PARTIL

1	U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
2	
3	VIRGINIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE
4	
5	STATEWIDE CONFERENCE ON CIVIL RIGHTS
6	COMPLAINTS AND COMPLIANCE
7	
8	November 14, 1983
9	
10	The conference was held in the Richmond Room,
11	Holiday Inn-Midtown, 3200 West Broad Street, Richmond,
12	Virginia, at 8:30 a.m., Reverend Curtis W. Harris, Chair-
13	person, presiding.
14	
15	PRESENT:
16	REV. CURTIS W. HARRIS, Chairperson
17	ANTHONY L. AZORES
18	DOROTHY J. BURKHARDT
19	VINCENT F. CALLAHAN, JR.
20	BERTHA (KIKA) SILVA PLA
21	MAYA HASEGAWA
22	JESSIE M. RATTLEY
23	CARLYLE C. RING, JR.
24	REV. JAMES E. VAUGHAN
1	

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

DOUGLAS J. WILCOX

· 1	PRESENT (Continued):
2	BARBARA WURTZEL
3	ALSO PRESENT:
4	EDWARD Rutledge, Regional Director
5	ROBERT OWENS, Regional Attorney
6 ½	WANDA HOFFMAN, Field Representative
7 '	BARBARA STAFFORD, Executive Secretary
8	•
9	:
10	·
11	•
12	· .
13	•
14	
15	·
16	,
17	` ~
18	*
19	÷ .
20	
21	
,22	*
23	
24	·
25	

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.G. 20005

(9:00 a.m.)

REV. HARRIS: Good morning. Let us begin by synchronizing our watches. It is exactly 8:30 a.m.

(Laughter.)

REV. HARRIS: We do greet you this morning on behalf of the members of the Advisory Committee and the staff from the Mid-Atlantic Regional Office, as we come to participate in the statewide conference on complaints and compliance.

Last evening we began our meeting with a great amount of enthusiasm. The presenters last night were all on the case, and we are happy to see those who returned from last night and others who joined us this morning.

We've tried for some time to put this conference together, and we've had some problems in trying to do that. Originally we scheduled the conference for Fredericksburg in September, to discover a week before the conference that the hotel or the motel we had arranged to have the conference in was out of compliance because it could not provide facilities for handicapped persons, especially those in wheelchairs.

Upon moving the conference to Richmond for the 13th and 14th and agreeing with this facility to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON B.C. 20005

19091 934.4499

hold the conference here, this facility made a commitment that they would renovate a number of rooms in time for the conference so that they could accommodate handicapped persons, especially those in wheelchairs.

Just last week they completed the renovation of eight rooms to make them available, accessible to the handicapped, those persons especially who were in wheelchairs.

So that had been one of the problems that we encountered, and we got a real scare as we viewed the papers and met in different meetings that the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights might not be in business while we were waiting to hold a conference, and so we were not sure whether we were going to have a birthday party as we came together or a funeral.

So we engaged a minister for our guest speaker last night so that if we had to deliver a eulogy we would have the right person in the right place, but because Congress acted with dispatch and the White House was able to work with the Congress and work out a compromise, we are very much alive as the State Advisory Committee and as the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

The paper has not been signed, but all of the details have been worked out, and it is just a matter of time before everything will be all right, including,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

we think, that Mary Berry will still be a member of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

Now, I think that in the past few months two major areas of civil rights have come to pass. A few days ago I witnessed in the Rose Garden the signing of the Martin Luther King bill. To me, that was a major piece of civil rights after! the struggle over so many years.

Then to witness again the Congress taking action in response to Presidential action, I consider the compromise to be an excellent one and another major piece of civil rights.

So then the whole issue of civil rights is before us in this nation and all of us of concern will have to find ways and means within the limits of our responsibilities to work out the kind of human and civil rights legislation and change the minds of persons in that regard to the extent of opening up our country to a variety of people who live here, that they might be full and first class citizens.

Having made those opening remarks, it is my pleasure to present to you at this time Carlyle C. Ring, Jr., who is a member of the Advisory Committee in Virginia, and is also Chairman of the Subcommittee on Legal and Legislative Developments.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

<u>,</u> 1

. 7

We present upto you at this time Mr. Ring.

\_\_MR. RING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have the pleasure this morning of introducing our keynote speaker of the day. He's responsible for my being here.

A number of years ago he called me on the phone and said, "Would you be willing to serve on the Virginia Advisory Committee to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission?" And I said I would be willing to do that.

I didn't know then, Marshall, how much work it was going to be, but it's good work, and it's fulfilling work, and it's worthwhile work.

It's very important in the task that we are about to be sure that what we undertake is all-inclusive; that we get the whole community, the whole Commonwealth of Virginia, on a bipartisan basis behind the effort to secure by statutory means a real model act that will provide meaningful rights and responsibilities for our citizens.

Therefore, it's a real pleasure for me to introduce to you a man that I think most of you know. He's a native Virginian. He's a lawyer who practiced for many years in the Valley. Marshall Coleman served in the General Assembly, both in the House and as a State Senator. He was then elected as the Attorney

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Generla of Virginia, and while he was Attorney General, he took the lead in many important areas.

One area that I was particularly interested

in and where he tried very hard and some day his efforts are going to come to fruition was an effort on the part of his office at that time to bring about equality and equity in sentencing because of the great disparity of sentencing that occurs around the state. Sometimes it's inequitable, and sometimes it has motivations that ought not be there.

He wasn't successful in selling that to the General Assembly, but that's an idea whose time will come.

Marshall Coleman is now a practicing attorney in Northern Virginia, and has come down to speak to us today, having looked over our work product, and I know will have some meaningful and important comments to make for all of us to consider as we proceed with this converence.

Marshall.

(Applause.)

MR. COLEMAN: Thank you very much, Connie, and Reverend Harris.

I am delighted to be here this morning. Someone asked me as I approached that now that I was not

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

(202) 234-4433

still in public life, what were my speaking fees, and I said, well, normally they'd be \$50, but for a crowd of this size at nine o'clock in the morning, I'll pay \$100, Reverend Harris.

I know that you all are wide awake, and I've been told that I can say whatever I want to as long as I keep within the time frame. So you notice I've got my watch off here, and I've got it synchronized, Curtis.

That reminds me, Reverend, a little bit of
the two young boys in my home town of Waynesboro, Virginia
who went to the Sunday morning service one morning.
One was Catholic and one was Protestant, and the little
Catholic boy had never been to a Protestant service
before. So his Protestant friend told him exactly what
to do as they went through the service: "Now you stand
up. Now you sit down. Now you sing. Now you give
in the collection plate."

And then finally the minister stood up and took off his watch, and the little Catholic boy punched his friend and said, "Now, what does that mean?"

And the little Protestant friend said, "That doesn't mean a damned thing."

(Laughter.)

MR. COLEMAN: So it will in my casé.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

, **1** 

.7

I must say before I begin that I guess I first 1 met Curtis Harris when I was campaigning for Attorney 2 General in 1977, and I met him in his church, and one 3 of his members of the congregation was with him, and 4 I think he got to be very much for me, Curtis, and I 5 was glad to have him in '77. I think he died by 1981, 6 and I wish I had had him them with me, too, but I'm -7 delighted to be here with all of you this morning, and 8 with Connie and Curtis and the rest of you to just make 9 a few observations about what it is that you're up to 10 and where we've been and where I think we're going. 11 Certainly I don't need to tell this audience 12 13 14 15

that racial prejudice is not just a problem in the South. It's not just a problem and a difficulty for America. It's not just simply a failure now. It has existed everywhere in all the world, east and west, north and It's plagued all of God's children in Europe, in Asian, in North and South America.

But it is to be expected, I think, that we, of all nations, resolve it here because to tolerate it is not to live up to the Constitution, to the Bill of Rights, and to all of the things that this country stands for in the world and in our hearts and minds.

But racial prejudice has been and is a fact, a constant threat in our history. It has been a wrong

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

not supportable in our philosophy or our government, and while we can't escape the past, we can, I think, repair the present and make the future fit for our ideals and our aspirations.

We can, in a word, live up to the Constitution, to the Bill of Rights and to the Declaration of Independence.

But it ought to be noted this morning that no people have made more racial progress than we have.

Nothing in the world history has been quite like it.

Never has so much been accomplished in so short a space of time.

True it is that we haven't reached all of our goals. We remain unfinished, but the aim is assurance that the day will arrive when all of us know that as citizens we won't be judged on the color of our skin, but on the content of our character.

We haven't gotten to the place yet, I suggest this morning, where suspicion and hatred have evaporated away, but I think we can achieve the day when racial motives aren't behind any public act or any public decision, and when they aren't seen as being behind any public act or any public decision because they aren't there.

That will be the day when the heavy burdens

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

-7

of distrust are lifted from our backs. Yours is still ultimately a battle for hearts and minds. The law can't make men and women love each other, but it can forge the basis of a decent society that achieves harmony and progress.

Equal justice before the law, in public accommodations, in the marketplace and in the courts, these are your commitments, and you have lived to see most of them come true.

The American dream points to a higher mission:

to build a society of opportunity that is knitted together

by laws, imbued with the spirit of faith and equity

and compassion. With Lincoln, I'm sure all of us believe

in opportunity, in equal chance, equal access to the

respurces of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

To give men and women this equal chance was the aim, the hope, the plan of glory spoken by the Declaration of Independence.

Now, the commitment to the rule of law, the right to vote, to hold office, to be secure, these are not just rights good to be talked about, but they're good politics. Anyone who reads the newspapers or watches television and listens to the radio knows that the vote is the life blood of politicians, and the black faces increasingly are showing up on the television

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

because blacks are securing their rightful place in American-politics as elected officials and those who help elect those officials.

Now, Connie mentioned the issue that I have been interested in for several years, that's an issue that I know all of you are interested in because I've talked to many of you about it, and that's the question of crime and punishment.

Crime is plainly an issue of social justice.

Its elimination is essential to full freedom and the opportunity for all Americans. In 1980, blacks were victims of violent crimes at a rate 25 percent higher than among whites. Fifty percent of violent crimes remain unreported. This is a problem that laws can affect.

There is no greater civil wrong than criminal violence, and I hope that civil rights organizations like this one will take reform of our criminal justice system on as a project and pursue it as seriously as you are pursuing the other important items on your agenda.

A word on uniform sentencing and why I think it's important to the Commonwealth and to the nation: because it comports with our idea of equal justice under the law. If two people commit the same crime in Hopewell

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTER'S AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

, 1

**- 7** 

or Richmond or in Fairfax County, those two people ought to get the same punishment for that crime. The only difference ought to be whether one or the other has a previous record, not what side of the neighborhood he lives on or where he comes from or who he knows. It ought to be on the basis of the severity of the crime that he commits.

And I don't think that there's anyone in this room who disagrees with me when I say that people who commit violent crimes in Virginia ought to go to jail and prison, and they ought to stay there and serve their full terms with no early release. The idea behind presumptive uniform sentencing, which I commend to you, is that people will be treated equally under the law not, just before conviction, not just during the trial, but once convicted that they be treated to the same punishment as equally as we can possibly have it.

I don't think it gives anyone confidence in our legal justice system when they see the disparities that are constant, that have been reported and chronicled, and that exist. And they exist for a very simple reason. We have not bound down our judges and our jurors by the chains of law.

When it comes to sentencing, unlike any other aspect of a criminal trial, unlike any other part of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

\_ 1

- 7

the civil system, we have no guidelines to speak of. . 1 It's really up to that juror or to that judge, and that 2 needs to be changed. It needs to be changed so that 3 equality under the law is a reality, and it needs to 4 be changed because we have to send signals to the people 5 who believe they can profit from violating the law that 6 they will be punished upon conviction, and that the .7 punishment will be in accordance with the severity of 8 the crime and by no other standard. 9

This is an important issue, as are many others. The opportunity for economic growth, Franklin Roosevelt said that the freedom is not a half and half affair.

If the average citizen is guaranteed equal opportunity in the polling place, he must have equal opportunity in the marketplace.

It's recently been estimated that the decline in productivity growth in the 1970s cost the average household nearly \$3,000 in income. Now we have something to talk about in the 1980s. The misery index is the lowest in 20 years. More people are at work than ever were before. During the 1970s, let's face it, America wasn't going forward. We were going backward.

Poverty is far from solved, but there's some good news. Eighty-five percent of the people who left the welfare rolls don't come back because they become

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(202) 234-4433

independent. Tax rates have been reduced. Incentives for growth has been restored, and we've come to terms with the fact that we don't need to spend so much time in how we divide up that pie if we can make the pie larger, and that can only come if we unburden and unbridle the free enterprise system which, after all, pays for all of our goods and services, creates the capital that makes America the promised land.

The United States of America is leading the world into an economic recovery with strong growth and low inflation, and that's important. Who gains the most from low inflation and high growth? Those with the lowest income because inflation hurts them most.

Now, what can we do for our part here in

Virginia? I think to support and encourage and do everything we can to improve our public education system
so that we give the young boys and girls of Virginia
the capacity to manage the fundamentals, to be useful,
productive, learned citizens; to restore dignity to
the teaching profession; and to have classrooms that
don't accommodate themselves to disorder, because if
the teacher can't be heard above the den of the noise
in the classroom, it's plain that the children of Virginia
are not going to learn what they need to learn in the
complicated and difficult society they are growing up

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

**.** 1

.7

in.

... 1

-7

administration's energy plan, and it endorsed growth, growth in the private sector. It said inflation is not caused by too many people working, and I think it is the realization that growth is essential for all of our prosperity, and that while government has a role to help the least of us and to assure equality and equal justice under the law, all of us must turn our resources to trying to make the country and the state as productive as it can be.

Let me commend each of you for your efforts today and in the past to do what I've always thought is important: to turn your attention to Richmond, to the laws that are made here; to recognize that it's not always necessary to go to Washington for justice, but that the State of Virginia can have the kind of laws and the kind of institutions that provide for the justice all of you have been seeking.

I think the American viewpoint is best characterized by the phrase "a rising tide lifts all boats." That is what our goal must be, all of us, to recognize that as our economy moves forward, as our society becomes more just, as equality of opportunity is secured in every nook and cranny of the country,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

that that benefits each and all of us.

.. 1

2

3

4

5

6

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I want to also compliment so many of you who are in this room today for trying to make the communities in which you live better, more reasonable, more civilized places to be because there can't be any constallation in our star of values that shines brighter than that one of doing good toward one's neighbors, making one's community a better place in which to live.

And I read just yesterday the words of Lewis Thomas talking about being discouraged, and he said every once in a while the reasons for discouragement about the human prospect pile up so high that it becomes difficult to see the way ahead, and it then is a great blessing to have one conspicuous and irrefutable thing to think about ourselves, something solid enough to step onto and look beyond the pale, and plainly that something ought to be for everyone in this room the idea that in America if you don't like the way things are going, you can make it better. You can work hard. We may disagree. We may vote from time to time in different ways, but when we gather in a room like this orin countless rooms across the state, we're doing so because we believe the system works. We believe we can make it better, and we know that laws have an impact and quide our conduct.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

0

That's what you're working for.

\_\_I appreciate the opportunity to be here this morning to share these thoughts with you. Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

REV. HARRIS: I thank Marshall for his provocative presentation this morning. It has started the adrenalin running in us, and we are now prepared for a full day of deliberation where we might share together our thoughts and where this Advisory Committee might do its job of finding facts, facts that you will, as an audience, present to us.

As we continue our conference, at this time
we'll enter into a panel discussion on state government,
moderated by a member of our Advisory Committee, Maya
Hasegawa.

Maya, come forward now and call for the panelists so that we might enter into the panel discussion.

MS. HASEGAWA: The panel members will come forward, and we need to get some extra chairs up front.

(Discussion was held off the record.)

MS. HASEGAWA: This; is the largest panel we have. The purpose of this first panel this morning is to learn a little bit about some of the state agencies that handle civil rights compliance. We have some

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

people that deal with housing, minority business, the state Equal Employment Opportunity Committee, and I think that we'll just, since we have an hour and a half and we have a large panel, I think that we'll just get started.

What I'm going to do is call them off in the order in which they appear on the program and give them a chance to give us a brief overview --I told them before not more than five minutes -- about what their office does, what their role in complaint handling is.

Then, depending on what they have covered,

I have a few questions that I want to ask for the

Commission so that we have them on the record.

After everybody has had a chance to do their presentations, which I figured is going to take about 45 minutes, I think, we'll have a chance for questions from other Commissioners and from the audience.

I'd like to call first on George E. Gardner,

Jr., who is the Director of the Office of Employment

Services and Program Evaluations for the Department

of Personnel and Training in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

This office includes what used to be called the State

Equal Employment Opportunity Program.

George.

MR. GARDNER: Thank you, Maya.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

.7

The Office of Employment Services and Program

Evaluations essentially is responsible for investigations

of unlawful discrimination. Our authority is vested

primarily in two documents -- ,

FROM THE FLOOR: We can't hear you. Could you move the mike up closer?

MR. GARDNER: Can you hear me now?

Our authority is vested primarily in two documents, Governor Robb's Executive Order No. 1, which states that it's the policy of the commonwealth that any unlawful discrimination is prohibited. This discrimination covers race, sex, religion, handicap and age.

Secondly, in the Secretary of Administration of Finance Directive No. 3, our office is further authorized to investigate and resolve any allegations of unlawful employment discrimination by either employees of state government or applicants for employment in state government.

To this end, we investigate any complaints of unlawful discrimination. We work in close contact with the Attorney General's Office in our case investigations. We also work in close contact with other agencies.

As a general overview, our investigative procedures mirror very closely those of your federal

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

investigative agencies. We require, similar to EEOC, that a complaint be filed within 180 days of the last act of the alleged unlawful discrimination. We try, we attempt to the best of our abilities to resolve our cases within a 150-day period, which is close to the time period that EEOC as well as the Office for Civil Rights Education, as well as OFCCP and your other federal investigative agencies use for a time frame.

The office at this point in time or the last six months that I've been there, we've seen an emerging pattern in the types of complaints that we're receiving. I think previously race discrimination complaints were far ahead of any other type of allegations of unlawful discrimination. We are seeing now the emergency of complaints alleging sexual harassment.

We are also seeing more and more of complaints alleging simply just a violation of the Governor's Executive Order No. 1, which covers primarily all of your state personnel policies and practices. I would dare say at this point in time 50 percent of our complaints are those simply alleging that in some state agency there has been a violation of state personnel policies and procedures.

We are involved in training. It is one of the postures of the office, of course, is one way to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

	1
. 1	eradicate unlawful discrimination is, of course, trained
2	and necessary personnel officers, EEO officers, through-
• 3	out the state agencies as to how they should be proceed-
4	ing with their personnel operations in their particular
5	agency.
6	We also are in a planning mode to bring more
- 7	exposure with respect to employee rights within the
8	state, as well as we're moving to target more special
9	interest groups, particularly in the area of sex
10	harassment, with programs for women.
11	I think that covers my five minutes.
. 12	MS. HASEGAWA: Just a few questions. To
13	clarify, the services that your office provides are
14	only for state employees and state agencies, or prospec-
. 15	tive state employees?
16	MR. GARDNER: And applicants, right.
17	MS. HASEGAWA: What is the current size of
18	your staff?
19	MR. GARDNER: Full staff, 15.
20	MS. HASEGAWA: That's your allocated number,
21	right? .
22	MR. GARDNER: Right.
23	MS. HASEGAWA: How many persons do you current-
24	ly have on board?
25	MR. GARDNER: With the new hires in the EEO

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

area, six.

are written procedures in terms of how you process complaints; is that true?

MR. GARDNER: Yes.

MS. HASEGAWA: Are they available to the general public, and how would they go about getting those?

MR. GARDNER: Well, by simply contacting the office. We have a prepared brochure that summarizes our investigative procedures, our time frames, et cetera.

MS. HASEGAWA: Do you get any federal funding?

MR. GARDNER: Not to my knowledge, no more than your traditional state agencies and the executive branch would get, but no, not targeted for the office.

MS. HASEGAWA: Is there any connection between how your agency functions and a similar federal agency?

In other words, is there cooperation on complaint investigation; is there exchange of information? Is there any state-federal connection?

MR. GARDNER: I have not had the occasion since I've been on board to work in a cooperative posture with any of the federal agencies. Of course, when federal agencies, such as the Office of Civil Rights, Department of Education are doing compliance reviews, we are contacted. With EEOC, I have found them very

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

cooperative in discussing various cases, but in terms of a joint investigation, per se, if that's what you mean, I have not had the occasion to experience that. MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. About how many complaints a year do you handle? MR. GARDNER: Historically I think it's been -it's hard to discern a trend. It's somewhat eratic. I think that in previous years it was 40 to 60 complaints per year. I think that's the number that sticks in my mind. Last year or two years ago, for whatever reason,

I think it was a low of around 36 to 40 complaints. I've been surprised in my six months because if the trend continues; if the numbers of complaints we're receiving continues, I would expect that by the end of the fiscal year we would be back up to 60 to 70 complaints this year.

MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. We know there's a parallel federal structure to deal with employment discrimination. Do you have any idea how many complaints go directly to the federal government rather than coming to the state, from state employees?

The preponderance of your com-MR. GARDNER: plaints going directly to a federal agency, of course, would be going to EEOC. I believe last year state

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1 12021 234-4433

1

2

3

5

6

- 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

employees filed 90-some odd complaints. It's in the <u>.</u> 1 I can't give you the precise number. 2 MS. HASEGAWA: With the federal government? 3 MR. GARDNER: Right. 4 MS. HASEGAWA: Did most of those people also 5 file with you, or they just filed --6 MR. GARDNER: I would say a third file also . 7 with our office. 8 MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you, Mr. Gardner. 9 sure the audience is going to have questions later. 10 The next panel member is Antonia Venega 11 Holloman, who is Chairperson of the Virginia Equal 12 Employment Opportunity Committee for the Commonwealth 13 of Virginia. 14 MS. HOLLOMAN: Good morning. 15 I am the chair of the Virginia Equal Employ-16 ment Opportunity Committee, a committee composed of 17 16 members appointed by the Governor from all parts 18 of the state. Our main purpose is to monitor the EEO 19 posture of the commonwealth as it relates to state 20 employees only. 21 We do this by investigating reports coming 22 out of the George's office, Employment Services, Enrough 23 the Department of Personnel and Training. 24 We serve at the pleasure of the Governor.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

are not paid for our services, and right now on the 1 committee there is one classified state employee. 2 We are advisory to the Governor in that when 3 we look at the posture of the commonwealth and the pro-4 gress that's made in EEO, we report to him and give 5 6 him recommendations as to how to improve that posture. We are now in the process of getting together - 7 our annual report, which will be released probably in 8 9 the beginning of December. That's basically what the committee does. 10 It meets once a month, and we also act as a conduit 11 12 for groups who want their views on state government heard as far as EEO is concerned. 13 MS. HASEGAWA: Toni, the meetings that you 14 spoke of, are they open to the public? 15 MS. HOLLOMAN: Yes, they're open. 16 MS. HASEGAWA: And how would the people find 17 out about them? 18 19 MS. HOLLOMAN: There usually are notices placed in the newspaper, and notices are regularly sent to 20 the media about open meetings. All of the meetings 21 are open. 22 MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. What about getting copies 23 of the annual report? How would people go about doing 24 that? 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C 20005

1	MS. HOLLOMAN: Oh, that is done again through
2	the Office of Employment Services, George Gardner's
3	office. We work very closely with that office.
4	MS. HASEGAWA: What connection do you have
5	with the complaint process for state employees?
6	MS. HOLLOMAN: None whatsoever except to recom-
.7	mend changes or improvements in the system, which we
8	do with regularity.
9	Ask George. We make a lot of recommendations.
10	MS. HASEGAWA: I should explain, and maybe
11	I should have said this before Toni started talking.
12	One of the reasons that Toni is on the panel is because
13	her committee has come up with the same idea that the
14	Civil Rights Commission Advisory Committee had, which
15	has resulted in the model legislation that we're here
16	to discuss, and that is one of their recommendations
17	to the Governor also. It has been for the last two
18	years?
19	MS. HOLLOMAN: Two years, yes.
20	MS. HASEGAWA: And I understand it will be
21	again.
22	Toni, you're advisory to the Governor only
23	as far as state government is concerned, the posture
24	of state government.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

MS. HOLLOMAN: On, yes.

, 1 MS. HASEGAWA: And so you have nothing to 2 do with-the private sector? MS. HOLLOMAN: No, unfortunately. 3 4 MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. Our next speaker is 5 Carolyn Jefferson-Moss, who is the Director of the State Office of Minority Business Enterprises, Commonwealth 6 . 7 of Virginia. 8 MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Thank you, Maya. 9 Can you all hear me? FROM THE FLOOR: 10 No. 11 MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Can you hear me now? 12 FROM THE FLOOR: Yes. MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Okay. Although our agency 13 is not a compliance or enforcement agency, we do operate 14 a number of programs that are designed to provide greater 15 access for minority businesses to the marketplace. 16 agency has been in effect for ten years now, and I'm 17 going to briefly discuss two of the programs that are 18 being implemented now and are probably of the greatest 19 20 interest to you. The first one is a contract which our office 21 has operated for the last five years. It's a federally 22 mandated contract with the Highway Department. 23 program is the Highway Supportive Services Program. 24 25 Prior to January of this year, we had a federal

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

goal for minority participation in construction-related contracts for three percent and a one percent goal for women-owned businesses. The enactment of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 raised the goal for minority businesses to ten percent, and as of October 31st, we have a ten percent goal for minority businesses and a one percent goal for women-owned firms.

