BEFORE THE 1 CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE 2 TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS 3 In the Matter of: FORUM ON BIGOTRY AND 5 VIOLENCE ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES 6 Tuesday, 7 July 18, 1989 8 Amador Room, Marriott Hotel 200 Marina Boulevard 9 Berkeley, California 10 The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, 11 rsuant to notice, at 8:35 a.m. 12 BEFORE: DEBORAH M. HESSE, Chairperson 13 APPEARANCES: 14 Mr. Michael Carney, Vice Chairperson 15 California State Advisory Committee 16 Dr. Edward Erler, Sub-committee Chair California State Advisory Committee 17 Ms. Grace M. Davis, Deputy Mayor 18 Los Angeles, California 19 Ms. Beatrice M. Jett, Educator Los Angeles, California 20 Dr. Julian Lee 21 Mr. Thomas Sayles Mr. Herman Sillas 22 Mr. Melvin Jenkins, Acting Staff Director 23 U.S. Commission on Civil Rights 24 25 **CCR** 3 Meet.

## PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

341

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827 TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2	Mr. Philip Montez, Regional Director U.S. Commission on Civil Rights
3	
4	Mr. John Dulles, Civil Rights Analyst U.S. Commission on Civil Rights
5	Ms. Grace Hernandez, Staff Assistant U.S. Commission on Civil Rights
6	Western Regional Office
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	•
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

3

## INDEX 1 WITNESSES PAGE NO. 2 8 Community Relations Service: 3 Julian Klugman 4 University of California at Berkeley: 17 Daniel Boggan, Jr. 5 C. Judson King William R. Ellis, Jr. 6 Roslyn R. Elms Janice Koyama 7 University of California System: 78 8 Carol A. Cartwright Alice C. Cox 9 Carmen Estrada Tina Oakland 10 Ellen Switkes 11 Jewish Community Relations Council: 126 Rabbi Douglas Kahn, Executive Director 12 African American Perspectives: 141 13 Kimberly Papillion Lance Johnson 14 Harry LeGrande 15 Hispanic Perspectives: 177 Susan Brown 16 John C. Gamboa Guillermo Rodriguez 17 Asian American Perspective: 205 18 Melanie Hahn Ling-Chi Wang 19 Cecillia Wong 20 American Indian Perspective: 247 John LaVelle 21 Melinda Micco 22 Open Session: Commissioner William B. Allen 273 23 Suzanna Castillo Robson 274 24 25

## PROCEEDINGS

8:35 a.m.

Begin Pl

3

1

2

5

ا ہ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Good morning. This meeting of the California Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights will now come to order. purpose of the meeting is to obtain information on issues related to bigotry and violence based on race, sex, and religion on the California public post-secondary campuses. The forum will focus on the University of California system today, with specific information relating to the Berkeley Future meetings are also planned by the Advisory Committee to solicit similar information concerning the California State University system and the community The inquiry is designed to not only college systems. elicit information about the causes and extent of racial, religious, and sexual prejudice and violence, but also the manner in which the higher education systems have addressed such problems.

Among those invited to address the meeting are the Chancellor of the University of California at Berkeley, representatives of the President's Office of the University of California system, students, civil rights leaders, and others who are knowledgeable about the issues under consideration. My name is Deborah Hesse. I am the Chairperson of the California Advisory Committee. The

Advisory Committee receives information and submits reports to the Commission in the areas in which the Committee or any of its sub-committees is authorized to study. Other members of the Committee in attendance in the meeting with us presently is Michael Carney, who is on my right, who is the Vice Chairperson of the Advisory Committee; Dr. Edward Erler, Sub-committee Chair, on my left for this forum; Grace Davis of Los Angeles, Deputy Mayor, on my right; Beatrice Jett of Los Angeles, Educator, on my far left; and later, Dr. Julian Lee, Thomas Sayles, and Herman Sillas will be joining us. With us today from Washington, D.C., 200 is the U.S. Civil Rights Commission Acting Staff Director, Melvin Jenkins. It appears Mr. Jenkins isn't in the room at the present time. Also with us today are the staff members, Mr. Phil Montez on my far right, the Regional Director of the Commission on U.S. Civil Rights Mr. John Dulles, Civil Rights Analyst; and Ms. Grace Hernandez, ويصفات Staff Assistant from the Commission's Western Regional Office in Los Angeles.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This forum is being held pursuant to the federal rules applicable to state advisory committees and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States government created by Congress in 1957 and re-established in 1983. It is directed to do several

things:

One, investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices;

Two, study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or in the administration of justice;

Three, appraise federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws:

Four, serve as a national clearing house for information about discrimination; and

Five, submit reports, findings, and recommendations to the President and the United State Congress.

I would like to emphasize that this is a consultation and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with the Committee information relevant to the subject of today's inquiry. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee. Since this is a public meeting, the media, the press, the radio and television stations, as well as individuals are welcome. Persons meeting with the

Committee, however, may specifically request that they may not be televised.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In this case, we will comply with their wish. concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this In the unlikely event that the situation should develop, it will be necessary for me, on behalf of the Committee, to call to the attention of the persons making these statements and request that they cease and desist in their action. Such information will be stricken from the record. Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable in the subject matter that we are dealing In addition, we have allocated time between with today. 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. to hear from anyone who wishes to share relevant information with the Committee about the issues under study. The Advisory Committee encourages students; faculty and other members of the community to address the forum at that time. Your remarks should not - ---exceed three-to-five minutes and they must pertain to the issues related bigotry and violence based on race, sex, or religion: Written statements will also be accepted by the-Committee for a period of 10 days following the adjournment of this forum. Those wishing to participate in the open session this afternoon or desiring to submit written materials should contact Mr. John Dulles -- is Mr. Dulles still in the room? -- or Mr. Phil Montez, to my right, as

soon as possible for the necessary procedural information.

We will now proceed with the formal presentation. On our agenda, I believe, our first speaker is Mr. Julian Klugman, representing Community Relations Service of the United States Department of Justice. Mr. Klugman, would you come forward? For the record, would you state your name and the organization you're representing?

Whereupon,

was called as a witness herein and testified as follows:

JULIAN KLUGMAN

MR. KLUGMAN: Yes. I'm Julian Klugman and I'm
Regional Director of the Community Relations Service, U.S.
Department of Justice. I'm Regional Director of Region
Nine which encompasses California, Arizona, Nevada, and
Hawaii. C-R-S is an arm of the U.S. Department of Justice
that helps citizens to settle race related problems
voluntarily rather than in the courts or on the streets.
We were created by the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and we're
the only agency to which Congress has assigned the task of
providing direct help to communities to resolve "disputes,
disagreements or difficulty relating to discriminatory
practices based on race, color, or national origin." We
help communities at the request of state or local

officials, or local citizens and organizations. The agency may also assist on its own motion when it suspects that peaceful relations among citizens are threatened, and we also occasionally give court referrals. Our multi-racial, bi-lingual staff applies conciliation remediation techniques to problems to settle them.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In a short statement this morning, I'm going to place the subject of the hearing in the context of regional and national developments. Fiscal Year 1988 witnessed a 60 percent increase in the number of hate/violence incidents on college and university campuses that were alerted by or brought to the attention of the Community Relations Service. Our staff filed 77 alerts relating to racial tensions at institutions of higher education, compared with 48 alerts in Fiscal Year 1977 (sic). Of the 77 incidents alerted, 48 progressed to the conciliation stage; and of these 48 cases, 20 were responses to specific incidence of harassment while 28 were requests for C-R-S to provide technical assistance. In six cases, physical assaults were actually reported, and in one case, a black fraternity house was destroyed by fire. Our Midwest Region had the largest number of incidents -- 21, closely followed by our Mid-Atlantic Region with 19. My Region had six new alerts last year, but this year we've already had nine -- already. So, we're quite ahead of last year.

Now, among the key factors in my area are the following: the number of Asian and Hispanic college students significantly increased while the percentage of black students decreased; a lack of sensitivity to minority concerns and often, as a consequence, a lack of adequate response; ignorance of the Civil Rights Movement by many white students; a low percentage of minority faculty compared to the percentage of minority students; the reluctance of administrations to let students meaningfully participate in resolving student problems; and divisiveness stimulated by global political problems. We've used a variety of strategies and tools in addressing conflicts on college campuses. We've mediated formal negotiations between universities and other parties resulting in written agreements resolving major issues. We recommended the adoption and assisted in the implementation of comprehensive civil rights policies as underpinning for responding to campus racial incidents and assuring public safety. We've assisted several institutions and their local communities in taking a coordinated area-wide approach to racial difficulties. We've provided assistance in the development of human relations questionnaires to assess school racial climate. We've served on human relations task forces to assist in the development of human relations programs. We've provided technical assistance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

identifying higher education professionals to assist concerned civic groups in examining alleged campus discrimination. We've assisted university administrators in developing and implementing policies and programs to address problems affecting-minority students, and we've assisted university officials in developing a variety of human relations training programs for faculty, for staff, and for students.

The American Council on Education recently published

Campus Trends -- 1988. They identified the following -national trends for minorities:

Higher education's pool of students is increasingly made up of minority youth.

College attendance by black students has slowed.

The gap in participation between whites and blacks is growing.

The rate of college attendance for Hispanic youths has declined in the last decade.

College attendance by American Indian students lags far behind black and Hispanic attendance.

Minority students are concentrated in community colleges.

Black and Hispanic students are far less likely than white students to complete a degree.

Blacks attending historically black colleges and

universities are more likely to complete a degree than those attending predominantly white institutions.

Black and Hispanic participation in graduate and professional education can-best be described as minuscule in the areas of mathematics and the sciences.

The Council also prescribed strategies for success:
leadership from the top; leadership from the ranks;
involvement of minority persons; supportive minority
networks; mentoring for students, faculty, and staff;
allocation of sufficient resources; division of incentives;
explicit and result orientated efforts; a good complaint
system; and manageable goals.

Following are a few cases we worked on California in the last couple of years. My written statement also contains several other examples of cases we've worked around the country, which I won't have time to go into today.

Southwestern Community College, Chula Vista,

California. Our intervention resulted from an escalation

of racial tension between Hispanic and white students,

culminating with an assault on one student. Meetings were

held with campus officials and the local police department.

As a result, we were requested to assist in planning a

public forum which would discuss the status of the

investigation, answer questions, and provide an opportunity

to comment on the problem of race relations. We assisted in the development of the Human Relations Questionnaire to assess the school climate; and we served as a member of Human Relations Task Force.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

California State University, San Bernardino. Representatives of the black Westside Action Group and the University met to discuss the effectiveness of the University's affirmative action and educational equity programs assisted by a C-R-S mediator. The meetings focused on specific concerns, including the availability of University facilities, the potential adverse affect of some were the was the way to policies and procedures on campus minorities, the number of black-faculty members, the declining rate of black student enrollment, compensation levels for black administrators, ---and the need for improved University/community.relations. The University provided a careful explanation of its policies and record of accomplishment, and the groups agreed to work cooperatively to increase the number of minority employees and students at the University.

California State University, Fullerton. The Human Relations Task Force of the University sponsored a symposium on the Resurgence of Racism on the University Campus, A National Perspective. I addressed a group of about 200 students; and then, at the invitation of the President, met with Task Force members and key

administrators in a dialogue about these issues. In April of 1987, there had been two racial incidents on the campus. The President promptly formed the Task Force which conducted a campus survey on possible behavior toward students and compiled the results, and then organized and trained a human relations network to handle complaints.

We're currently in the process of concluding another agreement between a chapter of the National Mexican American Organization at another state university which calls for a work plan for increasing Chicano faculty, improving student outreach and recruitment, addressing teacher development with sensitivity to minority cultures, and support of Mexican/American studies. The parties have agreed to meet quarterly to monitor the objectives of the work plan and to keep communication channels open.

The American Council on Education also has justreleased its new handbook, Minorities on Campus, A Handbook
for Enhancing Diversity. This handbook contains strategies
to assist institutions of higher education to increase
minority participation of undergraduate students, graduate
and professional students, faculty, and administrators on
their campus. Profiles of successful colleges and
universities that have developed effective recruitment
and/or retention strategies are presented.

Judy Kruger, a conciliation specialist in our

Philadelphia office, has developed a model for assessing racial and ethnic conflict on campus. My written statement contains details of this process, which I won't have time to describe today.

Universities are increasingly adopting plans of action or work plans. I recently received a copy of the Madison Plan, printed by the Office of the Chancellor, University of Wisconsin. I think it's extremely important that colleges and universities develop such plans with full participation from their inception by all concerned parties, including students. In the forward to the handbook, Judith Eaton, Council Chair, cites three important principles that should guide all our efforts.

The first turns on leadership -- leadership from the

Board of Trustees and the President is essential to deep

and lasting change on campus. The second-requirement forsuccess is an integrated approach to change reflecting a

vision of the future. And the third principle involves
institutional change. She concludes,

"The Board of Directors of the American

Council on Education is convinced that the issue of minority participation is higher education's most important priority." We is must make it a concern that permeates our daily discussion and not an isolated problem

on a long list of other institutional problems. We have the knowledge. What we need now is the vision and the will."

I'd be glad to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you, Mr. Klugman. Are there any comments or questions? Ms. Davis?

MS. DAVIS: Yes, in all of your contacts with the various universities, take first the University of California and the state universities, have you ever attempted to contact the Board of Trustees for the California system or the California state universities to, you know, bring to their attention -- and I'm sure they're aware of it, they probably read it in the paper -- but has there been any kind of response at that level to try to do something throughout the system?

MR. KLUGMAN: No, I think we've -- mainly we've worked with top staff, but that's a good question and I don't know why we haven't contacted the Trustees. I think we probably should have. We've usually worked with staff. Of course, the schools are very -- can operate pretty independently, you know, and the presidents of these schools have a lot of authority, and of course, faculty have a lot of authority. But I think that's an excellent suggestion.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Are there any more comments or questions? If not, thank you very much, Mr. Klugman.

- 462 200

1 MR. KLUGMAN: Thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Our next set of speakers represent 3 4 5 6 7

the University of California at Berkeley. Representing the University of California I have listed on our agenda Vice Chancellor Daniel Boggan, University of California at Berkeley; Provost C. Judson King; Acting Provost William R. Ellis; Dr. Roslyn R. Elms; and I believe our agenda has been amended to show Joyce (sic) Koyama. Correct?

MR. BOGGAN: Correct.

10

11

8

9

Whereupon,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 DANIEL BOGGAN, JR.

C. JUDSON KING

WILLIAM R. ELLIS, JR.

ROSLYN R. ELMS

JANICE KOYAMA

were called as witnesses herein and testified as follows:

Each of you have approximately 10 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: minutes to give us your remarks. When you identify -- when you state, would you identify your name and who you represent, please?

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: My name is Daniel Boggan I'm the Vice Chancellor for Business and Administrative Services at the University of California, Berkeley.

representing Chancellor R. Michael Heyman before you today. He sends his regrets that a health issue precluded his attendance. Chancellor Heyman served as Secretary to the California Advisory Committee from 1962 through '67 while he was a law professor at Berkeley, and your work continues to hold great interest for him. I will introduce my colleagues at the conclusion of the remarks.

We are all aware of the profound demographic changes that have occurred in California during the past several years. Everyday, 2,000 new people come into California adding 60,000 persons a month to our population. A large percentage of those persons in the very recent past were referred to as "minorities." Today, their ranks constitute a non-white population growing so rapidly that it is estimated by the year 2002 there will be no majority ethnic group in California. In some areas of California, that fact is true today. It is true in the public schools and it is true in the entering freshman class at Berkeley.

The ethnic mix of Berkeley's student body of late has become the focus of a heightened and intensified debate. There have been rallies, protests, and campaigns, as well as many headlines. The question of Berkeley's role in a multi-cultural society is one that we of the campus have spent a great deal of time considering. The role is a reality for our students, faculty, and the campus

administration. We are aware that in such a multi-cultural society, ignorance and insensitivities will breed racism.

Only by understanding and recognizing our differences can we contribute to a strong pluralistic society.

Let me set some parameters for you for your consideration of the topic this morning. To begin, Berkeley stands first academically among public universities and by some measures among all universities in the United States. Competition is intense to enroll here, and those who are admitted make up a student body that is highly talented, ambitious and hardworking. More graduates from Berkeley, both men and women, go on to earn Ph.D. degrees than from any other university.

Second, after learning some hard lessons in the 1970's, Berkeley pioneered a task force approach in the '80's to successfully resolve the problem of recruiting and enrolling under-represented minorities. Our success, based largely on the efforts of minority students, faculty, staff and alumni, became a model for other campuses. Last Fall, our undergraduate student body included 1.4% Native

Americans, 7% black, 11.1% Hispanic, 26.5% are Asian, 48.5% whites, and 5% unreported. Last Fall, there was no majority in our student body as a whole. In this achievement, Berkeley is far ahead of most other top-rank universities. At the graduate level, progress is slower,

but we're making substantial gains in enrolling underrepresented ethnic minorities and women.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Berkeley's success in the first steps toward full integration means that the campus is now challenged with a second set of tough problems to solve. First, we're taking steps to enable students to stay in school and to enable blacks and other minorities to achieve graduation rates equal to those of all students. I am pleased to note that for black students who started at Berkeley in 1983, the five-year retention rate is 55 percent, up from 44 percent for those who entered in 1980. For Chicanos, the comparable rate since 1983 is 64 percent, up from 56 percent in 1980. For all undergraduates, the latest rate is 76 percent, up from 71 percent in 1980, significantly higher, though, than the approximate 50 percent rate for both 1955 and 1960, and very high for a public university in the United States. This success rate stems from our students' own hard work and better preparation, and from efforts the campus has made to help students get a start to improve learning skills, to obtain advising, and to overcome housing and financial aid problems.

Second, we must continue to increase minority enrollments of graduate students until they are at the same level achieved by undergraduates. Given our ethnic mix, it should be noted that students get along here pretty well.

While there is always a potential for problems and problems occur, for a campus this large and diverse, the climate is remarkably accepting and tolerant and we are building on those factors. We have programs to sensitize students to the ethnic considerations of others, a long-due American cultures requirement for all undergraduates will start in 1990, and minority staff have been hired to develop additional multi-cultural student activities. Increased dialogue between the University and ethnic groups through committees and commissions is occurring. The campus has established the Commission on a Changing Student Body to advise the entire campus on policies and procedures to improve our relationship with one another. And other examples will be discussed by my colleagues this morning.

در. درات دور

Third, we must redouble our efforts and search for new, innovative ways to recruit minority-faculty

And finally, in all of these endeavors, we must stand together in assuring the maintenance of high standards and the value of our degrees. We realize that the whole world is watching, that it hears our discussions, wonder sometimes about the vehemence of our arguments, but remarks are provenly when we make real progress. I would like to note that during the 1988/89 academic year, there were four major reports on that progress released on campus: the Koyama/Lee report on Asian Americans at Berkeley; a report

on black faculty hiring; a report on Chicano/Latino affairs; and a report on the status of women on campus. These recommendations will be closely monitored to make sure that we pursue them. Much is being accomplished and excellence amidst diversity is now a way of life on the Berkeley campus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to introduce now my colleagues, Dr.

Roslyn Elms, Provost C. Judson King, Janice Koyama, and

Acting Provost Russ Ellis, who will present additional

information to you. First will be Dr. Roslyn Elms who will

discuss the recent report on the status of women. Dr.

Elms.

DR. ELMS: For the record, I'm Dr. Roslyn Elms. I'm the Academic Assistant to the Vice Chancellor at the University of California, Berkeley; and I am here to summarize for you the report that was released in March ofthis year by the coordinating committee on the status of This committee is appointed and is advisor to the women. It's a 15-member committee comprised of staff Chancellor. and faculty, and it has been operating for a decade. 1970, it produced a landmark report on the status of academic women on the campus. This report, which I'm going to present to you this morning, is the first comprehensive review of the status of non-academics on the campus, staff, and non-senate academics -- those are people who are

academics but not faculty. You have in your briefing packet a summary of that report and I have given Mr. Dulles full copies of the report which contains most of the data.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Maybe the single most important statement in the report is that the woman's problem is not solved on the Berkeley campus. Although significant institutional and individual efforts are everywhere apparent, three conclusions were unavoidable. First, much of the progress white women and minority men have made is not shared by minority women. Second, the existing database is insufficient for understanding present practices. Thirdly, \* 500 the administration must support and evaluate affirmative action programs in serious and obvious ways, including programs to combat sexual harassment, efforts in affirmative action planning, and the progress of # recruitment and hiring of staff and faculty

There were six sub-committees that participated in this study: faculty, non-senate academics, staff, students, the sexual harassment education sub-committee, and a child care committee. I'm going to briefly summarize their recommendations and tell you what we're trying to do about them by sub-committee because there are some differences depending upon their category.

The faculty sub-committee -- and as a frame of reference, there are 244 women on the permanent faculty of

Berkeley; that's 15 percent of this 1651 permanent faculty positions -- the sub-committee stated that awareness is critical in order to address equity for women, and the discussion of campus diversity should always include consideration of the gender composition of the faculty in addition to the composition of the student body. Secondly, it would be appropriate to change campus goals for affirmative action to reflect California demographics in the 21st Century, rather than the national availability The committee found that availability of women pools. faculty varies by discipline, but availability is only one factor in recruitment and hiring. For example, Berkeley has more than twice as many Nobel Laureates, 11, as it does non-white female full professors, 5. No one would argue that there is not a large pick of Nobel Laureates. Thirdly, there was a need to evaluate departments' affirmative action planning and monitor the implementation of those plans. The sub-committee found that there might be what termed a "glass ciling," that is, that although there 19 percent of women are found in the first steps of the professorial rank, only three percent of the women are in the advanced professorial rank, suggesting that there's a limit to how far women can go on the campus. Fourth, it was suggested that departments be selected for targeted searches to recruit women and minority candidates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the 75 departments, there was underutilization of women faculty -- that means women are in the pool but not being hired -- and 20 departments, which are exclusively male. Finally, the faculty sub-committee suggested there was a need to monitor the apparent disintegration of positive trends in the rate and timing of tenure for women as compared with men. The rate of promotion had been equivalent for males and females from 1974 to 1980, but since that time, there seems to be a difference developing. Presently, 48 percent of the men hired since 1980 have been promoted and only 32 percent of the women.

In the non-academics senate sub-committee, there are in this category. They include lecturers, librarians, professional researchers, academic coordinators, and specialists. There needs to be a better understanding of the issues affecting gender and this requires collection of both statistical and qualitative data which does not presently exist. The report points out that it's important to disaggregate the data. It's not good enough to look at on a macro level if we're to understand what happens to people in these categories. And their main recommendation was that the database be improved so that they could make substantive recommendations.

The staff sub-committee again found there was too little information available about the status of women and

evaluation of issues related to staff. It suggested that routine cross-classification of gender and minority information be established, and that male and female dominated job categories carefully be reviewed to assess salary equity. In addition, they recommended that staff affirmative action plans be revised to reflect some new personnel tiers that we've implement, that procedures and criteria be established for holding supervisors and managers accountable for achieving affirmative action goals, and for designing incentives for women and minority hiring. And finally, they recommended that the University use selection committees more broadly in staff hiring with increased participation of somen and minorities.

The student sub-committee recommendations -- and you've heard that the und-repractate student body at Berkeley is very diverse, there is no racial majority -- however, there are fewer women and minorities in the physical sciences and engineering than in the humanities and in the social sciences; and there is less diversity among graduate students than among undergraduate students. To address these issues, the sub-committee on student affairs recommended that women, particularly minority women, be included in planning, recruitment and enrollment, that we develop a system for collecting and reporting

retention data disaggregated by ethnicity, gender, and E-O-P status. They recommended that we undertake qualitative studies of the campus climate and women students' educational experience to identify possible causes and remedies for continuing under-representation of women. Numbers don't tell you everything, and without better information, successful strategies are more difficult to establish.

It is important to identify equity in the distribution of financial aid and this was seen as a high priority for review by the graduate division and by the Office of Financial Aid.

Finally, the coordinating committee on the status of women report has a report on sexual harassment education activities that have gone on on the campus in the last two years, and that's described thoroughly in the report along with the needs for future resources. The report ends with a note that a child care report would be forthcoming in May. That report has now been published and has 20 recommendations addressing the needs for direct services for easing the financial and time restraints and provisions for information regarding child care on the campus.

At this point, I want to specify how the concerns of the status of women report are being addressed by the campus. In the past four months, four major steps have been taken. First, a provost academic affirmative action council has been established to focus on faculty and graduate student issues. Provost King, who chairs that council, will be describing it in detail in a few minutes. A similar committee, a staff affirmative action committee, is being created and will conduct similar activities for the staff.

Second, a full-time staff position has been created for a Title Nine coordinator. Previously, that responsibility had been assumed by the faculty assistant on the status of women, along with a lot of major responsibilities for academic personnel. With this new position, we hope to be more effective in addressing a range of issues that address women at Berkeley.

Thirdly, the special assistant to the Chancellor,

Francisco Hernandez, has prepared a list-of-all of the
recommendations from the reports that Vice Chancellor

Boggan mentioned and in addition is preparing detailed
assignment protocols so that a unit or individual will be
responsible for following up every recommendation whether
or not that recommendation is adopted.

Finally, the coordinating committee on the status of women will continue. A new chairperson has been appointed and in the Fall, the committee will resume its activities. We have no doubt that high on its agenda will be pressure

to implement the recommendations I have summarized for you.

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: Our next presentation will be by Janice Koyama, who will discuss the findings and recommendations of the Koyama/Lee report on Asian Americans at Berkeley.

MS. KOYAMA: Behind these heavy curtains it really is a great morning and it's beautiful. Welcome and thank you for letting me appear this morning. My name is Janice Koyama. I am appearing today as the co-chair, one of them, of the Chancellor's Advisory Committee on Asian American Affairs. The other co-chair, Professor Yuan Lee, is out of the country and regrets he cannot be here. He sends his greetings and his respects.

In 1987, when Chancellor Heyman appointed this advisory committee, we viewed its formation as part of his overall efforts to adjust to the changing demographics at Berkeley. He felt the committee could "do needed work in dispelling myths and prejudices, analyzing important data, and identifying ways the campus should be more poised to enhance the welfare of Asians at Berkeley." It also should also be said, at this time, the controversy over Asian admissions have resulted in a highly publicized and polarized relationship between the University and the Asian American community. The appointment of a special study group had been recommended by representatives from both on

and off campus Asian organizations. It is clear, then, that the establishment of the advisory committee came into being at a time of clear need.

From the beginning, we knew that our charge was different in character from those of other campus groups. The Chancellor expected us to examine the student educational experiences, to investigate the record with respect to the recruitment, selection, and advancement of Asian American faculty, and to explore employment, advancement, and career development for staff. This work alone touched upon the full breadth of campus life. In addition, the charge contained specific questions about the necessity to see all issues within a very broad context. We believe this is the first time that a community perspective has been included as an integral part of a campus study.

In addition to the circumstances which led to the establishment of the advisory committee and the unique aspects of its charge, the character of the report is a little different from others. The committee decided to rely minimally on statistics and to concentrate on gathering first-hand qualitative information. From interviews, open hearings, meetings, and surveys we learned a great deal. Asian Americans were generous in sharing

their feelings and opinions, and we believe it adds a special human quality and persuasiveness to the report and its recommendations.

About 10 weeks ago, our final report was published. It contains six major recommendations which cut across four areas: students, staff, faculty, and campus community relations. They represent a combination of principles and actions that can be adopted in response to the needs of Asian Americans, and I might add, also to the needs of other groups as represented in our multi-cultural environment at Berkeley. I'd like to review briefly these recommendations and also provide a little insight into the findings behind them.

The report recommends the establishment of a permanent standing committee to continue to advise the Chancellor on Asian American affairs. We felt that a forum like this is needed to overcome the effects of a model minority imagery — a myth, a stereotype of a seemingly harmless positive nature, but one that masks the wide ranging problems and needs of Asian Americans. Also, a more structured forum like this, with broad representation including; of course, Asian Americans, would provide a forum for Asian Americans themselves to continue to be better informed about campus issues.

A second recommendation asks that a faculty level

person be appointed to the Chancellor's staff for an initial three- to five-year period to serve as a liaison to the standing committee and also to provide centralized coordinating efforts. This person would also be responsible for implementing recommendations of a more specific nature that are contained in the sub-committee reports. As an example of some of these recommendations, we are looking forward to improved counselling and advising services for Asian American students who feel unusual parental pressures to succeed academically and to move into practical careers rather than pursue personal interests. Examples of other issues might be expanded recruitment of Asian American graduate students and improved programs for non-native speakers of English.

In a third area, the report points out the need for a more forward looking and liberal affirmative action program to serve the updated and changing interests of Berkeley's diverse population. The committee found that despite adequate numbers that meet minimal federal availability and regulation guidelines, Asian Americans are under-represented in upper management job areas and particularly in humanities, social sciences academic departments.

THE REPORTER: Excuse me one moment, please

(Thereupon, a short break was taken off the record to

End P1

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

change the tape.)

Begin P2

.1

MS. KOYAMA: Embracing multi-culturalism will require profound reorientation on eampus, not only in attitudes and behavior but in structural institutional terms as well, and an affirmative action program revamped and revised, updated, would certainly serve that purpose.

Back on.

THE REPORTER:

Immigration is a powerful dynamic within the Asian American community. So, another recommendation asks the campus to recognize the cultural and language needs of foreign-born students, faculty and staff. Here is an area in particular need of creative programming and adequate support services and resources.

The last two recommendations of the report are concerned with campus initiatives to promote and educate others about cultural awareness, and with an admissions process that is based on principles of fairness and accessibility. Regarding the first, my colleague Russell Ellis will describe for you several efforts already underway to promote cultural awareness among students, faculty and staff. Continuing efforts are needed. As reported, campus attitudes towards Asian Americans range from open hostility to ethnic and cultural insensitivity. Asian Americans experience ethnic identity crises which stem in part from the campus climate and the character of

racial interaction among students within the context of a multi-diverse university.

The last recommendation concerning admissions brings attention to a subject which has its origins in 1984 and is of particular sensitivity to Asian Americans. The advisory committee acknowledges many changes that have taken place in this area: the establishment of a review board, and more regular consultation with the community. Asian Americans continue to view access to higher education and the Berkeley Campus in particular with intense interest. It would also like to add to sort of bring things around and close the circle, the sub-committee on campus/community relations also report from the community perspective a concern about student life and their interests also range over issues like the lack of Asian American managers in high-level positions.

I'd like to conclude and just describe for you a little bit about the response so far to the report. The Chancellor has distributed it widely to senior staff, campus directors, and academic department chairs. He has requested from senior staff their opinions on the recommendations; and in addition, has sent the report to selected managers who have responsibility for some of the key areas of concern raised in the report. We expect by Summer's end to reconvene the committee for a full

discussion of the report, to hear the results of the Chancellor's consultations with the senior staff, and also to find out what his direct actions are going to be in response to the specific recommendations. Needless to say, the advisory committee is encouraged by the implications for positive change like there were made in this sheet.

ないであった

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: Our next presentation is by
Russ Ellis regarding undergraduate affairs and our
activities in that area.

PROVOST ELLIS: Madame Chair, Commissioners, good morning. My full name, since it appears in many different forms, is William Russell Ellis, Ir., and on July 1st of this year I was appointed Acting Provost for Undergraduate. Affairs at U-C-Berkeley. I am, in deference to the good order and dignity of this forum, going to read my remarks, ones which you have in front of you, but I beg your understanding as a recently changed academic administrator, this is my first experience before the Commission, so my language may not exactly fit the form of the forum.

My span of administrative activity very much involves—
the topic in which you're interested today since it entails
a large portion of students' lives once they have enrolled
at Berkeley—their academic life, social activities and
student organizational life, physical and mental health,
personal success or trouble. In this latter respect,

trouble, my span of control also includes responsibility for monitoring and responding to student infractions of the campus code of student conduct. My familiarity with the campus is a bit more extensive than is implied by the 18 days I've occupied the Provost's office. I joined the faculty of the College of Environmental Design in 1970 and served four years as part-time faculty assistant in the Office of Undergraduate Affairs and was part of the Affirmative Action Task Force that Vice Chancellor Boggan spoke of earlier. Thus, I have witnessed with my colleagues here this morning and extraordinary and positive change over the past 20 years in the undergraduate student body composition. As a premier public institution of higher education and research, there can be no doubt that we are making significant progress in realizing the goals set by our Regents, that of admitting, educating, and graduating the diverse leadership of tomorrow's California and, perhaps, nation. Chancellor Heyman, Vice Chancellor Boggan has presented to you a few of the fundamental statistics described in that change. Other statistics will reveal similar progress and that much remains to be done. In the remarks that follow I would like to discuss with you the consequences and perhaps the meaning of this astonishing new student diversity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, in the interests of your hearing, let me say

that at Berkeley there have been on our campus in the last five years several documented incidents of racial and inter-ethnic clumsiness, gross insensitivity, or apparent malice. I'm prepared to discuss examples with you, but they are in the information packet that we supplied. However, by far the vast majority of students at Berkeley live and study without incident and in relative harmony.

