

2 PRESENTATIONS BY:

4 DR. BARBARA NELSON PAVAN

5 STINSON W. STROUP

DR. RITA S. JONES

CHARLES GRATMAN

ALSO PRESENT:

11 HELEN SHEROOD

MR. FRIEDMAN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Murray Friedman. I am the Chairman of the Pennsylvania Advisory Committee and I am surrounded by other members of the Civil Rights Advisory Committee,.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mark Stolarik is on my left, who heads the Balch Institute, Legree Daniels, a prominent person wearing many hats in Harrisburg. Joe Fisher, who is the head of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union. I'm sure there's a number that's attached to that but I'll bypass that.

MR. FISHER:

That's it. No numbers. MR. FRIEDMAN: No numbers, okay. And the staff of the Middle Atlantic Region, Tino Calabia and John Binkley. I understand that, while our audience is small, we have also with us Helan Sherwood who is the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Women's Bureau of the Department of Labor and. We have a group of panelists here who have been invited to comment and make recommendations with regard to the issue of the status of women in public education, and they will be introduced shortly.

1 2 a 3 U 4 a 5 C 6 b 7 C 8 6 9 i 10 H

Just by way of a couple of announcements and then we'll get underway, the United States Civil Rights Commission of which we are an advisory committee to is in the midst of a controversy now -- which is not unusual, if you've been following the life of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission over the years -- I won't go into the details of the present situation other than to indicate that the issue is in the Congress. The House and Senate have responded sharply recently, and this is a matter that will be dealt with in the coming weeks and months.

I think that by way of just announcement of the situation is sufficient. We will have several items on the agenda today, the first one being the status of women in public education. And we will have a report on the two hearings or forums that the advisory committee held both in Philadelphia and Pittsburgh on the issue of violence in Pennsylvania, including an update, I believe.

MR. CALABIA: We have a guest from the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission who is scheduled to arrive.

MR. FRIEDMAN: So we'll have a report 1 both on incidence of violence around the state and 2 then a guest from the Pennsylvania Human Relations 3 Commission. And we had on the agenda a continuation of a project that this advisory 5 committee launched several months ago in May called New Strategies for Dealing With Civil 8 Rights. We have been operating from a premise that 9 the body of civil rights legislation that has been put on the books over the period of the 40's, 10 11 50's, 60's and 70's are important strengths for the minority community and for women and others, 12 but that the 80's may call for different kinds of 13 14 strategies in addition. And so at our last forum we had several people who put before us a bundle 15 16 of ideas. We invited today Doctor Susan Wachter of 17 the Wharton School who is a nationally known specialist in the area of housing vouchers, and 18 19 she will not be able to be with us but she has 20 provided us with a statement which we will read, a 21 brief statement, at the conclusion of our sessions 22 today.

23

24

public education. This issue comes to us most primarily from a story that appeared in the May issue of the Philadelphia Inquirer which held out the problem of discrimination against women in the area of administration in public education. The information contained in that story was rather startling in terms of the statistics, just by way of -- do you all have copies of the Inquirer story, the original Inquirer story? You do not. All right.

Then just a quick summary indicating that in 1928, for example, women held 55 percent of all the elementary principalships in the country. By 1978 that figure had declined to 18 percent, and apparently has remained relatively stable since that time. I think, from just common evidence, just looking around us today, that it is quite clear that the administrative staff in many public schools in the country, and we're particularly interested in Pennsylvania, are generally held by men. And there is some indication that women and others are becoming increasingly concerned about this situation. So we have invited three people here. I will simply

call on them in terms of the listing on the agenda
here. Doctor Barbara Pavan?

DR. PAVAN: Pavan, right.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Who is Associate

Professor at Temple University and who has been

studying this situation and who can bring us new

and updated material from the story that appeared

earlier. Stinson W. Stroup, who is the Executive

Director of the Pennsylvania Association of School

Administrators, and Doctor Rita Jones who is

Superintendent of the Daniel Boone area school

district. Where is that?

MS. JONES: It's in Berks County.

It's near Reading.

MR. FRIEDMAN: We will hear from them. And then we'll take questions or comments. And I want to invite those of you who are in the audience here to feel free to let us have your points of view, to your own experiences, and whatever suggestions you might have that might help this advisory committee forward its materials and findings to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission in Washington. Okay, Doctor Pavan, your on.

DR. PAVAN: I thought I'd start us out

with some more data on the jobs and some updates on exactly where it stands in terms of women in terms of educational administration positions.

Some data on the certification, because you cannot hold one of those positions unless you hold the appropriate certificate for the position, so some people have said women do not hold these positions because they do not hold the proper certificates for the positions.

positions. Another argument has been there hasn't been turnover in the administrative positions. Therefore, there hasn't been the opportunities for women to be hired. Then I've done a survey of male and females in the State of Pennsylvania, all of whom hold administrative certificates. Some have jobs. Some are still aspiring toward jobs, and I want to give you a little in terms of differences, male and female, which don't turn out to be what we had — what the myths seemed to indicate about females.

And lastly some recommendations for the committee, some ideas that they might think about.

So since I'm giving you numbers, I brought along some tables because I know it's very -- I don't like to hear a bunch of numbers started out to me without an opportunity to look at something, so I've given you some tables that I've put together.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If you look at table one, you have here -- and I've been in Pennsylvania since '75, but I've started looking at the data from '70 to '84 and I'm actually going to update for you what '85 is, too, in terms of these particular positions. I have been looking at superintendent, which is your top administrative position, assistant superintendent, and secondary principal and elementary principal. And one of the things that I want to suggest to you is whenever you see a group of data in terms of talking about women and the percent of administrative positions that they hold, very frequently supervisory jobs are limped into that category, so it looks a lot better than the real line administrative positions that carry authority and responsibility.

In education, the supervisory positions are sometimes even called the helping

positions. They are people who help teachers. And while that's very important and very good and all that kind of thing, it is not an administrative position. It does not generally lead to the line administrative positions. So I have focused in on the major line positions.

And if you look at that data; in terms of superintendent, you look at percent of women in 1970, we had 3 women in the State of Pennsylvania, which was .8 percent. And if you follow that across, you see the percentages are very, very tiny, and the numbers are relatively tiny.

In 1985, it was up to 18 women, which gave us 3.7 percent, so if you want to put in at the very end of that column there for the school year '85/'86 we were up to 18 women superintendents for 3.7 percent. This fall we are starting with 24, so that for September of '86 we do have 24 women superintendents. You're either number 23 or 24. I don't know. You or Peg got the appointment, which gets us up to 4.79.

So there has been movement in terms of the superintendency. With the exception of

Constance Clayton in the City of Philadelphia, the great majority of these districts are rather small. You do have Francis Rhodes in North Penn which I believe is about nine thousand students.

The other districts tend to be fifteen hundred, twenty five hundred, the smaller districts in the outlying areas. But it's a start, and so I applaud

that.

If you look at the assistant superintendent position, you'll see 24. It started at 5.1 percent in 1970, has petered off back and forth. Assistant superintendencies tend -- the numbers tend to change year by year. In other words, a district needs a superintendent, but they can get away without an assistant superintendent. So those numbers vary a great deal more.

You'll notice in '82 it was up to 25 at 12.6 percent and then it started going down again. I'm happy to report that the '85 data puts it back up to 9.4 percent, so we have 18 at 9.4 percent. I mean we're still talking 18 people, but I'm glad to see that the curve seems to be going up again. I try to be very optimistic about this kind of thing.

You look at the secondary principal data and it doesn't change. It stays approximately 3 percent. It's gone down a little. It's gone up a little. The data for '85 is 33 people. It's still 3.5 percent because there are slightly fewer positions, but it stays at that number, around 30 or a little over 30. Most of those secondary principal jobs are junior high jobs. They are not senior high jobs. I believe that 10 of that 33 are senior high jobs, nearly all of them in the City of Philadelphia. So that the least movement is seen on the secondary principal position.

Elementary principal position, which Murray Friedman mentioned, on a national level was 55 percent in 1928. If you look at the Pennsylvania data, 1970, we had 18.4 percent and it has gone down, down, down. Then it started back up. And let me report that as of '85 we're back up to 18.6 percent with 280 people. So with all our wonderful, hopefully, concern about hiring women in the traditional -- most traditionally acceptable positions for women, we are just back to 1970 in 1985.

Data for '86 are not available. The

only reason I have it for the superintendent is I keep a running tally by numbers and by name. The rest of the data takes about a year for the state to crank it out. In fact, that '85 data I just got last month.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, I don't have on that sheet assistant principals and there really aren't too many in terms of assistant elerentary principals. But the word is not too wonderful there because the assistant secondary principal is the movement into the secondary position. In the elementary position you usually move from teacher to elementary principal. There are some, but there are much fewer elementary principals, so that the career ladder is teacher, maybe department head, assistant secondary principal, then secondary principal. As of '85, we had only 11.2 percent of the assistant secondary principals as women. So I don't see that that 3.5 percent for secondary women principals is going to make up very, very fast, since you don't have a pool of experience, because you pretty always need that assistant secondary school principal experience to move up.

So that's the picture, that,

essentially, when we look at the State of

Pennsylvania and we look at administrative jobs,

we find about 12.5 percent are held by women, the

administrative jobs. That's 633 people.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One of the things that -- well, let's look now at table two which gives you some of the national data so you have an idea of how the national data and Pennsylvania fit in together. If you look at the left-hand column you'll see there isn't a lot of stuff in the national data because national data has been very, very difficult to come by. If you look on the national data, under 1979, that column that's called three, that is the last collection of data by the Federal Government based on sex. I had it wrong when I talked to you on the phone yesterday. I knew I had it wrong. This is data for the school year of '79'80, which means it was probably collected during the year of '79/'80. Since then, there has been no collection or publication of data on women -- on school administrators by sex.

In the meantime, some groups have attempted to collect national data. And there are other groups that do collect it. AASA, the

American Association of School Administrators and the two national principal associations, elementary and principals. So we do get some of

the other data in that way.

