PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN HOUSING
Chaired by: Ms. Jessie M. Rattley, Member, VAC
Newport News Office of Human Affairs
Mr. B. T. Cherry, NAACP Board Member
Ms. Susan Burks; president, Social
Concerns Covenant, Newport News
Ms. Mary Lou Bingham, Principal Planner
Community Development Fund Projects Norflk18
Ms. Claudia Benhamin; Tidewater Community
Housing Resource Board, Norfolk
Mr. Forest White, Director of Housing
Services, Department of Community Improvement
Norfolk
Ms. Foorence R. Brassier, Fair Housing
Administrator, Virginia Real Estate
Commission, Richmond
PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN VOTING
REGISTRATION IN THE TIDEWATER AREA:
Chaired by: Dr. Timothy G. O'Rourke, Member, VAC70
Panelists: Ms. Patricia Wright, Legislative Director
Young Democrats of Virginia, Richmond
Mr. Richard Taylor, Director, Voting
Rights Project, American Civil Liberties
Union, Richmond

Ms. Mary Doxey, Newport News Registrar95
Ms. Lillian Mercer, Chesapeake Registrar100
Ms. Ann Washington, Norfolk Registrar103
PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN SPECIAL
EDUCATION IN THE TIDEWATER AREA:
Chaired by: Mr. Carlyle (Connie) C. Rigg, Jr.
Member, VAC
Panelists: Ms. Irma Delauri, Special Education
Services, Principal, Portsmouth Public Schools113
Dr. Shirley Underwood, Director, Special
Education, Norfolk Public Schools
PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN EMPLOY-
MENT IN THE TIDEWATER AREA:
Chaired by: Mr. Benjamin R. Bostic, Chairman, VAC134
Paselists: Ms. Marilyn S. Booker, Supervisor, Rapid
Charge Unit US-EEOC, Norfolk
Mr. Jack Yeager, EEO Specialist, VEC

. 5

PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IN THE TIDEWATER AREA:

Chaired by:	Ms.	Nammi	D. Zea	vin, M	ember,	VAC167	
P e nelists:	Ms.	Miriam	Seehe	rman,	Vice P	resident,	
Ani	:i-De:	famatio	n Boar	d, Vig	ģinia !	Beach	
	The	Honora	ble A.	Joe C	anada,	Jr.,	
Sei	ator	, Virgi	nia Ge	neral	Aseemb:	ly	
	Mr.	Paul L	ipkin,	Attor	ney and	d Vice	
Pre	side	at. Ant	i-Defa	mation	Leagu	e. Norfolk176	

127

* * * * * *

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 3 PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN 1 2 HOUSING: 3 (2:45 p.m.) MS. RATTLEY: My name is Jessie Rattley, 4 a member of the Advisory Committee for the Civil Rights 5 6 Commission for the State of Virginia. I have been asked to chair this particular panel. You have, I 7 8 believe, in front of you a list of those persons who 9 are supposed to appear. I'm not certain that they are 10 all here, so I am going to ask each member to introduce 11 himself or herself to you. We will have, I believe, an 12 hour for this panel but we do want to save time for your questions and you may direct those questions at 13 14 the end of the presentation to any member of the panel. We have not rehearsed this presentation. 15 In fact, I didn't know that I was supposed to chair it 16 17 until just a few days ago and I don't think the 18 panelists knew they were supposed to be here, maybe 19 some of you, until a few hours ago if that long.

in dealing with this whole subject of housing.

So you'er going to hear some original comments, sincere

comments and comments I'm sure made out of experience

20

21

subject for many years because I feel that every person should have the right to decent, safe and sanitary housing, and I know from working in my community that this is not so. Although things are supposed to be better now, we still find discrimination in housing. I feel very proud that I was able to introduce and finally got my city council to approve an ordinance setting up a Fair Housing Committee for the City of Newport News and I'm very pleased to have as one panelist, Mr. Bigelow, who is the Executive Director of Office of Human Affairs for my city who is in charge of this Fair Housing Commission for that city.

able to tell you something about what we're trying to do in the City of Newport News. I think you will be interested in noting some of the ways we have discovered that there are some who are still discriminating in housing, even through the way they advertise, by the names given to projects, for example—and the one thing that I would like to learn some more about this afternoon is they have what they call Adult Housing in the state of Virginia now. I'm not certain as to what that means—where they can

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 5

discriminate against families, mothers with children—

all adult homes, and I hope they will tell me something

about that.

So I will ask the young man to my right

to begin with the introduction and we'll go right down

the line.

MR. CHERRY: I'm B.T. Cherry from

Newport News. I'm on the Board of the NAACP in Newport

News. I also serve as a volunteer on the Housing

Committee that is managed by Mr. Bigelow, and my

concerns are also around housing discrimination in the

Newport News area and how to address those issues about

discrimination. Of course, I have had an opportunity

to work with, to carry on Ms. Rattley's Fair Housing

Ordinance for Newport News and we're working on that

now. That is my involvement. Having said that I'll

pass the mike down to Mr. Bigelow.

MR. BIGELOW: My role with the Committee is technical assistance, so to speak. Mr. Turner, who is Chairman, was not be able to be with us but we do have several members with us: Ms. Beveridge, in the audience, is Vice Chairman.

I'm not quite as unprepared as Ms.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 6 I wrote some speech words yesterday so I Rattley. would like to use this just a few minutes to say something about housing in Newport News. I didn't know we were going to be pretty heavy on Newport News participation today. But I became involved and concerned with housing in Newport News about 15 years ago. At that time it was a ghetto situation that had been compounded by the deletion of 5,000 units of low cost housing in the late *60s. People had been driven into an area already with high density. There was unsafe and unsanitary housing and some blocks could well qualify as slums. Little was being done and there was a Housing Authority which neither cared nor was capable of doing anything.

Fortunately, due to vigorous and forceful action by Ms. Rattley and others, the Housing Authority was changed and programs pursued, leading to the production of low cost housing. Dispersal of this housing to other parts of the city then followed, and there began to be equal housing opportunities in other parts of the city.

There was resistance by some of the real estate industry but Title VIII was on the books and was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 7
being enforced and Virginia passed its Fair Housing Act
in '74, I believe, and set enforcement procedures in
motion.

.3

The Office of Human Affairs with its housing counseling service received discrimination complaints. We investigated; we helped complainants to file with HUD or the state, and in quite a few cases we referred them to one of the best voluntary citizen groups that I've known, the Social Concerns Covenants. Over the years that group has tested, conciliated, helped clients to file and gone to the Justice Department when there was a pattern or practice of discrimination.

In the mid- and late '70s until now there has been steady progress in fair housing and fairly wide acceptance in the real estate industry.

Over 80 of the 140 member firms of the Board of Realtors have signed the affirmative marketing agreement. There has been a determined effort to inform the public of the right and remedies available when encountering discrimination.

As we heard earlier, Ms. Rattley
sponsored and council approved a Newport News ordinance

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 8 last year. Under that ordinance the committee was 1 2 formed and the seven members are knowledgeable, 3 concerned, capable and ready to assure fair housing. 4 Much progress has been made. Newport News is certainly a changed place but there's no room for complacency. 5 Population increase and inflation have 6 7 again created a shortage of affordable housing. 8 Steering and other tricks of discrimination are still 9 occurring. Unfortunately, most victims just need a

place to live and don't or can't take time to stand and fight.

I think our committee agrees one of our biggest problems is that a lot of people will not come to us when they have need of help, so our job is to reach those citizens who need help and provide them with speedy and effective action with our local ordinance and the committee and a state law that is effectively in force, and the federal law, we must convince aggrieved citizens that help is available now, that it is not some expensive time consuming pie in the sky.

In summary, I'm confident that we have the tools to assure housing rights.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 9
1	comfortable that all those needing our help know that
2	it's available, and I will submit to to submit Ms.
3	Rattley some of the exhibits of our outreach efforts i
4	trying to get people to come forth.
5	I will submit them to the Committee.
6	MRS. RATTLEY: Could you just comment
7	briefly on a few of them.
8	MR. CHERRY: Everyday in the daily
9	paper, of course, there is an ad at the beginning of
10	the real estate section which assures people of their
11	rights, and tells them what to do and who to contact t
12	obtain help. That's the first article and has the
13	local phone number as well as the state and others.
14	We put 40,000 of these fliers in the
15	water bills that were sent out throughout the city,
16	assuring, telling citizens about the new Fair Housing
17	Ordinance and what to do to get help.
18	We have a folder, a flier here that's
19	been used many places, including every one of the
20	community development meetings.
21	We've put on a Fair Housing theme song
22	and skit type program at one of the high schools a

month or so ago.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 11
I also have been working since the early '70s with a private nonprofit Fair Housing Group called Social Concerns Covenants.

Thank you, Mr. Bigelow, for your kind remarks about our organization. I was told when I was asked to come and appear on the panel today that perhaps people would be interested a little bit in the crigins and history of this private group that I work with. We have a rather unique origin. I think.

We grew out of an ecumenical discussion group which met in the early '70s in churches in the northern part of Newport News, and because people who were active in that ecumenical discussion group decided they would like to put their faith into action and saw a need for some agency to address fair housing needs or housing discrimination needs. We begin to work with that issue.

In 1973 we incorporated to serve the Virginia Peninsula, including the cities of Newport News, Hampton, Williamsburg, and the counties of York and James City.

We have a very low profile because for one thing we operate with volunteers and with voluntary

We have advised approximately 65 persons who felt that they had possibly experienced housing discrimination problems over the years, and of that group of 65 we consider that about almost two—thirds of that number have really involved landlord tenant disputes or areas of possible discrimination in which we could find no real evidence or probable cause that discrimination had occurred, but about 25 of our cases we feel have been valid fair housing cases involving civil rights issues and the vast majority of that 25 has involved black complainants and white respondents.

We've had very few cases involving sex, religion, or handicap. Most of our cases have involved rental housing or rental units, most of them have been apartments, a few houses. We've had very few cases involving private single family housing.

Overall, in the approximate 12 years that we've working with this issue, we've seen quite a change in the overall pattern of housing discrimination. It is much more subtle now; much less overt and therefore it is much harder to recognize for the applicants going in to rent or buy housing. It is also much harder for us and for the administrative and judicial agencies to prove when housing discrimination has indeed occurred.

In the past, it was not unusual for our testers or our white testers to be given either overt or very thinly veiled racial comments when they went in completely unprompted by them. It was also not unusual for our black testers to receive rather shoddy treatment when they went in to inquire about similar type opportunities.

We find this is no longer the case; very rarely has this happened. In the past, most of our cases involved false availability information. Just to explain that phrase briefly I think it is fairly obvious, but a black would be told that no housing was available when in fact would be available; a white would inquire about the same type of housing and would

I'd like to say just a little bit about our general procedures. Usually when someone contacts us, feeling that he or she has been discriminated against in a housing transaction, we interview that client very carefully and determine what the specific circumstances of their encounter were and also determine what their qualifications to rent or buy the type of housing that they are interested in.

Then we explain their options under the law as we understand them. We offer testing when it is appropriate and testing is simply sending out volunteers of different races or sexes or whatever the circumstances may require to inquire about a similar, type of housing that the original applicant has inquired about.

We help the applicant file an administrative complaint if we feel there is evidence to support discrimination, and we also help them follow up with that complaint, and we help the clients find a

Generally, we do advise that our clients follow administrative channels in pursuing their housing discrimination complaints rather than going to court at least initially, because usually we find that they need the evidence that can be turned up as a result of the administrative investigation which, as you probably know, the state of Virginia does now or the locality, depending on whether the person is fortunate enough to live in a county or a city which has a Fair Housing Ordinance.

I'd like to go back a minute. I was talking a while ago about in the past most of our complaints involved false availability information and more currently they usually tend to involve credit or eligibility or qualifications.

We find that many times landlords and agents have very imprecise requirements in the line of credit and income qualifications. That creates a lot of confusion. Whether it is deliberate discrimination on their part or not, we're not able to judge their

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 16
intent, but it certainly creates a lot of confusion in
the mind of the public, in the mind of someone who is
going to apply for housing.

We also find that exceptions are common. The rental application may say that four credit references are required, but sometimes, if our testers or an applicant will say, "Well, do you really require four credit references?" the agents or owner may say "Well, that depends on the circumstances. We can talk about it. We can negotiate" and this is where sometimes discrimination does creep in, whether it is intentional or not, when people start making exceptions to what they claim to be their written requirements.

I'd like to touch on a couple of the major problems that we have encountered in our work with the fair housing issue. The main problem that we see is public ignorance of what the Fair Housing Laws are. This means that applicants often are not alert to their rights and are not alert to circumstances which might possibly be discriminatory. You really almost have to have a sixth sense to know sometimes when your being discriminated against.

Sometimes I wonder what makes people

The second problem that we're aware of is a lack of assertive enforcement on the part of the Virginia Real Estate Commission. We feel there is often an unacceptable time lag by the Commission in investigating complaints that are filed with them. As you may know, there is a 180-day or approximately 6-month timeframe within which a person must file a complaint after he or she has encountered an alleged incident of discrimination in housing, and also in order to file under Title VIII in court, one must do that within 180 days of the alleged incident, also, and frequently it has taken the Commission six months to conduct their investigation and send a report back to the complainant, and that leaves very little time for administrative actions such as the conciliation hearing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 18

and leaves very little time for the complainants to

make a decision as to whether he or she wants to file

in court under Title VIII, so this we see as a problem.

Another thing in regard to the Real Estate Commission is sometimes we felt that they have failed to give sufficient weight or consideration to testing evidence which we may, as an organization, have developed and have submitted to them in written form and which has been included in the report but has been given, we feel, insufficient consideration when they are conducting their investigation and making their decision about the specific case.

I think that's all I have in the way of prepared remarks, but I'll be glad to entertain any questions from you when that is appropriate.

MS. BINGHAM: I'm Principal City Planner with Norfolk Department of City Planning. I've worked for the city for 16 years and I'm the city's designated Housing Coordinator for the Regional Planning Agency.

I'm also a member of the city's Fair Housing

Coordinating Committee which is an in-house coordinating committee dealing with fair housing within the city, and I'm Secretary of the Tidewater Community

- If I have any remarks, they are very 2
- extemporaneous. I didn't realize I would be asked to 3
- 4 speak today and, if I kind of jump around a little bit,
- please forgive me. 5
- The city of Norfolk is organized to take 6
- 7 complaints under the Department of Community
- Improvement and Division of Consumer Protection. 8
- 9 think Hap is going to talk about that a little bit, so
- 10 I'll just say that's where that function lies at this
- point in time. 11
- 12 We have a Fair Housing Coordinating
- 13 Committee which has representatives from the Department
- 14 of Community Improvement. Department of City Planning.
- 15 the City Manager's Office, the City Attorney's Office,
- 15 the Housing Authority, the finance people. It's meant
- 17 to be an in-house coordination device by which we can
- 18 look at the data take that we collect and decide where
- 19 we need to spend energy.
- 20 We also report on an annual basis to
- 21 City Council on complaints that are received. We also
- 22 work closely with the City Council's Housing
- 23 Subcommittee which is the mayor and vice mayor.

Two years ago the city proposed a Fair Housing Ordinance, which, at the public hearing, was opposed by some of the residents in Norfolk as not being broad enough and it was our delegation that went to the state and proposed a broader coverage of the Fair Housing Law at the state level. That was passed last September which parallels that new expanded state law. That, as I said, is in force with the Department of Community Improvement.

We also primarily try to work in Norfolk in changing attitudes and showing the positive effect of housing, although we also deal with knowledge of what the Fair Housing Law is and what the rights are. We also like to do this in a regional context and are very supportive of regional efforts for fair housing.

The two most visible types of activities that have occurred are two Hair housing Workshops that we have held: one was in 1983 in which Jim and Patti Rouse came and spoke at a luncheon entitled "Fair Housing is Good Business" in which Jim Rouse talked about his experiences at Columbia, Maryland, in maintaining an open community and how that was good for business. Patti talked about her experiences as well.

This summer we did another one called

"Invest in Fair Housing" which was aimed at the

financial community and the speaker was Ronald

Ryczwynski, who is the Chairman of the South Shore Bank

in Chicago, and he was talking about investing in

areas, more or less an anti-redlining type of talk.

We have also developed a brochure called "Welcome to Norfolk" which is an effort to show the positive aspects of all of Norfolk's neighborhoods and the experience of living in Norfolk and to show that Norfolk is open to everyone. We have a brochure on Fair Housing Rights which is currently being updated to reflect the broader nature of the new law.

We have endorsed fair housing and integrated neighborhoods in various plans, the first of which was neighborhood plan for Colonial Place Riverview in which it was a specified goal to maintain an integrated neighborhood.

We also have goals and objectives for housing that specifically state that fair housing is a goal of the City of Norfolk.

We have a Speakers Bureau that can speak
on fair housing and I'm sure—maybe I'm not sure—it

The other thing we have done is we have looked at census data for the City of Norfolk between 1950, '60, '70 and '80, and it is apparent that all portions of the area are open to everyone, although the main discrimination, if there is any, are related to economics. Offhand, that's about all I can remember right now. I'll be glad to answer any questions you might have.

MS. BENJAMIN: My name is Claudia
Benjamin Gooche. I'm the Chief Resources Planner for
the Southeastern Planning District Commission and also
Chair the Tidewater Community Housing Resource Board.
That Board was appointed by HUD several years ago to
work with the Tidewater Board of Realtors in
implementing their voluntary affirmative marketing
agreement and recently the Portsmouth Chesapeake Board
has also signed that agreement and they have joined in
the representation on the Community Housing Resource
Board.

The Board has 11 members and they are

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

representatives from local governments, housing authorities, a bank, a local university, and some civic groups. Our main function is in working with the Board of Realtors is to educate people and about fair housing, what it is, and to promote housing opportunities. We have received two grants from HUD; the first one we use to run a major publicity campaign about fair housing and we developed a poster which we had placed in walls of various places, billboards; it was on busses and in newspapers.

We have, over the past years, sponsored several workshops. We have co-sponsored the workshops that Mary Lou talked about in Norfolk. We had workshops also in Virginia Beach and Chesapeake and we have one coming up in Chesapeake in September. workshops have each had a different orientation and have included citizens, businesses, local elected officials and realtors.

In response to a couple of the points that we were asked to address, number four was "other groups that are involved in collecting data." The Planning District and the Housing Resource Board together operate a housing information lab which we

There is also a local advocacy group known as Tidewater Fair Housing Inc. and also the cities, through their block grant programs, usually have some kind of mechanism for receiving complaints. The two problems that we encounter are, first of all, lack of knowledge about what fair housing is, what the protected classes are and a lot of misinformation about what people are protected classes and are not, really.

