CCR meet.

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
1121 Vermont Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20425

IRRARY

U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Florida State Advisory Committee
-to theU. S. Commission on Civil Rights

Transcript of Proceedings
-of thePolice-Community Relations Forum

Saturday, June 25, 1988 9:10 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

Jury Assembly Room
U. S. District Courthouse
Federal Courthouse Square
301 North Miami Avenue
Miami, Florida

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

MICHAEL J. MOORHEAD, Chairperson ROLAND HOWARD ROLLE VIRGIE H. CONE JACKIE L. BELL GUSTAVO MARIN DR. KENNETH CLARKSON JUNE D. LITTLER

ALSO PRESENT:

JOHN J. BINKLEY, Regional Director of the Eastern Division of U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

CCR 3 Meet. 202 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

$\underline{\underline{I}}$ $\underline{\underline{N}}$ $\underline{\underline{D}}$ $\underline{\underline{E}}$ $\underline{\underline{X}}$

2

1

3	Speakers	Page
4	Michael J. Moorhead, Chair,	4
5	Florida Advisory Committee	
6	Community Panels	
7	Thomas Battles, U.S. Justice Department, Community Relations	
8	Service.	10
9	Reverend Vane Eubanks, PULSE	17
10	Dr. W. Williams,	
11	PULSE	19
12	Reverend J.W. Stepherson, PULSE	28
13	Reverend Nathaniel Graham,	
14	Committee to End Discrimination	33
15	Reverend Arthur Jackson, Jr., PULSE	35
16	Dr. Ray Fauntroy,	
17	Southern Christian Leadership Conference	50
18	Reverend Willie E. Sims, Jr.,	
19	Miami Christian Improvement Assoc.	55
20	National Association for the Advancement of Colored People:	
21	John Due, President,	
22	South Dade Branch	72
23	Willie Lawson, President, Greater Miami Branch	80
24	Johnnie McMillan,	
25	North Dade Branch	99

1	Speakers	Page
2	Agency Panel:	
3	Bradford Brown, Chair, Police- Community Relations Committee	106
4		100
5	Vincent Smith, Vice President, Fraternal Order of Police	
6	Lodge 20, Miami	120
7	Richard Lober, Dade County Police Benevolent Association	101
8		121
9	Officer Sam Harlan, Miami Community Police Benevolent Association	127
10	Tay Enforcement Associate	
11	Law Enforcement Agencies:	
12	Fred Taylor, Director of Public Safety, Metro-Dade Police	
13	Department	169
14	Larry Boemler, Assistant Chief City of Miami Police Department	182
15	Calvin Ross, Major, City of Miami Police Department	194
16	_	
17	Will Morris, Assistant Director for Police Officers, Metro-Dade Police Department	203
18		203
19	Floyd Reaves, Chief, Opa-locka Police Department	207
20	Review Boards:	
21	Dr. Hattie Daniels, Director of	
22	Department of Internal Audits and Reviews.	220
23	Wesley Pomeroy, Executive	
24	Director for the Independent Review Panel	226

1	Speakers	Page
2	Joseph Serota, Vice Chairman Independent Review Panel	232
3	Agency Panel:	
4	Dr. Larry Capp, Director	
5	Metro-Miami Action Plan	256
6		
7	* * *	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	-	
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		

•

- -

CHAIRMAN MOORHEAD: I would like to get underway. It is almost 9:15. I would like to introduce myself. I am Michael Moorhead, Chairman of the Florida Advisory Committe to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission.

I would like to introduce the rest of the members of the Committee.

On my far right is Dr. Kenneth Clarkson.

Next to Dr. Clarkson is my good friend, Jackie Bell

of Miami. Roland Rolle on my left. Roland is here

from Cooper City. Then Virgie Cone, who is from

Jasper, Florida. June Littler, who is from

Gainesville, and on my far left is John Binkley, who

is the Regional Director of the Eastern Division of

the Commission, which covers the state of Florida.

I will start with some comments, then we will move on to the agenda.

I assume many of you have a copy of that. If not, we have some available at the desk.

registered at the desk and who have not signed a sheet that is floating around, I would ask you to do so.

It will help us in terms of knowing who has expressed an interest by their appearance here and will also enable us to send you publications that will come as a

result of this proceeding and publications from the Commission generally. So, please, if you have not done so, sign the sheet that is passing around or as you leave later be sure to sign one of the sheets at the front door.

In addition to the members of the Commission that you see here at the table, there are several staff persons of the U.S. Civil Rights

Commission from Washington, D.C., who are here and I would like to introduce them as well.

We have the Acting Staff Director of the Commission, Susan Prado. We also have here Chief Assistant, Mr. James Corey sitting next to her.

At the desk as you come in,

Mr. Ki-Taek-Chun, who is assistant to the regional
director, John Binkley, and somewhere here is Staff
Secretary, again at the desk, with the rather
stylish hat, is Linda Rafau.

I want to start talking about the purpose of the State Advisory Committee to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission.

As indicated there, there is one in each of the fifty states and the Advisory Committees are made up of, we like to think, of responsible individuals who serve without compensation.

This Committee has several functions. It is not an action committee in the sense that it implements particular policies; it is an advisory commission. It advises the Commission on all relevant information concerning activities within our respective states. We advise the Commission on matters of legal concern and the preparation of reports of the Commission to the President or to the Congress. We receive reports, suggestions, recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations and public officials about matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the State Advisory Committee. And we can on occasion observe hearings or conferences which the Commission may hold within the state of Florida.

The U.S. Civil Rights Commission and its Advisory Committees have authority under federal law to collect and study information regarding discrimination and the denial of equal protection of the laws under the U.S. Constitution when that denial is based upon race or color or national origin or religion or sex or age or handicap status, and it is pursuant to that authority that we undertake today's forum.

To assist the Advisory Committee a

record of the proceedings is being made and all persons submitting information to the Advisory Committee are going to do so voluntarily. Failure to give information will not result in either penalties or sanctions.

For access to any of the information provided here today or any contained in the files of the Commission you may contact the Office of the Solicitor at the U.S. Civil Rights Commission in Washington, D.C., and that information will be made available to you.

The U.S. Commission and its Advisory

Committees are required by federal law to request that
all persons who provide information refrain from
degrading or defaming other individuals. Federal law
also provides that anyone who appears here today who
does not want to be photographed, who doesn't want
their comments reported in the press can indicate that
and we will take steps to assure that no such
reporting, no such picture-taking occurs.

As you see in the agenda today that was provided you, we have scheduled several panels today, and after the panels have completed their presentations questions will be posed by members of the Advisory Committee.

In the interest of time and in order that we hear all of the parties, we have asked the panelists to limit their remarks. In this connection I wish to announce that speakers may supplement their presentations with written statements and material as they desire. The supplementary submittals will be sent—should be sent to the Commission no later than July 11, 1988. Statements and materials received by that time will be incorporated in the report of the Advisory Committee.

The forum we are holding today focuses on Police-Community relations in the city of Miami and in the greater Metro-Dade area. Our purpose is to provide an opportunity for community groups and organizations to describe their concerns and to provide their perception of police treatment of minorities in this area. We also hope to give--to assure, I should say, responsible public officials an opportunity to respond and to discuss those issues and to tell how they are being addressed by their department.

In short, we provide an opportunity for communication and understanding, which hopefully will lead to improved relations.

The first person before me with the

topic of the forum have been invited to provide information and it is not expected that each panelist will be able to provide all the information being sought by us, but it is the hope of the Advisory Committee that the information that we obtain today from the panelists will result in a composite that will assist our efforts to gain a more comprehensive balanced knowledge of the issues, the subissues before us.

Based on what we learn today, the Committee will prepare a report to inform the U.S. Civil Rights Commissioners and the public of the status of the Police-Community relations in Miami and Dade County and perhaps to plan further activities of the Advisory Committee to address matters that can't or do not address in this proceeding.

With these preliminary matters behind us, we are now ready to begin with the first panel, and I want to start by announcing a change.

The first panelist is going to be Mr. Thomas Battles of the U.S. Justice Department Community Relations Service. That change is made because of his need to attend a function involving the former official of a government who is in this city this day, so that shall be a reasonably short

presentation and we will immediately move back to the agenda today after his comments.

Mr. Battles?

MR. BATTLES: Good morning.

Mr. Chairman, members of the Florida
State Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on
Civil Rights--

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Excuse me.

Mr. Battles, for the record, would you give your name and address?

MR. BATTLES: I am Thomas Battles, I reside at 500 Northwest 214th Street, I am the operations manager for the Miami field office with the U.S. Department of Justice Community Relations Service.

The Community Relations Service is charged with conciliating racial ethnic disputes.

As I indicated, at the field office here we are concerned with three areas; that is the administration of justice issues, which deals with excessive use of force allegations, correction issues, educational issues, which includes disputes, desegregation issues and what we call general community relations matters which allows us to get involved in major marches and demonstrations.

We are in Region 4, regional headquarters in Atlanta, and we have an Ex-state region.

We also have another function in the field office, which includes our Cuban and Haitian program.

We have a major effort of resettlement of Cubans and Haitians throughout the country.

I think that it is a very appropriate time that you are here in South Florida area. Perhaps whatever results you find from this session, perhaps it can serve as a model for what we at CRS are experiencing and seeing throughout this country. We think that this community has paid its dues in the area of media attention to the allegations of excessive force. There has been a lot of work done in this community to begin to address those issues.

Certainly there have been mistakes made, but we believe that the law enforcement community is sincere in its efforts to address concerns of this community in the area of excessive force.

CRS has been involved in many of those issues. The field office was opened following the 1980 disturbances in Miami. We have been here since then, so we have been involved and we have tracked

many of those issues and, of course, we lend our assistance when it is appropriate to do so.

I want to just point out to you one of the recent publications that CRS introduced around the country. It is entitled Principles of Good

Policing - Avoiding Violence Between Police and

Citizens. The document that was primarily prepared for law enforcement executives or how-to in addressing many of the concerns that perhaps you will hear today. It has been widely distributed in the South Florida area and we know that the law enforcement community read it from cover to cover and wherever it has been appropriate they have implemented many of the recommendations that we have suggested.

We have assembled many of the greatest minds in the country in the law enforcement community in preparing this document. We think that it is a publication that the law enforcement community can take advantage of.

Many of the allegations and the issues you will hear today this community lived with for quite some time. I think that when you hear from the law enforcement community you will again be doubly impressed by the work that they are involved in.

More importantly, I think the community

will go to school, if I can use that term, because
there has been a lot of work done, and more importantly,
I think many of the disagreements, if there are any,
this is a time when perhaps you as an Advisory
Committee will serve as the catalyst to heal many of
wounds that have been festering for quite some time.

Battles.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, I will close, and again, we would like to commend you for being here and we trust that your work here will be fruitful and serve as a model for this community and other communities experiencing these types of concerns.

MR. ROLLE: I have a question.

It's always good to see you, Brother

Based on the comments that you just made I have one question that I would like to pose, and as the Chairman has pointed out, we can't force you to respond or issue any subpoenas or anything like that, but as I understood your statement, you indicated that the law enforcement community has been very serious in addressing the concerns that have been raised from the community, and that perhaps when we hear the testimony we will be pleasantly surprised.

Inasmuch as that statement is in the

record at this point, are you in a position to enumerate any steps or actions or conclusions or new structures or policies that have been put in place that would validate the statement regarding your perception of what the law enforcement community has done to-date?

MR. BATTLES: Mr. Rolle, perhaps in another forum I would be happy to do that. This is just not the appropriate forum for CRS to do.

However, I am sure once you hear the presentation by the law enforcement community, they are in a much better position to do that than I can.

MR. ROLLE: May I request, then, maybe you could provide the Advisory Committee with a written response at some future point?

MR. BATTLES: That is appropriate.

MR. ROLLE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Any further

questions?

Thank you.

MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Battles, in connection with Mr. Rolle's question, has your office been directly involved with training programs or developing training programs for local law enforcement agencies?

MR. BATTLES: Yes. Let me elaborate for just a moment.

In our structure of operations we have the Office of Technical Assistance in Washington. Whenever there is a situation that occurs in the community, let's just say for argument's sake there is an allegation of excessive use of force and the police department is reviewing its policies on excessive force, and they would like to look at some of the other policies around the country that they may be able to use, I would request from OTAS, Office of Technical Assistance, to identify for me some of the most successful policies and programs around the country, and we will perhaps introduce them.

What works in one community may not work in another, so we try to take the best of both worlds and to see if it is appropriate for that community.

MR. ROLLE: Mr. Battles, in terms of the training programs and other recommendations from your office, would you also be able to provide us with what kinds of programs you have recommended, what has been the response and follow-through and implementations to-date of the various programs that your office has put forth for the law enforcement community here?

1 MR. BATTLES: (Nods in the affirmative.) 2 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you, 3 Mr. Battles. 4 Just so we can generally plan the day, let me make an announcement before calling the next 5 6 panel. Our plan is to go to about 12:30 today, then to take a break of an hour and a half for 8 9 lunch, to reconvene at 2:00 and then continue until 10 we finish. That may help some of you plan your 11 afternoon. 12 The next panel we have is composed of representatives of the People United to Lead the 13 14 Struggle for Equality, also known as PULSE, and we have several members who can come to the witness table. 15 16 We have one more panelist that we have 17 listed. Rather than trying to match a name with a 18 face, we would ask Dr. Williams if he would introduce 19 the panel and commence. 20 DR. WILLIAMS: To my right is the 21 Reverend Vane Eubanks, he is a pastor and second 22 vice president of our organization, and our president, 23 Reverend Arthur Jackson. 24 To my left is the former past-president

of PULSE, Reverend J.W. Stepherson, and to his left

25

is Reverend Graham, who is chairman of the Community 1 2 to End Discrimination. 3 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Do you know how 4 you want to proceed? 5 DR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 6 REVEREND EUBANKS: Good morning, 7 Chairman Moorhead. 8 As has been stated by Dr. Williams, I 9 am Reverend Vane Eubanks, chairman of the Membership 10 Committee of PULSE. 11 We would like to come before the 12 Florida Advisory Committee of the U.S. Commission of 13 Civil Rights. 14 Early on 1980, recently after the 15 death of Mr. Arthur McDuffie, there seemed to have 16 been an escalation of ill-treatment and police 17 brutality and killing of Black men, especially in the 18 Miami, Dade County area. 19 As being PULSE people, being People 20 United to Lead the Struggle for Equality, we do 21 realize that we are the local citizens, we take 22 ourselves as being responsible citizens, persons of 23 the community, and we felt that it would be in our 24 rights and our responsibility to lend ourselves

without any compensation, and inasmuch as these

25

treatments and killings have continued on up until this date, during our 1987 annual convention, we as PULSE invited the late Mr. Clarence Pendleton, U.S. Civil Rights Commission, and he came down and made a statement that he would intercede in our request to look into the statements and accusations and things that was happening among the people here in the Miami, Dade County area.

And it is because of that request of this organization PULSE and commitment of the late Mr. Clarence Pendleton and having been turned over to your Florida Advisory Committee and all of the necessary work that has been put into this. Because of this we are here today and as we have come before you today with our panel; Dr. Willie Williams will read our statement; Reverend J.W. Stepherson, past-president of PULSE will give an introduction of the victims; summary will be by Reverend Nathaniel Graham, PULSE chairman to end discrimination. And our closing comments will be by the Reverend Arthur Jackson, Jr., president of PULSE.

In addition to this, the SCLC,

Dr. Ray Fauntroy, president, and there is also to be
the Miami Christian Improvement Association,

spokesperson will be Reverend Willie Sims, Jr.

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

So with this, I will now turn the panel over to Dr. Williams.

DR. WILLIAMS: Good morning.

I have a prepared statement here that I will read, but prior to reading that--this statement, I was reading a book, and the title of this book was Police and the Public, by Michael J. Murphy. He was the former police commissioner of the New York Police Department.

Commissioner Murphy said the control of people of large cities has become more and more a difficult task. There needs to be greater understanding between police and the public if crime is to be kept in check.

He further went on and said that the police must deal with difficult situations in the community in terms of community relations, they must enforce the law equally among all citizens in the community. In all situations police officers must be honest and fair-minded, with community support the job of maintaining law and order in a democractic society can be successfully accomplished by the police.

The police department must clean up themselves, crime, evidence taken of bribes, crime against the community, corruption, conspiracy,

brutality against arrested suspects and shakedowns,

these things separate the police from the community

and fast.

People United to Lead the Struggle for Equality (PULSE) is an organization of over 50 churches and civic organizations located throughout Dade County. The organization was organized after the 1980 riots, to unite Black citizens around common issues that affect their communities. Some of the goals of PULSE are to educate the public about the needs of low- to moderate-income Black people in the community, to hold accountable public and private direct actions and negotiations and to become a permanent, powerful organization that will be the tool of the Black community through which it obtains its fair share.

The PULSE organization, since its beginning, has strongly supported the Dade County Police Department and the City of Miami Police Department and has attempted to have good-working relationships with these Departments. We have signed agreements with both Departments that provide for better law enforcement in the Black communities throughout Dade County.

PULSE comes before this Advisory

Committee with some real concerns for justice in our community. There have been a number of Black men killed by white policemen for little or no reason.

Arthur McDuffie, Ernest Kirkland, Anthony Nelson and Donald Harp all were killed under cloudy circumstances. These men may have violated some laws, but the punishment did not fit the crime.

We want to make it very clear that if these men had broken the law, they should have been arrested. The PULSE organization will never stand in the way of a policeman doing his job as long as he doesn't abuse his police powers. And surely if the life of the policeman is threatened, then he has every right to protect himself.

As far as we know, after this series of killings of Black men by white policemen, the Dade County Police Department did not initiate any programs to control white officers who work in Black areas so they do not overreact when dealing with Black people. Certainly, it hasn't developed ones that are successful.

After our agreement was signed with

Dade County Police Department in August of 1983, we
experienced a period of good relations with the
department. When problems arose in different areas of

the Black community, PULSE would meet with the appropriate Dade County District Commander, to give our support for professional law enforcement in our community.

The PULSE organization really believed that police and community relationships were improving. We began to believe that our county would never experience another riot like that of 1980 when the white police officers all were cleared in the beating death of Arthur McDuffie.

Then, on December 30, 1985, one of PULSE's members was brutally beaten at his home by white Dade County police officers. One officer was called to the home of Minister Nercius Cincyr to investigate a noncontact auto accident. Instead of diffusing the incident, the officer escalated it. It ended with the severe beating of Minister Cincyr and with charges filed against him for four criminal offenses.

On October 7, 1986, Willie Spikes was killed by a white police officer; on December 10, 1986, Steve Tillman was shot in the neck down for life; on March 2, 1987, Wilhelm Figueredo was killed by a white police officer. In all three of these cases, the police claimed that the victims were trying

to run them down. There are many questions that have not been answered in these cases.

On January 11, 1988, Randolph McFadden died while in police custody. The police report said that he died from a drug overdose, yet there were visible marks all over his body which indicated that he was severly beaten.

Whenever there is a police shooting or police complaint involving Black people, the Dade County Police Internal Review investigates the incident and, in the vast majority of the cases, rules that the police officer involved used proper police procedures. Whenever a Black man is killed, shot or beaten under questionable circumstances, the system almost always sides with the police. When police officers are policing themselves, can the people expect justice? We need not remind this panel that it was only a few years ago when white police officers were used to "keep the niggers in their place."

what happens when a police officer shoots or kills a suspect? Such a shooting or homicide in our county sets off a systematic, well-rehearsed investigation. A "shooting team" goes to work immediately. The objective is to establish

facts, facts so indisputable that no one can validly assert that the investigation is just "cops covering up for cops." It appears that usually this team is working to clear the officer, not to make sure that justice is done.

The Metro-Dade team is made up of at least one top prosecutor from the State Attorney's Office, a medical examiner, a squad of homicide detectives, a detective chief and several high-ranking police officials, investigators from an internal review unit, a public information officer and someone from the psychological services staff. The Police Benevolent Association has a lawyer that goes to every shooting scene. After a shooting, police officers are told to talk to no one until they have consulted with a PBA attorney. Given the history of racism in this country and this county, we ask this panel, how can a grieving family match up against this kind of expertise?

The police internal review usually is a whitewash. We perceive that the police get together and corroborate their stories. Then they grill the independent witness looking for inconsistencies. They don't do this with a police officer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We cannot depend on the State Attorney for justice in these cases. In most of its adjudications that office depends heavily on the testimony of police officers for convictions. Thus the State Attorney cannot look too hard or too often at alleged police abuse without risking the ill will of the police.

The Dade County Independent Review Panel (IRP) is everything but independent. First of all, the Executive Director is a retired police officer. When PULSE addressed the IRP, its panel included a current police chief who chaired the subcommittee dealing with our complaint, the Deputy County Manager, a Dade County Bar Association lawyer and a Dade County School Administration member. The police chief made it very clear that he would not go along with any recommendations putting the fault on the police officers. Our understanding of "independent" is unattached to the system. issued a token report that, as far as we are concerned, didn't address the real problem. chief serving on the IRP and the director of the Dade County Police Department both retired shortly after this case and went into business together. whole process is pretending to solve community

1 Most people in the Black community have no concerns. faith in this process. 2 3 Whenever a questionable shooting or beating--4 5 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Excuse me, 6 Dr. Williams. 7 I know we feel strong about some 8 points but we would like to maintain decorum. 9 We will weigh the substance of the 10 point without the need of applause. 11 DR. WILLIAMS: Whenever a questionable 12 shooting or beating is investigated by the Dade 13 County Police Internal Review or Dade County 14 Independent Review Panel, it takes months, sometimes 15 over a year before reaching its completion. 16 believe that this is an attempt to diffuse community 17 interest in these cases. People become frustrated 18 and discouraged with the system. And nearly 100 19 percent of the time, when they issue their report, it 20 clears the police officers of any fault. 21 When these situations arise between 22 police and the Black community, Dade County has its 23 own Community Relations Board and other Black 24 organizations, that are partially funded by the

Jack Besoner and Associates

County, to respond to the crises. These groups exist

25

to put out fires, not to address the real problem.

When such groups speak, they speak for Dade County,

not for the common people. They do not represent the

Black community.

The Advisory Committee should ask itself whenever there is a questionable killing, shooting or beating of a Black man, why is it always a white or Latin police officer who is involved? Black police officers work under the same conditions, the same pressure, but they seldom, if ever, kill, shoot or beat Blacks or whites under cloudy circumstances.

In most cases of obvious police brutality, the victim always ends up with an abundance of charges, such as: assault on a law enforcement officer, battery on a law enforcement officer, obstructing a police officer and resisting an officer with violence to his person. The victim is not only beaten, but he has to spend limited resources to hire an attorney to defend himself in court.

PULSE is not dealing with a perception here, this is a reality. Miami, Dade County is the only major metropolitan area in our country that has had two riots in the 1980's. We read in our

newspaper about police officers killing, stealing, 1 buying, selling and using dope. We read about the 2 corrupted and crooked cops in our police 3 departments that taint the evidence to substantiate 4 their stories. If there are police officers doing 5 these crimes, then it is not difficult to believe that some police officers are killing and beating 7 Black people when they really don't have to. 8 All of 9 our information points unavoidably to that 10 conclusion. 11 What will it take to resolve this 12

What will it take to resolve this
problem and restore trust in our law enforcement
officers? PULSE recommends a truly "Independent
Citizen Review Panel" with subpoena power, to
investigate only police shooting and complaints of
beatings. The members of this panel should be selected
through a community process. It's essential that this
Citizen Review Panel has subpoena power and staff in
order for it to be successul in its role.

This is our written report,

Mr. Moorhead.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you,

Dr. Williams.

REVEREND STEPHERSON: Mr. Chairman, other distinguished ladies and gentlemen, we are

here today as it was stated before and I'm sure that
has been made clear, I would like to take a just a
moment of your time, if you please, to present to this
panel some of the victims of these circumstances
that we have just made mention of.

I would like to introduce them.

The Reverend Nercius Cincyr was
brutally beaten at his home on December 30, 1985, by
a white Dade County police officer. The officer was
cleared of all wrong by Dade County Police Internal
Review. The Reverend Nercius Cincyr is present.
I just wanted you to see him.

This is he here.

Thank you, sir.

Willie Spikes was killed on October 7, 1986, by a white Dade County police officer. The officer was cleared of any criminal intent by a judge in a public inquest hearing. Mr. Spikes cannot be here today simply because he does not live any longer, but his family is here. We would just like to present his family.

Thank you.

Steven Tillman was shot in the neck on December 10, 1986, by a white Dade County police officer. Steven Tillman is paralyzed from the neck

Jack Besoner and Associates

1 down through his body for the rest of his life. 2 Mr. Tillman is coming now. I just want you to see, 3 please. 4 This is a reality, this is no made-up 5 story to get anybody. We are not after anything but 6 justice. 7 Tillman. Push him around here, just 8 push him around. 9 This is Steve Tillman that will be 10 paralyzed the rest of his life. 11 Thank you. 12 You may push him around and give him a 13 space. 14 Paul Glinton was brutally beaten on October 9, 1987, while in Dade County police custody 15 16 and charged with criminal offenses. He is still 17 fighting the charges in court today. 18 Fitzroy Rowe and family members were 19 beaten and arrested on December 2, 1987, by white 20 Dade County police officers. The family is still 21 pursuing this incident. 22 Are they here? 23 Would you please stand? 24 Thank you. 25 Randolph McFadden died while in Dade

County police custody on January 11, 1988. The report said he died from a drug overdose, yet there were visible marks all over his body which appears that he was severely beaten. Is his brother here? UNIDENTIFIED SPECTATOR: These are the pictures to the press after and before. REVEREND STEPHERSON: Here is the pictures here of the visible image of the condition of this man after he was seriously beaten by the police officers. It certainly doesn't seem like drugs to me. Raymond Louis Hodge was beaten at his home on May 3, 1988, by white Homestead police officers. This is he. I'm sorry, I missed one. Let me backup. Shelly Davis and family members were brutally beaten at his home by two white Dade County police officers. Mr. Davis' 13-year-old daughter has stitches in her head right now. Where is the young lady? She has stitches in her head now. This is no fairy tale, this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would just like to take the

Jack Besoner and Associates

is a reality, the stitches is there to show.

initiative, if you please, and say one word, then I will be finished.

I wish it was where these people who have undergone this untold stress and strain could have a word to state to this panel how difficult it is to deal with this system when things of this kind happen. I wish this could be done, but since we have been informed that it cannot be done, I hate to make you tell me that again, and yet I am tempted to ask you to suffer to be so now for thus it becomes us to fulfill all righteousness, but let me say it to you, sir, whenever that these kinds of things happen, among us as Black people it is very difficult for us to get the ear of somebody who looks at it not from a color scheme, but from justice, fairness and what is right.

