ORIGINAL



1	
2	
3	NEVADA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE
4	UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
12	OF
13	PROCEEDINGS
14	Taken on Friday, August 10, 1984
15	At nine o'clock a.m.
16	At Maxim Hotel
17	160 East Flamingo Road
18	Las Vegas, Nevada
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Kathleen J. Heard, C.S.R. 163
CCD	

CCR 3 Meet. 325

Associated Reporters of Nevada

411 EAST BONNEVILLE AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Nevada Advisory Committee:	SUSAN DeLUCA, Chairperson EDITA SILVERO
3		CANDICE SADER MERLE SNIDER
4		STEVEN T. WALTHER
5		PAUL MONTEZ, Regional Director JEFF WALLACE
6		
7		* * * *
8	:	SPEAKERS
9		Page
10	L. A. Velarde, Jr.	· 6
11	Lubertha Johnson	31
12	Robert Y. Rivas	47
13	Richard W. Arnold	71
14	Asa Begaye	83
15	Juan Lujan	89
16	Suzanne Ernst	109
17	Jesse D. Scott	119
18	Wayne Strimling	119 .
19	Asa Begaye	149
20		* * * * *
21		* **
2 2		; ;
23		
24		
25	I will be a second	

MS. DeLUCA: This meeting of the Nevada

Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil

Rights will now come to order. We are convened here today

to gather information on civil rights concerns in Nevada and

recommendations to resolve these problems.

I am Susan DeLuca, chairperson of the Nevada Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee receives information and makes recommendations to the Commission in areas which the Committee or any of its subcommittees is authorized to study.

Other members of the Committee in attendance during the meeting will be: Edita Silvero, Candice Sader,

Merle Snider and Steve Walther, W-a-l-t-h-e-r. Also with us today are staff from the Commission's Western Regional Office.

I'd like to introduce our regional director, Paul Montez, right here, and Jeff Wallace from the Western Regional Office.

This fact-finding meeting is being held pursuant to federal rules applicable to state advisory committees and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States government established by Congress in 1957 and reauthorized in 1983 and directed to:

l. Investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason

of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices;

- 2. Study and collect information concerning illegal developments constituting discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or in the administration of justice;
- 3. Appraise federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination of denial of equal protection of the laws;
- 4. Serve as a national clearinghouse for information about discrimination; and
- 5. Submit reports, findings, and recommendations to the President and Congress.

I would like to emphasize that this is a fact-finding meeting and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with the Committee information relating to the subject of today's inquiry. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the Committee.

Since this is a public meeting, the press and radio and television stations, as well as individuals, are welcome. Persons meeting with the Committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised. In this case, we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this meeting. In the unlikely event that this situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the persons making these statements and request that they desist in their action and such information will be stricken from the record, if necessary. If the comments a person is offering, however, are of sufficient importance, the Committee will hear the information. In that event, the persons against whom allegations are made will have ample opportunity to respond by making statements before the Committee or submitting written statements, if they desire.

ž ,

Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable about the progress in the area to be dealt with here today. In addition, we have allocated time this afternoon to hear from anyone who wishes to share information with the Committee about the state of civil rights. Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact Commission staff.

We are now ready to begin.

We have with us Mr. Louie Velarde, Junior who's the regional director for the Southwest Area Office Immigration and Refugee Services of the U.S. Catholic Conference Rights. Louie, a welcome to you coming all the way from Texas. I really appreciate you coming, so go ahead

with your comments.

MR. VELARDE: First of all, Madam Chairman,

I would like to thank you for inviting me and also for

giving the okay. I'm going to keep my remarks to a very

limited time period because you are on a very tight schedule.

For those of you that weren't down in

El Paso, let me give you a little bit of background of who I

am. I am from El Paso and I work with the Catholic Church

and I do immigration and refugee work and have been doing

this for the last nine years. I have a regional office that

covers nine states. Four of the nine states border the

U.S.-Mexican border.

The reason that I was invited here is to bring you up to date on what has become a very, very hot issue during this political year and if passed or not passed will have some civil rights ramifications and it will affect the people in your state. I'm talking principally now of the piece of legislation that is pending in Congress called Simpson-Mizzoli. For those of you that aren't much aware of this piece of legislation, it deals with basic reform of the present immigration law. It contains basically the following parts and I'll hit each part and then describe it.

Both the Simpson part in the Senate and the Mizzoli part in the House contain what are called employer sanctions. In essence, this is a penalty to any employer who

in essence hires undocumented aliens. The provisions are different in some parts.

First of all, the legislation says that if any employer hires four or more people, he is subject to penalties if he hires undocumented aliens. To protect himself, the employer can and must have on record some proof that the individual was screened to see if he was eligible to work.

Some of the screening materials are things like a U.S. passport, a naturalization certificate, your birth certificate and here is the area where I think Congress has kind of let the employer off scot-free. He can also ask for a social security card and a driver's license. All of you can look in your wallets or purses and find out that basically you have these but it doesn't say if you are legal, not legal, if you are from Mars or wherever, so the employer can get off scot-free there.

The House version does not require that the President set up a national ID system, where the Senate version requires that the Senate gives the President the authority to come back to them within three years and see if they can come up with some national ID system where an individual can present it to the employer saying, I'm eligible to work.

Also, the House version requires that money

,2

be spent, given to the Attorney General for a toll-free number where an employer can call in, gives a social security number and then verifies if it's been issued correctly or fraudulently. The Senate version doesn't have that.

a little bit different. The House version is a little more liberal than is the Senate version. The House version says after six months transition for the first time they give you a citation. The second time it's a civil penalty of a thousand dollars per individual that you have hired that's illegal and the third occasion you are subject to a \$2,000 fine.

The difference in the Senate version is that the fines are basically the same but they're not civil, they're criminal which is a little more serious.

It also forbids in both the House and Senate, that employers are forbidden to discriminate against people because of their national origin, color, religion.

It also forbids in both the House and Senate version that immigration officers cannot go into open fields without having search warrants and the consent of the farmer.

There's also a provision in the House and in the Senate that there is to be stiffer penalties for anybody who crosses over illegal commercially what we call

alien smuggling.

There is another provision here that talks about money. The House version does not put forth as much money as the Senate version. There's a difference of the House version authorizes 80 million, Senate version authorizes 200 million.

As far as changing also basic immigration law, the House version is a little more liberal. It doesn't eliminate any of the categories that are presently in the law. It allows Mexico and Canada, our neighbors, additional numbers and it keeps the whole idea of family unification intact.

The other controversial area is the part dealing with temporary foreign workers. In both versions they allow an increase of up to 500,000 foreign workers to come in here and work the fields.

The House version is a lot more liberal in that it allows them to come in, work for any farmer that they want for a period of six months as long as they're looking for a job, so a Mexican can come in and say, I want to go to work in the United States as an agriculture worker and I want to go to Arizona or California. He can be given a permit and during the six months he doesn't even have to work as long as he's looking.

The other thing is that the House version

 allows the farmer to go scot-free. If he has, let's say, a hundred undocumented workers in the fields, they allow him to keep those 100 the first year. The next year he can keep 67 percent of them. The following year he can keep 33 percent of them. The people in California, of course, are very much afraid of this particular provision because it would cause all of the efforts of people like Caesar Chavez to go down the drain.

Right now temporary workers from Mexico last year numbered in the neighborhood of 200. If this bill passes, you are talking about half a million people being allowed to come in on a temporary basis.

The other thing that's very controversial is the whole provision dealing with immigration, calling it legalization. The President calls it amnesty. It talks about those that are already here, allowing them to remain here and the government would give them legal status. That's as permanent residents. The controversy revolves around the cutoff date.

The Senate version uses the earliest cutoff date as 1980. The House version uses the '82 date. The Senate version, which is Simpson, has passed the Senate with almost four to one in favor of it. In the House, right before the Democratic Convention, the House version passed it by six votes. The controversy revolved around employer

sanctions and around the amnesty provisions because the language was different. The law requires that Joint Committee conference of the House and Senate get together and try to work out a compromise. Right now, that committee, that Joint Committee of House and Senate has not gotten together. Neither the House nor the Senate leadership has named the conferees. At this point O'Neil is trying to hold off as long as he can, until after the Republican Convention, and there's a very, very good possibility that this piece of legislation will not sail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The President has already come out and supported the Simpson version. This surprises me because in many individual sections of this new legislation it's not as conservative as some people think. The only reason the President has come out and said, I support the Senate version, is because on amnesty the cutoff date doesn't include as many people, therefore, it is said that it won't cost as much money to implement. This, again, surprises me because it's not going to cost the government anything to run the legalization program. Surely they were going to have to have more personnel. Surely they're going to have to have a great deal more assistance to handle millions of people, but the way it's set up, the people that come forward must pay a fee, a processing fee of a hundred dollars a person. Well, if you are talking five- to six million people coming in and at a

-8

9,.

))_{**}> 11

21:

hundred dollars a head, the program will pay for itself.

The latest word that I get is that Simpson has met with the President. He wants the conference committees to get together on the 6th of September, right after they come back from the Labor Day holiday. He still is optimistic in feeling that it's going to pass. Apparently the immigration services do, too, because they invited me to Washington last week to discuss the legalization program nationwide, if and when this thing breaks, so they're still optimistic that it's going to pass.

Now, how will it affect the people in Nevada? You would be very much surprised to find out that many of the hotels and restaurants in Las Vegas hire a great many undocumented aliens, especially in the services. I was at the immigration building yesterday and was told that most of the people that are rounded up are not only Mexicans but Central Americans and they work in the services. They work as hotel maids, chambermaids. They work in kitchens and they work in construction. In fact, the gentleman that runs the immigration office here said that if they ever raided all the hotels and motels, that it would be as devastating as the strike that took place recently here.

Now, the INS office does have a branch office right here in Las Vegas. They conduct all kinds of services. They are a part of the San Francisco district office but the

word is that they soon will become part of the Phoenix district office because Phoenix is closer. There is a detention facility here in Las Vegas. This detention facility is the first in the country that's co-educational where they have the men on the bottom floor and the women on the top floor. I understand that because of financial constraints that the immigration service has now lowered the amount required for a bond and when I was up here six months ago, that detention facility had close to 120 people here and it only has 12 right now because they've lowered the bonds so much.

They also had what they called a West Glen detention facility. This was for women and children where they would hold women and children apart from the rest of the population. That has been closed.

The immigration service is down to a very small number of investigators so even though you might pick up the phone and call them and say, I know of so and so working in such and such a place, chances are that the immigration service does not have the personnel to go pick them up.

One thing that we have seen lately, and this is the type of newspaper reports that are coming out daily, front page type of things, that Simpson keeps saying that if this bill is not passed that the Hispanic and the foreign-

-11

* 14

looking, those with accents applying for jobs will be discriminated more than they are already, that more people will be subject to deportation and the Hispanic leadership, some of whose organization will speak to you today—principally LULAC—has said that it's just a smoke screen that Simpson is putting up to try to get the bill passed. I don't necessarily believe it's a smoke screen.

I worked on this thing on a daily basis and I have seen in the last month and a half to two months the immigration service issuing what are called Order to Show Cause and taking people to deportation hearings, people that have been allowed to remain here for years because the wife is a citizen, because the kids are citizens, for various reasons, and all of a sudden and I don't know why, I have no answer for it, all of a sudden now you have the immigration service calling forward the very people who would be the first in line for amnesty if it passed.

In my meeting last week at the central office with INS, I told them that I felt that the only way legalization would work if and when it passed was the immigration service to show good faith, that, yes, this was a benefit program; yes, they were going to give as many people amnesty as qualified. What they're saying at the central office and what is going on in the field is a completely different attitude.

`6

12.

_

. 15 . 16

Now, what if it does pass? Every employer in this state, to protect them, is going to be sujected to screening all of his employees to make sure that they're U.S. citizens or resident aliens. If they don't have a file and they get raided, then they're going to be subject to penalties. I said this in El Paso and I'll say it here in Las Vegas.

The black community was never concerned about the immigration issue. They weren't concerned about it because it wasn't something that was part of their background. In the last year and a half, the black community, especially along the border and some of the eastern states, have been subjected to the same type of screening that the Mexican alien has been. The reason for this is the tremendous influx from the Caribbean, principally Haitians who happen to be black.

The big headlines in Las Vegas in the last two or three days dealt with blacks. I don't know if you read the paper yesterday or day before yesterday about the apprehension and reincarceration of Marielito Cubans that went to Atlanta. If a black is approached and keeps his mouth shut, then you can't tell where he's from, but if he starts speaking like a Marielito Cuban or he's from Jamaica, then he will immediately be identified as possibly being foreign.

1_.

,14

The Indian has come under that same kind of scrutiny, the Indian who speaks very good English, but he speaks English too well because he learned English, so whatever way this piece of legislation goes, there appears to be more of a policing action being put into operation by the immigration service.

I'll just leave it at that and let you all ask any questions that you may have.

You might be interested in knowing that California, as well as the Texas agents, have conducted studies in the past concerning such things as border patrol activities, raids on businesses, EEOC affirmative action—type of things, and the Texas agency of which I am a member is going to look at the study that we did five years ago and go back and see if there has been any improvement since the last study.

In a meeting in El Paso, there was a very, very strong consensus that perhaps the Western Region and the Southern or Southwest Region who had mutual problems might, perhaps, get together after some fact-finding hearings and conduct similar hearings.

Is it the same practice patterns practiced by the immigration service on interrogation, and, like, down in the Lower Rio Grande Valley, does it take place down in the Lower Rio Grande Valley and Sunnyside Row in California? Are

the Dallas raids of which there was one conducted last week—When I was going to Washington, I read in the paper there was a big raid on a restaurant. Low and behold, the two people that were arrested and apprehended for standing up for their rights as citizens were not Mexican, they weren't Cuban.

One happened to have been born in Lebanon and the other one was born in Czechoslovakia and both were U.S. citizens, but because they had an accent, they were arrested on the spot, not given time to give proof that they were U.S. citizens, and only after being incarcerated for seven hours were they allowed to have someone come and present proof that they were U.S. citizens. So whatever way their bill goes, I think that we're going to have this whole question of immigration issue staring the general public in the face for a long, long time to come.

Thank you.

MS. DeLUCA: Thank you.

Questions from anyone?

MS. SILVERO: I have one or two questions.

When you talk about penalties with the Senate version, you discussed three penalties. One was a citation, number two was a penalty of \$1,000, number three a penalty of \$2,000.

What happened to number four, was that eliminated?

MR. VELARDE: No. In the Senate version they're not as charitable as the House version. They don't

4.

give you the citation the first time around. They don't give you a warning, they fine you right away. It's a thousand dollar fine, a \$2,000 fine and then possible imprisonment the third time around. If an employer hasn't learned by the second time he's been fined that he better not have these people working for him, then he's going to be subject to prison.

In the House version, it's different. They're going to warn you and say, look here, the law, here's where you have violated it and you are subject to a fine next time around, civil fine. They figure that if they scared him enough that first time, the second time that he comes forward he won't get bail.

MS. SILVERO: Two other questions. You were talking about undocumented people here in service in the hotel. Do they have--does immigration service have--do they have an idea how many of them are there?

MR. VELARDE: No. I think you can go down to the roulette wheel downstairs and put your chip on any number and then just multiple it by 10,000. It's as good a figure as anybody else will get. They do know that the influx has increased. They do know that it's a lot easier for, let's say, a Central American who has gotten as far as Los Angeles to be able to get to Las Vegas.

MS. SILVERO: Thank you.

³23

MR. SNIDER: Could you identify the PAC's that are opposing the Simpson-Mizzoli Bill, the Political Action Committees, PAC's?

MR. VELARDE: It's a real funny combination. There are marriages taking place out there that have always been at opposite ends of many issues who are now sitting on the same side of the table but for different reasons. The employer does not want sanctions because it's going to hurt him in the pocketbook. The Hispanic community does not want sanctions because it's going to cause possible discrimination in the hiring practices, so, you know, there's real funny coalitions that go on.

To show you how far-fetched these coalitions can be and these attitudes, Kennedy, who is as liberal as you can get on the Democratic side of the Senate, voted against the Senate version, so did Helms, who's on the very opposite end of the political spectrum, but they voted for it for different reasons.

This was the one piece of legislation that I have seen in my 19 years of immigration work that has no continuity, per se, for the whole thing; maybe for certain parts of it, but not for the whole thing. Maybe it was purposefully written that way, I don't know.

They tried to come up with too much in the package instead of individual pieces of legislation, but

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

. 21

22

23

24

. 25 those that started amnesty said, we'll never get it unless we compromise on sanctions, employer sanctions, and those that said, we'll never get employer sanctions, passed by itself. We'll go ahead and compromise on this whole question of amnesty.

MS. DeLUCA: I have two quick questions.

What was your feeling about the attitude of INS here to the sensitivity to these issues, and secondly, how does the Hispanic community feel about the so-called amnesty provisions of this bill?

MR. VELARDE: First of all, I think the attitudes of the local immigration service is more in the area of enforcement than it is in providing service, all of the service-oriented programs, such as citizenship, such as family reunification cases are no longer done here locally, they're all sent to the Regional Center in California somewhere, so there's not that up-front personal service. In other districts they have what is called up-front processing. A student walks in, he needs an extension and they do it on the spot. Not here. In the service area here the one thing that they're looking at in the spouse relationship in bringing family reunification of the spouses, they don't talk--and I heard that yesterday, they don't talk about getting husband and wife together, they talk about, is it a sham marriage. They're looking at the enforcement fraud part of it.

As I was looking at a file yesterday, I heard some investigators and what they're looking at is some of the prostitution rings that are going on in Las Vegas dealing with aliens, so it's more an enforcement-type of attitude.

The Hispanic, it depends on who you talk to.

You talk to the leadership and the leadership says, this is
the feeling of the Hispanic community and they give one
version. You talk to the very people at the grassroots that
are going to be affected and their attitudes are different.
The person who is in Las Vegas without any identification
does not mind carrying around an identification card that
says, I can work. The Hispanic leadership says, nobody should
be allowed to have to carry an identification card, so it,
again, all depends on who you talk to as to the versions you
are going to get.

I do see that the people at the grassroots that would be affected by amnesty are the ones that are getting hurt because they're getting removed from the country and not only are they getting removed, if their mama and papa—but they're being forced morally, not legally, morally that if they have U.S. citizen children, if they go back they've got to take the kids with them and that's what concerns me, not by law are they being forced but by the

3,

. 14

government knowing that Hispanic families are a tightly-knit family unit and when mama and papa go, so do the kids. That concerns me.

MS. SADER: So most Hispanics wouldn't be against having to have identification cards?

MR. VELARDE: Not the ones that I have spoken to that are out in the field. Phil might and I might and you might. I wouldn't mind having a U.S. identification card for one reason, I live on the border, but I have the advantage of a lot of other people in that even though I look like any other Hispanic, I was born in this country, I grew up in this educational system and I don't have an accent. When I speak English, I don't have an accent. When I speak Spanish, I can turn off either way.

There are many aliens, not necessarily
Hispanics, who yesterday were legal permanent residents and
today they are U.S. citizens and just because they are
U.S. citizens doesn't mean the accent is gone, and as a
result if you live on the border sometimes it's an advantage
to have a U.S. ID card because up here in Las Vegas you
don't have border patrol. You don't have to cross boundaries
on the border areas. We do, so sometimes it's a tremendous
advantage to have a piece of paper by the U.S. government
saying I'm a U.S. citizen.

MS. SILVERO: I want to make a comment on what

you just said. I do mind having an ID with me, the reason being, I come from a country—I came from a country where everybody had an ID, everybody, so it was something that everybody was subjected to. Now, I come here and because I speak differently and I look different, I'm supposed to carry that. I don't agree with that, that aggravates me. That really makes me mad. Why me? Now, if everybody had an ID like in other places of the world, then I wouldn't complain about it, but that is my complaint and it upsets me, and you were also talking about that we do not get subjected to being asked for documents.

