

CCR 3 meet

UNITED STATES COMMISSION

ON

CIVIL RIGHTS

REGIONAL FORUM

CHANGING PERSPECTIVES ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Gold Room Biltmore Hotel, Los Angeles, California

Thursday, September 8, 1988

The Regional Forum was convened at 8:10 a.m.,

William B. Allen, Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

WILLIAM B. ALLEN, CHAIRMAN MURRAY FRIEDMAN, VICE CHAIRMAN ESTHER GONZALEZ-ARROYO BUCKLEY SHERWIN T. S. CHAN ROBERT A. DESTRO ERANCIS S. GUESS

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

SUSAN J. PRADO, ACTING STAFF DIRECTOR
WILLIAM GILLERS, SOLICITOR
WILLIAM HOWARD, GENERAL COUNSEL
JOHN EASTMAN, DIRECTOR, CONGRESSIONAL AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS
MARY BALTIMORE
NATHAN BRATTON
JAMES COREY
JAMES CUNNINGHAM
DEBRA GLISPIE
GRACE HERNANDEZ

(Continued)

CCR 3 Meet. 201

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT (Continued):

MARY MATHEWS SYDNEY NOVELL ART PALACIOS THOMAS PILLA LAURA PURSEWELL

REGIONAL DIRECTORS:

JOHN BINKLEY, EASTERN REGIONAL DIRECTOR MELVIN JENKINS, CENTRAL REGIONAL DIRECTOR PHILIP MONTOYA, WESTERN REGIONAL DIRECTOR

INTERPRETERS FOR THE DEAF:

LOU RAINSEL and SHAWN M. CLARK Glad Agency 616 S. Westmoreland Los Angeles, California 90005

**



CONTENTS

<u>Item</u>	Page
Welcome - Commissioner William B. Allen	. 6
Welcome - Grace Davis, Deputy Mayor, Los Angeles, California	. 9
Forum Background - Commissioner Robert A. Destro	. 10
AMERICAN DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS INTO THE 21ST CENTURY: A FEDERAL PERSPECTIVE	
Dr. Kenneth J. Beirne, Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	. 16
Mr. Sam M. Hirabayshi, Regional Commissioner (San Francisco), Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor	. 29
Mr. William P. Butz, Associate Director for Demographic Programs, U.S. Bureau of the Census .	. 40
Mr. Harold W. Ezell, Regional Commissioner (Los Angeles), Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice	. 54
Commissioner Rosalie Gaull Silberman, Vice Chairman, U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	. 64
Discussion	. 76
PUBLIC POLICY EFFECTS OF CHANGING DEMOGRAPHICS: AN OVERVIEW	
Professor Ivan Light, Department of Sociology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California	. 105
Professor Roger Daniels, Department of History. University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio	. 116
Dr. Manuel Justiz, College of Education, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina	. 128
(Continued)	

CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Item</u>		Page
PUBLIC POLICY EFFECTS OF CHANGING DEMOGRAPHICS: AN OVERVIEW (Continued)		
Mr. Antonio Serrata, Chicano Studies Research Center, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles California	•	. 137
Dr. Jonathan Leonard, School of Business, University of California, Berkeley, California.	•	. 145
Professor Bruce Cain, Division of Humanities and Social Sciences, California Technical Institute, Pasadena, California	•	. 154
Discussion	•	. 163
CHANGING DEMOGRAPHICS: THE PERSPECTIVE OF CIVIL RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS		
Ms. Betsy Rosenthal, Western States Civil Rights Coordinator, Anti-Defamation League of the B'nai Brith, Los Angeles, California		. 180
Mr. Bruce Ramer, Chairman, Commission on National Affairs, American Jewish Committee, Los Angeles, California		. 187
Dr. John Kromkowski, National Center for Urban Ethnic Affairs, Washington, D.C	•	. 196
Ms. Yolanda Jodi Eubanks, Assistant to the National President, LULAC, Fort Worth, Texas	•	. 203
Dr. Irvin R. Lai, Asian American Voters Coalition, Potomac, Maryland		. 210
Ms. Joyce Leanse, Western Regional Manager, National Council on Aging, Los Angeles	•	. 217
Mr. Harold Webb, Executive Director, Los Angeles NAACP, Los Angeles, California	•	. 224
(Continued)		

CONTENTS (Continued)

Page Page	Page
CHANGING DEMOGRAPHICS: THE PERSPECTIVE OF CIVIL RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS (Continued)	
Ms. Antonia Hernandez, President and General Counsel, MALDEF, Los Angeles, California	. 233
Discussion	. 240
THE EFFECTS OF CHANGING DEMOGRAPHICS: AN URBAN VIEW	
Ms. Janice Dembo (for the Honorable Arthur Eggleton), Coordinator, Toronto Mayor's Committee on Community and Race Relations, Toronto, Canada.	. 262
•	. 202
Ms. Grace Davis (for the Honorable Thomas Bradley), Deputy Mayor, Los Angeles, California	. 276
Mr. Rich Castro (for the Honorable Federico Pena), Executive Director, Agency of Human Rights and	
Community Relations, Denver, Colorado	
Discussion	. 295
OPEN SESSION	
Statement by Mark Ridley-Thomas, Executive Director, Director, Southern Christian Leadership	
Conference, Los Angeles	. 328
Statement of Dr. Halford H. Fairchild	. 333
Statement of Dr. Lawrence Hogue	. 336
Statement of Dr. Reginald Clark	. 344
Statement of Mr. Harold Webb	. 345
Submitted Statement of Georgia Houston Webb	. 350
Statement of Dr. John T. Long	. 355
Statement of Ms. Gwen Thomas	. 361

** ** *

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Good morning. I am pleased to call this meeting to order, this forum on "Changing Perspectives on Civil Rights," which is the first of three being held by the United States Commission on Civil Rights. I am, as a Californian, particularly delighted that we are opening here in Los Angeles, in my front yard, so to speak, and I am delighted that so many of you are here with us this morning, and we will be looking forward to very many others in the course of the sessions of the next two days.

Many people have wondered what this is about and, indeed, even who we are. So let me begin by introducing us, and I can complete the introductions now as our last member who joined the panel is here.

I should first like to introduce you to the Commissioners. I will say before beginning that that we do have services available for the hearing impaired. I don't know where the interpreter is at the moment -- oh, good -- so if there is anyone present who requires those services, we would very much appreciate your signaling your presence to us, so that the interpreter can make some judgment as to how vigorously to pursue his assignment this morning.

Thank you.

Now, to meet the Commissioners, beginning at my far left is Commissioner Francis Guess from the

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

distinguished State of Tennessee.

(Applause.)

Seated next to Commissioner Guess is Commissioner Esther Buckley from Laredo, Texas.

(Applause.)

Next to Commissioner Buckley is Vice Chairman Murray Friedman from Philadelphia.

(Applause.)

And to my right, Commissioner Robert Destro from Washington, D.C.

(Applause.)

Next to Commissioner Destro, the newest member of the Commission from San Marino, in whose front yard also we are, Commissioner Sherwin T. S. Chan. Welcome aboard, Sherwin.

(Applause.)

And then at the far right, Acting Staff Director for the Commission, Susan Prado.

(Applause.)

I am Bill Allen.

I wanted to say respecting the program itself only two brief words. If you saw yesterday's Los Angeles Times, you would have perhaps noted, as I did, three stories of relevance to what we are about here.

One of the stories, the first to catch my

25

attention, was the headline, "Minorities Now a Majority in State Schools." And in that story you have a lengthy discussion citing primarily the State Superintendent of Education, indicating that there are certain challenges that confront us as a result of this fact.

Following that, my eyes next lighted upon a story which has the headline, "Census Bureau Says More Latinos
Finish Education." The story goes on to say that this fact is somewhat of a surprise to many people, but then it breaks the demographic categories down in such a way as to show that there is a reasonable and expected explanation for it.

The third story is a story which has the headline, "Black Official Settles Bias Claim for \$90,000."

Now, the reason these three headlines struck my attention and I call them to your attention now is because they say a lot about the purpose of these forums. We wish to know where civil rights is headed, and the newspaper headlines give us the indication that there are challenges and changes as well as some persistent phenomena.

And our purpose here, as it will be in Nashville and Washington, D.C. in subsequent regional forums, is to find out what the balance of the changing and the persistent is in dealing with the question of civil rights in the United States. You will hear much more about this from the subcommittee of the Commission, which has designed and

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

organized these forums.

But before we do that I wish to invite the Deputy Mayor of the City of Los Angeles, the Honorable Grace Davis, who is with us this morning, to welcome us on behalf of His Honor, the Mayor of Los Angeles, Thomas Bradley.

Grace.

WELCOME - GRACE DAVIS, DEPUTY MAYOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MS. DAVIS: Thank you very much, Commissioner.

On behalf of Mayor Tom Bradley I'd like to welcome all of the Commissioners to Los Angeles and to thank you for having selected Los Angeles for this forum.

The presenters today that you will hear are going to provide the Commissioners with a balance of perspectives concerning the changing demographic trends and their implications on civil rights and equal opportunity issues.

Over 20 years ago civil rights legislation and Executive Orders struck down long-standing barriers to equal opportunities for minorities and women in such areas as employment, education, and the use of public accommodations.

We agree that the civil rights revolution over the past few decades has transformed race relations and assured greater opportunities for minorities and women, among others. However, we are cognizant of the fact that complaints of discrimination are increasing.

The panels will discuss future civil rights issues and how those issues might best be addressed, as well as continuing civil rights problems and our efforts to resolve them. Also to be discussed will be changes in demographics and what impact those changes will have on society, as well as proactive measures that have been taken

As this information is shared at this forum, it is our hope and expectation that we will all leave with a better understanding of what we are faced with now and in the future, and what the implications are with respect to civil rights issues.

to insure that the civil rights gains are protected.

Again, I want to thank you and on behalf of the Mayor wish you success in your deliberations on both days that you will be here in Los Angeles. I hope you enjoy your stay, and if there is anything we can do to assist you, please call us and I will be back with you this afternoon.

Muchisimas gracias.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you very much, Grace.

It's a delight to be welcomed to Los Angeles so charmingly.

I wish now to introduce to you again Commissioner Robert Destro, whose task it is this morning to tell you what the background is for these forums.

FORUM BACKGROUND - COMMISSIONER ROBERT A. DESTRO COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's a pleasure to be here, and we do thank the good people of Los Angeles for hosting this for us.

This project, the Regional Forums Project on Changing Perspectives on Civil Rights, began as an exchange of memoranda between various Commissioners on how the Commission should look to the future of civil rights. The memoranda were divergent. They took very different approaches to the topic of civil rights and split along what might be considered traditional liberal, conservative, and moderate routes. The differences were basically in two the conceptualization of the problem itself and the basic approach to solving the problem once envisioned.

So what was decided was that we would put together a subcommittee that would try and take an approach to the problem that would take into account a wide range of perspectives on the issue of where civil rights is going in the future.

We kept in mind, as we designed this program and the programs which will follow on the relationships of economics and civil rights policies which is scheduled for Nashville in December, and a later forum which will be probably in the spring of next year in Washington, D.C. devoted to directions in civil rights policy, that the Commission historically has played a role as a bellwether of civil rights policy.

difficulty?"

If one goes back 31 years since its beginnings in 1957, the Commission has historically played the role of looking down the road to see what was needed as America changed. And throughout that period of time we have seen that basically there have been really two issues: vision, "Where are we going and where should we be?" and trust, "How do we get there with the minimum of mistrust and

That translates into trying to get people of divergent principle and good faith to work together in concerted efforts toward a better future for all of us.

So what we have done today is to try and put together a panel that will tell us: Where is the country going with respect to its demographics? How is the majority changing in this country? And what impact will that have on civil rights policy?

You will see, as the panelists come to speak with us this morning, that they range very greatly from government officials to civil rights organizations, to media officials, and as we put together the panels we invited quite a few people who for reasons of their schedule or for other reasons could not make it. We have a number of federal officials in the first panel, federal agency officials.

In the second panel we invited 16 scholars to

CBT/cs

make up a final panel of seven.

In the second panel this afternoon of civil rights groups, we invited 16 and wound up with a panel of eight.

And with respect to western regional mayors, due to schedule difficulties, we invited seven mayors from major cities around the Western Region and we have a panel of three.

Tomorrow we have a media panel, four people on the panel, but due to people's schedule difficulties, of the 17 invited we only have four.

And of the voting rights and political participation panel that will be tomorrow, we invited 10 and wound up with a panel of six.

So as you can see, the Commission staff, especially the Western Regional Office staff, did a yeoman's job of trying to coordinate divergent schedules and did an excellent job of putting together papers, which will eventually be published, and our able reporters will put together a transcript which will eventually be published as well, which can then be submitted to Congress and the President pursuant to the Commission's statutory mandate to advise.

At this point we can't say what the Commission will recommend or if it will recommend anything other than a

close reading of this transcript and of this record. But what we are undertaking today, to repeat, is to start the process of talking about how things are changing and how that should affect civil rights policy in the future.

With that, I will conclude and invite the first panel to join us, the federal agency officials: Dr. Kenneth Beirne, Mr. Sam Hirabayashi, Mr. William Butz, Vice Chairman Rosalie Silberman, and Howard Ezell of the INS. I am reading from my right basically to my left.

As we begin, let me just lay down what we'd like to do by way of ground rules. We'd like to have each speaker take about 15 minutes. If you want to go less, that's certainly fine with us. At about 9:35 we'd like to have some time for questions and answers. So it's about 15 minutes a piece and then questions and answers.

So with no further ado, I'll turn to our first speaker, Dr. Kenneth Beirne, who is the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Before taking his current position, he was a consultant, free lance writer, and financial advisor since January 1987. He has written on homeless, urban policy, and housing issues, as an adjunct scholar for the Heritage Foundation, and in the New York Times. Prior to his private sector activity, Dr. Beirne was the General Deputy Assistant

CBT/cs

/cs 1

Secretary for Policy Development and Research at the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development from 1984 to 1987, and Senior Advisor to the Deputy Under Secretary for Intergovernmental Relations from 1981 to 1984.

While at HUD, Dr. Beirne was involved in the development of major policy initiatives such as homeless policy, three National Urban Policy reports, resident management and home ownership of public housing, a series of demonstrations to improve the quality of life of public housing residents, housing vouchers, housing finance, improvement in the secondary market for mortgages, and economic development.

Dr. Beirne came to Washington from Kentucky in 1981. He taught urban policy and problems, American government, and political philosophy and ethics at Marquette University, Northern Kentucky University, and in adjunct positions at the University of Cincinnati and California State College, Dominguez Hills. He received an A.B. degree from the University of Notre Dame in 1968 and a Ph.D. in Government from the Claremont Graduate School and University Center in 1973.

Dr. Beirne's background includes broad civic and governmental service at the local level in Kentucky and considerable activity in Republican politics and campaigns, including a stint as Executive Director of the Republican

CBT/cs

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Party of Kentucky in 1980 through 1981.

We welcome you, Dr. Beirne, and look forward to your comments.

AMERICAN DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS INTO THE 21ST CENTURY: A FEDERAL PERSPECTIVE: STATEMENTS OF: DR. KENNETH J. BEIRNE, ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT; MR. SAM HIRABAYASHI, REGIONAL COMMISSIONER (SAN FRANCISCO) BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR; MR. WILLIAM P. BUTZ, ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR FOR DEMOGRAPHIC PROGRAMS, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; MR. HOWARD EZELL, REGIONAL COMMISSIONER (LOS ANGELES), IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; AND COMMISSIONER ROSALIE GAULL SILBERMAN, VICE CHAIRMAN, U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DR. BEIRNE: Thank you very much, Mr. Commissioner and Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission.

I am very pleased to be able to be here and testify for the Department of Housing and Urban Development today. I discovered when we received the original invitation that I don't know how your demographers are, but

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our demographers tend to be an extraordinary cautious lot, and the idea of projecting anything into the 21st century or so seemed to overpower them. So what you will find is that the written testimony that I submitted earlier tends to concentrate on two things. One is some of the current trends as far as information about demographics, especially the ones that are available to us through our usual information sources. Secondly, some discussion of some of the information-gathering problems.

One of the problems about talking about demographic trends into the next century or even over the next 15 or 20 years is that frequently enough we are not exactly clear what's going on now, so it can be pretty tough to try to figure out what's going to be going on 20 years So I wanted to talk a little bit about that and from now. what we're doing.

What I would like to do is submit the written testimony for the record and make a few comments based on that testimony, if that is all right with the Commission.

That is perfectly COMMISSIONER DESTRO: acceptable.

In the Housing Act of 1949, Congress DR. BEIRNE: established a goal of a decent home and a suitable living environment for every American family. And as part of that, and as part of HUD's interest and concern for bringing about

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that goal, we believe that the enforcement of fair housing policies, which are designed to eliminate barriers that deny access to decent housing for some Americans, is critical in reaching the goal of decent housing.

However, there are also other barriers to achieving decent housing, decent homes, for all Americans, including Americans in various minority categories, including physical adequacy or inadequacy of housing units, crowded living conditions, and housing affordability. are problems which are faced by many Americans, especially lower-income households, many of which are minority These are addressed -- and we have attempted to households. address these at HUD -- through a series of policies and programs that should also be considered in assessing the implications for civil rights.

One of the concerns that we have, as you may have seen in some of the articles that have come out recently in relationship to demographics, is that there are some demographic characteristics of various parts of the population, such as blacks, Hispanics, and so on -characteristics such as the age of the population, the frequency of single-parent households headed predominantly by females, and so on -- which have to be taken into account when you're talking about disparities in housing conditions of different portions of the population. And one of the

BT/CS

1.2

things that we are concerned about is to separate those out, but also to look toward general policies which serve the entire population that has a low-income problem in such a way that civil rights disparities can be overcome.

The demographic statistics that we use mostly are from the American Housing Survey, which we contract with the Census Bureau to perform. I don't know how familiar anyone may be with the American Housing Survey, but roughly speaking we survey 45 to 48 major metropolitan areas over a three-year cycle, and those results are then cumulatively available over time. They provide us with both the basis for determining rent levels for a number of the housing programs, but they also provide us with a longitudinal study of the housing stock and housing quality over many years, which enables us to keep some focus on the trends. It also provides us with information in the interim periods between the decennial censuses.

What is clear from the statistics is that the physical condition of the housing stock has consistently improved over the years, and there has also been a continuing decline in the number of households living in crowded units. Unfortunately, the success in dealing with such problems as the physical characteristics of housing and overcrowding has pointed out what is the predominant main problem that we have attempted to focus on in dealing with

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

housing, and that is affordability. And this continues to be a significant problem. I probably don't have to say much about that in California. It might be a harder argument to make in Cincinnati, but in California it's not a particularly difficult argument.

The increase in the total incidence of selected housing-related problems between '75 and '83 was due mainly to the occupants of rental housing being burdened with housing costs in excess of 30 percent of their incomes. Now, we have noted that with the 1985 American Housing Survey information that is just becoming available now, the kind of blip up in housing cost burden that occurred through the 1983 American Housing Survey has turned its direction.

However, unlike some of the critics who have tended to project housing results based on the movement from 1980 to 1983, we have a reluctance to project a new pattern based on the movement from 1983 to 1985, so we'd like to wait to see how these things work out. Roughly speaking, two years rarely makes a trend in anyone's situation. we did note that there has been a change in the direction toward somewhat more affordability. I would point out, for example, that across the nation we note now about an 8 percent vacancy rate in apartments renting for about \$250 a month, which indicates a significant supply of housing at that level.

CBT/cs

The changing demographics of households show increases in the number of lower-income households for various segments of the population. Between 1975 and '83 the total number of Hispanic-headed households increased 49 percent, with the number of very-low-income Hispanic households increasing by about 61 percent. The number of Hispanic very-low-income renters increased 75 percent.

There was also a 22 percent increase in the total number of black-headed households in the U.S. between '75 and '83, much of which occurred among households in the very-low-income category.

I would like to point out there is a grammatical error in the written testimony I submitted on page 2. Where it talks about the 22 percent increase in the total number of black-headed households in the United States between 1975 and '83, that should go on to say, "which was matched by the percentage increase among households in the very-low-income category." It's not the case that all of the increase in black households occurred in the very-low-income category, as you can tell from looking at the charts in the back. The shorthand got away with us in that one.

In any case, the number of black low-income renters did increase by 21 percent, which is roughly the same percentage as the increase in the number of black households.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These housing conditions of lower-income renters and the disparities in the availability of housing for the very-low-income renters are of special concern to HUD, and our subsidy programs and our assistance programs are directed specifically toward this segment of the population. We have endeavored over the last six to seven years to get our housing assistance programs targeted to families with incomes at 50 percent of median or below for their areas, with the idea that the population below 50 percent of median, which suffers disproportionately from rent overburdening and other conditions of inadequacy, needs to be most directly aided, and that if you're going to have only a limited amount of resources you should devote it to those who are in fact most in need.

One of the main characteristics that we are concerned about as a result of these affordability problems is geographic mobility. The geographic mobility among the poor is impeded by difficulty in affording housing costs. The conditions that contribute to this problem in mobility pose a major challenge to federal, state, and local governments in creasing opportunities for employment and social mobility and job training for especially the highdensity concentrations of largely unskilled poor Eighty-three percent of the minority populations. households below the poverty level live in metropolitan

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

areas, and 78 percent of those minority households live in central cities.

Now, one of our responses to this, and one that we would advocate looking at even more intensely to this challenge, is the Housing Voucher Program, which is related to the existing Section VIII Certificate Program.

The housing vouchers provide a straightforward and effective method of providing housing assistance which can also alleviate the impediments to mobility. other federally assisted or public housing programs, which in their own way have contributed to high-density poverty areas by concentrating housing available to the poor in specific areas, which may or may not be areas where there are jobs -- in fact, the tendency has been to no sooner locate the poor in an area using low-income housing projects than business seems to for some reason head in the opposite direction, and you end up with problems.

If you have high concentrations of minorities in central cities, and as we have noted both for technological and other reasons businesses are locating more and more in suburban areas, then you end up with problems of reverse commuting, which no one ever provides for, since we generally only provide for people to get from the suburbs into the city; we never provide for anybody to go in the opposite direction. You end up with problems of reverse

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commuting and simply an unavailability of many of the types of jobs that would characteristically have been available to the poor in central cities in earlier times.

So one of the concerns we have is that vouchers, which we have pressed and pressed to increase, as well as Section VIII certificates, increase the ability of lowincome households to move throughout market areas, across jurisdictions of public housing authorities, and potentially to find jobs and educational opportunities in locations that would not otherwise be available to them.

Now, we recognize -- and I'll address this a little bit in terms of what we are looking to do -- that vouchers provide somewhat different problems for dealing with housing discrimination than public housing projects or even the old Section VIII construction projects have presented, but we might note that the efforts to integrate housing through the use of either public housing projects or Section VIII projects was not noticeably successful in the Needless to say, it has now created an late '70s. extraordinarily unfortunate situation in Yonkers, and our tendency is to believe that by providing the poor and the minority poor with market opportunities using vouchers, we may be able to alleviate some of the pressures that occur by concentrating on project-based efforts.

So the voucher holders have the opportunity to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

use their vouchers within any local housing agency jurisdiction, not just the one that they start from, and they can enhance their geographical, their social, and their economic mobility by using them.

We note also that the home ownership rates for black and Hispanic heads of households are considerably lower than the rates for the general population, and the data suggest that it is the lack of income that is the primary cause of this. The conditions that contribute to lower income of minorities are of concern to HUD but are not factors directly affected by housing policies, so at that point we are in a situation where we can see the problem as well as everybody else, but HUD does not specifically have programs geared to deal with many of the income problems.

What I'd like to do is point in closing to a few of the efforts we have undertaken to deal with some of these I have pointed to the use of vouchers as our way of increasing affordability and attempting to increase affordability especially for the lowest-income poor.

We have noted, however, that there has been a consistent pattern in the number of complaints of housing discrimination over the last decade. The number of new complaints has been consistently about 4,000 annually. Now, it doesn't take much imagination to realize that 4,000 complaints of housing discrimination annually is kind of

woefully short of what the likely incidence of discrimination is in the country.

Based on the 1979 Housing Market Practices
Survey, we have estimated in the past that the incidences of discrimination probably range around 2 million a year, but we are not getting much in the way of discrimination complaints. We are taking a variety of measures to deal with that. During this Administration we have concentrated heavily on attempting to get state and local agencies both certified and active in pursuing housing discrimination and attempting to alleviate it.

The Secretary has pressed and we have now gotten passed a new Fair Housing Amendments Act, which among other things provides for much heavier penalties. One of the earlier problems was that there really wasn't much advantage to a family that was discriminated against in pursuing the procedural parts of a discrimination complaint for the very simple reason that, even if they won, the punishment rarely was worth visiting upon the miscreant, whoever it was.

Under the new law, there are much stronger penalties, and there's a much easier ability to use the court system when necessary in order to establish those penalties.

One of the things that Secretary Pierce was most concerned about was primarily increasing this punishment as a deterrent to people engaging in discrimination.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Obviously, you can't eliminate discrimination by filing a complaint against everyone who discriminates. There would be no way to do it. But you conceivably can have a strong deterrent effect if there is a strong punishment available against those who discriminate. And one of the hopes is that over the next period of 10 or 20 years, as we explore the uses of the Fair Housing Amendments, we will be able in fact to create a situation where discrimination is avoided not just because punishment is feared but hopefully people will learn also that it is a completely unsatisfactory human activity.

Finally, I would just point out, from the standpoint of gaining information, my office specifically this year has begun -- we should be contracting, in fact, this week to perform a Housing Discrimination Study which is a follow-up to the Housing Market Practices Survey which was done now over 10 years ago, and will concentrate on a national survey of incidents of housing discrimination and discrimination practices against blacks and Hispanics.

I might point out we have had a little concern raised about the fact that we are not doing possible discrimination against Asians and other smaller minority One of the reasons is quite simply that the combination of our budgetary resources and statistical procedures makes it very difficult to get to very small

⁷60 01 01

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

groups. In fact, I note in my testimony the American Housing Survey, which is a very large sample, makes it difficult to deal with very small groups. But we are hoping that the Housing Discrimination Survey will give us solid information on the level of discrimination and on the characteristics of discrimination across the country which will be useful for informing future policy.

Thank you, Dr. Beirne. COMMISSIONER DESTRO: We'd certainly appreciate it, when you do let the contract, if you could give us whatever details you have on the nature of the contract.

Our next speaker is Mr. Sam Hirabayashi, who is the Regional Commissioner of the Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

He was appointed Regional Commissioner of the San Francisco Regional Office of the Bureau of Labor Statistics in October 1983. His previous work experience includes a position as State Labor Market Analyst for the State of Minnesota, and from 1961-1983 he served as an economist with the U.S. Department of Labor's Employment and Training Administration in Washington. His education includes a degree in business administration from the University of Minnesota.

Mr. Hirabayashi, we are very pleased to have you this morning and look forward to your testimony here.

CBT/cs

2

3

1

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HIRABAYASHI: Commissioner Destro, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission. I am real happy to be here on behalf of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. information I am going to provide here is already in the written statement you have before you. I will be using part of that, but if you're going to follow me you'll find out I'm going to skip because I'm going to depart from what I have in the written presentation.

The United States in the 20th century has been characterized by rapid technological change, an aging population, and immigration from all corners of the world. Now, accompanying these dramatic shifts have been major adjustments in the structure of our economy, unprecedented development in the role and contribution of women in particular, and the transformation in structure and pattern of family life.

Now, I'm going to go on into some of the statistics which will dwell to some extent on some of these changes that have occurred. In terms of looking ahead to the year 2000, we'll try to see what kinds of changes we will be expecting, what kinds of opportunities will be available, particularly for our grandchildren in terms of employment.

In terms of demographers and statisticians, we have no crystal ball. There may be others at the table here

who may be able to do much better projections than we do, but based on the information we have, we do have some tools which will at least give us some idea in terms of what has happened in the past, what we see in the present, and based on that what we would anticipate for the future.

We use a large number of data sources on the labor force, in terms of the economy as well as by industry and occupation and employment. Now, using these systems, along with economic models, regression analyses, quantitative techniques, we are able to analyze past trends, review the present, and make some projections for future years.

As we move into the next century, we find that much has changed from the past. The labor force will reach 139 million, an increase of nearly 21 million or 18 percent over the 1986-2000 period.

I find it a little difficult to say "year 2000."
When I say "1990," it's no problem, but when I say "2000" it
sounds like I'm missing something, so I always have to say
"year 2000."

The younger and older workers will become a smaller part of the labor force. The share of workers and the new entrant group -- that is, years 16 through 24 -- will decline, while workers in the prime years of working life, which includes a large baby boom generation -- and I

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see a good many represented here -- will increase. though the population 55 and over will increase, there will be a smaller share of the labor force because of declining labor force participation rates.

It is rather interesting because 55 and over are not working as long as they used to, and there could be a number of reasons. I suppose the possibility of better annuities or better retirement programs may be encouraging people to retire earlier. So therefore, although we do have a larger 55-and-over population, they are tending to retire or they are not participating in the labor force as in previous years. As a result, the median age of the labor force will rise to 38.9 years, which is about 3.6 years above the 1986 level.

Younger workers have dropped from roughly 20 percent to 16 percent -- and you've heard of the shortage among the younger workers. And among the older workers, because of the earlier retirement, they will be decreasing from around 13 percent to 11 percent of all workers.

Now, despite the lower growth rate overall, the proportion of the labor force that is made up of minorities and females is expected to expand. Now, among the minorities, the black labor force is expected to grow by nearly 29 percent or 3.7 million workers. Among the Hispanic work force, we expect an increase of about 6

million or more than 74 percent. And among the Asians and other racial groups, which include American Indians, Alaskan Natives, Asians, and Pacific Islanders, it is projected to grow by nearly 2.4 million or 70 percent.

Now, this group that I just mentioned here will make up 12 million, or approximately 58 percent of the future labor force growth, and including the growth of some 7.7 million nonminority women, it will constitute 92 percent of the total labor force growth in the future.

Now, in terms of quality of work life, the following information is based on a 1980 study, and we found that the work life expectancy of blacks and others was nearly seven years shorter than for whites. That is to say that, among the blacks, the work life expectancy -- that is the number of years you expect them to work -- is roughly 32.9 years versus 39.8 years for the white population.

Now, also, minority men spend an average of just 50 percent of their lives in labor force activity, that is, involved in the labor force, whereas 56 percent were actively involved for whites.

Now, this difference is all the more striking because whites tend to live longer, allowing them greater potential for both a longer work life and post-retirement leisure. Far more blacks and others are also likely to die before retirement.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this is a figure that I had some trouble wrestling with, but the data we have show that 31.7 percent of the blacks die during their working lives versus 26.7 percent for whites. And the question is: Why do you have a higher proportion die? And my guess, just looking at it -and I didn't do any real hard-nosed analysis of this information, but I would guess it has to do with maybe hazardous occupations, conceivably, maybe the adequacy of health benefits, availability of such benefits for them. But the facts show that 31 percent of them would die during their active work life versus 26.7 percent for whites.

Now, stated differently, although minority men could expect to spend fewer years in the labor force, there are additional periods of activity, that is, being out of the labor force, that more likely occurred during the prime working age. Now, in contrast to the men, this is rather interesting, because the women who die while actively in the labor force is only 10.4 percent. This is compared to 27.4 percent for all men.

I looked at the population of 55 and over in terms of the year 2000 because this has to have some impact on it. Sure enough, for the population 55 and over, women will make up roughly 31.6 million, and men will be 25.2 million, so you can see there will be some 6 million or more women 55 and over. And as you see the population of women,

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

among the older group particularly, you see them more, and the reason for it is in the statistics as we have it for the demographers.

Therefore, the work force of the future is going to be more mature. Although still predominantly white, it will become much more multicultural, and even though men will still have a slight majority, women will account for nearly half of all workers.

I had a little comment in here. I can't see the group very well sitting and looking this way, but I would venture to guess that looking over the population that that represents the work force of the future. The age group would be roughly 38.9. I think you will all agree with me on that.

(Laughter.)

I'll include the people here at the table, too. Like the labor force, employment will continue to grow, and although more slowly than in the recent past, we expect the increase to be by some 21 million. And if you followed me very carefully here, I said earlier I expected the labor force to grow by 21 million, and I'm saying employment will grow by 21 million, and for statisticians that would indicate that unemployment will be zero sum, something to that effect.

But anyway, the long-term shift from goods-

25

24

CBT/cs

2 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

producing to service-producing industries will continue. We've heard that many times, and we've been preaching that for quite some time now, that the service industry is the area that's growing. I won't get into the controversy as to whether they're good-paying jobs or not, but the facts are that the service industry is the growth area. As a matter of fact, nearly four out of five jobs will be in industries that provide services.

At the same time, employment will decline in some of the goods producing, commonly referred to as industries or manufacturing type jobs, except for the construction industry, and we expect an increase of about 18 percent through the year 2000. While manufacturing employment will drop by about 4 percent overall, not all manufacturing industries will decline. Increases are expected in electronic, computing equipment, medical instruments, supplies, miscellaneous plastic products, as well as commercial printing. Within the service sector, half the new jobs are expected in such service industries as business services, advertising, accounting, computer support, and health services.

Now, you notice that health services comes up quite often. Obviously, the population is aging. They do require additional health services, and that's one of the reasons -- it is driven really by the demographics, and data

CBT/cs

would indicate that that area would be a growth industry, particularly in the health area.

expand by 27 percent, with half the increase in retail trade, eating and drinking places. Now, why would eating and drinking places grow? My only guess would be that with so many more women in the work force, they are no more likely wanting to go home to cook than anybody else, and my guess would be that they would be stopping off to eat out rather than going home and cooking. But whether that's a fact or not, the eating and drinking places is another big growth industry.

And speaking of working women, you will notice that as a result of more women working, there is much more attention given to day care, child care. In terms of the working conditions, we have more flexible hours. We have alternate work schedules, as well as the new approaches or what we call a more liberal approach for taking leave, maternity leave in particular.

One of the things I want to drive home here is that employment in occupations that require the most education are expected to increase as a proportion of total employment. At the same time, employment in those industries which require less education would decline.

Now, how will these employment trends affect

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

minority groups and women? Women account for roughly 44 percent of the total employment in 1986, but they are more highly represented in the faster growing occupations, except for the areas of natural scientists and computer specialists. The proportion of women employed as engineers, architects, and surveyors is very low. However -- and this is, I guess, not too unexpected -- they are very highly represented in the fast-growing health industries, particularly in nursing. The technical service teaching -and you are going to see a mini baby boom coming up, and you will find that a number of the grade schools are now beginning to experience growth from the mini baby boom.

And among the administrative support occupations, which include clerical, roughly 80 percent of the workers in those areas are made up of women.

Both blacks and Hispanics account for a greater proportion of persons employed in occupations that are projected to decline, will grow more slowly than other fields. Now, these declining and slower-growing occupations are also those that generally require the least amount of For example, while blacks comprise 10 percent of all workers, they represent only 6 percent of the managerial and professional workers, but 17 percent of the helpers and laborers. Hispanics represent 7 percent, but they have 4 percent in the managerial and professional type occupations,

CBT/cs

and 11 percent in the helpers and laborers.

A rather interesting statistic has to do with individuals who changed occupations between January '86 and '87. The reasons given by 12 percent of the white workers were that they being displaced from the previous job. That is to say that 12 percent of the white workers gave reasons that they were displaced from the previous job due to layoffs, plant closings, slack work, or seasonal factors. Now, in comparison, for black and Hispanic workers, the figure was almost 20 percent.

This is a rather critical figure because this may be viewed as a measure of job vulnerability. That is to say that in general the jobs that Hispanics and blacks occupy have a higher risk of being eliminated, and that was borne out by this figure of 12 percent for the white workers versus 20 for the blacks and Hispanics.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Excuse me, Mr. Hirabayashi, if you wouldn't mind wrapping up a bit, then we can save some time for questions, we would appreciate it.

MR. HIRABAYASHI: I also have copies available for others, but you'll find that this also holds true that among the people with higher education, unemployment is lower. People with lower education, unemployment is higher. And it carries on all the way through to the earnings, that among the people with higher education they earn more;

CBT/cs

people with lower education earn less.

In summary, the job opportunities for individuals or groups of workers are determined by the multitude of factors relating to job market and characteristics of workers. However, among the many factors, educational attainment is very important if minority workers are to take advantage of job opportunities associated with future job growth.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker is Mr. William Butz who is the Associate Director for Demographic Programs at the United States Bureau of the Census.

Mr. Butz was appointed the Associate Director for Demographic Programs in January 1983. His responsibilities include the Current Population Survey, the Survey of Income and Program Participation, and national household surveys on health, crime, and other topics. Subject-matter aspects of the Decennial Census and the Census Bureau's international programs are also under his direction.

Prior to joining the Census Bureau, he had been with the Rand Corporation since 1970, serving as Senior Economist and Deputy Director of Labor and Population Studies. His numerous publications and articles include "Demographic Challenges in America's Future" and "Baby Boom and Bust: A New View."

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He has served as a consultant to the United States Agency for International Development, the Population Council, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the Batelle Memorial Institute, the World Bank, the Pan American Health Organization, International Development Research Center, and other organizations.

We welcome you, Mr. Butz, and look forward to your testimony.

> Thank you, Commissioner Destro. MR. BUTZ:

Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, it's a real honor for the Census Bureau to be represented here today, and a particular pleasure for me to come back to Los Angeles and to meet with you here.

The Census Bureau is charged with telling the American people about ourselves. We do this in a wide variety of areas from fertility and child support to crime and housing, from income and poverty to family structure and single-parent families, and in a wide variety of areas. know that you have used statistics from the Census Bureau before, and it's a pleasure to be with you today to try to shed light on some particular aspects that are of interest to you currently.

Someone has said that trying to use information from the Census Bureau is like trying to get a drink of water from a fire hydrant, and I'm afraid I'm not going to

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reduce the size of the hydrant too much this morning because I have a number of slides to show you, but I'm going to move through them very briskly. For each one I'm going to give you the major point on the slide, and at the end I will summarize.

If we could get these lights down now, we will proceed.

I'm going to concentrate on information on the black and Hispanic populations to try to get this down to a drink of water rather than a fire hydrant. something to say about Asian and Pacific Islander, and Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut populations as well. As you know, the Census Bureau has a great deal of information on those populations, as well as ancestry information on several hundred other groups, Eastern European and other groups as well.

The information that I will present will be from the 1980 census and earlier census, from the Current Population Survey, from the Survey of Income and Program Participation, and from projections done by the Census Bureau.

(Slide.)

Here we see from the 1980 census the size of the populations of black; American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut; Asian and Pacific Islander; and Hispanic origin populations.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the '70s, each of these groups grew faster than the total population. However, it's interesting to note that the rate of growth of the black population, although faster than the rate of growth of the whole population, was slower than that rate of growth in the '60s and in the '50s. And indeed, during the 1980s, the rate of growth of the black population in the U.S. has continued to slow down, although it's still higher than the rate of growth of the population as a whole.

For the other groups shown there, there were tremendous growth rates during the '80s and during the '70s, due partially to natural increase, which is the excess of births over deaths, but also due in some cases, particular Asian and Pacific Islanders, to immigration.

(Slide.)

Documented immigration -- this does not include undocumented immigration into the U.S. -- by area of origin. Here we see the changing composition of this immigration, from 1951 to '60 at the top, to the decade of the 1970s at And what we can observe is that the proportion the bottom. of immigration that came from Mexico and Latin America and the proportion that came from Asia has been increasing rapidly over time, while the proportion of "Other," which is principally Europe, has been decreasing as a proportion and in absolute numbers over time.

(Slide.)

Looking at the percent of the population 65 years and over, here we see it by racial and ethnic groups. Note as we talk about the Hispanic population there at the bottom as we go on, Hispanic persons as defined in the census and Census Bureau can be of any race -- white, black, or any other race.

Basically during the next 20 years the rate of growth of the population 65 and over is not going to be as high as it was in the previous 20 years, which flies in the face of what many people think. We've had a very high rate of growth of that population. However, beginning about 20 years from now, and then for the next 20 or 30 years, the rate of growth of the population 65 years and over will be high indeed. And particularly if one looks at it as a proportion of the total population or as a ratio to the working population from about 20-20 to 20-40, that ratio is going to increase very rapidly -- much more rapidly than ever before in our history.

(Slide.)

Let's now look at the black population. Here is the rate of growth of the black population from 1980 to 1987, and our projections from 1987 to the year 2000. Echoing what my colleague on the left said, except in a little different form, someone said the only people who try

.11

to say something about the future are either fools or economists. I'm an economist, but I still am not going to say too much about the future. I'm also from the Census Bureau.

Here we see projected increases continuing, and in fact this will be the case for all of the minority populations I talked about between this year and the year 2000. All of them are projected to grow at a faster rate than the non-Hispanic white population.

(Slide.)

Now I'm going to be talking about some blackwhite comparisons, and about some comparisons of different
subgroups in the black population. Let's begin with
education. I'm going to talk particularly about education,
family structure -- single-parent families -- and attachment
to the work force or employment, and I'm going to argue that
those three characteristics of people go a long way toward
explaining some things that are of interest, particularly
differences in income and in poverty rates between different
ethnic groups. So first for the blacks and then for the
Hispanics I'm going to be looking at educational attainment,
at labor force attachment, and at family structure.

Here for blacks we see increases between 1980 and 1987 in the percent of high school graduates -- primarily in the percent of high school graduates, no change; no

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

significant change in the percent of college graduates. can see on the right that the proportions for whites have stayed basically the same. This continues a trend that took place in the '70s in which the black population was gaining significantly on the total and on the white population in terms of educational attainment.

(Slide.)

Now let's look at one indicator of family structure, which is families maintained by women. The blue charts at the top are blacks; the green charts at the bottom are whites. We see, first of all, that in each year, basically since 1974 when we began measuring it, the proportion of families maintained by women in the black population has been significantly higher than that for whites.

We also see that between 1980 and 1987 these proportions increased for both the black and the white population until now about 42 percent of black families are maintained by women, no husband present.

