ARIZONA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Open Meeting

December 2-3, 1982 Phoenix, Arizona

VOLUME I

THE FOLLOWING MEETING was chaired by DR. MORRISON WARREN, Chairperson of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on December 2, 1982, and the following proceedings were had, to wit:

Bouley, Schlesinger, Di Curti, Schippers Garwood & Erwin

SUITE 1500 ARIZONA BANK PLAZA 33 NORTH STONE AVENUE TÜCSON, ARIZONA 85701 (602) 623-7573

CCR 3 Meet. 323

- 1-

		· · · · · ·	
	*		,
1	INDEX		
2	VOLUME I		Í
3	WITNESS	PAGE .	
4	Edward Valenzuela	4	ŀ
5	Suzanne Rabe	20.	
6	Gene Blue	58	1
7	Emily Ferguson	75,	
8	Allison Hughes	84	
9	Hugh Fox	100	
10	Ray Valenzuela	114	
11	Connie Baldwin	122	
12	Wilbert Nelson	123	
13	Margaret Macias	131	
14	Diane Porter	135	
15	Alex Pappas	146	
16	Henry Cibirac, Jr.	153	
17	Steve Darden	161	
18	Phyllis Big Pond	183	İ
19	,		
20	*	×	
21	4. 3 3 A		
22	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		1
23		o	
24		*	
25			

PROCEEDINGS

THE CHAIR: The meeting of the Arizona Advisory

Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights will

now come to order.

We expect other members of the state advisory committee to be in later, however we will begin.

· We're convened here today to gather information on the civil rights problems in Arizona and recommendations to resolve these problems. I am Morríson Warren, Chairman of the Arizona Advisory Committee. The advisory committee receives information and makes recommendations to the commission in areas which the committee or any of its subcommittees is authorized to study.

Other members of the committee in attendance during this meeting is Mr. Manuel Pena. Also with us today are staff from the commission's western regional office, including Mr. Philip Montez, the regional office director. This fact-finding meeting is being held pursuant to federal rules applicable to state advisory committees and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent agency of the United States Government, established by Congress in 1957, and directed to one, investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to

vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices.

And two, study and collect information concerning legal developments constituting discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin, or in the administration of justice.

Three, appraise federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Four, serve as a national clearinghouse for information about discrimination.

And five, submit reports, findings and recommendations to the President and the Congress.

I wish to emphasize that this is a fact-finding meeting and not an adversary proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with us, the committee, information relating to the subject of today's inquiry. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the committee.

Since this is a public meeting the press and radio and television stations, as well as individuals, are welcome. Persons meeting with the committee, however, may specifically request that they not be televised, and in this case, we will comply with their wishes.

We are concerned that no defamatory material be presented at this meeting, and in the unlikely event that this situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the persons making these statements and request that they desist in their action. Such information will be stricken from the record, if necessary.

If the comments a person is offering, however, are of sufficient importance, the committee will hear the information. In that event, the persons against whom the allegations are made will have ample opportunity to respond by making statements before the committee or submitting written statements if they desire.

Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgeable about the progress in the areas to be dealt with here today. In addition, we have allocated time after noon to hear from anyone who wishes to share information with the committee about the state of civil rights.

Those wishing to participate in the open session must contact commission staff.

The first person to appear before the state advisory committee will be Edward Valenzuela, who is the Deputy Director, Phoenix, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

🦫 EDWARD VALENZUELA

THE CHAIR: Will you please state your name and

organization for the record?

Ί

A (By Mr. Valenzuela) My name is Edward Valenzuela, I'm the Deputy District Director for the Phoenix District Office of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

Q (By the Chair) Mr. Valenzuela, do you have a prepared statement? Or will you speak from notes?

A No, Mr. Chairman, I have notes only. The information I'm prepared to provide some statistics, they are informal at this point but I think they give an indication of what possibly the work load or case load has been within the State of Arizona.

Although it will include some statistics which covers the State of Utah and New Mexico which is under the district office, in Phoenix.

The area we cover are New Mexico and Utah as well as the State of Arizona. New Mexico has its own office under this office, therefore their figures are generally kept aside except for the fact that the, some particular areas of litigation and age discrimination, equal pay charges they are handled out of this office.

Phoenix District Office is responsible for receiving and processing complaints and resolving Title VII, Age and Discrimination Act and Equal Pay Act complaints, and charges as well as conducting the hearings of appeals from federal complainants, that is employees of federal agencies who file

complaints are not satisfied with the results of their own agencies, they request EEOC to conduct the hearing and our office provides hearing examiners who provide those hearings in this area.

The Title VII process of charges that we do receive is to conduct primarily a fact-finding conference and attempting to resolve the case before a full investigation is conducted. If the fact-finding conference is successful and we have a high number of those types of resolutions, then the charges are dismissed or closed at that point. If it's not resolved or for some reason or other it is not the type that should be handled in the fact-finding conference, we do forward it to another unit called the Continued Investigation or Conciliations Unit which may conduct a field investigation or further in-depth investigation.

We have another unit where the charge may be forwarded to and that's called the Early Litigation Identification Unit. If we find that a preliminary analysis of the charge or the complaint looks like it may conduct — may carry some type of information that we may want to consider for litigation, we'll put it in a special track on a special track, we'll conduct a more in-depth investigation to determine, at a later point, to determine whether we should litigate it or not.

Age discrimination in employment, charges are received, they may be received as a charge or complaint, or we may find

2 3

1

4

6 7

10

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24 25

on our own that we have some reason to believe, based on information that we want to conduct an investigation, we may conduct what's called a Directed Investigation and that is without a charge or complaint.

We may decide that that employer, for whatever, reason, may be after a Title VII investigation, there is some information that we find that should have an investigation to determine whether age discrimination is prevalent.

We also have the Equal Pay Act, in which we administer and enforce, this deals primarily with the differential pay between males and females where there's an equal skills effort and responsibility applied to a particular job and where one sex over the other is paid differentially, then we may accept the charge or conduct a directed investigation in that area We do not advertise nor do we publicize the fact that Title VII or EEOC is in this area.

And I believe that may be a disservice that's being done in this area although we do receive a number of charges, we don't mean to publicize to the fact that we want to solicit charges but we're not certain, I should correct that.

I think we are certain that we're not getting the word out to a lot of areas in the state that should be -- should receive the benefit of our services. We're attempting a new effort at this point under the new administration or new chairman to conduct an outreach. We hope that this committee here may provide some help in this and that's going to be one of our recommendations to help us in getting that word out in some attempts that we're going to make. We have a work sharing agreement with the state agencies in Arizona, it's with the Arizona Civil Rights Division of the Department of Law, where we will share, we have a memorandum of understanding where we will share the investigation of complaints, they will take a certain number and we will take a certain number.

We also have a charge resolution contract with the state where we will pay them to resolve a certain number of Title VII or age cases. This way they will handle part of the work load and we're handling, we're providing some of the funding so that they can take some of the burden off our office and conduct the investigation. But the resolution must meet the federal standard for us to accept that charge and resolution of that charge.

headquarters reorganization has been completed, it's been

-- it was effective October 1st, the field is presently undergoing a study and within about two weeks the commission will
be voting to make some changes in the field. Some structural
changes, some organizational changes, and possibly some processing changes, processing complaints as they're received and
how they're handled.

.8

ΪÌ

1.6

At this point we're not certain what the results will be and we'll be glad to provide any other information with regards to the final approval of whatever reorganization takes place.

I'd like to share with you some of the information again, don't try to total the figures because they may not come out because these are manual counts and they have not been reconciled with out computers yet but we have found that for fiscal year '82 which ended September 30, 1982, we had a total intake of charges in Phoenix of 2,376 charges. Of which the EEOC received 1,219, and the FEP's or fair employment practices state agencies which includes Utah as well as Arizona, received a total of 1,157 charges. Of the 1,157 Utah had received 533 charges. Of that total number of charges, EEOC processed or put into their process 1,190 of those charges where the state agencies put into their process 1,183 charges.

Of the charges that the Phoenix District Office of EEOC received, we sent them to the various units for processing. The largest unit being the fact-finding unit, which is a rapid charge processing unit.

We attempt, as soon as a charge is received, we attempt to conciliate it or settle that charge. Before it goes into a further investigation. So, out of the 1,183, or the 1,190, 717 of those charges went directly into the fact-finding

4 BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, DICURTI, SCHIPPERS, GARWOOD & ERWIN

process. 127 of those charges of the 1,190, went into our continuing investigation area in-depth investigation unit, 230 charges went into the age investigation unit, 50 of the charges went to the equal pay unit for investigation, and 32 of the charges went into other processes which meant they might have been consolidated with a litigation charge in legal or an early litigation vehicle.

We started out the year 1981, October 1, 1981, or the beginning of the fiscal year '82, with these numbers of charges pending in the individual units and this is for your information. In the fact-finding unit we started with 350 charges, in the CTC or the continuing investigation unit we had 234 charges, in the age unit we had 114 charges, in the Equal Pay Unit we had 30 charges and to the Early Litigation Investigation Unit we had 77 charges, and into backlog unit, 479 charges, this unit is investigating cases or attempting to resolve cases that were received prior to January, 1979.

We had a number of cases that were old. We have the hearings unit which conducts a federal hearing, we had 83 charges in the -- pending at that time.

For a total of approximately 1,367 charges in our pending file as we began the year. We received during the year to add to those units, the fact-finding unit, we received 717 charges, CFC other, which is CIC, the continuing investigation unit, 290 charges, age, 390 charges. Equal pay, 509.

1346 . 121

The early litigation, 58 charges. No charges went into backlog. We're eliminating those charges and the hearings unit we received 97 charges.

Now, in closures for the same period during the year, in fact-finding unit we closed 721 charges so it's slightly more than those that we received.

In the CIC the continuing investigation unit we closed 377 charges, in the age unit, 263 charges; equal pay, 58 charges, CIC, the E-lig., early litigation, 39 charges, backlog we closed 243 of those charges, and the hearings, 54 charges, or we closed a total of 1,415 charges. More than we had received. But by the end of the year we still had a number of pending charges there's some that are transferred in from other units or that have come in.

So, we closed the year, the fiscal year '82, with some-charges pending in each unit, in the fact-finding unit we had 226. In the continuing investigation, 127 charges. In the age unit, 138. Equal pay unit, 40 charges. The early litigation we had 75 charges, in the backlog unit were down to 219 and in the hearings unit we had 480. Or a total of 873 charges. Almost half of what we had at the beginning of the year. Now, the types of closures of those cases that we closed, an interesting analysis is that the — of those closed we found 49 of those or 3% of those closed were cause cases, meaning that we found a yielation of Title VII.

THE THE

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, DICURTI SCHIPPERS, GARWOOD & ERWIN

It doesn't mean that those are the only ones that might have been violations. Means that some of the others that I will cover were settled prior to the finding of a violation.

So a number of those may have been closed prior to that point.

22.

We found that no cause after an investigation we had 611 or 38% of the charges were no cause. Those that were no jurisdiction for whatever reason, 2% or 25 charges, those charging parties who filed a complaint with us but did not stay in touch with us, we were unable to locate, 2% or 34.

Those who first gave us information to begin a charge, an investigation but failed to cooperate with us by providing more information we had a 100 of those that were closed or 6%.

We had 241 that were withdrawn for whatever reason, that accounts for 15%. We had 126 that were unsuccessful settlements or 9%. We had 48 that were no violation in this case would have been age cases or 3%. And we had 319, or 20% that were successful settlement.

So, the settlements unsuccessful settlements, with-drawals and the cause accounted for over 50% of those charges, those could have been the, possibly could have been violations but before we got to that point we were able to settle them or close them some other way.

In our litigation activities -- excuse me, before I get into that, let me just give a very quick type of analysis

我的 计二元代码 掌电计划

of the charge that we did receive during the year on the different bases. An example of the charges that were received during the year that were for discrimination on the basis of race, of these -- of 468 and the majority of these were 385 based on Black, race being Black.

Total race charges were 22% of the entire amount that we received. 27 or less than 2% were based on religion, we had national origin cases, approximately 18%, 380. Sex, 650 or 30, and incidentally, of the 650, 602 were female and 38 were male.

Age discrimination charges, 17%. And retaliation, the total of 115 charges or 5%. The main issues that we've come across and we haven't totaled yet the summary of those, of the types of charages or the issues that were involved were discharge and failure to hire were the main issues of all these charges received.

In our litigation activities we have not completed our 1982 reports yet, but I can give you the 1981, that will give you an idea from -- and I don't believe that our '82 will be much different than that type of accomplishment.

In 1981, this office in Phoenix recommended to the commission in Washington 21 cases for litigation. 15 cases were authorized by the commission or approved for litigation by the office. 20 cases were actually filed or direct suits that were filed in the office. Some were carried over from

the year before.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

]*]

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One case was dismissed. There were eight settlements and the monetary benefits derived from all the litigation activities in 1981 was \$624,900.00.

These are the -- that's what was received by charging As I mentioned, the Phoenix District Office is interested in providing outreach into the Arizona communities, the other two states, New Mexico we have the area office working there and will be doing some outreach in Utah. interest here and with the committee here is to possibly assist us in getting the word out, we will be doing some actual local visits where our investigations will be in the , field, they will be conducting local community leaders, they'll attempt to use the local media whether it be the print media or the electronic media, to get the word out and » let -- notify potential chraging parties or employees or employers of their rights and their responsibilities under the law. We want to -- we will be providing speakers for any area within the state for meetings, conferences, seminars, workshops, colloquiums, retreats, symposiums, any gathering where they can use the word or would like to have these people from EEOC and we will provide people on week days and evenings, week ends, and at any reasonable location in the state.

But we think that the word should get out. We find that our budget in the past has never allowed us, the

opportunity to publicize, to publish any type of information where people would know that we are in existence.

So, the big help that we would like to have in any recommendations from you also in providing that assistance, would be to get the word out that Title VII is here and the EEOC is to make a better presence throughout the state.

Under the new administration, Chairman Clarence

Thomas has indicated that he is going to be giving his full support and encouragement in doing a further outreach than we have in the past. Several years ago the reorganization of 1979 we had a backlog of approximately 120,000 charges in our backlog. Now we are down to, I believe around 8,000, so we're in a position where now we can do some further outreach.

And I think we have our processes, are efficient enough that we can handle charges quickly and provide the best service possible.

That's about the extent of my presentation, I'll be glad to answer any questions.

THE CHAÏR: Do you hae any questions, Mr. Pena?

MR. PENA; Just one, maybe.

The degree of cooperation between the state civil rights division and the EEOC, is there some sort of an agreement where you can refer cases back and forth to each other?

A Yes, we have a mutual memorandum of understanding that

a charge received by one agency is the same as be received by the other agency. We have under statute, we are required . to if we receive a charge we are required to send it to the state to give them the chance to resolve it first. send it automatically. They will, in many cases, their work load will be such that they'll say we won't be able to handle this one or we'll handle these, these you can -- others you can handle or for some special reason they are -- they are either in litigation or handling a certain responsibility they'll take certain cases and we'll take other cases.

So, we have a very close working relationship with the state.

How long has EEOC been in Arizona? 0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

ġ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The district office was open in August of 1971. We + started with about three people and we have presently about 80 people on staff.

O Why do you believe that EEOC is not as well known throughout the state as it should be?

Once in a while we do hear from people out in some locations, parts of the state where they indicate that they have now heard about EEOC but they hadn't in the past and it was, the timing factor is such that it's too late for them to file a charge; it's untimely.

Had they known at a prior time, then it would have been timely because of an accepted charge and determine whether

there was a violation of the law or not. >

Q You seem to infer that the budget is perhaps a little bit better now than it used to be. And for that reason you might be able to get better known throughout the state, is that --

A Yes, primarily we don't have a budget portion that allows us to publicize and to do work, informational-type work, public relations-type work. But we've handled, possibly we've just been more efficient than we have in the past and now have handled the work load in such a way that it gives us a little breather in which we can step back from our work load and allow other people to know that we are in existence and provide that type of help that they may need.

Q I'm trying to think of a way that we, as an advisory committee, can comply with your recommendation that we help EEOC become better known throughout the state, and at this point I don't know just exactly how we can do that. Do you have any idea?

A I'm not certain at this point but I would be -- I would be pleased to do is provide a package, maybe, for each committee member to review and maybe this is the type of package that might go out to certain parts of the state, maybe it would help us identify key individuals throughout the state. Who can use these types of packages, informational packages.

THE CHAIR: I would suspect our primary concern would be whether or not, by policy or law, you were hindered in the process of advertising or letting citizens know that you exist. Under the group of equal protection under the law.

.21

,

A We have a provision in the statute that prevents us from making information, the names of charging parties and the names of employers from whom charges have been filed against.

Q (By the Chair) I would suspect the process of letting a person know that you exist, if you, by law or policy, were hindered in that process?

A No, we aren't, it's only been a matter of having .

enough staff available and — in some of the problems it's

getting out in different parts of the state, travel,

restricted travel budgets, but the budget is being set aside

specifically for outreach purposes so this will help us get

out into areas that we hadn't been able to before.

Q Are there specific civil rights issues that you can identify that may be the effects of your inability to do anything that legally you can do? You've identified the process that you followed. Case loads, etcetera. Inherent in the process are their civil rights problems that you've been able to identify?

A Not specifically, the thing that does concern us in reviewing even at this point the preliminary analysis is the

Ī fact that the relatively low number of national origin charges, 2 Mexican-American charges, in the past we've had a problem of 3 getting information out, for instance in Spanish, of putting it together, now we're starting to get some brochures and information in Spanish, but I think that for the population, Hispanic population of this state, we would suspect it to be 6 7 a higher rate or a higher number of charges coming in. So, I think we have to concentrate in that area. 8 Otherwise it's just a matter of communications, of information, providing the information out to different parts of 10 the state. 11 THE CHAIR: Any other questions? 12 That's all I have. Thank you, Mr. Valenzuela. 13 Is Heather Sigworth? 14 Or Mary Rose Wilcox? 15 Mr. Chairman, I would recommend that we 16 might just wait a few minutes and see if Heather will show up. 17 18 THE CHAIR: Fine. If that's in order, we'll do that. 19 We may as well take a brief break then. 20 (Short' recess 21 22 THE CHAIR: The meeting will now come to order and will 23 you please state your name and the organization for the record? 24 25

SUZANNE RABE

A (By Ms. Rabe) My name is Suzanne Rabe and I work at Southern Arizona Legal Aid in Tucson.

- Q (By the Chair) And what is your position with the organization?
 - A I'm a staff attorney.
 - Q Staff attorney.

 Do you have a prepared statement, Ms. Rabe?
 - A No, I don't.

Q Okay. Will you call to our attention, then, the kinds of problems that we've requested from you?

A I will. As I said, I work at Southern Arizona Legal
Aid and I was askedhere to address the problem of Central.

American refugees here in the United States.

I pretty much just know about the problems of Central American refugees in southern Arizona so I'll deal with that issue because I think that they're a little different than the problems that are experienced by Central American refugees in other parts of the country for the reasons that I'll talk about in a few minutes. The way I got into this was starting in August of 1981, I was asked by the director of my legal aid program to take over the representation of a number of Salvadorans that were presently being represented by another attorney in our office. That attorney left to go to another job and I knew immigration law and so I was just asked to

represent the Salvadorans.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That representation pretty soon snowballed until I was spending from 50 to 90% of my work time either representing . specific individual Salvadorans or working on Central American issues generally.

In the past 16 months, I estimate that I've interviewed. hundreds of Salvadorans and other Central Americans, I'm generally going to be speaking about Salvadorans because in my experience I have represented the great majority of the people I represented have been Salvadorans.

However, there are a few Guatemalans that I have represented and I understand that they're coming up in increasing numbers as the violence in Guatemala increases in the recent years.

I also have represented a few Nicaraguans and people from other countries in Central America but today I'm going to address the problems of Salvadorans because that's the major problems that I see in southern Arizona right now among the Central Americans.

I've interviewed and I've represented hundreds of Salvadorans, I've also interviewed scores of experts on the subject of conditions in El Salvador that are causing these people to flee to the United States.

I've also submitted to the immigration service thousands

in expert affidavits and in hewspaper articles and scholarly articles and different treatises on the conditions in El Salvador that are causing people to travel here to the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In short, I've spent the better part of my working time in the last 16 months representing Salvadorans and exploring these issues.

I wish I could say that my experience in this area had been positive and rewarding, I guess it's personally been positive, because I feel that I'm doing humanitarian work. However, it's been, at the best, frustrating and at the worst, tragic. The conditions that I've seen and the violations of civil rights that I've personally experienced among the people that I've represented. I only have a few minutes here although I guess I have a few minutes more than we planned upon since some people haven't showed, so I'm just going to briefly outline some of the problems that I've seen and try to explain to you why I see these as civil rights violations in southern It's impossible to understand the depth and the extent of the civil rights violations of the Salvadoran people until you understand what happens to them when they're returned to El Salvador and why they flee here, so I'll just spend a minute or two explaining what these people have told me and what the experts have told me about what's going on in El Salvador and what happens to them when they're returned

there, if that occurs. .

1.3

^23

I assume it's undisputed that the violence in El Salvador has increased and been widespread since the outbreak of civil war in 1980. More recently, there's been increasing amount of violence in Guatemala as well, it's not only spread from El Salvador but they have their own reasons, indigenous reasons, there for the violence that's increasing in Guatemala.

I have never had a single Salvadoran not tell me that a close relative, friend or neighbor had not been recently murdered. In other words, every single person that I have interviewed has related to me a specific incident where a neighbor, a friend or a relative had been recently murdered.

They also tell me of ten's and 20's of people that they know that have disappeared or been murdered, they tell me about torture, they tell me stories that not only touch the population as a whole but that touch them individually. Many of them are fleeing specific violence against them, their families, the political group they're a member of or the social group they're a member of, others are just fleeing the indiscriminate violence that occurs in El Salvador.

Right now one-fifth of the Salvadoran population is displaced, right now, that means one-fifth are not living in their homes but are living in refugee camps or in country other than El Salvador., El Salvador is smaller than Pima

County. I think it's hard to imagine just how small this country is, it's much smaller than Pima County, its population is a little over eight million.

One-fifth of those people are displaced. Many are displaced within El Salvador and a good deal more are displaced in Honduras and Guatemala in refugee camps, these are basically the nice word for them, is refugee camps. They're tent cities with no running water, no doctors, no nurses, no education, disease and malnutrition and starvation rampant throughout them with hundreds upon thousands of people living in these tent cities.