But one of the recommendations I would have to you is that you encourage -- there is now a lot of talk -- I think there's been two reorganizations in terms of federal statistics gathering. There is a lot of talk now about gathering educational data, which will be very expensive and very comprehensive, in terms of school achievement by classroom. And in the meantime, data which -- and that is a rather controversial proposal in terms of collecting on a national level student achievement data by classroom.

In the meantime, we are not collecting data in terms of sex or racial data which would be helpful, too, in terms of equity issues. And there is a really major concern about the opportunities to talk about equity if you don't have the data available.

Pennsylvania collects terrific data.

One of my colleagues who had been in Ohio, and we

had been been working together in terms of looking at Ohio and looking at Pennsylvania, moved to Georgia. Georgia doesn't collect this kind of data. It's just not available. So that many states do not collect the kind of data that Pennsylvania collects.

MR. BINKLEY: Would it interrupt your presentation to ask you to comment, if you could, on the failure? I'm surprised that the AFT and NEA doesn't collect this kind of data at this point. What's your knowledge or experience with their effort to do that or their lack of effort to do that?

DR. PAVAN: I haven't seen that collecting of data. Have you?

MR. STROUP: Their major interest is in collecting data on teaching positions, not in the administration positions, which is why the National Education Administration have attempted to collect the data.

DR. PAVAN: What you have is two principal organizations collecting data every ten years, AAS, and it's a very expensive job collecting data. And AASA, they don't have a

regular schedule.

MR. FRIEDMAN: We'll hold questions basically to the conclusion of each speaker and then have a general question --

DR. PAVAN: So it's very clear to me, at least, that the numbers of women being employed as school administrators is rather low. So let's move onto the certificate data. And I think the most interesting way to look at that would be for you to look at the figures. We have some drawings. If you start with figure one --

MR. FRIEDMAN: What page are you on?

DR. PAVAN: I don't really have page
numbers on them. It's figure one. It's the
charts, the graphs. Now the graph you have on
figure one is about superintendents and about
women. And if you look across the bottom you have
the years. And if you look across the side you
have the percent of positions held by women. And
that solid line along the bottom is the percent of
women employed as superintendents over the years.
And you can see the slight movement up to at this
point on '84 would be 3.7 percent, and it would be
getting up there a little closer to 6 with the

data that I just gave you today.

Now, that's the employment. On the other side of the coin is certificates received every year -- I've had the State of Pennsylvania Department of Education which has been tremendously helpful in terms of my research -- They really are caring about their collection of data, even though they're struggling now with their reduction in funds, too. But they have run for me the data on numbers who receive certificates in each of the calendar years for males and females.

And so what you have here in terms of this dotted line is the percent of the certificates awarded to females for each of the years. And as you can see on the chart, it's clear that women -- a greater percent of women are receiving certificates than are holding positions.

You will note in '84 the percent of certificates for superintendency awarded to women was 15.3 percent. That's what that dotted line shows. One of the things that you need to know is that in order to receive that certificate, the recipient must have had three years of

administrative experience with an administrative certificate. So one of the reasons that this percentage, even though it is much higher than people in positions, is low is that there is an experience requirement for this particular certificate.

If you look at the next chart on the same page, figure two, that's the assistant superintendent one. Is it labeled? Okay, yes.

Mine is the one that wasn't labeled. And if you look at the bottom line, again, you see that the percent in positions, the solid line, you see how it goes up, going down, and I told you about how it's now going back up again. But look at the women getting those certificates. In fact, in 1984, 55.8 percent of the certificates awarded that year were to women. You see, you don't need that administrative experience for this particular certificate. And, of course, the assistant superintendent is often a stepping stone to the superintendency.

so it's clear, when you look at these two positions, women are jetting the certificates. And there has been a clear movement

in terms of women obtaining the administrative certificate which they need in order to hold the jobs.

Look at figure three which is secondary principal. And you look along the bottom, the solid line takere, and you see almost no change or movement at all in terms of positions. And it's remained so in '85, that same 3.5 percent.

But you look at the line showing percent of the certificates obtained by women and you see it is going up each year. In '84 it was 27.4 percent of the certificates were awarded to women that particular year, the secondary principal, while only 3.5 percent of the jobs were held by women. There are women who have the secondary school principal certificates.

And if you it ok at the last figure, figure four, which is for elementary principals, you see the line which goes down, and I told you it's now back up to where it was in 1970, so the curve is going back up, if you draw another column on there for '85. And you see that nearly 50 percent of the certificates awarded in '84 for

elementary principalship were to women, while only about 17 percent of the jobs were held by women.

So we do have women who are certified, who have -- for principal, it requires forty five graduate hours. For superintendent you need twenty seven additional graduate hours. It's a significant commitment of time and money, particularly in the State of Pennsylvania. So there are women who have committed that time and money to the job and are available. Now -- so we have -- women are not in the positions. Women do have the certificates.

Now, if you will look at table five A, you have to find out if those jobs opened up so that people could be hired anyway. Table five A has the withdrawals, and you'll notice the data for 1970 is not available. One thing in doing this study that we found out was that they have '69/'68, but somehow it seemed lost forever. It is just not in the computer. They don't know where it went. And until I asked this question no one seemed -- so I have had to go '71 to '84 to get this particular data. Now I've kind of played a little game in looking at this data. But,

nonetheless, this shows the withdrawals from all the positions from '71 to '84.

If you look at the table five B, at the bottom it gives total numbers of positions reported. And I have then calculated a loss or gain. You will see that the higher level positions such as superintendent and assistant superintendent have increased, while the lower level ones, especially the secondary principal, have decreased, but there's a total gain of 51.

When you take all this withdrawal data, and I calculated it all out for you, so you really don't, over that time period, have a loss of jobs. You have the same or slightly more positions. If you take this data on withdrawals and you take the data which I have on the certificates, numbers of certificates awarded, and if every time a position opened up in the past fourteen years a woman had been hired, which I realize is a bit a fantsy -- a certified woman had been hired -- you would at the present time find that of these 3,101 positions you would have 2,303 women holding the positions, if this had happened, even if the women who had been in that

position when the whole game started had actually withdrawn. So you would have actually 73 percent of these positions held by women rather than 10 percent.

Now, I realize that I've stretched it, et cetera. But I think it gives you some idea of the possibilities and the magnitude that the positions have opened. The number of positions have not significantly decreased. There are numbers of certified women available for these positions. So those kinds of questions, I think, in terms of having women with the certificates — I know this fantasy is a little far-fetched, but it's trying to make the point that there are certified women available.

You might be interested to know in terms of the female administrators about a quarter of them are employed in the school district of Philadelphia. So Philadelphia accounts for almost a quarter of the female school administrators.

About 61 percent of the female administrators are in the eastern part of the state. 15 percent in central and 24 percent in the western part. So the eastern part of the state has been much more

amenable to women. But it's been, in a large part, Philadelphia which has really led in terms of the hiring of women administrators.

Well, anyway, that, as I said, was kind of stretching a point that I thought was interesting to look at. So I think we need to keep collecting data. I think we need to publicize the data, because I wouldn't be surprised if many of you here in this audience, who I assume are relatively sympathetic, were probably not aware of the magnitude of the data. I know when I've shared it even with groups of women who are out looking for administrative positions, in most cases they have even been surprised at what the data actually says to us.

Now, let's look at some other things.

We've looked at some of the data. The survey I did

-- after I got all this stuff, I said what is it?

Why aren't there more women in school

administrative positions? And so I surveyed -- had

a random sample which was pulled out of the

computer by Pennsylvania Department of Education

of only certified people. I'm not even looking at

those who are so discouraged that they didn't get

certificates. I'm only looking at people who already had the certification.

And a rardom sample was pulled out of the data banks which they have there, which include -- one data bank includes everyone who got certificates since 1970. The other data bank is those people who are employed as school administrators in the State of Pennsylvania. And we put them together so that I could get work addresses and everything. And 622 people responded. So we have males and females. We have people who are aspiring toward administrative jobs. We have people holding administrative jobs. And I asked them a lot of things, some of which I have already worked out, some of which is still to come in terms of the computer processing and getting it all worked out.

When I looked at this whole sample, and I asked people -- one of the questions that I asked them was what their race was. I asked them to indicate it to me. The only indication other than white or Caucasion was black. I had no people who indicated Hispanics. And 5.8 percent of my sample indicated they were black.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, when you look at the Pennsylvania data for all professional personnel, including teachers, what you find is only 6.5 percent of the professional school personnel in the State of Pennsylvania are black. That includes teachers -- actually, that's black and Hispanic, male and female, but any minority candidates. that the only data that they have is black and So that you do have a low percentage. Hispanic. And I think my sample is relatively close in terms of that. I don't know what the state minority population is. I do not know. I can give you this for the professional educators employed in the State of Pennsylvania.

When I looked at the ages of these people, the average age was about forty five. And those who were aspiring and looking for jobs were a little younger than those who already held the jobs. Big news. But I'm really looking at people in their forties, although you had much younger people and much older people, because by the time you've gathered all those graduate credits, forty five graduate hours plus twenty seven for superintendent, you are not looking at a really

young population. You are looking at a population who has been working at it.

or paired. With these days, I gave people the option of saying they were paired because I got little notes sometimes when I've asked about that. Overwhelmingly, the males were more likely to be married than the females. In fact, your female superintendent group was 54 percent married while your male superintendents were 92 percent married. And you look all down the line at the different groups. Males were much more likely to be married than females, and, not surprisingly, males were more likely to have children than females.

Another thing that I found was only
17 percent of the entire group had children under
six. So that we really do not have that problem
with young children. And if you look at children
up to age eleven, you're talking about 23 percent
of the population. So as you can see, the group is
older. Numbers of the women are not married,
although it's only 19 percent of the group that is
not married. Most of them do not have young
children, so child care is, in very few cases,

much of an issue for these -- this particular
group.

Now, I asked -- in fact, I had lists.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Excuse me. May I interrupt to ask how much longer do you feel -DR. PAVAN: About ten minutes.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I want to make sure that we have both the other panelists and then -- okay. Why don't you go for about five or seven minutes and we'll have the other panelists. We'll have questions. And if there's time, we'll come back to any additional information. I just want to make sure that we get everyone in.

