1	INDEX	
2	VOLUME III	
3		
4	SPEAKER	PAGE
5	Ms. Nancy Dick	300
6	Mr. William Veeder	321
7	Mr. Carl Whitman	326
8	Mr. Steven Chestnut	334
9	Mr. Theodore Smith	346
10	Mr. James Boggs	356
11	Ms. Angela Russell	362
12	Ms. Carole Anne Heart	371
13	Mr. Lee Topash	386
14	Ms. Judith Davenport	398
15	Mr. William Freudenburg	408
16	Mr. Burman Lorenson	427
17	Ms. Jackie Nixon-Love	433
18	Mr. Dwayne Ostenson	440
19	Ms. Gail Martinez	451
20	Mr. Lawrence Borom	466
21	Mr. Omar Barbarossa	478
22	Rep. Patricia Schroeder	487
23	Mr. Roger Kahn	499
24	Mr. Richard Gonzalez	503
25	Ms. Pauline Garrett	514
	a _p	

INDEX	
	5
, VOLUME III	≠
(Continued)	
	w ₁
AKER	PAĞE
r. Martin Garber	530
	536
Mayor Ted Wilson	545
s. Alberta Henry	551
	J.
•	
A MOTO V V V	
•	
×	
•	
* ,	
- -	
~	
55	
	(Continued) CAKER Ir. Martin Garber Dr. Arlene Sutton Mayor Ted Wilson Ms. Alberta Henry

MORNING SESSION
November 3, 1978

9:00 a.m.

MR. WILLIAM MULDROW: Would everybody please take their seats and we will begin our morning session.

On behalf of the six advisory committees to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, in the Rocky Mountain region, I would like to again welcome all of you who are participating or attending this consultation on natural resource development in the intermountain west, its impact on women and minorities.

We had a very profitable day yesterday, there were many informative and provocative presentations during the day, and last evening we had some very stimulating small group discussions in which everybody who was present had an opportunity to raise questions or to speak their piece.

We continue today in the same format that we followed yesterday, with presentations by panel members who will be responded to by other experts on the issues who have received, in advance, copies of the papers which are being presented.

I would like to remind you that these proceedings are being recorded by a Court Reporter, Jim Bouley, and following the consultation we will be editing and publishing the written record of this consultation and it will be

available to all of you who are in attendance here as well as to the general public.

If there are any of you who have additional information that you would like to submit to us, you're welcome to do so within a 30-day period following our conference here. And it will be considered for inclusion in the record.

I would like just to make a brief housekeeping announcement before we introduce our keynote speaker for this morning. All of you should have received evaluation forms when you registered, if you did not get one of those please pick one up at the registration table this morning before you leave and we would very much appreciate it if you will complete that and deposit it in the box which is just outside of the door by the registration table.

This will help us to assess the effectiveness of this consultation and provide us with useful information for planning further activities.

First thing on our agenda this morning is a keynote address by Nancy Dick. Ms. Dick is a candidate for the office officeutenant Governor in Colorado, she comes well qualified to speak to us this morning on the issue which we have been discussing yesterday and will be continuing today.

She's lived in Colorado for 22 years, served for two years as the Colorado representative for district 57 here in the state. She is the chairperson of the governor's

commission on rural health, for four years she's been a member of the U.S. Oil Shale Advisory Panel, she is the vice-chairperson of the house or was the vice chairperson of the house transportation and energy committee.

She was also a vice chairperson of the legislative interim committee on the equal rights amendment, she is a former member of the Colorado Development Advisory Commission, and has also served as finance chairperson for the Federation of the Rocky Mountain States.

Ms. Dick is going to speak to us and then, following her address, she will entertain questions for a brief period of time from the floor.

MS. NANCY DICK

A. (By Ms. Dick) It's a real challenge just to get to the microphone with all the steps there.

I really wanted to make this very informal this morning and hope that it will meet with your approval. And what I'd like to do is give you some background on me and my district and what has happened in Colorado, perhaps as far as energy impact legislation, and what we see as the impact on women and minorities.

And then perhaps there could be some questions, if

you were interested, on specific instances and what has happened in my district.

If I can't answer them, I see some people in the audience that I know are qualified to answer them so I think between all of us we should be able to give you some information.

As was mentioned, I have served in the state house of representatives for four years and I represent five counties on the western slope. Those counties have all the oil shale in Colorado in them. As a matter of fact, that's 80% of the known oil shale reserves in the world.

Then we have enormous deposits of coal, oil and gas, and Climax molybdenum—is there also so that one thing that I have done in the four years that I have been in the legislature has been to concentrate on energy impact and legislation because so much of my district is affected by what is happening in Colorado today as far as the energy boom.

I also have been active in the area of rural health, and while that is an issue that is pertinent to all of Colorado, and by rural we say anything outside the metropolitan area of Denver, there have been enormous demands upon the health system in western slope.

As you know from the boomtown syndrome, and we first ran into this at least in this turnover of history, up in Wyoming where Gillette and Rock Springs became the hallmarks

3⁻

and the models of how not to have energy development take

In my district, when it happened and became evident during the time of the OPEC meetings, that suddenly coal mining was going to take off with a boom in Colorado, and it had been a rather depressed industry and rather stable, but at a very low level for a number of years, and with oil shale also coming into the picture, the local officials in the various towns that were affected by or would be affected by oil shale development really came together with the help of the state government and with the help of some of the federal monies and federal people who were interested in alleviating those boomtown syndromes. And we have been preparing for energy impact in the northwestern part of Colorado for about four, four and a half years.

The governor, Governor Lamm, appointed an energy impact coordinator, which has been a very helpful thing for the state. The man who held that job now is working for HUD in a similar capacity. And he happens to be in this room, so if indeed I run into a corner, I'll call upon him.

But it was really a master stroke, because what we needed at that point, because it was such a new picture for all of us and we really didn't know what was coming down the pike except that we were very concerned about what was going to happen to our way of life and style of life, that

so many of us had enjoyed over there.

On the other hand, the other part of that problem was that in the past, when kids graduated from high school in those towns, they had to leave town because there were no jobs for them. And so there were obviously going to be some advantages to what was happening but there were going to be some disadvantages.

With the appointment of Burman Lorenson as the energy impact coordinator, that liaison work started between the federal government, the state government, the local governments and the energy companies, and as you may know, the federal government had a bidding process and that's been about four or five years ago, on oil shale tracts in Wyoming and Colorado and Útah.

There were no bids that were accepted for Wyoming, but there have been bids accepted on two tracts in Utah and two tracts in Wyoming. And so that part of the boom was sort of a given up to a point, that development was going to take place in those areas, and it was up to us and the counties that were involved, and they reinicial Blanco County, Garfield County, Moffat County to some extent, up in the northwestern part of the state, to prepare for that.

What they did, and I think it really -- it was an excellent way to start, what they did was they put all their county officials and anybody else that they could dredge

up, on buses and went up and talked to the people in Rock
Springs and talked to the people in Gillette to find out what
was going wrong, what could have been done to prevent the
problems, and what might be done in the future as far as the
towns in Colorado and the counties, to be ready for what was
coming down the pike.

There are enormous social problems, and social problems I think more for women than for men. Often the living facilities are absolutely nonexistent, there's lots of trailer villages that are just set up out in the middle of the prairie, often where there are minerals to be developed the scenery and the lushness of the locale is not -- not particularly advantageous.

There may not be trees for miles. And what happens is that these wives are left to care for their children, the men leave during the day, often stop on the way home for a few drinks, and the children are cooped up in a small area, it's muddy outside, or dusty, housekeeping is a constant problem, loneliness is there forever, and what happens is that there's great depression, large amounts of alcoholism, suicide, all sorts of mental diseases and disabilities come into play.

That's both for the men and for the women. But it's a very difficult, difficult problem and I saw in the newspapers last week that there is going to be, or is in the process,

24 a new company town that's being started in Wyoming, that if they didn't start the company town, commuters were going to have to commute 50 miles one way to the closest towns.

But that's where the energy deposits are. And that has come in and is building recreational districts and is putting together kinds of housing that, while it's merely temporary, at least is liveable, and supermarkets and health care, and mental health care, so that there is a very definite role that industry can take.

And I think what is -- they have found as far as perhaps constructing the Jim Bridger plant up in Wyoming, is that when industry doesn't take these steps, then the costs just skyrocket. It is much more economical for these industries to put some money into the niceties of life, because if they don't, their worker turnover skyrockets to perhaps 900% and the cost of retraining is far more expensive than they would have spent otherwise.

I was asked also about the possibility of speaking about the Indian reservations, and we have two Indian Nations in Colorado. And whatiscon the horizon for them as far as energy development.

They have found, at least at this point, that on the Southern Ute Tribe reservation that there's not enough uranium to mine, but there is coal under both the Southern Ute and the Ute Mountain Ute.

It is not stripable, it would have to be deep mined.

But it is a seam that goes underneath both reservations.

The Southern Ute is a checkerboard reservation which makes that kind of energy resource development very intricate because you have to deal with so many people in addition to the Indian reservations and nations themselves.

But one of the concerns they have in addition to the obvious ones about massive influx -- people that might come in, I know in New Mexico, one of the problems they have had in hiring Indians to work at the mines, as the gentleman behind me remarked talking to someone else, as I was sitting there, there is -- there's White man's time and there's Indian time, and it's often been a problem, because Indians have a very strong sense of priority about what is more important and often work is not high on that list.

If they have relatives that are ill or certain ceremonies that should be done or taken part in, then work comes farther down on the list.

Also when they arrive at work is certainly the time they feel they should be there, but it isn't necessarily the time that time clocks feel they should be there.

In addition to those problems, you have one that was mentioned to me yesterday, by Mr. Ken Fredericks, from Washington, who is head of or very strong -- let's see, he has a specific title but he's with the trusts and conservation

of trusts in Indian lands in the United States, and that is the problem of when you have energy development, a number of people come in, a great majority of those may be Anglo men, and what happens to the Indian women? Not only what often happens as far as the increase in crime, as far as crimes against women, but also you have a — somewhat of a pure culture there, which it seems there's great concern about that being diluted. And I think there's reason for concern.

There are a number of problems that come in with boomtowns and energy exploration and energy development that affect women and minorities. I think I've touched upon a few of them but I also think that perhaps either from myself or some other people in the audience, we may have some insight that we could give you on specific questions that you may have in your own mind, and so, if I could open it to questions and answers right now, I'd be very pleased to do that.

Q (By Ms. Aro) Nancy, do you think there is an alternative to the development of all this energy or are we too far along the line and are we already committed by our government or whoever, they, in quotes, to go ahead and strip all of the stripable coal, dig all of the digable coal, etcetera?

A. Well, I do not view energy development as being harmful or evil, perhaps would be a better word, in itself.

I think that those impacts can be mitigated to a large extent, and I'm talking about not only environmental impacts but as I spoke of earlier, the cost of -- the quality of life impacts.

But I think that it is not an easy job, and it requires, it's a very intricate job as a matter of fact, and it requires enormous cooperation between all the entities, but I don't think that it should not be done.

I think that energy development is a very logical thing to have happen. And as I have said before, in other arenas, that does not mean that I feel the rape of the west is necessarily the next conclusion or the thing that would come from that.

Yes?

Q (By Dr. Elizabeth Moen) havetse) Barry Simons.

A lot of the proposals you hear for mitigating the environmental impacts and the social impacts are through state and federal assistance or county assistance, so that the cost of energy development is spread out across -- across the country, across all people. Shouldn't the cost of energy development really be given to the consumers of energy themselves and let the big users pay for most of the costs rather than spreading it out, regardless of how conservative you are or how little money you have?

A. I would think that probably there are parallels in

other areas of government about spreading that out, that cost of mitigating the impact. I think that the tragedy would be, and this is something that everybody seems to think would be, should be avoided at all costs, is when only that particular town that is impacted has to bear that cost, because then what happens, as you well know, or the people who are on fixed imcomes have a -- have to move.

- Q (By Dr. Moen) That wasn't the issue --
- A. Pardon?

- Q. I said that wasn't the issue. I'm asking about the consumer's paying the real cost of energy.
- A. Well, I would think that there is a certain amount, certainly there is in what I have seen, that energy companies contribute toward the amount of money that's needed for mitigating impact.

And that, I'm sure, is passed on to the consumers of that energy.

Q (By Mr. Robe Pudin) to Rob Pudination.

I'm going to talk specifically about a particular community, mainly because I think it illustrates some of the problems you see in other states, but specifically I'm going to talk about Rifle, Colorado. Where ARCO Oil camein, did their demonstration project and then left. That was the end of the whole thing.

In the meantime, Rifle is waiting for an energy boom;

an oil shale boom, Their hospital has got an operating deficit, they don't know whether to close down the hospital or not, but if it's going to be a boomtown, then they've got to keep it running, if it's not a boomtown it's going to collapse, okay? Every time they need money they have to go the JEC. Which is usually run by legislators from the front range. Or at least many of the members of the JEC are from the front range.

The money that generally they get is for things like roads, very little is being put into social kinds of things, whether it's low cost housing, or aid to the hospital, or mental health or any of those kinds of -- they -- you can't even fund a lawyer who might know a little bit about how to protect their interests.

One of the things that's characteristic of the whole energy boom thing is that the companies can afford to wait. The people in the communities burn themselves out fighting against it and because it's volunteer, the company can wait five or six years, by that time most of the people who have been fighting against what's happening, have burned themselves out.

They don't have the funding to maintain any kind of pressure on the companies, to get the kinds of things, the towns you get in Wyoming or something.

I'm thinking now of Grested Butte-specifically and the

8 9

AMAX business. AMAX can afford to sit, they're going to be there for 20 years and then they're going to pull out. That's the length of the life of that project. And then they're left, you know, with mobile home villages, with schools they can't use, I just see that there's something very wrong with the way the funding is going.

I see the funding going into capital improvements like roads and things that just make hauling the minerals out more convenient, and very little going into training, for example, the Indians how to -- like right now they should be training Indians how to do mining work, how to operate heavy equipment and things like that. That's why they don't hire them, because they're not trained and no one thinks of training them beforehand.

And that's -- and what does the state do about it?
Nothing. I've seen nothing.

- A. Well, I'm -- are you through?
- Q Yes.

A. Okay. I'm sure that I can give you some answers to that and I think there's some people in the room who can give you some other answers but I'll go through my answers.

I suspect that if we run out of time, both Polly Garrett and Burman Lorenson over there will be here, are you going to be here the rest of the day? Yes. So you might want to talk to them.

.8 ع

22⁻

But let me say that I am quite familiar with Rifle, simply because it's in my district, and I would submit to you that most of the things you have said are incorrect. And let me go down the list one by one.

The hospital is operating at a deficit. The hospital has been mismanaged for a number of years, it's one of the few hospitals I've run into where they have two boards up until recently, so that it was very difficult to get some kind of comprehensive and efficient management there.

That is not the problem of the energy impact, it wasn't caused by the energy impact, that's something that's been going on for a number of years.

Parahoe (Phonetic) came into Anvil Points and left, indeed they did, because they came in for a fixed year contract, two years, I believe, which was up last, about last August. They knew that it was two years, everybody else know it was two years, they went to congress, Harry Forceheimer (Phonetic), who is the chairman of Parahoe, went to congress to try and get that contract extended and congress chose not to do that at that point. But that was a given, the extension would have been an unknown, but the given was the two years.

The joint budget committee is made up from people primarily from the front range, and at times I think there is some insensitivity problems we have. But on the other hand,

I know that there have been a number of members of the joint budget committee and it — I will agree with you in this respect, that there are six members of the joint budget committee, those of you who are not from Colorado, who exercise enormous control over the funding of the state projects in this state. They are from the legislature, and it is the only state in the union that has that kind of budgetary setup, and I think we're the only state because no other state sees fit to copy us, I really don't think it's a very good project, but they have, I think, made a great, taken a great deal of trouble to come over here and they travel over here, usually a couple times a year, to see what has happened, what is needed, what was done, what was supposed to be done and funded but might not have been finished.

Where the things are, and so I don't think they're totally insensitive to the problems of western Colorado.

The oil shale funds are what they have chosen to give to the impacted areas of northwestern Colorado. Those funds, this is bonus funds that was set up from the amounts of money that the corporations bid on these tracts that I was speaking of, two in Colorado, two in Utah. Colorado put those funds into a special pot which was called the oil shale trust fund, and they, the joint budget committee has chosen to fund impact, primarily out of those funds.

-

7 8

They have been rather frugal, because there are times when they're not convinced that energy indeed is going to happen in Colorado, or energy development.

There is some justification for that because the people of Rifle have been promised that energy and the harvest of oil shale was going to happen for the last 50, 70 years. And so even the people in Rifle, as some of them say, I'll see it when I believe it.

There has been enormous impact in Rifle but I think those city individuals, county individuals and state and federal and corporate, have really worked very hard trying to mitigate that.

There's a tremendous housing shortage in Rifle but there's a tremendous housing shortage in Colorado. I don't know about the rest of your states, but there are just not enough homes.

As far as dollars for social problems, I think that that has come out of the oil shale trust fund and Burman can speak much more specifically but we have gotten dollars for hospitals out of the oil shale trust fund, dollars for swiming pools which doesn't sound that important but if you leave, you live sort of 50 miles from the closest drop of water except for the river, it's awfully nice to have swimming pools.

Dollars, a lot of dollars for innovative and -- types

15.

of mental health programs that really are, what's the word, pioneer in essence, that — things that haven't been done in other areas but that they are trying to work here. Because they feel it's important to try new approaches, and those have been funded, and I think nothing in Colorado, and I presume in your other states, is funded generously, but they have been funded. Mental health is one of those.

Asrfar asaAMAXiand crested Butte, AMAX.wants to do that on a 20-year basis, the Crested Butte people are trying to get them to stretch that out. There's no — there are obviously economic conerns why it might be best for AMAX to do it on 20 years but maybe for the community and the state and the county, and whomever else is brought in, it might be far better for it to be stretched out to 30 or 40 years, and maybe there's some juggling that can be done, because at this point that particular thing is still in the state of negotiation.

As far as training Indians there are no Indians near Rifle and no Indians near Crested Butte. There are two training centers that are open to anybody that are operated by the state, one is up in Steamboat Springs and they are doing — they're doing a lot of training there, the other I belive is at Mesa College and Burman can tell you more about that.

I guess that's -- that finishes my list. But I would

say that the picture you paint is not correct, that we are working hard and we are trying to do things, and I think we're making some headway and I think probably from what I've seen of other states, Colorado is probably further ahead than other western states, which are primarily the ones that are impacted.

One more question? Yes, sir?

10.

Q. (By Mr. Doug Meyers) Doug Meyers from North Dakota
AEL-CIO. We're talking a lot about impact here and
I would just like to know if other states, we're dealing
primarily with the primary impact area here, North Dakota
right now is encountering a large question about secondary
impact.

The Cities of Bismarck, Mandan, are 50 miles from the coal development area, yet we are receiving no secondary coal impact funds for taking the majority of the people in the schools, that type of thing. Although I'm not admitting that the small towns are not encountering a boom, they certainly are.

I'm just wondering if other states do have secondary impact funds set up or if it's only primary going back to the counties where the actual mining is taking place.

A Could I ask you one question, that is when you're speaking of Bismarck and the other city, do most of the employees at the energy development live and commute, is

1 | that what --

- Q Yes, they do.
 - A. -- I understand in that situation?
- Q. Yes.

- A. Well, if they lived there it would almost be primary impact, it seems to me.
 - A However, the mining is not taking place in the county
- A. In the county, that's a problem we have in Colorado, and Burman can speak much more to that but we have mines in one county and population centers in the other that man those mines, and that is something that we have tried to work against as far as legislative measures to change that.

What has happened is that there seems to be some people who feel that that kind of legislation has to involve a constitutional change in Colorado, and therefore I have carried some of that legislation and they, even though theoretically they are for the measure, they have lobbied very hard to have it killed, which I find rather frustrating upon times.

But let me say one more thing about secondary impact.

And that is what Denver is claiming, and it can not be

denied, you know, when you see the skyscrapers that are
going up downtown, those, I bet 80, 85% of that downtown

growth is due to the energy boom in Colorado. They say,
you know, we have tremendous impact in Denver, we need oil

shale funds, which the northwestern part of the state guards and holds as their very own if they have the choice. There are some sound arguments, but also I think you have to look at the economic base that Denver has to start with, compared with the economic base that Rifle or Meeker or Rengely, and while there's tremendous boom here, there's also some base that they have to work with.

I am rather ambivalent about the question of giving shale funds to Denver and I know that there are people in northwestern part of the state that really come off the wall when that's mentioned. But I think you can not deny that there's a tremendous amount of growth going on here that is due directly to energy exploration, and resource development, and you know, how do you justify whatever stand you take?

I really appreciate your time and I'm very glad to see you and I thank you for having me here today.

(Applause)

(The following was moderated by Ms. Harriett Skye)

THE MODERATOR: Good morning, my name is Harriett

Skye and I'm the chairperson of the North Dakota Advisory

Council on Civil Rights, and I'm also the supervisor of the

5

Office of Bublic Information at United Tribes in Bismarck, North Dakota.

I'm your moderator this morning, and I'm very delighted to introduce this distinguished group. Mr. Bill Veeder, who is on my far left over here, from Washington, D.C., will give a brief history of Indian water rights and explain the relationship between water rights and energy production.

He'll also discuss efforts that are being made to undermine the rights which have been upheld in such court cases as Worchester versus Gaw, Winters versus United States and he offers some suggestions on how these attacks on Indian rights should be dealt with.

Mr. Carl Whitman, next to him, is former chairman of the three affiliated tribes on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation in North Dakota. He is also now the Fort Berthold Tribal Economic Development and Planning, and he will discuss what he sees as the pros and cons of whole development on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation.

I consider him our foremost authority on energy in North Dakota.

Mr. Steven Chestnut is the attorney from Seattle, Washington, has acted as a lawyer for the Northern Cheyenne Tribe in regard to coal leasing, he'll give a history of coal leasing done by the tribe and the problems encountered.

17.

He'll also discuss the current status of leasing Indian anticipated direction of development in the near future.

Mr. Ted Smith, whom I just met for the first time, a minute ago, is a deputy director of the council of energy resource tribes, known as CERT. And he'll talk a little bit about the technical assistance CERT offers to Indian tribes including making assessments of the social and economic impacts of resource development.

Okay. On my far right over here is Jim Boggs, who is the director to the Northern Cheyenne research group, and these people will be the respondents.

Next to him is Angela Russell, who is former director of the Crow coal research office at Crow agency, Montana, and she is now with the Denver Research Institute.

Next to her is Carole Anne Heart, who is the director of the Rosebud Tribal Office of Water Resources on the Rosebud Indian Reservation in South Dakota.

Bill Walsh, who is on the -- next to her, is the South Dakota, on the South Dakota Advisory Committee on Civil Rights and he is also the director of the South Dakota Social Services at Rapid City.

Next to him is, let me see if I can, Chiz Ishimatsu, who is with the Utah SAC committee on civil rights.

Thank you. We'll start with Mr. Veeder, and he will

give a prief talk and then he'd like to open it up for questions.

MR. WILLIAM VEEDER

A. (By Mr. Veeder) Thank you, Harriett.

It's of course a pleasure to come back to what was one time my home town and I look at Denver as I was riding around out in the cab this morning, as a boomtown. And a regretable situation in my view prevails in this and many other towns. Because as assimple fact, the town has lost so much by becoming so big.

I can remember very well when the climate and the air of Denver, Codorado, was magnificent. And we lost a whole lot by subsidizing huge populations into a place like Denver and I think it's succeeded in becoming as bad as Los Angeles, and maybe that was its goal.

Turning, though, squarely to the issue of what's happening to the American Indian and the impact that is befalling him by reason of the energy, quote, crisis, turns very largely upon the overwhelming power of the multinational energy cartels that control the wast financial resources of the various regions.

I sat in for a moment last night and I heard them

discussing how there would be a possibility of influencing the policies of Exxon, Phillips Petroleum and others. And it's bizarre for any of us here to think that we can have much influence in that regard. And the smaller the minority, the greater the difficulty is.i.

The Indians own huge quantities of coal, the Indians own rights to the use of water, the Indians are protected by one of the most formidable bodies of law, I assume in the history of jurisprudence, and there is no reason, therefore, why the Indians should be threatened as they are today with what I perceive to be a genocidal trend.

The Indians are being planned out of existence and let's not deny that. They are being planned out of existence systematically and intentionally because they own the last block of valuable water on the North American Continent, they own coal, that Exxon, Shell, and others, are avidly seeking.

They are being planned out of existence because of the formidable body of law that would protect them if those very important tenets of jurisprudence were permitted to function.

This is your problem. The Winter's Doctrine protects the Indian rights to the use of water, no question, except that it is not enforced or recognized in many of the courts by the simple reason that the Indians are either

7 8

denied their day in court or are represented by agencies of the federal government whose primary interests are not the Indians but the energy cartels.

This is the problem that you're looking at. And it isn't a pretty problem. It's a problem of suppression of Indian Rights, civil, human, and constitutional.

Let's face it, that is the problem today. And the wise men in Washington have created one of the most bizarre situations I have ever witnessed. They're having the Indians vis-a-vis the states, to negotiate in regard to their water rights. And it's a strange thing to see the Governor and the Attorney General of the State of South Dakota or North Dakota say yes, we're going to deal with the Indians in regard to their water rights. Without which the energy developments can not be completed. But bear in mind the states have no power, they have no water rights, indeed they were admitted to the union subject to limiations that say that they can not interfere or in any way deal with the Indian rights to the use of water or their land.

So it's just another prime example of why the minorities in this country, even though they have very favorable laws in this country, are being suppressed, are being deprived of their human rights, and it's an on-going policy concerning which the minorities have very, very little voice.

ago and saw the city on fire. Now, that brought about a response. They did something about it. And I think what you're pushing today, these energy cartels, and the people who are controlled by them, federal, state, and local, they are going to push the people with inflation, bad housing, poor representation, and once again you look out the windows and somebody will say, burn, baby, burn,

That's where we're heading. Because the opportunity for Indian people effectively to be heard is not possible. Because although the laws favor them, they are badly represented if they are represented at all, in the struggles that are on-going in the western United States in regard to their precious water rights.

Now, Harriett said that we could have some questions.

THE MODERATOR: Does anybody have some questions out there for Mr. Veeder?

A (By Mr. Veeder) Maybe there aren't any.

THE MODERATOR: Mario?

Q''' (By Mr. Mario Gonzalez) Mr. Gonzalez, South Dakota SAC. And I would like Mr. Veeder to comment on the Missouri River Water Commission, Basin Commission?

A. I think that's, Mario, I think that's a prime example. The Indians own tremendous mineral and water rights in the upper Missouri River Basin, right?

1 Q That's correct.

A Your own tribe, the other tribes up in there, from Standing Rock to Cheyenne River, Fort Bertho., Fort Peck, go right on up the river, here we have the Missouri River Commission, a powerful entity. It guides the economic development of the area. The Indians have the bigest stake of anybody there, but they have no representation.

And the reason they don't have representation is flat out racism. They do not want to have anybody stand up and say, why the federal government stole our water or the federal government is exploiting our coal at \$2.00 a ton and we should be getting two and a half. They don't want that.

So the Indians are not represented, isn't that right, Mario?

0 That's correct.

A It's a plain case of suppression, of the Indian people and depriving them of the opportunity to be heard. This is your problem.

And we can all sit around and say it's great to control a boomtown and get somebody on the PTA that the corporations let you have, but the gut issue is when was the fundamental policy created that says yes, we're going to get the coal and water from the Indians and to hell with them. That's what you're looking at. That answer your question?

What would your recommendations be as far as getting Indian representation on that commission?

I'd insist that they be on there, the secretary of the interior is the big shot on there, he's your trustee, why don't you say, hey, we want to have representation on that, and that's how to get it.

THE MODERATOR: Okay, we'll go on to Mr. Whitman.

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

12

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

MR. CARL WHITMAN

(By Mr. Whitman) My topic is the adverse effect or impact coal mining, petroleum drilling and other energy resource developments will have on Indian reservations in Montana, North Dakoza and South Bakota.

People act as if impacts is some 20th century phenomenon. It has happened in the past, it is happening now, and it will happen in the future. I am talking about coal mining, refinery and power plant impacts that affects water supply, transportation, land, people, and eco. system. People already know about what aspect of impacts can be mitigated and what can not be mitigated. You all know what will happen to my reservation without my going into detail. So I don't want to bore you with it.

If I do, I will just merely insult your intelligence.

Perhaps there is some profound angle to all of this, this meeting here that somehow elude my naive, primitive innocence. Maybe I'm admitting that I'm pathetically unperceptive of the world in which I live. Maybe the purpose here is to talk about something that everyone already knows about. But to say them in more eloquent terms, that the purpose of this conference is to really beautify learning, not to throw out something new to all of you, or the intent maybe is to soften the impact, you know, sort of to minimize the shock value of impacts.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My -- but really talking about all the time, I've sat here and listened to it all day yesterday and I just don't want to go into it. I just don't want to indulge in this kind of an intellectual exercise that really serves no purpose and so as far as I'm concerned, as there are people that are far more capable than I who can -- who can do that sort of thing.

Probably I should preface my presentation by pointing out to you that my orientation is different from the usual Anglo Saxon, Protestant Ethic-type of programming. I was raised by my grandmother and I was greatly influenced by her during my childhood years. She imbued in me the philosophy and wisdom of our tribe.

Briefly stated, though seldom articulated by Indians, it merely holds that no group of people can last for long

if they are dependent upon exhaustible resources. The immigrants have come into the rich country and have lived it up and are finding this out. Honeymoon is over.

25:

The real thrust of their economic ingenuity lies ahead.

It just seems useless to dwell on the effects and ignore the cause of these impacts.

As the effects will continue unabated so long as the cause is not addressed.