(Slide.)

Now, labor force, attachment to jobs. The green are white; the blue lines are again black. We see what the Commissioner was pointing out earlier, that labor force participation rates of blacks had been declining secularly over time. Labor force participation rates of whites have

⁻60 01 01

CBT/cs

been increasing. Something that may not be generally known is that labor force participation rates of black women are higher than those of white women, have been over time, and are continuing to increase.

(Slide.)

Unemployment rates -- another measure of labor force attachment. We see over time that black unemployment rates are higher than white rates. They tend to move together over business cycles. They have both declined since the pit of the recession in '82-'83. Before that, since 1978, they had been increasing for both racial groups.

(Slide.)

Now, let's look at median family income, and I agoing to do it here again by several of those categories.

First, let's look at it for black families by whether the family is a married couple family or a female householder family, no husband present. Note that whatever date we look at, the incomes are higher for married couple families.

There are two principal reasons for this. One is that there are two potential earners, of course, in a married couple family. The other reason is that women in female householder families tend to have smaller earnings and less labor force attachment, less education. So for those two reasons we see those differences.

But now look at the changes between 1969 and

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For married couple families, black median family 1987. income has increased significantly between '69 and '79 and significantly between '79 and '87. However, for female householder families, this has not occurred. In fact, there has been a decline. These, by the way, are adjusted for They do not include non-cash transfers, which are an important source of income, particularly at the lower part of the income scale, and they do not account for differential tax bite which would also affect the results.

So we saw earlier that black families are characterized by a higher proportion of female-headed We see here that those families in the black population as a whole tend to have lower earnings, and not to be progressing in terms of earnings.

(Slide.)

Now, in terms of labor force attachment, let's do a comparison of year-round full-time black workers, female and male. This now corrects for hours of work, weeks of work, attachment to the labor force. I'm just going to let you look at that and notice that females make less than males, but you all who are female are gaining on us. true whether you're black, white, or Hispanic.

(SLide.)

Average monthly income by education. Blacks, in blue, make less than whites at every level of education,

except the highest one shown there, which is the master's degree, and there those numbers are not statistically significant.

In general, as one moves up the education ladder, the difference between black income and white income narrows at higher levels of education. Blacks tend to have lower levels of education, but they are gaining, and anyone at lower levels of education tends to make less than people at higher education levels. And we can see that here for these black-white differences.

(Slide.)

Now looking at median family income simply for all black families compared to all white families, we see whites higher than blacks. They tend to go together over business cycles. This is also true if you carry it back into previous cycles in the '70s, '60s, '50s, et cetera. And I guess that summarizes that. There has been general growth in family income again since the pit of the recession in 1982 in every year.

(Slide.)

Median net worth of households. Income is one measure of well-being. The value of assets or net worth is another. Assets, of course, are partially a result of income over past years. We saw that whites earn more than blacks. We see here that the ratio of wealth of whites and

F60 01 01

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 |

2425

it.

blacks is even more pronounced. That is, blacks are much worse off relative to whites in terms of wealth than they are in terms of earnings or income.

(Slide.)

And, finally, looking at the black measures, here is the poverty rate. Much of this is very new information, in fact coming out of a survey done just last March. These results were just announced last week, showing that in the last year the poverty rate of blacks increased by 2 percentage points, while for whites it decreased by one-half of a percentage point. However, once again, since 1982, both black and white rates have decreased significantly.

Let me turn now to the Hispanic population.

(Slide.)

Here we see 1980, 1987, 1990, and 2000, growth reflected in the earlier period's projections of growth reflected in the latter periods. We project this growth to be higher than that of the non-Hispanic population, and to result both from a higher rate of natural increase, births minus deaths, and of course from a higher rate of immigration.

(Slide.)

That's what this slide shows. Let's skip over

(Slide.)

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Hispanics by type of origin. In 1987, almost two-thirds of the Hispanics in the U.S. identified themselves as Mexican, and you can see the other proportions We'll see as we go in a few other slides very briefly that these groups differ radically from each other in terms of education, labor force attachment, income, poverty, and other measures of interest.

(Slide.)

Distribution of the Hispanic population. We sit here in California with 33 percent of the total U.S. population that identify themselves as Hispanic; Texas, 22 percent. There are particular pockets around the country in which particular kinds of people of Hispanic origin have tended to settle, clearly Cubans in Florida, but perhaps less well-known, Dominicans in New York City and other kinds of patterns as well.

(Slide.)

Let's look at education now for the Hispanic Here we look at 1982 and 1987 comparisons, and population. we see that for most groups there was an improvement between 1982 and 1987, not true for Central and South American. That isn't because people were losing education over time. It's because we had a large influx of people between '80 and '87 from Central and South America who had a lesser amount of education at the high school level than the Central and

CBT/cs

South Americans who were already here.

Mexicans and Puerto Ricans tend to have the smallest proportion of high school graduates. Cubans are a group, that we'll see are outliers in this as well as other measures, tend to have more education.

(Slide.)

Families maintained by women. Hispanic families have a higher proportion maintained by women than non-Hispanic families but not as high a proportion as black families. And we begin to see here some of the radical differences among some of the Hispanic groups. Compare, for example, the Puerto Ricans and the Cubans — the Cubans with a low proportion of families maintained by women, Puerto Ricans with a high proportion.

(Slide.)

Unemployment rates. Hispanics higher than non-Hispanics, not as high as the black population, again declining since the recession in '82.

(SLide.)

Median family income, 1987. Lower for Hispanics than for non-Hispanics; not as low on average as black median family income. Again we see the differences there in the different country origin groups. Median earnings of year-round, full-time Hispanic workers, females and males, tells pretty much the story that we saw earlier with blacks.

⁻60 01 01

CBT/cs

Females don't make as much as males, but they are gaining.

(Slide.)

And now, over time, '81, '82, and '87, Hispanics and non-Hispanics -- this is an interesting chart. For non-Hispanics we see growth between '81 and '82 on the one hand and '87 on the other; for Hispanics we see less percentage growth, less absolute growth. And if '81 is used as an index base, no growth in fact in real median family income.

And I remind you again, this does not include non-cash transfers, which are very significant, and it does not account for the differential effect of taxes on different groups.

(Slide.)

Poverty rates of persons, Hispanics and non-Hispanics. Hispanics have a higher proportion in poverty, and there you see the differences between '82, the bottom of the recession, and '87. The poverty rate has decreased for both groups.

And that's it.

If you'll give me another minute, I'll summarize. Would you like me to do that?

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Do that, please.

MR. BUTZ: In summary, blacks, Asians, and Pacific Islanders, American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, and Hispanic populations are experiencing rapid growth. Their

CBT/cs

growth will likely remain faster than the non-Hispanic white population in the next 20 years. Immigration plays a significant role in the growth of the Asian and Pacific Islander and Hispanic populations.

The elderly population is becoming an increasingly larger proportion of the total population.

However, the elderly population is not nearly as great a proportion for the black, American Indian, Eskimo and Aleut, Asian and Pacific Islander, and Hispanic populations as it is for the white population, the white non-Hispanic.

The Hispanic population in the U.S. presents a varied socioeconomic portrait, with some groups faring better than others. Although there are significant differences in the characteristics of blacks and whites and of Hispanics and non-Hispanics, some differences are not great, and some differences have been closing significantly.

The same can be said about differences between men and women. There are significant differences but some of them have been closing.

An important part of the differences among these groups in income and poverty is due to differences in three characteristics: first, family type, characterized simply here by the proportion of female householder families; second, education level; and third, employment.

And to the extent that social and ethnic

differences in these characteristics narrow over time, so will differences in income and poverty.

Differences in wealth between blacks and whites are considerably greater than differences in income.

And, finally, by the year 2000, blacks and Hispanics will probably each constitute a higher proportion of the U.S. population than they do now.

Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker is Mr. Harold Ezell who is the Western Regional Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service. Mr. Ezell was appointed to this position in March 1983 at the Western Regional Headquarters in San Pedro, California. The region encompasses Arizona, California, Nevada, Hawaii, and Guam.

Prior to his appointment, Mr. Ezell was president and founder of the Ezell Group, a management consultant/capital formation firm for small businesses. He was a vice president and board member of Wienerschnitzel International from 1969 and 1980, which owned and operated 400 franchise restaurants in 11 western states.

We welcome you and look forward to your testimony.

MR. EZELL: Thank you.

Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, I'd

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like to talk just a little bit about the past and the present and the future immigration trends here in our country.

With the exception of the slave trade years, immigration to the United States until the 1960s was basically Caucasian, with mostly a Christian background. In the very early years, immigrants were overwhelmingly Caucasian with an Anglo-Saxon heritage. For example, the 1790 census showed that more than 75 percent of the population was British origin. Germans accounted for 8 percent, and smaller percentages had origins in The Netherlands, France, Sweden, and Spain.

Between 1820 and 1880, 10 million immigrants came to the United States, the majority coming from northern and western Europe. The Irish streamed into the country between 1845 and 1847. British, French, Norwegians, and Swedes also entered in large numbers during this time.

 Between 1881 and 1920, 23.5 million aliens were admitted to permanent residency. Nearly 90 percent came from Europe.

Although from time to time differences arose among these groups due to nationalistic, religious, or cultural reasons, they have after several generations melted into the predominantly Caucasian and Christian society that exists in the United States today.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1965 literally opened America's door, for the first time, to all the world. The legislation gave each country in the world a 20,000 annual quota, except for principalities and colonies which have an annual 600 quota, and put a heavy emphasis on family reunification as the major determining factor in filling quotas. It set an annual 270,000 maximum on the quota for immigration.

The ensuing 20 years saw a most radical change in the ethnic make-up of immigration to the United States.

Asians, for example, immigrated by just a few thousand in '65, but skyrocketed to almost half of the immigrants admitted by 1985. An estimated 3 million Asians have been admitted since 1965, including 250,000 last year.

Latins from Central and South America also took advantage of this in swelling numbers.

The refugee and the asylee situation followed a similar pattern during this time frame. From 1946 to 1950, Europeans composed 99.4 percent of the refugees and the asylees admitted. An almost complete reversal was seen in 1981 to 1986, with 75.2 percent of the refugees and the asylees coming from Asia.

One striking example is the aftermath of the Vietnam War, which resulted in some 700,000 new refugees coming to the United States.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A current breakdown of immigration to the United States shows that 42.8 percent come from Asia. Mexico, the Caribbean, and Canada account for 36 percent. Europe was an all-time low with 10.2 percent, and South America 7 percent, and Africa 3 percent.

Current data also show that females account for 51.1 percent of the immigrants, and some 57.1 percent of those are under 30. Only 12.5 percent are 50 and over. Current data also show that Mexico continues to be the largest single sending country, with 72,351 immigrants in 1987, a sizable 8.7 percent increase over 1986.

In the years since the '65 Act was adopted, Mexico has consistently been the principal sending country, with the Philippines, Korea, Cuba, and Vietnam among the top five.

One of the chief assets of the quota system in 1965 was that about 25 percent of the annual immigrant visas for people with occupations, skills, or talents that were in short supply in the United States were targeted -- 25 percent of the total. As a result of this quota system, the United States has received thousands of highly skilled and professional people from Asian countries.

It is ironic, as we look back, that the opponents of the 1965 legislation declared that the new law would close the immigration door to the Orient and boost the

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number of immigrants coming from traditional European And what the lawmakers apparently didn't realize nations. is that family reunification went directly to the heart of the Asian value system, that Europeans were a generation or two away from immediate relatives, and they really did not have that many people to bring over during this period of time.

On a wider note, immigration to the United States is at almost record levels. Experts say that we will continue to grow under the existing law and policies. is a time, too, when the United States does not necessarily need immigration to augment its population as it did in the In fact, the specter of overpopulation is formative years. one of the main dangers we are already experiencing, particularly in urban societies.

Legal immigration to the United States reaches 601,000 in 1987 -- legal immigration -- the second highest annual flow since 1924. This figure brings to 581,000 the average annual legal immigration in the 1980s, a 30 percent increase over the previous decade.

Analysts say if this current rate continues, legal immigration for the decade of the '80s will approach 6 million people, the highest since the nation's immigration peaked at 8.8 million in the 1901-1910 decade.

It is also an important factor that the

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 will bring about the legalization of 2.5 million people under its amnesty and special agricultural worker provisions. This will boost the total immigration for the 1980s to 8 million people, near the record of the 1901-1910 decade previously cited.

One of the disturbing facts about these groups of immigrants is that only 10 percent are classified as skilled workers. Due to the illegal status and shadow-world existence of these immigrants, many of them have not been able to improve their skills and education level. has a mammoth job ahead to bring these into the mainstream of our productive society.

Mexico again is the leading country of origin among these immigrants with approximately 80 percent of the total. El Salvador, Guatemala, Colombia, the Philippines, Haiti, Nicaragua, Poland, Dominican Republic, and Iran comprise the top nine behind Mexico.

As to gender, 55 percent are male; 45 percent are Ages range from under 15 to over 65, with the married. median being between 25 and 34.

The Immigration and Naturalization Service is now gearing up for a massive educational program to provide these former illegal aliens with their next step towards eventual United States citizenship. This is known as Phase These temporary residents must now make the transition II.

⁻60 01 01

CBT/cs

status to lawful permanent resident.

In cooperation with state and educational groups, and various ethnic groups, the INS is organizing educational opportunity to teach temporary residents basic English and American history and government, a requirement to gain permanent residency and eventually citizenship status.

During the 1980s we faced an invasion of illegal aliens, particularly at our southern border, unprecedented in size and scope. The border, for all practical purposes, has been out of control. Border Patrol illegal alien apprehensions along the southern border set three year records of 1 million plus each, between 1984 and 1986.

During this time frame, it was estimated that between 6 to 12 million illegal aliens were in this country, with most of them being in California.

While most of these border jumpers were from Central and South America, the Border Patrol did arrest more than 90 different nationalities at our southern border in 1987, and this trend continues. This massive illegal migration was caused principally by the hard economic downturn in recent years in Mexico and other Latin countries.

It is true, too, that most of these illegal entrants are illiterate. They possess few job skills, and because of their illegal status have had nobody to turn to

for assistance. Unscrupulous employers work them long hours at substandard pay. They literally live in holes in the country or in some other unsanitary hovels in many southern California communities.

A few years ago slums were unheard of in affluent Orange County and San Diego County. Today crime-breeding slums now exist in even the smallest communities in these two counties.

However, the situation is beginning to change for the good, particularly since the passage of the Reform Act of 1986, which for the first time makes it unlawful for anyone to knowingly hire illegal aliens, what we refer to as employer sanctions.

Some of the positive signs that we have seen are:
Border apprehensions are down. Many illegal aliens, unable
to get loans, have left this country. Our enforcement
ability will be greatly enhanced by the addition in coming
months of some 1100 new Border Patrol agents and several
hundred investigators. Add to this the fact that INS is
aggressively enforcing employer sanctions, we should see a
more positive change in the very near future.

Of possible interest to you is the fact that

Congress wrote into the 1986 Act a strict antidiscrimination

clause which prohibits discrimination in the hiring and

firing of citizens or nationals of the United States and

ļ1

CBT/cs

'cs

certain classes of aliens who have filed declarations of intention to become citizens. This section of the law is enforced by the Office of Special Counsel in the U.S. Department of Justice.

We are in the process, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, of distributing to hundreds and thousands of employers in my region this little notice (indicating document) that goes out, and it says what you should and you should not do in hiring people. Just because somebody happens to have blue eyes or brown eyes and may have an accent or they may not doesn't make any difference in the way you treat them, and we're trying to communicate this throughout our region, and really throughout the country.

In summary, Mr. Chairman, I have tried to give the Commission an overview of the historical immigration patterns that shaped our country and subsequent laws and policies that have radically changed the ethnic origin of our immigrants, all of which is important to your Commission.

Lawful immigration has been and still is the lifeblood of our unique society. Each successive wave of immigrants has brought new vigor, innovation and skills and culture to the mixed fabric that is our society. This rewarding trend continues today.

I have also presented to your staff a copy of ou

CBT/cs

1 2

annual statistical report and other detail that I trust will help you in seeing the past, present, and future of immigration here in the United States.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker is Vice Chairman Rosalie Gaull Silberman of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Ms. Silberman was nominated by President Reagan initially in November of 1984 as a recess appointee to the Commission and was sworn in to a full five-year term in 1985. She was designated as Vice Chairman of the Commission in 1986.

She was graduated from Smith College with a bachelor of arts degree in government, and began her career as a teacher in Hawaii and in Maryland. She has served as the Chairman of the Committee on Legislation and Co-Chairman of the Committee on Evaluation of the National Advisory Council on the Education of Disadvantaged Children.

She has served as a consultant to the National Republican Senatorial Committee and organized and directed the Tidewater Conferences. In 1978 she became the press secretary and Director of Communications for Senator Bob Packwood of Oregon. From 1980 through '82, she served as a consultant to Senator Packwood and was Director of Public Relations for the San Francisco Conservatory of Music. In

CBT/cs

1983, prior to her appointment to the Commission, she was named special Assistant to Commissioner Mimi Weyforth Dawson of the Federal Communications Commission.

We welcome you, Ms. Vice Chairman, and look forward to your testimony.

MS. SILBERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner, and fellow Commissioners, and Mr. Chairman. I am delighted to be here with all of you to spend some time talking about this very important topic. I think probably my placement at the end of the panel may be a fortuitous one. I will reiterate some of what my colleagues have said. I may even take issue with what some of them have said. And I think what I have to say will provide a good bridge for the next panel, which is "Public Policy Effects of Changing Demographics: An Overview."

As Vice Chairman of the EEOC, I will, of course, be focusing on the employment implications, but I also want to spend just a little time on the link between employment discrimination and education. This, after all, has historically been true, and it will be even more true in the future.

We have been talking about unprecedented numbers of women minorities, older workers, and immigrants in what has come to be known as Work Place 2000. I have been struck in looking at some of the literature at how many booklets,

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pamphlets, and studies have been named "Work Place 2000," and we were one of the first at the EEOC to issue one in 1985 when we focused on some of the challenges as well as what these changes will mean. That study predicted the dramatic changes which would challenge our nation and the EEOC's ability to make good on the Constitution's promise that all Americans have the opportunities to secure this nation's economic blessings.

I think it is well that we all remember, when we think about these changes and discuss their implications for civil rights, that the diversity which we enjoy today and look forward to in the year 2000 is this nation's greatest It is this nation's real wealth.

That diversity is in no small measure a result of the progress we have made in the last 24 years since the Civil Rights Act of 1964. That landmark legislation ushered in a new era. Vice President George Bush has been talking about the politics of inclusion. The Civil Rights Act ushered in the era of inclusion and created the EEOC to ensure equal opportunity in the crucial area of employment.

Now, the progress that we're talking about that we are celebrating was not achieved overnight. And although that's what some expected and I suppose that is what others feared, the EEOC had a rocky start, but in the last eight years the Commission has come of age and the public knows

cs 1

that equal employment opportunity is the law, and that the EEOC is in business and means business. We have improved our processes, raised our professional standard, and achieved some real credibility.

And we look with pride at today's diverse work force as evidence of our success as an agency and, more importantly, our success as a nation. Men and women of all ages, all races, nationalities, and religions work side by side, and that includes those with handicaps who, given the opportunity, are leading full lives, supporting themselves, and contributing to and sharing in this country's economic blessings.

Now, for sure, this being an election year, there's a lot of talk about how slow and how uneven the progress has been, and it has been slow and uneven, and we had a graphic representation of that this morning from two of our speakers. But I think that rather than spend our time and energy decrying and debating the pace of the past, we ought to focus on removing the obstacles that remain, identifying them and removing them. Because we are going to need this rich diverse work force to provide the fuel to propel our nation to greater productivity and greater competitiveness in the 21st century.

To harness this energy, certainly the most important challenge will be to strengthen America's

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

educational system. It must spark that engine. It must prepare tomorrow's work force. This country can no longer afford to let the lack of basic skills immobilize the underclass and stall minorities and new entrants. remember, demographic changes represent a tremendous opportunity for minority workers. Employers will be hungry for qualified people and more willing to offer jobs and training to those who have been traditionally victims of discrimination, but they have to have the basic skills to take advantage of those opportunities.

In enforcing Title VII, the EEOC has become keenly aware of the link between employment opportunity and equal educational opportunity. From generation to generation, denial of educational opportunity has blunted the remedial effect of our civil rights laws. We at the EEOC have recognized this and negotiated, I think, a couple of very innovative settlements that I want to tell you about because they strike at the root cause of the discrimination.

We have insisted and employers have increasingly come to see that more may be required than the opportunity to do a job, that skills, that training are needed to be able to do the job effectively to be able to keep the job and to be able to move up that economic ladder.

Let me tell you about the EEOC and General Motors and how they settled an employment lawsuit in 1983.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up a training program for employees and allocated a million dollars for local resource organizations to help GM workers and their families acquire just the basic skills they needed to take advantage of the training and educational opportunities that the settlement provided. They got back pay, big back pay, jobs for previously excluded women and minorities, and even endowment funds to pay for the college education of GM employees.

I don't know how many of you have seen the Hudson report, "Work Place 2000," but I was reading it on the plane coming out, and I will be alluding to it in this presentation again. One of the statistics that I found absolutely astonishing was that between now and the year 2000, for the first time in history a majority of all new jobs available will require post-secondary education.

Well, that GM settlement today seems down right prescient. We were certainly instructed by it when we recently renegotiated a similar settlement with Ford. Ford, I think very wisely, put as the showpiece of this settlement a \$2 million middle school map and science project for three schools in Detroit, Cleveland, and Chicago, all with high minority enrollments and located in areas where Ford has major facilities. Ford is putting money where it is going to be needed, and that is to train these workers that they are going to need.

CBT/cs

2 3

1

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But remember -- and we all have to remember -that both the Ford and GM settlements grew out of charges of old-fashioned discrimination. We recognized that back pay or an entry-level job would not make some victims whole if their future performance was doomed by inadequate education.

In carrying out our mandate, we enforced the law. We made good on a civil right. But something else happened in those settlements. We were able to convince two important employers that more was needed, and that it was in the company's interest and in society's interest to take the lead in filling that need.

In the four years I have been at this job, I have come to appreciate that, in fulfilling our congressional mandate in guaranteeing the rights of American workers to be free from employment discrimination, we can often appropriately achieve enlightened social policy and fill important social needs. That is what happened in GM and But we have to remember that Congress makes the policy choice, and when Congress has not provided a legislative mandate, such EEOC action is not appropriate. We need to resist the temptation to use our enforcement authority in a way that Congress never intended.

When women entered the work force in unprecedented numbers in the post-1964 area of inclusion, that temptation was great. One of the first issues to arise

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was whether a woman's ability and, arguably, likelihood to become pregnant could legitimately be considered by her Did such consideration constitute a barrier to equal employment opportunity covered by Title VII?

Congress answered, "Yes," and amended Title VII with the Pregnancy Discrimination Act. And the EEOC has vigorously enforced this civil rights legislation which was made necessary by demographic changes and which solved a social policy dilemma. I don't know if it solved it; it helped to solve it.

More women entered the work force, and more women endured sexual harassment. Congress told the EEOC to do something, and we did. We wrote guidelines concerning sexual harassment, said it was an employment barrier, and forced the congressional mandate that sexual harassment was reachable through Title VII, Civil Rights Act. And in 1986 the EEOC's position on the first sexual harassment case to reach the Supreme Court was adopted by the Court unanimously, validating many years of diligent civil rights enforcement on behalf of women.

More women entered the work force and found themselves channeled into sex-segregated jobs, into traditional women's work which they believed was unfairly underpaid. Sex-segregated jobs was clearly a denial of equal employment opportunity under Title VII, and the EEOC

CBT/cs

vigorously prosecuted their charges.

The fairness or unfairness of salaries paid to holders of traditional female jobs was something quite different. The legal theory, as you well know, started out being called comparable worth. Today it's referred to as pay equity. You may well remember that somebody once called it loony tunes. But the courts have been unwilling to embrace the theory and say that this disparity is covered by Title VII, and the EEOC has, of course, followed these decisions and left the matter to the Congress where it now is and is being debated -- slowly.

What are the social policy issues of women at work today? Well, for starters we're looking at child care, we're looking at parental leave, and I am delighted that they are being debated in the election campaign and in Congress. I think it's great that both candidates are talking, thinking, and proposing solutions for these two pressing social needs. They are undoubtedly being driven and will continue to be driven by changing demographics. They are issues for the Congress to confront, and we like the rest of the nation are watching carefully.

The graying of the American work force is another demographic fact of life, certainly in the year 2000 raising significant social policy dilemmas and law enforcement issues.

We enforce the ADEA, which Congress passed, and the Congress has revisited these issues several times. Each subsequent amendment has had important law enforcement implications and social policy implications. And some of those are things that we are all going to be wanting to think about.

For example, when Congress lifted the cap on mandatory retirement, questions were raised about pension plans: Should employers have to contribute beyond age 65? Should workers who were staying on the job longer be retrained? They were going to need to be retrained, but should those slots be taken from younger workers? Would opportunities continue to open up if they stayed on forever

These really are questions of equity, but they have been posed to us at the EEOC mostly by a very powerful age lobby as questions of civil rights.

Now, we have been asked to stretch the discrimination laws to cover these questions of equity. I have to ask you, as we have asked ourselves, whether it makes sense for these broad philosophical issues to be addressed by an agency that must enforce the laws as Congress wrote them, as Congress intended. And they are questions like: Should early retirement incentive programs be allowed? Is it good for society to tempt older persons to retire when they can afford to, given the fact that they

F60 01 01

CBT/cs

are needed in the work force? Is the retirement good for older workers?

I really can go on and on. On the plane, as I said, I was reading the Hudson Institute report on "Work Force 2000." They asked crucial policy questions: How can we maintain the dynamism of an aging working force as the average age of workers climbs towards 40?

On September 4, the Washington Post had an article they ran entitled, "The Graying of the American Work Force: How can Productivity be Maintained?"

Let me tell you, if such questions were asked in the context of an employment discrimination case, they would be deemed per se employment discrimination. But we know that those questions must be asked, and you are the proper people to ask them. They should not be answered, certainly, in the guise of enforcing the laws against employment discrimination. They should be vigorously debated in the halls of Congress and resolved there. These are crucial social policy questions, and I take my hat off to you to be beginning to ask them in the appropriate forum.

I spoke earlier of the diverse work force as the fuel which will fire America's engine. A crucial additive to that fuel will be immigrants who represent the largest share of the increase in the population and the work force since the first world war. Congress recently addressed the

law enforcement and social policy concern raised in the Immigration Reform and Control Act, IRCA.

Let me state at the outset that although I am aware of and concerned about the terrible problems of illegal immigration, I was skeptical of IRCA. I believed then, and I believe now, that America needs more immigration — and I guess that is a difference of opinion between my colleague, Mr. Ezell, and I — not less. However, we do agree that throughout our history, immigrants have filled jobs, not taken them away. And most importantly, immigrants have brought a special enthusiasm, a special dynamism, that enriches this country.

Frankly, one of my fears about IRCA was that in an effort to be in compliance with the law, employers would overreact and discriminate against the foreign-looking, the foreign-sounding. Some did, but they soon found out, both from the Immigration and Naturalization Service and from the EEOC, that that was a Title VII violation at the Justice Department, and the EEOC would prosecute. Title VII covers not only citizens but intending citizens and, as far as the EEOC and the courts are concerned, undocumented aliens as well. Our civil rights laws protect past, present, and future immigrations.

But what are the social policy implications? How can we meet the challenges which great numbers of immigrants

CBT/cs

3

2

1

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

I certainly hope that IRCA will help to end the unconscionable exploitation of undocumented workers. was an L.A. Times article yesterday on sweat shops in the restaurant and apparel industries, and it only points up to the importance of vigorous, aggressive law enforcement in this area. This is one of the special challenges of the demographic changes.

But actually, it brings me right back to our educational system. Our system has traditionally opened the door of economic opportunity for immigrants. It can do no less today, and it must do more tomorrow. Education is, after all, the most basic of civil rights.

That takes time, and in the meantime we are going to be diligent in our law enforcement efforts. We are going to root out discrimination that threatens the economic wellbeing of these new Americans. For example, we have been out there attacking "English only," no accent rules. We think that unless these rules are germane to the job, people should be free to speak to each other in whatever language they wish.

This forum is called "Changing Perspectives on Civil Rights." I'm not sure from the EEOC's perspective that there has really been much change. Since 1964 we have been charged with enforcing the civil rights laws guaranteeing equal employment opportunity. Those laws were

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

passed to protect and promote this nation's diversity, and the EEOC must ensure that we continue to do just that. changing demographics we have been discussing are not a difference in kind but rather perhaps of magnitude. may in some way make our job easier. We as the EEOC will certainly be more visible, and we can only hope that the Congress will come through with the needed resources to allow us to do our job more effectively.

But I think the biggest challenge facing us is to resist the temptation to use our civil rights laws to accomplish what may or may not be laudable social purposes but which really do not fall under the mantle of civil rights as defined by Congress. The debate over social policy must continue, but there can be no debate over the necessity of vigorous enforcement of the laws against employment discrimination.

Thank you. I'm sorry I ran a little late. COMMISSIONER DESTRO: That's okay. Thank you very much.

What we're going to do now is turn to questions from the Commissioners, and we'll take a break about 10:25 to allow the reporter to stretch her fingers a bit.

I will turn first to Commissioner Guess and let him begin.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: I have no questions.

CBT/cs

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Commissioner Buckley.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: It really is very difficult for me to know where to begin. I've got so many questions, and I unfortunately won't be able to have them all answered. I am impressed by the information you brought to us today, and we certainly hope there are a lot of people out there that will use this information, and we thank you for your diligence in getting this to us.

I'll try to take some of the questions that I have, and if I can I'll start with the gentleman from HUD.

In your written testimony you state, "There has been no decline in the level of housing discrimination over the intervening years."

This is what you gave us in your paper. The results of testing in individual cities have shown this to you. Could you suggest what we could do additionally to correct this? You do talk about some of the testing programs later, but is there something we should be looking at to help control this? Our concern is the continued existence of discrimination. Can you talk to us about that?

DR. BEIRNE: As I mentioned, we are doing a study to try to update our understanding of the amount of discrimination that is occurring. However, as I pointed out in the written testimony, there are a number of hopeful signs, and I think it will turn out there are absolutely

CBT/cs

CS

necessary measures that need to be taken, and that is that the state and local governments have become much more aggressive. We have attempted to encourage that through the Fair Housing Initiatives Program and other efforts to get state and local governments operating, to get them certified as equivalent agencies, so that they would be able to handle discrimination complaints on their own. And there has been a pretty fair amount of interest in the states and localities in seeking out status of substantially equivalent agencies.

What this really addresses -- depending on which minority population you're talking about, you frequently have entirely different local problems. For example, in much of the nation the Asian population is very small. On the other hand, in some some localities the Asian population is very large. As I point out, Asians and Hispanics have a very low level of filing discrimination complaints. Yet, this type of thing can only be overcome by pretty intense local efforts at getting to the local Hispanic population or the local Asian population, explaining to them what the situation is.

I think there is a better opportunity under the Fair Housing Amendments to get people inspired to do this a little bit more because there are more enforcement powers. But ultimately it is going to demand even much more state.

1′,

and local action, because we need a lot more in the way of enforcement agencies operating. And the states and localities are much better equipped to do that than in general the Federal Government is.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: In some of the conver ations that we have had in visiting with individuals throu hout the country, one of the concerns that was brought to our attention — and my question to you now is: Have you heard of this? — is when you are doing the testing, and then you're doing testing that involves the vouchers and the prople looking for housing using the vouchers, they have equested assistance from HUD in trying to expedite vouchers to the testers, and they have been having trouble in helping with that aspect of the testing.

What is HUD doing in testing in those situations?
Have you heard this concern before?

DR. BEIRNE: I haven't heard of that, but that would normally go through the Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity. I'd be happy to try to talk to them and find out what actions they are taking about that.

One of the main problems may be -- I'm not actually sure -- is that vouchers are in relatively short supply nationally. So the ability of local public housing agencies to make vouchers available for agencies which are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attempting to do testing specifically geared to a testing of discrimination against people using vouchers -- those activities by PHAs may be hampered quite a bit. But I'd have to look into it before I could give you a fuller answer.

> COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you.

Ms. Silberman, in some of the meetings again, in some of the talking we have done about these forums, their question to us was: How does discrimination against undocumented aliens become a civil rights issue? What is your authority in dealing with this issue? You did say something about it in your testimony. Could you expand on it a little?

MS. SILBERMAN: The courts have ruled that Title VII covers even undocumented workers. For instance, the way it comes up with us is we will have a charge filed on the basis of national origin, and in going in and investigating this charge we will see that there is a real pattern of discrimination. But there are a lot of workers who are either afraid to talk to us or who don't happen to show up regularly when our investigators are there. And then we look and we realize that probably this is a situation in which we've got undocumented workers, and then we go in and vigorously enforce the law on their behalf.

I realize that does seem somewhat anomalous to

CBT/cs

cs 1

some people in terms that we're trying to stem illegal immigration. However, we do have a very, very clear court precedent on this, and I think it is a good thing because what it's going to do is it is going to stop the exploitation of undocumented workers.

I wanted to just comment on your question to Dr. Beirne, and that is that it's interesting that the pattern of enforcement for the employment section of Title VII is very similar to what is happening in HUD, and that is that we did not get enforcement authority until years after the Civil Rights Act was passed. And the deterrent effect of having tough remedies — and their remedies are really, I think, much stronger than what we have under Title VII — is the single most important factor in stopping discrimination. There is no way that you're going to stop housing discrimination or employment discrimination with each and every individual case that you bring. It has to become not a free good but an expensive operation for people to continue, and that's a good way to get it stopped.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Commissioner Friedman.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: I, too, am very much impressed with the diversity of views that have been presented to us today, and literally the torrent of information that I am struggling to digest of material and

to integrate it.

Till just ask one question for the moment, and I want to field it with Mr. Beirne. Again, it has to do with housing vouchers.

Many of us cut our teeth on certain aspects of the civil rights revolution -- like discrimination in housing, et cetera, and employment -- and recognize the existence of the continued traditional forms of discrimination. We sought through legislation to remedy these problems.

But in the case of housing vouchers, you are adding an interesting new dimension to the situation, and I wondered exactly what is the status of housing vouchers in the country today. Do we have enough of them available? Do we need to expand them?

It seems to me if we are looking at the issue of changing perspectives, this may be a really newer form of getting at many of the issues that were once seen as traditional discrimination but now can be seen in another context.

So what do we really need here in order to be effective with regard to housing vouchers? What is the supply of them available, et cetera?

DR. BEIRNE: At the current time there are about 130,000 housing vouchers available, and we fully expect to

CBT/cs

1.3

have just about all of them out and rented up by the end of this fiscal year.

What has happened, however, is that the supply is not adequate. There are a couple of different standards by which the supply is not adequate, but it has failed to meet any of the standards so far.

What has happened is that in general the Administration has asked for as many as 100,000 in a year, that is, new vouchers, incremental vouchers. And the idea has been to put all of incremental housing assistance, with the exception of some assistance for the elderly and handicapped, into the voucher program. Congress has been very resistant to that and so has provided only between 40,000 and 50,000 vouchers a year, and then has scattered housing assistance among a variety of other programs, including project-based programs, which tend to be much more expensive. For example, you can house between two and three times as many families with vouchers as you can with a new construction project.

And so what has happened is that the supply of vouchers has fallen short of what we believe would be necessary in order to handle the needs of very-low-income citizens over even a finite period of time. To some extent it's a question of how rapidly you want to handle that need.

It has been estimated, for example, that you

would need about 200,000 incremental units of housing, of assisted housing, every year for between five and 10 years in order to handle what are called the worst-case needs, that is, the needs of people who are paying either more than 50 percent of their income for rent or are paying over 30 percent of their income for rent and are living in inadequate housing, if you wanted to do that over a relatively short period of time. If you wanted to do it over a longer period of time and count on turnovers in the

programs, you would still probably need to have about

100,.000 or more per year of incremental units.

That has been the level which we have requested. What has happened has been considerably different, so that between even vouchers and Section VIII housing certificates we've only been getting about 70,000 to 80,000 units per year. So in that sense, the supply of vouchers and similar instruments has fallen short of what might be needed. And there has not been a real address to the question of how soon do you want to handle the worst-case needs of very-low-income people, and over what time period do you want to do that?

Implicit in what the response has been, I would say that the commitment is only for a very long period, and for the most part we are gradually increasing it. In fact, during this Administration we have increased the number of

CBT/cs

2

1

5

3

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assisted families from 3.1 million to about 4.2 million in the HUD-assisted program. So we've increased it by about a But since the number of families gradually increases also, you still are only slowly making progress on the actual need over time.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Just a quick follow-up on this. Under the pattern of changing perspectives or new ways of doing business in this strange field of work, would you be urging us to make any recommendation in, let's say, a report that might emerge from these deliberations on this particular issue?

DR. BEIRNE: Well, we would recommend that everyone focus on vouchers as an instrument just because, if you're going to spend a limited amount of resources for housing assistance, this is the way to get the most people housed, and also to provide them with the types of mobility that they need in order to take advantage of educational and employment opportunities.

In most of the country -- and by "most" I mean the overwhelming preponderance of the country -- there is available housing which vouchers can effectively enable people to occupy. And that would be the direction I would suggest going, you know, to keep a consistent move in that direction.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Let me just ask a quick

2

1

3

5

6 7

9

8

. 11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

follow-up question to that. How much is a voucher worth? DR. BEIRNE: Nationally it's between roughly \$3,200 and \$4,000 a year.

> COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you.

Chairman Allen.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you.

I have far too many questions to have answered this morning, so what I'm going to do is make a series of observations which are really questions in my mind, with the hope that as they strike you you may in after-times take the liberty to jot me a line responding to these concerns that I And then I will ask one question finally in the way of something of a thought experiment, which all of you or any of you might respond to.

Your presentations have sparked a number of Let me just mention one of the least significant in things. one sense but most significant in another which came out of Mr. Butz' presentation. You had a chart reflecting educational attainments of 25-to-34-year-old persons, comparing blacks and whites, between 1980 and 1987. I was very curious about the change on the black side of that chart which moved from 75 to 82 percent totals, with percent of high school graduates. I didn't understand it, perhaps, but it would seem to show no change at all with those who had four more years of college.

2 3

1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

So it would seem to me a statistical anomaly, if you get this movement in the total population, increased years of schooling through high school, but you get no movement from 1980 through 1987 in years of college, and I wondered whether there had been any work to explain what can only be a statistical anomaly, and therefore must have an explanation apart from the numbers themselves.

We will want to know the answer to that question eventually because it touches upon a phenomenon, a matter of changing demographics, if you will, that is extremely sensitive today.

Now, that's the kind of thing that happens through much of your testimony. You've been talking about housing vouchers. I am very much concerned to know what the regulations are at HUD that deal with the issues of vouchers in rent-controlled communities, whether anyone has ever brought this subject up. I would like to know if anyone has ever anticipated the possibility of collusion between municipalities and federal bureaucrats that can force people to accept vouchers to pay rent-controlled rates in apartments, and therefore represent a way of expropriating people's property rather indirectly. That's just one question that would affect it, and I would like to know if it has at least been discussed, eventually if not otherwise.

More importantly than that in the housing area,

CBT/cs

own properties.

we recently in the past couple of years got Congress to recognize the significance of tenant management and ownership in public housing -- and we've not talked about that this morning -- and I think we'd want to know before we're done whether HUD has actively undertaken to fulfill the intentions of that legislation, that design, and whether we are going to move finally in the direction of placing persons who presently occupy public housing in the position of owners and managers of their own fates as well as their

Among the other questions that have been raised by my colleagues, I share the concern with the Fair Housing Act Amendments and whether they answer the kinds of questions that have been regarded as outstanding up to this point, and I think you have spoken very well about that, but there may be other things we want to consider.

I would also be interested in remarking on a matter of usage that I've been concerned with for some time past. I know we speak about illegal aliens, and I know that that is the language of the law and no one is to be faulted for using it, but I must share with you that I've always had a reservation about that formulation. I prefer to call them illegal immigrants rather than illegal aliens. I don't know how it is possible to be illegally alien, nor whether the people are genuinely alien.

CBT/cs

But when we're talking about changing perspectives in civil rights, it is often important to remember to think about our language, how we phrase things, because our phrasing often disposes us to make use of persons and things in ways that we wouldn't necessarily be proud of. So if we choose our words with care, we may end up doing things that we all are far more proud of in the end.

I am very much struck with the observation from the Hudson report about the majority of new jobs by the year 2000 requiring more than secondary education, particularly in light of that chart from Mr. Butz that I mentioned earlier.

There are other such reflections that struck my mind as you spoke, and I mention these things mostly to say to you "Thank you." You have caused me to think and you have caused all of us to think, and I'm certain something will come of this thinking.

And I'd like to leave you with one thought experiment of your own, as I pose a question to you. Mostly you talked about how to predict the future in various ways. And the one question that stood out in my mind above all the others is: How much of the future that we predict is really our future?

What do I mean by that? I mean, when we speak of

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

predicting the future, do we bother to explain the relationship between prediction and choice? Can we say how much of what we predict for the year 2000 is irreversibly fated because of choices we have already made? And how much can be otherwise if we make different choices even now?

That's the question that I think is most important for us. Are these predictions of what the work force will look like, what will be the character of our lives, technological intervention, the various activities we devote ourselves to -- how many of those things are yet to be affected by choices that may yet be made, and how much is genuinely prediction, the expression of irreversible choices, commitments already made?

Anyone of you might want to take that.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Would you ask him to repeat the question?

(Laughter.)

I think with that we will COMMISSIONER DESTRO: leave it as a thought question since nobody leaped forward to answer it.

I will now turn to Commissioner Chan.

Since I am new in this area, COMMISSIONER CHAN: first I must compliment the gentlemen and lady from the HUD area, the Department of Labor, the Department of INS, and the EEOC. And since I am new, I have an empty databank.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Laughter.)

