= DOWNING & PETERS REPORTING ASSOCIATES = Two City Center, Portland, Maine 04101= =Telephone (207) 772-6221=

ORIGINAL

LIBRARY
4.5. COMMISSION ON COML PIGHTS

UNITED STATES COMMISSION
ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Meeting of the Maine State Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

HEARING

December 2, 1987

246 Deering Avenue Portland, ME 04102

CHAIRPERSON: :

Shirley Elias Ezzy

Reporter: Susan R. Berube, RPR

2

4

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

I would like to call the Civil Rights MS. EZZY: Forum to order. My name is Shirley Elias Ezzy and I'm vice-chair of the Maine Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and I would like to welcome all of you to the Civil Rights Forum here in Portland this afternoon and thank you for coming to participate. all, I would like to introduce to you the members of the Maine Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and starting at my right is Bernard Berube, Ken Morgan, sitting next to him our staff adviser from Washington, D.C. is Ki-Taek Chun, he's the field representative to the Maine Advisory Committee, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, to my immediate left is Bill Burney, sitting next to him is Marshall Stern, and to the far left is Elinor Multer.

Before we — before we start to hear from people that will be participating in the meeting, I would like to mention a couple of things. I have a couple of announcements here. First of all, there is a sign—in sheet that is being circulated and we would like to ask everybody that is here to please sign this sign—in sheet as it goes around. We also have a mailing list sign—up sheet. If anybody is interested in being on the Maine Advisory Committee mailing list for the U.S. Commission on Civil

Rights, please sign your name and your mailing address here and we would be happy to send out any of the announcements of our meetings and publications and that sort of thing that go out from time to time. So why don't we just start that over there and that can be circulated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have a number of publications in the back of the room that are available if you're interested. This forum that we're holding on civil rights is -- is actually somewhat of a follow up to a forum that we held in Augusta back in 1979 and the publication that came out of that forum is this publication, it's Civil Rights in Maine, and copies of this are available in the back of the room. There also are a few other publications back there. There is Recent Activities Against Citizens and Residents of Asian Descent is in the back of the room, there is a publication of the periodical that is published by the Commission on Civil Rights called New Perspectives and copies of this are available to anybody that is interested. We also have a publication, Federal Enforcement of Equal Employment Requirements, and this is a publication that is up there in the back; and the last publication that we have on hand here this afternoon is the Economic Status of Americans of Southern and Eastern European Ancestry, this publication, and this is available to anybody that might be interested in picking up a copy.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We'll ask our speakers — we're following the agenda and there are copies of that in the back of the room, also. We'll ask our speakers to please step up to the podium when they are addressing us and introduce themselves. We need to remind speakers to refrain from making defaming and degrading comments. That doesn't need to be said but that is something we need to — we need to put in for the record.

I would like to just give you a little background on the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and the state advisory committees, if I may. So if you could bear with me for just a moment, I would just really like to read a summary to you of what the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is and particularly the state advisory committees. The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights was created by the Civil Rights It's an independent, bipartisan agency of the Act of 1957. executive branch of the Federal Government. By the terms of the act as amended the Commission is charged with the following duties pertaining to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws based on race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin or the administration of justice, investigation of individuals, discriminatory denials of the right to vote, study of legal developments with respect to discrimination or denials, the equal protection of the laws, appraisals of the laws and policies

of the United States with respect to discrimination or denials of equal protection of the law, maintenance of a national clearinghouse for information respecting discrimination or denials of equal protection of the law, and investigation of patterns or practices of fraud or discrimination in the conduct of federal elections. Commission is also required to submit reports to the President and the Congress at such times as the Commission, the Congress, or the President shall deem desirable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The state advisory committees, there is an advisory committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights in each of the 50 states and in the District of Columbia pursuant to Section 105C of the Civil Rights Act of 1957 as amended. The advisory committees are made up of responsible persons Their function under their who serve without compensation. mandate from the Commission are to advise the Commission of all relevant information concerning their respective states on matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission, advise the Commission on matters of legal concern in the preparation of reports of the Commission to the President and Congress, receive reports, suggestions, and recommendations from individuals, public and private organizations, and public officials on finding matters pertinent to inquiries conducted by the state advisory committee, initiate and forward advice and recommendations

to the Commission upon matters in which the Commission shall request assistance of the state advisory committee, and attend as observers any hearing or conference which the Commission may hold within the state. So that gives you some of the technical background on the advisory committees and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

As a result of this forum today we will be preparing a report for the U.S. Commissioners to report to them the status of civil rights in the State of Maine and we'll also be using the information that we gather here today to help us in setting our priorities for the coming year or two and the activities that we will be pursuing in relation to civil rights issues in the State of Maine. I just might add that we do have a court reporter with us here today who is reporting this forum, Susan Berube. Thanks Susan.

After each person makes their presentation, the members of the Maine Committee will — are free to ask questions of the person making the report and I think that at this point we have pretty much covered everything and I would like to start with our first speaker, Attorney General James Tierney.

MR. TIERNEY: Thank you, Shirley. We have a long agenda today and I can assure you that I was not going to take anything out of my prepared remarks until Shirley's

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

warning about the defamatory material and so the 15 minutes about President Reagan will be deleted and I'll be able to talk to each of you later about the remarks I was going to make. As I look around this room I see, of course, many friends from many years and as I speak on civil rights perhaps I can help by placing some of the discussions in Maine in historical perspective and be somewhat challenging and hopefully revoke response not from just members of the Commission in their report but also from some of the other friends in this room who are listening.

Civil rights of course, began in this country as being synonymous with the struggle for equality of America's Maine has played a long role in that black people. particular struggle. In the Civil War a little known fact is that Maine sent more people to fight in the Civil War on a per capita basis than any other state in the union, north or south, because the people of Maine were touched at that very time with the deep sense of the injustice of slavery. There was no surprise that when I was in high school that the civil rights movement fell on fertile ground in this Some of us were undoubtedly there in 1964 when the Snick Freedom Singers came to Westbrook High School of all places; some of us undoubtedly were in Biddeford when people such as Dorothy Day and James Foreman, Dave Rust, Martin Luther King, Jr., and a 20-year-old Stokeley Carmichael came to Biddeford, Maine to speak to those of us who were there. So it has been a long time for many of us as we have listened and learned and grown in that particular struggle and as your attorney general for the last seven years I can assure you of the obvious that the laws of this state and of this country have moved more in the last 20 years than they have in the previous 200. The national legal barriers have fallen; even Senator Strong Thurman and Governor George Wallace campaigned in favor of the Voting Rights Act seeking black votes in their states and here in the State of Maine the Human Rights Act is alive and well and vigorously prosecuted by an able Commission and staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's been a long time since my former law partner, Pat McTeague, sponsored that bill in the Maine legislature and overcame the initial arguments and fights to bring that basic act to us in Maine. And as it was originally passed it dealt with basically constitutional acts, the issues of race, color, religion, national origin; but soon the Maine legislature branched out and expanded that act to include protection of those who were discriminated against based on sex, physical handicap, age, mental handicap in some cases, children, marital status, because civil rights, my friends, is an evolving process. For some of you it may be easy, for some of you it may be black and white, for you I actually feel some envy that the lines are that clear; but for most

people in this society it's difficult in evolving choices as to where our civil rights movement will move and I would like to share a story with you.

1

 \mathbf{z}

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Attorney generals in this country get together from time to time and four years ago my wife and I were at a convention in Colorado where we had dinner with the attorney general of Tennessee, Mike Cote, and his wife Suzanne. Ιt was a long evening and he told us a number of stories including the time he arranged a phone call between Elvis Presley and Jimmy Carter -- again outside of the scope of this particular hearing but an interesting one to any one of you who want to know the content of that particular discussion -- but the very poignant moment is that Mike Cote as a young lawyer in the City of Memphis is a civil rights lawyer, Mike Cote is white, and black citizens in his community soon found their way to his door; and so in 1968 when the garbage workers went on strike, it was Mike Cote who was the lawyer for that effort, it was Mike Cote who went to court and who was denied the right to have a parade permit, and it was Mike Cote who met with Reverend King and Jose Williams and Andy Young in his hotel room just moments before his assassination. And when this was over and as Mike was telling that story, he looked at me and said I have been a U.S. attorney, I have been a successful attorney, I'm the attorney general of my state, but I look back on that

day and those moments as my highest accomplishment which was a great act and his testimony I think to the legal progress we have made; but then he said to me, and I thought it was very poignant, he said things aren't black and white anymore, things are difficult, it's hard to know where to draw the lines, it's hard to know what is right and what is wrong because as we have made progress in the legal area can any of us really feel that this nation is less segregated than it was 10 or 20 years ago, the wages of black people, the working conditions, the dropout rates in our high schools in the black areas; despite the progress we have made in seeking equal rights for women, can any of us deny the fact of the gap, the wages that are faced.

I saw something at the KKK rally in Rumford when I was there which was very powerful and also again very troubling. When I was there with many of you speaking at the counter rally, I was touched with the number of people who came together, who showed their ability to work together to put aside other differences to point ourselves in the direction of how much we oppose the principles of the Ku Klux Klan; but when I got home I saw something very troubling, I watched on television, and I expected to feel anger at the Ku Klux Klan, but I saw people who were gathered in that field —— I was not there —— and there was hate on their faces, hate for the people beneath the white

sheets, not hate for the principles that the people who wore the white sheets had but hate for the people, there was real anger there, an anger and a rage and a hatred which I feel is not in the long run going to solve our problems. I believe that the fundamental challenges facing civil rights in Maine and in this country are not going to be solved by our laws or our regulations and the lawsuits that I might bring but will only be solved by a change of attitudes which come in our churches and our schools and our political parties, on our main streets and our country clubs and our unions and perhaps most of all in our homes and in our families. But as the attorney general the rest of my remarks will be focused on this question of law and what it means.

I have had the privilege of, and it was a privilege, of recently touring the Soviet Union a month ago. I was able to meet with some of the highest elected officials within their party system. I was also able to go out in the evening and visit in the homes of artists, dissidents, dancers, of writers, and perhaps most poignant into the homes of individuals who have long since applied for the right to immigrant — immigrate from their country to go to their own special promised land of Israel. In talking with them not only did I have a sense of the pain that they were suffering and the lack of human rights which

they sustained on a daily basis, it also helped me look back at my own country to see it in a clear light both our great successes and our great failures.

4.

So as I have gone back and looked at my records as attorney general I have been trying to think in retrospect how we have been able to help civil rights as a concept in the state evolve and one of the areas which is obvious is the area of physical handicap. We continue to receive a significant number of complaints. I'm sure you will hear more about this in a few moments. But after the legislature voted to include physical handicap in our Human Rights Act and as the legislature went home, the work in many ways began.

We found that it was not easy to define discrimination in this area and it was only after a long and treacherous lawsuit against Canadian Pacific Railroad Company that we were able to flush out the outlines of this particular important provisions of the law. In this particular case this particular company had made decisions on three individuals and had either fired them or denied returned employment or denied employment at all based on the fact that they had a physical infirmity which put them in a classification which rendered them suspect for future injury. One was a heavy laborer and had worked as a heavy laborer without difficulty but because he had a heart murmur

Canadian Pacific said he would have a propensity for a heart 1 attack and he was denied employment as a section man. 2 Another one was a cook with a leg brace and although he had 3 had no problems they felt that that was a propensity that he 4 might slip and fall. And the one which has had the most 5 impact on the most Maine people one of them had had a 6 laminectomy and infusion in his back and he was denied even 7 the opportunity to apply for a job because of the propensity 8 that people with those problems have for having physical 9 problems later on. Now in winning that case, of which I'm 10 very proud, perhaps the most important moral, if you will, 11 or element which arose out of it was the fact that civil 12 rights is an individual right. It stops people from 13 putting -- being put in classifications over situations of 14 which they have no control and it was the individualization 15 of the physical handicap issue which was extremely 16 important. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But again that was reactive and the next issue of physical handicap was a great moment which was when the City of South Portland would be required to purchase lifts so that handicapped people, people in wheelchairs, would be able to travel on the city bus system, a long and complicated issue, and it was a very difficult one and it appears in our advocacy for civil rights we have pushed this law to at least its legal limit as our Supreme Court upheld

the action of the Human Rights Commission in the Superior Court by a four to three vote, very unusual for the Maine Supreme Court to defy on the opinion. So what we have perhaps found at the end of that particular envelope, the purpose I'm trying to say is that this civil right became an evolutionary one. From the initial thought that a person certainly shouldn't be fired for a physical handicap to the second thought that that right should extend so that people cannot simply be put in classifications to the third which states that an entity, public or private, must take affirmative actions, affirmative actions, and those are not to be held in violation of our Human Rights Act and it is that evolution which I think is important.

A

Well, in conclusion let me ask you this, as I ask myself this question all the time, where do we go next?

Where does civil rights evolve to or do we spend our time perhaps legitimately going back and being true of discrimination? Already protected is truly protected. The issue of national origin has emerged. National origin in some cases for our friends in the Penobscot and Passamaquoddy Nations who were here before we were a nation down to our newest arrivals, our new friends and new citizens often many from Southeast Asia who even in this city are faced with very serious problems based simply because of where they came from and how they look. That is

one option. Another, not in the alternative, option is to expand the Human Rights Act. A good question of single parents, that particularly disturbs me, is that of civil right. Well, I, as many of you may know, am very much not a single parent, I'm very fortunate to have been married for 19 years and have four children, and yet the issue is a very Is it not true that single parents have troubling one. great difficulty in being hired for particular jobs or perhaps denied promotion in particular jobs much less not be required to live in a particular place not because they are a parent but because they are a single parent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll never forget the story of my former secretary who is a single parent whose son -- we have all had this experience -- forgot to bring his permission slip to school and, therefore, not allowed to go to the Windsor Fair with She called and said she has my permission, I his class. called the principal and said he has my permission, but as you all know having lived in America if we did it for one, we would have to do it for everyone and permission was denied to this young boy. So I said Cathy get in your car -- they were leaving him alone in the school with a custodian -- I said get in your car, go get Gerry, take him to the fair which she did; she missed an hour and a half of But if it had been another secretary even in my own office who would not have been afraid to talk to her

5

3

6

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

employer, if it had been a woman standing at a conveyor belt on a production line, carrying the mail, believe me those problems are real and they're very painful for people. So is that an area in which we should go?

Boes one's physical appearance become an area of a civil right? Skinny people, fat people, tall people, short people are denied jobs frankly based on how they look every We all know it. No one wants to say it; sometimes It's a terrible thing. Is that a civil they say it to me. right? Is that an area we should go? And I would like to challenge you with that. Or should we instead move towards an area which has been well debated in this state, the question of whether or not to incorporate in our Human Rights Act protection for individuals based on their sexual Now there is no doubt I think at this point orientation. after a dozen years of debate that there has been great pain ascribed to all of us as a result of discrimination against gay men and lesbian women in our state and indeed the country, but to place sexual orientation in our Human Rights Act would take a dive legally; and perhaps this is the lawyer in me speaking but two things would have to be defined, the first would be the sexual orientation of the individual.

When it comes to race or age or national origin or physical handicap, the identification of the status of the

person or self-reporting of the status is relatively easy, but in the cold light of American jurisprudence it is difficult, I think, to prove that if it becomes an element in the case without affecting the privacy interest of other sexual partners of the person who is complaining; but even if that is established, a second problem arises which is the definition of the discrimination which would be visited against someone based on sexual orientation. Because it is troubling to me to have investigators from my department, investigators from the Human Rights Commission, going out and interviewing other people by standards in many cases as to their sexual orientation.

1

2

3

Д.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me give you a hypothetical. A person is thrown out of his apartment -- a couple are thrown out of their apartment because according to the complaint before the Human Rights Commission of their sexual orientation. landlord denys it and says they played their radio too loud and besides he rents to five other couples in the building and he has no idea what their sexual orientation are. Is it then time for my lawyers and my investigators to walk the stairs and inquire of people as to their sexual orientation and does that give rise to a privacy issue which is separate and more important than perhaps the discrimination against the person in the first place? Well, separate from questions of religion which are, I personally believe,

fairly easy to deal with, it's been easy for me although less so to feel that the question of privacy outweigh the particular discrimination against the particular class and I think it's an area in which reasonable people can differ.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But let's go back to Mike Cote, let's talk about As a member of the legislature I voted against consistently including sexual orientation in the Human Rights Act. Let's go back to Mike Cote and think about the fact that things are neither black nor white, let's think about the fact that we are in an area where the world evolves, and things are not getting better for the gay community in our state and in our country. It's not the fact that our privacy interests are not still relevant but that there is now a much more serious, much more horrifying problem stalking the land. The number one public health issue facing the people of this country is AIDS. They know it and we know it. Now is AIDS a gay disease? Of course not, but I want to share a story with you.