DR. PAVAN: I appreciate that. One of the things that there has been a lot of research on is barriers. People have said women have internal barriers. They don't feel confident. They don't feel good about themselves. They are unwilling to put themselves forward, et cetera.

My responses in terms of things like how you feel about yourself and your ability, et cetera, there was no difference between males and females. There is none of this shrinking violet cinderella syndrome, et cetera. In fact, if

anything, the women screamed out. The differences between male and females were external barriers.

remales responded that they had a visibility problem. You've been in rooms where you're the only woman in the room. You say something and it isn't just perfect and, therefore, it's remembered. Women not being taken seriously, not being encouraged and lacking access to people and information because we have more male administrators. That's how you get the people and the information.

In terms of strategies, how you handle these kinds of things, what you found was that women were actually doing more than men and more women were working harder at it than men. The only strong difference that I found in terms of strategies was that people who hold the jobs had been more willing to move geographically than the aspiring people. And that was not male female. It was all those aspiring to jobs were less willing to move geographically than the people who already had the jobs. So that was not a male female difference. That was an aspiring versus incumbent. And those of us in the business know

that you have to move around if you want to get ahead. So low aspiration, self confidence, which had been claimed in the past to be a problem in terms of women, really is not a problem.

So a second recommendation that I would like to make is the need to encourage school administrators to develop policies and to demonstrate, by personal action, that female teachers be encouraged to obtain administrative certificates, they be considered as serious applicants for administrative jobs. That's very important that they be considered as serious applicants for administrative jobs. We need sponsoring by superiors, access to job information. And I think we need to inform people that things like child care, women's so-called lack of self confidence and career family conflicts are not issues in terms of women.

In fact, women who reported there had been any career family conflict invariably put down on the bottom I changed spouses. Men said the same thing. Let me tell you that it seemed when there was career family conflict, the solution was not to change your aspirations. The solution was

to change your spouse. A lot of cute little notes in terms of that.

So one of the major barriers seems to be this attitude that women are not interested in positions, that they are not encouraged from, as a teacher, why don't you get your administrative certificate.

And so, unfortunately, I'm asking -concerned about both a policy change and an
attitudinal change, which is really, really,
really big difficulty.

I asked people who helped them. And males and females both indicated 83 percent of them they had a mentor or supporter or somebody who helped them. What was interesting when you found out who did the mentoring was that when females were the mentors, they were as likely to mentor males as females. But males were much more likely to mentor other males. But the numbers of the mentors that were females was split, half and half, that they mentored males as much as they mentored females. People who did the mentoring, superintendents, the higher up your position, the more likely it was the superintendent.

1	So, again, access to the
2	superintendent. Frincipals also were seen as
3	mentors, particularly those looking for principal
4	positions. And in some cases the Professor. Most
5	of those were aspiring females who looked at their
6	Professor as a mentor. I would hypothesize that
7	lack of access to other mentors led them to
8	working with their Professor.
9	MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm going to begin to
10	call time. And keep in mind that we may come back
11	to more formal comments by you. Are there any
12	questions directed toward you at this point, so
13	that you don't go right out of your head?
14	HELIN SHERWOOD: I have two quick
15	questions. Could you very briefly talk about how
16	one applies for one of these administrative jobs?
17	Do you have to go before a board? Is a vacancy
18	announcement, posted, and people send in
19	recommendations? Did you do any analysis of the
20	applicant flow?
21	DR. PAVAN: No, that's coming. I still
22	have that.
23	MS. SHERWOOD: Because that's an
24	important part of the picture.

DR. PAVAN: Right. Positions are
supposed to be posted. But how the hiring
process needs to be monitored. I mean that is one
of my recommendations. And I know that it was
monitored in the state of Oregon for one year and
found that that seemed to be very helpful as to
what the applicant pool is, how well the positions
are posted. But there is the thing about positions
of when you have a position available, there is
the network that lets out the word. So those are
the first people who get in.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Doctor Stroup, while you will have a formal presentation, that may be something down your alley, that question.

MR. STROUP: It's probably more directly relevant to Doctor Jones who has just come through that process.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Or Doctor Jones.

MR. STROUP: But I think that is one of the issues and, that is, how do people know that there are vacancies and how do they get their credentials before those who are making the employment decisions. Ultimately, the employment is by the board of school directors. And for the

1	positions that we are talking about, the board
2	will probably be interviewing at least the final
3	three candidates. So that it is a community
· 4	decision as well as an administrative decision.
5	MS. SHERWOOD: Would it be fair to say
6	I was interested in what you said about the
7	mentors and the men tending to mentor only men,
8	and thinking that, in most instances, situations
9	like this, recommendations make a big difference,
10	an enormous difference. And the more
11	recommendations from the board members,
12	colleagues, that come in I would think would tend
13	to tilt things. So if you have men helping men
14	and sending recommendations and those
15	recommendations coming from the in group rather
16	than from the out group would be somewhat
17	significant.
18	Also is there any does veterans
19	preference come into this at all?
20	MR. STROUP: No.
21	DR. PAVAN: It would only make a
22	difference in salary level because of experience,
23	but not on these nositions. Only on lower level

positions.

1	MS. SHERWOOD: Once you're in, you
2	don't have that hurdle.
3	MR. FRIEDMAN: Doctor Jones, do you
4	want to come in?
5	MR. BINKLEY: We're going to have
6	questions.
7	MR. FRIEDMAN: Just a few.
8	MR. BINKLEY: I think this is a very
9	important one and, obviously, you haven't looked
10	into it or you would have reported it. I'm
11	wondering about the differences in salaries for
12	women administrators and the males.
13	MS. JONES: That's coming.
14	MR. STROUP: I have some of that
15	data. I have not done a very
16	MR. FRIEDMAN: I don't want to pick
17	it apart before you give us a hearing.
18	MR. STROUP: Just looking at a ten
19	year trend line, using much the same data that
20	Doctor Pavan has used, women's salaries in almost
21	every position are lower than the men's salaries
22	for the same position, if you look at statewide
23	data.

If you look at statewide data, you'll

also see that in all of the administrative positions, as defined by the State Department, that men have more years of experience in education than women in the same position. So that a male superintendent has more years in education than a female superintendent, and a female supervisor has fewer years of service in education than a male supervisor. So that part of the explanation may be just seniority. The number of years of education, that is, the training level, which also affects salary, appears to be split.

For some of the positions the average level of education for women is higher than for men for other positions. Men have a higher average level of education, so that doesn't appear to be a direct predictor of salary. What probably is as much a predictor of salary, and can't be broken out from the data I was using, is the location of the school district. And in smaller school districts, in those school districts where women are finding superintendencies or at least their first superintendency, salaries tend to be substantially lower. So that that has a direct impact on the salary of women superintendents and

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. JONES: I'd like to comment on your observation about access to the actual application procedure, and tying it into what Barbara is saying, I think the sheer numbers of men in the important positions, in the influential positions, impacts greatly on that. You find that when you're looking at hiring a principal, in that you may have one hundred applications laying in front of you, all with very good credentials, very good recommendations, but if someone picks up the phone and calls you and says I know this person, I would like to recommend them, that person has a better shot at that job. And men are very used to doing that. And I think the few numbers of women and the reluctance of most to do that maybe does hurt the chances of people who are applying for jobs.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Any other questions from members of the panel? All right. Let's go on then to Doctor Stroup, and we'll have a chance to have a broader discussion. And I want to keep also in mind the idea that we want to get some

ideas both from you and from the group here and from the panel as to what we want to do with this information.

MR. STROUP: I think from the data that has been presented, it's very clear that the absolute numbers of women in administration and, indeed, the absolute numbers of women certified for administrative positions is disturbingly low. And it's something that all school administrators are aware of and I think are becoming more interested in redirecting.

What I find encouraging is looking at the fifteen year trend lines that were presented. It is particularly in the number of women who are getting administrative certifications, who are qualified to apply for positions when they are open and qualified to hold those positions when they are employed.

think it's interesting, too, to look at the trend line of employment as presented by Doctor Pavan and to notice that at the same time that women have been increasing slightly in the number of administrative positions, the total number of administrative positions in Pennsylvania

school districts has been declining. So that the relative increase of women in positions as to men may be slightly higher than that as presented in her data.

I looked at a ten year trend, and, in Pennsylvania, the total number of administrative positions, and that includes not only the superintendent and principals, but also supervisors, the total number declined 192 over that ten-year period. The total decline in the number of men occupying those positions was 444, showing an increase in women holding those positions over the ten-year period of 252.

secondary principal and elementary principal over the same ten-year period, there was a decline in the absolute number of positions and also an increase in the number of women holding those positions. It moved from -- the total number of positions moved from 3,223 in 1976/77 school year to 2,924 in the 1985/86 school year, a decline of about 300 administrative positions. The number of men employed declined by about 400 and the number of females in those three positions increased from

240 in 1976/77 to 431 in 1985 '86. The percent increases are large. The absolute number increases are small. And we certainly would hope that the number of women in administrative positions would increase and more effectively represent the number of women employed in schools generally and the number of women in the communities that are served by the schools.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think there are several reasons why in the last ten years there have been these increases, and I guess that my recommendations would be to continue much of the same practice in the future. One thing that occurred in the late 70's and early 80's was a renewed interest by the preparing institutions in women administrators. And I know at the institution I serve, Lehigh University, Doctor Wiley was very effective at mentoring women who were in the classroom into coming back to the academic community to pursue the certification requirements for administrative certificates. And through programs like that we can see the increase in the number of women who hold administrative certificates. And I would hope that those kinds of programs would continue

and expand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That program was initially funded with a grant from the Federal Government and that funding source is no longer available.

Another activity that I think is extremely important and one that our association has been directly involved in is the encouragement of networking of women and women administrators so that there is support for women to pursue a certificate and support for women who are pursuing certificates to pursue a career path that will lead to an administrative position. The Association of School Administrators that I represent has developed a Women's Caucus, and the purpose of that is, very explicitly, to provide a kind of old boys network and provide women access to the existing old boys network so that some of the issues with regard to recommendation and access to positions will be shared not only between women but between school administrators generally, male and female.