But this databank has some feedback, so I'll ask specific questions, if you don't mind.

In the HUD area, I'd like to know if HUD has any realistic plan for the homeless.

DR. BEIRNE: We think we have a very realistic There are a variety of elements involved in planning plan. for the homeless. There is existing legislation on the books now with the McKinney Act. But I would like to point out that the McKinney Act, even with what might look like relatively sizable funding, pales in significance to the amount of activity that's going on in states and localities in dealing with homelessness.

One of the things that we have tried to impress as much as possible is that homelessness tends to be very different in different localities. In fact, in some localities large portions of the homeless population seem to be families. In other cities, even major cities, 80 to 90 percent of the homeless are unattached adults. And that kind of difference requires completely different types of responses.

In dealing with our planning, at the moment, first of all we've been working with the Interagency Council for the Homeless, which Secretary Pierce is the chair of, and which was established under the McKinney Act, which is

responsible for coordinating homeless policy across the agencies.

Our present focus is really more to work with localities using the existing grant systems, and to move them in the direction of focusing on the transitional needs of the homeless.

In many cities across the country now we have reached the point where the available emergency beds, at least based on last winter's experience, appear to exceed the peak demand. And this has occurred even in cities such as New York, but it has also occurred in St. Louis, for example, and Denver.

What you have is a situation then, if the city reaches a point where it can identifiably meet its emergency shelter needs, what it needs to be looking at are transitional aids.

And in that case, the real focus needs to be on enabling the homeless to take advantage of existing programs, which are scattered across all the agencies. That is one of the reasons why the Interagency Council reflects all the agencies that are involved, including HHS and so on. But also because of the block granting of many of the programs across the agencies, it requires the localities to use either block-granted funds, private agencies, activities, and so on to provide that kind of transitional

CBT/cs

support.

So I think primarily our strategy at the moment has been using the McKinney Act monies to try to work with localities on making this transition now to enabling the homeless to both reenter the available programs and to make some kind of transition to the mainstream, if you want.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.

Time is running short and I'll make it quick.

The second area is in Mr. Hirabayashi's area.

You mentioned the women's work force, and the exceptions are natural scientists and computer specialists where their share is low. Do you know the approximate percentage of how low in that area?

MR. HIRABAYASHI: I don't have that information with me, but I will be happy to make it available for you.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.

Also, what is the unemployment rate for the Asian graduates?

MR. HIRABAYASHI: Again, that information is available. I think it was touched on here earlier by other members of the panel, but the population itself is fairly small, and in terms of sample size the unemployment as such — statistically we haven't had sufficient data to make that particular estimate. But the information is available through our 1980 census which is now, of course, some eight

years old. And I guess there is some question about the 1990 census, whether that information will be available.

I'd appreciate it if you COMMISSIONER CHAN: would give me some feedback in the near future.

I think Mr. Butz would have MR. HIRABAYASHI: that information.

Well, I don't have all of it, MR. BUTZ: Commissioner, but from the 1980 census the unemployment rate, actually for 1979, for all Asian and Pacific Islander groups together was 4.7 percent, which I believe was a little less than the overall rate in the population. varied from a rate of 9.7 percent for Samoans, for example, down to 3 percent for Japanese. There's a lot of variability.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: That's the exact question I planned to ask you, the percentage of Asian graduates That's a similar question. unemployed.

And as the Commissioner said MR. BUTZ: Yes. here, the reason that that information is not available since then is that it requires a very large sample to produce information like that, and such a large sample becomes available generally only every 10 years in the decennial census.

> COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.

Your chart shows the black women family money

3

1

2

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

CBT/cs

income.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Yes, sir. MR. BUTZ:

COMMISSIONER CHAN: The bottom portion says, "Female Householder, No Husband Present." In 1969, I'm surprised that the average income is higher than the 1987. Can you explain why?

MR. BUTZ: Well, first, that is corrected for inflation. Nevertheless, that is a significant fact, that measured income between 1969 and 1987 for those families headed by women did not increase. Now, those two numbers are not statistically significantly different, so all we can really say is it didn't change. It simply didn't change. Why it didn't change, I don't know. I can point out, though, that the amount -- well, let me leave it at that. I really don't know why it didn't change.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: According to what you said, if this is because of inflation, on the upper chart the married couple in '69 was lower than 1987.

No, it's not because of inflation at MR. BUTZ: Clearly this experience is not the general experience. The general experience in the population, and for most population subgroups, is one of increasing median family income adjusted for inflation between those years. an unusual experience for this group, female householder families.

.11

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.

Mr. Ezell, I have a concern about immigrants.

I'm an immigrant, too.

MR. EZELL: All of us are.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: I am in the area of how to blend into the American way of life. Does the Immigration office have any plans that require a new immigrant to acquire a certain basic English proficiency or blending into the American way of life? Because mostly the immigrant has a sponsor, and the sponsor should be more or less responsible for the well-being of the immigrant, too. I'm not saying this is the responsibility of the INS. I'm talking about maybe there could be a requirement for the sponsor to assist the new immigrant to achieve a certain basic education in the American way of life. Then it will become much easier for him to work in the mainstream.

MR. EZELL: Well, there are really two problems, Commissioner. One is the area of legal immigration, which has a different not only demographic but a different educational, economic position, as opposed to those who are illegally here. As you come through the legal immigration system, not only do you have the medical check and all the other things, but you also have a goal. Most generally, those who come legally have a goal of becoming United States citizens. Once you take that examination, you have to show

CBT/cs

some kind of a proficiency to a degree of the language, as well as some understanding of our government, civics, and so forth.

Right now we are going through a major challenge in Phase II of amnesty, where we've got 2.5 million people who are going to have to go into some kind of educational program to get a survivor ability in English. It's very important, I think, the ability to communicate in that common language that's the glue that holds us all together.

And the other part of that program in Phase II is the civics and history and government understanding, which we are doing everything we can to pull it together.

But if you are here illegally and you didn't come through the Phase I of amnesty, there is very little we can do or anybody else can really do in the government to cause an assimilation into our fabric. And that is a disadvantage for those who are here illegally.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: So far INS does not have such a plan or such a requirement for the immigrant?

MR. EZELL: Well, we can't do much more than what Congress has told us to do, and it really comes down to the time when you become a citizen. That testing that you go through, the examination, is where you come to us and we say you either passed the understanding or you didn't.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. One last

question.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Mr. Chairman, I changed my mind.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Yes, Mr. Guess.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Mr. Ezell, following up on that very briefly, the bottom line question: To what extent has immigration reform, regardless of everything we said here today, in your own opinion, stemmed the tide of illegal immigrants into the United States?

MR. EZELL: Well, the statistics are down as far as apprehensions on the southern border. I was looking at them a few minutes ago. We're down about 30 percent. And that sounds wonderful. But it still means we apprehended probably 2,000 people yesterday along the southern border.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: On a typical day, then, how many illegal immigrants do you suspect are coming across our borders?

MR. EZELL: Well, we apprehend 2000, and I can guarantee you that if we're 30 or 40 percent effective, we're doing a pretty good job. And of that percentage that we apprehend, I don't believe but maybe 10 percent finally say, "I'm not trying it again." Eventually they keep trying it. That's why employer sanctions are so important. It's illegal now to hire someone who is illegally here.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Well, I can appreciate that

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

employer sanction. In looking at the change in demographics, though, is the Immigration and Naturalization Service, in particular, and the United States Government in general capable of protecting the integrity of our borders? Are we going to be able to do it?

MR. EZELL: I believe that it's essential for the survival of this nation that we do regain control of those I believe that employer sanctions will help. believe that 95 percent of the employers in America are lawabiding people and they will obey the law.

The thing we have working against us until December 1st is that agriculture employers are exempt from employer sanctions. I don't know how they did it but they And everybody else is under sanctions, but not I believe beginning on December 1 you will see agriculture. It will take us a major turn downward of apprehensions. another six to eight months before I think we can answer that with real integrity, that it is really starting to work.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Mr. Chairman, may I ask an editorial question?

> Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER DESTRO:

COMMISSIONER GUESS: You keep referring to the employer sanctions, and we've had this debate around this table for a number of years now. And I continue to ask:

2 3

1

4

6

7

5

8

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Why does it become necessary for the United States Government to put the burden of protecting the integrity of our borders on the American businessman? Why is the United States Government not capable of doing that themselves?

MR. EZELL: Well, I believe it's a basic philosophical and, not only that, a political decision. you have two choices. You either take away the magnet, the draw, which is economic, or you put the military on the border, which none of us wants. The Immigration Service isn't promoting that idea.

We have not been serious about border control -not border closing but control -- where we have had less people on the border at any one given time than we've had quarding our monuments throughout the nation at any one There is a priority imbalance. And I think given time. this Administration has given a 50 percent increase in Border Patrol agents, which will help. They will be in place by May of this coming year. It takes a while to train them and get them on, and oftentimes you are given this wonderful political reelection year contribution of numbers of people without resources. That's what happened to us in We got the money this past year to put these people in place. It wasn't easy.

> I have one last question. COMMISSIONER CHAN: COMMISSIONER GUESS: Mr. Chairman, I want the

CBT/cs

record to clearly reflect that I have exercised a good deal of restraint.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: It is duly noted, Mr. Guess.

Mr. Chan, your last question.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: I have one short question. This is in Ms. Silberman's area.

Talking about priority, as Mr. Ezell has mentioned, what is the priority, the most needed improvement area in the EEO area for women? Is it child care or others?

MS. SILBERMAN: Are you talking about broad social policy in the broadest sense?

COMMISSIONER CHAN: In the broad sense. If somebody asked you to pick one subject, what is the most important one in that particular area?

MS. SILBERMAN: That is a very difficult question, and I really don't quite know how to answer it. I keep going back to education. I don't think that anything has as much effect, and it really brings me back to the Chairman's question about whether we have an effect in what we do. That is the nightmare question, as you well know, of any public servant.

And the truth of the matter is that there is a relationship between prediction and choice, and that mistakes that I or we make today will be projected into

CS

those figures that you hear tomorrow, just as the question that you asked, Mr. Chan: Why is it that the lowest rate of increase -- as a matter of fact, there is no change in the economic circumstance of black female-headed households over the last, I think it was 19 years. That did not happen by chance. It happened as a result of social policies that have not worked or that have worked to the detriment. And I think it is certainly incumbent on all of us to think about what we've done, what havoc we have wrought, what good we

have done, and project that.

In terms of women, I have to get back to education. I think that child care certainly is a big problem, but it's a big problem for a targeted segment of the female population. The women that are in the most trouble are the women who are having babies, unmarried, and who do not have a WIF. If they had child care, there really isn't anything for them to go out and do because they don't have the training and the skill to do it.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you. You have answered the question.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: I'm going to raise one question but not necessarily require an answer. I would appreciate it if you would get back, especially Mr. Hirabayashi, Mr. Butz, and Commissioner Silberman. One of the issues that we haven't really touched on is the degree

to which the work force is changing and will result in greater opportunities for handicapped people, and that is not reflected in any of the census statistics, and I would be interested in knowing what impact that is going to have, especially given the need for additional workers and whether that will be significant.

With that, we will take a five-minute break and recommence at 10:50 with the next panel, which will be chaired by Commissioner Buckley.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: If we can go ahead and get started please, we do not need a full Commission sitting at this point. They can still hear us from the back.

This second panel is the "Public Policy Effects of Changing Demographics: An Overview." Hopefully we have you seated in the right situation.

Professor Ivan Light is from the Department of Sociology University of California, Los Angeles.

Professor Roger Daniels, Department of History, University of Cincinnati.

Dr. Manuel Justiz, College of Education, University of South Carolina.

Senor Antonio Serrata, Chicano Studies Research Center, University of California, Los Angeles.

Dr. Jonathan Leonard, School of Business,

CBT/cs

University of California, Berkeley.

Professor Bruce Cain, Division of Humanities and Social Sciences, California Technic.

We welcome you to the panel. We would again ask that you try to limit yourself to 15 minutes to try to get us through all of the members. It is a long panel and we know you have a lot of information for us. We will begin with Professor Light, and I will try to give you a five-minute and a two-minute warning with this beautiful poster here so that you will be aware.

PANEL ON PUBLIC POLICY EFFECTS OF CHANGING
DEMOGRAPHICS: AN OVERVIEW: STATEMENTS OF:
PROFESSOR IVAN LIGHT, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY,
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES,
CALIFORNIA; PROFESSOR ROGER DANIELS, DEPARTMENT
OF HISTORY, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, CINCINNATI,
OHIO; DR. MANUEL JUSTIZ, COLLEGE OF EDUCATION,
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SOUTH
CAROLINA; MR. ANTONIO SERRATA, CHICANO STUDIES
RESEARCH CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS
ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; DR. JONATHAN LEONARD, SCHOOL
OF BUSINESS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY,
CALIFORNIA; PROFESSOR BRUCE CAIN, DIVISION OF
HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, CALIFORNIA

CBT/cs

PROFESSOR LIGHT: Thank you very much. Did you say 20 minutes?

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: No, I said 15 minutes for your speech if you can give it to us. I will give you a five-minute and a two-minute warning.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: It was a good try.
(Laughter.)

PROFESSOR LIGHT: Fine. Thank you very much.

I am very pleased and indeed honored to be here. It is very gratifying. I don't often have an opportunity to take myself away from my research interest and begin to raise my eyes up to the heaven and think about what ought to be done, so when I do have that opportunity it's a rare pleasure.

I am also particularly happy to be followed by a number of very distinguished scholars, especially Professor Daniels who is sitting here on my right. He is one of the earliest critics of my work and one of the most vigorous ones, so I can be confident that anything I say here that is incorrect will be immediately erased from your memory banks when Professor Daniels speaks next.

My subject is rethinking entrepreneurship. The subject of ethnic and immigrant entrepreneurship is one that I have long had an interest in. I published a book back in 1972 on this subject, to which Professor Daniels took vigorous dissent, subsequently continued to work in the

field and recently finished a book on Koreans in Los Angeles with my co-worker, Dr. Edna Bonacich at the University of California at Riverside. This book is entitled, "Immigrant Entrepreneurs," and was recently released by the University of California Press.

I am working now with Dr. Carolyn Rosenstein on another project that involves research into immigrant and ethnic minority business enterprise. This uses the Public Use Sample of the 1980 census and it's about halfway done. We hope it will turn into a useful book. And Dr. Rosenstein is the co-author of the paper I am presenting today.

Now, it's called "Rethinking Entrepreneurship," and I suppose the paper's most simple point is that entrepreneurship is a subject that needs rethinking, and I propose to make that claim, and in addition raise four additional points, all in support of a rather simple proposal. And the proposal is that it is both desirable and feasible to channel some youthful offenders away from career criminality and into small business enterprise, and that if that were feasible, as I claim, it would be desirable because these people would then cease to be in the future social problems who destroy wealth and would instead become people who create wealth. And while it is by no means my claim that every youthful offender can be turned into a small business operator, it is my belief that some of them

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And while this would not represent in itself a complete solution by any means to our pressing urban agenda of crises, it would at least be a step in that direction,

And I'd invite you to think, when I present this paper, of the humble Brazilian piranha fish and how it goes about solving its problems. It takes a bite here, it takes a bite there, and between all the piranha fish they do a very good job in cleaning off their problems. And this is the kind of attitude I have toward my proposal. It takes a bite out of the problem without solving it.

When I say that entrepreneurship needs rethinking, one of the reasons I believe it does need rethinking is because it's so much a part of our culture that we think already we know everything about it. because we think we know everything about it, we don't pay any attention to it. And, in fact, a lot of what we think about it is not correct.

One of the things we think about it that is not correct is that we think we know where this belongs in the political agenda we confront. When the subject of entrepreneurship is raised, it comes under the rubric of self-help and fits into our conception of what ought to be a conservative political agenda.

Now, my claim is that that is a misconception, and that in fact the subject of entrepreneurship is really

2

1

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

politically neutral, neither conservative nor liberal in its political implications. And here is the way I justify that If you look at the difference between conservatives and liberals in our national politics, the difference comes down, it seems to me, over the role of government in intervening in the economy and also in developing autonomous Liberals favor Liberals favor them. social problems. government intervention in the economy, and liberals favor social programs; conservatives don't favor them. That's the point.

Now, when asked what they do favor, conservatives are likely to say, "Well, we think there are already mechanisms for redressing socioeconomic inequities in place," and one of the ones they are most likely to mention is entrepreneurship, self-help modality of people who are disadvantaged who start their own business and in so doing create a job for themselves, a job for other people, and help to bring up people like themselves who were otherwise disadvantaged.

It is from this that we have gotten our notion that entrepreneurship is part of a conservative political agenda, and it's as simple as that. I disagree with it. Because entrepreneurship is what remains when you take the politics out of it. That is to say, under a liberal political agenda, government's interventionist programs are

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remains.

unleashed, and entrepreneurship remains. conservative political agenda, government's social and economic interventions are restrained; entrepreneurship

So it's in place under all types of What varies is the other aspects of the administration. government's agenda, not entrepreneurship as such. a person has to decide who wants to know whether he's a political liberal or a conservative is what his attitude is THat's the toward interventionist government programs. political issue, the nub of the political issue. entrepreneurship part of it is really something that can be embraced by both liberals and conservatives.

So in my opinion, you see, one of the things that needs to be rethought about entrepreneurship is where it fits into the political spectrum. In my opinion, it's really neutral, and it can be part of a conservative agenda or it can be part of a liberal agenda. Those agendas will differ, but entrepreneurship itself is neither part of one nor part of the other.

My own personal feeling about it is that entrepreneurship has a claim to be able to contribute something very important to the solution of central city problems, to reducing socioeconomic inequities and differences over a long-term period, but that probably it is

not enough in itself and it needs to be supplemented by interventionist social programs. That's my personal view.

But I would be prepared to work with anybody who thinks that it is of value.

Another thing that I think needs consideration is just who is an entrepreneur. When we define the term, we may think of someone like Donald Trump who is a wealthy tycoon, but in point of fact most entrepreneurs are not very wealthy. Indeed, most of them make very little more than the average wage and salary in their locality. The numbers that indicate this are presented in our paper. They were generated by Dr. Rosenstein from the census. I don't need to go into them except to say that entrepreneurs are not by and large wealthy people.

Another thing to say about them is that the line between entrepreneurship and criminality is often a thin one. Some entrepreneurs are really illegal entrepreneurs. These would include people who sell or make products that are banned, such as pornography, controlled substances, prostitution. All of these can be conceived of as entrepreneurs, but they are not counted as entrepreneurs. And in the paper I point out that such people really ought to be counted as entrepreneurs but understood to be engaged in a type of entrepreneurship which for a variety of complex reasons is often destructive and antisocial in its

.11

consequences.

So the problem is how to redirect people from one form of entrepreneurship to another, how to get them to stop being destructive entrepreneurs, the consequences of whose actions may be personal enrichment but also are socially destructive, to be entrepreneurs who do not destroy wealth, who do not have destructive consequences for their action, and who help themselves at the same time that they help to enrich the communities in which they live, rather than to impoverish those communities.

On this point, it is particularly important to note that a lot of people who are illegal entrepreneurs and a lot of people who are accused thoughtlessly of being too lazy to work and wanting a government handout are demonstrating by their activity that this isn't at all what they have in mind.

For example, supposing you leave today, go to the parking lot, and find that your car has been stolen while you were gone. Now, this is somebody else's business, and whatever else you might say about this person's business, however destructive it is in its consequences, you'd have to at least admit that the person didn't wait around for a government handout in order to take action to improve his own lot.

It is for this reason basically that I think the

1

8 9

10

7

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

idea that our population consists of people who are too lazy to work or who don't want a business or who don't want to work to help themselves -- that's a fallacious idea. fact, there is a tremendous desire out there on the part of disadvantaged people to operate a business. The problem is they don't know how to do it, and they don't have the resources to do it. If they could do it, they would certainly do it.

Now, I would have to be not candid to suggest there are no obstacles to entrepreneurship on the part of the disadvantaged. There are obstacles. They don't have skills, they don't have knowledge, they don't have money. And these are obstacles that are going to inhibit them.

At the same time, I would have to say that it seems to me these obstacles are frequently overstated in terms of how much of an obstacle they represent, and that there are certain myths out there that should be debunked in the interest of helping to reduce the perceived obstacles to entrepreneurship, reduce them where in fact the perceived obstacle is greater than the real one.

And I'd like to mention, for example, two: the myth of finance and the myth of saturation. And I'd like to debunk briefly, in the time that's left to me, these two the myth of finance and the myth of saturation.

The myth of finance is the idea, complexly ///

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expressed in different places, either that it takes so much money to become self-employed that there is no way you can obtain it if you are a disadvantaged person or, alternatively, that you can't do it without government help, and that government help is impossible for people like us to obtain.

And this mythology is often expressed in critiques, population critiques, of the success of the immigrant population in opening small businesses. look at the immigrants, and they see that the immigrants are heavily entrepreneurial, and they say, "Where did they get the money to do this? Why can they do it and we can't do it?"

And they conclude that, well, somebody is helping them, and often there is a mythology that the immigrant entrepreneurs owe their business success to the solicitude of government, and that without government programs in place that uniquely target the immigrant population, these people would not be successful, and that this is why immigrants can make it and native-born disadvantaged Americans can't do the same thing.

This was, for example, proposed in an academic setting -- critiques of the Cuban entrepreneurial success in Miami, where it was pointed out that the Cubans were beneficiaries as refugees of government resettlement

programs that didn't benefit equally Mexicans who are not defined as refugees and therefore are not entitled to this type of unique governmental assistance.

In rebutting the point, Dr. Alejandro Portez of Johns Hopkins University observed that if it were true, then all the refugees would have been entrepreneurially successful, whereas in fact the Indo-Chinese who, like the Cubans, are refugees, have a rate of self-employment which is not only not spectacular but is lower than average.

So the claim that unique government programs are required -- that claim is not worthy of our belief.

Another thing to look at is that we are a prodigal country. We are a prodigal country from the top the bottom. Our country owes a tremendous amount of money. We have doubled our deficit in the last eight years because we spend more than we save, and not only do we spend more than we save but we save less than we have ever saved in our past. And not only do we save less than we have ever saved in our past, we save less than any other country among the lowest countries. We save about 3.9 percent of our disposable income, whereas the Japanese and the Koreans save between 15 and 26 percent of their disposable income.

Now, it is also true that at the national level, native Americans don't save as much as foreigners, and one of the ways the immigrant gets into business is he saves a

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lot of money, and this is something possibly that we could learn from them.

I am also interested in the role of rotating credit associations and happy to see that the press has recently gotten into this and has begun to explore the rotating credit association and the contribution that it has made to immigrant enterprise.

In short, the myth that you can't do it because you can't get the money is just that -- it's a myth.

There is a more complex myth I'd like to conclude with, and that is the myth of saturation. It's the idea that you can't rechannel people into self-employment who were unemployed or who were engaged in crime because there is no room, there are already all the firms that can be absorbed, and that if you try to rechannel people you are simply going to bump up against the fact that there is no It's like a crowded elevator. If you want to get in, you must first push somebody off. And therefore, that being the case, we are already at the limit.

It's a myth for two reasons: First, empirically it turns out to be a myth. When we look at the numbers, we find that there are no inverse correlations between how many of Group A are self-employed and how many of Group B are self-employed. Secondly, it's a myth conceptually because the existence of a limit doesn't prove that we are at the

⁻60 01 01

CBT/cs

limit.

In summary, I'd like to say entrepreneurship

needs rethinking, and that if we think about the subject we

can fairly conclude that something can be done through this

mechanism to reduce -- not to eliminate but to reduce -- the

severity of many of the problems that we encounter in

central cities and that are characteristic of our

Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you, Dr. Light.

Dr. Daniels.

disadvantaged population.

DR. DANIELS: Thank you. I'm going to be talking basically about three things. First, as a historian, I'm going to talk very briefly about the transformation of the Asian American experience, mostly in terms of numbers, since the Second World War. In the central part of my presentation, I'm going to make some comments on the draft staff report on "The Economic Status of Americans of Asian Descent." And then finally, I am going to make some very, very general recommendations.

The total Asian American population, including Hawaii, increased only by 400,000 persons in the first 50 years of this century. Another 300,000 were added between 1950 and 1960, more than half a million between 1960 and 1970, and more than 2 million between 1970 and 1980. And,

CBT/cs

if we believe the estimates of the Population Reference Bureau, 3 million more will have been added by 1990, for a projected total of 6.5 million persons of Asian American descent.

Since as recently as the 1970s, no expert would have predicted a population of even half that size. I really take a dim view of population projections for the year 2000, the year 2010, and 2025. All the population projections I've ever seen in the past have been grossly wrong on a long-scale basis, and I think we have every reason to suspect that the ones made now for more than a few years in the future will be wrong.

Even more startling has been the increase in the number of ethnic groups comprising Asian Americans. As recently as 1950, all but a few thousand of the nation's Asian Americans were one of three groups: Japanese, Chinese, and Filipinos. In 1980, the Census Bureau specifically named 12 different Asian ethnic groups. Most of this increase has come through immigration, and the Immigration Act of 1965, although there were important things happening before then, was an important factor.

We heard some things, by the way, about the history of immigration a few moments ago that would not be accepted by any scholar. I'd take up all my time if I talked about the conceptual and the factual errors made in

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the historical presentation. As far as the numbers are concerned, they were pretty good, but it reminded me of the mediocre pianist playing Mozart. The notes were all there, but there was no music.

What has happened is that from 1860 to 1920 -this is immigration generally -- the percentage of foreign born in our population was an amazing steady 13 to 14 percent. And I point out just in passing that this, of course, is the great age of economic growth in the United States, and I would like to suggest that those factors are probably not unrelated.

After the restrictive immigration of the 1920s, that figure, foreign born as a percentage of the total population, declined steadily with every census until 1970 when it hit 4.7 percent. It had been 13 to 14 percent from 1860 to 1920, and we made a political decision in 1920; we didn't want foreigners, especially certain kinds of foreigners. We made another decision in 1965, which isn't really reflected in the '70 census. But by 1980, the percentage of foreign born in the population was 6.2 percent, and it will probably be somewhere around 8 percent in the 1990 census. I'm not suggesting it's bound to go up after that.

In view of this, it seems to me the Commission's expressed concern about the economic situation of ethnic

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

groups, which are composed very largely of immigrants and their children, is wholly appropriate, and I commend it for doing so.

The Commission's draft study, "The Economic Status of Americans of Asian Descent," finds, to quote its executive summary:

"We do not find consistent evidence that the earnings of native-born Asian men are lowered across the board by labor market discrimination since native-born Chinese, Japanese, and Korean men earn about as much or more than non-Hispanic white men with comparable skills and characteristics. To the extent that labor market discrimination does affect the earnings of Asian immigrants, its adverse effect is overcome with time in the United We find no evidence that the earnings of Asian States. women -- native born or foreign born -- are lowered by labor market discrimination."

While these conclusions may well be technically correct, they are, I believe, misleading, provide an answer to the wrong question or questions, and are posited on assumptions that are fallacious. Perhaps the most patent fallacy, implicit in the entire report, is that there is an Asian American pattern which all groups have followed and will follow willy-nilly. One cannot, for example, make valid assumptions about Vietnamese, no major percentage of

whom are yet native-born adults, based on the past performance of second-, third-, or fourth-generation Japanese and Chinese Americans.

I'm going to skip some material here from my written summary.

My differences with the report are largely conceptual rather than factual, although there are a number of discrete erroneous statements in the historical section. And I do have some problems with the data. The major conceptual flaw, it seems to me, is the apparent search for what the report terms "labor market discrimination." There was a time in American history when explicit ethnic differentials existed.

In the early twentieth century, for example, one can find in the archives of American railroads ethnic-group-specific wage rates for certain kinds of common labor jobs:
"Americans" would be paid so much, members of various
European ethnic groups would be paid so much less, and
Asians and Mexicans would be paid even less. And the
railroads that I've examined were mostly northern railroads
and western railroads, and there were no black rates at all
because they didn't hire blacks. The Pullman Company did
but the railroads didn't.

This kind of labor market discrimination -- when

I write about it I call it discrimination by employers;

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"labor market" makes it sound a nice Smithian, invisible hand doing it, but discrimination is always done by somebody. This kind of labor market discrimination hardly exists today. It is not only illegal but I suggest that no significant group of employers is interested in pursuing such a policy.

As I read the report, its authors would judge that discrimination existed only if aggregate figures for Asian American employment showed clear and present wage discrimination. Certainly there are situations in which that kind of accounting can reveal discrimination. The wage data by gender, for example, show a bias against females in almost any way that they are arranged.

Why is such an approach inappropriate for judging whether discrimination against Asian Americans exists? There are number of reasons, some of which I will list, not necessarily in order of importance.

National aggregate data is misleading, first of all, because Asian Americans are concentrated in high-income In 1980, almost 60 percent of all Asian Americans lived in just three such states -- California, Hawaii, and New York -- and almost none live in the lowest income Thus, comparison of gross Asian American income data with gross non-Hispanic white income data, as the draft report does, understates income disparity. Such a

202-347-3700

comparison, if it is to be made, should be made with the income of non-Hispanic whites in the states where most Asians live.

The report tends to treat Asian Americans in some instances, or specific ethnic groups such as Chinese Americans or Japanese Americans in others, as if they were homogenous groups. In some instances, however, the gross data conceal as much as they reveal. And this is not a criticism of the data that was presented in the earlier panel, but almost all of it was gross data, but you've got to look beyond that.

Let me give you one example from the 1970 census educational data, comparing Chinese and Japanese. I'm gois to quote from a forthcoming book of mine. And I use the '70 data because this is published data, and in 1970 the Census Bureau published a volume on Asian Americans data that was this thick (indicating), and in 1980 that volume was this thick (indicating), even though there were more Asian Americans.

The gross educational data for the two communities, Chinese and Japanese, were similar: 68.8 percent of Japanese Americans 25 years of age or older were high school graduates, as compared with 68.1 percent of similar Chinese Americans. Japanese Americans had completed 12.5 medial school years as compared with 12.4 for Chinese.

CBT/cs

Gross data suggest that these are almost identical educational profiles. But when we look beyond, we find that more than one-quarter of the Chinese Americans had not completed elementary school, and that about the same percent were college graduates, whereas for Japanese Americans the comparable figures were about one-tenth not completing elementary school and one-sixth college graduates. These are very different figures. These are very different profiles when looked at that way.

One should also be aware that the census data does not tell you where the education was obtained. The teenager from Hong Kong with a high school degree, who gets into an American community college, may well not be able to cope because of his language difficulties, et cetera.

I also think the report overstates income, and in some ways it just eliminates certain people. The most curious elimination was the note to its Table 3.7 where they're talking about the income of Asian American married women, and the note says:

"Married women, here, include only women who are in marriages where husband and wife are of the same race and nativity."

Now, that's a very curious cutting out, and it talks about a lot of people -- why, for example, one should ignore the tens of thousands of Asian-born wives of American

CBT/cs

servicemen.

And in 1970 there was a wonderful map put out by the Census Bureau -- it didn't have any money to do this in 1980 -- which shows various ethnic groups by counties in color. And for most of the Asian ethnic groups -- they just did it for Chinese and Japanese, and for both of these groups you can locate most of the major military bases in the south of the United States because those are the counties in which there are large numbers of Asians, most of whom are women. These are left out.

Similarly, why they should ignore the thousands of Asian-born wives of Asian American born husbands I don't understand.

Let me close by giving you some seat-of-the-pants notions by one who has been a fairly close student of Asian American communities for more than three decades. The flowering of Asian Americans, as individuals and as communities, since the end of World War II has been exceptional and reflects great credit on both them and upon the society in which they have flourished. The literature — and especially the popular literature — has come to be dominated by the so-called model minority thesis, which I have criticized elsewhere and I'm not going to talk about here.

By the 1980s, journalists like Bruce Nelson of

1

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the Los Angeles Times were hailing people of Asian ancestry as "the nation's best-educated and highest-income racial group," while Newsweek in a feature article did the same kind of thing.

I'm going to skip some more literature.

There is a good deal of evidence, however, to suggest -- I'd say more than suggest but let's be modest -that many Asian Americans are not members of this model minority. A recently released analysis by the General Accounting Office, for example, reported the increasing prevalence of what it called sweatshops, and most of the employees in these sweatshops, it turns out, are either Hispanics or Asians, and almost all are immigrants.

The latest major components of the Asian American population are from Korea, India, and Southeast Asia. Although it is clear that there are significant poverty problems within each of these groups, they are statistically most significant among the Southeast Asians who are largely refugees from the war in Vietnam and its ongoing aftermath. The Census Bureau counted more than 300,000 such persons in 1980 -- 245,000 Vietnamese -- and some people just say "Vietnamese," but 47,000 Laotians, 16,000 Cambodians, and The Population Research Bureau estimates there will be over 850,000 Vietnamese by 1990, and over 700,000 other Asians, most of whom will be from other refugee

groups.

While every newspaper in America likes to run stories about the Vietnamese girl who wins the spelling bee -- and it's a wonderful story, and it was true in a couple of cases -- few explicate the wide cultural and economic gaps between the various groups and types of Southeast Asian refugees. Some, such as former high officials and well-to-do businessmen and their families, have come with capital and enjoy a prosperous lifestyle here. Former Air Marshal Ky is a good example. Most of these persons were already oriented to French culture. Others, like Vietnamese fisherfolk, have been able, with government assistance, to reestablish themselves on the American Gulf Coast, although not without both cultural and physical conflict. Many of you may have seen Louis Malle's film.

Many others, despite help from government and VOLAG sponsors, are having a difficult time. And let me just give you the census data on public assistance which nobody bothered to give.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Can we kind of summarize quickly, please.

PROFESSOR DANIELS: One piece of data and then I will be done. Then I will make a statement and be done.

In 1979, 28 percent of Vietnamese American households were receiving public assistance, and the figures

CBT/cs

for Laotians, Cambodians, and Hmong would have been higher had they been reported. The comparable figure for blacks was 23 percent, and it goes all the way down to Japanese at 4.2 percent.

My notion is, if the national poverty norm is 13 percent, that among Asian Americans taken as a total group it probably runs about 10 percent. That's 650,000 persons in 1990.

What should the Commission do? First of all, I would hope that it sees for itself. If its members have not already done so, I would suggest visits, with appropriate guides, to inner city ethnic enclaves in San Francisco or New York, a trip to some of the poorer Vietnamese communities in Orange County, an exploration of a Hmong settlement in San Jose and Minneapolis.

Armed with that and other knowledge, I would hope that the Commission endeavors to establish a level playing field which, in this instance, means, above all, intensive language training and the establishment of programs to create marketable job skills. If this is not done, we will surely witness the development of a new variant of the culture of poverty, Asian American style.

I'm sorry if I ran over.
COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you very much.
Dr. Justiz.

DR. JUSTIZ Thank you, Commissioner.

First of all, I want to thank you for the opportunity to be before you today. I am reassured by the foresight of this Commission in conducting these hearings, because I think that the issues you are raising are of critical importance to the future of our country.

I will ask you, if you would, to enter my remarks for the record. The data I will be sharing with you is based on an article that was recently published in the "Educational Record," which is a magazine of higher education of the American Council on Education. It is coauthored by a dear friend and colleague, Reggie Wilson, who is Director of Minority Concerns for the American Council, and a distinguished black educator and former college president.

I am deeply concerned about the future of our country. I am concerned about it because, as you look at the projections for the future, you see a tremendous demographic curve, principally made up of Hispanics and blacks. And you have to admit, if you look at the projections, which for the most part are very conservative, that our nation is changing dramatically right before our very eyes.

The concern I have is that as these dramatic demographic changes occur, what we are seeing on the other

ST/CS

hand is an acute decline in the participation rates of minorities, Hispanics, and blacks in our educational system, both in high school and particularly in college.

I submit to you that if we allow those trends to continue, what we will have in this nation in 30 years from now is basically the creation of an underclass. We're going to see large cohorts of our society, principally Hispanic, black, and other minorities, becoming increasingly disenfranchised and not participating in the opportunity that this nation has come to be known for. I am concerned that the trademark of America, the American dream and the opportunity for which our land has become known, may in fact become a thing of the past for minority cohorts.

If that happens, and if we allow this trend to continue, this nation will resemble more the political-socioeconomic makeup of a Latin American nation than the United States of America. And that to me is of deep concern and deep trouble.

You see, I believe in this land, I love our freedom and our democracy, and my concern is to preserve the integrity of our political system and the opportunity that America has become known for, that all of us in one fashion or another can talk about to the realization of our own American dream.

But the information is alarming. Let's take a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

look at the data. And I know all of us are familiar with it, but I think it's helpful to refresh our memory.

Based on the 1980 census, by the year 2000 one out of every three Americans will be nonwhite. Today we are a nation of 14.6 million Hispanics and 26.5 million blacks. By the year 2020 we will be a nation of 44 million blacks and 47 million Hispanics, even more Hispanics if the immigration rates continue to increase.

Now, there are other minorities that come into the picture, but I am focusing particularly on the Hispanic and black cohort because they are such a predominant proportion of our population projections.

Now, the total United States population by the year 2020 will be 265 million people, a very small increase from our current 238 million, and more than 91 million of those will be minorities -- mostly young with much higher fertility rates than the rest of the population.

Now, keep in mind that we are entering an era in which youth will be in short supply in America, and yet the increasing youth cohort will be made up of minorities, again principally Hispanic and black.

As we find a rapidly aging middle class retiring, their retirement income will be provided increasingly by the minority cohort. In the year 1992, three workers will provide funds for each retiree. One of the three will be a

CBT/cs

minority.

Now, the '80 census tells us that the average white person in the United States is 31 years of age, the average black is 25, the average Hispanic is 22. So you can see that the population projection in terms of fertility rates is definitely going to be with the minority cohort.

So not only are we seeing a tremendous increase in the number of the minority population, but the fertility rates are also going to be on the side of that population curve.

Now, the demographic trends reflect a dramatic increase in the minority population of our nation at a time when the dropout rate is at its highest point, and that is what I am concerned about. If you concur with the belief that education is the key to the realization of dreams, and that education is a key tool towards bettering yourself and participating in leadership roles in our society, then we are in for a very, very bad experience.

Let's look at some of the data for just a second. In the State of Texas, Commissioner Buckley, your home state — and this is not unusual; this is happening across the country — last year one first grader out of two was either black or Hispanic. However, by the ninth grade, the ratio decreased to one out of three either black or Hispanic. The reason for the decrease is that 50 percent of all Hispanic

students drop out before the ninth grade, the highest dropout of any ethnic minority group in the State of Texas.

But Texas is not unique. Take a look at New Jersey. Last year one ninth grader out of four was either black or Hispanic. If you look even further back down into the earliest grades, we find the ratio rises to one first grader in three either black or Hispanic.

These trends are occurring across the country. In Colorado, 25 percent of the K-12 student population is minority. Of the minority students entering the ninth grade, 50 percent drop out before they graduate.

Now, we have had some increase in high school graduation rates for minorities, but the increase has not been significant enough to offset the population boom. We have had some increase, however -- let me point that out. Sixty-five percent of blacks graduated from high school in 1975. That number went up to 76 percent by 1985.

Hispanics: 56 percent graduated from high school in 1975. The number went up to 63 percent by 1985.

However, their level of proficiency in academic skill has declined. Look at the 1985 SAT scores. Of the blacks who took the SAT during their senior year in high school, 73 percent scored below 400 on verbal, and 64 percent scored below 400 on math. They couldn't get into college for the most part.

CBT/cs

/cs

Hispanics: 59 percent scored below 400 on verbal, and 45 percent below 400 on math. The black students did better, even though there is still a lot of room for improvement: 31 percent scored below 400 on verbal, and 22 percent below 400 on math, but still better.

The dismal picture comes even more into play when you look at college participation rates. Now, remember what we talked about. We talked about leadership; we talked about opportunity. We're talking about a cohort of our population that is going to be one-third of our nation soon. And yet, we have a lower college attendance rate of blacks and Hispanics than we have ever had before.

From 1960 to 1980, the minority percentage of the college-age population was 15.5 percent. Based on the demographic projections, by the year 2000 the minority proportion of the college-age population will be 30.6 percent, and by the year 2025 it will be up to 40 percent of the college-age population. We're not saying attending college; we're saying college age, 18 to 24 year olds who are eligible to be attending college.

Black Americans: Of blacks who graduated from high school in 1976, 33.5 percent of them attended college. By 1985, black high school graduates were down to 26.1 percent attending college, a 7.4 percent decline. And yet, you see this population boom increasing.

s 1

Hispanics: 35.8 percent of the Hispanic high school graduates attended college in 1976. That number declined to 26.9 percent by 1985.

By 1986, 20 percent of whites over age 25 had completed four or more years of college. Only 10.9 percent of blacks and 8.9 percent of Hispanics had completed four or more years of college.

College enrollment rates for blacks and Hispanics continue to decline at alarming rates. From 1984 to '85, just to make the point even further, 80 percent of our undergraduate students in our 3,300 colleges and universities in this country were white and they received 85 percent of the baccalaureate degrees. Nine percent were black. They received 6 percent of the baccalaureate degrees. Four percent were Hispanic and they received 3 percent of the undergraduate degrees. All minority groups put together earned only 11.7 percent of the baccalaureate degrees in this nation in the year 1985.

Graduate-level participation is also dismal.

Minorities earned 10.4 percent of the master's degrees in 1985, 9.5 percent of the doctorates; 9.8 percent of minorities went to professional schools and completed a professional degree. So you see the acute underrepresentation there.

So we are seeing some improvement in high school

graduation rates, but concurrently we are seeing an increasing decline in college participation rates.

Let's look now at the underrepresentation of teachers and professors -- again a very, very dismal picture. If you look at the State of Colorado, for example, as I mentioned earlier, 25 percent of the student population K-12 is minority, yet less than 6.6 percent of the teachers are minorities.

