meet. 

Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

CCR Meet. 

NEW MEXICO ADVISORY COMMITTEE

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

FORUM ON IMMIGRATION REFORM

Albuquerque Convention Center Santo Domingo Room

Thursday June 25th, 1987

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONTOYA: First of all we'll get the New Mexico State Advisory Committee to the US Civil Rights Commission Forum. I am Vincent Montoya, the chairman of the State Advisory Committee. I will let our committee members identify themselves and I'll reserve, to my right, the gentleman, until I finish my opening remarks and I'll identify some of those individuals and who is present here from either the Washington staff and what we contemplate to address this afternoon. Starting with Gerald. MR. WILKINSON: I'm Gerald Wilkinson.

a member of the State Advisory Committee to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission and I'm Executive Director of the National Indian Youth Counsel.

MR. PENA: My name is Gilbert Pena from the Pueblo of Nambe north of here and I'm presently the business manager for the tribe.

MR. BACA: My name is Tom Baca. I am presently on the governor's cabinet.

MR. HARDING: Robert Harding. attorney and labor arbitrator.

MS. McCABE: My name is Bella Rogers I'm from the San Juan County, Shiprock, Farmington area. I'm a private business person.

MR. MONTOYA: Let me expound on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Certified Shorthand Reporters



opening remarks a little bit. Emma Armendariz who is the other member present, originally from Silver City, now in Albuquerque, is out to lunch. broke a little late, she'll be forthcoming and she'll be participating.

The eighth member is Bishop Ramirez who in San Antonio at this time attending a national conference and wasn't able to attend. The three other members have either declined to continue serving or have, for whatever reason -- there is an ll-member panel that composes each State Advisory Committee. The individuals that have resigned from continuing in participation is Loraine Gutierrez, who is a business woman here in town with Plaza Del Sol. She served on this committee for quite a number of years and she just decided not to continue in that.

There is a gentleman by the name of Stanley Lane who is the President of Southwest Bank who was a newly-appointed member of this committee and decided that he doesn't have enough time to devote to it anymore.

The last person that had resigned is Mr. Allen who was with the Touche Ross organization that moved from Albuquerque and of course he moved

Certified Shorthand Reporters
SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
(505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



his family and that resulted in that resignation.

There are pending, rechartering procedures that are going on now in Washington where those three members will be appointed, and of course the State Advisory Committee will be rechartered, I'm sure, pending whatever type of appropriation and legislation is forthcoming.

Today, to my right is a regional director. We went through a trauma period there for a while where the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights cut back on services and administration because of budgetary problems and we used to be out of the San Antonio office with one of our staff members, John Dulles, who had been with us for quite a while. closing of that office happened we ended up in Los Angeles with the western regional director who, to my right, is Phil Montez. He is responsible for 17 states out of the newly-composed reorganization. John Dulles is back on the staff and is assisting in New Mexico now on the State Advisory Committee for some time. I'll turn it over to Phil for a few remarks and then I'll proceed.

MR. MONTEZ: I didn't have much to say. We are here today to gather some information on the process of the new legislation as relates to the

 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102, Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



legalization process related to the new immigration, as I said, legislation. We will be holding hearings in different states such as this. We will be in Los Angeles, Arizona, Texas, New Mexico and others that have the same kind and it's really our appreciation to see the people, especially the officials that have come here to join us today because their task is not easy. So we appreciate That's about all I have to say. you being here.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you, Phil. Continuing. Anybody that wishes to address the State Advisory Committee after the panel presentation, there is a If you will please indicate on the sign-in roster. sign-in roster that you wish to make whatever statements you desire to make to the State Advisory Committee at the end of the panel forums.

There are certain restrictions with the State Advisory Committee dealing in receiving input. Anybody that is making any type of Number one: presentation to the State Advisory Committee is prohibited from naming names or individual agencies or firms unless those firms or individuals have had a chance for rebuttal to whatever comments are made So consequently, anybody making any about them. presentation to the State Advisory Committee, I

SUITE 301 — 707 BHOADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

🕦 Baron*Data* 🏻

would urge that we do not mention individuals! names or firms' names as it relates to something that is displeasing to us in whatever form.

The other area or the other system that we'll use is that the State Advisory Committee members will of course ask questions of the panel. This is not a give-and-take-type presentation, this is an informing session that is being, as you see, court reported so that we can then in turn submit the information to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights in gathering the facts and information from the different elements that I'll get into as far as our panel is concerned.

We are hopefully addressing three issues today. Number one: In the first panel, Mr. Giugni, who was scheduled to be here, but got subpoenaed to be in a litigation trial that's going on where he is involved or immigration is involved at this time, was unable to be here, from El Paso. He's our district director. He's attended, I quess, a couple of our commission meetings before in Las Cruces and et cetera. So we know him quite well. Mr. Brown, who is in charge of the INS Albuquerque office will be addressing or giving the SAC information on the INS legislation procedures,

Certified Shorth
SulTE 301 — 70
on" by Baron Data (505) 247-4357

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

where they are at, what problems they are having, what do we contemplate, what do we foresee in the future as far as the law that exists right now and their part of the implementation.

Robert Henderson, and I have to apologize
to him because the original agenda that went out,
and I think the news media this morning, has him as
Robert Hernandez, but it's actually Robert
Henderson. Mr. Henderson is the deputy director of
Catholic Social Services.

MR. HENDERSON: Program director for legalization.

MR. MONTOYA: And he is representing Mr. Martinez who had a previous commitment and previous engagement and he will address their involvement in the whole litigation into assisting illegal aliens in becoming certified or legal.

Of course Andrew Lopez, he is from the Equal Opportunity Employment Commission, the EEOC. Ee is representing the deputy director or the director who is in Dallas or --

MR. LOPEZ: Albuquerque area.

MR. MONTOYA: But the director is in Dallas, right? Tom?

MR. LOPEZ: He's in Dallas.

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUGUER (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102



MR. MONTOYA: They are going for a retraining program or something. Andy will be addressing or Andrew will be addressing the role EEOC sees that they will have to play regarding the new immigration.

That's our first panel and with that, I think I want to afford the individuals -- number one, again remind them to sign in if they hope to make presentations but I'd like for all of you to identify yourselves because we do have some congressional representative people and hopefully, we are -- we were expecting and we were told that Commissioner Buckley would be attending this forum and for whatever reason -- but in fact, in my presentation to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights on June 11th, at their request, indications are that Buckley and Mr. Allen will be attending the forum because of their concern about the new legislation.

We also had indications that the Washington staff people would be available and we do have one of the special assistants to the director which is Frank Bessera and also involved in the general counsel area, present with us today. So, if I may, I'll start with you and please

Associated Court Reporters, Inc.	SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357
	We offer Microtranscription™ by

21

22

23

25

1 identify yourself. 2 MS. RAMSEY: Susan Ramsey with KKOB Radio. MR. BESSERA: Frank Bessera, Special 3 Assistant to the Director, Civil Rights Commission, 4 5 Washington. 6 MS. MYERS: Karen Myers. I'm the director 7 of Legal Aide Society of Albuquerque. MS. GARCIA: Cheryl Garcia, Senator 8 9 Domenici's office. 10 MS. MONTAGUE: Patricia Montague, Senator 11 Bingaman's office. 12 Francis (inaudible) Director of LULAC 13 Educational Service Center. 14 MS. BARTLETT: Joanna Bartlett, UNM. 15 MR. BUSLEY: Mark Busley, KOAT. 16 MR. SMITH: Walt Smith, The Associated 17 Press. 18 MR. MOORE: Charlie Moore, Albuquerque 19 Journal. 20 Laurie (inaudible) KUNM radio.

MS. LOMAS: Mimi Lomas from Albuquerque,

New Mexico.

MR. O'LEARY: Al O'Leary with the New

24 Mexico Human Rights Commission.

MS. LOGAN: Diane Logan, UNM.

- 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 7( (505) 247-4357 Albuquerque.

We offer We BaronDatas

	MR.	SCOTT:	Fred	Scott	Affirmati	ive A	ction		
Office,	City	of Albuquerque.							
	(Ina	audible)	Human	Right	s Office	City	οf		

MS. HUDSON: Inez Hudson, Albuquerque Human Rights office.

MR. DEAN: Mitch Dean, Albuquerque.

MR. DIAZ: John Diaz, Western Division U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

MR. MONTOYA: Of course now we'll turn to our panelists and we can start the presentation.

Doug, you are the first one.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Montoya. The Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 is being implemented by the Immigration and Naturalization Service and is already working in the manner to which it was intended. In less than two months into the program, INS has received some 163,000 applications for legalization and handed out more than two million applications to persons walking into legalization offices.

In New Mexico alone, as of May 5th, 1987, we have processed 1,330 applications for legalization or sometimes referred to as amnesty.

Employer sanctions, the crux of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 Baron Data ® enforcement legislation, has had the desired effect, with the number of persons attempting illegal crossings into the US southern border dropping more than 40 percent since the passage of the bill.

We believe that the major factor in this sharp decline from the record illegal entries last year is the knowledge that illegal aliens will have difficulty finding employment in the United States because of the employer sanctions provisions of the immigration reform act.

INS is aggressively implementing its employer education program to ensure that there is a thorough knowledge and understanding of the law and its requirements.

Nearly seven million employer handbooks and I-9 forms are currently being mailed to employers, with a target date to have all employers contacted by the middle of July, 1987.

The panel has, I believe, a copy of the information that is being distributed out of our Washington office. I would further say that we in New Mexico have been involved in 52 presentations to such employers in handing out the same handbook and information brochures that you have in front of you.

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nationwide there have been more than 2,000 such presentations to employers and employee groups. We have also been actively involved in newspaper reporting, full-page articles running in the newspapers, as well as radio and TV. coverage, we feel, has been extensive.

News of employers sanctions have spread rapidly throughout the business community since the law was passed in November. Trade journal coverage has reached over 1,000,000 subscribers to different trade journals in the United States.

The new law does require that employees hired on or after November 7th, 1986, be required to fill out a form to show eligibility to be employed in the United States. This form is commonly referred to as the I-9. We believe that the enforcement provisions of this law will open up some three million new jobs for United States citizens and permanent residents of the United States.

If this law comes anywhere close to the projections, it will prove to be of such value that the immediate inconvenience of a small amount of additional paperwork felt by employers, that they must account for when hiring an individual, will be

We'll let

#### Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Doug. MR. MONTOYA: the whole panel make their presentation and then we'll open it up for State Advisory Committee

Mr. Henderson.

well worth it.

questions.

MR. HENDERSON: Thank you very much. Catholic Social Services of Albuquerque has been involved with immigration refugee work since the early 1960s. The agency is involved with this work in its effort and concern for the strengthening of the family unit.

Thank you, sir.

It is the underlying goal and purpose for the agency.

I would like to give a short presentation on the process that we are utilizing at this point in time in helping in assisting individuals in the submittal of the application, the documentation necessary to INS.

We started the information process back in January of 1987, with a presentation on the various aspects of the law. From January through the beginning of May, we talked to approximately 2,000 individuals representing approximately 10,000 people because they all had members in their family and the application process is by individual, not

Certified Shorthand Reporters
SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
(505) 247-4357



by family unit.

Starting with the implementation of the law May 5th, 1987, we continued the process of information clinics to the residents of Albuquerque who are illegal aliens. We have also attempted to get this information to other parts of the Archdiocese of Santa Fe which generally falls in the area of the Northeast quadrant of the state.

We are providing this service as a part of the United States Catholic Conference. We are an affiliate of that organization which does have a memorandum of agreement with Immigration and Naturalization Service and we do have a QDE status, that's Qualified Designated Entity, and that allows us to provide assistance to individuals in this application process and does, in certain instances, speed up that process.

In handling this tremendous influx of individuals for an agency our size, we have brought on additional individuals. We have now five day workers who are working on a full-time basis assisting in the technical review of applications. We have one case manager, we have three clerical individuals, one administrator and we have right now approximately 20 volunteers who are helping us

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Baron Data

with the one-to-one interviewing with applicants in their efforts to collect the proper documentation and fill out the application.

We anticipate that we will need many more full-time bilingual volunteers to be able to meet the needs of this population. We are finding that the population does need one-to-one assistance in the filling out of the application and collecting the documentation and putting it into the correct order.

We presently have a location at 801 Mountain Road, Northeast, in Albuquerque, that is our administrative headquarters and we have a large intake facility at St. Francis Parish Hall in Albuquerque, New Mexico at 801 Arno, Southeast.

When we first started the process in the beginning of May, the major effort of the agency was to handle what is called 30-day cases. are individuals who have been apprehended by INS or have received a letter to show cause. individuals do not have the 12-month window but they have only a 30-day window in which to process their application.

We are finding that this particular group of individuals which will continue throughout the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



12-month period as individuals are apprehended or receive letters to show cause is continuing to be a primary effort of the agency because these individuals only have 30 days to complete the whole operation.

We started approximately two weeks ago to handle that backlog of early registrants that we We now are holding clinics six days a week. We have clinics starting at 9:00 in the morning, 2:00 in the afternoon and 6:00 in the evening where we are meeting with individuals on an individual basis, working with them and filling out the application.

At the end of that application, when the volunteer and the individual have determined that they have a completed application, an application goes to the technical reviewer, a case worker, for review of the application before that application is sent to INS Bridge Street office.

That is the process as we now have it. We are working on that process almost on a daily basis until we can determine that we have a workable I must say that we have probably done situation. three major revisions of the process as we have determined how best to help the applicants.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 Baron Data 
 ■ Bar 15 16 17

1

2

3

think our greatest concern has been in the large number of people whose level of literacy has not allowed them to understand the forms nor understand the process.

I would like to go over some of the issues that we feel are of prime concern to our agency in this whole process.

Our primary concern in involvement with the process is the strengthening of the family unit. That has been our purpose as an agency in our other programs and it's certainly our purpose here in the legalization process.

We find that because of the regulations, there are certain groups that are going to necessarily bear a greater burden of paperwork and expense and possible exclusion from the law which we feel needs to be addressed.

One are women who are not working outside the home who probably, as a rule, have come into the country later than their husbands. They have had children here who are US citizens. So you have a situation where the portion of the family is legalization eligible and certain members of the family are not. Very often that is the wife who is not eligible for legalization.

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 We offer Which the Baron Data

The implication is that we have some individuals having to go back to Mexico and some that will be here illegally.

I think another issue that we have seen

and we feel needs to be addressed is that the application process is on an individual basis.

That means that children who are minors must fill out a separate application though much of the documentation is part of the family unit. That increases the total amount of work that we have to deal with and thus reducing our ability and straining our resources in handling the total population.

Another issue that has come to our attention has been the fact that in the reading of the regulations, the misdemeanor, three misdemeanors is a maximum number of misdemeanors allowed, covers quite a variety of petty offenses which are excluding people. Things such as traffic tickets, littering, disorderly conduct. This, we don't feel, was probably the intent of the law to exclude individuals with these situations and at this point in time, since the process is just beginning, we are not certain as to how it will impact on the process as a whole, but it definitely

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is a problem that could create a severe hardship on a great number of people.

I think there is a continuing apprehension on the part of illegal aliens regarding the use of the information gathered in this process and submitted to INS. Much of the coverage in the local and national press has concentrated upon the use of this information by other agencies of the federal government. We feel that there is a good-faith effort within INS that this information will not be shared, but I think that there is continuing apprehension in terms of the population that we're dealing with, that this is going to in fact be used.

