irt

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

U. S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

VIRGINIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

STATEWIDE CONFERENCE ON CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINTS AND COMPLIANCE

November 13th, 1983

The conference was held in the Richmond Room, Holiday Inn-Midtown, 3200 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia, at 6:00 p.m., Curtis W. Harris, Chairperson, presiding.

## PRESENT:

CURTIS W. HARRIS, Chairperson, V.A.C.

ANTHONY L. AZORES, Member, V.A.C.

DOROTHY J. BURKHARDT, Member, V.A.C.

VINCENT F. CALLAHAN, JR., Member, V.A.C.

BERTHA (KIKA) SILVA PLA, Member, V.A.C.

MAYA HASEGAWA, Member, V.A.C.

JESSIE M. RATTLEY, Member, V.A.C.

CARLYLE C. RING, JR., Member, V.A.C.

REV. JAMES E. VAUGHAN, Member, V.A.C.

DOUGLAS J. WILCOX, Member, V.A.C.

BARBARA WURTZEL, Member, V.A.C.

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

CCR

Meet.

## <u>I</u>NDEX

1	<b>5</b>		
2	SPEAKERS	ORGANIZATION	PAGE
3	Carlyle Ring	Advisory Comm. Member	5
4	Rev. F.S. Lowry	Community Miristry of Fairfax	9/79
5		County, Virginia	
6	Earl Chandler	Richmond Human Rights Coalition	27
<sub>-</sub> 7	John Chappell, J	c. Endependence Center, Inc.	28
8	W.B. Scott	Paralyzed Vets of America	31
9	Greg Lucyk	Fan District Tenants Assoc.	32
10	Jeanne Connell	League of Women Voters of Va.	36
11	Alma Barlow	Richmond Tenants Organization	41
12	Hodges Mann	Rappahannock Chapter, Vietnam Veterans of America	42
13 14	Ed Peeples	Richmond City Comm. on Human Relations	43
15	Dan LeBlanc	Virginia State AFL-CIO/Virginia Machinists	45
16	Stephen Levinson	Alexandria Human Rights Comm.	47
17	Flora Crater	VA. ERA Ratification Council	<b>_52</b>
18	Peggy Bendrick	Non-affiliated	66
19	Arthur Kreiger	Non-affiliated	69
20	Kent Willis	Non-affiliated	74
21	Pat Blackwell	Fairfax County NAACP	82
22		Ed Rutledge; Robert Owens; Wanda Ho	
23	LYTOC LICECTIC.	and Barbara Stafford	or rman,
		•	•

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

. 7

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN HARRIS: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. We welcome you to the State Conference On Civil Rights Complaints and Compliance. I'm Curtis Harris, Chairperson of the Virginia Advisory Committee of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

This committee, the Advisory Committee, is the sponsor of this conference.

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights still is an independent bipartisan factfinding agency established by Congress in the Civil Rights Act of 1957.

As some of you know, there's been some discussion as to whether or not the Commission is going to function past the 29th of this month. It has been reported that a compromise has been reached, and that the Commission will be in business past the 29th. That a part of the compromise is that it will be established, or reestablished for six years.

The Commission has established Advisory Committees in each state and the District of Columbia to assist in factfinding, investigatives, and clearinghouse work.

The Virginia Advisory Committee is composed

of citizens who are familiar with local and state civil

rights problems. They serve without compensation. Among

its mandates, the Virginia Advisory Committee is authorized

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

to study legal developments constituting a denial of , equal protection of the laws, and the Constitution, because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or handicap, or in the administration of justice.

Other members of the committee present--and some are absent this evening--but as I call the names, will you please stand and remain standing until I will have called the entire Advisory Committee.

Anthony Azores of Vienna. Dorothy Burkhardt of Hurt. Vincent Callahan, Jr. of McLean. Bertha (Kika) Silva Pla of Richmond. Maya Hasegawa of Richmond.

Jessie M. Rattley of Newport News. Carlyle C. Ring, Jr. of Alexandria. Rev. James E. Vaughan of Norfolk.

Douglas J. Wilcox of Fredericksburg. Barbara Wurtzel of Mannican.

Thank you very much.

The staff of the Midatlantic Region Office of
the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, which region we
are in, are here to assist the Virginia Advisory Committee,
and they are as follows. I think they're all--I've seen
them all. I hope they're all in the room. Ed Rutledge,
Regional Director. Is Ed present? He's around here some
place. Ed Rutledge, at the door, just coming in, is
our Regional Director.

Robert Owens, Regional Attorney. I think Robert

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

is down helping in the registration. Wanda Hoffman,

Field Representative. Wanda is not in the room. Most

of these staff people are doing what they're supposed

to do: help to keep the conference going.

Barbara Stafford, Executive Secretary. I know she's down in the other room. That's Wanda coming in the door. Barbara is helping to register the people.

The proceedings of this conference are being recorded by a court reporter. All of the conference. He has two tape recorders, and will not miss sixteen, eighteen minutes, or twenty minutes, or whatever is going on. Everything will be recorded.

The reporter is Mr. Miles Anderson.

For persons who may be hard of hearing, the proceedings will also be interpreted by two persons, Mrs. Harriet Ropelewski, who is now at the table, and Miss Pat Isaacs, who sits over here, to assist her.

These persons are supplied to us by the Virginia Council for the Deaf, in Richmond. We thought, and we may have, before we're finished, Rev. James E. Vaughan, who's a member of the Advisory Committee, and also a member of the Virginia Advisory Committee for Norfolk's T-TAC Television, Incorporated. He will be here, hopefully, before we're finished, to do a videotaping of the conference. At this time, Carlyle C. Ring, Jr.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

will explain the purposes of our conference.

MR. RING: Thank you, Rev. Harris, and welcome to all of you. Our country is a nation that has ideals. We have a commitment to embracing all within our land with love, and affection, and caring, and to have equality and justice for all. But like many ideals, one must constantly work in order to achieve them.

And the purpose of this gathering is to work towards the ideal, to do a better job here in Virginia, in identifying where we're falling short of the mark, and where we need to do more, and to try to identify where we can do more, and how we can do it better.

Virginia currently is one of six, only six states in the United States, that does not have a comprehensive civil rights, human rights law, prohibiting discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, and handicap.

In the light of the fact that we are in that very small minority, the Virginia Advisory Commission has previously recommended, after a study, that Virginia should seriously consider the adoption of such an act, and should establish a statewide human rights commission.

The purpose of our gathering here at this time is threefold. First, to identify, through you, and your participation, the types of civil rights problems that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

3

exist in Virginia, and that need attention. Secondly,
to look at how well the existing state and local laws
are addressing those needs, and those problems. And thirdly,
to examine the provisions of a model act, which you have
in your folders when you registered, to see to what degree
that might be a partial solution to the problems that
have been identified during the deliberations of this
conference.

I serve in sort of a dual capacity, in that

I have been appointed for a number of years as one of
three Virginia commissioners to the National Conference
of commissioners on uniform state laws.

Shortly after the 1964 civil rights act was passed, that national group undertook to draft a model act, that implemented, at a state and local level, the provisions of the 1964 civil rights act.

That has served as a model for the other fortyfive states that have adopted a human rights act.

However, that act has gotten somewhat out of date, and through the good offices, at our request, of the University of Washington and Lee, and the University of Virginia law schools, they have examined the various acts that have been adopted in other states, and have attempted to pull out the best provisions that existed in those other states, as a possible model for a Virginia

human rights act. And as you review those provisions, we would like to have your comments. And it is in that capacity, as now the first Virginian ever to serve as president of that national conference, that I present to you this model act for your comments, and for your reactions.

And based upon those reactions, and those comments, and the identification of needs, the Virginia Advisory

Committee will review the information that has been obtained, and will prepare a report to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights early next year.

Individuals and groups that wish to submit written materials to the Virginia Advisory Committee, on civil rights complaints, and compliance problems in Virginia, are invited to do so.

And, of course, throughout this conference, we hope that you will feel free to participate in the discussions of each of the topics, and share with us your experiences, and your viewpoints.

I want at this time to introduce to you Anthony Azores who will now introduce the keynote speaker.

MR. AZORES: Thank you, Carlyle. Mr. Chairman, distinguished guests, ladies and gentlemen, good evening.

Allow me at the outset to express my grateful appreciation for having the honor of introducing our keynote

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

speaker for this evening, to our charming and dynamic ; field representative, Wanda Hoffman.

We are indeed quite fortunate to have with us this evening, one who is eminently qualified to speak on the subject, "Building the Inclusive Community." Presently, Rev. Frederick S. Lowry is the Director, Community Ministry of Fairfax County.

The Community Ministry is composed of representatives of thirty-four churches, and twelve denominations across the country.

It is a public ministry which seeks to bring the human and spiritual resources of the congregations to bear on the decisionmaking processes which affect life in Fairfax County.

As a social policy research and action group, the Community Ministry has most recently been working with youth, aging, housing, and criminal justice.

I have no doubt in my mind, at all, that our next few minutes, listening to our keynote speaker, will prove to be a beneficial and rewarding experience to all of us, and will enable us to be more knowledgeable and well informed of what inclusive community really means, and the modus operandi in building the same.

In the next months to come, as is usual in any election year, we can expect to hear more and more speeches

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

from politicians, Democrats and Republicans alike. Tonight, ladies and gentlemen, it is my pleasure, and my privilege, to be able to present to you a speaker, not from the Senate, not from the House of Representatives, but from the House of God. The Rev. Frederick S. Lowry.

MR. LOWRY: Thank you, Mr. Azores for your very kind and generous words.

Rev. Harris, and members of the Virginia Advisory

Committee, and all of those who are gathered here this

evening, I appreciate the opportunity to be with you.

I look forward to becoming much better informed about

the situation as it exists, and also, about some of the

things that we can do about it.

So it is a pleasure for me to be with you. I once had, when I was in grade school, several decades at least ago, a book called <a href="Experiment In Democracy">Experiment In Democracy</a>, and it seems to me, that that's one of the most helpful ways of considering what indeed our country is all about.

We haven't made it yet. We've made a beginning.

But whatever else can be said about it, we are in process.

It is indeed an experiment in democracy, and any kind

of experiment raises the possibility that there may be

a failure as well as a success.

So today, with that acknowledgement, perhaps it's doubly appropriate for us to celebrate some kind NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

of success. First of all, we're all here, and that's a good thing. Second of all, or maybe even first of all, the Commission is still around, and that's a good thing.

And there are a number of forces at work toward the directions in which we are interested in going, are moving us that way, and that's a good thing.

Some years ago, at the time of the Pilgrims, there was as you know the Mayflower Compact, which was touted, and is today, held up as an extraordinary document because a group of people banded together for the common good to institute self government.