Some years ago I stated at a scholarly gathering that in the near future, we will have to bury a — one old classical wisdom, which holds that supplies will always increase to meet the demands. In the field of energy the supplies of energy will not ever meet the exponential increase in demand. Not ever Merely because the supply is finite.

Some of these scholars thought I was somewhat batty and while some of the others did not really understand. I had said that we will have to shift our concern from energy to demand.

My grandmother knew this long, long ago. Today the supply of energy, we're at the tail end of it and it's not going to meet this exponential increase in demands. Since the dawn of the industrial age, public policy has in general had only a peripheral influence on the availability and management of our energy resources. Energy industries have been permitted a laissez faire existence until now our

abundant supply of energy has been much more of a product of geological accident, ideological and military alliances, and cultural traditions than any well-considered and far sighted concern, study and development.

Such laissez faire may have been avoidable attitude during that brief historical period of abundance, but it is rapidly becoming untenable in this age of scarcity.

People act as if there's some sort of an inseparable bond to conventional sources of energy, and big business is exploiting that tendency. It is, in a kind of an irresponsible fashion. I believe that the bulk of the society is enchanted or victimized by fairy tales that fairy godmother is going to somehow come with a magic wand and wave aside all of these energy problems today, and everything will become honky-dory.

This fantasy is an appropriate analogy to the current stand -- brand belief that science and technology will somehow manipulate the forces and energy crises will fade away.

The stark fact is that the conventional sources of energy are no longer dependable, and it is vulnerable because the supply is finite. Simply stated, that must be squarely faced, it's kind of a simple fact that it has to be squarely faced.

Somehow people are ignoring the brownouts and blackouts

which are becoming more frequent, especially at a time when electricity is most needed. Actually coal development at this point in time is premature, the technology to convert coal to useful energy is too inefficient, and the conversion process or system can draw off only 30% of this stored fossil fuels.

This means that for every three tons of coal mined and used, two tons of it will be wasted. To put it in another context, for every \$3.00 that you pay for electricity you are contributing to their derelection and wasteful practices. I don't think we can afford to continue that kind of an economic pursuit.

To persist in the use of this technology developed during times of abundance, means needless squandering of our resources. This is one cause, one cause to our present strife, as to why we are addressing the impact.

It is not as if this can not be improved. Magneto-hydrodynamic principle can effect a 100% improvement now.

Thermionic principle used in cascade and series can also bring about vast improvement.

The conversion systems are adaptable to these principles. These are not some fanciful dreams of the 20th century, magnetohydrodynamics was something that Faraday described 100 years ago. Thermionic principle was something that was discovered by Thomas Edison in the year 1885. So

these are available now.

There is really no excuse in the persistent use of a technology to convert fossil fuels into useful energy in a wasteful manner today because this merely means squandering the remaining resources that we have in America. Especially it's really needless, especially when we live in a time when we have a scientific capability to get up to the moon and back.

Incidentally -- maybe I should point out that there are no gas stations between here and the moon.

I am not dazzled by nuclear energy systems either, whether this is fission, fast breeder reactors or fusion. What is shocking to me is the continual use of an old technology used in coal conversions for the last 100 years, which is to heat the water to generate the steam to turn some wheels that will, in turn, turn a generator to generate electricity. It is — this approach is far more costlier because, for instance the technology's really designed for a lower temperature combustion level and it's really not geared for a nuclear energy which generates far more heat so it is not only costlier but it is also less efficient because you must dissipate that wasted heat to the atmosphere or to the water. If the purpose, if the purpose of being here today is to kill ourselves, the Anglo is really doing a damned good job of it.

7 8

And then the cause is the demand for continuously higher standard of living, there's an unfounded belief in unlimited growth. We seem to have some sort of a fascination for this gross national product, as it's increasing. Perhaps I should say the grossest national product.

The exploitation is perpetrated by big business because very few people are informed about the options that are available. You don't have to be a genius to address the demand such as by insulating your home, building flat plate collectors, whether this is active or passive, developing a wind charger, recycling waste, and so on, I can go on and on.

I have already bought hardware to split water into hydrogen and oxygen which I plan to use in heating my home, to run my car, through the use of electrolysis. I propose to use that because the batteries seem far more costlier to run something in the order of \$2,000.00 to \$3,000.00, to store that electricity generated by wind charger. It seems far more economical to split this water, store that and the other plan that I have is to use this hydrogen and oxygen in a fuel cell which really generates electricity without any moving parts, without any motion, friction, or harmful influences.

It just generates electricity.

This is not, again, some fantasy of the 20th century,

this is very mature technology. A guy named Voltaire discovered this system way back in the year 1800. This is a system that was used to provide the water, the air, the oxygen, electrical mechanisms and propulsion for the astronauts to get to the moon and back.

Sure, when I talk about some of this stuff, the White man always comes and says, these are expensive methods, you have to think about the economical cost, the economics of these systems. What this really says is that I am doing something about this energy crunch and I am shifting from economic considerations to one of dependability. Because, after all, you can be economic and be very dead.

I faced that one day about two, three years ago when there was 80-degree below zero chill factor and we had a brownout and blackout for 20 hours. When we needed electricity the most. So these are considerations that I have to take into account.

I talked about these things at other places, but really nobody listens to what I have to say. Not even my wife except when I talk in my sleep. Sometimes I think I'm so damned right in what I'm saying, but in such an unsensational way that I can't persuade anybody to do the rational thing.

I thank you for listening.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Chestnut?

E

MR. STEVEN CHESTNUT

A. (By Mr. Chestnut) Thank you. It's nice to be here today.

I'm a lawyer from Seattle, Washington, and I'm a member of a law firm that has done a lot of Indian work for the last 13 or 14 years for a number of Indian tribes in the State of Washington, State of Montana and also in Alaska.

One of the tribes that we've had the privilege of representing is the Northern Cheyenne Tribe which is located in Montana. We've represented them since 1973.

I'm going to tell you about basically a great fight story, I think. I feel a little bit like Howard Cosell, perhaps, this morning. A story about what the Northern Cheyennes did starting in 1973, with regard to energy development on their reservation.

Resource development has had a profound impact on Northern Cheyenne. Initially the impact was potentially devastating. The tribe found itself, in 1971, with 56% of the reservation encumbered by strip mining permits and leases which had been approved by the department of interior during the preceding five years.

That, recognizing that, the tribe began, I think what is really an epic battle which took them into an arena against these multinational energy companies that Bill Veeder mentioned, against the department of interior, into the halls of congress, and also before the United States Supreme Court.

As a result of their actions, which I'll describe, today I think the tribe feels that they have gained control of the situation and that the experience that the experience that they have gained from taking that action has provided them and really all Indian tribes, and I think the department of interior and other officials in the United States Government, with a valuable education which may or may not prove ultimately beneficial but which at least has made some dent.

In addition, I think perhaps the most valuable result of this effort has been what it's done for the tribe, which is a realization that when a tribe commits itself to something, with dignity, with consistency, without internal division, they're able to move mountains.

It's also, I think, established a certain reputation for the tribe which some of you may or may not be familiar with, for integrity, credibility and character, which I think really is -- is the ultimate major gain from what they've done.

The Northern Cheyenne Reservation is located in

7 8

southeastern Montana, it consists of 447,000 acres, it's the second smallest reservation in Montana. There are seven Indian reservations in Montana. It's truly an Indian reservation. 98% of the land is trust land. Only 2% is out of Indian ownership. Of all this trust land, over 60%, something like 61 or 62%, is owned by the tribe as a whole.

37% is owned by individual members of the tribe.

With regard to the minerals on the reservation, all of it is owned by the tribe. The population is about 90% Indian, 80% Morthern Cheyenne. The land use pattern on the reservation is 99% Indian, so that a pattern that you sometimes see on other reservations where the land is owned by the Indians but used by non-Indians predominantly does not prevail on Northern Cheyenne, and I think will not prevail on Northern Cheyenne.

On the other hand, the income level on the reservation is about 40% of the average income level statewide, the life expectancy is very low, alcoholism is high and all these other indicia of social status have similar kinds of unfortunate ratings.

Unemployment ranges sometimes as high as 70%. The average is probably somewhere between 50 and 60%. The reservation is in a pristine state, two-thirds of the reservation has, for about a 100 years, been used for grazing. The cattlemen on the reservation are Northern Cheyenne

4 5

cattlemen. The rest of the reservation is used in a very limited way for logging and farming.

Beneath the reservation is about 23 billion tons of coal, five to ten billion tons of that coal is stripable, it's perhaps the singlemost attractive coal deposit in one ownership in the world. The tribal council has full authority to do what it wishes with that coal. The coal is valuable because it has a low sulphur content, and because the economics of strip mining are very favorable.

However, nothing was done with the coal for quite a long time, although everybody knew it was down there, there was no specific data but everybody knew it was down there, beginning in the mid '60's, approaches begin occurring.

Energy companies began approaching the bureau of Indian affairs in Billings, Montana, to discuss the coal reserve. By 1971, Peabody Coal Company had in hand approved strip mining permits with options to lease, covering 149,000 acres.

AMAX had 71,000 acres. Chevron Oil had 27,000 acres, Consolidation Coal Company had 23,000 acres, Northern States Power Company, which is a large midwestern utility, had 33,000 acres. And land speculators held 16,000 acres.

Each of these documents was a permit which said they could explore and then could select as much of the land covered by the permit as they wished for mining.

If mining would occur the tribe would receive 17 and a half cents a ton for each ton of coal mined and if the coal was to be used on the reservation for, let's say in thermal electric plants, the tribe's return would be reduced to 15 cents a ton, although I should add that that would only apply for the first ten years, that after that it would go up to 20 cents and 17 and a half cents per ton.

I mentioned that speculators had acquired some of these lands and in fact one example is a situation where a Billings, Montana attorney, who was a young fellow, about 30 years old, acquired in his own name permits, initially, permits covering something on the order of 42,000 acres. He took one of these permits which covered 27,000 acres, which he had paid about \$100,000.00 for and sold it within two months to Chevron Oil for a downpayment of two million dollars, and a 9% override on every ton of coal mined from that permit.

So that this gentleman, by acquiring control of a tribal resource, was able, through a paper transaction, to realize more than the tribe, you know 20 times more than the tribe got initially, and would realize as well two-thirds of everything the tribe might get if the thing went for mining.

It's just one example of the kind of thing that occurred. All this was done under the trust supervision of the secretary of the interior and the bureau of Indian

affairs. By statute, by United States Statute the secretary of the interior is charged with the responsibility of approving or disapproving Indian mineral agreements.

By regulation, under those statutes, the bureau of Indian affairs and the United States Geological Survey, is charged with the responsibility of preparing proposed transactions, preparing the agreements, reviewing them, advising the tribe of the legal and environmental, social and cultural consequences of the transactions, and also advising the secretary of the same, so that both the tribe and the secretary, in determining whether or not to approve a transaction, would act with some degree of knowledge.

Somehow, under this trust supervision, the BIA determined that it was appropriate to advise the secretary and the tribe, to approve permits covering hundreds of thousands of acres. 56% of a reservation. On the terms I've described.

There were many other terms that I could get into but we don't have time. Essentially what was done was that the reservation, between 1966 and 1971, was handed over to these corporations. The tribe initially needed some money, you know, in 1966 they wanted to raise some money, and the BIA used that as a justification for turning over the reservation.

By tate 1972, the energy companies began to reveal

what their plans really were, they began filing applications to go to mining leases on most of the acreage covered by the permits, they began talking about building thermal electric facilities, gasification facilities, railroads, they began revealing that thousands of Monthon-Indians would enter this Indian reservation, and the tribe, with the light of this information, which they only got, you know, seven years after the whole thing began and after they had already signed away, or appeared to have signed away, most of their reservation, decided to take action.

In the spring of 1973 the Northern Cheyenne Tribal Council passed a resolution asking the secretary of the interior to withdraw the approvals he had previously given to these transactions. The tribe then, at great expense, hired attorneys to support the resolution legally and factually. The attorneys, our firm, began working on the case and it was really an easy case, because it was like a smorgasbord, you could look at the transactions and look at the law and you could almost, you know, it — the law violations, the unconscienability, the breach of trust, violations of statute, regulation, organic documents of the tribe, and just basic common decency, were overwhelming.

Based on research, a petition was prepared in support of the tribal request for cancellation, a rather large, two-volume document, which was submitted to the secretary of the

interior in early 1974. The secretary of interior at that time was Rogers Morton, it was a Republican Administration, the energy crisis was becoming, at that point, a, you know, a matter of first national concern, and it was not the ideal time to try and wipe out 256,000 acres of coal permits and leases on an extremely valuable coal deposit which would -- whose mining would serve the national interest.

Also involved was the fact that the thrust of the tribe's case was that the United States had pitifully abdicated its legal responsibility here, that the major violations were violations performed or -- by the United States, and that the secretary of the interior, if -- that if the secretary of the interior were to acknowledge these violations, he might well be opening himself up to very large, monetary damage claims by both the tribe and the energy companies, who, by the way, protested throughout that they were victimized just as the tribe, their position was that they, in good faith, relied on the BIA bureaucrats who had approved these things, and that their hands were clean, which is not really true.

They -- they -- the tribe, at the time that they
entered into these transactions, were represented by a lawyer,
sole practitioner in Harden, Montana, which is a small town,
he's represented, in Montana, he's represented Indian tribes
in that area for many years, he's a fine man, he was

negotiating with Peabody Coal Company, Consolidation Coal Company, Chevron, Northern States Power, land speculators, each of them having lawyers in New York, Washington, D.C., Denver, in addition to house counsel.

loston fibr

It was -- it was a real mismatch and one would have thought that one of these lawyers would have read the regulations, would have read the statutes, would have advised their energy company client that look, there are some legal constrictions -- constraints involved here that one must pay attention to.

But in any event, the coal companies protested innocence and felt that they were victimized.

Another major consideration in the secretary of the interior's deliberations on the Northern Cheyenne petition was the fact that the bureau of Indian affairs felt that their personal reputations, competence, and job security, were being challenged by the Northern Cheyenne Tribe and they're a very potent, lobbying force. Extremely potent, entrenched. And they lobbied very strongly against any kind of declaration by the secretary that they had acted improperly. But the strength of the tribe's case was really overwhelming, the solicitor of the department of interior who at that time was a gentleman named Kent Furcel (Phonetic), was basically antagonistic to the notion that the Northern Cheyennes would have the nerve to try to call these matters

to the attention of the secretary of the interior, but as a lawyer could not get around the fact that the tribe's legal case was overwhelming.

In addition, by this time some of the media had become aware of the situation and had gotten it a fair amount of national publicity in important publications like New York Times, Washington Post, several periodicals.

In addition, the Northern Cheyennes had the good support of their senators, who at that time were Senator Mansfield and Senator Metcalf, who were extremely helpful and put a lot of pressure on the secretary of the interior to do justice.

THE MODERATOR: Excuse me, Mr. Chestnut, you have about two minutes.

A. Oh, I'm sorry. In any event, a decision was rendered about five or six months later by the secretary, and the decision avoided all but a handful of the legal claims made by the tribe, actually did not make any findings of illegality, but restored the balance of the control to the tribe through the use of the secretary's discretionary authority to approve or disapprove Indian land transactions.

Basically, what he held was that before he would approve any further development on Northern Cheyenne, the tribe would have to come forward with enthusiastic support for development.

.1

źź

2 3 4

He also, using a trick in the regulations, reduced the acreage covered by these transactions by about 85%, which rendered the coal companies holdings economically unfeasible. He also provided that before he would do any environmental -- before he would give any further approvals he would require environmental impact statements which had not been done, by the way, in any of these transactions.

And the tribe has since that time vehemently opposed the conducting of any environmental impact studies. And he invited the tribe to sue the energy companies.

He suggested they do so but he did say that if they did so, he would pay the tab. Which, in subsequent years they tried to get out of but they -- they've been held to it.

The tribe has never gone into court suing the energy companies to this date, we have advised them not to. The reason we have advised them not to is because they won.

The Northern Cheyennes at least -- well, since early 1973, not one company has set foot on the reservation. The Northern Cheyennes since that time have maintained that they have no interest whatsoever, at least as of -- continuously since then, in renegotiating or reconsidering these transactions. The energy companies, being businessmen, recognized that they can not possibly consider investing the kind of capital in a coal mining venture on Northern Cheyenne unless this tribe, which has demonstrated now for five and

a half years that it has tremendous backbone, tremendous ability, tremendous character, and a tremendous willingness to fight, supports a mining venture.

The predictions, it's quite possible that there will never been any coal development on Northern Cheyenne. The policy of the tribe is that there will not be development unless there's a concensus of support on the reservation for coal development. There has been no such concensus, and there may or may not be one in the future, who knows?

I think all of us have a bidding sense of confidence that the Cheyennes will determine their own faith on that reservation, that nobody can coerce them to do anything they want, and that if they do decide in the future to do coal development on that reservation, they will have the best possible terms.

(Applause)

MR. GONZALEZ: Harriett, can I ask one question?

THE MODERATOR: Can we hold it until we hear from everybody, Mario? Then I'll get back, we'll get back to you. Now we'll hear from Mr. Smith.

MR. THEODORE SMITH

A. (By Mr. Smith) Well, it's customary to begin one's discussion by saying how pleased you are to be here. I'm not.

And I don't say that facetiously. Because there really shouldn't be a need for me to be here. There probably should not be a need for CERT and there should not be the need for an Anglo in my position at CERT.

I must admit that Mr. Whitman's comments made me feel very humble, and I did have a rather elaborate presentation, which I will somewhat negate and sort of talk in some more general terms in some respects and specifically in other areas.

I have to take issue with your keynote speaker this morning, in fact I got rather angry at one point. This thing of Indian time gives me a pain in the ass.

(Applause)

I didn't say it for applause, let me elaborate. And it's sort of behind a lot of what CERT is about. I have a friend who's a geologist who went down to, oh, he's on one of the Apache Reservations they had a ski operation, and so he and his son went skiing. But the ski operator, the lift

7 8

operator, didn't arrive until an hour after it was supposed to open. He said my God, these Indians, they just don't know how to come to work on time, said they were nice guys once they got there but jees, they're just not very dedicated, and so we got to talking about the guys who were operating the lift.

Well, the guy that was operating the lift had a college degree, was trained in sociology, and was getting paid about \$1.75 an hour. Now, I wonder why he wasn't enthusiastic about coming to the job that morning under those circumstances? So, you know, there is no such thing as Indian time, there is a situation where people are motivated and they're inspired to pursue their careers, if in fact it is a career, and maybe the Indians are showing a little bit more insight in that they're unwilling to play like something that they're doing is really important when they know in their own heart it doesn't mean a God damned thing.

So anyway, I just had to -- I had to bring that out.

VOICE: That's right on.

A (By Mr. Smith) Well, CERT, CERT is a temporary organization, really. CERT was formed about two years ago by 25 Indian tribes who felt that they needed to do something to curtail sort of the avalanche of pressures and activities that were taking place on reservation lands in the energy field. The objective of CERT is to premote the welfare of

the member tribes through the protection, through the conservation and the prudent management of their energy resources, that's sort of a CERT preamble.

And CERT, as the Council of Energy Resource Tribes is setting up two offices, one is a small office in Washington, D.C., to deal with policy matters, the principal office is going to be located or headquartered in Denver, and it will be their office of technical assistance.

Now, out of this office of technical assistance they will undertake a variety of different issues, maybe it's best for me just sort of to summarize what our organizational structure will be.

We.'ll have three divisions, one is an energy development office, or division, the second is an environmental division, and the third is human resource and economic development. Now, I'm -- I will be in charge of this third category and I could probably talk best about the activities of CERT, as it relates to this third division.

In the -- well, one of the problems that most of the tribes have encountered in their negotiations with energy companies that they have had to rely upon the expertise provided by the bureau of Indian affairs and the U.S. Geological Survey, and at times this has not been sufficient to get the best terms for the tribes during the course of their negotiations. They are in a position of having to give exploration

permits, the companies come in, they do their exploration, they come back and they say, well, we can afford to pay you so much for the right to go ahead and operate here. Well, they don't -- generally end up showing what the results of that exploration were.

And even if they did, many of the tribes do not have technical expertise on hand to evaluate that particular — the test borings or whatever it might be, the results of those explorations, so one of the principal objectives of CERT will be to sort of serve as the Arthur D. Little or the consultant for all of these tribes, as a past atime.

As a need arises, the tribes will call to the technical assistance office in Denver and say, look, we need a mining engineer, we need a petroleum engineer, we need a geologist or we may need somebody who can specialize in distribution engineering or even looking at alternative energy sources, sort of some of the hard science areas.

Hopefully, we will be able, through these people, to evaulate some of the explorations and at the same time we'll work with the tribes in their attempt to get funding to do some test borings themselves, to do some explorations so they know in advance what is out there.

They know what needs to be stripped off before they get to the coal. They know the grade of the coal. They know the BTU content, the sulphur content. These types of

questions determine the profitability of a mining operation so when they sit down to negotiate with the company, they have their own financial analysis model, which tells them what the rate of return will be, not what the company feels it will be.

that CERT will provide technical assistance. In the environmental area we will have staffing in the area of water resources and forestry, in agriculture, in environmental impact, biological sciences, so we will also have the capability of providing assistance to the tribes in the area where they are probably — one of the areas where they're most deficient, and they'll be able to determine what some of the impact will be on the environment within the reservation during the course of the development of energy resources.

Oh, I may be overstating a statement here. That is given the assumption that the tribe decides it wants to develop.

One of the -- CERT has no, no real axe to grind, it's strictly a technical assistance thing, it's a combination of tribes, some of the CERT tribes are definitely antidevelopment, some of the CERT tribes are prodevelopment

CERT is a technical assistance group that will answer questions so that if a nondevelopment tribe says we need

more information, we need some assistance to help us make a decision that will probably support our decision not to develop, then fine. CERT will provide that type of assistance.

On the other hand, if a tribe wants to develop, even in the environmental area we have people that will be able to come in and say well, these are some of the things that you need to do, you need to build these into your leases to mitigate against some of the detrimental effects of development.

So really, we're probably pretty well balanced from that point of view.

The area which I am responsible for, the human resource and economic development, gets into the field of education and human resource development into market analysis, evaluating the market for energy resources. I think that we have a, really a potential conflict, we talk about developing the Indian coal reserves, but sometimes we fail to realize the federal government is there with substantial coal reserves of their own.

And so they are tending to move in and attempt to manipulate the market somewhat, so it's not just the companies that one has to be concerned with, one has to be concerned with federal policies and federal -- federal actions as to how they are going to manage their coal

reserves, so we will need to get, I think, much better information on the market structure in the energy area to determine what the real return should be to a tribe who elects to develop its resources.

We'll be looking at financial analysis, financial management, we are in the process of acquiring a very good financial analysis model that allows us to do the same type of sophisticated cash, discounted cash flow, financial return evaluation that the companies are doing. We will have economists that will be looking at the socioeconomic impact of energy development, we have people that will be looking at industrial organization, and management of resources, and probably one of the more important areas, contract negotiation.

We hope to be able to put together and field a team that will fully support the tribe in any contract negotiations that they might want to go into.

Now, I mentioned this question of industrial organization. I won't bother, just as Mr. Whitman didn't bother, going into some of the obvious things, quite obviously there is -- there are real problems when we talk about boomtowns, we talked about building major hydroelectric plants, when we talk about coal gasification facilities, and probably that was the greatest, the boomtown and the socio- and economic impact was the greatest factor that came out of the Navajos'

3 4

7 8

rejection of their coal gasification proposal.

But one of the things we're going to try and do is to look at complete redevelopment. If a tribe elects to go development, they have to realize that they're buying much more than just a per capita payment. A per capita payment with a fixed duration. You look at some of the tribes that w ere into oil development and oil and gas at an early stage, oil and gas is beginning to disappear. They've become dependent upon revenue from that supply of oil and gas.

When that goes, they're up the creek.

We are trying or we will be attempting to point the way and emphasize the fact that if a tribe develops on an energy resource base, it's a nonrenewable resource and there are certain things that will have to be done to plan for the future. We will try and have people who will be able to discuss what proper related economic development activities might be so that over a longer period of time the tribe builds an economic base that will be able to sustain the tribe beyond the term of the extractive resources.

I think this becomes very, very important. At the same time, the tribe has to recognize that they need to, oh, institutionalize their government structure. You can't have energy resource development on tribal lands today and not set up some type of regulatory agencies. You have to monitor.

I can point to some very disasterous examples where tribes have relied upon the monitoring of their extraction and the monitorings through U.S. Geological Survey and then through BIA and the payments come from BIA and nobody knows really how much is being taken off the reservation or whether they're really even getting paid for it.

The tribes have to assume this responsibility themselves. They have to train and staff up for this, they have
to sort of legitimize their government. They have to
recognize that there are responsibilities probably in the
establishment of taxes. Taxes I think become crucial to
all of this.

If probably my introduction to Indian activity was several years ago, I became involved with the Navajos and their efforts through the Navajo Tax Commission to set up some taxes, so we've seen a lot done in that area.

I haven't got to what I came to talk about yet, which is training, and what CERT's going to do in that area. So maybe some of you can draw a bit of that out on questions.

We have a major proposal into the federal government today to set up an energy apprentice training program for Indians which we would work to develop through existing government agencies, through energy companies, placing people in maybe ten to 20 new apprenticeship programs for training in the energy fields, working directly with the placement of

200 Indians into registered apprenticeship programs, we have a program that will aim at the local school levels in having people come in and talk to try to get young students interested in the scientific fields, we have an internship program that we're designing for the university students today, we're just going everywhere we can to demonstrate that there are career tents.

We'll have people on our staff that will work closely in the negotiations to see that Indian preference programs become realistic, that they aren't a figment of the imagination, that in those Indian preference programs you have a situation where management is included.

There are time frames where people are moved into management positions and you train these people and CERT will be working if it requires directly preparing, course curriculum, then CERT will do that.

certainly no longer than seven or eight years, it will be gone. There won't be a need for me to come and talk to you, somebody else will be here, he'll be representing his own tribe or her own tribe, and I think that we'll all be a lot better off at that time.

Thank you.

(Applause)

Appraus

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

Okay, on my far left we'll start with Mr. Boggs.

MR. JAMES BOGGS

A (By Mr. Boggs) Thank you. I probably ought to start out with a correction, I'm not the director of the northern tribe research project, I'm a -- I've been a research sociologist there for about two and a half years. I worked on the Northern Cheyenne Air Quality Redesignation Report and Request which is the document that laid the foundation for the redesignation to class I for the tribe. And lately I've been negotiating the tribes input in environmental impact statements for projects done around the reservation.

As Steve mentioned, there are no projects on the reservation and none are contemplated at the present time. I might also mention just very briefly, the Northern Cheyenne Research Project is a tribal program and it was begun in 1974 right after this coal leasing situation, because when the leasees were taking the place the tribe had no knowledge of its resource, and no technical expertise available to get that knowledge so -- and the BIA also found themselves in the position of having to negotiate without knowing what the resource was.

So the Northern Cheyenne Research Project was set up to provide that expertise for the tribe when it needed it so it's kind of fulfilling the same functions of CERT.

It's employed, of course, a lot of, a number of Anglos with expertise in particular areas, and I'll share with you one kind of interesting perspective to this. Herman Bear Comes Out, who was the tribal council member at the time, was teasing us at one point and he called us the tribe's White scouts.

And I thought that was pretty good. But anyway, we're all very proudly working for the tribe for the reasons among others, that Steve Chestnut articulated.

Now, my aim in this comment will be to try and put this coal sale that Steve described so well into perspective. I don't believe it's something we can dismiss as a simple mistake on the part of the BIA. The shear magnitude of the folly involved in that thing staggers the imagination. It's — we just can't dismiss it so easily and I think what we have to do instead is to ask ourselves what is the context, the background, the situation that made such a thing possible to begin with?

And that's the question that I think will lead us into the heart of the problem. And the way I'm going to explore that is along two lines, and they cross.

And the first line I think was described very well by

Mr. Whitman, and in fact, I would add that I think his address is one of the few really sane voices that I've heard in this whole conference. He pointed out very clearly that the supply of conventional energy is not going to meet the demand. And I think for this country to put immense amounts of U.S. capital and to sacrifice huge portions of the western United States, its lands and its people, including tribes, in this process, to scrape the bottom of a barrel that's Obviously nearly empty, is crazy. And it's immoral.

I think we better put our resources into dealing with that fact at this point. Not that there should be no development, we're obviously going to need some out here to carry us through but I think the scale and the time frame under which it's being contemplated is -- is the problem at this point. So that's one line of consideration here.

And I think the other one was very well articulated by Mr. Veeder and that is the situation of tribes in this country. And I see the Northern Cheyenne and coal sale example as falling right in the area where these two lines of consideration intersect.

By 1971, to put the coal sale into perspective some more, by 1971 a task force of government and industry representatives had come out with the north-central power study, which many of you will recognize as a blueprint for a network

of huge power plants in the northern plains of just what was being contemplated by industry and government, and that was in 1971.

Now notice that it was considerably earlier than the publication date of the northern-central power study that the bureau of Indian affairs had begun to engineer the coal sales on Northern Chevenne.

These sales, as Steve Chestnut mentioned, began in 1966 and extended to 1973. I would also emphasize that there were provisions in those contracts to allow, and the companies were considering, electrification and gasification conversion facilities to be located on the reservation.

Now, keep in mind the Northern Cheyenne Reservation is a very small reservation, it's some 20-times-30 miles. It's inhabited by a tribe that is a definite community, it's a coherent community on a very small piece of land.

What was being contemplated here before the north-central power study came out was turning that reservation, that small pice of land, into an industrial park, and there's no other way to put it. They were going to industrialize that small area on a scale that is massive by any terms.

If you put that kind of industrialization in the surroundings of Denver, it would be an immense impact. For example, there was one consideration in connection with the gasification facility to create a town of 30,000 people

on the reservation. Well, now the Northern Cheyenne Tribe at that time consisted of about 3,000 people. That's ten times the number of non-Indians in a boomtown situation come on to the reservation, as the original population of the reservation. So you know, you hear about increases of 4% being tolerable or 15% perhaps being tolerable, well, here we're talking about increases of a 1,000%, so you know, we're clearly beyond the realm of any sort of reasonableness in this thing.