The second thing is that the funding for the administration of Community Housing Resource Boards at the federal level has only allowed for one or two people, and there are several hundred of these boards across the country, and it is not always the response time that we need but that I feel it shows a certain lack of commitment to the program. Those would really be the only two places that I would have comments on.

I'll be happy to answer questions afterwards.

MR. WHITE: I'm Forest "Hap" White,

Department of Community Improvement in Norfolk. I am

We get about 25 complaints a year and most are because fair housing is a misnomer. The statute is an antidiscrimination law and really has very little to do with landlord/tenant practices that may or may not be unfair. So a large percentage of our complaints—only about a third really even allege racial or illegal discrimination. Most have to do with pets or with deposit fees or some other type of problem of this nature; landlord/tenant problems which we can handle through the Consumer Protection Division, the landlord/tenant rights section.

Two-thirds of our complaints are filed by whites—actually it is 71 percent of our complaints are filed by whites. I don't know why that is but I think that's part of why fair housing is a misnomer

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 26
because this is an antidiscrimination statute that we
enforce in Virginia.

Most of those that they are filing complaints are not really aggrieved parties. In fact, even more than a third of complaints of racial discrimination are filed by whites who are not the aggrieved party themselves but wish to report an incident or their feeling that they had a friend or roommate or someone else that was experiencing a discriminating incident.

So we've had a tough time in getting certifiable enforcement cases; a third of the racial complaints consequently from whites who are not the aggrieved party and we can't get the aggrieved party to file the complaint. Another half to two-thirds of the complaints that come to our office do not allege discrimination in anyway.

A Handful of other complaints, the complaints we have had, unlawful discrimination are by and large in housing that's exempted for a few units where it is owner-occupied housing that is exempted on both laws.

An owner-occupied duplex or triplex.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

1 we've had a number of instances where people have

2 reported discrimination to us in these type of housing

3 but they are exempted from enforcement under the law.

4 I guess the last group that falls out here is we've had

5 very few complaints against licensed realtors, real

6 estate brokers or real estate companies in fair housing

abuses, and I think it's what Mrs. Burks says, it is a

lot more subtle than it used to be.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I heard a speech in Richmond in a fair housing conference which they said "we are not dealing with Jim Crow anymore; we are dealing with James Crow, Esq. who is a lot more subtle in his dealings. We haven't had a complaint of racial steering. I'm sure a certain amount of it goes on.

The few cases we have had of an allegation of unlawful discrimination in real estate communities were outside the city limits, and we had to refer those to the Virginia Fair Housing Real Estate Commission, and to my knowledge there has been no enforcement of those cases.

MS. RATTLEY: We now have the representative from Virginia Real Estate Commission from Richmond who is here with us.

mks. KATTLEY: Could we take maybe five minutes so they will have time for questions?

MS. BRASSIER: Let me just say one thing

22

been encountered with the state process.

Basically, the first message I want to leave with you is I hope you will encourage anyone who complains to one of your organizations or agencies of housing discrimination to file that complaint with the state, and it is very, very simple to do that. All they have to do is call the 800 number on this brochure within the state of Virginia. It is a free phone call. For those of you who are taking notes, what you need to tell your complainant is not to ask for me but to ask for the Enforcement Office?

The function of fair housing at the state level in Virginia comes under the Virginia

Department of Commerce. You know what the Virginia

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

administrative way of doing things.

Department of Commerce does? It's a regulatory agency. It has over 20 boards and commissions and engaged in regulatory activities, and I think it is important that those of you who are local government particularly and in local groups understand that that's what you're dealing with, because I've learned just in the time that I've been there that's a special kind of organization with a special kind of function and

It is by and large an agency then that deals with all kinds of complaints as well as licensing procedures for boards and commissions ranging from accountants to contractors to athletic commissions, librarians, cosmetologists, barbers and so on.

What that means is that the procedure for handling the fair housing complaints is like other complaints; it goes to the Enforcement Division initially, and that's the best way to get it efficiently treated. It used to be that a fair housing complaints came to my office. There is one fair housing administrator for the whole state, that's me. That meant that the administrator would get the complaint, review it, look at the law, decide whether

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 31
it was a violation of the law and then send it to
Enforcement.

Guess what that does? makes a delay.

We have six months, 180 days from the time of the alleged incident. So time is of the essence, Ms. Burks is absolutely right about that. So, complainants should call in and ask for Enforcement. As soon as that telephone call is received, what happens is that the intake person will actually fill out a complaint form while the person is on the telephone, and the complaint gets docketed in the computer and assigned to an investigator.

The investigators for the Department of Commerce, there are 16 of them throughout the state of Virginia; they are divided into regional offices. Your regional office is in Virginia Beach. There are six investigators, I believe, in this region, and the supervisor of the Regional Office is a man named Bob Harp. So if you want to know who to talk to is another person in charge in this part of the state of the four regions the others are Roanoke, Richmond and Northern Virginia.

When the complaint has been assigned to

So I want you to know that that's the approach of the agency, and I'm not just saying this because I'm there, because I used to be on the outside looking in with some of these same concerns and I know that is the case. In a little bit I'll talk about where the delay is. Encourage your complainants to call. Even if you have doubts, if you think it is a complaint, I know,, you believe it is landlord/tenant complaint or it is marital status complaint and you know that's not a protected class but if you have doubts, go ahead and encourage the person. It's a free telephone call and let us look.

Now once the investigator gets the complaint, first step is interview the complainant, get any documentation that person may have, get the names of witnesses, get information about testing. Since I

Second step is to interview the respondent, and to get any appropriate documentation or written evidence, policies and procedures and so on and so forth; interview witnesses from both sides. When the investigation is all over, it comes to my desk, and I am the person who reviews the evidence to determine whether or not there is reasonable cause to believe that the law has been violated.

Now, I can also send the case back to Enforcement if I think there is not enough evidence. can send it back for particular things that I see are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

investigators.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 34 missing or I can send it back with general questions. I tried very hard since I've been there and I think the investigators and I are doing pretty well, on the channels of communication between the investigators and me. I've encouraged them to call me during investigations and say, "Do you think you will need this?" or "What do you think you will need? This is the nature of the case."

Understand, our investigators are exactly that. They are majority of the people who have background in police work and I—there is no delicate way to put it, when I started with the state I had a lot of doubts about the investigative process at the state level. I would say 99 percent of them have been erased.

I think we have very professional investigators and they have a great deal of interest in fair housing. In fact, we are about to do a four-day session in fair housing training for our investigators in conjunction with the Fair Housing Consortium which is an organization that received HUD funding that involves the Maryland Commission on Human Rights, the D.C. Commission on Human Rights, the Virginia Real

Ξ

Once the determination is made, just so you know the whole story, if it is reasonable cause for termination, then I'm going to try to get the parties to conciliate. Would I be correct in assuming everyone is familiar with that process of conciliation?

The advantages for the complainant in conciliation are that it is an opportunity for injunctive relief, that is, a signed agreement which requires the respondent to do certain things in order to educate himself and his staff about fair housing practices in order to engage in affirmative action, such as the use of the logo in advertising, and placement of fair housing literature in the real estate company's office and this sort of thing, and also in order to see to it that damages are paid to the complainant.

The state does not sign conciliation agreements which do not include damages to the complainant. So you should know that. The state does not tell the respondent how much to pay, either. There is a negotiating process, but the position of the state its very firm that a conciliation agreement not only includes relief for the consumer in general, which means we are going to teach the respondent not to do this again in the future but also relief for the complainant.

I spend a lot of time at that point telling the complainant about how to figure out what your damages should be, which is a very difficult process. But from our point of view, the damages should include two things: actual costs that the complainant has suffered, days off from work, higher rent being paid, that sort of thing, and compensation for pain and humiliation because that's what discrimination is. So we don't sit down at that table with the state running a conciliation without expecting that to be part of the deal.

What if we have a failure to conciliate?

The respondent won't sign the agreement, won't come to

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

the table, won't talk to me, hangs up on the phone on

2 me, tells his attorney to hang up on me, whatever.

3 That's called a failure to conciliate.

4 When that happens there are in fact two options

5 remaining to the state. For HUD, with whom we are

6 skipping hand and hand down this path most of the time,

7 unless it a parenthood, handicap or elderness

8 complaints, with HUD, failure to conciliate, even down

9 the road, unless it is a pattern of practice case, that

10 is a big case that affects a lot of people and is

11 referred to the Justice Department.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

You and I both know the Justice

Department, when it takes people to court, doesn't get

damages for the victim; the money goes to the

government. To HUD failure to conciliate, that's

pretty much it. For us, the case will then go to the

Virginia Real Estate Board. Two things can happen: if

the respondents are licensees of the state, the Board

has the power to act upon their licenses—suspension.

Now, this is new. The Virginia Real

Estate Commission thought that the original fair

housing law gave them the power to do that. They tried

revocation, and fines are all possibilities.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 38 to do it. They were taken to court and they lost. In 1984 they fixed that by amending the law to specifically state that in a failure to conciliate they have the power to do this. So this, by the way, I The 5 happen to think is one of the best things we have. real estate industry does pay attention to the thought 6 7 or the threat of action upon the license, taking 8 somebody's livelihood.

Now I know you're probably all sitting there wondering if the Real Estate Board would ever do such a thing. I invite you to attend a meeting. I've sat here. I've never been to a board meeting until February 1985. Now I've been to a lot of them. meet every month, all day; sometimes they meet the next day, too.

Ladies and gentlemen. I will assure you there is nothing hesitant about the ladies and gentlemen on the Board, and I have every confidence that when I take a case in there where I found reasonable cause and the complainant has refused to conciliate, if it is a licensee, something will happen. There are going to be two of them that are going to be before the Board this month.

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Furthermore, it has been the decision of the Board that such regulatory actions should be made public. So watch your local papers. You will see the names of people who have had action on their licenses.

I've already seen a few of them. This is not fair housing but the ruling is going to be same for our cases.

In addition to that, if we don't have licensees and if we do, the Board can choose to refer the case to the Attorney Seneral's office to ask that they review it for possible prosecution in the courts; that, I would suggest, is rare. When we go to court, I think we have to be dealing with the case that is very air tight legally and that is of some significance, but I don't know because I've never tried it. So we'll see. Maybe I'll take one to the Board and they say let's go. This is only one. There are lots of cases from your area,

MRS. RATTLEY: That was in Newport News.

MS. BRASSIER: That is under appeal.

It is the Virginia Real Estate Board who voted to appeal. They are real mad about that. That "Thank You Lord for Victory over the State of Virginia" didn't go

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 40

over too well in my agency. I think there is a

commitment and that's a message that I want to give

you.

I want you to be know about the procedures. Please take these with you, and if you have any questions, you can reach me at the same 800 number. I have some cards with me that I'll be glad to give to people as well. The most important thing from my point of view is to let as many people know as you can that this procedure is available to them. It is free, and it is produces a detailed investigation which in the hands of a private attorney could cost a forture.

So I agree again with what Ms. Burks has said, it is good to advise a client, and obviously the choice belongs to the complainant. But it is a good thing to advise the complainant to take advantage of the administrative process; that's what it is for.

I'm looking at the clock and I'm going to quit. We have 180 days and that's all we have.

There are significant problems with delays in the system. Let me tell you about a change in HUD procedures which was just announced to me last week.

They have decided that they will not defer a complaint to us until they have a signed HUD Now you understand what that means? I'm here in form. Virginia. I experience discrimination. I call HUD's 800 number. They say, "Good, Ms. Brassier, we'll mail you a form and you sign it and by the way, it has to be notarized and send it back and then we'll send it down to Virginia to be investigated. Just met with the Director and the chief enforcement about this this morning. We are very unhappy about this. It looks like an additional delay in the process. So please encourage your complainant to file directly with the state. They can file with HUD, too, but if they just get it to us on the telephone, then we can go ahead.

The reverse will also be true that when we refer a case up to HUD for a dual filing, they won't accept that referral from us until we have a signed complaint form, which means that we get a telephone complaint we're going to have to go to the complainant,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 42
get our forms signed, and then mail it up to HUD and
then they'll docket the complaint.

It takes a little of the heat off of them in terms of the time but as far as we're concerned, what it does, it puts a delay in the process that we don't need. So we're going to ask HUD to have their intake people who receive complaints from Virginia as part of the intake to tell the complainants to go ahead and file directly with the state and give them our 800 number and then we won't have to wait for the referral because we get a significant number of our complaints through HUD's receiving them and deferring them back to us.

The other suggestion I would make about the delay is that, if you are a private or local agency working with the complainant, please do not fail to do what I used to do when I was one of those people, keep your eye on the calendar, and if you see that your pressing the 180-day limit, call me, complain, ask me what's going on. I have a lot of cases and a lot of other things I'm expected to do and I may not be aware that the delay has occurred, so don't be afraid to call. Thank you

MRS. RATTLEY: I thank you very much.

You referred to the Civil Rights Commission in the

different cities throughout Virginia. Do you think it

would be helpful if we had a State Human Rights Law?

MS. BRASSIER: You're going to put me on the spot here. I guess I could answer the question by expressing a personal opinion or by speaking on behalf of the state. If I speak on behalf of the state, I don't know what the state's answer to that question is.

To tell you what you probably already know is that the Committee which was funded in the last session of the legislature to study that very question had its first meeting last week and that was an organizational meeting, and there are public hearings that are scheduled. If my memory serves me correctly, the public hearing in this area, I believe, will be on September 17th in the evening. I think their intentior is to have one in morning in Richmond and one that evening here.

Now, let me say something about the communication part of that. One of the things I'm happy to say I have is a person, intern who is graduate student in planning from Virginia Commonwealth

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 44 University and the first assignment I have given him is creation of the directory of all local agencies that 2 3 work with fair housing, whether they are private apencies or government agencies. You're all going to 4 5 met questionairs. We sent about 300 of them this The purpose is to create a directory which I 6 morning. 7 can use and you can use to know who's out there at the local level, and this is something I've been wanting to 8 9 take, handicap, and Northern Virginia has been talking about this for two years, so I'm very excited about 10 we're going to make that kind of connection. 11 12 I hope that through that connecting 13 process we're also going to do better about educating 14 each other back and forth about what procedures are 15 Now, for the umbrella agency I will tell available.

you that at the meeting last week, it was Friday morning, one of the delegates said what the issues are. For me, personally, I have some questions about that.

If you look at human rights commissions across the country, both local and state level human rights commissions, one of the concerns I have is many times the function of equal employment sort of sexual lose up the function of fair housing because employment

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 45
cases are so numerous and so complicated and staffing
and resources tend to go toward employment, so that is
a concern, and I want it to be understood having said
that; it is a concern.

L

MRS. RATTLEY: The reason I raised the question, it seems to me we are so fragmented and we have a problem with communicating what's out there, and also what procedure do you follow. Because many people give up out of total frustration with the system on which you heard, the bureaucracy.

MS. BRASSIER: Let's say, I don't think there is anyone in this room that does not also recognize there is a concern that must be addressed about the fact that Virginia is one of either four or six, status keep getting changed, states that does not have a umbrella human rights agency. I understand how that sounds.

MRS. RATTLEY: I would like to hear from some of the other panel members on that particular question as to the needs. Who wants to go first?

MR. BIGELOW: We've discussed this.

There is a Fair Housing Liaison Committee that works

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 46
1	with the state and Department of Commerce. We've
2	discussed this issue and expressed the same concern—I
3	don't remember how the vote went. We did vote on it
4	one day.
5	MS. BRASSIER: The committee voted to
6	support, to ask the Board to take a position about it.
7	As I understand it, that was before I was there and I
8	don't believe that the Board agreed to do that.
9	MR. BIGELOW: But there was very
10	considerable concern, the same thing, that the
11	employment problems and whatever would overwhelm the
12	Committee and the relatively fewer cases of equal
13	housing opportunity or fair housing would be put on the
14	back burner.
15	MS. BRASSIER: It's been a problem.
16	MRS. HOFFMAN: Could we also direct that
17	question to the audience? Perhaps there might be
18	someone here who would have some feeling about that.
19	MRS. RATTLEY: If you do have feelings
20	about that, would you please make those feelings known
21	at this time, not all at one time however.
22	MR. MASON: W.T. Mason, Jr. I'm from

Norfolk, Virginia. I'm with the Tidewater Fair Housing

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

1 Inc. I have some concerns with regard to the areas

2 that have been mentioned with regard to a Statewide

3 Human Relations Commission. I'm unaware of any similar

4 organization in the state that is now dealing with

5 employment discrimination that is equivalent to the

6 fair housing.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. BRASSIER: The only fair employment activity at the state level has to do with equal employment grievances of state employees, that is correct.

MR. MASON: That's the only one I've heard about. If they are going to create a state agency that is going to, or commission, or board that will deal with employment discrimination and give it proper funding and staffing and sufficient authority, fine, but if they are not going to do that, then I would think that at this time the creation of a superagency wouldn't aid the cause but would rather defeat it.

A lot of us have deep concerns over the failure—not only failure but of the complete turnaround by the activities of the Department of Justice in this employment area and as well as in fair

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 48 housing. The statistics show that they have filed practically no cases in the last four years, and they changed their positions in the courts, so that we certainly can't look to the federal agencies as now directed. So I would say I am not in favor of it at this time.

MR. BINKLEY: For the record, I'm John Binkley, Regional Director for the Mid-Atlantc Regional Office, staff of the Commission, Washington but on behalf of the Committee members who are here, I want to learn about concerns, issues and problems from the area, and in housing since that's the panel before us, I'm sure they are pleased and I'm pleased as a citizen of Virginia, although from Northern Virginia, to hear there is kind of resource in the Virginia.

Someone on the panel did make reference to statistics. At this point we don't have the problem identified: are there problems? how many complaints get filed? how many reconciled? are minorities being denied housing? Maybe you have reports and data you can submit to us later on for the record. I don't have a sense or feeling of the problem. Maybe there isn't any and, if there isn't any, I'm very pleased to hear

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved that.

Can anybody comment briefly on that or some of the other people from the audience who represent private organizations who represent minority constituencies?

MRS. RATTLEY: Anyone from the audience?

MR. BOSTIC: I would just like to echo

Mr. Binkley. I would like a comment about the panel

redlining. You mentioned anti-redlining. Is a problem

of redlining right here in the Tidewater Area.

MS. BINGHAM: I was the one that mentioned it. We did, in conjunction with SEVPDC back in '78 we looked at the reports that were filed on new mortgages and on the basis of those it looked at first like there might be some discrimination that there was in grouping of data, but I analyzed it further in terms of housing turnovers within those areas and the data were so inconsistent I couldn't draw too much from it.

We're trying right now to do another study to try and see if it exists. I think that there are problems. I know in the Hunters Village Section of town here there is; it's not so much problem of getting the mortgage money but it is the insurance problem, so

- if somebody wants to build a home or do some extensive renovation, the contractor can't really work.
- 3 We have Community Development

redlining.