If you look at the professorships across this great country of ours, in 1973 6.2 percent of the professors were minority. By 1985, that number had increased to 9.6 percent. But between '73 and '85, the minority population tripled in size in this country. Full professors -- I found out as a full professor at the University of South Carolina that I'm part of a very, very small minority. Less than 1.5 percent of full professors are Hispanic in this country; less than 2.3 percent are black.

The concern is that this is happening across the country. We have an underrepresentation of blacks, Hispanics, and other minorities in leadership roles in the business community, but what I found even more appalling is the underrepresentation in our political system.

Let's look at the United States Congress. Did you realize that in the United States Senate we have 2 percent of the Senate that's minority? We have no Hispanic

or black United States Senators. We have two Asian-Pacific Americans. That's it.

Governors: Four percent of the governors are minority: the Governor of Florida, Hispanic, and the Governor of Hawaii, Asian-Pacific American.

The United States House of Representatives -- we believe in a representative form of government -- 435 members: 22 black, 11 Hispanic, 6 Asian-Pacific Americans, 1 Native American, a grand total sum of 9 percent of the United States House of Representatives.

My concern is opportunity. You and I have had the opportunity. The increasing cohort of the Hispanic, black, and other minority population is not getting that opportunity. If that trend is allowed to continue, we are going to see a tremendous gap in the leadership of this country coming from the minority community, and what we in essence will have in this nation is the creation of an underclass. And that is a threat to our democracy and to our form of government.

We have to challenge the community. We've got to deal with the issue in a very public and straightforward fashion by taking the initiative and assuming a leadership role both at the Federal Government, the state government, and with the business community.

Ladies and gentlemen, we cannot continue to

•

1 |

operate under the premise that we live in a color-blind society. We've got to act on a problem of national significance and take action to increase opportunity and insure that the minority cohort is properly challenged and properly prepared to become part of the leadership structure of our society in the future.

Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you very much, Dr. Justiz.

Mr. Serrata.

MR. SERRATA: Thank you, Commissioner Buckley and other members of the Commission. It is indeed an honor for me to be here. Sitting here thinking in terms of 20 years ago, I was rereading some of the Commission reports from the late '60s and early '70s, and in a sense they were a reflection of my parents, thinking that my parents have a combined education of nine years, and that in a sense I am a product of many of the policies and recommendations made by preceding commissions, those being primarily affirmative action programs and those sorts of recommendations and enactments by the Federal Government.

So I am here at a time, in a sense -- and I will use the term "Latinos" instead of Hispanics, and perhaps in a question I can explain the difference -- when we, as the Professor here just mentioned, are increasingly becoming a

as highly linked.

larger and larger percentage of the U.S. population. I will look at that demographic change in a sort of different way, and I will compare the aging of the U.S. population in general, and particularly the aging of the Anglo population, and the youthfulness and the growing percentage of the population that is comprised of Latinos. They present seemingly different and disparate occurences, but I see them

And not to go over all the numbers -- Professor

Justiz just went through them very eloquently and paints

actually a picture that doesn't give room for much hope. On
the other hand, I think that there is hope.

So if we look at the aging of the population, we know that except for the baby boom generation, which I happened to miss by one year -- I was born in 1944; the baby boom generation was primarily a 1945 through 1965 occurrence -- there has been nearly a century of decline in fertility in the U.S. population and also an increased longevity. We are living a longer time. Therefore, what we have is people living longer and more of us in a sense living together.

So the consequences of this aging population are what? Well, for one, as the elderly, primarily Anglo baby boomers are retiring over the next 20 or 30 years, in a sense we will be losing their economic contribution to the U.S. economy -- a powerful contribution, if I might say.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will also have an increase in needs for income maintenance -- social security and other programs. And we will certainly have a need for increased medical services and other programs of support for the elderly. Those, as we know, are very expensive programs.

So if by 2030 the U.S. population is approximately 22 percent of those 65 and older, the order of magnitude based on our projections is such that 65 percent of the federal budget would have to be spent on maintenance programs for the elderly. There is just no way that our Federal Government could ever sustain such an expenditure.

So what are the occurrences?

Well, for one, they will ask the states to bear some of the burden, and certainly the states cannot bear the burden so they will ask the counties to share in that So the maintenance of those retirees and those older folks will be shared throughout the system.

The intergenerational compact that we all know -and most of us that still have parents and have children know that there is a link, unspoken and perhaps unwritten, but there is a link between the way we care for our children and the way in the future we hope to care for our parents as they retire and as they get out of the active work place. So intergenerational compact will be seriously strained.

Will, in fact, the young population be willing to

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

invest in the elderly? And in a sense, will the elderly be interested in maintaining the educational status, the educational programs, the educational systems of the young? There will be powerful social policy issues to be looked at.

So if we look at the Latino population, it's doubling nearly every 10 years, and we all know the numbers. Again, contributing to that -- high fertility due to youthfulness and high immigration rates.

And if we project again through 2030, in assuming that fertility will decline, which we think it will, and if we assume heroic proportions of the capabilities that Commissioner Ezell mentioned to somehow or other decrease the flow of immigrants to this country, in California by the year 2030 Latinos will increase from 5.6 million to 12.3 million people in the state -- a large percentage of the State of California, from 20 percent to 38 percent of the state's population. Latinos will comprise that total.

And the age structure of Latinos again will be quite young. We will average approximately 22 years of age, where Anglos will average approximately 34 years of age. And if we take a look at Mexico, which is our primary sending country of immigrants, their average age in Mexico is 17 years old. Other Central American countries are even down to 16 years old. So our sending pool is quite a youthful one, so the youthfulness of our Latino population.

CBT/cs

.11

will continue in that vein.

By 2010 the stratification, the age and ethnic stratification, will be well under way. In California we know that that is happening now, and some of you might have seen the article in the L.A. Times yesterday saying that minorities are now a majority in the state schools. Well, again in 20 or 30 years, the state population in general will have to say that and will have to make that claim. Latinos, blacks, Asians, and others will comprise the majority.

For example, of all the children by the year 2030, 50 percent will be Latino, 30 percent Anglo, 20 percent black and Asian. Of working-age adults, 40 percent will be Latinos, 40 percent Anglo, and 20 percent black and Asian. Of the elderly, 20 percent will be Latinos, 60 percent Anglo, and 20 percent black and Asian. So that that age stratification and that ethnic stratification is certainly very clear.

So what are the social policy issues here? It can be two sorts of example. For example, one, again, that that commitment either to maternal or child health maintenance versus geriatrics — that's a difficult policy decision to make. Will the aging population be willing to keep its commitment to the youth through education funding programs, elementary, secondary, post-secondary? And as we

Nationwide Coverage

have seen recently in several elections, bonds for building schools, et cetera, have all been defeated -- soundly defeated.

Because of the age structure, many issues that might otherwise only be seen as age- or race-related issues certainly will have civil rights implications, and I certainly understand this is probably the reason for hearings such as this. Those are very powerful questions.

Again, as someone asked me at a conference just the other day, a young man asked me, "If this is a democracy, then the aging who vote in great numbers, as compared to the youth who vote in very low numbers, if they decide not to invest, that's their right."

As a Commission on Civil Rights, I think we have to in a sense think of this more as a social policy question and not to get to that level, because the decisions will be made, and it will be a powerful consequence for us as a country if in fact we make those wrong choices.

Also, the opportunity I see, instead of looking at the numbers as dismal for our future as minorities and in fact as a country, if we look at the problem of our underachievement, if we look at the problem as Commissioner Ezell does as an invasion of brown faces -- "invasion" is a hostile term -- if we look at it that way, we are going to do things such as "English only" initiatives. We're going

to pass what some consider to be a shot at immigrants, which is the Immigration Reform and Control Act. There are several punitive things in it.

And there are other restrictive measures that are in place. For example, at-large elections. These are all issues that are being dealt with daily in the courts and many other places. If we look at it that way, I think the future is not one that we would like to be involved in.

But as I'd like to think, and as I'd like to urge the Commission to recommend, for example, if we see Latinos as a possible answer to many of the problems that will be created by this retiring aging Anglo population, our contribution to that labor pool, our contribution to the economic well-being through education, through other job training programs, et cetera, will result in such a way that we can fill that void. We can meet the needs of the aging as well as the needs of the young people in this country for education, and certainly for careers for those of us who have finished our education.

To give you an example in California, how that would act out, the contribution of Latinos to the economic well-being of the state: The state's total income, if we maintain the 1985 differential earnings between groups, will rise from \$320 billion in 1985 to \$804 billion by the year 2030. And if we assume again a declining fertility and a

lower rate of immigration to this country, and assuming that we have invested in the Latino minority communities and they in fact are producing at the levels that we require in this state, the state's total budget would rise to \$1.37 trillion by the year 2030. This amount is \$570.7 billion more than baseline projections. Earned income would rise to \$898 billion, and the needs of the elderly would rise only to \$153.6 billion. This would be more than offset by the increase in total earned income. So the tradeoff is not one, I think, that we can see as a loss to us.

The options to me and to the staff of the Chicano Studies Research Center are fairly clear. The balancing act between the needs of the elderly and the young can be offset by an equal investment in Latinos and other minorities in the state and throughout the country. A failure to invest in these communities could well result in our communities remaining split. The possibility of a Lebanon-type situation of disparate groups living in different places and not communicating is a possibility, and I don't believe that this Commission nor I would like to live in those kinds of environments, nor would we like to have our children live in those kinds of environments.

Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you, Mr. Serrata.

Dr. Leonard, please.

CBT/cs

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

DR. LEONARD: Thanks for inviting me. I brought along some copies of my comments, and I believe they are actually on the floor to the left of Mrs. Buckley.

I would like to highlight for you some of the implications of the changes in demographics that were discussed this morning for our regulations and laws concerning employment discrimination.

I think some of the largest changes that were outlined this morning is the tremendous growth we can expect in the Hispanic and Asian population, each of which is projected to grow by the year 2000 by nearly half. a tremendous change that is going to erode the majority position of whites in this country, and you can expect in time that to have both political and economic repercussions when those raw numbers get organized into power.

Proceeding into the next century, blacks will become not only a minority but a minority of minority population. And the flip side of that coin is that I believe Hispanic concerns will increase. I think that brings a few new dimensions to our discussion of civil rights.

The first of those is an increase in the concern with the language issues and language rights. To give you an example, under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, I think it will become a little bit harder to invalidate the

s

business necessity of bilingualism or of Spanish-speaking workers when an increasing share of their co-workers, supervisors, and customers are Hispanic-speaking. That is important when you realize that language proficiency is a major component of the observed earnings differentials between Hispanics and whites. It is also important when you realize that the use of English has historically been a great forge of commonality across diversive immigrant groups in this country.

The second major issue, I think, the growing share of Hispanics among minorities, brings more attention to issues of immigration. Obviously, we have a new immigration law, but there is something else to consider.

As our labor force growth rate decreases, as it is projected to in the future, there will be increasing pressure to fill many of those jobs. And I believe that pressure will continue to lead to some employers trying to fill those jobs with illegal immigrants.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: May I ask you to speak very directly into the microphone. It's very hard for them to hear you.

DR. LEONARD: I'm sorry.

The second major change we would be seeing is an aging of the work place. As the population ages, we will get lower labor force growth rates. We will have fewer new

CBT/cs

2

1

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entrants to absorb, so for that reason alone we can expect lower unemployment.

Also, in a tighter labor market, there will be greater pressure to utilize some labor groups that have previously been ignored. The wages of young relative to old are expected to increase as the relative numbers of young people decrease. And I think importantly, as you get an older work force, you get a work force with more experience, and that means a work force with greater productivity and a work force with greater stability.

The BLS is projecting that the median age of the work force will rise to about 39 years old by the turn of That has some important implications for the century. regulation. That means that half the work force will be covered directly under the Age Discrimination Act. employers, that means they are going to be more restricted in their room for adjustment with half their work forces on average covered under the Age Discrimination Act. that the amount of attention drawn to issues of pension and health insurance funding and rights will increase. It also means, I believe, that as the demographic bulge moves through increasingly narrow corporate hierarchies, you're going to see more age discrimination cases.

At the same time, we could expect, after a generation of enforcement of the Civil Rights Act, that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

seniority issues that have proved so divisive and that have been used to tar unions in the past -- those should eventually fade as the average seniority of minority groups, certainly of women and blacks, approaches that of whites.

Now, it is interesting to note that the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission does no monitoring of the Age Discrimination Act, certainly nothing comparable to what they do for race and sex discrimination. And it is also interesting to note that there is no equivalent affirmative action policy for older workers.

For gender issues, the female share of the work force is projected to increase its growth. Relatively little of that past growth, I believe, is directly traceab! to our affirmative action policies of the past. Some of the issues that the increasing female share of the work force raise we have already seen -- unisex funding of pension and health insurance, a lot of attention now to compensation Comparable worth is a policy that is designed schedules. not to break down the barriers of the female occupation ghetto but rather to gild the ghetto. And I believe we have seen the limits of those policies in the past. We can also, I think, expect to see continued attention drawn to pregnancy rights, child care, maternity leave, and issues such as that.

Let me turn now to the shifts that we can see on

25

/cs 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the employer's side of the picture. There are three major shifts, I believe, that we can see. There is a continuing growth of the service sector, a decline in union jobs, and an increasing share of employment in small establishments.

Now, those might not have obvious implications for civil rights, but I believe they do have some important It is more difficult to enforce implications. antidiscrimination law at smaller establishments, and part of that is simply a statistical effect. It's much harder to prove any statistical hypothesis, including discrimination, when you have a smaller number of employees. proportion of the employment that is in small establishments increases, it will be more difficult to bring adverse impact types of cases. And I believe it is difficult to expect affirmative action to fill the gaps since the numbers of small employers are just way beyond what the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs have ever had or we could expect it to have the manpower or the money to enforce.

The decline of unions means that an alternative dispute resolution mechanism, and a decentralized one which has proven to have some success in the past, will be less available as an outlet.

The shift toward service sector jobs also means a shift towards relatively unstable jobs. The service sector

tends to have higher turnover rates. That means you can expect, on the one hand, more disputes about discharges and, on the other hand, perhaps shorter-duration jobs.

The educational issues, I believe, are also quite important. During the past two decades, and probably even before that, the fastest growing employers in this country, even within particular industries, have been those with the greatest hiring of white collar workers. It is the white collar intensive work forces in this country that have grown the fastest, and that is consistent with the Bureau of Labor Statistics' projections of the demand for skilled workers.

What I believe that means is that there will be increasing emphasis and increasing importance on access to education and access to training. Given the kinds of evidence we have heard about the minority educational levels, that raises a specter of a mismatch between the skill needs that our economy needs to grow and the kinds of investments we are currently making in education and training.

On the growing internationalization of the U.S. economy, as a side note it's worth noting that foreign ownership of U.S. business is increasing. Those businesses are generally covered under Title VII, but it adds an additional dimension to the regulation because it adds a sort of layer of international conflict. And this country

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has signed certain treaties that limit the application of antidiscrimination laws to the very top layers of some multinational corporations.

A further change on the employment side of this is that we are beginning to see a fuzzing of the lines, a blurring of the lines, between employees and employers. That is coming along with the growth of subcontracting in the economy, and the growth of transient arm's-length relationships between employers and either subcontract labor forces, temporary work forces, or transient work forces.

What that means, I believe, is that there is an increased opportunity for some employers to discriminate not directly by who they employ but indirectly by who they choose to subcontract with. The subcontracting decision -there are obviously increased opportunities to cloak that as a business decision, so it's a little bit more difficult to attack in the courts.

We can look forward to increasing suburbanization of employment as jobs disperse from the central city, but I believe the implications of that for minority unemployment have been grossly exaggerated. In Los Angeles, for example, there is no evidence that suburbanization of jobs can explain very much of the higher unemployment rates of The technological advances that we can see coming do raise, I believe, opportunities for a number of

CBT/cs

handicapped groups, and that is probably the most important good news in all this.

And finally, on the employer demand side, we have had on the state level growth of employment-at-will doctrines that have independently led to the formalization and rationalization of a lot of personnel systems, and that offers a whole other level of protection for people who depend on the federal policy.

Let me just briefly review some of the major changes in federal policy as I see them in recent years, and I'll talk just about the EEOC and affirmative action.

pattern and practice cases under Title VII. Its rapid charge-processing system has, I believe, degenerated into a system that is equivalent to parking tickets for employment discrimination, although it certainly has reduced the backlog of charges.

Affirmative action as a policy -- I think if we look at the history in this area, what we see is that as a policy it can be made to work; it can also be made to fail. During the '70s it was quite successful, and it was successful without the use of quotas. It was successful without targeting reviews more at firms that had the least proportion of minorities and females. I always think it's remarkable that during that period you can find a number of

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

large employers with no minorities, no females, and no compliance reviews by the OFCCP.

I also think it's remarkable that during those years, if you look at the question of whether the system of goals and timetables were quotas, what you see is that firms that were promising to increase, for example, female share from 25 percent to about 26 percent, in fact made no They ended up where they started. I don't believe changes. that anybody can look at those numbers and call that a quota system.

The affirmative action program throughout its history depended on the government taking relatively symbolic actions to increase the threat of enforcement. believe in the last eight years the government has been unwilling to take those actions. It has also reduced the use of sanctions. There are far fewer back pay awards, far fewer debarments than there were before 1980. consequence, if you look at the success of affirmative action after 1980, I think it's fair to say that in effect there has been no affirmative action through the Contract Compliance Program since 1980.

I say that because, if you look at the employment growth rates of minorities and females at contractors, compared to the growth rates at noncontractors, you don't see a difference. There is no observable impact of

affirmative action after 1980.

Let me just end by saying that the pure redistribution programs are always the most divisive. The programs that perhaps we can expect to see the greatest policy changes on in the future are those that offer more widely shared rewards, including education and training programs, and programs that embrace widely shared ideals, and I believe antidiscrimination is a widely shared ideal in this country.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you.

Would you pass the microphone over, and Dr. Cain, would you please proceed.

PROFESSOR CAIN: Thank you.

I am a political scientist, and I have been charged with the task of talking about the political implications of immigration and changing demographics, which I will do.

The image I think most people have of the impact of this changing demographic scene is that it will result in enormous political change, if not in the country certainly in states like California and Texas where there has been a fair amount of in-state migration.

The reality of that is that such political change will be much delayed and perhaps never realized because of

CBT/cs

2

3

1

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

some factors I'm going to talk about. While it is projected that in the year 2010 the population in California will be less than 50 percent white, I would say that by the year 2010, even if those figures come true, it is quite likely that the electorate will still be white, and I'll talk about why that is true.

And my second point will be that as a result of this gap between the demography and the political power, there is enormous frustration with the system, and those frustrations are going to crop up continually at the local government level. And certainly a lot of that will be resolved at the courts, will be in the hands of the Justice Department and the Supreme Court. But I am going to suggest that there are some other things that might be undertaken by local towns and counties to deal with the situation in a more creative and perhaps less litigious manner.

First, why this gap between the demography and the political power? Well, the fact is that most immigrant groups, most minority groups, suffer from the triple whammy of a large percentage of noncitizen, a very young age distribution, and low socioeconomic status.

In terms of noncitizenship, the Irish that came over in the 1840s, many of them could vote and participate in the electoral system almost immediately. people being taken off the boats and enlisted in the

machines in the inner city.

In subsequent years, we have increased the stringency of our registration laws. The naturalization process has lengthened considerably. So it turns out that the political gestation period is just much longer. It takes much longer as compared to that first wave of immigrants in the 1840s for an individual to go from noncitizen status to citizen status to participating citizen status. So any look at the population and the likely political power of that population has to adjust for noncitizen. And when you do that, you suddenly see that the potential power drops enormously.

Secondly, age. It was mentioned earlier that

Latinos in particular are a very young population. Their

average age is about 22. That means you have a lot of

people who are under the age of 18 who cannot vote, many of

them in the schools, et cetera. So populations that have

that kind of age distribution are going to be

disproportionately represented in the electorate.

Thirdly, low socioeconomic status. Insofar as there are any iron laws in political science -- and I said insofar as there are any -- the one that crops up in every country, in every context, is that groups who have lower education in particular, but also lower income, are going to be less participatory, unless there are other kinds of

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supporting mechanisms -- strong party structures, get-outthe-vote campaigns, et cetera -- that are going to bring them to the polls. It is the middle class that consumes politics, that enjoys politics, that will do it without much prompting. And in every society it is the lower educated, lower income individuals that have to be assisted to participate in the political process.

On that scale, that international scale, the United States is further in the direction of putting the initiative on the individual as compared to other countries where the state takes the initiative to get people involved in the political process, either by compelling them to vote or making sure that they are registered. And that is a more onerous system for low socioeconomic individuals.

Now, I should say not only is the kind of conventional education and income a problem, but in the case of the Asian Americans we have something that I don't think is very well understood, which is very low participation from a group that in every other indicator ought to be participating very highly. I don't have time to go into it. There are a variety of theories as to why that may be true, and it may be cultural. It may have to do with organization. It may be linguistic, time to get used to the communications. But that is an additional problem that we don't very well understand, but it does inhibit Asian

American participation.

So we have the gap, and the gap shows up over and over again. You look at California, and you see that 19 percent, probably by now 23 to 25 percent, of the population is Hispanic. But if you look at the electorate, it's more like 12 to 14 percent, when you take into account those who are eligible to vote, those who are registered to vote, and those who actually vote. The triple whammy hits in, and the participation rates are lower.

Redistricting design. I was the state redistricting consultant in 1980 for the Assembly. I was a city redistricting consultant last year when we settled the lawsuit with the Justice Department, and I have gone by tract by tract, block by block, trying to design districts to match federal requirements. And you discover that certain areas of the city of Los Angeles, you can build a district that looks like it is a fair opportunity, a fair break, for the Asian or the Latino population, but when you actually look at the number of voters that are in that seat, you see that it's appalling low.

In Richard Alatorre's seat, the 14th City Council seat, 75 percent Latino, and yet only 50 percent of the electorate was Latino, and that was after many, many years of voter registration as a result of a recall fight.

When we built the Florio Melina seat in response

to the Federal Government, we could get it up to 60 to 65 percent Latino, but if you centered it in downtown Pico Union area, where the immigrants tend to live, you could have less than 25 percent of that seat being registered to vote.

So the gap appears over and over again.

One last thing. In a study we did with the Los Angeles Times a year or so ago, we discovered that despite fairly equal population increases in the white side of Los Angeles City and the nonwhite section of Los Angeles City, the participation of the electorate was such that it was about a 60-40 split, that is, that the white areas of the city constituted 60 percent of the electorate, even though they constituted 49 percent of the population, and that that trend was being exacerbated because the kind of immigration you were getting among the nonwhite was largely this individual that has to get naturalized and move through the gestation period. The white immigration into the state is affluent, middle-class professional moving into the San Fernando Valley of the west side, and they are going to get into the system much faster.

Two things I will mention very briefly that are additional problems for minorities. We don't have time to go into it. But a second problem in addition to the gap is the fact that there is an enormous heterogeneity of interest

in minority groups. You can't see them as an automatic coalition. Even the Asians are not an automatic coalition. There are tremendous differences between the different Asian groups and their political attitudes.

Asians as compared to Latinos and blacks. Asians went for Reagan in 1984 in this state. They were registering equally between Republican and Democratic Party in a state that is about 51-37.

Blacks and Hispanics. On many issues blacks and Hispanics are very close, but on the issue of Simpson-Mazzoli -- and I picked that up from a comment that somebody made earlier -- on the issue of Simpson-Mazzoli, there were disagreements between the black community and the Hispanic community, whether they are justified or not.

So there is a heterogeneity of interest. So even if you get people participating, the white fear that somehow this is going to be one cogent interest that is always going to combine really I don't think will ever materialize. The Republican fear that they will all become Democrats also will not materialize. So if I were a Republican consultant, I would say, "Look, it's not a bad idea to actually increase the quota from Asia. You will probably get a Republican realignment a lot faster if you do that than if you wait for the conversion of Southern whites" -- at least if the polls are to be believed.

CBT/cs

/cs 1

One last thing I want to say, and that is that the whole context of American politics has changed since the 1840s in ways that don't make it easier for non-middle-class people to participate. The party structure is weaker. Parties are there to mobilize. They are not there now, but they served that purpose in the 1840s.

The role of money. Money now is much more important in American politics than it was in the earlier period, and poor socioeconomic, lower socioeconomic disadvantaged communities don't have that money.

The campaign finance laws. When we undertake reform, our ideal is that everybody gives \$250, but that's easier to do if you're in the San Fernando Valley or if you're in Pasadena than if you are a poor person in the Pico Union area, et cetera.

So all of this contributes to increasing frustration with the system, and I could go on, but I won't. We have had challenges in the state to the City of Los Angeles redistricting lines. We have had a suit in Watsonville, Pomona. There are suits pending in San Diego and Los Angeles County.

Now, certainly one route is the route of litigation, and I happen to believe in the Voting Rights Act and I happen to like the enforcement of the Voting Rights Act. But in general, I'd like to see the political system

do something as well. That is to say, I wish it weren't all resolved by the courts. A lot of political scientists -- well, not a lot, but a significant segment of us are beginning to try to get local towns and counties to think about other ways of doing business other than at-large elections or even single-member simple plurality. As a gerrymanderer, I can tell you that, yes, we can change the lines to accommodate the interest of various groups, but it tends to produce a backlash from others because the lines are ugly and it is very obvious what you're doing.

what we might think about doing is looking at some mechanisms called semiproportional systems, limited vote, cumulative vote systems, the thrust of which, the basic principle of which, is that they deny the ability of the majority to control every seat.

In a single-member system, you could theoretically have 435 seats, all of them splitting 51-49, so that somebody could get 49 percent of the vote and zero seats. It's not a mechanism that is designed to give you any kind of proportional representation. Proportional representation, such as they use in Europe, is usually compatible with a very strong party system, and I don't think most Americans have the stomach for it -- too bad, but they don't. Semiproportional systems don't require that. I don't have time to tell it in great detail, but it's

CBT/cs

something, if I were your commission, I would encourage

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

.11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

people to think about a little bit more.

And, finally, I think more thought should be given to registration and making registration easier for

minority groups of various kinds.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Thank you very much.

We will now go into our question-and-answer portion of this panel. We don't have a lot of time. And, again, you have given us a lot of things to think about.

We'll start with Commissioner Destro, and note that we have some time constraints. We want to break for lunch so these people can also have some rest.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Where to start.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: First by speaking into the microphone.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate that.

The real issue that lies underneath all of this, for us at least, is how this is going to have a major impact on either how civil rights policy is envisioned -- and I found Professor Cain's comments with respect to different ways of envisioning voting as very useful and intriguing.

With respect to other types of enforcement policies, I'm sure everyone else would have other comments.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the thing that concerns me the most, and which is going to be, I think, part of the focus of our forum when we meet in Washington in the spring, is how these other policies, other policies like educational policies, policies like how one deals with the needs of the elderly -whether or not those are going to be dealt with under the rubric of discrimination, under the rubric of civil rights laws, or are they going to be dealt with as meeting an educational need, meeting a geriatric need, which will then filter down through the population.

Even to Dr. Leonard's point, which I know is hotly disputed with respect to the EEOC, the EEOC argues very strongly that they have got a very good record in the last few years. But my question goes more to the question of how are pattern and practice losses going to help you if you have an ill-educated work force. No amount of affirmative action is going to help someone who can't read.

So the question, at least for me, is: these policies intersect? How do you parse out the education parts? How do you parse out the geriatric parts? How do you speak in terms of -- as Mr. Serrata said, how do you get people to stop thinking in majority-versus-minority terms and speak in terms of what you should do, and speak more in terms of: What do we need to do to have a result? Because you can certainly see that disproportionate impact

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with respect to discrimination -- you know, it filters down through, and you have a disproportionate impact. If you start focusing on educational needs, you will also have a disproportionate impact, but it will be a positive one, because the greatest needs are in the minority populations.

And I'll just turn to any member of the panel who would like to talk about that relationship, and since it's going to fall to me and the other members of the subcommittee to conceptualize that last forum, how do you split out or how do you start to conceptualize this notion of where the relationship between civil rights and other policies are?

It's not a clear question, but we don't have the idea thought out very well, and you could very much help us with that.

That question is for any member CHAIRMAN ALLEN: of the panel. And let me say while you're deciding whom to address it, we should have said we're going to have to limit each Commissioner to one question with no follow-up.

I'd just like to contribute a MR. SERRATA: little bit to that. At UCLA we have a Committee on Diversity, as it's known. We're looking specifically at the Much was said here, for example, on I believe curriculum. the stereotype, if you will, that Asians are all succeeding throughout the system, that they are doing well at all

facets of life. Yet, articles have come out, not only in the L.A. Times but in academic journals that, in fact,
Asians do not rise to all levels of decisionmaking. They are good workers and they are all successful and they achieve highly in everything, but in fact they do not rise

-- and again, it has a lot to do with stereotypes, of how we stereotype ourselves.

At UCLA in particular, what we are arguing is that there is no contradiction between affirmative action and excellence. The concept that has been argued in the past, at least to the last meetings of a couple of months ago, is: How are we going to achieve affirmative action and excellence at the same time? And we are arguing that there is no dividing those issues. We are not only affirmative action meaning remedial students. We are achievers, and we have these skills that the university needs for excellence.

because Los Angeles, that's what it is, and will be a larger and larger percentage of minority people, minority groups. The Asians have a powerful struggle against the University of California, and their battle is not an affirmative action battle. Their battle is power. They are overachieving against whites, and that's the issue.

So in response to your question, it's an attitude, an attitude change, that there is no contradiction

CBT/cs

between excellence and affirmative action for underrepresented groups.

That's how I would begin the discussion, and I think it translates from the aged to the young, that the tradeoff is of mutual benefit to all of us. I know it's hard to believe that we're going to change our attitudes, but in some cases we have no choice. And in this case of the University of California, I think they feel that they have no choice.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Commissioner Chan, any question?

COMMISSIONER CHAN: No, but I guess Dr. Destro

has already expressed what I have in mind. But I believe if

you ask some of the people, they have a different vision of

affirmative action and the excellency. I think you are

referring to the faculties and the students both, aren't

you?

MR. SERRATA: I'm referring to all components -- staff, top administration.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Yes, all components. What I'm trying to say is there is always two sides to a coin, and I have no comment so far.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Director Prado.

MS. PRADO: Dr. Justiz, on your statistics, do the higher education statistics include vocational training schools, or what role do they play in the statistics you

were talking about?

DR. JUSTIZ: The statistics included primarily the students in the transfer program going onto the baccalaureate degree, to achieve a bachelor's degree.

MS. PRADO: I guess my question is: Then your statistics don't reflect the role of specialized schools, like computer training schools or skills schools, in terms of a high school graduate that does not go to college but instead goes into a vocational program?

MR. SERRATA: That's right. But what we find is the majority of minority students in fact are going to the military. The military has been very, very successful in recruiting blacks and Hispanics into its ranks. Probably anything could be said, it's that the higher education communication has a great deal to learn from the military in terms of effective recruitment techniques. And they are performing very, very successfully in the military.

By the way, I wanted to comment on something that Professor Cain said -- and, by the way, I concur totally with him. But one thing I feel very strongly is that when you look at the gap in demography and political power, one of the principal problems is that the educational system has not met its obligation, that we have to do a better job in American education in teaching the values and principles of democracy, and that voting is a fundamental front-and-center

CBT/cs

value that has to be incorporated and integrated into the value system of all new immigrants and in minority youth.

And I think that can be taught and valued and acted on very readily if we make that a priority. And I think it's critical we start doing more of that.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: I just had a quick question to follow on your military, and it's just a request for information, actually.

If there is information available with respect to what the minorities being recruited into the military are doing afterwards, that would also be useful, too, because there are funds available for going to college and such.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: I didn't pay for this mike but I'm taking it.

Vice Chairman Friedman.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Let's see if I can tie a couple of you together with this question. Since so many of the issues that we are grappling with now transcend discrimination in its traditional and well-accepted form, I'm just wondering what ways of public policies, that we as a Civil Rights Commission might endorse or try to stimulate, can be harnessed in two areas particularly: for Ivan Light who seems to be stressing very heavily the concept of organizational behavior on the part of individual groups and the ways in which they organize themselves. I think another

CBT/cs

way of putting it is the cultural systems of groups and the way these systems lead to success in our society.

So I'd like Ivan to tackle that one, but most particularly in terms of the public policy implications there.

And then Jonathan Leonard on the issue of, say, William Wilson's concept of jobs going overseas, the dislocations created by past discrimination, et cetera — what are the public policy implications of these kinds of issues?

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: First Dr. Light.

PROFESSOR LIGHT: As far as public policy implications are concerned, I tried to tell you what I thought they were, namely, that it is possible to redirect people --

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Could you speak directly into your microphone.

PROFESSOR LIGHT: I tried to explain what I thought some of them were, that it's possible to redirect people into legitimate entrepreneurship particularly from crime, with a lot of benefit to society.

But speaking to the issue of cultural systems of groups, what can public policy be toward this? There is evidence that cultural systems of groups do include entrepreneurship. But insofar as that's the only thing

CBT/cs

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're dealing with, that's really outside the sphere of public policy.

However, one could say that that's not all there There's a portion of it which may be idiosyncratic, and a portion of it which is not idiosyncratic to a particular group. It's the latter portion, the portion which is not idiosyncratic, which public policy could tackle.

So, for example, if we switched to basketball and we look at people who are successful in basketball, we find that it helps to be 7 feet tall. Now, public policy can't do anything about the fact that you're only 5 feet tall. you're only 5 feet tall, that's a problem.

But there's more to it than that, of course. There also is a certain amount of time spent dribbling and practicing and so forth, and that's something the public policy can do. So if we studied basketball high achievers and how they succeed, we'd want to distinguish that which is, so to speak, idiosyncratic about them, their being 7 feet tall, and that which we can take over as part of public policy, namely that they practice a lot, they like basketball, they throw a lot of baskets.

And similarly with entrepreneurs. If we study the Koreans, as I have done -- and they are very supersuccessful as immigrant entrepreneurs and we want to know ٠,

CBT/cs

what can we do with this -- well, we can't make everyone into a Korean. This we cannot do.

But there are some things the Koreans do that we can learn from, and I'll give you a very simple example. Their newspapers contain a lot of information about how to run a business -- just in the newspaper. You open the newspaper and it says, "Here is this kind of a business, and this is how you get into it, and this is where it's located, and this is how much you should pay for it, and this is the kind of thing you should stress in this neighborhood" -- a very simple little thing like that. To read that, you must be able to read Korean, so a non-Korean cannot read it.

But there is in principle nothing about this the couldn't be taken over and become a part of public policy, and there are a number of other aspects of the entrepreneurship game which are similar in character.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you.

Dr. Leonard.

DR. LEONARD: I'll try to answer your question and Commissioner Destro's at the same time. It seems to me there are certainly things like education that are very important in determining the employment success of minorities and whites. But I think it is still important to have a civil rights policy that focuses on equal access and equal opportunity to those educational opportunities.

That's where I would draw the dividing line.

As for the job dislocation issue, fortunately we are blessed with quite a flexible work force. The kinds of policies that could help people in that area are better information about where jobs are growing. And I suppose the civil rights component might be greater stress on the education and training aspects of affirmative action.

The way we have succeeded and the way we probably will succeed in world business competition is in high value-added industries, and that is going to take increasing investment in education and increasing investment in R&D. I don't see those particularly as civil rights issues unless different groups don't have equal access to those policies. That's the civil rights issue to me.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Buckley.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Not at this time.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: No questions, and the last will come to me, which will come in the form primarily of an observation simply because I think these matters need to be entered on the record.

I believe it is correct to say that the EEOC does monitor age discrimination. We at least had a report to that effect as long ago as one year, and recognition of the increasing occurrence of complaints about sexual harassment

/cs

and age discrimination. And, indeed, I think they closed a major litigation within the past month in Michigan on age discrimination grounds.

Similarly, I would like to point out with respect to pattern and practice or class actions that they have achieved records in that area that exceed anything previously attained. Just in the last year, 50 percent of their cases were of that variety, with rewards exceeding anything ever before attained through such actions.

I would also note that the Asian report, for the record, does indeed control for regional differences in dealing with the statistics. I have been through the report quite carefully, and while it may not satisfy everyone's particular concern, it certainly does scrupulously make explicit exception of the kinds of things that were discussed earlier.

I have one question, which should take a relatively short answer. I suppose it would go to Mr. Cain, although anyone might want to answer it. It's a question, however, that involves what everyone has talked about, namely, the so-called majority of minorities in America's future. We all like slogans in America, and we catch a phrase that has a turn to it, and we like to repeat it.

We're not always sure of the substance, though.

What my question went to was precisely the

i/CS

question of what that might mean or whether in fact it would be more realistic for us to think that what we have known in the past we'll continue to know in the future.

Let me just give the example of what I'm talking about to see whether we need to reconsider the notion of a majority of minorities.

It could look quite white on one ground, on one basis of assumptions. If one takes some of the assimilation studies regarding Hispanic Americans or Latinos that are being done in Chicago and at Rand, or things that we all know that are reflected in works like Richard Rodriguez and others, we could say there is a substantial portion of the Hispanic, Mexican American, and other population which looks quite white after a certain amount of time. And looking at the numbers, and looking at the residue of white Americans, which even all the most dramatic projections still show to amount to much more than a majority, what is there to make anyone think that there won't still be a majority of white Americans at the end of this long chain of predictions?

PROFESSOR CAIN: Well, if you mean by "white," middle class in values and assimilated in that sense -- CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Assimilated.

PROFESSOR CAIN: -- I think that's right; I think that's correct. But there's another school of thought in political science that really what we have is a pluralist

society, that is, we have a lot of different groups, a lot of different interests that come together for coalitions.

And it may be, just as what we said the minorities are -- there are many different groups in the minorities, and there is no one single coherent interest -- we might say the same thing about the white population, that is to say, that they are divided themselves between women and men and conservatives and liberals and affluent and poor.

So, yes, from that perspective there will be more of the same, more of a coalition of various groups.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you. I'm even going to deny myself the opportunity for a follow-up, just to show that the rules I impose I'm also willing to abide by.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Good for you.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: We will at this point break for lunch. I will only make an announcement that we will start at 1:35 rather than 1:30. I'm going to give an additional five minutes, but no more, for that lunch period.

And for those of you in the public who are present here, I want to point there will be an open session, a public session, this afternoon, between the hours of 5:00 and 7:00, so you will have the opportunity. And you may want to sign up, I suppose, with staff at the rear of the auditorium here, if you particularly want to speak to the

CBT/cs

Commission during that period of time. And your time will be limited to five minutes for each person.

Is there anything else, Commissioners and staff, that we need to do before we leave?

Then we shall recess the meeting until 1:35.

(Whereupon, at 12:45 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken, to reconvene at 1:35 p.m.)

ionwide Coverage

202-347-3700

б

AFTERNOON SESSION

(1:45 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Let me ask the panelists to take their places. Reading from my right, Betsy Rosenthal, Bruce Ramer, John Kromkowski, Yolanda Eubanks, Irvin Lai, Joyce Leanse, Harold Webb, Antonia Hernandez.

I explained to my colleagues and our guests when we left before lunch that we would start again at 1:35. I regret to say we have failed to do that, but nevertheless we are going to begin now, and the afternoon panel is in the hands of Vice Chairman Friedman.

Murray.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Yes, sir.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are at this stage of our discussions and deliberations, and I would like to ask you each, regardless of what you have been asked previously --

moment, Murray. Not only do we have to speak directly into the microphones or we won't be heard, but I want to make certain that those present in the audience know that we have an interpreter for the hearing impaired. If they would signal, anyone requiring that service, it would permit our interpreter to know how vigorously to pursue his craft this afternoon. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: I wanted to urge you, regardless of what you may or may not have been asked before, to see if you can confine yourself to about 10 minutes -- not about but to 10 minutes -- in your remarks. And I would urge you, if possible, to summarize what may be in your papers, whether we have received your papers or not.

We have been so barraged by such a large torrent of information that there is a certain inability to grapple with the totality of the facts you're tossing at us. So if I may, I'd like to ask you to speak more broadly than perhaps your paper itself does.

I was asked to check on one panelist.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: She's here.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: She's here now.

Okay, we can go from right to left or from right to left. Let's start this way.

CHANGING DEMOGRAPHICS: THE PERSPECTIVE OF CIVIL RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS: STATEMENTS OF: MS. BETSY ROSENTHAL, WESTERN STATES CIVIL RIGHTS
COORDINATOR, ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF THE B'NAI BRITH, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MR. BRUCE RAMER, CHAIRMAN, COMMISSION ON NATIONAL AFFAIRS, AMERICAN JEWISH COMMITTEE, LOS ANGELES,
CALIFORNIA; DR. JOHN KROMKOWSKI, NATIONAL CENTER FOR URBAN ETHNIC AFFAIRS, WASHINGTON, D.C.; MS.

YOLANDA JODI EUBANKS, ASSISTANT TO THE

NATIONAL PRESIDENT, LULAC, FORT WORTH TEXAS;

DR. IRVIN R. LAI, ASIAN AMERICAN VOTERS

COALITION, POTOMAC, MARYLAND; MS. JOYCE LEANSE,

WESTERN REGIONAL MANAGER, NATIONAL COUNCIL ON

AGING, LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA; MR. HAROLD WEBB,

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, LOS ANGELES NAACP, LOS

ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; AND MS. ANTONIA HERNANDEZ,

PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL, MALDEF, LOS

ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MS. ROSENTHAL: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

My name is Betsy Rosenthal, and I'm the Western States Civil

Rights Director for the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai

Brith. Hopefully I'll make it through this without a

coughing attack because I'm recovering from bronchitis.

Angeles Times it was reported -- and I see you smiling;
perhaps you read it -- that for the first time in
California's history there were more minority students
enrolled in California's public schools than Anglo students.
Certainly this is an indication of the dramatic shift in
demographics in California. Unfortunately, we can't assume
that just because you throw in together a bunch of diverse
students from various racial, religious, and ethnic
backgrounds that they will all get along and there will be

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25 perfect harmony. And it's the increase in tension which often comes about as a result of this increase in diversity in our society that the Anti-Defamation League is very concerned with.