One of the interesting things that I have observed informally, and I would be curious to see a more formal study of it, is the changing

Traditionally, the career path for the superintendency has been through the secondary school principalship, so that a person would become an assistant secondary school principal, then a secondary school principal, and then a superintendent. And from the data that you saw, it's very clear that there are very few women assistant secondary school principals, even fewer

women secondary school principals, and that for a.

long time provided a lock on women's access to the

career path toward administrative positions.

1.3

superintendency.

And what I'm seeing is that women and others are developing alternative routes to the superintendency, through staff positions, through assistant superintendencies that may involve instructional responsibility rather than building responsibilities. And that this is useful not only for women to gain access to administrative positions but also to change to some extent the nature of the career path toward the superintendency, which I think is useful for the superintendency itself.

DR. PAVAN: Next year I hope to have

that data. It was in that survey but it hasn't been analyzed yet.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. STROUP: Great. I'11 look forward to ic. One thing that I think our association clearly is interested in doing and one thing that I think can be done to promote better administration, better access to administration and access of good people to administrative positions, is in service programs and support programs for people who are in or aspiring to administrative positions. There is federal legislation, leadership in education administration and development, that has been funded for one year, but funding has been cut, at least in the preliminary budget, for the succeeding years. So we are anxious to see that that program get off the ground and that it be fully funded this year. And hopefully the success of that program will lead to new funding.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Any questions directed to the panel?

MR. FISHER: Yes, I have a question,
Mr. Stroup. I believe you said during the -comparing the period the school year '76/'77 with

I think '85/'86, there was a decline in the number of male administrators, at the same time an increase in female. Would that have anything -- first of all, do you have any information on why these males are leaving? Is it retirement or are they moving into bigger and better things and vacating these positions where women are moving in? Or how would you explain the decrease in the men and the increase in the women?

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. STROUP: There has generally been a decrease in employment in the public school sector. There has been a major change in the demographics of this state, with the baby boom moving through the schools and some retrenchment then following that movement. So that you will find, particularly in the bedroom communities, that had maybe two high schools and several junior highs and a number of elementary schools, that they have retrenched to one high school, one junior high school and retrenched some of their elementary schools. And that has just eliminated the number of administrators. Much of the retrenchment has been done through the attrition So that through retirement or movement, of staff.

some of the men have left or some of the positions have become vacant. The aggregate data I presented merely shows that over the state as a whole and over the number of administrative positions that exist in the Commonwealth in public schools, that there has been an absolute decline in number, and at the same time those — the replacement of people has been more likely to be by a woman than by a man.

MR. FISHER: Do men still continue to become certified for these jobs or --

MR. STROUP: Yes.

MR. FISHER: Or are the number of men being certified -- is that number reducing and the women increasing?

MR. STROUP: If you look at the charts that were presented earlier, just look at them backwards and you'll see that now over 40 percent of women are getting a certificate. That means that 60 percent of men are still pursuing the same certificate. So that in percentages there's still more men pursuing certification than women in these areas.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'd like to ask this

question and it's triggered by Doctor Pavan's earlier comment about the lack of available statistics and government not -- being cut back and so on. What role, if any, in this whole situation is the issue of governmental cutbacks and lack of financial resources stemming from that situation, if any?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DR. PAVAN: The original dropping of statistics of being collected, as I said, the last year the feds did that statistic was '79/'80. I don't know if that was based on a financial cutback or on a philosophical cutback. I would gather it was the philosophical, given the time that it was. At this present point in time, I'm sure it could be justified based on the financial cuts being made. However, the financial cuts being made are going to make it extremely difficult to show progress in terms of equity. And there are a number of programs -- you mentioned one -- NEA -which had done tremendous amounts in terms of training programs for women, to encourage women to become school administrators. And how much that is reflected in the more women receiving certificates we really have no way to know. But some of those

programs, which we have looked at them, very high percentages of the women have gone onto get certificates and to become school administrators.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FRIEDMAN: Is there any other area of relevance of governmental behavior in recent years that impacts on this issue?

MS. JONES: One comment I'd like to make about that collection of data is that it gives a subtle message. There were times when school districts yearly had to send in reports on employment, number of women, number of minorities in that, and at least it caused a district to look at that. The collection of the data, the sharing of it with the communities, the sharing of it with the boards, at least made people realize that that was something to be considered. The fact that that data is no longer collected I feel gives a message. I think it allows school districts to, I don't want to say ignore, but to not have the emphasis on goals in employment that they used to have.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Any other questions or comments at this point? Would you take over now?

MS. JONES: I see my role sitting on

this panel a little differently than my colleagues. Being a superintendent, I feel that the usefulness I can lend to your panel is to talk about experiences, to talk about what has happened to me over the years and my colleagues and the perception that I have. So I'll be reacting to Barbara and Stinson's comments through my own experiences and, for the most part, agreeing with what they're saying, but also maybe mention how those things have happened.

School administration is an awful lot like business and technology, business and industry. .t's still dominated by males. And because of that domination, the characteristics and the behaviors that you see in management still are male values. And there is a difference, I think, not only in style but in values between men and women on the job.

My years have said to me that the discrimination that's out there I don't feel is as malicious maybe as it used to be years ago. I think it is there. I think it is subtle sometimes and blatant other times. But in it not being malicious, I think it's more a difference in a way

of thinking. I don't think that the corporate thinking patterns have really kept pace with the changes in society. I think males still tend to do business the way they have always done business, and have not been aware or tried to change those patterns with women in the business world or in education. I think a lot of that has to do with the socialization of women.

Barbara was saying that women who are administrators tend to be as powerful, as forceful, as drive motivated as men. I think that's true of women who are in those positions, but I don't think that is true of young females growing up in America. And that's where I think we need to address our concerns.

I think discrimination is something that's learned. People aren't born with it. It isn't something that comes along in their genes. It's something that evolves over a period of time. It's a way of thinking. And as much as I see education having problems in discrimination, I think it's also the key to eliminating some of those problems.

There's a lot of research out there

today that talks about sex biases in education, in public education itself. And to get to the issues that Barbara is talking about I would recommend that we start much earlier. We have to talk about what goes on in the classrooms.

There's a husband and wife team by
the name of Sadker who did some research for NIE,
National Institute on Education, that looked at
teacher student interaction in the classroom. And
what they found out was that males from
kindergarten on up are getting more attention than
are females. That's not always positive attention.
They're getting reprimanded more often. They're
getting praised. And they're getting extra help.
But it's giving a message again. They are getting
more attention than females.

They also found that the group that gets the least amount of attention are the high-achieving females. Now that, again, is giving a message to those youngsters, even in those very early and formative years, that the people who are going to get the attention are the males. Even in getting criticism, it allows young boys to experience how to deal with that. They are more

apt to come back with reactions where a young gal or young lady in the lower grades isn't going to do that because they haven't had those experiences. It allows that interaction, that give and take, that arguing, that convincing and cajoling that you need to do in the business world to take place and to be rewarded even at an early age.

have been studies about women in administration in a meeting situation where, when you look at the amount of talk that goes on, males tend to dominate the conversations. There's that myth that women will talk forever and that men never get a words in edgewise. If you would look at a business meeting situation, you would find that that's not the case, and that the input of the males is more highly regarded.

Barbara and I have talked about times when you're at a meeting and you say something and the meeting goes on, and pretty soon a male on the other side of the table says the same thing, and, all of a sudden, that's the most wonderful idea that's ever come down the pike. And there is

research to support that that is true, that males' speech is taken more seriously. Again, a subtle social way of discriminating, but something that I think has to be addressed through education, through schools.

Another point about schools are the things that I'm sure you have heard of over the years, the curriculum materials, the stereotypical roles of not only women but minority and how that, again, gives a message. There's a huge body of research that says that the perception of teachers are very important, that even teachers don't realize the things they say. Even women teachers don't realize that, and that brief but very focused training can help eliminate that. That won'd be a recommendation in the teaching ranks that I think we need to be concerned about, that teaching our teachers to be a little more sensitive to the whole issue.

Barbara's comments about barriers I

think are very true. We can eliminate that by

education. We can eliminate that some by

mentoring, and I think just simply more women out

there will have a large impact. I have always been

the only woman in the administrative ranks in the school districts where I have served. I guess in that respect I've become used to that and I've become acclimated to working with men. But I

really think they see me differently.

And, again, here's that subtle distinction between men and women in administration. There is more of a buddy system for the males. If they are going to go out and have a beer after work or if they are going to go watch the football game, they will be more inclined to ask each other than they will to ask me to go along, for many reasons. Things that might even be unconscious. How would they feel about being seen sitting in the football stands with me. What would people say.

There have been a lot of classic comments that people have made to me over the years. When I was looking for a central office position when I first moved to Pennsylvania, a superintendent looked at my credentials, looked at my background, and said I would be an excellent candidate but there was no way he would feel comfortable taking me before the board, that there

was something about my age, my appearance and that that caused him difficulty. And again --

MR. FRIEDMAN: What do you think he meant?

MS. JONES: What I think he meant was that it would be a whole lot easier to take a qualified male before the board. Here is a young woman, obviously ambitious, to be, at age twenty eight, ready for a superintendent position, means that I had come up through the ranks rather quickly. There's talk. There's insinuations. There is all kinds of things that you would have to perhaps deal with, maybe never, but perhaps. It's easier not to be bothered with those. That's the point I'm making, I think.

I was a principal at age twenty five in a building where the majority of the staff was considerably older than I. I did have good mentors all along the way. But then, again, I aligned myself with people who were of that persuasion, who were supportive, who were influential and who encouraged me.

I think there is a point that credentials, when you're applying for a job,

equate with competency for men. But the same is not true for women. Many times in a job interview, after we've gone through credentials, gone through where I've gotten my degrees, my experiences, the question has come out: Yes, but can you do the job. And yet I've never heard that same question asked of a man. It's as though if you have those credentials and you have those years as a principal, it's obvious that you're successful.

