BIGOTRY AND VIOLENCE

IN GEORGIA

This summary report of the Georgia Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights was prepared for the information and consideration of the Commission. Statements and viewpoints in the report should not be auributed to the Commission or the Advisory Committee, but only to individual participants in the community forum where the information was gathered.

Georgia Advisory Committee
to the United States
Commission on Civil Rights

THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

The United States Commission on Civil Rights, first created by the Civil Rights Act of 1957 and reestablished by the United States Commission on Civil Rights Act of 1983, is an independent, bipartisan agency of the Federal Government. By the terms of the act, the Commission is charged with the following duties pertaining to discrimination or denials of equal protection based on race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or in the administration of justice: the investigation of discriminatory denials of the right to vote; the study of legal developments with respect to discrimination or denials of equal protection; the appraisal of the laws and policies of the Unitéd States with respect to discrimination or denials of equal protection; the maintenance of a national clearinghouse for information respecting discrimination or denials of equal protection; and the investigation of patterns or practices of fraud or discrimination in the conduct of Federal elections. Commission is also required to submit reports to the President and the Congress at such times as the Commission, the Congress, or the President shall deem desirable.

THE STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEES

An Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights has been established in each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia pursuant to section 105(c) of the Civil Rights Act of 1957 and section 6(c) of the United States Commission on Civil Rights Act of 1983. The Advisory Committees are made up of responsible persons who serve without compensa-Their functions under their mandate from the Commission are to: advise the Commission of all relevant information concerning their respective States on matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission; advise the Commission on matters of mutual concern in the preparation of reports of the Commission to the President and the Congress; receive reports, suggestions, and recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations, and public officials upon matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the State Advisory Committee; initiate and forward advice and recommendations to the Commission upon matters in which the Commission shall request the assistance of the State Advisory Committee; and attend, as observers, any open hearing or conference which the Commission may hold within the State.

BIGOTRY AND VIOLENCE

IN GEORGIA

This summary report of the Georgia Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights was prepared for the information and consideration of the Commission. Statements and viewpoints in the report should not be attributed to the Commission or the Advisory Committee, but only to individual participants in the community forum where the information was gathered.

Georgia Advisory Committee
to the United States
Commission on Civil Rights

				-
				•
				÷
•				
			·	
		•	•	
	·			
	•			

BIGOTRY AND VIOLENCE

IN GEORGIA

This summary report of the Georgia Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights was prepared for the information and consideration of the Commission. Statements and viewpoints in the report should not be attributed to the Commission or the Advisory Committee, but only to individual participants in the community forum where the information was gathered.

Georgia Advisory Committee
to the United States
Commission on Civil Rights

THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

The United States Commission on Civil Rights, first created by the Civil Rights Act of 1957 and reestablished by the United States Commission on Civil Rights Act of 1983, is an independent, bipartisan agency of the Federal Government. By the terms of the act, the Commission is charged with the following duties pertaining to discrimination or denials of equal protection based on race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national or in the administration of justice: the investigation of discriminatory denials of the right to vote; the study of legal developments with respect to discrimination or denials of equal protection; the appraisal of the laws and policies of the United States with respect to discrimination or denials of equal protection; the maintenance of a national clearinghouse for information respecting discrimination or denials of equal protection; and the investigation of patterns or practices of fraud or discrimination in the conduct of Federal elections. Commission is also required to submit reports to the President and the Congress at such times as the Commission, the Congress, or the President shall deem desirable.

THE STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEES

An Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights has been established in each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia pursuant to section 105(c) of the Civil Rights Act of 1957 and section 6(c) of the United States Commission on Civil Rights Act of 1983. The Advisory Committees are made up of responsible persons who serve without compensa-Their functions under their mandate from the Commission are to: tion. advise the Commission of all relevant information concerning their respective States on matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission; advise the Commission on matters of mutual concern in the preparation of reports of the Commission to the President and the Congress; receive reports, suggestions, and recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations, and public officials upon matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the State Advisory Committee; initiate and forward advice and recommendations to the Commission upon matters in which the Commission shall request the assistance of the State Advisory Committee; and attend, as observers, any open hearing or conference which the Commission may hold within the State.