Several weeks ago I was talking to a professional who must remain nameless because he is on a public commission, he has been appointed, and has been working for several years dealing with the problem of AIDS in Maine. the meeting began, it was a round table discussion, he said that there was many references to the quote at risk community unquote when discussing with the public health

problem of AIDS, the at risk community, those are three words; so about halfway through the meeting someone decided evidently in their own mind to shorten that and refer to it as the gay community, and then the next speaker said the at risk community and a few more speakers and a few speakers later someone used the term the gay community and by the end of the meeting people sitting around the room, some gay, some not were using the term the gay community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like it or not in the public's mind the public health illness of AIDS has been grafted on to the concept of an individual's sexual orientation. That is the reality and because it is the reality it is a worsening situation for gay men and lesbian women in our society that they sustain more intolerance, more discrimination simply because they are in the class themselves; and so for that reason and that worsening situation I for one today would vote in favor of including sexual orientation in our Human Rights Act. this problem -- this evolution as it were has crossed the line for me in saying that this class of individuals clearly deserves protection even if it means we have other problems and the issues of privacy, were this bill to become law as I hope it would, then falls to those of us who must enforce it and enforce in it in such a way that it would be evenhanded and respectful of other peoples' privacy and other peoples' And so at least for me as I share with you life-styles.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is one person's thought, one person's evolution on one concept which should be a civil right and I feel notwithstanding the problems of single parents, notwithstanding the problems of peoples' appearance that it is this issue, the issue of individuals' sexual orientation, which most deserves a problem in our state and is a message which I would like to bring to you.

I would like to close with a personal story which happened to me just a couple of nights ago. Having returned from the Soviet Union probably I was more at least as interested as the rest of you in listening to General Secretary Gorbachev's interview to the United States, but also like many of you the dishes have to be done so I turned up the television set, was doing the dishes in the kitchen, it was the usual quiet night in the Tierney home with the four children and the three cats and the dog and the rabbit and the guinea pig and the two birds that sing mostly during the early morning hours of Robert J. Lercheman and quiet during the rest of the day, it was the usual evening in the Tierney house and I'm doing the dishes and my 13-year-old daughter comes up to me and says, dad, I've got this dumb homework assignment; I've got to memorize something. Well, one of the reasons my kids go to the parochial school is that they will be forced to still somewhere memorize something, and Josie's assignment was the Declaration of

Independence. She said, Dad, listen to me and see how I do and she began -- now picture the irony in this my own life, the voice of the general secretary of the communist party of the Soviet Union is being interviewed for the first time in the free world in an open interview, I feel this is a remarkable opportunity for international peace, I felt the same way when Sadat went to Jerusalem, and the same kind of feeling that perhaps we're on the verge of something very exciting, a breakthrough that would change all of our lives for the better -- and my daughter is going through, We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are indeed created equal, that they're endowed by their creator with unalienable rights, that included in those rights are life and liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Those indeed are our civil rights and those indeed are our challenge. you very much.

MS. EZZY: Jim, I would like to ask you to please come back to the podium on the chance that some of the members of the committee would like to ask you some questions and, Barney, let me start at this end of the table and if -- do you have any added questions?

> MR. BERUBE: No.

MS. EZZY: Ken?

MR. MORGAN: No.

MS. EZZY: Bill?

24

1

2

.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. BURNEY: All set.

-

MS. EZZY: Marshall?

MR. STERN: I just wanted to comment that you mentioned a lot of names to me who are only history remembrances because of their age and --

MR. TIERNEY: That's correct.

MR. STERN: What I would like to know is the nuts and bolts basically of your office. What is the real nature of the complaints that you receive, in what areas and so forth?

MR. TIERNEY: Early in my career as attorney general I took a very hard line of certain areas of enforcement. The statute says that the attorney general for those of you who don't know is the legal arm of the Human Rights Commission. We brought a series of cases and worked very closely and very well with Pat Ryan, John Carnes, and other members of her staff in terms of being sure that when the attorney general takes a case, it is an impact case, that we are trying to say more than simply that there was one person harmed here because I feel it is our responsibility to define in Maine the limits, to be an advocate for civil rights, to push that law, to push the statute, to be a prosecutor, if you will, to push the concept as far as the legislature and the courts would feel appropriate.

So cases are referred from the Human Rights resolution.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commission after their screening process, after mediation, after at least one full hearing, and after a lot of discussion that at this point frankly we are familiar enough with each other that we will be alerted to cases earlier in the process. After long discussions a case will be referred to our office. At that point we still do not bring a lawsuit because oftentimes people think agencies don't really mean it but the credibility of the attorney general is stronger and we attempt settlement again. It's only after those types of situations have failed that we will bring a private action. Now we will -- a public action. We do use prosecutorial discretion. We will not take every single case that comes along for reason that we feel that there may be proof problems, it may not be the best way to prove a particular issue, and we attempt other means of

Now unfortunately the state itself is oftentimes the subject of the complaint. In those situations as counsel for the state I think it would be inappropriate for me to be on both sides of the issues, unethical as an attorney but also we need to provide some stability both for our clients and to the Human Rights Commission. We are very fortunate that the Human Rights Commission has on its staff an outstanding attorney who worked there for several years;

and in those types of cases a division is made early on, my department represents the state agency and John Carnes basically becomes the attorney general in that area, and there also have been times frankly where we have felt that the evidence was not sufficient to going forward but the Commission felt strongly about the matter and I granted permission for the Human Rights Commission using John Carnes to work on the case under our general supervision. We also work closely as a private right of action under the Maine Human Rights laws and we will oftentimes work informally with the private lawyer representing a private litigant in helping with research although it may never show up in the actual pleadings before the court.

MS. EZZY: Elinor?

MS. MULTER: No thanks.

MS. EZZY: Jim, thank you very much.

MR. TIERNEY: Thank you, Shirley.

MS. EZZY: Okay. Our next speaker will be Patricia
Ryan and she is the executive director of the Maine Human
Rights Commission.

MS. RYAN: Good afternoon, I'm Pat Ryan, the executive director of the Maine Human Rights Commission. I was asked today to talk about the Commission which I'll do briefly and leave you with our annual report should you wish to learn more about what we do and then talk a little bit

about issues that we see not only in the cases that we process but issues that we are aware of that we do not necessarily have direct jurisdiction in. The Maine Human Rights Commission is the state agency charged with enforcing Maine's anti-discrimination laws. We receive and investigate complaints in the area of employment, housing, access to public accommodations, credit, and education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Commission itself is a five-member commission appointed by the governor for staggered five-year terms, no more than three members of any one political party. Commission appoints the director, the director in turn is responsible for appointing and supervising the staff. staff and the Commission really functions in four major divisions, investigation, conciliation, legal, and all of the support and administration that's always necessary to keep an organization going. By far the bulk of our work falls in the area of investigation of complaints filed with In the last year over 500 complaints were filed with us. the Commission alleging discrimination in areas in which we During that period of time 580 some have jurisdiction. The majority of our complaints, complaints were resolved. 88 percent of our complaints, are filed in the area of employment. The majority of employment complaints are filed on the basis of sex. Sexual harassment in particular is a Discrimination against women who are pregnant

continues to be a problem. Betsy Sweet I know is addressing you later on this afternoon and I'm sure that she will touch on some of these areas as they particularly relate to women.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Discrimination on the basis of physical handicap is a significant and growing category of cases with the Commission, not in the least part due to some of the factors that the attorney general was discussing with you earlier. The Canadian Pacific decision and the City of South Portland decision has opened opportunities for persons and expanded the perameters through which people can file complaints. might just mention talking about the evolution that the attorney general was talking about in terms of civil rights cases, just a week ago the Commission was given jurisdiction over complaints to be filed by persons who are turned down for employment situations because they have made a claim under the Workers' Compensation Act. Some of those cases we had taken previously as perceived with physical handicap cases and that is, in fact, when an employee turns someone down because they have been out on workers' comp or have filed a claim and they are viewed as a troublemaker, more likely to injure themselves in the future, decisions based on stereotypes and not on that individual factual basis to believe that a person's handicap or disability or injury renders him anymore likely to injure himself on a particular job in the future. One out of every three complaints filed

with the Commission last year were filed on the basis of physical handicap. It's a major — it's a major category. Kathy McGinnis I notice is on your agenda. I don't see her yet today, but I did understand from her office that she would be here and would talk to you in much greater depth about problems related to handicap discrimination.

Age discrimination is our third largest category of complaints. Romaine Turyn is here without a voice but I'm sure that she will convey to you, also, her concerns.

Mostly the complaints we get filed by persons alleging age discrimination are in the area of unemployment, usually termination. Unlike most of our other categories that people will file these are generally white males in their 50s who file age discrimination complaints in employment and credit has become a growing problem for persons when they are denied credit because of their age.

I would like to take the remaining time that is allotted to me and talk about three areas that we have not been able to focus on as fully as we have liked to. One is in the area of housing. Decent, affordable housing is a growing problem in the State of Maine and that impacts particularly significantly on women who are often the heads of households who may be receiving public assistance and who usually have children. Overall we know working women earn substantially less than working men, women are more likely

than men to be single heads of households, and they are more likely than men to be recipients of public assistance. have some statistics that I won't read to you, I will just leave with you about the numbers of women in this situation. It is a problem.

1

 \mathbf{z}

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All of these things, by the way, in housing discrimination against someone because they are female, because of their sex, because of their source of income, because they have children are illegal. The problem is many people in seeking housing don't realize that they are being discriminated against, that they are not being shown units because they receive public assistance, because they have children, because they are female, single heads of households. Those that do realize it are more interested when you're seeking housing and you're seeking a rental situation of getting a place to live in and not of filing a discrimination complaint and pursuing that. This is an area that needs, I think, some greater attention than is being given. We as a Commission through a project are trying to address some of that problem. I think it is an area that is right for involvement by other organizations.

The problems related to hate and violence in the State of Maine, in particular the appearance by the Ku Klux Klan in the state earlier this year, the incidents of violence against members of the gay community, the incidents of violence that we hear about against members of other minorities, refugees. It's a problem. It's an issue that needs to be addressed. Maine has, I think, through coalitions and individuals taken strong positive stands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We need to encourage people to continue to do that.

It's not an area in which the Maine Human Rights Commission has any direct jurisdiction to go into communities and deal with these problems not that we don't have any business at all, but we could use and everybody could use some assistance by calling attention to that situation.

The final one is AIDS. AIDS is construed -- is considered to be a physical handicap under the definition of physical handicap in the Maine Human Rights Act. That is to say someone who is fired from their job because they have AIDS, because they test positive for the virus, because they are perceived to have AIDS is protected if they come to the Maine Human Rights Commission, and we make those individuals -- individual assessments based on that person's ability to perform their job versus the decision that was made usually to terminate that individual. We have jurisdiction in the area of education. AIDS is a physical handicap? the Commission has jurisdiction over physical handicap in the area of education. Access to places of public accommodations, medical facilities, if you have AIDS you're not allowed into the hospital for treatment.

not happened in Maine to my knowledge yet, it's happened in other states; we have jurisidiction. But that's not often -- that's not good enough in this situation. more information, public education, people in the state need to understand more about AIDS, how it's transmitted; understand that in employment situations, in access to medical facilities discrimination against a person with AIDS is a violation of that person's civil rights and more needs We can do it on the enforcement end when to be done. individual cases come to us; by the time though, however, the person with AIDS files a complaint with the Commission if, in fact, they have AIDS, their life span is not a very lengthy one and it seems to be a cruel process to put someone through in their remaining days, if you will. been shown in the few years that we have known about AIDS and in those communities where serious education efforts have been undertaken that problems are alleviated quicker and I think that this committee as well as others can be a very positive force in this area in particular. Thank you.

Thank you very much, Pat. MS. EZZY: We'll start at the other end of the table. Elinor, do you have a question you want to ask?

> MS. MULTER: No.

MR. STERN: Pass.

MR. BURNEY: Yes. Just to follow up on your last

23

1

7

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 25

How do you feel that the Federal Civil Rights Act can support the Maine Human Rights Act; do you feel there is a role there?

Well, there's the Federal Rehabilitation MS. RYAN: Act which is what we would be talking about with regard to physical handicap mirrors the Maine Human Rights Act's definition of physical handicap in that area. person again filing a complaint under your federal law or state law in the area of employment, for example, is protected to the same degree with regard to having the right to file, to be protected from unlawful discrimination in the area of employment. I'm speaking more to the information, education, publication studies creating public awareness.

> MR. BURNEY: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Ken, questions?

MR. MORGAN: Just a quick one. What degree of latitude do you have in instituting any form of investigation or action yourself? I take it that it is mainly in response to complaints that are filed, but do you have any latitude at all?

The Commission has the authority MS. RYAN: We do. to file complaints and we do do that. They are usually done in housing situations. When we believe that there is a discriminatory practice and we don't have a complainant, a person filing the complaint, we will institute a Commission

charge; however, to do a systemic investigation requires We have a staff of five considerable resources. investigators and as I've said we handled over 500 complaints last year. If I were to change anything with the Commission, it would be to add some resources to do some systemic classwide kinds of investigation. It's possible, we have the authority and power to do it; we don't have the resources to do it on any sustaining basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BERUBE: You said that education is obviously within your jurisdiction in your charge. You didn't mention it much in the way of at least statistically in terms of the kinds of complaints that you get. Do you get any in the area of national origin?

MS. RYAN: In education we only have jurisdiction with regard to sex and physical handicap.

MR. BERUBE: Why do you not in national origin? MS. RYAN: When the law was amended four years ago I believe we had no jurisdiction for education and at that point some of you may be aware that there was a decision at the federal level interpreting Title 9 which is the sex equity counterpart which said that only the program to which the federal money flowed was responsible for not discriminating on the basis of sex and there was a feeling in the State of Maine that -- that that was not necessarily good enough and so the law was specifically expanded only to

It was only during the last session of the deal with sex. legislature that physical handicap was added and came under our jurisdiction. The simple answer I think to your question is it has not been proposed. MR. BERUBE: Thank you. MS. EZZY: Okay. Pat, I would like to ask a couple Prior to our forum today I reviewed the of questions, also. report that we had a few years ago on civil rights in Maine and we did have a status of the Maine Human Rights Commission and some tables that showed us what the areas of complaints were and so forth. One of the things at that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RYAN: One.

attorneys on your staff now --

MS. EZZY: -- that are independent from the attorney general's office or are they part of the AG staff?

time that the Human Rights Commission was interested in was

Am I correct in hearing that you do have

getting independent legal counsel for the Human Rights

MS. EZZY: No, the attorney that we have on our staff is Commission counsel, he belongs to the Commission, and as the attorney general had mentioned earlier we work closely with his office. We do not work closely with his office when complaints are filed against state agencies since he is — his office is the legal office representing those agencies; but yes, we have independent counsel for

that conflict reason and yet we work closely together on litigating private employers.

MS. EZZY: Okay. You mentioned housing discrimination, talked about single women, women on public assistance and so forth. It's my understanding that there has been recent legislation passed to try to address this issue of housing discrimination, renting to families with children, and could you elaborate a little bit on this and I'm wondering if the issue is more a question of enforcement of the laws or do you feel that we need additional laws to address the issue?

MS. RYAN: I think there need to be additional laws on the federal level clearly. Source of income and children are not protected, for example, on the federal level with HUD; sex is. I don't think we need additional laws in the State of Maine with — perhaps with regard to children there are a page of exemptions, for example, as to how a landlord cannot rent to families with children, they can set aside one, 25 percent of their units for no children, if it's instead of being owner occupied like it is for everything else with — in a duplex you can have up to five units in your apartment not rented to children and on and on and on. There's a lot of loopholes that could be tightened up.

I don't think necessarily though we need a vast amount of statutory change. We need to make people

understand that, in fact, discrimination does occur. If you talk to people out on the street, they will say we don't Well, in fact, we think there is discriminate. discrimination; and one of the problems with housing complaints nationally, as it is with the State of Maine, is most people call up and they may yell into the phone but they don't want to pursue it. They don't want to be known in their community as someone who has filed a complaint when they are seeking housing. They don't want to take the time to puruse the complaint. They want an apartment to live in. So I think that -- there is also -- there is some lack of knowledge where you wouldn't get many landlords who would say I'm not renting to a black. You would get many landlords who would say I don't take city slicks. They don't understand that that is illegal, so there needs to be some further information, I think.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Okay. Thank you. I know you're going to leave your report for us. I'm wondering if you're able to provide us information that will update the kind of tables, the information that the Commission provided us a few years ago. It would be interesting for us to make a comparison.

MS. RYAN: You will find in here probably more tables than you want to look at and it goes back to 1978 so we have all the years so the comparison is there.

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Very good. Thank you. MS. EZZY:

MS. RYAN: Thank you.