Those who are able to leave these refugee camps sometimes make it up into Mexico. In Mexico they sometimes have permits to get as far as Mexico City. But as soon as they get to Mexico City they become what is commonly termed illegal aliens, both in Mexico and here.

I guess I prefer to call them undocumented people but they're considered illegal by Mexico. Mexico is not a signator to the United Nations Treaty that we are a signator to, requiring us to give asylum to people that are fleeing violence in their country and I'Il give you the specific language of that statute in a minute.

So, Mexico treats these people quite harshly, they catch them, and they have different points along the border where they, and along the highway up into the United States,

where they catch them, they wait until they have a busload through and then they just haul them back to the Guatemala border and dump them on the other side of the border.

In the United States we at least, I speak kindly of the United States, we at least fly them back to San Salvador, which is the country of their origin and a place they're familiar with.

I can tell you that the majority of people that I have spoken with if not all would much rather be placed back in the country of origin than placed back in Guatemala in the midst of the violence and the uncertainty and the foreign atmosphere that is going on there, of which they're not familiar.

If they make it up to the border of the United States, they make it up various ways, some of them take three months to get up through Mexico, eating garbage on the way and starving and sometimes being returned two and three times and eventually making it through, some people are able to hire coyotes or smugglers that will take them up in ten days. The most treacherous part of the journey is a spot in Mexico where they have to get past a checkpoint and then the American border.

We've all heard stories about the 1980, July, 1980 desert ordeal where scores of people died in outside of Lukeville near Ajo. In the Organ Pipe National Monument, all

1:4

21.

of them Salvadorans.

1:1

The most recent incident in Texas, but these are only the publicized incidents. The people in Ajo will tell you that skeletons are found all the time of people that have crossed that desert, the Organ Pipe National Monument and have not survived the trip.

Numerous people disappear along there all the time. We can only assume that they're not Mexicans because if they're Mexicans, they generally cross in Douglas, near Douglas or near Nogales through the fence, an easy way to cross. And they blend in immediately.

However, Salvadorans look a little different, speak a little different and have, will have very strong accents. And have different appearances and different things they're carrying and different characteristics that the border patrol agents are, really know how to catch.

And as a result, they are afraid to slip through the fence in Nogales and Douglas. Because they know they'll be caught immediately upon getting through the fence. That's why they try the treacherous border areas that they try and there's a lot of death and sadness that occurs on their trips there.

When they get into the United States, approximately one-fifth of them are caught. That figure I got was from the immigration service.

I don't know how anyone can imagine or at all predict, how many Salvadorans are now present in the United States. But just through various census figures and various methods of documentation the immigration service now is suspecting that they're detecting as they cross the border, approximately 20% of the Salvadorans that cross in. Some of the rest are caught a year or two later, as you know, a raid on the workplace or when they're picked up for a traffic violation or sometimes through applying for food stamps or welfare benefits they're caught.

However, most of them, if they're caught, are caught when they enter the country. For some reason at least the immigration service thinks that the Salvadoran population is a population that's able to burrow themselves in someplace in the United States and it's very hard to catch them. I don't know why they think that because I have many clients that are caught by them all the time when they were not entering, but the immigration service seems to think that, more than the Mexicans, the Salvadorans are harder to catch once they do cross the border.

After they enter the United States, they become subject to United States Law, by treaty and by statute says, and I'll give you the exact language, that if a person's life or freedom would be threatened on account of his race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular

3 4

1

2

5 6

7 8

9

11.

10

12 13

15

14

17

16

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25

social group or political opinion, then we have to grant them asylum in this country.

The language that we look at generally in representing Salvadorans is that all these people's life or freedom, is threatened so that's not the issue, the question is why is their life or freedom threatened. And generally it's because of their religion, membership in a particular social group or their political opinion, that the lawyers who represent Salvadorans look to that language in trying to represent their clients.

When the Salvadorans are caught, let me explain to you the problems that I see that keep them from being granted asylym in the United States.

These are the civil rights violations I'm speaking of. The first one is the problem of voluntary return. nice word for being sent back to El Salvador against your will. The immigration service has, by regulation, the permission and the authority to voluntarily return people to their country of origin whether it be Africa, someplace in Africa or someplace in Mexico or someplace in Latin America, they can, in certain instances, voluntarily return these people without any deportation hearing.

When I'm representing people from Mexico it's often the choice that you want to pick for someone to be voluntarily returned. Because their only option is other than voluntary

return is deportation and if you're deported it's pretty bad on your immigration record and hard for you to ever immigrate again. It's also a felony to reenter after deportation, under federal law. So, sometimes in cases of Mexicans or even Canadians, in very limited circumstances, a lawyer will recommend to the person that they accept the immigration service's offer of voluntary return. However, suffice to say I have only met one person in my 16 months of representing Central Americans who wanted to voluntarily return to El Salvador. This person's wife had all of a sudden become very ill and there was no one to take care of her children and he had made a phone call home and felt that it was necessary for him to voluntarily leave and we arranged that very quick with the immigration service.

1.1

Despite the fact that these people come up through Mexico with this treacherous journey spending every cent of money they have to get into the country, the immigration service voluntarily returns over 50% of the people that they catch.

Last year, in 1981, they returned 10,500 Salvadorans to their country out of 16,000 apprehended. I have interviewed a number of the people after they have come up the second and third time from El Salvador and trying to flee the conditions in their country. I think it is a fair statement that not one of the people I have interviewed has ever

known that they have veen voluntarily returned. They thought that they were being forcibly returned to El Salvador, they were never interpreted what they were signing when they signed a voluntary return order, they are given four or five sheets of paper to sign, one of which gives their name, another of which does something else, another which requests voluntary return. And they said sign these three papers.

If the person somehow gets someone to translate it for him or if the border patrol agent actually tells them that it's a voluntary return paper, they are then told time and time again that there is no way that they can avoid return to El Salvador, therefore they might as well voluntarily return otherwise they're going to be held in a detention camp for ten months or 12 months in terrible conditions which they are usually presently experiencing at the time of this coercion or trickery.

And then the person voluntarily, if you can call it that, signs the voluntary return paper.

However, I think it's fair to say that most of them are never told they're signing a voluntary return paper.

I should, in order to be fair, say that this doesn't occur as much in Arizona; as it does in California and Texas, in my experience. In Arizona the border patrol picks up the people and soon ships them over to either El Centro, California, or El Paso. And it's done so quickly that the

decision is made in El Centro or El Paso to get these people to sign the voluntary return forms so I can't exactly criticize the border patrol here in Arizona for having voluntary return forms signed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

゚゚゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19:

20

21

22

23

24

25

It has happened in a few cases and has happened in a few where the people did not know what they had signed, but I can't say that it's widespread in southern Arizona. It generally happens when they get to the detention camps. Which are not in Arizona.

The Catholic Archdiosese in San Salvador estimates that five to 10% of those that are returned from the United States to San Salvador are executed immediately upon return. The road from San Salvador to the airport is called the road of death. I understand it's from the experts that I've. interviewed, and the church people that work in this area, that it is littered with skeletons and with bodies all the time. The people who are returned from the United States by airplane, it used to be a Western Airlines plane but now Western Airlines has refused to carry the people after they had a stockholders meeting and some of the church members who were working with Salvadorans managed to buy some stock in Western Airlines and raised a little bit of heck, and now Western Airlines is refusing to do it;

And United States Government is having to use various means to transport these people back to San Salvador.

The El Salvadoran Government is given a list of the people that are getting off the plane, the people are interviewed before they leave the country by the El Salvadoran Counsel in California or in Texas, information is gathered, the government knows every person who gets off the plane, and then these people get off and they have absolutely no way of — no money to contact anyone, to make a telephone call, to get a ride into the city, everything has been taken away from them or any have lost it to coyotes or in various means.

Some I assume are executed or killed because they are considered particular threats to certain people in El Salvador. Other people it's only because the information that I receive from the refugees themselves and from the experts says that anyone who flees the country is considered an enemy of the government of El Salvador. They're considered to not be loyal to that government. If they flee El Salvador.

This may be through because everyone I've interviewed has told me that they are fleeing the government of El Salvador and not the guerillas. All, say, but two, I did interview two young men about three months ago that did tell me that they were fleeing the guerillas, these were capresinos (Phonetic) illiterate, capresinos who said the guerillas stole their food and stole their crops and if they didn't give the guerillas their crops then they were going to be killed by the guerillas and therefore they fled up to

the United States.

.2

1.1

Except for these two people, every single other person has told me that they are fleeing the government of El Salvador or the right wing death squad that they saw are sanctioned by the government of El Salvador. If you flee the country you are assumed to be an enemy of the government.

You're also assumed to be an enemy of the government if you're a leader in any way. If you're a leader in the 'Catholic Church, if you're a leader in your labor organization, your youth organization, or if you're a young person.

Young people are considered to be enemies of the government primarily because the young people in El Salvador oppose the government of El Salvador and anyone who is not a member of the armed forces and is a young male is considered to be an enemy.

Therefore, these people, without any, even knowing their names or knowing much about them, are killed, either by the government or by what are known as the right wing death squadrons of death in El Saldwador. That's the first problem. The problem of voluntary return.

If you can get over that problem of having your client not voluntarily return to El Salvador, the second problem is a major one. It is a problem of bonds. The vimmigration service is authorized to put a bond on any alien while they're awaiting a hearing in order to assure that the alien shows up

for the hearing. They are not allowed to put a bond as a penalty they're only allowed to put enough of a bond to make sure that the person shows up.

1-1

The immigration service places bonds which, in my experience, range from \$2,500.00 to \$10,000.00 on each one of these aliens. I have never seen a bond for less than \$2,500.00 that was originally placed by the immigration service.

I have often seen bonds as high as \$10,000.00. Even when church organizations come in, community groups, leaders of the community, politicians and say I will take responsibility for this person, this person can live in my home, I will give the person a job, I will take care of this person and they will show for their hearing and I personally will take responsibility for this person, the immigration service refuses to lower the bonds low enough so these people can post them and get out.

They insist on the monetary posting of bonds from, like I said, 2,500 to \$10,000.00 depending on the alien. You can't have a bond determination hearing which seems to be what I do for a living these days, which will lower the bond in front of a — you can go in front of the immigration judge and then you can appeal to Washington, D.C., to the Board of Immigration Appeals, however you're always dealing with the immigration service and the bonds are rarely lowered lower than \$2,500.00.

You then are forced to go to bonding companies which take a fee and require collateral which none of these aliens have, and as a result, numerous aliens end up in the El Centro detention camp or El Paso detention camp for years just because their bond can not be posted.

I have to give some credit here to the churches because the churches have not only, specially in Tucson, the Tucson Ecumenical Council has not only raised a tremendous amount of money to post bonds but has also put up their own churches and their own houses as collateral for these bonds.

I was at a seminar for lawyers who do asylum work in Santa Fe last year and someone found out I was from Tucson and askedme what is the Tucson Ecumenical Council, they have bonded out every single person that I represent, and turns out they've bonded out people that are all over the country. So it's not as though there aren't people out there who are trying to bond these people out but there's a limited amount of money and sometimes it takes months before the money can be raised to bond someone out.

The third problem that I see after the voluntary return and the problem of bonds, is the problem of access to the Salvadorans and the problem of the Salvadorans acess to the outside.

In Tucson'there'is no immigration detention facility.

They don't have a place to keep aliens that they're holding

before they take them to the detention facility in California. And as a result, they're put all over town. They're put in the city jails, Tucson City Jail, I mean South Tucson City Jail, Pima County Jail used to take them but now, after overcrowding lawsuit was filed, they don't take them any longer, they're put in the MCC, which is a federal detention center, they're also put in the Salvation Army, they're put in something called the House of Samuel, which is some sort of a gospel mission of some sort, and various and sundry other places.

1.1

It may sound odd but I'm always happy to hear that a client has been put in MCC, the federal detention center, because even though it's quite a distance from my office, about 15 miles, and even though it's a secure facility, like a prison, at least they have phones there.

At the Salvation Army, where the women and children are, in my experience, always held, the Salvation Army does not allow either phone calls in or phone calls out at all during the time that the person is held there, which can be up to a week before they re taken over to El Centro.

The churches have taken this up with the Salvation Army, the lawyers have taken this up with the immigration service, and finally a lawsuit was filed regarding this deprivation of telephone calls to these people. There is a court order out which requires them to give telephone calls

to these people but it's violated continually.

I was told that the people at Salvation Army are going to be transported over once a day to the border patrol headquarters where they can make a phone call so they can make a phone call once a day or several phone calls there once a day, that happened for three weeks and it hasn't happened since, which was about six months ago.

So, they're presently, in my experience at least, held in the Salvation Army totally incommunicado. You can't get into the Salvation Army to visit these people unless you have the permission of the border patrol, the border patrol won't give you permission unless you file something called a G28.

A G28 can't be filed unless you have an attorney's signature on it and can only be filed during normal working hours.

However, these people, at 6:00 o'clock in the morning, following their arrest the night before, are often flown out to El Centro, to California, well, to Los Angeles then to El Centro or bused to El Centro, California, which is a two-hour drive from San Diego and a three-hour drive from Los Angeles where the nearest lawyers are.

So, one of the major probems is just getting access to these clients:

I receive phone calls at 3:00 o'clock in the morning and 4:00 o'clock in the morning and on Saturday and Sunday afternoons, telling me that people have been arrested. I

receive these phone calls primarily from family members in Los Angeles, it seems like every Salvadoran I represent has a huge family in Los Angeles, and they tell me that they have gotten word that one of their family members has been arrested by the border patrol.

2,

ັ 5

I then proceed to find this person in Tucson and to get some access to them to make sure that they haven't signed a voluntary return form unless they want to, to explain to them their right to apply for political asylum and to try to get the bond lowered and bond posted so we can do a political asylum application for these people.

It is the most frustrating aspect of my job to try to find these people and to try to get in to see them. My latest experience was with a young 15-year old boy which was being held — who was being held in the Juvenile Detention Center in Pima County. I received a phone call from a clergy—man who had given a service at the Juvenile Detention Center on Sunday and said that he had met a Salvadoran there who was 15 years old, he said he was four—foot eight tall and he was scared to death. And he wanted to know if I could help him and I said, sure; I ill go out and see him.

they refused me permission to enter. They said that I had to get permission from the U.S. Marshall to see this person.

The United States Marshall was holding this person in custody

because he was a witness against some smugglers that they were holding from El Salvador. He was not being held in border patrol custody. So I called the U.S. Marshall and the U.S. Marshall told me that I would need a court order if I wanted to go in and see this person.

Ť

1-1

Meanwhile, it was 5:00 o'clock on a Thursday night so I went home, after placing a call to the U.S. Marshall in Phoenix, this person's boss, the U.S. Marshall in Phoenix called me back and at home that night about 8:00 o'clock and said that although I had — I was correct that as a lawyer I should have access to this person, if I'd been asked to represent him that he didn't feel that he could go over the head of the U.S. Marshall in Tucson and therefore he didn't feel that he wasn't going to be able to help me, although he sympathized with me.

The next morning I went into federal court in front of a U.S. Magistrate and asked for permission to see this person and the U.S. Magistrate says that this person was going to be attending videotaped decision and the United States Magistrate was too busy to let me have access to this person.

Finally, approximately three or four days later, I got in front of a federal district court judge and the federal district court judge granted an order for me to be allowed to see this person.

By the time that order came through the person had

ľ

already been transferred out of Arizona and there was no way I could see him.

There is a happy ending to the story and that's that I got in anyway. And I'm not quite sure how I did. I called up the Juvenile Detention Center and I said you wouldn't let me in last night without the United States Marshall's permission, can I get in tonight and they said well, maybe. Come on down. And I think they felt very sorry for the young boy. And just without authority they let me in to see him and I interviewed him and eventually he was bonded out.

I can tell you some stories about young boys and young men and young women and children who were not bonded out and were returned to El Salvador without ever getting to see me because of the problems in getting access to these people.

The fourth problem that I see in addition to voluntary return, bonds and access to these people, is the separation of families. Although the immigration service tries to keep families together when they can, it's often too much of a financial burden on the immigration service to do this because they don't have very many facilities which hold men, women and children. So, more often than not, the men are separated from the women and children. Sometimes only by facilities, sometimes by cities, sometimes by state.

I often have families where the men are being held in El Paso and the women are being held in Los Angeles and the

take away a very, very young child from their mother but I have had them take away older children, minors from their families and separate them.

g

This is a most frustrating experience because the family is having to make a joint decision on what to do, on how to bond them out, what to do with the money, on whether to return to El Salvador, as they're being encouraged to do so by the immigration service, and the family can't get together to make this decision at all.

The final problems that I see is if you get them bonded out, and you manage to get through all these hurdles and access and things that I've spoken of, there's a problem of work permission. There's a statute that says that — not a statute, an operations instruction of the immigration service, that says that a district director may grant work authorization to asylum applicants, pending the determination of their asylum application.

Asylum applications, I don't really know how long they take because I've had some pending for two and three years and they've never been decided yet. By the immigration service. Even preliminarily, without an appeal, they have never, I've never had a decision on the asylum application.

The immigration service, the district director, at least in this area, seems to be very strict in applying the

determination of nonfrivolous asylum application and generally denies work authorization to these people. It is not a crime for these people to work, it's not more of a crime for them to work than it is for them to be here so they can work, but it's very difficult for them to get work without a social security number and they can't get a social security number unless they have work authorization.

³

As a result, they are always held in the marginal, work in the migratory work force, in the poorest, lowest paying jobs because they're unable to deal with employers who are used to employees with social security numbers.

So, work authorization is a major problem.

One time I had a hearing for work authorization in front of one of the officials of the immigration service in Tucson, and he asked where my client worked. And I said that she worked at Santa Cruz Apparel Factory and he said I can't give it to her because there's all these people who work at Santa Cruz and they all want work authorization, if I give it to her and I said that's not the standard and you know it and he said, well, if you make her explain to them that she is a desert survivor, this is one of the survivors of the July, 1980, desert ordeal, I gave it to her for that reason, then I'll give it to her.

And he granted her work authorization. She's one of the three or four that he has granted since I've been doing this work.

б

.13

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees said in May, 1981, that any person who is left El Salvador since the outbreak of civil war in 1980, is prima facie a refugee. Says that they have investigated this, they sent investigators both to the southwestern United States and to El Salvador itself and they have determined that they — they meet the definition in the treaty and in the statute of refugee for purposes of obtaining asylum in the United States.

It's my position as Tawyer for these people and of course the position of the churches that work with them, that since they are prima facie refugees under this treaty that we are a signator to, that they should be, immediately upon apprehension by the border patrol, informed of their right to seek asylum and they should be treated with some dignity and with some belief as to their claims of asylum.

On the contrary, they are treated as being illegally here in the country, they are never or rarely informed of their right to seek asylum and every obstacle is put in their way for them to seek asylum in this country. One of the highest officials of the immigration service here in Arizona told me about one of my clients, as I filed an asylum application in his office. He says I have no doubt that they'll make swiss cheese out of this woman the minute that she's returned to El Salvador, the question is whether she fits into

the definition of the statute, Suzanne.

7:

Of course, it's my opinion that if they'll make swiss cheese out of someone, then they fit within the definition of the statute of asylum.

The final thing I'd like to speak about is the hearings that are held when you finally do get a hearing on an asylum application which is very, very rare. The first thing that happens is the deportation hearing is called, and you inform the immigration judge that you would like to seek asylum on behalf of your client. At that point they give you ten or 20 or 30 days to submit an asylum application.

Asylum applications are very long, complicated matters with lots of documentation attached to them, you submit it to the immigration service and they immediately send it to the state department, to the state department bureau of humanitarian affairs. And the state department then is to make a recommendation on whether this asylum application should be granted.

It is then sent back to the immigration service for a hearing after this recommendation is made. In the vast majority of the cases the state department recommends against granting asylum in a form letter that does not address the specifics of any of these individuals' cases. However, it's been my experience that the state department doesn't even make a recommendation and these asylum applications sit for years

and years and years with nothing happens to them.

I must admit that that's not the worst thing that could happen. Because as long as these people are here in the United States and as long as they're bonded out and they can make their renewal of their bond every year as it comes up, then they're not being returned to El Salvador where they don't want to go, so I guess I shouldn't complain about the state department not ever making a recommendation on asylum' application.

When the state department does return the asylum application with a recommendation to the immigration judge, a second hearing is held. And that's a full adversary hearing where you're allowed to be represented by counsel, have expert witnesses, and provide documentation of your asylum claim. Those hearings are very frustrating hearings to go through.

I recently had one where I showed up with a client at the hearing. I had informed the trial attorney for the immigration service that it was going to be a very long hearing because I had several expert witnesses that were going to testify on my client's behalf. I showed up with these expert witnesses who had flown in from various parts of the country at my client's expense to testify for him, he's a janitor in Tucson, and he had paid the air fare of these expert witnesses to fly in.

I showed up with motions and documents and things that I was going to file with the court, I also showed up with about five or six members of the press with me, I didn't know that was going to happen but they seemed to be very interested in these cases when they come up.

1.8

And I showed up at the hearing and the judge refused to let anyone in the door. He said Suzanne you come in, and we'll talk about this case. And I said, Your Honor, I feel very reluctant to come in and speak with you about this case without my client present and he said this is a pretrial conference, your client doesn't have any right to be present and I said, well, pretrial conferences usually occur weeks before the trial, Your Honor, they've never had one before the morning of the trial after the time for the trial being set. And he accused me of being unprofessional and shut the door and told me to come in and speak with him about the case.

He then chastised me for having so much testimony and so much documentation that I was going to present because he sid that hearing had only been scheduled for one hour. I told him that the hearing would take at least two days and that I had informed the attorney for the immigration service of this prior to coming.

At this point he asked me what I had, what all the motions were for and I said, well, I have this motion and

that motion and another motion, and he said you can't make motions in immigration court.

Well, I've been doing immigration law for a while now and I've probably made a 1,000 motions in my life, and I said, well, Your Honor, I would appreciate it if we could go on the record and you would say on the record that I'm not allowed to make the motions, then that would be fine if you would just say it on the record.

He says I'm not going to turn on the record. And he appeared to be very upset at the press that was outside, I think I can assume that that was what had upset him, was the press showing up at this hearing.