Georgia Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights
June 1989

MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION

William B. Allen, <u>Chairman</u>
Murray Friedman, <u>Vice Chairman</u>
Mary Frances Berry
Esther Gonzalez-Arroyo Buckley
Sherwin T.S. Chan
Robert A. Destro
Francis S. Guess
Blandina Cardenas Ramirez

Melvin L. Jenkins, Acting Staff Director

The Georgia Advisory Committee submits this summary report for the purpose of informing the Commission on key issues and viewpoints concerning bigotry and violence in the State of Georgia. The report was approved by a vote of 5-0 by the members present. The absent members were contacted and also approved the report.

The summary report provides information received at a community forum convened by the Advisory Committee in Atlanta, Georgia, on June 8, 1987. Every effort was made to assure a balanced perspective of the issues by inviting participation from State officials and representatives of organizations with interest in and knowledge of the subject. Mindful of the Commission's interest in the topic, the Advisory Committee gathered numerical information about bias-related incidents and details about State responses to hate violence.

Although the information provided does not result from an exhaustive review of issues pertaining to bigotry and violence in Georgia, it will be of value to the Committee for further program planning and we hope of interest to the Commissioners.

Respectfully,

Rose Strong, <u>Chairperson</u> Georgia Advisory Committee

GEORGIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Rose Strong, <u>Chairperson</u>

Elaine B. Alexander

Charles S. Bullock, III

Edward E. Elson

Stratton M. Frank

Grace T. Hamilton

E. T. Kehrer

Peter A. Lawler

Peter F. Rosen

Dale M. Schwartz

Clayton Sinclair, Jr.

Acknowledgments

The Georgia Advisory Committee wishes to thank the staff of the Commission's Fastern Regional Division for its help in the preparation of this summary report. The report was the principal assignment of Edward M. Darden with support from Linda Raufu and Edna Yvette Nicholson. John I. Binkley, Director, Eastern Regional Division, provided overall supervision.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intr	oduct	ion1
Back	groun	d1
The	Forum	
	Pane Topi	lI c A: Overview of the Southeastern Region4
-		l—II c B: Status of Bigotry and Violence in Georgia7
		l—III c C: State Law on Racial/Religious Bigotry and Violence12
Summ	ary	
Appe	ndix	·
	A.	The Antiterrorism Act, Georgia Code Ann.§§35-3-60 to -62 (1987)20
	в.	Wearing mask, hood, or device which conceals identity of wearer, Georgia Code Ann.§16-11-38 (1984)21
	c.	Vandalism to a place of worship, Georgia Code Ann.§16-7-26 (1984)
	D:	Racist Violence and Hate Group Activity Report Form—NAACP Southeast Region

			-
			·
		•	٠.
,			

INTRODUCTION

The Georgia State Advisory Committee (SAC), responding to reports of hate group activities across the State, convened a forum on the topic, bigotry and violence in Georgia. The SAC members collected information and materials on the topic from eight panelists, representing key civil rights groups and State agencies. The forum was held in Atlanta, on June 8, 1987.

BACKGROUND

The Georgia SAC conducted an earlier factfinding meeting on the same subject in September 1981. The Committee concluded that inquiry into racial and religious bigotry and violence in the State with the publication of <u>Perceptions of Hate Group Activity in Georgia</u> in 1982. The SAC report recommended 5 corrective measures for the Governor, law enforcement officials, and local communities to consider.

Two SAC recommendations were addressed to local communities, urging them to develop citizen task forces on hate violence and police procedures that were effective against perpetrators yet sensitive to victims of such acts. The extent to which these two recommendations were followed has not been determined, however, the Committee is unaware of any such developments in the State.

Three Committee recommendations were addressed by the Governor and State legislature in 1982. They included urging the Governor: (1) to review the

status of hate group activity and the effectiveness of the State's Civil Crisis Intervention Task Force, (2) to seek enactment of legislation designed to prevent the activities of hate groups that may escalate into violence or intimidation of racial or religious minorities, and (3) to appropriate State resources to combat bigotry and violence. This report identifies the new laws which helped place State level priority on the problem, established preventative measures for dealing with hate violence, and appropriated new State resources to combat hate violence.

The statutory establishment of an antiterrorism task force was the leading portion of three new statutes of its type in the State in 1982. Other new provisions erected prohibitions against: (1) concealing one's identity behind a hood or mask, and (2) defacement of a place of worship.

The SAC's earlier report provided information on the community's concerns which led to new legislation. The report offered little basis for comparison with more recent numerical data on hate violence. The few numerical data that the Committee collected were inadequate to ascertain the extent of hate violence or trends because the information was incomplete.

