1 U. S. CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION 2 3 VIRGINIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE 5 FACTFINDING MEETING ON CDBG AND FAIR HOUSING 6 7 MONDAY, June 9, 1980 8 9 The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 10 o'clock a.m., in Ballroom A, West Park Inn, Rosslyn, 11 Virginia, Reverend Curtis W. Harris, Vice-Chairperson, 12 presiding. 13 14 MEMBERS PRESENT: 15 Bettie Baca-Fierro 16 Selma Baxt 17 Flora M. Crater 18 Maya Hasegawa 19 Calvin M. Miller 20 Bertha Silva-Pla 21 ALSO PRESENT: Wanda Hoffman 22 Patricia Dunn Edward Rutledge 23 Barbara Stafford CCR Chris Scarnecchia 24 HELEN L. KAPLAN REPORTING ASSOCIATES 25 Meet. 232-6600

167

0

	1 N D E A	
2	WITNESSES:	PAGE
3	Panel I: Overview of Fair Housing and Community Development	8
5	Panel II: Fair Housing and Equal_Opportunity	52
6	Panel III: Housing Assistance and Community Development	94
7	Panel IV: Housing Assistance and Community Development	131
9	Panel V: Community Development Planning and Fair Housing	154
10		•
11	•	
12		
13	•	
14		
15		
16		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(9:35 a.m.)

Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. REV HARRIS: I am Curtis Harris, Vice Chairperson of the Virginia Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission of Civil Rights. This open meeting of Community Development Block Grant Program and Fairhousing in Arlington, conducted by the Commettee, Virginia Advisory, will please ome to order.

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is an independent bipartisan, factfinding agency established by Congress and of the Civil Rights Act of 1957. Commission has established advisory Committees in each state and the District of Columbia to assist in its factfinding, investigative and clearinghouse work.

The Virginia Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is composed of citizens who are familiar with local and state civil rights problems and they serve without compensation. Among its mandates, the Virginia Advisory Committee is authorized to study legal developments constituting a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age or handicap, or in the administration of justice.

Other members of the Advisory Committee, for this factfinding meeting are: Bettie Baca-Fierro, secretary, of Alexandria; Calvin Miller, member of the Committee

from Ettrick; Selma Baxt, on the end. Selma is from Fairfax.

Flora M. Crater to my left, my immediate left, is from

Falls Church; Maya Hasegawa is from Richmond; Bertha Silva Pla,

over here next to the end on my right, is from McLean. A

list of the entire Committee is available on the literature

table outside this room.

Staff of the Commission here to assist the panel are Wanda Hoffman, all the way in the back, field representative of the Mid-Atlantic Regional Office, and Patricia Dunn, to my far left, an attorney-advisor in the Office of the General Counsel.

Other staff here to assist the Committee are MidAtlantic Regional Director, Ed Rutledge, who also is sitting in the audience; and to provide administrative assistance is Barbara Stafford and Chris Scarnecchia. I am sure I last mane didn't get that, correct, but I'm somewhere close.

There will be other remarks now from members of the Advisory Committee and Staff, seated here at the podium.

CALVIN MILLER: A good beginning, I am not Anthony Azores, I am Calvin Young Miller from Ettrick and I will read the remarks of Mr. Azores. This meeting is being held pursuant to rules applicable to state advisory committees to Federal agencies and administrative policies established by the Commission.

On September 27, 1975, the Privacy Act of 1974,

became effective. Under that act, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and its State. Advisory Committees are required to inform the public and individuals from whom it collects information of their statutory authority to collect information. The Commissions' authority to do so is set out in 42 United States Code Section 1975b. Its Advisory Committee's authority is set out in 42 United States Code Section 1975d (c).

Any information collected by this Advisory Committee from any individuals prior to this meeting was given voluntarily. All individuals participating in this meeting have volunteered to do so and any information this Advisory Committee collects during the course of this meeting will be voluntarily supplied. The Advisory Committee will not impose any sanctions on any individual who chooses not to answer a particular question or divulge certain information.

BETTIE BACA-FIERRO: I am Bettie Baca-Fierro, Secretary of the Virginia Advisory Committee.

This factfinding meeting, is one of ten to be conducted by State Advisory Committees throughout the United States. It grew out of a study conducted by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights on The Federal Fair Housing Enforcement Effort between January 1975 and August 1978.

Arlington County was selected by the Virginia

Advisory Committee for a factfinding meeting because it is

a demographically diverse, small urban jurisdiction that can illustrate many low and moderate income housing issues encountered all over Virginia and indeed, throughout the country.

The facts gleaned from this public factfinding meeting will be used as a basis for a report to the U.S. Commission on Civil Right which is responsible for submitting reports, findings, and recommendations to the President and to the Congress. We hope that the report will be useful to the government officials and to community residents as well.

FLORA CRATER: I am Flora Crater, one of the five
Virginia Advisory Committee members in Northern Virginia,
who interviewed persons in Arlington for this factfinding
meeting. Other members were Bettie Baca-Fierro, Selma
Baxt, Anthony Azores, and Bertha Silva Pla. We were also
assisted by Wanda Hoffman, staff of the State Advisory
Committee. Persons furnishing information today and
tomorrow are knowledgeable about the community development
block grant program established under Title I of the Housing
and Community Development Act of 1974 and fair housing.

Where appropriate, we have sought a spectrum of views. Over the next two days, we will hear from more than two dozen persons. Several dozen more were also interviewed.

We trust that the information we receive will provide the community and us with a comprehensive picture of the

planning, implementation and evaluation of the Community

Development Block Grant Program and fair housing opportunities

in Arlington.

The Virginia Advisory Committee plans to submit its report, including its findings and recommendations, to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights before the end of this calendar year.

On behalf of the Virginia Advisory Committee I would like to express our appreciation to all of the people who have so generously given their time and knowledge.

PATRICIA DUNN: My name is Patricia Dunn and I am an attorney with the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

I would like to again emphasize that this is an informal meeting, not an adversary proceeding. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to present information to the advisory committee. We are of course, interested in obtaining as much information as possible, regarding the important matters under inquiry.

At the outset, it is necessary to explain briefly,
a special commission procedure regarding testimony or evidence
which may tend to defame, degrade, or incriminate any person.
Section 102e of the Commission's Statute provides, and I
quote, "If the Commission determines that evidence or
testimony at any hearing may tend to defame, degrade, or
incriminate any person, it shall receive such evidence or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony in executive session. The Commission shall afford any person defamed, degraded or incriminated by such evidence or testimony, an opportunity to appear and be heard in an executive session with a reasonable number of additional witnesses requested by him before deciding to use such evidence or testimony. Therefore, should a situation arise during these proceedings in which evidence or testimony presented begins to defame, degrade or incriminate any persons, I will call this to the attention of the speaker and request that he or she refrain from making such statements. However, if the statement of that person is of sufficient 12 importance to this inquiry, it may be necessary for the 13 Advisory Committee to hear the information in a closed 14 The person against whom the allegations are being 15 made will have ample opportunity to make a statement in a 16 closed session before the Advisory Committee submits its 17 report to the Commission. Since this is a public meeting, the press, radio, 19

and television as well as well as individuals are welcome.

Any person discussing a matter with this committee, however, may specifically request that he or she may not be televised, reported or photographed.

In that case, it will be necessary for me to request compliance with those wishes.

With these exceptions, these proceedings are open in full to the public and of course, to the media.

CURIIS HARRIS: After the scheduled participants, we will have an "open-mike" session, so anyone not presently scheduled who wishes to speak later -- please talk with Wanda Hoffman, staff in the back, or Barbara Stafford, who will be in the room to assist you. During the two day factfinding proceedings which are similar to an informal hearing, questions will be asked only by members of the Virginia Advisory Committee and Commission Staff. Questions must not be directed to presenters by anyone using the open mikes, nor should panel members direct questions to each other. Now may we begin with panel one.

Act of 1974 established the community development block grant program. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development requires recipients of community development block grant funds, to act affirmatively to further fair housing and equal opportunity. With us this morning, are community leaders who will give an overview of Arlington's history in this regard as well as current efforts. These leaders are as follows: The Honorable Ellen M. Bozman, Member, Arlington County Board, Member, Council of Government in Metropolitan Washington, Member of Northern Virginia Planning District Commission: to the table on my right.

Sitting next to her is Mr. Charles W. Rinker, Jr., Housing Committee Chairman, Arlington Civil Federation,

25

2 We note that Mr. Lechner -- Mr. Ira M. Lechner --3 is not present. We hope that he will be coming in shortly. 4 He is a member of the county Ad Hoc Housing Advisory Committee, 5 First Chairman of the tenant-Landlord Commission, Former Legislator in the Virginia General Assembly. 6 7 Sitting at the table to my left is Mr. John T. 8 O'Neill, Executive Vice President, Apartment and Office Building Association of Metropolitan Washington. 10 Seated Next to Mr. O'Neill, closer to my left, 11 is Mrs. Lucy Denney, Past Chairperson, Arlington County 12 Fair Housing Board. 13. We will hear from the persons who come, community 14 leaders as follows: Ms. Bozman, Mr. Rinker, Mr. Lechner, 15 if he comes in, Mr. O'Neill and then Ms. Denney. 16 May we hear from Ms. Bozman at this time. 17 MS. BOZMAN: The microphone is on. 18 Thank you and thank you for coming here to Arlington 19 to meet with us. During the next two days, you will be 20 examining how Arlington County as participant in the 21 community block grant program, is responding to the needs 22 in low and moderate income. persons, especially minority, 23 the elderly and the handicapped. I would like to start you

off by high-lighting briefly what Arlington was doing before

the parameter into the program, what

and Former President of the Arlington Housing Corporation.

3 .

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have been able to accomplish through the program and what we must do in the future.

First I'd like to tell you a little about Arlington.

In many ways it is a unique community. It started as a bedroom suburb of Washington, D.C. However, because of its close-in location, it has become totally developed.

Although we are a county, we are very much like a city, because of our urbanized character, in the fact that we have no incorporated jurisdictions within the county.

Just after World War II a large number of garden apartments were built. These apartments have provided us with a major supply of private-market, moderate-cost In the 1960's, a new surge of development occurred this time high-rise apartments and office buildings. With ten subway stations, present or coming to the county, we will see another surge of high density development occurring around the metro stations in the 1980's. In 1974, 65% of our housing stock was renter-occupied. However, this number is declining rapidly as many of our older garden apartments are being converted to condominiums or hotels or are being fully redeveloped. We have lost approximately one-fourth of our older garden apartment stock to this type of change in the last few years. Our population reached a high of 175,000 in 1970 and is now approximately 168,000. While our population has declined, the number of households has increased

significantly. Many of these households are small -- either singles, childless couples, or the elderly. Because of the large number of households and close-in location, our vacancy rate is the lowest in the metropolitan area, putting a squeeze on our already declining supply of rental housing.

Before we became involved in the CDBG Program, we had undertaken a number of locally funded housing and community development activities.

I am pleased to report that in 1968 Arlington was the first jurisdiction south of the Mason-Dixon line to enact a local fair housing ordinance. The County prohibited housing discrimination in the sale, rental, or financing of housing on the basis of race, color, religion and national origin. In 1972, the coverage of the Ordinance was extended to include sex discrimination and, in 1975, marital status was included, as well as provisions to strengthen the enforcement powers, such as subpoena power.

The County also instituted a totally locally funded rent - and tax-relief program, beginning in 1971. This program serves the lower income elderly, disabled and families with children. The program assists 1900 households with their housing costs, and will be funded next year at a level of \$1.2 million local tax dollars.

The County also established a housing services office to provide housing information, assistance to tenants and --

tenants and landlords.

and enforcement of the fair-housing ordinance. The County's

Fenant-Landlord Commission spearheaded the passage of a

statewide Tenant-Landlord law, to protect the rights of

In 1964, the County had established what we call a Neighborhood Conservation Program. Also totally locally funded, this program has provided over \$5½ million dollars to 12 separate neighborhoods within the County. Under the Neighborhood Conservation Program, each neighborhood develops a plan that details needed public improvements as well as setting forth desired land use and zoning controls for the neighborhood. The program was spearheaded in part by the Arlington View and Highview Park neighborhoods, which are both predominantly minority neighborhoods. As a matter of fact, all of minority communities in the County are now participating in the program.

While these were important efforts in addressing the housing and community development needs of the community, local tax revenues needed to support such programs are always limited. The advent of the federal Community Development Block Grant Program provided an excellent opportunity for the County to obtain federal assistance to help meet these needs. In addition to providing much needed resources, the CD .

Program has also provided the County with the flexibility to use the "block grant" in ways that best respond to our

particular local needs.

But now how about the community development funds?
Well, unfortunately, Arlington got off to a bad start, because when the CDllegislation was passed in 1974, the County did not qualify for an entitlement grant. The law provided that cities with populations with 50,000 or more, counties with populations of 200,000 or more, were entitled CD funds based on a formula present in the legislation. Although Arlington's population of 150,000 was approximately the same as our neighboring city of Alexandria, we did not qualify as an urban county.

Arlington did compete for the discretionary CD funds with other small jurisdictions in the Virginia portion of the metropolitan area. In the first three years of the CD program, Arlington received, somewhere between 150,000 to 300,000 dollars per year. During this period, we used some of that money to assist in the formation of the Arlington Housing Corporation, which you will hear more about too. This is a non-profit organization of citizens concerned with the County's housing problems. 77% of our discretionary CD funds were allocated to the Arlington Housing Corporation, for a housing rehabilitation program for low-moderate income homeowners and housing development activities. In addition, the County received two CD Areawide grants, part of efforts to promote housing opportunities in the metorpolitan area.

In July, when we start our third year as an entitlement community, our CD Grant will be approximately 2.9 million. As you can see, the CD Program is now providing Arlington with major significant funds to address our Housing and Community Development needs.

One of the major objectives of the County CD

Program is to preserve, improve and increase housing
opportunities for low and moderate income persons. Over the

last two entitlement years, the County has provided almost
nine hundred thousand dollars in CD funds to the Arlington

County Corporation, the Wesley Housing Development Corporation
and Tenant Organizations for the development or preservation
of low and moderate income family housing throughout the

County. Another seven hundred and seventy-eight thousand
dollars has been allocated to the rehabilitation of housing-for low rehabilitation of housing for low and moderate
income home owners and renters.

CD funds have also been provided for the acquisition and rehabilitation of poor residences for the mentally handicapped and a halfway to home facility for low and moderate income alcoholics. A CD funded study of the needs of the physically handicapped is currently underway and when completed will be used as our guide to assist this special group.

CD funds have also enabled us to establish the

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

County's first emergency shelter as well as increase our efforts to provide weatherization improvements for low or moderate income residents.

In fiscal year '81, over 50 per cent of our CD Grant, nearly 1.5 million, will be devoted directly to Housing Programs.

The second major objective of the County CD Program has been improvement of the low and moderate income neighborhoods. The County has instituted comprehensive neighborhood improvement programs in three target areas. The largest of these areas is the Nauck or Green Valley neighborhood, one of the County's oldest and the County's largest black community. Under the Entitlement Program for the past two years, the County has allocated nine hundred and sixty-five thousand dollars, almost one million dollars, to Nauck for a wide variety of neighborhood improvements. These range from street and public improvements to park improvements, improved lighting, landscaping, commerical area improvements, a little bit for job training, housing rehabilitation, concentrated code enforcement and housing counselling. Nauck will be receiving another five hundred and thirteen thousand dollars next year to continue this program.

The second target area has been the Colonial Terrace area. Here major street and park improvements are

being provided in an area which is predominately older / Garden Apartments and which has a significant foreign born population. Nearby to Colonial Terrace, Ft. Myer Heights is under study also as a possible target study area, having much the same characteristics as Colonial Terrace. Efforts are being made here to reserve and improve existing low and moderate income housing in this Metro Subway Corridor.

In addition to these target areas, the CD Program has benefited other minority neighborhoods. The Highview Park area which I mentioned earlier is one of the first in our Neighborhood Concentration Program in 1964. This area has received about a hundred and fifty thousand dollars for continuing improvements — particularly park improvements. And Central Arlington has been allocated a hundred thousand dollars for street improvements.

The County has also utilized Section Aid Funds
to address its housing needs. Through this program 468
low to moderate income households are able to rent privately
owned housing and pay only twenty-five per cent of their
gross income. 745 units in three different buildings have
been constructed for low and moderate income elderly persons.
A number of Section Aid Housing Projects for low-mod income
families both substantially rehabilitated and new construction
are in various stages of development by the Arlington
Housing Corporation, Westley Development Corporation and

several Tenant Programs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've been speaking to you as a representative of Arlington County because of my participation in the Northern Virginia Planning District Commission of which I am a former Chairman, and also in the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, I'd like to speak briefly to the role of the A95 Review Process of the CD Program. Under this process both these regional planning agencies review and comment on CD Grant applications.

It is my impression that the A95 Process has forced us to develop or at least has started the development of plans and the coordination of plans which might not otherwise have taken place. However, when CD grants are reviewed at the Sub-regional and Regional levels, we have not had any recommendations. Our plans have not had any recommended changes made to them so, in this sense, while I think the process has forced us to develop plans, there has been no Regional enforcement, you might say, of a plan. I think there's a very good reason for this. Local jurisdiction each want to maintain sovereignty within their own borders and each jurisdiction knows that when we are reviewing the application of another jurisdiction, that other jurisdiction has already proceeded far enough along in the review process so that their mind is completely made up on this project. We may question their reasoning on the

project but we do not want to interfer with their right to control land use within their own jurisdiction. So, each jurisdiction, at the regional level, is most reluctant to try to impose their thinking on these programs -- whatever word you care to use, on a neighboring jurisdiction.

Now, the regional planning agencies have had a greater impact, I believe, on housing and community development activities through other than the A95 Review Process. For instance, through the Council of Governments, the entire Metropolitan area has adopted an area-wide housing opportunity plan. Through this plan, local jurisdictions, what we call "fair share", the Section 8 housing subsidies provided by HUD, and this has encouraged the provision of opportunities throughout the whole Metropolitan area. As a result of the development of this fair share process, local jurisdictions in the area, including Arlington, have received bonus housing subsidies and city grant funds. Clearly the carrot is, in this case, more effective then the stick.

At the sub-regional level -- that is at the

Norther Virginia Planning District Commission level where

you're dealing just with the jurisdictions in Northern

Virginia, the Commission has been able to assist the

jurisdictions in promoting legislative proposals in Richmond,

which are designed to enhance localities ability to deal with

the housing crisis.

Once again, however, this is a program where the regional group is able to help the local jurisdictions carry out their own decided upon programs and plans.

The CDBG Program has been a good program for Arlington. It has given us the flexibility. It's a structured program responding to our particular needs. It has also provided us substantial resources to help address these needs. And as I mentioned, through requiring a housing assistance plan, it has encouraged us to clearly define our housing goals -- find our needs and adopt specific goals and also timetables for addressing these needs.

When we first became an Entitlement Jurisdiction, 2.5 million seemed like a lot of money. However, it quickly disappeared as we responded to the needs identified in the community. In spite of our greatly increased efforts that CD has facilitated, the housing crisis in Arlington is deepening. We are now losing many more moderate cost housing units through condo conversion and other changes that we can add through our current efforts. We still need to develop new tools and resources that can be used along with CD funds as well as eliminate some of the existing . barriers. For example, we are exploring the use of tax exempt bonds for financing housing. And I'm sure Mr. Brinker

will talk about other ways in which we need to leverage public and private resources.

The Virginia Housing Development Authority needs to be more responsive to the particular needs of Northern Virginia.

And, in addition, we need to insure that HUD acts promptly and positively to work with local jurisdictions. And I would like to give you two brief examples which I think are worth noting. Because of the history of Arlington, the makeup of our housing stock, it makes excellent sense for us to rehab our existing Garden Apartment Complexes and use 20 per cent of the units as housing for low and moderate income individuals or families.

However, we have difficulty in getting HUD to support the concept of this -- you might say, scattered site 20 per cent -- units scattered through a Garden Apartment Complex.

And, second, we have a problem with getting help we need when we have tenants forming their own cooperatives.

With the existing supports the community offers and with their own gut efforts, some of our tenant groups are now trying -- have begun trying to form cooperatives as an affordable alternative to condo conversion.

Now, again, this makes excellent sense when you .
look at the housing stock of Arlington and the current market

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for housing. But we need very solid support from HUD in this kind of effort.

A family housing project, a tenant co-op project is not a surefire investment as a guaranteed project for the elderly and this is one of the problems we're finding in Arlington right now. Some of our projects are, you might say a higher risk, then some of the -- some other potential projects which are -- which bear no risk for either the Virginia Housing Development or for HUD.

Now, in my view, the CD Program is the most promising program of the 1970's. To attack the existing inequities in the housing market and engineer change and I think we can, with close cooperation, between the Federal Authorities and the local officials. We can produce even better results in achieving goals set forth in the Community Help Program.

I do thank you for coming to Arlington. always pleased to talk about Arlington. I'm glad you've been willing to listen to me this long and I applaud your decision to do what you can to improve the lot of minorities low and moderate income people in the housing market. Thank you.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much for your presentation, Ms. Bozman.

Now, because of the limitation of our time and the fact that we have started started -- got started a little

ž BAYONNE, .2

later, we had scheduled to start -- have the second panel -should be coming on right now. We will have to ask the
remainder of the speakers if they'll please try to confine
their statements to ten minutes and if they have their
statements already written and can't cover it all, you can
submit it and it will find its way into the record.

We go now to Mr. Rinker.

MR. RINKER: I, too, appreciate your coming to Arlington to have this Hearing and hear this testimony.

My name is Charles Rinker. I have served as the Chairperson of the Planning Committee and a Moderator for the recently held Arlington Housing Forum, which was held on Saturday, May the 31st. I'm also a co-founder and former President and presently a Board Member of the Arlington Housing Corporation, a non-profit housing sponsor and advocacy organization in Arlington that has received community development funds since 1976. I have been asked to talk a little bit about the Arlington Housing Forum, which was a citizen initiated effort to address our housing crisis.

The written testimony that I have submitted to you has outlined some of the purposes of the forum. I won't go into all of that. Simply to say that the Arlington Housing Forum, which we held, was broad-based and included 13 community-wide organizations in its co-sponsorship. We believe that the housing problems of the County are community

problems in which each and every part of the community has a responsibility to work on and to solve and, therefore, the Forum was planned with broad participation as a goal. We had over 175 participants. We involved the banking and real estate community, tenants and landlords, housing advocates and those with housing needs and elected government officials at local, state and federal levels.

This morning I want to share with you some of the scope of the housing crisis in Arlington County which was manifest and confirmed in the recent Housing Forum, which we held.

I'm just going to mention a few of the items that highlighted that conference.

It is true, I think, that we have a housing crisis in Arlington because of the mass of dimensions of the problem and because of the scope of the problem that we are facing.