There's no way to even consider such numbers except as disaster. And yet the BIA and the department of interior, as Steve mentioned, was very actually promulgating this action as the trustee of the Northern Cheyenne Tribe.

THE MODERATOR: You have a couple more minutes, Mr. Boggs.

A. (By Mr. Boggs) Oh, okay. No way I'm ever going to get through here.

Well, let me just -- the idea I want to introduce here by which the situation can be made somewhat intelligible I think, I don't think you can understand any kind of really rational terms but the background of the tribal situation in this country by which we can understand that event is that I think of the domination of one ethnic group by another. Having people become institutionalized, in the institutions that mediate the relationships between those two groups,

and I think this is the context and the situation of tribes in this country, that really makes it possible to understand this coal sale example that Steven brought out here.

And this institutional domination, I apologize for the term, I can't think of anything else at this point, it's kind of jargony, is something that spread itself in very many different areas on the reservation.

In education, in resource management. In government, in almost every area of life on the reservation we see this kind of domination having been institutionalized to where it's no longer a matter of personal domination or personal morality, it's removed from all of those things as part of the very structure, the fabric of the society of the reservation.

Now, one of the results of this is that tribal institutions, one of the ways it was instituted is that tribal institutions were destroyed quite consciously and systematically in the early reservation period. I think that's true for most reservations. Some version of the functions of these original tribal institutions were subsequently administered through one or another branch of the BIA bureaucracy, so tribes literally for decades have been ruled almost entirely by bureaucratic decree rather than by law, either their own original law or United States law.

And this has had some bizarre consequences of which

the coal sale ultimately was one.

It's also prevented tribes from adapting their own original forms of self government to new circumstances or from developing new workable institutions of their own.

And this is an important part of the total picture here. It makes possible the sort of continuous draining away and exploitation of tribal resources that we've seen and heard discussed here today.

Well, I think since my time is up, I better quit.

Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Boggs.

(Applause)

15 THE MODERATOR: Angela? You're on.

A Thank you. Let me give you just a gut reaction that I got, that I want to share with you just from listening to the speakers.

MS. ANGELA RUSSELL

When I worked for Crow Tribe I had an occasion to do a lot of traveling to meet a lot of Indian people. Many of them very involved in the coal issue. I remember a young

man that I met down in the southwest who had been really on top of what was happening in his part of the country. And one of the things he said to me, which has always stayed with me, is that he stated the weapons to eliminate us, the Indian people, when the White man first came, were guns and blankets, festered with small pox. However, today the weapon is a new one which they're using very successfully, and that is the pen and the contract.

I am here today as a private Crow citizen, and not representing the Crow Tribe in any official capacity, although I'm presently residing in Denver, my home and heart are always at Crow.

Although my comments were to be made as a respondent to the earlier speakers, we can blame the U.S. Postal Service, since they're not here to defend themselves, the nondelivery of those presentations prior to today, which necess itated formulating a presentation which hopefully will be meaningful and useful for this consultation.

I worked for the Crow Tribe during 1975, 1976, in their then newly-created office of coal research. This office had two specific areas of concentration. To compile objective data concerning the various aspects of coal mining on the reservation, and to look at alternatives to the present lease.

Two, to provide a means of allowing the members of the

Crow Tribal Council to make an informed decision about coal development. By the time the coal office was established large acreages of reservation lands were already under lease or permit to major coal companies. Areas under lease or exploration approximated nearly one-tenth of the Crow Reservation. At that point and presently, there is only one coal company, Westmoreland Resources, actively mining coal under a lease signed by the Crow Tribe. The Westmoreland mining area is in a portion of Crow country which we refer to as the ceded area, an area north of the reservation proper which was ceded for homesteading in the early 1900's and was restored, and into which mineral rights were restored to the tribe by congressional action in the 1950's.

Westmoreland will mine an estimated 4.8 million tons by 1982, and peak to 19 million tons by 1989.

At the time of the renegotiated contract with Westmoreland in late 1974, it was heralded as the best contract between an Indian tribe and a major coal company.

The most tangible victory resulting from the renegotiated lease was the increase of the royalty rates for the tribe from 17 and a half cents per ton to an escalating rate of from 25 cents or 6%, to 40 cents or 8% of the selling price of coal. Shortly after which period the State of Montana imposed their new coal severance tax at 30%.

A present lawsuit of the tribe is challenging the

3 3 3

applicability of the Montana coal severance tax on the reservation and that portion of the ceded area where the mining is occurring.

In early 1976 the tribe passed their own coal tax at 25% of the selling price of coal. We also passed a comprehensive law and order code which asserts jurisdiction over non-Indians within the reservation boundaries, a land use plan and zoning ordinance which designates various portions of the reservation into commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational and tribal use only areas.

A proposed land reclamation code and air quality classification, a water use plan, and numerous other legislative proposals are in the process for tribal consideration and action.

In light of recent U.S. Supreme Court decisions, especially Olifant, there are numerous unresolved jurisdictional questions which overtly affect already established and proposed tribal codes and regulatory authorities.

Another court case which is of importance for our discussion today is Crow Tribe V Andress (Phonetic), which is seeking the nullification of four coal leases and permits, basing the argument on a number of code of federal regulation provisions which include acreage limitation.

Let's back up now that I've tried to bring you up to date on our current status to the attitudes of Crow people

22.

concerning; coal development. Our office conducted a Crow
Tribal coal survey in the winter of '75 and this was published in May of that year. We believe that an assessment,
of not only attitudes but knowledge levels held by Crow Tribal
members on coal development was necessary to direct our
efforts in community education.

The survey population included some 306 participants, the characteristics of this group, age, sex, occupation, marital status and education, were generally similar to the characteristics of the whole Crow Indian Reservation population. The following is a general overview of the reservation findings.

The interview schedule sampled some 31 values relating to tribal attitudes, subjects cut across a wide range of issues from pollution to population and from reclamation to national responsibilities. Participants were asked about informational sources they most prefer, about those they least prefer or least believe, they were asked about the good and the bad aspects of coal development.

A series of questions dealt with their attitudes concerning a moratorium and the time necessary to conduct
studies on coal development impacts. Other questions asked
about opening the reservation to mining and power plant
construction, about who should negotiate with the companies,
coal leasing, coal sales, profits and so on.

It appeared that the Crows interviewed were not at all sure about coal development while hearly half would favor opening the reservation to strip mining and an almost equal percentage would oppose the construction of power plant and coal conversion facilities on or near the reservation. A corollary question was asked later, in the survey, where conversion and power plants should be built. Of those responding, half stated the plants should be built where the power is to be used, while about a fourth said they were not sure where the plants should be built, similarly four out of ten Crows felt that their contribution of power from the Yellow Tail Dam and coal from the ceded area was enough in terms of making America energy selfsufficient.

The Crows interviewed were overwhelmingly aginst any dilution to the current clean air, over three-fourths of those responding indicated opposition to any more pollution in the area of the reservation.

Nearly half of the Crow do not want to see their land disturbed.

More than 60% of the Crow felt that their way of life was threatened by industrialization resulting from coal mining and development. They felt strongly that a non-Crow population boom would be bad for them, reasoning that they would end up a minority on their own land, that the reservation is already overcrowded, and that the strangers would bring

bad habits and contribute to more crime.

Those favoring some development saw advantages in improved job market and increased royalties to the tribe. Accordingly, those felt that they would take advantage of the job market if it were available and would seek employment in the mining, construction and allied trades.

A large majority felt that the tribe and individual Crows should reap most of the profits from the coal development, but most conceded that this is not now the case.

Asked about personal values, some 64% indicated the most important things in their lives are family and financial security. The Crows felt that the most believable sources of information about coal related matters were the tribal council, and the news media. Asked about their preferences to receive coal related information, the tribe in its various offices received a significant endorsement of 58% of those responding. The bureau of Indian affairs, interestingly, was well down on the list at 1.8%.

Control of the development should go the Crow Tribe according to more than 60% of those who responded to this question. Another 16% said the bureau of Indian affairs or the federal government should have this control.

Asked about who should negotiate coal leases for the tribe, more than three-fourths of the Crows said the tribal council should handle this responsibility.

.14

 On the other hand, nearly two-thirds felt they; should retain the right to negotiate for individual leases on land they own personally. The Crows are very strongly in favor of a tribally imposed moratorium on future mining and development activities. This question was posed twice in the course of the interview, and was endorsed by majorities of 90 and 85%. In both cases, high percentages felt this study period should extend for at least a year.

In summary, it appears that the Crow are generally cautious about coal development, most favoring a time-out period of about a year in order to conduct in-house studies on proposed and existing mining activities. They would also want to use this period to raise the individual and collective levels of knowledge about coal development on the part of the tribal membership.

If they do opt for mining, then they want it done on their terms. They want minimal disruption of their lifestyles and their lands, and they want maximum return for their investment.

They are categorically against any increase in land, air, water, and people pollution. This survey also approached a wide range of knowledge level issues, some 22 questions were asked about such concerns as sources of information on coal, eminent domain laws, location of leases and land ownership in the lease areas, distribution of royalties,

from coal mining, impacts from miningsand development, and bureau of Indian affairs studies on coal development.

By majority of more than three to one, the Crows who participated in this survey indicated that they presently did not have enough knowledge about coal mining and development and the impacts of this development on their reservation. Knowledge levels about tribal coal leases were very low. Among the sample participants: While some 45% of the sample said they knew about coal leases and 95% of these were able to list one or more of the companies involved, actual details of the leases were lacking in most responses.

Of those responding, 8.3% said they knew something about the details of these leases. In fact, none were accurate in their descriptions.

In conclusion, it is apparent that the series participants who are representative of the reservation population as a whole are greatly deficient in their knowledge and — about coal related development and activities. This lack of knowledge is not limited to a few issues, but cuts across a whole range of coal development impacts from sociocultural to financial, economic, to environmental.

The Crows who participated in this survey admit to their confusion, they acknowledge a reservation-wide lack of information on the subject of coal mining and development.

Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Angela.

^

THE MODERATOR: Carole?

(Applause)

MS. CAROLE ANNE HEART

A. (By Ms. Heart) I wanted to direct my responses to Mr. Veeder but I notice that he left the room.

I have a question at the very end of all my presentation and the question would be for Mr. Veeder, but I will begin by reiterating that not only the Rosebud Sioux but all the Indian tribes in South Dakota, view water resources as their last most valuable natural resource, because in South Dakota the Black Hills was taken away from the Indian tribes, you know where the largest gold mine in South Dakota presently is, and now it seems that the State of South Dakota is very interested in the water that the Indian tribes own within the State of South Dakota.

For those of you who do not know, Rosebud Reservation is located in south-central South Dakota. And it encompasses almost one million acres, and most of this is owned by the Rosebud Sioux Tribe or individual Rosebud Sioux tribal members.

The Rosebud Sioux Tribe in the recent decison of Rosebud V Kneip (Phonetic), it originally encompassed five million acres and since that supreme court decision in 1977, it has now just been reduced to Todd County, and there were four counties that were taken away from the Rosebud Sioux Tribe at that time and they were Mellette, Gregory and, I can't remember the other ones right now, but those three, three counties were taken away from the Rosebud Sioux Tribe, but there are still almost 400,000 acres that are held in trust by individual Indians within those counties. And at the present time Todd County, the people in Todd County, the Indian people comprise 76% of that reservation and 54% of Mellette and that is become a big campaign issue right now within the State of South Dakota.

All the gubernatorial candidates get up and they talk about what their views are on water development within the State of South Dakota, and they all get up and talk about the Indian water rights problem and what they are going to do about the Indian water rights problem for the people of South Dakota. And you know, it's really beginning to become an issue within the state.

And some of the problems that Indian people face within the State of South Dakota regarding their water rights, is the fact that the State of South Dakota issues water permits to irrigators within Todd County, which is --

1 2 3

which is the reservation, and I think last year there was something like 114 water permits issued to irrigators within the reservation boundaries.

And that is one of the problems. And to that, an objection is always issued by the area, Aberdeen area solicitors office, an objection to these water permits that are issued within the state -- within the boundaries of the reservation.

Also we have the same problem with the Missouri
River Basin Commission because this is a policy making
commission, it affects all the tribes within, you know, South
Dakota, and there are 27 tribes that claim water rights to
the Missouri River because the Missouri River originates,
you know, in Montana and goes through Wyoming and South
Dakota.

There are 27 Indian tribes that have, you know, a stake within claims to the Missouri River water. And yet they have no representation on the Missouri River Basin Commission.

And I just recently read an article where the commission thought that they might allow one representative, Indian representative to sit on the commission but have no voting power whatsoever and he can sit on this commission for one year and if things go all right, then maybe they might give him some voting power.

Also another thing that is happening within the State of South Dakota is that the state, through the state legislative research council, has been conducting hearings with the nine Indian tribes in South Dakota over the water rights issue, and what they are trying to do is get the nine Indian tribes in South Dakota to negotiate away their Indian water rights. And so far we've had about four meetings and each of the Indian tribes always send a representative, and all of the Indian tribes have unitedly said that they do not want to negotiate, that you know, they don't know enough about their own Indian water rights within their own reservations, that they aren't prepared to negotiate and yet the State of South Dakota continues to have these meetings, you know.

If they send one representative who says we're not ready to negotiate, then they may send a letter to somebody else saying well, would you want to negotiate? And I've seen this happen in some research that I had conducted for the Yankton Sioux Tribe about, oh, maybe two years ago.

And what this had to do with was the Yankton Sioux have a lake on their reservation called Lake Andes, and that lake dried up, you know, through the drought and they didn't take care of the pumps that was keeping the water within the lake and the lake dried up. So therefore, the farmers within the area came to the lake and they wanted to cut down all the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

:24

25

crops and use for forage for their animals and the Yankton Sioux said hey, we own the lake bed, and you know, they didn't think that the Indian tribe owned the lake bed so then they've gone to court over it and now they're awaiting an decision to be made by the federal district court in Sioux Falls over who owns the lake bed at Lake Andes and you know, all the Indian tribes are kindsof waiting to see what happens here.

But in the research that I was doing at that time, the Yankton Sioux Reservation was created in 1858, and in the cession of 1891, almost more than half of the reservation was taken away by the federal government in the 1891 cession. but during that time they started negotiations with the Yankton Sioux about a year before the cession, and they held meetings , you know, they had big dinners and big suppers' and they brought presents to the tribal council and the tribal council kept telling the federal government they didn't want to negotiate and they didn't want to, you know, cede awayv all of their reservation, but they continued these negotiations for a whole year and everyttime they had a meeting they even had them in the winter time, you know, during blizzards and they'd have, you know, big feeds for the Yankton Sioux and they brought in trainloads of presents for the tribal members, but they, you know, kept refusing to negotiate, and you know, the cession was signed in December

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI

of 1891, and up until the last part of November, the tribe was still saying they didn't want to, didn't want to cede their land.

And just one day, you know, within the -- within the research that I was finding they all signed the treaty and you know that something happened in between that time that wasn't reported or something happened where they didn't tell exactly what happened that caused the Yankton Sioux to sign the treaty so you know, there's something very fishy going on, and I feel that, you know, maybe the same thing might happen to the -- to the Sioux in South Dakota through this legislative research council, they're all refusing to negotiate and yet they continue to have these meetings trying to get the Indian tribes to negotiate away their Indian water rights.

And also another thing that's happening in South
Dakota is that the State of South Dakota has conducted a study
called the West River Aqueduct Study and what they hope to
do is to pump water from the Missouri River or Lake Oahe
all the way across the State of South Dakota over to Wyoming,
and they want to sell the water from the Missouri River
to the State of Wyoming for their mining operations.

Now, one very important thing about this is the fact that within these different studies that they conducted about the West River Aqueduct, they had one section for the legal

background on the West River Aqueduct, and within that legal background none of the attorneys ever came up with the idea or ever researched the fact that the nine Indian tribes in South Dakota have a claim to the Missouri River water. And in their report none of them ever reported that the Indian tribes have water rights to the Missouri River and now that's really becoming a problem right now because the Indian tribes found out about the study and they said okay, if you're going to sell the Missouri River water, why can't we sell it?

And so it's almost at a standstill right now because the state knows that they can't take any more steps or else the Indian tribes in South Dakota may take them to court and find out who really does own the Missouri River water, so it's, you know, almost at a standstill right now.

And also, I'd like to mention again about this gubernatorial campaign where water development is one of the prime issues. The State of South Dakota and all the Indian tribes have initiated a voter registration drive to register all the Indian voters within, you know, all the reservations in South Dakota, and they, you know, this drive was so successful that now some of the gubernatorial candidates are opposing the registration drive because they feel that almost all the Indian people in South Dakota will not, you know, support a certain candidate, you know, and that's

3[°]

 really becoming a big issue right now.

But in 1972 another problem for the Rosebuds was that in 1972 Secretary of Interior, Rogers Morton, issued a memo stating that they would not accept any more water codes from any more Indian tribes within the United States. And a lot of the Indian tribes, at that time, were working on their water codes to finalize them and when they issued that memo, that put a stop to all the water code for all the Indian tribes in South Dakota and I think that there was just several Indian tribes that submitted their water codes before this 1972 memo.

THE MODERATOR: You have about two minutes left, Carole.

A. Okay. And also Carter's, you know, ten-year water policy, it goes for ten years in the future and he, if he favors negotiation, and in his water policy statement regarding Indian tribes, he states that he favors negotiation of Indian tribes of their water rights but still, you know, admitting that they do have water, superior and prior water rights.

We feel that some of the solution to these problems, you know, going along with the Winter's Doctrine that Indian tribes have prior and superior water rights, adjacent, you know, of waters running through their reservations or waters adjacent to their reservations and one of the definitions

that they use is the amount of acreage that is practicably irrigable. Now, that can be almost anything. It's been subjected to interpretation by most anyone. And as of now lot of the Indian tribes in South Dakota have been conducting phase studies of water inventories for their reservation, and at these legislative research council hearings they know that these inventories are being conducted, and one of the members on the commission was talking to the Sioux Tribe who was one of the smaller tribes in South Dakota, and one, the chairman there announced that he felt that there was something like 3,000 irrigable acres on his reservation so this person representatives on the commission said, well, if there's 3,000 irrigable acres on Lower Barrel (Phonetic) and there's nine reservations, we'll just multiply that by nine and the total amount of irrigable acres for all the reservations in South Dakota is 27,000 acres.

Now, well, that's the problem that we have all the time because the Missouri River Basin Commission also issued a study that they conducted and their reports of what is irrigable acres is far lower than what the Rosebud Sioux Tribe has come up with as irrigable acres but the Rosebud Sioux Tribe is very reluctant to release what they consider their amount of irrigable acres because you know, the State of South Dakota may use this and use this against them at some time in the future and that's what all the

> BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Indian tribes are kind of afraid of right now in South Dakota.

And the question that we have right now is, you know, what would happen if all the tribes in South Dakota refused to negotiate? You know what would happen? Because that's what's happening right now and I know that most of the Indian tribes in South Dakota do not want to negotiate because they don't feel that they know enough about their own reservation and the soil types and this type of thing, to forecast what is irrigable acres.

Thank you.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.

We're going to open it up now for about five minutes of questions. Probably eight minutes.

Q (By Mr. Raymond Tsosie) I'm Raymond Tsosie, I represent international in New Mexico on the Navajo Reservation.

I'd like to direct a question to CERT. You mentioned the financial returns you're working on. Insofar as the best financial return is concerned to the tribes.

I'd like to ask what, for the group that are present here, what is being done with these monies by the tribes insofar as opportunities for minorities for those tribes that are concerned, what kind of social services do they have

in their tribal government and so forth? What is being done with this money, is what I'm asking?

A. (By Mr. Smith) I don't think that one can generalize. I think that you have — you have a broad range of situations where so me of the money, tribes will make it in the form of a per capita payment and pay out most of it directly to the individuals, you have others where it's going into the general fund. I think the Navajos would be a case of the latter where it goes into the tribal revenues, and it just becomes part of the operating expenses for the tribe, but there is — I mean the reason we have tribes is that they're different, and each tribe handles it separately.

So that's -- that's something that, that's not an issue at this point that CERT's involved in, other than as we help them develop we will be trying to encourage them to develop a balanced growth strategy that would allocate a certain portion of their revenues to other types of development that would be more long lasting.

Is that -- I don't know if I'm answering your question.

Q It's the concern of this consultation, as I see it,
is the impact of coal and energy development on the minorities
and women, and I see the coal development to have its impact
on the reservation through financial returns to them and what
is being done, with these financial returns for the Indians
insofar as their welfare is concerned, is what my question

really was.

A Okay. So CERT -- CERT can't move in and tell a tribe, you know, we can direct them but CERT is essentially there as a technical assistance body that can offer resources, human resources that the tribe does not necessarily have available to it. I agree, personally, that we need to do more to move in the allocation of some of these funds towards longer term development projects that would provide employment opportunities for individuals on the tribe and that's where CERT gets into the educational programs.

We'll be working with them as technicians in that area but the ultimate decision is really up to the tribal leaders themselves.

THE MODERATOR: Mario had a question?

Q (By Mr. Mario Gonzalez) Actually I have two questions for Mr. Chestnut.

Question number 1, in regards to the 30% severance tax in the State of Montana, does that tax apply to closed reservations such as the Northern Cheyenne Tribe, and if so, what's the rationale for the state obtaining this tax revenue rather than the tribe enacting a severance tax and benefiting?

And question number 2 regards the Hollow Breast case,

I followed that case, was very interested in the outcome

and I think you spoke about that case in your presentation

,24 where the United States Supreme Court held that the allottees on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation did not acquire mineral rights to their allotments and ultimately the tribe owned allothe mineral rights on the reservation.

I spoke with some tribal members from the Northern Cheyenne Tribe and I'm part Cheyenne also, so I'm very interested, that they were expressing a little concern in some future time you might get an unscrupulous tribal council and they might be put off and grant leases with total disregard for the culture of the tribe and the welfare of the tribe.

Is there anything in the tribal consitution that would prevent the tribal council from doing this such as department of revenue and if not, are there any plans to put this in the tribal constitution to protect the tribal members?

A. (By Mr. Chestnut) With regard to the severance tax question, I do not think the State of Montana has valid jurisdiction to tax mineral development on the Northern Cheyenne Reservation, and I — or on any other reservation for that matter, in the State of Montana.

That has not been declared by any court. But basically my position is based on the fact that for many years in the early part of the century, the statutory authorization for the leasing of minerals on Indian reservations was kind of a patchwork quilt of specific — specific provisions. Not an

8.

 integrated whole.

Some of those provisions seem to give some authority to the state to tax the proceeds of mineral development.

However, in 1983, all that legislation in my view, was superceded by an Omnibus bill which covered mineral leasing on all reservations, which has no taxing authority in it, and which furthermore specifically repealed all inconsistent legislation.

So that my view is that to the extent there was statutory authority in the early part of the century for state mineral taxation, which by the way was declared by the U.S. Supreme Court in a case involving the Black Feet in the early 1930's, that authority no longer exists and I think that when it's presented properly, to a court, a court will agree. On the taxation question.

With regard for the Hollow Breast case, that was a case that I handled for the Northern Cheyenne Tribe, and the supreme court, in 1976, declared that the minerals beneath the reservation were owned in perpetuity by the tribe as a whole. The statute establishing the tribe's ownership provides that minerals may be leased on the reservation with the consent of the tribal council which, as you know, is elected by the people of the reservation under the constitution and charter.

It also provides that the proceeds of any mineral

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

development will be held for the benefit of all the members of the tribe. My own view, of course I'm a little bit biased, is that that's a better arrangement than having fragmentary ownership on the reservation, and I rely principally on what I consider to be a very convincing fact. The tribe had essentially regained control of reservation coal development by the fall of 1974. In 19.— later on in the fall of 1974, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals unanimously held against the tribe in the Hollow Breast case and held that in fact ownership of the minerals vested in the individual allottees with respect to their own allotments.

THE MODERATOR: I'm sorry to interrupt you, Mr. Chestnut, but we're about ten minutes over into the other time so we're going to have to cut it short.

Thank you all for your kind attention.

(The following was moderated by Ms. Geraldine Travis)

THE MODERATOR: We're going to begin the fifth panel.

I'm Geraldine Travis and I'm a member of the Montana

Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

And in the absence of Mr. Ernie Bighorn, who is the chairman of our committee, I am acting as moderator.

The speakers this morning are LeenTopash, National Center for Appropriate Technology; Judith Davenport, Wyoming

1 2 3

Human Service Project; William Freudenburg, sociologist, Yale University; and Mr. Burman Lorenson is the director of federal regional -- of the federal regional council, and he will speak on the panel also.

Jackie Nixon-Love, Colorado Energy Conservation Office and Mr. Sandoval will not be with us this morning. So we will begin with Mr. -- with the speaker Lee Topash.

MR. LEE TOPASH

A (By Mr. Topash) Well, looks like we lose a lot of people either over the coffee break or they're all getting hungry and going to lunch but I guess we'll do the best we can right now.

Over the last day and a half, all the speakers have been addressing the question of boomtowns, the effects of the boomtown and energy crisis on minorities and women. A lot of very fine statistics has been -- have been given, we certainly have identified a lot of the problems, and it always seems to me like when we come to this conferences. I sure go to my share of conferences and I'm sure a lot of you go to your share of conferences and meetings.

We always seem to always find out and always know what the problem is and when we leave after a day or two we all say yes, the Indian's got a problem or the women's got a problem or whatever the -- whatever the issue is at that meeting or conferences is going to address.

However, I really don't say and I'm not saying that any conference is going to solve all the problems, whatever the issue is that they're addressing at that particular meeting, but I think that we've got to start looking at some direction and not just sitting down identifying problems.

And I think Pauline Garrett yesterday said it in the very beginning and I was glad to hear it, she was saying that she didn't want to see so much of the problem identifying at this meeting as she wanted to see some directions, to give some direction to the decision makers and I certainly do agree with that.

And I would like to see more of that done, the remainder of this conference.

But I guess we got to ask ourselves what can we really expect from a conference like this? And I think it kind of answered one word is nothing. Because the people who really control the power, the people that are in the decision making position, the higherups, are really not here.

There are some here, but the people that are really in power don't attend these kind of conferences. It's more of a PR-type thing, and rather than a policy for whether it's a corporation or whether it's for the higher decision

makers in the government-making process.

Another speaker yesterday that I felt very comfortable with and certainly did agree with was Hilda. She put it very clear when she says that the only reason that the big corporations have got big is by exploitation of their workers and I certainly do concur with this.

My background is definitely with organized labor.

I work for the Montana AFL-CIO for four years in their employment and training program, and prior to that I was very active in my local union in Billings, Montana.

But I guess we've got to realize that if it isn't in the economic good for the employers or if it isn't politically beneficial for some people, they won't have any direct input in a conference like this and I think that's a factor we have to realize.

Hilda also mentioned that the only way we're going to survive is by unity. But how are we going to identify -- how are we going to develop this unity amongst ourselves and particularly my interest with the Indian people?

My major role is as a field representative for the national center for appropriate technology, which is located in Butte, Montana. But which itself is a national program. My job is an outreach worker in region 8, and my duties are and my role is to keep in contact with low income organizations, with Indian reservations and various

nonprofit organizations that address the needs of low income people. I tried to help these people in identifying their problems and found out which way NCAT, through the appropriate technology process, can help them.

When we talk about the subject of the effects of energy development and the effects of energy policies on minorities, the question has to be addressed and has to keep being asked all the time, is, and I'm speaking particularly right now of the Indian community, is how do Indians plan the destiny of their own people?

I know this has been brought up several times in the last day and a half, and that question still has to be asked over and over again. Because economically Indians are in the possession of a wealth of natural resources, they have the oil, the coal, the water, and gas and 2% of the nation's land base. But the unemployment rate on the reservations is probably the highest in the country, and I maintain that, and I hope that everybody else here does, that jobs can't be produced at any rate or at any cost. And when we're talking about boomtowns we're talking about energy policies, this has to be foremost in our mind.

Because we can not produce jobs at any cost and I think many of the speakers have addressed this.

The results of boomtown, the effects of rapid growth and unplanned energy policies. When we talk about, when I

talk about appropriate technology, appropriate technology is basically what is appropriate for your particular community, for your town or reservation. The definition of appropriate is suitable and what is best suited for that particular town, what's best suited for that reservation or that area.

MUONEORUM

Programs that are or projects that are developed, if it's on an Indian reservation, they have to be -- they should be developed as labor intensive projects, they have to be economically beneficial to the community, and they do have to produce jobs and they definitely can not threaten the environment and they can't endanger the culture if it's an Indian reservation.

I feel there's a real need to educate, to inform more people on the reservations and low income people, and particularly about their relative simplicity of the appropriate technology, and its benefits and the benefits that it can have for the low income community.

When I talk about appropriate technology, which seems to be a new term nowadays, that everybody's picking up and getting on, I guess you might say getting on the bandwagon. It's a term that can be used and used very, defined very broadly and it really is a very simple label, because we talk about what is best suited for that local community or for the people on that reservation.

The main, one of the things that people seem to talk about when they talk about appropriate technology is alternative energy. Solar power, wind power, gardening, food needs, food coops., and greenhouses. I guess the reason that alternative energy issue why that is always addressed when we talk about appropriate technology, is the increasing rate of energy nowadays and also the high cost of foods which are just a couple of the main problems that of course face our country today but I think it's a well known fact that the low income people are the ones that are always affected by any energy crisis or any economic slump, and these are the class of people, these are the people that are in the most need of effective assistance to achieve selfreliance.

I realize that we can't pull the plug completely from the power companies, but we certainly can be a little less dependent on the giant corporations, we can go less dependent on the utilities, so when we talk about alternative energy we have to start thinking about being more self-reliant in an appropriate way in whatever is the most suited way for that particular region.