Just for some background, the ADL was founded in 1913 to promote good-will and mutual understanding among people of various creeds, races, and ethnicities, and also to combat prejudice and bigotry.

One of the ways in which we have gone about doing this, and something that perhaps makes the Anti-Defamation League unique among private organizations in the private sector, is our monitoring and counteracting of extremists.

Probably the most extreme example of intolerance for this increasing diversity in our country comes from the far right wing fringe, and the various individuals and groups in this fringe are groups which the ADL vigilantly monitors, the groups which would deprive ethnic, religious, and racial minorities of their rights and would try to basically undermine our democratic society. So these are groups that the ADL is very concerned with.

And just to cite probably the most radical example, The Order was a band of far right extremists, a violent group who went on a crime spree. Their goal was to rid the country of blacks, other minorities, and Jews and others that they considered undesirable, and to establish an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Aryan white homeland in the Pacific Northwest in this country. Unfortunately, by the time they were apprehended, they had already committed murders, armored car robberies, bombings, and the arson of a synagogue. Currently, most of the members of this violent band are serving lengthy prison sentences for federal racketeering.

The Anti-Defamation League released a report in 1987 on the hate movement today, and in that report we show that actually the increase in violence among the far right extremists over the past several years is probably due to the decline, in terms of both influence and numbers, of right wing extremists and leaders in the hate movement. What we are left with is a desperate group. It has left th leaders unorganized and searching for ways to rebuild their movements.

Unfortunately, though, there may be a strategy developing right now for the rebuilding of their movements, which has taken little effort on the part of the hate group leaders themselves, and that is groups of shaved-head young people sporting the Nazi insignia often, and these are the For those who aren't familiar with the movement Skinheads. itself, it is comprised primarily of young people, ages 13 They're in the high schools. They are easily identifiable by their appearance. They shave their heads, which they claim to shave for battle. They wear black garb

usually, combat boots or what are called Doc Martin boots. They have an attraction to a particular form of rock music, hard-driving rock called "White Power" music. They advocate violence against minorities and have committed many violent acts throughout the country in every city in which they have maintained a presence.

In order to shed a little light on their role here in American society, let me just give a brief overview of their origination.

They started in the early '70s on the streets of England. They wore combat boots, and oftentimes swastika tattoos and other various tattoos. They provoked minorities on the street and got involved in street battles. One of their favorite pastimes was called Paki bashing. They used to stomp on Pakistanis and other Asians, who comprise large minority immigrant groups in England, with their combat boots. And they characterized themselves as tough, patriotic, anti-immigrant, and working-class young people.

The neo-Nazi organizations in England quickly found that the Skinheads were recruitable and became successful in enrolling them in their organizations.

The American ideology of the Skinheads is similar to that of the British variety. For the most part, they are xenophobic. They have an affinity for Nazism. They don't like minorities and immigrants, and they are attracted to

CBT/cs

the music, as I mentioned earlier. They are engaged in violent activities.

Let me just show you. I brought some samples of their literature that they distribute so you can see. I can bring them up there. These are the types of things that they put out.

How widespread are they? Last November the AntiDefamation League produced a report which showed there were
several hundred of them and that they were growing in
numbers. But as a result of the media exposure this report
got, we received a lot of feedback comprised of additional
data which indicated that their numbers were actually much
larger and that there were between 20 and 25 groups of
Skinheads nationwide in 12 cities throughout the country,
and that in total they comprised membership of between 1,000
and 1,500 people, and that they continue to have a
propensity for violence.

Then the question becomes, of course: Why do young people want to join the Skinhead movement? One can speculate that perhaps they are banding together as a way of venting their frustration on a society in which they feel increasingly alienated. They resent the new kid on the block who perhaps doesn't speak English, who is unlike them. Rather than take responsibility for their own circumstances, they look for scapegoats, and the scapegoats are readily

CBT/cs

available in their neighborhoods and their schools.

But perhaps one of the main factors causing young people to join the Skinheads is the fact that they are exploited and encouraged by the older and more organized hate group leaders. They are being recruited because they are young and they are vulnerable. In fact, the changing demographics in this society has been a tool that has been wielded by the hate group leaders to frighten these young white kids into joining their movement. They threaten them with the extinction of the white race, thereby trying to attract them. And the outreach has proved successful. We find more and more Skinheads involved in neo-Nazi rallies and Ku Klux Klan rallies and attending various meetings of theirs.

One of the prime recruiters of the Skinheads has been Tom Metzger, whose name might be familiar to you as the former Grand Dragon of the Ku Klux Klan in California, and he currently heads up an organization called the White Aryan Resistance. He uses these Skinheads as what he terms as foot solders. He sends them out to do his dirty work, to have them put up stickers and posters like these (indicating).

It is too early to tell whether the Skinheads will continue to grow or will fade, but nonetheless it is very important for community leaders to educate themselves

~60 01 01

CBT/cs

about the Skinheads, familiarize themselves with what they are all about, and of course for law enforcement to investigate and vigorously prosecute those Skinheads who have been involved in criminal activity.

Let me just quickly tell you about a couple of other efforts on the part of the ADL because, aside from the exposure of Skinheads and educating the community about them, we have been focusing quite a bit of effort on the schools. In eight cities so far throughout the country, the Anti-Defamation League has undertaken a media and school-project called "A World of Difference." I have some materials here about it. It's designed to reduce community racial, religious, and ethnic friction. It has a number of components to it. We are currently developing localized teacher training and study guide materials.

It's coming to the southern California region.

This is the newest region to get the "A World of Difference" project. KCBS has already committed itself to \$3 million worth of on-air programming. The L.A. Times will be producing a 32-page supplement on "A World of Difference."

Since "A World of Difference" is a year-long project, of course, our work must continue. That's only the beginning. And for this reason the ADL has pushed for the passage of legislation here in California which would implement a pilot project for prejudice reduction education.

CBT/cs

in the schools. It's Assembly Bill 3504, and it is currently awaiting the Governor's signature. We hope he will sign it. This pilot course is to be developed by a number of community and civil rights organizations such as the ADL and including MALDEF and the NAACP. And the pilot course will be tested in three school districts throughout California. So hopefully that will be signed by the Governor.

In conclusion, let me just say that programs such as "A World of Difference" and prejudice reduction education in the schools will hopefully help ease the transition of Americans into this increasingly diverse society and will also hopefully help insure that hatemongers, such as the neo-Nazi Skinheads, will not find America a hospitable place for the dissemination of their ideas.

Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Ms. Rosenthal.

Our next panelist is Bruce Ramer who is the Chairman of the Commission on National Affairs of the American Jewish Committee and a personal friend.

MR. RAMER: Thank you, Commissioner. I will do my best by omitting every other sentence from the paper in order to meet the constraints of time which you have imposed, and I will try to take notice of Commissioner

.11

Allen's two-minute warning.

I am delighted to be here on behalf of the American Jewish Committee and we're pleased to have the opportunity to participate in this forum. I will assume, for time, the knowledge of the American Jewish Committee by the Commission and the Commissioners. It is the oldest of America's human relations organizations, being founded in 1906, from which time it has vigorously fought prejudice and bigotry.

We feel that it is very significant and important for the Commission to look toward new issues being raised by the changing demographic patterns. AJC approaches this concern from the perspective of some 20 years of research and practice in ethnic pluralism carried out through our Institute for American Pluralism.

We have found that ethnic groups, including white ethnics as well as black, Hispanic, and Asian Americans, continue to hold onto their sense of group identity generations after they have adapted to American society and moved into the mainstream.

Two concepts must be clearly understood and advanced in order to promote increased tolerance in our society. One is that the adjustment of newcomers into American life should be viewed as an acculturation process rather than as a process of assimilation.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second is that the United States is a pluralistic society with each participating ethnic group continuing to reflect its own patterns of group identity and interests in the context of a broader set of civic values. Therefore, one of the challenges to healthy intergroup relations and to protecting the rights of all Americans is to dispel the notion that group identity is unhealthy and will inevitably lead to conflict. It's not so.

Let me note as briefly as I can a number of our concerns in the area of the impact of changing demographics on civil rights.

On immigration, a study which we recently undertook at AJC has taught us that the major flow of newcomers into the United States will create challenges requiring public policy responses, that the country is capable of meeting them, and that the nation will reap many benefits in the process.

It is important to note that the character of immigration has changed with the elimination of national origin quotas. Now only slightly over 10 percent of immigrants comes from Europe, more than 80 percent comes from Asia and Latin America, and a small but rising proportion originates from Africa. The influence of this kind of change in immigration is highly visible here in Los Angeles, which has lately been described as the Ellis Island

of the West.

Now, several specific civil rights challenges which we urge the Commission to monitor arise in the context of immigration. The first relates to discrimination in employment. As part of the Immigration Reform and Control Act enacted by Congress last year, employer sanctions were established to try to prevent employers from hiring undocumented aliens. We at AJC took no position on the issue of sanctions, but throughout that legislative debate we stressed our concerns about the need to protect against discrimination that might result from the imposition of employer sanctions.

that will likely and indeed already have arisen from such sanctions, a special Office of General Counsel was established in the Department. We believe that for this office to function effectively, it needs to be publicized, its mission clearly understood, and, importantly, its performance carefully monitored. We think the Civil Rights Commission could play a very useful role in assuring that the legislative intent, implicit in antidiscrimination provisions of the immigration reform legislation, be carried out.

A second specific civil rights concern relates to the implementation of the legalization program under the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Immigration Reform and Control Act. We are concerned about the enforcement of the law. The INS has a responsibility and a prerogative to do what it can to identify undocumented aliens, but how they do this, the manner in which they carry it out, is of great significance. Wholesale sweeps and detentions that have been employed in the past have sometimes abrogated these protections. And we think those persons who are especially vulnerable to that kind of discrimination need to be protected.

On language policy, a major challenge to healthy intergroup relations that particular affects new Americans is the growth of the "English only" movement, which seeks to have English established as the official language through constitutional amendments and state initiatives. While it may appear innocuous on the surface, it does exacerbate intergroup tensions and anti-immigrant sentiments.

In response to that movement, the American Jewish Committee and a number of other concerned groups are advocating a positive, proactive language policy which includes support for bilingual programs for students who need them to maintain their educational level while they learn English. And we support as well English proficiency programs for adults and enhanced competency for Americans in foreign languages. Language policy also has a very significant implication in the adequate delivery of social

services, particularly for immigrants and refugees.

We believe that the Civil Rights Commission could do much to put language policy into the proper perspective for the public. Confusion abounds about bilingual education, its successes and failures. And the Commission might consider undertaking research and public education efforts geared toward the following areas of concern: one, the extent to which bilingual education mandates established by the Supreme Court have been implemented; two, the educational attainments of students in bilingual programs; and model bilingual programs.

We also suggest that the Commission might consider investigating, perhaps through its State Advisory Committees, what has been the impact of the "English only" initiatives in those states in which they have been adopted.

On economic issues: The economic issues surrounding the new immigration are complex and have many ramifications for intergroup relations. On the positive side, most immigrants bring with them a willingness to work hard and a desire to succeed. Yet, they face discrimination at all levels. Often they are willing to seek a toehold on the economy through places that others are not willing to accept, or they may be in positions which are not reflective of their skills or training.

Immigrants are also vulnerable to housing

CBT/cs

•

discrimination and the practice of so-called "redlining" certain neighborhoods -- practices that continue to affect primarily but not exclusively blacks. Neighborhood institutions can assist governmental efforts to combat these practices.

To be sure, the economic problems of minorities in the United States will not be solved without strong attention to ending discrimination and providing governmental assistance, and also to provide models for community-based economic need to be disseminated and promoted.

A comment on bias-related crimes which ties into Betsy Rosenthal's comments to some extent before.

We believe that this topic of bias-related crimes merits serious attention by the Civil Rights Commission.

For example, in recent years, the types of bias-related crimes that have arisen include confrontations between immigrants and other groups that have occasionally escalated into violence, but not only that because there are also isolated bias-related attacks against immigrants.

We have been advocating on behalf of two breakthrough pieces of federal legislation -- the hate crimes bill, which Congress has enacted, and the hate crimes statistics bill. The first establishes penalties for crimes motivated by religious and ethnic bigotry and incorporates

many recommendations which we have made. The hate crimes statistics bill, which is pending, would establish for the first time a federal mechanism for keeping records on hate crimes. And we urge the Commission to take an active role in this area.

A task force of the American Jewish Committee which I had the honor of chairing dealt with anti-Semitism and extremism. We called for a number of actions at the state level, including the creation of special bias units, specialized training of local officials in the problems of intergroup relations and ethnicity, the creation of victim assistance programs, and the creation of local and state task forces similar to those here in California, Maryland, and recently in New York, these task forces to determine the breadth of the problem in a particular area and recommend responses. We believe the Civil Rights Commission would be a welcome partner in that process.

On youth and bigotry: One of the most disturbing aspects of what we found in our task force is that most bias-related crimes are committed by youth under the age of 18. We believe this problem and the equally disturbing reports of deteriorating intergroup relations in our nation's schools and universities and colleges demands special attention. We would ask for a serious commitment to prejudice reduction programs beginning at early grade

б

levels.

Two final comments, if I may, Commissioner Allen.
My time is, I guess, nearly up.

I want to comment on a study which AJC finished recently dealing with the media and its potential for shaping attitudes toward others and affecting intergroup relations. We know that the media can exacerbate conflict or ameliorate it. Even when it is not explicitly reporting on intergroup relations, the media plays an ongoing role in depicting ethnic groups. Just as the media has the potential to transmit inaccurate or negative stereotypes, it has the potential to reach masses with positive and reinforcing messages about ethnicity and pluralism.

The Commission itself in the late 1970s did a study of images of women and minorities in television, and this may provide a useful reference to the Commission for further action in this area.

And finally, if I may, a quick comment on the need to revitalize intergroup relations, and the positive support that the Commission can give in that area. We believe there is need for professionalization of the field, and the governmental support in this area has been lacking. We hope and ask that the Federal Government, through the leadership of this Commission, could act as a catalyst in assessing the state of research and practice in intergroup

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

relations and for promoting a heightened federal role.

Thank you very much for enabling us to participate.

> VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Bruce.

I might add that one of your recommendations has already been carried out by the Civil Rights Commission. testified during the summer before the Senate Judiciary Committee in support of the Hate Crimes Statistics Act.

> Thank you, sir. MR. RAMER:

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Our next speaker is Dr. John Kromkowski of the National Center for Urban Ethnic I am particularly pleased to call upon John to testify because of all the groupings that the civil rights! community has connected with over the years, we have perhaps connected with least the groupings of white ethnics in America represented in Poles, Italians, and so on. welcome you here today, John.

DR. KROMKOWSKI: Thank you very much.

Let me begin by indicating that the National Center for Urban Ethnic Affairs, as you may know, is an affiliate of the U.S. Catholic Conference. And upon hearing the recommendations of the AJC that just preceded my presentation, I am reminded that over the 20 years of the existence of the National Center for Urban Ethnic Affairs, we found ourselves in constant coalition-building with the

CBT/cs

efforts of Irving Levine and AJC affiliates around the country.

So I want to begin my remarks by adding a second to the items that were in the long list of policy items, action items, for the Commission, but I want to push it in a couple of other directions.

I have prepared a rather long paper because it seemed to me that a number of symbolic actions were going on with your convening in this building. In many respects, 1968 in this building was a very tragic turning point for coalitions that fell apart upon the assassination of Robert Kennedy.

Second, the 30-year anniversary is another very important aspect of a time to reflect about what was going on in the nation, not only in the overall period of the civil rights and the war on poverty movement, but in a whole range of public policy areas that have become disconnected in a frightfully confused way.

So my paper is an attempt to get at at least seven or eight items, and I think the item of "a new vision" is absolutely crucial to the direction of the civil rights movement. I have some very pointed remarks on that vision, and I want to share them with you publicly.

One of the very important items in the American reality that we are very ill-equipped to deal with -- and we

. 11

have been ill-equipped to deal with it from the very origin of this nation -- is justice for minority populations, for ethnic populations, so much so that Eastern and Southern Europeans, that particular configuration of immigrant groups, have over the last decade, for a variety of very ill-conceived strategies, become the stepchild of the civil rights movement. The whole strategy of dividing and conquering and scapegoating is an insidious disease within the American reality. And if liberty and justice for all means anything, then it means reconstituting the base of the civil rights movement.

one of the items that I want to suggest as part of the new vision is that we must come to grips with a reassessment of ethnicity because we are ill-equipped to deal with that category. Our capacity and competence in things ethnic has been stunted by black-white language that emerged in the Southern states between 1850 and 1915. A very interesting social history in this respect has been published by Joel Williamson. And until we move through the question of black-white language, we are going to continue to be caught in the paralysis that you find yourself in as leaders of this movement and the nation finds itself in in looking for leadership in this area.

A second item I want to call your attention to is that the intellectual leadership that over the years has

CBT/cs

/cs 1

looked at social history, looked at the language of politics, race, and ethnicity in the United States -- you listen to some of that leadership today, and what Professor Daniels was telling you, although you in many respects didn't allow him to elaborate it, was the intellectual bankruptcy and the scandalous misinformation that continues to be presented here.

And let me point to someone who wasn't here.

Robert Pear in an article, a very important commentator in the New York Times on all things civil rights, first regularly misses national origin discrimination as part of your charter.

Number two, when he interviewed Lawrence Fuchs, who is an expert on immigration, and a former Staff Director of the Select Committee on Immigration Reform, he quotes Fuchs, and they have an interesting exchange about, "We are probably going to have a browning of America over time" -- Fuchs is quoted as saying. But he says, "The concepts of color and race will probably change, and it's possible that the questions" -- speaking about the census about color -- "won't even be asked by 150 years from now."

What I am saying to the Civil Rights Commission today is that question shouldn't be asked in this next census. It is an utterly bankrupt legacy of racist, nativist language that is still with us. It makes

'/cs 1

absolutely no sense to anyone knowing anything about the city of New York to report that it is a white city, and that it is shaped by whiteness. It's the height of absurdity to tell anyone that it is not relevant that it is Jewish, Irish, and Italian. And I can point to every city in the

country and say the same thing.

We are fooling ourselves; we are being irresponsible. And, in fact, we are fueling with this sort of scholarship the madness that goes in the media. The deculturation of young people that concern about hate groups brings up is simply one macro picture of deculturation and social breakdown that we are involved in.

What are some therapies for that? The truth. In think careful social history must be brought back into our education. So much has been talked about in terms of laying more burdens on the educational system.

Well, let me suggest one that, while it hits to the educational system, also raises the stakes. That is, when we talk about education in a pluralistic society, we are talking about the full panoply of items that was in the AJC report. That is, we are not simply talking about formal, technical education. We are talking about learning about the context of social and economic change. Almost all of the gibberish about projection that came up to this panel today is tied into a positivistic social science that has in

CBT/cs

fact led us in its economic mode into a near worldwide bankruptcy by its lack of capacity to project sound economic models that we in fact projected through most of the second and third world. We are still listening to that claptrap here.

Very careful analysis of entrepreneurial activity, of credit, of markets -- not at the national level. Tell me any one person who lives in the entire nation. Everyone lives in particular regions and neighborhoods. Until we move the census to disaggregate information so that we can talk about specific places with specific outcomes, we will in fact perpetuate this myth of living at the national level.

There are some other items I want to point to that specifically relate to some of the comments that came up this morning.

EEOC -- an excellent record in many respects, speeding up the process. Yet, to this day, 10 years after this Commission took testimony about the lack of collection of information for EEOC compliance, recognition that in fact its guidelines commissioned them -- Guidelines 50-60 of their first federal regulations -- commissioned them to be concerned about Eastern and Southern European ethnic populations -- there is still not one shred of evidence being collected by that agency. And I want to know why. I

s 1

want to know where have you been, because your testimony, your statement 10 years ago, said that was an item you were going to be concerned about. And it is still not collected today.

Then you will ask someone about why do we have an exacerbation of group tensions? If you are ignored and neglected and turned into a scapegoat, no simple answer is going to go down because you will bristle.

A complex question like mobility -- we went back to the executive suites of the major corporations in Chicago, and who was there? Black, Hispanic, Polish, and Italian populations are not present in the executive suites.

Finally, let me underscore one other major dimension of the proposal that I make to you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Your time is really running out.

DR. KROMKOWSKI: This is that we revisit the discussion of the national neighborhood commission and begin to rethink how we reconstitute at the neighborhood level the reservoir of good-will that may be there if, in fact, national leaders and local leaders can come to grips with the challenge of the next two decades.

Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Thank you, John. You have given us much food for thought.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our next panelist is Ms. Yolanda Jodi Eubanks, who is the Assistant to the National President of LULAC.

MS. EUBANKS: Thank you for having us here today. On behalf of LULAC, it is a great honor to be here. real excited about presenting the position statement of the League of United Latin American Citizens. After looking at all the research studies and all the data that I have, I come here not to paint a gloomy picture, but it's not a happy one for the future of Hispanics.

What we want to comment and make a position statement on is we believe that racism and discrimination is on the rise, and it has been flourishing in this conservative Administration. We see evidence of this through all our LULAC councils. We have chartered over 10,000 councils in our nation. We represent a predominantly Mexican American membership with a large number of Puerto Ricans, Cubans, Central and South Americans.

We see evidence of this through complaints filed with our organization at the local level, at the state level, and at national where we have filed suit after suit to insure that the rights of Hispanics are protected, and that we do have equal opportunity in all the given rights to us here in this nation.

Today we see evidence of an increase in ethnic and racial disdain. Incidents after incidents are being

documented and reported on colleges and university campuses, in work places, in synagogues, churches, neighborhoods, and public schools. We see evidence of new groups promoting racial and ethnic hate violence, such as the Skinheads -- and we do have the Skinheads in little old Fort Worth, Texas, Cow Town, where the West begins, so they are everywhere. We see neo-Nazis in our city -- we also see swastikas coming out at schools -- and the resurgence of the Ku Klux Klan, which is very alive in Texas and many other places, and the movements for "English only" and U.S. English. We definitely believe these are movements of anti-Hispanic, and also it is discriminatory.

Police departments across our nation are even having to initiate bias incident investigating units due to the increased reporting of hate and criminal acts promoted by prejudice. This Commission must declare war on all forms of discrimination and racism. We have failed to win the war on drugs. Maybe we can be more successful in the war on discrimination. We must set the tone in this nation, let everyone know in our nation that we will not tolerate bigotry.

The time has come to talk frankly about the issues that minority communities face on a day-to-day basis and the future impact on the lives of generations to come.

The demographic trends, research, and studies clearly

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

predict that Hispanics are the fastest growing minority, and I'm sure we've heard that over and over and over again, but that is something we cannot overlook or ignore. predicted to be the largest minority group. And here today I am hearing that we're going to be 74 percent of the minority group in the future.

The rapid changing demographics of Hispanics will have a great impact on the future of the United States. Congressional representative seats, single member districts, educational programs, English language laws, the 1990 U.S. census count, equal employment and housing opportunities, and human services are at stake. The League of United Latin American Citizens are committed to the advancement of our people -- not just our people but for all our nation, all our brothers and sisters. LULAC will make issue to strengthen and broaden Hispanic representation from PTAs to presidential cabinets.

Numerous studies and projections predict that Hispanics will account for one-fifth to to one-half of the nation's population growth over the next 25 years. Census Bureau's projections are correct, shortly after the year 2000 there will be twice as many Hispanics as there are No longer can we be excluded in the decisionmaking process that affects our day-to-day lives.

We have been referred to as a sleeping giant.

CBT/cs

2 3

1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

Well, the sleeping giant has woken up. We are now coming to grips with what we have to deal with in the future as far as representation. We are that sleeping giant. We are awake. If this sleeping giant trips, this nation will be crushed -crushed by the evils of illiteracy, crushed by the evils of underrepresentation, crushed by the evils of under- and unemployment, crushed by the evils of poverty.

A good education continues to be a key to a better life in America. Ever since our founding, LULAC has made education our highest priority. We have fought and will continue to carry out our mission to ensure that Hispanics have not only an equal education but a quality education.

LULAC's far most reaching achievement in education was our "Little Schools of the 400." For those of you who are not familiar with this, this is the prototype that was used to start the Head Start program. My mother was a third-grade dropout. She was discriminated against. She was not allowed to speak Spanish, her native tongue. Too bad this program didn't come before the end of it. It came many years afterwards. I was a project of this "Little Schools of the 400." I did so well I was there three years, learning the English words that were needed to get into school.

But these "Little Schools of the 400" have become

CBT/cs

the prototype so that we now have Head Start. We need more programs like this -- prevention.

We are all concerned about our nation's children -- your children, my children, our grandchildren. Together we can make a positive impact on their educational achievement. By the year 2000, minorities will make up the majority of the school age population. And from what I've learned today, we are already there.

Earlier today also we heard that one in every three will be a nonwhite. Numerous studies show that the nationwide dropout rates are alarming and disproportionately higher for Hispanic students. You're looking at a statistic right now. I was an at-risk student, a potential dropout. So that problem is very real to me.

According to a June 1988 report, the Hispanic high school dropout rates nationwide are a growing dilemma. I won't give you the statistics because we know there is at least 50 percent, and in many other cities there are 70 and even 80 percent.

Students give reasons for dropping out of school due to academic difficulties, boredom, disruptive environments, pregnancy, drug abuse, which are the more common ones. And I want you to note that language is not one of the common problems.

Forty-three states have strengthened high school

CBT/cs

, ...

requirements, and 15 now require exit tests. These ways are now helping to eliminate more of our students. They are being pushed out due to these new regulations. Few states have allocated money to help them reach these new standards. So here, again, we're seeing more dropouts. Yes, we have graduated more. That's because our populous has grown, but we still have the largest amount of dropouts, and that continues to grow.

The recruitment and retention and hiring of
Hispanics in higher education systems are currently being
challenged by LULAC in the State of Texas where we have a
lawsuit against the University System of Texas for their
inadequacy in recruiting students, and earlier we heard the
statistics on that.

I know my time is running out, so let me get to the main part.

Hispanic children will make up the majority of our school-age population. They will be the poorest of the children. Their parents will have the smallest paychecks. Their families will feel the pangs of under- and unemployment with the least amount of education. This is a critical problem for the future of the largest minority group in America.

The overriding value of this forum is to put into focus that for the first time the future of our nation will____

CBT/cs

!/cs 1

be affected by the success of the Hispanic population.

We look at the three factors that have been mentioned today here at this forum, and those were education, family type, and employment. We need to place emphasis on education to insure that our students are completing high school, and to make available assistance for students who want to go to college to go there.

Last night I heard on the news that one of our presidential candidates has issued his statement on a new program to help college students, hoping this will be enacted by our other candidate.

Every dollar we invest will save us thousands in the long run, savings from lost revenues, savings from welfare, incarceration, et cetera.

ramily is the base of the Hispanic culture. Our nation is now beginning to realize the importance of that family base. Child care standards, child care stipends, tax credits, flexible work hours, menu benefits, and affirmative action programs, increased food and housing and job training programs are support services that the family in the 1990s and the year 2000 will need in order to survive.

All our statistics, research, and study show that children who are in families headed by women are victims of a deteriorating family support base. Employment opportunities will be plentiful, but there will be a

shortage of skilled applicants. We will have a third world situation here in the future if we let this trend continue.

These are the agenda items that we must make a priority in our nation.

At this point, since I have no other time, I will go ahead and close.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Thank you very much.

Our next panelist is Dr. Irvin R. Lai of the

Asian American Voters Coalition.

DR. LAI: Thank you for allowing me the time to share some of my concern and thought with your Commission.

I am also the National President of the Chinese American Citizens Alliance, which was established in 1895. We are the oldest Chinese American citizen organization, especially in civil rights, and that's the reason why our organization was born, because of the prejudice and persecution of the Chinese earlier settler coming to the United States, and that's the reason why we were formed.

And we are still fighting that battle from that day on until now, and we still haven't completed our job.

And I'm sorry to say, after 93 years, we are still at Day one. This is the reason why I am here today so maybe we can advance to the second step in this next century, so we don't have to spend our time here -- although I like to look at you, but we can spend our time at home or maybe at our

CBT/cs

business areas so we can earn a living.

We are very concerned about the demographic change that is going to come upon this area, especially the impact on the Asian minority in the United States, especially in California.

During the last decade, the Asian population has increased approximately over 100 percent. The changes by the immigration law of 1965 created a great influx of immigrants from Southeast Asia, and especially because of the Vietnam War in which we allowed over a half-million refugees to come to the United States, and most of them do like southern California and came here. And also through the natural growth of the Chinese people in the United States, and especially the Chinese people -- we have over 1.2 million right now in the United States.

If you take the Asian population, including the Pacific American, as a whole we have 6.5 million. That was related to me last week from the Census Bureau down in Houston.

The reason for it is the large population. We're not talking about 100,000 people like we did in 1850 or 1890 or 1900. We're talking about a much larger population with a bigger magnitude.

The reason for the large population is caused by immigration growth. And once you have a large population,

you demand more jobs, you demand more service, you demand more educational privileges, and demand more other things that society has. And then you'll be competing for those things when there is not enough to pass around.

And that's where the ugly thing comes in part, because if there are not enough jobs, and there are some Asians that got some jobs and other people don't have the jobs, the Asians will be the scapegoats of the plight of the society. Not only that, you have experience with the black, the Hispanic, and now the Asians, that we are on the bottom of the totem pole, that we are the ones that will be the target because we are the quiet type. We never say anything because we are afraid of our status in the United States, because before we did not understand the law fully and now we do, and now we are beginning to be a little more vocal. And hopefully I'm not that vocal today.

But through the increase in violence to the Asian minority in the United States, I can cite one area. In south central Los Angeles, we have a great population of newly arrived immigrants from Korean. They are the ones that are buying property, which was cheap property -- not cheap but in cost because nobody wanted it. They have taken over small businesses that are corner grocery stores or service stations, and they begin to make a living for themselves.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Unfortunately, the society, the way it is, when you don't have anything and you see your neighbor got something, you're going to hate him for it. That is part of the reason why I come to you. Maybe we can work out some solution to resolve those things.

You know, they are subject to verbal abuse; they are subject to violence, physical violence. They are subject to sometimes killing in that particular area.

I think it is very serious because most of the people who do live down there are first-generation immigrants, and for some they understand very little So their communication with the general public is very limited. And without communication, that will be another step backward.

So there is another area that I think in order for you to protect the civil rights you have to work on and maybe increase the budget on English as a second language, or teach them English so they can understand and converse with other people.

Another area that we run into is the San Gabriel area that is very predominant. There are a lot of Asians who like that particular area because the weather is right for them comparatively from where they came from, and also there are a lot of Asians living there and they like to live among the neighbors.

2 3

1

5 6

4

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Unfortunately, they brought a lot of money in with them, they buy a lot of things, they improve the house, or drive a fancy car, and people begin to get jealous of I don't mind them getting jealous without abusing You can get jealous; that's your privilege. them. you start verbally and physically attacking those people, write graffiti on their houses, in front of their lawn put crosses, and burn crosses on the law -- I think that is not too American, I don't care where you are or where it is.

And this happened right here in southern California. You talk about Mississippi or Arkansas or Georgia; you talk about Texas and those places and it's a common thing sometimes. But here in southern California? When you have a pluralistic society, with all the combination of people that come here, you have very good communication with people in this area, and you still have That is very unbecoming of this kind of racist action. I know they violate the civil rights. Americans. don't have to tell you that.

And another thing happened here in Los Angeles. This is an experience now. I'm not talking about general. Here is a classic case. You all remember the Vincent Chin This was a Chinese American young man who case in Detroit. was mistaken for a Japanese, and two auto workers in a bar were accusing him as a Japanese, that the Japanese

CBT/cs

/cs

automobile came into the United States and caused a depression in the auto industry and they were out of a job. And through drinking and abuse back and forth, they started fighting. Unfortunately, he was killed by a baseball bat that knocked his brains out. And those things are uncalled for.

And I believe the civil rights was tried, and the first trial convicted one person, and a second trial in Cincinnati they overturned the conviction. And on the other conviction, it was a very light conviction, which is three years' probation and a couple thousand dollar fine for killing a human being.

This thing was almost repeated again in Los
Angeles about a month ago. It happened in this Los Angeles
city in a restaurant called L.A. Nicole on Sunset Boulevard.
Three Chinese Americans were sitting in a bar having a
drink, minding their own business, having a good time, not
bothering anyone. Here were two men, Caucasian,
construction workers, came in and accosted them and said,
"Where is the Honda convention?" which means that those are
Japanese people here coming for the Honda convention. And
secondly, they started racial slurs and they asked, "Where
are your cameras?" and called them all kinds of names.

So finally one of the Lee brothers couldn't stand it and told them, "Will you please leave us alone." The two

men jumped up and knocked him down, so they had a big brawl at that restaurant.

Fortunately, the owner of the restaurant saw what was going on and had the two ejected. And fortunately, there was no baseball bat out there waiting for them when they came out.

I'm telling you this is a very serious situation in southern California.

They told me that the Vincent Chin case happened in Detroit because there's not enough Chinese or Asians to have communication with the Caucasians, with other people, because they are so few and far between they don't have any communication. They used that as an excuse for killing theman. But here in southern California, when you have about 3,000 or 4,000 Asians, and they have been in California since 1850, you mean to tell me the people around here don't know the Asians and who they are? That is totally unacceptable, and I believe civil rights are violated. And I plead to you that you should look into it very seriously.

Another area I'd like to talk to you about is on education, discrimination in our higher education. I'm talking about mainline educational institutions, the University of California and many other institutions.

There is an unwritten quota system set in for Asian Americans. Whether you have a 4. average score on

s 1

your test, you cannot get in because when you reach the magic number that the Census Bureau has, you are automatically cut out. We have intervened with the University of California, and they began to look into it.

But last August it happened again. A friend of mine -- his daughter graduated from Lowell with a 3.9 average, went to the University of California and was denied admission. And his father happened to be a judge, Leonard Louie, and he inquired and found out that many people were accepted to enter with a much lower GPA. So he wrote a letter complaining, and finally she got accepted.

But how many children have a judge for a father?

So use that information. I have much information here to tell you. I have written it so you can see it. I am very pleased that you allowed me to express my concern, my anxiety.

I hope I didn't take too much of your time. I hope it's valuable.

Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: You have been very helpful. Thank you very much, sir.

Our next speaker is Ms. Joyce Leanse, who is the Western Regional Manager of the National Council on Aging.

Ms. Leanse.

MS. LEANSE: Thank you very much. We appreciate

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being here and having an opportunity to bring to you our I know you have heard ad infinitum about the increasing numbers of older persons in our society and the tremendous projections that are coming up. But I also want to comment just on the fact that there will be also increasing numbers of frail, mentally and physically frail, living to be old for the first time, as well as increasing numbers of older persons who live long enough to become mentally and physically frail.

But NCOA also has a concern about the impact of the equally dramatic changing proportions of minorities in our population, the lessening number of children being born to middle- and upper-income families, the resulting smaller family size and the increasing age of mothers when they first become mothers, and the results of that in terms of the assistance that will be available to them when they grow old from their own children.

I'm skipping a lot of information that you will have a chance to read. But I do want to focus on the fact that we believe, based on anecdotal and experiential evidence, that NCOA asks the Commission to consider doing another age-related study. It has been over 10 years since you did your last, and unfortunately the values and attitudes which supported age-related discrimination in the past, particularly towards the elderly, continue and become

CBT/cs

self-fulfilling prophesies.

Given the unprecedented increases in the numbers of older persons and the steep increase projected for 2010 when the baby boom generation begins to turn 65, but also the fact that that large cohort has begun to enter their 40s, the age at which age discrimination legislation in relation to employment begins to protect individuals, provides reason and impetus, we believe, for studying age discrimination now.

NCOA is aware that this Commission does not have jurisdiction over age discrimination in employment, but NCOA believes that you must share our concern over the unprecedented lag in processing age discrimination in employment cases at the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

The Senate Commission on Aging reported to NCOA that that number could be between 6,000 and 7,000 cases. No other category of persons is addressed by the EEOC in such an unresponsive, neglected manner.

We recognize the pressures on the EEOC to carry out assignments with too small a budget and too little staff, but it is obvious that their disregard for the plight of older persons, their ordering of priorities that push to the rear cases affecting older adults, is undoubtedly repeated in agencies the country over, agencies whose

.11

responsibility isn't so specifically related to older adults.

NCOA will assist the Commission in any way it can in the conduct of and/or to gain resources for a study which will document the extent to which other federally supported programs may be denying older persons their right under the law or serving them in a lesser way than other eligible populations.

There are three policies and practices that I would like to comment on now that I would urge you to look into. One has to do with program dollars for benefits or services not proportionally allocated to older persons.

A second has to do with definitions for benefits or services that do not address a comparable concern of older adults.

And the third is the limitations of age placed on services when functional capacity is a more relevant and appropriate criterion.

The large increase projected in the number of older persons, particularly for those requiring increased assistance, will require the allocation of increased dollars. The projected increase in demand for services has potential for impacting negatively on the variety as well as the quality of available services. The emphasis on cost rather than care is probably more appropriately addressed in

900 226 6646

CBT/cs

another forum.

But the civil rights issue lies with the potential for inappropriate targeting, and the lag in delivery time or unresponsiveness of the service for some category of eligible persons. It also results from an administrative practice identified in the 1977 study and still, unfortunately, continuing today, of limiting the coverage of programs with multiage eligibility, such as Title XX or vocational education, and utilizing age-specific funds, such as Title III of the Older Americans Act, or JTPA, 3 percent older worker programs, to provide services for older adults. It is a type of Gresham's law, with flexible dollars replacing categorical dollars, and older adults are short-changed in the process.

However, for much of the past decade, if not longer, no data has been collected regarding the ages of those receiving federally supported benefits and services. So there is no data to substantiate such practices or their consequences.

NCOA urges the Commission to initiate whatever is necessary to have such age-related reports made available. We will support your request and rally to the support of other organizations to seek congressional authority or whatever it takes to have such reports required of all relevant agencies.

cs 1

An example of limited service or benefit definitions that disregard the concerns of the elderly is child care. NCOA believes and supports the fact that child care is needed in this country. Indeed, we train older adults through our Title V program to provide child care. But a more inclusive term is dependent care. The need for caring arrangements is not limited to children, but it is of concern particularly to employed persons for dependents of all ages, including disabled youth, mentally frail elders, and so forth.

We have no idea how many persons have been forced out of the labor market or are working in less responsible positions because of their need to be available to care for a dependent relative.

Is this a civil rights issue? NCOA thinks so.

In Los Angles, NCOA succeeded in having the local JTPA

program expand its coverage for child care to include

dependent care. Such expanded coverage should be available

nationwide for JTPA and other employment training program.

NCOA urges the Commission to review federally supported

programs to determine the extent to which archaic

impediments for adult participation in employment, in

education, in training programs are addressed.

And then the last specific issue I want to cover has to do with age-based limitations on services or

CBT/cs

functional capacity as the more relevant criterion.

Examples readily come to mind for housing and vocational rehabilitation services. One I am personally familiar with in Los Angeles is where shelter cannot be made available in a particular downtown housing complex for persons over 62. This a safety measure required by the fire marshal because of difficulty an older person might have in negotiating the building. It is obvious the building could be a problem to a disabled or mentally frail person of any age and not a problem for many, if not most, persons well into their 70s or older, depending on their capacity to cope with the building.

Related to this are the reported practices of many voc-rehab departments that deny services to persons over 60 or even younger because the worker doesn't perceive the person seeking the service as employable.

Similar discriminatory practices occur with the state employment service based on the stereotypic perceptions of agency staff. NCOA urges the Commission to expand its outreach and educational efforts. Forums such as this one and the others planned in this series, coupled with the broad dissemination of the resulting materials, are important efforts. We would urge you to think through with related not-for-profit organizations how to best gain the attention of agency administrators who put into place the

CBT/cs

policies and practices that negatively impact on minorities, women, disabled persons, and older adults.

To review, we urge you to conduct another study of age discrimination in federally supported programs, including a review of impediments to participation. We urge you to do what is needed to gain age-related data on those receiving federally supported benefits or services, and we urge you to continue and expand your educational and outreach programs. NCOA is ready and willing and able to assist you in any way that we can.

Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Thank you so much.

Our next speaker is Mr. Harold Webb who is the Executive Director of the Los Angeles NAACP. I am very pleased to welcome you as a representative of one of the oldest and most respected of the civil rights agencies.

MR. WEBB: Thank you very much. Mr. Robert

Destro is who I had as committee chairman, but I would like
to say Mr. Murray Friedman, Dr. William D. Allen, Chairman
of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, and distinguished
members of the Civil Rights Commission. Good afternoon.

I thank you for the opportunity to share with the Commission
on Civil Rights the concerns of the Los Angeles Branch of
the NAACP on changing demographic trends and their
implications for civil rights.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, we must address the concerns the NAACP has about the Commission on Civil Rights' philosophies.

As we reviewed the philosophies of the previous Administration -- and I will say "CRC" or "Commission on Civil Rights" -- we noted a definite decline in the effectiveness of the Commission on Civil Rights in upholding legislation, and litigation is already in motion in supporting the civil rights of minorities. It is our hope -- and we pray for relief for the new CRC administration -that it will not embrace the same philosophies but rather support the policies that were established with the 1964 civil rights legislation, legislation that the NAACP was very instrumental in shaping, their subsequent affirmative action programs that were put into effect, the affirmative action program in employment and education, the affirmative action programs that resulted in education and employment of Many of us in this room are direct minorities. beneficiaries of those programs.

Yes, times are changing. Demographics are changing. However, the complaints of discrimination are still being filed heavily with the NAACP as well as with other agencies.

For example, in less than a three-week period, our Los Angeles office has taken in and filed -- and what I mean by "taken in," not the ones that we refer out but the

2 3

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

ones that we've taken in -- over 60 employment discrimination cases, 34 police racial slurs and excessive abuse cases, 40 housing discrimination cases, and 19 miscellaneous discrimination complaints -- that is, court cases, education and civic matters.

