2

1

4

6

5

7

8

APPEARANCES:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CCR

Leet.

3

23 ,, ;;;4

25

HOTEL ROANOKE SEPTEMBER 30, 1985 ROANOKE, VIRGINIA

VIRGINIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO THE U S COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

MR. BENJAMIN BOSTIC, Chairman Virginia Advisory Committee

MR. CARLISLE C. RING, Member Virginia Advisory Committee;

MS. JESSIE M. RATTLEY, Member, Virginia Advisory Committee;

DR. TIMOTHY G. O'ROURKE, Member Virginia Advisory Committee;

MR. CHARLES KRUMBEIN, Member Virginia Advisory Committee;

REV. CURTIS W. HARRIS, Member Virginia Advisory Committee;

DR. DAO THI HOI, Member, Virginia Advisory Committeee;

MR. JEFFREY A. NORRIS, Member Virginia Advisory Committee;

MR. JOHN I. BINKLEY, Regional Director Mid-Atlantic Regional Office (MARO);

MRS. WANDA HOFFMAN, Civil Rights Analyst Mid-Atlantic Regional Office (MARO).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	
	Introductory Remarks, Chairman Bostic4
3	4
	Remarks by the Mayor of Roanoke
4	8
-	PANEL ON EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION
5	
	Chairpersonlll remarks
6	CHETCHEL DOUTTI LEMOLEDISTRIBLE SALER SERVICE SALE SALER SAL
~	Maria Maria Langua Tarkan
_	Ms. Mary Lynn Tate14
7	
	Mr. Art Strickland
8	
	Mr. Eric Turpin
9	
	Mr. Jim Beatty
10	
	Mr. Junius A. Haskins, Jr. (not present)
11	Mr Al Walland
	Mr. Al Holland
12	37
	Dr. Doyle Thomas, Jr
13	41
	PANEL ON EDUCATION DISCRIMINATION
14	LHACE ON EDOTHIOM DISCHTHIMMITOM
T-4	
15	Chairperson remarks
1	The Target Market States and the Sta
	Dr. Julian Moore62
16	
	Rev. James R. Ephraim67
17	
:	PANEL ON DENIAL OF VOTING RIGHTS
18	
	Chairperson remarks
19	,
	Mr. Corelli Rasheed
20	
	Mr. Tom Kerfoot
21	
	LUNCH BREAK
22	
	Introductory remarks, Chairman Bostic
23	
Tol	PANEL ON HOUSING DISRCIMINATION
24	1-custon for a supplication of the supplicatio
e-T	Chairperson remarks
~=	CHAIFPERSON FEMARKS
25	

~ ~

1	
1	Mr. Robert Johnson. 2
2 :	Ms. Delores Daniels
3	Mr. Junius Allen Haskins, Jr
4	Ms. Hazel Thompson
5	PANEL ON ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE
6	Chairperson remarks
7	Ms. Evangeline Jeffrey
8	Mrs. Gloria Helsley
9	COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE
10	Rev. Carl Tinsley
11	SUMMARY REMARKS AND ADJOURNMENT BY CHAIRMAN 210
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	\$
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
<u> </u>	ı
23	
24	
25	

PROČEEDINGS

Good morning, I'm Benjamin Bostic.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: We were waiting for the mayor; however because of the tight schedule of panelists we're going to proceed, and when the mayor comes, we will allow him to greet us and participate.

I'm chairperson for the Virginia Advisory Committee to the U S Commission on Civil Rights.

Other members of the Advisory

Committee present today are Dr. Hoi, of Springfield,

Reverend Curtis Harris of Hopewell, Mr. Krumbein, who
will be here shortly; Mr. Jeffrey Norris of Reston,

Virginia; Dr. Timothy O'Rourke, Charlottesville, and

Mrs. Jessie Rattley of Newport News.

Other members of the Virginia Advisory

Committee who are not present today are Dr. James

Holley Mayor of Portsmouth, Mr. Carlyle Ring of

Alexandria, and Mr. Naomi D. Zeavin of Falls Church.

The U S Commission on Civil Rights is a temporary, independent, bipartisan fact finding agency authorized by Congress to study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting denials of voting rights and equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin,

8 ~

1 handicap or age, or in the administration of justice.

Each state and the District of Columbia has n Advisory Committee that has been established by the U S Commission on Civil Rights to advise the commission concerning civil rights matters within their perspective jurisdiction. Members now serving on the Advisory Committee of the Virginia Advisory Committee were appointed by the Commission April of 1985. Members are to serve without compensation for a two-year period.

Since the first of the year the Virginia Advisory Committee has been holding meetings in various parts of the state for the purpose of obtaining a current assessment of civil rights complaints and concerns in the areas of employment, education, voting, housing, and the administration of justice. Meetings have already been held in Alexandria, Leesburg, and Portsmouth.

Today we are meeting in Roanoke, an Advisory committee has not met in recent years. It is the goal of the Virginia Advisory Committee to analyze the information obtained from the series of meetings and present our findings and recommendations to the U S Commission on Civil Rights and others in the public and private sectors before

1 the end of this year.

The format for our meeting today in Roanoke is the same as that for other meetings we have held recently. There will be five panel discussions, one each on employment, education, voting, housing, and the administration of justice. As time permits, members of the audience will also be given an opportunity to provide information to the Virginia Advisory Committee at the conclusion of each panel discussion. The types of information being sought from each panel and the audience are all follows:

- 1) The number of complaints of discrimination that have been handled on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, handicap and age and the types of issues involved in the areas of employment, education, voting, housing, and administration of justice.
- 2) The types of resources available to handle such complaints for example, local discrimination ordinances and agencies.
- 3) Views of panelists and members of the audience concerning the need for Virginia Human Rights Act that would prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex,

age and handicap for areas such as employment, education, and public accommodation, housing and voting, and their views on the need for a Virginia Human Rights Commission to enforce such a conduct of anti-discrimination.

Panelists knowledgeable concerning these issues have been invited by the Virginia Advisory Committee to participate in today's proceedings. Panelists will take five minutes each to present their information and views.

A court reporter is available to verticed the proceedings. While the Advisory Committee will have a transcript of the meetings, we will also welcome any written or written materials that panelists and members of the audience wish to submit as part of the record.

"Privacy Act of 1974: Information Collected for The State Advisory Committees To The U S Commission On Civil Rights. This provides information on the authority of the Virginia Advisory Committee to collect information and the address to which to write if you wish to obtain a copy of any of the information you have provided and contained in the files of the mid-Atlantic Regional Office or the U S

1 Commission on Civil Rights.

The U S commission on Civil Rights and its advisory committees are required by federal law to request that all persons must refrain from degrading or defaming any other individual who provide information. Federal law also provides that anyone who presents information today has the right not to be either reported or photographed by the press or other media in the room.

If you wish to exercise this right,

please contact the Virginia Advisory Committee of the

mid-Atlantic Region to let them know in order that

this can be arranged. Persons from the mid-Atlantic

Regional Office here today are Regional Manager Mr.

John Binkley, who is the Regional Office Director,

18, 1 (20)

and Ms. Wanda-Hoffman, the Civil Rights Analyst who

handles this in the Regional Office.

Before proceeding with the scheduled panel discussion, we wish to thank Dr. Noel Taylor, the Mayor of the City of Roanoke for being with us today to comment on the proceedings. Mayor Taylor, we are very pleased to have this opportunity to meet you and hear your thoughts. Mayor Taylor?

MAYOR TAYLOR: Thank you very much, and good morning, ladies and gentlemen. It's a real

pleasure and honor to be here with all of you this morning and to extend greetings from the elected officials, our administrators, and more than 100 thousand citizens that make up this community that we refer to the Star of the South. We are honored by your presence. We trust that your deliberations here today will be fruitful of good to all those in attendance and that you will return to your respective communities with very pleasant recollections of what you have heard and experienced here.

As a black mayor in a predominantly white community, I am interested in the progress of civil rights that have been accomplished across this nation. In this city, I could not have registered in this hotel as a guest 20 years ago, although at that time the church I served was half a block from the main entrance gate.

In this hotel, I could not have sat down in the dining room for breakfast 20 years ago.

Today I come as mayor and as a mayor, elected at large by the voters of all the citizens in the community, a mayor who is presently in his fourth term of service—and I will admit that perhaps I have overstayed my time—but I am privileged and honored

to come to welcome you and to say that I am proud to be a Roanoker; therefore, I can bring you greetings from the total population, and I can say to you that I know that this forum today will focus on progress as well as problems.

As I look at your agenda I see housing, education, voting, employment, and administration of justice. These are your areas of concern today as the areas of your panels meet.

These are the areas which our community has addressed and continues to address. Now, I do not want to mislead you.

Df course we have problems in Roanoke, but I also do not want you to learn only of the negatives. For a start, we know how to talk to each other. I think we have developed the fine art of communication, that we've learned how to have positive dialogue to ask the difficult persons and we know how to listen. I think we reached the point where the common concerns and the human values form the touchstone of community improvement and I know that you will help for us to take a progressive step further as you engage in your dialogue today.

I know that the best guarantee of our civil rights is the Bill of Rights, which is the

1 foundation upon which our democratic process works.

2 We have opened a path to the future that continues to

3 | widen to accommodate the young, the old, the rich,

4 and the poor, the black, and the white, male and

5 | female, handicapped and advantaged.

Liberty and justice for all continues to be our objective and we continue to work toward it, day by day for this and for future generations. Soo today I want to tell you that we're honored by your presence. We are here to serve you and make your stay a meaningful experience, and I want you to know that we welcome you to our hearts with that same fervor that we would accord a homecoming of our own sons or daughters, among whom you are numbered during this time of your visit.

If there is anything that I can do to make your stay a more pleasant and meaningful experience, I'm yours to command. I will say finally I think I am committed to the only progress of civil rights in this community. Perhaps when I walked in city hall for the first time, Noel Taylor and the person who was administering the custodial services might have been the sum total of the persons of color who were working there. Today that's very different.

We represent some 2 percent of the

population. We represent an equal amount of the work force. When I walked into city hall the first time there were persons who said they thought minorities were not too welcome in the employment office.

Today, both the head of the Roanoke City Personnel Department and the assistant are both here and they have the same identity that I had. But they are not the only ones. There are other department heads, and I think that tells us something about our commitment to on-going progress.

When I went to city council for the first time I asked them to repeal ordinances that required blacks to be seated on the back seat of the Now, blacks and whites both ride because of the bus. I remember that as we have come across bus deficit. the years perhaps the most significant progress of all has been in the area of person to person relationships, and I guess, if I had made any contribution, it's that of trying to create a positive atmosphere in the City of Roanoke where all individuals can work together across many lines, democrat and republicans, labor and management, black and white, the young and old, private and public So, thank you for being with us today to sectors. help us continue that progress step forward. I trust

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that you will have a rich day and we're honored by

your presence. Thank you and God bless you all.

(Applause).

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much, Mayor Taylor. We will continue now with our agenda and the first panel will be the panel on employment discrimination complaints/concerns and will be chaired by Mr. Jeffrey Norris who is a member of the Advisory Committee.

MR. NORRIS: Good morning, my name is Jeff Norris and I will be chairing the first segment of our program today which, as Mr. Bostic has already indicated, will be focusing on employment and the problems of discrimination in the area of employment.

As our panelists are well aware, we would like you to focus your remarks this morning on three primary areas.

- 1) The number of complaints of employment discrimination of which you are aware;
- 21 2) The resources available to handle 22 those complaints; and,
 - 3) and perhaps most significant, your views concerning the current need for a statewide Virginia Human Rights Ordinance. We would like to

ask you confine your remarks to approximately five minutes and at the conclusion of all your presentations, we'll be taking questions from the Advisory Committee and the audience. So without any further delay why don't we get into our first presentation which will be Ms. Marilyn Tate who is an attorney in Abingdon.

MS. TATE: Thank you, Jeffrey. Thank you for the opportunity to share my views. It's very dangerous to give a lawyer a microphone, a court reporter and five minutes, but I'll attempt to stay within that timeframe and give you some views on the civil rights issues that are outlined.

Abingdon, Virginia, since 1976. Over that nine—year period I have advised and consulted hundreds of persons who have discrimination complaints or circumstances that might contain colorable complaints under Title VII and the Civil Rights Act. Most of the cases I have handled have been limited to race, sex, age and religion. I have not dealt with the handicap cases, so my comments will be limited to those other areas.

I see approximately four persons per week who say they've been discriminated against

- 1 because of some impermissible reason under the law.
- 2 Of those, one half are normally colorable complaints
- 3 under the Civil Rights Act; the other one-half are
- 4 normally fair treatment issues which are not yet
- 5 | cognizable completely under Virginia laws.
- 6 Of those persons I see and advise, I
- 7 | accept only about ten percent for litigation
- 8 purposes. The reasons for those are numerous, and
- 9 I'll mention those when I talk about my views on the
- 10 need for Virginia Human Rights Act.
- 11 The second area of concern is what
- 12 types of resources are currently available to handle
- 13 those complaints. There are, in general, three
- 14 resources:
- 1) the Equal Employment Opportunity
- 16 Commission;
- 17 2) Federal courts
- 18 3) There are some additional
- 19 procedures, administratively, that can be taken by
- 20 employees who happen to be state or municipal
- 21 | employees under Virginia's Statutory Grievance
- 22 Procedures.
- 23 The most meaningful of those three
- 24 resources at this point in time in the western
- 25 district in Virginia in my opinion is the federal

1 court.

The Equal Employment Opportunity

Commission has, in my view, become the imposer of what I will call "a forced holding pattern". The EEOC, as you know, by regulation, must have a complaint within its jurisdiction for 180 days before a Notice of Right To Sue is issued. During that time the purpose for which they have the complaint is to attempt resolution or reconciliation between the parties.

In my experience over nine years of practice, I have had EEOC schedule and conduct only one fact-finding conference. My experience has been that their efforts were limited to two or three phone calls to each of the parties involved and when there is an impasse, no resolution is effected.

Having EEOC as the only resource to attempt mediation leaves the individual claimant in my view virtually helpless and on hold for six months. The delay causes numerous problems logistically for the claimant, for attorneys. Witnesses lose interest; witnesses move; witnesses get promoted or get pay raises that make them very unwilling and hesitant to testify in a proceeding that takes place six months to two years down the

1 road.

The delay also causes problems for the claimant because the claimant sometimes loses the resolve to move to righting the wrong that took place.

The federal courts are certainly here and, if the claimant can sit through the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission process, the federal courts are the only ultimate remedy resource that I found in my experience, though very few claims reach that point. Most of the claimants have limited resources to pay attorneys' fees and cost. It takes almost a sure thing in terms of a case to have an attorney willing to invest the time and resources of their own necessary to prosecute the cases to conclusion and then be able to petition for fees under the Attorney's Fees Act.

As you know, that's available only if you're the filing party. Lack of resources, then lack of willingness on the part of most attorneys to make that kind of investment in a claim that is not almost a sure thing, limits the relief that can be obtained in this area.

The final question, is there a need for a Virginia Human Rights Act that would contain a

comprehensive anti-discrimination statutory scheme
and a commission to enforce it?

My view is definitely, yes, there is a need. I'll give you a couple of examples. It appears that discrimination has gone underground in the past decade, and what I mean by that is that it is very subtle but still very much there. It is no longer socially acceptable to verbalize discrimination or discriminatory motives but those motives do exist.

Several years ago my partner and I practiced in a building that my partner owned and we shared space in that building with another group of lawyers, young professionals, I might add. We had used up to that point a joint receptionist. We were in the process of hiring a new receptionist. The most qualified person to come to interview for that position was a black woman.

We now own the building because the other attorneys refused to hire a black woman as a receptionist, and one of those attorneys actually said "I do not want"—and I apologize for his but—"I do not want a nigger to greet my clients." That motive still exists.

Another example, a person still tells

what he thinks is a very funny story about some people at a tobacco market who clapped when the news came over the intercom that Martin Luther King had been assassinated. The man that tells that funny story is now a judge. As late as last year, Washington County Virginia, which is the county that sits adjacent to the city of Bristol, and where Abingdon is the county seat, had a life safety crew that would not admit women. I recently concluded a case in which I represented two women who were licensed emergency medical technicians, certified CPR instructors and they could not get on a voluntary life saving crew.

We need the Act and we need the Commission desperately in Virginia. One of the key factors that I would request be placed in the Act for consideration is a specific provision for the protection of witnesses. There is a fear psychology that is rampant in employment situations. Nobody wants to testify because their job is in jeopardy.

Now, courts already have contempt powers that can remedy certain problems of that sort but it's very difficult to explain to a psrospective witness that the court can handle the problem. It would facilitate adjudication of claims if a specific

provision is included that you can agree to read to a witness and read to a claimant, and say, "Here is the protection you will receive if you're willing to testify and your job might be in jeopardy." Thank you.

(Applause).

MR. NORRIS: Our next panelist is Art Strickland, an attorney from Roanoke.

MR. STRICKLAND: Thank you, Jeffrey.

I have been practicing law in Roanoke for some ten
years, and before that I was a law clerk and
magistrate in the federal court. I do a very general
practice, which includes civil rights work. I would
say most of the firms won't even take civil rights
cases. The types of cases that I have any
familiarity with are age, sex and race cases.

I very much enjoyed listening to Ms.

Tate's comments from Abingdon. The perspective that

I bring from Roanoke is a little different. In my

experience the most common types of civil rights case
that we have are age, and sex after that and race

much less.

Now, I don't know that necessarily reflects that there is less racial discrimination than there is sexual or age-based discrimination. It

may reflect the fact that the black community is less litigious, less aware of its rights or whatever, but in my experience, it seems to me that there has been a very dramatic shift in the area of civil rights litigation away from race and more into the areas of sex and age, particularly age. It seems like there is an awful lot of those cases and an awful lot of them have merit.

I would agree wholeheartedly with the comment that discrimination has gone underground. It is no longer socially acceptable to discriminate overtly but it certainly still exists, particularly now I see it in the areas of sex discrimination, and the sexual harassment cases, and in the cases that pretty much mirror a cultural attitude of women having certain jobs and roles and places in the work place. That seems to me more on the cutting edge of litigation here in the Roanoke area moreso than race discrimination.

The areas in which I perceive—and again let me say this is not based on litigation; it is based on perception of a person who spends a lot of time going to courts—but the area in which I perceive racial discrimination is in the, to broadly describe it, administration of justice. What I see

is a different attitude in responding to crime in the black community.

Roanoke is no different than most other urban areas. There is de facto segregation.

There are black sections of town, white sections of town and it seems like the process of integration is somewhat slow, but when there is a crime in the black community, it seems like there is just less enthusiasm on the part of the police in responding to it.

When I'm involved in a case and I want information, I want results from the police department, much different than if that crime occurs in one of the more exclusive white neighborhoods.

The South Roanoke area, you get the response and I'll tell you an area where anyone who reads the Roanoke News is reflected, the amount of, if somebody gets shot over in South Roanoke it's big news for a long time and makes the front page.

If someone gets shot in the northern area of the city, it is third-page news. Does that reflect the fact that the life of the victim is less available? Certainly not. It reflects and again as Ms. Tate reflected, the underground racial attitudes that persist. I find that disposition of cases in

court—again, I'll qualify that by saying certainly I have done no systematic study. This is kind of a hip pocket reaction to what I see on a regular basis—the black defendant and a black victim of crime tends to have less pressure for a severe punishment than if it is a black on white crime. That's a carryover to the more overt discrimination of the past but it still exists.

You just don't--those attitudes have changed very slowly. I find it is reflected in the courts and in the police departments as well.

But as far as the actionable cases, the cases that are colorable, that have merit, that you want to go to court, you feel like you can do your client some good, I have simply, in my experience, not had, in the Roanoke area, a lot of racial discrimination cases. I think in the last year I have had one that had some merit. After having put a little pressure on the employer, it was satisfactorily resolved without the need for litigation. It involved a situation in which a middle-level supervisor had clearly exhibited the race—based decision affecting an employee. When this was brought to the attention of the higher supervisor, he immediately stepped in and corrected

the situation.

1

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think you'll find that sensitivity so much yet in the areas of sex and age discrimination.

Now, as to resources available, I certainly am going to echo the attitude of my colleague at the bar here and that is the EEOC. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, in my experience, is a waste of time and money as far as an individual litigant needing help. If they come to me and they have a colorable claim, the first thing I tell them, I say, "Well, unfortunately, you're going to have to go through a waste of time through administration procedure, file a complaint and let them sit on it for six months." I say "They will not send an investigator down to Roanoke to go into the matter. They'll make a few phone calls and unless their phone calls have the effect of causing the employer to gratuitously change whatever it is you⁷re complaining about, you might as well just sit back and wait." the meantime, the consequences to the individual can be devastating.

This person may have been fired for racial or sexual reasons, for example, and be unable to find another job. Meanwhile, the case is going to

to languish at the EEOC. I have on occasion gone through a reconciliation conference. At some point after they do their so-called investigation they will call the parties together, usually in Baltimore, which is real convenient for people in Roanoke to go up to Baltimore and have a reconciliation conference. So we pack up and drive up there, figuring you shoot a day.

I can't—in fact, I've never charged clients with these types of cases on an hourly basis because you simply can't afford it, and, as Marilyn says, what you're doing is hoping at the end of a long tortuous process you're going to win the case and the court will award attorney's fees. There is limited number of types of cases that you can take in a small practice. You go up to Baltimore and sit down, in my experience, with a person who is unqualified at crisis resolution, and the whole thing can be better handled in a telephone call without the intervention of the EEOC.

So, again, it's a limited perspective but it is one that I feel like the EEOC does very little in the way of dealing with individual complaints. What they may do well, based on newspaper articles I read, is they handle the class

action case well. Every now and then you'll read about the EECC works out some sort of a consent agreement involving a large corporation, AT&T or something, where they are going to set up a multi-million dollar fund to compensate their female employees or something. Maybe that's the area they function best in. I don't know.

All I'm saying is when you get the individual case in Roanoke, Virginia, I wish the EEOC were not a hurdle we had to overcome. I'd much rather handle it as I would any other tort case, namely, write the employer a letter and, if we don't work out something within a couple of weeks, go ahead and file a suit.