Even in applying for superintendencies, that has been true. People say, well, does the fact that you're a woman give you a problem. Do you find it difficult to deal with difficult situations. And I'm a little smart in my comeback to that. I say, well, I've been a woman all my life and so far I've been all right. And it takes them by surprise, but it also forces them to say, gee, maybe that wasn't such an intelligent question after all. It's obvious that if I have gotten to where I am I have been competent in doing the job.

A feeling I have that Barbara elaborated on a little bit was that she thinks that women work very hard at what they do. I think

that's very true, that the colleagues that I have that are female put in an incredible number of hours and work incredibly hard and probably work harder politically to get along than males do.

Males are very able to just come right at somebody with a confrontation, settle it, and it's over.

But females, I think, have to work a little more carefully because there are other reactions that they're getting from men in disagreeing. So there's a lot of political intrigue and political maneuvering that goes on in just dealing with that male female role.

Mentoring, I think, is something that women have to take very seriously. It's something that's a part of life for men. One thing, as a new superintendent, that I have found is that I am excluded from some of the inner circles, things as simple as belonging to Rotary or belonging to the Lions Club or the Kiwanis Club. You can watch how the contacts are made and how deals get made and decisions get settled over these relationships in these organizations.

Here I am, a superintendent in a community where I do not have access to those

organizations, and if I would ask those gentlemen,
they would say, well, it's certainly nothing
personal. And I don't think it is. But it's
there, and it's a barrier. That's an attitude

thing, too, as Barbara was saying.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I want to speak a little bit about Women's Caucus which is an offshoot shoot of the organization that Stinson heads. That was formed a couple of years ago to meet a need that we identified supporting women. In looking at how we might organize it seemed the most logical way was to align ourselves with an organization already in existence where the power brokers are. PASA is that organization. It's made up mostly of superintendents, assistant superintendents and principals. Doesn't make sense for women to organize and to stay out there in their own little group. That's nice for support, but it doesn't get you where you want to be. It doesn't get you to know the right people, to move in the right circles.

The PASA organization has been very supportive of the Women's Caucus. It gives us the access we need. It gives us the support we need.

There's some interesting things, though, that we saw in forming this organization. There are women who do not feel terribly supportive of that organization. Their fear is how will other male superintendents see them. Will they feel like this is a threat. So even though the organization is there, there are those who are afraid to belong to it.

There's certain subtle threats that are out there simply in having a women's organization. But I think when you weigh the pros and cons, it's very much a positive organization, and one that's very necessary.

Another comment that Barbara made about women supporting women, encouraging them to pursue certification, and to go ahead and have those motivations and those drives to get ahead, that organization does support those aims.

As far as recommendations for your group, there are an awful lot of national reports out there right now on education telling us we need more courses, tougher courses, better teachers. Nobody is really addressing this issue of women. It's very hard to find in any of the

reports any recommendations or even considerations of the issue of women in education or just the education of young girls. There has to be some kind of a push that that be included in the thrust in our country towards education.

I agree with Barbara that there has to be encouragement of boards and school districts to address the issue of women. That goes both with having to do reports, having to compile figures, but just, again, kind of rattling the cages, kind of making people aware that those concerns are out there. I think your organization can do that.

There's an awful lot of research in the United States right now about women in education. Somehow that needs to be collected, either a commission to pull all that together and to come up with the actual findings that are out there in the world, and then to make recommendations. I think there's probably more research, and Barbara might be able to react to this, than there ever has been about women's issues, about education of young children, but there isn't anybody putting that together and saying this is what we're finding. They're just

little pieces here and there.

When I worked on my Doctorate, my topic was schooling in the 21st century. And one of the points that the futurists are making today is that we have to take seriously the plight of women in the United States if we're going to solve the other problems. The bigger, more massive problems can't really be addressed until that issue, sex role stereotyping, sex biases, and that is addressed. And educational futurists are saying that education has to be concerned about that. It really needs to be addressed in our schools, both in the curriculum and in the training of our teachers because that's where it has to start.

So my recommendations to you would be to take an active role, if you can, to pull data together, to encourage school districts that this is an issue that needs to be addressed for women and for minorities.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you very much, particularly for the courage that you've obviously demonstrated over the years in wrestling with the system.

DR. PAVAN: I think you should know that Rita's stories are not unusual. I could have chose: -- I could tell you some about myself, of the women that I work with, in terms of training. Any time you get a group of women together, they all have stories of the issue in the hiring process. At some time or other it comes up how would you, as a woman, feel in this group of men. I know the first time I heard it was it's like I came here for this job. I obviously thought I could do the job or I wouldn't show up. And after awhile you get used to it. You come up with some kind of answer. But it happens all the time.

. 21

MR. STOLARIK: I was really shocked by the figure that back in the 20's more than 50 percent of administrators were women and now we're down to 11. And I was just reading in this Inquirer report where you commented on the reasons. But I wonder if you have looked into was this a concerted effort? Was it -- I don't want to use the word conspiracy. But what happened in the 1920's or 30's that turned this figure around so drastically.

DR. PAVAN: The statistics you were

given was about the role of the elementary principal. Teachers and principals were never paid too well. And when the jobs paid extremely poorly men were more willing to let women have them. With the advent of teacher unionism, teacher organization, which increased the teachers' salaries, which also increased the administrative salaries, men said, hey, this is a job where I can support a family on. And, therefore, they went after them. It's an economic issue. You can really trace it to men's entering the field in terms of being an economic issue.

People are talking now -- one issue we didn't bring up -- of some women not entering the field because they now have other options.

You may have heard -- Al Shanker speaks particularly well -- about the thing that saved the schools in the past was bright women not having other options. And, therefore, we had high caliber teachers available, whom we no longer have, because as women can now go into business, can go into law, can go into medicine, and, you know, those numbers are rising. We still do have a lot of very good people who, since the salaries

have gotten to be reasonable, although we would all like to have them higher in education, we can still attract some very bright, competent people. So that we need to encourage both our women and our men in terms of looking at educational administration. But it's economics, wouldn't you agree?

MR. STROUP: Yes.

1.8

. 21

DR. PAVAN: I've never seen or heard any other explanation that makes sense.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'd like to ask this question having to do with the question of the relevance of discrimination as a basic issue, however subtle the issue may be, and the world of women as it has historically evolved.

The woman as the family person, the most heavily responsible for the nurturing of children at home, the in and out perhaps quality of that situation, you know, when you leave for a pregnancy and return to work, I'm trying to measure the relative force of discrimination versus the special culture that often has developed by women and their historical role.

I wonder if you could -- I'm not sure

that question is very crisp, but I would like you to relate to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DR. PAVAN: All right. Since I'm the older in the group, I will talk to that issue. I was raised with the assumption that one would have a family and one would have children. I was also raised with the assumption that one would go to college since both my parents had gone to So that was reasonably -- was not college. typical of the great majority of women. I'm also the oldest daughter in a family of all girls. And if we look at administrative types, whether it's in business or in education, quite typically it is the oldest daughter who is the one who has these ambitions like men do. I've combined both. Requires a lot of work and a lot of effort, but it certainly is possible.

You're kind of speaking to a split.

There is, quote, the traditional woman and, quote, the working woman. What you're seeing now is people who combine it. While these numbers I gave you in my survey about women currently being married is relatively low or less than the men, most of the women are trying to combine a marriage

and a family and a job and planning when they do what, et cetera, which gets to be a very complicated act in terms of when you go to school, when you do your job, when you have your family, et cetera.

I personally would like to see a more suitable policy for child leaves, both male and female. I think that one way to manage family and career is to have both parents involved with the rearing of children. Other countries we know are much more supportive of family kinds of policies in terms of leaves for women and leaves for having babies and leaves for both parents in terms of child illness, in terms of child care.

But we also have a cultural thing going of a male can say to his colleague, I've got to go out this afternoon because my child's in a play, or I have to take my child to the doctor, and that's applauded. This is an enlightened male.

Female in the same role says the same thing and the response is: All she cares about is her family; she doesn't care about her job. And this is exactly the attitude that we were talking about in terms of trying to balance these two.

Now, let me tell you in terms of pregnancy leaves, there are some women who feel very uncomfortable about that because of the possible discrimination, that they won't be hired in the first place if they're of child bearing age because the district will be required to give them pregnancy leaves. So there's two sides to that issue. I don't know where to come down on it. I mean I remember the days when you went for a teaching job and they asked you how many kids you had, how old you were, and what kind of birth control you used. And that was awhile back, but I'm not that old, fifty four. So those things happened. And I can understand that possible backlash.

and Doctor Jones touched on, that was so important is in ending discrimination for women and men in education really you have to not sexual stereotype, so that you have to encourage children at a very young age that women speaking doesn't have to be traditional. You don't have to only raise your kid. You don't have to think traditional thoughts about the roles women take part in in society.

And I think if that emphasis is placed in education at an early age, from kindergarten, like the girls don't have to play with pink and boys don,'t have to wear blue, things to that effect, it really makes a difference. And questions like the ones just answered wouldn't even be asked because those aren't thoughts. Because we are the older generation those are the kind of questions that we haven't solved and those are the problems that we're dealing with presently.

DR. PAVAN: One of my students just completed a dissertation, research in which she asked students from kindergarten to age twelve about jobs that -- would you like this job, et cetera, and some of the jobs were male sex stereotype jobs, meaning a greater percentage of males held them, and some were female sex stereotype roles. The most interesting finding of the study was many males from kindergarten to grade twelve expected, in large part, to have male type jobs. And females expected to hold male type jobs. They wanted male type jobs, but they weren't -- they didn't think they were as likely to get them as the boys thought they were likely to get them.

But it was amazing, the large percent of the girls who were looking at jobs that were masculine type jobs, which will be interesting since we know what the statistics are in terms of getting them. But it's the most interesting part of the study.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Another thing I did want to add is that another thing with the change or the swinging in of positions, I really think there was economics involved in it, but also men realized how important education was on how it affected the community as a whole in our society, and if they didn't get in a position that was going to impact on the way the children were brought up and the way that they were thinking, that it would make a lot of difference. And I think that's some of the reason that the administrative jobs have made the swing that they have.