Since 1972, Arlington has lost, either in the process of being converted or already converted, 40 per cent of its moderate cost rental housing -- over 8,000 units. Primarily, to condominium conversion. And no one is building or otherwise replacing these units. The present vacancy rate, as Ms. Bozman has said, is very low. 1.3 per cent and has been steadily declining since 1974. Most of the residents of apartment units that have been converted to

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

condominiums cannot afford to buy the condominiums. In 1979 the average purchase price of a converted condominium unit in Arlington was approximately fifty-five thousand dollars and the monthly carrying charges including debt service, condo fees, utilities and taxes of the converted unit are in excess of seven hundred and fifty dollars per month. The average cost in 1979 of a single-family dwelling in Arlington was ninety-three thousand dollars and, of course, such prices make it impossible for middle income families, young or old, to buy in Arlington.

Elderly home owners and those on fixed incomes have great difficulty in paying their taxes, their utilities and in making needed home repairs. All three of our County Retirement Homes have long waiting lists. Owners of moderate cost rental housing cannot afford to maintain their property as rental. The high cost of operating rental units and the need for upgrading and modernizing those units, which are now twenty-five to thirty years old, is driving rental property owners out of the business. The high interest rates make unworkable the former processes of refinancing and sales of rental properties for the purpose of upgrading since the due debt service costs often create a negative cash flow situation for the owner emergency housing needs in Arlington. Over the past couple of years have skyrocketed. The County Department of Human Resources tells

1.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me that 45 per cent of all recruits for assistance are housing related.

The Emergency Housing Assistance Budgets of groups such as the Red Cross, the Catholic Charities and others have been severely strained by the increase of people needing emergency housing assistance. Federal and State laws make it to the disadvantage of a person to be a renter and, in fact, treat renters as second class citizens. For as a renter, one does not get the tax benefits and deductions which a home owner gets and this affects the housing market place in Arlington as elsewhere. The County's Housing Assistance Plan indicates that there are over 11,000 households in Arlington in need of housing assistance. This is approximately 16 per cent of all the households in Arlington and has risen from a figure just two years ago of approximately 13 per cent. The poor, the disabled, the handicapped, black, Spanish speaking people, the Asian imigrants that have settled in our land and women. Those groups which feel the economic pinch the hardest are likewise feeling the housing crisis the hardest. For while discrimination against many of these groups is not as overt as it was once, members of these groups still experience a housing crisis disproportionately compared to other groups in the County and the institutional effect of such disproportionate experiences of the housing crisis is discrimination as real and as

serious as it ever was.

Arlington's housing crisis is serious and severe.

But what the County has done or is doing to include the

Community Development Program can truly be said to be too

little, too late. With very few exceptions, the County

Board has not provided the time, the energy, the commitment

or the resources that are in any way commensurate with the

magnitude of the housing crisis.

This is most readily seen when one looks at the amount of County dollars that are being spent for housing needs when compared to other budget items. Leaving out the Community Development dollars that come to the County each year, the amount of County funds has been decreased over the last couple of years, even though the Housing crisis has increased tremendously in severity. In fact, the County Housing Service staff has been slightly decreased.

In a time since 1972 when an increase real estate tax revenues from conversion of apartment units to condominimums has amounted to over twelve million dollars, there has been absolutely no effort to use some of these increase tax revenues produced by condominimum conversion to elevate the problems of displacement caused by conversions.

I testified before the County Board during their Budget Hearings this Spring at a time when there remained approximately four million dollars in surplus in County revenues even after the real estate tax rate had been

reduced by seventeen cents over last year's rate. And at that time I requested that one million dollars be set aside this fiscal year for assisting county residents with severe housing problems, especially those being displaced by condominimum conversion. I received no comments or questions at the time of the testimony nor was the proposal seriously discussed at any other time during the budget process.

I mention this particular request to use some county funds to meet our housing crisis only as an illustration of the situation. Namely that Arlington County has leaned solely on the Community Development Program, Lot Grant Program, to provide the dollars to meet the housing problems of the County. The dollars have been far from adequate to address the problem. But, thank God for the Community Development Program for without it, the County would be doing next to nothing.

Furthermore, only about 40 per cent of the county received Community Development Fund dollars are used directly for housing assistance programs. Much of the rest is used for community conservation or neighborhood conservation improvements in Community Development target areas in the County. While these improvements are much needed and very worthy of support, they were the kinds of things previously supported and funded by county dollars in its very creative and innovative neighborhood conservation program as well as

the need to spend more county dollars in direct housing assistance programs, there is the need to spend more county dollars in neighborhood conservation programs. HUD should look more closely at the way Arlington and other communities use their Community Development funds to replace general revenue expenditures.

HUD should require that some county money and

HUD should require that some county money and commitment be demonstrated in meeting the goals of the locally drafted housing assistance plan and that some progress toward reaching the goals be met each year. It should be the key objective for HUD to leverage local community dollars with its CD dollars. Both local and private dollars and local public dollars.

Arlington County can certainly do a better job and a more creative job in leveraging its own Community Development of lot grant expenditures with private sector dollars.

REVEREND HARRIS: Excuse me, Mr. Rinker, could you summarize?

MR. RINKER: Yes. Let me mention a few things that came out of the Arlington Housing Forum -- things that we need to be focusing on, kind of in an over-view fashion.

One, that the County Board use some of the increased tax revenues, which come to the county each year as a result of condominimum conversion to alleviate some of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the displacement and other problems caused by the conversion that the Virginia General Assembly pass legislation to give tenants, as a group, the right of first refusal to purchase their buildings; that the United States Congress pass legislation which gives owners of rental property tax incentives and credits, if they sell their buildings to tenant organizations; that local, state, federal tax and other incentives be created to encourage oweners of rental property to maintain their properties in the rental mode as well as upgrade them and make them more energy efficient. That the United States Congress pass legislation which gives tenants the same rights as home owners to deduct their real estate taxes and interest on their income tax; that the County Board explore the possibility of creating a County Housing Authority with, perhaps, limited paths to provide financing and technical assistance for the development and rehabilitation of modern cost housing; that the County continued to seek and explore mechanisms which will provide incentives to the private sector to build residential buildings; that the County seek new opportunities to provide low-cost land to private organizations such as the Arlington Housing Corporation or the Wesley Housing Development Corporation, low-cost land available and excess school property or county property, possible air-space development or property of land and revitalized or redeveloped shopping

22`

centers. That the county expand its use of the newly discovered vehicle of 11B Tax Exempt Bond Financing for housing built or rehab with some portion of the units assisted under the HUD Section 8 Rental Assistance Program. That the Virginia Housing Authority add a Northern Virginia member to its Board of Directors, raise its housing mortgage limits in Northern Virginia, to be able to serve the high cost of home purchases in Northern Virginia and change its policy and emphasis against financing cooperatives. And that the Arlington Fair Housing Ordinance be studied and looked at again with a view to broadening it to groups that are not now covered by it.

These are some of the recommendations that I would suggest that it's important for you and for me to look at the total housing crisis in Arlington and see how the Community Development Block Grant Program fits into that overall situation in terms of our crisis. Thank you very much.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you for your presentation, Mr. Rinker. We note that Attorney, Ira Lechner has come in. And let me repeat that we are trying to confine the remarks within a ten-minute period because we are late and because we have so many other panelists to make presentations.

So, at this time, I will present Mr. Ira Lechner.

25 MR. LECHNER: Thank you, Chairman Harris. Of course,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's very difficult for any politician and an attorney to confine his remarks to ten minutes.

(Laughter.)

REVEREND HARRIS: I understand that.

MR. LECHNER: But I'll try.

I've been told that one of my functions this morning is to give the Commission some over-view as to the tenant related participation of both the citizenry and the county government in Arlington. Until about 1965, no emphasis had been placed from a governmental point of view upon housing issues or tenant-related issues when it came to multi-family housing. About 1965, the first candidate for office began to talk about the need for fairness with respect to tenants. Some of you may remember Wallace Dixon who was in the House of Delegates for a number of years in the mid '60's who began that rhetoric.

In 1968 Joe Wholley ran for the County Board on a platform of in part assisting tenants in respect to the laws in this County and in trying to provide some fairness for them and in 1970 he won electrion and as a result of that, the Arlington Tenant Landlord Commission was first established. And I was honored by being made Chairman of that. The Commission was first Tenant Landlord Commission in the State. of Virginia and it was first in the Washington Metropolitan area. I like to feel that the Commission, over

these years, has set a pattern which other Tenant Landlord Commissions throughout the Washington Metropolitan area -- and now I believe even in other parts of Virginia -- have begun to emulate.

The goal was first to get legislation to Richmond that would change some unfair ordinances that had crept in over the years. There was an abuse of security deposit money. Tenants were finding and still find in other parts of Virginia and other parts of the country that, after they gave a security deposit to the landlord, when they left the premises, some months or years later, they didn't get it back. And so, laws were passed that guaranteed the return, which provide interest for security deposit, which give a basic right to the tenant to receive his security deposit back, if he has not damaged the apartment beyond normal wear and tear.

There were a whole host of other laws passed -security devices, such as dead bolt locks and peep holes,
which were mandated in Arlington. Unfortunately, Arlington
is the only community, I believe, in Virginia, where that
mandate now exists for older buildings so that there was a
measure of security now given to people who lived in apartment
buildings with respect to their safety. And there were a
number of other laws, during the period of time, that were
passed so that I like to feel that Arlington, as a whole, has

ŀ

had, perhaps, in Virginia, the most emphasis from a Board of Supervisors and a state delegation in terms of the needs of tenants and in terms of fairness to tenants.

And over these years a dialogue has begun to develop between landlords and tenants. There were some very rough spots, of course. When we passed the first ordinance that mandated a repainting of apartments after a certain number of years. If the tenant would sign a new lease there was a very prominent landlord in this community who said he would paint his 1,500 apartments dirty brown, if they required him to paint them and, of course, I said, you could do that if you think that you could ever rent the apartment again. You can feel free to do that.

So, we have had some rough spots and we have had also a beginning dialogue that has been nutured over the years to the point now where Mr. O'Neil and I, for instance, see eye to eye on many problems that need to be solved by virtue of some assistance from government and also some changes in governmental policy.

You've heard, I am sure, the word "crisis" as far as Arlington County is concerned, this morning. I second that because, indeed, we are in a housing crisis in this community. But it is a crisis that, while beginning in Arlington, will spread to other parts of Virginia, in very short order because it is a crisis that is dictated by economic

23.

that are immutable and these economics factors are present in Fairfax County and in Alexandria and they will be present, some day in Loudon and Prince William. I am sure they are present in Norfolk and Richmond; they're going to be present all throughout the State; they are, indeed, now present in most of the major urban centers in this country. One, the Congress has changed the laws with respect to multi-family housing so that there is no incentive today to build new multi-family housing. Other than subsidized housing or extremely luxury housing, I would venture to guess that there is not a single unit of non-subsidized, moderate income, multi-family housing being constructed anywhere in Northern Virginia today.

I don't know what the course of action is with respect to Richmond or Norfolk in that regard, but my guess would be somewhat the same.

If no new housing is being built on the multifamily level and we are experiencing, not only in Northern
Virginia but throughout the State and throughout the Nation,
conversion to condominimums because of the desire of some
people to seek some tax advantage, that is the tenant who
now becomes an owner and seeks and gets the same tax
advantage that other owners get, the desire of the landlordto sell his property because he cannot make a sufficient
return on the property now and the enormous profits that are

25

being reaped by the condominium converter who takes a unit which he has purchased for ten to fifteen thousand dollars. or twenty thousand dollars even, has added some cosmetic improvements; has marketed it and turns around and sells it for seventy-five or a hundred thousand dollars and makes a very big profit in a very short period of time on a very small amount of money that is leveraged very highly -- these kinds of enormous profits being made in condominium conversion, of course, encourage the condominium conversion The desire of the landlord to sell because he can't make a profit as a landlord encourage it. The lack of tax incentive in terms of the building of new apartments; the forever growth in the number of people who live in our community; and Virginia as the Sunbelt State and one that has, of course, a heavy dependence upon government, continues to grow in population, all adds up to only one result and that is, a housing crisis. Housing being taken away from the rental market; no new housing being built; more people; fewer apartments.

I have likened the situation that's being created here to creating a homegrown boat people -- not the southeastern Asian variety but our homegrown variety of people who have been condoed out as the now -- there is a new word -- you are condoed out. And there are people who have been condoed out not once, not twice but three times and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they move from community to community in our Northern Virginia area looking for apartments. And they settle down and then within a year, fifteen months, two years they're condoed out again and I suggest to you -- to HUD, that unless some real attention is paid to this problem and paid to it right now -- there will come a time within a matter of months -- within a matter of months -- when these people will have literally no other apartments to go to in the Washington Metropolitan area. They will have to move either further from this community -- perhaps to Fredericksbu to the far reaches of Loudon County, Prince William County or have to move out of the State -- begin to double up with people as we did during the War. And, of course, that only exacerbates the problem because where do low and moderate income people move to when they move out into the further suburbs and find an opportunity to get to their jobs, which are in the inside city and with the energy problem that we have, does it make any sense that we're encouraging, by the laxity of the government, in dealing with this problem -that people are moving further and further from their jobs which cause them to use more and more energy to get back to their jobs -- energy that we don't have and that is terribly expensive to them and to the whole society.

So, it seems that the problem is exacerbating the entire social problem that we face with respect to energy;

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with respect to jobs. And it all affects moderate income people. It affects low income people. It affects minorities; it affects bluecollar workers; it affects working women; it affects that whole segement of the economy in this area that is other than very affluent.

What could be done from the governmental point of view to alleviate? First, HUD has been promising a program on cooperatives for many months now. That, it just has not delivered. Cooperatives will not solve the problem. But the opportunity of citizens to own their own buildings and to stop the condominium process from continuing, at least with respect to that building, is a very important factor that I think all of the writers in this area agree, that if there were laws and financing vehicles through "Ginny Mae", through the changes in the HUD process that now would drive anyone who wants to become a cooperative, absolutely crazy that, if these processes were changed, if the financing vehicles were provided, that the cooperative movement could provide ten to fifteen per cent of the multi-family housing that is otherwise going to go to condominimums. And the importance of that, Members of the Panel is, that the condominium process only is the beginning of it -- it's only the tip of the iceberg. When a unit of moderate income housing that now sells for, let's say, twelve or fifteen thousand dollars and provides a modest rental housing unit for, say, between

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two hundred and three hundred dollars a month rent -- when that unit is converted the first time to a condominium and is sold for, say, sixty-five or seventy-five thousand dollars, of course, it is removed as an element of rental housing. But it doesn't stop there because with the whole inflationary cycle that seventy-five thousand dollar -- now, seventy-five thousand dollar condominium unit may sell for a hundred thousand and a hundred and fifteen thousand and a hundred and thirty-five thousand and hence, it keeps moving up the income stream leaving the moderate income people further and further behind so that even if by some dent of hard work and good luck the moderate income person is able to buy that condominium unit the first time it is being condoed, they will never get that chance the second time it's being sold and the third time and the fourth time and the fifth time.

REVEREND HARRIS: Mr. Lechner, I am sure that you have a lot of information that could be useful but our time, today, is limited.

MR. LECHNER: I'm sorry. As I promised you, I couldn't keep within the ten minutes. I always keep my promises.

Thank you very much. I'll briefly summarize by saying that HUD has been, in my view, sorely deficient in this area of dealing with condominium crisis and its effect upon moderate income and low income people. The financing

vehicles have not been afforded those people to buy the unit or more appropriately to go into co-op housing. The regulations are a mass of unworkable tools for people who want to do the co-op process particularly within the short timeframe that they usually find themselves in, in trying to co-op a building. The input of this Commission with respect to HUD and the better use of federal dollars and as Mr. Rinker pointed out, the necessity of matching those federal dollars with local dollars would be very invaluable if you would bear on that problem.

Then, of course, I'm sure there are others who will talk about the problem of VHDA, because here's a State Agency that we're all intimately involved with, that has no Northern Virginia members on it and consistently has practiced discrimination against Northern Virginia people by virtue of setting the dollar limits in such a fashion that we just can't participate very much.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

We now go onto an additional member of the Panel, John O'Neill for his statements.

MR. O'NEILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

My name is John O'Neill. I've been in the housing business thirty-five years. I've been an owner and an operator of housing and I'm not a lobbist for the Rental Housing Industry in Washington, D.C.

I want to talk to you today about the economics of rental housing. All of the evidence in today points to the demise rental housing as a community option.

New construction has stopped except for subsidized housing. Deterioration of existing projects continues and is accelerated. Rental investors are not earning investment returns equal to those that can be earned with passbook saving accounts. Most existing rental housing is for sale. The unit price is now averaging twenty-two thousand dollars per unit.

Why has this phenomenon occurred.

Number one, Federal Tax Law changes since 1968 have radically changed or removed tax incentives to rental housing providers. Number 2, the oil embargo of 1973 and the result in radical changes in the cost to supply energy have raised the operating costs of apartment projects from an average of 45 per cent in 1972 to 65 per cent in 1980.

Local political pressures perceived or real to keep rent increases low, to adopt constant regulations, to comply with Air Pollution Laws, National Fire Protection Act and other kinds of measures have essentially resulted in low rates of returns to apartment owners.

Concurrent with this a very high demand for homeownership has developed because of Federal Tax Laws and
inflation. The average two-person household today must purchase

13.

housing because of Federal Tax Law which provides them with the item -- the potential to itemize on their federal tax returns.

Secondly, housing is the only thing that moves in the society today equal to or greater then the right of inflation, which is eroding the dollar of everyone.

What can be done about this phenomenon -- several things that I would suggest. One is the change of Federal Tax Laws to once again provide incentives to housing provider investors.

Two, supplement Federal Tax dollars for housing with local revenues.

Three, change the rules for subsidy programs, i.e., the 25 per cent rule which was adopted in 1939 by the old FHA, is no longer valid in 1930. It should be a minimum of at least 30 per cent income for housing and subsidy situations and 35 per cent if energy is provided in the rental dollar. Provided non-profit housing entities must have real estate development and management expertise.

I give you one example from the paper submitted to us this morning. The Arlington Housing Corporation rehabs 120 houses at a cost of five hundred and fifty-eight thousand dollars in community development block grant funds or forty-six thousand, five hundred dollars per house. This appears to be very excessive use of dollars to help too few

households. And that, in my opinion, is a pattern of use of federal dollars.

Local communities are unwilling at this point to subsidize further with any local dollars and the problems of the low and moderate income households are going to get worse.

In 1974, I wrote a series of articles for Buildings Magazine in which I predicted the demise of the rental housing industry in America in most urban centers by 1984. It had nothing to do with Mr. Orwell's book. It has to do with the fact that landlords cannot continue to offer rental housing at affordable rates in today's economy and with today's inflation.

To give you a classic example of what's happening to the cost of rental buildings, in this Winter just past, heating oil costs rose 69 per cent. It meant that we needed twenty-one dollars per unit for every unit in an oil-heated building in America to pay for one element of cost -- heating oil.

Also, in January of 1980, VEPCO was granted a 40 per cent increase in the fuel adjustment factor of the electric bill that goes to everyone who lives within that companies jurisdiction. Those kinds of impacts cannot be met by the 8 or 9 per cent rate of rent growth that is shown in the Department of Labor's statistics for the Metropolitan Washington area.

Those kinds of increases are inadequate in rent in order to meet these kinds of costs. We are fast approaching the time when we will have to have rent increases that will push the two hundred dollar unit to four hundred dollars and at some point, the owner will simply give up and sell out.

I must direct some assumptions that are made.

First of all, the present rental owner does not convert to condominimum. He doesn't do so because Federal Tax law would punish him if he did. He will be treated as a dealer and pay tax on as ordinary income on all of his gains. So he simply does not sell direct to his tenants, which is the ideal way to cut out the middle man. Along, then, comes the converter who will purchase his building and when he does that, the landlord is afforded capital gains treatment for his gains, which is substantially less, obviously, then ordinary income tax rates.

Also, I might note in the paper that was handed out today on Page 7, it says, extend notice of conversion from 90 days to 120 or 150 days. The Virginia State Legislature did adopt a 120 day notice rule in the last session and that will go into effect July 1st, 1980. There are some other points here about the tenants first right of refusal to buy his building and I say to all tenants and all people interested in the process that that right already

13.

exists. All owners want to sell and all tenants ought to take the initiative and make an offer to purchase before another converter comes along or a middle man gets into the act. They'll be able to buy their units much cheaper.

I would be happy to answer any questions the Panel might have.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much, Mr. O'Neill. We go now to Ms. Denney, who will speak to us at this time.

MS. DENNEY: Thank you Chairman Harris.

I was asked to give a brief historical overview of Arlington's Fair Housing Ordinance and I promise that I will be brief.

Arlington County can be proud of its record in Fair Housing.

In July of 1968, the County Board adopted a Fair Housing Ordinance as Ms. Bozman said it was the first such ordinance enacted below the Mason and Dixon Line.

Many dedicated individuals spearheaded by Northern Virginia Fair Housing worked tirelessly to accomplish this. In February of 1966, volunteers canvased the entire county asking residents to sign a statement supporting the principle that no person should be denied housing because of his or her race or religion or national origin. —The majority of people contacted supported the statement.

In February and March of 1968, the County Board

23.

held three public hearings on whether or not Arlington should adopt a Fair Housing Ordinance. The testimony in favor was overwhelming. The support was widespread. Civic groups, church groups and many individuals. The opposition came from the real estate industry, apartment owners and a few right wing organizations. The message was clear that the community supported fair housing.

However, the Commonwealth Attorney ruled that such an ordinance would be in violation of State Law.

Several very able lawyers argued that the County had the authority under the police power and they proceeded on a volunteer basis to draft an ordinance.

In July 1968, the County Board by a three to nothing vote -- two members abstained -- adopted the Fair Housing Ordinance. In August 1968, the County Board appointed a five-member Fair Housing Board. I was appointed and served as Chairman until August of 1979.

Since 1968, the Ordinance has been amended several times. Discrimination on the basis of sex has been included; the Board has been expanded to seven members and the Board has been granted subpoena power.

The Ordinance provides for public hearings in cases of alleged discrimination but the Board has held very vew hearings. Most of the cases have been concillated or handled by a brief meeting or a telephone call. Joan Windiman

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the Arlington County Community Development Staff and Wanda Hoffman, from the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights have told me that because of Arlington -- because Arlington has a well functioning Fair Housing Policy, the County is in a better position then many other communities using Community Development Block Grants. It is basically true that there is little discrimination on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sex, in Arlington. It is also basically true that there is a great deal of discrimination on the basis of income. If there is no housing, there cannot be any fair housing. I would just concur with the other speakers that we are, indeed, in a housing crisis at Arlington. I think if we read the front page of the Washington Post this morning where a young black woman in Arlington with four children cannot get an apartment -- it's not because she's black that she can't get the apartment. It's because she has four children and as we have talked about the vacancy rate is so small in Arlington apartments that the landlords can be so picky and choosy about whom they rent to. So, this lady is forced to live with her parents with the four children -- a very unhappy situation.