1.3

I just happened to be at Lake Mead about a month ago and they were asking for ID. What was the purpose, I don't know, but it sounded to me like they—— I understand and I know that a lot of—well, not foreigners, but Spanish—speaking people go to that resort area during the weekend and I think that's what they were looking for, but that is my personal opinion. First time I have seen that.

MR. VELARDE: Let me make a comment. The thing that scares me, immigration and border patrol are one in the same. Immigration has their investigators, border patrol has their border patrol unit, but it's all the immigration service. They have, in certain states, been able to get the assistance of the state and local police by saying, we can't do our job but together we can do it better, so as a result,

in a lot of places, and I'm sure that possibly the people that asked you for your ID were probably not immigration people, they might have been DPS, Department of Public Safety. In a lot of states—I've seen this in Texas—more and more of the local and state policing agencies are getting very much involved in the application of federal immigration law and there's a dangerous crossover there.

In El Paso, for those of you who were there,

I don't know if you saw it, but downtown one policeman
walks his beat and the border patrolman walks it with him and
sometimes that jurisdiction passes there, but you better not
mouth-off with one because the other one is going to nail
you and that bothers me.

MS. SADER: I just would like to make a comment about that. I think maybe it's a little easier for me to say that because I'm blond and blue-eyed and I've never had this problem, but we all have to carry identification of some kind. If we drive, we have to carry a driver's license and I don't see that as a problem. The issue we have to think about is, is it an infringement of civil rights to have to carry an ID card and I don't know.

MS. DeLUCA: For anybody to have to carry one.

MR. MONTEZ: If you want to drive, you carry
a driver's license, but I don't have to drive so the

question is, it's by choice and it's not an enforcement

1

4

5

3

6

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25 unless people will be forced to carry ID. You know, technically, you can't be picked up because you don't have identification even though law enforcement will say, we want to see your identification and the court has said they can't really ask you that if you are not doing something illegal but it's by choice now and I think that's the difference.

MR. VELARDE: I think she made a very good point about being blond and blue-eyed. There is no system of national ID unless you come from perhaps Israel and, of course, that's a melting pot there so they're not all blond and blue-eyed, but if you come from Central America, if you come from Latin America, almost every one of those countries requires you to carry what is called a "sedula", a national identification card and you can't travel from one village to another without having this in your possession. You can't leave the country unless you have this in your possession, so a lot of those people, because they grew up with that system, are not as offended with the idea; however, when they come to this country and they see the freedoms of this country, then after they've been here a while would be very much offended having to go back to a system which is not as free as we have it here in this country.

MR. SNIDER: But many of those countries, however, are not totalitarian but they are democratic countries. In other words, it doesn't relate to totalitarian.

\$2₀₀

Ţ

MR. VELARDE: There's lots of places in Central America you can't get a job unless you present the sedula, the national ID card. It's not a social security card, it's a national ID card given out by the police.

MS. SILVERO: The point is, we're talking about equality. We're being treated as not — I'm not just talking about Hispanics. Anyone looking different is treated differently. That's what I'm concerned about and that's what we should be concerned about.

MR. VELARDE: Your last five Supreme Court decisions that have come out dealing with aliens have eroded to a great deal many of the progressive decisions that have been given in the past in this whole issue and I think that the blacks very recently were concerned about this because of one of the decisions that was given out by the recent Supreme Court which, again, eroded. It went back to things like the Bakki decision and the like, so you see in the last year, year and a half, where civil rights were protected by the lower courts, all of a sudden in the recent Supreme Court decisions, that has eroded in the other direction.

MR. MONTEZ: I have two questions, just for the record. You talked about the immigration department and you talked about the numbers of people in Las Vegas working in the service areas. It seems to me if you are aware of the

information, the immigration department is also aware of it, that is, in their enforcement efforts and you said if they cleaned out all of the hotels they would have the same problem that they had as the strike. Can we assume that there is some unwritten independent understanding between immigration and the businesses here that they're not going to touch them, and a follow up to that question would be, are there American citizens available to take the jobs of these people if they did clean them out? Do you follow my question?

. 1

MR. VELARDE: Yes. I don't think I have a answer to either one. Perhaps the SAC's should look into it. I don't think there's a written agreement. I think the reason the immigration service in Reno and Tahoe and Las Vegas--

MR. MONTEZ: It happens in Los Angeles, too.

MR. VELARDE: They don't have the personnel.

They couldn't possibly. They don't have the manpower.

Written agreement, I don't know. I don't know.

MR. MONTEZ: I would suspect it would be unwritten, if it was going to create that kind of chaos to the business establishment in Las Vegas, which from my findings—our findings, it is a very strong economical force in Nevada. The casino business is the industry in Nevada and, you know, to create the kind of problems that you said,

you know, almost as a strike, it seems to me that there's almost an unwritten understanding.

Then the other question is, are there

American citizens ready to fill these service positions?

MR. VELARDE: I don't necessarily believe that all of the positions would be filled because remember that the positions that I talked about were low entry paying jobs, the minimum wage type so that I feel that those U.S. citizens who have a little better education than most of the aliens would probably say, I don't want that job because it doesn't pay me enough. There are, of course, some—— And I asked that of the immigration chief here. There are some jobs that are taken by some aliens that some U.S. citizens would like to have, high paying, and these are more in the skills. I'm talking about the master carpenter, but when you take the total number of skilled jobs where the people have a direct impact on U.S. citizens, are in smaller numbers than your total picture.

MR. MONTEZ: One other question because we deal with racial discrimination. How do we deal with the problem of all the European blond, blue-eyed's that are coming through Canada and coming into the United States illegally and are never raised as an issue? Does it look like Simpson-Mizzoli is strictly a racial issue for people that look different? You talked about blacks coming from

the Caribbean, Haitians, Latins from South America, Mexicans who look different. Do we have different programs for blond, blue-eyed's coming from Europe?

43

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VELARDE: You've got to remember that immigration has already officially said on the record and in the Congressional record that half of the illegal population in the United States is Hispanic, the rest come from other countries of the world. There is a definite pattern of difference as to how the immigration service conducts their business on the Canadian border in relationship to the U.S. A Canadian can show proof he's a Canadian and can border. come into this country for a period of six months with no restraints. A Mexican, if he's got a local crossing card, can only come in for 72 hours or 25 miles within the border If he wants to go any further, then he better prove that he's an attorney, that he's a doctor, that he's got resources to go back.

The majority of your border patrol is in the southern area, not in your northern area and when I look at this piece of legislation they talk about guest workers not from Canada but from Mexico. They talk about more enforcement not from Canada but from Mexico. They're not concerned about the impact of the legalization program, let's say, in Des Moines, Iowa but they're very much concerned how much it's going to cost in Los Angeles, so, yes, it's

targeted. One thing you've got to remember, regardless of how targeted it is, if they're going to enforce it, they're going to have to enforce it where it affects everybody, so both of you who are blond and blue-eyed will have to show the same kind of proof to your employer that you have the same right to work as Jeff and I, who happen to look different, and you might be more offended than Jeff and I because we've been used to it.

MS. DeLUCA: Are there any other questions?

I want to thank you very much, Mr. Velarde. I appreciate
your comments and your experience and presenting some of
your experience with us.

MR. MONTEZ: Are you leaving right away?

MR. VELARDE: I'm going to be leaving at one o'clock.

MR. MONTEZ: There is a joint effort to do something about the Western Region Office and your office down there. Richard Avena may set up a meeting in Tucson where we would invite people from the Nevada committee and see what we can do as a joint that you mentioned earlier. I don't know where that is. He's sort of handling this.

MR. VELARDE: He's talked to me on it. I am the chairman of the immigration subcommittee of the Texas SAC and he recently went down into the Lower Rio Grande Valley himself to get a feeling on the whole idea.

MR. MONTEZ: And he got busted by the 1.3 immigration because he looked like a Mexican. 2 MR. VELARDE: Well, he doesn't look Hispanic 3 though. 4. MR. MONTEZ: Who? 5 MR. VELARDE: Richard. They think he's an 6 Indian. 7 MS. DeLUCA: He looks very Indian. 8 MR. VELARDE: But I want to thank you again. 9 The only reason I'm running off is because I have to pack and 10 go up to Niagara Falls to a meeting. 11 MS. DeLUCA: Thank you very, very much. 12 ladies and gentlemen, we better get started here. " 13 With us now we have Mrs. Lubertha Johnson who 14 is director of Operation Independence. Welcome. 15 MRS. JOHNSON: Thank you. I want to 16 apologize for being late and I have one good excuse for 17 being late and that is that this is only my 41st year in 18 Las Vegas. You see, I have never been to the Maxim and I 19 got lost everywhere I went, so, anyway, I'm here and thanks 20 for the invitation. 21 Now, I don't know who's in charge here, but 22 whoever is in charge, to you I want to say I appreciate the 23 opportunity to come in and I hope I'll have something to say 24

that is worth saying.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21,

22

23

2425

MS. DeLUCA: May I interrupt for a second? Can everyone hear in this room?

MRS. JOHNSON: I couldn't hear very well down there. As you know, yelling at children I get pretty much accustomed to talking loud, but I hope everyone can hear.

I had an opportunity to visit one of the meetings held by the Resort Association. Most of you must be aware of the fact that in 1971 the Las Vegas branch of the NAACP were able to establish with the local hotels a plan for actually conducting more or less--I won't say an experiment -- but anyway they did adopt the consent decree as a part of their hiring practices and, of course, the only big surprise I had is I had not attended the meeting in some years and I was just surprised that they were still conducting these meetings. I haven't the slightest idea what they do but they attend and usually people who have very, very little if any authority, what have you, usually attend these meetings so we have a lot of discussion on many However, for a number of years we did have some things. action and some success actually in getting people hired, getting blacks hired in the hotels into positions which they had never held before; however, that just meant moving up from a maid, one move up above a maid, or the same with a Through the years, we have been able to get a few positions that were actually unusual. In the last few years,

•

.6 ⇔.

however, it seems, in fact, that very little is being done.

I don't know whether I should say this but anyway I have to say during the present administration it's been slower still in that right now. We're apparently not moving ahead.

Barely at all are we making any progress, however, there were many excuses, the same ones like we can't find qualified people.

Some of the hotels have started some training programs that were effective for a few years. I really have to mention Caesars. Their program seems to have been very effective for the years they were operating it and they still are, they say, but, of course, nothing like they were some years ago. I know of one person who is in the personnel department, for instance, who has been there for some time.

They also say that the unions are not very cooperative. Now, I don't know how much most of us learn about, you know, how the unions cooperate or do not cooperate in the matter of the consent decree but they say that there is an opportunity on some occasions to sort of force the unions to cooperate but many times apparently according to what they said, there are many times when they ordered a black person and said, well, you know, we must have a black person here because of the consent decree. They just will not cooperate to that extent and they give their different excuses.

I can't say too much more except that I 1 didn't introduce myself, but my excuse for being active is 2 the fact that for some 40 years I have been active in the 3 NAACP, have served as president, you know, secretary, you 4 And, of course, we are still concerned about our Š consent decree, however, we have found that we have a little 6 problem with getting the information that we need. 7 get numbers because one of the things that we have not been 8 able to accomplish is getting people into the upper brackets. 9 I mean, you know, they're still on a level that does not 10 include, you know, top jobs. Of course, one of the things 11 they said yesterday was that they have some people--some jobs 12 that one just can't get into because the people who have 13 these jobs refuse to cooperate. In fact, they refuse to 14 die and they refuse to, you know, get the captains. 15 just won't cooperate in that manner, so you can't get a job 16 as a captain. 17 Anyway, would anybody like to ask me a 18 I probably don't know the answer, but-question? 19 MS. SADER: Just a point of clarification. 20 Captain, what is that? 21 MRS. JOHNSON: Well, that's a position in the 22 23 hotel. Bell captain? Is that a bell 24 MS. SADER: 25 captain?

captain.

5

MRS. JOHNSON: Captain. They just mentioned

MS. SILVERO: Do you know what a maitre d' is? He works with the maitre d', one of his--I think it's a lower position than a maitre d' but he's there.

MS. SADER: I have one other question. It seems to me that raising a maid from a maid to an assistant maid or whatever, a step above a maid would be called, it seems to be a token move on the part of the casino. Has there ever been a move to recruit from— We have several wonderful black colleges in this country that recruit management for management positions. Do they have anything like that?

MRS. JOHNSON: Well, in their training programs they talk about management positions, but, frankly, I have seen one or two, as far as I'm concerned, so I certainly can't pretend I know all about what happens, but I have seen one or two persons who are classified as managers just the same as I've seen several who were classified in some manner and did work in the so-called--you know, as a person in the personnel department but I haven't found anyone who really had any authority, according to my understanding, but they are given names, you know, that should indicate that they are top personnel in the personnel department but whether they actually operate in that position,

you know, to any extent, I don't -- I haven't seen much of that.

MR. SNIDER: I have two questions. Number one, what is the consent decree you are talking about? Is this a court decision or approved by the court?

MRS. JOHNSON: Yes, it is.

MR. SNIDER: Was this between the NAACP and the Resort Hotel Association?

MRS. JOHNSON: Right.

MR. SNIDER: Was that a lasting decree, it was not for a specific period of time or--

MRS. JOHNSON: No. I was saying I attended a meeting yesterday so that they are still holding— The consent decree requires them to continue these meetings forever, I guess, they said because they were required to do it. They still meet.

Now, there was a time when a lot of black people used to attend meetings but they said recently—— I know I attended for some three or four years and now I understand that very seldom any black representative attends the meetings but, of course, that's because when we went to the meetings we didn't seem to get anywhere and, of course, we just stopped.

MR. SNIDER: The next question is, you referred to unions not being cooperative with the affirmative

Would you pinpoint the unions you are talking about? action. 1 MRS. JOHNSON: They did not pinpoint the 2 unions to me, they just said the unions. 3 MR. SNIDER: Who said? 4 MRS. JOHNSON: Some of the people who were 5 representing the Resort Association at the meeting. 6 I have a question for you. The MS. SILVERO: 7 consent decree was first implemented or brought about in 8 1972, right? *\$*.9 * **1**0 MRS. JOHNSON: MS. SILVERO: '71, okay. There was something 11 else that happened, I think, two years later where something 12 else was implemented, something else was brought into the 13 decree. Was that the Hispanics because when you are talking 14 about consent decree, you are talking about specifically the 15 blacks. 16 MRS. JOHNSON: Yes. Well, I don't know about 17 this. There may have been, as I said, because in later years 18 I have not been active. I really have not been aware of 19 everything that was happening but I have not heard anything 20 about this. 21 MS. SILVERO: Are these meetings open to the 22 public, and number two, where do they meet and when? 23 MRS. JOHNSON: Well, right now they're meeting 24 at Summa and they meet every Wednesday. They meet on 25

~

3 4

Thank you.

5

6

7 /8

97

10

11

12 / 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

.25

Wednesday, once a month. Must be the second Wednesday. Before I leave, I'll give you the name of a person.

MS. SILVERO: Okay, I'll appreciate it.

MR. WALLACE: I have a question. You said that the last meeting you attended those representatives from the casinos seemed to be your lower, non-administrative types; is that correct?

MRS. JOHNSON: Well, that's been the same thing almost from the beginning.

MR. WALLACE: So would you say that there is not a very strong effort on the casinos' part to effectively comply with the consent decree?

I don't want to— I would like to say that from my point of view most of the people— In fact, now there's one person there that is a representative of one of the unions who seems to be interested and has seemed to use some influence.

However, generally, as I said, now we had a man who was head of the Resort Association who was apparently pretty active in the beginning. He passed away, of course, and that naturally, you know, that he is not active but, as I see it and as we all see it, it would be practically impossible for these people to take any action. Most of the people who are there, as I say, represent somebody as a secretary or some

sort of an assistant to this assistant but otherwise they 1 themselves, and I don't know how much, I doubt the amount of 2 influence that they would have on the persons who are 3 actually in authority and there is no real indication that 4 they are really in touch with authority because this decree 5 says you must hold these meetings, they are held. 6 MR. WALLACE: Thank you. 7 MR. MONTEZ: Do you know if this decree was 8 approved by the federal or state court? 9 MRS. JOHNSON: I believe by a state court. 10 11 MR. MONTEZ: Do you have a copy of the consent a. 12 decree? 13 MRS. JOHNSON: Yes. 14 MR. MONTEZ: Would it be possible for the Committee to have or get one from you? 15 16 MRS. JOHNSON: Yes. I believe I have two and 17 you could take one. 18 I'd like our legal body to go MR. MONTEZ: over it and see where maybe we could be of some assistance 19 20 to try to enforce that decree. 21 MRS. JOHNSON: You certainly may have one of 22 the copies. 23 MR. MONTEZ: Thank you. 24 MRS. JOHNSON: I would like to go into some 25 of the other things.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

. 11

12

13

14

15

. 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MS. DeLUCA: May I ask one more thing about that? Has anyone gone back to court over this? Has the NAACP gone back to court about the decree?

MRS. JOHNSON: We have made some efforts in the early 70's, but not recently, we have not gone back into court.

I have a couple of other things I'd just like to mention. Our educational system program, or what have you, I suppose is somewhat similar to many areas of the country. The only progress that we have made so far has been to establish what is called a sixth grade center and these centers are located mostly in the black communities and white children are bussed into these centers and this is the only time that white children participate in the integration The black children, however, are bussed into pattern. white communities all of the years of their education in the public school system which means, of course, that it is certainly a very, very--what shall I say--unequal participation : because this is the only time they participate, but the black children do participate to the extent that they are bussed into the so-called white schools.

We have tried several times through the courts to get this amended or changed or something, but we haven't been successful so far and this is how it stands now.

In our university we've had some problems

We tried very hard to do something to change the 1 pattern of apparent almost complete discrimination but so 2 far we have not succeeded. 3 MS. DeLUCA: What type of discrimination are 4 you talking about? 5 MRS. JOHNSON: Hiring. 6 MS. DeLUCA: Of minorities? 7 MRS. JOHNSON: Yes. In the police department 8 also is about--I don't know how similar to other areas 9 because, of course, I haven't lived in other areas for quite 10 some time, but, according to the newspapers, we are still 11 all very, very far from being treated equally. This is 12 certainly a fact in Las Vegas. 13 In affirmative action or MR. WALLACE: 14 policing? 15 MRS. JOHNSON: This has nothing to do with 16 affirmative action. The police department, as far as I know, 17 has never been involved in any consent decree activity. 18 MR. WALLACE: But when you say treated 19 unfairly, I was wondering if you mean treated unfairly in 20 terms of police services or employment, who's on the police 21 department. 22 MRS. JOHNSON: We've made a little progress 23 in the area of employment in the last two or three years. 24

We have had more people and we've had more advertising of,

25

2 3 4

1

5

6

7 8

9 10

1Ï

12

13₁

^{*} 15

16

4 **17**:

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

you know, positions that were open and indication that training would be provided, but as far as police protection and police abuse, we are about where we were. In fact, I believe we are about where we were when I came here in the 40's.

MR. WALLACE: Okay.

MRS. JOHNSON: A couple of instances. just recently, if you've been reading the paper, you know, we have this case right now from perhaps about three or four days ago where a young black man was supposedly locked--got locked into Montgomery Wards store, couldn't get out and somehow was able to touch the alarm and the policeman came over and according to the news media of the story that this young man gave, they came in with their guns pointed at me and told me to turn around and lay down. He said they kicked me in the mouth and it started bleeding. They stepped on my hands and were kicking me. He alleged that after several minutes a police dog was turned loose and bit the man's arm. He said the policeman laughed as he was being attacked by the dog and one officer asked what's the matter, boy, don't you The police dog should have been quarantined in case of rabies, but Undersheriff Eric Cooper said, such violence is not necessary. Anyway, that's just part of the headlines.

