PADILLA and ASSOCIATES

## 

## 

б

## AFTERNOON SESSION

(Pursuant to the recess last-above noted, the proceedings were reconvened at 1:15 o'clock p.m. as follows:)

DR. WHITE: I'd like to call this meeting to order.

Welcome to the continuation of our session.

Just to repeat one or two of the grounds rules. We have a full schedule and we have asked people to limit their oral remarks to six to eight minutes.

And we would ask the cooperation of witnesses, as much as possible.

And if there is a substantial over run on time, we will call time.

But every witness or -- any other person is invited to submit written statements. If you have a more extensive testimony that you would like to submit for the record, we will be more than happy to receive that.

Now, we have according to the schedule we have four witnesses under the general heading of "community."

I would like to announce that there is a change in

the agenda. One of the scheduled witnesses, under the 1 community section, will not be able to appear. Guadalupe 2 3 Pacheco, will not appear. Instead, we will hear from Ms. Teresa Rodriguez, 4 5 who, I believe, is the office manager for Congressman Morris K. Udall, is that correct? 6 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 7 8 DR. WHITE: And she will in fact replace Guadalupe 9 Pacheco. 10 Well, I suppose we'll proceed according to the order in which the people appear on the schedule. 11 12 So we'll call first of all, Alva V. Torres, of 13 Catholic Community Services. 14 Which is -- that's you? 15 MS. TORRES: Yes. 16 DR. WHITE: Welcome, and you may proceed. 17 MS. TORRES: Thank you very much for this 18 opportunity. Catholic Community Services' Legalization 19 20 Department has documented over 5,000, in documentation of 21 over 5,000 people to go to INS in compliance with IRCA. 22 We are vitally interested to seeing that these 23 people are treated fairly the entire time and are not

impeded along the way. Particularly, when it has already

been ascertained that they have a right and that they are

24

25

eligible to apply.

Nevertheless, we have had discrimination -- I'm limiting my views to this group, because this is what I know about.

Actually, I was born here, and for myself, I have never felt discrimination, personally. I can honestly say that. So it's not that I'm coming from a history of saying that there's all kinds -- I'm sure there is.

And, I'm sure other people have felt it. But, I'm just speaking for myself.

We have found that our clients have had discrimination in all phases of their life. And I'd like to just point out general groups. I'm not going to go to specific except at the end, maybe, for one or two, which I felt are just way to much.

We find that employment -- that's the first place where there was discrimination.

Last year before the rule went in that they had to have their work authorization way before, people were being turned down, were not being hired, although the date had been rolled back, and therefore, it made it very very difficult for these people to even get the money and to survive and much less go to INS with their fees for \$185.

We were, thanks to God, we were able to raise

through all kinds of fund-raisers, over \$60,000 on a loan basis to help these people that were out of work.

That gives you an idea that there were a lot of people out of work, not because they wanted to be out of work, simply they wouldn't be given jobs.

Then there were some that were given jobs, but when payday came around they just weren't paid. They were told that because they were not here legally, although, we showed them that the people were applying, and we were just needing that money just for the fees. We tried to intervene in many cases and got a lot of rude answers and some derogatory and that was another case of down right discrimination. And then there were those that were paid, but they were only partially paid.

We had a lady that worked two weeks, she was paid for three days because she was here illegally. And we had people that were allowed to continue working but they weren't going to be paid, and they weren't paid. But they could work. And that way the boss felt he wasn't breaking the rule, because he wasn't paying them. And so we do have these cases. That's in the area of work.

Then in the area of traveling. We've had people on the way to our office with papers so that they can come and sign up, bodily taken off of buses, cars, even just picked up.

.

We've had people that leave our office with a letter of attestation saying that they are already in the process.

Of course, those people were picked up by border patrol and in some case border patrol called us, and respected the letter, and let the people come back and finish.

But, in many cases they were deported, just plain taken across, even though they had the letter. And they were told that that letter was of no value. And that Catholic Community Services were just trying to take people, and that we were cheating them and fraudulent.

And I did make complaints to border patrol on that.

We also have had trouble where someone already had a full, finished, completed packet, totally done, and stopped because they were going to apply someplace else. They were going to apply someplace else because they were moving over there and it was easier to apply there because they'd be interviewed there, particularly, when it got very very busy and they fell behind and started giving appointments.

We had one particular man who had everything

finished and was on his way to Michigan and we had even

talked to his bosses -- they had sent the letter -- he

worked here for a number of years and he was taken off the

bus. He told us, we saw him again, he told us that he was mistreated -- his luggage was kicked -- little luggage that he had was kicked -- his papers were torn up. We had copies of everything. He made it back, and he came back in as a SAW, and again we got in touch with his bosses in Michigan.

And the second time we went through the whole rigmarole, we had him apply here, although, he couldn't work because he wasn't able to get work here right away.

But, he's all right, now.

But, this is the kind of thing that's come up.

We also had cases where the airlines call us and want to know if people can get on the airplane. But when I talked to Immigration at one time they said, "Well, don't send them on the bus, and they said, don't send them to Texas, for God sake, but put them on a plane, if they are going to go register somewhere else." But that isn't going to work anyway because the airlines were calling us up and saying that if people didn't have legal papers, they couldn't fly. And that's something I didn't know about. But that's what did happen.

The other cases involve home. And that's what bothers me the very very most. We had two cases where border patrol agents entered private homes.

In one case, I remember specifically, it was not to

far from downtown. There were some young men sitting on the car, in a driveway, in a private driveway, and the border patrol stopped and two of the boys ran off, and one just stayed there because he lived there. And they got off — and asked him for his papers — and he took out whatever he had — and he gave them the wallet, and he said they threw it on the floor, and when he stooped over to pick it up they started nudging him and told him to get inside.

And they followed him inside the house and they threatened him. They said that if he didn't leave there that they were going to come and pick up everybody in the family.

The rest of the people that lived in the house were legal residents. They were the ones that came and made the complaint, and they were furious.

I really get scared when I hear things like this, because, as I said earlier, I was born here, everybody knew everybody, and unless someone has broken a rule there is no reason to treat them that way.

That man hadn't done anything wrong. And he was one of our clients registered with us.

I also want to say that in each case that I have mentioned, in every single case, the people discriminated against were Hispanic.

Maybe in other parts of the country they are from other backgrounds, other ethnic backgrounds, but here they were.

Another thing that just happened and this is the last one I'm going to mention. One of our clients after he had his authorization work, his fee receipt, gives you authority to work and shows that you have applied, went to one of our local Employment Offices and Social Security Offices — and I have the address and so forth, and I'm going to hand it in here — to ask for a Social Security Number because he was authorized to work and his boss told him to go pick up a Social Security number, to go apply.

He was asked by the clerk if he could read and write in English, and he answered, no.

The clerk then informed him that, therefore, he could not have a Social Security number, because it's the law of the land.

This type of thing is just something that I feel we that we can't put up with. I can't speak for the whole world, but certainly for Tucson, can be vigilant here in our own town. A town that I have great feelings for and I don't like this type of thing creeping in. Under the guidance of patriotism or taking care of our country or being sure -- And, so, I just feel that these things have to be looked into and not let go by the wayside.