The next person to speak at the Okay. MS. EZZY: forum this afternoon is Betsy Sweet, she's the executive director for the Maine Commission on Women.

Thank you. Thank you for having us. feel fortunate to be third because I'm sure that by the time we get to 10th, 11th, and 12th everyone will want to react to what other people have said including myself, so I'll try and limit my remarks to what I was originally going to say, although I greatly appreciate the remarks of both Pat and Jim.

I also -- well, let me start out with talking about the expansion, the evolutionary process of civil rights. many of you know on October 11th there was a national march for gay and lesbian rights in Washington and in the reporting of that there was a very poignant find I thought that was a quote of a bishop in the State of New York and the sign said if gay and lesbian people get civil rights, everyone will want them; and I thought that was a very interesting message, I thought, combined with an experience I had.

I also went to the Soviet Union this summer and I had the privilege of taking -- selecting 36 students from all over the State of Maine and taking them to the Soviet

Union as part of an international exchange and to come up with these 36 students we interviewed 106 students which was actually my favorite part of the whole thing. ₩e interviewed 106 students who were chosen by their principals to be good students, they weren't -- we asked that they not be the best students or the doctors' sons and lawyers', you know, so that we didn't get only the top kids but we got kids for whom this would really make a difference; and as part of the interview process we asked those kids to name three advantages of living in the United States and then we asked them to name three advantages of living in the Soviet Union, but out of all these kids not a single child -student, young adult named the Declaration of Independence, the Civil Rights, the Bill of Rights, or the U.S. Constitution as an advantage of living in the United States.

1

 \mathbf{z}

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now there are two ways to look at it and think about it — this was astonishing to me — some of them would mention to their credit freedom of the press, freedom — one of the Bill of Rights, you know, one of the specific ones but nobody mentioned the sort of overall concept of what a democratic form of government is like. You can look at it optimistically or pessimistically. Optimistically my interpretation is that they just take them for granted and, therefore, they're not able to articulate them and so that's good and people should take them for granted and we should

push at the limits as Jim talked about. The pessimistic way of looking at it when you see the KKK and you see rollbacks and some of the things that many of us have fought long and hard for, the pessimistic way of looking at that is they don't know what their rights are, that they aren't particularly concerned about their rights, and most importantly they don't think there are any problems left.

I think this is particularly true in the women's movement when I go in and talk to young women, say in high schools and elementary schools, in colleges, they think the women's movement is over and the reason they think it's over is because they think everything is already done and that everything is fine. Now I think what that shows is that the idea of equality based on gender, based on sex is accepted. There are very few people, although there are some, but there are very few people who generally say that men and women shouldn't be equal, who will say out loud that things should be different for men and women, so the idea of equality and certainly the rhetoric of equality is accepted, and so to me that was the first layer of the onion.

We now have on the books as Pat said most of our laws protect legally the rights of women in this society and in the State of Maine; however, what I think we're — where I think we are now is that we're at the second layer of the onion, and that is, I think, a more difficult place to be in

them as a society because there are still very basic problems. The most obvious one to me is that we have no equal rights amendment in this state or in this country and until we get that we can't talk about full equality for women, so that clearly is something that I think has to happen. We still have the problems of unequal pay, not just the idea of pay equity and comparable work which I'll talk about but the fact that women and men are doing the same job side by side and the man is getting paid differently than the woman. We have job segregation, we have discrimination against pregnant women, all kinds of things that are protected legally but, in fact, still happen in our society.

that it requires the enforcement of those laws, it requires

educating people about their rights, what rights they have,

how they can pursue them individually, how they can pursue

So I think to get at the attitudes and at the enforcement of those thoughts that many of us all over this room have fought long and hard for is a much more difficult problem; you get the attitudes, you get the people who don't think they are doing anything wrong, employers, institutions who don't think that they are really discriminating but, in fact, the result of their action is that they are discriminatory, and I'll give some examples of that later. At the same time I think it's difficult in that our society is undergoing tremendous change. I think we have

significant and even revolutionary changes in both our economy and our social structures, and I think that those changes or change always produces difficulty but I think it has produced many, many inequities for women on many fronts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me first talk about the work place. work place women are working in record numbers and we are here to stay. Many people have said that maybe the entrance of women into the force work in record numbers is a blimp on the screen as it was in World War II. I do not believe I think women are in the work force in order that's true. to make a decent standard of living. 85 percent of the women who work work because they are either the sole provider for their family or because their husbands in the State of Maine earn less than \$10,000 a year and their income keeps them out of poverty or at least above the official poverity line. So women are working here and they are here to stay. In fact, all of our social structures, our work place structures, our benefit structures, our wage structures are based on what I call the Norman Rockwell version of America, man at work, woman at home taking care of the kids, with the 2.3 children, the panel stationwagon, and a white picket fence and a dog. In fact, that reality, the Norman Rockwell reality, is a reality for less than 10 percent of Maine families. So that means that 90 percent of us are in some kind of crisis trying to fit our lives into

structures and systems that basically weren't designed for us and that definitely has to do with women moving into the work force.

In Maine 47.9 percent of our work force is female. That is one of the lowest percentages in New England and it's expected to rise. One half of mothers with children under the age of five are in the work force, and yet we have only five percent of the children who need child care or who need to be in supervised settings actually are in those settings or in registered homes, there are some people who are taken care of at home. Child care is a significant problem that we have only begun to touch. Now I don't know whether child care is a civil right, but it certainly is a right — something that is needed in order to enhance and protect the civil rights of working women and families.

Our whole work place policy has gotten changed. We have the notable distinction of being the only country in addition to South Africa that has no family policy, that does not provide for pregnancy leave for its workers, maternity leave, paternity leave, so all of the things that it requires to have a family to continue our social structures and work at the same time don't happen in the State of Maine and they don't happen in our country. The rise of single parents as everyone has talked about we have a huge number and a growing number of single parents in

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

our -- and single parents with children who are in our work force and again our structures are not designed to deal with those people.

At the same time in our work place we have seen rapid changes in our economy. We're changing from a manufacturing based economy where it was -- where you were basically able with relatively little skill to get fairly good wages and good benefits and work full-time. We are now seeing a rise of a service economy where the majority of jobs are part-time, low wage, minimum wage that provide few, if any, benefits. The vast majority of people who take those jobs, in fact, are women. 95 percent of the part-time work force is female, and it's not because women have nothing better to do, it's not because they want to earn money to buy a new dress or some of the stereotypes that still exist amazingly in our work place, it is because women need to work for economic reasons like as I mentioned Pay and equity still exists. For every dollar that before. men make in Maine, women make 61 cents. Now that is a rise of basically 1.3 cents over the last couple of years, but in 1964 women earned 64 cents out of every dollar that men So although we are now finally in the last couple of made. years beginning to creep up again, women went through a dip as we entered into the low wage, low paying sectors of our economy.

Lack of benefits, lack of health benefits, is a critical problem. As I said these part—time jobs, these service sector jobs often do not provide for benefits and, therefore, we see many, many Maine families who don't qualify for Medicaid or AFDC and health benefits that way but whose employers do not provide health benefits so we see people going without health care, without preventative care, without regular checkups, children without regular checkups, and the whole issue of benefits for work is a critical one; and I think we're seeing more and more industries moving to having several people in part—time jobs rather than one person in full—time jobs singly to avoid paying benefits. So that is a real probable.

1

Z

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We still see credible job segregation which is one of the reasons for that 61 cent figure. We see the vast majority of women still working in what we call traditional 86 percent of women in Maine still are in women's work. clerical or service or restaurant business. Very few of our managerial positions are held in -- whether it's our school systems, our state government systems, or private industry are held by females. There is a lot of talk now about the glass ceiling that women can move so far in corporations and in social structures but they can't go above that to really get where decisions are made and to get where the money is So job segregation continues to be a very difficult made.

problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you look at our educational institutions and education training that prepare us for the world of work, we still have a great amount of problem. As Pat mentioned in the State of Maine we have an Educational Equity Act that the Commission and the Women's Lobby work have put together; however, on the federal level the federal Title 9 has been -- the enforcement of that has been grossly restructured so that it is not enforced as well as it used to be. That's also true for affirmative action laws. Ιf you look at our curricula in the State of Maine and our textbooks that our kids use, they are out of date, they are old, and they have pictures that are -- and subject matter that are very sex segregated. I recently looked at the textbook on economics for a friend of mine's third grader and in the entire book on economics in third grade there was not a single picture or cartoon of a female throughout the entire book except for one where she was pushing the grocery cart. This is in 1987 in the State of Maine. Those kinds of messages are very strong.

In our vocational-technical institutes where we think that a lot of the training will be done for the jobs that will be created over the next 20 years we still have a tremendous segregation of the way people are in those jobs. Maine ranks 49th in the country in terms of segregation

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

between men and women in our VTI programs and individual If you look at our educational training programs, particularly ones designed to move women from welfare into the world of work, the Job Training and Partnership Act and the Welfare, Education, and Employment Training Programs which are good programs that need to be encouraged, those are highly sex segregated. percent of the people who enter into those programs are counseled and moved and some by their own choice, some by their workers to go into traditional fields of computer programming, secretarial work, and certified nursing assistants.

The most poignant example of that recently was when the Health-Tex workers were laid off, here were women in their 30s, 40s, and 50s who had incredible dexterity skills who would have been able to go into nontraditional jobs and we put together a training program for them at CMVTI in machine tooling because it required the same kind of skills, and we found that six people applied and were in the program and got jobs as machine tool operators. We found out later that there were 14 women who were turned away from that program by one of the workers because quote he just couldn't imagine a woman in a machine tool shop. These are 50-year-old women who wanted to be in those jobs, who wanted to be doing nontraditional jobs and getting that pay and yet

they were denied that opportunity, not because someone was a malicious person, not because he wanted to be a sexist pig, but, in fact, because he just couldn't imagine women in those kinds of rolls. So we have a lot of work to do in our educational institutions.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Pat mentioned in the work place we have the incredible problem of sexual harassment on the job which continues to be the number one occupational hazard for women I just read a survey recently that 65 in work place. percent of women who work outside the home will be physically or verbally abused in their -- sexually in their job and the majority -- it represents the majority of cases for the Human Rights Commission and yet we know that 85 percent of the women who are sexually harassed either quit their job or never report it because of the fear and the continuation in our society of blaming the victim and that it's the women who's usually assigned the blame in those So the work place is not a very friendly place for women even though we have laws that protect our rights So that, I think, is a huge problem. It also adds to the economic statistics that women, particularly working women, are the fastest growing segment of our poverty population and if you look at minority women within that category, they represent the very fastest growing; so I think that that is a real -- the whole issue of working

families has got to be looked at and we've got to put some more resources into it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the same time changing social roles are wreaking havoc on our whole society. Divorce is one of the biggest problems that women face. One out of two marriages in Maine That creates a lot of single parenthood, a end in divorce. lot of issues of child support enforcement which is abominable, first the amount of support that is awarded, the lack of understanding in the part of our judicial system of what it costs to raise children, the fact that even if you only have your kids for two weeks out of the month that you, in fact, have to keep a bedroom for that child for the entire month, that you can't move in and out of the your house every two weeks, that your requirements don't change, little things like that as well as big pictures just on basically what it costs to support a child. There is -- I think we have a real problem with sex bias in our courts and Caroline Glassman on the law court has suggested that we take a look at the issues of divorce. That someone do a study to look at the issues of women particularly at what happens to men and women five years after divorce, not in the first six months, not in the first year, but where are women and men relatively after the first five years of their divorce. Sylvia Hulit wrote a book called The Lesser Life in which she proved or had statistics

---Telephone (207) 772-6221=

that said on average divorced men -- when men get divorced, they increase their standard of living by 42 percent and when women get divorced their standard of living decreases by 72 percent and we've got to take a look at that and what the implications are for that. Again that has to do with work place issues, what women can bring in the marketplace, so they are all intertwined; but that is one place where I think there needs to be a lot of research done and the Commission is very interested in looking at that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then there's the whole issue of violence against women and the issues of hate violence and harassment that Pat talked about and I hope other people will talk about. We're part of a coalition of most of the people in this room that dealt with harassment law in the State of Maine; but I think that the issue of violence is on the increase and every 18 seconds a women is abused -- physically abused, one out of three women by the time -- one out of four women in this state -- in this country will be sexually assaulted in her lifetime, one out of seven men will be sexually assaulted in his lifetime, one out of seven women who is married is raped by her husband, and 50 percent of all marriages or any kind of cohabitation have at least one session of physical violence in the life of that relationship, and a statistic that someone gave me yesterday that blew me away was that more women during the Vietnam War

died at the hands of a lover or a spouse than all of the men who died fighting in the Vietnam War during that same

1

7

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now we are making some progress in those areas. The State of Maine has with the help of the Commission for Women and the Women's Lobby and the Human Rights Commission and a whole variety of groups have worked very hard to make sure that there is a network of both battered women shelters and rape crisis centers to support victims of violence and We have successfully gotten rid give them some place to go. of the exemption for marital rape and the exemption for voluntary social companions in our laws in the last couple So those are positive things. I think with the community training project that the Family Crisis Shelter Coalition is doing we are starting to get to the point where people will talk about abuse, where it's not hidden in the closet, it's not hidden anymore, people will talk about abuse. Communities are beginning -- just on the verge of beginning to understand that it is a community problem that communities must address. So I think that we're making some progress in that area and it's going to take a lot more money, a lot more readjustment of attitudes, and understanding that violence is simply unacceptable against anybody for any reason.

In the issues of health and reproductive choice we

have the whole issue of reproductive choice and the basic right to privacy, the right to control one's own body. have great concern that there will be a change in the Supreme Court that will make abortion not a right in this We currently in Maine next session will be facing a referendum that will restrict a minor's access to control their own body, what is the so-called parental consent referendum, and we still have the situation where wealthy women have more rights than poor women in reproductive choice because there is no funding for poor women who seek to get an abortion or seek to end a pregnancy. So there is a lot of reproductive choices.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that is my smattering of the situation in Maine I looked at the report from 1979 and it's -- I looked at the Commission's report. I can say that on the Commission front we're doing better. The Commission had just been formed in 1979 when you first had that hearing. We now have a huge staff of three and we have maintained -managed to secure our funding. We are a state agency who's mandated to advocate on behalf of women and girls in the I think we are -- I think we are entrenched State of Maine. in state government and, therefore, will continue our role as we go along; and we are doing some very exciting projects, two of which I would just like to mention.

One is the Reproduction of the Legal Rights of

Maine Women handbook which was published in '78 right before your last hearing. It is being updated by folks here at the University Law School and will be printed we hope this spring or fall. Again to get at the problem that even though we have many rights secured in laws, people don't know that they have those rights and don't know how to enforce them themselves, and that's what that book is all about; and we got a grant from the Iolta people to do training for women in the work place around their rights so that's exciting. And on January 16th the Commission is having a Winning With Women conference to encourage women to get involved in the political process and our keynote speaker'will be Shirley Chisholm who is the mother -- one of the mothers of the civil rights movement and certainly has shown us by example how we can make changes, and so I would encourage all of you to attend that, it's going to be at Bates College in Lewiston and we will certainly get brochures and stuff out to you. So that is the Commission.

have a question?

MR. BERUBE: No, thank you.

MS. EZZY: Ken?

MR. MORGAN: No.

MS. EZZY: Bill?

MR. BURNEY: Yes. Again a similar question that I

Thank you very much. Barney, did you

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

asked Pat. Do you use the Human Rights Act as your enforcement?

MS. SWEET: We are not an enforcement agency. We're not a direct service agency. We're simply an advocacy When people call us and need help, we send them to the Human Rights Commission; so we hear lots of stories and we help people as a referral agency, we help them to know where to go to get their rights enforced, but we can't do anything ourselves as an agency.

MR. BURNEY: Is there a reason why or do you ever use the federal law and refer people to a federal agency?

MS. SWEET: Rarely, I mean we let people know that that is an option. We usually send them to the Human Rights Commission first or to private attorneys if the legal course is the way to go. We work on -- a lot of times we will hear similar complaints over and over again and that we will submit legislation to the State of Maine that will change the problem or give somebody jurisdiction over solving that problem here in Maine; because the federal process takes so long and is so difficult to go through, I think that we try and keep it local because we have much more control.

MR. BURNEY: I'm not being critical. I just need -- we to know whether -- that there are laws --

> MS. EZZY: Marshall?

MR. STERN: No.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MS. MULTER: I have one. Betsy, when you were talking about the Health-Tex workers and the — I think it was 14 women who had been interested in machine tooling and were turned away by a worker, by that did you mean one of their colleagues simply talked them out of it or what kind of worker?

MS. SWEET: No, no, this is a worker within one of the employment and training systems, someone who -- their case worker who --

MS. MULTER: Somebody who was supposed to help them?