Society, up until now, has allowed technology to become very centralized. A small number of large corporations control the nation's economy. About 2% of the population controls about 80% of the corporate stock. The

concentrated wealth, such as this, as we all know, it not only controls the business world but also controls the government.

The growth in America, I feel, is corporate growth and when we talk about this stranglehold on the nation's economy with the concentrated wealth, it's very inappropriate.

When we talk about control of the government we talk about income tax structure, the tax structure in the country, it's legislated for and it's controlled by the rich. And the rich being the giant corporations. And the use of technology is power. And the wrong kind of technology, of course, could have very devastating effects on any small community which has, as related in some of the remarks on boomtowns.

political power. And they really haven't posed a threat:
to giant corporations or to too many utility companies in
the past. But all of a sudden recently the Indian reservations have something that the corporations and the utilities
want and that's natural resources.

So things are starting to happen, all around the country, when this reservation that was set aside years ago, the land apparently was no good for anything else so they gave it to the Indians, they find out that there is a wealth of natural resources underneath that reservation.

So we start putting together this corporate growth, we put together this corporate concentrated power, how they control the government, and a few things start coming down that are very obvious. One is the Means-Cunningham Bill that's in congress, the big approach to do away with Indian reservations, to do away with the Indian water rights.

I'm on the Montana Human Rights Commission, and Proposition 13 in California has certainly produced a lot of headaches for people in various levels of government.

I'm not a full time -- I'm not a staff, but I do serve at the pleasure of the governor on the human rights commission and the big thing nowadays is to cut taxes, we got to cut government services, and right now we're facing a 75% cut in the human rights staff in Montana.

Now, when you have a staff of four, 75% cut doesn't leave you too much. And so we're talking about human services that are being cut that are used to address the problems that we talk about on the social problems.

One other thing, in Montana just this week, a judge in Missoula gave, handed down a ruling which in court the Associated General Contractors was, and I'm not real familiar with this bill, I just got a phone call on it yesterday, and got a little work cut out for me when I get back, but the reason I want to bring it up now is if any people are interested contact me sometime today and we'll put you in

touch with the Montana Human Rights Commission.

The result was that Indians are not considered minorities anymore when it talks about quotas on jobs.

The Associated General Contractors was, their complaint was that I believe it was the EEA has the 10% Indian quota on jobs. And they're saying that because of this, the contractors are not receiving, they're being — their jobs are being — are in jeopardy and so forth, so apparently the Associated General Contractors took them to court and the judge, who by the way is very, very much influenced by MOD in Montana and for those of you that don't know what MOD is, it's Montanans Opposed to Discrimination, it's the backlash of the non-Indian community fighting on the Indian reservations.

by MOD, and the, apparently the process for which this court proceeding went through was so fast and, it just boggles the mind to think that they're saying that Indians are not minorities because some Indians can only -- might only have a small degree of Indian blood in them so how do we really consider them minorities?

Now, I'm not going to speak much more on that because I really don't know that much about it but I certainly would like to have a few people talk to me afterwards, the briefs have to be submitted by the 10th of November. And -- which

the order was handed down on Tuesday and the judge says, by the 10th of November, these briefs have to be turned in.

So what I'm getting at is, when we talk about corporate power, we talk about how the wrong type of technology is applied in our country, it can have very bad effects on Indian reservations.

They can't beat us in court. I don't live on a reservation but they can not beat the Indians in court, so what they do is the corporations use their power through legislation whether it's the Means-Cunningham Bill or Proposition 13, they cut the social services, they hand down rulings by unfair judges against the Indian community.

Little while ago Carole Heart spoke about voter registration and what the effects that had in South Dakota. And you know good and well that it just, it just blows the non-Indian community's mind to think that if the Indians ever got out and registered to vote, can you imagine what impact we could have on the country?

I think this has to be, I think the Indians definitely have to be involved in this political process and the political power must be exercised by the Indian community.

In conclusion, I think I heard a light tapping over there, I want to read a little thing that I got several years ago, I'm not sure where I picked it up but it kind of reflects all my thoughts whenever I read this thing and I

I am a Cheyenne. I have given up my land to the same White man that slaughtered my ancestors. I lost my tribal old heros that I could sell my heart and soul for a few million tons of coal and a pocket full of worthless greenbacks. I have had a good time with my money but now I am as broke as I was before. But now I have nothing, no home for the White man is mining on it. No friend for they have gone their own ways and are probably seeking the same thing that I am. Also in a lonely place without other Cheyennes. What shall I do for I have nothing?

dead. When I was drinking I was drinking and getting drunk with other Cheyennes and I was fighting I was fighting with other Cheyennes. Now they're all gone. My tears are dripping from my heart and there is no one to care for me because everyone is gone. My mother and father are buried, I can not see their graves for their bones have been scattered by the White man's machines digging in our sacred grounds.

Why not get our people -- why could our people not see what was ahead of them? What shall I do for I have nothing.

The council of chiefs have grown fat, the council of chiefs have sold us out. The council of chiefs has become our worst enemy. My people do not let us make the same mistake

as our Osage brothers with their oil lands, let us not sell our souls, our mines, our future as a race of people for the temporary luxuries of our White conquerer. Put on the weapons of your mind, fight the legal battles with cunning and determination, sing the victory songs loud when the mining equipment leaves the boundary of our reservation and homelands. Unity is the only way we can win. Get together with all Cheyennes, the ones you called your friends, the ones you talked about behind your backs, speak the old language with the old people and fight the elements that are betraying us and cast us from our lands forever.

Let not the history books of the future say, there used to be a reservation in Montana that a tribe called the Northern Cheyennes once lived on. There is no reservation. There are no more Cheyennes. There is just a big black hole.

Thank you.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Next we will hear from Mrs. Judith

Davenport, associate professor and director of the Wyoming

Human Services Project. And the Department of Social Work

at the University of Wyoming.

MS. JUDITH DAVENPORT

2

1

3

A

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

(By Ms. Davenport) Thank you. If you don't mind, I'd rather sit down.

I was asked to talk with you about our project and what we're doing at the University of Wyoming, and discuss ways in which we are trying to mitigate some of the impacting for minorities and women in the communities experiencing rapid growth.

Our project is a two-fold project, we have a training component and we have a service component, a community component. We are funded by the National Institute of Mental Health as far as the training component is concerned and I'll get into that a little bit.

The history of it I think you probably would be interested in. A number of faculty members from different departments were having sack lunches on a monthly basis and we're talking about social change theory and a lot of things that academicians talk about, theorize about, and they were talking about the situation in Wyoming, in the west, and what's happening in these boomtowns.

Well, what maybe could we do about this situation as faculty members? So we got together and said well, let's write a proposal and see if we can do something with teams.

Here we are, a team of academicians who are looking

at the situation in boomtowns and we're looking from different perspectives, what we need is some training to put teams into communities in Wyoming and relieve some of the pressures and the problems in the community, take a multidisciplinary approach at this. Who might be interested in funding it?

So a number of groups of private and public funding sources were sought, and National Institute of Mental Health is the one that came up at the top and said that we would like to fund you. So we have a five-year training grant from the National Institute of Mental Health to train multidisciplinary teams to go into energy impacted communities to work in the areas of community development and community organization.

We take students who are in their last year of training, whatever their discipline is, their last year of training, whether it's at the undergraduate level or at the graduate level, and they take a course for a year, it's a three-hour course that's offered two semesters and in order to be chosen as a team member you have to take both semesters of the course.

We have traditionally had your softer, more clinical types of students interested in a course like this, your psychology students, social work, sociology, guidance, this year we have a class of 21 people, and in this class we have

1 political science majors, communications majors, social 2 work majors, anthropoligy majors, guidance and counsel. 3 nursing, law, biochemistry and chemical engineering. So we have really been looking broadly at this community problem, and of course this causes a lot of difficulty in terms of 6 trying to train people who are at different levels of edu-7 cation, some in undergraduate, some at the doctoral level, 8 and in terms of where they're coming from in their background

and training in these various disciplines.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But what we do in our training course is that we have approach as far as the faculty is concerned, we have a core faculty of which I, as project director, am, well, I'm the main coordinator of what is taught, but we have psychologists, lawyers, we have medical anthropologists, cultural anthropologists, we will be having sociologists hopefully next semester.

People from social work, from communications, who help teach this course. We feel that we have to look at communities in a broad perspective and from different avenues, we bring physicians in from the community, we bring people who are living in impacted communities, senior citizens, into class to teach my students about how they feel the community is affected by the impacting.

After we have this year's worth of training, hopefully we are to place these team members in communities in Wyoming

that are experiencing rapid growth due to the energy development. These communities have to have requested that we come
in to the community and there's the added problem of funding
because the National Institute of Mental Health does not fund
the community portion of our project.

Someone yesterday was asking whose responsibility is it in terms of mitigation strategies and another respondent said, well, it's everybody's responsibility. Well, this is basically what we've looked at in terms of funding for our teams in the community and we've gotten funding from just about every aspect of the community. We've had teams in Gillette, Wyoming and we are currently in Wheatland, Wyoming, we have two and a half teams, I'll say, we had teams in Gillette for two and a half years. During that period of time we were funded by the economic development administration, by Atlantic Richfield, by Title XX funds, by city government and by the county government.

So we covered just about all aspects of funding.

The three teams that we've had in Wheatland, Wyoming, have been funded entirely by the Missouri Basin power project which is the energy developing project there. They have a commitment for three years of funding for our team.

What our team does besides look at the community in terms of community organizing and developing is that we offer person power. We offer direct assistance to agencies

in the community that are experiencing the overload and the stresses on the social service systems of the community.

Our nurses would perhaps go into the public health department and work half time, if this is where the need is, our lawyers have been city attorneys, have been assistant city administrators, one is currently employed full time now as city administrator, assistant city administrator in Gillette, but they're used half time in direct service in agencies giving some relief to these individuals who are inundated with the new case load.

While at the same time the second half of the week, it's divided into 20 hours working in an agency, 20 hours working as a team associate or project associate, where they, as a team, look at the community, work with advisory boards, the task force that the community has in looking at boom problems, and they try to develop strategies and implement programs to meet the needs of the community.

They do not come in and say these are what your problems are in your community and this is what we're going to do about it, because the community has to define its problems. And what our team does is work as advocates, as enhancers, facilitators, for the interaction and what can come out of the community.

What happens is that the local service providers can barely take care of the band aid types of services and don't have the time or opportunity to be pro active and this is something which we can do.

As far as the community is concerned, they would like to see our associates be a 100% in the agencies, the agency people would because they get so much of a relief in terms of some assistance. But they also recognize the need for development of programs for this new influx of people coming into the community.

I want to now discuss some of the programs in which

I feel that our project has helped women and minorities,

even though we are basically there for the community as

a whole. We have developed certain programs which we feel

specifically aid women and minorities and then we feel like

the other things we've done in the community have also

aided in that respect.

One of the things we have to look at in terms of like Wheatland, Wyoming, is that 27% of the population is over the age of 60. That's a tremendous amount of senior citizens in a community like this.

One of the things that the Platte County Human

Services Project, which is our team in Wheatland, helped

develop was a golden age card, discount card, which enabled

senior citizens in the community to take this membership

card to local participating merchants and get a 10% discount

on all merchandise. This has been strongly supported by

merchants in the community and is used quite widely in the community.

Another thing that we helped with as far as senior citizens is that one of our project associates was assigned to the senior citizen center, and helped with the programming and helped with literalization projects in that area.

Also, the team has been assisting with the extension service, the energy extension service at the university, in going into the homes of the community residents, especially the elderly, and trying to encourage them to sign up for the home analysis for conservation of energy service that's offered by the extension service, they get this service free, they'll be able to look in terms of what they may be wasting in energy and ways in which to fix it up, so these are some ways we're helping.

The housing situation, of course, has been critical in impacting communities. It's not so critical for new-comers coming in because Missouri Basin power project did take some initiative before they ever began their construction process in building the facilities for their people coming in.

But with some of the newer service jobs that are coming into the community, the housing has risen, the low income people, the elderly have been especially hurt by this.

So our project was asked to investigate the housing

situation in the community and make reports and recommendations. So a series of articles were presented to the task force and to the county commissioners and the planning bodies, and now they're looking in terms of investigating all possible sources of funding for low income housing in the area.

18.

One thing that the project helped establish was a help line. This is an information referral and crisis line in the community. Even though I'd say 50% of those who use the help line are women, what has tended to be significant is that the use of the help line by women has been in crisis situations more than it has been for the men using it, which I think is very significant. Many women who are alcoholic or their husband has come home and has abused them after coming in, being alcoholic themselves, many drug related and alcohol related calls come in through the help line so we feel like this has been of great assistance to the women in the community.

Also we use volunteers for this totally. And we find that our newcomers into the community, the women are the ones who will volunteer for the help line at the most part.

We do have some -- some what we call old timers,
who are participating in the volunteer services and one thing
that this helps do when they receive the crisis training
is it helps to dissolve this we-they syndrome that you have in

ġ

> 13°

、20

 these communities where they have a chance to get together with the old timers, new timers and the old timers have a chance to get together and work on some problems together.

Day care seems to be a tremendous problem in many of the impacted communities. Our project established a coop., a babysitting coop., in which the community people were able to exchange babysitting services so that there could be some relief for parents and especially for the women.

This is good at night time so that the women can have some recreational opportunities. This project is now totally supported by individuals in the community who took it over themselves.

What we're trying to do in our project is to help get things started, with the community, and then slowly pull out while the community takes over a project. This is what we're all about. Helping the community help itself.

We also evaluated the current day care center that's supported by local funds for the county commissioners and helped write a grant so that the day care center could be continued to be funded because it was going to be a problem with whether they would continue to be funded so we helped in writing the grant proposals in this area.

especially beneficial. It's a takeoff on your Big Brother,
Big Sister-type of program in your more urban areas. But

1

5

6

7 8 9

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17.

18-19

20 21

22

23

24.

25

is a situation where basically children with, say a mother who's a head of the household and no father, have an opportunity to have a Big Brother, this is where it's been used the most.

Another role model in the home, different person to relate to, to go camping, fishing, whatever. This was established by our project and has now been absorbed by one of the local civic organizations and has been very successful in helping with the children and especially with the single parents in the community.

We were involved in Planned Parenthood in Gillette and established that program for the first time, we have a project associate assigned to Family Planning in Wheatland at the current time because of their massive overload.

Recreation seems to be a tremendous problem, we talked about that also.

We have always had a recreation person be assigned in these communities. It seems that the recreation department is the one in which has such an overload and needs programming kinds of things. Our project associate in recreation right now is a women who is trying to gear programs to get the women active in recreation.

We don't claim to have allothe answers, we have a lot of problems, but we feel like the project that we have established in Wyoming is applicable to other communities and

this is what we're hoping to do is to show a process in which people can go into a community, and help to mitigate some of the problems caused by impaction.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: And now we will hear from Mr. William Freudenburg, sociologist from Yale University.

MR. WILLIAM FREUDENBURG

10.

A. (By Mr. Freudenburg) Technically, as of a couple days ago, I'm now from Washington State University. I've been working on a Ph.D. program at Yale, I'm just finishing up, I'm about to become an honestly employed person.

I'm going to edit out quite a bit of my early comments because, as anyone who attended yesterday's sessions is by now well aware, rapid energy related growth can cause substantial problems for women, minorities and for other human beings who live in or near energy impacted regions.

That point I think has already been made well enough I don't have to go into it here. There are, however, two other points that may not have been made as clearly and which are worth keeping in mind.

First, the problems of boomtowns are not simply economic, not simply logistical, some of the most obvious and pressing problems are economic in nature, that mass, of new people coming in are going to need homes, they'll need water and sick treatment and so on and these problems can generally be involved by the timely addition of enough funds. But as everyone in this room knows, it takes more than water and sewer hookups to keep a human being functioning properly.

And in additionate the economic and logistical problems, people in boomtowns experience a variety of social
problems. Problems which are caused by the disruption of
social and cultural systems and which we can't really solve
by throwing dollars at them. Even under the best of circumstances, for example with the kinds of work Burman Lorenson
has been doing or with the Wyoming Human Services program
which pays a great deal of attention to the human problems
of a boomtown we are simply making the best of what remains
a bad situation. A band aid to wounds which are still
wounds and which might have been prevented.

Many of those wounds in fact can't be healed with simple band aids and under worse circumstances we may not even have the band aids at all. Actually, there's another problem, though, with standard mitigating measures even when they're available and applied.

⁷3

.11

13⁻

 Almost by their very nature they're formalized tax supported bureaucracies, professionalized, and when we turn to them to lessen some of our problems we actually worsen another set of problems, the increase in formalization, professionalization, bureaucratization and other sociocultural changes which are a major source of boomtown stress.

There's a second point, though, that also needs to be made and that is that growth is definitely not all bad. Many of the towns that are fearing growth today have been begging for growth for decades, the difference is in the type of growth. And the biggest single difference in the type of growth is the shear amount.

As a general rule of thumb, G stands for good, gradual and growth, B stands for boom, bust and bad. The boomtown, ironically, is suffering from too much of what would otherwise be a good thing. Well, given this background, I'd like to suggest that we use this session to, as an opportunity to respond to a challenge that Polly Garrett issued to us yesterday. Let's do some creative thinking about what we'd like the future to Took like and talk about some specific suggestions that might actually work to improve the situation.

If we're talking about impacts that are actually occurring right now, the best we can realistically hope for is to make the best of a bad situation. Mitigating measures. But, if we're willing to look at the longer range decade or

.7 .8

ž2

23:24:

more into the future, we can do far more.

The boomtown may be one place where the old adage holds true, an ounce of prevention may be worth far more than a pound of mitigating measures.

The best way to mitigate the social problems of women and minorities and other human beings in boomtowns, to put it simply, is to avoid them in the first place.

The suggestion is not nearly so radical as it might sound. There's really no need for us to go back to caves and candles, when we restrict ourselves to looking at impacts that are already occurring there is a tendency to think that we're somehow forced to choose between throwing innocnet communities into chaos or throwing the American economic system into darkness.

But realistically we can choose from a far broader range of alternatives. It's entirely possible for the nation to benefit from western energy resources without wreaking havoc on western communities. The economic and social problems of these boomtowns have one thing in common, and that is that the main problems are caused simply by having too many people come into an area too quickly for the area to be able to absorb them.

In both the economic and the sociocultural spheres, all other things being equal, the greater influx, the greater the problem, as simple as that. There's

nothing inherently evil about growth provided it's a reasonable level of growth. Problems arise only when we have relatively massive kinds of growth, with boomtowns. We're lucky to get growth, some growth, whenever we mine western energy reserves: But we only get boomtowns under some fairly unusual conditions.

Let's look at some actual figures for coal development. As a crude rule of thumb, opening a standard sized coal mine really doesn't cause all that many problems to a local area provided the usually environmental constraints are operating, even a hefty size mine, say one that produced a million tons a year will only take a 100 or perhaps 150 workers if it's a strip mine. A deep mine, putting out the same amount would need more workers, around 250, but because of the nature of deep mining operations the workers would only be hired gradually in crews of 30 or so at a time.

Moreover, most western mines have had a fairly easy time of hiring people who already live in the area, thus further lowering the size of the influence. In other words, it's entirely possible for us to mine the western coal without causing massive disruptions, so as long as we don't open several mines all in the same area all at once.

We'll get growth, certainly, but it's a much more manageable kind of growth, a growth that will provide most

of its jobs to locals, that will be gradual and it will, overall, do considerably more good than harm.

Which brings us back to Harry Sherman's comment yesterday that there's a big difference between extracting the coal reserves and then converting them to something else. To get a boomtown, a genuinely nasty boomtown, you have to do more than just mine the coal. The boomtowns that have hit the New York Times and the network news have, for the most part, been places where coal conversion facilities most often electricity generating plants have been built.

Moreover, there aren't generally too many dislocations once the plants are up and operating. The problems occur only during the construction phase. Here's a specific example. Craig, Colorado, it's a town that's achieved a lot of notoriety lately which is in a way too bad because the residents have actually done a fairly impressive job of dealing with a difficultisituation. In addition to Craig's socioeconomic difficulties, Craig has experienced substantial social impact, some of which you heard about yesterday from Alma Lantz.

Population went up about 103% in two years. Mean-while crimes against property went up 220%, crimes against persons went up 900%, family disturbances rose 250%, child behavior problems rose an even 1,000%. Alcohol related complaints rose 550% and other drug related reports went

up 1,400%. Despite substantially increased expenditures, Craig went from essentially no police department to a department with 22 officers and a quarter of a million plus budget in a year and a half, the people of Craig were simply not as safe as people in other preimpact towns that I've been studying, they were more than twice as likely to fear for their safety and more than three times as likely to be the victim of crimes.

Well, where did these depressing statistics come from? A coal-fired power plant. It's not that burning coal causes social problems, mind you, at least not directly, it's the way the plant was built. When the YAPA project, which is what it's called, is finished, it will employ about one and 200 people counting the mine, the plant and all and many of those employees will be locals, but building the plant has been another matter entirely.

At the peak of construction, which is about a year ago right now, there were 1,900 construction workers on the site. After adding spouses, children and ancillary workers, that add up to an influence of four to 6,000 people.

Adding 6,000 people to a town that only had 5,000 to begin with, is virtually a foolproof method of creating social disruption if the town is a small one. Had the plant been built in an urban area, influx of 6,000 persons wouldn't have really been noticed but Craig was a rural area.

Yet not even the construction of large plants in rural areas has been uniformly evil or disruptive. The nation's been encouraging rural industrialization for about a quarter of a century now and yet we've only been hearing about boomtown impacts really for the last three or four years, are we just more sensitive to problems now than we used to be? That may be part of the problem but I think a bigger part, believe it or not, is rainfall.

Another example. One of the most carefully monitored plants in history was that of a large steel plant was built just outside of Hennypen (Phonetic) Illinois, 1970 population of 535, in the late '60's. The plant was to have an eventual work force of over a 1,000, more than twice the size of the town even before you had spouses, children, etcetera.

And yet a team of very competent researchers who were monitoring the area found essentially no social disruptions worth recording. Why not? Because relatively few of the workers actually moved into Hennypen, the massive influx that's the source of most of the major problems simply didn't occur.

Hennypen area gets more than twice as much rain as the Craig area, vegetation and crops are much more dense in the region, and farms are smaller, which means closer together, and people and towns in the Illinois area are much smaller or much closer together as well.

It's a simple straight forward direction but it makes a big difference in the kinds of impact that was experienced there. In the Hennypen example workers moved into 68 different communities that were within a 57 road-mile radius of the community, but a 57-mile drive from Craig gets you a total of three more towns. You can go another 20 miles past that and you still don't run into any more towns. Craig's the county seat and only major community in all of Moppet County, which covers more land area than the States of Rhode Island and Delaware combined. Hennypen's entire county grew by only 14% in five years and with the labor force growth rate that was actually slower than the statewide average, while Moppet County, Colorado, where Craig is, grew by about 100% in two years.

The claim and belief is that the problems in Craig have not been the result of any kind of conspiracy or evil intentions on anyone's part, they really couldn't have been avoided simply by getting people together and having them talk things out, the disruptions social and economic resulted from the size and the speed of the worker influx which is one of the ironies, again the problems came from simply too much of what would otherwise have been a good thing.

Well, it's standard mitigation procedures leave us with an improved but still bad situation, and if we know from

2.

.8 .9

past experience that it is possible to have mines and probably even plants in rural areas without causing boomtowns or their problems, then the sensible question to ask is this, how can we best avoid boomtown problems in the future while still assuring ourselves of the energy supply we need?

Well, given a plant that's already on the way, the first three commandments are hire locals first, hire locals first, hire locals

It's worth pointing out that roughly half of the locals in any town are going to be women, and if a company is willing to hire women rather than just men, and quote, men professions, end quote, they will substantially decrease the number of people they have to move into the area.

A similar thing holds true near reservations. The more obvious answer for the long run as was pointed out by Carl Whitman earlier this morning, is that we should be considerably more careful in the long run in our estimation of how much energy we need. And that we might want to devote more of our attention to energy alternatives which don't require massive installations, specifically including for example, renewable and decentralized technologies of wind and solar energy utilization.

Boomtown creating plants are highly capital intensive which means in plain English that they provide far fewer jobs per investment dollar. Moreover, if we're interested in

5.

providing significant employment for minorities who live in urban areas, most of those jobs are in the wrong place and will go to the wrong race.

I won't say much more here about conservation and the kinds of appropriate technologies that Lee has just described but that's not because they're of no likely importance in preventing boomtown problems, rather it's that adopting renewable and decentralized technologies would lessen boomtown problems in an almost automatic way. It's only when we stick with large centralized installations that we even noticed to worry about boomtowns. But even if we do assume that we need to depend on western energy resources for at least some interim period, the fossil fuels, that does nothing, absolutely nothing to make boomtowns inevitable

In fact, the only way to get a boomtown is to do everything wrong. More specifically, we need to foul up in three different ways, all in the same installation before we can get a boomtown. We have to build a facility which is too large, we have to bring our workers into an area too quickly and we have to make sure beforehand that the area is so sparsely populated it can't handle it.

Hennypen, Illinois, just won't do the trick. If we get even one of those three factors right, the size of the plants, rapidness of the influx or the population density of the host region we simply don't have boomtown problems

because we don't have a boomtown, we don't have the influx that causes it.

ڊ چير

Let's take a closer look at the three specific facts. Size first. The two units of the Ampere (Phonetic) project near Craig which are already nearing completion will have a total capacity of 760 million watts, it's theoretically enough just to keep 700 million, 100-watt light bulbs burning night and day. One or two additional plants may be built in the same site in the near future, perhaps even doubling that output. Did the plant really have to be that large? Not as far as the residents of ... Craig are concerned, they don't use up even a tiny fraction of a 1,500 million-watt output even if they stayed up all night long under those burning light bulbs, to consider alternatives.

Most of the power is going to be carried to cities hundreds of miles away. Craig gets most of the impacts, others get most of the energy. The plant was built, when and where was simply because a set of charts and balance sheets said that was the right thing to do. Those calculations didn't pay much attention to the human cost to build into a boomtown situation, and if they had, an entirely different decision might have been made.

Well, another possibility is construction technology. We might give the matter some thought and still conclude,

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

.19

for one reason or another, that we want all of our power plants to be at least the size of the pair being built near Craig right now. That still does nothing to make boomtowns inevitable. The impact statement prepared for the project cited the construction period had been lengthened in order to spread construction as evenly as possible. If this course of action had been followed with real conviction by limiting the peak construction force to 300, for example, instead of 1,900, the boom simply would not have been as explosive. Economic benefits to the local area still would have existed, although they probably would have lasted longer, but the human and logistical difficulties and disruptions of the boomtown would have been reduced to minor proportions.

Even if we're thinking about future plants we have two options available to us for achieving that leveling off of the influx, first and most obvious is to simply stay with our present technology but bring people in more slowly and take longer to build the plant. That would currently be an extremely unpopular idea with energy companies because inflation costs and the interest costs on the money they borrowed to build the plant would make it a very expensive alternative unless we decided to encourage federal policies to subsidize the lower interest rates for plants that are willing to spread out the impact, for example.

But a second option might have a good deal more to be said about it for the long run, particularly if we're willing to think about the kinds of plants we will be building a decade or more into the future and to question our whole approach to building power plants today.

Could we place a greater emphasis, for example, on building components of the plant elsewhere and perhaps even gaining the economies of mass production on some of them?

If we were able to put our minds and our engineering research dollars to the task we might find that it's entirely reasonable both economically and technically to put much more of the plant together in urban areas, and then ship in largely completed components to the plant site where they could be simply bolted together. By a much smaller crew of workers on site...

Just to stay employed and at the same time the boom and bust problems that impact communities caused by having massive waves of workers move in and then out again, would simply be avoided, with the benefits of more research in fact we

might even find out that it's more economical to build a series of 75-megawatt plants than to build a handful of boomcreating giants, and if so, we might wind up saving money for the consumer and lessen strains on boomtowns both at the same time.

A third factor, though, in case neither of those worked out, it's simple location. In the interest of general equity as well as the interest of avoiding boomtown problems, we might consider moving the entire plant, final assembly and all, to the regions where the energy will be used.

When we mine the coal we either mine it where it sits or not at all but burning the coal is something you can do anyplace the conditions are right. Building plants in the energy, in the areas where energy is used would probably increase the dollar costs of transporting the energy slightly, although in the case of electricity engineers tell me it would actually save in terms of energy costs given the substantial loss in transmitting electricity long distances. But when the plants are located in the areas where the energy is used the cost, as well as the benefits of the plant accrue to the same region, that is the pollution as well as the social and economic disruptions of building the plant, would go to the same, roughly the same area where the energy would be utilized.

Additionally, the closer proximity to urbanized and

1

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

industrialized customers would greatly increase the possibilities for cogeneration, in other words, using the plant's otherwise wasted heat for industrial and domestic purposes instead of using it just to evaporate vast quantities of very scarce western water.

Finally, an influx of the size experienced in Craig wouldn't even have been noticed here in Denver, yet the influx might actually have been smaller here than there since a respectable proportion of the workers lived in Denver in the first place. Unfortunately, coal fired power plants are still so dirty and the Denver area is already so polluted that building the plants here would be environmentally unacceptable today even using the best of pollution control technology we have but that does nothing to keep us from working on better options for the future.

At a minimum, even if we decide to stay with the present size, keep the same construction techniques and keep on building the plants in rural areas, the Hennypen example sighted earlier tells us what kinds of rural areas to pick, namely areas where the impact can be spread across several communities instead of just overwhelming one.

Well, it's time for a summary, one of the problems in inviting academicians to conferences is they always seem to e nd their papers with a call for more research and I'm afraid I'm about to do just about the same thing.

This is not a standard call for more research however,

I'm not going to ask for more money for the kind of research

I've been doing although I'd scarcely want to discourage it,

I think we need more engineering research.

The people of Craig and other boomtowns are getting understandably tired of having a stream of impact researchers and other instant experts coming through town but seldom saying much about how the situation could be improved.

Just simply saying you're supposed to feel this good or this bad.

The people of Craig and other towns have a right to expect something better. We certainly don't know all the answers yet, let alone all the right questions but we are starting to get a fairly good idea of what happens in energy boomtowns and why it happens.

In the words of some researchers here in Denver, we know boomtown residents have problems. Further research is needed to establish that, the question is now what do we do about it.

Which brings us to the call for more research.

We've all heard the complaint that social science research, unlike engineering rand more technical research, never provide us with solid answers to our questions, at least never in time to do any good. But this may be one of those rare areas where just the opposite is true. Social scientists are

indeed beginning to provide us with solid and consistent answers about what happens to the social structure of boomtowns and what the consequences of that are.

.7

The next step, it seems, is for engineers to do more research.

This paper, written by a social scientist, offered as a set of fairly specific suggestions for our future actions, all of which appear to offer the opportunity for avoiding boomtown problems, and all of which involve the need for at lest minor technical and engineering changes yet none of which would require any future social science research except perhaps to monitor the effect.

We can make sure we only open mines one at a time in any particular area. We can conserve energy and simply not build as many new plants. We can build smaller plants, we can build them in different places. And preferably in places closer to where the energy is being used, whether in urban areas or in more densely populated but still rural areas.

And finally, we could build plants of the same size and even build them in the same location, but build them with fewer construction workers on site at any given time.

It's because we're building the same plant more slowly or because we are building it with the technology that moves lits components instead of massive workers to the

THE MODERATOR: Thank you very much and now we'll hear from our respondents Jackie Nixon-Love and Burman Lorenson.

You'll each have teminiqutes. Mr. Lorenson.

MR. BURMAN LORENSON

A. (By Mr. Lorenson) I'd like to speak to all the empty seats. It's very interesting to note at the time that somebody starts talking about solutions to particular problems, that's when everybody bugs out.

And so I'm not directing this to you that are here,
I'm directing it at those empty seats.

It seems that the conference has taken on an attitude to come bitch, complain about what the problems are, and again that realtes to my original comments that nobody seems to be too interested in what the solutions might be.

With respect to that, I have a number of random thoughts not only pertain to this panel but some of the others that I've heard, and one that I heard today is the speed of growth.

Speed of growth is very negotiable. The speed of growth is what can create a boomtown or not, and William is very correct. I don't know that his numbers are necessarily

correct, that's just pure conjecture on his part or on my part or anybody else's. But we do know that when the need for things are not met with the demand for them, that you create a boomtown, you create instant inflation and the people that are losers are the ones that have the smallest voice in the community.

And that, again, relates to the minorities and in many cases to women.

Another comment that I had with respect to what William had to say was, he identified a power plant in Illinois.

We have one like that here in Colorado known as Pawnee Power Plant, which is located near Fort Morgan and Brush, and one element of what is going on there that is different is that the people have a choice, and that's the same thing, he related it to rainfall. I relate it to people, opportunities, alternates to the choice they're given in Craig.

And you're not given many alternative to that situation.

So now, if you were really trying to determine where a power plant ought to be put, it may be important to consider what alternatives you are allowing the people that come in.

Now, some people say that the resource with respect is, well, you have to put the power plant where the resource is. There's a tradeoff that you have to make. You either have to situdown and determine you're going to have coal trains going through your towns or you're going to have a

power plant on the outside.

Another tradeoff that you have to make is that yes, you go through initial hell in your community, with a power plant, but there's one thing to remember about that power plant, and it's cold economics again, that that power plant provides a tax base, as dirty as it may sound, with very few jobs attached to it, that provide — can provide a tremendous amount of services to those in the area.

I'll give you an example, the Rangely oil fields, the school district is operated on four mills near Rangely, Colorado. When you have -- you have to make those decisions and I w as in a session last night of how to get at that decision making power. Well, it can be done. But those are the type things that you have to consider.

Anytime you're making decisions it's not black and white, it's tradeoff. For some good thing you're going to have some bad things. And you better take it into consideration, nothing's ever going to be rosey, nothing has to be totally bad, but nothing's going to be totally good, either:

One of the areas that has been talked about is that the money doesn't seem to get into soft social service areas. In my experience at least in Colorado, is that the local decision makers, and I maintain local, the county commissioners, the city council, the program directors of

or at least not necessarily the program directors, but the people that distribute those funds at the local level aren't asking for soft services. That means to me that the groups that want those services have not made enough impact at the local level.

The federal and the state people aren't going to respond, you think the feds and the state's going off to do their own thing. I'm here to tell you they listen very closely to the local people. But if the local people and their decision makers at the local level won't tell them, they won't have soft services, social services, expansion of those types of things, you're not going to find it in the federal grab bag or the state or anyplace else.

I hear a little thing recently, well, I didn't hear,
I think I read it in Reader's Digest, and I believe Will
Rogers said it, if I remember, maybe I'm misquoting, is
that an ounce of local initiative is worth a pound of
federal assistance. And it's really very true.

In fact, it is so true that when the feds and the states look at a local area and they see that local initiative and they come, the people, the locals come to the table with part of the solution, they're far more interested in responding. They want to know that the problem is so important to the locals that they're willing to put a little in the pot to get it done. It always works.

2 3 4

I've worked with the JBC here in Colorado and I've worked with a lot of state and federal agencies, and when they know the local people are willing to share in some fashion, in some way, that they're so concerned that it just isn't a money question, that they're more eager to respond. They know they're getting at what the people want in the local area.

We're currently doing a survey of impact communities through the department of energy. And one of the questions on the survey related to civic organizations. What are the most responsive civic organizations in your community? All the ones, I was working on some of the ones in Colorado. I only found one women's organization on any of them.

I found no Blacks, no Indians, no anything else. As far as those county commissioners and city managers and city councils in the rural area, a minority question does not exi st because either it really does not exist, or the groups at the local level aren't bringing it up to their attention.

And one of them is women which would be the more obvious one crossed in small Colorado towns. The particular group that happened to be was an auxilliary of the chamber of commerce called the Business Women's Club, so if you want to affect those decisions you've got to become more active in your own civic organizations.

We're trying to -- I hear you. We're trying to get local decisions at the local level, and one way to do that, with your organizations, is look for areas of common interest. If you come with part of the solution as well as presenting a problem, and there is self interest on both ends of the situation, you're going to get response from those local governments. You need to create mechanisms again that can be heard and the way you do that is so that they'll begin to listen to you, is get out of the confrontation mode and find those areas of common interest.

I had a few other things I could say, one last thing is that welcome wagons aren't being used in impact communities in many of them. Many of the areas. I remember one story about Minker, Colorado, and they said they send you the welcome wagon after 14 years you're still a newcomer.

This is -- you know, people coming in, women, minorities and others, they don't know how to use the social system that exists there, and the social system was developed for a very rural situation. If you can create some mechanisms like that, you can hear your voice heard, using referral service, and particularly the Wyoming project that was being talked about is extremely important.

There's a lot of self help that can be done, and my closing comment is the more your tendency is to help your self, the more help you're going to get from others.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Thank you very much, and now we will hear from Ms. Jackie Nixon-Love.

MS. JACKIE NIXON-LOVE

7 8

A (By Ms. Nixon-Love) Thank you.

10 I've been asked by the commission to respond, not
11 necessarily to the speakers, but to respond by letting you
12 know what the State of Colorado is doing as far as energy
13 conservation is concerned as a means for alleviating some
14 of the problems of the energy boom.

No message has ever been so difficult to communicate to the American public as the urgent necessity of energy conservation. This country has a phenomenal economic growth, based upon a cheap and abundant supply of energy. We have apparently taken for granted the notion that we would never run out of energy.

The historical growth rate of energy in this country has averaged 3.4% per year. Since 1965, however, the great the growth rate of the consumption of energy has risen to 4.3%. But because conservation is 20 times more efficient than producing new resources, and because conservation costs

two to three times less than production, and because Colorado, interestingly enough, is today slightly more of an energy consumer than an energy provider, for these reasons and in cooperation with the United States Department of Energy, the Colorado Energy Conservation Plan was developed.

On August 10, 1977, Governor Richard Lamm signed an executive order creating the Colorado Office of Energy Conservation, whose major activities are the administration and implementation of that plan.

And I'd like to just give you a few highlights of what the plan says. We have the energy conservation and alternative center, whose primary responsibility is to the small and medium sized businesses. Since commerce and industry accounts for about 36% of the energy consumed in Colorado, members of the industrial and commercial communities have a better access to information and techniques presently available to minimize their dependence on depleting and a more costly energy supplies.

The center operates as a link in the information transfer between research and operates the community and the business: community transfer programs. Center personnel inventory all of the available conservation and alternative energy information and services, and they also assess current information channels and disseminate that information.

Most Coloradans are keenly aware of the rising costs

3 4

of energy, but this awareness alone will not bring about a dramatic reduction in energy consumption. Having grown up in an era with cheap and abundant energy sources, old habits are difficult to change.

The public information program seeks to change those old habits by a widespread campaign to inform, educate and assist the public in making important energy conservation decisions.

OEC serves as a direct information and technical transfer role with the citizens of Colorado, it is in the process of distributing a home energy lifestyle audit entitled, the Energy Scorecard, which you'll find out in the lobby. This publication identifies the homeowner's energy usage and potential energy savings in the area of transportation, the home, appliances and alternative energy systems. It identifies the relative advantages of the different conservation options.

This information, along with other information materials and films and TV programs and public service announcements, are being distributed at the grass roots level to citizens of the state through eight community centers. Those centers are in Denver, Grand Junction, Durango, Alamosa, Walsh, Fort Collins, Boulder and Frisco. State buildings and state purchasing are areas where the state government itself saves energy.

In the area of state buildings, we are presently assessing the most effective means of refitting old structures for efficient operation.

It is hoped that the examples which the government sets in this effort encourages both residential and non-residential building artists to invest in conservation.

Taxpayers at least should support our efforts to save energy in the state government. A year ago our energy bill was 11 million dollars. And it's projected that without conservation, our energy bills would be over 100 billion dollars by 1988.

Shall I stop here, do I have two minutes more or what?

THE MODERATOR: Well, I think you have about two
minutes.

A (By Ms. Nixon-Love) Okay. I would just like to mention in closing two of, or three of the bills or the laws that are on the books now where the government has had some effect. And one of the laws provides for state income tax deductions for state — for taxpayers in the state who have alternative energy devices installed in their buildings.

The deduction is equal to the costs of installation and construction or the acquisition of an alternative energy device.

Another one is two laws that establish standards for

4

5

6

7

8

9

energy efficient construction and renovation. One for nonresidential buildings and the other for residential buildings

And the third one is a law that requires life cycle costing analysis of all proposed state buildings to insure that energy conservation practices are maximized in all new and renovated state buildings.

And while these elements that I have mentioned are not the complete answer to alleviating our state problem, it does offer some alternative to meeting the energy boom.

10

(Applause)

12

13

11

.14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

(Luncheon recess)

(The following was moderated by Mr. Mario Gonzalez)

Will everybody please come in and THE MODERATOR: take their seats?

Good afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen: I'm Mr. Gonzalez, chairperson for the South Dakota SAC, and I'm going to be moderating panel 4, which is entitled Ways of Participating in the Opportunities.

With me on the panel here to my right, are Ron Taoka, Geraldine Travis and Gloria Monroe. Our principal speakers today consist of Mr. Dwayne Ostenson, who is the director of r that is a second common through

the Indian Lignite Manpower Project, and he will be making a presentation entitled, Impact of Energy Development on Minorities and Indian People.

Mr. Ostenson will describe the programs offered by the United Tribes Educational and Technical Center of North Dakota, one of which is the lignite project. He will give examples of some of the sorts of problems encountered in trying to get Indians into the mining industry and some of the ways the project deals with these.

Differences in cultures, for instance, have sometimes caused problems between employers and employees.

After his presentation, we will have Ms. Gail Martinez, who is a recruiter counselor for Better Jobs for Women, and she will be making a presentation entitled, Women Working for Energy.

Ms. Martinez will give a statistical overview of the situation of women in the work force of the United States. She will discuss some of the efforts that are currently being made to include women in energy related fields and she will offer some ideas as to how to increase the number of women in such fields in the future.

After her presentation, we will hear from Mr. Lawrence Borom, who is President of the United League of Metropolitan Denver, and he will make a presentation entitled, Operation Grubstake. Mr. Borom will describe the league's proposal to

energy fields.

The plan attempts to reduce minority unemployment and overcome some of the barriers to employment of minorities in resource development. Industries.

aid minority workers so that they may obtain jobs in

,6 .,

9:

0

18.

After his presentation, we will hear from Mr. Omar Barbarossa, a representative of the Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States. However, he is not here today in that capacity, his interest in energy development has come about over the years. He coordinated the western governors energy conference in Albuquerque, New Mexico, in 1975, and he did graduate work in energy policy and administration at the University of New Mexico.

His presentation will be entitled, Chicanos in

Energy Development. Mr. Barbarossa will discuss the situation
of Chicanos with regard to employment in energy fields.

He will offer a set of recommendations about the types of
policies and programs that are needed in order to insure
equal opportunity for minorities in energy fields.

The respondents, located to the right of our particular table here, include Mr. Roger Kahn, who is the Executive Director, Colorado Coalition for Full Employment, and Richard Gonzalez, Colorado Economic Development Association.

Mr. Ostenson, you may begin.

MR. DWAYNE OSTENSON

2 3

A. (By Mr. Ostenson) Thank you.

there are a couple things that I probably will say that might be somewhat controversial. And I will save a certain amount

I'm not going to be taking my full 20 minutes because

I'd like to thank the commission for allowing me to

of time for rebuttal. If you so desire.

 visit with you a little bit today. And before I get into what we're really going to be talking about, I would like to

tell you just a little bit about our organization.

It's United Tribes Educational Technical -- Educational Technical Center, which is located in Bismarck, North Dakota, and it's the only one of its kind, it's unique in nature.

It's a center that's set up and it's run by Indian people for Indian people. Better than 50% of our staff right now are Native Americans, and we currently offer training in approximately ten different areas. This is currently — this is also being expanded to a point where we're going to be able to encompass about eight or nine more different areas of training.

The students that we have number approximately 150; and we have students from all over the United States. And we are quite proud of our institution.

The program or United Tribes also has a number of

5 :

other programs which are kind of satellite-type of companies or satellite organizations or programs from it. Of which the Indian Lignite Manpower Program is one.

And the Indian Lignite Manpower Program has actually two basic objectives, the first objective is something that has already been accomplished, it was set up and designed to calculate and figure out the manpower labor surplus that we have on our reservations and it was put together in a rather comprehensive booklet that we have and if anyone should care to look at this later, it's somewhat depressing but it is nonetheless factual.

Some of the statistics that they have in that particular booklet was the fact that 29% of the Indian people that were surveyed that lived on the reservation had an income of less than \$2,000.00. \$2,000.00 or less. Now that's just about one-third of all the Indian people on the reservation has that kind of an income.

Now, to me, that's sick.

Approximately 80% of those people on — that were surveyed, there was 736 persons surveyed, and approximately 80% of those people had \$10,000.00 or less as far as income is concerned, and we were earlier in this session we were talking of poverty. And if you were to compare those figures with poverty line that was mentioned earlier, I'm sure that you would agree that there definitely is a poverty

situation on those reservations.

The other statistic that was quite shocking was that there was either -- there was 55% of all the people interviewed that were either underemployed or unemployed. And with the influx that we had of the potential jobs with the energy industry, it only seemed logical that with that much unemployment, and that much need, that if one could coordinate the two you'd solve or at least be on your way to solving some of those problems, and that was the second goal of the Indian Lignite Manpower Program, was to get more minority involvement into the energy industry.

And this is the area now where we're directing most of our efforts. I don't think the intent of this conference is to sit down and tell you about the problems that exist, I think that that has been done rather eloquently in the past, but I think that what we have to address are the needs and how some of those problems can be resolved.

Now, I would like to be able to come away from this conference with ideas, as to how we can solve some of those problems. I plan to present a few things that we've been doing, and some of the problems we've faced and how we've dealt with them. That is not saying that they're right, that is only saying that this is the only thing that we could come up with at the moment, and if any of you have any ideas that would perhaps make it more beneficial I'd be

definitely receptive to those.

There were a couple other publications, before I get into that, there was a lignite manpower program survey of which Mr. Doug Meyer's was heading this program at the time, he's now employed at the AFL-CIO, and it's actually very nice because there are numerous pictures in there, and it's supposed to be saying the career opportunities manual, and as I looked through that it was ironic because there was not one photo in the entirething of an Indian person in the career.

So pray tell how can we get -- I shouldn't be so quick to judge because there is one photo in here of an individual that has a welding helmet on and that conceivably could be an Indian, I don't know.

But that's the only one that could possibly be closely related.

But this is a publication that we have to educate or at least give the individuals an opportunity to explore.

We've got another publication, I plan on being done within 20 minutes, it's called Impact. It had a survey that I would like to briefly mention. It was done by an outfit in Minneapolis to try to determine the retention rate of the Indian people in the energy industry. And they had come to a comple conclusions that I would like to bring out, there were six employees in the Bismarck-Mandan area that were

interviewed and they'd interviewed hundreds of employees, and they found that there were 14 Native Americans employed, and the general concensus of the survey or the general analysis of the survey is that they could not -- they could find very few problems with Indian people in employment in the industry because they had 14 and they were all doing well.

Now, my concensus is that my God, if you've got hundreds of employees in that particular area and if you've got 14 Indian employees, maybe the energy industry doesn't have a problem but sure as hell the Indian people have a problem, there's got to be -- but this was identified as no problem in the energy industry, I was very dissatisfied with that report.

But anyway, the problems that we've encountered with our program that I would like to share with you this morning or this afternoon, are many, and we'll only be able to touch on a few. One of the problems that we have is culture. The — not the fact that the Indian culture is wrong, don't get me wrong, but the fact that the two cultures are not jibing.

There's a complete and total lack of understanding. I had planned on getting into this a little later but the new federal register came out from the department of labor, in May of -- May 12th of 1978, and it was due to the Bakke case, I was quite surprised that I heard nothing about the

Bakke case in the first day and a half also. But according to their new regulations, that's out now, these energy companies have to have, quote, unquote, a good faith effort. That phrase alone scares the heck out of me.

The -- we've discussed some of these problems with the union leaders, and the apprenticeship-type programs that we've got in the state and I came up and I says, okay, I said, now what exactly is going to be the implication of this new regulation?

And they says, well, before what happened, they said is that we had five people on the list, they have a panel of about six people that interview and then they give them a rating, oh, if it's 90 points, they give them a rating of 85 and so on and so forth.

Before, if there were four or five people on the list, and the first four were non-minority and the fourth one were a minority he would be able to pull that non-minority up even ahead of this person that was number 1, he'd be able to pull them up so he could meet his affirmative action needs and his affirmative action goals.

With this new register and the new regulation, what it is going to do is that if that person is fourth, there's no way that he's going to be able to be employed until they go through the first three. Now, right away one would think that that seems only right and just, but I would like

.20

to reemphasize that the way these people are rated by the apprenticeship programs is that they've got six people sitting in front of a board and they've got someone sitting down there, and they say, you know, they're going to interview this individual. Okay, so immediately I walk in with one of the individuals that we've got and he probably has hair down to his shoulder or shoulder length or below, and probably has braids or a ponytail, right away as soon as that person walks into that interview, that individual gets ten points off because of neatness, you know, bang. Ten points.

Okay, so now instead of a possible 90, that individual's going to have a maximum possibility of 80. I -we walked into another, we walked into another situation and
we have one of our guys, he didn't get to be on the list and
I asked this guy why and he says, well, my God, he said,
he's shadey and he's shifty and I says, what makes you
say that? And he says, well, he says, I asked that guy a
question and I looked straight at him and he said he answered
me, he says, but he had his head down and by golly anybody
that can't look me in the eye has got to be a shadey, shifty
type of a character.

What he doesn't realize is that in the Indian society if he were to look that individual in the eye, it would be an insult. And you would be actually insulting your panel,

4.5

which he did not know.

So now instead of a possible 90, not 80 but he's going to be in the low 70's so it's going to be impossible for that Indian person to get up high enough or score high enough to get the -- to even get called.

One of the ways that we're trying to resolve that is that we've met with the bureau of apprenticeship, department of labor, and they are going to allow us to visit with the committees. Now that's on a temporary basis. The idealistic way, probably not very practical but the idealistic way is to have some Indian people on the interview panel, and the panel is usually comprised of three labor and three management, and the only way that we could get three Indian people on that panel is if we should happen to have all from labor and I don't think they'd like that because we don't have that many Indian people in the management areas, at least not yet.

But this is one instance how a culture was a definite factor.

I had another situation where we had an individual that was employed, doing an excellent job and he was fired and I went and I asked the employer what had happened and he says, well, he says, I can't stand anybody that lies to me and I says, well, what do you mean? And he says well, he says, for crying out loud, he come up to me shortly after

he started and he said he told me that his grandmother died and he'd have to have some time off, so we gave him some time off.

He says about three months later he come up to me and he says, my grandmother died, he says I've got to have some time off, he says so we gave him some time off. He says but then he says, the kicker, he says, last week he says, he come up to me and he says I've got to have some time off and he says why and he says well, my grandmother died and he says by God, no one's going to lie to me.

And the ironic part about it is that particular individual had more than two grandmothers. And in the Indian society, you can. So he was fired for absolutely no reason whatsoever.

Maybe I'm not going to make it in 20 minutes. But there is another cultural aspect that we have that we're confronted with as far as the female employment, as far as the female Indian. We had one gal that was a heavy equipment operator, she was from the Turtle Mountain area, and she went and she was getting so much flak at home because her grandmother or her grandfather said that is not woman's work. And the gal was to a point where she was going to have to make a choice, she was either going to have to be outcast by her family or she was going to have to quit her job and as a result she quit her job. And I don't blame her

one bit.

The Indian people have been criticized for some time about having very, very strong family ties. I don't think that even merits any amount of discussion except in my opinion, that's not wrong, with the society the way we have it today. I think that we could all benefit by having a little stronger family ties.

The other area that I want to make sure that I get at, as probably some of you might well imagine, are stereotypes. I was quite proud of myself and the fact that I didn't jump this morning when we come — by the way, if any of you people just for clarification point, if any of you people do not know what Indian time is, as a point of clarification, Indian time is whenever they damned well feel like it.

And so there's no set schedule. But this is — these stereotypes, these stereotypes are not necessarily there. It's just that if a person happens to be of a different color or if a person happens to be of a different sex, you're going to be more noticeable. Right now whenever we have an Indian person going on board with an outfit, they come up and they say, well, we're expecting this individual to fail, and we're expecting this individual to not succeed, so as a result, whenever one does they really make a big thing out of it.

10[.]

We went up to a company one time and he come up and he says, those damned Indians for crying out loud, he says, they're not doing a -- you know, he went on and on and on and I said, who, I said, let's just sit back, I said, and take a good hard look at the overall picture.

And it was ironic for the mere fact that after he sat down and took a complete employment look at his company, he found that 34% of the Indian people that he had employed had left. However, 32% of the non-Indian people he had employed had left. In that particular job. So I don't think that 2% is really worth making that big of a thing about.

But the reason that they do it is that they see it because we are visible, we're a little bit different color, we're like I said, and I think that you're going to find as when the women start getting into the industry, you've got yourself a heck of a task ahead of you for the mere fact that you are going to be looked at, it's going to be a lot easier to single out one of you than it is to single out a bunch of guys because five guys can leave and no big thing b ut if one woman leaves everybody's going to see her walking out so you've got yourself quite a task ahead of you.

THE MODERATOR: You have two minutes to sum it up.

A. * (By Mr. Ostenson) Okay, thank you.

<u>,,,</u>

I would simply like to mention that if all of you

people are here, I assume are concerned with civil rights,
I assume are concerned with affirmative action. If any
of you people are doing it strictly for the money, I would
like to encourage you, please to get the hell out. You
have no business here. You've got to have a true concern
for people, and if you do that, I honestly think that you
will find that many of the injustices that do exist will be
right.

Thank you.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Ostenson.

We will now here from Gail Martinez, our next speaker

A. (By Ms. Martinez) I feel very positive about the new regs. they have, it's doubled our placement rates, the number of women we're seeing without doubling our budget

MS. GAIL MARTINEZ

22 but I do feel very positive about the new regs.

Three-fifths of the increase in the labor force is due to the entrance of more women. Nime out of ten women will work at sometime in their life. With the emerging

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

energy industries in this area, quality employment opportunities need to be made available.

Following, I would like to present some needs of some women entering into the work force. Such as education, child care, recruitment of women into nontraditional areas as well as white collar and pink collar areas, and mobility to outlying areas.

I would like to present current steps, some employers have taken in the employment of women and why Boulder's Women's Resource Center ran into problems in their energy related problem. I don't like to go into statistics but from yesterday and I see that a lot of people aren't aware of the needs of a lot of women and how many women are working.

In 1975, 46% of all women were in the labor force.

58% married and living with their husbands. For 19% of women that were widowed, divorced or separated from their husbands, prince charming wasn't a lifetime thing for them.

The stereotype of husband/breadwinner, wife/mother and homemaker, applies to about one-sixth of all families.

14.5 million mothers participate in the labor force. One out of eight families was headed by women. 5.4 million of these working women had children under six years of age.

Five million mothers were heads of household. Average educational level was about 12.5 and that was also equal the same as men for women working.

Generally the more education a woman has, the more likely she is to enter the labor force. More and more women are becoming degreed. The numbers have doubled in the past ten years. The greatest growth has been at the doctoral level.

A positive trend is that more women are entering the technical areas. Unfortunately, the engineering fields at all levels has the lowest percentage of degrees earned by women.

In 1975, women received 2.2% of bachelors degrees in the engineering field and only 2.4% of these were at the master's level.

Viewing women in the labor force and their salaries is a dim situation. Women comprise 42% of the nation's labor force but receive 25% of the total earnings. The means was -- the mean was \$8,000.00 for women compared to \$13,000.00 for men. Per year. More women are entering the labor force but 80% or 32 million are entering at low paying jobs, the service jobs, waitress jobs, cleaning workers and switchboard operators. 46% of the families below poverty level were headed by women.

Lack of awareness of new job opportunities and training are contributors to this lack of quality employment. One significant aspect of minority women is they are likely to be working in blue collar, unskilled blue collar areas.

There is a significant increase in minorities in the clerical

field, which can be viewed as a good job, there's a nice atmosphere, not physically strenuous, one dresses nice when they're a clerical worker, but clerical work is fine, but there's not that much upward mobility in it unless you can get fast typing skills or know shorthand, just because you can file in alphabetical order doesn't make you a secretary.

There is a shortage of good secretaries in the Denver

area, all the oil companies are asking for good, good secretaries and are in need of them.

A COLUMN COMMENT OF THE SECRETARY OF THE WOMEN GENERALLY OUTNUMBER MEN IN CENTRAL CITIES, employment opportunities have previously been in the urban areas. Mobility difference for men and women is only slight. The probability for women migrating is greatest at the age of 22, married women under 45 are more mobile than single women of this age because of moves associated with husbands?

After 30, divorced, widowed and separated women are more likely than married or single persons at the same age to change their place of residence. This is the basic overview of the present work force status.

job transfers, setting up new households or birth of a child.

Income of women, educational level and the concentration of women in the urban areas. Now is the best time to start the training of individuals for working in the energy field. As I see it now, especially in the Denver area, people in the highly technical areas are needed,

whereas blue collar workers are needed for the outlying areas and more will be needed when the peak hits this area. Women will be entering in the energy field, women that will be entering into the energy fields are going to have special needs. Taking into consideration the statistics reviewed at the employment aspects, let's now integrate them with the special needs to be considered for the working woman in the energy field.

Many of the needs will be an overlap of needs of today's women now wanting to enter the work force or already in the work force. One area of concern should be the recruitment of women into the energy fields, letting job openings in both blue collar and white collar areas be known.

One method already being utilized in three colleges is the offering of courses relevant to job preparation for energy industry. These are the -- there's three colleges, one is Colorado Mine Career Education Center in Steamboat Springs and they're offering vocational training for mining occupations.

Colorado Mountain College, West Campus, in Glenwood Springs is offering electrical, electronics technology, program to train mine electricians and Mesa College at Grand Junction, preparation for jobs in the oil shale industry.

Colorado Mountain College in Broken Ridge in the summer

.20

of 1977, offered an all day workshop, it was called Women's Day Fair. And some of the workshops were relevant to the energy fields. Better jobs for women, a program funded by the department of labor to place women into skilled trades was present, introducing women into blue collar areas.

A woman working in the mines at Climax was also present. And she expressed her feelings about working in the mines. Working alone, working the graveyard shift, this was the second time the company had hired that specific woman, all she was doing was shoveling dirt.

Okay, but it wasn't too strenuous of work it was just a job. Okay. A workshop on loneliness of women in the mountain areas was also offered. This is one way to introduce openings that, offer support to women interested in being employed with the energy industry.

Rockwell International offered a one-day workshop on opportunities and upward mobility possibilities for women already employed in the plant. Opening is to their own potential either in the skilled trades or the more technical areas. She should begin as early as possible to acquire mechanical technical background to make specialization in the technical energy fields easier.

Incentives to pursue higher education might be a possibility. Incentives such as a guaranteed job when leaving school, possible part time work, part time school, reimbursement

programs, in-house training, industry sponsored tuition
programs with the industry them getting a competent employee.

.17

High education ideas are unlimited. The most important aspect being awareness of these possibilities.

The most important factor in recruitment is recruitment in making known to women their options for better job security and upward mobility.

Okay, relocation to a small town or towns just created might be a possible deterent to a women entering the energy fields. This could be remedied by job security, a good salary and potential to learn a marketable skill.

One such experience I have encountered as recruiter counselor was while recruiting women for a job as air traffic controller, this recruitment was successful because of the salary, \$12,000.00, that was the starting salary with the potential of \$30,000.00 a year.