Second, let me indicate that the diversity within which these incidents take place is much more complex than one might suppose. I suspect that your extended hearings will reveal this to be the case in other places as well. The statistical categories we all keep in the policy interests of tracking our success in diversifying our institutions — that is, Asian, black, white, Chicano, Latino, American Indian, et cetera — hide within themselves almost as much diversity as they reveal. Biography; social class and income, region, national origins, family educational background, and race operate within and between minority ethnic and racial groups in ways similar to those that operate between minorities and the majority white student population.

Third, perhaps with reason, but certainly with hope, we expect students to have an experience on campus that without doubt their parents never did and do not now have or know. That is to say, students have not lived lives or

witnessed their parents in regular, easy, intimate interracial or inter-ethnic contact and cooperation in a stressful competitive world like that of the campus. In truth, a very large percentage of our students come from backgrounds homogeneous in terms of class, ethnic and racial experience.

In presenting these three points, I want to highlight with you that Berkeley's growing experience with the diversifying student body composition is both encouraging and fraught with actual and potential problems of interesthnic contact and perception. To set a specific context for our discussion this morning, I'd like to characterize for you what appear to be major problems confronting minority students of color on our campus, problems that focus or compound the experience and perceptions of racism. I will then outline for you the initiatives we've begun and have immediate plans to institute that address these problems.

In preparing for today's discussion, it seemed to me that much of the issue of race and ethnic tension could be reduced to the themes of sense of ownership and perceived rights. It is simply the case that the university as a national institution has historically not included many minorities of color among its students, staff or faculty. Until recently, Berkeley was no exception. Among those

students and sometimes staff and faculty drawn from the traditionally narrow social and economic spectrum that make up the university world there has been an inevitable unfamiliarity with and resentment of the new university A resented loss of historic rights of university participation followed. On the other hand, for students of color comprising this new diversity, the world of the university often feels as though it belongs to someone else, namely, the indistinct and massive white society, in quotes. In terms of styles of discussion and discovery styles of play and celebration, they do not yet see or themselves represented in university life, nor do they find themselves among the faculty or in textbooks or feel a sense of legitimate ease in classrooms, dormitories, or on campus pathways. I have for you an illustrative aside that I was motivated to include in my first provostial speech last week to a group of 120 Asian immigrant students. I , .... followed the repeated word "welcome" with the statement that no one on campus had any greater right to be here than I was instructed and rewarded with that specialsilence that goes with careful attention to something that matters. The relatively infrequent documented incidence ethnic or racial insult in this sense setting of alienation can turn into wounds that seriously compromise the effectiveness of those minority students who experience it

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and those who hear it reported. Perceived racial incidents take on destructive proportions. These have a potential and sometimes actual negative impact on minority student retention.

Now, to say something about Berkeley initiatives. In just the past couple of years, there has truly been an explosion of activity on campus to address the increasing diversity in our student body. We have reaffirmed our commitment to the Summer Bridge Program which for nearly a quarter of a century has invited minority students to campus during the Summer months to take the math and writing skills courses so crucial to effective participation in university academic life. Perhaps as important in the Summer Bridge Program is the fact that these students who reside in the dormitories develop a sense of campus possession during that summer period.

In September of 1987, Chancellor Heyman and the Chair of the Academic Senate at that time, Professor Edwin Epstein, jointly circulated a campus-wide statement on excellence in diversity, saying that Cal's diversity is one of the great strengths and that we must renew our commitment to civility and college. In the same year, the position of Dean of Student Life was created specifically to provide leadership that will foster a sense of community and shared values among students, and to make them more

aware of their obligations toward other members of the In 1988, the campus instituted a Racial Grievance Board, the function of which is to hear cases of alleged racial harassment and to make recommendations to the Dean of Student Life. A policy on racial harassment has been drafted and is currently under review, and . specific language is being added to the campus' student conduct code prohibiting harassment based on race; ... religion, sexual orientation, and disability. Chancellor established a commission on responses to a changing student body to review and recommend changes THE STATE OF THE S campus services and programs so that they will better meet the students' diverse needs. The Vice Chanceller for Undergraduate Affairs established the campus council on student retention to study and advise on the campus' efforts to improve the retention of under-represented minority students... Vice Chancellor Boggan and managements intern Edith Eng established Project Data, which stands for diversity awareness to resources in education. This program has reached over a thousand students and staff with an experiential program that enables students to examine? their own cultures and to become more aware of the broad 5大東西北美國 diversity they will encounter in their years at Cal. Many other programs in multi-cultural awareness have been started by the Housing Office, the Office of Student

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 - C , E

Activities and Services, and by student groups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 1989, which was also an eventful year, on April 25th, the Berkeley division of the Academic Senate in an historic move in conjunction with the Chancellor's clear leadership voted for the establishment of an American cultures requirement that will, starting with freshmen entering in 1991, require all students to complete one course in comparative study of at least of America's major ethnic groups. A faculty committee to review the content of these courses has been established by the Academic - Press Senate, as has an executive committee to supervise the establishment of an American cultures center that will coordinate the intellectual and organizational ~ implementation of the requirement. And over the past two years, the Chancellor has aided in the assembly of a multicultural action team and staff whose function is to work with students through a program of activities related specifically to students' sense needs and activities.

In conclusion, I find these efforts and our new initiatives immensely encouraging and exciting. They signal that the Berkeley campus is fully committed to a diverse student body, and that we are proposing and responding vigorously to handle the changes that are taking place. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Pardon me. I'd like to take a

79. Jan.

moment to recognize the Chairman of the U.S. Civil Rights
Commission, Mr. William Allen. Good morning. Thank you.

ستريع سوسوس

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: Our final presentation will be by Provost Jud King, who will talk about affirmative action in the professional schools and colleges.

PROVOST KING: Good morning. I appreciate this opportunity to be here with you. My name is Judson King. I am Provost for Professional Schools and Colleges at Berkeley. Included in these schools and colleges are four colleges — engineering, chemistry, environmental design, and natural resources — and nine professional schools, and depending on how you measure it, that s about half the campus. —I also chair the new Provost's Academic Affirmative Action Council, about which I shall have more to say later.

the undergraduate student body, as you have heard.

Although we have made significant progress towards diversification of the graduate student body and the faculty, we still have a long way to go. This is revealed rather dramatically by a pipeline chart which I have made as an attachment to my comments which I hope you have a copy of, and that is Attachment Number One to the comments and it will show you that diversification of the faculty is occurring more slowly than of the undergraduate students,

the major reason being that we are a no-growth campus, and secondly because we have slow faculty turnover. People don't want to leave. We are fully and totally committed to achieving faculty diversification. For new appointments to start during '88/89 within the professional schools and colleges, 20 percent of the faculty hired were ethnic minorities, and 19 percent of the faculty hired were female: This exceeds our availability pool substantially, but we would like to do even better.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd next like to identify very briefly some of the procedures and the institutions that we have to promote, facilitate and support the faculty affirmative action. Understanding these may be easier if you refer to my other attachment to my remarks, which is, horror of horrors, an administrative organization chart. First, the academic departments themselves create and annually update affirmative action plans. Each department has an affirmative action committee or affirmative action representative to follow and contribute to the recruitment When an appointment is requested at the conclusion of a recruitment, the full search is recorded 2 and it is reviewed by a number of parties, including the faculty assistant for affirmative action. If the search has not been full and proper, the appointment isn't allowed. Sometimes departments would not be able to pursue

5 Take

を通べる

ž

promising opportunities for recruitment of minorities because they do not have a position available in the person's area of expertise. In such cases, we can and often do give out so-called target of opportunity, or T-O-P positions. These T-O-P positions afford an extra faculty position to the department for a period of the next In other cases, they will give something we call and exceptional opportunity, or EXOP position, which would be incremental to the department for a lesser number of years and/or it may allow a waiver of search. other cases, recruitment of minorities is facilitated by making use the Chancellor's Distinguished Minority Visiting Professorship program, a lectureship program, or the Chancellor's Minority Post-Doctoral Fellowships .... These programs are administered by the faculty assistant for affirmative action:

o elle gi

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Once minority and female faculty members are here, we have several support programs. The faculty assistants for affirmative action and for the status of women review and monitor all appointments, promotion and advancement cases for minority and female faculty. The look for adherence to procedure and for giving full benefits and support to the individual under consideration. Similar financial support is provided through a special program for minority and women faculty. Finally, we have just this past year

instituted a formal procedures for recognizing strong affirmative action efforts in the review and advancement of faculty members.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We would still like to do substantially better and to make sure that our efforts fit together well and meet the needs of the day. For this reason, as well as in response to the various recent studies and reports about which you have just heard, we created this last Spring the Provost's Academic Affirmative Action Council -- P-A-A-A-C. This group has responsibility for evaluating, designing-and-facilitating the efforts of the campus for diversification of the faculty and the graduate student body. This will 💨 help make our results even better. I chair this body, and as other members, we have the Provost and Dean for Letters and Science, my counterpart for the half of the campus, the Provost for Research, who is also the Dean of the Graduate Division, the faculty assistant for affirmative action, the faculty assistant for the status of women, the Assistant Chancellor for Affirmative Action and Special Projects, the Assistant to the Chancellor, to the Academic Assistant to the Vice Chancellor, the Associate Dean of the Graduate Division for Fellowships and Awards, three faculty members representing the Berkeley division of the Academic Senate and students also -- three graduate students representing the Graduate Student Organization, and two undergraduate

22

23

24

25

students representing the Associated Students of the University of California. P-A-A-A-C has had four meetings during the late Spring to discuss and develop procedures and priorities. We will continually survey recrui search and pool statistics to determine points of greatest need and opportunity. We will strongly consider using T-O-P faculty positions targeted towards specific academic units as well as continuing the more opportunistic program which we have been following for T-O-P appointments. We have surveyed academic departments to learn their views on barriers to affirmative action progress and to learn 医多种性 医二种中国 possible new programs which they have which would facilitate matters-campus-wide. As another example this past Friday Dr. Elms and I visited our sibling campus, --U-C-L-A, for a day to learn what they are doing and to find out what they see as fruitful paths, and we will do more It is then P-A-A-A-C's job to develop and and such things. recommend the best policies and procedures for the Berkeley campus to follow, and to make sure that they happen. you for your attention.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. Are there any questions for the University of California at Berkeley representatives? Ms. Davis?

MS. DAVIS: Yes. In the document that we received about freshman recruitment, there is reference to three

tiers and three pools of students that you recruit. There was, let's see, reference to index scores, I believe it was, and there was other criteria in terms of high school course work, economic background, and so on and so forth. What exactly are the index scores, and what academic criteria are you actually using to insure that these students have sufficient academic achievement in order to be able to go through the system and be retained?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. ELMS: Let's see if I can answer that question a little bit. Eligibility, as you know, is determined by the State Master Plan. We're to take the top 12-1/2 percent of graduating seniors from California high schools, both private and public. Now, the law doesn't set out the criteria, the University does, and we kind of back into it. In other words, we use historical data to determine what criteria for admission would equal, give us 12-1/2 percent-We've been exceeding that since about 1976; we're about 14 percent eligibility. It incudes the G-P-A, grade point average from high school; scores on the S-A-T, and that's used in addition to the achievement scores on a variety of tests -- I think there are five of them -- to determine what is called an academic index score, and it's just a weighted formula to put all those things together. In addition, the University requires what we refer to as "Athrough-F requirements." So, A-through-F requirements are

a set of courses -- English, math, science -- that individuals must take in order to be eligible. Now, until the early 1980's, there was no problem because we had enough places in the University of California to accommodate all eligible students, not necessarily at their campus of first choice, but someplace in the system. However, we are capturing more of the eligible students -fewer of the eligible students who in the past went to community colleges -- more of those students are now applying directly to the University; and by the way, the same thing is true for the State universities. how we select out of too many eligible students? to do a number of things. We try to give credit to those students who have excelled academically. So, the first tier is straight academic scores, which we refer to as the academic index. And we get about 40 percent of our students on the basis of that. The other two tiers are combinations of things, supplemental criteria, of which ethnicity, race, disabled come into play -- special talent. We also have a category of special action admits which are different from these regular admits that I'm referring to

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I should tell you at this point that we are in the process of considering change. The Senate Committee on Admissions and Enrollment this May issued a very extensive report on new criteria for admission. It would make the

process much simpler. It would be a two-tiered system, once again a straight academic selection, about 50 percent of the class, and then the other 50 percent, consideration of all the variables I've mentioned, but in addition we would also look at students from rural areas because we are finding that a large proportion of students who get a admitted are urban dwellers and somehow the rural students are not well represented. We're planning to consider socio-economic status, and students who are returning after having been absent from school for awhile. So. it's basically an effort to give to the academically achieving students, but also to give credit to those students who have other attributes, the point of which is to develop a diverse student body. It's not useful to have all 4.0 students if they have no experience that will prepare them for the next step in life. After all, college is a --transition to real life and hopefully we can prepare them for that transition. I can give you some very --

MS. DAVIS: Can I ask you for clarification?

DR. ELMS: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DAVIS: Maybe can you give me an idea how these points are weighed, you know, so many points for academic versus economic background?

DR. ELMS: I can't do that. We have 8,000 points total, or 7,000 points total, and --

1 UNIDENTIFIED PANEL VOICE: We would be happy to submit 2 something. 3 DR. ELMS: Yeah, we can do that. 4 MS. DAVIS: Yes. Okay. 5 DR. ELMS: It's, as you can imagine --6 I think that would be useful. MS. DAVIS: 7 DR. ELMS: It's very mechanistic in a way, and I --8 MS. DAVIS: Be very useful. 9 Was trying to explain to you the value of DR. ELMS: 10 what we're trying to do, but I can't do the points because-11 I don't remember them, to be perfectly honest 3 A-12 Yeah, but if we could have that MS. DAVIS: 13 information. 14 DR. ELMS: Sure, we can give you that. 15 MS. DAVIS: Okay. 16 DR. ELMS: But do keep in mind that we are planning a 17 simpler process which we hope to institute within the next 18 It's presently being discussed, and the Regents two years. 19 will discuss it Thursday and Friday of this week, not only 20 for the Berkeley campus, but for all the campuses in the 21 system. 22 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Are there further questions? Yes, I have on for them. 23 MRS . "JETT: 24 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: All right. Mrs. Jett?

I think, Mr. Ellis, you mentioned the

25

MRS. JETT:

Racial Grievance Board. What is the composition of this board? Are these members appointed or elected, number two? Number three, with the diversity of students you have on campus, how frequently is this board updated?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROVOST ELLIS: Okay. Well, you know, the fact of the matter is, I can't answer your questions, and if someone can help me, please do. The board was formed when I had gone back to my faculty, and among the things I've reviewed recently, including the structure of the division I work in, I don't have clarity on that. Can anyone help me on that?

I can tell you that it is chaired by two faculty members, a woman named Carol Christ, who is the Divisional Dean for Humanities, and Alex Saragosa, who is the Chairman of the Chicano Studies Department. up of faculty, students, and staff. It is appointed. The ··· recommendations for the appointments come from the faculty senate, staff, and the student organizations. It's only been operative for a year. One of the members is the Dean of Student Life. It came about in large measure-because of student pressures to have a forum for discussion. fair to say that it has discovered how difficult the process is they are undergoing some internal changes about the way they want to operate. It's a new body and so it's still feeling its oats.

MRS. JETT: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Dr. Erler?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to ask a question about the DR. ERLER: possible relationship between admission policies and racial or ethnic animosity on campus. For example, the U-C Student Body President a couple of months ago said, "The admissions process has heightened racial tensions on I have seen a rise in anti-Asian sentiment," he said; and last year your Vice Chancellor Park wrote in The New York Times, "Berkeley's freshman class has shrunk to achieve a better educational balance reducing the numbers of both Asian and white freshman," the statement from your Vice Chancellor. I understand the need to produce diversity, but I'm wondering whether diversity should be purchased at the price of racial discrimination? And is there a relationship, or do you think there is a relationship, between your admission policies and potential or actual racial or ethnic animosities that exist on campus?

PROVOST ELLIS: Well, I'm concerned to get the specifics of your question.

DR. ERLER: Well, I think that it's a --

PROVOST ELLIS: But let me just respond immediately commissioner. What I tried to address in my statement is that diversity produces some tension. On that side of your

question, there can be no doubt that this changing mosaic of our student body brings people together, as I've said, who have not, by and large, except those at the upper reaches of the socio-economic system, spent time with each I think maybe your question is, if I'm not presuming, is there something about a perceived imbalance in our admissions policy that is producing angers or tensions between groups? The truth of the matter is, as Ms. Koyama pointed out, we have gone through a relatively protracted discussion with some tensions around Asian There is on campus, as is indicated in the admissions. Asian Task Force Report, a variety of resentments of : Asians, both in their style of occupancy of the campus, the number, just lack of familiarity with the people. that new diversity is creating some tensions and I think the efforts we're trying to describe here this morning want to address them. If I've missed the major point --

DR. ERLER: No, I think you've addressed the major point, but what I'd like to know is, there seems to be a widespread perception that the admission policies is discriminate against Asians, and I must admit that from reading the relevant reports --

PROVOST ELLIS: I see. I see.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. ERLER: That I see that there is at least a prima facie case to be made for that. Now, if, as your Vice

Chancellor said, to achieve diversity among the student body it's necessary to reduce the number of Asians who are admitted, that is to say, U-C qualified Asians at the top of the qualification list, isn't that a great potential for producing racial animosity on campus and hasn't it already occurred? Your Student Body President that I quoted seems to think that that is in great measure one of the causes for the racial animosity that seems to have been increasing on the Berkeley campus.

DR. ELMS: First of all, I'm not sure that that's an accurate quote. I work for the Vice Chancellor and I would be surprised.

DR. ERLER: I have it here. It's The New York Times with his name on it.

DR. ELMS: I respect The New York Times, Mr. Erler, but --

DR. ERLER: No, it's a letter that he wrote to the editor, New York Times.

PROVOST ELLIS: Could you read the whole sentence? Would that be appropriate?

DR. ELMS: Yeah, that might help.

DR. ERLER: Yes, Vice Chancellor Park, this was April 1988, said -- here's the full sentence -- "Berkeley's freshman class has shrunk to achieve a better educational balance reducing the numbers of both Asian and white

freshman." And the next sentence, "The intense competition has also raised anxieties among applicants and their parents." Well, I thought that was a very revealing quote. Now, I know that you are all members of the administration here and these things are rather embarrassing, but I think that our task here is to try to find out. Are there official policies, whether they are intended or unintended by-products of official policies, that have been discriminating against a racial group? And it seems to me from reading all of the relevant evidence, the reports that have been submitted to us undertaken by the Academic Senate and your other groups, it seems to me that there is a prima facie case that there is widespread discrimination on the Berkeley Campus against Asians.

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: I'd like to just talk to one point, and I think in the quote it says something about the shrinking size of the freshman class. Part of the -- probably the reason for asking is the fact that in terms of absolute numbers, the number of freshman over the last couple of years into -- or being admitted into Berkeley has gone down, which increases the pressure in terms of all groups for getting into the University. The second part, would you read again? Reducing the number of Asians

DR. ERLER: It says, "Berkeley's freshman class has

shrunk --"

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: That's in terms of absolute numbers.

DR. ERLER: Right. "-- to achieve a better educational balance, reducing the number of both Asian and white freshman." And then the next sentence, "Intense competition has also raised anxieties among applicants and their parents."

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: Well, I think we better indicate --

DR. ERLER: Which is certainly true enough. But I mean, the gist of the letter as a whole is that there is somehow a tension between diversity in the admission policies at the U-C campus -- diversity and racial discrimination -- there's somehow a tension there. That is to say, in order to achieve racial diversity, it is necessary, perhaps, to discriminate against some races.

Now --

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: I think the issue of entitlement is something that Provost Ellis raised in his remarks. If you recall, the notion is that the top 12-1/2 percent of the student graduates from high schools in California are eligible for admission, and when the class - the number within the class, freshman class, is being reduced, that 12-1/2 percent, or the way you make the

selection of who of that 12-1/2 percent becomes more intense; and I think that really what Dr. Park was trying to address, the second part of that, in terms of size and quality of experience of those individuals who do get into class, there has been some concern for a while about the size of the freshman class and our ability to really meet their needs. So, I can say, yes, there is concern by every group about who is admitted. What we try to do with what is the requirements of Regents' policy, and that is that the top 12-1/2 percent are given fair opportunity —

DR. ERLER: Well, I don't -- I understand that, but I don't think that that really addresses the question. For example, I believe that on the U-C Berkeley Campus that Asians are under-represented as a group. Is that true?

PROVOST ELLIS: No.

DR. ELMS: No. 26 percent?

DR. ERLER: I mean in terms of U-C eligible high school graduates. Now, I understand that --

DR. ELMS: No, Dr. Erler. Wait a minute. No. No. I want to address two things. First of all, we have had three studies, including a study by the Attorney General of the State of California, the Auditor General of the State of California, which have clearly stated there is no specific racial bias regarding Asians at Berkeley. There was one year, 1983 --

DR. ERLER: 1984.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. ELMS: When there was a --

DR. ERLER: 1984 to 1987.

When there was a reduction in the number of DR. ELMS: We have worked out very carefully so that we understood what happened, we have been working with the Asian American Task Force on this. It was an insensitive I think the Chancellor has made very clear the kinds of policies that were instituted that year will be reviewed more carefully; that is, any changes in admissions policies, we will do simulated runs to know exactly what That was not done in that year, and then 1987 was one college. It was not in the entire University; it was I think it is -- I mean, you keep in one college. referring to prima facie evidence. I think the fact of the matter is that we've been investigated, we had found to be --wanting but not biased, and we are making every effort to have a fair and equitable admissions policy. There is no question that the pie doesn't get any bigger, and so if one group increases, another group decreases. We have tried todo that without bias, without malice, and without racial prejudice. We are continuing to do that. The new admissions policies are going to address new issues, and we're going to have to find out before we institute that, what those new criteria will do. Now, Dr. King wants to

make a comment.

PROVOST KING: Well, I was just going to add something that I think is pertinent to this, and that is that the Berkeley Campus is, to the best of my knowledge, the first major university to have made its entire admission criteria public knowledge, and that was done over a year ago and has been looked at by various interest groups and various judicial bodies, special commissions, and what not; and there are not results of those studies which would label the policy as a biased policy.

DR. ERLER: Well, but you understand that the Shack Report was widely criticized by a variety of groups as being simply inadequate and many people thought, especially in the Asian community, that it was simply a cover-up. But let me ask you, and if you don't have this information now I'd like to have it submitted for the record later, can-you tell me what percentage of U-C eligible Asians are admitted --

DR. ELMS: To the University?

DR. ERLER: To the University. Of those who apply from Asian groups who are U-C eligible, what percentage are admitted to Berkeley? And I'd like to know the same figure for U-C eligible blacks and Hispanics who apply.

DR. ELMS: And whites?

DR. ERLER: Well, I already have that figure, but you

1 can put that in, too. 2 DR. ELMS: We can send you that. 3 I would appreciate it very much. DR. ERLER: 4 have any idea off the top of your head about what it is? 5 DR. ELMS: I think --6 DR. ERLER: Ms. Koyama, do you know what the 7 percentage is? 8 Excuse me, please. I have to change End P2 THE REPORTER: 9 the tape. 10 (Thereupon, a short break was 11 taken off the record to 12 change the tape, 13 THE REPORTER: Begin P3 والمنتاء 14 DR. ERLER: The percentage of U-C eligible Asians who 15 apply and what percentage are admitted. 16 VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: -- I think it's best that we 17 provide that for you. 18 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: I have a question that's addressed 19 generally to the group. What procedures have been 20 established by the University of California at Berkeley to 21 deal with the specific incidence of violence and bigotry and based on race, sex and/or religion? And P'm talking 22 and the same of th 23 know that Mr. Ellis described a Racial Grievance Board, but 24 I'm asking what procedures has the Campus established? 25 PROVOST ELLIS: Well, the -- all campuses of the

1 University of California employ a code of student conduct 2 and that office, which reports to me through the Dean of 3 Student Life, receives all complaints of violations of that 4 code. So, basically, that is the structure -- the 5 administrative structure -- that handles these kinds of 6 incidents -- racial, religious, otherwise. The Racial 7 Grievance Board, which also works through the Dean of 8 Student Life is placed there to give an expanded hearing to 9 some of these episodes. So, these are the primary 10 structures that we have in place to deal with that now. 11 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: By the way, thank -- excuse me, Mr. Montez --12 MR. MONTEZ: Go ahead. 13 Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: I wanted to thank the University 15 of California for providing us with this informational 16 material. Is the material that you provided us with on incidents reported from, I believe, fiscal year --17 18 PROVOST ELLIS: '85 to '89. 19 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: 1985 through 1988, is that the sum total of the incidents? 20 21 PROVOST ELLIS: Documented incidents. CHAIRPERSON HESSE: 22 Documented incidents? 23 PROVOST ELLIS: Yes, it is. 24 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: And that's what's reported through

the office that reports to you.

25

PROVOST ELLIS: Yes, you'll note there are offices --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Housing Office, which is not part of my span of control, or that's so in this document at least.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: That's what I'm asking is how are the incidents reported? Is there one central system? Are there various departments that report it? Do you not have requirements from the Federal Government from federal money that you receive to report all the incidents?

Let me see if I can respond. VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: 71 Not only is there the Racial Grievance Board which ends up handling a lot of the complaints from students, we have a separate complaint process that's in are place, in house, but all of that information is, by policy, to be processed through my office and through Mr. Ellis' office so that there is a complete compendium of those kinds of activities; and there's also a separate process for sexual harassment kinds of cases, and there's a rallying procedure that makes sure that these complaints get to the appropriate investigatory body, and so if something happens now that deals with a sexual harassment matter, it is rallied through Housing to the appropriate office; and so yes, we do have a way of coordinating the different complaints and we do have them now consolidated to provide the information about all the complaints on

1 campus. 2 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: So, what is provided in our 3 binders is a partial report, but there's other information? 4 PROVOST ELLIS: Well, see, that material was collected 5 by my predecessor --6 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. PROVOST ELLIS: 7 I believe in response to a question by 8 the Office of the President that we send documentation 9 of --Would you provide us with, I 10 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: guess, the sum total of the incidents? 11 VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: That is it. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: I thought you said you had a sexual harassment procedure or --14 15 DR. ELMS: It's all in there. PROVOST ELLIS: It's all in there. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: So, everything --PROVOST ELLIS: Just think of it as a way of getting 18 into the system. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. Okay. And this is also open to any of the speakers representing the University of 21 22 California at Berkeley. What did this report reveal to 23 you? A. 1 数据等点 VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: The four reports? Or the 24

reports on violence at Berkeley?

25

1

3

4

CHAIRPERSON HESSE:

The reports on violence --

PROVOST ELLIS: The incidence.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: And the incidence of -- yes.

5

VICE CHANCELLOR BOGGAN: You know, my prior

6

7

\_

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because you don't expect the most liberal, intellectual institution of the world to behave in a way that would be backwards and insensitive to people.

background, I was a city manager and county manager, and so you deal with a lot of activity that occurs within the communities that are related to different incidents and When you consider the number of interactions that take place on a campus that has 31,000 students, about 10,000 employees, it says that there are, relative to this, that we are part of a larger community but it still does not go adequately to the questions of race, of sexism, or of violence, and we're just part -- I mean, what it says to me is that we're part of that community and that we have to work with the community to make some major changes. But it also says that in terms of documented cases, if probably isless intense than most of the communities that I have worked in in the past in terms of same kinds of issues. It's just that I believe that you have greater coverage because you don't expect the most liberal, intellectual

PROVOST ELLIS: I'd like to respond. I tried to address your question in my remarks, and I'll try not to be defensive on Berkeley's behalf and say what it says to me

is that people have not had a lot of experience living with They've spent time each other who don't look like alike. in their homes in moments of intimacy in all white circles, in all Asian circles, in all black circles, and they're brought to a campus which by and in its definition is supposed to be, as Vice Chancellor Boggan says, open and liberal, and we are astonished when we discover that people don't know how to relate to each other. I think it is the emerging experience of diversity. I do not believe that at the turn of the nextomillennium we're going to look much like we do here in this room for reasons we can all guess -- the reason of human history -- and I think we're just now beginning to introduce a wider variety of people into our central institutions. We are an example of it, and it is not easy; and as I said, in terms of sense of ownership and rights for the white majority population; this has been a very difficult transition.

MR. CARNEY: Dr. Elms, you -- if I may.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Carney.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARNEY: Dr. Elms, you made a statement that kindof raises my curiosity in this, and the statement you made
was something to the effect that it's not good for the
University to have all 4.0 students, and I

DR. ELMS: I think what I said was it may not be.

MR. CARNEY: Okay, and well, that kind of raises the

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

question in my mind that with respect to the supplemental criteria, is that a lever by which you can accept students who may not otherwise be eligible grade-wise or academically in order for their other abilities factor to enter into their becoming eligible, such as athletes, and thereby lessening the chances for academically eligible students who have not got the athletic gift to be refused admission?

You've given me a chance to clarify DR. ELMS: something. All of our admissions, whether it's ther one, which is academic, to two, which is supplemental, to three, which is complementary, are all eligible students. They're not equally eligible, but all eligible students. they're all students who are eligible by their G-P-A, their S-A-T, and their A-2-F requirements. So, we're talking about a group of students who under the mandate of 12-1/2 percent, if there were room, would be admitted. We then have a category of special admits. It used to be two percent; it was increased to four percent and it's currently six percent when we actually -- I don't think we admit actually six percent -- which includes some students, both affirmative action students, athletes, and some E ٠٠٠ <del>- 1</del> ٢٠٠٠ م students, who do not meet the eligibility requirement but have some special attribute which we think deserves risk. They do not compete for spaces with the eligible students.

So, one group is not in competition with the other group.

That special action category was developed to deal with students who in the normal course of things would simply not be able to attend the University of California. Some of them are athletes. Most of them are affirmative action.

MR. CARNEY: Well, what differences take place if they are admitted, if they are those who ordinarily could not attend the University or even be academically or otherwise eligible, what transpires after they're admitted? Are they in special classes that allows them to compete on a level less than the regular student body?

DR. ELMS: No, no. I think --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROVOST ELLIS: Sure. No. Yes, they are in special classes, the Summer Bridge Program -- not throughout -- but the Summer Bridge Program that I described to you is also a component in which athletes, for example, do participate, -and this is precisely to work on reading, writing and math So, they work through skills. Those are the crux skills. the Summer Bridge Program. They are mandated to go to the Summer Bridge Program. It is not a question of choice. And to spend time on campus. During the course of the academic year, we have in place a structure that actually occurs to me called Student Learning Center, which some 🥕 call the largest academic unit on campus, which provides both tutorial, but not just tutorial work, but supplemental

support for students with particular problems or looking to 1 advance. Many of the students are B-plus students looking 2 to get to an A, more than anything else. For the athletes, and this, by the way, is a Regent policy -- it's a special action policy, not a campus policy -- all eight general 5 campuses have a special action category -- for athletes, there is a mandated study table they attend four nights a 7 week; and so, we surround these special cases -- I don't if you had a recent case in mind or not -- but we do surround the athletes with extraordinary support in compensation for 10 the extraordinary amount of time they devote to helping 11 make the community right. 12 The case I was thinking of was this young MR. CARNEY: 13 fellow from Crespi High School that I felt that --14 PROVOST ELLIS: We don't have to mention him by name, 15

PROVOST ELLIS: We don't have to mention him by name, I hope, for the record.

MR. CARNEY: No, I can't think of it anyway.

PROVOST ELLIS: Okay, good.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARNEY: That's one of my downfalls, isn't it, remembering names. It seemed that the University extended extraordinary efforts in order to just to get him into the "eligible category" through the S-A-T test. I think he took it three or four times according to what I read, and I don't know if he was successful or not but I just thought that in my own mind, I thought, gee, you know, because Cal

can't be U-C-L-A, that's not the way to do it, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROVOST ELLIS: Yeah, well, I think you're asking a question that a lot of people are asking: If you're so highfalutin and premier public institution, education and research, what are you doing this for? Well, we do have inter-collegiate athletics. We put very special conditions on who we admit. We work in correspondence to the N-C-A-A regulations and this student obliges us to do that. will be in ineligible in his first year. He could have gone to practically any other major university, played and starred in his first year. I interviewed him before in this office, and his mother -- this is a young black man -- his mother very much wanted him to come here and \_understood, and they both understood that if he did not pass that 700 test score level that he would not be eligible his first year. He still chose to come. He made a decision that many, many star athletes would not have chosen, and he came and his mother was enthusiastic about the campus partly because of the support system she knew was here, and she didn't want him to get lost in that improbable prospect -- very improbable prospect that he's going to wind up a pro, which is the fantasy that many athletes have, and send them to campuses that don't support them.