And I thank you very much. 1 If you have any questions. 2 DR. WHITE: Are there any questions? 3 SENATOR PENA: I have just one question. DR. WHITE: Senator Pena. 5 SENATOR PENA: That last one, about the Social 6 7 Security cards? 8 MS. TORRES: Yes. 9 SENATOR PENA: Was there any complaints made to 10 anybody? MS. TORRES: No. I found out by chance. And a lot 11 12 of these things were by chance. 13 We have had people that have come and say that they haven't gotten paid. But, in a lot of these things it's 14 15 just because I was mentioning something to someone else, 16 and they said, did you know that this happened to this man 17 and he had witnesses. And I said, if it did, I'd like to 18 have him call. And in fact, he might show up here this 19 afternoon. I asked him if he might come when they have 20 the general call to the audience and speak up on this 21 because that is really appalling to me. 22 SENATOR PENA: On the non-payment of wages. 23 MS. TORRES: Yes. 24 SENATOR PENA: Did anybody tell these folks that 25 there is a labor department that enforces that?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TORRES: Yes, we did. And even then we are not getting anywhere.

And later this afternoon someone is going to come here and report on that from a first-hand view.

DR. WHITE: Any further questions?

If not, we thank you very much.

MS. TORRES: Thank you. Thank you for your interest.

DR. WHITE: And now we'll proceed with the next witness who is Ms. Helen Mautner who is with the American Civil Liberties Union.

I see you are a card member?

MS. MAUTNER: Yes, I brought the card just to make sure it was authentic.

DR. WHITE: All right, you may proceed.

MS. MAUTNER: Thank you. Thank you for the opportunity to come here. Let me put on my glasses.

I am a member of the Southern Arizona Coalition on Immigration representing the Arizona Civil Liberties Union of which I am the Associate Director.

We have worked with the Coalition since before IRCA was passed and been available mostly as a resource to help educate the public in this community and those wishing to apply for amnesty since the passage of IRCA.

We are not, and I want you to understand, directly

involved with persons wishing to apply for amnesty, nor do we help in any way with the application process, because that's not the kind of organization we are. We are a civil rights organization.

As that, we have been the recipients of dozens of calls since IRCA's inception from both employees and employers about certain provisions of the law, dealing with employer sanctions and with anti-discrimination provisions of IRCA.

We have also received calls from members of the public to tell us about abuses they have themselves witnessed or were alleged by undocumented persons to have taken place.

The abuses, they claim, are upon mostly Hispanic peoples or "foreign-looking" people by local law enforcement personnel.

I want to speak today very briefly about those two issues, employer sanctions and the abuses.

Shortly after IRCA was signed into law but before it even took effect we began receiving calls from employees about their status, about speaking Spanish on the job, what they needed for documentation and if they could be deported once they did apply for amnesty.

The calls from employers were almost as numerous and they appeared to be equally confused, in many

instances more confused. Should they fire all those employees who were undocumented? What would happen to them if they could not get verification from their employees? Should they turn in employees to INS? Would they be sanctioned if they didn't?

1.2

To us it would appear that there is still a great deal of confusion on the part of employers about requirements needed for employment. This confusion since implementation of the law has resulted in unequal application of the law.

For example, employers have a perception that only a person with a green card is work eligible if not a native born person.

When, in fact, there are students who are work eligible. And I think being right here in Tucson on top of the university we are more aware of them, that particular aspect than we might be if we had an office located someplace else. In other words, foreign students who do have work permits.

Anyway, students who are employment authorized, temporary residents, and agriculture workers, who are all employment authorized, but do not carry green cards.

This thing needs to be clarified. It needs to be clarified probably by way of an amendment, perhaps, to the existing provisions for employment sanctions.

There also appears to be a need for some amendment to the statute whereby it is made clear that Intending Citizens and SAWS are protected by the anti-discrimination clauses. Which are already written into the law.

Anyone who has applied for legalization, even before she or he gets work authorization, is covered by that provision and needs to be protected.

It's not clear to employers that the Declaration of Intending Citizens, that's a form, Declaration of Intending Citizens form, may be filed at any time before a discrimination charge is filed, but need not have been executed when the discrimination has occurred.

And I believe that SAWS are not even mentioned at all, but, in fact, are also protected under that provision of the law. At least that was the intent of Congress.

There is a need -- the next point is -- there is a need to move along a little faster in adjudicating the legalization.

Some people still don't have work authorization even though applications have long been filed and gone through the process.

I think one of the attorneys this morning mentioned that, also.

PADILLA and ASSOCIATES

There is a need to do education and out-reach to

employers and employees both, to try to push for those amendments, that I just got through mentioning, on those two issues.

1.8

My understanding, by the way, is that there is a GAO Report due probably early next week, and I understand from talking to people who have called to ask us for statistical figures that it will show that there is, in fact, enormous confusion amongst employers. And that seems to be a really serious issue.

The other issue I mentioned early that I'd like to talk about very briefly, are these abuses. And when I talk about abuses, I'm talking about violations of people's rights as members of our society, whether they are citizens or undocumented persons they still have a right to some of the things that this country sanctions, like due process and illegal searches and so forth.

Not to say that they need to be illegally searched, but, they have a right not to be searched or stopped if in fact there is not probable cause.

Two specific instances in Parker, Arizona. Several months ago our offices received a call from an attorney telling us about a raid on a trailer park, in Parker, Arizona.

The local police entered the court area of the

trailer park, began to question only those residents who were in their own words, "Hispanic-looking." They had no reason, they had not been called there for any reason, there was no sign of a crime having been committed or any report, in fact, of anything.

They picked up ten to twelve people and they turned them all over to the INS. The only witnesses to this, unfortunately, for us, were other migrant families who also lived in that trailer park and who have since moved on to another state and another worksite.

Most of the people who were picked up were deported, a few were let out on bond, papers were not checked before the police picked them up, some were SAWS.

The reason they were turned over to INS, police said, is because they thought it was their duty under IRCA, to do so.

The second instance I want to tell you about specifically took place right here in Tucson.

Again we received a call, this time it was from a Catholic Priest who would not give his name but wanted to report an incident that he had observed.

He had gone with another church member to drive two persons to the airport. Those two persons were to purchase airline tickets to Los Angeles.

They, the two other passengers, were Mexican

Americans. They arrived at the airport and while standing in line waiting their turn to buy tickets, they were approached by someone in uniform identifying himself as working for the airport authority. After a few minutes of questioning by this individual, the uniformed man called INS agents who came and took the two young Mexicans away.

They were subsequently deported. The priest questioned the uniformed man as to why he had even approached these two persons and what they were doing that he should have stopped while they were in line and questioned them at all.

The only conclusion he could come to, and this is what he told me, was that they were approached because they were Hispanic-looking, they might be illegals. And under the new immigration law he thought that this too should be reported to INS.

For an organization like ours that concerns itself with civil rights violations there are only a couple of things that we can do.

One, of course, we need factual information and we need to be able to document these things.

In the instances I just related to you we were never able to document them, so, in terms of filing any kind of litigation that was impossible.