Job security, even though the trainer required relocation to attend school in a different state for 17 weeks.

Both single and women with children were interested. Relocation was not a problem in that they could be stationary
for a couple of years.

Once women reached the job site there will be other special needs. One is quality child care. And I'd like to, we use the term now child care rather than day care because it's necessary that we have 24-hour child care,

, 5

especially if you're on the swing shift. There are only one million spaces available in licensed child care programs for about six million preschool children whose mothers work.

Another need is accessibility to schools for boomtowns and housing. Isolation of women in a mining town can be a problem so one possible solution might be placement of many women into one area. In the coal related work force in North Dakota, Montana and Wyoming, 95% of the workers were male. Mutual support of several entry level women is important, within the three states mentioned the average employee had been with the company 65 months.

In-house orientation of present workers to the fact that more women will be entering the mining field might soften attitudes. This orientation might show the postive side of why women choose to work in the mines in the midst of women in mines might be discussed.

Women in blue collar and white collar areas might encounter negative attitudes. Support groups can be helpful in this area. For example, after work organizations like women in mining. This organization not only gives women an opportunity to interact, but it gives them a better awareness of their industry.

Problems the working women will encounter in the energy field will be very much the same as she's experiencing today. Lack of higher education, skill training and child

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

.16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

care forces her to be caught in the low paying jobs.

And speaking with Denver area employers, they indicated their need would be in the highly technical areas, most likely with degrees. *

Rocky Mountain Energy entry level position requires a B.S. income of the sciences and for a few jobs two or more years of experience. SERI, Solar Energy Research Institute has a need for people that are skilled in research and development programs. SERI has been really good, they conducted an afternoon session for community programs in the Denver area tapping into minority and women's programs, introducing us into SERI's availability of employment, employment procedures and kinds of job openings. SERI had and

This was beneficial to agencies and a good recruitment procedure:

Westmoreland Mining Company, in Paonia, was tight with their information. They have 18 women working underground in their mines, of a total of 151 employees and they're all local residents.

Three of these women work in the offices. I was told the salary was the same as the men, only the woman wouldn't give me the salary. She wouldn't give me the exact job titles or the job description of what the women were doing.

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They have their own training program, the first 90 days they work, everyone works as a trainee, then they advance to an advanced trainee and then an operator. The women also told me they presently had 800 applications on file of just local people. And people in the outlying area that wanted to work in the mines.

Rockwell's in-house offers tuition reimbursement programs for persons interested and in-house training for apprenticeships in the blue collar areas.

A person must first enter at the entry level, but then they can bid into the apprenticeship programs.

Public Service also works the same way with their energy related jobs.

Okay, I'd like to go on to Boulder Women's Resource Center was awarded a stip. grant, I guess is what they're calling it, about \$160,000.00, and they were supposed to provide 36 women with skill training and job placement in the energy related fields. This was to be implemented between January 1, 1978 and March 31, 1979. Employer involvement was a primary focus at every stage. Emphasis was on female heads of households, AFDC recipients, rural minority women and underemployed women.

A participant had to meet the stiff eligibility guidelines. The emphasis was to prepare women for entrance into traditionally male oriented occupations, blue collar,

-5

.9

19·

nontraditional areas in the energy related fields.

Boulder Women's Resource Center felt that by offering preparatory workshop classroom instruction and on-the-job training and individualized on-going counseling, these women could attain economic independence and familial self sufficiency.

Placement would occur in carpentry, bricklaying, plumbing, welding, electrical work, drafting, solar technology and concrete work. All these skills are directly applicable to the emerging related occupations.

Private industry would be the primary provider of the training. After intensive recruitment and screening the selected participant would be involved in a career exploration day to choose the areas she was interested in. After selection of the interested area the participant would receive classroom training when necessary and then be placed at a training site geographically located in the local community.

Boulder's stip. contract will not be completed until March, '79, therefore final findings are not available. But Boulder is asking for a contract modification. Two major factors that impeded the progress of this stip. program were recruitment; affecting enrollment and also job development. Presently nine women were placed in on-the-job training positions. Recruitment did not begin until April

because of funding problems and they had problems getting the money and they didn't actually get money in hand and they were supposed to give child care money to the -- their nine placements, and they didn't have any so they couldn't begin until April.

So they also had underenrollment reducing their success potential.

Blue collar skilled trades require physical ability, motivation and manual skills to perform the work of the trades. Highly motivated women who are seriously desiring nontraditional careers and were selfconfident enough to enter a traditionally male job had to be turned away because of the stiff eligibility guidelines.

No on-going vocational training was available, therefore on-going intake was prohibited. Only two enrollment periods were allowed. I six and the second of the second of

In the area of job development some of the limitations were availability of energy related occupations, six months minimum training requirement, skill level of eligibility positions, nonunion employer recruitment, and no existing training programs. Negative attitudes of employers questioning the physical ability of women to do the work are women really serious about doing this kind of work, were some of the comments. Would a woman be able to fit in with an all male crew?

 The stip. contract will be completed in March of 1979. Boulder ventured into two new areas. Employment in the energy field and women in nontraditional jobs. Boulder's stip, may have been implemented too early for employment in the energy field, since they are presently lacking in a need for skilled tradeworkers in that area.

Negative attitudes towards women in skilled trades is a problem in all areas of employment, not just the energy field.

For aiding in the recruitment of women in the Colorado area employers could contact the women's resource network or the advocates for women in construction; in skilled trades, through Better Jobs for Women.

The women's resource network consists of staffs from most of the womens' resource centers throughout the Colorado area. Advocates for women in construction and skilled trades is a statewide organization of women's groups formed to increase the access of women in Colorado to employment in construction and apprenticeship. The advocates organization is cosponsored by Better Jobs for Women, and the women's bureau region 8.

Women are 98% of all secretaries, 94% of all typists, 98% of all clerical workers, 95% of all private household workers. 64% of all service workers, less than 10% of skilled tradeworkers, and less than 5% of top management

jobs. Of the 441 occupations listed in the census occupational classification system the majority of working women are found in only 20.

The salary gap still remains and women comprise twothirds of all discouraged workers and discouraged workers are those who want a job but are not looking because they believe they can not find one.

The unemployment rate for minority women is 13.6% higher than among any other category. Women do want better jobs, they are enrolled in fedreally funded vocational education. Unfortunately the concentration is still in the lower paying jobs.

And that's cosmetology, textile production and fabrication, graphic arts and public services and nurse's aides.

Less than 10% are in technical occupations.

Are women aware of their occupational options? 12 million more women are projected to be in the labor force by 1990. Representing more than one out of every two women over 16 years of age that will be working.

THE MODERATOR: Gail, you have two minutes to sum up your discussion.

A. (By Ms. Martinez) Okay. I'll be done.

Women need training in the higher paying jobs to enable them to get off the AFDC roles and out of the food

stamp lines. Women do not work to earn a little extra money, many are the heads of households or with the present economic situation, many are significant contributors to the family earnings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Women are seeking quality employment. Following are a few possible ways to approach the problem, some of these needs were cited by Elizabeth Moen yesterday, and there will be a little overlap.

First, Colorado currently has the largest number of women's resource centers in this region for referral of women who suffer from isolation in the work experience. the gap of the wage discrimination can be closed by existing employment legislation being enforced at all levels.

Third, if a woman chooses to be a secretary, stress the necessity for fast typing and shorthand skills to make her skills marketable at a fair salary.

Four, quality child care. Five, occupational mobility in both white collar and skilled trades must be made avail-Six, upward mobility of career structure must be made available.

Seven, sex role stereotyping continuously limits opportunities of women. So sexism in communications should be monitored.

With the emerging energy field now is the ideal time to start preparation to training programs for women and

letting women know their options.

Thank you.

(Applause) Thank you, Gail.

We'll now hear from Lawrence Borom.

MR. LAWRENCE BOROM

A. (By Mr. Borom) It's a pleasure to be here this afternoon to talk on this subject and I'm going to be describing a program that the urban league in Denver has proposed to implement in Colorado.

We're going to be talking primarily about Black workers or using the term Black workers but obviously any special group of workers that has been traditionally excluded would have the same kinds of similar types of problems, including Brown workers, Chicano workers, women workers, etcetera.

The middle 1970 s have been marked by two converging forces which have impacted our nation dramatically. The energy crisis which in part was exacerbated and highlighted by the decision of OPEC to artificially raise the world price of petroleum and the resulting and simultaneous economic crisis during which unemployment of Black workers

23[,]

reached its highest level since the great depression of the 1930's.

Several major policies have been put forward by successive administrations to solve these two problems. One of the ways of solving the problem of dependence on foreign petroleum has been the idea of project energy independence which was to involve an intensive development of resources in this country.

And the other in terms of the unemployment problem has been the CETA program which was passed in 1973 and again just weeks ago.

In the intermountain west where significant supplies of the nation's fuel reserves are found, the challenge is to join these two solutions to produce a viable contribution to the economy by both increasing energy supplies at the same time developing the skills and utilization of Black and other minority workers who are currently the most unemployed sector of this region's manpower resources.

The urban league has been operating in Denver for 31 years. Two years ago we established as our major goal the goal of trying to increase our ability to serve people in the employment area.

Grubstake, which is the name of a project we developed at that time, it has not yet been funded, was an attempt to fasten our employment interests into the rapidly growing

,8

energy employment sector. The — some of the figures that might be interesting and I won't give total figures here, have to do with the employment in the six-state region. The total as of 1977, June, 1977, the total work force in the region was 289 — let's see, excuse me, two million, eight hundred ninety-eight thousand, five hundred eighty-four, and there was an unemployment rate of 5.6 for the total region, and there were Black workers in the region at the number of 44,121, with a 9% unemployment rate.

One of the things that's happened in Denver, and throughout the — this region, has been the growth in the number of people in the population generally and the labor force and the minority population is also growing rapidly in the region. As an example, the 1977 figures that are being used in Denver indicate a Black population of 76,000, as opposed to 47,000 in 1970, so that's a very rapid rate of growth.

Another reason that we were interested in developing this particular proposal was that we had had some experience in the last two years in placing minority miners in the Henderson molybdenum mine near Georgetown. And in this case we were talking about urban workers, ten of them were Black, one was Chicano and one was Native American. Relocated, temporarily at least, in a location outside of the urban area.

Now, in many cases these workers commuted back and

forth to the city on week ends, and in some cases on off days so that they would have some opportunity to involve themselves in cultural events they were more used to.

10-

We learned some things out of these placements. One was that each worker was eager to take up the challenge to move to a new location and to learn a new occupation. So there was no dirth of interest on the part of these 12 workers specifically, as far as taking on this geographical and occupational change.

We were also required and we learned that in most cases in these — concerning these 12 and in subsequent cases, that these workers did not have the income or the money prior to the job opportunity being presented to make the move on their own, so that just things like, for instance having a car that was serviceable, having the various kinds of the safety equipment that was necessary, having money to pay for the first two weeks lodging until they got paid, these were major barriers for these workers as far as taking jobs in the energy —in the mining industry.

Another problem was that we were unable because of our limited resources to do the kind of followup that needed to be done to insure that these workers would be successful.

The reports that we got back from the mine was that they were doing well, there was fairly high turnover within the year's time from the time that these people were placed,

 but you know, without the resources that we needed, to go to the site, you know, to really spend time with the employer and with these individuals to make sure their social service needs were met, to make sure they weren't encountering problems on the jobs, we felt that we weren't doing what was needed for them.

Most of the workers, as we talked to them after their placement, had insignificant problems on the job in terms of relationship with other workers, I think that was a critical factor.

They did have problems in the surrounding towns as they went for recreation or other kinds of entertainment so there were some human relations problems, some public accommodations problems that they encountered in the area around the work site.

We proposed to operate our project on a three-year basis, and we proposed a number of activities. One would be to identify potential opportunities in skilled and non-skilled occupations in all of the various energy related industries.

In metropolitan and in outstate Colorado, and our idea was that people ought to have a choice here we're not talking specifically about minority workers only going out to the boomtown sites or to rural locations, but there are a great number of energy jobs being created in the urban

areas as well as in the rural areas. That we would initiate contact with private energy producing firms and assist them in developing meaningful affirmative action programs.

We saw that as a major need. We would also work with the firms to examine their existing work force, the number of minorities that they had involved at that time, where these geographic locations of the work would be, and so forth

We've been engaged in extensive education of Colorado's non-White communities regarding job opportunities in Colorado's energy production, research and processing industries.

One of the major problems and you've heard it time and time again, is that people don't have information about the kinds of jobs that are available, and therefore have no real way of trying to find their ways to jobs in the energy industries. We proposed to recruit and place 50 people during the first year and then additional numbers later.

We also propose to engage in an extensive community relations program in the areas that people would be placed in. We thought it would be required that staff people from our office working with the employment service and the human rights commission and civil rights commission and other agencies in the state and federal government would really spend some time in the sites that, in the towns that people would be placed in, whether it were Meeker or Craig or whatever, and that there would be a need to do some community

1.0

3 4

6

7

5

8 9

10

12 13

11

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

organization in those towns to pull together civic and social leaders, business leaders to get major support for new minority workers coming into the area. We would also be involved in an extensive social service program to the workers who were placed.

So that if people had problems finding their way to basic services such as child care services, educational services. health services and so forth, it would be the job of our workers to identify where the resources were and to make the kind of linkages with the organizations that could provide those social services.

I won't go into the number of jobs that are going to be available in the region but that is included in my paper and if people are interested you can ask questions about that.

But I think the critical point is that there will a large number of new jobs in the next seven years created through expanding energy industries, whether some of the most optimum projections are the case or not is yet to be seen, a lot will have to do with federal policy, a lot will have to do with the action on the part of states, in terms of public policy to make development possible.

We're talking, though, in using the department of labor figures, of somewhere in the vicinity of several hundreds of thousands jobs between 1976 and 1985, taking into consideration that, you know, economic forecasters usually use the multiplier rate of three to one for direct jobs in energy, creating additional kinds of secondary and tertiary jobs in the area that the expansion is going on in.

So we're talking about several hundred thousand jobs in the next few years. And when you look at the unemployment that exists in the region, then it becomes obvious that these jobs can go a long way towards solving the problems for minority workers and other workers in the region.

One of the statements of an individual who's done extensive study in this field, Dr. Gerhard Anderson of the Wharton School in the University of Pennsylvania, was that Black workers improve their status and occupational fields based on factors of the level and rate of growth of employment in the field. On the basis of that kind of formulation, then there should be great opportunity for minority workers in the energy related industries.

There are a number of barriers that minority workers will run into, however, and among these are the lack of affirmative action programs or real enforcement of affirmative action programs by the compliance agencies.

If you look at the energy related industries, you'll find that they have the smallest percentagewise Black and Brown work force of any industries in the country. As an example, in 1970 Blacks only made up 2.9% of the workers in

.20

21.

coal mining and 2.5% of workers in natural gas production. So that affirmative action programs are going to be a major issue in terms of minorities getting into energy related jobs. Energy production also takes place in areas where there has not been traditional large concentrations of Black and Brown residents, and so this will be a major problem even though historically there have been Black and Brown workers in most parts of the intermountain west, there have not been large numbers so there's going to have to be significant kinds of community relations efforts made to minimize the kind of conflict that will take place between new workers coming into an area who are minority group and resident populations.

The lack of energy — lack of information I've already commented on, this is a major problem and it exists not only for the current worker but also for the youngster who is in the public schools of the region at this time. Black and Brown youngsters who are in our public schools are not getting the kind of information they need about the types of training, the types of occupational trends that are going to exist in this area, vis-a-vis energy related industries.

Lastly, the whole problem of the peer group and of having reenforcement from peer group workers will be a problem. As an example, in one of our placements currently in the oil drilling industry, we are having a severe problem

24.

1,

months on rigs where there are no other minority workers. And the resulting effect is that he is very isolated. And feels very isolated and has severe problems in terms of being motivated to remain in this kind of job, although it is the best employment opportunity he's had in his life and the income is the best that he has had in his life.

We think it's going to be very important that money be made available through some source, possibly CETA, possibly state funds, to front end fund the minority worker who's willing to make the move and who can make the move to a lesser urbanized area.

As an example, the old grubstake notion that for the public welfare, and here we're talking about the public welfare rather than an individual's welfare the notion is to loan people money up front or to grant people money up front so that they can take advantage of the natural resources that the country needs, and I think the same thing should be applied in this case and we could obviously extend this to other types of workers as well.

We need extensive job training programs, there are a number of programs being planned at this point in Colorado but I think it is obvious that in the region there needs to be coordinated training and that the training has to take advantage of, and be made available to all the CETA eligible

manpower that exists in the region.

One of the big problems that has to be dealt with is the problem of the non-CETA eligible worker and their training interests and needs. Because with ever increasing stringent CETA eligibility criteria, there are a great number of minority workers and a great number of other workers who have the capability to be trained for productive jobs in industry, in energy related industries, but who can not because they don't meet the criteria.

We need to be orienting our public training programs and education programs towards the areas where there will be job opportunities. We are still training legions of teachers and other types of professionals and technical people who don't really have great job opportunities facing them down the line in the next five to seven years.

But it is obvious that the energy needs of this country will continue, that the same types of training for engineering and other kinds of technical backgrounds have cross applicability to new, an alternative energy sources as well as our current kinds of supply so that there is a long range prospect for real job opportunities for people trained in these areas.

I guess lastly I think we're dealing with the question of equity and we're dealing with the question of, we're dealing with the question of public, the public's role and

the public's role as far as intervention to create equity.

What is happening in the intermountain west now is that Blacks and other minorities are finding their way into, in small numbers, energy related jobs as a natural process of the labor market and of the need for workers in these fields, so that the minority population is growing in Grand Junction and Salt Lake City and other parts of the region.

And increasingly these minority workers are getting in, small numbers into these types of jobs but I think the question is that of equity and the question is that of social and public intervention, because all of these industries are very much based on some sort of public, either approval or subsidy, so if you start talking about federal lands for instance, which are being used for mining or which are being used for the production of oil and other kinds of fuels, if you talk about the role of the state government as an example in terms of taxation, in terms of giving various kinds of permits for using or for exploiting natural resources, we can see that the public is very involved in the development of energy resources in this region.

If the public is that involved, obviously then, such issues as the responsibility of the public sector to Black and Brown workers who are also taxpayers, who are also consumers as an example, who are also paying the cost of expanding energy resources, becomes one of paramount

1 So I propose that the public has a role to play importance. 2 and that without strong public intervention, without strong 3 coordination by the public sector as well as by the private sector, particularly service organizations that relate 5 specifically to minority workers, that there will be no 6 significant benefit for minority workers from the energy 7 expansion that's going on in this region. 8 that we will have to be very, very concerned about being 9 able to create the kind of atmosphere, social atmospheres, 10 in the towns that energy expansion is taking place in, so 11 that minority workers can develop useful occupational 12 skills and make the kind of -- the type of income which will 13 begin to lessen the dependence of the minority worker on the 14 vagaries of public programs. 15 Thank you. 16 17 (Applause) 18 19 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Borom. 20 Our next speaker is Mr. Omar Barbarossa? 21 22 23 MR. OMAR BARBAROSSA 24 25 A. (By Mr. Barbarossa) Thank you, Ray. I promise to

7 [^]

try and wake you up and shake you up and make this informative, stimulating and exciting, okay?

We've come to the end of a long line and last but not least, I don't know who planned this panel but I thought it was pretty representative of what this is all about.

We're talking today about ways in which to participate, that's what it's all about. You can talk about it, argue about it, opinionate on it but until you participate, it doesn't really mean a lot.

Before I talk about the real issue that I'm going to discuss today, I want to say two things. The biggest obstacle that women and minorities are confronted with is history and attitude. History unfortunately brings us to where we're at. And there's not a lot we can do about what has happened in the past. As I'm sure some of our Red brothers; here can attest to.

Attitudes in this conference, in this very conference we've had statements like Indian time, another statement like the Mafia is an affirmative action program, you know, it's all right to be humorous, we all need it, but there is times when we have to face what the serious topic is that we're here about.

The few that are here left are to be commended for your sincere participation, and the civil rights commission, I would like to thank for them bringing about what I think,

1Ì.

1<u>2</u>

1<u>4</u>

and I've attended many conferences, I think that the ideas explored here, the papers presented, have been diverse, informative and sure we're not all going to agree, but that's part of that process.

We're not all going to agree and a lot of times we may have common goals but we're going to have different directions or different approaches. As Dwayne Ostenson so well pointed out.

Tim going to talk today about Americals forgotten resources or neglected resource, that's the Chicano. You want to call it Hispano, Mexican-American, whatever, we're talking about the same people. La Raza. Ironically as it may seem, what we're talking about today, the energy matter is what brought the colonization or the settlement of this country in the first place, at least in this part of the world, the southwest. The Rocky Mountains. Because it was gold and silver, the railroads, copper, that brought about the original colonization.

The Spanish Conquistadors, they, of course, intermarried, and that's where we're at today, the Chicano.

We're not merged, as someone said yesterday.

Well, as history developed, this part of the country was isolated and I guess it didn't occur in people's minds that someday as history evolved this part of the country would play what I think is a very major determining role in

5.

10,

 the very existence of this society. And lo and behold, the very people, again it's irony, whose lands were taken away and were given the reason the reservations were developed, because that was the least desirable land. So, where is the wealth today for energy?

I don't know if the Indian planned it that way, or the Great Spirit, the Great Mantu, but I think it's fine. I like it. I see attitudes because traditionally the White male dominated society of this country has been one that says, I have the technology, I have the power, I am the planner, I am the provider, you are the worker. You are the laborer. That's the attitude that we have to change.

So we're trying to change with the advent of the civil rights movement, Viet Nam, the war on poverty in 15 years, what happened in 300 years.

The acceleration of the need for energy which is the life blood of this country as I said, has awakened the forgotten peoples. It's like the gold rush that happened in California and Arizona and here in Colorado and New Mexico, back in the middle 1800's.

We provided the work force, they took the land, and so we're saying here now, hey, this looks like another gold rush. But this time we're going to be part of the action.

The Chicano, in terms of numbers, and I don't like to play the numbers game but in terms of numbers is the largest

3

5 6

7 8

9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

minority of the great southwest. Yet because again of circumstances and attitudes, we continue to be neglected and ignored.

The Blacks had their revolution and someone here said wait a minute, let's not talk about con -- I can't use all those big words, he said confrontative politics? guess he meant you're not supposed to stand up and demand your You got to be polite and you got to say please, but we all know where that gets us.

What I'm saying is that if the Black man had not stood up and demanded the rights, if they had not had that revolution the Black man would not be recognized today. We're saying the same thing. Well, I want to make some specific recommendations. We've heard from environmentalists planners, sociologists, psychologists, and again good intents good ideas. But when it comes down to the nut crunch, we're talking about one thing, where do we go from here and how do we do it together?

Hopefully what we have learned from the last ten years, all of us, you, isithat when human beings want something and we're talking about justice and opportunity and participation, we have become too aware, we have become too aggressive, it's not going to go away. We're not going to go away. So if that is acceptable and accepted fact, then how do we proceed together?

17.

The first thing I would urge is that when you're talking about energy development at a state or local level, that the key interests of that community should get together. I'm talking about League of Women Voters, Blacks, Chicanos, Native Americans, women, industry, state, federal. You say well, how is all this going to happen? And my response is that it is happening. But it is not happening for minorities. And probably on an organized basis for women. Now, the Haskell-Hart; bill which was just passed, is 1193, has in it a measure which the administrative rules have not yet been formed for but what this bill is going to attempt to do is to involve the communities and the states in the decision making process of energy impacts on state and local communities.

There is such a vehicle. I think we need to be aware of that. I don't know if any monies have been earmarked for that section which will have citizens' participation, but we should be aware of that. It's a mechanism.

Another recommendation that I think is important is

I think we need to find ways in which we can have a centralized basis of gathering information regarding energy development, energy conservation, energy production, programs or jobs or contracts that result as, again, the result of energy development.

Who is privy to that kind of information now?

Another problem or another barrier that I see is that of understanding, and first of all the very terminology or the language that is entailed or involved in energy development. It's a whole new discipline, a whole new area for us lay people. I've heard things tossed here in the last two days like socioeconomic, some lady kept using a term something about mitigating strategies, another one was I asked a question if she would translate it in simple English, and I guess what I'm saying is that you know, the community individual is so attacked by bureaucracy, by terminology, red tape. Can't we just start talking English?

I thought I learned English once.

So I see that as an obstacle.

One thing that I see is very important is we talk about in the government or public sector, set aside programs. For minorities. That's a process where goods or contracts or services which a state or local or federal government, if they have a project that they're working on or if they're building a plant or a facility, out of that theoretically so much is set aside for minorities. Well, if we're talking about natural resources and energy development, I think that's got to be a must.

Both from the government and from the private sector.

Okay? So we can't all be ARCO or Exxon or Mobil, but maybe
we can lease six trucks, you know, or 60 trucks. We may

12-

.23

not buy them but we can lease them.

What I'm saying is that we have to be part of what is going on. And if you're talking about participation, if you're talking about real redress as a corporate entity or as a governmental entity, then you have to apply those measures or those mechanisms to allow us to do that.

Again, I said attitudes, right? When I mentioned this very thing last night at a meeting afterwards, a gentleman came up to me and said what you're talking about is another form of welfare. You're talking about giveaways. Why is it you guys are always asking gimme, gimme? I said, you know, it's funny, when the railroads were built, you guys got a hell of a lot of land with that deal, and I know of a guy who's a senator down in Louisiana who, a few years ago, got 400-some-odd thousand dollars for growing peanuts when we all know they don't grow peanuts in Baton Rouge, you know. And I know there's something called the oil depletion allowance, and then, when domestic gas couldn't compete with international or foreign, you know, the guys at home were saying, you're not being fair. You know, you got to let us increase the price.

What I'm saying, what do you call that? Hey, we got to talk out of one side of the mouth, not forked tongue.

So we're saying we want to walk together, we want to work together. Let's mean it, let's do it. Just some real

I

.

simple things.

Another way to increase the dialogue, of course, is through seminars and conferences such as this. Let us not be all negative, because there are changes coming. And there are changes here. Let's fâce it, people, ten years ago we would not all have been as we are in this room. So there is hope, there is change, and we need to prove as a people, as this country, that it can happen, and it will happen, but we all have to act and believe together.

Call it wrong where it's wrong, call it right where it's right.

Thank you.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Barbarossa, for the very excellent presentation.

At this time Colorado SAC Chairperson, Maggie Aro; will introduce Representative Pat Schroeder.

MS. ARO: Just a little bit out of order in our sequence of things.

Pat Schroeder has given us 15 minutes and we thank her very much for being here. I hope you gentlemen notice that the two legislators who said yes to our invitation were both ladies.

1 (Applause)

Ź 3

MS. ARO: That, to me, shows excellent organization.

5

6

4

however, she is, for those of you from out of state, running for her fourth term in congress from the first congressional

I don't think Pat needs an introduction to you,

7

district of Colorado, which is the City-County of Denver.

8

She's the mother of two, she was a practicing

9 10

attorney before she ran for office, and she is married to an attorney. And with no further ado, I'd like to have

11

Pat direct her comments to policy initiatives for the future

12

and I trust we will hear some good positive things.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

(Applause)

Pat?

REP. PATRICIA SCHROEDER

A. (By Rep. Schroeder) Thank you very much and I apologize, it's kind of the silly season as far as I'm concerned, the last few days, and it seems like time slots are just too full.

But what are some policy initiatives? Well, I think a lot of you saw the paper that we submitted about what happens in this whole energy development area. Now, many

of the people here on the panel are old friends that we've worked on in this whole area before and there's several different aspects of the problem.

One of the problems is that you have to be sure to train minorities and women to enter the market. You've got to make sure they've got the right kind of training. I think I realize and am more sensitive to that than a lot of people because as a young woman I remember going through college and telling my counselors that I wanted to go to law school and they always said no, no, no, you don't want to do that.

And I kept thinking well, how do you know I don't want to do that? Well, they just knew I didn't want to do that, that what I really wanted to do was be an elementary school teacher or be something else, they always had something else in mind or be a social worker or something.

I think the same thing happens to minorities. And so I think that many of the educational options really aren't options for them because even if they're thinking about it, first of all we got to get people thinking about it when you go to the counselor they tell you well, you're crazy to think about that, why are you thinking about that? That's crazy, you shouldn't do that and we still see that going on.

I even find my children coming home from school with some incredible concept as to what they can and not do and

3 4

23°

it comes from the media, comes from reading, comes from society, comes from the teacher, comes from everything.

When my daughter came home one night and said she was sorry she was a little girl because she really wanted to be an airline pilot, I kind of batted my eyes and said, where did you get that? And she said, well, that's what my teacher said. Well, that's been particularly true in the energy industry.

The film industry has not done much for having either women or minorities think that they could participate.

If you go and see any kind of movies, and you think of energy you generally think of oil and gas, and who has ever seen a woman oil person or a Black oil person or a Chicano or a Native American? You just don't see it.

I had on my staff last year, my energy consultant was Black and I sent him to some of the independent energy meetings around the country and he would always come home and start off his report by saying, well, I was the only spot of color there. And I think that's probably true.

So you've got the image that you're dealing with, you've got the counselors and the society that you're dealing with and that's a very heavy thing. So a lot of people who maybe later in life would decide this is a growth industry and want to get into it, probably have not been trained. So we've got to find means and ways, ways and means

3 4

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

either way, of getting people the training so they can then enter it.

And I think all of us have to work on that. Larry Boróm has put together a good proposal, a lot of people here, Gail Martinez and Roger, all sorts of people here have been working on different proposals that we really should work on to increase that horizon.

There's another aspect of the problem and that problem is where does the energy development take place? In Colorado we tend to see it, in Denver I should say, we tend to see it taking place on 17th Street going straight up. And that's one place where it is taking place, in the cities and that's one area.

But there's another area, and that is in the rural communities where the actual coal mining or the actual gasification plants are going in, or where the actual oil shale development will be taking place or where the actual field drilling or whatever is taking place. And if you look at that we have some real challenges there.