But there are things that have gone wrong with that, and have not always been appropriate. And it's somewhat interesting to briefly trace some of those things that happened, because you know of what happened with the Massachusetts experience.

Some of that was a good thing, and some of it, from our perspective today, we might say was rather restrictive, if not even lethal.

Nevertheless, things began to develop from that...

I was originally from Rhode Island, and well aware that

Roger Williams, who had been banished from Salem, and

Plymouth before that, went to found a colony, because

he felt that there should be a shelter for persons

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

distressed in conscience, who didn't fit in, and so he founded what came to be called the State of Rhode Island, and Providence Plantations.

Ann Hutchison, who had the habit of holding discussions in her parlor after church, and criticizing ministers, found herself banished from the Bay Colony, and she too fled to Rhode Island.

Roger Williams, who had founded the colony because of religious persecution, was somewhat apprehensive about being liberal enough to allow even Quakers in his midst, and although he did allow it, an uneasy period existed for a long time.

On and on the story goes. Those folk who believe they have made it in to the perfect group, and the perfect colony, find out, in retrospect, that they really have been somewhat exclusive, and wherever society deliberately, or unwittingly conspires to exclude people, that's where democracy is breaking down, and we have an obligation to repair, and rebuild, in a more adequate way, in the midst of our experience.

A Chicano farm worker friend with whom I was working on the West Coast, once observed, and pointed out very clearly to me, that majority rule, that great principle of democracy, can easily become the tyrrany of the majority if one is in the minority. And when

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

majority rule, and majority indifference is used as a smokescreen to cover up oppression, then it's time to assert the principle of the Bill of Rights, and the inclusiveness of society.

There is along with this, of course, the understanding, that as we develop what we should be as an inclusive society, there is a greater opportunity for an intercultural appreciation, which is apparently feared by so many folk, is precisely the kind of thing that can open us up to appreciate each other, and to understand ourselves betteer.

My wife happens to be an ESL, English as a second language teacher, in a public school, and around the time of the Chinese New Year, they got themselves a dragor. And these kids, elementary school kids, who, many times, because of their language primarily, but also, often because of their race, and the mixture of kids they are, who find themselves, if not persecuted, at least the object of some kind of ridicule from their fellow students, put on their dragon. And someone was the head, and all the rest of them were the tail.

And they walked around the school, and they
made a visit to all the classrooms, and walked around
all the classes. And there was an extraordinary difference
as these kids, Vietnamese, Chinese, South American,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

. 7

European, African, all of them part of the dragon, celebrating that day. And they became, for that moment at least, the object, or, the agency for people looking to see something exciting going on, of admiration, of hope, and of possibility.

There is more and more opportunity for us to participate in cross-cultural understanding, and unless we do, we will find ourselves participating in a kind of cultural ingrowness, which is certainly harmful to what democracy could be about.

Many of you I'm sure have worked for years with voter registration. I know I've spent long times with the thirty-one articles of the Mississippi constitution, trying to help people understand what it was, trying to understand it myself, and then trying to go down to the courthouse to see what would happen.

And often it didn't make much difference. But they didn't ask much about that to white folks. And there was a change brought about, and it didn't happen because of inaction; it happened essentially because, not only of public opinion, but it happened because of legislation.

When we worked with Chicano farmworkers, and came to find out, that the English language requirements in the State of Washington for voting, were there

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

principally to exclude immigrants, because they'd been initially put there to exclude Chinese, we thought at first, maybe there was something to this. Maybe they were telling us they needed an informed electorate.

And then you began to look at the map, and where were the English language requirements, and the English language tests. They were around on the border states. They were there specifically to exclude those people who were new citizens, and had newly come to the country.

Or in areas where Indians are not permitted to vote at all. And certainly within my lifetime, and within yours, many states have passed legislation allowing Indians to vote.

What a presumptuos thing. Or the JapaneseAmerican folks that we've worked with, and lived with,
especially in Wapeta, I recall, and in Yakima Valley.
These folks who, those who lived on one side of the
Columbia River had all been in detention camps, and
the others on the other side of the Columbia River hadn't.
What kind of a country is this, or what is the country
doing about it now?

In 1963, John Kennedy introduced sweeping legislation designed to deal with education, voting rights, employment discrimination, and above all, with exclusion from public accommodations.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

It was, of course, President Kennedy that introduced this, and later, when the composition of the Congress changed, it was President Johnson who was unable to get it through the Congress.

But he said, at that point, "I shall ask the Congress to make a commitment it has not fully made in this century, to the proposition that race has no place in American life or law."

And perhaps this is something we should say
here in our own state. We should ask the commitment
of the General Assembly, and of the people of this Commonwealth, to make a commitment that it has not fully made
in this century, to the proposition that race has no
place in American life or law.

And of course not only race, but all of the other persons that we are concerned with, who find themselves excluded or discriminated against.

The task of churches, it seems to me, if I may be permitted a religious parenthetical statement, and of other religious groups, and of other persons across the width of society, is to share a vision, to share a vision of what it would be like to live in an inclusive society.

How would it be if the dream that Martin Luther King described, so many years ago now, were actually

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

- 7 

to be fulfilled? Is it not what we are seeking to have happen? We can bring it about, and we can bring it about on several levels.

One of them of course must be the legal level.

So many times it was told us, "You can not legislate
morality." And true, one can not legislate morality.

On the other hand, changes do come about because of
legislation.

I hope we'll get it. But we need also a good effort on the part of all institutions and community. Schools need to be more interculturally aware. Every kind of organization has the opportunity to hold up to the world, indeed, it's understanding that what things are all about are inclusive rather than exclusive communities.

Dr. Martin Luther King in his letter from Birmingham jail was responding, in part, to some white ministers
in the city, who had deplored the demonstration there,
and had said that the police were doing their job to
keep order, and commended the police. And his reply
to them, which I have here, but I won't take the time
to read it all, is that "those folk who say that time
will just take care of everything are mistaken, in the
idea of what time is about. All Christians know, he
said, that people will receive equal rights eventually,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

but it's possible that you are in too great a religious 1 hurry." Dr. King did not say that; his correspondent 2 And his reply is: "Actually, time itself is neutral 3 It can be used either destructively, or constructively. 4 And more and more, I feel that people of ill will have 5 6 used time much more effectively than have the people . 7 of good will. We'll have to repent in this generation, 8 not merely for the hateful words, and actions of the 9 bad people, but for the appalling silence of the good 10 people. Human progress never rolls in on wheels of inevi-11 tability. It comes through the tireless efforts of those 12 working to be co-workers, and without this hard work, 13 time itself becomes an ally of the forces of social 14 stagnation. We must use time creatively in the knowledge 15 that the time is always ripe to do right. Now is the 16 time to make real the promise of democracy, and transform 17 our pending national elegy in to a creative sum of brother-18 hood--let us say personhood. Now is the time to life 19 in our national policy from the quicksand of racial 20 injustice to the solid rock of human dignity." 21 We have the need for a vision. We have the 22

We have the need for a vision. We have the opportunity to bring it about. And we have the means.

And it seems to me, that there is no reason why we can't proceed on them.

Ramsey Clark, talking in the context of

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

23

24

international human rights, made these observations, and it seems to me that they aply to domestic rights as well. He said, "What, then, are human rights? First, there must be freedom from threats to life itself, and on that level, war and its instrumentalities, capital punishment, starvation, infant mortality, environment pollution—all these things people cause, make do, and fail to do, which kill people, violate the first human right.

And second among human rights are those things essential to support life. Nutrition, clothing, shelter, population control, and health care are in the forefront. Hunger and sickness are among the most degrading of human adversities.

And third, after lifesaving and life supporting rights, are rights to all those things essential to fulfillment of the individual's potential. Education, employment, and full opportunity to develop and utilize genius and skills are basic human rights, and these must be included in any meaningful agenda for human rights.

In our interdependent, mass, urban, technological society, the government must guarantee and help fulfill these rights."

Again, on the level of schools, on the level

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

of employment, on the level of religion, on the level of laws, all of society needs to reflect what it is to live in the inclusive community. What extraordinary opportunities have opened up to us, indeed, in terms of the whole fabric of democracy, as we begin to realize things about Black history that many of us did not know twenty and thirty years ago.

What extraordinary new vitality—I must tell
you this—has come in to churches as a result of feminist
and liberation theology, especially among clergy, male
clergy, who suddenly see women saying to themselves,
that they too would like ordination, and believe this
is important. And it has sent many a male clergy person
scurrying to find out —— "Well, my goodness, if it's
all that important, what is it that we're up to anyway?"

And there's been a lot of excitement and ferment coming there. Or realizing the implications of an inclusive society includes all folk. It includes older people. It includes younger people. It includes handicapped people.

And once again, a religious allusion. The Koran community thought of itself as an inclusive community, and the ideal prototype of what the world was to be.

As we look at it now, it's rather strange. It was a group of men. There were women and children, but

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

they were on the edge of the community. No one who was beyond a certain age was allowed to be in it. No one who was handicapped was allowed to be in it. And they worked and studied, and presented themselves as what life should be like.

All of our religious traditions, it seems to me, in their best, have a counter picture to this. The New Testament, for one, speaks of including the shepherds who in those days were notorious for stealing the sheep, and were not at all the pastoral folk who were pictured on the Christmas cards.

It makes exclusive reference to children, and their being included. If reference to handicapped were omitted, there wouldn't be much left. We even have a story about a building which was not handicapped accessible, and they had to let somebody down through the roof.

We have stories about how folk in the New Testament, or rather, in the early Christian community related to women.

And we also have stories that the inclusive community is inclusive, not only of the immediate community, but those who are outside the community by far. Those who are not, those who are traditionally, in this case religious, are members of the community, and the leaders commend them as being more faithful to what

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

the inclusive community is about, than are those who profess it in more traditional ways.

That's only one religious tradition, but all of our religious traditions, it seems to me, point in that direction.

We're in an exciting time. As Rev. Harris observed earlier, we are indeed celebrating tonight, rather than eulogizing. This is a good thing.

We have opportunity before us. We have articulate folk. We have people who know the difference between what's right and what's wrong, and can say it. And we have ways and means, it seems to me, of holding up to the whole commonwealth, those things which are important. Those things which are lasting; those things which will matter about the community, and about the state.

There is a whole range of values which seem to determine people's actions. Some of them are not terribly helpful, but they're pursued intensively.

It would do us all well, I think, to point out, that the value of the inclusive society may be the ultimate way that we can lead toward our own development, as well as providing for the potential of all of the human souls that live here.