We are not asking anybody to pity us because we are Black. I am glad I am Black. God made we what I am and I wouldn't change it if I could, but I would like to say to you color has nothing to do with it. If you are Black you can be a Black man, I mean a whole man. If you are white, you can do likewise, and I am saying to you today I would like you to take the evidence that has been presented to you, not out of sympathy because I have said these

things and because of who we are, but from a justice standpoint. This is all we are asking for.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Reverent Graham.

REVEREND GRAHAM: My name is

Nathaniel Graham, I am chairman of the Committee to End Discrimination here. I would just like to touch base on some things that have been mentioned previously.

We have heard the statements as they have been read; you have heard the names of persons that have been victimized by the Public Safety Department. Indeed, these things are still happening today. They are occurring today as they are still happening today.

As a matter of fact, we have some persons sitting right here that have some complaints that have just been passed on to us.

when we speak about violence we are not speaking about just a push and a shove, we are speaking about when somebody is handcuffed, where their hands are in back of them, being on the ground, being kicked, stomped, their feet knocked out and what have you. This is what we are referring to when we speak about violence.

•

Now, the last few weeks we have experienced a rash of police shootings, but nobody mentioned the fact that these shootings right there were not done by a Black person; they were done by persons other than Black persons right there.

As it is our perception that had those persons been Black in the first place it would have been the other way around, rather than the policeman being shot it would have been the person that would have been shot.

Now, we often hear about officers and their families, how they are concerned about their family members.

Did anybody ever stop to ask themselves how do Black parents feel when you got sons
out there in the streets, how do you feel that when
a policeman stops your son for no apparent reason at
all because he fit a certain profile? And they stop
him; he doesn't know what to do.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Reverend Graham, could I interrupt?

Your really pursuing this line is not going to be productive at all for this hearing purpose. What we are trying to do is to look at a set of facts, determine a pattern, a course of conduct

to demonstrate the deficiencies and perhaps in 1 2 procedure and administrative mechanisms that can perhaps be addressed and reduce some of the problems 3 4 that you are describing in great detail; but if we 5 don't stay close to a factual base and use that for a purpose that we can respond to, we will have this 6 7 lengthy statement but we won't have anything of 8 significance coming from the proceeding. 9 REVEREND GRAHAM: I would like to say 10 12 this is happening right up to today and while they

in closing right here, here is some more current ones that we have past recently, this is actually reality, this is happening right up to today and while they are being passed on to us, the public out there feels that they cannot get no justice with the Public Safety Department, so they have to have some sort of recourse there. So they pass on to us and we as Black citizens, as Black leaders here, we must take the initiative and try to fight for our people.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Here we have some more right here. Five to be exact.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Are you going to make those available to us?

REVEREND GRAHAM: We will.

REVEREND JACKSON: Mr. Chairman, I am Pastor Jackson, chairman of PULSE, and in brief,

1 everything that has been said has been true and I don't want to sound like a broke record, but I just 2 want to say I heard Mr. Battles talking about the 3 4 training program they have for the police department, 5 and I am sure it is necessary to deal with the stress and strain of everyday work, and my church and most 6 7 of the ministers and it doesn't stop at the shooting, 8 we have to follow that family to the graveyard and 9 the anxiety that is in the eyes of those persons who 10 have been wrongfully killed. Then as it leaves 11 burden on our heart, and I do trust that this 12 committee will take our grievance in some serious 13 consideration and look into the possibility of this 14 independent panel. If you do that for us, that will 15 go a long way we feel in helping solving some 16 problems here in Dade County.

We live here. Driving down I-95 and 17th Avenue and 22nd Avenue, that doesn't tell the story.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These police officers out there in Liberty City, where we live, we live in the community, our churches, we go home, we pastor those persons.

filled with those kinds of persons. I have to stand there and tell them about God's love, and yet, they

been ill-treated and we are looking for somebody to hear our cry. If you do that for us today we feel that our coming here today will not be in vain. Thank you so much. CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you. We will start with questions of the PULSE group, and we know we have others on this panel, but the table is so long and then we are going to turn to you. MS. BELL: Reverend Graham, I hope I did not misunderstand you, but I would like for you to explain to us. I understood or maybe misunderstood that you or your community seem to think that there is a profile of Black men that is used by the police department as a certain look about us that they use? REVEREND GRAHAM: Correct. MS. BELL: Could you tell me a little bit about what you seem to--REVEREND GRAHAM: I get numerous complaints at home, at church, whatnot, young men being stopped by police officers, especially on a white road.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, it is known as fact in the Black community, a Black young man should never stop on an

isolated road or back road, rather as a best bet to 1 2 try to drive to a well-lighted area or an area that has a crowd there, because in most cases there when 3 you stop by yourself like that you are victimized by 4 police officers right there. They will stop a young black man for no reason whatsoever, just because he fit a certain profile. They will stop him with their 7 guns drawn in most cases. MS. BELL: Can you give us a little 10 bit of a definition on what that profile is supposed 11 to look like or what you have been told? 12 REVEREND GRAHAM: All right. 13

say, I will say any young black male, anywhere between I will say 15 and let's say 38, and if he is driving a certain type of car, sports car, anything like that, and the way he has dressed, the perception, you know, with gold chains like that nature right there, they will stop for no apparent reason whatsoever and put beside there. If by chance they say something he will be placed right there, then they will be roughed up and handcuffed.

MS. BELL: Thank you.

MS. CONE: I am Virgie Cone from

Jasper.

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the opening statement that the

- 1	doctor has made that the organization includes
2	50 churches and civic organizations, I hope the
3	50 churches include all races; is that true?
4	Do you have all races?
5	REVEREND JACKSON: It is in the
6	denomination, yes, it is.
7	MS. CONE: You are getting support
8	from all races?
9	REVEREND JACKSON: It is open to all.
10	Not all has come and been members but it is not a
11	closed organization.
12	MS. CONE: I know that, but actually
13	are they participating in your organization, all races?
14	REVEREND JACKSON: Yes.
15	MS. CONE: That's what I need to know.
16	Also, Mr. Tillman, does he have any
16 17	Also, Mr. Tillman, does he have any disability care? Who is looking after him?
17	disability care? Who is looking after him?
17 18	disability care? Who is looking after him? CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That is not
17 18 19	disability care? Who is looking after him? CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That is not appropriate.
17 18 19 20	disability care? Who is looking after him? CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That is not appropriate. MS. CONE: That is not appropriate?
17 18 19 20 21	disability care? Who is looking after him? CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That is not appropriate. MS. CONE: That is not appropriate? I'm sorry.
17 18 19 20 21 22	disability care? Who is looking after him? CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That is not appropriate. MS. CONE: That is not appropriate? I'm sorry. CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Could we maintain

MR. ROLLE: To the PULSE panel, I would like to thank you for the presentation that you have made. I think it has been extensive, informative and revealing.

There are several questions, points that I would like to raise.

As you see, we have a court reporter, in fact, I see two court reporters. In my view I think it is important that the transcript reflects as accurately as possible the position of this organization regarding various issues, so my first question, to make sure that I have a clear understanding and the record reflects this, is that PULSE is in support of every policeman following through on his constitutional duties and protecting himself in the event that that becomes necessary.

Who is going to respond for the record on that?

REVEREND STEPHERSON: I would gladly say yes, we have been, ever since we were organized.

MR. ROLLE: Okay.

Am I also correct in terms of what I have heard so far, that there has been a very serious problem of communicating or, more specifically, receiving responses from the Public Safety Department

say yes on that.

3

regarding various incidents that were brought to their attention or the Miami City Police or not?

REVEREND STEPHERSON: In some cases, not in all cases, but in some cases, yes, I would

MR. ROLLE: Are you in a position to be specific about any situations that were brought to the attention where you were either ignored, no response, or anything like that? And I am asking these questions in this fashion because we would like to have a record that can be corroborated if need be.

REVEREND STEPHERSON: Mr. Rolle, I would like to answer your question this way: In going down on many occasions to seek information from the police department through its safety director, I have had quite a bit of difficulty in trying to get to the person that we needed to see, especially when there was some kind of crime committed or maybe some kind of killing or beating, something of that nature. I found it to be very difficult seeing the person that you go down to the police department to see.

MR. ROLLE: Was that the same with respect to any written requests to meet with the appropriate officials, you had the same response?

REVEREND STEPHERSON: We have written

letters upon letters to various of the directors of the police department requesting an opportunity to meet with them and we have been denied several times some time ago. I don't know about recently.

MR. ROLLE: I was about to ask, since we are now in 1988, and as I listen and read, we are covering a period that extends somewhat into the past, can you tell us as of this current period of time, say '87, '88, what has been the experience in being able to get responses or audiences to just discuss and put on the table various concerns that have come up?

REVEREND EUBANKS: Mr. Rolle, we would like to present documentation, a statement from the PULSE organization to the Director of Metro-Dade Police Department, and this comes into being after the PULSE organization and the Public Safety Department had signed an agreement of August 11, 1983. This documentation is dated November 11, 1986, and we took the position and we went on record, and I believe you have a copy of this, we took the position and went on the record in light of the communication and relationship that we was having at that time with our Public Safety director, Mr. Robert Jones, to ask for his resignation.

This is in answer to your question.

MR. ROLLE: When was the last time that PULSE or the leadership attempted to communicate or arrange a meeting or any kind of dialogue with the officials who have responsibility in the areas of law enforcement?

March of '88. I don't have that document before me,
Mr. Rolle, but it was March of '88.

MR. ROLLE: Are you in the position to share any information as to the outcome of those meetings, whether or not you were received or not received and what was the attitude at that particular point?

Was there any responsiveness to the concerns that you are putting forth?

REVEREND JACKSON: Yes.

In the March meeting, Mr. Rolle, it was a meeting to deal with drugs and we met with the Chief, Mr. Martinez, I believe it was, and because, of course, he was very receptive of the--

MR. ROLLE: Maybe I need to rephrase my question.

When was the last time that a meeting was requested regarding an allegation of abuse,

beating or what have you?

REVEREND JACKSON: We haven't had a meeting recently.

DR. WILLIAMS: We had several meetings, area meetings. We had one down South, we had two this year already, where we had the police chief in the South area, South District, and a group of community citizens, we met at a Catholic church there where we discussed problems that Blacks were having with the police and we had several meetings this year already with the area district police officers in the South and they have had some in the North.

I wasn't--I didn't attend those in the North, but I attended both in the South, and we have had good response from the policemen and basically, seemingly whenever we request someone will come out to meet with us. We don't always get our problems solved but at least there is some attempt made to do so.

MR. ROLLE: Perhaps some other question I need to inquire of the Chair.

May I continue?

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: For how long? MR. ROLLE: Maybe two or three minutes. CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: All right.

Jack Besoner and Associates

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROLLE: On Page 4 there is a statement that says, "Police grill independent witnesses looking for inconsistencies."

On what basis do you make that statement?

DR. WILLIAMS: We got a stack of court -- we got a stack and I have gone through the stack myself and I looked at the process by which police question witnesses, and they also have statements how they question the police. There is as much difference as between night and day, because while they are questioning the witnesses they seem to be grilling the witnesses, and also may tell the-they're also trying to find inconsistencies within the witness' statement, and so it tells--it is very easy to see that they become quite frustrated, and one of the questions that began by asking one witness, had he ever done drugs.

Now, this was something that a witness was going to try and tell what he saw, and then the first statement that was asked of him, did he do drugs, and if he said yes, well, then, they start grilling was he on drugs that night and so on and so on, but many questions tend to intimidate the witness and get him off track, and these are the inconsistencies that

we talked about.

MR. ROLLE: So when you indicate to us that you have stacks, does this refer to signed statements by witnesses who have gone through that or information from the police in terms of the questions that were asked of a witness while these are signed statements and a dialogue between the investigator and the person? You have those in your possession and could be presented?

DR. WILLIAMS: Right, yes.

MR. ROLLE: If necessary. Okay.

The last item I would like to focus on for the moment, you make the recommendation that there needs to be an Independent Citizens Review panel that has been empowered to investigate and so forth, and you say the members of this panel should be selected through a community process.

Have you had the opportunity to develop a recommendation as to what specific process might be used that would ensure that the community is fairly represented, in that next year this time we won't have a group saying, "Well, those people don't represent our community, who selected them and what right do they have to say they are represented in the community?"

Have you done anything along those lines as to how we would get this citizens panel?

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: May I add a point to that question?

A good bit of the discussion has been rather broad, broad-gauged on the issue of review of particular incidents. We have several different jurisdictions here operating with some degree of independence and some degree of overlap on the part of the police force.

Is your comment about the review procedure for a particular police force or is it a comment about the Miami police force, the Metro-Dade police force in outlying areas without exception?

REVEREND EUBANKS: Mr. Moorhead, we believe in creating to bring into use such a panel.

It ought to be applicable for either department due to the fact these departments exist in the county and we are brought in contact with them.

There ought to be a panel wherein it could operate with, if necessary, whatever department it would apply to or whichever police department that was in view that we are dealing with at this particular time.

MR. ROLLE: But in terms of your

operation, the procedures as you see them, are inadequate in each of the various departments?

REVEREND JACKSON: Yes.

REVEREND GRAHAM: Definitely.

DR. WILLIAMS: And the Black community has no faith in that process.

REVEREND JACKSON: It is not working.

DR. WILLIAMS: And our suggestion is kind of out of desperation, is that we don't know whether or not this may be the thing that will make all of us well, but at least we know that it will be something different and the community will have a part to play in that, and we feel that we will have a part to play in it, then maybe we will have a better chance for justice to be done.

MR. ROLLE: If somebody recommended that we take a structured systematic approach to getting a handle on all these problems vis-a-vis meetings of different areas of the community, populated segments of the community, meeting with the elected officials, meeting with the police chiefs and their staffs, and involving Mr. Battle's group, and in trying to draft a plan that can reach consensus and the entire community can tie into its support, what would be the position of the PULSE organization

1	on efforts along those lines?
2	REVEREND JACKSON: Mr. Rolle, honestly
3	we never perceived that particular idea, but we do
4	know that whatever we are living with now is not
5	working.
6	MR. ROLLE: Would you be open to it?
7	REVEREND JACKSON: Will I be open to
8	that?
9	MR. ROLLE: Yes.
10	REVEREND JACKSON: Yes.
11	MR. ROLLE: Good.
12	MS. CONE: I want to say one word, I
13	notice most of you are ministers. Do you have an
14	integrated ministerial alliance in Dade County?
15	REVEREND JACKSON: Yes.
16	MS. CONE: My father was a preacher,
17	that's why I asked.
18	MR. ROLLE: I want to thank you,
19	Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I would like to
21	thank the panel.
22	There is awe are going to do one
23	last question because you are getting far behind.
24	DR. CLARKSON: My question relates to
25	the potential pattern or incident of the problem.

It would be useful to this community if you could 1 2 provide us information about the characteristics, geographic area, types of incidents, was it family 3 disputes, traffic, robbery, whatever, this kind of 4 information I think could be particularly useful. 5 6 Also, if you could provide us 7 information on a similar note of the incidents that 8 you thought went well. 9 The report said that after the initial 10 agreement in August of '83, you felt there was better 11 relationships and if we could then identify those 12 characteristics, that might help us in seeking 13 solutions. 14 REVEREND JACKSON: Thank you. 15 do that. 16 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Again, we thank 17 you, and we are going to call the other portion of 18 that panel. 19 Is Mr. Willie Sims here and 20 Mr. Fauntroy? 21 DR. FAUNTROY: Good morning. My name 22 is Ray Fauntroy, I am the president of the Southern 23 Christian Leadership Conference for Dade County. 24 I first want to thank PULSE for

Jack Besoner and Associates

requesting this hearing and thank the Commission for

25

coming and to set the tone we would like to say that we understand that we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against evil and wickedness in high places and that we have a spiritual warfare going on here that is very serious.

We have heard the effect of what has taken place and we would like to deal with some of the cause for what is happening.

We have several cases here today who have experienced police brutality, unfair treatment by public prosecutors and defenders, in justice in the courtroom, unbelievable treatment in the jails, misrepresentation and legal theft of property by lawyers.

Drugs are allowed to fester in the African-American community. You can go to any street corner and pick up any drug you want. Any five-year-old can find the drugs, but our police department can't seem to stop it.

We are concerned about the genocide that it is leaving in our community.

To point out a few of the cases, we have with us today Carolyn Ellis who was arrested this year after she complied with an officer's request to stop eating a croissant on the platform of a Metrorail

train station.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Mr. Fauntroy, could I interrupt you just for a moment?

We heard a fairly lengthy recital of incidents of injustice as perceived by those giving testimony. It really will not help us to add to the list.

What we are trying to do is identify general problems and determine what mechanisms can be adapted, what procedures can be useful in remedying that and trying individual cases here will be time-consuming and of no use.

DR. FAUNTROY: I quite agree and I would just like to get right to the point.

The point is that yes, racism, discrimination does exist in Dade County and police brutality exists in Dade County. The reasons as we see it, it appears number one, that the police department is a protector, is perceived as being the protector of the real criminal in this society and that we as African-American people and poor people of all races are the victims of these crimes.

It reminds me of the story, reminds me of my childhood when my neighbor was whipped by his mother and dared to cry.

We have a serious problem and we are looking to the police department to keep us calm and accept the whipping that we are taking, and we are not going to sit back idly and to accept that whipping.

We believe that the problem is much deeper, but to address this issue we must first look at the economic and political ramifications facing the total community and the African-American community in particular.

Miami has the distinction of being the only city in the history of this country by design to be given over to immigrants, both politically and economically, immigrants who have given new birth to racism, hatred and separatism that we worked so hard to end in this country in the '50s and the '60s.

Our constitutional rights are being threatened.

For example, on March 22, 1986, some two hundred demonstrators of all races, all religions, all colors, demonstrating to say no aid to the Contras here in Miami were attacked by some two thousand people throwing eggs, rocks, sticks for two and a half hours. Not one person arrested, had to be bust out.

The police were instructed not to arrest

anyone.

Property was damaged, flags were burned, sticks were burned, placards were burned.

We faced the continued racism and economically where African-Americans and Anglo-Americans are forced to learn another language in order to find work, where the political apparatus has been turned over to the immigrants who have a clannish attitude, where the economic apparatus has been turned over, where the average African-Americans cannot find work, in the labeling that is going on in the African community, where property is being gobbled up in the African-American community, and it appears that the Federal Government instigated, organized and assisted in this takeover of our community with the complete ignoring of those of us who have tried nonviolent peaceful ways to be a part of the American dream.

We have now been asked or been forced to step to the back of the bus. We resent that and we want this Commission to carry this word back to Washington that we understand what has happened here. It is a disgrace to the Constitution of this country and it is a disgrace to humanity what is taking place in Miami, Florida, and for the rest of this country

to take notice as to what is happening here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We no longer control our own community, that which we have fought so hard for and worked so We have to sit back and watch our children hard for. die on the streets from drugs, we have to watch our children being brutalized and our families being brutalized psychologically and physically, and we hope that this Commission with the power that it has would begin to change that for us or we will change it ourselves. We must change it ourselves if we are to survive and live in this community. We hope that we will work together in a peaceful and nonviolent way with the full understanding that this is a spiritual warfare and that we do believe and that we know that when this battle is over that God is going to wear the crown and justice will reign and injustice that had been heaped upon us will be exposed to the world.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Mr. Sims,

Reverend Sims.

Thank you.

REVEREND SIMS: Good morning to the Commission, and we would like on record as thanking you for coming in to hear the concerns of these people who are crying out, and I must apologize to you for being one of the individuals who referred you to some

of our so-called Black organizations that confuses the issues, that felt that everything was all right in this community, and I apologize for those individuals. I will never refer them to anybody else I know and I thank PULSE for having the initiative to push this issue and to bring you in and I applaud them for the job that they did on accumulating the facts and having the individuals here that eyes can see. They tell me one picture is worth one thousand words and you saw the individuals that who have been victims of this police brutality.

Before I proceed --

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Mr. Sims, again, if we go back and we harp back on individual cases we will get nothing productive done, and if you could direct your comments to broader issues that produce negative results that need a response dealing with the police or the community relationship, and help us in terms of, if you will, recommendations, suggestions on how such a problem can be met, we would be much more—we will have a much more productive session.

The other is emotional wretching.

It produces a response from the audience. I

understand that there are some strong feelings, but

it won't be helpful to this process and our time is precious, we have a lot of people who wish to speak and we want to get to them all.

REVEREND SIMS: I agree with you, and I have not planned to mention individual cases, but I again applaud PULSE for having done so, but for the record, I would like to state that Reverend Willie Sims is here on Bonnie Sims' time, my wife, I am only answerable to her on Saturdays, that I am not an agency person today; I am speaking for the Miami Christian Improvement Association of which I serve in the capacity of the vice president.

There is a problem in the gentleman you saw standing to the table a moment ago,

Mr. Dawkins is in the same position that I am, he is employed by an agency who tends to want to harness

Blacks, who have the idea that they need to come forward and speak, and I am one of the people who care less because my allegiance is to the Black community first of all, because every time I wake up I look at a Black face there.

Now, I want to talk about the facts that we have had so many cases of police brutality that has been directed against Blacks by non-Black police officers here in Dade County, and the fact of

the matter is that all of them have walked away scot-free.

When members of the clergy, such as myself, are called upon in terms of crisis situations to calm the natives, then it becomes more and more difficult when you ask them to allow the system a chance to work and the system has never worked. There is no history of it working favorably.

The cases have been painted clear.

The worst slap in the face for this Black community is to have an individual that shot and killed a young Black man, went out and hired the highest-priced attorney in Dade County, and then the system came back and shoved it down our throat when the taxpayers had to pay for that attorney. I thought that was the worst insult that one could ever take.

This system cares nothing about Blacks here in Dade County. There is no effort, there is no concern for Blacks here in Dade County anytime that this police department can go out and spend millions of dollars on a machine to sensitize individuals how to approach a community. Yet, when they look at Black officers who have served on the force for X number of years, who are now moving up to positions that they have never attained before, yet none of them have ever

ever been involved in a shooting, then common sense would say to them instead of spending money on the machine, turn to the Black guy who is sitting next to you and ask him what the hell he does so that he doesn't have to shoot nobody.

We look at individuals who are coming into our communities of different race who think they have got to go into the gym and pump iron and come out looking like Mr. T or somebody else, that they have got to intimidate our people because of the fears that they have. Consequently they tend to overreact in our community to compensate for their fears.

What you find after stopping a Black person there is a different approach. This is not speculation, this is something that I have been privy to witness.

Officers approaching Black people in our community tend to be overaggressive, they don't want to take a chance, already they prejudged them as being the criminal types once they are stopped.

They generate a reaction from our people by the approach.

You can take the same officer that will stop someone in a non-Black community here in Dade County and he is as courteous as can be, "Sir, would

you please step out of the vehicle. May I see your registration."

Take that same officer, assign him to Liberty City or Overtown and it is, "Get out of the so-and-so car, place your hands on the car, don't move."

Already you trigger a response and you wonder why I am violent, why I am agitated? Because you agitated me when you stopped me.

Even in my capacity, at a high level of visibility that I maintain here in this community, and the fact that I am one of the individuals who have been singled out as attacking the Florida Highway Patrol in their lack of ability to promote Blacks.

Right now if I am stopped on I-95 in the middle of the night by a white Florida Highway Patrol man, he is going to follow me to the project or until some other area of high concentration because I won't stop by myself, I will not be a victim of the sudden move; and these individuals maintain that mentality, they know that even if they are guilty of killing, what is the worst they're going to get? They are going to get time off with pay, a long vacation and then they are going to be vindicated,

and this is the same thing that we have gone back to our congregations and back to the community and talked about.

Here in this community police who come before the system are treated as baby gods. They know that it is a process that they are going to have to follow. Even if they are removed from the system when a certain act occurs, they know that they will be rehired by a sister police department, North Miami Beach or North Miami or Hialeah Police Department and given a promotion on top of it.

You have got individuals who have—
whose names that PULSE has raised here who have been
involved in actions against Blacks who are now
serving as chief of police out on the Florida West
Coast, who are serving in other capacities who moved
up in rank who are serving as investigators,
high-level investigators to the police departments
here in this community. It is that perception that we
must deal with; it is that perception that we bring
to you.

Any time that this community--

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We are going to

ask that you conclude at this point.

REVEREND SIMS: This community also and

the reports will verify, Metro-Dade Police

Department has made an attempt to clear it up, but
there is documentation that the Florida Highway Patrol
has used Metro-Dade County as a dumping ground for
those officers that they are angry with for punitive
measures who said, "If you keep on getting bad
reports we will assign you to Dade County."

This is a thing that we hear in

Dade County, we are supposed to be used as a dumping

ground because we are losing our Black children, our

Black families to this.

We would ask this Commission to be sensitive enough to recognize the system that is in place, the review process that is in place right at this moment has not worked.

Anytime you can vindicate over seventeen different officers that the evidence has been extremely visible, that the world and an idiot would have found somebody guilty out of seventeen cases, and yet they have walked, then the system is not working for us and we simply ask that you use your powers to make a new system, don't go back and try to redo this system, let's just close this one clean out, bring in a new one.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We're going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to bring it to an end at this point. We are going to pose a few questions to you and I would ask you to be direct, succinct, to the point. limited.

MS. BELL: You are the second presenter that I have heard that says there is a profile for Black males. Is there any documented evidence on that that you know? Because if there is, this committee needs to know about it.

I also know that things are not always documented and there might not be any written policy, but from what I am hearing is that there is a profile for young Black men.

REVEREND SIMS: There is a profile. Whether or not--how it is documented is questionable because of the Black community having the perception that there is no justice available for them and consequently they don't pursue it.

However, there are some things in place that this community needs to take a look at.

If you would go out to Virginia Key Beach, where the City of Miami Beach Police Department has set up an example of a community to practice their field force training and their riot training, in all of the streets they are Black streets in the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Black community, if you go out to Virginia Key.

If you look at a case that was brought to me where there was a police dog that was trained to attack at the word "nigger," okay? These cases have been there. They have not been questioned publicly because the public has not been exposed.

Virginia Key Beach has been closed off, which was a predominantly Black-owned beach, a Black beach. It has been closed. Blacks have been sent everywhere else now, and all of a sudden it is concerned with the Blacks being on Haulover, creating problems and everything else, but they took this and they closed it off from the public but they left a fool like me to see it, who don't mind telling the rest of the community that it does exist.

MS. BELL: Is this Survival City? REVEREND SIMS: That is Survival City on Virginia Key Beach, where every street out there is named after a Black street in Dade County.

