	1
	2
	2 3 4
	4
	5
	5 6 7 8
	7
	8
	9
INC.	10
VICE	11
<b>ORTING SERV</b> R, COLORADO	12
RTING	13
REPO DENVER	14
ERAL De	15 16
FEDE	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
CCR	25

Meet. 178.1 v.1

### A CONSULTATION

### ON POLICE

### AND THE MINORITY COMMUNITY

# "A STEP TOWARD"

# MUTUAL RESPECT AND UNDERSTANDING



Lory Student Center Colorado State University Ft. Collins, Colorado 80523

Thursday, August 13, 1981  $\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{O}}\ \underline{\mathsf{N}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}\ \underline{\mathsf{N}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{S}}$ 

	2	SPEAKERS:	PAGE NO.
FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC.  DENVER, COLORADO	3	William Koleszar - Chief of Police, Arvada Police Department; Co-Chairman, Consultation Steerin	3 g 49
	4	Committee	5
	5	Gary Castle - Deputy Mayor, City of Fort Collins	3
	6	Dr. Gilbert Roman - Office for Civil Rights; Co-Chairman, Consultation Steering Committee	4
	7 8	Ron Ramirez - State Director, LULAC	4
	9	Ronald Ben Fernandez	8
	10	Leo Cardenas - Director, Denver Office of - Community Relations Service, Denver,	11
	11	Colorado	
	12	Gilbert G. Pompa - Director, Community Relations Service, U. S. Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.	13
	13		
	14	Lillian Gutierrez - Immediate Past State Director, League of United Latin American Citizens (LUL	
	15	Ruben Bonilla - Immediate Past National Chairman of LULAC	23
FED	16		4.0
	17	James Joy - Program Chairman; Executive Director, ACLU	42
	18	Jose Sandoval - La Raza Park Committee, Denver,	46
	19	Colorado	
	20	Pete Amory - Denver Urban League	55
	21	Tony Tafoya - El Comite, Longmont, Colorado	57
	22	Dr. Charles H. Rogovin - Professor of Law, Temple University	74
	23		
	24		

# FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC. DENVER, COLORADO

7.

### PROCEEDINGS

MR. WILLIAM KOLESZAR: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. On behalf of the League of Latin American Citizens, on behalf of the Urban League of Denver, and on behalf of the Community Relations Service, U. S. Department of Justice, I would like to welcome each and every one of you to this three-day consultation.

For the past six months, many dedicated individuals from both the police and the minority community have been working to make this three-day consultation a reality. I, as cochairman, Bill Koleszar, Chief of Police in Arvada, and Dr. Gil Roman, U. S. Department of Education, along with the Executive Committee and the Steering Committee of this consultation, would like to welcome you and we sincerely hope that this consultation will be the initiative for improved police-minority community relations in the state of Colorado.

At this point in time I would like to introduce to you Mr. Gary Castle, Deputy Mayor, City of Ft. Collins.

MR. GARY CASTLE: Thank you very much, Chief. It is my pleasure today to welcome you to the City of Ft. Collins and to Colorado State University. It's great to have a group like this with us and I certainly hope you enjoy your stay and have a fantastic conference.

In looking at the subject matter for your consultation, a step toward mutual respect and understanding, I couldn't help

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but think what could be more important at this stage of life in our communities in this area. I'm very, very pleased that the conference is taking place. I'm sure that it will be a most successful conference. The Mayor was unable to be here today, due to a previous commitment, and I'm sorry to say that I have to run out the door in just a few minutes, but I hope to come back and join you some more during the conference.

I certainly do welcome you and hope that you'll find everything that you want in Ft. Collins and at CSU and that you very much enjoy your three days here. Our best wishes for a very successful conference. Thank you.

MR. KOLESZAR: At this time I'd like to introduce to you the co-chair of this conference, Dr. Gil Roman.

MR. GILBERT ROMAN: Thank you very much. Along with the welcome remarks from the Deputy Mayor I would also like to -there are two persons, actually, that I would like to have speak One of them is one of the directors of -- he's one to us today. of the co-sponsors here in Colorado and here at the conference on police-community relations. I would also like to have one other person speak to us today and that particular person will be someone who I am very fond of and someone who I hope you will welcome as we will welcome him to the conference. the State Director of LULAC. Ron, would you say a few words, please?

MR. RAMIREZ: Ladies and gentlemen, as State Director

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of LULAC and a co-sponsor of this conference, I would like to welcome you here. You know, there's a story that's told about three blind men who were brought up to touch an elephant and later each was asked to describe the elephant. Now, the man who had been brought up to the front who felt the tusks said, "Oh, an elephant is built like a tree limb. It grows straight out. it's tough and it's smooth and it sways back and forth."

The person who had been to the center of the elephant said, "Oh, no, no, you're wrong. An elephant is high and wide and growing straight up and is much like a rubber-covered wall." Finally, the third man who had been back at the tail end of the elephant and had felt the tail said, "Both of you are wrong. An elephant is long and slender, much like a rope, growing straight down and has the most peculiar and offensive smell."

Now, each of these blind men felt that their description of the elephant was accurate. Yet, because of his limited contact, each had a very distorted view of what an elephant was. And the same is often true in our society.

You know, a policeman is called upon to enforce the Therefore, except for his personal circle of friends, most of the people he comes into contact with are criminals. If he has no friends who are from the Black or Hispanic community, then usually the only ones he knows are those whom he has arrested for a criminal offense. And, if asked to describe a Black or Hispanic, he might reply that they are a bunch of

.8

criminals, often drunk and belligerent and who may have a peculiar and offensive smell.

But to describe all minorities as being that way would be just the same as the blind man who had ahold of the elephant's tail. The reverse would also be true.

The only law enforcement officers that many of our minorities come in contact with are those who stop them or detain them trying to enforce some law, whether it be a traffic ticket, disturbing the peace or something more serious. And if asked to describe a policeman, they might reply that all policemen think they're tough, they're loud, they're cruel, and they may say that they have a most peculiar and offensive smell. Again, just as inaccurate as the blind man with the elephant's tail.

Yet, there are minorities who are criminals, who break the law every chance they get, who will always try to beat the system, and who try to get something for nothing, and who think nothing of taking a human life. And there are policeman who, because they feel they have a little authority, begin to practice maybe an unrighteous dominion, who with the slightest provocation will use unnecessary force and who maybe also think nothing of taking a human life. Most unfortunately, it is these people from both groups who get most of the press and become the part of the elephant that is used to describe the whole group.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At this conference, I hope we can develop ways of interaction between the police and the minority community that will allow us all to see the whole elephant, to learn that the majority of the people in the other group are nothing like the stereotypes that have often been described. I hope that as a result of our conference we can develop a closer relationship of trust and of understanding so that when conflicts arise both groups can sit down together with trust and with understanding to resolve the conflicts, to take appropriate action both to punish those who are at fault and to vindicate those who are innocent, without either side taking offense.

Let us work together for the next two days to try to make this conference the kind of success that will, when seen by our peers, maybe spread beyond the community. May this truly be the first step towards mutual respect and understanding. Thank you.

DR. ROMAN: Thank you, Ron. We also invited Larry Borum today to speak to you. I don't think he's here at this particular time. Larry? He's not here.

I want to welcome you all on behalf of the Urban League of Denver and myself.

The next person who is part of the welcoming tomthis particular conference is Ronald Ben Fernandez who comes from a congress in Los Angeles. I know he has many titles. he was Chairman of the Board of (inaudible). He's been an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ambassador to the Republic of (inaudible), and in 1980 he was the first Hispanic Republican candidate for president of the Please welcome Mr. Ronald Ben Fernandez. United States.

MR. RONALD BEN FERNANDEZ: Thank you very much. I'm delighted to have the opportunity to address you.

The decade of the '80s has been designated as the decade of the Hispanic, and I suppose that it was only proper that we should begin the decade with the first American of Mexican descent in this country running for president of the United States. Not too successfully but, nevertheless, it happened.

In 1980, I had some marvelous experiences which might relate to this group. I was in Nogales, Arizona, campaigning. I was the first presidential candidate ever to visit Nogales --I'm very big in Nogales, Arizona -- the local newspapers gave me the front page, endorsed me; the high school had an assembly. I gave the kids, about 1500 of them, a full presidential presentation. They went nuts. Afterward my wife and I established a receiving line and shook hands with all of them.

I'll never forget Mary Lopez. She walked up to me, stuck out her hand and said to me, "I'm so proud of you. now on when we study about famous Americans we'll study about Washington, Lincoln and Fernandez." And that's not bad company.

While we are from diverse backgrounds, you and I, we do have one thing in common -- all of us here -- regardless of

the ethnic background, the racial background, religious background. We are all Americans, each and every one of you. As we move in the system, enforcing the law of the land, I think it is important to remember the fact that we are Americans and we're all proud of our different ethnic backgrounds and what have you.

As a law enforcement official, you are charged with the responsibility of enforcing the law of the land and I'm with you all the way. But you must understand your market, as indicated by the elephant analogy. You must understand your market. You must take a look at what we look like. We are highly diversified.

We are going to have some serious problems in the decade of the '80s as more immigrants come into this country legally and otherwise. We must learn to use some common sense as we move in the marketplace.

A few months ago I was at the airport in Los Angeles, dressed about the way I am today. I was paying for my ticket in cash. I was asked by this nationally-known airline -- and I won't mention their name but the skies are not very friendly -- I was asked by them for identification. "We want to know what your -- who are you?" I said, "What's the difference? Here's my cash. If I were giving you a check, fine." There was some hostility immediately. I said, "Wait a minute." She says to me that there's a new regulation that states that we can ask for

your identification when you pay cash. I said, "Let me give you my identification and then I want you to call your supervisor."

The supervisor was called, there was a great deal of embarrassment, especially after I identified myself as a 1980 candidate for president of the United States. I had been asked for identification because my skin happens to be a little bit darker than the norm, because I happen to have a Spanish surname and maybe I was a potential skyjacker.

I had my long chat with the Chairman of the Board of that unfriendly airline, I have a written letter of apology, but that's not the point. The point is that some common sense was not used in the field.

I think every law enforcement officer has a right to protect himself under all circumstances coupled with an element of judgment, coupled with the knowledge that we are different in many ways. I happen to believe that the element that ties us all together, the Americanism, is one that will prevail. And if you remember that there are many definitions of what Americano is -- I happen to be of Mexican descent but one definition I like, which is a very honorable one, is the following -- we can be defined as follows: Christians by the grace of God, gentlemen due to our Spanish descent, noble lords attributable to our Indian blood. That's a definition of great pride and I suggest to you that coupled with our moving into the free enterprise system and into America, we can develop the best

1:4

of both cultures, of the Anglo culture and of the Hispanic culture. The ultimate result is an American.

I know that I'm very proud that I am an American. I know that throughout Campaign '80 my love of country and love of flag was as real as any person in this room. So, perhaps, the overall umbrella over my brief remarks has to be the one of Americanism, patriotism, love of country, love of flag, obeying the law. Certainly it cannot be one of being against law enforcement. I'm very, very strongly behind the law enforcement officials of this country. But always keep in the back of your mind, maybe even in the front of your mind, that each and every one of us is different but we are all Americans. I thank you.

MR. KOLESZAR: We here in Colorado, I think, are very fortunate, one, because we have available to us in the law enforcement and minority community field the Community Relations Service of the U. S. Department of Justice. But even more so, we're very fortunate because of the particular individual who heads the Denver office of the Community Relations Service and I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce him to you for he will be introducing to you a very important gentleman from Washington. Mr. Leo Cardenas.