Our office provides a number of supportive services to minority and women-owned firms seeking to do business in the highway construction area. We assist firms in becoming certified and pre-qualified with the state. We assist firms in interpreting and analyzing bids and specifications, road and bridge specs, highway proposals. We assist firms in obtaining bonding and preparing financial statements.

We monitor project showings. We maintain a data bank on all of the certified minority and women-owned firms that are in the construction industry.

The second program that will probably be of great interest to you is the Market Development Program, also implemented by our office. It is designed to increase the number of dollars that the state purchases directly from minority and women-owned firms, and this is non-construction related contracts.

You probably heard the Governor announce in

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

the last month that state agencies are now implementing voluntary goals for purchases from minority and women-owned firms. The goal for this fiscal year ranges anywhere from one to three percent, and it varies agency to agency.

We expect this goal to generate anywhere between 33 and \$99 million in procurement opportunities for minority firms.

In the highway construction area, I forgot to mention between now and 1986, the federally mandated goals should generate about \$126 million for minority-owned firms.

So we are talking about a significant increase in both state purchases from minority firms, as well as purchases that are made with federal highway construction dollars.

Those are the primary programs that would fall into this area. I would like to mention that we have been able to convince the state officials to make several changes in the Highway Construction Program since early 1982, which are designed to remove some of the restrictive barriers or practices that have impeded minority firms' abilities to participate in the construction industry.

One, we have been successful in getting the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

.. 1

- 7

Highway Department to remove the requirements that sub-1 contractors be bonded. Two, we have been able to remove the require-3 ments for pre-qualification of subcontractors on jobs up to \$1 million. 5 We are also breaking some of the large con-6 struction contracts into smaller increments of work, .7 here again to provide more opportunities for minority 8 firms to service prime contractors. 9 There are a number of other changes that are 10 in progress, but this sort of represents the summary 11 of some of the programs operated by our office that 12 I think would be of primary interest here. 13 MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you, Carolyn. 14 We just had some consultation and want to 15 change the format. I apologize to George and Toni for 16 asking them questions, but I'm sure they would have 17 been asked before. 18 We're just going to go on, and you're off 19 the hook for now, Carolyn. 20 MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Great. 21 MS. HASEGAWA: But we'll come back to questions. 22 The next panel member who is going to speak 23 is Jack Yeager, who is the Equal Employment Opportunity 24 Officer for the Virginia Employment Commission of the 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

Commonwealth of Virginia.

-- MR. YAEGER: Excuse me. I have a bad cold, and it's very cold up here also, freezing up here.

A brief background of the Virginia Employment Commission, and excuse my cold, please. We have two major divisions. One is our Job Service Division, and the other is the Unemployment Insurance Division.

The Job Service Division was created by the Wagoner-Peyser Act on June 6th, 1933, and our Unemployment Insurance Division was created by the Social Security Act of 1935. So you can see we are totally a federally funded agency, and we receive no state or local funds at all. And this makes it a little more difficult, I would imagine, than most state agencies.

Being totally federally funded, I report directly to our regional office of the U.S. Department of Labor, the Office of Civil Rights within the Department of Labor out of Philadelphia. Even though we are a state agency, I also report EEO-wise to our state EEO office.

We have a complaint structure within the  $\bar{U}.S.$  Department of Labor, Office of Civil Rights Division that I'll get into in a couple of moments.

We also have within our agency an individual that's designated as our Monitor Advocate. I don't

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

know if you're familiar with that or not, but the Monitor Advocate handles complaints that deal primarily with MSFW.

Now, the reason why that came about, I think the NAACP, the Western Region of the NAACP, filed suit against the U.S. Department of Labor. At that time I think the Secretary of Labor was Brennan, and it went from Brennan to Marshall, to now it's Donovan. Is that right? And it hasn't been settled as of yet, but we call it within our agency "Judge Richie's court order." That's the way we describe this.

And what's happened with that, that's how our Monitor Advocate was set up, to deal primarily with complaints on the MSFW or the migrant seasonal farm workers situation, and we needed something to deal directly with that because when the suit was filed,

Judge Richie indicated that the complaints were not being funneled properly through the U.S. Department of Labor and being resolved as they should have been, among a lot of other things.

But our Monitor Advocate handles all MSFWs, as a matter of fact, primarily handles our entire complaint system. Now, our Monitor Advocate does not deal with discrimination as far as Title VII and Title VI is concerned, which I take care of that myself, and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

the Monitor Advocate normally would receive about 95 complaints during the course of a year.

These complaints would vary from many, many different things: certification for aliens, primarily I think most of them are dealing with wages.

We have three significant areas as far as our MSFW areas are concerned. That's Bristol, Virginia, Winchester, and Exmore. We also have significant areas like Galax, which deals with cabbage in the Danville area, and deals with tobacco. But the other three areas, we have a significant amount of MSFW action that's taken care of there.

Now, as far as my responsibility within EEO in handling the complaints, our complaints stem primarily from applicants for employment and agency employees, which can be rather confusing to a certain degree because I'm handling complaints from outside of the agency that are filtered through our complaint system, and also complaints within the agency that are state employees.

We can also have problems from people that are applying for jobs within the state agency, complaints that may go through the state EEO office.

The complaints are filed in any local office or can be filed in any of our local offices in accordance to 20 C.F.R., Part 658, Subpart E.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

(202) 234-4433

1 MS. HASEGAWA: One minute.

- 7

mainly because what had happened, our agency is a little different than most state agencies, and I wanted to explain the entire procedure because a lot of people really don't understand how the agency is set up. Most people thought it was just a total state agency.

But we have a separate complaint procedure
that has nothing to do with the state itself. This
is primarily from the U.S. Department of Labor, the
Office of Civil Rights, and we have JS or job service
related complaints and non-job service related complaints,
and most of these non-job service related complaints
would be people coming into the local offices asking
for, information about how to get to EEOC or how could
they file a complaint or whatever, and we are bound
by law to give people this information.

Okay. Also within the agency, I conduct compliance reviews in each local office, which each local office we have a sign, what we call the local office EEO representative. That's an individual that has a minimum amount of training, a small amount of training, that we can rely on to handle the complaints in that particular local office. There's no way that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

12021 224-4423

<sup>\*</sup> 1 I as an EEO officer could be in every area and deal But the complaints would be filtered through with them. 3 that individual and/or the local office manager to try 4 and resolve the issues at that level. 5 In conducting the compliance reviews, we try 6 and look for many, many different areas, mainly accessibility to the handicapped, to make sure of that -- Betty 8 Matthews, she checks us out quite often -- we check 9 for posters, to make sure that we have the proper posters 10 located in these local office; that people that are 11 crying for jobs or if they have a problem, they would 12 know where to file a complaint, if necessary; safety 13 precautions --MS. HASEGAWA: Finish your sentence.

> MR. YEAGER: She wants to cut me off, so I'll just have to cut it off here. Thank you very much.

> MS. HASEGAWA: We have to give Betty a chance to talk.

> > MR. YEAGER: Okay. -

MS. HASEGAWA: I think we'll probably have a chance to get back to Jack, but I wanted to have a chance to let the other panel members speak. some of those folks are going to ask you questions for clarification.

> MR. YEAGER: That's what I'm trying to avoid.

> > NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

2

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. HASEGAWA: Our next speaker is Frank
Feibelman, who is the staff attorney for the State Advocacy Office for the Developmentally Disabled for the
Commonwealth of Virginia.

MR. FEIBELMAN: Thank you.

Our office is not a compliance office at all.

It's one of the few state agencies that acts as an advocacy office for the rights of the developmentally disabled in Virginia. It's a totally federally funded office. The present level is five and a half employees. We don't have half a body at a desk. We have a person who works half-time.

Our purpose is to protect and advocate for the rights of the developmentally disabled within the Commonwealth of Virginia. We are authorized by federal statute and by a gubernatorial order to pursue administrative, legal and other appropriate remedies to resolve and protect the rights of the developmentally disabled in Virginia.

We also function as an agency to mobilize the developmentally disabled within Virginia, to advocate for their own rights.

Thank you. I'll give Betty plenty of time to talk.

MS. HASEGAWA: I don't think all of us mean

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.. 1 to imply that you're going to talk a long time, Betty. 2 MS. MATTHEWS: I hope not. 3 MS. HASEGAWA: Betty Matthews is the Title 4 V and Community Education Coordinator for the Virginia 5 Department of Rehabilitative Services. 6 MS. MATTHEWS: Thank you, Maya. -7 Jack's got the clock on me. 8 So I'd like to start by saying how many of 9 you in the room know what TAB means? 10 You'd better raise your hand. 11 For those of you who don't know what TAB 12 means, it's simply a way of saying all of you who are 13 not now disabled are only temporarily able bodied. That's 14 heavy. 15 I have a dual purpose in being here this 16 I am the Title V and Community Education Coor-17 dinator for the Department of Rehabilitative Services. 18 Very briefly, my responsibility is to see to it that 19 all public and private agencies are provided with the 20 kind of technical assistance and consultation through 21 the Department of Rehabilitative Services that they ask for. 22 23 We have staff in the field, because we are 24 a community-based agency, who will respond to churches, 25 civic groups, state agencies, publics of any kind and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

private employers.

Our concern, because my Title is Title V, is Title V of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Title V says there shall be no discrimination on the basis of handicap, and each of those sections of that Title I work with.

Section 501 is the federal. So I work with, in coordination and in cooperation with, the federal people in compliance of 501, only in a consultive manner, however. Our agency is not an enforcement agency, by any means.

Five, oh, two, of course, is the Architectural Transportation of Areas Compliance Board, and we work with them in terms of the kinds of physical accessibility that I'm sure all of you are becoming more and more and more and more aware of.

Five, oh, three, of course, is with the contractors, private employers, with federal contracts, and we work very closely with them because, after all, the mission of our agency is to provide employment to rehabilitate individuals with disabilities for employment. So we are working with them very often in a technical assistance and consultation manner.

Section 504 is probably the biggest piece of the responsibility, and that is what we call our

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

· 1

·7 

1,1

civil rights. We don't have civil rights in legislation, as many other protected groups do. We have Section 504, and that is our civil rights.

As I said, I have a dual purpose. Within
the Department of Rehabilitative Services, we can provide any kind of consultation, technical assistance
and training that has to do with persons with disabilities.
We train people like Jack Yeager. We work with George
Gardner. We work with state agencies. We work with
private employers, and so forth.

The training that takes place is terribly important because we're the last group, if you will, but the one group that each and every single one of you can join at any time. It's the only group that all of you can join at any time.

The second piece of my dual purpose is as

the Executive Director of the Governor's Overall Advisory

Council on the Needs of Handicapped Persons, and as

a professional staff to that advisory council, we are

extremely interested in the work that the U.S. Commission

on Civil Rights and the Virginia Committee is doing
because we have been working very hard to see to it

that we advise Secretary Fisher and advise the Governor

in terms of the needs of program improvement and program

needs for persons with disabilities.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

41 To that end, we have a proposed piece of **· 1** legislation that we have called "The Virginians with 2 Disabilities Act." I would be glad to respond to any 3 questions that you might have about that. However, it is in the proposed stage at the present time, and 5 I may have to defer them. 6 But the Virginia Governor's Overall Advisory .7 8 viable group, and we would support and encourage and 9

Council on the Needs of Handicapped Persons is a very help in any way that we possibly can with a Virginia human rights or civil rights model act.

Thank you.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you, Betty.

The State Office on Aging was asked to have a panelist. Unfortunately they were not able to have anybody here today.

So our last panel member is Ron Claiborne, the Assistant Director of Fair Housing for the Virginia Real Estate Commission, which is a state agency as part of the Department of Commerce.

MR. CLAIBORNE: Good morning.

For purposes of clarity and brevity, let me first and foremost say that the Virginia Real Estate Commission is a part of the Virginia Department of Commerce, which is a state regulatory agency that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

12

11

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

regulates a number of professions and occupations.

responsible for the enforcement and administration of the Virginia Fair Housing Act, which is found in Section 3686 through Section 3896 of the Code of Virginia.

It is a comprehensive open housing and lending law that prohibits discrimination in housing on the basis of race, color, religion, sex and national origin.

The Commission is responsible for administration, investigations and enforcement and compliance of the Act. It has a cooperative agreement or relationship with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, which is responsible for the enforcement of the Federal Fair Housing Act.

In the course of investigating complaints alleging violation of the Act, the Department of Housing and Urban Development will automatically refer any complaint filed with the Department to the Commission for subsequent investigation and enforcement. That results in, let's say, a comprehensive investigative process.

At the present time, the Department has taken upon a new initiative, which some of you may or may not know about. It held November 4th and 5th the first of a series of 22 fair housing and lending workshops

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

throughout the commonwealth. At some time in the future you will be advised in terms of the exact locations of those workshops. The first one took place in Surrey, Sussex County, Virginia, and which was very well attended in terms of the inquiries that were posed to us on the fact that many Virginians do not know that there is a Virginia Fair Housing Act in existence.

However, it has been in existence since 1972, and since '75, it has been administered and enforced by the Virginia Real Estate Commission. It's comprehensive in scope. It prohibits a range of discriminatory conduct. Complaints must be filed with the Commission and with HUD within 180 days of the last discriminatory act. There is a process for attempting to resolve these matters outside of the judicial arena. However, if it proceeds to the judicial arena, the Commission is expressly authorized to refer such matters to the Office of the Attorney General.

However, there is a caveat that's appropriate in terms of referring matters to the Attorney General's office. The Attorney General's office is only expressly authorized by statute to enjoin the discriminatory conduct in question, and they are not expressly authorized to seek and secure punitive damages and other sorts of relief.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

I take it that from some of the questions
that were\_proffered last evening that there is a lot
of questions around the issue of housing, and I would
welcome any comments, questions and anything you may
have about the Act itself.
Thank you.

MS. HASEGAWA: Before we start the period

MS. HASEGAWA: Before we start the period where we get to go back to asking people questions,

I want to ask Bobby Owens -- is he here, Robert Owens -- who is with the Civil Rights Commission, he's our staff attorney, right, who is going to set down some ground rules for us.

MR. OWENS: Thank you, Maya.

If I might ask for just a moment or two, we probably should have done this at the beginning of the session. However, we neglected to do so. For those of you who were not here last night, I'd like to state at this time the basis upon which the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights undertakes to conduct today's factfinding meeting.

The authority for this meeting and any activity of any of the state advisory committees is found at 42 United States Code, Section 1975(d).

The panelists who are appearing today and those who made presentations last night are doing so

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

voluntarily. While the Commission does have power to issue subpoenas, we have not sought to compel the attendance of anyone today or last evening pursuant to that authority.

each of the presenters is doing so voluntarily, we want to be very cautious not to defame and to degrade any individual or agency which they may represent. We at the Commission are very particular when we're making public statements, when we're being recorded, when we're being video taped, to take cautions to avoid embarrassing anyone unnecessarily.

Our interest here is in getting the facts, and not in pinning anyone or any agency to the wall.

Now, because of the substance of the areas that we are going to cover, I suspect that there is a possibility that some of your questions may become somewhat heated based upon personal experiences, and again, I would forewarn those of you who have questions to ask, as well as members of the various panels who will be responding, to avoid if at all possible attempting to cast someone or an agency in an embarrassing light.

If I in my capacity as the regional attorney feel that someone or agency is being embarrassed

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

, 1 2 3

4

5

6

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unnecessarily, I will call that to the attention of the speaker and ask that he or she refrain from making comments of that nature, in which case the question can either be withdrawn or rephrased.

I think that pretty much covers what I had to say about that, but one other thing: having sat in the back of the room for about an hour now, I note that at times, members of the panel, it's somewhat difficult to hear you. I will ask you to speak directly into the microphone. That would be of great help for those of us seated in the rear of the room.

One final thing: for those of you in the audience who do have questions to put to the panelists, we ask that you proceed to either of the mikes located in the aisles and at that point communicate your questions.

Thank you, Maya.

MS. HASEGAWA: While you are all formulating your questions, most of the people talked about the questions or answered the questions that I asked George. I guess they figured out that I was going to ask or somebody was going to ask those questions.

The one thing that I wanted to make sure that we have on the record before you all start asking questions is the number of staff they have currently

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 1	involved in compliance activities.
2	George answered that question. Toni?
3	MS. HOLLOMON: I beg your pardon?
4	MS. HASEGAWA: You have no staff, right?
5	MS. HOLLOMON: No, we don't have any staff.
6	We rely on the Office of Employee Programs.
-7	MS. HASEGAWA: Talk into the mike.
8	MS. HOLLOMON: We rely on the employees at
9	the Office of Employment Services to supply us with
10	whatever staff is necessary. ,
11	REV. HARRIS: Okay. Carolyn?
12	MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: We have a total of 13
13	persons on board right now. We have no authorized per-
14	sonnel that are just in the area of compliance. Our
. 15	agency is primarily an advocacy one.
16	MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. Ron.
17	MR. CLAIBORNE: The Commission relies upon
18	the enforcement division of the Department of Commerce,
19	which consists of approximately 22 field investigators.
20	MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. Jack, do you have any
21	staff now?
22	" MR. YEAGER: No staff still.
<b>2</b> 3	MS. HASEGAWA: It's just you?
24	MR. YEAGER: Almost just me.
25	MS. HASEGAWA: Betty?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	MS. MATTHEWS: As I said, the Department of
2	Rehabilitative Services is not a compliance agency.
3	However, we have approximately ll field people throughout
4	the state who can respond to and fill needs in terms
5	of persons with disabilities, technical assistance and
6	consultation. So there are 12 of us that are working
-7	in the field, Title V.
8	MS. HASEGAWA: Okay, and Frank already answered
9	that question with his half a person.
10	Okay. Does anybody want to start with ques-
11	tions?
12	Kika, you were at the mike. Nobody has any
13	questions?
14	If you will go the mike then, what I will
<sub>.</sub> 15	do is I will alternate between the mikes.
16	MR. WILCOX: My name is Doug Wilcox, and I'd
17	like to address a question to Frank.
18	You said it three times, developmentally dis-
19	abled. Would you define what you mean by "developmen-
20	tally," please?
21	MR. FEIBELMAN: The U.S. Government, the Con-
22	gress, has already defined what "developmentally disabled
23	means, and that's in the Bill of Rights Act for the
24	Developmentally Disabled, which is 42 U.S.C. 6001.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Can you hear me?

FROM THE FLOOR: No, no. 1 MR. FEIBELMAN: 2 A person is developmentally disabled if they 3 have a disability that occurs before the age of 22 and 4 affect three or more major life activities and is a 5 chronic and longstanding disability. A common example 6 of a developmental disability is mental retardation, .7 cerebal palsy, epilepsy, a specific learning disability, 8 et cetera. 9 MS. BARLOW: My name is Alma Barlow and --10 MS. HASEGAWA: Ms. Barlow, can you wait just 11 a second? 12 Does that answer your question, Doug? 13 MR. WILCOX: Yes. 14 MS. HASEGAWA: Okay, Ms. Barlow. 15 MS. BARLOW: I would like to direct my ques-16 tion to Jack Yeager. 17 Jack, I would like to ask you: is the unemploy+ 18 ment rate in the State of Virginia today higher or lower 19 than it was in 1976? That's one of my questions. 20 The other one is I would like to know if ABC 21 people are counted in that unemployment rate. 22 The other one is I would like to ask why do 23 we be discriminated against when we are sent out on 24 jobs from the employment agency and say we live in public 25

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

NEAL R. GROSS

1 housing or we are on welfare or we are trying to improve 2 our living conditions and trying to become independent, 3 and if you don't have the answer to that, I would like 4 to say to the Commissioners, could you change the law 5 a little bit so that we could become independent and 6 get out of that safety net that's hanging up there in -7 the sky? 8 Thank you. 9 MS. HASEGAWA: Jack, I don't know if you have 10 the figures, but you might want to answer the third 11 question if you don't have the exact figures she asked 12 for. MR. YEAGER: Well, when she said the unemploy-13 14 ment rate, I didn't really understand that totally. 15 I thought maybe you meant -- are you speaking about 16 the amount of money you receive for unemployment insur-17 are you talking about the unemployment --18 MS. HASEGAWA: I think she's talking about the number of people who are unemployed. 19 20 MS. BARLOW: I'm talking about unemployed 21 people in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Is it higher 22 and is it --23 MS. HASEGAWA: Ms. Barlow, I think one of the problems is that's really not Jack's area in terms 24 25 of statistics and handling unemployment. His area is

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

the civil rights compliance, and that's why I asked whether he could focus on your third question, and maybe find somewhat to get you the answer to the first two questions.

Jack.

MS. BARLOW: Well, he said he didn't understand so I was going to restate it.

MR. YEAGER: I understand now, yeah.

And you're speaking about getting out from under the safety net. People that are applying for jobs -- and I want to make sure I got this proper -- you said people that are on ADC, they're applying for jobs, will go out and they're being discriminated against because people will say or the employers are saying that they have worked for public housing or whatever.

MS. BARLOW: They live in public housing or are welfare recipients, and immediately the doors are closed in their faces. "We don't have time to talk to you," or "we don't have this" or "we don't have that. I'm sure you're aware of the welfare program that is now being entered in here and the job search program, and that's one of them.

MR. YEAGER: Okay, but these programs are not administered by the Virginia Employment Commission.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Now, at one point we had the WIN Program, which was administered by the Employment Service, and during the course of the Employment Service administering that program, I have investigated complaints relative to the WIN Program, and I'm not knowledgeable of any situations where -- I'm sure that employers will discriminate against an individual from that area, but it's much more difficult to pin them down than you would think.

What the employers are saying, the most qualified applicant, unless there's a special program that is enjoined with the Virginia Employment Commission and people from the WIN Program were sent with an understanding or within a contract with that employer, then we could deal with it. But an individual applying for a job, we would have -- just a person on ADC applying for a job without being registered with us, we'd have no jurisdiction over them at all.

MS. BARLOW: Well, I can give you some data that it is happening.

MS. HASEGAWA: Okay, Jack. What you're saying is that unless it's a program that's administered by the Virginia Employment Commission, you have no authority to investigate the complaint?

MR. YEAGER: None whatsoever. We are not

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

an enforcement agency in that respect. If the program is administered by this agency, we have some jurisdiction, but an individual going out and applying for a particular job, we have no jurisdiction at all.

Unless that individual is registered within our office and we've sent that individual to that particular employer, that's when it's considered a job service related complaint. Other than that, we have no jurisdiction at all to go into the private sector to seek out individuals that have been discriminated against in that respect. That would come directly under Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, not the Virginia Employment Commission.

MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. So if somebody comes to the VEC and wants a referral for employment and they happen to be a person receiving ADC, and they are refused an interview or they feel they've been discriminated against in some way because of that factor, then they can come to you?

MR. YEAGER: That's correct. If we initially referred that individual to a job, to a job or series of jobs, and that individual comes back and indicates to us that they've been discriminated against because of where they lived or whatever, we have the authority to investigate that, but only then, because we have

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AYENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

**" 1** 

.7

that particular job order.

You see, when we have a job order that we've referred an individual to, the employers are obligated by law not to discriminate. But if an individual is just out applying for jobs, well, we have no jurisdiction at all in that particular situation.

MS. HASEGAWA: Does that answer your question,
.
Ms. Barlow? You should go see Mr. Yeager.

MS. BARLOW: It's not a very good answer because we're being discriminated against.

MR. YEAGER: See, that's the only amswer I have. I understand your --

MS. BARLOW: I thank you. I thank wou.

MR. YEAGER: Okay.

MS. HASEGAWA: Yes.

MS. PEARSON: Good morning. I'm EITen Pearson.

My question is directed to Ms. Carolyn Jefferson-Moss,

and it has reference to your market development contracts.

Initially I did have -- I'll say this -- I did have some reservations when you mentioned that in the highway construction contract, you said you had three percent under the male contracts and one percent female, and I got to thinking that I guess we don't have too many female contractors on highways..

But my real question to you, Ms. Mosss, is

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

in your market development contracts, you indicated . I that you-spent so much money on contracts, male and 2 female businesses, and I'd like to know whether they're 3 male or female, if these contracts would include such 4 contracts as involve businesses like travel agencies, 5 florists, caterers, those kinds of small business 6 contracts, meaning if there is a minority business owner -7 who has a travel agency. Would that include maybe the 8 contract for the year for your agency or for the state 9 government, or if there is a florist, a minority florist, 10 in town who is trying to get your contract or a contract 11 from the state government, would that include these 12 kinds of small businesses? 13

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Okay. Let me see if

I can respond to that. Our office simply monitors the

goals that we set for other state agencies, and these

are voluntary goals representing the amount of dollars

they should attempt to procure from minority-owned firms,

and this is across the board.

