# REFORE THE ARKANSAS ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE

1 CCA 3 Meet, 205,1

U. S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

In the Matter of:

CIVIL RIGHTS CONCERNS OF

OLDER AMERICANS

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

7

Holiday Inn-Center City 617 South Broadway Little Rock, Arkansas Friday, February 3, 1989

### PUBLIC HEARING

This Public Hearing was called to order 9:40 A.M., on February 3, 1989, pursuant to Notice.

The public was invited to attend, and members of the public were in attendance.

PRESIDING CHAIRPERSON:
ALAN PATTESON, JR.,
Arkansas Advisory Committee

#### PANEL:

WILLIAM MULDROW, Acting Director Commission on Civil Rights

EVANGELINE BROWN, Dermott, Arkansas

DR. JOSEPH ROSENZWEIG, Hot Springs, Arkansas

REVEREND RICHARD MILWEE, Little Rock, Arkansas

MORTON GITELMAN, Fort Smith, Arkansas

2		Page
3	Introduction by Chairman Patteson	3
4	STATEMENTS OF:	
5	Herbert Sanderson	3
6	Ann Wasson	41
7	Phyllis Haynes	58
8	Jean Turner Carter	73
9	Scott Holliday	84
10	Dewey Lantrip	92
11	Elmer Von Egmond	111
12	Dr. Mark Krain	126
13	Dr. Perry Thompson	143
14	Irma H. Brown	162
15	Schator Travis Miles	169
16′	W. P. Brown	193
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
-22		
23		
24		
25		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

#### PROCEEDINGS

Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission Civil Rights shall come to order.

I apologize for the wretched weather. I take no personal responsibility for it. I think we generally blame that on poor ole God, don't we? I do apologize for the late start that was necessitated because of that bad weather.

For the benefit of those in our audience, I would like to introduce myself and my colleagues. My name is Alan Patteson. I'm the Chairperson of the Advisory Committee. May I present to my left, Ms. Evangeline K. Brown of Dermott, a member of the Committee. To the far right, Dr. Joseph Rosenzweig from Hot Springs. And next to him, the Reverend Richard F. Milwee from Little Rock. We're pleased also to have Mr. William Muldrow who is the Acting Director of the Central Region Division of Commission on Civil Rights, to my immediate right. And Corine Sanders of the Regional Office who was in outer lobby as you were coming in. We are also pleased to have with us Jim Cory who is the liaison person from the Office of the Staff Director in Washington, he's in the audience.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

المجيد المستخدمة المستواد الم

We're here to conduct a community forum for the purpose of gathering information on the civil rights concerns of older Americans. Of special concern will be issues related to discrimination against persons because of their age. The jurisdiction of the と、 本会社 (Angel 日本の本本の主義とは、 本会社 として (Angel ) 日本の本本の (Angel ) 日本の本の (Angel ) 日本の本本の (Angel ) 日本の本本の (Angel ) 日本の本の (Angel ) 日本の本本の (Angel ) 日本の本の (Angel ) 日本の (Angel ) 日本 Commission includes discrimination, or denial of equal because of race, protection of the laws color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or in the administration of justice. Information relates to the topic of the forum will be especially helpful to the Advisory Committee.

The proceedings of this forum which are being recorded by a public stenographer will be sent to the Commission for its advice and consideration.

Information provided may also be used by the Advisory Committee to plan future activities.

At the outset I want to remind everyone present of the ground rules. This is a public meeting, open to the media and the general public, but we have a very full schedule of people who will be making presentations within the limited time we have available unless the weather prevents. The time allocated for each presentation must be strictly adhered to. This will include a presentation by each participant, followed by questions from Committee members. To

.22

accommodate persons who have not been invited, but who wish to make statements, we have scheduled an open period in our agenda during the afternoon session from 4:45 to 5:30 p.m. Anyone wishing to make a statement during that period should contact a staff member for scheduling.

Written statements may be submitted to Committee members or to the staff here today. Or, by mail to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 911 Walnut, Suite 3100, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. And I will repeat that address if anyone needs it.

The record of this meeting will close on February 17th, 1989. Though some of the statements made today may be controversial, we want to insure that all invited guests do not defame or degrade any person or organization. In order to insure that all aspects of the issues are represented, knowledgeable persons with a wide variety of experience and viewpoints have been invited to share information with us. Any person or any organization that feels defamed or degraded by statements made in this proceeding should contact our staff during the meeting so that we can provide a chance for public response. Ultimately, such persons or organizations may file written statements for inclusion in the proceeding.

.22

المريشة القالف الماية الماء الماء

I urge all persons making presentations to be judicious in their statements. The Advisory Committee appreciates the willingness of all participants to share their views and experiences with the Committee.

Mr. Muldrow, at this time, will share some opening remarks.

MR. MULDROW: I would just like to add a note of welcome and thanks to both of you despite the weather difficulties. We're not so concerned that we don't have a room full of people to hear what's going on, although that would be highly desirable and informative for them. We are pleased that we will have your statements for the record which will then be summarized later in our report. And I trust that during the day we will pick up steam as people are able to travel and get here.

This is one means -- these community forums which are conducted by our Advisory Committees are one mechanism by which we gather information for the advice of the Commissioners in Washington and for the benefit of the public at large and other agencies which can use it.

So, we do these periodically on quite a wide variety of subjects. The topic for this forum, as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

mission of the second

you're aware, the civil rights concerns of older Americans. We are concerned especially, as has been indicated, with matters which fit within our jurisdiction involving civil rights, discrimination, equal opportunity, disparate treatment, as well THE STATE OF THE S tangential concerns which bear on those subjects. And we are very pleased to have representatives, I think, from most of the organizations and agencies in the city, and really from the state, who are concerned with this problem.

With those opening words of welcome, I will then turn the meeting back to our Chairperson, Mr. Alan Patteson so that we can begin the meeting.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: I am very pleased to welcome as our first presenter, a native of my own home town, Jonesboro, Mr. Herbert Sanderson. Mr. Sanderson is Director of the Arkansas Division of Aging and Adult Services, and will also represent the Governor's Office today. He will describe, I understand, the objectives and programs of the Administration with regard to the topic of the forum, and explain the responsibilities of his office as they relate to civil rights concerns. He will include results from a study recently conducted by his office regarding access to the services. Mr. Sanderson.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. HERBERT SANDERSON:

.22

₹ :: ·

It's good to be here this morning even though the weather isn't the best in the world. This is a subject that I think needs airing and investigation, so I congratulate the Commissioners on undertaking it.

I would like to say that -- I'd like to extend the welcome of the Governor. I assure you that he has an interest in this subject and older people. The Legislature is in session. This is a busy time for him, although I'm happy that some of the work is bearing fruit. Probably as of Monday, 250,000 low income Arkansans will no longer have to pay any income tax in Arkansas which I think is a bold step for this state.

and address the topic here, I would like to point out that Arkansas is really unique when it comes to the topic of aging and elderly. Eighteen percent of Arkansas' population is 60 years of age or older. That's 422,000 residents of this state. We rank very high nationally in the percent of our population that is 60-plus. When they did the 1980 census, we were second. As they have done estimates, a few states are slightly fractionally ahead of us like Rhode Island and

-22

stuff, but the point is that we have a very high percent in our state. So, therefore, we have a lot of people that are concerned about the procedures that will be discussed here today.

Additionally, a second factor, one that is not one that we are proud of, but one, nevertheless, that we must recognize and be concerned with is that we rank third in terms of poverty among the elderly in all states. Over 28 percent in the 1980 census -- over 28 percent of Arkansans that are elderly at or below poverty. Many people do not understand that that is roughly living on \$14.00 a day, or less. Only two states, Alabama and Mississippi, have higher poverty rates among the elderly than does Arkansas.

Another thing that is interesting about Arkansas is that we have a fairly substantial immigration of older people into Arkansas. A lot of older people have found Arkansas to be an attractive place to retire. We rank probably about 12th or 13th in terms of the number of older people that move into Arkansas from another state. And unlike some other states, with the exception of Florida, this has had a significant impact on our population makeup. There may be a lot of older people retiring in Florida -- I mean California -- but because the state is so large that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

the impact is not felt like it is here in Arkansas.

So, all those three factors, I think, make Arkansas unique in terms of aging.

of a state unit on aging, and you may or may not be aware that there is a state unit on aging in each of the 50 states and the American possessions. The state units on aging were created by the Older Americans Act which is a Federal piece of legislation, and over the years that has been expanded. We also have programs that have been assigned to us by the State Legislature so they have continued to grow.

We, basically, are a planning agency and also a funding agency. Our budget for the division is in the neighborhood of \$24 million. Most of that money we fund through local programs known as Area Agencies have a presenter from that on Aging, and we organization who will speak later. The most visible programs that we fund are Senior Citizens Centers. We have 210 Senior Citizens Centers scattered throughout Arkansas. We also have a transportation program that provides services to people, 60 years of age and older. We have over 300 vans. At the Senior Citizens Centers meal that is one-third the one can get a hot recommended dietary allowance. We also provide meals

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

to expand it.

they enter a nursing home. They often are not free to decide when they want to eat, when they want to get up. In some cases, even when they want to use the restroom. an important area that requires a lot of It is attention, and with the ombudsman, there is somebody who can represent the patient. The ombudsman is not to be confused with the arm of State Government that regulates and licenses nursing homes. That is done by another agency, and the ombudsman program is separated from that on purpose, and this person, I think, is a good advocate for older people and can help make sure that the civil rights of those people that are institutions are extended to them as well as all other rights. We are looking to expand that program, and I think the work with this Commission will be timely in terms of our thinking about that program as we attempt

Another program that we operate directly through our office is The Adult Protective Services Program. This program is available to anybody over 18 years of age, and by law, certain providers of health care, individuals in law enforcement, officials are required to report suspected cases of abuse or neglect to this office. And we receive over 1,800 referrals to our adult abuse hotline annually. Many of those turn

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-22

23

24

25

ية والمراكز المستعمل بالمركز الاياسات

on a home-delivery basis for those that have a health need.

In relation to that, I think it important to recognize that the Older Americans Act provides that services can be provided to anybody 60 years of age or older. We are prohibited under the Act from administering a means test. So, theoretically, anybody that presents themselves for service receive that service. However, when the program was first set up in Arkansas -- this was before my time so I cannot take the credit for it, but I think it was a wise move -- the programs were placed largely in low-income neighborhoods and neighborhoods that had large concentrations of minority populations. And I think, therefore, that unlike some other states. we tend to serve a large number of low-income and minority people in these programs where they are located and have a direct impact on who attends those programs.

We also operate a nursing home on a ombudsman program. It is involved in making sure the rights of individuals that live in institutions are extended to them. Probably there's not anybody here that has not read about problems that have existed in nursing homes, not only in Arkansas, but also throughout the United States. One gives up a lot when

-22

out to be not substantiated. They're cases of self-neglect or something like that, but it is unseemly to review some of the referrals that we get, and in fact, we have found that even among family members is where a lot of the abuse and neglect occurs, and I quess that's an unfortunate reality of our society.

Other programs that we work with and help promote on a more positive note are health promotion activities. We feel that as we continue to age in our society that a lot of our future is in our own hands, in some sense, in how we take care of ourselves and what we do at younger and older age and that can have an effect over the long haul. How one lives at 60 can affect their health and how they live at 80 and 85. We're trying to do some more in that area.

We do a little research. We have done a study, a random study of people aged 55 and older in this state. We're getting ready to publish the second year data and we hope to continue the study for five years to track the progress of people over this period. And it has borne out some results that I think, you know, work with this Commission and our office, things to be concerned about. Blacks have a lower health status than their white counterparts, and we have

.22

بسي المساسل المساسل الم

noticed some things that while on their face I don't think necessarily prove something, but at least point to further study. For example, blacks typically are not represented in nursing homes like their white counterparts, and we need to at least explore and find an answer to why that is.

We do a great deal of planning in our agency. We try to take information such as this and forecast into the future to determine what the services, needs will be five, ten, fifteen years from now. It's not a good method to look at what is out there today, the makeup of the population, and try to plan programs for that five years in the future because we know that the population and the needs are going to be different than they are today.

In terms of the efforts of this Committee, I think that this country was founded on the premise of independence. In terms of the civil rights issues of the elderly, I think that is an important thing to consider.

Health care is becoming an overriding issue in this country. We spend more per capita than any other nation in the United States in terms of health care. We spend the highest percentage of our gross national product on health care, and quite

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

• **15**. • • T 1

frankly, it's going to be more of a problem in future as our population ages because older people tend to use health care services more frequently than any other segment. It will be a challenge for our country, and I think there are some definite correlation between civil rights and the health care system, and people start talking about who can get health care and who can't get health care, and how that health care is delivered. I think it will become more important in the future and I think there is danger that certain care -- it's been talked about -- not being provided strictly based on age. Great Britain already has this. They don't do dialysis on people above a certain age. I hope that's not a path that we follow in this country when we decide how to provide the most health care with

Employment, I think, is another area of concern in the area of civil rights. We have found historically that there is a lot of discrimination against older people in the area of employment. Fortunately, I think this attitude is changing. I think it's changing for two reasons. One, people are becoming more informed about the process of aging. And I think they've come to realize that we're doing away with some of the stereotypes that we have had. We used

the limited dollars we have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

to see the Geritol commercial type stuff and I'm glad to see that's being replaced by the commercials by McDonald's where they're actively seeking out old workers. Smart companies have looked into the future and have seen that older people are going to have work in this country in the future in order to meet the labor demands that we will have. There are labor shortages on the east coast now. In fact, even in northwest Arkansas we are starting to see labor shortages and the population and older people need it and many of those people want and desire to work. But, there is no doubt in my mind that older people have been discriminated against in the past. Some of the policies in terms of the health care that companies have forces them to make higher premiums for older people. I think that is an area that should be examined closely because that gives companies disincentive to employ older people and may cause them to discriminate against those. Finally, I think society as a whole has

had an age attitude. We have lived in more or less a throw-away society. We use something up and it gets old and we throw it away. I think to some extent that's carried over in our attitude toward older people. I know many professionals that are in their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

forties and stuff that I think, quite frankly, horrible attitudes about aging. They say agest things and they don't really realize it and I think that carries over in to how people are treated. I've seen people from simple things like standing in the grocery lines and somebody getting very exasperated and mad at an older person because they couldn't get their money and write their check out as fast as somebody younger. People driving down the street, you know, get upset with older people who maybe not could see as well. People that study highways have made recommendations that we need to do things like make highway signs larger because we are living in an agest society and people cannot see as well in their older years as they do in their younger years.

Anyway, there's a whole series of things like that that we need to be aware of as a society and work on and probably start at an earlier age. School systems have very little, if anything, in the curriculum about aging, and I think as a result of that, it tends to produce a negative result as people grow older.

So, I think there are a lot of areas that could be examined and need to be examined. Some of them are not overt. Some of them are just subtle

things, societal things, and I think all of us working together with leadership from people like the Commission can overcome this and make it a better place to live.

At that point I'll conclude my remarks.

I don't know if you want to accept questions or go on
to the next presenter.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Yes, we would entertain a few minutes for questions.

MR. SANDERSON: I would like to leave with the Commission two prints of books here for you-all's benefit. One talks about "The Old Alone at War." It also has some figures in there about minorities and their income. You'll find out they're substantially lower than their white counterparts. The other one is "On the Other Side of Easy Street" which talks about several myths that apply to the old population. I'll leave these here for the Commission.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Doctor?

DOCTOR ROSENZWEIG: Would it be an unfair question to ask you to try to list in order of their importance various infringements upon the rights of the aged in terms of what you think should be attacked first, second, and third?

MR. SANDERSON: Well, probably

.22

employment first.

.22

مهريتها والمتنافظ والمتافظ والمتنافظ والمتنافظ والمتنافظ والمتنافظ والمتنافظ والمتنافظ

You know, I cannot present real hard evidence to back this up, but my experience has been that this is changing -- it's getting better -- but I still think that probably employment is an area that needs to be looked at. We have found so many positive responses when older people work. They want to work.

That's why I think there's a problem because I know a lot of older people that want to work that are capable of working that have difficulties getting employment.

I don't know if this is related to that, and I don't know if this is a civil rights issue as such, but a lot of people work for companies and have for a long vested period, and as they approach retirement, they're terminated or laid off from those companies, and they're two years shy of having ten years or 18 years of employment and they're laid off and not eligible for retirement and pension benefits. And if that's done systematically, I think that's something that the Commission ought to be concerned about.

I think the overall issue is employment.

The issue of health care, I think, is one that I would rank second and one that's going to

-22

become more important to the future, about who has access to health care. Of course, there was an overstated, out-of-context statement taken by Richard Lamb about old people, move out of the way and die, or something to that effect. I think that that's something that -- that discussion is going to be unavoidable in the future.

And as I say, Great Britain does not extend dialysis to people over 65, and I think we need to be concerned about that before we walk down a path where we do select something like that.

And then the third thing, I guess, would just be the overall attitude of society about older people. Again, this is not, I think, something where we directly violate people's civil rights, but it's more subtle than that.

I read a study where somebody did a study of cartoons, children's cartoons, and they found that it wasn't that older people were treated in a bad way or that they were discriminated against. It was just that they were not represented in the cartoons. They weren't there. And the author of the study felt like that this sent a message to children that older people were just not -- didn't have the value because they weren't represented in the cartoons.

If you look at the issue of agism, you'll find that that in itself is maybe not a problem. But, if you look at everything else and put it all together, it tends to become a problem. Now, there was an advertisement on for a battery, and it was obviously 不有其事或不無明其以有事本所以不知以不不可以以以其以以其以所以所以其以其其以 aimed at kids. But, the commercial had this line in it where this guy was playing the guitar or something and

his battery dies, and it says, "It's such a drag to get 8 old." And it was talking about the battery, but, you

know, you have to wonder how that message translates

into other things. I don't think the authors of

commercial ever thought about that, but I think when

you put all this stuff together, it does tend to send a

message to the public.

MR. GITELMAN: Let me ask one question. You said you were a planning and funding agency collect primarily. Do you any data or do any monitoring or oversight in terms of the racial makeup of employment at Senior Citizens Centers and the ratios of minorities and majority citizens who either work there or utilize them, and the kind of concerns that, of course, we're interested in?

MR. SANDERSON: We collect and report that information on a quarterly basis to a Federal agency.

25

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. GITELMAN: I wonder if you could just sort of supply us with some of those reports if you could.

MR. SANDERSON: I could.

MR. GITELMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Would that received in time to go into this by February 17th so it could go into the materials?

MR. SANDERSON: One thing I'd like to emphasize, I know you have a speaker here later on employment. I think one of the things we're going to have to recognize as a society is that -- in fact, our survey, we found that about 20 percent of people over 55 that weren't working had a desire to return to work. However, 75 percent of those people only wanted to work part-time. And I think that's something that we're going to have to realize. The State, for example, you have to have a certain position number and you can't exceed the number of positions you have. And, therefore, we cannot job share. We can't hire two people to work in one position. If you're only allocated 40 slots, you can only have 40 employees at any one time. So, therefore, we really prohibit -you know, the job sharing which obviously the majority of the people, at least, in Arkansas only want to work

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

part-time and we're prohibited from doing that. Those are just, you know, the ramifications of how you're going to have to consider this problem.

MR. PATTESON: Two of us don't understand exactly what you mean by that, the job sharing.

MR. SANDERSON: Okay. Well, basically older people don't want to work a full 40-hour week, but they would like if you had -- let's just take a secretary. Maybe two people want to work only half time, so you share that job. One person comes in from 8:00 to noon, and then the second person comes in and sits at the desk and does the same thing the person in the morning did from 1:00 to 5:00. So, you only have one fulltime position and two people are splitting that job. That provides part-time employment to those people and it meets the needs of the organization.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: I have no problem with that.

MR. SANDERSON: Well, the State is because we're allocated only -- we can only put one person in each slot, so we can't do that. A lot of companies don't want to mess with the paperwork involved with keeping statistics and paying income tax and FICA on two people and health insurance on two

people. But, if you look at the statistics. something like 80 percent of the people that are going to be the labor force in this country in the year 2000 are already there. Unless we have a large -- you know, bring a lot of people in from foreign countries, going to have a problem with our labor. We're going to have a shortage of labor. Even it's hard to believe that in Arkansas where we have such high pockets of unemployment, that eventually will happen in Arkansas. We're going to have to think about doing things differently than we have done. We have never lived a time in history where we have had the number of older people that we have right now in the history of nation. Things will have to be done differently than they've been done right now.

MR. MULDROW: I just want to ask one quick question. You outlined a variety of programs and services that your agency provides. Have you done an analysis of the participation rates of minority elderly and white elderly in those programs? If you have, how do they compare and what might be some of the reasons for different rates of participation?

MR. SANDERSON: The rates, we do maintain that information. We're trying to maintain that information on a better basis because we serve,

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, literally hundreds of thousands of people through various programs. It's difficult to track down. We're trying to get better data so we'll exactly who we are serving in terms of that. But, the Center programs, Senior Citizens I can information to you on that, and I will, to show that we at least match or exceed the minority population. Ι attribute that to where the programs are located. Some of the in-home programs that we provide have probably a higher ratio of minorities because their health status tends to be lower than their white counterparts. That's directly what we attribute that to and that where the whole series of reasons that deal with education, income, and economic status.

MR. MULDROW: Are there differences that you attribute to discrimination, racial discrimination, or are they mainly health as you're depicting here, cultural or social?

MR. SANDERSON: Well, we, unlike most states, I guess, have a good participation rate, I think, among physicians in terms of providing Medicaid which tends to be lower income and a lot of minorities are participating in those programs. The issue about why there are not as many blacks in terms of percent in nursing homes, I don't know the answer to that

26 question. I don't know whether that's due 1 to discrimination or due to social patterns, large 2 families, or what. I don't know. I couldn't stand 3 here today and tell you that I have identified any 4 patterns of discrimination out there. I do know the 5 日本一次 并以明明 中心 医原性性病病 外外外 医皮肤性 医皮肤 医皮肤 医皮肤 health status of blacks are not as good as their white 6 counterparts. Why that is so probably needs further 7 investigation. 8 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: We thank you very 9 much for coming. We would like to thank you again for 10 your July appearance because it was very instrumental 11

in helping us design and set up this forum.

Our second presenter is the Advocate for Senior Arkansans. You are Ms. Wood?

MS. WOOD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: She's with the Arkansas Attorney General's Office. She will provide an overview of statutes and their application in Arkansas related to the civil rights concerns of older that correct? We're very pleased to Is persons. welcome you, Ms. Wood. Dina Wood or Dinah Wood?

MS. WOOD: Dina.

Thank you very much for your invitation to present to you what we in the Attorney General's Office and many aging advocates consider a strong

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

بات توسطتني پيداده

concern, and that is the rights of senior citizens, and in particular, the rights of nursing home residents.

I also want to issue a special "Hello"

to Professor Mort Gitelman who as little as a year ago

was teaching me law at the University of Arkansas

School of Law. And I also send greetings from the

Attorney General as well.

It may appear that -- you wonder why I'm coming and talking to you about the rights of nursing home residents because it's maybe obvious that nursing home residents have the same rights you and I do and those are the rights granted by the U.S. Constitution and State Constitution, and those other rights that have extended from those documents. But, the problem with nursing home residents comes where they lack, many times, the freedom to exercise those rights. Once one gets into an institutional setting, whether it be because of physical or mental infirmity, the exercise of their Constitutional rights becomes much more restrictive, whether it be because of the institution itself or because they do not know that their frailness does not inhibit their rights. They have the rights to privacy. They have the right to vote. They have the right to personal cleanliness and good health. either through experience in the institution or through

the aging process and them questioning their mental well-being, they don't exercise their rights.

