

cck meet.

MARSHALL REPORTING (302-734-7647)

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS DELAWARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

IN RE: FORUM ON LEGAL ASSISTANCE AVAILABLE TO MINORITY PRISONERS

Meeting of the Delaware Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights held in Wilmington, Delaware on December 3, 1987 in conference Room A, 4th Floor, Carvel State Office Building, Wilmington, Delaware.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

HENRY H. HEIMAN, VICE CHAIRPERSON
EMILY G. MORRIS, COMMITTEE MEMBER
DR. BLANCHE M. FLEMING, COMMITTEE MEMBER
DR. RAYMOND WOLTERS, COMMITTEE MEMBER
RALPH A. FIGUEROA, COMMITTEE MEMBER
LYNN WILSON, COMMITTEE MEMBER
TINO CALABIA, FIELD REPRESENTATIVE

MR. HEIMAN: Good afternoon. This is to be a forum on legal assistance available to minority prisoners. The matter was brought to our attention by one of the members of our committee, Ralph Figueroa at our last meeting, which has lead to a request of several members of the community to talk to us about the problems as Mr. Figueroa has developed them.

As part of the forum, we have with us the Delaware Department of Corrections Commissioner, Robert Watson; Professor Larry Connell, Delaware Law School; Sharon Letts, Criminal Systems Specialist Delaware Counsel of Crime and Justice; and Judith Mellen, Director of the American Civil Liberties Union in Delaware.

Mr. Figueroa has prepared as part of his Chairmanship of the Governor's Counsel on Hispanic Affairs Prison Committee, a document which I would suggest that we make part of the record, and would ask that Mr. Figueroa perhaps provide us with briefly what he perceives some of the problems to be, then we'll ask the various panel members to comment on them, saving all questions from the Commission and

others for later. While, obviously, the committee members will have the opportunity to directly question the Panel Members to the extent we have time, I would ask anyone else who has any questions for them to put them in writing and submit them to me and we will then, to the extent possible, ask the Panel Members to comment on them.

Mr. Figueroa, do you want to discuss your perception of the problems?

MR. FIGUEROA: I'm Ralph Figueroa, a member of this Committee. These problems were brought to the attention of the Governor's Commission on Hipanic Affairs Prison Committee, of which I am the Chairperson. For some time now, I've been getting telephone calls and mail direct to my office here in Wilmington about different problems with the inmates, especially in Smyrna Prison. Most of them, I tried to help them the best I could by mail, and I answered their letters. Some of them, there was nothing I could do.

One of their problems, the prison has a large inmate population of about 1200, and they only have

one legal aid worker who works 20 hours a week.

I recommend that they should have at least a full time legal aid worker in the prison.

Inmates are waiting for their case to go to Court, and those wanting to appeal their case have a long, long wait to get at least a public defender to work on their case. But the public defenders, they don't have enough people and they are loaded with the different cases and it takes a long, long time for them to take care of these people behind bars.

I believe the state should try to increase the total of public defenders, if possible.

A few years ago, we started to get Haitians in this state, and at that time I was working with the migrant farm workers in the Department of Labor. Ever since we started to have haitians in the state, we expected to have problems like any others coming in the state. Right now we do have haitians in jail, some of them have been sentenced, some are waiting for their trial to come up. In Sussex County they have a haitian community, that's how many stayed behind. Some of these inmate haitians in trouble

have been transferred to Smyrna to wait for their trial to come up, when the trial is going to take place in Georgetown Superior Court. The haitian community, I talked to some of them through an interpreter, and they are willing to help their own people if they could.

Friends and relatives cannot get in touch or visit with the haitian inmates in Smyrna because of the distance.

We recommend that they should be kept in the Georgetown facility while waiting for their case or while serving their sentences. This way they can be closer to their families, friends, and legal advisors, if any, and also their religious leaders. Religious leaders mean a lot to these people behind bars. They do feel much better when they can at least talk to their priests or ministers.

Hispanic and Haitians are taken to Court without the proper translation in Spanish or Creole.

This problem we've had around the state for many years now. And when I was working down in Georgetown, I did try as best I could to translate the

different cases. I volunteered for that. They do not have enough, around the state, qualified hispanic caucasians. I'm talking about native hispanics, to translate. We have to be very careful with some americans who call themselves bilingual, and when they do have to translate for these people, the spanish they learn in school is not the spanish they speak day after day. When I translate, I have to find out if it's a mexican, a cuban, because they all use different words. And if I do translate to them with a true castilian, they wouldn't know what I'm talking about.

We sent a letter sometime ago, in real good spanish to a farm worker, and then we had to translate the letter from spanish to spanish because he couldn't understand what we wrote down.

All right, going back to the translation. We have to be very careful how we translate, when we do offer our services, because just one or two words translated, mean a lot to the future of this individual when he's sentenced.

Native Spanish and Haitians who are

bilingual should be appointed to assist the accused during pre-sentence investigation, during the trial and even after they are sentenced if there is an opportunity for them to appeal their case.

At present, there are some prisoners in Smyrna prison with mental problems. This I found out this morning from one of the individuals working there, and this is wrong. These prisoners should be separated from others. They are in there waiting for trial, and if there is something wrong mentally, I don't believe they should be together with the rest of them.

Spanish and Haitian speaking natives should be appointed to the prison systems, such as psychologists and other health personnel.

In an emergency, the Haitian prisoners need help.

We recommend that the Department of Corrections should have available in case of any emergency at any time, a qualified Creole-speaking person.

Now this is a little hard. When they first

came to the states, we felt the Haitians spoke french. The Department of Labor called me and said, "Mr. Figueroa, Ralph, go to Del Tech and learn french." I went to Del Tech for ten days, the Department of Labor called me and said, "Ralph, forget it. These people don't speak french." It's creole. It's a mix. And some of you know that. It is hard to find somebody who speaks such language. Even italian, italian and spanish are so close, we understand quite a bit even if we speak spanish. But creole, we are all mixed up. Now, they might find somebody qualified to translate for these people in this state.

There is a need for native spanish/haitian probation and parole officers.

A native spanish priest should be added to the Smyrna prison staff.

Until recently, there was a spanish speaking priest, I think Father Ciprian from this area, and he could translate. It made these people feel mighty good when they do go to mass to have a priest that speaks their own language. Father Mack was there for

many years, but he's out of there. So, it's something we have to think about, and I believe we could check with the Bishop's office here in Wilmington, and they do have some spanish priests in the area to take care of that.

Down at the bottom, you will notice I put a note, a native speaker will be better prepared to understand dialects or regional forms of spanish represented by the different nationalities we deal with. Textbook spanish as taught in our schools is predominatnly castilian and does not prepare one for dealing with cuban, mexican, and other ethnic language differences.

A few weeks back, I visited the Prison in Smyrna. There was a problem in the commissary. They had all kinds of foods to serve to the inmates, and I stepped in and called the proper office there, and they finally started to serve spanish products. Goya products is very popular with spanish. If they get Goya, they're happy. So, that problem was taken care of.

So, those are our findings and our recommenda-

tions.