Virginia has no set-aside programs. We have no preference programs. We are mandated with a federal goal in the Highway Construction Program. Goals for contracts state-wide that are not related to highway construction are totally voluntary.

You mentioned contractors for florists,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

contracts for travel services. Let me just comment on the travel service portion. For instance, when I first came here, I was approached by a minority travel agency and made contacts with a number of state agencies and have started those state agencies to do business with the minority travel agency.

Later on, I learned that the state was going to let a single contract to have all of the state's travel services come under one umbrella contract, and of course, this, in my opinion, has precluded other state agencies or the state agencies that were already doing business with the minority; it has precluded them from continuing to do business with that minority travel agency.

Of course, we are looking at some options for correcting that situation, and I assume that's what you were alluding to.

MS. PEARSON: Yes. I thank you very much for that answer. Especially I would hope that in your recommendations to the various state agencies, in view of the fact that this is a civil rights conference, that included in the Act that you would recommend the separation of that one contract for the State of Virginia The perception that you have that you explained, that that would be incorporated in this Act.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

.7

Thank you very much.

--- MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

MR. AZORES: My name is Anthony Azores from Vienna Virginia.

MS. HASEGAWA: He's a Commissioner, or you're a member of the Advisory Committee. You should identify.

MR. AZORES: Yes.

I'd like to direct my question, if I may,
to the first speaker. If I mistake not, you mentioned
that your office investigates local discrimination,
and you also mentioned the basis of discrimination,
such as race, color, national origin, sex, handicap,
and there are others which you mentioned.

My question is simply this: is this enumeration of the basis for discrimination inclusive, such that if I come in and file a claim based on a complaint for discrimination based on a factor not included in that enumeration, would that automatically take out my complaint and you do not prosper (phonetic) consistent with the maximum -- (speaks in foreign language).

MR. GARDNER: Could you give me an example?

MR. AZORES: All right. I have in mind, for instance, a case which could possibly be debated on discrimination, but not included in this enumeration.

Let us say, for instance -- I'll give you an example.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

The first case is this. We have two employees, government employees. Their qualifications and credentials are the same or practically the same, except for one thing, which I will mention later. The first one gets his promotion quite frequently in rank and in pay, while the other remains dormant.

The only different between the two is the one who gets frequent promotions in rank and in pay belongs to the ruling political party, and the other is a well known and strong financial supporter of the party not in power.

Another case, we have a foreign country -
I will not mention the country -- well known to be

repressive and not complying with the basic human rights

and all of these things. However, the United States

is sympathetic with this country for reasons I do not

know or maybe you know, because, as a matter of fact,

the United States gives economic and military assistance

to this repressive country, which is actually a

dictatorial.

Now, a person comes to the United States to speak political asylum, and he is given political asylum, and then he applies for a job. Except for the fact that he's sympathetic with a political party is which, you know, against the party from where he came, which

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCIPERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NAME
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2000055

(202) 234-4433

-7

happens to be in good terms with the United States,
he is discriminated. He doesn't get a job because he
says, "Well, your political persuasion is not in accordance with the ruling party," and that's what I have
in mind, political beliefs or persuasion.

MR. GARDNER. In answer to your question -- :
can you hear me?

In answer to your question, obviously I made an omission when I spoke of the authorities of my office. Under the Administrative and Finance Directive Revised No. 3, we do have authority to investigate complaints of a political affiliation, harassment or discrimination for state employees.

MR. AZORES: That is a grant. You can investigate, but would that be a valid ground for discrimination once you find that the basis for discrimination is simply political beliefs or persuasion?

MR. GARDNER: Yes, we do have authority to investigate.

MR. AZORES: Yeah, that's right, to investigate but after finding, you know, completing the investigation, are you authorized to declare that that is, in effect, discriminatory based not on those mentioned, you know, like I said, race, color, origin and all these things, but based on political beliefs or persuasion?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

• • •

· 7

MR. GARDNER: Yes, we're authorized. In fact, 1 it's mandated that we investigate and resolve unlawful 2 allegations of discrimination and other violations 3 of Executive Order No. 1. 4 MR. AZORES: I brought up that subject because 5 I noticed that in the model basic human rights for 6 Virginia that is not also included, and I would like -7 to strongly suggest that it be included as one of the 8 bases for discrimination. 9 Thank you. 10 Thank you. MS. HASEGAWA: 11 I didn't know you were waiting. 12 MR. TATE: I'm John Tate, and I'm with the 13 Woodrow Wilson Center and also Vice President of the 14 Central Virginia Handicapped Advocacy Group in 15 Charlottesville and past Chairman of the Independent 16 Living Committee of Handicapped Unlimited of Virginia. 17 I'd like to direct a question to Frank and 18 Betty. 19 I have worked with Frank's State Advocacy 20 Office, and I want to thank him for the training that 21 they have provided and the civil rights that they have 22 protected. 23 I also want to thank Betty's Overall Advisory 24 Committee to the Governor on the needs of handicapped 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

persons for the hearings that they had. 1 My question to both of them, and I'm not sure if they're going to be here this afternoon, is have you had a chance to look at the model act? 4 MR. FEIBELMAN: Yes. 5 MR. TATE: Okay. 6 MS. MATTHEWS: No. 7 What I would like to recommend, MR. TATE: 8 9 10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if it's possible, that the Committee consider perhaps Betty's Overall Advisory Committee to the Governor to review this on behalf of disabled persons, and also maybe even consult with the Governmental Affairs Committee of Handicapped Unlimited of Virginia.

And my question is if the Committee takes this model Act and adopts it, what impact is it going to have on the Virginia Act that we have already that you mentioned, and what role would the State Advocacy Office have to work with those if you do not have any enforcement role? Those are the two aspects.

MS. MATTHEWS: Well, Frank has deferred to me, John.

Number one, thank you for the compliment. I'd like to return the compliment and say one of the things you said last night was perhaps the most important in terms of persons with disabilities, and that

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WACUILICEAN AC

was to recommend that the Virginia Advisory Committee
to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights have a person
with a disability on that Advisory Committee that would
have a broad base of knowledge about all disabilities.

I appreciate what you're saying, and I think it would be extremely appropriate for the Governor's Overall Advisory Council to review the model Act and to make recommendations and suggestions to the Virginia Advisory Committee.

I should have gotten to the microphone last night and asked how much time do we have to respond because I think it would be extremely important to have that input from the Governor's Council and certainly from our coalition of disability groups we have to look at the model Act.

MS. HASEGAWA: I think Mr. Ring is going to talk a little bit this afternoon about the role of community organizations and what happens now because the Advisory Committee can't lobby, and what we're really done is just put this out there for everybody else to do whatever they will with it.

Frank.

MR. FEIBELMAN: Let me briefly respond to your question about what role my office, the State Advocacy Office has, if this model Act was passed.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

The answer is I don't know, but I think it's important than whether or not a particular agency has a very active role in the enforcement of one act or if it still assumes its old role that the substantive law, in terms of discrimination against persons with disability, be passed.

The present Virginia law in that area leaves a lot to be desired, and especially in terms of public accommodation discrimination and employment discrimination. Not only the substantive law, but the compliance piece in the proposed model Act goes a long, long way in remedying the problems currently in state law.

MR. TATE: Thank you.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

Jessie.

MS. RATLEY: Jessie Ratley, a member of the Commission.

My question is to Ms. Jefferson-Moss. You have stated the goals of your office. Could you give me some insight as to the successes you've had, especially with reference to work with the Highway Department, but some numbers please?

And also, services that are needed by the Highway Department?

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Okay. Let me first address services that are needed. That's the easiest one.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

Most of the money included in the \$126 million that will be targeted for minority contracts between now and 1986 will be in the construction area. However, there are also needs for pre-construction types of firms. Engineering and architectural firms are needed to bid on contracts in the pre-construction area.

There is a very small amount of research required under the pre-construction area, and we are probably talking about, oh, maybe I would guess \$5 million for this year in the pre-construction area, but of course, that's not all targeted for minority firms. A percentage of it will be targeted for minority firms.

So the needs are largely in construction, but also research related to pre-construction planning and primarily in the engineering and architectural area.

In terms of our past performance in meeting goals, we have been able to meet a three percent goal for, I think, every year that we've had a three percent goal, which has represented about -- it has varied between \$10 and 12 million. It really depends upon the federal allocation to the state.

Between January 1st and September 30th of this year, we have committed \$14.1 million in the highway component to minority firms, and of course, some of these contracts will be performed next year, some

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

<sup>7</sup>7

3

even late next year, but this represents the level of 1 2 commitment in terms of subcontract. These commitments were reported by prime 3 contractors as having been negotiated subcontracts re-4 5 presenting minority business participation. Thank you. 6 MS. RATLEY: MR. SCOTT: Good morning. My name is W. B. 7 8 I'm with the Virginia chapter of the Paralyzed 9 Veterans of America. I'd like to address a question just to the 10 11 panel in the area of housing and public accommodations, 12 and in particular where there are building code violations that are clearcut, and apartments in particular 13 14 and other places of public accommodations. Is there 15 a complaint procedure, and can the owners be made to 16 correct these violations? 17 MS. HASEGAWA: Ron, do you want to? 18 MR. CLAIBORNE: Currently under state statute, 19 handicapped persons are not a protected class. there is a caveat there. If federal financial assistance 20 21 is involved in the subject property, then that property 22 as well as property owner is subject to 504 of the 23 Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

tions, you're in a Catch-22. For all practical purposes,

In terms of not meeting housing code viola-

24

that landlord can be cooperative or that landlord can be recalcitrant. If that landlord chooses to be recalcitrant and will not correct the housing code violations there, that occupant of that property faces the prospects of not having a place to live by virtue of, let's say, the building code officer deeming that house not fit for human habitation.

So what you find most aggrieved parties doing is attempting to deal with that landlord on a cooperative basis, and if they are not successful there, they resort to other means, and there's an array of means they resort to. But when it comes down to the point of that person having no alternative housing, most persons reside in that housing under those kind of circumstances.

There is some initiative or move afoot to include handicapped persons as a protected class under the Federal Fair Housing Act, and it will depend upon persons like yourself as to whether or not a similar move will be initiated for amendment of the Virginia Fair Housing Act.

MR. SCOTT: You say that we are not a protected class under the Act, and I'm speaking in particular in the housing area of new construction that's taken place that doesn't comply with the current Virginia

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

(202) 224 44

**~7** 

. 15

- 1 state building codes. Within the code, the disabled 2 are guaranteed the right to public accommodations, as 3 well as housing and employment, and that is in the code. 4 I'd like for you to expand on not being a 5 protected class and deal with the new construction for 6 the apartments, apartments in particular. -7 MR. CLAIBORNE: Someone else on the panel 8 will have to help me, but the section of the code --9 I do believe it's 54.7 -- that makes it a Class 1 mis-10 demeanor to discriminate against handicapped persons 11 in terms of housing. However, there is no agency to 12 enforce that Act. That is left to the individual initia-13 tive of that aggreived party.

> In terms of what the Commission is responsible for, it would require an amendment to the Act to include handicapped persons as a protected class to expressly authorize the Commission to investigate and attempt to remedy such situation.

MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. The difference may be between the state law and the federal law.

MR. FEIBELMAN: I'd like to correct one misstatement, if I could. Mr. Claiborne is correct. Virginia Fair Housing Act does not have as one of its protected classes handicapped individuals, but there is another state statute, 63.1-171.7, which section

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12021 234-4433

states that all physically disabled persons have the right to full and equal access to all housing accommodations. This section of the code does not have a state agency that enforces the section. The only remedy for someone who has been discriminated against on the basis of physical disability is to ask a court to enjoin the discrimination or to file a misdemeanor warrant. Violation of the section is a Class 1 misdemeanor.

The other deficit in this statute, besides having no enforcement mechanism, no public agency that enforces this like the Virginia Real Estate Commission enforces the Fair Housing Act, is that it only protects those persons with physical disabilities, and not those persons with mental disabilities.

Thank you.

MR. SCOTT: Thank you.

MR. VAUGHN: I'm James Vaughn with the

Advisory Committee and President of Tidewater Television

Advisory Council.

Our concern is with information dissemination, and I'd like to address the question to Ms. Moss and perhaps the Office of Advocacy. In provisions for the pre-construction, has there been a budget item set aside to produce spot announcements or any other form of getting information on what is available specifically to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

**1** minorities and handicapped persons under this Act so that spots can be produced specifically for the most 2 effective form of communications, which is broadcasting? 3 And if you do have funds set aside, how can 4 a minority firm, especially, take advantage of these 5 That is for you, Ms. Moss. funds? 6 And for the Office of Advocacy, in the event -7 no attention has been placed on this because the informa-8 tion to be disseminated is so important, would the Office 9 of Advocacy undertake special attention to develop some 10 means of accomplishing this? 11 12 MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Should I go ahead? Why don't you? MS. HASEGAWA: 13 MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: In response, Mr. Vaughn, 14 to your first question, there are no funds that I'm 15 aware of that have been set aside. However, at the 16 state level, one of the things that we have repeatedly 17 requested HUD to do is to advertise their procurement 18 opportunity in the minority press or with minority press. 19 20 We feel that that has, indeed, been one of the shortcomings of state agencies in seeking minority 21 22 firms to participate. That is also true of the Highway 23 Department. One of the problems in getting an advertising 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C 20005

contract from the Highway Department is that the prime

contractors in many cases are looking for minority subs, and we cannot require them to advertise, you know, through a contract.

We encourage them not only to come into our office and use our data bank, but we encourage them to use minority media and minority press. But I guess in response to your overall question, there are not funds that have been set aside just for this purpose.

I would like for you again to pursue the Highway Department. I think that we have to keep going after them, and I've sent a number of people over to talk about contract opportunities just for advertising. So I would like to encourage you to stop by again. If you would give me a call, I would certainly be happy to arrange that appointment.

MR. VAUGHN: Thank you, and on that issue, also is there any way that we can pursue contracting directly with your office concerning the things your office is doing, a description of it to the people of what your office is there for? Who would we go to if you don't have the budget to insist that there be a budget because it is important that the Office of Minority Business Enterprise also disseminate information on itself?

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: We have a very small

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

overall budget. However, I should mention that we published a very comprehensive directory of minority-owned firms back in June of this year. It consists of more than almost 1,000 minority-owned firms in the commonwealth. It's a directory that's organized by specialty area.

We performed that or prepared that director in-house because our funds were very limited, and simply had it printed by an outside agency.

We will also be publishing a brochure. We had a very small amount, again, to hire a consultant to assist us in pulling together data for publication.

Our agency simply does not have a large consulting budget. In fact, we have a very -- I mean a very, very tiny budget for consulting service. So it's rare that we let any contract. At most, we bring people in on a personal services basis, and it's usually for a week or maybe two weeks.

But I would be happy to talk to you about, you know, what we're doing and how you might support us, but again, the hopes of having a contract to pull together the publicity, data and documentation is very slim.

MR. VAUGHN: For the Office of Advocacy, as I mentioned earlier, can you or will you address this

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

**-7** 

12021 23 4.4422

matter? We've taken it before the Governor for some time now, explaining that there needs to be targeted broadcast information that can reach the people who really need it, and there needed to be some attention placed on doing just that, because information is so important.

Is there something we can do through your office to facilitate perhaps even getting a budget for Ms. Moss?

MR. FEIBELMAN: My office has engaged in both the sending out of public service announcements to radio and TV stations in minority outreach programs. A few years ago we had a contract with a community organization in Sussex and Surrey County to inform them and develop interest in serving minorities and developmentally disabled. We don't have a budget at this time for any public service announcements or for consultant services in this area.

But I would be more than happy to talk with you later to develop something.

MR. VAGHN: Thank you.

MS. ANDERSON: My name is Lonnie Anderson.

The first question I'd like to address to Mr. Gardner,
and I'd like to know if you are listed in the phone

book according to your descriptive title. I want to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

1 find you. Is that the way I would look for you? MR. GARDNER: Yes, in the state directory, 2 Department of Personnel and Training, and the Office 3 of Employment Services, Program Evaluation, yes. 4 5 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you. 6 My question question I'd like to address to - 7 Ms. Hollomon. I was interested in how the membership 8 is obtained for the Committee. You say you were appoint-9 ed by the Governor. I was wondering how the recommenda-10 tions were made to the Governor. 11 MS. HOLLOMON: I understand some members 12 directly wrote to the Governor and asked to be members 13 of that committee. It was that simple. 14 MS. ANDERSON: And what are your terms of office? 15 16 MS. HOLLOMON: Four years, at the pleasure 17 of the Governor. 18 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you. 19 MR. HARRELL: Folks, my name is Lyden Harrell. 20 I service of President of Mobility on Wheels for two 21 and a half years, and at the present time I'm Chairman 22 of the Legislation Advocacy Committee of Mobility on 23 Wheels and also the Virginia Association of the Blind. My purpose of appearing here today is to try. 24 to make you aware of the needs of a branch of people 25

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

who apparently don't have any civil rights, that is, the handicapped.

Now, you may say, "Oh, they do." Well, let

me ask you a question. Suppose you came to this hotel,

you checked in, and they said, "You can stay here, but

you can't use the bathroom." You'd think I was crazy,

but that's what they tell my wife. She's in a wheelchair.

She can't get the wheelchair into the bathroom. If

she wants to come here, she has to go through the indig
nity of my dragging a commode chair through the lobby,

up to the bedroom, or else when she's traveling around

the State of Virginia with me and she has to use the

bathroom, I have to go in the ladies' bathroom with

her and put a bedpan under here because they don't make

the' toilets accessible to handicapped people.

MS. HASEGAWA: Sir, do you have a question?

MR. HARRELL: My question is this. Is this
panel going to recognize the fact that the handicapped
people have civil rights, as well as other people?

MS. HASEGAWA: Maybe I could answer that on behalf of the Virginia Advisory Committee. I don't know whether Mr. Rutledge would like to say more, and I don't know whether you were here when Reverend Harris, who is our Chairperson, stated that we had already canceled one planned session of this conference because

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

(000) 004 4400

**- 1** of the inaccessibility of the particular facility with 2 which we had contracted. 3 So I think that it's a learning process for 4 all of us, and we are beginning to be aware of the prob-5 lems. Does anybody else on the panel want to respond? 6 · 7 (No response.) 8 MS. BENDRICH: Good morning. Peggy Bendrich. 9 I'm speaking for myself, but I'm also with Betty. I'm 10 Betty's counsel. She has to put up with me. 11 I would like to ask when this human relations 12 document that you have came out because I didn't know 13 of it until I came here yesterday. 14 MS. HASEGAWA: Yesterday. It was released 15 to the public yesterday. When you got it in your package 16 you have the first copies of anybody other than the 17 Advisory Committee members, ourselves, and some of the 18 attorneys and people that we had asked to review it. 19 MS. BENDRICH: I want to continue very much 20 more briefly. I would strongly hope that we as the 21 Governor's Advisory Council would be able to look at 22 this, time permitting. 23 Secondly, it sort of struck me rather blatantly 24 when Mr. Marshall Coleman was speaking this morning. 25 Now, granted he was addressing the civil rights of the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

minority races, and I let him know that we, too, are a minority, those of us with disabilities.

Marshall has been putting up with me off and on for a few years, but here again, all of us minorities, if we get together, could make quite a majority. I hope we can work together.

Thank you.

1

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you. As I said in answer to a question before, I think Mr. Ring will talk about this again, but the Advisory Committee itself is not going to be introducing the legislation or lobbying for it. We are not allowed to under federal law. All we did as an advisory committee was to try to follow up on a recommendation we had made three or four years ago that Virginia have such a law and consider its adoption.

We took it upon ourselves as a project to have such a law drafted, and as I said, we called you all together not only to talk about general compliance, but also to let you know that there was such an Act, and I think it's up to groups like yours and the State EEO Committee and some other groups to take it from there.

Okay, Ed.

MR. PEEPLES. All right. My name is Ed

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005

(202) 234-4433

Peeples. I'm Chairperson of Richmond City Commission on Human Relations currently, and I'd like to ask the panel about the practice of what I call the secret trades. By the secret trades I mean to refer to those suppliers and contractors who provide services and materiel for state government, in which there's millions and millions of dollars involved, but they are generally monopolies, and there's very little light shown on the bidding process.

And what we've discovered in some of the local areas, and I wonder if you can enlighten us about the state procurement process, in which monopolies are run by "good ol' boy" networks and there is very little competition. In fact, blacks and other minorities don't even have an opportunity to fix in their mind the possibility of careers in these trades.

Let me give you an example. One is the supply of pharmceuticals and hospital supplies and material to the dozens and dozens of state hospitals. Now, there is gobs of money involved in this, and I wonder -- we know that in some localities the pharmaceuticals are supplied to city hospitals and nursing homes by only one individual. It's not an open bidding.

Can you tell me of other opportunities for minorities in the secret trades?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

12021 224-4423

· 7

1 MS. HASEGAWA: I think Carolyn is the one 2 who has the answer. 3 MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Yeah, let me address 4 your question in three parts. 5 First, we have at the state level consolidated the purchase of goods and supplies across the board. 6 -7 It is done centrally through the Division of Purchasing 8 and Supplies for the state. It is done through a compe-9 titive bid process, and it's a sealed bid process. 10 One of the things that we found when I first 11 came here was that there was a very small number of 12 minorities and women that were even registered on the 13 bidder's list. 14 Two, we found that the state used a rotational 15 process for soliciting from vendors. So your name might 16 come up once or twice or maybe five times during the 17 year, but you would not receive solicitations every 18 time the state went out to make a purchase. 19 Well, even though we haven't talked about 20 it a lot, we have been able to change that process. 21 Every time the state makes a purchase for goods and 22 supplies, they include minorities in the list.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

changes of having a minority vendor as the lowest bidder,

are included every time the state goes out to make a

purchase because we feel that this will increase the

23

24

and here again it's not really giving preference. It's a way in which we hope the state can correct some of the previous practices that have adversely affected minority firms.

In terms of pharmaceutical supplies, here

again, we at the state level use a sealed bid process
for soliciting. If you're talking about an area where

the supply is very limited in terms of the vendors that

can perform the service, some of the universities have

fairly autonomous purchasing authority. That is the

only case in which I could even conceive of someone

going directly to a vendor to supply the good or service.

It would be simply because we only have one person that

is in the business.

MR. PEEPLES: Well, then the model law needs to protect the localities because that's not what's happening at some of the local levels. There is monopolies in some of the cities and counties.

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Well, the same procurement act that we operate under at the state level is supposed to apply to the localities. So I would like to talk with you about the specific problem, so that we can see what the real problem is.

MR. PEEPLES: Thank you very much.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank youj.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005

. 7

1 MR. EVERHARD: My name is Everett Everhard.
2 I'm with the Richmond Human Relations Commission, and
3 I'd also like to address my question to Ms. Jefferson4 Moss.

As I understand your agency, you said, is not a compliance agency; rather, that you assist minority contractors, et cetera, in obtaining -- well, you assist them with respect to state government contracts. I have several questions.

First of all, since you're not an enforcement agency, assuming that a particular contractor does not meet his three percent, which is now ten percent, goal, who does the enforcement for that? Is there a state office of contract compliance or something like that?

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Okay. If the complaint comes into our office initially and in most cases they do surface in our office, even though we don't have any investigative authority, we spend time trying to gather facts about what has happened. We turn those facts over to the Highway Department.

The Highway Department is responsible for monitoring and enforcing the law as it requires to having to meet specific federally mandated goals. So the enforcement is with the Highway Department.

But we sort of serve as an enforcement agency

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

even over the Highway Department because we want to 1 stay on them and make sure they are following up. 2 MR. EVERHARD: Do you find that the Highway 3 Department is responsive to your agency? For example, 4 when you come to them and you say, "This contractor 5 has five percent, not ten percent of his goals and we 6 feel" ---7 MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Oh, they're absolutely 8 responsive, yes. 9 MR. EVERHARD: My next question is you also 10 assist firms in obtaining loans, minority contractor 11 firms. 12 We do not have any cap-MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: 13 ability in-house to assist general firms in packaging 14 of loans. We usually refer those clients to the Small 15 Business Administration or to one of the local business 16 development organizations funded by the federal govern-17 ment, and there are about five operating in the state. 18 In the highway construction area, we do pro-19 vide assistance to firms in obtaining bonding and pre-20 paring financial statements, which are often used as 21 part of a loan package. 22 MR. EVERHARD: What is the dollar amount of 23 contracts at which point your office would get involved 24 If the contract were under \$10,000 if it's --25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: We are involved across the board. Even we have agencies -- most of the agencies in the state have the authority to solicit by telephone for purchases below \$700. They do not have to do a competitive bid process. So many of those agencies call us and say, "Can you give us the names of minority firms that we can call to get bids from for \$400 or \$700 worth of supplies?"