And the long and the short of it was he never allowed my client into the hearing, he never allowed the press into the hearing and the never turned on the tape recorder which is the record of hearing but in fact, he sent us away and said that it would have to be held at another time.

This was months and months ago and has never been, the hearing has never been called again, suffice to say that my client lost a lot of money because the people that he had paid to fly there for that hearing just flew back that very same day.

Another thing that happens at these hearings is that when we try to get in information about the social group of a client, in other words, the statute says that if you're

facing, if you face fear of violence because of the social group that you're a member of, you're entitled to asylum. So we try to prove that this person is a member of the social group of Catholic activists or social group of young males or the social group of labor leaders. The immigration judges turn their ear at this, they say we want to hear that your specific client was targeted for extermination, we want a newspaper article, we want affidavits, we want these things with your specific client's name in them, we don't want to know about their membership in a broad, social group. Even though the statute authorizes that.

~]

.4

13-

Of course, these people don't come up with notarized affidavits and with newspaper articles and most of them there's never been a newspaper article written about the specific person, but in fact, they're a member of a group that's targeted for extermination and that's why they're fleeing up here.

In addition, the immigration service refuses to assure confidentiality of the material that you give them. I have a number of clients who have very strong claims for asylum but are very reluctant to disclose the information to the immigration service because their family and their coworkers and they're members of the groups that they're members of are still in El Salvador and they're afraid that this information will be given to the Salvadoran Government and will be used

against the people that still exist there.

The immigration service, in my experience, has refused in every occasion to assure confidentiality of the asylum application or any material presented in the hearing. Although they will exclude the press when you ask them to. That is — and I have asked them in the past to exclude the press in a number of cases when my client asked me to.

That's all I really have to say except there are a couple of things happening in Congress right now which trouble me about the representation of Salvadorans and the civil rights of Salvadorans and I'll just mention those briefly.

The first is that I work for Legal Aid and that presently although it's hard to keep track of what Congress is doing to Legal Aid, it seems to change every week, there's a new bill introduced or a new one that passes committee but as it appears now, on January 1, 1983, we're not going to be able to represent undocumented aliens. That means that the only attorney in southern Arizona who's doing this work full time will no longer be able to do it.

There are other social groups and community agencies who are representing Central Americans in Tucson, there have been various attacks on them by the immigration service in their certification proceedings, whether or not they can be certified to represent these people, but it looks like there's going to be a great need for legal representation of the

Salvadorans starting January 1st in southern Arizona.

The other is the Simpson-Malzoli (Phonetic) Bill, which is the immigration bill pending before Congress and even more difficult than keeping track of what's happening to Legal Aid is trying to keep track of what's happening to the Simpson-Malzoli Bill. That seems to change every day as opposed to every week. And I hope that the information I'm giving you is current.

There is a provision in the Simpson-Malzoli Bill, which says, which changes the entire method of applying for asylum in the United States. It says that within 14 days after an OSC is issued and that means approximately within 14 days after the arrest of the alien, the alien must file a notice of the intent to seek asylum so you have 14 days to get to the alien, to interview him, to let them know of the provision, and have them file this notice.

That's not the worst part. Then, within 35 days after you file the notice of intent to seek asylum you have to have your complete asylum application filed with the immigration service. Of course I've mentioned that the immigration service and the immigration judges are requiring exact affidavits and newspaper articles and things with this person's name on it, letters from people who knew them in their town they came from and yet you only have 35 days to file the asylum application.

r. 3.

At present it takes me at least 35 days just to make the contacts with El Salvador and the letter there and contact the numbers of the people that have known my clients, and then, of course, it takes months before the material is ever sent back to me.

ľ

That again is not the worst part of this bill. Finally, you're not given an adversary hearing, you're given an informal hearing which, in some ways might be good because perhaps some of the Salvadorans could represent themselves as opposed to being in need of legal representation at these hearings. It's an informal hearing where you might be able to present certain types of expert testimony, you just tell your story in front of the hearing officer, and there is no appeal at all from that decision, whereas presently there's an appeal to the Board of Immigration Appeals in Washington, and then to the Circuit Court of Appeals and then to the Supreme Court. In asylum cases.

Most people doing asylum work, if not all people doing asylum work here in the United States, feel that if that bill is passed, that the people, the hope for those people seeking asylum in the United States right now from Central American will virtually be quashed.

Are there any questions?

THE CHAIR: Thank you, are there any questions, Mr. Pena?

Q (By Mr. Pena) Just to clear something in my mind, an undocumented alien, an illegal alien has no civil rights in this country because of that; is that right?

- A No, that's not right.
- Q That's not right?

- A No, that's not right.
- Q He does have the same civil rights that --

A No, that's not right. He has different civil rights than citizens or than aliens admitted for lawful, permanent residence. One of the most controversial subjects that is in front — has been in front of the Supreme Court for the last five or six years has been the rights of aliens and undocumented. For instance, the Texas School Case was just decided where we found that iff they are residents that they do have a right to go to school, they have that civil right to attend school, yet there are various other rights which the Supreme Court has denied them.

There is a case which I'm involved in which is a law-suit we filed with the nationwide class, which means that it covers all Salvadorans presently in the United States or who may enter the United States in the pending, as this class action pends, and it attacks the civil rights violations of these people.

We have a court order out right now which allows them to have access to attorneys, allows them to have telephone

calls and which limits some of this, the problems that I speak about here with voluntary return, of the federal district court judge that we are in front of, did agree with us that these are civil rights violations of the aliens, so it's kind of a, you never know until the Supreme Court speaks on whether aliens do have that right or don't, it's a selective decision.

Q Once one of these aliens is given asylum, then he has more civil rights?

A It's a little confusing, there are two ways you can seek asylum, one is by just walking in like the Soviet ballerinas do and just walking in and saying I want asylum and that's before they ever arrest you or anything, and that's decided by the district director of the immigration service where you are.

In this case it would be im Phoenix if you're arrested in Arizona.

The other way is to be caught, to have an order to show cause issued and arrest order, and then you apply for asylum in front of an immigration judge. If you are granted asylum there are various provisions but generally if, in a year, you still are entitled to asylum and in other words, you're still fearful of returning to your country because of violence there or the fear for your safety or life, then you can apply for permanent residence to be a lawfully admitted

alien for permanent residence. In other words, get a green card.

So, generally, people who are granted asylum assume that they're going to get green cards and it happens routinely in those cases but we've only had two Salvadorans that I know of granted asylum in the last year here in the United States whereas those people who come from communist countries are granted asylum pretty much routinely by the immigration service.

Those people who come from right wing dictatorships like Haiti and El Salvador, things like that, it's much more difficult to get asylum. We assume because our country has diplomatic relationship with these countries.

- Q (By the Chair) Is the statute governing that action the same for both groups?
 - A Yes, it's --
 - Q It's unequal application or uneven?

A Well, I would assume that that's the case, yes. It's a treaty that we're a signator to that's the supreme law of the land, Congress can't even change the treaty, then eventually, in 1980, Congress passed a statute mirroring the language of the treaty which applies to anyone from any country, from Canada, from Mexico, anyone seeking asylum in the United States, if they can show that their life or freedom would be threatened on account of race, religion, the

Î

₂₃

different things I mentioned, membership in a social group, then they are entitled to asylum in the United States.

But it seems like people who come from communist block countries are granted it routinely and very simply, and people who come from right wing dictatorships it's much more difficult.

In order to give the immigration service and the U.S. Government some credit, I should also explain that we have less people arriving from communist block countries than we have arriving from Central America. I was told by the officer in charge of Tucson Immigration Service that they're picking up now 100, what they call other than Mexicans, OTM's, every week in southern Arizona. The vast majority of these, 99% of these are Central Americans and 99% of those Central Americans are Salvadorans.

Three or four years ago we weren't picking up any Salvadorans in southern Arizona, so the U.S. Government is faced with a huge amount of people coming in from Central America right now whereas people coming in from the Soviet Union and Rumania and various other countries it's a little harder to get here, I guess.

Q (By Mr. Pena) One of the problems that you cited is the voluntary departure form. Has Legal Aid tried to confince the immigration people that they ought to have one in the native language?

We have, not only have we tried to convince them but we have a lawsuit filed on this very issue. I understand, and it's only from rumor, that there are certain sections of the country that do have them in Spanish. Yet Arizona is not in Spanish. So we are working on that. None of the sheets of paper that are given to the aliens are in Spanish except for sometimes they will be given a list of community organizations that they can contact. That is in Spanish.

For representation, I have tried unsuccessfully to have Legal Aid added to that and they refused, it's Catholic Social Services, one of them that's listed, and they don't do any representation of asylum applicants, anybody in hearings they don't represent and they're listed as one.

Monzo Area Council's listed as another which does a lot of representation of asylums but Monzo, over the last year and a half, has been primarily working out of El Centro and not out of Tucson, so if someone's arrested in Tucson they're given a form that is totally useless to them of who to call.

MR. PENA: That's all.

Q (By the Chair) I was looking at the specific civil rights violations, first I have listed voluntary return in that area, the problem of bonds, the problem of access to clients and the separation of families, work authorizations. Could you give us an example of a specific law or policy, regulation, statute and so forth, that — or a conceptual one,

that guarantees these rights to the entity that you're talking about?

A Well --

Q I think you stated it and I may have missed it.

A Well, it depends on which one you're speaking of. For work permission it's an operations instruction of the immigration service, which is in their operating manual which is made public, which says that they may grant it to non-frivolous asylums. For the voluntary return, again it's operations instructions of the immigration service, which require them to explain the voluntary return to the alien.

In a number of these, bonds, it's by statute, by case law, and by Constitution that you can not set a bond as a punitive measure or to force someone to forego a right, the only reason you can set a bond is to insure their appearance at the hearing. And these bonds, in my opinion, are set excessively high as punitive measures or to force them to return to El Salvador, so that's by statute, case law and Constitution.

The access problem is a bigger problem. The access by way of, to their attorneys and to their families, generally you basically look at the United State Constitution and due process which entitles these aliens in a deportation hearing to due process of law, and due process of law entails the right to consult with an attorney of your choice, not paid

1 In other words, it's not a court-appointed attorney, 2 but an attorney of your choice, if your family can get one, 3 or if Legal Aid can represent you or something like that. So, those are -- we believe that we have a statutory or 4 5 constitutional basis for every single one of those requests and the federal judge in California has agreed with us but 6 it's difficult to monitor these when there are so many being 7 picked up at one time. THE CHAIR: Okay, do you have any other questions? 9 Thank you so much. 10 Thank you very much. 11 Α The next, person to appear is Mr. Gene Blue, THE CHAIR: 12 13

who is the Executive Director of the Opportunities Industrial Center.

Mr. Blue, will you state your name and organization for the record?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

14

15

i Gene c: bļue i i

Yes, sir, my name is Gene C. Blue. Phoenix Opportunities Industrialization Center. Organization:

(By the Chair) And what is your position with the organization?

I am the Executive Director and Chief Administrative Officer.

Do you have a prepared statement or were you --Q

A Yes, sir, we do.

21.

Initially, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, we express our appreciation for this opportunity to appear before the Commission. And the preliminary conversation and information that we have received, our comments are directed toward that area of employment discrimination, equal employment opportunity being denied within the confines of our operation which is a manpower training placement, counseling operation.

We will be careful and attempt not to specifically name companies that we are working with, and deal rather in generalities. Our comments represent an attempt to identify some concerns and long run objectives for employment discrimination policies and civil rights.

The enormous attention that's being paid to short term disruptive effects of affirmative action programs at the present time may have significant changes in the sub-discipline, labor and industrial relations.

Is there a modification process such that employment discrimination will be incorporated as a central component of the core areas of personnel labor management and manpower development of human resources or are the activities previously mentioned being put on a back burner?

When the National Labor Relations Act was passed in 1935 and the Fair Labor Standards Act in 1938, few individuals

could have forecast how these laws would shape behavior in labor markets. Employment discrimination procedures are slowly, very slowly, altering labor market procedures. The pace of change is too slow for some individuals, and we certainly are among that category.

Q.

For others, however, that the intervention of government in the labor market should be reduced. The employment discrimination laws that now operate across the entire American economy both public and private sector, evolved from earlier regulations on racial discrimination by federal contractors. Although Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prescribed discrimination in employment on account of race, color, sex, religion or national origin, the primary concern initially was the economic status of Blacks.

The exceedingly high unemployment rates among Blacks then, as today, in the word of John Kennedy, creates an atmosphere of frustration, resentment and unrest.

ation of women and disenchantment with many of the great society programs of the 1960's contributed to the major shift from racial to sex discrimination issues. The Black-White backlash moved into high gear at a time when the presence of women as protected individuals may have provided the support needed to maintain employment discrimination as a national priority.

This basic shift in emphasis also occurred as the economy experienced one mild recession stagnation, and the severe downturn of 1974-1975. During the latter part of the '60's, with the economy performing well, employers could be induced to undertake aggressive outreach, recruitment and hiring of Blacks. Individuals who had been previously excluded could now be absorbed into the labor market. And Black Americans accounted for a significant percentage of new hires in many companies.

However, the economic climate of the 1970's and the 1980's has created new tensions in the work place as the validity of seniority systems was challenged. Blacks were and are disproportionately laid off when the work force reductions were made in accordance with the last in and first out principle.

Attempts to reconcile affirmative action objectives and seniority procedures has split civil rights organizations and labor unions and former allies appeared in court and do appear in court as adversaries. The state of the economy, we suggest, especially in its ability to generate enough jobs for those seeking work, is a major determinant of how effectively equal employment opportunity rules and regulations will be implemented. And it is necessary that planning for future antidiscrimination activities take into account economic environmental factors.

It is highly unlikely, based on the information that we have today, that the aggregate unemployment rate will be reduced by 1983. Conversely, it may be increased. How will this affect the hiring, training and promotion of minorities and women? Will a sluggish economy mean an intense competition for jobs between experienced workers and newcomers into the labor market or into nontraditional jobs?

We feel that an emphatic yes is the answer. All will be affected. And individuals will utilize this employer's market to prevent access to the job for ethnic minorities.

For the past dozen years employment discrimination programs have been perceived as secondary and not essential in collective bargaining, arbitration personnel and manpower activities, all core elements of what might loosely be termed labor and industrial relations. This may have been a misjudgment, a misperception, or by design. For as the courts were interpreting Title VII or ruling on discrimination on constitutional grounds, and as the EEOC developed guidelines on testing validations, sex, national origin and religion, as the labor department for the United States Government attempted to develop an operation definition of affirmative action, as the Congress expanded the scope of Title VII under the Equal Employment Act of 1972, employment discrimination became a central issue to labor market activity.

Poor immigration and better linkage of employment

discrimination and industrial relations can be achieved in the long run, we sincerely believe.

The continued isolation of manpower, labor management, personnel programs and policies from employment discrimination, will prolong the tensions and disruptions of changing the rules of the game for labor market participation.

The manpower programs of the '60's emphasize training and education as effective techniques to improve the supply of disadvantaged workers. Many programs also provided work experience for these workers.

After the passage of a comprehensive Employment and Training Act commonly referred to as CETA, state and local manpower officials have set new manpower priorities. However the creation of large numbers of public service jobs as techniques for helping to reduce unemployment, deal with urban crisis, and welfare reforms, the employment discrimination activities need to be better coordinated with these efforts.

The expected transition from public service to private sector jobs has not occurred for many of the minorities who participated in these programs. Traditionally, collective bargaining contracts have emphasized the bread and butter issues such as wages and fringe benefits and the duty to fair representation was of least importance. Will they be more accommodative to employment discrimination concerns in the future?

1.2

In the private sector the personnel function or staff activity is treated as peripheral to line function of selling, manufacturing, production. Employment discrimination rules and regulations initially were restricted to the private sector. And personnel departments responded by assigning a low level employee the responsibility of dealing with Black workers.

23.

Directors of personnel did not see how equal employment opportunity was related to the functions of their units and frequently did not provide the guidance needed in many corporations. What was required was a better device for integrating these new problem workers into larger personnel subsystems. This lack of foresight was costly to many firms, because the consent decrees. Titigation and affirmative action plans had to be overlaid on structures that were not the most effective for the utilization of employees.

employment opportunity efforts because in the early days they could not obtain programmed solutions to difficult problems. Although personnel directors complained about the nuisance of complying with employment discrimination laws, they no longer ignore the fact that with the proliferation of self-defined minority groups and the greater presence of women, more of their workers are under the protective class umbrella.

The charges of reverse discrimination from workers not

so protected have upgraded the employment discrimination concerns and increased protectional efforts applied to problems of development and management of human resources.

4.

Ż

In spite of what might appear to be setbacks, currently, in the implementation of affirmative action, the characteristics of labor markets are changing. With more than half of all workers in the civilian labor market included in the protective classes, the labor market outcomes will reflect this new emphasis.

Employment discrimination as a concept and remedial programs as techniques to alleviate discriminatory conditions, will not wither away: Is this currently an employer's market? We believe certainly that it is. Are civil rights and EEOC activities being relegated to a back seat? Maybe not in all cases but certainly in some we believe the answer to that is in the affirmative.

We can and we must make a difference. At Phoenix
OIC we are continually deluged by requests from the United
States Department of Labor which read, in part, that this
office is currently conducting an equal employment opportunity,
EEOC, compliance review and requesting from us information as
to whether or not this particular company mentioned in the
correspondence is working with us.

It is painful, and yet true, that of the last 35 letters received, of this ilk, we could reply in the affirmative

to only one, and all of these are Arizona companies primarily based in Phoenix and Maricopa County. While some may say that this is a result of the current administrative posture as a result of an overreaction to discriminatory and EEOC activities, whatever the reason, we believe that affirmative action, civil rights and EEOC activities still have a priority and must retain a priority in our city, in our county, in our state and in our country.

We will work and endeavor to maintain that equal employment does not, does not wither way.

That concludes my opening statement; thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: Do you have questions, Mr. Pena? Of the presenter?

- Q (By Mr. Pena), Are you, able to resolve any of those discrimination problems through EEOC, working together with them?
 - A Through what hat Through EEOC?
- Q Yes.

20°

A Yes, sir, we are. We have a very good relationship, I might add, Senator, with the equal opportunity office as well as with the EEOC offices of several of the companies, commonly referred to as quad A, Arizona Affirmative Action Association. and we work with them in an attempt to solve the problem or situation rather than adding fuel to the fire.

1 We have been able to work with some companies, we're 2 working with two companies right now, this is a very timely kind of hearing where they have -- well, the records indicate 3 that they are grossly underutilizing Blacks, Mexican-Americans, 4 they never even heard of Native Americans, because that's not 5 been cited, and women. We have met and the procedure that we follow once we get one of these letters and send back our 7 response, then we get together, we try to schedule a meeting 8 on the premises of the company and the second followup meeting 9 at our facility. Where we go over with them what their par-10 ticular concerns are or what they are saying is not available, 11 and a system in recruitment efforts and educational programs 12 to get individuals into those companies. 13

And we have been successful. We've been — we haven't been successful enough. I'm the first to say that because certainly we aren't batting a 1,000, we're far from it, but it is very painful as I mentioned when we get these, this correspondence, only one out of 35 have we been able to respond when we got and said yes this company is working with us of their own volition, only one out of 35.

MR. PENA: Thank you, that's all.

Q (By the Chair) Can you give any specific operational examples of where federal law or policy, etcetera, is in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection under the law, is being violated?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Without giving the name of the company --
- Q Without the name of the company.

A Certainly we can -- companies who have federal contracts of course are required to abide by federal law and that
takes in --

Q And essentially the federal law says what?

A Essentially, that there will not be any discriminatory practices regarding employment as it pertains to race, sex, religion, national origin, and individuals will be given equal opportunity for the jobs.

Q Okay, does that require affirmative action on the part of the company in developing the work force to have an integrated work force?

A Yes, it does. Yes, it does. Definitely. And we feel also, and working with EEOC and some of their representatives, that this also means when there is a federal contract, that of their own volition the companies should, should certainly canvass the community where they are operating to see if they can acquire those individuals that they need to bring their ethnic representation up to par across the board, not just at the lower level or middle, but across the board and if that can not be accomplished that they should seek out those agencies, that is OIC, the Urban League, the other agencies that work in the city and county, to assist them in that endeavor.

Q And you have, back to my original question, you have examples without naming the companies and so forth, who actually do not follow that procedure?

A Yes, sir. And if I may --

Q And I take it in your testimony you have alluded to processes followed in trying to relieve those injustices?

A Yes, we have.

Q And at this point you are not satisfied?

A Well, we think certainly as I mentioned, there has been some success but we think there's going to be more. We think we can do more, the same excuses, Doctor, that were used ten years ago are being used now, we can't find anybody. And that is nonsense to us.

And we think that there certainly needs to be more aggressive action directly from the companies involved. These companies have secured federal contracts, they are making a good deal of profit, we are sure from those contracts, and yet they are reluctant to follow the antidiscriminatory regulations that go with the contract.

And use, for the example, the idea that, well, we tried, we asked how did you try? Have you utilized any of the ethnic, in minority newspapers, have you used any of the ethnic minority programs that are on television or radio? They said, well, we use the general papers, that is in the city. We said, well, we don't think that's enough. You've

got to go further than that, why haven't you used the minority, ethnic minority vehicles that are available? We didn't know about them. Well, we inform them.

Q Now, the entities whose responsibility it is to monitor the law, the application of the law, are you pleased with their action and activity?

A We are. We work, with the Office of Federal Compliance and those individuals I must say, now certainly they're sincere, I don't think we have enough, don't have enough staff to do the job as adequately as it should be done but we are pleased with their activity, with them informing us off paper as well as on, of some things that need to be done, and those personnel have worked with us over the years and they indicate a sincere desire to bring about equity and equality and more FGC personnel work very closely with us.

Q Are there hindrances for them to be increasingly more effective whether it's budget or staff or whatever?

A Well, certainly -- yes, I think the one that's mentioned the most recently in the last few years has been budgetary constraints and not enough personnel to do an intensive and close followup timely on companies that say yes, we're going to comply, we're going to work with XYZ, then getting back to them in a timely fashion and budget, budgetary constraints in personnel have been what they have indicated to us are two of their areas of real concern.

Q As a parallel to some questions that I've raised, as we look at projections for the labor force in this area, ten, 20 years from now increasingly, a disproportionate number of personnel will be involved in high tech. Is there any talk in our discipline about increased retraining or any involvement with companies as they are raising requirements for their forces?