As to the need for a Virginia Human Rights Act, my perspective is a little different on that. Probably it is borne of a certain cynicism of the Virginia political process. I think it is like no-fault insurance. In theory, it would work wonderfully. You would end up compensating a lot more people and the only people that would get hurt would be the plaintiff and the defense attorneys who make a lot of money doing tort litigation.

But by the time no-fault bill goes through, not just in the Virginia legislature, any

.3

1.1

13 .

legislature that the ever considered it, it is so riddled with holes it never works. I think what would happen as a practical matter is that any general civil rights act in Virginia would go through the political process and we would have a hurdle similar to what I perceive the EEOC to be right now, not something that is going to be particularly strong and effective in enforcing civil rights.

I think the tension that exists between human rights and free market business interests in Virginia are always, at this particular time in our political history in Virginia, going to be resolved in favor of the free market business interest. As a result, I don't think that you would end up as a practical matter with a strong Virginia Human Rights organization that would would solve the problems that I see need to be solved. Thank you.

Eric Turpin, Personnel Manager with city of Roanoke.

MR. NORRIS: Your next panelist is Mr.

MR. TURPIN: Thank you. Again, I'd like to welcome you to Roanoke and southwest Virginia. Also, I'd like to thank Mrs. Hoffman, Mr. Norris, Mr. Bostic and members of the Virginia Advisory Committee for inviting us to participate in this fact-finding commission.

As your aware, panelists were invited to address three areas, number of discrimination complaints handled on the basis of race, color, national origin, et cetera, and the types of issues involved. The resources available to handle such complaints and, last, our views concerning the need for a Virginia Human Rights Act and establishment of the Commission to enforce the Act.

Again, by addressing the number of complaints that had been handled, I might point out that the city of Roanoke is the fifth largest employer in the Roanoke Valley, and given that, I think it is safe to say that our organization has had its share of complaints. It comes with the territory.

Being more specific, having been an affirmative action officer and employee relations supervisor and current personnel director, I'd say most complaints will generally fall into three areas, three of the seven covered areas. I agree with the attorneys there that sex is probably the most prevalent that you see nowadays, along with age and, of course, I think, racial discrimination, although it may exist, I haven't seen very many complaints in our organization.

Currently, we do have four active charges that would be, one, on the basis of sex, two on the basis of race, and one on handicap.

In my experience, very few, if any, will be in the other covered areas. The issues generally allege discrimination based on hiring, promotions, or terminations, or the application of some organizational policy.

Looking at the types of resources available to handle these types of complaints, specifically, in our organization, we have two major resources. The Roanoke City Personnel Employment and Practices Commission, and internally the Affirmative Action Officer, and, of course, as the attorneys have mentioned, there are the courts and, of course, the EEOC.

The Personnel Employment and Practices Commission was established by Roanoke City Code, and consists of nine members selected by City Council.

These members are sworn in to serve three years. By law, at least three shall be protected class members as defined by Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, two members shall be city employees, city classified service and, of course, the balance to the extent practicable, represent a cross-section of persons

employed.

The Commission's duties are, through public hearings, to advise the City Manager on rules and policies and procedures, to hold grievance hearings as established by the State's Statutory Law on Grievance Procedures, on the issues such as discrimination complaints, arising through the grievance procedure, investigation of all matters relating to conditions of employment in the service of the city.

established by the Roanoke City Code, appointed by the City Manager and primary duties consists of developing and maintaining an affirmative action program. In our organization, each department has an affirmative action representative, and most recently we just completed training these individuals on EEO procedures.

Additionally, affirmative action officer resolves impartially all difficulties relating to EEO in the employment relationships.

Of course, through personnel management, the affirmative action officer can stop employment certification if an individual comes forward and contends that some means of

discrimination has occurred. This certification process can be stopped. It will be investigated and a recommendation will be made to the City Manager for further action.

These tools have helped the City of Roanoke significantly in resolving any complaints that may have arisen out of the employment process. Of course, these tools were established by our City Council to address any and all complaints that may exist, and it appears to work quite well. Of course, it is your goal to analyze the information presented here and make recommendations to the U S Commission on Civil Rights concerning the establishment of the Virginia Human Rights Commission.

I personally feel that any organization that has a mechanism such as I have described should cover discrimination issues in employment well. On the other hand, I know that there are many organizations throughout the Commonwealth, both public and private, that are not large enough to have such a mechanism. There the need would exist to establish a mechanism to enforce anti-discrimination law. I know that these organizations may need some help. I would support any solid mechanism that would be provided through

such a Commission to provide broad coverage to extend
to all individuals that are covered. Thank you.

MR. NORRIS: Okay, thank you, Mr.

Turpin. Mr. Beatty accompanied Mr. Turpin this

morning. Does he have anything he would like to add

to the comment?

MR. BEATTY: Basically, I would echo the things that Mr. Turpin has said. I've been in the Roanoke City Personnel Department for the past 13 years, and I think that we've come a long way in that period of time. I feel that any employment process we've been able to handle most of the problem that has arisen out of employment policies and so forth.

I think by having minorities within the personnel function has certainly enhanced black participation in city government and we are very proud of that, and I, too, would support a Civil Rights Commission in the State of Virginia if it was void of the political ties and so forth that would tend to hamper its progress. If we could have that kind of commission with teeth that would help us to do a better job in the area of civil rights, I would be very much for it. Thank you very much.

MR. NORRIS: Thank you Mr. Beatty.

Your next panelist is Mr. Al Holland. Mr. Holland?

It is with great

1

2

MR. HOLLAND:

I have been invited to sit on this panel.

pleasure that I sit here this morning and happy that

represent a type of discrimination that is hidden.

It is very hard to see. That deals with the elderly

moment at this printout that came from mid-Atlantic

Regional Office, the report says the subcommittee to

the Commission by the Virginia Advisory Committee for

the past several years have indicated that Virginia

does not have a state or local civil rights law and

aid adequate to address the variety of civil rights

Virginia, Louisiana, and Mississippi

The age is where I'm looking at today.

problems encountered by most of its citizens.

are the only states in the nation that have not

passed a state law and established an agency to

the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits

employment discrimination in both the public and

enforce it with authority similar to the Title VII of

private sectors on the basis of race, color, national

origin, religion, sex. Other federal laws prohibit

employment discrimination based on age and handicap.

As I stated earlier, it is the type of discrimination

If you'll pardon me for looking just a

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACCU-BETA, INC.

that is hid.

Let us look at a few of these parts of INC. (804) 282-4040

discrimination that is hid. Recalling one enterprise in this Valley that was closed known as Yale, Eaton and Townssend, they closed down and a lot of good employees in that—in turnover, when this place was closed down and the 55 to 58 age brackets could give a full day's work, could give an adequate day's work. They had to be retrained. Some, as we would look at, time to train would be too long for them to go out and work in a different trade because of the fact that they were the bread winners of the family.

The League of Women Voters would come through and see the jobs; they would try to replace the various jobs. Sometimes they would be sent to various employers and the moment that they saw their age, conveniently the job went out of existence.

One case that we did see here in the Roanoke Valley was against a drugstore where a lady at the age of 58 years old at the time of evaluation, prior to this evaluation she had a very high evaluation. At 58 her evaluation went down very low. Conveniently, they let her go.

But seeking help down the line somewhere, this case had to go to court and it is my understanding that she did receive adequate compensation for the time that she was off. How many

more elderly people will we see in that Valley that would have the time or would have the place to go to find out about their rights? We realize that in employment we look at the fact that, if you're not in early enough, you do not put enough into the retirement coffer that would adequately justify making the employer hire you at the age of 55, but, still, these people have to go somewhere.

I think that it would be behoove this Commission, if possible, that they could get with the employer and see if the employer could give these people some type of jobs that would let them feel that they are profitable citizens because of the fact that, if a man or a woman that's 55 years old has been laid off from work because of the closing of a plant, then they are out there looking for a job.

We may as well face it, all people working do not have tax shelters, et cetera. By the time they reach the age of 60 they will have enough to cover themselves in case they are out of employment.

I heard from a couple of the lawyers speak about human rights. Sure, I feel that we should have the Human sRghts Commission or Human Rights Law that would look after people. If you'll

pardon me I'm looking at a article that came out in

the September 27th edition in Roanoke Times

concerning Medicare. It came through Washington. It

reads thusly:

"Thousands of sick and elderly

Americans have been kicked out of hospitals too soon
or given bad medical treatment because of the

Medicare cost-cutting in their program" and this is
being looked into because, if, at the time that our

Medicare that has been set aside to take care of them
and, if Medicare is going down this route when a
person reaches a certain age and goes into the
hospital, knowing that there will be a limited amount
of money placed into this, then they would be just
run through like a conveyor and out the hospital;
then this is a human rights issue.

Again, I think that the senior citizens are those that are approaching the senior citizen age more especially than employment. We will have to look at this, because if a plant closes by no fault of these persons, they are placed out in unemployment, then their needs to be something to look to some agency that would look and go with the employer to see if there is a possibility that these people can do a creditable day's work because they

want to work.

We have them coming through the legal old Americans quite often looking for jobs, ready to work, and sometimes we send them, but the minute they see that they are 55 or 56 years old, conveniently, this job is moved out. Thank you for listening to me this morning and I hope that we can find some method of look at these type of discriminations. Thank you.

MR. NORRIS: Thank you very much, Mr.

Holland. The next panelist this morning is Mr.

Junius Allen Haskins, Jr. Is Mr. Haskins here?

MRS. HOFFMAN: No.

MR. NORRIS: Then we'll move on to Reverend Lavert Taylor. Rev. Lavert Taylor?

REV. TAYLOR: Mr. Moderator, Chairman of this Advisory Committee and members of the Committee: I am here today for two reasons basically, the first being the fact that I have known several members of this Advisory Committee for many years, and have nothing but the highest degree of regard and hold them in the highest level of esteem.

The second reason is that Mr. Wanda
Hoffman presently occupies the position that I once
held with the United States Commission on Civil
Cights and I consider her to be a very dear friend.

Those two reasons are the motivating factors for my being here today.

I consider this hearing to really be an exercise in futility when I look at the current administration and its views on the issues of civil rights and that attitude being reflected in the current structure of the United States Commission on Civil Rights. I really consider this be an exercise in futility but because of my feeling for the individuals in the area I am here and I shall make some comments regarding my views of employment in Charlottesville and discrimination that I see presently in existence in the Charlottesville area.

In January of this year, Reverend

Alfred Edwards, Reverend Reginald Johnson and I

formed what is now known as the "Charlottesville/

Albemarle Commission on Fair Employment." We noticed

that the unemployment rate for blacks in the city of

Charlottesville was double that of whites in the

community and those blacks who were employed were

employed in positions of menial labor rather than in

higher employment within city government and

elsewhere.

We launched a campaign to ask every business concern in the city to hire at least one

additional black to impact upon the unemployment rate in the city. During the period of time, from January to the present, we have received, we being the Charlottesville/Albemarle Committee on Fair Employment have received an average of two complaints a week from individuals alleging employment discrimination. Those complaints have come basically from persons who are employed with small business

firms in the city, with chain business operations,

such as Food Lion, Safeway.

We received several complaints from city employees, but the areas of employment were mostly in the area of low level employment. There are very, very few blacks employed in high level positions within the city of Charlottesville. We received numerous complaints from the sacred cow of Charlottesville, the University of Virginia complex, that is the university at hospital. I refer it to the "sacred cow" because it is something that no one in Charlottesville chooses to talk about, nor file any meaningful complaints against.

The problem centered around the areas of underemployment and what we would label as unfair labor practices. We also in January submitted a proposal to the city of Charlottesville and County of

1 Albemarle requesting that they would form jointly a

2 | City/County Commission on Human Rights, that that

3 Commission would be given subpoenae powers and a full

4 | staff to do what needs to be done to investigate

5 complaints of civil rights within the area of the

6 | county and the city.

We have a copy of that proposal which I will get to Wanda as soon as I return to Charlottesville. We have heard absolutely nothing from the city or the county regarding our proposal other than a letter from the mayor stating that it would be a matter that would have to go before city council and he would get with us at a later date to discuss the formation of such a commission with us. He has not done so to this date.

My views concerning the need for
Virginia Human Rights Act is, well, I have mixed
emotions regarding that. I think it is necessary; I
think it is important. I can see the benefit of such
an act; however, I am of the same persuasion, I
think, as the attorney who spoke earlier. I think
once we did get such an act formulated in the state,
it would be so watered down that there would be very
little impact.

Now, I may have a different attitude

ACCU-BETA, INC.

on that depending on who is elected governor, if people will show enough interest to get out and vote for a governor this year.

But at this point in time given the current administration in the state of Virginia and their alleged efforts to move the state from under the desegregation guidelines as relates to schools, I am very doubtful as to whether an act will have any impact in Virginia at this time. Given the right administration, I think it is possible. I think it is worthy. I think it is absolutely necessary. Thank you.

MR. NORRIS: Thank you very much.

Reverend Taylor. Our final panelist for this
session is Dr. Doyle Thomas, Jr.

DR. THOMAS: Mr. Moderator, members of the panel: thank you for inviting me to this hearing and giving me an opportunity to express my views. I come from Danville, Virginia where I'm president of the Danville Branch of the NAACP. I've been President of that branch now for 16 years.

I'm also a minister, pastor of the
Loyal Baptist Church in Danville where I've been
pastor for 40 years, so I've been in Danville and
Pittsylvania County long enough to have a good view

of what has been going on, what's going on now, and what we can sort of project unless there is going to be some radical changes, particularly in the matter of discrimination.

9.

As far as the number of complaints of discrimination that I could give testimony to in Danville and Pittsylvania County, the area where I say I've been active in civil rights for a long time, I have no number to give you but I can say that there have been a large number of complaints of discrimination. If I were to put them in categories and number them, I would say that we have more in discrimination because of color and race than we have because of age and because of sex and what have you.

anything else is that, if I look at our situation there in sort of three periods and three phases, as Dr. Taylor mentioned, I know something of those days before '63 in Southwest Virginia where there was not only discrimination in public facilities and opportunity, but insofar as employment was concerned was a problem.

After the '63 and '64 and '65, '66 when the Civil Rights Bills came in and changes took place, the matter of affirmative action was

introduced.

As one of the attorneys stated, it seems as though there was a willingness on the part of people to accept the emblem of equal employment and affirmative action than to sort of work together in the community to improve the situations. I have noticed in this second development or second period that this is beginning to wane. There is not that enthusiasm; there is not that interest on the part of many of the employers in our community to give any kind of support to affirmative action. Many times it is even difficult to talk to them.

So all this particular time we have one case that involves sex discrimination with a fertilizing plant. There was a woman that worked there, black woman that worked there for six years on a certain job, and, of course, the plant changed hands from Smith Douglas to another company that bought it out and this woman, of course, was not asked to come back.

When she asked why, they said that she, being a woman, was not able to do the work. Here she had been doing it for seven years and it hadn't bothered her, it hadn't bothered the plant but then after the new employer came in, for some reason

or another she came disabled, and we are in the process of getting that resolved.

We have had many, many, many complaints in Danville. Our largest one right now is in the health field with the nursing homes and with Memorial Hospital where there is a very serious situation. Memorial Hospital in Danville has 37 departments and as of now there is not a black person head of one department in that hospital nor is there a secretary in any of those departments. We have one man, a black man, well qualified, head of purchasing and distribution, and under the pretext of organizing the department, he was demoted and three more jobs were created in this reorganization and he was not given any one.

So as of now we don't have a black head person heading any department, not in pharmacy, not in admissions, not in social service. I could go down the whole 37. We have now four complaints that we are getting ready to file in Memorial Hospital where we have a young man with a college degree that has been in the system for nine years and applied for a job in admissions. It ended up that a woman who lost her job in Corning Glass, it was she or her husband had to go, and her husband chose to stay, and

she left, and she has no experience in hospital work at all. She worked in the office in Corning Glass but when this young black fellow who has been in the system nine years applied for the job, he was denied and this woman was hired from Corning Glass who had been laid off.

Yet, they stand there looking for the best qualified person and anyone with any sense would know that a person that works in a system for nine years would be more qualified to fit into a job in that system or in that place than someone that you would bring in from another place.

Here you you have people that are sick and you are dealing with possible sickness and you bring someone who has worked for Corning Glass.

There are four others in Memorial we have seven all together at this time.

As far as the city is concerned, we do have an affirmative action officer, Reverend Robert Johnson who will be here this afternoon to speak on housing later on today. We have made great progress in the city of Danville insofar as affirmative action is concerned.

Now, as far as the second question is being asked about the type of resources available to

handle such complaints, there is quite a littlehistory in that.

Back some years ago when these complaints came up, a letter from the attorney of the NAACP, or a call from me, many times, was enough to sort of get the thing settled because I've been in Danville so long and most of the people running these plants, Dan River Mills, Disston, Corning Glass, and Good Year new me because we served on boards in the United Way and others, and I had spoken to the Kiwanis and all these other clubs. They accused me of practicing law without a license because I could make a phone call to these people and we could work it out.

I knew the day had to come some time. A lot of these members had left and new people have come to Danville into these jobs don't know me that well. Some don't care, so a letter from my attorney now or call from me will not resolve these situations as they did seven or eight years ago. I agree with the attorneys as far as EEOC is concerned, it is a just simply a waste of time to even make a phone call to that crowd because the administration has so watered it down through cuts and through directives that have been sent from the administration to the

Commission that by the time they finish sitting on it and having people drive all the way from Danville to Norfolk to sit up all day to have a hearing everybody is worn out and the case goes down the drain. The only thing I get back now from any case that I refer to EEOC after about six months, I get a letter back from them saying "we give you the right to sue", and the person that gets the right to sue, many times they don't have the money, and many times the attorneys, as has been said here, are not willing to take the cases. Unless they are almost satisfied in their minds that they're going to win in the courts, it is just going to be a waste of time.

One thing that has amused me, and I'll say a word on the third category and I'll be through. We have had this, I don't know whether it has been in other sections, but we have had difficulty with some of our employees not upgrading blacks or hiring them because they say they are over-qualified. I heard of under-qualified a long time, but I had never been able to digest yet what it means to be over-qualified for a job, but we have one case of that in Memorial Hospital right now where we know the person is qualified but he is over-qualified for that job according to the person in the department in Memorial

Hospital.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I agree that, if there is going to be some teeth in it and it is going to be impartial, and it is going to be handled without any political strings. that we certainly need in Danville and we need in the state of Virginia the Civil Rights Commission, for we are not going to be able to depend on EEOC. The spirit of affirmative action is in the hospital in very bad shape, intensive care, I believe, and unless something happens and a new injection comes along or something, I think it is going to die. We need something that is going to put the spirit of equality, even though we are in a time of economic recession somewhat, factories are closing, automation is taking many jobs that people had in tobacco in Danville and we got that problem, but we do need something that is going to give some relief for these persons who are suffering from discrimination. Thank you. MR. NORRIS: Thank you very much, Dr.

Thomas. Mr. Chairman, that concludes the formal presentations for this segment. We have approximately 15 minutes left--

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: That's right.

MR. NORRIS: -- to take questions, so I

1 think--

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Panel members?

MR. NORRIS: If you're looking for

volunteers, I have a couple of questions I'd like to

5 ask of the panel.

6 CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Okay.

MR. NORRIS: It has been said here this morning that Virginia is one of three or four states that does not have a comprehensive Human Rights Statute which would seem to indicate that perhaps Virginia is not doing its homework. but the good side of it, if Virginia, at this point, considers a State Human Rights Ordinance, it has years of experience that other states have the drop on us so can perhaps put together an enforcement mechanism that will work and build upon the statutes which are already on the books rather than duplicating them.

As I'm sure most of you know at the federal level know, you have not only Title VII but you have the Age Discrimination Employment Act, Equal Pay Act for Federal Contractors you have Executive Order 11246. The state of Virginia also has a series of statutes already on the books which address some of the issues that we've talked about today, although

I think that there are some questions as to how efficient those statutes have been. So that in our considering recommendations regarding a Human Rights Statute, it seems to me this there are three questions which we as a Commission need to look at.

- 1) Are there any classes of persons
 who should be covered by an nondiscrimination statute
 or not currently being covered?
- 2) Are there any substantive areas of the law of discrimination which are not adequately being dealt with by the existing statutes?
- 3) And I suggest this is probably the one where the greatest benefit can accrue is in the areas of procedures. We've heard about the deficiencies of EEOC this morning. Are there ways in which the state of Virginia can enact a statute which procedurally will provide relief more expeditiously and more equitably than have been done up to this point? I think there are three areas we would like to focus on. First of all, are there any classes from your experience that are not being covered by current statute that should be? I open this up to the entire panel.

MS. TATE: In terms of Virginia statutes?

MR. NORRIS: In terms of both the federal and the Virginia statutes, in other words, are there groups of Virginia citizens who are not being protected by a Virginia or a federal statute at this point that should be?

MS. TATE: I think the federal statutes cover almost every category to some extent. Virginia, in my opinion, does not have a statutory scheme that would protect from discrimination on the basis of sex and age. There is a constitutional provision that makes a reference to sex but it has a very limited application, and I think Virginia needs that, and I think needs an age discrimination prohibition.

In terms of procedures, I think
whatever procedure might be adopted for filing a
claim and going through the administrative process on
the state level in Virginia should not contain a
prohibition against filing suit for some period of
time. If it does have a prohibition against filing
suit for a period of time, it should be a short
prohibition. In some cases the threat of filing the
lawsuit and having it a public record is the best
leverage I have in terms of conciliation.

Six months, which is what EEOC is has

is entirely too long and sometimes I can't help but
think it was not intentionally placed in there
because of all the delay problems I mentioned

earlier.

So I think the time period should be shorter. I would also like to see a statute that did not limit damages to back-pay only. In construing Title VII courts have held, and the statute to some extent provides, that you're limited to reemployment, back-pay, and any direct or compensatory damages that are happening. There are no provisions for what we call in tort "pain and suffering" from having gone through all the anguish.

Lots of people during a time period after losing a job for discriminatory lose their home, go through bankruptcy; they suffer just extreme financial stress, yet the only thing they can get, if they win, is back-pay computed at the rate of pay for the time they were out of work. There are no damages for the anguish they have gone through either financially or emotionally, so I think there should be something for damages.