This goes on and on and on and, as I am speaking, I may refer to a single incident, but we are saying that these things are a broad spectrum of things that are occurring in the city, in the region, and indeed in the nation.

Therefore, these are the concerns that the NAACP has about the future of the Civil Rights Commission. concerned as to the equality of enforcement of civil rights that we can expect from the Commission on Civil Rights.

I am speaking from a unique vantage point. I have been working in the outlying cities in Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County as well as observing discriminatory practices in the L.A. urban city. Future civil rights issues will be the smaller cities, such as those outlying -- Pomona, Claremont, Upland, Rancho Cucamonga, Torrance, Bell, Gardens -- these cities that are 25 years behind in the civil rights progression, cities that have not addressed the issue of civil rights in their affirmative action, that is, in the governance of the city and the administration of the city. The cities have not

2

1

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

included in their administrations as, say, police departments and fire departments -- they do not have firemen and policemen and, indeed, administrators in those areas or in the cities in relation to the population of the cities, in regard to the number of minorities that are in the city.

The make-up of some cities is that indeed 50 percent of the population is minority. Administration of these cities do not reflect equal numbers that make up the minority groups in that given city. What we are saying here is that oftentimes the minority population in some of these cities is really the majority population but they are not included in the mainstream of the cities. They are not They are not included in the employ of the city included. in the administration, or in the safety areas.

Future civil rights issues will be with the cities that have institutional racism so deeply ingrained that the exclusion of minorities is passed off as the norm rather than the exception. We need a Civil Rights Commission to oversee the compliance and the enforcement of the rulings of the court and the legislation that has been passed. Or is it that the civil rights laws still have to be further defined or amended to insure the issues of discrimination in employment, housing, education, et cetera, are adequately addressed, that is, this scenario in employment with an influx of so many new minorities, the old

CS

traditional minorities -- the Afro-American we'll use here
-- and I am speaking for all minority groups. The NAACP has
fielded many, many complaints with all the minority groups
in here, but I'm speaking for the Afro-American because
these cases are very blatantly prevalent to us.

And as Dr. Lai said, Afro-Americans are subject to many of the same problems that you're having in south central L.A. and, indeed, all through California. There are cross burnings happening all the time, cross burnings in Claremont, California, cross burnings in -- yes, there has been recently, in Riverside, in Orange County.

And I'm saying that these things are happening not only there in the Chinese area but all over. And these are things we have to be cognizant of, and how can we reflect civil rights to bring equality there.

The scenario I'm talking about -- I'm talking about the institutionalized racism. So much so that when an Afro-American employee -- and I have many, many numerous cases of this type of thing.

A valued employee that has worked for a corporation for eight to 10 years comes up for some type of an award, whether that is profit-sharing, whether that is extra vacation time or whatever, and we find that pretty soon he starts getting what we call a paper being written up on him. He's been coming to work for 10 years two minutes.

/cs

late. All of a sudden this is an infraction that they start writing him up for, that he's coming in two minutes late. And pretty soon they start giving him warnings, and the next thing we find the employee is being terminated. He has a pay scale of maybe \$10 to \$15 an hour. And what happens is that employee is terminated, and they bring in what we say is a new minority, and they're bringing him in at minimum wage and not giving him any benefits.

That is a direct racial violation, as we view it.

As we view these cases, we see that that is happening to black males and we see that that is happening in some cases to black females. We do not find that happening in the other minority areas.

What I'm saying is that the employee has been put in jeopardy, and he ends up being terminated from the job.

As I move on here -- and I'm trying to do what you asked me to do here.

Do we need laws to address the other types of racism? I said institutional racism. We have the revolving-door type syndrome in racism. We find that this is happening in our educational systems and institutions of higher learning -- state college system, the university system, the private college system, and, yes, the community college systems.

I have here documentation on those types of

revolving-door type syndromes of discrimination. We find in the institutions of higher learning that the Afro- American, both male and female, at the doctoral level and even at the master's level, are encouraged or invited to become part of the staffs of universities, and not just in ethnic study classes but classes in all disciplines. They are invited in as assistants or they are hired in as assistants or associate professors. They teach and publish from year to year, and they are there five or six years but they are never given tenure. The institution finds a reason to find them not suitable for tenure.

And as far as we have been able to discern, in the numerous cases we have reviewed, the bottom line is definitely racial discrimination. They are asked to leave the institution. So they go out the revolving door, and at the same time they put together a flyer that is announcing the job, and we have someone else that comes in the revolving door, and they're in there for another four years or five years, and the syndrome goes on.

We find that they have posted, "We are equal opportunity employers." "We employ equally but we do not tenure equally" is what we are saying here.

Or as they do in the community college system, they bring in highly qualified educators and underutilize these persons. They hire the employees, mostly Afro-

American males and females, as hourly employees. They do not receive a full benefit package, and they are never able to upgrade themselves to become full-time tenured employees. These persons are qualified and have applied for and in many instances have taken the test and gone through the system process for positions, but they are always told, "Your experience is good but we have found someone else who is better qualified."

Do we need an amended civil rights? Do we need new or amended civil rights laws, or do we need to enforce the laws that we already have?

The NAACP maintains that the Civil Rights

Commission needs to be the power that enforces the laws and the checks and balances, but we also maintain that the

Commission on Civil Rights needs to be certain that subtle forms of racism, and the new racism which includes the revolving-door syndrome and institutional racism, are adequately included in the court decrees and the legislation that is passed.

Yes, the nation still does need a Civil Rights Commission, a Commission that has the ability to focus on discrimination that exists in the work place, the housing industry, the educational institution, at all levels of the government in cities, regions, and the nation, along with the ability to focus on the issues. The Commission needs

the power and also the philosophy that mandates that the Commission enforce legislation already ratified and that which will be forthcoming.

Can we form a partnership where the NAACP seeks to acquire legislation that will preserve and protect the rights of all individuals by banning discrimination and segregation and seek justice in the courts? And can the Civil Rights Commission be a checks-and-balances watch of the executive branch of government to enforce compliance through the agencies, that is, the EEOC, the defense contract compliance, the Justice Department, the civil rights departments of all those agencies that are charged with that concern?

And as one footnote: Can we find out why we have to wait 12 to 14 months before an EEOC complaint is even assigned to someone to investigate? I have confidence in America; I have confidence in the Civil Rights Commission.

I need to see that confidence come to fruition.

Thank you very much.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Webb.

And thank you for your comments, even though sometimes you were not complimentary to us.

MR. WEBB: I'm not complimentary to you?

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: I said sometimes when they weren't complimentary to the U.S. Civil Rights

Commission.

2

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WEBB: Oh, no, there is no disrespect here.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: No, no, I didn't mean --

MR. WEBB: I didn't understand what you said.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: I said I appreciate your comments even when they were sometimes critical.

MR. WEBB: I thought that's what you said. We cannot always be complimentary.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: It was said in a loving way.

MR. WEBB: In a loving way; right, that's what it is.

DR. LAI: He loves the way you talk.

MR. WEBB: I just make things happen so everybody can have a piece of it.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Our next speaker is Ms. Antonia Hernandez, President and General Counsel of MALDEF.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you very much. What I will do is submit my written statement and just outline and summarize some of the concerns that we have.

I have been asked to speak about the changing demographics and the impact that it will have on the civil rights community in the '90s.

To me it is interesting that we sit here and talk about specifics when in reality we're really talking about

some changes that to some degree are beyond the control of a lot of people. And I think that California is a perfect example. We are the guinea pigs; we are the experimental of what the future of the United States will be. And that is that California is now a minority-majority state. Whether we have actually reached the 50 percent or not is really irrelevant. It's there; it's going to be there.

But numbers in themselves don't mean anything if you don't really look at what these individuals can and cannot contribute, and the fact that we are all going to be interdependent upon one another. And I'm going to make some statements that will probably rattle some cages, but so be it.

We keep talking today about the reality of the civil rights community, the progresses and the drawbacks, the lost battles, and yet there has been some progress; one cannot deny that.

The question now before us is that in the struggle for civil rights we have grown a little cynical, and we have never really had the support of the community to eradicate discrimination. So as we look at what has been done, one must say that a lot has been done, despite the lack of support and despite the lack of resources.

We must also consider the fact that we are going through some radical changes within our country. We are

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

going through an economic change that is pitting interest versus interest. We are going through a radical change in our economy where our job structure is changing, and that is from an industrial base to a service/information technology. And what is that doing to the work and the availability of employment to minorities?

We are dealing with the reality that we have a school system that is not educating anyone even to be a janitor, and that to be a janitor you have to have a certain degree of competence to run the computer vacuum cleaner.

And in the future, whereas before a fourth grade education would have sufficed to be on the assembly line, today and in the future it will not suffice. And what is that doing to the minority community, whether it be Hispanic, black, Asian, or whatever?

To me, the challenging thing of the future is that white America, America that has the power, is going to have to let it qo, because if it doesn't we are going to sink together. Statements have been made about the changing demographics and the fact that it is an older white America. Well, they're going to need a minority educated work force to support them. And if that is not realized very soon, it is not just the plight of the minorities; it is the plight of society at large.

And I think as you look into the changing

25

CS

demographics and what that means to the civil rights community in the future, a report on the interdependence of our interest and of our well-being, and that it is no longer to do well and feel good about yourself but that it is your self-interest to educate and to pass bonds and to care about whether a black or a Latino is educated. Because that Latino, that youth that is entering kindergarten right now, is going to be the worker that I am going to rely on if I am going to retire at age 65 by the year 2000. And it is not just I, but the yuppy, the Anglo. And chances are it is the majority white that is going to live to be 65 and not the black or the Latino. The statistics show that many of us don't even make it that far.

When we look at the issue of education, it is sort of ironic that people always say, "More money, more resources." Well, there has never really been enough money, and there has never really been enough resources to really truly educate our community.

one of the most difficult problems that we are encountering in the area of education is the unwillingness of society to provide for a public education, because the majority of the students going to public schools are minorities, and you don't have the institutional structural support for an education that is adequate.

Here in L.A. is a perfect example of this. We

CBT/cs

CS

have within the L.A. school district an inequitable distribution of resources. And I'm talking about tenured teachers versus inexperienced teachers. I'm talking about facilities that have air-conditioning or just facilities that have a cafeteria and a gym where children can play. I'm talking about the fact that in L.A. the year-round schoolings are in predominantly Latino schools and black schools, and in the San Fernando Valley we have schools that are half empty, but yet we cannot get the school district to impose year-round schooling to spread the unfairness and the inequity in that.

In the area of education, we are going to have to spend more money. How one gets that message across to society is going to be a difficult one, and I think that is one that the Civil Rights Commission can undertake. Because really what we are dealing with is changing the tone of this country, the feeling that we have to go beyond taking care of our own individual needs, and that we have to go back to the civic societal covenant that we all have in a democracy, and that it is all in our interest to provide for the other less fortunate individual.

I don't know how one does that. I don't know how one goes about providing for valuing diversity, that there is nothing wrong with being different. You're not better; you are not worse; you are just different, and we are all

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enhanced by our differences.

Within the Latino community, we have the usual issues that plague all poverty communities, and I will not go into that. But above and beyond those issues, there are several issues that are extremely unique to Latinos, and that is "English only".

I am extremely baffled by the fear of non-English or, let's say, English-only speaking individuals, of the abilities of others to speak another language. I am no less American because of my ability to speak Spanish. In fact, I am a better American because I can communicate with more people throughout the world and can be a better ambassador for this country. And sometimes I wonder when people speak about the "English only" movement -- and, in fact, I asked a question recently when somebody said it was symbolic. is the English language like the rose being the flower of the United States? And if that is so, we don't have a rose as the flower of the United States, so why should we have a language? And if it's English language like the religion of the United States, then do we really want that within our And what is it about our ability to speak another society? language that threatens so many people?

I believe that if you look at the impact of Proposition 63 and its impact on people, it is a vehicle to be used to discriminate, to stifle people, to deny them of

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rights and opportunities. And anyone who sees the "English only" movement as anything but that is refusing to face reality.

The other issue that I would like to address is the issue of immigration. Yes, MALDEF was and continues to be opposed to the Immigration and Reform Act. But be that as it may, it has passed. And what we have said is coming to be true and our dire projections are coming to be true. What we have done is we have created an underclass, and we cannot avoid that reality. And in creating an underclass, what we are doing is justifying discrimination and exclusion. Those people continue to come. They are not going to stop coming. And by denying them the basic necessities, what we are doing is we are putting a burden on our infrastructure.

MALDEF has been working to document the charges of discrimination, to really put into focus the antidiscrimination provision of IRCA. And as a GAO report that will be coming up in November, it will document the widespread discrimination in the employment force, not only for undocumented individuals but for citizens and residents alike.

I would urge you to consider this topic as an issue of further review. It is the Hispanic who are facing the greatest threat, but it also impacts all other

· 11

immigrants, not just Hispanics.

And in closing, I will tell you that on behalf of the Hispanic community, things look extraordinarily tough, and it's going to be a rough time, but we will take our rightful place in society. We only seek to contribute, to be part of a society where a human being is valued for his or her contributions — and we have a lot to contribute. We are not going back, because this is where we belong. And we intend to be part of this society, whether we do it friendly, whether we share, whether we do it openly, or whether we do it through the courts and through more divisive manners.

I thank you for the opportunity.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Thank you.

We now go into our question period, and I will start with Francis -- unless you have no question.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: I would like to yield to my colleague for the time being, Mr. Chairman.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Esther.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: It is very difficult to take all of your ideas and try to come up with questions that could be addressed by all of you. I believe probably what I like hearing and what I am hearing from a lot of you is that the stress is still going to have to be on education in a lot of ways, not only in the actual schooling and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

schooling institutions but in how we deal with employment issues and how we deal with the work force and how we deal with changing conditions.

What I'd like to do, if I can -- and probably MALDEF and LULAC might have more to say on it, but the American Jewish Committee might also have something to say on it -- the issue of bilinqual education is an issue that has never been quite resolved, and it still is that nobody really knows what that term means, and everybody has a different impression. Mr. Lai talked about English as a second language, but yet I don't think that that is the impetus the other organizations might have.

What I'm asking is: Can you suggest in the area of bilingual education what kinds of things we should be looking at to improve the education of minority students? Because in some cases we are even hearing that we might need to teach English to blacks as a second language. So kind of suggest what kinds of things we might look at in the educational system to improve communication skills in our minority students to have them achieve success to where they stay in school and graduate. And maybe we'll start from the left this time.

Well, as far as bilingual MS. HERNANDEZ: education used in an educational setting, it basically means the teaching of the English language through a transitional

25

2

3

1

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

method, and that is that you teach the children in Spanish the substantive language while at the same time teaching them English, and once they are versed in that language then transferring over into the English language. That is the understanding of the traditional definition.

What you do while you're doing that is you're reinforcing the native language, and that is you are really reinforcing two languages. There are enough models and examples where, when properly implemented, it is one of the best methods to teach non-English-speaking individuals the language.

Here in the L.A. school district, I believe they have just passed the largest budget allocation for bilingua education, and I believe the budget was \$19 million. will begin to see a school district that has made their commitment to properly implement -- in a limited manner; just keep in mind that it still does not and will not address the number of children that are non-English-speaking in the L.A. school district which is the second largest in the nation. But as far as commitment to properly implement it, you will have a model from which you can judge. there are other models throughout the country that show that it can be done.

Our experience, of course, is with Spanishspeaking children, but I believe that there are other model

CBT/cs

that have used it for other languages in the transition to the English language.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Ms. Eubanks.

MS. EUBANKS: There is a myth that bilingual education is a cause for dropouts. If that is the case, if bilingual education is causing Hispanic dropouts, then what program do we blame for black students, for Asian students, and for the white population?

Bilingual education is an excellent vehicle for teachers to help develop the self-esteem of students. That seems to be one of the main reasons why they do drop out. The U.S. English movement is trying to blame bilingual education for the reason that we have so many drop out of the limited-English-proficiency students because they are isolated or they are not developed in the skills of English, but again bilingual education needs to be expanded. Students need to maintain longer years in that program in order for them to receive all those skills necessary to transfer into the English language. It takes usually between five to seven years to become proficient in academia.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Mr. Lai, do you want to comment?

DR. LAI: I was one of the commissioners on the Los Angeles Unified School District, especially pertaining

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Asian education. At that particular time, about 10 years ago, we had a great group of people who came into the United States of all different nationalities -- Cambodian, Laotian, and Southeast Asian that doesn't have the main line like the Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and Filipino.

We have indeed quite a problem educating people to learn the English language. At that particular time, the commission devolved a training program for the teacher in bilingual education. And I believe it's very important. When school children come into the unified school district and are thrown into a class completely foreign to them and they don't understand, you kind of retard their growth. Their mathematics, their science excels anybody, but when i comes to speaking English they are far behind.

I can prove to you through my experience, when I went to kindergarten I did not understand one word of English because my mother taught us the Chinese language. And I had such a problem understanding English, and when I graduated the eighth grade, my grammar was so horrible I don't know I graduated. And without a proper program of training children with bilingual education, you cannot get into the mainstream of American life.

DR. KROMKOWSKI: While that dimension of the equation is very important -- and I endorse that, and the Center endorses the notion that there are particular needs

that are met by bilingual education -- it seems to me that we have caught ourselves in a situation that provokes negative responses to the situation because of the way we frame the issue.

What I am proposing is that we see languages as a natural resource, that it's not bilingual; it's having second and third linguistic competencies because we live in an interdependent world. That is, there is a whole range of resources that are part of the American reality that we need for a whole range of items that are a part of the international economy.

But more than that, on the Hill a genocide treaty was passed. We finally agreed, after all of these years, that the destruction of people and cultures was a violation of the UN Charter, and we agreed to that.

And when we begin to destroy cultures in this country, as we did to the German American culture and the German language, to a whole range of other language resources that were Americanized so-called in the twenties, then we have not only missed a major positive point but we have allowed ourselves to be drawn into a debate that is framed by racist nativists who are perpetuating a very vile dimension of the English tradition. And I don't want to have anything to do with it. And I also resent -- and I know all Eastern Europeans resent -- being called Anglo.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: My husband is Irish and he agrees with you.

Mr. Ramer.

MR. RAMER: May I just make three brief points.

One is in the area of methodology of bilingual education.

I'm certainly not competent to speak to the merits of the system of the teaching of it. The goals are important. But I would like to suggest that whether it's an immersion system, whether it's teaching primarily in English with resource available in the second language of the students or reversing it and teaching principally in the second language and having English available, I'd like to suggest that, in conjunction with other appropriate agencies, the Commission might look into whether there is a methodology which floats to the surface and should be a recommended methodology.

The second point I would like to make -- and this is consistent with, I think, what the others have said -- is that certainly no school program and no governmental agency should do anything which denigrates the home language.

Indeed, not only is that a cohesive factor in the communities that the students and their parents come from, but it is also a valuable asset.

And a final point, if I may, and that is that public agencies dealing with issues of safety, such as street signs, such as calling in on 911, or civic

CBT/cs

CS

participation such as ballots, should also provide a second language where it's necessary in the particular community. I think that's part of bilingual education. In other words, I construe bilingual education as more than just the technical teaching in the schools but a broader community aspect of teaching and of preserving language.

MR. WEBB: May I make a comment?

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: Yes, sir.

MR. WEBB: I would just like to comment that I think it is very important that we keep the languages of the people that come to America, which is a melting pot. I would just like to call to everyone's attention the example of the Afro-American, the deletion of the language and of the culture, and likewise the American Indian. But I would just like to point out that we need to keep the culture of the people who live here in America and their languages.

Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Chairman Allen, do you wish to ask any questions?

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: I pass.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Bob Destro.

commissioner destro: I'd like to ask the panel generally to address what I heard as the underlying question that Dr. Kromkowski raised, which is the way that we phrase questions and the way we define issues, because that really

is going to be the focus, in effect, of our third forum, which is in the planning stages at this point.

And listening not only to his testimony but also to the others -- Dr. Lai, for example, pointed out that whatever ethnicity the construction workers were, they had no appreciation for the difference between a Chinese American and a Japanese American -- that when we talk about black Americans we have no appreciation for the diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds that they come from; that to speak of Hispanics as a lump presupposes that Puerto Ricans and Cubans and South Americans and Spaniards all come from precisely the same cultural and ethnic backgrounds.

Those of us who study that know that they don't the difficulty is that the government, in formulating its policies, whether it's census questions, data-gathering at the EEOC, and other ways in which we talk about civil rights problems, either as black-white problems or as brown-white problems, or when I asked one of the border guards down in Laredo, "Who are you looking for down here?" he said, "We're looking for Mexicans."

I said, "You're not looking for illegal Poles or illegal Japanese?"

He said, "No, no, we're looking for Mexicans."

So in his view, the problem was a Mexican

problem.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

How do you think, in terms of not only working with us but in defining the questions for later discussion, we can get to this question of appreciating differences and show that the government itself, in the way it collects data and other things, has an appreciation for those differences? Because the government tends to look at things in big categories, kind of at the national-level categories, and those have political implications to them. How do you suggest that we start to get around that or start to deal with that?

It's a very broad question, I understand, but nonetheless it affects everything. You can't bring a national origin discrimination question if there is no statistics of disproportionate impact.

So would you care to address the question of how we get the government to appreciate those differences a bit more?

> I'd like to start. MR. WEBB:

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Mr. Webb.

MR. WEBB: It may sound like a simple solution, but first of all equality of all peoples is what is necessary. The Asian is talking about the inequities that are happening to them; the Afro-American is speaking the same way; the Hispanic American is speaking the same way.

What we want is inclusion. We want to be a part

25

1

8 9

10

7

12

. 11

14

15

13

16

18

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of everything that there is. We want to be able to be a part of everything. So if the national government is looking to address the issues, then they need to allow for everyone to be included into the system, and that would end the problem of the Native American, the Afro-American, the Asian American, because all would be included. And then we would come up with some other problems that we would have to address.

But I'm saying, first of all, the main problem here when we talk about discrimination is that we are excluded, and we are excluded on a basis that someone, as this young lady said, has put the criteria to. Let's get rid of that criteria, and let's allow for everyone to be included in this system.

So that is what I would say would be not the simple solution because it would be a hard task that we are working on to come together with a program that would do But I'm saying very simply let's drop all the rigmarole and go right to the fact that you're included, you're included, and you are able to apply and be a part of everything that happens.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Dr. Lai.

I would like to comment on that. been working on this, and I think we have some experience

CBT/cs

regarding why we are discriminated against.

I think the primary reason is education. If a person does not understand who you are, they are going to think all kinds of things. And education comes from home, from school, from textbooks, from the media, from newspapers, from books of all kinds. And if we can suggest or regiment them through the law, that those are people, they have to understand; they make the mosaic of America, and they are your neighbor, they are your partner. When it comes to war, they are fighting side by side with you. When it comes to pay taxes, they pay taxes. But if we do not educate people that there are no differences -- I mean in civil rights, you have to respect each other -- if you don't teach them.

A shining example is I have a friend in Ohio that has a mixed marriage, and she is a Chinese snf as white as everything. When she went to Akron, Ohio and went to a grocery store, a little five-year-old kid -- less than five years old -- came in front of her and used his hand and put it right on his eye and pulled it back and made those kinds of gestures. Who taught that child to do that? She is not born with that. Someone has to teach her. It's society. It's the family.

So those are the areas that the civil rights has to investigate, how we can get to those kids, to teach a new

generation so we don't have to have these kinds of obstacles and confrontations.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: I'm certainly sorry to hear that that happened in my own home town.

But there is a more serious problem, I think, too, and your response would be appreciated, to the extent that we understand differences -- at least my own view of this has been that sometimes we do appreciate the differences and policy says we don't like them and so we are going to wipe them out. That's part of the problem with bilingual education, that it is seen as a threat; the differences are seen as threats.

And that is something that I'm not quite sure that we've really -- if there is some way you can help us to frame questions to get at that -- I'm not sure that black folks are necessarily excluded because they are just excluded but because the people see the differences and don't like them. That's where the real racists are. They see the differences and they don't like them. And how do we get around that is how you deal with those questions.

MS. ROSENTHAL: May I address that? This actually goes back to your previous question, and perhaps what you just asked. I'm not sure I can address the question of how to sensitize the Federal Government into appreciating differences to a greater extent, but I want to

CBT/cs

reiterate something that I discussed during my presentation, and that is this project that the Anti-Defamation League has undertaken, along with other civil rights organizations, which is "A World of Difference."

And it sort of goes to what you were just bringing up, because the real focus is the community and schools, and it also follows up on what the gentleman just said earlier. Basically the program allows for students to put themselves in various cultural contacts so that they can learn about the similarities between different ethnicities and races and creeds, and also to appreciate the differences.

As I said, it's focused on the community and the schools, and in conjunction with that are prejudice reduction programs in the schools. I think if you start with our educational system, hopefully it will have a ripple effect.

As I said, I'm not sure that this is the solution to sensitizing the government to appreciating differences, but you can start with school children and give them this context.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Time is running out on us. First Mr. Chan has a question.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Ladies and gentlemen, I have heard the panelists inform me about the Jewish American

problem --

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Excuse me one second. I have been given orders by my boss here that we must break in about five minutes, so we'll have questions and answers for five minutes.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: -- also concerning the Chinese American problems and the black people's problems, and I am deeply concerned.

Actually, you people brought up a broad spectrum of problems, and some of them are very old. It seems to me some of them we have to treat specifically. For instance, some of the cases involved something above police problems, and some of them are long-term hidden. Take, for instance, discrimination about employment as was mentioned, and I suggest that all of us should build up a case, so to speak, and according to what I understood from all the complaints we should establish a case and then mail it to our General Counsel in the Washington, D.C. office to establish a case.

Now, when the cases have accumulated, so many of them, that gives us more bullets to fight the war, so to speak.

Now, of course, we are all here to fight for our civil rights. But then how to do it, that's a different approach.

And as far as the Commission is concerned, our

CBT/cs

job is to accumulate the information, study it, and clarify it and present it to the Congress.

Meanwhile, I think there may be a small solution to some of the problems. Take Mr. Lai, for instance. To prevent a case like Vincent Chin, I think some of the cases we could treat more timely to prevent the particular problem getting any deeper.

The same approach could be applied on the discrimination on employment. And if we can see where the bottleneck is, then we can go over and expend that bottleneck.

This is my first day as a Commissioner here, but it is my gut feeling that I'm in sympathy with you, and I'm really deeply concerned about all this.

Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Susan.

MS. PRADO: I'm sorry that the lady from MALDEF had to leave the table, but let me just direct my question to whomever will answer it.

Recently we conducted a community forum in Florida. The Florida State Advisory Committee conducted it. And I am interested in something that I'm stating I heard from people at that forum, so those of you who are familiar with the situation can educate me, and that's really what I'm after.

Basically we went down to conduct a forum in police-community relations, and for the most part it was people in the black community that had asked us to come down. However, between the lines and in conversations off the record, what emerged was something else, and that was that we heard a lot about rising tensions between Hispanics and blacks in Miami, and basically we were being told that the Cuban community really controls the economy in Miami, and that they required Spanish-speaking applicants for jobs, and the black people who were conveying this to us basically off the record were terribly concerned.

In fact, I got terribly concerned because I felt there was a great deal of tension rising just below the surface in the community, certainly what was presented to us. That was not a problem I was aware of, and I wonder if those of you who are familiar with a language problem in a different aspect — in other words, that there was resentment, particularly in the black community, that they were being required to speak Spanish in order to get jobs, or just to cope in what they considered their town; and that they also had trouble dealing with Hispanic police officers coming into their communities.

I wonder if those of you who are familiar with that situation could comment on that for me, and what is being done to resolve it if it is a problem.

1

7 8

9

6

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

MS. EUBANKS: I'm familiar with that. In resolving that, a lot of departments have started to do the sensitizing of their officers. It would be only common sense to send in a person requiring a certain language with an officer with that same home language. With the tensions between the two groups, I see that more as a regional, maybe just a city problem, but I don't see that across other cities and counties.

I don't know if MALDEF wanted to address that. MS. HERNANDEZ: I apologize, but I didn't hear the question.

MS. PRADO: I know you had to be called away. Just very quickly, I was recounting that recently the State Advisory Committee of the Commission in Florida had a hearing on police-community relations, and the large part of what came out surprised me, and that was that there seemed to be a very intense growing tension between the black community and the Cuban community over language disparities and economic resentments, that they felt the Cubans had the economic power and required Spanish language for jobs in their community that then blacks couldn't get, and also they felt there was tension between Cubans on the police force in the black community.

I just wondered if this is a correct perception in terms of what I heard and, if so, what you are aware of

and what's being done about it.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I must state that I have heard of it but I am not familiar with the Miami situation. That is a very tense situation that has a lot of deep-rooted problems behind it.

I will say that in other urban jurisdictions attempts are made to communicate with the other minority groups, and here in L.A. and in other jurisdictions, where there is a sense of communication within the leadership. The tensions, the economic sort of tensions as to who gets what, are there, and there are attempts to try to remedy it by discussing and talking about these tensions.

I don't believe I know of any other jurisdiction or urban area that has the intensity of the conflict as the Miami area.

MR. RAMER: Commissioner, may I just add one footnote to that, based on the Los Angeles experience.

Some years ago a joint task force of the American Jewish Committee, the Urban League, and the NCCJ undertook a study, actually resulting from what had happened in Watts then 20 years before to see what the current state was. What came out of that in terms of police-community relations, which is the only aspect of your question I'd like to comment upon, is that the police academy, in discussions with AJC and its related groups, agreed to

CBT/cs

2 3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

institute as part of its training schedule a course dealing with intergroup relations and the tensions that come out of different ethnic communities and their interfacing. been going on for some years. Neal Sandburg of AJC has been very active in it. And the result has been exemplary. police like it and favor it and think it does good, and the community seems to appreciate it.

So that is one small answer to begin to solve those kinds of problems vis-a-vis police-community relations.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: Let me take just one more response, and then we must wrap it up.

The thing that has happened recently in the east of L.A. in the Pomona area with police oversight and trying to put together police commissions that would address that problem -- you have diverse populations in the city, and you have police insensitivity to the customs, to the language, to the needs of each one of those groups. as the gentleman on the end said, one thing that was put together was a course at the Cal Poly University that the police would be required to take and that would help to sensitize them to the needs.

And the language -- you call a guy a nigger, you call an Asian a gook -- what do you mean when you call him this? How does that offend? How are you religiously

CS

offending this person? How are you offending his culture? So that needs to happen.

One quick word on maybe some of the problems between the Afro-Americans in Miami and the Cubans. You have to understand some things — where the power structure comes from, who controls the economics there. We have to look at legitimate businesses; we have to look at illegitimate businesses. We have to look at who has the money; we have to look at, as I say, what we call the new minority, that people are displaced. The jobs that they had, the service jobs, are gone. Then the money that is coming in or the resources that are coming in, are they legitimate and who controls that? So, therefore, it create quite a different problem.

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: I just want to express our deep appreciate to all of you. Some of you have come great distances to be with us here and to share with us your thoughts. We are most grateful to you.

Your statements are already part of the record and will give us a great deal of food for thought, and hopefully for publication in the coming year.

So, again, many, many thanks for your helpfulness.

We will reconvene at 4:00 o'clock for the next session of our program.

CBT/cs

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Good afternoon.

I would like to call Janice Dembo, the Honorable Grace Davis, and the Honorable Rich Castro to come forward and take their places.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: We'd like to bring the presentations back to order now.

The next speaker is Ms. Janice Dembo, who is representing the Honorable Arthur Eggleton of the City of She is the Coordinator of the Toronto Mayor's Committee on Community and Race Relations, and we welcome her as a representative of another government that has a slightly different system, and look forward to hearing what you have to say.

One of the questions that we had from our own media yesterday is: Why do you have someone from Toronto to come and talk about changing demographics in an ethnically diverse society? I suppose I'll start your comments out with that question for you. So why don't you tell us what you do in Toronto, and we are very happy to have you here.

> THE EFFECTS OF CHANGING DEMOGRAPHIC: URBAN VIEW: STATEMENTS OF: MS. JANICE DEMBO (FOR THE HONORABLE ARTHUR EGGLETON), COORDINATOR, TORONTO MAYOR'S COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY AND RACE RELATIONS, TORONTO, CANADA; MS. GRACE DAVIS (FOR

CBT/cs

2

3

1

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE HONORABLE THOMAS BRADLEY), DEPUTY MAYOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; AND MR. RICH CASTRO (FOR THE HONORABLE FEDERICO PENA), EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, AGENCY OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND COMUNITY RELATIONS, DENVER, COLORADO

I'd be delighted to. MS. DEMBO:

Good afternoon, members of the Commission, and ladies and gentlemen. On behalf of the Mayor of Toronto, Arthur Eggleton, and the Toronto Mayor's Committee on Community and Race Relations, I am delighted and honored to be with you this afternoon to discuss with you the role of the Toronto Mayor's Committee on Community and Race Relations and how Toronto is meeting the challenge of serving its many minority communities, and to explain to you why Toronto is different from other North American cities.

I would like to give you a little bit of Toronto is Canada's largest city background about Toronto. and it has a population in the census metropolitan area of 3.4 million people. Toronto is one of the wealthiest cities in North America. A third of all newcomers to Canada choose to settle in Toronto. Eighty-five different languages are spoken in Toronto, and more than 100 minority groups live there.

The preliminary analysis of the 1986 census has shown that, with metropolitan Toronto, the people of solely

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

British origin have declined by almost 20 percent, which means that a higher percentage of us have ethnic and aboriginal origins. The 968,190 people of British ancestry now account for only 28.5 percent of the area's total population, which contrasts with the prewar period when almost 70 percent of the population claimed British origins and English as a first language.

Well, if we are not British, what are we? Statistics Canada tells us that we are literally a global village of people. The top 10 of us, including the British, Italians, at 292,000 or 8.6 percent; Chinese at 126,000 or 3.7 percent; Jewish, 109,000 or 3.2 percent; South Asian, 106,000 or 3.1 percent; Portuguese, 98,000 or 2.9 percent; blacks, which excludes African and Caribbean people who were surveyed in other categories, 90,000 or 2.6 percent; German, 73,000 or 2.1 percent; French, 65,000 or 1.9 percent; and Greek, 62,000 or 1.8 percent.

The percentage of visible minority population, that is racial minorities, is estimated to be between 15 and 20 percent. So, as you can see, there is no real majority group within our population.

Because the population of Toronto was dominantly British for most of its history, the city, in the words of our Mayor, "has retained the British traditions of civility and tolerance." Those traditions have been reinforced by

_ -

the influx of large numbers of immigrants from Commonwealth countries. The result is that while immigrants to Canada are encouraged through the Canadian policy of multiculturalism to retain their cultures, they have adapted to and taken on a Canadian identity and culture as well.

Toronto's racial and ethnic diversity makes for a dynamic, vibrant, and vigorous society. The city's varied population enriches schools, work places, and neighborhoods. In spite of this positive impact, racial and ethnic groups still experience prejudice and unfair treatment to a certain extent. The challenge for the city is to meet this diversity with respect to the provision of goods and services, accommodation, and employment.

Before going on to describe how the City of
Toronto is attempting to meet this challenge, I would just
like to tell you a little about the national social programs
and the role of the Toronto Mayor's Committee on Community
and Race Relations and its mandate.

Canada has national social programs which benefit new immigrants such as government-funded health care, the baby bonus or child allowance for every child under the age of 18 years, and "English as a second language" classes. In addition, should immigrants run into financial problems, we do have a welfare system for which they are eligible. The federal government funds community ethnospecific counseling.

CBT/cs

services for new immigrants during their first two years in Canada, and the provincial government operates newcomer service offices to assist immigrants.

The Toronto Mayor's Committee on Community and Race Relations was established in 1981 by Toronto City Council, at the initiative of Mayor Art Eggleton. It is composed of 15 members, 13 of whom are citizen members.

Members are chosen based on their ability to represent all persons and their knowledge of human rights, employment equity, and multiculturalism, not upon their ethnic and racial background. The committee does not have members who represent a particular constituency or institution. If we did, we'd be a congress of more than 100 members. Members are expected to be objective and to be able to deliberate on any issue, including those that impinge upon their own group.

This committee is the only citizen committee that the Mayor personally chairs. There is no doubt that his chairing of the committee sends out a very clear message to the citizenry, that our Mayor revels in the city's multicultural diversity, but at the same time abhors racial, ethnic, or religious intolerance, and is prepared to act in order to ensure good race relations and equity within our city.

The committee seeks to promote understanding and

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a respect among racial, cultural, and ethnic and religious and community groups in the city and, furthermore, it seeks solutions to problems concerning the citizens of the city, with a view towards providing an environment in which each citizen has an equal opportunity to grow to his or her maximum potential.

The committee also has a very broad responsibility to help combat racism and other activities which may lead to intergroup tension and conflict in the The committee actively attempts to further the letter and spirit of and, where feasible, to work to strengthen all federal and provincial human rights legislation, wherever it affects or relates to the corporation of the city of Toront and its agencies.

The committee monitors the effectiveness of the delivery of city services to minority groups and advises City Council and city departments on a wide variety of issues, ranging from human rights and policing to matters of cultural affairs and employment.

The aims of the committee, you can see, are very straightforward. Our role is to try and facilitate through moral suasion, advocacy, commitment and action, good race relations within the city of Toronto. The committee wants every citizen to live and work in a city which recognizes the value of diversity, the value of being part of a

CBT/cs

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

multicultural, multiracial, multilingual environment. want our citizens to live in a city which believes that each citizen has a right to equity, to have equal access to housing and equal access to goods, services, and employment.

What does this involve? It involves a continuous advocacy process. It involves, for example, pressing the civic service at City Hall to be more responsive to the needs of its citizens; pressing the City of Toronto to play a leading role in the implementation of employment equity. This sort of work requires vigilance and research on a continuous basis. It involves listening to individuals and communities and talking to officials and politicians.

The bulk of the issues that come before this committee have come through the community participation Every month many deputants from the communities come before our committee, and I meet regularly with community representatives. At these meetings, community representatives are able to air their grievances and witness immediate action from the committee in most instances.

In addition, the committee has been vigorously proactive in consulting with the communities to see what In fulfilling its mandate, the committee their needs are. has addressed numerous issues since its inception in 1981. The bulk of its work, however, has been in the areas of employment equity, accessibility, education, and matters to

•

do with the Metropolitan Toronto Police Force and its interaction with communities and hiring policies. Amongst the other areas covered have been credentialism in admission to trades and professions, including internships for foreign medical graduates, appointments to city boards and commissions, legal aid clinics for ethnic communities, hiring of minority youth, media coverage, immigration and refugee matters.

Since its inception, the committee has had an exceptional opportunity to see the effects of social and economic inequality at first hand, through the many projects and activities that we have undertaken.

one of our major projects, and one which is generally recognized by the communities as being something of a success story, began in 1984 when the committee began a consultation process. In the fall of 1984, because of the numerous complaints from various communities of the City of Toronto that services were not geared to people whose first language is not English, or who are members of the visible minority communities, the committee decided to hold what we called "listening sessions" with the various communities. The sessions were designed to hear from the communities about those areas which impact upon their lives.

Discussions were held with the Chinese, black, South Asian, Filipino, Portuguese, and Southeast Asian

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

communities. These sessions were attended by representatives of city departments, which provided services to the community. In most cases they were department heads, in fact. The committee heard the same thing over and over again at these sessions, about the inadequate service delivery, the employment discrimination that they saw. These communities saw themselves as being external to the operation of City Hall and unempowered to effectively challenge the status quo. The input from the communities led the committee to making more than 30 recommendations to City Council, which Council approved and established an interdepartmental implementation committee to follow through on these recommendations.

Numerous meetings between the coordinator of the committee and senior members of city departments resulted in City Council, in January 1987, approving a multicultural policy and an implementation strategy, which included the hiring of a multicultural consultant to assist the departments in developing and implementing a multicultural access program.

As a provider of public services, the city has recognized its obligations to make its services available to racial and ethnic groups. This responsibility includes facilitating access to services by removing barriers that may be caused by organizational complexity, language

difference, or discrimination. In order to increase access to its services in a racially and ethnically diverse community, city departments have been required to monitor changing community needs and adapt their programs to better meet these needs within available resources.

city Council's objectives are to increase the extent to which the work force and city departments reflect the racial and ethnic make-up of Toronto's population, to promote city employees' awareness of cultural and linguistic, religious, and other factors which should be taken into account for the appropriate delivery of public services, to ensure that they do not present discriminatory barriers to racial and ethnic groups.

At the same time that the committee consulted the communities in '84, it became evident that most of the communities had serious concerns about the workings of other levels of government. In 1984 City Council expanded the committee's mandate to include advocacy to other levels of government regarding issues which affected the citizens of the city who were members of racial and ethnic communities.

The committee meets regularly with ministers of both the federal and provincial governments. It has sent briefs to the Canadian Senate, whose endorsement is required before laws are enacted by the Canadian Parliament, on a variety of important matters related to the committee's

CBT/cs

9

11

12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

These include a brief on the proposed amendments mandate. to the Immigration Act to establish stringent new rules and procedures respecting the admission of refugees into Canada. City Council endorsed the committee's position that the proposed rules and procedures were harsh and unfair, constituting a radical, unjustified, and perhaps constitutionally invalid change from Canada's humanitarian traditions.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Excuse me a moment, Ms. Dembo, if you wouldn't mind wrapping up, we'd like to save some time for questions.

MS. DEMBO: Okay. I won't go into details. have an employment equity or equal opportunity program at the City of Toronto, and we are doing a number of things to increase the hiring of target groups, which are women, the disabled, visible minorities, and we are now adding aboriginal peoples to this group in 1989. We also have a contract compliance program which applies to \$1.5 billion annually of business.

I think what you'd be interested to know about -and maybe I'll read that section -- is what is happening I don't know; am I correct? with the police.

Although the Metropolitan Toronto Police Force is not directly within the jurisdiction of the City of Toronto, as it is a regional force, I am able to inform you of its

11.

efforts, particularly as the Mayor's Committee has advocated for many of the programs which have been undertaken by the police force with respect to employment equity and sensitivity training of the force regarding its dealings with the racial and ethnic minorities.