. 13

MR. HEIMAN: Thank you very much. We certainly appreciate it. Obviously, you have put a great deal of time and thought into this situation, and we appreciate your concern.

Commissioner Watson, we appreciate your being with us today, certainly considering all of the other matters that you have on your mind. We would appreciate if you could give us, to the extent possible, an overview of the situation concerning the minority prisoners to the extent that you have had some time to develop such an interest.

COMMISSIONER WATSON: I'd like to divide my comments into two parts. First, from the stand point of administration of corrections, it's critical for all programs that we have unrestricted access to the court system and to legal advice. It's a requirement of the opinions of the U.S. Supreme Court. They've prescribed generally what that involves, and I don't if this prison or any other prison totally complies with it, but it is our responsibility, so we accept that, and work to manage the operations to make it

come true. The reason it's important, aside from the Supreme Court ruling, is when there are these pending matters, it's essential that they be resolved. It's not good for the prisoners, for the management or the staff in any kind of operation to have these unresolved things over which they have no control denied access. So, we struggle to maintain adequate access for the prisoners. In Smyrna, which is the largest one, they have access daily, and one evening. And the report of the parttime worker is true there. He's very busy in the legal library, and is constantly booked with individuals from a large census working here.

In general, for the majority of the inmates that's their access, they get an appointment, they go to the library, they can check some things out, and some they cannot, because of the limited volumes.

So, for the most part, their research must occur in the library and by appointment. Their schedules can run for a morning or extended if they have a pending date. But, for the most part, it's work that must be done on site.

In the maximum security unit and for the other prisoners aside from Smyrna, they have kind of a book check-out system, and that's something we're looking at now. My familiarity with the Bounds decision of the Supreme Court is that you need more than just a check-out system on site. You need practically another legal library. There are some volumes that are unnecessary.

We are consulting with firms that provide those things and will get them screened by our legal advisers to attempt to update those.

So, to what extent it's deficient at this moment, I don't know, but we are carefully examining that, because I know the importance of it to our operation.

We are crowded. We lack adequate space, particularly in Georgetown and Gander Hill for a library to the extent which we have at Delaware Corrections Center. But with a large number of inmates, we're moving in that direction. The warden, particularly at Georgetown, is looking at relocating the current library to some other

б

facility. He's happily--I can report a slight decrease in the population in the last month of about 75 inmates. So, with some relief like that if we could make it permanent, we could relocate some of those facilities and make it more accessible and under better conditions for the inmates.

Now, with regard to DCC in particular, I received a memorandum yesterday from Francisco Rodriquez who signs himself as Hispanic/Haitian Counselor. His comments were specifically for this meeting, and I apologize for not having copies of this and wonder if we can get it done here. But, at least we can make it part of the record. And most of it agrees with Mr. Figueroa's comments. There is a need for translators, some within the system, some out of the system. The haitian community, in particular, is in Sussex County, and he recommends that also. But we'll get copies of this for you so you can see it in greater detail.

And again, I apologize for my tardiness, I was out of state and the train connections didn't meet, and I've been trapped by reporters all the way

across --

(WHEREUPON AN OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION

WAS HELD)

MR. HEIMAN: Shall we then defer any further comments until we get the report copied?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: Yes, because I would like to go over that with you.

MR. HEIMAN: Professor Connell?

PROFESSOR CONNELL: Well, I'm not exactly sure where to begin. But, in my perception, inmates' legal problems would seem to fall within three different categories. The first group I would call conditions cases, complaints about conditions in the prison.

The second group go towards the validity of their convictions, whether something was properly or improperly suppressed, whatever it may be.

The third group, and probably the group of problems that goes most unresolved would be a miscellaneous group, family problems, property problems, landlord problems, inmates who are convicted and given a five year sentence who have apartments with property sitting in them, and obviously they

aren't earning any income, and not paying any rent, what happens to the property in the apartments?

What happens to their cars out there? What happens to the support obligations to their children, since they are no longer earning any income? What happens when Mommy doesn't want to bring the kids down to Smyrna to see Daddy because it's not the kind of environment she wants the kids to be in? There are problems with visitation and custody arrangements.

I've seen a number of problems in each one of those groups. At the Delaware Law School in January of 1986, we started a post-conviction relief clinic, with students trying to assist some inmates in determining whether there were any constitutional claims that might justify filing appropriate application of petition for habeas corpus to set aside their convictions. When we opened that up, I also found that I received quite a few letters from inmates about conditions cases. And they were not the kinds of matters that we at school were able to handle. And I think, initially, I started sending them off to Judy at the ACLU, and I really to this date don't

know if there are any attorneys in Delaware who are assisting inmates on any of those conditions, or claims at this stage.

I am also the director of the school's Civil Clinic, which is associated with the Delaware Volunteer Legal Services organization. And I've seen quite a few claims from inmates, men and women, concerning the last group of problems, the property problems, family problems and that things of that sort.

I think that's where the real difficulty for the inmates exists, because as far as I know, there is no one on the scene at the individual prisons who can assist the inmates in pursuing petitions for visitation, petitions for modification of support, things of those nature. At DBLS, and Shirley Horowitz is here, she can add or correct me if I misstate anything, we did have a paralegal who would periodically visit the prison and interview the inmates and bring that information back and discuss it with the attorneys in the office to determine whether we could assist in pursing them, but since that time we've lost

that paralegal and we don't have that direct connection to Smyrna or the women's prison now.

Occasionally, we get letters from prisoners and if it appears potentially meritorious, we may send one or another of our civil clinic students off on a jaunt to the prison to interview the individual.

But, otherwise, they are left pretty much free to just grasp it in different ways, and proceed to address those kinds of problems.

In terms of the unrestricted access to the law library, for the most part, I would say that's useless to the vast majority of inmates because they have no idea how to use a library, number one. And even if they do know how to use the library, and I have a quite a few clients who do, they don't have the legal expertise to be able to apply that which they are able to read. So, they are caught in this "Catch 22". If the libraries are complete, we like to think that's sufficient for the inmate. On the other hand, the reality of it is that it isn't. I don't know what the solution to that is. I know a recommendation has been made by Mr. Figueroa to have a legal aid worker, I

don't know whether that refers to a paralegal. I have some reservations about letting paralegals loose, so to speak, without supervision by attorneys. Because those paralegals give misinformation just as much as fellow inmates can. I'm not sure what a solution to that would be, but it seems to me that if paralegals are employed in any degree, there needs to be some connection to a lawyer who can monitor and supervise that which the paralegal comes up with.

That's essentially it, unless there are questions.

MR. HEIMAN: Oh, I'm sure there will be questions, but I think we want to get everyone who has something to say first.

Commissioner Watson, we now have in front of us a copy of the memorandum to you from the hispanic/haitian counselor. I think you wanted to make some comments regarding this particular document?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think the important thing, I guess, I would add --you can all read it, of course. But, I think, the
number of inmates that are hispanic and haitian, I

б

think is of interest and three haitians at DCC is a matter that the counsel recommends we correct, to move those individuals to Georgetown. And, as a matter of fact, I think, one wrote to me this week. I don't know why he's at DCC, we'll have to look into that.