I think that it's also, from our indications in talking with employers, of great concern to them in their providing of documentation that they did employ individuals during this time period, that this information will be used to prosecute them in terms of back taxes and other areas where they may have not fulfilled the letter of the law.

I think those are the major areas that we see as problems at this point in the application process. Many of the problems that we have right

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Certified Shorthand Reporters



now in terms of the backlog were created by the
inevitable delays that were in the publishing of
the final regulations. The final regulations were
published only a week or so before the
implementation of the law. Therefore, much of our
work was put on a standstill. This was also, of
course, true for INS. We feel that at this point
in time, entering the first of July, we are just
now being able to gear up our process to handle the
large number of people that we will have to deal
with.
I'd like to end my presentation by saying

that we have had an extremely good working relationship with the local Albuquerque office. We continue to have that and we assume that we will in the future. Thank you very much.

> MR. MONTOYA: Thank you.

MR. LOPEZ: I am Andrew Lopez with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission here in Albuquerque. We are primarily -- I wouldn't say primarily, we have equal responsibility now of enforcing employment discrimination because of race, color, creed and national origin. We've always enforced discrimination against national origin.

Citizenship as related to national origin,

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



there is where the distinction generally will come.

The Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, has a provision 102 that prohibits discrimination on the basis of national origin by employers of four to 14 employees. That prohibits discrimination on the basis of citizenship status, by employers of four or more employees.

There is a fine distinction there in that we've always handled discrimination on the basis of national origin, so therefore, INS will be limited to handling cases with employers of four to 14 employees. We will handle 15 and above under Title 7 of the Civil Rights Act.

Under the basis of citizenship, INS will handle the enforcement of anti-discrimination law of employers of four and up employees.

The act provides that it is an unfair immigration-related employment practice for a person or other entity to discriminate against any individual with respect to the hiring or recruitment or referral for a fee of the individual for employment or discharging him, the individual, from employment because of such individual's national origin and in the case of a citizen or intended citizen, because of such individual's

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

We offer We offer by Strandata

citizenship status.

For this purpose, a special counsel for immigration-related unfair employment practices in the Department of Justice is charged with enforcing the anti-discrimination provisions.

A person who believes that he has been adversely affected by an unfair immigration-related employment practice or an officer of the Immigration and Naturalization Service, may file a charge with the special counsel within 180 days of the alleged unfair practice.

within 120 days after receipt of the charge, the special counsel must investigate and determine whether there is reasonable cause to believe that the charge is true. That is well in keeping with Title 7 of the Civil Rights Act. We go on reasonable cause and so does the portion of the immigration act.

On whether to bring a complaint before an administrative law judge. If the Special Counsel has not filed a complaint within 120 days, the individual may file his complaint directly with the administrative law judge. If the administrative law judge determines that a violation has occurred, he must issue an order requiring the person to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Certified Shorthand Reporters

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



cease and desist from unfair immigration-related employment practices. The order may also require the hiring of the individual adversely affected. It may also require back pay and it will also impose a \$1000 penalty for each individual discriminated against. \$2,000 where there is a second violation or more.

The administrative law judge order is subject to judicial review in an appropriate If the review is sought, circuit court of appeals. the Special Counsel or the aggrieved individual can seek enforcement of the order to a federal district court.

The Office of the Special Counsel that has been established, its address is the Department of Justice, PO Box 65490 Washington, DC, area code 20035-5490. You may also call that office at area code 202-653-8121. The office was just recently established, on April the 21st.

On April the 14th, 1987, the district court decision interpreting the immigration act on the nondiscrimination provision held that the prohibition of discrimination against intending citizens applied to the illegal alien who would qualify and intended to apply for legalization and

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



citizenship, even though they had not yet started the application process.

So as far as employment goes, even if an individual has not established the legalization process, he is covered. The case that affirmed this was the League of United Latin American Citizens versus Pasadena Independent School District. The case involved, I think this is a very important case, it goes to falsification of records and things of this nature and how they are going to be viewed as far as employment laws are concerned.

The case involved an employer who fired several illegal aliens hired prior to November 6th, 1986, because they had provided false social The judge security numbers when hired. acknowledged that the employer's policy of terminating persons who provided false information on application forms is justified under normal circumstances, but stated that the extraordinary circumstances of mass illegal immigration, which the immigration reform act seeks to address, may mean that current employment practices will have to be reconciled with new rights established under the act.

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. . 25

These include the right to appeal for citizenship. The right to apply for citizenship to certain illegal aliens. The Court found that the employer's action had the effect of jeopardizing the Plaintiff's rights before they had the opportunity to exercise them. Noting that illegal aliens must produce documentation of their past residence and employment, including false documentation, to qualify for legalization.

The judge stated that the act would be manifestly unjust if it encouraged qualified aliens to come forward and reveal their undocumented status only to have the very information serve as grounds for termination by employers.

To monitor the potential discriminatory effect of the employer sanctions provisions of the act, the act requires the Controller General to issue a report each year for three years and that report will be conducted -- issued by the Attorney General, the chairman of the Commission on Civil Rights and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

As indicated in the nondiscrimination provision of the act, it has been drafted to prevent overlap between the jurisdiction of the

The office of

#### Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Title 7.

SUITE 301 — 7( (505) 247-4357

Special Counsel has jurisdiction, as I was saying 2 earlier, over charges of national origin, 3 4 discrimination against employers of 14 or more 5 employees who are not covered by Title 7 and over 6 charges of discrimination based on citizenship. 7 The statute specifically prohibits any 8 overlap between charges filed with EEOC and charges 9 filed under Section 102 of the act. 10 cannot file a charge under the section of the act

Department of Justice and the EEOC.

A similar provision applies to charges originally filed with the Special Counsel. The act also specifies that the authority of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to investigate Title 7 charges shall not be affected where specifically prohibited.

based on the same set of facts that have been filed

with EEOC under Title 7, unless the EEOC charge is

dismissed as without merit or under the scope of

The act prohibits only discrimination in hiring, recruitment, job referrals from fee-generating agencies and discharge, while in Title 7, it is broader than that. It goes into transfers, benefits, compensation and working conditions.

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 🔀 Baron*Data*®

The act contains one provision that potentially may conflict with Title 7. Although the act prohibits discrimination based on citizenship status, it also states that it is not an unfair immigration-related employment practice to prefer to hire, recruit or refer an individual who is a citizen or national of the United States or an alien if the two individuals are equally qualified. The commission guidelines; that is the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission guidelines, provide that where citizenship requirements have the purpose or effect of discriminating against an individual on the basis of national origin, this would be a prohibited act. So there is a potential apparent conflict in that area, which I'm sure the courts, later on, will probably resolve.

We as an agency here in Albuquerque, at this point, have taken some charges, since we started on November the 6th. We haven't had as many as we had expected to have.

We look at charges in general categories where a charge is alleged that an employer only looks at an individual of a particular national origin or individuals who look foreign or for verification of their legal employment status.

#### Court Reporters, Inc. Associated Court Rep Certified Shorthand Reporters

Baron Data

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Charges that an employer scrutinized more closely, in other words some individual feels that he went to apply for employment and he was scrutinized more closely than someone else, would give him a basis for filing a charge with us.

If an individual is asked to submit certain documents, particularly documents related to identification, that other individuals are not required to submit, the citizenship documentation, if individuals are made to go to extraordinary lengths to present citizenship verification and other individuals are not made so, these are all areas under which we would probably take a charge of discrimination. Thank you.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. Let me, before I let the State Advisory Committee ask the questions, let me make one thing clear because this was a question that was brought to me by one of the reporters. Why is the State Advisory Committee in New Mexico holding the hearings on immigration? Are we worse off than any other state, city or county or whatever it is?

No, that is not the case. It just so happened that the State Advisory Committee meeting in New Mexico fell in the realm of the new

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

immigration law and it was beneficial for us to start conducting the hearings before Texas or California or any of the other states.

If you notice, our state or the chairman of our U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is one of the members of the panel and it is our responsibility to gather input and information so that we can disseminate that same information to Congress and the President as it relates to the legislation and the effects it's having on various entities and it so happened New Mexico was ready to start holding their meetings and this is what SAC chose, to proceed in that fashion.

This is the first immigration meeting of any of the state advisory committees throughout the nation addressing the new legislation. consequently it's fairly new, fairly new to everyone, and as we produce years and years down the road, we'll gather more data and more information and hopefully the commission will have additional information from Texas and different parts of the country so that they can then put all this information together and try to make some meaningful sense as far as recommending alternatives to Congress and the President.

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wanted to make that clear. It's not that New Mexico is abusive or we have more problems than I'll open it for questioning now. anybody else. Emma? MS. ARMENDARIZ:

I have one question of In terms of employer education, on what basis are your employer education seminars provided? Is it on the basis of employers requesting it or do you hold them regularly?

MR. BROWN: Most of our employer education seminars have been requested by the State of New Mexico Employment Security Division, although initially when we first started out doing this, our first one was held on February 17th and we contacted the superintendent of the Albuquerque Public Schools and asked her if we could possibly use some of the local cafeterias, the school facilities, so that we could get the word out to the employers and possibly more importantly, the legalization applicants.

We had, I think it's ironic, at one such meeting we had upwards of 120 people attending that one seminar in that local high school and there was not one employer in the bunch.

So, what we did was, we started out

ROBERT I. HAGGARD, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporters

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



initially, the State of New Mexico, the Employment Security Division wants to get involved. In fact, they want to take over the verification job for employers, to relieve the employers of that responsibility and as such, they have requested that we go around to different parts of the state and put these on.

> MS. ARMENDARIZ: Thank you.

MR. MONTOYA: Gilbert?

MR. PENA: Looking at the law and the problems and everything else, I just see a whole administrative nightmare being created with this. Mr. Henderson, you mentioned earlier that there was a delay in the processing of applications simply because an applicant may have had misdemeanor charges such as traffic violations and littering. Is that true?

We have identified cases MR. HENDERSON: where an individual would be disqualified because of the number of misdemeanors.

Does the disqualification take MR. PENA: place with INS?

MR. HENDERSON: That would be a disqualification on the general criteria. What we would be doing, because we have basically a

#### Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Baron Data ®

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

counseling function, is to say to that individual: You are not meeting the basis of qualifications. At this point in time we're taking those cases under advisement and looking at that and telling those individuals that they should wait at this point in time, so we're holding those cases.

It would seem to me that that MR. PENA: would be discriminatory in nature simply because of those minor violations.

MR. BROWN: Mr. Montoya, may I address that?

> MR. MONTOYA: Yes.

MR. BROWN: First of all, I think in order to clarify something possibly that at this point that is not clear: Under this law a misdemeanor has been defined to be anything where a sentence imposed was over five days but less than one year. This would take away those misdemeanors of littering or loitering or whatever that you have seen up to this point. I would also say that there are three such misdemeanors going anywhere from five days to one year allowed under this law.

The other thing I might mention is: local office, any local INS legalization office does not have the authority to deny an application.

We offer We offer We assembly A Baron Date

SUITÉ 301 — 707 BROADWAY.N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

Baron Data (505) 247-4357

We only have the authority and I say the legalization office, has the authority to recommend denial, but denials are done on a regional basis.

MR. PENA: The other question I have, Mr. Chairman, is: There has been an upcropping of agencies that are supposed to be set up as certified agencies for the processing of applications. Do you have that problem here in New Mexico? I mean illegally set up?

MR. BROWN: Who is that addressed to?

MR. PENA: Either one of you.

MR. BROWN: I would think there was recently a new law passed by the legislature in New Mexico that prohibited the use of unauthorized agencies to represent aliens in immigration matters. This is probably the strongest law in the United States on a state basis and because of that, some of the agencies that perhaps came or popped up originally have maybe not been visible at this time.

MR. PENA: Do you extend a grace period or extend a period for the application process if, say, an alien applying for that process is subjected to that? Do you extend them extra time to go through the proper application process or not?

MR. BROWN: No, sir. The way the law

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

reads right now, if they do not apply before May 4th, 1988, the application will be closed and no applications will be received after that date. That's the way it is written right now.

> MR. MONTOYA: Tom?

MR. BACA: Mr. Brown, Mr. Henderson reported that the US Catholic Conference has a strong concern over the strengthening of the family unit. From what I understand, there is some discretion from district to district with respect to the implementation to the split-ups of families, that the law in fact is not very specific in terms Is there in fact that kind of discretion, and if that is so, what is the policy of this particular district?

MR. BROWN: There is not that kind of discretion. The law reads that each person must show eligibility in their own right. I might just address that though in terms of the intent of Congress, which I think is what this whole law has to do with and I believe it was not the intent of Congress that would allow a family unit to possibly exist for an illegal alien that does not in turn exist for somebody who has applied for legalization or permanent resident status on the basis of a visa

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



petition filed perhaps as long as nine years ago and yet their quota number has not been reached.

Possibly if the law were written so that the number of visas could have been allocated to bring those two-and-a-half million people who are presently waiting outside of the United States to enter legally, family units, if that many visa numbers were allocated in this, perhaps they would have looked at it differently, but as of the present time they have not done so.

> Thank you. Gerald? MR. MONTOYA:

MR. WILKINSON: I wanted to ask Mr. Lopez: I'm curious if the EEOC really has sufficient money and personnel to really take on these new duties since it seems to be, in some respects, sort of hopelessly backlogged with the other cases that it has now.

MR. LOPEZ: I would say probably EEOC, nationwide -- in some areas, let's say possibly Dallas, maybe Los Angeles and those areas, they may be hard pressed.

We are pretty well up to date in our area here and this year, not because we got the extra duties but because we got some extra money from Congress, I don't know, we are going to put in a

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

few more people in our office that should allow us But EEOC-wide, I think that there will to keep up. be a certain amount of problems, yes.

> MR. WILKINSON: Thank you.

MR. MONTOYA: Emma.

I have a question in MS. ARMENDARIZ: reference to the QDEs to Mr. Brown. What criteria is used for designating these entities and secondly, are you using a ratio of some sort in terms of population versus the number of QDEs that would be available?

MR. BROWN: I think I would say Mr. Henderson probably would have a better knowledge of answering that question than I, although I do know that in order to be designated as a QDE they would have had to make application to the Bureau of Immigration Appeals in Washington, D.C. under our office of executive review, Immigration Office of Executive Review and as such, they are the ones who designated the entities to go out and represent people applying for legalization. application had to be made. I have not gone over or done such an application. Perhaps Mr. Henderson has.

MR. HENDERSON: We filled out the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

area.

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70: (505) 247-4357

application as an affiliate of the US Catholic Conference so that the parenting of that QDE status is with the US Catholic Conference, which is a national organization. My understanding at this point in time is that there is not a ratio of number of projected applicants in a geographical area to the number of QDEs. We became a QDE because of our affiliation and because of our past experience and work in the

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. Phil, you had a question.

MR. MONTEZ: Mr. Brown, just for the record, how specific is the congressional mandate; that is, the law written by Congress? As we know, administrative legislations are established after the regulations are published and so forth. What I am trying to do is clarify the difference between the regulations in Congress. For example: Congress specific on the family unit and the misdemeanor or has that come about because of the process of administrative regulation?