MR, LEO CARDENAS: Thank you. I have the uncomfortable, I guess, position of having to introduce the boss and, at the same time, perhaps the most pleasurable function for me

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

today because Gilbert G. Pompa and I date back some 21 years and we happen to have been friends before he became my boss. At that time I was a reporter for the San Antonio Express and Mr. Pompa was the Chief Prosecutor for the city of San Antonio Municipal Court. We developed a friendship from there on.

My director is an attorney. He earned his law degree from St. Mary's University and practiced law for 18 years and was admitted to practice law before the U. S. Supreme Court during those 18 years. Subsequently, he became the Chief Prosecutor of the Municipal Court for the City of San Antonio. Also, then, he was the Chief Prosecutor for the Misdemeanor Section for Bear County in San Antonio.

In 1967, the Community Relations Service found Mr. Pompa in San Antonio. He was appointed as a Community Relations Specialist covering half of the country. Our agency, almost as it is today, was very small at that time and there were very few Community Relations Specialists in 1967.

It didn't take the Community Relations Service of the U. S. Department of Government very long to discover the type of person that Mr. Pompa is. Within a couple of years he was taken to Washington and was appointed Deputy Assistant Director for Field Services. He subsequently became Deputy Director of the Community Relations Service and eventually, of course, Director.

Now, in that span of years since 1967, Mr. Pompa has served under five Presidents, under 13 Attorney Generals, and

14.

today he is the highest ranking minority official in the U. S. Department of Justice. Please join me in welcoming my friend and my director, Mr. Gilbert G. Pompa.

MR. GILBERT G. POMPA: Thank you, Leo. Leo is right. We do date back a long way, but I need to correct him on something. I did not practice law for 18 years. I would be 75 years old if I had. I would either be a damned good-looking 75 or something has gone right. I only practiced law for two years and the rest of the time I served as Assistant City Attorney, Chief Prosecutor, for the City of San Antonio and as First Assistant District Attorney for Bear County, Texas, really San Antonio.

yesterday on the plane. The New York Times carried a story about a controversy going on in Colorado between some people that want to call themselves natives and people that want to call themselves aliens. I found it rather amusing. Also I saw where some people had some decals that say "Who Cares". Before I leave I want to buy one of those but I really didn't know how to categorize myself so I asked this lady. She said, "Where are you from?" I said, "I'm from Washington." She said, "How about illegal alien." I found out that's what they think of bureaucrats.

In any event, I just want to, officially, on behalf of the Community Relations Service, Department of Justice,

At that time we conceived and we actually advanced the notion of bringing together minority organizational leaders in a face-to-face meeting with police officials from throughout the United States. Our decision to do this was based on the increasing number of confrontations between Black and Hispanic minorities and law enforcement agencies, confrontations that were coming to the attention of my agency, the Community Relations Service, in such frequent numbers that it became a priority area for us to address.

We felt that the emotional intensity of the problem had resulted in what we virtually called an undeclared war between minorities and police in some parts of this country, an undeclared war that we in the Community Relation Service committed ourselves to stop. In furtherance of that commitment, on December 11, 1979, we convened a convocation of experts and concerned individuals in Washington, D. C., to actually explore different approaches to the problem. We titled that meeting a National Consultation on Safety and Force: An Opportunity for Police-Minority Cooperation.

One of the recommendations of that consultation called

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for a series of statewide and/or local replications of the national effort. This consultation here in Ft. Collins today represents one of those follow-up efforts. We have approached it in the same manner that we approach all things in the Community Relations Service, by appealing to your sense of duty and responsibility to try to resolve problems that divide us.

Our society rests and is dependent upon our willingness to bridge the gap that divides us as a people! It is precisely that willingness that forms the cornerstone of my agency's approach to solving racial conflicts in this country. We know that our continued progress as a nation can be no better than the progress that we make in interrelating with each other as individuals.

This audience today is filled with law enforcement officials and minority representatives, each needing the other for survival. You are, in fact, natural allies who have, for lack of understanding each other's problems, too often been cast in the role of antagonists.

Many of you have met before, but under conditions that made it difficult to generate success and alleviate common prob-More often than not, the dialogue was sought in the wake of tragedy when the passions of the moment triggered outrage and defensiveness on the part of both of you.

Alliances, we know, can hardly be developed, much less survive, under that kind of atmosphere. But looking across this room today, I have a feeling that you have already met what I consider to be the most difficult objective of this consultation, and that is to form an alliance of more than 40 organizations which includes law enforcement officers from urban and rural areas and citizens from every walk of life, and from just about every ethnic background. That this was done in the state of Colorado, which is undergoing a tremendous population growth, is a milestone. Indeed, it is for me in particular a pleasure to lend the resources of my agency, the Community Relations Service, to this effort.

I cannot emphasize enough the importance of your mission over the next two days. It goes beyond the need for better relations. As you know, the Department of Justice has made the threat of violent crime its number one priority. I know from talking to many of you today that you have already begun to identify the serious problems which beset this country in terms of this issue.

Having served as a former law enforcement officer and a prosecutor, I fully realize the problems that inpede good law, enforcement work. These include lack of training, lack of proper resources, the failure of elected officials and the community at large to give law enforcement the proper priority that it deserves, and most importantly, a lack of communications with certain segments of the community.

Now, while the department is pledged to protect the

2

life, safety and property of Americans against the increasing menace of crime in our communities, we recognize that we cannot successfully take on this challenge unilaterally. Unfortunately, while we agree that a bilateral approach to crime involving both the community and the police is necessary, there is a serious factor impeding our effort to enlist the full support of the community. This factor involves a perception by minorities that our criminal justice system provides less effective service and unequal protection in their neighborhoods. I hasten to add that I am not talking about the state of Colorado in particular. I am talking about a national perspective.

Because this perception of unequal protection and service creates an unnecessary antagonism and suspicion between minorities and our administration of justice that, in fact, hampers our fight against crime, we felt compelled to make this problem our number one priority in the Community Relations Service.

We hope that through interaction between minorities and law enforcement officials we can indeed develop a better understanding and appreciation for each other's position, rather than allowing distrust and poor relationships to develop mainly due to misunderstanding. We have found that the basis for these misunderstandings often result from the lack of proper planning in communities that experience tremendous growth and serious incidents between law enforcement officers and minorities,

misunderstandings that were allowed to fester from lack of timely attention.

While I need not point out incidents such as the one that occurred in communities like Longmont, Pueblo, Del Norte, and Fort Lupton, or the most recent clash in La Raza Park in Denver, I believe that a simple mention of these cities is sufficient to underscore the need for this particular consultation.

Our goal here is simple: To prevent and reduce tension between law enforcement and minorities and to increase the understanding of each other's role, thereby enhancing the minority community's perception of law enforcement officers as allies in their fight against a common enemy, crime. It is not an easy task, we recognize, particularly in the 1980s when we all know that economically we must be able to do more with less. But judging from a quick review of the agenda that you have carved out here today, I believe that you are prepared to tackle this serious issue.

I know that this consultation will not resolve all of the problems that confront us in police-minority relations. But this much I do know: If meaningful efforts are to be initiated, in the fight against crime, we must today firmly commit ourselves to solve these problems and attain these goals together. I believe that the setting we have provided will help accomplish these goals. I also believe that if we move forward together, the state of Colorado will be the eventual winner. Too much is

at stake. We cannot afford to fail. We ask you in the name of everything that this country represents to join us in making this conference a success. Thank you very much.

MR. CARDENAS: Thank you very much, Mr. Pompa.

Every year the Attorney General makes awards at a national level and in 1980, as Director for the Rocky Mountain Region, it is a pleasure for me to report to you that three members of my staff were recognized by the Attorney General. Since we happen to have our Director here today, I'm asking that he present the awards to members of my staff at this time. So, Mr. Pompa, would you please?

MR. POMPA: This is one of the few pleasures that I get from time to time. I see right off the bat that Art Montoya has already gotten one over on us. He's getting a special commendation.

It is my pleasure at this time -- I know most of you, particularly in the law enforcement area, have been working with Art over the years and you know his ability to not only get a good job done but con people as well. He's already conned the AG, as you can see.

It's my pleasure now to call upon Art Montoya to receive the Attorney General's special commendation. Art? Are you somewhere in here? Art is basically a shy person, as you can tell.

The second recipient of the special commendation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

award is an individual who has been in the Rocky Mountain Region for many years and who has contributed much. It is my pleasure at this time to call Manuel Salinas.

The third recipient, and I don't know if he is here, is a member of the Rocky Mountain Region staff, conciliator, It is my pleasure now to present the Attorney General's special commendation award to Wilbur Reed.

MR. CARDENAS: I think probably it's appropriate that at least I make some comments on the reason for some of the The awards that went to Mr. Montoya and Mr. Salinas was for work that was done with the Police Department and with El Comite in Longmont. I think all of us are aware that tomorrow will be the anniversary of that tragedy and despite that fact, in the last 12-month period there has been no additional violence in that community, despite the tension and despite the tragedy that occurred. It is for that reason that they are receiving this national award.

Mr. Reed, Conciliator Reed, assisted the state of Montana in developing a series of recommendations that were eventually adopted by the state prison system in the state of Montana.

At this time, it is my pleasure to introduce to you the next speaker who will introduce our keynoter of today, and that is Lillian Gutierrez, the immediate Past State Director of Colorado for the League of United Latin, American Citizens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LILLIAN GUTIERREZ: I feel very privileged today to be afforded the opportunity of introducing the keynote speaker, a man that I respect, admire and also consider a friend.

Mr. Ruben Bonilla is a practicing attorney in Corpus Christi, Texas. He is a graduate of the University of Texas. He is married to Dr. "Vosalinas" Bonilla and he is the father of a daughter, Patricia, and I understand shortly to be the father of a little boy, is it Ruben?

Ruben has recently completed his second term as the National President of the League of Latin American Citizens. During his tenure as national president, his activities have afforded an intense visibility to the LULAC organization and Hispanics in general. His activities have been many and they have been varied. In the field of education he was instrumental in the initiation agreement which was reached with the Robert F. Kennedy Memorial Foundation which provides for enrollment of Hispanic youths in a career enrichment program located in Washington, D. C., at not cost to the participants.

As national president he was invited to address several major universities. Among those were the University of California at Berkley, Texas Tech, Ohio State, and Yale University.

He also directed LULAC which was at the forefront in defending and urging implementation of Federal Judge Seales'

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision allowing free public education for children of undocumented workers. In political development, he initiated the establishment of a national nonpartisan political arm of LULAC known as HOPE. Relative to women's rights, Mr. Bonilla outlined an effective platform for women's issues, including strong support for ratification of ERA.

On foreign policy he initiated the establishment of a joint commission consisting of Hispanic-American leaders and several top level cabinet officials of the Mexican government to address issues relating to immigration, trade, energy, education, justice and the industrial development along the U.S.-Mexican border. Ruben urged adoption of economic remedies to address problems of undocumented workers, including codification of a bill of rights to insure basic protection of undocumented workers.

Mr. Bonilla also testified before the (inaudible) Commission on Immigration and Refuge Policy in support of a consistent and uniform national immigration policy. On the administration of justice, Ruben, as National LULAC President, was appointed co-chairman of the historic law enforcement Hispanic Community Relations Conference held in Texas in 1979. also instrumental in co-sponsorship of the National Law Enforcement Minority Conference which was jointly sponsored by LULAC and the National Urban League and the Community Relations Service, U. S. Department of Justice, to discuss existing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conflicts between law enforcement officials, Hispanics and Blacks.