And, as I say, his recommendations, I think, parallel those of Mr. Figueroa with the need for certified Court interpreters, and in the case of the haitians to move them. That we should have available a volunteer creole interpreter.

At the top of the back of the page, I think, is a common problem of all inmates, including minority inmates, the difficulty of access to public defenders and the court system due to crowded dockets and other delays that all inmates experience.

And also his recommendation for a full time legal aid person in the institution, as well as a volunteer for hispanic inmates.

And finally, his general recommendations about native spanish speaking probation and parole officers. I did check on that, and we have two who

1	as Mr. Figueroa says, nave taken spanish classes,
2	doesn't guarantee at all that they can communicate
3	with them. They did assign those two individuals
4	to the hispanic caseload, but did not solve the
5	problem. There are still communication problems.
6	So, I just submit this for the information
7	of the Commission.
8	MR. HEIMAN: As a matter of record, is Mr.
9	Francisco Rodriquez a member of your staff?
10	COMMISSIONER WATSON: Yes.
11	MR. HEIMAN: I notice that it says From, and
12	he lists himself as hispanic-haitian counselor
13	but in the body of the letter, he lists himself as
14	the full time hispanic counselor. Is he the haitian
15	counselor merely by default?
16	COMMISSIONER WATSON: I think he's had that
17	added because of the transfer of those haitian
18	prisoners into the system.
19	MR. HEIMAN: That doesn't imbue him with
20	language capability, however?
21	COMMISSIONER WATSON: No.
22	MR. HEIMAN: Thank you very much.

Ms. Letts?

_

MS. LETTS: I'm Sharon Letts from the Delaware Council of Crime and Justice. We're an advocacy agency dedicated to improving the adult criminal justice and juvenile criminal justice systems.

I'm going to probably jump around a little bit because there are a lot of things that people are addressing and a few gaps I'd like hit.

One of those is talking about the pre-trial population. When any inmates, and especially minority, or illiterate, or non-english speaking inmates come into the system, generally they are not very well educated about how the legal system itself works, or what their rights are, or even understanding what kind of hearing they are going to and how that is going to affect them in the future. And that's a serious problem, I think. And there needs to be some sort of education process for inmates, or for pre-trial detainees who are coming in.

Other than that, once they get there, I agree the only law library that comes close to being

adequate is the one at DCC. I'm a paralegal, and I could not do legal research at the institutions at all if I tried. The only way that you can work that is being able to access the library at DCC, and they'll send up a book upon request and they get to look through it and sent it back, and then they request another book. And that's no way to do legal research. If they were able to do it.

They have one paralegal as it mentions here who works at DCC. I do want to mention the man works probably more than that. He only gets paid for twenty hours a week. He works full time or more. He volunteers the rest of his time.

The Department, I understand, has requested another paralegal position. But, I think, it has been doubtful whether either position will survive the budget cuts. So, we will probably end up with no paralegal to provide help to the inmates.

And also I wanted to include that that is not just for the 1200, that's for the entire 2800 population that those legal aides are supposed to be assisting.

And again, the no instruction, I taught a paralegal course for thirteen weeks down at DCC, and it is extremely difficult, because you have to start right from the beginning, and it's very difficult to help them to understand not only how to use the books and get through them, because that's a process that attorneys take three years or a lifetime to learn. And also the second point is that understanding legal thinking and legal rationale is another thing that takes three years to a lifetime, and we're expecting people who probably have a tenth grade education or less to try to find their way through that puzzle.

So, the next thing I want to bring up is what we are attempting to do with that. Russell Dynes who is sitting there, we have hired a Fender Project Coordinator, is that it? He works on the grievance system with the Department of Corrections. I want to explain that he was hired to do the things that I'm going to, with the express consent of the Department of Corrections and with their encouragement that we do this. So, he is working on the grievance system down there.

We are also looking at developing a legal clinic, using paralegals from Widener and from Wesley to come in and serve three functions — to do some grammatical corrections, and phraseology for legal forms; to help them do their legal research and help them fill out forms. So, our intention is to have an attorney connected with that if we can get one from the community to volunteer their services to do that, who will maybe be able to screen some of the cases that come up to see if there are any that have some merit, and to assist in that.

We are also involved in developing an interpreters program for the institutions. This is using University of Delaware students who were involved in the Linguistics Department, and in the interpreters program. Most of those people when we have asked for those interpreters, they have been native, except for creole. We have a spanish woman who has some understanding of it, not very -- or we did have a woman who understood some creole, not a whole lot. So, we were using her for the medical translations, because with hands and a little bit of language, she

could get the point across, and it was the best that we had at the time.

But, we are hoping that that will develop into a larger program that we can expand to the Courts. Public Defenders' offices use that for legal translation for the hispanic population.

That's another thing, in the Public Defender's Office, as far as I know, there are no hispanic speaking or spanish speaking individuals.

MS. MELLEN: There are no minorities in the Public Defender's Office.

MS. LETTS: So, not only do we have a language problem, I think we have a cultural problem there.

Again, I have a memo from Cathy Blough, who is from the Intake Screening Unit to Jim Cobb, her supervisor, explaining that there is a problem in the spanish detention population, and that they have very little idea about what's going on with it when they're there. And there is no one in the institution that can explain it to them in a language that they can understand.

And, I guess that's it for me.

MR. HEIMAN: Thank you very much. I'm sure there will be some questions. Ms. Mellen?

MS. MELLEN: Just a comment about your problem number two, which will get me into inmates waiting for their case to go to Court, and the recommendation that there should be an increase in the number of public defenders.

MR. HEIMAN: Before you do that, do you think you might want to tell us who you are and what you do?

MS. MELLEN: Judy Mellen, Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties Union of Delaware.

Partly the problem is the limited number of public defenders, but even if that number were adequate, there would still be a log jam in the system, because there aren't enough judges. There is at least one vacancy, and I believe that there has been a request for the Governor to add two more positions on Superior Court, and that's not been acted upon. So, we do have, assuming everything were working properly, we'd still have a narrowing of the tunnel at that point. So, there really is quite a

delay getting into Court, and 120 days which is their goal is practically never met.

Also, just one more point about the Public Defender's system. The way it's set up in Delaware increases or adds to the confusion because it's a horizontal system. By that, I mean, they are not assigned a public defender at first, and that public defender sees them through the trial. They will see a different public defender at different stages of their trial, or at stages of the proceeding. So, in a horizontal system it requires adjustment by various people, and if there should be a language problem that obviously is all the worse. That's all about the public defenders.

The ACLU is not a general provider of legal services, even though almost exclusively, my written mail comes from the prison. Very few letters are not prison-related. However, most of those letters, or a large percentage of those letters, deal with areas that we cannot help them with. As Mr. Connell was saying, they deal with civil matters, or they deal with areas related to their criminal trial, in which

case he refers his letters to me and I refer my letters to him. It's a mutual back and forth going on. Condition letters is one area that we can, in some cases, do something about. Most frequently how those are handled, or when they feel that there has been some kind of constitutional violation, we are able to assist in that by asking them to file a grievance, or very frequently a phone call. I told Commissioner Watson when I first met with him that the wardens at the prison have been very receptive to a phone call, in dealing with a specific inmate and a specific problem. That's very limited and very narrow at this point, of course.