In conclusion, a line that comes, again, from Dr. King's letter from Birmingham jail. "Let us all NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

hope, that the dark clouds of racial prejudice will soon pass again—and I would put all kinds of prejudice—and the deep fog of misunderstanding will be lifted from our fear-drenched communities, and, in some not too distant tomorrow, the radiant stars of love, and brotherhood, and sisterhood, will shine over our great nation with all of their scintillating beauty." Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HARRIS: I want to thank Rev. Lowry
for his provocative statement to us this evening, and
with great hope, and anticipation, it will set the gauge
for our forthcoming conference.

For the remainder of this evening, members of the Virginia Advisory Committee would like to hear persons in the audience, hear them speak briefly about the types of civil rights problems they feel should be addressed by the state and local levels of government in Virginia, and why.

First, we would like to hear from representatives of civil rights and human rights groups in the state, and then from others, as time allows.

Microphones have been set up in places in the audience, and a list has been compiled, and your names will be called, and you may proceed to the microphone.

But before we get in to that, we would call, at this

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

time, on attorney Robert Owen, who will give us, or advise us concerning certain procedures. Attorney Owens is Regional Attorney for the Midatlantic Regional Office for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. I call on attorney Owens at this time.

MR. OWENS: I want to thank you, Rev. Harris, and I'd also like to thank Rev. Lowry for setting the stage for tonight's activity. At this point, we've reached that stage of the agenda, where those of you who represent various civil rights groups, and those of you who simply have individual stories to relate, will be afforded an opportunity to speak to this body.

However, prior to doing so, there are one or two things that I'd like to simply point out. They are matters of formality, and if you'll indulge me for one or two seconds.

This meeting is being held pursuant to rules aplicable to the State Advisory Committees, and to federal agencies and administrative policies established by the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

Under the Privacy Act of 1974, the Civil Rights Commission, as well as its State Advisory Committees, are required to inform those of you from whom we solicit information of our statutory authority to do so.

The authority for the Civil Rights Commission

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

to undertake tonight's activity is found at 42 United
States Code, Section 1975(d). Closely related to that
same subpart, you will find the authority for the Advisory
Committee to undertake what they're doing tonight.

I'd also like to point out, that any and all information that'll be solicited tonight, is being presented voluntarily.

While the Civil Rights Commission does have authority to issue subpoenas, we have not sought to compell the attendance of anyone tonight pursuant to the subpoena power.

Finally, I'd like to say, that this is certainly an open meeting; that everyone who is in the room has the right to refuse to be photographed, or interviewed; and I would simply acknowledge at this point, for members of the media, that individuals do have that right, and if they choose not to be recorded or photographed, I'll have to ask you to please respect their wishes.

With that brief statement, at this time I'd like to turn the program over to the two moderators who will handle this segment of tonight's agenda, Miss Barbara Wurtzel and Mr. Doug Wilcox, both members of the Virginia Advisory Committee.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Robert. I'd like to introduce you to Barbara Wurtzel who is going to take

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

over half of the program. Our role here is not to do much more than make sure everyone gets a chance to speak. Now we have a list of eleven names, with a time for five minutes for each person. If anyone would like to speak, after these eleven speakers speak, please contact Kika Pla. Would you stand up, please, Kika. Kika is a member of the committee. She is also the timer. She has my watch, which means that at the five minute mark, she'll raise her hand to me, and then I will just simply say—so we don't, we don't confuse too much—I will just simply say "Five minutes", and then hopefully you can make your last remark.

If you have questions, to keep this thing organized, if you have questions, please record your question and the time, because then, after we get through with the main speakers, we can go back, and we can talk about 6:50, and it'll make sense to us. And then we can get you in conversation with the speaker of that particular time.

To Wanda Hoffman at the back of the room. Wanda, would you please stand. Thank you, Wanda. If you have any desire to speak after these speakers, please contact her as well.

In order to obtain information on the types of civil rights problems that need to be addressed NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

by the state and local levels of government in Virginia.

We have asked that representatives from civil rights,

and human rights groups speak briefly on this topic.

We don't want to try to restrict you in any way, but you have to realize that we do kind of have a schedule to run here, so feel free to say what you want to say, but we do want to try to keep it scheduled.

A list of representatives who wish to make statements at this time has been compiled, and I have it here. First, we would like to hear from them, and as your name is called, please come to the nearest microphone and make your statement.

Now those of you who are in chairs, we're going to have to lower--Connie, would you lower that microphone, please, because it's very, very high. Thank you. And we do have time to wait for everyone to get to the microphone.

Next, we would like to hear from other persons in the audience who want to make a statement on the same topic.

If you do, as I said earlier, please write the time, just look at your watch, and what they're talking about, hopefully, and then your comment, and we'll take that on later. Your name will be called in the same order as the speakers appeared on the list.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

. 7

We would like, if time permits, to hear from everyone.

Keep in mind that what is said here, and is not recorded, really won't sustain itself. Only those things that are recorded will be looked at as time goes on, and will become a part of the final report. So it's just absolutely imperative that you speak in to the microphone so that information is recorded.

I would like to start by calling Earl Chandler of the Richmond Human Rights Coalition. Would you please go to the microphone, sir, any one you can find. Thank you, kindly. And Kika, you are now on the five minute alert.

MR. CHANDLER. I'm not an officer. Our convenor was scheduled to come here to talk for our group, and he found it impossible, so he asked me to substitute for him. So I'm speaking for him, and not for myself, and I would say that I am very much in agreement with it. What we wanted to bring forth was our idea of what the Commission should be, or how it should be formed, and it was suggested that a Commission of nine members be appointed by the Governor. That this Commission have the power to invoke their investigative power, and the power to hold public meetings, appropriate meetings, and to subpoena witnesses. And through the courts to have means of enforcing the law as passed. This in general

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

is what our organization's purpose is, to educate the public,—and in the process also educate ourselves. That is, we gear and see what we believe ought to be done in the area of civil rights, and we try to get our ideas out to the public. And that is our main purpose, and therefore we bring this suggestion of how we think the Commission should work. So I didn't have very much to say, and won't take up the five minutes, but I thank you very much for letting me say it.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you very much, Mr. Chandler.

The next speaker will be Mr. John A. Chappell, Jr.,

or Chappell, Jr. of the Endependence Center. Will Mr.

Chappell, or Chappell.

MR. CHAPPELL: Members of the Advisory Commission, and fellow attendees, I'm John Chappell, the Executive Director of the Endependence Center of Tidewater, which is an advocacy and referral and training service, for persons with severe disabilities. And I've got five minutes to try to articulate some major concerns. And I, first of all, want to thank the speaker in referencing disabled people.

But I think we have to look at, very quickly, some major contrast in the last three years, and why I see a very real and urgent need to deal with disability civil rights on this commission, and to pass legislation

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

seen major attacks by the National Administration on
Disability and Civil Rights attacking Section 504, attacking rights to equal access of transportation, educational
rights. Tremendous advances, prior to 1981, at the first,
were almost completely obliterated, all because in 1981,
we had a state administration who really listened to
disability life issues, and we began to make some changes
in Virginia. But that's just one state administration,
and one governor.

that does just that. In the last three years, we have

And I'm afraid that this commission doesn't really address statutory, legal equal access rights for disabled persons. When this governor is gone, or we get another administration in Washington that continues to attack our civil rights, we can't count on one individual.

Some examples, if I might. It was the legislation, or the comments that were made in the last two years about "our rights." We're so far in to trying to take and analyze our rights to cost. I submit to you, that you cannot, and must not, analyze civil rights and cost issues.

Access to a bathroom, for a person who is a wheelchair user, or lack of access, is as much a civil rights issue as denial of riding a bus, except on NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

cide 2--

- 7

the back, as it was in 1957. Discrimination in employment because the employer sees you can't do a job because
you're handicapped, is the same discrimination as if
you are Black, or a woman, and he perceives you can't
do the job. And so I suggest that you look very carefully at the legislation that's been proposed: I have
briefly looked at the Human Rights Act, but I see some
explosions because of a handicap. One that stands, glaringly,
to me, was the one who went forward to collect information about a disability in the very preliminary stages
of employment. And I can say to you, that sets up, very
quickly, the right of a person to discriminate against

In closing, thank you for the chance to speak to you, but let me remind you, that we speak loudly and clearly about civil rights for disabled persons, and we want to remind you that we want to see this commission become much more active on that issue. We will be willing to help whenever we can, and that this commission needs to deal with our issues. Thank you very much.

an individual who is handicapped.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Mr. Chappell. Thank you very much. Our third speaker of the evening will be W.B. Scott, Paralyzed Veterans of America, Virginia Chapter. W.B. Scott. And here he comes.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

5

(202) 234-4433

Go right ahead, sir.

- 7

MR. SCOTT: Good evening, and thank you. My name is W.B. Scott. I'm with the Virginia Chapter of the Paralyzed Veterans of America. My friend John said a lot of the things that I'm most interested in saying, but I'm most interested in the laws that apply to public accomodations. Virginia has some laws that are recently written and about public accomodations, and employment, and fair housing, and it would be much to our advantage to have a much stronger law that applies in these areas. There should be enforcement procedures for all of them, so that we can be assured, once the laws are on the book, that they're carried out.

We have somewhat adequate building codes in the State of Virginia now, and they're not enforced.

There are numerous building code violations just happening on a continuing basis. So one of the main things that should be considered would be enforcement procedures for this.

Also, there should be input from disabled community on what they should be, and there should not be any exclusions in any of the laws, that would exclude, or allow anyone to exclude the handicapped from any of the programs. They should all be included. I thank you for your time.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

MR. WILCOX: Thank you very much. Our next speaker ; will be Gregory Lucyk of Fan District Tenants Association.

MR. LUCYK: Thank you. My name is Gregory Lucyk.

I'm the president of the Fan District Tenants Association
here in the City of Richmond. I'm also an attorney in
the City of Richmond. And I'd like to address, briefly,
the housing aspects of civil rights in Virginia. Let
me tell you a little bit about the Fan, first of all.

It's a neighborhood in the City of Richmond.

We have about ten thousand housing units. Fifty-five

percent of those housing units are occupied by renters.

Pardon me. Fifty-five percent in the City of Richmond

are occupied by renters. Richmond is a renter's town.

There's no question about it.

In the Fan, in our neighborhood, seventy-five percent of those housing units are occupied by renters, and that's interesting because most of our policies are decided by the Fan District Association which is a group of homeowners who are clearly a minority in our community.

The tenants are an oppressed majority in the City of Richmond, and particularly in the Fan, and I think our laws can address some of the needs of tenants in the City of Richmond. First of all, Virginia's fair housing law itself, while it does protect against some

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

forms of discrimination, it does not protect against discrimination against handicaps. There is no provision in our Fair Housing law protecting handicaps. It also does not protect discrimination on the basis of marital status, and that is primarily single mothers with children.