I would say that I think that the progress of

Arlington -- the CD Program has made in responding to the

needs of low and moderate income neighborhoods has been good.

But, again, let me say, we are in a housing crisis in

Arlington and we've got to do a lot more. Thank you.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

We are greatful to the members of the Panel for sharing with us their views.

We are behind time in our schedule but, if any member of the Staff has any questions for clarification of any member of the Panel, we want to allow for that, for a few minutes.

Mr. Miller?

MR. MILLER: Mrs. Denney, you gave a rather glorious picture of the Arlington Fair Housing Act yet, you said there were very few hearings.

My question for you is, do you have any statistical data to support your glaring evaluation of that Act in Arlington?

MS. DENNEY: I don't have it with me. The Executive Director of the Fair Housing Board is here as the former Director is also here.

We just -- as to public hearings we just didn't find that, really, that they were necessary. We did hold conciliate about two or three but we were able basically to conciliate most of the complaints. When I say basically, we've had success, I think we have proven to the landlords basically in Arlington that they cannot get away with discrimination.

And I honestly don't believe that there is a lot of discrimination

13.

in Arlington right now. But there is discrimination, as

I've said, because of the persons' lack of incomes. I

would really have to state that there is little discrimination

particularly on the basis of race.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

Do you have any other questions?

MR. MILLER: Ms. Bozman, you indicated that A95
Review didn't give much help because the constituents of the region sort of played musical dominos and got around the regional implication by more or less condescending for each constituency in the make-up. Are you advocating a abolition of the A95?

MS. BOZMAN: No, I'm not indicating abolition because I do think -- I'm glad you asked the question because I was very unclear in that part of my statement. I think the A95 process by requiring regional consideration -- by requiring initial grouping, planning coordination to go on is good. I think that supplies something that we probably wouldn't have otherwise but then when it comes to each individual project being evaluated, that's where the process for regionalism does not prevail. I am very conscious of the fact that if -- well, say, Fairfax is a neighboring jurisdiction on one side -- I'm very conscious that if Fairfax comes in at the review level and says: We don't want this project because we think it would have this

13.

undesirable impact and that undesirable impact, I'm not going to challenge them on that. I'm going to challenge them to the extent of saying, have you really thought this out; have you got evidence that this impact or that impact will really come about. But I'm not going to say, Fairfax, you've got to do that because I, in Arlington, think that's what you ought to do because, obviously, the next time something comes around, Fairfax is going to say to me, well, Arlington — and we each respect our own sovereignty. We are each elected by the people in that jurisdiction and the people in Fairfax elected those people, and the people in Arlington elected these people and when it comes right down to that point, we are not going to try to impose our will on another jurisdiction.

MR. MILLER: I think this is very important — what you call "our will". It's not necessarily our will if you're talking about the region or if you're talking about a plan of law. Would you say, then, that this particular attitude that you have taken in your group — your group has taken, more or less freezes the status quo. You're saying it needs jurisdiction to do what they want to do?

MS. BOZMAN: No, I'm not applying it to a question of upholding the law. I'm saying that everybody has developed a plan and then when a particular project going

through the A95 process comes along -- and, again, I'll use
Fairfax as an example, Fairfax says we don't want to go
along with this particular development because it doesn't
do this and it doesn't do that, it doesn't conform in
general with the plan, this is where the other jurisdictions
aren't going to gang up and boot, for example, Fairfax down.
But that doesn't mean that -- this is in the gray area.
This isn't going to happen if there is something grammatically
wrong -- if, say, Arlington is doing something which is
illegal or which is clearly completely contrary to good
sense, then, the other jurisdictions are going to say,
Arlington, hey, what's going on over there.

MR. MILLER: Could I ask one more question?

REVEREND HARRIS: We don't want to pursue this
same questioning but we do want to get a variety of questions
for clarifications so that we can get through this Panel and
move on to the other.

There may be some other panel members who have questions or need clarification from some of the statements made by the persons on the panel.

MS. CRATER: I would like to ask Ms. Bozman a question. Of course, many of us in Virginia look to Arlington as the most progressive County in the State and, if Arlington can't solve its housing needs, goodness knows what the other can do.

1:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But you did .-- I wonder if you could relate in numbers the gap between, say, the Section 8 housing that's being provided and the need for this type of housing in Arlington?

MS. BOZMAN: Well, first I would say that we have our own locally funded Grant and Tax Relief Program. benefits are not as good under that program as they are under Section 8. So we are helping close to 2,000 family units under our own program but, again, that's not as good . as Section 8. Our Section 8 we only have about 500 -- 480 units being helped. Now, even if you combine both of those groups and get up to, say, 2,500 family units, there are, according to Mr. Rinker's 13 -- 17 -- 13 per cent. are about 11,000 renter occupied -- there's a total. There are about 11,000 families still needing assistance. which we're not helping. Now, some of those would not be eligible for Section 8 also because the eligibility limits are -- you can have a lot higher income then Section 8 allows and still be in need of housing anywhere in Northern Virginia.

MS. CRATER: I wonder, also, if you could be specific about the trouble that Arlington is having in getting HUD to support the scattered site housing apartment units.

MR. BOZMAN: Mrs. Linderman will be talking later .
in the day and I would like you to ask her that question, also

24

25

I would say that I do not believe that we have gotten any 2 project through HUD which has only 20 per cent of the units 3 She is kind of shaking her head. I think she assisted. 4 agrees with that. She'll correct me if I'm wrong. .5 REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much members 6 of the Panel for coming and sharing your views with us. 7 We want to end this Panel so that we can begin 8 Panel Number 2. 9 (Panel members excused.) 10 MS. SILVA-PLA: Mr. Ingram, would you please 11 state your name and address and give a brief discription 12 of your past and present involvement in furthering fair 13 housing and community development in Arlington? 14 MR. INGRAM: Yes. My name is R. Hamisi Ingram. 15 I'm currently the Executive Director for Arlington County Fair Housing Board located at 1400 -- 2100 14th Street, 16 17 North, in the Holmes Building. 18 In the past I have served as an Assistant Attorney 19 General in Ohio assigned to Ohio's Civil Rights Section 20 and as part of my current duties I enforce the county's 21 Fair Housing Ordinance which includes processing complaints, 22 making decisions concerning the resolution of complaints

There are various numbers of other things that

I am involved in such as community organizations, community

and conducting studies analysis and collecting data.

meetings that are related to Fair Housing that are not under the County Governmental Structure such as the Community Housing Resources Board of which I am currently Chairman — the Council of Governments Fair Housing Task Force of which I am currently the Chairman and a number of other voluntary groups and I believe that's it.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Kathy Kurke, would you please state your name and address, and give a brief description of your past and present involvement in further fair housing and community development in Arlington?

MS. KURKE: My name is Kathy Kurke. I live at 4600 South Four Mile Run Drive in Arlington. My involvement has been mainly as an interested citizen. I have an appointment to the Arlington County Fair Housing Board since January of 1980. My term runs until December 31st of 1982. I have been doing volunteer work since September of '78 in the Fair Housing Board. I've been involved in a couple of community things, the Director's Housing Forum that took place last Saturday and I studied housing in Law School.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Thank you.

Mr. Robert McGregor, would you please state your name and address, and give a brief description of your past and present involvement in furthering fair housing and community development in Arlington?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGREGOR: My name is Robert McGregor and I've lived in Arlington County since 1949. I was brought into the County or drawn into the County through the organization of a Housing Cooperative called, Let's Save Our Homes. we were veterans and we needed housing, we formed organizations. We had an opportunity to buy a housing project approximately 86 units which Arlington County did not want because it did not wish to foster at that time public housing. However, through the Veterans Administration, we were able to, under a very great odds -- we were able to not only form our Housing Cooperative but to get a loan to get a mortgage for the purchase of these. I should say that, at that time, the politicians and the banking community worked very strongly to oppose us purchasing this housing project and we had to go up to -- after several attempts and finally wound up in Troy, New York to get a mortgage and we had to keep it very quiet in order to successfully purchase this cooperative.

I have been for four years the President of the Arlington County Community Action Program. We currently have a CD grant which partially supports our weatherization program. We have a defunct program which provided emergency housing, particularly for early release incarcerated individuals who had jobs in Arlington County but they needed that advance of money for housing. We have accommodated families who have been evicted and we also accommodated

î

1.6

individuals who were having utility problems. However, our emergency housing program has folded because it has been taken over by either the County or the State level. I have been President of the Hiland Park Citizens Association for two years particularly at the time when about 85 per cent of our neighborhood improvement program was completed.

I am Chairman of the NAAPC Housing Committee -well, we'll talk about that later and I'm also a member of
the Advisory Committee for the Community Block Grant Development.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Thank you, Mr. McGregor.

Mr. Ingram, what are the county goals with regard to providing fair housing opportunities for low and moderate income persons in the county including minorities, women, the elderly and the handicapped?

MR. INGRAM: I might mention that the County
Ordinance doesn't offer protection for all the categories
listed in the question. There is no protection for the
elderly or the handicapped as far as the fair housing
ordinance is concerned and I only administer for housing
ordinance but the county's goal in terms of fair housing
in general, is to eradicate discrimination in fair housing
in Arlington and the county efforts, if all persons who have
been discriminated against based upon their race, color, sex,
marital status, religion and national origin — women who

ľ

head households probably could come under American Status category or a sex category. Elderly, if there is some discrimination against elderly and if they happen to fit into one of the particular categories, we could offer protection to them based upon their fitting under one of those categories.

And the same is true of handicapped persons.

We are currently -- by we I mean the Fair Housing Office -- we are currently working on amendment that changes to the Fair Housing Ordinance that would offer protection for persons who are handicapped and we want to offer protection for persons who are discriminated against based upon their age and our goal as the Fair Housing Ordinance is to include those protections.

MS. SILVA-PLA: So, what steps have you taken by the Washington Council of Government in the Fair Housing effort and the Community Development Block Grant?

MR. INGRAM: Again, I have to qualify my answer before I answer it. I'm not involved in the Community Development Block Grant project so I would not be a proper person to address myself to that part of the question.

Arlington to the extent that there is a Metropolitan Task.

Force where members of the Task Force come from all of the surrounding jurisdictions. There has been, to my knowledge,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

no direct infusion of funds or staff assistance for the specific project that Arlington is involved in, sofar as the Fair Housing Office is concerned but through the Task Force in general, things have been done such as affirmative action guide plan which is a brochure that lists a number of things including regulations in the surrounding jurisdictions including Arlington, affirmative marketing and affirmative advertising programs have been instituted. Again, this benefits not only Arlington but all the jurisdictions. Minorities and real estate programs, which we design to get more minorities involved in the selling of real estate, involved in this jurisdiction in Arlington County and there have been a number of other programs -- some of which were undertaken prior to my coming to Arlington in 1977 and some Mrs. Kurke of which I am not very clear on.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Mr. McGregor, how many Fair Housing complaints have been received by the Fair Housing Board since 1977?

MS. KURKE: I can't go back to 1977 having not been a member but I can tell you that this year to date we have received 83 from -- I have a breakdown from during fiscal year '80 through April. The May statistics have been put together but they are not available until July, probably. At that time, there were 76 versus 52 in '79 in the same period of time.

í

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPORTERS PAPE"

This year the current complaint level is 38 per cent grater than last year and last year was a 120 -- a 120 per cent greater than the prior year.

That increase could be due to various sectors -we can't be sure but it could be due to the fact that we are becoming more well known again. It could be due to the great numbers of condo conversions where we displaced members of lower and moderate income who are seeking housing in nontraditional areas.

MS. SILVA-PLA: So what kind of housing complaints have been received?

MS. KURKE: Well, this year -- I can break down the complaints on race and color. There have been 27 complaints, national origin 17, sex 3, marital status 21, none on religion versus 1 last year and 8 under the category of other. Most of the -- we don't have any statistical profiles on the income levels but from notes that Mr. Ingram keeps when he conducts the interviews, it's clear that the majority of complaints are less then middle income persons. Their employment ranges from attorney, realtors, bank clerks, government workers, sales clerks, people in industry. It's a wide range -- who are usually employed. Very few of them are participants in any type of subsidy programs and even fewer have no source of incomes.

The complaints have been mainly rental. There have

25

been a few in sales of homes. Mainly refusal to rent and discriminatory terms in the lease.

Over the past 30 months there have only been 4 or 5 complaints by inter-racial groups or couples and one military.

MS. SILVA-PLA: And, how many of the complaints have been resolved and how were they resolved?

MS. KURKE: I can't break that down into numbers. I could tell you -- it's hard to say how many were resolved because they could be resolved through concillation, they could be dropped, they could be handled with a phone call. We have had 3 probable cause findings this year which -- in 1979, which means that we could have a hearing. We have not held those hearings yet. We have had one review hearing to determine whether there has been compliance with the requirements of the litigated action of 1976.

Those litigated actions — there are several in 1976 that were settled outside of court — the terms have concluded financial settlements as well as requirements that — reporting requirements to our board.

MS. SILVA-PLA: How many people work full-time to handle the complaints?

MS. KURKE: We have one paid County Board Member -County Staff Member -- Mr. Ingram. Seven of us who are
Members of the Board are all volunteer. We could certainly
use more staff. We are far behind in our investigations. We

T

have several from 1979 that we have really not gone very far on.

MS. SILVA-PLA: So, to what extent has the Fair Housing Board made the public aware of the number of complaints they have received and how they were resolved?

MR. KURKE: We really haven't. I feel very strongly that we need more publicity in this area. I think it's an issue that's very important. We -- at the Housing Forum that was conducted last Saturday, this is something that was discussed in the workshop. There is a great need for more publicity and education as to the terms of the ordinance -- explanation of what discrimination actually is and how it can be resolved or dealt with.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Thank you, Ms. Kurke.

MS. BACA-FIERRO: Mr. McGregor, would you describe for us the neighborhood in which you live in terms of its population, housing problems and community development and, also, are there other similar neighborhoods, to your knowledge, in Arlington County?

MR. MCGREGOR: Yes, there are similar neighborhoods. Three to be exact. For the most part, blacks have been confined to three areas in Arlington County. It's very hard to get out of those areas unless you have quite a bit of financial assets.

I live in a community. There are few professionals

in the community. Most of us are lay people. Domestic and government workers. The community recently has been greatly elevated -- I say recently -- within the last 15 years. That's when we initiated our community -- our neighborhood conservation -- funds from that plan came from the General Accounting Fund and bonds floated by the County. Of course, when it came to individual homes -- upgrading individual, homes, we, as a community put on a campaign to encourage everybody to go to the banks and also petitioned the banks to be very lenient. It was only in this manner that we were able to get any results.

For example, one of the problems we ran into even in getting the neighborhood conservation funds to participate in bond money and from General Funds of the County, it was necessary to raise taxes, if the County is going to have a neighborhood improvement. The County Board is for raising the taxes of the community as a whole — the County Board did have a hearing on raising the taxes and while say, for example, your Civic Federation didn't oppose the raising of the taxes, their respective amendment to the various associations grievously opposed regarding what was going to benefit, fortunately taxes were increased enough to pursue the neighborhood improvement program.

I feel my community is much better off than the North or the Green Valley areas, as it is often called, in

1 2 3

that the Green Valley area is much larger -- the problems are basically the same but they are in a much more deplorable state.

MS. BACA-FIERRO: Would you describe some of those problems?

MR. MCGREGOR: I think one of the major problems is drugs -- drugs is a big problem.

For example, another problem is housing. For example, I described for you how difficult it was for the housing cooperative to get established. There are many houses there and fortunately through the association they have formed a housing cooperation and I believe they've currently applied for a grant for CD funds to rennovate the boarded up houses. How far along they are on that, I don't know.

CD money -- I think they got the greatest portion of the CD money for this current year. And the reason for that is, the county has never done anything in the community to upgrade. As a result, the sidewalks -- there's a lot of sidewalk work to be done -- storm sewers -- I don't about sanitary sewers, curb and gutters -- that type of thing.

For example, HUD frowns upon communities putting too much CD money in material things. However, it's impossible to do anything in the average black community, particularly Arlington County unless you do invest in sidewalk, curb, gutters and sewers.

For example, I moved from my home 15 years ago.

At that time the street was unpaved. The entire top, the asphalt had been broken. It did not meet the County Code of Specifications for a street.

However, I was working two jobs. I could not participate in community affairs. Fortunately, there was someone in the community to look out for my interests and through the neighborhood improvement program, someone made the necessary meetings down at the court house during the day and during the night and as a result, the community above me, which was white -- the water drained out of that community, passed my front door and down the street. That type of problem was eliminated. There are such problems in Nauck today that are being addressed.

One of the other problems I find with the CD

Advisory Committee -- it's by law that it's mandated that
there be citizen representation on that. However, -- and
I became very frustrated for a while and ceased to
participate in -- because of problems in the proposals that
were coming in there where there was a lot of antagonism
in it.

For example, after the Nauck Association in the

Nauck area -- I think it was roughly five hundred thousand

for one year and the co-op which I belonged to came in for

a small grant -- fifteen thousand -- there was quite a bit of

Ŧ

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

antagonism as to why we came in, why we moved it, would it be justified fully and then the group wanted to go into a private session and it was only after I challenged the Chairman that this was a public meeting, that it could not go into a private session, that they granted us the request for the -- and it was for the study.

However, there's another thing that needs to be done and I think the County Housing Department can do a lot about it. Blacks have a general distrust of the court house. Let's put it that way. They have a general distrust with politicians.

Back in '49 when we were trying to get control of this project -- I mentioned to you the politicians didn't want any public housing in Arlington County. They got cooperation from the banks. So that tells us one thing -that we are not wanted. And, until today -- I was President of the Co-op and I did quite a bit of work to convince the members to go along with this study. We needed a study. was successful but after I left office and the senior citizens in that project who were members -- the difficult time they had getting established and how hard the courthouse fought They distrust -- they don't trust CD funds as it is. They still have doubts about it.

MS. BACA-FIERRO: In your opinion, are the CD funds adequately addressing the needs of the people in your

neighborhood?

MR. MCGREGOR: Let me put it this way, to the people who felt the butt of it, yes. To the individuals who have not, no.

Right now it's becoming an issue -- when we hear housing crisis, in my opinion, you have a housing crisis when you take housing away from people or when you do not -- housing two people regardless of who they are -- I cannot go back as far as 20 years when I-66 -- that's the highway that's coming across Fairfax County, Arlington County when it was on the drawing board the Community I lived in at the time -- I wasn't there at the time -- I was told there was no interest in Arlington County in stopping that highway from coming through our community. We had to go down to Richmond and lobby on our own, at our own expense to get that highway changed.

Arlington County was so sure it wasn't going to come through that nobody else was interested. It was going to take away approximately 35 per cent of the housing.

However, 20 years later when it became a reality that I-66 was coming through one way or the other, that's when you begin to get the interest in opposing I-66. However, just like public housing was a no-no in Arlington County 20 or 25 years ago. If we had public housing in our county today, we could alleviate to no end the housing crisis we now have,

ŀ

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTERS PAP

ñ

because many of those individuals who bought cheap twenty years ago and who are at low incomes find themselves in a very critical situation today and it could easily qualify for public housing but they went along with the County Now we don't want public housing because at Government. that time it did not effect them, it did not impact upon them but, again, the housing crisis just did not develop last year or when the speculators decided to convert to condominiums. It's been there for quite some time but now we're all beginning to feel the impact of a housing shortage in that area.

MS. SILVA-PLA: What action has been taken by the county to def ine human rights and civil rights group in an effort to further affirmative action programs and, also, Fair Housing opportunity in the County?

MR. INGRAM: Well, there has been no direct funding of civil rights groups or human relation groups. county has done -- through staff participation on various committees -- the county has made minimal staff in some resources.

For example, with the community resources for housing board, the county has made meeting space available, has made secretarial services available, has made various supplies such as stationery and other available -- accessories available for the CHR group to conduct its business over the

past more than a year -- about 13 months or so.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SILVA-PLA: Yes.

May I?

25

MR. INGRAM: I'd like to mention one of the ways --

MS. SILVA-PLA: What action has been taken by the county to establish means of information informing members of minority groups housing opportunities in non-traditional neighborhood and providing services to familiarize them with such neighborhoods?

MR. INGRAM: Well, we don't have a rental or a housing referral system as part of the fair housing operation. There might be such a system that's operating as part of the tenant-landlord Commission.

For instance, there is an apartment seekers guide which doesn't differentiate between traditional and nontraditional neighborhoods and is not just for minorities but is for everyone and as far as the fair housing office is concerned, we have not attempted to advise minorities or others of housing in particular parts of the county and I don't think at the present time that we have the resources to undertake such a thing.

Excuse me, with the Panel's permission, if I may, I'd like to clarify an answer Ms. Kurke gave to an earlier question concerning the public relations effort that the office has gotten involved in.

3 4 5

ľ

y

there are three wasys that we advise the community of what is going on in the fair housing office. One of them is our annual report which theoretically comes out after the fiscal year closes -- shortly after it closes. Sometimes it takes longer to get out then at other times. In the annual report we list a number of complaints that were received in that particular fiscal year and the type of complaints that were received.

We also have a chart which gives a historical progression of the number of complaints that were received each year since the ordinance was adopted, which is 1968.

A couple of other ways that we let the community or the public know what's going on as far as complaints and the number of complaints is, during our annual Fair Housing Day Commemorative, we offer certificates of awards to the various persons who have done something significant in the area of fair housing to -- not only in the past year but for any number of activities covering any number of -- or any particular period of time. We make an announcement during that affair of the type of complaints and the number, and the third way we let the community know is, throughout the year, we have various interviews with various newspapers and we let them know when something significant has come up -- if we are getting an overload of complaints in a certain area or if a certain problem is growing, generally, we'll talk to

the reporters and we have had a number of news articles published in the Washington Post, Northern Virginia papers, Arlington papers and I don't recall if anything appeared in the Washington Star.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Thank you, Mr. Ingram.

What recommendations do each of you have for a strengthened fair housing in Arlington County -- Ms. Kurke?

MS. KURKE: Well, first I think we have a problem in the ordinance itself. It does not cover discrimination on the basis of age, family size or handicapped.

Since these are outside local and state jurisdictions they are merely moral issues and I feel these are areas that should be within the purview of our ordinance. When I was monitoring the discrimination and housing workshop, at that time the workshop members concluded that the ordinance is deficient because these categories are not protected dispite the fact that there seems to be a general awareness of the problem. I do believe that the ordinance should be amended to provide those protections.

Another area that the ordinance is deficient in that I feel -- is that there are no compensatory not to mention punitive damages available. Today the ordinances have been interpreted as not to allow such remedies.

Although injunctive relief may be appropriate in some instances, it's infesible in others. For example, where

1,3

a landlord has already rented the apartment to someone else by the time we can do anything, we can't force a landlord to unrent an apartment to one person and provide it for the other one.