MR. BROWN: I've got both the congressional record and the federal register here. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

As I remember, after reading it initially on the congressional record, that it is addressed as: The applicant must show eligibility to be eligible to have their status legalized and as such, an applicant is not defined as a family unit.

MR. MONTEZ: Then INS has set up the regulations and they have become law through publication which is the law you referred to. So then the point of contention with the work Mr. Henderson is doing is to change the administrative regulation, which would be the process?

> MR. BROWN: That is correct.

MR. MONTEZ: I just want that for the Because I think people assume that it's the congressional mandate and it's really administrative regulation.

MR. BROWN: That is correct. I think it's also important to point out, however, that the districts do have some discretion in terms of: Will we go out and apprehend wives who are housewives or with small children? certainly on the very lowest part of our priority status.

MR. MONTEZ: Is there an appeal process like when a wife has been rejected and a husband

Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N (505) 247-4357

We offer We stern Baron Data®

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUGUERGUE, NEW MEXICO 87102  gets to stay?

MR. BROWN: Yes, there is. The appeal has been made. A person whose application for legalization is denied through our regional processing center, their appeal is with the US Court of Appeals.

MR. MONTEZ: Which is a very expensive kind of process. I mean I can't even afford to go to the US Court of Appeals and I have a full-time job.

MR. MONTOYA: Let me ask one, Gerald.

Let's get back to the QDEs. Do we have any information on how many, other than Catholic Social Services or their affiliate, how many other entities have been certified in New Mexico?

MR. HENDERSON: There is just two in the State of New Mexico. The Farm Bureau down in Las Cruces and Catholic Social Services.

MR. MONTOYA: That have been accepted and verified. Okay. Let's get back to -- As I interpret the law, as of November 6th, of 82, under the legislation, every employer must have a document for every employee that is employed after that time; is that correct?

MR. BROWN: That's correct.

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We offer We offer We offer We affer We

MR. MONTOYA: That's every employee regardless of nationality, race, color, creed, everything?

MR. BROWN: Every single employee hired after November 6, 1986, will be required to have this form.

MR. MONTOYA: Let's get back to the definition of illegal alien as we understand it in New Mexico of talking about Mexican illegal aliens. What happens with other types, Asian or Chinese, Canadian? What is the enforcement directives of INS then?

MR. BROWN: I think it's very important that this question be answered. Because of our location, our proximity with our neighbor to the south, we tend to think of illegal aliens as Mexican nationals, perhaps. I would stress to the committee that of the people who have applied for legalization under this new program, have included, Canadian nationals, Japanese nationals, Jordanian, Iranian nationals, French nationals, we could keep going on down the line. Certainly we have more Mexican nationals than any other single nationality but that is because of our proximity with the border.

I recall

#### Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONTOYA: And the employer sanctions and penalties or whatever are identical for any category? MR. BROWN: Absolutely. MR. MONTOYA: One of my questions was answered in relationship to EEOC. Ιf correctly, when we had our first forum about a year ago, it was taking EEOC about three years to get to

a case legally and otherwise because of

investigating in the justice department.

justice department really has the enforcement. Αs I understand under this legislation, of course, you

have your Title 7, which could intermingle or

But then your enforcement as far as this legislation is concerned is really with the office

of Special Counsel?

MR. LOPEZ: That's the enforcement for their citizenship area. But if we can justify it under national origin citizenship, it would process just like any other Title 7 charge and we would have the same authority to go to district court under that as we do in any other part of Title 7.

MR. MONTOYA: If I recall correctly, your Title 7 charges now that you stated that you are up to date, roughly? You still do the investigation,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

We offer Microtranscription™ by BaronData®

the justice department is still the enforcement, legal enforcement; is that correct?

MR. LOPEZ: Legal enforcement is done in For private employers it's done through two ways. the US District Court by the private counsel or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

For local entities such as state, local governments and so on, that goes through the justice department.

MR. MONTOYA: You know, what would the ruling be on a sub-contract with a contractor, let's take a construction contractor building a road or paving or whatever it is. Does he fall into the public or private, you know, if he's paid through tax dollars?

MR. LOPEZ: Private. He would still be a private contractor.

MR. MONTOYA: He would still be the private contractor. So, he would go through the Court process.

> MR. LOPEZ: Court process with EEOC.

MR. MONTOYA: Have we gotten or has the INS gotten any complaints in relationship to either -- and I'm not saying intentionally, but mass firings or semi-mass firings on aliens because

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

We offer We rection by A Baron Data

of the fact that they are afraid, number one, is that we've tried every effort, law and everything like that, but I-9s are barely coming out right now. We're trying to cope with the educational process and so on and so forth. But have we gotten any complaints of any types of semi-mass firings because firms are afraid of the sanctions, not knowing, you know, the administrative procedure in New Mexico? I'm not saying nationally.

MR. LOPEZ: In New Mexico, we haven't had any any. There may have been, but we haven't had any like that. We have had somewhere in the documentation, when they have been asked for documentation and some documentation hasn't been forthcoming, they have let someone go.

MR. BROWN: We have had many people call our office stating that their employer has asked them for documentation by a certain date and stated to the employee that in fact if they did not have that documentation they were going to let them go. We have instructed those people to have the employers contact our office. In all of the seminars that we have presented, emphasized to the employers that you do not have to fire anyone under this law if they were hired after November 6th,

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 We offer Wicrotranscription<sup>114</sup> by **We Baron Data** 6

1986 and they are eligible for legalization, then
they have -- all they have to do is put across the
I-9 form that they are going to apply under a
special rule and they are given automatic
authorization until September 1st, and so forth.
But we have had people contact us and employers
have responded, after they have gone to the
employer.

MR. MONTOYA: What are the recommendations from INS as it relates to -- we're not talking about forms, we know the mailing is still getting out. What have you recommended to employers that they keep as far as their records from November 6th? Do they design their own form or based on certain guidelines or what?

MR. BROWN: The way the regulations read now, because it has been moved up to July 1st, we are asking employers who have employees hired after November 6th, but before July 1st, they will have until September 1st to have the I-9 form completed. After July 1st, then they have within three working days after the employee is brought on board, to have the I-9 completed.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. One other question that I need to ask: I know that INS has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

done their best and so has the people involved in the process as far as I know about Albuquerque but let's get now to some of our panelists have held forums at different levels with major employers or employees. What about the farmer, the rancher, let's say in Roy, New Mexico, that hires five, I think the level is five, isn't it? Any employee, but let's say one employee, two employees as a ranch hand in the boonies. He probably hasn't even read the regulations or the law. What are we doing to educate that person that now he must have a documentation indicating that that individual, with a house maid or cook or whatever it is, you know, to ascertain potential audits and reviews?

MR. BROWN: Two things: The New Mexico Farm Bureau has requested and we have set up such meetings in Vaughn, Estancia, we have set up meetings in outlying areas and with some county extension agents, primarily, across the state.

We have requested also from the Employment Security Division, the State of New Mexico, a list of all employers registered with the state, the specific purpose of which is to send out mailings to them, contact them to make sure they get copies of the I-9 handbook for employers and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

information booklet and in terms of going out to individual ranches, I will tell you Mr. Montoya, that we have right now, two people in the office that would have the ability to do that and it's impossible.

MR. MONTOYA: I think what I'm trying to get to is: The process of the education is going to take some time, not only because of resources, staff time and the nature of the problem.

I might also mention that this, MR. BROWN: I think, was -- the reason that there is this one-year education period or this one-year citation period after the education period ended, where if INS were to go out to an employer and contact that employer and in fact was not in compliance with a new law, that there would be no sanctions imposed against that employer.

MR. MONTOYA: What about an employer, let's say an Indian pueblo, an Indian tribe, the Navajo nation, the Jicarilla, let's say the Inn of the Mountain Gods. What authority does INS, the new law or anything have on the sovereign nation going in to force that entity to have I-9 forms?

MR. BROWN: I will state that we have, in the past, had a very close working relationship

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

with the governors of some of the different pueblos, that there is no exclusion in terms of the law of having the I-9 for Indian nations. I might further state that one of the documents in the handbook that you were given today specifically states on there that tribal documents can be used for both identity and eligibility purposes.

I have had one such question from a pueblo west of here and they have indicated that would it be acceptable for a notarized document from the clerk of the tribe stating that this person was eligible, would that be acceptable and I answered that it would.

MR. MONTOYA: I'm questioning the authority that you as an INS investigator can go to the pueblo administration office and audit the I-9s on a sovereign nation.

'MR. BROWN: I understand.

MR. MONTOYA: That's what I'm saying.

MR. BROWN: I tried to circumvent the question.

MR. MONTOYA: I'm not getting that they are going to be.

Under Santa Clara versus MR. PENA: Martinez we will grant that individual membership.

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 ľ

2

<sup>-</sup>3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONTOYA: Just for the record, Mr. Brown, I'm not picking on you.

> MR. BROWN: I understand.

MR. MONTOYA: I'm trying to record --

I don't believe we have such MR. BROWN: authority.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. I'll turn it now back to any of the panelists.

I just think that if I was a MR. PENA: farmer growing crops down there I'd be in a panic situation because I may not get the same individuals to come and pick crops from one year to the next and it seems to me that there should be some sort of discretion. Is there some sort of discretion as far as that type of activity is concerned?

MR. BROWN: You mean in terms of harvesting perishable crops?

> MR. PENA: Yes.

MR. BROWN: The Department of Agriculture came out with a definition of perishable crops and it did include certain things that are perhaps unique maybe to New Mexico. I was very glad to see, however, that all fruits, nuts and vegetables including green chiles were included in those that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 7C (505) 247-4357

Baron Data ®

are covered under the new law.

The provision that we have right now in effect allows for any person who entered the United States illegally as of last Friday, which is the 19th of June, they would be eligible to apply for the special agricultural worker program without leaving the United States and going back say to Mexico to have to make their application there. This is a recent change that quite honestly, I read in the newspaper. I have not received anything from our office yet. So I will tell you that the problems that are taking place that we're hearing about such as in Oregon, the strawberry crop is supposedly rotting on the ground in Oregon, I think is a very short-term problem although it's not going to do the people any good this year.

I think that problem came about strictly because the people who were involved in the harvesting of the strawberry crop are generally from California and because they applied for legalization in California, and were afraid because of a change of address that they might not get the documents that they needed to show temporary permanent residence in the United States, stayed in California. They did not migrate to Oregon to pick 707 BROAOWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

Court Reporters, Inc Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

the crops. There is going to be a short-term problem I think but it will be alleviated, hopefully, after the program is well underway.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. Mr. Harding, I'm You had your hand up before me. sorry.

MR. HARDING: Mr. Brown, I read where there is supposed to be a substantial traffic in black market credentials. Is your office aware of any evidence to substantiate a claim like that?

Yes, sir, we are.

MR. BROWN:

MR. MONTOYA: Any other questions from the panel? Gentlemen, I want to thank you. We will do anything in our power to assist with any problems of alleviating the civil rights aspect of that and informing our commission so that hopefully they can in turn present something to the congressional people and also to the President as it relates to the administration of the act. I know that the act has a three-year review period, if I recall correctly.

> MR. BROWN: That's correct.

MR. MONTOYA: I'm sure annually that both everybody will be monitoring very closely, basically because it's so new right now and we're all just trying to feel our way and find out what

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

it's going to entail. Thank you, Mr. Brown. Mr. Giugni for me and Mr. Henderson anything that we can do, let us know and Andy, thank you very much.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: May I ask a question as an employer?

MR. MONTOYA: I'm sorry but we will have from 5:00 to 6:00 for audience questions. This is strictly an input-type of forum right now. But why don't you take any of the gentlemen, if they wish to answer and --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very simple.

MR. MONTOYA: Not as a panel, but you can corner them someplace if they wish to answer your questions. Thank you, gentlemen, for your time. I'm sorry. I think that our next panel, we're running a little bit ahead. But if they are present, Mr. Dulles will place their names. (Recess taken)

MR. MONTOYA: The next forum relates to policies and legal issues.

Basically we had anticipated or there was indication that Rudy Bessera, who is the associate director of Office of Public Relations to the President would be at our meeting today and would

#### 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY (505) 247-4357 Associated

We offer We offer (5)

be part of this forum but other pressing issues in Miami has him down there at this time. So we'll proceed with our panel and I'll start with with you, John and then we'll go to Astrid and then to Sarah Reinhardt.

MR. LAWIT: Thank you very much. My name is John Lawit. I'm an attorney in private practice here in Albuquerque. My practice is devoted exclusively to immigration and nationality law matters and I've so dedicated my practice for the last eight years here. Before I begin my formal remarks I would like to clarify my interpretation of the law as it differs from some of the statements that were made by some of the last panel, particularly the definition of misdemeanors.

The definition of misdemeanors for this act is found at page 16,209 of the Federal Register of May 1st, 1987. I wanted to read for your clarification the three or four sentence definition. A misdemeanor means "a crime committed in the United States punishable by imprisonment for a term of one year or less, but more than five days, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any." What that means is: There is no requirement that there be jail time for a

## Baron Data

misdemeanor to disqualify someone for eliqibility under this law and in fact, I have many clients who are going to be disqualified for driving without a driver's license, who are going to be disqualified for leaving the scene of an accident, as long as eight or 10 years ago. I really think that this particular provision is a very harsh, unnecessary and unjust penalty to be applied across the board to people simply because they maybe committed very minor misdemeanors as long as 10 or 15 years ago.

New Mexico state statutes also only provide for offenses to be punishable as misdemeanors. In this state, littering, spitting on the sidewalk, receiving a parking ticket are all misdemeanors and theoretically if the immigration service chose so, people can be disqualified for legalization simply by having been issued three parking citations.

Fortunately, the immigration service thus far is taking the position that they are not going to disqualify people simply for minor traffic offenses as they say and they look the other way toward those misdemeanors, but they don't look the other way toward trespassing misdemeanors, they don't look the other way, for example, for petty

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

ROBERT I. HAGGARD, CSR

#### Court Reporters, Inc. **Associated Court Rep** Certified Shorthand Reporters

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

property crimes, and therefore a combination of traffic citations as well as other petty misdemeanors can and will disqualify people from eligibility for legalization. Again there is no statute of limitations for the time frame within which these crimes were committed, amplifying on that even more was Commissioner Alan Belson last week from the American Immigration Lawyers Association national convention in Philadelphia where he indicated that the immigration is going to take a literal interpretation of misdemeanor and apply it across the board to disqualify any individual who has been convicted of three or more misdemeanors.

Notwithstanding the fact that I think that the immigration service here locally in New Mexico is trying to accommodate individuals who apply for legalization by looking the other way at misdemeanors that they have decided on their own are petty, I don't think constitutionally such an approach to the law is permissable and I think if the statute says "all misdemeanors," it means all misdemeanors, and the answer is to go back to congress and amend this statute or particularly to amend the regulations to give a list of

#### Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 Baron Data ®

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 misdemeanors that will disqualify people that are 2 certainly misdemeanors that are extremely serious, 3 for example, misdemeanors involving crimes 4 involving moral terpitude.

These traditionally have been the types of crimes that have made people ineligible for immigration benefits in the United States but crimes not involving moral terpitude traditionally have not, and if I send a client, for example, to a visa appointment at an American consulate abroad and they have been convicted of six DWIs, they will be issued visas to come to the United States. if they are convicted of three DWIs under this statute they are ineligible for legalization. Ι can't see why it's permitting agents to allow immigrants in the United States who have committed five and six misdemeanors and then to pick this particular class of individuals and say if you have been convicted of trespassing, leaving the scene of an accident and driving without a driver's license 10 years ago, you are not eligible for legalization.