MR. HEIMAN: I think for the record, you might point out the availability of the ACLU's cooperating attorneys staff on some generalized problems.

MS. MELLEN: Yes, we have been very gratified in the legal community's response to our request for cooperating attorneys, and we have, I think, a very large number for Delaware of attorneys who have volunteered their time to us for a wide range of cases.

And some of them have been involved in criminal

justice matters, more often than not though. However, there has been some interest recently, and I'm very interested in what Sharon was saying the DCCJ is doing, because we do have at least two and possibly more attorneys who recognize the problem with the lack of legal assistance in the prison, and they have offered to begin to look at setting something up through the bar association on a rotating volunteer basis. It would have to be a volunteer basis.

And possibly we could hook up with something through the paralegals that would work out well. I think it's main purpose is a screening kind of device, what claims are worthy of being pursued, and which just are not, and hopefully referring them elsewhere.

MR. HEIMAN: I think that perhaps we could now look to the Committee members to see if there are any questions. Perhaps, Mr. Figueroa, since you are the impetus for our meeting, perhaps if you have some questions for any of the panel members, if might be a good time.

MR. FIGUEROA: Are you from the same place where she works?

1	MS. LETTS: No. I'm from the Delaware Council
2	of Crime and Justice.
3	MR. FIGUEROA: And you work in this area?
4	MS. LETTS: Yes, in Wilmington, but I travel
5	up and down the state, as far and wide as the insti-
6	tutions are.
7	MR. FIGUEROA: Then you are in touch with the
8	prisoners in Symrna?
9	MS. LETTS: Yes.
10	MR. FIGUEROA: And do they contact you about
11	problems going on in the prison when they need your
12	assistance?
13	MS. LETTS: Sure, I get letters all the time.
14	MR. FIGUEROA: And you bring that up to the
15	officials?
16	MS. LETTS: Sure. It's one of the things
17	Most times I find the Department within its ability
18	to cooperate as much as possible.
19	MR. FIGUEROA: Mr. Connell, you say you don't
20	see no sense to have somebody steady, a legal aid
21	worker in the prison? I suggested that instead of
22	twenty hours a week we have somebody permanent.

MR. CONNELL: I didn't say I didn't see any sense to it. I see that it's not the panacea or cure-all for the legal problems of inmates. It certainly would be a help to them in preparing pro se petitions to some degree. But even there in the post conviction habeas corpus area, I see that the paralegals have so little knowledge of that area, that they are essentially useless in terms of assisting the inmates to prepare pro se petitions. So, it would be of some help, but I wouldn't want anyone to think that that would be the cure-all.

MR. FIGUEROA: Having a legal aid worker there is just like having a minister or a priest in the area. Even if there is not much they can do for the individual, it does make them feel much better to know that there is somebody there listening, who will talk to them instead of just forgetting about them. I talked to some of them and they don't even know which way to go to talk to somebody. They write to me about other problems. I visited the prison a few times. The first thing I tell those people when I go there is, I'm not here to get you out. Because

they expect miracles, some of them. I let them know that they are there because of something they have done, and I'm there to listen to their problems and I'll do the best I can once I get outside. And once I get outside, I try the best I can and make some communications to help the individual. But they complain about this legal aid, "We don't have anyone", and that's the main thing they complain about most of the time. And they want someone to talk to at any time.

DR. FLEMING: I'm wondering if we're tied up with words and labels rather than functions.

When I hear you say they need some one to talk and associate with to get them started, it seems to me that they are the very initial kind of steps. Taking into consideration steps in the legal procedure, and how you get sophisticated from one level to another, I think I hear Ralph saying that one thing they need is somebody that's very close to their level who could talk to them, and I hear Connell saying, we need a sophisticated kind of service, because this is an arena in which the legal aid is insufficiently quali-

fied to help them. I don't see them as being opposed to each other. I think one is where you start, and then another level. And wherever you are, it has to be cost effective. When you say we need these things, then you've got to attach some kind of figure to it. And I would ask Commissioner Watson, is it? How do you view initiating or encouraging or enforcing the service that you have within the ramifications of the budget?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: In my experience, for one interval in my career, we had one good legal service that had three parts to it. We had trained legal research by inmates under the superivision of lawyers from outside. They had access to volunteer lawyers as you heard here. And the third part is the civil thing. For women, in particular, losing their children, they can't get to the Court hearing, and they can't handle the custody. That whole area, I don't think, has enough emphasis given to it.

We had in our state a full time juvenile service worker right in the prison in the women's prison to deal with all those custody matters and

would be escorting people to Court so they could tell their side of the story, and retain at least visitation rights and know where their child was and so on.

And you need those three parts for these reasons. Some inmates because of the way the system has treated them don't trust staff. They don't even trust other people. They don't trust other inmates. So, you need that inmate contact, and they do have legitimate legal issues they need to raise. So, you need that inmate contact for that group of inmates.

For others it's a very complex thing, and they'll just turn to anyone for help, and that's why you need really some trained lawyers to give them that kind of access. And there are all these outside questions of guilt or innocence that have to do with their other matters in their lives which can really affect their ability to rehabilitate themselves and think in terms of their future. They are all tied up in their past. An auto accident, they've got a huge claim, and they are tied up here sometimes for the rest of their lives because they can't get to Court to defend themselves. So, all those things

need to be brought to bear. In addition to that,

I wasn't here for Sharon's comments, but we do need
a fundamentally sound greivance system, so when they
have a problem they can come to the Board. They don't
know what it is. They don't know if it's a legal
issue, or if it's a policy matter, or it it's a misconception. So, they need access to someone who can
give them a decision. And that underherds this other
thing, so that you have a reliable system they can
count on to determine whether or not what they have is
a legitimate complaint which should go into Court or
another direction.

In terms of the cost of that, it's very difficult for any Commissioner of Corrections to get that whole thing funded through the general fund. There needs to be some volunteer assistance, interest from some of the universities to say, this is a training opportunity perhaps for new lawyers or students. And, again, it's someone outside the system who they can turn to and talk to and ask dumb questions and feel comfortable when they get some sort of response. So, we need this combination of

things, and what that costs in this state, I couldn't tell you. And it's complicated further then by the need for interpreters to translate all the complex business that all of us have into other languages. So, what that would cost, I couldn't tell you, but I think it's fundamentally a responsibility we have and a fundamental need, and we are certainly working in that direction. But we have to go back to square one, what do the libraries look like? How much do we need to expand in order to give inmates reasonable access to it? And I can't answer your question. It is something we're interested in looking at in the pennant of this inquiry and it's an ongoing responsiblity we have.

MR. HEIMAN: Just two housekeeping matters.

One, Commissioner, you indicated a state where
things were being discussed, was that the state of

Oregon?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: Yes.