MR. STRICKLAND: I was going to agree again with Mary Tate on that. I think that, if there is going to be a Virginia Act, it ought not to be too

1 the exclusion of allowing litigation. There is a 2 certain number of claims that can be handled very 3 well administratively provided that the 4 administrative machinery you set up has the subpoenae 5 power and has some enforcement power, fines, requirements that employers do certain things, back 6 7 fining that has some effect in a court if 8 administratively they make a finding of fact of 9 discrimination, the person doesn't have to relitigate 10 that whole finding of fact over again in court. 11 mind of man can set up a very effective administrative machinery. I happen to think that 12 13 Virginia politically will never do that, at least not 14 in the near future, but it certainly could be done. I would like to see it be done. 15

The only other area that really is not covered, I think, is an area of people, a group of people that is discriminated against not only the basis of something they've done but on the basis of something that they are—sexual preference discrimination. I happen personally to think that should be covered by civil rights laws, much in the way we do handicapped and race and age and so forth. That's the only other area that I think probably requires some inclusion.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REV. TAYLOR: I am of the opinion that the only other area there is presently a weakness in terms of is the whole issue of sexual discrimination. You are familiar with the history of Virginia and its efforts to pass an Equal Rights Amendment in the state. I think that on the federal level and obviously on the state level that is an area of very I have some reservations about the severe weakness. inclusion of the whole issue of sexual preferences within that basically because I'd like to see it passed. If we are going to get to that point, and given the sense of religious conservativism in the state of Virginia, I think that that is one area that may hamper passage rather than aid passage, and that makes me rather leery.

In terms of litigation, I agree wholeheartedly with what has been said in that area. The area that I would like to see included, however, is litigation against the state of Virginia.

Many of our large employers, larger employer, I should say, in the state are under state control, particularly I'm speaking of U.Va., the hospital and university. They must go through a very lengthy kind of rigorous procedure for employees even to get a hearing in that system, and there are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

provisions that would prevent them from filing suit against the state in terms of discrimination, and I would like to see folks be able to take the state on when they feel they have been and in fact when they have been discriminated against in employment.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Mrs. Rattley and then the other person.

MS. RATTLEY: I simply wanted to ask you to explain what you mean by discrimination having gone underground?

MS. TATE: I think I used that term
first. What I mean is that there are persons who
behave in employment situations and other situations
with a discriminatory motive in mind but do not
verbalize it. In other words, they will think up
excuses to give an employee a warning for something
under perhaps the employment policy that is in
existence, when the true motive is not that the
person should be given a warning but they don't like
the fact that the person is black or they don't like
the fact that the person they are having to work with
is a woman. That's what I meant. It still exists
but it is more subtle.

MR. STRICKLAND: Let me elaborate on that just a minute. In my experience it oftentimes

is not even a conscious discrimination but it's still a discrimination. I think that in this society from day one we pick up cues that affect our expectations of, for example, blacks or women, and when we get into a position of authority and we're making a choice as to who to promote and you have a white person and a black person, oftentimes those subtle expectations that have been built into our consciousness cause the provider to favor the white over the black, the man over the woman, and that particular person may not think that he's discriminating, but I think in fact it is.

Now, that is the very, very difficult area of civil rights that perhaps takes literally generations to overcome, but that's an underground form of discrimination, also. Rev. Taylor what you're talking about is institutional racism.

REV. TAYLOR: Absolutely, that's another way of putting it. It is institutional.

MR. STRICKLAND: It starts at the earliest grades in school. I have small children in school and they are already picking up cues from their teachers, from their classmates that are going to affect their consciousness in the way they deal with people of other races and also sexual causes.

1	CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: The lady in the
2	back. Would you address the panelists and give us
3	your name and the organization you represent,
4	please. You want to come to the microphone?
5	MRS. WILSON: I want to thank both of
6	the attorneys. I thoroughly

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Your name again?

Perneller Chubb Wilson MRS. WILSON: Chairman of Labor and Industry, Life Member, Executive Board, Court Hearing for NAACP. One of the reasons why I received so many reports is because NAACP, Roanoke branch, have been solving our problems locally with the help of Senator Trible and the President of the United States who gave full support of the Labor Department. There are a lot of problems that I want to ask. I have some answers to some I would like to ask both of you that I questions. really feel that our witnesses in court definitely needs to have protection in court because a lot of people would come in and save a lot of people's jobs if they would come in to court and testify.

They know once they go back and testify, two or three months later they are terminated or they are suspended on trumped up charges. I have been doing this for the past year

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and I do follow my cases from the Roanoke area since I am an advisor and observer for Norfolk, Virginia Beach and Maryland, and not merely from the Roanoke area to Richmond and sometimes to Washington, and I feel that, as you say, EEOC I feel it is a waste of time. I also feel that we definitely need to do something about this area of discrimination especially with EEOC, how long it takes. But our witnesses do need to be covered in court.

MR. STRICKLAND: I say this much, there is a statute that prohibits discriminating against a witness on the basis of testifying in court. Again, you have all the problems inherent in litigation time, like you say wait three months to take action against the person who testified against them. It is oftentimes virtually impossible to prove that there is a direct relationship between the testimony they gave and the discrimination they suffer somewhere down the road, but there are statutes that are designed to protect those people

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: One question from Reverend Harris and I must try to move along, please, so Reverend Harris and then well move to the next panelists.

REV. HARRIS: The question I have to

the panel has to do with affirmative action. As you know, federally we are reversing the whole trend or forum as regards affirmative action. I saw it as a positive move or move into the right direction to equalize opportunity. Some have opposed it and some federal agencies have gone against it completely.

What is the feeling of the panel on affirmative

What is the feeling of the panel on affirmative action as a resource for solving the problems of discrimination in employment?

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Who would care to tackle that first from the panelists?

REV. TAYLOR: When you say affirmative action, I suppose you're talking about that phase of it or the whole broad sense?

REV. HARRIS: When I talk about affirmative action, I'm talking about dealing with number of blacks employed in a given situation, proportionate number of females employed as relates to the appropriation of that protected class in that SMSA or in that general area.

MR. TURPIN: I can answer that from the perspective that my organization takes—of course, when you speak of SMSA, one of the things we've done with our affirmative action plan is to try to have a work force that is representative of the

percentages of minorities in the available work force. Of course, from an organizational standpoint we've done well but when you go into the various departments at the different levels, it does become a problem.

One of the things that we've instituted in our employment process is a format that requires the person or the appointing authority that is making the selection for a job to justify any job related reason that any individual is turned down or not selected.

MR. STRICKLAND: I was going to simply say that I think when you get into the area of quotas you're inviting some serious constitutional problems that the Supreme Court has not addressed very well perhaps as directly as I think they will very shortly, and affirmative action should not be put in terms of quotas, or I think that they are going to get slapped down in a way that is going to create a very bad precedent for creative affirmative action later on.

REV. TAYLOR: One comment in regards to that. I think that if we are going to move towards the development of human relations commission or whatever we choose to call it in the state of

Virginia that the philosophy of such must be undergirded with the whole concept of affirmative action. I don't think that we need talk quotas but I do think we need to talk goals. I do think we need to talk proportional hiring. I think we need to talk equal pay for equal work. I think that whole affirmative action philosophy, preReagan philosophy I should say, must undergirded or be the basic operating philosophy of whatever we choose to design in the state.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much. Thank you Mr. Norris, the moderator for that panel, and thank you panelists for participating very much, and we appreciate your coming. Thank you.

The next panel will be chaired by Dr. Hoi a member of the Virginia Advisory Committee.

DR. HOI: Good morning ladies and gentlemen: my name is Dr. Hoi. I'm with the Virginia Advisory Committee to the U S Commission on Civil Rights. I'm going to chair the panel number two on education today. We have with us today two panelists, Dr. Julian Moore, Ombudsman of the Roanoke Public School System and the Reverend James Ephraim of the Roanoke Fifth Avenue Preslbyterian Church.

I would like to introduce them to you

and listen to their views. The procedure for the this panel will be the same as the previous panel; each of the panelists would give their views in five minutes and we would like to hear from them on three areas.

First, we would like to know the number of complaints of discrimination that have been handled on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, handicap and age and the types of issues involved in the area of education.

Number 2, we'd like to hear from them the types of resources available to handle such complaints, and, also, thirdly listen to their views and your view concerning the need for a Virginia Human Rights Act—discrimination on the basis of race, color, national original, sex, age and handicap in three areas, including education and also whether there is a need for the establishment of a commission to enforce the act.

DR. MODRE: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the Advisory Committee of the Commission and moderator, ladies and gentlemen. My first comments will be directed to a little historical perspective of the Roanoke Public Schools since that's where I work. I know more about the school

systems than I do other areas, although I am very much interested in the comments made by the past panel.

I must also say that we interrelate with all of these areas. Education does not stand alone. It involves housing, where people live, where they come from, the total community; what are the incomes of the parents and everything else that sets forth the characteristics of our population. But historically Roanoke City, as many other communities across the state and nation, was desegregated first totally in the secondary schools in the fall of 1970. In 1971 the elementary schools followed.

We were around 19,000 strong in school population at that time. We lost a lot of students in the ensuing years due to people moving to suburbs and so forth, and another little bit, in 1977, we went through an annexation process where we had 17 square miles that were taken in by the city, and we expected something like 3500 students to be added to the population. We never saw the 3500. We may have gained somewhere around 1500.

Shortly thereafter the decline of population has continued until this year the total population has decreased. We have steadily increased

with black population to about 32 to 35 percent in the city, and the population shift of the students from where they come, the communities, has been real significant in relation to persons who were once residing in the inner-city of Roanoke, the areas that are being rebuilt, revitalization of downtown Roanoke and so forth, some of the housing has been depleted completed; communities disappeared and the unfortunate thing for the school system is that black population is concentrated in a kind of a fan-shaped area in northwest Roanoke.

The court orders, of course, existed in Roanoke following on up until about 1980. I think at this point we figured we are not under the court order, but the attitude of the school board and the administration of the Roanoke City schools has been one that we try to maintain as much as possible the population in each of the schools that we had in 1970—771. Of course, that cannot exist because of the population shifts, where people live.

So we are constantly changing lands and trying to maintain that pattern that was set in 70-771, although it has never been perfect because of the housing.

I might say this that you can make all

of the laws that you can make. It is important that the laws exist on the books because they can be enforced if that attitude exists but you can't legislate human behavior.

Roanoke as it relates to education is what undergirds the success of our children and those who will become citizens of this Roanoke Valley and the greater community. We do need community support. We need support through the laws and the Commission to keep people aware of the fact that we are moving in the area of human rights. We had a honeymoon period from about 1970 to about 1975 with act of desegregation. We have not yet arrived at integration. We are still in the process of becoming integrated in the schools as well as in some parts of the community. We have a long way to go.

So I guess I will say that we do need the legislation. We do need a commission to make people more aware that this is the direction that we need to move in. As far as numbers are concerned, we have had internally a lot of complaints from parents that we have dealt with in terms of we have committees within school system. We work with the Civil Rights Act.

I happen to be the specialist that works through the desegregation period with teachers, parents, and other members of the community. Roanoke City was very much ready for desegregation because of some very fast work that had been done by human relations committees throughout the city, but we were lulled into complacency, and about five years we began to have some problems because we felt like it had happened all at one time and we didn't need to doctor that. It is a continuous process.

The commission, I think, could keep that continuous process moving, so that members of the community, those who do not have children in school, that they be involved, not just the people in the school because the school is only a part of the greater community, and, therefore, we need that continued effort, and I think that effort could be involved, could assist in keeping going that positive direction.

The school board, of course, needs some help and assistance in that regard. School boards may wonder, and I'm talking about across the state, what our position is today. They need to establish a position. What are the guidelines for this particular school board? What are we trying to

do? Are we trying to maintain desegregation at the level that it was started in 1970-'71 or are we still required to keep schools at the balance, same balance that we had in '70 and'71?

I think those questions need to be kept up front so that those issues can be dealt with. Yes, I think we do need a commission. Thank you very much.

REV. EPHRAIM: As I look at the situation as it exists within the city of Roanoke, there in terms of the guidelines as they are outlined here, number one, a number of complacent conditions for me personally I don't think it can be limited to the number of complaints because a lot of complaints come in in terms of what has been going on for any number of times and what we have is the snowball effect that after it has reached a certain level, then you don't get one complaint, you begin to get a multitude a complaints echoing the same problems.

One of the problems, as I see it, and the complaints that we've had to address is the situation that exists in the structuring, the gerrymandering of lines of a school district, as to having a high concentration of persons of a lower income being concentrated in a certain school

district which in turn gives the semblance of that school and that district being one that is not up to par, which, again, places us into the same realm of but separate but equal but then—it is separate but it is not equal because your having persons on a lower economical level being restricted to that environment in which they are in, and as a result that within itself began to create other problems, which mean that you are having a high number of ethnic minorities being suspended for offenses that, again, in appearance that others are not suspended for. When these persons are suspended, it appears as if they are suspended for a longer length of time.

I think a lot of this, too, is a part of the structure, racism that exists within the system. Along with that, we are finding that there are any number of persons when they move into the extracurricular activities that they are having, in terms of the positions that they are awarded, in terms of captains of cheerleading squads, in terms and even being on the squad, that these persons are dealt with unfairly. Here again, the semblance, even appears to be one of discrimination where, again, when it comes down to punishment for things that have gone wrong that these persons oftentimes

have the feeling that they are punished because of
their color and they feel as if the types of
punishment that is handed out to them is one that is
much harsher than other persons because of the same

Yes, in terms of my view for a Virginia Human Rights Act, I do think that we need one because what it does, it always keeps the issue before us. If we had no standards by which we are to judge or to look at things, it would appear, again, that anything would go. I think one of the things that we need to be doing is dealing with the conscious and the unconsciousness of racism has and exists for us within our society. Thank you.

DR. HOI: Thank you. Anyone from the Advisory Committee have any questions? I have some, too.

MS. RATTLEY: I think you mentioned something about trying to balance your school district. You indicated then, I guess, that you have segregated housing by and large?

DR. MOORE: Yes.

MS. RATTLEY: How severe is that segregation?

DR. MOORE: I think we made

offense.

improvement, I'll say that, in housing. Ten years ago we did not have minorities living in certain sections of the city where they live now and it is growing, but it its still miniscule, I guess, compared to what we are talking about on housing.

Some areas minorities reside there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RATTLEY: Could you use numbers?

You say 22 percent hike in population. Of that 22

percent blacks, would you say 90 percent of them live

in--

DR. MOORE: I would say between 80 and 85 percent probably live in almost total black neighborhoods and others are disbursed throughout certain areas. Maybe the predominant desegregation in housing takes place in the Housing Authority with the Federal Housing Programs, and that has assisted a great deal in getting some balance in areas where we did not have black students in 1970-771. It was a snorkel effect for the city. Draw a little circle. take so many black children from here and move them over to this particular area or out at that area, and, of course, the problem was that the black community did have to do, a lot of transportation as it is just about typical of all of the state of Virginia. That's what happened.

MS. RATTLEY: Did you have closing of 1 schools in the black area? 2 DR. MOORE: Yes. There were a number 3 of inner-city black city schools closed which caused 4 5 that one-way busing. MS. RATTLEY: One-way bussing, busing 6 7 out? DR. MOORE: Right. One thing I did not 8 9 mention, the loss of black teachers in the city of 10 Roanoke and, of course, surrounding areas. 11 The Roanoke City has developed a 12 minority recruitment program to try to get at that. 13 We've been recruiting all over the state and all over 14 the country trying to recruit black teachers because 15 I quess it is rather typical. We have a fairly old 16 population, middle aged, as far as teachers go, 17 population when desegregation took place and all of a 18 sudden black teachers were, turnover from black 19 teachers had not been great at all so we had a loss 20 of a lot of black teachers. MS. RATTLEY: How many schools do you 21 22 have? 23 DR. MOORE: 29. 24 MS. RATTLEY: How many black 25 principals do you have?

DR. MODRE: We have about eight black principals. Let me say as far as the women administrators are concerned, since 1979, '80, we have probably increased by about 40 percent among women administrators. In fact, probably now have eight or ten administrators in areas where no one existed seven or eight years ago, so there's been quite a improvement.

MS. RATTLEY: Also you indicated that after integration of the schools many of the whites moved into suburbia.

DR. MOORE: Yes.

MS. RATTLEY: Do you still have that outward flow? What about private schools?

DR. MOORE: Well, private schools have taken their toll. The Christian schools, the home schooling is beginning to give us a little problem. We probably got about eight or ten families that are doing home schoolings. That's based on religious conviction in most cases, but we follow the state guidelines in implementing those programs.

The flight to the suburbs was really cut tremendously because of the recession and the shortage of mortgage money and the interest rate.

That has leveled off and I think that is one reason

our population has leveled off, too.

Of course, we've had some other factors that played a role in that but that flight, in fact, we are seeing even a little reverse because of the programs considered. I have to say that the programs have improved tremendously over the past four years under the new administration, and we have a large number of people coming back to the city and people asking to come back into city programs.

MR. BINKLEY: John Binkley, Commission Staff. Do you have any figures to show what percent of the total school population in your district attend private schools?

DR. MOORE: No, I don't.

MR. BINKLEY: Do you have a guess?

DR. MOORE: I guess since I work with the census, I guess somewhere in the neighborhood of ten percent. I'd say ten percent of the student population, including Christian schools, private schools, of other private schools, maybe ten percent.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: To follow up on Ms.

Rattley's comment earlier about the number of administrators, beyond the level of principal of schools, what percentage or how many other role model

minorities black persons do you have in positions number one question; number two question, do you have a census as to the dropout rate as far as minorities kids are concerned?

DR. MODRE: As far as beyond the principal level, we've just recently had a deputy superintendent assigned to the city schools who is a minority, and another assistant superintendent promoted. We have about five minority supervisors that were appointed within the last two years. That has been very—that's been a great deal of progress in that area.

As far as dropout rate is concerned, I would have to say, I don't think there is a significant difference between the dropout rate of black and white students and that's predominantly what we have in the Roanoke City schools. We do have other races but predominantly it is black and white.

We have a dropout rate this year of 5.2 percent. In 1978-'79, we had a dropout rate of 9.6 percent and in one year we cut that dropout rate down to 4.2 in 1981, but we went back up to 4.6 in '83. We now have gone to 5.2, but there was some inside reasons as to why we went up. We did have some problems in that a lot of kids were suspended

for the remainder of the year, a number of them, say 8 or 10, and we had some number that had problems and were incarcerated.

As far as it relates to race, I think there is not a predominantly larger number of black percentage to white, because of the poverty level, and there is a correlation between the poverty level, what happens to kids in schools. The poverty level in Roanoke is somewhere around 65 percent white, 35 percent black and, of course, that 35 percent for black only constitutes about a 22 percent population is pretty large, but there are a large numbers of white students who live in poverty and who have problems and so we lose a lot of white students; so there really isn't that distortion between those numbers.

MR. NORRIS: The minority recruitment program that you referred to for faculty, do you establish objectives for that program and, if so, are those objectives keyed to the minority representation and the student population?

DR. MODRE: No.

MR. NORRIS: Or the minority representation in the labor force or is there a difference?

DR. MODRE: I think you're looking at both. You're looking at SMSA as well as the school population. To tell you what they've done, there have been incentives that have been given. We had a large number of teachers who came this summer to do internships in the summer, even some who have not graduated from college. I interviewed a young lady in Nashville, Tennessee and a young man who came here this summer to work in the school system.

Others interviewed them throughout

North Carolina, Kentucky, went all over through the states to try to find people. I think we did increase by about 20 through the recruitment this past year, and I think they have other members. I'm not just building this to be positive.

We were in trouble. We really were.

We let it get to the point where the black teachers that were retiring, large numbers that we were down below where we should have been. But it is tied in with objectives. The personnel department has objectives; I can't quote all the numbers but the affirmative action policy was developed in 1980, redone in 1980, they finished in '78. 1980 revised and revised upwardly every year.

MS. THOMPSON: I'm Hazel Thompson.

I'm a product of the Roanoke public schools. I grew up in the city, not only did I grow up in the city but I became a teacher and taught for 47 years, so I can tell you I have lived through those changes. I'm retired and have been since '69, but I have lived through those changes and I have seen, well, if I say I started back when we used to pay poll tax, you'll know where I am and where I'm coming from.

I've seen some unfairness, I consider, lately, unfairness when you demote people who have served for say ten years or more, and they are demoted from supervisors down to principals and to deans, so they just walk the hall to keep the children out of the hall and out the lavatories and things of that sort (laughter). I think that's unfair. I'm just—I don't know whether I'm stepping on Mr. Moore's toes or not.

DR. MOORE: Don't worry about it, Ms. Thompson.

MS. THOMPSON: I'm just telling you like it is. When you have children who are teaching in the system and see them snatched up from one position and just put down at another position, and they are not told why they are moved or what the circumstances are or anything of that sort, it makes

you wonder what is the world coming to? What are we coming to? We talk about education. We talk about improving our children. How are we going to improve them when they see us doing the things that we shouldn't be doing? Maybe I'm talking too much but I just had to put that into the record.

7 CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very 8 much.

MS. WILSON: Perneller Wilson. I'm

Chairman of Labor and Industry, Roanoke NAACP. I

have visited last week. I am the mother of seven

children and all of my children have been in the

local high schools here in the Roanoke area. My

question is, as a high paying taxpayer in the city of

Roanoke, I'd like to know why we have to go outside

the city of Roanoke to get applicants for Jobs when

we have qualified applicants here? I'm tired of my

tax dollars going to say everything is fine if we do

not have anyone here qualified for these positions to
go out an get someone else in.

Secondly is, I visited Patrick Henry
School last week and I will be visiting all the
schools for my own personal reasons and for
complaints that we have seen here lately in the last
four weeks. Paperwork, I feel, is a lot of joojosh.

The teachers I notice now that used to go over the homes and visit the parents and see why the children are not in school—and somehow I know two particular people, and Ms. Thompson used to be one of them, used to come into the homes and see why the children weren't coming to school today.

If they didn't have a pair of shoes. they would put them on their feet. I know of two people who are coming; I know two people like at 7:00 or 8:00 o'clock trying to do what they used to do and got all this paperwork to do. Since I've had these complaints, I am out checking these complaints. I don't like to say this because this is going on hearsay; I like to go out and see what is going on. so I will be going to all the schools visiting. and this is the only concern I have because I am a concerned citizen as well as lots of parents in the Sydnor Circle and Willow Road come to me and we have been discussing with all of them, although alll of my children have graduated from high school. I do have grandchildren that are going to school now but I have noticed a change with the assignments?