ì

A Yes, sir, Doctor, we are looking at that, as a matter of fact Mr. Ben Pope and Ray Ilsee (Phonetic), two individuals from Lawrence Laboratories, we have, in the last two months, well, we've been doing it over a period of time but in the last two months come up with some specific programs that we think will be able — that will enable, excuse me, folks to overcome two basic things, and we talk about high tech.

we're talking about we think two basic obstructions, both of them can be classified as phobias.

One is computer phobia and the other being math phobia. Our research indicates that if individuals can get over that math phobia, really and if you can get to a good functioning eight, nine, in that area, eight to tenth grade math functioning level, that high technology does not pose a problem. It doesn't pose a seizure problem.

But, for example with the women, 80% of the women in this country have this math phobia, they just really, you know, it's a block and so we are working with Ben Pope and with Ray, trying to put together a comprehensive module, if you will, and they will be dealing with, that's probably going to be the title of it, overcoming the math phobia and computer phobia, that we think will enable folks to be retrained in a reasonably short period of time so that they can enter the field of high tech. without these fears of not being able to compete because I've got to, you know, robotics or — are going to take it all, that kind of thing.

But that is very salient, that's very current and it's something that must be addressed. I think on a large scale across this country.

Q In view of the fact that you interface with a number of people who may have experienced, either in their personal lives or within schools, difficulties, do you have any professional input into school boards or professional education groups, as feedback of expert observation of effects and suggestions for future curricular and so forth?

A We have, at this point, Doctor, been dealing primarily with Dr. John Scoulan (Phonetic) and the counseling component, and our attempt to get them, number 1, aware of some of the —

- Q Them being the Phoenix Union High School?
- A Right, Phoenix Union High School counseling --
- Q Or a high school district?

A —— aware of the resources that are available, particularly from an ethnic minority standpoint. For example, those ethnic minorities of Black and Brown, engineering societies, nursing societies or what-haveyou, that are available and will come in or send in representatives to work with children not just in high school but in the junior high school and elementary area as well, it is --

Q In other words, added resources?

A Oh, yes, added resources to them and it's practical, something that individuals will be able to come in directly into the classroom.

From the curriculum standpoint, we have not, on a formalized basis because we've met with Dr. Scoulan and we've done some things already that he's put into place with the council, but on a formalized basis, we've just made some suggestions so far pertaining to curricula, introduction of math at a much earlier level, well, I'm -- I suppose I've arrived at a point that I think maybe six years old is a little late to start school, you know, we think that if we could start individuals, the Headstart Program, I think, is very key, that we not just have people painting but we have introduction to math and English and those particular communication or computational skills and those have merely been suggestions to this point, that we feel will be necessary and they're certainly salient.

The earlier we can introduce our kids and the next

generation to computational skills and communication skills, the better we think they are going to function.

THE CHAIR: Fine. Do you have any other questions? Thank you so much, Mr. Blue.

A Thank you, thank you very much.

THE CHAIR: It's near 12:00 o'clock and I would suspect we will -- I guess we'll break and reconvene at 1:30.

(Noon recess, reconvened at 1:30 p.m.)

THE CHAIR: Just a little by

THE CHAIR: Just a little bit of background for the persons who will report this afternoon. We are primarily interested in finding, from your perspective, the critical civil rights issues in Arizona, and to somehow get a fix on what the, in your view, the impact of these issues have on the social, the political, the economic well being of the state.

And trying to tease out what efforts have been made to resolve these issues. And we do that within the context of the form and the function of the state advisory committee to the United States Civil Rights Commission, who's interested in voting rights, equal protection under the law, taking a look at the federal laws, rules and regulations that may discriminate or deny equal protection under the law.

You referred to the little booklet. The commission

1.1

12.

1.5

 serves as a clearinghouse of information about discrimination, and it does submit reports and findings and recommendations to the President and Congress. And with that as a background then, Ms. Emily Ferguson, will you sit here, please, and we'd be interested in your testimony.

EMILY FERGUSON

A (By Ms. Ferguson) Well, as you can see, in the report I chose only one area to concentrate on since I'm sure you have other groups who are focused in certain areas, interest in that area. And I wanted to be able to make it concise enough that it would be something that is important and yet that needs — some changes need to be done and that's why I selected the issue that is probably one of the most important in the whole women's movement, not just in Arizona, is equal pay, equal opportunity and equal rights for women, which we feel at this time are not being looked after properly.

And I would go through, just go mainly through the presentation and you know, we can have dialogue after that.

As most of you know, the laws are already on the books for protection of equal opportunity and equal pay for women, Title VII was specifically denied — excuse me, was specifically devised to insure equality for sex as well as race and religion. Also under the executive order, the Office of the Federal Contract Compliance and progress was to be working

on the affirmative action of women, which at this point in time is practically zero.

To say how does sex discrimination happen in the work place? I think it's easier to look and best to look and the indicator is the earnings of women that are in the job market. And the charts in the back of the report show the earnings fo full time women working and the variance in the amount of money they earn per dollar as a man earns, as well as education-wise the difference in pay in education.

Very interesting are the amount that women are earning as according to men has decreased over the years instead of increasing. Although since the statistics have been taken, Title VII which was supposed to see that equal pay was there, obviously is not working or we would see a closer gap instead of a wider gap.

Women, of course, are entering the work force at an increasingly large number, with over 51% of the women in the United States holding full time employment or actively seeking a full time employment position. Increasingly we are going to see the work pattern of both females and males to be full time workers in the paid work force. It seems clear that a particularly significant factor in the increasing participation in the American work force and the achievement of such equal opportunity as women currently possess, is the enforcement both public and private of federal antidiscrimination

laws and executive orders.

And I think the underlined word here is enforced. The laws are on the books. The present federal administration has expressed its desire to curtail the most successful vehicle for achieving equality of opportunity. The enforcement of equal employment opportunity by the EEOC has been substantially undermined by cutbacks in their spending as well as staff cutbacks, which result in a long delay of completing hearings.

Often, if one is to go through the complete EEOC process, it could take up to four to five years to conclude a case. Without aggressive leadership and funding the commission can not fulfill its trust which was broad, industry-based investigations of institutionalized discrimination among the larger employers.

These sorts of actions would have a meaningful result for a significant number of people, as well as be a deterrent to employers that are not following fair labor practices. In our state we are involved in, and personally aware of two cases that have been brought against employers within Arizona. Unfortunately, these cases are being brought by individuals at their own expense, money and emotional expense.

Norris versus Arizona was actually a landmark decision because it has already been found in the Arizona Courts that an annuity fund set up by the State of Arizona that allowed women to receive less money after retirement than men because

of the sex segregated actuarial tables was not constitutional and Arizona had to stop that kind of a payment. Nadine Norris is the person who brought this suit.

It is next going to be heard at the United States

Supreme Court. She has to get funding in order to be able to pay in order to take this to the United States Supreme Court. Such encompassing cases should not be the responsibility of an individual. When there are agencies that are committed to these goals.

However, when agencies, they can only lead this struggle when they are given the means by which to pursue this type of discrimination. The other case I'm referring to is Ford versus Revlon, in which Leta Ford, a buyer for Revlon, has filed suit against Revlon for sex harassment, sex discrimination as well as assault. This case has only been going to two years and is at the filing stage, but again Leta Ford is an individual that is going against the weight and power of one of Americai's largest corporations that not only will affect thousands of women in Arizona, it will also affect the entire United States.

We should not have to see an individual trying to amass thousands of dollars to insure that a multi-billion-dollar American corporation follow equal employment and equal rights for women. Progress will be achieved when these cases are finalized, however it has been the use of class actions and

the obtaining of affirmative action class relief that brings the greatest gains. By curtailing enforcement of the equal employment advancement by the EEOC, unlawful practices of employers are allowed to continue.

opportunity can also be observed in the changes to the regulations in the Office of Federal Contract Compliance progress. The federal government is substantially withdrawing its longstanding commitment to equal opportunity for women and minorities. It's doing this by eliminating approximately 75% of all federal contractors, the requirement that they prepare written affirmative action programs.

By eliminating the requirement that contractors report annually on their progress in meeting their affirmative action obligations, creating loopholes by which corporations avoid the preparation of affirmative action plans by the use of numerous small contracts as opposed to one large. Providing blanket exceptions for future compliance reviews for certain employers who are now in compliance, and eliminating preaward reviews of a contractor in EEOC records before that contract is given.

The allegation that affirmative action programs are forms of reverse discrimination since they take into account race, sex, and employ a numerical indices to measure women and minority representation, negates the enforcement.

Commitment to equal — equality of opportunity can only be measured by equality of result. With inflation biting harder into women's smaller wages, this is not the time to retreat from affirmative action. Rather, necessary support for vigorous enforcement should be given the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Office of Federal Contract Compliance programs. This is not the time to back off litigation or class action suits. Just as piecemeal legislation is not the answer.

Now more than ever, families and women are dependent on female salaries and only a systematic effort to eliminate massive sex discrimination can be effective for those who suffer because of sexual discrimination in the work place. Moreover, because in the work place there is sex segregation, there is a definite sexual differentiation in jobs, the EEOC previous commitment to comparative worth efforts is almost completely eliminated and needs to be rigorously encouraged and increased.

It has taken a long time to get where we are today.

And that is not very far. The women of this state and nation will not tolerate reversals and impediments to their obtaining full equal opportunity.

Do you have some questions or clarifications?

Q (By the Chair) Yes, there probably will be some questions and clarifications. Am I correct in saying that you

1 indicated that in your judgment, the laws are in place? 2 Correct, I think the law, in my judgment, the laws are A 3 there, the enforcement is not there. The enforcement is not there? 4 Q Yes. 5 Α And you also indicated that there is developing policies 0 6 that curtail enforcement? 7 8 Correct. Q So we get the record clear 9 THE CHAIR: Did you have any questions, staff? 10 Would you care to interpret the charts that you sub-17 mitted in that, there may be some subtleties that I missed. 12 There really are not any subtleties. What it is 13 showing is all the - from the analysis of income by by sex 14 and education level, that from elementary school education 15 through five years of college, that a full time worker, if 16 they are a male, are going to be earning more money than a 17 female employee. And it -- nomatter what the education is 18 or what the starting level is of the salary when that happens. 19 20 The other form which is the fap of the annual earning's is showing that we are, the more women in the work force, 21 the larger the gap is becoming, and in areas the gap is larger 22 than the national average. 23 So that for every dollar a man earns in Arizona, a 24 woman would earn 57.6 cents. 25

```
1
           So this means that grouping, first chart, grouping all
      0
2
   women who are employed --
3
      Α
           Yes, that is true.
           -- and all males who are employed --
      ,Q
5
           Full time employees, yes.
      A
           -- so it does not indicate persons doing the same type
6
      Q
    of jobs?
7
8
                However, *if we were to take any particular job,
9
    you would find there is still a difference, it would not
10
    matter what the job is.
11
      Q
           With the same amount of training?
12
      Α
           Correct.
13
           Same amount of
                           education?
        ** Yes, sir.
14
1.5
           Similar skills, etcetera?
      Q
      Á
           Right.
16
           Is that right?
17
      Q
18
           Beginning, students that come out of four years of
19
    college with an engineering degree, when you average all of
20
    the starting salaries, the male is earning more than the
    woman graduate, start right out of college.
21
            (By Mr. Palacios) Do you know of any particular fields
22
      Q
    or professions that were -- where the male and the female is
23
    in fact making the same for the same job, or can we just state
24
    categorically that wherever you go it's the same?
25
```

A No, I do not know any job where you could say by taking, you know, the income of all the men in that profession and all of the women, that they would come out equal, I know of no profession that way.

Q (By the Chair) Piggybacking on that question, are there certain jobs that you use as focal points or light-houses that points the way, such as broadcasting, say? I hear of some top females, males in the 500,000, 600,000, I was reading last week in Newsweek where Mrs. Chung, CBS, 600,000. Are there some occupations that you use as examples, as criterions to show that for equal skill, equal ability and so forth, that it's working?

A That it's it's the premise is and I think it's an accurate premise, is that it is not working.

Q It is not working?

A There are a few people that are, or a few women that get into top paying jobs, I don't think there is any doubt about that, there are women that are in vice presidencies of large corporations but they are the minority. And not the majority. Or not even the average. But there are only a very few that ever reach that stage.

Q So, essentially, you're saying that the laws are in place, the administration of the laws are questionable?

A Uh-huh.

Q The effect is that with equal skills, equal level of

education, equal abilities, men historically are currently 2 are making more money than women? 3 Α Correct, and the gap is not getting closer, it's getting farther apart. 4 Getting farther apart and it's becom especially 5 critical now in view of the fact, always has been but 6 especially since women heads of households, families and in-7 creasingly will be working full time have greater responsi-8 9 bilities and so forth? Α 10 THE CHAIRS If there are no other questions, then, 11 that's all and we have your report. 12 13 mittée? .14 15 ALLISON HUGHES 16 Ā (By Ms. Hughes) Indeed, Mr. Commissioner --17 Q (By the Chair) Will you state your name and the 18 organization for the record? 19 Yes, my name is Allison Hughes, I'm a private consul-20 tant in women's affairs. I served for six years as the 21 executive director of the Women's Commission and six years 22 prior to that as the head of the grants office at Pima College. 23 I worked at the United States Commission on Civil 24 Rights in Washington, D.C., and I've worked at the University

25

of Wisconsin.

- Q , The Women's Commission housed where? '
- A In Tucson.
- O In Tucson.
- A I also served on the governor's commission on women for five years.
 - Q Fine. And do you have a prepared statement?
- A I do. There are a number of concerns I want to bring before you today. Chiefly that relate to discrimination on the basis of sex:

In August, 1982, the Arizona Daily Star noted that Tucson was the fourth fastest labor force growth rate in a survey of 30 western cities done by Chase Econometrics. Phoenix ranked third in that survey with a 15.4% growth rate. Manufacturing employment grew by 9.2% while the national work force in manufacturing fell below almost 4%.

In Phoenix, manufacturing jobs account for about 17% or 11,000 of the 646,000 jobs in that city. According to Michael York, and cited by the Star as being the chief research of the Arizona Office of Economic Planning and Development.

Now, according to Dr. Lawrence Mann, Professor of Public Policy at the University of Arizona, manufacturing employment will continue to increase. He's quoted by the Star as saying that all hell's about to break loose in relocation of major companies including electronics firms in Tucson.

A few weeks ago, the Star printed a story informing us that the Garrett Corporation, another major electronics firm, would move its headquarters to our city. While I welcome to Tucson, and to Arizona in general, new industries, especially of the clean air variety, which will offer jobs to our out-of-work populace, I am necessarily concerned over the policies and practices some companies might carry which have detrimental effects on workers:

For example, in June of 1979, the Arizona Daily Star reported in National Semiconductor Corporation would begin hiring nonskilled labor at its new Tucson plant. One of the firm's top executives indicated that the firm would hire three or 400 people and that by 1985 payroll was expected to go to 25 million dollars with 2,000 employees. 95% of whom would be hired locally.

The company's top executive, was quoted by the Star as explaining that many of the company's jobs involved working with intricate circuitry including 100 square mil silicone chips. Experience, and I quote, experience has shown that women seem to be more dextrous and generally better suited for this kind of work. He said. Young men seemed to get antsy.

He went on to say that among the reasons the company chose for moving to Tucson was availability of labor, quality of labor, quality of labor, quality of life, and the university.

Another long term conversation was Arizona's right to work law, which eliminates union membership as a requirement for holding a job. National wage scales will be based on what is competitive in the Tucson market, he added. Clearly, the company expected that women would be segregated into the firm's assembly jobs.

.2

The Motorola Company plant, located in Phoenix, was faced with losing a serious court battle with female assembly plant workers who challenged that the company segregated women into assembly level positions and did not provide opportunities for them to the technician level jobs held mostly by men.

standing program to train assembly workers for technician jobs. The program is currently under way and it is proving that female assembly workers are perfectly capable of learning technician jobs, some of the employees in the plant are even hoping to enter engineering school as a result of the training.

I went to Motorola, met with some of these employees and I should say that about 50% of them are women of color.

I served on a Tucson citywide committee which is working to plan for our city's future development. Among the community leaders in this committee are presidents of major corporations located in the city, in Tucson.

On one occasion I raised the issue of integrating into our planning papers a discussion of comparable worth. With the goal of seeking community reaction to the issue. At first the businessman did not understand. They thought I was talking about equal pay for equal work. I explained the different connotations of meanings between that and the comparable worth and suddenly one executive reacted. That is an economic issue, he said, we don't want to deal with it. I responded he was absolutely right, comparable worth is indeed an economic issue.

Last year the Supreme Court opened up the possibility of court suits by women who claim they're paid less for jobs that are comparable towhat men are doing. 13 states now have comparable work language in state equal pay statutes.

Arizona does not.

Also, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has not yet adopted guidelines on comparable worth. I believe it is imperative that the commission adopt such guidelines as soon as possible.

But why do we need new guidelines on comparable worth and why could states need to modify their equal pay statutes? The issue goes back to the time that women were pushing for passage of laws which would assure them equal pay for jobs of comparable worth. The standard used by the War Labor Board during World War II, the federal Equal Pay Act, which

ultimately emerged from Congress in 1963, mandated equal pay only for equal, not comparable work. Consequently, the wage gap between male and female workers did not increase after passage of the EPA. Women earned only 59 cents for every dollar earned by men in today's working world. Maybe up to 60.

Research over the past ten years suggests that the current disparity is not primarily due to unequal pay for equal work, the problem addressed by the EPA, but rather to the concentration of women in low paying jobs which are different in content from men's job.

The descriptions I have given above about electronics firms illustrates the point. The Arizona Legislature, to my knowledge, has not attempted to deal with this issue, although the Arizona Women's Political Caucus this year insured that candidates this organization endorsed for legislative office agreed to support those candidates who support comparable worth.

I recommend that the Arizona Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights, recommend that the commission members publish a substantive paper on the issue, and that it asked the commission to urge the EEOC to adopt guidelines of comparable worth as quickely as possible.

Second issue I want to discuss is again in the civil rights laws of Arizona, which is administered by the Arizona Civil Rights Division, Department of Law. Article III of that

<u>2</u>

law and the topic of public accomodations, Section 41, 1442, prevents discrimination in places of public accomodation. The law protects Arizona residents from discrimination in this area on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin or ancestry. Notice that there is no mention of protection on the basis of sex. This is a serious omission.

Staff members of the civil rights division are acutely aware of the omission, the Arizona Legislature has not modified the law. Of course, had the Equal Rights Amendment been passed into law this past June, I believe it would have provided some additional protection necessary to women in Arizona but it did not become law and we re now faced with trying to find other means of insuring equal protection as women, through our legal and administrative structures.

While I'm aware that the jurisdiction of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the Commission on Civil Rights at the state level makes recommendations on state legislation, I urge your commission to ask the state civil rights committee to review this matter publicly and to seek appropriate changes in the law.

And I want to give you an example of the implications of this sex discriminatory law. While I served as the executive director of the Tucson Women's Commission, I received a complaint from a male member of a private club, against that club, because it would not allow females to hold office.

2 3

1

4

5 6

7 8

10

11 12

13 14

16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25

Females, that is to say wives of members, could attend club meetings but not participate in the voting process. The man was angry as his wife was a good sailor, it was a sailing organization and he believed she should be able to hold office if she desired.

The club claimed it could do as it wished as it was a private entity. Yet the club offered public voting classes from which it recruited its male members. I was unable to file a complaint against the club with the state civil rights division because the division can not accept sex discrimination complaints related to places for public accommodation.

· In New Jersey women we're able to file a complaint against the same club for a similar discriminatory action. The New Jersey law protected them against discrimination in places of public accomodation.

And incidentally, although I have not written in my account, that the club, when faced with the lawsuit in New Jersey, disbanded its operations in New Jersey. Because it's still in litigation.

Finally, I want to focus your attention to discrimination by insurance companies in areas like pensions, annuities, deferred compensation plans. While Title VII laws do not mention insurance benefits, the courts are beginning to interpret this lawto mean that discrimination in insurance is forbidden. Arizona, however, has no law that protects

its populace from discrimination in insurance on the basis of sex, race, religion, etcetera.

A few years ago I was involved in a project with the Arizona Women's Commission at the state level to attempt to get the legislature to pass such a law. The insurance companies went to great deal of trouble and spending funds to lobby our legislature not to pass such a law. They won. As a compromise we managed to get the Arizona Department of Insurance to pass an administrative ruling preventing discrimination on the basis of sex, race, religion, creed and in insurance, but insurance companies know well that an administrative rule does not have in it the teeth found in a law.

I recommend that the Arizona Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, recommend that the commission study the issue of discrimination in insurance, and that it also recommend that the state civil rights advisory committee review this matter publicly and seek appropriate change in the law.

Clearly, issues such as those I have presented today can have a demoralizing effect on the people who believe they are being discriminated against, however I believe we have the talent, commitment and ability to change things for the good of our community and state and everyone living here.

I hope the advisory committee will be able to accomplish

23.

changes and let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Q (By the Chair) Thank you. You referred to the concept of comparable worth. Can you explain it, give an example?

A Sure. When you have women who are working at -- in a class, and men working in another class of jobs, say women are all working as secretaries as a class, and a skill is required of them, they must be able to type. Okay? That's a skill, they must have a GED. But most of that class is composed mostly of women.

Then you take another class of employees and say it's emptying garbage and no skill is required for them to empty garbage. But mostly men are in that class. They don't have to have a GED, perhaps, they don't have to have a skill, they just are hired. But they are paid more. Because that's a more — it's considered a job that — that not too many people would do, perhaps, but nevertheless they are paid more in that class regardless of skill than the other class of people are paid with — with skill.

It's a complicated one because what has to happen is that jobs have to be analyzed, skills have to be analyzed, job descriptions have to be analyzed and they all have to be compared and how do you compare them?

You see, because you're -- what we're looking for is equal pay for jobs that are comparable in value. We want to know that the secretary is valued as much as the garbage

collector, or that the librarian is valued as much as the engineer. If a librarian is going through graduate school and the engineer is going through graduate school, but engineers are as a class are mostly men and librarians as a class are mostly women, both have master's degrees, you see?

Q If both of those groups became mixed, would that eliminate the concept of comparable worth?