This is a particular problem in our neighborhood in the Fan. Single parents have a very difficult time finding housing, and the Human Rights Act would go far to assist those individuals in finding housing.

There are a couple of forms of discrimination that we ought to consider, though, that are not mentioned in the Human Rights Act, and may not be mentioned tonight.

One of those is the fact that there is a Landlord-Tenant Act in Virginia, and this law protects tenants.

It gives them a right to put their money in to the court
in order to obtain repairs needed to their housing.

It lets them get their security deposit back. It provides
quite a few protections for tenants. The problem is,
is that seventy percent of the tenants in Virginia are
not covered by that Landlord-Tenant Act, and that is
because of an exclusion in the act, and the exclusion
is for single family residences.

If you live in a house, if you're renting a house, and it has its own phone, and its own front door, as seventy percent of the single family residences

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

- 7

being rented in Virginia do, you're not covered by the Landlord-Tenant Act unless your landlord owns more than ten of those houses.

There are very few landlords who own more than ten, because by and large, they'll keep nine in their name, nine in their wife's name, nine in little cousin Lucy's name, and, you know, they are completely uncovered by this act. It's a form of discrimination that is hideous. If your landlord owns eleven houses, you are protected by the Landlord-Tenant Act. If he or she owns nine, you are not.

There is also a form of economic discrimination that exists, that particularly affects tenants in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

One of those is the fact that if your landlord takes you to court, in general district court, and you lose, and you want to appeal that, you've got to post a bond. Virginia law requires you to post a bond that is as much as three to six times the amount of your rent.

Now if you're a low income person living in the Commonwealth of Virginia, as the majority of, you know, our renters are, it's very difficult for you to post that bond, and the bottom line is, that you're denied justice. You're denied access to the courts,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

. 7

you cannot appeal your case, and you lose. The appeal bond provision is particularly heinous. It affects tenants, particularly low income tenants, and it is something that any organization or group looking in to civil rights should address.

And finally, there is -- I guess the ultimate form of discrimination in this Old Dominion occurs right here in the Virginia General Assembly, which is going to convene this January 15th. And our General Assembly, particularly in housing-related laws, operates on the golden rule, and that is, that he who has the gold makes the rules.

And the bottom line is that if you're a tenant in the Commonwealth of Virginia, you have no representation in the Virginia General Assembly. There is no one out there, but for one or two totally underpaid, or unpaid individuals --

MR. WILCOX: Five minutes.

MR. LUCYK: Thank you. I'll wrap up by saying that I would that we would, you know, pay attention to the needs of tenants, and particularly, look in to some of these laws affecting tenants, when we're analyzing what in fact is discriminatory in this Commonwealth of Virginia. Thank you.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Mr. Lucyk. Our next

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

. 7

speaker is Doris Ray of the Handicaps Unlimited of 1 Is Doris here? Northern Virginia. Doris Ray. 2 VOICE: I don't see her. 3 MR. WILCOX: Would anyone like to speak in 4 Doris's behalf representing the Handicaps Unlimited 5 of Northern Virginia? Is there anyone here? 6 (No response.) . 7 MR. WILCOX: Well, if she should come in, 8 and you recognize her, we'll have her follow the others, 9 rather than come now. 10 Our next speaker is--I'm having difficulty 11 reading it. Is it Coriel, the League of Women Voters 12 of Virginia? Would you speak in the microphone, please, 13 and tell us who you are. 14 MS. CONNELL: Jeanne Connell. 15 MR. WILCOX: Thank you. 16 MS. CONNEL: I am Jeanne Connell representing 17 the League of Women Voters of Virginia, and commend 18 the Civil Rights Commission for being in Richmond. 19 The League, during its 63-year history, has subscribed 20 to certain concepts of government, which today are 21 known as "The Principles." These principles define  $\epsilon_{\epsilon_0}$ 22 specific measures and policies which it supports, 23 and which have survived through the years as broad-24 based policy statements. You may be interested to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

know, that prior to 1942, they were an integral part '
of what was then called "The Platform."

By whatever name, they embrace fundamental concepts of government, and we find such terminology as individual liberties, representative government, citizen's right to know, right to vote, equal opportunity for all, equitable, general welfare, and public interest.

Today, the concepts contained in these League principles are interpreted by some as generalities and too broad-based, lacking specifics or focus on the complicated issues which we face in present day circumstances.

Yet, when applied to current studies of issues, or when they are used as criteria in evaluating government actions, they become basic and fundamental to our understanding of government, and our desires and goals for our life together in our respective communities, whether it be local, state, or national.

One of these six principles says: The League of Women Voters believes that every citizen should be protected in the right to vote; that every person should have access to a free public education, which provides equal opportunity for all; and that no person or group should suffer legal, economic, or administrative discrimination. We believe that one of the most

fundamental rights is the right to vote. Yet, in Virginia, according to the 1982 census, only 59.2 percent of eligible voters were registered to vote. Virginia ranked 46th out of the 50 states. We cannot stand by, and be satisfied with this kind of a record. How can this happen, especially when the League, and other groups have worked so hard to get people registered, and voting? Is it due to administrative obstacles, registration roadblocks, or attitudes? Perhaps all of these are at work in contributing to Virginia's dismal record in voter registration.

Whatever the cause, the League wholeheartedly supports the goal of the State Board of Elections to increase voter registration by ten percent in 1984. But we must exceed that ten percent goal, and we must go beyond that measure alone.

We applaud Governor Robb's initiatve in appointing a Commission to investigate legislative remedies to increase voter registration.

The League looks forward to working with the commission, and participating in its activities in order to make recommendations in this area. But we must raise the question, is even more needed? Shouldn't we be focusing on the benefits to be realized, when all of us participate in the decision-making which

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

- 7

2ેટ 

2<sub>4</sub> 

 affects our future? In the words of Walter Hines Page,

"There is one thing better than good government, and
that is government in which all the people have a part."

Or to quote Aristotle: "If liberty and equality, as
is thought by some, are chiefly to be found in a democracy,
they will be best attined when all persons alike share
in the government to the utmost."

Accompanying our concern for the right to

vote is one for Virginia's reapportionment, and redistrict
ing process. We question whether or not in past years,

the process has been conducted efficiently, and economi
cally, providing just and equitable treatment for all

our citizens.

The League has undertaken a study of the process, and plans to be ready for action to support its position in time to affect Virginia's next reapportionment process.

And looking to the National scene, the League is working to secure ratification of the amendment to the United States Constitution, which would provide self-government for the District of Columbia.

As early as 1924, four years after women's suffrage was realized, the League began to seek redress for another disenfranchised group--residents of the District.

If one truly believes that the right to vote

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

is basic to our system of government, then continued denial of voting representation for D.C. residents cannot be justified. Citizens in many states, including Virginia, have an opportunity to remedy this inequity in 1984, by assuring ratification of this amendment to our Constitution.

Born out of the suffrage movement, the League began an attack on social problems, particularly those affecting women. Civil rights issues in the sixties translated in to a national program priority of "equality of opportunity" for the League. Our concern for social justice saw us working to combat discrimination and poverty.

Today, we are concerned about equal access to education, employment, housing, and transportation.

Today, we are concerned about feminization of poverty.

Today, the economic equity and job-hunting we are concerned about. And we are also concerned about equitable treatment of estates in the case of divorce or death. In order to ensure equal access, and equal treatment, and in order to ensure the League's goals are acquired, because individual liberties is a long-standing principle of the League Of Women Voters. We must have the necessary mechanisms to promote and

protect the rights of individuals and groups. Thank ; you very much.

MR. WILCOX: And thank you very much. We have now exhausted half the list, and I'm going to turn the microphone over to my co-moderator, Barbara Wurtzel, and when she gets through with about six speakers, she'll give it back to me and then we'll finish. Barbara.

MS. WURTZEL: I'm glad that the full range of my duties is to call people's names tonight, and the first person I get to call is Alma Barlow from Richmond.

Will you tell us who it is you're representing.

MS. BARLOW: My name is Alma Barlow. I am the Executive President of the Richmond Tenant Organization. I reside in the City of Richmond. I am a low income person that lives in an incorporation of 17,000 people, where most of them are women who are head of household.

And we feel that one Human Rights Act, so that we can be in compliance with the state, and national government. Since 1964, there has not been a civil rights act in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

We also feel that the present administration, that we have in the Commonwealth of Virginia, is someone that this commission could utilize in making a Human Rights Act in the State of Virginia. And so, it is with that in mind, that the women that I speak of -- we feel

that we are being discriminated against, because no one addresses the human rights issues, and human rights needs, that these head of households, or need to be addressed. And we would urge the Commissioners, if you would, some time, to come out and talk to some of us residents who are heads of households, and see, what it is that we need in terms of a better Human Rights Act in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

In the 1964 Act, there was nothing in there to speak about handicapped people of the Commonwealth of Virginia. We also feel that that is discrimination.

We feel like when you come up with a civil rights act, it should be for all citizens, since we are all created by one God, one Lord, one faith, and one baptism, and put here on Earth as human beings.

And we don't want to be looked at as handicaps, but as human beings with a human rights act to protect us in the Commonwealth of Virginia. I thank you.

MS. WURTZEL: Thank you, Mrs. Barlow. The next speaker is Hodges Mann who is the president of the Rappahannock Chapter of the Vietnam Veterans.

MR. MANN: Thank you. I appreciate this opportunity to speak to you tonight. I've got a unique problem, that I think some people have never thought about, but it happened. And that is, we all agree that the Vietnam

--

War was a terrible situation, and I think the citizens of the United States kind of showed that in a very active fashion during the war, and after the war. Unfortunately, the veterans, the guys and women that came back, that fought in that situation, not all of us agreed with the situation; but I think we ended up being the victims of that situation. And today, we're carrying a unique discrimination type situation in trying to find employment.

And what I want to address specifically in this discrimination, is that I think the way the war was publicized, about the horrible situations that some of the veterans went through—employers, when they're interviewing a veteran for a particular job, kind of look at them as a risky situation for their particular employment. And unfortunately, this is kind of hard to prove in given situations; but I know for a fact, that it has happened, and it is hard to deal with. It's kind of an invisible prejudice situation that takes place, but it does, and we appreciation any attention that the Commission can address to that. Thank you.

MS. WURTZEL: Thank you, Mr. Mann. The next speaker is Ed Peeples, who is the Chairperson of the Richmond City Commission on Human Relations.

MR. PEEPLES: Thank you for the opportunity

6,

, '

to speak. I will try to speak for the Commission on .

Human Relations, although everybody on the Commission hardly always agrees on everything. I'll still try to do justice to them.

