, 23 unimproved, scrub brush-covered lands are a

> The DHHL has a building program, **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

(808) 521-7815

25

24

cruel sham.

13

although due to lack of funds, it is far below the actual need. This year, only 449 homes will be built. At this rate, it will be over 40 years before all applicants are housed.

My Senate committee has
jurisdiction over Hawaiian Home Lands issues,
as well as those of the homeless. To a great
degree, both are connected, and neither can be
ignored. It is no small irony, and a tragic
one, that a recent study of the plight of the
homeless in Hawaii found that 27.8 percent, or
2,327 of Hawaii's homeless, are of Hawaiian or
part-Hawaiian ancestry.

Of this number, 56 percent are at least 50 percent Hawaiian, thus eligible for the Hawaiian Home Lands programs, and over 33 percent of those have already applied for Hawaiian Home Lands.

The 1983 Task Force Report made many specific recommendations to the State and Federal governments. The State has stepped up the programs administered through the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands and has enacted legislation to improve the situation.

I would like to just deviate for a POWERS & ASSOCIATES

second here. Last year, Senator Dan Inouye had congressional hearings where he went around the state to take testimony from Native Hawaiians on each island. Because I was the chair of the Hawaiian programs committee, he invited me to sit on his panel.

During those hearings, we learned

8 a lot, and much of the bills that were passed
9 this year, or resolutions passed this year,
10 came out of those hearings. I would like to go
11 through the list of different bills that for
12 both the Task Force and the congressional
13 hearings was the starting grounds to resolve
14 problems:

First, preserving Native Hawaiian

Water rights;

Two, giving Native Hawaiians, as
trust beneficiaries under the Act, the right to
sue the State to enforce the Act;

Three, added powers to the
Hawaiian Homes Commission to allow it to
develop the lands for residential use, either
by itself or through private developers, and to
issue revenue bonds to finance these

developments;

#### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

Four, increasing the amount of loan monies available to lessees;

Five, allowing the lease period to be extended to avoid displacing families who have been on one leasehold for an extended period of time, from 99 to 199 years;

Six, drafting a preamble -- And this is the one that represents the Dan Inouye hearings -- drafting a preamble for the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act to give it focus and purpose.

The three main parts of that Act is: one, making Hawaiian Home Lands permanent The way the Act is written now, it's vague.

Two, to recognize that the Native Hawaiians have the right to self-determination. And the third, which speaks much to the basic infrastructural problems of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, to have the Federal government recognize its fiduciary responsibility and help to fund the infrastructure needs of the Native Hawaiians.

There are two other areas that I would like to -- well, three other areas that I would like to touch upon that the legislature POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 had -- And it's not in my prepared text -- that we put in this year. It has much to do with the impact of -- earlier Mr. Dulles stepped away, where he talked about the State constitution. 6 I was a delegate to the 1978 7 Constitutional Convention, and I sat in on the Hawaiian Affairs Committee. In fact, I've almost always sat on the Hawaiian Affairs 10 Committee in my legislative period. Only for 11 two years I did not sit there. So I have, I. 12 think, a depth of knowledge, from the 13 legislative perspective, of the Hawaiian 14 Affairs. Being part-Hawaiian, I also 15 understand the needs of the people. 16 One of things we did is -- right 17 now, for the first time, the legislature 18 authorized the State government to transfer 12 19 acres of State lands into Hawaiian Home Lands, 20 and this is at the slopes of Papakolea, the 21 area called Kalamai Nei (phonetic). And this is targeted to be used for senior citizen 23 housing. 24 This is a legislative initiative. 25 You know, Governor Waihee has put in a lot of

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

programs, but I think the legislature should be thrown a couple of bones, too, and this is one of them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other area that we worked on was -- right now, there's many Hawaiians living on revocable permit type leases throughout the Actually, there's about 50 families state. that have been living on these State-owned lands, and some of these families have lived there for generations and generations. Thev're on month-to-month leases; they can be kicked off at any time. Families have been living there for 70 years, and they cannot get mortgages for those homes because they are Some of these homes are month-to-month. literally collapsing because of age.

We have put in a resolution -- And it's passed -- to have the Department of Land and Natural Resources set up some kind of mechanism to transfer those lands where Native Hawaiians who have been living there for generations, to move those lands from DLNR directly into Hawaiian Home Lands, thereby expanding the Hawaiian Home Lands, giving many of -- 50 families, Native Hawaiian families, an

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

opportunity to have land that they can call 2 Right now, they are month-to-month. their own. 3 They don't know what they're going to do. 4 These are some of the things that 5 the legislature has done. Another point that 6 -- I wish Mr. Dulles was here, because he was 7 talking about the Constitution. As I said, I was a member of that committee, and when you work on the committee, there's certain little 10 things that you contribute to the committee and 11 always stays with you that's like your little baby, and you always are watching out for that. 12 13 Well, one of the things that I 14 contributed was, any time, up until the 15 Constitutional Convention, the Hawaiian Home 16 Lands received 30 percent of the revenues from 17 any lands that was under sugar cane 18 cultivation. In the ConCon, we changed that to 19 say, Not only do the Hawaiian Home Lands 20 receive 30 percent of the rents received from 21 lands under sugar cultivation, but any time 22 those lands were used for any other activity, 23 any time those lands were sold, any time those 24 lands were transferred, Hawaiian Home Lands

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

25

would get 30 percent.

Well, somehow the executive branch stopped paying attention to that little section of the Constitution, and in the island of Kauai, the area called Hanapepe Cliffsides, the HFDC, Housing Finance and Development Corporation, swapped State-owned lands which had sugar cane on it, swapped it with another State agency, with the Department of Health, an equal swap, so that they could build affordable houses in Hanapepe.

That's commendable. That's great. But they forgot to read the law. Any time you transfer lands, Hawaiian Home Lands had to get their 30 percent of the value. The legislature has now directed the Auditor and the Office of State Planning to come up with some kind of mechanism so that Hawaiian Home Lands gets their 30 percent for those lands transferred, both at Hanapepe Cliffsides and also at Lahaina, the 1,000 acres right behind the civic center.

This also goes for OHA. The Lahaina Civic lands is ceded lands. We need to make sure that when HFDC develops State lands, that Hawaiian Home Lands and OHA gets --

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

Hawaiian Homes gets their 30 percent and OHA gets their 20 percent.

These are initiatives that came out of the legislature. I'm pretty proud of that, and I stand proud that I was right at the forefront helping this legislation to get through.

Back to my testimony. The State has also made a serious financial commitment to the implementation of this Act. The 1983 Federal-State Task Force Report recommended that the Federal and State governments each contribute \$25 million for five years to finance the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands development program.

To date, the State has contributed over \$100 million, while the Federal government has contributed, from 1921 until today, only \$1.2 million this past year, and that money was earmarked for Pahee Ridge/Lualualei farm lots, not residential lots.

Actually, they found a loophole to get those monies to Hawaiian Home Lands. It has something to do -- because the pipeline runs right out alongside the DLNR lands. If POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 they didn't have that little loophole in there,
2 Pahee Ridge wouldn't have got those monies.

I compliment the farmers for chasing that money, and I compliment Mililani Trask, who was the one who was able to really focus in on the law and find a mechanism to get those monies. But it wasn't a straight-out grant to Hawaiian Home Lands. They found a loophole.

It is now time for the Federal government to live up to its promises to help the Native Hawaiian people. With Federal aid, the State can move farther and faster to correct the wrongs inflicted on the Native Hawaiians.

The State has repeatedly asked for specific assistance from the Federal government in its role as trustee to the Native Hawaiian people, but responses have been slow in coming. The Federal government can provide needed financial assistance, of which there has been very little, by program assistance, by renegotiating the leases of thousands and thousands of acres of home lands that it currently leases at a nominal rent.

#### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 Currently the Federal government 2 holds eight leases with a total acreage of 3 2,223 acres with a total rent payment of only 4 \$34,290 per year, or \$15.47 per acre. 5 rate is ridiculously inadequate. If those 6 leases were renegotiated at the market late, 7 the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands would have hundreds of thousands, perhaps millions, more dollars with which it could supply 10 infrastructure to the lands. 11 The State has repeatedly suggested 12 ways by which the Federal government can 13 fulfill its duties and responsibilities to the 14 Native Hawaiian peoples. In the past, the 15 State has requested the Congress to: 16 Establish a Native Hawaiian 17 rehabilitation guarantee loan fund to enable 18 awardees to develop infrastructure for the 19 lots: 20 Provide financial and program 21 support for the implementation of the Hawaiian 22 Homes Program; 23 Urging Congress to pass the right-24 to-sue legislation for the benefit of Native 25 Hawaiian beneficiaries of the trust.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

You know, once that bill passed the State, the right to sue, in the State government, much has been done. When you got a pit bull chasing you at the heels, you move fast. Even the Federal government should allow something like that to happen so that, that way, the Federal government would have to get up and start moving.

And the State has requested the Department of the Interior to conduct an investigation into the recovery of homestead lands at Lualualei and Keaukaha.

This past session alone, the State has called on various agencies to terminate their leases of 374 acres of land that they rent at a nominal rent and to renegotiate the rent at a market rate.

For example, on the island of -Kekaha, there's 27 acres, nominal rent; at
Keaukaha, 53 acres, very small rent. But can
you believe 295 acres at Puhakuloa for \$1 for
65 years. That lease doesn't expire until the
year 2029.

People make the comment, "Well, the general economy of the Big Island has POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 benefited by the activities up at Puhakuloa,"

2 but does the Native Hawaiian benefit by that?

3 That is the question. It's their lands. They

should have a fair return on those properties.

5 | Ladies and gentlemen, I ask you folks to take a

6 serious look at that.

There is no question but the annexation of the Hawaiian Islands, while favorable to the people of the United States, has had a harsh impact on the Native Hawaiians. As long as any Native Hawaiian beneficiary is without a home in this land, we will have work to do.

as long as we are unwilling or unable to translate the promise of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act into a tangible benefit, then we will have far to go in our role as responsible trustees. The awarding of unimproved, uninhabitable lands without a reasonably loan or loan guaranty program does not confer any benefit; it merely fosters an illusion.

Hawaii is a small state that is not materially rich. We have little heavy industry or manufacturing base, and we import

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 the overwhelming majority of our energy 2 resources. Our wealth stems from the kindness 3 and generosity, the Aloha Spirit of our people. 4 Despite having to cope with one of the highest 5 costs of living with only average wages, the people of Hawaii have demonstrated a 7 willingness to give. 8 It is not my intent to try to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

point fingers or place responsibility for the There is more than failures of the past. The real question is what we enough for all. will do and where we will go from here. recent years, the State of Hawaii has made excellent progress in implementing the recommendations of the Federal-State Task 16 Force, and also the recommendations that came out of Senator Inouye's hearings this past I would expect that the United States of America must do no less.

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

THE CHAIR: Julie?

MS. PUZON: Senator Crozier, you mentioned that you had a role in the Senate oversight hearings. I wanted to ask you: Has any Federal legislation resulted from those

> **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 hearings? 2 SENATOR CROZIER: Well, the -- all 3 -- no, most legislation that eventually get into the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act has to 5 be approved both by the legislature and 6 There's nothing been generated --Congress. 7 let me take that back. 8 There's been some legislation moving from the Federal side. I don't know all 10 of it, but there's -- this morning in the 11 paper, there's some language changes made in 12 the FHA and Farmers Home so that Native Hawaiians on Hawaiian home lands can now 13 participate in those programs. That was one of 15 the major issues that was talked about during 16 the hearings. 17 THE CHAIR: Let me clarify that, 18 I think she means the "purpose clause" 19 hearings that we had. Was any legislation 20 derived out of those hearings? 21 SENATOR CROZIER: Okay. That 22 "purpose clause" passed the State Legislature. 23 It is my impression that it has not been 24 introduced into Congress yet. I am under the impression that it will be introduced next **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

```
1
  year, in January. And may I suggest that
2
  Senator Inouye will be working hard in that
3
  area in the Senate. You need someone in the
4
  House of Representatives who knows the Act
5
  quite well.
6
                MS. PUZON:
                             I brought that up
7
  because one of our speakers this morning
8
  mentioned that people in Washington, D.C. --
  specifically, congressional staffers -- have
10
  said that legislation normally should be
11
  introduced within six months after an oversight
12
  hearing.
13
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   Well, that's
14
  someone's opinion.
                       I cannot answer to that.
15
                THE CHAIR:
                             Well, for your
16
  opinion, Mike, I know the fact that -- speaking
17
  with the Select Committee myself, that the
18
  legislation is dead in the --
19
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   May I suggest,
20
  what did Senator Inouye say?
21
                THE CHAIR: For this year, it's
22
  dead.
23
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   Okay.
                                          That's
24
  fine.
        For this year.
25
                Everybody's gearing up for
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

elections, anyway.

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

MR. LARDIZABAL: Senator Crozier,

3 I appreciate your coming to this Committee.

\*

I have one question about the powers of the legislature. Do you feel that the legislature has some powers of taxation or charging of rent to the Federal government for use of home lands?

SENATOR CROZIER: We would like to, but, no.

MR. LARDIZABAL: No?

12 SENATOR CROZIER: The Hawaiian 13 Homes Commission Act is a compact with both the 14 Federal and State governments. If either side 15 does not go along with changes within the Act, 16 then those -- well, we take -- the State takes 17 the position that both sides have to agree to 18 any changes. The Federal government does not. 19 The Federal government feels that they can make 20 changes and can ram it down the State's throat.

MR. LARDIZABAL: So in your opinion, there is nothing in the legislature that can be done on a State level to somehow get the feds to pay any fees?

SENATOR CROZIER: Just wake up

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

25

21

22

23

24

ì their social conscience and make them realize 2 that they have a fiduciary responsibility. 3 we cannot as a government -- as one institution tell another institution what to do. We don't 5 have that power. 6 MR. LARDIZABAL: Thanks. 7 THE CHAIR: On the same line, 8 Mike, you don't have the power to make a resolution from the legislature to ask --10 SENATOR CROZIER: Oh, we have a 11 lot of those. We have a lot that we ask. THE CHAIR: And that has no water 12 13 if it's not -14 SENATOR CROZIER: We're showing 15 that we represent the broader community of the 16 people of Hawaii, and we're making a statement 17 "The people of Hawaii want to do this. saying, 18 If you need support in this area, you have" -our state is saying, "Let's do it." But that's 19 20 all it is, we're just asking and notifying 21 them. We cannot demand. 22 THE CHAIR: The reason -- well, I 23 think you can demand, but your demands won't be 24 heard, but the fact is that you have demanded. 25 If you demand, this Commission demands, and **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
1
  everybody else that's with the State demands,
2
  they're going to have to listen.
3
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   Yeah, they'll
4
  listen, but we cannot make them, force them to
5
  change.
                THE CHAIR:
                             I understand that.
7
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   Yeah.
8
                THE CHAIR: But at least we have
9
  to have that first step.
10
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   Yes.
11
                Much of this legislation that I've
  talked about, we've already sent to Congress
13
  positions that we've taken. You know, the one
  about renegotiating the general leases with the
14
15
  Federal government, we've sent that to Congress
16
  already telling them, "You know, you should
17
  renegotiate the leases." If they want to
18
  listen, hey, that's great. If they don't want
  to listen, we're powerless.
20
                THE CHAIR:
                             John Dulles, do you
21
  have a question?
22
                MR. DULLES: No, but I believe Mr.
  Stender does.
24
                THE CHAIR:
                             Ozzie?
25
                MR. STENDER:
                               Just a couple of
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

questions, Mike.

One that we've been hearing for most of the day as far as consensus among all the speakers that we hear today, that, one, there's been an injustice to the Hawaiian people; that the Federal government is abrogating its trust responsibility to the Hawaiian people; and that there is a need to get the Federal government to accept responsibility. 

But with each group -- and I attended some of the hearings that Senator Inouye had this summer, and the major groups, I guess, is Ka Lahui, D.H.H.L., OHA, and now we have the Homestead Association and, of course, the State government. Is there a way that you can, or somebody could get these key groups together to come out with a solid statement together?

Right now, we see a lot of disagreements and dissensions between these groups which are the key groups. Do you see any hope in trying to do that?

SENATOR CROZIER: You know, when you create an institution or a government or an

## **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

organization, no matter what rules you have, 2 its personalities ultimately will make the 3 difference. I don't know if she's still here, but she may feel embarrassed at this, but we 5 have a lady that's now running the Hawaiian Home Lands who has set a new tone.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

I was fortunate enough to attend a meeting in Wailuku a couple days ago, and that meeting, one individual came up and shared his manao, and he got quite emotional. You could see that he was frustrated. He felt that he had grievances that was not being listened to. After he shared those thoughts, Mrs. Drake, Mama Drake, got up from the table, walked around, and hugged the gentleman, showed him some aloha.

That set the tone for the rest of 18 the day -- the rest of the evening. You know, 19 many times -- see, I'm the chair of the 20 Hawaiian Programs Committee; it's called "Emotionally Charged Committee." There's a lot 22 of energy going in there. But since Mama Drake 23 has been on board, she has been able to bring 24 people together so that people put down their 25 differences and work for a common purpose.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Maybe she's a person that can do it.

MR. STENDER: So there's a hope?

SENATOR CROZIER: Yes.

MR. STENDER: The other --

SENATOR CROZIER: Excuse me.

How about give Mama Drake a big

hand? She deserves it.

(Applause from attendees.)

MR. STENDER: The other question which was raised -- well, I guess the suggestion made this morning was the idea of appointing a master or an ombudsman to oversee the activities of Hawaiian Homes, and this is a land issue question until you get some organization started to do it, because it truly is -- and I see some of it -- a conflict of interest, you know, between the State and the Federal government and the DHHL.

If that might be an idea that might be proposed, because it's difficult, I believe, for the State to try to be -- you know, try to be, I guess, the mouse guarding the cheese kind of thing. And if -- this idea of a master ombudsman to be the disinterested party to oversee the activities of the three

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

parties, which is the DHHL, the feds, and the State.

SENATOR CROZIER: Mr. Stender, you make me shudder when you mention a master.

Your whole commission here is designed to get away from the concept of the master. No. No more "Yes, sir, master." No more of that.

Supposed to be able to have -- make their decisions on their own.

I'd like to give one example of that. In this last legislature we had an audit of OHA, and in the audit they made certain recommendations. And after going through that exercise, as the chair of the committee I realized that OHA was essentially acting as an agency.

The trustees would put a budget together and then they would bring it to the legislature, and then we would redo the budget. We put in laws in there saying, "OHA, before you come to the legislature, you got to turn around and go back to the people and share with them what your recommendations are, and you let them input what they want to do," the same way the City Council does.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

The Mayor creates a budget. He sends it to the City Council, the City Council opens it up to the people, the people react, then the City Council redoes the budget. legislature does the same thing. They create -- the government creates a budget, we take it apart, we let the constituencies have input 8 into it, then we finally vote on it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We put in a law so that OHA had to do the same thing. Before they sent the budget to the legislature, they had to go back to the people and have discourse and dialogue over the proposed budget. This is selfdetermination. This is completely opposite of having a master.

I prefer to let Native Hawaiians figure out a way of solving their problems, versus government putting in someone to oversee them.

A good example -- may I just add one more point. A good example is that OHA is going to receive \$100 million. They received 70 million, and they're going to receive another \$100 million. Many of the people who came to testify suggested to the committee that

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
we tell OHA that these monies should go to
  Hawaiian Home Lands.
2
                I believe a lot of those monies
3
  should go to Hawaiian Home Lands.
4
                                       However, I
  believe in the concept of self-determination.
  I believe that the Native Hawaiian or the
6
  Hawaiian people have elected those trustees,
7
  and amongst themselves, they determine where
                    I'm sure most -- a lot of it
  the monies goes.
  will go to Hawaiian Home Lands, but it is self-
10
  determination, people working amongst
11
  themselves deciding where the monies goes.
12
                I have a big problem with the idea
13
  of a master.
14
15
                THE CHAIR:
                             Okay.
                                    You have a
16
  further comment, Ozzie?
17
                MR. STENDER:
                               No.
                                    That's it.
18
                Thank you.
19
                THE CHAIR:
                             Barry?
20
                             Charlie, I'd like to
                MR. SHAIN:
21
  go back on that point of a master or ombudsman
22
  or whatever you want to call it and redefine
23 it.
24
                You mentioned OHA as a good
25
  example.
             OHA has an executive director.
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

1 SENATOR CROZIER: Yeah. 2 MR. SHAIN: Okay. The executive 3 director isn't the master. He's the 4 implementer of what the people want. 5 SENATOR CROZIER: Staff. He's 6 staff. 7 MR. SHAIN: A staff person. 8 SENATOR CROZIER: Yeah. 9 MR. SHAIN: When we talked about a 10 master -- and I think -- speaking probably for 11 -- I don't know if I speak for Ozzie down 12 there, but we're talking about somebody who 13 can get an implementation done here, who can 14 work with all the parties who are responsible. 15 Okay? He can go in, have a budget to have an 16 independent audit done on the land, an 17 |independent audit on what's taking place, and 18 that's what I guess we're talking about as 19 having a master. 20 SENATOR CROZIER: I will say, if 21you would like to have a master, let the Native 22 Hawaiian community create the master. 23 That's fine. MR. SHAIN: 24 SENATOR CROZIER: Not something 25 the executive branch of either the Federal or **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 State government creates. It's something that 2 they wrestle amongst themselves. 3 MR. SHAIN: The one thing is, 4 everything that has been created has been 5 created for the Hawaiian people. 6 SENATOR CROZIER: Yeah. 7 MR. SHAIN: Okay? Not by the 8 Hawaiian people. 9 SENATOR CROZIER: That's right. 10 MR. SHAIN: So I absolutely agree 11 with you, and I'm not saying -- but at this 12 point in time, the reality of the situation is, 13 we're still sitting here with a waiting list of 14 somewhere between 12,000 to 15,000 people. 15 Okay? 16 What is it? 17 AUDIENCE MEMBER: 20,000. 18 MR. SHAIN: 20,000, 12,000, 19 whatever the number is, it's untenable. It's 20 not a nice number whatever it is. 21 SENATOR CROZIER: Sure. 22 MR. SHAIN: Sovereignty for the 23 Hawaiian people is down the road, even by the 24 most optimistic people who are looking for it 25 but still have that vision. Something has got **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 to change here in terms of getting the land to 2 the people, getting the compensation to the 3 people. And if it's not a master to do the 4 implementation, what is your plan? 5 SENATOR CROZIER: To have this 6 Civil Rights Commission take a position telling 7 the executive branch to support getting Federal 8 funds to the Native Hawaiians and Hawaiian Home 9 Lands. That's what I expect from this 10 Commission right here: to take that bold step. 11 Money talks. Everything else walks. 12 MR. SHAIN: Okay. From this one 13 member, I think you're going to -- you will be 14 getting that. 15 SENATOR CROZIER: Thank you. 16 THE CHAIR: Any more questions 17 here? 18 Al? 19 MR. LARDIZABAL: Senator, a couple 20 of years ago the State Legislature passed and 21 set up the State of Hawaii Civil Rights 22 Commission. 23 The question is: Do you feel that, 24 that organization has the legal powers now to 25 go set the legal action against the State and **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 the Federal government for breach of trust, 2 at least to make them do something? 3 SENATOR CROZIER: I know you can 4 do that against the State, take on the 5 executive branch. I know you can do that. don't know if you have the right to do that against the Federal government. But it would 8 be a good test. MR. LARDIZABAL: Because the issue 10 is one of Hawaiians', native people's civil 11 rights, human rights, and I think it might be a 12 test case. 13 SENATOR CROZIER: Yeah. I'd be 14 glad to come and testify if you do that. 15 THE CHAIR: Fave? 16 MS. DALY: Most of the people who 17 testified today have mentioned the fact that 18 the Native Hawaiians don't have the right to 19 sue the Federal government, and I don't know if 20 the legislature is in any position to work with 21 those people who are concerned about that. 22 nothing more, a strongly worded resolution the 23 legislature could come up with with the 24 Governor that this is an important right, that

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

the people want to be able to sue the Federal

government.

I was very pleased to see that the legislature has allowed people to sue the State. I think that's a step, and if anything can be done to help with the same right to sue the Federal government, I think it would be wonderful, if you could think of something creative and effective to do.

SENATOR CROZIER: I think you brought up a good point. Because of the right to sue, we're moving. And it's like I mentioned earlier: There's a pit bull up there chasing us, so we're moving along. We don't want to get bit.

And if the Federal government had something like that, maybe we could get something done for the Native Hawaiians.

THE CHAIR: Emmett?

MR. CAHILL: Senator, I reside on the Big Island, and I happened to be there last August when you and Senator Inouye were on that panel of Hawaiian affairs, lands concerns. And I might tell you there was a great deal of appreciation among the people I knew that you were present.

### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 I was there on behalf of this 2 Committee because I live on that island, and I 3 learned a lot. I also know that 57 percent of the Hawaiian home lands are on Hawaii. 5 Has any consideration ever been 6 given to establishing a satellite office on the 7 island of Hawaii for the benefit of those 8 people who can't get to Honolulu with their Hawaiian home lands affairs and so forth, since 10 the majority of the land is on that island? 11 SENATOR CROZIER: Well, they 12 already have a office there in Hilo. 13 MR. CAHILL: What's it called? 14 SENATOR CROZIER: Hn Home Lands, 15 Office. 16 MR. CAHILL: All right. 17 SENATOR CROZIER: And there's one 18 also up in Waimea. 19 MR. CAHILL: Okay. That answers 20 my question. Thank you. 21 In the first page of your 22 testimony, you refer to: "The lands placed in 23 trust were largely isolated and unimproved 24 lands." 25

SENATOR CROZIER: Uh-huh.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

MR. CAHILL: This is a two-part question. First, who at that time do you recall, if any, were the decision makers, and can you expand upon the type of land you're referring to?

SENATOR CROZIER: Well, you know, it's common knowledge that it was the sugar barons of the time when you talk about -- this was just at the beginning of the Big Five. The Big Five had not been fully developed yet. But it's still that same family organizations: the missionary types and the colonial people that came in and got hold of the lands.

MR. CAHILL: Okay.

SENATOR CROZIER: So they made sure that they kept the best sugar lands. And I don't want to repeat the whole story.

Everybody knows the story, that whole thing.

So they kept the best sugar lands and they moved the other -- gave the Native Hawaiians the arid lands.

But you know, these arid lands -you're right. We got lousy lands. But today
-- my favorite project I like to talk about is
the Keokea project up in the Kula lands.

#### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

Okay. The Department of Hawaiian Home Lands owns lands from Kula, the Kula Road, all the way down to about a mile above Kihei Bypass Road.

. 13.

I refuse to call those lands, "the Kula lands," because when people look up, they always look to the top. Because you say "the Kula lands," they look to the top. I wish we had named those lands, "the Kihei Uka lands," so they only look one-third of the way up the mountainside.

MR. CAHILL: Uh-huh.

SENATOR CROZIER: And that's all Hawaiian home lands.

If they realized those lands are on a nice, gentle grade, easy to be developed, right next to a major job base, you could put a lot of Native Hawaiians on the land.

I've been able to drive through all of those lands. I can see the potential.

Maybe those lands were the bad lands before, but they're not the bad lands anymore. They're located -- strategically located in good locations, and then Hawaiian Home Lands should take a look at that.

## POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
1
                THE CHAIR:
                            I think they have,
  because I'm -- it's right above my home.
3
                In fact, I applied for there.
  Typically -- they awarded 357 awards, but
  because of archaeological sites they found,
6
  they had to take it all back. So -- plus the
7
  water.
          It's the most fertile land on Maui, but
8
  there's no water.
9
                SENATOR CROZIER: I was fortunate
10
  enough, or unfortunate enough, when I went
  through the tour of those lands -- we started
11
  from the bottom, went all the way up to the
  highway, and we visited one of the
  archaeological sites.
15
                It was a small heiau, and you
  could see that the cattle had been roaming on
  top of the heiau, and you can understand how we
18
  knew the cattle was up there.
19
                THE CHAIR:
                            Okay.
                                   Any more
20
  questions for Senator Crozier?
21
                Yes.
                      Go ahead.
22
                MS. NAGTALON-MILLER:
                                       I wonder,
23
  from where you sit now, do you think -- are
24
  there things that could be done in the
25
  legislature that hasn't already been done to
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                        (808) 521-7815
```

continue to improve the situation?

SENATOR CROZIER: It's an ongoing process. You know, we've taken as many issues as we can and we worked it through, and we will continue to work.

I don't want to make any political speeches, okay? But I have ideas for Congress, for what Congress can do. Maybe to shift back to the legislature at this time -- my computer has turned off that section of knowledge for now. Excuse me. I'm not trying to be arrogant, but that's the realities.

MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: But I'm very interested in the legislature, because in your last page, you did mention that it's not your intent to try to point fingers or place responsibility for the failures of the past.

And yet our duties as members of this Committee is, we have to find out where things could be changed so that there could be improvement, because we see all these reports.

SENATOR CROZIER: Well, my suggestion to you is, like I said earlier, join the State Legislature and recommend to the executive branch.

### POWERS & ASSOCIATES

22

23

24

25

Now, the executive branch has been dragging their heels, you know. They've been dragging their heels. Recommend to them to join us in the legislation that we pass. Support our amendments that we're sending to That's something that can be done. MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: Is there anything that you or people like you could do to also influence your colleagues? Because we do -- as a member of the public, do have influence on the legislature, too? Because we SENATOR CROZIER: Uh-huh. MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: But at the same time, there is such a thing as colleagues talking to colleagues about certain things. SENATOR CROZIER: There's two issues that I live and die at the legislature. One is housing, and the other is Hawaiian programs. I'm always involved in those two issues. I'm always talking about them, and I try my best in those two areas. MS. NAGTALON-MILLER: As a

committee, we're very much interested in **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

```
1
   finding out what can be done, because we talk
 2
   about responsibilities. It has come up often
 3
   that it would be the Federal level and the
   State level.
 5
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   Yeah.
                                          The State
 6
   is far ahead of the Federal level. We're
 7
   trying to tell the Federal, "Come on. Catch up
   to us so we can move forward." We've passed
   bills needing Federal approval, and they
10
   haven't been approved. Either make a decision
11
   that they're not going to approve it, or
12
   approve it, but do something. Just don't let
· 13
   it hang out there. Okay?
14
                 THE CHAIR: Thank you so much,
15
   Senator, for sharing your thoughts and your
16
   beautiful presentation. This Committee would
17
   like to thank you for your participation.
18
                SENATOR CROZIER:
                                   Thank you, Mr.
19
   Chairman.
              Thank you, Committee members.
20
                             Okay. Now I'd like to
                 THE CHAIR:
21
   open up -- call up Haunani Apoliona of Alu
.22
   Like.
23
          (Recessed from 3:55 to 4:05 p.m.)
 24
                 THE CHAIR: Let me call this
 25
   meeting to order.
```

Haunani, will you state your name and your organization.

MS. APOLIONA: Aloha, members of the Hawaii Advisory Committee, United States Commission on Civil Rights.

My name is Haunani Apoliona,

Program Operations Director of Alu Like, a

non-profit organization established in 1975 to

provide a multiple human service system to the

Hawaiian community state-wide.

I'm testifying on behalf of Masaru Oshiro, President and Chief Executive Officer, who is unable to be here today.

Your letter indicated interest in receiving our views on the extent to which the Federal government and the State of Hawaii are meeting their obligations for fulfilling the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920.

Alu Like has participated in the past two public forums convened by your Committee in August of 1979 and September of 1988. Our testimony will further update our activities since our last report.

First, a brief background of our organization will provide you with a basis to understand Alu Like's relationship with the Department of Home Lands, the State agency mandated to implement the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920.

The mission of Alu Like is to assist Hawaiians to achieve social and economic excellence. To carry out this mission, Alu Like has identified four major program areas of employment, education, health and social service, and economic development.

Hawaii Computer Training Center and the Native Hawaiian Development Center prepare Hawaiians to enter the business community and compete with others in this multi-ethnic society.

During the summer of 1989, Alu
Like provided testimonies before the United
States Senate Select Committee on Indian
Affairs, chaired by the Honorable Senator
Daniel K. Inouye.

During the public hearings scheduled on all the major Hawaiian islands, we shared our views on our working relationship

with the Department of Home Lands and the mutual effort to serve the human service needs 3 of residents living in Hawaiian home lands. Copies of these testimonies are appended to 5 this presentation, which I believe you all have 6 by now. 7 Since September, 1989 Alu Like has 8 implemented a major program designed to service elderly Hawaiians, many residing on Hawaiian homestead lands. Keola Puna No Na Kapuna 10 11 (phonetic), Good Health in Living for the Elderly Project, includes goals to serve 12 nutritious meals, provide health assessment, 13 self-care training, cultural and 14 15 informational services, as well as recreational 16 lactivities. 17 Of the five project sites, four 18 are located within Hawaiian homestead 19 communities. They are on Kauai at Anahola, 20 Maui at Paukukala (phonetic), Molokai at Hoole 21 Homestead (phonetic), and on the island of 22 Hawaii at Keaukaha, and on Oahu a project site

During the past two State
POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

is located in the community of Waimanalo,

another homestead area.

23

24

25

legislative sessions, Alu Like has provided 2 numerous testimonies supporting the efforts of 3 the Department of Home Lands to obtain more resources to do its job. To a large extent, 5 the Department has been successful, and we look 6 forward to further improvements in these 7 overall efforts. 8 We wish to identify two new joint · 9 projects which will ultimately benefit all 10 Native Hawaiian lessees, as well as those who 11 might be eligible for Hawaiian home lands. The 12 first of the two projects is profiles of 13 population in Hawaiian home lands. 14 THE CHAIR: Haunani? 15 MS. APOLIONA: Yes? 16 THE CHAIR: E kala mai. Can you 17 encapsulize? We have your statement. Can you 18 kind of encapsulize, go through it? 19 MS. APOLIONA: Well, basically, we 20 wanted to share these two new opportunities, 21 Hawaiian profiles of population in Hawaiian 22 home lands areas that will look at census data 23 of 1980 and compile profiles of the population 24 in the census tract that approximates Hawaiian 25 home lands area. Such data as it's analyzed **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

will be valuable for the Department as well as to Alu Like for ongoing program planning, development, and service delivery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second of the two projects suggests a genealogy task force which has been mandated by State legislation. Of course, as you all know, genealogy and verification of Native Hawaiian blood quantum is essential for successful application to the Department of Home Lands for eligibility for homesteads.

At the policy-making level, Alu Like continues to work closely with the Department of Home Lands in that one of our long-term Alu Like board members recently has been appointed and sworn in as a commissioner of the Commission. Her name is Mrs. Ann Nathaniel.

She served as chair in the early eighties on the Interior Department Federal-State Task Force on Hawaiian Home Lands, which investigated the many concerns which have been raised then and continue to be raised now.

In her individual capacity, well as a member of the Alu Like board of **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

directors, Mrs. Nathaniel continues to provide 2 leadership to the Task Force in its 3 deliberations, and we are happy that Mrs. 4 Nathaniel continues to serve all Hawaiians 5 through her association with the Department and 6 Alu Like.

Mrs. Drake, Hoaliku Drake, in her capacity as Chair of the Hawaiian Homes Commission is a strong member of Hawaiian Services Institutions and Agencies. coalition of participating agencies and includes Alu Like, the Bishop Museum, Eolamau (phonetic), Kamehameha Schools, Bishop Estate, Lunalilo Trust, the Office of Hawaiian Affairs and the Queen Liliuokalani Childrens Center.

The primary purpose of this coalition of Hawaiian agencies is to provide the chief executive officers the opportunity to keep each other informed of agency activities and to avoid duplication of efforts in service to the Hawaiians, and wherever possible HSIA organizations do enter into single- or multiproject agreements to work and enhance service delivery capacities across the state.

> Much more can and must be done to **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

(808) 521-7815

20 21

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

```
1
  improve the current situation faced by
  homesteaders and those on the waiting list.
3
  Rather than pointing fingers, Alu Like desires
  to work with all levels of government and
5.
  private sectors to address existing problems.
6
                We hope that the Hawaii Advisory
7
  Committee's report to the United States
8
  Commission on Civil Rights will convey the
  theme of cooperation and support for all
10
  organizations whose primary interest is the
11
  improvement in the quality of life for all
  Native Hawaiians.
12
13
                Mahalo for the opportunity to
14
  share our thoughts.
15
                THE CHAIR:
                            Thank you, Haunani.
16
                Any comments, questions?
17
                Thank you so much, Haunani.
18
                I would like to call upon Sonny
19
  Kaniho.
20
                Sonny, I'm really happy that you
21
  could make it today, and I personally would
22
  like to recognize the effort that you have put
23
  in in trying to right the wrongs that have been
24
  done to your family and to the people.
25
  nui loa.
```

MR. KANIHO: Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, members, aloha.

My name is Sonny Kaniho. I'm going to make my thing real fast. I'm just going to -- what I have here is a document, and i'm going to hand it in after I get through.

My first document relates to a waiting list, Papakolea waiting list, and these people been on the waiting list for 44 years.

I think that's bad. So what I'm going to do,

I'm going to get together with the Department on this thing here, and whatever results I get,

I'll send them right up to the office. That's number one.

Here I have the minutes of the Department here in 1961. This one here relates to John Waihee. That's Governor Waihee's father. The Commission told him if he wants Hawaiian Homes land, he has to sell his real estate, and I think that is wrong, too. But I'll check with the Department and see what it's all about. I think that wrongdoing must be compensated. Thank you.

I got my last one right here, relates to the Hawaiian Homes Commission. It's POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1972. They have 28 applicants' applications in their office. That's what they state. They say, to date the Hawaiian Homes Commission has 28 applicants, all residents of Ka'u. They only find one; they can't find the rest of them. I'll write to the Department and I'll get this information to your office.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Sonny.

Questions, panel?

MS. PANUI: Comment.

THE CHAIR: Yes.

MS. PANUI: I'm glad to see you

here.

I have a comment to make, to share. When I first moved out to Hawaiian home lands, I was appalled to find out that some of the administrative rules said that if you had \$27,000 in assets you had to sell your assets. All the while, I live in Anahola. Looking around, there were people in Anahola, that had a lot of tracts of land, still residing ont he homestead.

So you can see that at that time, there was preferential treatment. Some people POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
could have lots of tracts of land, personal
 2
   land, and reside on the Hawaiian homes, while
 3
   other people had to sell their assets.
 4
   that's true; that is fact.
 5
                 THE CHAIR:
                              Thank you.
 6
                 Any more comments?
 7
                 Fave?
 8
                 MS. DALY:
                             Mr. Kaniho, I don't
   believe you were here this morning. I believe
- 10
   it was Ethel Andrade who said what a wonderful
 11
   person you are, how self-sacrificing, and all
 12
   of the times you've been arrested, and I want
 13
   to congratulate you for your advocacy.
 14
             (Applause from participants.)
 15
                 THE CHAIR:
                              Thank you.
 16
                 Any more?
 17
                 Sonny, before you go, you talk to
 18
   John Dulles, and he'll give you government
 19
   envelopes that when you get the information,
 20
   just pop them in the mail, and it will go
 21
   directly to our office in L.A.
 22
                 MR. KANIHO:
                               All right.
 23
                 THE CHAIR:
                              Okay?
 24
                 MR. KANIHO: Okay. Thank you
 25
   again.
                      POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

```
1
                            Mahalo nui loa, Sonny.
                THE CHAIR:
2
                I would like to call upon Martina
3
  T. Whitehead.
                I'll basically control the time
5
  that you speak, based on the other people
6
  that we have, so everybody that comes up now,
  I mean, you know, I'll kind of hold the time
8
  together.
9
                Go ahead.
10
                MS. WHITEHEAD:
                                 Ladies and
11
  gentlemen, aloha.
12
                My name is Martina Whitehead.
  a 1952 Hawaiian homestead pioneer farmer from
13
  Puukapu, Waimea, Hawaii.
14
15
                For 38 years, I believe there was
16 incidents occurred that the State of Hawaii,
17
  its position, its political policies -- and
18
  also to mention Senator Daniel Inouye, who has
  served Hawaii in Congress for 30 years, and
20
  also other Hawaiian congressmen and senators
  knowingly assisted the State of Hawaii, which
  helped to cause a breach of trust to the Native
23
  Hawaiians.
24
                The ceded lands set aside for
25
  Native Hawaiians and the revenue from ceded
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                        (808) 521-7815
```

lands for the rehabilitation and for the support of the Hawaiian home lands. The Native Hawaiian grant the State of Hawaii receives does not go to the most needed programs that directly benefit Native Hawaiians.

For example, in 1952, there was eight Hawaiian homestead farmers. The farmers and renters that lived in Waimea before the Hawaiian homestead awards was gainfully employed by Parker Ranch, Honokaa Sugar Company, the Department of Water Works, and other employment in the district.

As for the Hawaiians that relocated from other islands, jobs were scarce. In 1953, January, there was no Hawaiian Homes loan program that we could immediately apply for a loan to purchase tractors, machines, chemicals, and having an operating fund.

We approached the Hawaiian Homes chairman, Daniel Inouye, and later the chairman, Samuel King. They said there was no -- there was money available, but we got no action until, by letter, we contacted the Hawaiian Homes commissioner for the island of Hawaii, and we got the attention of

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

Commissioner Richard Furtado to hear us and present our plea to the Hawaiian Homes chairman and to the commissioners.

In 1953, a loan was approved, but not until August of 1954, when a farm loan became available for us to use. After waiting so long, two farmer gave up their farm leases due to hardship and political harassment.

I need to mention to you, prior to our 1952 award, the fact that the Territory wanted to transfer to the State of Hawaii.

Speaking of the Democratic rule, the political island party contemplated an exchange of HHL Puukapu farmland for the Lalamilo (phonetic) ceded lands to put the Hawaiian Homes farmers on Alanamila land.

They did plan to put Puukapu farmland up for sale to the public for a price of 15 cents per square foot. This did not take place. Our appreciation goes out to the Hawaiian Civic Club, to Edith Ariohi Moore (phonetic), and others that made it possible for having Puukapu farm awards to Native Hawaiians.

THE CHAIR: E kala mai. E kala

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 mai. 2 MS. WHITEHEAD: Yes. 3 THE CHAIR: Auntie, can you --4 the rest of your -- there's bout three or four 5 more pages of your testimony. Can you just make it summarize or go down and just tell us 7 your manao from within? 8 MS. WHITEHEAD: Okay. 9 THE CHAIR: The rest of your 10 statement, please? 11 MS. WHITEHEAD: Okay. 12 Well, experienced hardships from 13 the local established farmers in the community 14 who tried to discourage us from farming, to 15 compete with the certain challenges. Those are 16 the days we experienced floods from the 17 mountain flow off the McGoon land and got the 18 overflow, which was damaging to our crops. 19 There was a wind storm, the heavy drought 20 spells, for which the Territory and, after, the 21 State of Hawaii called disaster emergency. 22 I need to express these things in 23 order that you --24 THE CHAIR: You see, Auntie, this 25 is the worst part of my job, is that I really **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

don't want to do this, but we don't have the
time. There's a lot of people more --

MS. WHITEHEAD: Okay.

THE CHAIR: -- and if I allow you,
I going have to allow everybody, and we not
going home tonight.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Okay.

THE CHAIR: Okay, Auntie. E kala

mai.

MS. WHITEHEAD: All right.

The Puukapu Hawaiian farmers were not able to qualify to apply for any of the disaster loans from the FHA and other loan institutions. There was -- okay.

Then we go on to -- we took steps to try to alleviate some of the obstacles. So in 1956, a 60-million gallons -- I got to mention this, Charlie -- irrigation water reservoir was built on Hawaiian Homes land.

This reservoir was to service the Hawaiian homestead farmers and renters. The State of Hawaii claimed that we did not want the irrigation water because we had enough rainfall that was sufficient to our farm needs. This statement was false.

### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

1 The Territory of Hawaii offered us 2 irrigations by gravity flow at \$30 per acre a 3 month, whether we used the water or not. wanted a service meter but was turned down. 5 Okay. 6 Hawaiian Homes allowed a bypass of 7 the 60-million gallon line irrigation to service the Lalamilo State Farm, okay? 8 9 Territory wanted to exchange, for Puukapu 10 farmland, the State farmland, and the 11 irrigation water was offered to the State farm, 12 Lalamilo Farms, for 8 cents per thousand 13 gallons, while we haul our water from our homes 14 for farm spraying. 15 And so later we established a 16 pooling agreement with the Soil Conservation 17 where we had domestic water at our farm at 55 18 cents per thousand gallons. So there you see 19 that breach of trust. 20 THE CHAIR: Okay, Auntie, uh --21 MS. WHITEHEAD: In closing --22 THE CHAIR: Go ahead, Auntie. 23 Close. Go ahead, Auntie. 24 MS. WHITEHEAD: Okay. Let me see. 25 In closing -- what I wanted to

1 say?

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry. I interrupted you, Auntie.

MS. WHITEHEAD: I therefore recommend that the provisions in the State Constitution be changed -- to amend the Constitution at this time, that the next Hawaiian generation, our children, to have the privilege to address this issue of the blood quantum. Okay.

Perhaps 15 or 20 years from now, and also after the State of Hawaii has enabled and allowed Native Hawaiians their rightful treatment -- where are our civil rights? When can our civil rights be addressed? We want action now.

I also therefore recommend a Federal subsidy program to be allowed to Hawaiian Homes farmers and renters to recover from the catastrophe, the poor loan system in the State of Hawaii program of HHL Department since 1952 for the rehabilitation of Native Hawaiians.

There are Federal subsidies for U.S. citizen farmers and renters, and being the POWERS & ASSOCIATES

case that we are such, do we have the right to consideration for these grants and programs?

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Auntie.

Mahalo.

The next speaker is Lila M.

Hubbard. And I'm so happy you're not one of
the kapunas, because I can cut you off at the
pass.

MS. HUBBARD: I was fighting with the DOT this morning and left my prepared speech.

My name is Lila Malina Hubbard, and I'm with Pro-Hawaiian Sovereignty, the educational arm of Kapaupaukau (phonetic)

Coalition of Hawaiian Organizations working for sovereignty and the founder of Makoekaipa, the strong warriors to fight corruption and the corrupted.

This is the problem; this is the enemy. The enemy is lack of communication and truth in our state. It looks like OHA did something; they didn't. OHA wouldn't even give our Homes Committee money. They didn't have money, but somehow they found money for our trustees to fly first-class to Washington

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

and to have a nice hotel.

Our people just went up with what they could scrounge, their round-trip, and now they have to scrounge the \$8,000 for the container for the bones, and this is the truth. And this garbage circulates in the Hawaiian community, and the truth does not come out. They are playing with our minds and the minds of all the Hawaiian people.

And this is -- I put partly on the United States' head, because you have come into our country illegally and have operated a government illegally. And you simply perpetuate this illegal take-over, and I think you need to do something about that.

Okay. Specifically, a number of things should be done. You use Western law to steal our lands. Our lands supposedly cannot be sold, but they can certainly be transferred and exchanged, and they are transferring and exchanging like crazy, so that there are no ceded lands for the Hawaiians.

And that's basically what we're talking about here. Land is power, and they don't want the Hawaiians to have any power.

### **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

They don't want to uplift us economically. And these programs and doles given to us, where does most of the money go? Up above, and very little to the Hawaiian people. And we are angry.

ŧ

And even now, our rights are taken away from us. Last week, two commercial fishermen, one full-blooded Hawaiian, these two men were told to remove their nets from Makua where they had been fishing for years. And they were told by the military and H.P.D. and given no explanation because, you see, Hawaiians are nothing here. We're treated, really, basically worse than dogs in our own country.

To alleviate this, what you need to do is recognize our sovereignty. We are a nation.

THE CHAIR: Summarize in two minutes, please.

MS. HUBBARD: Okay.

What you need to do is to call for a moratorium on all ceded land movement and to investigate the disposition of our land since 1959. This is a "reso" in the legislature that

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 did not pass. 2 Let us, the Hawaiians, develop our 3 ceded lands. We have the brains, and they should be -- there should be a master plan for 5 all of DHHL, and we should have duplexes 6 because a lot of our people can't afford the 7 note. Why not? 8 We should, furthermore, think of 9 economic opportunities in our DHHL areas. We 10 will have sophisticated tourists in the 11 future, so why not bed-and-breakfasts? 12 there are other things that we Native Hawaiians 13 and Hawaiians can do. But there doesn't seem 14 to be much creativity when it means lifting the 15 economic standards of the Hawaiians. 16 And the key, really, the key to 17 the uplift of the Hawaiians is education. 18 are put down in the public schools. I know, 19 because I teach there. 20 Thank you. 21 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 22 I would now like to call up Harold 23 Uhane Jim. Mr. Jim?

> Have you got a prepared statement? MR. JIM: Yeah, and I want to make **POWERS & ASSOCIATES**

(808) 521-7815

24

25

1 it short. 2 THE CHAIR: Good. Thank you. 3 MR. JIM: By the way, my name is 4 Harold Uhane Jim. Before I go on and start, 5 I would like to say my aloha. I am -- I represent the members 7 of Apunio O Hawaii (phonetic), a new 8 organization. This organization is just made 9 up of Hawaiian applicants and lessees in the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act as members. 10 11 I have flown here from Kauai here at the last minute, so I didn't bring any 13 testimony. I just want to give some of the 14 findings that we have monitored in the State 15 back in 1975 up to today. 16 It's such a long, drastic or very 17 emotional status that -- what's happened, and 18 I would like to make some recommendations to 19 the Civil Rights, with some of our issues, it's 20 on human rights now. 21 There's tremendous violations. 22 I mean, I think we're all aware. But I think 23 the violations -- and I'm hearing all these 24 testimonies -- but I don't hear anything about the State of Hawaii and the commissioners **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

following the provisions of the 1920 Act, and it's constantly being broken.

And I think what these commissioners have to look at is to give the commissioners, the Department of Hawaiian Homes, or the State on the Admission Act and the Constitution was adopted and Article 12, Section 2, where there was a compact between the Federal government, and we find that our rights on the Constitution have been violated tremendously.

I would like to mention one incident -- there's more -- and I think the most drastic one I want to point out is, that I heard earlier about, the Waimanalo issue this gentleman just brought out, about evictions of Native Hawaiians off those lands.

And I think some of the people fail to understand what the whole concept was, and I'd like to point that out as an example.

Native Hawaiians in Waimanalo, when they were threatened to be evicted on Saturday, somewhere around April the 23rd and 24th, they asked the advice for a group called Ohane Kahea (phonetic) to assist them.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

So we said that their failure of due process -- and one of the reasons we did that was that there was no contract signed between the County and the Department of Hawaiian Homes on those lands, and they were going to evict Native Hawaiians according to that.

So on the following the Monday, the contract has been signed by the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands with the commissioners. And they set forth at a hearing on a Tuesday -- and this particular Tuesday, they called the Native Hawaiians -- and one of the questions was brought out to the commissioners and the chairman of Hawaiian Homes: Did you sign the contract?

The answer was, she did. It was on a Monday prior to meeting those people.

Some Native Hawaiians felt that their rights and human rights were violated. They went up and hanged themselves, right in the front of the commissioners. And that has not been brought out.

THE CHAIR: You have a minute and a half to summarize.

## POWERS & ASSOCIATES

MR. JIM: So what I'm really trying to say, that the contract was brought 3 out on the 25th. There were prior evictions, prior to that on Hawaiian home lands, and there was the executive order of 11/25 in Nanakuli, 6 the beach frontage, four acres, evicted Native Hawaiians off those lands. 8 Those Hawaiians didn't have their

1

2

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

due process. A contract wasn't signed. I have a feeling I want to share with you, that the State as trustee standing there and monitoring to kick those Hawaiians off those lands, were they monitoring the policemans, to handcuff them who don't have jurisdiction on those lands to evict those Native Hawaiians in Nanakuli? The answer to that is no.

I have documentations that I'd like to present of court cases. I'm one of the founders of the Aki vs. Beamer in 1975. uncle, it was. We were fighting for Native Hawaiians' rights from that time.

THE CHAIR: Excuse me. You have 30 seconds.

MR. JIM: Today there's a lot of violations. So my manao to you -- I don't have **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

```
the time here, because I'm short of time,
1
  because that's always the case with Native
2
  Hawaiians.
3
4
                When you have documents, they
5
  don't want to see anything. With respect to
  this Committee, we have gone through all these
6
7
  hearings about the same thing.
                THE CHAIR: Let me interrupt you a
8
  second.
10
                MR. JIM:
                           Can I --
11
                THE CHAIR:
                             Let me interrupt you.
                Whatever documents you have, we'll
12
13
  gladly share it.
                      This is what we're for.
14
                MR. JIM:
                           Okay.
15
                THE CHAIR:
                             But your verbal
16
  testimony will have to follow the rules.
                                                Okay?
17
                Continue.
18
                MR. JIM:
                           So what I'm going to
19
  do --
20
                THE CHAIR:
                             15 seconds.
21
                          -- and I would like to
                MR. JIM:
22
  ask this Committee, because of the short time,
23
  the Committee that's paid my plane fare to come
24
  here and give my manao: I have documentations
  here, and I with respect would like to have
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

```
this be taken care of and written. And I have
1
   testimonies here and the whole bit.
2
3
                 I need 30 days to submit these
4
   things to you regarding the findings. Is that
5
   possible?
                 THE CHAIR: Yes.
6
                                    It's possible,
7
   and our staff will give you envelopes so that
   it won't cost you nothing.
8
9
                 MR. JIM:
                           Thank you.
10
                 THE CHAIR: Thank you.
11
                Any questions, panel?
                                        No?
                 I'd like to recognize Maui Loa.
12
13
                MR. PRICE: We're together,
   Kamuela Price. That's right.
14
15
                 THE CHAIR: But he filled out the
16
   application.
17
                 If you want to speak -- I don't
18
   see your name.
19
                 MR. PRICE: It's on the next one.
20
   We're together; we came together. Kamuela
21
   Price.
22
                 THE CHAIR:
                             Okay.
23
                 John? Can you give him permission
24
   to do that, Mr. Price?
25
                 MR. DULLES:
                              If they came
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

1 together, it's in order. 2 THE CHAIR: And they filled out 3 the application. 4 Did you get the MR. DULLES: 5 form? 6 THE CHAIR: Yeah, he did. I have 7 it here. 8 Okay. Go ahead, Maui Loa. MR. LOA: Well, I'd like our 9 10 advisory counsel to speak first. MR. PRICE: 11 I think it's better, 12 because I'll set the stage for what Maui is 13 going to say. 14 Mr. Chairman, members of the 15 Hawaii Advisory Committee, honorable members of 16 the staff, my name is Kamuela Price. I'm the 17 advisory counsel to the Hou (phonetic) 18 Hawaiians and part of the Hou Paralegal 19 Service. 20 Attached is some testimony that we 21 made before various government bodies 22 pertaining to the civil rights of our 23 constituents, the Native Hawaiians, as defined 24 in the Hawaiian Homestead and the 5F provisions 25 of the Hawaii Admissions Act. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 2

\_

\_

committee, so what you're really down here,
I imagine, for is how to protect the rights of
Native Hawaiians, as defined in the Hawaiian
Homestead and the 5F provisions of the
Admissions Act. In essence, that's Native
Hawaiians of 50 percent aboriginal blood or
more.

Now, you're a civil rights

I think that the problem as it is right now is the Native Hawaiian doesn't have any rights that are enforceable. Now, our first recommendation to you is that you instruct Congress not to tamper with the Hawaiian Homestead and the 5F provisions of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, and thereby protect the integrity of the United States government and save the Native Hawaiians from cultural extinction.

I think you should also instruct Congress that the Hou Hawaiians have the State government in court right now for not living up to the Hawaiian Homestead and 5F provisions, and that's why they wanted to change them.

Our attorney says he's never heard of a case where Congress, while you were POWERS & ASSOCIATES

challenging a trust -- that Congress would change that trust, because the trust deals with 3 two things: its purpose, and who it's 4 beneficiary is. 5 Now, if you change the purpose and 6 the beneficiary of that trust, then you don't 7 have a trust anymore, and you are depriving all of those Hawaiians who came under that trust of their civil rights. I think that's the issue 10 here that's involved. 11 Now, there's a couple other things that I would like to touch on because --12 13 THE CHAIR: You have a minute and 14 a half. 15 MR. PRICE: All right. 16 It's very important that we don't 17 have any civil rights because there's no legal 18 organizations that are being funded in the 19 State of Hawaii that will take care of the 20 civil rights of Native Hawaiians. 21 You have to realize the Native 22 Hawaiians that you're asked to think about is 23 the poor. You've been told, no, that's not the 24 one; if you're not poor, you can get people, 25 but if you are poor, you can't. And therefore, **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

the people that we speak for are the ones who don't have their homestead.

Now, the proportion of people who have homesteads by testimony today from those who don't, is we have 200,000 people who are going to come under some kind of a law here, but there's 12,000 on that list. So we're outnumbered 15 to 1.

We are the people that need civil rights. The 200,000 have money, they have spokesmen, they have Alu Like, they have all these people, OHA, speaking on their behalf with millions of dollars.

THE CHAIR: You have 10 seconds.

MR. PRICE: All right.

I would hope that you folks would go back and recommend to Congress: There's a small group of Hawaiians who we owe something to; they're the ones that we made a treaty with or a compact with, and that those are the people that we owe civil rights, and we shouldn't allow to open the door to others until we can take care of the obligation that the United States has made up to this point.

Thank you.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

1 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Price. Maui Loa. 2 3 MR. LOA: Aloha, Chairman and 4 members of this Committee. 5 THE CHAIR: Excuse me, Maui Loa. 6 Do we have your statement. 7 MR. LOA: May I say something 8 first? 9 THE CHAIR: Listen. Wait. We 10 have a whole stack from you. If your statement 11 is here, would you then encapsulize? 12 MR. LOA: Yes, I am. 13 THE CHAIR: Sure, go ahead. 14 Aloha, members of the MR. LOA: 15 United States Commission on Civil Rights in 16 Hawaii. 17 My name is Maui Loa, and I'm the 18 hereditary chief of the Hou Hawaiians. We are 19 the advocacy group that originally petitioned 20 the Secretary of the Interior for inclusion of 21 Native Hawaiians as Native Americans. 22 We are also the group that asked 23 the Secretary of the Interior to enforce the 24 Hawaiian Homestead provisions and the Hawaii 25 Admissions Act which the Hawaiian homestead **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

spirit was made part of.

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's not easy to summarize all of 2 3 our grievances in a few words. But I would like to just say this, on something that took 5 place in Hawaii, that the Civil Rights Commission didn't even send a letter of concern 6 7 to a pure Hawaiian woman, which was my mother, senior in age, who was illegally forced by a 8 9 SWAT team, 4:00 in the morning, off of ancestral burial grounds, without due process 10 of the law, that the Bishop Estate, through 11 their power, forced the judge to come out 12 13 without due process of law and force my mother 14 and my crippled brother off the sacred grounds which traditionally is a place of refuse for 15 16 the old and the crippled and the women and the 17 children.

There was not one -- not even a letter sent out that there was such a group of people in Hawaii that would recognize the individual violations. Because you're taking on a task that nobody could do for 69 years.

Now, that's a great task to do that, but you can't take on individual situations of civil rights violations of many POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 native incidents. There's many incidents in
2 Hawaii every day. There's women whose children
3 are taken away from them. There's women living
4 out in the bushes that don't have a shelter
5 over their heads. There's so many violations
6 out there that's real.

The home lands right is only one of the rights. Now, I'm a native artist, and I don't have the freedom to put my art out and earn, so I can subsist in Hawaii. I am fined administrative fines of \$400 a day when I open my trading post in the North Shore on our church lands. And these are the kind of harassments that we have, because that's the bottom line.

Our art alone could generate -one-tenth of one percent is \$20 million in the
art and craft and tourist related area. It's
one-tenth of one percent.

THE CHAIR: Okay. You've got 15 seconds to finish.

MR. LOA: So this is a gift I'd like to give in the spirit of the Federal government joining hands with the local government and finding the solution, the

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

<u>-</u>

1 promises that were made to us. As the chief of 2 the Hou Hawaiians, I'd like to give this to 3 you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. 5 Wait. Let me just clarify one 6 statement you made. 7 We do not investigate -- this body 8 does not investigate individual complaints. recommend. We're only advisory. We recommend 10 when it deals with a class of people in total. 11 We recommend to the commissioners in 12 Washington, and they, in turn, have the power 13 to ask Congress and the President to act on it. 14 But your views, whatever you 15 submitted, will be considered by this 16 Committee, will be part of the record. 17 MR. LOA: What do you do for a 18 woman who's on the way to the spirit lands, 19 waited 40 years for the home lands? What do 20 you do about that? 21 THE CHAIR: Well, that's something 22 that this body can't address. That's for sure. 23 MR. LOA: Wouldn't you feel there 24 should be an emergency? 25 THE CHAIR: Of course, but we're **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

1 not the body to --2 MR. LOA: Not some 10 year thing 3 you guys came out with in 1980. 4 THE CHAIR: The breach of trust, 5 right? 6 MR. LOA: This is 1990. 7 THE CHAIR: Right. 8 MR. LOA: How many natives you 9 think passed on? 10 THE CHAIR: At least we tried, 11 Do you understand? We tried. though. And we 12 are constantly trying, like you are. 13 cannot point the finger to different people of 14 different ages, because we are all trying. 15 Thank you. 16 Thank you. MR. LOA: 17 THE CHAIR: Next up? 18 I encourage everyone to submit 19 their written testimony, and the record will be 20 open for 10 days. And if you need envelopes to 21 mail your testimonies out, please contact our 22 staff. 23 The next one in line is Virginia 24 Kepano, I believe. Correct me if I have the 25 wrong -- State your name, and if you're **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** (808) 521-7815

1 representing yourself or your organization.

MS. KEPANO: My name is Virginia

3 Kepano.

2

4

6

7

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

THE CHAIR: Excuse me, could you

5 please speak into the mike directly? Yes.

Thank you.

MS. KEPANO: My name is Virginia

8 Kepano. I am a homesteader in Papakolea. This

is my husband. He is 100 percent Hawaiian.

10 I have three 50 percent Hawaiian children, six

grandchildren who are more than 50 percent, and

12 two great-grandchildren who are almost three-

13 quarters Hawaiian.

I wish to speak to the issue of civil rights. In 1962, my husband and I brought before the State Legislature -- in '62, '64, and '66, the issue of civil rights for the Native Hawaiians and the human rights

question. Now, this many years later we're

20 still at it.

We belong to a group that is very interested in pursuing the civil rights question and the human rights question for Hawaiians. It is a long and well-established

fact, and well- and widespread recognized that POWERS & ASSOCIATES

the failure of the State to fulfill its commitment to the Native Hawaiian has been the very first violation of civil rights in the State of Hawaii.

Long overdue has been the recognition that this must be addressed. So because you are here today, we are very concerned with what you're going to take back to Washington, D.C. We realize that the inherent right of the Native Hawaiian has been violated, the inherent right to the gathering rights, to access to the ocean, to access to his assets, his financial assets, has been denied the Native Hawaiian.

Now, in the past, whichever philosophy you adhere to or follow, we have been told that at one time there were 1,500,000; different figures have been offered for public scrutiny as to how many Hawaiians resided and subsisted in these islands. They were self-sufficient. There were no ships here. People were self-sufficient in that they provided for whatever the population was at that time.

Before contact, we really don't POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

338 1 know. Because there have been many books 2 written on this, we do have a pretty good idea. Now we know that there are less than 9,000 3 Native Hawaiians. This is a pretty big demise 5 of a people. I introduce to you the fact that there has been not only genocide, but it has 6 7 been perhaps a deliberate attempt to do away with the native people. 8 9 We're facing the fact today that passing within 500 miles of our islands are 10 11 deadly weapons that can destruct the world three times over. 12 15 seconds. 13 THE CHAIR: 14 MS. KEPANO: Okav. The Hawaiians have had no due 15 16

The Hawaiians have had no due process. No one ever asks the Native Hawaiians. Mr. Maxwell, we get three minute at very important hearings like this. We object to this. We are going to pursue through the international arena the question of the civil rights, the abrogation, the violation of the human rights of the Native Hawaiian.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

I understand the frustration POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
when I testify in public hearings, and I have
1
  to testify only for three minutes. But if you
2
  have compiled your testimony and you submit it,
  it could be 10,000 pages, and it would be noted
5
  in the record. But we have to be fair.
                                             That's
6
  the reason you have only three minutes.
7
                MS. KEPANO: We'll compile 10,000
8
  pages for your edification and see that you get
9
  it.
                THE CHAIR:
                            You have 10 days.
10
11
                MS. KEPANO:
                             Mr. Dulles assured me
  that I could mail it in.
12
13
                THE CHAIR:
                            10 days to do it.
                MS. KEPANO: And we have 10 days.
14
15
                THE CHAIR:
                            Thank you, ma'am.
16
                MS. KEPANO:
                             Mahalo.
17
                THE CHAIR:
                            The next is Auntie
18
  Peggy Ha'o Ross.
19
                MS. ROSS:
                           My name is Peggy Ha'o
20
  Ross.
          I am the leader and spiritual leader of
21
  my people, from 26,000 to 36,000 of us.
22
  started because we wanted to get our identity
23
  in order throughout the State of Hawaii back in
24
  1970.
25
                                            We were
```

Yesterday we had a forum. **POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

talking about Hawaiian sperm bank, and what got 1 me on this -- what do you call this -- becoming 2 an activist in this movement of the Hawaiian 3 people was because of the Hawaiian Homes It says the definition, to be 5 Commission Act. qualified on the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act 6 7 or to be qualified on Hawaiian homestead, you have to prove that you are a -- what do you 8 call it -- the definition of Native Hawaiian was you have to prove that you are a descendant 10 of those prior to 1778. 11 Now, if you look very closely, it 12 says the races -- R-A-C-E with plural: 13 R-A-C-E-S. That meant it had nothing to do 14 15 calling us Hawaiians. It meant that anybody 16 could be on Hawaiian homestead land. It says 17 it's only a native definition. It says we have 18 to prove that we are -- what do you call it --19 by that definition that we are of the races. 20 It's plural. It had nothing to do 21 -- because there were Vancouvers, there were 22 Davises and Youngs and all kinds of people here 23 prior to 1778, long before Captain Cook came 24 And anyway, prior to 1778, the United here.

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

(808) 521-7815

States Constitution didn't exist.

1 My problem, right now I have 10 children, 52 grandchildren, and 14 great-2 3 grandchildren, and I just recently found out I have one black one, and I'm really proud of them because their Japanese, Chinese, Portuguese and Hawaiian, all nationalities. 6 I've got all. 7 What bothers me is that the blood 8 9 line in about 50 years -- maybe in less than 10 100 years, there won't be no more Hawaiian 11 blood. And I asked some of our Hawaiian people who talk about being Hawaiian. Some will say, 12 13 well, I'm 25 percent or 32 percent. I say, 14 what part of you is speaking for the Hawaiian? 15 Is it that 75 percent is speaking for that 16 little bit of you? 17 So, you know, it's real difficult. 18 We have this problem. We have to find out 19 identify. All the doors here in the State of 20 Hawaii was closed to that. We had to 21 legislate to open up all those old records that 22 were held in the courts. And the Board of 23 Health, they didn't allow us to see those 24 papers.

And now, today, after 20 years of POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

fighting with this State government to go get 1 all this information out for the Hawaiians to 3 find their identity. And a lot of the genealogies was incomplete, and the Hawaiian 4 5 Homestead now -- they say we have 20,000. 6 No, we have more than 20,000 7 Hawaiians out there who don't want no part of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act because of 8 9 what they have already seen. THE CHAIR: 30 seconds. 10 11 MS. ROSS: I'm happy to say, I knew already that I had 30 seconds. 12 13 But anyway, I wanted to pinpoint 14 about this newspaper here. This is OHA 15 newspaper. And we were just having a forum 16 We were talking; there were three yesterday. 17 men and two women, and we were sitting and 18 discussing about our blood quantum. 19 In about 50, 75 years, there 20 probably won't be enough blood to be on that 21 Hawaiian Homes Commission Act according to that 22 Act. And here I see the OHA -- I was so 23 surprised when I saw this -- it says, Wanted: 24 Urgently need Native Hawaiian and part-Hawaiian 25 donors. Help a childless couple have a baby.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

```
1
  Cash payment. Anonymous. Confidential.
 Hawaiian Sperm Bank.
3
                And I was just telling all my
4
  menfolks, I said, you know, we're going to have
5
  a sperm bank, so then we can save that blood,
6
  because it's going to be -- it's the rare
7
  species of the human race.
8
                THE CHAIR: Thank you, Auntie
9
  Peggy.
10
                MS. ROSS:
                            Thank you, Charlie.
11
  Aloha.
12
                THE CHAIR:
                             Thank you.
13
                Let me call Alan Murakami.
14
                Now, according to this, Auntie
15
  Peggy, you plan to submit testimony. Can you
16
  get an envelope so you can submit it?
17
                MS. ROSS:
                            Yes, I will be
18
  submitting.
19
                THE CHAIR:
                             Thank you.
20
                Mr. Murakami?
21
                MR. MURAKAMI: I wanted to make a
22
  couple of points, in hearing some of the
23
  previous testimony.
24
                In my review of the responses of
25
  Ilima Pi'ianaia, then the director of the
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

Department of Hawaiian Home Lands back in August of 1989, she responded to a question posed to her by the Committee and stated that in the current biennium, the Department is currently funded only by 42 percent of the general funds of the State in their operating, non-CIP budget.

.9

Now, I found that curious because all along, I had assumed when the Department had stated that it had fully funded all of its positions, permanent positions, that that meant that they were fully funded by general funds of the State of Hawaii, and they were no longer relying on the general lease revenues of the lands that are in the Hawaiian Homes inventory.

But apparently that's not true according to my discussions with staff just a few minutes ago. What the true situation is, is that the -- actually, the 58 percent of the operating, non-CIP budget is still being funded by general lease revenues.

And so my question to them was:
Why was this not matched by general fund
revenues as well? And apparently there is some
restriction, and I'm not sure too much about
POWERS & ASSOCIATES

this, because I'm not familiar with the laws on general funds. But apparently there's some restriction on the ability to pay for the temporary exempt positions that the Hawaiian Homes Department is utilizing in staffing.

THE CHAIR: 30 seconds.

MR. MURAKAMI: Okay.

Secondly, I have heard some discussion about opposition to the so-called Purpose Bill, and why it's not needed. Frankly, I cannot understand the rationale for that, how people would urge that a trustee relationship be enforced with the United States, and at the same time argue against the Purpose Bill.

The Purpose Bill is meant to clarify that relationship, to strengthen it, to strengthen the case for greater Federal involvement, and I can see no reason why the Purpose Bill in any way can harm the case of the Native Hawaiians seeking greater assistance from the U.S. government.

So I would just urge whoever is seeking to oppose this bill to really look at the merits of it and try to determine why they POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 are opposing it on the merits, because I cannot 2 understand why there is this opposition. 3 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Alan. 4 Can you submit any further 5 information on this, if you want to? 6 MR. MURAKAMI: I would be happy 7 to. 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 9 The next one is Ethel Andrade. 10 MS. ANDRADE: Mr. Chairman, I will 11 very briefly --12 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 13 MS. ANDRADE: -- make a very brief 14 statement. 15 Number one, I was just handed this 16 1952 wait list which pertains to the Puukapu 17 lots that will be awarded in September. 18 totals 1,400 acres, and this decision was made 19 on Wailuku, Maui, while this whole concept 20 takes place in Puukapu. 21 I want to call to your attention, 22 (a) there will be -- there are 1,400 acres 23 being divided by 182 applicants on the list, of 24 which 8 applicants will receive 100 acres of 25 prime land, 8 applicants will receive 200 acres

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

of arid, poor, bad land, the balance being 166 homesteaders that will be squished into 10-, 15-, and 20-acre parcels.

My concern is we were promised that before this was presented to the community, that the Association in our area would have a chance to sit with the staff and discuss it, which did not happen.

And I submit to you, just for the record, because Dr. Byrd Smith was engaged by the Department from the University of Hawaii, and on his survey last year of this entire 1,400 acre premises, he noted and recommended that 200 head was necessary to be viable for a livelihood in that area of 1,400 acres.

I submit this with concern for the 182 people that will be squished in this area. It happens to be adjacent to my land in Puukapu. As a matter of record, I have submitted a plan with the staff, and I hope that you have a chance to review it.

My concern -- our concern is that the applicants were not a part of this decision-making process. I've heard earlier that the homesteader has a right to be a part POWERS & ASSOCIATES

(808) 521-7815

1 of the planning, and this was not done. I was 2 told, and I was handed this, and I want to 3 submit it. 4 THE CHAIR: 30 seconds. 5 MS. ANDRADE: I wish to submit it 6 to you for your consideration. 7 In conclusion, Mr. Chairman, 8 mahalo. THE CHAIR: Thank you. 10 Leona Atcherly. 11 Have you got a prepared statement? 12 The race of Native MS. ATCHERLY: 13 Hawaiians of 100 percent to 50 percent of blood 14 quantum are in greater danger of media, legal, 15 and sympathetic genocide here at home than the 16 political genocide being meted out in 17. Washington, D.C. 18 I have come here today to 19 articulate those factors because they are more 20 incumbent upon us now than the ongoing 21 historical problems concerning the Department 22 of Hawaiian Home Lands. You have Exhibit G, 23 and you also have a very important Exhibit E in 24 there that relates to this testimony. 25 The homestead situation is

The homestead situation is POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

```
1
 admittedly a stalemate because of the
  distractions of certain acts created from this
2
  past legislative session.
                              The solution for
  bringing the Department under the jurisdiction
  of the beneficiaries themselves will have to be
5
  put on hold until the Native Hawaiians are
6
7
  funded to organize themselves into a cohesive
8
  social-political whole.
9
                In the interim, recommendations
10
  are abundant, but the practical order to get
11
  things moving along will have to come from the
12
  U.S. Attorney General's Office -- at least,
13
  I presume.
14
                Recently, some alarming
15
  developments about which I have taken the time
16
  to examine and analyze have come out involving
17
  activities of --
18
                THE CHAIR:
                            Let me correct you
19
  right here. You can use Ka Lahui, but leave
20
  her name out.
21
                MS. ATCHERLY: Well, you have the
22
  documentation --
23
                THE CHAIR: That's the Federal
24
  mandate that we have.
25
                MS. ATCHERLY:
                                Right.
                    POWERS & ASSOCIATES
```

```
1
                But you have the documentation
   that's Exhibit E.
2
3
                THE CHAIR: Well, submit it and
4
  we'll see.
5
                MS. ATCHERLY:
                                Yeah.
                                        That's a
6
  newspaper article that I'm quoting.
7
                THE CHAIR: Continue, but leave
8
  her name out.
9
                MS. ATCHERLY: All right.
10
                Because it's a quotation; it comes
11
   from --
12
                THE CHAIR: Continue.
13
                MS. ATCHERLY: -- a very serious
14
   stress to us, because of the projected nature
15
   of Ka Lahui.
16
                What I think is unimportant. But
17
   what happens and the legal standing of the
18
  Homestead Act's beneficiaries makes Senator
19
   Inouye look like a saint by comparison.
20
   is why -- I'm citing a prime example of what
21
   can happen when a chain of events generates
22
   more problems than we can readily understand.
23
                First of all, the sovereign
24
   nation fantasy covers an arsenal of ideas to
25
   interject claims of various percentages -- 25
                     POWERS & ASSOCIATES
                         (808) 521-7815
```

percent, 100 percent -- of the homestead lands and the ceded lands. Media exploitation is also used to implant public psychosis that our race is on the verge of extinction.

Secondly, this kind of self-empowerment has prompted that certain person to go to Washington for the sole goal of making law to establish that sole party as the virtual mandate of our resources. For us, that would mean the loss of our entitlements to Ka Lahui.

Thirdly, the price of being given such so-called help is the surrender of our legal entitlements to Ka Lahui, and the Native Hawaiians are not aware of such a one-sided presumption. Then the first and second procedures become more desperate and dangerous.

So, with the help of friends, this person has formulated a plan that leads to three forms of genocide of the race of 100 percent to 50 percent Native Hawaiians. The first genocide is media, the second genocide is legal, and the third genocide is obligation. In Exhibit G, I have pointed out three forms of

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

political genocide.

.20

But while legislators can be held up to public scrutiny, and there is ample warning when things are about to happen --

THE CHAIR: 30 seconds.

MS. ATCHERLY: Okay.

-- and the individual is not subject to accountability for the actions taken.

The last thing I have to touch on is organized reinforcement, and I'd like to jump down and say that what I keep repeating all the time is that we need to become organized and educated about ourselves, and from this we will be able to take better care and show better accountability of our resources than any other institution on the face of this Earth.

Thank you all, and aloha.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

If you need envelopes to submit your testimony to the Commission to mail, you have 10 days to do it.

Thank you so much.

MS. ATCHERLY: All right. Thank
POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

1 you. THE CHAIR: Mr. Joseph Nakea. 2 3 I'm sorry, I cannot recognize you. 4 I recognize Mr. Nakea. 5 If any of the audience have 6 anything to say, please talk to the staff. 7 Go ahead, Mr. Nakea. 8 MR. NAKEA: Thank you for letting 9 me speak. 10 My name is Joseph Nakea, and I've 11 been on the 1952 list and I still haven't got 12 that pasture land yet. 13 My main concern is, since you're 14 going to the President, all of you who is going 15 I'm asking to the President: Read my lips. 16 the President and Congress to relinquish the 17 hold on Hawaiians and the lands that they 18 stole, and let the Hawaiians run their own land 19 and give them all the tax money that is going 20 to the I.R.S. 21 Thank you. 22 THE CHAIR: Thank you, sir. 23 Is that our list? Is that it? 24 Okay. Should I read the closing 25 statement before I recognize? No? Okay. POWERS & ASSOCIATES

1 We'll take a short recess. 2 (Brief recess.) 3 THE CHAIR: Let me call this 4 meeting back to order. 5 Please realize that we're going to 6 recognize Mr. Hopkins. It's after 5:00, and 7 we've got to close down soon because of Federal constraints. So we have three more people, and 9 that's it. And I promise you, I will be terse 10 with you. 11 Mr. Hawkins, go ahead. You got 12 the floor. 13 MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Chairman, 14 honorable members of the Committee, I am Ben 15 Hopkins. I'm speaking as an individual 16 I am a resident of the island of citizen. 17 Oahu. 18 I'm not a Native Hawaiian, but 19 I am a citizen of Hawaii who has a sense of 20 iustice. I and others like me have come to 21 know something of the Hawaiian home lands story 22 from newspapers, magazines, and from our 23 Hawaiian friends. It is a sad story, a 24 shocking story, one that produces feelings of 25 outrage and shame for our State and our

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

1 country. 2 THE CHAIR: Excuse me, sir. Could I have some quiet here, 3 4 please. Go ahead. 5 6 MR. HOPKINS: It is tempting to 7 attack those who have played a part in this story of legal rights ignored or trampled. there are other people much better qualified than I to do this. 10 11 And, in any event, the important thing now is to leave the past behind and go 12 13 forward on a new road, the road of action and 14 rapid progress, instead of the old road of administrative delays, official excuses, and hearings that lead nowhere, followed by more 17 hearings that lead nowhere. 18 The need now is the same as 19 always. It is for powerful, commanding action 20 by those who have the authority and the 21 responsibility to implement the law. Let me 22 elaborate on that just a little bit. 23 THE CHAIR: You have about a 24 minute to do that. 25 MR. HOPKINS: Okay.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

As I've listened to the hearing here, I think we're getting lost in our rhetoric. What we're talking about here is the use and abuse of power. The Governor of the State of Hawaii and the legislature and the President of the United States and the Congress have ample power to set this matter right in a very short time.

all they need is the intention to use that power. And if they don't use it, we should elect somebody who will. There are thousands of people on these islands who are not Native Hawaiians but who share in some measure the frustration, the disappointment, and the outrage of those who have waited for so long to receive what is rightfully theirs.

All the people of Hawaii will be served if this Committee and the Commission will do everything in their power to bring about immediate and effective action. The first step that should be taken is to give back to Native Hawaiians complete and exclusive control over all lands designated as home lands, free of taxation or any other interference by State or Federal governments.

POWERS & ASSOCIATES

```
1
                THE CHAIR: Thank you.
2
                              The legal term for
                MR. HOPKINS:
  such a degree of control is "sovereignty."
3
4
                THE CHAIR:
                            Thank you, sir.
5
                MR. HOPKINS: To all Americans,
6
  I say --
7
                THE CHAIR: That's it.
8
                MR. HOPKINS: -- let us heal a
9
  festering wound in our family with a bold move
10
  toward justice for this great people who have
  suffered so much and who continue to give so
  much inspiration to the world.
12
13
                Let us do what we know to be
14
  right, and let's do it now, not later.
15
                THE CHAIR:
                            Tiny Niau, would you
16
  come up?
17
                MS. NIAU:
                           Just a short statement.
18
                I want to ask to have my name
19
  deleted from Leona Atcherly's paper.
                                          I did not
20
  give no right to use my name at all.
21
  woman just goes all around -- and we live in
22
  the same community -- and she does not have no
23
  followers.
24
                THE CHAIR:
                            Okay. It will be
25
  noted.
```

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

1 MS. NIAU: So she uses people like 2 And I want it to be deleted. me. 3 THE CHAIR: We will. MS. NIAU: Okay. 5 THE CHAIR: We'll definitely take 6 it out. 7 MS. NIAU: Thank you. 8 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 9 Billie Beamer. 10 MS. BEAMER: Good evening. Мy 11 name is Billie Beamer. I am the former 12 chairman of the HHC, and I will adhere to your 13 two-and-a-half minutes that you have 14 appropriated to me. 15 Your primary question -- has there 16 been a breach of trust -- is elementary, and 17 it's ludicrous that we've been asking this same 18 question for 10 years. 19 Money is not the major culprit. 20 Management is. This is a development 21 department, and we should be building homes and 22 putting people on the land. So I ask you this 23 afternoon that one of the major problems 24 concerning the Department is due process. 25 We wrote to you, asking you to

POWERS & ASSOCIATES (808) 521-7815

look into it. We got a response back from Mr. Montez who said that it was not your concern, that it was legislative matter, and we should refer to the Congress or to our legislators. So we took you off our mailing list because we could get no assistance there.

I do recommend, number one, that a major audit is necessary, just as the new federalism conducted an audit by the Indians for the Indian program.

I disagree with those who say, let's forget about the past, because there are many lessons to be learned from the past, and we are not going to repeat them. But while you are studying the past, you can be building homes.

You need not just hold these hearings and have major departments testify first, and the citizens last. It should be the other way around, because major departments cannot come out and say that their chief executive has been doing a poor job.

And second, we have no access to the provisions of the agreement with the Governor regarding the ceded lands. That is

**POWERS & ASSOCIATES** 

questionable, and that should be looked into.

And third, we have never had any access or public accounting regarding the \$143 million received from the Federal government. So I ask that you look into a major audit, and you do your own investigating, instead of depending on input from governmental entities who are going to present favorable testimony regarding their departments.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Okay. I have a closing statement

13 to read.

The Hawaiian Advisory Committee is indebted to everyone who has contributed to the success of this meeting. We are especially grateful to all of the presenters who have voluntarily given up their time, energies, and considerable expertise.

Your substantive contributions to the Committee's work and to the work of the United States Commission on Civil Rights are greatly appreciated. All the participants have provided us with a wealth of information and with opinions, perspective, and recommendations POWERS & ASSOCIATES

which will help guide us in formulating our recommendation to the United States Commission on Civil Rights. We are fortunate to have such dedicated, knowledgeable, and committed people in this state.

We wish express special thanks to the Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation for its assistance to the Advisory Committee, and to the staff of the United States Commission on Civil Rights, in preparing for this meeting.

We also wish to thank the members of the audience who attended this meeting and demonstrated their concern for the issues being addressed. Thanks also to the media and to our court reporter.

Based upon the information collected at this meeting, along with the transcripts of a public forum convened by the Committee in September, 1988, a report will now be prepared for the United States Commission on Civil Rights. This will serve to update an earlier Advisory Committee report, Breach of Trust? Hawaiian Home Lands, released in 1980.

As noted earlier, the record of POWERS & ASSOCIATES
(808) 521-7815

this meeting will remain open for 10 days. You can submit additional statements and exhibits to our Western Regional Division in Los Angeles. The address is 3360 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 810, Los Angeles, California, 90010.

We have a difficult task ahead of us, but you have greatly facilitated our work.

I wish also to express my gratitude to my fellow Advisory Committee members who have served on this body without compensation, but who share in the conviction that the struggle for human rights is worthy of their highest devotion.

Thank you all.

The meeting is adjourned.

(Adjourned at 5:20 p.m.)

-000-

## CERTIFICATE

I, JOAN IZUMIGAWA , hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct statement of the proceedings had and the testimony given by the witnesses at the hearing held August 2, 1990 , as taken down by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my supervision.

Joan Zumgawa.