I don't believe that this part of law was well thought out. I think that those people that drafted the regulations in the Immigration and Naturalization Service sought to make this

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular provision as restrictive as possible and not as liberal as possible, thereby eliminating as many people as could be eliminated from this process.

The second point I would like to clarify is concerning the appellate process found at page 16,216 in the Federal Register of May 1st, 1987. The appellate process is an extremely difficult one. If a person receives a denial from the legalization center in Dallas, there is an administrative appeal and it's to the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service in Washington, D.C. the denial comes, a person has 30 days and that includes mail time to reach the board in Washington, D.C. to respond to the denial. 30 days in immigration matters, particularly if the issue revolves around gathering appropriate documentation of activities that may have taken place as long as five years ago, is no time at all.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What page do we find that?

MR. LAWIT: 16,216 in the Federal Register of May 1st, 1987. As a result of this fact, many individuals who are represented, for example, by persons who have little experience in immigration

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

and nationality laws or even if represented by people with an extreme degree of experience will have a very difficult time complying with the very strict time limitations in this appellate process. There is little if any wiggle room for additional time to prepare briefs and get other affidavits and therefore, the interagency appeal is somewhat illusory in its availability to people that are denied. I know that for example qualified designated entities that are handling thousands of cases are hardly geared up to be able to handle their case load that they have now, let alone to set up an appellate board to help individuals who have been unjustly denied legalization.

Given the millions of people that will be applying for benefits under this particular provision of law, it's inconceivable to me that innocent people will not be denied by mistake and therefore the only remedy that they are going to have that will be practical will be to use this appellate process.

If the appeal is denied at the administrative level, there is no access provided into the federal district courts. individual is left with at that point in time is to

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

We offer Microtranscription!\*\* by BaronData\*

wait to be arrested by the immigration service, go through a deportation hearing where, by regulation, they are precluded from raising the issue of an unlawful denial of legalization and then after they are found deportable from the United States they can then as part of the appeal of the deportation order file in the US Court of Appeals.

In New Mexico the Court of Appeals is

located in Denver that has jurisdiction over this

state and not only does that make that expensive,

but as another practical matter, the standard that

the Court of Appeals will listen to in an appeal

from a denial of a deportation order is only

abusive discretion. Therefore, there is no forum

to relitigate the issue if a mistake has been made

at the appellate level and the only remedy that's

left for an individual that finds themselves before

the Court of Appeals is to argue that the

immigration service went beyond the scope of their

parameters in interpreting the facts and materials

presented to them.

Given the wide latitude and deference that's paid to the immigration service as an administrative agency, that is a very difficult burden for an individual who has been unjustly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357



denied legalization to carry both in a financial sense and a legal sense.

The other point that I wanted to make concerning the availability of information concerning employer sanctions is the fact that it's only in English. I have not seen any Spanish versions of the instructions, I have not seen any in any other languages. As Mr. Brown has testified, there are many people of many nationalities here in New Mexico that are eligible in applying for legalization. How they are to absorb and understand what their responsibilities under the law are means, in many cases, they are going to need an interpreter to read the regulations and materials that have been printed by the immigration and naturalization services. Now I'll get on with my prepared remarks.

When the Simpson-Mazole bill first was introduced in Congress several years ago and the press was carrying the stories that it was going to pass, I began to receive a disturbing series of phone calls in my office concerning individuals who had been let go by employers who feared employer sanctions. At that point in time of course the publicity concerning the grandfather clause as well

#### Court Reporters, Inc. Associated Court Rep

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102



as the kind of time frames that the act now contains for employers to be educated and to comply with the new law were not available. But as early as four years ago I began to see the pattern and with each successive wave of publicity during each term of Congress as the law became closer and closer to becoming enacted, I saw it picking up more and more. Finally the law did pass in November, 1986, and within days of the passage of the law I began to receive calls from individuals who had been fired from their jobs.

They had been fired from jobs in those sectors of the economy of the State of New Mexico that have a high proportion of undocumented individuals working within them. The restaurant industry, the hotel industry and the construction industry were particularly active in letting go of the employees out of fear. The pattern still goes on.

I do think in deference to the educational efforts of the immigration service, it certainly has lessened somewhat from where it was before but it is still going on, on an ongoing basis. days if an individual is fired from a job and they are eligible for legalization, it is nearly

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

impossible for them to obtain employment until they are able to get some kind of written verification from the immigration service. Usually after filing an application for legalization here at the legalization center.

The problem is that most employers do not understand the technical provisions relating to individuals that are eligible for legalization who wish to file an application. Individuals who wish to file an application for legalization when they fill out an I-9 need only denote this fact and they are allowed to continue their employment until September of 1987, where it is expected that they will have submitted their application for legalization and obtained the employment authorization card.

Most employers are not aware of this fact. Most employers believe that they must get some sort of written documentation from the Immigration and Naturalization Service before they can allow someone to continue working for them. of this fact, many people who otherwise would be employable or who could seek a new job after being let go from their previous job are unable to do so.

This manifests itself in another problem

#### Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUER (505) 247-4357

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



that occurs because when a person goes to apply for legalization, it is their burden to prove that they will not become a public charge in this country and the most logical way that someone can prove that is to show that they are gainfully employed, yet the very statute which is supposed to provide the source of their relief from their undocumented status at the same time cuts off the financial ability to support themselves here, thereby making their burden that much more difficult when they go before the Immigration and Naturalization Service to apply for legalization.

So this is having a very dramatic effect. It's something that I think can only be cured through education. I think that the local office of the Immigration and Naturalization Service is doing an exemplary job in spending lots and lots of man-hours educating as many people as they can. The problem is: They need 10 people out in the field doing that, not one person out in the field doing that, and there are no funds that have been appropriated beyond those that are already being spent for the immigration service, to put on the kind of educational campaign that is necessary.

Certainly if they cannot afford to fund

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 7( (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



individuals to travel from city to city and state to state, there can be massive public service announcements that can be released nationwide for every radio and TV station. Public service announcements in the newspapers and other means of media that we have in this country to get the word out to people as to what needs to be done. I might also point out that my clientele

is not all Mexican. Only 50 percent of my clients are from Mexico. So I have the opportunity to speak with people on a regular basis from all over the world concerning their circumstances and what they have seen and what they are seeing now in their employment. I have yet to receive one call from any non-Hispanic indicating any problem with employment. I have yet to receive any call from a non-Hispanic who had been threatened with termination as a result of the fact that this new law had passed. It seems, at least from what I'm seeing in my practice, that the greatest group of people that are suffering unjustly under this particular provision of the law and unnecessarily under this provision of the law are people from Mexico and Central America and South America.

Like I say, I have yet to see any non-Hispani

707 BRDADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 7( (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25



Baron Data ®

be complaining about being let go and certainly when I prepare applications that I submit to the immigration service for all people of all nationalities, employment history is taken and I'm just not seeing it with any other group of people.

I think that as a practical remedy to this situation, aside from an out and out repeal of the employer sanctions provision of the law, I think certainly a delay until the end of the legalization period would be in order. That would allow employers not to have to fear violating the new law. I think most employers would like to comply but not knowing what to do they are in a panic and as a result, wanting to be law-abiding citizens, they are taking the route that seems most expeditious to purge their staff of individuals who they deem to be unauthorized to continue employment in the country.

If the law or implementing regulations were to change, people would have this one-year window to freely apply. Not only would more people come forward, but I think that employers would be more willing to provide the verification that the immigration and nationality act now demands to prove that someone has been physically present in

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



this country.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

The act mandates that one of the best sources of documentation that an individual can present is letters and affidavits from employers, yet we have a situation where employers now are very reluctant to provide that kind of documentation for fear of their being discovered as having violated the internal revenue code for many years and the social security act but also running afoul of the employers sanctions provisions.

While I may be able to convince employers that the confidentiality provisions that are embodied in the Immigration Reform and Control Act will enforce; that is, that the immigration service will not share this information with other federal It's a burden beyond me to convince them that the immigration service will not share the information with itself, and that's exactly what the statute demands. But it's very hard to convince employers dealing with many federal bureaucracies that this is even possible. you very much.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. While I'm here, Sarah, let me apologize to you. The news media had an old agenda and your name and the fact that you

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 9 10 11 12 13 14 Baron Data 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

would be a part of the panelists was not included, but you received a letter from us and we welcome Why don't you go ahead?

MS. REINHARDT: Thank you very much. Мy name is Sarah Reinhardt. I'm an immigration attorney. I've been practicing for seven years in I recently authored a book on the new this field. immigration law and I'm a member of the American Immigration Lawyers Association and the National Lawyers Scaled Immigration Project. I would like to discuss today a number of different issues that are of concern to me and which I believe may already be causing different problems or may raise problems in the future.

I'm sure many of these have been discussed already. But I'd like to just add my point of view.

First of all, is the problem of the splitting of families in which, for example, five members of a family may apply for legalization, one of those five will be ineligible for very minor, almost microscopic reasons. There is no redress, there is no way of getting around many of the requirements of the act.

For example: Four members may legalize and the father may have made a trip to Mexico in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 .

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1984, due to sudden illness or death in the family, return on a B-2 visitors visa and that wage earner or that father of the family will be ineligible and the rest will legalize. That is a problem because among the solutions that I have heard given for that, is that once the other family members legalize they can in turn turn around and eventually legalize that other family member through the preference system. But that is not workable with those immigrants from Mexico. If the family is from Mexico, it will take

them seven or eight years, optimally, before they will be able to help that one ineligible family As to other countries, in most situations they could help and legalize that one family member optimally in three years and the immigration service and/or the Congress needs to come to grips with the problem of separation of families. have not yet evolved a policy which will deal with these kinds of problems.

Among other problems I see is that the problem of those aliens who are here as a parolee or who are under exclusion proceedings has not been addressed or it has been addressed somewhat in the regulations but in an extremely restrictive fashion.

#### Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUGUER (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

Baron Data

Therefore, a person from Iraq who came here in 1979, they might in all ways be eligible for legalization but because at the port of entry they immediately ask an immigration officer, "I need to file for political assylum here," they were placed in exclusion proceedings and in many of these cases, because of the restrictive regulations, these people will not be able to qualify.

Another issue which I'm concerned about is: That many women who have not been employed outside their homes, will have great difficulty gathering documents and I have heard it expressed that many of these women came later on anyway and when they come in and say they have no documents, there is almost a presumption that they are lying and I'm concerned about it when I hear those ideas expressed and I found it not to be true in my practice and I believe that the studies conducted by Professor Joan Moore of the University of Wisconsin in Milwaukee, a very respected scholar and sociologist who studied the undocumented aliens in Los Angeles four or five years ago, found that it was not true, the fact that many undocumented aliens from Mexico are single males who come up and work alone to send money back to their families.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357



That is undoubtedly true in many situations, but I have found more often the case that the family is here and has been here for many years and that therefore, this presumption that women homemakers may have come later on when they heard about the amnesty program, that the male workers sent for their women and children, I don't think that's true and I think that the immigration service needs to be sensitive to that fact and needs to respect the fact that many women have stayed home and have been occupied raising children and therefore their documentation is not going to be ideal.

I have another concern and that is that in many of the rural areas, they are cut off from information about the program and they face a number of obstacles as far as getting their applications filed. They must travel long distances to find assistance from any of the agencies or from attorneys. They must go back and forth and they must travel into the legalization office for their interview. They must travel back in order to get the final card and so on, and I believe it works a hardship and may in fact impede the number of applicants in rural areas who will

Certified Shorthand Reporters

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 10 11 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 12 13 14 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

apply.

I think that one of the answers to that might be a mail-in application, filing of applications, which does not now exist in this state and I understand that the immigration service may have some legitimate concerns about accepting mail-in applications, as many of the applications I'm sure that they receive are in fact deficient in many respects. However, I think that that can be negotiated and having a mail-in program is up to the discretion of the local legalization office director.

I think perhaps a compromise there would be that in the cases of attorneys or designated entities, they may be allowed after they have screened and put together the case in a complete fashion, might be able to submit those by mail.

Another concern I have is that on the issue of crimes which John has talked about, one of the other issues there is, for example, driving while intoxicated is a felony in Texas. It is a misdemeanor in New Mexico. Therefore, it's the bad luck of the draw and if you are arrested in Texas, you are going to be ineligible, one time, you will be ineligible because you have committed a felony

Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under this definition, but if you happen to be across the line in New Mexico and you just have this one arrest, you do not have that problem.

In other states misdemeanors have been defined as any crime in which the possible

defined as any crime in which the possible confinement is up to two years and so many of these people in New York and New Jersey who thought they had misdemeanors on their record end up actually having felonies on their record and will also be ineligible. So, it's the unevenness throughout the entire country which is inherently unfair and I think that in formulating the regulations, a better definition of misdemeanor or felony could have been developed and I think what would be preferred would be a definition in which actual time served were perhaps the gauge of whether something was a felony or misdemeanor as, after all, the punishment meted out is probably going to match the crime in that it reflects how odious the behavior was deemed by the court or perhaps that the definition apply only as to those types of crimes, misdemeanors or felonies, which are considered to be those involving moral terpitude, which is what the immigration laws have consistently used as a method of excluding undesirable aliens with criminal records.

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERGUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are other problems and one of those is that any alien with a deportation after 1982, is statutorily ineligible and these deportations can arise in a variety of contexts and one of those contexts is if a deportation hearing was held in absentia while the alien was not even present, any departure after that kind of a hearing is considered a deportation and there are many, many people I have seen come in to the office who, after 1982, had a deportation and this is deemed to have broken their continuous residence and in many cases, it is very unfair because these are people in which the entire family will legalize and they will not because of one deportation after 1982. not waiveable.

So there is no way to get around it. I would suggest or hope that the immigration service might do is: Use a current mechanism which is an I-212 waiver and apply that liberally by saying that if we waive the deportation as a grounds of subsequent criminal prosecution or excludeability and deportability we will also excuse it for purposes of continuous residence. Right now that's not the case. There is no way of getting around it.

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



that	is,	th	ner	е	se	em	S	to	b	е	sc	m	е	C	o n	f	us	i	o n	(	o n	t	:h	е	
publi	c c	har	ge	i	SS	u e		I	n	m a	ny	?	c a	S	e s		th	e	f	a	ct	t	:h	at	ā
child	re	cei	ive	d	ca	sh	p	ub	li	С	as	s	is	ta	a n	C	е	C	a n	]	be	r	ie:	l d	
again	st	the	e p	ar	e n	t	to	m	a k	е	th	e	m	e	x C	1	ud	e	a b	1	е	ar	nd	I	
think	th	at	th	is	i	S	i n	he	re	n t	1 y	,	a l	s	0	u	n f	a	i r			Wh	ı e ı	n	a
citiz	en	chi	1d	,	th	e (	c h	i l	d	is	а	1	сi	t	i z	eı	n	a	nd	1	ha	S	i	n	
all r	esp	ect	s	qu	a 1	i f	ie	d	fо	r	th	a	t	a:	SS	i	st	a	nc	e	,	I	d	o n	' t
belie	eve	tha	t	in	i	mp:	le	me	nt	in	g	t	he	s	е	1 8	a w	S	,	tl	h a	t	W	a s	
meant	to	b€	e h	el	d	ag	a i	ns	t	th	e	а	li	eı	n	p	ər	e	nt	-	wh	er	1	th	e 7
seek	leg	ali	za	ti	o n																				
		I	al	so	W	a n	t	to	p	o i	nt	:	o u	t	a	n	ot	h	er	]	pr	ok	10	sw	

I would like to raise another issue and

as John did in the appeals process. The appeals process for a denied application is very confusing and it is very lengthy. If you are denied legalization and you believe it is unfair, they cannot use, under the confidentiality provisions, they can use nothing there to institute deportation proceedings and the only manner of reviewing that denial is through the deportation proceedings. judge cannot hear it but later on through the court system you can raise those issues on appeal.

So, you really have no appeal and you almost have to beg or trick the immigration service into "Please put me into proceedings so I can

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



please appeal this issue." So, I believe it's very confusing and it will drag a case on for years and you will never get review of these issues. never, but it would take quite a long time.

I'm also concerned with persons that I have spoken to who have been detained by the border patrol or at the check points, where questions were not asked to determine whether or not this person was eligible at all for legalization, and these persons were summarily removed from the country when they in fact were clearly eligible for Two cases I've seen recently were legalization. people who were stopped in May of this year when the program was already under way. So I'm concerned that the word get out to those persons so that it doesn't waste both the immigration's resources and the time of the alien later on in trying to explain these expulsions. I believe that one of the problems is: In the questioning the alien is most often asked, "When was your last entry?" Which might have been last year but that does not disqualify a person who has been here since before 1982, and the persons, based on the fact that their last entry was a year ago, are expelled without further ado.

As Cert Se offer (50)

		L
102	3	
CO 87	4	
v MEXI	5	
JE, NEV	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
UERQL	7	
ALBUG	8	
Y N.E.	.9	
ADWA	10	
7 BRO	11	
1 – 70 -4357	12	
SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357	13	
SU		-

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I have some other concerns and that is in the area of agricultural workers. In many cases women and children have helped their husbands in the fields picking crops. Their names have never appeared on the employer's records. They are very hardpressed to prove that, prove that they are in fact agricultural workers themselves and I believe the immigration workers need to be sensitive to this issue. Also one of the provisions of the act provides that the immigration service will promulgate regulations which will assist an applicant in compelling an employer to produce records that would assist them in making their case.

The immigration service has not promulgated these regulations and as we have heard and as I have observed, many employers are very reluctant because especially in the agricultural area they are facing not only possible IRS and social security violations but child labor laws and minimum wage an hour violations and they are extremely reluctant to assist.

I think that in addition, there is another area of concern here and that is in the area of employment authorization, which I feel is an area which will become increasingly important. The

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



21 22 23

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

immigration service has gradually had increasing authority in this area and of concern to me is the fact that regulations recently published in DFR 274.12 there is no provision whereby someone who has married a United States citizen who has filed a visa petition on their behalf and it has been approved, where they can get work authorization at Once a person is under docket control, they all. might be able to get work authorization but the immigration service in many areas does not want to put the spouses of citizens under docket control. It's a waste of time and it is, because these people will soon have a visa in hand so why put them in the system, why process them, because they are soon going to have their residency.

But this ignores the fact that in the meantime they cannot work, they are in a terrible position and there is no way that they can obtain employment authorization under the current regulations, and I believe this is an oversight that should be addressed as some of the groups which now get employment authorization are, in my opinion, less deserving than someone who has an approved visa petition, they should be given the right to work.

JITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 35) 247-4357 rtified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



<b>A</b> 9 0	30
	Baron <i>Data</i> ◎
7	
	We offer Microtranscription <sup>tM</sup> by

Secondly, I've heard concerns from lawyers working in other parts of the country, that those who have filed nonfrivolous political assylum applications are consistently denied employment authorization. They should get it under the regulations but apparently in some of the local offices a decision is made as to whether or not it is frivolous or not, not based on acceptable criteria and so, in that area of employment authorization, I think those are two issues that need to be addressed.

Then lastly I'd like to say that I have a general concern about the growing trend that I see in removing a lot of authority from the district director in the local office and transferring it to the regional adjudication center or regional processing facility, as it's now called.

Initially there were certain remote offices which, because they were remote, did not have a lot of work to do and in order to address backlogs in some of the local offices, many petitions were transferred to these remote adjudication centers.

They gradually took on a life of their own and now we see many different applications being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 sent there for final adjudication and so, what you You have to deal with a local office who really has no authority in many of these applications to give you a final yes or no or this is deficient and this is why.

The regional offices are the ones who are handling that and they have no phone numbers. cannot get through to them. There is no calling a person up and saying "What was wrong? I don't understand why you sent this back? You said I needed a document, it was right there, didn't you see it?" There is no way of simply solving those problems and I believe that is a trend the increasing authority with the regional centers, the four regional centers that needs to be monitored because I believe it raises serious due process questions in the ability for an alien to present their case and have a fair hearing on their petition.

Those conclude my remarks and concerns, and I thank you for your attention to them.

> MR. MONTOYA: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. SARDOZ: Ι wish to thank the Western Regional Office of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and the New Mexico

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUGUERGUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 7( (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Advisory Committee for having extended to me an invitation to attend this meeting today.

I believe that the spirit of communication and consultation has particular importance in the enforcement of the new immigration law.

Especially because its implementation has international implications. Because of the great movement of persons between Mexico and the United States which occurs in both directions, Mexicans are very conscious of the effects of the implementation of this new Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986.

I believe that in New Mexico the majority of the applicants for adjustment of status are Mexicans. According to numbers given to me, the legalization office in Albuquerque approved around 258 applicants during the month of May from which 230, around 230 were Mexican. So you can see that because of the closeness and the neighborhood with Mexico, I think we are having an important role here in this part of the United States.

The Mexican consulate in the United States, all the Mexican consulates in the United States are monitoring the implementation of the new immigration law and in accordance with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357



international law and with the consulate convention in force between Mexico and the United States we are providing advice and counsel to Mexican We have close contact with the immigration office here. They keep us informed and we appreciate that very much.

The communication we have with Mexican workers has allowed us to be aware of many of the problems they are facing to adjust their status. These problems range from the disqualification because of misdemeanors as they were mentioning, the reluctance of many employers to issue affidavits, sometimes because of the use of aliases or any other reason and, too, the problems of financial difficulties in the case of large families to cover the fees requested by INS for legalization.

These persons are hard-working people, they have enough money to support themselves in a decent manner, but at the particular moment, at this particular moment, they do not have that money for that purpose. So, they are doing their best to get the money to save to use for their standard of living for some time in order to get standardization, and they are not willing or they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BHOADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



do not want or they don't have the right to request public assistance so I think they are in difficulty right now because of that.

Also we have contacts with employers and we are aware of the difficulties the employers are also having when they have to dismiss some of the Mexican employees. Just to put you an example, there is a firm who fired most of their employees and after they fired, they put an advertisement through a radio station in Spanish saying, "We have fired all the illegal aliens and now we are needing workers," and this ad is in Spanish so you can see the difficulty they are having.

I think it would take a long time to mention all the statistics and studies published by renowned economists and researchers which prove the reality that employment of documented workers is beneficial to the United States economy which, like in many parts of the world, is based on the encouragement of production of goods and services for commerce.

Because of their status, many of these undocumented workers are in constant fear and are subject to discrimination and violation of human rights and fundamental freedom.

Baron Data

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

Unfortunately, it seems that with the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, this is not ending. These are not being put to an end but to the contrary, after an enactment of the law, there have been examples of serious abuses and violations of human rights by some employers and landlords.

A new situation of discomfort and mistrust The motivation for free enterprises has emerged. and the willingness to produce goods and services still exists and it will always be in the minds of the people. Therefore, if there is not enough supply of workers to fill the gap of labor which is being created, it is understandable that in order to keep production moving, employers have to find the means to fill their labor demands on time and appropriately.

It is also well known that you as citizens do not like to do hard, unskilled labor and in many instances they do prefer to receive unemployment benefits. The lack of information and some information has been distorted concerning the provisions of the law, is leading to fear and confusion and it is evident that this new act is leading to arbitrary conduct on the part of some

### Court Reporters, Inc. Associated Court Repo Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N. (505) 247-4357

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBYQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



There has been information but I think employers. there is the need for more information to employers.

I believe that the economic structure of this country, is strong and that progress and development cannot be stopped. Within the framework of that economic structure are the immigrant workers, whether documented or undocumented, and this is a reality. This structure cannot allow absurd violations of personal dignity and human rights of those persons who render valuable services for this country.

I believe that the employers sanctions is a very difficult provision within the law and I also believe that the undocumented workers, I'm referring to the Mexican workers, they do render valuable services to the society and to the economy of this country. Thank you very much.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. Let me advise the audience, because we have some new members that came in, the questions or statements from the audience will be taken after the panels, from 5:00 to 6:00. This is an informative forum for the State Advisory Committee and consequently for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and the questions will come from the SAC members. Anybody willing or SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Baron Data We offer Microtranscription<sup>TM</sup> by wanting to make a statement to the State Advisory Committee is urged to give John Dulles your name and sign up and then we'll definitely hear your concerns after the panels have concluded.

With that, I'll open it -- the other thing, on statements being made by any individual, the State Advisory Committee of course has a policy, a legal obligation not to authorize name identification, slinging of adverse comments, I guess, to any individual, private, public sector, unless we give those individuals a right to respond to whatever statements are going to be made of them.

So consequently if you do have that type of comment, I would ask you not to mention names in your presentations. Anybody from the committee for questions?

MR. BACA: My question is probably very academic and probably will sound a little bit elementary to the attorneys, but do you think that we can foresee serious violations to the degree that lawsuits will be filed which question the constitutionality of the Immigration Reform Act?

MR. LAWIT: There has already been a lawsuit filed in the southern district of New York to overturn the entire statute and have it repealed

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70<sup>°</sup> (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



for violation of the proper Senate procedures when it was passed at the last minute on the eve of election.

I anticipate, in speaking with my colleagues from around the United States, massive numbers of lawsuits. I have already begun to discuss with my colleagues here the possibility of putting together such lawsuits to challenge certain aspects of the law in New Mexico.

I think that this law was so quickly -- at least the implementing regulations were so quickly drawn up that there is fertile grounds for litigation in almost every aspect of the law. think the immigration service is expecting the litigation and justifiably so. There is a lot of problems with it that need to be rectified and in fact in many cases the very issues that you have been hearing about today are issues because the regulations do not clarify exactly what is expected and exactly what people are supposed to do to qualify under various provisions of the law. for me, my answer is yes, and it will begin very soon as the decisions begin to come down from the regional adjudication centers for legalization.

> MS. RIENHARDT: I would agree 100 percent

Certified Shorthand Reporters



with what John said, and I think another thing that will give rise to a lot of litigation is the fact that regulations are very restrictive in many respects that I don't think the law ever intended and I think there will be a lot of litigation on many issues.

MR. MONTOYA: Emma.

about the employers sanctions and the fact that employees have to provide documentation verifying their stay in the United States and so forth. What do you see to be the situation for the undocumented self-employed, which we do have in the United States and I know personally, you know, here in Albuquerque who, for various reasons, have not declared any taxes, obviously because they are not citizens but they have been gainfully self-employed, and that type of citizen seems to me would be the type of citizen we would want to keep in the United States, yet what recourses do they have?

MS. REINHARDT: I've come across those cases, too, and those people do have serious problems. All I can say is that with that issue and many other issues, there are so many of them under the act that I believe many of those people

Associated
Certified Shorth
Suite 301 — 70
Suite 301 — 70
Suite 301 — 70
Suite 301 — 70

are holding off and waiting to see what the litigation will be and I think many of the most difficult cases are going to be filed at the end and that might also explain why there has been a low turnout at the legalization office as to the number of applications that are submitted as opposed to what they expected. I think the people with those cases are waiting, trying to wait and see.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. I have a few questions. I think it was a statement from one of the panelists that said there was a charge or fee to the service by INS for the illegal alien to go through the documentation process. Is there?

MR. LAWIT: Yes, there is.

MR. MONTOYA: What is that fee? Is that a standard fee or is it based on the time that is spent on the individual?

MS. REINHARDT: The standard fee is \$185 per adult. If the adult files with a dependent child family member -- the child under 18 is \$50 and if the family files together as a group there is a \$420 cap on the filing fees.

MR. MONTOYA: Is that fee placed by INS or is the fee placed by the QDEs?

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 MS. REINHARDT: INS.

MR. MONTOYA: Is the

MR. MONTOYA: Is there a fee then from the QDEs?

MS. REINHARDT: The QDEs are allowed to charge for their services up to a limit of \$125. Some QDEs I understand do not charge anything and then there are additional caps on what you might charge if you take fingerprints and photographs, as well.

MR. MONTOYA: I don't want to disclose any personal fees but let's take it all the way. If I am an illegal alien and I go through the process of INS, paying their fees, and I go through the process and I go through the QDEs and pay their fees, then I'm still in question then I go hire a legal attorney, you know, on an hourly basis and I think that's about \$120 an hour or \$100 or \$75 an hour plus expenses and then if I have to appeal that decision, with INS it might take three years, if I'm in the process of appealing, am I deportable or where am I as an illegal alien?

MR. LAWIT: I think without exception all people who are eligible for legalization are deportable aliens. "Deportable" meaning that by law that they are here in an unauthorized status

Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357



and if detected could be returned to their country. The legalization process of course is there to remove that taint and put them on the process to full legalization, ultimately the ability to apply for citizenship, should they wish. In terms of fees, I have heard of fees with attorneys as low as \$100 a case all the way up to \$1500 -- all the way up to \$3,000 per individual. I think that the high and low end of that are extreme in both cases but it's certain that the average fee is in excess of a couple hundred dollars.

MR. MONTOYA: Getting back to litigation in a court of law in district court and of course I'm using the administrative process through INS. On the appeal process, if I'm appealing the decision at whatever level of INS or the administrative process, do I still get an authorization card to continue working or am I deportable or am I put in the holding pen or where am I going? If I hire an attorney and I'm going through the process and I'm objecting to a decision that was made at the Albuquerque office or the district office --

The nature of the appellate MR. LAWIT: process is such that once you go through the brief

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



appellate period before the board in Washington, order to even raise the question concerning the eligibility for legalization, you have to have already gone through a deportation hearing. have to have already been found deportable therefore if you lose your appeal, you are gone. You've got one step on the boat, so to speak, and one foot in the courtroom at the same time.

I think I'm trying to MR. MONTOYA: Yes. get, once I go -- after Washington has determined that between myself or let's say Catholic Social Services, the Albuquerque INS office, the Dallas regional office, I guess, and Washington, before you even get into court, where am I in that period of legalization as far as employment is concerned?

MS. REINHARDT: There is no provision to give employment authorization for people who are stuck in limbo like that. Once they have taken their administrative appeal of the denial of legalization, they can have employment authorization during that time period, but once they have taken that administrative appeal and they are shopping around trying to get under deportation proceedings in order to have any kind of review and good luck, maybe it will never happen, there is no

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

We offer Whicrotranscription™ by 🏖 BaronDafa®

independent category under the employment authorization regulations that would allow them work authorization.

MR. MONTOYA: Now getting back to, I guess,

some of the immigration problems that I was afforded an opportunity to look at in Texas, the holding pens of INS and so on and so forth, their major holding concept was of course South America, Nicaragua, this type of illegal alien where they would hold the husband and the mother and the child would go to Santa Maria or someplace in limbo there waiting for what the deportation judge was going to do in relationship to the husband and of course I guess in relationship to the whole family.

If I recall correctly, the illegal Mexican aliens were put in buses, taken to the border and dumped across the border and that was it. There was no hearing, they didn't go before the judge, the deportation judge or anything like that. How does this tarnish -- we're talking about immigration, one is Mexico the other one is a different type of immigration, all classes of immigration.

If INS, in our holding pens and patterns, are following the Nicaragua system that was there

## Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUER

707 BHOADWAY N.E., ALBUQUEHQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

(505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



six months ago, now how is this muddying the water, the legal water of the employer sanction legalization process?

In other words, they are in a pen, they are waiting for a hearing, a deportation or an INS judge, I quess, that will determine whether they are deportable or they get political assylum or get whatever they get. In the meantime, the wife and children are in some town in limbo or living under some condition.

As we get involved in the whole immigration issue, you know, how are those issues then being addressed legally under this legislation? In other words, where are those people, again, in what type of limbo status are they?

MR. LAWIT: As a general proposition and by regulation of the immigration service, those individuals who are encountered by the immigration service who can convince the immigration officer that they have a prima fascia case of eligibility for legalization are not being incarcerated. fact, if they do encounter an immigration officer and the officer does make that determination that they are prima fascia eliqible, they are given documentation so that they can remain here to

### Court Reporters, Inc. Associated Court Rep



20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

prepare their applications without any problems.

Those people that you are referring to that are in the camps are, by and large, individuals who have been determined not to be eligible for legalization. I think most frequently, new arrivals in the United States or perhaps people convicted of crimes that are coming out of prisons awaiting deportation.

Clearly, however, there are a group of agricultural workers who, because they crossed the border all the time, could conceivably find themselves incarcerated after coming in most recently and thereby their ability to prove that they have done the agricultural work would be somewhat diminished, but again they, too, if they can convince an immigration officer of their prima facie eligibility and I don't think the bulk of the people who are applying for legalization are incarcerated at all, in fact I think the immigration service is quite clear that if they can produce any sort of credible documentation or are willing to sign a sworn statement to the effect that they are willing to apply for legalization, they are let go. I hope that addresses your concern.

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUGUERGUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Baron Data

MR. MONTOYA: What's the percentage of people that have applied for legalization versus the estimates that we know are in, let's say in New For instance out of, you know -- I don't even know what the estimates are of illegal aliens in New Mexico, what percentage has really applied for legalization?

MR. LAWIT: I think at this point a minute percentage of people that are eligible. I think I believe what Sarah is saying about the people with the cleanest cases, with the most abundant documentation, are coming forward now and those people that have questionable cases, cases hung up on questions of law or in amount of documentation that they are able to obtain, should and will wait until later on in the process to see how the pattern develops for approvals and denials.

MR. MONTOYA: Have any of the lawyer members encountered any cases of -- of course we all hear of it as far as accusations of importation as far as -- we talk to the fact that there are certain employers or some employers who might not give documentation to relationship to employees because the illegal alien may not want to be prosecuted for income tax evasion or whatever type

Certified Shorthand Reporters
SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
BaronData

(505) 247-4357



of other crime, but then by the same token, has there been employers that probably are paying below the minimum wage that probably in the area of where they have to abide -- let's take for instance construction where you have to abide by the wage an hour act, let's say it's a federal project that the minimum wage is \$10 an hour, \$12 an hour and that employee, whoever he may be, they might be paying him \$3.00, minimum wage an hour, but of course there is very little audit process that everybody is going to go down and look at the employee audit and see if that employee was really paid that standard minimum wage of \$10 or \$12 an hour because that illegal employee isn't going to file and say, "Wait, they only paid me 3.45 an hour."

Have we encountered any of that? Of course, I can see now that with INS going in, of course they only have one investigator, going in to check the I-9s and stuff like that, some of that information might have to track with the employment records, you know, with whatever income records are filed or state tax records are filed, but have we encountered employees coming from legalization in that instance where they do not want to prove and the employer doesn't want to prove.

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

We offer We stern by Baron Data

MR. LAWIT: I think Ms. Sardoz has an answer for that.

MS. SARDOZ: We have encountered cases like that. Mainly the employers are reluctant to give the affidavits or the information concerning the employee and that's where we are helping the hexicans in that respect.

The employers usually haven't been complying with the wage an hour, that means the minimum rates of wages to be paid or the maximum hours. We have encountered cases where the employees, the workers have been working from 10 to 15 hours a day and of course, you know, the work week has to be no longer than 40 hours, so therefore they are violating some of the labor laws and we have encountered those things.

MR. MONTOYA: In relationship to documentation, how many cases in discrimination or wage an hour act have any of the attorneys encountered in relationship to, let's say, to legalization?

MS. REINHARDT: I don't know if I could give you an estimate of numbers because in many cases, and it is frequent that people have employers who will not cooperate and give them the

21

22

23

24

25

, ,	) )		4
MEXI			5
H NEV	בי		6
Certified Shorthand Reporters SHITE 301 — 202 BDOADWAY NE ALBHOLIEDH IE NEW MEYICO R	ב ב ב	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	7
	מ ב ב ב		8
, S >	<u>.</u>		9
sporter	1 2 1	1	0
and Re		1	1
Shorth	-4357	1	2
Certified Shorthand Reporters	(505) 247-4357	1	3
8 0	<b>3</b>	1	Ţ
	n <i>Dat</i> a	1	5
	Baro	1	6
		1	7
	er tion <sup>TM</sup> by	1	8
	We offer Microtranscription <sup>IM</sup> by <b>Street</b>	1	9
	Micro	2	0

1

2

3

documentation. It is very hard. The usual approach of an advocate would be to try to coax it out of the employer and reassure them about the confidentiality provisions. Ve hardly say, "Why don't you give me this letter. Which law are you breaking? Are you breaking the wage an hour laws or did you fail to deduct social security or what?"

So, it's often hard to understand what the employer's concern is. Some of those concerns are because they have broken the law, some of the concerns are really not important, and if we understood those concerns we would be able to talk to them and explain what the law is. But it's very hard to get a handle on those cases. You have your suspicions in most cases as to what is going on. If they have been paid strictly in cash, I suppose probably there haven't been many deductions taken.

MR. MONTOYA: Do we have much of a problem or have we encountered much of a problem on illegal documentation in the cases?

MS. REINHARDT: Fraudulent documents?

MR. MONTOYA: Yes.

MS. REINHARDT: No. I haven't.

In New Mexico? MR. MONTOYA:

MR. LAWIT: I've had several clients bring

Association We offer (505)

■ SUITE 301 — 707 BHOADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

Baron Data (505) 247-4357

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me reams of fraudulent documents to prove that they have been here. I hope I've been successful in detecting them prior to submitting the applications. In most cases they are bringing me the fraudulent documentation because they think the other documentation they have brought me is not sufficient and want to carry it one step further. They will come in with, for example, sequentially numbered check stubs to cover five years of employment. Forms indicating that they have been working for a particular company since 1975, and on the bottom of the form it wasn't printed until 1983. I see them. I don't see a lot of it and I think the more common sources of fraudulent documents are coming from state archives, motor vehicle departments have been broken into in New Mexico, many churches in the southern part of state have been broken into and baptismal certifications have been stolen, birth certificate blanks have all been stolen, and much of the theft of these documents has incurred after the enactment of the statute. So I can only suspect that they are finding their way into the process.

MR. PENA: What about fraudulent documents from agencies purporting to be legally set up to

- 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 5.

business.

SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357

MR. LAWIT: There are always individuals who will manufacture documents for people to make a I have not seen those documents in my quick buck. office, at least at this stage in the proceedings. I expect I'll be seeing them at the appellate level. Right now when you take your application in to the legalization office, if it appears on its face to be okay, it's sent forward to Dallas where much closer scrutiny is paid. So I have not seen them

yet, although I am aware of the fact that there are

document vendors here in Albuquerque in active

certify some of these aliens?

MR. PENA: I quess what worries me is the break up of the family, and both of you mentioned that you were members of the lawyers that belong to an association having to do with immigration laws and things of that nature. Is there an effort at the national level to change that portion of the law?

MS. REINHARDT: Yes. There are discussions under way at the American Immigration Lawyers Association to try to attack this from a number of different angles. I also understand that immigration itself has asked the -- the program

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Certified Shorthand Reporters SUITE 301 — 70 (505) 247-4357 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



entities will address that. I do understand that the Congress, there is a bill pending in order to address the family unity problem, although apparently, many members of Congress feel that this is a problem that should be addressed by INS and they are very reluctant to deal with it legislatively, and right now, there isn't a mechanism other than the INS's discretion that it might exercise in individual cases to allow a person to stay here, that is now currently the only vehicle that can be used and I very much doubt that they will use their discretion to allow a family member, one in a family that is otherwise legal, to stay here for eight years and that they will do that on a mass basis for thousands of people. I can't believe that they would let people in their discretion remain in an undocumented status for eight years. So, it's a problem that must be addressed.

after it was begun has realized that there are

certain problems and is looking internally for ways

of addressing those problems. So I believe both

MR. MONTOYA: I want to thank the panel for your time and dedication and your time to give us that input and hopefully we'll be mailing it to

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

you as the commission (Recess taken)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONTOYA: I quess we'll get the panel started again. Our next panel will deal with the impact of employers and what we've done in this instance in setting up this panel its invited members, executive directors or presidents of associations and groups that represent a big element of employers and we've sort of gotten as many on the panel, in fact five members, as we can, to try to give us this information as to what they have done with their associations, what their membership is encountering, what they see at present, what they foresee for the future as a problem or whatever it is with the new immigration law and we'll start with Bob and just go down the line.

MR. TINNIN: My name is Bob Tinnin. afraid you are going to have to put up with another attorney. I am an attorney, I am here representing the Association of Commerce and Industry. We are their attorneys. I also have had experience with a number of employers as to inquiries which they have submitted concerning compliance, particularly with the sanctions portions and the discrimination

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357



portion	ns	οf	the	ac	t.	I	th	ink	it	is	fai	ir	to	say	y at
least	in	New	Me	xic	٥,	con	tr	ary	to	wha	at :	I h	ave	e re	e a d
elsewh	ere	, t	hat	th	ere	ha	s	not	bee	n v	vid€	e s p	rea	ad ;	panic
among	emp	1 o y	ers	co	nce	rni	ng	the	e ac	et g	utt	in	g		
philos	oph	ica	1 d	iff	ere	nc∈	s	whic	ch π	nany	en en	npl	оye	ers	may
have h	ad,	рa	rti	cul	arl	y t	he	emj	ploy	ers	s sa	a n c	tic	ns	
portio	n o	f t	he	act	a n	d t	ha	t a	ppro	ach	n to	owa	rd	an	
immigr	ati	o n	pol	ісу	or	igi	na	11y	and	l th	ne :	E a c	t 1	that	t
they h	ave	nc	w a	ссе	pte	d t	ha	t t	nat	is	the	∍ 1	aw,	, i	n my
view,	and	fr	om	wha	t I	h a	ve	e x j	peri	end	ed	th	us	fa	r,
there	doe	s n	ot	see	m t	o b	е	a n y	rea	al n	najo	or	pro	oble	em s
other	tha	n t	hos	e w	hic	h r	el	ate	to	cor	ice:	rn	ьу		
employ	ers	re	gar	din	g t	he	bu	rde	ns v	vhic	ch v	wil	.1 1	o e	
placed	uр	on	the	em w	ith	re	eg a	rd	to 1	eco	ord	k∈	ep:	i ng	and
monito	rin	g a	nd	the	ir	res	po	nsi	bili	ty	for	r t	hos	se	
matter	s a	nd	cor	cer	ns	and	l c	onf	usio	on l	oeca	a u s	e o	of ·	the
lack o	f e	duc	ati	on,	th	e e	eđu	cat	ion	pei	cio	đ,	pr:	ima	rily.
		As	I'n	ı su	re	mos	st	οf	the	par	nel	is	a t	war (	e,
+ h o o d		+ i -		:	~ <del>4</del>		. ~	aha	a	د جہ	L . 1	<b>.</b>		~ L	

education period scheduled to was started quite a long time ago and in fact the final I-9 forms, and the booklet were only very recently mailed. There are a lot of employers out there who know that this act is there but they still really don't know what they need to do to comply with it and I would suggest that if there is a pattern of

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



discrimination which is arising at this point in time, it is because those employers are out there trying to avoid violating the act and are indeed doing what is prohibited by the act and that is firing anybody with a foreign accent who looks like they may be an alien and they think they are going to comply with the act this way. Obviously, these are people who have not gone through the proper education.

I would also suggest that if we extend the education period, or after a period of time when employers do become familiar with the act, you will find that that person, if one is indeed arising, will somewhat dissipate, hopefully will disappear. With those general remarks I think I could address sort of a laundry list of .concerns and they all fall within one of those two sort of concerns that relate to fears of employers, areas where we need education and I think areas where there is a conflict or a potential conflict between the regulations and the law primarily, in my view, and you heard it expressed before, I will express it again.

The regulations are not well drafted because there was not enough time. The legislation

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Baron Data «

was enacted very hurriedly. I think it is unclear in some places, and we're going to find some problems with this legislation as we continue the implementation period. First of all, there is of course a

potential conflict between the provisions of the Immigration Reform Act and Title 7 and Section 1981, particularly the act itself. An employer reading the act will see that the act says where you have a citizen and a noncitizen that are equally qualified you may discriminate in favor of the citizen.

The act doesn't say and the regulations don't tell you that the EEOC will say that could be a violation of Title 7. I think that is one area where certainly employers need to know that reading something in the act that says it's okay to discriminate, doesn't mean that it's okay under other laws, it's only okay under that law.

There is concern I think not only among employers but certainly among the legal profession generally, including the American Bar Association over the lack of subpoena requirements in investigations. We went through this same thing with OSHA and ended up having to get court decisions saying you had to have probable cause and

24

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Baron Data ®

I don't think under any piece of legislation, no matter what the intended purpose is in the country, we want to get to the point where the government can come any time they want to and look into your private business, they've got to have probable cause to do so, and I think you will probably see litigation in this particular area.

The regulations themselves in another area would seem to contradict and perhaps amend the provisions of the act itself. The act provides that an unfair discrimination practice can only go back 180 days from the filing of the charge. regulations in my view and I think in the view of many others, contradicted that provision by saying that if you file a defective charge, then you can go back 180 days from the date on which the Special Counsel requests information and 45 days from that day.

Another area is that obviously because of the education problems and the lack of education, as some of you may know, the Senate Appropriations Committee has already recommended the passage of an amendment in the appropriations bill which would delay the date for employer sanctions to October 1. I think that most employer associations certainly

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

support that sort of an amendment by regulation. The INS has indicated they are not going to go until September 1, anyway, they are not going to try enforcement I think that the October 1 date would make most employer associations and employers feel much more comfortable because we still need an awful lot of education out there if we are going to avoid problems of noncompliance in the discrimination.

There is always a danger that employers attempt to comply, again going to the education, will result in violations of other laws and I look particularly at the fact that as you know, the employer is required to obtain the I-9 information. Again what you are not told in the information you. get regarding the immigration act is, that if you take that I-9 information and put it in the employee's personnel file, the EEOC is going to tell you that you are violating Title 7 because you are obtaining information which is not relevant or necessary to the employment relationship concerning a person's national origin. In many cases it would also indicate their race or age or anything else and is only relevant to compliance with the immigration act and employers need to be told and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Certified Shorthand Reporters

probably I think they need to be told in the educational information distributed by the INS, that that information needs to be kept in separate files, there are going to be a lot of employers get in trouble with that.

I think many employers and employer associations lament the fact that the act itself does not provide for any form of conciliation before the issuance of a complaint and a hearing.

I think that the experience under Title 7 where there is a statutory conciliation procedure has shown that most cases, even when there is a cause finding and in most cases a hearing can be avoided and full compliance achieved through the use of a conciliation process. I am at a loss to see why that sort of a provision was not placed in the sanctions section, particularly the anti-discrimination portions of the act.

One other area where the regulations are attempting to take care of procedures that has caused some problems and some concern is the fact -well, it actually is permitted by the statute. regulations expanded upon it but the fact that no matter what happens with the Special Counsel, a person who even has a frivolous complaint that is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

ultimately found frivolous is entitled to take the case for a full hearing.

A lot of employers are very concerned that they are going to have cases with disgruntled employees or perhaps even in the case of a union organizational drive that can be used as a tool to force an employer to cave in to certain demands by showing them it's going to cost them an awful lot to fight it even if there is no merit to a complaint.

The time for hearings and preparation for a hearing once the complaint is issued is woefully inadequate. The statute simply says that the hearing shall not be less than five days from the issuance of a complaint, the regulations provide 15 days. . I think that any fair-minded person in looking at trying to put together a national origin case needs more than 15 days and certainly in a complex case, from the time you receive the notice that the complaint is issued to the time you have to go to hearing, particularly if you are going to retain counsel, that just simply is not sufficient time. In any court case you get at least 30 days or 20 days to answer before you even get a hearing set.

#### SUITE 301 — 70<sup>°</sup> (505) 247-4357 Baron Data

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERGUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

One more comment. The remedies sections which are in the act sometimes get in my mind very mixed up to where you can come up with rather anomalous results. In a case, for example, where one discharged an alien who was unlawful or who was lawful but he discharged him in violation of the act, if you had another valid reason for discharging that person, the ALJ cannot order reinstatement or back pay but he can invoke all of the other compliance mechanisms in the act, which doesn't seem to make a whole lot of sense to me.

Employers who are worried about scrutiny, they are told that they don't have to go beyond the documents themselves. I think many employers are concerned that they really have to try to determine whether a document is false or not but if you go too far and you decide that a document is false so that you are not going to permit someone to work because they haven't provided the proper verification and if it later turns out you are wrong, you are in trouble. That is another anomalous provision which I think is just another example of the the whole list of what I consider to be relatively minor problems in the context of a very, very important broad-sweeping act.

Associ

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Baron Data problems which can be worked out and I think will be worked out if employers are given time for education and if the regulations are massaged to where they do not have an undue impact, an economic impact, on employers in their compliance efforts. Thank you.

Thank you, Bob.

Jack?

MR. RUGGS: I'm Jack Ruggs with the New Mexico Restaurant Association and practically all of the concerns that the National Restaurant Association and the state association have is echoed by the previous statement.

MR. MONTOYA:

I came here to describe maybe the feeling of the average restaurant owner on the street and I think he still thinks he's in a honeymoon, he's going to get another 30 day reprieve and he's not addressing these. Confusion, confusion, confusion is our telephone calls. I just had my office manager make a few calls with local restaurants today, just asked management, "Have you got your I-9s?" Eight of them said, "What is an I-9?" Two of them knew that it was supposed to be in the mail and it wasn't there yet. This really concerns me and maybe rattles my cage.

Our last April, May and June newsletters

Associated (505) 247-4357 SUITE 301

BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have addressed as best we could, with information from the national restaurant association, the immigration regulations. As all of you know, every restaurant in the State of New Mexico is not a member of ours and I don't know what percentage reads our newsletter but confusion is the answer.

One of the horror stories that I have for you is that a friend of mine that couldn't produce a birth certificate was laid off two days until he had one made and he was an Anglo. Until he had his mother find one in Kentucky because they thought that he had to have a birth certificate. He had in his possession a Baptist church record of his birth. Again, confusion.

The fear is the penalty clauses and the confusion about the amount and especially the criminal part of the third offense type of proposal. Most of my people, I don't find them that think that this law shouldn't be. They don't feel like they've got the information. We're an industry that has been struggling with the IRS in tip reporting for the last three years with a tip reporting regulation that is hard to fill out and they are just kind of throwing up their hands and saying we've got another one that's going to give

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 Court Reporters, Inc. Associated Court Rep

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

us two or three years to figure out. This one is, I don't think, going to be as tolerant as the IRS has been with their 857 and with that and reemphasizing my support for the previous statement. I stand for questions and I thank you for the invitation.

> MR. MONTOYA: Ray?

MR. DAVENPORT: Thank you, Vince. I'm Ray Davenport with the New Mexico Hotel and Motel Association and Mr. Tinnin is indeed a hard act to He certainly has precision in his comments about the force and effect of the new law and regulations.

The National Hotel-Motel Association, I've just come from their annual convention in San Francisco just a few minutes ago, and this was a great topic of concern at that nationwide meeting and it has been here in New Mexico, as well. guess Congress finally did the best they could about something that's been a long-standing problem in this country and we're trying as best we can to comply with not only the letter but the spirit of the new law and regulations and hopefully assist those desirous of resident status and ultimately citizen status in the United States and certainly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 -4357

Baron Data

want to do everything we can to understand what's going on and facilitate the implementation in any way that we possibly can.

One of our great concerns has been alleviated and that was in the rehire process. way the regulations stood at first, we would have had to complete the I-9s every time we rehired somebody even though their absence from our premises might have been only a short while.

In many cases large hotels with banquet facilities don't keep people on a permanent staff but they sort of come and go and the regulations now have been changed to permit us, as long as we keep an I-9 on file for three years, we can continue to hire and rehire that same person an unlimited number of times within that three-year time period, so that's a concession which has been most helpful to us.

Like Mr. Tinnin explains, we too have a grave concern over the inspection of records provision without subpoena and the three-day notice might have sounded generous to a regulation draftsperson but I'm not sure that that would work in every case as easily as it is hoped and I have some grave concern in that area.

### Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Baron Data ®

Like Jack says, we hope that all those concerned with the implementation of this law will continue the education process because I don't think, as Jack has suggested, that it's sunk in yet and I think it will be a long time before it does and I hope that part of that education process will be a go-easy-on-penalty kind of thing until it's totally understood by not only the applicants for resident status but those of us who are employers, I think for the act to be as effective as the Congress hopes it will, to begin to turn the immigration problem around somewhat, I think that is an absolute must that there be a continued heavy emphasis on education.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you.

MR. MAXWELL: I'm Lawrence Maxwell. Ι represent the Associated Contractors of New Mexico. We're the trade association that represents the highway, heavy, municipal utility construction industry in New Mexico.

We, too, have some very real concerns about this act. I won't try to repeat the comments that Bob and Jack and Ray have gone into. They do touch into our industry, as well.

There is something still further that

## Associated Court Rep Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

Baron Data

impacts upon us and it's a very dangerous crossfire that our industry is caught in, in particular.

Under federal legislation, our industry is mandated in federal highway construction and other federal related construction to hire minorities at a certain mandated percentage within certain areas. We are mandated to do that. Now, let's give you a little education in the highway construction business.

When you receive the job you are given a notice to proceed, you have a certain stated period of time to get the job done or you have a certain date by which the job must be completed. run over that date, then you are given penalties. In other words, from your contract, is deducted a certain amount of money. This is just sort of a rough little way of phrasing it, not the way that my esteemed co-counsel Bob Tinnin would phrase it, but that is essentially what we're talking about. You do not complete your job on time, you are penalized. So consequently there is a premium on performance. A lot of these jobs are out in the middle of nowhere, places where you and I as New Mexicans drive on a daily basis. You need to hire When your foreman shows up at the job at

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

11 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

We offer Microtranscription<sup>TM</sup> by

6:00 in the morning and you've got a bunch of trucks out there with asphalt and that stuff has to be laid and laid pretty promptly or else it's wasted, which is an additional cost, you have to have people on the job and sometimes it's a little difficult when you have a foreman who may not understand some things like the fancy law passed in Washington, D.C., to be able to expeditiously hire somebody and make sure that you have somebody who is in fact an American or not an illegal alien.

Realistically speaking, it is a hairy, hairy crossfire because if you don't hire enough minorities and let's face it, New Mexico is blessed with a large percentage and mixture of population, but we're heavily populated with Hispanics. is going to be an assumption and particularly in the southern part of the state, closer to our border, that more Hispanics will be hired, consequently there will be an intense focus probably placed on New Mexico highway contractors on their Hispanic population? Is this fair? don't know. But certainly the concerns that Bob spoke about with regards to violations of Title 7 are very real to our members. It's a concern and the penalty portions when they come back in may not

#### Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

be a realistic one in the way they address these needs in the highway construction industry.

For those kinds of reasons, our members probably are philosophically opposed to having the business industry being the enforcement of a government policy, a policy that may well not be in the best interests of the business community.

The paperwork does seem to be a bit of an overkill. We have to keep the records on the employees for any number of reasons and as Bob alluded earlier and in fact pointed out, you put the wrong document in the wrong file, have you invited yourself a case of discrimination? don't know. We do know that the regulations do not seem to be complete on this. We do know that and what we have recommended to our members, at the minimum, let's get a social security number on the employee, let's check that document and let's take a look at a driver's license, see if we have those Is this a good-faith effort? types of things. hope so. We are not sure. The regulations really don't tell you what is a good-faith effort. rebuttable presumption that you very well essentially met the determinations and the requirements of the act but it's rebuttable, which

## Certified Shorthand Reporters

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25-

means it's not conclusive, which means you still may have yourself exposed to liability. concern in the industry and I must point out again that is very mobile, of necessity and we're performance oriented and response to hiring needs has to be instantaeous.

With this in mind, we have still tried at this time, to provide our members with the current I-9 and with the recommended manners of how to try to comply with the law, but it is something that is a serious concern in the highway industry, particularly when we are mandated to hire a certain percentage of minorities.

So, we think that unfortunately this law could possibly have the effect of targeting Hispanics, in particular in the southern part of New Mexico, for an analysis of whether or not they are illegal aliens or nonillegal aliens. very dangerous crossfire.

> MR. MONTOYA: Thank you.

MR. MOCHO: I'm Peter Mocho, and I am here on behalf of the New Mexico Cattle Growers Association but I also own a feed lot and a feed manufacturing plant south of town and since the agricultural policies in the immigration law have

#### Certified Shorthand Reporters Associated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

not yet been completely spelled out, I've kind of prepared more or less of a philosophical viewpoint on how I perceive the situation to be. We have long had an immigration policy in

It was a rigid one and had many complications but to those who persevered because the system was basically a just one, the immigrant benefited as well as the nation, which experienced the underrestrained energies of the people who came to build a nation that promised freedom and justice for all. In the neglect of power, past immigration policies since World War II has allowed abuse of the system to the point that it is now a knotty problem in the 1980s. I believe a return to the rigid immigration policies of the past would better serve the nation than this new immigration law.

The new law is built on the pretext of preserving jobs for American workers who have economically ascended above the laborers' tasks, commonly referred to as stoop labor and yet these tasks are basic to our comforts and our needs. social programs for the needy and underemployed enable the American worker to refuse to collect the garbage, dig the ditches, herd the sheep, harvest the crops, scrub the floors and perform the many

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters
Suite 301 – 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUEHQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102

We offer We BaronDa

tasks our school teachers proclaim to be undignified work.

I say to you that any labor that serves our fellow man is dignified work.

These untitled and laborers jobs have always been the starting point for immigrants. The immigrants from this lowly start have gone ahead to become the farmers and ranchers, the merchants and the brokers, the doctors and the lawyers, the industrialists and financiers and even bureaucrats and politicians who realize a fulfillment of their dreams and they are grateful for the freedom and the opportunity.

This new law will seriously affect the economy of the nation, particularly in agriculture. Besides, it persecutes the illegal alien, it prosecutes the employer and it blames them both for the problem, when it is totally the ineptitude of government that allowed violations of established immigration policies.

Most seriously hurt will be the alien who, having entered the country because of its neglected policies, avail themselves of our frail system to enter illegally into the seemingly unconcerned country, hoping to gain a new start to a better

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

life.

Associated Court Reporters, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
SUITE 301 — 707 BRDADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
(505) 247-4357

seriously damaged if this source of labor is cut Already the media is reporting the ruined crops in California and Oregon as well as hardships in other areas of commerce who have come to be dependent on alien labor. We have Spanish Americans in our employ, both native-raised as well as alien employees. I am often questioned by them about their hopes for the future. The native-born resent the questioning of their allegiance and with the alien, already many have disappeared, abandoning their jobs and in some case even their families while others are being prayed upon by the unscrupulous opportunists who promise to help them acquire legality under the new law that as yet has not developed all of its regulations.

Many of our nation's enterprises will be

The economic and mental anguish these people suffer as a result of the proposal under the new law denies America's claim of being a caring and compassionate people who believe in the human dignity of mankind.

If these people came to the United States as a result of our neglect, if we would enforce the immigration policy, it is the government itself

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

that should bear the burden of responsibility instead of these sincere people and the employers who provide these jobs.

Amnesty for the illegal immigrant to continue his residency here is a price that we are asked to pay for our failed policies and it represents the only just exclusion to the present problems but at the same time it unfairly treats those applicants from foreign countries who have applied for legal immigration permits under the code as allowed in the various countries. experience in a business that is subject to the wage an hour law has seen the alien to have been paid at least a minimum wage, his social security and other taxes have been deducted through the payroll deduction requirements of the Internal Revenue Service, but I will admit that in a few instances, fly-by-night operators have imposed unjustly on the alien. The increased willingness of foreigners to come to this United States illegally tells us that they believe the opportunities are far more promising than the dangers of employer abuse.

Without citing specific instances of imposition in which we still have no experience

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Certified Shorthand Reporters

🕦 Baron*Data*®

against employers, the list of abuses of employers by government generally is growing intolerably. The number of unproductive hours of effort involved in maintaining records for government departments is becoming monumental, adding costs to the goods and services which I personally believe are disproportionate to their work.

Under our constitution, a man is innocent until proven quilty. Not so with government agencies. Beginning with the Internal Revenue Service and now including the immigration service with its new authority, who will accuse of an infraction of some rule or regulation and you are guilty before the facts and circumstances are It is always at the employer's expense that he must prove his innocence. When an employer makes a mistake he pays. When the government makes a mistake it's still the employer who pays.

Already we employers are spending many nours in research preparing labor and payroll records for the alien employee who is being required to furnish such information to the INS, with the government agencies who have the authority to impose administrative penalties against employers. Just the requirement to prepare such a

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Certified Shorthand Reporters

report subject to inadvertant error is intimidating.

The indecision in the makeup, the Immigration Reform and Control Act, particularly as to the agricultural H2A program is of great concern to many people in agriculture who have traditionally used great numbers of alien employees.