- Corporation that's having that difficulty right now;
 they have money from the banks but they can't get the
 insurance, and they are having a difficult time
 organizing the project. It has the same effect as
 - MR. WHITE: A lot of the elements that caused redlining 10 years ago, 15, 20 years ago in the financial community really aren't present today.

 Central city housing is increasing in value probably more rapidly than its suburban counterparts, so a lot of the economic impetus for housing that didn't change in value whereas others have changed there. We're seeing a lot more investment in our impacted areas. We see a lot more new housing going up and other types of things like this going on.

However, I will say that the second mortgage industry is not as much the mainline financial institutions. It is, in many cases, offbeat, higher rate financial institutions, not your banks and savings and loans. It's other types of mortgage companies.

called the "Southeast Community" and it's difficult to

get mortgage money to buy houses in that area because

they are considered to be high risk. For a long time

21

22

We used to say redlining; then we went to gentrification and I'm sure there is another term that could be applicable today as to how they get around it, and many times it takes different forms, and I think what we're trying to get the panelists to say what trends are you experiencing now, and we haven't heard an answer yet.

MS. BRASSIER: I would like to address the gentleman in the back there.

MRS. RATTLEY: Does that answer your question?

MS. ANGUS: It answers my question because I still feel a little awkward, you're asking us to feed information to you and we're really not aware of anything to tell you. You get the impression that everything is fine and dandy in Portsmouth, yet we don't know that to be a fact. We are not familiar with your terms, at least I'm not, and whether or not there

MRS. RATTLEY: Maybe you have not had the experience, and maybe you don't see a problem existing in the city of Portsmouth. If so, we think that's good.

MS. ANGUS: I'm not sure that is the case because I don't know everything you're saying. redlining doesn't mean anything.

MRS. RATTLEY: Let me say this to you: some people cannot find adequate housing. They have a job. They make enough money; they have the desire to live in that particular dwelling. They meet all the requirements on the surface, but now that the credit restrictions, they look at the credit. You know something about credit, don't you?

MS. ANGUS: A lot.

MRS. RATTLEY: You know, if you have a MasterCard or a an American Express and if your limit is \$2,000, that's considered as a debt that you have even though you haven't charged it. You know that, don't you? So they say you have overextended yourself

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 54

and maybe you don't think that you have, but you're

denied. Your application is denied based on your

credit.

How many of you know that? I have talked with people who don't even know that the credit is being checked. For years they have inspected the police record of some applicants but now it's mostly credit, and you'll find that a lot of people that we are talking about who have been discriminated against historically have a bad credit record based on what the computer puts out. Credit now is keeping you from getting a house, buying, or renting in some neighborhoods. It's keeping you from getting a job, and a lot of other things, and we don't talk much about credit. I think one panelist mentioned that credit check. Many of us don't know what is being said about us on that computer.

Every time you miss a payment, every time your account is 60 days—30, 60, 90 days past due, it's there, and for some of us it seems to stay there forever. I think at best the credit law says how many years, six to ten years, that it will stay there, and many people have been denied applications to purchase

ŧ

Ž.

That's one reason is the credit check. There are others, and I think that's what we're trying to get from the panel. Not that you can prove in many instances that this is the reason, but we look at certain trends. So that's what we are trying to determine if you have any of those adverse trends in the city of Portsmouth that will cause you to believe that X family has not been able to find suitable housing simply because of credit.

As someone has said (b) economics, if you want to list that.

MS. LUCAS: Louise Lucas. In the absence of that information, how could a complainant go forth to file a complaint with the State Enforcement Office and be able to establish a prima facie case of discrimination when in fact they don't know that they have been discriminated against because unless a person has some reason to persevere they've been discriminated against; they are likely not to go forward and file that.

MRS. RATTLEY: In a few words...very

MR. CHERRY: One of the things extremely difficult unless a person has some knowledge about credit and credit reports go to the credit bureau, gets a copy of the report and evaluates it themselves or keep one on hand, like some of us do and know that it is good and then you can build a case from that.

What's on this that the person turned me down for—turned my application for a loan, but the people that get ripped off are, that are turned down, don't know that, and they don't have the time, the money, or usually or the knowhow to go through that and so they come to an organization or come to the city folks or come to the NAACP and ask for help, and you can talk to them.

I think another area—I use the term being ripped off—is that applications for mortgage loans, or even for rent, the credit report itself and the evaluation of that report is very subjective; that is, that an application for B.T. Cherry might be approved because he don't live in an innercity but another person who probably make at least as much money as I do in the innercity that the evaluation of their

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved credit report could be different.

How do you build a case around that?

You need \$10,000. When a person comes to you with that kind of complaint, what they want to do is find out "how do I get a house today? how do I get this done?" rather than build a case. So it makes it very difficult. And nobody likes to talk about the credit.

You have to come up with a list of how many credit cards and those kinds of things, and they really don't like to talk about those.

MS. BRASSIER: Speaking from my past position, although it affects my current one as well, one of the ways in which you can help your client, if someone calls you and says "I think I've been discriminated against," and I'm sure other people on this panel who play the same role would agree with me is that to go through with the complainant before the complaint is filed with the state or anyone else, a specific discussion about, you know, "What were you told was the reason you were rejected? What other reasons can you think of?" and be sure that you raise the issue, "Was your credit discussed?" and you can be nice about this. As people have already indicated,

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 58

nobody likes to talk about these things but it is

important to say to the complainant up front "these are

relevant concerns for an agency that is going to rent

you housing selling you a house, they are represented

to have an interest in your credit history but you also

have the right to know whether they checked your

credit, what their standard is and if they are smart

and was the business professional."

They'll have a written standard they use for everybody. Lots of places don't, but that's the kind of information you want to get at. Help your complainants by explaining that whatever credit record or history is available to your respondent, that is the party who is renting the property, making the inquiry is also available to the individual for free, and he has a right to say, you know, "If you made a credit check on me, I want the name of the credit bureau you called" one, because of the things we find in investigating these sometimes the call was never made, you see, so being rejected on the basis of your credit, if an agency really has legitimate standards and they apply them to everyone, it is not a violation of the Fair Housing Law.

But if somebody told you you were rejected on the basis of your credit and then an investigation proves that they never called, or wrote, or made any inquiry about your credit, then you know something else is going on. So I think one of the most difficult areas in fair housing is the intake of a complaint, and it takes a lot of skill and a lot of knowledge of the housing market and the generally accepted practices and what kinds of policies and so on a person might expect to encounter and how to check up on whether really what happened. It takes all of things when you're intaking the complaint to know which direction you're going.

MRS. HOFFMAN: Before going on to our next panel—we're a little bit behind here—it would appear then that the subtlety of discrimination in housing may be different from the discrimination that we sometimes surface in employment because employment is something we do everyday; housing is something you do maybe once in a lifetime for a house and less frequently for renting.

MRS. RATTLEY: You see the area is so broad. We didn't get into a lot of it. Just by the

If they are going in live in that

MR. BIGELOW: Over and beyond the credit thing that we're just talking about is the checking with your previous landlord. You know that's a closed fraternity, so to speak, and a lot of people have stubbed their toe once. That haunts them. They keep checking, the prospective landlord checks with the old one and who knows what they are told and sometimes it is accurate and sometimes it is not. I think our housing counsellors run into this many times.

MR. CHERRY: If I can choose to, I can find something in Just about everybody sitting in this group. I can find something in your history around credit if I choose to dig for enough and use that to qualify you or disqualify you for property, for housing, for credit.

MR. O'ROURKE: I'm a little bothered about the way the credit issue has been raised. It

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 62

seems to me three are three issues: one is whether the landlord has checked your credit—may not have.

The second is whether the landlord has a consistent credit policy and, third, is whether the computer firm that stores credit records on all of us has a defensible policy.

Now, if the problem rests primarily with the computer room, it seems a very inefficient way to check on the computer firm to depend upon the complaints brought by individuals who may have been denied the right to rent a particular unit. Is the state doing anything to monitor the policies of the computer firms that prepare the credit records on all of us that banks and other lending institutions will go to?

It seems to me that's a more pervasive problem. That may be one that crosses racial and economic lines, and I think there is fairly good information in many of these computerized firms do is inaccurate.

MS. BRASSIER: I have to tell you that I
do not know of any state regulatory process that deals
with that. I would guess, and I'm guessing, that you

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 63
1	will more likely find a federal procedure or statute
2	that would deal with the regulation of the activities
3	of th es e agencies.
4	MR. WHITE: Standard Credit Reporting
5	Act.
6	MS. BRASSIER: I don't know the answer
7	to the question in terms of what the state does or
8	doesn't do. But I will find out. I think that's a
9	legitimate question but it is important at least in the
10	meantime to let your complainant know the he can go get
11	that information and set those people straight and go
12	back and check and make sure there is.
13	MR. O'ROURKE: What I'm saying, much of
14	the problem rests with the computer firm that has a
15	flat rule of making decisions. We're addressing
16	problems by complaints brought against landlords. Ther
17	you ⁷ re going about it in a very indirect way.
18	MS. FAZEMORE: I'm Louise Fazemore. I'n
19	with the Newport News Community Relations Committee.
20	MS. RATTLEY: You notice how they called
21	it "Community Relations Committee"? My ordinance says
22	"Fair Housing Commission", but that's okay. It does
23	the same thing, but it was more relatable to rell it

MS. BRASSIER: What you do is try to get a credit card at the department store usually and you use it. I'm answering the question as practically as I can. It has nothing to do with discrimination. That is where the focus—try to nail down when somebody is rejecting you; what do they tell you is the reason.

Look behind that and see if there are other reasons operating.

MR. WHITE: One of the things you asked us to do as part of the panel is to try to point directions that you all can take, and there is a tremendous opportunity coming up. The Virginia Fair Housing Statute, in addition to providing penalties for discrimination for race, color, sex, creed, religion, national origin, also provides penalties for handicap,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Establish—the term parenthood is not defined in the law and the law is pretty much unenforceable. Our city has gone a few rounds with the Attorney General to get a definition.

Try to present hypotheticals that have to do with economic discrimination on parenthood but I think there is an opportunity there for this group to try to help the legislature to define that, what do they mean by it.

MRS. RATTLEY: The question of parenthood, I think most of us have heard or read that almost 50 percent of all families now are headed by a single female, and many of these females with children

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

ei

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 67

are finding difficulties renting a suitable property,

housing.

L.

So the reason he is suggesting may not be credit in some instances; it could be parenthood, and that particular word has not been defined.

MS. BRASSIER: Except do understand what you're dealing with here. There are exceptions to that part of the law, and the exceptions say that it is legal to maintain an all adult or all elderly housing community or section of a housing community.

That last part that I just quoted is not in here because it was passed in the most recent session of the legislature, went into effect July 1 of '85 so, if you have a complainant who is applying to a place which is an all adult community or which is a place that has an adults only section over here and families with children section over there and there were no vacancies with the children for family sections, you're going to have to a tough time because that's the way the law was written. I don't say that—I'm being careful not to express my opinion about that but that's what the way it is.

MRS. RATTLEY: Let me express mine.

MS. BRASSIER: Let me explain one other thing for a complainant individually. I just dealt with one of these from your area. It is legal, and I can do nothing about it: if a rental management company says "I don't want to rent to singles, any kind of singles," and if the record shows they don't rent to any kind of singles, whether male, female, with or without children, it's not discrimination under the Virginia Fair Housing Law, and I will tell you that that is a problem. That is a problem.

MR. WHITE: that's exactly the question, parenthood needs to be defined.

MRS. RATTLEY: I have it addressed it many times in my introduction. I stated I would like for somebody to touch on the issue of adult homes.

When this law was passed, it was really shocking to me personally, because I didn't think that I would ever see that, because once you approve and make legitimate all adult homes, then you are discriminating in my opinion against mothers with their children or fathers, with their children—have to look at both sides—and it is real frightening. I know that's against the federal law but I was told no, it is not.

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 70
1	Diamonstein to define it for my committee and he gave
2	me five different definitions depending on which act
3	we're talking about, and, basically, we decided to let
4	the courts decide, which is no guidance at all.
5	MRS. RATTLEY: I'm sorry, Wanda, we
6	have gone over and may I thank the panelists. Let's
7	give them a big hand.
8	(Applause).
9	(Subject panel concluded at 4:20 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN
14	VOTING REGISTRATION IN THE TIDEWATER AREA:
15	(4:25 p.m.)
16	MR. O'ROURKE: In the interest of time
17	I think we ought to begin at least with the
18	introductions and we'll hold off a bit on the
19	substance, but for the sake of our recorder could I ask
20	the panelists to introduce themselves beginning on my
21	far left?
22	MS. WRIGHT: Pat Wright Director, Young
23	Democrats of Virginia.
	1

American Civil Liberties Union, but we've had several

I'm from Norfolk, and in the past have had opportunities to work on at least a couple of political campaigns in the City of Newport News and am somewhat conversant with some of the activities going on there.

I think that when you talk about problems, the Tidewater area is not unique. The problems that one has with registration in Tidewater, the problems that one has across the entire state they often have nothing to do with the vigor or the aggressiveness of the registrar or the electoral board to try to make sure people are registered. The ACLU, in a 1984 study of Virginia voting practices, discovered there is very little rhyme or reason in the pattern and practices and procedures for which local registrars and electoral boards govern their practices and procedures.

What this means in a nutshell is that
you can go from Jurisdiction to Jurisdiction, you can
go from Norfolk to Newport News, you can go from
Norfolk to Portsmouth, from Portsmouth to Hampton, or
Newport News, and find that there are different rules

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 73
governing the procedures for voter registration every
time you want to conduct a voter registration.

We see the type of discretion which is in the hands of the registrars and the local electoral boards as being one of the type that is open to widespread abuse without disparaging any of the character or of the people who tend to be some of the better registrars of the state, period.

We find that because of a lack of uniformity groups who want to register people are confused as to when they have to submit applications for registration, how many people they should suggest should be there. They don't always understand the concept of volunteer registrars, what that entails, or why you can do something in one place and can't do something in another place.

We tend to think that type of lack of uniformity encourages some disillusionment and discussed apprehension and outright apathy from participating in the process. There is another factor, also, to consider when you talk about the problems of Tidewater and the problems of the state as a whole and that is when it comes to the actual process of an

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 74 individual going to a registrar's office to register and you talk about yourself or Ms. Wright or Ms. Belli or Ms. Mercer, perhaps the problem doesn't seem to be too great.

But when you talk about those people who are traditionally unregistered and when you talk about under registered people have been a part of the system, truly I can't understand, either. In may elections we tend to send the same old people and people who are apathetic and when you talk about the people and talk about people desirous to come together for purposes of registering those people to vote, then we have massive problems in Virginia, because a registrar can say, "I've set a certain number of registrations sites and I think this is adequate."

"I had one meeting this year and I invited people to come. You weren't there, so that's the end of it", or it takes 60 days for me to get Justice Department approval, so we don't have enough time".

You think the best way of registering people is to have registering stations and one says,

"But I know we do registration in Norfolk. No, we

We think if there is not some type of uniformity in limiting the discretion in which registrars and local electoral boards exercise, as I said, an event sponsored by the Young Democrats a couple of weeks ago, Virginia is going to be doomed to be the Sisyphus of state agencies. In other words, keep pushing the registration ball up the hill and pushing and panting and the registrars are pushing, and no sconer you start to reach the top, the ball starts to role backwards again.

We think that is just tragic. To the Tidewater's area credit, the lack of uniformity in practice and procedure, I think, is probably one of the more outstanding problems. Most of the registrars in this area have gone way beyond the spirit of the law and any reasonable sense of accommodation in making sure that those who want to come together for purposes of registering people are able to register them. However, sometimes the situation has not come about without sizable amount of frustration.

I give you two examples: not meaning to pick on anybody, especially in Virginia, the registrars

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 76

are not only accountable to two masters—and I say the Bible says it is it impossible to serve two masters but registrars do in Virginia—the registrar is accountable not only to her or his electoral board but they are also accountable to the State Board of Elections.

We find it as not an unusual situation for a registrar to be willing to go one way to accommodate you and for an electoral board to go another way. We found that when the electoral board does not give full coorporation to the efforts of the registrar, then the registrar's efforts are hampered and thus the registration efforts in the communities as a whole are hampered.

To be more specific. In the city of Newport News not too long ago the since resigned Electoral Board Chairman Mr. Davis insisted that those groups who wanted to register people to vote after making application for a site had to pay a certain fee to pay for the legal advertising which is clearly the job and legal responsibility by statute, by the code of Virginia, of the registrar.

In Newport News there were at least two examples where people were asked—one is 7-Eleven; I

I worked registration in Newport News and I had no problems at all working with Ms. Doxey. She had two masters; she had her electoral and perhaps she had another. For legal reasons she couldn't do a lot of talking about those. I think she was compromised in a way that was tragic, the end results being the State Board of Elections and the Attorney General who had to take the incredible action of asking that a cause hearing be held to show why the members of the Electoral Board should not be removed.

One of the other more regretable incidents there was that after a registration took place at a church facility where food was being given out, not in the same place but at the same time, in the same proximity. There was a threat made by the Chairman of the Electoral Board to take those ballots and to take them to a federal marshal. That's what can happen when a system runs amuck and there are no clearly delineated lines between electoral board and registrar.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

That's clearly in this area, whereas, or the other hand, where you have an electoral board which is interested in turning around the registration numbers, you find yourself perhaps in a situation such as the one that Mrs. Washington has in Norfolk where, if they given her a hard time, it is hard to tell by her efforts because she will go anywhere, anyplace that's legal to register those people; exudes that type of authority and willingness to register people that has helped to do some really incredible things that turned around jury registration figures in Norfolk.

So those are at least a few areas that are problems. But as a bottom line—and then I'm going to shut up—as a bottom line, the Tidewater leadership for councilmanic members, house delegates, state senators and the state house, and the general assembly are going to have to take the bull by the horns here.

For years and years and years and years far too many to be counted here, registration groups, such as Project Vote, such as the NAACP, such as SCLC have labored in the streets doing those things which it take under Virginians restrictive laws to register people to vote; League of Women Voters, also, and to

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 79

put it simply, they are tired time and time again of

lifing that ball up the hill only to find it fall down

again.

The purge last year after the general election wiped out 131 to 150,000 people from Virginia's registration rolls and why? Because in Virginia, if you don't vote within a four-year period, your name is wiped off the rolls. Fortunately, in the General Assembly this year legislation was passed, that Constitutional Amendment, so that if you haven't voted in four years or, if you're going to lose the right to vote if you don't vote, the registrar will send you a little notice, "Hey! you're going to lose your right to vote unless you are at this location unless you want to stay."

It has to be passed again by the General Assembly and it goes on to a referendum. Those type of efforts tend to turn things backwards.