Meanwhile, a person who has been discriminated against may have had to store furniture or rent at a higher rate than he had planned on and will receive no compensation under what we are able to provide. It may be difficult to try to amend the ordinance that way. There may be a judicial interpretation that would be an extraneous exercise in power. I think it's worth taking that step to find out. If we can't do that, we must amend the State Fair Housing Laws to allow that type of remedy

Now, I think that there are other problems aside from the limitation of the ordinance itself. There are many people who are being discriminated against without knowing it. There are many who are aware of the fact that they are victims and don't know how — that they have an avenue or remedy in our Board which I believe is due to the lack of publicity and education.

We received, as I've said earlier, 38 per cent more complaints this year than last year and last year it was up 120 per cent.

We don't know how many more complaints we would have received if more victims were aware of our existence.

This increase, as I've said, may have been a result of the upsurge in the number of condo conversions. I have been told that we have 6,000 condo conversions already in displacing low and moderate income, many of whom are minorities in a protected class. They're trying to find or seeking housing in non-traditional areas. Our complaints are continuing to grow at the rate they are now.

Even if we don't make our services available to all those who can benefit from us, we are already behind in serving the complaints. We don't have enough man hours available. I think we need an increased staff. Those are the major points.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Thank you, Ms. Kurke.

Mr. McGregor, what recommendations do you have for the strengthening of the fair housing program in Arlington County?

MR. MCGREGOR: Number 1, with the CD funds coming into the county, I would definitely like to see them come out with some guidelines that's going to upgrade the quality of the membership of the Advisory Board even though the County Board has the opportunity to review what that Advisory Board does.

Let me give you a good example.

we have an alcoholic rehab program in the county, or it may be Northern Virginia, for that matter. Basically,

1.

these are the kinds of people. The Director has done an excellent job in putting people back into the main stream but he has a difficult problem before our Board because he comes before us this year asking for X dollars and his figures have been off and he comes back next year ane he's given a hard time next time because his figures were off and, are you going to be back next year?

If this money is used to elevate the quality of life for people, he should be back every year because alcoholism is a problem in Arlington County. He shouldn't get that kind of an attitude so, therefore, I feel there has to be a change of attitude on the CD Board. People should be more realistic.

Number 2, I'd like to see much more coordination between the Fair Housing Board and the Arlington County Housing Department and the Police Department. Those three agencies embrace — they get the problems with the housing. For example, a couple of years ago there was a black family who found housing they could afford in a poor white neighborhood and they were harassed and the Police Department found out about it but they failed to contact the Fair Housing Board.

The organization that sponsored the Fair Housing last week, many of them, not all of them, turned their heads particularly the Federal Civic Association but because their guidelines didn't provide for that matter to come up on their

17·

Secondly, our Arlington County Housing Department

agenda, they did nothing but you can't tell me you're -to me, that's a housing crisis but you can't tell me you're
interested in housing if you're not going to decide such
matters as that.

Recently there was an organization called Tomorrow. Their apartment complex was being converted and they decided to go cooperative. They got a grant from our CD fund and they appeared to be making progress.

Through my activities with NAACP, I had learned that blacks don't live in that apartment and we discovered that when you go there and ask for an apartment, they have nothing available and their vacancy rate is almost nil — they don't have vacancies. However, when Tomorrow was appealing to the County Board at one of the Saturday Morning Meetings, given statistics on the apartment, we heard that their vacancy rate was 5 per cent which meant upward of 18 apartments — they had at least 1 or 2 apartments available all the time. So, the NAACP wrote the Director and asked her to give us the commitment that there was going to be open housing.

Now, she sent word by one of her staff people that they were going to put something in their dialogues but she never officially recognized the communication from the NAACP.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had a lot to do with the conversion and the final granting of a mortgage by HUD. At no time did the Housing Department tell that organization, look, Arlington Housing has a housing code and your by-laws don't embrace it. It's only after the letter by the NAACP was written that open housing became real.

In the Virginia area we have what we call

which means that the County Board can form a Corporation cooperation to float bonds for that interim period when they're getting the property ready or rennovated so HUD can make the mortgage. The cooperation was set up, the by-laws and articles were written, there was nothing in there about open housing or fair housing, yet Arlington County has a housing code. It is my opinion that the Housing Department, should by all means, push the Fair Housing Code in Arlington County to get it passed. Things happen so fast that the first time they came up before Arlington County, they turned it down because they did not have enough information on the whole thing but it came up the second time and went through so quickly that it went undiscovered that even though the NAACP had sent a letter to the co-op, that cooperation using the authority of the county to float those bonds was granted and set-up in spite of the fact there was no open housing there.

Now, if we're going to hare an open housing code,

I think it should be enforced by not only the Arlington Board

1.

. •

but also by every agency within the county if we're going to have fair housing.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Thank you, Mr. McGregor for your recommendation.

Mr. Ingram, what recommendations do you have for strengthening the fair housing program in Arlington County?

MR. INGRAM: I would recommend, as Ms. Kurke indicated, the ordinance needs to be amended. A lot of this language needs to be corrected — it is deficient in many areas as a viable fair housing ordinance. There is just not enough protection there for us to go to a hearing, to a public hearing and be exactly sure of what our mechanisms are going to be and what we can do as far as ordering affirmative action.

That needs to be corrected.

We need to get more resources involved in our Fair Housing Office -- there need to be more resources made available to us, not only from a staffing viewpoint but in terms of budget, et cetera, so we can know what we can do and what we cannot do -- what we can afford to do and what we cannot afford to do. I suppose that we also need greater general recognization from the county government as far as activities and our endeavors are concerned. And, I suppose we also would benefit from some measure of autonomy. Usually,

3 4 5

J->-

7

6

9

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

24

Human Resource type organizations have to operate with some sense of autonomy, that its clientele can be confident that they are not going to be -- straight jackets put on their organization by the County Government although all these agencies are part of some local or state or federal government agency and come under their auspicious. The appearance of propriety is the most issue that you have to consider. What will your clientele feel -- how comfortable would they feel in making the complaint?

Would they think there might not be action if they bring a complaint against a powerful realtor or reality firm.

Would they think that the agency cannot give them the proper remedy or remedial relief. Those are some of the things I would recommend in terms of strengthening Fair Housing Office and in its enforcement of equal housing laws.

MS. SILVA-PLA: Thank you, Mr. Ingram. Thank you, Ms. Kurke and Mr. McGregor for coming to testify in front of this Panel. Thank you very much.

REVEREND HARRIS: Excuse me. Just before the Panel leaves, there may be some additional questions from members of the people seated here or the staff.

So, if you would indulge us, we would like to seek a little additional information.

MS. HASEGAWA: Mr. Ingram, what steps have been taken by the County to remove the effects of past

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discrimination on housing that may have contributed to the concentration minorities in the particular neighborhoods we've been talking about?

MR. INGRAM: Well, I suppose one of the things that has been done is our ordinance prohibits restrictive covenance but more -- but other than that, the county has made ordinance and its provisions available to all county citizens to work otherwise. As I indicated earlier, there are no real programs to advise the constituency of any relocation efforts or provision of traditional to non-traditional or non-traditional to traditional neighborhoods. The way the county has structured in terms of its residency patterns. It seems as though for whatever reasons, people are residing in various areas. -- I think Mr. McGregor indicated that most of the minorities are located in three distinct areas in the county -- as far as low and moderate income persons without regard to their standards as minority or non-minority. suppose there are some areas where you don't have low to moderate income persons living. We don't have any real statistics to reflect that.

At one time, an independent organization —
private organization did a map which indicated where people
were located based on what their houses cost or what the
apartment rental rents for. That organization is no longer
functioning, at least in that capacity.

22

23

24

25

MS. HASEGAWA: What organization is that? MR. INGRAM: I didn't anticipate you would ask what the organization was. It's an organization located in Washington, D.C. and its name has changed. I haven't had any contact with the organization but I will get that information for you. MS. HASEGAWA: Okay, thank you. If I understand you correct, then, there really isn't any program set-up by the county or the fair housing board to facilitate integration of previously segregated housing? MR. INGRAM: In a general sense, that's correct

except that if a complaint is filed by a person alleging that they've been discriminated against in their efforts to rent or purchase facilities in a non-traditional area, then, we will do whatever our enforcement powers permit us to do to see that they are availed of that housing and that those activities don't continue, at least by that particular person complained against.

> REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you.

Do you want to add to that?

MS. KURKE: Yes, I'd like to add to that. ordinance also requires the posting of a fair housing poster that's approved by the Board that must be posted by real estate brokers and lending institutions and mortgage companies 1.

and all other persons in the business who are selling any dwellings. That's one minor step.

REVEREND HARRIS: Any other questions?

MS. CRATER: Yes, I'd like to ask Ms. Kurke, you mentioned sex discrimination. Could you give us a specific example or maybe a specific remedy?

MS. KURKE: A remedy that's available now?

MS. CRATER: Yes, or that you think is necessary.

MS. KURKE: I can't -- we have so many complaints.

I can't pull one out of the air. I do remember -- most of our sex complaints have been combined with other complaints too -- for example, marital status or race. Maybe Mr. Ingram who reads our complaints and conducts the investigations might be able to pull something out of the air.

MS. CRATER: Well, we do know there is an increase in single heads of households -- a number of women -- and we were just wondering what the problem was there.

MS. KURKE: Well, I can tell you as far as the breakdown in actual complaints, we've had half as many complaints on the basis of sex this year as we did last year this time. So, statistically, I can't say that there's been an increase just on the basis of sex. If you combine that with the fact that you have single head of households, that may be under marital status. And they fall within other areas.

1.5

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CRATER: Thank you.

add this to strengthen the ordinance?

MR. MILLER: I listened to your testimony today. You seem to indicate there is another category of housing discrimination -- inter-racial marriage. I think you said you had 5 or 6. I know there has been some action outside of Arlington. Would you, Mr. Ingram, think that you should

MR. INGRAM: Well, sir, it's already covered under race and color. For instance, if a person was white and had a boyfriend or a husband who was black or if the person was black and had a husband or boyfriend who was white and they were denied a house or an apartment based upon their spouses race or color, or their association with their friend who was in another racial movement that is under the law that is termed as third party discrimination and the person could file a complaint and would have status in the court based upon their protection laws and equal protection housing laws.

MR. MILLER: One other question. Since you are the Chief Administrator of a Fair Housing Act and since you made mainly what I consider a wonderful recommendation to strengthen the Act, to what extent have you made the .recommendations prior to this meeting.

Have you from your own accord, from your own expertise as one administering the Act, have you made

ľ

recommendations to strengthen the Act to county officials prior to now?

MR. INGRAM: At this point, we are preparing to submit suggested ordinance, changes in ordinances, amendments to the County Board. Those amendments and changes have been approved by the Fair Housing Board and they are currently at the County Attorney's Office for study to make sure that they are in accord with the State Law and County Code -- come under the provisions of the County Code.

As soon as my office meets with the County Attorney's Office and seek where we stand with what we've got, we will be submitting those proposed amendments, changes as they come forward for their action.

MS. DUNN: Can you tell us what those changes or amendments are?

MR. INGRAM: Just a moment. I won't go through all of the changes---

MS. DUNN: Just briefly summarize it.

MR. INGRAM: --but I will say though that a lot of the changes are to correct deficient language. Where language is confusing or not quite adequate, we want to correct that type of language. For instance, where our ordinance suggests that a complaint may be filed with the Fair Housing Board, we want to change that language to indicate that complaint shall be filed with the Fair Housing Board

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because it gives the impression that filing with the Fair
Housing Board is not mandatory in order to -- I'm sorry,
I should have said Fair Housing Board not County Board -gives the impression that filing with the Fair Housing
Board is not mandatory in order to get fair activity on
the complaint. And there are other suggestive changes such
as that.

We also want to add some language by way of amendment which would permit us to appoint a Hearing Examiner or Hearing Examiners to handle our public hearing. We feel that we can do that under the existing language simply because the Hearing Examiner would be authorized to sit before the Fair Housing Board and it would still be a Fair Housing Board action. There has been some question, though, as to whether or not we should construe our language to reflect that situation. So, rather then be sorry, we thought that we should be safe and have the Board specifically indicate that we authority to appoint an Examiner. In terms of notices of hearing, in terms of procedures. Those are also other areas in which one could make amendments and, again, there are a number of things--I've got another four or five pages here and I can make this document available to the Committee.

MS. SILVA-PLA: I have one question for either Mr. Ingram or either of the other two panelists. There has been

1

3

Ť

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

]1 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a lot of foreign born and Hispanics and Asians moving into the area over the past ten years or so. What percentage of your housing complaints come from these?

MR. INGRAM: Ms. Kurke or I?

MS. SILVA-PLA: Either one of you.

MR. INGRAM: Last year our Board received 15 national origin complaints and I don't know that that was -that was -- yes. We didn't break that down by per cent but of our total number of complaints, which numbered 65, 15 of thos were national origin. We don't keep records as to whether or not the person's national origin was Hispanic origin or Egyptian origin or Iranian or where the person came from. We would like to, this year, deliniate a little better our categories so that the person who picks up our annual report can see how many national origin complaints we got and what category under national origin it would have been -whether Hispanic, Asian, Egyptian or whatever it would be. We do receive -- don't have an overload of complaints from Hispanic persons, surprisingly, considering the number of Hispanics -- Hispanic persons in the area.

The Spanish speaking community of Northern

Virginia probably saves us from having a lot more things

from Hispanics then we do now. I do know they sort of over
lap in this area -- they don't have a process of referring

complaints to us.

Į,

MS. HASEGAWA: Excuse me, Mr. Ingram, earlier
I believe Ms. Kurke said that there had been 17 complaints
based on national origin. You said 15. Is the time span
different in which you are talking?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, I'm talking about fiscal year 1979 and she's giving you the current information for fiscal '80.

MS. DUNN: Mr. Ingram, you testified as to your enforcement efforts under the County Board and pretty much focused on enforcement but there is an old adage that an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. What efforts does your office make in facilitating the prevention of discrimination in rental housing, in the financing of housing and in the provision of brokerage services?

MR. INGRAM: Well, I have to say at the outset that we have not done a lot in an area of financing. We don't get a lot of complaints from folks claiming they were denied financing but we have gotten some complaints and gotten some good complaints in making those accusations. One of the things that we've done -- this hasn't been done recently.

I suppose we can use that -- we have a poster which we require to be posted in offices -- reality firm offices and in apartment buildings that operate in Arlington or are located in Arlington.

MS. DUNN: Ms. Kurke, is this what you were referring

to?

MS. KURKE: Yes, it is.

MR. INGRAM: We should also have a similar poster with a change in language for the banks and lending institutions and at this moment, we don't have that poster. We have a computer printout of all the rental dwellings in Arlington and their owners and the management firms and these posters will go out in appropriate numbers to all those concerned.

There have been several studies done prior to my coming onboard and one of them involves evaluating the working wife's income which is a guideline for mortgage lenders.

This was done in 1975 -- surveys and questionnaires went out to all the mortgage lenders in the area.

MS. DUNN: So, it's done by your office?

MR. INGRAM: Yes. I shouldn't say all the lending institutions in here, I should say all the lending institutions that operate in this area -- not all of them responded but enough did so this study could be tabulated.

An additional study was done on practices towards separated and divorced women. They were not treated equally by lending institutions.

I might mention that the survey that I quoted that had some fairly good results but that's -- I think those.

results were tainted somewhat by the passage of the Equal

Credit Opportunity Act and I doubt if many bankers or

ľ

.

lending institutions would -- at the time the survey questions went out responded other than affirmative or positive fashion in practices -- and I'm not saying that they were misled. I'm just saying that it may have been because of the DCA some institution could have changed their practices to conform to federal law.

MS. DUNN: So, outside of studies and the poster in terms of prevention, your office has done nothing?

MR. INGRAM: We make ourselves available for lectures before any groups that want us. We propose to have seminars but you have to be aware that we are limited in our resources and in our staffing, so our primary concern is to address the complaints that we get on a day-to-day basis and to address the day-to-day administration of the fair housing ordinance.

MS. DUNN: Thank you.

Mr. Ingram, could you please make available to the .
Committee, the documents that you referred to?

MR. INGRAM: Yes.

MS. DUNN: Thank you.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

Let me just ask one or two final questions and then ascertain if anyone in the audience would like to address the panel or the State Advisory Committee.

My question is to Mr. Ingram with regard to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Zoning Ordinance of the county as to whether or not that ordinance has any impact on the housing location of minorities and whether or not that ordinance is impacting on the continuing problems of minorities in the county?

I haven't studied the ordinance. MR. INGRAM: might point out that the housing ordinance -- my duties and responsibilities are restricted to enforcing, which means I don't often get involved with the Fair Housing Ordinance or Zoning Ordinances or various other ordinances or codes. There are occasions in which there might be some overlap. For instance, if a landlord doesn't rent to a group of persons, I believe the Housing Standard Ordinance says a group of persons number X whatever it is, whatever number it . is, and it might be that the landlord doesn't understand this complaint. I might point out to them that under the Housing Standards for this specific number of people constitutes a family based upon definition and not a group even though they might be unrelated and I might also point out that not to rent to those persons based upon a mental conception of what it is and they might file in one of the provisions of the fair housing ordinance. I don't know that the housing standards ordinance or any general land use plan has caused persons not to be privy to housing in any particular area of the county.

At the same time, I don't know that the general

range plan or any housing standards ordinance may assist a person in being able to get at housing so I don't know if there's going to be any help or hinderance from any other ordinances except my own.

REVEREND HARRIS: Specifically, if, in your study it is determined that minorities or black people are locked into communities because of the zoning ordinance then would your agency be in a position to recommend to the Zoning Commission the change of the ordinance so that is a preventive measure, so the discrimination would not continue?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, sir, I suppose we would be in a position to do that and I have received that as one of my functions.

Whether an act is committed by a private person, a broker-trained institution or a lending agency, the ordinance makes no distinction and, if that is the case, the Board can either act after receiving the complaint alleging that or it can initiate action on its own in the absence of a complaint. To date we have received no complaint making that allegation and the Board has endeavored to study to see if that is a problem so that it might initiate its own complaint.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

Just before we look again into our audience, let me say that the persons from the audience may address the

SAC or the panel -- make direct statements -- but may not question either this panel or the SAC.

MS. DUNN: I have one comment sir.

As to the panelists, the committee's inquiry into housing matters is a continuing one in Arlington County and I trust that all of you will be available to the members of the Committee or staff to respond to questions that may arise in the future and during the course of the investigation.

Mr. Ingram?

MR. INGRAM: Yes.

MS. DUNN: Mr. McGregor?

MR. MCGREGOR: Yes.

MS. BACA-FIERRO: Mr. Ingram, just one more question from this side.

Are there any Hispanic or Asians on the Fair Housing Board?

MR. INGRAM: I'm not certain. We have one recently appointed Fair Housing Board Member whose name is **Teeah Sujack**.

I've never asked her her background -- and I try not to make too much of a persons general complexion and appearance.

MS. BACA-FIERRO: I'm just reacting to your comment.

MR. INGRAM: It looks like she might fit in a Hispanic or Asian category but I'm not sure.

MS. BACA-FIERRO: I'm reacting to your comment that there is an unusually low percentage of Hispanic and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Asian and national origin complaints and I'm wondering	
if this might be improved, if there were an effort to g	çc
out into those communities and recruit members for the	
Fair Housing Board?	

MR. INGRAM: I think if we did have an Asian or a Hispanic member on our Board, it would probably increase the number of complaints that we would get from the Hispanic communities. I think your premise is correct.

> Thank you very much. REVEREND HARRIS:

Is there any person in the audience who would like to speak at this time?

MS. RIEMER: And would you state your name, please?

MS. RIEMER: I thought one could ask questions.

Perhaps they can comment.

MS. DUNN: You can address your comments to the members of the SAC, State Advisory Committee and the panelists are excused.

MS. RIEMER: My name is Shoshanna Riemer. been appointed to the Commission on the Status of Women here in Arlington. My background is completely Civil Rights. Ι last served professionally in the Office of Civil Rights Sex Discrimination Branch and Handicapped Discrimination Branch. What I really would like to know is pending right now before the House and Senate is S-506 and HR-5200 which are both the bills to complete really Title 8 of the Fair

Housing Discrimination Act and one of the things that this Bill will contain will be, including handicapped people under the purview of the housing, won't that automatically, then, necessitate Arlington to comply?

My question -- my second question is in terms of Outreach or comment. As a member of the Arlington Commission, I have to -- I -- and I've served for more than a year, I have to beg ignorance in knowning that there was a Fair Housing Board and what I'm trying to say, not because of lack of interest was I not knowledgeable. I think, perhaps, there should be a greater sense of awareness.

If you personally are understaffed and perhaps articulate members such as Ms. Kurke and the other 6 members that serve on the Board could at least attend some meetings so that other civic interested people like members of the Commission on the Status of Women would then be able to tell their constituencies that there are groups like this that could come, because we frequently have problems of housing.

And then the other thing is, I know that several committees in the House and Senate now are even beginning to put their -- when they are germane and contain issues particularly focused on minorities, many things now are coming out in Spanish as well and what I'm saying is, if it's possible to put your Fair Housing Plaqueard in Spanish or in other languages because we have to have an Outreach type

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FÕ

of program it seems for these kinds of issues to be addressed and for people to know about them.

And lastly, I thank the Commission for coming here the Fact Finding Commission. Will there be available to people like myself a copy of what this will include before it gets meshed into the general study that will be sent to the Commission.

Thank you very much.

MS. DUNN: I may be mistaken but I believe that a transcript of today's proceedings will be made and made available to the public.

Thank you very much for those comments.

I wanted to make sure that the Stenographer got your name and the correct spelling.

REVEREND HARRIS: Do we have other comments from the audience?

MS. DENNEY: I'm Lucy Denney. I just want to clarify what I said earlier. I didn't mean in any way to paint a rosy picture of the housing in Arlington. Certainly there is some discrimination. My point is that people are discriminated against before they can even get to the Fair Housing Board with the complaint. They're discriminated against in economic ways so they are just cut out of even applying for housing in Arlington. A black professional, say, who both are GS-13's in the government can easily find housing in Arlington.

So can a white or a Hispanic. But that really is about the level of income that we are talking about defined housing here so that's why I say it's -- I repeat that it's they're discriminated against even before they can look for discrimination.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

Are there any other comments from the audience?
(No response.)

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you. This ends our second panel and we'll break now for lunch to return at 1:30 for the third panel.

(Whereupon, at 12:15 o'clock p.m., the hearing was recessed, to reconvene at 1:30 o'clock p.m. this same day, Monday, June 9th, 1980.)

17.

19/

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

600-626-6313 REPORTERS PAPEP

AFTERNOON SESSION

(1:30 P.M.)

REVEREND HARRIS: Let me take this opportunity to reconvene our Fact Finding Conference.

To begin the hearing we will have our third I would like to ask Ms. Hasegawa and Ms. Crater to take charge of this portion of the Fact Finding Conference.

MS. HASEGAWA: Ms. Lunney, would you please state your name, address, and give us a brief description of your past and present involvement in furthering fair housing and community development in Arlington?