I have noticed that teachers walk in fear on their jobs, afraid of what's going to be said if a parent reports them, will they lose their job if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

they open their mouth, so it is best to go out and see what's going on than to say that hearsay; it is better to go out.

I'm going to go out myself as well as other parents in the Willow Road section and we are going to monitor the teachers, because a lot of them feel like they are walking in fear, scared to open their mouth. They don't even want to talk to me. I don't know what that problem is going on.

DR. MOORE: First of all, let me say no organization is devoid of problems and I did not intend to sit here and say all is peaches in the Roanoke City high schools. That is not my purpose. I think the realities are we need the community to help us to even see what is happening, so I'd say voice whatever those concerns are, voice them with us and with the administration; make sure we know about some of these people so we can deal with them.

The superintendent and his staff is very accessible to talk and open to try to make those corrections. As far as going out and hiring other people, you know, you may have read nationally that science and mathematics teachers are at a premium right now; it is just hard to find them, and I don't care where you look, Roanoke or anywhere else, they

are laying off teachers right now.

They are putting in \$30,000 without question in science and math. I say \$30,000; in some areas that is not very large. In Roanoke that sounds big. It is a difficult thing to find teachers. Those teachers that we have that are available, resource teachers we have in the area, a lot of them do substitute work but they cannot qualify on the basis of endorsements and we get gigs on endorsement.

rating. We have problems. We lose money at the state level if we have too many teachers that are not endorsed or teaching in their field. So, if we do have a lot of teachers, I would like to know. I will work in trying to get some minority teachers hired or majority teachers if we've got some good ones, whoever they are; if they're good teachers, I will try to work and get them inside.

I do that a lot, work with them and talk with other supervisors and locate those who will be favorable to the school system. Not all people will seek you out; some will. An empty wagon makes more noise. We have some people who will have other problems who will not reveal those problems with you. There is a reason, some background or some

1 problem they cannot fit the situation. I'll just use 2 that as one explanation.

2.3

However, if there is discrimination, I think that ought to be voiced, if you feel that; suggest those people come in and talk with personnel or talk with someone. Bill Hackley, who has been recently appointed as assistant secretary at the secondary and elementary level, not in instruction, he's in charge of the high schools and the junior high schools, refer people to him and others in that administration.

I'm just saying that right up front, don't let something bother you, and fester until it gets too big. Go in and make a complaint.

MS. ELAINE LORITTA: I'm Elaine

Loritta. I'm with the NAACP, Roanoke. My question

is twofold and it's a comment: Virginia is under

indictment, and that's a commentary from me. As far

as I'm concerned, education in public education is on

trial in the U.S.A. We have problems in Virginia.

We're talking about correcting teachers, getting

qualified teachers.

I've been looking at the problem for the past year, talking to students, picking them up at college. Virginia says, if you want to be a

business major, we're talking about a \$2,000 computer. We're talking about a new testing to qualify.

13.

I have a question: when you have a university or state system that turns out teachers and you have them in your top ten percent of the class but they cannot past pass to teach or be certified in the course, I have a question on who is going to administer and write the test.

A lot of people hear quotas but the basic facts are, can we read? can we write? We have a panel today that is going to hear a lot of people talk, but will it, at the end of this session, have some teeth and some meat and go back and correct the problem tomorrow?

You've had students out here that have no role models, even as a parent, to look at with respect; a lot of people don't know them. In Virginia, when you go across the state, you feel that legislation is necessary.

We hear politics. I vote for politics for being a legislator and not in the classroom. You hear Mrs. Thompson talk about fairness. I ask the question about who does the paperwork? I have a friend that spent all last night to try to get their

lessons. When you got 35 children in a classroom, how do you teach one on one and when do you recognize a child has a mental problem? When it has the dyslexia? How can you get the child that is very fast? how to start to create a program in kindergarten? creative children that had gone passed kindergarten?

They were bothered. We have teachers still sitting in 1950s, thinking civil rights, blacks are dumb, and classify that child and admit that child for not going to them. We have the arguments of getting teachers with decent pay. Maybe I am wrong in bringing up this topic but I'm wondering.

I'm scared.

In 1986 what kind of high school education will we have? Are you going to lose the child that is graduating? He goes and he thinks he's an A student. His parents think he's doing fine. When he graduates and he's told that he can't go to a college in Virginia, that's a different thing. What are you going to do then? The program is going for the lower grades now but who is addressing the child that deserves that same fervent program.

I'm wondering where is it going to lead? Everybody hollers about change, but are we

responsible for the after-effect, the before effect?

Because we have a whole society of youth that reflect

your teachings, your wisdoms, and I'd like to see the

problem addressed today or tomorrow with some

substance, not walk away and talk about this, but

let's put some teeth into addressing our youth in our

tomorrow?

The space age, as Julian Moore said all run around here trying to pay \$30,000 for our teachers, but teaching is not something you can just put a price on. I believe, if you are going to be a teacher, teach. You take a child's mind which is something that you can destroy in five hours and we have a responsibility and, if education is supposed to be addressed, then let us address it now. Thank you.

REV. HARRIS: Thank you very much. I believe we've talked some about institutionalized racism, and somebody said you can't legislate human behavior, and the selection process is carried on by humans, and, if we are dealing with underground racism, it seems to end up that we are going to have segregation in employment, even in the school

system.

My question has to do with how much involved is the black community in the selection process of those persons who make the decisions, again, with school board, again with going to the administration. Is there a black organization that's consulted? Are we dealing with Thomism across the board in terms of who gets the job?

When you're talking about to have some things that are not generally known, is it because they got a big mouth? because they talk? because they raise questions? Is that one of the problems? Are you stigmatized because you raise those questions and, therefore, you don't fit in the system because we are dealing with institutionalized racism and unless you can fit, then you can't get the job, even if you're qualified?

Is it a fact that consideration for loyalty to the system and on-going of the system, one of the items that is used in determining who gets the jobs in administration and who makes the decisions about who goes where?

DR. MOORE: I must respond to that.

As I said a few minutes ago, no organization is pure. We're talking about institutions. The school has been institutionalized for many, many years and

it continues to roll and it continue to be awed, and continues to be awed. Own of the objectives school board in Roanoke has is to involve the citizenry in the decisions that are being made. There are groups of people who are called "key communicators" throughout the area. I don't know whether we have been anybody who—

REV. HARRIS: Who picks them?

DR. MOORE: The communities, various organizations. The superintendent sent out invitations to organizations and had people to call, presidents of these organizations to appoint people. In fact, I played a real part of that role in identifying some people who would come in to talk with the administration and every so often they have these meetings, and they call them "key communicators", and I happen to know a lot of them by name. They come in and talk and from their communities they give feedback. That's not enough.

REV. HARRIS: I'm a "key communicator" in my school system and I communicate with the school system and they do want what they want to do.

DR. MOORE: You can't help that. You can do those things but that is an effort to try to

open the flow of communication. As far as hiring is concerned, as far as community's involvement, each school, when a principal vacancy is open, the PTA and all those persons who work with that school get involved in talking about who the candidate is for that particular place. The panel selects the principal. The principal is not selected by an individual; they have a panel made up of parents, administrators, and other people in the community and they help make that decision. They are in the process.

I mean, the process is there but I don't know, you know, any time there can be some things that happen that are not designed to happen, but I know the mechanism is there for fairness in employment and input from the community. As far as Thomism is concerned, I really think we got away from that a number of years ago when—and I'm sure you know when a black person, in a segregated school system, was given some power to make some decisions about the people in the community, well that is not done anymore. The school board, within its framework, has the responsibility to feel what is happening out there in the community.

We have two minorities on the school

board at the present time. We have had in the past a school board chairman; that input is supposed to be there. Now I say "supposed to". That is the way it is built. Whether it happens or not all the time, I know that doesn't happen all the time because all the voices don't get heard and those voices don't become involved.

I think that school board has to be involved and the people have to involve themselves with the school board to get things to happen, and it is not set up such that a black person has to go to a black school board member. I know that there are connections that are made across the racial lines that are sometimes very, very positive, and a person may feel they can talk to another individual much better.

I just say the school board is open.

They are responsible. They are appointed by City

Council and, of course, that is political in nature.

You couldn't get anymore political, but I think,

also, that City Council needs to hear these comments,

you know, because they do the appointing of school

board. I think that's open and clean, that

communication should be open, and, again, I keep

saying "should"; it has to be made that way.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Mr. Norris and then
Mrs. Hoffman.

MR. NORRIS: Dr. Moore, we've heard a good deal in the past couple of years with regard to the wage gap between males and females in the labor market. And one reason for that has been that women in the past have not been encouraged or been trained to seek nontraditional higher paying jobs, and a number of school systems in the country are developing programs which address that issue specifically, giving women the skills to go into the nontraditional jobs.

Does the Roanoke Central School have any program of that sort for the women and are they indeed aimed at minority population?

DR. MODRE: They have programs placed and aimed at placing women in positions, on a scale. We have a tier salary scale. The salary is based like three years, from two to five years, five to seven years, where that level gives the superintendent and others in the school board an opportunity to raise these levels of those persons who are capable and who have demonstrated that they could make it. The affirmative action program I mentioned a while ago is what has happened to bring

these more women into administration.

For example, two junior high schools this past year are now staffed by women principals because they had served in other administrative positions but that increased tremendously. It has only been a few years ago that we didn't have a minority principal in secondary level. Of course, there were a few EEOC cases that preceded this, and, of course, now it is not EEOC. It is a matter of who is capable and moving within that program.

Programs for youngsters, we have some pretty strong counselling programs which doesn't always get—it's just credit because counseling is a tough area. If one child fails, then the counsellors get blamed for it. It does need to be shored up some in some areas, but they have now begun with students in groups moreso than they ever had in the past where they are working with students, helping them in the affected area but the very weak area—and I might say that—that brings to my mind the weak area is in the area of the gifted programs where not enough minority students are being selected or meeting the criteria that has been cited to get into gifted programs.

That is an area of concern.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Mrs. Hoffman.

MRS. HOFFMAN: I wanted to speak to Mrs. Wilson's comment that she is interested in trying to get people who are qualified teachers in Roanoke area to be hired within the Roanoke system.

My question is one directed in that direction.

If there are is a pool of workers in Roanoke who are mathematicians, who are scientists, working in private industry or otherwise, are they prevented from being teachers in the classroom on a part—time basis, to bring their expertise into the classroom, not being full—time teachers but being hired for one hour for three days a week, that sort of thing?

DR. MOORE: Yes, we do. We have a school right down here in the Dominion Bank Building; it is called City School. Bankers, lawyers, others who are in business and capable of teaching those kids. They spend half of the day down there and half at the schools. They end up working the summer as interns in finance offices, banks, et cetera, et cetera.

That program does exist, and we also have them in the schools that teach, one—we call them five-fifth: one-fifth teacher, two-fifths work. It depends on the number of periods. The physicist

teachers. I think we've had people from General

Electric, a number of people in the community that do

serve as part-time teachers.

DR. HOI: Along this same line is a program use the term "recycling" some of these people or upgrading the people that would be used to teach.

DR. MODRE: I hate to keep saying it.

This is very, very positive. They are. We've got some teachers in the program where they bring courses to Roanoke, some teachers who did not have enough courses to teach the math and science. They have retooled; they have given them another degree and there is a push to get a pure, be a degree in subject matter rather than to mix it with education. For example, an English teacher who does not have a degree in education, but pure English major, has a master's degree.

DR. HOI: Also, you mentioned earlier that the number of the plans— what kind of complaints—has anything to do with the cause of the desegregation is a complaint about the level and standard of instruction or anything like that?

DR. MOORE: Yes. Let me talk about two or three types of complaints. One complaint may come from a section where a school had been an all

black school in the past, and now it is desegregated; you have white and black students. The image of the former all black school, in some parents minds, continues to be in a neighborhood where a black neighborhood and white parents tend not to want to send their children to that school, so they apply for transfers and those requests are either denied or—most are denied at the secondary level. Some people can get transfers for babysitting reasons. A lot of them do get them for babysitting reasons and they are not necessarily for babysitting reasons but because we do have a lot of parents who happen to have a sitter that lives in another area, we do provide those transfers.

Other problems are from fears, and some fears which really should not be, person has in their own mind because this child is going to this school and the kids, if it happens to be a large number of black students in that school, then some parents feel that they don't want their children to go there. They'd rather go somewhere else. Of course, predesegregation, you know, students who were in an area designated go to a school that was predominantly of their race, could make the choice to go to another school, but that doesn't exist today.

We have that kind of problem.

We do have behavior problems. As I hear principals say, if this is happening today, what would have happened five years ago would have been a black/white problem. Today it is beginning to be a problem, period, between a black and white student; yet, in some instances, it depends on the case.

Every case has its own personality. It depends on who the people are that are involved. Some parents who are black and some parents who are white parents whose children get into a fracas, for example, a black parnt can be very upset that the white student is taking advantage of him or vice versa, the black student is taking advantage of the white students. These things still exist.

These are people problems, very, very, strong; some people are very emotional about it.

They haven't arrived. Of course, what we're trying to teach our students—we are a public school system and we are talking about living in a society that is desegregated, working on jobs and all. As I said, we are just a microcosm of the community and living together is one of our objectives to teach people, help them to learn to live together and live in harmony.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much, Dr. Moore. We are just about on schedule, perhaps a little bit behind and then we'd like to try and finish.

DR. HOI: You mentioned that besides the bright students, there are other minority problems. Is there any kind of programs, any specific kind of program that has been done for them to help them?

DR. MODRE: Yes. The refugee program is probably the most significant problem we've got and why I failed to mention that, English is a second language. Just recently in the past two years we've increased the number of students who had been in camps, and they've been there for a number of years, who did not have some of the educational advantages that others had when they came to us.

We have had some money problems.

We've had some people problems, but it is very good we have some advocates in the area that are concerned about the Cambodian and Vietnamese children. They assist us. They help us to move in the right direction, but we still have a long way to go with that. We need better teachers. We need teachers who can cross the language barriers whereas we've got

some students that help, student to student, but we do have problems there, and I think some things are being done. A proposal was written to try to get some more funds to help.

Of course, somebody says funds don't always make it. You could have all the funds we want but if you don't have the right kind of program, then it doesn't do any good. We are working on improving that program.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much. Dr. Moore and Dr. Hoi, thank you very much for this panel. Go ahead, Dr. Hoi, you wanted to say something?

DR. HOI: No.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: This concludes panel number two, and now we'll move on to panel number three with Dr. Timothy O'Rourke who will chair the panel on denial of voting rights. Dr. O'Rourke?

DR. O'ROURKE: My name is Timothy
O'Rourke and I'm chairing the panel of which you may
notice by its characterization, the other panels are
referred to as discrimination complaints. This panel
speaks of the denial of voting rights, and the two
panelists today are here to talk about the denial of
voting rights to persons who left prison.

Essentially, it has to do with the restoration of voting rights. The two panelists are Mr. Corelli Rasheed, and Tom Kerfoot and I'll turn to Mr. Rasheed first and ask him to address the three issues that, I think probably is a part of the the record already, but for the sake of this particular presentation, I would request him to address the issue of restoration of voting rights to people who left prison and then, if he has comments, to talk about his views on the Human Rights Act for Virginia.

MR. RASHEED: Good morning. First of all, I want to state that the issue of voting rights concerning convicted felons is one that is not a popular one which I understand but also to get right to the point, one conviction of felony with all the circumstances for anyone in the state of Virginia the right to vote is taken away, and that's not the issue which I'm really concerned about at this meeting. The issue that I'm concerned about is the procedure for getting his rights back upon completion of the sentence that is issued by the particular judge in whatever area it is.

Some of the issues around getting those rights back are completion of sentence, number one, the paying of cost costs and fines, which are

tacked on to that at a 12 percent interest rate that begins upon conviction of anyone of a felony, so 12 percent interest rate is tacked on that and most people who, I will state, in the state of Virginia who is convicted of a felony that is in turn sent to prison, given a prison sentence, is not in position to pay court costs and fines at that particular time but while they are serving that time there is a 12 percent interest that has been being tacked on to the court costs and fines which they are no position to pay at the time. That is accumulated.

So, if someone is in prison for ten years that 12 percent interest rate is tacked on to his court costs and fines. By the time this person is released, he has an enormous court costs and fines to pay off in turn to get his voting rights back in which most people, very few people in the state of Virginia get their voting rights back after being convicted of a felony.

This brings up another issue that I am concerned with and that is the fact that the majority of people that are convicted of felonies in the state of Virginia are from the black community. That's an known fact, from the black community.

What it in turn does to the community,

what it turns out to do to the community as far as having some political strength as far as majority of black men are to have convicted felonies and can't vote, what turns out for the voting strength of those communities?

What I'm saying is that I feel like voting is a responsibility moreso than a privilege, a responsibility of every citizen. Voting is a responsibility and that the issue of whether to vote should not be attached on to whether or not a person is convicted of a felony, because in turn I think that retroactive, as far as the rehabilitation process, when you talk about rehabilitating someone, I think the main issue concerning rehabilitating someone is getting them involved into the communities, getting them involved in doing something positive, opposed from keeping them away from something positive, getting them involved maybe in the mainstream of American life. That's the whole issue that I'm concerned with, is what this does to the black community by not allowing this great majority of people not to participate in the political process of voting.

So we should say something when we reflect on the number, more than 65 percent of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

people in the prison system in the state of Virginia are black. More than 65 percent are black, and it's is way less than that as far as percentage of black people versus other people as far as racial percentage. It is very low than that when we look at the population itself. The population of blacks in Virginia is probably, my guess, it is below 25 percent, but in our prison system we have above 65 percent blacks in the prison system and all these people that is getting out of the prison system, very few of them getting their voting rights back.

When we look at that over a number of years, we look at the Virginia prison system today and we have better than 10,000 people incarcerated, so 65 percent of is black. Then we look at what happens when we add up the years. We add up the years 15 years, 20, 30, 40 years, we have 45 percent of 65 percent of our communities not being able to vote.

I had the opportunity of meeting a gentleman who had been incarcerated over 40 years ago, and he never got his rights back because it is such a tedious procedure that you must go through in order to get your rights back. First, you got to make sure your court costs and fines are paid; then

submit a petition to the Governor in which you must get a lot of references from so many people in the community to send along with this petition, and very few people that's being incarcerated can go through that process, because, if we look at the education, number one, of those people who are incarcerated, the education level of the majority of people who are incarcerated falls between 7th and 9th grade.

So we are asking these people with the education level of 7th and 9th grade to go through the process that, in turn, which I called several lawyers and they don't know anything about as far as how to go getting rights back. So it becomes, I think, a very important issue that may cross over to the racial issue. Because of so many people from the back communities being denied the rights to vote and not having any political power, it makes me wonder whether there is some underground scheme or something that is going on to keep the black communities, the leaders of the black communities, keep them out of the political process.

A good way to do that is not allow them to vote. I'm not advocating the issue of placing convicted felons in political office. I'm advocating the issue of providing them with the right

to participate in the political process by voting, and from what I understand, Virginia is behind as far as a lot of the states of the United States because upon completion of a sentence in a lot of the states in the United States your rights are automatically given back to you. You don't have to go through any of those processes in that long process like we do here in the state of Virginia.

So I want to conclude with that.

DR. O'ROURKE: Next speaker is Tom

Kerfoot.

MR. KERFOOT: Restoration of political rights for ex-offenders in Virginia is indeed a cumbersome and difficult task. Last year in Virginia between January 13, 1948 and January 18, 1985 only 434 offenders had their political rights restored. All court costs, fines and interest must be satisfied before an offender can petition for restoration.

Offenders given suspended sentences must wait until those times expire before they can petition for restoration of rights.

For example, an offender given a tenyear suspended sentence as part of his sentence must wait ten years after his release before he can petition for his political rights. Interest accrues

on costs and unpaid fines during incarceration and can be a form of additional barrier for an offender when released; most earn less than a dollar per day while incarcerated.

Trying to find a job and re-establish themselves with their families and communities is difficult enough after release.

Their financial burden along with the \$15 monthly parole supervision fee creates a form of financial servitude for the defendant. It seems that the denial of these political rights after offenders have completed their time they need constitutes form of cruel and unusual punishment. Perhaps at the very least it places an offender in a possible disloyal and punitive environment that could resist inidividualism. I'm not saying these costs shouldn't be satisfied but let's give the offender an opportunity to pay while incarcerated than after release.

If he's making payments in good faith, should he then be allowed to petition for his rights? It is unfair for him to have to pay all costs fully and wait until all suspended time elapsed before he can participate again as a full-fledged citizen. Is this extended punishment rehabilitative or does it

- create an environment for future crimes? Is he a
 greater cost to societny? It's time that the process
 be changed for the benefit of all.
 - DR. O'ROURKE: As a follow-up to the two presentations, do either of you have an idea of how large the number is of ex-prisoners who are out there but not presently able to vote?
 - MR. KERFOOT: I couldn't get those figures. I tried to get those latest figures. I couldn't get the release figures either, but I know in terms of Penal Law, 434 is a very small number of offenders as compared to the number released.
 - DR. O'ROURKE: How many are released each year?
 - MR. KERFOOT: I couldn't get those figures. Corelli may have an a figure.
 - MR. RASHEED: I could make an educated guess as to how many are released. My assumption would be that there are probably close to 1500 people being released from the Department of Corrections a year.
- 22 MR. KERFOOT: A lot of these people 23 whose rights were stored were released in the '60s.
- 24 MS. RATTLEY: Released when?
- 25 MR. KERFOOT: Released in the '60s so

1	they've been out for a long time before their rights
2	were actually restored. Many of them had suspended
3	sentences is part of the problem.
4	DR. O'ROURKE: Is there legislation
5	proposed to deal with this problem?
6	MR. RASHEED: Not that I'm aware of.
7	MR. KERFOOT: Not that I'm aware. My
8	understanding there is a local attorney working on
9	that at this time but it is just in the beginning
10	sort of the incipient stages, and nothing has yet
11	been finalized as far as a petition is concerned for
12	restoration of rights.
13	MS. RATTLEY: I have a question.
14	First of all, you have to be convicted of a felony to
15	have your rights removed? That is still the law
16	before you lose your rights to vote?
17	MR. RASHEED: Right.
18	MS. RATTLEY: Are we to assume that
19	most of the felons leave the court owing money?
20	MR. KERFOOT: Yes.
21	MS. RATTLEY: This is what, the fine
22	and court costs?
23	MR. KERFOOT: Fines and court costs
24	which can accrue while being incarcerated.
25	MS. RATTLEY: You are saying each

felon who is serving time, he would have some
financial obligations so he would come under this
particular law?