Congress intended that the need for agricultural workers would be filled by the special agricultural program and the H2A program and it has still not been completed.

Also as a key element of IRCA, Congress mandated criminal sanctions against employers who hire illegal aliens. It seems to many of us that the central focus is on the sanctions and not the solution to the needs of the workers and employers.

We are disturbed by some of the things we hear in the proposed regulations presented by the Department of Agriculture who have suggested that only temporary agricultural workers be allowed and those are workers who are here serving less than 12 months at a time. This definition would preclude most of New Mexico agricultural industries whose primary agricultural efforts is the production of cattle, sheep, dairy and certain horticultural industries whose husbandry require year-long labor

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 We offer We stern by Baron Data

efforts. The suggestion would destroy the system that has been in place for many years and will defeat the system Congress intended when they passed the IRCA.

It is truly an injustice on employers to

It is truly an injustice on employers to be responsible for the implementation and reporting requirements. They will not in the long run replace or correct the failed government policies which the IRCA of 1986 proposes to accomplish.

Our costs in money, while significant, is not as great as the misuse of employers' time and talent away from his business obligations to attend to some government regulations that affords him no benefit.

I speak primarily for small businesses who have no need for nor can they justify large legal staffs just to deal with these mandates by government. Thank you for allowing me to comment on the matter.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you, Pete. I will now go to questions from the panel. Are there any questions? I have just a couple. Jack, your industry, and you made a statement -- what percentage, and I'm not talking in numbers, let's put it that way, of the restaurants are registered

Certified Shorthand Reporters
SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO B7102

BaronData (505) 247-4357

with your association? Because I can see the small restaurant that isn't a member or whatever it is, they only get information from the news media or whatever.

MR. RUGGS: About 28 percent of the restaurants in New Mexico are members of ours.

That is confusing in the fact that we call Furr's Cafeteria one member and they have 13 operations.

McDonalds are one member. If I'm testifying in Santa Fe, I say we represent 60 to 70 percent of the food prepared in New Mexico, because a lot of bigger operators are --

MR. MONTOYA: I'm just talking individual entities, whether it be five employees or 100 employees. About 28 percent?

MR. RUGGS: Yes.

MR. MONTOYA: Roughly?

MR. RUGGS: Yes, roughly.

MR. MONTOYA: Ray, in your area also you have the membership of the hotel-motel, right?

MR. DAVENPORT: We represent about half the hotel rooms in New Mexico but that's made up of about 25 percent of the business entities.

MR. MONTOYA: Okay. I know a little bit more about your operation. Let me get back because

### SUITE 301 — 707 BROAOWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters Baron*Data*®

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are required through the wage an hour act. a federal project not only numbers and participation of minorities, but also wages, this type of deal. In administering or implementing this act, side-stepping the fact that you are scattered all over the state in rural areas where you do have to hire what's available in that area, a big job and they are superintendents and above, other than the local labor, comes usually from the local area depending on the local project.

On the audit part of it, you know, the separation of documents so that INS has the subpoena power or investigative power, I guess, to go to the employer documents to ascertain.the I-9s are there and documentation is there versus the employer file where you keep social security and stuff like that, all other pertinent data. problem is it to fill out all these forms? problems are your people going to have in the outlying areas if your central office is in, say, Albuquerque or Santa Fe or Las Cruces and you are hiring in Reserve or someplace else?

> Vince, our association MR. MAXWELL:

you have a lot of other reporting requirements that If it's a lot of people don't move with the job unless it's

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 😭 Baron*Data*® 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

represents probably, of the highway work done in New Mexico, utility, municipal utility and industrial type work, which would be your dams and things like that, probably 90 percent of the employers and as you've pointed out, our members work all over the state and when you set up a place to hire, you're not stocking it like you would the You are not having filing cabinets home office. which have all the various forms and procedures, and you're not stocking it with the type of an individual who is going to be in the main office that you might walk into, your personnel office. You're stocking it with a project superintendent, a Somebody who's probably risen project manager. through the ranks and hopefully, for the company's concern, is a little bit of street smart with regards to building and running a highway operation.

Consequently, you are going to have probably an application for employment at that office and you're going to have to keep on file these employment applications, obviously for the other requirements on the job. So, you're not going to be setting it up in a dual file, let's say a jacket like I have here, with another file that says "INS" on it. You are going to be setting up a

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO B7102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

form or some type of a folder that's going to have all the information on one individual. Frankly, we have recommended to our members, don't get the INS on somebody unless you are going to offer them a job, because about the only way you can keep from hopefully stumbling on a discrimination charge for not hiring, is if you didn't request that so that you can't have that in there where it got crossmixed with the form.

So you hope that somebody, in his desire to meet the requirements, doesn't get something So, in that regard, if you crosswise there. collect the papers, then you've got to hope that it gets back to the main office where all the papers have to be stored, are in the proper file. more faith that Santa Claus will be here on December 25th than that happening. This is the real world we're talking about.

I look out in the audience, I don't know what all these people do for a living, but that's the way it is. You've got to just hope to God that the files in the project get back there and the most important documents that the contractor is going to get back first are going to be those that actually pertain to the construction of the job

Baron Data

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUÈ, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because that's where their livelihood comes from, on meeting those requirements and you hope that the personnel files get back also.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. Have any of you within your membership, on the concerns of your members in relationship to the sanctions, without the guidelines of thorough orientation, let's put it that way, have any of you been involved or have any of them had to lay off, you know, groups of employees just for the fact they are not taking the chance of after November 6th, being sanctioned for whatever reason? Have any of you been contacted by any of your groups in relationship to that?

MR. MOCHO: The sanctions are not effective until after September, anyway.

MR. MONTOYA: What I was saying, Pete, is: Some employers might be afraid they don't have all those rules and regulations and I'm just wondering if we've had, I don't say one or two but I mean sort of mass firings of a group because we don't know what they are going to come down on us with. We know the law is here, we know we have to have an I-9 on anybody after November 6th. We don't even have the rules and regulations. What do we do?

> · MR. TINNIN: The problem is, I think most

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Associated Court Reporters, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

🞥 Baron*Data*®

of the people you have here, if they received a call from somebody they would have told them they wouldn't have to do that, it's the people who don't feel they have anybody to call, who is not a member of an association, a small employer, in many cases a fly-by-night employer who is liable to discriminate, who has been paying below the minimum You read nationally this is happening but I don't think any of us here would have the experience.

> MR. MONTOYA: Because of the association.

MR. RUGGS: I have had calls and they've described their situation where the only answer was eventually that they are going to have to lay this person off. As far as them calling me back and saying this guy was illegal and I laid him off, after I explained the rules and through the conversation, I knew they had an illegal that they were going to have to dispose of. I have had three or four calls in the last two months that that was going to be the ultimate answer but I haven't known that that happened, no.

MR. MOCHO: I'd like to point out another situation that can be problematic. organization, summertime is a very lax time and we

Baron Data •

Associated Court Reporters, Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters
SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERGUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
(505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our position in the past has always been that the last hired is the first fired but this year, we altered that a little bit because we had a few employees who could not afford us any type of documentation so we laid them off first and I'm already getting repercussions. They are calling counsel and everything else trying to bring a discrimination suit against me. What is a fellow supposed to do? I took what I thought was the most reasonable out when we cut back on our work force.

always have to terminate a few jobs.

MR. BESSERA: Gentlemen, in hearing what you are saying today, one of the things that obviously comes out is the education aspect of this. ke had Mr. Brown earlier this morning or earlier this afternoon and he .indicated that the INS has had groups come in where they have tried to let the employers know exactly what these, particularly in your situation, employer sanctions are all about and as you all know there is a grace period during which you are not going to be given a fine or There will be a warning period, basically is what we're talking about, which is about six months after the implementation of the Domenici Amendment, which is October 1st, 1987, and we



20

21

22

23

24

25

1

talked about September. I know that's come up.

That's what the INS is talking about but the reality of life is what Congress decides is going to be the date. Keeping that in mind, have any of you taken advantage of this at this point and had them come over and talk to your members? One of you I understand just came back from a national convention and I don't know whether or not they were there and talked to you about it because I think this is very important. All of you don't represent everyone but I think you represent a good amount of individuals that in turn can let other people know exactly what's going on. As you well pointed out, there is a lot of confusion and major problems at this point -- I'm just interested in seeing whether or not any of you or all of you have had the opportunity to get the INS to come over and explain to your association members and fellow employers what's going on as far as the bill is concerned.

> MR. MONTOYA: Pete?

MR. MOCHO: The New Mexico Cattle Growers Association, at our quarterly meeting in Ruidoso on April the 4th and 5th, had a person from the INS out of El Paso to come and talk to us about the

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We otter Wicrotranscription<sup>TM</sup> by **SS BaronDafa**®

immigration problems and at that time apparently the only thing that had been developed was the employer sanctions, because she spent over an hour of the time talking about what the penalties were going to be if we violated the laws and our membership left there thoroughly disgusted.

> MR. MONTOYA: Thank you.

MR. TINNIN: The Association of Commerce and Industry has been very active in having orientation meetings on the subject and to go a little but further, I know in my own firm, we had a seminar for our clients, as employers, to inform them of their obligations.

One of the primary problems is in this sort of rush judgment to get this act into effect, you are trying to educate somebody on something that doesn't exist. We haven't got the regulations finalized yet so it's hard to tell people what their ultimate obligations are going to be under the regulations. Until you know, I think it's very important -- the point has been made by Mr. Ruggs that there are people out there that are putting off compliance with the act I think one of the things is that they are not really putting off compliance with the act, I think there is mass

# Associated Court Reporters, Inc.

SÚITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357 Certified Shorthand Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Baron*Data* ⊛

confusion, it's hard to tell people what the final regulations are going to be.

If we would wait until the final regulations come out and say, okay, here is what you are going to have to comply with, we all know what it is now and you've got six months, I think you would find -- and tell people we are going to rigorously enforce it after six months and put on a very broad informational campaign, I think you would find pretty broad compliance at the end of that six-month period.

MR. BESSERA: Coming from Washington, I can tell you this, that everything you are saying is true. We have a tendency to confuse everyone but ourselves and we never see anything that goes passed the beltway, as to whether or not people really understand it and since you you've talked about this, the deadlines have been changed three times already.

Your comments are well taken because we in turn meet on a weekly basis in Washington with a task force made up of your national organizations and in turn meet with the INS, is what you are telling me now is something I can continuously bring up to them as to what you are telling us here,

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

Microtranscription™ by 🐉 BaronData ◎

so I think it's very important.

MR. MAXWELL: Frank, from our association's standpoint, we have attempted to get out the information on what we know about right now but for most of our members, it really is a situation of we hate to call them the blind, but it's the blind leading the blind or the uninformed trying to lead the uninformed and blind. We simply don't know what the final rules are and we're just, for lack of a better way of phrasing it, we're trying to cover our asses on what we don't even know the thing that's going to rip the skin off.

MR. RUGGS: A comment to Frank's question. We haven't actually set up a seminar. We have your phone number here in town and have consulted with you as well as our national association that had a lot of input at the national level to prepare our newsletters so in a way, our restaurant people don't get together monthly and even our quarterly board meetings doesn't get to the people but yes, we use you and have seen the changes and lived through them and corrected one issue from the other from information your --

MR. BESSERA: Just so you won't get confused, I'm with the US Commission on Civil

We offer We offer Wicrotranscription" by & Baron Data

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

Rights nationally and I will be monitoring on a national scope. So with all due respects to INS, don't link me with a that particular agency.

MR. RUGGS: I'm sorry.

MR. MONTOYA: Ray? I know you have had some training forums.

MR. DAVENPORT: I think we ought to formalize some training for our board people and I have a meeting scheduled in Taos next month and I'll take this occasion to see if I can't get a seminar together and let that be the focus of our board meeting.

MR. MONTOYA: On the audience, we are asking questions or giving comments from 5:00 to 6:00. This is for the advisory committee but we'll be pretty quick and you can talk to us. I want to recognize Mark Douglas who is the Executive Director of the Association of Commerce and Industry and of course, also recognize Mr.

Rodriguez, Ed, who is with the Albuquerque Hispanic Chamber of Commerce and both of them have held forums for their membership. Pight?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me. We were just discussing that and we held two forums, one in Albuquerque and one in Las Cruces. Ed held one

### Associated Court Reporters, Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters
SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
(505) 247-4357



here in Albuquerque and at the two forums we held we had a total of 80, 85 people. We had about 60. You have to understand that New Mexico has some 34,000 businesses, 90 percent of them employ 19 or less individuals. We are truly a small business state and getting information out and word to these people is tough.

I want to thank the panelists and all this record will be available to the participants as we submit it to the US Commission on Civil Rights. We'll take a five-minute break and then we'll let the audience or any members that have signed up, make their presentation and comments.

(Recess)

MR. MONTOYA: Do we have anybody from the

MR. DULLES: We have an individual that we've just spoken to at some length and I think we're going to be able to give him some answer and resolve it. I appreciate him coming down from Grants. He's got a serious problem but Mr. Brown has committed himself to assisting and I'm going to follow up, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MONTOYA: Thank you. Anybody? Okay.

audience that --

SUITE 301 — 707 BROADWAY N.E., ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102 (505) 247-4357

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

🕦 Baron*Data*®

Well, any more statements from anybody? That's it as far as the forum is concerned and I thank the SAC committee. Ms. McCabe, wanted to --I just wanted to make one MS. LOVATO: comment. MR. MONTOYA: What's your name?

MS. LOVATO: Francesca Lovato. About misdemeanor offenses and in addition to what all the other people have said about misdemeanor offenses what I'm finding is: That especially Spanish-speaking applicants for amnesty have failed, while they have been here, to understand the importance of traffic violations and DVI charges so that they do have some misdemeanors. Compounding their lack of understanding that these are serious to us and maybe they are not serious in their own country of origin, they have failed to have legal representation, is what they have told me.

They have gone to court, they have failed to go to court sometimes or if they have gone to court they have failed to have legal representation. Therefore they have gone to court, they have pled quilty because they felt that it was better to plead guilty, pay a small fine and go home and work rather than go through the traditional system of

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

which they have no understanding.

So, to have one of the requirements be that they have only three misdemeanors is unfair to them because of the reasons that I have gone through.

MR. MONTOYA: Okay. Thank you. our SAC members and of course through us this morning was brought the question to our attention which I was not aware of it and Washington also, that our commission, the civil rights commission and some of our staff members have been trying to conduct or hold, I quess, immigration forum meetings on Indian reservations and chairman McDonald has refused their entry, I understand.

We, as SAC members to those individuals, do not know about any of that involvement and of course we have, in our committee now, we have three members that are of Indian decent and as far as we know they have not been contacted either and I want to clear that record because we have not, as the State Advisory Committee, been involved in any of those arrangements, whether it be in Arizona or New Mexico. That's the end of the forum. Thank you for participation and for being here.

(The hearing was concluded at 4:45 p.m.)

STATE OF NEW MEXICO :

: SS. REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

COUNTY OF BERNALILLO :

I, the undersigned Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record, to the best of my ability, of the hearing.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties involved in this matter, and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the cost of the original of this hearing is  $$559 \frac{59}{2}$$ .

DATED this 6th day of July, 1987.

ROBERT I. HAGGARD, CSR

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: August 27th, 1990