MS. LUNNEY: My name is Frances M. Lunney. I assume you want my office address -- the Housing Services Section at 2100 14th Street, North, Arlington, 22201. I've been working for Arlington County in their Housing Office for the past 7 years. I've been working with the CD Programs since its inception in Arlington. I've prepared the "HAP" Plan every year and have prepared also the grantee performance report.

I have been involved in general housing planning and implementation that some of the Housing Programs operated out of our Housing Office.

MS. HASEGAWA: Ms. Doherty.

MS. DOHERTY: My name is Mary Lou Doherty. at 1414 South Bartan Street in Arlington. It's an apartment complex. I am a tenant representative on the County Community 1"

Development Advisory Committee and as a representative of the Tenants of Arlington County, which is a tenant-wide county group and I've been working with tenants where I work in Arlington Village. The apartment is going condominum and the tenants there have been working to try and get some discounts for tenants who are buying and provide them housing help for the tenants who can't afford to buy.

MS. HASEGAWA: Ms. Lunney, what are the Arlington County housing assistance needs? And do you consider these to be substantial?

MS. LUNNEY: I do consider Arlington's housing needs to be substantial as I think you've already received copies of the county's HAP Plan, is that correct?

On Table 2 of the HAP Plan it indicates that 1,430 owners and 7,152 renters for a total of 8,582 Arlington residents in need of housing assistance. That represents over 12 per cent of the households in the county.

Those statistics are based on data mostly from 1970 census.

Since 1970, we have encountered a specialized problem -- that is the loss of units through condominium conversion. We've lost roughly 6,000 units since 1972 when the first conversion took place, 1,600 of those in the last year. We estimate that we'll lose another 1,200 units or more this year. We're also losing units through conversion

ľ

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MFG. REPORTERS PAPF to hotel usage, to short term furnished apartment usage, to property conversion and to demolition.

We have lost roughly 25 per cent of our old Garden Apartments which constitutes the bulk of our low market housing supply.

MS. HASEGAWA: For the record, would you please define what HAP is?

MS. LUNNEY: The HAP is the County Housing Assistance Plan.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you. What is included in the County's Housing Assistance Plan as submitted to HUD?

MS. LUNNEY: The Housing Assistance Plan consists of 5 tables. The first table describes the condition of the County's housing supply at both those units that are owner occupied and those that are renter occupied and it goes into the condition of the housing stock in terms of those units that might be in need of modern rehab and those units in need of substantial rehab and those units that are in such bad shape as to warrant being torn down.

It also indicates the current vacancy rates in Arlington which are very low.

Table 2 describes the housing needs dividing them up into a number of different categories, both owner-renter then subdivided there and between elderly and handicapped. small family and large family and indicates how many of those T

households are minority households, how many are femaleheaded households. Most of the minorities, the breakdown from the '70 census only included blacks and Spanish. I expect 1980 census will probably include Indo Chinese as well.

Table 3 outlines the goals the county has set for itself in the three-year period to meet these needs. The goals in the county are overwhelming directed towards the rehandled existing houses as opposed to new construction or Section 8 existing program largely because Arlington is a well developed community with, say, less vacant land to develop new housing.

Table 4 limits -- asks the County to limit what describable limitations might be put on the placement of new housing or the rehabilitation of older housing, particularly indicating therein that it might be particularly desirable to do rehabilitation within the census tracts that have been chosen as neighborhood strategy areas.

The 5th Table is the annual housing assistance goal and that is drawn up new each year for a three-year period.

MS. HASEGAWA: Could you describe for us the method by which the County determines moderate, middle and high income levels of residents?

MS. LUNNEY: We rely on HUD figures to make that

ŀ

determination. The HUD establishes each year what is considered to be low and very low income standards -- low income being 8 per cent of the Washington Metropolitan medium income and very low being 50 per cent of an income.

In using the term low to moderate cost housing generally rather than the HUD classification of very low, we use simply low. It gets a little confusing here. See, we assume that low income households form the category of earning between 0 dollars and up to \$3,450 for a one-person household, which is 50 per cent of the median for one-person household in Washington area.

For moderate income would be between \$8,450 and \$13,550, which, again, would be between 50 and 80 per cent of the median income.

To define middle income, we'd go from 80 per cent to a 120 per cent of median income which for a one-person household would be \$13,550 up to \$20,325 and upward -- those who weren't above \$20,325.

MS. HASEGAWA: Would you give us some idea of how many numbers of people in Arlington are in each of these categories?

MS. LUNNEY: I think that information of that sort probably -- the census would be the best source -- the '70 census would be rather out of date, so I do not have data on that.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MS. HASEGAWA: What is the total number and 2 minority household needs, as identified in the Housing Assistance Plan, for the follow groups -- starting out with elderly-owner units and elderly-rental units -- renter units? MS. LUNNEY: In the HAP amongst elderly owners we show 394 elderly owners in need of assistance -- 5 of them being minority. Handicapped owners are estimated at 106. Handicapped persons -- with no estimate as to the number of minorities households. For a small family defined as 2 to 4 persons would be -- there are 701 owners needing assistance. 28 of them minority. For large families, 5 persons or larger, 229 owners, 45 of whom are minorities.

Amongst renters -- elderly renters constitute 1,624 households in need and 91 of those are identified as minorities.

The renters -- 824 households and, again, no estimates as to number of minorities.

MS. HASEGAWA: That's on the handicapped?

MS. LUNNEY: Excuse me -- on the handicapped, yes.

For a small families, renters 4,133 households. 682 of them minorities. And amongst large families, 571 --278 of them being minorities.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

MS. CRATER: I wonder if I could ask a follow-up question?

ľ

		On	the	minority	househ	old,	qo 2	you	have	a	breakdow	
on	the	types	of	minorities	that	are,	for	ins	stance	€,	Hispanic	
or	Asia	ns?										

MS. LUNNEY: Yes. On Table 2 it breaks down between Blacks and Hispanics. And, if you like, I can give you the figures in the different categories.

MS. CRATER: Why don't you do that?

MS. LUNNEY: You'd like me to give them to you?

MS. CRATER: Yes.

MS. LUNNEY: For owner households, there is a total of 61 Black owners, 5 of them being elderly or handicapped; 20 being small families and 36 large families.

Amongst renter households, 576 Black households, 64 of them elderly or handicapped, 335 small families and 177 large families.

And amonst Hispanics, 17 owner households, 8 of whom are small families and 9 of whom are large families.

No elderly or handicapped.

There are 475 Hispanic renter households in need of assistance, 27 of whom were elderly or handicapped households, 347 small family and 101 large family.

MS. CRATER: Ms. Doherty, what criterian do you think the county should use in the selection of the target areas?

MS. DOHERTY: At this point with the Black program,

ŀ

a lot of it is specified in the HUD regulations.

I think one of the concerns that has risen in the county over the year is, what can happen in areas where there's redevelopment going on and the low income population might be displaced in the future because of redevelopment. There have been instances of that in some of the target areas that are currently chosen where Metros have gone in or the areas adjacent to areas that are redeveloping. And the concern has been not only, do you designate those areas as target areas but, how do you suit the programs then when the money goes in there to the target area.

My only concern is that when areas are chosen as target areas, that the money going into those target areas be targeted to benefit the low income people in those areas.

In most of the target areas the low income population includes a large number of tenants. And some home owners. But my concern would be more how the money is benefiting those people once the areas are selected.

MS. CRATER: In what ways do you think citizen involvement has had an effect on preserving low and moderate cost rental units in Arlington and especially in the target areas that you just talked about?

MS. DOHERTY: There has been citizen involvement -I would say that has been in two forms. There have been
citizen involvement in the Block Grant Program to see how the

1°

_

federal funds are being used in the area and then I can speak as one of the members of the Tenant Group. There has been citizen involvement through tenants organizing in their apartment complexes when they have been faced with conversion and then working with the county to work out programs with the developer and with county and federal funds to deal with problems that come up.

In the target areas I'm not really sure how the citizen participation takes place during the planning process. I know that citizens are involved on the Community Development Group that would use the suggested programs for the target areas and I understand that the citizens are also able to participate during the planning process for the target areas to decide how many are used in their area.

MS. CRATER: Would you like to make any recommendations as to how the citizens could better assist the county in planning the use of CDBG money or increases its benefits, say, to minorities and women head of households or the elderly and the handicapped?

MS. DOHERTY: Well, I think citizens have been active in coming forth with suggestions for how this could be done and to continue to push for those suggestions. One concern that has come up is the large amount of federal money that is spent on street improvements in the county at the same time that the county is receiving a lot of additional

Q

local funds through real estate taxes going up as the property values increase. There have been suggestions from the citizen group that reviews the Block Grant money that the counties start matching Block Grant money which is federal money with some of the local money that's available to do street improvements rather than using federal funds to support the total cost to street improvements in lower income neighborhoods. And by doing this, I think some of the people on the committee felt that there would be more federal money available to be used for housing programs in the county, which are very much needed.

MS. CRATER: I'd like to welcome Mr. Dreher and ask you, would you please state your name and address and give a brief description of your past and present involvement in furthering Fair Housing and Community Development in Arlington?

MR. DREHER: My name is Robert Dreher, D-r-e-h-e-r, 1567 Colonial Terrace, Arlington. I am finishing a term as President of the Colonial Terrace Community Association.

I have been Chairman of our Neighborhood Planning Committee for the past four years and I have also been the area representative -- the neighborhood representative on the Citizens Advisory Committee called the Community Development Program.

MS. CRATER: Would you describe the population

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTERS PAPER

and location of the Colonial-Terrace area in Arlingt	on?
--	-----

MR. DREHER: Yes, the Colonial-Terrace area, as we defined it, includes the area between Lee Highway and Wilson Boulevard from here up to North Queen Street, including houses on both sides of Queen Street. In other words, it's right up on the hill from the hotel here. According to the 1970 census, there were about 1,200 dwelling units -- 1,200 residents in the area. Of them, 54.2 per cent were classed as low to moderate income. There has been a considerable influx of foreign-born since the 1970 census.

Of course, the '80 figures will show it but we believe that there is not only an increase in ethnic minorities but also in the percentage of low to moderate income families.

Oh, I might add that of these, the great majority are residents of low to moderate cost apartment dwellings. There are 50 single and 20 double houses in the area. Garden Apartment Buildings and 2 elevator buildings.

MS. CRATER: Where would you say most of these people work?

> Do they work in Arlington or Washington? You say they are low income?

MR. DREHER: Yes. I can't say that we've conducted a survey of place of work but on the basis of personal contact, I would guess that the large majority work in

17.

Washington and the second largest group, of course, work in Arlington. A fair number work right here in the Rosslyn area.

MS. CRATER: Why do you think that the Community
Development Block Grant Funds are needed in this area?

MR. DREHER: Well, this is a -- first, because of the general characteristic of the area -- and that is an area with 95 per cent mental -- 95 per cent tenants -- a very small per cent of owner residents.

The majority of these in relatively small apartments with relatively little -- small yards. The area is sloping and cut-up. There are small yards for children to play.

The area here has only one open space that can be classified as park space and that's the one that's now being redeveloped under the Community Redevelopment Program. We are a long way from other county facilities, from the central library-- which is the nearest library branch.

Then there is a particular history of the area. In 1971, our --again, or early '72 -- first, of course, in the '60's, the county developed the Rosslyn Business Area here -- started developing it as a high density largely commercial, largely office area and the Metro Rail System was planned with the station right here. Obviously, this area was going to become highly desirable for development. The County, at the time it zoned Rosslyn, had decided that

Į,

7

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this was the dividing line -- Ft. Myer Drive on the north side, or the west side, you might say the west side -- I don't -- wherever the hotel is, would be the limit for high rise development -- moving up the hill except right on Wilson Boulevard but in '71-'72, the only well-to-do owner resident up on the hill -- we subsequently learned had acquired about 7 of these properties and got a covenant from the other land owners and approached Portman Associates -- John Portman Associates of Atlanta -- famous developers in Peachtree Plaza and subsequently Brinson in Detroit and Parkadaro in San Francisco and other of these special projects and they requested rezoning of the entire 11 and a half acre tract immediately west of here on the hill from here for a massive convention center, hotel office building project. It must have cost 75 million dollars pre-enflation'71. But the citizens group saw the county -- our own citizens and the citizens groups of the county rallied. County Board hearings went on for 5 weeks. 300 people testified and the Board finally decided to hold to its original decision -- hold the line here on Nash Street and turn down this request.

It was really an over-kill. The developers weren't satisfied at the time. They came back a couple of years later. National Condominium Systems with a proposal for putting up two high-rise condominium towers on the hill, right up on the hill here -- similar to Tower Villas which is out

Ť

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

near the central library. And the Board turned them down on that.

Then there was one third proposal for a single tower which didn't even get to a Board Hearing. this had a very unsettling effect on the residents of the area here and on the property owners themselves. property owners were given to anticipate -- encouraged to encouraged dreams of high gain coming up and the convenant, incidentally, that was obtained for the Portman proposal was dissolved thereafter and there has been no covenant in existence since but properties were running down and people were quite upset during that period when the Community Development Funds became available from the county. The county, knowing of our particular circumstance and knowing that we had a good strong community association, suggested that we would be eligible and might put in a request for funds. By using Community Development funds, we hoped to demonstrate that the county did intend to hold to its determination to preserve this area up here for low to moderate income residential area and subsequently incidentally the county voted to downgrade the area use plan for one step to assist in this project and to encourage a greater sense of community unity -- a sense of community -- and just generally improve the quality of life for people in this area and to encourage, of course, the property owners and even the tenants

1.

and non-owning tenants to take initiative of their own to spruce up the places and improve.

MS. HASEGAWA: How much money has been spent -Community Development money has been spent in the area?

MR. DREHER: Well, we have -- I didn't bring my progress report with me -- we have been granted.

(Ms. Lunney handing document to Mr. Dreher.)

MR. DREHER: We have been granted about six hundred and some thousand but the major project was the development of parking and playground facilities at the Wilson School site here, which was originally budgeted out at one hundred and fifty thousand and because of the newness of the operation, red tape procedures dragged on in construction — all this actually was approved in the program two years ago — construction just started about three weeks ago and it was budgeted at a hundred and fifty thousand dollars and cost has gone up to about two hundred eighty thousand and when they actually got around to letting the bids. So, that's really the only project which is currently underway.

The other projects thus far were for cutting one of these blocks from Oak Street here all the way to Quinn, between Key Boulevard and 18th Street is one long super block and it bars the area from convenient access to Wilson Boulevard and Wilson Center and Safe-way shopping and so forth. And we want to put a walk-way, bike-way through that

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTERS PAP

long block and also between Key Boulevard and 19th Street. And the rest of the money has gone into street improvements. The streets here are -- Colonial-Terrace itself is a high crown elliptical street with curves in some places -- very few curbs or gutters, sidewalks in some places, other places not.

In some places the sidewalk is a narrow 2 foot wide concrete. Other places it's a narrow 2 foot wide strip of asphalt. There are discontinuous sections. The people walking up in the section generally have to get out in the street. Most people walk out on the street rather than on the sidewalks so that was -- the rest of the money was to go into that.

MS. CRATER: Well, what impact has the use of this money made on preserving low and moderate income homes and rental units?

MR. DREHER: Well, thus far, it's difficult to measure what impact it has had because the major job -this project is just getting underway at this time. Of course, we had in our publicity from our Community Association we have stressed the awarding of the Community Development monies for these projects and that they are coming so that presumably this has had some bolstering of morale effect. I can only say that in the past six months, two town-house developments have been started up on the hill, which we

ĺ

regarded as a very positive sign. These won't be lowermoderate income houses but they are utilizing under used or
in some cases -- in one case it was vacant and in the other
case there were two small houses that were raised for this.
But it does give additional ownership on the hill here,
which will tend to prevent the accumulation of land for
additional massive projects.

MS. CRATER: How much more money does the area expect to receive from the Community Development Block Grant?

MR. DREHER: For -- I think we have about three hundred thousand still earmarked for street improvements. That's in addition to what has already been authorized.

MS. CRATER: What recommendations do you have for citizen involvement?

MR. DREHER: Well, we got on the ball on this at the very beginning when the county first indicated that we might be eligible for funds. We distributed a questionnaire to all dwelling units in the area, which was designed to elicit the desires — the perceptions of the residents. First as to specific needs or problems in the area and for their sense of priorities for specific physical improvements, if they could be funded under the Community Development Program. And we received 93 responses which we collated, analyzed and prepared in a tabulation which we had attached to our Regional submission for funds. We have had subsequently a number of

R

citizen meetings; as a matter of fact, Thursday of this week will be the final meeting of our Community Association for this year and the notices that we sent out have noted that the first part of the meeting will be a joint meeting with representatives of the Community Development staff at which they want to solicit further citizen input on the specific details for some of the programmed street improvements. We have had altogether, I guess, about 4 or 5 mass meetings.

And, of course, we did have a neighborhood sub-committee of representatives. We tried to pick the most representative members among owner residents and absentee owners and tenants.

MS. CRATER: Were your members, members of the Tenant Association, too?

MR. DREHER: Well, our association is not, because we have tried to actually -- we have leaned over backwards to try to include owner -- property owners in our association and not just tenants. And so the association is not a member of COHAC. But individuals are. I am myself as an individual.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

Ms. Doherty, I have a couple of questions.

You mentioned a couple of times that you thought citizens needed to make sure that the money you did receive through the Community Development Grant was used actually to benefit neighborhoods. How do you think citizens can participate

., 1

- 23--

more fully and insure the money used for good purposes?

MS. DOHERTY: Well, I think through the types

of meetings that Bob was talking about where the citizens are given an opportunity to go to planning sessions with the staff to decide on how the funds will be used.

I think there is a point at which citizens involvement is limited, though, by overall county priorities sometimes. But, I think they can be very active at the initial stages and try to make sure that what the money — what types of improvement are going into neighborhoods will really benefit the low and moderate income people in those neighborhoods.

MS. HASEGAWA: You among several other people discussed the whole conversion — loss of rental units to condominiums and hotels and that kind of thing. Has there been any effort that you are aware of or that your organization is undertaking to enact legislation that would authorize a moratorium on conversion or to condominumns or to hotels?

MS. DOHERTY: There's been a lot of activity.

I'm aware of it in the last year because I just started
getting involved when we found out a year ago that where

I live was being converted. There have been efforts on the
county level and on the state level to get legislation
through that would put a moratorium on the number of conversion

1,

_

1.0

So far, the state efforts haven't been very successful. I think they have extended the period of notice that the tenants have to have when there is a conversion but there are also efforts to get a first right of refusal for tenants in the state, which was not accepted.

On the county level, tenants have been working with them through the Zoning Board where many times the apartment owners, when they sold to the developers, the developers must come in for zoning waivers, zoning variances because the buildings are older and they are not up to code and they don't want to do all that would be required. In all the cases that I know of, the zoning has been approved so that they can go ahead with the conversion. There has been efforts to talk and involve the county board members informally in talking with developers, but there is no county legislation, no real estate legislation that deals with this problem.

There is also -- I can say one other thing -there has also been an effort with the Virginia State
Housing Finance Agency makes low cost financing available to
purchase homes and condominimums but at this point, the
income limits that they've set and the mortgage limits that
they've set are too low to apply to the Northern Virginia
area. There have also been efforts to talk with State
Housing and Finance Agencies in Richmond to have them approve

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

either higher limits or to improve co-op financing so that people in Northern Virginia could take advantage of the low cost financing to purchase condominimums.

MS. HASEGAWA: Are there any plans to introduce either county -- well, say, county legislation to either put a moratorium or to set a ceiling on the number of conversions?

MS. DOHERTY: There have been efforts to do that in the recent past and they've failed and I don't think there's a feeling at this point that, if it was pushed, it would succeed. So, the tenants or the people living in the apartments where the conversions are going on are trying to work around that through the zoning -- through bringing their cases to Zoning Boards. In some instances, they've tried to use historic preservation to prevent it but there doesn't seem to be any indication that the County Board would go along with any type of moratorium on the conversions.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

Ms. Lunney, what steps have been taken by the County to prevent the loss of low-income housing?

MS. LUNNEY: The County has a number of programs geared toward maintaining or increasing the supply of lowto-moderate cost housing. Among them are the Section 8 In connection with the Section 8 Program, we have Program. operating out of our office a rehab program for a single-family

RR-125

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

house that -- whereby the owner matches funds with the County to do rehabs and then the landlord agrees to put that house under contract for a period of time -- say, five years and rent to a Section 8 developer -- similarly the County has rehab programs and has entered into a contract on that. But if they have funded both Arlington Housing Corporation and Wesley Housing Development Corporation with development dollars, basically, thus far, Arlington Housing Corporation has acquired one 77 unit rental apartment building that they are hoping to rehab and convert to cooperative home ownership. They are planning to build 14 town houses for cooperative home ownership on North Ross Street.

Wesley Housing Development Corporation is in the process of acquiring a small apartment building.

The County has a program which funds financing groups such as Ms. Doherty's to help them to acquire a number of units in their complex to convert to cooperative home ownership. The two groups that have been funded this far under that program.

MS. HASEGAWA: What two groups are those?

MS. LUNNEY: The Arament Village Tenants Association and the TOMARO Group, TOMARO, which stands for Tenants of Marlo, Arco and Radner Organization.

In addition to that we work closely with the developers of condominimums to help them come up with ways

NFG. CO.

5.

and means of mitigating displacement where it might be through providing discounts to existing residents to help enable them to stay to allow, in particular, the elderly tenants to stay on even through renting to an investor buyer -- from an investor buyer or through additional discounts based on their age and length of tenure.

There is a fair amount of legislation that has been introduced although as yet has not been adopted. Most of the legislation you might already know in Virginia has to be adopted by Richmond. The localities have little in the way of home rule so that we could not say write a first refusal of tenants to buy their own building simply by the County Board taking action. The County has a Department of Conservation Program which is funded, I believe, out of the general revenues. That, they have provided funds to other improvements like sidewalks, gutter -- that kind of thing and various apartment complexes where the landland has agreed to preserve the units as rental for this five-year period.

The County has tried to get VHDA, the Virginia Housing Development Authority to change a number of its policies so that it would be more responsive to the needs of tenants, in particular, in Arlington, in North Virginia as a whole.

Their price limits under the home ownership program

really limit the opportunities for tenants to buy their own units when a conversion takes place. The maximum sales price under the home ownership program is \$35,000.00, which cannot buy much more than an efficiency these days in Arlington.

Also, VHDA has recently enacted a policy that limits the number of single persons who can purchase under the program, say, puts a quota on the number of singles that can purchase -- I guess I question the legality of that kind of thing from a fair housing point of view and in conjunction with the Northern Virginia Planning District should be sent materials to them both questioning the legality as to show how to handle a disproportionate in terms of the number of persons who have been assisted by that particular program.

Those are some of the steps the county has taken.

MS. HASEGAWA: Are you anticipating starting any additional programs in the near future?