The organizational representatives who served as sources for the earlier forum generally agreed that hate violence had increased markedly since 1980 in their view. For example, a representative of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) reported 75 incidents in the

southeast region in 1981. The speaker characterized the total as an escalation in hate group activity but did not provide earlier such data. Similarly, a representative of the Anti-Defamation League (ADL) of B'nai B'rith reported four times the number of incidents in 1981 as in 1980 but did not supply numerical information for comparison.

In a later development, the Georgia SAC contributed information in February 1987 for a national survey of data collection efforts concerning racial, religious, and ethnic violence, conducted by the Commission. The Advisory Committee reported that hate-motivated incidents occurred in the State on the average of one per month since 1980. The agency announced the overall survey results in a news release in April 1987, noting that few States were keeping track, in a systematic way, of incidents of racially, religiously, and ethnically motivated violence. The States found to have data on bigotry-related crimes or violence included Maryland, Pennsylvania, and North Carolina. The results indicated that Georgia's Bureau of Investigation kept internal data but figures were not released.

While preparing data for the Commission's national survey, the SAC realized that the scarcity of data on bigotry-related violence made it impossible to determine with certainty whether the level of such violence was rising or

¹Janet Douglass, member, board of directors, Center for Democratic Renewal, letter to Elaine Alexander, Vice-Chairperson, Georgia State Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, February 5, 1987; <u>Civil Rights Violations in Georgia, 1980 - 1986</u>, prepared by the Center for Democratic Renewal (1987), pp. 17-22. The report is on file in ERD.

falling in the State. The SAC believed that a forum on the topic, with information from representatives of affected groups and State law enforcement authorities, would produce information useful to the Commission. The SAC was prompted also by a series of reported racial incidents in Forsyth County, Georgia.

The Forum

The Committee organized the forum into three panels, covering three aspects of the topic: (1) overview of the Southeastern region; (2) status of bigotry and violence in Georgia and; (3) State law on racial/religious violence and bigotry. The SAC asked the speakers to address specific questions intended to elicit responses regarding the issue before the panel.

Panel I-Overview of the Southeastern Region

The panelists were Ozell Sutton,² Regional Director, U.S. Department of Justice, Community Relations Service, Southeastern Region, and Leonard Zeskind, research director, Center for Democratic Renewal. The panelists were asked to discuss the status of bigotry and violence in the region,³

²Mr. Sutton was unavoidably detained and did not participate in the forum but supplied background information on activities of the office previous to the forum.

³The southeastern region covers Tennessee, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, and Mississippi, according to U.S. Postal regions, but for the purpose of the forum the SAC included North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida.

presenting data on incidents, information on State laws and law enforcement efforts, and pending legislation.

Mr. Zeskind of the Center for Democratic Renewal, said that in the contiguous States of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida, North Carolina has the largest, well-established substructure of organized hate groups. He said that the Ku Klux Klan continued to hold sway in North Carolina but the group's influence was waning under pressure from recent Federal law enforcement actions. The successful secret Federal probe, concerning the shootings in Greensboro, North Carolina, generated sufficient evidence to convict several persons believed to be hate group members involved in the crime. Despite the jailing of key hate group leaders in North Carolina, he said, hate violence in the State still reached a total of 36 incidents in 1986. The 36 incidents included 11 cross burnings, 5 shootings and assaults, 1 death, 2 robberies, 13 threats of vandalism and arson, and 4 partial reports of an undetermined nature.

Mr. Zeskind reported that the chilling effect of Federal infiltration into the organization of the main historical hate group in the State had been offset by an increase in membership in a previously small auxiliary group. This group, he said, had 12 members in 1985 but has increased its membership to 385 members in 1986.

The presence of hate groups in Georgia, according to Mr. Zeskind, is less pronounced than in North Carolina but Georgia has the highest rate of

increase in new memberships in hate groups among States in the area. He said that membership in the main historical hate organization increased substantially in reaction to civil rights demonstrations in Forsyth County, Georgia, in December 1986 and January 1987. The realization of counterdemonstrations against the civil rights marches in Forsyth County, he said, prompted hate group leaders to assert that the message of racial separation had wide appeal among whites in the area and substantiated their claim that hate group recruitment reached a significant number of receptive persons. The leaders of the counterdemonstrations, according to Zeskind, claimed to have mobilized 5,000 supporters, the largest anti-civil rights gathering in the State in 25 years.

Mr. Zeskind commended State law enforcement agencies, especially the Georgia Bureau of Investigation (GBI), for its conscientious handling of the security needs of both blocs of demonstrators in Forsyth County. He said the GBI did a good job, cooperating with the marchers and coordinating with local police to assure a peaceful handling of events.

⁴Some Forsyth County residents mounted counterdemonstrations against two marches conducted in the county by a coalition of groups led by the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, the civil rights proponents. The proponents hoped to commemorate the Martin Luther King, Jr., Federal holiday by demonstrating for racial brotherhood, equal employment opportunity, and fair housing in Forsyth County. Their opponents mounted counterdemonstrations, supporting the notion that residents may discriminate on the basis of race.

Panel II-Status of Bigotry and Violence in Georgia

The panelists were Stuart Lewengrub, regional director of the AntiDefamation League (ADL) of B'nai B'rith; Janet Douglass, funds developer of
the Center for Democratic Renewal; and Bobby Doctor, director for research
and programs of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference. Mr.

Lewengrub and Ms. Douglass were asked to present data on the total number
of incidents in Georgia involving racial violence and religious bigotry
since 1982, to comment on the trend during the period, and on the
effectiveness of State and local enforcement efforts. Mr. Doctor was asked
to report the events of the Forsyth County demonstrations and comment on
statewide reactions, attitudes, and local responses to the circumstances
arising from the marches.

Mr. Lewengrub, of the Anti-Defamation League, reported that his office participated in the ADL's nationwide program of tracking reports. He said that ADL data were based on direct complaints received in their offices and by monitoring news reports around the region. Incidents of anti-Semitism are ADL's primary focus, although many reports of racially motivated incidents were included in ADL data. He emphasized, however, that these data were not the important part of his comments and he would not provide current totals of reports. He said that statistics on incidents do not lead to a precise measurement of the problem of religious bigotry and racial violence and may lead instead to an overestimate of the importance of numerical information. He was concerned that such reports would cause

small groups of perpetrators to gain unwarranted significance and spark an overreaction by the civil rights community.

Mr. Lewengrub focused on ADL estimates of the number of persons involved in the Forsyth County demonstrations. ADL estimated 20,000 persons participated in support of the civil rights proponents. He said that contrary to the claims of counterdemonstrators that 5,000 persons participated in their counterdemonstrations, about 500 persons actually participated, according to ADL estimates. He underscored the ADL estimates, noting that civil rights proponents numbered 40 to 1 over the counterdemonstrators. He said that this outpouring of support for civil rights in Georgia marked a significant shift in the white community's attitude toward racial segregation and an embracing sympathy for racial and religious minority groups. He said that the Forsyth County experience was evidence that the majority of white Georgians were opposed to religious bigotry and racism.

Mr. Lewengrub said that the significance of his observation was that civil rights supporters needed to redirect their attention. Racial attitudes of the white majority, he said, are no longer the main problem because earlier resistance to civil rights has been reversed. The civil rights community should concentrate more attention on the nascent, sophisticated, heavily armed, loose affiliation of ultraextreme hate groups.

Ms. Douglass, of the Center for Democratic Renewal, reported a total of 12 racial incidents in Georgia from January to August 1987. These included 7 cross burnings and rallies, 1 arson, 1 assault, 1 vandalism, 1 shooting, and 1 mock lynching at a high school. She said that communities in north Georgia, which included Forsyth and other counties, were areas experiencing overt displays of racism and racial polarization between white and black communities.

Ms. Douglass gave a favorable assessment of State law enforcement efforts regarding hate violence. She said that over the past 5 years the GBI mounted a noteworthy response through the Antiterrorism Task Force, especially in the area of detecting paramilitary activity. She gave some local law enforcement agencies a less favorable assessment regarding hate violence. She said that a number of local police departments are ineffective against hate group violence. A disturbing relationship exists, she said, between hate groups in the area and a number of local police officers. This, she claimed, has led to fraternization and a tendency to avoid meaningful investigation of hate incidents by several local police departments.

Mr. Doctor, of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), recalled the factors which led SCLC to undertake mass demonstrations in Forsyth County. He described Forsyth County as one of the fastest growing counties in the State, growing from 27,958 persons in 1980 to 35,600 in 1985 or 27.3 percent in 5 years. The county was becoming increasingly

wealthy as major corporations and other businesses settled around the area. One result of development in the county, he said, was an increase in per capita income from \$2,655 in 1970 to \$10,645 in 1983. Better jobs and low unemployment made communities in Forsyth County attractive, according to Mr. Doctor.

Mr. Doctor listed a chronology of events regarding race relations in Forsyth County starting in 1912. He said that three black men accused of sexual assault against a young white woman were lynched in September 1912. The incident so heightened racial tensions, he said, that every black person living in Forsyth County, about 1,000 individuals, fled to neighboring counties for safety. Since the incident, according to Mr. Doctor, the county has had no black residents. Mr. Doctor said that modern attitudes toward race were evidenced in the county in 1980 when a jury convicted two white defendants in the shooting death of a black person. The jury's impartial verdict was considered by many, according to Mr. Doctor, as a victory for civil rights because a white defendant had never before been convicted of an offense against a black victim in Forsyth County.

The recent national media spotlight on race relations in Forsyth County, according to Mr. Doctor, is a result of racial bias vividly displayed for national news by residents of Forsyth County. Problems began as Forsyth County residents vehemently opposed plans for a brotherhood march in the county to commemorate the Martin Luther King, Jr., Federal holiday in

December 1986. The plan was proposed by blacks from the surrounding area, he said. Doctor stated that the community's opposition stalled plans for the march and SCIC entered the controversy in support of the commemoration march in January 1987. He said that two marches were held, subsequently. He said that the first march, composed of 50 persons, met a large, angry group of whites who displayed insignia of the Ku Klux Klan, a racial separatist group, and attacked the demonstrators violently. The second march, he said, attracted civil rights supporters from around the country and was composed of 25,000 persons. Mr. Doctor referred the SAC to the March/April 1987 issue of SCIC magazine⁵ for details of the organization's version of events in Forsyth County.

Mr. Doctor underscored his view that institutional racism is a basic factor underlying racial polarization like that in Forsyth County. He recommended that leaders of national civil rights organizations and the Federal Government collaborate with one another to identify new ways to address the problem of racism and its root causes.

Mr. Doctor recommended that the Governor and State legislature of Georgia enact legislation creating a State human relations commission, empowered to investigate complaints of bigotry, violence, and a full range of civil rights matters. Previous legislation to create a human relations commission had failed in the State legislature. He said that the racial

⁵Material on file in ERD.

clashes in Forsyth County substantiated the need for some State body to handle intergroup relations and civil rights complaints.

Panel III—State Law on Racial/Religious Bigotry and Violence

The panelists were Robbie Hamrick, director of the Georgia Bureau of Investigation; Stephanie Manis, senior assistant State attorney general; Jackey Beavers, executive assistant to the governor of Georgia; and Earl Shinhoster, regional director of the NAACP, Southeastern Region. Mr. Hamrick was asked to discuss the work of the bureau's Antiterrorism Task Force, present data on racial or religious bias incidents since 1982, assess trends during the period, and describe State and local law enforcement responses to hate violence. Ms. Manis was asked to identify State laws with respect to bigotry and violence, provide data on biasrelated incidents since 1982, and assess trends during the period. Mr. Beaver, who has broad responsibility for liaison between minority groups and the Governor, was asked to discuss the Governor's response to bigotry and violence. Mr. Shinhoster was asked to describe the NAACP's programs dealing with bigotry and violence and to assess conditions statewide.

Mr. Hamrick, of the Georgia Bureau of Investigation (GBI), reported that the GBI established the antiterrorism task force under the Antiterrorism Act of 1983.⁶ He said that the legislation was prompted by concern that Atlanta International Airport, one of the busiest in the Nation, would fall

⁶Georgia Code Ann. §§35-3-60 to -62 (1987).

victim to highjackers unless it was better protected. He said that the antiterrorism task force has a broad mandate to assist law enforcement personnel in the State of Georgia to identify, investigate, arrest, and prosecute individuals or groups who illegally threaten, harass, terrorize, or otherwise injure or damage the person or property of persons on the basis of their race, national origin, or religious persuasion. Hamrick said that the task force's authority covers international terrorist activities and domestic terrorists—like hate groups. The activity of hate groups in Forsyth County triggered involvement of the antiterrorism task force, he said, and led to the task force providing security for the marchers.

Mr. Hamrick said that the Governor's office established a crisis intervention program to complement the GBI's antiterrorism task force. Under the Governor's program, he said, all State agency heads and a representative of the Governor are members of the task force and the director of the GBI acts as the coordinator. The coordinator, he said, sets the task force into motion by appointing a crisis intervention team tailored for the situation. The team then makes a field investigation, evaluates the situation, and reports to the coordinator. The GBI director in turn reports to the Governor with recommendations for resolving the problem. Mr. Hamrick said the teams have performed a very valuable service in a wide range of sensitive situations around the State since 1983. He said that much of the successful handling of the Forsyth County

situation was attributable to the work of the Governor's crisis intervention team and the full support of the Governor in its efforts.

Mr. Hamrick assessed law enforcement efforts dealing with bias-related incidents as generally good. He felt it was particularly important to note the help that the GBI received from local law enforcement during the Forsyth County marches.

Ms. Manis, of the State attorney general's office, described the State's civil rights laws. She reported that there were several Georgia statutes in addition to the antiterrorism act. These cover fair housing and equal employment opportunity. She said that the antiterrorism act was a major State civil rights law, but the other laws would have significant results if they were used more often as the basis for complaint. The Georgia fair housing statute empowers the real estate commission to act upon discrimination complaints against sales persons and brokers, giving the commission authority to issue injunctive relief in cases of discrimination in housing. She said that there had been little use of the fair housing statute in Georgia.

Among the remaining State civil rights statutes, Ms. Manis mentioned the Georgia Fair Employment Practices Act, 8 describing it as a State level mirror of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act. 9 She said that there is

 $^{^{7}}$ Georgia Code Ann.§§8-3-200 to -208 (1982).

⁸Georgia Code Ann. §§45-19-20 to -46 (Supp. 1987).

⁹42 U.S.C. §§2000e to e-15 (1982).

a Georgia statute which prohibits the wearing of masks and hoods which conceal the identity of the wearer in public places or on private property unless permitted by written agreement. 10 She also noted that another statute carries criminal felony penalties for defacement of a place of worship. 11

Mr. Beavers, of the Governor's office, reiterated the role of the Governor's crisis intervention task force described earlier by Mr. Hamrick of the GBI. Mr. Beavers said that he acts as the "eyes and ears" for the Governor in his role as liaison with minority communities, and, as the Governor's executive assistant, he monitored task force activity and informed the Governor.

To ascertain the Governor's view on establishment of a State human relations commission, the SAC asked Mr. Beavers whether the Governor was reluctant to create such a commission. He answered that he did not foresee any reluctance on the Governor's part to do so. 12 Responding to a follow-up question, he said that historically in Georgia the Governor created

¹⁰Georgia Code Ann.§16-11-38 (1984).

¹¹Georgia Code Ann. §16-7-26 (1984).

¹²Joy Smith Berry, executive director, Georgia Human Relations Commission, telephone interview, Apr. 21, 1988. "Governor Joe Frank Harris issued an executive order on Dec. 31, 1987, creating the Georgia Human Relations Commission. The Commission is a 15-member advisory body representing membership from the State's 10 congressional districts and 5 at-large. The Commission met in February 1988, agreed to meet biannually, and selected officers. The executive director was the sole staff person for the Commission, but plans were approved for a 2-person staff."

State commissions by executive order. Such commissions, created by proclamation, are advisory bodies. A human relations commission with full powers to investigate and resolve complaints must have a statutory mandate through State legislation, otherwise the commission would have no teeth, he said.

Mr. Shinhoster, of the NAACP, reported that the NAACP southeast regional office, which he directs, sponsored a regional workshop on hate group activity in Greenville, South Carolina, on March 6, 1987. The meeting, he said, featured information compiled for the NAACP by the Center for Democratic Renewal. He stated that the material presented extensive detail on identifying characteristics and activities of hate groups in the region. He said that this material also contained information for school administrators on techniques for combatting hate group recruitment among students, and Federal statutes to remedy hate violence.

The Center for Democratic Renewal also prepared a report form for reporting racist violence and hate group activity for the NAACP's use¹⁴. Mr. Shinhoster said that the instrument was a good bias incident reporting form. He commented that a Federally established bias incident reporting system would perform poorly in Georgia and perhaps elsewhere because of large numbers of unreported incidents. Therefore, the true picture of racial and religious bigotry would not be accurately quantified. He also

¹³ Copy on file in ERD.

¹⁴See appendix D.

mentioned the lack of State bureaucracy as a factor. For example, a human relations commission with enforcement powers would need to be established to administer a reporting system. He said he doubted that State government would accept the conditions necessary to make an effective reporting system possible.

Mr. Shinhoster commented on State government leadership which was often reluctant to speak publicly on matters involving hate violence and religious bigotry. He said the public policy of the State of Georgia was exemplified by the State flag which carried the confederate battle flag on its main portion. This flag, which was instituted in 1956, has remained an expression of resistance and defiance to fundamental social, racial, political, and economic justice, he said.

Mr. Shinhoster assessed the State laws and said that he believed the State's criminal laws were sufficient to address the problems of bigotry and violence, but the laws needed enforcement. He believed that the establishment of a human relations commission would help the State combat bigotry and violence.

Summary

The forum participants focused their attention on recent hate incidents in Forsyth County which attracted national news coverage in December 1986 and January 1987. Racial violence against marchers commemorating the Martin

Inther King, Jr., Federal holiday and the threat of more violence initiated a law enforcement response from the Georgia Bureau of Investigation's Antiterrorism Task Force. Despite large numbers of civil rights demonstrators and agitated counterdemonstrators converging in Cumming, the Forsyth county seat, the task force and local police maintained control and the demonstrations were orderly. The forum participants generally commended the GBI for its handling of events in Forsyth County.

The Advisory Committee learned that 12 hate incidents of various types occurred in the State between January and August 1987 and a similar number occurred each month on average over the period 1980-1986. The data were insufficient to determine whether the total of incidents for 8 months in 1987 represented an increase in the rate since 1980 and a higher annual average. The pattern for the years since 1980 indicated most incidents occurred between January and August, suggesting the likelihood that the total for 1987 would fall slightly above the average. The available data suggested that hate-motivated incidents and crimes occurred at a steady rate of one per month between January 1980 and August 1987, probably increasing sliquely in 1987.

Elsewhere around the region, the Committee learned that hate groups in North Carolina were recruiting new membership and organizing affiliates around the southeast. Their influence was spreading into Georgia and other States. In North Carolina, a previously small hate group grew from 12 to

385 members in 1985. The group had been an auxiliary associated with the State's main historical hate group. A Federal probe into 5 shooting deaths in Greensboro which resulted in jail for several members of the main hate group apparently sparked a realignment in hate group membership.

Information on incidents in North Carolina (36 incidents in 1986) and on hate group membership contributed details for the Commission's overview of hate violence.

The forum also produced an extra benefit by providing key State officials and civil rights advocates an opportunity to exchange views. Although the work of the Antiterrorism Task Force was generally applauded by community leaders, a consensus emerged that a State human rights commission was needed. The discussion led to a gubernatorial proclamation establishing the Georgia Human Relations Commission in December 1987. This advisory body held its first meeting in February 1988.

ARTICLE 3 ANTITERRORISM TASK FORCE

35-3-60. Short title.

This article shall be known and may be cited as the "Antiterrorism Act." (Code 1981, § 35-3-60, enacted by Ga. L. 1984, p. 22, § 35.)

Editor's notes. — Ga L 1984, p 22. tive findings (see now § 35-3-61(a)), and § 35, effective February 3, 1984, repealed enacted current § 35-3-60 Former § 35former § 35-3-60, which dealt with legisla-3-60 was based on Ga. L. 1983, p. 393, § 1.

35-3-61. Legislative findings; purpose; liberal construction.

- (a) This article is enacted as a direct response to the high level of reactivation of violent and terroristic acts against persons residing within the State of Georgia and in response to the outcry of the communities for assistance from the State of Georgia in combating these violent and terroristic acts.
- (b) The purpose of this article shall be to assist law enforcement personnel in the State of Georgia to identify, investigate, arrest, and prosecute individuals or groups of individuals who illegally threaten, harass. terrorize, or otherwise injure or damage the person or property of persons on the basis of their race, national origin, or religious persuasion.
- (c) It is the intent of the General Assembly that this article be interpreted and construed liberally to accomplish its purposes. (Code 1981, § 35-3-61, enacted by Ga. L. 1983, p. 393, § 1; Ga. L. 1984, p. 22, § 35.)

merly contained in subsection (a) of this \$ 35-3-60 and notes thereto Code section prior to the 1984 amendment

Editor's notes. — The language for is now contained in § 35-3-60. See

35-3-62. "Terroristic act" defined.

As used in this article, the term "terroristic act" means an act which constitutes a crime against the person or against the residence of an individual which is committed with the specific intent of and may reasonably be expected to instill fear into such person or persons or which is committed for the purpose of restraining that person or those persons from exercising their rights under the Constitution and laws of this state and the United States and any illegal act directed at other persons or their property because of those persons' political beliefs or political affiliations. (Code 1981, § 35-3-62, enacted by Ga. L. 1983, p. 393, § 1; Ga. L. 1984, p. 22, § 35; Ga. L. 1987, p. 3, § 35.)

The 1987 amendment, effective Februand omissions in the Code, made language ary 4, 1987, part of an Act to correct errors changes near the end of this Code section.

16-11-38. Wearing mask, hood, or device which conceals identity of wearer.

- (a) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor when he wears a mask, hood, or device by which any portion of the face is so hidden, concealed, or covered as to conceal the identity of the wearer and is upon any public way or public property or upon the private property of another without the written permission of the owner or occupier of the property to do so.
 - (b) This Code section shall not apply to:
 - (1) A person wearing a traditional holiday costume on the occasion of the holiday:
 - (2) A person lawfully engaged in trade and employment or in a sporting activity where a mask is worn for the purpose of ensuring the physical safety of the wearer, or because of the nature of the occupation, trade, or profession, or sporting activity:
 - (3) A person using a mask in a theatrical production including use in Mardi gras celebrations and masquerade balls; or
 - (4) A person wearing a gas mask prescribed in emergency management drills and exercises or emergencies. (Ca. L. 1951, p. 9, §§ 3, 7; Code 1933, § 26-2913, enacted by Ga. L. 1958, p. 1249, § 1.)

OPINIONS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Georgia Crime Information Center is tifying persons charged under this secauthorized to maintain records idention, 1976 Op. Attiv Gen. No. 76-33.

RESEARCH REFERENCES

Am. Jur. 2d. — 12 Am. Jur. 2d. Breach of Peace, § 38.

C.J.S. — 64 C.J.S., Municipal Corporations, § 1774.

ALR. — What amounts to disguise within criminal law, 1 ALR 642.

Validity and construction of state statute

or ordinance promising picketing, parading, demonstrating, or appearing in public while masket or disguised, 2 ALR4th 1241.

Validity of law criminalizing wearing dress of opposite sex. 12 ALR4th 1249.

16-7-26. Vandalism to a place of worship.

- (a) A person commits the offense of vandalism to a place of worship when he maliciously defaces or desecrates a church, synagogue, or other place of public religious worship.
- (b) A person convicted of the offense of vandalism to a place of worship shall be punished by imprisonment for not less than one nor more than five years. (Ga. L. 1967, p. 457, § 1; Code 1933, § 26-1505, enacted by Ga. L. 1968, p. 1249, § 1.)

RESEARCH REFERENCES

ALR. — Validity and construction of statute or ordinance prohibiting desectation of church, 90 ALR3d 1128

RACIST VIOLENCE AND HATE GROUP ACTIVITY REPORT FORM NAACP SOUTHEAST REGION

SOURCE	/ Date of Report
Name/Org Street Address City/County/State/Zip Phone #	
DATE OF INCIDENT/	TIME(if possible) AM or PM
Street Address City/County/State/Zip Phone # Name of Victim(s)	
Is Address of Victim(s) Same A	s Above? If not, list below.
ACTIVITY	
Physical Assault Verbal Threat/Harassment Vandalism Arson Shooting Murder Bombing Possible Denial of Civil Rights Because of above	Private Meeting Public Meeting/Rally Crossburning Paramilitary Activity Electoral Activity Literature Distribution Streetside Fundraising Other Political Activity
Describe incident in as much of continue on another sheet of	detail as possible. If necessary, paper.
PERPETRATOR	
Unaffiliated Individual Unaffiliated Group	<pre>Affiliated Individual Affiliated Group or Organization</pre>
Name of Perpetrator Address	
ATTACH SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATI	ON.(Newspaper clip,police report,etc)

DESCRIPTION OF PERPETRATOR(S)	, PARTICIPANTS, EVENT, CONTINUED
Name of publication	
is publication attached?	
Other Identifying Characteris Type of clothing (robes, fa	tics (if appropriate)
Age/Sex/Physical Description	n
Other	
Number of people involved in	meeting/rally/etc.
Apparent leadership	
Speaker(s)	
FOLLOW UP	
Press Criminal Charges	Press Civil Charges
Investigate Further Other(specify)	Documentation Interview
	Interview
<pre> Send Information Copies to:</pre>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Follow Up Notes:	
•	Processor
	of Information 1 2 3 4 5

Return this form to:
NAACP Southeast Regional Office
970 Martin Luther King Drive, S.W. - Suite #203
Atlanta, Ga. 30314
phone: 404 688-8868
(FORM PREPARED BY CENTER FOR DEMOCRATIC RENEWAL)