These are called spot purchases or emergency purchases. We are involved, you know, across the board, all size contracts.

MR. EVERHARD: I guess my question is more do contractors have to have a ten percent minority commitment above a certain amount?

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Oh, no. See, the federal requirement is only for highway construction contracts, and the requirement is on all contracts, all federally funded contracts regardless of size.

MR. EVERHARD: My last question is with respect to the 15 people on your staff, I was wondering if you could give us an idea of what the breakdown is between the clerical and professionals and what type of professionals you have and what they do.

MS. JEFFERSON-MOSS: Okay. We have -- I have to think for a minute -- we have clerical persons out

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

of 15. The others are either in the highway component or in the market development component of our agency.

Our skills vary from being sort of purchasing specialists to being highly technical individuals that have backgrounds as highway inspectors. We have people that are skilled in building bridges, in paving roads. So it really represents a very wide range of skills.

MS. PINKSTON: My name is Shela Pinkston, and I'd like to address my question to Mr. Yeager.

I'm from Big Stone Gap, Virginia, and I'm here representing the NAACP Wise County Chapter, as well as Women's Work World, Incorporated.

I heard you mention that you have worked in Bristol, Virginia. My question is this: are your representatives primarily who work in Bristol, because we're isolated in that area with access to transportation, period; so if you don't have a car, do you have representatives that are sent out to come out to different areas if we were the contractor, let's say, who the agency -- I'm on the Board of Directors of Women's Work World. Could a representative perhaps make an arrangement to come there to handle like complaints?

We have a lot of times a lot of discriminatory practices, but then the job services are given up because people do not have access to transportation. They

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

cannot afford to get to the Bristol office, which is 1 2 like 70 miles away. MR. YEAGER: Okay, and you're where? Where 3 are you located? 4 MS. PINKSTON: Southwest Virginia, Big Stone 5 Gap. 6 MR. YEAGER: Big Stone Gap. We don't have -7 an office in Big Stone. 8 MS. PINKSTON: No, you have one in Bristol 9 10 which --MR. YEAGER: We have on in Marion. How close 11 12 are you to Marion? MS. PINKSTON: We're equally far to Marion. 13 We're isolated. The bus stops and if you don't have 14 anybody to pick you up at the bus station in Bristol, 15 which is 70 miles away, you're lost. We're like Lee 16 County, Virginia. We're close to Kentucky. 17 18 MS. YEAGER: Let me first -- and I'm not making any excuses, but I want to explain something to you 19 before I even get into trying to answer that. As you 20 21 know, 1980 we had a federal cutback and most of our offices were closed down. We have had a tremendous 22 23 amount of offices closed down. 24 As a matter of fact, we went from 1,700 employees

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

to 1,026 today, and in 1980 23 had 1,700, and it's like

a <u>Catch-22</u> situation. You know, we realize that there are many areas that we'd like to serve people, but we're cut with the sword that tells us that we don't have the money to put offices in every particular locality.

Now, as far as having an outreach program, it's very difficult. We have an office in Bristol, and we have one in Marion. We have one in Richlands. How far are you from Richlands?

MS. PINKSTON: About 75 to 80 miles. (Laughter.)

MR. YEAGER: I'll tell you what. I'll tell
you what I'd like to do. What I'd like to do is talk
to you after this is over and maybe we can have an
audience with the Commissioner and just explain what
your problems are. That's the only thing that I could
say that we could do, is try and discuss this with our
Commissioner to let him understand that we do have some
areas or some pockets out there that people aren't being
served.

I don't have an answer for that because, you know, we're cut by budget, and we can't have an office everywhere. But if you say that there's a need there, maybe we could set up some itinerary point or maybe to serve some people in that particular locality, maybe a couple of days a week. That's a possibility that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

we could go into that area a couple of days a week. 1 -- MS. PINKSTON: Thank you. 2 MS. HASEGAWA: Jack, if somebody from her 3 group were to file a complaint, let's say they went 4 into Bristol and they got referred to jobs and they 5 had a discrimination complaint and they wanted to have 6 it investigated; would you or somebody -- I quess it -7 would have to be you since you don't have any staff --8 would you go out to Big Stone Gap? 9 MR. YEAGER: I'd have to. 10 MS. HASEGAWA: Does that answer your question? 11 MR. YEAGER: I'm the only one. I'd have to 12 go to Big Stone. 13 MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. I think you're going 14 to be going there soon. 15 MR. YEAGER: Probably. 16 MS. HASEGAWA: Okay. 17 MR. OWENS: Yes. Robert Owens, Civil Rights 18 Commission. 19 Having listened to the responses from the 20 panel, it occurred to me that there are at least some 21 rights that are protected by state code here in Virginia 22 for which there is no concomitant state agency to afford 23 redress. 24 MS. HASEGAWA: That's right. 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AYENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

MR. OWENS: Now, I'd like to know -- and I'm 1 addressing this to the collective expertise of the panel 2 -- at the state level, what agency, if any exists, to 3 enforce or impose sanctions against unlawful discrimina-4 tion based on race, creed, sex, origin, handicap, et 5 cetera, at the state level? Among any of you, what 6 agency exists to enforce that? - 7 MS. HASEGAWA: Do you mean for the general 8 public or state employees or some kind --9 MR. OWENS: I mean with respect to any --10 here's what I'm talking about -- any citizen who is 11 a resident of the State of Virginia. I'm not limiting 12 this to public employees, but public and private as 13 If there is an employment discrimination case, 14 housing discrimination case, any kind of public 15 accommodations discrimination complaint, is there a 16 state agency through which one might seek redress? 17 MS. HASEGAWA: Toni, I think wants to answer 18 that. 19 MS. HOLLOMON: No. 20 MR. OWENS: That's it. I just wanted to have 21 the record reflect that. I think it's very important 22

MR. CLAIBORNE: Mr. Owens, the Commission

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

that we not fail to include that in the record while

we have this panel assembled.

23

24

and the Virginia Fair Housing Act cover both public <u>.</u> 1 and private discrimination in housing. 2 MR. OWENS: Okay. So housing -- I'm told 3 by our resident expert that it's limited solely to hous-4 ing, but it doesn't encompass the number of classes 5 of action. 6 All persons in the commonwealth -7 MR. CLAIBORNE: are subject to the Act and its prohibitions. 8 9 MR. OWENS: All right. Thank you. 10 MS. HASEGAWA: If there aren't any other ques-11 tions, I'd like to thank the members of the panel, and 12 I think most of them will probably plan to stick around a little bit so that if you want to corner them and 13 follow up or ask some additional questions, feel free 14 15 to. I've been told that we will take a five-minute 16 break and then reconvene for the next panel. 17 18 Thank you. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 19 REV. HARRIS: We're ready to begin our second 20 session. Would you take your seats please? Would some-21 22 one inform those on the outside that we are preparing 23 to start again? Thank you very kindly. We have engaged in 24 25 a full discussion through the panel on state government.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

We are now to engage in a panel discussion on local government. Our moderator for that panel is Ms. Jessie M. Rattley, member of this Committee, and also a member of the City Council of the City of Newport News.

Ms. Rattley will come now and call the panel to the podium or to the stage whle they prepare for the next panel.

MS. RATTLEY: Thank you very much, Reverend Harris.

At this time we should like to ask the panelists to come forward. We have Mr. Fred Allen, Executive

Director of the Fairfax County Human Rights Commission;

Mr. Stephen M. Levinson, Human Rights Administrator,

Alexandria Human Rights Commission; and Mr. Alfred Smith,

Executive Director, City of Richmond Human Rights

Commission.

As you will see by your program, the topic for this panel is local laws, programs and procedures available to handle civil rights complaints and compliance in the State of Virginia.

I am very pleased to be the moderator of this particular panel because as a locally elected official,

I'm very interested in hearing what these panelists have to say in reference to this topic because I have some things I could say also. But since I was asked

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

12021 234-4433

s 1

- 7

to moderate and not to be a panelist, I will first call · 1 on Mr. Alfred Smith, since this is home for you, Mr. 2 Smith, to be our first speaker. MR. SMITH: Thank you, Ms. Rattley. 4 morning, ladies and gentlemen. 5 The City of Richmond under its charter 6 authorizes the existence of the Human Relations Commis-·7 sion. The broad base responsibility is human rights, 8 civil rights. The priority of that responsibility is 9 quality of life. So we carry ourselves or we go into 10 many other areas in terms of resolving complaints and 11 the community kinds of involvement. 12 13 14

I thought for a brief beginning I'd break down the Human Relations Commission for you and then give my response, I'm assuming, in terms of what we feel about the proposed legislation.

Can everbody hear me? I've got a scratchy throat, and I'm struggling.

Our Commission is divided into five key areas. I should first mention that we are 15 Commissioners appointed by members of the City Council, which is a broad-based commission representing all communities and sections of our city.

These Commissioners are divided into four The standing committees are the executive committees.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AYENUE, NW WASHINGTON DC 20005

25

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12021 224-4422

committee, public affairs in government committee, cultural affairs, employment and training.

So each Commissioner, in terms of being involved in the overall body activity, has a committee responsibility, and that fits in very nicely to our staff involvement as I'll discuss now.

We have five key areas of staff involvement or five areas of total responsibility. Logically the largest -- not the largest -- the most active is complaint structure. To give you a typical idea, our complaint area last year, '82, handled about 1,100 complaints, and that's complaints and inquiries. There is a thin line between a complaint and an inquiry, except we do not follow through or investigate inquiries. We simply refer them.

Seventy-three percent of those complaints were in the private sector employment area. Fifty complaints came through or from or against city agencies. Strangely enough only two were involved in sexual harassment. Now, to many people that would reflect the fact that there are no sexual harassment problems in the City of Richmond. My response to that is poppycock.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

city agency to deal effectively with resolving many
of those cases has forced the people who would be victims
or who are victims to pull away from involvement, and
I have to talk to my compatriots here to see how they
handle that area of sexual harassment.

This is what we call our relief and prevention kind of section. It deals across the board with all of the other areas, but primarily we rely on training and education to develop orientation training programs in many departmental areas, especially city government, to deal with problems that reveal the fact that employees may be suppressed under a kind of a natural working environment. We go out into the community to talk about the Human Relations Commission and to network with other agencies in the community to resolve certain kinds of problems.

Our community relations sector is what we call the pro-active sector. Here we try to combine all of the resources nationally, state and city into one comprehensive kind of information exchange area to be sure that we can become as preventive in our natural activities as we are reactive. I need not say that the majority of human rights and civil rights and civil relations programs today are reactive rather than

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

pro-active, which talks about some of the enforcement problems I've heard this morning.

We have a research component that is newly added that may become perhaps one of the primary components in our whole division in terms of allowing us to become pro-active. We need to know some of the dynamics of our city, some of the dynamics of the area around us that has an impact on the quality of life in that city, and our research development area is going to handle that.

Last, but not least, is our contract compliance area. Some of you may realize that the City of Richmond has perhaps the most aggressive, progressive MBE legislation in this country. There are two key pieces of legislation that we monitor that are as follows: We have a CDBG MBE requirement that requires any monies expended in that area to be allocated in the form of ten percent o minority involvement, whether it is construction, puchasing, procurement, et cetera. Regardless of that face value of that contract, ten percent must be allocated to a minority business enterprise.

The second piece is a construction contract over \$10,000 require that 20 percent is subcontracted -
I'm sorry -- 30 percent is subcontracted to a minority firm, female or handicapped.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 1

- 7

r 1

-7

These two pieces of legislation is not only key in the city, but key nationally. The compliance enforcement area is our division of contract compliance.

There is a companion piece of legislation some of you may have read about that I should not mention, but I'm going to, and that is a 20 percent total aggregate face value requirement. This is a key piece of legislation because in many, many cases, the actual implementation of a set-aside program or what we call a limited set-aside program is very difficult to pin down because no one actually knows the total aggregate amount spent to comply with the contract area. We have legislation that forces us to not only monitor it, but to guarantee that whatever contracts are spent in that city over \$10,000, that the total aggregate value or 20 percent of it is allocated for minority, female or handicapped firms.

I could go on talking about inside development, but I don't want to deprive my co-workers of just time.

Let me spend a few minutes to reflect what I have read in the proposed legislation.

And I should hasten to warn you that I'm not by nature a negative kind of person. I'm not from Missouri. I'm from Virginia. So my use of the term "show me" is more or less a challenge, and I'm using

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

it as a challenge today because I will believe that Virginia will approve or adopt a human rights act in this state when I see it.

Proof of the fact is that of all the years we've been dealing with it, we're still sitting in a state that does not have primary enforcement of many of its own existing laws. For example, -- one minute? I've never done anything in my life in one minute, Ms. Rattley, but I'll wrap it up -- an example is the fact that you hear our Chairman last night talk about the fact that our Commission was created by some very broad styled legislation.

It is interesting that each time we have gone to the legislature to resolve disputes of authority, as, Dr. Peeples tell you, the legislation seems to evaporate in thin air. The whole posture is the intent.

There is a difference in intending to create legislation that has an impact on the quality of life of citizens in this state and the intent to develop legislation for the pure act of placating the proper concerns, and I want to warn each of you about that because as we go into the area where federal government no longer now becomes the prime area for relief, we must think about the fact that a state the size of Virginia, as aggressive as we are, must have legislation

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

and enforcement powers to guarantee equal rights for all citizens in this state regardless of race, creed, color, handicap or religion.

MS. RATTLEY: Thank you very much.

Our second speaker will be Mr. Stephen M.

Levinson, Human Rights Administrator from the City of
Alexandria.

MR. LEVINSON: Thank you very much. Good morning.

As the Human Rights Administrator in Alexandria,

I am a representative of one of the two 706 agencies
in the Commonwealth of Virginia. That means that the

Alexandria Human Rights ordinance, which was initially
passed in the end of 1974, has been judged to be
substantially equivalent to Title VII of the Civil Rights

Act, and we have a contract with EEOC to process
allegations of discrimination that occur within the
city limits of Alexandria.

That contract provides us with some dollars to have additional staff and to have the resources to process the cases that are deferred to us.

The Alexandria Human Rights ordinance establishes a commission composed of 13 people appointed by City Council. We have a staff of five, myself, two investigators and two clerical support staff. We cover

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20025

· 1

· 15

1909) 99A AA99

employment, housing, education, credit, health and social services, and city contracts, and the bases that we are given jurisdiction over are race, color, religion, sex, age, ancestry, national origin, marital status and handicap, both physical and mental.

Statistics have changed over the last -- I've been in Alexandria three years, and was in Massachusetts before that in a similar capacity -- statistics in Alexandria over the last three years have changed dramatically. Eighty to 90 percent of the complaints filed when I came inere, 80 to 90 percent of the complaints filed at EEOC on a national basis were race related, up until about 1981. Since 1981 those race related complaints as a percentage of the total have drapped, and right now on a national basis almost 60 percent of the cases are race, and about 40 percent are based on sex. In Alexandria, it's almost 50-50.

Somewhat differently than Al, about 20 percent of our cases involve allegations of sexual harassment.

The office and staff are responsible for that case processing. Clearly one of the advantages of having a local commission is the time involved in processing those charges. EEOC, as we all know, while it has done significant things in clearing up its backlog, still receives 350,000 charges per year, and has an average

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AYENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

(202) 224 4422

- 7

processing time that can run between eight months to ten to 12 months at a minimum.

We process cases in Alexandria, our average processing time is 126 days, and we average somewhere between 120 and 140 days from the date of filing to the date of determination.

We are an agency that has what I believe to be a very strong ordinance. As mentioned last night in some of the comments, we do have subpoen power, although we have found that having the power is somewhat as important as having to use it. We've only had to use it minimally over the years. I have a feeling we're going to have to use it more if the current administration and the current philosophy remains the same and the kinds of resistence we're seeing from respondents continues to increase. It is a very important tool.

Most of our cases where there are cause findings are conciliated, on a pre-determination basis mostly, although sometimes after I have to issue a cause finding.

Our office is structured such and the ordinance is structured such that it is my responsibility under the law to make the determination of cause or no cause. The Commission is not involved in that process. My decision can be appealed, either no cause by the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

·7

· 15

complainant to the Commission. I think there's been one of those in the nine years that the Commission has been in existence. Before we close a case, obviously we spend a great deal of time with the respondent, and while he or she may not agree with that closure, they'll understand it.

In the case of a cause finding that either

In the case of a cause finding that either is unable to be conciliated or the respondent opposes the finding, the respondent certainly has a right to appeal to the full Commission.

Matters from the Commission will go to court.

We have never had a situation where a finding and a ruling of the Commission has been challenged in a court of competent jurisdiction.

We have had many cases where I will issue a no cause finding. That case will go back to EEOC. They will issue a right to sue letter, and the people will take the case to court. We have never had a case where a no cause finding by our office and ultimately by our Commission has been overturned in a court.

That's case processing. That's the public's perception of why we're there.

Let me say one other thing for I think three local Commissions here. We're not -- and I spend a lot of time with chambers of commerce and boards of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

- 7

realtors, various groups in the community -- we're not anybody 's adversary. I represent no one. I am no one's advocate in a complaint processing capacity. We are an independent, impartial, third party that is responsible for making a determination as to whether there is cause or no cause on an allegation of discrimination.

In the event of a cause finding or a recommendation from my staff that I find cause, should I agree with that recommendation and sign off on that recommendation, then I become an advocate for the charging party. Then it is my responsibility to make that party whole under the law. But up until that point, I'm not adversary, and we provide technical assistance and training programs to small business, to schools. We'll develop and help and assist to develop affirmative action programs, personnel policies. We have one of the strongest sexual harassment quidelines in the country. We've developed a RIF policy for the city that takes into account adverse impact and prior to a RIF being held in the City of Alexandria, an impact statement must be done, and if there is adverse impact on minorities or women, then those individuals will not be RIFed under city policy.

We monitor -- I should say not we -- the Commission on a regular basis monitors appointments

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

· 1

2

3

5

6

-7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

made by City Council. We have 44 boards and commissions in the City of Alexandria, and there is some concern as to the levels of participation of minorities and women on those board and their levels of appointment. We monitor those appointments on a bi-weekly basis. We have applicant flow data forms that are submitted to me, not the City Council, with all applications, and we report to Council semi-annually on the number of applicants from each of the protected classes, their percentage of appointment by Council, where they were appointed and what needs to be done and what those figures show.

Every six months we monitor the city's

Affirmative Action Program. The City Manager is required by ordinance to submit the City Council a progress report of all affirmative action and all employment activities in the City of Alexandria. That report is then submitted to the Human Rights Commission and the Commission on the Status of Women, and a detailed evaluation is submitted to the City Council, and that occurs every six months.

I think that I will stop and let Fred make

a few comments, and I'm more concerned here not to tell

you the specifics of Alexandria because all localities

may differ. I am concerned to listen to some of the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

questions and concerns that you have.

· 1

·7

-- MS: RATTLEY: Thank you very much.

Our third and final speaker is Mr. Fred Allen, who is the Executive Director of the Fairfax County

Human Rights Commission.

MR. ALLEN: I want to thank you.

One of the fortunate things of speaking after Steve is that our ordinances and our procedures are almost identical, and it saves me from having to really say anything. Steve has done a very eloquent job.

I think what I'll do is just make a couple of comments and from there afford you the opportunity to ask questions, especially in terms of how this proposed draft bill will impact on your various jurisdictions.

Presently there are two avenues especially in Alexandria and Fairfax in which a person may file a complaint of discrimination. The person may either go directly to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and allege an issue of employment discrimination or they can come directly to either Alexandria or to Fairfax.

Steve alluded to or used the term 706 agency.

That basically means that any cases that are filed with
the federal government the EEOC is required to defer

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

· 1

- 7

those cases to the local jurisdiction for a period of 60 days.

The language in the proposed draft would also allow for a similar type of work relationship between the state agency and any new, as well as existing, local jurisdictions.

Cases are investigated. The process entails various forms. Basically my agency had subpoena power at one time. Because of the Dillon's Rule, we were challenged in the court, and we lost. That is a type of thing that will face Steve perhaps one day -- I hope no time soon -- and it's one of the really crucial factors of this state law in that it will give us a very sound statutory authority to do what we're doing.

My agency has enabling legislation. However, that also is subject to challenge in terms of interpretation of the language in that enabling legislation.

It is very important to have this issue resolved once and for all.

My agency has been very, very successful in the past few years in light of our court cases that we lost. Of the 800 to 1,000 cases that I receive each year, both formal and informal, approximately 25 to 30 percent of those cases become formal cases wherein a person actually files a written charge.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

. 15

Of those, there is 25 to 30 percent, and of the cases where we find that there has been a violation, we have been able to resolve 100 percent of those cases. Regardless of the subpoena issue, I think power is relative. I think if I imply or let respondents or let companies know whether you comply or not the case is still going to go forward, and the case will eventually end up in court one way or the other. So you can either cooperate with me now, or we'll see each other later on.

The results of our cases in terms of EEOC and the relationship has been a very amicable relationship. I would hope that a lot of the local jurisdictions and various counties will enact their own local human rights ordinances, and I think that there are at least a couple of good reasons for doing that.

Firstly, it's like part of the family. You actually know the county. You begin to know the businesses. You have one-on-one contact.

A lot of the cases I receive I'm able to resolve with a telephone call or two because I have a working relationship with respondents. As Steve indicated, we're not advocates for either side, and if, in fact, we find a violation or we are able to identify patterns or practices that may be illegal at the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

-7

conclusion of an investigation, we convey that information to a company and based on our prior experiences and conversations with those companies are able to resolve them fairly amicably to both the complainant and to the respondent.

I know we are kind of running out of time,
and I think that the purpose of this panel is to afford
you the opportunity to ask questions and how the state
bill or state law, once passed, will enable you to address
various types of discrimination problems, and I'd just
as soon open the floor to those kinds of questions at
this point.

MS. RATTLEY: Thank you very much.

I should like to just take a few minutes to speak from my background and then I'll question, if I may, and then open questions to members of the Commission and people in the audience.

In the City of Newport News, we do not have a Human Rights Commission or any organization by any other name to deal with discrimination. On many occasions I have tried, and many others, to get our City Council to pass such an ordinance establishing such a commission, and I am always told that "what more do you want? You have federal civil rights laws. So you really don't need this at the local level." I think

you heard me.

housing, and you have to do certain things to qualify for federal money, et cetera, et cetera. And there again it always comes back to me that this is taken care of at the federal level, and of course, in reference recently to fair housing, it has been taken care of at the state level. So there is no need for such an organization or commission locally.

Now, my question: did you experience similar feedback when you first got started, if you know? And could you tell me how many commissions do we have in the Commonwealth of Virginia, local commissions, cities, towns, counties, et cetera? Are there only three of you or are there some more?

And when you think in terms of our commonwealth -- and I think this, to me, is very significant
-- what is your answer? Please don't tell me there's
just three.

MR. ALLEN: There are two agencies that have federal sanction, and that is Steve Levinson and myself for Alexandria and Fairfax.

MS. RATTLEY: Now, I made a note of that.

There's only two of its kind.

MR. LEVINSON: With 706 status.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

·7

. 15

MR. ALLEN: With 706 status.

2 MS. RATTLEY: With 706 status.

Now, sir, from Richmond, could you tell me how does yours differ and are there others like you in the State of Virginia?

MR. SMITH: Yes. Ours differs because we to not have 706 status, and basically what that means is the fact that the relief to a complainant may often go to EEOC because we do not have the power to transfer, as we call it, that Fairfax and Alexandria would have.

The danger in that, of course, is, number one, an outside body comes into your local jurisdiction to resolve a problem that you're more familiar with; the exorbitant cost; and the time span. EEOC can take anywhere, as Steve told you, from eight to 18 months to resolve an issue. In many cases on the local basis, because of prior contacts, it can be done within 30 to 160 days.

There are a number, Ms. Rattley, of commissions statewide. Not many outside of Petersburg that I know of has legislation by locality to authorize it. Now, the difference here is that you have three authorized by legislation, two with federal 706 status, one without, and Petersburg has an off-and-on kind of arrangement.

MS. RATTLEY: All right. Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

<sup>-</sup> 7

, 1 

.7

5.

2

5

MR. LEVINSON: Those are, I think, the only four that I know of, but I'd like to hit another point that Ms. Rattley made. I can't speak for Alexandria because I didn't come to Alexandria until the beginning of '81, but I spent about nine years in Massachusetts in various capacities, both practicing law and directing human rights commissions, where I did a lot of traveling throughout New England in terms of setting up those commissions and forming those commissions.

Clearly, one of the first arguments was either why do you need this; you have EEOC and you have federal law; or in New England, why do you need this; you have a state commission, because all six states in New England had a state commission. Therefore, why do we need cities and towns and counties to have local commissions?

And again, one of the strongest arguments that we made was the fact that (a) on a local basis we are much better able to deal with the local problems in the community. We also have had, I think, three or four hearings in nine years. That's because hopefully we're good, but also because of the nature of the commission and the fact that resolving it locally puts different kinds of pressures on a respondent.