MR. HEIMAN: Was that a larger prison system than this?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: Not much. When I left

1	there was about 3300 and we're about 3000 now. It
2	was a much larger state, and we had fewer prisoners
3	per capita.
4	MR. HEIMAN: Ms. Letts, could you tell me
5	whether or not the DCCJ is a state funded
6	organization?
7	MS. LETTS: Oh, no. It's a private, non-
8	profit. We get about 80 percent of our funds from
9	the United Way. We get some federal funding, and we
10	get some funding from IOLTA. And some from churches.
11	And then some private donations from people.
12	MR. HEIMAN: Did you have a statement you
13	wanted to make?
14	MS. HOROWITZ: Judy asked both Larry and I to
15	be on the Panel, and I just didn't think we both
16	needed to be, but Commissioner Watson said something
17	about volunteer attorneys.
18	MR. HEIMAN: Did you want to comment on
19	Delaware volunteer legal
20	MS. HOROWITZ: If I might, yes.
21	MR. HEIMAN: Briefly, yes.
22	MS. HOROWITZ: My name is Shirley Horowitz,
	II

and I'm the pro bono project director of Delaware
Volunteer Legal Services, and we, in fact, do have
attorneys in private practice that have represented
prisoners on civil matters. And we continue to do
so. Our problem is the screening, the interviewing
and intakes to develop cases which are meritorious.
We lost our paralegal as Professor Connell mentioned,
and we still have the ability to represent people,
but we don't have the capability to go into the prison
and find the cases because of the size of the state
and other things. But we do receive letters, and
sometimes there's enough information to make a deter-
mination that it is, in fact, a meritorious case.
One big problem they have are the civil problems
that ordinary people have on the outside, but because
of their incarceration, they are less able to deal
with those problems, and I think that that's the most
important problem they have, how to reach out to the
community where there are atorneys who do and will
continue to accept cases. And I just wanted to assure
Commissioner Watson that there are pro bono attorneys
and we are interested in doing things for prisoners.

DR. FLEMING: But, I think Ralph's point, if
I get the focus of this meeting, is not just a general
need in the prison, but's it's a specific need of the
minority group to have what I consider to have a language barrier. So, I would like to see us kind of
focus in on that.

MR. HEIMAN: Focus in on that. I think one
of the issues that has not been discussed, and I think

MR. HEIMAN: Focus in on that. I think one of the issues that has not been discussed, and I think maybe even Shirley can talk about this, is the number of lawyers in the state of Delaware who are spanish fluent. And I think you would be surprised or maybe not surprised to find out that there's not a lot.

DR. FLEMING: Aida is the only one --

MR. HEIMAN: There are five that we know of, and the number of creole speaking lawyers can probably be counted on --

DR. FLEMING: A finger.

MR. HEIMAN: --any fingers of a hand. So, while it is well and good to suggest that there is a need for such people, the fact that they aren't available, it's like crying for meat at a vegetarian convention. It's not there.

MR. CONNELL: But that only emphasizes the need for interpreters at all levels. I'm aware of at least one instance where a spanish-speaking invididual was convicted on a felony charge. He had an english speaking attorney but had no interpreter. He had no idea what even transpired in the course of the trial. How does an inmate prepare for trial with english-speaking attorneys if there is no interpreter to facilitate the communication between the two?

MS. LETTS: And another problem that goes

MS. LETTS: And another problem that goes along with that, I think a lot of prisoners are overlooked because they can speak enough english to function on the street and to make themselves undertood on a general level. But when they are talking in legal terms, you're talking something that has a lot of nuances, and that's something where they need an interpreter, and it doesn't appear that they need one before hand, because they can speak a fair amount of english. But when it comes to legal matters, it's a different story.

MS. MELLEN: There's a case now before the Delaware Supreme Court on that very issue.

MS. LETTS: And if you walk into Municipal

Court on any day of the week and Judge Frankowski's

office, you'll see that there is a hispanic bailiff

who interprets for the spanish-speaking people,

and I'm not even sure if that's legal to have a

state official, or a state employee interpreting for

a defendant.

MR. HEIMAN: It's better than nothing.

MS. LETTS: It's better than nothing, I agree,

but it's a problem.

ROSENDO MEDINA: Sometimes. Sometimes you hurt them more by not knowing what you're doing.

Let me mention something here. I've been involved with this issue for a number of years, and everytime I hear, we need interpreters, we need translators, we always speak of, we need volunteers. See, we never say, we need professionals. This is what we need. And I think as we direct our energies towards this, I think we have to look at this. We need professionals to do the job. That's all I wanted to say.

MR. HEIMAN: Professor Wolters, do you

1	PROFESSOR WOLTERS: Not at the moment.
2	MR. HEIMAN: Emily?
3	MS. MORRIS: Not at the moment. I see you
4	are allowing guests to
5	MR. HEIMAN: I hadn't intended to.
6	MS. MORRIS: Well, that's okay. I have no
7	problem with it really. But, I would like our guests,
8	my guests, to be afforded the same privilege.
9	MR. HEIMAN: Okay, after I go through the
10	Commissioners.
11	Lynn, any questions?
12	MS. WILSON: The ACLU did a study on the
13	public defenders, and we just kind of brushed through
14	that because we were having so many problems with
15	judges as far as the backlog. Could you just tell us
16	briefly what you found out?
17	MS. MELLEN: The report is not ready for
18	publication, however, there are areas in the public
19	defender system that need, I think, without any de-
20	bate, some improvement.
21	I see as one of the problems, the horizontal
22	system does not exist in many states, and that does

cause inevitable problems and, as I said before, the constant readjustment to a different person.

At the time of the study, there were no minority public defenders, that may very well be changed, because I know some additional ones have been hired. I do not know who they are.

There are hispanic speaking investigators and at the very first, that is the person-their first contact would be with the investigator, and I do believe that some of them are hispanic speaking.

MS. WILSON: Because when we talk about the prisoners, they more than likely had a public defender, an attorney, because most of them can't afford to hire a private attorney, so they've had contact with an attorney, we must assume that.

But that's after they're convicted and in prison.

MS. MORRIS: But while they're waiting, I don't think they have much access from what I'm hearing. They very seldom get to see --

MS. LETTS: At Gander Hill, for instance, that's true. As a matter of fact, we hear in my office from people about being denied access to

L	the law library.
2	MS. MORRIS: If you don't get to see your
3	attorney while your case is being prepared, what
4	chance do you have? I think that's the real issue.
5	MS. MELLEN: That's the most frequent
5	complaint, that they do not get to see their attorney.
7	They're naturally quite anxious, and I think most of
В	them want to help in the preparation of the case, and
9	the frustration of not being able to sometimes even
10	find out who the public defender is at that particular
11	level, is quite frustrating for them.
12	MS. WILSON: Well, are these questions left
13	over? Are these some of the questions that the
14	inmates had which weren't answered to begin with?
15	MS. MELLEN: I'm afraid it gives them the
16	perception that they have not received adequate
17	representation.
18	MS. LETTS: The other thing is the lack of
19	understanding of how the system works to begin with,
20	so that when they get into the institution, they tell
21	their story, and they say, "No, no, that shouldn't

happen this way. It should happen this way." So, I

think there's a lack of knowledge about how it works.