You will not find a Kendall Drive, you will not find a Brickell Avenue, but you will find a Martin Luther King Boulevard, or a D.A. Dorsey Boulevard, Northwest 3rd Avenue. You will find that.

> MS. BELL: Thank you.

MR. BINKLEY: Can I ask a question?

1 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Can we maintain order, please? 2 3 Mr. Binkley? MR. BINKLEY: Would you repeat what police department this training is for? 5 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: The question was 6 for what police department was this training? 7 REVEREND SIMS: Survival City is used 8 by the City of Miami Police Department. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I want to ask one question as well, and that was in regard to what you 11 called a dumping ground policy, that police officers 12 who were disciplined in one jurisdiction would be 13 14 picked up by another. 15 Do you have any hard information that you could provide us, not here today, but send in to 16 us to fill out the record on that? 17 18 REVEREND SIMS: We can supply you with some documentation from specifically perhaps the most 19 current, is the Florida Highway Patrol. We can go 20 21 back in our files and come up with something that 22 Metro was using in the Central District, as well as 23 the City of Miami. 24 Now, this is all past. When this is 25 brought to their attention they, the City of Miami,

and Metro has discontinued it because they have demonstrated some sensitivity.

The Florida Highway Patrol has decided they want to slap Black folks square in the face and step on them, and we have got one of the most prejudiced majors I have ever encountered in Major Paul Gracey assigned this district at the Florida Highway Patrol and I want to go on record as pointing him out as being a major problem to the progress of the Black folks in Dade County.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That is not going to go on record and that kind of statement about an individual is unwarranted and it is quite unfair in this proceeding to make the blanket charge.

REVEREND SIMS: Well, Mr. Chairman, I do not apologize.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I think on this point we are ready for the next panel.

REVEREND SIMS: Thank you.

MR. ROLLE: Reverend Sims, before you leave, if you don't mind--

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We are just going to pose a few questions to Reverend Fauntroy, if anyone has any questions, but we thank you, Mr. Sims.

b

MR. ROLLE: Ray, in terms of your statement to us, did I understand that you were saying, one, there is no political leadership in any jurisdiction in this country regarding the problem of how law enforcement officials relate to the Black community in terms of any kind of policy statement being issued through the Commission, the manager, to the chiefs, to the troops in the field? That is one.

Two, do you know whether or not there are any ongoing efforts at either improving sensitivity toward the different ethnic groups, particularly, the Black community, what kind of response has been made to that and in your view, would you feel that a gathering of all elected officials that govern areas where there are Black constituents, would in any way be helpful as a step in this process of trying to improve this situation in making sure that every policeman understands what is expected and cannot expect to be supportive, when in fact they are violating rules?

And I raise this particularly because of the number of law enforcement officers who are not perpetrating violence on any of our citizens.

DR. FAUNTROY: First let me say that

in my household I am the boss, I run my house. When we elect the officials of the leadership of this community, they are in control, they have authority that we as people have elected, have not seen to all the needs to all of the people, then they are responsible to the condition that exists in our community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For us to continue to go to those who are creating the problem for their own greedy purposes for profit, for self, while the community deteriorates, I think is a point that we have to continue to look at, to hold these people who are in authority and in control. They are two different people. One is the people that we elect and the second, the people who control the economics of this community, and as long as they can continue to rob and rape one community and not be a government of the people, by the people, for all of the people, then we have a problem and those people have consistently white-washed problems that have faced not only the African-American community, but poor white communities also have been ignored, have been used and have been robbed of our political and of our revenue sources.

For example, the \$73,000,000 that came here for revitalization of the Black community never

got there.

Why did not the elected officials, those in authority, do something about that and see to it that Liberty City, that Florida City, that the Black community in this country have equal access and are treated equally as is every other community? And that is just simply not the case, they have not done that and again, you can go into African-American community and buy any drug you want.

I would also like to add that in our community that the economic basis in the meat markets, the stores, the trade centers are all run by outsiders outside of our community. They happen to be Asian, they happen to be Cuban, they happen to be anybody outside of our community, and to say that it is our fault to blame the victim again for the crime, I think it is absurd and there are reasons why those entities have been placed there with the support of our Government, our tax dollars to our own demise.

MR. ROLLE: If you had a magic wand and decided to wave it what would it be in terms of getting a handle on the allegations of police brutality abuse?

DR. FAUNTROY: Well, first of all, I would say that there has to be--policemen are in a

precarious position. They have to defend an injust system. The people in the community are reacting to the injustices that are heaped upon us on a daily and regular basis. We are trying to save our children from the crack man.

Do you understand?

While the Contra hearings pointed out that drugs that—guns were being carried into
Nicaragua and drugs were being brought into this
country, right into our community. That is an issue that I believe that the political leadership and the economic leadership of this community should and ought to address and stop and do something about it, but they have not, resulting in we, the people, having to fight for our very lives on the street against these kinds of atrocities in our community.

If I had a magic wand I would wave it and justice and rain would run down like water.

That would be the answer and I think that you cannot have peace and you cannot have the viable community without justice and that is what is lacking in this community, justice in this world, justice.

I am going to have to leave because I have got a Free South Africa demonstration today at the Bicentennial Amphitheater. I hope everybody comes

when they are finished and also it is the same issue. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you. 3 I think we are probably going to stand 4 in place for about three minutes just to stretch, 5 before we ask the next panel to come forward. 6 (Thereupon, a short recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:) 7 8 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We have three 9 representatives of the various chapters of the 10 National Association for the Advancement of Colored 11 People. 12 We would ask each of you to identify 13 yourselves, to indicate the branch that you 14 represent and to determine the order in which you wish 15 to proceed. 16 MR. DUE: My name is John Due, I am 17 president of the South Dade Branch of the NAACP. 18 MR. LAWSON: My name is Willie Lawson, 19 III, I am president of the Greater Miami Branch of 20 the NAACP, located in the City of Miami at 4610 21 Northwest 7th Avenue. 22 Basically as the Greater Miami NAACP 23 we have offices located at that site. We have been 24 in existence there for several years. I have been 25 president there since 1983. I have been a board

member since 1978.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Can you speak more directly into the mike?

MR. LAWSON: I have been a board member since 1978 and we have already established our order.

Before getting into the order of this we would like to present the next person at that time to introduce herself.

MS. MC MILLAN: My name is Johnnie McMillan, I am president of the Opa-locka Branch. I am the newest president here, as you can see, I was just elected in 1987, and I am happy to be here today and I am looking forward to making some general and overall statements about the conditions of the North Dade area.

MR. DUE: Okay, I guess our order will be as follows: I will begin first and after that Mr. Lawson will be the second speaker and Ms. McMillan will be the third speaker.

In addition to being the president of the South Dade Branch of the NAACP, I am also past adjunct professor at what was formally known as Biscayne College, now known as Saint Thomas, whereby I taught criminal--

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Excuse me just

one minute, Mr. Due.

We have a little murmur in the background. If you want to engage in a conversation, will you please go out of the room and conduct that conversation? Thank you.

MR. DUE: As I was saying, I was adjunct professor of criminal justice and in public administration and the two courses I taught was criminal justice in the community and community police administration, and in my classes I had many police officers who are now in command roles in the Metro Police Department.

I think I will have to do a report card after this meeting today.

I also have been a former--I am not a former member, but I still am a staff person with the Metro Community Relations Board, and I will give you some of my experience in dealing with what is called a Community Appeals Board that we have developed back in 1980.

I submitted to all of you a report from me called Community Action for the Improvement of Police-Community Relations. If there are some of you who do not have a copy of this report, during the noon break I will try to make some more copies and I

will have a better distribution.

It is very interesting about us having this kind of meeting today, because August the 7th, 1968, I think, Ms. Bell, you will remember, we had a serious disturbance in the City of Miami called the Miami Riots, August the 7th, 1968. At that time right after the riots there was a study done about what the causes of the riots were. Willie Sims at that time was 20 years old and he was president of a group called Black Brothers for Progress, and it seems as if for a period of twenty years we were still having studies that were coming out with reports, and the question is whether or not we are going to proceed further than just having studies and further reports.

Consequently hearing and understanding your concerns about solutions relating to Police-Community relations, I submitted a paper dealing with four areas.

I am also a member of PULSE and one of the things I have noticed in my connection with PULSE is the complete alienation and isolation between police administration and the Black community, particularly involving an organization like PULSE.

Again, I agree with former speakers who

thank PULSE for inviting me to come here.

PULSE is a community organization as opposed to being a community agency. The difference being as a community organization the community controls that organization, whereas a community agency in my definition is an entity controlled from outside of the community. In other words, it is fenced from outside of the community, its directions are from outside the community.

PULSE is not like that and we appreciate

PULSE having the capacity to having a staff and

resources to be able to follow up the kind of

problems that we are trying to address today, and in

that regard one is of serious concerns that I see,

is that although this community, its intuition, it

thinks it is making progress as far as Police
Community relations and making reforms, the reforms

are not done with involvement with PULSE or other

organizations of the community.

Consequently, my first recommendation is that we look at the recommendations made by the Tennessee Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights ten years ago, dealing with the problem of Police-Community relations in Memphis, and one of the first recommendations that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that committee made was that there is a need for an advisory committee to the Chief Executive Officer.

Of course, in Memphis, with a strong mayoral form, that was the mayor, I suggested with Dade County, we need a Police-Community Relations Committee that is responsible, connected to the County Manager's Office. The NAACP, PULSE, other organizations shouldn't have to continuously run after the Director of Public Safety or area commanders to deal with community problems. should be a sense of parity and equality.

PULSE should deal with the Chief and other officials should deal with NAACP, should deal with the Executive Officer, that is the County Manager in this community would be compromised.

Again, community organizations such as PULSE, NAACP, Miami Christian Association, the purpose is to carry the kind of dialogue that is necessary to deal with the kinds of problems that we have in Police-Community relations. One of the functions of such a committee would be to do a survey of the internal revenue processes of the Metro Police Department and the City of Miami, determine where the failure is, to also look at the policy of the use in dealing with force, and that is very critical in

this particular community.

My second recommendation is dealing with the district of the advisory committee.

Right after the shooting or the beating of Schoolteacher LaFleur, where the police arrested Mr. LaFleur and made a wrong address trying to do a drug bust and Mr. LaFleuer was injured, out of that disturbance and the manager at that time recommended to the County Commission dispatchment of a city advisory committee in each of the districts of the Metro Police Department.

The United States Commission on Civil Rights looked at this citizens' advisory committee and made certain recommendations, which as far as I'm concerned, still have not been carried out as to the work on the citizens' advisory committee. Namely, for example, the Public Safety Department should respond in writing to all the written recommendations or requests for information from the advisory committee.

Number two, to implement a training program for the citizens' advisory committee.

*The problem with the citizens' advisory committee is that they are not inclusive of representatives of the community organizations that I have just referred to, such as an NAACP, COB, what

have you.

Commanders jointly make appointments in order to deal with effective community relations. We should be invited to participate officially as representatives of the organizations to participate in these advisory committees and therefore be able to carry on the kind of dialogue that is necessary to deal with problems of police abuse in these particular communities.

Third, the Independent Review Panel.

I have serious concerns about the structure and the function of the Independent Review Panel. The

Independent Review Panel came out of a long process of discussion for fifteen years about the need for a civilian review board. It has been demonstrated that the organized police unions, such as the Police Benevolent Association, Fraternal Order of Police, have been very effective, one, to either—to prevent the establishment of such bodies; number two, after they have been established to retaliate against the political persons who supported these review boards to the result that their careers would be ended. This happened both in Philadelphia and in New York.

So consequently, the community

Jack Besoner and Associates

relations board felt at that time in 1980 there should be some representatives from the PBA to be on the proposed community appeals board as a counting and an alternative to the civilian review board as a secondary agent and appellate process. I have not seen that, this has not worked through the independent review panel.

I have a person here by the name of Mr. Ed Foster.

Will you stand, Mr. Foster? Are you still here, from Homestead?

He stood when PULSE made their presentation. I don't see him back there.

Well, anyway, one of the problems is that after the occasion of the grievance, it has been more than a month before the independent review investigation was initiated in Homestead, so consequently we need a body, a board or what have you, that has county-wide jurisdiction that can initiate investigation at least within 24 hours of the complaint, not take a month before these complaints are investigated. As far as the composition of such a board, I agree that we would need more citizen involvement; it should not be controlled by a county agency.

NAACP in 1980 has adopted and asked its branches to recommend that the municipalities throughout the county adopt what is called NAACP local model ordinance on the use of deadly force. What I recommend, that you would ask the municipalities to sit down with organizations such as the NAACP, to look at the use of deadly force and see if not the elements of this policy can be adopted.

Thank you very much.

MR. LAWSON: First of all, I would like to establish the purpose of this hearing.

When the first contact and the concerns about police brutality did come up and, of course, we began to get records of various complaints we had received in accordance with the terms of police brutality and it created a serious concern.

However, in getting a further clarification the purpose of this hearing was established that the purpose of this hearing be to, first of all, find out how the agencies are involved, how we function as an agency. Secondly, to state the Police-Community relations and thirdly, how they can be improved.

In addition, the concerns as to how the Greater Miami NAACP functions, we represented an

arm of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. We have an office location, as I stated earlier, 4610 Northwest 7th Avenue. We are staffed sometimes by volunteers, sometimes by paid staff individuals. We also have an answering machine.

On that answering machine we get various concerns about police concerns. There is situations where persons felt they were abused. The fact that a person may go into a city and does not see any Black representation within a particular police department or the fact that a particular community may feel they are not receiving adequate police protection in terms of their businesses, and we are concerned about that.

In terms of the purpose of the NAACP, we feel that, first of all, justice should be blind. We should have equal representation at all levels of government. We do not believe in police brutality. At the same time, the concern there based on some of the concerns we received, some of the people feel that perhaps in many cases where police officers act as a judge, jury and executioner in terms of losing their right to being innocent until proven guilty.

Many of the cases that the individuals

before me alluded to, whereas we have received capital punishment perhaps for a minor offense that never got to the courts, and in terms of the state of Police-Community relations, the question then becomes how can a person being of Black pigmentation feel very comfortable in the Miami-Dade community when they know sometimes they are stopped in certain neighborhoods merely because of the pigmentation of their skin?

When there is an article in The Miami Times, as recently as May, where there is a police department in Miami Springs, to be specific, who was accused of harassing Black young people attempting to attend a 99-cent movie, where there were concerns about the citizens along the 7th Avenue corridor in the City of Miami, who felt that perhaps their business cannot survive because they do not have adequate police protection to protect their investment at night.

When there are concerns about it in the City of Hialeah, when many Blacks go to there to shop at Westland Mall and other locations in Hialeah, and see very few Blacks, if any, on the police department.

Even when reading the paper as recently

as this week, there is a question about a police department where perhaps abuse may have taken place, when a police officer takes it upon himself to carry a female suspect home to secure her identity, in terms of what else.

That has not been necessarily the case when in the same situation in the state of Police-Community relations here in the Miami,

Dade County area we look at the procedures for complaint.

The Greater Miami NAACP we often receive telephone calls on the answering machine saying, "Here I am in the Metro-Dade Police Department, give me a call, I need help, I was beaten, I need some legal representation," but at the same time, perhaps there are no procedures that I know of that we can call and get information about it in reference and actually discussing that unless the person put us down as the representative and then we can perhaps get in to visiting that particular person.

One of the approaches we have taken is to ask for people that send us letters and we do receive letters of people complaining about injustices that they perceived in the police department.

The other concern is the time frame.

Many times we have the burden of fear, hurt and abuse upon their shoulder. We do not want to wait six months to a year to get it lifted, but we find many cases where cases are referred to various panels and it is buried there for years to come.

In reference to police brutality of Police-Community relations, I have observed various scenes.

I can recall very vividly the opportunity I had to observe a police arrest on 36th Street and Biscayne, where perhaps the officers may have been doing their duty, but as a mere observer many feet away, of course, I was approached by a particular police officer and asked, "What are you doing, what is it to you, what you got to do with it?"

When I said I was observing the situation, that I was the president of the Greater Miami NAACP, his response was, "You don't scare me," but he moved along and started doing what he was about to do.

I can only shudder to think if I was not president of the Greater Miami NAACP what might have occurred, and that is not the only incident.

Even leaving the NAACP office I made a turn in the road. I was immediately stopped by a police officer.

"You know you made an illegal turn?"
"No, I did not. I made a U-turn."

I have a halfway decent memory and I recall reading in the Florida Handbook that you can make a U-turn unless it creates a safety hazard or it is posted that you cannot. I reminded the officer of that. Again, the question came up, "Where are you coming from?"

He immediately said, "Okay, don't do it again," but what if I did not represent the Greater Miami NAACP in terms of community relations? These types of things are things that we receive where perhaps the person cannot say that he happens to be president of the Greater Miami NAACP. In terms of community relations we have citizens whether in Sweetwater or Hialeah, Miami Springs, we have a Black movement all over this county, but unfortunately we do not have Black participation. There are certain departments that say they only hire within the city, but I have not received a case yet

where someone said, "I was stopped in Sweetwater,"

perhaps, "and they chose not to give me a ticket

because I did not live in Sweetwater," because most

police departments give you a ticket regardless where

you are from if they think you are violating the law.

Those are the concerns that we should have representation in all the police departments, there should be a concerted effort to have police representation by Blacks and other minorities in terms of the protection.

We have received complaints in reference to the Florida Highway Patrol about the lack of Black officers. We even got complaints in terms of some Blacks have been dismissed without the form of appeal as they view it and presently they are making efforts to pursue those things in court, but those are the types of things that tend to deteriorate or degrade the community relations when it comes to the police departments and Metro-Dade and the Black community.

At the same time, the question becomes who is doing something about it. Sure, we have had meetings and I think there have been successful meetings with City of Miami Police Department.

We have had meetings with Metro-Dade, but at the same

time each of the meetings that we have had we initiated those meetings, they have not initiated any meetings with us, and since we represent the NAACP and since we tend to get complaints, where no one else gets complaints or they cannot reach anyone else, perhaps we need to establish some type of dialogue with the agencies to make sure that you have a point of contact between agencies, you don't get the run around until you have to call perhaps the Chief of Police in order to get some action taken.

At the same time, in terms of the method for complete investigation of citizen complaints, "Well, now, that we have this complaint here we cannot give you any additional information until the complaint is completed," and there are certain rules and regulations that says that even a citizen review board cannot get information until the investigation is complete.

I mean, when you talk about CommunityPolice relations, I think the community has the
right to be concerned about their treatment. Even
the City of Opa-locka, which has done addressed this,
but there have been concerns throughout Dade County.

As Black persons traveling the streets of Dade County we feel that perhaps that we are not

safe because of the sudden movement, situations where people can say, "Well, he moved suddenly, so therefore I felt my life was violated and I had no recourse but to shoot him."

We have several police officers sitting around and no one knows what has happened. We have too many situations here in Metro-Dade County where many eyewitnesses saw something that was totally contradictory, so until they find a final conclusion that took place in the courts.

In summary, the status of the Police-Community relations here in Dade County is very questionable as a Black man or a Black individual traveling the streets of Dade County.

As a Black business person needing protection of a business because of a narrow margin of profit they cannot allow to sustain losses in their business.

You find that when you have an assignment of police officers, so we have many officers assigned based on the population, but at the same time based on the need what is happening, based on the need to have immediate response from officers when you call and they attempt to take a police report over the telephone, and again, I can speak from firsthand experience.

In 1986 I filed a complaint in front
of the NAACP office. Someone had attempted to steal
my little beat-up car. I don't understand why they
would want it. I immediately went in and called the
police department. After several holds and
everything else, they took a report over the telephone,
but at the same time each time I have a meeting I
still have that concern, if not my car, whose car
will it be? Do we have adequate police protection in
the Black community? Do we have adequate concern
about Blacks in the police department throughout
Dade County?

I think the answer to those questions in the minds of many people is a resounding no, and if the answer is opposite, then I think the responsibility is still at rest on the police department throughout Dade County to get the message to the citizens that we do care about you, we do give you equal treatment under the law and we do not immediately accuse you of being guilty in the case of a police officer responding to a complaint, whether it was a contrast between a Black and a white individual involving a white female and immediately they assume that the Black male was at fault.

So in terms of Police-Community

relations in Dade County, we have some serious problems to be improved and I can give you some suggestions that we feel they can be improved, and at this time I will give control to Johnnie McMillan.

MS. MC MILLAN: On behalf of the Opa-locka branch, as well as the North Dade community, we would like to express our sincere appreciation to the PULSE organization for asking you to come and certainly conduct this hearing.

Based on the things you have heard thus far you would agree was well over two a day and certainly much needed in our community.

We have a tremendous growth population occurring in the Opa-locka-North Dade community which would lend itself to suggesting to you that all the problems that you have heard occurring in other parts of this community certainly would be twofold in the North area.

One of the things that occurred, and I certainly would like to be sure that I get this in initially, that occurred to us to greatly erode any hope of having a positive Police-Community relations was when they moved the police station from the North Dade area and moved it into the Miami Lakes area, which was an interesting kind of move that occurred.

Now, that statement certainly was very loud and clear in the community where you had a large population of Blacks residing. Although the groups did speak up and say, "You know, why are you doing this to us? We, too, need a station here."

It was of no avail, the station was still moved. Although they left a small office there to address perhaps some concerns, it in no way left other than a bitter taste in the community's mouth.

The other thing that we have tremendous concerns about is one of when you talk about developing a positive relationship with the very people that you are supposed to serve, you must address the manner in which you interact with those persons. You have heard testimony about that.

We certainly in the North Dade area have had an opportunity to see the same kind of lack of positive communication on the part of the police department in that area.

However, I must say in the Opa-locka area, I want to be sure I am trying to separate it for you, because I am relating North Dade and Opa-locka, our branch's name is Opa-locka, but because it is the only branch north of 95th Street we service the entire North Dade area, so when you talk

about North Dade I am talking about the Metro Police Department. When I speak specifically of Opa-locka I am speaking of that particular municipality. Our concerns have primarily been with the Metro-Dade Police Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There has been no effort on that department to interact with the NAACP. They have not provided us with the kind of which we think support in terms of informing us as to what is happening, how can we be of assistance, because we do believe that if you are going to improve something it takes all concerns to be involved in the process of delivering that improvement. So we basically can say that we at this point do not have a relationship with the Metro-Dade Police Department in the North Dade area. However, we do have with the Opa-locka Police Department, and I must commend Chief Reaves since he has been there, he has tried to inform the NAACP and he has tried to involve us in issues that concern the Opa-locka Police Department and that is one of the moves I think that all the municipalities need to follow in terms of involving community groups, asking their opinion, asking them to help, because of--you certainly cannot do this job -- they certainly cannot do this job alone.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Black people in this country have suffered enough and I think you have heard testimony to that certain statement. We know that without some changes that we do stand a risk of repeating the same kind of activity that we had back in 1980 and We certainly would want to try to avoid that, but it is imminent if something is not done. imagine at this point as of today that it would not take very much, just one slight incident, to start us again, and I think if you check with anybody in this room they would agree with that, so there must be an effort on all police departments to make a consistent effort in trying to involve every group and every entity of the municipality for which it serves to have an ongoing communication on a monthly basis to see exactly what is happening, give the community an opportunity to tell them, "What are your concerns, what problems have you had and how can we assist?"

I think that Mr. Due's idea,

Attorney Due's idea of an advisory committee in the various areas there is an excellent one. There certainly would be a vehicle in which we may be able to address our concerns. I think the community can be a great help to the police department, not only

in helping to diffuse some of their concerns, but can assist in ensuring that the officers who are on the street do respond in the way that a professional would, because they are supposed to be professional, so therefore they ought to approach people in a professional manner.

The other part of the train that must be ensured that it is greatly enhanced is the one on fleeing felons. We within the NAACP, the Florida State Conference, have worked very hard within the Legislature to try to get that law changed. We are still working with that, we are trying to ensure that the State of Florida does some things to bring to us, not only here in Miami, but throughout this state, some legal changes that will assist this effort to stop the kind of fleeing felon acts that are occurring.

We want to again recommend strongly that each municipality in some way be mandated to increase positive Police-Community relations through communicating with all entities of that community.

Miami can take no more. We need the help.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you.

Questions? Mr. Rolle.

MR. ROLLE: I would like to say in my view, Mr. Due, Mr. Lawson and Ms. McMillan, that was an excellent presentation. I think you have afforded us a number of specific recommendations which seem to be very cogent and on point that we certainly should look at and speaking as an individual member, speaking for myself, I intend to embrace those recommendations rather heartedly, Mr. Chairman.

I do have one question. I had hoped to raise this with some of the other panelists.

What would the NAACP be willing to do
to assist in the reduction of those instances where
there is crime and the police have to deal with this
situation? And from a human nature standpoint in
terms of not putting one's self at risk unnecessarily,
I am asking you to give us your feelings about the
other side of this, in terms of—are there any
community—wide programs or any programs involving the
school system and PTA's? Do you have anything in mind
in terms of whether maybe the police department would
go with the NAACP through some sort of community
resource specialist, that we could work with people
who might need that, or is that a problem, or am I
misstating the situation?

I would like to hear your opinion about

that.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

that.

21

22

23

25

MR. DUE: I would like to begin.

The NAACP, like other organizations, PULSE, our Greek organizations, the Urban League is concerned about crime, particularly Black crime, and one of the people in the audience has indicated that one of us should also talk about the fact that the problem of crime doesn't come from within the Black community. No Black folks have the business of importing cocaine from Colombia. We are used as a market and law enforcement somehow is perceived sometimes as not being aggressive enough to work with the Black community to stop the street sales and crime within some of the communities. I am talking particularly about Perrine, where the Metro-Miami action plan is involved and active in the community action agency to identify crack houses and therefore this person has been subject to retaliation, and it appears that there has been no response to deal with

I think PULSE has raised this concern. What the NAACP is willing to do, I think I can speak for the rest of us, is that we had a conference in 1984 called The Conference on a Black Family. They included all the national civil rights

organizations and Greek organizations, and one of the outcomes of that national conference is that in each community we develop the same kind of network with other organizations to begin to deal with crime within our particular communities with a dual approach.

Number one, continually work to getting the outside organizations to be responsive to the needs of the Black community and, at the same time, on a self-help basis, begin to deal with our needs as far as family, as far as our education program.

We have what is called Back-To-School-Stay-In-School program, where they are trying to encourage our children to stay in school so they don't graduate with no--except with a certificate of attendance and their life would be devoted to hanging out under the tree during the 21st Century, so we know that we as a Black organization had to take care of Black business, so at the same time we want to interface with other organizations who are responsible for conditions that we get them involved.

MR. LAWSON: I would like to say in addition to what Johnnie just stated, many times we stop and observe crime or let's say scenes involving the police.