In 1984 the Metropolitan Toronto Police Force commissioned a management consultant firm to undertake a comprehensive review of the Metropolitan Toronto Force's recruitment selection system, and to make recommendations for changing them.

In January '86 the Board of Commissioners of Police accepted the consultant's recommendations and agreed to an action plan for implementation. As part of that action plan, a new outreach recruitment strategy was adopted. The results in 1987 for the established goals for representation of women, visible minorities, and the disabled have not only been met by the police force but surpassed. Female representation in the uniformed rank has increased from 3.9 percent in October, 1986 to 5.3 percent by December of 1987.

Visible minority representation has increased to 3.5 percent from 3 percent on October 10, 1986. These increases are the results of concentrated recruitment efforts that work in conjunction with an objective and fair selection system. Goals for '88 have been adjusted to

CBT/cs

reflect 1987 accomplishments, and given the anticipated recruitment level for 1988 it is projected that by the end of the year female representation, in a force of 5,500 persons, will be 6 percent and visible minority representation 4 percent.

The monitoring of the process will continue, facilitated greatly in 1988 by the implementation of the computerized applicant tracking system. Citizen recruitment endeavors must be complimented by training programs to ensure the existence of an environment that fosters success on the job. The steps taken in 1987 to establish a comprehensive cross-cultural human rights and race relations training program will intensify in 1988 with the introduction of a new workshop that will reach every member of the force. In addition, revisions to a number of existing courses will be made to incorporate elements of cross-cultural, human rights, and race relations training. These training initiatives will become vital components of the employment equity program.

The Metropolitan Toronto Police Force is constantly striving to be aware of the problems faced by today's society and established in the early 1970s an Ethnic Relations Unit to cope with the changing needs of the city's many different ethnic groups. Police officers of the Ethnic Relations Unit come from numerous cultural and ethnic

/ CS

backgrounds. These officers speak numerous languages and are representative of our multilingual and multicultural city. In addition, they are familiar with the many customs and traditions of new immigrants and have an understanding of some of the problems they face. To remove the barriers created by mistrust and fear that sometimes occur between the police and the community, ethnic officers meet regularly with members of metro Toronto's ethnic groups to establish and maintain communication.

a total of 51 languages are spoken by members of the Metropolitan Toronto Police Force. In cooperation with the media, ethnic officers assist in producing a variety of public service messages for radio, television, and the preson police-community related topics. Officers also broadcast in several languages on radio and television programs to promote awareness of the many services provided by the force.

Despite all of these efforts on the part of our police force, we are currently facing a crisis situation in relations between the black community and the police following the shooting of a black disabled man. The incident occurred when four police officers responded to a call that a man with a knife was holed up with a hostage in an attic. Information that has been released to date indicates that one of the police officers feared for the

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

safety of another officer and shot the man. The case is still under investigation by the Ontario Provincial Police Force as well as the Public Complaints Commission, which is a civilian body which has the power to investigate police misconduct.

The black community is upset over this shooting and has been protesting by way of marches and public meetings, and has been pressing for an independent investigation in addition to the above. This tragic incident indicates that, although many improvements have occurred in relationships between the black community and the police, the health of the relationship remains fragile and will require much more attention by all interested parties.

So, as you can see, although as a city we are taking numerous steps to address discrimination and prejudice and to sensitize our work forces to a multiracial and multiethnic society, setbacks such as this shooting do occur. However, although problems will inevitably arise from time to time in a city as culturally diverse as Toronto we will continue to be innovative and positive in our efforts to serve our ever-changing population.

Thank you very much. We'll COMMISSIONER DESTRO: be coming back with some questions, I'm sure.

Our next witness is the Honorable Grace Davis,

25

24

7.4

who was with us this morning, the Deputy Mayor of the City of Los Angeles. We welcome you back and look forward to hearing what you have to say to us.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you very much.

In the interests of time, I'm going to just read portions of this, since you all have copies of it, just for emphasis, and then I'll try in my own words to share some of the other things besides the internal workings and so on.

As is true throughout the West and Southwest, there have been major demographic changes in the City of Los Angeles. The 1980 census recorded the minority population at 51 percent, and according to its latest estimates, it continues to grow, particularly with respect to the Hispaniand the Asian populations.

As an employer, the City of Los Angeles has always tried to be responsive to the community it serves. We have tried to be a model employer by adopting programs which would expand the pool of qualified job candidates and provide them with an equal opportunity to compete for jobs which would enable them to be productive members of our work force. Accordingly, great strides have been made within the City of Los Angeles in the area of minority employment.

A formal commitment to affirmative action and equal employment opportunity was made by the City of Los Angeles in 1973.

CBT/cs

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

When we first came in there, the former Mayor had just presented the policy statement to the Council, and it was about six pages long. Our administration took it over and established a task force within the city. There are associations within the city for each of the ethnic groups. They themselves, you know, have come together and formed We have an Asian employee, Hispanic, and so on. these.

What we have on the task force is representation from each of those groups, in addition to the Personnel Department, the Mayor's Office, the City Attorney's Office, and some of the other administrative elements that are necessary to do the work.

The Personnel Department is the one that is really in charge of doing all the actual audits, if you will, of the departments, and they work with individual managers and their personnel sections in reviewing the work force of each department. And we have done this by category -- professional, administrative, and so on. What they do is help them identify obviously all of the representation across those categories.

We then also look at the kind of training they are providing some of the entry-level and lower-level categories in the departments, and assist them in helping not only to bring people into the entry levels but to also allow the mobility within the department of the minorities.

We have done this by doing things like bridge classes, in which we allow bridging between, say, for instance, the clerical and paraprofessionals and so on, and many of our clerical people have been going. In fact, we have people at very high levels who started this way.

By the way, to show you the dedication in terms of the task force, our meetings are all at 7:00 o'clock in the morning.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: That is dedication.

MS. GRACE: Yes, that is dedication. And what we do is actually we meet frequently about once a year when we are actually reviewing each department's goals and timetables and so on.

We also involve the commission of each of the departments to become aware of the objectives for the departments. We assist them in developing training programs for the various levels and so on, so that we realize it's not just a question of bringing the new people into the work force.

As you saw in here, we do have two consent decrees -- one for the fire department and one for the police department.

We are doing, I think, very well in both of those categories, and I know that in spite of the fact there was much resistance from the departments, now that they are interest.

T/cs

it they are committed to the objectives, and they are doing very well in the recruitment area, and the retention which, particularly in the fire department with women -- as you know, that has been a very difficult area, but they have extended themselves to providing training starting in the high schools. We have special classes in the high schools to have the women who are interested to begin to develop the physical capabilities that they need, in addition to other skills, and we're being very successful in that.

Particularly, I think, in the case of women, we have had very strong programs in terms of the harassment issues, the sexual harassment issues. The Mayor has established very strong policies very recently and has personally met with all the general managers and reviewed policies with them because we were continuing to get many different kinds of complaints from them.

I know in terms of women, we have also introduced a number of programs to assist them. Child care, by the way -- that was my first assignment when I came to Tom Bradley in '73, and we are finally realizing child care. We are having two different centers, one that we're going to share with the federal agencies across the street from us, and the other one that we will be sharing with the community in another location here and downtown.

We also have flex hours for women or any other

employee where they are allowed to adjust so they can be with their children, take them to school and go back. We have different hours in which people can work 10 hours a day instead of eight hours so that they have an extra day off on the weekend or every two weeks and so on.

We have shared positions, particularly for women who are wanting to go to school, or men who want to go to school and work, and we have positions that are being shared.

So we are providing many, many opportunities that we find.

The difficulty, particularly to get them interested in promotions, is to get them to take that extra education. By the way, we have classes right in City Hall after work. We have the colleges that come into City Hall and teach classes on administration, supervision, and management, so that also coincides with the kinds of requirements for promotions and all.

I think that we probably have one of the strongest affirmative action programs in any of the cities that I have seen, and I have compared it with many other cities. Again, it's the kind of commitment and the dedication. We have had to convince management that they are part of the policies that have been established in the city. We have done a lot of training. We have had to have

CBT/cs

one-on-one discussions with many people. But we still have a long way to go, and you have the statistics in terms of the improvement.

In terms of the community, because this is not just the fact that we look good ourselves, we are concerned about the civil rights of all people who reside within the parameters of the City of Los Angeles. To that extent we have a number of advisory groups that we work with.

First of all, within our own staff, we have representation of the various segments of the community so that we have people who go out into the community and work with the various ethnic community organizations to be responsive to their needs, to make them aware of the services of the city, not just the Mayor's office but the departments. We have bonus pay for people who speak the languages and are able to be responsive on the telephone, at the counters, and so on. We have materials that are translated in a variety of languages, and so on.

We are very aware of the immigration to the City of Los Angeles, and we have worked with the Immigration Department. In fact, we are very successful in helping them facilitate or get the permits they needed in terms of opening up the offices for the legalization programs and so on.

But I think also, more than that, we have made

/cs

.11

ourselves available within the city. Many of the employees have volunteered to become counselors and to assist other organizations in many of these programs.

We have a refugee committee that works with the refugee communities that live here in Los Angeles. While we know that they have many legal restrictions as far as their own status and what have you, we still realize that they are entitled to the services that we provide, so we do communicate with them. And we have several problems that I'm sure you have been aware of. One is that since they can't work because of legalization, they take to the streets to try to sell all kinds of items, and of course we have restrictions about that, and I'm working very closely with some of the Council members. We're thinking in terms of maybe having some kind of swap meet areas where they can legally go and probably sell.

Also, we have a problem with the employers who pick up day laborers on corners. And again, although we have done a little bit of a survey, we find that there are many legal people who are part of that, so we don't want the discrimination to affect either group.

So, again, we are looking to possibly the establishment of hiring halls that were very common in the early days of the labor movement. We haven't discussed it with Labor. They may take exception to it. But I think we

can probably get them to participate in this because, again, we have to make sure that new people who come here become aware of our laws and are able to function within the laws to the extent possible.

I think that we have been fairly fortunate in the relationships that we have with the various ethnic groups that reside within Los Angeles. Our city schools have over 80 and maybe more languages that are spoken by the children who attend the schools. That gives you an idea of the diversity of the people who live here.

We have very outstanding communities in terms of the Japanese, the Chinese, and Korean communities.

Unfortunately, east L.A., which is thought of as Hispanic, and south central as the black, are generally thought of as the areas where gangs promote their activities, and so on. So we have to constantly work to try to enrich the cultures of those communities so they can also be projected in a positive way.

But I think that we have very good race relations here in Los Angeles. Certainly we have individual instances that arise every once in a while, but again we have a close working relationship with the state in terms of the agencies that are responsive to discrimination.

We have, as was mentioned here, our own structure within each department and within the city when we have

other complaints that are filed against the city and so on.

So we certainly realize we have made great strides in the years that we have been in office, but we recognize that there are many areas that still need to be addressed, possibly different interpretations of the way we have addressed these issues in the past, but we certainly are committed to insuring that we protect the civil rights of the people who live in the City of Los Angeles.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker is Mr. Rich Castro who is representing the Honorable Federico Pena of Denver. He is the Executive Director of the Agency of Human Rights and Community Relations for the City of Denver.

Welcome, and we look forward to hearing from you.

MR. CASTRO: Thank you very much.

In the interests of time, I, too, have presented the Commission with written testimony. I will not read all of the testimony. I know the hour is late and I know you want to allow for some questions and answers.

I do want to, first of all, indicate it is a real pleasure to be here. Our Mayor could not attend, so I was asked in his stead that I represent him. I run what is called the Agency for Human Rights and Community Relations for the City and County of Denver.

CBT/cs

2 3

1

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

I have been asked to address the effects of changing demographics with an urban view. Before I do that, I'd be remiss if I didn't interject a couple of major concerns that I personally have and that our Mayor has conveyed to the public that he has as well.

This whole topic -- and I will not try to be redundant; one of the disadvantages of coming on so late is that much of what I wanted to say has been mentioned many times.

It hasn't bothered anyone else. CHAIRMAN ALLEN: It hasn't stopped anyone else, so I MS. CASTRO: will want to make a couple of comments.

One of the main points I wanted to make -- and it has been reiterated time and time again by others very eloquently before me -- is the growing number of Hispanics I am particularly grateful that you have in this region. come out to Los Angeles, an area that is experiencing major demographic changes. I think it is in the spirit of the Commission that you're coming out to the various regions. think previous speakers have alluded to the idea that we need to take a look at some of the regional differences that we have in this country, and certainly the Southwest has some very unique regional differences.

We are seeing a major shift, if you will, in population. Many people have talked about the growing

3 1

Hispanic numbers. But I think if you look at the Southwest in a regional sense as well, much of the growth that is going on in the Southwest, population growth, is because many people coming from the eastern Rust Belt states are migrating into the Southwest, and given the high immigration and the history of the Southwest with Hispanics, we're seeing some demographic changes there that have some political implications as well as economic implications. So your visit here I think is most timely in the Los Angeles community.

Colorado -- and I don't want to go into a lot of statistics; I want to indicate that many of the demographic changes that we are seeing throughout the nation are also evident in the State of Colorado with respect to Hispanics in terms of growth and in terms of economic and political participation.

I thought one thing that might be of interest when we talk about demographics from an urban context -- and I'm shifting quite a bit here because I know the time is late -- but the 1990 U.S. census -- it's hard to talk about demographics without talking about the Census Bureau, obviously. And I think one of the things we are going to see is a trend, and we are already seeing it, challenges by certain groups. At a time when the Census Bureau and certainly minority groups in this country recognize the

CBT/cs

value of a complete count, there are certain forces that are attempting to, I wouldn't say try to see less than a complete count but are putting some stumbling blocks in the way of a complete count. What I'm making reference to are organizations such as FAIR that are talking about trying to

identify undocumented people in our nation in that count.

when we recognize the fact that there is already an undercount of Hispanics in this nation, to suggest that the Census Bureau somehow is going to perform an immigration philosophy or role, I think it would only contribute to an underground. So when we're talking about trends here, I hope that the Commission would look at that fact, that we have a Constitution, and in the U.S. Constitution it speaks about the fact that all residents of our country would be counted every ten years.

So there will be major challenges in ensuing months. Several states have already issued challenges in that regard. I think it has major civil rights implications.

And the reason that I say that and suggest that is I served on the 1981 Colorado Reapportionment Commission when I was in the legislature. I was one of eleven members. And this committee, I know, doesn't need a lecture on reapportionment and the gerrymandering and the long history of exclusion that has taken place in our country, but thanks

to the U.S. Voter Rights Act, blacks were enfranchised in '64 in record numbers. Ten years later when the Act was reauthorized, those extensions were brought into the Southwest. And as a result, in 1974, there were only 1,500 elected officials with Spanish surname. A little over 10 years later, we find now over 3,000.

So when we're talking about how do we encompass people, the question by Commissioner Destro, how do we involve people at the federal level, certainly through the U.S. Voter Rights Act that Dr. King was so instrumental in pushing and promoting, we are embracing ethnic groups in record numbers through political participation. We need to reinforce the Voter Rights Act.

Comments were made about the "U.S. English only" movement. That movement is, in a final analysis, about diluting the Voter Rights Act, and also has other major implications in terms of services and education for language minorities in this country.

So when we talk about the U.S. Census, I'd hope that somehow the Commission could get involved in that question. We need to have a full count.

From an urban context, when we talk about the implications for urban areas, and specifically with the census count, communities like Denver are not as large, obviously, as Los Angeles. We're only half a million in

CBT/cs

BT/CS

size. To have less than a full count, however, literally puts us in jeopardy of losing millions of dollars if we don't meet the half-million threshold. So if we came under 500,000, many of the federal grants that are so critical to our urban community are linked to this whole question of the census, aside from the political considerations.

When we talk about the demographic shift, it is obvious that areas like Texas, California, Arizona, and Florida, because of that in-migration within our country, and also the fertility rate of minorities in this country, those states specifically in the 1990s have a rare opportunity to pick up anywhere from 11 to 13 new Congress people. From a political context, that has major implications for certainly language minorities in the Southwest, but other ethnic groups as well.

I want to take just a moment -- and as I mentioned, I am skipping a little, but the topic of immigration was mentioned, and it certainly was a theme I wanted to touch on. The reason I mention it as having civil rights implications is that my analysis, in following the immigration question for some 15 years now, is that the same kind of fear that is generated by "English only" is linked in many respects with immigration. We have heard testimony today, and the figures range anywhere from 6 to 12 million at different points in time of undocumented workers in this

country. If you took those figures on face value, one of the concerns I have in terms of racial implications is that one would be led to believe that almost every other Hispanic you saw on the street got here last night.

The reality is, particularly in areas like Colorado, according to a recent survey done by the Latin American Research and Service Agency on census information, that in Colorado over 90 percent are native born. So we need to deal with these illusions that were spoken to earlier about myths and reality, the whole idea of pitting different groups, and the illusion that Hispanics in this nation are all recent arrivals.

There is no question that we do have an immigration question before us that we need to deal with but, by the same token, I think it is critical that we recognize that we do not have to establish a xenophobic fear of everyone, particularly those who are identifiable ethnic minorities, as draining the economy, if you will.

This comment was made as well -- and I want to reemphasize this because I think it's a real role for the Commission to play. Colorado, Arizona, and Florida are now three states that will be dealing with an initiative in November on the "English only" question. I think it would be remiss on my part, as a civil rights individual in our city -- certainly from a national level I would hope you

CBT/cs

/ C3

would place some focus on this -- but there is no question that the "English only" movement, which is not just in these three states but in 37 states at this point -- 14 states have passed legislation up to this point, and as I mentioned three states will be up in November for voting on this initiative -- are impacted by this.

And the fear, I think, is the same fear that drives the immigration question, that there are certain demographic changes taking place in this nation. And rather than embrace those changes — and as Antonia Hernandez very eloquently pointed out, we need to look at inclusion, how we are enriched by our cultural diversity, not divided by it but enriched. We need to recognize and see through these movements as to what the dangers are.

And what the dangers are are this: I don't believe that there is a question that minorities, particularly Hispanics and Asians who have been targeted by this movement, value education. There is no question that they do. There is no question that they do. There is no question that they recognize that to succeed in this society one needs to speak English.

But what drives the opposition on this question -- and I hope the Commission can take a look at this -- is some of the myths and the types of statements made with regard to this question. Language in this issue has been equated to loyalty to their country. To not be fully

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proficient, there have been allegations that Hispanics, for example, are going to set up a Hispanic Quebec in the Southwest. There are charges that Hispanics are not loyal. There are charges that somehow there is some kind of a deadly disunity.

The reality is just the opposite. I think we need to recognize that, what the "English only" movement is It is not a unifying measure but one that has certainly divided people not only in this state but in other states where these measures have been introduced. certainly economic, educational, and housing implications for civil rights.

One other topic I want to touch on -- and I know the time is winding down -- is what do we do in Denver as a small community addressing this question. Previous speakers have talked about: How do we link neighborhoods to this whole question of civil rights from a smaller perspective? As someone mentioned, the fish that just takes little bites. Well, perhaps we're like the fish in Denver.

One of the things we do at the neighborhood level is we have over 200 identified neighborhood groups in a small community of half a million. Our mayor regularly goes out to the neighborhood groups. Our office helps staff those meetings, and it's bringing government to the people. I think that is a concept that needs to be amplified

CBT/cs

throughout the nation.

The idea, very similar to Los Angeles, we have advisory groups. We have a Mayor's Black Advisory Group, a Mayor's Hispanic Advisory Group, an Asian Advisory Group, and an American Indian Advisory Group. Given our regional locale, American Indians, for example, have not had a real opportunity to speak today. I think it's important for areas like Denver and certainly for the nation to recognize we are a crossroads where we sit in the nation between the Indian nations. So we have not only the reservation questions that are very apparent in terms of what's happened to the American Indian, but we also see transitional problems with American Indians as they come through large urban areas trying to make an adjustment from reservation life to urban life.

The Asian community is a growing community in our city. The Asian Advisory Group tries to meet quarterly with the Mayor to discuss these questions. And even though we are a land-locked state, we have a lot of in-migration from Asian groups, particularly Vietnam, Hmong, Cambodian, as well as the traditional Chinese and Japanese groups that settled there years ago.

The blacks have several organizations, the Black Roundtable. In fact, the chairwoman, Mrs. Gwen Thomas, who is an advisory member of the State Commission of Colorado,

cs 1

б

is here present, and we try to encourage meetings with the black community as well as the Hispanic leadership.

My agency also, aside from coordinating those meetings -- this is a question of inclusion again for Commissioner Destro's question -- has set up commissions in a wide variety of areas. We have a Commission on Youth, which is a majority of young people from high schools who sit on that commission. We have a Commission on Women that deals with domestic violence questions, the whole issue of comparable worth, day care. We have a Commission on the Disabled, with disabled individuals working there, and who access questions of how disabled people can function and work in our community and contribute.

We have a Commission on Aging, as well as a Cultural Affairs Commission. All of these commissions work out of our agency. I am the overall head, and the Mayor meets regularly with each of these bodies.

We also have an Urban Environmental Affairs Task Force which deals with all urban questions dealing with quality-of-life issues.

Police training is also a major component of our office.

So I guess what I'm here to suggest is that perhaps the model we use at Denver -- one of the real advantages you can take advantage of, I would believe, is

CBT/cs

B.I.\cs

for us at a later point to submit our model to you, and as you travel about the country to encourage local level participation. I think we can't make that statement enough, that if we are going to survive as a country we need to include all people. I think that is a role that the Commission plays.

I thank the Commission for giving me this time.

If there are any questions, I'm sure all three of us would entertain them at this point.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you very much.

I think I'll start with Commissioner Chan.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Ms. Dembo, it is very nice to hear that the civil rights is being managed so well in our neighbor.

MS. DEMBO: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Is that because you have a city provincial control program of some kind to control the funding for certain organizations so they will be under the control of the government on your human rights program?

MS. DEMBO: Well, not quite. First of all, there's the Canadian Multiculturalism Policy, which is enacted into law, and under that they spend \$17 million a year in promoting multiculturalism and financing different support services for ethnic communities, and interracial

7 8

 level.

communities. Then each province has its own human rights legislation. We have federal human rights legislation as well, but the federal human rights legislation is really for the federal civil service and federally regulated companies like the railways, the airways, et cetera. But like the Province of Ontario has human rights legislation under five areas and 15 different grounds. But there is no real provincial control except through the provincial code of human rights.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: The Canadian Human Rights Act is established by the Parliament, is it?

MS. DEMBO: Yes, it is.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: And then in each province, you have, say, the Ontario Human Rights code?

MS. DEMBO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: To go down to the state

MS. DEMBO: Yes. And then the city government has its own code of discrimination, which is under the same grounds as the province. In fact, the province recently followed the city by adding sexual orientation to their list of grounds.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.

On the other hand, Ms. Davis, our city is under a different kind of administration as far as civil rights is

CBT/cs

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I understand in the United States there are concerned. federal civil rights, and then all the city has to do is to comply with it by organizing some kind of organization.

That's true, but I think that the MS. DAVIS: City of Los Angeles has gone beyond, as it has in other areas, the requirements in the kind of programs that we have initiated and so on. We have taken the lead, for instance, in the area of the gay and lesbian community. We were one of the first local governments that came out with legislation that protects them in terms of a variety of problems that they have.

And, incidentally, in terms of AIDS also, which is not restricted, as you know, to the gay and lesbian community, there are many issues. For instance, I just had a letter yesterday from a prisoner who does not have AIDS -he's a hemophiliac -- but because the prisoners see him going to the dispensary all the time, they just assume he has AIDS, so he is being discriminated against and is suffering a great deal, to the point that he ran away from the facility, and so on.

So those are the new areas that we have gotten But, again, we also have legislation in the involved in. city that is protecting the creation of hospices, the renting of the medical facilities, medical services, and so on.

25

24

10.

We do certainly abide and go beyond.

commissioner chan: Well, I used to be the secretary of the CCPA, Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association of Los Angeles. I used to deal with Mr. Bradley and so on, and I must compliment him, that even though Mr. Bradley and I are on different sides of the political arena, because Mr. Bradley is black I think the City of Los Angeles has more favorable management records than many other major cities in the United States.

MS. DAVIS: I thank you very much. We do sometimes need a little prodding.

I just want to share with you that in the police department, although we are doing well as far as the consent decree, the promotions of minorities is very limited. And we had MALDEF, who was represented here earlier today — their attorneys — and we made all our files available to them, and they did an extensive review of the promotions within the police department. As a result, the Mayor has generated some directives through the commission to the police department, and they are grudgingly going, and we hope that in the years to come we will see the results. Because as you know, the Mayor himself only got as far as lieutenant because that's as far as anybody ever got. And we now are seeing captains and commanders and so on. But it takes a lot of help from the outside.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Very good. Thank you.

I have one question for the City of Denver.

In Colorado there are many Native Indians. Can you tell us a little bit about how the Indian people fare with the other people or vice versa?

MR. CASTRO: As I mentioned, Denver sits kind of at the crossroads within various Indian nations in the Southwest. Because of the census undercount, it's hard to determine how many. In fact, that's an issue with the American Indian community in terms of how they are counted for obviously various resource allocation questions.

We estimate anywhere from 15,000 to 20,000 live in Denver at any one time. They tend to be not migratory but transient, if you will. Many come from a reservation on the way somewhere else and happen to drop off in Denver. So we do have an American Indian Center that we work quite actively with. We have distributed a lot of economic development funds to that center so that there are economic initiatives taking place there.

We have an advisory group made up of various

Indian organizations, so it's not based on tribalism as much
as it is by various Indian organizations that serve the

American Indian community. I believe there are about 15

members on that advisory group. They try to meet regularly,
quarterly, if you will, with the Mayor to discuss issues of

concern.

One question that was brought forward -- and this is an area that I think needs some discussion -- that I think was by the American Jewish Committee spokesman -- is that cross-dialogue with different ethnic groups is very important. We have a Chicano-Jewish dialogue, a black-Jewish dialogue. The Hispanic community is very involved peripherally with the American Indian community. And wherever we can develop programs where other cultures can work together, aside from the majority society, I think we are all better served. So I think that speaks to your question as a need to do more of that type of interacting between particularly minority groups.

COMMISSIONER CHAN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Commissioner Allen.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Well, I will take a brief moment, although we are running out of time. Mr. Castro already answered partly the chief question I had in mind this afternoon, and in your opening remarks you also talked some about neighborhoods and groups, and I thought it would be worthwhile above all to hear from you representatives of major cities what some of the dimensions of intergroup relations are.

Particularly I have one thing in mind, which I am concerned about because I know historically one thing above

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

60 01 01 6

CBT/cs

А

all else has characterized race relations and civil rights questions in the United States, and that one thing has been denial throughout every historical period. You usually find the most important trends are subject to denials. They are never admitted and never discussed, and they are always on the fringes.

One thing, of course, that is on the fringe today in the cities like Chicago and to some extent also in New York is a growing tension between particularly black groups and Jewish groups. Well, I shouldn't say groups; let's just say loosely blacks and Jews for the moment, not to exaggerate it.

So I wanted to know from your respective vantage points how that question stands so that we might be better able to judge whether these are parochial issues or whether there is an underlying trend.

MR. CASTRO: I think that question was also raised when Mr. Destro asked about the whole question of inclusion. I agree with you that some of our major problems have been the question of access and inclusion. From a purely administrative point of view, one of the things we have tried to address, rather than talk about police commissions -- because many of the questions of police brutality have been focused in on black and Hispanic primarily but to a degree Asian, and now the gay community

-- is to try to put people in those positions that run those agencies that will be accountable to the communities.

For example, in terms of inclusion, we now have an Hispanic who is very sensitive to neighborhood questions running the Manager of Public Safety's office, which oversees the police and fire departments. The fire department head is Hispanic. The head of our health and hospitals system, which is the largest cabinet-level position, is a black.

So when we talk about access, access points obviously are in the administrative area.

When we talk about ethnic groups, we have tried to integrate ethnic groups into all boards and commissions, outside of those that I mentioned of my agency.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Let me interrupt you just to say what I really wanted to focus on was the remark you made about intergroup discussion. I want to know, for example, as between blacks and Jews, whether you foster those kinds of discussions because there are perceived difficulties between those groups in the City of Denver.

MR. CASTRO: I am not a party to the black-Jewish dialogue group, but I was one of the founders of the Chicano-Jewish dialogue group. One of the reasons we established that group -- in fact, Ms. Davis and I went to Israel together at one point, having been picked from around

60 01 01 م

CBT/cs

the country. But one of the reasons that we established that group is that there were perceived differences, obviously. There are some commonalities. The Jewish community obviously has some commonality with Hispanics on the question of immigration. We differ on quotas. We have gotten involved with briefings on the Mideast because global questions impact all of us. Central America and bilingual education are some areas that we have some question and some commonality on.

So, yes, to answer your question, we formed these groups because --

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Let me just point it a step further, and then the other two can also respond to it.

Has your experience in Denver been that there has been scapegoating behavior? Have there been stereotypings that have become problems? Have those kinds of problems surfaced?

MR. CASTRO: We've had some cross burnings, and also in front of the Urban League a KKK activity. Mr. Berg, a radio announcer, a very prominent radio announcer, was assassinated several years ago.

In that regard, we're developing -- in Denver it's more of a regional concept; we just had a meeting several weeks ago -- to develop an intercultural coalition, if you will, patterned after the coalition that formed up in

the Northwest to deal with extremism. It's going to be a regional organization, based in Denver but involving four or five outlying states, to monitor and share information between various ethnic groups and civil rights organization about the whole new wave, if you will, of right wing extremism.

So to answer your question, there is some of that activity in Denver as there is throughout the nation, and this is going to be our attempt to try to network with one another to try to address that question.

MS. DAVIS: We also have the kind of dialogues with blacks and Hispanics, and they really don't last very long. We have had them with the Jewish community -- they came to us -- and they have them with the black community.

Recently we have been working with the Asian community, and frankly, my own personal experience, since I have participated in all of them, is that we find we have much more in common with the Asian communities as Hispanics than we have had with the blacks, because when we talk to the blacks or the Jews — well, actually with the Jews we can talk about language and we can talk about immigration because they have those problems, but when we talk to the blacks they don't have that experience unless you're talking about Haitians and so on, which is not something that is really very common.

CBT/cs

But with the Asians, when we talk about immigration or education and so on, we really have had incredible dialogues in a lot of areas that we can really work together.

In terms of the city and the things of my experience in those 15 or 16 years we've been there, when we've any kind of, you know, a little bit of rubbing together of groups, it has really been over resources.

Just yesterday we announced and went to Council with this program with just \$2 million that the Mayor has gotten to extend several schools -- you know, after school hours, sort of a latch key program, which we already have, but we wanted to extend it more. The way we did it in working with the schools is looking at the schools that have the greatest needs, that don't have other programs, have the greatest number of people in poverty -- all kinds of criteria that were used. It just turned out that the majority of the schools in those categories were Hispanic.

Also, even in the south central area, which is normally looked on as the black community, they have been infiltrated with Latin American and Central American people, so again the population is highly Hispanic.

So when we looked at the results, it looked like we were just dealing with Hispanics. And we got a lot of flak on that yesterday.

1 2

So now we are having to respond by looking for additional resources so we can expand to schools that are looked at as predominantly black.

But those, unfortunately, either in funding, our block grant funding, development of housing -- even within, for instance, the housing authority, the public housing that we have -- by law we are supposed to have integrated projects. That has not happened because they were only doing the certification of people at the local areas. So if it was a black neighborhood, all the black people live in there, and the same thing with south central.

We are now centralizing all the certifications so that people just come to one, and we send them where the vacancies are. Well, we got an objection form the south central area people saying, "You're putting too many Mexicans over here. We know there must be blacks on the waiting list." And that was not true.

But we are having to respond to those things continuously. But the fact we are able to enter into dialogue, bring people together, and talk about these things, much as you've said it in your cities -- and that's the only way to get to those things, is to get them immediately, bring the people who have the information to the meetings, and make sure the people get that firsthand information, and then hopefully you have the resources to

б

responsive.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Could you at least just tell me whether you have had the experience, say, of increasing anti-Semitism in the Toronto area on the part of some of the other groups -- blacks, for example?

MS. DEMBO: Well, we certainly have seen an increase in anti-Semitism. We haven't seen an increase in the black-Jewish conflict, although we occasionally hear of the American influences coming in in terms of speakers coming across and talking to the black community, and that sort of raises tensions.

We actually have a very volatile situation in the sense of strained relations between the Ukrainian population and the Jewish population, which is in part caused by the fact that we had a commission which has resulted in the Canadian Government passing a law where we can now have war crimes trials, and there are a couple of cases before the courts, and because of the Demanuk trial in Israel, tensions are very high, and there is no dialogue at all between the Ukrainian and Jewish communities. So other groups, such as my committee, are trying to initiate discussions individually with the communities.

We do see a problem, and that is a problem between the older communities which came pre-1967. I should explain that prior to 1967, we really did have

discrimination in immigration to Canada. And the older groups are more resentful of the newer groups there, because the newer groups have benefitted from policies of multiculturalism. So they see new immigrants as receiving benefits which they did not, and they say, "We came in the hard way. You've got to suffer, too."

So this is an area of tension, but yet they do work together on an area which is calling for heritage languages in the schools. They have worked to get most of the area school boards to extend the school day by half an hour so heritage languages are taught. And with 85 languages, you can have some idea of the impact.

But there are tensions, and one does try to brikabout the dialogue.

MS. DAVIS: Could I just add one thing, which I think is interesting for Mr. Chan. The difference in the immigration that we've had, like people who come from Central America and from Mexico and so on, are from a lower-income class. So when they come here, they are the ones who have less skills, less education, and are working at very-low-skilled jobs or looking for work.

Many of the Asians who have come here have been very fortunate in coming to have the capital in which they can engage in businesses right away. They actually have added greatly to the economy and the facade of the city

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because they come into the neighborhoods -- like about four miles from here there is an area that used to be called Dogtown because that's where we had the first animal They don't know that. We have moved away from that and everything. All they see is properties that have been left there vacant, and they are inexpensive. They come in and they don't just patch them up. They really rehabilitate the buildings, and have added to the community and the economy.

In some areas there is resentent to that because where you have, especially the small communities, the small chamber of commerce and so on, and they come in and they are flourishing and so on, and they do not necessarily have the same orientation as our business people so they have to work to get them to become a part of the business community. we have had to sit in on meetings to bring them together, like with the Korean community and so on.

But they are a real asset to the city, so we have to just convince people, although as you say they are recent arrivals but they are making contributions. But, again, we do intervene in many cases like that.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Mr. Friedman.

Mr. Castro, you and a VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: number the other panelists who represented Hispanic points of view from time to time in the course of these meetings

1 2

_,

have made reference to the "English only" movement and have expressed concern about it with regard to what Ms.

Hernandez, I think it was, who said something to the effect, "Why can't they accept the fact that we have this language and we wish to preserve it and so on?"

"English only" movement. I have written on the subject, so my credentials are clear on the subject. But there has not been any defense made, at least of some of the underlying theory that motivates the "English only" movement, which is that they are worried in part about America becoming a kind of ethnic grab bag in which we have so many racial, religious, and ethnic groups, and if each group goes after its own thing so fully and thoroughly in an activist manner, the common sense of identity will be eroded, and we will become a kind of -- a familiar term years ago was kind of a Balkanization of American life.

Now, I think one of the really difficult arts that exists in American life is how you adjust the need for communal identity and strength which is so terribly important to all of us, and how you preserve the common sense of a total identity. And it is on this level that I thought the discussion ought to be elevated a little bit, rather than, "Why are they trying to drive out Spanish?"

MR. CASTRO: Sure. If I might, I might make jus

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a couple of comments. First of all, as I mentioned, those of us who are opposing "English only" are not opposed to the English language. That's a myth that has been purported, and you'll probably hear more testimony on that tomorrow, that we do support the English language and we recognize the importance.

We come at it from a philosophy of English-plus. Anybody who has an opportunity to travel around the world recognizes that other countries value their children not only speaking their native language but learning English or two or three other languages.

So from a competitive point of view, the area that you mentioned we ought to elevate it to, we are losing our competitive edge around the world. We hear that not only in testimony here, but much of the literature today talks about us losing some of our competitive edge, and that the "English only" movement is coming at a time narrowing our vision rather than expanding it, that we ought to value a second and third language.

Particularly with language minorities, we should not view them as some kind of a detriment to the nation but I don't know if you slipped the point that as an asset. Antonia mentioned, but the idea that we have Spanish children who can speak English and have a second language of Spanish is going to be a resource for us in the decades

ahead.

I was down in Mexico several weeks ago. There are major problems because of the elections, the inflation, the debt -- \$106 billion, most of it owed to us. We're going to have to be working closer with Mexico for our own best interests, and certainly conditions in Central and Latin America demand that we work in social and economic approaches versus the military approaches that we're doing now.

So I guess when we talk about elevating the discussion, what we really ought to be talking about is not looking at Asians or Hispanics or others who have a culture that is very rich, that we ought to obviously get them to be English-proficient, which many want to. The only thing holding them back are programs, or lack of programs.

And looking at them as an asset, from a competitive edge. I believe because of that argument, there is no question that both presidential candidates are on record now against "English only" because they recognize that in the years ahead America needs to work in more of a global context, and anybody who can speak a second language ought to be looked at as a resource and not from a divisive point of view. I think that's the message that "English only" is portraying, rather than the positive side, that cultural enrichment enriches all of our lives.

. 11

One point I think needs to be mentioned that probably hasn't been mentioned, I don't believe, at least listening to the testimony, is that we need to educate our educators from a global perspective as well. You know, we don't offer programs in the high schools for people to appreciate different cultures. Many of our teachers are a product of the same educational system that has gone on for generation after generation.

One approach, and one that I think the Commission can play, is to talk with higher education officials about how we can encourage ethnic studies again. You know, when our young people come out of higher education, they have to work in the real world. And if the demographic statistics that we are hearing constantly today and tomorrow are such that that is the reality, then we ought to be educating our teachers and future leaders in a multiethnic approach because that's what they're going to work with in the real world.

I guess I've talked a little bit around your question, but I think we ought to take a look --

VICE CHAIRMAN FRIEDMAN: There's no good answer to my question, really.

MR. CASTRO: From a constitutional point of view, from a civil rights point of view, I think this "English only" question has major, major civil rights implications

01 01 60 60

CBT/cs

for our country. The ultimate goal is to change the U.S. Constitution. I believe if they are successful we will see major challenges against many of the language minority rights that were fought for for generations in this country.

helps us from a competitive point of view.

5 They deny that they are after access in terms of health or emergency care, but there are several bills pending right

7 now that speak about cutting back those kinds of programs.

I don't believe that's good public policy. It's not good health policy; it's not good criminal justice policy; it's not good educational policy, and lastly, I don't believe it

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Commissioner Buckley.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: First of all, what I'd like to do is commend all three of your mayors and your cities for the work that you are doing. It really has been impressive to listen to all the things you are accomplishing, that you have been able to effect in your cities. I am really impressed by some of the things, like in Los Angeles that you speak about, Ms. Davis, and when you talk about pay incentives for being able to speak a second language, that is definitely a very positive approach.

Again, please, I hope you send back to your mayors our congratulations for what we definitely appreciate as a good job in what you are accomplishing in your positive race relations.

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do not know what your situation may be in Toronto, but in Denver and in Los Angeles, I recognize your city has done a lot as far as your work force within your city government. But has your city also looked at possible things to deal with or perhaps help in dealing with the dropout problem in your cities, and perhaps additional education of your work force in your city, not necessarily your city employees which you have done in Los Angeles, but do you have any kind of community involvement program or something where you are trying to address the dropout problem in the city as a means of preventing rising crime and other problems in the future?

MS. DAVIS: Yes, absolutely. Although the schools are not under our jurisdiction, we work very closely with the school district. I know the Mayor has worked with the inner city corporations that make up the central city. They have gone into a variety of groups, committees, where they have generated monies to fund certain programs that have gone into the schools. There is a focus on youth that has been working with young people in terms of the support system that they need.

You know, we found they don't come to school because they don't have bus money, and of course they don't eat -- you know, the usual things. So these corporations are addressing those kinds of problems. Coca-Cola also has

a program that has dealt specifically with the academics within the school thing.

And then, of course, the program I mentioned, the after school program, keeping the school open so that the kid doesn't go out on the street and get involved with the gangs and what have you.

We have here in the central city, and it's in many of the cities, but the tax increment money that is collected from an area -- it's like a district -- in the downtown area, the taxes were frozen at a certain level, and anything beyond that is given to the Community Redevelopment Agency. Several years ago there was a cap that was put on the amount of money that could be collected. The Mayor is going to court, if necessary, to try to get that cap lifted to several billion dollars, in which most of the money would be going to education, to providing low affordable housing, and some of the other social needs that we have.

And very specifically we do work and encourage -we fund several programs ourselves through our community
block grant that is addressed to helping the dropout and all
that.

It's a problem. For instance, in the Indian community, it's a community we have great difficulty working with, because they are there in numbers because they were counted, to an extent, in the census, but we can't find the

CBT/cs

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in terms of working with them. And we do have an Indian commission to try to help because they have the greatest dropout rates and things in addition to the other minorities and all that.

But it is a problem that we recognize that we have to address because they are the future of the city.

If I might just say briefly I heard MR. CASTRO: Jesse Jackson -- he was in Denver about seven or eight years ago, and he came to our city just after our Mayor was elected. With these demographic changes, we are seeing black mayors, Hispanic mayors -- our mayor is Hispanic -coming into office at a time when we are seeing less federal commitment to local government. I'll get around to the point in just a second.

The one problem we have is that we are a community that is much like the rest of the nation, having economic trouble. So our mayor is without a large treasury at this point, and we don't have a lot of money to offer programs.

At this point one of the things we have done, though, is to try to coordinate a little better with the The schools aren't under our jurisdiction, so we have established a City-School Coordinating Commission. That commission meets regularly, and it's an attempt to have after-school programs like the community-school concept -- I

1 2

don't know if you're familiar with that, but many of our schools lay vacant after the school day, obviously -- trying to open up schools to offer community-based programs in those schools, somewhat for a small fee by the residents, but in that way trying to help address the literacy question and programmatic question.