A If all jobs .--

Q If men became secretaries and women became engineers?

A I would hope so, but I'm afraid that what might happen is if men took over the job of being librarians, then the jobs might be valued more, and then they might be getting paid more. Because of the fact that they're men.

Q Can you help me capture the essence of the law in states where the concept of comparable worth is operating? In your own words? What -- help me capture the essence of the law, what does it say, in effect? That jobs will be --

A Let me see if I can remember. Take the one in the State of Oregon that resulted in the Supreme Court decision to allow Title VII. The Supreme Court didn't decide that on comparable worth, what it decided was that Title VII, as a law, could be used as an avenue for discrimination complaints in that area. But to my knowledge, the first test of comparable worth through Title VII has still to get to the Supreme Court, I don't think that they have actually decided

yet on comparable worth through Title VII. Okay?

1.5

So, the case in Oregon that was the main case that originally went to the Supreme Court was one of the matrons in the Oregon prison system who were getting paid less than the men who act as jailors. Regardless of their longevity and that sort of thing. And they felt that their work was under valued as women.

And they filed on that basis, because they, you know, they — the personnel system as it was structured could justify paying the women less. Because as I say, their jobs were valued differently than the jobs of the jailors.

Q That raises another question. All of your recommendations appear to be grounded upon, I'll say ex post facto, after women were in jobs. Was there any reason why you did not make recommendations regarding access to training or education or whatever?

A Well, I did point out the example at Motorola. Where the complaint that was filed against the Motorola Corporation in Phoenix was specifically because the company had women as a class as assembly workers and men as another class as mid-level technicians and was not offering the women training access to become mid-level technicians, so they filed through Title VII and challenged the company.

The women won and the company lost and as a result of the negotiations of that, the company agreed to provide the training program. I think it's a good training program to watch. I've been and visited it, it's outstanding the women — I've met those women, some of them don't have high school diplomas, never had an opportunity, some of them are the first in their families to ever go to college and this is providing them with an opportunity to be in college, they're becoming inspired now with this opportunity that's been given to them, talking about going on to engineering school.

Ί

*≥*5

8.

1.5

So, I think that if I is I mean the point that you've used is excellent, I would recommend that the commission push that, say the labor department through the CETA replacement, employment and training programs that are designed to replace CETA, I would recommend that the commission try to work within the federal system to insure that some administrative priorities are placed into the new Employment and Training Act to train women into high technology positions and when Senator DeConcini was running for office recently, I met with him and raised this issue to him as a senator and asked him if he could not possibly sponsor some legislation geared to provide technician level training, because in the high tech. jobs, women are left out.

Q I raise that question too because as I read future studies and strategic planning done by organizations, X percentage of jobs that will characterize our state don't exist today?

1 Α Right. 2 Q. So unless there's some provision for access to training 3 programs --I really frankly didn't think about having the commis-4 5 sion recommend that I went through the senate level, it would 6 be wonderful it would come from a lot of different levels 7 because it's definitely needed. And there's one other point, you've referred a couple 8 0 9 of times in your recommendations, the first one being a sub-10 stantive paper by the commission. Could you amplify what that commission --11 12 Well, the commission creates some good publications that I think affect public policy. 13 You mean our commission? 14 0 15 Yes, the Civil Rights Commission. The U.S. Civil Rights Commission, I didn't know 0 16 17 whether you were referring to another commission. 18 Ä I think it would be worthwhile for the commission to 19 do á study. 20 0 A position paper? Another thing I'd like to bring up is that the commis-21 22 sion in 1968 gave a superb conference on race and education in Washington, because I helped with the organization of it, 23 it was really outstanding, there were 2,000, maybe 4,000 24 people, we had two hotels, and it was at that conference that 25

some of the basic papers on school desegregation took place and I think that it would be great if the commission could do a reassessment of that issue, because there's been sort of public — a change in public opinion, as I've perceived, especially of the civil rights movement, as to, you know, the debate over whether school desegregation is working or not. Or whether you know the issue of whether more money should be put into schools that are predominantly minority and upgrade the education within that rather than, you know, desegregating.

Thean I come from the old school, I believe in school desegregation. But I think that it is an interesting issue for current debate, although I don't know whether, under the current administration, this is the appropriate time to do it.

THE CHAIR: Okay, any questions of staff?

A There was one other thing, Alvin BAker is the President of the Tucson Chapter of the NAACP and I'm on his board and he called me yesterday with his presentation because he couldn't come, it's very brief and so would you mind if I read it?

THE CHAIR: Fine..

22.

24.

A Okay. This is by Alvin Baker, President of the Tucson Chapter of the NAACP.

A very critical civil rights concern for Arizona in

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, DICURTI, SCHIPPERS, GARWOOD & ERWIN
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

1983 is education of government and business to the needs and concerns of Black Arizonans. Sensitivity to the Black community of Arizona has to do with respect, yet respect for Black concern may be lacking due to the small percentage of Blacks living in our state.

T: 1

1.8

0

Institutions such as the University of Arizona have shown a lack of understanding and respect towards the needs of Blacks. The Black students have demonstrated their frustrations and concerns to the university administration, yet the institution has still to take positive action to respond to the satisfaction of the students.

Similarly, the university displayed a dismal employ.

ment record of Black faculty and staff. Why must the institution be compelled to hire more Blacks instead of doing so
because it's the human thing to do?

The advisory committee should recommend that the major educational institutions in our state generate dynamic recruitment drives for faculty members and staff members into top positions representing Black concerns. Qualified Blacks are available.

The universities need to do the outreach to find them.

Granted, these are difficult times in terms of the employment of citizens of Arizona, regardless of our ethnic background, but as always, economic hard times hit minorities hardest of all.

1 As long as the Black community suffers because of the 2 denial of civil and human rights, then the total community 3 suffers. I recommend that the commission continue to educate 4 business and governmental officials to respect the human 5 rights of all Arizona citizens regardless of color or race. This will help equal employment and equal justice under the 6 7 law. Had you planned to leave copies of both 8 of those reports? 10 11 Do you have any questions? Thank you kindly: 12 Are you familiar, Mr. Fox, with the dynamics of 13 14 HUGH FOX 15 16 (By Mr. Fox) No, except that you would introduce me 17 and I would speak, is that what you're speaking of? 18 (By the Chair) Yes. Certainly. Are you aware of the 19 form and the function of the state advisory committees in 20 relation to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights? 21 A = It has an advisory role. 22 0 Yes, an advisory role. 23 A Uh-huh. 24 And this is a fact-finding hearing, non-adversarial, Q 25 and we're trying to gain the perspective of persons who are

employed in the civil rights area generally, so that we can inform the U.S. Commission as well as establish some state priorities so that we can go further in-depth.

A I see. I cme here today to give you information about the major issues of concern to the disabled community and so I think that would fit right into your purpose.

Q Certainly. Sure, handicapped is one of the areas of interest and also responsibility of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights. So, with that as background, will you -- take a seat; please?

And for the record, will you state your name and the organization that you represent?

A Yes, my name is Hugh M. Fox, and I'm with the Metro

Q And will you also state the position, your position within that organization?

A Yes. I head up the legal information and negotiations section of the Metro Independent Living Center.

- Q And do you have a prepared statement, Mr. Fox?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q Fine.

A First I'd like to say that it's an honor to be here and it's a particular honor to follow Allison Hughes. I hope you'll take what she says with great weight because she is very well respected in Tucson.

I appreciate this opportunity again from the civil rights advisory board to speak to you here today, on some of the more urgent problems people with disabilities have here in Arizona. I say the people with disabilities rather than disabled people because we are not a separate class or a separate anything. We are just people with the same emotional and other needs as everyone else.

This is something that ablebodied folks tend to forget sometimes and it causes confusion in dealing with disability rights:

First, what is needed in the State of Arizona in the way of civil rights for people with disabilities. And incidentally, my sources here are our own clients at Metro Independent Living Center and also the Tucson Commission on the handicapped.

My experience working for two years with Metro Independent Living Center has been that there's such a waste of people's potential in Arizona. There are many, many disabled people with skills and training, they have Ph.D's, they have master's degrees but yet they can't find jobs because of traditional ways of looking at disabled people, that the employers and other people have. And so they're forced to resort to public assistance.

The biggest need, therefore, I think, in Arizona, is to enforce and promote the idea of independence and self sufficiency. If we as a nation can sell breath deodorant we can certainly sell independence to those with disabilities, especially since most people with disabilities would be such willing listeners. And willing adherence to such a philosophy.

5.

Once people with disabilities have their natural desire to live productive lives satisfied, many of the other problems I will talk about will disappear through the increased participation of more people with disabilities who are anxious to get on with their jobs and lives. The civil rights advisory board should have an active policy of encouraging -- encouraging this through effective use of the mass media.

Independent living training, for example, has been proven to be much cheaper than maintenance. More training, therefore, in independence an self sufficiency is needed.

But now more specific areas of need in Arizona. In the area of jobs. There's still much discrimination going on in Arizona. Though section 504 and I speak, of course, of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, though 504 is being better enforced as it relates to employment. Section 504 is useless. This is because the federal courts have ruled that 503 only provide to those programs specifically funded to increase employment opportunities. So, companies are free to discriminate freely against otherwise qualified people with disabilities.

So, federal antidiscrimination laws have got to be

made stronger.

Also state laws need to be legislated. It's fairly ridiculous to pay for someone's support when that person wants to support himself but can't because of outdated laws and ways of thinking.

Some of the more graphic discrimination comes from those disabilities that have traditionally been ostracized from the mainstream. Such as epilepsy or visual handicaps, and people with low back problems, even though it has nothing to do with the job, they're being turned away from employment because of this state's particular second injury law that could penalize employers if the person was injured again.

I'm not going to get too much into that particular law because it's fairly complex, suffice it to say, though, that for three years, leaders of the disabled community have been attempting to get statewide 504-type legislation but have been unable to because of the — the myths and ignorance over what people with disabilities can do. So, some proges—sive thinking and action is needed here.

If we just look at it economically, it's clear that it's cost effective to bar discrimination in employment. Let people pay their own ways, give them the chance to pay their own way.

Another area that has come up recently has been that

of mental disabilities in the sense of learning disabilities. That's where a person can be very intelligent, can have nothing wrong with them except that they might, on certain days, even be able to read —— I mean on certain days be unable to read or certain days be unable to write, whereas other times they can write. It's just some minor problem in the brain but nevertheless, a lot of them have been neglected before 94-142 was brought in in the schools: So, they were neglected in the schools and now they can t get jobs and they're not qualified for vocational rehabilitation. They're not qualified for vocational rehabilitation because they're not severely disabled enough to satisfy their requirements.

7.

: 17

So, a lot of them have low paying jobs and aren't given jobs that match their true capabilities. Only slight modification sometimes are needed in the job place so that people with learning disabilities can be very effective employees.

As far as the deaf community goes, the deaf community, and here I'm speaking for Tom Agee, a leader of the deaf community in Tucson, who asked me to say a few things about their particular problems. Their main problem in employment is that a lot of time they have entry level jobs but they're not advanced. The reason they're not advanced is that the employers don't see the need to provide interpreters.

For example, at staff meetings or at interoffice conferences and things like that. The deaf employees are left out because there are no interpreters, and so this is something that should be encouraged also. Another critical need in Arizona, not so much in Tucson, but especially in Phoenix and very much so in the more rural areas, is transportation. Transportation of people with disabilities is essential for people to be able to have their own jobs, to live independently. There are many modifications to cars and vans, of course, tht can be made to transport disabled people, but until they can earn the ten of \$15,000.00 that's often necessary to get these adaptive devices or adaptive vans, it's awfully difficult to make the money to do that if you can't have transportation to your job. The community of people with disabilities in Tucson has worked very hard to get adequate transportation, it's not exactly adequate now but it -- we soon hope to make it adequate.

1

2

3

4,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But in the rural counties there's absolutely nothing, there's absolutely no way of transportation for people with disabilities and in Phoenix it's restricted to social service programs only, no type of socialization and no type of work transportation is available to people in Phoenix. A city of almost a million and a half, including the outlying areas. The opportunity for disabled people to learn their civil rights has been neglected in Arizona also. Especially those people with hearing impairments.

They don't know their rights as citizens and more

and Tucson have certain programs started for that but it's very different between the urban areas and the rural areas.

So, no more — excuse me, more training programs for their own civil rights are needed for that.

The office of civil rights may, I'm sure, complain about a lack of funding that they have, but there are numerous numbers of people with disabilities that would like to volunteer for the civil rights. At Metro Living Center, we have 20 people volunteering and we can't accept more right now because we simply don't have anything for them to do but we have another 20 to 40 that would like to volunteer and those folks, I'm sure, would love to work with the office of civil rights in having classes or something like that where they train other people with disabilities in their civil rights.

Another area that I would like to address today also, is that of housing for people with disabilities. There is a definite need for more housing, for people with disabilities, but right now I think that it would be of more value if the department of health — I mean the department of housing and urban development took a more active role and a, more responsibility in following their own regulations. As far as section 504 goes.

I know when I was at the Metro Independent Living

Center for a couple of months and I phoned up the director of housing and urban development in Phoenix, to get some information, and I asked him if I could talk to him a little bit about section 50%, and he said what is section 504? And so I think there's definitely more need for that.

°23

In Tucson, for example, there was an apartment building that was built just recently. It started accepting people
a couple of months ago. And it had a lot of apartments for
those people with disabilities, and it had had some federal
monies involved there, some active participation by HUD to
get this built.

Well, the way they put folks in there was they just had a list, they made a list of people, of their names and then they assigned them room, there was no way that those folks could go and check out the areas in the apartments to see whether or not it fit their disabilities. And some of the apartments were different as far as disability modifications went. So you had — you had some people in apartments that would have been very good for other disabilities but that they couldn't use them. And so it's very difficult also for those apartments to be changed after the people are already in them and it's difficult for those apartments, the construction of those apartments to be modified after they're already built. It's a shame that there wasn't some sort of effort by HUD to fit the disability to the apartments.

Of course, as far as the TTY's for the community of people with hearing impairments, that's been fairly good in Arizona, but still a lot more work in that area is needed. Many more of those machines to more government agencies are necessary. Those machines now are down to \$400.00 or \$300.00, they're very simple to work, you can just put a phone onto them, and you can have their — they're about the size of my briefcase except a little bit thicker. And I'd really like to see more of those put in the various government agencies.

What I would like to do now is answer any questions that you might have about the specific needs of the people with disabilities in Arizona. I would hope that you would orient it towards Tucson because that is my main area, of course.

Q (By the Chair) Are you an attorney?

12.

A No, I'm a paralegal, I work, though supervised, in the sense of giving out legal information. I don't give legal advice but I do give legal information such as attorney fee schedules or attorney fee methods and things like that, I talk to folks about social security, I'll be training to represent peole at social security hearings soon but I'm not doing that right now.

Q You alluded to needs of the persons with disabilities. Was there implication that federal or state laws were not being properly administered? Laws in place? Or was there an

implication that there's a need for new law? Or --

A It's both. I've had to spend a lot of my time educating folks that are charged with the responsibility for carrying out section 504 for their respective agencies. They're usually willing to do it, but, in the government sector, but they do need the information presented to them.

In the private sector, if T come across like I try to do, in a very educational and reasonable way, I usually make the people responsible in companies understand and believe that not discriminating is much better for the good of the company.

But when I say both, I mean state legislation, for example for a statewide section 504-type law is definitely needed, there are many people with that.

- Q We don't have one?
- 16 A No, no. There is --
 - Q But we do have it at the federal level?
- 18 A Yes.

ァ

^9

T

Q And you said it needs to be stronger?

A Yes, the one at the federal level does need to be made stronger also, especially section 503. The courts tried to find the congressional intent on that and they severely restricted it. It would be comparable to saying that you could — that you would — you were not responsible for the civil rights laws on race except if your company was — had

a government contract that was specifically to promote the hiring of minorities, and if you didn't have money like that, if you were just getting money for, say, contractual reasons, for example to supply the federal government with planes, then you could freely discriminate because you would have — you would have mo legal requirement to do otherwise. And that 's the state of the civil rights laws for disabilities in private industry today.

And so, congressional intent or a congressional law that definitely states that 503 is for at least everyone that has or receives federal money or has a contractual relation with the federal government and is needed, of course the community of people with disabilities would like to see it apply to everyone, but Congress didn't want to go that far.

But we feel it should certainly apply to any company that receives federal funds for whatever reason. To bring it into equality with the other civil rights laws. Did that 'answer your question enough, Dr. Warren?

- Q Sure. Did you make any reference to mobility, access in public buildings and so forth?
 - Q At al1?

A No, I did not. That, with the new construction that is being followed pretty well except for the -- for the way: that it's done in apartment houses, as I mentioned.

More sensitivity to the needs of disabled people are

18.

needed in that area by the department of housing and urban, development, but in other construction, that's moving — that's required now, especially in Arizona, most of the zoning laws comply with accessibility requirements.

In older type existing buildings, then there definitely is a problem. But that is moving along fairly well now.

Tucson, for example, we finally got the city to start putting in curb cuts so that people can get around town and other areas.

THE CHAIR: Do you have any questions?

ì

'5

Q (By Mr. Palacios) No, just a comment and perhaps Hugh would like to comment on this. As a culture or as a society or nation or whatever you care to label us as, I think it's true in, say in that we as a group tend not to want to be around old people, we tend not to be —— want to be around those that are so-called disabled, that have disabilities, and in some cases even tend not to want to be around those that are of different colors or different religions and so forth, but I think particularly so with older people and with those that have some kind of so-called disability.

And we tend to feel very uncomfortable around people like this, I think, as a culture. We idolize and place on pedestals Farrah Fawcett-Majors and these kinds of images and I'm wondering if -- how in the world do you fight a battle like that, what do you do, how do you combat that type of

mentality?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, the way that you combat something like that is to provide integration, you provide integration of, in the schools, in the - in housing and the jobs. it's worked -it hasn't worked to the satisfaction of minorities, but it's -- I think that people of minorities would agree that it's much better now than it was 20 years ago, and it's the same with disability, especially with Public Law 94-142 and section 504, that required mainstream of people with severe disabilities in the schools. As a substitute teacher I was a substitute teacher before I became employed with Metro Independent Living Center, and I noticed then a lot of the students that were severe disabilities in classrooms and when the teacher, depending on how the teacher introduced them at the beginning of the year, they are very, very well accepted by the other students. You would tend to think that children can be very cruel and they can if it's not introduced right, but when a teacher introduces the children as simply other children with certain things wrong with their arms or their legs or their-- or parts of their brain and does it in a very sensitive way, the other children accept them for what Other human beings. they are.

And I think that the answer to your question of how to negate this societal--

> THE CHAIR: Myth --

1 Myth, yes, of strangeness or other -- or seeing other 2 people with disabilities of seeing people of minority races, 3 you know, as something different, it just, you know, is much better if you integrate them. 4 That was a very significant question, 5 (By the Chair) particularly in light of the changing face of America, people 6 7 are living longer, there are fewer children. So the possibilities of interaction between young people and senior 8 9 citizens or people with disabilities or differences, 10 diversities, will become increasingly now. Which has implications for our socializing processes, public schools, homes? 11 That's right. 12 0 Print media, electronic media, etcetera. 13 THE CHAIR: If there are no other questions, then, 14 that's all, and thank you very kindly for your comments. 15 Α Thank you. 16 17 THE CHAIR: Mr. Ray Valenzuela. I think you've heard, Mr. Valenzuela, the form and the 18 function of this meeting today. Primarily fact-finding. 19 And 20 RAY VALENZUELA 21 **.22** (By Mr. Valenzuela) Yes, I have. I'm not sure I fully understand the --23 (By the Chair) Well, then, will you state your name 24 Q. and the organization whom you --25

1 My name is Ray Valenzuela and I'm with the Federation 2 of State, County and Municipal Employees, which is a labor 3 organization that primarily represents public employees. 4 And what is your position with that organization, Mr. 5 Valenzuela? 6 I am a project staffer. 7 Do you have a prepared statement? 8 Not really. I do have some topics that I would like Α to speak on, however. I don't know if they're appropriate, 9 10 and then, when I indicated that I wasn't sure of what the --11 what the advisory committee purpose is. But the area that I 12 wanted to speak on was the procedural practice of the civil 13 rights commission and some of the problems that we have experienced with the case processing. 14 15

- And the civil rights commission referring to --Q
- THe EEOC office.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Fine. Well, we, to restate, we're interested Okay. in trying to identify the critical issues in Arizona, the civil rights issues, and then trying to somehow get a fix on what the impact of these are upon the social, the political and the economic well being of the state, and also to get some idea of what efforts have been made to resolve these issues?

Well, as a labor organization, we do assist employees with discrimination cases to whatever extent we're permitted to do so. I think that, you know, it's — to have a system that deals with this area is great, but for the system to not adequately function, I think, you know, the idealism of the whole concept of having the system to deal with discrimination doesn't do much for use if the system itself does not provide adequacy in a lot of areas and that's the area that I wanted to deal with

3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you have no problem with that I can go into some of the experiences that we have had. It seems like more and more there's some reluctance by the -- these agencies to permit labor organizations to participate in assisting employees to any extent. I've had the experience of not being allowed to accompany people that are within our organization that are having these problems to certain discussions with certain people from the commissions, I've had problems with hearings and the participation that you're allowed in these factfinding hearings. Outside of that, however, the many cases that we have assisted with or attempted to assist some employees with, we often find that the process is either not followed through completely, I don't know whether it's a problem of staffing in these economic times or it's a problem of commitment by the particular individuals involved in the local agencies or what it is.

But many cases the fact-finding hearings have not even come about when the decision has been made that the employee's

5

often these individuals go back into the work force and their complaint was not adequately dealt with, and then they're subjected to retaliation because they did file a complaint in the first place, and yet the complaint itself was dealt with as having no merits.

So, they see no other recourse than as a labor organi-

comment -- complaints have no merits. And that is very dis-

forcing the employer participation, that there is some limi-

turbing to me. And/I know that the - as far as the en-

zation we are constantly making efforts in those areas where we have contractual agreements with the employer to deal with that area in those contracts.

The problem is that the -- you know, we're often told and the position of the employer often is that there is an agency for that. Why should we deal with this in the contractual -- in the negotiation process?