Also, one of the biggest supporters we had in Massachusetts was the Chamber of Commerce. They

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

would come to a city council; they would come to boards of selectment; and they would say, sure, Levinson and I have our days when we don't see quite eye to eye, but the fact remains that from a quality of life perspective to have a local municipal elected body say that it is a matter of policy that discrimination on these bases is not something that will be condoned in a particular city and we are going to pay money to enforce that is something that is good business, or reverse it and say discrimination is bad business.

We've had tremendous support from chambers.

The arguments of a state commission and the arguments of federal law out there somewhere had some weight when we had a different administration. But when you have an administration like we now have that has retrenched in terms of civil rights law enforcement, forgetting various issues we all have, simply law enforcement; it falls on the local commission.

We keep using the term 706 agency. You should be aware that there are only 70 of us in the United States. There are 46 state commission, and there are 24 local commissions that have 706 status. Those 70 agencies process 68 percent of all discrimination cases filed nationwide.

EEOC processes the other 32. We process 68

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

percent of all cases in this country, with a little 1 money and a little staff. 2 3 So the arguments of the feds being there, when you look at numbers, when you look at figures, 4 5 when you look at cases, doesn't wash. 6 MS. RATTLEY: Thank you. -7 Yes? 8 I think the time frame issue is MR. ALLEN: very crucial in terms of convincing your local juris-9 dictions that the local commission is the way to go. 10 11 12

I had a similar experience to Steve in that our Chamber of Commerce supported us in the enactment of our legislation. I think they find a lot of comfort in being able to know that Fred Allen is only a few blocks away, that he has a vested interest in Fairfax County, and that his door is open. I think that is the kind of relationship that you can establish if, in fact, you are present, as opposed to being assigned to being in Maryland one week and you're in Virginia the next week, and you have no vested interest in a particular jurisdiction.

So I think that it's a very viable argument in terms of convincing your local governments that a local agency is the way to proceed.

> MS. RATTLEY: Thank you very much.

> > **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1.4

All right. Questions. Please speak into the mike.

MS. ROBERTS: Yes. I'm Elaine Roberts. I'm from the City of Petersburg. I am a staff person for the Commission of Community Relations Affairs, as well as the Office of Fair Housing.

I definitely agree with everything that's been said. Ms. Rattley, I say that even after you have that commission, you still get the argument because we still get the argument. Our commission has virtually only the power of mediation and conciliation, and they would like more.

And the question remains: you've got state agencies; you've got the federal regulations. Why do you'need it locally?

To date, as it relates to fair housing, we find that because there are monies available for those localities that have a local ordinance, that that could be of some weight. Basically you have to sell it because I think the people who have the power are always reluctant to give it up, and you have to look at the marketing values, how you would market it, as Steve was saying that discrimination is bad policy, and you have to show why that is bad policy.

Specifically, as it relates to getting back

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

to powers, I don't know exactly what that model ordinance · 1 will do for localities. I understand that in July of 2 '82, if I'm not mistaken, that the General Assembly 3 did allow for cease and desist powers to go to localities, 4 especially in the areas of fair housing. 5 Again, in our area, we're still fumbling our 6 way along, and we see that they don't want to give us -7 subpoena power. So exactly how do you use a cease and 8 desist kind of mechanism? Who would that power rest 9 with? 10 If our commission is empowered to seek out 11 discrimination in housing, as we've come to believe, 12 then it seems to me incumbent that somewhere in there 13 there's the ability to have some sort of subpoena power 14 or at least the threat of being able to get that infor-15 mation. 16 Thank you. Do you have a ques-MS. RATTLEY: 17 tion? 18 MS. ROBERTS: The question is really how is 19 the cease and desist powers that were supposedly given 20 in 1982 in the area of fair housing at this point, how 21 is that used or has anyone used it at this point? 22 MS. RATTLEY: Who would like to answer? 23 24 MR. LEVINSON: Well, we may have different answers among the three of us based on our different 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

ordinances.

Itself -- and I know of the legislation you're talking about in terms of the authority to issue cease and desist orders -- the Alexandria ordinance has always had a provision, and it is tied to housing, that in a case where it is my judgment that irreparable harm will occur if a certain practice is allowed to continue pending investigation, it is incumbent upon me to go to the Commission, explain the position to the Commission, and if they so agree, then we would apply to the Circuit Court in the City of Alexandria for an injunction to prohibit the practice in question, pending an investigations.

The process starts with me, goes through the Commission, goes through City Attorney, through Circuit Court.

There may be different processes.

MR. ALLEN: I have the same process.

MR. SMITH: We don't have in Richmond that authority. There is a separate organization in Richmond that deals with housing very effectively, and in most cases those blatant housing cases will go to the agency that has the clout, and that agency in Richmond is called HOME.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

(202) 234-4433

MS. ROBERTS: Yes, I know about HOME. · 1 just again wondering if you're giving that power to 2 a locality, say, in our instance the City of Petersburg 3 has that ability, then --4 MR. LEVINSON: Excuse me, Elaine. It's not 5 that that power was given, as I understand it, and maybe 6 Connie can correct me. My understanding was not that -7 the power was given. My understanding was that Richmond 8 authorized cities and towns to do it if they so desired. 9 It was a grant of authority. It was not saying that 10 every town and county or board and city had this power. 11 What it say was if you want through your local 12 ordinance mechanism to adopt cease and desist orders 13 or the ability to use cease and desist orders, we in 14 Richmond say you can do it. . 15 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. 16 MR. LEVINSON: But each town and city has 17 to adopt it individually. 18 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I think I understand. 19 So therefore, you could issue cease and desist as a 20 part of a local ordinance. In our case, that's where 21 we are, trying to get local ordinance for housing or 22 whatever. 23 MR. LEVINSON: That's right. 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

MS. ROBERTS: But you could not use subpoena

power. I know that the two of you have subpoena power written in, okay. That right now is a sticky issue for us. We can't do that, we've been told.

MR. LEVINSON: Well, it's a sticky issue for us, too.

MS. ROBERTS: The General Assembly has to allow you to do that, okay. Well, then I just really want to know how it ties in. If you cannot have the subpoena power technically, how do you issue a cease and desist order? Are you going to say basically, "Come in and talk to me, and if you don't come in we're going to do our investigation?"

MR. LEVINSON: No, it's two separate questions. We have subpoena power in our ordinance. Fred has had it tested in court. As an attorney, I was trained never to question my own jurisdiction, and I guess should the need arise, I would issue the subpoena, and we'll let a court decide whether it's a valid subpoena or not. I have some issues of whether the Dillon Rule applies or doesn't.

But the point is Richmond has never said,
Richmond has never authorized the political subdivisions
of the commonwealth to issue subpoenas. Therefore,
the question remains whether the City of Alexandria
under its police power could give us the right to issue

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

subpoenas.

· 7

The same is not true with cease and desist orders. Richmond has said that the political subdivisions of the commonwealth can issue cease and desist orders.

Therefore, Petersburg, in the creation of your ordinance and in the structuring of your ordinance or mandate, could allow the Petersburg commission the authority to consider the issuance of cease and desist orders in appropriate cases, and then would lay out a process locally for how you would do that.

MR. ALLEN: I think just another point also, in that our ordinance has been tested with respect to the issue of issuing subpoenas, we have gone back to the General Assembly, and we have gotten enabling legislation which also reads somewhat broad in that it gives us the authority to enforce or to go through the appropriate enforcement mechanisms in order to achieve our goal, which we have interpreted to mean subpoenas.

We've never had to do this, and as Steve indicated, I'm not going to give up anything, and if the court tells me I can't do it, then I'll say okay.

Otherwise, I really don't listen to anybody else because everybody tells me no. And I think in this field, you'll find that our local governments have a tendence, and especially our County Attorneys, have a tendency to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005

(202) 224.4422

be very, very conservative, and you have to make your own judgment and push in the direction that you feel is appropriate.

I mean it's one of the limbs that we in this field go out on, and you'll find out.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

MR. LEVINSON: Let me just, if I can, make one comment in reference to Elaine's question. I was fortunate enough to meet Elaine and Art and some other people from Petersburg over the last few years in some national work that I do, and they're still hanging in there, continually trying not only to survive, but to strengthen their situation.

One of the things I think that's important, with or without this state bill in the next year to year and a half: Alexandria has had ten years of experience. Richmond has had at least that, if not more. Fairfax has had ten or so years in the county. And it seems to me that one of the things we have to do is utilize that experience and utilize the expertise and utilize the political situations that we have had to deal with in our three jurisdictions to your benefit.

It is incumbent, I think, in terms of coordinating and establishing ordinances and dealing with councils and mayors and managers and various political

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 1

- 7

structures to use us, not that we're so great and **~1** certainly not that we're so intelligent, but we have 2 the experience. We have the technical experience. fully we have some political sensitivities, and hopefully 4 we can give some input and advice to you, as well as 5 to your political counterparts in terms of why it is 6 to their benefit, why it's to Alexandria's benefit to 7 have a Human Rights Commission. Why is it to Fairfax's 8 benefit? Why does my city spend \$150,000 of taxpayers' 9 money to support a Human Rights Commission? There has 10 to be a benefit there or they wouldn't do it. 11 And I think that we all need to begin to con-12 sider that and use that in Virginia, pending the passage 13 of this state bill. 14 MS. RATTLEY: All right. . 15

MS. BENDRICH: Thank you.

Ms. Rattley, I'm very glad to see you. I've heard a lot of favorable things about you.

MS. RATTLEY: Thank you.

MS. BENDRICH: I want to compliment you on being able to handle three men.

I have two quick questions of Mr. Levinson.

Would you please clarify something? When you say that
Richmond gives the authority, are you not referring
to the General Assembly?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

<del>~</del> ~

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEVINSON: Yes.

MS. BENDRICH: Please don't blame our poor city. We have enough problems.

I have a question --

MR. SMITH: I was going to raise that at the proper time.

MS. BENDRICH: Thank you.

Since I have three obvious gentlemen lined up, I would like to address this question to the three of you. Number one, how many people with disabilities do you have on your Commission; and number two, how many problems have you helped people with disabilities?

And Mr. Smith, before you answer that, I do live in Richmond. So you'll be hearing from me.

But seriously, how many people with disabilities, and I mean not only wheelchair but of any kind, do you have on your commissions and have you handled any cases or have you had any referrals or what have you particularly referring to people with disabilities?

MR. SMITH: To my knowledge, there are none -none of our Commissioners have handicaps, and I say
that in a very careful kind of language. There may
be handicaps, legitimate, that may not be publicized,
that we may not know.

In Virginia, Richmond, since coming on September

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

1, I have had no experience, to my knowledge, of handicap, but I was fortunate enough in New Jersey, where I came from, to have helped New Jersey develop their legislation or amendments to their legislation, and we did invoke into their charter handicapped persons as a part of the protected class.

In Alexandria, we have one MR. LEVINSON: member of our Commission who is handicapped, and a seat designated in our ordinance that one of our 13 Commissioners must be a representative of the City's Commission on Disabled, so that we do have one -- in the past we've had two; nowwe have one -- disabled Commissioner.

In terms of cases, we have had cases, all employment, which have involved settlements in terms of reasonable accommodation for work sites, for structures, for accessibility. The city has just finished --I was talking to the signers last night -- the city offers a program to all city staff in sign language. I just finished a training course, and am trying to follow them. All city staff are encouraged on city time to take the case. It's offered free on the part of the city.

We have other kinds of awareness programs. We just finished running a breakthrough program,

> NEAL R. GROSS **COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

21 22

٠ 1

2

4

5

6

-7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

23

' 1 

3

mandatory for all department heads and first line supervisors in city government.

except for one, which was in the private sector, all of the handicap complaints, complaints from the disabled, have involved employment, and it involved questions of reasonable accommodation, accessibility, work site accessibility, and in all cases we have been able in the city, without any problem at all, to accommodate those individuals.

MR. ALLEN: I presently have two Commissioners who are handicapped. I have on staff an individual who is a specialist in handicap issues. She addresses only or investigates only handicapped cases. We presently have a case load of approximately 38 cases of handicapped discrimination, both in employment and in the areas of public accommodations.

MS. RATTLEY: All right. Yes.

MR. EVERHARD: Yes. My name is Everett

Everhard, and I'm with the Richmond Human Relations

Commission.

With respect to the question which was raised earlier regarding the 706 agencies and EEOC and how much work each of them are doing and how valuable 706 agencies are, I'd like to address this question also

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

specifically to Mr. Levinson, but any of the other panel members might answer, if they so choose.

You mentioned that 68 percent of all the cases, filed in the country were processed by 706 agencies, and that EEOC processes 32 percent. As a former attorney at EEOC, I'm aware of the fact that a number of the cases which 706 agencies do process, when they come to EEOC, EEOC has the option of accepting their findings or not accepting their findings, and sometimes, of course when they don't accept those findings, there's extra work which is required on the part of EEOC with respect to those cases.

I'm kind of curious as to whether or not any type of cost benefit analysis was ever done vis-a-vis the EEOC and 706 agencies: the number of employees which EEOC has and the number which are employed by these various 70 706 agencies around the country, especially in the enforcement area.

I realize, of course, EEOC has a number of people who do things other than what 706 agencies do, but just with respect to those individuals who are involved in that type of work, has any type of cost benefit analysis ever been done; and if so, what are the results of that? Does it come out showing that we need more 706 agencies which can handle matters at

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

a local level more efficiently; and if not, you know, why not?

MR. LEVINSON: Excellent question. The answer is yes, a cost analysis has been done. In fact, it's just been updated.

Let me explain that we have been lobbying

t

EEOC for many years. Up until this year, up until

October 1st, we were paid at the rate of \$375 per charge

for every charge we process which is accepted by EEOC.

That has not changed, but our costs have changed. My

rent's doubled. The staff salaries go up. So that

\$375 continually goes a shorter and shorter distance.

In order for us to lobby the Congress, in order for us to lobby the government to increase that, we shad to do that cost analysis, cost benefit study.

Please don't hold me. I don't have the figures here. I certainly can provide them to you, but there is no question. As I said, we are processing 68 percent of the cases in the country, and we get about 38 percent of the money.

EEOC has a staff -- and again, with the RIFs,

I'm not sure of exactly -- but somewhere around 3,200

people nationwide. There are 70 of us. Per charge

cost, 706 agency versus EEOC is about one-third. We

do process cases. Time is obviously much shorter, and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

· 7

on a per charge basis, our cost is about one-third of 1 the cost of processing the same charge through EEOC, 2 and I can give you dollar figures. I don't have them 3 here. 4 MR. EVERHARD: Just one quick follow-up. 5 said EEOC had roughly about 3,200 employees. I was 6 Do you know how many are in the total 706 -7 agencies in the country, and also how many of those 8 3,200 in EEOC are involved in processing or enforcement 9 or investigative activities? 10 11 12 13 14

MR. LEVINSON: The majority -- again, I can give you specifics later -- the majority of the federal employees, EEOC employees, are involved in some aspect of case processing or investigation. It's not administratively top-heavy.

I don't have a figure for you on the 70 agencies because I can't even estimate. agencies have several. Michigan has 300 employees. I have five. So I can't give you a figure, but certainly it is percentage-wise probably less than 1,000 nationwide.

> MR. EVERHARD: Thank you.

I think, if I may, there are two MR. SMITH: other points attached to that question and answer. Number one, EEOC has found that it is far more economical

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-7

as Steve indicated to have local jurisdictions handle cases that are in local areas. The \$375 they pay Steve is only one-third of perhaps the cost of simply investigating, not the follow-up on the case.

Number two, the key issue is that if this proposed legislation is passed, any local jurisdiction, county, city, town, in this state that develops a commission under this charge will automatically have the same powers, 706, as EEOC, which means that you will be dealing in local issues and being reimbursed rather than have EEOC tie you up for 18 months trying to find a follow-up.

So I think the two issues here must be balanced: economics and practicality. You put them both together, and there's no question the legislation must pass because this is the most effective way for Virginians to address the problem of quality of life through human rights and human relations.

MS. RATTLEY: Barbara.

MS. WURTZEL: I'm Barbara Wurtzel. I'm a member of the Virginia Advisory Council.

I have two questions to ask. One is a political question. If the model Act or a version of it were to pass, to what extent do you think it would be an effective sales tool for converting Richmond's ordinance

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

into ones that are parallel to the Alexandria and Fair-fax, and to what extent do you think it would stimulate other localities to enact comparable ordinances?

And, Steve, in New England when you had that experience, how did that work where there were state statutes? Were they good sales tools for getting those local ordinances?

MR. LEVINSON: Again, Barbara, it will clearly depend on the individual localities in the sense of the local politics, but what it does is it removes the crutch that we are continually given: well, we can't do that; the state doesn't authorize it. We can't do that; we don't have the jurisdiction, the mandate from Richmond, the state legislature in Richmond, to have these kind of provisions on a local level.

(A) The passage of the bill would remove that crutch, and would remove that you can't do it; here's why you can't do it; delay and stall.

Depending on, clearly, how this state looks at its own mandate -- and that involves staffing and that involves who we get to direct and staff the state commission -- but I can tell you that in New England it was the state commission, the commissioners appointed by the various Governors, the Executive Director and the paid staff, who would come to the various city

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 1

.7

· 16

council meetings and work sessions and localities and 1 explain and help us, and explain from their perspective 2 as a state agency now, why it was in their interest to have us in Alexandria or Fairfax or Richmond to be able to defer their cases to allow them to get rid of -obvious they're going to have a big case load, and to spread that case load out to the localities and the benefits that are involved in that.

So personal experience, in my own experience, is the creation and the existence of the state agency can only help in the creation of local human rights ordinances and laws.

MR. ALLEN: I agree with Steve on that. think that one of the greatest, most controversial arguments that I get in terms of my local government is the Dillon's Rule argument, and by creating this state agency, this will alleviate and clearly delineate certain responsibilities and authorities to the local jurisdictions, and I'm looking forward to it very much.

I'm tired of every time we do something, we're being challenged with that, our authority to exist kind of situation. I think that once we get the state law and the way it's presently drafted, it will take a lot of the burdens off of us.

> Barbara, let me respond to you MR. SMITH:

> > **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

3

4

5

6

**- 7** 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. 1

2

.

5

6

- **7** 

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

philosophically, quickly, because I think it's the key to the whole issue.

The problem in Virginia is the fact that we must develop ratable base to prevent the increasing cost of the running government to be passed on to our citizens. The only way to do that is to develop an environment in which industry and businesses will come into the city.

We found out that the greatest publicity in terms of attracting industry is the promise of a stabilized community. Without this kind of legislation, Virginia doesn't have it.

You can have all of your slogans. We have them made in Virginia. But if you want to get industry into Virginia, show them a stabilized community, which means an ability of a community to resolve its own problems.

MS. WURTZEL: I assume that you would feel then that if there were a state statute passed, it would be easier to reconcile Richmond's ordinance with that?

MR. SMITH: Absolutely.

MS. WURTZEL: My second question is very brief.

In the phase of processing of complaints that deals

just with conciliation, would Mr. Levinson and Mr. Allen

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

tell us the extent to which complainants typically

(202) 224 4422

- 7

are represented by attorneys, the extent to which respondents-typically are represented by attorneys, and the difference, and if there is a difference in that extent, how does that impact on the remedies that ultimately come out of those conciliation efforts?

MR. LEVINSON: Once we get to the stage or any stage -- you mentioned at the stage of conciliation. In Alexandria almost all respondents are represented by counsel. It may be their corporate counsel; it may be their tax lawyer who has never had a Title VII case in his or her life, but it's time to have a lawyer.

In my opinion, too many complainants are represented by attorneys. One of the purposes of having a local agency is to obviate the need to go out and pay, counsel to represent you. I am their counsel technically at the point at which cause is found. It is my legal obligation to make that person whole but for the discrimination.

There is no impact -- and I can only speak

for myself personally -- the impact of a respondent's

counsel obviously is it lengthens the process and there

is more detailed negotiations, and there's a different

kind of bargaining if there were no counsel. But on

the complainant's side, I don't particularly care what

that complainant's counsel wants or doesn't want. There's

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

no impact.

-7

MR. WURTZEL: Does that depend exclusively, however, that balancing, on your assumptions that once reasonable cause has been found, you are no longer neutral but become an advocate? If you did not take that position --

MR. LEVINSON: That's not a personal opinion.

I'm required to do that by law. Once that cause finding is issued, I am by law required to be, quote, the advocate for that complainant. It is my job to reach a settlement for that complainant that makes that complainant whole. That's not my personal opinion.

So once I make that cause finding, I am that person's attorney. Now, that complainant's attorney cam come in and say, "We want A, B and C." I will listen I will take it into account, but in many cases, in most cases, they want things that we're not allowed to give. They want punitive damages; they want compensatory damages. We're not allowed by law to do that. We're allowed to make a person whole, and with or without an attorney, most complainants are not at the higher ends of the economic scale, and I can't stop them from having an attorney, and if they have a friend or if they have an attorney that they can somehow afford to have represent them, fine. But there's no impact.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

۶ 1

<sup>-</sup>7

MR. ALLEN: I agree with Steve on that from the standpoint, and particularly, I guess, the efficiency of the counsel involved. I have a lot of problems with attorneys who come in with a lack of subject knowledge in terms of Title VII, in terms of what's allowable, what's not allowable under the various laws, and you find in a lot of cases counsel advocating settlements that are totally outlandish, and sometimes I question their motives in terms of the advice, you know, that they're giving.

MS. WURTZEL: Do the sections of the law that indicate to you that you are required to act as advocate after reasonable cause findings, are they paralleled in the model Act?

matter of semantics. When I say that I'm an advocate,
I'm an advocate for my ordinance. My ordinance dictates
that I do certain things. I will advocate that, and
I will pursue that to the fullest extent that I possibly
can.

To say that I'm an advocate of the complainant somewhat pushes that aside a little bit. If, in fact, we find the cause case and my law dictates that I get full settlement, then that's what I'll do. If my law says that I cannot advocate or cannot get punitive

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

damages and the complainant wants it, then I will pursue it from that angle.

So it really doesn't matter which side is the prevailing side. It's more what the law dictates with respect to the particular set of facts that you're dealing with, and that's where the advocacy comes about.

MR. LEVINSON: And, Barbara, let me add to your final point, the law you have in front of you, the model Act that was distributed does parallel what we're saying.

I am an advocate for the City of Alexandria for the policy that the City Council adopted in creating a human rights ordinance. I am an advocate for the contract with EEOC to process and investigate and to conciliate Title VII charges. It is my responsibility to carry out that legislative mandate and that public policy of the people that I work for.

It's implicit in the bill that you have in front of you and in our ordinances that should we find substance to an allegation of discrimination, that is against public policy. It is then my job to insure that that is corrected, and that that individual is made whole. I don't represent the person. I represent my city. I represent the public policy adopted by my city in representing that individual and in correcting

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

10001 034-4433

· 1

. 15

\_ 25

the deficiencies and insuring that it doesn't occur within the city limits, and the bill you have parallels that. MS. RATTLEY: Yes, Maya. I'm Maya Hasegawa. I'm a member MS. HASEGAWA: of the Advisory Committee, and I'm also supposed to be representing the Virginia Commission on the Status of Women. Before I ask my question of the panel, I would like for the record to correct something that was stated during the panel that I moderated, and that is the question concerning the Virginia Employment Commission and services available for the area Big Stone Gap. We have found that there is an office which is about 20 miles from Big Stone Gap in Norton, and I just wanted the record to reflect that, that there were services available much closer than 70 to 80 miles. MS. RATTLEY: Thank you. MS. HASEGAWA: My question has to do with --I think somebody raised this earlier, and I just wanted to follow up on it with you all -- and that is what happens to offices like the Advocacy Office for Developmental Disabilities, organizations like the

· 1

2

4

5

6

· 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

Commission on the Status of Women, for example, the

Overall Advisory Committee on the Handicapped, and

1

those kinds of things?

2

3

4

5

6

-7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that your local governments have similar kinds of commissions. What is the relationship between those, and how does state law affect advocacy groups like that?

MR. ALLEN: I don't think the state law would have an adverse effect at all. I think that I would anticipate a continued positive relationship.

My office works very, very closely with the Commission for Women, with Handicaps Unlimited. There's several advocacy groups in the Fairfax County area. I look to them for advice. I look to them for -- in fact, the Independence Center in Northern Virginia is presently working with me to design -- and if you've been to some of the shopping centers there are these little cart corals they keep the shopping carts in -we are working very closely with them to design some type of access for people who use wheelchairs. You know, we utilize them as a resource on a regular basis, and I would anticipate the state Director and the Commission would also have that type of relationship with all of the advocacy groups.

I think that a person would be somewhat derelict in their duty to ignore or not be sensitive to the opinions of those various groups.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 2000E

11 10001 004 440

MS. HASEGAWA: I think one of the concerns on the part of some of the -- this may be somewhat self-serving -- but when I was talking about this with some of the other Commissioners from the Commission on the Status of Women, one of the questions was, since we are another official governmental body, has there been a tendency to do away with other government advocacy offices? And I hear you say no.