Here says ACLU might probe airman's charges

against police, but this is just a small matter in comparison. 1 with some things that have happened in the last few years. 2 For instance, that raid that occurred in West Las Vegas, oh, 3 about a year and a half ago in which the police were looking 4 They went into practically all of the business for dope. 5 places in West Las Vegas where they, in several places, 6 forced everybody, customers, everybody to lie down on the 7 floor while they searched them and abused them, as they said, 8 and we tried to get some hearings on this. Go hardly 9 anyplace, but we did finally invite the sheriff to speak at 10 a black Chamber meeting. On being guestioned, he said, 11 well, you can't expect to be treated like people at Caesars 12 Palace, that's like comparing apples and oranges, so I mean--13 MR. SNIDER: What's the sheriff's name? 14 MRS. JOHNSON: Moran. I have some letters 15 that were written by some of the people who were subjected 16 to the treatment there. I don't want to take the time to 17 read them but if anybody would like to read them--18 MR. WALLACE: We'll submit them for the 19 20

record.

21

22

23

24

25

MRS. JOHNSON: Okay. Generally in the community we find that polarization has increased tremendously in the last 15 or 20 years, a community that was at one time apparently friendly. In fact, we had a couple of inter-racial clubs and we had very good relations, we thought, with one of our outstanding publishers who came in to town as a liberal who has now turned exactly in the other direction.

apparently extremely prejudiced in that we live on the West Side, most of us. I don't know why we always pick the west sides of cities, but anything that is reported in any way, no matter how small the crime, it's headlined front page, West Side, and so you would think that everybody who lives in this area, you know, had to be criminals because, you know, they don't say Ms. So-and-So or Mr. So-and-So. They may put the street later, but the first thing that comes is the headline.

where a couple of brothers have had quite a bit of money that they have used for training and one brother has a bus. Now, I don't know what is right or wrong about it, but I do know one thing, for 23 days there was a statement regarding these financial problems that were headlined on the front page, 23 times, 23 days, which never happens, of course, in other matters. You know, it's an awfully big deal.

Anyway, as I said, we are very conscious of the fact that the media goes all out apparently to make a big deal out of the smallest kind of crime that may be committed or in which someone may be involved or accused which

that--

also.

 certainly causes a lot of complaints and a lot of unhappiness on the part of the black community.

Another indication of the polarization is the fact that hardly any representative group, including the City, the County or any other government establishment ever invites blacks to participate in any forums, discussions or anything regarding problems that are common to everybody and we feel this very keenly and are concerned about making some serious efforts right at this time in trying to get opportunities to express our own feelings, our concerns, as well, about certain problems, including, you know, taxes and all of the other actions that affect us all.

I believe that's about all I have to report and if there are questions, I'd like to try to answer them.

MS. DeLUCA: Any other questions?

MS. SADER: Is bussing a state issue or is

MS. DeLUCA: That was a federal court issue,

MRS. JOHNSON: We filed suit--NAACP filed suit against the School District for their lack of action being taken in the desegretation of schools and this is what we ended up with.

MS. SADER: The black community in Las Vegas doesn't support the bussing, they don't want any bussing?

3.

,∂25

MRS. JOHNSON: Well, yes, but we don't want the kind of bussing that requires black children to be continually bussed all through the years in the public school system while the white children are only required to be bussed one time.

MR. SNIDER: In your opinion, what is the quality of the teaching in the schools in the predominantly black communities, as compared to the rest of Clark County?

MRS. JOHNSON: Well, at this time I must admit that some improvements have been made during the past. When I first came here, for instance, we had some small schools that could almost be classified as shacks. The equipment and materials, everything was very much inferior, however, one of the things that happened and the same thing happened in the South when word got around that we may get, you know, complete integration, a lot of those schools magically became, you know, repaired and rebuilt and additions and all that sort of thing, fortunately, before we had the final decision on it. But I want to tell you something has happened in the sixth grade centers. They compare favorably with any schools in any part of town, you know, since we found out that we were going to have white children there.

MR. SNIDER: Do all sixth grade students go to all one school now?

MS. DeLUCA: There are about six or seven sixth grade centers and they're all located in the West Las Vegas area in the black communities.

Thank you very much, Mrs. Johnson, I appreciate your making so many notes and sharing your experience and thoughts with us.

MS. SADER: Yes, thank you very much.

MS. DeLUCA: Okay, moving right along, we have Mr. Robert Rivas and Mr. Ed Ochoa.

MR. RIVAS: Mr. Rivas is here. Mr. Ochoa will not join us.

MS. DeLUCA: Okay. With us we have Bob Rivas who's the state director of LULAC, League of United Latin American Citizens. Thank you for coming, Bob.

MR. RIVAS: Good morning. I am here this morning with you representing the League of United Latin American Citizens. I am its current state director for the State of Nevada and sit on the organization's national board of the League of United Latin American Citizens.

For your information, this is the oldest and largest Hispanic organization in the United States and is involved in a number of areas concerning civil rights of Hispanics of America.

More recently you may have noted our active participation and advocacy being heavily against the passage

of the Reform Bill, Simpson-Mizzoli, and us here in the state have actively pursued the lobbying against that particular Bill and not only in the area of immigration reform but we have become involved in areas involving employment and education, specifically in individual cases where we feel that there has been a violation of individual Our network in the state consists of six councils, four of which exist in Southern Nevada: One in the City of Boulder, one in the City of Henderson, one in the City of Las Vegas and one in the City of North Las Vegas. in Northern Nevada: One in the City of Sparks and one in the City of Reno. The network has approximately 150 members. Within that network, I represent the collective body in terms of providing direction and input to the national board and annually we gather at a convention to direct at the national level issues of concern to Hispanics in America and particularly in the structure within LULAC.

ĺ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

-14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I did come before you, I believe, at your last session, open public hearing. At that time I represented a local grassroots organization called the Hispanic Committee for Quality Education. I was its president. We were on the edge, if you will, of presenting or had already presented—I can't remember the exat date—a report which the committee had put together highlighting the condition of education for Hispanic Americans in the

. Clark County School District. That report some of you may remember or you may have received is this one in the brown cover. Since then, of course, many things have taken place between the Hispanic community and the Clark County School District, positive things, I feel, that have brought about some changes.

perhaps open by sharing with you recommendations that I made nearly two years ago to this body. They were that the Advisory Committee establish in Clark County a task force or subcommittee entitled Task Force For Civil Rights In Education For Hispanics. A representative body should include UNLV, Nevada Civil Rights Advisory Committee in Clark County, State Department of Education. The purpose of the task force would be to conduct a fact-finding mission for policy recommendations for the governing body to be coordinated on limited resources for unmet needs, to develop, you know, alternatives to failure affirmative action plans within those educational institutions and the last thing, to sponsor a conference to focus on Hispanic issues in education.

The second recommendation is a follow-up report response to questions posed regarding the recommendations written into the unmet goals report of this advisory body of September 1981. This would be specific

to the Hispanic component and address the Hispanic committee.

ment to all Hispanic organization agencies on the intent of this advisory body to confront and meet head-on educational deficiencies to the detriment of the Hispanics, none of which were acted upon, and I am hoping that my presence here today is not simply an exercise in verbal presentation but that we can together—we, LULAC are willing to sit down and to seriously address issues that affect us detrimentally and today I wish to share with you just one area, again, dealing with education, one very specific area, higher education in the State of Nevada.

Today in the United States there live nearly 15 million people, approximately six and a half percent of the total population who are identified as Hispanics. These nearly 15 million Hispanics represent a 61 percent increase since 1970 compared to the nine percent growth for non-Hispanics. The increase in Hispanics is enormous and hopefully this committee is well aware of unprecedented growth that Hispanics have enjoyed, if you will, over the last decade.

In addition, it is important to note as a characteristic of our population that Hispanics generally are a very youthful population. Looking at certain age groups,

we see that large portions of Hispanics are in the younger age groups when compared to non-Hispanics; that more than 20 percent of all the Hispanics in our nation are under ten That is as of 1980, compared to 14 percent years of age. of non-Hispanics, and that our median age is 23 years, compared to 31 years for non-Hispanics. That leads us to conclude that for the years to come many of our youth,

Further, that leads us to conclude or make some assumptions that our community in Clark County and Nevada are no exception, out of synch with the rest of the nation, out of synch with the rest of the state. We are synchronized to the same problems that non-Hispanic populations have.

particularly women, are not even within their childbearing

years insure us of a continued pattern of growth.

While we are concerned with youth problems related to drugs and youth offense problems, while we are concerned in areas that the non-Hispanic population are concerned with, we find ourselves not being listened and addressed to in terms of growing needs that we represent in our community.

While we are concerned that our young be provided an equitable education, we are concerned youth projects be properly addressed in the growing barrios, Hispanic neighborhoods, that are spurring across this nation

and in Clark County.

The other segment of our population is concerned with problems outside that focus, for instance, on the aged, services to the older citizens, criminal problems outside of youth and in drug-related areas that not enough resources are being provided in other areas.

Keep in mind the age characteristics is important.

In Clark County and according to 1980 data, there's a population of approximately 35,000 Hispanics, representing 7.6 percent of this county. From 1970 to 1980, no other ethnic group has experienced as large and as fast a growth percentage whereby the Hispanics more than doubled in population in this county, yet in times of economic boom and growth in this county the Hispanic has experienced little or no progress.

In real terms of development when it pertains to economic development, employment and education, we can continue to significantly trail in the vital areas, such as medium family income, unemployment rates are higher for Hispanics in all areas, lower status occupational distribution for Hispanics, poverty rates are higher and educational attainment levels are deplorably low for us, and observation in Clark County from the Hispanic point of view, demographic changes in our county have not reflected in policy the type of planning that is sensitive and responsive

to a growing population and meeting the specific needs of that population, that being the larger growing minority and the largest minority population in this state.

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let us be a little bit more specific and turn to education in Clark County. There are three institutions of learning in Clark County: Clark County School District, Clark County Community College and UNLV. The opinion of LULAC, despite the growth and prosperity experienced by these three educational institutions, no progress has been made in regard to the Hispanics. exist today a total void of opportunity for Hispanics at the doors of our public education. Our educational system in Nevada has been grossly negligent by the mere fact of acknowledging the presence of Hispanics, yet you will find us in many of these institutions trimming shrubs and cutting grass, but you won't find us in the classroom. You'll find us fixing busses and other educational equipment, but you will not find us in the classroom. You'll find us waxing floors and cleaning bathrooms, but you are not going to find us in the classroom. You'll find us occasionally running minority programs for these institutions, but you'll not find us in the classroom.

UNLV is my point today, ladies and gentlemen.

UNLV, for years, has neglected its responsibility to insure

that equal opportunity exists at its doors for Hispanics.

Let me share with you some alarming statistics that have existed for the past three academic years at UNLV. We, at LULAC, consider education beyond public school years, K through 12, higher education to be critical to the successful development of our community. We cannot insure that we will be provided equitable access to the job market in America and in Nevada if we're not prepared to do so. In order to prepare us for the skills and to, you know, tap the potential within our community, our minds must be developed, challenged and then, of course, inserted into the mainstream of working America, and a higher education is critical to that happening and succeeding.

1,

Between the academic years, 1980 to 1983, the University has enjoyed an enrollment level of a full-time student body of 14,501 students. These include total enrollments for first-year to fourth-year students. Of that total, four percent has been Hispanic or 583 of that total. For the freshman year the average total has been 278 or 5.4 percent of the population of that university. In the second year, the population dwindled down to 135 or 3.8 percent of the population decreasing our enrollment of Hispanics by 51 percent compared to a 38-percent dropoff rate for non-Hispanics. In our third year we see the continued trend of non-retention averaging 98 Hispanics on campus for 3.7 percent of the total population and a dropout rate of 30

percent compared to 29 percent for non-Hispanics, and finally, in the fourth year we see an average attendance of 74 Hispanics on campus.

The overall dropout rate or decrease of enrollment for Hispanics through the four-year period is 48 percent compared to a 26 percent non-retention rate for non-Hispanics.

Clearly four percent of a total population on the university campus where nearly ten percent of its population distribution against the youth characteristics of our community is not nearly enough to convince us that a marketable approach is taking place in our community to insure that the minds of our Hispanic youth are being developed on that campus.

Let us take a look further. There are three areas within the University. Employment is one of them and it is deplorably low as an investigation hopefully will show.

Enrollment was the second area, and financial aid dollars to assist in enrollment was the third area which we investigated. Total dollars awarded on need for that same period, 1980-83, amounted to nearly 6.5 million dollars. Awarded to Hispanics were \$255,000, amounting to just less than four percent of the total financial package on that campus based on need. The total population of

Hispanics that were awarded were 4.2 percent. Let us just for a minute study that. Both the enrollment level and the financial dollars awarded to the enrollment level suggest to us from the Hispanics' point of view that not only are they not recruiting Hispanics to the university system but they are not providing financial dollars because they are not recruiting Hispanics who are in need of financial dollars, therefore, a happenstance policy that even allows enrollment to happen as it occurs, it's not a targeted strategy to go out into the community to insure participation at the higher educational level. It is not a marketable strategy that seeks to find the most needy and can certainly tap the potential of those needy with financial dollars because most of them cannot attend simply because they cannot afford to attend that university and when we see that less than four percent of the total financial package is going to Hispanics and with the total population of that university during that three-year period amounting to less than 600 students, there is no indication to us that any type of directed effort has been made and we lay the blame not only on the University but on the policy-making body Board of Regeants for the state and also, of course, with the Hispanic community. We take our fair share, but we are not nearly as to blame as the role model and leadership that should be representing that institution. Of course,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LULAC would like to see significant changes.

We feel with new leadership on campus and with the hiring of a new admissions director who is Hispanic from the State of New Mexico just recently, we will perhaps see a sensitivity begin to develop toward the need to develop special targeted marketing approaches to encourage the enrollment of the Hispanic children and also the need to develop stronger role models at the University and, of course, target more recruitment toward the hiring of minorities, Hispanics in specific in classrooms on that university campus.

In conclusion, the needs of the Hispanic community here in Clark County are very diverse. We, the Hispanics, constitute a larger minority in Nevada, yet we do not reflect that statistic in employment and, of course, particularly, within our educational institutions.

failures, dropouts, even gang members, troublemakers.

Immigration has portrayed us as a group of aliens in America who come only to steal jobs from Americans, jobs that no one else will take. Immigration reform in this country over the last year has at least opened the doors to debate and discussion and in my opinion has opened doors to discussion and debate of the issues much larger in our country than just immigration reform. We speak of the problems of the non-English speaking and we speak of the problems particularly

and specifically cultural in nature. That had not been debated before, so to that end I owe a vote of thanks to the makers of the Simpson-Mizzoli Bill. They have opened the doors to debate. We speak a different language and we characterize a unique lifestyle in America.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our Hispanic community in general can no longer allow our institutions of higher learning to ignore our presence. Our Hispanic community can no longer afford to let the educational profits and opportunities to pass us by. We can no longer afford the tragedy of the minds of our children to go untapped and unchallenged and we will become progressively more insistent and we will progressively seek strategies to insure that we do succeed in bringing in more educational opportunities for our community, and it is clear to me and hopefully to the rest of this community that the Hispanic is awakening in Nevada. We're here to stay and we will become an integral fiber of this community and we'll have our place in Nevada and we will work diligently to insure that our civil rights will be a process to equalization of our available resources and I ask you, this Commission, this Advisory Committee, to join us in this venture and to keep close tabs with our league and the efforts that we are attempting to take part in and hopefully you will support, if not in concept, certainly indirectly by some form of communication to those institutions or

institution of our concerns.

With that, I end my presentation and open it up to questions.

MS. SILVERO: Mr. Rivas, I must say I was very impressed with the information in your presentation today and I have some questions for you. You were talking about unemployment among Hispanics. You did come out with some very interesting statistical figures. I like that. Do you have any unemployment figures for Hispanics? You didn't mention that.

MR. RIVAS: I don't have them with me today, but we have some demographic statistics regarding unemployment in the county and state. How reliable they are is suspect. Mr. Ochoa, who was pulled away from us this morning, was going to tap the issue of employment for us and I, of course, did not communicate with him this morning. I didn't get his presentation, but we do have those figures.

MS. SILVERO: I just wanted to know if you had them right here.

MR. RIVAS: Not right here.

MS. SILVERO: You were also talking about enrollment at UNLV and you talked about the dropout and increase in enrollment as the years go by.

MR. RIVAS: Yes.

MS. SILVERO: Did it not deal with the why of

that? Do you have any comments to make?

H =3

MR. RIVAS: I think the University has been remiss in providing resources on campus to those who may have academic deficiencies or skills lacking, for instance, for a viable tutorial program, those type of support mechanisms, if you will, that encourage the development skills so that they can continue their career at the university level. Beyond that, I can only perhaps suggest there is not enough economic or physical support for students to stay on campus. I think the financial dollars and the awarding of any financial packages on campus— Not enough dollars are going to Hispanics for enrollment and many of those must drop out for that reason or perhaps transfer their status to part time so that they may find employment to continue their education.

MS. SILVERO: One last question. What is the status of bilingual education in Clark County?

MR. RIVAS: In the Clark County School
District there are, in fact, three programs or projects, if
you will, that I am aware of. There is an immersion program
taking place that is specific to non-English speakers,
basically Spanish speakers. There is a Bienvenido program
for, I believe, children through the third grade and an
ESL program that is available for up to high school students
that I know of. There's no commitment at the local level for

1	dollars coming out of the state coffers into the county. All
2	the programs that deal with the non-English speaking are
3	federally funded.
4	MS. SILVERO: What I was really interested in,
5	I know there was assistance for several years and has been
6	very productive. How does the program stand with the new
7	administration in the direction the administration is taking
8	in regards to bilingual education?
9	MR. RIVAS: Are you talking about the
10	University
11	MS. SILVERO: No, I'm talking about
12	MR. RIVAS:or the School District? You are
13	referring to the superintendent there?
14	MS. SILVERO: I'm talking about the Reagan
15	administration.
16	MR. RIVAS: I think they've made it clear,
17	do away with it.
18	MS. SILVERO: Do you see it like the program
19	is going to be disappearing?
20	MR. RIVAS: No, not total, I do not.
21	MS. SADER: Is the Clark County administration
22	in favor of bilingual education?
23	MR. RÍVAS: Yes, it is.
24	MS. SADER: To your knowledge, has there ever
25	been a Hispanic denied enrollment to UNLV because of his race?

4 5

MR. RIVAS: Because of his race, to my knowledge, I don't know of any specifics. Perhaps some others on campus may know some, but I have not.

MS. SADER: In regards to K through 12, what is the problem with access to students, is it mostly language, is that the barrier or-

MR. RIVAS: Well, yes. We can point to language barriers that have caused exclusion. We can also point to incidents of cultural differences that have caused exclusion, a feeling, if you will, of being isolated in some instances.

MS. SADER: Prejudice within the school system?

which we're going to tap. There is a total lack of counseling or counselor support. That includes Hispanic counselors at the District level. I know of no Hispanic counselors within the School District. There are some, what are referred to as human relations workers, but not certified counselors at the School District level. There may be one and I may be missing it, but in relationship to the needs that is on campuses, high school campuses in particular, there is a void, if you will, of role models for Hispanic children which I think contributes to a feeling of isolation and a feeling of not being able to identify with

a successful role model. We've pointed this out but the trend

MS. SADER: In regards to-- We heard earlier about bussing in this county. How does the Hispanic community feel about the fact that, and I believe it's true, that the Hispanics are grouped with whites and are bussed only in the sixth grade.

MS. SILVERO: Repeat the question, please.

MS. SADER: I was asking him about bussing and I understand Hispanics are grouped with whites and are only bussed during the sixth grade and I just wanted him--

MR. RIVAS: In my many meetings with parents who have children in the school district, that's not been an issue. It just hasn't been brought up. It's not something that has been of concern. I have not heard anything negative. I couldn't say anything beyond that.