MS. SWEET: That's right.

MS. MULTER: Got you. Let me go further with that, and I have no desire to find out who the individual was, but what would be the background of that individual or for whom are they working for?

MS. SWEET: Well, there's programs within both the Department of Labor and the Department of Human Services to provide training and I would have to say there has been a lot of improvement and we have been pushing very hard. One of the things we're working on now with the Department of Labor is trying to get training not just for supervisors but for the front line people on their own biases in terms of guiding people into work so that that kind of stuff doesn't happen. Not everybody wants to be a secretary, not

everybody wants to be a certified nurse's assistant despite
a lot of their socialization that that is what women do
best.

MS. MULTER: Shirley, do I have time to ask one more?

MS. SWEET: Sure, go ahead.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MULTER: I will ask it. Do you have any perception yourself or any sense of the extent to which the barrier to young women getting into nontraditional occupations comes from within them as opposed to counseling from the schools or so on and so forth?

I think it's both. I think that there MS. SWEET: is a significant number of women who have internal barriers to doing it and the Commission produced a brochure called Why Should You Choose Nontraditional Work to try to get at some of that it's too dirty, it's too heavy, you know, that kind of stuff, and as I go around and talk to young women I find that there's lots of barriers and they have no trouble delineating what jobs are women's job and men's jobs; however, for those women who do enter nontraditional work it is very difficult and the sexual harassment problems are extremely difficult and we have no support or very few support mechanisms for those women who enter nontraditional work and one of the things we need to do is develop some of those support mechanisms so that there are more role models

so that girls and boys get it from an early age that that is an acceptable thing to do.

MS. MULTER: Thank you.

MS. EZZY: All right. Betsy, I would just like to ask if the Commission for Women continues to maintain a talent bank of Maine women? That's something I know that years ago the Commission was involved with.

MS. SWEET: No, we do not. We think it's a great idea for somebody to do. We have many cartons of applications in the tops of our closets from years ago. In order to maintain a talent bank we would have to have an incredible computer network that allowed us to update everyone's resume as it happened, and the one thing that we do do is try and maintain lists of women who are interested in boards and commissions and state government and what those openings are so that we can filter some of those people together; but to do a talent bank for the whole state in every occupation is just way beyond our very limited resources although it's a great idea.

MS. EZZY: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker is Romaine Turyn, if I pronounced that correctly. I would just like to ask — we have a number of speakers this afternoon and I would like to ask if possible if speakers could keep their comments to about 10 to 15 minutes and if you have any written comments that

you're not able to present, we'll be happy to accept any that you may have.

MR. FERGUSSON: Patricia Ryan said that Romaine would send you some of her concerns and I'm one of them. I'm Stuart Fergusson, a member of the Maine Committee on Aging, it's a 15-member group appointed by the governor to advise the governor, the legislature, the executive state and federal agencies on matters affecting the aging and elderly, and also an advocate for the elderly. I'm retired, I'm 73. And looking at the list of subjects that are being taken up this afternoon I'm finding myself appalled at the breadth of it and even more appalled when I realize that it's only a fraction of what could be covered.

I worked in Paris in the early days of World War II for some months and I think the experience of living as a person in the minority is a good one. Most of us don't; though we do as we get older. Alex Comfort, the gerontologist, once said on TV the United states is a place where we all discriminate against ourselves. Quite true. At least those of us who are lucky enough to get old and wind up that way. And incidentally a couple of years ago he left the U.S. to go back to England and he told me before he left there was no way he and his wife were going to grow old in the U.S. The attitude toward the aging is less favorable here than it is in any other country, particularly in

Western Europe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now you know the source -- it's been brought up earlier today -- the source is not a question of emotional bias, that's not the source of prejudice against the aging, discrimination against the aging; people don't mean to be mean to the elderly, they don't have anything against them necessarily, I think they are afraid of them to some degree just as they are to the handicap and fear engenders a kind of prejudice by itself. But there are a lot of self-conscious perceptions and the State of Maine has put out a very considerable excellent study, I think it's your group who put this study out on the status of older workers in the Maine State Government, and they point out its perceptions in hiring, perceptions, subconscious things lead to these perceptions. That just in hiring and in advancing in employment and raising pay and so on and so forth somehow or another the older person is shortchanged because of an unconscious feeling that the older person won't perform quote as well or will present problems or something of that sort.

So it's -- it's really the fact that the older people who live in a society that has a kind of a symbiotic structure which tends to work against them and, of course, we see a very obvious case of this in the case of AIDS where we all of a sudden have a group picked out and -- Jim

Tierney spoke about that this afternoon — how very quickly the whole subject shifts over to the homosexual category which really has nothing to do with it when you come right down to it; and when you start dealing with these perceptions in a symbiotic structure, these things happen, perceptions shift into situations that are hard to analyze. Within this country, you know, if you're old and you're a female and you're poor and maybe your health is pretty poor, too, boy, you've had it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You say structural, sure, the question of hiring as the state report points out, the question of jobs, getting jobs, the perceptions work against the older worker. somebody in their 30s and given somebody in their 40s, 50s, or even in their 40s the tendency is to hire the younger person, they will be more flexible, they won't present the same health problems, they won't present imaginary problems that the person who's doing the hiring may think they might We had a member of the Maine Committee on Aging, a have. man by the name of Bill Cunningham, a retired auditor for the Internal Revenue Service, he's one of our members who helped us on financial studies that we have to make from time to time. Bill looked into getting a job. The best he could do was get a job as a bag boy in a supermarket. starts in the -- as low as the 40s. It's not a question of being in the 80s. They don't look at you as old when you're in the 80s; they look at you as ancient. They start looking on you as too old in your 50s and even in your 40s today; and these things are not emotional biases, they're not deliberate, they come from the subconscious, but, boy, they are just still as real as if they were, in fact, deliberate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

How about the question of health, health care? Well, doctors aren't interested in patients over 65; they don't give interesting problems. A friend of mine is a professor emeritus at Duke University of mathematics and arts and sciences faculty, he's also a professor emeritus of biophysics in the medical school. I talked to him recently and he brought the subject up, he said, you know, Stu, he said my doctors aren't interested in taking care of my health anymore now that I'm over 65 in his own medical school and his wife is a physician. We have no interest in preventative medical care in this country before people get old. Many physicians think that the thing that's going to kill you off can probably be seen by a skilled physician when you're in your 50s, but we don't do anything in the way of preventative health. We wait until somebody is 70 and say how did you get this way.

So when we have — once you do get old and you have medical problems, we have another kind of discrimination — there's lots of discriminations but I won't talk about all ot them, we don't have time — many older people by virtue

of their health and income status often have to deplete their resources, spend down is the saying, until they're paupers in order to get Medicaid to pay for their medical bills which they simply can't afford otherwise; and then when they get down to that stage and they may need to get into a nursing home, they find that they're discriminated against in getting into a nursing home because they're on Medicaid. It's not legal, but it's a matter of fact.

So I guess the thing that we should turn our attention to is what can we do specifically, what can you do, and I don't think — well, generalities won't do it. I think generalities are things that we have to work on, talk on, talk at all of the time; but I think we do have to try and find some specifics on which we can do some kind of work. Samples, I think we should remember, first of all, any anti-discrimination measure that we take against — discrimination against the aging or the handicapped or those with AIDS, every step helps all the others who are discriminated against simply because everything that is anti-discrimination is against all discrimination.

Patricia Ryan and Betsy Sweet brought up violence.

I think that's a crackerjack. Certainly older people are subject to violence more and more as with children. It tends to be that it's almost like being in Nicaragua, you know, if you're small and weak, you have violence against

you and as people get older they get weaker and suffer more violence. Women suffer more violence certainly because of the perception, even in marriage, that they are weaker than the man. So weakness or possible weakness or perceived weakness leads to violence against the person who is weaker.

I think hiring is a good place for a specific attack because there's something you can focus on there; there's the job interview, there's the business of hiring, putting on the payroll, promoting, and raising pay. Right there there's a specific area which I think attention could be paid and your organization can do a great deal to spearhead this kind of effort.

Training and retraining is the third specific.

That will be my last. The older worker — they don't want to spend the time and money training and retraining older workers. Why, it's not worth it, but it is worth it and they should have just as much training and retraining as younger workers; and I won't give you anymore specifics, my time is up, but speaking as somebody 73 and looking around seeing many younger people all I can say is you just wait until the baby boomers hit 60. Nice seeing you, Ken.

MR. MORGAN: Nice seeing you, Stu.

MS. EZZY: Anybody on this side with a question?

Marshall?

MR. STERN: I'm just curious, sir, what your past

occupation was and why you retired.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FERGUSSON: Well, that's interesting. I was a telecommunications consultant and I was thrown on the junk We were hit by a very serious computer recession in 1969, my health wasn't too good, so I pulled my horns in and moved to Maine where I had a home for many years. safety net. I was a stockholder in a company that had about a billion dollars in backing and it certainly looked secure and had a nice fee structure that was taking care of me very nicely. The president turned out to be more interested in the beautiful blonde secretary that traveled with him and the silver ashtray and things like that. He ran the company in the ground, the billion dollar company, except \$10 million was all poured down this rat hole and 70 some odd people were thrown in the street and I was thrown into Togus.

My doctor at the time looked at me and said there's no way that you can continue to try and consult on a national level 50 to 75 trips a year, your blood oxygen level is 50 percent of what it should be, and you just don't have the stamina to do that kind of thing. Well, there's nothing in between and they got me a VA pension and social security and disability because I'm a high-anxiety type, I didn't have the oxygen to keep pushing me at the speed that my profession, telecommunications consultant, required; and

I was finally bailed out, later after I got out of the hospital somebody from the Committee on Aging wanted some help in analyzing nursing home finances and I started doing a little bit of that and gradually got more involved and eventually the governor appointed me to the long-term care task force for adults where I worked with Ken — and I was always the troublemaker, wasn't I, Ken — and then finally to the Maine Committee on Aging.

MR. STERN: I commend you for your blood oxygen levels.

MR. FERGUSSON: Well, thank you. I won't give it to you though.

MS. EZZY: Any questions here?

MR. BERUBE: Just --

MS. EZZY: Barney, go ahead.

MR. BERUBE: You said the Committee on Aging, it's not the commission?

MR. FERGUSSON: No, Maine Committee on Aging.

MR. BERUBE: Obviously it's an advocacy group for the aged, but does it also have any legal protections that it offers people on aging?

MR. FERGUSSON: Well, we work closely with the Legal Services of the Elderly but we also administer — well, first of all, a committee like ours is required by federal law; however, the State of Maine as in so many cases

as I pointed out today was ahead of time and the Maine Committee on Aging was formed by the legislature before the federal requirement. We do operate or administer under contract from the state with federal funding so-called nursing home ombudsman program which now takes care of complaints, analyzing complaints, and so on for people in nursing homes, also in boarding homes, and home care; so we do have an active role of -- active advocacy of taking care of people who have complaints and seeing those complaints are analyzed and validated, taken care of by the appropriate body. So we do have what you might call an enforcement arm, that's right, but we exist under our own statute. We do not report to anybody as we exist under our own law. advocacy function, advisory function, is placed upon us by statute.

> MR. BERUBE: Maine statute?

MR. FERGUSSON: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Okay. If there are no other questions, thank you very much.

> MR. FERGUSSON: Thank you.

MS. EZZY: You're welcome. At this point I think we'll take a very quick break so that people can stretch their legs, five minutes literally, and then we'll be back here.

(A SHORT BREAK WAS TAKEN.)

MS. EZZY: There are a couple of speakers this afternoon that do have a time problem and as you know we're running a little later than we had expected on our agenda. So we will have a couple of — a couple of changes. After Kathy McGinnis speaks, David Veilleux will be speaking from the New England Multifunctional Support Center and then Ann Pardilla who is the governor of the Penobscot Indian Nation will speak after that and Pearl Tendler will speak after her; but we're going to start off the second half of the afternoon with Gerald Talbot who is the president of the NAACP Chapter in Portland.

MR. TALBOT: My name is Gerald E. Talbot and I've got to make a couple of corrections for the record. I have not been asked by the NAACP to speak for them. I am a past president of NAACP, but I'm not the current president of the NAACP. I represent Black Education and Cultural History, Incorporated and we have been incorporated since 1980 with the State of Maine.

Because many people in the private and public sector of this state do not really understand, know the meaning or significance of racism let me start there.

Racism may be viewed as an attitude, action, or institutional structure which subordinates a person or group because of his or their color. If you have a problem with that, look to the Civil Rights Commission because that's

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from their pamphlet. With that in mind the bottom line is yes, once again there is racial discrimination in the State of Maine, there always has been, there is now; and as a native Mainer, an active black, with over 25 years of experience, the gap between black and white will even get whiter.

In the past year or even in the past several months a young black man, a county employee, clean record, education, work habits, job-related schooling, seniority has been denied not once but three times and has never been explained why. A very prominent black businessman in and around the Portland area was accused of sexual harassment of a white woman, had a public hearing, had that thrown out because of no foundation and no merit. A visitation by none other than the Ku Klux Klan in Rumford really needs no explanation except they say they will return. well-educated black man, an ex-governor -- governor's appointee, an appointee under three governors left to enter the private section only to be continually denied employment across this state and the excuses used was overqualified, et cetera, et cetera. A story that we and he has heard many times before. A black person in the city of Bangor out of New York City with a good record, good employment record, a retiree with enough money to hold him over bought a house in Bangor -- attempted to buy a house in Bangor and that bank

made him get a job first before he got that money.

Since 1980 when the current administration of Ronald Reagan came to Washington, D.C. white America has been in reverse gear concerning the issue of civil rights and blacks are still in the back of the bus. Civil rights organizations on the whole which include Maine have come to a grinding halt. The once visible organizations who stood by, stood up, and was counted on are now invisible to the black community. We don't hear them, we don't see them, and we don't know their final results. Therefore, the black community has little or nothing to turn to in time of crisis due to civil rights, human rights, discrimination, or prejudice or all of the above.

Let me best describe this by a -- by a quotation out of the governor's task force on human rights in 1968. To be black in the State of Maine means being subjected to all the discrimination in, for example, housing, employment, and social and civic groups which Negroes are subjected to throughout the rest of the north. Having to face this discrimination alone or as a small family group without even having the moral support of a substantial black community with which to exchange ideas or which can be organized to exert political pressure. As a result you feel almost totally isolated in a basically hostile community subjected to pressures which your white neighbors cannot understand

even when occasionally they try. This is not to say we have -- we all haven't made advances. We all have made advances in the past and we will in the future, but here in 1987 black people are still collecting scars to add to our continued collection of scars and when you look at that record, that's where we were in 1960, over 20 years ago.

Let me conclude -- and I'm only taking my 10 minutes -- let me conclude by reading this letter I received no more than two months ago. A white revolt is coming to this country. It's better for you to go back to Africa where you belong. Thank you very much.

MS. EZZY: Thank you. Barney, questions?

MR. BERUBE: Two questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TALBOT: You're only allowed one.

MR. BERUBE: With you two. Gerry, one is NAACP I know it's associated largely with being a black organization is not only open to blacks but indeed to people of all colors so far, is that not correct, and more specifically is the advocacy of NAACP inclusive of Asian and Hispanic or is it really largely black?

MR. TALBOT: Let me start out with the first question.

MR. BERUBE: That is all one question.

MR. TALBOT: The NAACF is not a black organization? it is a multiracial organization, open to all. It is made

4.

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

up now, from what I know of, of anybody who wants to join who has that attitude insofar as civil rights are concerned and who has a membership fee.

> MR. BERUBE: So the advocacy is not limited or --MR. TALBOT: No.

MR. BERUBE: The other is I don't know the extent to which that organization would actually receive either complaints or concerns, but I suppose it would because it's an advocacy function. I'm wondering about school age kids who are black or colored?

MR. TALBOT: Let me -- in my remarks I think I said something to the effect of all civil rights organizations. That includes the NAACP. I am a very strong supporter of the NAACP as you know, I am a strong supporter of the Human Rights Commission, but as I see it through my eyes, through a black person's eyes, they are now almost invisible. I get calls, and I'm just one, I get calls weekly from people who have a problem and want me to solve that problem. that's a -- that's a compliment on my part but it's -- and it shouldn't be, okay, because there should be the NAACP there, there should be the Maine Human Rights Commission there, and there should be the U.S. Commission of Civil Rights there.

Looking through my eyes as a black person none of the above are there. A black person in that kind of a

Insofar as

believe are the most basic rights for all of us and the — whether it be the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights or anybody else should be the first ones if somebody is in that kind of trouble they turn to because they know I can turn to that. If a fire in your house breaks out, you immediately turn to the fire department. There is no question. But in the black community across the country or the black community here in Maine that is not the case.