Ruben has been recognized and honored by many groups, individuals and organizations. He was named by the Texas Business magazine in the March, 1980, issue as one of the rising stars of Texas in the '80s. He was also named by the JCs as one of the U. S.'s outstanding young men of 1980.

During his term as president, he was quoted in the New York Times, the Washington Post, the Los Angeles Times, the U. S. News and World Report, and Newsweek.

It is with a great deal of pride that I introduce to you Mr. Ruben Bonilla.

MR. RUBEN BONILLA: As long as it doesn't have any bad words about me it's all right. I want to thank all of you.

I think it shows the frustration of our community, the disenchantment, probably the basic distrust and I'm rolling to meet the challenge of the senate, to meet the challenge of the idealistic youth here in our presence today and to, in a sense, to prove them wrong and to restore their faith in the democratic principals which have made this country great. it's appropriate that I take a moment to congratulate the planners, as well as the participants, of this historic conference. The theme becomes indeed appropriate that we should take a step toward mutual respect and understanding so that in the future, perhaps, the banners held high will state that instead of saying FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC.
DENVER, COLORADO

that this is a farce.

. I think we do have a difficult task before us of conflict prevention, conflict resolution, delving into training backgrounds, departmental policies and localizing community resources.

As I flew into Denver today I reflected a bit on what I would say because it has been a while since I addressed the last similar conference. We in Texas -- and I'm from south Texas -- like to feel that we originated the dialogue between law enforcement and minority community with the guidance and the counsel of Mr. Pompa from his base in Washington, D. C.

When those who feel that the Longmont incident is atrocious, you must consider the fact that in Texas between 1978 -- May of '77 and September of '78 -- approximately 17 Hispanics were killed while in legal custody, not all clean fellows, not rapists, not arsonists, but persons who were in custody after having been detained or arrested for being drunk or disorderly.

One in particular, Jose Campos Torres, was a former veteran who was drunk and disorderly and who should rightfully have been arrested but not thrown into a bayou to die, to drown, to be forgotten, to have this officer slapped on the wrist and to serve less than two months in a federal penitentiary.

It is these incidents, then, that led to the creation of the task force in Texas which I'm pleased to report has led

FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC. Denver, Colorado

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

to other similar gatherings across this country and which not, coincidentally, has also resulted in the lessening of conflict between law enforcement and the minority community. spite of that progress, the events of 1981 tell us that it doesn't always work, that there are the Longmonts, that there are the "Majas" where three Black youths, teenagers, were arrested by three officers, including one Black deputy sheriff, and they were handcuffed and placed in a canoe where there were then six persons in the canoe. It overturned and began sinking and somehow, mysteriously, the three officers swam to shore but the three young Blacks all drowned, and the men report that they were handcuffed and the handcuffs were not removed. Grand Jury was convened with no indictment and with conflicting testimony as to whether or not the kids were, in fact, hand-Whether they were or not, shoddy police practice at the best.

In Nashville we showed that it doesn't just involve. Blacks and Hispanics and it would be wrong of us and malicious to point the finger to one another and say, "Be damned. We will not resolve these issues," because in Nashville the headline reads "Police Woman Fired for Shooting Three Men". A rookie policewoman shot three innocent persons fleeing from a market robbery, killing one. The police chief dismissed Joyce Fae Allen, 23, because she had violated department policy on the use of deadly force. That's the type of police chief we admire.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's the type of leadership we admire. That's the type of leadership that has to be demonstrated to report confidence among young people in this community.

Ms. Allen who had been a policewoman three months shot dead the manager of the market and wounded two other men. As she was standing outside, these people broke from the store and she, without determining on the basis of any sound judgment whether they were armed or unarmed, she fired her revolver and, in fact, killed the owner of the meat market who was fleeing the armed robber. It is that type of misfortune that proves that this issue goes far beyond the spectrum of minority-police relations, but it is in that context we meet today, because not only do we have this particular problem, but we have to cope with the resurgence of extremist groups in American society today, the Ku Klux Klan and the Neo-Nazis. These groups which pose severe social problems for our Hispanic community, for our Black community as much as for law enforcement.

And then we have, too, the future social problems to be caused by immigration proposals being considered by President Reagan, proposals which would bring a new subclass of temporary workers from Mexico to take jobs from American citizens and thereby breed more conflict and distrust between Hispanos, one, native American citizens and the others from Mexico seeking job opportunities that allegedly exist in this country in spite of 8 million persons being unemployed and not having their needs

FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC DENVER, COLORADO met.

Clearly, the community law enforcement relations cannot be considered in a vacumn, it cannot be dealt with only by the analysis of the Longmonts or the Torrezes or the Nashvilles, but it must be considered in a national context. Yes, yes, I realize that it frequently involves confrontation between Hispanics and police and Blacks and police. We, too, recognize that police power is but one factor of the overall criminal justice system involving as it does, also, the courts and the penal system. And we, too, have recognized the humiliation and sometimes the great dispair of having a police officer who shoots or maims a minority member being slapped on the wrist or being exonerated, but when we turn the coin around and a minority community shoots or maims a police officer it is usually life imprisonment or the death penalty.

And it is this perception, then, whether real or imagined -- the perception that there is a basic inequity in the criminal justice system that makes it essential that we meet and discuss this issue and propose to come up with solutions. But before you can come up with solutions, you who are not minority members must have a perception as well as an understanding of historical and demographic facts involving Hispanic Americans.

Ours is a litany of dispair, a legacy of disrespect for our community and particularly unique in the sun belt and

# FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The brutality of Texas in the southwest and the far west. rangers, the taking of land through fraud, deception and exploitation of our community which has led to this historical Anglo-Hispano conflict. It has resulted in the development of a criteria for treatment of persons by law officers on the basis of pigmentation and English-speaking proficiency so that if you have a young man wearing a grey T-shirt who is chewing gum and holding up a sign that says "La Raza Park" and you come up against him at eleven o'clock at night, you must say to yourself, "He is not a good boy or else he would not be here." And the officer will treat him with less respect then if that same young man were Anglo or if he wore a tie and coat.

So it is this inability of us to understand the differences of races and ethnicity, the issue of pigmentation, and then the other criteria. English proficiency, so that if a Black American or a Haitian or a Cuban refuge or a Mexican-American who doesn't articulate well enough in the English language or not in the fashion that the law enforcement officer articulates, that person, too, may be subjected to a more punative type of justice at the hands of the law enforcement official, particularly when witnesses are not available.

The incidents of disabuse, the incidents of hostility, the incidents of distrust is highly undesirable, not only for what it does in dividing our communities and families but because it detracts, it detracts from the discussion of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

traditional domestic concerns, concerns of housing, concerns of education, concerns of employment and health. That's what we should be concerned about today. But because we haven't been able to overcome this issue of community law enforcement problems, we find ourselves emeshed today in, perhaps, one of the most explosive issues in American society in 1981.

I think it's time to offer new perspectives, to develop new strategies. When you talk of Hispanics, what do you talk about? What are you talking about? You're talking about a group that is destined to become the nation's largest minority sometime in this century. You're talking about a group that has a younger median age, a higher birth rate, a larger family size, its population being increased by continuing immigration and the increasing importance of Latin America as we work towards the 21st century.

We should recognize that we have a population group that is not going to disappear from the face of this earth and however unwilling we are to walk together, we must try to begin today. We come together to dialogue, not to damn one another. We should join hands and seal new friendships, not to fan the flames of hatred. We can call this conference a farce and we could walk out to have another one of our children shot and killed tomorrow because we lack the courage to come together and say we have both been wrong, we have both made errors of judgment and we're willing to put down the banners today and

We in LULAC owe apologies to no one. Our record is unparalleled for the past 52 years in delving into the very areas that concern all of us. It was LULAC -- no one else who filed litigation to eliminate separate but unequal school facilities, and that case law was later used as precedent by the NAACP and the United States Supreme Court. It was LULAC that implemented the first housing project for our people. It was LULAC that was a forerunner of bilingual education by starting the (inaudible) school of the 400. So that we come here in sincerity and with open arms. We come here to say that, yes, law enforcement must police their own department as they also police society, and at the same time, we in the minority community must begin to develop and encourage a greater respect for law enforcement authorities. We must recognize that policemen are human beings with families like ours, they are under a tremendous mental and physical stress.

Two, we must concede that it is not merely Anglo policement that on occasion abuse our people, but that sadly, very sadly, sometimes it is Black cops, Chicano cops, who mete out the worst punishment against our brothers and sisters, and they, too -- they, too -- must be held accountable under the laws of this country. We must adhere to and insist upon the (inaudible from prosecution for law enforcement officials who develop a

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pattern or a practice of the use of deadly force.

Similarly, we must support the criminal justice system when it adjudicates misconduct by minorities directed against law enforcement officials. Basically, then, we are discussing attitudes, the elimination of stereotypes, as well as concepts of equity and fairness under the law.

Mr. Fernandez stated that the '80s is the decade of the Hispanic. Yes, it was proclaimed as such by billboards beginning in Denver, Colorado, and extending across this country. But the '80s has begun more as the decade of the obliteration of social justice, social progress which we have witnessed in the past 50 years.

The conservative calamity that is sweeping this country makes it more essential, I believe, that the law enforcement sector work closely with the minority community. The conservative mood doesn't affect just poor people, it's not just our budgets that are being cut back, it's not just programs that have benefited the downtrodden and the disadvantaged that are being injured by the rhetoric of the moral majority and other right-wing elements of this country. No, the Proposition 13 mentality and the budget cutbacks are likely to leave police departments in a financial crunch. It will represent a pollution of police power where there will be no funds for salary increases or fringe benefits. There will be less money for training programs and innovative projects. There will be no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

incentive for recruitment of minorities if our Congress and if our own President is considering weakening affirmative action rules and guidelines as was announced yesterday in Washington, D. C., by the Vice-President of the United States.

The end result is that this raging conservatism without ration, rationale, will weaken the police departments of our country. It will discourage the pursuit of a career in law enforcement and we are in a position where we may lose our best officers.

To a great extent, then, we, I believe, must politicize the issue of community law enforcement relations. ill-afford to operate only in a crisis atmosphere. It is time not only to act during crisis but it is time to quiz our governors, our legislators, our judges, our prosecutors and our mayors as to their philosophy and positions on law enforcement funding. We must also secure their commitment to the prosecution and the adjudication of officers known to be criminally responsible for the abuse of citizens' legal rights and privileges.

We admittedly tend to focus on specific officer misconduct rather than overall police policy. I would hope that this conference doesn't focus necessarily on specific cases but that it delve into overall uniform policy that can be consistent across the state of Colorado.

In an effort to alter these community perceptions and perspectives into more positive channels, we have tried in LULAC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and in conjunction with CRS to dissect law enforcement activity into three levels. The first level is the use of excessive force, its uses and abuses. Number two is selection and train-Number three, complaint process and internal investigation.

We have some concrete recommendations under each of these categories and they by no means are inclusive but, hopefully, will be subject matter for you to debate and discuss during your meetings in Colorado.

Excessive force, the volatile issue that brings these young people to the room today. We cannot condemn but we must try to understand and appreciate their alarm and their disenchantment with the system as it has functioned thus far. Excessive force I believe should be utilized only to defend . one's life or the life of another and in no case should an officer shoot, therefore, a young man who is fleeing from a car with his back turned to the officer, particularly when that young man has committed no serious offense against our country or his state and when he is particularly unarmed.

Excessive force requires careful study, keen understanding of its intricate nature. We should develop departmental policy rules that are stricter than the state penal code. example, departments should be encouraged to adopt the policy of no warning shot. In other words, implement a good prohibition against warning shots. The rationale, to protect the innocent.