And so we find ourselves in a helpless situation in a lot of cases because we don't feel the agency is adequately dealing with many of these cases and I'm not saying that some may not be adequately dealt with, I don't know what, again where it lies, whether it's the work load, whether it's the backlog of cases or efforts to just expedite any of these, I don't know what the problem is.

But having knowledge ourselves of the circumstances

that these employees find themselves in, it's beyond us to begin to rationalize why the agency would find no fault with the employer in many of these cases. And I think some of these are blatant cases where, you know, the facts will speak for themselves. But again, if you're not going to have a fact-finding hearing to even go over these situations before a decision is made, then you know, at least these things are often notbrought to light. And again I don't know, I don't work for the agency, I don't know what the internal problems might be if there are any that are causing these results, but there certainly ought to be some effort to strengthen the agency to allow more adequate processing of these cases. And I suspect that the backlogging of cases may have a lot to do with this, because often you find that the investigators that deal with these cases appeared to be very sincere and do appear to want to make an effort.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

_e 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

often, however, the employer is — refuses to cooperate and the agency does very little about that. You know, we have other employees that went up with letters of release to sue, when actually the case was not even brought to light before the agency or even disseminated like it should have been. And then these employees, you know, we can not finance these legal battles outside the system and many of these employees can't themselves. And we have in many case group—type discrimination cases where we feel the agency should

take a stronger position and pursue whatever legal remedies they have at their disposal to deal with that, and we see, there seems to be some reluctance of that also. Whether it's economic or whatever I don't know, but this has been our experience and it's a frustrating experience, we don't know what to tell the — our members or our employees we attempt to assist and, you know, we encourage them to go ahead and, you know, the system does work sometimes, but that appears to be less and less true in many of the cases. And I don't have anything else to add other than whatever the problems are, they should be looked into internally.

There should be some form of review, I don't know if there is or there isn't over the case load and what's been done with a lot of these things, but there certainly needs to be some review as to what these particular agencies, what the practices are in these case loads. And I don't have anything further to add unless you have any questions.

Q (By the Chair) In your judgment, are adequate laws in place?

A Well, you know, there's always -- well, you know everything can always be better. I'm sure that there's a lot of changes that can be made, particularly in the area of enforcing the employer to cooperate with the agency. And I don't know what the legal ramifications of that are. We've had cases where the investigator has told us that the delay

is that the employer refuses to appear, refuses to provide 1 documentation, and there appears to be some reluctance by the 2 agency to subpoena this information, to subpoena the employee, .3 and as a consequence, the effective fact-finding hearing never 4 comes about. 5 The next thing the employer receives is a letter of 6 release to sue and that's where our problems mainly lie. 7 So you're questioning the administration of the law? 8 For the most part, that is correct. But you know, 9 there can be some changes made, I'm sure, to assure in the 10 enforcement area of the law, you know, as far as what -- what 11 the employer's obli -- should be obligated to do, in that 12 area there's certainly room for improvement. 13 But there are also problems in processing the cases, 74 using the existing laws and provisions adequately to deal 15 with these problems. 16 Fine. Did you have any other questions? THE CHAIR: 17 MR. PALACIOS: No, no, fine, thank you. 18 Thank you, Mr. Valenzuela. THE CHAIR: 19 20 (Short recess) , ĭ 21 22 THE CHAIR: As a frame of reference, we are interested 23 in trying to identify the critical civil rights issues in 24 the State of Arizona and to somehow get a fix on how these

25

issues are impacting upon the social, political and economic well being of the state, as well as some idea of what steps have been taken to resolve these issues.

We then will report to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, our findings, and we will also attempt to prioritize these issues and then, at a future time, to study more indepth their realities and their implications and so forth.

So, we've asked a number of people who are involved in the civil rights field or where there are civil rights dimensions to their jobs, to share their perceptions with us.

And with that as a background, if you will state your name and your position in the organization that you represent, please?

_ 1

1,6

CONNIE BALDWIN

A (By Ms. Baldwin) My name is Connie Baldwin, I'm the executive director of the Area Agency on Aging, Region 1, which encompasses Maricopa County.

THE CHAIR: ' And the other persons?

MARGARET MACIAS

A (By Ms. Macias) My name is Margaret Macias and I'm Director of Aging Services for Chicanos Por La Causa.

WILBERT NELSON

A (By Mr. Nelson) And my name is Wilbert Nelson, I'm a full time care specialist of the State of Arizona, employed by DES Aging and Adult Administration.

THE CHAÎR: Do you have prepared statements? Do you want to proceed, please?

A (By Ms. Baldwin) wone of our panelists has not arrived,
Ms. Diane Porter, who's program specialist with the Inner
Tribal Council and we're hoping she arrives, she also has a
prepared statement.

I'm just going to give a-little bit of introduction r before I have Wilbert and Margaret give their prepared statement. Just in terms of aging and how it is defined in some. of the federal regulations, as most of us know that aging is not defined only biologically but also socially and that many government programs define who is old.

Some programs are 55, some are 60, some are 65, 75 and on it goes. And as to when a person is considered a senior citizen, and of course, the general population internalizes that kind of thing which programs put up in terms of categorical eligibility. so, society looks on an old person as a person who's forced to retire, people have to produce age proof for securing benefits and not to mention getting a senior discount card. You have to prove that you're of a given age, that you're eligible.

To be old in America is typically to live in fixed income at a reduced level, to compete for housing, to have limited access to needed services, facilities, family, friends and selfenrichment opportunities.

In American culture ageism has become more than a matter of individual attitude, at has become institutionalized in a number of legal and moral codes that are widely known and enforced.

Institutionalized ageism can be found in mandatory retirement laws which always have been 65 and are presently at age 70, and of course, that takes a federal response to do something about that particular thing. And also we look at substandard nursing homes, not to mention board and care homes in which we house elderly people.

The panel will speak today to problems facing elderly persons in Arizona that are the ones that we feel are the most glaring and need immediate attention, and with that I'll hand the microphone to Wilbert Nelson.

A (By Mr. Nelson) The test of protecting the dignity and civil rights of the elderly in Arizona is a complex and confusing issue. Simply because the majority of these rights are written in state and local laws and policies. And along with protecting these rights, these laws and policies and regulations are designed to insure an elderly person in

Arizona is not denied the benefits and entitlements to the services and the programs.

5.

·10.

However, the problem and difficulty of insuring participation in programs and services stem from the overall pattern of state and federal policies and the technical requirements associated with them.

Many good things are accomplished by these programs, but they never seriously address the central problem of fragmentation and discrimination. Every program and every funding source relates to something else, it could be a diagnosis, a specific income, a special type of building assistance, and things of that nature.

The old Arizona American Act, for instance, in section B, states that it is the public policy of this state to provide subject to available funding, and I want you to note the subject to available funding, a wide variety of coordinated services that enable older Arizonans to maintain an independent lifestyle of their own choice, avoid premature and unnecessary institutional care and live in dignity.

The cornerstone to this policy is survival, selfhelp, selfdetermination of the older Arizonan. And these are key provisions within this law that could lead to violations of civil rights for the elderly.

The first example of the provision is extremely important because Arizona policy makers have a history of

being fiscally tight in relation to social programs. The Medicaid law was on the books for years but funds were never appropriated to implement the program. And this particular program pays for long term care and it is a necessity for many.

1.3

20.

Arizona's substitute system under the counties are unable, in many areas, to meet the demand for long term care services and programs because of lack of funds. Ironically, these programs utilize federal guidelines to determine eligibility for program participation. The examples are SSI, social security and so forth.

Primary concern is that the Arizona Legislature may not feel that the funds are available and the law does not mandate that the funds be available. The low income and minority elderly population would be the most at-risk in terms of receiving benefits because of no funds for the program.

Selfhelp programs ineffective, particularly in some communities and reasons vary from community to community, but are not exclusive of funding, adequate methods of outreach, transportation and technical assistance.

Survival and selfdetermination ideally is based upon an informed population, which is not always true of the elderly. Arizona has a large Spanish speaking population and many of the program brochures and program descriptions are published only in English which contributes to the lack of knowledge about programs in behalf of the Hispanic elderly person.

This problem is often magnified by the lack of Spanish speaking personnel in community agencies and programs. The older Arizonan Act goes on to identify services in section 46-192, and I just pulled out some examples but there are many more services identified in the section.

For example, transportation, transportation is absolutely essential for program access in Arizona, yet the public system and other methods of transportation remain inadequate. Example: No low cost public transportation on Sunday.

The elderly person must either depend upon taxies, a friend or a relative to move about. This contributes to isolation, particularly when the elderly person must choose between a cab fare and purchasing an adequate amount of food.

Protective advocacy and legal services is another, and in a state such as Arizona which has a large elderly population, protective services are essential to protect one's civil rights.

Currently, the State of Arizona does not have a law or policy mandating their professionals such as social workers and doctors, report the abuse of an elderly person when it is encountered. Past attempts to propose such action has been defeated and it is paramount that such a law have the necessary mandated funds to purchase services and hire staff, otherwise, civil rights violations may occur.

In the area of long term care, programs and resources can not be easily coordinated or combined in a way that specifically focuses on the individual. The lack of coordination in public programs also results in fragmentation of services in the private sector, because of reimbursement system provides no incentive for integrating services that have been traditionally separate. This means that the elderly person must go to several different sites or agencies to obtain the range of services necessary to accomodate their needs, thus creating an additional drain on their resources.

1.5

The individual in a long term care setting is limited in their capacity for selfhelp and selfcare and this makes them dependent on others for extended periods of time for survival.

The low income person has a limited financial resources and Arizona does not participate in the Medicaid program and the fact that its present system, county-supported, is straining under the high cost of providing that particular care, leaves even more elderly at-risk of being isolated in the community or prematurely institutionalized.

Economical factors are not the only concerns about the approaches to long term care, other problems such as fragmentation and lack of coordinated services, inadequately trained providers and a lack of knowledge contribute greatly to rights being violated.

·4

7⁻

In summary, the Older Arizonan Act makes a valid attempt to address the elderly needs and concerns, however the inability of the law to mandate adequate funds to develop the programs and services will perpetuate a piecemeal approach in which many elderly persons will continue to either be denied service or prematurely institutionalized.

The current administration has emphasized deregulation.

Arizona complied by passing its own version of nursing home deregulation and this law was designed to give Arizonans a choice of selecting alternatives to nursing home care.

To date, complaints in the on-going programs from boarding homes have increased by almost 10%. However, Arizona currently has no regulations governing boarding homes and many residents are abused in these types of facilities. And some of the recommendations to this presentations are: One, the older Arizonan Act must be amended to mandate the necessary funds to implement and insure the services it has identified. Two, Arizona must consider and pass a law that will require elderly abuse be reported. Also, the necessary funds to purchase staff and carry out the law's intent.

Arizona must mandate that agencies hire the necessary staff to service the Spanish speaking community. Advisory board and policy making committees should be examined for adequate minority representation, and Arizona will have to develop a policy to monitor and protect elderly persons

in boarding homes. 1

And in relation to the number of elderly people in the state, many of these people are exposed to abuse, neglect and exploitation because of the economy but in the case of a minority it is even worse.

For example, in the community as it relates to the Black elderly, the Black elderly is often isolated because they are sometimes very few in terms of being placed in a nursing home, they may be only one or two in numbers, and a lot of times they are placed along with other minorities in many substandard-type placements because they are usually the ones that may be contracted out by the county system or some other major provider.

The Black elderly is unique in a sense that it has -- is -- skin color. And a lot of times the staff is inadequate in order to be able to address some of the concerns and the needs that they may have, simply because they may not be aware of the history and understand the adjustment factor that they went through in terms of dealing with discrimination and low socioeconomical factors, and many times this leaves them to be isolated in long term care facilities, particularly when you talk about activities, programs that are geared to create socialization among the elderly.

The fact that Arizona has a low Black population also contributes to some degree. However, there are, in some

areas there are a larger number of Black elderlies than there are of younger Black elders - Black persons, I mean, and so what you often have is you have different types of discrimination with agencies.

fessional person in an agency and will often call that person simply because they are Black, not because they may be the best person to handle the problem or the situation that has arisen.

I'll close with an example that happened to me as a long term care specialist, I received a call from one of the local communities from the local police department, and they called me and they said we have this Black gentleman who hitchhiked on a train from Yuma and we don't know what to do with him. And can you handle him? Those are the kinds of things that often happen. And it's — may sound kind of strange, being 1982, but it still occurs.

THE CHAIR: We have another member of the state advisory committee to the U.S. Commission, who recently came from another meeting, Attorney Hilda Manuel, who is a legislative aid to the Tribal Council at Sells, at the Papago -- fine.

We will not, in terms of procedure, we won't ask specific questions to you yet, in view of the fact that there may be some structural questions that apply to all the groups. So why don't we hear your testimony first and then there

1,1

probably will be some questions.

1:6

Ί9

MS. MAGIĄS: Okay

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Nelson.

A (By Ms. Macias) A critical civil rights issue facing Hispanic elderly in Arizona is the considerable underuse of social services that on the surface appear readily available. Our minority elderly remain among the most isolated and poor citizens in Arizona. Examples of underutilization are in the nursing homes, medical services such as the hospitals or clinics, senior centers, housing, legal services, and entitlement programs like social security and SSI.

The availability of these programs are sometimes widely publicied. For instance, several years ago, about three years ago a Casa De Primavera, which is a section 22 housing project for elderly and disabled opened its doors here in Phoenix amongst a lot of publicity, it was announced on the radio, TV, newspapers, flyers, a lot of PSA's. The grand opening was attended by Lillian Carter, a well known elderly. Hispanic elderly were strongly encouraged to apply for housing. Its owners, Chicanos Por La Causa, is community based so recognition wasn't a problem:

However, applications for housing from elderly Hispanics was still very low. It was not until Chicanos Por La Causa sent its bilingual staff on a door to door campaign that people

began to apply for apartments. Almost every person that we contacted expressed the same concern, was the staff at Primavera able to speak Spanish?

Îï

After talking with us, they felt more at ease because they identified us with their children or grandchildren. In this instance, cultural barriers were preventing the elderly from using the service.

Since only about 9.7% of Hispanic elderly lived in extended families, information on housing becomes an important issue. If underutilization also occurs in the area of nursing homes.

In Maricopa County, less than 3% of eligible Hispanic persons are in nursing homes. Lack of bilingual,
bicultural health personnel impedes older elderly Hispanics'
access to health care.

Fear and mistrust also deters older Hispanics from seeking medical care from clinics.

As evidence of underuse by Hispanic elderly occurs in legal aid services, senior centers and entitlement programs, the question of how must accessibility to and utilization of these services be addressed.

The Hispanic elderly suffer from a greater number of problems when compared to non-minority elderly. Hispanic elderly tend to have lower educational levels, were employed in low salaried, blue collar and agricultural positions that

11-

As the natural support system breaks down, Hispanic elderly do not make use of outside programs. Reasons for this include language barriers, pride and lack of knowledge about the bureaucratic process, lack of information on available services and distrust of the federal government because of past discrimination. This is supported by the findings of Hispanics in HDS Programs, April of 1980, which indicated that language was cited as a barrier to effective communication more frequently than any other factor. 16.9% of the sample cited language as a barrier.

Cultural differences and service delivery structure were also singled out as major impediments to effective communication. 14.1% and 15.5% respectively.

The most striking finding, however, is that 87.9% of the sample claimed to know of no instance of effective use of established communication channels to convey program information. It is one thing to develop good social service

QT

programs, it is quite another to communicate vital information on those programs to potential Hispanic clients.

Another significant finding from the Hispanics in HDS. Programs was that less than 1% of the contractors and grantees of human services in the State of Arizona, are Hispanic.

The findings further indicated that 16.8% of all employees in HDS-funded programs are Hispanic, this information is misleading as it doesn't indicate the percentage of Hispanics in clerical, semiskilled and professional positions.

In executive summary of Perspective on Equitable Share in Public Benefits by Minority Elderly, provides additional support for barriers to services encountered by minority elderly. This study found that minority group membership is a significant factor in under and non-utilization of public benefits, particularly among the Hispanic.

The study further supports the assumption that cultural factors act as further barriers to utilization of services.

In planning a comprehensive service delivery system, it becomes apparent that not much consideration is given to outreach efforts, dissemination of information on the availability of programs to the elderly Hispanic population.

Mesa Senior Citizen Center conducts an outreach program, the executive director stated at a presentation on outreach services to the elderly, that the Hispanic elderly reached required more of the specialists, time and more

explanation of programs due to the high illiteracy rate of Hispanic elderly.

T9

Documents which are distributed in Arizona DES offices are only helpful to those Hispanic elderly who were fortunate enough to get some form of education or whose family support system is willing to read and explain these documents to them.

Some recommendations for improving an outreach program are, number 1, for policy makers to pay serious attention to the cultural background and behaviors of the minority benefit users. Number 2, to translate documents, regulations, forms and etcetera, into languages used by the elderly.

Number 3, to place services in neighborhoods and staff programs with ethnic minority personnel devoted to the welfare of the elderly, and this is extremely important.

And number 4, for planners to deal with the reasons both cultural and psychological associated with certain reluctance to use public benefits by Hispanic elderly.

MS. BALDWIN: I'd like to introduce Ms. Diane Porter, who's with the Inner Tribal Council.

DIANE PORTER

A (By Ms. Porter) Thank you for giving some time.

Arizona aging Indian residents share with the aging members of the other ethnic groups the hope that they will live out their lives in comfort and with dignity. Comfort

and dignity for the elderly depends on a safe physical environment, adequate health care, a family or community support system, a stable income and the freedom to make important decisions about their own lives.

It is also a misconception that Indian people are wards of the federal government. They are citizens of the state, county and/or city where they reside and this should be understood first and foremost.

In regards to income, the following information applies to Arizona Indian elderly who live on Arizona's 20 Indian reservations. Although Arizona has an estimated 3,000 Indian residents over the age of 60 who live in off-reservation communities, little accurate information about their circumstance is available.

Social security income supports the great majority of Ariona's Indian elderly, 96% of those over the age of 60.

Of the 8,852 Arizona Indian elderly who reside on reservations,

57% live on earned social security benefits only. 19% receive SSI only. Of the remaining 4%, 1.5% live on earnings from public service employment positions, 5% live on earnings from their regular retirement, 1.7 live on income from BIA general assistance and 2% are supported by income from private or public retirement programs.

1:8

Sixty-nine percent of Arizona Indian elderly live on incomes below the poverty level as compared with 19.9 of the elderly in Arizona's non-Indian population.

it should be noted that full income from SSI is approximately 284 per month for a single person, and approximately \$337.00 per month for a married couple.

BIA job assistance benefits are approximately \$130.00 per month for a single person and 180 for a married couple. providing their own shelter.

In order to qualify for benefits under SSI an individual must be 65 years of age, be declared disabled under
regular social security disability criteria. An Indian widow
-- a widow, Indian or not, with no minor children, is not
entitled to social security benefits under her husband's
account unless she reaches the age of 60. Then she can apply
for reduced benefits.

Upon application and denial of benefits many Indian people fail to follow or protest the denial for fear of challenging the massive system. Indian people have been

in that they wrote the laws, policies and procedures, they interpret, regulate and usually are the providers of services setting the laws into place. It is rather a stacked deck.

The Indian elderly's access to employment on the reservation is very limited, first of all there is a minimum -- there are minimal job opportunities to reservations because of little or no business or industries on the reservations.

Secondly, many job opportunities have been federally or tribally subsidized in the past and employment and funding for these types of programs have been cut in recent months.

The principal employment resource for Indian elderly is the Title V, Elderly Employment Program, under which Arizona tribes are allotted 150 employment slots. Not only does this benefit the elderly individual but the jobs provide many needed manpower to augment the community based programs.

In regards to health, Indian elderly depend on the Indian Health Service for health care, both on-reservation and in urban communities. The function of the Indian Health Service is to directly provide the support, the provision of health services to Indian reservation residents. IHS directly operates hospitals and health clinics and contracts with tribal governments to provide community health and alcoholism programs.

The community health function is a high priority among tribes in Arizona. All tribes operate community health services, including community health nursing, health home care, hospitl discharge followup, health education, maternal and child health and environmental health services.

-3

16.

The two major tribal human services target populations are dependent children and the elderly, and they are directly impacted by tribal community health functions. Tribal programs provide support services to families to help them cope with childhood communicable diseases.

Tribal programs also provide for elderly people with health problems.

Most of the tribes in Arizona closely coordinate their community health and Title XX services and Title III services through the Older Americans Act program.

A major concern of Indian tribes during these past two years has been the funding of the tribal health programs. During fiscal year 1982, tribally operated community health programs were reduced by 21%. For fiscal year 1983, IHS has proposed to totally eliminate the tribal community health functions.

If this comes to pass, the elderly will be hard hit because it is through these types of services that elderly people are given in-home support which enables them to stay in the home rather than be placed in off-reservation facilities.

people, elderly, now in nursing homes did not relocate to those facilities in and around Phoenix by choice. They were placed in urban nursing homes by the BIA and/or IHS because of several reasons. One, they had no families or reservations to care for them. Two, their families were unable or unwilling to care for them. Three, they are seriously ill and there are no skilled care nursing home facilities on most reservations.

•]

Most of the off-reservation placements were made before the tribes established programs and services to meet the needs of the elderly. With the reduction in funds from both Indian Health Service and the Bureau of Indian Affairs, responsibility for needed placements have been tossed back and forth between agencies. Tribes are attempting to identify other resources at this time, among them the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment system, which totally ignores need for out-of-home placement, and Medicare, which funds only skilled care and mandates strict certification provisions for both the client and facilities.

There have been efforts to resolve the problems of the past, jurisdictional considerations have been an obstacle to state and tribal cooperation in providing human services.

The member tribes of the Inner Tribal Council of Arizona and the Arizona Department of Economic Security have developed

interim approaches for passing federal human services funds through the state to the tribes without jeopardizing tribal sovereignty. For example, one of Inner Tribal Council's functions is that of being the Region 8 Interim Area Agency on Aging for 17 tribes who subcontract to provide Title III nutrition and social service for the elderly.

The tribes and the state also work to clear some problems in contracting Title XX monies by developing an intergovernmental agreement that both the tribes and the state could live with.

Recommendations. It is important to emphasize that programs developed for elderly on reservations must be done in the context of that particular community and with the consultation of the elderly. There should be a continuing effort to educate service providers and governmental agencies of the unique status of tribes and the responsibilities they have in the provision of services to their community members.