MR. BINKLEY: Before this ends, I'd like to ask Doctor Jones a quick question. You referred to the Women's Caucus of the group for Mr. Stinson. Is that a state caucus or is it a part of the national AASA?

MS. JONES: There is a branch of the

American Association of School Administrators that
is Women's Caucus, but Stinson represents the
Pennsylvania Association of School
Administrators. And there is a Women's Caucus now
in Pennsylvania as of about two years ago
officially, and we meet on a statewide basis and

we meet regionally.

MS. DANIELS: Doctor Jones, I'd like to ask you a small question, but, being a woman, I'd like to know, with you being a teacher, do you have the support from women teachers and women administrators, even though they're small, as a woman? I found normally men will support men but women have a tendency not to support women as much as they should. Do you have that problem with --

MS. JONES: I think initially I did, in Lecoming a principal. I had been a teacher in that same school, so I was promoted to the position of principal. And some of the women initially had some difficulty with it, but I think that, again, is what you're used to. There are certain rules of the game that you come to know. I'm not implying that women use any kind of techniques in dealing with male principals, but

there are pretty well-established ways of doing things. And when you have a woman sitting across the desk from you, sometimes those rules are a little different. And that takes a little getting used to. But my experience, in looking at women who are principals and assistant superintendents and superintendents, is that once you establish yourself as a competent, fair individual, you have no problem.

1.1

1.8

MS. DANIELS: What I'm getting at is that maybe women need to have a ladies or a women's network, old boy network, same as the men have.

DR. JONES: Absolutely.

MS. DANIELS: Protecting and helping one another.

MS. JONES: Women need to know that it's okay to be ambitious, that it doesn't mean you're less of a woman or that you're not a good mother if you're working, that you can do those things. But along with that we have to emphasize that you don't have to be a superwoman. That's the problem of our generation. Hopefully, the next generation is going to be smart enough to know

that you don't have to do everything, that you pick and choose.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Have to be called an old girl network, wouldn't it.

MS. JONES: You could.

MR. BINKLEY: There was an allusion earlier to association or meeting and superintendents network, and I'd much rather look forward to the day that there's a superintendents' network and no old boys or old girls network, either one, and the two to compete.

MR. STROUP: That really is what we perceived as the initial value of creating a caucus within the organization, is that it could provide that kind of transitional support and serve some unique needs that men simply don't express that they may have, but do it within a context of all school administrators rather than create separate extremes.

MR. FRIEDMAN: We have present here several representatives of civil rights organizations that have a responsibility to implement activities or actions. The Civil Rights Commission itself is more of a think tank in the

sense that it does not implement law. It tries to highlight problems. It tries to examine and monitor situations.

I wonder if any of the representatives here of Civil Rights Commission would have any comments as to how their agencies do or don't relate to these kinds of issues?

That's an invitation.

MR. GRATMAN: I'm with the
Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. And
Pennsylvania Human Relations Act would deal with
emp_oyment discrimination. Any individual, we
would expect, would come to us with complaint if
they felt they were being denied employment or
promotion based on their sex. I mean that goes
without saying.

We have accepted and resolved many complaints at literally all levels of education, starting elementary up to, I guess, post-graduate. If a person is unsure what to do, have them call us. What I generally tell people is we don't need your answer. The answer remains the same because it doesn't change depending on who you're talking to. We have a ninety day jurisdiction. Federal

Government has a hundred eighty day jurisdiction.

EEOC covers Pennsylvania as well as we do. And our telephone number is 560-2496, and we have three offices in Pennsylvania, one in Harrisburg, one in Pittsburgh and one in Philadelphia. And any

concerns should be brought to our attention.

MR. FRIEDMAN: But, Charles, the concerns are being brought to your attention here. You're talking about walk-in business. I'm talking about -- if we are bringing to the attention of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission rather startling material, I think, is there any responsibility or role that your agency can play in picking up on this, in moving, because you have an implementing arm role which we do not.

MR. GRATMAN: There is another avenue the Commission can use, and that would be a class action complaint. In other words, the Commission could be the complaining party based on information brought to it, which goes beyond individual concerns. But, again, it would have to be against -- now this is based on my knowledge -- it wouldn't be -- we'll call it -- general counsel's knowledge might be a little different

and probably more accurate -- if there was a particular school district that has a history of denying employment opportunities and one or more employees want to file a complaint, we'll say, and want to remain a anonymous or they want to join as a class action, the Commission would entertain that complaint and conceivably be the complainant. But the information has to be brought to the Commission. A transcript of this proceeding might be sufficient to do that. It's just a matter how the complaint gets signed and there has to be a complaint. And I'm using the complaint process as compared to Affirmative Action which is always ongoing and goes on not only with our Commission but with the Pennsylvania Department of Education as well.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. JONES: I would see Affirmative Action being really a more powerful way than a complaint. I'm familiar with people --

MR. GRATMAN: In the long run you're probably right.

MS. JONES: -- who have filed complaints. My comments in the Inquirer about winning the battle but losing the war stem from

that. You can complain. You can have the situation remedied successfully. But in doing so, you may be marked for life. And that is a decision you have to make.

]

MR. GRATMAN: That's why the Commission at times is the complaining party so that it doesn't fall on any one individual and they have to carry that through with them professionally their entire career. That should have no effect. But I think we all can say it does.

MS. JONES: But I feel the more powerful emphasis is that constant attention to Affirmative Action.

MR. GRATMAN: Here, again, it's used without using names, School District A, University B, if someone was to say to us I have been an employee, I am an employee, and this is what goes on, please look into it, I wish to remain anonymous, you would. But it's like everything else, someone has to kick us in the right direction. There are just too many school districts and too many universities and not enough staff to go there without a reason.

DR. PAVAN: I have sent people to you and in some cases it has been the only way to break open a position for a woman, and has been effective at least in terms of that initial job. There have been other times where I have sat with women and we've discussed it and gone over and the woman has made the decision that that is too dangerous.

I know -- I even had one particular case we were thinking of doing on a particular school district where I happen to know a woman on the school board. Interesting. Woman called up for an application for a job. They said the application date was over. Second woman called and got the same response. A guy called and he got an application. I thought that was pretty obvious, that it was still open when a guy called. But both of those women decided that this was their entry level position, and I talked with this woman who is on the school board, who I knew that that was too dangerous for them, and I'm absolutely certain it would have been too dangerous for them.

So that I have to agree with what Rita has said. It's there. It's very useful. I

know you give very useful advice in terms of
looking at cases and making a decision to go or
not go with it. But it is an individual penalty.
I'm glad some women have taken it because I think
it sent a message to school districts that this is
possible.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GRATMAN: Even in the example you have just given, if that was brought to our attention, people from our staff could call, a male and a female, ask the same question. I'm interested in a job, can I have an application, and the female would be told no and the male would have been told yes. That would have been enough information for the Commission to file a complaint based on our own experience. And a complaint would be not refusal to hire. It would be the application process in terms of condition and that opens the entire door. And once you have a complaint, you start uncovering things. There is no telling where it will lead to. That's all we need. We don't have to have a qualified person. We just need what you have told us, misleading in formation.

MR. FRIEDMAN: But there's no room in

78

1	your operational norm to take material such as you
2	were given here today and to initiate without
3	formal complaint?
4	MR. GRATMAN: Yes, there is. I'm
5	asking, if we have a copy of it, I can assure we
6	rould act upon it vigorously.
7	MR. FRIEDMAN: In terms of
8	investigating in a broad general, way?
9	MR. GRATMAN: Can't investigate
10	without a complaint.
11	MR. FRIEDMAN: So you're saying that
12	unless someone walks in
13	MR. GRATMAN: No, no. The Commission
14	would be the complainant based on what is being
15	said today. The commission could be the
16	complainant. It would depend on what information
17	tney have and how counsel looks upon it. And I'm
18	sire there would be a question or two they want to
19	clarify. But we have done this in the past and
20	I'm sure we'll do it in the future.
21	MR. FRIEDMAN: And I hope you will do
22	it today.
23	MR. GRATMAN: I will bring it back.
24	MR. STROUP: I'm sure there is

discrimination and individual acts of discrimination by school districts. My sense is that is not today the primary problem. The primary problem now is support and access. And I think that is different than a complaint procedure and individual citations as to school district for some kind of acts of discrimination which I don't think is the pervasive problem.

MS. JONES: I think we're speaking in generalities of our experience in education, what we have seen, and that it's still there. It's still pervasive, and that Affirmative Action maybe in't as strong as it used to be.

MR. BINKLEY: A survey by the Pennsylvania State Commission could put on notice, and you alluded earlier to the fact that school districts no longer have to provide data by race and sex, and if the state initiated a survey or something that would heighten the interest and remind school districts of their responsibility in this category.

DR. PAVAN: The state is collecting that data. I don't know if that data ever gets to the feds. I know the feds are not publishing it

and disseminating it and collecting it and putting it together. I think we have -- Pennsylvania does have that data. They publish it on a yearly basis. Stinson and I both came in with our copies of the latest data.

б

1.3

MS. JONES: That is Department of Education data, and I don't think it goes further than there.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Could this advisory committee staff project, assuming there will be a Civil Rights Commission, a broader study of the nature of this phenomenon, as one of the reports of this advisory committee, to highlight these issues more fully?

MR. BINKLEY: Oh, sure.

MR. FRIEDMAN: In other words, if our group here felt that the information we're getting is sufficiently of concern, we could target this.

MR. BINKLEY: It was my understanding this was the purpose of the meeting, to get the issues identified and presented to us and then discuss them ourselves.

MR. FRIEDMAN: You could advise us as to the manner in which we could begin to move into

1 | this arena.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 MR. BINKLEY: Certainly.

MS. DANIELS: I would like to see their recommendations followed through. At least get it on paper and see what we could do with them.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think we've come to the end of what I've found an absolutely fascinating examination of a problem where I think there has been a kind of vacuum. I mean we're more familiar with more traditionally thought of areas of discrimination and lines of race and even, yes, of sex. But I believe this particular issue is sort of blatant, at least in its outward torm. There are a great deal of subtleties and new answers here that don't get simple kinds of responses as you have pointed out where you're not confronted with immediately direct and palpable discrimination. You're dealing with ambiances and subtleties and new answers which are no less real but more difficult to pin down, put your fingers on. And I hope that the advisory committee can think this issue through and try to be of greater assistance.