Our commission—I don't know if it's the oldest, but it does have a history of going through the civil rights era, the era of economic justice, the War On Poverty, the question of psychological justice that we perhaps are in now, and for that matter, the near future, the era of biological justice, in which environmental hazards, and a host of abuses that are visited on poor communities, Black communities, and so forth, that contour their lives; generate early infant mortality; create disabilities at ages that are sadly, brought forward.

We've had this experience for about close to fifteen years in Richmond, and, of course, we've dealt with all these, as each of you have. The question of discrimination against Blacks, the poor, police violence. For that matter, the psychological and physical abuse of women and children, which incidentally, as poverty increases, the incidence also increases. We have to struggle with the question of civil rights for the disabled, and two things that are on the horizon now, that trouble many of our commissioners, and many of our citizens,

6 ¦

are the neglect, the systemized, organized discrimination, against the gay and lesbian communities, and, for that matter, the things that thwart the Asian communities, and the immigrants to our country, which we think are dangerous and volatile.

So these are the problems we struggle with, just some of them, and what do we encounter? Well, what we see is reminiscence of the 1960's, when Virginius Dabney and others were praising the idea of massive resistance. As I see it, it's massive resistance being revisited. It's worse than benign neglect. It's the conspiracy of militant apathy, a silence of neglect among the forces that could do something about this.

Despite the rhetoric of local control, our state laws prohibit people of good will in my city to do what needs to be done. We want to be freed up. We want to be free to do what our good folks, our good citizens, our fair-minded citizens want, and we want a model law in Virginia to help let Virginia loose to do right. Thank you.

MS. WURTZEL: Thank you, Mr. Peeples. The next speaker is Dan LeBlanc from the Virginia State AFL-CIO, Virginia Machinists.

MR. LEBLANC: Thank you. I support everything that's been said here tonight, and it's easy to go at NEAL R. GROSS

the end. Mr. Chairperson, members of the Commission, and guests. The people here tonight feel the way I do, and the way our organization feels about civil rights. Thanks for letting me come here tonight. I'm Daniel LeBlanc. I'm President of the Virginia State Council Of Machinists, and I'm speaking on behalf of the AFL-CIO, and I have with me here tonight, Virginia Diamond, who is on the legal staff for the AFL-CIO.

Organized labor is here to express our concern about the problems of discrimination, here, in Virginia, particularly in the area of employment.

We strongly support the establishment of a state agency, and state enforcement of mechanisms to remedy discrimination on the basis of race, sex, age, religion, national origin, handicap, and veterans of the Vietnam era.

Those workers in Virginia, who have the benefit, in spite of Reagan and his corporate cronies, who have a union contract, already have something to protect them against discriminatory practices. They have a grievance procedure and arbitration.

But, unfortunately, unionized workers, and work places in this state, are a small minority. Most workers are at the mercy of employer's practices, in which they have no voice. Those workers have a special

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.G. 20005

need for state laws, and a state agency to which they
can turn, and thwart the discrimination. The old story
that was told me, when I went to work in a shop was,
when you walk through those archways to that mill, you
don't have any rights, unless they're guaranteed by
the law, or if they're in a union contract.

We feel it is time for Virginia to join the vast majority of states in enacting a comprehensive civil rights statute.

In times of unemployment, workers who are older, or handicapped, are especially in jeopardy, and for women and minorities, discrimination in hiring, promotiom, and pay, are always a concern.

Thank you very much for the time that you've given me tonight.

MS. WURTZEL: Thank you for your comments. The next speaker is Stephen Levinson, who is representing the Alexandria Human Rights Commission.

MR. LEVINSON: Thank you very much, Barbara.

To Rev. Harris, and to the members of the Virginia Committee, it is really a pleasure to be here tonight. For the last several years, I have been Chair and Administrator for the City of Alexandria, and for the past several years, have been active in the attempt to create a state human rights commission. As difficult as being a human

rights director is, I'm fortunate, in that I have someone we've heard from tonight, Jeanne Connell, who is not only the president of the League, but is also the Vice Chair of my commission. And Carlyle Ring, who you've heard from tonight, who is also a member of the City Council of the City of Alexandria.

So I'm in an environment where I have both the political, and the financial, and the moral support to continue the efforts that we're all trying to achieve, and I'm very fortunate therefore.

Virginia -- Connie mentioned to you that there are, I think forty-four states, and I think one of your handouts has forty-four states, that have human rights commissions. It's now forty-six, and we're one of four that's left out. So we're getting in to a very small minority.

The concept of a state human rights commission is one which is not only questionable, and needed on a moral level, as well as the legalistics, but we have a situation, and I think it's important to put on the record some of the reasons, and some of the advantages. There are two human rights commissions in Virginia that have 706 status, that have contracts with EEOC to process cases. One is Alexandria, and one is Fairfax County, represented by Fred Alexander who is here tonight.

Citizens of Virginia, who do not live in Fairfax;

County, or in Alexandria, are forced to go to EEOC.

Now, not to be derogatory by any means to that agency,
but what that entails is time, and case processing,
and a situation where, because of their backlog, the
resolution of that matter is quite a ways down the road.

It also involves a situation where people,
who are not familiar with the locality, not familiar
with the individuals, not familiar with the politics,
come in from the outside and begin to make some judgments
that may or may not be correct.

The purpose of the state bill, the purpose of human rights laws, and provisions in various states, are certainly to give people protection. Certainly, they're to give people access to raise grievances, and raise complaints. But also, to do that, on a basis where they can participate in a legal process, quickly, without a great deal of financial expenditure.

Taking a case to a court, as an attorney, as many of you in the room know, that taking a civil rights case to court is prohibitive. Not only can't you maybe find an attorney, but the costs are outrageous, and the time frame involved is one in which resolution is so far down the road, that sometimes the issue tends to get lost. We're in a situation, where we have an NEAL R. GROSS

opportunity to create a situation where all citizens

of this Commonwealth—and I agree with most of the comments

made tonight, whether it involves single parent families;

whether it involves the feminization of poverty; whether

it involves the disabled community. We have situations

where people need equal access. There are situations

where people are not allowed to participate in a system,

whether it's housing, whether it's employment, whether

it's transportation.

It reminds me. Dr. Fleming, Arthur Fleming, who used to be the Chair of the Civil Rights Commission, in many of his talks, talks about a statement made by Gunnar Myrdahl in the '60s when he was watching the various civil rights marches, and the various civil rights activities.

He made a comment that what we were witnessing was the search for the soul of America, and for many of us, twenty years later, we're still witnessing the search for the soul of America.

And it seems to me, that we have an opportunity, in Virginia, to take a giant step toward the realization of that dream, toward the realization of the ideas and the concepts expressed by Dr. King and others over the last years. And it seems to me, that I compliment the Virginia committee, I compliment the current administration NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

in Virginia for some of its sensitivity, and I hope they will have the sensitivity to grab on to this bill. I have been fortunate to be one of those individuals to review many of the previous drafts of the document you've been distributing tonight. Believe me, we really want your input We want your comments. We want, your constructive criticism.

The document you have tonight is a good bill, is comprehensive, has some rough edges, needs some revision, and needs some—we need to smooth out some provisions.

And that's why we're here. Tomorrow, you will get a lot more detail. I will be able to speak to you tomorrow in more detail about local efforts, with Fred, and with some others.

But please participate. Please give us your ideas, and your thoughts, and your comments, and your criticisms, so that we can reach an agreement, at least in Virginia, on having equal access to the rights, and the privileges that we're all entitled to as Americans, and we're all entitled to as citizens of Virginia. Thanks very much.

MS. WURTZEL: Thanks for your comments, Steve.

The next person to speak is Flora Crater. Will you please identify the organization that you're representing this evening.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20005

(202) 234-4433

MS. CRATER: I'm Flora Crater, Chair of the Virginia Equal Rights Amendment Ratification Council.

The council organized in 1973, and I served as its first Chair. When the time for ratification of the equal rights amendment expired, the Council considered for a moment disbanding, until ERA comes again before the states, but then decided that this fight for our civil rights cannot end until the ERA is part of the U.S. Constitution.

I do not need to document to you the struggle of women and minorities to secure their civil rights in Virginia.

My fight for civil rights in Virginia began in the days of Virginia's massive resistance to the Supreme Court decision, that separate but equal schools for blacks and whites was not constitutional, and that the schools in Virginia must be integrated.

So I'm familiar with the delaying tactics of the governing bodies of Virginia in those years.

Since the passage of the equal rights amendment by the Congress in 1972, we have tried at every session to get Virginia to ratify the amendment. We have not yet succeeded, but we have not given up.

Virginia, and fourteen other, mostly southern states, refused to ratify in the seven year time limit and beyond; failed to ratify during the three year extension.

The Virginia ERA Ratification Council was wise not to disband. The House Judiciary Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives has just reported out the equal rights amendment by a vote of 21 to 10. It is expected to be debated on the floor, and passed by its required two-thirds majority, before or directly after Thanksgiving, before the session ends.

The Senate will wait for House action. They
have completed their hearings for this year, but we
do expect passage by the Senate in this gender gap conscious
election year.

I would like to firmly establish the fight for the equal rights amendment as a civil rights fight, and one affecting both men and women.

The equal rights amendment is both real amd symbolic. It is a broad principle of profound significance as a legal instrument for specific change. It will set the constitutional stage for women's emancipation.

It is a simple statement. Equality of rights under the law shall not be denied, or abridged by the United States, or any state on account of sex. It speaks to the concept of democracy itself, because it productes the freedom of the individual. The individual knows best his or her need, and ideally would be self-governed. In a society of millions, that is not possible; not yet.

But this is its end, and its beginning, and this is the spirit of democracy. Ultimately, all governmental actions are so perceived and judged by the individual, what does it do for me, or what does it do to me? The Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, all speak to this concept, the freedom of the individual.

The Equal Rights Amendment is a step toward that autonomy, and it is a step that is significant to all, because it removes an impediment to the equal treatment of persons.

The Equal Right's Amendment holds within it a profound political concept, whereas it denies and buries the unjust premise, that a physical difference is cause for different treatment.

It not only opposes sex discrimination in its concept; it opposes race, age, and all other forms of discrimination.

This concept extends the freedom of the individual al because it rejects all stereotypes. You are to be judged and treated as an individual, and not put in a box as a member of a class.

You have become in a sense a free, individual spirit. Freedom and democracy are evolving concepts.

I see ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment barring NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

sex discrimination, an important step in that evolution,
for both men and women. The Supreme Court has failed
to view sex discrimination as critically as it has race
discrimination, and in fact, Justice Powell has said,
that it's not likely that they will, until the Equal
Rights Amendment is ratified.

In a review of Virginia cases of sex discrimination from the Federal Practices Digest, I found fifty-one cases brought before the federal courts in Virginia.