One of the responses to that notice has been a response to the Federal Government, and that is through the Federal Residence Rights Provisions. and the light of t those residence rights are set out in the Code of Federal Regulations, 542, and their rights for skilled nursing facilities and those are at Section 1121, subsection K. Then you have rights for residents immediate care facilities. Those are in the same volume, Section 442.311. There are other rights the mentally retarded, anđ there are rights specifically about personal hygiene. Those are in that same volume, Section 442.443. It's sad but true that these rights have to be laid out and explained through Federal Regulations for the reasons that I mentioned, that they aren't able to exercise those rights.

But, let me tell you about Arkansas' response to noticing the lack of rights of nursing home residents. And before I go into this, I want to preface everything I'm saying with there are nursing homes in Arkansas that are very, very good. My grandma could be in one of those homes and that's just fine. But there are nursing homes at the other end of the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

وحرا

~ -: -: - = =

spectrum. That's what I'm going to tell you about. It is a minority of the nursing homes, but that minority still is in charge for the care of many senior Arkansans and many developmentally disabled Arkansans.

In March of 1988, three people from our office, the Attorney General's Office, went in undercover in six different nursing homes. I will tell you about three of those nursing homes and about the way they deprive their residents from the basic fundamental Constitutional rights that you and I have.

One of our investigators goes into the nursing -- all of our investigators are well-trained, by the way, by our Medicaid Fraud Division and by other sources, so they don't go into this blindly. been trained as investigators. One investigator goes into a nursing home. She applies for a job at 8:00 that morning. She says she's a babysitter, has experience in the field of nursing home care. She received a call at 11:00 that afternoon and was told to report for work on the 11:00 to 7:00 shift that evening. She reported for work and was left to be the only staff person to for 42 nursing home care residents. She had received no instructions on how manage these residents. She had received no background information about the facility. She was very ignorant

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of what was expected of her as a nurses aide, yet she was left to care for all of these residents.

Another situation is similar to that.

One of our investigators said he was a painter. He got hired the next day, very little training, minimal training. He got a walk through the facility.

Another one of our investigators didn't give a thorough employment background. remember specifically what he told them, but he said it They didn't give him a job as a wasn't thorough. nurses aide, but they gave him a job in the room, and a few days after he began the job in the laundry room, some of the other nurses aide came down and got him because he's a strong man, and said, "We need some help with a resident being rowdy." He was mentally incapacitated. He was not elderly, but yet they needed some assistance in handling him. So, he was brought up from the laundry room and after he'd performed well and helped restrain this one resident, he was given a job as a nurses aide.

In these three facilities that were investigated, we found in numerous instances, verbal abuse, in some instances, physical abuse; restraints both physical and medical restraints that were used on residents only for convenience. And we found instances

where instead of assisting a resident to the bathroom, they were given a catheter.

All of this information that was gathered from our undercover investigation in March was compiled and they took it on the road in public hearings. We told the public what we found and the public was appalled. We were appalled. These homes we went into were suspect of giving bad care because we had had repeated complaints through the Medicaid Fraud Division and through the Office of Longterm Care at the Department of Human Services. So, they were suspect, but we wanted to go in and confirm our suspicions and we got them confirmed.

Stemming from that was a legislative package that the Attorney General and the Department of Human Services and other groups, other aging advocates, put together a cooperative effort on. And there was a special legislative session called in July and we passed some new laws. One of the laws in particular we passed is called the Longterm Care Act and it designates what the rights of Arkansas' nursing home residents are. Once again, this is sad that we have to express that they have all the Constitutional rights that you and I have. To have the right to a safe and clean environment and they have a right to dignity and

25

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respect, to good health care and the right to personal cleanliness. Time and time again we would find a resident with a large decabitus ulcer who is lying in their own feces which is dried and been there for several hours.

in October So. we did some assessments of eight nursing homes in our state. Once again, all these were suspect. Of the eight nursing homes we went into, two were good. Two had straightened up their act. One of them I think had changed ownership. Two were very, very good. I went to the one known as Fulton County Nursing Home in Salem and it was great. That is another one of those my grandmother could be in there and that's fine. We were very, very pleased. The other one was an Arkadelphia nursing home.

But, three of the others were deficient, mainly through some violations of the some Federal and State standards of care. Three other were seriously deficient, especially in the quality of care for those residents. In one of those nursing homes, one of every three residents had decubitus ulcers. They shouldn't have decubitus ulcers. The nursing home we went to in Salem, in Fulton County, there was one bed sore and they received that at the hospital. We shouldn't have that kind of treatment to nursing home residents.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

33 So, anyway, we got our suspicions once again confirmed. There are some of those nursing homes out there that lack the skills, the something, that requires them to give the quality of care that necessary for our aged population. Our response to that, that's still, you know, the Legislature is in session. We've worked on another package. We're going to have to have some major reform on the package we introduced

because it is not going much of anywhere. What we wanted to do is go and make owners liable for the deficient care that is offered their residents, whether that deficient care be the fault of the staff members, the nursing staff or whatever. Whatever the reason is, we wanted to make owners responsible. We are having difficulty working at Mainly we didn't have the strong cooperative effort for various reasons in formulating the And I'm not pointing fingers at legislation. because we just didn't have the cooperative Things were rushed and busy and so now we have got a legislative package and we're going to have to work on some.

But, we want to make sure that the reform starts now and if it hasn't started with the Federal Regulations and the State standards, then let's start with the owners and let's hold them liable as well. is our intent behind our next legislative package. We

are still working on it, like I said. Hopefully within the next week or so we will have some substitute or some tighter proposals to offer the General Assembly.

Do you have any questions right now, and I know I've said quite a few things.

MR. GITELMAN: Yeah, I've got one.

MS. WOOD: Okay. Good.

MR. GITELMAN: I was wondering, I know and I think most of us are familiar with the great involvement of your office and the physical conditions and the medical conditions of nursing homes. Have you gathered any information at all on the rate at which nursing home residents have access to absentee ballots and can exercise their voting rights, whether they are commonly ignored, whether the County people don't pay any attention or whether the nursing homes don't make any effort to see that people can get absentee ballots?

MS. WOOD: You are so correct in pointing out that that is a problem. Nursing home residents don't vote like they should. It is because of lack of access to the poles and to the privilege or to the right, I should say. But, no, we have not done research on it.

Actually, when it comes to doing research, our office doesn't do that. A lot comes across my desk and a lot comes over Steve Clark's desk. I am constantly

منتن والمنافر بالمناف بالما الماسة

getting new information, whether it be from Federal sources, public interest sources or state.

Mainly what I do is just stay apprized of the issues and learn how to solve problems out there without going to the additional research end of it. As we know, it takes a lot of time, a lot of money, to get facts and figures that can convey the actual status of a problem out there.

I don't have the information, no.

MR. GITELMAN: Do you think it might be a good part of some legislative package that you might come up with to suggest that nursing home operators may have some obligations to assist their residents in voting?

MS. WOOD: I think that that is a good idea. However, I think that some feedback might be that they are interested in this Bill of Rights that we just passed in July during the special session. They are guaranteed the right to vote and why expand on it from there. I can hear that being echoed from other directions.

Then I would agree it is there, but as far as giving someone the responsibility to make sure that right exists and is applied, that is what it lacks is, you know, getting that responsibility and oversight and implementation aspect. So, it is. It's something that

might be considered.

MR. GITELMAN: Okay. Thanks.

MS. WOOD: Yes.

MR. PATTESON: Mine is not a legal background and my question will reveal that. But when the Attorney General's office finds a violation, what is the process? Do you prosecute, and that may be the terminology, and, if you do, has it ever been done on a Civil Rights basis?

MS. WOOD: It depends on what the violation is. Within the Attorney General's office we have a division that we call our Medicaid Fraud Control Unit. Medicaid Fraud investigates allegations of criminal abuse and neglect of nursing home residents.

If it is criminal and we have a criminal statute under which we can prosecute, then we can prosecute on their behalf. If it is an allegation of neglect that lacks the criminal or the requisite intent, then the state survey agency or the office of long term care the ones that investigate that matter, sanction the nursing home for a violation of a Federal standard or a State standard of care and then gets the correction process going.

So, we actually have two groups that are out there trying to correct the standards of care, of

long term care, in the Attorney General's Office. And, always remember that if a nursing home resident is treated badly they have a private right to action. They can bring a lawsuit on their behalf. That's another one of those areas that is not exercised to its fullest extent. If someone is slapped by a staff member of a nursing home, they can file a tort suit in their own behalf for battery if they want. They have that right.

But, for several reasons, many times those suits don't get filed. One of the reasons is fear of retaliation. Because, if someone is going to slap you and then you file a lawsuit, are they going to retaliate against you for taking action against them? It's amazing how in some facilities that kind of have this gloomy cloud over them, in some of these facilities there really is that fear there.

I have a personal experience that I can tell you about that reflects that. I was in the October group that did the special assessment of the nursing homes. We went to one, it was Oak Grove nursing home in Conway. We went there and this lady said, "Psst, come here." So, I go around the corner and she says, "Look at these chairs." They are real, nice, pretty chairs in a new addition they had just built on. I said, you know, "What's wrong with them? They look good." She said,

"Lift up the cushion." You lift the cushion up and there are huge, dark, dark brown urine stains under the cushion and they reek of the same odor. And so I said, you know, "How long has this been going on? What is your name? Is there anything else that you can tell me about the facility?" She has already told me one thing and maybe she can tell me another. She said, "No, I can't tell you anything." I said, "Why?" She said, "Because my husband is here and I don't want them to do anything to him."

She wouldn't tell me any more.

That is not an isolated case, that sort of sentiment. So, we don't get as much information as we think we should.

And, also, I will say one thing, is that the Attorney General's office makes many referrals to the Office of Long Term Care so we don't get all the instances or all of the reports of abuse or neglect. The Office of Long Term Care gets some. But between the two of us we know that there are still some problems up there.

MR. PATTESON: I remind those of you who told me last night to be heavy-handed because of the time that we are running over our time.

MR. MULDROW: Can I just ask one short question?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PATTESON: Certainly, yes.

MR. MULDROW: Could you help, as non-legal person, could you help me sort out a concept here that has been going around in mind? distinction, if any, between what you are talking about, the basis Constitutional Rights to certain things and the deficiencies in the provision of those things for older people and the concept of discrimination against older results in their age which people because of deprivation of these things. Is there any distinction in your mind between those two concepts?

MS. WOOD: Keep me on track if I get off of your question because I'm going to kind of phrase it differently.

There is not a great degree of distinction between the two. Where the distinction, or where it comes in contact with the senior citizens or elderly people, nursing home residents in particular, is the exercise of the rights. When you forget what day it is, you don't know it's November 4th, or 5th, or 6th, and you don't know you should vote that day. Someone should help you and remind you. Someone should be responsible.

When you are unable to ambulate or when you needed assistance to the bathroom and you don't get it, when people know that you need it, then it strips a

person of their dignity when they have to lie in their own excrement for hours.

So, it's those types of violations of civil rights that we are trying to correct in that I think they are now of issue and that they are on the road

civil rights that we are trying to correct in that I think they are now of issue and that they are on the road to correction. One thing that Arkansas is very, very luck about in particular is that we now have Senator David Prior as the chairman of the Senate Committee on aging. He has a strong commitment to the elderly and his commitment from Washington is going to help us in Arkansas and then filter down, effect us and the rest of the nation. I think that is something that is very good.

So, we should see some movement in the area of Civil Rights for older Americans and nursing home residents because he is there also.

Now, I don't know if I've answered your question.

MR. MULDROW: That's helpful.

MS. WOOD: Is that helpful? Okay. Thank you very much for you attention.

MR. PATTESON: Thank you very much for being here. I continue to be amazed when I see the product of Professor Gettleman and I'm sure he takes a great deal pride as it goes around the State and sees the people.

1.2 1

MS. WOOD: Thank you. One thing I will provide you with, and I will get it to you real soon, is a book on residents' rights that outlines a little bit of case and a lot of theory for non-lawyers. What it is recommended to do is to teach nursing home owners and administrators what a resident's rights are and how they should protect those rights and further them. I will get the Commission a copy of this publication.

MR. PATTESON: Thank you very much.

MR. PATTESON: Ms. Ann Wasson. Ms. Wasson is Director of the Arkansas Association of Area Agencies on aging which provides services to older Americans. She will provide an explanation of these agencies under the Older American Act. Is that in the ballpark?

MS. WASSON: That's correct. That's real good.

MR. PATTESON: We are very pleased to have you.

## STATEMENT OF MS. ANN WASSON:

Thank you, Mr. Patteson. Mr. Muldrow and Committee members, thank you for the invitation to speak with you-all today. Mr. Muldrow asked me to explain what the association of area agencies on aging does to discuss

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any civil rights problems that older Arkansans have and to discuss participation rights by minorities, older minorities.

The association was created to provide a focal point for state-wide activities, programs and · 日本政府等 2000年1000年1000年100日 The eight area agencies on aging in the state issues. have a regional focus and the association provides the state-wide focus. The association was incorporated 1979 but it has existed for at least fourteen years. No one really knows when, it just sort of grew out of the necessity to have a state-wide focus. It has only been staffed for two years and I am privileged to be Executive Director. We have one other staff member, Editor of our newspaper and I've brought copies there for all of you.

We are a non-profit corporation. We have a 501C-4 designation. Our budget is composed of dues from the area agencies on aging, from grants, from specific projects that are funded by the area agencies and some co-funding by the Division on Aging. Then we have a a teeny bit of profit, and I use that term loosely, from the conferences that we do.

Briefly, the association is involved in advocacy, research, public relations, long range planning, coalition building and education and training.

4

5

بشوسا تاست لوباجر

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

Z

MUNCIE

PENGAD/INDY

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In terms of advocacy on behalf of older people, this is actually written into the Older Americans' Act, which is the primary funding source of the area agencies on funding. Much of our advocacy comment of proposed laws involves reviewing and **经公司的基本证明** regulations, state and federal. Right now much of our time in terms of advocacy is concentrated on the General Assembly. We have a legislative package which is the result of over a year's discussion and compromise. are part of the ad hoc committee on aging issues, which was created in 1986 to provide, basically provide one voice in terms of legislative issues on issues that are important to the elderly of Arkansas. It represents about a half a million older people in this state. composed of not only our association but the Retired Federal Employees, the Geriatrological Society, the Governor's Advisory Counsel on Aging, the Silver-Haired Legislature, ABLE, the Employment Program, ASOP, which is Arkansas Seniors Organized for Progress, which concentrates on health issues and the Women's Conference which does an annual conference. It is the only state-wide conference that focuses on women's issues and we always have an area of the concerns of older women.

We also, in terms of advocacy, we have

older people.

developed what we call our Senior Advocacy Corps. We have trained a group of older people to be advocates on behalf of the elderly and they have been active in the ad hoc committee on aging issues. Very briefly, the agenda, the Legislative agenda for the ad hoc committee includes nursing home reform, tax reform, something we call, sort of a a catch all, we call Choices in Long Term care, which advocates for more alternatives to nursing homes, such as home care, more home delivered meals, more transportation. These are these services most needed by

Consumer rights, under that we are looking at guardianship, expanding Medicaid, health care cost containment, we are looking at preadmission screening prior to any health service, primarily nursing homes and home health care and we are strong backers of the Safety Belt Law.

In terms of research and long range planning, we do position papers. Long range planning, of course, provides us with direction and structure. It gives us a unified focus for the years ahead. We explore new services, we do needs assessments, we look at gaps or problems with services and try to find some solutions.

In terms of public relations, we do news releases, our primary effort is the newspaper. It's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

monthly. It's free. It has a large type so it is easy for older people to read. The circulation includes thirty-five thousand older Arkansans so we are giving the gazette around for its money, except we are free. A number of regional newspaper were collapsed and we put all that funding and made one large effort. That's how we have that. We are starting our second year and are very proud of Aging Arkansas.

In terms of coalition building, that means, primarily means I go to a lot of meetings. It basic networking, I'm sure you-all are familiar with that term. We work with any and all groups that have interest in the elderly. The ad hoc committee on aging issues is a by-product of that. We are co-sponsors of a number of projects. We do four to six conferences were co-sponsors with AARP on the Women's year. We Financial Management, which is a very well respected financial management program for older women. The Energy and Aging consortium is something that we work on with the utility companies and the Attorney General's Office. Nursing home reform, we've been active with the Attorney General's Office on that. Out of that stems the of Care Task Force which spent about eighteen months looking at the issues of quality of care in long term The ABLE program, we helped get that care facilities.

rolling and that is operated out of the area agencies on aging and Phyllis Hanes will tell you more about that later. The Women's Conference, we've been active in that.

The Center for Creative Aging, Mala Daggett will tell you more about that later. There is an advantage to speaking before all these people.

Senior Legislature Advocacy Training was our primary way to train our senior advocates. There are just a number of, you know, we will co-sponsor most anything with any group that is interested in working with the elderly.

In terms of education and training, we work for a wide spectrum on our conferences. We try to have something not only for the staff of area agencies and provider agencies, other bureaucrats, we try to have something for the elderly themselves. The medical community, we have a broad spectrum with our conferences.

Mr. Muldrow asked me also to discuss any problems that older people have that may interfere with their Civil Rights, in reviewing the responsibilities of the Commission on Civil Rights.

In terms of voting, on the surface it seems that we have no problem with older people in terms of voting because they vote in such huge numbers, larger than any other age group. They are hindered in voting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

only by bad health, bad weather, and illiteracy. Well,
we can't do too much about the first two but illiteracy,
I think, is something that keeps older people from voting
in even larger numbers than they do. I don't have any
numbers of black voting rates compared to white voting
rates. I would suspect -- well, I shouldn't even say
that because I really don't have any numbers. I think
traditionally it's probably a little bit lower.

In terms of equal protection of the again I don't have any numbers here but I do feel that there is, as Mr. Sanderson said, there is discrimination in terms of employment of older people. They have employment opportunities, fewer promotion opportunities once they reach a certain age and it is probably different with every company. There is some retirement, whether it is overt or covert. The options are not available that older people want or companies are not offering them. As Mr. Sanderson said, State employment can't offer the part-time option that so many older people want. They want to sort of decline the number of hours that they are working and I feel that we should be giving them that opportunity.

Also, the rights of movement in long term care facilities, nursing homes, boarding homes, I think as Dina Wood said, that a number of people are not, just

don't have free exercise of their Civil Rights, their
Constitutional rights, as younger people do. I think it
is important that employers learn that older workers are
not to be discriminated against because they are probably
the best workers they will ever have. They have a very
strong work ethic, they are very productive, they are
very loyal, they don't show up late, they don't show up
on drugs or hung over like younger workers occasionally
do. They have a great deal of wisdom that they bring to
a job and they are by far the best workers. I think the
employers who have made an effort to attract older
workers have been very pleased with the success.

But again illiteracy, as in voting, is a major hindrance to employment. I think an issue that I hope you-all are abreast of is the worker shortage that we are looking at. It is hitting both coasts and it will probably arrive within the next ten years in Arkansas. If we don't use, continue to use, our older workers, we are going to be, have a very severe problem in terms of shortage. There is a brain trust of older Arkansans that we just can't afford to let retire so we have to offer them the options of part-time employments.

In terms of Federal Laws and Regulations which discriminate against the elderly, I think, I certainly can't comment on all Federal Statues. I think

إنك المساوات فالمتالة المسيرين بياني التجروع المرابعية

there was infinite wisdom when the drafter of the Older Americans Act, again the primary funding source of area agencies on aging, when that was drafted in the 1960's. They set up a separate bureaucracy for older people simply because studies had shown that when older people were, that older people were discriminated against when they were thrown in with all age groups. That bureaucracy consists of a state unit on aging and at least one area agency on aging in every state. Our state has eight and they are the same regions as the planning and development districts. In fact, they were part of the planning and development districts until about ten years ago.

I've worked in the field of aging for about twelve years and the issue that keeps coming back to me in terms of problems of the elderly seems to be illiteracy. I suppose that's just something personal with me. I feel like that limits older people in the exercise of their Constitutional Rights in many, many ways.

I do have some good news though. In terms of participation in minority and services, the services that are offered in Arkansas, the statistics are very encouraging. The Title III, which is part of the Older Americans Act, funded services, these are services such as congregate meals, or meals of a senior citizens'

So,

that

center, you've probably heard of those, home delivered meals, transportation, chore services, telephone reassurance, information, referral, those kinds services, are used by minorities higher in much percentages than whites. Thirteen percent of the population in Arkansas, older population, is minority. THE FAIR WAR COMPANY Yet, their participation rates exceed thirty percent. For all other services, those funded by other sources, the use, again the use by minorities exceeds usage by whites. The only exceptions, we are not quite sure why, the only exceptions are -- in other words they are less

likely to use than whites -- counselling services,

employment training and education services.

bears some more looking into to.

Another interesting thing when I started looking into minority participation. The decade that we are about in the middle of right now, 1985, 1990, 1995, the percentage of minority is decreasing in all regions of the state even though the actual numbers are increasing. It's just that there are more older whites than there are older minorities. There are probably four reasons for this.

First of all, whites simply have a longer life expectancy.

Secondly, beginning in the 1940's, 50's,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

}

other states in the Region.

and 60's, there was a very large out migration younger workers and there was a higher percentage of black younger workers out migrating. Well, in fact, Arkansas's population declined with the 1910 census and 1970 that the population began to until 宋 35.基 黄海 5. some people attach that Now, to the increase. development of the tractor, there was less need for labor intensive agriculture. So, a higher percentage, higher proportion, of blacks out migrated and again we did not have the influx of Hispanics such as Texas had and some

The third reason for this is the phenomenon of aging in place. All that really means is that in your late forties or early fifties, whatever state you live in you are probably going to live there for the rest of your life. You tend to age where you are. So, when blacks proportionately moved out of the state in the 40's and '50's or even starting in 1910, the elderly population that we now have is going to be what was left, which would be more proportionately higher whites.

A fourth reason, although these doesn't have a great impact statistically, the in migration of native Northerners is primarily white. Of course, the northern tier of the state has a great many retirement communities and those are filled with Northerners. So,

for those reasons, we've got a declining minority population.

Are there any questions?

MR. GITELMAN: It's not a question. I'm going to suggest perhaps another project for you.

MS. WASSON: Oh, good.

MR. GITELMAN: You mentioned a great interest in the job opportunities and discrimination I believe that this coming session of against elderly. Congress we are going to see some more activity in raising the minimum wage. Probably the compromise that will be entertained is to have an exemption for younger workers, so-called McDonald's type exemption. my opinion that would be reverse discrimination against elderly. I think that you, as an umbrella person many organizations, ought to pay some attention to that. It seems to me that those kinds of jobs are very attractive to very many elderly people.

MS. WASSON: Yes, I agree.

MR. GITELMAN: Minimum wage jobs. I think that is a form of discrimination, although it is reverse discrimination.

MS. WASSON: Those kinds of jobs are so attractive to older people that they would be willing to take them, probably, at a lower pay scale.

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GITELMAN: So if they give an exemption from the minimum wage for youth under eighteen or seventeen, you ought to ask for the same thing for those over sixty, equalize it.

MS. WASSON: I'm sure that would probably be -- I'll try it.

MR. GITELMAN: Just an idea.

MR. ROSENZWEIG. Another idea. We speak repeatedly of the illiteracy and certainly it exists and needs to be attacked. But, it almost carries a connotation that these people became illiterate after they got old. Actually, we need to start somewhere else.

MS. WASSON: Yes.

MR. ROSENZWEIG: Now, that's not a problem for the people working with the aged but it is a problem for people with the aged. We have the same thing with the part-time work. Here is a mother who wants to work some while her child is in school but she has to be home. Some of these things are not exclusively aging problems. That's what I was getting at. They have to be attacked in the aged but they also have to be attacked elsewhere.

MS. WASSON: Illiteracy is a particular problem for older people. It is an insidious kind of thing. An illiterate person generally, almost always, has a reader, someone who interprets the written word for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them all through their lives. As they grow older and their reader grows older, they may lose that person through death or mobility, they move away, children get tired of being asked to do these things. So, the older person becomes more isolated.

that an isolated older person becomes depressed. Their health deteriorates. There are a number of health related problems that translate, frankly, into an expensive person for society to care for. Whether that care is provided by a family care giver, whether it is provided by society through Medicaid reimbursement. They can't access health care like you They can't read the medicine bottle. and I can. They can't be a wise consumer because they can't read the door to door contract where they've been sold a way over priced vacuum cleaner. Employment, that option is not open to them. The use of free time, which they have a lot of, the options there are very diminished. They can't read. They are stuck with TV and radio. That's it. Some would say it is not a good use of free time.