I want to thank all of you for coming and being with us today and for perhaps even the courage you have demonstrated in taking on these issues, which are not necessarily making you the woman of the year in certain areas of our state and communities. Thank you very much.

Our next subject is the issue of violence and bigotry, and the summary report that our staff has provided based on hearings that were held in Pittsburgh and in Philadelphia and to include perhaps material not yet in the record but by way of update. And I'm going to ask staff to comment on this. I believe each of you were given copies of the document. Which of you wish to go forward? Tino.

MR. CALABIA: We do have Charles

Gratman who perhaps might share that table there
who will give us an update on the more recent
incidents in southeastern Pennsylvania. But
basically what you have is the final version of
our office's version of the reports on the three
forums that were held. You remember, in fact, it
was the former chair, Joe Fisher, who convened the
first meeting in October of 1984 in Pittsburgh.

And at that time federal, state and local officials as well as heads of private organizations briefed us. And I guess the consensus was that the kind of heightened activities fostered by the Ku Klux Klan or other antagonists like them were not as evident in '84 as they had been in the early 80's.

We also learned that part of the reason for the fact that such incidents may have subsided was that the state itself had passed an ethnic intimidation law which was beginning to be implemented, and also that local officials and state officials had joined with private organizations to publicly condemn these kind of incidents and to let the wider community know that such activities would not be tolerated.

Later this committee, convened by you, went to Philadelphia. And this was just after the assaults on the southeast Asian refugees here in Philadelphia. But you also heard at that time from Hispanic victims of violent and racism. And we basically heard at that time that such problems were persisting, that apparently there had been no letup.

Very shortly thereafter was the explosion around southwest Philadelphia, when, in another forum that you convened, in detail it was described by various parties what happened in southwest Philadelphia. One night there being some four hundred demonstrators who were harassing a black family that had just moved into a predominantly white neighborhood. And the succeeding night, about two hundred such individuals, residents of the area, who were, I guess, engaged in a kind of intimidation of an interracial couple.

Basically, we have tried to stitch together the comments from the federal, state and local agencies as well as private organizations. And this report now is in the final stages of processing at headquarters. And we hope would be released before not too long. We asked Charles to join us. He's with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission and he also is the regional office member who works with the Pennsylvania Task Force, the Civil Tensions Task Force, and have asked him to perhaps share information on incidents that may have happened subsequent to our

meeting here in Philadelphia in January of '86.

If I could turn it over to Charles.

MR. GRATMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, committee members, and the staff of the Civil Rights Commission. The Philadelphia Regional Office of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission reports that incidents of racial and religious tension in situations as reported to you in May of '85 continues. Since May of '85 we have received and acted upon 78 incidents of tension which were either racially, religious, or based on a persons ancestry.

It is important to note that some of this increase is related to the increased reporting and referrals to us by local police departments. Our records, which are incomplete, suggest that this region, when compared to the entire state, has slightly over 50 percent of all reported incidents of tension.

One case that deserves more detailed discussion is the one that's taking place currently in Darby Borough in Delaware County. This is an interracial couple that experienced ongoing attacks which is based on their

interracial marriage. In the past a white male has been charged with vandalism and ethnic intimidation. The individual has pleaded guilty, and after restitution, was placed on the ARD program. Because the situation and harassment has continued up until the present time, the family, as recently as yesterday, has decided to leave the area and relocate into another part of Pennsylvania. There is ongoing police involvement, human Relations Commission involvement, and community concern for the problem continues.

б

The Philadelphia Regional Office of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission has relied upon the cooperation and a relationship with various agencies including the Pennsylvania State Police, the Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General and the Community Relations Service at U.S. Department of Justice. Our goals continue to be directed in the area of helping local municipalities do their job as this relates to insuring the right of fair housing opportunities and law enforcement at the police, district justice and judicial levels in the communities.

To this end, we welcome the review of

the Civil Rights Commission. I'm available to respond to your questions which I assume will be numerous. Since your last presence here, there have been ongoing incidents in four of the five counties which are noteworthy.

In Juniata Park, Frankford, a black female has been denied the opportunity to either rent or purchase a property because of large crowds in the area. These were based on her race. There has been a fire bombing in Bucks County in the Fairless Hills section and this has been reported to us based on the individual's race. In Chester County, members of the Hispanic community are being assaulted and harassed. There has been a shooting and this has been reported to us based on the person's national origin ancestry. In Delaware County, I have said that an interracial couple has been vandalized and a person has been apprehended, charged and found guilty.

These are some of the highlights that have taken place in the last year.

MR. FISHER: I'd like to make a comment. Tino did report that in 1984, I believe, we did convene a hearing in Pittsburgh. And as he

reported, what came out of that hearing generally from most of the groups that reported to us was a consensus that violence and bigotry seemed to be subsiding and becoming less and less.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What we do have to report, and Tino did not mention, is that it appears that even in western Pennsylvania and that area where we were told that it was pretty much under wraps, it appears that it is escalating in that area also and it has escalated to the extent -- and I have here a clipping and many of you may have it -that just as recent as July there was a Ku Klux Klan rally and a cross burning in Smithfield, Pennsylvania. So even though the report may indicate that in '84, during our hearing there, the report we were given was that it was kind of subsiding and becoming under wraps, I am afraid, unfortunately, that we have to report that it appears that it's growing and escalating in that part of the state also.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Do we have a representative here of the Pennsylvania State Police? I thought we had one here earlier this morning.

MR. CALABIA: We did, but he had to go to a trial that he had been associated with on another assignment.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Charles, do you have any sense of what are the forces behind the seeming escalation of racial harrassment that we seem to be experiencing both in the western part of the state and most heavily apparently in the Philadelphia area?

MR. GRATMAN: Well, I really couldn't say about what's going on in Pittsburgh. But in this area, if we rely on the incident I have just reported on, there is a common thread. It's a large massing of people coming out and expressing their displeasure and hatred for blacks. It takes you back years into the 40's, 30's, or maybe as recently as the 50's. I don't know why some people — and, of course, it's not all the people — feel that they can come on to the streets and openly say we do not want this black person living here and feel they can get away with it. That's a phenomenon that's taking place. And what has caused it, I'm not a hundred percent sure. I can only guess, and I wouldn't want to speculate.

But that's a common thread that's taking place in Philadelphia and some of the other communities surrounding Philadelphia. It's just taking place.

MS. DANIELS: Do you feel that maybe the directions from the top people are beginning to feel that there's no teeth in Affirmative Action, there's no teeth in trying to support civil rights as vigorously as we have known it to be enforced, and now people are beginning to feel that they can get away with some of the things that they're doing? Do you think that's part of it?

MR. GRATMAN: I'm sure.

MS. DANIELS: If we're going to be trying to find a thread here to pull all this together.

MR. GRATMAN: I'm sure that's part of the thread that will make the fabric. There's no doubt about that. It's cutting back on Affirmative Action, saying it's not necessary, becoming colorblind with regard to hiring, no preferences, trying not to correct past injustices. That's a message. The message is getting out. And maybe

that's why this is happening. But that's pure

speculation. You would take another study to pull

all that together.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CALABIA: Could I ask Charles, you sit with the Civil Tensions Task Force. In the incidents that you gave as samples of recent problems you didn't mention the tensions that have grown up between I guess it's some of the Korean leaders and business persons in a part of the city where they erected street signs in Korean and then the local residents felt up in arms about this. When we met in Philadelphia in 1985, we heard about the assaults on the southeast Asian refugees, the Hmong, in particular. Do you at all relate those kind of dramatic and obvious assaults on southeast Asians with the kinds of tensions that are boiling up between the residents of that neighborhood and the Koreans who were trying to erect these signs?

MR. GRATMAN: Well, I'm not familiar with what's taking place in that area and the Philadelphia Commission is best able to answer that. But I do think they are different. I really do.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think I can provide a partial answer to that because I attended one of the meetings held in the area. The second meeting that was held, and there was a very positive response of the neighborhood people, the white residents of the area, who, while still arguing that signs in Korean were not what they were opposing, that the signs should be as they were before, nevertheless spoke out very, very sharply and hostily with regard to the act of tearing them down or making efforts to deface them. And there was some discussion there that whatever hostility they had toward the placing of the Korean names on the street signs should be handled in face to face discussions and with the people there. And there was a very strong feeling. On the other hand, the following day or two, the defacement continued.

And so you had neighborhood leaders opposing the use of violence and harrassment of that kind and the process still going on.

I wanted to ask Charles whether the issue also has anything to do with the way in which officialdom is responding to these issues.

It may very well be that the issues of Affirmative

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Action and so on, these global issues, are impacted, but I strongly suspect that more to the point is the issue of how is police, how are the government forces, who have responsibility, responding and making clear the community opposition or not perhaps making clear enough the community opposition? Where does that stand?

MR. GRATMAN: Our experience has always been that when government says this will not be tolerated, that this is unacceptable behavior, it stops. It's as easy as that. When there is indecision, a lack of response, not a clear response, the citizens are somewhat confused, and they -- many will take it upon themselves to say, well, this must be an okay thing to do. If you have a strong, positive directive, it will not be tolerated.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Are we getting such signals?

MR. GRATMAN: In some communities we are. In others, I think we're not. I think the local governments would have to respond as to whether they believe they're giving strong signals or not. I would rather not, only because it would

be embarrassing to them and embarrassing to myself.

J. 5

MR. FISHER: I would like to make just a comment, an opinion, I suppose. I think in the City of Philadelphia, if you talk about the top, at least, that has been verbalized, we will not tolerate this it can't be. There may be a problem in terms of the layers or the levels in between the top and those that really do the implementation.