MS. SADER: And I just have one personal question for you. Do you believe a student that doesn't have the basic schooling belongs in the university system?

MR. RIVAS: I believe they deserve the right to develop, if they have the potential and we do not have any indication for the future of recourses, that models that can be followed are going to be in place or put in place to insure that those rights can be met. I was a late-bloomer myself. I was not university material when I finished.

Don't you think the focus of your MS. SADER: 1 group should be on K through 12? 2 It has been. I think this is the MR. RIVAS: 3 focus of this report. It's a 122-page document. We are now 4 moving on to higher institutions and feel it's time. 5 cannot just lay the blame at K through 12 level without 6 laying some type of responsibility on the higher institution. 7 MS. SADER: But you don't build a highrise 8 on a poor foundation. 9 MR. RIVAS: And I agree and we have not done 10 so. 11 MR. MONTEZ: I would presume you have a 12 problem of K through 12 in preparing people for the 13 That's always been a problem any place we've university. 14 ever had Hispanic students but beyond that I have a question 15 that the Board of Regeants is responsible for the university 16 system; is that correct? 17 MR. RIVAS: Yes. 18 MR. MONTEZ: Now, is their policy to earmark 19 money for recruitment retention and in-school assistance 20 for minorities or is that a discretionary policy of the 21 individual presidents? Do you have any idea? 22 MR. RIVAS: It's a discretionary policy. 23

24

25

There is a policy, as we see it, that pertains to the office

of admissions and the office of admissions at both campuses,

from what I have been able to review is inadequate and not meeting the type of staff requirements that you can observe on other campuses. Like I said, at UNLV it's just a lack, if you will, of putting resources where resources are needed to meet a need and that is in the area of recruiting specific populations, so if you refer to policy, I see the policy implemented to the admissions office and there is no other effort.

MR. MONTEZ: What is the long-term goal in the educational process in your fact-finding mission that you have with LULAC? Do you have an idea of working with the system, that is, connecting with the system and letting them know? Do you have an ultimate goal, for example? What I'm really striving at, is there any policy of LULAC that will eventually deal with litigation against the university system?

MR. RIVAS: Well--

MR. MONTEZ: I don't know if you are prepared to answer that.

MR. RIVAS: That, of course, is always a choice, an alternative and that's been discussed within the ranks of our leadership within LULAC. I don't know if we're closer now than we were a year ago when we first started this toward that movement but I feel we have not lost our sense of confidence to work with the current

20.

administration. We have yet to really have dialogue with the Board of Regeants. We're trying to exhaust administrative channels. Once that has been depleted, then we move on to the University Board of Regeants, then I would suggest to you that our dialogue here with LULAC is that we will bring in legal resources. That is not an alternative outside our scope, yes, but only until we exhaust—

MR. MONTEZ: The reason I raise the question, we have been dealing with the University of Nevada for five or six years and really haven't penetrated and I raise that because I think it's an important public issue. I met with people this morning for breakfast that have been dealing with the University for years and have not seen any change and I bring that to you because it has not only been a frustration of this committee with its limited authority to be a fact-finder, that's our legal mandate under the Congressional Act and that there has been very little movement on the part of the University and I want to make that clear for the record.

MR. RIVAS: I agree and I'm aware of some of the efforts that have been made and, of course, I have read the unmet goals report and other attempts, if you will, and I'm well aware of that, but LULAC is entering into its first attempt. There will be no second attempt.

MR. SNIDER: Again, I didn't quite get your--

the membership of LULAC, the make-up LULAC. You say you 1 have four chapters in Southern Nevada and two in Northern 2 3 Nevada? MR. RIVAS: That's correct. 4 MR. SNIDER: What's the total membership in 5 the State of Nevada? 6 MR. RIVAS: Approximately 150. 7 MR. SNIDER: That's out of 35,000 Hispanics? 8 MR. RIVAS: Let me clarify that. We do not--9 we have never been -- I should say, I should not be misquoted 10 11 that we represent every Hispanic in the state. We are, as 12 I told you, the oldest organization in the country. 13 we are involved in civil rights issues that pertain to the 14 Hispanics but the league is a very structured organization 15 and it does have some responsibility on behalf of the membership to attend meetings, pay dues, et cetera. 16 17 MR. SNIDER: What demographic sector do you 18 primarily represent? 19 What population of-- We're in MR. RIVAS: 20 the entire state. 21 MR. SNIDER: I'm talking about, are you 22 representing the business people in the Hispanic community 23 or primarily Hispanic workers? 24 The workers, laypeople. MR. RIVAS: 25 MS. SADER: Are those primarily your concern

1 | are the workers?

MR. RIVAS: We have a good mixture. The council seems to gyrate or pull into their membership certain types of members. In some councils they are more white-collar oriented. In others, they are more community-type people. I see that, for instance, in the northern area of Nevada. There are two councils up there that are distinctly different age groups. There's a difference between both. One is younger and the other is older. One is particularly white-collar, mainly young attorneys. The other is just an older group of concerned citizens, so there are two distinctly different groups.

MR. MONTEZ: LULAC is a national organization that lends support throughout the nation to their chapters so they really have a much stronger group and it's probably the strongest and most outspoken group in the country, so I think the number of members is misleading because they would lend support to their different councils out of state and so forth, so they have a large representation.

MR. SNIDER: Would it be possible for you to write the names down of the two chapters in Northern Nevada?

MR. RIVAS: Yes. As a matter of fact, if you want to jot them down--

MR. MONTEZ: We'll get them from him.

MR. RIVAS: -- I can provide that for you.

MS. DeLUCA: Mr. Rivas, I presume we have 1 a copy of that Hispanic education report. I don't know. 2 May we have one? 3 MR. RIVAS: I can let you have this one. 4 MS. DeLUCA: I want to ask, I'm sure you know, 5 the statistics on the Hispanic faculty in Clark County. 6 MR. RIVAS: Secondary classroom teacher? 7 MS. DeLUCA: At any or all--8 MR. RIVAS: The latest statistics we had was 9 approximately 3.8 percent. 10 MS. DeLUCA: Do you have any idea what the 11 administrative level is? 12 MR. RIVAS: Less than two percent. I think 13 it was 1.7. There are, if I remember correctly, I think 14 we can count three of them. We have been harping on that 15 one for some time with little progress. 16 MS. SADER: I don't know if you have these 17 statistics or not, but I would like them of the Clark County 18 population. 7.6 is Hispanic. 19 MR. RIVAS: 1980 figures. 20 And the population of UNLV is MS. SADER: 21 four percent. Do you have figures to compare what the 22 percentage of the black and white enrollment is at UNLV in 23 comparison with the county population? Do you understand 24

what I'm saying?

25

1	MR. RIVAS: Yes, we have that. I don't have
2	that in front of me. This is just
3	MS. SADER: Is it way out of proportion?
4	MR. RIVAS: Yes, it is.
5	MS. SADER: Is the Hispanic population
6	compared to the total community much lower?
7	MR. RIVAS: They are disproportionate, yes.
8	.MS. SADER: Do you know anything about the
9	black community, its numbers? Is the enrollment at UNLV
10	much lower?
11	MR. RIVAS: It's higher than its represented
12	population.
13	MS. SADER: It's higher than its represented
14	population?
15	MR. RIVAS: That's correct.
16	MS. DeLUCA: Any other questions anyone?
17	Thank you very much. I really appreciate you coming. Once
18	again, we understand your frustrations with this process and
19	we do hear them.
20	MR. RIVAS: I kind of heard that they're
21	shared so
22	MS. DeLUCA: Yeah, very much so. Your report
23	was excellent.
24	(Thereupon a recess was taken,
25	after which the following

proceedings were had:)

MS. DeLUCA: Okay, we have with us

Mr. Richard Arnold who is the executive director of the

Las Vegas Indian Center. Thank you for waiting so long.

MR. ARNOLD: No problem.

MS. DeLUCA: I know we're running late and we're anxious to hear your comments.

MR. ARNOLD: All right. Well, my name is
Richard Arnold and I am the executive director of the
Las Vegas Indian Center and I thought I'd start by giving
just a brief background of our organization. We were
incorporated in 1972 and we started out basically a social
group and we have now transformed into a viable organization,
I think, that are meeting the needs of American Indians in
Southern Névada and Clark County.

Some of the things that we provide now, we provide employment assistance, vocational exploration and assistance, GED and basically literacy review, class counseling program. Development and social and economic reinforcements are kind of tied into each one of the programs. We've, I guess, seen a lot of changes and been through a lot of changes throughout the years and we're now in the process of moving forward. We had a lot of house cleaning to do. Now all that is behind us and it's ready and time for us to move on.

2

In regards to our population based on the '70 census, we were right at a thousand people. We had like 1,070 people within Clark County. Now, according to the '80 census, we're closer to 3,500, like 3,350, I believe.

MS. DeLUCA: Thirty-- What was that?

MR. ARNOLD: 3,350. That was based on the
'80 census, and the figure could be disputed, I think,

'80 census, and the figure could be disputed, I think, because on the census one of their practices is if a person wants to be counted as an Indian, what you do is write down whether you are Indian and what tribe you are and you are officially counted as an Indian, so obviously can't be disputed because of that.

MS. DeLUCA: You feel it may be lower than that.

MR. ARNOLD: Could be, yes. It's really difficult to say, but I think the chances are that it is. I think that American Indians are probably one of the most—it might sound profound—one of the most unique minorities of all the minorities and I think the reason I say that is because we are the only minorities that have to prove who we are, nobody else. Somebody says they're whatever and it's accepted, but we have to normally provide documentation that we are American and we have records kept on us. The Bureau of Indian Affairs keeps records on us that go back generations and generations, so we have that to kind of contend with.

Within the Indian community it's kind of a bizarre situation, I think. There's the tribal entities and then, as in my instance, the off-reservation Indians and reservations are in themselves a separate deal and what they get to do, I think they get a lot of priorities as far as funding which is a gripe of mine, I guess. Normally, any type of funding that comes down, a tribe has priority in receiving the funding and generally a lot of programs are geared towards the reservation only. I have no problem with tribes having programs, but I think it's better administered by another Indian interest. I think that possibly that might be the way to go instead of just for the sake of being on reservation that a tribe would get it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think there is another thing that we have to deal with. A lot of times arbitrary boundaries are put-assigned to us, I guess. I can think of instances where tribes are split and even within this community our organization is geared to serve the Southern Nevada area and we have people on the California side that because they're in California technically they're not supposed to be served by us, so they have to travel miles for any other services, be it health or education or whatever, just because an arbitrary boundary has been placed upon us. That also holds true for health services and a lot of other services that American Indians receive.

 In dealing with employment, I think that there needs— Right now there's an under-representation of minorities in the recruitment-hiring policies and it's really hard and I understand that Indians can't be involved in all the hiring of every employer and every agency, be it federal or state or whatever, but I think that there needs to be some sort of joint efforts between everybody to get as many American Indians employed as possible. That's one of the problems that we have faced with employment and education and a lot of other fields is that there's no uniform definition of American Indian.

Within the American Indian civil rights handbook there's like three different criteria that a person can be to be Indian. You can either be a member of a federally recognized tribe or be a descendant from a member of a federally recognized tribe who on June 1, '34 was living on an Indian reservation or be at least one-half Indian blood of a tribe native to the United States or be an Alaskan or native Eskimo or Alaskan native and, to me, there's too many or's. It's like nobody knows exactly what an Indian is, I guess, and we also have to contend with that.

They go on further to say that certain tribes, I guess, can and they do have the authority to raise or lower the blood quantum, whatever they want to do to make people Indian, so we have all these different things and

2

3

5

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

there's no uniform definition and I think that that might possibly be addressed.

In dealing with the employment aspect, I think we, as American Indians, are faced with an extremely high unemployment rate based on our statistics within our organization and from employment security through research development. The overall unemployment rate for the state is between, I quess, nine and ten percent as opposed to our people who are allotted between 40 and 45 percent unemployment rate and I think, one, it is due to their lack of education and lack of available opportunities to American Indians in dealing with education.

I think that many times American Indians are still sterotyped and thought of as either lazy, slow or at remedial levels. There's been studies done on it in dealing with competition, cultural beliefs and a lot of people are, I guess, still of the sterotyped opinion that they aren't quick learners and in sports, for some reason, there's the old sterotype that Indians are good runners or whatever they do and that they're always good at sports and I can think of instances that I know of and am aware of that has happened where Indians have been taken out of classes because they're doing poorly and they're not able to participate in the sports and the school might really stress sports activities, so one of the problems that happens

is that they'll be taken out of the regular classes and put 1 into a special ed class so they can bring their grades up, 2 thus bringing up their average and allowing them to 3 participate in sports and I think that a lot of this is 4 really wrong, and we also have indications of where within 5 the school district there's Indian education programs and 6 Johnson-O'Malley programs and there is a lack of coordination 7 between those programs and in the District itself there's 8 many teachers that are unaware of the program, what the 9. program is supposed to be doing. All they do know is that 10 an Indian education tutor will come and say, we'll need this 11 child and this child, and all they know is that that person 12 has the authority to pull them out of class to go to this 13 special class and a lot of times they're missing out on 14 important things. They might be teaching them to draw or 15 16 I have had personal experiences with that also. And a lot of times Indians might not even need the services, 17 18 so I think that this needs to be addressed. 19

And I guess there needs to be some sort of,
I guess, an improved monitoring system of some sort in
dealing with alcohol and drug abuse. I think it is, again,
a very prevalent problem among American Indians in Southern
Nevada. Many American Indians don't take advantage of the
programs that are in existence today.

I was just speaking with a lady up in

25

20

21

22

23

24

Carson City that had mentioned to me that there's no real program within the State of Nevada, and the State of California has a really comprehensive alcoholism and drug program that meets the needs of the American Indians. lot of times people will be shipped off to California to attend the alcohol and drug program, but there's no follow up because of the distance. They're being shipped off there and they go through a detox situation and they return home, but the follow up--so a lot of times they return to the problem and the alcohol keeps going on and so it's just a 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vicious circle.

Tribal courts and the judicial system, we were not officially involved with the tribal court situation because that takes place on the reservation; however, we have had clients that may commit a crime on a reservation and thus tried through tribal courts and we have one instance where a person committed a crime and was tried and found quilty and was sentenced to a term in jail and they shipped this individual to Arizona, I believe it was, and this person is unable to obtain necessities like a toothbrush and basic necessities, I guess, that most individuals need and that is how the situation works, that he goes to this jail or prison, whatever it is, and nobody wants to take the blame, I guess, and nobody wants to take responsibility for the situation and they have a situation where you can go and you work while

you are in there and you earn "X" amount of dollars a day and with that money in return you can buy the toothbrush and whatever it is, but because he's not one of their regular customers, he doesn't-- He's totally eligible to earn money, so because of that he gets shipped out. He's shipped to Arizona which in his case he doesn't have a family here but he had been here.

What happens, they ship these people out to Arizona and they're stuck there and there's no way of getting back at all and it just kind of separates the ties of the family and separates the Indian community indirectly.

Everything within Southern Nevada-- We're kind of--it's kind of a strange situation, I guess, because everything, as far as Indian programs and the majority of the Indian reservations are based up North, so we kind of get the leftovers of whatever there is available. The Indian legal services is based up in Carson City because of their lack of money to travel, lack of which affects also the number of adequate staff that they have and they're limited to a certain type of cause; that many times they can't get involved into the types of situations that American Indians need. I think there needs to be some sort of expansion on that and maybe somewhere possibly there could be more direct services of some sort to American Indians.

In relation to health care, the off-reservation

Indians just received a memo. The Indian colony locally who 1 administers the health program, originally it was designed, 2 I guess, to provide health services to American Indians in 3 Clark County on the reservations or off reservations and 4 regardless of what tribe. There's been a memo that came down 5 that the only people that are eligible for any health 6 services are you have to be either a native Nevadan, a tribe 7 that was indigenous to the state or you have to reside on 8 a reservation or you can be a tribe, another tribe, but you 9 have to be married to a native Nevadan, so if you don't meet 10 those criteria, you are out cold turkey, you don't get 11 anything, and that is a recent decision and the only Indian 12 health service that Indians would be able to go to, the 13 closest one would be the Phoenix Indian Hospital which is 14 like six hours away which doesn't do a whole lot of good. And 15 they can also go through the normal, I guess, county 16 hospital and things and many times there's always just so 17 much red tape and a lot of times people just don't end up 18 going and getting their needs met. 19 20

÷ 1

21

22

23

24

25

Another problem that we've had and I think it's changing and I'm glad to see that it's changing but I think it's still prevalent to a minor degree and that is many times in the media if there's a crime committed and it's an American Indian that commits the crime, it's not a person who committed the crime, it's an American Indian did this or

1

3 4

5

7

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

recently a American Indian committed whatever crime it was and I think that, like I said, that is changing and it's changed a lot, but it's still every now and then kind of pops up and we see it in the newspapers.

I think there's a great deal of ignorance when dealing with American Indians and nobody wants to take any responsibility at all. There's so many different facts, I guess, that always come into play in regards to education. We have the Bureau of Indian Affairs. If a person needs to go to school and is in need of financial aid, they can go to the financial aid office and the office says, fill out these forms, then go to the Bureau of Indian Affairs and get the money from them, and you go to the Bureau of Indian Affairs and they say, no, go to the financial aid office and what you don't get we'll make up, so there's all these different entities that we have to deal with that just make everything a confusing process and I guess that's why it's maybe part of the reason that the people don't like to get involved or, I guess, kind of shy away from it or push it off to somebody else and same with health. Whenever somebody goes into a county hospital or to a private doctor, there's many times where at the center we get bills from people, from doctors saying this person didn't get -- they haven't paid their bills so it's your responsibility, it's not our responsibility. We don't have any money to pay for any type

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

of health services at all so we don't get it and we'll have to refer to the equity help and they'll say, I'm sorry, that person doesn't reside on the reservation and it gets shoved on and on.

So in conclusion I would like to make some of the following recommendations to this Committee and I think one would be to possibly re-evaluate and restructure recruitment and hiring practices of the public and private I say that because of affirmative action plans employers. that are drawn up, usually it seems we're kind of lumped into other categories. Now it's broken down more, at least there's a category for American Indians but, of course, it's how many whites they have, how many blacks they have, how many Hispanics they have and then normally whatever is left over is split up between Indians and Asians and others, I guess, so a lot of times they're really inaccurate amounts. I think affirmative action plans are good if they are enforced and a lot of times they look good on paper but for the most part many times they don't have--they're just not, I don't know, I guess they're just not enforced, I guess, is what I'm trying to say.

I think a concrete definition of American Indian is something everybody can live with.

One of the problems we deal with in the school situation with the county is that many times they get

somebody that will, in order to work say in an Indian program where the person must be Indian, many times if there's a vacancy they'll all of a sudden say, oh, yeah, I think my grandmother was an Indian; okay, well, you have a job then, and because of that this is all going back to the definition of an Indian. If this person had to meet the criteria then possibly that might weed out a lot of other people. I'm not saying to put them in for the sake of being Indian. I think we need to get qualified people into programs and providing services so I think that it's something that needs to be looked into and possibly be addressed.

-6

I think we need to obtain or retain knowledgeable and qualified concerned individuals sensitive to American Indian needs and I think that we need to educate as many organizations and individuals as possible and to disseminate as much information which will make individuals aware of the needs of the American Indians and eliminate all the shuffling around that American Indians are faced with.

MS. DeLUCA: Thank you. Does the Committee have any questions?

MR. MONTEZ: There is one area that has been of concern to us particularly with the reservations as relates to the program with block grants. They're having to

.5 5

go to the block grant program dealing with the state and
most treaties are with the federal government and most
obligations under the law are with the federal government.

Have you had any or have you heard of any of the

reservations having problems in that area?

enlighten you.