• 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We saw in Nashville, Tennessee, it wasn't a minority person who was shot. It was a man fleeing from his hostage. What would have been the alternate remedies. The person could have been surrounded, he could have been hit by a billy-club. he could have been maced, he could have been apprehended by a number of cars. The point is a man running from the meat market was unarmed but he was shot in cold blood once the officer threatened to fire a warning shot and then fired the fatal shot.

Uniform written guidelines are essential on the use Uniform written guidelines that set out penalty for willful violations.

Number five, we must minimize the use of excessive force, not deadly force but the use of excessive force for persons already in custody in instances where we do not have critical life or death decisions. For instance, the drunk picked up at the Saturday night.dance. The officer doesn't like the look he's given. The person is not in control of his mental or physical or his emotional senses. We're trained as policemen to try to cope with that situation. Perhaps you may have to Perhaps you may have to throw him in the back seat or in the paddy wagon, but the person doesn't have to be beaten to a pulp.

In those instances where there are willful violations, I believe that there should be a standard of referring to state grand juries any criminal complaints against police officers, and

~11

I'm not referring to policy deviations, that is departmental policy deviations. That can be dealt with through internal disciplinary mechanisms, but rather to criminal misconduct of the type that leads to a person being taken from the site of arrest and having there be a deviation from the officer's professional training, having that person taken to a bayou and beaten and thrown into the bayou. That certainly is criminal misconduct which should not be sanctioned nor have our backs turned on it under any circumstances.

We support a policy of simultaneous FBI investigations, not for the purpose of trying to bring in big daddy federal government but to insure that there is a full and thorough investigation and we will not be faced with the issue of Longmont where one year later we're still talking of investigation when, in fact, some definitive decision should have been made to prosecute or not to prosecute, instead of leaving the community in uncertain tension.

And, finally, the enforcement of federal statutes, the enforcement of applicable federal statutes, not for the purpose of tying the hands of police but for the purpose of saving lives, those of policemen and of private citizens. When the officer draws his gun it is either person who could be maimed or killed. I think the officer must arrest, but he must not assault. Certainly he should investigate, but he should not intimidate. And, finally, those persons who have wronged

On the issue of complaint process and internal investigation, the second measure, five brief recommendations.

The procedure should be set out in written form for citizen review. I'm not talking here about a police commission because I, personally, do not support police commissions as I stated during the press conference. What we call citizens advisory committees are unwielding, usually lack citizen subpoena power, you have lay persons who don't understand the departmental guidelines and the legal intricacies making passing judgment on officers who, perhaps, would be better monitored through internal department policy or existing legal statutes or the grand jury mechanism.

I'm talking about a citizen who feels he's been assaulted or mistreated or verbalized partially and he feels he needs to file a complaint, the department has usually and, if not, should have an internal complaint department. These procedures, therefore, I mentioned should be set out in written form. The Internal Affairs Unit should be accessible, they should be visible, they should be open on 24-hour call and they should be staffed for ethnic and racial balance.

Three, you should have bilingual personnel. Four, the charging party, however, must also be required to reduce his complaint to writing and under oath as a means of attempting

And, finally, I believe that local police departments, rather local district attorney offices, as well as U. S. attorney's offices, should establish civil rights units as a means of specializing the investigation of instances where citizens feel that their rights have been disregarded or treated with impunity.

The last area, selection and training, is the one
I consider to be the most crucial element in enhancing professionalism in the law enforcement community. I've talked about excessive force and I've talked about the complaint process.

You'll note that both of those deal with the beatings, the assaults, the shooting, the maiming, the dispair, the emotional turbulence in the community, the violence. What we must do more of is build into the selection of cadets, into the training of cadets, into the community participation, into what makes a good police officer and what makes a good and sound police department.

I'll just list these rather than take too much of your time by discussing each one. Psychological testing to weed out those that have preconceived bias against a particular ethnic or racial group. Two, ethnic and racial sensitivity

sessions in classroom instruction, meaning bringing in a member of (inaudible) to talk to you about their community, making sure that every cadet has visited the Chicano barrio or the Black ghettos, to understand what it is to live in poverty, to understand what it is to barely cope with the inflation and the spiraling interest rate of today, to understand the barriers and the problems in communication and other social and economic problems that are unique to our minority community.

Four, occupational stress training for those career officers, psychological counseling for career officers and a pooling and sharing of training resources within communities. Finally, the in-service training which is very similar to the psychological counseling which I've outlined for experienced officers.

Above all, moving away from selection and training, it seems to me that the police chief sets the example, or the sheriff. We have the sheriff who's an elected official, usually, and he is responsive to the will of the people. And who are the people? The voters, more often than not. It's not the total community. So we have the sheriff in a difficult position oftentimes where he will not be as responsive to Chicano or Black if he perceives they are not voters. If he perceives they do not have the financial clout to get him reelected or if he feels that the Black and Chicano make up a very small percentage of the voting constituency, so that if he caters to their needs

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or their demands, he is going to be viewed by a majority of voters as being soft on crime, as giving in to those villains. That places the sheriff in an unsteady position and that's why we must form coalitions where it's not a minority issue alone. Why should the death of a Cordova or a Garcia or a Torres be a Chicano problem only? Why should it be just young Chicanos who hold up banners? It should be a concern of the total com-It should be a concern of the governor of this state. It should be a concern of the state legislature as well by implementing firm, firm legislation calling for prosecution of official opression acts by law enforcement officers or those acting under the color of law.

But we have an obligation. While we look at the police chief as a person who demonstrates the leadership and his example passes down to his crew, to his patrolmen and policemen, we in the community have an opportunity to go to the chief and to the sheriff and to say, "We understand that you are in a budget-tight situation. We understand what has happened with all the cutbacks and the loss of LEA funds and the other financial options you have to recruit and hire Hispanics and other minorities. And we want to appear jointly with you before the county commissioner and before the state legislature. Invite us to appear with you or to meet privately with the mayor so that we can have those funds that we need to make our community stronger and safer so we can protect the public at large.'

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

23

24

25

Yes, that's it in a nutshell perhaps, but there is the political context that we would be remiss, and'I think irresponsible, to ignore. The political context being that we are all politicians, we act every day, and as Aristotle said, "We are all political animals." We should work to extend the Voting Rights Act because that will give us more Blacks and Hispanics in positions of public trust, in positions of responsibility, so that we can have people in decision-making positions who can assist us in times of need.

We need to work together to get the private sector It shouldn't be solely the burden of the taxpayers involved. to build a strong police department, but it should be businessmen who police officers protect day in and day out from burglariés and robbers and armed robbers and the like. It should be their concern and they should contribute some of their big bucks that they're going to gain from these mighty tax cuts and other depreciation allowances. Contribute some of those dollars to make ours a stronger community.

And, finally, develop local government strategies of the type I've already outlined in which the decision-making becomes a partnership affair and not limited to one ethnic or racial group or one police body. Indeed, we have met. met today and we will meet for the next two days. meet again and under what conditions and under what circumstances? If it will be in a time of tragedy only, we will have failed

dismally. If we will meet again to share ideas; to have many conferences in smaller communities, to have a conference in Denver and in other cities around the state of Colorado to develop and build, to develop trust and build bridges, we will have succeeded. If we meet again only to hold up more banners and to chastize and condemn one another, we will indeed have failed, we will have failed.

So we need to do well to remember the words of Abraham Lincoln who stated that, "Those who deny freedom to others, those who deny freedom to others deserve it not to themselves and under a just God cannot long retain it." I think that those of us here today should pledge that we will guarantee every person's freedom in America and when we see a bad cop in our midst, we will discipline that cop. When we see a bad citizen who shows disrespect for the law, that person should be punished. If he is drunk, let him be incarcerated. disorderly, let him be fined, but let him not be killed. he is unarmed, let him not be shot in the back, and let us develop, therefore, this respect for human dignity; for human Let us work together to foster this long-term under-: standing that is so essential to productive minority law enforcement relations. I believe that it is only in that manner that we bring true meaning and depth to the words "equality" and "respect" and "justice for all". Thank you very much.

> MR. KOLESZAR: Thank you, Mr. Bonilla.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\sqrt{22}$ 

We have a few housekeeping things before we get to our panel discussion. Our panel discussion is scheduled for four o'clock. It is now five minutes to four. What I would like to do is have you take a short 10-minute stretch break before we're due back in the same room, right here, at approximately five minutes after four for our first overview of the conference, and that will be a very short presentation for about an hour. So back here at about five minutes after four.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

MR. JAMES JOY: For those of you who I have not met, my name is Jim Joy. The hat I'm wearing for this conference is that I am the Program Chairman.

Some time ago I was asked to speak to the Chiefs of Police Conference in Colorado, probably an unusual request for an Executive Director of the ACLU, and they introduced me at the time as a view from the other side. I suggested to them that I thought the other side was criminals, not civil libertarians.

I think that in our conversation that we had that day -- and I think it was a strong and open discussion of points of view of the chiefs of police who were there and myself -- that there was a real dialogue developed in people of good faith and real concern about problems that were happening in the community. Today you had a peaceful demonstration from some folks who felt that this conference was a farce. They acted, even so, respectfully and quietly and I think handled it well. And the

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people in this conference handled it well. I hope that the handling of that tension and that situation marks some basic proof or gives us some small confidence that they were wrong, that this conference won't be a farce. I hope, indeed I pray, that it will be a meeting of people in good faith, anxious to solve problems that we all recognize that we have.

Any one of us, black, white, or brown who claims to be without racism, without prejudice, without a willingness to classify large numbers of people simply because of their race, their ethnic background, their religion or indeed their occupation, is fooling themselves, is fooling themselves profoundly. I think that the group who gather here together, the overwhelming response of people in Colorado to come to this meeting, demonstrates an awareness that we're concerned about those problems that each of us have.

There is, I think, an agreement that there's a very If there was not a very real problem this conreal problem. ference would not be happening. There is I think an agreement that by working together we can solve these problems and I think that's what we're here to do today.

Problems of this sort can be met a number of ways: by confrontation or by conciliation. I think that the attempt here today is to do it by conciliation. There should be no assumption that this meeting will solve all our problems. There should be no assumption that this meeting represents

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

points of view of all people of Colorado, all the police officers, all the minority community or all the people that don't fit in either of those categories. There are a lot of people in this state who don't share our concern and we're going to have to reach out to them. We're going to have to convince them that our concern is important. We are going to have to serve as a model for the rest of the state, and I hope that by working together, agreeing on some basic premises, that we can, in fact, do this.

Today, right at this minute, we have a panel of people who want to discuss what this conference is about. I don't know what order they'll be presented in and I'm going to introduce them one-by-one. The first person that I'm going to introduce is Bill Koleszar, co-chairman of this conference. I met Bill as a result of this conference and I'd seen his name as the Chief of Police of the Arvada Police Department, but I hadn't gotten to know him.' Having done so I've gained an enormous respect for them and a real belief that he's terribly concerned about the problems of racial tension. He has put in countless hours to make this conference a success and he deserves your gratitude.

Second is Tony Tafoya from El Comite. Everyone has been talking or hinting at the problems that existed in Longmont. They only came to life in Longmont. They exist in every community in the state. I think that Tony has shown a great

example for the rest of us in leadership in a very difficult situation. He has not given up his resolve, he has not surrendered his demands for justice. But, at the same time, he has been eminently fair and eminently peaceful, and I think that the community of Longmont is much richer as a result.

Jose Sandoval, professor at Metropolitan State College, will be here representing La Raza Park Committee. think that he will represent a separate point of view, a point of view much different than probably the rest of us about what this conference is. It deals with -- and, yet, at the same time I think he's an important voice that must be heard.