I know that there are in the state three human rights commission--in Alexandria, Fairfax County, and Richmond.

I am sure review of their complaints would show many complaints of sex discrimination. Given the history of our fight for ratification of the ERA in Virginia, the instances of sex discrimination heard before the courts, and before human rights commissions, there is an established need for a Virginia human rights commission, and one that has enforcement powers, that would provide a place to air the grievances of all, who have had, or have now, impairment of their civil rights.

The economic consequences of discrimination fall most heavily on women and children. I received my copy of the proposed Virginia human rights act just

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

a few days ago, so I cannot make specific comments for change or additions, but I will provide you wish such a review as soon as I can. The Virginia ERA ratification will certainly support legislation to enact an act for its—the act, for its value in providing some remedy for cases of sex discrimination in employment and education.

We certainly hope that having a Virginia human rights commission, with enforcement powers to correct discrimination, will further the civil rights of all Virginians, and prove the case for the ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment.

I consider that a necessary step forward for our continuing fight for ratification of the Equal Rights

Amendment in Virginia. Thank you very much.

MS. WURTZEL: Thank you very much, Mrs. Crater.

I now turn it back to the original moderator.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you very much, Barbara. As

I told you all earlier, you've been very nice to listen
to these speakers, and this is when -- how much time
do we have, Kika, since you have my watch?

MS. PLA: (Inaud.)

MR. WILCOX: So, we have about two hours scheduled.

We're supposed to adjourn at 9:30. Of course we don't

have to go that long. What I'd like to do now -- first

of all, is Doris Ray here? Has Doris gotten here?

6,

7,

(No response) O.K. I would like to read something that our regional attorney went over rather rapidly, but I wanted you all to understand the rules.

What I'm trying to do is prepare you for a question and answer session, and I want to read to you the law, first.

It says here, "Any information you give to the Virginia Advisory Committee will be given voluntarily. The Advisory Committee will not impose any sanctions on any individual who chooses not to answer a particular question asked by the Advisory Committee. However, should a situation occur, in which statements being made to the Advisory Committee would tend to defame, degrade, or incriminate any person, I will ask that person refrain from making such statements." And that, of course, is our -- Mr. Owen will do that. I'm looking right at him. We've gone through this before, and he's supposed to raise his hand if I do something wrong.

First of all, I'd like to go back to the first speaker. The first speaker was Mr. Earl Chandler. Mr. Chandler, would you be willing to answer a question or two? Would you please go to the microphone. I would like to have a show of hands on who would like to ask Mr. Chandler a question.

MR. CHANDLER: I'll try to answer them. I'm -NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

6 ¦

MR. WILCOX: Well, we'll see what we can do here. This is an experiment in democracy. Barbara has a question.

MS. WURTZEL: You spoke about various elements of the proposed model act, that you thought were important. One of those that you mentioned was the subpoena power.

Could you expand a little more on why you think that's a significant part of the act, and what we would lose, from your view, if it were not there.

MR. CHANDLER: Well, as I said before, I'm speaking for a coalition, but I'm also in agreement with what, in principle, what was said. Well, the point here is that if certain information is needed, and we know certain people have that information, we feel that the public has a right to demand that they give that information, and it's needed to fulfill the law, to say what the law is, and we feel that we should have the right, you know, to have a right to demand that these people produce that information.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Mr. Chandler. We have no more questions. Thank you, sir. We'll go to the next speaker. The next speaker was John Chappell. Would you mind answering questions?

MR. CHAPPELL: Not at all.

MR. WILCOX: Connie is a member of our committee.

MR. RING: I would like to comment on the power of subpoena. I think Steve probably could speak to it maybe a little more directly. The Alexandria ordinance does include a subpoena power. However—and it's been very useful in getting necessary information, in order to act, to be, to have that remedy available. One of the legal problems is that, although the Alexandria ordinance includes that power, there's always been a doubt in the back of some of our minds, as to whether or not we really can exercise that right under simply a municipal ordinance.

And one thing that a human rights act would clearly do, is to make it crystal clear, that there is a subpoena power, that it enables local commissions, like that in Alexandria, to get the necessary information, which is critical in order to bring relief in many situations. Is that correct, Steve?

MR. LEVINSON: Yes. Thank you, Connie. That is correct. Let me be a little more specific with you. The Alexandria ordinance was passed in 1974, has subpoen power. Again, some jurisdictional questions are raised as to whether we should, or shouldn't, have it.

The subpoena power for the Alexandria commission has been used once in the last nine years. The existence--

now, that may say we're good, which we may be a little bit; and that may say that we're lucky, which we are a lot. But the fact remains, that the existence of that power has been enough to convince respondents that it is less expensive, and less controversial, and, as a matter of good business, and public relations, it's easier to cooperate with, in this case, a local commission, than to get in to some legalistic kinds of questions.

tant in the times that we're in. The last three years for me--I have been in this business for fifteen years, both in Alexandria, and Massachusetts, and on a national basis, and never have I experienced the resistence that I have experienced in the last three years, two and a half years of this administration.

The respondents—and I think Fred will speak tomorrow with me on a panel, and the representative from Richmond, who I have not had the pleasure of meeting at this point. The three of us will address you from a local perspective.

But I can tell you, from my perspective in Alexandria, and in Massachusetts, that never have I experienced the resistence on the part of respondents, to cooperate with local human rights commission and investigatory agencies on civil rights questions, as

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

20`

,

6 4

T2?S1---

I experience now. And the need for the subpoena power.

The need -- don't forget: a state commission, or a

local commission is not an adversarial body. It is not
an advocacy group.

We are empowered, by law, to carry out a legislative mandate of the state, or, in this case, the City of Alexandria. We are the representatives to carry out that moral and legislative belief that the city precribes as its policy.

And we are representative of that policy of the city or the state. It is our duty to fairly investigate, and as completely as possible, investigate all matters.

And if a respondent, for whatever reason, denies us access to certain information, it should be the right of the state, and the right of the citizens of that state, or city, to have access to that information.

As I say, we have not used it. But the existence of that power is imperative. And one other thing that this bill does—and I don't want to assign any of you homework, but I hope that all of you will spend a few minutes tonight looking through the bill that you've been given in a package.

One of the things that this bill does is to remedy--and I will defer to representative Callahan on this question--but in my short version, what it does

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	is avoid what we now know as the Dillon Rule, in the
2	defense of cities or towns, or counties having the ability
3	to pass certain ordinances. This bill allows cities,
4	towns and counties to create local human rights commissions.
5	MR. WILCOX: Steve, let's do that tomorrow.
6 <sub>j</sub> 1	Thank you very much.
7,	MR. LEVINSON: O.K.
8	MR. WILCOX: I'd like to get through some of
9	these questions.
10	VOICE: May I ask a question?
11	MR. WILCOX: I have a speaker. I've got just
12	a moment, please
13	VOICE: (Inaudible)
14	MR. WILCOX: Would you pleasesir, would you
15	please come to a microphone. Mr. Chappell, I have not
16	forgotten you. Just relax.
17	Would you please introduce yourself.
18	MR. HARRELL: My name is Eliza Harrell. I'm
19	from Mobility On Wheels, a handicapped group.
20	MR. WILCOX: Yes, sir.
21	MR. HARRELL: My question is, the gentlemen
22	just talked about subpoena power, and I have this model
23	act in front of me. I just want to know if it's mentioned
24	in here, and if so, what page. Thank you. Page 23.
25	MR. WILCOX: Page 23. Thank you very much. We're

1	
1	going to talk about the model act a great deal tomorrow.
2	I'd like to get some of these questions answered for
3	the speakers tonight. Mr. Chappel, are you willing to
4	answer questions?
5	MR. CHAPPEL: Yes, I am. It's Chappel.
6 j	MR. WILCOX: Chappel. Thank you very much.
7 '	Mr. Chappel.
8	Yes, do you have a question? If you do, would
9	you go to the microphone, please.
10	MR. TATE: I'm looking at the concerns that
11	you have for the disabled and
12	MR. WILCOX: Sir, would you give us your name,
13	please.
14	MR. TATE: Yes. I'm John Tate.
15	MR. WILCOX: Thank you.
16	MR. TATE: Looking at the concerns that you
17	have for the disabled community, and the human rights,
18	would you recommend that your organization have a look
19	at the modeal act, and also, provide input to the Commission
20	and perhaps even ask for a representative on the Commission
21	for human rights.
22	In other words, I think it would be nice to
23	have a disabled person on the board.
24	MR. CHAPPELL: John, that's an excellent point.
25	I overlooked it in my presentation. Certainly, we all  NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIPERS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4423

know from personal experience, that the way to get input 1 is directly, so the commission, or the committee certainly 2 should have a person with a disability on the committee. 3 The other half of your question, about the model act, however, in the areas that I expressed concern about, 5 I gave a very brief overview of it. The section on employ-6 ment, when it talks about the types of information that 7 an employer can ask, it specifically lists race, sex, 8 origin, but excludes handicap. That is, it allows an 9 employer, then, by exclusion of handicap, it allows 10 11 an employer to ask questions about disability, as it 12 relates to an employee application.

That's the way I read it, and I think it's very important for you to know that in Section 504 regulations, that are federal guidelines, do not allow an employer to ask any types of preliminary questions on disability.

It's legal to do it once you've offered a person a job. That's to see, of course, whether or not -- you may not be able to do the job. That is through the regs route. But this is the way I read it, a preliminary stage.

MR. WILCOX: Do you have any other questions?

Steve?

MR. LEVINSON: Yes. I was going to ask--

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(202) 234-4423

1	Mr. Chappell, in his comments, made a reference to the
2	point of employers asking questions, and I was going
3	to ask what section he was referring to. I appreciate
4	your pointing out that section. To my knowledgeand
5	I'll defer till tomorrow
6 j	MR. WILCOX: Please do.
7'	MR. LEVINSON: To my knowledge, that exclusion
8	was inadvertent.
9	MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Steve.
10	MR. CHAPPELL: It was a very important emergency,
11	so I definitelyand I did not get a chance to go over
12	the rest.
13	MR. WILCOX: Well, thank you very much. Are
14	there any other questions? Thank you, Mr. Chappell.
15	Mr. Scott. Does anyone have a question for
16	Mr. Scott? So we don't go running around here, and find
17	out we don't have any questions. Question for Mr. Scott?
18	(No response)
19	MR. WILCOX: All right. There are two people
20	that want to make speeches, and I would just as soon
21	go through the questions first, and then let them speak.
22	Does anyone have a question for Gregory Lucyk?
23	Anyone have a question for Gregory?
24	(No response)
25	Does anyone have a question for Jeanne Connell,

1	from the League of Women Voters of Virginia?
2	(No response)
3	MR. WILCOX: Does anyone have a question for
4	Alma Barlow?
5	. (No response)
6 j	Does anyone have a question for Hodges Mann?
7.	(No response)
8	Does anyone have a question for Ed Peeples?
9	: (No response)
10	Does anyone have a question for Dan LeBlanc?
11	(No response)
12	Does anyone have a question for Flora Crater?
13	(No response)
14	At this time I would like to ask Peggy Bendrick
15	who has asked to make a speech, to come to the microphone,
16	and please make one. Is Peggy here? Ah, yes. Peggy's
17	coming. Don't give us. Five minutes.
18	Before you start, I have to ask one more question.
19	Is there an-Arthur Kreiger here? Does Arthur want to
20	make a speech? O.K. Fine. Peggy, would you please make
21	a speech.
22	MS. BENDRICK: Barbara, Mr. Wilcox, ladies
23	and gentlemen. My name is Peggy Bendrick. I did not
24	have an opportunity to peruse the papers that were handed
25	to us.