MR. RASHEED: Right, each felon that is released from the Department of Corrections from Virginia has court costs and/or fines.

MS. RATTLEY: None of them pay that court costs before they serve, so we can assume that everyone would come under this restriction?

MR. RASHEED: Right. I don't know of any case—and I work with ex-felons getting out of prison—and I don't know of anyone that I've worked with in the last five years who did not have court costs, and I worked with roughly 2500 people in the last five years. I don't know any that didn't have court costs.

MS. RATTLEY: And the state law is that they pay 12 percent?

MR. RASHEED: Yes. 12 percent that is tacked on to that that starts as soon as they are convicted. So you can imagine what it would be if they got \$2,000 in court costs and incarcerated for ten years and interest and making a dollar a day, so you're in no position to pay, number one, and when you get out, they are still in no position to be of

- having to take up responsibilities of supporting themselves and, if they have a family, support their family and this proves an astronomical strain on
 - MS. RATTLEY: This is the first time I heard it expressed this way. What happens to the money that is supposed to be earned while the person is incarcerated?
 - MR. RASHEED: While the person is incarcerated, the monies that are earned, so much is, from what I understand, taken off to pay for their bed space, to pay for the food, and to pay for their guards and those types of things, and the dollar a day that is left after is change, I guess, from what they earn.
- MS. RATTLEY: That goes to the 17 prisoner?
- 18 MR. RASHEED: Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

them.

- MS. RATTLEY: So none of that money

 20 can go to that pay court costs?
- MS. RATTLEY: I think the impression
 that I've had, I thought the pay was coming from
 whatever they earned, you know, while they are
 serving.
- 25 MR. KERFOOT: The average annual cost

I think as reported by the Governor's Office in 1 February for food, security, clothing for prisoners 2 3 in the state institutions is \$20,000 a year, so that would not really serve to mitigate that cost, such a 4 5 small sum. 6 DR. O'ROURKE: What is the average 7 court costs? What kind of money are you talking about? 8 9 MR. KERFOOT: I think it depends on 10 the nature of the crime and sentence, that kind of 11 thing. 12 DR. O'ROURKE: Give me a ballpark? 13 MR. RASHEED: The average court cost 14 from my experience is anywhere from 1500 to \$2,000. 15 CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Mr. Norris has a 16 question. 17 MS. RATTLEY: That interest is 18 compounded for the term? 19 MR. RASHEED: Right. 20 MR. KERFOOT: I think it is compounded 21 annually but I'm not sure. 22 MR. NORRIS: Just a point of 23 clarification. I assume we are talking about the 24 restoration of voting rights with respect to state

and local elections. What is the rule with regard to

- 1 federal elections?
- 2 MR. RASHEED: It is my assumption the
- 3 rule is the same, because, if there is any
- 4 difference, go ask particularly these people who
- 5 don't have the rights.
- 6 DR. O'ROURKE: I don't know of any
- 7 aspect of the federal voting rights laws that make an
- 8 exception for the rights of ex-felons.
- 9 MR. NORRIS: Federal law allows the
- 10 | state to determine eligibility of voting in federal
- 11 elections with regards to convicted felons.
- 12 MR. RASHEED: I know once they get the
- 13 | rights--
- 14 MR. NORRIS: Until that time, they
- 15 have to be released and cannot file a federal
- 16 lawsuit.
- 17 DR. O'ROURKE: Basically, state law
- 18 determines who votes, absent the federal exceptions.
- 19 Of course, the federal government has carved a large
- 20 area, but to my knowledge it hasn't touched this
- 21 area.
- 22 MR. KERFOOT: It's an interesting area
- 23 | for a federal lawyer. The other decision to restore
- 24 | political rights is an executive part of government
- 25 as parts of the clemency and things of that nature

area. That could change with a new administration or new philosophy but the interest itself is mandated by law.

DR. O'ROURKE: Are there any comments from the audience on this issue? If not, I would ask are if there any comments either from the audience or the panel on voting rights matters generally?

MS. ARLENE STOLLER: My name is Arlene Stoller and I have a question. My question is among the persons who are released from prison who have this tremendous debt and are unable to vote and so on and so forth, do many of these persons exhibit a genuine desire to be able to vote?

MR. RASHEED: Yes, they do. There's a great number of people who in these circumstances exhibit a desire to vote to me, and also to their probation and parole officers, into which a majority of them are disappointed when they find out the facts. The fact of the matter is that they don't have the privilege to participate until court costs, fines, and a petition is submitted to the Governor to have his rights back.

MS. RATTLEY: I should like to speak from experience that there are a great number of ex-offenders who would like to exercise their rights

to vote. They come out into the community and most of them are not knowledgeable as to how to go about it. Many of them still feel that it is for life, and many of them are very, of course, embarrassed, too, if the NAACP or some lawyer were to ask as to what he or she can do in order to have these rights restored.

It appears to me that we overlook the fact that we have some very intelligent young men, in particular, in the Jails of Virginia and, of course, in other states, also. Apparently, they have a great deal of time that they spend reading and writing and many of them become pretty good lawyers during the time they are incarcerated.

I receive tremendous amount of correspondence from inmates in the city Jail, the city farm and penal institutions and federal institutions and state institutions, and most of these letters are written on legal sized paper, the yellow pads, and they are about six to eight to ten pages long, and they can state the law better than most attorneys that I know, so I would like to emphasize the fact that because they are incarcerated, many of these young people are very alert as to what's going on around them and would

1 like to participate. Not enough attention is paid to 2 this matter.

A lot of people, I think, wish it would just go away, but we have so many of our young people who are now serving time for drugs and depending on the quantity of drugs you have on you at the time will determine your classification as a felon. Many come back when they are able to kick the habit and are spending their time for what they have done; they have not harmed anyone in society for the most part but themselves, many of them, so you have all classifications of offenders coming back. We tend to look at them as the stench of the earth and all bad guys. I think we need to rethink that because it gets into every area of discrimination and this is especially true of the black community.

I say this because I had the privilege of serving on the Jail Task Force some years ago and had an opportunity to visit almost every jail in Virginia, and I can tell you some stories of what I saw and observed, and there are some very creative individuals there, but we tend to avoid discussing this issue and other issues associated with these people who are coming back into society.

We talk about rehabilitation and I

ACCU-BETA, INC.

wonder if we are really interested in rehabilitation because this whole matter of qualifying to have your rights restored could be a tool to help rehabilitate many of these young people who come back to our communities.

MS. STOLLER: My interest in this was prompted partially by the fact in our local papers in the last few days we've had several articles on how slow the registration is and we've had extra hours and on Saturday there was a sort of a voter registration festival at one of the shopping malls an so on and I was truly delighted to learn that there is somebody out there who wants to register and vote.

MS. RATTLEY: Human nature tells you people like to do what they are barred from doing, and that's regretable.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: One final question over here, please.

MS. LORITTE: A quick overview. I know working with Carlyle two years ago, I helped three guys and myself learn how to petition for the rights to vote. But I think in answer to the comment about the slow voter registration, that last year Virginia said we were going to help registration. We

have to educate ourselves to the fact that we do in

the state of Virginia have some problems to address,

but the rights have gone astray.

I come from a civil rights background and I remember teaching how many granules of soap was in a box of Tide and saying the Preamble of the Constitution and I wasn't able to vote. You had to be 21 at that age and I was only 18.

But I think the issue of not only denied rights for my brothers that are incarcerated, but the denied rights of any citizen not to use and not to be able to go and vote, and really the question is why has Virginia been under federal observation? why must we as American citizens, the rights that we earn—these are rights—why can't we go to a polling place and vote? What is being done about the registration?

I question both political parties.

They didn't assert this year like they did the year before in getting the mass of people out. News media will say one thing and I know when I get finished, somebody will say, she didn't say it.

But my question is where have we failed to present the facts and back people up going to the ballot?. They don't feel secure. When you

see people not coming out, then the question is, what are we doing and where is the reason? We must address this. If we can put any kind of law—and I'm saying community law—not talking about federal laws, because you have some people who will lose and that makes people address the issues that are performed now. Thank you.

DR. O'ROURKE: Mr. Chairman, if I could ask some questions to sort of fill out this record. How is the money that the court costs, how is that recovered by the state? I don't believe the state denies the right to vote with the hope that's going to deprive people to pay their court costs. What is the alternative mechanisms? Are wages parnisheed or—

MR. RASHEED: Well, they are threatened with being reincarcerated by their parole officers if they don't pay the court costs and fines. If the issue is voting as this one, as far as whether or not the person is going to pay because they are threatened by the court to be reincarcerated if they don't pay the court costs and fines. The issue of voting is never even mentioned by the court or the parole officer, so it is not an issue to the courts, I don't think; it is not an issue to the courts

whether or not a person pays court costs and don't get the rights back.

I think very few judges and parole officers are even aware of the fact that a person can get their rights back once they pay the fines and court costs, so I don't think that is an issue.

DR. O'ROURKE: That's really the point I'm trying to make, that the voting rights should be separated from the payment. After all, we don't deny voting rights to people who are overdue on their property taxes or owe money to the state for income taxes?

MR. RASHEED: I think if there was a look at the records as far as court costs and fines being paid in the state of Virginia, I think the state of Virginia has probably a very good record because these people are never left off probation if they don't pay the court costs and fines, and these people are getting off probation. It says something for that.

They are paying the fines and court costs but in turn they don't get their rights back.

MR. KERFOOT: I think one other point

I want to make about the \$15 monthly parole

supervision fee. That fee goes to the general fund,

so it doesn't necessarily support probation and parole.

One other comment I wanted to make to this lady over here. It is probably true that a lot of offenders are not interested in restoration of rights but, if you pardon the analogy, I think it is a little bit like your health: you don't appreciate it unless it is gone.

I think they are enlightened but are incarcerated and understand the process a little better and many of them are encouraged about having their rights restored. But I don't think the fact whether they want their rights restored or not should be a criteria for that right is what I'm basically saying. I don't think there has to be a huge interest for it to be a right or wrong issue.

DR. O'ROURKE: One other question.

I'm trying to remember my Virginia Constitution but
this can be corrected by statute or would it require
a constitutional amendment?

MR. KERFOOT: As far as what, the restoration of rights themselves?

DR. O'ROURKE: Yes.

MR. KERFOOT: My understanding, it is a function of the Governor, executive function much

- as a pardon or any form of clemency. I think

 interest itself is mandated by—interest on the court
- DR. O'ROURKE: Could the Governor simply suspend the requirement?
- 6 MR. KERFOOT: That's my understanding,
 7 yes.
- DR. O'ROURKE: Are there any other comments?
- 10 (No response).

costs and fines.

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I'd like to thank the panel, certainly
 I for one, and appreciated it very much, and I would
 like to thank the members of the audience for
 commenting.
 - CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you Mr.

 O'Rourke and members of the panel. We will adjourn very shortly. This morning you heard from three panel groups, the first being on employment discrimination, the second one being on education discrimination, and just recently the denial of voting.
 - This afternoon we will hear two of the final two panels, one on housing discrimination, and a panel on administration of justice complaints. We will reconvene at about 2:15. Thank you all very

1	very much for your participation. We are adjourned
2	for lunch thank you.
3	(Luncheon recess taken at 12:04 p.m.
4	and reconvened at 2:15 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
55	
23	
24	
25	

AFTERNOON SESSION

again welcome to the second half of our activities to the State Advisory Committee to the U S Civil Rights Commission. I'm Benjamin Bostic, chair of the State Advisory Committee. I introduced to you our members this morning and one of our members had not come in who was not here, that's Mr. Charles Krumbein who is to my right and your left.

This afternoon we're going to be focusing on housing discrimination and administration of justice complaints. The first panel, Ms. Rattley has been indicated as the person to chair that. She had to leave for other commitments in order to get to them on time. In her stead, Reverend Curtis Harris will be the moderator for this panel.

Just one little housekeeping thing.

This is for our Advisory Committee. Our October meeting will be in Charlottesville on the next date but you will be getting communications on the time and, of course, the location of the meeting in Charlottesville.

Without further adieu, I will now turn these deliberations over to Reverend Curtis Harris who will be chairing this committee. Reverend?

REV. HARRIS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I'm delighted to have this privilege to substitute for Ms. Rattley who had to leave. I believe she has a council meeting in Newport News tonight. We will look at this particular concern, having to do with housing and discrimination.

This panel that has been selected will address this subject and hopefully will give some indication of the number of complaints that have been filed in recent times, some indication of resources now available to address those complaints, and they will also address the subject of a Human Rights Commission, whether or not they feel that it would be helpful in eliminating discrimination in housing.

We have three persons present for the panel. There were four persons listed. One is not present, so we will begin as they are listed on the program.

We will have Mr. Robert Johnson,
Director of Danville Fair Housing Board to speak
first.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. Good
afternoon. My name is Robert E. Johnson. I'm the
Fair Housing Officer for the city of Danville. In
1982 the city of Danville, City Council, enacted and

amended the ordinance to include fair housing. We presently have five members on the Board from the community who serve as persons on that particular Board.

The Fair Housing Law, as passed by the City Council, gives the Fair Housing Board and its Fair Housing Officer the authority to investigate housing complaints of alleged discrimination. The Fair Housing Officer is responsible for investigating to determine whether or not complaints are valid, finding the complaints valid or invalid.

The Secretary, or, as I'm sometimes called, Secretary, Fair Housing Officer will then convene the Board and advise the Board to take necessary action. Our only purpose, as the Fair Housing Board, and the authority given to us, is that of conciliatory. In other words, we cannot require any realtor, bank, or persons who discriminate to cease and desist.

Again, it is gentle persuasion that we attempt to conciliate problems. The Board and its Fair Housing Officer have actually been active about two years. My coming from Connecticut and working with the Fair Housing Board was in August of 1983.

Once upon my entry into working with

ACCU-BETA, INC.

- the Board, one of the things that I had to do was to promulgate procedures to in fact make this Fair

 Housing Ordinance effective.
 - This being done and approved by the Board and the City Council, we now are very active in attempting to seek out and address complaints of discrimination based on race, color, creed, national origin, sex and handicap.

At present we have reviewed and received nine complaints, two complaints pending. The resolvement of those complaints is simply that when we address the defendant and advise him that there was a possibility of the alleged discrimination being remedied and somehow the individual respondent advised that no, there was no discrimination and we resolved the complaint at the level before taking it to the Board.

Now, as I indicated that we have only the authority of conciliation. If it is found that a defendant does discriminate and we, in turn, after review, have fact findings that there is discrimination and the respondent decides that he does not wish to resolve the complaint at that level, our next step is that we notify the state of Virginia, the Fair Housing Commission, which is a

Ż

part of the Real Estate Commission, we, in turn, turn
our findings over to the Commission to take effective
action.

One of the things that we have attempted to do is to notify the Fair Housing Commission immediately once a complaint is alleged. We notify them immediately. We are then working in tandem with them and we are the ones who do the background investigation. Having one person on a Board to do this investigation has not been necessarily effective. However, we are working very closely with the State Fair Housing office.

The state has the authority to come in to the area. If we cannot resolve the complaint, they then can come in with the power of cease and desist. We have tried to use gentle persuasion through public notices, through spot radio announcements, et cetera. We have tried to make the community aware that there is a Fair Housing Board in the city of Danville who will listen to their complaints, accept their complaints and investigate their complaints.

We found out through the number of complaints that we have received individuals are reluctant to file complaints because there seems to

be the feeling that nothing will be done. We do not have the power and authority to take action of cease and desist for a person or persons who violate the Fair Housing Ordinance.

Most of our complainants will, after filing, and the long process which takes approximately anywhere from two months to six months to resolve the complaint, will then just decide that they do not have the financial resources to continue to address this complaint. Sometimes individuals, especially the renters, are intimidated to the fact that they could possibly lose the lease on their particular property that they are renting and, therefore, it is a financial hardship and emotional burden.

I could go on and talk about some of the things that have happened and planning procedures, but I think most important, one of the things that seems to be is that not only in our locale we need that power of enforcement. We need the authority to take action to a cease and desist. We need the resources which we can investigate a complaint and come to an early conclusion to rectify the situation.

Most of our complaints have been

regarding race discrimination. There are very few complaints on age discrimination. We've had a couple of complaints regarding nationality, national origin, but most of the complaints are racial in nature and are very subtle, which requires an awful lot of time and wherewithal to investigate the complaint.

I think one of the important things, if I might talk about it, the city of Danville's view on the need for a Virginia Human Rights Act. The city of Danville has gone on record that they are in favor of a strong Virginia Human Rights Act, realizing that the state of Virginia is probably one of three or four states in this nation that do not have state human rights laws or acts.

I think it is very important the legislature take that concern and realize that persons will not necessarily, out of good will, give equal treatment. I think it is important for that the law is there, does not change a person's attitude but it certainly gives that person the knowledge that he or she is in violation of the law.

Our resources, again, as I indicated is through our local ordinance and that we have on board one staff person to handle all of the

- complaints that are presented to our Board of FairHousing. Thank you very much.
- REV. HARRIS: Thank you very kindly,
- 4 Mr. Johnson for your presentation. The next we will
- 5 | hear from Mrs. Dolores Daniels, Fair Housing
- 6 Administrator, Citizens Requests For Services, City
- 7 of Roanoke. Mrs. Daniels?
- 8 MRS. DANIELS: Thank you. I'm Dolores
- 9 Daniels with the city of Roanoke Fair Housing
- 10 Administrator. My office handles the fair housing
- 11 complaints as they are received.
- 12 The city of Roanoke's Fair Housing
- 13 Ordinance was enacted in 1973, March 3, 1973; the
- 14 first ordinance was passed at that time. The second
- 15 ordinance was passed April 15, 1974 when age and
- 16 marital status were added to the Housing
- 17 Discrimination Ordinance.
- 18 Since that time the city of Roanoke
- 19 has received some but not a lot of fair housing
- 20 | complaints. As a city employee I act as the Fair
- 21 Housing Administrator. We have seven members of our
- 22 Fair Housing Board that serve in an advisory or
- 23 capacity to help with any type of fair housing
- 24 | complaints if we need it.
- 25 The Administrator, as my job entails,

receives the complaints that are processed in our office and we process the complaints within 30 days after the alleged discrimination. We investigate the complaints to determine whether discrimination has occurred, and, of course, we work very closely with our city attorneys' office in regards to this.

We will attempt to eliminate the discriminatory act through a conference, persuasion, or conciliation if that is the case. Any type of conciliatory agreement that is entered is enforceable in the court. If there is a problem, we can talk with the Commonwealth Attorney's Office and have an injunction brought against the landlord or whomever the complaint might be against.

I might say that the type of complaint that we have processed in our office pertains to race. We have not had any other type of complaints, national origin, sex or marital status or any other of the others. We have had a few complaints in regard to bi-racial marital couples who have had problems renting property, but most of the complaints we have processed in our office have been in regards to race.

We have had some inquiries or calls from citizens who are landlords per se but they do

not fall under the Fair Housing Ordinance in that they do not own enough real estate, so it's like a single residential person who is interested in renting out a room or their house to a person and they want to make sure that they are not discriminating against someone if they turn someone away. So we have had some calls in regards to that.

The numbers of our complaints have been kept at a minimum. We would like to think that education has helped. We do provide pamphlets and we also have an educational program that we started five years ago in that we were able to go out to a lot of the apartment complexes to check to see that they were complying with rental standards and had the Anti-Discrimination Fair Housing Law posted. We were able to do that with staff that we had at that particular time.

Recently, with staff cuts we have not been able to see that is carried out in new apartments that are in Roanoke City are complying with that.

We have, also, been on TV and included housing discrimination as part of the function of our Citizens Requests for Services office. Also pamphlets and brochures that are passed out we do

, З

include that with it, hoping that, if there are

complaints, citizens will feel free to call upon the

city of Roanoke with their particular complaint.

Thank you for your time this afternoon.

REV. HARRIS: Thank you very much,

Mrs. Daniels, for your presentation. Now, we'll hear

from Ms. Hazel Thompson, Housing Activist in Roanoke.

MS. THOMPSON: Good afternoon. I'm Hazel Thompson. Most of the people here in Roanoke know me. I'm almost the grandmother of all of you here, so that let's you know how young I am.

I would like to say as a little girl I was born where our Civic Center is and I played where the new post office is, which isn't about two blocks from here. And I was living at my grandfather's at that time and they decided the house was getting too full, so my parents decided that they would move around the corner, either to the left or we would go down on the corner on the right.

At the time we moved, didn't have much to move but we moved, and when we got there, the city sergeant came and said, "We're very sorry but you can't live here because this is a segregated area, and those folks who live in here can stay, but no new

1 families can come in."

I said, "Well, that's my grandfather up the street and I've been here all my life nearly."

He said, "Well, I'm sorry. You tell your parents when they come in this evening that they'll have to move." So that's how I got from northeast to northwest. We moved.

Well, that didn't seem much of anything, but, of course, that was, as I said, back in the days of segregation. A little later then I attended an old brick school that was down the hill from the Catholic Church, Saint Andrews, and I had gotten into the seventh grade then and our parents went to the school board and the city council and asked for a school because a seventh education was all that a black child could receive at that time.

So they promised us they would give us a school. That was in 1916 and in 1917 we left the old brick school with the pot belly stoves and the outdoor bathrooms and went into a brand new school on Harrison Avenue with radiator heat and indoor bathrooms, and slick brick walls and were we happy!

Well, you say I'm an activist and I have to tell my story for you to see where I'm going,

and if I take a little more time, why, just allow me
the whims of an older lady.

I might say that I went through

Harrison School and then I went to Virginia State and

was trained as a teacher, and I came back and taught

in that Harrison School, and we were so proud of it.

I taught there until we had a population explosion about the time that World War I ended, and the soldiers came back and, of course, there were more children and we had children coming from southwest and children coming from northeast, and looks like they were just coming out of the walls there were so many of them. So they built the Addison School where the administration building is now, and I was carried from Harrison over to Addison as a faculty member.