In terms of following it up we were able to gather, to glue together a few facts.

In the second instance in Tucson I did have that priest report to the Southern Arizona Legal Aid Society, and they tried to follow it up.

But, I think, as I recollect, by the time we got on to that it was also to late.

Sometimes we don't even know, in other words, whether these people were, in fact, undocumented, but they were picked up.

There is one other thing that has been going on.

There has been attempts by local law enforcement officers to pick up people, many times, as Alva just told you, simply because they appear to be, foreign looking, and most of the time in this community, Hispanic.

Several months back the Tucson Police Department passed around a memo indicating that they were going to start a new program at which time they would be picking up people or if they did pick up people for questioning, they would check their documentation and ask what their legal status was.

Now, this is not part of, and has never been part of what law enforcement, city law enforcement, is supposed to do. That's not their job, in other words, to interest themselves in that area.

1 My understanding of that, and I imagine you can 2 check this with the police chief this afternoon, is that there was a memo that was circulated amongst the council 3 4 members, but never passed. And I don't know that they are implementing that 5 6 program. But, it's one of the instances where we have to be 7 concerned when law enforcement officers who are not in 8 the business of checking documentation or checking 9 10 legalization are, in fact, doing that and turning people over, and, in fact, stopping them for no reason except for 11 the fact that they may be "foreign-looking." 12 13 I find in a country of immigrants that we are so 14 much afraid of foreigners, that it's getting a little 15 scarey. 16 I thank you for your time. 17 DR. WHITE: Are there any more questions? 18 SENATOR PENA: Mr. Chairman. 19 DR. WHITE: Yes, Senator Pena. 20 SENATOR PENA: These two Hispanic-looking fellows 21 at the airport --22 MS. MAUTNER: Yes. 23 SENATOR PENA: Did I misunderstand you to say that they were Mexican American, and that they were deported? 24 I was told that they were Mexican 25 MS. MAUTNER:

1 Americans. And that's what the priest understood. They were passengers in his car. 2 When we tried to find out what happened to them, 3 they were told that they were deported. 4 Now, if they were Mexican American, obviously, 5 they shouldn't have been. Maybe they were undocumented, 6 we just don't know, that's the whole point. 7 By the time you get that kind of information, by 9 the time you try to check back to get something factual, it's sometimes to late. 10 11 They may have been on their way to Los Angeles to, in fact, complete legalization. I don't know. 12 what they were in line to purchase tickets for. 13 14 SENATOR PENA: One of my questions is: Has ACLU 15 filed any lawsuits dealing with the amnesty program? 1.6 MS. MAUTNER: Yes, we have. Not in the particular 17 area that I just mentioned today, but we have filed 18 several lawsuits around the country. None to my knowledge 19 in the State of Arizona. 20 DR. WHITE: Any other questions? 21 MR. ZAZUETA: On this memo that was circulated, 22 what was the essence of the memo? 2.3 MS. MAUTNER: It was -- the essence of the memo was 24 to tell the council members that they were thinking of 25 instituting a new program whereby when they stop

people -- and, I don't have the memo with me. I'm very sorry. I should have brought it. But, we thought it was important to bring this issue up to you in the hopes that you will be able to ask those questions this afternoon.

But, my understanding, or my recollection, I should say, of the memo was that the purpose of it was to try to check people who were picked up for their paperwork.

DR. WHITE: It occurs to me that there are incidents of abuses or illegalities that it ought to be possible for your organization or anyone else to concentrate on those rather than on the uncheckable anecdotes such as the one about the alleged Mexican American at the bus station.

Apparently, it's not known for sure exactly what happened there. Who these people were. Were they in fact Mexican Americans or were they Mexican nationals, or what the story was.

In any event, what can anyone do with an anecdote like that, which cannot be verified.

MS. MAUTNER: Well, I think we are trying to tell you that these things go on and that they exist. Not to tell you anecdotes.

But it's very difficult to document these things

because many times people are afraid to give you their 1 name or to give you the name of an organization they 2 might be with for a variety of reasons. 3 4 In the case of the Catholic Priest, he wasn't sure 5 that his church would have been happy with his sitting in 6 a car with people who, may in fact, have been undocumented people. And, therefore, he wouldn't come 7 forward with his name. 8 9 DR. WHITE: Okay. Well, thank you very much. 10 MS. MAUTNER: You're welcome. 11 DR. WHITE: And our next speaker is Rita Kruse. 12 Is it Kruse, or Krusee? 13 MS. KRUSE: Kruse. 14 DR. WHTIE: Kruse. Thank you. 15 And, Ms. Kruse, is with the Tucson Ecumenical 16 Council, Legal Assistance.

Welcome, and you may proceed.

MS. KRUSE: Thank you.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm the attorney for that program. And our purpose is to help Central American refugees obtain political asylum.

In order to obtain political asylum a person must show that he's been persecuted in the past or he has a well-founded persecution, based upon race, religion, nationality, political opinion or belonging to a

particular social group.

Our clients are refugees. These are people who fled their homelands because of these pressures. Most of them do not even want to be here, they simply have no other choice, if they are going to continue living.

Our clients are mainly Guatamalans and Salvadorans, we have a few Hondurans and some Nicaraguans.

Refugees are not immigrants in the usual sense because of the circumstance which force them to seek asylum in this country.

I believe that IRCA simply did not take into account the impact it would have on refugees in the United States.

The best statistics that we have nation-wide are that three to four percent of asylum applications are granted. Fewer than ten pecent of the Central American refugees here in the United States are eligible for amnesty.

Not all of these applied for reasons set forth this morning by the legal panel.

So, IRCA has forced these people to either go underground or come forth and apply for political asylum.

Because of the lack of legal representation many of these people have turned to people here in the United States, who are not attorneys, for help with their

applications.

Most often these applications are poorly prepared and the refugees lose their cases.

In addition to that, the non-attorney helper quite often charges exorbitant fees and promises the refugees work permits.

Now, we come to the real meat of this problem which is the work permits.

I can't think of much that is more basic to our American way of life, than being able to work. My clients are dying to work. They are workers in their country. They've worked all their lives, and they truly understand the need to support their families and support themselves.

And they find it very disheartening and very degrading, and sometimes very hungry, because they are unable to work.

In order to get a work permit you must apply for political asylum aside from the IRCA situation.

And after you have been arrested then you may apply. And this request goes into Phoenix to the INS. It takes four to six weeks for them to come back with a work permit, in your average case.

I have one man who has been waiting ten weeks and he is still waiting. I've had people who have waited

longer than that.

1.0

1.6

It's possible for people to apply for political asylum without being arrested. That means they apply affirmatively, as what it is called. And you may obtain a work permit through that, as well.

Most of time these affirmative filings are denied by the INS officials and then the person has the right to go before the judge to present his or her case there.

And they have to apply all over again for a new work permit, because now they are in a different category according to the INS.

There is quite often a big delay between the denial of this affirmative filing application and the requested arrest. You have to request that the INS arrest your client.

During that time there is no work permit. There is no legal recourse for these people to go out and make money to feed their families.

Without work permits these people get fired. When the work permit expires, the employer understandably gets very nervous and says you can't work here anymore.

Even though the lack of work permit may not be the fault of the worker at all. The worker is penalized because of the INS laxity and sometimes obstructiveness in getting these people their work permits to which they are

entitled.

J

After they have applied for asylum they can apply for a work permit, and they are entitled to it according to the INS regs.

Well, the work permit will be issued for three months or six months.

At the end of that time, the person has to go back and get it renewed.

That means sending it back to Phoenix so that they can stamp it up there and do whatever they wish to do with it.

And, again, there is a gap where the person has no employment authorization. And what can an employer do?

He's under the gun because he's got to prove to the INS if they show up that this person really has work authorization.

The essence of it is that there does not seem to be any way to stay in compliance with these INS regs because of the way the program is being administered.

Now, what I hear is that they're just overworked, they're overworked, they're overworked.

Well, that is not my client's problem that the INS may be overworked. When these people have no food to feed their babies.

I really agreed with the legal panel this morning

in recommending that the INS not be the enforcement group for IRCA.

I would like to ask the GAO to pressure INS to give us the statistics on what they are doing.

I think this would help clarify quite a bit of the testimony that's been given here today.

I would like to see these employer sanctions repealed because of hardship that they work on people who are here earnestly seeking asylum and doing the best that they could possibly do, who really would like to work, and who are good workers, and who are not taking the kind of jobs that you and I would like.

The kind of job that these people take are minimum wage or below.

And by the way there are two pay scales at least.

There is a pay scale for people who are documented and a pay scale for people who are undocumented.

Even as Alva testified, some of them are never paid. Some of them are just paid so poorly that nobody can make a living like that.

But they feel that they can't afford to protest.

Overall, I would say that IRCA is making life much more difficult for refugees and their families because of the lack of employment or the discriminatory employment that goes on.

1	I have nothing further.
2	DR. WHITE: Thank you.
3	Any questions?
4	Yes, Mrs. Whitlock.
5	MRS. WHITLOCK: Based on the testimony I've heard
6	all day, I'm just curious to know from any of you, who do
7	you see as a type of person that should be denied? I mean
8	I get the feeling.
9	MS. KRUSE: Denied work authorization?
10	MRS. WHITLOCK: No, denied entrance into this
11	country, or that should get the documentation. I'm
12	beginning to wonder, is there anybody that would be
13	truly considered illegal by anybody that has
14	testified here today? You understand what I mean?
15	MS. KRUSE: Well, when my client goes to court the
16	first thing they tell the judge is, yes, I entered
17	without inspection, therefore, I admit that I am here
18	illegally.
19	MRS. WHITLOCK: But, what I'm hearing is, in a
20	sense, everybody that wants to come should be allowed
21	to come for any reason.
22	I'd like to know, who are the ones that should
23	MS. TORRES: May I answer?
24	MRS. WHITLOCK: Yes.
25	MS. TORRES: Before we assisted anybody, we put

1 them through a pre-screening program. We had a pre-screening. And if they were not eligible, we just 2 simply told them that we couldn't help them and a lot of 3 people whole families have left, gone to back to Mexico. 4 MRS. WHITLOCK: Well, what would be --5 6 MS. TORRES: Sombody that is not eligible? MRS. WHITLOCK: Yes. 7 MS. TORRES: Okay, in a legalization, someone that 8 wasn't here prior to January 1, 1982 is not eligible. And 9 wasn't living here by December 31, 1981, in our program. 10 So if someone came in '82, '83, '84, '85, '86, '87, and 11 12 did not work in agricultural, for the eligibility, which 13 I'll explain next, we never serviced them. 14 We didn't say everybody, we just assisted people 15 that did not have a felony, that fulfill the criteria. 16 MRS. WHITLOCK: Okay. How do you find out? How do 17 you know what they are telling you? 18 MS. TORRES: Because they have to prove it with 19 documentation. In other words, somebody came to our office and the 20 21 first people that came they didn't even know if they 22 were eligible or not. This is why we found a lot of 23 people that weren't eligible. 24 They just heard that there was something that could

give them legality. Which is what they wanted, of course.

25

So, then we gave them a screening sheet, we screened them. And then we would bring them in, and if they weren't eligible -- I didn't want to embarrass them in front of everybody.

So we take them into a room and talk to them one at a time, and then we say you're fine, you are eligible. You are going to the other room and you are going to do documentation.

And if you are not eligible then, at that point, I would have to tell them that they just simply weren't.

MRS. WHITLOCK: What do you recommend when you feel that they aren't eligible, what do you recommend to the individual?

MS. TORRES: It depends on the situation.

In some cases some of them say, well, should we go back to Mexico? And I say, well, in this case, I asked them did you work in agriculture, and they would say, no.

I'm talking about mostly people from Mexico, because that's who we mostly saw. I would say 70 or 80 percent at least.

If someone told me they came in '83,'84, or '85, I would tell them, you are going to be in a bad situation, you're not going to be able to work here, because you'll have no work permit, you'll have no authorization. We cannot help you. I'm really very sorry.

a different group of people.

25

1 MS. TORRES: Okay, but I was just answering the lady, because she said anybody could answer. And, no, we 2 only took care of those who showed clear eligibility 3 4 and how do they show it by proof. And we don't decide it, the INS then finally 5 6 decides if their proof is good enough. MRS. WHITLOCK: This is a different situation 7 8 entirely? MS. TORRES: Yes, this is a different situation. 9 10 We don't deal with --11 DR. WHITE: Since we have established that this is a separate problem, I would like to ask Ms. Kruse about 12 13 this. 14 Is it not true that, in fact, the overwhelming 15 majority of these people, say from El Salvador, are, in 16 fact, economic refugees? 17 MS. KRUSE: I do not find that in my practice at 18 all. 19 In fact, when we go to Immigration Court we have 20 been winning 80 percent of our cases. 21 That means that the judge has agreed with us in 22 80 percent of the cases that these people do meet the 23 standards for refugees, that they are asylees. 24 DR. WHITE: Well, that may be the case if you take them to court. But, I thought you cited a percentage 25

about -- an overwhelming percentage in which the refugee 1 2 status was, in fact, denied? MS. KRUSE: Nationwide --3 4 DR. WHITE: Yes. MS. KRUSE: -- it is. At least that's the only 5 6 statistic we've been able to pry out of INS. DR. WHITE: Yes. 7 MS. KRUSE: And they are the only people who can 8 really keep that kind of statistic. 9 10 DR. WHITE: Wouldn't you agree that a large part 11 of this group of people are never going to be able to 12 establish that they are political refugees within the 13 meaning of the law, where they have a well-founded 14 fear, and so on, and so on. MS. KRUSE: I think that's true, but, not because 15 they are economic refugees. I think it's true because 16 17 they don't have the legal help they need to help them 18 set forth their story and what happened to them. 19 DR. WHITE: Well, if it's truly political, and not 20 economic, why don't they stop in Mexico? 21 MS. KRUSE: Mexico will not give people political 22 asylum. It hates these people. It does not like Central 23 American refugees. It deports them at every opportunity. 24 The Mexican police -- we've had many testimony of

our clients in this category. The Mexican police will

25

find out that somebody is a Guatemalan refugee, will go to 1 that person and say, "If you don't give me all of your 2 money, I'm going to turn you over to the Mexican 3 Immigration and you'll be deported." 4 5 Then the person is put on a list. That person's name is given up to the next higher Mexican police 6 official. Then the list goes up and up and up. 7 8 person may be facing extortion numerous times. After 9 you've been extorted several times, you give up. You 10 realize that Mexico will never let you stay, regardless, 11 of what happened to you. 12 DR. WHITE: So what you are saying is that these 1.3 Central American people are being persecuted by the 14 Mexican authority? 15 MS. KRUSE: They are. Yes, it's just really 16 shocking. 17 DR. WHITE: Any other questions? 18 We thank you very much. 19 And finally, we have, as previously announced, a substitute for Ms. Pacheco, and that is Teresa Rodriguez, 20 21 who is the office manager for Congressman Morris K. Udall. 22 We welcome you, and you may proceed. 23 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 24 I am an aide to Congressman Morris Udall, who

represents the Second Congressional District in Arizona.

25

The district in which this meeting is being held.

1.3

As a chief case worker for Congressman Udall, I work on many immigration cases of all kinds. And since provisions of the Immigration Reform & Control Act of 1986 took effect, I have handled numerous cases of people seeking to qualify for amnesty under the act.

Incidentally, Congressman Udall supported the Immigration Reform and Control legislation because, in part, he believed that it would bring the many illegal immigrants living in fear of deportation out of the shadows and into the mainstream of American life.

This certainly has been the result for many illegal immigrants, especially many families who came here from our neighbor to the south, Mexico. But there have been problems.

Some of the problems called to Congressman Udall's attention were simply a result of people being unfamiliar with the amnesty or legalization process who needed steering in the right direction.

Other problems have been more serious; people preyed on by unscrupulous operators before they reached the Immigration and Naturalization Service or qualified individuals or agencies designated by the INS to help with the legalization process, and overzealous officers whose actions, simply stated, were harassment.

I cannot give you names of individuals involved in any of the cases in which I am familiar with because the people are afraid that they will be victims of revenge or new harassment. But these are the facts as I know them.

Some immigrants seeking legalization under the Seasonal Agricultural Workers Program have reported that their former employers from whom documentation must be obtained in order to receive legalization have been charged up to \$500 to provide the necessary proof that the individuals need to work in the United States for the period covered by IRCA.

There have been other cases called to our attention is which Notary Publics, representing themselves as individuals designated by the INS to represent immigrants seeking legalization, have charged exorbitant rates and then not even qualified clients for legalization.

Perhaps more troublesome are cases reported to our office of harassment by Border Patrol agents, especially in the border city of Nogales, Arizona.

A delegation of housewives from that city sat in my office and reported that agents were, in effect, spying on them in an effort to learn whether they were employing undocumented aliens.

In one instance, they said, agents took into custody a baby sitter and left the children unattended without any adult supervision.

1.0

Top officials of the Immigration and Naturalization Service pledged as the legalization program got underway, that they would do everything possible to inform illegal immigrants about the opportunity to become legal residents of the United States and that they would help eligible persons qualify.

I believe that most of these officials and their employees have tried to keep that pledge. But cases have been called to my attention where immigrant aliens were not treated well at the service's own legalization center here in Tucson.

I know that the INS has had to deal with cases of fraud and on the part of the applicants for amnesty and the people from whom they sought help.

But Congressman Udall and others who voted for Immigration Reform want to be sure that any injustices are rectified, where possible. And that the Phase II program is carried out with compassion and respect for the individuals involved.

Now that the period for applying for amnesty is almost over, the Congressman also wants to be assured that the rights of the individuals are not infringed

upon by officials of the Immigration and Naturalization
Service or Border Patrol or any other law enforcement
officer who should be protecting rights, not violating
them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to these comments, I'd like to add that a couple of months ago, I had two incidents that happened to me personally.

In one of the incidents I was crossing the border city in which I was born, I was raised. I came across --I confronted, came upon an immigration officer who knew me, knew who I was, knew where I worked, he proceeded to ask me where I lived, where I worked. I answered his questions, he was not satisfied, he asked me to pull over. The U. S. custom agent proceeded to search my car and after searching and being satisfied that there was not nothing illegal in the car, he proceeded to give me the go ahead to leave. As I left the border station I traveled approximately three to four miles. I was followed by border patrol. I was stopped by border patrol. I was once again asked to leave my car. My car once again searched. As they proceeded to search my brief case, they found that I -- who I worked for, I had legal documents in the brief case, they apologized. They informed me at the time that the immigration officer had in fact called me in after searching me at

the border and had called me in as a suspicion of transporting illegal aliens, although, they could see from the border that I had not made a stop. It had not even been five minutes since I had left the border before I was stopped by the border patrol agents. At that time they apologized. I explained to them that I was in the area, I was very familiar with the area. I was on a dirt road and they apparently used that as an excuse on why they had stopped me. I told them that I had grown up there, I had been raised there. They let me go.

I came to Tucson, I made a call to the immigration officials. They gave me the usual answer that they give me for most of my cases. I've worked for the Congressman almost nine years, and I've dealt with them enough to know that a lot of times we do make a lot of general inquiries because people are not willing to come forward. In this incident, I was not willing to come forward. And I was given the same answer that I usually get is that we are trying to do our job. This is a typical case where you met the profile blah blah blah. I took it in stide, and I informed them that I was in fact returning — three days later — and at the time — I was going to be returning in a couple of days. I did in fact return three days later. At that time I was pulled over again. I was asked to provide my ID, which I did, I provided my

congressional ID, plus my driver's license they ran it through the computer, they came back, they searched my vehicle, they searched my tires, my motor, they went through all my wallet. They proceeded to use the whistle that I had on my key chain, and whistled out of it.

They kept me about an hour and half. They took me inside. They had me take off my blouse. They patted me on the stomach twice. And I took it. I wanted -- I did not at any point object to it. Because I wanted to see how far they were going to carry it through. As I was leaving, they proceeded to run my ID again through the computer, which made it twice in the same day.

Upon returning to Tucson, I called the head of the of U. S. custom agent down in the border city, and she proceeded to tell me that I met the profile of someone under suspicion. I asked her whether -- I was not asking for any kind of special attention because of whom I worked for, but, I told her that they needed to have a little bit of respect.

The Congressman would not have somebody in his employment doing something illegally. And had they something concrete or a strong suspicion, I wanted to know about it.

She proceeded to tell me because I was in a rental car, because I met the profile of a young woman with

gold jewelry and I met the profile of a possible drug
dealer.

As we talked, she proceeded to tell me or I went ahead and told her that I had been there an hour and a half. By the time I had gone to my grandparent's house there had been at least five phone calls to my grandmothers, telling them that I had been held up in the border. The whole town knew about it.

And she said, well, that's exactly why, you know, you were pulled over. We want the people from this town to know that anybody can be searched and anybody can be pulled over and anybody can be searched.

I would like to bring this to the attention of the GAO office because I feel that that is pure harassment. It's not that it bothers me so much that it happened to me, but if it happened to me, you can imagine what an individual that is entering the country just on a visit goes through.

DR. WHITE: Are there any questions?

MR. ZAZUETA: Mr. Chairman, I don't have a question, but, in Phoenix, Mr. Montez, said that we could file a complaint through the Civil Rights Commission on these special cases.

DR. WHITE: Yes.

MR. ZAZUETA: Is that a fact?

DR. WHITE: That's my understanding. 1 MR. ZAZUETA: Could we follow-up on this special 2 case, Mr. Chairman? 3 DR. WHITE: I would assume so. Mr. Montez, isn't here. 5 MS. RODRIGUEZ: I want to add that, you know, we 6 never made -- I made a formal complaint to both the 7 Immigration and U. S. customs. 8 The only response that I received was there was 9 10 probable cause. What probable cause that was, they would 11 not give me an answer. And our office does intend to follow-up on that 12 13 too. 14 DR. WHITE: As much as I admire our regional 15 director, if I try to redress a grievance, I'd rather have 16 Morris Udall than Philip Montez, wouldn't you? But, certainly to answer your question is, yes. 17 Ιf 18 you wish to put something on paper, I'll be glad to see 19 what you can come up with. 20 MS. RODRIGUEZ: I'd like to add that I don't think 21 what happened to me is unfamiliar to a lot of people, 22 especially in the border towns. But, I think that those 23 incidents have escalated a great deal. 24 Like I said, I've worked for the Congressman

almost nine years, and I have noticed a great number of

25

incidents like mine since IRCA came into effect.

Now, one of the perceptions was that they singled me out, too, because, as you know, Congressman Udall is the only one from the Arizona Delegation that voted for IRCA.

And I have to say that some of the officials down there are not very pleased about it.

SENATOR PENA: Mr. Chairman?

DR. WHITE: Yes.

SENATOR PENA: I can attest to that, because I have relatives in Cannaneya. We went to a wedding, and I took two of my automobiles with my family. And on the way back two daughters and their husbands were in one car with some grandchildren. And they did the same thing that they did to you. They pulled them over and searched them and searched the automobile.

And then when I came through a day later they didn't search my automobile, but they asked a lot of stupid questions. They were asked by immigration authorities, by the border patrol. And a lot of that is uncalled for.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: I asked them when I made the inquiry why they had whistled on my whistle. And they bluntly told me they thought I had drugs in the whistle, which is really ridiculous.

1	DR. WHITE: In the little ball of the whistle?
2	MS. RODRIGUEZ: Exactly, exactly.
3	DR. WHITE: Okay. Well, I'd like to thank the
4	members of this panel for your testimony.
5	And, again, if you have any documents that you'd
6	like to leave with us.
7	Thank you.
8	DR. WHITE: We next have a panel on education.
9	Are they present?
10	MR. HART: I'm Greg Hart, and I have a guest that
11	I'd like to share some testimony today. Her name is
12	Jessica Dilworth.
1.3	MR. WEBER: I'm Gene Weber, and I also have a guest
14	that is more familiar with the English as the second
15	language. Her name is Agnes Daemen.
16	MS. DAEMEN: D-A-E-M-E-N.
17	DR. WHITE: I didn't get that.
18	MS. DAEMEN: D-A-E-M-E-N.
19	DR. WHITE: Okay. And the first name?
20	MS. DAEMEN: Agnes.
21	DR. WHITE: Well, I don't know how you wish to
22	proceed, but I'll call on Mr. Hart, first, and you may
23	involve your collaborator in whatever way you wish.
24	MR. HART: Okay. May I assume, sir, that you are
25	Chairman, John White?

1

DR. WHITE: I am, yes.

2

3

MR. HART: Thank you. I walked in about 15 minutes ago, so I'm not familiar. Would it be presumptuous of me to ask the names of the other panelist?

5

DR. WHITE: No. not at all.

6

7 Mr. Manual Pena, from Phoenix, who is the State Senator in

8

the Arizona Legislator. And next to him is Deanna Faust,

We'll start to my extreme right, here, politically,

9

who is a teacher at the Tolleson Elementary School

1.0

District, in Tolleson, Arizona. And next to me on the

11

right is Mr. Richard Zazueta, which is with Maricopa

12

County. Mr. Zazueta is also the Vice-Chairman of this

13

committee. And then on my left is Mrs. Shirley, Whitlock,

14

who is President of the Arizona Eagle Forum, and is from

15

16

And so you may proceed, sir.

17

MR. HART: Thank you, sir, for doing that for me.

18

My name is Greg Hart, Mr. Chairman, members of the

19

panel, I'm director of Pima County Adult Education. It

20

is a public organization, it has existed in this county

21

since 1969.

Mesa, Arizona.

22

organization is to provide basic education to adults

The specific intent, the mission of the

23

throughout Pima County with less than a high school

25

24

education.

We operate as a division of superintendent of county school office. And we serve people in a number of areas, primarily, in the areas of English as a second language instruction, GED high school equivalency instruction, and adult basic education illiteracy instruction.

We serve about 8,000 people a year in those particular areas. We also have an adult vocational training project. A refugee education project. These are all separately funded. A special project for high school dropouts 16 to 21 called PC Ed. And a project for the mentally and physically disabled called Project RAYS.

I'm here, specifically today, to talk about the impact of Second Phase Amnesty of the Immigration Reform and Control Act, and the impact it's had on my organization.

And I will simply say that the impact has been immense. We have a tremendous outpouring of people in the community coming into our classes for ESL instruction to meet the English as a second language instruction and civic instruction, to meet the requirements as set forth in the Immigration Reform and Control Act.

Those requirements being that those eligible legal applicants will have to demonstrate at some point that they have sufficient facility in English and knowledge of

American Civics to become residents of the United States, legal residence, or they will have to show that they have been involved in a certified program, and that they have satisfactorily pursued such competencies.

We have, if you've been reading the papers here in Tucson and perhaps in other parts of Arizona, you may have seen that adult education programs all over the state — by the way, adult education programs throughout the state, including Pima County Adult Education, receive funds from the Department of Education, State Department of Education — programs throughout the entire state of Arizona have absolutely been inundated with eligible legal applicants seeking to meet those criterion that I just set forth. Those being facility in English and Civics.

Recently, the INS has changed its regulation. Not long ago students had to be pursuing, had to show that they had pursued at least 30 hours successfully in a 100-hour program.

In other words, if we were offering an English language program and had to run for at least 100 hours, legal applicants had to demonstrate that they successfully participated in at least 30 of those hours.

That has recently been changed to now applicants have to be involved in programs of 60 hours

and demonstrate that they have, are you following me, and demonstate that they have successfully pursued 40 of those hours.

We, I think that for purposes of my own organization for almost any other organization that I'm aware of in Arizona, the money allocated to provide those services SLIAG money, SLIAG sounds kind of like industrial waste, but, what it means is State Legalization Impact Assistance Grants.

That money has not yet begun to flow into my programs nor into many other programs that are experiencing, these really, what amount to outrageous numbers of people given the relative level of ability to meet the need.