MR. WILCOX: Would you please tell us whether you represent yourself, or an organization.

speaking for myself. I do know other people in the disabled community. I'm here for a slightly different angle.

I am the only, I think, literal "wheeler-dealer" at the capital because I do lobby. I am unpaid. But that's not my problem. Medicine. From the cradle to the casket, your elderly, children born with defects, are being kept alive. I have a great big concern about what medical facilities will be available to us. What will be covered?

MS. BENDRICK: I'm sorry, sir. Right now I'm

I was in the hospital before Easter, and did not have the initial \$304 that Medicare requires. I told that before I went in. I have about five threatening letters, several threatening telephone calls, they want to collect, a collection agency. I don't have it.

I'm one of those who fell through the Administrations' safety net. The other aspect that I want to bring up is education.

What is not known about spinal cord injury,
nursing care, and doctoring would fill a book. I was
a registered nurse for twenty years prior to my accident.
I tried to go and get a B.S. degree in nursing so that
I could teach because you cannot teach without a degree.
I cannot get the degree because I cannot do the clinical

work. I can't empty bedpans, and give baths, and make beds. So that's out. Very little nursing on spinal cord injury is taught in the nursing curriculum. I believe, after hassling with doctors almost twenty years, they don't all know too much either, because we are a different breed of patient.

I tried to get a refresher course in nursing at one of our local community colleges. Here again, I was turned down because I did not do the clinical work. I don't want to run around. I want to be able to tell nurses, to teach them how to take care of people like me, because believe me, I have survived by pure determination, by harrassing doctors, working with nurses. If there are any doctors in here, I don't apologize.

But here again I do say, I'm greatly concerned about the medical needs that are going to forthcoming more and more on people with disabilities. I'm disabled but I'm also fast approaching the age of the elderly. Thank you very much.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Peggy. My wife is a registered nurse.

MS. BENDRICK: Ask her what she knows about spinal cord injuries.

MR. WILCOX: I will. Since you're there, Peggy, and we're kind of getting wound up here, does anyone

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1 have a question of Peggy? MS. BENDRICK: Apparently not. 2 MR. WILCOX: Apparently not. Thank you, Peggy. 3 MS. BENDRICK: Thank you. 4 MR. WILCOX: Arthur, I'm sorry, I forgot you 5 a while back. Arthur Kreiger would like to make a speech. 6 7: Arthur, find a microphone, please. Arthur, do you represent yourself, or --8 9 MR. KREIGER: O.K. My name is Arthur Kreiger. I prefer to be representing myself at the moment. I also 10 serve on the Petersburg Commission on Community Relations 11 12 Affairs which might have some bearing on what I'm about 13 to say. Most of the people that know me, that are here 14 15 today, recognize that I usually take a back set in most 16 things. I'm a little bit shy, if you want to use that word, and I'm a little bit scared about going ahead and 17 18 asserting my rights, until somebody tramples on them 19 so much, that I get mad. And then, I start to assert. 20 A couple of things that were hitting me, from 21 the comments of Miss Barlow, Mr. Peeples, and Mr. Levinson. 22 They all seemed to be talking among the same terms, to 23 my mind, and I'd like to expand the thinking, if I may 24 here. Instead of looking at issues, look at the overall 25 thing, that everyone was talking about human dignity.

The individual dignity that each and every one of us 1 want to be able to carry home with us. And if those things 2 are denied, or misappropriated, or side-issued, because 3 of other characteristics, such as race, profession, or 4 whatever -- and I guess I'm urging this commission, and 5 the people here, to think in broad terms. That if a broad 6 4 statement, law, can be written, and used. I think people--7 a lot of people are like myself. We basically have a 8 good heart, but we're a little bit shy about saying what 9 we feel. We're a little bit reluctant to be the person 10 11 out there talking about what we feel.

And we look to those people who feel a little more comfortable in the public eye to be our leaders, and to do our talking for us.

I guess what I'm actually trying to get down to is, if we, here, in this group, on the Commission, can write something, I think there's support for it out of the people's hearts, and I think that they will be the ones who will most benefit.

I believe that as any law that is written, it will not be anything unless it does have abilities to both understand what is the rule, and to enforce it.

In Petersburg at this moment, we have a commission that has been in existence since '74.

The past two years, that I've been on, we've

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.G. 20005

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

been talking in terms of trying to get a fair housing ordinance. The amount of ability that we have right now, if you want to come in and talk to us, we might be able to persuade you to quit discriminating under the state and federal legislation. Short of that, we pass it on to the state, Virginia Housing Real Estate Board, or to federal.

And, to my mind, when you have it in a local area, working with it, with something that will cause people to listen, you might have a chance at achieving something.

So I guess I'm taking a very liberal view with,
I think, very broad terms of what you want to call protected classes, and a very conservative view, have a
very huge club that can be used, if it's needed. Thank
you.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you very much. Arthur, why don't you stay there. Does anyone have a question for Arthur? Yes, sir. Do you have a question? Please go to the microphone. Your name, and whether you represent yourself.

MR. PEEPLES. Ed Peeples. I guess I represent the Chairman, as Chairman of the Commission on Human Relations, City of Richmond.

MR. WILCOX: You are a former speaker, right?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

MR. PEEPLES: Yes, that's right.

MR. WILCOX: I'm saying that, to have it on the

tape.

6,

7,

. 13

MR. PEEPLES: Yes, the same culprit. I want to ask him--Arthur has a little different situation in Petersburg. They have sort of an embryonic ordinance over there, and what we have over in Richmond is a Wonder Bread model of ordinance. So that you have a case, and you go to squeeze it to use the ordinance, and it disappears, or it's in a little ball, and you can't get ahold of it. So our ordinance is recognized broadly throughout the city, and there are some solutions.

I wonder, over in Petersburg--I'd like to hear a little description of where they are, and how this model law may put them in a better position. And then perhaps a discussion tomorrow will lead us to understand how this will enhance many of the localities, and make it possible for us to have better enabling legislation for people of good will in the localities.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you. Arthur, would you like to answer that question?

MR. KREIGER: I will attempt to. I apologize because I've not had a chance to read the model ordinance, as presented.

I will say that two years ago, the Petersburg

Commission offered to our city attorney—and I'm not sure if any of this should be made public, but I will take the chance now.

MR. WILCOX: This is very public, Arthur.

MR. KREIGER: I recognize that. That's why I am talking my viewpoint now. O.K.?

MR. WILCOX: Thank you.

MR. KREIGER: We offered it to our city attorney for his perusal. He indicated that due to state law, the Dillon Rule--I've heard many times--parts of it which we were looking for, subpoena power, enforcement power, and the ability to make findings, and have those findings hold up, those were denied us.

A year later, we came back with a change from that. They're going to grant and progress, a progression, that our protected classes had gone from the standard age, race, sex, to points where we were even spending the evening going, "Well, what about sexual preference? What about what the person does for a living? What if they're a prostitute? Should they not be allowed the same liberties that another person is, when it comes to where they live? Not where they do business, but where they live." And it continued, that we had, in my opinion, something that really reached out to the human being, and ignored everything except that it was a homo sapien,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.G. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1 period. And it didn't really have to be that wise, to 2 be in that classification. We've run in to the enabling legislation blockage 3 a number of times. I have talked with Steve, when was 5 it? a year ago?, because I understood Alexandria was 6, making progress. 7. I think until the state, or someone above and 8 beyond just local ability, starts giving a little bit 9 of encouragement, and starts indicating a little interest 10 in localities doing something for themselves, that it 11 won't happen. 12 And I sincerely believe, that this is one time 13 Virginia might--even though we're behind now, we could 14 take the first place, by advancing our thinking far enough. 15 We were one of the first states in this country, 16 and there's no reason for us to always be last. I hope 17 that answers it. 18 MR. WILCOX: Thank you very much. I hope so, 19 too. Thank you. 20 Kent Willis has said he would like to make a 21 speech. Is Kent here? Would you please come to the micro-22 phone and introduce yourself, and whether you represent 23 yourself or some organization. 24 MR. WILLIS: My name is Kent Willis, and I work 25 for Housing Opportunties Being Equal, a fair housing

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.G. 20005

NEAL R. GROSS

4

5

6

7,

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

organization in Richmond. However, tonight, because I haven't cleared this with my board, I'm representing myself only.

Something came to my attention as I listened tonight, and I read through the model act myself for the first time today.

Naturally, my concerns relate to the housing. In Section 1502, it says, and I'll paraphrase slightly: "It is unlawful for a real estate operator, real estate broker, real estate salesperson, or an agent or employee of any such individual, to discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, or handicap."

I would like the Advisory Committee to consider adding to that family status. What we mean by that is families with children.

Roughly a dozen states already have passed laws of some kind to protect families with children. It's a shrinking market. I would like to, tonight, make the results, or state something about the results of a study that Housing Opportunities is taking on, which is looking at the market, and the availability of housing for children in Richmond.