And the public defender's office doesn't have the

time to spend in saying, "This is what you can expect
to happen."

MS. WILSON: And after they're convicted, they figure out that they might have been caught in a raw deal or --

MS. LETTS: Or that it didn't happen the way they thought it would, so, obviously it's not right.

MS. MORRIS: Might I say that I think if you would listen to the Public Defenders themselves, they will tell you, they don't feel very good about it either. As a matter of fact, there was a very lengthy article in the Delaware State News. Tino and I tried to get you a copy and perhaps we can get you a copy, just recently, talking about the dilema within the Public Defender's office. They know that they're not adequately representing the people and they're concerned about it. So, the issue goes far beyond the Public Defender's office, goes far beyond all of us here. But, I think it behooves us to make some very strong statements and some movement to help those

agencies and parts of government who are concerned, and all other advocacy groups, to try to do something about it. We're in a position in this state at the moment to do something about some things that need to be done. I think it's high time that we put the pressure on. I really do.

DR. FLEMING: I want to follow-up on what you're saying. I'm looking at the Commissioner, and I know that you have many, many problems, and you have to priortize what you're going to do, and where this falls. I don't want to put you on the carpet, but in the scheme of things, in the long run of your planning, where does an issue like this stand in relationship to actually getting something done by way of strategy, something asked for by way of funding to really get into this problem? I think we have discussed, we so often do this, but I'd like to see where your thinking is. You are a head and you have to make some priorities, and if you would share that with us.

COMMISSIONER WATSON: My interest in this, as I said, is in the pennant of this inquiry, because

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm familiar with the good results of having an adequate service for inmates. So, I started that. It's not in this budget. We do not have, in this budget that was presented yesterday, this kind of legal service for inmates. It is not addressed.

I would see in this next cycle, after an opportunity for me to look at all that, build a grievance system that supports it and helps as somewhat of a screen, and form the connection with the legal communities, so that we've got some ongoing and permanent kind of connection, and try to fill in the gap with perhaps some federal funds or other foundation funds, and do things that I'm convinced the General Assembly would not take on as an ongoing thing, but would be receptive if we could use it to build a complete program. I would guess in the next budget cycle I can give some attention to it. It's not in the fundamental things like security and the new SENTAC law, and some of the major gaps, I think, in the operation, that are not addressed at his time. This was not one of them.

MR. HEIMAN: Are there any questions from

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

any of the audience? Yes, Ma'm? Would you identify yourself?

MS. FOX: I'm Valerie Fox, soon to be an attorney here in Delaware, and I would like to make a statement regarding the topics here today, being the hispanic communications problems that are existing in the prison system, and just say that although this is true and it's more clear perhaps to see through the language barrier that exists, the language differences, a very similar problem exists with the black prison population, in that, in the beginning, from arrest on, there are similar language barriers due to illiteracy, or just language differences. And that a lot of the questions that you brought up are never answered in those early stages all through trial, if they get to trial, due to the problems that we have heard about with the Public Defenders and access to the Public Defenders. And plea bargaining, and the problems there. There are many misunderstandings that go on in the plea bargaining situation. And it never occurs, or it may have occurred to them, but they don't have the

access or whatever, until after and they say, "I thought he was saying this." Or, "My understanding of the plea bargain was so forth. And now I'm trying to appeal and it's too late because I never understood what the system was." And I'm not sure of what the population of blacks are exactly here in the prison system, but the problem is much larger than you might think, and I just wanted to make that point that it involves —

MR. HEIMAN: Well, we are well aware of the larger problem and have, in fact, on other occasions talked around it. Today's focus was to be on what we considered a rather narrower subject. I appreciate your --

MS. FOX: Okay. Well, I would like to suggest then in the same context you're looking for interpreters and persons who would be able to speak directly to and help those with the language barriers, that the black population has the same needs.

MR. HEIMAN: I appreciate your concern.

Does anybody else have anything to say?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. MORRIS: Mr. Chairman, a health issue was brought to my attention by Mrs. Harris who visits the prisons quite frequently. I don't know if she would like to share some of that concern.

MS. HARRIS: Well, I could, except that I feel a little disarmed in the sense that it's an agenda for minorities and this does involve a black inmate, which is a majority in the prison. So, I have no problem in discussing it. There is a need, there are some serious problems that I have discovered. am in the prison on a regular basis, and I have faces to identify with. And I see a lot of things that are wrong. I did visit an inmate yesterday that I think needs some immediate medical attention, and I plan to, except that I'm here today, to follow through and try reach Mr. Redman. I think this young man needs to see a doctor immediately. He's being treated somewhat at the facility, but I really don't think they're able to handle these types of cases. He seems to have a circulation problem, and it was really -- I don't know, it would upset anybody to see his condition. Pus was running out of his legs, breaking up, and it

1	was a horrible sight to see. I think he stands the
2	risk of losing his leg if the doesn't get treatment.
3	And the condition has spread all the way up to his
4	hip. He has lumps on his leg all the way up.
5	MR. HEIMAN: Let me ask, could we take this
6	discussion, to the extent that anyone is directly
7	interested in it, and discuss this after we close the
8	meeting? Because I don't think that deals with the
9	topic. I don't want to be insensitive to concerns,
10	and to the obviously larger problems that exist, but
11	obviously within the range of what we have to discuss
12	that is, I think, outside the range
13	MS. MORRIS: Well, not really. It does speak
14	to conditions.
15	MR. HEIMAN: Would it make a difference if
16	you were black, or white, or red, or green or purple?
17	MS. MORRIS: No, but it's conditions. Let the
18	record speak for itself, Henry, at this point. Okay?
19	MR. HEIMAN: Does anyone have any
20	recommendations
21	MS. MORRIS: Has she finished?

MR. HEIMAN: I think she was.

MS. HARRIS: I feel obligated to finish at 1 2 this point. MR. HEIMAN: As a suggestion and a favor to 3 you, if you want to continue, go ahead. 4 MS. HARRIS: No, I feel comfortable to dis-5 cuss it with someone who can make a difference. 6 7 viously, the Commission doesn't care to do that. 8 MR. HEIMAN: Does anyone on the Commission have any recommendations -- Yes, Mr. Figueroa? 9 10 MR. FIGUEROA: In the state government here, 11 in Delaware, in the different departments, we have 12 a few of us hispanics working for the state, and 13 we could assist many people if they allow us to do 14 so, to translate. The only way we can do it, is 15 by each department in the state government agreeing 16 to release the worker for this purpose if they 17 are needed. Here in the future if we have enough 18 spanish/english speaking people, and if they allow 19 some of us to do, we could go ahead and help these 20 people in translation in Court, or translating letters or whatever. We don't have too many of us in the 21

government, but we are willing to help with letters.