In some classes we have had up to 120 people with one instructor. And that is because we simply have not been able to get our hands on the SLIAG money, yet.

That's not really the fault of any one organization. Our applications for that have gone in the last six weeks. I think it's just part of the bureaucratic process, and I think it's also due to the fact, that I think in good faith, the INS did not anticipate that such large numbers of people would be coming out so quickly in the process.

We began as of May 6, I think, 1987, is when people

could start participating in the process. Adult education programs have typically been crowded for years before amnesty ever came into play.

But, I think that the INS -- other agencies, entities, individuals involved simply did not anticipate that eligible legal applicants -- some of them with up to two and a half three years to get this requirement out of the way -- would be just breaking down doors to get this requirement taken care of right away.

We got some very anxious people out there, who are making an extremely strong full-faith effort to become English speakers in our society. That's been going on for many many years.

The amnesty program has just heightened that tremendously. We need that SLIAG money to start to flow.

We are really in a crisis situation right now.

We believe that it will begin to flow both for services provided last year to ELAs and in this current year.

We believe it will begin to flow soon.

I'd like for Jessica to say a few words. She's just attended a conference in San Diego, where she acquired a great deal of information that I didn't have.

And I'd like her to share some of that with you.

Whatever I didn't say.

She said, "like what?" And I said, "like whatever I didn't say."

MS. DILWORTH: If there are questions, that would be easier for me to address.

DR. WHITE: Okay fine.

SENATOR PENA: I believe I heard you say that

Phase II could be completed by simply attending and

completing 40 hours. You don't have to learn English, you

don't have to learn civics?

Any members of the committee have a question?

MR. HART: Well, I know that Jessica will want to say something to that. I think that, Senator Pena, that is true.

You just have to demonstrate that you made a good faith effort and spent at least 40 hours in a qualified, certified program.

And if you come out of there after 40 hours and you still can't say hello, that's not what's at issue. What's at issue in my understanding of the law is that you made a good faith effort to acquire that understanding.

Now, for anybody that has ever attempted to learn a second language or a third, or a fourth language, we all know that it can't be done in 40

1 hours.

And there are different levels of quality in programs, different levels of language learning ability.

But, yeah, I would say that the answer to your question is, yes.

If a person comes in gets 40 hours and they still can't say hello, that's beside the point.

SENATOR PENA: Does the INS understand that?

MR. HART: I believe they do.

MS. DILWORTH: They set up the requirement.

This is some what of a joke with our students because they know that if only to meet the requirement of 40 hours, they know that they will be learning a lot of English in that way.

But they know that it just meant, the INS wanted to see that they had some kind of dedication that they wanted to become part of our system and part of that was attending some educational facility.

We are accredited because we are already a state institution, but there are a lot of places around town who -- there are other QDEs all over this state who were disignated to help students fill out their applications.

And a lawyer attested to that earlier that some of those places were charging an exorbitant amount for filling out those applications for the students.

Those places are also going to be designated as entities to teach students their 40 hours and all they have to do is apply with the state. And they will be able to hire teachers and train them however they decide and, thus, run those courses for 40 hours.

Students, people who are applying in Phase II who have been in this country and have already taken part in our educational system and maybe have high English abilities won't have to take the 40 hours now, they can go to INS and take a test. They can take the citizenship test, and that will qualify them for their educational requirement.

SENATOR PENA: Do the eligibles know that?

MS. DILWORTH: Oh, yes.

SENATOR PENA: Is there a program to go and educate the people?

MS. DILWORTH: Well, that's a very good question.

Because INS said that part of their outreach program

would be to be notifying the whole community of all

the changes in their regulations, which change very

frequently. We don't have the funds to do that kind

of educational outreach.

INS promised they would do that, but as I understand, they are having a hard time putting their hands on the money to do outreach properly, also.

MR. HART: For example, one of the -- we have an example of some of the difficulty that that may have caused already. Is that a lot of applicants that have already been in the program for 30 hours -- some of them feel that they have now achieved their requirement as it existed in the regulations, primarily.

But, now that requirement has been changed to 40 hours. Some of those people that have achieved 30 hours are now out. And conceivably could go to their interview sometime in 1989, and they could just show 30 hours. It gets a little bit confusing with so many changes in the law.

I wanted to make a point. INS has allocated up to \$500 per student, per eligible legal applicant for their adult educational needs, per year.

And, Mr. Pena, you may not realize that the State of Arizona has only been allocating \$76 per student, per year -- all of these years for amnesty -- for the same thing.

And I think that's an interesting thing to note that INS feels it costs \$500 and the State of Arizona, all these years, has felt that it cost about \$76. I just find it an interesting thing to ponder.

MS. DILWORTH: Well, what that will mean for us is students will become for 500 hours with the SLIAG fund so

we can apply for funding to take care of those 500 hours.

After that we hope that --

MR. HART: \$500.

MS. DILWORTH: \$500, that's right.

Which is really 166 hours.

What we hope is that students will get turned on to education. That they will come and they will learn English and they will want to go on in our education system, get their GEDs, go on to vocational training, whatever they need to do to become functioning members of our society.

With the \$500 cap and with this program possibly only lasting for a few years, we need to look at how this is going to impact educational system in a much longer term maybe a five year plan, until all the ELAs have been through and become -- get better jobs.

MR. HART: I was saying that people spend 40 hours and they meet the requirement. Learning a second language, learning about a culture, is a process that takes, some people claim a generation.

And these people are going to be with us for years, long after the amnesty money, or the money is exhausted for their education.

And, I think that that's something that the state has to consider.

25

coming to our programs for years. They actually have

1 been here since last May when they realized that they were part of the Phase II and there would be an 2 educational requirement. 3 MR. HART: Well, I think there is some confusion, but, I'm not overly concerned about it. I think that it's 5 going to level out. I don't think the first 6 7 applicants -- correct me if I'm wrong somebody in the audience or you, Jessica -- I don't think the 8 9 first applicants have their first interview scheduled 10 until next November. 11 MS. DILWORTH: No, this month. 12 MR. HART: This month. 13 MS. DILWORTH: November 7, they start. 14 MR. HART: This month. So when would they have to 15 take care of their ESL requirements? 16 MS. DILWORTH: They have one year. 17 MR. HART: They have one year from that time. 18 MS. DILWORTH: From this month. 19 MR. HART: So one year from this month? 20 So the earliest people -- thank you -- so the 21 earliest people still have a year to get that requirement 22 taken care of. 23 MS. DILWORTH: But the students are anxious. 24 MR. HART: They're anxious.

Yes, that's what I meant.