If the leadership doesn't take the bull by the horns, then I really don't think things are going to be turned around and Virginia will, as I say, be doomed to its low registration status which is approximately 45 to 46 out of the 50 states in the

They say, if you find a Virginian who had been sleeping for 200 years and you would wake him up, the first two words he would shout would be fiscal sanity. Tom Jefferson said there were two types of government, one that is afraid of the people and one that's not. I think Virginia has got a people that's afraid of the government, and I see nothing but the best when we look for improving voter registration.

As a footnote, you talk about Virginians and voting and we tend to tie in registration with voting, and we say these people need to register but these people will they vote. Last year and the facts and figures vary, but from the 1984 general election, depending on whose statistics you look at, the League of Women Voters or the U.S. Census Bureau, Virginia is in a three-way tie for the highest turnout per registered voter or number one all by itself, so what we find at least in the presidential elections when Virginians become registered they do vote.

MR. O'ROURKE: I understand Pat Wright is going to talk about proposals before the General

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved
Assembly for registration reform.

MS. WRIGHT: Hi! I's Pat Wright and although living in Richmond currently I am a resident of Portsmouth, Virginia. Again, I'll limit my remarks. I won't discuss the specifics of voter registration problems. Mr. Taylor has done that for us. Instead, I'll look more at the reform measures which are supported by Coalition of Virginians from Tidewater to the western part of the state. Coalition is chaired by Richard Taylor, and the Young Democrats are members of the Coalition.

Voter registration reform is the number one priority for the Young Democrats Association in Virginia. The Coalition serves not to put forth any partisan points of view but rather to put our efforts together on an issue which is essentially nonpartison, an effort to secure for all Virginians what we feel is the basic right for participation in the system.

Over the last few years numerous studies have been commissioned by the Governor's Commission to Increase Voter Registration, chaired by Lieutenant Governor Davis of Portsmouth, the ACLU, League of Women Voters. All these studies have found as Virginia ranks

Since Virginians once registered tend to lead the nation in voter participation, the problem to attack would seem to getting people to register, and the way to attack this problem was to become more involved than conducting traditional massive voter registration drives, although such drives are crucial to the electoral, the overarching way, instead of salvaging this problem outside of such drives was seemingly to be a reform of existing state laws and practices, laws which hinder registration and some practices which are at best inconsistent and at worst a serious abridgement of reported civil liberties.

As a result of such study, the Governor's Commission had its proposals incorporated in to two bills which were put forth in the General Assembly by Delegate William Robinson of Norfolk. This legislation was HB 1707 and SJ Regs 341 comprised of three proposed constitutional amendments.

The first would lift the ban in Virginia on the use of state federal or local employees serving as registrars. This was passed for the first time in

The second piece of legislation within this package would allow the General Assembly to devise a method to allow persons who have not voted for four years to certify that they remain at the same address and wish to remain registered. Again, this was passed for the first time last year by the General Assembly and must be passed again.

One constitutional amendment that did not pass last year was a removal of the ban on inperson registration. Members of our Coalition are supportive of the removal of this ban so as to allow the opportunity for mail registration as I means by which to increase registration and thereby participation of all citizens in the voting process.

As for the second piece of legislation proposed by Delegate Robinson, HB 1707, there were numerous provisions which were discussed on the floor of the General Assembly but not actually passed. These included the elimination of what is seen to be an anachronistic ban on registrars actively soliciting registration.

Second opponent was a requirement that

Another requirement proposed but not passed was that registrars appoint assistant registrars as needed. Also, proposed was an allowance for an extra ten days of registration before closing of the books prior to an election. And proposed but not passed was a requirement that registration be maintained at the highest possible level.

The only provision which passed out of the massive piece of legislation HB 1707 was the provision which indicated that there will be extended hours for registration. Although we are not here to advocate that this group lobby on this legislation, we are indicating that the Coalition, the working group of voter registration, will be pressing forward full force to make sure that many of the provisions proposed last year by Delegate Robinson are indeed enacted into law.

We see this as crucial so that, again,

2 all Virginians maintain what is their basic right, full

3 participation within the system.

MR. TAYLOR: I'm going to have to leave in two seconds. Can I say two other things that Just came to my mind? One problem that I neglected to mention is that the Voting Rights Act, by statute, mandates that any change affecting voting, whether it is positive or negative, be submitted to the Justice Department for preclearance. That requirement is there basically to protect minorities, and one of the ways that they implement this change is by requiring that they at least registrars be given 60 days to preclear whatever change it is that is submitted.

The Justice Department has said on any number of occasions, however, that if the change which you seek to have precleared is a registration, for example, NAACP wants to hold a registration three weeks from now but the rules says 60 days they have to look at it, the Justice Department has made it very clear that, if the registrar picks up the phone and says "Hey! I want to do this and I'm sending the paperwork", the will say, "okay, stay out of our buildings; don't

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 86
do anything we wouldn't do and go ahead.

But we have found across the state we have not heard the complaints here in Tidewater, registrars have said in the past and getting much better about this now about "we can't do this because it takes 60 days to process the paperwork." That's just not true.

The second mention we said that Bill Robinson was the sponsor and patron of legislation that was gutted. It was a joint gut effort, and it was led by some of the more prominent persons of delegations here. I would say that the first one recommendation that registration be maintained at the highest possible level, that was killed by a Newport News delegate Mr. Morrison who explained that, if we passed anything which maintained that we had to have registration at the highest possible level, that meant that the registrars are going to have to go out and knock on doors to get people to register and pull them out of their doors.

Shameful, absolutely shameful. The second point is that when introduced HB 1707, the Governor did not have any patron to introduce this

MR. O'ROURKE: Have any questions from the audience?

MS. RATTLEY: Before you leave may I ask you a question? Did I hear recently that the state is now prohibiting registration in churches because of separation of church and state? I heard that within the last couple of days.

MR. TAYLOR: That has been—ACLU, in typical fashion, didn't go through the press and I can send you something from Susan Fitzhugh. Basically, what happened was on April 12 Miss Fitzhugh sent a memo to all the registrars across the state reminding them that since this was the season to rev up for satellite registration she wanted to explain to them and give them her interpretation of the Virginia statute regarding satellite registration and one of the things she said was in a second subpart, I think it was C or D, that register—a church building or synagogue

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 88

building was not a public place before, during or after

a service.

So we had several complaints that were sent to us, were called in to us, and I had a meeting with Ms. Fitzhugh in which she explained she wasn't prohibiting Sunday registration, even though the words did do just that, very stark and to the point. What she was saying was that a church facility was no longer a public place. If a person felt uncomfortable with coming into that facility because he were a Catholic and it was at a Baptist Church or something like that, so, if the person felt uncomfortable it lost its public status.

In Virginia you can only register people at a place which is public at that time for that purpose. Anyways, what we found out, there were registrars circulating memos saying "we can no longer have Sunday registration" and as pretext she gave her memo. I take that word back; she is her boss.

We asked her to show any type of support whatsoever for making that type of assertion and we requested information, any information, data, research, memos, speaches, anything that she relied on pursuant

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved to the Freedom of Information Act.

Miss Fitzhugh, in a memo, referred me to the entire Virginia Code and seven opinions of the Attorney General. I sent her back a memo, indicating to her that we felt that her proposed change was just that, a proposed change, that had to be precleared under Section 5, or we would sue under Section 5 and she should comply.

So what she did was ask the Attorney

General for direction, not for an opinion: you got to

publish an opinion. You don't ask for that when you're

going to lose. She asked more his guidance and opinion

and direction, although we don't have a opinion.

My resources indicate she was told she could not do that, that it was a change; that it would have to be precleared and there was no basis or context for which she could make that type of interpretation.

The result was that not too long ago she sent out still another memo, indicating "there has been some confusion about my April 12 memo and what I want you to know this was just the Board's view, the last Electoral Goard, that the local electoral boards and the registrar, by statute, sets the time and place for registration and,

motivation is racial because of Black Baptist being

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 91
perceived as democrats and so any registration there
being democratic registration.

The problem in part exists because the problems you had even in this area with people desirous of carrying on a registration in churches and I was told by a high state official, "You know, Richard, they are going to register a lot more white Baptist Fundamentalists in those churches than you're going to register black Baptist democrats," and so I think what you have here, also, is impermissible political motivation coming into the process, and, of course, that's just a shame.

MR. O'RGURKE: Before you go, let me take the Chairman's liberty of asking a question.

There was a study done a few years ago that said if we had national election day registration uniformly across the country, the turnout in presidential election would go up perhaps in 10 percent.

What is being proposed in Virginia falls far short of that, and, in fact, this is a problem I have and, if you can educate me, I would appreciate it.

There is a difference between identifying inconsistencies in registration from one

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 92

Jurisdiction to another. The pointing out of problems,

like the registrar can't shift a registration site.

Difference between identifying problems and explaining

why Virginia ranks in the bottom ten among the states

in registration.

I'm not so sure that, if you eliminate the problems that you talk about, or that if you get postcard registration, that it's going to make much difference in the level of registration in Virginia. I say that basically because the available evidence indicates that national election day registration wouldn't make much difference, and there was a trend among the states to adopt postcard registration and the trend stopped because postcard registration proved not to be particularly effective.

MR. TAYLOR: The problem is as long as you have to have this in-person registration requirement in our opinion, as long as you've got that requirement, the best that you can do in the state of Virginia is just chip away at this or that, and hope that it can make some dramatic turnaround in registration level.

I'll give you an example: I've heard

Anyway that you looked at it dramatic numbers of people can be registered at places where particular assistance was being given out, and instances where voter groups were highly organized. Sunday registration could also prove productive. So I guess what I'm saying to you is whether the vitality and the work that we have seen, the voter registration groups displayed in Virginia so far, if they didn't have the added restrictions that we would like to see changed, we think we could even go so much further.

If you take a look at what has happened, if you take a look at the improvements and increases in variety registration in Virginia and look at it by

MR. Q'ROURKE: Okay. I'm going to let you go. Perhaps you're staying.

MR. TAYLOR: I hope I get invited to make more public record. We haven't had an opportunity to say much in Virginia, the last forum and changed the meeting room on us four times, including a vote to scuttle the legislation on the pretext that the patron wanted it that way and he didn't. He was lost getting back and forth between the meetings. So any time I'm invited back to give a more complete and thorough presentation, not only of the problems but of some of the great things that the Tidewater registrars are doing, I'll be more than glad to do so.

MS. WRIGHT: I just want to mention one

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 95
thing more, that is a recent court decision that was
handed out in Judge Turk's courts in Blacksburg. It
dealt with a class action suit which extended from a
suit originally filed by a plaintiff by the name of
DeGreggorio. This plaintiff was a student in Virginia
who had been denied the right to register because she
received some financial support from her parents even
though she lived in the state of Virginia and paid
taxes here herself.

As a result of the original suit decided last fall dealing with that individual case, and the class action suit which extended from it, it was determined that any citizen in Virginia would be treated like any other citizen in terms of registration. You know, this is a hallmark decision and I think one we can all apply.

MS. DOXEY: I'm the registrar for the city of Newport News, the same one that Richard was talking about just a few minutes ago. A couple of years ago we had some have interesting episodes that occurred in our city but luckily are all behind us, and at the present time we have more than 67,000 registered voters in the city of Newport News, and this is about

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 96
4,000 or 5,000 more than we had at the beginning of
1984.

At the beginning of our registration season in 1984 we registered about 11,000 new voters last year in 1984 and we've managed to keep a good deal of those. We are fortunate in Newport News that as Richard was talking about, Newport News exemplifies this. We may not have the highest percentage of registered voters but we have a very good percentage of voting voters. We voted over 80 percent of other registration in the presidential election.

Unfortunately, as with all communities, those figures don't hold up when you get to the city and local elections. We wish that they would but that's just the uniqueness in voting for presidential elections. People sometime fell like that's most important.

A Couple of things I'd like to say about Newport News, my city in particular, that makes it unique to other Virginia cities. We are a city about 23 miles long and we're 5 miles wide at its widest point, so we have geographic problems to deal with as well as concentrations of people.

Ą.

There seemed to be three major cities in our area and since I've been registrar, not to blow my own horn it was my major goal when I became registrar to establish a personal registration site and additional office in each of these three major localities in the city.

With the understanding of the city management who granted the funds to do this, we have established these three offices, and these offices are open one day a week which includes evening hours, and also every Saturday. This is in addition, of course, to the regular office we maintain five days a week.

Now I'm pretty pleased about that and I think that for a city of our size, about 150,000, I think we offer a fairly good registration distribution that we sponsor and that taxpayers' money pays for. In addition to that, you heard Richard mention a couple of things about banks being used as voter registration sites.

I don't know of any other city in the Commonwealth of Virginia that uses private industry to the extent that the city of Newport News does. We have 23 additional sites in our city that are owned by

I understand that not everybody has a bank account, not everybody goes to the bank, but the other places that I mentioned are additional offices and could satisfy the needs of the people who don't have contact with banks or credit unions. So I think we really do have a good distribution of registration sites in the city of Newport News.

The one thing that is the enemy of all voter registrations—and I'm sure it is not only our enemy but the housing people were talking about the very same thing a few minutes ago and that's why I put it in my notes—is lack of education. People don't realize that, if they go for more than four years and don't vote, that their name is going to be taken off the registration records.

When we register someone to vote, we give them a piece of paper and it tells them all this stuff and they have to change their name in person or

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 99
in writing, and all the other things that talk about
voter registration in Virginia. They are the good
positive things.

Like we don't have party registration in Virginia. That is something I'm tickled to death about, I think it is grand. We Virginians would drop dead in our tracks if they had to identify their party if they do to vote. Problem is education. People don't stop and read that little piece of paper when you hand it to them when they register to vote.

Just as education is your enemy,
education I think will be one of the things that will
help the registration process and we've just—other
registrars had been at a seminar recently sponsored by
the State Board of Elections where they talked quite a
bit about a program that is to be instituted in
Virginia schools. It is being started as a pilot
program where voter education and participation will be
taught K through 12, and our School Board
Superintendent has already responded very favorably to
having this included in the Newport News schools and
possibly having Newport News as one of the areas that's
chosen for the pilot project. I think that would be a

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 100
very positive step toward voter registration and the
increase of voter registration.

Another thing that hampers us and takes away our ability to be spontaneous in our reaction to the needs of the communities is the things we have to satisfy the Justice Department about. And that does take some consideration. We do have to satisfy the Justice Department because Virginia is under the Voter Rights Act and you've got to satisfy the Justice Department. That is just something you have to do.

I'm sure I can speak for the other registrars that are here. Back to my point about education is that we're grateful for the opportunity to come and talk with you and to have our views aired, and we're also most grateful to speak to anyone at any time in our own community. Thank you very much.

MS. MERCER: I'm Lillian Mercer from
Chesapeake. Our problems are very similar to Tommy's
in that we all spread around. Many of you in this area
realize from our office in Great Bridge to the
Churchland area is 25 miles one way, so that does
present a problem, but we are trying to help that area
by having more registration. We established a new site

We have seven permanent offices, including the DMV and the new sites that we have in Churchland. In Chesapeake, prior to each election, we are open at these sites eight nights prior to the closing of the books from 5:00 and until 8:00 and we feel that does give that person that works an opportunity to come in and register.

As far as the Justice Department, I have found that they have been most cooperative when I have called for immediate registration at a certain site.

They usually will give you permission over the phone and that has not been a problem.

One of the things that has helped us in Chesapeake, several years ago the law was changed to say that the Electoral Board previously had set all registration sites in time but the registrar was also given that authority and at that time we were able then to start going in the schools. A year after we started, it was mandated that we go in the schools but

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 102

we were very proud that we had been able to do that and

we find that helps with registration a great deal in

Chesapeake.

We do have a voting population. We voted in the presidential election 83 percent of those who were registered and we think that's very good, too. I won't say the purge doesn't hit us; it does. We took over a thousand people off in January, and perhaps if this new law goes in I would be particularly in favor of a person who was still at the same address, had not moved, being able to just say they'd like to stay on the books. But the purge does serve a purpose in that it helps keep your records clean by getting rid of that person who no longer lives at a specific address, and people just do not—it is one of the things they don't call you up and say we're going to leave.

A lot of localities—I'm not talking about Virginia localities; I'm talking about out of state localities—do not cooperate in notifying you when people register in their area. Virginia does ask us to notify people out of state, and I think most of us do try to do that.

On the qualifications for special registration, we have also been granted that privilege. We do try in all instances to honor any requests for registration. We will have, of course, the 20 sites; we'll have 29 sites prior to this election where we are required to have 20, and that's in addition to our regular sites. They will be at supermarkets and stores and malls and that type of thing. We'll be cooperating with the other registrars in the area on a special registration that WVEC will be promoting on the 21st.

On that particular day I have five other places on that Saturday we'll be registering as well. So, we are trying to bring registration to the people. That's just about all I have to say. We are proud of the fact that we think it has been improved in Chesapeake, and I think you will see that it will continue to improve.

MS. WASHINGTON: Well, I will speak very briefly for Norfolk. We still have the lowest percentage of registered voters in the state of Virginia. I'm not proud of that. We are working and it makes us work harder. One of the reasons, I think, that we can attribute to this percentage, though, it is

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 I have now concluded that is a 3 legitimate concern, but I've stopped worrying about the 4 numbers so much. I've decided last year that the 5 numbers will come if we're willing to be out there to 6 the people, where the people are, and afford them this 7 opportunity, this right, this privilege, this beautiful 8 right we have to register. I'm glad you came back Ms. 9 Rattley because I want to ask you a question.

MS. RATTLEY: Very good.

MS. WASHINGTON: Why do you tell people they should vote? Why would you tell them?

MS. RATTLEY: Well, first of all, I feel that it is one of the rights and also responsibility as a citizen. And I also tell them, if you really want to know—

MS. WASHINGTON: I do.

MS. RATTLEY: Stop bitching about what should be if you don't have enough concern to register so you can vote because it does make a difference. I have—and you heard this many times "my one vote doesn't count." I have specific examples that I use when I talk with them. I tell so and so lost by one

I put them in the picture. Because it has bothered me that for years the NAACP, the SCLC, many of the ministers and civic leaders have tried to increase the voter registration rolls, year after year after year, and it has gotten so when you stand up and you speak to a group and you talk about the importance of registering to vote, we began to feel that nobody is listening. We've said it so many times and people have heard it so many times and people have

I have something that has been very effective. What I do when there is a need, I write to every registered voter in the city and I simply say to them that "I need your help. I need you to register five people by a given date. Do this for me."

Do you know many of them will do it and they will get on the phone and call me and give me the names of their five. It's a personal involvement.

I don't know how you can extend that, and if it will even work in other situations, but people just put it off, forget about it; they don't

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 106

feel that it is really that important. Some people are afraid, believe it not, and some people still say it is going to affect them on the Job, it is going to affect their obligations, somehow, that someone in the family will lose his or her Job if they register, and somehow we have to get this fear out of people.