Finally, we have -- we had a last minute switch and so in the middle of all of this I have forgotten who the last person is. Peter Amory, I'm sorry. Peter Amory, a police officer with the Denver Police Department, will be the additional speaker replacing Larry Borum.

With that, I'm going to turn it over to our moderator who keeps winning rewards but doesn't show up for them. Montoya.

MR. ART MONTOYA: Thank you, Jim. It appears I'm always the last one to get the word here. I was not aware I was getting an award. I was not aware that the panel was already (inaudible) when I walked in.

The theme of this conference is toward mutual respect. Some of the previous speakers have spoken about different

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

perceptions of how we view people that (inaudible).

Before we go any further, I would like Professor Jose Sandoval, Metro State College, Department of Criminology, to come before us and try to give his perception and perhaps enlighten us on some of the things that we're not aware of.

MR. JOSE SANDOVAL: I'm here this afternoon to speak on behalf of La Raza Park Committee. I'm speaking on behalf of the La Raza Park Committee as a member of the Chicano community and only incidentally as a professional.

I was asked a couple of months ago to be a resource person for a couple of panels, and I tentatively agreed to do so. However, events of this past summer have forced me to follow my conscience and my conscience says not to participate. Now, let me tell you what specific events led me to that decision.

Number one, June 28, 1981, a peaceful gathering of people at La Raza Park in Denver were chased out of the park for alleged technical violations of municipal ordinances. What happened that day was a catastrophe, to say the least. I don't even want to get into any of the details, but I was there, I ate tear gas and I saw what went on and I heard what went on. That's the beginning.

Then I started to think about the context of La Raza

Park. For the last ten years there has been harassment and

undue annoyances from governmental officials who supposedly are

to serve and protect. That harassment has been directed at

Another thing that happened this summer that disturbed me. After that incident, June 28, 1981, I was concerned. Then there were a couple of incidents that happened in Longmont and in Boulder County. One was the discovery of some bombs underneath a police car. I want to make it clear that I, myself, personally, may not condone the use of bombs. I don't condone the use of bombs over Nagasaki or Hiroshima, so I don't condone that kind of activity. I don't condone Israel blowing up nuclear reactors in Iraq.

But then, when there were comments attributed to officials in the newspapers to the extent -- to the effect that it was the work of a Chicano activist group, I become even more concerned. What kind of defamatory comments are those with their innuendo that Chicanos who are active would do that sort of thing?

Then there was the event that occurred just this last weekend and suddenly I realized that there had been other conferences, there have been other concerns, there have been other kinds of demonstrations and I decided that I would not be part of this, that I would not participate.

In talking with other members of La Raza Park Committee, they seem to have concurred in the reasons for my unwillingness to participate. I came here today, members of

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

La Raza Park Committee came here today in order to express to you our concerns. I left a note with Mr. Joy and other people here that say perhaps the same thing that I've said now. it's for all of the above reasons and it's for everything that I said previous to this -- we've made some demands and among those demands are that the behavior of the police in the Park This conference wants to do something. be condemned. start there.

Condemn defamatory comments attributed to people in the newspaper and then, perhaps, on a more practical, at a more practical level, look at police officer certification in this state. Make it a penalty, make it a disciplinary event that police officers violate civil or human rights of people. that's done, then the previous speakers who have said that if this happens again the conference would have been a failure, he's probably right. For your sake I hope it's not a failure. It is for all those reasons that I choose not to participate.

I have been asked to be a member of this panel. say that I have been unwittingly made a resource person anyway. But, besides that, I bid you all so long.

Thank you, Jose. We appreciate your MR. MONTOYA: comments.

If we could take a quick break for just a second or so, then we can proceed with the conference.

(Pause.)

## FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC DENVER, COLORADO

MR. MONTOYA: Again, I don't know the chronological order for the speakers. I think they're all experts in their own right. I have no way of placing anyone in a one, two, three sequence, but I will utilize the fact that they are seated in a one, two, three sequence, so proceeding with this panel, . Chief Koleszar, Chief of Police from the Arvada Police Department and the co-sponsor of this (inaudible).

MR. KOLESZAR: First of all, I have the dubious distinction, I think, of being the first police representative speaking this afternoon. I don't know if it was planned that way but that's the way it's worked out.

Let me give you some overview of what this panel is about and what it is supposed to provide as a background for the rest of the two-day conference. This panel discussion, hopefully very informal, very brief, and if we do have time at the end to answer questions that are directed to us, we will. This panel is to, hopefully, give some overview and to possibly ask some questions that can't be answered today but may be answered in workshops, to set the scene and, hopefully, to set the mood in an affirmative sense as far as some of the things that we'll be talking about for the next two-and-a-half days.

Once again, as we start off, I and Dr. Roman will be summarizing the conference on Saturday. It will be very quick and very to the point.

There is no doubt in my mind that the reason I was

**Z** I

## FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC. Denver, Colorado

asked to sit on this panel along with a member of the Hispanic community and the Black community was to represent the police community. Anyone who deals with large groups as diverse as the police community knows that no one person can speak for that community -- law enforcement, criminal justice -- and I'm sure Tony and Pete will say the same thing, that they cannot speak for the entire Hispanic or the entire Black community.

What I am about to say is the philosophy of the
Arvada Police Department, it is the philosophy of myself, having
been in the business for 12 years. I will attempt to give you
from my belief perspective what I think are the basic underlying
cornerstones to a good police-minority community relationship.

Some of the police officials in the room may agree with me; some
may not agree with me. I think possibly some of the things I
will say will surprise possibly both the police community and
possibly the minority community. But it's the City of Arvada,
the Arvada Police Department and Chief Koleszar that is making
the presentation; not the entire law enforcement or public
safety community in the state of Colorado. I trust, I hope, and
I believe that a large majority of law enforcement and public
safety officials would concur in my assessment.

Five basic underlying cornerstones, from a police officer's viewpoint, for good police-minority community relations: selection, hiring, promotion and assignment. Every jurisdiction should have an affirmative action program, written,

open, articulated and enforced for every single level for the law enforcement agency in that jurisdiction. We have one in Arvada and we try to carry it out. Only then will it be clear to the minority community that they are welcome in the law enforcement agency to enforce the laws that control the citizens within that jurisdiction. You've got to have selection, hiring, promotion and assignment for minority members if your community is made up of a large number of minority community members.

Second, number two, training. Training should be provided to all enforcement and nonenforcement personnel before, underline before, they begin employment with the law enforcement agency. Depending on the circumstances, if there is a large minority contingent in that community, this training should not only include operational training and administrative training, but should also include culturization training and sensitivity to whatever minority is included in that community. If that minority is French or Jewish or Irish or Hispanic or Black -- if you are going to serve that community, there's no way my people, members of my department, can serve our community under those circumstances without having some idea of the community they are serving.

Number three, policies. There is no excuse, absolutely no excuse whatsoever, for any public safety agency or any law enforcement agency not to have clear, understood,

written policies in areas such as the following: the use of force and deadly force; hot pursuit; arrest and search; treatment of the public; officer conduct; and, lastly, a good, clear, understood, written policy regarding investigation of complaints against police officers. This written policy and procedure must be understood by both citizens and officers if it is to produce what it was meant to produce.

Fourth, access to the department. Communities, minority groups within the community, must have access, not only to the city council, not only the city manager -- they must have access to the chief and must have access to the law enforcement agencies.

Last, number five, there must be commitments by the legislative body of the jurisdiction, the city council, the city commissioners. There's got to be commitment by the chief executive officer, the city manager or the county executive and there has to be total and unequivocal commitment on the part of the chief or the sheriff to those four above listed cornerstones. Without that commitment it isn't going to work.

Let's flip the coin over. Mr. Bonilla referred to

it. Each one of these cornerstones has a concurrent obligation
and responsibility on the part of the minority community to work
in conjunction with the law enforcement agency towards the five
cornerstones. When I go into the city council, when I go into
the city manager and ask for funds for minority recruitment,

it's awful nice to walk in with the minority community supporting me in that request. When I go to the city manager and city council and ask for personnel to be assigned to my professional standards unit, our internal affairs unit, it's awful nice to walk in and have the support of the minority community.

When we, as law enforcement officers, go to the state legislature as we have -- how many times, four, five, six times in the last five or six years -- and ask for more revenue for training our police officers, in CLETA, it would be awful nice this coming session on the call or the following session to have the minority community right there asking for the same things that we're asking for.

As Gil Pompa said just a few minutes ago, you, the minority community and the police, you are natural allies who, for lack of understanding of each other's problems, too often are cast in the role of antagonists.

I'd like to wind up with just one thing. In 1971,
there was an article that appeared in the San Francisco Examiner
and this article 10 years ago talked about a minority group that
exists right in this room. I'd like to read some excerpts from
that publication 10 years ago. You guess which minority group
we're talking about, because one of the most important things
is for the law enforcement group to understand minority groups
but, on the other side of the coin, the minority group has to
have some appreciation for the law enforcement community. You

"It is a group too often condemned and too seldom commended. There are fewer than 800,000 of this minority in the United States. In all of the state of California, there are less than 50,000. They tend to congregate and associate in core cities. There are 1900 of this minority in San Francisco. Members of this minority group have longer workdays than the average citizen. Their earnings are usually less. Few belong to unions.

"In the past 10 years, 640 of their number have been brutally gunned down. Many have been targets of rocks, bottles, bags of human excrement. Many have been spat upon. Most have been insulted and called vile names. The lives of many have been threatened. The homes of some have been bombed and burnt. Children and wives of this minority group are often terrorized and criticized. The men are expected to accept these insults without responding.

"While earning less than some laborers, they are required to expertly and instantaneously make legal decisions that may require months of deliberation by the Supreme Court." They are police officers, as small or as great a minority in this country as any minority group in this room.

That's the perception of the average police officer or the cop on the street. And just as it is important for us, and I advocate that, we have got to appreciate the citizens we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

,16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The flip side of the coin is true and that perspective I just articulated is where many police officers in uniform on the street are coming from. I think it's important that all of us in the room understand that, also. Thank you.

> Thank you, Chief Koleszar. MR. MONTOYA:

As the speakers come before the microphone to address this audience here. I hope that you sincerely keep your mind open to what they are saying, regardless of whether they belong to either minority community, the police minority community, the ethnic minority community. We should leave this workshop with a very open mind, with the decision that we will concentrate on attempting to change some of our negative views or at least be more receptive to listening to other people.

The next speaker I presume will only be wearing one hat although he does wear two hats. He is Officer Pete Amory with the Denver Police Department. I don't think he is here as a police officer or will be speaking directly as a police officer although he can't help but have some views in that area. He's here in behalf of Mr. Larry Borum of the Denver Urban League. Pete?

MR. PETE AMORY: I'd like to state that I'm not prepared to speak. Larry is not here and I don't know what Larry would say. But as a police officer and as an active community person, I have worked very closely with Larry and many other Latin community people in northeast Denver and I can tell you

basically about my background and these different kinds of activities that I participate in.

We have the Black Directors Council which is located in northeast Denver which is essentially a consortium of people who represent all of the many agencies within the business community as well as social service communities in northeast Denver. I am a member of that group.

The Northeast Denver Manpower Commission which is charged with the responsibility to look at jobs or try to develop jobs and to look to different communities and different organizations to develop jobs for people in northeast Denver.

I am a father and very concerned with police activity as well as community activity. I know that my son is 18 years old, is rather outspoken. He resents people stopping him as I'm sure many of your children and friends and relatives are, too. So I am concerned about the problems in the City and County of Denver and the nation, I guess. This is a problem that is not new, I'm sure, to everybody here. We have went through this before.