What I mean by that, for an example, it was alleged in the incident that happened out in southwest Philadelphia that supposedly the house was under surveillance, but even while being under surveillance, some two or three people were able to come by and fire bomb it, et cetera, and no arrests. So I don't really know -- I think that has a lot to do with it. But I think it needs more than from the top. It needs to be in the middle levels, and the people that do the implementation. And I think that people have to understand, whether you're an individual or whether you're in numbers, that when you break the law, you're going to pay. And some people feel

that in numbers there is security and there's
safety and I think it's --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GRATMAN: But the best example, I quess, a positive example I can use is when Miss Dennison went to visit the house in Frankford after being denied the opportunity to inspect the house in Juniata or actually denied the opportunity to rent in Juniata Park, the Philadelphia Commission, I suspect the Managing Director's office, the Philadelphia Police Department, the Board of Realtor's, and Miss Dennison worked together. They were at the house together. There was a police presence. Testimony was taken. There was a clear understanding in the community that this woman, this black female, had a legal right to inspect this house, make an offer on it, if she wanted to buy it, and no one was going to stop her.

Well, with all of that police power, while all that city government was there, someone put KKK on the house. Now, the community reported who it was. And that person has been -- well, it's alleged -- I guess I have to use the word alleged -- we have a person being charged. And whether

that person is innocent or guilty will be determined by the court system. But there was a strong effort by the City to say this individual has a perfect right to do this and we will do everything in our power to make sure that person will do it. And while all this power is taking place, something disastrous happened. You cannot control everyone. But the message went out that day very clearly. And when they had the testimony, the testimony from the individuals was in that direction, too. They knew where the City stood on it, and it was very clear.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think this
underlines the importance of not only officialdom
saying and making the right moves, but also where
the people in the community, in the total
community, stand. I was fascinated when I visited
the Olney area on this sign business with the
Koreans that, after the story appeared in the
Inquirer of the earlier meeting which did have
examples of racism and hostility, that there was a
very serious effort at that meeting to back off
from racist appeals, and I think what this may
suggest is that the police and government and

agencies like Human Relations Commission can only go so far, and that it becomes necessary for the religious and civic leadership to be out there to be making known that the community itself, the broader community, apart from the local area that's involved, objects to this, finds this unAmerican, finds this racist, and that there is a solid, overwhelming majority of people in the community who object and resent very severely these kinds of incursions. And my guess here is that we have not seen enough of the religious community and the civic community involved in such statements.

3.0

MR. GRATMAN: It's an ongoing learning process. It should never stop. And I think the mistake we make from time to time is that when we get a lull, we say we're successful and we do stop and we relax our guard. And then you have a Klan cross burning in western Pennsylvania to remind us that things aren't as good as we thought they were.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Let me open this up to the group in the audience. Are there any questions or comments that any of you wish to make

or any suggestions?

1.7

MS. SUTTON: I am Gloria Sutton from the Community Relations Division at the Commission on Human Relations Philadelphia Commission, and I apologize for coming late. Someone else was to fill in and they were unable to do so.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Please feel free to fill us in on any additional data you have available.

MS. SUTTON: I thought your point was very well taken about the role the church and other institutions will have to take in the matter. Going back to the -- I'm the west and southwest representative, so I was involved really not as much with this situation as I was with the previous situation of four hundred people in the southwest. And so I did note in that instance that the Catholic Church, because there are a large number of Catholics in that area who they thought were involved, did take an active part when the black couple, you'll remember, that moved out and the interracial couple stayed, the church did come in and in an instance was asking the parishoners down in the area to come in and perhaps give some

You remember, boys went in and the furniture. furniture was burned and the house was destroyed and whatnot, to bring that to the parish hall. And that was a part of our effort to get them to come in and to do something to show that the entire community was, in fact, not in accord or condoning what had happened. And we certainly looked at that as being a very good gesture for the Catholic Church to come out. They also in that instance did put a paper out immediately after it was noted that the -- that a meeting had been held originally in the Catholic Church saying we do not and Christ does not in this instance condone this kind of thing. Literature was sent home to the children.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so I'm saying that in line with what you were mentioning about the role of the church in trying to bring and trying to heal and to show the position, even if it is a more liberal position than they had taken previously.

MR. BINKLEY: Could I ask a question,
Miss Sutton. In the Philadelphia Inquirer of
Thursday, August 14th, there's a report of a
community meeting called by your agency. I

believe civic leaders were asked to aid racial harmony. President of the organization called a large group of people together. And the article points out that there are still plans to continue to meet in September or that they talked to that. Do you know if that's going to be a continuing activity?

1.1

1.5

MS. SUTTON: My impression that they were going to hold that meeting. I will say that that specific area, and I was way for the month for vacation so I wasn't as much up on the Dennison matter as I would ordinarily have been, but that is my impression. And I think before that time Doctor White probably will put out a release advising about that. And I certainly will mention it when I get back to the office if you have some interest in that ongoing dialogue.

MR. BINKLEY: We all realize there's no simple solution but every effort made, this would certainly be one.

MR. FISHER: It is my understanding that that is intended to be an ongoing vehicle to try to address and to do something about this problem of violence and bigotry. It is a coalition

MR. FRIEDMAN:

made up of a number of people in the City of

Philadelphia, including community organizations,

labor and a lot of people. And it's my

understanding, as I said, that that is intended to

be just another vehicle to attempt to do something

about this very serious problem.

Any other questions?

MR. STOLARIK: In light of what was said earlier this morning, in the schools and educating children right from the beginning to be more tolerant when it came to sex and sex roles and so on, I think the schools have an equally important role in this area as well. Speaking as a professional historian and educator, I know that American history in the past used to be white Anglo Saxon Protestant male history and everybody else was sort of an outsider. And that whole concept is now being overturned. And we do have a new emphasis in trying to teach history to all the people.

But there should be courses in every school, for instance, on intolerance and racism in American history. This should be part of the curriculum. We have to get away from this notion

J

-- you know, we have a slogan in America that's almost four hundred years old, for instance, that the only good Indian is a dead one. That started back in Puritan days.

And this is part of our legacy, our history. And we have to root this out and this has to start in the schools as well. So a major component of the curriculum in America in all schools, all levels, should be racism and intolerance in our history. And we have to root it out.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think it's safe to say that this issue calls for a greater degree of mobilization of all the forces in the community, both of governmental action, commissions, police, but in cooperation with the community or generally and with the schools, as Mr. Stolarik points out, so that we cannot simply rest on the so-called progress that's been made over the years in improving intergroup relations. It simply is a battle that has to be fought over and over again and there doesn't seem to be any opportunity to rest with regard to these issues. And certainly these are some of the things that are emerging

from our discussions here.

The report then will be presented to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission in Washington. We will continue to keep them posted and we will continue to monitor the situation very vigorously in the weeks and months ahead. Unless there's either questions with regard to this subject area, let me close off then this discussion of violence and bigotry and just take a few moments to complete another item of business for our agenda. Thank you very much, Charles, and thank you, Miss Sutton, for your input.

I think the final matter before us can be taken care of very quickly and this has to do with the assignment this Advisory Committee gave itself some months ago to explore the issues of what we call new directions or new strategies for dealing with civil rights issues. We have been hearing today, obviously, that we're not done with the traditional civil rights issues. And I think we need constant reminders to that effect. But the fact remains that on a broader basis the situation of minorities in American life in some ways have grown worse with regard to their social

and economic conditions.

1.0

Incidentally, I, too, am trained as a historian, and where we were witnessing issues of violence and harassment, this is really baby stuff in comparison to what used to be, where you would have literally hundreds of people lynched in the course of several years. And I'm not trying to minimize or in any way underplay, but it suggests that we have made some progress in this arena.

But in the area of social and economic plight of thousands and maybe millions of Americans of minority group background, we have gone seriously backward in many ways. So this particular advisory committee has begun to explore approaches toward dealing with that problem that step out of traditional civil rights responses, unfair employment practice or fair housing laws.

And we heard in May testimony with regard to such matters as educational vouchers for the poor. We heard representatives discussing not only the concepts of urban enterprise zones but actually three urban enterprise zones in the Philadelphia area, one in the American corridor, and Huntington Park corridor.

Today we had invited Doctor Susan Wachter to discuss a concept called housing vouchers, the idea of making available to minority and income -- those falling below a certain income level vouchers by which they could obtain housing in areas throughout the City and state rather than simply be relegated to areas of public housing in defined areas. Doctor Wachter has given me a paper which I will take from my notes there and it's about three or four pages. I'm not sure that we need to read it into the record. We could simply attach it to the record. And I would like to see the notes of this meeting summarize its contents so that we will have that particular body of information as part of the record for this session. I realize without Doctor Wachter's presence and without your having seen the statement there really isn't very much usefulness in discussing any aspect of this unless you have any questions or any comments about this whole issue of trying to explore different kinds of strategies for what some have called the postcivil rights era.

1

Z

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	one can say about that. Then without any further
2	questions or discussions, I'm going to adjourn
3	this meeting and ask the members of this advisory
4	committee to stay together for a little while to
5	discuss some of the things we've been hearing
6	about and to react to. Thank you very much to all
7	of you for coming and sharing your thoughts with
8	us.
9	MR. BINKLEY: I might just say that
10	before we get the transcript we can reproduce
11	Doctor Susan Wachter's paper and send it to the
12	committee so we'll have a copy of it. You didn't
13	bring copies?
14	MR. FRIEDMAN: No, I did not have
15	access to a machine.
16	MS. SUTTON: Is a copy available of
17	that? We were very anxious to hear
18	MR. FRIEDMAN: The housing vouchers.
19	MR. CALABIA: If you give us your
20	mailing address, we'll send it to all the people
21	who have registered.
22	MS. SUTTON: All right. Wonderful.
1	

23

24

$\tt C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ I \ O \ N$

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PROCEEDINGS AND EVIDENCE ARE CONTAINED FULLY AND ACCURATELY IN THE STENOGRAPHIC NOTES TAKEN BY ME UPON THE FOREGOING MATTER ON 8/2/80, AND THAT THIS IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF SAME.

C-aden

CLAUDIA L. ADEN
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
COMMISSIONER
NEW JERSEY C.S.R.

(THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING REPORTER.)