My answer to many of the officers who

ask, "Why are you here," I say, "I want to have firsthand information if someone calls and says,

'I got a police complaint about something,' I can say what I observed," whether it was a valid complaint or just what the situation may have been, in addition to just stopping to monitor situations, we have supported in meeting with the mayor and other representatives in terms of police substations in the City of Miami, who is very supportive in getting the police substations started.

In terms of having forums involving crime in the Black community, we have initiated and we have invited Metro, the City of Miami, Florida Highway Patrol and other local police departments, and many of them did participate in some of the forums that we conducted.

We have made available our meeting facilities to neighborhood crime watch organizations to host their meetings. At the same time we recognize it is not the responsibility of the NAACP to do police work, but we do share a concern to the elimination and mediation of crime in the Black community and do what we can do, we are more than willing to do, but at the same time the question has to go what has been asked of us in addition to what we have tried to do?

MS. MC MILLAN: Yes, I do think that the NAACP has quite a role to play if allowed to play with the other side of the coin that talks about the prevention of crime. I think we should be not only in the business of addressing crime at the point that it has happened, but what happens to bring persons to this point.

Now, there are several things that occur. One, you talk about crime of the youth. When you talk about youth you are talking about the school system, you are talking about making an effort to work with the school system, and that effort has not been made at this time, Mr. Rolle, I'm sorry to say.

It grieves me deeply that we have youngsters skipping on Fridays, going to South Beach and nobody has said to them that, "You better go back to school."

Now, certainly if you have got youngsters who ought to be in school and they are drifting around in the streets, I don't know what you can expect them to do other than to get into something they shouldn't be involved in, so we are going to have to take the responsibility, all of us, in ensuring that we work with agencies to help get our kids where they ought to be, when they ought to be

there.

In addition to that, we have got to develop programs, if they are not in place, and I am not aware of too many, that reaches the masses.

You see, one thing about us, when we start developing programs—and I'm talking about all of us in America—we generally develop programs that tend to reach very few persons. We skim the top.

We just don't seem to be able to develop programs that will reach the masses. Therefore, the programs are designed to reach certain people that they never get to, so somehow we got to begin to work to try to reach those persons who are really, I should say—I want to say prospective criminals, that is probably no such police term, but anyway, whatever, they are about to get into it before they get into it. It looks like they are about to get into it. We know those kinds of kids. We know them from birth almost.

You know, your parents can tell you the child that is into something more active, I should say than another child or one who tends to lean towards certain kinds of activity, so yes, we do have a responsibility, but I would like to work on the preventive side.

MR. ROLLE: I would like to thank you

for those responses once again and indicate to you that I am very impressed with the presentation.

I intend to speak with my colleagues here.

DR. CLARKSON: I have one question that I believe I will direct to the president of North Dade, but perhaps if other people are aware of circumstances, you indicated a difference between the Opa-locka Police Department and Dade County, and I wonder if you could highlight either now or perhaps later to the community where you thought were major differences? Was it in internal review? Was it in the community relations area? What were the areas that were most identified in terms of the response? I think that would be helpful to us.

MS. MC MILLAN: I was referring to community relations angle. I was highlighting for you an event that took place within the North Dade area that precipitated an erosion, not that we thought that we didn't have problems prior to that, when the police station was moved into the Miami Lakes area. I differentiated with the Opa-locka Police Départment, who, on the other hand, when problems arose made an attempt to articulate with community groups inclusive of the NAACP and asked us to enjoin them in addressing the community and their problems, contrary

to the Metro-Dade-North Dade area police department.

Was I clear or not?

MR. DUE: For example, Chief Reaves from the City of Opa-locka, I met with him when he was captain in the City of Tallahassee, when he participated in a work shop that we had at state level on Police-Community relations, when we conducted the hearings back in 1976, and produced this report, "Police By The White Male Majority."

There was a look of emphasis of recruiting Black officers, making sure that they get them into command positions, but we are not talking about that in 1988, because Metro and Miami have done a lot of work in recruiting Black police officers and they are getting into command positions, but I don't see too much difference as far as Police-Community relations.

What we expected back in 1980, that these officers here in Dade County would be like Chief Reaves, who would come out and reach out into the Black community, so because that is why we said we need Black police officers because they were sensitive and they have a commitment to the Black community. We do not need any officers, Black or white, who is very passive, who is not involved with

the community.

I think this is the problem that the NAACP has, PULSE has, the civilian agencies that we have, the isolation that you talk about, racial isolation in Miami.

I mean, you don't have to be Black in order to deal with another person in an unfair way without involving that other person.

The same thing with some of our Black principals who are not involved in the community, they leave at 3 o'clock and are not involved in the community.

What I'm saying is that we need our officers to assume that kind of role that we expected in 1968; when we say we needed more Black police officers in 1976, when we told you all back then that we needed more Black police officers so that we can have their involvement as far as leadership in the community. That is not happening.

MS. MC MILLAN: To be more definitive about your question and what--how I was trying to respond to you, when the Opa-locka branch of the NAACP had its mass meeting, and I have them, you know, every month, I always try to identify certain sessions strictly to deal with police concerns in your

community.

When I made the invitation, and I do it twice a year, the Metro-Dade Police Department always sends an individual to represent them, usually someone of quite low rank, quite frankly, but the Opa-locka Police Chief comes himself and that is the difference in my way of thinking to the people, in terms of how they feel. They feel they can talk directly with persons in charge. That person can be responsive to their concerns at that time, and that is important.

MR. BINKLEY: Ms. McMillan expressed a concern over the fleeing felon law and made a reference of changing state law. I wonder if she or any other panel members would address more specifically your concern with it and what you want changed, what you have reference to.

MR. DUE: Okay. I think the year was 1973, '74, Chief of Police Witt, W-i-t-t, at the time was a sergeant with the City of Miami Police Department, but he was also the president of the Florida Police Benevolent Association, and they were able to lobby some legislative changes with references to use of deadly force. What we are talking about particularly, the FBI, for example, if I recall, relating to the use of deadly force,

recommendations that deadly force should be used by police officers or can only be used by police officers to only save one's life or the life of another; not necessarily, you know, prevent a person who is fleeing from a felony that is not causing immediate threat to one's self or to another.

As I understand, that used to be the common law, you know, provisions, and what happened, the Legislature passed a law which, as I understand it, limited—I mean, expanded the use of deadly force, that it could be used to stop fleeing felons, when on occasion not to save the life of one's self or another.

I understand that some municipalities, however, still apply the common law version on it or the FBI version, but again, the law is there and that means that resources of NAACP had to be used to stop municipalities from adopting the state law.

MS. MC MILLAN: We have been trying to do that. What the Florida State conference has been doing, it has been working very closely now. I think we got a couple persons in the House to try to carry that. I think some persons from the Legislature or from the North area, I think Carrie Meeks carried it once but it did not go through yet but the NAACP is

still trying to get that changed that John mentioned 2 so that it will not be interpreted by its common 3 interpretation. CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you, 5 Ma'am. We appreciate your time.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to call the next panel if we could.

> Is Ari Sosa present? How about Larry Capp?

Mr. Brown, you appear to be the panel.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

Chairman Moorhead and members of the State Advisory Committee, and ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Sosa was here earlier this morning, but felt that he had to be present during the Free South Africa demonstration in his staff capacity.

The Dade County Community Relations Board consists of both a professional staff headed by Dr. Sosa, and you have heard two of our very competent staff people, Reverend Sims and Attorney John Due, speak to you this morning, and also citizens who are appointed to the board, and I have served as a volunteer citizen appointed to the board and, of course, we rely very heavily on our staff to keep us informed on what is happening within the community.

On a personal note, it does seem very unusual for me to be on the opposite side of the panel, having served eighteen years on the State Advisory Committee in Oklahoma and Massachusetts and chairing Massachusetts for six years, so I welcome you here.

I think the unfortunate items that we hear today are pretty much deja vu to most of us who have been in the battle against racism. We sometimes feel we are sitting at the beach with a teaspoon trying to push the sand away.

I chaired one in New Bedford in the early '70s on this issue.

You have heard Attorney Due speak
about the Florida Advisory Committee meeting in '76
on this issue. Unfortunately, this has probably been
a most difficult area of issues for the State
Advisory Committee and the Civil Rights Commission to
actually have concrete changes made.

Many other areas have been much more successful in getting change than this one. I think it speaks very much to the frustration that PULSE has felt in dealing with these issues, that they felt that they had to turn to the Civil Rights Commission in the State Advisory Committee.

When those agencies that have more

direct power to effect change rather than to make recommendations and write reports and advocate for those changes had failed them in their eyes and they had to turn to the Advisory Committee and Civil Rights Commission, I appreciate PULSE's efforts to bring you here as other people have said and urge you to become strong advocates for your recommendations as well as the committee that just makes them.

The Community Relations Board of Dade County last fall established a Police-Community Relations Committee, and I was asked to chair that committee and we have taken very specific issues relating to Police-Community relations to try to pinpoint our efforts on, and I would like to bring those forward to you today. One of them has related to the Office of Professional Compliance within the City of Miami. This is one of the agencies that has been set up to examine citizens' complaints relative to police. Since 1985 that committee has essentially been nonexistent, that effort.

There is a police and corrections

officers bill of rights in Florida which states that

the law enforcement officer cannot be investigated

by anybody outside of that law enforcement agency, and

I will give you the record here with the citation and

you can go look at that particular law, and the City
Attorney has ruled that the City of Miami Office of
Professional Compliance was then not able to deal with
these complaints the way they had done before because
they were outside of the police department, so it
has essentially been dysfunctional during this period
of time.

We have a certain staff within the City of Miami that has also had our lawyers look at it and we recommend that they could in fact actually continue to work if they were placed in the police department, reporting in terms of budget, in terms of organization, but reporting outside of the police department to the Department of Internal Audits and reviews for supervision and for their career advancement that would give them--put them legally within in terms of making--meeting the constraints of state law, but effectively outside of it in terms of their career and supervision. This has not yet been done.

We still are working to urge this, but as of yet it has not happened.

We also recognize -- recommend that they establish a citizens' advisory board directly to the Office of Professional Compliance so that their

work, although would be--of that avenue to the
community to ensure that open communication and
following of the work of that agency. We have not
spent the same efforts looking at the work of the
independent review panel, but there are a couple of
items that could be noted.

One, for example, that you are the Commission in this hearing today recommend subpoena power with that independent review panel. That recommendation made a number of years ago has still not been acted on.

The independent review panel has not had subpoena power.

One thing that happens when people call us and we ask people to report on their complaints to the independent review panel, it is very hard to find.

I ask each of you to try to remember that that is the name of the agency that you would make your complaint to, and I'm not sure you would. I forget the telephone number sometimes and then try to find it in the Dade County phone book. It is hidden way in the bowels under a name that doesn't say complaints, it says independent review panel which is not necessarily, as I remember it certainly—if one

were really interested in getting and enhancing the ability for that agency to get complaints, if you look at the Dade County phone book you will see in front of the large section that lists all the county agencies, a little box up in front saying "Frequently called numbers," but there is no frequently called number placed up there that gives the independent review panel unless people know that that is where they can file complaints.

Also there is no citizens' advisory committee, as we have recommended for the Office of Professional Compliance, again, to assure that kind of communication and enhancement communication with the community.

The secondary that we have worked on over the years and has been a concern long before I became a member, with the general area of the Police-Community relations and when I did come first after coming on board with a large county-wide program discussion of the report on Police-Community relations to be held at the Kaleb Center in 1987, and it was well-attended by representatives from police and much of Dade County and various police departments, and one of the stronger recommendations of that effort was that if you were going to do effective workshops in

Police-Community relations you had to get down to the local community level; that holding on an area as wide as Dade County, which is bigger than the state of Rhode Island and Delaware and more people than several others, couldn't be handled unless we got down and tried to do it at the basic community level.

Well, our staff worked on this, they
were able to obtain a grant from the Florida Human
Relations Commission and they targeted their first
area in Perrine, which is one of the pockets of our
community within Dade County. It is an area where
there have been concerns and it is expressed by the
community on Police-Community relations, and one of
the most particularly in terms of effectiveness of
dealing with the drug-related trades and problems in
that community. So we went ahead to set up and ran
into complete opposition from the police and
Metro-Dade at the levels that were effective in
putting it into place at the local community level,
and I again have a sheet of paper here that documents
that series of events.

It indicates that while if you deal at the larger level, yes, it is easy to get people together to work on community relations, but if you get it down to the community level and try to get the

actual people who live in the local community and the police working in that community together on Police-Community relations efforts, it is not so easy.

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The area that we have looked at with our committee is obviously the effort that relates to the employment of Black officers and Black officers at senior level. In fact, we have commended Chief Dickson and the City of Miami for their efforts in getting people at the senior levels. We have seen some improvements over the years with the Metro-Dade efforts, although there is a concern raised in our committee that we have not fully investigated yet, is that what has happened is that we are not getting a significant increase in officers in the senior ranks, but senior Black officers who were some of the first ones brought in when these efforts were made are being just reshuffled around through the next important command or detail concerned, and we will be looking into seeing whether we are going to be sitting on the ^ practices that would continue to increase and enhance the presence of Black officers in the senior areas.

With the Florida Highway Patrol, you heard Reverend Sims mention that. We have had a great deal of concern with the Florida Highway Patrol. They have been under court order to hire. As a

y

result they brought people in but we only see two
Black sergeants in the entire state, and so they have
been essentially blocked in terms of promotional
activities.

The State Affirmative Action Report on the Florida Highway Patrol shows that there is a statistically significant difference in discipline applied to Black officers as opposed to white officers.

So we question as to whether or not we are getting a revolving door situation in which they bring officers in and maintain their consent decree, but nobody is able to keep moving them on up and keeping them in the force.

This is based--while these efforts are merited in their own right and the Department of Justice and the local office of this Civil Liberties Union and NAACP have been involved in trying to address those, we are very much concerned from the community relations standpoint.

Nobody could have spoken to that more eloquently than Reverend Sims in terms of his statement of wanting to not be stopped at a time in the open highway by the Florida Highway Patrol. Certainly with an image of an agency that is hostile to Black officers, then what is the reaction of a

citizen when he sees a patrol coming in terms of expectations that they may have? And sometimes with these expectations you have a self-fulfilling prophesy, by the way, that people react to those expectations.

We are very concerned about the Florida Highway Patrol and preparing a report on this aspect. This will be available hopefully by the end of the week that they will be presented to the Governor. You will have that available to you as well.

most of our discussions relating to the very large police departments, Metro-Dade, of course, the City of Miami, but we also hear situations that occur brought to us from other police departments. That was mentioned in the previous panel, as well as the NAACP, so we receive, for example, in the concerns raised to us with the City of Miami Shores intending to close down a 99-cent movie because undesirable elements attended. We had written and asked the Mayor of Miami Shores and asked that this community relations board be informed of any meetings discussing that issue so that our staff may attend because of the impact on it, but it is only a small step from that kind of a position on the part of the City and

that concern of quote, undesirable elements, and the reports that Mr. Lawson made of police perhaps harassing young people who were--Black youngsters who were attending that 99-cent theater.

So it is important that one consider all of the police and all the municipalities of Dade County and not just those areas that have significant Black populations.

It is very important to realize the personal feelings that the people have presented here.

I have recently been involved with a complaint that has been reported to me about an interracial couple on Miami Beach who was harassed at a Publix by an officer who was essentially employed by Publix off duty, but was an official police officer wearing their uniform. It is something that we all have to realize.

Those of us who have been involved for a long time, somebody who travels a great deal and in interractial situations, such as I do, having been arrested not in Dade County, but in the past for no other reason than that, the kind of feeling that someone gets when someone sees and overpasses them on the highway, even when one is only going a couple miles over the speed limit, is something that has to

be considered, and I know in viewing the hearings it goes from that on up to the extremely serious reports that we have heard here today.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just as an aside before ending, I did note earlier that someone asked if PULSE had white members. PULSE is basically a very active organization with membership through organization of Black churches, but I would note that just that there are Black members who go to predominantly white churches, there are white members who go to predominantly Black churches. I belong to the Church of the Open Door, one of those that started to help PULSE, and although I am not personally active, I have been a delegate in my church for the annual meeting for the past several years, so there is that opportunity. The membership to those churches are fully open to people in Dade County. So I thank this community to speak to you this morning and I wish the very best in your efforts to try to pull this together and make firm recommendations and carry them as an advocate for this community.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We appreciate your comments. If you will hold for just a second for questions.

Any questions?

I would like to make sure that we do get the report that is in progress on the Highway Patrol.

MR. BROWN: Yes. I will see that our staff sends it out. It is in draft form now. As soon as it is finished typing and revised we will send it to the Governor, we will send it to you.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: You anticipate that within the next two weeks?

MR. BROWN: I anticipate it this week, but unfortunately, when you deal with an agency that is small staffed that has to deal with crisis responses all the time, but we are close, so I expect fully to know where we are within the next few days, yes.

We pay attention to our staff as we work as a community board, so I will listen to my staff who makes it a point that there is some very serious issues in education in Dade County that you ought to be concerned with, not the least was the article in the paper yesterday that carried the report of this hearing, and it talks about resegregation in Dade County and we have to look at very clearly the disparity of education in Black youngsters, and I certainly support John Due in that as well.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We are at the point of breaking for lunch and we will reconvene at 2 o'clock. (Thereupon, a lunch recess was taken after which the following proceedings were had:)

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

2 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We are just 3 about at 2 o'clock and I would like to reconvene. 4 The next panel consists of three 5 individuals, two that will appear on your agenda, 6 Mr. Vincent Smith, vice president of the Fraternal 7 Order of Police, Lodge 20 in Miami, and is it 8 Officer Richard Lober? 9 MR. LOBER: It used to be Officer 10 Richard Lober. Now it is Richard Lober, general 11 counsel for the Police Benevolent Police Association. 12 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We don't have 13 the third person on your panel. 14 OFFICER HARLAN: I am Officer Sam 15 Harlan, Miami Community Police Benevolent Association, 16 which is primarily a Black police union with the 17 City of Miami Police Department. 18 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: If you would 19 just spell your last name. 20 OFFICER HARLAN: H-a-r-l-a-n. 21 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: You can proceed 22 in the order you desire. 23 MR. SMITH: Well, basically, I would 24

say, Mr. Chairman, what our function is. I am president of the Fraternal Order of Police, which is

a bargaining unit for the officers in the Miami Police Department. We also have other organizations that are involved, but ours is the main organization, and we represent all the officers within the department, Blacks, Latins, females, everything, and our job mainly is to bargain for the officers' rights and if they have a situation which would relate to today, with the internal complaints, we assist the officers who are involved in the complaints. represent them, making sure that their rights are upheld and also supply legal counsel in case it goes further to arbitration or civil service, in case the officer's job is in jeopardy, but basically we represent the department and the interal security investigation, which I would apply to what we are talking about today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOBER: I will go ahead and proceed now.

Essentially, the Dade County Policemen's Benevolent Association represents approximately—well, we have approximately 4,500 members in Dade County. That involves law enforcement personnel, as well as support personnel. We represent primarily the Metro-Dade Police Department which has over 2,800 officers, as well as other municipalities within

-

Dade County. In fact, I think we represent all the municipalities in Dade County with the exception of City of Miami, Miami Beach and Coral Gables.

As a result of that we are involved in the representation of the officers really county-wide.

The purpose of our Association, it is primarily a labor organization. We negotiate contracts on behalf of the members. However, a part of that has already been mentioned. It also includes representation of officers whether they be involved in civil matters, administrative matters or complaints against them, as well as responding to things such as police shootings, as well as mentioned earlier in the presentation.

In negotiating a contract we include a provision on behalf of our members which is a nondiscrimination clause, and that makes it very clear that we will not accept discrimination of any form, whether it be race, sex, national origin, religion, marital status, etcetera, by the departments against our members and our members carry that over in the way they deal with the community at large. We have the same feeling in terms of maintaining those basic rights.

What was interesting from this morning

is some of the concerns that I heard raised are shared by our members, and although certainly from a different perspective. One of the concerns which we can identify is the timeliness factor in terms of investigations being handled. We would like to see investigations done in a more expedited fashion. However, we do recognize that in order to have investigations done properly that they--have them done properly and thoroughly and professionally, that it takes time. We would rather not sacrifice the thoroughness of the investigation for the sake of

expediting the process.

In addition, we understand that criminal investigations also come into place when allegations of brutality or police shootings are involved. The primary investigation is the criminal investigation and oftentimes the other investigation that might arise, whether they be internal review, independent review panel, often must be held in obeyance during the course of that investigation so as not to jeopardize it, and most of the departments handle their investigations that way.

I believe the independent review panel handles it that way, that unless they get a green light from the State Attorney's Office they will not

do anything which might unnecessarily impact a criminal investigation and we share an understanding of why that should occur.

Then, finally, I think the unnecessary delays in investigations are probably attributed to the bureaucracy that exists, particularly in the larger departments in handling investigations. I think what we have seen is right now there is a number of review systems which are available whenever an officer's actions are questioned, whether it be a shooting or brutality.

First of all, the State Attorney's

Office is involved in independent investigation

either through their own investigators or having the

police departments do the investigation at their

directive.

Secondly, internal review will be conducting an investigation either concurrently or separate and apart.

In addition, civil suits may be initiated, at which point in time again, the entire incident will be subject to scrutiny through depositions, through the discovery process of private attorneys.

The independent review panel is another

form which provides an opportunity to scrutinize its actions of the officer and oftentimes the newspapers or television conducts their own independent investigations to scrutinize the actions of the officer, so I think one of the things that we have come away with here, we also—our members feel that there are certainly adequate review systems available. However, I think a problem may be in how those review systems are being conducted or are they being handled or could they be coordinated in terms of the way they are being handled?

Could there not be a central policy since we know that probably at least three or four of these different types of investigations will take place, such as the State Attorney's Office, such as internal review, such as independent review panel, and have those things coordinated in terms of dealing together, not totally handled basically ad hoc, beginning from scratch, but trying to take advantage of what information is already available.

The membership has basically a perception that there is probably an overscrutinizing of their actions, and, at the same time, there is a perception from the people that were affected that in fact not enough scrutinizing is taking place.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think that the answer lies in scrapping the system that we currently have in effect.

A theme that has come up again and again is that the perception that our members have in terms of challenging or questioning the needs to have all these review systems essentially comes from lack of knowledge. The more that our members have become aware of what is being done and why it is being done the more the members have come to respect the job that is being done. The less skeptical they are of what internal review does, and that is what I have seen recurring again and again, is the more involved we become in understanding the process the less likely we are to become -- to want to turn it off and to want to--let's not use that or why do I have to have my life, you know, laid out in front of me under a microscope? And I think that is probably the better approach because that is the approach that I have seen used recently both between the Dade County PBA and the Metro-Dade Police Department and other police departments, to eliminate some of these false perceptions because in many cases they are false, not entirely, but in many cases, and I think the perception of one of the things that have to be dealt with is just the specifics.

that there are certain results that people expect from any type of a review process. Obviously if you file a complaint you expect the complaint to be founded and you expect to have action taken from an officer and they expect the complaint to be investigated, and to be exonerated. I don't think that you should make judgments on the system that is currently being used here based upon your disappointment with the results that have occurred because I don't think you change or you improve the system by doing that. I think that is somewhat of what is being asked to be done.

What I would like to see done is
focus more on if there are specific cases that haven't
answered the question, either maybe the answer to the
question is not what you want to hear or that rather
than scrapping it let's take a look at what needs to
be done, become more directly involved in trying to
change that and not create just simply what might end
up being just another review system which no one will
be happy with two or three or four years from now.

Thank you.

OFFICER HARLAN: Primarily with the MCPBA is number one, we are the oldest Black police

union in the United States of America.

Historically the MCPBA was formed due to the fact that at the time before historically Blacks weren't allowed to be members of the FOP or the PBA. Since then that has been rectified and changed.

Right now our president, who is out of town, Sergeant Darling, sits on the executive board of the FOP with the main bargaining agent. As a result of that he has input into things that go in the contract and specifically the affirmative action for part of the program to which the MCPBA filed the lawsuit challenging the promotion system and the hiring system and as it pertains to minorities and was in effect able to effect change in the justice system to allow us to advance in the ranks under the affirmative action program.

Primarily what we are is we act as an informal benevolent association, meaning that in the event that a particular incident happens or, for instance, somebody does make a complaint or even a complaint is generated internally on a Black police officer, and if there is an allegation or even a perception that this is not based on the guy's job performance or his productivity, but simply because

Jack Besoner and Associates

^ **3**

a white supervisor or Latin supervisor or whoever he is may not like him simply because he is a Black person, and at that point we would try to--we would investigate it to see if there is any merit.

If there is no merit we will tell him,
"Your allegations based on discrimination aren't
justified here, I see you came in fifteen times late
in one month."

However, if there is a legitimate issue, then we have informal ways to address this issue. Our president is allowed a weekly or monthly or as often as needed an audience with the chief of police so that he can point out these inconsistencies in the discipline.

One thing that we also do is that since we are Black police officers out in the street and we are able to see some of the things that might go on that might cause a potential problem we are able to walk up to the guy that is posing a problem and say, "Listen, everybody that lives in this neighborhood is not a criminal. All right?"

And as long as you bother the criminals you will never get a problem. The problem you are going to get is when--you are going to take your time and determine who in this community is legitimate and

who is not. You cannot walk up to everybody simply because they are not dressed in a suit and tie and tag them with the label criminal. A lot of these guys work very hard for their money, they are very honest men. They may not be professional people the way you look at professional people, but most of them have never committed a crime in their life. Simply because they like to stand under a tree and drink a beer and talk, that is culture, that is a traditional way our culture is and you are going to have to deal with these people. These people are the ones that control the community, the legitimate entities in that community, and primarily that is what the MCPBA does.

Chief Reaves is a member of that organization from Opa-locka. We are in the southern region of the national organization for Blacks in law enforcement, and also I think as part of the southern region we sit on the national chair of the National Association of Blacks in Washington, which I believe now that man who used to be here who now lives in Texas, is the president of that organization. I think nationwide we have about fifteen thousand members.

Briefly, and just to my knowledge as I have only been vice president for about six months,

we alternate these posts, we get elected from the membership at large.

Locally here in the City of Miami

Police Department we have about one hundred fifty, one
hundred sixty members.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you.

Questions?

MR. ROLLE: Thank you for the presentation, but I do have a few questions I would like to raise.

Mr. Lober, in terms of the contract,

by chance would there be any kind of contractual

procedure within the contract for citizens to follow

with respect to complaints that are jointly agreed to?