1	In my department, I translate for them. I
2	have been with them almost nine years now, but outside
3	of the department I can't do it unless the Secretary
4	gives the approval. We we should keep this in mind
5	for the future.
6	DR. FLEMING: Mr. Chairperson, I would suggest
7	that as far as the Advisory Council is concerned, that
8	we would go on record as realizing the seriousness of
9	of this situation and although it's not in the budget
10	and it's not in the Commissioner's plan, that we give
11	our support in every way to make sure that this
12	becomes a part of the program for next year.
13	MR. HEIMAN: Are you specifically talking about
14	the grievance procedure? Or the entirety of the
15	situation.
16	DR. FLEMING: I'm talking about the whole
17	COMMISSIONER WATSON: The entire situation.
18	MR. HEIMAN: Do you have any dollars that you
19	can put to it? What are we talking about?
20	COMMISSIONER WATSON: I'm talking about the
21	next budget.
22	MR. HEIMAN: How much are we talking about?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER WATSON: I don't know. That has to be developed. The budget was submitted and didn't include it, so I've got the next cycle to examine fully all the ramifications of this, and I would include the women in it particuarly. And I don't know what that would be. DR. FLEMING: The budget hasn't gone to JFC? MS. MORRIS: No, it hasn't. DR. FLEMING: JFC hasn't had a hearing yet. What you're doing now is going through preliminaries? COMMISSIONER WATSON: That's right. MR. HEIMAN: I assume though, from what I'm hearing from Commissioner Watson that he does not intend at this JFC meeting to ask them for anything with regard to this, is that a correct statement? COMMISSIONER WATSON: I believe that's true. there may be some assistance, but certainly isn't a comprehensive look at it. MR. HEIMAN: Are you suggesting we should ask Commissioner Watson to do more than he is presently slanted on doing, and that even at this

stage try to get something into the present budget

-	at least to give them a taste for what
2	DR. FLEMING: Yes. And then next year we go
3	full blown to give support. If that's his priority.
4	We only want whatever he sees as priorities.
5	MR. HEIMAN: And are you suggesting that we
6	in some way try to get to JFC our feeling that this
7	is something that we believe is an important matter?
8	DR. FLEMING: Yes. We know JFC members.
9	We go before them with other groups.
10	MR. HEIMAN: Are you asking that the
11	Committee ask or find a means of expressing our
12	concern to them that even at this stage they ought
13	to do something with regard to it?
14	DR. FLEMING: Yes. That's my concern.
15	But, I'd like someone else to
16	MR. CALABIA: As staff, could I mention, that
17	we are prohibited from lobbying.
18	DR. FLEMING: We're not going to lobby, we're
19	just going to pull a hair or two.
20	MR. CALABIA: As individuals under other hats,
21	I know that you have access to the lawmakers. But, as
22	committee members we wouldn't at this point, be able

to approach them with what might be misconstrued as lobbying.

DR. FLEMING: How do you view this?

DR. WOLTERS: I view this is as a -- I found the discussion very interesting. And there appear to be real problems. As I've said before on this committee, I'm not persuaded that every social problem is a civil rights problem. And it's not my opinion, really, that the Civil Rights Commission should address every -- we had this same debate really when Chrysler was installing robots that impact disproportionately on blacks, was that a civil rights question.

I think we ought to discuss whether this is a civil rights question and how we should proceed very carefully and seriously. I think that the purpose of this meeting, for me at least, has been to make me aware of the extent of the problem and the nature of the problem that I wasn't aware of before. But, I would like to have a real discussion among ourselves as to whether this is a civil rights question, and whether this is the proper business of the Civil Rights Commission.

MS. MORRIS: I have no problem with the Committee holding a discussion on that after the forum.

MS. WILSON: I have one practical recommendation before we get into the philosophical discussion. Mr. Figueroa, you mentioned about the inmates who are in New Castle County or DCC instead of Sussex County. Can we ask the Commissioner if there's any problem in transferring those people from New Castle County to Sussex County?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: No problem if this is the only issue. If there are convictions in Kent County and they are there for those purposes, to avoid the transportation, we would probably move them to DCC. But if it's because of crowding which is less now at Georgetown, we can move them back. As I said, I had one letter this week, and I'd ask the Bureau Chief, unless there's some reason not to, let's move the person back. That makes sense, to be nearer their family and it would help them. There's no problem with it, if that's the only issue.

MS. WILSON: How is it decided where to house

1 prisoners? 2 COMMISSIONER WATSON: Well, they are delivered to us, those who arrest them. And if the crime is in 3 that county, we keep them in that facility. 4 MS. WILSON: Assuming the Sussex County 5 6 residents committed their crimes in Sussex County--7 COMMISSIONER WATSON: They would be kept in 8 Sussex County. 9 MS. WILSON: Are they not being kept in Sussex 10 County because of overcrowding? Is that what you're 11 saying? 12 COMMISSIONER WATSON: Maybe. But it also is 13 possible they commit a crime in Kent County, and will 14 be tried in Kent County, though they are a resident 15 of another county. So, that would be the only complications I'm raising. I would have no problem of 16 17 transferring, if all it is, is to be near their 18 families. If, however, they are being tried in 19 another court, then we would probably not transfer 20 them, just because of the movement problem. 21 MS. MORRIS: Mr. Watson, was another conside-

ration maybe for their safety, that they may have been

transferred out of Sussex, because of threats or something of that nature?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: There could be some complications between witnesses and so forth.

As I say, if the only reason was crowding or something, we'd be happy to move them. But, for other reasons, I just want to reserve that right.

MS. MORRIS: Sure, I understand that.

MR. HEIMAN: How does the question of pre-trial release, in terms of bail, or non-ability of bail, affect the minority situation?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: It's probably a disproportionate percentage of individuals who are minorities, whose stay in -- first if their bail is higher, their resources or less, their contacts are less, so they stay longer. Statistics also show if you're in jail prior to trial and you've convicted, you're more likely to get a jail sentence than you are to be released.

MR. HEIMAN: Isn't this one of the problems with Gander Hill, which originally been developed as a pre-trial detention center, and has now turned

into a warehousing facility that is overcrowed and underutilized for the purpose for which it was developed?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: Addressing the bail question, if I may, just for a moment, by submitting to the Attorney General, Chief Magistrate, and the Public Defender a list weekly of all the people that are grouped by bail amounts, that has helped

I walked through the other day, and I sympathized with the Philadelphia prison people who took the state money and paid the bail, because it was cheaper to pay the bail than it was to keep them.

some, but it still has not resolved the problem.

MR. HEIMAN: Well, there's a cost to warehousing people beyond the cost of just letting them out?

COMMISSIONER WATSON: Sure. A young man showed me the bail for \$100 and he had been there for two weeks.

MS. LETTS: We've run a bail project at Gander Hill and at Women's, and we provide bail of \$500 or less, and there are lots of people who are incarcerated because they can't make \$50 or \$100

1	bail.
2	MR. HEIMAN: And I would imagine that the
3	language problem in coming to grips with the bail
4	reduction situation is a difficult problem?
5	COMMISSIONER WATSON: It's a negotiation,
6	and it's difficult.
7	MR. HEIMAN: In the same line, until we run
8	out of paper, the classification, especially at the
9	Women's Prison tends to be somewhat of a problem
10	when somebody goes up to Woodshaven Crews, you have
11	an unclassified group, and before they're classified,
12	they go into a large warehousing type situation.
13	Does that create a problem? Do we have many
14	spanish speaking or haitian women? Or are there none
15	in the system?
16	MR. FIGUEROA: In prison, you mean?
17	MR. HEIMAN: Yes.
18	MR. FIGUEROA: Before I got transferred here
19	from Georgetown, I know only of one up here which I
20	had to come up and visit her because her family could
21	not afford to come and visit here. So, right now I

don't know how many they have.