MR. ALLEN: Well, I think the role is different,
Maya. The role of the state agencies is an enforcement
agency to basically carry out the mandates of a law,
as opposed to being an advocacy group to advocate any
particular issue. I see the roles as very different
in terms of responsibility.

MR. LEVINSON: Maya, let me just take it a little further. There are some provisions in the bill -- and I don't have a full knowledge of all of the various enforcement arms of the various areas in Virginia -- clearly the bill would consolidate enforcement efforts, as it should.

But on the other side, there are questions of turf, and there are questions of survival. You know, if we'd stop fighting each other, we could all do much more, but the fact is that those questions have to be answered, and it seems to me that the creation of a

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

state commission is to the benefit of the advisory kinds of groups you're talking about, Commission on the Status of Women, the handicapped, disabled concerns, refugee concerns, whatever, because if the director does his or her job, most state commissions in this country develop a coalition -- my word -- but a group of state advisory people, Women's Commission, this commission, that commission, to advise the state commission, and it coordinates all of the groups you're talking about and, in my opinion, strengthens them.

MR. ALLEN: In fact, I'm so concerned about that that I have a staff assigned to attend the meetings of the various advocacy groups on a regular basis so that, you know, we're assured that we are getting the feedback and the input in terms of the concerns, you know, of the various groups.

MR. SMITH: My response to that is I have reviewed the proposed legislation, and without hesitating, I'll tell you that there are some gray areas, especially in the area of housing where it talks about the fact that this legislation will amend. It does not say specifically how.

I would, indeed, believe that there are some questions, as Steve and Fred talk about, in terms of turfdom. But if I look at the trends in this country,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

**-7** 

137 there are advocate groups who have decided that the , 1 state and-federal government do not fairly represent 2 their cause on a local level. I think that what this 3 bill will do will enable us to network with those 4 advocate groups to have a stronger lobby when we go 5 to the state for enforcement of the rights or dealing 6 with complaints that we have cited. -7 MS. HASEGAWA: I just want you to clarify 8 for the record that advocacy is different from compli-9 ance. 10 MR. SMITH: Absolutely, yes. 11 MS. RATTLEY: 12 13 14

MS. RATTLEY: All right. Thank you very much. It's 12:30 and time for lunch, but I would like to find out if you would like to extend this so that everybody will be able to have his or her questions answered, but remind you that we must be back by two o'clock to continue our discussion.

How many of you would like to extend our discussion here a bit long?

(Show of hands.)

MS. RATTLEY: We don't have a majority. Since we had one person standing, may we receive his question, please, and then we'll go to lunch.

MR. TATE: I just wanted to make a brief comment. I appreciate the work that you are doing

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

138 1 with the disabled. In my work at Woodrow Wilson Rehab Center, we work with rural Virginia. Therefore, we 2 do not have the access that you do in the metropolitan 3 4 areas. Would you be willing to come down and talk 5 6 to the rural Virginia communities and perhaps even provide a training or technical assistance topic once this .7 bill is passed? 8 9

MR. ALLEN: Sure.

MR. LEVINSON: Absolutely, absolutely, and I think I speak for all of us. I personally, and I know Fred, and I'm sure Al, although he's been here a short time, we will go anywhere, you know. You know, it's the argument used on me, "I'm free" kind of an argument.

We have whatever minimal levels of expertise we all have, and if we can help cities, towns and counties develop laws or technical assistance or training programs or whatever, please don't hesitate to call us.

MS. RATTLEY: I thank you.

We want to say thank you to Mr. Smith, Mr. Levinson and Mr. Allen, and let's give them a round of applause.

(Applause.)

We will reconvene at 2:00 p.m. MS. RATTLEY:

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

٠1	in this room.
2	(Whereupon, at 12:32 p.m., the proceedings
3	in the above-entitled matter was adjourned for lunch,
4	to reconvene at 2:00 p.m., the same day.)
5	
6	
-7	<b>:</b>
8	
9	~~~
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
. 15	<b>.</b>
16	
17	
18	
19	К _
20	
21	
22	
23	
94	·

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

12021 224-4433

## AFTERNOON SESSION

(2:14 p.m.)

-7 

MR. RING: Could we come to order? Would those in the rear like to take seats, and we'll get started with the afternoon panel?

This afternoon we're going to be talking a little bit about the model Act, which all of you should have as an enclosure in your packet. Let me say at the outset that this is what sometimes lawyers describe as an exposure draft.

As it was explained, this meeting is the first time that this particular draft has had any public exposure. We do not purport to say that it is a perfect job. Many people have worked very hard and very long in strying to present to you a product that has been rather carefully thought through and researched, but we are looking forward to your comments and your criticism as a vehicle for improving upon what I think is a very good start.

I know that many of you would like to have more time to reflect upon this particular draft and may at a later date be in a better position to give informed comments and criticism, and if that should be the case, I would urge you to send those comments in writing to the Mid-Atlantic Regional Office of the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

U.S. Civil Rights Commission at 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., or if you wish, you can send them directly to me, Carlyle C. Ring, 710 Ring Building — don't own the building, but it is my name — 710 Ring Building, Washington, D.C., zip, 20036.

Several people have asked about the time frame for making further comments. It's already been explained to you that the Advisory Committee is exactly what its name implies. It's an advisory group, and it will simply prepare a report based upon the comments that have been made here, and forward that report to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission.

Whether there is any particular merit to the model Act will depend upon those of you who have reviewed it and are in a position to be advocates for legal change within Virginia. If members of the General Assembly or the Governor perceive this to be a good idea, they may pick up on it. If those of you who are here and are especially interested in civil rights issues believe that it is a good idea, you may want to pick up on it and run with it.

We, as such, are not carrying that role. We are simply being a body that exposes something to you, gives you an opportunity to comment on it, and it gives you an opportunity to urge it if you feel that it's

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

meritorious.

1

2

3

4

5

6

-7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

-- In context of time, I think that if you could get your comments within 30 or 60 days, it would be very helpful in terms of making the report to the U.S. Civil Rights Commission.

Although it had been our original thought that this conference would be held earlier and, therefore, perhaps it would be possible for perhaps such an Act to be introduced in the 1984 General Assembly, I suspect because of the late date of this meeting that it's more realistic to think in terms that perhaps in 1985 there would be an opportunity to actually introduce into the General Assembly such a bill, if there were groups that wanted to urge to do that.

Virginia, as already mentioned, is a delinquent state. We are one of four states, Steve Levinson has told us, that does not have a human rights commission or a human rights act. All the other 46 states do have a state human rights commission.

In the morning panels, I think a fair interpretation would indicate that there are substantial gaps in the coverage in Virginia of various civil rights There are gaps in the coverage of the law. Just as an illustration, and you can recall others, Mr. Claiborne mentioned that the Virginia Fair Housing

> NEAL R. GROSS **COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS** 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

23

24

- 7

Housing Act does not cover handicapped as one of the protected groups.

There are also gaps in the sense that there are many areas in which there is no enforcement agency available to hear grievances, to investigate, and to follow up to assure compliance.

There are also certain gaps that have been identified this morning with respect to the availability of suitable remedies, when there has been a failure to comply with the law.

Another area that we focused on this morning and there was some discussion, which is background, is if there are federal protections for these rights, do we really need any further coverage at a state and local level. And you heard this morning that there are many reasons, among them the time factor. Time is justice in many instances. If you don't get quick and prompt relief, you really have had no relief at all, and state and local bodies are in a much better position to respond quickly.

Steve indicated that in EEOC kinds of complaints, locally they can be handled within 120 days, but you must wait eight to 18 months to get relief at the federal level.

There is also an important cost factor. It

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

12021 224 4425

matters at a local level and a state level, and obviously very clearly, availability. It's easy to get in touch with local offices, local officials, and lastly, it's more effective because you can bring local pressure to bear to really resolve on an amicable basis these kinds of complaints, and over the long run a friendly resolution of disputes is going to go much further than a letal confrontation.

There also might be the issue of whether or not it isn't sufficient to have a parceling out of these various responsibilities, and some might possibly urge that it's advisable to have the housing in one agency and employment in another agency. But one of the factors to be considered there is that if you have one common human rights commission, you have one contact point.

It's easy to advise people where to go and how to proceed if they have one place to which they can look for relief. It also means that you can mobilize more resources in a common agency rather than splitting those various obligations among a variety of agencies, and that, in turn, means that it's less costly.

It is true that much of what is in this model Act is already federal law, and therefore, there is available federal relief for many of the matters that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

.7

are contained in this model law. But, on the other hand, the factors that I have just pointed out may be important enough -- and many of us believe that they are -- to have Virginians have that relief available locally, more effectively, more timely, and less costly.

Let me explain one other factor that guided us in preparing this model Act, and that is we tried to take into account what is doable. Mr. Smith mentioned that although he generally likes to be an optimist, he also has had some hopes dashed in terms of getting specific legislation through the General Assembly, and that's true of all legislatures.

Therefore, we have tried to frame this in a way that we did not bite off too much the first time. The Landlord-Tenant Act was a matter of some discussion last evening. Virginia passed the Uniformed Landlord and Tenat Act, and it has been amended several times since them to extend the rights of tenants.

It's a lot easier once you have established the precedent and the public policy to subsequently amend it. But if you overburden a particular vehicle, you may get so many separate elements of opposition that from a political point of view it becomes impossible to do it.

Therefore, we have tried to keep that in mind

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

in this model Act, trying to focus it in a way that we have a doable project, with the idea that refinements and improvements can be made by the amendment process in the future.

Let me tell you a little bit about the background before I turn it over to the panelists. I wear
a number of hats standing here before you. One is as
a member of the Virginia Advisory Committee. It's already
been mentioned that I also sit as one of the seven
members of City Council in Alexandria.

Well, probably one of the biggest thrills
that I have had in my life has been service as a Virginia
Commissioner to the National Conference of Commissioners
on Uniform State Laws. Now, that's a long name, and
probably most of you don't know what we do, but the
conference is as close as your driver's license. If
you look on the back of your driver's license, there's
a uniform donor's signature place. If you wish to donate
a part of your body in the event of an accident, in
order to help or save someone else, you can rely upon
a uniform act promulgated by the conference with which
I am associated so that no matter where that accident
occurs, your wish will be implemented.

It's also as close as your checkbook. The Uniform Commercial Code, which is adopted by all of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

|| 10001 05N-NASS

the states in the United States governs the rules concerning checks. If there is a fraudulent endorsement or anything else, the rules are established by the Uniform Commercial Code, also a product of the National Conference.

One of our projects in 1966 was to draft a model Civil Rights Act. That followed the 1964 U.S. Civil Rights Act. It was to give guidance to the various states in how they could implement the federal acts in the various states.

That model act has been used as a guide in the 46 states that have adopted human rights commissions. However, that model act was out of date because much has occurred since 1964. There have been additional federal laws. There have been a great deal of case law in the federal courts, and therefore, it was necessary to update that model act of the National Conference.

In my role as a Virginia Commissioner and as President of the National Conference, I inquired of Washington and Lee Law School whether they would be willing to undertake as a project updating the model Act, and they agreed to do so. Also, Professor Rutherglen of the University of Virginia Law School agreed that he would be willing to provide input on the employment sections of a model Act for Virginia.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

22

21

1

2

4

5

6

- 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

So over this past summer for three or four 1 months a lot of people have been working very hard to 2 survey all of the 46 states that do have human rights 3 acts, to try to pick out the best provisions in each 4 of those 46 acts, and therefore, to come before you 5 with this exposure draft, which is a representation 6 of a lot of thoughtful consideration of what other states -7 have done, and in trying to blend it to the procedures 8 and the practice in the State of Virginia. 9 10

With that as an introduction, I would like to turn the program now over to the panelists who will describe very briefly each of the sections of the Act, and then we would welcome comments and questions from all of you.

First, Professor Hobbs, who is a member of the faculty at Washington and Lee Law School, and he, in turn, will introduce the other two participants in the panel.

Professor Hobbs.

PROF. HOBBS: Thank you, Mr. Ring.

I'd first like to introduce my co-panelists:

Professor Martha Morgan and Mike Shaffer, who is a student
at Washington and Lee University School of Law.

It's a pleasure for us to be here today.

Our task this summer was to prepare a

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

D٤

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(202) 234-4433

comprehensive human rights act for the Commonwealth of Virginia. We proceeded on three assumptions basically One, we assumed, and even though this is not true, we assumed that Virginia didn't have a legislative codification of civil rights that we, in fact, do have, and that all of us have, even whether they are, indeed, enacted in the state.

We assumed that there are continuing incidents of discrimination, and as a matter of public policy it would behoove the state to demonstrate a commitment to affirm the civil rights and human rights of individuals within the state and to actively protect individuals who have been discriminated against.

And, third, we wanted to design a mechanism that would effectively, efficiently and equitably handle complaints of discrimination, hopefully at the state and local level, and we figured that if we could design such a mechanism, it would have a number of benefits.

We've talked about some already today. Federal money, for one. If you're a qualified human rights commission, it's cheaper than federal litigation if you go through an in-state process, and generally you have the opportunity to handle the matter between the parties involved hopefully in a more informal and less contentious atmosphere.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

·7

. 15

\_

From an academician's point of view, this
was great fun. We're always telling our students, what
if the law was changed and we had this law. Well, this
is an opportunity to put that into practice, and we
can just go off there and draft a law, put it out there
and see what would result.

The other interesting thing was that none of us are up for re-election. So we don't have any political considerations as to the contents of the law, except a firm belief in civil rights.

But we were concerned about political feasibility, as Mr. Ring pointed out. We drafted the bill.

It's for others to enact it, and hopefully by this process that we're going through today and your comments in the future, this draft will be substantially revised. This is the third draft, and each draft went through many minor revisions, and I suspect that it will go through more revisions.

But what we wanted to do, and we sought counsel throughout the state as to what might be politically feasible within the state, we wanted to create something that was, indeed, passable.

From the point of view that what we really wanted to do was establish a mechanism for dealing with human rights, a centralized mechanism, and from the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

survey that we took from many states, I think the important part is to first get that enforcement mechanism in line. After that, if you want to expand the coverage, if you wanted to amend the provisions, that's certainly a little bit easier to do than to tie it down with minor provisions that might kill the entire bill.

We sort of, in that regard, followed what

I call the Goldilocks Theory. Some will think this
is too strong; others will think that it's not strong
enough. Hopefully the majority of people will think
it's just right, and we can have it enacted.

Fortunately, we didn't have to engage in a spontaneous creation of legislative ideas. As Mr. Ring pointed out, there is the model Act provided by the Uniform Law Commissioners. Many states have enacted legislation, and we've had, as you remember this morning, local human rights commissions here in Virginia that have extensive experience, and we drew heavily on that experience.

What we'd like to do right now is briefly outline, and this is in no way designed to be a comprehensive summation of the provisions of the Act, but we thought that we might be more willing to take questions after we go over what's in the Act, and after we do that, we'll be more than willing to take any

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

questions.

· 1

-7

. 15

Primarily the goals of the Act are to, one,
create an independent agency within the state government
that has its own resources and authority and has its
own independent political autonomy. We wanted to
create a mechanism that would provide fast and informal
settlements, hopefully through conciliation. One of
the things we lawyers and law professors are learning,
that mediation and conciliation is an effective means
of dispute resolution, and it's certainly more efficient
than resorting to court.

Third, we wanted an enforcement mechanism that had some muscle: the ability to go to court, the ability to subpoena witnesses and documents, and the ability to enforce its findings.

Fourth, we wanted to create a mechanism that would grant the Commonwealth of Virginia a certain amount of autonomy in reference to solving its civil rights problems. The way it is now we sort of share the more — they have it more than we do — with the federal government, and to the extent that the individuals within the state can work out their own problems, we thought that was a good goal.

Fifth, we wanted something that would be costeffective, and that the remedies or the process that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

--

one would go through to get an act with remedy would
not be overly burdensome from a cost perspective.

Next we wanted to think in terms of public and private discrimination, private employers as well as state and local employers.

And finally, we wanted to promote interagency cooperation. There are already present mechanisms within various state agencies, as we learned today, which deal with discrimination, and we wanted to at least get one branch, one government agency that served as sort of the focal point for an interagency relationship. Plus, we figured that with a human rights commission, that the types of things that Mr. Claiborne is doing in going around the state informing people and advising people of their rights and opportunities for finding remedies for a violation of their rights would be better done if there was a specific agency that had not only the personnel, but also the funding to do that type of PR work.

What I'd like to briefly do is just mention two the sections, and then I'll let my co-panelists discuss the others. First, the public accommodations section, the 400 section, basically deals with discrimination in what we generally classified as public accommodations, restaurants, hotels, that type of thing,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. GROOF

12021 234-4433

· 7

. 15

and the provisions provide for protection from activities that violate one's access to public accommodations.

There are a number of notable exceptions, but these more or less track the federal provisions on public accommodation.

The next section on real estate transactions is basically a restatement of the Virginia Fair Housing Act, and what it attempts to do is to provide the same protection, but put it under the human rights commission to give it the enforcement mechanism that is currently lacking, as well as to take it out of the long departmental chain of command that it's in now, and put it in something that's more autonomous.

That's basically the only comments I wanted to make on those two sections, and I'll leave it up to you to ask any other questions you might have.

PROF. MORGAN: Just a few comments on the proposed provisions on employment discrimination which are in the 300 section of the proposed Human Rights Act.

As was pointed out this morning, Virginia has no comprehensive fair employment practices law at present. There are, I guess, four present statutory provisions that provide some protection. Virginia does have an Equal Pay Act, dealing with sex discrimination

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

in pay differentials, at least to the extent not covered by federal law, as well as an act prohibiting discrimination because of physical handicaps in instances not covered by federal law, and then I think the provisions that were mentioned this morning, a provision requiring contractual provisions, agreeing not to discriminate in public contracts of over \$10,000, and then the Virginia Equal Employment Opportunity Committee, the statutory provision creating that.

So the proposed Act would make substantial changes in the state law governing employment discrimination.

The coverage of the Act, just very briefly, it would apply to both private and public employers. As to private employers, it would cover any employer of four or more non-family member employees for 20 or more calendar weeks during the year, as well as state contractors and state and local government entities. It would also cover employment agencies, labor organizations and joint labor-management committees.

Employees, as well, would cover most all employees within the state. The major exception in the employee provision as now written excludes elected officials and certain of their personal staff and policy making appointees are excluded from the definition

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

of "employee."

The bases of discrimination that are covered are generally those that apply throughout, being race, color, religion, sex, national origin, marital status, handicap and age, and in the employment section, you'll note that the age limitations are age between 18 and 70.

Now, the federal age discrimination in employment act, the age limitations are 40 to 70 years. So it does differ from the federal standard, although there are states which have provisions which don't specify age at all, so that any age would be covered under the employment provisions as well, and then there's all sorts of variations as to limits that other state and local acts have. But the proposal right now for the employment would be 18 to 70.

The prohibited practices pretty much track
the practices of Title VII. The area that I suspect
most of the questions on the employment section may
focus on, as well as some of the other sections, are
the exceptions that are recognized in the Act as proposed.

It does have an exception for religious discrimination by religious organizations, and has an exception recognizing BFOQs with different language, which

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON: D.C. 20005

-7

. 15

. 16

we can perhaps deal better with by individual questions, but some type BFOQ provision for any bases other than race or color.

As well, some seniority provisions, bona fide seniority system exception; a veterans' preference exception; and some of the final provisions being designed to attempt to recognize efforts to promote minority employment where there is under-employment in particular areas.

I think that gives a broad overview of the provisions of that chapter. As was mentioned this morning, under the proposed Act, both the Virginia Human Rights Commission and the local agencies that could qualify under the Act should satisfy the requirements for deferral status as 706 agencies, and the relationship then with EEOC, they should satisfy that aspect.

Again, I think probably our time is better spent answering whatever questions that you may have. So I'll turn it to Mike.

MR. SHAFFER: Thanks, Professor Morgan.

Real quickly I'm going to summarize the Commission itself, what it is, the powers that it has under this Act, and what limits are on its power.

It's main function is going to be to enforce the law that Professor Hobbs and Professor Morgan

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

- 7

**∢1** 

<sup>-</sup>7

. 15

have described to you. It will be created in the Governor's office, which gives it central authority and somewhat of an advisory role.

Complaints under the Act can be initiated by a person who is aggrieved by a discriminatory practice. They can be initiated by the Commission or any of its members, and by the staff of the Commission which is headed by a Director, similar to the directors that you saw up here earlier this morning, the role they play in their communities.

The Commission would have these powers: it can investigate complaints, which includes the power to subpoena respondents that may be unwilling to talk to the Commission. It can, through its Director or its' staff, attempt to reach conciliation with parties who have been accused of wrongful discrimination. There can be informal or formal conciliation. It can include getting a consent order in court, which would later be enforceable if the employer or the landlord complained against breaches that consent order.

If no agreement can be reached under the conciliation procedures, the staff Director and the Commission is authorized to go ahead and begin a public hearing on the factual issues of the discrimination complaint.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, B.C. 20005

In the meanwhile, it can apply for a temporary restraining order in court which would allow it to enjoin any discriminatory practice for up to five days in order to get a little breathing time. For instance, if a house was going to be sold in a discriminatory situation or a person was going to be fired in a discriminatory situation, you'd have a little breathing time under our Act.

It also provides for posting a notice on real property when there's been a discrimination complaint as to the landlord's practice or as to sale of the house, for instance, where a party feels they have been discriminated against as a buyer. It provides that a notice can be posted on that property that there's a complaint pending.

The hearing itself would be before the Commission or hearings officers that the Commission can appoint. We provided for local hearing officers to be appointed as much as possible to get local involvement where there is no local commission.

The Commission has the power for extensive affirmative remedies if a case of discrimination is found.

I'd be happy to answer questions. There is a number of rememdies, including compensatory damages,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

(202) 224 4422

affirmative relief, meaning reinstatement of employee.

The Act provides for a number of remedies.

If a party feels that the Commission erred in their decision, it can go to the circuit court and get a review of the Commission's decision. This is not a "start from scratch" review though. The court is bound by the factual evidence that was put before the Commission, and it is part of the Commission's record when it makes its decision.

If the Commission fails to act within 180 days in getting a hearing going, a complainant can go into court to get an order to get the Commission to act as quickly as possible on it.

Now, on the issue of local commissions, this

Act, would authorize local commission to have the same

powers that the state commission has. It provides for

cooperative agreements between city and state commissions,

and it provides the cities that may want to combine

and get together their own commission, a commission

for several cities or for two counties. They can go

ahead and do that.

It also provides that local commissions may enact broader protection in their ordinances than the state Act may provide, in the event that it's enacted.

Other than that, the local commissions are

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

-7

under the same limits as far as their power. They're also subject to judicial review on the same terms, and they have the same powers, as I said, as the state commissions.

I guess we'd be happy to take your questions.

MR. RING: Those of you that have questions might want to get to the microphones. In the meantime, let me mention one thing. We have attempted to make some assessment of what the costs might be in having such a human rights agency. Obviously we don't have full access to information, and it makes it a little difficult for us to do anything more than some comparisons.

Texas thought that maybe by federal reimbursement they could cover somewhere between 80 and 90 percent of their costs. We think that's somewhat unrealistic.

The states that are immediately surrounding Virginia recover approximately 50 percent of their costs in terms of reimbursements from the federal government. As explained this morning by the panelists, 706 deferral agency gets paid so much for every case that they handle that otherwise would be handled by the federal authorities, and those funds have contributed about 50 percent of the budget of states like Maryland and West Virginia and Pennsylvania, Kentucky and other nearby states to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

- 7

1 | Virginia.

In addition, there are enforcement people that are located in some of the existing agencies. For example, the Virginia Real Estate Board, and if they were consolidated into a common agency, some of those employees are already included in the state budget, but there would be an incremental cost of modest size entailed in this proposal.

Shall we begin with you?

MS. PEARSON: Good afternoon. Again, I am
Ellen B. Pearson, and I'm President of Richmond Crusader
for Voters.

I would like first to preface my question with a statement. All of us are aware that 40 years plus one of the Presidents of the United States foresaw the necessity to have some legislation that related to civil rights. There were several Presidents in the interim who also thought that legislation on civil rights was necessary.

However, at no time was there any provision made for enforcement of this type legislation.

We also can recall that in 1963 our former President John F. Kennedy put forth legislation that would enact civil rights laws with an enforcement mechanism. Unfortunately, President Johnson was the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AYENUE, NW

President who insured and saw that this legislation was passed.

Now, Mr. Ring indicated in his opening statement that time is justice. I perceive that to mean that a long wait is injustice, right? It is also my understanding that this particular conference was scheduled some two months ago in another facility that was not accessible, and once it was found that that facility was not accessible, the conference was postponed.