We haven't finished it, so I can't say anything about that yet. What I would like to make note of is

that it isn't just children we're talking about. That's 1 2 an obvious and clear moral issue in itself when it's 3 a shrinking market. But if we look at this from a broader 4 standpoint, we see, for example, that female heads of 5 household--that is, households with children with a single 6 partent, and that parent is female, that's vastly more 7 of them than men. So in essence, if you discriminate 8 against families with children, you're also discriminating 9 against women. 10 Similar statistics apply to minorities, and 11 also to low income people. I just wanted to make that 12 brief point. Thank you.. . 13 MR. WILCOX: Thank you very much. Please don't 14 leave. Does anyone have a question? 15 MR. RING: A question or a comment. I would 16 suggest that you look at page three, and see whether 17 that bit on marital status handles the problem you've 18 identified, and it includes parenthood. Now maybe it 19 doesn't fully meet your problem, but the intent, by including 20 that in the definition, was to handle the problem. It 21 may not do it adequately, but, read that. 22 MR. WILCOX: The speaker is Connie Ring. Go right

23

24

25

MR. WILLIS: Can I respond to what he said? I'm glad you said that. I skipped immediately down to Housing

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

ahead.

in the table of contents, and to page fifteen, because 1 I was seeing the act for the first time. I'll take a 2 look at that. Thank you. 3 MR. RING: Bring it tonight, and, address that 4 again tomorrow. It may be that that doesn't do it, ade-5 quately. 6 MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Connie. Thank you very 7 much. Any other questions? 8 9 VOICE: I have a question. MR. WILCOX: Would you please come to the micro-10 phone. I want to tape it. We want to hear it three years 11 12 from now. MRS. BARLOW: I would like to know how can you 13 stop mothers from being discriminated by the landlord, 14 who are head of household. Is there anything in there 15 that gives the women, being head of household, the same 16 right and respect that our men have? And mind you, men, 17 I'm not criticizing you, but I'm just saying, since we 18 are head of households, is there anything in the Human 19 Rights Act, that gives us the power to be head of households, 20 21 independently? 22 MR. WILCOX: Would anyone like to answer that question? Connie, do you happen to know if that's in 23

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

the act? Steve? You've got your chance. Did you get the

question? Steve?

24

1	MR. LEVINSON: Steve Levinson. I apologize.
2	We were talking about the definition, and I caught the
3	beginning of your statement, Alma, and don't know exactly
4	MR. WILCOX: Alma, would you please restate your
5	question.
6	MRS. BARLOW: I said, being that we have in the
7.	Commonwealth of Virginia, most women are head of households.
8	Is there anything in the act that gives the women the
9	same kind of power that it gives men, so that they will
10	not be harrassed by their landlords, as has been in the
11	past?
12	MR. WILCOX: Thank you. Steve, did you get the
13	question? Connie? Steve?
14	MR. RING: I did, and I'll go first.
15	MR. WILCOX: Connie Ring.
16	MR. RING: Again, we hope tomorrow, that all of
17	you will be reading the language very closely, but the
18	inclusion in the act of the marital status as a prohibi-
19	tion of discrimination against marital status, includes
20	being married, single, divorced, separated, or widowed,
21	and the usual conditions associated therewith, including
22	parenthood.
23	So it's the intent, within that definition,
24	that it would include all that you're referring to.
25	MRS. BARLOW: Could it be amended so that it's

mandatory that we have it?

MR. WILCOX: Alma would like to make it so that it was mandatory.

MR. RING: This statute would make it mandatory.

MRS. BARLOW: I would like for to see it mandatory, that women have their rights, whatever amount of money that the landlord says we have to pay.

MR. WILCOX: That's Alma Barlow. Does that answer the question?

MR. RING: If there's any discrimination, it's based upon the fact that it's a single parent. That is prohibited by this act, and the sanctions that are outlined in the act may be utilized to give protection, by either reporting it to a local human rights commission, or the state commission, and the state commission then has the legal authority to, by injunction, by court order, by its own order, or by various kinds of fines and civil remedies, to enforce your right to be free from any harrassment, or discrimination, based upon the fact that you're a single parent.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Connie. We have one more speaker. Our next speaker gave us our keynote address.

Rev. Lowry would like to make another speech.

Please do.

MR. LOWRY: With your forebearance, Mr. Chairman,

and members of the Committee. My name is Fred Lowry.

!
I'm director of the Community Ministry in Fairfax County.

I didn't want to let the occasion going by,
without mentioning to you some circumstances that we
have had in Fairfax County, where citizens have been
subject to intimidation. One of these was the painting
of a swastika on a synagogue, and the other was a painting
of Ku Klux Klan signs on an apartment building, in addition
to leafletting. I suppose the leafletting business is
covered under the First Amendment somehow, but it does
occur to me, that it is appropriate, or rather, that
it ought to be that citizens of the Commonwealth should
be able to live without fear of intimidation.

At the time of the painting of the synagogue, there was a joint meeting, and a number of people in the community, including Delegate Plum, who was consequently instrumental in the General Assembly's passing some legislation to deal with this, were there.

The event was the message, and it was an affirmation that this is not appropriate in a community. Nevertheless, it seems to me, that it should be on the record that this has happened; that these things do happen.

Fred Allen who -- Mr. Allen is director of the Human.

Rights Commission in Fairfax County, and would be a more appropriate person than I, to give the details and NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C.<sup>7</sup> 20005

frequency; but I think it's something the committee should take in to consideration.

One other item I would like to mention, and that is only to reaffirm, or, to add to what has already been said about the issue, and here, I speak only as myself, or as a member of the United Church of Christ, which is a national church denomination. And the general senate of that denomination has requested all the members of the United Church of Christ to work in every way possible, at local, state, and national levels, to ensure the civil rights of gay and lesbian persons. So I hope that this will also be taken in to consideration by the Committee in its consideration of persons in Virginia, who are subject to discrimination and intimidation. Thank you.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you, Reverend. are there any questions?

(No response)

MR. WILCOX: No questions. Thank you. We have exhausted our list of speakers. Yes, Wanda? Would you come to a microphone, please. I know you're in charge.

MS. HOFFMAN: I was --

MR. WILCOX: This is Wanda Hoffman.

MS. HOFFMAN: Did you have a speaker by the name of Mrs. Blackwell, NAACP, Fairfax County?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AYENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

7،

MR. WILCOX: Not yet. I have so many names here, but, I would like to now introduce Mrs. Blackwell, president of the Fairfax County NAACP.

MRS. BLACKWELL: Good evening, fellow participants, and members of the Advisory Committee. I am Pat Parris Blackwell, president of the Fairfax County branch, NAACP.

I join the Executive Board, and the general membership, in thanking you for providing us this opportunity to again attempt to articulate a few of the problems with which we are confronted, vis-a-vis discriminatory practices employed by some private enterprises, some departments, and agencies, under the aegis of the Fairfax County government; some owners and/or managers of housing complexes; and some administrators, and other key personnel in the public school system of Fairfax.

The Fairfax County NAACP maintains a posture, appropriately called "Mediative Advocacy." That is, we do not approach a human problem in a combative mode. We seek to discern the depth, breadth, and severity of an individual's, or a group's allegations, and to then actively assist in mediating between the conflicting parties, such that communications, viable communications can be restored.

Moreover, we provide an advocacy role, which is more proactive than reactive; more preventive than

restorative. It is very difficult for us to maintain that posture; very difficult. It is difficult, because all too often, the perception of the majority, racial and numerical, is that Fairfax County is Virginia's mecca for the liberal, affluent, farsighted, and intellectual present, and future, leadership of the state, and perhaps the country.

Moreover, certainly they are collected by sociopolitical theorists, coupled with the placement and/or
creation of certain citizen, or statutorily-empowered
boards, give an almost unassailable legitimacy to these
perceptions.

Discrimination in Fairfax can not be much more serious than the distinction between one's preference for caviar or shad roe.

These perceptions allow too much room for the continued growth of top level administrative indifference and insensitivity to the plight of the socio-economically disadvantaged, disenchanted, and disinherited.

It sets these same administrators on a crash course to the reopening and broadening of federally instituted litigation.

These perceptions allow the public school system's administrators the luxury of visiting an oriental country to seek positive comparisons between district systems,

while failing to see the scope of the disparate treatment accorded Oriental students enrolled in the Fairfax County school system.

These perceptions allow local and state government agencies to applaud their own efforts to develop county lands in a manner which preserves the integrity of the environment, while they systematically rape Black land owners, who have been technically homeless because of a lack of adequate housing.

These perceptions allow owners, and managers, of both privately, and publicly owned apartment complexes, to use court-supported evictions as a tool for removing undesirable elements from the property, elements which became undesirable because they have attained individual subsidized standing, and are qualified for economic assistance.

The Fairfax County branch NAACP has, and will continue to work with the one county agency clearly able to assist in remediating some of the problems because of their limited statutory empowerment to do so.

The Fairfax County human rights commission is a logical paradigm for what can be done to introduce behavior modification in to discriminatory patterns.

They're also a model of local legislation, negative legislation vis-a-vis their inability to investigate, and

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

2

3 4

5

6

7,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resolve complaints against two of the possibly most entrenched pools of discrimination -- the local government, and the local school system.

Perhaps a statewide human rights commission, a commission empowered to address discrimination practiced by state and local governments, and the public education system, as well as those groups and individuals traditionally within the purview of such commissions, will strengthen our local commission. Perhaps each commission will reinforce the other, such that the individuals, and groups, traditionally targeted for discriminatory behavior, and the new target, those pluralistically but economically handicapped persons, known as the havenots, will have a clearly identifiable, accountable, and empowered body to ease their load.

Perhaps these commissions will reach a level of effectiveness, which will allow the Fairfax County NAACP to enhance its posture of positive proactive, communicative advocacy. Thank you.

MR. WILCOX: Thank you. Are there any questions before she leaves the microphone?

(No rsponse)

MR. WILCOX: Thank you very much. I don't think we have any more speakers, and if we do not, I want to thank Barbara, to my right, as a moderator; Kika Pla, NEAL R. GROSS

who has my one and only watch, kept the time; Wanda Hoffman, and Robert Hall, and Barbara Stafford, who I haven't given credit to at all, who collected the list of all the speakers.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to help, and I'd like to turn this meeting back to our Chairman, .

Mr. Curtis Harris.

CHAIRMAN HARRIS: Thank you very much, Doug, and Barbara, for moderating this session of our conference.

This is going to end our activity for the evening, a little ahead of the time that we have listed on the agenda.

I won't treat you the way I do the people in my church. If we get through a little early, I just go on to another sermon, so that we can let them out at the same time, and they won't get in the habit of getting out too early. But we'll let you go, with the understanding that you will be here tomorrow, if you're already registered.

Then at 8:30 a.m., we will begin the General Session. We hope that you will come, and be ready, and be interested, as you have demonstrated your interest this evening, and that we can make this an all day conference, during which time much information can be gathered, and many people will be inspired to move forward, in

trying to correct some of the ills that we have lived with now, across the years. We believe that this is an opportune time for us to be on the case, and we hope that you will come back with determination to be on the case. Have we covered everything, Wanda? Thank you very much. We will adjourn the meeting until tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 8:23 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.)

## <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcipt

In the matter of: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights
Virginia Advisory Committee
Statewide Conference on Civil

Rights Complaints & Compliance

Before: Curtis W. Harris, Chairperson

Date: November 13, 1983

Place: Richmond Room

Holiday Inn, Midtown 3200 West Broad Street Richmond, Virginia

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to type-writing.

Neal R GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433