MR. BERUBE: But I was just going after the school age kids with regard to schools because at the state level there's support for sex equity, there's support for national

crisis has nowhere to turn because he doesn't or she doesn't

civil rights are concerned and human rights are concerned I

know that those organizations are out there.

4.

MR. TALBOT: We have probably made that statement over the last 20 years and we have taken the education and history department to task, but so far as I know that's not there. One of the things you have to remember by is the fact that the black community in the State of Maine has no political power, has no financial power, and, therefore, can only move at the hands and at the —— and at the support of other communities. I'm talking about a coalition of other

origin equity in public schools and there is not for race,

that the need is there and the services are not available.

and I don't know that there is a statement that can be made

communities or coalition of the white community. Otherwise than that we cannot do that; you know, that's from where I see it.

MR. BERUBE: Thanks.

MS. EZZY: Anyone else? Marshall?

MR. STERN: You're talking almost like a 911 number in a sense of help, self-help, if people have a number basically where they can turn to so they don't have to look up and try to find under a rock or try to rally some sort of support. There should be something that's available and ready and, of course, that's what these laws are about to help minorities. Obviously you don't have the economic base or the power base and that's why we're here is to help and we would appreciate any other suggestion, you know, that you might have. Your comments are well accepted, we all agree with you, but we could use some constructive push as well.

MR. TALBOT: Yes, you're right, and what I'm saying is insofar as education and visibility, it's not there and it should be there; and I'm just taking probably another prejudicial view, it should be plasted all over the place. So if I even think I've been discriminated against, I'm going to file a complaint. When I receive a call from somebody that legitimately probably thinks they have a complaint or does have a complaint, I say the first thing you do is file a complaint with the Human Rights Commission;

where's that, what is it, and that should be plasted all over the place. So if I even think I've been discriminated against, then it's going to be no problem.

MR. STERN: As popular as you are still there are many people who don't know you --

MR. TALBOT: Oh, true.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STERN: — and there should be a way of like you say a listing of the fire department, police department, whatever that gives access to everyone, the stranger who has moved to Maine, for instance.

MR. TALBOT: That's true, and there are a lot -- in the last year, the last couple of years, I think the population of the State of Maine is vastly growing, you know, upwards and they are going to be that more concerned about civil rights and human rights than they were before and that is something that we have been saying for the last 10 or 15 years, but it seems that that's what we do. Not to be derogatory but the last time that I spoke to the U.S. Commission of Civil Rights was 1968. Now that's -- you know, that's nine years ago, and in my invitation -- and I'm saying I don't want to be derogatory but -- and now in my invitation you say you've got 10 minutes, there is something a little wrong there. When I have to, you know, have to come again and say yes, there is discrimination in the State of Maine, yes, there is prejudice in the State of Maine,

there seems to be, you know — from that point to this and that's all I'm saying. You know, I would — I definitely think there needs to be more visibility and more education insofar as the Maine Human Rights Commission is concerned, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is concerned, or any other commission or board that's going to protect my rights or anybody else's rights.

MR. STERN: I think we may hear something about a public advocacy approach a little later.

MR. TALBOT: I thank you.

MR. STERN: Thanks.

MS. EZZY: Elinor?

MS. MULTER: No.

MS. EZZY: Any other questions of Gerry? Gerry, if you have any other comments — I know that 10 or 15 minutes is not sufficient time for most people to talk and if you do have any other comments or points that you would like to submit, we'll make them part of the record and we'll consider them, also. Thank you very much for being here.

MR. TALBOT: Thank you very much.

MS. EZZY: Okay. Our next speaker this afternoon is Kathy McGinnis, she's the president of the Maine Association of Handicapped People.

MS. MCGINNIS: Actually I'm an organizer now and former president. First, I think that it's quite obvious

that I have talked in the past month to some of your staff people and just a few minutes ago when somebody was talking about the fact of the matter that this room in and of itself and the Commission at this moment is discriminating against people with disabilities because this room is inaccessible. Ken Morgan of the Commission and subsequently from my knowledge what he told me the staff was informed and given the option of moving this meeting to the third floor, Room 302, which I had arranged for the Commission -- the advisory committee to use. Needless to say that is tremendously distressing to me, one, is not only is it a major inconvenience for all of you because now you to have look at me, I cannot address the Commission in the same dignified manner as Gerry just has and Betsy and Pat Ryan. I'm in the position of being not by my incapability but by some structural barrier from being there instead of here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing is that there is -- this is a public meeting and there is no interpreter for deaf people and there were several members of my organization who were interested in coming and I informed the Commission of that and requested that an interpreter be present. When I heard from Ken Morgan a couple weeks ago that that was not going to happen and that the location would not be moved, needless to say I assumed that I would not bring along people from my organization who were deaf that I think would by their

presence, let alone questions or comments they could have made, would have been helpful to the Commission.

1

7

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think what it boils down to is and some of your staff people said one is miscommunication and two is ignorance and ignorance is no excuse. I have heard many times people say to me, as I'm sure Betsy as heard people say that about women, well, it's not really discrimination, people have good intentions, it's not really discrimination, they mean well, they mean well; and what I say depending on my mood is either yeah, you're right, sometimes people do mean well, but the road to hell is paved with good intentions as my dad would say, that does not matter. Handicap is sexism, racism, homophobia; it's not something to be brushed off by someone saying they meant well or they didn't know. That's no excuse certainly in this day and age.

I'm here to talk about people with disabilities and the status of people with disabilities. I would prefer to have been able to do it in a position of commending the Commission for having a wonderfully accessible location and interpreters and welcoming all minorities equally to give you people, but that is not what we have today. To say disabled people are unique among minorities in that we encompass all minorities. There are disabled people who are black and there are disabled people who are women and there

are disabled people that are old and young of either sex or sexual preference.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing that bothers me since I am of an organization that represents and encompasses these people is that nowhere here today, and I have no idea whether they were asked or not, but it is obvious to me and I'm aghast at the omission of activists and civil rights leaders in the gay and lesbian civil rights movement not being here. Many of my members that come from all walks of life and background face double discrimination based upon who they are, based upon their color or their sexual preference or their age or their sex, so that makes us unique and I think it puts me, a disabled person in a wheelchair, in a position to say to you folks that it is critical given the status right now of civil rights in America and given the status of the Reagan administration lack of respect for even basic enforcement of age old civil rights protections like the Voting Rights Act, as I'm sure Gerry could talk hours on.

One is that we want, and I think all of us that are here today want, the Commission's report to the U.S. Commission, your report, to be a reflection of all people, to talk about the status of civil rights, and to talk about equality and dignity. Disabled folks generally are perceived in society as being sick, pathetic or exceptional. It might be any one of those things as I'm sure any of you

are. What happens with disabled people because of this double discrimination, because of being treated most of their lives as being inferior is that they feel totally powerless. What we do as an organization, we're a grass roots civil rights organization; we're not an agency; we provide no services; we don't tell people this that or whatever; we organize people and we motivate them for change. As I just said to your staff person if I came here today, sat here and said nothing to you about the inaccessibility of this building, I would not be doing my job and I hope that my board would kick me from here to Fort Kent and back again because this is blatant discrimination.

And I think that many times what happens is that we focus on disabled peoples differences, my differences, Kathy's problem, she can't get from here to there because Kathy can't walk. That's not the problem. The problem is that this building was not built in mind to have disabled people included and it's not a building that is open to whomever designed this building, and believe me I've tried to find him or her for years, did not think of that, so that the structural barrier is the problem not my inability to walk. I think that what we want to say to people is to get rid of what we consider our greatest disability which is not our particular physical or mental disability or not our race or not our sex or sexual preference or age, it is the social

and political institutions and individuals in power to affect social and political change that block our access to having full and equal rights and participation in every aspect to be material or spiritual fruits of our lives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to give you some facts to put in perspective of what we're talking about for disabled people. We're not talking about gee, there's a bunch of people we need to give services to and help them because they are sick Wipe that out. If there is any part of that, and pitiful. wipe that out because that is not the reality you have in There are millions of Americans with this country. disabilities that are capable, willing, and able to contribute that are being oppressed and I'm sure many times all of us hear those words and use those words discrimination, oppression but for Betsy and for Gerry and myself representing minorities that's an every day fact of There is not a day that goes by that someone or life. something doesn't make you feel inferior or less equal or slap you in the face to say you're not good enough and the effect of that is quite powerful.

Maine generally speaking has a higher percentage of people with disabilities than any other state in the nation.

There are a lot of reasons for that. I've heard people give a lot of generalities. We're talking 10 to 13 percent of the population in the State of Maine, 13 percent include

older people who are disabled in one way or the other, physical, mental disability or age. That's a huge percentage when you're talking about a state with a population the size of Maine. The reason for that are environmental. There are a lot of hands—on work and a lot of people get injured environmentally in the work place. Maine is very rural, so it's much harder work, more toxic work environments, as well as the lack of equality in medical care and preventative measures in the more rural sections of our state, and there are many, many more reasons as well which I don't think are necessary right now to go into.

4.

In 1981 there was a study done here in Maine that showed that 30 percent of the people in institutions, nursing homes especially, here in the State of Maine, folks in nursing homes and health care facilities, 30 percent of them in there were disabled people that were healthy, healthy disabled people, not in there for medical reasons that had no other place to go but had to remain incarcerated in those institutions because they had no other place to go; so presently we have disabled people who are quite capable of living in a community but they can't because of barriers, because of a lack of accessible, affordable housing, a lack of transportation, public transportation, an ignorance as to equality, the need for independent living, being able to be

out and on your own.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is a tremendous shortage and crisis right now in the disabled community for a lack of what is known as personal care assistants; that is an individual, a tool, something that will help you get up in the morning, maybe help you cook your meal, and then you're off and ready to There's a real shortage there, so the effect of it is even though those people that are in their homes, not stuck in a nursing home, end up being prisoners in their own home as a result of that and that is a lack of understanding and ignorance as to that need, that tool. Like an interpreter is a tool for a death person, this chair is a tool for me, an accessible building or facility is a mechanism to set me free to do what I have a right to do. Transportation and housing are all keys to that, but if you don't have a decent place to live or you can't get there even if you have a job, and I know many disabled people who had very good jobs and had to say I'm sorry, I can't accept it because I don't have the reliable transportation to get there.

What we're producing in this country as disabled people, as a minority group encompassing all other minority groups are the poor people in this nation. A lot of people like to throw out well, geez, disabled people are on social security, they get this and that. That's not true. A great many of them don't get any benefits, don't get any money.

When you look at the homeless statistics now, a huge percentage of homeless are people with either physical or mental disabilities that have been dropped through the cracks because they didn't fit into one particular program or services. 40 percent of disabled people between the ages of 16 and 64 earn less than \$3,600 a year. U.S. Census tells us, and up until recently I was one of those statistics, that 76 percent of the disabled women capable and willing to work are unemployed. 16 percent of all poor families in our country having at least a husband and a wife at home include a disabled adult.

Disabled people because of these incentives and disincentives of working — and Betsy touched upon some which were women and insurance — is you could have — not many disabled people do, but you could be disabled in a \$50,000 a year job and not be able to get an insurance company to cover you because there is blatant discrimination in regards to covering disabled people in the insurance industry. There are now federal mechanisms to try to address that, but they have caps that basically leave a small fraction of people able to get some coverage and then the rest of the people are supposed to shell out the hundreds and hundreds of dollars a year to either do it — pay for their own medical cost or if they are lucky enough to find one insurance company that will say sure, for \$3,402

a year, which is what one person in Massachusetts told me they were told, they would cover the basic premium costs.

So all of those add tremendous pressure on disabled people.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Workers, same with people at work, last statistics of the Census said a good 40 percent of disabled people that were working were getting well under, and the term well under, minimum wage meaning they were making in some instances 2 cents an hour, \$15 a week. Those that are working disabled men make now what women used to make is 59 cents on the dollar of every able bodied white man. Disabled women make 39 cents on the dollar of an able bodied So you add to that if you are a black or white man. Hispanic woman, then they make even less and I can provide you with information on statistics from Frank Bow who is with the American Coalition of Citizens with Disabilities that did a breakdown in minorities who were disabled and it's quite staggering when you look at the statistics and the amount of people that are quote in competitive working employment making anywhere from 25 to 39 cents on the dollar than someone else would make. All this happens despite federal and state civil rights protection for the same people, inaccessibility of housing, transportation, buildings, this one is in violation as well, and that makes that much tougher. So when we start approaching the core issue getting down to the basics is education for disabled

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

people and we were fortunate enough to work with Pat Ryan and the Commission to get some amendments to the Human Rights Act; because up until last year when we approached the Commission, disabled people were not protected for their rights to education under Maine law which is quite scary.

There were a lot of regulations and federal mandates but there is wholesale violation of 94142, the Childrens -- the education involving Handicapped Childrens They're constantly finding tools that are deliberately segregated in their disabled schooling. A quite obvious example here in Portland where a young man who was mentally disabled was tethered to a radiator over a period of three months up to about 20 times because they weren't sure what to do with him so they tethered him, tied him, to a That's in 1987 in Maine for disabled people. radiator. Ι would love to say that that is a rarity but from what we've heard after having a large civil rights conference in Maine that that seems to happen quite frequently, things like that, kids not getting materials or books, transportation provided, or being segregated in a portable classroom and lucky if they see the teacher once or twice a week and told to play and occupy themselves. That does not live up to the land and certainly not the spirit of what is intended.

If we do not address these problems, what we're producing is people that forever will be depending on a

system to take care of them, to produce dependent people not independent people. You take them and zap them of any sense of pride or dignity. Practically speaking we're dumping right now billions of dollars into tentative programs, into Medicaid, into social security, into segregated bus systems and institutions whereas if we made a one-time investment in peoples' dignity and civil rights, then we would have an open community, would have someone who would have transportation to go to work, they would be capable of giving back, practically speaking paying taxes, morally speaking you would have a whole person that's contributing.

4.

One very proud thing that I have to say about the State of Maine is that the State of Maine in regards to transportation for disabled people is leading the nation. Since the federal laws under Reagan were totally gutted out and accessible transportation was eliminated, many states stopped doing it, they welded their lifts shut and said we don't need to do it anymore. What we did here in Maine is to say no, that that is a right of disabled people. The Commission being I'm proud to say one of the most active bodies here in the State Government, I think, in regards to civil rights were willing to back us, so now what we have is a mandate and public transportation is a right for disabled people. We have fought for that for six years. Black people fought to sit anywhere on the bus; we fought for over

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

six years to convince people we had a right to even be That is important. But the work is not over. mean it continues. I still get calls from other bus companies, what does this mean, can I only have one lift on one of my buses; what if someone wants to go down to Route 3 down to Shop 'n Save, oh, yeah, well, they can call ahead, give me a couple days notice. They missed the point. defeats the whole purpose.

I think that Maine is leading the nation in regards to rights of disabled people, but there are constant reminders like this event today and others that I have had in the past few days that we have a long ways to go and there are times that I'm proud of Maine leading the nation and there are other times that I laugh and it hurts because I know how far still that we have to go; and I think that when I find someone as I always seem to do election time a disabled person says to me, geez, I didn't know I could vote because I was disabled or I get a call from a vision-impaired man in Augusta that said they told me I couldn't take my wife there because they said the warden would have to vote for me and I would have to tell him who I wanted or mentally -- a group home in Hollis, Maine that was told no way will we let retards vote in our city.

It hits home and it makes me want to convince you folks that you need to give more commitment to those of us

that are in the community like Gerry and I that are doing civil rights work and talk to us, give us more opportunity and input than a 10-minute conversation, and in my case it's been well over that, to let you know because I could talk for four hours on the housing crisis for disabled people. I could talk for weeks on what it's going to need for this community to break down all its barriers so the disabled people are integrated and equal, and I would like that expressed in some mechanism incorporated so that there is more input within the community itself and it's hurt and shockful that this would have happened today; and I do not see gay and lesbian brothers and sisters of mine here talking about concerns of theirs even after many incidents of extreme prejudice and violence that has led to the death of individuals in this state and still that was not an active, at least from what I see, an active request of the Commission to have someone here to address that. I'11 answer any questions you have.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Okay. Thank you very much, Kathy. just would like to say in terms of the facility and the meeting itself I -- we spoke yesterday and it was the first time that I was aware that this room was going to be a problem or perhaps this building, and I frankly was not familiar with the building or the room and I want to apologize to you for -- for the inaccessibility and the

inconvenience that it's caused. I think you have made -you have made an excellent point and you have made it very
effectively with us and with everybody here.