MR. CARNEY: The only reason I was inquiring into the

area is because of maybe my misinterpretation of Dr. Elms' remarks with respect to the, you know, it's maybe not desirable for all 4.0 students, and I was curious as to those efforts that I knew of with this young man and I thought, although I'm not against athletics -- I spend my Saturdays as a couch potato watching the football games when they're available -- and I was just curious as to whether or not it penalized otherwise eligible students, and that was what was paramount in my mind. I thought that if we're going to go to that extreme, then I think we miss the academic boat.

DR. ELMS: May I rephrase my comments? It is is important to have a diverse student body than to have a homogeneous student body.

MR. CARNEY: Well, when you say diverse, though, now, is it an all encompassing diversity, whether it's --

DR. ELMS: Yes.

والبارية

MR. CARNEY: Academic scale and --

DR. ELMS: Right. It's academic, it's ethnic, it's racial, it's discipline, it's gender, it's geography, it's socio-economic --

MR. CARNEY: Okay.

DR. ELMS: "It's height, it's weight, it's wall the things that --

MR. CARNEY: All the above.

18

1

MS. DAVIS: Well, may I ask --

2

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Ms. Davis.

3 4

MS. DAVIS: Do you provide the same kind of support you were providing this student to the American Indians, the blacks and Hispanics? What kind of programs --

5

PROVOST ELLIS: Yes.

6

MS. DAVIS: Do you have?

7 8

PROVOST ELLIS: Yes. Summer Bridge, when it's

9

relevant. The students --

10

MS. DAVIS: How, about during the year? - 200 &

11

PROVOST ELLIS: Yes, the Student Learning Center

12

operates all year and it is staffed with tutors, special

13

classes. We have a professional development program that

14

also works in the math area.

15

MS. DAVIS: How long has the Student Learning Center been in effect and how has it impacted the retention of the

PROVOST ELLIS: The Center has been in existence for

16 17

minority student?

18

about 22 years or 23 years. We are looking at the -- my

19

office is preparing a retention briefing book for

20 21

ourselves. You've heard and can see in Vice Chancellor

22

Boggan's remarks the change between the 1980 cohort and

23

five-year retention up to what would be 55 now I cannot

24

at the moment attribute that improved retention rate to the

25

Student Learning Center, and that is something we're going

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to have to work on to find out in terms of its effectiveness.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Dr. Erler.

DR. ERLER: We understand that only 40 percent of the freshman class is admitted on a strict academic competition. Is that right?

PROVOST ELLIS: That is --

DR. ERLER: Approximately.

DR. ELMS: It's about 40 percent.

PROVOST ELLIS: No, no, no, it isn't.

DR. ERLER: And there's a proposal to increase that a 50 percent level. Is that the case?

DR. ELMS: The proposal is at 50 percent.

DR. ERLER: Yeah. Do you have any figures, or if you don't have them now, I would like to have them submitted, on the percentages, the racial composition of the different tiers. What is the racial competition of those who are put in tier one competition, tier two competition, and tier three competition.

PROVOST ELLIS: Do you mean race?

DR. ERLER: By racial composition, or ethnic composition. Both.

DR. ELMS: You mean --

DR. ERLER: In other words, what are the percentages of the applicants in tier one who are black, Hispanic,

Asian, white? What are the percentages of --

DR. ELMS: Oh, yeah, I can only give you racial composition by total admits, not by --

DR. ERLER: Well, I've been looking for those figures because I think it might be quite revealing, especially with respect to this question of the status of Asians on the Berkeley campus. I think that that might be very interesting to see.

PROVOST ELLIS: You know, you had said -- excuse me -we will send those materials, for sure. You had indicated
our potential embarrassment around the issue -- or
representing the campus around the issue of Asian
admissions and what you described as a prima facie case of
discrimination against Asians. In some respects, I am
caught off-guard and maybe I have a little more freedom to
say that since I'm so brand new because I understood the "
topic of the agenda to be a little different. I understand
that your question of background, admissions policies, and
so forth is necessarily related to the issue of campus
climate and so forth.

DR. ERLER: Well, I --

PROVOST ELLIS: We are working very hard. We have all these data, without doubt, and you will get them. I think none of us is prepared at the moment, off the top of our heads, given the nature of our preparation, to answer the

statistical questions you have. We have the material. We'll get it.

DR. ERLER: Well, the thrust of my questions was simply to ask whether there was any relationship, cause and effect relations, between, let us say, admission policies and racial animosities --

PROVOST ELLIS: Sure.

DR. ERLER: On campus. Now, the quote that I read earlier from your student body president, at least in his opinion, there seems to be a very close relationship between those things; and I must say, around the country there is widespread belief -- I have a quote here from Education Secretary Tovassos (ph) who says that he deplores discrimination against Asians in admissions. He doesn't mention Berkeley specifically, but Berkeley is one among several campuses that he mentions here and he said that any kind of illegal quotas --

PROVOST ELLIS: Sure.

DR. ERLER: System will be dealt with very harshly by his office and so on, so I know that the perception is there --

PROVOST ELLIS: Certainly.

DR. ERLER: And at least on the surface, but only on

PROVOST ELLIS: Yes.

DR. ERLER: I hope, it looks as if there is some discrimination against Asians in admission policies.

PROVOST ELLIS: Well, as Dr. Elms has said, we have -- first off, the Chancellor's position is certainly the same, that any discrimination's intolerable; and as Dr. Elms has said, we have been investigated in a very public way and I believe the public record will be clear.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Ms. Koyama.

PROVOST ELLIS: Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KOYAMA: The Advisory Committee of which I was cochair did not have at all as part of its charge the admissions controversy and so, if I could, I'm going to try to round out some remarks and some of your concerns by referring to our findings which I think indicate that besides admissions and the resulting feelings that occurred between the community and the University and the riftthere, there are situations on campus that have to be examined and looked at and responded to in order to address fully where all of the sources of racial tension arise. There are, for example, student interactions between white students who cannot distinguish between Asian Americans and foreign students, and there are relational problems that arise out of dormitory living situations. There are generalizations made on the part of some that are culturally based and the stereotype, again, of the model

minority probably comes into play here. Students visualize Asian Americans, they say, as nerds, as compelling students who have no interests than to get that A, sort of a vision that they are devoid of social needs. I think there are tensions that arise for Asian Americans due to classroom situations generated by insensitivities from professors or from graduate teaching assistants. And finally, I think one source of conflict for Asian American students comes even from a home environment. Again, I referred to parental pressures that maybe many other ethnic students --feel from their home, but we feel, for Asian Americans, there is a difference in the intensity and the degree. guess in sum I think that in addition to admissions, one gateway, if you will, is just to get to the campus so, certainly, I don't want to deny that procedures perceived to be unfair based on inaccessibility is maybe even a beginning source of tension, but once you get onto campus, there's sort of another gateway of admission, if you will, that has to be achieved by all, including Asian Americans; and in that environment, there are many, many things other than admissions that come into play. I think in our campus/community relations subcommittee there is the realization that the fullness of this great experience that I think Berkeley has embarked upon is not only the tentacle one of procedures and policies of admission, but it has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

another side to it, a very human side, and that is the quality of the life achieved once on campus. And so I think for Asian Americans, it's not only equal access, but it's also full participation -- integration, if you will -- within and amidst that campus environment.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you, Ms. Koyama, Dr. Elms, Vice Chancellor Boggan, Provosts King and Ellis. Thank you very much. We'll now hear from the University of California System.

Whereupon,

## CAROL A. CARTWRIGHT

ALICE C. COX

CARMEN ESTRADA

TINA OAKLAND

ELLEN SWITKES

were called as witnesses herein and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Next we have Dr. Alice C. Cox,
Assistant Vice President of Student Academic Services.

Your panel will also be granted 10 minutes for presentation time and then we would like to engage in some questions and answers for further clarification, please.

DR. COX: Thank you. I am Alice Cox, Assistant Vice
President for Student Academic Services. I'd like to

introduce my panel. To my far right, Dr. Carol Cartwright, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs at the University of California at Davis. To my right is Dr. Ellen Switkes, Director of Academic Personnel at the Office of the To my left, Ms. Carmen Estrada, who is Executive Director of Affirmative Action for the U-C And to her left, Tina Oakland, Director, Women's Resource Center, University of California at Los Angeles. Dr. Joyce Justus, who is listed on the program, will not be joining us on the panel. She is in the audience this I have entered my remarks in the record, but morning. having heard the discussion that just transpired, I think I need to depart from those because one of my responsibilities in the Office of the President is responsibility for the system-wide policies on admission, and I think that it's appropriate for me to put some of the remarks I heard in context, and at the time you think appropriate, to answer some of your questions. I'd like to explain the policies under which the University of California operates in terms of public policy, which you -- -may know but I think it's important to restate. 'That' is that under the terms of the California Master Plan, University of California is expected to admit students from among the upper 12-1/2 percent of the high school graduating class. That has changed so that now the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

University is committed and does offer admission somewhere, some place, not to a campus of choice, but offers admission to all eligible California residents who apply to the University of California. Within that context, when we have campuses which are heavily impacted -- very, very popular campuses -- those campuses do want to admit from among the other 12-1/2 percent, not simply the top one or The admissions policy which was developed and presented and passed by the Regents states that from among all eligible students, and everyone has to be eligible -- I have to state that again -- that considerations are made based upon socio-economic factors, geographical factors, cultural factors, and life experience. The way we select students -- people referred to different tiers -- it works like this. All students who want to apply to the University submit one application and may indicate any number, up to eight, of the campus to which he or she wants his application considered. Once that application has been -- goes to the campus, the student will be offered admission at whatever campuses they apply, provided that there is room in the class and provided that there is space on the campus. In recent history, some of our campuses have not had space, so they select from among the students, but the first group of students is academic qualifications only. The second group, they look at academic

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

qualifications and also the supplemental criteria, and those supplemental criteria are what I explained in terms of life experiences -- is the person a recent immigrant who did not go to school in the United States? Or there can be any one of different kinds of experiences which would enrich the campus life. In terms of geography, where in the State does the person come from? We're concerned that the counties that surround U-C campuses not be the only counties which are served by the campuses, but they want students from all the way across the State of Californian And then cultural, and then that we look for a variety of kinds of diversity including race, and once again, ethnica-Rather than go into more detail here; I will background. save time for questions at the time that you would like ask them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: We'd like to take a moment to recognize Advisory Committee member, Mr. Herman Sillas, the first male on my right. May we proceed?

DR. COX: Yes. The first panelist will be Dr. Cartwright.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: Good morning. I'm pleased to be here to present some information about what is happening at the University of California at Davis campus. Tad like to begin by noting that we believe that leadership and commitment to take these issues seriously and to be

prepared to follow through with policies and programs to deal with intolerance is important and is, in fact, the centerpiece of our approach at U-C Davis. There are three primary parts to our approach to dealing with these issues. The first is a set of clear public statements about expected conducted and of our intentions to deal fairly and firmly with complaints. Second, programs for faculty, staff and students to educate them about these issues. And finally, third, follow-up programs of counselling for victims and procedures for complaint resolution. I should. mention in this introductory section that U-C Davis is located in two different communities. The main campus is in Davis, which is primarily an upper-middle class white community and quite a small community, only about 45,000 in population. The medical center, which is also a part of our campus, is located in Sacramento adjacent to Oak Park; which is primarily a non-white neighborhood. Because of these different environments and the fact that the issues of bias and violence that we must deal with are different for students on our campus location than they are for patients and employees at our Sacramento campus and hospital, we have found it necessary to develop different programs for these various locations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've also initiated some important community-based efforts to deal with the issues. In Sacramento, we've had

a very successful community affirmative action review committee which has provided a series of recommendations which the medical center has already followed up on. In the Davis community, we have initiated a collaborative project with the Human Relations Council of the City of Davis, the schools of Davis through the Superintendent of schools in the City of Davis, and through our Chancellor's office because we believe that those three aspects of our community must be working together on overall issues of quality of life for the residents and students in Davis:

We've been fortunate in that we've been watching the national scene and we feel that we have been able to anticipate some of the issues and we've learned a great deal from the experiences of others across the nation; and in some ways, we've been able to keep just a little bit ahead of some of these issues through our education and our awareness programs. As the racial and ethnic mix of our population changed, we began to implement programs to increase awareness and promote cultural sensitivity for faculty, staff and students dealing with both racial and with gender issues; and we currently have efforts underway to increase the quality and the scope of those educational programs as well as to continue our policy of clearly communicating ways in which complaints can be lodged. In terms of religious bias and violence, there have been no

complaints by faculty or staff brought to the attention of the administration, and very few matters in this arena arising from students. So, I'll focus my remarks instead on issues of campus climate that relate to sexism and racism, rather than issues of religious bias.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The development of a comprehensive educational program on diversity is one of our highest priorities and we've already put many of the components of that program in We believe that in the end, that is going to be the most helpful aspect of addressing racial bias and violence. We're going to draw from our experience in an educational program in sexual harassment which has been very successful for our campus as we build this new educational program on diversity. We have established a new position of an Assistant Vice Chancellor for Faculty Development and Diversity and are in the process of filling-it. That individual is going to have the overall coordinating responsibility in implementing this educational program together with an Associate Vice Chancellor for Employee Relations and Staff Affairs, and the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs. We believe that we must look in an integrated way at issues related to faculty, staff and students. When we find programs that work for one group, we automatically benefit other groups, and so forth. are providing Summer salary support to faculty right now,

this Summer, to review the research literature and to complete surveys about educational programs on diversity at other institutions across the country so that by the Fall of 1989, when we have in place a new Director of Educational Programs for Diversity, that person will be able to hit the ground running with a good bit of the background information already having been collected for that new Director. We also have a new program in our counselling center to focus on the problems that minority students are bringing to the counselling center. We intend-to gain from those experiences in the counselling center, information that will help in this educational program on multi-cultural awareness for faculty and staff.

Over the past five years, we have expanded and created a number of programs to create an environment free of racial bias. We believe that we must take deliberate action to assist students to adjust to a campus community that is increasingly ethnically and culturally diverse; and we have, over those past five years, implemented programs both for faculty and staff and students to encourage the development of positive relationships among individuals and to educate them about the value of diversity. I'd like to very quickly describe some of those programs. They are described in a more elaborate way in the written testimony that I've provided for you. In terms of student programs,

we have an ambitious program to highlight cultural days on our campus. We sponsor a series of programs that have over the course of the last several years become a very important part of campus life, especially in the Spring. We see these programs, such as the Native American Powwow, the Native American Cultural Program, Asian Pacific Cultural Week, La Raza Cultural Days, and African American Black Family Week, as very important in bringing the resources of different cultures and the talent that's represented in different groups to the attention of our university community.

We believe it's important to initiate newcomers to our campus about our values and our commitment to these programs of diversity right from the very beginning, so we have orientation programs for new faculty that focus on our commitment to diversity, and we also have orientation programs for new students that indicate to them, right from their first days on campus, what we expect in terms of their behavior and what we are prepared to do to assist in issues of diversity. For example, our advising service office presents a Summer Advising Program. This is one that is attended by both incoming students and their parents. It includes a one-hour program introducing students to issues of diversity. It introduces the concept of diversity, helps students begin to think about the fact

that they are going to be a part of diverse community, and sets forth in very clear terms our expectations for their behavior while they are with us.

As I mentioned before, the counselling center includes a broad range of activities of consultation and instruction related to non-white student adjustment, sexual assault, rape prevention, cultural awareness, cultural programs, fraternity and sorority life, gender and sexual harassment, and diversity in general. The scope of the counselling center is to be broadened so that there will be a focus on dealing with the problems that minority students bring to that center, and the center will become a repository for materials that are used to address racism, bigotry, intolerance, cultural displacement, and cultural accommodation.

We have students living together in residence halls as well as in our community and particular concerns about intolerance of persons from different racial and ethnic backgrounds and persons with different lifestyles have been increasing in terms of the attention that our housing office has been bringing to the issues. Recently, the housing office issued a statement of commitment expressing their intent that persons be able to function together and that those individuals are expected to behave appropriately toward each other and respectfully treat other as members

1 The brochure which is provided to every of the community. 2 incoming student as a guide to residence hall life includes in it a clear statement that we find unacceptable verbal or written abuse, threats, intimidation, violence or other 5 forms of harassment against any member of the community and 6 that we have procedures in place to deal with such 7 behavior. We are also aware that our students live outside 8 of the residence halls in community apartments, " 9 fraternities, sororities and so forth. We have an 10 Assistant Director of Community Housing that has responsibility for a number of activities aimed at 11 12 promoting cultural understanding. We have spent a number 13 of special efforts to eliminate issues of bias and ---14 intolerance in Greek life on our campus. We have an office 15 of student judicial affairs responsible for coordinating an 16 informal advisory system for complaint resolution as well-17 as for implementing the formal policy that the campus has 18 on resolving student complaints of illegal discrimination. 19

THE REPORTER: Excuse me one moment, please.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: Yes.

1. \*\*

(Thereupon, a short break was taken off the record to change the tape.)

THE REPORTER: Okay. On.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: The student government's organization

20

21

22

23

24

End P3

Begin P4

on our campus, The Associated Students of U-C Davis, has taken leadership during the past year to publish a brochure entitled, "Open Your Eyes to Diversity." This will be distributed this Fall to all students, not just the new freshmen, but to all students. It includes their statement of principles of community which provides information on programs and classes as well as referral services and clear statements about expectations for behavior. I won't take the time to read it to you, but I will leave a copy for I think it's an important indication of student attention to these matters. We also have in place rape prevention education programs which will be described in more detail by my colleague from U-C-L-A from the perspective of that campus, so I'll skip over that. have procedures in place on our campus police department so that if they receive complaints that have a religious or racist or a sexual harassment component, they are alerted to bring that to the attention of the administration so that we can coordinate our response to those complaints. We also have a collaborative program in place between our -campus police department and the City of Davis police They hold joint meetings on a regular basis department. and share information of mutual concern about these We have, since 1981, had a policy banning sexual harassment, and two years ago appointed a full-time sexual

\_1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

E.

· Lington

harassment education coordinator to give educational programs about sexual harassment to faculty, staff and About 100 complaints were dealt with in the past students. year, but nearly 200 educational programs were offered, and we believe, of course, that the increasing information and awareness of these issues has encouraged people to bring their complaints forward so that they can be dealt with mostly on an informal complaint resolution basis. We also have an active women's resources and research center which provides education and consultation on issues related towomen. And finally, we have academic programs which we the value that believe are very important in reflecting community as well as members of ethnic minority groups. We have recently reviewed our four ethnic studies programs Afro-American Studies, Asian American Studies, Native American Studies, and Chicano Studies -- and have implemented a plan to dramatically increase the number of faculty in those four programs so that we are prepared to provide even more by way of academic programs to the students on our campus. We presently have about 11 faculty. in those programs and we plan over the next three-to-five years to increase that number threefold to 32 faculty positions in ethnic studies. We have a teaching resources center that provides orientation for new faculty and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assistance to-faculty on classroom instruction\_issues. They have a person assigned in that office to dealing with issues of diversity. We have also a vigorous program of bringing to the attention of our students courses that are in the mainstream of our curriculum that include information about gender and ethnicity. We did a word search of our course catalog just a few weeks ago and identified over 100 regular courses, not those labelled women's studies or ethnic studies, but regular courses in psychology, sociology, history, English, and so forth that include significant components about women and about members of ethnic minorities; and we are now using that sort of supplement to the course catalog in our Summer and Fall advising for U-C Davis students. We also have a vigorous program of employee development and staff relations that includes significant information about-We have a new program in AIDS education, and diversity. stepped up effort to bring the grievance process: and the complaint resolution process to the attention of all of our I might mention that U-C Davis is one of the national research sites for AIDS research and we believe that we ought to be leading the way in our informational programs about AIDS for faculty, staff, and students

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I could go on. A number of these programs are elaborated in the written testimony, but I think I have

given you enough to provide a sense of the comprehensiveness and the diversity of our efforts in terms of educating as well as complaint resolution procedures for both faculty, staff, and students. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. We're running a little bit behind, I'm advised. Could the next speaker summarize the gist of their remarks that they wish to present?

DR. COX: The next speaker will be Dr. Ellen Switkes.

DR. SWITKES: I've prepared for distribution a list of University of California policies and statements relating to a fair and open environment which I believe you have. This is a compilation of formal policies and policy statements that have been adopted after extensive review over the past several years. The first one is a nondiscrimination in employment policy statement that affects all university employees. It provides for nondiscrimination for those employed and seeking employment. The next, number two, is a policy on sexual harassment complaint resolution procedures that is sensitive the confidential and embarrassing nature of sexual harassment complaints, sensitive to both the individuals bring the complaint, the individuals accused, and it provides for an informal investigation as well as a formal complaint procedure. The next, number three, is a statement on a fair and open environment that was recently written and

7 m

adopted by the University of California academic senate and has been distributed at the request of the senate to all University of California campuses. Implementation of this policy is also a job of the academic senate that they have decided to keep close tabs on and they are in the process of developing guidelines for implementation.

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to pause here in my list of policies and just mention a little bit about this, this is item number three, the statement on a fair and open academic environments. Vice Chancellor Cartwright has described many, many policies and programs on her campus dealing with fair and open environment. The fact that we have a written policy that says it shall be done really doesn't impact any students, faculty or staff unless the campuses are willing to take a lead and our campuses are moving in that rank direction. The Davis campus' programs are very impressive: I'd like to mention one other program that's come to my attention that I was very impressed with. The Santa Barbara campus has developed several films entitled something like, "What It's Like to be a Black Student at U-C-S-B; "What It's Like to be a Chicano Student at films are very powerful because the students actually speak about their own thoughts and feeling There are descriptions of problems and concerns in student. life in the classroom setting and it's a very personal and

Those films have been shown to very, very touching films. many academic departments. You know, reaching our faculty, faculty sometimes don't come to meetings and you have to go out to reach them and the academic departments have invited these films to be shown and there have been discussions following the showings of those films and that has been, in my opinion, a very impressive and successful activity. We're looking toward developing similar films on other Also, there's been a large interest nationally campuses. in the use of those films because there are few-audiovisual resources available that are so personal and so effective. We also took the opportunity to show those films to system-wide committees of the academic senate and they had a dramatic impact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next policy, number four, is a policy on whistle blowing. That is not included in your packet because it's still in the works. This is a policy that's taken many of us a long time to write and come to consensus on exactly the content, but the policy will provide a mechanism for people to report instances of misdeeds and also will provide a method for protection against retaliation, and that's close to completion. Item number five is the faculty code of conduct. This is a section of the faculty code of conduct that's been in place for a long time, since the early 70's. It's a policy document by the Regents of

the University. Really, it is the baby of the academic ... senate and of our faculty, and I've indicated with little X's here some of the responsibilities, types of unacceptable behavior that impact on a fair and open For example, on page eight, it is the environment. responsibility of the faculty -- the very first one I've placed a star on -- to respect the confidential nature of relationship between professor and student. They avoid any exploitation, harassment, or discriminatory treatment of students. Going down the page, types of unacceptable behavior, arbitrary denial of access to instruction. On the next page -- I won't read all of these, but just to give you some flavor of the types of things that are unacceptable -- number five on page nine, participating in or deliberately abetting disruption, interference or intimidation in the classroom; page 10, types of unacceptable behavior on the very bottom of the page, number four, forcible detention, threats of physical harm to harassment or intimidation of another member of the university community. That would include faculty, students and staff with the intent to interfere with that person's performance of university activities. And there are several other pages that go into types of unacceptable The very last item, number six, is a section behavior. from the senate bylaws, the bylaws of the academic senate

ر چخود ۵۰ پېچا

1 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the University of California. The academic senate is the faculty body and this document is the grievance process for faculty grievances. I included it in here because I wanted to illustrate the elaborate and careful review that is put into place when faculty are accused of wrongdoings, when they accuse one another, or when they're accused by a student of unacceptable behavior as defined in the faculty code of conduct, or a violation of any university policy. The academic senate goes through a very complicated and rigorous review of that faculty member's actions, and then discipline in terms of suspension, censure, demotion or dismissal may be imposed.

I want just lastly to mention that we hope to we we intend to convene a meeting this Fall and will request each campus to send an individual or individuals from their campus who are familiar with the types of activities that Vice Chancellor Cartwright has described on her campus for student, faculty and staff education and we'd like to be able to share information among the different campuses, share resources, share materials that have been developed, so that each campus is not out there on their own. Thank you.

DR. COX: Thank you. Executive Director Estrada will be our next speaker.

MS. ESTRADA: Thank you. I'm in the Office of the

President in Oakland, and my position involves affirmative action in the area of employment and in business. Therefore, my testimony which I've presented to you in a written statement is narrowly focused only on staff and management issues as related to the areas that the --Committee is interested in. Both of the previous speakers have covered student and faculty as well as some employment matters. In the Office of the President, our particular interest with respect to the employment side is that the employment relations in all of the nine campuses and five hospitals, and including three labs, are such that not only to inhibit but insure that there are systems in place to try to prevent either of the issues of racial or sexual I believe Dr. Switkes has, in heretestimony, has set forth both the non-discrimination policies that relate to employee as well as sexual harassment and nonsexual harassment policies, and in each of those there is a complaint procedure that employees that are affected by such negative behavior can file complaints. It's not only an unacceptable behavior, but clearly is an illegal one as well in the area of employment matters on all of the nine To briefly summarize my testimony, A have campuses: presented a summary list of some examples that some of the campuses in the system where there have been either workshops or on-going training dealing with issues of sex,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

race, ethnicity, and other matters that the Committee is interested in that cover employees as well as some areas of These are just some examples. faculty and students. Dr. Cartwright's testimony indicates, at each of the campuses there is a much larger focus that covers not only employment but it covers the various employees that are involved in taking discrimination complaints or involved in employee relations. In addition, each of the campuses has its own complaint mechanism and procedure. In the Office of the President, we oversee it and attempt to encourage policies that can be system-wide and that might affect the improved relations with employees at the campus Tevel ... Andso, I believe that the final two pages of my testimony will elaborate on the various types of programs that are in effect at the campus level. Thank you.

DR. COX: Director Tina Oakland.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. OAKLAND: Good morning. I'm very pleased to have a few moments to speak directly about the issue of violence towards women. I think it's important to keep in mind that when we're talking about violence towards women, we're simultaneously talking about racial violence since violence against women includes violence against women of all colors; ethnicities, and racial backgrounds. Violence against women covers a whole gamut of kinds of behaviors from emotional and psychological violence through to things

like sexual harassment, battering -- and where once we saw battering primarily in marital relationships, we've now found battering has moved its way down into even casual dating relationships and this has become a problem on college campuses as well -- through to the most extreme form, or one of the most extreme forms of violence, which would be sexual assault or rape, and it's this last form of violence that I'd like to spend most of my time addressing. It is not necessarily the most pervasive form of violence on our campus; in fact, it is not. It is, however, I believe, perhaps the most devastating form and that's why I've chosen to spend most of my time on this.

I think it's important to make perhaps one distinction and that is that while much of the kinds of violence we're talking about today is dealing with racial violence which tends to be inter-racial, violence against women, for the most part, seems to be intra-racial, that is, over 90 percent of the assaults against women are perpetrated by people of the same racial or ethnic background. I won't bore you with a lot of statistics, but I do think it's important to refer to a study done by Mary Cox in 1987. She went and did a study with 32 colleges and universities across the country and found that as many as one in every six women self-reported having been raped or having had an attempted rape during the past year, and as many as 15

÷

men -- women -- excuse me, one out of every 15 men reported having attempted or completed a rape against a woman during the same period of time. While the rapes that have occurred throughout the U-G system are, for the most part, have been extremely steady and have not risen, and also have been reasonably low, and that is two-to-five rapes per It's our philosophy that there's no such thing as an acceptable number of rapes. There's no such thing as an acceptable amount of violence. So, we have continued to, over a period of years, fine-tune and hone the-kinds of T'm services that we provide for students in this area. very pleased to say that we received a grant in 1979 which us system-wide to set up rape prevention and education programs; and as I say, over the past 10 years or so we've had an opportunity really refine and expand those services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In talking about the number of rapes on college campuses, it might lead one to believe that it is specifically a problem of colleges and university and, in fact, it's not. We find that we are very much victims ourselves in that we reflect the kinds of problems that are around us in the communities in which our colleges and universities are situated; and I must say on a positive note that the upside is that the campuses have worked very closely with their communities in trying to provide both educational programs for the campuses and also in trying to

alleviate the problem. I will be leaving for you a booklet called, "Sexual Assault on Campus," which was prepared by the Santa Monica Hospital Rape Treatment Center and which has received national press and been distributed nationwide. It's outstanding and we're very fortunate at U-C to have them in our backyard, so to speak, since they're one of the top places in the country.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

...22

23

24

25

Let me say a little bit about the kind of educational services that we provide on our campuses, and while the services do vary throughout the U-C system somewhat, for the most part you'll find all of these components provided in some fashion on each of the campuses. Unlike the outside community, we've had the opportunity of catching our students as sort of a captive audience at various We've provided, for instance, as a part of our new student orientation, mandatory rape prevention and education services. One of the benefits of this is that then are able to talk to not only women, but also to men at the same time. As you can imagine, this is a very difficult issue to provide education on since it's a very emotional one. Women often don't like to think of themselves as being potential victims of crime, and for men it's a delicate topic because they are sort of an implied you know, you might be a perpetrator, in discussing a topic which is primarily perpetrated by men on women. We try to

- <u>F</u>E

overcome that and to involve men very actively in the education process and to make them feel that they are part of the solution and not just part of the problem. have mandatory workshops for fraternity and sorority members who are going through new student or campus rush. We also have weekly rape prevention education workshops throughout the academic year. We also provide self-defense workshops for students, staff and faculty, believing this is an important component. We have also various kinds of campus safety and awareness weeks that take place And in addition to that, throughout the academic year. ·安安·一种人。 斯克 have, and have been very fortunate to have, the support of our Chancellors who have almost at every campus put out some kind of statement condemning rape, and particularly campus date rape and giving information. These are distributed throughout the campus to students, staff and faculty, as well as I'll leave you a package of this variety of brochures and educational products that are given to all of our residence halls, to new students as they come in, and then generally distributed throughout the campus.

Another important component of our education has been that I think we've done a good job of beginning to really incorporate the topic of violence towards women in the

25

curriculum.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I think this has made a tremendous impact.

The last thing I'd like to talk about very briefly is about the post-rape component of our campus services. know that no matter how good our prevention and education services are, that some students will be assaulted, that students will come to us who have already been assaulted at sometime in the past or that they may be raped either on campus or off campus at sometime during their stay with us. So, we feel that it's really critical to have several components in place. One of the things our campuses have devised are protocols to respond and I'll leave this with you, to respond to rapes when they occur so that we can be sure that there's not only proper administrative kind of notification, but also and more importantly, that there is prompt and comprehensive and compassionate response for the students who have been assaulted. We also have a crisis counselling for our students that includes anything they might need from medical attention, psychological assistance, academic help. We know, for instance, that when there's this kind of traumatic event, it can have a very serious and detrimental impact on things like retention, so we want to be very vigilant in making sure those services are available. Again, we have literature distributed throughout the campus of the kind, such as this brochure, "Getting Help if You or Someone You Know has been Raped," so that students will know if they've been

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assaulted, where they can turn for assistance, and what kind of support they can expect from us.

And lastly I'd say that we have tried to, over the years, to refine our discipline system to make sure that all forms of rape, including date and acquaintance rape, are taken seriously. We both potentially haven't had actualized dismissal of students on a permanent basis for date rape, and we have also begun to include language in our student code of conduct that's very specific to rape and to date rape to make sure that students no ambiguity about what is or is not acceptable conduct when they come to our campuses.

I think other than answering any questions you might have, that would conclude it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. Are there any questions of the panel?

MR. SILLAS: I do.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Sillas.

MR. SILLAS: I'm not sure who would want to address this, but let me ask the question. Our subject matter deals with bigotry and violence on the college campus. I'd like to know, in your opinions, whether you feel that hiring a representation, a significant representation of minorities and women in the faculty and in the administration would have any impact on the subject matter

we're addressing this morning?

DR. CARTWRIGHT: There is no direct evidence in the cause and effect sense that the employment relates to the climate, but conceptually, logically, that appears to be the case to many of us, that when we have a more diverse group of individuals on campus, we have more people who bring their own personal attention to dealing with the issues and overall, we do a better job of thinking creatively about educational programs as well as clearly communicating our statements of expectations of behavior, but that's all by way of logical thinking, not any direct research evidence.

MR. SILLAS: Right. So, there is no policy as far as the University is concerned in terms of viewing the hiring of minorities and women as a possible solution for the issue we're discussing this morning.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: We believe that appointment of women and minorities to both faculty, staff and administrative positions is critically important on several grounds and that ultimately many of these issues get interconnected. We believe; for example, that students, women students, students of color, perform better in their academic programs and stay with us to graduation better if they see role models reflected in the faculty. We believe, as I stated earlier, that when there are more women and more

members of minority groups among our faculty and staff, some of these issues of bias and tolerance will be taken more seriously. But all of this is by way of reinforcing the notion that there are a lot of pieces that come together in the big puzzle and we have to deal with it on a number of fronts often without having any direct cause and effect research results to fall back on to justify the case.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, and just so I understand, you indicated the word, or you used the word "belief." you were, I take it, expounding your own belief as opposed to a universal acceptance on the part of the University.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: The University has an affirmative action plan --

MR. SILLAS: I understand that.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: Which speaks to its policies. I think my own statement on personal belief is certainly an important one on our campus, but in terms of the institutional statement of belief, I think we have tried to share with you in a variety of different ways this morning that the institution does set forth its policies for expected behavior and for follow-up on these issues; and that becomes an institutional belief that is communicated to faculty, staff and students.

MR. SILLAS: I understand. What you have presented,

though, is things that the University is doing in attempting to resolve the bigotry and the violence issue. My question is, and the answer I'm getting is that no, you have not -- my question is, has the University in its effort to deal with this issue considered as part of the solution the hiring of more minorities and women on staff and faculty?

DR. CARTWRIGHT: Yes.

MR. SILLAS: And where is --

DR. CARTWRIGHT: As part of the solution

MR. SILLAS: And where is that stated in any policy statement that you have given us or presented to us this morning?

DR. SWITKES: Let me make a comment with respect to promotion and advancement of our faculty, particularly with respect to tenuring, because we don't have elaborate policies that address the question that you've asked, but I do have one policy statement. Evaluation of faculty is made on four criteria: teaching, research, university and public service, professional activities. With respect to teaching, one of the criteria for evaluating teaching has to do with evaluating effectiveness in creating an academic environment that is open and encouraging to all students and if faculty don't meet that criteria then there are penalties with respect to advancement or promotion to

tenure and they may have to --

MR. SILLAS: That's applicable to any faculty member, regardless of race, creed or color.

DR. SWITKES: That's right. That's right.

MR. SILLAS: I'm still asking my question, and if I don't --

DR. COX: We do not have a particular policy --

MR. SILLAS: Okay.

DR. COX: Which says we believe that hiring minorities and women will lessen the violence on campus. What we observe is the greater society from which we all come and unfortunately, it's very true there that the presence of minorities and women do not lessen the amount of violence.

MS. OAKLAND: May I add something? In response to your question, while there may not be a system-wide guideline, I think there is tremendous emphasis on individual campuses on this issue and throughout the U-C system on this issue. I know, for instance, that at U-C-L-A there is both a diversity committee and a newly appointed, I believe, Associate Vice Chancellor level position held by Dr. Raymond Peredes who is focusing specifically on trying to make sure that we increase the number of minority and women applicants for faculty positions, to look at tenuring kinds of issues, and to make sure that this is addressed; and we have developed what we

call a comprehensive community development plan, one important component of which is looking specifically at this issue and trying to make inroads there.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Is there a system-wide policy or procedure established by the University to deal with specific incidents of violence and bigotry based on sex, race and religion.

DR. COX: There are several policies in place. One is the Regent's policy on non-discrimination and it specifically mentions race, sex, age, handicap and sexual orientation. In addition to that, there are university—wide policies on sexual harassment which flow out of the federal policy. At the present time we're developing a university—wide policy in response to racial harassment. There are policies in existence or being developed on campuses, but this would be an overall policy, and we're doing this in the context, and my testimony points this out, in the context of the fighting words interpretation through the courts.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: How are the system-wide policies and procedures enforced? Also, do you gather information system-wide? I know we had the University of California at Berkeley that submitted a summary of incidents

DR. COX: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Which excluded violence against

women.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also in my testimony you will find a comment about two reports which we have prepared in the past year. One was in response to assembly concurrent resolution 46 which reports on the number of sexual assaults on campuses, describes campus policies and procedures for handling rape and sexual assault incidents. This is university-wide. Also another policy detailing in response to a request from Senator Torres appearing there, all incidents of violence. Now, that's the record keeping, and each campus, as my colleagues have said, do have procedures for tracking In terms of the policies which incidents on campuses. exist university-wide, the policy is promulgated to be passed by the Regents or issued by the President. campus, the Chancellor of that campus has responsibility for insuring adherence to any and all university policies. and including these.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Dr. Erler.

DR. ERLER: The newspapers have been filled with accounts of increasing racial bigotry and animosity on campuses in the State of California. As a member of this Advisory Committee, I've received a lot of complaints myself from individuals from all races and ethnic groups about incidents that have happened, and you've described a very elaborate bureaucracy that exists in the U-C system to

بيد يدن

deal with these issues, but I don't get a sense from this panel as to whether the incidents are increasing on the campuses. Or are they decreasing? How do we stand with respect to this? Now, my own impression, from newspapers and just individual accounts, is that the amount of racial violence and bigotry on campuses is increasing at an alarming rate. Are your programs doing any good? Are you addressing the right problems? Are you ferreting out the causes? How do we stand?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. COX: Well, you're right Incidents are increasing. They're increasing in the communities in which our campuses exist. They are increasing across the And our campuses are reflections of the kinds of attitudes, both-positive and negative, values and biases which people hold across the country and that, when those was people come to campuses, sometimes they act out these. --prejudices. Our policies are in place to do that. The campuses have described to you the fact that there are very -- that the policies are given life by the actions of the people :-- the staff and the faculty and the students on the campuses who respond very strongly any time there is a s In addition to that, they have described particular event. for you educational programs which make an effort to front of particular kinds of behaviors. We are very clear with everyone that we do not find any kind of inappropriate

behavior something that we will tolerate on the campus.

It's much harder to help people unlearn attitudes than it is to help them learn positive attitudes, but that is part of what we're about also. —

DR. ERLER: The number of incidents are increasing and at what rate?

DR. COX: I can't give you the percentage at what rate.

DR. ERLER: But are they increasing? Did you say that?

DR. COX: I would suspect so. I said yes, they are increasing and this is a national problem as well. You cannot be in California or any other State, I think, in the Union and not recognize that the high incidence of drug use is contributing significantly to increase in violence in our country, and some of that is reflected in the cities around our campuses and people bring things and come to campus. So, yes, I would say violence is on the increase.

DR. ERLER: But aren't universities supposed to be a special place, let's say enclaves of tolerance and understanding? Why are we failing there? Why, when you say it mirrors the society as a whole? But I've always had the impression that universities occupied a special place in that regard. That is to say, there is the whole thrust of the university should be academic freedom, tolerance,

understanding, diversity. Do you think that racial violence and bigotry is an inevitable by-product of diversity?

DR. COX: No. I think the university as you've described it is the ideal to which we aspire. It is our mission. Those values are stated in our policies, and they direct our activities. And I have described --

DR. ERLER: No, I understand those, but ---

DR. COX: Our educational efforts, but to be able to close the doors of the campus and keep all sin out has been beyond our ability.

DR. ERLER: And I understand that but it seems that racial bigotry and violence on campuses is especially bad, and I know that you've described a very elaborate bureaucracy in the U-C system for dealing with these things. I mean, it's obvious that in the U-C system, the bureaucracy is thriving and well. But are these programs working? Are you addressing the root causes? Are you ferreting out a cause/effect relationship? What's going on?

DR. COX: One of my colleagues who works in a hands-on way with these kinds of problems can answer that for you.

MS. OAKLAND: I wish I did have a specific answer for you. I do want to add one thing, however, and that is that at least in the area of violence against women, our

campuses are statistically significantly safer than the surrounding communities that they are situated in. the F-B-I does a fairly good job of keeping track of these kinds of things, we really can look at the difference -- as does our campus police -- we really have a way of looking at the number of reports we have out of a population of 65,000, for instance, at U-C-L-A versus any population in our surrounding community of 65,000. I think part of what happens is that it's such a heinous occurrence when it much. happens in an educational setting that it takes on a more significant visibility than it would in the surrounding community; and I'd like to add one other thing; and that is that if you're doing what we should be doing on our campuses, I believe you could expect the number of reported rapes, for instance, to increase rather than to decrease, and for the number of racial incidents to increase rather than decrease, and I think that's a healthy sign and I say that, and perhaps the best analogy would be child molestation, that for rape, for instance, it's such an under-reported crime, it's considered so much a crime of personal shame and degradation for the victim that they 4.7 often will not come forward and report. And often in things like racial harassment or violence; people feel that nothing will be done or they're uncomfortable talking about it and so there's a tendency not to come forward. With the

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ر سونته میدوینا ایرینا کو کو پوسی

kinds of educational things we're doing, we're starting to see more people come forward and I believe that's because we've removed that sense of personal stigma.

ricking of the second

.2

DR. ERLER: You think, then, that the perceived increase in racial bigotry is due, or perhaps due, only to the fact that more people are willing to report incidents than ever before?

MS. OAKLAND: I think that's part of it. I think that

-- I can't believe -- I'm not naive enough to believe that

if it's increasing throughout the country that it probably

is not also simultaneously increasing on our campuses. But

I do think that, for instance, and again, specifically in

terms of things like sexual assault, that the very large

increase we're starting to see in reports, I think, is not

an increase in incidents but an increase in reporting.

DR. ERLER: Well, I can see that with respect to reserval assault. But is there an analogy between racial bigotry and sexual assault?

MS. OAKLAND: I believe that there is primarily because of the kinds of things that women have come in and talked to me about in terms of what it would have been about 10 years ago where things would have just simply been kept privately or people would have dealt with it with their peers, they are now starting to come through the judicial system, and I think that's a positive thing. So,

in answer to your question, I think it's both. I think incidents are increasing, but I think perhaps not in proportion to the number of reports that we're getting. I think part of that is a good healthy sign, and again, I think if we're doing our jobs properly, it may quadruple in the next two years. I hope that it will. I hope people will come forward with these kinds of things so we can deal with them.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: Yes, on the faculty conduct policies; there's very specific situations that are described in there. Were these developed as a result of incidents in those areas?

DR. SWITKES: This policy was issued by the Regents -I'm not even sure initially -- but this current draft of it
was issued in 1974 and I really don't know the background -on how it got put together. I know that it's -- were we to
try and write it today, we would have a lot of trouble
coming to grips with exact wording, so I'm glad it's there.
I can't give you the background of why it was put together
and the way it was. I'm sorry.

MS. DAVIS: Secondly, I wondered if those campuses, that do have such extensive programs, what kind of a monitoring mechanism do you have in place and who is responsible for that in, you know, developing reports as

and P4

d P4 2

ST THE THE THE

4

5

7

8

9

11

3

1

3egin P5

- . 10

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

you go along and the effect that these programs are having?

THE REPORTER: Excuse me one moment, please.

(Thereupon, a short break was taken off the record to change the tape.)

THE REPORTER: Back on.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: The question had to do with monitoring. At our campus, and at others like ours, there are two primary officers responsible for tracking the data and then insisting on the development of new policies or programs based on what they see emerging from the data the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs, who is responsible for student life, and the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, who's responsible for all personnel on the campus, faculty as well as staff. The data all finally come together between those two individuals and are monitored on a regular basis.

MS. DAVIS: Are those reports made public?

DR. CARTWRIGHT: Yes, they are in terms of overall data but not in terms, or course, of individual cases because we are dealing there generally with confidential matters.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Are there further questions? Mr

MR. SILLAS: I wanted to get back to the issue of

increasing number, or your perception that there's an increasing number of incidents dealing with racial bigotry. Do you believe that that may be attributed -- or, let me back up. Has there been an increase in minority participation as students on the campuses, say in the last five years? Have we had an increase in enrollment of minorities in the last five years? And do you see that the possible increase in number of minorities now on campus as being one of the contributing factors to what you perceive to be as an increasing number of incidents?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There has been an increase in the number of DR. COX: We're very proud of minorities enrolled on our campuses. I'm happy to be able to say that. It's very possible. The thing that we have found in our tracking of bigotry and racial incidents is that everyone is involved in this. No one group is the victim. No sole group is the perpetrator. And all racial groups have one time or another had members of that group who have in some way exhibited bigotry against virtually every other group. So, what we're dealing with is the challenge of diversity, and we've described the educational programs that we have in . The admission to the University is a precious place. commodity. People want to get in. We are very pleased that we can find a place for them, but it brings challenges to us when we have a cross section of the people of

California on- a university campus.

المتديدون س

DR. ERLER: In implementing your affirmative action programs, and with the belief, at least, that they would be successful, thereby bringing in more minorities into the campuses, was there any planning done by the University at that time to anticipate the issues that you are now facing in preparing the faculty and staff and other students of the fact that there would now be a diversified population? And if so, to what extent?

DR. COX: The University has been working on this for a long time. As Ellen Switkes pointed out, the policy on faculty conduct which you have before you and which she has marked for you, has been in existence since, what did you say, 1974. The Regents' policy on non-discrimination has been in existence for -- I don't know the exact date, but over 10 -- more like, oh, about 10 years.

DR. ERLER: Let me interrupt you because of time. I understand the policies, but there was some testimony pertaining to sessions and workshops that are available for faculty and staff. My more specific question is, when were those implemented? And secondary, is it mandatory for faculty to attend those classes?

MS. ESTRADA: On the staff side, no, in answer to your second. I do not know the exact answer to when many of these workshops were implemented. I would expect that they

 $A_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ 

began when there was an increase in diversity on the campus on the employment side. I cannot help but agree with your earlier statement that some form of diversity on the employment side -- and that would be staff employment, management, as well as the faculty -- will result in, you know, increased focus, perhaps a different orientation, and maybe even more leadership on this issue, which is not to say that there currently is a leadership vacuum, but as we all know, depending on who's in charge, you get different -- perhaps a different focus. But I must say that in the area of staff affirmative action and attempts at diversity; currently there is in process a policy that has gone before the personnel managers, the affirmative action coordinators and is wending its way up through the rather bureaucratic university system, and it is specifically a policy on diversity. It does state in fairly general terms that the ... University will encourage and be open to different lifestyles and to different types of individuals irrespective of their sexual, ethnic or racial background. Again, it is merely a policy. Words on paper only mean so It's a question of how that is implemented and what type of leadership, as Dr. Cartwright began this; you know, our statements here, what sort of leadership takes that to the fore.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. SWITKES: In answer to your question about whether

these programs have been in place for a long time, with respect to faculty and students as well, they haven't been in place for a long time. They have been developed probably in the past five, ten years at the outset: "We catch our new faculty in orientation programs and they all come because they want to know more about what their worklife is going to be at the University of California and those programs have become more sophisticated and more encompassing and have included issues of diversity and their responsibilities as mentors for students and staff But it is difficult to get faculty who are in place to come to meetings and we go to them. We take to their department meetings. As I said before, at the Santa Barbara campus, for example, these films have been invited by the departments to come. But it's not easy to catch people after they've come in. And the same with staff..... There are staff orientation programs. There are lots of staff and faculty programs, but requiring people to come to them is difficult and we need to develop other ways to get to them. We have brochures. We have publicity that's widely distributed through mailboxes. But getting people to come to a training session has been difficult to do: 🐗 DR: ERLER: All right. Let me just pursue that for a

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moment. In your opinions, who has a greater impact in terms of the administration and direction of a campus, the

students or the faculty and the administrators?

DR. CARTWRIGHT: Well, in terms of the direction of the campus, if you mean the overall direction of the campus, I think that responsibility is clearly shared both by the University campus faculty and the campus administration.

DR. ERLER: So, what I understand your testimony to be is that students are required to take the orientation programs and these workshops, but faculty and staff, it's difficult for you to get them to attend meetings on issues that are very pertinent now --

MS. ESTRADA: No, that's not really what I said.

Orientation meetings it's easy to get staff and faculty to come and they do. The same with orientation sessions for students.

DR. ERLER: But these are the newly hired people.

MS. ESTRADA: Right.

DR. ERLER: The persons that have been there, who have tenure, as I understand --

MS. ESTRADA: We need to go to them and we need to make creative ways to inform them and to raise their awareness and that's been difficult, and we've been doing better and better at that.

DR. ERLER: Okay, but none of the courses that you've mentioned, or workshops that you've mentioned this morning

as it pertains to the diversity are required attendance for either the faculty or administrators who were there prior to it being implemented in the orientation program.

-- yet ake gr

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ESTRADA: Right. I don't think so. One type of program that is, I believe, mandatory is our training program for teaching assistants and we've added components to that -- well, it's really a training program that happens at the beginning of each year that cover sensitivity to diversity, racial incidents, and so on.

DR. CARTWRIGHT: If I might just follow-up in terms of the faculty involvement, the best way to get faculty, you don't get them when they come in, and as Dr. Switkes mentioned, we're doing that on a regular basis now, so we're moving them through the pipeline having been oriented properly, but the main contact with faculty beyond that is through the committee structure of the academic senate and through their department chair. We have found very successful an approach of bringing all the department chairs together to present information to them. example, we have had two meetings of all the chairs on affirmative action where we have talked about some of these issues in addition to the employment responsibilities of the chair. We've had nearly a hundred percent attendance at those meeting. It depends on who calls the meeting and it depends on letting the word get around about what kind

of follow-up you intend to do. In these cases, the Chancellor called the meeting and he made it clear in his letter of invitation that he expected people to be there, and then there was a written follow-up indicating what had transpired at the meeting and what was going to happen next so that people had a stake in being there and in paying attention to what was going on there and a responsibility to submit information at a future point about those responsibilities. Those kinds of things are not required -- they are persuasive -- but they can be very persuasive, indeed.

MR. SILLAS: Just one final comment, and this is really taking off on Dr. Erler's earlier comment, and that is that I think most all of us view that the universities would be a means of solutions for issues that we face in the outside community. Some of your comments earlier were a little disturbing in that you had pointed to the outside community as a basis to give as a criteria in terms of why you are successful. It seems to me that one of the things that we would want to accomplish in universities is that as this diversity comes to the campus, that the persons leaving there would then be able to live together outside of the campus; and I'm -- we're obviously running out of time -- but that is a concern that I think was echoed by Dr. Erler and certainly effected by myself.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

Bear.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you very much, Drs. Cox, Cartwright, and Switkes, and Directors Estrada and Oakland. This will conclude our morning program. We'll be taking a recess and returning at approximately 12:40 to begin with our afternoon speakers.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken off the record at 11:30 a.m., to reconvene at 12:40 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: This meeting of the California Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil ন্তু উদ্যাধী<sup>ত</sup> Rights will now come to order. The purpose of this meeting this afternoon is to obtain information on issues relating to bigotry and violence based on race, sex and religion in the California public post-secondary campuses. like to emphasize that this is a consultation and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with the Committee information relevant to today's inquiry. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee. We are concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this meeting. In the unlikely event that this situation should develop, "it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the persons making those statements and request that they decease in their action.

information will be stricken from the record. Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable in the subject matter area to come and speak. In addition, we have allocated time between the hours 4:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. to hear from anyone who wishes to share relevant information with the Committee about the issues under study. Our first speaker this afternoon is Rabbi Douglas Kahn from the Jewish Community Relations Council.

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 Whereupon,

-

was called as a witness herein and testified as follows:

RABBI DOUGLAS KAHN

13

14

15

16

24

25

11

12

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Would you have a seat, and when you begin your presentation, would you identify yourself and who you represent for the record?

RABBI KAHN: Thank you very much. I'm privileged to
be here today. My name is Douglas Kahn. I'm the Executive
Director of the Jewish Community Relations Council in San
Francisco. I'll read a short statement and out of
necessity I will compact my remarks into almost headlines
form so that we have as much time for discussion as

23 possible, but I am delighted to be here today.

There appears to be from general observation a significant increase in acts of bigotry and violence on

Today I will focus my remarks primarily college campuses. on the issue of anti-semitism but want to state for the record that our community is equally concerned with any form of racism, bigotry or intolerance. Within the general increase of bigotry and violence, there has been an increase of anti-semitism on campuses throughout the The past year alone has seen desecration of religious symbols, harassment of Jewish students antisemitic graffiti, distribution of hate literature, and other incidents. This disturbing trend might be traced to a number of factors which, as I stated I will only mention More negative perceptions and hostile in headline form. rhetoric toward Israel, which too often results in transferring blame onto American Jews for Israeli policy and the raising of old canards about American Jews and their loyalties. Corresponding alienation and lack of hypersensitivity to anti-semitism among numerous groups, 🦠 including some with which Jews previously formed strong coalitional efforts and which now no longer invite Jews, or actually disinvite Jews, from being a part of those broadercoalitions. Economic stress and increased competition felt by some disadvantaged groups and directed toward Jews. THE PROPERTY SECONDS Lack of the same degree of strong links between younger Jews and younger non-Jews that existed in previous The breakdown of the public education system. generations.

Mark of Teath

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a system which historically has helped diminish antisemitic attitudes and tendencies. And the exploitation of
hardcore hate groups of disaffected youth, particularly as
seen in the example of Skinheads.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Perhaps most of all, the increase in anti-semitism and other forms of bigotry can be traced to a breakdown of civility in our society. A few weeks ago I was on the phone daily with Jewish students from the University of California at Davis who were verbally and physically intimidated by Palestinian students. - From Dartmouth to Stanford, there have been in the past year encounters on campus mirroring the decreased civility in the general populous. The U-C system has not been exempt. At places such as U-C Davis, and I believe to a slightly lesser extent at U-C Berkeley, Jewish students today often feel personally vulnerable to a level of abuse substantially greater than in previous years, particularly if they are engaged in pro-Israel programming.

Others can elaborate on specific issues of bigotry and violence on U-C campuses; I would prefer to spend the remainder of my time on some thoughts about addressing these concerns. I might preface those thoughts by adding one other factor and that is that Jewish students face a difficult and unusual problem in that they typically see themselves as a minority -- after all, Jews are about 2-1/2

percent of the population — whose special needs should be addressed in various forums that deal with the problems of prejudice encountered by the minorities — after all, Jews are not exempt from prejudice. Yet, they are often viewed today not as minorities because of the perception that Jews have "made it" in American society and, therefore, are often not made to feel welcome in such forums that address issues of racism, bigotry, intolerance and the special concerns of minorities.

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In our experience, the single most important factor in ده من معلمه المناسبة combatting and prejudice is to show that such acts have no place on campus and will not be tolerated. The university administration, faculty senate, student groups, campus media and law enforcement personnel all have a special role in responding quickly and convincingly to create a climate that is hostile to bigotry. Bigots feed on fear, not trying to intimidate the victim but also trying to intimidate the bystander, and I think that's a point that's too often lost that bigots also try to intimidate the Special efforts are needed to move each of \_\_\_\_ bystander. these campus constituencies to understand the role they cam and need to play in combatting bigotry on campus. Speaking out and taking decisive action does not always come easily to the various entities I mentioned, particularly university administrations. It is the only way, however,

to let the bigots know they have no haven and to let the victims of bigotry know they do have an ally.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, it is important that all incidents -whether it's graffiti, hate-calls and hate mail, minor desecration of religious symbols -- be taken seriously both because victims of acts of hate often feel violated and vulnerable, and because of the deterrent effect of early responses. Last week, our organization testified in support of a hate crimes unit in the San Francisco Police Department believing such a unit could not only provide for better information gathering and policy recommendations regarding prejudiced based crimes, but that it could also serve as a deterrent sending a message to the community that apprehending the perpetrators of such acts is a high priority. By the same token, creation of a highly visible on-campus committee comprised of representation from the administration, faculty, students, law enforcement personnel and perhaps the media to coordinate the most effective responses to acts of bigotry and violence on campus could serve a very useful function.

Thirdly, it could be extremely helpful to also create an inter-campus consultative committee comprised of representatives from area campuses and from human relations organizations with expertise in this area to serve a kind of watchdog role and to develop strategies to increase

sensitivity on the campus and to combat incidents of intolerance against any minority. The notion that the campus is an island no longer holds. Hate spills over from the campus to the community and from the community to the campus and, therefore, an inter-communal approach which would bring people who are concerned with bigotry and prejudice in society together on a regular basis would be both desirable and logical. After all, we have a common purpose — to eliminate acts of prejudice and bigotry which distort and do violence to the very purpose for which our universities stand. We are prepared to help in any way toward the reduction of such bigotry, whether it be directed toward Jews or any other racial, ethnic or religious group.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. Are there some questions for Mr. Kahn? Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: Rabbi Kahn, you mentioned right at the offset that you've lost some of the relationships with other ethnic groups. What do you attribute this to?

RABBI KAHN: I think there are two main factors. I think the first factor is what I also alluded to which is that my sense is that Jewish students and non-Jewish students, when they come onto the college campus, haven't had the same degree of interaction with each other that

previous generations of Jews and non-Jews had. By the way, I don't mean to only turn this into Jewish/non-Jewish situation. I think it's probably true within other minority groups with respect to other minority groups as well, that in earlier days there was much more cross connection working on projects of common concern in early days and in high school, in college, and so on, and that there's just not the same degree of familiarity and attention to each other's agendas as there has been in previous generations.

I think the second thing that I would point to is the fact that there is a growing degree of sort of ideological alienation that has taken place for Jews as well. One example that has been most unfortunate is that in several universities where coalitions have been formed to combat apartheid in South Africa, Jewish students have been made to feel unwelcome in that coalition because they were told that Zionists should not take their place in such a coalition; and I think that kind of alienation has also served to create the gap that I alluded to. In some cases, as a result, Jewish students have gone on to form additional coalitions to combat apartheid because they want to weigh in on that issue. But that's the kind of thing that I was alluding to.

MS. DAVIS: Do you think that the position that the

Jewish community took on the Bakke decision also contributed to this alienation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RABBI KAHN: When you refer to the issue of affirmative action, I do not know that I would isolate that I think that over the past number of years there has been a perception perhaps particularly with respect to the Jewish and the black community of -- probably a wrong perception, I would add -- of a sort of parting of the traditional agreements that the two communities had over civil rights issues, and then when it came to decisions. such as the Bakke case, there was a feeling that perhaps the Jewish community and the black community were on theopposite end of the spectrum. I think that was ar misrepresentation, I might add, of the Jewish community's position which has always been supportive of goals and timetables with respect to affirmative action but has had trouble with quotas because of the connotation it has had historically when quotas were used against Jews to keep Jews out of universities. Nevertheless, I also think it's been a point of lack of sensitivity that the Jewish community might have had to some degree in terms of understanding how important an issue was or is for the black community and that there's also been a lack of sensitivity toward other issues, which is part of the alienation I referred to before, that different groups are

not as sensitive to the priority agendas of other minority groups as they have been in previous generations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Further questions? Dr. Erler.

DR. ERLER: Do you have any speculation as to why that might be the case, why there is less sensitivity to the position of other groups today as opposed year previous?

RABBI KAHN: One bit of speculation, again, I alluded to some of it before, I think, with sort of the ideological map as it's taken shape in which it's now much less popular to be supportive of Israel in certain circles; and if you ÎÑ. are supportive of Israel you're not necessarily welcome other coalitions. So, I think it's partly the ideological. map has been cut right now. But the other thing that I hadn't mentioned before that I thing could be a factor is the extent to which different groups feel that the issues today are much muddier, in a way, or let me put it slightly different: I think there's a sense of lack of appreciation for the battles of, say, the civil rights era and what this country had to go through to open the schools, to open free access in jobs and housing, public housing, education. a sense, there's a taking for granted that I believe is true among many groups -- it's true among younger Jews, 15 believe it's true among younger non-Jews as well? taking for granted of those gains that, therefore, doesn't draw the groups together in as readily identifiable common

purpose as was done previously. That is, when it was clear that clubs were discriminating and clubs, of course, still discriminate, but when it was clear that clubs and universities and all sorts of institutions were discriminating, they were discriminating against Jews and blacks and women and other minorities, and the common purpose was readily identified. As many of those legal barriers have come down, even though the de facto barriers often still exist, I believe that it's been harder to It still exists and our identify that common purpose. community and others still search for it, but it's much harder to translate those values, I think, generation that grew up taking these gains as a given than it might otherwise be. I don't know if that's a clear answer, but I think that's a large part of it. ...

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. ERLER: Do you believe that there's an increase in racial consciousness on the campuses generally and the universities generally?

RABBI KAHN: Racial consciousness in which sense?

DR. ERLER: That is to say the propensity to single yourself out as a particular member of a race and to press issues in terms of racial consciousness rather than the common purpose that you talked about earlier.

RABBI KAHN: I can't really comment to that extent.
We work very closely with campuses but I'm not on the

campus enough to know to what degree there is that kind of I would say that my sense is that it's not definition. mutually exclusive and that is to say that Jews are proud to define themselves as active American Jewish students as other groups are probably proud to define themselves according to their own racial or ethnic group, -but that that in no way precludes the kind of inter-group cooperation that has existed in previous generations and that I think serves as kind of safeguard against the lack of civility and the growth of the hostility that we talked about. So, yes, it may be that such racial consciousness. is a fact of life on the campus today ---Tean teanswer sure, but if it's true I don't see that in and of itself as being part of the problem.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Rabbi Kahn, does the Jewish

Community Relations Council monitor the University of

California campuses? You mentioned the incident at U-C

Davis. Do they monitor U-C Berkeley?

RABBI KAHN: We monitor to the extent that we are in a sense on-call to deal with any incidents that surface and that's why it's our view that there were no specific examples of anti-semitism that we are aware of at Berkeley in this past year, but we know of some in the past. I would --

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Would your organization be willing

to submit some factual information about what you're aware of what has occurred, I suppose, at U-C Davis --

RABBI KAHN: Yes, we --

Δ

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: And the University of California at Berkeley, and send us a written factual account of what happened and what the disposition was, or the resolution as you know it?

RABBI KAHN: We would be glad to. What kind of timeframe would you like us to go back to?

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: I believe, according to the Regional Director, the record is open for 10 days after the adjournment of this hearing. The information that we have from U-C Berkeley --

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: It can be extended.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Yes, it can be extended. The information that we have from U-C Berkeley relating to specific incidents covers from 1985 to 1988, so if you would be willing to submit specific factual information during that time period.

RABBI KAHN: My question would be, would that pertain to the other U-C campuses as well?

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Yes.

RABBI KAHN: Okay. That would be fine. We monitor are active only with those in Northern California, but if it would so desire the Committee, we can check with our

counterparts in Southern California as well and incorporate that into the report.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: That would be great. Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. SILLAS: Can I just ask one question?

6 CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay.

MR. SILLAS: Rabbi, you've made some, I think, very telling points. The question I would like you to address is that you mentioned that we're in a -- the ideological map is different, and you gave instances of specific groups who have a certain belief, and given that campuses are an arena for an exchange of ideas and opinions, and perhaps recognizing that those exchanges of ideas do not necessarily produce unanimity in opinions, could you assist us in defining what would be bigotry as opposed to differences of opinions and desire not to associate with someone that I believe is of a different opinion than myself?

RABBI KAHN: Let me give you three very brief examples drawn from three campuses, and I don't know that they all will qualify as bigotry per se. I think they certainly come within the ballpark. The first is at Berkeley, probably four or five years ago -- it might be slightly outside the timeline -- but one of the active Muslim organizations on campus distributed throughout the campus

The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, one of the most notorious pieces of anti-semitic that's ever existed that's been used for violent purposes in terms of defaming Jews and that has been clearly shown to be a hoax perpetrated by people who wanted to really do harm to the Jews. as I see it, which was an extension of the hostility that grows out of the Israeli/Arab conflict, was an act of antisemitic bigotry, an overt act of anti-semitic bigotry on the Berkeley campus. I might add that the administration at that time acted forcefully and quickly to address that concern and it was a short-lived distribution of the The Protocols of the Elders of Zion. The second incident occurred at San Francisco State University, which I understand will probably be the topic of another session so I didn't go into depth, but I could talk about a number of incidents at State universities. I won't today because of your own load of testimony. But a year-and-a-half ago, a Jewish student who was presumed to be accepted on the student governing council, the judicial council at San Francisco State University was told, and this is almost any verbatim quote, from some members of the community, of the student community that they would not allow him to take his seat because of his pro-Zionist activities. I believe that that was an act of anti-Jewish discrimination as an unacceptable extension of the ideological issues that

飘水一光微

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

naturally go on on the campus. All of these, in my opinion, are unacceptable extensions beyond the borders of civility that go on, and what happened at U-C Davis a month ago I also believe is true- It's perfectly natural that students would line up on all sides of the Israeli/Arab conflict, but without going into detail today because we will submit data on it, the degree of physical and verbal intimidation that was heaped upon Jewish students day after day by a number of Palestinian and pro-Palestinian students on that campus had the actual effect of intimidating students who are not easily intimidated. They re students who are gun shy from being out in front and being visible on the campus. But it wasn't a substantive debate that is appropriate for the university campus. clear attempt at intimidation of the students and I would argue that that also goes beyond the border of the kind of acceptable discourse -- and even an even difficult discourse that you're talking about. Of course, there has to be as free range of debate as possible, but when students are really intimidated to the degree that sometimes now happens, I believe that that also extends beyond the line. So, I think those are three examples of the kind of -- it's another spillover effect. Talluded to the spillover effect from the campus to the community and This is the spillover effect from honest ideological back.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

differences into clear acts that are threatening, that are intimidating, and that make the victim of such acts feel very vulnerable to be active on campus; and I don't believe that students should be intimidated into being silent when they feel that they do have legitimate differences with others.