I would like to say that I want to approach all of the upcoming workshops with an open mind, hoping that we can come out of this conference with something solid or tangible that we can go back to our respective communities and impart this kind of information. DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, I'm not prepared for any long speech or anything at all. I have been a police officer for 15 years so I know basically on both sides of the issue what we're dealing with. Again, I'm very concerned. I'd be willing to answer any questions that I'm capable of answering. Thank you.

MR. MONTOYA: Pete, thanks. I know it's very difficult when you're a last minute substitution. Like he said, he does know Larry Borum well and I'm sure that Larry wished all of us to approach the conference in the manner that Pete Amory has expressed.

The last panelist here is Mr. Tony Tafoya who also wears two hats. Now he's coming to represent El Comite of Longmont. He is a federal employee in Boulder, he's been very active in the community, especially in Longmont.

> MR. TONY TAFOYA: Thank you, Art. (Spanish)

The Spanish philosopher, Miguel de Unamuno has stated that if you like someone or if you hate someone you at least recognize their existence. However, if you ignore someone, you do not recognize their existence. I'll be dealing mainly with the Chicano communities but I believe you can read into it Blacks, native American Indians, and the Asian Americans.

The Chicano community has experienced both aspects of the statement (inaudible). The experience of the Chicano has been recognized for high arrest records, harassment that has produced long lists of contacts with the police, even

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

insignificant contacts, and negative stereotyping has led to being blamed or suspected as a matter of course when laws are broken. We have only to look at the recent example of the bombs that were discovered in Longmont, the bomb threat that came in to Boulder County. The assumption is made that there are Chicanos behind this. This is what I refer to as a negative stereotype.

Public officials, like an assistant district attorney, should know better than this and I hope you address this in your conference.

The existence of the Chicano has been very long recognized by the police and has been widely reported in the news media. This recognition, however, is perceived by the Chicano as a recognition to hate. Referring back to Unamuno's quote, Unamuno also states that if you ignore someone, you do not recognize their existence.

Chicanos have been ignored and their existence has not been recognized by many police departments when they mean to develop contingency plans for particular situations. All too often the only alternative is to bust heads and to make arrests. Police need to recognize the existence of the Chicano communities in their consultations. Both the Chicano community and the police need to take a step forward toward mutual respect and understanding. The wall that exists will always exist for some of us. Police will continue to be called god damned pigs,

FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC.
DENVER, COLORADO

crooken cops, (Spanish).

Police departments can no longer ignore the concerns of the Chicano community. Police departments must recognize that problems exist. It is my belief that a greater responsibility and burden rests with paid officials to initiate communication links within the Chicano community. If this link is not established and maintained, particularly in the larger communities in Denver, the positions of the police and the community harden and solidify to the point that confrontation is the only option open to both groups.

State officials have greater responsibility to initiate communications. We all know that it is impractical to get all the members of the Chicano community into an administrator's office. But it is very easy for an administrator to take a night off, reserve a night, and to visit the Chicano community, to visit our neighborhood centers and our meeting places.

Initially these meetings are not going to be pleasant, particularly if you haven't been doing it, for you will have to bear the brunt of years of a community that has been ignored. When concerns are brought up, police should be responsive. A responsive in-house investigation and frank communication of that investigation can be another step over towards improving mutual respect and understanding.

If police departments want to be respected, they

must be responsive and responsive within reasonable time limits. They must be responsive to the concerns that are brought before them. We must all recognize that there are bad apples and bums in both the Chicano community and in the police departments. In the police departments, police administrators must act swiftly to correct those bad attitudes; must act swiftly to rid themselves of those bad apples; and must work through counseling techniques and through training. And if these don't work, they must have the courage to dismiss those employees.

Small communities like Longmont can learn from the situations we have been experiencing. As communities develop and grow, priorities tend to address the growth issues at the expense of human needs. What Longmont has experienced this past year is not unlike the problem other communities may experience in the future. The problems exist; they just haven't been highlighted.

I recall in Longmont, people have come to me and said,
"I never realized there was a Chicano problem." They never
realized because they have been ignored. The ingredients that
have surfaced in Longmont are present in your communities.

In spite of the tragedies that have plagued Longmont, we have been fortunate to have a Chicano community that cares for the city and has been willing to act. We have also been fortunate to have a public safety director that is not afraid of change and has had the courage, the courage to act so the change will occur.

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me share with you some of the problems that you may wish to address in your workshops tomorrow and Saturday. Above all, keep in mind, remember that in Longmont it took a tragedy in which two young lives were lost. Who knows what contribution those two boys made. Don't wait for a tragedy to occur in your community. Begin now to take a step forward. Particulary police departments should identify Chicano leadership and establish and maintain communication links. Lack of meaningful, positive contact is the problem, I think, that you Lack of open and continuous lines of communicashould address. tion between the police department and the minority community should also be adressed.

A lack of responsive in-house investigation on complaints of citizens groups should be addressed. And I think that you ought to stress that it be a kindly response. Training of police officers must be a high priority. We cannot afford to have a police officer without any training in enforcing the law in our community. Look what happened in Longmont. That must never happen again. This training must also include sensitivity When a Chicano goes over and says (Spanish). aren't threatening words. Understand what they mean.

Police manuals must be updated. EEO must be a high priority in your departments, not only to get Hispanics, Chicanos into the departments but they must also have workable plans for upward mobility. You must also address the use of deadly force.

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, nothing that I said here is new and nothing that anyone else is going to say is new. We've all heard it before. But what can be new and revolutionary in this state is that if we begin to listen to each other -- it's such a simple concept but we fail to listen to each other. If we can at least make the attempt to understand another's point of view and we ask to insure that the necessary first steps are taken toward mutual respect and understanding, I think that this conference will not have been a farce, that it will be something that we can build on that will be a credit to all of us, to our communities. Thank you very much.

MR. MONTOYA: I want to thank all of the panelists for their words of wisdom.

Earlier I said that I hope we approach this with open I think the mere fact that you're here implies sensiminds. tivity to the issues and the problems on your part. unfortunate that we can't have greater numbers. But perhaps we can go back and while dealing with our peers and friends get some of them more involved in these areas.

I would ask you to keep in mind some of the key words that have been mentioned: sensitivity, responsiveness, affirmative action, youth supports, commitment, enforcement, and all these things. Those of us that are in the bureaucracy, I also ask you to remember that any authority that we have is only loaned to us. It is not a God-given gift and that authority can

FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC.

DENVER, COLORADO

1

add?

be removed very easily. In this day and age there is a big pressure to remove that authority from us so I think that whenever we do use that authority, it's got to be used very judiciously.

Mr. Joy, would you have anything else you want to

Are there any questions of the panelists at this point?

(No response.)

If not --

DR. CECIL OWENS: How would you suggest that the police department identify the Chicano leaders?

MR. MONTOYA: For those of you that didn't hear the question, Dr. Cecil Owens asked the question, how would Mr. Tafoya suggest that they recognize the Chicano leaders; is that correct?

> DR. OWENS: Identify.

MR. MONTOYA: Identify Chicano leaders.

MR. TAFOYA: First of all, I want to make ti clear that the only group I represent is El Comite. I don't pretend to speak for the entire Hispanic-Chicano community nor for the entire community in Longmont; just those members who support the goals of El Comite.

I mentioned that it's impossible for all the Chicano community to go into an administrator's office. It is very easy

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for an administrator to take a night off and visit the minority community in their neighborhood centers. I think that if you want to identify the leadership in the community, you have to get out of your office, your air conditioned office, and go into those communities at night and open the dialogue. There's no easy way. You have to take the first step. That would be my suggestion.

MR. MONTOYA: Yes. Could you identify yourself? MR. PETE GARCIA: Yes, Pete Garcia. I would like to -- I heard it said that the police and minorities are natural allies. I've lived in this state for many years and they have never been allies. They've been enemies. I think part of that is they have not even begun to understand we are human beings. When the police go around and harass and call us names, I don't see how they could be natural allies. (Inaudible) We've tried to obey the laws but when I saw my parishioners being beaten, handcuffed and then beaten, and shot and all of these things, (inaudible) and I think there is something wrong with the phrase that police and minorities are natural allies. In 1970 we had shootings at the Platte Valley Action Center. I saw police deliberately try to murder kids. (Inaudible) I don't think anything will happen until we begin to monitor the police; until the community citizens establish police commissions where they have a role in judging complaints and working our solutions. That was proposed in Denver some 10 years ago (inaudible).

think those are things we have to deal with right here, today if we're going to make the minority community and the police natural allies. I don't think it ever exists until somebody begins to monitor the police actions outside of the police themselves.

MR. MONTOYA: Mr. Garcia, may I say something? These are the sort of things, the dialogue, that we hope will transpire during the workshops. We appreciate your comments. I don't know who is going to chair them but I think that this is what we're striving for in the workshops. I agree with you that this is the direction we should be going -- if you can reserve the in-depth discussions for our workshops, I think they will be more productive because then we'll come back in terms of recommendations as opposed to just simple presentations.

Mrs. Montano?

MS. MONTANO: I think it's an important question the gentleman asked. I think if we look at how the police and how the administrators (inaudible) I think that's an important start. I come from a small town in Colorado, Ft. Lupton. (Inaudible) a social gathering and there was a person there from the Community Relations Service representing the Justice Department and that person came up to this community person and said, "I want to meet so-and-so and this other person who has been involved with community relations in Ft. Lupton." And the Justice Department person proceeded to tell this woman (inaudible).

MR. MONTOYA: Mrs. Montano, if I could cut you off short. I was to allow everybody to present their case, I don't think we would get anywhere. I think the police officers could come up with an equal number of officers that have died at the hands of someone, so that is not the intent of the conference. I think that we do need some historical basis to begin with, but if we keep going back to what happened in 1960 and 1970 we're not going to get anywhere.

The intent of this conference is to go with mutual respect and start -- in spite of what you may think at this time, there are different agencies and different community groups involved -- there were committee groups involved in the preparation of this conference. I'd like to wait till the end of the conference to make judgments as to how effective we are at this point.

MR. JOY: I think it is profoundly important, if this conference is to be successful, that we don't assume that Chicanos or Blacks are some monolithic group all of which are bad or all of which are good. And the same is true of the police. I'm going to say that my organization has been as active as any organization in going after what we consider improper police activities. But I must also say that I work with dozens of police officers in this state for whom I have enormous respect and who I believe firmly are doing everything they can do to improve the relationships within their communities. Let's stop

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the practice of lumping everyone together and saying, "Those Blacks, those Chicanos, those police." I think this conference is going to be in very serious trouble if we don't stop.

I think the people who are here are here because they are concerned. The police believe the relationships can be improved; otherwise they wouldn't waste their time or their money being here. I think we ought to respect one another at least that much so we can come together to solve the problem.

MS. JAN STAPLETON: I'm Jan Stapleton of the Denver Weekly News and my question is for Mr. Tafoya and Mr. Amory. I am wondering if you think there has been a lack of understanding and a lack of drawing together between various minority groups which, perhaps, is the drawback in working ahead on the problem of police abuse.

MR. TAFOYA: I'm trying to see if I can get your question right. Did you say that --

MS. STAPLETON: A lack of understanding and a lack of drawing together between the various minority groups to face the problem together.of police abuse.