If I had a simple solution, I certainly would be broadcasting it throughout the state of Virginia. I think you have to make it very personal. When you get people all stirred up with that, you know, rousing speech and how important it is, if you had somebody there on the spot at that moment they would register but, if you give them until tomorrow and say go down and register, it's not so pressing tomorrow.

I think you're most effective registration drive was when we had runners and we would talk to someone and find out they were not registered; we would put them in the car and carry them to the registrar at that time.

We wouldn't say, "I'll pick you up at 2:00 o'clock or at 5:00 o'clock". We say, "Come on, go with me now." When you walk in with them, many times we are much more relaxed; they don't want to go by

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

1 themselves.

I don't know if that tells you anything but I have a very strong appeal I make and I try to show them real examples because they are still saying they are going to do what they want to do, whatever they are, and when you really start talking sometimes about the ability to change things and give them illustrations, they will believe you.

MS. WASHINGTON: Well, I will just echo two of your points: I think the one that you mentioned that people still have a fear—there is no question in my mind. We had, before the presidential election, telephone calls asking "how much is it going to cost me to register?"

One person called and says "I just heard it is going to cost me \$50 to register." But if that information is there, we have to deal with it. I don't think we can ignore that. I have heard for years the fact that a lot of people feel their social security benefits will be taken away, Medicare and so forth. I really maintain that those are fears that we need to address, and I hope through this education project these things are going to be addressed.

1

2

3

ä

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

MS. RATTLEY: Sometimes people become very hostile and they will ask you "why should I repister? What's in it for me?"

MS. WASHINGTON: The other point you mentioned, and I made a note to bring it out, I do feel everyone has something, some personal concern in life and if we can identify that or help them what that personal concern is-I tell groups that, if you don't believe that your vote isn't important, examine the air you are breathing now and the roofing structure that holds this building up and this U.S. Civil Rights Commission and the fair housing, all of the things we've been talking about this afternoon—these things are in place because of legislators who have voted on these various statutes and we are voting on them.

Again, I do feel everyone has some particular issue that will concern them and maybe get them involved. Again, the numbers do not concern me now as much as helping people to realize it's their right to be involved and have a voice in their government. It's so basic and so simple.

One last point I'd like to mention to pick up on something that Tim mentioned. In today's A couple of years ago Jimmy Carter spoke to a conference symposium, I believe it was at Harvard, and he was talking about his efforts in 1977 to institute election day registration. What he found, and what David Broda was saying today was, that one of the biggest problems we have in registering voters is that our own incumbents are reluctant to register voters or support a lot of these measures in the General Assembly and in Congress because it unravels their constituency, their baselines; they are not sure if they are registering large segments of people from this community or from this community. They are not sure where their constituency might be for the next election.

MS. RATTLEY: That's a problem. I know

I get calls around the clock. I don't care what time of night, someone will call you from Jail or somewhere, and you can't go in the bathroom or in the shopping centers, people want you to do something, and everybody is looking for something, so he told me what happens when all these people would come to him to do this, that and the other, he said, "Are you registered?" If they said, no, he said, "I can't help you. Show me your registration card. Now, I'll help you if you go down and register and bring me the card back."

You will get some people registered. If you are an elected official, would put some importance behind registration at other times other than election time. I think it would help but a lot of them, as you say, don't want to increase the voter registration rolls simply because they feel they'll lose the

makes a lot of sense.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved election. So that's another problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

You don't hear that addressed very much as to the role of the elected person to increase voter registration. But I think Clarence's idea makes a lot of sense because people want to feel, if they register, they are going to get something for it. So. if they are asking you to do something because you have been elected. it seems as though they should be registered. But you know you don't have the nerve to do that because you're there and you can't turn down anyone. But if you will a way of identifying, if we had identification, which we don't, a card, to show that I am a registered voter the same as I have a driver's license, so when you go into certain places you will be asked to show your registration card and it will go up automatically because people feel, if I hold this card, it's going to benefit me. A lot of people don't see that it is a benefit to elect somebody else to office. I hate to say it but we live in a society where people want something for everything they do. When they buy food, they want something, they want a discount or a coupon or a green stamp.

say for most Virginians and certainly for Thomas

Jefferson, that there is nothing more vital to the

success of democracy than education, and, therefore, I

think it is most fitting that we spend a few moments

to talk about education and, in particular, special

education for the handicapped in the Tidewater Area.

We have two speakers here, and I don't know if you have any particular order in which you would like to speak. Why don't we just go down the table sequentially. Then Irma Delauri is here on behalf of Mr. Guidt who will speak about special education in the Portsmouth Public Schools.

MS. DELAURI: Thank you very much, Mr. Ring. Mr. Guidt has asked that I extend his apologies

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 114

for his not being able to be here but he did have a

previous commitment.

I am a principal at a local center for the handicapped. We provide services for the preschool ages 2 through 4 and then for the school aged child ages 5 through 22 who are physically handicapped. I'd like to just briefly respond to a couple of these questions.

In reading them, the type and frequency of reported incidents of discrimination. I called Mr. Guidt and asked him how many we had. I'm happy to hear we had zero to his knowledge, so it is difficult for me to answer the questions as presented in this paper because we have not had any known complaints regarding discrimination because of a handicapping condition.

I'd like to tell you just briefly what we do in Portsmouth and perhaps that's why we haven't had a complaint.

We do have a comprehensive program of special education offering alternative placement from center base, considering the least restrictive environment, to being housed in the mainstream which is called "regular education" in a regular grade and being

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 115 monitored. We have a lot of variables in between that, from a couple of periods a day in special education, and the rest of the time now to maybe one period in special education and the rest of the time in regular education.

Each of the times are developed when a committee sits down and develops a child's individual educational program. The parents are apprised of their legal right through brochures and through a state handbook that is given to them. We seek out and find children through the news media, through cooperative agreements with surrounding school systems, in TV announcements, and we put out the news release at least two or three times.

We have letters that we send to hospitals, to ministers, to child care centers, trying to search out and find out the handicapped child and provide the services. We have brochures that we give out on what services are offered for the various handicapping conditions.

We also, in our preschool program, have two models: a home based model and a center based model, and, again, whether they go home based or center

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

116

1 based is dependent upon the development of their I.E.P.

2

3

well as for regular ed children in Portsmouth is guite

Our medical services for special ed as

4

comprehensive. We have a full staff of nurses. Not

5

quite all the schools have a full-time nurses. Many of

6

the small schools do share a nurse, but there's always

7

a nurse on call for even a school that does not have a

8

nurse. All of your schools who have special education

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

students housed within those schools do have a full-

10 time nurse.

That, in kind of eye nutshell, is what we try to do to help the handicapped children in Portsmouth. I'm not saying that we have not had concerns because we have. But we have an internal structure called a Local Advisory Committee to Special Education, and when there is a concern expressed by a citizen, if it is not routed through our superintendent or our school board, where the issue is resolved internally, it might go to the Local Advisory Committee and then it is brought to the attention of the school board or the superintendent and the issue is resolved at that level.

We have interested citizens serving on

We have approximately 300 special education teachers in the Norfolk schools. We have programs for learning disabled which makes up the largest handicapped group in every one of our schools, so that a child does not have to be transferred from their home school because they have a learning disability.

In the lower incidence programs, mental retardation, emotionally disturbed, vision-well, the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 11 vision children are all in their home schools, also, but hiring impaired children, we have schools at selected sites for those particular problems.

We have 19 center based preschool programs for children who are 2 to 4 years old within the city of Norfolk with the MHMR Division, we have an interagency agreement that allows us to serve children from zero. In other words, as soon as the baby is born and is identified as a handicapped child they will begin this program, which is in our Lakewood School, which is our one alternative site school. It is a school for severely profoundly handicapped children.

Physically handicapped children in the Norfolk Schools are throughout the schools that are barrier free. Norfolk have some very old schools that are not all barrier free, so, therefore, a student is assigned to the most barrier free school that is available.

For example, our Lake Taylor High School has an elevator in it so physically handicapped high school students go to that program and are intergreated with the rest of the students, except that they must be at that particular site because that way they can get

Co	pyri	ght	(c)	1985	5 All	Righ [†]	ts f	₹ e se	37°V6	ed			120
to	any	cl	255	that	they	want	to	go	to	and	take	any	class
the	21/ M	ant	to	take_									

We have, to my knowledge, not had any cases of discrimination within the Norfolk Schools that have, you know, been filed with the Office of Civil Rights but that's kind of a dangerous thing to say because you don't really always know all the cases that are filed an that come back. I remember a number of years ago the OCR came down about discipline and they were very interested in how handicapped students were disciplined. After we went through this whole discussion of what our procedures are, what we do, they were very well satisfied with the procedures in the Norfolk Schools.

I will be glad to entertain any questions but, in general, that is what the program is.

MR. RING: Yes.

MS. RYAN: I'm Martha Ryan with the
Independence Center of Hampton Roads. How many schools
do you have that you consider to be barrier free and
does the city somewhere have any plans to make all
schools barrier free?

DR. UNDERWOOD: At the high school level

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 121
is just one: Morey High School is the school that is
going to go under renovation in the next couple of
years. When that school is renovateed, it will be fit
with an elevator and everything to also make it
possible for handicapped students to attend there.

We have many elementary schools. I couldn't even tell you the elementary schools, and I believe there are three of the middle schools and what our approach has been, for example, last year we put some physically handicapped kindergarten aged children out at Eastland Elementary School and we chose that school because I could have physical therapists and occupational therapists to work at that school.

I also have a class out there for multi-handicapped children. So the maintenance crew went in and fixed the bathrooms, they put ramps, you know, at all the doors and that's really been the procedure. I have a really good story about how principals in the Norfolk Schools have kind of changed their thinking over the years.

We had some—last summer we had an error in the data processing of the class lists that go out to all the principals. An assistant principal called

in-I don't know, you probably are all from this area.

adolescents who don't want to be on a mini-bus, but as far as complaints about time, distance, service, no, we

17 have none.

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. DELAURI: We had a problem last year with the transportation because we didn't have enough busses, but this year we do have enough busses and there were some children that had to be shuttled but they won't be shuttled this year. That problem was rectified, but strangely enough there were really no

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

1 complaints.

There was a concern expressed by a parent about a child not getting a full day instructional program because she had to leave the class a little early. She was concerned about it. She said she understood the problems of the city with the transportation and gave us time to rectify that situation.

MRS. HOFFMAN: I have one question. In terms of children coming in to your jurisdiction who are handicapped, do you feel that when you have a very good program that the word gets around so that you're getting an increased number of special education children or children who need special education?

DR. UNDERWOOD: Sure. It says it right in the Naval brochure "for compassionate placement, ask for Norfolk."

MR. BRINKLEY: What do you have for the severely handcapped?

MS. DELAURI: We took what we call administrative assignments and these are children from bona fide programs outside of the city, people who have moved in. We took in last year over 125. Now, this is

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 125
1	125 children that were not budgeted according to our
2	budget. We do our budget; our budget cycle starts in
3	September, and you project how many kids you're going
4	to have, and that was 125 over our projections coming,
5	125 coming from bona fide programs and coming from as
6	far away as Hawaii.
7	DR. UNDERWOOD: Like in the Norfolk
8	Schools I intimately know the Director of Special
9	Education, Jacksonville, San Diego, because that seems
10	to be the areas that we keep switching our children
11	back and forth.
12	MR. RING: They are Navy personnel?
13	DR. UNDERWOOD: They are Navy children.
14	MR. O'RGURKE: I had one question. Do
15	you get impact aid for that? Do you get federal impact
16	aid for that, for those kids coming in?
17	MRS. DELAURI: No. We get just as if
18	like we get for the other federal students.
19	MR. O'ROURKE: The state aid for each
20	each handicapped is pegged to the nature of the
21	handicap. For the severely handicapped is the state
22	aid adequate for the local school system?
23	DR. UNDERWOOD: Okay, I just did a

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 126
1	financial study working on that kind of thing, and
2	actually the local school division is still paying
3	about 60 percent, even with the federal and the state
4	taking off of it. Our local taxpayers pay about 60
5	percent, and it's a-
6	MR. RING: May I interrupt and ask you
7	what the average is per student?
8	DR. UNDERWOOD: I didn't do it that
9	way. I was doing it by student.
10	MR. RING: Have you ever done it that
1.1	way?
12	DR. UNDERWOOD: I think they would tell
13	you that the average, if you take all of special ed is
14	the average about two and-a-half times regular
15	education.
16	MR. RING: That's an important figure
17	for you to keep in mind because special education is
18	extremely expensive and Alexandria, for special
19	placement registrations, we can spend up to \$30,000 per
20	child. Those that are accommodated within the school
51	system, it's easily doubled the cost of a student that
22	is normal. That compared that to private schools and
23	tuitions which frequently is done, and the invidious

MR. O'ROURKE: The reason I ask the question, the way I did it makes a great deal of difference whether a child in speech therapy where the cost is modestly higher than for a regular student or if a child is severely handicapped. Norfolk, by virtue of its excellence, is being singled out which is a highly mobile area by people coming here and putting kids into school. I'm just wondering if that is showing up in the budget.

DR. UNDERWOOD: They always fight about impact aid; they want to take it away. To be honest, in Norfolk we don't have a lot of Navy housing but we have some, but still there are a lot of Navy families, so I always thought it would really be a good argument to get more impact aid for those military children, but the truth of the matter you really can't fight about

in mind.

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 128
1	that very much when you're still fighting to get the
2	impact aid at all.
3	MS. DELAURI: That or the problem our
4	per pupil pay for the severely handicapped in
5	Portsmouth. I think the per pupil costs for the
6	regular child is \$900 and for the child at the DAC
7	Center it ran over \$4,000.
8	MR. RING: Are there others questions?
9	MRS. HOFFMAN: Are the special
10	educational teachers solely for special education or
11	do they also have regular school room requirements?
12	MS. DELAURI: In Portsmouth, special
13	education teachers are strictly special education
14	teachers. They are endorsed in the area. The state
15	says "if you're going to teach the mentally retarded,
16	you must be endorsed in mental retardation." We have a
17	few problems there because they have categories without
18	endorsement as of January of '85, but that's their sole
19	responsibility.
20	DR. UNDERWOOD: Agreed.
21	MR. RING: Dr. Underwood, you said you
22	had 4,000 students. What percentage does that

represent of the total student body in the Norfolk

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 130 tape on there because that's one of my exciting things I like to talk about. We have gone to a very heavy vocational program, especially for the genuine retarded.

This term we had 90 children employed where they were getting credit and money both, where you take part in the MacJobs program which is something that MacDonald's offers. We have a man who goes out on the job working with the students and that's beside all the special vocational programs that are going on. We are also working in— the staff is working very hard over at the Lakewood School for the severely profoundly handicapped children, working on vocational, and job attitude, work attitude. That kind of thing seems to be one of the most important kind of things for these students to first get that solidified, then from there going into the program.

But we do have a really, really wide variety of programs. I know that Portsmouth also has a wide variety and they were ahead of us on the vocational programming, and we're just catching up with them. But, really, it's very, very exciting all the vocational opportunities that are available. Strangely

MS. DELAURI: There is a concern in Virginia Beach that makes a bicycle part that's very difficult to put together, and the gentleman hired several of our mentally retarded students because they tend to persevere and they will do a repetitive type job which they were excellent at this. He got rid of his other employees and all of his employees turned out to be students from our MR program.

We have had, as Dr. Underwood said, a very successful vocational program in Portsmouth.

There was a study done several years ago and 85 percent of those students that we placed on the Job were retained by their employers to full-time employment.

The work study program is where they go to school a week and they work a week, and they are paid a small stipend for uniforms and transportation the week they are in school, not the week they work, and then the coordinator goes out and talks to the employer and

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 132 1 finds out what the problems are and then works on them 2 the week they are in school. That's probably the 3 reason for the success in employers wanting them. They work. You say, "You might no to 4 Phillips for the summer." There is one machine in 5 6 Phillips that only one of our boys know how to work, 7 chucking oysters or something like that. Many of them are working over at Waterside right now. We are very 8 9 proud of our vocational program. It's been going on 10 since 1972. I believe. 11 MR. RING: One last question for both 12 of you: you've already touched on one area of need 13 which is increased funding from the state and federal 14 government. Is there any requirement or need for 15 additional legislation or administrative changes with 16 respect to your programs? 17 DR. UNDERWOOD: Well, I tell you when 18 they set out to change 91142 the last time they made 19 such a mess of it I'm not so sure I want them to do

they set out to change 91142 the last time they made such a mess of it I'm not so sure I want them to do anything with it this time. I spent an awful lot of time in Washington trying to talk people out of what they were doing.

Education after the Handicapped Act is a

20

21

22

Then we went to the point where, if the children wet their pants, they weren't allowed to come to school. Education of the handicapped is a wonderful wonderful law, I'm not having problems administering it.

MS. DELAURI: The only concern I have, I wish that the Bureau of Education of the handicapped and the State Department of Education and the state certification of teachers would get together with the terminology. When we have to do reports, we spend lot administrative time. One time they are going to call the preschool children "noncategorical" and next time they were going to call them "developmental delay" and we get a report from Washington saying we have to categorize them. If the paperwork could be consistent,

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 134
1	it would save a lot of our time. I think the funding,
2	thus far, has been appropriate.
3	MR. RING: I want to, on behalf of the
4	Advisory Committee, thank you very much for patiently
5	waiting for the delay in beginning and what you had to
6	say was well worth your wait and our wait and we deeply
7	appreciate having you here.
8	(Applause)
9	(Panel concluded at 5:54 p.m.)
10	(Dinner break).
11	
12	
13	PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN
14	EMPLOYMENT IN THE TIDEWATER AREA:
15	(7:00 p.m.)
16	MR. BOSTIC: Good evening and thank you
17	very much for returning. My name is Benjamin Bostic,
18	and I'm chair of the State Advisory Committee. Our
19	panel is on Civil Rights Developments and Enforcement
20	in Employment in the Tidewater Area. And we have two
21	persons that will sharing information with you.
22	I will mention their names and they can
23	expand upon that when they began to talk. We have Ms.

This particular panel grew out of last year's discussion in the areas of employment. As a matter of fact, I think it perhaps established some of the bases for the study on the Human Rights Committee legislation that was discussed with the last State Advisory Committee.

Much of what we talked about earlier today ties in with this whole area of equal employment, and I think that we might find some—what we're trying to do is to get is as many kinds of concerns or problems that you as an audience, participants, as well as our panelists, might perceive as problems that prevent individuals from having an equal employment right. I suppose it's all right if we want to go first Ms. Booker. Will you start out for us, please, and you may tell us anything you want to tell us about yourself?

MS. BOOKER: Thank you, Mr. Bostic, and good evening ladies and gentlemen. My name, as Mr.