MS. LUNNEY: I think some of you may be aware there was a Housing Forum held on Saturday, May 31st, here in Arlington that was sponsored by a variety of private groups — mainly the Civic Federation but also groups like Tenants of Arlington County. A number of proposals came out of that Forum and I expect that the County's Housing Advisory Committee would be interested in reviewing those in detail and making specific recommendations to the County Board where action might be taken.

1.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HASEGAWA: In all of your studies that you've been doing both for your Housing Assistance Plan and generally, what -- see if I can start over again raising this question. Have you noticed that the loss of low-income and moderate housing has a disparate effect on any particular groups, for instance, the elderly, handicapped, women heads of households or minorities?

MS. LUNNEY: It definitely has a disparate effect on the different groups you mentioned specifically the minorities, female heads of households and the elderly have a disproportionate number of persons who are of low-moderate income to begin with and, therefore, they are most likely to be living in the low-to-moderate cost housing that's available. We find particular problems in regard to the impact on the families with children and on the elderly in terms of -with the elderly there is some serious concern with regard to the impact of moving on an elderly person -- elderly person's health and we have found that developers have been fairly responsive in coming up with programs to allow the elderly to stay in place when, say, a condominimum is taking place.

On the other hand for families with children -- I suppose some of you may have seen the article in this mornings Post that indicated the problem we have in the Metropolitan area, in general, where there are so many single

REPORTERS PAP

1,4

person households that are competing in the same market with families with children and basically from the landlords point of view it's less headache to rent to a single person or a child-free couple then to rent to families with children so that we are finding, when we have a complex such as Calirmont Apartments, which is — which has 500 units and converted last year, that a substantial number of those households — I say all those households were two-bedroom units so a very substantial number of families — a lot of them could not relocate within Arlington simply because of that kind of policy — also because of the general impact on the supply of housing by conversion.

MS. DOHERTY: If I could just add something
there. I think Arlington Village is in some ways typical
of the conversions going on. It's a Garden Apartment
complex. The apartments range from one to three bedrooms
and there are a lot of elderly and single parents living
there with children and these seem to be the apartment
complexes where the conversions are having the most effect
where most of the Garden Apartments now that are reaching
35 or 40 years old are—that are good to raise children in
because there's land around are being converted. And I
think that just the type of housing that those types of
families choose is also having an impact on them because
more of that type of housing is being converted then the newer

Ļ

highrise rental apartments in the County.

1,3

17.

MS. HASEGAWA: Besides the two projects that you mentioned earlier, Ms. Lunney, what other new or rehabilitated units are planned to meet the needs of lower income persons.

You mentioned two new ones a while ago that are going to be for the purpose of the elderly, is that what you said?

Are there any other projects that are similar to that, that are going to be funded or that are planned to be funded?

MS. LUNNEY: I guess the point I was referring to -- Arlington Housing Corporation, I mentioned specifically has 77 -- has a 77 unit complex -- that they had acquired, and 14 units that they planned to build.

Wesley Development Housing Corporation, you're looking at a 44 unit apartment complex and if everything goes well, they will acquire that and either keep it rental or convert to cooperative home ownership with the percentage of the units being Section 8. The Arlington Village Tenants Association is in the process of trying to buy 98 units, 35 of which would be under the Section 8 Program.

Again, the goal of cooperative home ownership. The counties rent rehab program -- utilizing the HUD rehab program, signed a contract last week with the landlord who

1,

•

owns a 38-unit apartment complex and 28 of those units,

I believe, will go under the Section 8 program after the
rehab is completed and those are some specifics. I expect
that Arlington Housing Corporation will probably be looking
at another project in the near future.

The Colonial Village Tenants Association has the same agreement from the owner that the owner will sell 75 units to the tenants for conversion to home ownership and another 75 units to a group like Arlington Housing Corporation with the goal of maintaining them as low-cost rentals.

MS. HASEGAWA: What are your goals for tenure type housing both owner and rental have been identified into the Housing Assistance Plan?

MS. LUNNEY: Would you like the goals for FY-81?

MS. HASEGAWA: Yes.

MS. LUNNEY: In owner households, there are 36 households to be assisted, 12 of whom are elderly; 18 small family and 6 large family.

In renter households there is a total of 400 units, 90 of them being for elderly or handicapped; 260 being for small families and 50 for large families.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

Could you please define an Areawide Housing
Opportunity Plan?

MS. LUNNEY: The Areawide Housing Opportunity Plan is

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

developed by the Council of Government. It's an attempt by all the surrounding jurisdictions here in the Metropolitan area -- and I gather it has been done in other Metropolitan areas, to coordinate the row housing plans.

Under Collins Program they have set-up what they call a Fair Share Program which attempts to divide the Federal funds in an equitable basis so that all the jurisdictions get "their fair share" of the housing dollars that are available. Through the coordination, there are a variety of advantages in terms of being -- we have qualified for bonsus funds from HUD for having worked jointly to solve our housing problems. Also, there have been special Outreach Programs developed in counties such as Montgomery County and Fairfax County which have fewer low-income households then some of the other jurisdictions to seek out those people who might be comuting into those jurisdictions but would prefer to live there had they the opportunity.

MS. HASEGAWA: Does Arlington County come under such a plan?

MS. LUNNEY: I guess we -- you mean the areawide---

MS. HASEGAWA: Yes.

MS. LUNNEY: Yes, we do come under the Areawide Assistance Plan.

MS. HASEGAWA: Do you comply with the minimum goal of 15 per cent of total needs?

MS. LUNNEY: The minimum goal of 15 per cent of need is for localities which do not come under AHOB. When you come under an AHOB you're -- I guess your jurisdiction is looked at a little more individualized. Our goal is equivalent to 12 per cent or 12 and one-half percent of the needs as shown on table 2.

MS. HASEGAWA: AHOB is an Areawide Housing Opportunity Plan?

MS. LUNNEY: Yes.

MS. HASEGAWA: What steps have been taken by the Northern Virginia Planning District Commission to further fair housing?

that I mentioned earlier as to the restriction placed by the
Virginia Housing Development Authority on singles in their
home ownership program. They have also acted as a coordinating
agency. They have meetings, say, on roughly a quarterly
basis. Northern Virginia Housing Officials provides a
Forum for exchanging ideas and information on new programs
and they have another step they have taken, also taken on
the local level as trying to get the Virginia Housing
Development Authority to change some of its policies. Right
now, the VHDA does not finance cooperative home ownership
projects. And they also do not finance 20 per cent, Section 8
rental housing. Generally speaking, they are inclined to

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ignore a lot of the problems that are here in Northern Virginia.

MS. CRATER: Do you have some questions?

MR. MILLER: I think I have one of Mr. Dreher. You indicated that Colonial-Terrace -- that 1,200 residents 54.2 per cent have low income with an influx of foreign-born. Then you said you thad a survey and you received 93 responses. Now, my question is, on the basis of this 93 responses, you spent six hundred thousand dollars for a playground?

MR. DREHER: Yes, sir. There are several factors First, although there are 1,200 residents, there are only actually less than 700 dwelling units -- about 680 dwelling units -- and in most cases, these responses just came, one to a dwelling.

Now, there are only, as I said, 88 responses but this is -- I think pretty good percentage for something like this. The reason that we chose the park and playground as first priority was simply because of the priority needs that we had and in response park playground and recreation facilities came out to Number 1 by a wide margin and Number 2 was a branch of the public library, which, of course, the county wasn't ready to support because that's a continuing maintenance problem.

And the 3rd was the street improvements. add, too, that this will serve not only our community. ١.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is on Wilson Boulevard, which is actually the boundary of our area. Across the street sits Tract 17 has actually a slightly larger percentage of low-moderate income families -50 -- 57.3. They were not chosen as the target area immediately because they had no organization. They have in the past year and a half organized and they are currently preparing a request for a block grant over across the street there. But this area of -- community center services both areas, actually.

MR. MILLER: That's very interesting. Are you saying, then, in the are where there is severe housing needs and the county gets the CD grant funds and if there is no organization to represent the people, then, we take it and build playgrounds and golf clubs?...

MR. DREHER: It's not playgrounds and gold clubs actually. It's going to be -- well, the people who specified that their interests in the park and recreation facilities had grilles and picnic tables as Number 2, picnic tables was Number 1, Tennis was Number 2. We're not getting a tennis court because it was regarded as too expensive -- a frill. But grilles and picnic tables were in second place and it's going to be a place where the neighborhood, as I say -- people live in small apartments. They really don't have space. The lawns connected with these buildings are small and they slope and they are not

00 REPORTERS PAP

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people in the area.

MS. HASEGAWA: Mr. Dreher?

very useful. It's going to be sort of a public yard for the

MR. DREHER: Yes.

MS. HASEGAWA: Could we get a copy of the survey and the results?

MR. DREHER: Yes.

MS. HASEGAWA: And, also, you mentioned a number of foreign-born -- influx of foreign-born residents. Was the survey done in any other languages besides English?

MR. DREHER: No. It was done only in English, I must confess.

MR. MILLER: May I ask one more question.

I'm listening to you, Ms. Doherty.

Your neighborhood in Colonial Gardens may be right for the condo movement. What are you doing -- is your organization doing -- to prevent the condo movement from moving into this area?

MS. LUNNEY: Are you referring to me or Ms. Doherty?

MR. MILLER: No, Mr. Dreher.

MR. DREHER: We are not so much concerned in our area about condo conversion and there has been none thus far. There was one abortive effort made -- one person who took an option on a building and advertised and tried to get deposits

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but apparently his financing was pretty thin and it all fell through. The reason we're not particular concerned about condo is because I feel that our buildings -- with two exceptions, they are small, very small buildings. The kind that don't lend themselves to, you know, separate ownership-don't lend themselves so well to condo conversions. What we're most concerned about with was intensive highrise development. And I neglected when I was asked for evidence of success thus far -- I neglected to mention the one wealthy property owner -- resident that I had mentioned who had bought up properties and got this convenant actaully gave up last year and sold his property and moved away and two of the houses have -- his own house -- two of the houses have since been divided up. His own house now has three apartments in it, which are -- well, the rental is on the upper limit of moderate. It's not low rental but it is preserving. The point is that the existing low moderate units were preserved. And the building I live in, for example -- the one-bedroom which I have rents for \$235.00 a month. Notice has gone up for our annual increase which will take it up to \$260.00 which perhaps to the rest of the State might seem too high but for the Washington Area, it's dirt cheap and there are other buildings that I know of -that is a fair average for these Garden Apartment Buildings. up here.

MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you. Were there any other questions?

REVEREND HARRIS: I would like to ask -- you mentioned the rehab program as a part of your housing assistance plan. Did you state the number of rehab units that are included in your plan which -- do you have a three year plan?

MS. LUNNEY: I don't believe that I did. I can give you the breakdown according to the different type of units that are in our three-year goal. 275 new units, which will be 25.7 per cent of the total units -- 636 units rehab which 59.4 per cent of the total goal and 160 existing units which is 14.9 per cent of the total goal.

REVEREND HARRIS: The rehab units of 636 -- they all -- all of the rehabilitation programs expecting to receive funds from Community Development Block Grant Program to exist or are you also applying for other funds such as Section 312?

MS. LUNNEY: We have indicated to HUD that we are interested in this Section 312 Program. We had worked with one property in regard to that which is the cooperative project. The cooperative association decided that they did not want to participate in it at this time so that we do not have any current plans for the use of 312 but it is one of the programs that we know is there -- we know is there and

hope to use.

1.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you.

MS. CRATER: Ms. Lunney, in your annual goals for household types, have you included the women heads of households as a type?

MS. LUNNEY: In the housing assistance plans female heads of households is broken down in Table 2 in terms of need but not in the Table 3 or 5 which provide the goals. I think you could find in the Grantee Performance Report that a substantial number of the people who are assisted fall into the categories of smaller or larger families are, in fact, female heads of households.

MS. HASEGAWA: Why isn't there a goal in female heads of households?

MS. LUNNEY: There is no provision that the goals be set according to anything other then division between owner, renter and with the division between elderly and handicapped -- small family and large family. I think, I guess, that it might get awfully complex in terms of setting up that kind of goal. It might be difficult to deal with in terms of existing properties. If the main thrust of our effort ends up being the rehabilitation of existing units, then you are talking about dealing with the existing records that may, in fact, be such and such a percentage that female had and such and such a percentage of black and that kind of thing but



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certainly you wouldn't want housing goals such that you ended up in the process of rehabing a complex having to force people to move out. MS. HASEGAWA: One final question. It's my understanding that the housing assistance plan is a three-year plan. Is that ---MS. LUNNEY: It's a three-year plan with one table providing three-year goals and then each year individual goals are set for that specific year. MS. HASEGAWA: What year are you in as the current plan? MS. LUNNEY: We're in the first year which would end June 30th. MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you. Any other questions? (No response.) MS. HASEGAWA: I just want to thank the panel for coming and to say that this hearing is just a part of our whole inquiry into the housing matter in Arlington and I hope that all of you will be available to members of the Committee and members of the Commission staff for additional questions, if they should arise.

(Panel members agreeing.)

MR. DREHER: I don't have the copies of our survey

21

22

23

24

25

with me but I'm right across the street. I'll go get them. MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

MS. LUNNEY: I did bring some copies of material which I only have one copy of which I'll provide to Ms. Hoffman.

> MS. HASEGAWA: Thank you.

(The Panel was excused.)

MS. CRATER: I'd like to welcome members of the Panel. I'm Flora Crater and I would have one question I'd like to ask each of you -- the same question.

Ms. Bawden, would you state your name and address. and give a brief description of your past and present involvement in furthering fair housing and community development in Arlington?

MS. BAWDEN: I'm Melodee Bawden, Coordinator of the Sectin 8 existing Housing Program in Arlington. mailing address is 2100 14th Street, North, in the Holmes Building, Room 200, Arlington, Virginia, 22201.

I'm -- at the present time I'm the Coordinator of the Section 8 existing Housing Program, Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Program. I also participate in the staffing of the Housing Advisory Committee appointed by the County Board last Fall to investigate housing problems in Arlington and contribute some suggestions for a solution and I work with the Rental Rehabilitation Program which Fran Lunney

alluded to earlier this morning.

MS. CRATER: Ms. Lowder, would you please state your name and address, and give a brief description of your past and present involvement in furthering fair housing and community development in Arlington?

MS. LOWDER: My name is Frances Lowder and I live at 6106 North 38th Street, Arlington, 22207.

I have lived in Arlington about four years and my involvement has been as a citizen starting in 1978, I believe. I began serving as a representative of persons with physical disabilities on the Advisory Committee for the Community Development Block Grant Program. And I have also been a volunteer at numerous other meetings with the Citizens' Committee of Physically Handicapped Persons that also live in Arlington.

MS. CRATER: Mr. Wright, would you please state your name and address, and give a brief description of your past and present involvement in furthering fair housing and community development in Arlington?

MR. WRIGHT: My name is James Wright. I live at 816 North Illinois Street in Arlington. I'm a Community Planner and I worked in the housing field for approximately 10 years at the local, state and federal level, essentially the three major areas have been Discriminatory Zoning in New Jersey, Urban Renewal in Philadelphia and Washington, D.C.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and to a lesser extent the Multi-family Housing Loan

Program at HUD. I am the Chairman of the Arlington County

Ad Hoc Housing Advisory Committee.

MS. CRATER: Thank you.

Mr. Marr, would you please state your name and address, and give a brief description of your past and present involvement in furthering fair housing and community development in Arlington?

MR. MARR: My name is John Marr and my address is 1618 South 22nd Street here in Arlington and I am the Chairman of the Neighborhood Conservation Advisory Committee of the County which is comprised of the Neighborhood Conservation representatives of the Civic Association, by and large, throughout the County who are constituted under our resolution by the County Board. They meet, have an election and elect their officers and answer directly to the County Board on Neighborhood Conservation matters. We are not the Fair Housing stream but we do interface very much with the Community Development Block Grant System in that the target areas are in the Neighborhood Conservation areas that we And, so, we are involved to that extent in the Housing situation, the community improvement and the preservation of residential neighborhoods throughout the County.

I might just add here that the shaded in areas in yellow and brown represent the neighborhoods in the County

7

that are now members of the program. The darker shaded ones are those that have plans in existence approved by the County Board. The rest of them are working on plans. So, that's who we are there, on the map.

MS. CRATER: Thank you.

Ms. Bawden, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes determinations as to what is an acceptable or adequate vacancy rate for a given community. For Arlington County, what has HUD determined to be an acceptable vacancy rate in owner units?

MS. BAWDEN: I am not familiar with any issuance from HUD that specifically states that a certain vacancy rate is adequate for any given community -- Arlington or any other. There are, however, several rules of thumb that govern this in ordinary analysis. For financial planning purposes, a multi-family housing, for example, anything over a 5 per cent vacancy rate would endanger the stability of a given rental property.

In owner housing, obviously, some similar rules such as more than 5 per cent or a substantial number more then 5 per cent of owner units standing vacant and not selling for long periods of time would indicate stagnation in the community's housing stock. In Arlington the situation is somewhat different. We don't have available to us, data on the number of vacant and available owner units. Our

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

primary emphasis is on the availability of rental housing. We do have statistics there. Council of Governments has indicated that Arlington's rental housing vacancy rate for a multi-family property is 1.37 per cent. I think HUD generally determines that anything in excess of 3 per cent generally represents a housing crisis in housing so this has indicated to us that our rental housing is in short supply and is part of our concern over the status of rental housing and the preservation of housing in the County.

MS. CRATER: Thank you.

What is the intent of Section 8 of the Housing and Community D velopment Act?

MS. BAWDEN: Basically, the Section 8 program was designed as an alternative to traditional public housing approaches and it was designed to work with the private sector to provide housing for low and moderate income I'm most familiar with the Section 8 existing program obviously since I work on that on a day-to-day basis and on that program we certify families as being eligible by income for Section 8. They then take their eligibility certificates and lease units in the private market -- privately owned housed and so long as they can locate a unit within -- within rent limits set by HUD, we are able to help them through the Section 8 program. I think the value of the Program for Arlington is that we do have a

3 . 4

rent limits. And we have been able to house over 460 families through the program at any given time and the program has been operating successfully in Arlington since we began in 1976.

substantial number of older units which now come within HUD's

MS. CRATER: How many people have applied for Section 8 assistance?

MS. BAWDEN: During the period since '76, we have had over 1,000 people come through the program in one form or another. At the present time, as I say, we have over 468 families who are leasing units under the program. There are others who have had certificates and have been assisted and have dropped out of the program for one reason or another by going over income, leaving the community or dying.

In addition to that, we had a number of families who have at one time had a certificate and been unable to lease the unit successfully and so although they haven't had an opportunity, they haven't succeeded in obtaining housing through the program.

In addition to the 460 we are assisting right now, there are almost an equal number on the waiting list for Section 8 certificates.

MS. CRAMER: How do you plan to reduce this number that are on the waiting list?

MS. BAWDEN: Well, it's a difficult problem. This



1->

year in the competition for Section 8 housing is a very popular program or has become with the local jurisdiction and in this round of funding we did not receive any additional Section 8 existing units. We are receiving funding in other Section 8 categories and we did receive a hundred and ten units under the moderate rehabilitation program, which is a variation in Section 8 existing. We were very happy to have that and additionally, we've been slated for 60 units and we requested an additional 50 from HUD, which when another jurisdiction turned down their allocation, we were fortunate to receive those, so we have a fairly large program by standards of something new.

We have also been the third jurisdiction in the United States to bring moderate rehabilitation project under contract. We completed the contract this week and people will begin to be assisted under that in September when rehabilitation is complete.

MS. CRATER: What are your Section 8 limits by family size?

MS. BAWDEN: These are determined for the Metropolitan area -- and I'll read them off by family size. One person household for lower income is \$13,550. For very low income \$8,450.

For a two-person household, the low income limit is \$15,500. The very low, \$9,700. For a three-person



1, ||

·

 $\|$

household, \$17,400 and \$10,900.

For a four-person household, \$19,350 and the very low is \$12,100.

For a five-person household, \$20,550 and very low \$13,050.

The lower income limit for a family of six persons is \$21,800 and \$14,050 very low.

For seven persons, \$23,000 lower, \$15,000 very low.

For eight or more persons, \$24,200 lower. Very low is \$15,950.

MS. CRATER: Has the County utilized the Home

Mortgage Disclosure Act to assist it in identifying the
housing needs of the community or of a particular neighborhood?

MS. BAWDEN: No, we have not used any of the information available to us through the Mortgage Disclosure Act because, frankly, we do not have tools or resources that are adequate to deal with providing home ownership opportunities for low income persons in Arlington. Our housing costs are so high we have emphasized providing rental housing or cooperative housing or some variation on that theme to meet our housing assistance needs for lower income persons. We have, however, used the Community Reinvestment Act in order to gain entry to our local lenders, to educate educate them to the problems of rental housing, to educate

. 22

them on our needs for their cooperation with private owners who may be coming to them for assistance in financing rehabilitation of their properties under the Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Program or other programs that we are considering initiating. And we have found that both written and verbal exchanges with our lenders that we were spurred by the Community Reinvestment Act have been very useful and helpful and during the Housing Forum which occurred last week, for example, several lenders were represented and gave their time to our discussions of the housing problems in Arlington and contributed to that discussion.

And, I think in part, this climate of interest and involvement among the lenders is one that we hope will be a growing thing in the future.

One of the difficulties in dealing with local lenders -- we've been discovering that much of the financing typically provided for apartment units in the Metropolitan area and in Arlington is -- some of it is provided locally and some of it is tradionally provided by insurance companies or non-local lenders. And, in many cases, Savings and Loans in this area are rather small to be undertaking some of the projects that we have in mind, seeking their help to finance. So we are also looking at opportunities for consortium efforts on their part.



2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MS. CRATER: Is that the extent of your involvement in financial institutions?

MS. BAWDEN: Yes. I think it's indicative of the fact that Arlington -- the initiatives in the area of housing are growing. They are in the early stages. We have only recently become an entitlement jurisdiction gaining some resources to deal with housing problems in a multi faceted way. Now that the county has additional funds to contribute towards solving the program, we can better approach lenders and other segments of the community. It's very difficult to leverage when you bring nothing to the process yourselves. Now that we have some resources to work with, I think that we'll be moving farther along in developing new programs. And, so, I think something of where we are right now is reflected by the fact that we were unable to obtain these resources earlier on.

MS. CRATER: Would you like to identify those resources again?

MS. BAWDEN: Well, I think -- obviously, we are here to talk about the Community Development Block Grant Program and the Section 8 Program -- is only four years old in this community and I think HUD is beginning to realize that it must offer to communities a variety of programs that communities have to tailor their resources to meet the specific problems that affect those specific communities.

There are additional sources we would be using if we could but unfortunately whether you're talking about HUD, whether you're talking about VHDA, most of the resources that are available to communities are not tailored to the problems of high classed areas. We would like to use Section 235 Program; we would like to use VHDA Home Ownership Programs, we'd like to use a number of programs here in Arlington but even Section 8, which has been critized for allowing costs that are too high — it's difficult to make that work here in Arlington where land acquisition costs and our acquisition costs on deteriorating Garden Apartments are higher then the finished cost on fully rehabed units in a number of areas.