Well, you say, "Well, weren't you satisfied?" Yes, because, again, I went into a brand new school. But the terms of teaching and the aim of education you had was climbing, and so then we had to go to summer school in order to improve ourselves. And they only paid us nine months of the year, so you had to save on that little bit of money that we received.

And by the way, when I look at the

salaries that people are receiving today, I think a long way back when we were making \$65 a month and we had to live the whole year on it and go to summer school. so you see where I'm coming from, don't you?

Well, from that I taught on in Roanoke City for 47 years and I taught 4,000 children right here in Roanoke. I can hardly go out the door that I don't see some of them. In the last few years that I taught, integration came in, and I have to tell you that integration helped us in some ways and it harmed us in other ways. It whetted our appetites for better things along the way of life, and then in many ways bars were thrown across our pathways to handicap us in employment, in education, and, of course, we had been voting because, if you didn't pay that poll tax, you couldn't vote; in housing, and in some instances discrimination in justice.

Well, you say "what affect does that have on housing?" It has a number of effects because the children who were able through our parents working at the N&W Railroad and the viscose plant, they were available to purchase homes and to send us because they had something called "passes" then. We could get a pass and go from here to Petersburg to the State College and get our education.

And then when we came back, if we were able to pass the state examination that they had, why, we became teachers. But with all of that, a lot of children couldn't find jobs in Roanoke because times were changing, and, as the times changed, these old parents were still here with the homes but the children had to go elsewhere to gets a job. When they went elsewhere to get a job, they settleed down where they were, and that left the parents here and many of them have died out and left the homes that they had worked so hard to have for their children to hand down to their children.

That happened in so many cases, and as that happened, we find that it's caused our neighborhoods to become rundown because there was nobody there.

The older people had died out, and there was nobody there to look after the home. You say, "Well, yes, but it was our property." Yes, but "what do you mean by our property?" The parents bought it and they left it to the children but the children didn't want it now because they had established themselves in whatever city they were working in, or living in.

So that is one of the problems that

22.

Roanoke has had. We have a lot of our property that has deteriorated, and nobody can have it because nobody knows where all the heirs are. Is that a problem? It is.

Now, not only that, but just this week we had a neighborhood fair here in Roanoke and we had buses to tour the city and we had speakers to come in and I can say that under Mayor Taylor's leadership and under our neighborhood partnership organization, whites and blacks have worked together to pull up our neighborhoods. We have joined and gone to the Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing body, whatever it is here. It's located at one of our housing projects and we have several of those in the city. Well, when we go up there. They tell us what the government says the amount of money that the city has so that some of these homes can be improved.

Well, some of us have gotten loans on our homes to improve them. Others of us have gone to back to our neighborhood organizations and to the people now you can go up to the Roanoke Redevelopment Housing Authority and get a loan but you have to tell them how much you are making, what you're making and all of that.

Some of the folks are afraid to do

that. They've lived there all their lives and they know they have such a little bit now, having retired and all that they don't see how they can pay a loan and continue to live.

That's another problem. Well, not only that, but in some instances, we have worked together. I go to southeast to their meetings, and they come to northwest to my meetings and we discuss our problems. One of the problems I found was that this school that I told you I attended, that Harrison School, well, I'd like to tell you in '79 the city engineers said they were going to bulldoze that school down and I told them they couldn't do it. He said, "What you mean, can't do it? It belongss to the city."

"No, it belongs to the people because it was built with the people's tax money."

He said, "Well, you have to go further than me." So I went first to the City Manager, then to the Mayor, then to the City Council. So when you want to do something in your neighborhood, you've got to take those steps and go with those people and go to them and present your problem. I presented my problem. I told them as a little girl that's how proud I was to go to that school and through the

years what it had meant to all the black children and how we had had concerts and had our graduation exercises and all of that, and how we had young men now who are judges and who were doctors and who were lawyers and all of that, and how they represented Roanoke City and America wherever they were. Many of them had even lost their lives through the wars and so forth.

I said "that's the reason you can't tear it down." So they told me that they would see about working with me, and with that school as our project, the Northwest Neighborhood Improvement got busy then and went to the historical society to be made into a historical landmark.

They told us that school isn't old enough to be a historical landmark. It would have to be so many years old before it could be that. I said, "Yes, but it is a historical landmark as far as the black children and the black citizens are concerned, as it was the first black high school built in all southwest Virginia."

So they said well, they couldn't do it.

Then I went to our city legislators, and I'll name some: Mr. Chip Woodrum, Mr. Vic Thomas,

and I told them, I said, "They just can't do that to us".

I talked to them and they agreed and they passed a resolution that was sent to the Virginia Legislature and it is a historical landmark in Virginia today. Well, then I had to find out if we could get any money to have the building done over because with integration they closed the building down and they were going to bulldoze it down, so, again, I said, "Well, I've got to go again somewhere."

So I worked with TAAP, Total Action
Against Poverty, and we made it to Washington, D.C.
And there I went to see our senator, Senator Caldwell
Butler, and I had notified him we were coming. So
they made a place for us to speak on the Public
Relations Committee. We spoke on that Public
Relations Committee and when we spoke there, Mr.
David Stockman spoke on the same program along with
me. He said he didn't have time to answer any
questions when I got through talking.

So I told them, when I got through, that I felt that every senator and every house of representative member, when he came back each year, should read the Preamble to the Constitution and I

1 quoted that Preamble of the Constitution:

"We, the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, ensure domestic tranquility and provide for the common defense and promote the general welfare of this United States of America..."

Well, I didn't get the last two lines in there but you know it. So, anyway, when I got through, the TV media was there and the folks stood up and they applauded, and Mrs. Collins was the Chairperson for that day, and they turned to our senator who was on one end of the table and said, "Senator Butler, if she ever decides to run for Congress, don't you run against her". (Laughter).

Well, then I went to the HUD Office and I had our proposal all written up and they told us that they didn't think we had enough in our proposal. I said, "I bet you when I come back the next time I'll have enough in it." So it was about a month later, and I was back up there. So when I went back the second time, they said, "You're back?" I said, "Yes, I'm back."

So they started to reading through it. They said, "Well, you'll hear from us in about two weeks." So, in about two weeks the mayor called

1 me and said, "Mrs. Thompson, can you be in our office 2 tomorrow at 10:00 o'clock?"

I said. "Yes. I'll be there."

He said all right. So when I got there, they had this news release from HUD stating that we got our funds to repair the Harrison School. So they repaired Harrison school and it's made into 28 apartments for the elderly and the handicapped and on the basement floor they only gave us ten percent of the basement and we been fighting now for two years to get that ten percent of the basement increased for our Heritage and Cultural Center, and since the IRS hasn't given us anymore yet, we're going to open on October 26th and 27th of this month our Heritage Center.

We've had our dedication, and the HUD came from Richmond, Mr. William Thomas, and presented us with a plaque stating that we believe in fair housing, and no discrimination and an improvement of neighborhoods. We have that to prove along with it. I might tell you, in the meantime, in '83 they made me the Citizen of the Year. I don't know for what but I was.

So housing, though, that isn't all of it. Housing is an important part of every man, woman

and child's life. The things that that child grows up with, the type of home that that wife provides for the husband, the type of home that that husband provides for that wife, makes things that help those families to have their true values and to be better citizens and that is what America needs to work for today.

We need to work to get these children into homes that will not make them ashamed, homes where they are taught the values of life and they are given faith in the Almighty, and when we get those things, you won't have your jails and your prisons filled with offenders. When we do those things, your girls will be respected. When we do those things, some of these things that you see advertised in the magazines won't be before the people. Thank you. (Applause).

REV. HARRIS: Thank you very much.

Next time I get ready to run for office, you will be the campaign manager. We have heard from the three panelists. Now we are ready to entertain questions and comments from the Advisory Committee and from members of the audience. We'll hear from the Advisory Committee first.

MR. KRUMBEIN: Mr. Chairman, Mrs.

- Thompson, I don't know who made you Citizen of Yhe

 year in 1983 but I believe after what little I heard

 today, they made a good choice. That's all I got to

 say.
 - DR. O'ROURKE: I wanted to correct something you said, Rev. Harris, I think you would be the campaign manager.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: There is one question that I would like to ask Mr. Johnson. I believe just recently a Housing Consortium Committee was formed, or something of that sort, and I believe you are a member of that committee.

I would like to ask if there is anything the committee is now confronted with or might be under study that you think this ASC, State Advisory Committee could be of assistance to you?

MR. JOHNSON: The city of Danville has joined the Fair Housing Consortium six months ago. The Human Relations Commissions from the various states, state of Virginia, state of Maryland, and the District of Columbia, with other advisory or associate memberships, which the city of Danville is an associate member of the consortium.

One of the things that we are working diligently is to provide not only information but

resources to the various housing committees, boards throughout the locales that we might do effective investigation so that we might bring about changes in terms of the recognition of housing discrimination and the subtle manner in which it operates.

So we consistently have trained with various states on how they in terms through their commissions tackle the problem of housing discrimination. We look at what is the best methods which we can pursue this type of discrimination, subtle discrimination.

We found out that the best method is a testing. Testing simply means that you have two individuals go to a rental agency which has a vacancy and what we are testing to see if the same type services are provided for a black applicant versus a white applicant. If this is found that there is disparity in the services, then this gives us the indication of some type of discrimination. This is one of the things that the courts have recognized that this is a true and tested method of finding discrimination in housing.

What can the Commission do? I think that the Commission, if they were to go on record that testing ought to be an integral part of any kind

of housing organization, the ability to develop their testing mechanisms, the ability to have adequate staff and financial resources to do this—and one of the things that we are trying to do is we use volunteers but it is difficult with volunteers because volunteers volunteer at their discretion.

Testing in housing discrimination, individuals have to be available at a time when it is not necessarily convenient for them but it is important that an ad comes in the paper about housing and we know that there might be some possible disparity in the services provided; then we have to testers available, black and white, go in and do the testing. Then that's where we find out. After the testing, we are able to talk then to the real estate agent, the broker and advise them that this is the result of what test we run.

Now, I think all over the country that is attempting is being done, and I would say to the Advisory Committee that ought to be a part of any kind of report, that you recognize that testing has been validated by the courts, and you go on record as requiring any kind of human relations, fair housing aspect of their board to be involved, be trained, to have the resources to do testing for housing

discrimination because housing discrimination is very subtle.

No one, no landlord, no bank is going to come out and say "we don't rent to blacks" or "we will not lend blacks money." It is the subtle type; the sophistication that any housing group must be trained, must be educated to the type of sophistication that, if the commission can go on record to endorsing that, will be helpful to the fair housing groups throughout this state, throughout the region.

MS. THOMPSON: One other question I would like to ask, is there anything that could be done to prévent people, realtors and people with a good sum of money, coming in, buying up houses that the taxes haven't been paid on? They buy them up in the black neighborhoods but they won't fix them up but they'll rent them to the people who have the least amount of money for just whatever they can get out of those people without fixing those houses up and those houses got to continue to deteriorate? If there is anything that you all can do to help that, I think it would help a whole lot in not only Roanoke but every where.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Reverend Harris?

1	REV. HARRIS: There are local offices
2	that deal with standard housing, plumbing,
3	electrical, and the structural, and those ordinances
4	would have to be enforced. Sometimes it requires
5	some outside pressures. If you're talking about no
6	doubt absentee landlords who buy up slum property and
7	rent it to low income people, the best way to
8	approach that is from a local level where local
9	citizens will expose the condition.
10	If they are as bad as you are saying,
11	obviously, they are in violation of some local
12	ordinances. When that is exposed, then you see
13	certain things start happening.
14	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
15	MR. NORRIS: I have a question of Mr.
16	Johnson. If I understood what you said correctly,
17	since the Danville ordinance was amended to include
18	fair housing, there have been nine fair housing
19	complaints filed, two of which currently are pending?
20	MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
21	MR. NORRIS: So there have been seven
22	that have been resolved?
23	MR. JOHNSON: That's correct.
24	MR. NORRIS: Did you say you were

successful in resolving them through conciliation

1 persuasion?

2 MR. JOHNSON: More per situation than

3 conciliation.

MR. NORRIS: But they have been resolved without the necessity of doing anything further, at the lowest level?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

MR. NORRIS: Under the structure, if you cannot conciliate successfully, you then make a recommendation to your local board and, if that proves unsuccessful, you then go to the state of Virginia Fair Housing Authority?

MR. JOHNSON: Right.

MR. NORRIS: And ask for their insistence with their cease an desist powers and so on, but haven't had to go to that extreme?

MR. JOHNSON: No, we have not.

MR. NORRIS: So your local structure is then supplemented by the state enforcement mechanism. That's the way it works out?

MR. JOHNSON: I think one of the problems that we have encountered is the intimidation moreso than resolving complaints. I said I have two pending. Time is going to run out because the complainants refuse—I have one sitting in my office

that the complainants refused to sign the complaint form.

Now, we cannot—I cannot go in and advise that complainant that "you must file; you must sign this complaint form", but I think it is important that, if we had the authority to initiate the various complaints, we could then, in this particular case of a person not wanting to actually file a complaint, that we could go in and initiate the complaint, do the background fact—finding, and then attempt to conciliate the problem.

If this is not at the same time, as I said, we notify the state and the state is aware that we are investigating a complaints, et cetera.

MR. NORRIS: So what you're suggesting, maybe your local ordinance can be amended to provide for Commission—activated investigations as opposed to complainant—activated investigation?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

MR. NORRIS: If there is a state statute passed and state commission I'd be interested in knowing your views what the relation between your organization and that organization would be. Would you give a complainant the right to file a complaint in both forums? Would you suggest that any complaint

that's filed with the State Commission be deferred to you for resolution?

What do you see as the relationship between your organization and any state organization which might be created?

MR. JOHNSON: I think the important thing is that any kind of passage of law defer to the local, give that local the opportunity to strengthen their Fair Housing or any Human Rights Laws and develop that, let it be initiated at the local level to investigate, to attempt on conciliation, to empower them to have cease and desist authority.

I think that's important, because we are close to the problem. We know the neighborhoods. And the time factor is very important. If a person has the complaint, that person is apt to deal with us locally and realizing that there is a local agency that can address the problem, once that's developed, then you'll find that more complaints come locally, and individual complainants would rather resolve it at the local level if we have the power and the authority.

MR. NORRIS: How does that differ then from what exists today? because the complaints are first investigated at the local level and then, if

they aren't resolved, they go to the Virginia Fair
Housing Commission?

Is it the major decision in the current system as you see it, the fact that the local ordinance doesn't give you enough authority as opposed to there not being a state law?

MR. JOHNSON: The local authority does not give us enough power. I think that a strong state law then deferring that authority.

MR. NORRIS: Giving you power to enforce the state law?

MR. JOHNSON: Right. Exactly.

MS. THOMPSON: One other thing I would like to say that is in the defense of the neighborhood organizations, if you can get your neighborhood organizations, say every 20 or 30 blocks to organize and regardless of what race or color or creed lives in that area, if you can get those folks working together so that they will see that the weeds are cut in an area, they will see there is an old lady over here who has a house that hasn't been painted and needs painting, they will see that certain things need to be done in certain areas, and have those things checked in that particular block, that will help a lot in cutting down some of the

1 problems that are created in the city, too.

MRS. HOFFMAN: I wanted to state to the issue of local Human Rights Commissions, the proposed legislation to create a Virginia Human Rights Act and Commission presently includes a provision that would enable local governments to establish Human Rights Commissions. The state now has three: Alexandria, Fairfax County, and Richmond.

Of the three locals, only two are considered to have employment laws and housing laws that are equivalent to Federal Fair Housing and, the Fair Housing and Fair Employment Laws.

The problem, however, is that these local agencies do not have subpoenae power. They don't have subpoenae power because the state has not passed legislation enabling them to have such authority because we work under the Dillon's law of principle in the Commonwealth of Virginia. You have at the local level only the power that is delegated to the localities. Virginia has not passed a law enabling local commissions to have the authority that Mr. Johnson is speaking about?

MR. JOHNSON: The Human Rights Act would as now written provide for that authority if it

1 were passed.

MR. BINKLEY: I'd like to ask Mr.

Johnson and Mrs. Daniels if the motivating factor to pass a fair housing ordinance in each of your cities was to be eligible for housing grants from HUD so that people who had complaints would have a place to file a complaint of discrimination? Do you follow my question?

MR. JOHNSON: I believe your question and perhaps your statement is fairly correct. The fact that the position of the Fair Housing Officer was funded through HUD through its Community Development Block Grant Award to the city of Danville.

Yes, I am a Fair Housing Officer and I indicated that I'm the only staff person but I hold a dual role. I'm not only Fair Housing Officer; I'm the Director of the Personnel Department of the city of Danville which indicates that it is difficult for me to function totally 100 percent of my time just investigating the complaints.

I see the Office of Human Relations, if it is developed at the local level, will encompass not only housing but employment and so forth, and give us more financial resources, staff resources to

- attack such a problem as housing discrimination, but,

 in essence, it was out of the federal funds coming in

 and one of the requirements is that we had to develop
- 5 MRS. HOFFMAN: Could we find out from 6 Roanoke?

a Fair Housing Office.

MRS. DANIELS: The only thing I can address to that particular question, our ordinance was passed in '73 prior to my coming on board, and I am not aware of there being the implications in that. Of course, I would have to go back and do some checking into it to really answer your question, so I am not able to really give any answer to that at this time.

MR. BINKLEY: I'd like to have one more question either from Mr. Daniels or Mr. Johnson to answer Just as an estimate, how many cities in the state of Virginia do have a Fair Housing Ordinance like Danville or Roanoke? Mr. Johnson is a member of that Consortium and I thought through that connection he might have some knowledge of that number.

MS. THOMPSON: I don't think all of the cities have it. I'm pretty sure all of the cities do not have it.

MR. JOHNSON: Most of the--there are

perhaps, I would say, about 12 that have some type of fair housing, not necessarily have a fair housing office. We did a survey last year, trying to find out exactly how many locals have some type of fair housing ordinance or office, and I believe we came up

6 with something like 12 at this moment.

MRS. HOFFMAN: In that regard, do you think those 12 would also be areas that have received Community Development Block Grants?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Does the local newspapers in their advertisement for housing include in that the announcement anything about the Federal Fair Housing Act, that any properties for rent or sale listed in this paper are subject to the Federal Fair Housing Act?

MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: The city of Danville, our major newspaper does list it at the very beginning through the nondiscriminatory policy according to not only the Federal Fair Housing, but the local ordinance. We run, the Hair Housing Office runs a notice every month, at least once a month and we do spot announcements, advising the citizens that it is against the law, state, federal and local laws.

MR. TINSLEY: I'm Carl Tinsley, citizen here in Roanoke, Virginia, minister. Also, I'm a NAACP representative on the state level. Fair housing discrimination is one of the areas that we find ourselves caught in a lot. When we talk about fair housing how can we we know it is not enforced? If we look at the makeup of the city, 24 percent black, 80 percent live in one area, primarily northwest.

There have always been a trend and still is to segregate housing and people. The only thing that's published in the newspaper concerning the nondiscrimination in houses has been repossessed by the federal government or Housing Authority that they must remain in there, but the local realtors don't run it. We don't have anyway of testing in Roanoke to prove that there is discrimination.

If you don't believe it, all you got to do is just go in certain sections of Roanoke.

This is not particularly of anybody in Roanoke; this is by the whole state.

Primarily, we are faced with house discrimination, and as long as we don't have laws to enforce them, or the staff, or the ordinance, they are going unenforced. One lady nearly froze to death

in a house in southwest, tenant slum. Only then did the city enact the power they already had in the Fair Housing Law.

See, it takes something to happen before something is done, and we need some kind of, you know, vehicle to motivate this before something really takes place—riot, somebody dies, somebody gets killed or somebody is hurting, but poor people have nowhere to turn. Low income people have nowhere to go. Even when they make their reports, depending on who they are, where they are, little is done or said about it.

The fact still remains, ladies and gentlemen, we still have discrimination. I would like to know how the Advisory Committee or how the State Human rights Committee can implement laws and ordinances with some kind of power structure that would help each locality and maybe some group within the locality to monitor and make sure that is being enforced? Today it is not.

REV. HARRIS: That's a good question.

Any time you raise a question and the respondent starts off by saying "that's a good question", you know that he's not going to answer you. We do have serious problems and a part of what we are about is a

part of a process to address the problem of
discrimination. It is just a part of a process.

We do not have enforcement powers so that we can implement any of our feelings or thoughts. After we gather the information, we transmit it to the U S Commission on Civil Rights and that Commission reports to the Congress and to the President periodically. We hope that some of the information that we gather will become a part of their report. We are advisory, also.

So in that capacity, we cannot demand anything but we can strongly advise the Commission and we hope to avail to the Commission a full blown report from these sessions that we're having around the state and we keep hearing the same things everywhere we go. So there must be some truth in what we're hearing. Hopefully, the Commission will see what we're trying to say and that will become a part of our report to the Congress and to the President of this country.

I'd like to probe a little further into Wanda--or, Mr. Binkley raised a question about the establishment of the fair housing programs in the various areas. HUD has been dumping money into a program called the Community Development Block Grant

program for a number of years now, and one of the requirements of the recipient of the funds is that they develop a housing assistance plan so that they could provide sufficient standard housing for the area. In that process, they also address the question of fair housing.

HUD then said everybody has to have some type of fair housing program. But it was left to them voluntarily to institute their own kind of program. In Hopewell, where I live, we instituted a program called "A New Horizon". It's a volunteer program. Out of the Community Development Block Grant money they gave money to the local Redevelopment Housing Authority and that staff administers the program, so we have no comparable person to Mr. Johnson or Mrs. Daniels but we do have a program.

Everybody is supposed to have some kind of a fair housing program. The question is what's going to happen when the Community Development Block Grant money dries up? What's going to happen to Mr. Johnson's salary and Mrs. Daniels' salary if she's on soft money. We call it soft money.

If that's gone, who is going to be there to call together the commission commissioners?

So we are kind of on shakey grounds in the State of Virginia when we have fair housing programs that are put together simply in response to a commandment from the federal government, "This is what you have to do to get the money." When the money dries up, then you don't have to do it anymore; then they have an ordinance on the books that will not be enforced even though it is a weak ordinance, will not be enforced because there is nobody there to enforce, no staff, no commission, that can be active.

I don't know how many cities or counties receive any money, however implemented the requirements, such as has been stated by Mr. Johnson and Mrs. Daniels, but I do know that everybody is supposed to have something on a volunteer basis to ensure fair housing in their area.

The question was raised how the state, the proposed legislation we've been talking about, Human Rights Commission, will relate to the local fair housing program or Equal Employment Opportunity program, and it is strange; I don't know of any local Equal Employment Opportunity Commission other than the three that were mentioned, were encompassed in the three that were mentioned by Mrs. Hoffman anywhere in the state. So you can gather from that,

all these fair housing programs are there because HUD said "if you want my money, this is one of the things you got to have. When that money runs out, we won't have it anymore."

So I think finally, that it is absolutely imperative that we do something on a state level so that we can help to empower the local commissions, the local fair housing boards, to do something locally with some teeth in it. I thought—

I'm not on the panel. I'm the moderator but I wanted to get that in. I didn't know how else to get it in.

Do we have any other questions or comments?

(No response).

MR. TINSLEY: I was late getting here and, of course, I'm pretty sure you've been through the unemployment session, have you?

REV. HARRIS: Discrimination in employment.

MR. TINSLEY: There is one area, I don't know if somebody mentioned it to you, but I have been doing a little investigation myself. I work for the Norfolk and Southern Railway Company.

REV. HARRIS: Is this on employment?
MR. TINSLEY: Yes.

1.3

REV. HARRIS: 1 We've done that. 2 MR. BINKLEY: There will be time for 3 me at the end? CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: We are going to have 4 5 an open time at the end for additional participation 6 for those things that we did not hit on this morning 7 with the panelists. It should come at about an hour from now if you can be with us at that time. 8 9 MR. TINSLEY: Good. CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: We'll welcome your 10 11 remarks. Mr. Harris? 12 REV. HARRIS: Thank you very much. 13 Are there any other questions or comments either by

(No response). Thank you, Mr.

the Advisory Committee or others in the audience?

Chairman.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you, Mr. Harris and thank you, panel members, for your participation.

At this time we'll take just a very short break because we do have the gentleman here who has requested a statement and another person who has requested participation so that we can get into our final panel on administration of justice. All right, let's take a five minutes break, please.

(Recess taken at 3:32 p.m. and reconvened at 3:40 p.m.)

ready to begin this panel on administration of

Justice complaints and concerns which is chaired by

Mr. Charles Krumbein a member of the Virginia

Advisory Committee. He will explain to you his

panelists and all of that. We're sort of expanding

here to another arena. Mr. Krumbein?

MR. KRUMBEIN: Thank you. My name, as already stated, is Charles Krumbein and with me today is Evangeline Jeffrey and she's President of the Roanoke Chapter of the NAACP and Gloria H. Helsley of the Alliance of the Mentally III of Roanoke Valley, Aftercare Mental Health Services and Extended Care of Mental Health Services.

To begin, the official title of our panel is "The Panel on the Administration of Justice Complaints/Concerns" and we've expanded that because Mrs. Helsley was kind enough to come by and give us the benefits of her thoughts. Let me start now with Ms. Jeffrey from the NAACP.

MS. JEFFREY: First of all, let me rephrase my remarks by saying my being the only panelist here I don't think this is a very popular

area and, of course, it is a very sensitive area.

I've been with the NAACP for three years working actively as an officer of NAACP as president. And during my time, I have been averaging about 50 complaints a year which equates to about one complaint a week, a little less than one complaint a week. So you can see there is a problem with the justice process and the justice process I'm speaking of encompasses the arrest procedures throughout the court system.

So my first remark would be to the number of complaints that is being very numerous in this area for a city of this size. I think the number of complaints are on the up rise. Sometimes we've had a lot of group complaints and some of those complaints are classified as one because of the purpose behind those complaints or the inconsistency behind those complaints.

As far as the resources for complaints here in Roanoke, I think basically NAACP is the most visible organization. I receive complaints concerning the process of justice. I do know that there are members of the Bar Association present here in Roanoke. Visibility is not that high. There are other agencies, such as the Civil Liberties Union

which are not visible in Roanoke, and I'm not sure if there are intake persons for those organizations here, so I can state that the NAACCP is one of the most visible and most readily accessible organizations here.

I think, as far as I think, that there should be a law or Civil Rights Commission here in the state of Virginia that, affirmative, because with the incidences involved in the complaints that we had handled, it is very hard for people, everyday people, to really stand up for themselves because they are intimidated by the system itself.

The system of justice is very awesome to the everyday person, and they feel like that efforts would be exhausted before they could make any kind of impact. So you don't see a lot of complaints readily visible here in this area. I think a commission of sorts and laws on the books to enforce the institution of laws, the institution of laws and a commission to enforce those laws, is very necessary because that would take away from some of the intimidation that a person feels when he makes a complaint concerning an agency of justice.

Let me state that we have here the NAACP has entertained complaints concerning police

harassment, police brutality. We have entered complaints concerning just a lack of respect for the citizens of Roanoke by policemen. We have complaints of lawyers not doing their jobs. We've had complaints concerning excessive punishment given to blacks in cases equated with nonminority persons. They have received excessive sentences.

So we've had whole gamut of things to contend with. I think that a commission of sorts would take on the brunt of some of these things, and maybe help to rectify them. Of course, we have the option of going to the local police and complaining about incidences in which people have said that the police have been excessively brutal or mishandled them, but then you're talking about in-house resolution of those complaints, and in-house resolutions in my mind, and in a lot of other persons' minds, means that a coverup could take place, so an in-house resolving of problems and complaints is not a solution to trying to handle the problems of dealing with police harassment or dealing with cases in which the police have not acted in a proper manner.

I can cite instances myself of which I can sort of give you an example of how this type of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

intimidation can take place. My home is in Bedford

County and Bedford County is not heavily populated by

blacks.

There have been rumors that there is a lot of Klan activity in Bedford County, so people in that area—this is just to give you some idea of the type of county Bedford County is, at an accident in Bedford I started to write down the name of the policeman. This was not a case in which a person was battered or beaten or anything of that nature. I was just an observer on the scene and I started to write down some policeman's name, badge number and this type of thing just for my own references.

Before I left Bedford I was stopped by this policeman to check my registration, my car registration and my driver's permit, and I think this is the kind of thing that people foresee when they confront the system of justice, that little things will be used to get them. So they do not speak out and are not willing to come forward and stand up for themselves or when they feel like they have not received justice because they feel like this is the authority figure and there is no use challenging the system.

Now, had I been--and I'm not saying

I'm not the everyday citizen—but had I not had the stamina to resist this kind of intimidation, I would not have wanted to aid the people that were there, that were involved with the police, because that, to the everyday person, would be very intimidating and they would not come around again or to help anybody else if they were confronted with the same type of situation. That's just an example.

We've had problems with the attitude of policemen—I'm using a coined phrase—a Rambo attitude, that they can break in and exert any authority; they can stand over you, gestapo techniques, and we've had some of those cases in Roanoke, but you're not going to find a lot of people that would give us the information to stand up and say what happened to them; they will not.

I feel that, if there is an agency that is not locally based that these individuals could go to, they would feel a little bit more comfortable in coming forth. I think an agency with some laws behind it on a state level would be apropos the situation. So these are just some of my thoughts and some of the findings that I have, working with these cases in my three years as President and before I was actively involved in the NAACP and I have seen

no change.

We have a lot of cases in which persons have come to us and said that you know I have—something has happened to me, or I've had property stolen or whatever; I don't feel comfortable going into the justice system and trying to pursue justice for myself. The system will turn on me.

So when you got that kind of situation and attitudes being generated, I think taking authority out of the local level solely and putting them up so people can really think they are going to get somebody to look at their situations that are not involved with the people locally is a very good remedy for the type of complaints that we're getting here. Thank you.

MR. KRUMBEIN: The next speaker is Gloria Helsley.

MRS. HELSLEY: Mr. Chairman, members of the Advisory Committee. It's been very enlightening for me to be here. I am here for my husband who is in his office and can't come. This is what he sent me to say to you all.

"My concern is probably the most discriminated against members of our society today, they are rejected by over 50 percent of their own

families, one in every 100 Americans are in this category. They make up the large segment of our street people without homes, without jobs, without hope. To protect individual rights, most state laws prevent any assistance to a mentally ill person to obtain medication unless the mentally ill person executes some act to threaten the life of another person or to take their own life. These laws act to prevent caring families from helping their loved ones that also deprives the mentally ill further from the mainstreme of society and the end result is more discrimination because of a person's inability to think logically.

"Our government, both federal and state, adopted a policy of the institutionalization in the 1960's. The plan was that the dollar saved by this policy would follow the aftercare and it quite clearly did not happen and that discrimination has followed. The mentally ill have no political clout. They have only unmet needs which, with proper medication and social opportunity, can mean in many cases a vastly improved quality of life. We would recommend that the definition covering discrimination include the mentally ill. Richard A. Helsley,

Roanoke Valley."

As a concerned mother, I like for this Committee to know that Dick and I have four children: two of them are nurses and doing wonderfully. Our eldest daughter and our son, the third are schizophrenics. We have had no help since 1970. We are railroad people. We are from the Shenandoah Valley in Virginia.

We've been all over the southeast and when the railroads merged, the Southern and Norfolk and Western, we had to come back to Roanoke. In Chattanooga, Tennessee, Northern Georgia, Dick and I found no help whatsoever. We were by ourselves. We had a crisis over Christmas for over 40 years for adult children, the girl has a 9 year old grandchild. We take care of them. We had to move them both with us when we came back to Roanoke in 1982.

We have found no help here in Roanoke. We have not found housing for the daughter and her child anywhere in the area. Dick and I have her in Vinton with a son going to school, which is in the Montain Club House, county. We live in the county. We have we purchased a nice home in the county with three and—a—half acres. We went to the zoning board and asked that we put a mobile trailer

1 home to keep the daughter and the son in. They would
2 not allow us to do it. We tried to get them housing,
3 I believe it is Section 8.

We have had no results from that whatsoever. Our daughter gets \$214 a month from Aid to Dependent Children. Her rent is \$225. She gets about \$119 in food stamps. Dick and I have to support her otherwise and have the grandchild to take care of, and our son is in the Mountain Club House which is what I call halfway house from the mental hospital, state hospital.

Our son has been in the hospitals here, doing very well now on medication and in an apartment of his own and doctors said he could get out of the hospital, is able to get SSI. He cannot work. He had schizophrenia diagnosed since about 1976. This clubhouse that Alliance has, we work for the clients. I work with two of the clients we They do very well to help. We ask for help have. from the Mentally Ill Services and the Department and we are getting some, but we have to come out of the closets with our mental illness and we're hoping to get help from the people that understand; last generations don't know anything about it.

Dick and I work with the State

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Alliance out of Richmond, also the National Alliance in Arlington, and we're hoping that through the help of all of our organizations and our departments here that we can get something done.

You all just understand what we have to do and Dick and I will have our children the rest of our lives. We do not think they will progress back into society. But I just want to come and let you all know that we are working hard, but we need all the help we can get. Thank you.

MR. KRUMBEIN: Thank you, Mrs. Helsley. I wanted to make some comments that are particularly appropriate for what I would like to call Southside Virginia. This summer in this area. a little further west of here we will have had two Klan rallies, whatever you want to call them, and I've been very concerned about this. One of my principal concerns is what appears to me to be a lack of understanding on the part of city attorneys, county attorneys, whether full or part-time, in the relationship between the constitutional rights of assembly and the interest of the state in maintaining peace and order, and let's just call it the police power.

There are some good uses of police

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 power and there are some bad uses of police power.
- 2 It's fairly well clearly established that just
- 3 because an organization, whether well meaning or ill-
- 4 | meaning--in this particular point I don't which one I
- 5 think of the Klan-they do not have the absolute
- 6 | right of assembly. That right is, let's say,
- 7 inferior to the interest of the state in maintaining
- 8 peace and tranquility.
- 9 Where it is obvious to reasonable
- 10 | state authorities that a particular assembly might
- 11 | not be peaceful, the state has reasonable powers to
- 12 Join with that organization in determining the safest
- 13 place for all concerned for that assembly to take
- 14 place and the time of that.
- 15 The State Highway Department, in a
- 16 most recent instance, and previously a city in
- 17 | Southside Virginia, dealt with Klan meetings on the
- 18 basis of the Klan's absolute right to have a meeting.
- 19 | I would hope for--we prepared memos and provided it
- 20 to the Attorney General's office and numerous state
- 21 organizations, but I believe more needs to be done to
- 22 publicize the ability of a governmental authority to
- 23 choose the time and place for a potentially dangerous
- 24 meeting to take place.
- 25 I think it really is in the best

interest of all of our citizens to (a) allow groups of any nature to have a public meeting but to do so in a nonconfrontational situation where the fewest number of citizens are potentially injured or whatever.

I want to applaud personally the state NAACP in the most recent instance for deciding not to have a counter-rally at the same time as the Klan meeting. It's my personal feeling that all we can do is publicize what doesn't need to be publicized by having that kind of confrontation. Thank you for listening to those documents on my part.

I had some questions for Ms. Jeffrey. In listening to the number and the kind of complaints that you had during the year about the police department, the first question entered my mind was the Roanoke Police Department, the various counties around here, were they open to listening to the needs of all the citizens and the way those citizens are treated? Were they open to minorities? were minorities members of the police department in supervisory positions? What's the general situation regarding police departments?

MS. JEFFREY: Okay, concerning blacks in supervisory positions, there are not a large

number of black policemen in Roanoke and there is not high visibility of blacks on the police force. We had meetings with the chief of police when there were major concerns, group concerns, to address some of these problems.

But there again, you have those persons not wishing to stand up and give their names and incidences they are involved in. They are not willing to let that information be publicized for fear of reprisal by the policeman supporting a fellow policeman; so we run into that problem.

They are saying to us "what can you do without further endangering or subjecting us to intimidation?" And based on that, it's kind of hard for us to proceed, because they feel like, too, we are in the communities and can't offer them that kind of security, protection that an agency outside of this local could offer, so I think we have some dialogue going, but then you've got to deal with the feelings of the people that are complaining.

MR. KRUMBEIN: Is there evidence that a percentage of the Roanoke City—the figure I heard is approximately 22 percent of the—citizens of Roanoke are minorities. Is there evidence that the police establishment is sensitive to that level of

minority population and is there evidence that there
is discrimination in employment hiring, et cetera,
within the police department?

MS. JEFFREY: There is evidence.

We've had a number of complaints from applicants, persons who have applied to be policemen, and the scrutiny that they are subjected to and the reasons for their being rejected as policemen are subjective. One complaint I think a person had been called in twice to be interviewed and scrutinized and the only reason that he could obtain for his being rejected as a policeman was that when he was about 15 or 16 years old he threw a bottle through a window or something and had that on his record.

Now, whether a 15- or 16-year old throwing a bottle through a window may have been part of an incident in which he was not totally responsible for but was involved in, is that a reason for rejecting a person from being a policeman? These kinds of things, subjectivity of applicants. I know that there are policemen on the force that must have some kind of smut in their background but—

MR. KRUMBEIN: I think we all do.

MS. JEFFREY: But there are policemen but if you are black and have any kind of smut in

1 your background, you are not going to be a policeman.

MR. KRUMBEIN: What information has

the NAACP provided you that other similarly situated

groups have been able to do in the similar situation?

5 Certainly, someone else has faced this kind of

6 | situation.

1.3

MS. JEFFREY: Like I say, I'm sure there are other agencies that are involved with these kinds of problems, but I'm speaking in terms of living and working in Roanoke. I'm not aware and I'm not saying there aren't any agencies dealing with this kind of problem but I am not aware of how visible agencies are dealing with the problem.

We get complaints from nonminorities who feel like they have not received justice, so we feel that, if there were other agencies there, the nonminorities would probably not come to the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, so that's a premise that I'm operating under, too.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Mr. Norris has a question.

MR. NORRIS: I just wanted to explore in a little bit more detail the claims we have heard today from a number of the panelists that there is a need for a statewide commission and law because

citizens are concerned about the process, that they are concerned about retaliation. I think I can understand the claim a little bit more in the context that we're talking about during this panel than in others.

But how does the existence of a Commission or an agency going to make people feel any less intimidated about initiating a complaint when in virtually all cases, if the Commission investigates it, during the course of the investigation the identity of the complaining party is going to be made known in any event? So I don't understand how the Commission can lessen the fear of intimidation.

MS. JEFFREY: Maybe I didn't make myself clear. When you're dealing with local people and local people looking at the problems of local people, that is a deterrent for a person making a complaint. For those persons that are just totally fearful of the system, you're not going to get them to really follow through on complaints anyway, but for those persons who feel like "if I could talk to somebody who would be objective, I maybe would get some justice", but when you're having to deal with people that may play golf together, or that may have other social ties together within their area, you

don't feel comfortable coming to them, and I think an objective, distant committee or commission which would objectively look at the situation without saying, "Well, oh, yes, I know you from way back when", because you have some kind of personal reference with those people. So I think that's what I'm looking at, distance; distance would make for objective handling of situations.

MR. TINSLEY: May I just add a comment to that? Also, most localities don't want other outsiders to know what's going on, what they're doing sometimes inside, so rather than leave the kind of mentality they have get out, they would rather keep it on a local basis, so if there is nobody in here who can do bring pressure from outside, then they don't have anything to worry about. In other words, if you're looking at my dough, I'm going to be nice, this kind of process.

MRS. WILSON: One of the things is monitoring our courts, and I feel, if we had ministers, blacks and whites, that would go into our courtrooms and call in and ask questions, a lot of these problems would be solved. I call it. I am a person and I don't care where I am, if I see justice is not being established, I will call it. I called a

decision in court whereas a black girl received 30 days for the first offense of shoplifting, and a white girl got only 2 days.

Mr. Craig looked at me. I went to directly to the Commonwealth Attorney's office and asked them are they having outright discrimination and this was a judge that did it. I also wrote him up and I also complained, and I feel that, if we had more people that would monitor our court cases and see whether—I don't know what color you are, anybody know me I don't quote blacks, white, greens, purples, I don't care what color you are, if you are not being treated fairly in a courtroom.

I feel a lot of people, especially retirees, should monitor our courtrooms more frequently and see if a fair decision or justice being established in that courtroom. A lot of times I don't have the time but when I do, Mr. Craig usually go, or I go or some of my other people in the committee call and I do call it outright. And I'm one of those people that will do it, and you might see me in jail any day and they both working together. We're friends, I want you to know that. They both were friends, and this decision that this judge came down with—there are other problems.

Personally, I will say for myself, I feel that we do have a lot of incompetent lawyers. I'm not saying only on behalf of the NAACP. I'm saying personally with myself, there are incompetent lawyers and I do believe in calling them down. A lot of people do not believe that it is statutory, most feminists. It is 3 to 5 years for acts of negligence and any time you feel, God give you a feeling when something is wrong and I will have that feeling for a long time about the death of my husband where two lawyers, and I didn't find out about it until I heard it all the way up through, but sometimes you get that gut feeling you better start thinking.

When you think there is a problem, you can ask for your court transcript. A lot of people don't realize they can ask for a court transcript. That's yours. You have a right to it. So I had to sue two lawyers for the incompetent work. But that's another thing. You never hear about it when a lawyer is being sued, but you can always hear about it when a doctor is being sued, plastered right across the paper. But you never hear about a lawyer being sued. This was done last September.

So it, as far as justice, sometimes it makes me sick to just go into the courtroom to listen

to it. As to Judges, in my opinion—and I do have a civil race trial coming up. I have already asked to in January to tell my lawyer that this is the Western District Court of Virginia and don't expect them to hand down a favorable decision in my case because for the last ten years and for the last six years I have not seen justice in that court for nobody black, period. And that's my case. I'm not talking about NAACP. I'm talking personally, and I have not seen a fair trial or hearing in there, not for black man or white man, race, age sex, age discrimination.

MR. KRUMBEIN: Thank you. Are there other comments from the panel or from the audience?

MR. TINSLEY: I just want to make one comment. Ms. Jeffrey made reference to there and I don't know how strong you feel about it but it is quite a problem bi-racial marriages. I have witnessed a few incidents where police have been involved with people who have black married whites and so forth, and the kind of treatment they get is really horrible. It is usually done in the place when there is not many witnesses or people can see it or somebody is going to talk about it, but this type of harassment the people have to deal with because they are married to someone of a different race is

really tremendous.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Unless we can perhaps have kind of law or legislation that is going to determine the capability of people that is hired on the police force, then we're going to always have these. One of the things that we done, Chief Hoover—was the fact to try to psychological training for the police officer so they know how to handle themselves in a black and white situation.

But we have a chief of police who has a mind of his own and who is kind enough to a few years ago to hear from the whole public. Nobody knew who he was other than the name, so these are the kind of things that we are faced with and what Ms. Jeffrey says concerning the brutality. It only represents the person that is in charge. Until we get some kind of laws or get the mentality changed on local levels that policemen to be a good policemen, to be a good peace officer, I feel is called, just like being a You can't take everybody out here and give them a badge and gun and tell them they're a policeman. For years that's been done in most local areas that I know of, especially in this area. The employment she spoke of is right.

We have never and probably never will

as long as we have our former chief, never will have the percentage of black on the police force that we ought to have compared to our population. We never are going to have that. The one that is hired from out of town—and I've had several meetings with them while they're working and why they left the police department, because they were subjected to abusive, derogatory remarks by the white officers with whom they worked. When they went to commanders to make a report about this, it was washed over and they were told, you know, "You're just imagining things".

But let me tell you people that is not imaginative. These things are actually happening.

Now not everybody is a bad policeman. I don't want to give you that idea. We have some good policemen but we have a whole lot that should not be on the force, and until we have some way to deal with that, we're going to have problems, big problems, and sometimes they going to explode into large problems.

MR. BINKLEY: Mr. Chairman, could somebody respond to the question, is there a Citizens Review Committee or a committee of the police department in Roanoke to deal with complaints of brutality or harassment?

MS. JHEFFREY: I think there is a

ACCU-BETA, INC.

- 1 procedure that you can make application and you can
- 2 submit your complaint to the police department.
- 3 We've had cases in which people that have gone down
- 4 | to the chief's office have been told they don't know
- 5 | anything about complaints, this kind of thing, but
- 6 there is a process by which you can submit a
- 7 complaint.
- 8 CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: But there is no
- 9 Citizen Review Board per se?
- 10 MS. JEFFREY: Not that I'm
- 11 knowledgeable of per se.
- 12 CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Just to follow up on
- 13 that particular area of a review board as an idea,
- 14 one way to resolve some problems in the community is,
- 15 and we all know about coalitions, to form a coalition
- 16 and sometimes that coalition does consist of your
- 17 adversaries but you do have one thing in common and
- 18 | that is a concern that affects the quality of life of
- 19 the entire community.
- 20 This is just a personal thought and
- 21 observation that you might want to think about
- 22 talking to other groups, such as NAACP, that
- 23 ministerial association, or any other adversarial
- 24 group for that matter and simply suggest that the
- 25 | quality of life or these kinds of things, let's get

together and see what we can do and out of it could come the kind of thing that Mr. Binkley has just mentioned, a Citizens Review Board which in itself breaks down that feeling of intimidation by a person who has to fill out an application.

If you have to fill out an application to get a hearing before a police board, you feel intimidated from the very beginning, so that is just a comment in passing that you might want to take a look at. As I said, this coalition could consist of some of your adversaries as well, but as long as they understand what these objectives are.

MS. JEFFREY: Let me comment to that because we have entertained that idea in going back to what the moderator said concerning the Klan. When we had that threat in Roanoke, and we did have that threat about three or four years ago, we formed a coalition. In fact, I was the Founding President of a group called "Roanoke Valley Together" and we incorporated the group at the time that the Klan came to Roanoke, and subsequent to that my own home was vandalized, and racial slurs were painted on the walls and that kind of thing.

Through the linkage of that group, we were able to develop a sort of liaison between the

people in Roanoke and when the impact of the Klan was felt here, or their presence was here that we were able to minimize any kind of a publicity that they might get and try to make a more united front for my people here in Roanoke.

However, to address your comment, we have tried to institute a type of review board that would help to deal with some of these problems, and I think that's something that City Council has not passed on. It was staffed in the past but something has not yet to seem to take hold yet.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Mr. Moderator, I'd like to ask Mrs. Helsley a question. With respect to the mentally ill and their employment situations, those that are capable of working, does the city of Roanoke have any kind of facility or do they receive any kind of state aid? I've heard something about Chapter 10 and perhaps there are members of this panel that could, or the committee that could, enlighten me on Chapter 10? I'm not quoting, but could you comment on that for me, please?

MRS. HELSLEY: Mr. Bostic, I do not know the ins or outs of the Mental Health
Association. Dick and I have just been in this area for two years next month. We are reaching out more

- to the National Alliance for help. The Hinkleys,

 whom you all know, whose son shot the President,

 we're trying to get into our area; we are trying to

 get help that way. Remember, this is the

 schizophrenic that we're talking about, the mentally

 ill.
 - Yes, there are handicaps. Money is allocated from United Way. I know that. This Mountain Clubhouse we're trying to get off of the ground by any means and, yes, there is money allocated. I do not know where that comes from, Mr. Bostic. The group that's down there runs from about 17 to 28 classes we call them. They are from our mental hospitals for the mentally ill. They do well. They are trying to get them out on Jobs. How successful hired workers are from the Mental Health Department is taking of that I do not know. grasping, trying to help the clients help themsvelves to get a foot in the outside world society and to live on their own. Kind of depend on what the families are around that are here to help them. Does that help you any?

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Yes, it does. I

Just wondered if there are usage of the Chapter 10

monies. I do know that Chapter 10 monies are used,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 at least in my part, our part of the state, northern
 2 part there, for the supervision of mentally
- 3 handicapped persons in working in minimum wage
- 4 situations, and these are persons who are supervised;
- 5 they are capable of functioning at levels but with
- 6 supervision.

MRS. HELSLEY: I understand this is what is supposed to be done in this area. Like I said, all I know is through the Mountain Clubhouse. And Dick and I are sort of real close, try to be real close with the clients and also work with supervisors of that clubhouse. We get the effort put forth that should be to help our adult clients to get them out into a part time job. At the same time, we have to be careful that their SSI checks and so forth are not taken away from them so, if they can't make it, they have nothing to live on and nowhere to go. The churches do help some. Our Episcopal Church, I understand here, has 13 to 15 apartments for our clients to go into, which is wonderful.

MRS. HOFFMAN: I wanted to point out the fact that in trying to get people to come to this meeting today, I made numerous calls. Among those calls that I made were to groups that service the handicapped. What my finding was in that regard is

that the groups were not able to tell me about discrimination against the handicapped, so if I had gone merely on the basis of what I was getting from these groups, one could assume there is not any discrimination occurring against the handicapped because no one seemed to have any information about discrimination.

What I was getting was that our group is formed to obtain funds to service the handicapped but in trying to get to a source, some group that would be able to collect complaints and to do something about those complaints, I was not able to identify such a group. Maybe because of your interest in this area, you could help me identify such a group, but, until then, it would seem that we need at least a state agency. Maybe under the Handicap Act we might get at that. My understanding of the Virginians With Disabilities Act that was passed in January is that most of the services are directed toward physically handicapped rather than the mentally handicapped, so I would think that would be at least an area for inquiry by your Advisory Committee in terms of why there is not a resource available for those who are mentally handicapped.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was people who knew about discrimination but were not willing to come forward and be identified in public and in some instances were not willing to tell me specifically about a complaint because the school involved, or the employer involved, would be readily recognized if it were made public.

A woman, for example, not in this area but in another area of the southwest section, told me she was teaching in practically an all black school; she pointed out gross deficiences in the kinds of services they were able to provide for students because they didn't get the same amount of money in that particular school that they were getting in other more predominantly white schools, but she was not able to come forward with that because she laughed and said "I would be fired in a minute because as soon as I spoke, they would know exactly who I am and what school I'm talking about".

I feel, based on what she told me, that if there had been a forum that she could have gone to, an agency in which confidentiality was assured, I think that she might have been willing to talk about some of the complaints. So, I really got two messages: one, we don't have any discrimination, and then the other one, yes, there is discrimination

out there but I'm not talking about it. So I feel
that those were my two overall impressions in trying
to get people.

MRS. HELSLEY: Could I share an incident that happened to me Saturday with you all? One of our clients at the clubhouse, three weeks ago, hits his mother, who was a good friend of mine and I tried to help her. He put 13 stitches in her head and she spent two nights and three days in Lewis-Gale Hospital. Wednesday, when she called me in the evening, I went over Thursday, she is under counselling as the family at the clubhouse, supposed to be under counselling.

We have two wonderful "advocates" as we call them in Alliance, volunteer workers and they go lobbying down Richmond for bills to be passed which, by the by, we did get some of our bills passed in January that you're talking about. She spent Friday night with me because her husband was out of town in Staunton on a Lions' convention or something. She's afraid she couldn't stay with the son.

Saturday morning she tried to call him and he wasn't at the home where he was staying, so 1:30 we started hunting for him and we hunted all afternoon over at Caves Spring area and at about 6:15

I was coming around to come back to the market to pick up another client, go back out to the home with him. We saw this client walking down the middle of 419 which is a four-lane highway, in the middle of the road. A police car was in front of him and I asked him his mother "what do you want me to do? Do you want me leave things go or go back and check?"

She said, "Please go back and see what's happening"

So when I got the car turned around at the light and turned, one of the police had him in the car and I pulled in front of them and I said, "Go back people with your policeman and your son". She tried to and by that time another police car had just pulled up. I don't know—by the way, they were wonderful. I don't know what I would have done without them. I believe that's the county. The police had gotten four or five calls in the last 30 minutes that this client or this person was walking down the middle of the road.

I begged the mother, I said, "Well, you'll have to sign a petition or a warrant to put him in a rehab or get him over to KATAVA or they will put him in a jail cell".

She says, "I can't do that."

I said, "You'll have to do that. That's what Dick and I had to do with our children to 2 3 get hope for them. They wouldn't accept help on 4 their own."

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I spent from about 6:15 to 10 minutes after 9:00 in the Roanoke County Courthouse.

My feeling is I was not qualified to do what I was doing. The counsellors and the paid help from the Mental Health Services should have been the ones that was taking care of that boy before this happened. With counseling they should have known that boy and he had gone off his medication by the They found out about a month before, so you see we do not have the paid help doing the jobs that we think they ought to be doing to protect the family as well as the client himself. That happened to me Saturday afternoon, and the mother did sign him in and, as of this day, sometime this afternoon, they're in a hearing. We are hoping that the police, the judge, will send him to KATAVA so he can have the correct medication and get back out in the world and so we can do something important. That happened to me Saturday afternoon. Thank you.

MR. KRUMBEIN: If there are no further comments now--I'm not saying to cut comments off--

then I'd like to thank our panel members, Ms. Jeffrey from the NAACP and Gloria Helsley representing the Alliance for Mentally Ill and other worthwhile organizations. Thank you for coming and sharing those thoughts with us. I want to thank the Committee members and audience for their comments and we thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much, Mr. Krumbein. Thank you panelists. Now, we will turn our efforts to comments from the audience and we will listen to some of your concerns that you were not able to address in the panel situation.

I think we have persons here from each that is on our Committee that represent the areas that we talked about tise morning and this afternoon. So please feel free, and I believe Reverend Tinsley was about to address us with some concerns about the employment issues and certainly our modertator for that panel is here so we will hear you now sir.

MR. TINSLEY: I wasn't able to get here this morning. I thought perhaps you would cover the discrimination as far as employment is concerned and that's one of the areas that I'm interested in.

I'm the area Chairman for the State

NAACP State Conference and, as such, I'm responsible

for support to those local branches in several counties surrounding Roanoke City and Roanoke County and one of the things we have, a lot of this unemployment and one of the things that concerned about is employment.

When you have unemployment especially the black community or in the white community and any large number you tend to have many minor criminal acts and things that people get into, so I have been very concerned about unemployment but moreso recently about the discrimination in employment. From the standpoint of being involved in employment for the past 35 years of my life working and being involved, I know now that discrimination is more prevalent now today in 1985 than it has been at any other time in my life.

There was a time when blacks and whites in certain jobs that were set aside for them and usually low paying and labor jobs that you know were always available. As a matter of fact, they worked in the hotels, dishwashers and this kind of thing, even picking up trash for the city. But we are living in a time now where we have 7 times the unemployment in the black community than we have in the white. We have 7 times the black crime black on

black in the black community simply because we have a high rate of unemployment. This is brought about the attitudes of many employers and tactics they use today.

There were laws put on the books that says you must be an equal opportunity employer. You cannot discriminate against people, but you know and I know, ladies and gentlemen, that there are ways that you still discriminate. Discrimination is in a subtle point now.

There used to be a sign over this office where I work at right across the street that had two water fountains, one was on this wall and one was on the other wall; one said "white" and one said "black". You knew where you had to drink.

When I came here, I couldn't come to this hotel but I came in the back door and I worked. Well, we are changed to a situation where I can go—where I may even buy a house in some part of the community, but one way to keep me down is to make sure that I do not have competent employment even though I may be qualified. I'm not going to get the employment.

There was a test case and I hear Mr.

Johnson talk about the test case in the housing test,

two people came from out of town. Identically there was black who was highly trained moreso than the white and they went around the Roanoke Valley and put in application for employment, and nine out of ten times in each case the white was employed and the black was turned away.

Even in the company I work with Norfolk Southern, which is one of the largest railroad companies in this world, in the United States, not the world, but we have incidents that I monitored not too long ago where a white young lady, several white young ladies and several black young ladies, equally qualified, passed the test through the discriminatory system that they had; were given opportunity to be interviewed for a position with the company.

No black was hired even though they were well qualified in some points and better qualified than those whites. This brings me to the leaders who know from my experience and what I see here and not only in Norfolk Southern but Appalachian, the large industries around Roanoke, coal industry, one that brought in that is supposed, one that is spot, all of these areas practice discrimination in employment.

Now Ms. Jeffrey received perhaps like I do a number of complaints everyday. We don't have the manpower-because most of our work is volunteer work--to go out and investigate it. When we do, we get no results, but now we're learning how to do it real nice. We using the state organizations to do We're using VEC and we're saying we have closed it. down the employment offices and we don't have applications anymore; Norfolk Southern, you must go to VEC and there VEC will determine whether I are qualified, if you are qualified. You will be sent out on the job and you'll get a job. That doesn't work. It hasn't worked.

If you think I'm exaggerating, you get VEC to show you their records of the people that are given employment even though they are qualified. In one instance I hear—and one of the workers shared this with me because they would fire him, I know—they sent some black down, and this was really a lady, to a place and the man in every case when the young people got there said "we don't need you" and then they'd call us and say "send us some whites."

They sent blacks three times and three times they were turned back, so they had to send someone white and they were hired. I'm saying, well,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

why would you support a system like this" As long as they have that kind of support, as long as it is done by state monies, as long as Norfolk Southern can do it, C&P can do it, all of the large industries then you are going to be out, too. You're going to take discrimination. We're not doing it. You're doing it, but you and I know that's not so.

I have seen employment figures rise here momentarily. I've seen and I've witnessed today the number of black men and women who are walking the street and, gents, I'd like to take you through the

neighborhood if you would care just to show you.

Now, the records that VEC have and the records that they use for the national statistics never have reflected the true unemployment number, and that's the truth. I know it and you know it. Because the only numbers they keep in records are the ones that are laid off, drawing unemployment where they get another job.

What about the hundreds of millions who never had employment, who never been given a chance and then this statement is still true. In

fact, it is more true now than it was 20 years ago, last hired, first fired. We have been investigating discrimination in employment for the past seven years with all of the time that we have, yet we can't get any help or support because even, if you can prove it, you got to go into court and you must have money to go into court and, of course, the present administration has tried its best to eliminate the Legal Aid Service and they help the domestic areas.

They can't deal with unemployment or they don't deal with it, so people have no way to turn, have become disgusted. They know they have nowhere to turn because no one is going to help.

If we don't have some kind of laws that have teeth that are real, there is going to deal with mentalities and attitudes of our employers, then we're going to always have this situation. I wish to God and I pray that men would want to do right but people are not going to do right simply because in most instances where we have our investigation those who are in supervisory positions are unqualified and

these are the people who have the mentalities of segregation, and they are going to maintain it.

So we are at a disadvantage. We need your help. We need some type of recommendation. We need some type of legislation that's going to help eliminate discrimination in employment. I came to work for this railroad 1956. In my position where I been promoted. Everybody who was hired in front of me I've been promoted.

I'm not going to mention statistics what it is doing and even though I'm able to do the work and I know what I face and I know whom I face, and I know that you can't change attitudes. You can't make somebody love you, but I think through a better education system we can overcome some of these things.

It is going to have to start at home, in churches, and we are trying to work together in order to make this a great American city. As a matter of fact, we've got a couple of awards of modern cities but it is tainted because there is discrimination. In every segment of our community there is discrimination. Now, I wouldn't want you to think that, in fact, there is not because there is, from the hospitals to the restaurants, from the large

industry to the very small retail stores. Roanoke

Valley, Roanoke Memorial Hospital is one of the

largest hospitals of Southwest Virginia. I dare you

to go over there and ask because blacks are working

since I can remember to see how many blacks

supervisors you have in positions.

I dare you to go to Lewis-Gale, any large hospitals simply because one of the large companies in our society today they make a lot and take a lot of government and federal money, but you will not find equal opportunity there. There are some on the lower level but nobody is going that way, believe me.

This railroad where I work has never had a black vice-president of anything, probably won't be in my lifetime, but these are the things that are important. These are the things we must address and, if you're talking about it and you're telling people to come out and you are advising them them you must tell the truth, and you must tell it in such a way that it is going to cause something to be done because, if you don't, the NAACP and other organizations like ours, are going to be out there still fighting.

Someone has said "we don't need the

NAACP". We need it more today than we needed it in the history of our life. I am a witness to that My business not only as a worker, but as a minister, to preach the gospel, the gospel of love. I also do preach truth because "...to know the truth sets you free." I hope you help me. Because if the state legislators don't understand--and I know the national don't because of the things that have happened in the past year or so, then we are in trouble. Thank you for allowing me to say that. CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much. I will turn to members of the committee, Mr.

MR. KRUMBEIN: Reverend Tinsley,

I don't know, but I would like to

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Krumbein?

MR. KRUMBEIN: Reverend Tinsley,
pretty soon Elizabeth Hapford Dole—a person from
what I read in the newspaper—I admire here—she's
done a lot in her life—is going to make a decision
about who buys Conrail. Might not it propitious for
you or some organization that you might find that
raise the issue that you've suggested as part of the
decision process about who gets to buy Conrail
because Conrail now is part of the government?

think that something that's part of the government had less discrimination than something that wasn't parts of the government. If this is the time to raise the conversation about that, there are people here from the NAACP Local Chapter that can take it to the Virginia Chapter; they can take it to the National Chapter. There are other people that are concerned about this issue that aren't NAACP, and it doesn't take much, a press release, a little investigation, a few facts, a few figures, a report, and it makes things very uncomfortable and I've just got to believe because I'm an incurable optimist that Norfolk and Southern would be very upset if all of a sudden a press release came out that they shouldn't be able to buy Conrail because they didn't treat all the citizens of country the same.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Yes, Mrs. Wilson?

MRS. WILSON: Relating it to Norfolk

and Southern Railway, last year Norfolk and Southern

was investigated 12 times by the United States Labor

Department, Frederick Eisler and Jim Thorpe. What I

understand here why should—well, in other words,

people who have filed discrimination charges about

N&S, it appears to me that the courts are afraid to

hand down correct decisions when these cases do come

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 up in court in the Western District Court.

There was a class action suit in October where these men over a seven-year period filed a charge, not only this group, I mean on numerous occasions and once they go into federal court they hand down a \$16,000 verdict for a group of Americans over a seven-year period who had been discriinated against.

Then you look into the paper where

Leavenworth, to see the same discrimination case was,

\$40 million decision, so what I am stating now, why

even bother about filing a discrimination charge if

you've been discriminated and take it into federal

court here, because the decision is always

practically favored Norfolk and Southern Railroad.

Okay, and it is not because people have not filed

discrimination charges about the N&S; it has been

continuously for years, if the men cross the picket

line, just like this American did, refuse to pay

union dues, he was fired, still out of work. Once

his case goes to court, Western District Court here,

if he gets ten cents, I'll be surprised.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: As Mr. Krumbein suggested, by taking it to the state and then to the national, it's possible that out of the national it

1 might not end up in the Western District. I think
2 that might be a possibility. Mrs. Helsley?

MRS. HELSLEY: I'm going to have to put on another hat on for Southern. My husband is General Manager Freight Claim Service for Norfolk and Southern Corporation. You know when I said came up about three years ago, we had about ten black people in our department that we love and they do a wonderful job.

It isn't in the hands of Elizabeth

Dole. It is in the hands of Congress right now. One
of our black women we couldn't bring up to Roanoke;
we sent her out to a real good job in Texas. Dick
has just sent one of his favorite people who is a
woman, black woman, first one in the history of the
railroad, to Atlanta, Georgia to be a supervisor over
automobiles in that area of our railroad.

MRS. HELSTY: I will say this, I know that at least 10 or 12 years ago when we were with Southern, the word came down from high up that Dick had to hire a ratio of blacks with whites. For three or four years my husband hired black competent good people and we have them with us today. We have moved 27 families up here from Chattanooga, Tennessee, at

- least 8 or 10 of them are blacks and we love everyone
 of them and they do a wonderful job and Dick wouldn't
 have them there if they couldn't do the work that
 could be done in the Southern.
 - CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much. I would ask is any Advisory Committee member would like to make a comment of any sort, any advisor, any staff person?

MR. BINKLEY: Our audience and participants have dwindled considerably but we would invite you again that, if you have anything that occurs to you after this meeting, based on the subjects that we discussed, to submit to us, Reverend Tinsley especially has a already given information that perhaps he could supplement.

We also are interested in the stories, of success states. We can learn from that and we can refer that to our organization Business and Industry. Unfortunately, in the field of Civil Rights that is not always possible, but when there are success stories, we like to hear them, the point being, if you have information or acquaintances here earlier today that has information to submit, if you got a copy of the panelists or something, to write to Wanda Hoffman or me or the U S Commission on Civil Rights

Washington, D.C. and we will get it. Thank you all for coming. Mr. Chairman may want to say a few words.

20.

Just to echo Mr. Binkley's last comments here, information that you have given us has just been more to add to what we've been gathering around the state to assist us in our job because we are the eyes and ears of the U S Civil Rights Commission for the state of Virginia. And we're going do those things that we are supposed to do so that you can realize the kinds of things that you've addressed here today.

MRS. WILSON: I would like to state here in the Roanoke area if you ever have time or any of you all around, there are three other places that I feel is very discriminatory and needs to be investigated and it is a shame to say our Veterans Administration in Salem, for hiring and promotion of blacks, minorities. ITT who also receives federal contracts, and Roanoke Memorial Hospital.

I feel they do receive government funds and that place needs to be investigated. They do not have any blacks in personnel, Roanoke Memorial Hospital. They also do not have any black head nurses, and it is very hard to get in their pool of

professional nurses. They always state the fact that they do not pass the test. So strange they go to U.Va. and pass, they can't pass in Roanoke.

I do feel that one hospital that receives so much government attention they definitely need to be investigated because they are using our tax dollars as well as Veterans Administration and ITT.

CHAIRMAN BOSTIC: Thank you very much and we have that and, as Mr. Binkley has said, if you have additional information that you would like this Committee to have, address it to our Committee in Washington, D.C. and it will get to us. Again thank each of you for coming. Thank you for participating. Especially thanks to the Advisory Committee. Thank you. The meeting is adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned at 4:56 p.m.)

1	CERTEICATE
2	$\underline{C} \underline{E} \underline{R} \underline{T} \underline{I} \underline{F} \underline{I} \underline{C} \underline{A} \underline{T} \underline{E}$
	State of Virginia,)) SS:
3	County of Henrico,)
4	
5	
6	I, Carl W. Girard, C.M., do hereby certify
7	that the foregoing pages numbered 4-2//
8	constitute a full and accurate transcript into English
9	text of the stenotype notes transcribed under my
10	supervision.
11	Witness my hand this 1000 day of Oxobec
12	1985.
13	
14	6 H Sauce
15	Carl W. Girard, C.M.
16	
17	Signed before me this 10 day of
18	October, 1985.
19	\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim
20	Notary Public within and for the
21	State of Virginia
22	