1.3

of the Rehabilitation Act are handled by the Equal

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

1

- 1 Employment Opportunity Commission as well as all
- 2 functions previously carried out by the Equal
- 3 Employment Opportunity Coordinating Council,
- 4 specifically assisting federal agencies in enforcing
- 5 equal employment opportunity without regard to race,
- 6 color, religion, national origin, age and handicap
- 7 within the federal sector.

weeks ago these figures cut off.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In preparing for this event tonight, I understood that the group was primarily interested in trends and statistics in the area of EEO in employment. What I have for you are statistics over a four-year period and primarily for this fiscal year the period of October 1, 1984 through August 9, 1985, and that's two

Between October 1, '84 and August 9,

1985, the Norfolk area office received a total of 809

charges of employment discrimination from people

throughout our geographical area. Of these 809

charges, 64 percent, or 677 charges, were filed under

Title VII. 14 percent or 116 were filed under the Age

Discrimination in Employment Act. I'll refer to it

throughout my talk as the AH charges or ADEA. 3 percent

or 21 charges were filed under the Equal Pay

1 Amendment. I'll refer to that as equal pay or EPA.

Now, that percentage breakdown in terms
of statute was pretty much consistent over the last
four years. In other words, during fiscal year '84
there were 962 charges filed, 84 of which were for

Title VII. 15 percent for age, one percent EPA.

Fiscal year 1983 there were 86 percent Title VII charges, still in the 80 percentile, 12 percent age and one percent EPA.

In fiscal year '82 out of 790 charges filed, 87 percent were for Title VII, one percent for age and one percent EPA and that same identical percentage breakdown came about at the end of fiscal year 1981.

Now, even though the percentage breakdown by statute is pretty consistent, over those areas, the Norfolk area office anticipates receiving more charges this fiscal year than it did in 1984, 1982 and 1981. In 1983, for some reason or another, we had a tremendous influx of charges; 1149 specifically were filed. We contribute that to the fact that the Rapid Charge Process that was proposed and implemented under Eleanor Holmes Norton administration was in full

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 139 swing then and we just had a lot of charges during that year.

The guideline sent to me in presenting this information concentration appeared to be limited to the local city or the Tidewater Area. Our boundaries extend far beyond Norfolk and the Tidewater Area. It includes the entire Virginia Eastern Shore, and, if the Eastern Shore was to my left, to your right, this would be the Virginia Eastern Shore. Our boundaries through the entire Eastern Shore, crossing over to the state of Virginia at the North Cumberland County, Westmoreland, King George, and Caroline Counties down towards the eastern side of the state or the city, pardon me, of Richmond including King William, New Kent, and Charles city.

Then that line swings slightly westward, picking up Prince Georges County, the independent city of Colonial Heights, Dinwiddie, Nottaway, Londonburg and Mecklenburg County, hitting the bottom state line of Virginia it comes back towards the east to the Atlantic Ocean. That area includes 29 counties and 14 independent cities. So the statistics that I'm sharing with you this evening encompasses a great area, larger

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 140
than Norfolk and Tidewater.

Many of the charges that are filed in our office contain multi-bases and multi-issues. That is more than one type or more than one form of discrimination is on a single charge. For example, the female may come in and allege she was denied equal wages and when she complained she was subsequently discharged. There she might opt to include two statutes, being Title VII as well as EPA and she may well include the basis of wages, and discharge and she would also include retaliation.

There were 968 different types or bases of discrimination contained in the 809 charges. 968 bases or types of discrimination contained in those 809 charges. Women filed 201 or 231 percent of those charges. Those charges were based on sex or EPA violation. Specifically, 184 were for sex discrimination and the 17 remaining were under the Equal Pay Amendment Act.

Blacks filed 459 charges or 48 percent of the actions. That was the largest percentage alleging racial discrimination. There are 111 or 11 percent claiming age discrimination. Age

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 141
discrimination is one of the fastest growing forms of
discrimination that is now coming before the Equal
Employment Opportunity Commission. There are 104 or 11
percent actions having complaints of retaliation for
opposing or participating in an investigation of any
kind of action considered unlawful by the statutes EEOC
administers.

There are 18 or 2 percent charges that conclude included allegations of discrimination due to national origin, only percent. There was only .5 percent or 5 actions filed containing allegations of religious discrimination. Here again, I'm talking about the period October 1, '84 through August 9, 1985.

Incidentally, I might add at this point that 40 men filed charges of sex discrimination and 25 whites filed against of rational discrimination. Of the 809 charges filed, 48 were against state and local agencies, 7 were against private colleges, 2 against public colleges, 11 were filed against public elementary/secondary schools and one against private elementary secondary schools.

We had 36 charges filed against unions.

Virginians, that is within the Norfolk area office

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 142
geographical boundaries, have complained about 1293
forms or issues of discrimination. Discharges headed
the list. It seems as though individuals will wait
until they are out the door before they want to come in
and seek some help.

Terms and conditions of employment was the next form of discrimination and that type of discrimination includes many things—job assignments, working conditions, the environment, the working environment, a host of different terms that one must perform under in order to eastern a living.

Then we have layoffs next at 111; hiring, 71; promotion also 71; wages, 65; demotion 35; sexual harassment, 35; union representation, 34., intimidation, 28; pregnancy, 24; and then there are a host of other ones that I just sort of combined into one category. There were 4 percent out of all the 1293.

To set Title VII aside and the EPA and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act for a while, there have been approximately 72 matters referred by various federal agencies to our Hearings and Appeals unit in Baltimore. These matters were generated or

MR. BOSTIC: You may pass it on to Mr.
Yeager, and afterwards we'll take questions, so please
have your questions ready so we can answer

MR. YEAGER: I'm going to take ten
minutes and that will save a lot of time. First, to
set the record straight, I think I was listed as being
an EEO specialist for the Tidewater area. I work
primarily within the entire state of Virginia. I
happen at that particular time to be located in the
Tidewater Area, but my job consists of EEOofficer for
the entire state.

We have approximately 45 local offices throughout the state. When I say approximately, we have some areas where we might have an itinerant shop that is only opened one or two days a week. But in each local office we have assigned a local office EEO representative as we would an older worker

I'll try to give you some idea exactly how we operate as far as handling complaints are concerned. Our complaints are handled, as far as external complaints-when I say external, this consists of people that are applying for unemployment insurance or applying for a job, or actually looking for a job. If these people had a problem, a complainant or applicant for a job had a problem with our agency, they could go the following avenues: they could file a complaint through my office; they could file a complaint through the State EEO Office: they could file a complaint with the Federal EEOC Office; they could also file a complaint through our regional office, our Regional Office of Civil Rights which we come directly under the U.S. Department of Labor, and, contrary to popular belief, we are a totally federally funded

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 145

agency and we answer directly to the U.S. Department of

Labor, Office of Civil Rights as far as the agency is

concerned.

Now, our complaints, the way that these complaints are fed into our agency, the complainant filing a complaint with EEOC we would have to supply the necessary information through EEOC, file with the State EEO Office; we would have to do the entire same thing. If a complaint came directly through my shop, I would have to forward, after having taken care of the complaint on the local or state level, that complaint to our Philadelphia Regional Office and then they would, in turn, if it warranted, forward that to our national office.

To try and make sure that we don't run into major problems as far as complaints are concerned, the agency—we have set up within the Virginia Employment Commission or with all state employment security agencies throughout the country, compliance reviews that we conduct, and we conduct these compliance reviews in Virginia on a monthly basis.

Once a month I, myself, and our EO, UI liaison individual will go out to individual offices

Now, this is all done—I'll just show some of you these things; can't put these on the tape.

(Displaying). These are guidelines for reviewing employment insurance programs for equal opportunities compliance; also a separate booklet for regular job service offices.

These compliance reviews I think are as important as EEOC in handling complaints. They have very, very large number of complaints that they handle basically because that's what they're in the business for. We are not in the business for that. We're in the business to get jobs and to supply unemployment insurance for people that are out of work, but we also

1

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

happen, and we are mandated by statute, to handle

complaints based on what may happen as far as

complainants is concerned.

So in turn, we try not to deal with the complaint as such; we try moreso to deal with a preventative mechanism in conducting these compliance reviews and in conducting them—I'll give you an example of the amount of complaints. How many did you indicate you had, 809? We have not had a complaint in the Tidewater Area in this past year.

Mostly, our complaints are referred to EEOC, you see, because what happens, a person might come into our office. If the complaint doesn't involve us as an agency, if it's not against our agency, then we'll have to refer that individual to the local or to the EEOC. So the only complaints that we are mandated to handle by statute are complaints that involve our agency.

Now, there could be an instance where an individual would come in and file a complaint with our agency that we possibly sent to a job. If we sent that individual to a job, and it was a substandard order, then we could become involved because that could be

We have no jurisdiction at all as far as private industry is concerned. So we can only be a referral agency and we do this on a day-to-day basis, and the bulk of our complaints-I don't have a list statistically, basically, because we don't-these aren't written up. These people are just referred either by phone or from our front counter or if they are getting some services from us in one way or another we will tell them that portion of it will have to go to another compliance agency which would be EEDC or if its is another state agency our State EEO officer and that's done and we are not required by statute to log these in or do anything other than to make sure these people are trained to send these individuals to the other offices. That's the only requirement that we have.

We also have within our agency a monitor advocate. The monitor advocate works primarily with the migrants and seasonal farm workers and her job--her

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

from applicants and claimants would be complaints

dealing with wages, and we've had quite a few as far as

sexual harassment is concerned. We're lately, as you

indicated, Marilyn, we've will a quite a few with age

also. People are saying, "Well, I'm being laid off my

Job. I think it is based on age" or whatever, so

that's something that is on the rise now, but prior to

that it was wages and sex. That's all I have.

MR. BOSTIC: Thank you, very much, Mr. Yeager. Now we'll stop for questions. Yes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 MRS. HOFFMAN: I wanted to ask a general 2 question about farm workers, which is the subject of one of our reports. My question is directed to Ms. 3 Booker, if someone who is in farm work and migrates 5 from area to area and therefore is a migrant worker files a claim or files a charge of discrimination with 7 EEOC, would you be able to accept that as a charge or as a formal complaint if the worker has worked less 8 9 than 20 weeks with an employer?

MS. BOOKER: The worker? The worker need not have any prolonged period of employment with an employer; however, in terms of the migrant worker, he or she would have to be a U.S. citizen. That, first of all, would be the point of questioning by our intake staff—the moment he or she comes into the door, but an employee need only be employed for a day, not only even a full day and still have grounds to file a complaint under any statute, Title VII, EPA or age.

MRS. HOFFMAN: Would be there anyway for you to know from your files if a migrant farm worker has ever filed a complaint from the Eastern Shore of Virginia?

MS. BOOKER: In terms of our workload

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MRS. HOFFMAN: Thank you.

MR. CHERRY: I want to direct my question to Ms. Booker. You gave me a lot of statistical data about the number of complaints that are filed. My question is two-pronged, the time it takes, if I file a complaint with you or the Title VII, the average time, if there is an average time for me to get some words, some redress for that complaint and the level of people, I mean, when I say level, income level of the people that usually file complaints—two-pronged question.

MS. BOOKER: Okay. Your first concern is quite legitimate. Many of our calls relate to "when Ms. Booker, will there be some type of disposition on my case?" It varies, Mr. Cherry, from case case. Oftentimes an individual will come in to our office and given the nature of the complaint and the parties involved, we can institute immediate settlement and oftentimes that happens. This happens so quick that the matter is almost resolved before it gets to a processing units.

Other times, normally, it can extend a 1 2 couple of months including and up to a year. 3 second part of your question it's difficult to say the 4 what the average salary is of our complainants. Recently, there was an age matter that came in and the 5 6 gentleman was earning \$123,000 a year. That was quite 7 surprising to us. Oftentimes, however, we see minimum 8 wage somewhere around \$5.75, but that might be an 9 interesting study to sort of compute the average income 10 level of our workers.

But I would venture to say it is toward the lower wage end of the scale.

MS. LUCAS: Louise Lucas, Councilwoman City of Portsmouth. Obviously, the reason I ask my question is because I'm also Deputy Equal Employment Opportunity Officer to the Newport News Shipbuilding and Drydock Company, and that is a Tenant Act which makes me a federal employee in the naval shipyard.

I'm curious as to whether or not you have had any complaints received in your office which federal employees may perceive that they have been threatened, coerced, whatever, based on their political involvement and by threatened I'm talking about with

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 MS. BOOKER: I don't know if I can 3 complete--

MS. LUCAS: Federal employees are subjected to the statute of the Hatch Act. There are a lot of federal employees who feel threatened to being involved politically because of whatever, you know, consequences they may suffer as a federal employee.

I'm just wondering if anything like that has ever come to your office?

MS. BOOKER: Not to my knowledge;
however, I am not at the intake stage of the process. I
am further down the line to process the complaint.
Needless to say, that would not come under the
jurisdiction of our office but whether or not that type
of inquiry would come through our office for referral
I'm not able to respond.

MS. LUCAS: I'm just curious because I know there is a minimum amount of participation in the political process by federal employees and, inasmuch as I am a federal employee and also been an elected official, oftentimes federal employees will approach me with "how do you manage to do that? Aren't you afraid

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 154
1	to get fired?" and because so many of them have come to
2	you, I was just curious as to whether or not those kind
3	of inquiries or complaints had ever reached your
4	office?
5	MS. BOOKER: I'm not aware of any.
6	MS. RYAN: Martha Ryan from the
7	Independence Center. You did not mention it, but I'm
8	real curious as to whether or not you have received any
9	complaints of employment discrimination on the basis of
10	the disability?
11	MS. BOOKER: Of a disability? Those
12	would go directly to our Baltimore office. Those
13	complaints would have been in the '72 statistics that I
14	gave earlier. Those also would have to progress
15	through the the federal administrative stage before it
16	would come to the EEOC. We only handle handicapped
17	within the federal sector.
18	MS. RYAN: So there is an entirely
19	different process for that?
20	MS. BOOKER: For private?
21	MS. RYAN: For that complaint.
22	MS. BOOKER: Yes. It is an entirely
23	different process in that it would have to be addressed

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 155
1	at the federal agency first before it comes to the
2	EEOC. Yes, there is a different process.
3	MS. RYAN: You know the statistics on
4	that, by any chance?
5	MS. BOOKER: For handicapped alone, no,
6	I don't.
7	MR. BOSTIC: Any other questions?
8	MR. YEAGER: Wouldn't that have to be
9	filed with the U.S. Department of Labor, that
10	handicapped in the private sector?
11	MS. BOOKER: For the private sector?
12	MR. YEAGER: Yes.
13	MS. BOOKER: I think so.
14	MR. YEAGER: All 504 complaints would go
15	through U.S. Department of Labor.
16	MS. RYAN: So, if I wanted the
17	statistics, I could get it from the Department of
18	Labor.
19	MR. YEAGER: Yes, or I could put you in
28	touch with someone in our state office, and I'm sure
21	that she would be able to get the necessary
22	information. Betty Matthews, Public Rehabilitative
23	Services. She'll get the information for you.

MS. LUCAS: I wanted to piggyback on her question because I was of the opinion that even when you process it in the private sector, that handicapped charges are also filed under Title VII.

MS. BOOKER: No. ma'am.

MR. BOSTIC: Any other questions? Or

comments?

(No response).

There was one thing that you mentioned Ms. Booker, and I might not have heard you correctly. You said that you gave the number of charges of discrimination from 10/1/84 to 8/9/85, and you also cited a couple, two or three years ago that number was in excess of that and you attributed that, I believe, to some degree to the chair of the Commission at that time. I think you mentioned Mrs. Norton's name. You said you will receive more charges of discrimination in FY 86. Why do you anticipate more charges?

MS. BOOKER: Because now we have 809 and the monthly charge intake until the end of the fiscal year, which is September 30th, will undoubtedly surpass 962. We receive about 70 to 80 charges a month, so we, based on that rate, anticipate we'll receive more than

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 157 1984, 1982 and 1981. In 1981 there were 700 something; in 1982 there was 700 something and 1984 there were 962.

MR. BOSTIC: Were these charges—you have to educate me, in a sense—from medium size, large size employers who are federal or state employers or were these from more than 25 employees, or do you have a sense of the kinds of employers that these charges might have come from?

MS. BOOKER: Outside of the state and local breakdown that I provided, no, I don't have an idea, where they were generated. There were a number from small employers and some from some of the larger ones, but I have no breakdown in terms of bulk of them coming from larger companies as opposed to the smaller ones.

MRS. HOFFMAN: Since Virginia does not have a State Commission to which you can refer complaints, where would you say would be the impact upon the EEOC budget? Let's say for those states that do not have such a commission in comparison with, let's say, Maryland, Pennsylvania, where they would? Would you say that Virginia would require a larger

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 158
1	appropriation of the federal budget, of your budget?
2	MS. BOOKER: I understand some monies
3	from our budget are appropriateed to the 706 agencies.
4	How much I'm not sure. The Baltimore district has 706
5	agencies in Northern Virginia.
6	MRS. HOFFMAN: There are two, Alexandria
7	and Fairfax County.
8	MS. BOOKER: Exactly.
9	MRS. HOFFMAN: For this area you would
10	not have an agency to which to refer charges?
11	MS. BOOKER: We don't have one, and I'm
12	not sure—I'm not aware of whether or not the EEOC
13	would be willing to appropriate funds. I know we're
14	short on funds now. I don't know if that's answering
15	your question, but I just don't have the information in
16	terms of monies that are available at the national
17	level.
18	MS. LUCAS: Mr. Cherry and I was just
19	talking about how the federal government could
20	virtually wipe out an employee if they decided they
21	didn't want to be involved politically. How could the
22	Virginia Advisory Commission assist in helping to
23	provide information such that persons who felt a need

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 160
1	person has been harassed, they will follow the same
2	complaint.
3	MR. BOSTIC: Mr. Cherry, did you have
4	any statement?
5	MS. BOOKER: File a complaint with the
6	EEOC?
7	MS. RATTLEY: Yes, for any form of
8	harassment.
9	MS. BOOKER: Not unless it relates to
10	an individual's race, their national origin, their
11	religion or sex would we accept a charge.
12	MS. RATTLEY: For harassment?
13	MS. BOOKER: The harassment would have
14	to be as a result of that individual sex, race,
15	religion, national origin. That would have to be the
16	basis.
17	MS. RATTLEY: You don't have a
18	harassment right under your law?
19	MS. BOOKER: When I gave my talk—Mr.
20	Binkley?
21	MR. BINKLEY: Don't cite this as
22	complete reply or accurate but I think harassment under
23	the Hatch Act or any other kind of harassment that
	1

MS. BOOKER: It is not Title VII; it is not EEOC.