I can speak to the Longmont issue. MR. TAFOYA: the Longmont majority there is Chicanos. Now, one of the things -- one of our approaches, whether it is right or wrong, is an approach that I, as chairman, was directed by El Comite to pursue, was to keep outside groups out, out of Longmont as much as possible in addressing the problems that we had.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our feeling is that minority groups -- if the Chicano community is ever going to make any progress, it has to come We have to do it ourselves. We can't rely on NAACP to come in and do it for us. I think we have to learn to get down and go to city hall and fight our own battles and do it and that's been our approach.

Possibly in larger communities they may have a different approach. I think every community has unique character and you have to approach a problem given the context in the com-So, maybe you can speak to that.

> MR. AMORY: Are you speaking of the Denver community? MS. STAPLETON: Primarily, yes.

MR. AMORY: Well, from my experience I can say that there probably is some, maybe, isolated interest conflict in some minority groups. I imagine that there could be. would say basically we have a common ground in terms of the minorities that are filling the prisons. I think that we have a common ground in terms of minorities that are confronted with this particular issue of brutality, use of fire arms. we have common grounds. I don't think that there's that much of division in terms of, you know, conflicts between two or three or four, five groups. There's no conflict between -- there are Black women as well as Anglo women and Chicano women. I think that we're dealing think that we have that problem. with -- I think that would tend to cloud the issue. What we're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dealing with is our attitudes and feelings and definite things that are happening in the community, in minority communities. Does that answer you?

MS. STAPLETON: Yes, I agree that the common ground is It is definitely there. That's why I think it's so important to (inaudible).

MR. MONTOYA: Okay. I don't want to discourage any more questions. We do have an agenda we're trying to follow. I would encourage you, if you'd like, there are some social activities. Perhaps on a one-to-one basis you can approach that one person that you may have a concern with. If someone has a problem or an issue with them I would prefer that -- they may contact me or any of the other panelists.

Dinner will be at the West Ballroom which is to your left. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the conference in the above-entitled matter was recessed at 5:10 o'clock p.m.)

## EVENING SESSION

DR. GILBERT ROMAN: Good evening, ladies and gentle-

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

\*EDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC.

DENVER, COLORADO

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6:30 p.m.

This whole conference is based on a premise of communications -- communications between people. I'd kind of like to share with you a little story, two stories by the way, that

I'd like to start out with the evening session.

happened to me recently.

I'm a football coach and I have a little team, 10, 11 vears old. They're a good little team. You have to have a physical in order to play football. I took one of those little boys who hadn't had his physical yet to the doctor and we walked in the doctor's clinic and the doctor said to the little boy. "Strip to the waist, young man, and wait in the room there." So he went ahead and put him in the little room and closed the door and the doctor and I walked back and talked about, I don't know, football.

We came back in a few minutes and the little boy was He had no clothes on, he was nude. The doctor asked him, "I asked you to strip to the waist. Why are you naked?" And the little boy said, "Yes, you did. But you didn't tell me where I had to start."

It's very important that we communicate totally what we are talking about.

I am Gil Roman and I am the co-chairman of this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's my pleasure tonight to make the introductions at the head table, a very illustrious head table. To my extreme right, Mr. Ed Camp, the Director of Public Safety in Longmont, Colorado. Ed?

Next is Lillian Gutierrez who is the immediate past Director of Lulac, League of Latin American Citizens, and she is also on my staff; next, Mr. Theo Holland with the Human Relations Commission, he's a Commissioner of Human Relations in Colorado Springs; Ms. Joyce Bignell who is Executive Director of the National Conference of Christians and Jews and is also one of the driving forces of this particular conference; my co-chair, William Koleszar, Chief of Police in Arvada. I'll skip over the next person who will be our speaker.

To my left is Mr. Larry Borum who is the President of the Metropolitan Urban League, past President of the League of Metropolitan Denver; Ms. Vilma Martinez who is President and General Counsel of the Mexican-American Legal Defense Fund; Major Ronald A. Ramirez who is the present Director of LULAC and is also assigned to the Air Force Academy and does a lot for the minority students; Mr. Sy A. Lee who is with the Urban League of Colorado Springs; Dr. Shirley Hill-Witt who is the Resource Director of the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights in Denver; and Mr. Ed Lovato who is the attorney and representative of El Comite Longmont, Colorado.

At this point in time I would also like to make a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

23

24

25

correction to our agenda today. Our packet didn't include a full list of the contributors to this particular conference. You know, whenever you make mistakes you make big mistakes. of the Governor of the State of Colorado was not included in the list and I would like to apologize to the Governor and I want to include him for sure. Thank you, Governor and all the rest of the contributors.

I would like to have you give a hand now for Chief Koleszar, my co-chair.

MR. KOLESZAR: Approximately six months ago, when the steering committee began to choose individuals who would be speaking at this conference, I really think that the group was very kind to me in not delving further into why I was so adamant about presenting the name of the individual that I thought should represent the criminal justice system. I steadfastly stood for five months that I think the person should be Professor Charles Rogovin of Temple University Law School.

I think after I introduce Charlie with his accomplishments and after you hear Professor Rogovin speak, I think and I trust and I believe you will know why I remained adamant over the last five or six months to have the Professor represent the criminal justice system.

The Professor began in 1959 with his first assignment as the Assistant Public Defender in Philadelphia, after having received his Baccalaureate Degree from Wesleyan University and

## FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE DENVER, COLORADO

his law degree from Columbia University in New York City. there -- and I don't usually have to read introductions. see why I have to -- from there he became the Chief Assistant District Attorney in Philadelphia, was appointed as the Assistant Director of the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice. From there he became the Assistant Attorney General, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Chief of the Organized Crime Division. From there he became the first Administrator of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in Washington. From there he became the first President of the Police Foundation in Washington, D. C., followed up by being a Fellow at the Institute of Politics, John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard.

From there, a visiting professor at Brandeis University. From there, Special Counsel, Select Committee on Assassinations, U. S. House of Representatives in 1978'79. From there, professor of law, Temple University.

In addition to those accomplishments, Professor Rogovin also served as Chairman of the American Bar Association Committee on Organized Crime and has authored and published six major publications ranging in topics from Issues for Research in Police Administration to Local Government Police Management to Organized Crime.

In addition to those accomplishments he is and has been a close personal friend for a number of years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Professor Charles Rogovin.

DR. CHARLES ROGOVIN: Two things: A 107-year-old man who cannot hold a job. God, that's embarrassing. I'm going to send a resume even my old friends will start somewhere in the middle '70s, dye the grey out of my hair.

Let me tell you a story. It doesn't have anything to do with what we're gathered together for but maybe it will kind of lighten the digestive situation for you. A messenger came to St. Peter and for this story I credit my old friend, Bill Koleszar. A messenger came to St. Peter one morning and said, "St. Peter, we have two new candidates." He said, "Well, that's fine. Actually that's very good and I'm glad we have such a small turnout this morning because, as you're aware, you have to get dead to get in." He said, "Who are the candidates?" And the messenger said, "One's a law professor and the other is Pope John the First." He said, "Oh, my goodness, his holiness."

The law professor goes to the gate and the Pope, who was a wonderful, gentle man stepped back and invited the law professor to precede him and St. Peter greeted the professor very effusively, very warmly and said, "Let me show you where you will spend eternity."

The professor followed St. Peter around the large white cloud and there was a magnificent villa, 17 rooms with every conceivable recreational facility your mind can imagine, magnificently decorated and just a beautiful place to spend

FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC. DENVER, COLORADO eternity. The professor was delighted.

Pope John was waiting very quietly, waiting his turn. and St. Peter turned to him and said, "Your Holiness, will you I'll show you where you're going to be." And come with me. they walked around a very nicely trimmed hedge and there was a tiny cottage -- they used to call them Cape Codders -- with sort of a half bath and some dormer windows, a very small bedroom and a tiny kitchen and even smaller living room. And the Pope whom you know, from the press accounts, is a very self-effacing man and was very surprised. He turned to St. Peter and he said, "My son, lest you think I am complaining, I'm not. struck with the difference between my accommodations and that law professor you just ensconced in that mansion." looked at the Pope and he said, "Your Holiness, you are the 90th Pope here. He's the first law professor."

One other story and then we'll get down to business. I see some grey hair out there and so I'll ask you. Have any of you ever taken the train from London to Edinburgh, Scotland -the old train now. I'm not talking about the high-speed train, the one that does it presently in five hours. The old train took 12 hours and it went directly north from London right into the train station at Edinburgh. Well, apparently not.

I've taken the new train but I've never had the pleasure of taking the old train, but I ask you to picture a first-class railway car. You've all seen the English movies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You know that their aisles run along one side of the car and you enter the compartment from the aisle. The aisles don't run down the middle of the car with seats on either side. fancy English production.

I want you to picture four very distinguished middleaged men with a very distinctly military quality about them walking into this first-class railway compartment which has on each of its sides three plush seats with armrests, making a total of Each of the four distributes himself in six seats available. the corner seat, so in fact they are directly opposite the man on the other side.

Not a single word is spoken. They are in fact all dressed in three-piece suits, fully vested, ties up, and not a word is exchanged. Several minutes pass, the train finally begins to gather speed and starts the long run north to Edinburgh.

12 hours confronts the four men. For the first hour not a single word is exchanged. Each of the four is assiduously studying the left earlobe of the man opposite. Nothing is said for two hours. Finally, after three hours the train is well beyond the suburban ring which surrounds London, into the green countryside and moving north at a fairly rapid rate.

Finally one of these men, recognizing the utter stupidity of the situation in which four grown men, obviously mature, obviously sophisticated, might sit together for 12 hours

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC. DENVER, COLORADO

and never exchange a word, decides to break the ice. He stands very erect and very military in bearing and he says very deliberately, "Fawnley, Brigadier, Retired. Married Baron Snow's girl, Emily, the elder. Two sons. One's in Colorado, poor sob. The other's in trade. Neither in service." And he sat down.

There was not a flicker on the faces of the other three men. Four minutes pass and finally a second rises and says, "Waverly, Brigadier, Retired. Married Lord Wonderly's daughter, Beatrice, the younger. Two sons. One's a musician, the other a barrister. Neither in service." And he sat down.

Three minutes pass, a third rises, equally military in bearing, equally distinguished and says, "Harcross, Brigadier, Retired. Married a commoner's girl but awfully decent sort she is. One son, journalist. Never in service." And he sits down.

The ice has been broken and three sets of eyes swing to the fourth guy who sits immobile. 10 minutes pass. Finally, very deliberately, the fourth man rises and in fact, if anything, he is more distinguished, more military in bearing, and turned out even better and he says, "Higgins, Sergeant Major, Retired. Never married. Two sons, both Brigadiers."

In spite of what you may think, there is a serious side to my meaning. I am pleased to be at this conference. I was very pleased to be asked to be here and if I hadn't thought it was hopefully in some utility in my coming I wouldn't have come. In that connection, let me tell you what I spoke about

with Bill Koleszar.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We discussed the background of this proposed conference, including the events which stimulated interest in examining relations between the police in this state and the members of the minority community. I told Chief Koleszar, whom I've known for a number of years and for whom I have a very high personal as well as professional regard, that I thought it was important for any speaker to tell his audience something of his views of the role of the police and the functions they discharge in order that people, you people, may have a better basis upon which to assess whatever I may say to you. I guess I could put that another way and use the expression my kids sometimes do, to let you know where I'm coming from. I, may not be very eloquent but I rarely leave people in doubt as to where I stand. never been awarded a badge for diplomacy and I hasten to tell you lawyers I have never been invited back to the same bar associa-I regard that as a high honor.

In that context and in that regard, let me suggest that, first, that the police in this evening's context, I am thinking of both urban and rural police. They are the single most important element in the system which government maintains for the delivery of a broad range of services to its citizens. To me this is a critical point. The police discharge the most complex set of functions of any agency of government and deserve the most attention among all of the competing agencies in the

FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC. Denver, Colorado service system.