Our mayor has a very definite commitment to education, has made some major educational policy statements. He himself -- I think at last count it was 135 schools he had visited personally. For those of us within the administration, he encourages us to visit the schools regularly in terms of role modeling.

The dropout problem is a very complicated one. There's not any one thing that either the city administration can do or the school system can do, but at this point, from a cooperative point of view, the City-School Coordinating Commission, I guess, is about the closest that comes to that.

From financial resources, though, that's a real problem. We are embarking on major capital improvements programs, like a new airport and a new convention center to try to stimulate more of the tax base, but at this point Denver is strapped, much like many other large municipalities in terms of a tax base to support additional programs.

CBT/cs

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Commissioner Guess, you indicated you had a question.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Thank you. I don't have a question, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to make a comment.

First of all, I want to explain to the panelists that my colleagues have chosen to deny my civil rights since I have an old war injury of smoking, so they ban me to the back of the room. I didn't want you to think that I was ignoring you since I wasn't sitting up here.

(Laughter.)

I just wanted to say, Mr. Chairman, because I have heard this testimony, the subcommittee is to be commended. The representatives of the cities that you have assembled here, I think, have given excellent testimony this afternoon, and it is unfortunate that millions of cities across the United States, and I suspect in Canada, even though I haven't followed it that closely, haven't been able to implement many of the same creative and imaginative approaches to dealing with the diversity that exists in our society, and I just wanted the record to reflect that I have thoroughly appreciated hearing what they've had to say today.

commissioner Destro: Thank you. I should also note for the record that Mayor Tatangelo had committed to come from Laredo and was unexpectedly called to deal with

CBT/cs

questions of building a new bridge over to Mexico.

COMMISSIONER BUCKLEY: That took precedent.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: So he did send his regrets for not being here.

I only have one question, and then I'll turn the last question over to Ms. Prado. It goes to Ms. Dembo.

You use a term in the materials you gave us, "visible minority." What does that mean?

MS. DEMBO: Well, in Canada it's a term which, according to the census and according to all the people that work in the area, is any person who can be recognized visibly. They have color or have racial characteristics.

What is interesting is there are people who resent the term "visible minorities," and say you are now "audible minorities," people who have language other than English. And a new term is beginning to sort of be coined in some circles, and that is "vulnerable minorities," because there are certain groups like the Jews, for instance, who are always vulnerable. There are certain groups like them, you know, who are usually the target for discrimination or prejudice. And under the term "visible minorities," they are excluded, of course.

So the question is, in race relations, how you use these terms.

I'm not that happy with them myself, I must be

CBT/cs

honest.

commissioner destro: The reason I asked the question is that it is not unlike one of the questions I raised earlier, which relates to your comment about the previous immigrants, and then the later immigrants.

Obviously, the opposite of "visible minority" is "invisible minority," and I suppose you could say there might be an invulnerable minority as well.

But doesn't the real question come down to how the government classifies people who need assistance or protection from discrimination?

MS. DEMBO: Yes.

commissioner Destro: I find it interesting to see it's being debated at the level of what you call people rather than at the level of how you define what their needs are. Because you mentioned the Portuguese, for example.

MS. DEMBO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Are they considered a definable minority group in Toronto?

MS. DEMBO: Oh, yes. In fact, in the city of
Toronto -- Toronto is part of a metropolitan area of six
cities -- they are the largest minority group. In fact,
next to the English, they are the largest population group.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Is there any documentation of discrimination against them, for example?

ST/CS

MS. DEMBO: No, not really, although
educationally they are at the lowest level because the
majority of them have come from the Azores and came prior to
the revolution in Portugal and so had an average of four
years of schooling. So the result is that their children
are the highest dropout rate, followed by blacks, in the
school system. So the Portuguese tend to be very low in the
sort of economic and social categories.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: But they wouldn't be considered to be a visible minority?

MS. DEMBO: No, they'd call themselves an audible minority.

commissioner destro: I was just looking to see, because we have similar questions here. Our census categories define Asian, for example, but nobody knows quite where that stops. Does it stop in the middle of India somewhere, or does it go all the way over to the Bosporus? And those have come up with respect to affirmative action questions here, and it goes really to the question of how we conceive the problem of civil rights. Is it one that is related to people who have problems because of who they are or their diversity, or is it because of color, notwithstanding that diversity?

MS. DEMBO: I think it goes across the board. I think what one wants is to see that all groups are free from

CBT/cs

:s 1

discrimination and are entitled to equal treatment under the law and in everything else.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: All right. I'd like to ask you one more thing. It's not a question but a request for information, and that is if you could give us some information at your convenience on the content, more or less, of the federal multicultural program, that would be very useful to us.

MS. DEMBO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER DESTRO: Thank you.

Ms. Prado. and then that will wrap up this panel.

MS. PRADO: I also must apologize for having to be absent at various times so I was unable to hear all your presentations, but I did hear enough that I would like to ask you a question that I posed to the last panel. That briefly was that several months ago our State Advisory Committee in Florida held a community forum, and out of that forum in a lot of off-the-record conversation and between-the-lines conversation, I learned there was a simmering tension in Miami between the Cuban population and the black population in terms of a slightly different twist on some of the questions you've been talking about in terms of language. In other words, the blacks were contending that they were discriminated against by the Cubans who would insist on Spanish as a prerequisite for jobs in the

community. They contended to me that they called City Hall and they could only get Spanish-speaking people; they couldn't get their problems addressed. It was a different situation than I was aware of, but I was impressed with the level of tension that seemed to exist.

As I was listening to you, I heard you say -- Ms. Davis especially -- that there was difficulty in Los Angeles between Hispanics and blacks trying to work out their differences.

Two questions, I guess: Are you aware of the situation in Miami and any strategies you might recommend, or do you have any such tensions in your two communities, particularly Denver and Los Angeles, that you have been able to work out?

MS. DAVIS: The language problem always has been a factor, the fact that the black community just speaks English, and when they hear the Hispanics just speaking Spanish -- for instance, in our cafeteria, as of Tuesday we have a new contractor up there, and they have a lot of help, and it just turns out that they are all Hispanic, at least the ones who are visible, and they are speaking Spanish to each other. So you get resentment from people: What are they talking about? Is it going to affect the service that we get because of this?

Again, I think it's the paranoia that we as

CBT/cs

1 |

Americans have about other languages and so on.

In other communities -- say, for instance, in the Watts area, which is where we had the riot back in '68 -- and it's true of all communities -- a lot of the people there have been moving out, and the other less economically able people are moving in. So as I said before, in terms of the schools, they are now more predominantly Hispanic. And we have a lot of tension -- although I shouldn't say that as a blanket because we see a lot of communication with people in the schools. But at the very beginning, early on in the years, for instance, there was a Hispanic area superintendent put into that area, and they got a lot of resistance from the members that were there from the black community again.

And it's just because the need is so much that they feel it's going to take longer for their needs to be addressed if it's not addressed by someone who has the sensitivity of their own race or their own community.

Those are the kinds of things that we just constantly have to address immediately as soon as it surfaces, so that we can try to give them what it is that they really need to allay their fears or concerns and not let it take the form of a race relationship.

MR. CASTRO: I might make just a real brief comment. I know this is the final question.

I look at it more from a dynamic of competition I don't know the whole issue in Florida. for resources. I've done a little reading. I know language is at the heart But when you talk about jobs, about blacks of some of that. being unemployed, high unemployment, the Hispanic community concerned with the same question, it's resource allocation.

A previous speaker talked about what are we going to do with the seniors. There's the whole generational equity question. Are we going to take funds away from seniors to provide for future generations of our young? That's pitting old against young, minority against minority.

In Denver we've had a problem nationally -several years ago, I think you may recall -- actually it was in my legislative district when I was in the State House -we had problems between Vietnamese and Chicanos in the housing developments, a perception that Vietnamese were being treated separately and given favoritism in terms of how they got into the housing in the first place. you had some testimony about that issue already.

So whenever you get into the question of pitting groups against each other -- not pitting them deliberately -- I think the resource allocation question revolves around it, not in just Florida but throughout the country. not an easy thing to deal with. As was mentioned, you need to deal with it as it arises, if you will. A lot of it is

> 11 12 13

> > 14

15

6

7

8

9

10

16 17 18

20

19

22

21

23

24

25

CBT/cs

1 2

driven obviously by the national level in terms of where we put some of our dollars.

I think Antonia mentioned it very eloquently, that we really never have allocated enough in specific areas, and I think that is probably at the heart of some of this divisiveness that we see.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you very much. I apologize for keeping you all so very long. We are far over time. And we have run into our public comment time, so I am going to move right along to that.

I understand, however, that we need to give our recorder certainly two minutes to stretch her fingers, if nothing else. So I'm going to declare this a formal recess for that purpose, while you then are able to clear away, and I will go over the list of names and call out the people who are to come to the table for the public session.

So we are temporarily in recess.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: I am going to reconvene the meeting.

This is the Open Session, and respecting that I must say to you all that we can receive your statements under certain limitations mandated by the law, the most significant of which is that we have to avoid defaming or degrading the character of other persons in making general

cs

_-

public statements. What that means is if I should happen to interrupt you or someone sitting up here should happen to say to you, "You're crossing the line," then you shall have to back off of the line. But we do want you to be careful not to engage in defame and degrade kinds of statements.

Since I'm pretty sure I know why you're here and what you have to talk about, you can just tell me how you want to proceed. Five minutes is permitted to each of you.

Does each of you wish to speak for five minutes?

MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I'm not inclined to speak for that length of time, Mr. Chair.

With your permission, if we are prepared to proceed, I simply want to offer opening remarks and introduce those who are with us, and Mr. Webb from the NAACP will conclude.

As I said, my remarks are not intended to be five minutes in length, although I understand that is the limitation under which each of us should govern ourselves.

So with your permission, I am prepared --

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Let us begin, then, with Mr. Mark Ridley-Thomas.

MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS: We are here today because we take note of a very serious issue that has to be described as a civil rights concern, and it is basically the question of the hiring, the retention, the promotion of blacks in

CBT/cs

BT/CS

higher education. And because of the virulence of racism in a variety of forms in the context of these United States, we take particular note of the numbers of complaints that have come to the attention of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference in particular here in Los Angeles, as well as the local chapter of the NAACP.

As a result of these rather persistent concerns or grievances that have been brought to our attention, we thought it appropriate to raise them to the level of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission, and we appreciate the fact that you have afforded us that opportunity.

I have written comments that I have shared with the Chairman, and would be appreciative if they were shared with the other Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: I will acknowledge that I have a copy here. Without objection, it will be entered in the record.

(The complete statement of Mr. Mark Ridley-Thomas is as follows:)

"Dismal prospects exist for blacks interested in pursuing occupations in academia. Several factors seem to exacerbate this problem. One is the declining number of minorities attending college and the even fewer electing to pursue graduate degrees. A recently released Urban League report shows there was a decline in the number of degrees

awarded to blacks at nearly all levels, except the first professional degree. According to the National Research Council's data, the number of blacks earning doctorates in the U.S. dropped 20 percent -- from 1,186 to 946 -- from 1976 to 1986.

"Another factor is an increasing competition between educational institutions and private corporations for a shrinking labor pool. The educational community cannot offer the opportunities, financially and benefitwise, that private corporations can which results in fewer minority applicants for collegiate employment.

"The most horrific factor preventing the hiring and promotion of black faculty is the reluctance of college administrators to honor affirmative action principles.

"We will hear the stories of three black scholars, each of whom are credentialed, competent, and committed to the institution and students they served. Their academic achievements were often lauded and they participated in a number of organizational activities on campus. They were popular instructors and received excellent evaluations from their students and from the departments which employed them. Unfortunately, each were denied tenure for nebulous reasons. Grievances and the professor's requests for recourse from the university often ostracized them from the academic community upon which their

CBT/cs

livelihood depended.

"These are not isolated examples of campus racism. In terms of full-time faculty positions, predominantly white college campuses reflect only a 1.8 percentage of black faculty. Though many college administrators verbally support affirmative action, the overall pattern of faculty ethnicity remains virtually all white.

"The majority of black college educators are employed by historically black colleges and universities. These institutions, however, have been consistently underfunded by the Federal Government forcing some, such as the recently closed Bishop College, to cease their operations.

"The Southern Christian Leadership Conference of Greater Los Angeles is concerned about the virulent forms of racism permeating the bastions of higher education. It is impossible to convey the importance of education to youth when institutional racism blocks the aspirations of those who dedicate their lives to academic endeavors.

"We bring this matter to the attention of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission because it is one of the most pressing civil rights issues of our time. The downward spiral of black student education places our nation at risk. The employment, retention, and promotion of black educators

is necessary to provide black students with the best education possible. Our existence as a nation demands no less."

MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS: And those who accompany me have prepared texts as well, and I simply want to say that it is without question that the Southern Christian

Leadership Conference recognizes this to be one of the more clear and important civil rights issues of the 1980s and no doubt beyond. When we look at the crisis in education and we look at the issue of test scores reflecting poor performances on the part of people of color, but black students in particular, it is of deep concern that when we have black persons excel academically that that is not saluted.

In the person of Professor Hal Fairchild,

Lawrence Hogue, and Reginald Clark, we have three

individuals who have rather extraordinary presentations to

make about what precisely has happened. These are complex

questions. They are not isolated, and this is precisely our

concern.

So without further ado, it seems to me it is appropriate, then, to talk specifically about these examples, and toward that end, Mr. Chair, I would introduce Professor Hal Fairchild.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you.

2

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Dr. Fairchild.

DR. FAIRCHILD: Thank you. My name is Halford Fairchild.

On behalf of my colleagues, I wish to thank the Commission for the opportunity to bring this issue of discrimination against black faculty to your attention. will hear, in our individual statements, three recurrent themes:

First, black faculty are discriminated against in terms of the levels of service expected of them.

Second, despite a greater-than-average level of scholarly productivity, which is after all the currency in academic, our work is subjectively devalued as inferior.

And, third, we are denied tenure within the context of a whole host of procedural irregularities in the formal review process.

I was hired as an assistant professor of psychology at UCLA in July 1978. My appointment was shared with the Center for Afro-American Studies, where I served as a faculty associate. My contract was terminated in June 1986, eight years later, when I was denied tenure and promotion to associate professor.

My teaching at UCLA ranged across a very diverse set of undergraduate and graduate courts: Introductory Psychology, the Psychology of Social Issues, Research

s 1

Methods in Afro-American Studies, Race Relations, Black Psychology, et cetera. Even that breadth of teaching is very unusual for nonminority faculty members at UCLA or really anywhere else.

I consistently received teaching evaluations that were significantly -- and I want to say statistically significantly -- higher than departmental and universitywide averages. In addition, I had a much larger than average number of individual students. And this is a problem that I think confronts all black faculty. At UCLA, for example, there is a 5 percent or so black student body but only a 1 percent or so black faculty. So the demands on the black faculty are increased exponentially.

For example, I served on over two dozen dissertation committees in six years.

For five years, 1980 to 1985, I served as
Chairman of the Committee to Administer the B.A. Program in
Afro-American Studies. During that time I completely
rewrote the curriculum design of the program and supervised
two extensive reviews of the program by the UCLA Academic
Senate.

For three years, 1982 to 1985, I served as
Chairman of the Committee to Administer the Masters of Arts
Program in Afro-American Studies. This level of
administrative responsibility is unheard of for white

CBT/cs

assistant professors.

In addition, the more senior faculty who do serve as chairs of committees to administer interdepartmental programs such as the programs I chaired typically receive an administrative stipend of \$2,000, they receive summer salary which is equal to two-ninths of an individual's annual salary, and they receive a reduction in their teaching load. I was given none of these rewards, which would have amounted to nearly \$100,000 in my years of service.

When I was reviewed for tenure by the Department of Psychology, the department was nearly unanimous in approving my promotion to associate professor with tenure. Of the 68 full-time faculty members in the department, only three voted no. The UCLA administration, however, created an elaborate rationale for why the department's decision should be overturned. They denied my promotion and terminated my contract.

In so doing, the UCLA administration disrupted my career, defamed my reputation, and intentionally caused intense emotional turmoil.

After raising grievances concerning the procedures that were followed in my tenure review, the UCLA Committee on Privilege and Tenure reported that they found at least two procedural irregularities in my review but they dismissed them as, quote-unquote, "harmless." In so doing,

cs

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

.11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

they violated their own policies and procedures for investigating such grievances. Appeals to various agencies within the University of California system have been in vain.

I am currently seeking redress within the civil courts in the State of California and am hopeful that the Commission on Civil Rights will recognize the imperative for placing this question of discrimination against black faculty in higher education on your agenda.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you very much, Dr.

Fairchild.

Who is next?

MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS: Dr. Hogue.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Dr. Lawrence Hogue.

DR. HOGUE: In 1987 the University of California-Irvine, had .016 percent or 10 black faculty members in a total of 900. UC Irvine's rationale for this paucity of black faculty members is that there is no pool of black PH.D.'s from which to hire.

On August 19, 1988, UC-Irvine's black faculty and staff association gave a farewell party to 10 black Ph.D.'s and M.D. who were leaving because Irvine either had denied them tenure, had failed to promote them, or had failed to make opportunities in the work place a reality.

CBT/cs

T/CS

In its entire history, UC-Irvine has granted tenure to only three black professors. All three had to fight, and all three are still associate professors.

Despite that, the UC Board of Regents approved a Target of Opportunity Program in 1982 for the hiring of minority faculty. Irvine has hired only one black assistant professor through that program.

UC-Irvine would argue that racial discrimination has nothing to do with the farewell party or with the lack of black representation on its faculty. But it is quite obvious that institutional racism is a major factor.

What I want to show this evening is how that institution of racism manifests itself.

In 1985 I came up for tenure at Irvine. My book had been accepted by a reputable university press and had been held as superior research by scholars in the field. I had some of the best teaching evaluations in the English department and had delivered scholarly papers at all of the right professional conferences. UC-Irvine could not deny my tenure based on merits. Therefore, the English department, with the cooperation of the university, deliberately and systematically violated university tenure procedures to effect a predetermined outcome. Despite the fact that I was the only Afro-Americanist in the department, the department broke university procedures and voted on my tenure before

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

soliciting letters from outside scholars. Wanting to withhold valuable information from outside reviewers, the department had a secretary who compiled and thinned out my

curriculum vitae without consulting me.

After several unsuccessful battles with the department chair to get a fair representation of my credentials, I filed a formal charge of discrimination with the university's Office of Affirmative Action. month investigation found racial discrimination on two of the four complaints I made against the English department.

In a letter dated June 11, 1986, the Chancellor informed me of these findings, but on July 11, 1986, I received a letter from the Office of Affirmative Action informing me that the initial report's conclusion had been changed from racial discrimination to violation of tenure As the Affirmative Action Office admitted in procedures. the campus paper, the change was made without any additional investigation.

Despite the fact that other review levels had made tenure recommendation that were contrary to the department's, the Chancellor refused to make a decision on Instead, in an unprecedented move, he ordered a my tenure. second tenure review. Naturally, I protested because I realized that a second tenure review would give the university and the department the opportunity to deny my

Nationwide Coverage

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tenure without violating procedures. I knew that a second tenure review would be a whitewash. The Chancellor informed me that he would instruct the department to initiate a second tenure review with or without my cooperation.

And the second tenure review was a total whitewash. My file was held up in the department for four months, until the beginning of the spring quarter. learned at the beginning of the spring quarter that an English professor was becoming the Acting Dean of the School of Humanities.

I protested in writing to the Chancellor. never responded. The Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs was lobbied by star professors in the department, and members of the ad hoc committee were changed. these changes, I was denied tenure at all levels in this second review.

In a letter dated February 2, 1988, the Chancellor denied my tenure. When he was questioned by reporters of my accusation that the second review was a whitewash, he informed them that I had received a fair review.

Feeling that my civil rights had been violated, I sought legal counsel. I retained the offices of George Hightower, and on June 3, 1988, I filed a racial discrimination lawsuit in the federal courts against the

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

University of California.

I ask -- no, I implore -- the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights to assist us in making sure that UC-Irvine and other universities around the country do not use academic freedom as well as a cloak of secrecy around personnel matters to violate the civil rights laws, to end run the U.S. Constitution.

(The complete written statement of Dr. Lawrence Hoque is as follows:)

"I have served UCI and the University of California communities excellently. I have served annually on the department's English and American Literature I served on the Committee for Honors at Committee. Graduation for two years in the School of Humanities, chairing that committee during the 1984-1985 academic year. I served as the Irvine representative on the University of California systemwide COPRE, which implements remedial education policy in the UC system, for the 1983-1984 academic year. I was a mentor in the UCI Mentorship Program for minority students. I was one of the organizers of the Irvine Saturday Academy -- a Saturday educational program designed to provide additional verbal and math skills to black youth in Orange County. Lastly, I was always available for advising students. Yet, despite these accomplishments, I was not granted tenure.

CBT/cs

2

1

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Early in the tenure review process, which begins in the sixth year, the English department realized that it could not deny me tenure due to a lack of merit. the department deliberately and systematically violated university tenure review procedures to affect a predetermined outcome. After several battles with the department's chairman, I filed a formal charge of discrimination with the university's Office of Affirmative In the complaint, I listed the intentional violation of procedures by the department. I also listed the department's refusal to consider research in Afro-American literature as a valid scholarly endeavor and to allow me to teach courses in Afro-American and other minority literatures. I had been employed in the department for four years before I was allowed to teach my first course in Afro-American literature. When I inquired, I was told by then Chairman John Rowe that Afro-American literature was not a priority in the department. Later, I was to learn that the department consciously did not consider Afro-American literature a part of American literature. refused in my seventh year to teach a graduate seminar if it was not in Afro-American literature, I was told by the chairman that I was reneging on my responsibility to teach American literature.

"After a three-month investigation, Affirmative

Action finalized its report and sent its findings to the Chancellor, Jack Peltason. The Chancellor, in a letter to me dated June 17, 1986, accepted Affirmative Action's findings and forwarded to me a copy of the report's conclusion. The report found racial discrimination on two of the four complaints I made against the department. But, after a series of meetings between Affirmative Action, the Chancellor, and Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs William Lillyman, the Vice Chancellor for Affirmative Action Carla Espinoza, changed the conclusion of the report from racial discrimination to violations of the university tenure review procedures.

"Despite the fact that other review levels -- the Committee on Academic Personnel (CAP) and the Ad Hoc Committee -- had made recommendations that were contrary to the department's, the Chancellor refused to make a decision on my tenure. Instead, he ordered a second tenure review. With racial discrimination eliminated by Affirmative Action as an issue, the Executive Vice Chancellor Lillyman could conduct a whitewashed second tenure review. Naturally, I protested a second review, and the Chancellor informed me that the department would conduct a second tenure review with or without my cooperation. This action by the Chancellor was unprecedented at the university.

"The second tenure review was a total whitewash.

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

.11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The English department kept my file in the department for four months, from late November 1986 until the spring of 1987 when an English professor, James Calderwood, became Acting Dean of Humanities. I protested to the Chancellor in writing; he refused to respond. The Executive Vice Chancellor, who is a good friend with certain 'star' professors in the English department, was lobbied by the department. Although the Academic Affairs Manual states that I have the right to request that certain individuals not serve on the Ad Hoc Committee, Academic Affairs placed a distinguished professor from the department on the committee. Other members of the Ad Hoc Committee were changed. With these changes, all levels of review recommended a denial of tenure, except the scholars in the field of Afro-American literature who wrote a minority report that refuted all of the department's allegations leveled against my research.

"Unfortunately, my case is not unique. I am hearing stories throughout the country of qualified black professors who are doing research that challenge existing curricular academic structures and who are being denied tenure.

"I am appealing to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights to use its power and authority, along with other federal agencies such as Congress and EEOC, to investigate

UCI and the University of California on their racist and discriminatory practices, and to require UCI and the University of California to practice equity. I implore the Commission to invite an independent investigation into racism and the violations of civil rights at the University of California-Irvine. Without your involvement, opportunities for hiring and promoting blacks and other minorities may be forever doomed."

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you.

Next, Dr. Reginald Clark.

DR. CLARK: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Reginald Clark.

In my six years as a faculty member at one of the Claremont Colleges between 1979 and 1985, and since 1985, I have encountered some insidious, dangerous forms of bigotry, racial intolerance, and discrimination. From the very beginning I was the victim of unfair treatment and built-in discrimination at the Claremont Graduate School in the city of Claremont, California.

When I was initially hired at Claremont in 1979,
I was hired as an assistant professor at an annual salary of
\$18,000. My teaching load was severe in the sense that I
was given token responsibilities for teaching ethnically
sensitive subject matter in my seminars. Acts of
institutional and interpersonal racism occurred with some

CBT/cs

2

1

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regularity. A number of minority students, for example, complained to me about perceived acts of unfairness against them by my white colleagues. And my research program was never adequately supported in comparison to some of my white colleagues.

Despite these and other impediments to my research, I was able to develop an internationally and nationally respected research program, and to publish several articles in places such as the well-regarded "Black Law Journal" and "Sociology and Social Research," and I had one book published on my research by the international respected University of Chicago Press. The title of that book is "Family Life and School Achievement: Why Poor Black Children Succeed or Fail." At the time I was fired, my book had been received very favorably. My book has received several dozen published reviews, with the majority of them being quite laudatory.

When I reached the point of being evaluated for promotion and tenure, I encountered built-in racial discrimination. There were entirely subjective and nebulous standards of performance criteria used to legitimate/justify the rejection of my tenure request. Never mentioned was a clear-cut objective standard for what constitutes a sufficient quality of scholarship or body of work to warrant tenure. Some of my work, published by the Black Law

· 11

Journal, was not even reviewed. Also, a certain amount of deception, manipulation, racial hostility, and discrimination was evident from comments made by members of the education program's tenure review committee during the review process itself -- remarks I inadvertently overheard and documented.

For example, they were saying things like, "Us white people have rights, too. And we're all agreed that he has the potential for greatness, perhaps, but how do we know if we give him tenure he won't go out and do something crazy?"

And after some later comments, "Yes, that's why I really don't want to work with a black man on a permanent basis."

When I requested the official reasons for the termination in June 1984, I was treated callously and I was given an improper response. I was told that the individuals making the decision were constrained from even discussing the reasons because of confidentiality. I lodged a complaint to school officials, telling them I felt I was a victim of racial discrimination and wrongful termination.

When my complaint against the faculty committees was reviewed, all white male groups of faculty and administrators ratified the negative action already taken.

I feel that as a result of my complaint, school officials,

CBT/cs

from President John Maguire -- who likes to claim he is for justice and a friend of Martin Luther King, Jr. -- on down, used unfair practices to intentionally hide the truth and to deny me due process.

The college remains unable to provide me with compelling evidence to justify their decisions to deny my request for tenure and terminate my contract. Thus, I am left with little recourse other than to pursue a tough, costly, and lengthy legal action. This legal action, and the ensuing harassment and stress it engenders, has seriously disrupted, and it could destroy, my professional career growth.

Four years after I was denied further work at the school, I am still fighting for justice -- that is, due process and fair employment at the school. And I'm paying dearly for it.

Also, it appears that members of the independent college community believe they are immune to the letter and spirit of laws that promote racial diversity because of their private corporate status. Apparently, they believe that as independent colleges they are not subject to the same level of compliance with affirmative action procedures as are public institutions of higher learning.

I believe that when you take a close look at the situation at the Claremont Colleges, you will find that they

do benefit from government aid and that affirmative action for faculty is not working. Blacks and Hispanics feel they have been unfairly denied jobs there, promotions, tenure, and have been mistreated in other ways over the last two decades. When I was fired in 1985, the graduate school hadn't had a tenured minority since its inception over 50 years ago.

I am bringing these issues to the Commission's attention because I am deeply concerned that in 1988 many of our best and brightest faculty members still have to endure the kind of occupational violence that, for example, I have endured since 1979. Please do something to help stop these immoral and racist abuses of power at private colleges such as the Claremont Graduate School.

These schools are practicing a masked form of intentional racial exclusion. Other untenured black and Hispanic professors at the Claremont Colleges have complained about exploitative, discriminatory, ethically corrupt, and plain shabby treatment. Nothing has been done before now to discourage these patterns. These contemporary forms of bigotry that they use allow them to discriminate with considerable finesse. They would prefer to carry on their "us versus them" variety of discrimination without being caught in the act or punished for it in any manner. When they are caught, they simply deny it and take steps to

cover their tracks.

Taken together, these patterns of discrimination that the three of us have faced reflect built-in biases and intolerance that work against the granting of tenure to black faculty. We request that this Commission use its power and influence to initiate independent fact-finding investigations of the racial situation at three specific locations -- UCLA, UC-Irvine, and the Claremont University Center College and Graduate Schools.

Further, we respectfully request that the Commission ask the Justice Department to do a fact-finding examination and assessment of our individual and collective complaints. We are hopeful that such investigation will result in the providing of appropriate remedies.

In closing, it should be noted that the discrimination confronting black faculty at American colleges and universities are only the tip of the iceberg. The percentage of black faculty in higher education is small. However, when we consider that black nonacademic staff and black independent businesses also encounter racial animus and inequity within university settings, at that point the issue looms even larger indeed.

Black staff, for example, from clerical to managerial, are faced with the same kinds of barriers to occupational progress that black faculty must overcome.

Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you.

Unfortunately, Mr. Clark used up the extra time for Mr. Ridley-Thomas, Harold, so you have five minutes.

MR. WEBB: This will be very brief.

Thank you very much, Commission, for indulging me again. When I undertook the receipt of these complaints, I was working in the Pomona Valley Branch of the NAACP, and subsequently since that time I have transferred to another area. But I still support this, and the NAACP still supports this.

And I want to reiterate what has been said and urge the Civil Rights Commission to undertake, through the Justice Department, a fact-finding investigation because it is extremely difficult to weed out the racism in these institutions because it has become very sophisticated. And I tried to allude to that earlier.

The Commission definitely has to be concerned with the discriminatory practices in all institutions of higher learning. The state university systems, the state college system, the California University system, the community college system, and the private college system.

And with that, I would like to read a report for Ms. Georgia Houston, a statement of racial discrimination at the Mt. San Antonio College. This report is by Ms. Georgia

CBT/cs

Houston Webb.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: May I ask you to submit it to the record for us.

MR. WEBB: Submit it to the record?

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Right.

MR. WEBB: Not read it?

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: No, I don't think that's appropriate.

MR. WEBB: Very well, I would like to submit this for the record.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you very much. And indeed, all of you who have had prepared statements, if you would submit them we would appreciate it, and they will go into the record both as you read them and as you submit them.

(The prepared statement of Georgia Houston Webb is as follows:)

"STATEMENT OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION AT MT. SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE

"I wish to comment on my endeavors to secure full-time employment at Mt. San Antonio College in Walnut, California. I believe that I have been a victim of racial discrimination, and I wish to shed light on this vague and nebulous, yet menacing practice of racism.

"I was employed by Mt. San Antonio College four

years ago as a teacher's assistant. At that time there were no available openings in my field, so I took this position to stay involved in the educational milieu.

"I hold a master's degree in counseling. I graduated with a 3.8 gpa on a 4.0 scale. I have six years of professional experience as a counselor and an administrator. I am highly recommended by past and present employers, both inside and outside of Mt. SAC.

"During my employment at Mt. SAC, I have acquired credentials from the California Community College System to give instruction in English as a Second Language, Tutorial Techniques and Basic Skills in Learning. I also acquired CCC credentials as a Counselor and an Administrator. Subsequently, I have worked for Mt. SAC as an hourly instructor in ESL, Tutorial Techniques, and Basic Skills. I have also actively volunteered many hours to serve as an advisor to black students. My ability to effectively communicate with students has been demonstrated and documented at Mt. SAC, yet after numerous attempts I have been denied employment.

"My first application for employment at Mt. SAC was for the position of Director of the Transfer Center. I applied for that position in August 1985. I did not receive an interview for that application.

"Due to the above experience, I decided to work

CBT/cs

,,, 05

my way back into the counseling field which is certified, by going through the classified route. In April 1987 I applied for the position of Vocational Outreach Specialist. This position required an A.A. or A.S. degree and qualifications that I have performed. I was denied employment for this position. I received an interview for this position, which I was told established the fact that I was qualified, but I was informed that they found someone more suited. I question the meaning of the term 'more suited.' I have no understanding of the method used, or the standard of qualification used to determine the meaning of 'more suited.'

"During the fall semester of 1987, I applied for and was interviewed for the position of educational advisor. This, too, is a classified position. It is a paraprofessional position. For two years at the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire I trained paraprofessional counselors, and for four years as an administrator at Cornell University a major part of my duties included training paraprofessional counselors. I received an interview for my application, but I was denied the position. By now, administrators and instructors at Mt. SAC were well aware of my ability to work well with students. People who sat on the interviewing committee can attest to this fact.

"My fourth attempt to secure full-time employment

CBT/cs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at Mt. SAC was made by applying for the position of This application was not only made for one counselor. position, but four positions were available in the counseling department. Again, I received an interview for this position, substantiating my qualifications, and again I was denied employment. The Personnel Director assured me that I was rated as qualified, but he stated that there were others more qualified. I must know what 'more qualified' means and what standards are used to determine it.

"I contend that racial discrimination is I specifically believe that it is discrimination involved. against blacks. For the seven positions I applied for, three Hispanics were hired, two Asians were hired, and two I fear that I am being used as a whites were hired. statistic to show that blacks were interviewed but found to be unqualified.

"The Personnel Director also told me that Mt. SAC's affirmative action goals and progress towards them had been approved by the state and that, in fact, Mt. SAC had received letters of commendation for its progress. stated the AA goal in the category where counseling is placed has been satisfied for blacks. Does this mean that they can discontinue hiring us? I contend that this perspective represents an abuse of the purpose of affirmative action.

CBT/cs

15

14

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"In my quest to gain employment at Mt. SAC, I asked the Assistant Director of Personnel who serves as the affirmative action officer and sits on the interviewing committee to monitor personnel quidelines if she could shed any light on why I was not gaining employment. suggested that I should hone my interviewing skills and offered to conduct a session on interviewing techniques for I took her up on her offer to glean more inside information, for I have conducted the sessions with students myself. I worked with placement services at UW-Whitewater, UW-Eau Claire and Cornell University. In addition, members of screening committees who had interviewed me earlier had commented to me that I was a very skilled and composed interviewee.

"I make these statements because I am hardpressed to find the true reason for not being hired at Mt. I am left with the overwhelming feeling that I am a SAC. victim of racial discrimination."

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Mr. Long.

DR. LONG: Good evening. I am Dr. John T. Long. I speak today as a private citizen, not for any persons with whom I am associated. My credentials include being a multicultural consultant and consulting with the television program "Free Style," which was shown nationally.

My comments today have to do with issues of cast

. 11

and class in higher education. I have taught at every level of higher education. Today my comments are focused more on the community college level, and I entitled them "Cast and Class in the California Community Colleges."

W. B. DuBois, as you know, indicated that the problem of the 20th century is the problem of color. To a large extent, he was prophetic. In looking at the racial and ethnic exclusion and inclusion in terms of the California community colleges, what we see is that no college has attained the goal of proportional representation in terms of faculty and minorities, for example.

I think that there may be many reasons for this.

Among these are, first, the denial of prejudice and

discrimination based on ethnicity, and I include language

and gender as well when I talk about ethnicity.

Secondly, the adoption of affirmative action policies and equal opportunity practices.

And, third, a legacy of ethnic and racial exclusion.

. And, finally, in terms of my comments today, changing population demographics.

I think before a problem can be solved it first has to be acknowledged, and many administrators and educators believe that racism and sexism are social ills of the 1960s which have been solved in the 1980s. Some feel

CBT/cs

that since they are not personally prejudiced and sexist, no exclusion exists.

It is important to understand that prejudice and discrimination based on ethnicity and gender are permanent aspects of the institutional process and I believe of the American national character.

Exclusion in the 1980s may be more subtle than in the 1960s but it's still exclusion.

I think that further affirmative action is an important innovation in the social evolution in American society. Now, since exclusion based on differences is assumed to be a permanent part of institutional processes, I believe that some existing ongoing institutional means of combatting discrimination and prejudice is necessary.

What we see in terms of the community colleges
further is this means that if a community college does not
have any qualified minorities, i.e., affirmative action, or
women on staff, it might go out and train some or might find
some. What I'm calling for is a change in the way in which
institutions practice the process of inclusion. Many don't
see that there are many qualified minorities who are
available. What I hear around the State of California is
many minorities saying that they can't find the jobs, and
then the institutions are saying they can't find the
minorities.

Now, that is a situation which is a paradox.

The legacy of racial and ethnic exclusion continues to affect hiring and promotion practices in a variety of ways. First, a sense of discomfort and intolerance toward people who are culturally and economically different exists among some persons on hiring committees. What I am calling for here is cultural sensitization.

I heard earlier someone talk about vulnerable minorities. In my talk at Stanford in April, I interjected the term "unmeltable ethnics," to refer to persons of color. I think that to a large extent this is the group we have to deal with.

A perception may exist that an institution is racist. That in and of itself is a problem. And I think what we need to do is to deal to a great extent with attitudes, not only in terms of the institutional managers and faculty members, but certainly also in terms of ethnic minorities as well.

Procedurally the failure to include representative racial and ethnic minorities and women in every stage of the hiring process may result in the hiring procedures being structured so that racial and ethnic minorities and women are excluded from consideration. For example, job descriptions may be written with excessive

60 01 01 60

CBT/cs

--

1.5

requirements which correlate poorly with the actual job task.

Further, it is important that persons representing all segments of the college communities be involved in writing the job descriptions; secondly, determining where the jobs will be flown; third, screening the applicant selected in the initial interview; fourth, determining who will be selected for a second interview; and, fifth, recommending the finalist for selection.

It is also crucial that ethnic minorities who meet minimum qualifications for hire be included in the pool to be interviewed. The California Community College Association passed a policy in the spring of 1988 stating that persons who are ethnic minorities and/or meet the minimum qualifications can be considered, as far as the Association is concerned, for hire.

I know that time is short here. Let me just mention that California again is rapidly, as many have mentioned, becoming a majority-minority state. However, we are in danger as ethnic minorities of not only not moving ahead but falling behind. The reason for that is many persons who obtained their jobs in the '60s have now become retirement-eligible, and often there are no replacements on the horizon. Obviously, this speaks to a need for qualifying additional persons.

01 01 60 60

CBT/cs

There is much more to say, and the time is short.

I thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: I appreciate that.

Permit me to say ordinarily the Commission doesn't respond to comments made in public session, and therefore we won't do so on this occasion, save that I must at least enter into the record an acknowledgment of what might appear to someone else to be my own conflict of interest. I do know Dr. Clark personally, and of course I know Messrs. Webb and Ridley-Thomas with their respective organizations, and therefore I am somewhat familiar with the cases that have been presented. I don't think, however, that I need to recuse myself in the present circumstance. We have heard the testimony you have given and will pay due heed to it.

I thank you. I will summon the next panel up.

The next panel will consist of Dr. Julian Lee,

Georgia Houston --

MR. WEBB: She's not here.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: That's right, we heard of that, and that's the statement.

MR. WEBB: Right.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: I understand. Okay; excellent. Thank you.

Don Tolin, and Gwen Thomas.

CBT/cs

.bi/CS

б

Would you please come forward.

MR. TOLIN: Mr. Chairman, I am Don Tolin, and because of the lateness of the hour I would prefer a statement be invisible but (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Very well; thank you.

Ms. Thomas from our SAC.

MS. THOMAS: I make no such gracious gesture.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Will you give your name to the recorder, please.

MS. THOMAS: I am Gwen Thomas. I belong to the Colorado Advisory Committee. I am here in place of Maxine Kurtz, who is the Chair.

I wanted to mention two or three things that are going on in Colorado that I thought might be of interest to you.

First, the Colorado Black Roundtable was mentioned by Rich Castro when he made his presentation. I would like to mention that in that organization we have every black-elected official in the metro Denver area. Consequently, when a black staff person was fired at the University of Colorado because that person had made a statement that the university is racist, we sent a representative group of the Colorado Black Roundtable to negotiate with the Chancellor, and the person was rehired.

We are developing our own approach to some of

2

1

3

4 5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

these kinds of problems. We have decided that broad community representation is necessary in order to prevent some kinds of racism from continuing.

Another thing that I would like to mention is that as the Chair of the Urban League, which I was of a year ago, I reconvened the black-Hispanic dialogue. concluded that dialogue was not enough. We ended by developing what is called the People of Color Coalition. Ιt includes, in addition to blacks and Hispanics, Asians and Native Americans. And we have decided that our areas of activity would be education and economic development. of you may know that Denver is building a new convention center and a new airport, and we are determined that minority contractors and concessionaires and architects and engineers shall participate in all of these endeavors. People of Color Coalition has managed to accomplish that, at least with the convention center, which is a little bit further along the way.

The third thing that I wanted to do was ask a question that had to do with the HUD presentation earlier today.

You were told that HUD was willing to provide for closed houses for the homeless. I would like to know whether or not the further requirement that HUD consult community members in the areas where those houses are

located has produced any claims of discrimination. It seems to me that that requirement would lend itself to opportunities to express discriminatory tendencies. And I wondered about that.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ALLEN: Thank you very much. And, of course, we can't speak for HUD, but I think we'll see to it that your question is passed on.

Thank you all. I wish to remind you once again we have the reception which begins at 7:00 o'clock in the Corinthian Room.

Tomorrow morning we will reassemble at 8:15 a.m. here in the Gold Room for the second day of our forum, which will conclude at approximately 2:45. And at 3:00 p.m. tomorrow afternoon, we will have the regular Commission meeting in the same room, which is, of course, open to the public.

Therefore, for now, we shall recess until 8:15 tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 6:20 p.m., the meeting was recessed, to reconvene at 8:15 a.m., Friday, September 9, 1988.)