MR. LOBER: The provisions we do--we do have provisions in the contract which talk about the interval review procedure. Essentially those procedures are geared toward the county or the departments handling internal review complaints. Most of the procedures as far as how to handle the citizen's complaints, specifically, have been developed by the departments themselves, be it their policies and procedures, so while they address themselves in terms of that the name of the complainant will be provided the officer and the specifics of what the

1 a 3 2 no 3 co 4 ha 5 ha 6 mo

allegation is about will be provided. There is nothing in the contract which talks to how the complaint procedure can work. Most of the time that has been developed by the department how they want to handle that. The smaller departments can have a much more streamlined process. The Metro-Dade Police Department might have a much more complicated process.

MR. ROLLE: I am taking this tack and maybe this is editorializing, and if it is, so be it, but on this issue it seems like there is some aloofness on the part of the union itself because the men on the street belong to the union, hopefully you communicate with your members, hopefully you also have ways and means of working with your members and I would hope that the union itself would consider whether or not you could take the leadership on these issues, whether they are perceived or real, but sometimes we all know that perceptions sometimes will be the reality. Whether it is reality, or not is another issue.

For example, what do your men feel about what the standards of conduct should be in terms of dealing with the community? Have they been involved in the process of developing a set of standards that then could be codified in the contract

where everybody knows that this is what has to be done?

Obviously when that is not followed there is a

violation of the contract.

To make a long story short, I would like to have your opinion as to whether or not the union could be more of a moving party with respect to showing that yes, we care about these allegations, we are willing to work with our men, we are willing to conduct seminars, rather than waiting for management or a citizens' forum like this, to force the issue. And your men are naturally not going to feel good about it, I wouldn't feel good about it, somebody is coming in saying, "Hey, you better do this and you better do that, "but through the union leadership if there could be some sort of collegial situation set up where all these issues could be addressed. I think that would be a step in the right direction in terms of changing that perception.

I would like to have your response to that. You know that you don't have to respond but I would appreciate it if you did, and secondly, in terms of the timeliness of the investigations, and I presume you are a counselor?

MR. LOBER: Yes.

MR. ROLLE: Have you considered

25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

developing any procedures that you could at least 1 recommend the state attorney regarding how to speed up 2 these investigations, particularly when there is a 3 criminal aspect? Because that process could be used to delay justice which turns out not to be justice 5 forever because of the rules of procedures relating to a criminal investigation. This may require a 7 little creative analysis on the part of not only the 8 union, but the state attorney and these parties within the community. We have some great legal minds in 10 this community that will probably step forward to 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assist in this process.

I will give you one more, if you don't mind, just to have your opinion. What would be the union's position if a recommendation came forth to establish a special investigator, perhaps attached to the manager's office or some sort of ombudsman approach to try to avoid that bureaucracy that you alluded to in terms of the process?

MR. LOBER: Let me try to address them in order.

In terms of the union's involvement of trying to aggressively deal with these things, some things can be done by labor agreement and some things cannot. I know that we have been involved in

assisting when particular problems come up like this. There was a case discussed this morning where we worked directly with the independent review panel to try to have as many officers involved in that particular case come in and give testimony to help the independent review panel get at the truth and help them also try to convince the people who were involved, the complainant or whomever, that in fact something was being done.

On many occasions officers are reluctant to become involved in a third and fourth forum for discussing the same matter after they have already given two and three, and we became directly involved in trying to facilitate the type of thing because I don't know if it is called for in every case, I don't believe it is necessary in every case, but I believe in a situation where—but I believe there are situations where the community at large, where we can become very much aware of what the response is by the community and in a particular case.

We know that because they are knocking down the doors of the police department, the political officials or the newspapers, to try to be able to get a voice because they feel their voice has not been

heard, and when we are aware of something like that we have tried to become involved in it.

Now, we have not formalized the process by collective bargaining agreement because we believe that is meant to serve a somewhat different purpose, but that doesn't mean that that is not something that we believe—we are involved in day—to—day operations and decisions with the department, but not everything is by contract. Most of it in fact is by day—to—day practical work as opposed to trying to put something in.

MR. ROLLE: Given the current status of the collective bargaining in this state, I think it is fair to say that many, many jurisdictions have moved away from the standard traditional approach to bargaining, toward what I refer to as a more collegial type relationship and I would hope that the union itself would take the lead, not only in some of the things that I have already mentioned, but for example, given the groups that appeared here like PULSE, I think the union is in a perfect position to facilitate a round of discussions, maybe nothing will be resolved, but at least if the union can have its rank and file members there, people who are on the street, people that these people are reacting to,

U

obviously in a controlled setting, we are not saying bring in a million people to dump on the police, that is not my point, but someway that there can be a little more personalism involved in terms of the officers coming to understand why some citizens feel the way they do, and on the other side of that coin, some citizens hearing from officers like the instances when officers must protect themselves, and I think that that could be very valuable and I would ask that the union consider some of those points.

MR. LOBER: We have gone with that and we have also on the timeliness issue we had specifically tried--we are involved in negotiations right now with Metro-Dade County in attempting to streamline the process, and again, not necessarily to achieve the same results, but certainly to accomplish the same thing, which is streamlining the process, number one, the primary concern being for the officers, but anything that streamlines the process and is an assistance to not only the officers but also those who are making complaints, because again, we are right now coming up with suggestions trying to put in time frames or get different people's ideas when they can get something done.

MR. ROLLE: Well, I have no right to

make a statement like this.

MR. SMITH: I would like to say one thing, and I am representing FOP from the Miami Police Department.

Based on your last statement, I think the Miami Police Department does an outstanding job in that relationship of getting people involved.

When I was in charge of training we used to bring groups from various neighborhoods, schools and everything else and bring them into our training facility, survival school, basically, and let them run through the exact programs that the officer did, and their perception changes greatly because then there is live action that they see and they are actually managing a role in it.

The Miami Police Department is working towards that. They have done an outstanding job.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: One would take it that your collective bargaining negotiations are ongoing throughout the running of the contract, not just at the time of creating a collective bargaining agreement.

MR. SMITH: That is correct. It is year-round. We deal with the labor relations part of the City and union and management of the police

Jack Besoner and Associates

department on a daily basis.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: How much does that return to the internal review process?

MR. SMITH: We are on that on a daily basis basically because we do represent the people and when cases come up, and one of our things is on timeliness, getting the investigation done because we do have officers out there and whether the people realize it or not, they do have a lot of anxiety wondering what is happening to their case, they know there is an investigation, but if it takes time they want to know what is going on, so we do become involved in that. We try to find out to speed the process up.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: The natural course of negotiations, either in the collective bargaining process or the complaint resolution process, your objective of course as a representative of the officer is to do what is best for that officer.

The question I am getting at, in the long run would some revisions of the internal review process serve the officer's interest more even if it became a process that was more detached from the police departments themselves?

Could you envision that having the

Jack Besoner and Associates

potential for being an improvement in the process while you are representing the interest of the officers?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SMITH: Who do you want to answer?

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I want both of you in turn, if you will.

MR. SMITH: Go right ahead.

MR. LOBER: Essentially, right now--I think the broad perception for most of the officers is that their own internal review process is not a friend, it is not something that is out there to help them get through the system and it is kind of unusual because there is another perception of internal review process, which is that it is out there to help clear the officer, and those who I mentioned that are familiar with it feel that it provides a more fair forum, certainly because the investigations have to be--the investigations are so screened, thoroughly screened down here by either the State Attorney's Office or the IRP if they want to review them or during the course of the civil suit, that they really are--it is not a situation where we feel that it is going to be an outside civilian investigatory -internal review investigator is going to do one thing or another to improve the system.

I don't think that would create a perception of it being anymore biased one way or another, so therefore, I don't know if I could see that and if the officers would see that as an improvement.

I think one of the things here in terms of the bargaining process, and I want to just make a quick clarification, is the collective bargaining agreement can contain certain things.

It is a limited document, and as was mentioned, collective bargaining is a much more alive process, it is a continuing ongoing process.

When something simply is not in the contract it does not mean that nothing is being done on it. There is language in the contract, a very small sentence, which might talk about promoting affirmative action and we are involved on a regular basis with the promoting of the affirmative action process and procedures in the department because we recognize that that is to the benefit of not only the department, but our members are having more of a cross section of the community involved.

The PBA itself brings in as far as the executive board and the board of directors, brings in its members from across the board, so I think when

.

we are talking about something in a contract as if

my answer is no, not to take that as a rejection by

the union or the department to include something, but

it is a much more alive process than that, and as I

mentioned, when we had a problem in a particular case

and the department or the IRP came to us we do

respond.

Now, in terms of some proposals being definitvely more innovative, is to go out aggressively to these groups and find out if you can help put out a fire, so to speak, and I couldn't tell you that that is not something that hasn't been done in circumstances or that we certainly would be opposed to it.

I think what--and, you know, I certainly think at the same time that it is a novel approach because it is not something that unions generally feel is the norm, but yet there are an awful lot of things that the association does informally to accommodate those things and in terms of what is acceptable and not acceptable type of behavior.

The union has informal means of not allowing things to go on such as Sam described earlier, in terms of going out there; but I think in

terms of a more formalized approach, that might be
something to be--to consider, although certainly it
would be somewhat dependent upon the department to be
able to find out what groups we do need to interact
with since if somebody does have a complaint with the
police, they don't usually call the PBA or the FOP,
so it would certainly be involved.

MR. ROLLE: The union has control down there. What's wrong with you guys?

OFFICER HARLAN: There are some people in the police department that would like to see the internal security abolished. Certainly from an officer's level or street level, they are not friends of ours, that is how we view them, but we know their job is necessary.

Major Ross is back there from the City of Miami Police Department, is the deputy commander of our internal security section, he's the number two man there, he has been up there quite a while and you are not going to slip too many silly stories past Calvin.

If you did something and somebody called you on the carpet for it it is more than likely you're going to come and say, "Yes, I did that, but every day when I come there there is this guy

standing out there, he is smacking his wife around, today he came up there and he swung right in front of me and I told him to stop and he did it again, then he swung at me.

"You know, yes, my stick was in the car where I left it, so I hit him, all right?"

Those types of things are acceptable and nine times out of ten Calvin will say that you did what you're supposed to do, but they will punish you, but the punishment will be consistent with what you did.

In terms of a civilian review panel
the police department was not designed, nor could it
be if it even wanted to, to control the community.
We don't have enough men. We are just the
auxiliary agents of social control. The primary
agents of social control are the people who make the
community work, who make the community what it is
supposed to be, doctors, lawyers, the schoolteachers,
the ministers, the football coach, high-school coach.
All these people are part of the community and all
the police are is a bunch of people we can call up
when you have somebody that is out of control, won't
listen to the reverend, won't listen to his mother,
he won't do nothing nobody tells him. Then you-all

have the luxury of activating us and saying, "Listen, this guy is disrupting the community or these groups of people are disrupting the community and they are affecting our quality of life, you know, you guys need to move in there, we are going to show you exactly who they are, which one of them, we are going to show where they are physically and where his father and his mother have gone out and rounded all of them up because they need long-term rehabilitation, okay. We can't do nothing with him. Take these people over to jail, start them down the rehabilitation road."

That is primarily what we do. I don't see any problem with people in the community,

That is primarily what we do. I don't see any problem with people in the community, legitimate entities like these ministers who are primary agents of social control, have people go to them and say, "I don't want to go through the Governor to do this, come here, help us with this problem, see what we can do."

I see no problem. That is a legitimate request and I see no problem with people who have a vested interest in that community wanting to have some say-so in the police department.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: You have described the discipline process as not being the

friend of the officer and often leading to sanctions against officers.

The testimony that we heard this morning focused on a subclass of those occasions and that was a group of cases that involved the use of lethal force, and we rather graphically saw a number of people standing up who had an encounter with the police, suffered physical harm as a result of that.

At that level there is a complaint being lodged with the internal review process.

Would you make the same statement in terms of the balance and the rectitude of that process?

When the choice gets a lot more difficult it becomes choice with very substantial discipline on an offer or a contrary finding of no fault upon the officer.

OFFICER HARLAN: When in the course of a police officer's duties he has to resort, it should be the last resort to lethal force, and I wouldn't want to sit here today and second-guess any police officer that has had to make that decision, because usually unless you are on something like a swat team where you are armed and your dangerous person is in there, you are prepared prior to going in to use

lethal force, usually when a police officer is placed in that position he is not prepared to use--he hasn't planned that this is going to be part of the plan that he might have to use. These things happen spontaneously and it all depends on the circumstances, it all depends on what is going on, who is involved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For instance, some officers might feel comfortable. In among Black police officers it is tradition with us that we pride ourselves on not using lethal force. It is a big thing among Black guys, Black police officers not pulling your gun all the time, to be human enough and man enough to take control of it. This has been passed down to us and this is your last resort, and most of the time Black police officers, just based on that particular code, most of the time we have used deadly force we really didn't have any other chance or any other That is not to say that is the case with choice. everyone else, but just germane to us specifically, that is something that older police officers pass down to us and we live and subscribe and try very hard to live up to that.

However, whatever kind of police officer you are, if you use deadly force on somebody

24

25

in the Miami Police Department there is going to be no cover-up, because number one, homicide people investigated it first because you have killed somone. Number two, the internal security people will investigate and the State Attorney's Office investigates it. Now, if you are riding with a man, another police officer and perhaps someone loses their temper and pushes someone around and there may be your version of the events if you are questioned, maybe somewhat cloudy, just being realistic, but if you are riding in a police car with another police officer and somebody is killed you can bet that the average police officer out there is going to say, "Hey, listen, you did that? Okay, you shouldn't have done it, I don't know why you did it and when they ask me about it I am telling them that you are crazy, okay? You shouldn't have done that and don't expect me to tell a lie or anything," and that is normally what happens. Okay?

Because they put you under oath. Nobody is going to take that chance.

I don't want to be a conspirator to a

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I would like to try one more question. This goes to a comment that

sorry.

you made earlier, that a charge now exists against the department for employment discrimination. What is the status of that--

OFFICER HARLAN: I am not aware of that.

When we were going over the history of the MCPBA, at some point during--that is one of the lawsuits--one of the reasons why I am sitting here today, because there was a point in time in the history of the department when there was hiring disparity and it pertained to Black people.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That ended where or at what point in time?

OFFICER HARLAN: The suit was settled.

MR. SMITH: Basically it had to do
with a consent decree that was settled a few years
ago and basically we have adjusted all hiring
practices. I think it is now 80 percent, 85 percent
minority hiring on the police department, and we don't
have problems that we have had in the past. That all
has been addressed to what—that had to do with
several officers, Black officers getting promoted
and that has been corrected since then.

MR. ROLLE: Let me ask Officer--I'm

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I wanted to move

from that response.

The question, if we have had Black officers feeling that there was discrimination in the department and having that issue fairly recently resolved, would that make very credible the charge that when one is reviewing a police officer's conduct, the use of lethal force in the Black community, that the discrimination that may have been involved in that employment case and checked at a later point could still carry on?

This process where there is not an internal representative, if you will, such as your union addressing that issue from within the force.

OFFICER HARLAN: If I understand you, are you saying that due to past discriminatory practices in the police organization that a person in internal security reviewing a case as it pertains to lethal force on Black people might be prone to apply discriminatory decision-making in that practice?

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Even a step before that, if the agency itself--I understand you to say that Black officers felt that discrimination existed, but some remedy has been provided, why is it unreasonable, given the testimony we heard this

morning, for others to say that part of the discrimination may have been dealt with, but in terms of the use of force against the Black community we haven't moved that to some forum where we can get it resolved yet and it is still to be taken?

officer Harlan: In light of past events, McDuffie situation, Neville Johnson situation in the city of Miami, I would doubt that any right-thinking person in the Metro-Dade Police Department and in the City of Miami Police Department in a microscope to which we are under in terms of what we do have before us, the use of lethal force, you have to be some kind of mental incompetent to go out there and deliberately effect some kind of violent act on someone.

To further summarize, there is the philosophy that says all people have color and people that don't look like me and you you think should be eliminated.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: That is one extreme, but discrimination comes in lesser extremes than that.

OFFICER HARLAN: I understand that.

I would say to you that, you know, I

come into contact with a lot of people from the

Metro Police Department and the City who happen to be

white and I don't really think they are overtly

racist. I think it is one of not--they haven't had

the exposure to the other person, and, for instance,

it gets back to when you are on the beat.

For instance, we have white officers, white police officers in our police department who are much loved in the Black community simply because they have been there a long time and they know who is who. If you know someone you are more prone to say, "Well, that is so-and-so over there and I ain't going to take him to jail today, I am going to take him home to his father because he threw this rock, I am going to take him home."

You know who he is, where to take him, you know what is going to happen; whereas if you don't know anybody there and you see some person walking down the street you might say, "Hey, man, what are you doing over there," even if a call just went out, right? A man just robbed somebody and here is a white police officer or Spanish police officer who never had that much exposure to Black people and you are walking around there in their community, believe me, you are liable to stop anybody out there.

You don't know these people, who they are, why, and you think, "I am going to stop him and identify him," all that.

Anyone of those reverends will probably be very indignant if a police officer stopped him on the street and said, "Okay, reverend, sir, a robbery just took place, I want your name and all that just to make sure you didn't do it."

He probably would be indignant and there may be some kind of verbal confrontation.

It may escalate into a pushing match, okay, and again, they don't know who they are dealing with and I see no problem.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Is that likely to occur with a Black officer in a Hispanic community or a white community?

OFFICER HARLAN: I don't know about the Anglo community because we are pretty able to determine who is legit there and who isn't legit.

In the Spanish community it is much harder for us simply because there is a language barrier.

Now, overt people who are overtly into criminal activity, nobody has any problem identifying him. Some of the most sophisticated types

•

I would probably have a problem doing that in terms of understanding some of the issues that are germane to me and to those people there.

Oftentimes when I worked in their community I would find that the bottom of the root cause of a disturbance would be some decision that was made by President Kennedy or by President Eisenhower and people would have the documentation to support their decision and we don't understand why they are mad.

So they deal--Latin police officers deal better with them because they understand some of the root causes of their problems.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: My last question, you said Blacks--Black officers generally use deadly force less than other officers. Why is that so and how can the ability to do that be shared with others?

OFFICER HARLAN: In light of the current situation, especially—there is one thing that maybe a couple people touched on here, the drug situation, and most of that street—level activity is confined for the most part to the Black community, and on that point, the opportunity or the eventuality that a police officer will confront a violent person

and the root of that violence will be because if he is addicted to drugs or trying to escape after he has engaged in a drug transaction and maybe he has been caught five or six times before and the judge has told him, "Next time you come back, that's it," so the violence as it relates to our drug history here, the situation is going to cause you to run into more—to get back to your question, because I am getting off it, is how can you share that with other people?

Well, how come--not how come, but there is not that much white on white police brutality. There is not that much Anglo on Anglo police brutality and there is not that much Black on Black police brutality, so it is one of those things that is an ethnic thing that people feel more comfortable dealing with who they are dealing with if the person is familiar or the same as they are. They know how far they can go.

One of the primary--some of the vestages of segregation are still here, you know, people aren't familiar, they are just apprehensive, and I rode with a white partner in a Black section of the city of Miami for two years.

In the beginning he was somewhat apprehensive, somewhat afraid. I would get him out of

1 the car and say, "Go over there, talk to these 2 people. 3 "I can't talk to them. "Why can't you talk to them? 5 "Every time I come over here they give 6 me a hard time." 7 I said, "Well, why? 8 "Well, you know how it is. 9 "No, I don't know how it is. 10 "They give me a hard time and I put 11 them in jail all the time and if they really want 12 to act out they will act out on me." 13 Most of the time you will find that 14 Black criminals do not want Black policemen working 15 in their neighborhoods or their communities. 16 legitimate people want Black policemen, but Black 17 criminals don't want no part of the Black police. 18 They would rather have white police running around 19 all day long because people can point out who is 20 legit and not legit and these guys will be long gone. 21 They love white policemen that are in their 22 neighborhood. Okay?. 23 Usually they are people that spark 24 the complaints to the internal security are not for

Jack Besoner and Associates

the most part criminals, they are people who have been

25

1 just walking around their neighborhood, normal 2 people. The police officer in the course of his 3 duties is trying to determine whether he is a 4 criminal or not and has accosted one of these people 5 who does nothing but work, comes back home every day, so one of the reasons we probably don't have that 6 7 problem that they have is that I can just look at a 8 Black person, I can just look at him and tell who is 9 a criminal and who is not a criminal, and it saves 10 me that hassle I don't have to bother with. 11 MR. MARIN: I have a question, 12 Mr. Chairman. 13 You made a statement a moment ago 14 about stopping Black citizens and asking them for 15 identification. One of the problems we have is 16 dealing with negative perceptions on both sides. 17 How often does that occur, sir, and 18 how can it be avoided through training? 19 OFFICER HARLAN: That is a pretty big 20 question for me. 21 MR. MARIN: I mean, you made a 22 statement. I am only asking the question. 23 OFFICER HARLAN: My statement reflects 24 a reality. 25 MR. MARIN: Well, based on that

reality, sir, I mean you were making an example of stopping, let's say a reverend because he seemed to be under the category of suspicion, apparently.

I mean is that inbred within new members of the police force? Could that be avoided through some type of training or do you have to go through the experience of stopping Black citizens on the streets until you learn?

OFFICER HARLAN: Well, as it stands now, the latter is the case, but in the Miami Police Department we are undergoing and have been for some time our version of "glasnost."

I mean, we are so open that everybody is floating around in our department. Sometimes I see people floating around there, I say, "Well, who is this guy?"

I don't know he is some member of the community that is just floating around in the building. Okay, and I think that these reverends, as a group of people, these ministers, I can't fathom why they haven't been allowed—I am not blasting or smashing or slamming anyone, but I can't imagine why they haven't been allowed access to the police facility. Everybody in those particular neighborhoods, those police officers there should know who these

men are and who these men, the reverends, should know who the policemen working in their zone are.

When I got my beat the first thing I did, I went to the local churches and shook the hand of the minister and said, "I am Officer Harlan here, anytime somebody gives you a problem or you have a problem, you contact me, here's my number at the station, here's how you do it."

So I made the point to introduce myself to them, but if that is not the case I'm sure that these guys—they are not going to steal nothing out of there. I mean, they are going to go there and probably once the other guys get to know these guys that problem will dissolve and there won't even be any need for any kind of special person to look at anything.

MR. BINKLEY: I have a couple questions, Mr. Chairman, if I may.

The representative--is it Mr. Lober?
MR. LOBER: From the PBA, yes.

MR. BINKLEY: Did you say you had members from a variety of organizations in the County?

MR. LOBER: From a variety of police departments in the County I think probably nineteen or twenty-one.

1 MR. BINKLEY: Does that include the 2 Florida Highway Patrol in this area? 3 MR. LOBER: No, it doesn't. 4 MR. BINKLEY: Do they have their own 5 organization? 6 MR. LOBER: They are a part of the 7 State organization which combines several units with 8 it. 9 MR. BINKLEY: I would like to ask all 10 of you gentlemen to comment upon what percent the 11 membership you have represents the potential 12 membership in your constituency, if I am making that 13 a clear question? 14 If all of the officers are one 15 hundred percent, how many of a percentage do you have? 16 Forty, five, ninety? 17 MR. LOBER: Dade County PBA has 18 approximately ninety-two percent membership. 19 MR. BINKLEY: And the Brotherhood? 20 MR. SMITH: We probably have about 21 ninety-five percent of the people on the department. 22 MR. BINKLEY: This leads me to another 23 question I was going to ask. I assume you keep 24 statistics of your members who are charged with the 25 use of excessive force and other complaints, am I

correct?

MR. SMITH: The FOP, we don't keep it in our files. If we want access to it we can go to the security or we can go to planning inspection and get records of whatever we need. They would be able to supply us with how many officers were involved and complaints and a breakdown.

MR. BINKLEY: What I am leading up to is this question, what percent if you know are the charges divided between black and white officers?

What percentage of the charges are of Black officers with use of excessive force and what percentage of those members who are white officers?

We can ask the same thing with the representatives of the public officials when they come.

MR. LOBER: I think you would probably get the more accurate results because of the ability to have access to all the information. We haven't been able to take computer files or have that out. If we did a search of something like that we might have been able to do some type of analysis, but I know the departments do that type of analysis. I know we don't have that specifically. We do have files

whenever an officer requests assistance from us for help involved in a shooting or complaints regarding brutality, but the specifics of that as far as all the cases we don't have.

MR. SMITH: One of the groups that will probably address you later does have the information that you will need as far as breakdown on that. You got to remember, too, a lot of our units that ride on the street are mixed, we have both black and white officers riding in various areas so when there is an allegation both their names would be involved because they are riding a two-man unit.

MR. BINKLEY: Okay.

MR. SMITH: To better answer the gentleman's question before, I would like to address that on training to we do as far as stops with the officers, we do--that's why we assign new officers with senior officers to prevent that problem.

A lot of people, you know, don't understand the procedures that work in the police department. A lot of people call in and say there is a suspicious person walking the street or walking the neighborhood and they don't want to give their names, so we don't contact them but we contact the person and we try to, you know, start it off by

telling him, "Well, the reason we are here is because somebody called reference you doing something in the neighborhood."

They say who, and we say we don't know, so right away there is a particular thing, but we try to address it in training by putting junior officers with senior officers so they would get to know the neighborhood through somebody else who has been there a while.

MR. ROLLE: I have one further point here, Officer Harlan.

Earlier today we heard what I think we heard you also say, was that Black officers never, never use lethal force. First, is that true?

Secondly, are there really some special techniques that the Black police officers use that are strange and foreign and unknown to the white police officers?

And the last part of this, since we have three unions, you know, you don't need management sanctions, you know, we have got a current problem and I'm sure you gentlemen are just as concerned as we are about doing whatever we can to ameliorate those problems, so the question is this:

If there is any validity to the fact that somehow the

Black police officers are able to manage without creating problems, would your organization be willing to formally approach these other two organizations and arrange some rap sessions with the rank and file numbers? Not in a formal institute or big program for credit, but just to talk things out and see where things stand and where you can't understand each and maybe help each other to get at the problem, internally from among the rank and file as opposed to something we might say or management might say.

OFFICER HARLAN: In answer to your first--first part of your question, I didn't say we never, I said we seldom.

MR. ROLLE: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to imply you said that but I heard something like never somewhere.

OFFICER HARLAN: We seldom use force, deadly force. That doesn't mean we don't get into a lot of fights, which we do. However, we more or less try to really understand because most of us--you know, sometimes if you come into the police station and you are a Black guy, you are dressed with your hat, you know, you are a Black police officer, you are dressed with your hat and your jeans and all that, one of the guys will say, "You look like just one of

those guys in Liberty City."

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We say, "Well, I am, that's where we got it from and if I was rich and had a limousine and stuff I wouldn't be here fooling with you. I would be somewhere on the Riviera."