I say they are deserving of attention because the police are the only agents of the state whom we empower to use force. Now, think of that for a moment. The water meters don't get it; the highway people don't get it; the police are the only agents empowered to use force. For that reason alon'e, perhaps, they are deserving of very careful scrutiny from citizens. It's perhaps unfortunate, but perhaps not unfortunate, but nevertheless true that in discharging certain of their responsibilities the police must sometimes use force. That means, of course, that their conduct must be reviewed.

Before turning to that issue it seems to me it might be useful to briefly review the major responsibilities which we either repose with the police or impose upon them. Rather than rationally assigning duties to the police we too often permit them to (inaudible) them, they grow like mud on a delta. There is no rationale to that; they just pile up.

Some years ago, in 1974, the American Bar Association as part of its project on standards for criminal justice, examined the role of the American police and identified at least 11 major responsibilities. Let me take a moment of your time. Let me take a moment just to review those 11 distinctly different responsibilities that we ask the police to discharge.

Now, obviously, one, to identify criminal offenders and criminal activity and, where appropriate, to apprehend

offenders and participate in subsequent court proceedings.

Two, to reduce the opportunities for the commission of some crimes through preventive patrol and other measures. Three, to aid individuals who are in danger of physical harm. Four, to protect constitutional guarantees. Five, to facilitate the movement of people in vehicles. Six, to assist those who cannot care for themselves. It's a function that the police have.

To resolve conflict, to identify problems that are potentially serious law enforcement or governmental problems. Nine, to create and maintain a feeling of security in the community. Ten, to promote and preserve civil order and, eleven, to provide other services on an emergency basis.

Think of the diversity in the list I've just very rapidly presented to you. Contrary to the popular belief that most of what the police do is directed to crime, either in terms of crime prevention or the apprehension of offenders, the reality is that most of what the police do has very little, if anything, to do with crime. The reality is that most of what the police do has very little, if anything, to do with crime. In fact, workoad studies which have been very consistent among both suburban, urban and rural departments around the country suggest that somewhere between 60 to 80 percent -- 60 to 80 percent -- of police manpower time, and I see some of the officers nodding, is spent on noncrime-related activities.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The implications of that I am sure are not lost upon In essence, the mythological crime fighter is precisely that, a creature of myth. In fact, the police officer in the modern era must be equipped to act with great discretion in the discharge of multiple and often very diverse responsibilities. That point, of course, leads me to invite your attention to the question of the manner in which we train personnel for service in this very complicated activity, policing.

But, before moving on to questions of training. including things like how much, what kind, over what period of time, whether it's renewed in-service training as the officers in the audience are aware of, there'ssan even more fundamental question about persons who are recruited for service in this terribly complicated position known as police officer.

Some of you, those of my generation -- a few grey beards I see in the audience -- may perhaps remember an introductory radio line which described an individual who has in latter days been revived in the imagination of the public. Ιt went something like this, quote, "Able to leap tall buildings at a single bound; more powerful than a raging surf; and faster than a speeding bullet." That description, I remind you, is of Superman, now legendary in film as well as the old records.

Unfortunately, what I just read you is not terribly useful as a statement of qualifications for a police officer but frequently neither are those which are used for job .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

announcements when vacancies for officers are made public. are needed in police officers are qualities of mind, quality of judgment, and the capacity to handle an increasingly stressfilled and complex set of tasks. In fact, what American needs in its police are individuals who have the capacity to be firm and yet are constantly fair; persons who will act as if devoid of bias or prejudice; act as if devoid of bias or prejudice regardless of whether or not, in fact, such bias or prejudice exists.

Persons able to make the transition from helper to thief-catcher, and with all of that, persons who can relate to that broad range of diverse elements in the American society which is the great challenge to American law enforcement.

That's not to say that the psychologists and the other social scientists or even the medical specialists have created a definitive set of instruments which will measure these qualities so as to place people in appropriate rank order for recruitment into the police service. What we have learned in this country since the turmoil of the early '60s, the early days of the civil rights movement, through the latter years of the '60s as well as the '70s and now into the '80s, is that what we once blithely assumed was true has, upon closer examination, been determined to be untrue. That is, that acting as a law enforcement officer or organizing police officers, managing and directing police officers are very, very complicated tasks to

which the public generally has provided all too little support and encouragement.

That is not to say that throughout various parts of the United States that there hasn't been a positive stress on the importance of policing as an activity in our society and that the public, through its elected and appointed representatives, has been totally unwilling to make the necessary commitments to substantially enhance the quality of police services being delivered. But that move has not been consistent nor systematic and too often jurisdictions have fallen behind in dealing with these issues.

I suggested to you earlier in my remarks that training was one of the critical responsibilities and activities through which we produce good, bad, or indifferent police officers. An enormous amount of money, time, and well-intended effort has gone into sophisticating and enlarging the kinds of training we provide for law enforcement officers today in this country. Again, however, not every jurisdiction has kept pace. California has for many years been thought of as holding a leadership position in improving the training of officers, to increase the quality of the jobs they do on the street. That, however, has been a very costly effort.

Fortunately, California has the benefit of an interesting financing mechanism to which other states, and perhaps Colorado, might well look as a model. I speak of the use of

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fines imposed after conviction in criminal proceedings and the dedication of that revenue for the support of the California Peace Officers' Standards and Training Commission and the training programs POST, as it is known, provides.

It is difficult, if not impossible, to point to any single development as the most important change in law enforce-Certainly, however, there is widespread recognition among American police leaders that there really was no day when we could afford to put ill or untrained officers on duty armed and expect them to deliver quality service to our citizens. Resource limitations are no excuse for the presence on our streets and highways of untrained personnel who have not met at least minimum standards of performance in appropriate training programs. Certainly to the extent that such a situation continues to exist anywhere in this country, the placement of untrained officers on the street, it ought to be rapidly corrected by the action of legislatures and the executive branches of government.

It is indisputable that many departments of police administrators in past years have to some degree, perhaps, in some places still today, failed to reflect substanial enthusiasm about efforts to recruit to the police service new personnel from the minority communities of America. Happily, however, there has been substantial gain. Police departments which are perceived as making bona fide efforts to increase minority

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

involvement -- perceived as making as making bona fide efforts to increase minority involvement -- are and have been responded to by leaders and members of minority communities. ever, that I place great stress upon the proposition that where efforts to recruit in minority communities are regarded as bona fide there have been positive responses. Merely giving lip service to the proposition that it would be desirable to increase the minority complement among the officers of the department does little to persuade potential recruits of any legitimate, positive intentions.

Yet, it behooves minority community leadership to explore objectively and with care the interest and desires of police leadership in increasing minority representation. such is determined to be the case, it is incumbent upon minority leadership to lend assistance to recruitment efforts conducted by open-minded police leaders.

Earlier in my remarks I suggested to you that a police officer's decision to use force should be reviewed. I regard that proposition as so critically important as to want to spend a few minutes with you on that issue.

Over time, in a variety of places, incidents of alleged or actual excessive use of force by police have come to public attention. This jurisdiction, of course, is (inaudible). One response to that in some communities was the call for and/or the creation of an external agency -- excuse me -- or civilian

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

review mechanism to oversee or to review police actions. In my view it was a well-intended but exceptionally erroneous effort to remedy the excessive use of force in that fashion. I say that to you advisedly and I say it to you from the following perspective.

If we are to achieve accountability to the citizenry from our police, we must continue to repose or impose on our police leaders both responsibility and authority. bluntly, if it is possible for me to put anything more bluntly, what I mean is that if the police are to be responsible in the exercise of their authority to use force, we should hold them accountable for the exercise of that authority. We cannot permit them to excuse any failure to properly train and supervise personnel by suggesting that that responsibility lies in the hands of an agency external to the police organization. It is all too easy for an unresponsive police administrator, when confronted with an allegation or allegations of excessive use of force, to excuse his noninvolvement and his unwillingness to confront the issues by suggesting that any inquiry belongs to them, them being The them being the civilian review body the external agency. That is not, in created to do what is the police chief's job. my judgment, a situation which is to be condoned.

The management of police personnel and resources is the responsibility of the chief of police. No one should be excused or let off the hook by the creation of an external

mechanism which cannot implement in any truly effective way within the police department the policies it may think it is setting. It just won't happen.

Some may say that police chiefs are sufficiently insulated so that they need not be responsive to the public will. It's true, we do not elect chiefs of police, although we do elect sheriffs. Nevertheless, there is political leadership which is elected and that leadership in any community has a good deal to say directly or indirectly about the operations of the police agency. Certainly that's true as to its proposed or actual budget.

Now, we do elect most of our political leadership and we have a right to expect an informed quality in that leadership when it comes to issues of concern with regard to the police or any other functionaries in our community. Thus, one should ask political leaders, if one has not done so previously, what are their positions on the issues of concern. In particular terms, it seems to me, that to the degree that there are statewide mechanisms in Colorado for training of police or dealing with police issues, how effectively are they being dealt with and how effectively are the mechanisms being financed in order to deal with these questions.

Legislators, members of the executive branch of government and members of the judiciary, by the way, should be sought out and asked about their positions on the financing of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the agency or body which might have such responsibilities here in Colorado. It is not merely desirable or even merely appropriate that persons chosen to serve as police officers be properly trained and properly managed. It's a matter of criticality that such persons, men and women, be prepared to act at the highest limits of their capability in the discharge of the functions of the police officer.

The police in my view are too important, their job is too critical, the needs of the citizenry too significant to let this kind of question go unaddressed.

I am not one who is particularly comfortable with the concept of quotas or decisions which are made by the numbers. To me it is far more important that job qualifications be appropriately linked to job responsibilities, that potentially competent personnel be identified and effectively recruited for the important services as a law enforcement officer.

It is to these objectives to which continuing and sustained effort must be directed, that a constant supply of capable, sensitive and interested persons be identified and recruited into the police service and then appropriately trained for the discharge of the responsibilities in that position. What must be guarded against are both the willful exclusion of persons from job opportunities and, as I believe has sometimes been the case, the inadvertent exclusion of persons through adherence to inappropriate entrance criteria or job standards

which bear no reasonable relationship to the job to be done.

As an aside, let me point something out if I may. respectfully, to all of you. The idea that, quote, women weren't strong enough, close quote, to be police officers. Every evaluation done fairly in this country of any significant number of women officers has disproved that proposition to a fare-thee-well.

Ladies and gentlemen, in colonial America it was once written, "All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing." In the modern context, it appears to me that here in Colorado, men and women of good will and great concern are working together to improve the quality of that most essential of government services, policing. I wish you continued success in your efforts and commend you for what you have done so far. Thank you.

Thank you, Dr. Rogovin for those thought-DR. ROMAN: provoking words. I'm sure that that will set the tone, along with what transpired this afternoon, for tomorrow's panels which I am looking forward to. I hope you are, too. Tomorrow's afternoon luncheon speaker is Vilma Martinez who will also be able to provide somewhat of a different perspective and viewpoint than Professor Rogovin had.

> How can I follow that? MR. KOLESZAR:

MR. ROMAN: Okay. There's nothing else on the agenda tonight, at this point in time. The bar is still open

DENVER, COLORADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and will be until about midnight. I would welcome each of you to stay for as long as you would wish, at least until midnight. Thank you. (Whereupon, the conference in the above-entitled matter was recessed at 8:30 o'clock p.m., to resume at 8:30 o'clock a.m., the following day.) 

FEDERAL REPORTING SERVICE INC. DENVER, COLORADO