Number 1, the first challenge is how all that affects children, you tend to find that there aren't schools and there aren't facilities there, for wives of workers moving in there or for husbands of workers, however, or for children of workers. There are no schools, there are no other things available and so we have to find how we provide those

services .

We also find that that is an area where maybe some of, yes there's a lot of discrimination in the city but in rural areas there's even a whole lot more. I always think about my dear friends here in Denver who applied a long time ago from Texas for a teaching position in Colorado. And there was one small community that didn't ask you to check off race. So they didn't. They were both obviously Black and when they drove into Agate (Phonetic), Colorado, to say hi, we're the people that you hired, I want to tell you I guess the town is still talking about it.

And I think you know, we're certainly aware that that is true. There is very strong discrimination in some of the rural communities and so a lot of people won't want to go out there or won't feel welcome out there unless they're getting a lot of support from the companies that are out there.

And companies very often want to say we're a profit making organization and not a social institution. So you know, if you want to go out there you're on your own. So I think companies are going to have to have a great responsibility to make sure communities accept everybody. And that communities accept some of the people. And then we have to work at getting some federal and state aid for those developing communities to provide the services that dependents in particular need.

Then I think the other problem with boomtowns is when you have the construction period, and my paper we pointed out some of the photographs, in many of the areas the construction period requires ten times more workers than after the construction period, and so then the question is what do you do and how do you handle it and what happens to the people who came in to build these things, especially in rural areas, and then all of a sudden the jobs are cut down to about one-tenth because it doesn't take nearly as many people to run it so many of the stuff is automated anymore.

Now, how do those people then, they become almost like some of our construction people are becoming almost like migrant workers, it's very difficult having to pick up, move around, there isn't the continuity of school systems for them, maybe we need magnet areas where there's going to be a lot of construction where we put the facilities in and they can be more people and people commute out from them almost like off-shore oil rigs in reverse.

You kind of have a core living area, like the old farming in Europe used to be, you have the village where everyone lives and then they go out to build here or they go back to build there. I think we need to look at all of those things because otherwise if we don't, the patterns won't change. For a lot of reasons.

And we all know what they are and I think I've fairly generally ticked them off. The main area that I think the government can be helpful in then, is number 1, figuring out how to help the communities get the money they need for the planning and development at that time.

Number 2, helping the companies realize that they need to help train minorities and women to come into those positions and also be advocates for the people who are in their company in these rural areas. And if they aren't, then they're not going to feel comfortable and they aren't going to want to go out there and so forth and so on.

So that is basically where my message comes from.

I think that's an awful lot of ground to cover, we're just big -- dealing with some very basic instincts that we're having to undo.

And it's going to be hard to undo them all. But it's a challenge and I think we really should do that.

All Blacks shouldn't be social workers and all women shouldn't be nurses and all Chicanos shouldn't be something else. Let's face it, everybody should pick whatever it is that they want to pick and everybody should be happy that they're picking what they want to pick because they'll probably do a better job if they're doing what they want to do.

But boy, have we had trouble getting that message

7 8

across. So let me be quiet at this point and see if you have any questions? Or answers?

Q (By Mr. Barbarossa) We all want to be millionaires.

No, I have a question. Are you familiar with the Hart-Haskell Bill, 1193? Could you explain --

A. Yes.

Q Could you explain how that works and is there going to be some monies for local communities or local organizations to use in the planning and development of that plan or do you want to --

A. Well, I hope that's how they implement it. This is a plan that would give federal aid for boomtowns, okay?

Now, my hope is that the structure put together is that we do have the local people dealing with it, but not the local people who were there before the boom took place, do you hear what I'm saying?

Q. You bet.

A. Okay, now that's the real trick because otherwise

I have a great worry about how that development is going to
go. Nor do we want it coming out of Washington. Because
again they don't know what to do either. And so the -that's why I think I made such a plea for companies understanding the dynamics, and there's some groups that are now
trying to work in areas like that, to try and sensitize people
much more about the dynamics of what goes on in a small

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 community, there's great mixed emotions about all these new folks moving in in trailers and people they haven't seen those kind of people in that town before, and you know, what is going on?

THE MODERATOR: Cut this shorter, we had a question from the audience a while ago. UNIDENTIFIED WOICE: Was that bill passed?

> THE MODERATOR: Please identify yourself.

(By Rep. Schroeder) It has not passed in the house. So we've got to, you know, it has not passed in the house, no.

Thank you. Yes, sir?

- (By Mayor Ted Wilson) Mayor Ted Wilson of Salt Lake City, Utah. How much depth of understanding is there among your colleagues in congress about the boomtown issue?
 - Let me tell you --Α.
 - How far have we got to go on that issue?
- Ted, let me tell you the problem is, to be very honest, there's a tremendous jeadousy among my colleagues about the boomtown issue. If you go and, say feel sorry for us we are having a boomtown problem, they look at you feel sorry for us we have unemployment of 15%. and say. You know. And that's the kind of thing that you're into right at the moment.

And I'm trying to be very, very honest about it. It's difficult to get a lot of sympathy out of Fall River,

Massachusetts, for the problems of the western slope, I mean they're there sitting with half their mills shut down, it's difficult in many of the eastern seaboard where they still have the majority of representation, because we have not reapportioned, and there's been a tremendous shift to the sunbelt, but it's difficult for them to be too sympathetic because they're saying oh, you have it all, you know, we'd like to have those problems, you think that's a problem?

And so it's hard to frame it as a problem. Help us with your growth. We are suffering, there are so many people moving in, you know. And they look at you and say that's suffering? So that is one of the big problems we have getting a bill like that passed. They're saying we, should be helping with urban decay.

THE MODERATOR: We have time for one more question.

- Q (By Mr. Freudenburg) Bill Freudenburg of Washington State University and once upon a time of Paonia, Colorado. That's the source of my question. I think I heard you say you don't want the people who are already in a town before the boom starts having --
 - A. To be the sole --
- Q That's careful wordsmanship, would you like to be a little more explicit?
- Q. (By Mr. Barbarossa) She means like the county commissioner --

23,

A I mean that the people who are in control, say of Paonia right now, before anybody moves in, if they are determining what happens it's really not quite fair, because then you end up almost like the congress where you haven't apportioned to the new growth and so there should be some input for the new groups coming in also.

Now, it's gotato be a coalition, because you've got to have the community that's already there accepting the new community, you don't want it to be a war.

But if you just go with the people that are there, then I don't think you're going to deal with these attitudes that we're talking about.

Now, maybe Paonia's different, maybe Panoia doesn't have any racism or sexism or you know, feelings about newcomers coming in or anything, but many of them do have those, and I think realistically if you don't have an input from the new people who are coming in and many times the new people are going to outnumber the old people, the money wouldn't be fairly allocated.

On the reason I asked my question is it's my perception on the basis of an fair amount of study that one of the real problems with continuing and intensifying sexism and racism is a general sense of loss of control over your whole life, and people in communities where the amount of change is manageable, seem more willing to go a little extra step and

10 th 10 th 10 th be a little open minded, and persons in communities that 1 2 have simply been overwhelmed by the changes --3 . That's right. -- and when we talk about taking control away from 4 the local communities we might actually end up reenforcing 5 the kinds of prejudices we least want to reenforce? 6 A. No, I'm saying you do it in combination with the 7 new groups coming in, you don't want to turn it over just 8 to the ones who are there, that it has to be a partnership, 9 with the new people and the old people working it out. 10 Because the groups that were already there are only 11 getting the money because the new people are coming in. 12 They don't get the money for the most part. Land 13 14 owners --The community is going to get the money if the bill 15 ever passes which is the first if, but if that ever trans-16 pires, that's how it would happen. And I think it's got to 17 be a partnership with input from both sides, otherwise it 18 really won't work and you've just polarized both sides. 19 Thank you all very much and again I'm sorry to have 20 to come and run but have a very, very good conference, 21 you're certainly dealing with some very difficult, complex, 22 tricky issues and I wish you all the luck in the world. **23** 24

(Applause)

25

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

A. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR:

3

MR. BARBAROSSA: Pat Schroeder will be at the Hilton tonight.

At this time we'll hear from our

5

respondent, begin with Mr. Roger Kahn?

7

MR. ROGER KAHN

9

10

11

8

A. (By Mr. Kahn) Thank you. Can everybody hear? I'm a substitute respondent, I'm substituting for Tim Flores of the AFL-CIO in Colorado, I consider it worth mentioning and a privilege that I was asked to sit in for him and that

12 13

before doing so, we insisted that he okay it and he did.

14 15

has been working with the Colorado AFL-CIO dealing with a whole host of energy related and environmental issues, and we con-

We have been - Côlorado Coalition for Full Employment

17 18

16

host of energy related and environmental issues, and we con sider it one of the major steps forward, if you will, in

19

breaking down what has often been thought of as the polari-

2021

zation between labor and environment and the same is true in regard to environment and minority people as well.

22. 23

I wanted to -- I just asked Rick for a second if he would mind if I responded first because there was something

24

in the discussion with Pat Schroeder that I wanted to piggyback, on, and particularly because the last set of

25

respondents, when Burman Lorenson was talking about procedure I wanted to couple something that he had said with something that several of the panelists had said as well as something that Congresswoman Schroeder has just said.

If you use federal monies or state monies and go through the local communities on the boomtown areas or the communities near boomtown areas, you will be dealing with, for the most part, the business leadership in that local community.

The real estate owners or the county commissioners, real estate people, ranchers, all of whom are in many respects very directly profitting from the boomtown phenomenon economically and who are genuinely concerned about it, don't mistake what I'm saying. They, for the most part, are concerned primarily with questions of expanded sewer systems, roads and the like, not with some of the social service needs that have been articulated as part of the Wyoming project concerns, for example, earlier this afternoon.

Not in the way that the urban league is proposing a very fundamentally necessary, essential grubstake program, not a kind of program that Dwayne Ostenson was talking about that involves some support services, they are all essential and necessary and it's going to be important for people in the public sector as well as the private sector to recognize that people who are not elected or appointed

officials must participate in determining and ameliorating -- I'm sorry, determining the definition of the problems that need to be solved as well as ameliorating the problems that need to be solved.

4.

Having said that, I want to just make a couple of other kinds of comments. I want to agree essentially with the panelists but make it, restate it, if you will, vigorous affirmative action programs in the public and private sectors are essential if indeed minority people are going to participate as the potential, theoretical potential anyway, would permit.

High school and college training is essential, but it is not enough because the time is now and the time is not in ten years, and I think that that calls for compensatory short range programs as well as tracking kids from the junior high school on up.

That's not to again negate the importance of tracking kids in junior high school; but to say that the problems are now and the obligation, it seems to me, is for the energy companies and the government, public sectors, to do, to put a lot of money, if you will, into compensatory programs. Money, time and energy.

There are a lot of programs I think and Congresswoman Schroeder alluded to at least three that are represented on the panel and among the respondents that are being floated

and circulated to try and develop support citizens within communities to try and get people talking with each other and networking with each other to try and get people onto jobs and comfortable and with jobs in front of a civil rights commission it might not be too embarrassing to talk about the needs for small group human relations training among w orkers, I think that that would be helpful as well as among people in the community.

The reason I hestiate on that is when you mention those kinds of things to people outside civil rights conference type, they just sort of wonder what you're even talking about it. It seems so hard to define.

Finally, I want to comment that virtually all the remarks with the exception of an afterthought that Larry suggested, dealt with conventional energy production, October 9, 1978, Business Week talks about a five to seven million dollar emerging industry in solar, the opportunities I would think are many fold, the ground floor is now, there are rooms for spinoff ancillary businesses, there are business opportunities in terms of small business and medium sized business development, there are skilled, unskilled and semiskilled occupations and I suspect that the greatest opportunity, at least according to my analysis, for minority people, in particular outside this particular region, but in Denver as well, for sure, and Fort Collins and other areas,

Ž.

Pueblo, is in the alternate energy industry. This area is going to be rich as can be in that area, I'm sorry, in alternate energy development over the next decade, and I think the time is really here and now in that regard.

I want to thank people for their attention, I learned a wonderful new thing when I moved out here close to ten years ago, people were taking betser and they were saying Roger couldn't live in the boonies under any conditions and after the past couple of weeks of conferencing; I realize that maybe I could have been living there but I'm not sure I could live in the boomies.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Thank you very much, Mr. Kahn.
Our next speaker is Mr. Richard Gonzalez.

MR. RICHARD GONZALEZ

A. (By Mr. Gonzalez) Good afternoon, it's certainly a pleasure to be here, lot of familiar faces, lot of very distinguished people, it's going to be here, God bless you all, let's get into it.

I have a problem with what wedid this afternoon,

I guess as respondent I should make a note of it and get into what I've got to say.

The panel was to discuss ways to assure the minorities and women participate equally in opportunities presented by energy development. We did that admirably well but we eliminated and forgot something very important, we spoke admirably well about labor, we spoke admirably well about training, what we did not address until just very recently the last 30 seconds was the opportunities created by businesses and business development as a result of this tremendous energy boom.

As you can see my orientation is not labor related, my orientation as a director of the Colorado Economic Development Association, an association founded and funded, funded presently by the office of minority business enterprise, the department of commerce, my job is to develop businesses for minority members.

A few statistics will hopefully wake you up. And get us through this last stretch of a Friday afternoon before happy hour.

It's interesting to note that minority businesses today represent the less than 3% of all businesses in this country. It's more appalling to note that the gross receipts of all these minority businesses account for less than 1%. It's also appalling to note that minority businesses receive

less than 2% of the federal procurement dollar received in fiscal year 1977 and it's also interesting to note that loans through the Small Business Administration, an agency chartered, funded and empowered to work in businesses, of its portfolio, only 12% are minority businesses.

It's a shocking statistic. It's quité obvious from these statistics that the minority applicants are not significantly participating in this economy of ours. It is clear that unless this pattern is reversed, we will continue to have a group of minority peoples in this country who are isolated, economically, socially, politically and spiritually.

Energy, and the resulting boom that we're experiencing, encountering and discussing today, offer us a challenge to help bring about the reversal of these appalling statistics that I've quoted to you. There are some positive things that are being done to do this.

Specifically, us at CEDA are involved with providing technical assistance to minority people who want to get into business. Some of these are energy related and I'll discuss them briefly. Overall at CEDA we're funded from the office of minority business enterprise, have five operational departments by which to accomplish this very lofty mission. We have a business planning department whereby entrepreneur can come into the building and get a loan package prepared to submit to the Small Business Administration or hopefully

į.

a bank. We also have the capability of preparing loan packages, line of credit applications, bonding applications, and projections for cash flows and breakeven analysis.

our business education department sponsored a 100 seminars a year, we have 2,000 students enrolled in our courses and they cover very specific operationally oriented business problems. We do not deal with a whole bunch of theory.

We have also at CEDA what is known as a contractor's construction assistance center, we have five engineers on staff, they provide direct one to one technical assistance in estimating, scheduling and in resolving problems that appear on jobs once the minority person is on board.

We have a computer operation center where the books of the businesses can be kept, kept currently and kept in a manner that are informative to the managers of the enterprise.

The kinds of energy related businesses that we deal with; problems that we encounter, are the following. since the energy boom has been upon us we've been in about ten different kinds of business opportunities, some better than others. We deal a lot with insulation companies, their problems tend to be a lack of capital, a lack of contracts for them, and a limited bonding capacity that they have.

Another energy related operation is caulking, believe

,

it or not, all the homes and buildings that are built need to be weatherized or winterized so we have a lot of caulking people, contractors, businesses who specialize in this. Their problem is that the kinds of jobs they're getting are kind of small.

We had two or three solar manufacturers who went out of business because of lack of capital and lack of contracts. We had solar connector installers, they went out of business because they did not have an access to a good marketable product that they could install at a competitive price. We had some coal extractors who were not able to make contracts, we had individuals involved in the distribution of oil products, and the problems that they felt and the ones that hurt them significantly that the noncompetitive bidding structure that they get from the manufacturer, for example a trucker who hauls peteroleum, oil and lubricants can not compete with larger truckers who are hauling from the same producer.

We have firms who do drilling fluids supply, each time a well is drilled, gas or oil, a lot of specialized kind of chemicals are needed. To make these kinds of drillings more easily done. The problems they've had is the buyer resistance by all the companies, oil companies have an attitude that minority firms can not perform the job as adequately well as a nonminority firm. Our haulers of

petroleum, oil and lubricant products have also had problems with PUC licensing permits and again buy resistance.

16.

Soil samples, a lot of minority firms are involved in this, they sometimes lack the shortage of technical help to help them perform larger contracts, and again buyer resistance. Since this is a professional kind of relationship, the firms therefore are not always are engaged in the competitive bidding system but rather negotiate these contracts with firms that they have worked with in the past.

. We have some tremendous opportunities that have been to this point untapped in aerial imagery both in collection and analysis as it relates to energy. They lack the capital and technology in many cases.

We do have a couple geological firms that consult but again here, the problem they face is buyer resistance.

The manufacturing of solar equipment has not been successful because of lack of capital and the lack of certain kinds of patents. Methane conversion is a very good opportunity that has not been capitalized on, this is a firm will use its refuse from its chickens or its hogs, put them in methane converters and develop methane gas.

We have not had any success in wind generated power because of the larger companies having a lock on the production or manufacture of any kind of equipment. We have not done too much in farming for energy, it's amazing to note

that large farms, either chicken, hogs, beef, can turn their waste products into methane. There's also the possibility of growing special kinds of beans, the kinds that grow in Mexico and arid climates, that which bean when broken down chemically, produces a very good oil additive and gives longer life to oil using machinery and there's a lot of it around.

That market has not been tapped.

So we're working very constructively, I feel, to attempt to get into the developing opportunities, the kinds I've listed, but we need to have much more done. I've brought with me a list of suggestions that we've developed over the past several months, things that we've run into, studies that we've conducted and in general our experiences with our own clients who are out there struggling to market meat, not as laborers but as entrepreneurs and I have to emphasize that.

and private sector. In the federal sector we need to continue to amend the Miller Act so that bonding requirements are not so stringent in performing federal contracts. We need to encourage and develop bonding pools so that when contractors, vendors or suppliers of products when asked to provide bonds, can go to a facility that will enable them to bond these kinds of activities.

We need to get with the IRS to make amendments in its

4 5

7 8

tax code to give those firms that joint venture with minority business enterprises a tax break. We need to continue to encourage the formation of sources of capital so that companies can begin and that companies can continue their operations. Capital and lines of credit for equity.

We need to allocate more funds, federal and state, for business development organizations such as ourselves, and we need to expand the authority and prisdiction of the Small Business Administration so that it can do its job as it's supposed to do it.

We need to get minority vendors into the major manufacturing concerns so that they can develop dealerships, distributorships, on that level. It's one of the hardest nuts to crack, we have just recently after one and a half years of negotiation with Westinghouse, begun to even get them to seriously consider a Black business to be a distributor.

Never before had they done that in this area.

We need to utilize the severance tax which has not been taken in Colorado to a great degree, to help develop minority business enterprises. And we have to take that same kind of funding approach to severance tax use and help subsidize and that's a terrible word, I know, but it's the best word that makes the point, professional education.

Look around yourselves today and you find many, many

minorities with college degrees. I've got three of them. But we need to put minorities in college courses and programs that lead to very specific kinds of technical kinds of skills that can be used in the energy area.

Engineers, geologists, hydrologosts, these are the kinds of things we need in the construction, engineering and in a wholesaling and retailing of energy related products.

THE MODERATOR: Mr. Gonzalez, I'm sorry, we're running out of time, could you sum up your presentation?

A. (By Mr. Gonzalez) Couldn't have been better time

because I was just getting to my summary.

In summary then, the mechanisms are available to bring about the positive changes that face the challenges that are with us today in 1978.

Many things are being done by the state and federal government, but don't be lulled into thinking that change is inevitable and that the change will overcome us and reverse the pattern of 200 years. We have to continue to work in a very strenuous fashion, utilizing all of the resources available to us, specifically that of the federal, state and local governments.

We do stand ready to do our part as business development centers but I think we have to look elsewhere for resources, policies and legislation to help us get women and
minorities to participate in the energy development in

7.

.12

1 Colorado. 2 3 (Applause) 4 5 THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Mr. Gonzalez. 6 That officially concludes panel 6. Panel 7 will 7 begin in five minutes at 4:00 o'clock. 8 9 (Short recess) 10 11 (The following was moderated by Ms. Margaret Aro) 12 13 THE MODERATOR: Somebody mentioned earlier that they 14 were discouraged about getting up in front of an empty room 15 and I think we've lost some since then. And we have the 16 best yet to come. 17 I am Maggie Aro, Chairperson for the Colorado SAC, 18 and I'm introducing the last panel on policy initiatives 19 for the future. I think this has been an exciting day in our con-20 ference, and I think it is not over yet. Our participants 21 22 in this panel include some very interesting people. 23 Polly Garrett, who is a member, who was a member of the very first panel, she is the director of socioeconomic 24 25 impact office, she is sitting in for the person on the program

۸, .

۲. ۱۰

that you have in your hand, Dr. Charles Metzger. She is -she has been with the federal government about 11 years,
the department of energy half of that time and HEW the
other half of the time and came to that from a background
of education.

She didn't want me to say anything more because she wants that extra two minutes in her speech and Polly, it's yours, I promise.

Our next participant is Martin Garber and Martin Garber is now Washington representative representing Phillips' natural resources interests, including oil, gas and minerals. Before that he was, excuse me, that is his last state of being, before that he was an industrial relations director for Phillips for the western United States and Alaska, based here in Denver, and currently he is director of external liaison department of the American Petroleum Institute and also assistant to the president of Phillips Petroleum Company.

The next person on our panel is Arlene Sutton, one of the members of our committee, our SAC committee here in Denver, a valuable member. She has been most recently senior associate for Interamerican Research Associates. She has been the director of the national early childhood bilingual resource center. She's served in capacities of dean at the University of Southern Colorado, and professor at Metro,

here in Denver, has done extensive work and has great interest in the bilingual-bicultural area, and currently is filling a brand new job for her and I understand smashingly as the regional administrator of the Human Development Services, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

Last but not least here is Ted Wilson, who is the Mayor of Salt Lake City, and he is here very briefly because they're involved in Salt Lake City in a last minute fight for conversion from a cities to a city-county, and he may no longer be mayor in what, three days?

MAYOR WILSON: It will take a year.

THE MODERATOR: Okay, we're safe for a year then.

He has his BS from Utah, his MS from the University of Washington, in economics. He's married, had four youngsters, he comes from a background of school teaching and he won't comment on his future political aspirations.

I think just looking at the panel you know, it's going to be an interesting afternoon. All of these people, of course, are going to speak to the subject of policy initiatives for the future.

Polly?

MS. PAULINE GARRETT

A. (By Ms. Garrett) Dr. Metzger, quite often, is

· 7

recognized, he says, because of his beard and his boots. I fade into the background because I ordinarily just wear skirts and shoes.

So today I would like to say something that will help you to remember me, not because of the skirt and the shoes or your disappointment that you didn't have the leader of our particular regional organization who is a very good looking fellow with fine boots, and a well groomed beard.

As I looked over the papers, I would like to make some comments before I get into the — what I hope will be the substantive part of this particular presentation. I would like to congratulate the commission on, first, identifying a major issue, energy. Secondly, to try to relate the charge that they have to current developments, and energy is the cutting edge of what's happening as far as people are concerned and as far as the economy is concerned.

But as I looked over the papers, I -- not facetiously, put them into the terrible three's. I called them the VD's. Now, those of you, you know, I know that you knew that that meant something else than what I'm going to say. The first vicious deeds, I thought were the demands, the demands that society seems to be placing on itself and it would appear to me that unless we do something about the demands, I'm reading now, I'm excerpting from the papers, you'll have a chance to look at the substance of those papers.

1Ó

19[°]

But the first victors D is the demand that society is placing upon itself. And there are a number of papers that develop that theme.

The second vicious D are the decrees that come out, not necessarily through the legislation that our agencies have to implement, but it would appear to me that the decrees that come from administrative guidelines.

My own agency started out with regulations of about 15 pages and now full of about eight to ten volumes, so thick, with what I call the toilet tissue issuance.

Then the third vicious D is, that was addressed in your papers, the dehumanizing effect that comes from the manner in whi ch legislation is implemented, statutes are interpreted and agencies ignore the missions that they were brought into being in order to implement.

Then I'd like to suggest that as a responder, the first morning, and then I sit there and respond, whether I'm doing it orally or not, I would like to suggest that the three D's to be substituted might be valid and vital D's, the VD's, and that comes, then, to data gathering and analysis.

Without pointing to any paper which is not my role to play, there are some errors in the data and the information. And those of you who do not make it a lifetime pusuit to gather data and analyze it all you can do is depend on the presenter. So I ask of the commission that they check the

3 4

,

× 4

data within the particular papers through some particular agency or organizations whose job it is, and whose assignment it is to get you valid data.

Then the second D, under the vital and valid D's,

I would like to suggest that we reinstitute the democratic

process in decision making. And then -- and I guess I would

like to have some examples of where that does take place.

And it is taking place, although I didn't hear many examples

given to us of how that goes.

And then my last point on the valid and vital D's, there were some very good suggestions in the papers on directions, but not enough of the futuristic look at those directions. And so much for the summary of the papers.

However, being an action oriented individual, I have suggested that I would really like to have on-going communications with Gail Martinez and Bill Freudenburg, based on the papers that they had, and my responsibility in the agency that I serve:

I would like to suggest that Mr. Veeder get in touch with the Secretary Schlesinger and his deputy, O'Leary. He has much to say and chances are you could get an audience, you know, even by surprise.

Then I think I'd like to suggest that Davidson and Watson and Kahn make contacts with the various industrial associations that are operating in this region. The

Southwest Industrial Association in Wyoming, the Montana and Wyoming Industrial Association, there are a number of them.

I think you have something to say to them, and I have found them very open to suggestions on ways that they can be of service.

Then I'd like to suggest that Ledford and Ostenson and Borom continue in some cases with the contacts that you've already made with the department of labor and initiate some new ones.

The department of labor is very much into the business of manpower development and training and very much concerned about new kinds of job opportunities and the quote around upward mobility because we all bring different meanings to that phrase.

Then I'd like to suggest that Holliday and Moen get in touch with the National Science Foundation. They've got some divisions that have been addressing the same concepts that were addressed in their paper.

And then for Mary Hilda Grabner, I would like to suggest that someone give her some help and put her story of Lark, Utah into a movie. And then she might even get help from the minister whose slide show we enjoyed very much.

Then I'd like to suggest that Lantz and McCowan (Phonetic), I may be mispronouncing the names, and Cose,

19.

C-o-s-e, might want to initiate and/or continue your contacts with the office of consumer affairs in the department of energy and also HUD and HEW representatives.

And then I'd like to have Ms. Davenport speak directly to the congressional representatives from this state, and perhaps provide some much needed and current information to congressional representatives.

That's the role I wanted to play if I'd had a chance to earlier.

Now, the first part of my presentation without any clearance from Dr. Metzger or the assistant secretary of intergovernmental affairs or the secretary of department of energy, but just something that if I were sitting out there, I might be interested in hearing.

As you know, the congress in all of their wisdom finally passed a national energy act to conserve energy, to accelerate a shift to coal and to reduce U.S. oil import needs. I've gone through that and you probably have kept up with it just as well as I have, but basically it's to replace oil and gas with domestic fuels, it's to reduce energy demands, it's to increase production of domestic energy through rational pricing policies, it's to build a base for the development of solar and renewable energy, resources. But along with that it does something that I think a good number of you do not realize, it does away

-

with some legislation that we had in place, and consolidates it into this act. The act is composed of five bills, the national energy conservation policy act, the power plant and industrial fuel use act, the public utilities regulatory policy act, the national -- natural gas policy act, and the energy tax act.

As far as I know, we do not have in place at the present time, the regulations and the guidelines for implementation of those acts.

In all of my wisdom, I have gone through and put an asterisk by the places where I thought there might be some initial activity on the part of the persons in this audience, at least those groups that I've recognized over the last two days. Under the -- and another provision, incidentally, that I think you ought to talk about, the grants and standards for energy conservation in federal assisted housing.

I think there's a place for the needs to be met that you've identified, a study of energy efficiency of off-road and recreational vehicles. I think that's a place for new kinds of entrepreneurs and businesses.

Under the coal conversion, funding of programs to reduce negative impact from increased coal production, energy impact assistance and railroad rehabilitation.

Young man, the legislation that you spoke to has not

been passed. The Senate added the general amendment and knocked it all into a cocked hat. The House of Representatives never really addressed it seriously. I do feel that something will come to pass. Hopefully this next legislation, but there is something in place on impact assistance, and that is where perhaps you can make some inroads and have some participation.

MR. BARBAROSSA: Thank you for calling me a young man.

A. It's to reduce negative impacts from increased coal production, energy impact assistance and it also looks at uranium development.

The second piece of material that's in that act is marked out, I hope that there's a place for involvement in every section, I just, from the matter of time looked at these that I thought realistically there might be something happen.

Aid to states and consumer representation. Funding to assist state implementation and consumer intervention in proceedings. The next one on natural gas pricing regulations, there's a section in there called incremental pricing, protection of residential consumers by first passing through some portion of increased gas prices to industrial users.

There is a place for the mebers of this conference.

The energy tax act, it seemed to me that as we looked

at the residential solar tax credits, a nonrefundable income tax credit for residential insulation of solar or wind equipment might have some meaning. Business energy tax credit, business tax credits for industrial investment in alternative energy property. Such as boilers or coal or nonboiler burners, etcetera.

Under energy conservation, the -- I think that entire legislation should be addressed by your group, and certainly as it relates to conservation program grants.

Under coal conversion, again I would like to suggest that it, again there's a part — there is a place for you in each section as far as I could tell, if I were having the initiative to take and representing the groups that you represent.