So I am one of them and I know what is going on up there. You see, I have lived out there all night where people are shooting up in the air, you can't go to sleep or some guy robbed you, took your money, so I know what is going on up there, I know what is going on, who is doing stuff. The people up there know that I know this, too, and they feel safe coming to me and telling me stuff, so a lot of times when we walk up to an individual that is causing trouble in the community I don't have to say, "Well, what are you doing there?" I can say, "You know, I heard every day at 6 o'clock you come around here and pull up your truck here and load it up with a thing full of dope and then you park your radio out in front of this lady's window and blast it all night long, okay?

"I am telling you, you know, you better not do that again or you are going to jail."

This guy obviously knows, "Well, geez, this guy knows everything I do and he is never around

when I am doing it, so somebody must be telling him, so I can either do one or two things, I can pack up my shop and move it somewhere else or I can wait here until he comes back, and if he is consistent with the rest of the policemen that I have talked to, he is going to take me to jail."

And a lot of times that is how we prevent problems, because nine times out of ten all of our information comes from people in the community. We couldn't do nothing without these people.

If somebody runs through an alley and I am chasing him and I lose sight of him, somebody will holler out the window, "He went there, that way, he is around there, he is still over there."

policemen around there, but that is not the case because we couldn't function, as I said before, without the people in the community, because that person is not causing the police a problem, he is causing these people a problem and if you ride out in Dade County if you are not from Florida some of these people, these ministers say they are under siege in these neighborhoods, they can't go to church without these guys lined up selling drugs, shooting at night.

Traditionally, Black people respect

old people, but these guys today, an elderly Black person comes out and says, "Boy, what are you doing making noise in front of my house," the guy might pull a gun on him, he might go there to knock her down, so sometimes people have to respond a little bit heavier than they traditionally would because we are dealing with a different kind of person.

MR. ROLLE: How about informal rap sessions?

OFFICER HARLAN: We do that a lot, believe it or not, because in the city of Miami we really communicate a lot because, you know, we are all in that thing together in the city of Miami. We are the old saying, "You guys all hang together now or you hang separately later, okay?" We try to all hang together all the times.

that we find as a group of policemen unacceptable.

We will address that issue with him, we will say,

"Listen, you don't do that, you came by here, this

wasn't your call, we didn't ask you to jump on that

guy's face and inflame and escalate this thing and

stuff, you almost got me killed; the next time you

pull that we're going to leave you out there with

this great big guy yourself to see what you do then."

MR. ROLLE: Thank you.

2

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I thank all of

3

you, appreciate your time.

4

The next panel is Fred Taylor, Chief

5

of Police, Metro-Dade Police Department.

6

If you would, if you would just go

7

across the panel and have each of the officers

8

identify themselves.

9

MR. MORRIS: Wil Morris, Assistant

10

Director for Police Officers of Metro-Dade Police

11

Department.

12

CHIEF REAVES: Floyd Reaves, Chief

13

of the City of Opa-Locka.

14

MAJOR ROSS: Calvin Ross, Major,

15

City of Miami Police Department.

16

CHIEF BOEMLER: I am Larry Boemler,

17

Assistant Chief of the City of Miami. I would like to

18

welcome everybody on behalf of Chief Dickson who

19

can't be here because he is at the National

20

Organization for Black Law Enforcement and dealing

21

with many of the same issues on a national level that

22

we are dealing with here today.

23

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: If you have an

24

agreed upon order that you wish to go in we will

25

follow that.

trying to find. I did have a prepared statement and if you will, I will pass on that. I am going to come back to that for a couple of remarks, but while I sat here today I made some notes on some things that came to my mind. I would much rather deal with that because I am sure they are on your minds, too, since they have been discussed today.

First, let me say that I am the
Director of Metro-Dade Police Department, I am the
Assistant of Dade County, to get involved in the
community I live in. I have been here all my life,
twenty-six years on the Metro-Dade Police Department.
I answer my phone calls myself, I read my mail, and
I will meet with any citizen or any group at any
time at any place, and that is my policy and all my
managers do understand that and they have to have the
same type of philosophy or they are not going to be
a manager with their department.

We run the Metro-Dade Police Department a little bit differently than other police departments run. I am the appointed sheriff of Dade County, for half of Dade County. We are the local police department. We have seven district stations and we run it like you would run any other local police

department.

Being sheriff of Dade County I have things that I have to do county-wide for 22 of the cities in Dade County. We do most of their major crime work. We do their communications, we do their crime laboratory, so we have a whole part of the department that deals with assisting other cities.

We are glad to be here today. I have been asked today did I feel there was a need for this meeting today. I think my concern is should there ever come a time when we can't meet like this and lay the facts on the table and talk about them, then I think we will have problems.

Some things I would like to talk on that have been talked about today. One is about the shooting teams, about how we deal with it when we have a policeman that has to use force or use deadly force.

We were the first in the country to develop the way we do this. We went to great pains to make sure that we don't have a closed society.

We have the Medical Examiner, we have the State

Attorney, we have an involved community. We insisted on going to an inquest, where all this is aired as soon as possible in a court publicly.

Jack Besoner and Associates

- -

If there is a way to improve that we would like to know that. We would like to work on that. Why we have done this is because we want to be as open and above board as we can and we solicit your input. We think it has worked fairly well. There have been officers that have used force inappropriately and they have been dealt with.

One that I know of has just gotten
out of jail and served time. It was a really
inappropriate use of force, but the act of one
individual does not mean that that is institutionalized.

I think I would like to discuss today what has this department done in the last ten years to make sure that that is not the case. We supplied your staff with all of our policies and those kinds of things. It is quite a large book and I know that you have had this and I will be glad to respond to any remarks that you have about that.

Just this month the Police Foundation released a study on the Metro-Dade Police Department, I have a copy here for the panel, on police confrontations with the citizens and how we could better prevent violence that occurs.

Let me talk just a little bit about this study. We opened our doors, the Police

Foundation came in. They hired students to ride with our officers. We took a look at one hundred incidents that had occurred in our jurisdiction where we felt we could have done better and we looked at the types of calls that they were and we looked at the alternatives that we could have used to have prevented either a complaint or inappropriate use of force, and we developed training to deal with that, so that when certain officers were called to a scene, that they had an alternative and they had a way to deescalate a situation instead of escalating it, and we trained a number of officers on the department in those techniques and then they went out into the field and we compared the results that they got from the results of a control group that didn't have the same type of training, and this is what this study is all about.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think you will find it interesting,

I think you will find some things in there that we
were surprised to find. We were surprised to find
that we don't contact the public as much as we
thought we did. They found out that we were not as
aggressive as most other police departments. I think
the answer to that is because we are stretched a little
thin now in the last two to three years, and a lot of

our officers are going from call to call to call and have little time to self-initiate activities, but I think the study has shown that you can train to deescalate that, you can train to deal with potentially violent situations in a way that leads to fewer complaints, less use of force and all those other kinds of things, and I am going to in the next year--we are going to train all of our officers in the techniques. We are going to begin as soon as we can.

We didn't know before that these techniques could work as well as they have shown that they can work. The control group of officers had very few complaints, had to use force very little. We are going to learn from that. That is one thing. I will be glad to talk about that and I know you haven't had time to look at the results, but I will be glad to respond back to you. In fact, we are just beginning to do all the analysis on the complete work there.

On the use of deadly force, I would like to talk a little bit about that.

Five or six years ago the Dade Chiefs
Association came out with a model policy that had
been developed nationally on the use of force.

Our rules that we use in our department and in the city and all the other departments in Dade County are much more stringent than the state law. They are more stringent than the decision in Garner v Tennessee, which is a Supreme Court decision. We think we have used the model policy. That is the one we teach, that is the one we train and that is the one we use. So I would ask you to look at the policy and if we can improve it, fine, but I think you will find it is a model policy.

There was some conversation about us moving a station in the north end of Dade County away from the people and into Miami Lakes, which is, I guess, a more uptown kind of community. Let me just set the record straight.

We moved there because the population in Dade County had begun to move west in that part of Dade County. We are now studying where we are going to put another district station, and you will be glad to know that we are meeting with the ministers and with the people in the community, and we found a location that approximates and solves the problem that they were talking about today. That station will be built in two to three years, but we found the

site for that and it is in the community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We did not take the--we took the station from where it was at before because it didn't make sense geographically for the growth of this county to place that station where we are now going to place the third station, and this was a long-range plan that we have been involved in for some time, so we are going to have a station back in that community that it was talked about.

Our community advisory groups. way we run the Metro Police Department is in our seven stations the commander of those stations is like a police chief. He is responsible for all the crime that occurs in that part that he has. a citizens advisory group in each of those stations to assist him. We do not appoint members of that, they are appointed by the County Manager. knowledge I have never had anybody turned down that would like to be on that group. We solicit, we ask for those members of the community to come and be part of it. In fact, in our northwest station we just recently had taken and really drew boundaries in the community so that we could have input from every little part of that community because we felt we didn't have it from all of it. We meet monthly.

I read all the reports, I can't go to all the meetings, but I read all the reports and believe me, they let us know what is going on in the community. If there is any group or any individual that feels like they have not been made a part of that process, I implore them to let me know. I will make sure that they are a member of that committee.

I won't make the recommendation to the County Manager that they become part of that. That is what they are for, to let us know how we can better serve them and if we have not used that to the greatest extent, that is what we want to do, so I will be glad to take those recommendations and deal with them. To my knowledge we have never turned anybody down and we have solicited from all parts of the community.

Internal review. From the time a complaint is lodged there's two things that begin to occur: One, is the clock starts to run. State law in this state says that we must complete that within 60 days and at the end of that time we have got to be able to publicly disclose that file. This does not occur to my knowledge anywhere else in this country. When I talk to my peers from around the country they don't understand how we can survive with

1 th
2 ma
3 th
4 ha
5 pe
6 A
7 ha
8 cl
9 in
10 ma

that kind of a system. My response is why should you make--why shouldn't you after 60 days be able to take that review file and let the public look at what you have done? I think it gets rid of a lot of perception that occurs by having a closed system.

A lot of police departments in this country do not have to show their files and they never do. So the clock starts to run. So we have got to get that done in that 60 days or show cause why, then we have to make it public. These are not closed files. This is a state that has a Sunshine Law.

Secondly, the thing I would like to say about this, my big criticism of the way we have done this in the past I think is because we try to do a positive thing. The way we handled complaints ten years ago was that internal review would do a case or do an investigation, it wasn't a public record, then they would send that file to the supervisor of the officer to make a determination if this case was sustained or not, and I think you will find that fifty to sixty percent of the cases at that point in time were sustained and no one could see those files.

not send the completed file to the supervisor, we send it to a panel of command officers that are not

in the chain of command and they make the decision and that has taken some time and I have just taken steps within the last month to see that those decisions are made in 20 days.

We found that it was taking the panel two to three months with their caseload to catch up with that. I have tried to deal with that. It was an administrative problem. Our sustained rate on major complaints is about a third, it is about thirty-three percent. It has been that way for five or six years.

We take the complaints of the citizen seriously. We find in their favor and we act on that. We have done everything from firings to reprimand, to training, to counsel, all of those kinds of remedies. We take it serious. It is not a thing that we take lightly.

I think we have the support of the officers on the department. I don't think they condone the kinds of things that might have been condoned in years past.

I think the last thing I would like to say, then I will certainly be open for questions, is that I think the department is beginning closely to represent the community. We started seven or eight

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years ago in our hiring process to hire only the most qualified. Each class that we run has to be fifty percent Hispanic, twenty percent Black and women have to be represented among all three of those groups. We have done that consistently for the last seven years. We intend to do it for the years to come.

I think you can see what the results of that will be. I think if you have seen the numbers that were really in our report I think you can see that we do represent the community, not to the extent we will ten years from today, but it is a slow process and we have tried to make it that way. We want to hire the qualified. We want them to gain experience. We are proud of what we have been able to accomplish in that area, and I think I would close with one other remark, and that is that I think you heard the police lieutenant a little while ago state that they get out in the community and they talk to the people in the community. I think that is important. We have team police. We are beginning to do more of that.

In fact, in the next two years one of our stations, our south station will be divided up into smaller groups and we will have the same officers working that area day after day after day and

it will be their responsibility to meet with all the citizens and that part of the community will be their responsibility and they will have to know all the people in the community. That is not just an experiment but we have done it in the past. It has been successful and we are going to expand on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I guess one really final thing, the sense I get from the people of Dade County in my mail and in my calls is they really want us to do more in the community. They want us to take the street back from the drug dealer, crack cocaine is a problem that is unprecedented in this country. We are very careful when we go out there to do those kinds of things, to make those kinds of arrests in all of our communities, that we carefully do the police work required to do that so that we don't leave the perception that we are just doing sweeps and grabbing a lot of people off the street because of the color of their skin or those other kinds of things. We are very careful when we do a reverse sting. We don't basically do sweeps, we don't do those kinds of things because of the perception that might occur in the community itself.

My sense is that this community wants more police work done in the community, that we have

been not able to do enough and in my budget talks
this year I have gone and asked for more officers so
that I can respond to the community, and that is
my sense of what they want in the community, they
want more police work done in their town.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you, Director Taylor.

Can I just ask you to give us one more bit of information, then we will continue with the panel?

Could you give us a capsular description of the internal review process and who staffs that process, how is it composed?

CHIEF TAYLOR: In fact, we just made a change. We used to have minor complaints that used to go to the station and would be done at the station, then they would send a report back to internal affairs. Now we have changed that because there was a perception that this was not really looking at the situation, so now that is going to be done in internal affairs. We are staffed with police sergeants that do that work. They work in internal affairs. In internal affairs we have a corruption unit that deals with alleged crimes of police officers, so we have two different sections.

One deals with complaints from citizens and one deals and is involved with allegations of criminal acts and they are done by police supervisors.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you.

OFFICER BOEMLER: Before I start I would like to introduce the members of the Miami Police Department you haven't already met.

Calvin Ross.

I would like the others to stand up as I call them.

Ron Morris. Sergeant Luis Gonzales from internal review. Harley Holiday, she is our training officer.

Wanda Rambo, my executive assistant; community involvement specialist. Robert Turner, our emergency planner. Kathleen Day, a staff analyst, and Barbara Wade, our gang expert. She deals with juvenile gangs.

One thing is a frequent question that comes up and I have even seen surveys on it, a survey starts out, "Do you want effective police work," or "Do you want good community and police community relations or mutual exclusion of police and the community?"

Most people believe the two not only can coexist, but must coexist. They are like fingers on a hand, they have to work together for either one of them to be successful.

I am going to speak a little bit about some trends and about some training and so on, then Major Ross is going to talk to you about some of the programs that we have and the internal investigative complaint policies and procedures. We will talk more about some minority hiring and some community relations programs, but I would like to just kind of set the stage.

Can everybody hear me if I talk a little bit louder?

about some trends, just put things in a kind of perspective, I don't want to bore you with a lot of facts and figures charts because sometimes people throw charts at you and you feel that they are trying to mislead you, but generally speaking, this goes from 1975 to 1988, and I have had to adjust those scales somewhat because otherwise when I talk about crimes later on those would be up on the fourth floor if I didn't divide those by one thousand.

We had a decline in the number of

police officers until about 1980, and everybody knows that we went into a massive hiring. Some people say that there is some problems with the hiring and we didn't hire quality people, but I think that you will see later on the ones that we did hire have done some outstanding work and, as a matter of fact, almost all the recommendations have been made in the past by the Civil Rights Commission the Miami Police Department has followed up on and I think you will see that it has made a difference.

We went into massive hiring. We loose about five officers a month and we are hiring just about enough to keep up. We are dropping a little bit off. The blue line is kind of a real crude measure of productivity. This is the number of index crime arrests we make, murder, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglaries and auto theft. We report these to the FBI and all these statistics will come from them.

We started off kind of low, started making a lot of arrests in '79, dropped off in '80 and we picked up in the last three years, we have picked up dramatically in the number of arrests that we have made.

On top of that chart this purple line

here represents the number of violent crimes, and I had to divide it by one hundreds so it would fit on the chart. Of course, you see a rapid rise in crime back here in 1980, it has dropped off, then we have gradually picked up to about the point we were back in 1980.

The brown line here, the FDLE didn't start capturing data for specific departments until about 1978, but these are assaults on officers with guns, knives, and other dangerous weapons. This has increased about three times, where we had about fifty a year back in 1978, we had about one hundred fifty last year, but one of the things that I found of interest was that the brown line and blue line, which was the number of arrests, seem to be a very close correlation.

As far as when we would make it for about every one hundred index arrests we make, there is about one assault on an officer with a deadly weapon. There is a lot of other comparisons that we can make and there is some interesting things we can see here, but generally these are all major indicators of police officers, use of force and police use of deadly force. With more cops you are going to have more force, more crime, more violent

20_

crime, you are going to have more force used.

Assaults on officers. The internal department policy statements, the ones that Chief Taylor talked about, would allow police officers to fire their weapons in each of the situations.

Then, of course, lastly, more arrests, generally speaking, you are going to have more force used and more deadly force, so of those you would expect the police use of force and deadly force to have increased.

I would have liked to ask you which of the lines you think would parallel, but I won't do that. Instead, I will just show you that these are the numbers of discharges of firearms that are reported by the Miami Police Department. We are very strict as far as reporting requirements. Even if it is an accidental, if nobody is hit, if nobody is hurt, you still have to report it, it still has to be investigated, and you will see that this has declined by about half of what it was back in 1975. We started making a lot of changes and we have made changes in virtually every area in the police department.

So all these other indicators are up double, triple and the number of cops are up

fifty percent.

You can see there is tremendous restraint I think being shown by the police officers in some we have studied, which to me we look at whether or not there is any one particular group that uses more force more often than any other group in the police department, and we found that it is pretty well evenly spread out. We don't see any disproportionate impact.

follows a similar kind of a trend. The orange line is the number of complaints that are received for excessive force. You will see that not unlike what some of the testimony has been here earlier, that peaked following—it peaked in 1980 when we had the riots, and it even went up some in 1981. This is right about the time here that Chief Dickson was put in as the major of patrol, and some of the other things that we have done, the red line shows when we have to control a person which means any force used more than the mere guiding of someone, that has to be reported and that has to be investigated.

That line has followed an interesting kind of a pattern, but that is dropping off significantly.

,

There is kind of correlation between these two lines and it seems like for every ten uses of force we have one complaint that the force was excessive, but of these two hundred instances where we had to use force, that represents two hundred incidents out of forty-two thousand total arrests that we made last year, so I don't think that that is a bad record at all.

One of the other things that we talked about, our affirmative action program.

I would like to tell you that that progress has been dramatic. Like I said before, that has not come to experience any kind of quality of our personnel as I think all the indicators that I showed you there will dictate.

Back in May of 1974 our police

department was 78 percent white male for the sworn

ranks. That has gone down in March of '88. There is

currently 31 and 1/2 percent. You will find a lot of

those figures on page 2 of the Coins Report, which

is in the package that I handed out, and some of the

data that we used to prepare this chart will be

towards the back of that handout also. It will be two

computerized kinds of printouts.

In the promotional area since 1974 we

have made dramatic improvements, one of about ten percent of the department I would say from the ranks of sergeant to chief for minority officers. It is currently 42 percent of those ranks of all supervisory and command ranks are minorities in women. That we would find on page 4.

We have done an awful lot of work.

We have gone into targeting recruiting at the major colleges. We have gone into minority radio stations and we have initiated psychological evaluations.

One of the earlier reports talks about psychological evaluations, either outmoded tests or tests that were so new that they had not been validated. We use only validated tests and they are fairly current, the CPI and the MMPI.

We have recently sent out bids for the job task analysis, a new police exam, and we want to validate the assessment center.

Let me speed this up a little bit.

As was stated before, we are extremely open to the community. Our community relations board--correction--community relations section, the people that are in that section are virtually on every board inside the City of Miami. They participate.

We have people in all the time, we have an area-wide

subcouncil which is divided into twelve different groups, which represents, if I am not mistaken, about eight hundred people which belong to that which is not a subcouncil, it is controlled by the police department. We act as liaison to them. They meet twice a month with a major of community relations. They make suggestions and those suggestions are almost always followed up on. They get rapid response and it has been a very successful program for us. Most of the people that belong to that are also part of our crisis response team.

The crisis response team is available to go to virtually any crisis, civil disturbance, a hurricane, an airplane crash, and they spread out and contact the community and put out accurate timely information so that you don't have the problem of rumors getting out of control, and, at the same time, if there is a concern or if there is a problem where people don't want to deal through regular police channels, they are out there and they can bring that information back to us.

. When Chief Dickson started the sting operations there was a concern since they were going to focus primarily in the Black communities, because that is where, as Officer Harlan said, that

is where the marketplace is for a lot of street-level sale of drugs, and we agreed that there might be some kind of a backlash from it.

The exact opposite; we found out that people up there would say, "Please come back again and again," and "You missed one, he is over there, I know where he hid his drugs," and we send community relations people out. They go out after the fact to see whether or not there were any problems, any excessive force that was used, and we have never had any complaints on any of that with our sting operations. It has been a fantastic program.

As far as training, we went some years ago into the simulator. That was a very controversial program here. We have had some mechanical problems with it, but the whole idea has remained and we have shifted our emphasis from officer survival kind of things, which I think were discussed here earlier today, into the kinds of things that Director Taylor was talking about as far as being able to deescalate a situation and being able to take control and be able to continue to perform the police mission without having to resort to deadly force, and if the situation went beyond the point where it could be controlled, then an officer would

be able to minimize injury to other persons or himself.

We have had about seven hundred fifty of our officers going through a community awareness program, a week-long program, where they are exposed to different cultures, and the last day they go out and ride on an assignment, they meet with the community and each one of them pairs up with someone who is active in the community or who has had some kind of problem and they go through a dialogue and they meet one another and they exchange views and ideas. It has been extremely successful.

We do an awful lot of training in human awareness. We have had a lot of programs that help officers cope with their individual stress. There is concern that sometimes an officer may go out and because of some family problems or some administration problems, he may take some of his problems out on the community, and we work very closely with this. We work with a psychologist, we have chaplains on board; we teach them how to control their stress.

There is about fifteen or sixteen different programs that we have dealing with that particular stress aspect.

Major Ross can tell you a little bit more about the early warning system that was recommended by the Civil Rights Commission. We have implemented that and it has been a very successful program for us. We have fewer officers on that now than we have in the past. That is not to say that officers that get on there are necessarily wrong, but it just gives us an indication that this is something we could monitor and we could watch to prevent any kind of problems.

Sometimes a change in assignment will help improve that. We have very strict controls on officer's behavior. We ensure that everything is reported. We ensure that it is investigated, it is followed up and people know what is going on.

I think that the main thing to remember is the police department has the ability to police itself. I think you will see with this recent River Cops situation and so on, that with the help of the prosecutors and the federal agencies, throughout internal security and our own investigative efforts we were able to pretty much weed out, not only police corruption, but officers who are abusive or who are less than professional. We have got a very good working relationship with our Office of Professional

Compliance, and you will hear Dr. Hattie Daniels and her staff from the Office of Professional Compliance.

I would like to ask Major Ross now if he would go into a little bit of the details about the internal review process.

MAJOR ROSS: For the sake of time and to make sure that I am not going to ramble on and on and on, I have prepared some notes, so I will just go ahead and use those notes so that we can go through this.

The community has placed in the police the highest level of trust and the police in turn must uphold that trust. We need not look very far to see that there is a lack of trust within the community with the police. Certainly we need not 'look at, we have seen today outside of these walls. Police and citizens must work together as partners, and it is only possible if a mutual respect and trust are present. Now, in order to do this, in order for the police to uphold that trust, that the community has placed within it, the police department must maintain a system that promotes discipline among its members.

The police department must realize that its sole purpose of existence is to serve the public

and it is them, the public, that they, the police, must be accountable too.

The complaint process and the police department is keen in holding that department accountable for its actions. Citizens must have some assurance that all complaints will be investigated by the department in an objective and fair manner. The community must feel that they can go into the police department and freely file a complaint and be assured that there will be an objective investigation.

In doing so the community will be less likely to turn towards alternative means of problem solving, i.e., civil unrest, and officers are less likely to engage in acts of abuse when they realize that the department objectively deals with complaints.

As deputy commander of the internal security section in the City of Miami, I assure you that the City of Miami is thinking of objective for the Miami police internal security section. The Miami police internal security section is charged with the responsibility of investigating all complaints of police misconduct and investigating them objectively. To do this, all complaints are documented when they

are recorded to the internal security section. The complaints are taken by phone, they are taken by letter, they are taken in person; they are taken from anonymous phone callers, they are taken from arrestees, and if complaint information is of a noninvestigable nature, the complaint is still documented and it is filed regardless of whether it is investigable or not.

A citizen can complain directly to the police department seven days a week, 24 hours a day, during the hours that internal security is open, can walk into the internal security section zone or any other means that I mentioned or during the nonduty hours, the working hours of internal security, they can report directly to the police department and make that report to the Information Desk.

Now, some of the investigations by internal security are self-initiated by the police department. Some of the investigations that Chief Boemler talked about in terms of corruption or discharge, discharge of firearms rather; however, as to the investigations conducted by internal security, State Statute provides that complaints filed against law enforcement officers or complaints that are taken within internal security, that the law

enforcement agency pursuant to such investigation, the complaint shall be confidential until the conclusion of that investigation or at such time that the investigation seeks to be active without

findings relative to probable cause.

Now, the department requires a prompt, thorough and objective investigation of each complaint. Cases are referred to the State Attorney's Office if the investigator even suspects that criminal charges can be filed. Upon completion of each investigation each investigation is subject to an intense review by the employees and the involved employees' commanders, as well as the commanders within the internal security section. The entire staff of the Miami Police and internal security section intensively looks at every complaint that comes in and is investigated within the department.

At the conclusion the complainants are notified by letter and are phoned of the findings of each case that is investigated by the Miami Police Department. If a violation is cited the member that is cited may receive written reprimand which becomes a permanent record, loss of time, forfeiture of earned overtime; suspension may result, which is removal from work for a period of time without pay,

demotion, lowering in rank or dismissal, termination.

There was a mention of shootings or discharge of firearms. Internal security responds to every discharge of firearm incidents, whether the officers intentionally or accidentally discharged his firearm, whether it is on duty or off duty, the police department internal security investigators do investigate that particular incident.

The investigator also acts as a part of the department shooting team in the event there is an investigation to be had or made on a shooting where an officer discharged his weapon and struck someone.

In addition, afterwards an IS is done or internal security is done, which investigates on the discharge by a discharge of firearms committee headed by an assistant chief which convenes to review all reports and investigations on the discharge.

Now, in the event that that discharge of firearm by the officer results in someone being struck or killed, obviously the state attorney is also involved in that, as you have heard Director Taylor refer to. However, in the event that no one is hit, the investigator in internal security takes a look and investigates that discharge. After

he is done and it has received the intense looking at by the internal security staff, that report is then sent to the discharge of firearms committee, which is headed by an assistant chief. Also in the committee is the patrol commander and a staff duty officer. This particular committee convenes to further scrutinize or look at the investigation done by internal security, as well as any other reports relating to that particular discharge.

They will make their determination as to the complaince with State Statute, Civil Services Rules and Regulations, Department Rules and Regulations, Departmental Orders, Standard Operating Procedures and Departmental Policy. They make a determination as to whether or not the discharge occurred in compliance with all of those rules.

After they reach that conclusion the report is then sent to the suspect officer's division chief. If there is discipline to be meted out, it will take place in that particular chain.

There is a great emphasis placed on the preservation of life by the Miami Police Department.

As Director Taylor stated, the discharge policy is probably one of the most stringent

Jack Besoner and Associates

ı

that you will find within the United States.

Certainly we are much more stringent than State

Statute allows. Officers are in compliance and if
they are not in compliance they are dealt with

disciplinarily.

early warning system. Now, some time ago the police department noticed that of the significant incidents involving police complaints less than five percent of the organization officers were responsible for twenty-five percent of its complaints and this was-they are significant. In order to deal with that, in order to take that particular group and to maybe design some type of system for counseling and training, additional training was first necessary to identify this particular group of officers, thus the early warning system.

The early warning system is one of the many tools that the police department uses to ensure that fair and effective law enforcement is provided to the citizens to the City of Miami. Reports are designed to increase awareness, a potential problem area so that corrective action can be taken before they become critical issues. This system monitors the frequency officers are involved in incidents that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

result in complaints. That particular system is called the early warning system one or EWS 1.

Officers that receive five or more complaints within a two-year period are placed on the EWS 1 or the early warning system one. report goes to his commanding officer who sees to it that an evaluation of these complaints that were generated in this officer is done. After that, it is mandatory that this officer receives some type of psychological debriefing. Depending on the magnitude of these complaints, there may be additional psychological workup required.

EWS 2, early warning system two, whenever there is a need to physically control a prisoner or a person being taken into custody beyond just the mere guiding, leading or directing, there is a need to complete what we call a control of persons Each time one of our officers have to make more than five of these reports in one year, then his name goes on EWS2, indicating that this particular officer and in a 12-month period of time has had to make five control of persons reports, and it may be a red flag, there may be a reason why to take a look at why this person has made five control of persons reports in one year; so this officer receives the same type of evaluation from his superiors and ultimately it is mandatory that he receive the psychological debriefing, why this officer has made five of these types of reports and these types of systems are in place in order to identify and deal with problems before they become critical.

Now, Chief Boemler mentioned earlier that there were certain programs within the Miami Police Department, innovative programs, that allows the doors of the police department to be opened to the community.

We have one of our community specialists, Ron Morris, and for the sake of time I won't ramble on and on, I am going to have him briefly just mention the programs that are available within the Miami Police Department, but the police department has opened its doors under Chief Dickson to the community. What the police department is doing by way of news media, opening the doors to the community. I think that this administration has been more open than any other administration that I know of within my tenure of the Miami Police Department, and I think that a police department must operate opened to the community. Certainly we are the community and we must be open to the community and

the Chief has done that through media relations and his openness to the community.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I am going to ask in the interest of time that you be as focused as you can.

OFFICER MORRIS: I will be very short.

I don't like to have the chief standing behind me,

I am taking his chair, so I will be--I think the thing
that is important that I see in working community
relations section, and I have done it for many years,
is the total involvement of the Miami Police

Department in all aspects of the community that we
serve. We have police officers in community
relations and other areas of the police department
where we get involved and sitting on boards for the
Dade County Board of Education, we sit on boards with
the community relations section and other citizen
groups all over the city of Miami.

We respond to all meetings, every public meeting that a group has. If they would like to have us as the police department to be in attendance we do cooperate and attend and sit on boards of these particular organizations so that we can have input to and listen to what they have to say and try to respond.

Every week we make a written record of the complaints that the people have and suggestions that they have. If it is something that we can handle internally with community relations, we do it. If it is something that we can't handle, we then forward that written report to the proper agency within the city. Even if it isn't a police department problem, then we wait for the response and then get back with the people that are involved in that so they know that the police department is involved.

What you have been seeing recently with the crack houses in Miami and the requests to have them bulldozed that you have been seeing some of our commissioners involved in, one of the groups of people that got very involved with that with the subcouncils that we have within the police department that the chief alluded to, the subcouncil program.

The subcouncil program originally started out as a federal grant. It started out as an idea to get the people who live in this community organized so that they would in turn give us information about what their concerns were and we could address those. The grant finished, all the other participants and the other cities that have

programs similar to this, their programs went kaput. The City of Miami has gone on individually and made this an integral part of the police department of the area-wide subcouncils, independent entities that meet with our community involvement specialists and our police officers assigned to community relations and other members of the police department to address, as I say, community concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They are not necessarily organized groups, but they could be. They attend these meetings. They are open meetings to everybody who lives or works in the area of concern. It is well known that the meetings are going to take place. These meetings that take place, any subject is open for discussion. It might be police brutality, it might be a specific incident that takes place, but all these things are addressed at those particular meetings, and we try to come to resolution. subcouncils themselves, the individual subcouncils have their own chairpersons and they meet at the police department on a regular basis, the commanders of the community relations section, the project directors. They sit down with them, with members of the community relations staff and other quests and the chief of police many times, and discuss issues

with them that we can resolve in a community-wide basis, but one of those issues was crack houses and in the city of Miami and trying to--they take on projects themselves and then we assist them with those particular projects. I think that is very important.

We have programs in the schools, we have officers that work daily and in all the 38 schools in the city of Miami, strictly active. We meet with all the PTA's, we have taken on the schools as partners, where we actually participate in all the activities in the school and PTA meetings, things like that, to reach another segment of the community that we don't even meet normally in our subcouncils.

I am going to--really going to stop
here. Inside your package you have five pages of
programs that we have instituted within the Miami
Police Department. All the agencies that we sit on
the board with are not listed here. Without any
kind of problem I could probably give you two pages
full just of the ones that three or four people that
I know in this section, including myself, sit on on
a regular basis, everything from setting up programs
with the National Conference of Christians and Jews,
for a conference on police go in our changing society.
Many of these things are actively involved, so if you

have a specific question when you look through there you can address it to me and I will let you go on with the rest of the panel.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Chief Reaves.

CHIEF REAVES: Yes, Mr. Chairman,
Mr. Moorhead. I am going to be as focused as I

possibly can, but by the same token I am going to

demand equal time as the Miami Police Department, but

in all sincerity I do want to take the opportunity,

Mr. Chairman, to thank the U.S. Commission on Civil

Rights for inviting the Opa-locka Police Department

to participate in this forum, and above all, commend

you on the courageousness to conduct this forum.

I do want to thank PULSE,

Reverend Jackson, Reverend Graham and the entire

Association for I guess making it possible for this

forum being conducted. Certainly I want to thank the

community for coming out and having the patience to

sit here all day long to share in the dialogue for

us, for all of us that are participating in this

workshop.

I think certainly the subject is certainly fitting and apropos dealing with the issues of excessive force as well as dealing with police brutality.

There has been a great deal of

Jack Besoner and Associates

A couple days ago I did get a call from The Miami Herald. One of the questions a reporter wanted to know was whether or not excessive force or police brutality existed in the Opa-locka Police Department. Certainly it does not exist because if it did, if it existed at the present and if it was happening I would have been out there doing something about it, so my response to that question was no, police brutality does not exist, but I think the question more appropriately should have been raised does it happen and the answer does police brutality happen, certainly it does happen and we have to do what we can to prevent it from happening and from reoccurring.

are vested with more power and more authority than the United States President. We are the only body that has the authority to deprive a person of their life and of their liberty based simply on probable cause, so certainly there must be a defense mechanism to prevent the abuse of police authority, and I certainly recognize the fact that all of us do, I'm sure, that there are some defense mechanisms in place.

discussion today regarding independent panels, but we do have internal control in law enforcement agencies to deal with the abuse of authority, to deal with excessive force, to deal with police brutality and the internal controls are an absolute necessity.

They are department policy, written policy and procedure certainly in all of the agencies in Dade County that regulates the abuse of authority, that try to control police brutality in our agencies, but in addition to that we also have an internal review section that sincerely and honestly conducts thorough and detailed investigation that are filed by the citizens that complain of police misconduct.

In addition to the investigation of those complaints that are sustained, certainly the Opa-locka Police Department takes the action that we feel to be appropriate to prevent it from happening, to solve the problem and hopefully as well as preventing it from recurring, but in addition to the internal control there also are external controls as well, and I think the exclusionary rule is a typical example of external controls that prevent the abuse of police brutality and the abuse of authority.

We have the federal laws that deal with civil rights complaints. They are handled by the

1 J
2 B
3 P
4 O
5 t
6 a
7 C
8 O
9 t
10 a
11 t
12 r
13 t
14 b
14 b
15

17

18

15

16

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

Justice Department and that conducts the Federal Bureau of Investigation to also eliminate and prevent police brutality and excessive force and other complaints of that nature, and, of course, by the same token, and Director Taylor alluded to that, as well as the Miami Police Department and also criminal proceedings. In many instances police officers are charged with criminal offenses when they violate the law as it relates to excessive force, as it relates to police shootings, but in addition to the criminal procedures there is also the civil remedy that the public has to--that is available to them to aggressively regulate the abuse of authority by police officers. It is to prevent police brutality and excessive force, and certainly the Opa-locka Police Department is no exception to any other police department.

We receive complaints for police brutality, for excessive force and abuse of authority, just like any other agency in Dade County and throughout the state of Florida, as well as throughout the entire continent of the United States of America, but we do put forth a concerted effort to prevent.

There is case history where we have had

incidents where complaints have been filed with us regarding officers using a shotgun without justification and those instance complaints were sustained, so it does happen and there is a possibility of it happening in the future. We have had other instances where officers in our department have used excessive force and have been terminated, so I think the internal control within the system does work. We have cases now that are pending that we are looking into, and, by the same token, some of the complaints that are filed by citizens against police officers alleging police misconduct and alleged police brutality and based on facts of our investigation we find that the officer was justified in the force that was used at the time, when in many instances force was not used at all, and based on those facts obviously the officers acted properly and they were exonerated. By the same token I think that I would be remiss and I think this panel would be remiss if we did not also recognize the fact that there are police officers that are injured by the public and in some 22 instances they are fatally wounded by members of our society, by members of our community, and in the city of Opa-locka in 1986 we had a police officer that was slain in the line of duty by a citizen.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

to give some attention to that. We have had police officers that were injured in the line of duty.

This Commission has to pay some attention to that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think we have to address the problem from both sides of the spectrum and I think this forum just by the mere fact of having this dialogue creates an opportunity to keep the police from being abused by the public, while at the same time, keeps the public from being abused by the police. Certainly there is a need to bridge the gap that exists between the police and the community. Certainly the only way a law enforcement agency can be successful in our society, there must be a coordinated effort on the part of the police and on the part of the community, plus other organizations working in conjunction with each other, coordinating our efforts, getting them in the same direction and working towards a common goal to make our society more viable to live in, to work in, as well as to play in, and I think this forum brings about the better understanding between the police and the community. I think it builds better police-community relations between the police and the community and ultimately society benefits because we create a better society.

In closing I just want to again thank you for the opportunity for affording the Opa-locka Police Department to participate in this forum.

Certainly we will try and get better on a day-to-day basis if we solicit the recommendation of this

Commission and members of the public that they feel they can make to the Opa-locka Police Department and help us to be more effective, while at the same time build a better relationship with the police and the community. Certainly we are looking forward with working with PULSE, NAC, SCLC and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and other citizens of our community who do not belong to any association, and, again, thanks for having us here today.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you.

I want to without approval from the body at this table assembled ask a question, on the basis of a response determine how we should proceed. We picked up a great deal of data today and Director Taylor said we have a notebook already that we haven't completely digested. Would it be possible for us to get a transcript, which we are going to have I think a very quick turnaround on, review your testimony, and pose additional questions to you in writing as opposed to an extended dialogue at this

point that maybe some questions that our panel members would want to raise? But would the department be responsive to that? CHIEF TAYLOR: Fine. CHIEF BOEMLER: Certainly as an addition, it would seem that we would be in a position to explore and research answers as opposed to some extemporaneous type of answer. MR. BINKLEY: I have one question. Did Chief Reaves bring data or reports like the other departments did? CHIEF REAVES: Why do you put me on the spot like that. I did not bring data today but I will be happy to provide you with some. OFFICER BOEMLER: Chairman Moorhead just took you off the spot. MR. ROLLE: I would like to thank all of you gentlemen. I feel that you have been very thorough, I think very responsive and maybe even somewhat comforting, and I want to make this first statement because earlier when Mr. Tom Battles testified he indicated that great strides had been made and I raise the point of what evidence is there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for that statement.

Assuming that everything that I have

1 head say 3 so 4 lit 5 will 6 und

heard from this panel could be corroborated, I would say indeed this is progress. For example, and just so we begin to get into the corroboration process a little bit here, Director Taylor, you said you are willing to meet with anyone, any group, any time, under any conditions. I would assume that that also means with or without press coverage.

CHIEF TAYLOR: Exactly.

MR. ROLLE: Am I correct?

CHIEF TAYLOR: Yes, sir.

MR. ROLLE: All right.

We have representatives of PULSE still in the room, along with the NAACP and other groups. They were the moving party to bring about this hearing. It also seems to me that there are a lot of procedures that have been established and policies and maybe all the community needs to know is that there is somebody who can provide other things regarding implementation and enforcement of those policies.

So one of the points I would like to ask is this: Based on what we have heard today so that we clear the air in this community as quickly as possible, since it took so long to get to this point and since the information in my view as an individual,

Jack Besoner and Associates

they may not agree, but we can find out whether they agree, but in my view the information that you have presented here seems to indicate that we have the structures to deal with all of these problems, and if there is a list of specific cases that are still kind of muddled, we have all the chiefs here, you have all of your staff, can't we supply these groups in this community with what is the status, what is the resolution, where does it stand and what does it look like right now so that we can clear the air, that we don't have to continue to feel that we are being--I mean, the community is being ignored and not receiving responses that there are abuses?

We are all human, which means we are not perfect. I notice that the statistics over on the chart in terms of the number of arrests versus the instances of force total, and that is in my view, a low percentage, and I am still speaking as an individual, not attempting to make any prejudgments for my colleagues on the Commission, but I feel I need to say that given some of the other points that I have raised throughout the day, and I am very pleasantly surprised, maybe that is confessing a bias and I really don't have a bias, but I think you have been responsive, I think the ingredients are

present right now to bring this matter to some kind of a head and to set up a continuing structure where there can be involvement of community groups along the lines that the NAACP proposed, get these people involved with yourselves, councils and let's get it together here and make sure we have community support, openness and communication.

Maybe that is the key. People are not aware of all these things that are going on, and I don't know where the blame is and I am not going to try to find it, but we have the opportunity right now to ameliorate this problem, eradicate it.

Let's communicate and get this message out, respond to the specifics that these people are concerned about and let's keep going.

One specific question, is there any kind of extraordinary appeal procedure for a citizen who has gone through the process that you have and is still unsatisfied?

CHIEF TAYLOR: Well, in any particular case there is two. They can go to the IRP and they can lodge the complaint there. Of course, they can go into the court system and deal with it there and, of course, they can go to the state attorney and try to have the state attorney look at the case and make

some kind of decision, so there are several courses.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things that does occur, and I could just quickly make a point of this, is that you think you have heard a lot about the cases that we sustain. We sustain more than we have ever sustained in the past because we are really concerned about this and we are serious about it and we exonerate officers, but there is that group in the middle of those cases that are not sustained that are one-on-one that you don't have hard cold facts and police officers are entitled to the same legal rights as all of us are as citizens, and one thing I think was addressed here a little bit was the early warning system. We have to look at that group of individuals that seem to waver their way through the system and we have the same thing, we look at every 90 days and we look at it each year and that is what takes care of that gray area and we do what is a complete psychological profile. We look at performance, we look at any of a number of things for those individuals who seem to be involved in one situation that we can't resolve one way or the other.

I think that is an important thing that we do down here that needs to be looked at.

That helps a lot. So, yes, there is a recourse,

number one, an internal one that looks at those groups of officers who seem to get by with no sustaining where we can't prove or not prove.

There are the courts, state attorney and there is the IRP, which you are about to hear from today.

OFFICER BOEMLER: And the FBI.

CHIEF TAYLOR: And other federal agencies, the Civil Rights Commission, all those other kinds of agencies.

MR. ROLLE: I would hope that you won't find me presumptuous on this, but it would sure make me feel good that if today after the parties leave that there is an agreed upon mutually convenient meeting date with this citizen group and this panel of chiefs to at least have a planning meeting for a future meeting as to how you can arrange it and get together and let's get the media to help us get this information out. You might want to speak with Chairman Moorhead here in terms of how we might be facilitative of the process. I would really feel great if that could happen today.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We won't look for a response.

OFFICER BOEMLER: I think that is an

outstanding suggestion. I think we could probably set a date.

MR. ROLLE: Beautiful.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We really appreciate your time and the information has been extraordinarily helpful and we will be getting back to you.

We are going to stand up for about three minutes in place before the next panel.

I made an oversight after lunch that I would like to correct.

Mr. Gustavo Marin, who is a member of the Advisory Committee, could not be here this morning. He joined us after the lunch hour and the record should show that he is here. We are moving toward the home stretch. We have scheduled individuals who participate in the internal review process and Metro-Dade sitting in and the City of Miami. We are going to turn to them next and then we are going to go back and pick up one witness who we did not catch this morning, Mr. Larry Capp, and we shall round it off with Mr. Capp's testimony.

Would you care to introduce yourself?

DR. DANIELS: Dr. Hattie Daniels,

Director of the Department of Internal Audits and

2 3 4

internal audits, we have an affirmative action division, where I am responsible for implementation of the City's affirmative action program and the consent decree and we also have a division of The Office of Professional Compliance, which is a civilian oversight agency.

Reviews. Under that department in addition to

The panel I think originally asked regarding the City of Miami's affirmative action program and the police department and the status of that. I would like to respond to that question because I don't think that that was adequately addressed.

There were two consent decrees. One was a consent decree entered into in 1973, which only addressed the concerns of Black officers in the police department. That particular consent decree has been resolved with a promotion of certain Blacks in the department, but we have also entered into in 1978 the U.S.A. v The City of Miami consent decree. That consent decree is still in effect.

The latter part of '86, 1987, the Fraternal Order of Police petitioned the Court under U.S. Justice James W. Kehoe to release the police department from the consent decree. He denied that

petition basically saying that the mandate and the goals of the consent decree had not been met, and as such, the City of Miami Police Department would still be under that consent decree, so we are still functioning and reporting to the U.S. Justice Department on those particular goals.

Regarding the Office of Professional Compliance, in 1978 and '79, responding to citizens' complaints regarding the—what they saw as misconduct regarding the police department, excessive force, questionable shootings, and another concern about the closed nature of the process, it was citizens complaining that they were not informed about their complaints and that they heard nothing for a long period of time and that festered, that perception that there was a cover-up.

The City basically undertook a study and appointed an advisory committee. That committee was made up of a representative from the City Manager's Office, there was a representative from the Fraternal Order of Police and I believe that was Richard Witt that you mentioned earlier today. There were two citizens on that particular advisory committee and they studied for over a year various kinds of oversight agencies and eventually settled on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the model that was used initially for the Office of Professional Compliance.

We began operation in 1981 under Ordinance 9127. That basically provided for a director of the office, three representatives or investigators, one Black, one Hispanic, and one Anglo to represent each one of the communities. There was also six outreach centers.

We staff various community agencies throughout the city, basically available to take complaints from citizens that feel intimidated about going to the police department and speaking directly to internal security. They can go to us directly at those outreach centers. We have currently an office in the police department and also one in internal audits and reviews department.

Originally as we were set up we were reporting or -- not actually reporting, but rather housed in the police department and reporting to the City Manager. In that way there was an independent function.

From the inception of the complaint to the final conclusions of that investigation the OPC office was basically involved. When a citizen made a complaint we could basically sit in on those witnesses as they gave those statements to internal security, listening to those, basically making our own investigation simultaneously with the police department, and that is basically the way that we operated initially.

The latter part of 1985, after four years of independently investigating simultaneously with the police department, the City Attorney ruled that that particular process violated Florida

Statutes Section 112 as regarding the confidentiality provisions, basically because we were not a law enforcement agency and because we were not considered to be attached to a law enforcement agency.

Basically they ruled that OPC was in violation of State Statutes.

Beginning '86, OPC began to be excluded from those investigations, starting with homicide, where we could go out. Whenever there was a discharge of firearms we were excluded from sitting in on the statements first of the officer, then of witnesses. Then it became that we were excluded from any kind of complaints. We usually investigate all excessive force complaints, abusive treatment, harrassment and false arrests. We also go out on any kind of discharge of firearms if an officer is hit or

if someone is hit or killed. We have someone on 24-hour call that goes out to that scene.

In addition, we are also involved in any type of civil disturbance. Besides making those particular investigative reports, we also make special reports, such as being involved in the INS demonstration back in--I think it was '82 or so. We were involved in the Neville Johnson incident and basically making a special report to the police department, and most recently we were in the Haitian disturbance in 1986.

Basically we are there not only to look at standard operating procedures, but also to be able to inform the police department about special community or ethnic and multi-cultural kinds of concerns that might impact on those particular decisions. Currently we have not been able to resolve that particular issue. We are, I would say, monitoring investigations after they are closed. We cannot work along with the police department while those investigations are open because of the City Attorney's ruling. We have been excluded from that.

I would basically say that we have seriously been impeded from doing the job that we originally intended. In 1986 the Office of

Professional Compliance merged with affirmative action and internal audit, and I think that the creation of that department and further separation from the police department exacerbated that particular problem. We have not been able to resolve that but we have been able to see from both sides the investigative technique as compared to a review agency, and we would say that we feel very strongly that the City of Miami, the community and, in fact, the police department would best be served by the original model in which we are involved from the inception of a complaint to the conclusion.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you.

MR. POMEROY: My name is Wes Pomeroy,

I am Executive Director for the Independent Review

Panel.

This is a Dade County ombudsman-like entity that has jurisdiction over all county departments including the Metro-Dade Police Department in terms of investigating complaints against those departments and employees in those departments.

We respond to complaints. We do not initiate investigations that are of our own about specific behavior. We do initiate activities on our

about improving systems and auditing the way the departments do their business generally. The panel was created in 1980 in response to community concern, and a perception by the community of police conduct and we had to do something about it. I don't mean "we," I wasn't here at the time; it was a wide discussion about what kind of civilian oversight entity it ought to be and what kind would be created. Eventually it was decided on this model.

In that discussion community groups were involved, the County was involved, County government administration was involved, the courts, judges, U.S. Department of Justice, community relations service, the police, both administrative levels and rank and file. It was a general-wide discussion among the people to be affected by this new entity.

It works like this: There are six members of a panel that serve without compensation. Five of those members are nominated by members from the Bar Association, Dade County Bar Association, the League of Women Voters, community relations service—

I mean Community Relations Board, Community Action Agency and the Dade County Chiefs of Police.

A Chief of Police is appointed.

He cannot, of course, be the head of the Metro-Dade
Police Department. Six members of the panel are
appointed from the County Manager from a member of
his staff. The executive director of the position,
which I fill, is appointed by the Chief Judge of
the County. That is another measure of independence.

When it first started out the panel had a part-time director. The part-time director was an attorney who served on an hourly basis.

As the workload built up and his backlog built up, I found out when we got here, he decided to have a full-time director. I am the first full-time director, having served since December 15, 1983.

The staff capable of work has only five people in it and I am one of those five people. One of the others of the five people is my chief of assistance who is on maternity leave and will not be back until October, so there are four of us handling the workload, two, quote, professional, unquote, and two clerical.

When the staff was geared up to do

the best work, I think, when it started cleaning up

the backlog and had some time for investigation the

DAO called for six people, four professional staff and

two clerical.

won't go into too much detail because I suspect you may have some questions and I sat through all the dialogue all day today, when we get a complaint from a complainant we take the complaint, we do some preliminary investigating to make certain that as much as we can there is enough reason for the complaint to be pursued. We refer the entire complaint to the department that is involved and identify and tell the department who the complainant is, ask them to do a complete investigation and when they are completed to give us the full copy of the investigation and to report back to us and to the complainant.

When we get the investigation back we look at it to see that everything has been done and we are monitoring some of that as it goes along.

If more needs to be done we ask for it to be done.

If there are difficult turns in the investigation we ask for that to be done. Then we give it to the complainant and ask the complainant if they are satisfied.

If they are satisfied that may be the end of the matter but it may not. It may be that the complaint had an issue complained about that is dealt

with but there may have been that we have found that there are other issues that ought to be dealt with and policy and systemic response.

We hold what we call a subcommittee meeting that consists of one member of the panel and members of my staff, which is formal. The complainant is—can bring anyone he or she wants, the department head or his or her representative will appear at the subcommittee meeting and we ask what the issues are, "What are you not satisfied with," and we write them up and say, "We think this ought to be talked about."

We get a dialogue back and forth and we try to resolve the matters as much as we can there if there are matters to be resolved. If there are not, one or two things happen. One is that new issues turn up and we will do some more investigating and another most likely result is that we will have other information to make a recommendation to the full panel which meets once a month along with a full review of what the department has done, and analyzing of their conclusions we disagree or agree with them and we recommend—make recommendations of our own, the subcommittee does to the full panel, who then makes a decision.

Of course, anyone may appear at any stage of the proceedings and the complainants are invited to appear and register any complaints that they have with our result.

Some of our meetings are substantively lively. We have one next Tuesday at 2:30 at the County Administration Building, we invite anyone to come, 18th floor. It will be lively because the subcommittee recommends to the panel that several actions be taken and we will have some response to those. Some action that will generate response. Many times they don't.

set up and the theory on the Throngbozi decision underlying all of this is that the department and the county should do their own investigation and should do it well and they should be held accountable to that investigation and we should be able to not only monitor that but to criticize and cause any changes to be made.

The panel has the authority under county ordinance to enter any premises of any department in the county, to inspect all records, to be given every assistance by any department head that we want. We also have the authority to request

O

assistance from some department not under investigation to give us resources to help investigate another one. That in brief is how we function.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you.

MR. SEROTA: My name is Joseph Serota and I'm a member of the panel, vice chairman of the panel that is here. I would like to just make a couple of comments which was laid out on how the panel operates. I would just like to give you a couple coments from a board member's perspective.

I think, first of all, that the

Dade County community owes the PULSE organization a

great debt of gratitude for their efforts in pursuing

matters that they do before our panel. In particular,

I was a chairman of the investigation into the matter

involving Nercius Cincyr which is one of the

individual matters raised by PULSE this morning, I

understand, and in which is one of the several

matters that may have precipitated this meeting today.

I know that as a result of PULSE efforts in pursuing that particular investigation, the panel came up with specific recommendations which have since been implemented by Director Taylor. Those specific recommendations that we made that we

discovered deal with such things as the speed in which investigations are made, internal review investigations, the communication of internal review investigations with the individual and groups which are interested in that investigation, as well as how the internal review process finds witnesses and investigates those witnesses.

The several specific recommendations that were made as a result of the Cincyr investigation which Director Taylor has considered and has implemented, and, as I say, I think but for PULSE efforts in investigating that particular matter I think that these changes probably would have occurred but not nearly as quickly as they did.

about dealing with the police. It is somewhat frustrating as panel members at times when you are investigating police, claims of police conduct, misconduct, not to be able to talk directly to police officers and that is something that, as I say, is frustrating, but again, I recognize as was—has been mentioned this afternoon, that police officers have constitutional rights and the advice that they get from their counsel is not to speak in a public forum and to explain their side of the story, and we

respect that, but I can't tell you that it is not at times frustrating when you are trying to understand exactly what happened not to hear it from the officer directly, but again, this is something that we accept and we understand.

On the other hand, we do get a great deal of participation and cooperation from the police department, and often what we get is other officers who are not the so-called target of the investigation to come forward, as we did in the Cincyr matter, where we had many officers, as well as witnesses, talking about the case.

As far as how the IRP can be improved,

I think that any panel can be improved and certainly
there are things that would be helpful in this case.

power under certain circumstances to eliminate-we would use it only in limited circumstances, I
think would be helpful. That we don't have, although
I understand that many comparable panels across the
United States and in other parts of the world do have
subpoena power. It is something again in this Cincyr
matter in some of our larger investigations would
be helpful. I think another thing that would be
helpful that we do not have is a full-time

investigator. Mr. Pomeroy has laid out to you the staff that we do have, which is a limited staff.

We have complaints that need to be investigated and we try our best with the limited resources we have to investigate, but I think that one thing that would be helpful to us which would speed our process and get to the truth more quickly would be a full-time investigator who could actually go out, speak to witnesses, take statements if statements are necessary and come back to us, because I think that many of the complaints that we get are not well-founded and by getting to the bottom of them early on we can do away with them.

On the other hand, the other complaints that we get that are well-founded that require and necessitate investigation would be facilitated by that, and other than that I have no other comments and certainly we will be happy to answer any questions you have.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I think we will pose questions at this point, then we are going to Mr. Capp after those questions.

MR. ROLLE: Mrs. Daniels, did I understand you to say that when this procedure began you were able to work side by side with those doing

the investigation?

DR. DANIELS: Yes, that is correct.

MR. ROLLE: Then there was a ruling by the City Attorney which indicated that you could no longer do that?

DR. DANIELS: Right.

DR. DANIELS:

MR. ROLLE: When was that ruling made?

The ruling was made in

1985 and since that time we have been working more as a review body after those particular cases were closed.

The only information that we receive initially is the name of the party and the kind of complaint that has basically been made. In the past when we receive that information we would also get the name of the officer, the internal security officer doing the investigation, the name and address and so forth of the complaining party. We would immediately notify that individual by certified letter that we were also investigating that case. When the statement of that complaining person or witnesses, the officer was taken by internal security our investigator would also be present to listen directly to basically what was being said. If we had questions that we wanted to pose we would pose

that to the internal security who would ask that question.

MR. ROLLE: I have a series of questions that I feel I need to ask you on this matter.

When the attormey made this ruling did he indicate the basis for change? What happened in 1985 that was not the case in 1984?

DR. DANIELS: I was not the director, and to tell you the truth, I have never been able to find out what really precipitated that. One of the attorneys in the City Attorney's Office basically says as a routine monitoring of the Office of Professional Compliance they found that State Law 112 was basically being violated. There was nothing else. So that particular question as to what precipitated them to monitor us, to make that particular ruling is still not clear.

MR. ROLLE: Do you know if this restriction is in place, say in the City of Miami with this review procedure or the City of Opa-locka?

DR. DANIELS: We are somewhat unique. They are all over the country, New York, Atlanta, in any number of places that have them.

MR. ROLLE: I am restricting this to the

state of Florida. The same law should apply, should apply to--

DR. DANIELS: I don't believe what Mr. Pomeroy has said. The cases have been closed there. These are open cases that we are working on, they are open cases. The difference is that what they are telling us now that we can do is to look into the matter after the case is closed.

MR. ROLLE: What I am trying to determine is whether or not there is in fact a legitimate valid legal basis grounded in statute for that ruling to have been made.

DR. DANIELS: That is made--basically what they are saying is that in State Statute 112 basically says that unless you are a law enforcement agency or you are attached to a law enforcement agency you are precluded from looking at anything pertaining to an open case complaint against a police officer, so they are basing it on Florida State Statute.

MR. ROLLE: I would hope that at some point there could be a review and further clarification on that particular statute, and I need to ask another question.

Are you considered to be--

MR. BINKLEY: I don't know whether it is more pertinent to finish your question or to pursue this subject, but I would like to ask Mrs. Daniels.

MR. ROLLE: I am still on the same subject.

MR. BINKLEY: You are going to pursue—

MR. ROLLE: I am trying to find out

about this ruling. I hate to play lawyer because I

am not and I could be mistaken, but isn't 112 the

Public Records Law where the Legislature was

concerned about the public's right to know what is

going on and how their business is being conducted?

DR. DANIELS: Yes. It goes on to 119.
MR. ROLLE: I know there were some

examples, but I thought that is restricted to actually reviewing the personnel file.

DR. DANIELS: No. This speaks to-Section 112 speaks specifically to investigations of
police officers and those open cases. Now, since
that time we have had a couple of meetings in which
the City Attorney feels that she can resolve this or
she has tried to resolve it. Well, she is no longer
with us but she did draft an ordinance that
basically provided that we would function out of the

chief's office which would give us that attachment to the chief's office and police agency, yet remain independent, reporting through internal audit and

reviews, and that she feels would resolve it.

MR. ROLLE: Because that was the next question I was going to ask you. Have you or do you consider the attachment of that office?

DR. DANIELS: We are not at this time. We are under ordinance 10071. We put the Office of Professional Compliance strictly under the Department of Internal Audits and Reviews, but should we implement the ordinance that she has drafted, which would give that dual type of role, the involvement with internal audit with independent, yet the functioning out of the chief's office would attach us to the police agency and which would resolve the dilemma posed by 112.

MR. ROLLE: If I might ask Mr. Pomeroy a question, please--

DR. DANIELS: Before I relinquish the podium I would like to at least introduce one of the OPC representatives, which is Miss Shirley Ervin, who is sitting here with me and also bring your attention to one of the annual reports from this office that I believe has been provided to you, which

1	is an annual report, and in that particular report
2	the complaint process is explained and how those
3	complaints are filed and other significant
4	activities.
5	In addition to a section that deals
6	with our statistical review of the kinds of
7	complaints and the numbers and of the officers that
8	have been involved and, you know, all that kind of
9	analysis.
10	CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Can we get
11	your colleague's name once again?
12	DR. DANIELS: Shirley Ervin,
13	E-r-v-i-n.
14	MR. BINKLEY: Could I ask
15	Mrs. Daniels a question, Mr. Chairman?
16	Are you through?
17	MR. ROLLE: No.
18	MR. BINKLEY: Go ahead. I thought
19	you were going to the next panelist.
20	MR. ROLLE: If you want to ask her
21	CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Ask her a
22	question if you will, sir.
23	MR. BINKLEY: What would it take to
24	move the ordinance you have referred to that the
25	previous City Attorney drafted that would deal with

this situation, Mrs. Daniels?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sir?

25

DR. DANIELS: Well, I think that, to be honest, we have been working to resolve this on the wrong level. We have--my office and--we have met with the chief and members of homicide and internal security and the lawyer for the police department and things get muddled. I think that where this needs to be resolved is in the City Manager's Office, that it would take a mandate from the City Manager to say that we want to operate as originally intended, that the City Attorney has drafted an ordinance that would resolve this problem and to get that expressed basically by the City Manager and I think that the people that need to be involved in that meeting would be the Chief, the City Manager, myself and perhaps the City Attorney.

MR. BINKLEY: Sitting in you could then submit it to the City Board?

DR. DANIELS: The City Commission would basically have to ratify that.

MR. BINKLEY: Thank you.

MR. ROLLE: May I have the floor back,

Mr. Pomeroy, you have heard as well

as I have through all that has happened this morning, one of the critical concerns coming from the community per se is the right to be assured that things sitting the way they are, are proceeding the way they should and maybe there should be some oversight involvement, observations, if you will, of how these investigations are conducted and how meetings are presented and what actually happens in terms of the kind of questions that are asked of people who are faced with complaints, that opportunities are given for the complainant to be heard during a hearing and so forth.

Now, we witnessed that the police officials from the City of Miami and Opa-locka and Metro-Dade indicated total willingness for responsible citizens groups to be involved in the process and the things that they are concerned with.

Now, this panel is official, charged with the responsibility to protect the public interest to the extent that we can, and we have organizations like PULSE, NAACP, and others who would like to be involved in that process.

I am not prepared at the moment to suggest a specific manner of involvement, but I would like to know from you is that possible? Are

there any legal restraints that would prohibit

the involvement that they have sought and further,

could you suggest some form or mechanism whereby this

matter could be ironed out with PULSE and the other

groups that are concerned?

For example, NAACP-
CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Let him respond

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Let him respond to that.

MR. ROLLE: Proposed citizens be involved in this review process.

MR. POMEROY: All of our proceedings, all of our records, everything we do is completely open and we do have citizen involvement. If you are suggesting that we create citizen advisory panels to advise the panel, I suppose that can be looked at and see how it could happen.

One of the things that we suffer from or have a lack of is community exposure. We don't have the resources to let people know who we are and what we are and where we can go; but try to get out to meetings, but there is so few of us we can't hardly do that.

The greater involvement we can have the better we would be off. Individually we are involved in community activities and continue to be.

It would be welcome to see many specific suggestions and I know the panel would be amenable to consider that because we are open and we intend to be open, we want to be open and we want everyone to know what we are doing and tell us how they would like us to do it better.

MR. ROLLE: When you finish with the case where does it go from there if there is still dissatisfaction?

MR. POMEROY: If there is still dissatisfaction? Well, it depends on what it is. There are always civil courts available. There is always—they could go to the County Manager if they haven't already if it does involve one of the departments.

MR. ROLLE: My last question, in terms of getting to hear from officers in those instances where the complaint is against an officer, is it possible to allow the officer to appear with counsel?

MR. POMEROY: Of course it is.

MR. SEROTA: Generally, though, appearing with counsel is not--doesn't do anything. As soon as the officer begins describing his version of the incident he is open for anything he says to be used against him either in a civil or criminal

proceeding, so whether he has counsel there, we certainly don't stop anybody from appearing.

Mr. Pomeroy stated, we have an open meeting and anybody who wants to speak up and anyone who has any comments, makes those comments. When we have a subcommittee meeting investigating a complaint we permit everybody to come and everything is under the Sunshine Law and in the investigations that PULSE has pursued we have done the same thing. When we have done an investigation we say, "Is there any other witnesses you want to present? Is there any other testimony that you have?"

When you talk about having a citizens advisory committee on top of what I believe is representative of both county and citizens, and I know that may be something that poses questions, but I believe that it does represent the community, you're talking about another blanket—another level of bureaucracy on top of another level of bureaucracy. I don't think it is necessary.

I think it is a concession that we are not open or that we are not representative. That is something that we need to work on because we need

to convince people that we are representative and that we are open, but I think that a better direction would be to work with us to alleviate any concern that PULSE or other groups might have as opposed to adding another level of bureaucracy and making things that much more difficult.

We only have a small staff now. You are looking at another level on top of that. I don't think that is productive.

MR. ROLLE: How many members are there?

MR. POMEROY: The staff or panel?

MR. ROLLE: The panel.

MR. POMEROY: Six.

MR. ROLLE: Six, and five of those are appointed by the Bar Association?

MR. POMEROY: No. Each of the Bar Association and the League of Women Voters and the Community Relations Board, Community Action Agency and the Chiefs of Police Association submit three names to the County Commissioners and then they appoint for a year. We are trying to get that changed.

MR. ROLLE: Just for whatever it is worth--

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I want to follow

up on two questions. I think we have a little surprise for you after that.

Is there a set of internal procedures?

I am talking about the statute that authorizes your

existence. Could you make a copy of that?

MR. POMEROY: Yes. You have before you this package and the largest section, the first one is the PULSE report on the Cincyr case. That was one we were interested in and you can see what was alleged and what we did and what came out of it.

The next section involves naming
the ordinance that created us, our rules of
procedure and organizational chart, and then the
third has the several examples, including examples—
or reports of several other kinds of investigations
we have done. One other involves Metro-Dade Police
Department, the other three involve other county
departments so that it gives—we think this gives a
sense of what our scope of activities are—is, and it
tells what our origin is, how we operate and how we—

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: We don't want to get into individual cases, but one of the cases that I wanted to raise a question about and just on a rapid rundown of the table there, I don't see it, is there a recusal procedure specified in this process?

1	MR. POMEROY: There is no procedure
2	but recusing has occurred from time to time. It is
3	hard to define someone's ethics for them, to pump
4	some kind of conscious into the head of someone who
5	doesn't have it, and so we do relyI recused myself
6	once and the former director recused himself a
7	couple times and one of the members as well.
8	CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: By recusal you
9	mean a complete removal?
10	MR. POMEROY: Complete withdrawal.
11	In the case where I recused myself I
12	didn't look at anything, I got away completely from
13	it, I announced to the panel that I had and I didn't
14	know what happened until my assistant processed it.
15	CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you.
16	MR. POMEROY: I think that is the way
17	we ought to operate. I can'tI cannot always regulate
18	everyone else's behavior.
19	MS. LITTLER: Just one point of
20	clarification for the record. Your office is located
21	at 73 West Flagler Street, Room 1902?
22	MR. POMEROY: Yes, it is.
23	MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Chairman, may I ask a
24	question?
25	CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Yes.
	II

MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Pomeroy, I believe you were here this morning when you heard the panel PULSE make its presentation. I think among other things they recommended that your panel have subpoena power and one of the members of the board there joining you now, Mr. Serota, has said he thinks that they should have subpoena power also. I believe he said limited use or something of that nature.

What is your view of that?

MR. POMEROY: I believe that subpoena power would be useful to us occasionally. In the last couple of years we have needed it to compel the attendance of civilian witnesses. We have had no problem in getting cooperation from county departments. It has limited—where I was before with the Detroit Board of Police Commissioners, they have subpoena power and, as I recall, we never used it in the couple years I was there.

I just recently did a survey or doing a survey of the members of the International Association of Civilian Oversighted of Law Enforcement, which is an international organization, and asking some twenty of their members about their subpoena power. So far about half of them report that they have it and they find it with some limited use,

but we have--the last case I can think of--that I know of is a case where there were three tow truck drivers whose testimony would have been very valuable to us and they simply wouldn't come to talk to us. That was very frustrating.

MS. LITTLER: This would require an amendment to this--what is it, a county ordinance or statute?

MR. POMEROY: Yes, it would.

MS. LITTLER: Do you anticipate much resistance to that? Has it ever been tried? Maybe I should ask that.

MR. POMEROY: No. We are looking at it now. If the panel decides it wants to pursue that, then our process will be to talk and to negotiate and bring in the police department and the PBA and the other constituents to talk about how we are going to do it, because—then we will see where we stand with it. Total subpoena power would be useful. That would depend upon our discretion.

I'm sure the bargaining agents would like to see something in the contracts, but I think before you make that kind of a change you have to involve everybody. That is the way it would work.

MR. BINKLEY: Can I ask Mrs. Daniels,

1	the Office of Professional Compliance, do I have that
2	right?
3	DR. DANIELS: Yes.
4	MR. BINKLEY: Do they have subpoena
5	power?
6	DR. DANIELS: No.
7	MR. BINKLEY: Has there been a problem
8	with it? Have you thought
9	DR. DANIELS: I think perhaps if our
10	structure changed that might be something that we
11	would use, but if we were to operate as we were
12	originally intended that doesn't seem to be necessary
13	at this point.
14	MR. BINKLEY: You don't think it is
15	necessary?
16	DR. DANIELS: Not as we originally
17	operate because we are sitting in on those statements
18	as they are taken originally, so we are present for
19	that.
20	MR. BINKLEY: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you very
22	much.
23	MR. ROLLE: I am really not this verbose,
24	but on the representative of this panel, based on the
25	description one from the Bar, one CRB, one CAA, one

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the Chief of Police, one of the League of Women Voters and the County Manager, correct so far?

MS. LITTLER: Yes.

MR. ROLLE: In terms of the concerns that have been raised what would be your position and response if I said that this doesn't appear to be representative in terms of the current problem because okay, the Bar is independent, outside of the structure, my view is the CRB is not outside of the structure, nor is the CAA, certainly not the Chiefs of Police, League of Women Voters I guess independent, and certainly not the County Manager, and my point here is that a part of the concern that has been raised is whether or not the community per se is representative and further the segment of the community that has impacted or at least at this juncture more than any other aspect of the community and in terms of question of representativeness I am not sure, but I would like to hear your assessment or perception of that.

MR. POMEROY: Okay. The county ordinance describes these as "community-based organizations," which is their designation and not mine. If you ask me in the abstract what would make-would be truly representative of the community I think that we all know how big a problem that is, that may not be representative of the community.

I think the point you raised is a very good one and it is one that is worthy of discussion and examination.

Let me also say that the panel probably would not be in existence if those compromises and—if they must have been compromises have not been worked out at the inception of the panel and we work with what we have. That was a broad policy decision involving large segments of the community when it was discussed, so it is a valid point.

There are some--I don't know what the difference would make in the composition of the panel. I would suspect that the County Manager's representative would be on there as a real plus for us because it brings with it an implicit sort of acceptance by county heads, department heads, and I would like to say that the representative Cynthia Curry, who is on there, is a strong competent person. I am glad she is there.

MR. SEROTA: Before her was Dewey
Knight, who is also a very strong representative. So
just on follow-up I certainly would be open to

whatever PULSE would recommend, as other groups, and I think that, as we said, that should be discussed, but other things that we are talking about, the Chief of Police, for example, maybe in the abstract you say, "Well, why should you have one-sixth of the panel to represent the Chief of Police?"

Well, number one, it gives the panel great credibility and helps us within the law enforcement. Number two, it gives us three sides and knowledge of that area of law enforcement. Again, that is only one member.

As Wes points out the County Manager having a representative that is Dewey Knight, it is now Cynthia Curry, it just happens that the representative has been Black but other than that it was a very good representative, it guarantees us tremendous access to the county community and the use of county departments, so these things are important and as Wes says, a good idea.

I personally think it works this way.

I would be open to other things, but you can't just say that these numbers are associated with the County and therefore don't serve the purpose. I think you really have to look a lot deeper than merely what is in the surface association to determine whether there

1 is an effective way to have the oversight panel. CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: What is your 2 quorum requirement? 3 MR. POMEROY: Four. CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Four rather than 5 five? 6 MR. SEROTA: Six. 7 MR. POMEROY: The department 8 representatives from these organizations do not have 9 to be members of that organization. The Community 10 Relations Board representative is an Hispanic 11 psychiatrist, not a member of the Community Relations 12 Board. 13 MR. ROLLE: You have been responsive. 14 Thank you very much. 15 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you. 16 Dr. Capp, my apologies. 17 DR. CAPP: Thank you. I appreciate 18 your indulgence. I realize it has been a long day. 19 I just want to state that I represent 20 Metro-Miami Action Plan, which like the independent 21 review panel, is an organization that came about 22 following some of the civil disturbance that we had 23 here in Dade County during the earlier part of this 24

Jack Besoner and Associates

decade.

25

Our mission is to serve as a catalyst in eliminating disparity that exists in the quality of life between the Black community and other communities here in Dade County.

We have several action committees, but we have one in particular in the area of criminal justice since testimony is relevent to our proceedings today and has been one that has been concerned since 1982 with the whole issue of Police-Community relations.

We have worked very closely with the police department. They have participated in research and studies that we have been conducting and we have also worked very closely with the Independent Review Panel in the Office of Professional Compliance, at times providing them with funding and other kinds of support.

I think that one of the important things that you should take with you as you conclude the hearing today is to really take note historically of the fact that we have undergone some very, very important and dramatic changes in this community with respect to Police-Community relations and the nature of the policing that we now receive.

The issue of communication has been

talked about in various ways throughout the day, and I think that is certainly a big change that has occurred. I have been to--I have been born and 3 raised in this community and have the opportunity to 5 see a lot of changes, particularly over the last several years, and I guess one conversation that I 6 7 had with one of the high-ranking police officers and one of our major departments really summarized some 8 9 of the changes that have occurred, and he told me that 10 many years ago the basic attitude that permeated the particular police departments and several others was 11 12 that the job of the police were to police the 13 community and the community's job was to shut up and let the police do their job. 14

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That particular attitude obviously has not owed well or communication or dialogue which is what we have been hearing a great deal of today, and what we have seen turned around completely in I think all the police departments here in Dade County.

We have seen a dramatic shift in attitude and in mind-set that has led to a great deal more in terms of receptiveness, a great deal more in terms of openness, a great deal more in terms of access, and I think you saw that in the police chiefs that were represented here today and the kinds of

statements that they made are valid and are borne out by all the policy changes and procedural changes that you have heard about, and my organization has been a part of many of those things and we have been an advocate and lobbyist for many of those changes.

We support the activities of the Office of Professional Compliance and the Independent Review Panel.

We applaud the efforts and the changes that have occurred in our police departments.

We feel that certainly the levels of distrust, the levels of tension that existed in the earlier part of this decade do not exist significantly to those levels anymore, and it is because of this community coming together, recognizing that we had a very, very serious problem, it came about as a result of goodwill by many good persons, including the police chiefs that you have heard from today, including a lot of citizens that are here today, so that we have formed a coalition, we formed organizations, formed procedures and mechanisms to sort of safeguard the bond and the implied contract that exists between the police and the community. I think the safeguards are in place, I think that certainly there is always room for improvement because

we aren't perfect and our institutions are seldom perfect, but I think we have certainly come a long way over the past several years and I think that you ought to be applauded and commended for again bringing this community together in the kind of coalition that we see here today.

I think that we have made very good progress and I think that more progress is going to be made. I don't need to go into detail. You have heard about affirmative action progress, psychological screening, recruitment, all those things that have happened as a result of the great need for change that was recognized and responded to, and I think we have had changeover in attitude, changeovers in personnel at our police departments, especially Metro and City of Miami at the very top, and I think the philosophies that those men have exposed today is very real and it has filtered down through the ranks and has filtered down to the community in terms of their attitude in the changes and their participation.

My concluding remarks are that we need to see more of that. I think we have come a long way and with your help and efforts and the continued goodwill of all the participants here today we are going to see even more in the future. Thank you.

Jack Besoner and Associates

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: I would like to thank you for your comments. They seemed particularly fitting at this point in the program, so we benefited from the fortuity of your coming at the end. It has covered the program well. We appreciate your thanks to us. We certainly thank all of you.

I think we owe a special debt to PULSE for its leadership role in getting this process in place. Now there is a great deal of work that we have to do. We are going to try to do that in the shortest possible time frame.

There is little doubt in my mind that we are going to be coming back to some of you to follow-up on points made today, but if there are no other questions--Mr. Pomeroy, are you willing to return to the table for a moment?

MR. POMEROY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Very brief.

MR. POMEROY: Very brief.

CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Dr. Capp, while he is taking a seat, Mr. Rolle is trying to keep a perfect record, he wants to ask a question.

MR. ROLLE: Dr. Capp, I am looking at the agenda for the meeting about the Criminal Justice Action Committee and there is a long list of action

status reports. Are these things completed and in what form are they and could we have access to--you have a lot of them down here.

DR. CAPP: What is the date of that

MR. ROLLE: This is the agenda of the Criminal Justice Sensitivity Committee, Conference Workshop, June 10, '88, Dr. Marshal Smith, Chairperson, and in the lefthand column it says recommended action status reports.

DR. CAPP: This is a summary. These activities have been implemented, but what I can do is go back and make sure, have staff prepare a summary report for you that would be more definitive so you know exactly where we are.

MR. ROLLE: Thank you.

question has been raised about the manner of appointment of the Internal Review Board. You indicated the organizations that were involved in putting together nominees that went ultimately to the County Commission for appointment.

Would you describe that process once more so we can make sure we have it clearly and would you have the site of the ordinance?

1 MR. POMEROY: I can't give you the 2 section but it is in your packet. 3 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: It is in the 4 packet, okay, great. 5 MR. POMEROY: I will tell you what the 6 ordinance says and I will tell you how it works. 7 The ordinance provides that each of 8 these constituent agencies provides three nominees 9 to the Board of County Commissioners each year and 10 that they be appointed -- they appoint one of those for 11 a one-year term. 12 The way it has worked ever since I have been here is the constituent agency will submit one 14 to three names and they will say, "We would like you 15 to appoint this one," and that is the one they appoint. 16 It is a pro forma sort of an appointment, the 17 Commission never expressed any interest in exercising 18 any political control over it and they accept the 19 recommendations of those agencies. 20 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you. 21 MS. LITTLER: How does the League of 22 Women Voters choose the names? 23 CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Would you know 24 what criteria each organization uses? 25 MR. POMEROY: I really don't know.

I think it is as different as the organization. I do know in the Bar Association it is considered a very prestigious appointment and there is competition for it. They seem to highly regard the appointment. I don't know just how they go through the process. CHAIRPERSON MOORHEAD: Thank you very much. We stand adjourned. Again, we appreciate your coming. (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA:

OF

DADE: ss.

COUNTY

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 264, is a true and correct transcript of the Police-Community Relations Forum, at Jury Assembly Room, Federal Courthouse Square, 301 North Miami Avenue, Miami, Florida, on Saturday, June 25, 1988, commencing at 9:10 a.m., and concluding at 5:30 p.m.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my hand this $\frac{18}{100}$ day of July, 1988.

Conrad G. Kohler, Registered Professional Reporter.