MR. ZAZUETA:

25

The other thing on the situation with the 1 ODEs, the qualified designated entities, they don't 2 have much of a structure and monies to hire teachers 3 and to buy materials? 4 5 MR. HART: Nobody does. MR. ZAZUETA: Well, the school district is a little 6 7 more --MR. HART: The school district is different but 8 adult education, it doesn't have that money either. 9 MR. ZAZUETA: So, how are you doing it, how are 10 11 these QDEs doing it? 12 MR. HART: Well, QDEs, qualified designated entities, I don't know how they are doing it. Adult 1.3 14 education is doing just by sheer force of will. We, for example, are spending in my program at a 15 16 deficit of \$100,000 a year to meet the need. 17 We are going to shut down in spring if the SLIAG money doesn't flow. 18 19 MR. ZAZUETA: Now, you mentioned bureaucratic hang 20 ups. What kind of bureaucratic hang ups? 21 MR. HART: Typical bureaucratic hang ups. I think 22 that the SLIAG money is going to flow in the next ten 23 days. 24 I think that just the confusion all the way from the top to the bottom, in the regs. How it's 25

supposed to be done, how it's supposed to be monitored, 1 how to get the money out, for example, the programs for 2 last year's work after the fiscal year is over. 3 4 sort of thing. It's been very confusing. The up and down change in the regulations. If we send in a proposal for 5 a 100 hour program it gets changed to 60. All of that 6 7 requires changes. 8 MS. DILWORTH: The QDEs that I know of in the area are able to offer the classes, because, they are 9 charging students for them. 10 That's the way they have been able to set up 11 12 and get their space and to hire teachers. 13 DR. WHITE: Excuse me, I think we are running 14 behind schedule and I'd like to --15 MRS. FAUST: Just one quick question. 16 Jessica, do you find that some of the students 17 have transportation problems? 18 MS. DILWORTH: Oh, yes. 19 MRS. FAUST: And if so, do you assist along those 20 lines in any way? 21 MS. DILWORTH: We can assist with transportation. 22 We have a hard time supplying materials to our 23 classes with our budget. All students can do is come to 24 class and hopefully make some friends in their

neighborhood so they can get rides from, but that's it.

25

1 MR. HART: And we are trying to open up classes as 2 well in every neighborhood that we can get in to. 3 MRS. FAUST: So transportation is a problem? MS. DILWORTH: Yes, it is. 4 DR. WHITE: Okay. Well, let's hear from Mr. Weber 5 6 and his collaborator. 7 However you want to proceed? 8 MR. WEBER: Thank you much, Chairman, White. 9 Just a little overview on the Tucson Unified School 10 District. 11 We have approximately 57,000 students, 103 12 campuses, approximately 44 percent minority. 13 What I would like to speak on is, yes, there is a 14 significant impact of non-English speaking youngsters. 15 The difficulty that we have is knowing which are 16 due to amnesty and which are not. 17 To go to school a youngster is if they are with 18 their parent or legal guardian whether they are 19 documented or undocumented or applying for amnesty, by a 20 Supreme court case a number of years ago, we must 21 enroll. 22 So, we don't have a clear figure of which are 23 directly related to amnesty on the impact. We do know 24 that last spring in May, at the end of the school year, we 25 had 1,475 youngsters, at that point, whose home language or base language was not English.

Already this fall we are approaching 2,000, and these are new students, it's almost 2,000 new students that are non-English speaking.

But I do need to clarify due to the enrollment procedure, we cannot ask which way they got there, documented or undocumented if they were with their parents or legal guardian.

We would be discriminating if we ask that, so, our information would not be real precise on numbers.

What this does to us is all of our English as a second class are over enrolled.

One school, one middle school has 116, monolingual spanish-speaking only this year. One middle school, that's a school that has 7th and 8th graders.

So, the impact is we don't have room, and yes, in our class, English as a second language, and then following that through we are short for textbooks instructional supplies, some of the things that Mr. Hart, mentioned so, yes, we are overjammed.

The other thing that we see happening is that if
the parents also can't learn English we see some trade
offs or some relationships here to what Greg is talking
about to help the youngsters in school that are learning
English and it's ideal, the parent also has that

1

opportunity to learn English.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

The other thing what's happening in Arizona nationally, we keep increasing the graduation requirements and making the academic achievement level higher. So we suspect that we'll have more pushouts of kids who will not graduate and very likely it could be the youngsters that are coming in through amnesty.

So, it's kind of a revolving cycle. So, yes, higher standards, less opportunity for English as a second language, and more courses needed to graduate.

So, we would look at our dropout rate increasing for many of these youngsters that are here through amnesty may become frustrated and drop out because we are not providing the resources needed.

I would just like to ask -- Agnes, works directly in the bilingual and ESL program, and I don't know if there is any other statistics, about our impact that you might like to add.

MS. DAEMEN: No. Although it's true that we cannot determine who was here because of amnesty, we've always had very good contact with Pima County Adult Education.

And I used to be able to tell the parents when they came to my office to enroll for classes. I do know that a lot of our children have parents who are enrolled in the

1 ESL classes at Pima County Adult.

So, it's almost quite clear that a lot of our students are from families who have applied for amnesty.

And, unfortunately, I can no longer tell parents to enroll in the classes, because the classes are full.

MR. WEBER: I do need to add financially our school district had an override defeat meeting, we couldn't increase our budget over a previous year, so we had to cut 8 million dollars from our budget.

So, we are not buying any library books for any youngsters, let alone English as a second language, or cutting textbooks for our regular students, let alone English as a second language.

So, we are real short financially. So our basic question would be is there some type of federal impact aide that could assist with this population to go through this hump.

I also want to mention that the district directly to the south, Sunnyside School District, their school board member here, Mr. Arillano, predicts or estimates that they have 150 new students that are non-English speaking.

And that would be the highest minority school, next to our school district, in the Tucson metro area.

If you have any questions, we would be glad to try

1 to answer them.

MS. DAEMEN: I'd like to add one more thing. This year we are seeing a lot of more students who come into the district with very low literacy skills in Spanish.

And we really do not have programs for those students, except in one middle school. That in itself makes it a lot harder again for these students to learn English.

DR. WHITE: These are people who are illiterate in any language?

MS. DAEMEN: Yes, that's right.

DR. WHITE: All right, any other questions?

MR. HART: We did not mention that since
September we have had about 1,500 ELAs come into our
program.

I just did not mention that, but, I think it's an important figure for you to understand.

MR. ZAZUETA: Just one question. On the deficit that you said you are running -- you said you are going to have to close down your classes?

MR. HART: If the SLIAG money does not flow, for whatever reason, we are now spending at a rate of \$100,000 in the red, of money that, you know, if we are going to budget through June, we shouldn't be spending that money.

1	But we have decided to spend the money in order
2	to try to give some semblance of meeting the need.
3	DR. WHITE: I think, if there are no objections,
4	we'll declare a ten minute break.
5	I want to thank the members of this panel.
6	MR. HART: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you,
7	members of the panel.
8	
9	
10	(Whereupon, at 3:00 o'clock p.m., a short recess
11	was taken.)
12	
13	
14	* * *
14 15	* * *
	* * *
15	* * *
15 16	* * *
15 16 17	* * *  DR. WHITE: Okay. We have the machine in order
15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18 19	DR. WHITE: Okay. We have the machine in order
15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. WHITE: Okay. We have the machine in order again, so, we'll resume our session with the business
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. WHITE: Okay. We have the machine in order again, so, we'll resume our session with the business panel.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. WHITE: Okay. We have the machine in order again, so, we'll resume our session with the business panel.  And, we have two members, Mr. John Thacker.