We looked at, for example, a way to address boarded up and vacant houses in Arlington to try to develop a program that would fix these up and tailor home ownership and the acquisition cost for a vacant boarded up houses that had been sitting sometimes 7 or 8 years in minority neighborhoods was \$24,000 and upwards to \$30,000. And it was impossible for us to find a way to rehab these houses and still finance them through VHDA's mortgage limits, for example.

And so I think we will certainly be pressing all of the resources that are open to us to try to get them shaped in a way that they can work in high class suburban areas so that suburban areas who want to address their housing

1,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

problems will be able to do it.

MS. CRATER: What steps have been taken by the County to geographically disperse low-and-moderate-income units for which community development block grant money has been expended?

MS. BAWDEN: We both with our existing Section 8 Program, generally, and particularly with the rental rehab program which we developed, we've made special efforts to adapt certain policies which we felt would encourage disbursement of the person receiving assistance so that they would not be concentrated in one or two apartment projects or a single family neighborhood. So, when our Section 8 multi-family -- when our Section 8 families are certified, we did not give them a list of the multi-family properties, for example, that are participating in the Section 8 program. Instead, we provide them with a catalogue called the "Apartment Seekers Guide" that lists all the apartments in Arlington. Their rents and locations and amenities because we did not want our families who were desparate to find housing to merely go to those places that were already accepting Section 8. And as a result of this, our Section 8 families now live -- rent from 152 different landlords in the County. And we feel that they have been the ones -- our tenants going out and seeking housing have opened up the rogram in many different apartment complexes. So that when

we developed the rental rehab program, which is a way to bring three-bedroom and four-bedroom units into the program, we decided to try to take some similar steps and when owners come to us with the possibility of our rehabing their rental house, we've been very careful to accept houses in a variety of neighborhoods and -- so, as you study the Grantee Performance Report, you see that out of the 30-some houses that we have rehabed, they're located in approximately 16 different census tracts in Arlington. And many of them are either non-minority neighborhoods or mixed neighborhoods, so that, although we are interested in rehabing houses, our primary concentration has been to provide opportunities all over the county for assisting tenants.

MS. CRATER: What steps have been taken to establish local housing authority to provide assisted housing?

MS. BAWDEN: The County, I think, has gone through a variety of stages in its thinking about housing and how to address the problem. It's only been within, I would say, the last 18 months that serious reconsideration has been given to establishing a housing authority in the County. The League of Woman Voters in its study undertook to address the question of whether the County should re-examine its thoughts on traditional housing authority. And the Housing Advisory Committee will probably address, in its study, some

Į,

aspect of the question of whether Housing Authority would give us an additional resource for stressing our housing.

I think the approach in Arlington has basically been one of developing resources in housing that involved a high level of community involvement. It was thought, for example, that by providing funds to Arlington Housing Corporation and Wesley Housing Development Corporation, which are private non-profit organizations with citizen boards drawn from a wide variety of areas in the community, that this type of approach would be more acceptable.

REVEREND HARRIS: I would like to ask a couple of questions of the Panelists.

Mr. Marr, you indicated or stated in your response to questions that there is a conservation effort going on in some of the neighborhoods within Arlington area or County.

It was also testified to by some others that there has been a movement to convert certain housing units, which conversion results in higher rents, which disallow the lower income --
Arlington people to reside in those units.

Are any of those conversions within the conservation area?

MR. MARR: I can't really say if they are or not.

We have not gotten involved in the conversion problem. It
has not been of sufficient dimension in our organization, I
would have to say, to make it a problem that we felt like

taking up and taking initiatives on. And, so, I would have to say that the bulk of the conservation -- of the conversion has been outside our neighborhood conservation area.

Arlington Village, for example, is one and I don't believe that that is incorporated in any one of our conservation areas.

REVEREND HARRIS: What would be the position of your organization if these conversions were taking place wholesale within your area?

MR. MARR: My judgement is, without discussing it with members of my committee -- my judgement is that we would be against it. We are for conserving neighborhoods.

REVEREND HARRIS: As they are?

MR. MARR: Yes -- in the configuration that
the community wants it in. One of our biggest problems is
the high rise development problem. That's where much of our
attention goes to because these tend to be disruptive of
residential neighborhoods. But we want to preserve Garden
Apartments; we want to preserve what is there. And,
certainly, if it's going to drive the people out, we would
be against it.

REVEREND HARRIS: I have one other question to Mr. Wright.

You indicated that you have a variety of personalities on your committee -- that you may not have at

į,

í

ORM 126 REPORTERS PAPER

your fingertip. Could you supply to this committee the names, race, national origins, sex -- and sex of the members of the Housing Advisory Committee at some later time?

MR. WRIGHT: Sure. I'd also be happy to supply the summary information on the direction that we're taking.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Marr, we were told this morning that there were three distinct black communities in the Arlington area. Would you care to indicate where those black communities are in terms of those preservation charts?

Are any of those black communites part of the preservation?

MR. MARR: Yes.

Nauck is right down here (indicating). Nauck -that is the largest, I think, area of all. Then there's
Highview Park, which is right in here.

They both had neighborhood conservation plans for a long time. They're probably two of the oldest conservation plans that have been approved by the County Board, back in the '60's.

Let's see the other one -- Central Arlington -- right here.

MR. MILLER: All right, thank you. Now, the problem with this is, this is where the confusion is. Could

you tell me succinctly what you mean by preservation -- does that mean freezing the status quo or are you talking about a geographical concept or what about ---

MR. MARR: We're talking about the preservation of a residential neighborhood and all that that entails. For example, we would not like to have it converted to a business organization. To the encroachment of commercialization such as coming in and building business blocks in there, high rise buildings or office buildings of any nature, any commercial nature.

What we would oppose is anything that is covered in the Arlington County Ordinance that has a C attached to it -- Commercial Zoning.

MR. MILLER: It has nothing to do with people and sex and these type of characteristics that are coming into a neighborhoods that have been historically preserved as one character?

MR. MARR: No. What we are interested in -- and I think you hit it correctly -- is the geographic aspect of the community. We want parks, we want playgrounds, we want nice streets, we want good street lighting, we want safety -- the public safety that is necessary for us to remain as the lady put it ---

MR. MILLER: Right. I just wanted to get one clarification. If you say preserve and someone that does not

meet the socio economic character of what you are preserving wants to come in to better his socio economic -- are these groups of people prohibited from coming into what you are trying to preserve?

MR.MARR: No. We would not be -- I alluded earlier and I want to explain what I alluded to earlier -- that the conservation as seen by the civic groups throughout the County may not be the same thing that we are after in the Neighborhood Conservation Program and the Conservation Program would want to maintain, improve and retain the residential character to our neighborhoods. There are some organizations in the County, I'm sure, who would not view low and moderate income housing as being included in that. That is not the position of the Neighborhood Conservation Advisory Committee, however.

MS. CRATER: We're running a little late but I do have a few more questions. I would like to ask Ms. Bawden, what specific steps have been taken to work with landlords, developers, contractors and sub-contractors to further fair housing and access to low-income housing for minorities, women heads of households, the elderly, and the handicapped?

MS. BAWDEN: Well, it's a fairly broad range of questions. I'll try to address the parts that I'm familiar with. Just to offer some examples, we realize, for example, when we were beginning this Section 8 Existing Housing Program

· 24

that cooperation from a wide array of landlords whether they traditionally housed low and moderate income people or not would be required.

We were really anxious to get anything -- at anything that the Section 8 rent limits would accommodate.

And, we held a series of workshops with landlords and provided them with informational materials and actively marketed the program.

We went into negotiations with various landlords who were somewhat resistant to getting involved with federal programs and government red tape and made a series of promises to them in terms of what we would give it in the way of performance, in timeliness of our delivery of rent payments and checks and in businesslike approach to running the program, and we tried to keep those promises. And as a result, we were able to use landlords participating in the program as references to other landlords who might be interested in considering the program and wanted to hear about it from one of their colleagues.

At the same time when we began the Rental Rehab

Program, we went to the realtors in cooperation with our -with Fairfax County and also on our own and held a workshop

to educate the realty company -- realty companies who are
involved in managing rental properties to let them help us -alert their owners to the existence of the rental rehab

J·^

•

_

.

of our approach. We also, sometimes with the cooperation, sometimes without -- have produced this guide which is our Apartment Seekers Guide, our catalogue to all the rentals -- rental apartments in the County. And I think this is our way of saying that Arlington is a community which is open to all people and we want everyone to have as much housing information as they need to find a place to live here.

program as a resource. And they were able to bring us a

number of referrals which eventually resulted in rentals

from Section 8 tenants, and this basically has been typical

And many landlords have come to appreciate this publication and help us in the distribution of it and the compilation of it every year. They cooperate by returning a post card to us to give us this information that we require.

I think that our ability to work with developers is somewhat limited by the type of activity that's going on here in Arlington right now. We wish we had more developers to work with. One of the problems that we have had in trying to induce developers to participate in the Section 8 program, for example, either rehab or a new construction. We've had several problems. One being -- I would say the high cost here in Arlington make it difficult for them to pull off a project successfully without risk.

Secondly, there's an orientation toward elderly

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

•

housing -- a provision of elderly housing when our emphasis is family housing and do a great deal of jawboning with developers on the need for emphasis on family housing and trying to dissuade proposals that will only meet our elderly housing needs. We have been exploring, in our discussions, both in the Housing Advisory Committee and our Housing Forum and in our various context with people in the industry, basically asking the question, what can the county do that will' provide you with an adequate incentive to either develop housing for families to use HUD programs, HUD Insurance Programs or Grant Assistance Programs -- what could we provide you that would be adequate incentive to fix up your building, to remain rental, to help us preserve rental housing in the County -- and I think that's a question we will be asking louder and more often because, quite frankly, we haven't had a good answer to that question right now from anyone.

MS. CRATER: What actions have been taken by the County to facilitate the prevention of discrimination -- say, the sale of housing?

MS. BAWDEN: I'm not the best person to answer that question, frankly, because I'm not involved in areas that relate to sale of housing or the county's Fair Housing effort per se. I think Mr. Ingram or perhaps others would be better able to answer that question.

MS. CRATER: You would not be able to answer as far as rental housing or financing of housing?

MS. BAWDEN: Well, I think that in terms of rental housing what can I point to but who our programs are serving and I think that who we are serving is our best testimony to what our policies have been and where we've been successful.

I have some statistics on who is being served by the existing program in terms of target groups -- Section 8 -- would you be interested in those?

MS. CRATER: (Nodding.)

You don't have to read them as long as we have them for the record.

MS. BAWDEN: I can do them very quickly. 93 per cent of our households -- our assisted households are very low income -- this is the Section 8 Assistance Program, now.

63 per cent are small families -- 62 per cent of our small families and 72 per cent of our large families are minorities.

36 per cent of our households are black, four and a half, oriental, 7 per cent Spanish.

75 per cent of our assisted households are female headed, so I think, given the composition of Arlington's population as compared with who is being served by the Section 8 program, we are exceeding their representation in

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arlington's population, as a whole and being successful in meeting the needs of the groups that have the most difficult time in securing housing in a tight market.

MS. CRATER: I want to note that the Committee's inquiry into the housing matters in Arlington County is continuing and I trust that all of you will be available to Members of the Committee and staff, should additional questions arise.

Mr. Marr, would you be available for further questioning?

MR. MARR: Yes.

MS. CRATER: Mr. Wright?

MS. CRATER: Yes, I'd like to make a statement. Actually, I'd like to pose a question to the Commission. Many of the problems that we are experiencing in Arlington with relationship to low and moderate income housing focus on the inability of many programs to work here -- federal programs and state programs. And the more we try to put packages together and mechanisms together, the more we find that the realities of the housing market and, in turn, investment in general, are defeating us. So, basically, the question that I'd like to pose is: When is the Federal Government going to formulate a housing policy that not only includes low and moderate income housing but all housing -not that upper income housing groups need the assistance but

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the basic policy towards those groups is having a major impact on low and moderate income housing and those programs to work.

MS. DUNN: Well, Mr. Wright, you've posed a question of the Committee that has no jurisdiction, whatsoever, to

of the Committee that has no jurisdiction, whatsoever, to answer a question of that nature. The Commission on Civil Rights monitors activities at the federal level as well and we may be asking the same question of the federal agencies that you posed, but we are in no position whatsoever to respond.

Thank you, though, for that question.

MS. CRATER: Ms. Bawden, would you be available for further questions?

MS. BAWDEN: Yes.

MS. CRATER: Ms. Lowder, would you?

MS. LOWDER: Yes, I would.

MS. CRATER: Thank you very much.

REVEREND HARRIS: Well, we want to thank the panel and excuse this panel. Thank you for coming and giving your time and energy and efforts. You are excused at this time.

(The Panel was excused.)

REVEREND HARRIS: Let us prepare for the next Panel, please.

MR. MILLER: Is that Ms. Joan Linderman.

Would you tell us who you are, your address and what your present position is, please, and experience that

1.

would qualify you as an expert at this Hearing.

MS. LINDERMAN: Thank you. My name is Joan Linderman, 2100 14th Street, North, Holmes Building, Arlington, Virginia. I am presently Community Development Supervisor for the County and I have been in charge of the Community Development Block Grant Program.

Prior to that I was Executive Director of the Fair Housing Board for Arlington County. I preceded Mr. Ingram who was here this morning and have been with the County for the last six and a half years.

MR. MILLER: All right, thank you very much.

Would you please describe the authority under which the County has engaged in essential community development and housing activities?

MS. LINDERMAN: In 1976, the County got enacted by the State Legislature a law which provided that local jurisdictions may participate in the Community Development Block Grant Program, it may undertake any of the Community Development activities eligible under that program and that gave us the enabling legislation to participate in the program.

MR. MILLER: Now, has the County entered into any cooperative agreements with surrounding jurisdictions in order to qualify as an entitlement community for CDBG funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: No.

MR. MILLER: All right. Who monitors what is

1-

required for compliance with federal, state and local fair housing laws in Arlington County?

MS. LINDERMAN: Mr. Ingram, as Director -- as the Executive Director of the Fair Housing Board is responsible for compliance with Fair Housing Laws. In addition to any role that HUD has in enforcing the federal fair housing law in regard to Community Development activities, it would be my staff, the Community Development staff that was involved ensuring that any of the housing programs that we were involved in, complied with the law.

In addition, any of the housing projects that would also get federal funds under the Section 8 program would also have to qualify with affirmative action and other fair housing activities under that program.

MR. MILLER: All right.

Now, would you briefly describe the process by which the community development application is prepared and submitted to HUD?

MS. LINDERMAN: It's a long process and I'll try and make it short. We start the development of the application in the Summer, which is about the time that we got our previous program just approved by HUD. It's hard for citizens to understand that the process does take a long-time. In the target neighborhoods my planning staff works with neighborhood — with target neighborhood committees from

ŀ.

the neighborhood to work on developing their proposals for their funding for the coming year.

While that is going on, we send out to about 400 organizations and citizens, information about the application process, giving them the timetable and information about the program and soliciting proposals from them.

In addition, other county agencies are informed about the process and are invited to submit any proposals that they would like to make for the Community Development Program -- for instance, the Housing Services Office, does develop a number of its own proposals. All of these proposals, both from the staff and the citizens are submitted to the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee. The deadline last year in our process was around the beginning of October.

When they're submitted to the committee, the staff first looks at them and reviews them for eligibility under the HUD regulations. Then, the committee meets rather frequently for several months reviewing all the proposals, discussing them and discussing the merits and how they respond to identified needs in Arlington.

At around January or so, the committee developes its recommendations to the County Board on what proposals they feel should be funded and for how much. This is submitted to the County Board in around early February. The County Board holds a public hearing on the application and

Į

on the Citizens' Advisory Committee recommendations. At that point, it makes it final recommendations — the County Board makes the final decision on what should be included in the application. It is then submitted for A-95 review around the beginning of March and then to HUD around mid-April. Our program year starts July 1. And then we start the process again.

MR. MILLER: Thank you. It's rather involved.

Who actually determines the community development budget?

MS. LINDERMAN: Under the CD Program, the County
Board makes the final decision. And, as I said, there are
recommendations from the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee and
from the County Manager.

MR. MILLER: Also, from earlier testimony, any units in the community eligible to apply to this process — the preservation people over here I understood applied for CD funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: Right. Anyone can apply. There are certain requirements. For example, they must principally benefit low and moderate income persons so some of the communities in the Neighborhood Conservation Program, for instance, would — the neighborhoods would not be eligible because they would not be low or moderate income neighborhoods. As long as it's an eligible activity under the HUD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Regulations and principally benefits low or moderate income persons, then, it would be 'eligible for CD funding.

MR. MILLER: There seems to be a lot of groups overlapping here. Would you clarify one point. You have your Community Development Citizens' Advisory Committees, then we have the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee. Could you tell us how they distinguish---

MS. LINDERMAN: Well, the County has a long history of Citizen Advisory Committee and citizen's involvement in the County affairs. I don't know what the current number is but there must be 20 or 30 Advisory Committees to the County Board. And you are seeing some of the results of that. It's an effort by the County Board to get as much citizen input in various areas as they can. The CD Citizens' Advisory Committee was specifically set-up to advise the County Board on the Community Development Block Grant Program so that it is involved in the planning and the implementation of that program and to provide citizen input into that.

It gets involved somewhat into housing activities and into neighborhood improvement activities as they interface with CDBG Program. Groups like the Ad Hoc, Housing Advisory Task Force, were set-up to address the particular needs and advise the County Board on the large problem in the community that overlaps many areas. It includes not

1.

MP WILLER.

only what the CD Program can do but other programs and other efforts the county might make in the area of low and moderate income housing.

The Neighborhood Conservation Advisory Committee is set—up to advise the County Board on the implementation of the Neighborhood Conservation Program. So, as I said, the County has a lot of advisory committees. We try and ensure that there's cooperation in communication between the groups by having cross representation. Mr. Marr is on the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee, for instance, so there is that communication between the two programs because there is over—lap.

MR. MILLER: All right, thank you.

Is the community development budget subject to review or approval by the County?

MS. LINDERMAN: The County Board is the one who approves the CD Budget.

MR. MILLER: And how does it approve it -- just as a simple review or ---

MS. LINDERMAN: Well, as I said, the CD Citizens'
Advisory Committee submits a proposed program which outlines
the program — the activities and the amount of funding that
should be given to different projects. And that is reviewed
by the County Board at a Public Hearing.

MR. MILLER: I think what I am getting after -- what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

constitutes review, could the County Board eliminate an activity at this stage or could it reduce it?

MS. LINDERMAN: Yes, the County Board has total authority under the HUD Legislation to approve the Community Development Program. The CD Advisory -- Citizens' Advisory Committee is an Advisory Committee only.

MR. MILLER: Fine. What is the role of the Northern Virginia Planning District Commission?

MS. LINDERMAN: They are one of the A-95 Review Agencies so they get a copy of our application and are given 45 days to review and comment. And their comments are then submitted with the application to HUD.

MR. MILLER: All right.

And, what is the role of the Washington Council of Governments?

MS. LINDERMAN: The same. They are an A-95 Review I believe Ms. Bozman also went into how, under the Metropolitan Area Housing Opportunity Plan, we participate in that and under that program we do get -- this Metropolitan Area does get bonus Community Development funds so that these Areawide CD Grants are more directly controlled by the Council of Governments in their recommendations on who should get funded under that program, have a light of weight under the federal guidelines but those are special discretionary bonus CD funds and under the entitlement program it would just

ĵ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be part of the A-95 review process.

MR. MILLER: All right.

Mr. Harris.

REVEREND HARRIS: You referred to the A-95 review—there is a process for that review and that process impacts on the Community Development Block Grant Program. Could you tell us the process — tell us how it impacts on the Community Development Block Program?

MS. LINDERMAN: The A-95 process requires that certain applications for federal assistance are reviewed by Regional Planning Agencies to see whether they're in accordance with those Regional Plans. The Community Development Program is one of those Grant applications that's reviewed so that we're required to send our Community Development applications to three Regional Planning Agencies, the Council of Governments, the Northern Virginia Planning District Commission and the National Capitol Planning Commission. They receive our application and review it for whether it is in accordance with regional plans that have been adopted by this Metropolitan Region. They then submit those comments to HUD, they go with our application and HUD takes them into account when they decide to fund or approve a grant application.

REVEREND HARRIS: What steps have been taken to strengthen the A-95 review process for the CDBG application?

l

MS. LINDERMAN: I'm not really aware of any, per se, other than perhaps lengthening the time that the Regional Planning Agencies have to review our application is now 45 days. I'm not familiar with anything other than that.

REVEREND HARRIS: I think it has already been indicated that Arlington did receive its first money, not as an entitlement community -- as an entitlement community in 1977. When was this first receipt?

MS. LINDERMAN: We began as a discretionary community in the beginning of the CD Program in 1975. We received three discretionary grants for a total of the three years of six hundred and five thousand. We received two separate Areawide bonus CD Grants which I alluded to before for a total of five hundred and fifty thousand dollars. In our entitlement, we started in 1978, after the CD Act was re-authorized in '77. We have received two entitlement grants and we're about to begin our third entitlement program on July 1, 1980. Our two entitlements we received so far a total of five million, three hundred and twenty thousand dollars.

REVEREND HARRIS: Do you have the total that was received from the inception of ---

MS. LINDERMÁN: All these grants?

REVEREND HARRIS: Yes.

MS. LINDERMAN: Six million, four hundred and

seventy-five thousand dollars.

REVEREND HARRIS: Did the County use local general revenue for planning community development activities prior to Arlington's receiving CDBG money and in what amount?

MS. LINDERMAN: I haven't researched this to find out what has been done in the history of the county. I am aware of one. The County used general revenue of ten thousand dollars to do a study for the Boston Target Neighborhood to determine what was the best circulation and design for the street improvements and that would be slated for that community. It's impacted by a lot of traffic and it's near a Metro Station and so the county brought on a consultant to look at the best way to cut through, to limit —to minimize, cut through traffic and design the streets to enhance the neighborhood and some of those streets will now be funded by Community Development funds.

REVEREND HARRIS: Did the first opportunity for the expenditure of money for the kinds of activities now carried out in the neighborhoods or target areas occur with the receipt of CDBG funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: No. The Neighborhood Conservation Program that you've heard about today, was enacted in 1964, and that was totally local general revenue.

REVEREND HARRIS: Please identify any activities, presently funded by CDBG money, which were previously funded

by the County?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LINDERMAN: There isn't a specific project that is now funded by the CD. The County, through the Neighborhood Conservation Program had done some street improvements in the Nauck Neighborhood and we are now under CD doing some additional things in that neighborhood. the only one that I can think of. It's not -- there wasn't any replacement for a particular street but those kinds of activities at Nauck were funded under the Neighborhood Conservation Program. And announced under Community Development.