MS. LUCAS: I just did not feel comfortable giving any advice or guidance to anyone because I don't know of anyone who has pursued a complaint along those lines. But I know especially with this area being heavily populated with civilian employees who work for the federal government, there has been a hesitancy on the part of persons who are federal employees in this very city to participate in the political process in anyway. I mean, even to the point that some of them won't even go out and vote for candidates. If they do, they say it is going to get back to their supervisors. So, in essence, what I'm saying is because of their fear of losing employment a lot of persons who are federal employees don't even

2

1

exercise their constitutional right to vote. FROM THE FLOOR: Is there a difference

3

to participate in a campaign than to go and vote for

4

the person? That is a right of every citizen.

5

MS. LUCAS: I understand what you're

6

saying but because people are not aware of what is

7

going to happen to them in terms of their employment,

8

they choose to stay out of the process altogether.

9

For example, when I was a candidate in a

10

local election, and top vote getter, obviously, a lot

11

of persons would not come out and support me even

12

though it was a nonpartisan election because they were

13

They were afraid, and just Friday one of the

14

military officers just happened to be a female and, of

15

course, she is Hatched over her head. She says, "How

16

do you manage to do this?" She said, "What's going to

17

happen?" Just complete total ignorance, fear.f

FROM THE FLOOR:

MS. LUCAS:

18

Ignorance, you have to

19

be educated and understand the law how it is. then.

20 21

military officers. The person I'm talking about is one

22

who supervises a group of civilians. That person is

23

not knowledgeable and then obviously brings unnecessary

I'm talking about even

1 pressures on the people that work for her.

MS. RATTLEY: When they went to EEOC, whether they went or whether they referred it to someone, I know that was the agency they first started with to get the complaints in the right basket, but it was resolved and that was at Fort Eustis maybe some 15, 16 years ago. The person was harassing people for political involvement as far as using a bumper sticker on their private automobile.

MR. BOSTIC: One more comment.

MRS. ZEAVIN: I want to make a comment
Mr. Bostic. Do you think there is more fear in this
area? I mean, just listening to talk from registration
and now on the Hatch Act and another thing, up in
Northern Virginia I don't notice this fear that I'm
just getting from this conversation from this area in
registration, voting, and active in politics. I mean
I'm picking this one.

MS. RATTLEY: I'm not sure that it is just in this area. I don't think it is peculiar to this area. Your advantage is you live near Washington.

Most of your civil servants are working for the federal government but at the level, many of them, are not

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 164
1	Hatched because when the President runs for reelection,
2	he has everybody working for him.
3	MR. RING: That is not factually true.
4	There are very few Schedule C Jobs.
5	MS. RATTLEY: You didn't let me know.
6	MR. RING: 95 percent or under the Hatch
7	Act.
8	MRS. ZEAVIN: I didn't want to change
9	your line.
10	MS. RATTLEY: Although they are Hatched.
11	MRS. ZEAVIN: I just feel this area has
12	fear.
13	MR. BOSTIC: I think perhaps it's a
14	perception, I think, that you alluded to in the sense
15	of and it really involves education, simply just has
16	to educate all of the citizens, nonfederal as well as
17	federal employees, about the Hatch Act and all of its
18	ramifications.
19	MRS. ZEAVIN: Also go back to the
20	registration conversation we had on voting before. We
21	had this same conversation is why I'm picking it up
52	again.
23	MS. RATTLEY: I would like to finish my

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 165
1	statement that in Northern Virginia, since they live
2	close to Washington, they know that many of the federal
3	people at the higher levels will complain. They don't
4	have that pressure and fear because the Hatch Act is
5	very seldom an issue. It doesn't come up. It is not
6	used as a deterrent.
7	MRS. ZEAVIN: We have a lot of local—
8	Arlington is local and Falls Church, so I don't
9	believe—I don't want to argue with you but I don't
10	believe that's quite true, that statement, because we
11	have a lot of people that have to know what is Hatched
12	and what is not Hatched.
13	MS. RATTLEY: I'm not saying they don't
14	know. But they know that nobody pays any attention to
15	it. Wouldn't you agree to that?
16	MRS. ZEAVIN: Right.
17	MS. RATTLEY: That's what I meant, and
18	I'm saying they don't pay attention to it because they
19	see what is happening in Washington.
20	MR. RING: What do you mean by "pay no
21	attention?" Don't comply with the Hatch Act or realize
22	that the Hatch Act
23	MS. RATTLEY: They know that the Hatch

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

Act is much broader than the average person who works where you work would understand. They know the coverage. They know how to protect themselves from any charges that anyone wishes to bring. It is even discussed in their workshop as to how they can get around having a legitimate charge brought against them based on the laws under the Hatch Act.

MR. BOSTIC: With that I am sorry we're going to have to cut our discussion off because we are just about on target in terms of the time that we started.

I would like to make a suggestion,

particularly in the areas right here, say, in the

Tidewater Area, you might consider running some type of

open forum or workshop on the Hatch Act and its

implications as it relates to your voting rights and

educate the people and see how—you now, there are lots

of ways to attack this.

There is that apprehension or that misunderstanding of the Act perhaps and a lot of it has to do with a lot of things that people, you know, have in their bags so to speak with reference to values; it is a whole bag of things there that cause individuals

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 167
1	to feel the way you are expressing, and the same thing
2	with the people up in Northern Virginia. But we had
3	two super panelists. We want to thank you very much
4	for such a great job and we'll take a break to allow
5	the other panel to assemble.
6	(Applause).
7	
8	
9	·
10	PANEL ON CIVIL RIGHTS DEVELOPMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT IN
11	THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IN THE TIDEWATER AREA:
12	7:47 p.m.)
13	MRS. ZEAVIN: Hi, everyone. I'm Naomi
14	Zeavin. I'm the Advisory Committee member here, and we
15	are now on the last but not least panel on Civil Right
16	Developments and Enforcement in the Administration of
17	Justice in the Tidewater Area. We are going to be
18	reporting on bigotry and violence in relationship to
19	law enforcement and how it reflects in the Tidewater
20	Area.
21	I did a little research before I came
22	and I called the Human Relations Council in Fairfax

County so I can tell you about the Human Rights

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 168
1	Commission in the end of what they're doing in
2	comparison, and I spoke to someone from the Catholic
3	Council. We're going to start off with Miriam
4	Seeherman, Vice President of the Anti-Defamation Board
5	in Virginia Beach. We're happy to have you here.
6	MS. SEEHERMAN: Thank you. I serve on
7	the Regional Board as the Regional Vice Chairman of the
8	Anti-Defamation League and as a member of the Community
9	Relations Council of the United Jewish Federation of
10	Tidewater. What I'm doing this evening is actually to
11	demonstrate that we have a problem in the community of
12	bigotry and prejudice that affects all of the citizens.
13	I'm going to give to you a couple of
14	articles, one of which came from the Richmond Times
15	Dispatch of October 13, 1984, and it shows a picture of
16	a statue at the Blessed Sacrament Church which was
17	defaced; Blessed Sacrament Church is one block from my
18	home in Norfolk. Recently, it was reported to me that
19	there were also acts of vandalism at Norfolk Catholic
2 Ø	High School which is two blocks from my home in
21	Norfolk.
55	On Wednesday, July 31, 1985, "Norfolk

Church Desecrated By Vandals." This was the Glad

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 169

Tidings Church, and actually after some investigation it was discovered that this was the work of young people that had gone into the church and just ran amuck.

I have two more pieces here to demonstrate to you. They were printed and have been distributed by the Alamo Christian Church out of Alma, Arkansas, defaming the Catholic Church, the Pope, anybody that would have anything to do with the Catholic faith, including the President.

Actually, on this one has a big headline about genocide and actually genocide refers to the holocaust. I think that was when the word was first coined.

Another one on "The Pope's Secrets."

These two were found on the door of my car in the College Park area of Virginia Beach in the latter part of July or the beginning of August and somebody reported, also, that this material had been found one block from the Ocean Front on 25th Street, so this is very recent. I know that there were some people in Portsmouth that had been arrested for putting up billboards of this ilk.

I want to go on and talk a little bit about one more incident, I guess, that I ought to mention because I said it affects everybody. There is an Orthodox Jewish Cemetary in Norfolk that was defamed. Vandals in the cemetery turned over and I wouldn't say destroyed but certainly defaced, the stones and the graves, so it does affect everybody.

Now, I do want to go on to one other thing that had been brought to my attention. There is a problem with the hate groups and groups of the sort of the Arian nation or the Alamo Christian Church which are national organizations, this Alamo Christian Church has an 800 number for people to call and that is that there is a ripple effect when they get undo notariety.

For example, on a recent program, the program 20/20, there was a report on far right groups that espoused their being a Jewish conspiracy, Jewish bankers that will take over farms of people in trouble, and the group that was singled out to me is called the Posse Comitatas out of Wisconsin.

They are promoting violent means of handling a problem, arming themselves. They appeal to people who perhaps are vulnerable, perhaps are looking

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 171 for a scapegoat for their problems, and it really is a dangerous situation in that, if you have splinter groups elsewhere, there is always the fear that some crazies will go ahead and go ahead and take that doctrine for their own and believe what they are hearing.

The United Jewish Federation has had several telephone calls after that magazine program because there were people who were fearful that they would be the target of that kind of hate.

I guess I really brought it up to say that even though it may not be something that is spotlighted right here in the Tidewater community, we are affected by the national organizations and what they do elsewhere.

I think that I'll turn this over to somebody else right now.

MRS. ZEAVIN: Thank you. I just want to add this: Pat Garter, who is the Director for the State for the Catholic organization, this is what she told me has been plastered all over at the same time they were here. I don't know if Connie saw these. They were all over Alexandria, she said, thousands of them and they

Now, Senator Joe Canada.

SENATOR CANADA: Thank you very much. You know the problem of balance in the context of racial and religious bigotry is one that government alone cannot solve in my opinion. We should look at new laws to see if there is something that we need to do in the state of Virginia, or on a national level, but I think we have to do more than that.

I think our leaders on a national, state and local level have to speak out and become more vocal in expressing their outrage over criminal acts that deny any citizen the right to exercise their right based on religion, color, creed or national origin. I think the leadership of this country needs to speak out on these issues. I think we as a legislative body on a state and local and national level must look to see if there are any laws that would help, but I think the people are really the ones who have to speak out on it,

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 173
because people are really what makes our country go
around.

In our area of Tidewater there have been a number of instances that has already been mentioned, some of them about churches being vandalized. In many of the cases it's pretty clear that it was a religious situation where there was discrimination. Some of the vandalism could have been merely teenagers, I don't know, but there certainly is ample evidence that there is religious bigotry in our area.

In trying to get ready for this meeting night I talked with the Virginia Beach Police

Department, trying to find out what had happened over the last few years in terms of things that had been reported to our area. I found that we have had some reports.

For example, about four to four and—a—half years ago, Ku Klux Klan was in Virginia Beach on the boardwalk and had a great big meeting down there and there were scuffles and they had—nobody was injured but it was a very unpleasant situation. There had been posters that have been recently found and turned into the Virginia Beach Police Department

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 174

comparing the Pope to Hitler and other anti-catholic

material, some of which has been mentioned here night.

I was apprised of the fact that at the time the Beth Shalom Home right off Military Highway in the College Park area had things written on the walls out there and there have been complaints about vandalism and things of that nature, so we have had incidences in other area of antisemetic and anticatholic things that have taken place.

I think it is incumbent upon this meeting—I don't know if there is any press here, but we need to get the leaders, I think, to speak out more on these things because certainly this is not something that our country was founded on; it is not something that anybody that I know of condones, and we ought to do more to try to discourage it and get those people that are responsible put where they should be, in jail. If they violate the law, by gosh they ought to be put behind the bars and maybe that would stop some of crazies.

I was coming out of the Norfolk Circuit

Court about a year ago, and there I was stopped by

someone giving out these pamphlets. It was a different

I think that, of course, any religious leader in my opinion that advocates hatred of anybody is not only not a religious leader but is certainly dangerous, dangerous to everyone's rights, because we know and we see oftentimes people in the name of religion will do a lot of things which are detrimental to the rights of every person.

I for one would like to see that this Commission look into any uniform state laws that might help in this situation and pass it on to the various states. I'll be glad to answer any questions after we get moving a little bit.

MRS. ZEAVIN: I'll introduce Mr. Paul Lipkin, attorney and Vice President of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 Anti-Defamation League of Norfolk.

MR. LIPKIN: I'm kind of superfluous here with Mariam serving in the same capacity as I on the Anti-Defamation League. I have been involved in Anti-Defamation League work both in the Anti-Defamation League and the Jewish Community Relations Council.

Like Joe I am somewhat afraid of laws that are put into effect that may over react through acts of bigotry because first and foremost I'm a believer in the Bill of Rights. I'm a card carrying member of the ACLU and I fear over-compensation or overreaction to certain actions.

For example, the problem with the Alamo Foundation and their pamphlets could lead to laws that we could regret as American citizens. The way that it has been handled in the Norfolk area, or not being handled, the way it has been suggested is when it was discovered these pamphlets were being passed out at the Beth Shalom Home and placed on windows like Mariam's, the Director of the Jewish Community United Jewish Federation of Norfolk contacted the Regional Director of the Anti-Defamation League whose headquarters is in Richmond, and his suggestion by letter of August 9th

In fact, he said in this area during the past 18 months it has been distributed in Richmond, Charlottesville, Northern Virginia, and various cities in North Carolina. The National Office recommends that local anti-littering ordinances be invoked to combat such things, not to create new laws against; they may impinge upon our freedoms of speech and ability to go to the public, but to use existing laws where they are placed on your windows and you don't want them on your window. This is a violation of the law and that the use of the laws that are in effect would be most appropriate.

I will say this for the Norfolk police and the Virginia Beach police, when acts of violence or bigotry are called to their attention, they act vigorously and act well. I attended that Ku Klux Klan parade at the Beach several years ago on behalf of the Anti-Defamation League. I was an observer and I thought the police handled it very, very well, restricted them to a narrow area of Atlantic Avenue and

1 a very close, confined at the Holiday Inn or the Ramada

2 Inn and kept them from getting the publicity there was

3 and kept it from creating a fight because there were

4 many, many, anti-Ku Klux Klaners there who would like

5 to have mixed it up with them but the police handled

6 the beautifully.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Jewish Community Center of Norfolk once had an outreach program with a little house on Holland Road and there was some acts of desecration there with swastikas and other things placed on them.

The Virginia Beach police acted in a commendable manner and came and investigated as best they could. Similaraly, the Norfolk police have been sensitive to these acts of violence of bigotry when it is called to their attention.

Another way we have handled matters in this area without legislation is sometimes with pressure, with, say, economic pressure. Two weeks ago I received a call from Ira Gissin, again the same ADL Director, that he had received a phone call from reporters on the Norfolk Virginia Pilot who said that the following Sunday there was going to be an ad in the Green Section of the newspaper section, the TV section,

Well, he contacted—this was a reverse call—he contacted the Director of the Tidewater United Jewish Federation, and several of the advertisers, heavy advertisers of the Pilot were called and were told that this was going to appear and did they have any concerns. They, in turn, placed a call to the Pilot, and the answer was, "No, we never were going to publish it. It hadn't been approved."

It was baloney, because the newspaper reporter called back to the Director of the ADL and asked him what happened, how did it happen? But that was an effective way to combat it, we think.

Mariam has spoken of the various desecrations and the bigotry that is exhibited here.

We are in the Jewish community sensitive of it, find swastikas on overpasses and new construction of houses, and it hurts us, and we don't like it, and our reaction is to call the police and have them look into it and, of course, it is eliminated and we try to handle it as quitely as possible.

Also, the courts around this area are vigorous in defense of minorities or any—in punishing those who commit acts of desecration. I think that some of the people who have committed the desecrations at the Catholic church were apprehended; I'm not sure. My recollection is they were, and I believe that they were severely dealt with in the criminal courts in Norfolk. The problem of legislation, again, I say, it is a two-edged sword, and if we take away the liberties of one group, we could be taking away our own liberties.

MRS. ZEAVIN: Thank you very much. I just would like to say that the Chief of Police was here from Portsmouth, Joseph Kodeal, and he couldn't stay but I would like it to go into the minutes that he was nice enough to come. Also, since the Human Rights Commission in Fairfax County were kind enough to give me a report, they thought we wanted it but I see we're really talking about Tidewater here, so I would like to say he explained to me in this here.

If anyone is interested to see later how they make their reports for harassment, vandalism and trespassing, and how the Human Relations Commission

	Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 181
1	works, first usually it goes to the police department
2	and then comes to the Human Rights Commission, and they
3	work together and also with the county schools and the
4	fire department.
5	He said they had 49 incidents in 383 and
6	45 in 784. So I just want that in the minutes. Now
7	we'll go on with the questioning.
8	I would like to ask the Senator one
9	question how he feels about the Human Rights Commission
10	Bill that is before the Assembly for the state.
11	SENATOR CANADA: Let me ask you a couple
12	of questions about that before I answer it. What
13	happened in the 45 cases do you know?
14	MRS. ZEAVIN: I wish I could say he was
15	supposed to break it down but he didn't. He just said
16	there-what he sent me, also, were from Virginia, all
17	the different state laws which I showed you. I think I
18	will follow that up when I go back. Would you like me
19	to?
20	MRS. HOFFMAN: I'm not able to break
21	all 45 down for you, but I do know that there have been
22	some reported incidents in the schools.
23	SENATOR CANADA: Of what?

1	MRS. HOFFMAN: Primarily, problems
2	between Asian Americans or those who are recent Asian
3	Americans, and some high schools. There have been some
4	usual things like swastikas; there was a cross put on a
5	lawn, literature has been handed out; in other words,
8	about a year ago there was sort of an informal task
7	force established in the Northern Virginia area and, as
8	a result of thatI'm going to be very briefbut as a
9	result of that, what they wanted to do is have a
10	central place where any report of bigotry or violence
11	could be documented, could be kept, so it was the
12	Fairfax County Commission that undertook that role.
13	It's the only agency that I know of is trying to keep
14	record.

SENATOR CANADA: Is that the primary purpose of the Commission or what else do they do?