MR. ARNOLD: If I may, Mr. Asa Begaye, he works at the Moapa Indian Reservation, he might be able to

MR. BEGAYE: My name is Asa Begaye and I am tribal planner for the Moapa Paiutes. I'm here to give you a brief overview of the Moapa Indian Reservation. We're located 55 miles northeast of here, right off of I-15. Our land covers approximately 72,000 acres and we are what you would term an IRA tribe, Indian reorganizational tribe. As you are aware, about 1871 the United States was going into treaties with Indian tribes and at that time various legislation were enacted or Congressional mandates were made. I guess you've been filled with that.

But as to your question on block grants, one of the problems we're encountering is there never was a good working relationship between state and tribal governments on their failure to recognize each unique status and the government relationship as expressed, as advocated by President Reagan, you will find that our government, our population is approximately, I would say, according to him,

he was saying, there's approximately 178 enrolled tribal members in the Moapa but the population is 309 and the problem, like I said, that we encounter in the state is sometimes they fail to recognize the unique status we have and their failure to recognize our ability to run or operateto insure that we run a successful program. I think it's been changed lately, too, because I guess it all boils down to somebody making a move taking the initiative to contact the state government or whoever is responsible for these individuals.

مر مراقعه

And another problem we're having is due to our size. You will find a lot of moneys that are coming down from federal granters or federal agencies that provide funding, place a limitation saying you would have to have a thousand people in order to qualify for "X" amount of money and so that really hinders us and so we end up having to form consortiums as in the tribal counsel of Arizona. You have to combine it but what they don't recognize is that each location or each local government or, say, Clark County, Washoe County, Lincoln County, everybody has their own unique needs, everybody has their own problems and they would like to resolve the matter as they've determined is a problem for them and for us to effectively do it. We can't do that because we're lumped with everybody else so by the time— Or, anyway, well, ITC itself is located in

Reno so we're concerned with the distance between the individuals that are providing the direct services to us who is located eight hours away or ten hours or how many hours away that is, so we don't really recognize a true--you know, the true intent of the program. Like all federal dollars, it seems like they're funneling down, everybody getting their share and by the time it gets to us, there is nothing and so we're confronted with that, so this is the problem we're having with block grants and if they can recognize us as, say, a state, just like a state government relationship, we can start to resolve it, and if I can, I would like to address some more issues this afternoon or else I can go ahead and add on after Mr. Arnold.

MS. DeLUCA: We will have an open session

MS. DeLUCA: We will have an open session after session.

MR. BEGAYE: That's where I've encountered block grants.

MR. MONTEZ: Is your reservation receiving direct from the federal government?

MR. BEGAYE: By the time you look at it and based on the formula they've established, plus the population size, we get something like \$909. What are you gonna do with that? So, I mean, when I first started with them as a planner, I got this memo, tribes are able to now get the direct funding and all you have to do is write an

address and provide a justified proposal to us to insure that you do receive funding and we recognize your unique status, your unique ties with the federal government, et cetera. They really color it up and we get it, and here is your funding, the amount of 909. What am I going to do with that? And so that's what I'm saying, what we're saying, what I advocate, is that all these agencies such as your agency, your Commission, other commissions, they lack the input of native Americans. You have Mexicans, you have blacks, you have Anglos, you have your one-fourth Cherokee.

For you to be influential, you need to look to the direction of the tribal leaders to go into depth as recognizing what tribal needs are and so this is my—this has always been my concern. I look at all commissions and—Justice O'Connor, she's supposedly a representative of the State of Arizona. She's from Arizona and that is heavily populated with native Americans. I don't know how many tribes you have, but her court decision is it's really—She has been ingrained with native American philosophies and you'll find a lot of these court decisions you question and it continues to, quote unquote, start to infringe on tribal governments and our right as a political entity to control our own destinies and this is some of the bottlenecks that it's creating.

I advocate that you look to recruit native

Americans that are really knowledgeable or consult tribal governments to say, who do you feel is a true representation of tribal governments, and, you know, have them rather than just say, oh, he's Indian, because he's got turquoise on he must be Indian so let's get him. I think it is mandated by law that you consult with tribal government and tribal governments are in a better position to say, let's have this individual represent us on this advisory committee, on this task force, et ceterá. MS. DeLUCA: Okay. Any other questions? I just have one. Are you familiar with the -- We know there tends to be a high dropout rate of native American students 12

in our high schools. Do you have any idea what it is in general and what it would be in Moapa Valley High School?

MR. ARNOLD: I don't have those statistics handy. As a matter of fact, this is our first year we've been provided a GED and basic literacy review program. had a lot of people that were dropping out and as far as a percentage, I don't know if I could come up with a figure. The majority of the people that were coming that didn't have their GED's, most of them didn't go beyond the tenth grade so that it was, I guess, the maximum but as far as the numbers, I really can't.

MS. DeLUCA: Do you have any idea?

MR. BEGAYE: Percentagewise?

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Or how many are graduating that MS. DeLUCA: 1 go to high school. 2 MR. BEGAYE: Well, we had two graduate last 3 year. 4 MR. WALLACE: Two out of--5 MS. SADER: A class of--6 MR. BEGAYE: I can't honestly say. I hate to 7 give you a figure. 8 MS. DeLUCA: Do you see Moapa Valley 9 reservation high school students dropping out at a very high 10 rate or what's your impression? 11 MR. BEGAYE: I think, to me, the Moapans 12 have pretty much assimilated our cultural rate or more or 13 less five percent retain the old way and the other 95 percent 14 have pretty much Europeanized and the problem they're 15 encountering is similar to, you know, your other minorities. 16 They're in the same boat. They're probably the same 17 dropout level as your Mexicans, et cetera, but one of the 18 things that I've been hearing a lot of and I hate to put 19 it forth, not until I can find that evidence or prove that 20 there is actual discrimination within that school district 21 in the Moapa Valley area. I hate to just make accusations 22 so maybe I can direct a memorandum to your office. 23 MS. DeLUCA: If you would like to speak 24

further, we will have an open session for people who would

25

سر رید باید مارید میمهود

like to speak this afternoon. We're already behind schedule. 1 MR. BEGAYE: I have quite a bit. 2 I appreciate both of you being MS. DeLUCA: 3 here. Your comments have been very useful. Thank you for 4 taking your time. 5 Mr. Juan Lujan, who is the affirmative action 6 officer at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas. 7 MR. LUJAN: Thank you for inviting me. 8 MS. DeLUCA: How long have you been the 9 affirmative action officer? 10 MR. LUJAN: Hang on and I'll get started with 11 you and I won't take very long. I'll try not to. 12 I've been the affirmative action officer since 13 January of this year. Prior to that, Mr. Jim Kitchen had 14 been the affirmative action officer since the inception of 15 that office in 1976. He recently submitted his resignation 16 and applied to and was given a position as director of 17 academic advising at the University. I have been at UNLV 18 since November of 1980. I first came on board as many black 19 and brown staff do in a federally funded program. 20 I was an 21 upward bound counselor prior to that. I had spent my time in California and I'm giving you this information because I 22 think it's relative to the position and some of the things 23 24 I want to tell you. 25 Prior to being at UNLV, I worked in California in a variety of jobs: High school counselor, I attended law school for a year and a half at UCLA, I took part in a ten-day hunger strike there in 1978. I'm very proud of that because I was fighting for the rights of all minorities and women because of the Bakki decision. Before that, through affirmative action, I was admitted to the University at San Jose through the EOP program that they have there because, as Mr. Rivas has stated, I also was not college material, quote unquote, when I graduated from high school with a 1.7 Nonetheless, I ended up here and since my tenure at GPA. UNLV in 1980, I have been an advocate of affirmative action policies that I think was highlighted this past year, 1983, November the 4th, to be exact, when I chaired and sponsored an EEOC public hearing at the University. I brought to this city Commissioner Tony "Giagos" and some of his staff. put together a meeting of about a hundred affirmative action EEO people throughout Southern Nevada for a forum to discuss civil rights issues. Little did I know that I would soon be the affirmative action officer at the University.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also, about a year and a half ago, filed a charge of racism against the University in-house on the admissions policies of the University. I think I've quickly demonstrated to you what my concerns are and what my feelings are about affirmative action. With that kind of backdrop to me taking this position, it was and is my intent to become

غُ په ع

a very good affirmative action officer at the University, taking into consideration this document and documents like it that explain and give a very clear and precise account of what UNLV has done in the past. I'm not here to argue that this didn't exist or that to a great extent it doesn't exist today, why I came before you was to tell you what I have done since January with the new administration that is there with the new president, a new academic vice president, a new dean of education, a new affirmative action officer, a new director of admissions, a new director of academic advising. I'm trying to get a new financial aid officer that's I'm trying to get a new minority registrar with minority. a new staff of the kind that I just mentioned. I'm here to tell you that and I have to believe this in all my heart that we're in for a change at UNLV.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I first wanted to quickly in regard to the unmet goals and very generally I will tell you in general terms only because we are currently in the final stages of an investigation by OFCCP. In fact, our final meeting with them will be next Wednesday when the Los Angeles and Phoenix office will be here to finalize their entire investigation with us and we will come to some kind of an agreement on certain of their findings, so if you will give me that consideration, that I am speaking in general terms so we don't jeopardize what might happen to the school and community on

this coming Wednesday, then I will proceed from that vein.

Again, I don't intend to refute some of your findings because
numbers speak for themselves. I'm one of those numbers.

I'm one of three Hispanics on the campus. Let me say that,
again, I'm one of three Chicanos on that campus. I come from
a state where I'm a Chicano and that's my personal
preference, but nonetheless, when I read this document three
years ago, I sensed—I felt the need to change what was in
here, to change the numbers that are there in terms of
students and faculty, the classified ranks and the professional
ranks. No one needs to tell me the numbers that are there
in terms of parody with the state and the community.

emphatically that, yes, we did not meet our affirmative action goals. That is a true statement, but I also gave what I considered some unique characteristics to this state; that me as a person from California having gone through their school and having lived in the north and south of California, having gone to school in New Mexico for my graduate work, living in Portland, Oregon doing legal internship type work. In spite of how you feel what has happened at UNLV, I also now realize that we operate in a different environment here. That regardless of how many times it is said and how it is said, they need to continually be considered and that's why we should have

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

affirmative action working at a higher level, but we have things here that don't happen in California and Arizona and Phoenix and New Mexico and Oregon. We are a one-horse town. We are a gaming industry state and that has an impact on the employment practices of all other industry. Again, these are my opinions.

When I came from California to this state having just gone through what I told you at UCLA and the fight against Bakki, I came here and stepped back into time 15 years probably and I'm operating at that point now. Affirmative action civil rights issues are like a decade behind in time here. It's not that they're not important and they're not being addressed, I think they are being addressed in a different era of, you know, looking at things and working on them. Things that I could use and take advantage of in California don't happen here. I don't have a community network to assist me and my colleagues at the University. Mr. Rivas has so eloquently stated the problems of the Clark County School District. Well, my counterpart, any one of the California state schools would for the most part have a network of my school counselors that are bilingual or advocates of black rights or native American rights, Asian American and he could pull or she could pull from that resource and say, we have a problem, help me. That doesn't happen here. As Mr. Rivas pointed out, I know

of no high school counselor in the Clark County School
District that is Hispanic. There are, what, 16 or 17 high
schools countywide. The two high schools that have the
biggest enrollment of Hispanics are Rancho and Las Vegas High
and they don't have Hispanic counselors. That affects our
ability to recruit and get admitted in Hispanic students to
the University. That we don't have a labor pool of
educators at the high school level, at the community college
level, does away with the ability to recruit and hire staff
at the University that other schools would have.

In Los Angeles the community college network is there to hire from entry level type staff. That we don't have a large graduate program, eliminates a list of or an applicant pool of recent graduates to come into the entry level ranks of professionals and academics, so other things. need to be attempted.

And as I'm talking about recruitment of professional and academic staff, it must be understood that—I guess I don't need to tell this Advisory Committee, but maybe I do. Having an affirmative office doesn't insure the recruitment of minorities in women. In fact, that isn't even my job, as I understand it. I insure the implementation of affirmative action plans. That's the responsibility of the executive officer of that school, the president; an affirmative action officer, one with staffing and the budget,

does not recruit. I do just by happenstance and if I go to a conference for my business and then I happen to put out a flier or note or make mention to someone that we have a position but I have to, you know, go along with the notion that it's the responsibility of the committees and the deans of the departments to recruit and hire qualified women and your minority group individuals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is one theme that I'm insisting and spreading throughout the campus that I think is going to help in the future years, is that for many years now staff at that school has felt that having an affirmative action officer fills the responsibility for having met that part of it, that if we need a woman or a minority, Jim Kitchen or Juan Lujan will be the one to find them and if we don't have them, that's the case. Through the office that I work, we have generated a recruitment effort in spite of these kinds of things. We have a national search for any faculty position. We send out 300 mailers. What I did in taking office, I redistributed and re-emphasized where we do the recruiting because without knowledge of a position, you are not going to get applicants. I've intensified the recruitment efforts through California, Arizona, Colorado, Washington state, Texas, the major population states in the East, East Coast, Florida and some of the southern states and I did that to find qualified black and Hispanic

applicants. Without them, without those positions we won't get them to apply. Once we do get them to apply, there enlies some other problems.

Very recently, and I'll use this as an example of those few that do apply, a gentleman from ASU applied for a position in their music department. He became a finalist and was offered the position of professor. The man has a Ph.D. in music. He took the position and I'm going to tell you in spite of salary that we offered him, seventeen eight, and I'll discuss with you that problem shortly, he took the position and the following day turned us down because Trenton State in New Jersey offered him the same job for about 28,000.

Last year we attracted, got to recruit and hired in the field of business a black woman from Oklahoma. She took the position in the mid to high 20's and then turned us down and took a job somewhere else in the mid 30's.

That happens more than you would imagine, not just with the protected group members but with all faculty and staff. We cannot attract and keep quality people whether at entry level or at the higher levels because of the salaries that we offer. Now, as an example of this, in the last five years since we're a state agency and we're funded through the legislation, one year have we been able to show something in the positive side. I think that was in

the 82-83 year where we did a lot of hiring and we had a 14 percent pay raise. After that we have not been able to. We can only hire to fill positions that people leave and then we've had a hiring freeze for one year. This year the legislation decided that after two years without a pay raise, that they should give us one and they gave us a one and a half percent pay raise which came to, for some people, 20 to \$40 a month. That kind of budgetary restraint makes it very difficult for me or for anyone else on that campus to go out and try to entice people to come and work here. doesn't take away from the obligation that we have of finding these people, that's not at all what I'm advocating, it's just that it makes it very much more difficult in that you can't offer somebody those kinds of wages when a young person or any person with a B.S. degree in economics can go out into the labor force and earn that immediately. I know because some of my students are leaving and calling me and telling me the wages they're earning and they're very proud and we're very proud of the money they're earning immediately and they make more than the professors do. we have a very tremendous problem with salaries. In that same vein in terms of salaries,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because I think within your report there was mention that maybe there's no equity in terms of minorities and women and white males because the school is so very young in terms of

an institution. We just celebrated our 25th anniversary. I found out very recently that about a hundred of the white males that were initially hired are still there. We have these men in key positions and they re not going to leave. You can understand why. I wouldn't leave either. They hold key tenured positions and until they leave, we can't fill that job with someone new, and when we came here in '57 the work force wasn't at all like it is now where you have, you know, what I would guess seven, eight percent Hispanics in the county and maybe ten or 12 percent blacks. I'm just giving you rough guesses. I haven't had time to look at the statistics but things have changed since 1957 but our school hasn't in terms of who's there. These men are now making--because of pay raises, are in the upper pay scale in terms of their counterpart, the young person that comes in or new person, so there is a disparity there that we seem to not I don't know a way around it. be able to get around. You are welcome to interrupt me at any moment to ask me a question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mentioned that we have a new staff at the top and within that staff we've made some, what I consider, major accomplishments because we have so few black and brown staff. If we hire one, our statistics go way up. Prior to July, we had two Hispanic males on campus. We hired the third one, Mr. Larry Mason from New Mexico. Well, that

increased our -- You see what that did to my statistics? Nonetheless, it's just a statistic to me. There's just three of us out of a staff of over 400, so that is misleading but nonetheless, it's a tremendous gain. It's a very key position. As Mr. Rivas pointed out, I'm sure you are aware that the admissions office is the door to our campus in many, many ways and we're very fortunate to have hired this particular gentleman who originated out of East L.A. and has spent much time throughout the Southwest, has a tremendous record in recruitment of all groups of people and he brings to us that ability. Again, he is just one of three though so the expectation that we have of him or that the community has of him has to keep that kind of thing in mind, that just because he's there doesn't mean that the picture is going to change overnight, but he is sensitive to the things we're talking about.

The new director of academic advising,

Mr. Kitchen, I think, will bring a new attitude and change
to the academic advisory center. Once we get a minority
there, we have to give them support service or they're not
going to stay. These are changes that I think are going to
affect what your statistics here have been showing.

Now, what I'd like to do--try to do is-Again, keeping in mind that we're not done with the
reconciliation agreements that we have with OFCCP. They started

ľ

^2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

their investigation the week I took office.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DeLUCA: Mr. Lujan, if anyone should ask you a question that you don't feel you can answer, you can always say.

MR. LUJAN: But I will try my best to give you an answer.

Because we're just at the final stages of this investigation and reconciliation with them, very generally I can tell you that they came up with the same kinds of problems that you did in terms of what has happened there in hiring and that we're trying to make some adjustment and changes to that by implementing different kinds of practices. Again, I have been involved with them since I took office there and it's been a very quick learning process for me as to what an affirmative office and plan does. I think we've established and by we I mean from the president's office down through the deans, have established a very good working relationship with this agency which has enhanced our ability to come to some kind of agreement with them. All the necessary documentation that they have needed, we have given They looked into classified hiring, professional hiring, academic hiring for the last three or four years and they looked at the equity issue of pay between men versus women. Again, basically the points that were brought out in the unmet goals were the points that were brought up in

their investigation. What I think will come of this is that 1 we're going to intensify the efforts through the state 2 personnel office to bring in entry level classified people, 3 minorities and women. We have attempted to train-- They 4 know the working relationship with our personnel office and 5 the state personnel office and the City here to find us an 6 applicable pool of minorities and women in those kinds of 7 positions. That, I think, is something that we will be 8 addressing in the very near future. We've considered in our 9 work, working towards a language agreement of vocational 10 training program such as the one Mr. Rivas heads, Jobs For 11 Progress, on the West Side here, to work closely with them 12 to find these applicants when we have positions that are 13 available at the University but, again, we've told them and 14 we've demonstrated that working under budget restraints 15 immediately puts a dent or puts some kind of screening 16 17 process in our hiring. It just immediately affects us and we have to circumvent that somehow and, again, we don't use 18 that as an excuse. We look at it as a fact and then try to 19 20 work around it.

In the classified ranks it's not as big a problem as the professional and academic positions but we do feel that we're going to make a major change out there and we feel very good about setting up a language agreement for the vocational training centers. Other than that, I think--

21

22

23

24

25

I feel that the new staff is going to bring some new blood, some new ideas, some new concerns to some old problems. know, I was very active from the day I got there in trying to address and change some of those things that I did. think the school knows what my intentions are. No one is questioning the job that I'm doing. I'm getting lots of support from the new president. I'm very active in a lot of I'm insisting on it. searches being done. They're abiding by that so I think--I feel that we're in for a change there. Now, if you see me a year from now packing my bags, leaving town, you'll know that maybe it didn't work and I have to live elsewhere, but I came here to tell you that very optimistically we're going to have new changes and I quickly show you that was instrumental in the hiring of Mr. Mason.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are qualified minority women candidates out there. We have to find them.

MR. MONTEZ: I want to say that I'm very impressed with your presentation. As I said earlier, our office along with this state advisory committee has met a high level of frustration in this state and it's not only educational training, it's at every level you want to talk about and for the sake of this committee I think it's important because there are new members here and I want to say that the investigation by the Department of Labor was instigated by this committee and for some of you new members

that are constantly asking me where do we get any results, after this report came out we were in contact with the Department of Labor and I don't say that as grandizement but, you know, this committee needs encouragements of some of things that we do. You know, they received our report in Phoenix and we were in communication with the liaison people and they said they were coming in on the recommendation of this committee and I only say that for the sake of the committee, that this is a positive result. Mr. Lujan is obviously, I hope, a result of some of the work we've done. As you might know, our work is very frustrating and with all the committees every time something like this positive happens, I like to tell the committees. You know, I don't have anything going to Arizona, California and Hawaii at this time but was able to take the Nevada SAC.

MS. DeLUCA: I was impressed. I was riveted by what you were saying. I'm hopeful that your optimisms pan out. I hope the legislation comes through with this money.

MR. LUJAN: Let me add something to that. In the meeting this past week with the president, we found out that we may have a drop in enrollment of about 500 students. Well, we translate that into a loss of a half a million dollars, \$1,000 per student roughly, and we're being conservative in that and we're hoping that we're totally

wrong but if that holds true, we're going to first of all put a freeze on any job that we haven't filled at this point and we do have jobs out there to be filled, but if we do that, then the goals that we've set up at this moment are going to have to be changed just because we told OFCCP we'd be filling these positions and we anticipate two of those would go to women and minorities, but if we lose half a million dollars, then we have no alternative but to introduce those jobs the following year, so we're hoping that we don't have that loss of student enrollment.

MR. MONTEZ: You might know that the chancellor was a woman in the state university system in California. The same thing has happened. Your enrollment is dropping in all areas and they have now, in order to try to beef that up, have gone very strongly on a Hispanic and black student recruitment because they feel that to encourage these groups that have not been part of the university, that they might be able to make up some of that and I have discussed it with her and we're hoping to beef up recruitment. I throw that out as a suggestion.

MR. LUJAN: Thank you. Those things are priorities right now and so that will affect us tremendously.

MS. DeLUCA: Mr. Lujan, when you say, we have some different conditions here in Las Vegas, lower salaries and you mentioned the gaming mentality or aspect, can you

ŀ

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

elaborate on that a little bit more and how that affects the University hiring?

I was recently at a conference MR. LUJAN: back East and right here is part of this answer I gave to Because we're Las Vegas, when we advertise for a OFCCP. position, many times it's almost a joke because people, one, don't know we have a university here of any quality or -- they know we have a basketball program, but very seriously, and I've been asked this by some very well educated people, they say, do you have a community there, so they think we're just a Strip and that I live in, like, a casino. I've been told that, you know, and I'm almost-- I say, damn, this isn't happening, but it does happen and if we send out an advertisement for a position and it goes into Tennessee or Carolinas, I'm wondering how often that happens. You know, I wonder if that applicant is thinking, well, how would I live there, you know, and that's a factor that other schools don't have to deal with that I'm aware of and I've been at other universities where that kind of attitude towards it isn't felt.

Another thing, although, you know, we're here in the Southwest where there's a high percentage of Hispanics, in the Southwest where we're isolated geographically from Phoenix, from Los Angeles, that sets up a minor problem of sorts of getting someone to come here and to change

 from that kind of a place to this place. You know, we are isolated. It's not just 300 miles, it's ten years also. I mentioned that, and I mentioned that we're a young school. Other young schools will have the same problem that we do is that the initial corps of people that came in the 50's, you know what the hiring for us was like then, white male. Well, we still have them and we have to somehow incorporate that into the affirmative action plan.

MR. HOWARD: You have your affirmative action equal opportunity employer. Do you have an affirmative action plan?

MR. LUJAN: Yes.

MR. HOWARD: Is that affirmative action plan written in a book so that all can see what your plan is?

MR. LUJAN: It most certainly is. To add to that, the plan was written in '82 and it has expired in past April. We're now in the process of and it should be completely rewirtten by the end of this year. That's part of this OFCCP investigation. With help from them, we should have a tremendously better written affirmative action plan and policy that we can work with. Again, through experience, we learn and many of the things that we had in it we didn't have to do and that was causing a tremendous workload on a very small staff and the direction was misdirected maybe, so with the help of OFCCP, who, by the way, invited me and I

2

accepted a two-day in-house meeting in the Van Nuys office, they worked with me for two days on how to write an affirmative action plan, what things to zero-in on and what things to stay away from and so that's been a tremendous help.

MR. MONTEZ: You couldn't get any federal funding without a plan?

MR. LUJAN: Right.

MR. MONTEZ: You have to file it?

MR. LUJAN: Most definitely. Another thing because we have so few black and Hispanics on campus, if we lose one, our statistics—we lose a tremendous number, therefore, on finding out, to make up for that statistic and to show a gain, we not only have to replace that person but we have to hire one other or our statistics from year to year just look terrible. They're not good to begin with but this fluctuates tremendously from one year to the next.

MS. DeLUCA: Because of knowing a professor in a certain department—this was several years ago—I was aware of a situation where the white males had the departments was why they were actively looking for minorities in women and one woman was offered a contract and she had accepted it and she was an outstanding person from a major university and then she turned it down at the last minute having changed her mind, again, about living in Las Vegas.

20 21

22

16

17

18

19

23 24

25

I don't know if they do this with those type of applicants, like they do with coaches, but they need to gather up a host or reception of people that live in this community and all kinds of stuff to keep people like that. I also know of numerous abuses that have taken place just within the University of minorities and women, but that was an example of the kind of thing you were talking about.

MR. MONTEZ: This Civil Rights Commission suffers from the same image that you talk about. We have to find a community center where we can have meetings because meeting in the hotels people say, wherever else are you going to meet, there is no community there. Same kind of image when I come from Los Angeles.

Does anybody else have any questions?

It's too late, but I would have MS. DeLUCA: I would have loved to have you welcomed you on my campus. That's why I had the EEOC meeting there. there.

MR. MONTEZ: After talking about the problems of American Indians in Nevada, we think we should probably meet on the reservation to try to get some real input. the administrator and it's somewhat-- You know, I always thought Nevada was everybody gambled, you know. no more different than the perceptions you get across country. I don't live in Nevada, so it's different.

> I would say finally if you want MR. LUJAN:

further information as to what has happened since I've been 1 there, Mr. Begaye, I would ask him to speak on what I have 2 attempted to do and how the things seem to be changing. 3 was a very key individual in that EEOC hearing last 4 November and because he came and because of a lot of things, 5 his tribe is now getting some help and he and I are supposed 6 to attend a conference in October in Albuquerque for a--7 MR. BEGAYE: National conference. 8 MR. LUJAN: He was a student when I met him 9 and we've generated a working kind of relationship where we 10: assist each other but, again, I mentioned at the very onset 11 that without community network and help nothing will change, 12 no matter how I want things to happen, it just won't. 13

MS. DeLUCA: Thank you very, very much for your presentation, Mr. Lujan.

need the community behind us.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have Suzanne Ernst on hand scheduled to speak, then we have maybe a couple of people or an open session and we will decide what to do about lunch.

MRS. ERNST: Nothing like being the closing act.

MS. DeLUCA: I apologize for having you wait so long. We have with us Mrs. Suzanne Ernst who is deputy administrator for the Division For Aging Services, State Department of Human Resources. We really appreciate you

1 | coming.

MRS. ERNST: I'm going to try and keep within the time limit. I know that the afternoon will soon be gone and there won't be a lunch if we don't get on with it, so I'm going to be as concise as I can. I am happy to be given this opportunity to testify about the concerns of the Division For Aging Services, which is a State of Nevada division, as an advocate for the elderly statewide, and the problems that we have identified, and we think we do a very good job of it.

Just to put it in perspective, if you don't know, Nevada is the fastest growing state for seniors in the United States.

Between 1970 and 1980 we had a hundred percent increase in seniors. Between 1980 and 1984 we had a 28-percent increase in seniors which puts us percentagewise as the fastest growing state for senior population in the United States. Clark County has shown the greatest amount of increase. Right now approximately 54 percent of all the seniors in Nevada live in Clark County. This has made a tremendous impact on us in providing services and seeing problems and meeting needs and we have tried to address those needs as best we can.

Our agency primarily receives federal funds which we act as guarantee for and we passed through almost

four million dollars in the federal government to various granting agencies. The things that you may recognize right offhand are things like meals and senior nutrition programs and senior centers, those kinds of things. Those fundings come through our division to various non-profit agencies primarily.

We also act strongly and advocate legislative procedures, and in our office we have one direct service personnel both in the north and south and that is a nursing home ombudsman because we have also a tremendous growth in this area and so we have an ombudsman which acts as an advocate for patients' rights in nursing homes.

We frankly feel that we have tried very hard to make equal accounts as to services. We're not a hundred percent successful and, in fact, far from that and I'll address one of those problems towards the end of this, but our primary concern when we're talking about age discrimination, that comes repeatedly to my attention primarily because seniors call me, directly contact me themselves in the area of employment. It's an issue that lies quietly, barely examined by the experts as Phil and Susan and I have talked. It seems to be on the bottom of people's lists. It's religion, it's race, et cetera, et cetera, and when I got down to the last little thing mentioned is age, the seniors themselves softly discuss it. Part of it may be a certain

2

amount of competition between themselves and what they consider in their own families for working positions. You know, you want your children and your grandchildren to be able to work, be employed and that's sort of an in-cultural guilt, that there may be a competition between the generations, but we have to recognize more and more seniors have to work. It's not merely a matter of choice and as long as their health permits you are going to find that seniors are going to want to work longer and longer.

Those who need to work are usually senior women who have very, very low retirement benefits, senior women in the lowest economic straits statistically of any group in the United States, and another group of seniors who must be considered are those who had low earnings during their working years because obviously their benefits are based on their low earnings and it just is a cycle that's set up because these seniors then have very limited skills and they have basic problems finding jobs in an ever-changing work enrivonment.

You take women in their 60's and 70's whose husband died who may have a cessation of that pension and have to live on one-half or sometimes one-third. The average senior widow woman on social security averages monthly payments from social security somewhere around 370 a month. For most of those people that is their income. A lot of

25°

seniors have been helped and are being served by federal programs like Title V and Greenthumb programs that provide stipends like RSVP and foster grandparents. They are doing a good job, but they are very limited and the incomes they are providing are very limited. What happens then is you cannot find it easy to retain and provide jobs for seniors in the work environment and then we have some bias in our employers whether it's accurate or inaccurate and behind closed doors they'll tell me things that they will not say in public.

One of the things that I hear repeatedly that employers have confided, that increased insurance premiums and concern for retirement benefit costs have prejudiced them against hiring seniors. Also it should be noted that studies show, and I can give you statistics if you want them, that seniors are highly productive. They do not lose any more workdays due to health problems and they are accident-free. All those prejudices that may have accumulated over the years have proven not to be true. Conversely, when we have a senior who is highly educated or well trained, we find many times when they apply for jobs that they are not accepted and told that they are overqualified. Where does a senior go if they're unqualified and if they're overqualified, whatever the reason? He's going to have a tough time getting a job.

20 21

22

23

2425

With the increased stress on those on fixed incomes--you think about it--with increased utility costs and health costs, you are going to see more and more seniors over 70 that are going to be looking for jobs. My mother is When my father retired, her house was paid 79 years of age. Her car is paid for. She has no major bills. father died three years ago. Her income is \$354 a month in social security. Her car is now 20 years old. Her utility bills are running in a very small two-bedroom home only 90 to a hundred dollars a month. Her medical costs, prescriptions and other needs, are running in a substantial figure monthly. How does she survive? Fortunately, she has a family who helps her, but for other seniors who are past 70 who thought that they were going to be comfortable, they are not comfortable and what happens, when they talk to us about age discrimination or they talk to-- The laws, as we understand them, only serve those people for protection between 40 and 70. I'm not sure that they protect those between 40 and 70, but that's, however, the way the law is written.

Recently, just to give you another little aside on something that happened locally, in the Hilton Hotel there were approximately 40 dealers, male, who were over the age of 40 who were replaced by younger female employees. As you know, dealers in this town are not unionized and I know

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

that they have filed some sort of an action but it is just a local aside that I think you can see that the competition in the work force is extensive.

I do have a success story. I wish Mr. Lujan was here because I think that he should recognize that when you go and tell all the problems you have, that you ought to share your successes, so I'm going to share a success story.

Dr. Byrnes, who joined UNLV in 1966, reached his 65th birthday in 1980. He was on a yearly contract and the Board of Regeants had passed a mandatory retirement age of 65. Nationally, there appears to be a de facto policy of retirement at 70 for professors. Dr. Byrnes went through channels in an attempt to be reappointed, including the dean of his college and the UNLV affirmative action officer. In the spring of 1980, the UNLV Grievance Committee recommended that he be reappointed. In February 1981, he appeared before the Board of Regeants and it voted six to three not to reappoint. At no time was his performance ever questioned, it was only based on his age. If he had been forced to retire at that time, his income would have been cut to 37 and one-half percent of his present salary. brought suit and then the Nevada State Supreme Court found the decision illegal and unconstitutional. Since then, 28 persons in the Nevada system of higher education were

affected. Dr. Byrnes is today a full professor on tenure at UNLV and we worked very closely. It's one of the few shining lights that we have to show, but I think we should talk about our successes as well as our failures.

Going back to accessing of services, although this is slightly out of the realm of age discrimination, I feel very strongly about this area and as to our discussion and I was asked and I want to bring to your attention the problems that we have identified in the Indian population.

We provide nutrition services to the elderly Indians in eight sites in Nevada. We have gone a step beyond also to try and provide services to the Indians and we've worked very closely with the Indian Tribal Council. We have given funds in an effort to establish an Indian Aging Council. We're the only state in the union that doesn't have one. We had a meeting last September with Indian representatives from throughout the state and it's important, I think, that someone— I've lived in Nevada all my life and I think it's important that we Anglos tell you some of the problems that we recognize in the Indian population. They shared that with us last September.

We have a problem getting Indians to access services where the population is primarily white. Now, I am specifically talking about elderly Indians. At this conference we had last September they told us stories where

1

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

most of them were sent to the Stewart Indian School in Carson City when they were young and they were told they were not to speak their language and they were strung and beaten every time they did not speak English. If you ever thought that Indians were stoic, maybe if you were beaten every time you misspoke something or went to your native language, you would be stoic also. It gave me a greater insight into the Indian elderly and their problems in adjusting into a white access service and we have tried to do something to make this as palatable as possible for them.

You, I'm sure, know that many or most Indian elders in Nevada worked in positions where they did not receive any withholding from their checks. Most of them worked on ranches as cooks and ranch hands. They are not getting social security. They have the shortest life span of any minority in Nevada, therefore, most of them don't live to accept our services which, by law, are at 60 through the Older Americans Act. They have some specific medical problems that we've identified that we are trying to help them with, such as diabetes and so forth. We just feel that we're dedicated to serving the Indian elders but their problems are beyond the things that we can do for them and we would very much implore you to use your resources to do research and study this area. Thank you.

MS. SADER: Thank you.

ļ	
1	MS. DeLUCA: Thank you.
2	MS. SADER: You are right, none of us went
3	to sleep.
4	MS. DeLUCA: It's really excellent. Any
5	questions?
6	MS. SADER: I have one question. Can you
7	just tell me a little bit about the policy by the State of
8	Nevada as far as aged is concerned? Is there a mandatory
9	retirement at the state level?
10	MRS. ERNST: State level, no.
11	MS. SADER: Is there an unwritten policy?
12	MRS. ERNST: No. We have had people work.
13	We've never had that complaint.
14	MS. SILVERO: Did you mention the senior
15	population in Clark County?
16	MRS. ERNST: 70,000 seniors.
17	MS. SADER: It's growing faster than Florida.
18	MRS. ERNST: Percentagewise, not numberwise,
19	it's the fastest growing state last statistics out.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Thank you very, very much. I
21	appreciate your efforts.
2 2	(Thereupon a lunch recess was
23	taken, after which the following
24	proceedings were had:)
25	MS. DeLUCA: Well, shall we go ahead? We'll

reconvene our meeting of the Nevada State Advisory Committee 1 To The United States Commission On Civil Rights. 2 We have with us Jesse Scott, executive board 3 member, Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County. 4 Would you introduce yourself, as well, for 5 the record? 6 My name is Wayne Strimling MR. STRIMLING: 7 and I'm the planning officer for Economic Opportunity Board 8 of Clark County. 9 MR. SCOTT: Want me to read this? 10 MR. WALLACE: Would you just for the record? 11 MR. MONTEZ: You can just summarize it. 12 MS. SADER: We can just put it in the record. 13 MS. DeLUCA: Can you summarize and bring out 14 the main points, Mr. Scott? 15 MR. SCOTT: I'll try. My name is Reverend 16 Jesse D. Scott and I serve on the Board of Directors for the 17 Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County. The Economic 18 Opportunity Board of Clark County is the designated Community 19 Action agency for Southern Nevada with its ongoing purpose 20 being the alleviation of the effects of poverty and the 21 combating of problems resulting from economic deprivation. 22 EOB currently operates 15 programs which serve Clark County 23 residents. 24 Community Action was the centerpiece of 25

President Johnson's War on Poverty. For 17 years, Community Action agencies, that is, from 1964 to 1981, were funded through the Federal Office of Economic Opportunity and then the Community Services Administration. One of the first acts of the Reagan administration was to abolish the Community Services Administration, the first federal civilian agency to be put out of business since before World War II. The demise of CSA effectively silenced the voice for the poor within the federal government.

Accompanying the dissolution of the Community
Services Administration, Congress established the Community
Services block grant, which supports Community Action agencies
and other local programs through state governments at a
greatly reduced funding level. Funds for Community Action
agencies have been reduced on a nationwide basis by 45
percent with the creation of the Community Services block
grant. Yet, in the last fiscal year, requests for services
from Community Action agencies have increased by 30 percent
across the nation. The agency that I represent has
experienced an increased demand for services since the
inception of the block grant while funding for the agency
through the Community Services block grant has been diminished
since its inception in 1982.

Approximately 40,000 residents within the EOB service area, a majority of whom are low-income and of

minority status, depend on the services provided by EOB's

15 agency programs which are administered through four

divisions specifically, and they are listed as follows, first

being the Transportation and Senior Services Division,

Health Services Division, Education Division and other

programs. In order to administer and plan these programs

adequately, funding must be maintained at a level which is

responsive to the needs of the community.

Under the current Community Service block grant process, which is administered in Carson City, the State has the power to make decisions regardless of the local situation. Yet the recipients of program services provided by EOB have the lowest incomes, the greatest handicaps and the least political power of any faction residing in Clark County.

The Community Service block grant as it is administered in Nevada is not targeted to those in need and is not sensitive to the plight of the poor and disadvantaged of Clark County. Since the demise of the Community Service Administration in 1981 when my agency received \$546,461 in funding, funding under the current Community Service block grant has been reduced to \$240,169 in 1984, a reduction of \$306,292. While economic conditions in Clark County warrant the development of additional Community Action programs to serve the poor and disadvantaged, inadequate funding through the Community Service block grant prohibit their creation.

We're saying in substance our agency serves as an umbrella for the poverty funds coming from the federal government in Southern Nevada and whereas at one point we had a half a million dollars to fund those needs, presently we are down to about 200,000—a little better than \$200,000 and we lost about \$300,000 in the process of transferring over to the block grant system and we have the need and we have the people and the requests are being made but we don't have the forums to adequately supply them.

The Low-income Energy Assistance Program, when it was initially developed by Congress about five years ago, was awarded directly through local grants. The funds for administering this program was awarded to local Community Action agencies. Upon being made a State block grant, the administration of the total program was shifted to State government. Local agencies lost this revenue with the exception of a token payment of \$5 per application taken. This shift to State administration did not lessen the amount of dollars expended on administering the program, it merely shifted funds from local control to state control, again weakening the base for local control and input.

And that's the sum and substance of what I have to say is that since they've been changed over to the block grant funding, we're getting a smaller dollar to service these needs and that the need persists but the funds

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been decreased substantially.

In addition to this presentation, we also have a breakdown of the services and the heads of various departments and what these services do in terms of rendering services to the individuals who would have need for them.

Any questions?

MS. DeLUCA: Thank you.

MS. SILVERO: T have a question. your funding, what is your total funding right now, overall total funding for the agency?

For all the programs we have MR., STRIMLING: an annual budget of about three and a half million dollars. You're talking about the Community Services block grant?

> That's what I'm asking you. MS. SILVERO:

Right now we received in 1984 MR. STRIMLING: \$240,169 in the Community Services block grant. We received \$16,000 for Career Readiness. That deals with career counseling and job placement. In other words, we deal with low-income people who have difficulty in getting jobs. They'll get some counseling on how to write resumes, how to get their direction in dealing with a job.

Another program that we have under the Community Services block grant is Senior Opportunities and Services, West Side Senior Center, and we receive \$58,000 for that and that amount of money has been stagnant for about the

last four years, and we compliment that money with City 1 The West Side Senior Center is located on "D" Street 2 and we deal with about 200 low-income seniors per month and 3 we have requested additional funding through block grant 4 and we also get money from communities for the general 5 operations but in essence when the federal government, the 6 Community Service Administration was in control, more funds 7 were available, not only for the maintenance of our own 8 operations, but money was available to develop new programs 9 such as Youth and Employment Energy Assistance, Crisis 10 Intervention. It appears right now that the Community Service 11 block was limited each year in the funds that we have and 12 we really cannot develop new programs. 13 We had one program last year. We had for six 14 months what's called our Youth Employment Program where we 15 dealt with low-income individuals between the ages of 16 and 16 19, the unemployed, and we were allowed funds for six months 17 which really wasn't adequate and when it runs out that's the 18

MS. SILVERO: That was under the Summer Youth Program?

MR. STRIMLING: It started as a Summer Youth Program, but it went from June through February so it was eight or nine months.

MS. SILVERO: Does the agency have an

19

20

21

22

23

24

end of the program.

affirmative action officer at this point?

MR. STRIMLING: Yes. Our personnel officer is Muriel Simpson, who is also the affirmative action officer.

In essence, what we're trying to change—
When the change went from federal grants to state controlled
block grants, we feel that the State really does not take
care of the poor. Our basic argument is the fact that one
policy regarding the poor is left to the discrimination of
the State which under the block grant are poorly protected.
That's another one, another comment that Jesse didn't mention.
We were commenting about the misdirection of the block grant
movement.

An example of one of the block grants is the Older Americans Act. The Older Americans Act provides money for division services in the State of Nevada, for example, and according to the Older Americans Act, and I cite on page five their basic goal is to provide services to older persons with greatest economic or social need, but according to the Older Americans Act you cannot take a means test. We have a senior day care center in Las Vegas. We cannot take the means test for anyone. In other words, if a person comes into the program and applies, there's no—we have to accept everyone. I'm not saying we won't, but you cannot take that economic profile of that individual so, in

16,

other words, if we want to target towards the poorest people, we can't exclude anyone, say, middle income because we cannot make what we call an economic assessment.

MS. SILVERO: Before I ask my question, I want to point out that I am very proud of this agency. I worked for this agency for nine years as one of their administrators and at one point I was the affirmative action officer for this agency and I was one of the ones that was out of the system because of funding cuts.

My question was, from what I'm hearing, your problem is that— Let me rephrase my statement. How is it that we can help you or your agency?

MR. SCOTT: By making a recommendation to the Congress and the President that we go back from the block grant funding to the CSA kind of funding, rather than have it go back to the categorical way of expending of funds, rather than going through the block grant because when you come to the block grant, it's stopping, bottlenecking at the State rather than coming through, and originally it went the other way. You know, we had a former President who came up with this great idea that government closest to the people is the best for the people and we're saying we want to make it very close by sending it directly to them rather than going through the State.

MR. STRIMLING: There is six block grants for

1

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22_.

24

25

health, et cetera, but the thing about it with the block grants, each state will administer the block grant itself. They'll make up their own priorities and policies, so the needs of various states aren't necessarily protected.

MS. SILVERO: Thank you.

MR. MONTEZ: What agency handles the block grant for the State of Nevada or do they come under the specific of health or--

MR. STRIMLING: We have the Community Service block grant that's from the Office of Community Services up in Carson City. The Primary Care grant and Maternal -- the Child Health Services grant, they're administered by the Nevada Department of Health. Low Energy Assistance is from the Office of Community Services. There was another one here which was not typed in for one reason or another, the Alcohol and Drug Abuse block grant which is from the Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Abuse. There are various entities in the state. These various entities: Nevada Department of Health, Office of Community Services, Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Abuse, they get money from the federal government and they decide who are the most needy, how much money would be allowed for each program.

Our basic argument is the fact when the federal government would have their priorities, it would be sort of a uniform protection across the country. When the

State has control, the State will decide who's going to 1 benefit, who's going to be--2 MR. MONTEZ: So eventually you could be at a 3 low priority with all the block grants; that you would be 4 non-existent. In fact, that could happen; is that correct? 5 That's right. MR. STRIMLING: It's coming to 6 that now. 7 Is the State receiving as much MS. SADER: 8 money as was given the State before the block grants were 9 initiated? 10 MR. STRIMLING: Approximately the same, if 11 It would vary from state to state. What they'll 12 13 do, the block grant money will go to the Office of Community Services and they'll channel down the money to the 14 various accepted divisions. 15 MS. SADER: Where do you see the money going 16 17 if you are not getting it? Who's getting that other \$300,000? 18 19 MR. STRIMLING: When we had a budget of \$500,000, we had other programs. We had the Low-Income 20 21 Energy Assistance Program which we provide assistance to 22 low-income people. That was taken over by the State. 23 Office of Community Services controls it now. 24 MS. SADER: How much was budgeted for that? 25 MR. STRIMLING: I think it was approximately

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1981 is the last time that we had it. We were dealing with, I would say, something like \$240,000 which was handed down to us from CSA which we would then distribute.

MS. DeLUCA: Do you have any idea how effective the energy program is now coming through the state government? I don't know if you have any feeling for that.

MR. SCOTT: I don't have any actual statistics on the number of people they service and how effective they've been. We don't have that information. We know when we had it we were very effective. In fact, we got a lot of commendations from the State as helping a lot of people who had needs and it is true, as Mr. Strimling has said, we have to service people on a first come, first serve basis but without having any means test to qualify them. We're actually going out to see the people and doing the work. We didn't encourage them to be receiving governmental assistance in this program. When they obviously could do for themselves, we would let them know that the program was for the poor. I don't know what is happening now, but we would do what we could do within the framework of the rules that we have to discourage people from getting these services that could afford to pay for them themselves. I don't know anything about the record of what's happening I know we were very effective. In fact, we got letters now. and statements of commendation from the State Office of

Community Services telling us how effective we were when we 1 had the program. 2 MR. MONTEZ: You'd rather have the money than 3 the letters, wouldn't you? 4 MR. SCOTT: Oh, yes. 5 Under the federal regulations MR. MONTEZ: 6 of block grants there is a civil rights component that is 7 required of all money going into states and as I would 8 suspect that some block grantees, agencies of the government 9 or of the state government are more concerned about the 10 civil rights regulations than others. You may not want to 11 comment on it. I just feel that maybe this Advisory 12 Committee could look at those six agencies of the State and 13 see what they're doing. 14 MR. SNIDER: It appears that all of these 15 block grants are administered under the Department of Human 16 Resources; is that correct? His name is Jacka. 17 MR. STRIMLING: And Linda Rhine in the Office 18 of Community Services. 19 MR. SNIDER: But evidently these block grants 20 are administered by that, that is the umbrella--21 Then we apply for funds and MR. STRIMLING: 22 they disburse money to me. 23 MR. SCOTT: In response to the prior question, 24 where is the 300,000 plus going now, we don't know. 25 I don't

know.

MS. SADER: He told me where over 200,000 is going.

MR. SCOTT: Where a portion of it is going.

MR. MONTEZ: The Committee should be aware of where all those block grant moneys are going that are coming from the federal government, then this Committee could decipher whether the money is being used according to civil rights regulations, and if the money is not being used according to the civil rights regulations I think that becomes our responsibility.

MS. SADER: I absolutely agree.

MS. DeLUCA: Do you know where the rest of the funding for EOB comes from?

MR. STRIMLING: For example, for Foster
Grandparent Program we get from Action. Senior and
Handicapped Transportation primarily from Urban Mass Transit
Administration. Carson Reality House from the Bureau of
Alcohol and Drug Abuse. Family Planning is from the Nevada
Department of Health. Maternal Health Care from the Nevada
Division of Health. North Las Vegas Alcoholism from the
Bureau of Drug and Alcohol Abuse. Head Start from Health and
Human Services. We also get money from other sources, like
United Way and we also get money from City of Las Vegas,
Community Development block grants, but our primary source

for transportation are UMPA, and Division of Aging Services 1 from Senior Day Care Program, and health programs we get 2 from Nevada Department of Health, and our education programs, 3 to reiterate, are Head Start that we get from the Department 4 of Health and Human Services, HHS, and some money from 5 Title 20. 6 MR. SNIDER: Is that direct federal money? 7 MR. STRIMLING: Yes. Our Head Start Program 8 which is HHS, we get that directly from Washington or the 9 San Francisco office. We don't get that through block grants. 10 MS. DeLUCA: Any other questions? 11 I'm having a hard time MR. WALTHER: 12 understanding the block grant system. You mentioned here 13 with the Older Age Americans Act is a federal rule. 14 wasn't in effect, chances are that it wouldn't be implemented 15 in Nevada. I'm guessing. What is it about having the money 16 come to a state that makes it less equitably distributed? 17 MR. STRIMLING: Basically the argument is 18 the fact that when the money goes directly to the state, are 19 they aware of the problems that are going on in Clark County? 20 We feel that when the money goes to Carson City, are they 21 making an evaluation of what's going on in Las Vegas? 22 MR. WALTHER: What's your answer? 23 MR. STRIMLING: 24 No. 25 MR. WALTHER: Do the people in Washington know

better than Carson City?

MR. MONTEZ: The Washington money came more direct, Steve.

MR. STRIMLING: With the categorical block system we would apply directly to the federal government. We would state our case, take a profile in the community, express our argument to the federal government. When the money goes up to the State we don't necessarily have a lot of input.

MR. MONTEZ: The State establishes the priorities, who gets the money. Like you said, a person through the Older Americans Act could be drawing \$3,000 a month in income and actually fit into the program because they're older Americans and so what you could really have is very affluent older Americans in the program.

MR. WALTHER: That's a federal rule though.

MR. MONTEZ: I understand that's a federal rule but then you give the State the authority of how they distribute the money and you've got another bottleneck that the Economic Development Board, such as this—not Development but Opportunity has that much more of a difficult time dealing with the problems of the poor. Now, we're either dealing with the problems of the poor or we're dealing with problems of everybody and that includes people who don't need money. That's where you get it.

MR. WALTHER: I'm just saying, unless you are just simply saying the people in Carson City do not listen to you, if that's what you are saying then that's another problem we ought to talk about and that's what I hear you talking about today, that they do not listen to the input you give them and that's a problem that we ought to maybe consider.

MR. SCOTT: The Economic Opportunity Board meet each month and at these meetings the second item I think on the agenda affords the opportunities for individuals who either are recipients of the program or who are just independent citizens in the community and they come forth and say, I know of a number of people who have a need or I have a need and I'd like to have my need addressed, so on a monthly basis we have an open forum to hear those needs expressed and, therefore, we are in a better position to service those needs. We're saying that the State is a distance from these individuals and the largest percentage of the population is in this area and they are a distance from that and, therefore, they would not be as sensitive toward those needs as we are.

MS. SADER: But you still get the money.

MR. SCOTT: No, we're not getting the money, that's what we're saying.

MS. SADER: You are getting all but \$60,000.

4:

One program has been taken out of your hands and placed in the State's hands for energy reimbursement to the needy so I see \$60,000 that's not being accounted for.

MR. SCOTT: Let's go back to the original thing and we're having a hard time getting this one over, is that we feel that being in the community, located in the community that we are more sensitive to the needs of those that are really in need than those who are not. There is a possibility without being able to pinpoint it that there are some people who are receiving these funds that are not in a needy area and we're saying with this EOB knowing the community and knowing the people, that we are in a better position to, without having a means test, to decipher those that have the most need from those who are not in need.

MR. SNIDER: My understanding is that communication between your agency and the various state agencies does not exist. Do you have hearings? Do you submit evaluations and reports and statistics and that type of thing to them?

MR. STRIMLING: We are required to submit on a monthly basis financial reports. I submit on a quarterly basis what we call the Quarterly Program Reports to the central adminstration.

MR. SNIDER: I'm talking about the initial grant request. In other words, justification for the moneys.

If we have a request for a MR. STRIMLING: 1 proposal, we'll--I will write up a proposal and I will send 2 it to Carson City and they decide what they want to give. 3 MS. DeLUCA: Whereas with the federal 4 government in the old process it was handled differently. 5 MR. SCOTT: It was accepted and they sent the 6 funding through. 7 What I'm trying to understand is MR. SNIDER: 8 the difference between your communication with the feds as 9 compared to the state. 10 11 MR. WALTHER: You are saying the communications The feds listen and the state doesn't. 12 ish't the same. I have submitted a request for 13 MR. STRIMLING: 14 Community Services block grants every year and it's a 15 humungous document that we'll send up to the Office of Community Services and we'll send it up to them and they'll 16 17 say how it is, no argument. That's it. 18 MR. SNIDER: Don't they come down here and 19 have meetings with you and that type of thing? 20 MR. STRIMLING: No, they don't. 21 MR. SNIDER: To establish what you are doing? 22 MR. STRIMLING: No, they don't. They'll come 23 down and they'll audit the money that they give us. They'll 24 check our books but as far as asking for input and what we 25 need, no.

It doesn't seem to me there's MR. MONTEZ: 1 any opening for any kind of lobbying. What we're talking 2 about is like any bureaucracy works, whoever creates the 3 heat, you know, and goes in and demands the money or does 4 whatever, they seem to always get it but here at the State 5 level it seems to be that the decision is made and it's fine. 6 This is the problem with all block grants throughout the 7 country. 8 This is what we knew would MS. DeLUCA: 9 10 happen when the block grants came in. MR. SNIDER: The testimony today brings that 11 12 out. MR. WALTHER: What have you done to develop 13 a system whereby you can meet on a periodic basis with the 14 people in Carson City to make your positions maybe more 15 clearly known? Do you have a board in Washoe County to 16 communicate with them about the same type of problems? 17 MR. STRIMLING: We communicate with Clyde 18 Philips who is the executive director for the Washoe Active 19 20 Community and we discuss common problems. Our biggest 21 argument there is a communication problem with the State. 22 They get the money and they feel that, you know, it's right 23 to distribute it with very little input from us. We've made 24 overtures and they don't want to listen. 25

MS. DeLUCA:

The state agencies?

MR. STRIMLING: Right.

MR. SCOTT: I'm not too sure if somebody made an announcement to the press on either side, we want to meet with you and talk, but the tone is, here it is, you take it and use whatever we're giving you, that's it.

MR. WALTHER: Whereas historically the feds were more deferential to you.

MR. SCOTT: Yes.

MS. DeLUCA: It's very interesting. A lot of people's attitude is that the government is insensitive to the people and they don't listen, yet it's opposite in this case.

MR. MONTEZ: I know after 18 years on the Civil Rights Commission that civil rights issues are never addressed by state locals, never have been and that is the most frustrating kind of thing. If it hadn't been for the federal government, there wouldn't have been any gains made and I've seen it publicly because that's the way it's been maybe in some other areas.

MR. SCOTT: Ever since President Nixon changed that kind of thinking— He thought the locals shouldn't be given money, the local government and it seems that that kind of thinking is prevailing at this time in this sense.

MS. DeLUCA: Well, I'm kind of glad these folks raised these questions because the more in detail we

understand what this process is, the more maybe we can focus on some steps we can do to intervene or something we can do to help. We are supposed to be a watchdog agency. At least the agencies in the federal government are supposed to enforce the civil rights.

MR. MONTEZ: I just had one question. Is there an agency such as yours in every county in the state?

MR. SCOTT: Yes. There's an umbrella. No, not every county. We have one in Southern Nevada and then we have one in Northern Nevada, Reno area, then we have one taking care of the outer areas.

MR. STRIMLING: The second largest one is Washoe County agency. That's up in Reno.

MR. SCOTT: Then the State, I think, sort of reaches out and takes care of the rest of the state.

MR. MONTEZ: Would they be competing with you for the money or do they face the same problems you face?

MR. STRIMLING: I don't think we're in competition for the money. They have similar problems we have and they have similar programs that we have. I wouldn't say we're in direct competition for the money. I guess the state distributes the money as they see fit, but we're a much larger county. The bulk of the population, 56, 58 percent of the population is in Clark County, so I wouldn't say we're in direct competition for the money. We work

Ī

4 5

closely with Washoe County.

MR. WALTHER: Maybe you can help cite some particular areas where your board has made recommendations and you have felt that those recommendations weren't followed to deal with that more specifically.

MR. SCOTT: I'm not even sure that the relationship that we have has reduced itself to that point. I told you that they give us money and say, here's what it is and this is the portion that goes to you so you make do with that, so there is little communication; as little input as to whether or not we think this is sufficient or whether or not there should be more in this category or that category. We make do with what is here, what is given, so communication has been very limited.

MR. WALTHER: I got the impression there were monthly reports.

MR. STRIMLING: We send a report. We have to submit by state law financial reports, progress reports, a lot of paperwork up to the State. It has to be there on a certain date. If it's not there on a certain date, then they could cause problems for us, but we meet our monthly and quarterly reports and it's the bulk of the communication and the planning. I'm the planning officer. I write the grants. I'm not the director or executive director, my focus in the agency, of course, is to make sure the reports

go up there on time.

MR. WALTHER: As the planning officer you get the plan or do you get input? You get the money and allocate it out the way you are told?

MR. STRIMLING: As a planning officer my job is to deal with all funding applications for the programs they have to fund so that!s automatic. The Board of Directors and the executive director and the deputy director when they feel certain programs have been developed, I meet with them and from their input I will develop a project. As I say, as the planner, I do the paperwork. I do a lot of thinking but the basic decisions are made by the executive director and deputy director and the Board.

MS. SADER: So you devise a program that's requested by your superiors for a certain group of people or a certain need?

MR. STRIMLING: Yes.

MS. SADER: That's what Steve is asking for, what instances, like you've been turned down a lot or--

MR. STRIMLING: Well, I'll give you an example. The Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County serves the entire area of Clark County and even goes into the boondock areas of Searchlight and--

MR. SCOTT: Overton.

MR. STRIMLING: Some of the small areas.

For example, last year we were approached by the community of Searchlight and I can't remember the other one.

MS. SILVERO: Sandy Valley.

MR. STRIMLING: Sandy Valley, okay. Those areas did not have any kindergarten programs or preschool and what we would do on a yearly basis is we would apply on their behalf for OCS funds which go in this block grant for money so that they could have equipment to run a preschool. What they would do, they would either have a building donated to them—— For example, Pop's Oasis in Jean would donate for the people and I think it was in Searchlight they would donate a couple of rooms.

MR. SCOTT: It was Jean.

MR. STRIMLING: Jean, okay. We would get money through these grants from OCS, enough to buy arts and crafts materials, books, et cetera. Well, last year we submitted the application to OCS and we were told by the assistant director, we're not funding it, we're not going to fund this program any more and that was it. Then I had to go back to the people in Searchlight and Sandy Valley and say, I'm sorry, you can't have the program this year.

MS. SILVERO: So it was cancelled.

MR. STRIMLING: Are you acquainted with Ruth

Rawlinson?

MS. SILVERO: Yes.

MR. STRIMLING: Ruth Rawlinson used to say, are we going to have it this year, and I would talk with her and also her counterpart, I think, at Sandy Valley and write a small grant for \$20,000. That would be for basically arts and crafts. At the time we even had the endorsement from the Clark County Recreational Parks. They were going to donate some material and some supplies as well. Well, I sent in the grant application and I was rebuffed on it and they said this program wasn't in operation last year for some reason or another and we're not going to re-fund it this year, good-bye, that is it. So I had to call
Ms. Rawlinson and said it was dead and she said, can I do anything about it, and I said, talk to your Congressman.

MR. SNIDER: Am I hearing you right in most of your relationships with these various agencies that they are arbitrary, that you have no opportunities to discuss or negotiate a situation?

MR. STRIMLING: No. We also stated, I think, in Jesse's document the fact that whether they do this deliberately, things change. Clark County is one of the fastest growing communities in the United States and they're very arbitrary about the amount of money they'll send us. We'll make an application and we'll make requests and some of these amounts are frozen almost every year whether or not we're dealing with more people. We're just set whether or

not there's a greater need. Maybe there's more women, low-income women with children. The amount of money we're getting for WIC--Women, Infants and Children care--it stays the same. It's very arbitrary. There's very little input from us and that was the argument brought up in Jesse's statement.

MR. SCOTT: When the funding time comes no matter what the requests are, they say, this is the amount allotted this year and use that amount.

MR. STRIMLING: You cannot discuss it with them. As I said, as a planner I do most of the funding applications. Some administrators with ongoing programs make their own negotiations but as I understand it is that the amount that they get is pretty set and even if the money that they receive is the same for the last three years, it's not reflective of the need in the community because Las Vegas is a very rapidly growing community, maybe 60,000 more than we had last year.

MR. SCOTT: What we're saying in substance, no matter how many conversations might have gone on the telephone between one of the directors, deputy director and the state office, no matter how much correspondence, the bottom line is the amount has been reduced and the amount, whatever the amount is allocated, they say take this and use it, that's it.

MS. DeLUCA: Well, I very much appreciate your comments and I think speaking for the Committee on the block grant situation is a very serious concern in my mind anyway and I want to personally state that I have felt real lucky to have EOB in this county. Even with your limited resources I feel like you do a tremendous job for people, so thank you, gentlemen, for taking this time with us.

MR. SCOTT: Thank you for inviting us and maybe we've said something that will be helpful.

MŞ. DeLUCA: I'm sure you have.

Dr. McMillan, I guess, will not be able to be here. Did you have some communication with him on that?

MR. SCOTT: Yes. I saw him before we came and he told me he would not be able to attend.

MR. MONTEZ: He called Jeff and he's in a meeting. I would like to suggest to the Committee that between now and the next time we meet if we get this information from this Block Grant Committee, send some staff people to Carson City, I guess is where they're at, and find out where the money is going that they get from the federal government, to try to make some assessment as to whether or not their priorities are—— I mean, maybe get their philosophy and policy and at least we can operate with both sides of the coin. You know, it seems that we have some limitations in trying to discuss this because, of course,

there's a point of view here but I think we ought to hear the other side of this. Same complaints we get all over.

MR. SNIDER: Phil, again, the only component we can only go into would be that civil rights clause and all those federal grants, it's not necessarily the amount of money but whether it's discrimination.

MS. SADER: I think it would be nice to send our findings to these two gentlemen.

MR. MONTEZ: At the next meeting we might want to ask some of those State officials to have a talk with us about it.

MR. WALTHER: Maybe it would be possible to send them a portion of this transcript and invite them to come to the next meeting.

MR. MONTEZ: Can we write them a letter only because the transcript is somewhat sacred to the Commission. I don't like to get them distributed. I don't want to put people who talk to us always on the spot. I think we ought to take the heat on it, is what I'm saying.

MR. WALTHER: I understand that the only way we can do it is say where we got our information, unless we want to go and ask questions instead of operating out of a vacuum.

MR. SNIDER: For those of us who have heard the testimony, it's a matter of recollection on our part. I

.l.

don't think we have to go into the transcript.

MR. MONTEZ: It's public record, but I think there's all kinds of possibilities to take a look at it. The approach is not as important to me as what we're going to do. Makes no difference.

MS. SADER: Well, if staff has time to do
that before the next meeting, perhaps once they get this
information then you could decide whether it's worth having
those people come to testify or not because I don't think we
should have them there if it's a waste of time, first of all,
because, you know, that's probably what we're getting from
Washington; look, we have this much money and that's what you
can do with it.

MR. MONTEZ: The real question is where is the money going.

MR. SNIDER: What criteria are they using for distribution in determining who gets it? That's my question. Are they listening to the people in the State of Nevada or are they taking into consideration the needs of the constituents?

MR. MONTEZ: As I said yesterday, you know, as I mentioned to you, there's been great regulations but the issue is when you throw it into the hands of the bureaucrats to interpret and carry it out, then it becomes different than the legislative mandate, the intent, and I

think that's what we have to constantly keep looking at. 1 That's where I think the problem MS. SILVERO: 2 is here because when you are dealing with the feds, even if 3 they're monitoring the programs, they don't know about all of 4 our telephone contacts with them. They are not looking at 5 us as an individual. 6 MS. DeLUCA: On the state level though they 7 know each other. They have political interests. 8 connections can be unfortunate, I think. 9 10 MR. MONTEZ: When the shift came over, there was too much local clout being developed by poverty people. 11 12 That's why they shifted to the block grants because you don't develop in the state a lot of strong clout if you keep 13 14 control on the money. 15 MS. DeLUCA: They gave the power back to the people who always had the power who have never listened to 16 these things in the first place because there was power 17 18 rising in the community and it's a very good assessment. 19 Personally I think they started there. 20 Don't we need to hear from the open session 21 now? MR. MONTEZ: I just want to get this clear 22 23 before we move on. We'll keep you informed as to the 24 possibilities of having staff get somebody up there. 25 We have two other people who MS. DeLUCA:

would like to speak in open session. 1 Three, I think. MR. MONTEZ: 2 Did you want to speak, also? MS. DeLUCA: 3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I came to listen. In a 4 way, I came here to listen, but nobody has brought up the 5 issue that I want to hear about. 6 MS. DeLUCA: Would you like to limit the 7 speakers to five or ten minutes or longer? How does the 8 Committee feel? 9 MR. MONTEZ: Five minutes, then if you have 10 questions you--11 Five minutes each, then if we 12 MS. DeLUCA: have questions, we can certainly lengthen it. 13 MR. MONTEZ: If anybody chooses not to be on 14 the record, we can stop the court reporter at any time. 15 MR. BEGAYE: As I have mentioned earlier, my 16 name is Asa Begaye and I'm a Paiute and I'm a planner, but 17 I do more than plan for them, I become pretty much an 18 19 advocate for them since we really lack the individual, say, 20 college backgrounds, and so there's only probably two of us 21 with college backgrounds that are part of the administration 22 now. But one of my concerns and our concern is the 23 continued backlash of non-Indians--well, against Indians 24 by non-Indians, such as the recent attempt by Arizona

delegates to the Republican Party in which they passed a

 resolution calling for the abrogation of treaties with Indian tribes. That's one of the concerns we have and mainly we conclude that their action or their judgment comes from ignorance of the relationship between tribes and the United States.

As you are probably aware, there's a different relationship between tribes in the United States as opposed to states and U.S. States have become signator to the constitutions whereas our relationship with the U.S. is through treaties or through recognition from them by us or whatever by vice versa, that we are a sovereign nation; that our party isn't derived from Congress. We were long here before they were. We were here long before the constitution was enacted so they recognize that special status that we have, and the recent court's decision, Supreme Court's decision have continued to reinforce that relationship that we have with the United States.

In addition, the Reagan policy on Indians continues to reinforce it, but we still continue to have various judgment made which I say is due to ignorance and what we're advocating is that since you are an advisory group for the State of Nevada, that you look at the textbooks that are being taught to students to insure that tribes have inputs for individuals with such quality education and that they are familiar with the relationship between U.S.

์ร

government and tribes, et cetera, to be included in these rather than the romanticized noble savage which we are sterotyped as. The massacre, the heathens, you know, this is how we were displayed in modern textbooks and people tend to judge us in that manner and I think one of the things we need to do is to increase and revise present textbooks to truly reflect Indian and U.S. relationship history to insure that they will be able to gain a knowledge about native Americans. At least they'll be able to make the right decisions and so this is more or less what we feel that should be done.

Another thing we have encountered is tribal court versus state courts. We would like this advisory group or this council, this commission to look into that to see if state courts are honoring court decisions made by tribal courts. In think we have a competent court system. I think they are comparable to the rest of the judicial system or court system that is established in the state and we would like for them to recognize any decisions or judgment that is made by our courts and to insure that these decisions are honored by the state, and in addition we will commit ourselves to do the same, to honor any decision that is made by the state courts.

In addition, I have become aware of court cases in which state courts have strongly assumed jurisdiction

13:

over civil matters. If it is determined that this civil matter should be decided in tribal court, let it be decided by the tribal court, just like if I'm within the exterior boundary of the Moapa Indian Reservation and if I should decide to do anything that is wrong according to the Moapa law and Moapa band of Paiutes law and order, I am subject to their jurisdiction. If I am here in Clark County, in North Las Vegas, I am subject to their jurisdiction and vice versa, so wherever the action occurs and if it is proper to assume jurisdiction, I think they should do that and like this is one of the problems we're having also.

encountered, we had a case where three juveniles had committed—threatened the life of one of our residents and they were from the reservation and we do not have the facilities to house these individuals and so we committed them to Clark County, the juvenile system, and they had to release the juveniles because there was a recent decision made by the Attorney General in which— Are you familiar with the Interstate Compact Act? What it is is it's an act which allows a state to enter into an agreement with another state to provide contracting, to provide services such as detention and so that act allows, say, the State of Nevada to enter into contract with the State of California and vice versa. They use each other in that manner and the

Attorney General before this other Attorney General -- I wish 1 I had the paper -- but he had ruled his opinion was that 2 Indian tribes were considered a state and thereby they were 3 able to under that act enter into contracts with states 4 should they need detention services, detention facilities 5 and so what happened was the other Attorney General said, 6 couldn't do that, and so we're in that mess now. We cannot 7 enter into a contract with the state or a county to provide 8 juvenile services for us, even though we have the money to 9 10 pay them to house that individual. 11

یر

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SADER: The most recent State Attorney General?

MR. BEGAYE: The most recent Attorney General, so this is a problem we have.

We had a situation like the three juveniles were back on the reservation and there's that situation where they had threatened this family and so I did not know. I think we should look at the opinion and if it's a justifiable opinion that was made, then maybe it needs to go up to the next level, to the legislative level and see what can be done to resolve this issue, resolve this matter whereby we can be able to enter into an agreement with the county facilities and able to have them house our juveniles. So that's another concern we have.

Another problem we have is I received a letter

This

This was

from an inmate from the Indian Springs facility. 1 in October of 1983. His letter is dated 10/16/83 in which 2 he had a concern on the unwillingness of that facility over 3 there for them to practice their religion. According to this 4 paper here, they're allowed to participate in sweat lodge 5 ceremony, et cetera, practice this religion and what he was 6 saying was that -- I'll read you what I was given. 7 previous captain, the policy we use at the maximum security 8 facility, which he agreed to establish here but never did. 9 Now, there is another captain who came from the North. 10 is him from up North. We have continued to get the same 11 run-around." And in another place he says, "We had to use 12 many improper materials to construct it. " He's talking about 13 the sweat lodge. "And when the time was right we replaced 14 wrong material with proper ones." What he's saying is that 15 they have a right as prisoners to practice the religion of 16 their choosing and what was guaranteed to them by the state 17 is being ignored by the local officials in which they're 18 not able to practice the sweat lodge, the ceremonies, and 19 as you are well aware, there are a number of different 20 tribes and a number of procedures or a number of--21 you have your Catholics, your Mormons and each one uses 22 different material. You have your cross, you have various 23 paraphernalia and that's the same with the native Americans. 24

25

What they were asking for is the sweat lodge poles, certain

willow-type materials and that was denied to them whereby it would be discriminatory that you allow the Catholic-There's probably a church pretty well established there, a Catholic Church, and there was no qualms about them saying let's have the altar, et cetera, but when it comes to native Americans, no, no, no, that's the old considered heathen, that's not Christian-like but you have to recognize that we have our own religion. We have our religion, the Paiutes have their religion, the Siouxs have their religion and these correctional officers are not understanding in that sense so I believe he has a legitimate concern and I'd like to make you aware of it and have you look into it.

MR. MONTEZ: What facility?

MR. BEGAYE: Indian Springs.

MS. SADER: That's medium security.

MR. BEGAYE: Medium, but they have a policy which governs the uses of these lodges there and maybe what I would recommend is— The Arizona Navaho tribe has been very involved in this issue with the New Mexico state criminal system. I think they're one of the forerunners in recognizing the rights of native Americans in their plight to practice their religion and somehow they developed a—Medicine men can visit the individuals in prison.

The individual that was up here previously was saying that people were forced into boarding school and if

they talked English you had your mouth washed out with soap, 1 you were--excuse me--damned if you spoke your native tongue, 2 so it has created a lot of individuals to be very silent and 3 so they found this to be the case in the New Mexico prison 4 A lot of times they figure by being very vocal they 5 figure they would get repercussions which was very negative 6 so a lot of them, they just never really become vocal, they 7 just remain silent through the whole time of their prison 8 sentence for ten years, if it was for ten years, and usually 9 they take solace, where other inmates are more vocal and 10 understand their rights and have advocated for early 11 releases and they're able to get early release. 12 In addition, I don't know how many native 13 Americans we have in the prison population in the State of 14 Nevada but it would be only right to insure that you have a 15 native American counselor that can understand their needs 16 and their problems as native Americans. 17 18 What is the sweat lodge? 19 MR. BEGAYE: It's a purification rite. 20

MS. SILVERO: Maybe it's late to ask for this.

MS. SILVERO: Is it a rite? Does it take a long time? Is it something you do every day? minute, an hour, what?

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BEGAYE: Well, it depends on the ceremonies. Anyone that practices it has their--There's no

time limit. We're so used to one o'clock, two o'clock, 1 three o'clock, four o'clock that you have to go to work, 2 so we're time oriented and there's a difference between time 3 and space. If you look at the native American ways and 4 European ways, you establish a difference. That's what I'm 5 I have so much to say and I've taken up five 6 I'm going to miss out on a lot of things. 7 like I went to a native American church this past Friday 8 night. I had a ceremony and we went in about seven o'clock 9 and went all night and we got out at eight o'clock in the 10 11 morning, so you see, this is--12 MS. SILVERO: I'm just trying to understand. 13 MR. BEGAYE: Each sweat lodge is different. I just want to understand the 14 MS. SILVERO: 15 They are taking too much time, security? know. Are you saying that what he's saying is the only ones 16 that are going through this problem are the Indians, right? 17 That's what he appears to be 18 MR. BEGAYE: I don't know, I couldn't say for the other inmates. 19 20 No, I couldn't say that, but it's just--21 We've heard this complaint in MR. MONTEZ: 22 California, the same similar complaint. 23 MR. BEGAYE: He called me up collect and, you

know, just like me personally I figure I say, hey, he's a

prisoner, he's going to do hard time but he's quaranteed he

24

has his rights, too, as an individual. If he wants some type of spiritual guidance, that's his right and it's a form of, you know, release. To me, it's a release. I'm able to cope with and deal with distress which I've accumulated the whole time I'm away from my reservation and when I'm back down to being Indian again, I am able to practice in our native way and at the same time I pray for my guidance in decisions I make and speeches I make. This is the time I do it and I imagine this is what he uses this sweat lodge for, so that's another concern we have.

If you would like, I'll go ahead and get your names and addresses and Xerox these and send them to you.

I'm sorry to not be fully, thoroughly prepared, but I got the letter yesterday saying there was a hearing, so I just got everything together and rushed over here and if I had advance knowledge that this meeting was going to take place and if I had gotten the letter in time, I would have come up with a presentation.

MS. DeLUCA: Don't worry about it.

MR. BEGAYE: These are our concerns and I don't know how much influence you have. I'm not very familiar with this Commission or this advisory group.

MS. DeLUCA: Uh-huh.

MR. BEGAYE: And so this is our purpose of our tribe and government. We try to govern our people just like

any other government. We try to provide the services and we 1 want the State to recognize us. We want the federal 2 government to recognize us, that we have a political entity 3 and we like people to realize that we are different. 4 know, we have a different philosophy, different ideology, 5 different culture and there are probably 400--approximately 6 400 different Indian tribes. They need to know the 7 difference and these are our concerns and I don't know how 8 far you'll take my problems and my needs. If you choose to 9 discard it once I leave here, I'll just go ahead and go 10 around and gather up all my problems and find the right 11 persons to voice my opinions to and my needs, but if you guys 12 have any questions, I'll answer to the best of my ability. 13 MS. SADER: You made a comment about the state 14 courts not honoring tribal courts. When would that come into 15 play? Do they have anything to do with each other? 16 MR. BEGAYE: It's a reciprocal relationship 17 by courts in which they recognize other courts' decisions. 18 19 It's just like if one got divorced in California, it's only 20 right that Nevada recognizes that divorce decision. just that simple. We make a decision and it's not recognized 21 22 by the state court. 23 MS. SADER: For example--MR. BEGAYE: 24 For example, say, our court

decided to commit two juveniles to be detained and for some

reason the other judge, the state judge, decides that, well, 1 that's an incompetent court system so I'm not going to 2 recognize their decision so that does not hold in my court. 3 Situations like that occur but a lot of times as far as 4 certain jurisdiction, I'm familiar with a case in which both 5 parties were married and then divorced and one individual, 6 though they were very far from a reservation, went to the 7 state court and filed a complaint and the other individual 8 went to court and says, you are not the proper jurisdiction, 9 I'm from the reservation, she's from the reservation. 10 only right that this court hearing be conducted in the 11 proper court, the tribal court, which the judge said, no, I 12 do not think so. 13 MS. DeLUCA: Well, I appreciate your being 14 willing to stay this long. I don't know, but we were 15 wondering, is there any possibility of us meeting out at the 16 Moapa Indian Reservation? 17 MR. BEGAYE: Contact me and I'll make Sure. 18 arrangements for that. 19 MS. DeLUCA: Is there a number that we can 20 21 contact you at? 22 MR. BEGAYE: Area code 702 865-2787. One of the EOB buses can take 23 MS. SILVERO: us out there. 24

MS. DeLUCA:

25

Thank you very, very much.

appreciate your coming.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

, 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Civil rights issues of concern in Nevada have been presented at this meeting. The Advisory Committee has heard from those individuals and organizations who have been active in these issues. We have collected this information as part of our responsibility to advise the United States Commission on Civil Rights about local concerns relating to equal protection of the laws and to assist the Advisory Committee in determining future activities. We will report our findings and recommendations to the Commission. data will be available for the citizens of Nevada.

The Advisory Committee would like to thank all those who have participated in this endeavor.

The forum session of the meeting is now The Advisory Committee will conduct a brief adjourned. business meeting.

(Thereupon the proceedings

were concluded.)

22

23

24

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3	COUNTY OF CLARK)
4	
5	I, Kathleen J. Heard, (certified shorthand
6	reporter,) do hereby certify that I took down in shorthand
7	(Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in the before-entitled
8.	matter at the time and place indicated and that thereafter
9	said shorthand notes were transcribed into typewriting at
10	and under my direction and supervision and the foregoing
11	transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate record of
12	the proceedings had.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my
14	hand this Zyb day of Volum, 1984.
15	$\boldsymbol{\delta}$
16	
17	KATHLEEN J. HEARD, C.S.R. 163
18	igitheadh at highly C.B.R. 103
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	