The question of representatives from the gay and lesbian community I think I should comment on that. The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and the advisory committees have specific jurisidiction in certain areas and sexual orientation is not one of them. That is not included in the statutes that we operate under, and consequently we don't officially hold meetings that just specifically address directly those issues. We have certainly left our meeting open today and after the scheduled speakers anybody that would like to speak, we're happy to hear from anybody that would like to speak before us, but it's not part of our statute and that's why we didn't specifically include any gay or lesbian representatives on the agenda.

MS. MCGINNIS: Right, I do realize that. I guess it is a difference in perspective coming as I do from the civil rights organizing perspective is that I encourage my people to take the forefront, to take bold stands. One of the most stressing things for me right now in this country is your head body in Washington, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, which I will be totally blunt with you is a total embarrassment and a disgrace to this country and even decisions or positions they have made in my opinion have set

22

23

24

25

back civil rights of people of color and disabled people and women which hopefully since they seem to have no motivation to actively pursue anything, we're going to be able to countermand in the years to come; but I would like to see the Maine Advisory Committee not just stick within its little guidelines, to be able to be a thorn in the side of the U.S. Commission and say yeah, this isn't technically within our jurisdiction but this is a minority group in Maine that is saying, as I'm saying as the same person, that federal laws mean nothing to disabled people, they are extremely weak, Maine laws are strong and the gay, lesbian people are not represented, they are a minority group and are not represented, not part of our charge, and to make a public statement to take a stand which I think is critical for your advisory committee and individuals from other I was surprised I organizations to also make that stand. was one of the few people here today to make that statement, why aren't gay and lesbian people here. That should be coming out of the mouths of every single one of us that are committed to civil rights work and it didn't and that's disappointing, understandable but disappointing.

MS. EZZY: Thank you. Bill?

MR. BURNEY: For the record, I was involved in arranging the facility and it did come to my attention that there was a room that had been available in prior years. I

did not understand that it was committed to this function today which is what you said, they had said we could have it.

> MS. MCGINNIS: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I asked them could we have that room MR. BURNEY: and they said no, it wasn't adequate or available for this function today. So yes, there was some miscommunication as to whether or not there was an accessible room that was available. I guess also for the record, I -- I kind of take exception to the fact that only those people out there are advocates for civil rights and that we here are not advocates for civil rights.

> MS. MCGINNIS: Oh, I didn't mean that.

MR. BURNEY: We're here because of that and we have been able to rally this committee through some troubled times to have this forum available for people to come and 10 minutes is better than no minutes. We have speak. pulled this thing together so people can have that opportunity and I just have to be on the record about those things.

MS. MCGINNIS: I wasn't inferring that. As I said to your staff person, I have tremendous respect for the commitment of the committee because I know it's not, given the environment nationally of the Commission on Civil Rights, an easy thing to be a part of. Certainly yourself

and Ms. Ezzy and Tom Andrews, of course, I have a particular respect for which is important, but I think that and I would say that to Tom and I will say it to all of you is given all of that and given your commitment I would like to find a mechanism so that things like this don't happen again and, two, that there is more involvement than one day whether it's 10 minutes or two hours presentation and as an ongoing involvement with people in some sort of capacity that gives a better mechanism for addressing the issues we're talking about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Thank you. Ki-Taek, I believe you wanted to make a comment.

I just would like to make a couple of MR. CHUN: comments as a way of saying I share the sentiment as expressed by the vice-chairperson and Mr. Burney. I think it's very unfortunate that a distressing oversight has taken place and for that I feel personally we owe you an apology. It also calls for a couple of explanations, I think. That is I did inquire of a person who was answering for the site and additional problems, I feel doubly bad about this instance; but I do like you to know that we did inquire about handicap accessibility. The answer was affirmative but now I realize what is meant by the affirmative answer is the building itself is. There is a side entrance as you and I agreed on. What is not accessible which has been pointed

out so persuasively is the fact that the podium is down there and if a specific speaker has inaccessibility to the podium, then they are deprived. That is unfortunate and I wasn't aware until I talked to you, you know, a few minutes ago that this is the situation we are in. So for that I feel very bad and terrible about it.

As far as the sign interpreter services is concerned, you will find out in the Federal Register notice we do say that if we are given a notice that somebody needs a sign interpreter service five working days prior to the event itself, then we'll provide that. Unfortunately I just learned from our vice—chairperson last night we were not — there was no indication that such a service would be needed so naturally we just didn't provide any and hopefully from this incident in the future we will avoid the same repetition of the same instance.

MS. MCGINNIS: Just one comment, a misnomer which deals with the awareness in education is that even in your press release you did not mention whether or not the facility was accessible to deaf people or whether or not people that were deaf needed interpreters, so like myself I assumed the place is inaccessible because I know that most things are. The person who's deaf, and that does not say any question about interpreters, will assume there will not be any. There has to be at least an effort to say if you

need such and such, call and I --

MR. CHUN: The point is well taken.

MS. MCGINNIS: I think the building is not accessible. So advance notice needs to be made. I don't get a lot of my information reading the Federal Register; I go to the Shop 'n Save bulletin board and read the newspaper and that's the way it should come at minimal. As I said to Ken Morgan a month ago, leaders like myself in the disabled civil rights movement and leaders of the deaf community should have been contacted to ask for their assistance. That's all basically what I'm saying is that that should have been done and I'm disappointed, having said that at least a month ago, that something couldn't have been done.

MS. EZZY: Okay. Kathy. Any other questions?

Barney?

MR. BERUBE: Just a brief note not to belabor the point but I felt that I wanted to inject, I guess, my acceptance a little bit of the same chastisement about the building only because when I learned that we were using this building, I became immediately complacent because I knew that it was inaccessible because the governor's committee on handicap which I was on for a few years had used this building for that very reason. I didn't know about this room, and I just wanted to say that had I known I would have said something that it wasn't.

MS. EZZY: Thank you.

MR. STERN: I just guess to summarize, Kathy, a good Maine term you can't get there from here.

MS. MCGINNIS: No, you can't, you can't, and that — funny you should say that, that was our slogan we used for the successful bus fight. We had the late Marshall Dodge write us a tune called You Can't Get There From Here, so it was very appropriate.

MR. STERN: Thank you very much.

MS. EZZY: If there are no other questions, thank you very much, Kathy.

The next speaker on our agenda this afternoon will be David Veilleux who is the coordinator of the New England Multifunctional Support Center.

MR. VEILLEUX: Because of some really pressing appointment that I have at 6:00 and I live up in the Bangor area, I'm going to really shorten my remarks and I want to thank Kathy for not taking the full hour or four days or a week. One of my colleagues who was to be here today, Yvon Labbe, was also going to address the issues of Franco Americans in Maine. I myself am a Franco American, but I'm also employed under Title 7, Bilingual Education, and a Multifunctional Resource Center which serves all of linguistic minorities in the New England area and I have specific responsibility for Maine, New Hampshire, and

Vermont.

I think I'm here in the capacity today to alert the Commission, if they're not already alerted, and also to alert all the people in this room who come from or represent linguistic minorities in Maine to some evolution that's going on in this country in regards to legislation and linguistic minorities and languages. We have been talking a lot about evolution today and it seems to me —— and Kathy alluded to this —— that while we have made great strides in civil rights in this country at some points it seems as though we begin to go backwards again and return to some former prejudices and discrimination that we thought we had begun to eliminate, and so today I wanted to address the issue of the english only movement and how that could impact and the impact that it's already having on linguistic minorities on this country.

Briefly I'll just mention the U.S. English movement for those of you who are not familiar with it and this is taken from their own brochures. U.S. English is a national, nonprofit organization cofounded by United States Senator S.I. Hiakowa, the other cofounders or cofounder in this brochure are not mentioned, and I quote our goal is to make english the official language of the United States. We are working to abolish bilingual ballots and limit bilingual education to short-term transitional role. You can help

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

make english the United States one and only official language by mailing your tax deductible contribution with this survey in the enclosed envelope.

In addition to that, last year a letter was passed on to me by a state legislator in Vermont who had received and apparently all of his colleagues received and apparently all state representatives and all state legislators in the 50 states received a letter from Senator Hiakowa on November 13th, 1987 and at the top of the letterhead the constitution is quoted, it says We, the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union and on the right-hand side is the logo for U.S. English. The letter cites, of course, the recent passage of Proposition 63 in California designating english as that state's official language. Hiakowa argues that english is our common language. The tie that binds us all together as citizens of one nation, and then he continues yet some politicians and ethnic leaders They will oppose giving english any legal protection. continue to demand the use of other languages by government such as mandatory bilingual ballots, bilingual education that doesn't emphasize english, and a federally endowed national Hispanic university system and other divisive measures.

It's interesting to note that the implications of the U.S. English statements and also to ask whether or not

ly 1

this movement really is directed at the largest single ethnic group in the U.S. namely Hispanics. Demographic projections over the last two years indicate that the Hispanic population in this country by the year 2000 will comprise nearly one-third of the U.S. population; meaning that one-third of our entire country's population will be of Hispanic origin and a great number of those perhaps one-third also will be speakers of Spanish. Those are the ones who say that also -- those Hispanics will also be bilingual. What are we to conclude on the heels of these projections when a national movement arises to protect english, as if it needs protection, from some politicians and ethnic leaders. Many of us who oppose the english only efforts do so because we are seeing in this movement a zenophobia or as I call it Hispaniphobia, a movement really directed at one of the largest groups and by implication all nonenglish background persons in this country.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The U.S. English proponents on the one hand readily admit that english is by custom the language of the United States and I don't believe that's contested by any U.S. citizen. U.S. English, however, indicates its real agenda when it refers to legislation that it will pursue after making english the law of the land; that is the elimination of bilingual ballots, bilingual education, and other divisive measures which it fails to define. It supports its

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arguments by referring to the political upheavals over language that have torn apart, and I'm quoting, Canada, Belgium, Sri Lanka, India, and other nations. To my knowledge Canada is still a nation that hasn't fallen apart and it seems to me the argument against making english a legalized language in this country arbitrates exactly against that because the problems in Quebec, for example, occurred because a language was legalized in preference to another and we all know what the status of that is today, it doesn't work, it doesn't happen, it causes division and divisiveness.

Language to me in this whole thing is not the The issue here is prejudice. The intolerance of other ethnic groups' cultural and linguistic differences is central to the issue of U.S. English. It makes the language spoken by an individual a loyalty test for citizenship. ignores and puts down the cultural and linguistic diversity It would deny access to voting rights and of this nation. equal educational opportunity to millions of citizens who are not yet english proficient. I've worked in bilingual education for nearly 13 years now and I have yet to see any project or bilingual educator who would deny that the goal of bilingual education is to develop proficieny in english. National legislation under Title 7, Bilingual Education, has never permitted anything else. It's true there have been

violations of these regulations, but it is also true that monitoring of these programs has also uncovered and corrected these abuses of the law. Hiakowa hurts when he indicates that some ethnic groups push for bilingual education that doesn't emphasize english. Bilingual by definition means two languages. One of those has to be English.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hispanics as well as all our recent immigrants know full well that success in this society necessitates proficieny in English. Bilingual education is one means of attaining that and I might add it has been done successfully in Maine and continues right here in the City of Portland at Peter Dana Point and Pleasant Foint Reservations and enjoyed exemplary status with the U.S. Office of Education in the It would be an unfortunate development to St. John Valley. see us revert to the sink or swim english submersion experiences of our limited english or nonEnglish proficient students that preceded bilingual education legislation in National data at that time indicated that minority 1968. language students were achieving well below national norms, had higher school dropout rates, were overrepresented in special education and compensatory programs, and were being denied equal educational opportunities afforded to their english dominant peers. Culturally insensitive though well meaning educators even here in Maine too often negated the

child's or parents' home language and culture by insisting on english only and actually using punitive measures for being caught speaking the mother tongue on or about school property. This produced low self-image and motivation for learning. In so many ways children and parents from minority language groups were told that to be a loyal American one had to speak english only and adopt the customs and mores of the dominant anglo culture. I have seldom encountered limited english proficient adults whose students would not believe strongly that to make it in America's society one needs to learn and become proficient in english.

California as well as many other states have already begun to extend the english only law to public business, government, and education. Opponents of the english only movement point out that motives behind this movement are once again to put ethnic linguistic minorities in their place and to effectively disable them politically and educationally. It is a return to prebilingual and multicultural education effectively denying the culture and language heritage of millions of Americans; in short it's an insult.

It is noteworthy that two separate attempts to pass english only legislation in the U.S. Congress have failed and it's also significant that when these efforts fail, the english only proponents adopted a new strategy if we can't

pass a national law, then let's do it state by state. year in our neighboring state of New Hampshire an attempt to introduce the english only bill failed to make it out of committee. In fact, one of the bill's sponsors withdrew his sponsorship of the bill after hearing testimony from a number of representatives from the Hispanic, Asian, and Franco American communities. To my knowledge no such legislation has been introduced in Maine yet. legislation is attempted or worse yet passed, it would indeed be a slap in the face of the many ethnic linguistic groups found in Maine's population. Many legislators as well as people in the general population see no need to make law what is already fact. English has been and continues to be the language of government, commerce, and education. deny the use of bilingual education as a proven pedagogy in Maine is to be ignorant of the tremendous achievement in past and present programs we have had here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bilingual education programs that foster pride in one's own cultural and linguistic background as well as educate the local english speaking population in other languages and cultures have done much to improve student achievement and break down these cultural prejudices. should be a concern to this committee and to the citizens of Maine that no one should be denied access to public health, welfare, and educational services or be victims of the law

because of one's inability to handle the english language proficiently. Many of Maine's elderly population are of Franco American descent and french is their language of preference. Many never had the opportunity in life to develop their english language skills and yet they have contributed substantially to the social, economic, and political life of this state. We are only just beginning to recognize the linguistic needs of these citizens in order to help them participate fully in the system. I strongly recommend that this issue be monitored in Maine as well as in the nation. Such an effort to legislate language should be quickly and swiftly defeated.

And, finally, I would like to quote from the English Plus Project brochure, their special convention issue of June of 1986, if the ongoing efforts to make english the official language of the United States are successful, english won't be the official language, discrimination will. The english only movement is merely the latest form of what Dr. Ricardo Kalao first described as linguistic racism in the United States; and to quote a Maine Yankee person, to include all those anglos, also, if it ain't broke, don't fix it. Thank you. I'll leave a copy of the full document with the committee here so you'll have a chance to read it.

MS. EZZY: Very good. Thank you. Let me start at

this end of the table. Barney, do you have any questions?

MR. BERUBE: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Ken?

MR. MORGAN: No.

MS. EZZY: Bill?

MR. BURNEY: No.

MR. STERN: No.

MS. MULTER: No.

David, thank you very much. MS. EZZY:

The next speaker this afternoon will be Pearl Tendler and she is representing the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith.

MS. TENDLER: I want to thank the committee for inviting me here to speak. I, too, am at this very moment late for a meeting and I will stick to my written words I speak because I know that they are exactly seven minutes. to you today as a representative of the Anti-Defamation Because of our belief in the democratic process, the rule of law, the dignity and worth of every individual and their experiences as members of a minority group, the Anti-defamation League readily identifies with members of every disadvantage group. While our primary goal is fighting anti-semitism, for 75 years now we have been active in the pursuit of our charter which compels us not only to stop the defamation of the Jewish people but to secure

justice and fair treatment for all people. To that end the

Anti-Defamation League is in frequent and continuous contact

with government agencies, news media, church, civic and

ethnic groups, businesses and educational institutions.

Independently and in conjunction with these groups we

sponsor conferences, colloquia, and workshops on intergroup

relations each year.

In the past two months — the past few months in our New England office located in Boston we have had a seminar in discrimination and higher education examining how it affected Jews in the past and comparing it to how it is presently affecting Asians and Asian America. We have conducted security seminars for religious institutions, a forum on extremism for law enforcement officials, and recently took a group of black and Jewish leaders to Israel. This group which included Martin Luther King, III was made up of people who are committed to an open dialogue and deeper understanding of each other's communities.

While it may often be true that you have to be taught to hate and fear, it is equally true that understanding has to be taught, too, to overcome prejudice. That is the aim of the program I'm about to tell you, The World of Difference. The World of Difference campaign, a prejudice awareness project, started in our Boston office in 1985. Our director, Leonard Zacomb, felt that the time was

right to reverse over a decade of overgross racial tension The goal was to find ways to celebrate the in that city. rich heritage of racial, ethnic, and religious diversity while working hard to reinforce attitudinal change, the attitudinal change that the attorney general began his remarks with, around the issue of prejudice. In most issues of prejudice the primary recourse is through legislative or judicial means in order to prevent the behavior for Certainly at ADL we have been manifesting itself again. proponents of such prevention. Nevertheless, changing behavior cannot be enough. Prejudice is at least partly a matter of attitudes. A World of Difference looks to change attitudes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As we did in Boston and as we are doing in over 10 cities nationwide including Detroit, Miami, San Francisco, Houston, Philadelphia, and now New York City we have maintained a broad-based, multifaceted coalition governing grass roots support bases composed of interested, enthusiastic, and committed people like yourself. In Boston together with the Greater Boston Civil Rights Coalition, WCBB TV and Shawmut Banks, the Anti-Defamation League initiated an unprecedented project, The World of Difference, aimed primarily at young people from junior high to high Since young people spend a large portion of their school. time in school or in front of the television set, education

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

and media seemed the obvious vehicles to reach them.

A World of Difference is a project with a national resource guide developed by experts in the field of curriculum development. We conduct teacher training workshops always with the interest and support of the commissioner of education, superintendent, principal, and teacher organization. We widely disseminate our material throughout the school system. The material includes award winning media produced by WCBB thus combining television in a unique and limited potential to affect constructive The television component allows -- I'm sorry that change. Gerry's not here -- allows for the incorporation of prejudice awareness programming into specials, documentary, editorials, and news programs fostering awareness in the wider community and reaching parents often the missing link in an educational endeavor. Most important, however, is in -- is that in each city with the support and the input of local civil rights groups, local television stations, and local corporate sponsors the campaign mandates the creation of locally relevant television programming and locally relevant curriculum material to use as a resource in enabling teachers and students to respond to the issues that are locally relevant to them.

I'm speaking this week to people in the corporate, media, and educational communities. Our discussion is

focused on insuring that Maine is the place where the idea of difference is respected and understanding of it is Our curriculum addresses many of the issues and concerns raised here today and those that will still be raised here. Progress in these areas rarely occurs in easy to measure jumps and bursts. Frogress is achieved in small and sometimes painful steps over a long period of time. know that many good community programs exist in Maine. World of Difference can help supplement and enhance these efforts due to the high public visibility purported by the media partners. I ask you today for your consideration and Your voices can make it clear to the television stations and the companies in Maine that their constituency is concerned about this issue and it behooves them to address it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to stray from my written remarks for one moment to tell you that I hope not to have to repeat the experience speaking to someone rather high in the television industry here who told me that Maine doesn't have a problem with discrimination and that if we address the issue, we're going to create a problem where one doesn't exist. he could have been here today. I am struck by the fact that what's united us here today is our common experience of and concern for prejudice, stereotyping, and scapegoating. we're to truly build bridges on a firm foundation, we must

do so not on the basis of ignoring our differences but through open and honest communication. Though we speak for minority populations, our sincerity and our perseverance will propel our impact beyond our numbers so that we, too, can make a world of difference. Thank you.

We'll start at this end of the table. Elinor, do you have a question?

> MS. MULTER: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Marshall?

I would just like to comment that being MR. STERN: a member of the Jewish religion we are no longer considered minorities which is incredible in itself; but it's important that we not be concerned with ourselves, that we share our experience and try to share that communication on discrimination for everybody else in the community. commend the effort and I think this is what Gerry was talking about, a huge dissemination of information and education to the public media, and I hope that we can continue to educate the corporate side and the media side to carry the message.

You say there is no discrimination. I was talking to a gentleman here today in Portland, a tailor from India, who feels in his shy own little way the discrimination of being able to go to the bank and asking for a loan and watched how the Yankee banker looks at him and wonders about him and sees that he's different and how he just shared that feeling of loneliness, so there are groups out there that we can embrace and I commend your effort and hope you gain the support that should be out there.

MS. EZZY: Thank you. Ken?

MR. MORGAN: No.

MR. BERUBE: Just a quick -- you -- with the exception of the television person that you ran into, I regret I guess that in one sense that the TV and other press people didn't catch your remarks, but the question overall what kind of reception do you see -- what does it look like for Maine just viscerally?

MS. TENDLER: For the most part people think it's an issue that needs to be addressed publicly and that there is momentum gaining for it to be addressed publicly. I think that there is hesitation on the parts of people particularly within the corporate and media community. Certainly within the civil rights community it is clear that this is a need. But my sense is that with the support of people within Maine that will, you know, convince the companies that their constituency is a concern and that they will address it, so I'm hopeful. It's a process.

MR. BERUBE: Thanks.

MS. EZZY: Thank you very much.

MS. TENDLER: Thank you.

<u>---</u>--

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

7

25

MS. EZZY: The next person to speak this afternoon is Ann Pardilla, she's the lieutenant governor of the Penobscot Indian Nation.

MS. FARDILLA: I thought I was going to say good afternoon, but it looks like good evening. We talk about Indian time and I guess white folks have Indian time, too. We are always late, but it so happens that I'm always early. And Franco American and Indian quag. You know we don't say how, we say quag meaning welcome; we know how. My name is Ann I. Pardilla, lieutenant governor of the Penobscot Nation and I'll probably touch base on a lot of things that you talked about, evolution, being different. I wish to -today I wish to address the single and the most important concerns of our tribal government, our childrens, future, their rights as human beings and the cycle of racism found in Maine. As we near the 21st century I'm mindful of the every changing pattern of human existence and the slow evolution towards social equality for all people; however, there is a need to assess the current status of civil rights in the content of human rights. Also, we must consider the historical and cultural source of racism in the United Native Americans are a distinct people, unique in States. their history, culture, and value.

Maine Indians, the Penobscot, Passamaquoddy,
Malaceden, and MicMac share the common bond with their

use of distinct and unique to illustrate a very important point. Being different has been used too many times in many ways which have hurt our people. In fact, no greater harm could be imagined than the withstanding of spiritual, psychological, cultural, and social pain caused by the force of assimilation of society values, norms, and the way of living. There are many forms of racism perhaps more than I have personally witnessed or heard; however, I can attest to the cultural drawing a line in Maine and the United States first by the facts of being a native. My experience somehow is nonvalid. Through tribal history, teachers, songs, dances, dress our wisdom and languages are not important; the significance are important.

tribal people throughout the maritimes. I have stressed the

We, too, as a Franco American were told we could not speak our language so today the Penobscots do not speak their language. Next by the fact of living nextdoor and by residing in the eastern state a Penobscot is not the same as a Native American in the West. I'm not quite a real Indian, yet everything around me confirms that I'm quite different. Terms such as squaw, chief and injun were used to describe something very disgusting, moreover each word was commonly spoken in a fashion that really fully expresses disdain, contempt, and corruption of my human spirit and my people. Finally, to further confuse the presence of social signals

which defines who and what I am, there are strange sense of humor to derive or negate my humor by me and my being a Penobscot. Usually such conversations going around when people want you to hear, they should go back to their reservation or end with you know who they are.

There is a distinct social behavior in how Indians are usually dealt with here in Maine. First, the lack of recognition, positive attitudes. For example, the number of Maine Native Americans who served in the country's conflicts, wars, and battles since prerevolutionary times to the present have been clearly nonrecognized and have been forgotten. We have fought through revolutions. We had a war called the French and Indian War but in actuality it was a French and English War; the Indians were drew in there in order so the French could win.

Next, a Maine person will find it very difficult and different in approaching Maine institutions as Michael Stern talked about and applying for a bank loan, for example, they will need a cosigner when an individual would otherwise need just a requirement of a signature loan. Another instance would be applying for AFDC or any other social assistant program. Our people are asked to return to the reservation even though they're not living there, they're living in Bangor, Portland, Augusta. They are asked to go back to the reservation. They are millionaires back

there now, they have a lot of money; and they are often

turned down because of the Settlement Act of the Maine

Indian Land Claim despite the promise that they would not

use that per capita as income.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As a mother of six and five that were not raised on the reservation except for one, my youngest, I have seen the meaning of difference in their lives. My first five children in their youth were raised on a military They were raised as Indian and Philippino, in reservation. fact, they call themselves Philippeans; yet knowing that they are also English and they are Scotch and they are Irish blood lines, my husband and I are parents, and also various people in the community which we live we learn to be proud of our Indian heritage. Of course, we were not stationed in They were also not made to feel ashamed nor did they have to blend in to create a sense of belonging. important each of my children learned at very early age that they had individual abilities and gifts and the pursuit of excellence without the fear of standing out or feeling guilty of having motivations to achieve.

In a sharp contrast, my 15-year-old son who was raised on the reservation of 13 of his 15 years appears to be contented with As and Bs instead of attempting for the high straight As. He justifies his actions because he doesn't want to be standing out in the crowd or he won't

want to be burnt down by his peers. However, the attitude is somehow — it is not common in our community on our reservation, because it indicates that some types of shortcoming is in our community. Our young people do not live up to their full potential nor can they and we need to change that through our environment of our community or how persons learn to accept themselves less than they can be or she can be or maybe it all relates to how the self-esteem and the pride in one's self can be by — deterred by the feeling of shame, guilt, or becoming what everybody wants us to be.

Before ending my presentation I want to leave you with more observations and thoughts concerning racism and the native people. Two years ago my five-year-old granddaughter was at a public function in a traditional dress which we were providing there at the school. The principal came over and said you do not look like an Indian, you have blonde hair. She looked up to him and she said my hair may be blonde but it doesn't make me an Indian, my mommy and my grandmother do. Our children attending schools in Old Town, Bangor areas are at risk for carrying over the effect of being different, the different era; in other words, the grandchildren, of my generation as such the cycle of racism would be complete. Beyond the borders of Maine the white society has engendered a stereotypical version of

native. The media, movies, history books, educational institutions, and television have established a set of values, images, and views of native reality which cannot be forgotten by the American public.

A

I also would share a poem that was written by an Indian, Ernest J. Osheel, Senate for Sioux. A river has its banks in the earth of the sky, a mountain has its valleys, why not I, but its river is sad and moves along the way, I doubt it even notices for it moves on every day. Does the earth feel its harness as it runs into space, I doubt it even notices for each must have its place. Does the mountain feel its boundaries as it towers towards the sky, I doubt it even notices or even wonders why. So if I lack my freedom what all that I can see, life, health, family, and friends, then my boundaries must be me.

In making my final remarks I feel it's important to leave something which has hope for the future. There has been progress made since we first appeared before the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights nearly two decades ago.

Needless, it must be clearly understood that we have a new generation before us who rely upon us for guidance and as example to create better lives. Further, there is a need of recognition that legislation and laws by itself cannot reverse the powerful trend to deny the presence of racism in our lives. Thank you.

MS. EZZY: Thank you. Any questions here?

MR. BERUBE: No.

MS. EZZY: Ken?

MR. MORGAN: No.

MS. EZZY: Marshall?

MR. STERN: I'm going to ask a question that we once sent out in a study. Do you feel that in your own particular area or in the state that the Indians are getting a fair shake with the criminal justice system; do you think there is still a lot of prejudice in that area?

MS. PARDILLA: Well, I do. Of course, we have our own tribal court, but we cannot try the nonIndians that are in our court system as you know and we're going out after class D crimes for ourselves; but Indians we can be tried double or you say double jeopardy. If an Indian is tried in our court, it may be taken to state and federal. But, you know, with an Indian we have to live under so many rules, we have to live under the federal rule, state rule, and tribal government, and there is always Big Brother watching over us; and tribal court is an issue that we can be able to take care of our own needs.

MR. STERN: Do you think there have been improvements then in the general Bangor area, for instance, in rules?

MS. PARDILLO: Well, an improvement is saying

that -- we say that if I need a lawyer as a lieutenant 1 governor, I have a wire line into Jenny Wiggins which comes 2 out of Tom Tureen which comes in Portland. Let's say I'm a 3 tribal member and I have a problem and then I want to go out 4 and get Michael Stern for a lawyer or any other lawyer or 5 anyone around, they'll say we don't know anything about 6 Indian law, you'll have to go and see Tom Tureen. Well: Tom 7 Tureen, you need a lot of money to see Tom Tureen. You 8 don't have anybody -- and right now we're looking to go to 9 Pine Tree Legal in order to -- maybe they can help some of 10 our people. You know, they feel Indians are rich here, 11 we're paper money Indians; you know, just like the stock 12 market it went down, the paper money, not real dollars and 13 14 cents.

MR. STERN: Thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PARDILLO: Thank you. I do want to share this with you. Thank you.

MS. EZZY: Okay. Thank you very much.

MS. PARDILLO: Thank you.

MS. EZZY: The next speaker this afternoon is Sambo Sok, he's president of the Union Cambodian Association of Maine.

MR. SOK: Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Sambo Sok, I reside at 25A Riverbank Court, Springvale, Maine. I arrived in the United States as a Cambodian

citizens; but U.S. citizens has not changed something for us. Those are the things that I have come here today to tell you about. I have always wanted to have my people to reside happily here in this country and especially in this state. That is why I have to form the Union Cambodian Association of which I am now president and that is why I am here today. I know I need your help for myself, for my family, and for my people.

Today I want to tell you about and let you experience again with me some things that have happened to me and to my family from the time I arrived in 1981 until the present. Starting with the the first snowstorm of the winter of 1981, the first snowstorm I had ever experienced

experience again with me some things that have happened to me and to my family from the time I arrived in 1981 until the present. Starting with the the first snowstorm of the winter of 1981, the first snowstorm I had ever experienced in my life, I was happily playing with my children when it was a very surprise to come from the neighbor, it's a rock disguised as a snowball hit my head and at the same time come nice words of welcome from all these friends standing around, go back where you come from, go. I did not understand this word until later on, I did not know english then; I just looked at them and wondered, maybe this is the way you play the snowball game.

refugee on September 11, 1981 with my wife, brother-in-law,

and five children, a family of eight. After six and a half

years in this country, my family and I have become U.S.

 \mathbb{Z}

My next experience came in the spring of 1982 when

their early 20s were having a good time near us, part of their good time included drinking beer and then soon it includes throwing the empty beer bottles at us where we were fishing. We did nothing but try to ignore them, but once they knew that we wanted to leave the area, they made a bonfire in the middle of the road to stop our car from leaving. These people then used all kinds of nasty slang words and expressions. They say go Vietnamese, et cetera. We know for sure that these people want to have physical fighting but we did not. We left the scene peacefully with the thought in mind that being a chicken is better than trying to be a rooster or to be a turkey.

my brother and I were fishing. A group of four white men in

In 1983 when we were leaving the car wash, I started being familiar with the American sign alphabet. Many Cambodians have been greeted with this same letter, the letter I that is formed by extending only the middle finger upward. Many Cambodians at first thought it was the way to say hello and respond with thank you. I knew better thought and was mad. I chased the man in his car at high speeds through the streets of Sanford. It looked like a James Bond movie. I want to ask him why, why he did that to me, I had done nothing to him but he escaped.

Among the Cambodian refugees who have settled in Maine many are widows with young children or single women.

24

25

I naturally have a special sympathy for these women and their children for they are being vicitimized frequently by American men. Let me give you some examples. One, in South Berwick, a young widow has frequent uninvited male visitor who doeshit believe in wearing pants. She must now answer her door armed with a knife. It is the only way -- only way to prevent him from entering her apartment. When she doesn't come to her door, he gives explicit description over the telephone of what he would like to do with her. called the police but they always come too late. Portland, almost all single and widowed young women regularly receive the same type of obscene phone call. They hate to answer the telephone. Three, also in Portland, a young mother often finds a stream of urine trickling under her apartment door; someone has stood outside the door, urinated, and disappeared. The police have not caught him either. Four, again in Portland, a number of women have been visited by a man dressed as a doctor naming a Cambodian hospital employee as his friend and claiming that he has been sent to do a home physical examination. women submit themselves to the exam; soon, however, after comparing the story with neighbors, the women uncovered the man's scheme and refused him entrance. This man was identified, tried, found to be retarded and released.

In Sanford, an American woman married to a

Cambodian man finally quit her job because of harassment from fellow employees. She was tired of being asked why she She was also tired of persistent had married a Cambodian. untrue rumor that Cambodians pay no income tax and that the government give them all Trans Ams or Z28s to drive. Springvale, a 10-year-old boy was intentionally shot with a BB gun in the neck and arm by a neighborhood young man. was also verbally abused. Legal action is currently being taken against the man. The boy's mother, however, is not interested in a large settlement of money. Her major concern is that the medical bill be paid. During the question -- during the questioning the fact was brought out that this incident was only one of many upsetting happenings, the mother having experiencing the 1925 Polpot communist takeover in Cambodia. That was why she did not respond more drastically. It was like another drop of water in the ocean to her. I hear many other stories that I could tell you, but I do not want to take up all the time with them because the more recent is the worst.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For the last 18 months my family has received nice phone call every day from a secret admirer. He wants to speak to Sambo Sok, but he doesn't know if Sambo Sok is male or female. He had the same dirty, x-rated, sexual message for whomever he talked with except the young children. He prefer to terrify the children with threats of killing them

if they do not pass the phone to their mother or father or if they hang up the phone on him. The children do not know what to do, who knows, maybe he know who they are even though he does not know who he is. So they are doing what he asks. We have asked the telephone company to help but so far nothing has happened except more calls on a daily basis. It is more fear and more disgust. It is sickening.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My family and I escaped from Cambodia up to Polpot for a year of horror because we want peace of body and mind. We had had enough. We thought when we come to this country that I had had my turn to be a victim. I lived through the Polpot holocaust and the refugee camps ordeal in Thailand. I did not know I was going to have to live through prejudice and harassment in the United States in the State of Maine. That's why all the Cambodians are not for sure that the United States is a peaceful place, that it is full of freedom and full of many understanding people. I believe that the United States laws do provide for human rights. The problem is that they are not enforced to their full We need to make them stronger, more powerful, and more effective through legislation that allow the full enforcement and the prosecution of those that break them. Thank you.

MS. EZZY: Any questions from this side of the table?

I'm curious about this business MS. MULTER: Yeah. 1 with the telephone company. Did they do anything at all or 2 3 tell you --They send me a copy of a form, a release MR. SOK: 4 kind of form, and I fill it out and it took me a week 5 because I have to put down every day, when they call, what 6 time and so on and we filled it out and sent it back and it 7 Sometimes he calls me 2:00 o'clock in the still happens. 8 morning or 3:00 o'clock in the morning. 9 MS. MULTER: How long since you sent it back 10 11 roughly? Roughly about two or three months ago, 12 maybe more than that. 13 And you've never heard anything more MS. MULTER: 14 from the phone company? 15 Nothing, my phone still rings every day. MR. SOK: 16 MS. MULTER: What phone company? 17 MR. SOK: New England. 18 MS. MULTER: New England Telephone? 19 MR. SOK: Yeah. 20 Do you have a question? MS. EZZY: 21 MR. MORGAN: No. 22 MR. BERUBE: 23 No. Sambo, thank you very much for 24 MS. EZZY: Okay.

coming and participating today. I appreciate it.

25

The last scheduled speaker of the afternoon is David Stauffer, he's the chief coordinator of the Maine Department of Health and Human Services.

A

MR. STAUFFER: I'm the state refugee coordinator for the Department of Human Services.

MS. EZZY: Thank you for clarifying that.

MR. STAUFFER: I would like to thank the committee for inviting me here and I would like to thank you for your stamina for sitting through all of this. I'm just going to very briefly supplement the moving and eloquent remarks made by my friend, Sambo Sok, just now. And briefly there are — for your information there are approximately 2500 refugees who have been resettled in Maine since 1975, primarily Cambodians and Vietnamese, about 2,000 of those are Cambodians and Vietnamese, but also several hundred Poles, Afghans, Iranians, and small groups of Hungarians, Bulgarians, Czechs, and Romanians.

There are two specific areas I would like to mention very briefly today around civil rights and refugees that have been troubling us and one is a potential issue and one is a very real issue. The very real issue is the reluctance of hospitals in Maine to provide interpreters for refugee patients. It impacts mostly in the Cambodian and Persian speaking refugee communities, Afghans and Iranians, simply because they are the largest groups using the

hospitals. Hospitals do not want to take the responsibility to find interpreters and they will use interpreters when interpreters come with patients but they will not find interpreters and for limited english speaking patients it's a very real problem. What you have is hospitals either do one of two things. They will either refuse to treat the person unless they come in with an interpreter or what is in my mind even worse they will treat the person without an interpreter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the cases where hospitals do provide interpreters they have something else that is only slightly Sometimes the interpreter they use is someone that's on their hospital staff. It might be a former refugee who works in the kitchen or the housekeeping department that they will call up, come up and interpret for us right now. That's really not adequate and it's not appropriate, because, number, one in most cases they have provided no training to this person, the person may not speak much more english than the patient they're interpreting for, they have no -- received no training in medical terminology, and that can certainly lead to errors in the interpreting which could be pretty drastic when you're talking about someone's physical well-being, and, furthermore, it may be inappropriate.

There have been instances where they have used male

employees to interpret for female patients in OB-GYN, and they sometimes use the person's neighbor, if someone lives in the same apartment building, to interpret for a rather sensitive medical condition so then they're violating the confidentialty of the patient. I think this situation violates the patient's rights to equal medical treatment and the right to a clear understanding of what is or is not being done to them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other issue that I mentioned earlier is a potential civil rights issue and it's something that I'm weary about and hope you would keep in mind and that regards to the implementation of the new Immigration Reform and Control Act, IRCA. As you're probably well aware there's a provision in that law that calls for penalties against employers who hire anyone who is not either a citizen or a My fear is that employers may want to reduce legal alien. their risk of sanctions by refusing to hire those who appear If you don't know and you can't prove it, quote foreign. take the safe way and hire someone who looks like they are a I think refugees are going to be especially vulnerable to this, particularly when you're talking about newly arrived refugees whose english speaking ability is rather limited. They are going to appear more foreign and, therefore, more risky and I urge the advisory committee to be alert for this potential abuse as IRCA has implemented.

And I thank you again for asking me here.

MS. EZZY: Okay. Thank you. Barney, any questions?

> MR. BERUBE: No.

1

 \mathbf{Z}

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Ken?

MR. MORGAN: No.

MS. EZZY: Ki-Taek?

Is there any effort in terms of MR. CHUN: collecting information for these potential views of the immigration act? We know there are some efforts. We learned in the State of Rhode Island and in some other states there is a coalition of networks developing to monitor precisely that either alleged or blatant sort of incidents of discriminatory hiring and I was wondering what the condition would be in the State of Maine?

MR. STAUFFER: Nothing has been done yet, but we also haven't -- I'm not aware of it happening; that's my common potential. I think if something came to our attention that it was happening, we would be more inclined to do something.

> MS. EZZY: Marshall?

I'm just curious, if there's an Asian MR. STERN: who happens to settle in Maine, how do they know of your resources; is there any information as to a factor or coordinator or something of that nature?

MR. STAUFFER: Yes. I'm trying to answer this simply. I administer a federal program that comes to the state and that's separate from the actual resettlement of refugees, that is done by private voluntary agencies. So number one, they are coming in through private voluntary agencies anyway and are aware of those agencies. Those agencies are aware of the program that we administer.

MR. STERN: So potentially everybody who settles here would know of your services?

MR. STAUFFER: I hope so.

4.

MR. CHUN: Does that mean that it is your agency which sort of listens to the problems and complaints they may have, then you channel them to proper agencies and authorities? Some cases may be — may belong to let's say the Human Rights Commission, some may belong to some other agencies; so who does this kind of channeling and funneling?

MR. STAUFFER: Yes, partially. One of the services we provide through a contract with an agency in Portland is Case Management for new arrivals, so some of it would be taken care of there, housing needs and help with employment and things like that; but in terms of civil rights or human rights, yes, they would contact our office.

MR. STERN: How do you account for the problems that Sambo Sok relates to us; who is pursuing the telephone company, who is pursuing the police, how do these things get

related to actual performance other than just a public statement that he has made here today?

MR. STAUFFER: Sadly enough nothing is being done because everybody says well, we have our job responsibilities, we have our job responsibilities, that's an individual thing. I think Case Management Program that I mentioned earlier will take care of it, but they have — they have a mandate that says as the state program does the goal of the program is to get refugees self-sufficient, to get them to obtain self-sufficiency which means quote a job unquote; so areas like that have not been addressed, areas of civil rights and human rights, and they are left as any other resident of the state.

MR. STERN: To their own devices?

MR. STAUFFER: Yes.

MR. STERN: Thank you.

MS. EZZY: David, what is the name of the agency that does the Case Management work in Portland?

MR. STAUFFER: It is a program of the Diocese and Human Relations Services. It's the Refugee Resettlement.

Program.

MS. EZZY: Elinor?

MS. MULTER: Yeah, I would you like to pursue this thing on the hospitals for just a minute. Were you aiming your concern would that be primarily in the Greater Portland

area?

MR. STAUFFER: Since that's where most of the refugees are.

MS. MULTER: I guess that's what I meant. What is it that you think the hospitals ought to be willing to do? I assume you're not suggesting or perhaps you are that they maintain a full-time interpreter for each language, that would seem unrealistic but —

MR. STAUFFER: That's right.

MS. MULTER: Have you talked to the hospitals and what is it you think they might well do that they are not doing?

MR. STAUFFER: I myself have talked to hospitals and various other people have talked to hospitals and we have talked to other people who —— we have talked to the Maine Hospital Association, too; and we're again talking to hospitals, starting a new effort in talking to the hospitals. What we feel it's reasonable to have a full-time interpreter or a three-quarter time in negotiation Cambodian interpreter, because we really feel they see enough Cambodian patients and Persian speaking I think for at least a part-time interpreter. We don't expect them, you're right, to have a full-time interpreter for each language that refugees speak in Maine. What I am most interested in is seeing them take the initiative and the responsibility

for finding interpreters instead of relying on the patient to find an interpreter.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STERN: The federal court system and the customs agencies in this area have people that sign up and who are made available and they're approved and when called upon they're compensated at that time. Perhaps some kind of a relationship like that where the hospital does a community service by having people on standby and paying them only when called upon. It works very well with customs and it works very well with the federal court system.

Another motto that was used in MR. STAUFFER: Washington State, I believe, was where hospitals joined It's similar to the motto where the Medivac helicopters will jointly fund an agency to provide interpreters and then use them as needed but only ending up paying for part of it.

MS. EZZY: David, do you have data that shows where refugees have settled in the State of Maine and, you know, how frequently is the data updated, if you have it?

MR. STAUFFER: I have data that goes back to 1975 and it's updated monthly, but the problem is it's not entirely accurate because that tells where refugees were Refugees as anyone else refugees can move around resettled. and it doesn't keep track of people moving even within the state or out of the state or into the state even from

another state, so all I — the figures I have are all approximate figures. Primarily Southeast Asians are in Portland, Biddeford, Saco, Sanford, Augusta, and smaller groups elsewhere; primarily most of the other nonSoutheast Asian refugees end up in Portland.

MS. EZZY: Do you have counts though in those communities?

MR. STAUFFER: No.

MS. EZZY: You don't?

MR. STAUFFER: We just can't keep track of them.

After INS did away with ther alien registration, on January

31st they used to have the little PSAs on TV to register by

January 31st; once they did away with that, we had no way of

keeping track of people.

MS. EZZY: Do you have it prior to that time; do you have any population figures?

MR. STAUFFER: No, that's when we were just getting started.

MR. BERUBE: Can I back up just a little bit with what David is responding to though. With school—age children a refugee census is taken every year for every school district in the state, so that would at least give you an idea if there are kids there and probably adults, too, that would go with them; so at least you can make some judgment and that's through the public education.

	A .
1	MS. EZZY: Okay. I think that would be helpful,
2	Barney, in getting that data in conjunction with the
3	testimony that we've heard.
4	MR. BERUBE: Yeah, I'll take the initiative in
5	getting that to all members of the staff.
6	MR. CHUN: Just a question of clarification. Do I
7	remember right that the national origin-related fair
8	treatment does not fall within the Human Rights Commission
9	jurisdiction or does it?
10	MR. STAUFFER: I think it does, doesn't it?
11	MR. CHUN: I think Pat Ryan said something about
12	not
13	MR. BERUBE: She said not in the school, not in
14	education.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
16	MR. CHUN: Fine. So, in other words, in some of
17	the cases that Sambo Sok related to us that couldn't go to
18	the Human Rights Commission?
19	MR. STAUFFER: That's my understanding.
20	MR. CHUN: Has that been done, Mr. Sok?
21	MR. SOK: No.
22	MR. BERUBE: Are you aware that they exist, the
23	Maine Human Rights Commission, I mean before today?
24	MR. SOK: Yes, I was aware but not strongly, fully

aware because, first of all, you know, from my understanding

24

25

Telephone (207) 772-6221=

they all feel that you cannot fight with a rock, because you always break it off, you always crack them because they're not eggs, they're rocks, you cannot fight this rock. is the ideology of the -- most Cambodians believe and plus not many people even though they are aware of the civil rights, even though they know where to go to your office they just try to -- try to -- do not want to do anything. They are afraid because through their life they're either trained or learned that and they cannot fight with anyone or cannot fight with any top rank or, you know, people or someone because they are afraid.

MR. CHUN: I can understand their feeling very undoubtedly and so on, but was there any contact or conversation, dialogue between let's say your group or some similar groups with either Pat Ryan's office or the attorney general's office on some of your concerns and sharing how you feel about not pursuing these issues?

> MR. SOK: No, we didn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EZZY: Any other questions for David? David, thank you very much. I appreciate it.

We're at the end of our regularly scheduled speakers this afternoon; but if there is anybody that is here that would like to speak, we would be happy to hear Again we would like to ask you -- we would from you. appreciate it if you could confine your comments to about 10 minutes and also we're happy to take any written testimony and, in fact, anybody that you know of that may not have been able to attend this afternoon that has some written testimony that they wanted to submit to us, we would be happy to accept that, also.

MS. BUCHANAN: I'll confine my comments to about one minute. I'm late for somewhere, also. My name is Brenda Buchanan and I'm a law student here at the University of Maine and I'm also a lesbian and I'm responding to the issue that Kathy McGinnis brought up earlier. Lesbians and gay men may not be part of your jurisdiction in the technical sense, but you do still need to hear from us. In places where we are not invited to speak we still can't remain silent or we're contributing to our own oppression which is very real.

Discrimination against lesbians and gay men in Maine exists on a tremendous scale and in the age of AIDS it's getting worse. If ever it was necessary for a group like yours to be paying attention, it's now. Several summers ago a gay man was murdered here in Maine in Bangor. There have been lots of other documented, widely publicized incidents of harassment and violence against lesbians and gay men. And I would just like to say that I think ongoing efforts are necessary and I would like to see this group take some initiative on that. There are plenty of people

who will testify. Every year, every session when we go before the legislature we have hours long public hearings where lesbians and gay men come in and talk about how difficult it is with no civil rights protection in this state.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So just because the Reagan administration doesn't define lesbians and gay men as a group that's in need of civil rights protection, you need to check in and see how that's working with them and just because the Maine legislature hasn't either because nobody has dealt with that in terms of political courage, which is what I think it is, doesn't mean that that's an accurate perception: serious problem and I urge you to look into it. There are many, many people who will give you information if you ever want to have a fact-finding type thing and you can reach them through the Maine Lesbian and Gay Political Alliance. Pat Ryan didn't address this, I don't think, when she was here but there are many gay men and lesbians who call the Maine Human Rights Commission every year to be told I'm sorry, you don't have civil rights in Maine, there's nothing we can do for you and that I think is a crime. So thank you very much.

MS. EZZY: Okay. Thank you. Any questions at this end of the table? Barney or Ken, any questions? Okay.

Thank you, Brenda.

1	Does anybody else — would anybody else like to
2	comment?
3	MS. PARDILLA: I have a traffic ticket for parking.
4	I should know I'm on the university and somehow I thought
5	when we were out there that I wouldn't get a ticket and I do
6	have one.
7	MR. STERN: I'll personally take care of that for
8	you.
9	MS. FARADILLO: Stan Evans is our friend so I was
10	going to give it to him.
11	MR. STERN: Well, let Stan pay for it.
12	MS. PARADILLO: Since it was this Commission I
13	figured I would give it to you.
14	MR. STERN: I'll forward it to Stan.
15	MS. EZZY: Bill?
16	MR. BURNEY: Just for the record, did you
17	officially enter in Gloria Tardiff's written testimony?
18	MS. EZZY: I haven't mentioned it in particular,
19	but we do have some testimony from Gloria Tardiff and that
20	will be included. We will also have testimony from
21	MR. BERUBE: Yvon Labbe.
22	MS. EZZY: from Yvon Labbe, from Terry Polchies
23	of the it's the Mid Maine what's the name of the
24	group? I can't think of it now.
25	MR. MORGAN: Central Maine.

MS. EZZY: It's Central Maine Indian Association.

They called and planned to be here and could not and they are submitting testimony.

MR. BERUBE: Shirley, if the question arises, is testimony sent to you or is it sent to Ki-Taek?

MS. EZZY: It can be sent to either. Any testimony that's sent to me, I will forward to Ki-Taek. You can send it to me. My address is Post Office Box 988, Augusta, Maine, 04330. Ki-Taek's address is on the notice of the agenda that was sent out prior to this meeting.

It appears we have no other comments from the audience here at 5:22. We're running a little late with our forum.

(TIME: 5:22 P.M.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Susan R. Berube, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maine, hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate record of my stenographic notes that were reduced to print through Computer-Aided Transcription.

I further certify that I am a disinterested person in the event or outcome of the above-named cause of action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I subscribe my hand and affix my seal this date, DFC 11 1087

Dated at Portland, Maine

Suban R. Berube, RPR
Susan R. Berube, RPR
Notary Public

My Commission Expires March 24, 1990