MRS. HOFFMAN: Virginia has two commissions in Northern Virginia, the Alexandria Human Rights Commission and the Fairfax County Human Rights Commission, and the role of those two Commissions would be to handle complaints of discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, which is how this comes in, religion, handicap, I think, and I think in some cases

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 183 they are handling marital status and political 1 affiliation. Local ordinances are sometimes a little 2 3 broader than federal laws which would not include marital status or political affiliation. 4 5 By the way how these Commissions became involved was because they are in the habit of 6 7 collecting information about discrimination? 8 SENATOR CANADA: What authority do they have other than collecting the information? 9 10 MRS. HOFFMAN: They have the same kind 11 of authority that the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity 12 Committee would have that we heard from here earlier to handle employment discrimination. They receive 13 14 money from EEOC to handle employment. They also have 15 authority to handle housing discrimination and they've 16 applied for equal status under the law with HUD. I'm 17 not sure whether you gotten that yet, have you? 18 MR. RING: Maybe I ought to elaborate on that, Joe, a little bit. Alexandria has a Human Rights 19 20 Ordinance. There is a substantial legal question under 21 Dillan's Rule as to whether or not the ordinance has legal validity. No one has challenged it, and it has 22 23 been recognized under, I think it is, Section 706 of

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 184

the EEOC as a designated agency to handle complaints of
equal opportunity and to handle complaints from HUD

with respect to housing discrimination. They are
reimbursed by the federal government at the standard
rate for those investigations.

They follow due process procedures

They follow due process procedures including hearings. There is a heavy stress upon mediation and conciliation.

SENATOR CANADA: Do they have subpoenae powers?

MR. RING: They are granted subpoense power but it has never been exercised. If it were, there might be difficulty in terms of being able to implement it. It would require a court order, obviously, to secure the judicial enforcement of the subpoense if it were issued.

To date, people would voluntarily comply without the necessity of getting a formal subpoenae. It is in this context that both the Alexandria Human Rights Commission and the Fairfax Human Rights

Commission have sought and supported the effort to have state legislation which would clearly legitimize their role.

opportunity for local people to deal locally with matters of discrimination; it has the advantage just cited that you frequently can do this on a low key basis and achieve more than is sometimes achieved by open confrontation and, lastly, it is faster and quicker and less expensive than going either through a state agency or to the federal government.

The average delay in the EEOC is in the neighborhood of 18 months to two years. Matters are resolved by the Alexandria Human Rights Commission normally within a 60- to 90-day period of time.

SENATOR CANADA: Thank you. I think that was very helpful to us.

I think I need to hear more about it.

MRS. ZEAVIN: Would anyone like to say
anything, any questions of this panel? Mr. Mason?

MR. MASON: W.T. Mason, Jr. I'm from
Norfolk and I'm an attorney. I do not have the benefit
of the prior discussion because of my time of arrival.

There are two or three areas of concern that as a

citizen I'd like to touch upon and, if any of the

panelists would care to address any of those areas, I

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 186 think it would be helpful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

One of the areas that I--and I think a number of areas that I am concerned about—is the inequality of sentencing for people who appear to have somewhat similar backgrounds and records that seem to most heavily fall on minorities. I understand that there is a committee of the legislature--and I don't know whether it is House or the Senate or a joint committees. I've forgotten which now-that has in affect been looking into that matter and has been holding hearings around the state; however, as far as I know, I have not seen any report that they have issued as a result of their hearings, so I don't know what the status of that matter is. I suspect that as with many of those committees of that type, they'll come out with certain findings and with certain recommendations with regard to possible legislation.

Another area of concern is the fact that in so much of the judicial system there are so many areas where the judicial system touches on people's lives and the need to be certain that there is complete and full integration not only in terms of minorities and blacks but of women, and this goes from the bench-

SENATOR CANADA: You're talking about

2 the selection of judges, the way the system--

MR. MASON: Not only judges but I'm

talking about probation, your parole system, your

prison, administrators and—they don't call them

guards—correctional officers, and the fact that they

need training, but that is a different subject. That

is another area of concern.

A third area of concern is this whole problem of the fact that there seems to be a much greater, much higher, much disproportionate number of minorities who end up in the penal system much greater than their representation in the overall population,

and, of course, many of these problems are related.

But a second part of that problem is the fact that it seems to be a revolving door. Once you get into the system, the system does not seem to be designed to rehabilitate people, but it seems to be designed to put them in a revolving door situation; release people from prison—and I'm not even talking about whether they should be there or not, but the fact is they come out and they don't have jobs. You haven't done the work and the kind of program that is designed

SENATOR CANADA: I think that you put your finger on an area that a lot of people are very concerned about, and let me just expand upon that for a minute. The first, about the sentencing inequality, and I fully agree with you. I'm a lawyer, as you know, also, and prior to being a defense lawyer I was a prosecutor in Virginia Beach for a little over two years.

So I've seen firsthand both sides of the fence, you may say, trying to put them in jail and now trying to get them out. I have been in every jail in the Tidewater Area and most of the road camps, but only as a visitor. I would say there is an inequality and the inequality, in my opinion, has a lot to do with many factors that probably we have no control over, but some of the factors we have control over.

It is my fervent belief that, if we had a change in other sentencinging structure, that we would have less people in the penitentiary, that we

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved

would have less drug problems, that we would have less murders. I feel very strongly about mandatory sentences, because when you have a mandatory sentence and it says "if you do this, you get so many years," a minimum mandatory sentence. Whether you're rich or poor or black or white you're going to be treated the same way to some degree and it doesn't happen now. We all know there is discrimination and I think there is.

So I believe that we need mandatory sentences and that would hopefully have some impact on

Your second point was the selection of, in essence—I interpreted your remarks to be the selection of judges and related personnel. I feel very strongly in Virginia we need to change our system of selecting judges, and I believe that we need to have judges selected on the merit system, somewhat modeled after what they do in Missouri.

In Missouri they have citizen

commissions that sit in the community and they

recommend in Hampton or Newport News or Virginia Beach

or wherever you may be two or three names that go to

the Legislature and these people can be screened at the

the inequality you pointed out.

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved local level.

I think in Northern Virginia it is my understanding that the delegation from that area does something similar to that but it doesn't happen to this state as a rule. I believe that, if you had more citizen input, that we would see a broader representation of women and minorities in our judicial system.

I first introduced that bill which was a Modified Missouri Plan about six years ago in the Virginia General Assembly and it didn't get very far but the State Bar Association came out in favor of it and many other groups—and the chairman of the Courts of Justice Committee introduced it and it got out of the Senate, but it got killed in the House. So there is some hope for that bill. We have to keep pushing and I think that would have an impact and the things that I mentioned will not be a perfect solution to any of the problems that you pointed out.

The third is a very good point about prisoners who go to the penitentiary and what do they learn. Oftemtimes they learn how to make license plates and where can you get a job making license

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 191
plates? There's not but one place, back in the
penitentiary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In my opinion there needs to be more emphasis on programs, and if we had—this is my theory, anyway, for whatever it is worth and I guess everybody's theory is worth about as much as anybody else's theory--but I believe very strongly that the key to try to do something about the crime problem is mandatory sentences so we tell a drug dealer from out of state or in state, if you are involved in a large quantity of drugs in Virginia, you are going for a minimum mandatory time. because drups reach down everywhere. Rhey are hurting this community. They are hurting that community and tearing down our society and perhaps we would have less people eventually in our judicial system, and we could spend more of the dollars we have on trying to rehabilitate people, because right now we don't have enough money, and a state is not unlike anyybody else in this room and your own budget at home. You have so much money. You can't buy a new car, and if you save for it, you're lucky you can do it in 10 or 15 years.

The state is like that. We have so many

I think we've got to do something to help try to rehabilitate people who are going to come out of the penitentiary and they are going back to the communities and, if we don't do something, revolving door as you pointed out, turns again and they go right straight back in there or they hurt somebody in the interim.

MR. BOSTIC: Senator, just piggybacking on your comments, sir, it's fine to suggest that we have this training for them in other areas, but is there any kind of way that the state can come up with some type of compensation or whatever for employers that might hire them because that's really where the problem is, that the person that goes in prison has

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 194 do it because of liability problems or whatever and they are afraid to take the chance when they can find someone that hasn't been in. I think your comments are very well received and I think we need to look into some type of tax incentive or something to get the persons back in a job situation that can be productive because, if we don't, we find that they end up, when people get hungry, they are going to steal and if anybody says they aren't is a liar, because they do steal when they get hungry.

If you don't have a job, you're going to get hungry at some point and are going to get into trouble. Again, I want to say something again about drugs. I feel that the drug problem we have in this country is the greatest threat to our future of any problem we have, and I see it everyday. I think that the Russians or whoever they are over there that are trying to take us over don't have to worry about guns and nuclear weapons; that the drugs are going to take care of it if we don't do something about it.

MR. LIPKIN: I'd like to take a shot at Bill Mason's question and I don't agree with Joe Canada but that doesn't surprise me, . I think Joe is

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 195
too severe and his program is too severe for mandatory
jall sentences. I believe that there are other things
that should be done.

First, Virginia is one of only two or three states where the jury sets the sentence as well as convicts, and it is used as a weapon by prosecutors very often to make a defendant plead guilty rather than face a jury on very unpopular type of things—drugs, pornography, because they feel that the jury will try to set an example for that person in that community and that sentence will be disproportionate to sentences elsewhere.

I believe that the judge should do the sentencing like in the federal system and in 90 percent or more of our states. I think this, I think that there should be legislative appropriate sentences for a crime and any deviation from the appropriate sentence by the judge he would have to explain in writing.

I think he would have to give his reasons for deviating from the appropriate sentence. don't believe in mandatory sentencing. I've been at this for 34 years practicing law. I've never prosecuted, Joe, but I just think that is the wrong

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved tact to take.

I also think that this should be legislated, that an appeal can be taken from the sentence. Right now, if the sentence is within the range and the range is 10 to 20 and the judge puts 20 on, and everybody else, or the average in the state, had been 15, there is no appeal from that sentence because it is within the permissible range. I believe with our new Court of Appeals there is room for appeal from harsh sentences and should be.

As far as the minorities in the administration of justice, I think that will be corrected, Bill. I think it is being corrected. I think it is slow but laws have always been slow. I think it is slow but laws have always been slow. I think that the legislature where Joe Canada sits is the ultimate selector of the judges and that's where the correction will come. Now the people, the pressure groups who nominate or bar associations—but no legislator is bound by the bar association's choice.

As far as the revolving door, I don't know how to answer that. We know that rehabilitation is not an appropriate reason for sentencing anymore; that rehabilitation is a myth. It's society's need to

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 197
protect itself is what punishment is about, and as far
as someone being rehabilitated in prison, I haven't
seen that person yet. So I don't know how to answer
it.

İ

I think a lot of it is economics. I think things change because of the economy. I think, if the minority that you are talking about, Bill, black, I think it is because of their economic status as Joe said that people hungry will steal, and I think that's just part of it, but I think it has to do with the economics rather than the other.

MR. CHERRY: It is a hard act to follow. I think that something that also deals with the administration of justice is catching whatever it is that allows people to get into the system, into the jail system that get into the revolving door that continue to go around and end up wherever.

I think that is the condition that allows a person to go to jail, those young people.

There are enough studies around, enough statistical data and enough experts around to say these are the changes that encourage or ppush people into that system.

1 I'm thinking that at the legislative
2 level or where you are that's the kind of thing that
3 could be addressed in keeping with issues that
4 encourage or push people into the system that
5 eventually end up in front of a judge and the prison
6 into the revolving door.

So I would encourage you and others and myself, also, to take a look at what it is that getting people into that system and preventing that or cutting it off at the pass.

SENATOR CANADA: I think your exactly right. One of the things—I was chairman of a task force on missing children last year, missing and exploited children in Virginia and we had public hearings all over the state and so forth and we're looking at that problem, but you couldn't help seeing other problems when you look at that problem.

If you have a runaway, that runaway is gone for more than six weeks, there is a very good chance that that child will really be either killed or messed up for life and they end up in this revolving system of justice if they live because of prostitution, child pornography and the rest of it.

My friend Paul Lipkin and I do disagree on various things in the criminal justice system but one of the worst things that can ever happen if the legislature changed the law like he says and allows the judge to do all the sentencing. I think Virginia has the best system. You have a hanging judge or get a weak judge, you'restuck with him. We have a judge in Danville, gave 125 years for possession of marijuana. If you have a judge like that, you have no alternative but to be tried in front of the same type of sentencing day after day after day.

In Virginia we say you can take a jury and we fought that battle every year that I've been up there, and I think Virginia system is good. You get no uniformity when you get federal judges. They send out questionaires to federal judges with the same case on it, and you got anywhere from probation to 20 years on the result that would come under the same set of facts. So I think that the Virginia system is good. I think it allows for flexibility and I think it allows communities input into the system.

MRS. ZEAVIN: One more.

MRS. HOFFMAN: The question that I have

United Way of Tidewater as well as the social service

agencies in the Jewish communities.

22

a

Right now, in Nortolk there is a
planning committee as part of the United Way and each
of the communities has these planning committees
Virginia Beach, Chesapeake, Portsmouthin trying to
determine what the biggest needs are to study, and a
panel of people who have looked into some of the
problems and each community will have their own study.
They have looked at "latch key" children; they have
looked at the homeless, those people that may suddenly
find themselves without jobs, without having any
recourse to the social service agencies because they
have too much money but they don't have enough money to
hold on to their own homes, lose the homes because they
can't pay the mortgages.

They are looking at problems of the elderly and so on. There is no organized effort that I know of except in cases like this where each community looks at its own constituency to see what the needs are. Once they find out what the needs are, I guess the best way to describe it is to go into some long-range planning to try and find how to meet the needs and then have plan A, B, and C; if you can get the money, this is what you can do. If you can get the

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 202 resources and the people and the food bank and so on, this is what you can do, and if you don't get it, then you have to try and find the means to satisfy the solutions or the best solution for those needs.

There are family service agencies here.

The Navy has its own family service agency on Hampton

Boulevard in Norfolk. I think because of the transient

nature of the community and because we are not an

industrial community, the availability of making

changes economically is very limited. There are—let

me give you an example, maybe it will help.

Several years ago when my children were in the public school system, they became friendly with a little boy who was a stepson, stepfather was in the Navy. The little boy was about eight years old and was getting in trouble in school.

When I had reported back that this child was really very good when he was in our neighborhood, when he was in my home, the principal had said, "You've got enough problems. Maybe you'd better leave this one alone." Maybe that's part of what we have to look at, if there are problems being reported, is someone saying "maybe you'd we are leave it alone" or is somebody

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 203 saying, "Hey, we've got some means to counsel, to help this family or this child to grow up so he doesn't or she doesn't get in that revolving door".

Sometimes you've got to spend money to save money.

MRS. HOFFMAN: More or less what I had in mind we have the gray-haired legislature, for example, that will go to the General Assembly with a nice slate of things that they want, but do we have something that is called "The Legislature for Discrimination Based on Race" or "The Legislature for Bigotry, Violence," or a task force, or do we have any means of really knowing and having the interest of people represented in the General Assembly, except through special groups lobbying for special things?

I don't think you're going to have drug addicts or other people coming, saying, "I'm into this problem because I was discriminated against on the basis of race." I am really seeking, therefore, an approach that would allow people to talk about discrimination when it occurs in kindergarten, when the mother can't find housing because she has children.

If you don't tackle all those problems

FROM THE FLOOR: That's when you need a Civil Rights Commission.

MR. LIPKIN: Again, I must go back to why I'm here—that's as an Anti-Defamation League member. I remember a kid in the Martha Washington Hospital at the Beach someone having a sign on the lawn, "White Christians Only." I grew up with that.

The Anti-Defamation League very quitely had a law passed which prohibited that some years and years ago before the Civil Rights Commission, so I guess what I'm saying is that the the Jewish people have formed a defense agency because of their concern with discrimination, and it's not just limited to discrimination against the Jews as I have demonstrated by the Ku Klux Klan which is probably anti, certainly

	copyright (c) 1985 HII Rights Reserved 205
1	is anti-Jewish, anti-black. They try to fight
2	discrimination wherever it is because, if it is not
3	against one group, it is going to be against another.
4	We know that discrimination,
5	antisemetism especially, is intricately involved with
6	the economy. When things are bad there is more
7	discrimination, and we, through our Anti-Defamation
8	League, lobby. We have ways of introducing bills
9	because, basically, when you show a senator like Joe
10	Canada or a member of the House of Delegates unfairness
11	of what it is, which he may not be aware of at the
12	time, but you show it to him, demonstrate, there is no
13	problem of getting a Joe Canada to sponsor a law that
14	fights that discrimination. Again, as I say, you've
15	got to watch out for your laws because it could be a
16	whiplash effect.
17	MR. KRUMBEIN: Charles Krumbein. With
18	all due respect, Paul, the individual members of the
19	Anti-Defamation League may communicate with legislators
20	but the Anti-Defamation League does not lobby.
21	MR. LIPKIN: Very good. Save our tax
22	status, right.
23	MRS. ZEAVIN: Any other?

MR. MASON: One small comment. I think

sometimes, as I think some people have indicated, many

of these problems are sort of entwined with one

another, and we have a tendency to try to segment these

problems and deal with them as a separate problem.

Sometimes you can do that; sometimes you can't.

The revolving door situation I think is one that you might tie that in with the problems that youngsters have. Those of us who have occasion to see the operation in the juvenile courts, and it doesn't matter where they are, you can see budding prospective guests of the state right there in juvenile court. It's just a question of time before they get to be adults and they'll be going either to our local jails or they'll be headed for the penitentiary.

That's a serious problem, and it deserves our attention as you pointed out and as others have pointed out. Part of the problem, if you just are going to focus on that for a moment, is what resources are available to the juvenile authorities in dealing with a serious problem that a youngster has. It could be for a lot of reasons.

Ultimately, the strongest thing they

Copyright (c) 1985 All Rights Reserved 207 have is to commit that youngster, but, short of that, they have certain community resources that are available, and it just seems to me that we may need to strengthen these resources. I hate to suggest that there are times when a youngster needs to be removed from his environment but not necessarily committed to a state institution. There should be this halfway situation.

With regard to the revolving door as a applies to adults, while we cannot neglect the potential criminals that we see among our youth, we've still got to do something with those who are already adults, who are already in the system, and there are programs which have been tried on a limited basis, perhaps on a demonstration basis, and I believe they have one in Virginia Beach if the senator—

MR. MASON: Diversion program. Now they've got facilities here in Norfolk which the feds use upon 20th Street, and they use it two ways: to send people there in lieu of sending them to a federal facility, and on the way back to have them assigned to one of these houses for three months as part of their.

SENATOR CANADA: Diversion program?

We're not making very much use of that here in Virginia, the diversion program, and I certainly think that is an area that deserves a great deal more attention. It is a heck of a lot cheaper to send somebody to one of these programs than it is to spend \$50,000 per cell to build another prison. If you're going to be on the cost benefit ratio on just on that factor alone, it would demand that we spend and use the monitor conversion where appropriate. Thank you.

MRS. ZEAVIN: I think it is late and I think everyone did a wonderful job and you kept your eyes on to the end. It shows what a good panel we had here. Thank you very much. I think we'll have a lot of good points to go on to on this panel next time. I think that there helped us with some questions, don't you think, Mr. Bostic? Thank you everybody.

(Applause).

8:48 p.m.

7 %

Ţ