Thank you.

Q (By the Chair) There were a number of specific recommendations made by you, my question is, whether or not there are federal or state laws that hinder doing those things that you recommended or is it within the franchise of the local groups to do them under the present laws?

A (By Ms. Baldwin) I'll respond to one of those and then see if there are other panelists —

ТЗ

Q And when I say, law, I'm including policies also.

really a law that is on the books that there is no intention or has not been in the past, of meeting the intent of that law. Even though it is written in there subject to the availability of funds, elderly people in Arizona do not have a choice of alternatives of care outside of those nursing home and board and care placement. In terms of home care.

And the law specifies that they will, but there is no provision for it.

A (By Mr. Nelson) As I stated earlier, a lot of the services are identified in the Older Arizonan Act, for example with protective services and for the past three years that I've been employed by DES, Aging and Adults Administration, there have been some requests that legislation be passed to insure that protective services would be provided.

However, it usually gets tied up in some -- some person or some committee and never hits the floor.

The other thing, too, is that the, again the Older
Arizonan Act and most of the policies are based on the assumption that the elderly of Arizona are aware. For example, with many of the programs outreach services and funds have been cut. So, when you cut those funds, you defeat the provision in there that says that you will therefore go out and improve or increase community awareness.

The other — the other factor, too, is that many of the programs have policies that even some of the workers don't understand. So, the policies exist, it's a matter of interpretation and implementation, and the intent of the whole law as it now exists.

Q (By Mr. Palacios) How old is the act itself? How long has it been --

A It was passed in '80, 1980.

Q (By the Chair) Am I corect in thinking, then, that there is no internally consistent group represented with advocates for the effective carrying out of the law? Among the elderly?

A You have assistance groups but it's a matter of who is enforcing the policy and who is getting it through. For example, with House Bill 281, ... it was a compromise of a lady who has consistently violated state health laws in terms of setting up her own facility, it was a compromise between her, the nursing home association and even to some extent the health department.

To this date, even though when that law was passed and I'm referring to House Bill 281, there was a provision that allowed for her to comply with the law. She has said that she will not comply and in fact is in the process of putting together another piece of legislation to even increase her autonomy from the system.

A (By Ms. Baldwin) Could I just make another comment, that in terms, think one of the problems that really happens, there's a lot of legislation that's proposed, there's a lot of things that are proposed, and they never get out of the department of economic security, where the state has placed the emphasis on the elderly is in a division which is aging and adult administration, which is under a department head who has responsibilities for many other programs, and so, at the state level, the visibility of the elderly is very low, the aging and adult administration can do somersaults and stand on their head and may never get out of their department. It's stifled right there, never gets beyond.

THE CHAIR: Do you have any questions?

Q (By Ms. Hilda Manuel) I was going -- I had a question for Diane Porter. How does the Older Arizonan Act affect the Native elderly? Or does it?

A (By Ms. Porter) Well, it's just there. We, you know, can respond to it. I think a lot of the language or the concepts that are in there are, you know, that Indian people are aware of, but it's just as effective as the other elderly population.

Q Because, you know, as you know that oftentimes, whenever particular needs of Native people is an issue that always, especially the states, will always defer back to, well, you have a Bureau of Indian Affairs or you have a federal

2.

"3

£ 7

ò

ΉT

government looking out for you, therefore, you know, you really shouldn't be asking for services under this state law, and I'm wondering whether it may not have been the intent but maybe that's the effect that it has?

A Well, there's nothing in place so there's nothing to bounce anything off of anyway, but you know, what you said is true and what I stated in my beginning statement.

Q (By the Chair) If the law was actually applied evenly and with force, would it be effective? I guess my question is whether the law is in place or whether or not the law needs to be changed?

À (By Mr. Nelson) I just want to make a comment related to her and then I'll turn this over to someone else.

But I just completed an evaluation and assessment on the Navajo Reservation of two group homes, and one of the things that is astonishing to me is the level of fragmentation among the interpretation of policies. For example, the group homes had a great deal of difficulty establishing other group homes in terms of being able to accommodate the communities

For example, funds were set aside to provide housing, however the federal policy does not allow for the flexibility to accomodate the Navajo culture. For example, they will not go in and weatherize or rebuild a hogan. And I visited one of the clients and all he was asking for them was to put a

F \$ 00, 4 11 1 roof over his place and maybe run, a pipe in there for some 2 water or either help build a new bathroom. But they have 3 these modern homes and hallf of them are not complete. You 4 know, they're just setting there. So that's -- if that's an 5 example of policy being inflexible, that is definitely one. 6 And they consistently go back and forth. 7 THE CHAIR: Any other questions? 8 Thank you. We'll take a short recess. 9

(Short recess)

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

THE CHAIR: Mr. Cibirac, I think you're familiar with the form and purpose. He's appeared before these commissions over the last 20 years, I guess.

With whatever we've said as background, we'd be interested in your report.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALEX PAPPAS

A (By Mr. Pappas) Thank you, Dr. Warren, and the rest of the committee. My name's --

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry, one other point, will you give us your name and the organization that you represent and also your position with that organization, please, for the record?

A Yes, sir. My name Alex Pappas and I'm the Chairman

,

, .6 * 8

of the Board of the Phoenix Human Relations Commission.

My presentation today is going to be both verbal and visual and Henry Cibarac, the Human Relations Administrator, is also going to get himself involved in making some comments also in various areas.

There are some critical areas of civil rights in the City of Phoenix that we'd like to talk about, and that Human Relations Commission gets itself involved in. Those four particular areas that I'm going to be speaking about are the administration of justice, schools, employment and fair housing.

Now, these particular four areas have a significant impact on what happens in the City of Phoenix with regard to the social, political and economic well being of the city. In these particular areas. It involves the degree of racial tension in the community, it also involves and concerns the economic development of the city, whether we have an increase or a decrease in industry and jobs, whether these individuals in the city share their opportunities and education, etcetera.

How much unemployment there is, what kind of welfare, does it breed crime or eliminate crime, what kind of image does it represent to the city, and obviously the degree of satisfaction by the citizens of the city for their government.

How do we resolve these problems? There are a lot of ways that they are resolved, one way that the city has

helped resolve it is by establishing this commission. It was established in 1963, and obviously that establishment was for two specific reasons: Prevent discrimination because of race, religion, national origin and sex, and to promote harmony among people of all races.

,4

And we hired an administrative director, Mr. Cibirac will attest to that fact. We passed some ordinances. As a matter of fact, we've passed three ordinances. One ordinance has three parts to it that involves public accommodation, it involves housing and employment, and we monitor this particular ordinance with companies that have one or more employees.

The second ordinance is the affirmative action ordinance with suppliers. People that supply the City of Phoenix and we monitor this group that has 15 or more employees.

And the third one is the affirmative action with contractors. Contractors that do business with the city, build things for the city, etcetera, and this also is monitored, those companies that have 15 or more employees.

Let me talk specifically, then, about the four areas that were mentioned previously, administration of justice, housing, schools, employment and education. Under the administration of justice area, we're involved in a lot of training.

In our fiscal year '81-'82 we trained 250 police recruits, the type of training that we were involved in with

them were conflict-type training, how to differentiate the difference between various type peoples because of color, etcetera, because that's important to them since they come in contact with a lot of various-type people in their work.

2.

We also do that similar type of training with the bus drivers. We trained in our fiscal '81 and '82, 70 bus drivers, in our '82 and '83 fiscal, we trained 30 bus drivers to date.

We reviewed the shooting policy of the City of Phoenix, and that was a very extensive policy that they presented to us for our consideration and we approved of what they were planning to do in this shooting policy. And we also have a, quote, hot line at the Human Relations Commission, conflict resolution service where people like gangs that have a problem with conflicts among each other, if they would like to have us get involved and help resolve some of those conflicts. We have a hot line that our staff is involved in and has been involved in in the past.

The second area that the Human Relations Commission gets involved in is the schools, and in that particular area we also do training. We have trained 2,000 faculty and staff members to better adapt their skills to accept the racial changes that are happening in the school system. And this, these racial changes not only are affecting the staff and the faculty but are also affecting, in our estimate, 20,000 students.

Another area that we get ourselves involved in is housing, which is probably an area that we have most, a lot of emphasis in. I don't know if you're aware of it but the City of Phoenix was given the honor, was one of ten cities initially, to achieve HUD equivalency. That means HUD is — feels confident in referring all fair housing complaints within the city limits of Phoenix to the commission. We are one of, or the first, I should say, the first of ten cities to achieve that.

We've done a lot of training with regard to realtors and apartment managers. As you can see, we have trained approximately 250 of those in our '81-'82 fiscal year and we're going to continue to do that in '82 and '83.

We make a lot of presentations to minority groups, informing them of their rights in housing. What their rights are and what kind of restitution they can expect if they have a specific complaint in housing through the Human Relations. Commission.

Another area to promote the rights in housing is we're going to provide posters that are going to be put in the buses. Posters to be put in the -- in half of the city buses that indicate that you do have certain rights as far as housing and if you do have a complaint in that area, contact the Human Relations Commission.

We distributed 50,000 pamphlets, largely to minority

neighborhoods, about what we do and particularly in housing, through the Human Relations Commission.

Ϊ6

The next area that we get involved in is employment. We monitor approximately a 1,000 suppliers and contractors that do business with the City of Phoenix. And of those 1,000 contractors, there are approximately 114,000 employees that are covered — that they employ, and those individuals, those companies that do not comply with our ordinances we negotiate them — we negotiate with them in hopes that they will comply. However, there have been some cases where city business has been denied some contractors because they have refused to comply with the ordinances.

We have, as far as the employment area, in our opinion, had a successful increase in the utilization of minorities as far as our statistics have been able to determine. For example, the number of suppliers in fiscal year '74-'75 were 149, in '81-'82 were approximately 749. In the '74-'75 fiscal year, those people that were employed by these contractors totaled 46,000 and they now total 114,000, at that same time the minority utilization in '74 and '75 was approximately 15.1%, and it is now 19.6%. In other words, 4,000 more minorities employed now than they were in '74-'75 fiscal year.

In our opinion, because of our handling of these ordinances.

Those are the four areas that we get involved in, and specifically our commission, which is comprised of 17 board members, has four committees that get involved with each one of those specific areas and has a chairman that chairs the particular area. The charge that I made in the commission when I came on board about a year ago, is I wanted to see more exposure by the Human Relations Commission, about what we do, what we can do. And we've attempted to do that in a couple of areas here.

.3

One, obviously, is to better inform the protected classes of their rights and their — yes, primarily their rights, we have used the mass media to our benefit, we've made presentations on television, we've written some articles that have appeared in realtor magazines, we have had the city newspapers interview us about what the commission is doing and what we'd like to do.

We're developing currently a slide presentation that will run approximately 12 minutes that we will present to various organizations, about what we do and what their rights are, and we do make presentations now to minority organizations, the Chicanos Por La Causa, the Urban League, the OIC groups, let the staffs know that there is an organization such as ours that's under the City of Phoenix that we can help in working with their constituents.

There are a couple of other areas that I think are of

interest, that would be of interest to you that we get involved in. I want Henry to mention those.

Ţ

î 7

One in the area of housing, I'd like Henry to give you some statistics with regard to what we've done in the complaint area in housing. And also I'd like Henry to give a -- well, let us know about two additional activities that the Human Relations Commission is doing with regard to the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce and the Maricopa County Bar Association.

Henry, why don't you make some comments on the housing statistics, what we'll be doing in that area that I have not mentioned and the chamber and the bar committee and then I'll end up the presentation.

HENRY CIBIRAC, JR.

A (By Mr. Cibirac) Thank you, Alex.

If it's okay with you I'll remain seated.

Since the Phoenix -- City of Phoenix Fair Housing Ordinance was passed in 1968, we've handled approximately 400 complaints, last year we handled about 25 and this year we're handling them at the rate of about four a month. If the average is maintained we'll handle approximately twice as many as we did last year.

We find that -- we find reasonable cause in approximately 25 to 30% of these complaints, our first priority is
to try to secure the living unit for the victim of discrimination

at a reasonable cost and we're placing increasing emphasis on trying to secure some kind of compensation for the victim in addition to out-of-pocket costs.

The compensation is because of pain and injury that person may have experienced.

Along those lines, we have recently established a working relationship with the Maricopa County Bar, who have established a human concerns committee, and they are — we've gotten them in — we've gotten them interested in this and they are now going to put up a small amount of money to do research to see how our ordinances might be improved.

And they have asked for training of the members of the bar who are interested in this to handle fair housing complaints to get the maximum leverage and compensation for the victim under the 1866, the 1969 federal fair housing laws, and also the City of Phoenix Fair Housing Law. Because we find that they sort of supplement one another.

Regarding our activities with the chamber, we found that there was an impression among some smaller and medium-sized business people that the federal equal employment opportunity laws and executive order were not being enforced to the extent that they previously were and we felt that utlimately they would wind up, that is these businesses would wind up being hurt because they would perhaps not be as careful about complying with them and find themselves being

sued for a complaint of discrimination. So we are putting on, in conjunction with the chamber, in January, a workshop and inviting smaller and medium-sized business people to attend this workshop, and we will have a couple of local attorneys who are very well informed about Title VII of the 1964 Federal Civil Rights Act, and also contract compliance to make a presentation on the — to give a contemporary view of the enforcement of the — of Title VII and also the Executive Order 11-246.

Alex or I would be happy to try to answer any of your questions regarding any of the information that's been , presented.

THE CHAIR: Any questions of the committee?

- Q (By Mr. Palacios) The meeting you're just talking about right now, when is that to be held?
- 16 A (By Mr. Cibirac) Pardon?
- 17 Q The meeting you're talking about right now, when is 18 that going to be held?
- 19 A You mean the jointly sponsored chamber --
- 20 Q Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

- 21 A Probably late January.
- 22 | Q Will that be an open meeting?
- A Yeah, we'll invite, you know -- we're going to invite businessmen because that's the people that need it.
- 25 Q No, I'm just curious about whether or not someone from

our staff could attend that. Sure, we'd be happy to invite you, if you would provide 2 us with those individuals or that address. 3 It's something that sounds interesting and some-0 4 thing that I would like to, myself or one of our staff members, 5 attend. (By Mr. Cibirac) Α Sure. MR. PALACIOS: Thank you. 8 THE CHAIR: Questions, Ms. Manuel? 9 I'm impressed with the report. I do have some ques-10 tions. 11 (By the Chair) Would you classify your activities Q 12 primarily as reactive or pro-active or do they lend them-13 selves to classification? 14 I think really both. 15 Both --Q 16 Because on one hand we're responding to complaints 17 but as Alex says, he is making an increased effort to inform 18 the protected classes so that the results of that is that 19 we're probably getting more complaints than we did formerly. 20 As far as, I think that's -- that's both reactive and 21 taking affirmative stands. As far as the affirmative action 22 suppliers and contractors ordinance, that's obviously not 23

reacting, that's going out and doing something without waiting

for reaction. In fact, we receive very few complaints against

24

25

14

not in compliance when we monitor them.

Q One other point, as I glance at the four areas you identify of concern, administration of justice, housing, schools, employment, etcetera, another question strikes me.

Increasingly, the literature is speaking that a concommitent of a high technology area is increased human relations problems, need for communication, quality communication, and of course the human relations problem, whether they be in the family, between groups and so forth. And it appears as if, in our projected growth plans in this area, that as we look at the projections on the nature of persons whom we'll attract to the area, beyond college training, so forth, but at the same time large groups of immigrants, persons moving from reservations into urban areas and so forth, and a predicted gap in earnings from higher class to low income. Which it will increase crimes and tensions and so forth.

Do you buy that scenario?

A (By Mr. Pappas) Sure.

±2

A (By Mr. Cibirac) We would find it difficult to argue with it.

Q All right. Now, I guess my question is, are there any attempts on your part to position or structure yourself to be ready for or to prepare for, whether it's information.

programs or whatever, to public policy makers or organizations or groups who move in or whatever? In preparation for those projected type of expectancies? Or should you? Do you view that as a responsibility?

A Well, a couple of things. In monitoring city suppliers and contractors, one of the big things that we urge them to do, and we do this on a daily basis, for instance our employment specialist monitors maybe — well, we monitor about 600 a year. Traditionally, he encourages them to use typical job agencies, urban council, OIH, etcetera, to recruit their manpower. Excuse me, their staff. That's not a good word.

In doing so, he finds that, because of the variety of industries in the valley and their variety of needs. In other words, we know that those job agencies by regulations are only turning out certain skills, certain basic skills, and not a whole variety of skills. Some of the city suppliers and contractors are not able to obtain technical and professional type of skills that they need. So they come to us or we find this out when we monitor them and ask us, well, gosh, where can we recruit the kinds of skills that we need so far as minorities?

So, what we've done is we've spent considerable time compiling a list of recruiting sources nationwide and broken it down by Indian, Hispanic, Black, as to where they might get these specific skills by professional, by technical,

etcetera. And we just completed that, or that's probably one of the things we'll do at the joint EEOC-chamber workshop and also we want to -- I just haven't had a chance to issue a news release that we've done that kind of thing and make these recruiting lists available to people.

So, it's not exactly in response to what you're saying, but we're not just waiting and letting -- letting people come in haphazardly, hopefully this kind of a thing will also attract the kind of skilled people from all over the country that are a rapidly growing industry need, so it won't be just the low income people.

Secondly, and this is not exactly in keeping with what you have, but we are studying the 1970 and 1980 census data as far as population is concerned. And what it means as far as residential segregation is concerned. We have made an analysis and found certain things. We are concerned about the findings and we are looking for ways to interpret that to people who might be able to do something about the situation.

- Q This is what I'm talking about, yes.
- A (By Mr. Pappas) And those findings obviously relate to the polarization of the minorities.
 - Q Ÿes.

A Quotes. And the City of Phoenix is doing additional study to see if that, those findings that was done by the

national individual, you know, really fit what they see, and obviously the city is one that would not benefit if that were to be true and those minorities were in fact polarized, so we have an interest in making sure that isn't happening.

Q That's what I'm talking about. Pick a vibrant community with many outsiders, roots other places, hedonistic views, and if the structures are not here to encourage certain kinds of socialization, and then there will be problems. And problems that lend themselves less to intervention after the fact than anticipation and orientations and so forth.

I'm impressed, myself.

Are there any other questions?

Q (By Mr. Palacios) Just a comment, your question is so pointed because as a society we tend to be reactive rather than active. We tend to sit back and when something happens then we tend to apply many bandaids to that situation, that individual situation, and then go on again. Rather than trying to come up with some kind of a process that will mediate before this event, whatever it may be, takes place.

And I think Dr. Warren's absolutely correct on that.

THE CHAIR: 'Thank you.

Mr. Steve Darden, may we hear your testimony, please?

For the record, may we get your name and the organization that you represent?

12.

STEVE DARDEN

Ĩ

A (By Mr. Darden) My name is Steve Darden, that's D-a-r-d-e-n, I'm an Executive Director of Native Americans for Community Action in Flagstaff, Arizona.

Immediately to my left is Ms. Phyllis Big Pond, the Executive Director of the Phoenix Indian Center, Incorporated.

F will be making a presentation on the behalf of the Affiliation of Arizona Indian Centers, Incorporated, of Phoenix, Arizona.

THE CHAIR: That's the report that we received?

That is the report you have received.

Without really knowing the format of the presentation, we didn't really know how to approach it nor address it, so we have presented that for the record.

THE CHAIR: Yes, it was a very fine report and our interest is in trying to identify, through the eyes of sensitive representatives of civil rights organizations, or organizations have civil rights orientations, their views about the state of civil rights in Arizona.

And what has been done to try to resolve those issues identified in order that we then can inform the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights who then informs the President and the Congress.

Later we will somewhat prioritize these perspectives, identify what, in our view, seems to be the most important,

because of limited resources including staff, etcetera, and then pursue more in-depth, the issues.

37 + 17 1

A Okay. If I may retract that Native Americans for Community Action is located in Flagstaff, Arizona. And the affiliation, if I may just to sensitize and educate you a little bit about the affiliation, the affiliation, if you will, is the central voice of the other Urban Indian Centers that are situated in the State of Arizona.

We have an Indian center here locally, located at the Phoenix Indian Center, Flagstaff Indian Center, Winslow Indian Center, the Yuma Indian Center, Prescott Indian Center and we're also attempting to have a satellite established in Safford, Arizona, so the affiliation — and excuse me, Tucson. Tucson, Arizona.

We also have — the affiliation is involved in providing relevant national and state information pertaining to Indian situations, whether they be in health, housing, education or whatever.

Your Urban Indian Centers basically evolved because of the in-migration, if you will, or out-migration from reservations to urban areas. Today we have more urban Indians, I don't know what an urban Indian is, honestly, okay? Because my plans are to return to the Navajo Reservation. I've Navajo, Cheyenne and Swedish. My lifestyle and my culture and my roots are Navajo. And I plan to return

there.

But for the record, and in legal terms, if we go back to Washington and/or the state, I am considered urban for the present.

Okay. And because of the social and economic and political disparities that had existed in urban communities, urban-rural communities and our metropolitan communities, Urban Indian Centers developed.

And they have developed basically to address the areas, the social areas, the political areas, the economic areas, educational areas. For instance, the Flagstaff Indian Center is a multifaceted human services agency providing what we would call human services, meaning social services. Those services involved in counseling individuals that have problems in dealing with acclimation to a rural setting, an urban setting, individuals from the reservation.

However, all of the Urban Indian Centers that I know of today offer services to everyone, irregardless of race, creed, national origin, we provide services to anyone.

Last year, and I will speak probably mostly on Flagstaff Indian Center, because I'm most cognizant of that entity.

Last year 11%, the second largest percent of our clients at Flagstaff were non-Indians, specifically Anglo Americans. So, we provide services to everybody.

The statements that we will provide today are really an analysis coming from all of the Indian Centers. When we were first informed of this session and we do thank the commission for inviting us so that we could share with you some of our problems that we have identified and we thank you for that — will be, the statements will be a compilation from all of the centers that are involved with the affiliation.

Service of the servic

And we will address specifically employment, education, voting rights, health and the administration of justice.

Going firstly into employment rights of Indians in Arizona, basically we did an analysis at the federal, state, the county and the local levels, specifically on how many Native Americans were employed and the total work force in specific areas, i.e., and we have an attachment, the Exhibit A to this report that has been submitted.