Also, Mr. Ring indicated that there is not a possibility for this particular legislation or a bill to be brought forth in the 1984 General Assembly in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

I So my real equestion is: at the time that it was found that the facility that was previously arranged for was not accessible, why did it take two months to bring this conference forth in Richmond, Virginia on the 13th and 14th of November 1983, A.D.? Why did it take two months to find an accessible facility which would, had you found time, we would have had more time for this bill to be presented in the General Assembly?

And if there are representatives of the General Assembly in this room this evening, I would

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 2000E

<sup>-</sup>7

-7

like to know if one or more would find that this would be realistic to present this bill in January 1984.

MR. RING: I should immediately hasten to say that certainly introducing it in 1984 is not beyond the possibility. I was simply trying to say that to mobilize the interest and to make sure that we had a sound product, it might be a little more realistic to think in terms of 1985.

But as I said at the beginning, it's up to all of you.

In terms of rescheduling, I'll try to speak for Wanda and the staff. It was a bitter disappointment to them and all of us who participated to have the first one canceled. At the same time, there was a crisis going on as to whether or not the U.S. Civil Rights Commission would continue, and with all of the chaos that was going on and the need for reasonable notice in the prerequisite approvals by the higher echelons in the U.S. Civil Rights Commission, it just simply did take two months to reschedule it.

. We did the best we could, under the circum-stances.

MS. PEARSON: Thank you. If we have members of the General Assembly present, while I'm standing, and because I have to leave, I wondered if I could

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

get an answer to that section question.

12.

. 15

3

MR. RING: Well, they are here. They're going to be on a panel that comes up in ten minutes. If you're able to stay for ten minutes, you may be able to ask them.

Yes.

MS. BLACKWELL: Good afternoon. I am Pat
Parris Blackwell, President of the Fairfax County NAACP,
and I'd like to thank the panelists for being here and
for some very honest assessments of the proposed model
Act, and the political considerations that went into
the construct of that Act, and that is, in fact, one
of my concerns.

I'd like to know quite simply whether or not "must," m-u-s-t, is, in fact, a four-letter word in terms of this model Act. Do you think that if we change "may have local commissions" into "must have local commissions," so that when this Act is passed, if it is passed, that each local government will know that it must, in fact, have a local commission.

The second thing that I was concerned with is that I am cannot see a clear mandate that says that the local commissions or the state commissions can, in fact, investigate the local governing body or the state government in terms of any discriminatory

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

practices there. Is there a possibility of including that impowerment in this particular piece of legislation, or do you think that either one would cripple the chances for passage?

MR. RING: Who would like to handle that?

Professor Hobbs.

PROF. HOBBS: Well, in terms of your second question, under definition of "person," -- let me find it -- a "person" includes also the Commonwealth or any of its political or civil subdivisions, any agency or instumentality thereof. So if you classify a person who does a prohibited act, you could say that person has violated someone's civil rights. It could include a local municipal government or even a state agency if they're engaged in a discriminatory practice.

MS. BLACKWELL: So it is possible to say then that the local commission can, in fact, sue city hall?

PROF. HOBBS: Yes.

MS. BLACKWELL: Okay.

PROF. HOBBS: I would read it that way, yes.

MR. RING: I would hope in the spirit of the Act they would conciliate first. A lot more is accomplished with sugar than with vinegar, as the old saying, and I think many local governments are going to respond as the City Council of Alexandria does to

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

criticism that we get from our Human Rights Commission, and I think Steve will say that sometimes we fuss and fume with them a little bit, but the pressure of the Commission does bring around the City Council to be more responsive, and that kind of oversight and conscience, if you will, is, I think, probably in the long run much more productive.

PROF. HOBBS: As to the first question, I don't know whether we thought about whether we should require local governments to do that. Certainly that would put a financial burden on local governments to enact such a commission.

I would hope that perhaps the state commission through its programs and lobbying efforts with not only the legislature but also individuals and individual employers might also deal with local governments who are interested in doing that.

Now, as to whether this could incorporate that, I'm not sure whether it's feasible or not. Even if it is, whether we should require individual municipalities to do it. Certainly maybe Big Stone Gap might want to do that because they can't get to Bristol.

MR. RING: Could I comment on that just briefly? The model Act has the "may," and I think it was
done by the National Conference with some consideration

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

<sup>,</sup> 1

· 7

(202) 224 4422

of the fact that if a local body that does not want
a commission is forced to appoint one, they may not
appoint one that is really going to do the job, and
therefore, it is better for the responsibility to rest
with a state body in the event the local body really
doesn't want to have it. Therefore, to mandate it or
to require it may not really bring about the desirable
result.

Therefore, we made it permissive.

Now, with that comment in mind, if you still feel that it ought to be mandatory, we'll certainly take that into account, but I wanted you to know the reasons why it wasn't made mandatory to appoint a local commission.

MS. BLACKWELL: Okay. I'll simply follow up by saying this. I asked that particular question simply because Northern Virginia is just coming off of some local elections, and at our particular Candidate's Night, this model Act was, in fact, one of the issues addressed, and several of the candidates who, in fact, have been re-elected had indicated that they thought it might be in the best interests of certain sections of the state that the word was, in fact, "must."

So we have a very strong indication that all of the Northern Virginia contingent will lobby very

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

. 15

<sub>4</sub> 1 hard for the passage. 2 Now, if you find that they don't keep that campaign promise, give me a call. You can reach me 3 4 at my home number, and my office has an answering 5 device. Leave a message. 6 Thank you. -7 Okay. Yes, sir. MR. RING: 8 DUHAD (Phonetic): Good afternoon, My MR. 9 name is Oubad Duhad (phonetic), and I am librarian at 10 Center State Hospital in Petersburg. I don't have any 11 question. I have just one modification I would like 12 to submit, with respect. 13 As a librarian, my job is to find correct 14 information as quick as possible, and it concerned me, 15 that remarks by Mr. Ring about the Uniformed Commercial 16 Since the proceedings are being recorded, I wanted 17 to make the correction here before it goes somewhere 18 else. 19 The UCC is not -- the "uniformed" is a predicy 20 (phonetic) word. The one mistake in the union which 21 it doesn't follow is Louisiana.

Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

they have adopted the same provisions with respect to

But you're quite correct.

MR. RING: That's correct, but in check law,

There are 49 states

checks.

22

23

24

that have adopted the Uniformed Commercial Code, one that has not, but that has conformed its local code and check law.

Yes, sir.

I'm Frank Feibelman, and I'm MR. FEIBELMAN: staff attorney with the State Advocacy Office for the Developmentally Disabled.

Before I offer a few constructive criticisms of the model Act, let me say that I think overall passage of this Act will go a long way in resolving a lot of discrimination problems in Virginia. I commend you for your efforts.

In your judicial review and enforcement provision, you made note of referral to the circuit court of the county. As you are probably aware, Virginia has independent cities were there are separate circuit courts, and I think that needs correction.

In your employment discrimination section, I think you do a commendable job in terms of race, religion, national origin, et cetera, in every area except the area that I'm primarily interested in, and that is handicapped.

I realize that you've tried to amend the federal law in a number of these areas. Some of the areas, such as age discrimination, you've gone further than

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON DC SOORS

~ 1

2

3

4

5

6

·7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(202) 234-4433

the federal act, federal law has gone. I think you have taken the worst part of the decisions and the statutes and applied to handicapped discrimination, especially in this state, because it's part of the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals, where we have the Travis-R (phonetic) v. Libby Rehabilitation Center decision that effectively guts 504 employment litigation. I think it is ultra important that any state act be not only as good as the federal legislation, but better.

You've done a better job in some areas, but you haven't done a better job in the handicapped area.

On page 8 of the application procedures,

Paragraph 2(a) lists every area, every protected class,

except handicapped. I understand, I think, your

rationale of why you've done that, but I'd suggest that

if you want to be timid in that area, you allow

inquiry to be made during the interview process, but

certainly not during the application process. That

is an obvious loophole for employers to fall through.

On page 9, Paragraph C(2), I think the inclusion of this exception in employment discrimination section would effectively leave employers with the same state of law that they have now. In other words, it would leave the persons with disabilities who are

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

-7

. 15

citizens of the Commonwealth of Virginia with no protection at all, and I think you've been -- regretably, I think you have not done a good job in this area.

MR. RING: Mr. Feiberlman, let me suggest that if you are willing and have the time to perhaps put those comments in writing and maybe suggest specific language that you think would be in line with decisional law and what you would like to see, and I think we would welcome that kind of input.

MR. FEIBELMAN: I plan to.

MR. RING: I might say with respect to age discrimination, I've run this by the legislative drafting service, and they have identified some problems in that area that we're going to have to think about. For example, we have a Youth Corrections Act, where you can handle youth up to age 21 under special provisions.

We also have in Northern Virginia special passes for Metro elderly. Both of those would be affected by this provision, and I think we need to be very careful that where there is positive legislative and policy that gives a privilege or gives special treatments with respect to age, that they are not in conflict with this law.

We do have some suggestions from the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

173 Alexandria Bar Association as to how we might be able 1 to deal with that problem, but while we tried to expand 2 it, we created some problems for ourselves, and now 3 we've got to work our way out of the problems. 4 MR. FEIBELMAN: Thank you. 5 MR. RING: Yes, ma'am, Ms. Crater. 6 I'm Flora Crater. I'm the chair MS. CRATER: 7 of the Virginia Area Restitution Council. 8 I want to call your attention to the defini-9 tions On page 2, we have been asked if Virginia has 10 an equal rights amendment. There is a provision in 11 the Constitution that we consider this law, and when 12 it says in here seven or eight of the sectors shall 13 not be considered discrimination, we all know how we 14 feel about separate but equal facilities. . 15 Of course, in this case I think they were 16 concerned about separate bathroom facilities, and of 17 course, we consider that covered by the right to pri-18

vacy. So we don't think this needs to be in here.

I know that you cannot do anything about it, but I want you to know that's one of the reasons why we are fighting for an equal rights amendment.

Also, since you have said that this is sort of a compromise document in that it's something that is doable, if we're compromising something, I would

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

19

20

21

• 22

23

24

like to know what it is, because if we're going to be arguing for this Act, I think we need to know so we can tell them, "It doesn't say this," or "it doesn't say that." So I think it would be helpful if we were told what it was that's good that's not in here.

MR. RING: We have that we have covered all that which is good in here, but part of the reason for this particular effort is to get comments that might suggest other areas where we could do more good, and we're getting some comments.

For example, in handicapped, it was just pointed out that we tracked the federal law, and we did so,

I think, very consciously on the proposition that we could then say that we're giving local enforcement available remedies for federal relief.

If you extend those rights by state law, then you may get into some arguments. Well, I think we're open to consideration, and should be, to expanding those rights under the Virginia Act, but when you expand them, you also stir up some more opposition, and that's the example of the kind of thing that I think we had in mind in making that comment.

Is that right, Professor Hobbs? PROF'. HOBBS: Yes.

MS. CRATER: In the other 46 states or

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 2000E

-7

. 15

12021 Just 4422

1 however many there are that have human rights acts, is there any one particular state that you looked to 2 that had what you would call a model? 3 4 PROF. HOBBS: Well, we drew extensively from the experience in Kentucky and Pennsylvania and 5 Illinois. 6 -7 Kentucky has been very, very MR. RING: active in terms of not only their own state law, but 8 being evangelists for this concept, and they have put 9 out a great deal of material in which they have put 10 11 together their own model act to suggest to other states 12 and localities, and that has been basically an update of the 1966 model Act by the National Conference in 13 uniformed state laws. 14 MS. CRATER: I guess what has concerned me 15 is that you're looking at an Act that's considered doable 16 in Virginia. I'm just afraid that you might have left 17 out something. 18 MR. RING: We hope that we have left nothing 19 important out. But we're here today in hopes that you 20 will point out to us something if we have left some-21 thing important out. 22 Yes, sir. 23 MR. MILLER: I'm Calvin Miller, the Vice 24

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

President at large, Virginia State Unit, SCLC.

. 1 I would like to, one, underscore the efforts here. "Secondly, I would like to underscore the notion 2 of time. 3 As I foresee the political future in Virginia, 5 it will be no different than 1985 legislation and 1990 6 legislation and legislation for the year 2000, and to -7 further lag and put this legislation in the hopper I 8 think is a grave disservice. 9 Nothing could be, I think, more timely and more correctly drawn than the Martin Luther King bill 10 11 which is perennially a kick-out of the Virginia General 12 Assembly. 13 So I would urge as soon as possible let this 14 document start its history through the Virginia legislature for being turned down so that we might get 15 16 it within the next decade. 17 Secondly, Martin Luther King --18 MR. RING: We hope to do better than that, 19 but I realize your cynicism and appreciate it. 20 MR. MILLER: Martin Luther King has stated, 21 among his many famous statements, that the 11 o'clock 22 hour is the most segregated hour in America. 23 two concerns here. 24 Paragraph 1-307, I guess I'm addressing my

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

question to Ms. Morgan, which states that you can't

, 1 

-7 

0

3

advertise and use religion, where on 1-308 in the identical next paragraph, the first sentence, you say that religion is prohibit.

I'm a card carrying United Methodist, and the United Methodist headquarters down on Broad Street is lily white. The United Methodist Church is a big, fat, rich corporation, and I can't understand giving religion organizations another opportunity to dsicriminate.

I think we've waited this long. We may as well put it all in and give those people on the hill a chance to knock something out.

(Laughter.)

MR. MILLER: But in all seriousness, I do

consider it should be up to the church to say that they

must be allowed to discriminate, and as large as the

Methodist Church, the Presbyterian Church and the Baptist

-- I think they build, they buy, they have investments,

and I can't see why we should give them any other

reason to disriminate.

Secondly, I do not see it in the document,
but Ms. Morgan did mention something about elected
officials' staff. Here again I can't understand because
the capital hill, again, is almost lily white, and
elected officials do not pay their staff from their

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

pocketbook. The people in Congress also have lily white staffs.—They re using my tax money to discriminate, and people over here at the capital are using my state tax money to discriminate.

So I would like to urge that we eliminate all of the exceptions.

And the language, you say if it is a rational

And the language, you say if it is a rational relationship to the promotion of religious principles, which seems to me beautiful rhetoric. Anything could be rationally related to the atom bomb and debt. This language here doesn't do very much for me.

My main point is I don't think these two bodies should be allowed to discriminate legally.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. RING: Thank you for your comments. Let me make a comment before you respond.

We started about ten minutes late, and so

I have allowed us to go ten minutes longer than we were
scheduled to go. The other panels that are appearing
here are going to continue reaction to this draft. So

I am going to close with this question and then assume
that those of you who have additional questions will
have opportunity to ask future panels those questions,
and the rest of us will be in the audience. If you

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

.7

U

need a reaction from us, we'll be able to give it to you.

Now, the answer.

PROF. MORGAN: Well, both comments I think
will be helpful in possible revision, the religion comment in particular. Your suggestion on narrowing the
language may well be taken.

I think the language itself though is considerably narrow than the exemptions in current federal law, and in many state laws, and in part, based on concerns about constitutionality of any further limitations based on First Amendment protection for religion.

But as to whether some more narrowing of the language could be made without running into constitution- al objections, your comments are well taken perhaps.

MR. MILLER: Thank you very much.

MR. RING: At this time, I know that these people and also some who are not here, in particular Professor Rutherglen, who wanted to be here, but he is a father who is expecting today, and therefore called to say that unfortunately he couldn't be here, but I think these people worked very hard, and I think although there needs to be some improvement and sandpapering, they've done a superb job and deserve your applause.

(Applause.)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

(000) 004 440

MR. RING: Now it's time for our next panel. 1 -- (Pause in proceedings.) 2 MR. RING: I think the state and local people ' 3 were also to sit up there and field some of the 4 questions, Steve, Steve Levinson and Fred. Yes, I think 5 you're included in this panel. Al, is Al Smith still 6 here? - 7 REV. HARRIS: This section, state and local 8 officals' response to the model Act, all of the state 9 and local officials that participated earlier, if you 10 will please come to the podium, this is roasting time. 11 If there are any members of the General Assembly pre-12 sent, we invite you also to come to the stage. 13 To moderate this section of our conference, 14 Vincent Callahan, Jr., who is a member of the Virginia 15 Advisory Committee, will now come forward. 16 MR. CALLAHAN: Thank you, Reverend Harris. 17 My name is Vincent Callahan. I'm a member 18 of the Virginia Advisory Committee and also a member 19 of the House of Delegates representing the 34th Legis-20 lative District, which is in Fairfax County. 21 What I would like to do and partially respond 22 to some of the previous questions dealing with the 23 possibility of legislation being introduced, the chances 24 it may have of passage, and a few other things that 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C 20005

have gone into the preliminary planning for not only this conference, but for the formulation of the legislation and how it got to its present form.

The Virginia Advisory Committee, I guess, as has been explained, is a voluntary bipartisam body that merely makes recommendations. It has no powers other than that, and as a matter of fact, I believe it's legally barred from lobbying for anything along these lines, although as an elected official, I have no such legal barriers, and as a result, I have talked about this with a number of people.

Now, in a non-lobbying type of atmosphere,

I met along with other members of the Virginia Advisory

Committee with Governor Robb on -- I just looked it

up -- it was May 18th of this year, to apprise him of

the fact that the Advisory Committee was considering

proposing or not proposing, but advocating, you might

say, a model Act dealing with the creation of a human

rights or a civil rights commission in Virginia, and

Governor Robb expressed interest in it and said he would

follow our proceedings with interest, as has been point
ed out by the fact that representatives of the

Executive Branch of government have participated and

attended in this conference for the past several days.

To put this whole question in its proper

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 1

-7

(202) 234-4433

perspective, I'd like to bring up what we're faced with in the 1984 session of the General Assembly, which starts -- I believe the date is January 15th, which is almost exactly two months from today. It's the second Wednesday in January.

This is led off by money problems, the fact that there are questions about whether or proposed budget, which will be Governor Robb's first budget, and whether we have the means to meet the proposals before us and adequately serve the needs of our citizens; the upgrading of education, which leads the pack among those in the raising of teachers' salaries; the revision of the roads funding formula, getting more money for transportations, which is one of the major recommendations of the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission.

We will have legislation probably on a coal slurry pipeline and uranium mining. We'll have drunk driving legislation again before us, with proposals to raise the drinking age; the health care delivery; state reorganization, which touches on this particular matter here today. I'm a member of the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission, also known as JLARC, and I was at a meeting of them this morning in which the staff presented some major recommendations

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

· 1

- 7

· 1

-7

. 15

-0

on state reorganization.

JLARC, which I skipped to be here, dealing with local mandates, mandates coming from the legislature to localities on what they should do and how much money goes with it, and it also touches upon this.

What I'm saying is we have an awful large number of major issues coming before us in this session coming up in two months, and this is another one that will be before it.

Now, whether or not the legislation will be introduced: I can assure you that I support it, and if necessary I will introduce it, even to get the foot in the door, as was talked about. One of the problems with introducing a major piece of legislation like this without the whole, say, year or two years of public hearings and things like that, which is customary in Virginia, since we are a part-time legislative body, is that it has not perhaps had the public airing that we would have desired.

We're having a public airing right now, which is very desirable, but as has been pointed out, it's getting close to the 11th hour, if we are not already in the 11th hour.

What we can do though is introduce legislation

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

12021 224-4423

and, under the provisions of our constitution and our rules, if necessary, if it's not getting anyplace, we can hold it over until the 1985 session with a vehicle already existing there to hold public hearings and get greater public input in the interim between the 1984 and 1985 sessions without killing the bill, or you can kill the bill and have it reintroduced. I prefer the carryover procedure myself because at least it's alive. You have your foot in the door, and you have a vehicle for public hearings on that.

It's also necessary to get the support of the Executive Branch of government. Now, this kind of support is not necessary in all bills, but I believe on major legislation the support of Governor Robb is absolutely necessary.

It's also necessary to enlist and mobilize support of the legislators from around the Commonwealth because, again, you're dealing in a controversial area, most of whom have not heard of this bill at this stage of the game, nor have given any serious consideration to it.

One of the questions was brought up earlier:
does the argument that Virginia is the only state or
only one of four particular states in this case that
does not have this particular kind of legislation on

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

a 1

- 7

- 10

its book hold any water in Virginia? 1 -- After 16 years of experience, I don't think 2 it does, very frankly. And I think Virginia in many 3 cases leads, but in many cases lags. I think that 4 legislation of this type is, however, politically 5 feasible in Virginia, and with that comment, I will 6 turn it over to -- how are we going to handle this? -7 John Watkins, do you want to start off? 8 He's a member of the House of Delegates from 9 Chesterfield County, who just got re-elected without 10 opposition, which is the way to do it. I've never had 11 that opportunity before. 12 John. 13 MR. WATKINS: Thank you. 14 Ladies and gentlemen, I appreciate the 15 opportunity to be with you this afternoon. 16 FROM THE FLOOR: We can't hear you. 17 MR. WATKINS: Can you hear me now? 18 FROM THE FLOOR: No, no. 19 MR. WATKINS: It needs to be turned up. 20 may have to stand up there. 21 Ladies and gentlemen, my name is John 22 Watkins, and I represent the 65th Legislative District 23 in Chesterfield, which is northern and western 24 Chesterfield County. I'm a member of the House of 25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Delegates.

To follow up a little of what Vince had to say in terms of the recommendation of legislation of this type in the upcoming session, I would say that there are two or three things that probably should be reiterated and brought out at this point.

Number one, Virginia is not the least bit timid in being the only state or the only one of four in not having a particular statutory law, of either not having it or of having it, and I think a prime example of that is the fact that Virginia is probably the only state in the union that forbids coal slurry pipelines. We're the only ones. So we're not at all timid in this state to stand alone in any one thing.

And in saying that, I think it's good to emphasize the fact that a piece of legislation of this type is more of a positive thing than it is a negative thing, and I think that the individuals who present this type of legislation and the individuals who advocate this type of legislation are going to have a lot to do with its acceptability and with its utilization in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

It obviously, and Professor Hobbs, you're to be commended for the work that has been done in this particular piece of work, and your associates. It is

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

′ 1 

1 10001 004-4420

a very difficult thing to draft a piece of legislation and be able to cover all of the bases, if you will, in that drafting.

This has been, from what I have read and seen, and I have not had the time to study it probably as much as I would like to, this is an excellent job. I am not an attorney, and I do not know all of the legal ramifications. I am a businessman, and I can visualize some of the ramifications as far as the business community is concerned.

As with any piece of legislation or any new piece of legislation, it's going to have questions, and it's going to have a lot of changes made to it in the course of events that must take place as it goes through the General Assembly.

Well, to help with some of these changes and help with a model bill of this type, I think that there are probably four things that should be done in the process of getting it introduced and getting it passed.

Number one is to find some individuals on both the Senate and on the House side who are willing to advocate and who are willing to introduce legislation of this type, on both sides, and assure them your backing and your assistance, and give them the model bill and sit down and explain to them exactly how you came

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

ຸ 1

.7

-7 

up with the type of drafting you did.

-- A list of all of the complaints and all of the comments that are made is also helpful because it gives those individuals an opportunity to know what they're going to be hit with.

Secondly, I would suggest that a companion resolution be introduced on both sides, this resolution calling for either a subcommittee or a joint subcommittee of the House and Senate members as a study resolution for the implementation and the adoption of this legislation.

This is a fall-back position, and I bring this to your attention because if you do not do it and the bill is killed or passed by, then you don't have any point to fall back to. You have to wait until the next session of the General Assembly to get it reintroduced.

Keep in mind there is the carryover provision this year in that we will be going to a long session this year, short session next year. So legislation can be carried over from either house. So there's that possibility as well.

Here again, the fall-back position is that if you have carryover and you have a resolution for the study, the study can be taking place in the interim

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

between the two sessions.

-7

Fourth, I would suggest that anyone who has the proper pull or the proper influence with the Executive Branch of government, Governor Robb's administration is advocating change as far as the organization of government in this state or in this commonwealth.

I feel that a human rights commission, properly placed in that organizational structure, you would probably find it much easier getting it in from that standpoint than just as a piece of individual legislation itself. In other words, if there's going to be the total reorganization, and there are some recommendations that it be done, that the restructuring of the different secretaries and the different cabinets and their areas of responsibility, that you should know where you're headed as far as how this would structure into a new cabinet structure and make sure that the Executive Branch is aware of it and where you want it, and that way you'll find it easier to move through the system as a change of that size takes place.

There were a couple of points that were made that I wanted to speak specifically to that I think should be looked at quite seriously. Number one, the lady -- I think she was from Fairfax -- that spoke

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

on the "may" and the "shall," the utilization of the word "may" instead of the utilization of the word "shall."

This is a state mandate, and you should keep it in mind. I think that the authors of this model Act probably had that in mind at the time. A lot of ! legislators in the Commonwealth of Virginia feel very strongly about not adopting mandates unless you fund them, and I would say that this would be a typical example of that, and you wouldn't want the legislation killed for that purpose only, but you always have the possibility of it.

I would say the best approach is "may" as far as the local human rights commissions would be established.