DR. ERLER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you, Rabbi Kahn. Can we hear from the African American perspective? Our speakers are listed as Kimberly Papillion, Lance Johnson and Harry LeGrande. Could you come forward, please?

Whereupon,

## KIMBERLY PAPILLION

## LANCE JOHNSON

## HARRY LEGRANDE

were called as witnesses herein and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Before you speak, will you identify yourself and the organization that you are representing for the record, please?

MR. JOHNSON: My name is Lance Johnson and I'm representing the African Students Association at the campus of U-C Berkeley. Kim Papillion is running a little bit late, so she should be arriving any minute now, and she's

also representing the African Students Association at U-C Berkeley. We're both the co-chair for this organization.

MR. LEGRANDE: I'm Harry LeGrande. T'm the Associate Director of Campus Housing for the University of California at Berkeley.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: In reference to the campus and the attitudes towards black students on campus, that's what I'd like to speak about, and I think that, you know, many of these attitudes towards black students are what is causing many of the problems — causing many of the problems on campus. You know, we aren't — these attitudes towards blacks are reflected in not only the curriculum and the professors' teaching courses, but they're also reflected in the actions taken towards black students in other instances such as one of the events that happened this weekend, which is what I would like to give a report about.

This past weekend, this Saturday, I think it's July

15th, there was a party given and at this party had fight
had broken out earlier that evening, shortly before I

arrived. The party was, you know, was continued and later
on, around 1:00 o'clock, another fight broke out among
about two to three people. By this time, the fight was

moved outside and people ran outside the party to get away

from whatever was going on. By the time that I had had a chance to leave the party and get to Bancroft Avenue, which is the street that runs along the front of the campus, which is not very far away-from where the party was held at the Bear's Lair, there were 21 cop cars that I counted stretched from Berkeley and Telegraph to the parking lot area to Esterman (ph) Hall. At this time, the cops were moving to form a police line. They formed a police line that extended from the Bear's Lair to the Zeller Bar (ph), and this is in reference -- all these cops were called out in reference to a fight that broke out among four people. There were students This was a student-held event. majority of the people at the party were students. The students were actually were outside on the lower Sproul area after the party had been broken up. The cops had formed a police line. The cops were stretched out all along Bancroft Avenue, and without warning, without any notice that was done over a loudspeaker or anything, the cop line charged this group. The fight had been broken up and all the people out there were being charged by the police. They were being hit with billy clubs males, females -- people were being stepped on and trampled because the police were charging after them and people were running away from the police. After the people had run out to Bancroft, the street that's right in front of there, to

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get away, several instances broke out of, what I would call, police brutality. One student was beaten down to the ground, and I watched this incident happen. The cops were kicking him, and beating and hitting him with billy clubs. Another student ran over -- now, these are students at U-C Berkeley and one of the students was student at Davis -- ran over and threw his body on top of the other person because he was being beaten so badly by the police to protect him, and that student was beaten so badly that he passed out at the police department after he was taken He was -- I watched him get beaten up by the cops and they threw him in on his back into the paddy wagon. Right now we're following up all these different things with N-A-A-C-P lawyers and we're following up with trying to make reports to -- I've been talking to Assemblyman Tom Bates and various other people to try and follow it up also that way. We would like to have the suits followed up by the different individuals who were actually harmed. most of the events that were occurring on Bancroft, the police extended their attack on the students further than the campus, you know, they were chasing students across, you know, two and three blocks away from the actual incident; and so, I think this reflects; you know, many the attitudes that there are towards black students because, you know, there have been many cases of fights

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

14

17

12

15 End P5 16

! 18 19

/ 20 Begin P6

... **23** 

22

25

24

breaking out at other fraternity parties that are held mainly by Caucasian individuals in other fraternities and, you know, the response by the police has never been this way. And, you know, I think this is directly reflective of how people feel about, you know, black students and black people in general because a response like this to, you know, to an incident like this, I feel, you know, overt because it was unnecessary and I think that, you know, given the way that they acted, you can sort of see how, you know, this tension that exists, at least, on campus and off campus between students and other. Taknow Ta

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: For the record, would you identify --

THE REPORTER: Excuse me one moment, please. Sorry about that.

(Thereupon, a short break was taken off the record to change the tape.)

THE REPORTER: Okay, now we're on. Go ahead.

MS. PAPILLION: Shall I re-identify myself?

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Please.

MS. PAPILLION: Kimberly Papillion from the African \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Students Association at University of California, Berkeley.

T. 3 #

I'm the female co-chair of that organization. What I would like to say is less an account of incidents and more of an account of emotions and feelings that I believe many U-C Berkeley students of African American origin, and other U-C Berkeley students of color may feel any time throughout their four-year time at U-C Berkeley. Quite honestly, there are three different levels, or three different sides that racism is coming at us from. We have the students who, out of ignorance or in attempt to be hurtful or for whatever reasons, are coming at the African American students with different comments, different actions, and a particular attitude. We have the administration, sometimes not so sympathetic to our views and our rights. And then, as Lance just described to you, we have the police, definitely not a new factor in the whole scheme of things.

1

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dealing with the problem on a whole, what I can see happening is there is an attitude out there which says, this racism, however you want to define racism from any broad perspective, this racism is tolerable. We will allow this to go on. We, the University, the people in power, will tolerate these types of racist activities from someone scrawling a swastika on the wall of a dorm room to people being called names that would be offensive to physical intimidation, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, from

students from the police. They also say, we will tolerate a lack of diversity in the curriculum in the classrooms. We will tolerate letting professors, whether they have tenure or not, to insult you, to assume that you are unworthy as an African American student to be present on this campus. And what we will not tolerate, however, is you protesting any of these actions. So, what it becomes is that there are no negative sanctions; or very few negative sanctions, or even much worse, delayed negative sanctions taken on those who are doing the abusing and there are -- we get negative sanctions taken on those who are the victims and are trying to themselves or react in some way, shape or form as There then becomes a problem: African American students have to make Am I going to be quiet and get my degree in the next four years? Or am I going to take some type of action to fight back? Am I going to fit into this society and become quote/unquote, whatever that may mean, more whitelike, more assimilated? Or am I going to step back andremember that I have as much right to be here as anybody else and to express my culture, my feelings and the views of my community just as anyone else here has the right to do so? In a nutshell, that's what we're somewhat

Sally grown growing

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with.

Éúic.

The problem, then, comes down to what the

administration might need to do, the question between 1 prevention versus punishment. Like I said, punishment 2 often comes on those students who are fighting back. 3 Prevention is rarely taken. The police force, as of yet, U-C-P-D, has not been educated on, say, diversity issues. 5 They could be given a simple education program or some type of maybe deprogramming which I have heard has been done 7 before to say, "Hey, look, you're coming into this job with 8 certain perspectives. You may be threatened by these 9 African American students who are out to get their four 10 You may dislike these students because year degrees. 11 they're African American and because they 12 their four-year degrees; and, therefore, those two combined : 13 may lead to you acting out upon these black students for 14 possibly nothing at all." The same type of educational 15 program should have to take place with the professors and 16 the faculty and administration to say, "Look, the students 17 here who are African American are not here because we are 18 generous." Affirmative action, in my opinion, is not a 19 method to diversify. Affirmative action is a payback and 20 we are paying them back. We are paying the African 21 American students back for all the years that they were not .22 let into this institution, and it is not a matter now of 23 they are not welcome or they do not desire to be here. 24 is a matter of, we must expand our minds and open up house

25

so that these students will feel more welcome and everyone 1\_ can get an education. It's hard for the students to take upon themselves, however, to say, "Look, administration, 3 you need to do this." Students alone African American, liberal white students, Latino students, Native American 5 students, and Asian students -- alone cannot say, "Look, you need to change." It has happened before and small 7 changes have taken place, but it's the continual pressure of those people who are in power, those people who already have their four-year degrees, those people who are willing 10 to say, "I'm going to make my own decision, and I m going 11 it is wrong to say what's happening here is wrong 12 any student should walk onto the campus that they have been 13 accepted to, that they have paid their reg fees to go to, 14 and be told they do not belong for whatever reason, be it a 15 disabled student, be it a female student, be it a student 16 who was wearing the wrong color that particular day. 17 as simple as that. And it takes more than the students to 18 say that to the administration because we've been saying it-19 for quite some time. We've been saying it in a reactive \_\_\_ 20 Can't you help us out? mode --: "Hey, we got hurt today. 21 And they've been saying, "Look at those students down there 22 protesting conclear them out of the way." 23

There have been many accusations that the incident that Lance described was, in fact, planned. Phone calls

24

25

22

23

24

25

were overheard which said, "Look, we hear that this fraternity is going to have a party. You know, maybe we should be there in full force." Things like that happening where it is assumed that African American students don't belong here and would cause trouble here at U-C Berkeley. That's a problem that we have to contend with, but that we should not have to contend with alone. A number of speakers have often used the analogy that if you're going to invite someone to your table, you should at least be polite and not allow the other guests at the table to insult them, not make them sit in the kitchen Because they're different, not serve them a plate that you know they can eat -- they're vegetarian and you give them steak and you say, "Well, that's the way it is in my house." That's the same type of feeling somehow that I get as an . African American student sitting at the table of U-C Berkeley. I feel like they're saying, "This is the curriculum whether you like it or not. You will take this expository essay class, this basic reading and writing class. We will put 11 books on your book list. They will all be written by white men." That's the steak. We know, you're a vegetarian, we know that you need more than that that most students need more than that, but your specifically need to have a chance to analyze in front of your other classmates, to discuss, to read, and to

appreciate literature from all different backgrounds. But this is what's at our table today, and this is what you will eat. If you don't want to eat it, you can leave.

You've already paid to be here. You've already received the invitation. But you can leave if you don't like it.

And if you can imagine yourself sitting at that table and getting that response from a host or hostess who thought would be kindly to you, it can be a shock, and by the time you get over that shock, you're either too scared to react or it's too late. We don't need African American students and Latino students and Native American and Asian students on campus who are in the situation of having it he too late.

It is a shame that so many students of color have had to leave the campus and go on to other institutions because they felt uncomfortable at what I think is one of the finest institutions in the whole world, certainly the nation. And if someone at that table would say, "Look, it's morally wrong to make you eat steak. We know you're allergic to it or you're a vegetarian. It's morally wrong to have you sit there at the corner of the table with the smaller seat. It's morally wrong to have all of my guests sit here and insult you and tell you you don't belong and it's morally wrong for me to say, 'Well, to avoid this trouble, we'll just make you eat in the kitchen.'" If the

hostess and the host would say, "I'm going to take action. I am going to make sure that you do not have to fight to stay here at this table. I am going to realize that this table was probably set by your ancestors. I am going to realize that the food that I'm eating was probably brought in by members of your family. I'm going to realize that this whole table and this house would never have been built had it not been for the contributions of your race and culture. And so I am going to take steps to make sure that you are as welcome here as anybody else because a guest is a guest. And that's all.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. LeGrande.

2-22<u>-</u>22

MR. LEGRANDE: Madame Chairman and members of the Commission, I apologize for not having a formal document to you but I've been out of the State and then I'm on vacation so I'm coming in kind of cold to deal with this, but I really felt the need to be here. As I stated earlier, I'm the Associate Director for Campus Housing on the U-C Berkeley campus, and what I plan to share with you are some of my perspectives or perceptions of what's happening on the campus from an African American perspective. I think that bigotry exists because students come to our campus with 17 or more years of family input, and in the four to five years that we have them there, we have to begin to make a dent in those attitudes, and I know that that is

probably the case because I remember from my own background that the kinds of things I heard about other ethnic groups when I was growing up, and by going to college in an environment that was predominantly white it made me really have to realize and look at who are these people around me and what were they really all about. And I don't really think that high schools have really been forced to deal with that issue, and so once they reach the university. campus, we are kind of left trying to do kind of synopsis of an educational period. Many of our students today are a product of the 60's, of the peace and love for one another generation in that they really don't feel they have any ownership in racism. - In my discussion with your Americans when questioned, they really don't believe that what they've done -- that an act that they've taken is even racist, even an act that is as blatant as a cross burningor appearing in blackface at a fraternity skit or a party.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I had the occasion last year of having my department, demonstrated on by about 40 black students who were protesting racism in the residence halls, and I have come question if I, not being a black person, was working in that office and setting an example, what would have happened to those 40 or 50 students when they marched on the Housing Office? At the time, I had two choices: I could let the police come in and arrest those students, or

They were

I heard after

I could try to work with those students and really make 1 that a teachable moment, and I chose the latter. 2 more than willing to send the troops over, if you will, but 3 I had requested that the troops not be sent; that one or two officers that were black, that were not in uniform be present to work with the students and with me that day in working through the issues that were prevalent; and I think that the outcome of that was a positive one. 8 the fact that students really had chosen the Housing Office because they felt there was some compassion there; there 10 were people there that really would listen and would try to 11 take a stand with them. Kim and Lance can talk 12 later. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of my personal objectives, I guess, is to make the residence halls an environment that is comfortable for all students, but particularly students of color because I guess I feel that you can deal with a lot of racism or behaviors in society, on the campus, in your classroom, in the community, but you need to feel comfortable when you---come home that that's an environment that is nurturing and is warm and is accepting of you. Unfortunately, we have not -- we're not there yet. We have about 10 months of students living on campus in order to try to inundate them with information about other cultures, preparing them to live in a pluralistic society, one that is not strictly

black or white but is made up of many different cultures. if you will. One of the things that we strive for in the Housing Office is that we devote about 27 hours of our 80hour training program for our live-in staff to deal with issues of diversity, whether that be based on sexual orientation, ethnic diversity, religious or what have you. But all it takes is one "How does your hair do that?" or "You're different from the others." -- that can erase all the things that we try to accomplish and I think it's an on-going battle because every year you get a new crop of students coming in that are coming from the same point, and I think that even students of color who grown up in integrated neighborhoods confront some of these same issues when they come to the Berkeley campus. Although for a lot of those students, I believe there's kind of a mixed message -- our friends didn't treat us like this; why are we having to deal with this once we've come to this campus?

THE THIRD WAS

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another reality for us is that many of our students are coming from mixed heritages. They're neither black nor white, brown nor white, black and black or brown and brown, but their families are a composite of both those racial groups and many times we're forcing them to make a choice whether it's on a federal form or on a campus form when you have to self-identify what ethnic group you belong to. If

you don't feel that either black or white but you are a party of both, we're in some ways excluding those students from being a part of that total campus environment, and that's an issue that we're continuing to address.

1

2

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that our campus has a very vocal and a maybe somewhat bureaucratic commitment to combatting racism, sexism and religious harassment. We have been fortunate, I think, in this sense that we have not had any real media grabbing acts of violence such as an Amherst or a University of Michigan might have encountered, although I believe there is an undercurrent of perceived discrimination that young white males may feel which I think we are going to be headed for a backlash if we don't begin to deal with those issues. In my discussions with students, I find a lot of negative attention focused on There's a perception that quotas still affirmative action. exist, that people are selected based on the melanin in their skin versus what's in their head and in their hearts. Also, our desire to cover all ethnic groups has the resultof pitting one group against another. It also leads to a feeling that there are enough blacks in a position of power; let's earmark these jobs for some other groups. This perpetuates the feeling that competent people are no sought out and hired regardless of color, but that we really are basing that on trying to fill what I would say

. - R - II

is a public image and to accomplish our goals. Promotion opportunities to senior level management positions still in great numbers escape many women and minorities, and I think that that needs to become the norm and not the exception.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to maybe talk about a few solutions that I think are worth considering. One is that we definitely need to increase the number of women and people of color at all disciplines of the academic campus and in the upper administrative levels. I believe it's important to have positive role models that are key to empowering those who are not as powerful. People of color and women in key. administrative positions should become earlier, and not the exception so we don't begin to whisper, and we still do this today when we see a black person up there as a Vice Chancellor -- "Oh, I wonder how that person got there." There's always this question there; it's not something that's just taken for granted that this person has the ability and the knowledge to be I think that it's true that people of color and women must be recognized and compensated for the additional. role that they take beyond that of what their job might be on a college campus. I was hired as the Associate Director of Campus Housing, not the black Associate Director of Campus Housing, but based on who I am and what my background is, there are unwritten expectations, I think,

24

25

upon me, whether it's from students expecting support and information from me, or fellow black colleagues that are also depending upon me in helping their lives more tolerable in their work environment. I think this is a double-edged sword in the sense that as a black person, I think many times I'm provided greater exposure than maybe my white counterparts are, but I also get burned out or you can get burned out faster because you're on every committee and you're on every task force because you need to have that kind of visibility and they want that cross section of representation. I think campuses should develop data banks on women and minorities to increase the numbers. I believe that if a Howard and a Spellman and a Tuskegee Institute --can find qualified and comparable black faculty and staff, that the University of California should be able to do I believe it's also important that Euro-American males begin to take ownership in training for diversity in multi-culturalism rather than sitting back and waiting to be learned. I think if you attend many multi-cultural workshops you will see them given by predominantly people of color or white females, but you very rarely see a white male involved in that kind of role, and I think that that? important in dispelling the myth that it's a black or white, brown or white issue. I think we need to stop substituting statistics for reality. We can hide a great

number of things in numbers. The incidence of violence, the percentage of incoming whatevers do not help when, as these two students have identified, the feelings are that I'm not welcome here. A 17 percent, a 27 percent, a 16 percent doesn't mean anything if the underlying statement is, "You don't belong here. You got in because you're brown or because you're Asian or because you're black."

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of my earlier colleagues stated that by educating students we will see an increase in the areas of reporting, and I would agree that that is, indeed, the case. One of the things that we in the Housing Office did about two years ago when the campus -- well, we decided to identify a staff position that would deal with multi-cultural concerns specifically because one of the offsets of that was that Berkeley decided that it would give priority for housing & for affirmative action students, and when you're living in an environment that is based on a lottery, that definitely changes the makeup of the residence halls as they were previously known on the Berkeley campus. This meant that we had to get off our, if you'll excuse my expression, our butts and getting out there and really recruiting and looking for students of color to come in and fill those positions. The traditional ways of regruiting individuals did not work. You could not send a flyer to the African Students Association. You could not just send a flyer to

the Center for Latin American Studies. That meant that we had to spend some quality time meeting with individuals, talking with students and encouraging them that we did want them to be a part of our program and that they had as much a right to serve as role models for those incoming freshman as their non-white counterparts.

I think the other things is I attended a conference recently on racial issues in American higher education sponsored by the Southwest Center for the Study of Human Relations in Oklahoma City. What I saw at that conference were a lot of people of color and a lot of women. I saw very few white males, and I saw very few folks in the upper administration attending that conference. There was a lot of worthwhile information and I think it could benefit us in having to re-invent the wheel. And I'm willing to take questions at this point.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. Are there questions for this panel? Mr. Sillas. Or, Ms. Davis first.

MS. DAVIS: To the students, this morning we had a presentation from the Berkeley administration and they gave us a whole bunch of information on a variety of programs, addressed some of the issues that you mention here. Are you aware of those — the programs, and do you feel that they're making any changes through the programs?

MR. JOHNSON: Okay, just recently, this past semester,

we were dealing with that issue about if students on campus 1 were aware of all these different programs which are on 2 campus, and many of the students that we had talked to and 3 discussed this with were not aware of a lot of the different things that existed on campus. So, we fought for and we just recently selected an Afro-American student resource coordinator to coordinate, you know, all these different things that are available to students so they can 8 find out about them, or at least know about them because the campus is so large that it's easy for students to get 10 lost and then people, you know, aren't exactly sure 11 So, that's the everything that's going on. 12 selected\_the Afro-American students resource -coordinator\_ 13 this past year. 14

MS. DAVIS: Also, were the police you're dealing with campus police or city police?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

·23

24

25

MR. JOHNSON: During the initial incident and breaking up of the fight, it was campus police. But the police that were hitting the students with billy clubs and the ones that formed the police line were city police. Now, from what I understand, I'm exactly sure if this is the exact number of the code -- it was code 1199 -- which calls out all the available police in the area. That involved all the police in Albany, Kensington, El Cerrito, and Berkeley, and so all these police were called out for an incident

which involved maybe four students, tops.

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PAPILLION: Also, in response to that, there has been in the past a problem with letting the students know what the resources are that are available to them, but at the same time, quite often the University will create a program and name the program and the program will not be as substantive as they advertised it to be. They are working on different programs for diversity education and my understand of those programs is that they are not hard They are programs that say, "Let"s all live hitting. together and be happy," instead of ones that say, "You're coming from a viewpoint that has been put in you for the past 18, 19, 20 years. You need to be reprogrammed. need to be told that not every black person is going to steal your purse. You need to be told that not every Latino person cannot speak English. You need to be re-told because all you have seen is your environment and what you've been given by the media." And there hasn't been an acceptance taken for that to say, "Look, western culturehas mis-educated me. I need to be re-educated." Instead, they're saying, "Let's educate the people of color. change them so that they can better assimilate into environment: "...

MR. JOHNSON: And on that note, a lot of the programs that exist, exist for good reasons but they aren't

是60000

effective because people don't about them, basically for informational reasons, and because they haven't been followed through in terms of having students, lots of students coming to these programs and meeting with them and things of that sort.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Sillas.

MR. SILLAS: I have a couple of questions. One, just

MR. SILLAS: I have a couple of questions. One, just for the record, I'm assuming but you didn't say that the incidents that you complained about dealt with black students.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHNSON: The majority of the students who were at the party were black students: I would say about 98 to 99 percent of the students at the party were black students.

MR. SILLAS: And did the people that -- and the students that you saw being beaten, were they all minority students or were there white students also included in it?

MR. JOHNSON: I only saw black students being beaten, and I only have accounts from records that we have obtained through an informational sheet that we handed out from black students that were hit and beaten.

MR. SILLAS: Were there white students in attendance at the party?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, there were a few white students and other minorities.

MR. SILLAS: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Papillion, a

1

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

couple of questions. As mentioned earlier, there was testimony this morning from representatives from the University that talked about the various programs that they have in place, and one of the things they indicated was their belief that as the programs become known to students that there would be an increase in complaints. Let me ask you, what is your feeling in terms of your belief of a response from an administration if, in fact, you were to file a complaint over a racial incident presently?

MS. PAPILLION: Oh, you're saying -- let me make sure that I understand -- you're saying that you think that once they educate people on what truly is racist, then more people will come and complain and let people know.

MR. SILLAS: Well, that was their testimony. testimony was that once people know these programs are in place that we will begin to see more incidents of racism because people will begin file complaints about them, referring to child molestation and increase of numbers because now people have become more aware of it; rape because women feel more willing to come forward. question is, as minority students, is it your sense that minority students would come forward with complaints of racism if they believed there was a program? that's one question, and the second question is, is that your belief now that you could file a complaint and that

1

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 MS. PAPILLION: I would say, in responding to your

the administration would be responsive and receptive to it?

first question, that the University would have to do more than put together a couple of programs to convince the black students who have already heard all these awful tales about how case after case after case has gotten caught and left up in this particular office and that particular office because fraternity member A had a good a lawyer because his father knew such-and-such a person and suchand-such a person, and therefore caught the case up in an office for so long that everybody had graduated, got their degrees and moved on to starting their own businesses still nothing had happened with this case. When the problems are so strong to the point at which there is really a disbelief that the administration will do anything at all aside of putting a letter in the school newspaper, then it would take a lot more than a couple of these programs to convince the students. I feel that some students -- I feel that once they start a couple of programs, the same students who came before and lodged their complaints will be those students who will come. again. I don't think it's a matter of them saying. Look, now we have a place for you to come and put your piece of paper in the box." It has to be a matter of, "Here is an

example. Look what we did for this person when they

4.8

Look how motivated we are in making sure that this is a good environment for you." That is what will have to happen, not three more programs. The students will say this doesn't make a difference to me; show me where person A, B, and C have punished for doing D, E, and F. That's what it's going to take.

Now, the second question you asked me was?

MR. SILLAS: Whether you feel right now that you could file a complaint and that the administration would be responsive and receptive.

MS. PAPILLION: Okay, yeah. Then I have answered that. I really don't believe that I have — from so many people that I know who have filed complaints for so many various reasons and they've set up commission after committee and a committee to study that commission and a commission to study that committee to the point at which, I mean, it gets so far out of our hands — it's in Sacramento or in Washington or somewhere in Davis and the Berkeley — students don't feel that there's a tangible solution.

MR. SILLAS: There'll probably be another committee after us, but --

MS. PAPILLION: Right.

MR. SILLAS: One final question. Do you believe that minority faculty and administrators assist in the question

 of acceptance of diversity? Or do they have no impact at all?

MS. PAPILLION: I think they would have a slight impact. It must be a very difficult position to be the only person of color or the only woman on a faculty of anywhere between 20 to 50 white men, all who received tenure before 1960. That must be a trial and a tribulation and you must --

MR. SILLAS: Okay, let me interrupt you just a minute because you've jumped into one person of 20. My question is really, supposing you had 10 of 20.

MS. PAPILLION: Okay.

MR. SILLAS: Do you sense that -- do you have any opinion as to whether or not that would have any impact on the issue we're discussing today dealing with bigotry and violence?

MS. PAPILLION: Within the faculty, yes, and possibly within the students. Suddenly in front of you there is a black professor, a distinguished black professor, a distinguished Latino professor, and they're teaching you what happened, you will get a different perspective on who is intellectually capable, who has information, who has the ability to teach and who has the ability to learn; and it does change the whole perspective of how people look at life. A student looks at there's the professor up on the

podium with a large chalkboard -- oh, a person in authority who's a person of color. This is strange to me. Maybe I can learn about that. But at the point right now, if the University used as much -- was as tenacious about recruiting people of color into faculty and staff positions as they are about recruiting people of color onto their football and basketball teams, we would have a large, large difference.

MR. SILLAS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Ms. Jett.

MS. JETT: Okay, this morning the University mentioned the Racial Grievance Board. Are you familiar with that board? Or have you had an opportunity to utilize that board to your advantage?

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Yes, we are familiar with the racial grievance committee and this is a committee where students bring up problems that they have, but like Kim had mentioned before, you know, whenever students bring these things forwards, a lot of times things aren't followed through with and things aren't -- things get, you know, transferred to so many different committees after that and taken up and lost, you know, and so when you have a racial -- when you have this committee that's supposed to be effective, it isn't as effective as it could be if things were, you know, more -- dealt with in a better way,

I guess.

MS. JETT: Okay, you had the incident last weekend.
What position did the University take in terms of assisting
you?

MR. JOHNSON: We're dealing with that right now in terms of the University. Right now, we're dealing with the Afro-American resource coordinator who's been helping us with that. As far as the University, the campus police have come out with a statement and as far as the administration on a whole, they haven't responded to us yet.

MS. JETT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Carney.

MR. CARNEY: Thank you. Mr. Johnson, with respect to your observations of this incident last weekend, can you tell us how long a period of time went by from the time the campus police arrived until the time that the city police arrived?

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I would say a matter of at the most five minutes in the time that the city police arrived. The police were there within the time it took me to walk away from the party to the street, which is about 200, 300 yards away from the party, and the campus police were already there, and the city police were already at the scene. So, I would say at the most five minutes before the

\_

-- after the campus police got there.

MR. CARNEY: And I'm not clear, did this incident take place on campus, or immediately off campus?

MR. JOHNSON: Okay, the fight took place on campus in the party and the initial incident which started everything with the police charging at the students was on campus, and students were hit by the Berkeley police department, which was on the campus as they tried to run away, and then that's when other instances and more brutal incidents occurred on the street of Bancroft, which is right off the campus:

MR. CARNEY: Was there anyone that you've spoken to who had the opportunity -- or not the opportunity -- who did, in fact, hear any type of warning by any police to either stop or cease and desist or anything like that?

MR. JOHNSON: To stop what?

MR. CARNEY: To stop the activity -- the fighting or running or whatever -- before the --

MR. JOHNSON: Before the police line started?

MR. CARNEY: Melee started?

MR. JOHNSON: Before the police -- Not that I've talked to. The reports that I have read from students who have filled out the forms and people who I've talked to said that they were unaware that the police were going to start moving towards them.

MR. CARNEY: Has anyone requested the tapes of the radio calls, phone calls to the local city police and the radio calls from the dispatcher to the police units?

MR. JOHNSON: I'm not sure about that right now.

MR. CARNEY: Has anybody reported statements made by the police to the individuals who were getting accosted by the police officers?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes. Yes, and one of the statements
that was made, and I quote, was "I wish I was your dad so I
could beat your ass."

MR. CARNEY: What was the racial makeup of the police officers, if you can -- the ones that you observed?

MR. JOHNSON: That I observed. I would say that approximately over 90 percent of the police officers were white male. There were a few minority cops and there were a few women police officers.

MR. CARNEY: Did you see any of the minority police officers or the women officers engage in this activity of striking or beating or kicking or whatever any of these students?

MR. JOHNSON: I did see some around people who were being detained and being beaten. I didn't see any actual - any of the black officers actually hitting anybody.

MR. CARNEY: Do you have any information with respect to the names of those individuals -- the minority officers

and the women officers?

MR. JOHNSON: Some of the campus officers, we do have some of the names of the minority individuals and we have access to some of their names, but as far as the city police, we do not.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Ms. Papillion, if I may --

MS. PAPILLION: Could I also add to that for one moment?

MR. CARNEY: Certainly.

MS. PAPILLION: We also have heard of an incident where one of the campus police officers who was an African American male -- they hire students also to do some of the campus security -- and he was involved in an incident where a police officer or a number of police officers were attacking another black male with a billy club --

MR. JOHNSON: It was a black female.

MS. PAPILLION: Was it a black female and a black male?

MR. JOHNSON: The police officers were hitting a black female with their billy clubs and pushing her down the street, and he told them not to do this and then he got in the way of the police officer pushing the black female and they threatened him with arrest and they grabbed his arm and carried him up the street and told him that they were going to arrest him and have him fired.

1 2 m
3 R
4 t
5 W
6 C
7 "
8 f
9 t
10 11 c
11 d
12 d
13 i
14 c
15 t

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Now, Ms. Papillion, this MR. CARNEY: morning we were addressed by Julian Klugman, Western Regional Director for the Community Relations Service of the United States Justice Department, and he presented us with information that was apparently dug up by the American Council on Education and published in an article entitled, "Campus Trends -- 1988," and in it there was identified the following national trends for minorities and included therein was an observation that black and Hispanic students -- I'm sorry -- blacks attending historically black colleges and universities are more likely to complete a degree than those attending predominantly white institutions. Would you be in a position to state whether or not you could say that that would be because of the treatment the students at the white universities receive, that is the minority students, the black students --

MS. PAPILLION: I would say that's highly likely.

There is always going to be the case of, and I'm sure they used this in the days of desegregation, where people will say, "Well, these students of color just will not feel as comfortable around white students. They should, quote/unquote, stay with their own kind. They will feel more comfortable there. It will be a more supportive environment. It will work better for them." And for some students, it does work better; and what I think most people

22

23

24

25

are saying is not that this racism makes one of the solutions to be that students of color should go and set up these different colleges and go into these different colleges and try to get their degrees there, but rather that these institutions should change so that everybody has There should an option to go to whichever one they want. be that option. But I do think, in response to your question, that it is a matter of racism, overt and covert, and a sense of not being welcomed, and I do believe it was the Black Task Force report of 1987 in which the University came out with statistics and a report specifically saying that 50 percent of the students that will not graduate in the five years, or approximately half of students that will not graduate in five years were people of color -- Latinos, African Americans, and Native Americans, specifically -will leave with a 2.0 G-P-A or above. In other words, they will leave in good academic standing. The factors that they said would affect that 50 percent as to why they left would be financial problems and a feeling of alienation. -Financial aid is always going to be a problem, but the sense of alienation will be a major problem as long as there is a number of white students who will not accept. who will not learn about, and who will reject the idea of African American and Latino students coming into a predominantly white institution.

r P6

1 2

3

4

5

Begin P7

6

8

7

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

THE REPORTER: Excuse me one moment, please.

(Thereupon, a short break was taken off the record to change the tape.)

THE REPORTER: Okay. Back on.

MR. CARNEY: In response to Mr. Sillas' question, I got the impression that you felt that the University here at Berkeley was really just giving lip service to any complaints that minority students would voice. Is that your opinion?

MS. PAPILLION: That's definitely my opinion.

MR. CARNEY: Thank you. I have nothing further

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay, I have two points of clarification, and then we will try to wrap up. There were a couple of references made by the panel regarding affirmative action students and regarding affirmative action being referenced as a payback. We got testimony this morning from the University that all of the University's students are eligible students. Is there an implication that affirmative action students are somehow less eligible?

MS. PAPILLION: From who?

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: From the panel. ...

MS. PAPILLION: From us? Oh, no, that's not what I was saying at all, rather saying that it is perceived as a

R

method to diversify but it is, in fact, a payback; and I assume that there was a time when even eligible students of color were not allowed into institutions such as U-C Berkeley.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. We would like to ask the African Students Association, as well as Mr. LeGrande, to submit any factual information that you have pertaining to the subject of our inquiry to the panel, and you can get our address and telephone number from our staff. I just saw the two gentlemen leaving, Mr. Montez and Mr. Dulles, or Ms. Hernandez outside. Thank you very much. We will take a brief five-minute recess, and then we'll begin with the Hispanic perspectives at what time, Grace?

MS. DAVIS: Well, you want five minutes?

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Yeah, five minutes.

MR. SILLAS: 2:07, 2:08.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: 2:08. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a five-minute recess was taken off the record.)

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: This meeting of the California Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights will come to order. May we hear from the Hispanic perspectives?

MR. SILLAS: Madame Chairman, before that panel, would

the Chair entertain a motion to have the matter of the incident that we've just heard testified to, would the Chair entertain a motion that that matter be referred to the Department of Justice for investigation or monitoring? CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Yes.

MR. CARNEY: I would second that motion.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: There's a motion before the Advisory Committee to refer the incident reported by the African American Students Association to the Justice Department for further investigation.

MR. SILLAS: Yes, that's my motion; Madame Chair: CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Is there any discussion; please MR. CARNEY: Call for the question.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: All those in favor vote "aye."

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: All those opposed? All those abstaining? The motion is carried. May we hear from the Hispanic representatives. I have listed Susan Brown, the Director of Higher Education at the Mexican American Legal-Defense and Education Fund, John Gamboa, Executive Director, Latino Issues Forum, and Guillermo Rodriguez, Student Regent, University of California.

Whereupon,

SUSAN BROWN

## JOHN C. GAMBOA

2

## GUILLERMO RODRIGUEZ

3

were called as witnesses herein and testified as follows:

4

5

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Would you identify yourselves before you speak and who you represent?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: My name is Guillermo Rodriguez. on the Board of Regents for the University of California. I'd like to thank the Committee for the opportunity to be here today to discuss an issue that's close to my heart and an issue that I have been working on as a student and as a member of the Board. I would like to begin with just some broad observations of sitting in on the audience and listening to the panels, the previous panels. Those same concerns lie for many of the minorities on the campuses of the University of California and throughout the nation. concerns me that these issues are becoming much more aware of things of what's going on. The media's covering it a lot more than it had in the past. The same concepts thatwere brought up by the African Students Association happened with the American Latino students on the Berkeley. campuses, and all the eight other campuses. My biggest concern and the concern that was brought up by the students and the members of this Committee is the fact that there are no University representatives here in the key areas

23

24

25

The state have

that reside on these issues present listening to the concerns of the students. They brought up very important concerns and issues that they need to address. They are not here and it concerns me, and I will be mentioning that to them when I see them. The lack of having high-ranking officials here is, indeed, a concern because they are responsible for the issues that are being brought up by the They talked about the various committees, programs, Racial Grievance Boards that were created. A11 of those were ideas that originated from students and because of student pressure they became a reality on But we don't have a process by which racial grievances are monitored system-wide, all the nine Each campus has a different way of handling a campuses. The Berkeley campus has a Racial Grievance Board. problem. It also has a staff ombudsman. Other campuses have other programs, other committees. There is no coordinated effort to deal with this issue from the high levels of the University of California. This is a concern of mine, and a concern that there should be a commitment from the highest levels of the University in dealing with this issue on its campuses. Its goal is to educate the people of the State of California and if it cannot complete that, not fulfilling its mission; and in my eyes, it is not fulfilling its mission by having the problems that exist on

its campuses.

A year ago, Senator Art Torres held a similar type of informational hearing on this issue at the University of California campus in Los Angeles, and he asked the University to please list all the problems and grievances that they are aware of, and they submitted to Senator Torres a 50-page document listing all of the grievances that year. It concerns me that it took them a very long time to put that report together because of the lack of coordinated effort, and it concerns me that here it is in print, signed by the Vice President of the University of California, detailing all of the incidents that have happened on our campuses. It me concerns me, again, that we have these problems.

Now, what are the solutions? The solutions we've offered at the campus level has a coordinated effort between the students and the faculty and the staff and the University hierarchy in dealing with this issue, having people who are accountable among the University who can be called unquestioned when there is a problem. Students find it very difficult to report problems to the University and they need to find out who they can say that to. Do you go to the Racial Grievance Board? Do you go to the ombudsperson? Do you go to a faculty member? Do you go to a faculty committee? It is so desegregated in the sense of

how to deal with that issue. It is not centralized where a student can go and make comments. Now, this same problem happens at the faculty level in terms of tenure. We have the same bigotry. We see that at staff level in promotions. And we see that throughout the campus at every level. It is a concern that we need to deal with.

學(自動物學) 200 草

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the areas that Berkeley has taken a leadership role in the country is in terms of its curriculum. - Our curriculum had been and still is very Eurocentric. not go into a classroom and read scholarship by an African American, Native American, Asian American, a Chicanocor a You read scholarship from a western perspective Latino. Now, there has been great-debate, you know this former Assistant Secretary of Education has dealt with this issue with Stanford and the terms of the decline of western Now, the Berkeley campus took upon itself civilization. through students' pressure and commitment by the administration to alter our curriculum to offer an American cultures program slash ethnic studies, a class that is required to be taken by every student in order to earn a baccalaureate degree from the University in terms of race relations and looking at different races and cultures and how they affected this country. Now, it is a required course which makes it different than any other program the University has sought to design, meaning that every student

must take it and gives them an opportunity to deal with these issues. Other campuses in the University of California have followed suit, U-C Irvine being the latest in having an ethnic studies type of a course. This type of a mandatory requirement seems to be effective on other campuses who have already tried it. The University of Santa Cruz, for example, has already tried some type of this course. So has the University of Wisconsin, I believe. These are the types of organizations and suggestions that are necessary and appropriate to be dealt with.

In the concept of the curriculum also means who teaches the curriculum. When we look at the faculty of our institutions of higher education, they are white men, and they are growing old very fast and will be retiring. We have a window of opportunity, as we call it, but is that window really open? Are we really concerned about this issue? If we look at the Berkeley campus again, for an example, 28 of the departments are run by faculty who are old men and who are all white. And these are in the hard sciences and some of our professional schools that occurs. At the graduate levels, we are increasing some graduate students of color but not a rate that they need to be increasing in order to fill the faculty positions that will be available to them in relatively near future.

These are the concerns that we have and we need to work. The University of California has boasted and should boast about its good affirmative action at the undergraduate level on its campuses. It should share that same vigor of affirmative action success at the graduate and faculty levels, as well as staff. If they can share that support and programs and enthusiasm from the top down and from the bottom up, we will see change at the University of California and other institutions of its caliber. Thank you.

MS. BROWN: I'm Susan Brown from Mexican American.

Legal Defense and Educational Fund: I'm pleased today to offer on behalf of MALDEF our perspective and recommendations on the very serious issue of racial bigotry and violence on campuses, particularly at the University of California. As we rapidly approach the 21st Century, it is truly frightening that racial incidents should permeate the fabric of our universities. A few examples suffice, and I know you've heard many this morning. At Hastings Law School this past year, white supremacists literature and offensive racial caricatures such as Black Sambo found their way to the student bulletin boards. At Stanford. University this past year, there have also been numerous racial incidents including media disclosures of Stanford University's exploitation of the adjoining Webb Ranch where

Mexican workers were hired under the table, so to speak, to circumvent labor and employment laws. And here at U-C Berkeley, student racial incidents accompanied allegations of institutional racism such as Boalt Hall, Berkeley's law school's long-standing problem in finding minority or female professors who "think like lawyers." While these incidents shock, it is my belief that they are tacitly 7 condoned by the overall structures of the universities themselves. Let me be clear: I do not contend that any 9 official university spokesperson would condone a racist 10 attitude toward either students or institutionally What I 11 do contend, however, is that universities reluctance 12 recalcitrance in integrating their top administrative 13 staffs and tenure track faculty slots not only rob students 14 of multi-cultural pluralistic experiences, but that it also 15 sends a very clear message to the student body that racial 16 integration and equality will come only begrudgingly. 17 message is that the all deliberate speed spoken of in Brown 18 versus Board of Education has been interpreted by our 19 universities to mean only where mandated by the courts or 20 by legislation. Thus, here at U-C Berkeley, we see the 21 university hiring four blacks and two Latinos from a 22 respective pool of its own 1980 to '85 P-H-D candidates of 23

222 blacks and 320 Hispanics. These are people who

received P-H-D's from the University. This data was

24

25

compiled by Dr. Gene Cota-Robles at the Regents' request in 1987. At the same time, the University has blamed the unavailability of Hispanics and blacks within the P-H-D pool for its abysmal under-representation of minority professors and tenure track faculty positions.

1

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the University system-wide we see black and Hispanic transfer students from all 106 community colleges to all U-C campuses in 1988 totalling approximately 700 students out of 5,465 total transfers. That would be fewer than seven black and Latino students from each community Cart da Billion college campus to the U-C. This is where the California Master Plan, California's blueprint for higher education mandates that nearly 70 percent of all students begin their higher education in the community colleges with the right to transfer on if they show academic promise. Yet, the 80 percent or more of under-represented students who begin post-secondary education in California's community colleges are subjected to a confounded transfer morass where course equivalencies and transfer agreements with the University exists in reality only at the predominantly white affluent community colleges, approximately 15 community colleges: And I'm going to distribute testimony and I attached a recent newspaper article that documents this

Finally, we see a university system where top governance positions are not awarded to Latinos and blacks.

Until very recently, the data was as follows: Chicano-Latino faculty represented only 3.2 percent of all university faculty, and three percent of the university's management and professional program; an upper level management series offered for career development at the University of California. The point that I want to make is that the tone of racial tolerance and embracing a pluralistic multi-cultural State and nation must come from the highest echelons of the university itself. The message must be clear and consistent. What we see instead is a university system that itself has only reluctantly and under pressure acknowledged racial ethnic realities California. It is my firm belief that incidents of student racism and violence reflect not only societal racism in general, but the overall administration's commitment, or lack thereof, to principles of equality, equity and pluralism.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When the clear message from the President and the Chancellor of each U-C campus is that racial ethnic equality in all its manifestations at the University is of primary importance and all university actions, whether hiring or student access, confirm that message, then the message will be conveyed to the student body that there is no tolerance for racism. The University, in many aspects, has not unequivocally made that statement. MALDEF urges

ATT OF THE STATE OF

 the University to set its own house and priorities in order and thereby set the moral tone for its student body and the State overall. Thank you.

MR. GAMBOA: My name is John Gamboa. It'm the Executive Director of Latino Issues Forum, and I'm also the co-chair of a unique coalition of Hispanic organizations that recently got together about a year-and-a-half ago. It's called the Hispanic Coalition on Higher Education, a coalition of over 83 Hispanic organizations across the State who have come together to collectively work on the problems of diversity within the University and all the other institutions of higher education in the State. The Hispanic Coalition on Higher Education, some of you may have known, has been working in trying to establish a dialogue with the University of California administrators in trying to establish a partnership to work together on trying to solve these problems. We have not been successful.

I'd like to go back a little bit and state, I was a student at the University of California in 1969 and I was listening to the students that preceded us up here, and I could have been one of those students at that time saying the very same things that they were saying to you. Nothing has changed. Well, I can't say that nothing has changed. Some things have changed. The top administrators have

changed names, but their attitudes haven't changed. We had Saxon then, we now have Gardner. We had Heinz, we now have Heyman as the Chancellor. But nothing much has changed, except that there's a growing disparity. These administrators, and I include those two, but all the administrators in the University of California, have failed. They have stated over and over again since 1969/1970 that one of their highest priorities has been to diversify the University of California to better reflect the total population of the State. They have stated that one of their major goals in this was to increase the number of Hispanics at all levels. Well, they have failed.

I think they have failed — well, there's two reasons they could fail. They could fail because, one, they're incompetent and are unable to do so, or two, they're unwilling to do so. Quite frankly, I don't know what the answer is. However, I think the answer into motivating these administrators must take a stronger tone. I think these administrators, if they were in any other realm of business in this country, or if they were actually working in Japan, they would know what to do with this kind of failure. However, working in institutions like the University of California which seems to reward failures, especially failures in the area of affirmative action.

I also had the opportunity to work for the University

of California for two years. In that time, I tried to find out what happens if you do not fulfill your affirmative 2 action goals or if you somehow violate an equal employment 3 opportunity law, and I was looked at with puzzlement. Whatdo you mean, what happens? I says, "Yes, what happens to your salary? I worked in private enterprise for 12 years. I know what happens to me when I didn't meet an index that 7 was set or a goal that was set by my boss before." "It affected my salary and it affected my promotion-Does that also happen in the University of 10 California?" Well, the answer was, it was a laugh, said, 11 "No, nobody ever measures it." And I think that is the real 12 reason that we're seeing all of these problems today. = 13 Nobody ever -- there's no accountability. Nobody ever 14 measures these administrators for their affirmative action 15 success, or we wouldn't be here 20 years. In 1969, there 16 was a Third World strike by the students requesting -- on a 17 Third World college -- requesting more curriculum that 18 reflected more their own culture, and yet I hear the same-19 kind of demands and requests today. Nothing has changed 20 much, except the disparity. 21

Since President Gardner has taken office - I'm not going to get into a lot of University bashing, but I think this is relevant -- since President Gardner has taken office, a disparity -- I see disparity as the percentage

22

23

24

25

between the population, the ethnic population, and the percentage of the work force or of the student body — in these areas has increased tremendously. Disparity grew for Hispanic faculty by one-third. The disparity grew for graduate students by 46 percent. And the disparity for the area that the University sounds so proud of, of increasing Hispanic students, has grown 23 percent for undergraduates, which is not a record that they should be proud of. This is not a record that these administrators should be continuing to receive the, in my estimation, the gross salaries that they're receiving and receiving every year, seven and ten percent increase in these salaries. These kind of figures to me say these are the kind of failures

I'm going to be brief because of the time, but I would like to state a few things. In my estimation, and I think in discussing this problem with lots of the people from the Coalition, we feel that the problem can be solved when the University itself, and the administrators themselves, have come to the conclusion that they are not going to be able to solve this by themselves. They're going to have to change their attitude and develop a partnership, a partnership with the minority communities. What's happening right now on the University of California is the administration is reacting to negative press by their own

that these administrators should be replaced.

. 

faculty, by their own minority organizations within it, and -- I don't want to mention names in here -- but there are individuals have been working to increase the diversity within the University that work for the University and have suffered for it. This is not an action that I think the University should undertake. Okay, the University should be working with these organizations and saying, "We need your help." The community, the Hispanic community, and representing the Hispanic Coalition here, is we're ready and willing to work with the University when we feel that they are making a sincere commitment toward solving the problems of diversity at all levels. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. Are there questions for this panel? Mr. Carney, first.

MR. CARNEY: Mr. Gamboa, you were just reciting some figures about the increase or lack thereof of minority students. First of all, what are the sources of these figures?

MR. GAMBOA: The sources are from the University; the Office of the President himself.

MR. CARNEY: Okay, and are there any reasons that are put forth for this? Specifically, might it be that these minimal increases or no increases or decreases; would they be due to a lack of qualified students?

MR. GAMBOA: Well, you know, affirmative action has

been a goal of the University for over 20 years. loaned executive from Pacific Telephone when I worked in the compliance field to help the University put together its affirmative action program. After 20 years, they can't fall back and say there are not qualified students. The University has a unique responsibility to the total State. It can't sit back and state, "We will take every Hispanic student that comes qualified." It has a responsibility to reach out and do more. It has a responsibility -- it's the leading educational institution in this State. I'll give a good example what I'm talking about. The University of California in the late 40's and early 50's made a commitment toward agriculture in this State. It said, "We are going to make California the agricultural wonder of the world," and it did. It made the commitment, it put the resources to it, and we now have what we call the "super tomato" and it bounces like a rubber ball and it tastes like a watermelon and it costs \$7 million to produce. kind of effort is what's needed to making these kind of changes. So, they can't sit back and say, "We'll take every qualified student." It has much more responsibility than that.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARNEY: Well, I'm not saying that they do that I'm just trying to figure, at least in my own mind, are there reasons given by the University for this lack of

increase of minority students, and if so, are these reasons
-- have any basis, any valid basis?

1

2

3

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

If I may comment, I think the numbers are MS. BROWN: a bit deceptive because the University takes the position that it accepts every qualified first-time freshman. also takes the position it takes every qualified transfer What it doesn't say is if you are missing one, your A-to-F course requirements because your high school doesn't offer it in sufficient numbers for everybody in the high school to prepare, and especially this is more difficult since the C-S-U changed its admission criteria two or three years ago to be co-equal with U-C's. was never a State study done and, indeed we know that many high schools cannot prepare 50 percent of students for four-year college entrance. So, in theory, you're admitted if you've taken those courses with the requisite G-P-A and the test scores, but if you don't have one of those things, then you're not eligible, and that happens extensively in 💱 the area of transfer. The University says it accepts every qualified transfer student, or at least Latino; however, what they don't say is, if you can't ascertain what courses to take that satisfy your major requirement or which courses are acceptable for transfer, then you re not eligible. Hence, then they don't have to accept you. Hence, you know, there's no default on their part.

MR. CARNEY: Do you find in that particular area --

3

7

8

9

10

that?

1

2

now, we're using that little avenue of escape -- that there are exceptions made? For example, we found out this morning through inquiry that they stated that there was a two percent allowance for athletes or special students, if you will, and that included the athletes. Where they go to such great extremes to bring in students that have great athletic ability but yet are not in other areas academically qualified or qualified in that second tier that they talked about. Do you have any comments about

11 12

> 14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: You're talking about the notion of special action. The University does admit six percent of its class via special action, those students who are not academically qualified to come, but they have the faith in those students that they will be able to use the resources of the University to further enhance their education. had what we call -- 12 percent of that six percent should -go to under-represented minorities. That's what the current definition of that area is, and so we use that as a vehicle to increase the under-representation of underrepresented students on our campuses. There is presently a resolution by our faculty coming to the fore saying to remove that four percent and say that the total should be

mention of what type of student should come in under that area. So, it could mean that under-represented minorities could be six percent of that total, or it could be no percent. So, that is an option that the University is being proposed to.

MR. CARNEY: I'm a little --

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: Mr. Rodriguez, what is the role of the Regents in these areas that we're discussing? Specificall because -- and I just wondered what they talk about -- several years ago at U-C-L-A where they had the Sebopup (ph) parties in a fraternity and when I called it to the attention of one of the Regents, I was told that this was not an area that they should get involved in, and I just wondered if that has changed or if that is predominantly the Regents' position.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe it is the position of individual Regents. In my opinion and in the opinion of the way the Constitution of the State of California is written that the ultimate responsibility for the University of California rests with the Board of Regents. They are the ultimate responsibility -- it is in their hands. They choose not to get involved in these issues because of the reasons they feel that they are not qualified to handle

them, for the reasons that they handed over admissions to the faculty, for the reasons that they've handed over many of the business practices over to full-time staff.

MS. DAVIS: But, in other words, they only discuss them in terms of, you know, this is something that has been brought to our attention and then they delegate it to other people. Don't they get that back in terms of making policy at all?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: No.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DAVIS: Why do we need them?

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Erler

DR. ERLER: I'm a little confused about the discussion here so far. I have the statistics before me here showing that in 1981, 6.4 percent of the freshman class was Hispanic, and in 1988, 18.6 percent of the freshman class was Hispanic, yet we seem to hear in testimony here that there's been no progress made. I consider that to be tremendous progress.

MR. GAMBOA: At the same time that that's been happening, the Hispanic population has probably almost doubled.

DR. ERLER: What is the Hispanic population of California?

MR. GAMBOA: Right now, current estimates, it's 26.3.

DR. ERLER: Well, don't you think that within a span

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

· Truiñ

ووالمالية والمستنافية والمعاد والمتار

-- - 12

مار مارستانکو

of seven years the admission rate to Berkeley has more than tripled.

MR. GAMBOA: Well, the last figures I had -- you have different figures than I do. The last figures I got from the University was the incoming freshman class was about 11 percent was last year's figures that I had, and at that time the population here was 24.8 was the figures I had which gave a disparity somewhere around 14 percent. The disparity in 1979 was about 10 percent. So, that's an increase of five percent, five percent over the 15, that's a 33 percent increase. That's the numbers I was talking about.

DR. ERLER: Well, that's an unusual way to look at the matter. I have here -- I'm quoting from the report by the Committee on Admissions Enrollment which just has published this report here, and they say that the 1988 freshman class was 18.6, the 1988 freshman class, 10.8 percent black, and during that same period between 1981 and 1988, white admission has gone from 57.9 percent to 37 percent; and it seems to me that in terms of the diversity issue there's been incredible progress here, yet you seem to be unwilling to give any credit whatsoever.

mention, I was an author of that report that you have in front of you, a member of that committee, and this is my

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

perspective. The Berkeley campus and the U-C-L-A campus have succeeded in increasing the enrollment of Latinos and Chicanos; however, the University of California has nine campuses and the other campuses have failed to increase at the same numbers, so when we look at one campus maybe doing well, not all the other campuses share that same goals that the Berkeley campus and the U-C-L-A campus have shared. Things that are happening there, and the reasons are is that because these two campuses are in large urban centers where they're in close proximity to students who are qualified to attend do attend those areas. They don't attend other campuses because they are far away from home. They are far away financially. It's a big burden on the family. And so, increasing those areas is a concern to us, but I'm not --

DR. ERLER: No, I understand that --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- the campus is --

DR. ERLER: That may be legitimate, but the testimony earlier was about U-C Berkeley. I wanted to correct the record on that score at least.

MR. GAMBOA: Well, the figures I gave was University system-wide, and I have the numbers here as of November, 1988. There were 119,523 total undergraduate students. Of those, there 11,678 Hispanic which comes out to 10.1.

Those are the figures I was working with.

25

DR. ERLER: Well, I'm only correcting because you said nothing had changed at Berkeley since your days there and your statement seemed to imply that this was the Berkeley statistics.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Sillas.

MR. SILLAS: I want to go back to Susan Brown's comment pertaining to the graduates of P-H-D's from the University and you gave a very startling statistic -- 300-and-some graduates from the University's P-H-D's?

MS. BROWN: The figures that Dr. Cota-Robles gave to the Regents were the number of Latinos and blacks who received P-H-D's between 1980 and '85 from the University were respectively 222 blacks and 322 Hispanics. Of those, the University hired four blacks and two Hispanics.

MR. SILLAS: Do you know whether or not -- well, let me ask this: Do you know how many new professors or positions were open during that period of time?

MS. BROWN: Dr. Cota-Robles did include that in his study. I unfortunately did not bring that with me, but-I-can provide that to the Commission --

MR. SILLAS: Can you tell this Committee MS. BROWN: Or the University can, for that matter

It's appublic document.

MR. SILLAS: Can you tell me or tell this Committee how many applicants there were from the minority graduates

for those positions?

MS. BROWN: I cannot tell you that. Perhaps Dr. Cota-Robles could, but I cannot.

MR. SILLAS: You don't know whether that report indicates that or not?

MS. BROWN: No, and I don't know if it discloses the University's recruitment or attempts to recruit. I am not sure of that.

MR. SILLAS: Can you comment at all pertaining to the University's efforts to recruit minorities in the position of faculty?

MS. BROWN: Well, I think those numbers are rather eloquent. I think that if the University had made heroic efforts, it certainly would have come up with more than four and two people.

MR. SILLAS: Possibly, but if there weren't applications, et cetera, it's a big leap and we're trying to pin down the statistics; and the reason that I'm dealing with is based on the testimony that was given earlier by some students who indicated that, in their opinion, if there were more minority faculty persons that the issue we're dealing with, which is bigotry and violence on the campus, might be lessened because of the role models, and so I'm trying to focus in terms of from your perspective whether or not you see any effort on the part of the

Charles Single

1

2

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

University to recruit minorities into the faculties and to what extent they're doing it or to what they're not doing it, and what evidence or facts you can give us to support

your position.

Mr. Sillas, I can tell you that a Latino MR. GAMBOA: report card was issued by the Chicano/Latino Consortium, which is the employees of the University of California, the staff and faculty of the University of California, and our own group from the Hispanic Coalition, and in the area of faculty and F-minus was given because in the last year, when the first report card was issued, the percentage of T can t give you Hispanic faculty had actually decreased. the exact numbers. Locan give that to you later; but you may be interested to know it's at 3.1 percent now.

MR. SILLAS: One of the things that was asked or that we have heard is that obtaining minority graduates to come into the University is very difficult because of the pay, that these people that graduate, minorities, are being picked up by I-B-M, other major companies of the Fortune-500, and are going to positions that pay sometimes twice as much as a professor can get at the beginning.....Any validity... to that type of comment as it pertains to recruitment of minorities?

MR. GAMBOA: I can give you an example of what we're talking about in that just recently one Chicano faculty was denied tenure, fully qualified, would well represent the
University faculty. The name was Doyle Garcia in the
Department of Public Health was just denied tenure at the
University of California, Berkeley, even though he was well
supported by the community and by his peers.

In working with faculty members, MS. BROWN: Hispanic/Latino/Chicano faculty members, as I have over the years, the feedback that I consistently get is that they feel as though they are outsiders within the system as there were comments made earlier about how the few Hispanic Programme and the and black faculty members that there are supposed to be on every committee and to be all things for all: people When I'm in Sacramento and the University has to testify you can bet that they have some of their people of color up to testify. But at the same time, these people 'I' don't think are included within the camaraderie and the brotherhood in the same way that white male faculty are, and that's anecdotal but it's what I hear from everybody.

MR. SILLAS: Can you make available to this—Committee that report --

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: One minute left.

MR. SILLAS: Dr. --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BROWN: Cota-Robles? Yes, I would be happy to.

MR. SILLAS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. I have one last question

since I'm the Chair, and then we'll have to end and bring the Asian American's perspective. I think this is directed towards Mr. Rodriguez. You talked about there being a lack of a system-wide process to monitor racial grievances and I assume you mean racial, sexual, ethnic, religious grievances. Also, in response to Ms. Davis' question, there seemed to be an indication that the Regents do not monitor the number of incidents of violence or -- Who is really held accountable for monitoring the number of incidents of violence and prejudice in the University system?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I can't answer that question because I don't know who is responsible at the system-wide level. In my opinion, at each individual campus level, the Chancellor is responsible. Again, I mention that there is no system-wide person or office to deal with this. There may be a place that they do collect the data. I do know when the Senator asked for this report to be prepared, which I will make available to this Committee --

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Would you, please?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, it took them quite a bit of time and they called each and every campus to find out and collect the information. They had not had it available.

As to my tenure on the Board, I have not yet seen or heard of any reports of this nature being brought to our

End P7

attention or a report on what's going on on the campus. We read about it in the paper.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: When the Regents meet and the President, or either the President meets with the Chancellors, and they discuss the accomplishments the University system has made, are there any accomplishments reported on affirmative action or reduction in the incidence of violence or bigotry? Or is that not measurable?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: In terms of affirmative action, yes, they do boast that they have increased. In terms of the racial incidences of bigotry, whether they've increased or decreased, since they have no one place that can collect this data, they don't mention that.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. I would ask all the panelists, Ms. Brown, Mr. Gamboa, and Mr. Rodriguez, to submit any factual information in addition to the reports and other information that you indicated you would give us to our Committee through Mr. Montez or Mr. Dulles; and I—want to thank you very much. Can we hear from the Asian American perspective, please?

THE REPORTER: One moment, please.

(Thereupon, a short break wa taken off the record to change the tape.) Begin P8

. 

THE REPORTER: Back on the record.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Our agenda shows that we have representatives Ms. Melanie Hahn, Professor Ling-Chi Wang, and Cecillia Wong.

Whereupon,

MELANIE HAHN

LING-CHI WANG

CECILLIA WONG

were called as witnesses herein and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: For the record, when you begin speaking, would you identify yourself and the organization that you represent?

MS. HAHN: My name is Melanie Hahn. I am a writing specialist at the University of California, Berkeley. My professional academic experience limits me today to a discussion of racism as it affects one student constituency, ethno-linguistic minorities, the majority of whom at U-C Berkeley are recently arrived Asian American refugee and immigrant students. Non-native English speaking students, unless they fall into protected categories for admission, under-represented minorities at Berkeley, the Hispanics, Chicanos, Latinos, African Americans, or Native American are not given special

consideration for extenuating circumstances that might affect their admissibility. Immigrant and refugee students who are ethnically Asian American are grouped together with other Asian Americans for admissions and ethnic breakdowns. The linguistic needs of Asian immigrant refugee students goes unrecognized and no special allowances are given to these students, even given their obvious English language handicap. This fact is a bit ironic and the irony will become clearer as my discussion unfolds.

The irony begins with admissions. In the past, in evaluating admission packets, if a student were lucky, a sensitive admission counsellor may exercise leniency in weighing the linguistic and cultural adjustments immigrant and refugee students encounter when making judgments about admissions. Presently, there is no formal category for linguistic minorities for admissions. In fact, the University makes no formal distinction between a second-language Asian American and a native English speaking third generation Asian American like myself. Immigrant and refugee students are not protected as other ethnic minority students.

The recent controversy surrounding Asian admissions stems largely from the educational needs of non-native.

English speaking students. Findings of the latest report of the special committee of Asian American admissions of

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Berkeley division of the academic senate, otherwise known as the Shack Report, documents a switch that occurred in 1983 in which changed U-C admission policies eliminated E-O-P status from protection for admission. The intent of the E-O-P program was to provide class-based protection regardless of race. The findings of the Shack Report indicate that the decision that E-O-P students should not be protected for admissions was based upon "evidence that. E-O-P applicants, once admitted, were having English language difficulties that imposed substantial cost on the campus." Thus, the University, by its own admission, eliminated E-O-P because many of the E-O-P students were English as a second language, or E-S-O, students. During the same time, a report allegedly complained about "too many Asians" on campus and interviews uncovered a prevailing perception at that time that "some people were deliberately searching for a way to exclude Asian immigrant applicants." It is no accident that the students who are clearly disadvantaged were the Asian American immigrant and refugee students. Under-represented applicants are affirmative action protected.

Like other regularly admissible students, regularly admissible second language immigrant students matriculate at Berkeley having performed academically in the top 12 percent of their graduating high school class. These

1

**4** 5

7

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

years, intend to stay in this country, raise families, establish themselves professionally, and contribute as any other taxpaying citizen of this society. Most importantly, like the native English speaking counterparts, they have demonstrated their potential for further academic success at Berkeley, evidenced by their acceptance for admission.

In preparation for this brief talk, I was asked to offer information regarding the possible causes as well as describe the extent of insensitivity, be it intentional or unintentional, or where systematic if not outright willfully discriminatory. To illustrate how racial ethnic bias are manifested in one particular institute of higher learning with one particular student population, I've chosen examples that stem from the debate regarding those demonstrating English language proficiency. Specifically, the proficiency debate refers to the express concern, if not resistance, on the part of the University in graduating students who do not demonstrate—an-acceptablefluent command of the English language. Students for whom English is a second language bear a different, if not a heavier academic burden than their native English speaking counterparts. Not only must they demonstrat academic ability, but they must also demonstrate a facility with the English language without which, it is our view,

1

**网络 1966年安徽 1964** 

3

5

0

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

is before them.

Let me provide you with a concrete example of the evolution of the English proficiency debate. All students who are admitted to the U-C system and who score below 600 on the English achievement tests of the college board test must take the Subject A diagnostic exam, an essay exam used to determine whether or not a student's writing skills are adequate for university-level writing. Students may pass out of the Subject A requirement, be held for the Subject A requirement, or if they are non-native speakers of English can satisfy the Subject A requirement in the Subject A for non-native speakers-of English, or-Sans-A-program on the It is a sub-program under the regular Berkeley campus. The diagnostic examination is issued Subject A program. twice a year, once in May, which about 50 percent of all 35 ? students held for the exam take, and again in August, which the remaining 50 percent of the students held for the examination take. In May, the placement procedure involvesanonymous readings of student essay exams. The essays of students who are thought to have E-S-O type writing problems are submitted to a set of readers with expertise native speakers in English language instruction for

Although Subject A is a system-wide university requirement with standardized procedures for placement,

when it comes to evaluating non-native English speaking 1 students, Berkeley employs its own placement procedure. Ιt 2 was recently revealed that during the August exam that placement procedures differ employed at the State level. At Berkeley, the essays of students who have resided in 5 this country for fewer than five years, non-linguistic evidence, sight unseen, are referred to the Sans A program before they are evaluated. In so doing, an a-priori distinction is made based on non-linguistic evidence which constitutes a placement procedure that discriminates 10 against students who take the August exame One must also 11 remember that for a small program such as the Sans A 12 program, the more students, the better, as their presence 13 legitimizes its existence. Students who are held for the 14 Sans A program will subsequently be placed in either of two 15 pre-Subject A levels or one Subject A equivalent course. 16 Students have two semesters to complete a single level, 17 which for some students means taking up to six semesters of 18 language instruction to satisfy the basic University 19 writing requirement. It is possible -- and worse, not 20 uncommon -- for students held for the Sans A program to 21 begin to satisfy their freshman composition requirement in 22 the beginning of their junior year. In-short, the program 23 is too long.

The key question is, are students benefitting from the

24

25

language instruction offered in this program? Unfortunately, my experience, the oral and written testimonies of tutors and students who attest the problematic nature of Sans A instruction, and the assessment of Sans A course descriptions and instructional rationales by experts in the field of second language teaching claim that this program, designed ostensibly to ameliorate linguistic disparities for non-native English speaking students on the one hand have not been able to reveal or substantiate its instructional effectiveness over the last decade; and worse, has been perceived by students post Sans A course instructors, writing tu as unhelpful, punitive and worse, stigmatizing: potential negative effects such language programs inflict upon its students, it can easily be argued that such a par programs have the theoretic potential for negatively affecting a student's overall academic performance, and logically, a student's professional career.

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There exists a hierarchy of degrees of sensitivity

-- or rather, insensitivity. To begin, a single individual has coordinated this program for some 22 years, unprecedented in any university setting in which faculty chairs are required to rotate anywhere from two to five years. During that 22-year period, a grand total of three minority instructors have taught students who at the

programs inception were mostly foreign students, and in the last 10 to 15 years, immigrant and refugee students, most of whom are both ethnic and linguistic minorities. The teaching positions are part-time positions, and the less than full-time status offers instructors little, if any, job security affecting teacher morale profoundly. Over the last decade, although most students have obviously been ethno-linguistic minorities, this demographic reality was not reflected in the faculty. Failure year after year to abide by or comply with the University's affirmative action hiring formulas clearly stands as testimony to the perceived insensitivity on the part of this program's administration.

However, most troubling are the criticisms and complaints lodged by students, many of which are documented in campus committee reports, which I will provide you, currently available or soon to be made public, while others are on file in Dean Billingly's (ph) office, the Dean of Student Life. Students criticize the instructional aspects of the program citing ethnically insensitive and culturally biased reading material, essay topics, exam questions, to name a few. Some felt that certain instructors had made racially slanderous comments, comments that were condescending, and other blatantly humiliating comments made just of a student's lack of language control or how

the student's linguistic and cultural background is responsible for their poor written performance.

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The numbers of ethno-linguistic students becomes particularly significant in this discussion over 80 percent of the students in the Sans A program are Asian American, and the alleged discriminatory practices in the Sans A program profoundly affect one ethnic student body rendering those Sans A students victims of ethnic discrimination; and discrimination on the basis of ethnicity is, by definition, illegal. Add to these other programmatic infractions that contribute to the hierarchy which are not only students, but experts in the field of second language acquisition find very troubling. First is the inability to comprehend the distinctions between the various levels of the program for which no pedagogical rationale has ever been offered. Once placed, students study in a learning environment in which a failing grade is thought to be a motivator complemented by instructors who are encouraged to give D's and F's in the early part of the semester resulting in predictably high fail rates in which grammar is graded heavily when the courses are considered, composition courses, and one in which students are locked into a tracking system which disallows incentives for skipping levels by failing to reward hard-working highperforming students for their exemplary performance.

Contrast this instructional response to the general academic performance of the students typically enrolled in this program. Most usually enter the hard science or technical fields whose potential contributions to scientific and technological development are actively being thwarted by archaic programs such as these. Recent educational research findings in second language learning quoted in a report describing U-C system-wide E-S-O students noted that "academic parity precedes language parity." In other words, identified weaknesses in English language proficiency for non-native speakers system-wide did not interfere with their academic performance.

This is not to suggest that E-S-O programs be abolished. On the contrary, many of the over 2,000 undergraduate immigrant refugee students at Berkeley could very well benefit from an exemplary language instruction, not to mention foreign T-A's. The research finding does, however, tell us that the English language proficiency skills of students who are no doubt advanced language learners has had an insignificant negative effect. Let us review how immigrant and refugee students, and particularly those of Asian ancestry, have been treated on this campus First, Asian American immigrant and refugee students have been identified as the targeted victims of decisions that dramatically affected their opportunity for admission.

Second, once accepted, many were placed in a language program that is pedagogically questionable and unsound. Third, only to emerge after years of studying and learning at an institute of higher learning to be couched in an articulated concern by the University, expected to demonstrate command over the English language before the University feels confident in graduating them. How ironic. The language differences and linguistic needs, until recently, were insignificant in determining the eligibility of Asian American immigrant refugee students for admissions. Yet, once accepted, little attention, until recently, had been paid to the quality of instruction for these students, which is, after all, the foremost obligation of any educational institution.

ينتمعرب فأنبن حامات

.22

The real question at this point is, does U-C-B offer the best language education program it can?—Or is this program the best program that the University of California at Berkeley can offer? High fail rates, years of language courses, and the repeated similar nature of student complaints calls into question not the students' ability but the program's treatment of immigrant students and as we know, as in most cases, it is the students who bear the burden of institutionalized bias. It seems safe to say here as well that it is no accident that the English language proficiency debate is merely another pretext to

\_

particular student community, the Asian American immigrant and refugee student.

MS. WONG: Hi. My name is Cecillia Wong. I am a

mask bigotry and prejudice, if not racism, aimed at one

MS. WONG: Hi. My name is Cecillia Wong. I am a

Senator of the Associated Students of the University of

California. I am also a member of the Student Coalition

for Fair Admissions, a founding member of the Forum on

Asian Issues and Representation, and I'd like to mention to

the Committee that I've noticed in the past few panelists

that you've mentioned the Racial Grievance Board. I'm a

member of that body and I'd welcome any questions about it

during the question period. In preparing my presentation

for you today I decided to gather anecdotal testimony on

the general climate at Cal rather than drawing from the

large pool of statistical and factual evidence about

racism. I'll just begin now.

As an Asian American raised in a white middle class suburb, I came to the University of California at Berkeley well accustomed to prejudice and racial harassment.

Knowing Berkeley's reputation for liberal tolerance and progressive attitudes, I looked forward to my years at Berkeley as a respite from the cruel wave of anti-Asian feeling and activity which is so prevalent today. It was only a matter of weeks, however, before I realized my hopes and expectations would be sadly disappointed. In my one

21

22

23

24

25

ر د پارسان

year on the Berkeley campus, I have been both the observer and victim of a wide range of racially motivated incidents. One day while walking to class near Eastgate, four young whites rolled down the windows of the rear and yelled "Gook," at me. At first, I was confused as I looked around me. When I realized that I was the target of their racial epithet, I stopped dead in the middle of the street, staring after the car as two passengers in the backseat turned to leer through the window. Their laughter echoing in my ears, I tried to keep back a bitter flood of tears Furious, shocked, hurt, I ran to my mother who has worked on campus for 15 years. Seeing my stricken expression, asked me what had happened. In recounting the story; fury mounted. With all my hours of work on issues concerning people of color, trying to increase sensitivity and awareness among my peers, I was completely frustrated in my own personal inability to shield myself and other Asian students from racism on our own campus. My mother's response infuriated me even further. "Just forget-it;" she told me with a nervous laugh, glancing at her co-worker. "It happens all the time. Just learn to ignore it. Forget it? No way, I vowed.

I haven't forgotten that incident because of its blatant racist nature, yet I also found that racism was not limited to verbal attacks from passing strangers.

Shockingly, my experiences led me to the discovery that even University staff, supposedly given training in diversity, are often the perpetrators of racially insensitive acts. One such experience caused a minor uproar which made it to the pages of the Daily Californian, a campus newspaper. The incident occurred in the dining commons at the dormitory in which I lived last year. Periodically throughout the school year, the dining hall staff prepares a special theme night to give residents a welcome break from the monotony of dorm food one night last Spring, the dinner theme was Mardi Gras As I walked by the salad bar, I noticed two paper decorations propped up on the counter. - Each depicted a straw-hatted African American man driving a mule cart carrying a well-dressed I felt uneasy with the implied racist white couple. message about African Americans and immediately asked the Assistant Manager of the dining commons to remove the decorations. Instead of complying with, or even discussing my polite request, she indignantly told me to leave the decoration alone. I watched as she hurried over to the Manager and held a whispered conversation. I then approached the part-time student manager who asked me if I'd complained about the decoration. When I answered in the affirmative, he told me that at least two other students had express similar concern about the paper

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.**2** 

decorations. He admitted that he felt they were racist and had been uneasy when they were displayed. The manager who first ignored our concerns by refusing to remove the decorations then dismissed our polite comments in a sarcastic and flippant manner.

The Cal's treatment of genuine student concerns galvanized me into action. A call to the <u>Daily Cal</u> and a formal letter to the Housing Office resulted in written and verbal apologies from the management, but even that positive resolution was tainted by harassment I faced at the hands of resident assistants who tried to discourage me from "mistreating the dining hall staff." Other residents also expressed support for the manager's refusal to be sensitive to people of color saying such things as, "Yeah, I love the way the manager answered those stupid comments. Go get 'em." These reactions convinced me that I was correct in my assessment that my actions in publicizing the incident were needed to bring to light the pernicious stereotypes which are so deep-rooted that they are considered historical fact instead of racism.

That experience shattered my trust in the residence hall system. My-resident assistant, who was given training in issues of diversity, was not only unable to comprehend the viewpoint of a person of color towards stereotypes, but went so far as to verbally accost me in a threatening

manner to discourage my viewpoint. Other students later 1 told me of specific incidents where resident assistants had 2 verbally abused their residents because of their race or physical disability. While the insensitivity of residence hall staff is disturbing in that the victims are new 5 students and lower classmen, University staff and even higher administrative circles are guilty of the same racist 7 The Asian admissions issue has brought embarrassment to the Berkeley administration after a six-year long battle 9 and cover-up with Asian American community and students. 10 The State Senate's special committee on university 11 admissions, headed by Senator Art Torres, 12 reprimanded the Chancellor and top-level administrators for 13 racist exclusionary admissions policies. The unveiled 14 facts were hard evidence that to many people on our campus, 15 Asian Americans are seen as a threat and foreign minority 16 which deserves no access to the public institution of the 17 University of California. 18 The highly publicized admissions fiasco-shattered-the--19

The highly publicized admissions fiasco shattered the trust of the Asian American community and helped to prod the Chancellor into establishing a special committee on Asians on the Berkeley campus. The report of this committee reiterated the feeling of most Asian Americans on this campus. While we have made dramatic inroads in the past few decades, we are still made to feel that we are

20

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 x 2 = 1 3 x 3

guests of this institution. We are subjected to a wide range of abuses, from outright physical violence to verbal attacks to institutional discrimination to subtle stereotyping and insensitivity One such subtle stereotype addressed by the report of the Koyama-Lee Committee was the pervasive model minority myth, a "positive stereotype which harms the Asian American community as much as older images of the inscrutable celestial." Since many Asians have made inordinate sacrifices just to keep up to par in society, we are categorically classified as the minority that made it 🥎 This insidious stereotype is dangerous and unshakable in Non-Asian and Asian students alike its wide acceptance. buy into this image, perceiving classrooms filled with Asian faces as intimidating and intrusive on some unspoken, unseen academic and social turf. Many Asian American students retreat into an all-Asian clique to get the Others, support that is so hard to find on our campus. many of them suburban second and third generation. Asian Americans like myself, reject their ethnicity; causticallycriticizing their fellow Asians with limited English in a desperate bid for acceptance by the white majority.

Often, Asian Americans like myself are taunted for being overly sensitive by other students, including fellow. Asians. But the ever increasing tide of anecdotal evidence convinces me that I am right in my assessment. Many people

on campus claiming that physical abuse of people of color has ended say that racism does not exist. Yet the reality is that physical violence is often replaced today by a more covert psychological abuse. This manifestation of racism undermines the integrity of campus society, eroding the self-respect of its victims and protecting its perpetrators under a false cover of tolerance.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Recently, A-S-U-C student advocate Bonaparte Lee related a story told to him by a Cal-So (ph) counsellor. At a recent orientation weekend for new first-year students, an African American student was given a grim In welcome to the University of California at Berkeley. his presence, several white participants in the program began a discussion of affirmative action admissions policies which degenerated into a racist criticism of a system which the white students believe let in undeserving people, namely African Americans and Latinos. student, shaken by the verbal attacks he suffered, told his counsellor that he did not want to continue with the --orientation program. In fact, he did not want to Cal at all. Sadly, I would have to tell that prospective student and countless other young people of color that those are the facts of everyday life at Cal. Racism underscores reality on campus, permeating every aspect of student life from the classroom to the dorm to the highest

administrative echelons. While various student groups and programs like DARE -- Diversity and Race Education -- have made progress in the constant battle against racism, the fact is that in many minds the doors are closed to equal access and acceptance. As one of the directors of DARE told me, you can put on a workshop on diversity but those who attend usually don't need it. Fundamentally, racism stems from fear on the part of the established majority. It manifests itself in a wide range of guises, from the insidious subtlety of the model minority myth to blatant harassment people of color face everyday on bathroom walls; in classroom discussions, and out of the mouths of their peers, teachers, and administrators. Without a new direction in attitudes toward diversity, there can be no equal access and there can be no valid educational The traditional college images of hallowed experience. academia, the school colors and team spirit, are outmoded in when certain groups are denied membership because of their race, ethnicity, sex, physical disability, or sexualpreference.

1

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In order to bring the University up to present reality of a multi-cultural, multi-talented student body, a new focus must be developed. Only the concerted commitment of resources and energies on the part of students, staff, faculty and community can make the campus what it truly

and the second

2

1

3

\_

7

0

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

should be, a backdrop for the fulfillment of dreams and the expansion of possibilities. Closed minds and closed-door policies have no place in such a setting. Thank you.

Madame Chair, my name Ling-Chi Wang. DR. WANG: teach Asian American studies at University of California, I'm delighted to see two people on the panel Berkeley. that I have met nearly 20 years ago -- I hate to admit it -- but nearly 20 years, Mr. Sillas and Mr. Montez. the two gentlemen were very much instrumental in bringing to this particular advisory committee the Asian American perspectives. Up until then, they had been largely ignored and so I'm delighted to see the two gentlemen survive both the Republican and Democratic administrations all these years, and still carrying out the fight. You know, I assumed that when I was asked to speak before this Committee that I was, because of my interest and my own personal involvements since 1981/82 on the question of Asian American admissions into the University of California system, and more particularly, into the University of California on the Berkeley campus. I have actually written, you know, a very, very long detailed account on a how this whole incident began and the process we went through, and it was not really until sometime this earlier this year, that we finally, you know, reached some kind of a tentative agreement and settlement with the

1

3

\_

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

University and I'll be glad to actually furnish a copy of that paper to this Committee. What I would like to do is, I know it's been a long day and I'm going to just say a few words about what I think, you know, is the main problem with regard to the Asian American admissions issue and let the details be filled in by the report that I will send to you separately.

But I think, you know, at the heart of what we're talking about here is the problem that, you know, the race relations in the United States in general, and I think race relations in California in particular, has entered a new era and an era that I will characterize it as an era of transition. We have moved from a period in which racerelations and political discourse on race relations was defined exclusively by a relatively simple paradigm of majority/minority relations to a new period of changing race relations and most importantly, of shifting power relations among races and classes in California. We do not know how long this transitional period will last, whatexactly the consequences of the changing race relations are, and what kind of race relations, social institutions, and political realignments will eventually emerge to take the place of the old majority/minority relations paradigm.

The transition period is characterized on one hand by

heightened racial conflict between white and racial 1 minorities, and on the other hand by growing conflict among 2 non-white races and within each racial group as a result of 3 shifting political and economic power and intense struggle for political power and distribution of resources. want to use this as a setting to discuss the admissions issue at U-C Berkeley in particular because what we're 7 really talking about is a lot of, you know, shifting 8 political relations and race relations that's happening 9 here in California as a result of these rapid changes. 10 remember one of your former colleagues who was also on the 11 Committee, then Lt. Governor Dymally, and that was one of 12 the early persons, I think, in California politics to talk 13 about the demographic trends. I think at the time when he -14 spoke about it through this Committee and also as Lt. 15 Governor, he was like a voice in the wilderness. You know, 16 he was telling Californians that, hey, by the end of the 17 century the minorities are going to become majority in 18 California. By that time, by and large, most people pay no 19 attention to it. But we're now actually approaching that 20 reality and as a result, as I said here, there is on one 21 hand this heightened racial conflict between the dominant 22 whites, of course, which is resisting the change. 23 the whole conflict between white and racial minorities on 24

the one hand, and on the other hand this growing conflict

25

1

3

5

6

8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

among non-whites -- and I certainly hope that this

Committee one of these days will also take up this issue

-- the conflicts among the non-white racial groups, and

within each racial group, you know; as a result of these
shifting changes in political alignments and economic

power.

Now, the question of Asian American admissions actually, as I said, began in the academic year 1981/82. At the time, I was the Chairman of the Department of Studies, and I remember attending several meeting because at that time the University was considering changing from the quarter system to a semester system; and so, attending a lot of the University meetings, both in the administration, the faculty senate, and among the staff of how to make this transition. During the course of these ~ meetings I began to hear comments from faculty, from staff, and administrators that we have too many Asians on this campus, that, you know, many of them really should not have been allowed to be admitted into this campus to begin withbecause of the problem that Melanie Hahn addressed earlier, or those that are in the University are using up a lot of resources at the expense of other racial minority groups You know, this kind of a, you know, racial, overtone type of a statement was circulated all over the campus and, in fact, by around 1983 I became very concerned

23

24

25

about what possible implications this kind of an emerging anti-Asian sentiment on campus would have on the admissions policy, and, in fact, in '83 I took the trouble of talking -- expressing my concerns to top administrators on the Berkeley campus and all of them assured me that they will have no effect whatsoever on the admissions of Asian Americans. But what happened, of course, in 1983/84 academic year, was that the result for the freshman class, '84, came out, I was shocked that the Asian American freshman enrollment had dropped within one year by 21 percent overall, and the group that was most affected by the decline was the Chinese Americans with a drop of about 30 percent in one year. And I began to question the administration about what happened and, of course, you know, and since then there have been several reports -- several campus reports, some prepared by the administration, some prepared by the faculty, some reports were prepared by the community, and some reports prepared by the California both State Assembly Committee on Higher-Education and also by the State Senate; and then, of course, we also have a report requested by Senator Robertti, the Auditor General's report. You know, there has been no university has been subjected to more reports and more studies on this Asian American admission, you know, on admissions than the Asian American admissions

22

23

24

25

有一种 经 一种 计

So, you know, if the Committee is interested -- and there is really tons of reports to read -- and the interesting thing is that after around, I counted around eight or nine such reports that have surfaced in the last five years, one thing that you'll find out from reading these reports, you know, as each report is released, you will find that university made, you know, concessions -admissions to certain things that had gone wrong. And of ... course, now we know fairly much, I hope, all the full story, although the last thing that came out was earlier this year when the faculty senate came out with a report that we found out that in the first tier of the admission which I had assumed all along in the last five years that there's no possibility of racial discrimination against Asians because they, you know, students are admitted virtually by a computer. You know, they look at your G-P-A, they look at your, you know, S-A-T test scores, and they look at your achievement test scores, and on that basis you are ranked and then, you know, they have a cutoff point where you're automatically admitted. Well, I was really shocked that in 1984, the freshman class for the first year, Asian Americans were actually discriminated because up until that year, the University admitted the first-year students strictly either by high G-P-A, competitively high G-P-A, or by very high test scores; and

I found out that unbeknownst to all of us and after all these years of my personal investigation into this whole area, this time I found out just a few months ago that in 1984 in the College of Letters and Science, the University administration actually made a decision to arbitrarily raise the G-P-A requirement for automatic admission in the first tier from 3.7 to 3.9, but without comparable raise in the standardized test score requirements. What that meant, of course, if you'd study the Auditor General's report, you will find out that generally between Asians and white applicants, and this is the tier that almost all of Asians and whites are admitted, Asians tend to do well better than white, in the G-P-A and not as well in the - 3 standardized test scores; and as a result of that, quite a significant number of Asian American applicants, in fact, were knocked off from the first tier, in a tier that is supposed to be completely racially blind, mutual-criteria, scientific, you know, criteria.

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the point that I'm trying to make here is that the, you know, there is no question in my mind, now, after all these reports and investigations, and the Chancellor did apologize twice to the Asian American community, one time on his failure to take the Asian American concern seriously, but it was, you know, a policy based upon, you know, procedural lack of concern from the issues first

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

man to the state of

The second time, which he did this time earlier this year, he finally admitted that, you know, some of the policy changes initiated back in 1983/84, in fact, did have an adverse impact and, in fact, he well while he did not admit intentional racial bias, he was willing to concede about possible, you know, unconscious bias against But I think the data is fairly clear at Asian Americans. this moment that the University did initiate a series of admission policy changes unbeknownst to the general public and certainly not to the Asian American applicants and probably of questionable legality back then, which precipitated that significant drop of 21 percent of overall for Asian American freshmen in one year and in particular, a 30 percent drop of Chinese Americans. Now, it's interesting --

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Professor Wang --

DR. WANG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Can you sum up the rest of your remarks so we'll have time for questions?

DR. WANG: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you.

DR. WANG: Okay. What I wanted to say here is that, you know, often times, you know, when we talk about meritocracy, how a university is committed to academic excellence, when you have a racial minority group, in this

case Asian Americans, who are able to compete successfully, 1 in fact, even better than white applicants, suddenly you 2 have the traditional institutions resorted to unfair tactics all for what? To preserve the privilege of, you know, and the control that the white have of these institutions. Somehow it's unthinkable that Asian Americans could actually dominate the admissions process, 7 at least in competition with whites, and as a result, I think the institutions try to respond to its, you know, its 9 privilege, protection of its privilege and perpetuate of, 10 privilege by undertaking policy changes that will allow 11 them to continue the maintenance of the you know, of the 12 structural privilege under which they control. And I 13 think, you know, the issue would not have been an issue had 14 figur 2 it not been because, I think, Asian Americans were not 15 ير ش treated as Americans. We were basically treated as 16 That's why the issue, you know, to begin with, foreigners. 17 surfaced, and I think this is the racist aspect of really 18 what happened in the University of California at Berkeley-19 in the last few years. Now, I'm glad to report that after 20 all these investigations, the University finally came out 21 and admitted that they had made mistakes and they had taken 22 the steps to correct those mistakes; and of course I'm 23 hopeful that those corrections were done in good faith and 24

will have a more lasting impact on the future admissions

25

1

· 通信中心理解

5

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

policy of the University. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. - Are there questions? Dr. Erler.

Yes, Professor Wang, T ve read DR. ERLER: article that was published in March in the East West News and it was very critical of the Shack Report. You seem to imply there that you believe that the decision in 1984, which you've just described to us, was an act of intentional discrimination. Do I read the article correctly?

DR. WANG: There is no question in my mind that it was done intentionally

Yes, no, and I quite agree

They were singling out specific targets to DR. WANG: be excluded.

I quite agree, and in subsequent years I notice that the percentage of Asian students has not recovered its former level. Has there been continued discrimination in the admission policies against Asianstudents?

I think the answer is yes, and the reason DR. WANG: is that, you know, there were certain, you know, beyond 1984, in 1985 the University introduced a whole series of criteria, new criteria for admissions, and many of those criteria we have pointed out repeatedly to the University,

that they were discriminatory toward Asians and I don't think, you know, I don't want to go into the details of what those criterias are and how they affected the Asian, but they -- well, to make a long story short, finally, this year, just two months ago in the report by the Academic Senate Committee on Admissions and Enrollment, they finally dropped all those criteria that we've been protesting since 1985. So, I suspect that, you know, at least, you know, well, you know, in the coming year, I think we will see a fairer treatment of Asian American in terms of their competitiveness with white applicants.

DR. ERLER: But you also mentioned in your statement that you believe that the intentional discrimination was undertaken in order to benefit white applicants. Did I understand you correctly?

DR. WANG: Yes.

DR. ERLER: But at the same time, the percentage of white applicants in the freshman class has been steadily declining at a much more precipitous rate than the decline in Asian students. Is there some contradiction in your statement there? Or how do you explain that?

DR. WANG: No, not at all. In fact, I think that if it weren't for those changes in admissions policy that targeted Asian American applicant, I suspect that the white applicant enrollment will have dropped even more.

3

**4** 5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

DR. ERLER: I see. Well, of course, now it's down to 37-percent, whereas in 1984, it was at 55 percent, but --

DR. WANG: Right. But it would have dropped even more, that's what I'm suggesting.

DR. ERLER: Yes, no, I understand your statement there. Does affirmative action, as undertaken by the University, does that harm Asian students in admission policies?

DR. WANG: No, I don't think so, and I think that the, you know, even though the Asian American students do not benefit by the whites from the affirmative action program, Asian American students have benefitted from affirmative action in the private Ivy League universities where they as have been kept out of the door just like the other racial But in terms of Berkeley, I think that the and in terms of the University of California, I think the," you know, affirmative action program have not, you know, '25" hurt the Asian American and I think there's a common misperception on the part of the general public, and unfortunately also a lot of people on campus believe that the major trouble of the Asian American issue is really against the affirmative action program. Not at all. In fact, throughout this whole conflict in the last years, we have consistently supported the affirmative action. We wanted the University to do a lot more because

End P8

egin P9

 just because we, you know, and furthermore, we do not see, for instance, a competition between Asian applicants and the other minority applicants at all. The University, you know, admissions are done by tiers, and the tiers do not compete against each other. Asian Americans are admitted now almost exclusively under the first tier, and there the --

THE REPORTER: Excuse me one moment. Excuse me. I have to change the tape.

(Thereupon, a short break was taken off the record to

THE REPORTER: Back on.

DR. WANG: There Asian Americans, you know, competed with whites only and so I don't see how, you know, how the existing policy would affect, you know, affirmative action.

DR. ERLER: I read this morning, when we had a group of administration representatives here, a statement that was made by Vice Chancellor Park in a letter to The New York Times in which he said in order to achieve a better educational balance, it was necessary to reduce the number of both Asian and white freshmen. This was a statement that the Vice Chancellor made. Has that been the case that to achieve diversity in the student body in the sense of which we know racial and ethnic diversity, his statement

1

3

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

was, it is necessary to reduce the numbers of white and Asian students. Has that been your perception? Or is that --

DR. WANG: No, I don't agree with the way he, you know, he stated the University's position. I think when you look at the California's Master Plan --

DR. ERLER: But isn't that what happened in '84, though, if it was an intentional discrimination? Why was there an intentional discrimination against Asian students? Was it in the name of diversity?

To slow down the decline of whites, yes But I don't think it was the intention was to instance, to knock off Asians to increase the other racial. minorities' enrollment. Not at all. In fact, it had no impact whatsoever. I think the real problem is that, you know, when you look at each year, the admissions data, you'll find that, you know, I know that there are going to be people in the administration that I think will disagree with what I'm going to say, but if you look at the data each year, you'll find that there are almost as many whites. offered admissions on a non-competitive basis - in other words, not on the first tier -- as there are blacks offered admissions. That's why I said I meant the article that you talked about, it was really an affirmative action program for whites. The decision to

reduce Asian American enrollment through these changes in admissions policy was, in fact, another way of looking at it, was affirmative action for whites so that to slow down the decline of white enrollment and at the same time to slow down the increase in Asian American enrollment in the first tier.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: How do you explain -- what is your explanation for the difference in impact on the refugee and foreign Asian and the American-born Asian? Is there a difference in the way they're affected by these policies.

DR. WANG: You know; all the data and all the reports that have been published deal exclusively with domestic students. They do not include foreign students. In fact, the University of California at Berkeley's undergraduate program admitted very, very few foreign students, and invariably, they are counted separately. They are not included in this physical analysis.

MS. DAVIS: So, how did --

DR. WANG: So, it would do, you know, so what we're talking about American students of Asian descent. We're not talking about foreign Asian students coming into the University of California taking up slots. You know very very few people get admitted.

MS. DAVIS: So, how do you account for the report in

-

l

· 大學學

terms of language that Ms. Hahn mentioned?

DR. WANG: Okay, I'm talking about people like myself. I'm an immigrant, and many of us, you know, English is our second language and this is one aspect of our application that is most vulnerable, and the University knew that. You know, you heard about the minimum S-A-T verbal standard policy that was temporarily imposed and quickly withdrawn in 1984 precisely because when they look at the S-A-T verbal score and where the cut-off point was for Asians, they knew what they were doing when they make that secret decision. It was intentional to knock off some, you know large number of Asian American applicants because of their language deficiency.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Mr. Sillas.

MR. SILLAS: I have a couple of questions, too. First to Cecillia Wong, your description of the campus is that there was a lot of racial incidents, comments, et cetera, kind of an atmosphere of racism on the campus, which obviously has impacted you significantly. My question is, is that different on campus, is the atmosphere different on campus as opposed to off campus? Are you experiencing the same type of feeling off the campus that you have described on campus?

MS. WONG: Not necessarily. There's a lot of anti-\_\_\_\_.

Asian feeling everywhere, you know, in the past few years,

campus, especially Berkeley, is that as a first-year student coming onto the campus, I expected that, you know, my peers at this university would be more sensitive to these issues. I rather naively assumed that educated people wouldn't be bigots, and I was wrong.

MR. SILLAS: All right, so that part of your experience is that you did not anticipate any type of racial incidents that would be occurring to you on campus --

MS. WONG: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SILLAS: Merely because of the location

Not necessarily just the location, but also MS. WONG: in terms of where and who the bigotry came from. I did not expect top-level university administrators, for example, to In addition, on a university campus, the character of the racial bias is different. As the African American students stated, you know, we're made to feel by other students that we are guests and when we see that the University fails to take action, fails to protect us from that type of attitude on the part of students and even, you know, faculty and staff, that it's a really bad feeling to be at a place where you've struggled, you know, to struggle so hard to get to a place where you think your dreams will come true and then, you know, as Kimberly Papillion said in the African American perspectives, that you're sitting at

the African American perspectives, that you're sitting at the table and you're made to feel like you don't belong there.

MR. SILLAS: All right: We heard earlier this morning from administrators who indicated that they felt the campus was in some ways a reflection of the society around them, but that the campus in comparison was better as it pertained to the racial atmosphere compared to outside.

Would you care to comment on that? Do you agree with that statement?

MS: WONG: I disagree with that statement because at the University, the ethnic makeup is very different from my own personal experience. I come from a hugely white suburb in the Bay Area, and when I got to the University I found from my personal viewpoint that when you throw together such a diverse mix that a lot of misunderstandings take place because you've got people coming from different backgrounds who don't understand each other, and the University makes very little attempt to solve those differences and to help students come to an understanding and gain an education from that experience.

MR. SILLAS: Okay, thank you. I wanted to just go; I can, go back to Professor Ling-Chi Wang who it s always good to see and glad to see he's continuing the battle.

But let me just have you comment on, I think, something

that we have to deal with as a Committee and probably as a society and you kind of set the precedent there. appears, based upon what we heard this morning, that there is a goal on the part of administrators and the University to arrive at diversity on the campus, diversity being defined as various people from different cultures and ethnic backgrounds. You have described what can occur when a group takes the measurements that are there and excels with the measurements so that there is an increasing number of persons then coming in under the established guidelines. Do you think it's correct, if the goal is for diversity that administrators or a university should then begin to create formulas so that it maintains diversity? Or should. it just deal with the process that allows people to come in, and let's suppose that the University winds up with 80 percent Asian -- and I'm just giving you an extreme -- 80 percent Asian or 80 percent black or 80 percent any ethnic group, and then decides that as it looks at the total population of the State that that group that now-represents-80 percent on the campus is only 15 percent of the total population. I'd appreciate your comments in terms of whether or not you believe the University has some sense of responsibility to try to cause the population, -- its educational population to reflect the total State population and what kind of -- and if your answer is yes,

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what kind of manipulation do you believe is proper for it to do so? In 10 words or less.

the walk property

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. WANG: Yeah. Well, my answer is obviously yes. think that as a taxpayer-supported institution, the University has the obligation to try to achieve some diversity and balance on the particular campus. In fact, if we should reach that point with 80 percent Asian -- and I hope we will never reach that point -- it's really an indictment on our whole educational system's failure. don't think we can afford to allow California to become a, you know, a State that turns out nothing but failed in this case, students and allowing one particular group Asian American students -- to dominate a particular campus I think there are a lot of historical, cultural and other factors that affected the performance of Asian American students, one of which was normally people don't like to talk about, and that is how our immigration policy actually is skewed toward attracting the cream of Asian countries to this country. You know, it's not that Asian Americans are any brighter than other racial groups, including whites, but because we manage through out immigration policies to recruit and skim the best and the brightest from you know from places, especially from China. L to see, for instance, probably the best and the brightest of 40,000 Chinese students that are attending our, you

know, universities as a result of the crisis, political crisis in China, and those are the people that eventually will end up in our 1990 census, and they're going to say, my God, you know, Asian American or Chinese American must be really bright. So, I hope that that is not going to be the case, that I think the University has that obligation --

MR. SILLAS: All right, let me --

DR. WANG: To achieve that diversity on the campus for educational reasons. Now, then --

MR. SILLAS: Then let me get to the next question

DR. WANG: The second part of your question

MR. SILLAS: How do you do it?

DR. WANG: Okay, the second part of your question is a lot harder to deal with, but I think that the University's recently adopted policy, and I'm talking about Berkeley campus, not the other campus, I think will begin to at least allow some fairness in the administration of the admissions policies to take place because up until this year, prior to the adoption of the new policies, the University somehow, you know, have, you know, I mentioned the first tier, and then there's the other tier which is made up of so-called protected categories, but if you were to take a look at the racial composition of those protected categories, you will find that, for instance, you know,

22

23

24

25

terro in the state of

among those people who are protected are the disabled people. You will find that the whites seem to have a monopoly over disability, you know, or the whites seem to have a monopoly over what I would characterize as vou know, there's a channel, you know, children of I characterize it as children of V-I-P and wealthy alumni who are also, you know, admitted at so-called administration discretion, you know, admittees, seems to be dominated by, I think what the new you know, certain racial group. policy will address is that, for instance under the disabled people, I think the University will have to also apply a, you know, some kind of a recruitment program to make sure that other groups are as well-represented. So FE think that the, you know, I don't know how this is going to work out yet. You know, we're going into that phase beginning next year, and you know, I'm hopeful that it will be a fairer kind of diversity and there are all together nine different categories. I don't know if the administration presented that new report or not .- But thereare nine categories, and among which are two new categories that may be of interest to this Committee, and T. personally do not have any objections to them. One is called rural applicants, and the other one is re-entry know exactly what will be the outcome of those two These are people who are going to be admitted categories.

----

22

23

24

25

on a non-competitive basis. They are, I think, going to be predominantly white, you know, because, you know, I mean, we look at Asian and whites, you know, over 98 percent of California's Asian population are in urban area, so the protected category for rural area will not benefit Asian, but it will benefit large number of whites who may be living in Chicos (sic) or somewhere else, you know, will benefit from it. And I think it's the right policy. think to recruit people from, you know, the rural area. The re-entry students, I think, are by and large going to be white women who after they raised their children decided to go back to school and finish college. I think that s fine. I think white women, you know, are just as much entitled to the benefit of a public education as anyone else. So, I think that the, you know, it's a fairly thoughtful way of trying to achieve diversity in the tax supported institutions, and I think we should try to achieve that and not all Asian American students should apply to U-C Berkeley. I think they should apply to other universities as well. And Berkeley is not good for all Asian American students either, and so I'd like to see, you know, our students, especially Asian American students, exposed to students of diverse racial background; cl background, you know, in the university setting. So, it will not be a good education Asian Americans if Berkeley

Whereupon

turn out to be predominantly, you know, Asian American dominated campus.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: I'm sorry. We're going to have to close our presentation here. I would like to ask Professor Wang, Ms. Wong, and Ms. Hahn to submit any factual information that you feel is relevant to the inquiry presented here. Professor Wang, I believe that you said you would submit your article to the Committee. Thank you. May we hear from the American Indian perspective?

JOHN LAVELLE

MELINDA MICCO

were called as witnesses herein and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: On our agenda I show Mr. John LaVelle and Ms. Melinda Micco. Before you begin to speak, please identify yourself and the organization that you represent, please, for the record.

MS. MICCO: My name is Melinda Micco and I'm with the American Indian Student Association.

MR: LAVELLE: And I'm John LaVelle with the American Indian Student Association, member of the Santee tribe of Nebraska.

MS. MICCO: I'm a member of the Seminole, Creek and

Choctaw tribe.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you.

MR. LAVELLE: Our presentation will be rather informal. We'll be adding our observations together as we speak. First of all, we'd like to thank this Committee for inviting us to share our perspective and for inviting Indian students generally to share their perspective. We realize that often times Indians are left out when it comes to hearing the perspective of minority students, and we think that this is a really good move on the part of the Committee to get that perspective from Indian students. We note that this Committee does not have an Indian member of the Committee and that's something that rather disturbed us. There are many Indian tribes in California and if their concerns are to be adequately dealt with, I think that it would be very appropriate to have an American Indian on this particular Committee.

I have expressed reluctance about testifying here today and I think Melinda has shared my reluctance basically because we perceive that the Civil Rights

Commission itself has shown some hostility toward the interests of Indians and we're particularly outraged by the behavior of Commissioner Allen on the White Mountain Apache Indian Reservation. It's an incident that has outraged many Indians, and I believe I speak for Melinda, too, in

saying that we share that sense of outrage for his behavior there. We hope that in the future, Indian students at this University will feel, you know, less rejuctant to testify before this Committee if we perceive some movement toward really addressing the interests of Indian people and Indian students.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our experience is that, on the U-C campus, is that often times Indians are left out from discussions of affirmative action. Our point of view is not solicited. When there are statements that are made concerning affirmative action movement on campus, often times there just the entire category of American Indians is completely deleted and not mentioned. We think that that is a problemthat's shared by many other Indian students on campus. also find that there is basically a lack of accountability on the part of the University with respect to the admission of Indian students. At the University, applicants are basically asked to self-identify themselves as Indians, as members of tribes, or just as Native Americans; and we'reconcerned that there's not proper oversight as to who is getting in as Indians at the University, that we have. problems with the designation Native American precisely. because it is ambiguous and it gives a rise to the part of applicants. We think that perhaps a corrective to this problem would be to standardize the designation on applications, not use the term Native American but use the term American Indian/Alaskan Natives. That is as unambiguous as we can find and it would prevent people from claiming that yes, they were born in Cincinnati, Ohio, so they are native Americans. That's the kind of thing that we want to avoid and we also find that there is a lack of review of applications determining the legitimacy of students who claim that they're Indian.

I am a student at the Law School at the University and there we do have a procedure whereby students, Indian students, member of the American Indian Law Student.

Association consult the admissions committee and actually review applicants' files to look at the question of legitimacy and to make recommendations to the admissions committee. That's a policy that's not, you know, done universally, not implemented universally at the University or system-wide and we think that it would be helpful for other departments to implement a similar program.

We question how Indian students are listed.—There are
University lists that I've seen as Chairman of the American
Indian Student Association during this past academic year.
I received a list apparently that was generated by the
University of supposedly all of the Indian students on
campus. My name was not on the list. Out of 10 law
students, 10 Indian law students, there was one name on

2

Treeson France

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

that list. I could not make heads or tails as to how that list was generated, who was being accountable for keeping track of who the Indian students are the University. I think that's a matter that really needs to be looked into.

As a footnote here with respect to our interest in having American Indians/Alaskan Natives be the official standard designation system-wide, the American Indian graduate program board of advisors at the University has adopted a resolution showing preference for the designation American Indian rather than Native American, which again, as I say, leads to ambiguity and confusion on the part of many applicants.

We also-would recommend that there be formed some sorts of a committee of perhaps consisting of American Indian faculty at the University and American Indian students whoare empowered to oversee procedures relating to Indian admissions so that these particular problems that I'm ... trying to pinpoint now can be addressed by such a committee. That, we think, would be very helpful. And as-I said, to use Indian students also in the consultation -- as consultants in the admissions process in the different graduate departments and at the undergraduate level, it would be very helpful. We also would recommend that Indian student applicants be required to show some kind of documentation of their Indian-ness. This need not

be a certificate of degree of Indian blood or tribal 1 In some cases, there are tribes that are not 2 Federally recognized, of course, and there are some Indian people who perhaps do not have the blood degree required by their tribes but have sufficient contacts with the Indian 5 communities that they're from to be considered Indian for the purposes of affirmative action. We would recommend that if an applicant cannot provide documentation from their tribe, that they at least, you know, report what their connection to Indian community life is, perhaps have 10 an elder within that community youch for their Indian-ness; 11 and that would perhaps prevent this problem of students 12 getting in just by checking a box on a form and never 13 having that form reviewed by anyone. 14

We have concerns about the retention of Indian students. It's a big problem. Perhaps Melinda is more up on this issue.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MICCO: Okay, I'm an undergraduate in the

Department of Ethnic Studies and Native American Studies.

I'm a re-entry student. I came to the University of

California after attending a community college for a couple
of years down on the peninsula. I chose to come to

Berkeley because of my cousin was a recruiter here for the

Indian student community and had done a lot of work here
and I was interested in pursuing a degree in ethnic studies

and primarily related to an ethno-historical view of my tribe in Oklahoma. So, I came to the University with the intention of pursuing that degree and receiving the kind of support, emotional support and financial support to accomplish first a B-A and then a P-H-D in the ethnic studies program.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's fairly common for American Indian students to be older students. Either they have to make up for deficient ... schools on reservations, or they have to go to community college to bring their grades up or to take courses prepare them to come to the University. Mine was interrupted by marriage and children, and coming back, even though I had gone to fairly good schools, coming to the University of California was alienating in some ways because I tried to make contact with as many Indian students as I could so I could share similar experiences, be in an Indian community, and went to the American Indian\_ Student Association with the intent of meeting quite a few -I was surprised at the amount of students that students. were there from the records or the information I had that there were quite a few Indian students on campus - I- mean 250 students they admitted is a fairly large portion of Indian students, but I found that there were about 20 maybe 20 people that attended these meetings and I began to think that maybe the figures were skewed and there weren't

that many Indian students.

1

2

3

.4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Last semester I spent considerable time with Jeff Chang's Presidential Commission on Affirmative Action in Admissions, and during that process, meeting with other students, one of whom you spoke to today, Guillermo Rodriguez, and trying to go through the process of admissions step-by-step and how people were admitted and the numbers of students. We found several discrepancies. I have a copy of that report which I'll leave for you. It was very informative for me to be able to work with these The same of the sa students, one, because as John mentioned earlier; any minority groups are mentioned, very rarely are Indian students mentioned, and the undergraduate president of our Association took it upon herself, largely with the other students, the minority groups, to have Indian students recognized so that any time there was a discussion, a rally, a debate, anything that came up, that we would be included in that; and during this past semester, she and I did a lot of public speaking on behalfof Indian students because of our concern about the students, and one of the issues that John touched briefly was the retention rate.

Part of the idea of coming to the University is my involvement with the Indian community, my commitment to my tribal history, and going back as I've done two Summers to

do research in Oklahoma. But a large percentage of Indian students do not graduate from this University, so they come out here, they feel very alienated, especially if they're from a reservation. They feel cultural shock. The size of Berkeley is intimidating. Sometimes professors will make remarks about Indian students and call them redskins, and we sort of retreat into the woodwork and those of who aren't articulate enough or loud enough to talk about it or actually confront professors about calling Indian students redskins, they don't do as well in class and then they start feeling more alienated. A lot of times the financial support isn't sufficient from them to really it's late in coming; and we don't have wealthy bank accounts to rely on to fall back on before getting these Therefore, we're not graduating and financial supports. then we're not going back to our communities to make that tie, to be role models for those younger Indian students that are still left on the reservation.

1

2

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Outside of the Department of Native American Studies,

I can think of only one professor in traditional

departments and for us to go beyond that area, fortunately
that's the area that I wanted to go into, but if I had

decided to pursue a degree in political science, history,
business administration or whatever, I wouldn't find a role
model of an American Indian, especially an American Indian

٠,٠٠٠

2

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

woman. They just wouldn't be there and I think that's part of the problem in feeling the alienation on this University campus.

If I might add a comment concerning MR. LAVELLE: retention, there's also a lack of support systems at the University for Indian students. Indian students often require or could really well use support for being at a very alienating place like the University of California, as Melinda mentioned, especially those students who come from The American Indian Graduate rural reservation areas. Program exists at the University; which as been a fine program that has extended support to Indian graduate students; however, the Indian graduate programgitself has been subject to funding cuts now and they're desperately insearch of soft funding sources to keep their program One of the consequences of these budget cuts has been that the administrative assistant to the director of ' that program, her time has been cut to half-time. She has ' been an exceptional help for a lot of Indian-graduate students who have come into the University from rural reservation areas and she has helped them to find housing, and helped them to find their way around the community and She is now able to just to feel at home. position has now been cut to half-time and that is a big problem.

With respect to financial aid, this, of course, is a major issue for Indian students who often do not have the resources to fall back on that people from other groups There are bureaucratic delays in getting financial aid as students may be awarded funds from the American Indian scholarships in Albuquerque; however, often times it's many, many weeks or sometimes as long as a month before they see that money once the check arrives on the campus because of the tremendous bureaucracy that it has go through to have the check deposited in the University own accounts and a new check generated. And there's often nothing that can be done to speed up that process, and the University recommends, you know, looking into personal resources to, you know, to cover for that delay, but often times Indian students simply do not have those resources and it's a tremendous burden for Indian students:

PARTIES PARTIES AND ASPE

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If I might bring up a couple of examples of Indian students that I know of who have experienced pretty direct forms of racism on campus. One very brilliant Indian doctoral student failed an exam prior to leaving the master's program in his particular field. This failure, of course, she's a brilliant student and it just struck at the heart of her sense of inadequacy and she compared her exam results with other students' results and she noted that other students who passed the exam had exams with almost

the professor on this point and the professor told her that since she was a minority student that this professor needed to be harder on her now in order to prepare her for the rough times that she could expect in the mainstream society ahead of her.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another example even more disturbing is the example of a female applicant to a department, graduate-level department within the University, a woman from a reservation who was admitted to that department, to that program, on a provisional basis. Then she was allowed to defer for a year due to a pregnancy. During that year, she was invited to dinner by an administrative dean in another? area of the University. This administrative dean told her that she had been approached by persons from the highest administrative levels in this department and was encouraging this student to withdraw her application -- to withdraw her application from this department so that her seat could be filled by a better qualified student. She was also told that if she were to chose to keep her application there and to come to this department, that she would be denied financial aid and that otherwise she would be assisted in gaining admission to another less demanding department or similar department in another school. This student was completely torn up by this, what began as a friendly discussion with this particular dean, and she told

friendly discussion with this particular dean, and she told 1 - eventually the student told the dean that she was going 2 to come to this department nevertheless. She did enter the 3 program and she left before the end of the first semester; having been made to feel very unwelcome by an administration that was shockingly insensitive to her special needs, a very shocking incident that has disturbed 7 a lot of us who have heard about it from her. basically the upshot of these examples that I give here are 9 just that while there appears to be this ostensible. 10 welcoming and accommodating policy of affirmative action on 11 this campus, and it's, of course; eloquently stated in 12 brochures that minority students are welcome, when Indian 13 students actually get here, they find a very cold and 14 different environment, very alien to what they're used to 15 very few support systems, not even adequate help with their 16 financial needs, and consequently we have students who just 17 drop out, who just go back to their home areas, and we're 18 very frustrated by this lack of accommodation by the 19 University and we hope that this Committee can, you know, 20 help us do something about maybe moving in a more. 21 supportive direction. 22

We'd like to thank the Committee for hearing our point of view and we hope that you will invite Indian students in the future to testify before this Committee. Thank you.

23

24

25

2

1

3

\_

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Are there questions? Ms. Davis.

MS. DAVIS: I was wondering, of the number of American Indians that are on campus, I just wondered how many of them actually graduate. And secondly, this morning we were told and I was trying to determine how much academic support minority students get and I was told that they have a learning center here that's been operable for about 20 years. I'm just wondering, are the American Indian students encouraged to use the learning center in terms of academic support? Or are you also alienated from using that facility?

I'll answer that. That's primarily MS. MICCO: probably undergraduate areas and approximately 20 percent of American Indian students graduate from the University. When I came to the University, what they do with freshmen that are coming in, they have a Summer program called Bridge Program and they have students come here and live at the campus for six weeks and get used to the University and see what it's like. As a transfer student and as a single parent, you don't have the wherewithal to pick up and take your kids to a dormitory and live for six weeks while they introduce you to the campus. So, therefore, that isn't even offered, it isn't even a consideration. Part of the report I did on community colleges was to interview the people who are in charge of that program at the learning

center and you receive a letter that says that they 1 encourage you to use the student learning center and to 2 avail yourself of an advisor. Coming to the University and finding yourself with 30 books to read in a semester and be a T-A for a course and raise two kids, it's hard to put in the time there; and usually, most of the hours available are in the evening and that means you have to get childcare for your children, which isn't available and no one's 8 paying for it. Once you're at home, that's it. You stay 9 home and you work at home and do all your things there. 10 So, using those facilities are primarily for unmarried 11 undergraduate, lower division students I would 12 that's not the case for most American Indian students 13 I have just a point of CHAIRPERSON HESSE: 14

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: I have just a point of clarification. You said working as a teaching assistant?

You have undergraduate teaching --

MS. MICCO: Tutor.

Andrew Andrew Marketon

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Oh, tutors. Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Carney.

MR. CARNEY: You both mentioned cultural shock and the alien environment and I would like to know, first of all if you're familiar with the -- I don't even know if it still exists now, but I know about 20 years ago the Bureau of Indian Affairs had a program which was not just educational in nature as far as schools are concerned or

universities and colleges, but also work education oriented in bringing out young Indian people and a little bit older Indian people from the reservations into not just Los Angeles or San Francisco, but to other major metropolitan areas where they were either put into school under this program or brought into other environmental situations, and again, I don't know if you're familiar with it or not, but in that situation, the individuals became very clannish and they were very withdrawn from the regular mainstream of society, and this is in general, and these are my observations in the Los Angeles area, and I micurious as to how you feel that situation would be different than what you're commenting on with respect to the University presently.

MS. MICCO: Are you speaking about the relocation program?

MR. CARNEY: Well, it wasn't necessarily a relocation program. It was a Bureau of Indian Affairs had a program where they had -- well, it was temporary relocation, if you will -- but they came out, the ones that I'm familiar with, they came to California, various areas of California. They were here for educational purposes, not just academic but practical, like mechanics and other things like that, to learn the trades, if you will, in order to go back to the reservation or to wherever they wanted to go and, you know,

contribute to the community at that time, to their own communities.

MS. MICCO: Well, I'm not --

The Total

MR. CARNEY: But I see from your remarks, my
observations 20 years ago are similar to what you appear to
be saying now that the alien environment exists presently
as it did then, again, in my experience. But my bottom
line question would be, how does that differ from, you
know, students from other States or other countries coming
to the University. They're in a totally alien environment
as well, and not because of the fact that they're American.
Indians or Alaskan Natives, and I'm just making an inquiry
to see what your observations are with respect to the
University --

MR. LAVELLE: Well, one observation I have is that American Indians come from a completely different cultural perspective unlike students from other States and so that their needs and their sense of alienation is of a much different sort and a much different quality. Also, there is a sense among Indians of having their sovereignty as independent nations and peoples violated in a way that, I think, that, you know, students from different States could not possibly, you know, identify with. So, I think there is that qualitative difference in the sense of alienation that Indian students feel. They have unique and special

needs that cannot be addressed by programs that appeal just to the mainstream sense of alienation that all students feel in being away from home. It's a much different sense of culture shock and of drastic change in their being exposed to entire value systems that are completely alien; and I think the same cannot be said of students just coming from different States.

MR. CARNEY: Well, do you think that it becomes the obligation of the University to make up for deficits that are existing in situations pre-college level?

MR. LAVELLE: Well, I think that it is the obligation of the University to be not only tolerant of alternative perspectives and alternative cultural perspectives, but to encourage development of those perspectives and to encourage diversity in that regard, and I think that's where the University is -- precisely where the University is lacking. There's an implicit -- there's more or less an implicit ideological orientation or cultural orientation that simply does not accommodate Indian's special interests and needs in the way that I think that this society's obliged to do.

THE REPORTER: Excuse me one moment, please.

(Thereupon, a short break wa taken off the record to change the tape.)

nd P9

論n <sup>-</sup>P10

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE REPORTER: Back on.

MR. CARNEY: You heard the other ladies and gentlemen and professor that was here just preceding you, they also have indicated the lack of sensitivity, if you will, present on the campus, at least at Berkeley, with respect to the Asian American students, and I would ask you, without Mr. Sillas being here, what do you suggest should be done to implement a change in the attitudes or the practices of the administration and faculty of the University?

I think a lot of people perceive American Indian students as invisible. I think they wear feather bonnets and things like that, and if we don't fill that role, we're not really Indian students; and I think first of all, recognizing that our numbers are far smaller than what they're reporting from the lists that. John received that shows Indian students on that list, and we know from our contacts and we try to make telephone contacts to all these students because we want them, one; not only to be involved in the political structure of this. University, but also if there's any way that we can help at least we're a couple of years beyond that initial phase of being terrified of the University you know, have them over for dinner or whatever. In going through that process, we find that the numbers are very

small and for the University, one, in John's recommendation that there be a committee composed students and faculty that would monitor the admissions policy in recognizing what students, what numbers we really have in Indian students and not assuming that there's a much larger proportion. I think that would be one of the first steps to right the wrong that the University assumes there's a lot more Indian students than there are:

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LAVELLE: Another very isolated way that I perceive the University could accommodate Indians perspective, Indians' point of view Indians' special needs, is, for example, at the Law School there American Indian Law course that's currently offered once every other year. We've made some initial attempts to try to get that course offered every year. There's usually a pretty good demand for the course. There are courses of comparable enrollment that are offered every year, and the way things stand now is that law students, since their entire first year courses are prescribed, they have-oneshot and one shot only at taking Indian Law; and if they had the opportunity to take that course in perhaps their second year, and if it's offered only in the third year, if they had had the chance in the second year, a whole vista. of opportunity for helping Indian people might be opened to them. But the administration at the Law School simply says that it's an expensive endeavor and they simply cannot afford it, and so whenever money considerations come to the administration, it always seems to be Indians who suffer the first cutback or the first blow, and that's the case here. So, I think, offering, you know, offering more courses that present the alternative cultural perspective of Indians in different departments would be a real positive move and it's something that could be done.

SECTION M

R

MR. CARNEY: You mentioned the financial needs of Indian students. How do those needs differ from any other student that comes to the University? I mean, assuming that they're not coming from, you know, wealthy families, et cetera. I mean just --

MR. LAVELLE: Well, that's a big assumption given this University and the students who come here, the actual students who come here.

MR. CARNEY: Well, I don't know what the make-up is economically of the --

MS. MICCO: American Indian or --

MR. CARNEY: No, I'm talking about the students, just the general students that, you know, have come from working class people, working class families, that have to, in pursuit of higher education at a quality university have to undergo financial hardships, and I just wanted to know how do you feel the American Indian student differs from those

students?

MR. LAVELLE: Well, I'm sure that there are, you know, students from working class families who do suffer great frustration with the bureaucracy and with the lack of financial aid. With American Indians, however, if these students particularly come from reservation areas and they're to get, for example, you know, someone to co-sign a document in order to get an advance on their scholarship which they're waiting for the University to process, you know, they have to write back to the reservation family and ask, you know — I mean, it's almost impossible to get that kind of documentation to allow them to get an advance and if they have to tax the family for any reason to get money from them, it's often a great hardship just given the hard circumstances under which some people live on American Indian reservations. So, there is that difference.

MR. CARNEY: I have nothing further.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. I have one comment. The panel talked about challenging the validity of the admission and retention statistics. I think there were a couple of references to the number of American Indians the University said that it admitted versus what your organization found to be the actual number, and my follow up question is actually two parts. One is, I want to know how do the other students — how does the University

identify any groups? Is it by self-certification? Self-identification? And secondly, I think you just implied, did your organization follow-up to find out, you know, who the 250 were and that's how you came to know that the number is actually smaller -- undergraduate students?

MS. MICCO: It's both. The American Indian Students Association is both undergraduate and graduate students.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. Right.

مين المنطبي المناسبة المناسبة

MS. MICCO: We found that there's such a small number of us that we have to kind of band together and what we tried to do, as other Indian students is contact those people. That isn't really to say that we ve contacted every single person on that list, and obviously the people who are interested in the American Indian Student Association, we have to send out mailers to each of these people and then they choose to attend the meeting. It's their own choice. So, we never know if all of the Indian students -- a lot of them are so busy with classes that they can't fit another meeting or whatever.

MR. LAVELLE: Well, with respect to the first part of your question, I believe that, as I recall, when I filled out my application for law school, students were required to check a similar box for membership in another group. It just happens to be easier for people to sneak by as Indians if they're not, you know, if checking that box is not

followed up on or reviewed. So, I think that students perceive that, perhaps, you know, non-Indian students or whatever, will perceive this to be an easy opportunity for special consideration when they really don't qualify for it. As far as the lists and how -- The American Indian Student Association consists of 41 members right now, and this is the largest it's ever been. So, we do not have the manpower really to do the University's work for it by trying to follow up with who really is Indian and who isn't. We just have a sense of frustration that there are names that are shown to us that are Indian that we've never seen or we wonder if they just disappeared into the mainstream, you know, student population. At the Law School we've actually come across particular incidents where people did falsify their records and said that they were Indian and then the Indian Law Students Association didn't, because it's a smaller group, was able to follow up and in one case got the person rejected, got the person kicked out of the Law School. This was several years ago, -but this sort of thing, we're afraid that this sort of thing happens more often, and there needs to be some kind. of accountability. It's the University's responsibility to provide that accountability. We don't have the manpower as Indian students and as such a small Indian student association to really pursue things ourselves on our

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

initiative. A

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Well, the question was CHAIRPERSON HESSE: from, I guess, part on my own background that, you know, black people come in all shades of color and it only takes 1/16th of a person's blood to be considered black; however, there are people brown like me or someone that is as fair as Ed that call themselves black, so that's why I was asking about, you know, how do the other groups certify. Is it self-identification? And then secondly that -- the reason why I asked the second question had to do with when you were talking about retention rates. Are you talking about retention rates within the group that you know to be -- I mean, that the Association knows to be Indian? For example, in the Law School you know which people are American Indians and so, when you talk about retention, the \* retention rate, you're talking about people that you know !!. are American Indian.

MR. LAVELLE: Yes. More or less, when we refer to retention problems, that's who we're referring to.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay

MR. LAVELLE: At the Law School, like I said, we have a particularly adequate -- we have a pretty good system for reviewing applicants' qualifications as Indian and; therefore, you know, we don't have as much question about their Indian-ness.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Okay. I want to thank you for your comments. I believe that you're going to submit a report to us, and if there is any additional factual information which you wish to submit - Ithink you talked about two incidences of bigotry -- if you wish to submit those incidences or additional incidences to the Advisory Committee, please do, and I would like you to contact Regional Director Phillip Montez, or John Dulles. Dulles is the man who's sort of back there with the red tie looking into the carpet. We'd like to get your additional comments -- maybe things that you hadn't thought of or 1. F things that will come to you later. Okay.

Thank you very much. MR. LAVELLE:

MS. MICCO: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

ล

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you. Mr. Montez, do we have a list of individuals who wish to address the Committee?

MR. MONTEZ: Well, I know of nobody that signed up.

Did you want to address the committee?

I didn't sign up, but I'll COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Sure. take advantage of the public hour.

In addition to CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Just one moment. Commissioner Allen, there's a lady in the third row. I have Mr. Montez or Mr. Dulles get her name also

MR. MONTEZ: Who was that?

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: The lady in the third row right

there. And we'll hear from Commissioner Allen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you. I only wanted to take a few moments to thank the Committee for arranging this discussion of racial, sexual and ethnic violence and harassment in higher education. It's a subject of special interest to the Commission and we, in fact, should be publishing a report on the subject later this year looking at the nation as a whole. And I learned a good deal from hearing many of the presentations today, and in some respects even more than I anticipated from looking at the agenda because I found the agenda's division into different groups made it harder for me to conceptualize exactly what it was we were going to talk about since I had actually item. have thought of looking at the question violence and harassment with a panel of people of several groups all addressing some rather generic type of violence or harassment that we could then focus on. Nevertheless, I think the point came through in the end, and though we can't have so much trouble getting past this habit of dividing our country up into its respective groups as if we're setting them up to be knocked over some day. we can still get to the core of the matter in Nevertheless, hearing presentations like this and I'm grateful for it and grateful for the opportunity to join you. I also wanted officially to make known to you, for your record and for

you to carry to your Committee members who are not present now, that the Commission will be meeting in San Francisco on the 28th and 29th of this month and I trust that proper arrangements will be made for the Advisory Committee to join us there and I extend my personal invitation to you if you can join us in San Francisco, to join us in a reception for the Commissioners and for you which I will host on Friday evening the 28th, and the details of which will made available when we are there that morning in San Francisco. Thank you again. It's good to be with you.

ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Thank you

MS. ROBSON: Thank you, Madame Chair. This will be just a brief comment.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Could you identify yourself and if you're representing an organization or representing yourself?

MS. ROBSON: Sure. Absolutely. My name is Suzanna Castillo Robson and I am the Acting Director of Student Affairs and Services in the U-C Office of the President. I report to Assistant Vice President Alice Cox who monitored the panel this morning from the University-wide offices. I would like to just briefly provide the Committee with an explanation of how the Regents are kept informed with respect to incidents on campuses in response to some comments made by Student Regent Guillermo Rodriguez. Lest

the Commission leave with the impression that the Regents The state of the s do not know what goes on, I would like to let you know that my office is responsible for monitoring all activities on The report that Guillermo alluded to, the the campuses. 50-page report, was prepared by my office. There are also a number of other monitoring devices that we use in our great bureaucracy. One of them is via standing meetings with our Vice Chancellors for Student Affairs ... We routinely meet with them on an on-going basis to discuss incidents on the campuses. We periodically review campus policies to make sure sure that they conform with University= Bray at Themas wide general policies; not only with respect to Title Nine and Title Six, but also Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and a host of student conduct regulations. And we also work as liaisons with staff in a host of student services such as childcare center directors, women's centers, reentry programs, and the like to make sure that issues are brought up and provided to the upper level administrators in the office of the President. I did not want you to feel that the Regents were not informed and, as a matter of fact, we do on an on-going basis hear from our Regents with respect to individual student incidents if they are concerned with a particular incident; or just in general what the mood of the campuses are. Unfortunately, due to being a State institution, we feel that dollars are better

· Simple

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

spent on the line and, therefore, we don't annually request campuses to submit support, you know, supporting documentation with respect to incidents unless it is mandated either by Federal or State law.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Questions?

MOST COMMITTEE MEMBERS: I have no questions.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Thank you very much.

MS. JETT: Debbie, I have a question.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Oops, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

MS. JETT: Okay. You mentioned very briefly about your meetings and that you review policies. How do you assure the implementation of the policies that you have set forth?

MS. ROBSON: On an on-going basis, we do meet with the Vice Chancellors and on our agendas routinely, we do ask for updates on campus issues with respect to, for example, if there are an increasing number of sexual assaults on campuses, we do ask what kind of protocols are on campuses to make sure that women's issues are being addressed. When there are particular student demonstrations, for example, that say that policies are not being implemented, we use moral-suasion in terms of just our particular connections with the upper-level administrators speaking directly to the Vice Chancellors as to exactly what goes on, if those are ineffective, why they are, and how we could not only

فيري ومستكم

strengthen our campus policies if they are seen as weak, but also the University-wide ones which serve as the template for all of the campus implementing policies.

MS. JETT: Do you set prior consequences for certain policies that you have? Or are these handled as an individual case?

MS. ROBSON: You mean in terms of sanctions for activities?

MS. JETT: Yes.

MS. ROBSON: We do have University-wide policies that establish wide parameters with respect to the type of sanctions that can be imposed to student perpetrators if, indeed, a racial incident occurs, for example, or some sort of violent activity occurs on the campus up to and including expulsion from the University. Those are then communicated to the Chancellors who really do have the line responsibility to make sure that they are implemented; and with respect to University-wide policies, the Chancellor is really seen as the final authority with respect to making decisions on disciplinary action against students, faculty and staff.

MS. JETT: Thank you.

MS. ROBSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HESSE: Further questions? Okay, thank you very much. And I believe this adjourns our --

2

4

5

3 DOCKET NUMBER:

> FORUM ON BIGOTRY AND VIONENCE ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES CASE TITLE:

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

HEARING DATE: Tuesday, July 18, 1989

LOCATION: Berkeley, CA

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence herein are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same.

> 8/4/89 Date:

OFFICIAL REPORTERS PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING 1095 MARKET ST., STE. 312 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94130