1 COMMISSIONER WATSON: I'm not prepared but we could --3 MR. FIGUEROA: We could find out, get some 4 figures later. MR. HEIMAN: Is classification a problem at 5 6 the women's prison or not? 7 COMMISSIOENR WATSON: I think classification 8 is a problem everywhere. It's just a matter of di-9 verting from its original purpose to trying to manage space. And it's original purpose is to aid in 10 11 rehabilitation and protect the public's safety, and there is another role now of trying to fit people into 12 13 scarce space. 14 MS. MORRIS: Is it possible we can get some 15 data on the percentages -- I'm interested in the 16 Professor's -- this gentleman's concern --17 MR. HEIMAN: Wolters. 18 MS. MORRIS: Professor Wolter's concern. 19 we will certainly need some data on the percentage of 20 blacks, the percentage of hispanics, percentage of 21 haitians and et cetera. And I'd like to hear from some 22 of the other people as to why there's a

	disproportionate number of blacks. The question would
,	be, do whites commit crimes? Or whether racists
	commit crimes? And why does there continue to be
	a disproportinate number of blacks? I keep hearing
	that. And I respect your opinion, sir, but I just
	need a lot more information if I'm going to intelli-
	gently decide whether this is an issue for the
	committee or not, or whether it's just a social issue.
	I just need more information on these questions.
	MR. HEIMAN: I don't know that we're going
	to get it from this forum.
	MR. CALABIA: Would we be able to get it from
	the Commissioner?
	MR. HEIMAN: You can get the raw data, but I
	don't know that you're going to be able to
	MS. MORRIS: Somebody must know within the
	system how many whites are being arrested; how many
	of them go to prison. They've got to do some com-
	parison analysis.
ŀ	MS. MELLEN: That information, I know, is
	available in Juvenile Corrections. I do not know if

it's available in --

1 MS. LETTS: Statistical Analysis will have the data -- they may keep it by race. 2 MS. MORRIS: Who might have it? 3 MS. LETTS: The Statistical Analysis Center 4 5 in Dover would keep those statistics, I'm pretty 6 sure. MS. MORRIS: You wouldn't have a contact 7 person, would you? 8 MS. LETTS: Not any more. I would call down, 9 10 and I'm sure they would probably have that data 11 available. 12 If I may address the civil liberties kind of 13 thing you were raising, civil rights; we found, and this isn't unusual, an individual called up, he was 14 15 Puerto Rican, and he didn't understand how the system 16 works, and he was begging us to get him out of there, 17 he had been in there seven months. And we said, "What 18 stage are you in?" And he said, "I don't know." And 19 they said, "Have you been indicted?" And he said, "No." So, we didn't believe this, and we called up 20 21 and, in fact, the man had never been indicted.

And this is not unusual to sit in jail for

three and four months at a time without being charged for a crime. And that is a civil liberties issue. And that in part comes from a lack understanding on the part of the client to know that he isn't supposed to be sitting in jail for three months without being indicted. The man was released the next day after we brought it to the Attorney General's attention.

MR. HEIMAN: Professor Connell?

PROFESSOR CONNELL: I'm getting a little bit confused here. I understand Professor Wolters' concern, and I'm hearing many people talking about this problem. What I'm hearing is about fifty distinct problems, some of which are perhaps important to the Civil Rights Commission, others of which may not be because they are generally pervasive throughout the system. And it seems to me you need to decide which problems are the civil rights problems and which ones, such as unavailability of civil services or legal services to inmates generally, perhaps may not be. But, to lump all of these together into consideration of "this problem", seems to me to be an assumption that there is one overriding problem.

MR. HEIMAN: Well, what specific problems do you perceive --

PROFESSOR CONNELL: Well, I think that one specifically is the one that's raised by Mr. Figueroa concerning the lack of interpreters for hispanics within the system. I think that clearly is one that affects a specific minority group within the system. I think that's clear. I don't know about all the rest of them. I haven't thought that much about all the rest of the problems.

MR. HEIMAN: Well, I would perceive it a civil liberties issue if you don't get a fair trial. That would be a civil liberties issue beyond doubt, at least to me.

MS. MELLEN: But, it's coming full cycle, because you may not get a fair trial because of the lack of --

MR. HEIMAN: Well, that's what I'm talking about. I am not convinced myself, I share Professor Wolters' question, that the question of the conditions in prison is necessarily a civil liberties question.

I do believe the inability to get a fair trial is un-

1	questionably a civil liberties issue, at least to my
2	mind.
3	MR. CALABIA: Beyond that, the question is
4	whether it's a civil rights issue.
5 .	MR. HEIMAN: I believe that it is. That's my
6	opinion.
7	MR. CALABIA: I'm just saying that that is a
8	question, I think, that Professor Wolters was addres-
9	sing.
10	MS. WILSON: In looking at statistics, as
11	Emily would like to do, if it impacts more on black
12	people or any minority, then I think it's a civil
13	rights issue as well as a civil liberties issue.
14	MS. MORRIS: That's been decided in the
15	Courts. That has stood up in the Courts for a while.
16	It has indeed.
17	MR. HEIMAN: There's a disproportionate impact
18	I believe.
19	COMMISSIONER WATSON: Mr. Chairman, I have
20	national statistics, including Delaware, not for this
21	year and maybe not for last year, but for a series of
22	years that I'd certainly be happy to should I

1	send that to this Washington, D.C. address?
2	MR. CALABIA: Yes, and then we'll duplicate
3	it.
4	COMMISSIONER WATSON: The State Corrections
5	Administrators maintain an annual report and the
6	Unitarian Church did an interesting piece on "What
7	color are American Prisons?" pointing out the
8	disparity in the arrest rates and convictions, and
9	I'd be happy to share that with you.
10	MS. MORRIS: That would be very helpful.
11	MR. CALABIA: If you could send that, we'd
12	be happy to duplicate it and circulate it.
13	MS. HEIMAN: Is there anything from anyone
14	else?
15	Okay, I certainly appreciate each of you
16	individuals who were members of the forum for taking
17	your time to come and talk to us and enlighten us,
18	as well as those of you in the audience who have come
19	to contribute. And thank you. Certainly we will
20	make sure that you see the product of that which you
21	have participated in.

CERTIFICATE

I, T. ANN WILKES, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was held before me, all witnesses were sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the foregoing hearing was recorded stenographically by me and then reduced to typewriting under my direction, and constitutes a true record of the testimony given by said witnesses.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee or attorney of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of either counsel, and that I am in no way interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office this day of the last the seal of office this day of the last the last the seal of office this day of the last the

T. Ann Wilkes, Notary Public