For the City of Tucson, which had a total of 3639, rather 3,639 persons employed as of 1981, of that total, 45 were Indians. There were 34 males and 11 females. The Indians represented 1.23% of the total work force of the Tucson City Government.

Phoenix, Indians composed less than 1% or .95% of the city government employees. There were 50 males and 26 females of Indian descent out of a total of 7,974 city employees.

Then the following communities, we found Flagstaff,

city government, employed ten Indians, which amounts to 2.19% of the total 456 city employees.

Prescott City Government hired five Indians as a total personnel force of 269 employees. The Town of Kingman was found to have three Indians out of a total of 173 employees.

In Holbrook, the city had 6.89% of its total 87 employees as Indians. This amounts to six Indians.

Winslow failed to respond but known to the Winslow Indian Center there is only one Indian in city employment.

In the state government, the affiliation found the following: Within the department of economic security there are 179 Indians out of a total 4,830 state DES employees.

The department of public safety hired 24 Indians, which is 1.48% of the 1,619 employees in that agency.

In the department of health, there are 24 Indians in a total of 1,631 health employees.

In comparison, the Indian population of Arizona was estimated to be 157,510, or 5% of the total population of Arizona residents.

Reasonable estimates show that 26% of Native Americans in Arizona reside in communities or urban areas such as Phoenix, Tucson, Flagstaff and Holbrook.

In terms of unemployment rate, studies show estimates as high as 80% on the reservations. And varies in off-

1.20年中华日本在海外

.]

reservation areas from 30 to 65%. The high unemployment rates of Indians on and off the reservations have been exacerbated as a result of funding cuts resulting from the present administration. The high unemployment rate causes social distress and I would venture to say not only with Indians but with many other individuals.

The state of the s

Many live in poverty in the urban communities. Many receive aid for dependent children, AFDC. Numerous families rely on social services programs and food stamps.

The economic impact is constantly a thorn in the state's side. It would be helpful for the Civil Rights Commission to solicit the state's facts and figures regarding social service programs rendered to Indian clients.

Efforts to relieve the unemployment rate have been ; made by organizations such as Flagstaff Indian Center, Phoenix Indian Center, Yuma Indian Center, Prescott Indian Center, moreover, by the affiliation.

Our services include job training, adult education, social services, alcoholism counsel, youth education, substance abuse counseling. Again a multifaceted type of human service.

Jobs discrimination is evidenced in the underemployment of Indians in city and state governmental agencies. Job discrimination is found by the classification of Indians in the lower positions and pay status. Straight across the board,

many of our Native Americans are found to be employed in the clerical and/or the what we would call your maintenance type positions. Very low skill positions, very few, Flagstaff specifically, 5% of Native Americans are employed in the administrative type capacities.

It is recommended by the affiliation that more stringent enforcement of equal opportunity laws be brought about by the state and federal officials. Greater affirmative action in the entry level of employment is required to raise the levels of Indian employment.

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights should investigate, the flow of federal dollars through the state that are earmarked for employment purposes, training and support. Are there any questions on that portion?

Health of Indians in Arizona. The delivery of health services to Arizona Indians has been without great difficulty. Allegedly because of Indians the State of Arizona refused for years to undertake the Medicare program offered by the federal government. And until last year, the State of Arizona declined federal assistance for the medically indigent because it was felt that the number of poor Indians would bankrupt the system.

In an unpromised form, officials of the state and the federal department agreed to provide an experimental program termed as the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System.

Access to Arizona's health care program is not applied equally.

All eligible indigent Indians are assumed to be the responsibility of the Indian Health Service of the federal government.

新文學 我不会人生

12.

The cost of treating those Indians by the new Arizona health care program would be reimbursed by the Indian Health Center. There are many of us who choose to reside in urban, off-reservation areas first and foremost because of education. Second because of jobs, training opportunities, very few will remain on their own will in the urban areas.

The Indian Health Service is geared to provide services to Indians living on the reservation. This new scheme, what we are calling the new Arizona health system's cost containment system, is what we feel is schemed to funnel monies from the reservation Indians to cover the indigents Indians in the urban areas and totally a lesser amount of medical dollars are available to the Indian population in Arizona, particularly those living on reservations.

The state, through this plan, we feel, is relieved of its obligation to provide financial service to medically needy indigent Indians residing in urban, off-reservation areas. And thus, Indians residing in these areas are not treated in the same manner as other eligible, non-Indian recipients.

This inequity by the State of Arizona should be scrutinized by the U.S. Civil Rights Commission and also the Arizona Civil Rights Commission. In the past health services

for Indians residing on reservations, has, for the most part, been provided by the Indian Health Services.

The problem exists that many of Arizona's urban Indians are caught in a gap. Again, what is urban Indian? That means the gap.

Voting rights of Indians in Arizona. Another civil rights issue is the attempted violation of the Voting Rights Act as amended, reference is made to HB 2191, which was an attempt by the Arizona State Legislature to segregate Indians in northern Arizona into their own county. The majority of Republicans in the House of Representatives and the Senate who cohorted to pass an all-Indian county bill that would have segregated the Navajos into a separate county and Apache County and Navajo Counties. Fortunately, and thankfully the Governor of Arizona saw clearly the definite consitutional problems associated with this legislation and vetoed the bill.

The bill was characterized as racist. The legislation, by the Arizona Daily Star, in an editorial, which you have as an exhibit, Exhibit B. Efforts to resolve the problem include the establishment of a task force study committee including representatives from the counties affected, representatives from the Arizona Legislature, representatives from the congressional offices, private citizens and also representatives from the tribes. Several meetings have been held to study the income factors of Indians in the affected counties.

The taxation issues, the federal dollars involved, the tribal revenues and the court expenditures. It is hoped the efforts of the committee will result in a peaceful resolution of this matter. Judicial remedies in the past have allowed Indians to vote in the affected counties as well as in other counties.

a the state of the

7.

Remedies of one person, one vote have allowed Indians to participate in the electoral process. The exercise of Indian voting power in Apache County has resulted in, again let me emphasize in Apache County have resulted in an Indian majority, two of the three Apache County Board of Supervisors are Indian.

Therein lies the crux of the issue. Should a reservation Indian be disenfranchised from holding an elected public office because the Indian is legally exempted from paying property taxes?

Judicial holdings say no.

All Indians, whether residing on-reservation or offreservation have the right to vote and hold public office.

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights should be made aware that an attempt will be made in the U.S. Congress to achieve the same result, i.e., an all-Indian county which failed in the state legislative process.

Any attempt to disenfranchise the Indians' voting right and gain elected public offices should be discouraged by

the Civil Rights Commission. Basically, if we're allowed to fight this country's battles, our country's battles, we should not be denied the right to vote, i.e., the Navajo Code Talkers.

The commission should keep abreast of all election activities in Apache and Navajo County. The establishment of a community college proposal supported by a majority of Indian voters in Apache County was challenged and defeated.

And another matter, a majority of Indian voters favored a non-Indian clerk of the court over a challenger supported by the group to divide Apache County. The votes of the Indian constituency were challenged. Indian-favored candidates are carefully scrutinized and challenged by the so-called representation without taxation group. Such election challenges should be closely monitored by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

Educational issues. Education rights of Indians in Arizona. This particular aspect constitutes another body of civil rights issues. Many urban Indians seeking employment have limited formal education. The Affiliation of Arizona Indian Centers found a serious lack of high school diploma or a GED of many Indian applicants. The Phoenix Indian Center reported that out of six clients during the fiscal year of 1982, four did not have a high school diploma or a GED certificate.

During the same year, the affiliation found that 37%

of the clients seeking employment among the affiliated agencies has not comleted high school.

1-1

In your packets you have a very short, in fact 100 words, biography of myself. I am a high school dropout.

And I have put a quote in there, because of administrative cultural indifference. Okay? That is something that is very rampant on the reservations or public schools systems too, I just wanted to emphasize that.

The Indian families in the towns and cities face adverse conditions in the towns and school systems. Let me state a specific instance to illustrate how a student was assisted from a federal program.

A very young student was totally disoriented, bumbling and groping manners were diagnosed by school teachers to be a case for special education. The student was introduced to an employee funded by a federal program, Public Law 92318, Title IV. Which addressed Indian educational issues and needs.

A brief interview between the student and a student adviser established the fact that the child had broken her glasses and was unable to see.

And without the federally funded program and personnel existed, the wrongfully diagnosed child would have been incarcerated in a special education program and perhaps stigmatized for the rest of her life.

Other barriers to equal educational opportunities in

the high school include the requirement of students to purchase their own books. Efforts have been made in the state legislature to provide all students with free textbooks so enhance their education. The price of textbooks to students of indigent parents are substantial indeed.

.9

A sampling of the cost of textbooks to Indian students was made by the affiliation. The report is as follows: One, a senior girl in a Tempe high school paid \$65.00 for books and fees. A junior boy, a junior high school boy in the Phoenix Union High School paid \$60.00 for books and fees. An Indian freshman in Mesa paid \$47.00 for books and fees. A senior high school boy in Flagstaff paid \$105.00 for books and fees. These fees impact heavily upon the Indian families with very low income. And some reservation schools federal funding is available and somewhat utilized to pay Indian students' portions for books and fees, i.e., your Johnson-O'Malley-type programs, and some other off-reservation areas federal funding for Indian students is unavailable and the textbook purchase becomes a financial burden upon the Indian parents.

In several cases students lacked the funds for books and simply drop out of school. In other cases Indian student delays in purchasing the books and therefore falls behind in studies and assignments and readings.

Textbook issue has a definite impact on student

achievement.

It is recommended that the state pass legislation to provide free textbooks to all students since education is a mandatory state requirement. The alternative is to recommend funding or continued federal funding for programs such as the Johnson-O Malley Title IV program. To be expanded to cover textbooks.

and the second of the second

The denial of equal educational opportunities is certainly an area for the U.S. Civil Rights Commission and the Arizona State Civil Rights Commission to pursue. The text-book issue is a subject matter worthy of the commission's attention.

Criminal justice of Indians in Arizona. The administration of justice is impacting heavily on the Indians in Arizona. Crime in Arizona, Exhibit C, you have specific statistics and documentation. Crime in Arizona established that Arizona Law Enforcement Officers arrested a total of 152,749 persons in 1981. This is 15,902 or 12% more than in 1980.

As to race, Indians accounted for 8.34% of the total arrests, the greatest offenses committed by those Indians arrested included driving while under the influence, DWI, 3,411.

Liquor laws, 1,973, disorderly conduct, 1,464. Larceny theft, 1,743, and all other offenses except traffic, 2,066.

21-

In a recent incident, four drunk Indians murdered, and I use that in quotes, from a report, four drunk Indians, murdered a postal worker named Karen Raine (Phonetic) on a local Indian reservation. The Federal Bureal of Investigation were immediately called upon to, which responsibilities by the federal agency were immediate and magnanimous.

Contrast to that there was a Navajo mail shot on the reservation by a gas service attendant. The individual spent not even one night in jail and was released on his own recognizance and recently some of you may have read that he was given one year sentence of probation.

In contrast, a request for an investigation of a murder of an Indian is nonresponsive or dilatory. The United States Attorney's Office is replete with tribal requests for FBI investigations of serious felonies to which response is absent or seriously lacking.

The indifference is serious violation of the administration of justice. It is encumbent upon the U.S. Civil Rights Commission to investigate the unequal treatment afforded the Native American by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

The civil rights of Indian victims are not promptly and properly addressed by the U.S. Attorney's Office and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. If the federal government is reluctant to pursue prosecution of serious felonies, it is recommended that the Indian Civil Rights Act of 1968

2T

be amended to allow the tribal justice system to take care of misdemeanors as well as felony matters. Perhaps the commission can be of assistance in these matters.

The number of Indian inmates at the Arizona State

Prison at Florence has been estimated at 67. This group of

Indians have made known the overcrowded conditions at Florence.

Double bunking is a violation of the prohibition against over
crowded conditions in prison facilities.

The affiliation believes federal court orders have mandated such conditions to be alleviated by the state which resulted in the erection of a minimum security prison at Perryville (Phonetic). Double bunking is prohibited at other penal institutions in the state.

Another civil rights comlaint emerging out of the state prison concerns the violation of religious rights. I would also like to recognize at this point the American Indian Religious Freedom Act, which would protect our specific rituals. Many Indians practice their native religions and the Indians at the Florence Prison are no different. The Indian inmates have lodged a complaint with the Director of Corrections, Ellis McDougal, citing a denial of religious time off other than on Sundays to exercise their First Amendment right.

Such Indians enter a religious sweathouse to pray.

And also, personal hygiene. These practices are not limited

to Christian Sundays as many of you are familiar with. The effect is a denial of religious freedom.

Neglect of spirituality and loss of well being is a result of the Indian inmates.

The issue can be resolved administratively by the director of corrections with particular problem by the United States Commission on Civil Rights.

The affiliation urges the commission to investigate this complaint from the Indian inmates of the Arizona State Prison.

Housing of Indians in Arizona. Housing problems, landlord/tenant situations have affected the urban Indian. With relocation, Public Law 93531, Navajo and Hopi Land Settlement Act, from the former JUA, Flagstaff has received the greatest influx of relocatees, a forced relocation, the largest peacetime relocation of any person in these United States.

With relocation of Navajos from the Navajo and Hopi land dispute area, observations have been made and reported that relocatees have been placed in homes without being fully informed that they must pay mortgages, that they need to — that mortgage payments need to be made on the house and that utility payments are also required.

Many are basically taken from their traditional homesite, their property assessed at a certain value, and a home is bought outright. A home that you and I would consider upper middle class. Very nice. But they don't even know how to deal with the electricity, the gas and the utilities that is now available to them, things that you and I would consider

Well, basic, staples of life are luxuries to them now and they can not, some of these relocatees can not even handle these things, the cost of them. Many of them are unemployed when they come into the Flagstaff community.

Many, again, of these relocatees are the older, more traditional people from the reservation. Although they were able to maintain themselves in the extended family structure and your reservation living because most of them had livestock, corn fields and whatnot. They can not carry that type of lifestyle into the rural-urban setting any more, and thus have to try to acclimate to a very alien type of world.

In a recent court case a lending institution was prohibited from foreclosing on one relocatee's home in Flagstaff. The lender had exacted exhorbitent rates and large balloon payments that the relocatees could not possibly meet. The effect was nonpayment in the first instance in which the lender attempted to reclaim the relocatee's home. The unjustified extortion was prevented by a court order.

Other Indians faced such lending practices. This should be reviewed by the civil -- U.S. Commission on Civil

luxuries.

Rights. Reports from Flagstaff have stated that Flagstaff landlords have refused Native Americans access to rental housing. Proferred payments are not accepted from the Native Americans. Some landlords have even refused to accept the state funded energy assistance program checks as partial payments on utility bills even when the landlord's name was evidenced on the check.

Some Indians have signed leases without fully comprehending the nature of the contract. One such client's mobile home was sold by the landlord for back rent and eventually was sold at a loss by the landlord.

These various instances of housing issues establish a pattern of discrimination in urban communities. These incidents, as I assure you, are widespread.

In summation, the affiliation has documented numerous incidences of discrimination again in housing, education and health services, employment and also the political process, and the judicial process.

While tremendous progress has been made in civil rights issues, via sensitization, education, and sessions such as this, there are still numerous areas that need to be addressed more fully. Discrimination has taken in recent years a much more subtle and not, if you will, so blatent or patent scene.

In the tase of the division of Apache and Navajo Counties we feel this is a notorious uprising among the

Anglos, the non-Indians to segregate the Navajos into an all-Indian county. And the argument has been basically sovereignty.

Yes, Native Americans speak of soveriegnty but when you try to isolate them onto an island, that is not sovereignty, that is total segregation.

In conclusion, the last vestiges of discrimination in Arizona should be abolished, and hopefully with the help of the Arizona Civil Rights Commission, this will be, hopefully, seen in my day and your day.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Darden, undeniably this is a very excellent report and well put together.

Are there any questions that any member of the committee has?

Mr. Darden?

Ţ

24.

A (By Mr. Darden) If I may, like to address one comment in the judicial area. Flagstaff, what we would normally call a border town, has made one fine attempt and we acknowledge that attempt by the City of Flagstaff. They have appointed the first Native American magistrate, Mr. Joe Washington, who's the former executive director of the Indian Center in Flagstaff, so there are attempts but we certainly need to again address a lot of other areas but I did want that to go on record for the City of Flagstaff, we thank you.

Q (By Mr. Palacios) A question. Do you, to any degree at all, interface with the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the BIA?

A Very little, because the Bureau of Indian Affairs has for years basically stipulated that their responsibilities lie on the reservation.

Q Exclusively?

A Exclusively. And because of that, we have found basically an undermining straight across the board and total exclusion of urban Indians from any of the federal funded programs, and although there is mandates that, well, for instance the bureau is to afford members of the Navajo Tribe, the Hopi and the Havasupai Tribes social services in the community of Flagstaff because Flagstaff was designated as a non-Indian reservation, to date there is no services provided by the bureau in social services in Flagstaff for any of those tribal members. So, there has been very little, if you will, cooperation from the bureau in that respect.

The only cooperation we have seen to date, really has been in the Indian Child Welfare Act, which they provided urban programs funding which is specific real dollars. And that's been really the extent of it.

Q (By Ms. Manuel) I was just curious whether there was any interaction with this group tht was here before, the human, what are they called the Human Relations Commission? You noticed -- for you heard their report?

A Yes, have addressed that question directly to Phyllis And they do have Phyllis is personnel director from the

Indian Center as a member of that Human Relations Commission. 1 0 I was curious about their membership and I wondered 2 if there was -- if they had a Native American on the --3 MS. BIG POND: On the commission, the presentation 4 unit they referred to, I don't think we've had that kind of 5 presentation in our organization, but we do have that kind 6 of communication with them, yes. 7 (By Mr. Palacios) You're a member of their --8. MS. BIG POND: I'm not, the person who is our personnel 9 manager at the Phoenix Indian Center is a member of their 10 commission. 11 Q One of how many? Do you know how many people are on 12 the commission itself? 13 MS. BIG POND: I don't know. 14 MS. MANUEL: Seventeen. 15 MR. PALACIOS: Seventeen? 16 MS. MANUEL: There's also, the other comment I wanted 17 to make was that the -- I don't know if you've seen the report 18 that the commission on civil rights, I guess most of the prob-19 lems that were identified in there were directly related to 20 the reservation setting, I don't recall that they paid any 21 particular attention to utban Indian problems. 22 You know, that might be something that the commission 23 should look at certainly because there are a large numbers of 24

Native Peoples residing in urban settings.

A AR MAN AND A

25

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

12

ĺ3

1.4

15 16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Α

MR. PALACIOS: More and more, yes.

A (By Mr. Darden) In fact, there are more Indians in off-reservation areas than on-reservation today.

Q (By the Chair) What is your source of funding?

A Our source of funding runs the gamut. For the affiliation or for the -- I keep thinking of Flagstaff Indian Center.

Q Well, for the Flagstaff, Phoenix?

A The Flagstaff Indian Center we have the gamut, we have private funding from private foundations, we have local funding from the city, we did have county funding, we do have state funding via the Title XX project, and the majority of our programs are federal funded.

In employment services we have the GETA Title III, we have Indian Health Services, we did have the Indian Child Welfare Program, and because Flagstaff is really impacted by Public Law 93531, we have a subcontract with the relocation to provide acclimation services to relocatees, but we do have quite a gamut of sources of funding for the affiliation.

The majority of it's federal, they also have an employment training program, they have an adult education component,
they have just recently gone for some private foundation monies
and Phyllis can give you basically --

PHYLLIS BIG POND

(By Ms. Big Pond) We have many of the same sources,

I think, but the greatest portion of our money is federal.

we also have from the state, both city and county and United

Way. All the Indian Centers are private, nonprofit corporations and contract for services from those various sources.

I might add, too, that the affiliation of Arizona
Indian Centers they have responsibility for the balance of
state in terms of most of their funding, their services are
extended to those cities where the larger Indian Centers
are not located, that would include those smaller cities that
Steve referred to, Yuma, Winslow and Prescott.

Q (By the Chair) Do you have any relationship with schools?

A Well, in Phoenix we have — we have some programs, I guess, where we may do some cooperative things with the schools but primarily the Indian programs, you know, they have a Title IV program in the Phoenix Union High School system and we would relate to that group because they have counselors in the schools who are working with Indian students, so our — most of our contacts are there.

We have had in the past some contact with the Phoenix Union High School in some Indian high school in various areas.

A (By Mr. Darden) With Flagstaff specifically we've,

I believe, have developed quite a few unique network in
specifically career and training areas. We have a program,
Ralston-Purina Summer Youth Program which we afford on a non

];]

discriminatory basis to our two local high schools in Flag-staff. But also many times we're afforded the opportunity to go into the schools, specifically during the latter or spring months, to provide cultural sensitization, education programs. And that we do. And one thing that we're trying, that we're attempting to develop is a', prior to school reconvening in the fall, we want to develop these programs for the schools for the educators there, because Flagstaff does have quite a large Native American population and they also have a dormitory there for the Navajo students, and the enrollment there is quite large so those are some of the things that we're into.

A (By Ms. Big Pond) I might mention also that we did have a project that was funded by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention to do a specific project with Phoenix Union and Phoenix Indian High School and that was to look at the Indian dropout rate as well as the disciplinary systems of those schools. And one of the projects that did come out of that something that we feel will go hopefully towards helping students to a great extent is to put out a handbook on the rights of Native American students in Arizona.

Q (By the Chair). Do you relate at all to the center for Indian education, Arizona State University?

A (By Mr. Darden) Wes, we do.

BOULEY SCHLESINGER DICURTE SCHIPPERS, GARWOOD & ERWIN

å

	a s
1	Q There's a large Indian leadership program there now,
2	it's conceivable that some internship relationship could be
3.	established.
4	A We have worked with their school social work also, as
5	far as interns, internships are concerned, and also, as we
6	have with the university in Flagstaff.
7	THE CHAIR: Any other questions?
8	Thank you.
9	We will recess until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.
10	
11	(Meeting recessed)
12	,·* *
13	
14	• •
15	
16	}
17	
18	
19	
20	t
21	
22	And the second s
23	
24	
25	A TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE OF T

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, DICURTI, SCHIPPERS, GARWOOD & ERWIN

3 32 · ~