The one part of this one on coal conversion bill, having to do with impact assistance, again we get sizeable authorizations and then, when it comes down to appropriations there's a far cry between what is authorized and what's appropriated. The authorization called for 60 million dollars in FY79 and 120 million in FY1980. They came through with 20 million.

Now, don't cry, that's better than anything that
we've had so far and I'll take a little bit and hope for
more down the pike. Well, I wanted then, first to point out
what is in the national energy policy act, and I probably have

7.

9.

not done it justice, all I wanted to get across is that there are many opportunities for you to have some kind of input, the regulations have not been written, and the guidelines have not been developed, there is some legislation in place right now having to do with state conservation plans and getting together those plans, there must be advisory groups.

I would be amiss if I didn't ask you to hold up your hand, being a former teacher, but I won't, I wanted to ask how many of you are part of advisory groups but I won't do that. If you aren't then how many of you know somebody who is? You know? I wanted to to that.

It is a suggestion to you. That there is more money in the implementation of the state planning activity and conservation than there is in the planning activity itself and both Wyoming and Colorado; the most heavily right now, the most heavily impacted of our states, have a sizeable hunk of money going into that.

Someone made, which is very easy to do, made some kind of a disparaging remark about my agency. I don't know which one in this room did that. But as we look at small business and minority business enterprise and their participation in the solar energy, had to do with you know, where were we when the jobs were passed out in the solar development and solar energy. I just wanted to say to you that there has

been a sizeable amount of money going to minority businesses. It, currently it represents a total of 40.3 million solar energy dollars contracted and subcontracted for to small businesses and minority businesses. Or, in other words, it represents almost 16% of the total amount of monies going out in the fiscal year 77.

And I do not think that that is anything to hang one's head about, at least it is a beginning.

I don't say that defensively. I just offer it to you as fact.

Then a second kind of piece of information I thought might be helpful to you. Small business gets DOE not in new contracts. The department of energy and the Small Business Administration have signed a headquarters class set aside agreement under which small businesses get preference in certain purchase and procurements. And you can investigate that if you're particularly interested in it.

Mow let's get down to what I think are policy statements. I would hope that there wouldn't be anybody in the room who was naive enough to think that somebody at my age and stage and wage would be setting policy in energy.

When the congress and when the administration and when the appointments of various agencies haven't yet been able to clarify the policies that are in place, but naive that I am, I'm going to try. So I would like to suggest that as we

23.24.

take a look at policy development, I would go back to the suggestions that the Four Corners Regional: Commission made, first the problem of federal land ownership and that I think that that needs special attention. Particularly special attention to Indian lands.

The commission encourages federal government to give special attention to those lands. And then it says, also, the commission also says, many of the areas have unique government structures which require state, regional and federal recognition and involvement.

Exceptional challenges continue to exist in the area of taxation, tourism and land use. As the extent of the tribal sovereignty becomes more clearly defined, as some Indian lands become more significant assets and valuable natural resources the importance of adjusting economic programs to these particular locations must be considered. And the compelling need for economic development on the lands must be balanced against the fact that they encompass some of the most water-limited environments in the country.

A policy, a federal policy would not be a policy if it didn't address that issue.

A second concern that the commission also supports job search and job matching programs and therefore urges continuation of such methods within this region where distances between jobs and possible employees are great and

thus normal means of communication such as newspapers and radios prove relatively ineffective, public and private investments and vocational training programs be increased and designed to prepare local residents to compete for projected job opportunities.

4.

And I would think a federal policy should address that issue.

Then I'd like to move to the second commission that has some jurisdiction and some involvement in this region and that's the old west regional commission, and they're suggesting that we create employment opportunities for individuals by raising ceilings on industrial bond issues and government guarantees for community approved revenue bonds, regional development bank funded by the states, a redistribution of the tax base, a use percentage of severance tax for state development. And use other tax incentives for the private sector. And recycle profits in the region emphasizing labor intensive development.

Then they went on to say, to establish an energy bank, to develop agricultural related business with energy byproducts, and they mentioned some of those, particular ones, and then they say, employment for minorities.

Industrial location priority for high unemployment areas, Indian reservations, training minorities for marketable jobs; skills with aggressive enforcement of affirmative action

plans, and emphasize family training to promote motivation and education for Native American and other minority opportunities. So I would like to add my two cents worth to say that a federal policy related to energy that doesn't address those priorities as identified by the two basic regional commissions, perhaps is samiss in its total development. And again may I come out with a final one, that — what I think the federal government might do.

The federal government must substantially increase the amounts of financial aid to the western states and the local governments to increase their policy management capacities. What does that mean in plain language? It means that we have a number of people in council of governments, in local, mayor roles, who do much else besides come and take care of the business of the town.

And we've got to then come forth with some kind of a policy that will provide funds to those groups.

Secondly, I think that the federal government must increase the amounts of financial aid to the western states and the local governments in order to increase their resource management capacity. Resource management is used here in the sense that it deals with legal, administrative and institutional structures that exist to support and achieve policy development decisions of governmental authorities, particularly as those decisions relate to rural

.22

 growth management.

Then I'd like to say that the federal government should increase the involvement and authority of state officials in both federally enunciated policy goals and agency development of programs to implement national goals for rural management of growth and development.

The existence of what may be called a national problem, waste facilities, does not necessarily demand a national solution, you know, with my EPA friends, they talk about solid waste management, what it really means is to try to get out to the dump to see that all that stuff doesn't flow all the surrounding territory.

The conditions that exist within the locality experiencing the problem should dictate specifics of plan solution. State and local governments are in the best position to determine the needs within their communities. And to allocate resources most effectively to help the experienced ones with those problems.

And then I'd like to suggest that federal funding policies and formulas should be redesigned to reduce the negative effects of restrictive assistance programs and to enhance the authority and management capacity of state and local decision makers.

We've had an opportunity this last year to work with associates from HEW, from HUD and from EPA, taking

a look at the federal structure and where are the monies and where is the technical assistance? And we're hard pushed to find it and so I would like to suggest that a federal policy be redesigned in order that those federal agencies already in place can take a look at what they can do.

Much of the disappointment expressed by state and federal authorities with growth management can be avoided by increasing the use of revenue sharing, block grants, grant consolidation and other funding devices.

THE MODERATOR: Polly, that's 22 minutes.

A. Federal, roles should be setting aside basic national goals, but providing for local involvement and finally, the federal government must increase the involvement and authority of state officials and local officials in land use decision making processes.

I have enjoyed it very much and thank you for letting me come.

(Applause)

THE MODERATOR: Martin?

MR. MARTIN GARBER

3

5

6

7

A. (By Mr. Garber) I'm hopeful I won't take quite as long. I've appreciated the opportunity to participate in this consulation, I've sat through two days of meetings now and I'll have to admit it was extremely informative from the corporate viewpoint.

8

9

Since I do work for a major corporation, I'm sure
I can't agree with all the speakers. But I have to admit
the dialogue is healthy, and I think it should be continued.

10

11

12

When asked to serve on this fine panel, I was asked to review all of the papers and give a written response.

13`

This as signment has been done, accomplished, and if I'm

14

not mistaken, the U.S. Commission will probably be

15 16

distributing all of the papers and all of the comments in

17

the very near future. Also on this panel we have been

18

asked to look at policy initiatives for the future. I'm

19

hopeful to be able to do both, incorporate some of the

20

comments from the individuals and at the same time, incorporate

21

some of my own views in accomplishing this mission.

The papers and the consultation have highlighted many

22

of the past problems associated with both employment and

23

boomtowns. But to me, it also highlights that we must work

24

together to be able to solve these problems. To be able to

25

do this, there needs to develop a recognition and an

understanding of each group's problems and objectives.

We've talked a lot about some of the problems the government has. Congresswoman Schroeder mentioned some of the interest of the eastern establishment concerning unemployment. Of course, we've mentioned here some of the problems of the west.

Any government, national government has to consider both of these options when they formulate a policy. The corporations also have problems that might not be obvious. Obviously, we have to make a profit for our stockholders. We also have to deal and relate to our customers, not only providing a product but many of these customers want a product at a minimal price anymore.

We also have a social responsibility and in all cases the three of these items do not always coincide.

And the third area is the community. It's obvious to me that we in corporate life don't always understand the problems in each and every community. I'm hopeful that through dialogues like this, the corporation and the management of the corporations can correct some of these problems.

But on the other side, it seems equally important for you to understand our problems and possibly work to help with us mitigate some of these impacts. We all need to work in a direction of solving some of the obstacles we have discussed.

First both of us need to do a better job of planning for some of these expansions. We need to do a better job acquainting, becoming acquainted with the communities and the interstructure of the communities.

Generally today the corporation will go to the elected officials in those communities, not only the local, the state and the national officials, to talk to them about some of our plans. Obviously this is not fulfilling everybody's desires. Possibly we need to develop an additional system, similar to what Congresswoman Schroeder mentioned, something that incorporates not only the newcomers' views, some of the other people in the community as well as the elected officials. Our corporation has tried to do that on some of our developments, especially some of our uranium developments in the southwest, whereby we have community people working in the community both on housing and employment, with the people in those communities.

Regardless, we need to spread the word and allow more people in the communities to voice their views on impacts for their community but the groups in the communities I think have a responsibility also.

That responsibility is to learn more about what outside groups plan to do as far as the growth of that community. I know many people have said that they don't know what's happening to their community, they have no way

€.

of finding out. I believe they do, it takes a little extra work, most of the energy development plans are generally communicated to some of the current intrastructure through the USGE, DE, the community leaders, we all fife environmental analysis, generally if the project's large enough environmental impact statement, it does take work to review these and understand them, but we also need, in the various communities, to learn more about what assistance is already available.

There are laws on the books already, the communities and community leaders have great amount of responsibility and authority to accomplishment of the things we've talked about.

I -- one of the more interesting discussions I found in the last two days was right before lunch today. When Burman Lorenson, the regional federal energy counsel, had a very short presentation and based upon that I followed up and had lunch with him.

Your regional counsel here is doing a very good job and is very cognizant of some of the problems. I encourage you to visit further with Burman and his staff.

We all might not agree, but they do have some good ideas about mitigating some of these impacts.

From these planning sessions we need to look at the systems and I'm hopeful that the communities can help in

2 3 4

designing and softening some of these impacts. We need your help in looking at some of the employment factors, we need your help in helping solve some of the housing problems, in both areas we need to look at ways to overcome some of these obstacles of hiring minorities and women, as well as other local residents as well.

We need to work with the state and local officials to help identify the employment force in the localities. We need to assist in the development of training programs, short term as well as long term. Several of the panelists especially Ellis Cose, and Clarke Watson, discussed some of the educational problems. I believe these presentations were excellent, I think they ought to be reviewed, as some of the points they made concerning technical aspects of the jobs establish where the students today look for a degree need to be looked at very closely.

Lawrence Borom, director of the Denver Uran League and Dwayne Ostenson of the Indian Lignite Manpower Project made excellent presentations.

Two perfect examples of groups working with industry to accomplish the goals of their --of increased employment for their constituency.

As far as the boomtown effects, some of the presentations were very good. I don't think we, as a society, can overlook some of the work in the selfhelp area.

Projects pointed out by Donna Davidson and Elizabeth

Moen and especially Rev. Waidmann, these are excellent examples

of how we can all help each other to make a town into a

community rather than a boomtown.

think many of the speakers over the last two days, I know specifically we were given assignments to do a great deal of work. I'm not sure it was quite fair and the audience got a full indication of some of the ideas in the papers. I encourage the U.S.CCommission to provide transcripts of these. I also encourage the U.S. Commission in any way possible to actively promote some of the discussions we've had here with the corporate community.

I would hope that many of the corporations could be contacted and these policies discussed at a very high level, at the management level. Without the dialogue, I'm sure many of us realize we wouldn't be in as good a position as if we didn't have those dialogues.

With that, I'll close, I have enjoyed it, I hope that many of you believe that -- don't believe at least that the corporation is unresponsive, we are responsive, and we may not alw ays agree but we have our problems too and our interest, but I can assure you from one corporate -- one corporation, Phillips, as well as many of the American corporations associated with the American Petroleum Institute,

if there is some way to help, we'll do our best to try.
Thank you.

(Applause)

્9

DR. ARLENE SUTTON

A. (By Dr. Sutton) Martin, you'll be pleased to know that the second big bad group here is HEW or the government, and I happen to represent that sector of our society.

As I was coming in I was met by someone in the hall that said, what are you doing here today? Which hat are you wearing? I said I'm not wearing a hat today. Because they were concerned whether I was going to be talking to the issue of Hispanics, Latinos or Chicanos, or dealing with the issue in terms of the federal government.

In many ways, I guess there's a close interaction between the two, and it would be impossible not to look at one without looking at the other in general. Any time a group comes together to discuss survival types of issues and certainly this -- these two days have been exactly that, the group goes through four very specific phases.

The first phase is to form the group comes together and forms its own little community that are going to look at some specific issues. The second phase is called storm, and

we certainly have had that, and that's a very necessary part of the process in that it gives people a chance to ventilate and to discuss in a free and open forum those survival concerns as they experienced them and as they have had an impact on them directly.

The third phase is called norm, n-o-r-m, and by that from a statistical standpoint it means that we all come together and agree that in fact we do have some problems and we do have some needs and that we do have to work together.

And the last phase is reform. And that means that we're looking for some ways, some initiatives, some processes, some strategies and all of those kinds of things that are very much going to be a part of our way of talking in the future of looking for solutions.

So we have formed, we have stormed, we have normed, and we have just begun to reform. From the standpoint of HEW, health, education and welfare, I guess first of all we would need to recognize that all the individuals who have prepared and presented papers and speeches to us during this historic consultation, need to be congratulated because they opened up to us very honestly, their fears, their anxieties and their hurts. And that doesn't often happen pleasantly.

So the fact that people have been willing to do this is

^

.**4** 5.

15.

in itself something that is noteworthy:

Secondly; the individuals who have prepared papers and those of you have come to participate have added to the hitherto sparse body of information, research and literature in the area of energy development.

Clearly these past two days of consultation have critically addressed the overall issue of energy development in this region. Particularly we have identified the rapid development of energy resources in the Rocky Mountain region. As several speakers have indicated, this rapid growth of development is taking place primarily to meet the needs for future energy needs without consideration or regard for human development or concern for the quality of life for many groups of people in our region.

These groups have been identified specifically by the papers which include our linguistic and culturally diverse population, the aging, women, children and families in general.

The groups of minorities identified by all of our papers that have been presented the past two days signify that human rights and social service needs are not being addressed during a critical time in history. Many groups and many organizations are deeply concerned. Included in this is HEW, office of human development, as our name indicates, human development is our concern.

Currently the office of human development administers social service programs that serve children, youth, family, Native Americans and older Americans, as well as the physically and mentally handicapped. A major goal for the office of human development includes identifying strategies to more fully deliver services of the social service nature to the underserved and the underserved in this region.

It has become very clear to me during these past two days and in this past week, as I have read all the papers that have been presented to us beforehand, that the groups identified by the speakers and the writers are in fact the exact population that we in HEW have as our prescribed in the mission to work with.

The primary mission of our office in HEW is that of identifying social service programs to eliminate barriers to self sufficiency. Our federal role is that of emphasizing community and family development, while providing leadership for coordination of human service programs with other HEW agencies, federal agencies, state and local government, the private sector, and the business world.

So we have a very large and extended mandate that falls very much within the parameters of the concerns of this conference. Through this mandated coordinated process, the office of human development is in perhaps the most unique position to exert leadership in developing public

awareness and comprehensive program planning for service development.

The research that was presented here indicates that there are presently 355 energy impacted communities in region 8. These communities range in population from 13 persons to 76,000 people. All indications are that the energy impact of communities will continue to grow at a rate far faster than communities that are not experiencing the boomtown syndrome.

Of the 355 impacted communities identified in papers, our agency has one or more programs in only 54 of these communities. Title XX, which is a state program, and vocational rehabilitation service, services entire states in region 8.

From the office of human development perspective, what is needed most is a comprehensive model for social service program development.

The model could consist of a guide for, first, identifying, second, developing, and third, implementing social service programs. Let me address the process of program development for just a moment. In terms of social services, the delivery of such programs begins after planning and funding has taken place. Therefore, the process, there is a series of steps in this process that are critical in sequel. If the steps and the training and the -- and the preparation is not done properly, the services probably

will not be delivered at all or if they are, they will be delivered very, very poorly.

The steps in this process might go as follows, first of all, problem identification. Now, within the context of problem identification, many times what happens it is piecemeal. Someone might say we need a day care center, we need a drug abuse center, we need recreational centers. All of these are very, very real problems. But they're identified from a very limited perspective.

So that a group or a body of individuals concerned will go about the solution of that one aspect of a comprehensive problem, and the other areas will not be dealt with.

So that the critical areas in terms of the need assessment, the critical areas may not be addressed at all.

The problem will need to be refined in terms of training and technical assistance to communities in the development of their assessment plans.

In looking at program development, then, the next phase is of course, the budgeting process, from the federal standpoint, the commitment of funds is an integral part of program development and solutions. Generally this process is very, very competitive, for one thing the money is difficult to come by and as we all know, many federal programs are consistently being cut down at the time that the need seems to be most critical. Which means, then, that the

competition for limited amounts of money is very, very difficult.

It would be unusual for the entire process to take less than two years, that's something that many times is not understood. In most instances, it takes much longer.

Some problems never get addressed because of the lack of a comprehensive program. The process characteristically addresses problems, one problem at a time and that's traditionally how we've gone about the business of social service planning in this nation.

From our own perspective, there are many problems that are not necessarily unique to the boomtown syndrome, but can provide an opportunity for us to be innovative from a social service human development quality of life aspect. These might include the identification of the overall social need problem, in its totality.

Secondly, providing communities with an identification of all resources available to them, which would include federal resources, state resources, private sector foundation monies, and that of the business world. The entire process could be speeded up in terms of human services if it were seen as an entire and one — one of an entire process, and approached that way, perhaps that would be our first policy initiative that we could see working towards.

A TA or a technical assistance effort seek needs to

be addressed by impacted communities to help with the identification of problems, and then the planning for resolution. Funding agencies need to make some up front commitments to fund new projects in these areas.

20.

Unfortunately we know that this will come at the expense of existing projects in other areas. And this becomes very much a political problem as you all well know.

Some solutions need to be found in the area of facility. Obviously much construction is needed, facilities designed from the very beginning for a multipurpose use can later be converted into other uses. Mobile facilities may be a solution in terms of providing the facility where social services can be implemented.

New ways of staffing are critical. Professionals working independently and moving in and out of boomtowns simply is not the answer, we know that. The papers have addressed that over and over again. But there are some other approaches. For instance, we could train people who are already in the community, and establish teams rather than independent professionals. We could have mobile teams that come into a community two two or three days to work with hometown folks to deliver the social services much needed.

There are several successful models available. They're just not that accessible. That, perhaps, could be a role

8.

we could assume in the federal government. Thus a comprehensive social service model could identify a systematic process that could and would concern itself with the quality of life for all citizens.

The most productive thing that a comprehensive social service plan could do was that it would provide some tools that communities for the first time could use as guides to look at a concerted approach rather than a problem. by problem, crisis approach to social service problems.

Currently we are working towards such a model, towards several of these models, because I'm sure one is not going to be able to begin to meet the needs of the various communities. You need to know, they do not exist. They will not exist or come about overnight. It will take time, it will take money, it will take commitment, and it will take a willingness of communities, total communities, to be concerned about the quality of life for the total citizenry.

Meetings such as this will need to be held in many communities to develop first public awareness, and second, a concern for human development.

A good friend of mine once reminded me that we can have change without progress but we can not have progress without change. Nowhere is the distinction more critical than it is in the area of social service program development, particularly as it relates to resource development in the

future. We all have much work to do.

I guess the one thing we can conclude is that we have just begun.

(Applause)

MAYOR TED WILSON

A. (By Mayor Wilson) I may have to leave before I begin.

I've got to catch a plane at 5:40, but I'll--- I'm going to

be as succinct as anybody has been.

It's great to be here and I want to congratulate the United States Civil Rights Commission and the regional office under Shirley and all the staff for taking on a really tough issue at a very critical time in our history.

I think we're on the leading edge of the issue,
Shirley, and I think my question to Pat Schroeder today
was one which I wanted to hear the answer because I knew
what Pat would say, that we are not well represented in
congress on this issue we have a tiny constituency and making
it work for western cities and western boomtown people and
resource development is going to be very, very difficult.
But let's press on.

exploitations of our working people. Our cash crop economy

م^{رو} یم

of the south was built on an enslavement of a race, our industrial revolution was built on children of five being carried in and out of sweatshops at 4:00 in the morning, our transportation revolution was built on the backs of many Chinese and Irishmen, and I guess there were some Irish women there too, I'm sure there were. Our western movement was built on the backs of our Native Americans, and that brings us up to date, who are we going to build the backs on getting out of the energy crisis?

Or whose that back going to be held on?
MR. BARBAROSSA: Chicanos.

A. And maybe is it going to be nomadic classes of people or construction workers and others who rove this country looking for work? Is it going to be on the people who inhabit our original, our towns when they were originally there, is it going to be on our senior citizens, our women or minorities?

I'm not trying to assign guilt, I don't think we can come up with guilt for the past because in those days they had problems to work with and you have to be pretty haughty to assign guilt but I think if we don't cope with this situation in America right now, we can be damned guilty.

And the big crisis of this civilization and of any civilization is can it deal with crisis and still be humane and kind to its people. And that's what we're talking about.

Now, that being said, I'm going to very quickly get to some things that I think we need to work on in terms of policy. First of all and these are very specific, and I'm assuming you have the background because you've been here for two days talking and I just came in on the plane and so I didn't have enough background to write it so I assume you have enough background to interpret it, okay?

: 7

First of all, we need to be sensitive in terms of federal legislation on existing grant programs which recognize the great problems of unemployed cities and towns but do not recognize the great problems of towns with great unemployment and great employment opportunities but the people who are getting squeezed out in that process.

Right now in Washington there is a big fight, I think mostly exhibited in the conference of mayors, national league of cities, between the sunbelt and the frostbelt. The frostbelt having formulas that advantage the older northern cities of the country, in terms of federal funding but the sunbelt cities feeling left out because we don't have high enough unemployment rates, pardon me, to meet your guidelines in your formulas, mainly in HUD, and I think that's a great critical issue that's got to be solved.

We've got to get better legislation to help HUD give us the money we need to solve these problems in these smaller towns and in the cities that are booming. There's also the

-,6:

7:

16.

issue of large versus small, and most people in the small towns will tell you they don't get adequate funding out of congress, so if you're both sunbelt, which we are, and small, which we are, many of these boomtowns, you're really seriously disadvantaged from the beginning, we have to crack that one.

Secondly, to the greatest extent possible we need to avoid the company town situation. I don't think there's a corporation around that wants to start a new company town because they've gone through the problems like Kennecott Copper did and Hilda was here to give you the word on that, and Hilda's a great star and a great person, and she took on big Kennecott in New York on their home turf, on their own home field and won.

And I'm not trying to castigate big industry because

I don't think big industry is the culprit, II think it's just
simply the fact that you've got to have social responsibility
and that's what government's for.

So let's not have any more company towns started.

Thirdly, I think we've got to look at our tax structure to rework our, and provide state funds, trust funds, if you will, that come either from severance taxes or other kinds of things, and perhaps we ought to do that nationally so we don't have one state competing against another, if Utah puts a severance tax on its minerals, for

 example, then will all the industry go to Colorado?

I think we ought to stay out of that, we ought to have perhaps federal laws, I know that's controversial but I think we've got to deal with that issue, and provide funds for the front end financing of sewers and the kinds of things that people ought to have, a little recreation space maybe in one of these boomtowns, a place for the kids to play ball and that sort of thing.

We need to provide under policy decisions, advocacy assistance for people who are impacted so they can stand up for themselves. Hilda Grabner had the advantage and the people of Lark had the advantage of being near a metropolitan area where some of our human thinking people were involved and helped them out.

And some places do not have that advantage. We need state legislation requiring tax revenues to go to the jurisdiction where the impact is really felt. It is absolutely incomprehensible to me that a county somewhere could get all the tax revenue from a boomtown and not be able to give it to the boomtown or be unwilling to give it to the boomtown.

I mean that, to me that could be just simply solved by state legislators with enough guts.

And then when we get down to the problems of human conditions, people that, wives and mothers who are forced to

stay at home all day because the husband's working two shifts over there building the new construction plant, going nuts with the children, and the resulting social problems that come out of that, the divorce and the estrangement we need good day care, we need family counseling in these communities, we need job counsel, we need good schools. If the states put any good social services anywhere, it ought to be in these communities.

And yet in my own state and I'm not trying to indict
my governor or my legislature, I think they're great people,
but in my own state they are callous to that and I think
they're probably callous to that in every state of this region
and we need to start raising a little hell at our state
legislatures.

I hope you can spell hell on the record there.

I really mean that. Because we've really got to go to our legislatures and say that.

I've got some other things here maybe I'll just give these to Shirley for the record, but we need to get specific about policy and really to become advocates for this cause, because if we don't solve this boomtown problem, to me we have failed in principle and we can feel very guilty.

Maybe it will not affect the broad brush of population that the enslavement of our Blacks affected or you know, the inadequate or the horrible treatment our Indian population

1 got in the old days and maybe are still getting, but still 2 in principle and qualitative, we will have sinned just 3 as much. 4 Thank you. 5 6 (Applause) 7 8 THE MODERATOR: Ted, your car waits for you at the 9 front entrance and we will excuse you. 10 Our respondents include Alberta Henry, SAC chair 11 from Utah, and Shirley Hill Witt, our director of region 8. 12 Do you have comments or questions or -- one never 13 knows what Alberta is going to say. 14 MAYOR WILSON: We're on kissing terms. 15 MS. HENRY: Never pass up an opportunity, if it is 16 presented. 17 THE MODERATOR: Right on, right on. 18 19 20 MS. ALBERTA HENRY 21 A ... (By Ms. Henry) My remark says Fred, you respond, 22 I have nothing to say. Fred jumps up and says goodbye. 23 24 MAYOR WILSON: He's catching the same car at the front door. 25

THE MODERATOR: He's catching the same car at the front door that Ted is.

A. (By Ms. Henry) Well, I started off in the beginning that my suggestion was I enjoyed the consultation, it got rather redundant at times and tired me out, and I think every one felt it. I would wish, if there were going to be another consultation, that we would reverse the order and have this panel first so we'd have positive things and know so we won't reinvent the wheel all over again; and we can really get down in it.

But the lady's told us we have to go through certain phase, did you not, from HUD.

Another thing is that --

THE MODERATOR: From HEW.

- A. (By Ms. Henry) H-E-W, right?

 DR. SUTTON: Right.
- A. (By Ms. Henry) All right then.

Next I would like to say I would like to hear more about Utah, but I have been here since Wednesday and I had to wait until almost 5:00 o'clock when we were supposed to be closing to have someone on from Utah that couldn't give the whole speech.

So if this is a joint effort of six states, I have become an expert on the problems of energy in Colorado. I would like for the next one to, for the SAC's to be appraised

Ť

.9

and we get speakers and presenters of papers from each state in this region. I mean in region 8 so that we could have a rather balanced kind of a thing and I could feel kind of good to arguing about all the mistakes that Utah has made and all of their prejudice, I didn't get to say any of them.

Well, I'll live till the next time. All in all, it has been good because it has brought controversy, it has brought thought, but most of all it's brought direction at the end and that's my critique.

THE MODERATOR: Dr. Hill?

DR. WITT: Thank you, nothing.

THE MODERATOR: Are there questions from the audience?

Thank you very much. Polly. I really think that
the problem was that Alberta was jealous of my panel here,
and weren't they spiffy? I thought so.

(Applause) - E ...

THE MODERATOR: On behalf of the advisory committees for the Rocky Mountain region, I would like to thank all of the participants for taking an interest in coming to the first national resource development consultation to be held by the United States Commission on Civil Rights. It was our purpose to explore what has been done to mitigate the potentially adverse cultural, economic and social effects

that resource development may have on the lives of minorities and women in the region. Our feeling was that little attention has been paid to the need for providing equal opportunities to minorities and women which would enable them to share in the economic benefits, resource development will bring.

We were also concerned that very little has been done to assure that the voices and interests of minorities and women are heard in places where energy policies are made and promulgated.

We have heard many things from many people these last two days, and have received much information concerning resource development in the region and how it has affected minorities and women. Each participant will receive a copy of his or her statement as transcribed by our Court Reporters, the proceedings will be published by the commission in the very near future, so that all of us will have a record of the vast range of information furnished here.

Let me remind you that anyone having anything to add to the proceedings should submit those comments or information to our regional office within the next 30 days.

I do thank you very personally for being here and for participating.

Omar, do you want to say something?

MR. BARBAROSSA: Yes, Ms. Aro, just and this is not

meant to be negative, but when people make comments they 1 keep saying Blacks, and Native Americans, and women, and I 2 just really want to say one thing, Chicanos are part of the 3 system, we are here, I know that we don't wear our sombreros 4 and you can't tell whether we're an Hawaiian or Russian or --5 THE MODERATOR: But I knew, Omar. 6 MR. BARBAROSSA: But I'm saying okay, please try and 7 be cognizant if you're a bureaucrat or a business person, 8 whoever you are, that there are Chicanos. ģ THE MODERATOR: We are very aware and thank you very 10 And Asian Americans also. That's correct. much. **11** Thank you so much, I feel it has been a very productive 12 conference and I bid you goodnight. 13 14 (Consultation adjourned) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

STATE OF ARIZONA SS COUNTY OF PIMA I, JAMES E. BOULEY, do hereby certify that I am an Official Shorthand Reporter; that I was present at the hear-ing of the foregoing matter; that I took down in shorthand all proceedings had and testimony adduced at said hearing; that the same was thereafter transcribed under my super-vision, and the foregoing 555 pages represent a complete and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes so taken. WITNESS MY HAND this 15th day of November, 1978.