REVEREND HARRIS: Are there any present community development funded activities which also receive funding by the County either from its local general revenues, by the state allocated funds, or by revenue sharing funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: There are several. improvements in the target neighborhoods, while they receive the overwhelming majority from CD funds, receive a small amount of Neighborhood Conservation funds for that portion which will be assessed against home owners. So, it receives a small amount of Neighborhood Conservation funds. We are doing some improvements to the Drew Center in the Kauck neighborhood and part of that building is both the recreation center and the school and so county funds will be used for -- we're going to air-condition the recreation

k

17.

center and the gym. And that gym is also used by the school so that the County -- we are prorating the cost of that project. The County funds will be used for a portion of the cost in the air-conditioning that will benefit the school, too.

Also, in FY-81, the County has approved a business development specialist to assist the Nauck Neighborhood. Part of that business development specialist will be funded by about one-quarter -- one-quarter of it will be funded by County funds and three-quarters by CD funds.

REVEREND HARRIS: If HUD approves the present budget as submitted with your application for the next time around, how much money do you anticipate receiving for your next entitlement year?

MS. LINDERMAN: Two million, nine hundred sixty-one thousand dollars.

REVEREND HARRIS: What resources are available for the purposes of neighborhood revitalization Community

Development funds, other federal money, state funds, local funds, private funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Definitely CD funds -- as you've heard, we have target neighborhood programs and we help other neighborhoods. Other federal money -- right now we are not receiving any directly other than Section 8 assistance which would tie in

with our CD rehab funds. We are seeking some innovative grants, CD funds, for the Nauck area for they are having a competition right now for energy conservation. We are seeking some funds for the commercial — the neighborhood commercial area in the Nauck neighborhood as well as some funds for energy conservation in our low and moderate income apartments which will tie in with our CD rental rehab program. When we bring on the business development specialist, who worked with the commercial area in Nauck, we hope that we will be able to tap some funds from SBA and EDA in addition to the CD funds that have been slated for that neighborhood commercial area.

In terms of state funds, none specifically for neighborhood revitalization.

Local funds is the Neighborhood Conservation

Program which you've heard about and the Apartment

Conservation Program which is being used in conjunction with

some rehab programs.

In private funds, we have sought through our Rental Rehab Program a commitment from the landlords to match the CD funds that are used for the rehab of the multifamily and single-family buildings and we have used that very effectively in some of our low and moderate income neighborhoods.

In addition, the Arlington Housing Corporation has

a corporation agreement with the Bank of Virginia for some funds for their rehab program for low and moderate income homeowners which is funded by CD, so we have tried to tap some of these sources also. We need to do a lot more on that.

It seems like, as Ms. Bawden said that, 2.9 million dollars is a lot of money but as you've heard today, there are a lot of needs in the community and this doesn't go very far and so we need to look more and more on how to leverage them.

MR. MILLER: All right, how much money does the County spend per capita from its local general revenue for community development projects in the target areas and in the County as a whole?

MS. LINDERMAN: I don't have that information with me. I'd have to research that and give it to you.

MR. MILLER: Thank you.

How have local general reveneue funds been used to explore alternative ways of using community development funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: I'd say, basically, through the support of the Housing Services Office — the staff there is almost totally supported by local revenues and that staff has been researching various programs and has made proposals for a number of programs. Housing Programs, specifically for

ľ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

areas?

the use of CD funds.

MR. MILLER: All right. What provision is made by the County to assure that the residents of the targeted area neighborhoods of the community development plans obtain general revenue money from the County for neighborhood activities that are also funded in areas outside the target

MS. LINDERMAN: Generally, at this point, the target neighborhoods are receiving almost totally Community Development funds to fund their neighborhood revitalization plans. Certainly, they could seek other funds but at this point -- other then the few instances that I mentioned, are receiving almost totally Community Development funds for their programs.

MR. MILLER: Are there minority low and moderate income census tracts or neighborhoods that do not receive CDBG funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: Yes. I would say that they do not receive the Neighborhood Revitalization funds through our rehab programs which are county-wide. They would receive -they would certainly be rehab projects scattered throughout the County but in terms of public neighborhood improvements. we have not hit every neighborhood.

MR. MILLER: But does the community development budget become part of the County's Capital Improvement Plan?

MS. LINDERMAN: Yes, it does.

MR. MILLER: Does it become integrated into the CIP in any manners?

MS. LINDERMAN: It is included in the CIP. We are looking towards, in future years -- right now it has kind of a separate sheet in the community -- in the Capital Improvements Plan. And we are looking towards, in next years process, of including all the projects that are proposed on the existing Capital Improvement categories so that it is totally integrated. Right now, as I said, it has a separate sheet and a separate category.

MR. MILLER: What is the timetable for the planning of the community development and CIP budget?

MS. LINDERMAN: As I mentioned earlier, I went through kind of a schedule for the planning of the CD Program. The Capital Improvement Program starts around -- about -- well, I should say since we start in the Summer, the Capital Improvement Plan is developed in the Fall, submitted to the County Board around January or February, around the time that we are submitting our -- Advisory Committee is submitting their proposal for the CD Budget. At that point the Board approves it in February and where it doesn't approve the Capital Improvements Plan until about April or May -- at the time it approves the County Budget.

] ,"

MR. MILLER: What role has citizen participation played in the budgetary and decision-making processes?

MS. LINDERMAN: As I alluded to and as I discussed the schedule of developing the application, the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee is the one that puts together a program in breaking down the 2.9 million dollars and submits its recommendations to the County Board. That Committee represents a large number of different groups in the community.

In addition, there are county — there are — the Advisory Committee and County Board both hold hearings on the program. We hold neighborhood meetings in the target neighborhoods to work on their programs, there are target neighborhood sub-committees made up of citizens from the neighborhood. We also, as I mentioned, send out about 400 to 500 announcements in packets to various citizen groups and organizations who can then submit proposals. So, all of these together form the citizen participation process for the program.

MR. MILLER: Would you say that any of this type of citizen participation influenced a particular community project?

MS. LINDERMAN: I'd say, definitely. Historically, well historically we've been in the entitlement program for two years now but there have been very little changes made by the County Board in the program proposed by the CD Citizens

Ť

Advisory Committee.

MR. MILLER: Please describe the recommendations made by the County Manager in the use of CDBG money and by the County Board?

MS. LINDERMAN: I don't know how to summarize that. The program includes many activities in it, both housing and neighborhood revitalization and other special projects. So, there are recommendations in each of these areas. I have copies of our applications and a summary chart that I can give you that summarizes the last two entitlement programs and the one that was just approved for the following year.

Our program has three major thrusts to it. I can say that. It is in the area of preserving and improving low to moderate income housing and in FY-81 over 50 per cent of our program will go to housing activities.

The other major chunk is for target neighborhoods -comprehensive neighborhoods in the target neighborhoods.

There are -- there have been some projects that have been
funded -- a limited number of isolated programs for low and
moderate income neighborhoods. The other categories are
special projects either for the handicapped have to meet
special needs, whether it be emergency housing, Arlington
Community Action Programs, organization programs, the
handicapped study and the funds that have been set aside for

meeting the needs of the physically handicapped. So those are the three major areas that have been mapped out by the county staff and the Citizens' Advisory Committee and so the recommendations revolve around those three major areas.

MR. MILLER: Would you say, then, that the County Board and the Citizen Boards do more recommending and is the Board that actually approves the actual program as opposed to recommending -- the County Board?

MS. LINDERMAN: The County Board has the -- is the one that must approve the program.

MR. MILLER: All right.

I guess you answered that. Also, what has happened to these recommendations?

MS. LINDERMAN: Which recommendations -- this Advisory Committee?

MR. MILLER: Right.

MS. LINDERMAN: As I said, there have only been minor changes that have been made in the program recommended by the Advisory Committee by the County Board.

MR. MILLER: All right, then, what are some of the criteria used in allocating the CDBG funds?

MS. LINDERMAN: I alluded to them when I described the three major areas.

As you've heard all of the major testimony so far, the major concern is in housing in low and moderate income



.

18

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

10

.TI

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21"

.22

23

24

25

١,

2

3

4

5

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used.

housing so a lot of the programs are looked at in whether they meet that major need of the program -- whether it is a target program neighborhood, HUD urges us not to scatter our neighborhood improvement program county-wide but to try to make them comprehensive coordinated programs so you have a real impact on a particular neighborhood so we look at whether or not the project is in a new target neighborhood. If it's not in a target neighborhood, how much will it benefit low and moderate income persons, how much is the project a priority need in that neighborhood in terms of the trade offs with other projects that are submitted, the other areas where it addresses the needs of the physically and mentally handicapped, which is another major objective -these are some of the criteria that are used by the Citizens' Advisory Committee in evaluating projects. Whether the money will be effective in meeting that identified objective of the project. Basically, those are some of the criteria

> MR. MILLER: All right, thank you.

REVEREND HARRIS: I have a few more questions for However, if you'd like to have a drink of water ---(Remarks off the record.)

REVEREND HARRIS: Let me ask you, how are the priorities set for allocating Community Development Block Grant money?

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTERS PAPER

MS. LINDERMAN: I'd say the priorities are set by identified needs from the community, Citizens' Advisory Committee representing some of those needs, listening to those needs at Public Hearings. There is a dialogue between citizens and the county staff to look at how best to address various priorities in the community and how the Community Development Program, specifically, can or cannot be used to address these priorities

REVEREND HARRIS: Would you say, then, that a particular citizens' group that might appear at public hearing of the Citizens' Advisory Committee and not be included in the recommendations to the County Board -- that that Committee could again appear at a County Board Public Hearing to make its pitch again?

MS. LINDERMAN: Oh, very definitely. Citizens -that's the purpose of the Public Hearing to give them access to the Citizens Advisory Committee to the County Board and as I said, it's ultimately the County Board that makes the Traditionally, we have found the proposals decisions. submitted are about three times the amount of money that we have and so there are some very hard choices made by the Citizen Advisory Committee. They spend a lot of time discussing and weighing and it's very difficult to decide which one to fund and which one not to fund -- which one to recommend. Not everyone can be funded and, as I said, it

1.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are the most crucial needs for a particular project and who will the project benefit and how many people will benefit and how effective it will be.

REVEREND HARRIS: What role do residents within

sounds ludicrous to say that 2.9 million is not enough

money, but they do try and set priorities in terms of what

the target area or neighborhood have in deciding the amount of money -- CDBG money allocated to their neighborhood?

MS. LINDERMAN: Basically, the proposal for the target neighborhoods come from the target neighborhood sub-committees and these sub-committees are made up of residents of the area. In addition, there are -- we hold neighborhood meetings in each of the neighborhoods. a flyer to every household in the neighborhood informing them of the neighborhood meeting. In the beginning of the planning process so that these neighborhood sub-committees could get input from their co-residents and also when they come up with a program that they're going to propose to the County, there has been another neighborhood meeting held to discuss what they are going to propose. The planning staff works with these neighborhood sub-committees and gives them planning assistance and program development assistance but, basically, it's -- the proposal does come from the neighborhood. This proposal, as I said, is then reviewed by the Citizens' Advisory Committee. Each of the target neighborhoods

have a representative on the Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee then makes a recommendation to the County Board. It may be the same as the neighborhood proposed, more or less. It could vary. It is intended to be — the Committee tends to accept the neighborhood's proposal though it may make some minor changes as it tries to fit in the dollars of all the neighborhoods and organizations making proposals and, then, it's always through the County Board and the neighborhood can go to the County Board, and testify and — before the County Board to effect their decision on how much is

REVEREND HARRIS: After the allocation is made, then the citizens -- do the citizens have the opportunity to decide how the allocated money is to be expended and, if so, what provisions are made to inform them about the purpose for which County General Revenues, state and other funds are available for use in that area?

allocated to that neighborhood.

MS. LINDERMAN: Those are kind of two different questions. It varies depending on the particular project, how much citizen input there is in the actual expenditure of the funds. Normally, the project is expended for what it was allocated for so there is not much variation. If it's a public improvement, then, the neighborhood that's getting that public improvement would be involved in the development of the site plan, for instance, or the design of the streets.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There would be a neighborhood meeting held on that particular design or the site plan to get their input on the details of what was allocated for, let's say, an improvement of a park.

For instance, the County has funded a Tenant Purchase

Assistance Fund which provides planning and other assistance to tenant groups seeking to buy the building. That's a fund that's set-up so the particular tenant groups that would get funding under that, the CD Citizens Advisory Committee would have some input into that and then it would go to the County Board at a public meeting to decide.

Some of the projects are implemented by citizens and organizations so the citizens involved in those organizations have a very direct role in how that particular project -- how that money is allocated to them would be expended. For instance, the Arlington Housing Corporation is a citizens' non-profit organization and their Citizen Board is very active in that and they get a considerable amount of funds and they actually implement the program, whether it is a rehab loan or grant program for low and moderate income homeowners, they actually run that program. They get money to develop low and moderate income housing so that they have control over what they want to do with their funds. Obviously, with some input by county staff so that it would vary from project to project on the citizen input in the actual expenditure as opposed to the allocation for a 1,

different particular project.

1,4

In terms of provisions made to inform citizens about what county general revenues are available, most of the neighborhoods we've worked with are available, different programs have been involved in this in the past. Certainly, if we are aware of any, the planning staff informs citizens of the funding that might be available.

REVEREND HARRIS: You indicated that certain meetings -- public meetings are held for the target areas and the Citizens' Advisory Committee.

Also for the County Board.

where are these various meetings held and who makes the decision of where the meeting is to be held?

MS. LINDERMAN: The target neighborhood meetings are held in the neighborhood. The decision is normally made by the target neighborhood committee chairman, a representative from the neighborhood and is usually held in a community facility within the neighborhood. The CD Citizens' Advisory Committee Meetings, the decision would be by the committee. They are usually held in the Court House building because it's in a central location and it is also one of the facilities that is accessible — not totally, but one of the best facilities that we have that are accessible to the physically handicapped.

They have held several of their meetings at other

11.

facilities but they have found that they are at one part of the county or another, whereas the court house is kind of in the center of the county and so they have been holding their meetings there.

The County Board Hearings are held in the County Board Room, also in the Court House.

REVEREND HARRIS: What efforts are made to publicize these meetings?

Are efforts made to provide this information in language other than English and, if not, are interpreters present at these meetings to interpret the English for those who don't speak English or understand it?

MS. LINDERMAN: In terms of publicizing these meetings, we try every way we can think of. When we do our mail out to 400 organizations, we include there the schedule for the meetings so we try and reach all those groups. We do display ads in both local papers and in the Washington Star and the Washington Post.

In terms of neighborhood meetings, we now have the capacity to doing a mail-out to every household by resident in each of the target neighborhoods. We put up notices in the County's recreation centers and library, which are throughout the County. We asked County Agencies to distribute the information to anybody that participates in their programs.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That'a about all the ones that I can think of right now. In terms of translating our notices in English, we haven't done as much as we would have liked to have done. We did do some of the Colonial-Terrace notices in Spanish. Although we didn't get any turnout as a result of that. We have had any interpreters at our meetings for foreign languages. We have had interpreters for the deaf at a number of our meetings and hearings.

REVEREND HARRIS: How is continuity of citizen input assured in County Planning?

MS. LINDERMAN: Basically, we use the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee as providing that continuity on a County-wide basis and through our target neighborhood committees for each of the target neighborhoods.

That way we can assure continuity. We get a wider range when we do our neighborhood meetings and our hearings but you find people come and go in those so our committees are our principal mechanism for providing continuity, in particular people over a long period of time in the program.

REVEREND HARRIS: What is the purpose for obtaining, reviewing and responding to citizen input regarding specific proposals, regarding complaints -- formal or informal?

MS. LINDERMAN: In terms of ---

REVEREND HARRIS: Oh, I'm sorry. The word is "process". What is the process?

B. MFG. CO. REPORTERS PA k

input?

17.

MS. LINDERMAN: The process for obtaining citizen

REVEREND HARRIS: (Nodding.)

MS. LINDERMAN: Well, I think I probably mentioned a number of those. It's the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee, it's the hearing on the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee holds a hearing on the proposals that have been submitted to give citizens an opportunity to respond and discuss the specific proposals that have been submitted after they've been submitted, the County Board Public Hearings at which citizens can have comment on proposals that have been submitted.

In terms of complaints, the informal complaints usually come to the staff and we try to resolve them whenever we can, however we can; in terms of formal complaints, the County staff responds to them and the staff -- our response has been given to the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee so they can make a judgement on those, on whether they think we have adequately responded to them.

REVEREND HARRIS: What steps have been taken by the County to coordinate its CDBG actions with the needs of minorities, women heads of household, the elderly and handicapped for health care, education, recreation, and employment, and day care — both children and elderly?

MS. LINDERMAN: In terms of coordination, we try to inform and solicit proposals for any group and county

agencies that are involved in looking at these various areas so that if they want to propose anything, they might be eligible under the CD Program program, it would give them that opportunity and solicit their proposals. That's the process that we use.

In terms of what we have actually done, there have been some things — we are somewhat restricted under the CD Program since many of those areas that you mentioned will be public service type of activities and under the HUD Regulations, we can only support public services in the target neighborhoods. We can't provide Capital money for, for instance, the acquisition of a facility or the rehab of a facility for some of those programs.

The County has a day care program for the elderly and the first discretionary grant we had provided funds for-removing some of the architectural barriers to get into the building for that program.

In terms of recreation, we have funded a number of recreation projects in the target neighborhoods as well as some outside the target neighborhood — one about a hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the Hyde neighborhood was a recreational project and also for the Central Arlington Neighborhood, both of which are minority communities.

The elderly program, we've done a number of housing rehab programs. I mentioned the architectural barriers for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

.23

24

25

the Madison Center and also for the Drew Center, which has an elderly program -- a nutrition program in it. usually through a Capital Rehab or improvement program that we can best provide that assistance to these programs.

Also, a number of those groups I should mention, are represented on the CD Citizens' Advisory Committee. For instance, the Commission on Aging has a representative; the Arlington Community Action Program has a representative on the Committee, both the mentally and the physically handicapped have representatives on the Committee and their representation it is hoped that through that that they will ensure that the program responds to the needs of those communities in those target groups.

REVEREND HARRIS: Ms. Crater.

MS. CRATER: Regarding the last question and response, does the County Board have specific guidelines that it must follow in appointing members to the Citizens' Advisory Board?

MS. LINDERMAN: That -- the HUD Regulations just provide that they should have representation from various target groups. The way the committee has structured it in Arlington, in order to make the Committee fairly -- the individuals on the committee fairly independent from the County Board in terms of the political process, the Committee is constituted so that organizations and neighborhoods

1,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a seat on the committee and that the individual -- the actual individuals representing those organizations or neighborhoods or groups is designated by that organization so that that particular person on the Advisory Committee is not controlled by the County Board -- just the organization. So that, for instance, the three target neighborhoods on there -- I can give you a copy of the breakdown of the Committee in terms of the organizations that are on it but, for instance, target neighborhoods are on it, there are some neighborhoods that are not target neighborhoods that have a large percentage of low and moderate income population that are on the committee that happen also to be minority neighborhoods. The Arlington Community Action Program which works on behalf of the needs of the low income population in the county, the tenants of Arlington County, the Tenant Landlord Commission to represent tenant's interest which are predominatly, because of our moderate cost rental stock, many of them low to moderate income, some county-wide civic groups such as the League of Women Voters and the Civic Federation and Planning Commission, Neighborhood Conservation Committee, the Arlington Housing Corporation, which was set-up to address the needs of the low and moderate income housing, the physically -- one representative -- one representing the physically handicapped, one representing the Task Force for the mentally handicapped -- I don't know whether I missed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any that I can think of but those are the constitution of the Committee and, as I said, each one of those organizations then designate the person it wants to represent its interests.

Oh, I should mention a couple of others I thought The Spanish speaking community is on the Committee and the Commission on Aging.

REVEREND HARRIS: How about the female heads of households?

MS. LINDERMAN: I think we've missed a group that's specifically -- that specifically represents that particular group. I think a lot of the organizations that I've mentionedtheir client groups in the groups their interests overlap. For instance, the housing needs -- women heads of households are -- have a great housing need then the Arlington Housing Corporation would represent theres. I think the Arlington Community Action Program probably works a lot with the female heads of households and the low income population so their needs would be represented as they represent the low income.

Spanish speaking community -- various groups like that so that there's not one organization that works specifically for female heads of households other than perhaps the Commission on the Status of Women, which you're not on the committee. Certainly, if you're interested in being on the committee, a number of groups that when the

3 4 5

L

committee was first established and became an entitlement jurisdiction since the number of groups have either sought representation themselves or have been added by the County Board on the County Board's own initiative and certainly, if the Commission is interested — probably indicate that to the County Board and the County Board would add you to the Committee.

MS. CRATER: I have one more question.

Does the Citizens' Participation Board or do you, as a member of the staff, given the experience that you have with the housing needs, ever suggest or feel the need of new programs that you would suggest to HUD or any change of policy to make the program more effective.

Is there a formal mechanism for doing this or do you just do it?

MS. LINDERMAN: I don't think the Citizens'
Advisory Committee for the CD Program has specifically done
that. As a member of the County staff, CD staff, and the
Housing staff as we're closely together and the County Staff
as a whole have made a number of efforts to make proposals
to HUD and certainly VHDA so that the programs better respond
to the needs of Arlington.

MS. CRATER: What is the mechanism or do you have a mechanism for getting in touch with, say, your representatives in Congress?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LINDERMAN: Well, the County staff and its various advisory committees have put together a number of legislative proposals.

For instance, there were a number of proposals in the area of housing which I guess Ms. Vaughn could speak to, and those were adopted by the County Board as some of their legislative proposals and then went down to Richmond. were given to our local legislators and then went down to Richmond. Unfortunately, they weren't too successful in the State Legislature. An example of how some lobbying that is done -- certainly our effort to become an entitlement community was an effort by the County to lobby Congress for a change in CD legislation which then enabled us to become an entitlement jurisdiction. That's the one major effort that the County -- example that I can think of, in terms of There have been a number of times when the County lobbying. has gone to our Congressman to seek assistance on particular problems that we have had with HUD in its implementation of its housing programs. In terms of the allocation of Section 8 funds for the approval of particular projects that we have sought and so our local Congressmen have tried to assist us in trying to effect what HUD does in these particular areas.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very kindly.

Let me ask you, Ms. Linderman, would you make yourself available to respond to additional questions in the

future as we proceed in this process of gathering information?

MS. LINDERMAN: Yes.

REVEREND HARRIS: Thank you very much.

We're so happy to have you share with us and you are excused at this time.

(The witness was excused.)

REVEREND HARRIS: This ends our day. This is the last panel for the day. We'll resume on our discussions tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. We invite all of you to come back and share with us as we start again for a second day.

Thank you.

(Whereupon, at 5:15 p.m., the hearing was recessed, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning, Tuesday, May 10, 1980.)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings in the aforecaptioned matter were held on June 9. 1980 and that this is a true and accurate record thereof and that this is the original transcript thereof.

Helen L. Kaplan
HELEN L. KAPLAN