MS. ROSENZWEIG: I think the voting aspect falls in the same thing with the literacy, too.

MS. WASSON: Yes.

MS. ROSENZWEIG: The person who is used to voting is going to be the one in a nursing home or out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

55 who is going to be more determined to vote. So, I think, I'm not saying it is not a problem. What I am saying is I think these are problems of lifetime that are now, that person is in an age group. Yes. They are all somewhat MS. WASSON: magnified. You know, a thirty year old illiterate has problems with his job and the role model that he has his children is not as good as he would like. But, an older person, they all become magnified. And frankly, an illiterate older person is more expensive for society and for his family to care

for. Plus, I mean if we weren't looking at the quality of their life, that certainly is a consideration that the area agencies on aging are very concerned about.

MR. PATTESON: Does the law setting up the area agencies require some kind of a community board?

MS. WASSON: Oh, yes. They all have boards and they all have advisory counsels. The advisory counsels are mandated to have a number, at least half, participants in services. And they almost all, I can't think of a single advisory counsel that isn't ninety-five percent older. So, they are very sensitive to that.

MS. BROWN: How do you evaluate your home care?

> Personal care services MS. WASSON:

home health services? 1 MS. BROWN: Yes. 2 MS. WASSON: Home health services 3 monitored by an R.N. They are based on doctors' orders. 4 They are, the client is reassessed every six months. 5 same thing with personal care, the Aids Act under the 6 supervision of a trained R.N., and, of course, under a 7 doctor's orders. You can't just get personal care 8 because you want it. You have to, your health condition 9

cannot provide care for you.

MS. BROWN: After you get it how is evaluated? I mean in our area we do have quite a bit of home care.

and your resources have to be such that your family

Uh-huh. MS. WASSON:

MS. BROWN: But so often it isn't home care. What I meant -- maybe that's not clear.

I'm not sure I understand MS. WASSON: your question. Like evaluated in terms of quality?

MS. BROWN: Like those Aids come and they don't do anything.

MS. WASSON: Well, that should be reported to their supervisor.

MS. BROWN: And where is the supervisor? That's what we can't find.

24 25

22

21

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MS. WASSON: Well, the supervisor would be the R.M. who would work for the home health agency. Or, if it is personal care, they would be working for -- the only personal care provided in the state is provided by the area agencies on aging. Six of them provide that.

In the newspaper you've got a standard house ad that has the toll free numbers of all the area agencies on aging. If you've got any kind of a problem relating to older people, I would suggest you call that toll free number. My number is there, too, and if you

agencies on aging. If you've got any kind of a problem relating to older people, I would suggest you call that toll free number. My number is there, too, and if you don't get the answer you want I hope you would call me. We've got some back issues here and I hope you-all can take them and read them. And if you would like, this is free, if you would like to be on our mailing list just, please, there is a little form to fill out there.

MR. PATTESON: I'm already on your mailing list and it is an excellent magazine.

MS. WASSON: Good. Thank you.

MR. PATTESON: That was my question, how widely is it distributed?

MS. WASSON: Thirty-five thousand people. Fourteen hundred of those are out of state.

MR. PATTESON: It goes to all the news media in the state?

MS. WASSON: Yes.

MR. PATTESON: Thank you very much.

MS. WASSON: Thank you.

Phyllis Hayes is the MR. PATTESON: Director of Abilities Board on Long Experience, ABLE, 一种 医甲基基 医二甲基二氏 ليتناه والمناتي فيشرب organization providing training which is an employment service to older persons. It receives both Federal and State funding. Her remarks will concern various aspects of employment discrimination, how it is dealt with in their programs and the effects of such issues as forced early retirement.

Do you want to embellish on that?

## STATEMENT OF MS. PHYLLIS HAYNES:

You just did my speech. As a former school teacher, I know that the adult attention span is not much longer than that of a junior high school student so I'm going to force upon you three pieces related to what I am going to say. While I do that, it's all right with me if you want to stretch.

(Pause)

MS. HAYES: Do you want me to go ahead?

MR. PATTESON: Yes. Move forward.

MS. HAYES: Okay. I took this job as Director of Arkansas ABLE because I have never, to my

25

20

21

22

23

knowledge, suffered any personal discrimination. There
may have been some because I am female but I never
suffered from it. And, the thought of my not being given
things or allowed to do things simply as I age was very
appalling to me. So, I decided at the very young of
thirty-four that I was going to do my part to see that no
one would ever be forbidden from contributing to this
society simply because they were getting older.

Arkansas ABLE was started in 1982. As Ann Wasson told you, we came about because of a great deal of work by the area agencies on aging. However, we are not in any way legally affiliated with the triple A's. We are an independent, non-profit organization. We have a fifteen member board of directors who functions as our legal directors. We work with the area agencies on aging but we also work with other programs which provide employment, both to older people specifically, such as Green Thumb, and AARP, but also to other programs that provide employment in general such as the Employment Security Division which is the job service for the State of Arkansas.

We function primarily as a conduit and a coordinating agency for all of these programs which provide direct employment services. We do not for the most part provide any direct services ourselves from our

ABLE office. There is one exception, our jobs shop, but that is simply a program that is run out of our building.

We serve in an advocacy capacity. We serve as a conduit of information linking up all of the programs to try and broaden the number of services that are available to older people seeking jobs and to connect services with services and to connect people with services. I pride myself on the fact that ABLE has done an outstanding job of knowing what is going on in the field of employment here in the State of Arkansas.

Since 1982 nearly five thousand older people have found jobs through the resources that we work with. We calculated that over the past two years through our Federal program JTPA alone, three and a half million dollars has been added to the economy from the salaries, the taxes, and the products purchased by those persons who have gotten jobs through our work.

I want to talk for a minute about the issues facing older Americans and older Arkansans from the area of employment. As I see them personally, and, please, know that these are my personal opinions, they do not reflect the Board of Directors necessarily of Arkansas ABLE although I am sure that they would not be embarrassed to hear them. Then I will address briefly again from my perspective, the problems facing older

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people in terms of employment as I see them.

First of all, as has been alluded, one of the issues facing older Americans is the fact that they are living longer and healthier lives. You sill hear a lot today about the issue of health care for older والمناج والمناه والمناج المراشر المانية المراجع والمتعارض والمناج والمناجع والمتعارض والمناج و Americans, older Arkansans, particularly with regard to I would like to remind you that long term care. currently only five percent of our older people are institutional long care facilities leaving ninety-five percent of our older population living on their own or with others in an independent setting. Many of those people are very health, very coherent, very enthusiastic. Many of them who retire at sixty-five can expect to live an additional twenty years. Those are the statistics these days. Many of them who retire at younger ages can expect to live twenty to twenty-five years. I understand average age of retirement these that the days, particularly from the private sector, is fifty-three. So, if you project generously, you're looking at a population that can live, on the average, thirty additional years in retirement and I think that is a great waste.

The second issue I see facing older Arkansans is the dwindling work force. We hear startling statistics about not only the fact that there are fewer younger people being born but that these younger people

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

are coming into the work force without adequate training, including the ability to read and write and do simple math. I read many times over that businesses are having to develop special programs and devote many training dollars to teaching these employees, these young employees, how to do simple calculations and how to read simple forms which can help them do their jobs.

So, not only are there fewer of them but they aren't, as a body, and I don't mean to be against against younger people, but as a body we are seeing that many of them are not as well equipped to work.

The third issue I see facing older people is the fact that employment itself as an issue is very low on the totem pole. I've been in this business for I've attended many, many conferences over six years. having to do with aging. I've attended many, many conferences having to do with employment. The employment field gives little regard to older people. The aging little regard to employment. Very few field gives workshops in either field are devoted specifically to aging and employment or the older worker. There is one conference held annually sponsored by the Association of State Units on Aging that is specifically for employment of the older worker and it is by far the best conference that I've had the privilege of

3

4

5

entropie entropie de la constantina de

72.3 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attending.

With regard to those three issues, healthier older population, a dwindling younger work force, and the lack of employment as a major issue, want to identify a couple of problems with regard to the civil rights of older Americans seeking employment.

The first one is the fact of employment opportunities. You have mentioned and Ann has mentioned the McDonald's programs. Well, believe it or not not only are some older people attracted to these fast food jobs, but these fast food employers are increasingly attracted to older workers. McDonald's has a special program called McMasters to recruit older people. Days Inn this year is conducting nation wide job fairs recruit older people to work in Days Inn Motels. Kelly's Services has a special program to recruit older people. Kentucky Friend Chicken has a special program to recruit older people. There are more, and more, and more fast food, restaurant, and hotel, and other types of businesses that are recruiting older people. I think it is great, but, these are opportunities are primarily, you already know, minimum wage. These are fine for some older people. Many older people do not care that much about wage. But, it is just a drop in the bucket and it is just a start.

On the other extreme, you've got very high income types of jobs that are increasingly being offered to older people and I'm talking about consulting jobs.

I'm talking about executives, business people and other folks who have retired and have savvy and have the resources and the connections to stay in the work force on a consulting basis. I know many of them, quite a few of them, are on our Board of Directors.

What concerns me is the middle group of older people. Those are the folks that are laid off when a plant closes. They may have been drawing a mighty fine salary under a union contract. But, when they are laid off at the age of fifty they are in deep trouble because they've worked there for thirty years, since they've gotten out of high school. They've never looked for a job. They've never filled out a resume an application. They've never been trained to do anything other than what a robotic machine can now do for them.

I'm also concerned about the middle managers who have been offered an opportunity to retire with just a plumb, plumb retirement severance and now that they've been out of the work force for a couple of years, again never having had to look for a job before, don't know quite where to start.

I feel that for many older people there

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

are not enough adequate training opportunities. In the two minutes that I have left for my fifteen minutes, I want to get on my bandwagon and complain about the Federal Employment Training Programs, the Job Training Partnership Act.

I do not feel personally that there is enough opportunity under this program for older people to be adequately retrained and to take advantage of the services available through JTPA. A case in point is here in the State of Arkansas.

Arkansas ABLE administers three percent of the funds that are set aside by Congress to work specifically with people over the age of fifty-five. Three percent of the funds in this state amounts to approximately seven hundred thousand dollars per year. I would like you to know that as of last year thirty-two percent of our State's population that was eligible for JTPA was over the age of fifty-five. Yet, only three percent of the funds are set aside to work with them.

Now, the other funds that are available through JTPA are supposed to be for anybody regardless of age. However, because of the mandates to serve youth, especially youth at risk, very few older people are served through these programs. I was just looking at some statistics before I came up here of each of the

ten private industry programs in the state who administer these other programs. Older workers are identified as an issue, as a special target group.

In most of these ten private industry areas, at least ten percent of the population was an older worker yet very few of them served more than one percent of that ten percent. Now, I am lousy at math so I don't know what that represents overall but it doesn't sound very good to me.

Nationally an advisory counsel has just recommended, as I understand it, I have not seen it in print, but I have heard that an advisory counsel has recommended doing away with the three percent set aside because it has not been spent in many of the other states. In Arkansas we have spent nearly a hundred percent of our funds every year. I think there are problems with that. I think the problem primarily is there is not enough adequate preparation to spend these funds.

I know I'm at the end of my time but I want to add one more comment about lack of training.

Going back to the private sector you are going to see in years to come, as employers get more desperate, that they are going to recruit and retain more older people. However, right now there is not adequate

There

age

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

training or adequate services in personnel and human resources departments devoted to working with employees either in recruitment or in retaining. is not adequate retraining to help them change occupations. There is not adequate advancement できた。 と、は、大学は、大学は、新聞は、「日本のない」と、「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」と、「「「「「」」」と、「「「」」と、「「「」」と、「「」」と、「「」」と、「「」」と、「「」」と、「「」」と opportunities. There is not adequate effort on behalf of younger managers to work with the special needs as in flex time and Herb Sanderson already talked about that with the State of Arkansas. I could go on and on but I'll stop and I'll answer some questions if you have them. MR. PATTESON: I have one. MS. HAYNES: Yes, sir. MR. PATTESON: Is the average fifty-three the retirement in Arkansas, did I understand you correctly on that? MS. HAYNES: No, sir, not in Arkansas. do not know the average age in Arkansas. nationally. MR. PATTESON: I'm seven years late.

I

I wish you would help me work out how they did it.

Are there any other questions? You are a very effective teacher when the student does not have ask any questions. You've done a good job.

> MS. HAYNES: Thank you.

MR. MULDROW: Let me just amply just one thing. In the area of so-called forced retirement, that's a term that is being bandied about a lot. Have you encountered that as a specific problem? Are older people, is that a specific problem for older people in Arkansas that you have dealt with and does it effect elderly minority persons perhaps disproportionately? Are you familiar with that?

MS. HAYNES: Mr. Muldrow, I personally have not seen a whole lot of evidence or have not talked to a whole lot of people dealing with forced retirement per se except if you talk about plant closings and phasing out of jobs as being forced retirement. That seems to be more of an issue here in Arkansas where we have had so many manufacturing jobs than the "the golden hand shake."

It seems to me what we find with "the golden hand shake," which is more of a middle management kind of forced retirement, is that at the beginning it's very nice and there is not a whole lot of complaining. But, as time goes on and retirement sets in, these people who are still very healthy become bored and become sort of lost, many of them not feeling quite ready to be out of the work force.

Did I answer you okay?

MR. MULDROW: Yes.

3

4

5

and the second second

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROSENZWEIG: In that same line have you run into any appreciable number of people from the state program of early retirement?

MS. HAYNES: I believe the state program of early retirement was a one time thing a couple of <del>经产品的 医多言的 医多种性病</del> and the second second years ago. We had a few people come in soon after happened looking for assistance. Since then, I really don't know. They have dwindled in since then.

MR. PATTESON: Who deals with the questions that nobody ever quite says, the younger middle manager who is intimidated with an older person? I've bumped into that every once in a while. They are afraid to hire the older person, they're afraid they won't able to manage them. The person who is afraid that if the older person comes aboard they will raise the cost of their health insurance, particularly the group life. one mentions those comments but they are very real. are out there. Does your organization deal with that?

MS. HAYNES: We have tried to deal with that. Now, away from the insurance part for a minute going back to the younger manager and attitudes and things like that. We have a quarterly news letter that we distribute employers throughout to the state particularly human resource people. In that we try address specific articles that have been published

other publications concerning various issues. In how to manage, supervise, work with older employees, we have done some programs with Kentucky Friend Chicken around the state on how younger people can supervise, train and work with other people.

As far as insurance is concerned, it is a

As far as insurance is concerned, it is a fact of life in many employment insurance programs that older people are more expensive. It is not true in all programs. What we try to do is we try to outweigh those dollar expenses with the other more, what we think are equally important attributes such as dependability and attendance and all these other things that I think Ann already identified.

As I understand it, the Washington Business Group on Health in Washington, D.C. has been doing some research on health insurance benefits in that regard.

MR. ROSENZWEIG: You used the figure, if I got it straight, of the funds from the Training Act, three percent, whereas the older people constituted twenty-two percent.

MS. HAYNES: Thirty-two.

MR. ROSENZWEIG: Thirty-two?

MS. HAYNES: Yes, sir.

MR. ROSENZWEIG: All right. Now, in that

thirty-two percent when we use the term "older people", 1 what age group are we covering? 2 JTPA law the older MS. HAYNES: By 3 population is fifty-five and older. 4 MR. ROSENZWEIG: And older. For instance, 5 that includes people seventy, seventy-five, 6 eighty-five? 7 MS. HAYNES: Yes. 8 MR. ROSENZWEIG: So that gives us a little 9 different, in other words maybe this eighty year old is 10 not seeking employment. Of that thirty-two percent I'm 11 not opposing what you said, but of that thirty-two 12 percent could you tell us what percent you might like to 13 have working for you? 14 MS. HAYNES: I'm not sure I understand the 15 question. 16 ROSENZWEIG: Well, the thirty-two MR. 17 percent encompasses all the people who are between 18 fifty-five and death? 19 MS. HAYNES: Right. 20 MR. ROSENZWEIG: Of that group what 21 percent do you think are really viable candidates in the 22 labor market? 23 MS. HAYNES: I would say, and I have my 24

data here but I would have to look it up, that viable

candidates would be between the ages of fifty-five and perhaps seventy. But, I would like to say that fifty percent of the people that we work with are between the ages of fifty-five and sixty.

MR. ROSENZWEIG: Okay.

MS. HAYNES: And I can get you those numbers if you want them.

MS. PATTESON: Thank you very much.

MS. HAYNES: Thank you. You've been very good.

MR. PATTESON: We began about forty minutes late due to the weather, both the committee arriving and some of the presenters, too. So, we have somewhat of a problem. We will never be able to fulfill the schedule unless we make some changes. What we are proposing to do is have one more presenter at this time and then take a fifteen minute break. Instead of an hour's lunch we are sending out for sandwiches for this committee and have asked them to bring them in here.

So, we then will take, after one more presenter, we will take a fifteen minute quick lunch and then try to go right on. I hope that doesn't inconvenience somebody who has come early as a presenter but that is the only way that we see that we can fulfill

our schedule today.

At this time I would like to turn Jean Turner Carter who is Director of the Legal Services of Arkansas.

## Ms. Carter?

## STATEMENT OF JEAN TURNER CARTER:

Thank you. My name is Jean Turner Carter and I am the Director of Legal Services of Arkansas. We are a Federally funded agency that provides legal assistance in Civil matters to low income persons in twenty-four counties here in Arkansas.

In 1988 our program completed two hundred eighty cases for persons sixty and over. That represents approximately fifteen percent of our clients last year. The legal problems that we encounter for the elderly involve matters of consumer problems, real estate, housing, utilities, health care, and public benefits. Most of the classic Civil Rights cases that we've brought on behalf of clients have involved individuals of all ages, some being younger individuals middle age and elderly involved in voting rights, public housing problems and public benefits cases.

The number one problem that we encounter most of all with elderly persons involve the public

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Medicaid, benefit programs such as food stamps, Medicare, Social Security problems. One of the biggest problems that we encounter among the elderly, and especially the illiterate elderly, is access to the public benefit programs. There is an inadequate notice on the part of the Department of Human Services and the Social Security Administration to inform people what benefits and programs they qualify for. In many cases they are not explained their appeal rights if they are denied access to these programs. The forms to fill out and qualify for these programs are quite complex and they are rarely given an explanation of how to fill out the forms and paperwork and are rarely given assistance filling out these forms. In fact, particularly with the Social Security Administration becoming more centralized and having less and less regional offices and a presence in each county, we find out particularly with the Social Security programs that people are being talked to over This is very difficult, the telephone, not in person. elderly and illiterate to adequate for the get explanation on how to fill out the paperwork for programs that they might quality for.

Particularly with these public benefits programs, there are resource limits that reflect harder sometimes on the elderly population than they do on

PENGAD/INDY

younger population, such as most elderly people already own a home. This can be a barrier, owning a home, to quality for certain public benefits, particularly food stamps and Medicaid. Also elderly people usually have a small savings account. And, if you have a savings account of over sixteen hundred dollars that makes you not quality for certain public benefits. Most elderly people say that these small savings accounts are there for their burial insurance. This is something that is

Also, elderly persons who are living with their spouse or other relatives who are necessary for taking care of them, the fact that they do not have adequate resources themselves to pay for medical care and food and everything, the fact that they are living with relatives who do have income makes them ineligible for certain public benefit programs.

really important to them and they want an adequate reserve

in case there is an emergency like their death.

Particularly the food stamp program is not nearly as available to many elderly people as they are to younger people. Most elderly people are drawing some type of old age social security or supplemental income. The minimum amount which we encounter most of the time is three hundred and sixty-eight dollars a month is all these elderly people are living on. At that level of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

income, they can only qualify for ten dollars a month food stamps. And with the high cost of utilities, most of their social security benefits going to utilities, only ten dollars to get additional money to buy food is very inadequate.

大田田 大田 大田 日本日本 Mixed up with the public benefit program is the problem also of access to medical care. Many elderly people do not have health insurance or, if they did have health insurance at one time, as their health care needs increase, the premiums of that health insurance becomes increasingly more expensive and they do not have the income to continue paying for that. the only other recourse is the Medicaid or the Medicare Many elderly Programs. people on Social Security Benefits, while they qualify for the Medicare Program, their income is too high to pay for the Medicaid Program that requires a very low income level so that only part of their health care needs are taken care of.

Another problem we are suffering here in Arkansas is the problem with rural health care, the number of hospitals closing. So, even if they may have Medicaid or Medicaid cards, they don't have adequate transportation to see doctors at hospitals. We are increasingly seeing a problem with people between, it's hard to decide how you define elderly but particularly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attention.

people who fall in this age group of getting close of advancing age, between the ages of fifty to sixty-five, who are not qualified for the Medicaid or the Medicare If someone is not ready for Social Security program. benefits yet due to old age but between age fifty and and the state of the second of sixty-five become disabled due to health care problems, there is a two year waiting period before these persons Medicare quality for benefits. So, their disabilities have placed them on Social Security disability but they may not qualify for any Medicare or Medicaid benefits. So, their health problems get more

and more severe because they are not getting adequate

As I was stating, many elderly people own their own homes but as they get older and they are on a very low income, these houses get in a very bad state of disrepair and become extremely dilapidated so that their housing becomes extremely substandard. But, at the same time, because they do own their home they do not qualify for public housing that should be more adequate, better standard housing, because they have the resource of their own home. Many people are forced in a situation where they continue to live in their homes though it is inadequate because they don't have many other choices.

Another problem we have encountered is the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

78 adult protective services system. This involves elderly persons who are abused by family members or others. One of the biggest problems that we see that deprives people of their Civil Rights under the current Arkansas Statutes is that when someone complaints that they are being abused and neglected, the Department of Human Services, under their Adult Protective Services, gains а quardianship either through the state or through some other relative. This usually results in this abused elderly person being put in a nursing home. So, the situation becomes that they are being abused. Then they are held prisoner in nursing homes because they can't live in their homes or their relatives' home abuser is out running around free in Society. So this was something that was formed. The Legislation here Arkansas, supposedly to protect the elderly person

Another problem that we see, particularly with the benefit programs, is that the elderly, is that their brothers and sisters are also aging and then they die. Then they jointly inherit property. Having some interest in those kinds of resources makes them ineligible for Federal and State public benefit programs.

sometimes it requires that they become a prisoner

many penalties against the abuser.

don't get to live free in society. There are not that

and

As I said, while these are not necessarily your classic civil rights actions, particularly we don't handle the employment discrimination type case because these are fee generating and handled by private attorneys, this is just a smattering of the kinds the commence of the commence o problems that we encounter most with our elderly clients. Unfortunately here in Arkansas, as well,

all over the south, that being poor and elderly in very rural area becomes quite devastating because of the lack of transportation, lack on adequate housing, lack of health care. Unfortunately for this elderly population, the prospects are rather grim that even employment improves, even if economic development of an area improves, these are people not likely to be working and so that the situation is rather grim.

PATTESON: Would you define MR. your clientele? Who do you serve? Who are you allowed to serve?

MS. CARTER: These are persons that fall below the Federal poverty guidelines.

> MR. PATTESON: All right.

MS. MULDROW: Could you address the general problem of availability of legal services for elderly people?

> MS. CARTER: Well, the availability is

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

there in that legal services programs are located, there are seven different legal services programs in the state of Arkansas. We have offices all over the state. Most of our programs like mine interview at least several times per month in the county seats of these counties.

So, the availability of legal services out there to represent poor people. But, access due to transportation programs or it becomes a real problem that they may not be able to get transportation to a local courthouse or public building to interview with paralegals. Particularly, we feel like an under served population is the nursing home population because these people don't have their own private telephones, they are institutionalized because of whatever health or mental impairments they may. They are not likely to pick up the telephone and call one of our offices. So, there are certain problems with the institutionalized nursing home residents being able to get assistance to call about legal problems. Most of the time if a problem comes they are more likely to report this to someone there at the nursing home who occasionally do call us and we will make visits to nursing homes. But, particularly, if is a problem with the nursing home, they may not report it to anybody.

MR. MULDROW: So, in other words, if they

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

are infirmed or disabled and immobile for some reason, that places them at a special disadvantage in getting legal services that they might need?

MS. CARTER: That's correct.

MR. MULDROW: What about in other areas, like you handle mostly civil or all together civil cases? What about in other areas, in criminal matters, is that strictly a matter of private litigation? Do they have to hire an attorney to have their legal needs met or are there state services provided?

MS. CARTER: There are many counties that have public defender programs. Usually the large counties, like Pulaski County, that has a Public Defender program. But out there in the rural part of the state it is pretty much Court appointed attorneys who serve on some kind of rotating basis. So, it will depend entirely whether they are appointed an attorney with the crime that they have been charged with, whether it is a felony or not.

MR. ROSENZWEIG: What about the person who needs your services knowing that such services are available? In other words I'm thinking out in the boondocks particularly. Who is there to advertise your availability?

MS. CARTER: Our availability is usually

of legal assistance.

advertised through local telephone books. We have ads in local yellow pages, we send our schedule of where we are interviewing each month to local newspapers and the local newspapers are very good about including us in sort of their community bulletin boards about the areas where we are interviewing. And many places around the county in addition to courthouses, Department of Human Services, we have posters around so we do try to make efforts continuously to educate the public about the availability

MR. ROSENZWEIG: I don't mean to be critical. A lot of these people deliver. Do the lawyers in Dumas tell the person who comes in from the rural areas there, "Well, no, I can't handle this but Legal Services will do it for you"?

MS. CARTER: I was going to say that we have a combination of delivery systems of both staff attorneys and paralegals that work for us as well as private attorneys who volunteer their time. We make an effort to try to recruit for our pro bono programs, our partially compensated programs, private attorneys in every county and every city that we serve. So, that is quite likely, for someone to see an attorney in Dumas and that, because of their affiliation with us as pro bono attorneys, they inform that person to come apply for our

We

services. 1 MR. PATTESON: Don't you generally have 2 attorneys in there on each of your area boards, too? 3 MS. CARTER: That's correct. We are a 4 non-profit corporation that has a Board of Directors that 5 is made up of sixty percent private attorneys from our 6 service area and thirty, one third percent eligible 7 clients. 8 MS. BROWN: And maybe it would help them 9 to know that you have client representatives on the 10 board, too. 11 MS. CARTER: That's correct. Ms. Brown 12 one of our Board of Directors. 13 And we often go to these MS. BROWN: 14 people, sometime we have it over the radio, and most of 15 the time they will come in and ask what we can do. 16 find out their problem and then I refer them to Legal 17 Services. 18 MR. PATTESON: Are there 19

any further questions.

(No response)

MR. PATTESON: Thank you very much.

MS. CARTER: Thank you.

Holliday, MR. PATTESON: All right. Mr.

23

20

21

22

may we call on you at this time? Our lunch has not been delivered yet so we are going to squeeze you in if you are willing.

MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes.

## STATEMENT BY MR. SCOTT HOLLIDAY:

My name is Scott Holliday and I'm the Executive Director of Arkansas Seniors Organized for Progress. We are a non-profit membership organization for senior citizens. We have members throughout the State, both household members or individuals and also we have about twenty-seven organizations that are affiliated with us.

Our purpose is to provide a voice for senior citizens in Arkansas on public policy issues, especially we have chosen to focus on issues related to quality affordable health care for the elderly. Most of the issues we work on are related to Medicare, Medicaid, long term care and we are beginning to work on problems related to Medicare supplemental insurance that people buy from private insurance company.

I would say that normally we don't think of ourselves as working on Civil Rights issues like age discrimination but when I sat down and thought about it it seemed to me like the most important thing that I

could talk to you about, and something that some of the other people that I saw on the agenda probably brought up also, is the concern that our members and other elderly people have about nursing homes and the restrictions that that places on people. I think that that is one of the great concerns that older people have, being forced to go into a nursing home, because it does mean that their life will be greatly restricted. It means a loss of privacy and freedom.

Now, in our organization we don't claim to be experts on nursing home problems and we haven't done much advocacy work with individuals in nursing homes. Rather, we try to represent the concerns of our members who feel that this is a very great problem. We try to work on public policy solutions that will help to reduce this problem.

Part of the problem is that nursing homes often restrain people in different ways because it makes it easier to take care of them. Some of the things that we've heard about include physical restraints and use of drugs to keep, basically to keep people under control, and also use of catheters and diapers to make it easier to take care of people. Then there are other problems just like the general lack of privacy people have in their rooms. Some of these kinds of problems are being dealt

with now because of the new Federal nursing home reform
legislation and also because of some of the related
nursing home reform legislation that was passed last
summer by the Arkansas Legislature. Right now the
Attorney General and some of the Legislators and a lot of
the senior organizations are working to get additional
laws passed that will further help to protect people in
nursing homes.

Another big part of the problem is that many people are placed in nursing homes because that is the only means that we have for taking care of them. From all reports, a big percentage of the people in the nursing homes in Arkansas are people who don't need medical treatment so much as they need help taking care of themselves with activities of daily living like eating, bathing, dressing, moving about and using the toilet. Because they have those kinds of impairments, they need assistance. In many cases the only way they can get the level of assistance that they need is by going into a nursing home. We think that that is really a problem and that we need to get some changes in our system that will enable people to get assistance without necessarily having to go into a nursing home because that is the most restrictive environment.

So, we are anxious to see moves away from

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

this kind of a medical model of dealing with people who need long term care and try to find, have more of a continuum of care where you would have, try to find the least restrictive alternative that could be used to help people.

A big part of the problem that results in so many people going into nursing homes who might be cared for other ways is Federal legislation and regulations for the Medicaid Program, which is one of the big funders, the biggest funder really, of nursing home care for people who have become impoverished or were impoverished to start with. Many people, the only way they can get help with long term care is by entering a nursing home and exhausting their own resources and then receiving Medicaid assistance.

The State of Arkansas, the Department of Human Services, seems to be working hard to try to come up with alternatives to be able to care for people in their homes or in alternative types of housing. But, the legislation, the law, the Medicaid laws and regulations, make it very difficult for them to do that, to provide those alternatives. So, for people who are below the poverty level, they have more flexibility as far as providing alternative care, than for people who are above the poverty level. For those people above the poverty

level, in many cases the only way they can quality for Medicaid assistance is by going into the nursing home, exhausting their own resources and then finally, after becoming impoverished, qualifying for Medicaid.

So, we feel like that is one of the big problems that faces elderly people in our society and we do think that a big part of the problem does relate to Federal policies that we would like to see changed. I think that's the most important message that we would like to give to you. Some of the kinds of alternatives that we think need to be more available to people to help to keep them out of nursing homes, and also because they are, in many cases, more cost effective, would be things like home health care, personal care for people in the home, home delivered meals, adult daycare and then alternative housing like residential care facilities.

Thank you. I'll be happy to answer any questions if there are any.

MR. PATTESON: I would be interested in your telling us something about your membership. Is it a cross section of the population?

MR. HOLLIDAY: I would say that it is to some extent. I would say that our members come primarily from several different groups. Quite a few of them were recruited through senior citizens centers and they tend

groups.

مرابعتها المجاهدات

to be probably the lower income segment of the senior population. We also have recruited a lot of our members through mailing lists from union retiree groups and a lot of them tend to be, while they are working class they tend to be, some of them are fairly affluent, especially by Arkansas standards, because a lot of them worked up North. They have a pension. They have company provided health benefits that supplement their Medicare. So, a lot of them by Arkansas senior citizens standards are fairly affluent. And I would say those are the two major

MR. PATTESON: What about minority representation?

MR. HOLLIDAY: We figure we have about thirty percent black members and I think that black elderly people, I think certainly tend to be lower income. I think it is easy to understand why because they didn't have the same job opportunities open to them when they were working. In some cases they may have been working in employment that wasn't covered by Social Security.

So, quite naturally, their retirements benefits are much lower than for a lot of other people.

I think that is certainly a problem. I think that that is something that could be addressed better by the

Federal government. It's not that they didn't work during their working lives. A lot of them had to work very hard just to keep bread on the table. But because they were discriminated against and had low earnings or worked in employment like household work or farm labor that may not have been covered, they pay for it now or they aren't paid for it now.

MS. BROWN: How does one become a member of your organization?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Well, we have membership dues and they are quite low so that low income people can

MR. HOLLIDAY: Well, we have membership dues and they are quite low so that low income people can afford to joint. It is two dollars a year for an individual or three dollars for a couple.

MS. BROWN: Suppose he isn't able to pay that, would he get your services?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Pardon?

MS. BROWN: If he weren't able to pay that would an older person get your services?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Well, we try to give advice and information to people whether they are members are not. We are nor primarily a service organization but rather more of an advocacy group.

But, we do try to dispense advice such as we can and give referrals and on some types of problems we are anxious to talk to people so that we can get a

better understanding ourselves of what public policy 1 problems there are that we need to be addressing. 2 So, in that sense, we are anxious to talk 3 to people about the problems that they have and try to 4 help them. 5 Thank you. 6 PATTESON: Thank you MR. very much, 7 particularly for letting us run you in when maybe you 8 weren't expected it. 9 MR. HOLLIDAY: That's fine. I was hoping 10 I could get to talk to you as soon as possible. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. PATTESON: Fine. Thank you. 13 14 MR. PATTESON: Twenty minutes. 15 (Whereupon the hearing was recessed at 16 12:00 noon for lunch to reconvene at 12:20.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

-->=

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: If we start with you right now, we would be exactly on schedule.

MR. LANTRIP: Well, I'm ready.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: We have one person that was confused this morning as coming back later.

MR. LANTRIP: Do I sit right here?

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Why don't you stand if you don't mind. I think we would hear you better. We are very pleased to have you back. We felt you contributed a great deal in our earlier meeting last July.

MR. LANTRIP: Well, I tried to find my notes so I could see what I did say, but I couldn't find them, so this has to be original.

## STATEMENT OF MR. DEWEY LANTRIP:

What I'd like to do is just tell you briefly that I am not as active as I once was in the many different -- well, like the AARP organizations -- because like the President of the United States, you serve so much and you're automatically out. So, I'm automatically out but I hold four different active roles now such as State Medical Board, the Governor's Advisory Council on Aging, the task force that the medical system -- several hospitals and another one or

two that I don't need to mention.

But, I have a written prepared statement which I will give you along with a couple of pieces of material that verify what I'm saying, some of it.

First, I'd just like to comment about single women. That is, single, divorced, widowed and so forth. Some of them still are discriminated against in the field of finance such as getting loans and credit cards and that kind of thing. Now, I know it's somebody else's role to tell you that, but I try to be active in many different things that I keep up. I know this is still happening to some degree.

The second thing is about older persons in general. As I observed it, they're discriminated against in, oh, at least three things that I'll mention. One of them, of course, is still jobs. Now, every company would say, "We don't discriminate against them." But, in reality, they don't want older people without even interviewing them, so to speak. It's not on the basis that they're worn out or not good. It's just that they look at the application and at 67 years old they know they don't want them.

Another thing is automobile insurance. When an older person loses the right to drive an automobile if they have driven a lot or if they live

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

alone and they've got no way to go except their own transportation, they've lost like a right arm. Some insurance companies still slip a letter to you when you get 75 years old and say, "We no longer can renew your policy." I think I have a letter in my possession that spells that out in writing, that that's the reason.

Most of them won't give that as the reason, of course.

A third thing is Medicare supplements, of Medicare supplements that the rates They're Now, companies offer. age-rated. our Insurance Commission is trying to do something about that, but it has not been done yet. It probably will. I have, which I will give you a copy of, a sheet that shows some supplements that are age-rated. It's sheet that we make up in talking with older people all Even though I'm past 80, I'm still over the state. active in talking to groups. I spoke to a group of 150 people day before yesterday about Medicare catastrophic health insurance. And we still have age-rated supplements.

Another thing is the simple refusal to insure -- that is, to sell a supplement to somebody that's 75 years old. One of our very best companies here in Arkansas still has that policy. We've talked to them about it, but they have not yet done anything

about it. And they have very good reasons and all, but
that is age discrimination if you will not offer a
policy to a person and that's the only reason. Now, it
is Blue Cross-Blue Shield here in Arkansas. I have a
brochure here from Blue Cross-Blue Shield in Oklahoma
that does what I think, and many other people think,
that ours ought to do. They do offer a supplement to
people over 75 -- that's the way this bracket is -- at
a higher rate. It's about \$7.00 or \$8.00 a month
higher. I would be willing to compromise on that if
they would be willing to offer that to them.

Then, another thing that relates to Medicare. The family doctor receives a lower rate compared to specialists. Well, you say, "Of course, he's not entitled to as much." I'm talking about a doctor who does a cataract operation in 30 minutes getting like \$1,000.00 or \$1,200.00, and a family doctor who sees you 15 to 20 minutes getting \$13.40. To me, that's discrimination beyond any line of reasoning. The specialists under the Medicare system are treated far more fairly in my opinion than the primary or the family doctor.

This is my last item. The Medicare Catastrophic Health Act of 1988 discriminates against the middle income group on the surtax payment plan.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You may or may not know anything about that. But I have a sheet that discusses that, the benefits as well as the surtax and all. For example, 55 percent of the people on Medicare, the participants, will not pay any The sons and daughters of this 55 percent will pay nothing, you know, to the support of their parents because all of the costs of the catastrophic health care plan is paid by the participants. So, the sons and daughters of those who pay nothing, they also pay nothing. The Federal Government pays nothing. Then 45 percent of the participants who file a Federal Income Tax pay all of the surtax, and that surtax will range from \$22.50 to \$800.00 depending on the Federal income, that tax that they pay for the year 1989. That's what it will range from. And, in the year 1993, it ranges from \$42.00 to \$1,050.00. That's per person. Now, you see, a man and wife who happens to be very fortunate and pays about \$7,000.00 Federal Income Tax will pay That's \$2,100.00. the maximum for surtax. Fifty-five percent of the people pay nothing, the sons and daughters pay nothing, the Federal Government pays nothing. I think they discriminated against the middle income plan, particularly when our good friend Walton, our No. 1 millionaire, will pay exactly the thing that I'll think that's same pay. I

discrimination. Thank you very much. Do you want to 1 call for questions? 2 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Yes, we do if you're 3 willing to answer them, sir. Are there any questions? 4 DOCTOR ROSENZWEIG: I was 5 paying i ma semente de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la compania de la comp interest all along but we had talked just before about 6 the surtax because I happen to be one of those 45 7 percent. 8 MR. LANTRIP: I started to point you out 9 but I was afraid it would be offensive because I didn't 10 know you. 11 DOCTOR ROSENZWEIG: Ιs it my 12 understanding that AARP supported this, the passing of 13 this law? 14 MR. LANTRIP: So did Senators Pryor 15 Bumpers. None of them knew --16 DOCTOR ROSENZWEIG: (Interposing) what 17 was going on --18 MR. (Continuing) LANTRIP: what was 19 coming. You see, a small committee of some kind worked 20 out the details, and when the details came out, that's 21 the way it was. I think all of them wish they had read 22 the details. 23 DOCTOR ROSENZWEIG: Is there anything 24

25

being done to rectify it?

MR. LANTRIP: There is a big clamor of people writing their Congressmen from all over the nation trying to get something done. Nobody seems to be able to put forward what can be done. What should be done, the Federal Government should pay a part for the 55 percent who are not paying. It's that simple but the man who said, "Read my lips," they ain't going to let you pay anything. The Federal Government won't pay anything.

MR. MULDROW: Who are the 55 percent?

MR. LANTRIP: That's the people who do

not pay a Federal Income Tax.

MR. MULDROW: Oh, I see, because their income is too low.

MR. LANTRIP: And you see, they've saddled it right on the middle ones. That's my cry. Sam Walton and Jack Stammons, they'll pay the same thing that I pay. That's grossly unfair to turn all these others and the Federal Government not share, and then let off the upper rich, and the middle income group carries it. Anybody else?

MR. MILWEE: I don't have a question but I'd like to thank you for your very succinct and and lucid presentation.

MR. LANTRIP: Thank you.

MR. MULDROW: We have some more questions for you if you don't mind. Would you elaborate a little bit on the credit card item against single women? We're talking about older Americans now. Are you saying that single women who are older are discriminated against in this area?

MR. LANTRIP: Any woman who is single, yes, or widowed or divorced, any age. But, yes, older women, too. My daughter doesn't fall in the older group, but she had a \$25,000.00-a-year job, or something in that class a couple of years ago, and in spite of that, she couldn't get a credit card from J. C.-Penney's, and that's a very responsible company, you know.

MR. MULDROW: So, are you saying there is a disparate effect on older women because more of them are single and it's especially hard for older women?

MR. LANTRIP: No. I'm saying all women which includes older women.

MR. MULDROW: What about the automobile insurance? Could you elaborate on that?

MR. LANTRIP: One of my Sunday School class members -- most people that know me know that I am the type of volunteer that it doesn't make any

difference whether it's water in the cellar which helping a woman get her cellar fixed, or selling an old used car which I did for an 86-year old woman, or whether it's what's wrong with my insurance company that they cancel me because I'm 75 years old, and the letter implied exactly that. So, I took it up with the Commission, the Insurance Commission, and all that stuff. We never did get anything but a series of runarounds, and that was inadequate for me. really tried to defend themselves. They did, you know, cut him off. That's all there was to it. You've got to have insurance. MR. MULDROW: The cutoff flatly based on age rather than --

(Interposing) Oh, MR. LANTRIP: absolutely --

MR. MULDROW: (Continuing) driving risk? Oh, absolutely. He hadn't MR. LANTRIP: had any wrecks, any tickets or anything in five, six, or seven years. One letter that we got in pursuing all of this did say that because he was 75, they would not renew the policy and they had the right according to our own Insurance Commission to do that.

Was that a nationwide MR. MULDROW: policy for insurance coverage?

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ž

MUNCIE

PENGAD/INDY

24 25 MR. LANTRIP: It was not an Arkansas company. It was sold all over the United States, or at least the company was somewhere other than Arkansas.

MR. MULDROW: We've been hearing from several people about discrimination in employment for older people. Could you give us your views specifically on the problems there?

MR. LANTRIP: I don't have an exact case that I could say for sure. I helped a woman employment recently, and she was a very attractive, young-looking. Anybody should have been proud if they saw her to have her in their office. She didn't look her 65. But as soon as she made application, the job Now, I don't know whether the job was filled. was filled or not, you know, but I went down and information from this place. It was the University out here. I'm not accusing anybody, but it does look suspicious that the day I was in there there was opening and I got the application, gave it to her, there might have been one day in between, and it was filled.

MR. MULDROW: You see this as a widespread problem. You're illustrating an incident that happened.

MR. LANTRIP: Oh, I believe it is

widespread, yes. I don't believe people want to employ older people from the things and the contacts and the talk that I have picked up. I've made no attempt, since that's not in my field, to pick up specific cases. I can give you case after case in the health care field, anything you want to know of discrimination or what-not.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Why do you think that's true? That people are reluctant to hire older people.

MR. LANTRIP: Because you mention old people, they're dead and gone. You see, no one believe 25 years ago that a guy like me at age 70 would start a new career of being an Advocate for the older people in the State of Arkansas. I've devoted the last ten years fulltime at no pay whatsoever. I get a little fee from the medical board. That's all I get. It's changed but the concept hasn't changed with a lot of people. They think they're still no good, old and worn out, should go sit down and watch TV. That's what the problem is. And it will be a lot of time before we can change the image that there's a lot of good in older That's right, but they put all of the good and bad in one class and don't want them.

MR. MULDROW: So, you're saying it's

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really a form of prejudice or bias against older people simply based upon their age?

MR. LANTRIP: Oh, sure. That's just the way they see it. That's the way it has been in the past, and to them it just still is. They don't want to fool with them.

MR. MULDROW: What about this Medicare supplement you mentioned, refusal to sell to a person a supplement. Could you explain that a little more?

MR. LANTRIP: Yeah. I phoned Blue Cross-Blue Shield and I've talked with them, and they've got a good logical reason. You see, why didn't the person take the insurance when he was 65 or 68? They could get it then. But, after they get to be 75, they can no longer get it. And the reason being, they had a chance and they didn't take it, so we don't want them now because they're going into the stage of being in the hospital a lot, medical bills a lot. That's logical from a business standpoint, but my only point is it's still discrimination. And I think that they should work it out like Oklahoma has and charge an extra fee, even though that's discrimination, that's not as bad a discrimination as it is not offering them a supplement. I spoke to the Insurance Commission when they had that public hearing, and my good friends from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blue Cross-Blue Shield were there and I told them ahead of time what I was going to say because I had worked with them, and the president of Blue Cross-Blue Shield is instrumental in me getting the nicest plaque of all the plaques that I've got, you know. I feel good toward them. I'm not complaining except it is discrimination. And, yes, I'd like for you-all to help, or anybody else so that older people, 75 and older, could buy what I think is the best policy, best supplement that they could buy, but they can't buy it.

MR. MULDROW: When you met with our Committee before to bring us some preliminary this subject, you mentioned information on an exploitation of older people by insurance companies who mislead them about the coverage that they need provide them with duplicate policies at a expense, and this sort of thing. Could you elaborate on that a bit?

MR. LANTRIP: We still have some of that. We'll always have until they outlaw door-to-door sales on insurance with older people. It ought to be against the law. Or, especially on the first time they go talk to them. Or, there ought to be a cosigner, or a neighbor or something because an insurance person that's trained, he's got all the ideas and methods and

It's

1

2

3

4

5 - ·

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

criminally wrong.

20

21

22

23

24

25

psychology of selling an older person. They're as defenseless as can be. And the little thing that some of them do which is horrible, they'll sell them a policy and six months to a year later, they'll come back and, "That policy is a good policy, but look what I've got. I've got a better one." And, of course, it will cost a little bit more, but the point is, he will get 35 percent of the first year's premium because it's a new policy, and he'll sell her a different one, and then he'll come back and sell her another different one. And what happens several times -- we know of cases and I've personally been involved where there was a waiting period and he didn't adequately explain that. He just hoped the woman wouldn't get sick during that three-month waiting period, and this woman was not covered. But, he had quit the company and the company didn't know where he was. That's just all there was to

MR. MULDROW: When you find situations like this and others that you have mentioned, what do you advise these people to do in terms of remedy for wrongs which they have experienced?

it, and that kind of stuff is discrimination.

MR. LANTRIP: Most of the people that get rooked like that, giving them advice wouldn't do

<u>z</u>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

106 them any good. If you can talk to one of their neighbors that's interested that comes over when talking to them, they can do more for them than the person can because the person is not the kind of person that would do anything anyway. But, I would advise them and the neighbor -- this is usually the way it works -- to --MR. MULDROW: (Interposing) Is there a remedy for the situation you've just described? that illegal? I mean, is there a legal remedy?

Ιs

MR. LANTRIP: I don't know. I don't I think they are on thin ice legally, but, think so. you know, all they got to do is get them a lawyer and -- that woman, she wanted it and she asked for it, on and on and on. You couldn't prove anything in court. They just quit and move somewhere else, the shyster type.

MR. MULDROW: One more question. Do you remember the Advisory Council on Aging to the Governor? MR. LANTRIP: Yes. I remember.

MR. MULDROW: What is the function of that council? What impact or what has resulted from their activities?

MR. LANTRIP: Well, I've been on that, I think, eight or nine years, and during this time

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

role has been the same, to advise the Governor on anything relating to programs for older people. Advisory Council on Aging is the name of it. Now, example, one thing that grew out of that council, about seven years ago they set up a committee to try to get more doctors to take Medicare assignments, and nobody wanted to get involved in that. You know, doctors gods of their own. You know, you don't go talk to doctors. I hope you-all don't think I'm derogatory of anybody by my descriptions, but I'm trying to make it clear how I see things. Doctors don't want you bother them or tell them anything. They're private individuals and they'll do whatever they want That's the concept and has been for years and years. father-in-law was a doctor and you could say anything you wanted to like that and it would still fit him. A good man but he was the most independent person you could imagine. Well, to make a long story short, as a result of working on that committee, I found myself chairman of that committee and we did miracles in about a three or four-year period of time. I became a member of the State Legislative Committee in that time and still pursued it. In 1985, for example, Arkansas stood eighth in the nation, and that's something for Arkansas to stand that high on the

percent of doctors who took Medicare assignments for all the older people. That's just one example.

MR. MULDROW: Do you advise the Governor or suggest or make recommendations to the Governor --

MR. LANTRIP: (Interposing) Absolutely.

MR. MULDROW: Regarding exploitation and insurance area or employment discrimination, have you made any recommendations in those areas?

MR. LANTRIP: You see, that would be an area which he would refer to the Insurance Commission, and we wouldn't make usually much reference. We'd just do a light touch of it because we would make that kind of a recommendation to the Insurance Commission, and he would ask us to because that's his program. Yes, we have on taxes and various other things. Whatever comes up, transportation, taxes, insurance, these senior centers and work that the area agencies on aging do. Please don't think that I want to talk. I'm talking because you asked me to, but I'd be glad to.

MR. MILWEE: I want to ask another question. Mr. Lantrip, I did think of a question I would like to ask. Going back to the question of credit, women's credit that you mentioned, would it be a typical situation for a woman who has a credit that's shared presumably with her husband -- when she's

widowed, would the credit card company or a store or something just cancel her credit? Is that the sort of thing you're alluding to?

MR. LANTRIP: No. I really don't know of instances like that. But, my opinion would be they would take a look at her now. She's different. Has she lost her provider or has she lost her source of income which might not be true at all. See, she may be making more money than him. But that's the way they would look at it.

MR. MILWEE: But it would be more difficult if she were applying for credit in the first place?

MR. LANTRIP: Oh, yeah. Yeah. That's where it would be the roughest.

reference to the doctors, these people up here know I happen to be a physician. I was talking beforehand about the lack of a better -- and we discussed some other aspects, but I was pleasantly surprised to hear you say that now only seven percent do not accept Medicare because I'm out of practice now. But, people would call me, "I can't get a doctor." I didn't elaborate to them, but someone said, "Well, he doesn't take Medicare and that's all I have." I am agreeing

saying

know,

with you in saying you make me feel better by it's down to seven percent. MR. LANTRIP: Ι should, you apologize to you. I don't think I said a thing that I wouldn't have said if I'd known you. DOCTOR ROSENZWEIG: What I was basically doing was complimenting you. MR. LANTRIP: I understand and I accept

I do not believe I said only seven percent. Someone else may have said that, and that may be true because since 1985 we have gone up considerably in the percent of doctors. At that time only 40 percent, 45 percent in 1985 took assignment for everybody. Now, in the State of Oklahoma, 12 percent did that same year, and Texas 20 percent, and Mississippi and Tennessee, 21 or 26. But, we stood at 45. Missouri was the closest state to us with 30 percent. We were real proud of what we had done, working with the doctors. I myself appeared before all of the committees that I could appear, State committees, Pulaski County Doctors Medical Society, and so forth. Any other questions? CHAIRMAN PATTESON: I won't permit

another question. They don't have time. They told me to be heavyhanded.

MR. LANTRIP: Can I give you this?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Yes, sir, Mr.

Lantrip.

MR. LANTRIP: It's an outline of what I said and the two attachments.

> CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Thank you very much.

and the second section of the s

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Are you by any chance, Mr. Von Egmond? Mr. Von Egmond is a member of Legislative Committee the AARP that develops legislative initiatives and proposals related to older persons, is that correct?

MR. VON EGMOND: That's right.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Welcome.

## STATEMENT OF MR. ELMER VON EGMOND;

MR. VON EGMOND: Thank you. I appreciate the opportunity to be here. I'm always a little hesitant to follow Dewey. He's very articulate and has been most well-recognized in the community for his service and is not only a very active volunteer, he's also a pretty good recruiter because the reason that I'm doing what I'm doing is his fault.

I'll start by just talking a little bit about the State Legislative Committee of which I'm chairman, and what our activities are. We basically

the area.

ت سنڌ سے دریج عدرے

are a legislative advocate for the older citizens primarily, also handicapped. We basically are the policy-making group, and then we invite others to help in efforts to promote the kinds of legislative priorities that we suggest, and to help us with that. We have members of the Committee living in different parts of the state, so we fairly well represent all different sections of Arkansas and different parts of

One of our primary -- kind of continuing concerns and legislative priorities has been to try to improve the quality of life and care for our older citizens, particularly in longterm care facilities, and basically nursing homes. We have been quite successful in obtaining legislation toward that end, and in recent months, particularly during last summer's session of the legislature, -- we just had another session of the Committee where we were quite unsuccessful in getting some further legislation passed, but we are hopeful that that will eventually turn around during this present legislative session.

Then, of course, there are obvious kinds abuses and forms of discrimination that older citizens experience in a nursing home, but I think the kind I'd like to mention a little bit about are more subtle.

- ----

1

2

3

4

8

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any institution begins to develop procedures which are for the convenience of the staff and the institution and not so much for the benefit of the person. Ιf you've had any experience in a hospital, they don't come around and wake you up at 6:00 in the morning 子上:444、华长山中学院多层研究。 give you a shot because they think you need it at 6:00 in the morning for your health. It's the nurses going off the shift that need to do that. And in nursing homes it's the same kind of thing. People are often restricted about the times they can receive visitors, when they can go to eat, and do other kinds of activities, and often that's kind of an unnecessary and arbitrary limitation on their activities and on their routine.

In talking with people who live in nursing homes -- in fact, I was reading recently -- I guess it was in the newspaper -- a survey where the experts said they were quite surprised over the kinds of issues that nursing home residents were making as their primary concern, and it wasn't the big things that they were thinking about. It was more just the smaller items of feeling restricted and put down and limited in their range of activities.

The other area of concern that we are working with is that of guardianship and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need more supervision.

Ceneral's Office has been here already and she may have addressed that area. We're working with the Attorney General's Office on that in proposing legislation to try to strengthen that area in terms of the protection of the rights of the elderly or handicapped people who have trouble taking care of those affairs or seem to

It is, of course, another great concern that once a person is declared incompetent, it's an extremely arduous and expensive process to get that turned around. I think this is a more important issue now because we're finding in many instances that the people can become confused, have difficulty managing affairs, and this can be because of over-medication, or wrong medication. It can be because of some infection. It can be because malnutrition. When these conditions are corrected, the person, you know, is no longer confused and unable to manage their affairs, and often they find that they have been placed in a nursing home and after a couple of months -- or in the hospital -- they come back out and they're ready to go back home. Meanwhile, somebody has sold their home and they have transferred their assets to other areas, and in a sense, have made

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

impossible for them to retain their position and their possessions. So, we're also very concerned about that. We also see abuses of that in terms of relatives who basically are anxious to gain control of the property. We see it also in terms of just the courts not paying a ال الله المثل الله والتي التنظيم والتنظيم المنظم الله المثلاث المراجعة المنظم المراجعة والمنظم المناطقة المراجعة المنظم المناطقة particular great deal of attention to that area, not following up in the supervision of their wards, that a great deal of abuses can occur. And the major reporting that is required has to do with the assets or property of the person, rather than with the health and welfare and care of the person himself. So, they pay more attention to the dollar than they do to the individual in cases like that.

Another area that we're concerned about is the lack of information that patients receive on discharge from the hospital about what the charges have been in the hospital. We have passed legislation, assisted in passing legislation in the session two years ago of our State Legislature which required hospitals to provide an itemized statement to the patient on discharge if they so request. We found a great deal of resistance to that. Hospitals don't like to do that, and they have intimidated some people who have asked for it. They say it's coming and it doesn't show up, and all kinds of funny things like that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happen. Where the itemized bills have been received, we've often seen many errors. Not only are people shocked to find out what they're being charged for some commonplace item, but also that they're being charged rather large amounts of money for things they didn't AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT use and didn't have. In fact, I was just at a meeting last night and a lady mentioned her husband had a cataract operation, and here they had a fairly large charge on the bill for a prosthesis. She said, "Well, we went to the doctor and he said, tWhat's this?' We didn't have any prosthesis." So, it turned out, that was an erroneous charge. Another friend of mine mentioned that his mother had been in the hospital here in town for ten days and he took a look at the bill and found out that they were charged for a wheelchair all the days she was in the hospital, and here the lady was lying flat on her back in bed. She didn't have much need for a wheelchair. So, those are some of the kinds of things that we are finding out.

A common attitude, unfortunately, is -- and my wife received this. I had some surgery done about a year ago, and I said to my wife, "Be sure and ask for that itemized bill." And she did, and when it didn't come, she called back to the hospital and the clerk basically said, "Well, what do you care? Your

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

insurance is taking care of it." And, of course, the next step is, "Who do you think is paying the insurance bill?" The rates are determined by their costs. So. that's the kind of an attitude that I think contributes to that and feeds into the unwillingness of hospitals to disclose this kind of information.

Another area we're working on along the same line is to obtain a bill to require health care service agencies like hospitals, nursing homes, health care agencies to systematically report cost data. The intent is that planning agencies need that information in order to do a sensible job. Right they don't have that kind of information. The other is, of course, that it's very difficult for people to be informed, consumers of health care, if they have idea what charges are levied or what kind of procedure or what kind of service by what kind of agency. And so these are some things that we are very interested in.

Right now, the State is all excited, or at least the legislatures are, about the Governor's tax And he has gone forward, and I think the House passed yesterday afternoon a bill which did provide some income tax relief for lower income citizens, and levied an extra fee on corporations and individuals that are making over \$100,000 a year. I

z

MUNCIE

PENGAD/INDY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't think Dewey or I have to worry too much about that. The income tax provision, I think, will be helpful to some of our older citizens, and particularly those that are at the lower end of the income bracket.

The next step, of course, is that he is pushing for a sales tax. AARP's official policy is don't like a sales tax. We said that we would -because of the need for educational improvement in the State, we would go along with the sales tax if it would not apply to food, and that's kind of what our present stance is. We're holding with that for now to see what it would be. We think that it's very unfair in a state like Arkansas. My comment always is that if you're a chicken or a hog or a horse or a cow, you can eat all you want without having to pay the tax on it. if you're a human, you can't do that. So, this is area in which the farm area has obtained a lot of, know, items that are excused from paying the sales tax as well as other areas in business and industry, and we think some of those should be assessed before we go on and charge more for food and clothing and things that are necessities.

We also know this affects the elderly folks more so than others because they spend a greater percentage of their income on such items, and generally

have a more restrictive income in retirement. And a greater portion of that income they receive does go to the areas of food, shelter, and utilities and things of that nature.

large number of the elderly who are at or below poverty level. The last census report indicated 28 percent of the folks over 65 in this State at or below the poverty level. So, a sales tax would certainly affect those people adversely, so we hope that there will be a way for us to achieve those goals that I would strongly agree with and think they're very important in terms of improving our educational system, but I don't think the poor people ought to be the ones that pay the major part of the bill.

I guess you've already touched on -- you were just talking with Mr. Lantrip about the problem of particularly single people and elderly people getting credit. One of the things that has been helpful in that regard is that the AARP has recently organized a credit union. And it is now possible to make application for a credit card based not just on your income, but they take a look at your total financial standing, and it's often possible to qualify for a credit card, a Visa card, where a bank would not do

that because of your income level. They don't look at 1 the fact that you own a home or have the resources 2 available, or what your record is. 3 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: May I ask a question 4 on that specific thing? Who issues the Visa card? 5 and the common that the second 人名英格兰姓氏 我們 医皮肤性经炎性中枢性炎 it issued in the State of Arkansas, and what is the 6 rate of interest? 7 MR. VON EGMOND: It is issued in the 8 State of Arkansas. The rate of interest now, I think, 9 is at fourteen and a half. 10 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Is it issued --11 MR. VON EGMOND: (Interposing) From our 12 National Headquarters in Washington. 13 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: By AARP? 14 MR. VON EGMOND: By AARP. 15 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: But at the local --16 MR. VON EGMOND: (Interposing) People 17 can apply for that from Arkansas. 18 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: At Arkansas' rate of 19 interest? 20 MR. VON EGMOND: No, at that rate of 21 interest. 22 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: What is that, 23 fourteen and a half? 24

MR. VON EGMOND: Yeah.

4

5

6

8

7

9

11

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MULDROW: I'm interested in this

-- the abuses you mentioned in the guardianship, and I

wonder what initiatives you might have taken. I see a

lot of potential for abuse there of older people,

perhaps physically and financially, and

psychologically. Are there statutes which limit or

remedy these kinds -- or prevent these kinds of abuses?

Have you taken any initiatives to deal with that issue?

MR. VON EGMOND: There are civil and criminal statutes which would deal with certain kinds of physical abuse or neglect. It's often not a criminal offense. It's a civil offense. It's very difficult for prosecution to take place properly in those cases. Our major step at this point has been in the direction of trying to strengthen the guardianship provision because we think that's extremely weak at this point, this time. The history of that is that about four years ago, the Legislature passed a rather improved law. That was then revoked at the following legislative session two years later. And then subsequently, the next legislative session, some new laws, provisions were added to that, but we think the present law is still quite inadequate. It does not, for example, require that there be legal counsel

present at the hearing, and the Notice of Hearing we think should be strengthened. Say, for example, if one is concerned that a person is confused and you have someone deliver to them a Notice of Hearing, and put it in their hand, they probably have no conception of what it is or what is implied by that and what it means what the future consequences of that might be without somebody sitting down and slowly and carefully explaining it to them. We have also many older citizens who can't read in this state, so handing them something and saying, "Here, read this," is not very fruitful. MR. MULDROW: There apparently is,

MR. MULDROW: There apparently is, though, a statute which attempts at least to avoid some of the exploitation of older people in the guardianship relationship. How is this monitored or who assures that the provisions are met in the guardianship relationship?

MR. VON EGMOND: My understanding of that is that the Probate Judge in the Probate Court is the responsible agent to appoint the guardian, to declare the ward incompetent, and to then monitor that and set up steps to monitor it.

MR. MULDROW: Do they monitor it? Do they actually do that?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VON EGMOND: That varies a lot by county and in many cases, the answer to that is no, it's not very well monitored. There's very little follow-up on that.

MR. MILWEE: You began, I think, by alluding to some of the sort of minor annoyances or indignities that people in the nursing homes felt troubled them. That stirred some vague recollection in my mind of reading something a while back about some discussion about whether people could get a drink in a nursing home or smoke a cigarette. Could you throw a little light on that? Do you remember what I am referring to? I don't think I made that up.

MR. VON EGMOND: In the summer legislative session in one of the committee hearings, one of the representatives -- I think this had to do with the discussion on the civil rights declaration for residents of nursing homes -- he expressed concern that this allowed people to drink in the nursing homes, and he didn't think that older people in nursing homes should be allowed to do that. So, as one of the compromises in getting that bill passed, that was kind of stated that liquor not be served. I think you can still bring a jug in yourself. But that was a point of contention. I think, again, often there is kind of a

assistance.

Stereotypic attitude about residents of nursing homes.

You know, that they all are probably at the lower level of dependency and so forth, and that's not true. And some folks are. They are many folks there that are quite capable of managing their affairs. They're there because they need that kind of protection, that kind of

MR. MILWEE: One of the earlier speakers gave the instance of the spouse of someone who was in a nursing home who was discontent with it. I didn't have the opportunity to ask them, but what sort of role does competition play in that? I mean, in other words, if you don't like one, what are the sort of impediments to moving and going somewhere else?

MR. VON EGMOND: I think there are a couple of problems there. One is that people choose nursing homes primarily because they're nearby. They tend to choose a nursing home in their community. Secondly, they don't do a very good job of checking it out ahead of time. They probably just don't perceive of the need to do that or have information about what they ought to ask and how you go about looking at nursing homes, trying to see what indicators are there that you should be aware of. The third thing that I think is a major problem, once people are in place they

almost rather put up with inconvenience and things that
they know are not correct rather than move. And we
also are aware that statistics indicate that when
people do move at that age -- move from one nursing
home to another -- this is a very serious problem and
often shortens their life expectancy. In one sense you
can say, "Yeah, why don't they move?"

MR. MULDROW: With regard to the billing procedures of hospitals, is this a problem you -- is it discriminatory in that it applies mainly to older people, or is it an across-the-board problem for all patients?

MR. VON EGMOND: As far as I know, it's an across-the-board problem. I think our concern is that older people tend to more often have serious problems and require longer stays and more serious procedures, but it's not a unique --

MR. MULDROW: (Interposing) It has an adverse, disproportionate impact on older people because...

MR. MILWEE: Did I understand you to say that there is a law that requires the hospital to issue those itemized statements if the person requested them?

MR. VON EGMOND: There is a law on the books. The State Board of Health is charged with

. =

promulgating rules and regulations conveying that to the hospital, and then it is the responsibility of the hospital administrator to see that that takes place.

DOCTOR ROSENZWEIG: Illiteracy comes in here, too, in that we are all illiterate when it comes to reading those. I had experience with one within the last two months.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Thank you, sir.

We had to juggle schedules this morning and one of our presenters was kind enough to step aside. She has since returned and at this time I would like to call on Ms. Mala Daggett. Am I pronouncing that correctly?

MR. MULDROW: I don't think she's here.

Excuse me. Well, I am obligated when she does return to bring her into the program as soon as possible.

If not, then we will continue and I'd like to call Doctor Mark Krain. Doctor Krain is president of the Arkansas Gerontological Society, and he will basically be discussing societal problems of the elderly.

## STATEMENT OF DOCTOR MARK KRAIN:

DOCTOR KRAIN: First, let me thank Mr.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

PENGAD/INDY MUNCIE. IN

20

21

22

23

24

25

Muldrow fro inviting me here. I'm sure he had no idea what the weather would be like.

I am a Professor of Gerontology at the University of Arkansas at Little Rock, and I did invite I have been very active my classes to be here today. 中被周衛在并前前首為衛子衛衛軍衛 in the area of aging since I arrived in Arkansas 1977, as well as president of the Gerontological Society. I'm on Arkansas Project 2000 which is a project for planning longterm care for the elderly in the 21st century, and I have been part of the Attorney General's Commission, and ad hoc task force on nursing home reform legislation. I'm an officer in various community enterprises for the elderly. I'm vice-president of the board of the Pulaski County on I'm a board member and committee chairman of the Little Rock Senior Center so I have a great deal of connection with the aging. I am also engaged research on aging with a colleague who is later to speak, Doctor Trevino-Richard. We were co-recipients of a grant from the AARP Anders Foundation to do a on black, white differences in service utilization in Arkansas in 1984.

I was asked here to talk about Arkansas Gerontological Society. The Arkansas Gerontological Society is a state-wide

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MUNCIE

PENGAD/INDY

organization that has as its main objective informational and educational tasks, missions on the status and the needs of the elderly in Arkansas and the nation. We have a board that is entirely voluntary that consists of a wide number of people, two of whom you just heard from. Mr. Dewey Lantrip is on our board and Elmer Von Egmond is the vice-president of the board

of the Arkansas Gerontological Society.

Our objectives, as well as being educational, are also advocacy and legislative. We conduct primarily in concert with other organizations, legislative programs. We attempt to enact enacted programs by the State Legislature that benefit The two organizations we work most the elderly. concert with has been the AARP -- originally, we first got involved with Mr. Lantrip when he was head of the State Legislative Advocacy Office of Arkansas AARP, and he's been on our board ever since. The ASOP group which is a recently organized group here in Arkansas --I'm sure you have speakers from ASOP -- we are part of the coalition that established separate priorities the elderly for the 1989 biennial, State Legislative biennial. The organization's primary activity is to conduct two meetings a year which we bring together a broad representation, a broad consensus, primarily of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Z

MUNCIE

PENGAD/INDY

individuals professionally engaged in service to the elderly, the State Aging network which involves the Office of Longterm Care, its people; The Division on Aging, its people; the area agencies on aging, and their people. A wide variety of practitioners, health practitioners, mental health practitioners, volunteers, and our activities are primarily policy-oriented. As we discuss issues, we have speakers that are related to the current issues in the field. Our April meeting will feature a concentration on the topic of the nursing home reimbursement systems and the plausibility of adopting in Arkansas the Resource Utilization Group

or RUG which is currently used by the states of New

York, Pennsylvania, and some others.

We don't have a legal emphasis in the sense that we could serve as a legal representative for someone with civil rights problems among the elderly in Arkansas. We do focus on problems that have a bearing on that. For instance, we have a advocacy effort this aimed changing Arkansas' quardianship year at We bring, various times, legislation. interests bear, although we don't have a focus -- civil rights -an orientation to that, you know. We generally work to the benefit of the elderly and the alleviation of their disabilities and problems, but, in essence, I think we

serve, but we do not -- we couldn't distinguish that as a civil rights issue.

A number of our individual members have been very active in given areas of working on problems for the elderly that have civil rights impact. But, I feel we serve and we serve very — with distinction and we have a bearing on civil rights, but we've not emphasized ourselves as a civil rights organization.

Do you have questions?

ago on Doctor Trevino-Richard. If there are areas that you were going to discuss and were afraid you'd be stepping on his toes, fear no longer because we just received word that he was not going to be able to come because of the bad weather. So, if you can address any area that you feel he might have addressed --

Anders grant and collected data in the summer of 1984 from 16 counties in central and southeast Arkansas. Our primary orientation was are blacks underserved, black elderly -- everything I'm going to say is about elderly -- are black elderly underserved as compared with whites; do blacks use services in different ways or for different reasons; are the occasions for the use of elderly services different for blacks than for

whites, and questions like that. Our data consisted of exactly 1,100 questionnaires taken from a stratified probability sample of those 16 counties. And later we adjusted any variations in the sampling so as to conform — by differentially weighting different components to conform to the census distribution of blacks and whites in five-year age groups. We feel our study is very representative.

Among the very many things we found was that in the counties we studied, blacks were not underserved. About the same proportion of blacks utilized services of the elderly as whites. We also found -- and the reasons for that were clear to us because we are very familiar with the area agency directors of both those areas. We both know very well Ms. Dixie Clark, the Central Area Agency on Aging, and Ms. Betty Bradshaw, the Southeast Arkansas Area Agency on Aging.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Those were the two areas that --

DOCTOR KRAIN: (Interposing) That we concentrated in. We did not get enough money to do the whole state. We would have liked that, but we concentrated in two areas. What we did find out was that there were a number of variations in conditions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under which services were used. For instance, we did find that out of the whites that used services for the elderly, were pretty much upscaled whites. They were sort of lower middle, middle-class whites. They were not the lowest socio-economic level whites that we found that were surveyed. The blacks on the other hand -- I'm sorry, I've got that reversed. What I just said is true for the whites -- for the blacks. That is, the blacks that tend to use services were upscaled, middle-class blacks. The poorest of the blacks did not use the services, and largely for problems of access. That's transportation problems, or knowledge of existence of the programs. The whites that used programs were pretty much the lowest socio-economic The middle, upper middle income whites did not use the programs. So, although they were underserviced, what we did find was that for various reasons, the whites who need the services most are getting them, probably because these people are at end of their ropes. These people have exhausted other opportunities or don't have other sources of help, Somehow they are limited to they go for it. network which provides them the services when they need them the most. The blacks who participated in the services are primarily the more robust, although not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

without health problems, the more robust and the more wealthy among the blacks. Apparently, their level of health, their level of economic resources provides them the opportunity to link into the system where blacks who are below that point apparently don't have that CARLES OF THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND Our recommendations on that was Clearly, what was most needed was the outreach. ability to reach these people rather than relying on their ability to claim services.

We don't know the extent to which that's a nationwide problem. We've not come across studies which collected the same data or data on precisely characterizing socio-economic levels, and health levels of whites and blacks who collect services. We planning to publish several articles on that point in Gerontological journals. But, that was one clear civil rights-related impact of our study was to identify There was a great deal of detail in descriptive findings which I can't recall in any accurate detail characterizing educational, financial, housing, living arrangements, differences between blacks and whites, at need levels, given levels of personal dependency. instance, are those who had a personal dependency -for instance, meals -- are blacks different in terms of financial and housing, you know, and a variety of other

services.

ت سنتاني ۾ تعيشت ۽ بيني، ۽ ۽

kinds of attributes than whites. There's a great deal of difficulty -- a great deal of detail, you know, things that don't add up uniformly across all categories and across all variables. But, we do know that the blacks are -- the blacks and whites who are utilizing services are really very different among themselves. Apparently, the AAA's have worked hard at assuring at least equal proportions in accessing these services. But, the conditions under which these services are accessed by blacks is different -- are

different under which the ones whites access these

Once the data was gathered, Terry and I tended to look at different things in the data. The factors related to the predictability of personal dependency, I've utilized analytic methods that tries to see if there are differences between blacks and whites and males and females, and white males and white females, and black males and black females. For instance, what are the factors that predict personal dependency? And what I found is that there are some similarities and some differences in particularly black males. The personal dependency of black males is defined by a different set of predictors than are all the other groups. For some reason, black males are

Ι

I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those who are dependent in terms of the different. needs for services are not well described by the same variables that well describe the other groups. I can submit to you a copy of that manuscript if you'd like. I'm presently revising it in hopes of publication. But ilin Park Problem Barrie de those are some of the findings of our study. What Doctor Richard could tell you that I can't is he's done a lot of work with Hispanics. believe he's the current president of LULAC. correct on that, Mr. Muldrow? MR. MULDROW: Deputy State Director.

DOCTOR KRAIN: Deputy State Director. I know, although he's done no classic academic research on that, he's probably the most active individual in that organization working with Hispanic elderly.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Is that numerically significant in Arkansas?

DOCTOR KRAIN: There are 16,000 Hispanic individuals identified in the census as Hispanic in the State of Arkansas. Something on the order of eight percent of those are elderly.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: they Are concentrated in areas, or are they widely dispersed throughout the state?

DOCTOR KRAIN: There is a large

in central Arkansas. There is a number of camps, one near Arkadelphia, which serve as service facilities for health problems and survival problems. There are primarily field workers. So, there is a place in Arkadelphia. I can't fully answer these questions because I'm not the one that's worked with that area.

I know of it from my association with Terry and I did serve at his behest a short stint on their Board of

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: You've given us far more than we'd anticipated simply by willing to talk about the work the two of you have done together. That was a real bonus.

Trustees. But I can't give you accurate --

MR. MULDROW: Do you know what he means by what he calls the Multiple Jeopardy Hypothesis?

DOCTOR KRAIN: Yes. There is a theme in the gerontology of minority groups that says that for each minority group you're in, you suffer additional problems. So, if you're elderly, you have problems. If you're female elderly, you have problems being elderly and female. If you're black female and elderly, you have three sources of problems. And Terry has been doing a lot of analysis on that. Some of that, I think he's planning to publish. Currently, in the academic literature on aging, there's a great deal

21

20

22

23

24

25

of attention to that, and it points out that this issue of multiple jeopardy is not uniformly true. There are some circumstances in which being two three minorities increases your problems and some conditions in which they don't. For instance, family relationships and degree of support from the family. Blacks and Hispanics get a lot more of that than whites Particularly being old, female and black predicts do. a good deal more supportiveness from the family system than does being black and white -- I mean being old and white. And the multiple jeopardy thing is one that has ended up by analyzing in what areas -in what categories is multiple jeopardy true and in connection with what categories it falls. It is not an overall theme in gerontology anymore as it was a decade or so ago when textbooks writers simply wrote that the more minorities you were, the worse off you were. He has analyzed health categories, he has analyzed financial categories. and some other categories that he's much better prepared on than I am.

## CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Questions?

MR. MULDROW: I'm just interested in perhaps why the -- let's see, what is the name of the association -- the Arkansas Gerontological Society is not more concerned with the civil rights area. It

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would seem to me that that would be an increasing concern as the --

DOCTOR KRAIN: I did not mean to imply that we were not concerned. What I did want to say was that the attention we have paid to Arkansas' elderly المؤلف المنتوب فيهال التعالى المراق المراق المراكبين والمجا المساور المدار hasn't been organized under the attack angle of civil rights. Our orientation is primarily educational and legislative. Even within legislative, our prime role has been provision of information. In Arkansas, the State Legislature doesn't get pushed around. You know, basically, tell them what the problems are, you convince them. Political scientists call it the soft lobbying. Even AARP, as I understand it, doesn't go off and say, "Vote for this or we put you out of office." They provide information. That's primarily what we do. We provide information, and I've been a good part of that personally at the University's data source. We have access to census records and studies that the gerontologists at the University have done. So, we've done a lot of that. The area agencies are organized to receive complaints that are more classically civil rights-oriented. We don't have offices in different parts of Arkansas, or we don't have -- we really don't have the ability to respond on a case basis. If I were to find out that an older

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

person had a serious violation of civil rights against him, we don't have the attorneys and we don't have case workers. We would probably report that to the area agency, or we would perhaps be able to resources, or we would be able to locate practitioners But, we have never been well enough to assist. financed to constitute an action agency, you see. isn't to say we won't in the future. I mean, the civil rights issue -- it's my feeling that the policy in the future for the elderly is going to become one of much less -- much lower levels of Federal provision. is, we're going to look at cutbacks in Medicare, we're going to look at increasing costs for the elderly. And it's my feeling that the future of policy for elderly will be better approached by civil rights issues or the civil rights angle of attack on issues. Older people are living longer and it looks like Medicare and Medicaid will be providing less. The questions about who gets what will lead into civil rights issues.

At the recent meeting of the Gerontological Society in San Francisco, there was the question of rationing medical care, who gets medical care when it gets increasing expensive, and the ability to pay becomes less and less. Groups of people

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to be excluded from medical care. Or, let me say that policy-makers are going to be considering groups of people as eligible for exclusion, and I think that may have a civil rights angle. We may get into that. But I didn't mean to say that we were neglectful of it. It's just not the way we're organized.

MR. MULDROW: A question I should have asked earlier, are there State statutes that deal with discrimination on the basis of age?

DOCTOR KRAIN: There are. While I was on the Attorney General's ad hoc committee for nursing home reform, we did tangle with the wording of statute just like you're saying, what categories should it include, what categories should it exclude. We I heard you ask Mr. Von Egmond worked on this. rights to alcohol question. The way that evolved we were considering the wording of a State Bill Rights for nursing home residents, and the one that was drafted included a very long list of rights. I'm trying to remember the wording of it but it was worded very innocuously to the point that if someone wanted a glass of wine in the evening, you know. It certainly wasn't worded, you know, if you want to get sloshed, you got a right to do that. It was worded very, very innocuously. There's a strong belief among the elderly

in this state, and I'm sure everywhere, that one or two drinks in the evening is good for them. I come across this continuously. I don't know the facts about that, but I do know that when my father was alive, his doctor proposed that a shot of bourbon every night was good for his circulation, his nerves, and all that sort of thing. And that was the intent of the item in the Bill of Rights was that somebody who believes that would have a right to that. That was about eliminated by several representatives' oral objections to that.

MR. MILWEE: Well, the fact that it's not in there doesn't mean that somebody that's in a nursing home, if they want to go out and buy a bottle of whiskey and have a drink, I mean, to come along and say, "You can't do that."

DOCTOR KRAIN: I disagree. I almost think it's the opposite. The level of restrictiveness in most nursing homes is great enough such that a nurse who thinks that the person shouldn't be drinking -- if she should find a bottle -- would eliminate it. And in most nursing homes, alcohol would not be served as a voluntary action of the nursing home itself. Now, I'm not advocating drinking in nursing homes, but I wanted to respond. The level of restrictiveness in nursing homes is quite great.

for

25

MR. MILWEE: Yes, that concerns me, the overall level of restrictiveness, and I happen to be among those who think taking a little nip now and then is not bad. I don't think that's an irrelevant or trifling consideration. It's particular symptomatic of a whole sort of atmosphere. DOCTOR KRAIN: Yes. MR. MULDROW: Thank you very Doctor Krain. Thank you again DOCTOR KRAIN: inviting me. MR. MULDROW: Our Chair has stepped out. I wonder if we could take a five-minute break at this point.

MR. PATTESON: Doctor Thompson, we appreciate you being here. I'm sorry we've held you up while we took a break.

As I understand it you are the head of the Department of Gerontology at the University of Arkansas, Little Rock?

DOCTOR THOMPSON: That's correct.

MR. PATTESON: We are happy to have you with us.

DOCTOR THOMPSON: I was looking at your agenda. You've had quite an audience of speakers, at least. I am almost reluctant to start for fear I'll repeat too much. And, if I do, you can say, "We've already hear that," and I'll go on.

## STATEMENT BY DOCTOR PERRY THOMPSON:

The issues of Civil Rights in the aging and representing, of course, the University with a sort of a mission of education, a mission of research and a commission of community service we become involved at a variety of levels in the idea of Civil Rights. The rights to education, of course, the rights to accessibility is a a big one on campus. We have committees on campus that do deal with the rights of, for instance, the disabled students to not only reach the

classroom but also have accessibility to all of the services that are available on our campus, libraries and so on.

It would be nice if the Civil Rights extended to our senior citizens in those areas as well. Colleges have been largely, to our older generation, something out of their reach, not out of their belief field because they have very effectively, I think supported the universities with their taxes and they've sent their children with more enthusiasm, I think, than the children have.

Arkansas is, I think, a step ahead of many states in the United States by offering senior citizens over sixty tuition free education from the state universities. It is a benefit that I don't think a lot of people know about and certainly it follows, in my mind, into the field of rights of people to make use of and take advantage of those services which a community extends to its citizens. Beyond its hospitals, beyond its nutrition programs beyond its transportation systems is an educational system as well. This speaks to a changing definition of what the whole aging process is to us and what it means to us.

We are beginning to pay the price in our society, and will continue to pay the price over the

coming decades for improving our health and our medical standards since the turn of the century. The price that we pay is that of increasing longevity for increasing numbers of our senior citizens, for increasing numbers of the population.

We have reached a point in time in which a child that was born today can expect to grow old. We have reached a new point in time in which we expect to die in old age. And with that, death has become no to random, not capricious but rather predictable and expected and associated with old age. This has raised for us a number of issues which typically clarify and discuss under the verbiage of ethics, of our policy, rather than the verbiage of Civil Rights. I think I would like to mix those ferrules just a little bit this afternoon.

We have begun to calculate the price factor of that longevity in the arenas of economics, the cost of survival has caused us to call into question the cost of equity. Equity in the distribution of income over a life span, equity of the distribution of health delivery systems, of housing, of housing choice and, most certainly of independence. Independence is a rather ambiguous value in American society. I happen to believe that it is rather a contaminated one. It is almost a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pathology. People in the field of aging have found time and time again people, older clients, who will stubbornly remain independent to the point of their own death.

Somewhere in our society we need to break down that barrier. We are not independent. We are dependent on many others in order to make it through life, and I think we have to work on that particular value.

But we do the calculations of the cost longevity in vocabulary terms beyond ethics and moral terms and beyond that even of Civil Rights by becoming economic about it. Can we afford this new thing that is happening to us and the choices? We were discussing just a little bit earlier about whether or not you can partake of alcohol in the nursing home. That is one that fraught with economic consequences as much as it is with ethical and moral consequences. The cost to society of use and substance abuse, for instance, and the rights society to make controls becomes a continuing issue that we discuss and arque about. How we determine available choices, and our values then those automatically rule out some of those choices, will have a profound effect on our social and political policies. seems to me that it falls into the realm of Civil Rights for the elderly and for all of us, to assert that if life is to be extended then it is not merely to prolong a

life of infirmities but to extend a life of vigor and of vitality. This involves, I think the Commission and a discussion of the Civil Rights of persons with respect, particularly in the areas that I am interested in, and there are others and you've heard them all today.

But the choice of medical treatment, for instance, or the right to not choose, even the right to un-choose a medical treatment once instituted. The issues of euthenasia, mercy killing, even of suicide come to the fore. Protection of the rights of choice is a touchy issue.

Certainly protection of the rights of access to services for the elderly without discrimination on the bases of race, or sex, as well as age, is paramount. Increasingly, Civil Rights Commissions will have to address the rights of the elderly in having those services. They will need to survive and survive well. Housing choices, involve a continuum of care choice. We have a right to have those services and it costs money to provide those services.

Do we have a right to have health services that are aimed at rehability and wellness plans as opposed to health services that simply patch us up after we become ill or debilitated.

Do we have rights to an economic or

pension system that insures a living? This is an especially critical issue for older women who, because of the way in which the laws are now written, older women receive fifty percent of their husbands' retirement pensions upon their husbands' death if they receive that much. It doesn't cost an older woman any less to live than it costs an older, single male to live in a year's time. We do discrimination in our pension systems on this basis if only one, in the amount of survival benefits available to males as opposed to females.

The older woman's plight as that of a single survivor seems almost a guarantee of poverty in our society. It is an issue which I think the Civil Rights Commission needs to address.

There is additionally another specific issue in which the developments of aging and longevity has led us, the area of adult and even older adult mentally retarded and developmentally disabled persons. This extends to the issue of care giving because the care givers are necessarily even older parents as well as the siblings of these disabled persons.

Care giving is not rewarded in our society. Care giving is a sacrifice that burns out the soul of the person giving that care and leaves them without economic compensation, not even tax credits that

could equal the savings to society of their dedicated time.

Bear in mind the family is not dead in our society. It continues to care. It continues to provide, I think you've been told already several times today, a majority of services, eighty-five percent of the services prior to institutionalization of the elderly. The family does live together in different ways than it did in the past. But, it is still there and it still needs our support.

One issue is that in the demography the sheer number numbers of caregivers are not there. We are looking at an older generation today who had their children or bore their children during the depression. One of the consequences of depressions is that people have fewer children. So, when we look at the older generation today and we say, "Where is their family? Why aren't they being taken care of by their family?" Because the family isn't there. They simply aren't there. In numbers they are gone or there never were. They didn't have the children to be here today to take care of them. This is not an issue that is going to go away with the depression generation. We've got a baby boom coming through by the baby boomers have small families so no one is going to be there to take care of

5

س سروق تخيسه کي

1

2

3

4

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the baby boomers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Z 2 2 2

We are going to have to address again the rights of equity for our older citizens who will be increasing vastly in numbers over what they are even today, by some estimates even a trebling of the current, present numbers of elderly.

The smaller family unit means essentially that the vulnerable and the frail, whether they are due to the aging process, to disease processes, or accidents, becomes an at risk population. At risk for poverty, at risk for inadequate services, and especially for inappropriate housing relocation.

department was recently granted a research grant to review the needs of the family caregivers of the older adult, mentally retarded and developmentally disabled person in Arkansas. Our first task is to find them and it is a formidable order. Ιt seems that the mentally retarded and mental disabilities network and the aging network don't talk to each other. We all have our separate networks. So, someone who is growing old and someone who is growing old as developmentally disabled person, needs someone who can front for them not with just one bureaucracy but at least two bureaucracies and probably many more. In some respects I see that as a Civil Rights issue. Our society

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

ž

MUNCIE.

PENGAD/INDY

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

does not protect our citizens who are frail and vulnerable in a very adequate way.

I think the Civil Rights of these persons need to be also given consideration and again in the whole litany of areas which have been addressed, very adequately, I'm sure, earlier in the day in terms employment, in terms of adequate income, in terms of protection and pension rights and in terms of protection for housing and their sense of choice.

The field of aging, finally, I think has a rather formidable task in defining what we will permit to be addressed as Civil Rights. And as I sat trying to think of what the Civil Rights issues would be for aged, I find that in our work at the department we have been somehow remiss in using it as a category that sort of defines everybody that has reached a certain age.

There are well to do elderly, there are elderly and poor who are in poverty. There are elderly who are not only exceedingly literate but they exceedingly creative and productive. And, there are elderly who cannot read. I think basically what we have to do is identify inside of those categories a series rights that would, in effect, adhere to a much narrower series of spectrums rather than whitewash the whole area. I hope I wasn't able to do that in the short period of time that I realized that I didn't know the answer. I certainly have something to go think about now.

Any questions?

MR. PATTESON: I'll make one comment. I'm sorry I didn't recognize you earlier when we were talking. The minute you started talking I remembered your appearances in July at the smaller group that we were talking about.

I would like to personally thank you because you just have made money for me. I'm an occasional scholar and take a course now and again at the Arkansas State University and I did not know, since I became sixty in November, that I now can go free.

DOCTOR THOMPSON: Well, you'll have to prove it. You'll have to take your drivers license or birth certificate.

MR. PATTESON: Oh, that's no problem, that's no problem. I don't think they will question me.

The other is I would like very much if you, I know you probably are submitting that, but I would like it if you would personally jot down for me that line, that caregiving burns out the one, whatever, that particular sentence I would like very much to have.

DOCTOR THOMPSON: I'll give you this.

It's on computer, sir, and it is reproducible.

MR. PATTESON: Questions?

MR. MULDROW: You've touched very nicely on what you see to be the rights or civil rights of older Americans. In connection with this, of course, our special interest is that of discrimination, things that happen to older people because of their age, age discrimination. There is also, of course, there are areas of discrimination involved here, racial and ethnic, administration of justice kinds of things are also involved, voting rights. There are many things that touch within our areas of concern.

Doctor Crane mentioned one very specific area which rang a bell with me which seems to be clearly a concern in our society now and one, as he indicated, that is going to become even more acute as the older population grows, that is a denial to older persons of resources or services available to the public at large because of their age. He mentioned, for example, medical treatment or medical resources.

Would you elaborate any views you might have on this area of concern, especially as it might be prevalent, a prevalent concern in our society now, not only in the area of medical technology and resources available but in other areas where this might be a concern.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DOCTOR THOMPSON: Well, certain I, just thinking in terms of work that I have done with what I would consider to be a vulnerable population, those that people call in about, neighbors call in, they call the police, that sort of thing. Because of age, I see a tendency on the part of society to take someone who acting abnormally, to automatically assign any abnormal behavior to dementia and automatically incarcerate or institutionalize that person. We are victims of society, I think, of some subterranean, bad, Freudianism. Freud didn't think older people were capable of responding to psychoanalysis, of being too set in their ways.

So, we tend to, because of underlying cultural value, not attempt rehabilitation on the elderly, especially mental rehabilitation.

MR. MULDROW: Whereas you would with younger people?

DOCTOR THOMPSON: Wе would. Automatically, automatically we would help, we would offer intervention kinds of services. The elderly simply are institutionalized or they don't receive help. this is a patter that I see repeated over and over again, not only from the society on the outside but we see it repeated inside the mental institutions by medical staff, and psychological by psychiatric staff persons

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

themselves. So, it is a fairly ingrained kind of an attitude.

Another area where I see discrimination is in the treatment of sort of marginal people or basket pushers, bag ladies. They are old. They are also and the first state section in the section of the s victims, in many cases, multiplicity of a disabilities, both mental and physical. And, we sort lay in wait for them, in effect, wait for them to cross the line of some public consciousness and then again they are incarcerated. In this case, gentlemen, jail is our method of treatment.

part and a violation of civil rights. Of course, then you have the lady who is on the grates of New York City who exercised here Civil Rights to the great dismay and to the great public display over the whole United States. If she wanted to defecate in an alley and live on a grate, there was nothing the mayor could do about it. So in some ways I think we've had a great consciousness raising in this area.

In the area of people who rent housing. I find that older people are very much more vulnerable than young people to evictions. For whatever reason these people don't pay rent or become unable to pay their rents, the law is not evenly applied to people. I see

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

156 age as a major variable in this respect. As consequence I see older persons, because they are faced with fewer choices, doing and behaving in ways that become guite disfunctional, unhealthy to themselves as well as unhealthy to people around them. MR. MULDROW: Your testimony has been very interesting.

DOCTOR THOMPSON: The Police Departments are quite sensitive to reading Mirandas, you know, rights as a general rule but they don't really help older people.

further MR. PATTESON: Are there any questions.

MR. ROSENZWEIG: This is not bearing on Civil Rights but has there ever been any study, programs retarded, young, physically say, where, individuals who need the love and attention and can do those care things are employed taking care of elderly who need care, who need love, and who are in that position. We take cats and dogs and things to some of the elderly. Has that approach ever been tried?

DOCTOR THOMPSON: We have done it with the the foster grandparents program, for very young, instance, does take emotionally and developmentally disabled children and uses older persons as sort of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

giving the tender, loving care for those children. This works at this age.

We, again in the areas of disability, developmental disability and mental retardation we shut them off. They turn twenty-one and all the rules change in our society. At twenty-one I don't care if you are developmentally six or three or nine if you are chronologically twenty-one you are an adult. It's not right. We've got a lot of people out there not receiving the kind of attention that they need.

What the research is working at on project is we have people who have been, as adults, been cared for by their older parents. Their parents are now in their eighties. We have sixty year old developmentally disabled persons. Parents are assuming that they are going to be able to put their children into nursing homes should anything happen to them. And they are getting, at eighty-five or eighty years old, getting close to that point.

We are going to pass a law, in fact we have in Arkansas, that those people, developmentally disabled cannot be placed or mentally retarded cannot be placed in nursing homes. Those are for the elderly only. We don't have any place else for them. We are setting up a situation, as when we discharged many of our sort of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stabilized emotionally distressed persons, we are going to have a bunch of others who will become street people, if we are not careful.

If we could, and I'm not sure how well this would work. I'm sure that there have been 公共安徽 海原节点 attempt to do this, combine housing. But again we back to that situation of networks. Networks don't talk to each other. So, the people working for mentally regarded and developmentally disabled don't talk to the housing people who have housing for the elderly. The idea of putting them both in the same kind of house would require -- see, it's probably not going to save us any money. This is what happens. If it's not going to save us any money, it is going to require a lot of staff supervision to pull it off, to make people's lives well and whole like that. It's going to require a lot of trained personnel whom we don't have yet. It would be just a lot easier to build another building and stick them in it and keep them all separated, you know, where we could do meals at certain hours and do laundry at certain hours, and do showers at certain hours and be That's cost effective. done with it. Ιt inhumane but that is the way we do it.

I am incidentally going at the end of this month at the invitation of the National Developmental

be done.

Disabilities Commission to the University of Miami to attend the opening of a new facility for aging and the developmentally disabled. It's one of the, I'm told, one of three sites, and this is the third, so there have only been two of these in the United States. I'm hoping to learn enough not to re-invent the wheel in Arkansas when we go down. But there is a lot of work in that area to

Again this is sort of like we woke in surprise the other day and found out, gee, we've got all these people over sixty coming into the aging network who used to be in the developmental, in the DDMR network. Then we discovered that most of them weren't even in that network because they were being taken care of by family. All of a sudden now they are beginning to fall out because the family is not there. Nobody knows what to do. That's almost, you know, that's just almost too grotesque a Civil Rights violation, you know, that our society doesn't plan for our citizens.

MR. MULDROW: Did you say that mentally disabled persons are not eligible for nursing home programs?

DOCTOR THOMPSON: We are going to have to -- they were -- we are in the process of removing them from nursing homes, too, yes. See, the first thing we

did is we took them out of the State hospitals and nursing homes became more in the nature of repositories for the stabilized mentally ill. Basically it is a problem of keeping medication coming into them at prescribed intervals and they basically have demonstrated they are not able to do that on their own.

MR. MULDROW: But aren't there many mentally disabled persons in nursing homes, people with Alzheimer's Disease and such?

DOCTOR THOMPSON: Well Alzheimer's disease, yes, if you get into a nursing home before you get it they essentially will keep you and take care of you. But, if you try to get admitted into a nursing home as an already full blown Alzheimer patient, you're going to find a very tough entrance procedure. You will be barred from probably most of them. There aren't a half a dozen facilities in the State of Arkansas that have any kind of staff and programs designed for caring for Alzheimer's victims.

MR. PATTESON: That is considered custodial care rather than acute care, is it?

DOCTOR THOMPSON: Yes. But this is custodial care. This is super custodial care. These people wander. You know, the kind of thing that they normally do if people get up at 2:00 o'clock in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

morning is resolve the matter with sleeping pills, sort of chemically immobilize them. That's a violation of Civil Rights if you want to get involved in what goes on in medication in nursing homes.

But you can't do that with Alzheimer's victims. Drugs work very differently, and bizarrely and sometimes change from one month to the next. So, if they are up at 2:00 o'clock in the morning, you've got to leave them up. In effect, your whole treatment program has to be different so it is a very much rearranged program of care for that person and requires special means and special facilities as well as special staff.

No, they are not talking about discharging They are talking about discharging someone that person. who is fifty-five years old that has spent probably, on a rotating basis, fifty percent of his last adult twenty years going in and out of State hospitals, stabilized on a drug regime as a schizrophenic coming then gradually out paranoid and going the medications, manic depressing type of things, and then cycling, because they are not taking the drugs, cycling back into behavior that gets them rearrested back through the course, back through a hospital treatment system and out.

MR. MULDROW: Well, that's an interesting

area because there is, you know, discrimination on the basis of disability is clearly prohibited in certain Federal statutes at least. The programs or institutions receive Federal money so it's hard for me to see how that is not a violation of Federal statutes.

DOCTOR THOMPSON: In my experience it is routinely done and maybe in those respects it would be a major mission to sort of educate the public, or certainly the service delivery public, on what the Civil Rights are of these various categories of professionals.

MR. PATTESON: Well, thank you again very much.

MR. PATTESON: We were supposed to have representative Erma Hunter Brown who, incidentally, is a former member of this committee. She came by a short while ago and had another commitment and left a prepared a statement. I ask Mr. Muldrow if he would read it into the transcript, please.

## STATEMENT OF IRMA HUNTER BROWN: (As read by Mr. Muldrow)

MR. MULDROW: I'm just going to read this statement into the record without comment. I will read it for the benefit of all of us at this point because we are scheduled later to have Senator Travis Miles from the

\_

Senate Committee on Aging and Legislative Concerns. I thought it might be helpful background for us as we hear him and present him for questions.

"When we talk about discrimination against elderly persons, we are referring to things that inhibit their quality of life.

In July, 1988, a special session of the Arkansas legislature sought to address issues concerning the treatment of people in nursing homes. Legislation that was passed, called nursing home reform legislation, was initiated by the Quality of Care Task Force of the Joint Interim Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Labor.

Primary nursing home legislation that came from that session dealt with the following issues:

- 1. A receivership procedure for temporary operation of a long-term care facility when emergency conditions exist within the nursing home of substantial habitual violations have occurred (Act 3 and Act 13).
- 2. Amendments to the adult abuse report law and child abuse reporting law to require reports of abuse of long-term care facility residents to be made immediately to the sheriff for investigation (Act 5 and Act 15).
  - 3. Requirements for long-term care

facilities to post for sixty days on the inside of the front door a 'Notice of Violation' when certain violations occur (Act 16).

4. The Omnibus Long-Term Care Reform Act of 1988 includes a residents' bill of rights and other provisions to protect nursing home residents (Act 17).

Nursing home legislation is continuing during the current Regular Session of the legislature.

Identical bills have been introduced in both houses pertaining to making harassment of a long-term facility resident a crime, to make offensive contact with a resident a crime, to prevent photographing long-term care residents.

Bills are under consideration relating to Medicaid payments to rural hospitals.

We all know that civil rights and economic rights cannot be separated. Bills are currently in the legislature to reduced the number of persons who must pay income taxes by some two hundred sixty thousand; persons with low incomes would be exempt from paying. Proposals are also before us to exempt food from sales tax. The Homestead Property Tax Relief Act was passed several years ago, and it continues to provide economic assistance for elderly persons.

In 1987, we passed a law permitting

عادية التناسكة الواجهة

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enhancement to the next higher classification for crimes which involved physical injury or threat of physical injury to persons aged sixty or older. Act 17 of that same year recognized not only grandparents' visitation rights, but great-grandparents visitation rights as well.

These, of course, are but a few of the laws on the books that pertain to elderly persons. These laws may not always pertain directly and immediately to civil rights legislation, but they do enhance civil rights by enabling the elderly to live with greater dignity and more enjoyment.

The elderly in Arkansas comprise a sizable minority of our population. The percentage of elderly in our state's population is second only to the state of Florida. Retirement villages are in several areas of the state.

But, as important as the laws are that are the books, as large a percentage of the total population as the elderly are, a lot of the same black-white problems may flourish in the elderly population as in the general population. Discrimination against the black elderly is still a problem. The black elderly do not live in retirement villages, do not all go to the country club on Sundays, and are not all faced with having to decide between whether to serve white or

red wine to their dinner guests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Discrimination exists in Arkansas against certain of our people. Growing old is difficult regardless of one's race. It is worse if you are black. if you black worse yet are a woman. Anti-discrimination laws do not totally address problem, although they do help. There is less overt, blatant discrimination now against black working people than there was a generation ago; and it's because of the black leadership in American that pushed for passage of civil rights legislation under which the problems could be addressed.

The discrimination that exists now is different. It shows in the form of geerymandered legislative districts that were designed to dilute black voting strength. It shows in the form of welfare laws that prevent families in poverty staying together, weakening the family structure of those families. It shows in an elderly person not being able to afford the medicine she needs.

As a state, as a legislative body, as human beings, we need to be sensitive to civil rights concerns of older persons. We need to be vigilant in guarding and protecting the civil rights of others, lest we find our own civil liberties curtailed."

24

25

And this is from Representative Irma Hunter brown of the Arkansas State Legislature.

Well, I guess at this MS. PATTESON: stage of the game we can take another break.

- - -MR. MULDROW: Our next scheduled speaker is, what 3:55.

MR. PATTESON: 3:55, is he aware of that time.

MR. MULDROW: Yes.

MR. PATTESON: Okay.

MR. MULDROW: But there was one speaker, Ms. Mala Daggett this morning who asked to be put on the agenda for the afternoon.

MR. PATTESON: We'll take a recess until the next speaker arrives.

(A short break ensued)

الميها والمراج المناج والمراج والمراج والماتين والمناج المراجعة

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: We will just turn it over

to you.

SENATOR MILES: Okay.

## STATEMENT OF SENATOR TRAVIS MILES:

Well, I don't really have anything formal to say, and I apologize for the fact that I had work to do out at the Capitol today, and could not come and sit in on the rest of it. I understand that some of your people have left, and I understand that you had some cancellations because of the weather, and I ask you all to understand that I'm about to lose my voice, so just kind of bear with me.

what I thought that I might be able to contribute that would be different from what other people might have brought to this forum, would be some of the things that we have done over the last couple of years in the Arkansas General Assembly, and some of the things that we are looking at doing in this session of the legislature with respect to older Americans.

I don't -- You know, there's no particular order to some of these things, but let me just kind of ramble through them, and I'll try not to take a great deal of time.

But those of you who live in Arkansas,

are aware of the fact that the Arkansas General Assembly has been looking very carefully over the last two years, since the 1987 session, at a number of things having to do with the operation of nursing homes in Arkansas.

The results of the second second

I did not identify myself for the record and perhaps should. I'm Senator Travis Miles from Fort Smith. I have served in the Arkansas General Assembly since 1981, and I am Chairman of the Senate Aging and Legislative Affairs Committee, which does deal with most of the legislation that affects older Arkansans.

This is coming to be kind of a major thing in our State, because I think that we are now second only to Florida, in the percentage of our population that is over 65 years of age.

We have looked at two different Bills, one in the interim session where we dealt with some -- a special session, I should say, where we dealt with some nursing home legislation, and again in this session, having to do with the rights of people in nursing homes.

Some of this legislation has been promulgated by Senator Mike Kinard, from Magnolia, who has a particular interest in this area of legislation, and then some of it has been promulgated by Attorney

General Steve Clark, who has short of taking upon himself to become an advocate of the rights of older Arkansans, and particularly with respect to those residing in nursing homes.

So, between the two of them, we have looked at two different versions of what has come to be properly called a Bill of Rights of older Arkansans and nursing home residents.

Let me just for the record, read portions of the Bill that we're considering right now, which is authored by, or sponsored, authored and sponsored by Senator Mike Bearden from Eastern Arkansas, but really is an outgrowth of some of Attorney General Clark's work.

And this Bill, Senate Bill 31, is entitled, "An Act to Amend Title 20, Chapter 10, of the Arkansas Code of 1987, to Prohibit the Photographing of a Resident in a Long Term Care Facility Without Consent and For Other Purposes," and it sort of reenacts the resident's Bill of Rights.

It says: "The Office of Long Term Care shall promulgate through rules and regulations, a resident's Bill of Rights, which must include provisions addressing each of the following, as a minimum statement of residents rights.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Carrier Spiritual Carrier

"The Office of Long Term Care may place restrictions or limitations on any right listed below when such is necessary to protect the health, welfare or safety of the resident, or other residents.

the right "One, to exercise all constitutional and legal rights; two, the right to a safe and clean environment; three, the right to dignity and respect; four, the right to nursing and medical care; five, the right to personal cleanliness; six, the right to choose, at their own expense, a personal physician and pharmacist; seven, the right to have knowledge and input into medical treatment records and plan of care; eight, the right to refuse experimental treatment; nine, the right to confidentiality medical records; ten, the right to be free from abuse, or physical or mental for involuntary seclusion, and any physical or chemical restraints imposed for purposes of discipline convenience, and not required to treat the resident's medical symptoms.

"Restraints may be imposed only to ensure the physical safety of the resident or other residents, and only upon the written order of a physician, that specifies the duration and circumstances under which the restraints are to be

used, except for emergency conditions, until such an order could reasonably be obtained.

"Eleven, the right to exercise civil liberties, including the right to vote; twelve, the right to the free exercise of religion, including the rely on spiritual means for to treatment; thirteen, the right to privacy, including the right to refuse being photographed by persons other than those licensed under the Medical Practices Act, Arkansas Code 1793.201 to 1793.411, and any amendments thereto; fourteen, the right to personal clothing belongings; and fifteen, the right to personal financial information."

And, of course, you know, we might think that all of these things are given and understood, but there are those in the Arkansas General Assembly, and in other branches of Government, both the Executive and the Judiciary in Arkansas, that feel that these rights need to be enacted, and listed, and published.

I would like to keep this just totally informal, and if anybody wants to ask me anything about anything that we have here, then, you know, just please interrupt me and we will depart and enter into an open discussion.

We have a set of Bills that are being

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Considered right now in the Public Health, Welfare & Labor Committee, of the Senate, which I also happen to serve on, and they are causing a great deal of discussion, and there may even be some, you know, a little bit of political jockeying going on there between the Governor's office and the Attorney General's office.

But the initial package of Bills in our meeting this past Wednesday of the Public Health, Welfare & Labor Committee, only one was recommended out to pass, and I'm going to refer to it in a minute, along with those that are still under consideration and are subject to being amended and changed.

The one that we did recommend out to pass this past Wednesday, simply enacts or codifies the right of a nursing home resident to rescind long term care contracts, and just to read the key part of it very briefly:

"For a 14 day period, beginning on the date of entry into a long term care facility, the resident shall have the right to rescind any contractual obligation into which he has entered, and receive a full refund of any monies transferred to the facility.

"If the resident entered the facility

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and received some benefit therefrom, the charges of the services provided shall be prorated and payment made only for the benefits conferred."

The ones that we have not recommended out, have to do, the first one with, in the case of any kind of physical or mental abuse, kind of any wrongdoing to a resident of a nursing home, that Office of Long Term Care cites the individual for, and maybe there's even prosecution involved, then in the case of a similar or like circumstances occurring within the next five year period, this proposed Bill, Senate Bill 180, also by Senator Bearden, would create a rebuttable presumption of guilt against the official of the long term care facility. That is, the owner, any Board member, anyone in a management capacity with the nursing home.

That is, you know, a pretty serious step, and so we're looking at that a little closer.

In other words, as far as the rules of evidence are concerned, it would just simply -- if it happens once and then it happens again within a five year period -- create a presumption of guilt until proven innocent, in laymen's terms.

So, you can see that is a pretty serious step.

Senate Bill 181, which is also being considered, would require that operators of nursing homes provide and record with the County Clerk in a given County, the following information, and the best way to go over it is just for me to read it very quickly, but these papers would have to be filed with the County Clerk in the given county where the nursing home was operating, and would include the name and business address of the facility and a statement as to whether the facility is a partnership, corporation, or other type of legal entity.

Also, the names and business addresses of the officers, directors, trustees, managers, or any general partners, any person having a five percent or greater equity or beneficial interest in or of the facility, and a description of each person's interest and their occupation with the facility.

A statement as to whether the facility or any of its officers, directors, trustees, partners, or managers, prior to the date of application:

(a) has ever been convicted of a felony, or a crime, that if committed in Arkansas, would be a felony, or any crime having to do with the provision of continuing care or long term care; has ever been held liable or enjoined in a civil action by a final

judgment, if the civil action involved fraud,
embezzlement, fraudulent conversion or misappropriation
of property; had a discharge from bankruptcy or was
found insolvent in any Court action within the last ten
years; has had any State or Federal licenses or permits
suspended or revoked or had any State, Federal, or
industry self-regulatory agency commence an action
against him and the result of the action within the
last ten years;

Or (e) had any Class A or B violations pursuant to this chapter -- those are in the Office of Long Term Care regulations -- within the last five years; a statement as to whether the facility is or has ever been affiliated with religious, charitable, or other non-profit organization, nature of that affiliation, if any, and the extent to which the affiliated organization will be responsible for the financial and contract obligations of the facility; the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, if any, under which the provider affiliate is exempt from payment of income tax; location and description of the physical property.

The disclosure statements will clearly state which services are included in basic care contracts for long term care, and which services are

available at or by the facility at extra charge.

A description of all fees required by the residents, including the entrance fee, and periodic changes, if any.

The description shall include the manner in which the facility may adjust periodic charges or other recurring fees, and the limitations on the adjustments, if any.

A copy of the standard form or forms of contracts used by the facility, which contain the minimum requirements of this Chapter for long term care contracts, are to be attached as an exhibit to each disclosure statement.

Now, assuming that we pass this Bill into law, as I suspect that we will, it and an accompanying Bill will require that these papers be filed for obtaining a license, for relicensing the facility, and then also, will just be filed in the County Courthouse in the Clerk's office publicly, for anyone contemplating entering a nursing home, or any family member of anyone contemplating entering a nursing home, to review, and hopefully to compare and perhaps even make a decision regarding which home that they might enter, based on this information.

So, these are some of the things that

we're looking at in this session of the Arkansas General Assembly, that take this whole business of long term care, nursing home care, a step further than we, in terms of regulation, that we have ever gone in Arkansas, or than the Federal Government requires, or that the State law in Arkansas has ever before required.

I would expect -- and that's not nearly all of them, I mean here are several more. I would expect that in some form, these Bills will all be enacted into law.

We've got one here that provides a degree of punishment for anyone found to be guilty of such things against nursing home residents, as what it defines in Chapter 11 as offensive physical contact or offensive touching, offensive language, in paragraph 12, offensive gestures, any harassment of residents of long term care facilities, and it just goes on and on.

I don't know how some of these things may compare with what's going on in other States, but there are certainly a step beyond anything that we have ever done in Arkansas, as I said awhile ago.

There are a couple of concurrent resolutions that have been introduced by Senator Kinard from Magnolia, that I think might be of interest to you

. / 40

all and those who review this data.

requests the Department of Human Services, the Arkansas State Department of Human Services, to continue the study of nursing home reimbursement methodology as it relates to public evaluation of quality of care provided in nursing facilities.

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 3, acknowledging the continuum of care for citizens of this State and indicating support for information, dissemination on the continuum of care through the Department of Services County offices.

What some of these things will do is finally get it around to what is being done in some other States, where the Office of Long Term Care, the Department of Human Services, or its equivalent in other States, will actually finally be, I suppose, classifying nursing homes, and as to the level of care beyond the traditional methods of classifying them, and you know, I suppose finally get around to what would amount to a preferred providers list.

This, per se, is not our objective, or the objective of any of the sponsors of this legislation, but it is certainly the direction that it appears to me that it's going.

Any questions that anybody has got on any of this material so far? I'd just like to see it read into the record and -
CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Are you optimistic that all of that will pass?

SENATOR MILES: I'm optimistic that all of it will pass.

Now, we gave, "we" being the members of the committee, and this again being the Public Health & Welfare & Labor Committee, on Wednesday, gave the sponsor of the Bills -- Senator Bearden -- a kind of a hard time, I guess you would say -- and of course, Attorney General Clark was with him at the committee meeting.

We heard testimony from both older Arkansan advocacy groups, and also from the nursing home industry, and like I say, we gave the authors of the Bills a pretty hard time, but not because of what the Bills propose to do, but just very frankly, they were rather poorly written, I guess is the way I'd have to express it.

So, they are going to get together.

That is, "they" being the Human Services Department and
the authors of these Bills, are going to get together
and work out some of those language problems, and

again, quite frankly, and I don't want to get into a political discussion but there is a certain amount of that going on in this thing, and very frankly, we've got to get the Governor's office together with the Attorney General's office, and work out some of the things, you know, that have political ramifications in these Bills.

I know those of you that live in Arkansas, are well aware of the fact that our Attorney General proposes to run for Governor, and our Governor may well propose to run for Governor again, and so these are some of the things that we are dealing with.

But the fact of the matter is that a great deal of attention has been caused to be focused on what had become not exactly a model nursing home industry in the State of Arkansas, and at least we are dealing with those things.

So, you know, they may not pass in the exact form in which they are presently written, but some form will pass, and it will be a further tightening of the regulations governing the operation of the nursing homes.

Have you all talked today about Arkansas ABLE?

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: We had a

terresentative from ABLE.

.22

SENATOR MILES: Okay, great. You know, that's one of the -- These Bills represent, I suppose, some of the dirty laundry, but we've got some things that are going on in Arkansas that we can really point to with pride, and that ABLE organization project is doing an outstanding job.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: The Director for ABLE was here ..

SENATOR MILES: That's one of the things that we are proud of.

And I'm sure that everybody here is aware of our Silver Haired legislative sessions, each biannual, preceding the meeting of the Arkansas General Assembly, and very frankly, those have been very helpful in stimulating some thinking on the part of the members of the General Assembly about some of the things that older Arkansans really care about and really need.

I have got -- I would have to have it back, Mr. Muldrow, but I've got a summary of all the Acts and proceedings of the 1988 Silver Haired legislative session, and I know, at the very least, you all would find interesting reading.

Like I say, I'd have to have it back,

because it's the only copy that I've got, but if y'all would care to take it and make copies of it and review it later, I'll be happy for you to do so.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Is that proposed legislation, or is that what was passed?

الرسان المسالية التي العالمة السائل المسال التي يوالي المنظمة المنظمين في المنظمة المستعملية المستعملية

SENATOR MILES: Well, what they do, you know, they elect, what it amounts to, is a counterpart for each of us, from older retired Arkansans, and they meet in the Fall preceding our biannual legislative sessions, and they introduce Bills, and amend Bills, and vote on Bills, and everything just exactly like we do it.

Then their activities, their procedures, their Bills that passed and failed -- the Bills that have passed and the Bills that have failed, are turned over to us, and in a number of instances, have been picked up by legislators, introduced into the next session of the Arkansas General Assembly, and finally enacted into law.

Maybe not in the exact form, but you know, here's -- just opening this at random -- here's one by Robert Marsh and Willis LeGrand, Bill No. 14, entitled a Silver Haired Bill to allocate additional funding for alternatives to institutionalization, and it says simply that older people prefer to remain in

their homes.

Alternative services such as personal care in the home, respite care, adult day care, and home health, where appropriate, would enable them to stay in their homes, and prevent costly and unnecessary institutionalization. More trained aides, specialists, adult day care centers, and so forth, are needed to accomplish this adequately. We propose that an additional \$2-million be allowed for these much needed alternatives, thus eliminating institutionalization in many cases, and reducing the additional amount of dollars necessary for nursing home care.

Now, I can't tell you for sure that that precipitated a Bill that is currently before the Arkansas General Assembly, but I can tell you that there's a Bill very similar to that before the Arkansas General Assembly.

And so, you know, very possibly it may have been precipitated by this enactment in the Silver Haired legislative session.

This is, you know, I guess it's just a, I don't know any other way to say it, other than to say that I just think it's a tremendous thing that they do.

Now, here is one. This is Bill No. 22, by Marion Earl Crocker, and William Liebenech,

Commence of the second of the

.22

entitled, "A Silver Haireded Bill to Require Mandatory
Eye Examination Prior to Issuance of a Driver's License
or a Renewal."

It's a couple of paragraphs and I will read it quickly:

"Many persons are driving with defective vision. Driver's licenses are issued without eye examinations. Many persons procrastinate eye examinations for various reasons -- cost, fear of loss of driving privileges, and so forth.

"However, for the common good, as well as personal safety, such eye examinations would most certainly improve safety on the highways, as is now required in most States of our country.

"Equipment for such examinations can be provided each License Bureau, and funded by a minimal increase in license fees."

Assembly, authored by Representative Jerry Henshaw from Springdale, that does exactly this, if it's enacted, and I've got to believe that Jerry's, if not his inspiration, at least his decision to introduce the Bill, came out of this, because, quite frankly, Representative Henshaw, who has been a friend of mine for about 25 years, is no spring chicken himself.

I remember he ran for the United States Congress in 1964, and I remember he was 47 years old at the time, so whatever that adds up to -- 47 and 25, he's 72, I guess.

But you know, I mean, isn't that a wonderful thing to come out of the Silver Haired legislative session?

MR. MULDROW: Senator Miles, you have touched on quite a number of things that are of concern to us.

Of special interest within our area of responsibility and jurisdiction, is the matter of discrimination, and that is something that is done to or for older people, simply because of their ages.

For example here, employment discrimination we've touched on and what ABLE is doing in trying to rectify some of the problems in that area, and no more forced retirement for people who are older because of their age and no other reason.

Some of the other areas that have been touched on today, for example, in regard to this, the cancellation of automobile insurance at a certain age, regardless of any other reason, but simply an age factor alone, or the difference in the utilization, making use of services which are available to older

admit

and

from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people by black elderly and white elderly, which might relate to racial factors. Housing conditions, for example, are more common among -- or housing discrimination in getting housing, is another area that is mentioned with on the first on the first was the first with the first of regard to age. failure to accept or to The mentally disabled persons into nursing homes. The access to legal services by an older person for whatever reason. These are some of the kinds of things that have been come up in our discussions presentations today. Apparently, at the present time, what you're saying, it seems to me, is that the concern now is the rights of persons in nursing homes in setting some standards and guidelines for their operation. these other areas? SENATOR MILES: No.

Has the legislature dealt with any of I'm sorry to that we really haven't, and you know, I'll just write myself some notes on what you mentioned, these specific things. You know, unfortunately, to a great

extent, the things that you're talking about are moral issues that I'm not sure that we can ever legislate.

You know, I've got a lot of friends that have, without question, older friends that have been discriminated against in seeking employment, and you know, I've got to say that I really don't know what you do about it, because it's so simple for the prospective employer to deny that that was the case and disprove that was the case, yet we all know it goes on.

I don't know, and I suspect that the same thing would apply to the other specific areas that you mentioned, like housing and like legal services.

Unfortunately, to a great extent, there are moral issues that I don't know just exactly how you'd deal with.

It seems to me, and I have had some experience in this area over the years, that what has to happen is further legislation at the National level, and stricter enforcement of laws and regulations of laws that are on the books and regulations that have been promulgated.

There is no question but that, you know, we see greater discrimination against blacks than against whites, older blacks than against older whites, and I suspect that that's the case throughout the

United States, and not just in Arkansas, and not just in the south.

And again, you know, you come back to these things that these things are moral issues, and I don't know, you know, if people's hearts aren't right, I don't really know what you do about that.

I don't know how we can pass laws to make people do right, to make people do the right thing. I wish I did know how.

MR. MILWEE: One -- This probably is a little bit off the straight theme of civil rights, but one of the things that has been alluded to by a number of speakers, is the kind of impact of our regressive tax structure in this State, on, of course, anybody that's poor, and particularly elderly people, and one proposed remedy that I'm sure you have heard of, is the exemption of groceries, at least, from the sales tax.

Would you just comment on that?

SENATOR MILES: Sure, I would be glad to, I really would, because, you know, we're going through a situation in this legislative session, wherein we've been asked to provide a tax exemption for the race track at Oaklawn, and supposedly we're going to offset that with a proposed Income Tax Bill, and everybody knows that once those two proposals are law,

and I guess the Oaklawn Bill is law by now, I'm sure it was signed either last night or today, everybody thinks the Income Tax Bill will pass on Monday. It passed the House yesterday, and everybody thinks it will pass the Senate on Monday.

工 医乳苗状态 學者 连续 寶金

Everybody understands that the next step is that we're going to pass another penny's sales tax, and let me just say so that you will know where I'm coming from, I voted against the Oaklawn Bill and I voted against the Income Tax Bill, as much for the simple reason that when the time comes to vote on the sales tax Bill, I want to be able to vote against it, and to be able to do so in good conscience. In other words, I'm not going along with the program -- and I'm going to get around to your specific question in a minute.

The Income Tax Bill would take 260 or 270,000 Arkansans off the income tax rolls, and a good many of those I'm sure would be older Arkansans, because you know, certainly they would be lower income Arkansans.

I've got a real problem with it, because what you're really going to do with the people at the bottom end of the scale, is that you're going to give them a \$42.00 income tax break, and then you're going

that.

to turn around and you're going to pass a sales tax Bill that if they're living on \$7,000 a year and spending \$5,000 of it on, you know, the necessities of life that are taxable, you know, you're going to charge them another \$50.00 -- you're going to give them a \$42.00 tax break, and you're going to charge them \$50.00, and I've got a real problem with that. I've

So far, you haven't seen anything or heard anything said at any official or governmental level about exempting groceries, or the other way that we could give some help to older people and poor people, is exempting utilities from the sales tax.

got just a problem that I can't even express with doing

You've seen advocacy groups talk about those things, but you haven't seen anybody that is in a position to vote it up or vote it down, talk about it.

The only way that I could ever live with the additional penny sales tax, is if we did exempt groceries from all the five cents, or if we did exempt utilities.

To do that would be giving, in my judgment, more of a break to older or poor Arkansans, than it would be to give them a break with the Income Tax Bill, that you know, is sort of giving it with one

hand and taking it away with the other.

Now, what happens with respect to practical politics, is that you're not really going to see a sales tax exemption on food, or a sales tax exemption on utilities, unless it becomes necessary to get the fifth penny sales tax bill passed.

You know, if we get to that point, and I know it sounds like I'm being critical of the Governor, and I'm not, believe me I'm not, I have a great admiration for him -- In my view, the natural growth that we are enjoying in State revenues right now, is enough to do all that we need to do right now, and we don't need to pass a sales tax, but if we do, and everything goes as it has been orchestrated, and we wind up passing the sales tax, then it has just got to be with some break for those at the bottom end of the economic scale, which include a great many of the older people that we're talking about here today.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: If you'll pardon me, I need to gavel, because our time is running short on the 4:20.

Senator, we are very appreciative of your coming and going into such detail on those matters.

.22

1 SENATOR MILES: My pleasure, thank you. 2 \_\_\_\_ 3 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: May I presume that 4 you're Mr. Brown? 5 MR. BROWN: That is correct. CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Mr. Brown, if you 6 don't mind, it's easier for us if you would use the 7 !! podium over there, I believe. 8 Mr. Brown is the Little Rock is the 9 Director of the Little Rock Area Office of the Equal 10 Employment Opportunity Commission, correct? 11 MR. BROWN: That is correct. 12 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Welcome. 13 MR. BROWN: Thank you. 14 STATEMENT OF MR. BROWN: 15 I'm not sure exactly what I'm supposed 16 to be here today, other than to possibly tell you about 17 the things that we at the U. S. Equal Employment 18 Opportunity Commission do in the enforcement of the 19 Age Discrimination in Employment Act. 20 Now, if there is something else that I 21 should be dealing with, would you, at this time, please .22 inform me? 23 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Will you stand for 24

questions when you're finished, that's all.

MR. BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: All right.

والديرات الربيبية بتدين المدرا المتراد كالميتية المتيان ولذاء أأأد الدائد المستأني تبية الكيبية أداء بديد بعد المستبد

MR. BROWN: I will refer to the U. S. Employment Opportunity Commission as the "EEOC," that's the acronym for it.

The Agency has been in existence since July 1, 1965, after being created by Congress in 1964.

It was not until July 1, 1979, that the Agency inherited jurisdiction of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as had been amended.

The Age Discrimination Act I will refer to as ADEA, and states that it is unlawful for an employer, an employment agency, or a labor organization, to discriminate against any person who is age 40 and above, in any of the general terms and conditions of employment.

In order for either one of those entities to be covered, if it's an employer, there must be, at this time, at least 20 employees, during 20 or more calendar weeks during a given year.

It may be the current year, or it may be the preceding year, but once jurisdiction is established, it is good for a two year period.

For an employment agency, or a referral agency, as long as there is the duty of referring

25

SENATOR MILES: My pleasure, thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: May I presume that 3 you're Mr. Brown? 4 MR. BROWN: That is correct. 5 and the same of the property of the contract of the same of the sa CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Mr. Brown, if you 6 don't mind, it's easier for us if you would use the 7 podium over there, I believe. 8 Mr. Brown is the Little Rock is the 9 Director of the Little Rock Area Office of the Equal 10 Employment Opportunity Commission, correct? 11 MR. BROWN: That is correct. 12 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Welcome. 13 MR. BROWN: Thank you. 14 STATEMENT OF W. P. BROWN: 15 I'm not sure exactly what I'm supposed 16 to be here today, other than to possibly tell you about 17 the things that we at the U. S. Equal Employment 18 Opportunity Commission do in the enforcement of the 19 Age Discrimination in Employment Act. 20 Now, if there is something else that I 21 should be dealing with, would you, at this time, please 22 inform me? 23 CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Will you stand for 24

questions when you're finished, that's all.

.22

people out for employment, or placing people, it is covered. However, it would have to have the requisite number of employees to be covered as an employer.

For a union, there must be at least 25 or more members.

In this State, a person who feels that he or she is aggrieved, has 180 days under the Statute, to file a complaint or charge with the EEOC.

If the person does not file within that 180 day period -- this is from the date of the particular alleged violation -- all is not lost, because the individual has two years from the date of the alleged discriminatory action in order to get a lawsuit filed in the appropriate Court of competent jurisdiction.

The thing governing the 180 day period, is in order for EEOC to be given an opportunity to investigate the matter, possibly to conciliate it, or to determine, or otherwise resolve it, it has to be filed with the EEOC.

The Courts have also said that before one is able to resort to Court jurisdiction, that person must come to the EEOC.

So, it is for that reason, also, that the charge must be filed timely with the EEOC. The

.22

normal time is 180 days from the date of the alleged violation.

However, a person has two years from the date of the alleged violation, in order to get a complaint or a civil action filed in the appropriate Court of competent jurisdiction.

Not only is a firm covered in this country, but if a firm in this country that is incorporated, has other locations that may be abroad, then there is coverage of the employees of that firm under the Statute.

One exception would be that if it is a foreign country, then there would be no coverage by EEOC.

In 1987, effective January 1 -- the law was passed in 1986 -- the Age Statute was amended to increase age coverage from age 70. The upper limit of the cap was removed.

At this time also, there was some deference that was given to a certain group of employees, and those would be fire and safety or your law enforcement officials. Where the EEOC had jurisdiction over the hiring of those up until 1987, there is now an exemption that they will not be covered until 1992.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: They are not covered at all, or they are not covered under your -
MR. BROWN: They are not covered regarding their hiring procedures. Regarding hiring.

In that particular amendment also was included an exemption for some institutions of higher learning, especially the tenured professors, or anybody that has been granted tenure at one of the higher institutions of learning.

About any kind of employment practice that is covered under the other Statutes that we administer, is also covered under the Age Statute, be it a pension plan, hiring, promotion, transfer, seniority provisions. Any of those that are normally covered under any of those other Statutes, or any of the employment practices of a company would be covered, just as long as a person is age 40 or above.

As part of the procedure that we utilize to resolve complaints or charges, following the Age Statute, the Agency can go into the informal conciliation mode -- that is, prior to an investigation being conducted, they can get the parties together and attempt to conciliate or settle the matter, without doing a full scale investigation.

If that does not resolve the matter, if

.22

.22

we cannot have a meeting of the minds of all parties, then it is incumbent upon the Agency to conduct an investigation to determine the merits of that particular matter.

party was, in fact, correct, that he or she had been discriminated against, then we go into the conciliation mode, and the Statute for filing a lawsuit is then tolled for at least one year.

Under normal circumstances, as I said earlier, a person has two years to file a lawsuit. Once the Statute is tolled, an additional period of time will be granted, pending conciliation of the matter. If it successful, then that will eliminate the need to file a lawsuit. If it is unsuccessful, a lawsuit may or may not be filed. It depends.

which the Statute may be tolled. There is, I believe, a notice that is being circulated to the public, in order to grant this particular tolling provision, to other private litigants. It has not been passed by the Commission. The Commission has interpreted the law to allow for it, but the rule or the regulations have not been amended to so allow a private litigant to do that. But the Agency lawyers can, at this time, do it.

.22

when we are seeking relief, or a remedy on a charge of a form of discrimination under the Statute, where there is merit to the charge, the relief that is sought is a complete remedy. If it is a wage problem, for all of the wages or other earnings that may have been lost by the individual aggrieved.

We also seek to get liquidated damages. Under the conciliation procedure, we may or may not get those, but if the matter is litigated, then normally, a Court will award liquidated damages. That is, total losses that the person is entitled to, plus an equal amount that the person will be given, or the group of persons will be given. This is to show that the Statute is to serve as a deterrent for those who are willing to subject themselves to it.

In those instances, and these are few where there is found to be a willful violation of the Statute, or the rights of a person because of the Statute, it is possible for punitive damages to be assessed. Court have done it. The test is rather stringent, and the Courts do not do that as often as the parties would like.

But here again, the period for filing, when it is determined that the action taken against an employee, or an applicant for employment, was willful,

.22

it's three years rather than the two years.

Discrimination in Employment Act, or ADEA, is allowed to generate charges against various entities on its own.

It can, based on information that is provided it, or based on information that is researched, determine that a review of an employer's activities need to be investigated, and can so generate a charge to do it.

It is thought, although this Agency does not normally do it, that an investigator, as long as he or she had proper identification, can walk off of the street, into an employer's premises, and based on the information that he or she has, investigate the records of that company regarding its hiring of the agent, or terminations, or otherwise, the employment practices of the agency -- of the agent.

However, that is not done. What the Agency does, at this point, is to generate what is done as a directed charge. Notified by the Agency that it is going to do -- that it has a belief, and so conduct the investigation.

The remedy that is sought, if it is discovered that problems actually exist, would be the

.22

same as those that are sought when a civil action is filed in a Court of competent jurisdiction.

made, the Statute can be tolled for one year, so that
the matter can be either resolved, or if it is not
resolved, then it would go into an appropriate lawsuit.

The recovery on those would be total monetary losses, if it's a wage problem. If it's a situation where a person has not been hired, or if it's a situation where the person has been discharged, you will still consider the monetary losses, plus an equal amount for liquidated damages.

If it can be shown that the actions that were taken against an individual, or a group of persons, were done flagrantly and willfully against this group, then a request for punitive damages can be made and on occasion, those have been granted.

The upper age limit having been removed on January 1, 1987, also means that as far as pension plans and health plans are concerned, there is no upper age limit.

An employee who is able, should be allowed to continue to work as long as the employee is able to do so. However, the employee wants to avail himself of a bonfide pension plan, or retirement plan,

.22

then there is nothing to preclude the employee from doing that. But if an employee is forced into retirement because of a particular age, and the person has continued adequately performing, then, of course, it's something that needs to be reviewed by EEOC.

The law covers not only private citizens who are seeking employment, or who are employed by the private sector, it covers employees who are employed by the Federal Government, or State Government.

1、1995年1997年1996年191日 - A. 新新 题字 T. 1、1、15

The one difference is, if it's a Federal Government employee, then, of course, the investigation is not conducted by EEOC, per se, but the person by whom they file the charge. The charge is normally processed through what is known as the agency's internal EEO process.

EEOC has oversight to review the findings and to make some judgment about what the remedy is in the matter, if it is not resolved at a lower level.

Now, a little something about the Little Rock Area Office. It is a part of the total agency field operation, but it is attached to the Memphis District Office as sort of a satellite office.

The Memphis District Office has two, what I call satellite offices. It has the Little Rock

.22

--- # F

Area Office which covers the entire State of Arkansas, and it has the Nashville Area Office, which covers the Eastern Part of Tennessee, that is east of the Tennessee River. The Memphis office covers Tennessee, west of the Tennessee River.

In this area, during the last fiscal year ending September 30, 1988, of at least 1,406 charges received in the office, 10 percent of those were age charges.

计比许规 维养出产 手

The percentage may increase or change somewhat from year to year, but there has not been any drastic increase of the charge intake on age charges in this area, within the last several years.

Nationally, I do believe there has been some slight increase overall, in the receipt of age charges, but this office has not received anymore than roughly 10 to 10.7 percent.

Whether that will change at this point,
I don't know. It's highly likely that it may. It
depends on the extent to which the public becomes aware
of their rights that exist under these Statutes. It
becomes a matter of whether people are willing to
assert their rights, and there may be some other
factors, too. But there must be that educational
process, as well as a willingness of the individuals to

.22

assert whatever rights are available to them.

Now, we have had many times, people waiting until it is too late for EEOC's process to be invoked. They will come, but it is most unfortunate that we can't do anything for them when that happens, except to counsel them as to what the time constraints are, and what other rights they may have, if any still exist.

So, in summary, EEOC has administered the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, since January, not January, July 1, 1979, after it was transferred from the U. S. Department of Labor. Jurisdiction in this State is 180 days from the time that the alleged discrimination occurred, although a party does have additional time to file a lawsuit if he or she so desires. The only thing is, for EEOC to normally assert jurisdiction, that charge must be filed within 180 days.

We do have jurisdiction anytime it is filed within two years, but the charging party's, quote, "rights" may be adversely impacted.

The remedy under the Age Statute, where there is found a violation, both consists of correcting that practice, and where there has been a loss of money, attempting to get dollar for dollar, and also,

.22

getting liquidated damages which would be an equal amount to that amount that was lost.

against an employee, or an applicant for employment, was willful, was flagrant, then punitive damages can be requested and have been obtained.

Under a willful finding, the time for filing a lawsuit is three years. Anytime that EEOC goes into the conciliation mode, attempts to resolve a complaint or a charge through conciliation after a finding, the charge is automatically tolled for one year, up to one year, but it's not any longer than one year.

Where it is discovered that there is a willful violation, there is an additional period, but that does not exceed three years.

Are there questions?

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: If I may lead off.

I have two questions really.

You mentioned that there were, in fiscal '88, about 1400 charges, 10 percent of which were age related. I presume a lot of those would still be pending, but on the history maybe in previous years, if the percentage was more or less the same, do you have any statistics on the disposition of those cases?

.22

MR. BROWN: Unfortunately, today I didn't bring those statistics with me. What I do remember, just from memory, is that of all the charges that we process in a year's time, approximately 90 percent will be closed or resolved as no cause, administrative closures because the parties have failed to cooperate, or because we were able to get some kind of settlement -- so that would include settlements -- and a very small percentage would be those that we find violations on.

Even though we do settle, but if I remember correctly, last year our settlement rate in this office was less than 25 percent of all of the charges that were closed.

We did recommend a number of cases for litigation. A number of cases were accepted for litigation, and the agency, as a whole, filed more than 523 lawsuits in the last fiscal year. Of that number, more than 123, I believe, were age charges, on those lawsuits that were filed.

I do not know what the recovery was, because some of those were settled, but I don't remember what the figure was for the agency. That information is available someplace else.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: My other question

was more out of curiosity than anything else. If you would tell us a little bit about the makeup of this particular office. I mean, the funding, the number of negotiators, or conciliators, or what kind of a staff you have working in the Little Rock area?

MR. BROWN: It's a small office, known as an Area Office. There are 27 people employed. Of that number, five are clericals, and I have three supervisory personnel -- four, very soon there will be four.

المارات المراب والمارات المراب المراب المرابية المرابية المرابية المرابية المرابية المرابية المرابية المرابية

The remainder of the people are Investigators. Their classification was just recently changed to "Investigator." Before that they were "Equal Employment Specialists," but they are now Investigators as of November, 1988.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: One other thing, is there an appeal process?

MR. BROWN: There is an appeal process. I'm glad you mentioned that. I had neglected to mention that.

The Agency established in 1987, about August 1, an appeals process for all of its no cause charges. That is, those that you make a finding on, and we say there is not reasonable cause to believe that the allegation is true.

·22

This does not mean that discrimination did not occur. What it means is that the investigation and the amount of evidence and data collected, did not show that there was, in fact, discrimination.

Further probing may have, sometimes it does not, most times it does not.

The person who receives a no reasonable cause finding, can appeal to the Agency's office located in Washington, D. C., called Determination and Review Programs.

The person must complete the filing of those appeal papers within 15 days of the time that I issue a finding on the merits of the charge.

The DRP Program, Determination and Review Program, requires that be sent to them, some documents, some reason to support why the vacation, the review, should be reopened, or what you, and on occasion, after they have reviewed everything in that office, they may request an additional investigation, to determine whether a further investigation would have changed the outcome of the finding.

I can tell you, at this point, since that program has been in operation, I've only had one case reversed, just one, and over a two year period, I think I have closed now, or resolved, probably in

excess of 2600 charges.

.22

MR. MULDROW: Mr. Brown, I'm a little surprised at the volume which you mentioned. According to my calculations out of 1400 charges in fiscal year 1988, you had about 10 percent related to age discrimination, out of which about 90 percent of those, for one reason or another, were found to be no cause, or not pursued further for whatever reason -- some technical, some other reasons -- which leaves only what?, about 14 cases, in which some resolution was required in terms of compensation or conciliation, or whatever the process might have been.

We're told that Arkansas has a very high proportion of its population which are elderly, and today from many of our speakers, we have heard a great deal about that employment discrimination is one major concern, or at least in terms of the number of times it has been brought up today.

What is your impression of this as a problem among the older people in Arkansas? Is it a major concern? You're in a position to be able to assess and compare it with other kinds of employment problems.

Is employment discrimination, would you say, a major concern of older people in this State?

.22

MR. BROWN: Let me address your question this way, Mr. Muldrow.

I said that approximately 90 percent of the charges that we closed last year -- Now, that was not 1406 charges that we closed last year. The number was less than that. We took in 1406.

MR. MULDROW: I see.

MR. BROWN: Of those that were closed without some finding of cause, I said that should have represented 90 percent, but you had administrative closures in there, you had settlements. Now, a lot of the age charges, as well as Title 7 and 8 charges that come into our system, are processed as negotiated settlements. We have a rather high number of those.

Some of those people who file charges, elect early to go into Court. Many times when they come into our office, they have attorneys already. Others get attorneys shortly thereafter. Then they request that they be allowed to go to Court within a reasonable period of time.

The only time that they have to do is to give us notice that they are going to Court, give us a copy of the lawsuit, and we will close their case.

So, we have many charges like that.

So, to say that the 14, the number 14

·22

represents the number on which some merit was found, is not an accurate assessment.

I don't remember, at this point, exactly what the numbers are regarding the various Statutes. We administer three different Statutes, Title 7, the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, the Age Discrimination in Employment, and the Equal Pay Act.

Now, we may have charges that are filed under one or more of those Statutes, or all of them.

Now, in terms of there being an employment problem in the State among the aged, yes, that is true. I did mention that sometimes the people who have problems, will not come forward to talk about the problems that they have encountered on their jobs.

There are those that come forward and talk about problems, but after the investigation is conducted, discover that the reason the action was taken, a specific act was taken against the employee, was because of something that the employee did which was an infraction of some of the rules and regulations of the company, and that happens a lot.

And there are other people who are not among the aged, who also are likewise disciplined, and have similar problems; therefore, we can't say that this is because of that person's age.

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

believe that if the people would review the data that is on the various bulletin boards about their rights that exist for them, and if they would take time to think about these things, and not sleep on their rights, more age charges would probably be filed.

But I have also had a number of cases occur where people file charges, and then very abruptly say, "I don't want to proceed with this, I think I made a mistake, I misunderstood what happened to me."

Nevertheless, there is a problem among the aged, regarding employment. I think they are not hired as often as they should be. I believe that there are times when some who have been with various companies, are encouraged to take an early retirement.

Even though the retirement package may be sweetened, if the person has not had the opportunity to have counsel before this waiver is signed, that person can say, "Oh," say, "I was forced into this," but many of them will not come forward.

Now, we have had people come and tell us that they had problems. When you check into them, you would discover that the facts as given, were quite different from the facts as they occurred.

Now, we are doing some things. There is

more that could be done. A lot more. I believe that is one reason why the agency is now advocating a change in the Statute to allow private litigants to have the Statute tolled while they are trying on their own to resolve their problems, before going to Court.

It is believed that many times, because that particular right does not exist, some lawsuits may be spurred that would not be.

Have I addressed your question?
MR. MULDROW: Yes, you have.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: May I make a statement and tell me whether you agree with it or not. Age discrimination is a very difficult thing to prove.

MR. BROWN: It is.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Would you agree that probably a great deal more of it goes on, that is either not charged, or they're not able to prove it?

MR. BROWN: Well, there are several things. There is age discrimination that occurs that is not easily proved, and there is that age discrimination which may occur which may also have some other factors involved which is "age-plus." If there another factor involved, we may not be able to do anything about that.

What I have discovered all too often, is

.22

.22

that too many people in their workplace, fail to do the kinds of things that they can do to cover themselves, and I have looked at a lot of records, and I have talked to a lot of people myself.

I was, at one time, intimately involved in the investigative process. People would tend to not follow the company's rules and procedures, and many times they would say, other folks have done such and such a thing, or they may be doing such and such a thing, but when you check into it -- talk to whoever this is, and you talk to them again, you find that they may have had a misinterpretation of what the rules were, and what the other folks were doing. They may have had some misperceptions. That happens a lot.

But, yes, it is hard to prove.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Any other questions?
(No response)

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: We are very grateful to you for coming and making the presentation and being willing to answer our questions.

Thank you for coming.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PATTESON: Since no one else signed up for the open session, we will officially declare the meeting adjourned.

```
(Whereupon, at 4:55 p.m., the above
 1
       public hearing was closed.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
.22
23
24
25
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

PENGAD/INDY MUNCIE IN 47302

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

## 

I hereby certify that this is the transcript of the Public Hearing held on Friday, February 3, 1989, beginning at 9:40 a.m., at the Holiday Inn-Center City, 617 South Broadway, Little Rock, Arkansas, and ending at 4:55 p.m., in the matter of:

> CIVIL RIGHTS CONCERNS OF OLDER AMERICANS Arkansas Advisory Committee on the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights

and that his is a true and correct transcription of said proceedings.

> MARK S. PIGMON Official Reporter