Secondly, under the employment, specifically speaking to the private sector, as I am an employer under the private sector, discrimination, the burden of proof, I feel, is a shared burden of proof by both parties involved in any discrimination suits or any discrimination clarification, and I think it would be improper to shift or to try to shift the burden of proof of discrimination from one party to another or entirely lay it at the foot of one party.

So I felt that in the definition that was

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

1 12021 234.4433

. **1** 

- 7

used under the employment, that there could probably be some-problems that would come out of that.

and you'll have to excuse the fact that these are randomly taken, but I just had to take notes as we went along and as I listened to the presentation just prior — the cost analysis, and I think Mr. Ring attempted to approach the cost analysis as far as a new agency is concerned.

I think that you must be aware of the fact that budgetary restraints or budgetary constraints at this time are immense, and I think that you should probably have a very clear and concise idea of exactly what this is going to cost the general fund, how much, and I'm talking in dollars and cents, not in percentages, and how much is going to be reimbursed from the federal government.

It is something of extreme importance, and it will have to be done in terms of the legislation as far as appropriations are concerned. Now, you may get a model Act passed in the 1983 or the 1984 session, but if no funds are appropriated to it, I would say to you that you have something that is not viable.

So it is a two-stage proposition in that you must approach it both from a financial standpoint as

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

well as a legislative standpoint. So that is yet another
hurdle to overcome, and it will take a considerable
amount of research, and I dare say as much as has been
taken in drafting the model legislation.

I again say I do appreciate the opportunity
to be with you and comment on the model Act. I think

I again say I do appreciate the opportunity

to be with you and comment on the model Act. I think

that it embodies much of the needs as far as civil rights

and human rights in Virginia, and I commend you for

your work. If I can be of any assistance, I will try

to do so.

Thank you.

MR. CALLAHAN: We'll have questions now from the audience to either the two of us or the three previous.

Do you want to make some comments?

MR. LEVINSON: One comment, and really just a question to either of you. I'm not that familiar with the legislation process in Richmond between the long session now and the short session in '85.

Clearly, as a human rights director and as someone who has participated in this process, I'm one who agrees with the comments about getting a foot in the door and about, you know, I don't see any point in delay.

But I have a question. If the bill is either

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

introduced now and held over or studied, the point being not passed in the '84 session, the long session, and it comes up in the '85 session and suppose that we are successful, it's my understanding that the bi-annual budget is going to be determined now.

All right. How do I get it funded if I get it passed?

MR. CALLAHAN: We have a two-year budget, but we also have a short session in between the two years. In fact our 1983 session is a short session, and the main purpose of the short session is to update the budget, and we have before us what is called the mini-budget that takes a second look at the appropriations and the revenues coming in.

MR. LEVINSON: Okay. That will be done.

MR. CALLAHAN: That's a problem that can be worked with, and I don't think you're talking about a whole lot of money.

MR. LEVINSON: No, and that was my comment if I could, to the Representative. We do have at least as accurate as we can project cost figures for the Governor both in terms of state costs to the taxpayers and in terms of reimbursement from the federal government.

MR. ALLEN: I'd like to make one comment.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

6 ½

I think just for the record, I am opposed to delaying the submission of this particular bill.

I feel that the issue stands as it is and to play games with it, I just can't go along with.

I understand politics. I have no problem with that. However, I feel that the issue needs to be brought forth; that those opposed need to let themselves be known, and let the population, let the people who are affected by this deal with it.

I mean we all have a vote, and for those who are politicians, those folks in Richmond who are not advocates of those issues that are very dear to those of us here in this room, let us go to the ballot and deal with it.

I don't like my daughter's future being played in the back room of some political caucus. I mean it just gripes the hell out of me. I think that we've been faced with segregation, racism, sexism, all of these various topics that we've discussed over the past two days, and this is nothing new.

I mean the State of Virginia has had laws on its books to prohibit blacks from being educated. We've had all these other laws. There didn't seem to be any big problem in getting those passed, and now we have a situation where we're trying to say, "Okay,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AYENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

State of Virginia, it's against the law to do these things. It's against the law to treat blacks and Hispanics and women and handicapped people in a differential manner."

And now we want to put it off for another year or another two years or three years, or as the gentleman indicated earlier, in the next ten, 12, 15 years.

I can remember as a child, as I'm sure many of you, being denied access to public facilities, and I can also think of last year in a test situation. I went to a store in Fairfax County, and I was also denied admission. I mean, you know, we've got 30-some years of difference here, and I'm really tired of prolonging it to appease the political factions.

I think we need to move on it. I think we need to go forward, and if in fact those people who are making the decisions in Richmond are not consistent with the things that pertain to us, then we need to deal with it.

That's all I have to say on that.

(Applause.)

MR. SMITH: I just have two points. Point number one is a clarification. I just wish that Fred and Steve would stop referring to the state legislature

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW

6 /

.

1 | as being Richmond.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Laughter.)

MR. SMITH: Point number two, I think it's a matter of prioritizing and a matter of cost. I think the thing that most Americans, including Virginians, are aware of is the cost. I, would like to have a comparison not of just the cost of this commission or this body being enacted, but a cost that government, citizens and business lose when we enter into frivolous things in the '80s regarding the rights of human beings to have access to public locations, stores, et cetera. I would say to each of you that to continue this exorbitant cost is not only draining the state budget, but is costing many Americans, including Virginians, an inordinant amount of distress, distrust, distress in state and local governments.

It is time that we put priorities where they belong and realize that the beginning of all success in a state or county is the rights of human beings to enjoy those facilities that exist.

(Applause.)

MR. CALLAHAN: We had somebody who wanted to make a response. Go ahead.

MS. BARLOW: My name is Alma Barlow. I am on the National Tenant Organization, a member at large.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

I am also the President of the Virginia Tenants Association. I am the Executive President of the Richmond Tenant Organization, and I'm also an appointee of Governor Charles Robb on the Board of Commissioners, block grant Commissioners.

So I, too, know what it is to sit down and compile a document, and so I want to commend those who put this proposed human rights Act together, but I have great problems with it.

You talk about race. You talk about free.

You talk about color. You talk about sex. You talk

about marital status, and national origin. But I see

no mention of the word "economically and socially dis
advantages people" in here, and I think that there needs

to be a human rights ordinance for us:

So often we are classified as not being a part of the system, and so to the lawmakers, we appeal to you today to please incorporate us in this proposed document.

And as far as waiting for it to be introduced,

I think the present administration that we have in the

Commonwealth of Virginia would be our beginning to start

now in trying to get this human rights Act passed. I

would hate to see us wait until 1984, when everybody

is in the bathroom, and we all know what I'm talking

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

6 ½

1 about, making deals. Let's make a deal with human beings and human dignity of people in the Commonwealth of Virginia. And I, for one, am a registered voter. do voter registration every year with my organization 5 6 of 31 people, 17,000 membership. I also do voter education, and I know many of you have seen me at the General Assembly fighting 8 for our life, and I can remember when a House Bill No. 9 12 came up in General Assembly this year to eliminate 10 the authorities in the Commonwealth of Virginia, and 11 we had to fight just for a place to stay, and in the 12 entire time the people who make the policy remember that one vote is one vote, be it the people with the monley or be it the people who are in a low income 15 bracket. 16

2

3

7

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we go into the polls and vote and pull the lever, we can only pull it one time, and as you compile the document and edit it, I would ask that we be remembered in this document.

And I thank you.

MR. CALLAHAN: Thank you very much.

We'll get to you next.

MS. BENDRICH: My name is Peggy Bendrich, and I don't wear any special hat. To some degree I'm

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

199 going to be the devil's advocate. I don't have all 1 2 the knowledge that you people do about politics, but I have the knowledge of the Assembly long enough to 4 know that you don't want to just throw this bill in, advocate it, without really doing your homework. 5 We admitted in the previous time that we do 6 4 7 need some corrections. Let's make sure that what we 8 submit will cover the most that it can and make sure 9 that it is in a position where it can't be killed as our two delegates referred to it; if we can't get it 10 11 through, that it can be carried over because there's 12 a lot of work, and I sure as all the dickens do support it, but I would hate to see it chopped down on the first 13 14 try. So let's keep that in mind as we go forward 15 16

with this. Let's do it right.

Thank you.

Thank you. MR. CALLAHAN:

Do you want to go ahead.

I am Bruce Miller, a Commissioner MR. MILLER: on the Alexandria Human Rights Commission, and I am pleased to testify at this statewide conference on civil rights complaints and compliances.

The Alexandria Human Rights Commission has reviewed and unanimously endorses the proposed

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON R.C. SOORS

12021 224-4423

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

legislation establishing the Virginia Human Rights

Commission and wishes to commend the Virginia Advisory

Committee for following up on their 1979 recommendation

for creating of a state humans right act.

The Commission also wishes to commend the grassroots in their effort to create a model Act.

The Commission strongly endorses early passage in the Virginia legislature. However, the Commission does have some concerns relative to the proposed legislation, and I am pleased to make the following recommendations of the Alexandria Human Rights Commission, which we feel are absolutely essential to a stronger Act.

As I discuss our Commission's recommendations,

I will refer to each section by number and then by sub
paragraph, if needed. Copies of these recommendations

to include recommended language changes will be supplied

to the Virginia Advisory Committee.

In the model Act, Sections 1-305, 1-306, Subparagraph 2(a), and 1-307(1), all do not protect the handicapped. 305 addresses training. 306(2)(a) addresses the employment process and applications, and 307(1) addresses employment advertising.

The Commission strongly feels that the handicapped must have due protection in all three of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AYENUE, NW

these areas.

Section 1-308(1)(c) addresses bona fide occupational qualifications. This section, the Human Rights Commission of Alexandria feels, is totally unnecessary. It is doubtful that any such position with bona fide occupational qualifications based on sex actually exists.

inate against women. In addition, federal law on the issue of the handicapped requires an employer's reasonable accommodation for an otherwise qualified employee. It is reasonable to place a similar requirement on all employers covered by the Virginia Act, and reasonable accommodation language should be included in the employment section regarding the handicapped.

In Section 1-309, entitled "Preferences to Correct Imbalances in Employment not Required," the Alexandria Human Rights Commission feels that this section will be construed to prohibit affirmative action plans. It is explained in the comment that this refers basically to making quotas unlawful.

But the statutory language itself could be argued to prohibit the development of goal and affirmative action plans.

In addition, the Alexandria Human Rights

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

6 ¦

6 4

Commission requires affirmative action plans as part of consent decrees or medication, conciliation efforts when an employer has been found to violate the Act or as part of a predetermination settlement.

To rectify, we recommend that line 2 have
the additional language to require through the utilization of quotas any employer, employment agency, et cetera,
and in the last line, we recommend the following language:
"affirmative action plans utilizing goals and time tables
that are developed voluntarily by employers or that
are required by the Commission as part of its duties
and functions shall not be construed discriminatory
practices."

In Section 1-503(1)(d), we are recommending the addition of two other items. Number 15, to encourage monitor and evaluate affirmative action efforts in State government employment.

We have recommended this because this would create a requirement similar to the Alexandria Human Rights Commission's function to evaluate city employment. The state's Equal Employment Opportunity Report should be submitted annually to the Commission. The Commission should evaluate this report and submit a report to the Governor. The theory behind this is that state government should be a model employer in terms

6 ; 7'

of affirmative action efforts.

And we recommend Item 16 to convene information and investigative public hearing.

In 1-603(5)(a), we are recommending the following addition. This has to do with power and duties of the Commission. Add, to include the deferral of complaints of discrimination to the local commission presiding in the jurisdiction in which the complaint arose:

In 1-604(4), line 4 should be "locality or city or county" instead of just the word "county."

In 1-609(2)(b), we request the addition of

Item 12, to require development of affirmative action

plans and revision of personnel policies and procedures,

and the addition of Item 13, any other relief that the

Commission deems appropriate.

In 1-704, federal law requires a complaint to exhaust remedies through the EEOC prior to a private right to sue. A similar provision should be included in a state act.

In 1-800(2), we request the following addition: (a) where local commissions are established that have been previously or are subsequent to passage of this Act, found to be substantially equivalent to federal law as determined by the appropriate federal agency,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

10001 004 4400

the local commission may qualify or continue to qualify
as a deferral agency for purposes of federal grants,
and the Commission shall defer any and all such cases
arising in the local jurisdiction to the local commission for processing, unless the complainant specifically
requests waiver.

And (b), the Commission shall develop policies and procedures for a determination of whether local commissions are substantially equivalent to the state commission, and that where local commissions are so found, the Commission shall defer cases to the local commission which arose in that locality under provisions of this Act.

Finally, in Section 201(9), we raise a concern about including parenthood in the definition of marital status, that is, whether this will adequately protect the right of all concerned. Those who are childless and choose not to live in close proximity with children are particularly persons who are elderly living in rental complexes. The Act exempts housing specifically designated as housing for the elderly, but there are many rental complexes in Alexandria where a substantial elderly population exists.

The potential impact of the Act on these segments needs to be examined.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

On behalf of the Alexandria Human Rights

Commission, I wish to thank the Virginia Advisory

Committee for listening to our recommendations, and

we trust that they will be given due consideration.

MR. CALLAHAN: Thank you very much. If you could just turn those over to a member of the staff -- I guess you've already done that -- it will be put in its proper order.

Go ahead.

Thank you.

MR. CLAIBORNE: First of all, my name is Ron Claiborne. I'm the Commonwealth Fair Housing Administrator. However, the comments that I'm about to make are those of mine as a public citizen as opposed to my official capacity.

One thing that concerns me about the model

Act is the language in terms of enforcement of the Fair

Housing Act. I don't know how many of you here are

very much aware that the Attorney General of the United

States or the Attorney General of Virginia is not

expressly authorized by statute to proceed on behalf

of aggrieved parties should a conciliation process fail.

If we're going to make the legal right of equal housing opportunity a reality in this country, then we have to expressly authorize the Attorney

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AYENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

General of the United States, as well as the Common-1 25 wealth of Virginia, to proceed to court to secure injunctive relief, and to award punitive and compensatory damages on behalf of those parties.

Otherwise, you're creating profound anxieties. You're not fulfilling the dream as the statute envisioned.

Unfortunately, the private Bar is not filling the need in terms of fair housing law. Fair housing and civil rights law is a specialty area that not all attorneys understand, that not all attorneys are interested in. If it was not for the National Committee Against Discrimination in Housing, as well as the Lawyer's Committee for Civil Rights in the Law in Washington, D.C., many of the complainant parties that go before the federal and state agencies in this country would not be provided that legal promise of equal housing opportunity.

So due consideration should be given to drafting language to include the expressed authorization for matters to be received judicially by the Attorney General should attempts at conciliation conference or your fact-finding hearings fail.

Thank you.

MR. CALLAHAN: Anybody else? Go ahead. We

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

2

3

5

6 5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have another panel scheduled at four. So we'll take a few more people, and you can maybe ask your questions in the next panel, but go ahead. We'll wrap this one up on this particular question.

MR. FARRAR: I'm Ted Farrar, Director of

Community Services, an independent serving Tidewater.

!
I am speaking as a private citizen right now.

I want to commend the Committee for coming forth with the proposed Act. However, in talking with staff persons of the Committee, I have had indications that the recording of these proceedings will not be available until March of 1984. I think that this will be a great detriment in us attempting to try to get some legislative response in the upcoming General Assembly.

I think that it would be very helpful if the Advisory Committee could impact the staff of the Commission in getting a recording of these proceedings with great haste because we could use this, I think, in our advocacy efforts with members of the General Assembly.

My second area of concern is that there is a class of persons that has not been spoken to for two days, and I can't leave here today without seeing it brought forth, and that is the sexual orientation.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON DEC. 20005

6 4

208 I think that the State of Virginia has waited, you know, 1 overlong to even do anything about protecting minorities 2 in th commonwealth, and I don't think at this time we 3 can wait any longer to protect this class at will. Thank you. 5 MR. CALLAHAN: Thank you very much. 6 4 With regard to the printing and promulgation 7 of the proceedings, perhaps you can enlighten us on 8 that. ' 9 MS. HOFFMAN: We normally take about two weeks 10

MS. HOFFMAN: We normally take about two weeks to get the transcript back from the court reporter.

Since the court reporter is here, is that about right, about two weeks?

THE REPORTER: Yes.

transcript, it will be open to the public.

Of course, you can imagine how many pages that's going to be, but we will have it available in our office, and if we possibly can, we can make it available to a group or an organization, perhaps not to every individual who would like to have one since that would be quite a cost.

In terms of the printed report from this conference, we expect that by the middle of March.

MR. CALLAHAN: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON D.C. 20005

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1303/ 334-4453

MS. HOFFMAN: One more comment I'd like to 1 2 make. MR. CALLAHAN: All right. 3 4 MS. HOFFMAN: Rather than go into the next panel, actually the next partiel was to get responses 5 6 from the private sector. Perhaps we could just continue with the questioning and  $w_{\tau, \dot{q}p}$  it up rather than going 7 8 into another panel. 9 MR. CALLAHAN: Let's get Ms. Burkhardt up here because she was supposed to be the moderator. 10 can sit up here and answer some questions or ask some 11 12 if you want to. So we'll continue with the questions. 13 Are there any  $more_{\theta}$  questions? Yes, sir. 14  $I^{\,\prime}m$ , going to give it one final 15 MR. KREIGER: My name is Arthur Kregiber. I'm speaking at this 16 time, I hope, on behalf of  $t_{\mbox{\scriptsize the}}$  Petersburg Commission 17 on Community Relations Affairs. 18 As you heard our sstaff personal already say, 19 and we would acknowledge Pettersburg's well practiced 20 accomplishments, I think the are two things I want 21 to express now on behalf of the Commission: that we've 22 been in existence since '74. We still are not legally 23 supposed to hold a hearing. We are still not supposed 24 to hear housing complaints,

25

NEAL R. GGROSS COURT REPORTERS ANY D TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT A LYENUE, NW

to the best of my

information.

Two years ago we had a draft for a fair housing ordinance. As per the Commission, we worked on it for months. We talked many hours on language, words, concepts, expanded protected classes to the point where if you turned around the wrong way you were protected.

I'm saying that somewhat sarcastically, but also a deep feeling that two years later we came out with another one. This time we cut out a lot of the protected things because we figured it might get through easier.

It hasn't.

Now, speaking on behalf of me as an individual, I would like to stress that I'm very much still in favor of having a protected class to be as wide and as many as possible. If there's any way that any one of you needs being protected, you might want that one day.

It may not be because of your skin color. It may not be because you're male. It may not be because you're five foot, two. But what it may be the color of your eyes. You just struck the person the wrong way or you scared them because you told them something they were afraid to hear.

The things that you need to watch out for are to make sure that you don't ignore the pople that Alan was talking about; that you keep open as many

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

7 '

(202) 234-4433

doors as possible; and also make the law do something on the other end so that you don't just have a piece of paper lying there.

Now, Petersburg has got ordinances. They
don't mean anything yet, and it's not because they
haven't been passed, but because they have no teeth.
We can't do a thing, and part of that is because we
haven't, until very recently, taken the courage to do
something and say we're going to try. If we fall flat
on our faces, we'll fall flat. That doesn't mean we
can't get up and try again.

I would strongly urge everyone here to think very seriously about taking what we've got and running very hard with it, very aggressively with it because I think it's a very good document, although there were a lot of things I wanted to punch out. I think it's a very good document, and maybe to start off now to push with this and then as it goes through the General Assembly, get them to add the things that we need because they're going to tear it apart anyway. They're not going to accept it at face value.

So, again, I'm stressing let's do it now instead of waiting.

Thank you.

MS. BURKHARDT: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASSINGTON DE 20005

12021 234-4435

6 /

I'm Dorothy Burkhardt. I'm a member of the Virginia Advisory Committee. I spent some time as a member of the Governor's Commission on the Status of Women, the Governor's Committee on Consumer's Education, and some nine years as Chief of Consumer Complaints 5 in the White House Office of Consumer Affairs. There it was nothing but trouble all day long. 7 We've had the provisions of the proposed Act 8 explained to us by those who worked on it. We've heard responses from state and local officials, and many mem-10 11 bers of the private sector who are in the audience today. We want to be sure that everyone who wishes to has an opportunity to comment or ask a question. If there are any remaining members of the audience, especially from the private sector, who wish to be heard 15 now is your chance, your last chance, to make a comment 16 or ask a question. 17

If you have something to say, go to the microphones, and you'll be acknowledged.

Yes, Ms. Connell.

MS. CONNELL: If I may, I'd like to speak from two different hats. First I'd like to speak for the State League of Women Voters.

The League of Women Voters continues to support protection of individual rights and liberties, and for

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVEŅUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1

2

3

4

6

9

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

most of the process of finding the appropriate mechanism to promote social justice by securing equal rights for all and prevent discrimination and poverty.

I'd now like to turn to my hat as Vice Chair of the Alexandria Human Rights Commission.

You have heard from Commissioner Miller in support that the Alexandria Human Rights Commission is in full support of forging ahead with this legislation.

I was at a conference which some of you were at three or four years ago that this Commission had, and we walked out of here with all kinds of grand plans, and we were going to meet in Northern Virginia and Southwest, and oh, we were really going to get it all together.

I would like to say right now to everybody in this room: what about tomorrow? What are we going to do tomorrow?

I talked to two of our Executive Directors,

Steve Rutherson (phonetic) and to Bruce Miller, and

since the chair is not here, I'm the prerogative of

saying that the Alexandria Human Rights Commission would

be willing to take the names and addresses of anybody

who is willing to work at the grassroots level now and

maybe we can work on some legislative strategy and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1 12021 224-4423

1 | the right plans.

6 %

I would urge everybody to please not walk out of this room again as we did three or four years ago and end up doing nothing. Let's do something now.

Thank you.

MS. BURKHARDT: Thank you.

I'm sure it's the intent of all members of the Virginia Advisory Committee to do something now and to continue with this. We thank you for your comment and for your offer of assistance. It's a matter of record.

Is there anyone else?

Your last chance. Going, going --

MR. LEVINSON: Let me, if I can, make a comment.

MS. BURKHARDT: Okay.

MR. LEVINSON: Two comments for the record.

I was fortunate enough -- I'm not a member of the Virginia Advisory Committee, but I have participated from the beginning in some of the discussions and certainly in some of the review, and I think Professor Hobbs related to you some of the background for the development of this bill.

I want it to be very clear on the record that there was no intent, and there was plenty of discussion, about the type of bill and what would pass and what

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON B.C. 20005

12021 234-4423

wouldn't pass and what was realistic and what wasn't realistic in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

I personally, and the members of the Virginia

Advisory Committee, were unanimous in the feeling that

we were not going to put to the public, and we were

certainly not going to put to the members of the General

Assembly, a bill that simply was a bill that we thought

we could get through, to pass.

Some of us believed that we'd rather not have a bill if that's the kind of bill we end up with.

Our intent, with the rough edges, with some mistakes, with some omissions, which I think basically means we're human and we make some mistakes and maybe we overlook somethings, but our intent from the beginning was to create, quote, a model Act, and a bill that we could all be proud of, and a bill that would be effective in enforcing and protecting and insuring the civil rights of all of the citizens.

This kind of meeting, to provide the criticism, the constructive ideas, is part of that process, but I don't want the record to reflect that this-is -- certainly politics and we're political animals and we have to face the realities -- but I want the record to be clear that it was the intent of everyone involved to create and introduce and promote a civil

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005

(202) 234-4423

7'

rights bill for the Commonwealth of Virginia that had
some substance, that had some teeth, and that was a
model for everyone.

Secondly, Fred and I both, in our staff
capacities and, I guess my Commission is here, and Fred

8 like to help coordinate whatever kind of activity is

on behalf of his Commission would like to reiterate

for the record the comments of Jeanne Connell. We would

9 necessary.

6 /

7:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Virginia Advisory Committee is bound by certain federal laws and can only take certain actions, but we at a local level can continue to pursue this bill and can continue the process so clearly outlined by Representative Callahan and others in terms of the legislative process.

We'd like to help you coordinate that. We have some staff ability. We have our Commissions, and if we can help coordinate that in the next 30 to 60 days, please, our names and addresses are listed. You can contact us, and we're here.

MS. BURKHARDT: Is there anyone else who cares to comment?

(No response.)

MS. BURKHARDT: If not, on behalf of the Virginia Advisory Commission, I wish to thank all of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

12021 234.4433

.

you for your interest, for your attendance, for your comments. We are pleased that you came. We hope to work with you further. We hope we'll all be successful together in getting this legislation passed. It is now my honor and my duty to declare this meeting adjourned. 6 ! Thank you. (Whereupon, at 4:13 p.m., the proceedings in the above-entitled matter were concluded.) 

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20005

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcipt

In the matter of: Statewide Conference on

- Civil Rights Complaints and Compliance

Before:

U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

Virginia Advisory Committee

Date:

November 14, 1983

Place:

Richmond Room

Holiday Inn-Midtown. 3200 West Broad Street Richmond, Virginia

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to type-

NEAL R. GROSS

Neal R Oxoss

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW