Transcript of Proceedings

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

BEFORE THE CONNECTICUT STATE ADVISORY COMMUTTEE
TO THE UNITED STATES CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

public Hearing on Domestic Violence

House of Ropresentatives Hall State House Hartford, Connecticut

September 26, 1977.

PAGES 1 thiu 185

Acme Reporting Company

Official Reporters .
1411 K Street, N.W.
Vashington, D. C. 20005
(202) 628-4883

CCR 3 MEET. 173

STATE OF CONNECTECUE

PUPLIC FTARING before the Connecticut State Advisory Committee to the United States Civil Rights Commission, held in the House of Representatives Hall, State House, Hartford, Connecticut, on September 26, 1977, commencing at 8:00 a.m., ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.

SITTING:

John Rose, Jr., Chairman Gloria Busch Judith Helene Hones Matthew J. Forstadt Doris Roldan Steven Rabinovitz

Acmo Reporting Company

INDEX

2	Witnesses:	Page
3	Betsy Karl	15
4	Miss A.	31
5	Mrs. B.	34
6	Mrs. C.	38
7	Mrs. D.	41
8	Mrs. E.	46
9	Mrs. Lardson	48
10	Second Woman	50
11	Sophie Turner	53
12	Dorothy Rakis	58
13	Jane Brown	- 77
l4 .	Ms. Pat Downs	80
15	Ms. Liz Hungerford	85
16	Ms. Alice Kugleman	93
17	William Descoteaux	96
18	Mary Calvert	101
19	Ms. Linda Dunn	109
20	Captain Donald Gates	111
21	Sergeant Arthur Kelly	113
22	Officer Barbara J. Richard	157
23	Chief James Bannon	154

24

25

•

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Good morning. My name is John Rose, Jr. I am an attorney here in Hartford, and I am chairperson of the Connecticut Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights. I am now calling the meeting to order.

This open session of the Connecticut Advisory

Committee is being held pursuant to Section 703.2 and

Section 703.7 of the Civil Rights Act of 1957, as

amended, and is in compliance with the Federal Advisory

Committee Act of 1972 and the Rules and Regulations

promulgated thereunder.

The purpose of this open meeting, or informal public hearing, is to collect information on the subject of battered women to determine, in our judgment, if current laws, policies, procedures, and practices tend to deny equal protection of the laws for certain women and deny them due process and equal rights under our system of justice. During the course of the day we will receive information from police officials, court officials, representatives of social agencies and hospitals, persons who operate shelters for battered women, organizations which provide support services for such women, and testimony from battered women themselves.

25

All persons who will present information to the Connecticut Advisory Committee are appearing voluntarily in response to an invitation from the Advisory Committee. No subpoenas have been issued nor does this committee have authority to issue subpoenas, as this authority is reserved for the parent Commiss on Civil Rights. This is not an adversary proceeding and testimony will not be taken under oath. However, a court reporter is present and a transcript of the entire day will be available to the Committee and to the general public. The information collected here today, together with reports of interviews conducted by staff and Advisory Committee members will be carefully analyzed by the Advisory Committee; and it will prepare a full report including findings and regulations which will be submitted to the United States Commission on Civil Rights in Washington, and at a later date made available to the general public.

Under Commission and Advisory Committee rules only those persons who have received a specific writter invitation from the Advisory Committee may offer oral testimony at this proceeding. If there are persons in the audience who feel that it is essential that they offer oral testimony today, they should make themselves known to a member of the staff who are

2

3

4

J

6

7

Ö

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seated here, and a decision will be made as to whether written or oral testimony is required.

Under Commission rules and regulations no person may present testimony to the Advisory Committe in open session such as this which may tend to defame or degrade any person. If such testimony is off I will immediately interrupt the person and a deter mination will be made as to the importance of the testimony; and if it is deemed appropriate, such testimony will be heard by the Advisory Committee in closed session only. Commission rules also provide that any person appearing or offering testimony to the Advisory Committee may decline to be televised or photographed. As you may have noticed, a vidio tape recording is being made of the entire proceedings. Any person who declines to be video taped should make that fact known to me or the presiding officer at the time prior to offering testimony.

This open session or informal public hearing of the Connecticut Advisory Committee to the United

States Commission on Civil Rights is a culmination of several months of research and fact finding on the part of staff of the Commission on Civil Rights and members of our Advisory Committee. We have interviewed a number of battered women and representatives of

21

22

23

24

25

organizations which assist them; interviews have been conducted with a number of officials of the Hartford Police Department, an analysis has been made of police and court records, and staff and committee members have accompanied police officers in patrol cars in the conduct of their duties; officers of the Depart ment of Welfare, State and local, have been inter viewed, as have hospital emergency room personnel and other social agencies; prosecutors, public defenders, judges, and administrative officers of the courts of the State have been interviewed; and finally we have interviewed expert witnesses from outside of the State of Connecticut who have extensive experience in dealing with the subject of the day. Some of these persons will present oral testimony at this session, and the report of interviews with others are part of the records of the Advisory Committee.

For those of you who may be unfamiliar with the work of the Connecticut Advistory, we are a group of private citizens, who serve without pay, who represent a cross section of the citizens of this State. Under Commission rules we are democrats, republicans, and independents -- no more than fifty percent of our committee may be of the same political party; we are men and women -- under Commission rule

our committee must be approximately fifty percent male and fifty percent female; we represent a variety of racial and ethnic groups -- white, black, Hispanic, and Native American. We are from a variety of occupational classifications. Our purpose here today is to ascertain the facts with regard to the experience of and the treatment received by battered women and the extent to which the institutions of our society provide for them equal justice under the laws.

The Connecticut Advisory Commission to the United States Commission on Civil Rights is one of fifty-one such bodies in the United States, one in each of the states and in the District of Columbia. The United States Commission on Civil Rights has appointed us as members of the Advisory Committee under the provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1957, as amended, and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972.

Before we begin today's proceedings I would like to introduce to you members of the Advisory Committee who are serving on the panel and members of the staff of the Northeast Regional Office who provide technical assistance to our committee. To my right immediately is Gloria Busch of Middletown, chairperson of the sub-committee on domestic violence. Next to

her is Judith Helene Homes of Wethersfield. Next to her is Matthew J. Forstadt of Stamford. We are missing one of our members at this point, Doris Roldan of Middletown. Then there is Steven Rabinovitz of Bloomfield.

In addition to the members present today
other members of the Advisory Committee are Richard
Brown, Mary Evans, Sidney Gardner, Joan Gibson, Irene
Rodriguez, and William Worthington of Hartford;
Antonia Diaz, Libia Hernandez, Hector Nieves of
Bridgeport; Clarence J. Jones and James Thomas of
New Haven; Shirley Childs of Windsor, Marion Fitch
Cornell of Glastonbury, Trudy Lamb of Meriden,
Augustus Southworth of Wethersfield, Edith Stolzenberg
of West Hartford, and Dr. Susan Taylor of Mansfield
Center.

Members of the staff present are Jacques E.
Wilmore, Director of the Northeast Regional Office
of the United States Commission on Civil Rights;
Eugene Bogan, regional attorney; missing are Linda
Dunn, Diane Brewer who is the field representative,
and Paula Webster who is a consultant to the regional
office of domestic violence. Yvonne Griffith is here
today. Also present and assisting with these proceedings is Miss America Ortez, who is administrative

3

assistant in the regional office. I would also like to call to your attention the presence of Ms. Gloria Lopez, who is on the staff of the Women's Right Program Unit of the Commission on Civil Rights in Washington, D. C., and Wilma Espinosa from ACTION.

Before calling the first witness it is my
pleasure to present to you Senator Betty Hudson, who
is representing the Honorable Ella T. Grasso,
Governor of the State of Connecticut and the Honorable
George A. Athanson, Mayor of the City of Hartford.
Would Senator Hudson and Mayor Athanson please come
forward.

SENATOR HUDSON. I have a message from the

Governor that she wanted me to bring to you: "On

behalf of the citizens of Connecticut it is a privilege
to welcome you to the public hearing on battered

women. This is certainly an important occasion.

The Connecticut Advisory Committee and the United States Commission on Civil Rights is to be commended for sponsoring this outstanding event. In all areas of our society protection, safety, and well being of our citizens is a major concern. In the home, at work, and in any other area we must take the necessary steps to prevent injury and to insure the security of all people.

3

In recent years our citizens have been startled by the rising statistics involving the number of battered women in our State and nation. However, the fact that we have become aware of the severity and extent of this situation is certainly a positive step toward its improvement. We can now better assess and evaluate the needs of battered women and provide them with vital service and protection.

This year the Connecticut General Assembly passed legislation to support our efforts on this issue. Any adult person subjected to physical injury by his or her spouse may now make application for a hearing in the Superior Court to secure protection for themselves and their families. This relief action will be provided in response to each individual case with regard to the necessity for speed and discretion.

The program today is another important advance.

Information will be solicited concerning social and legal services available to the battered women.

Victims will also participate by discussing their experience with various public and private agencies.

I deeply regret that because of previous commitments it is not possible for me to join you. It is my sincere hope that it will be a rewarding and educational experience for all."

Ella Grasso, Governot

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you, Senator Hudson.

Next is the Honorable George A. Athanson,
Mayor of the City of Hartford.

MAYOR ATHANSON. Mr. Chairman, and distinguished members of the Advisory Committee, and ladies and gentlemen, it is a pleasure for me to be here to welcome you at these informal hearings on battered I can say that as an attorney for many years women. I had occasion to have matters in my office pertaining to the battered women; and continually at first hand I have heard from victims their plight pertaining to such batterment. As professor at the University of Hartford I have also had occasion with some of my students to have talked with them and at first hand obtained additional information concerning such batterment. As Mayor of the City of Hartford for a number of years I have also had occasion to be able to be exposed to such abuse against women.

I think it's necessary to talk in terms of law and shelters, and supportive measures; and now I think all these things are important. But this problem is very, very prevalent, much more so than anyone of us perhaps deems pertinent. I think that the apathy pertaining to the problem is just as prevalent

Acme Reporting Company

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

however. This is why hearings of this kind are extremely important in order to be able to educate, because the biggest problem in this country tends to be that they really don't know what the problems are. Therefore, we have to promulgate truth and get testimony in order to be able to understand the gravit of the problems that may be involved.

I think battered women are symbolic of deeper problems in our society; and unless we address ourselves to the deeper problems, we're not going to be able to take real preventive measures to aid in such abuse against women. We are aggressive people. are an aggressive people. We have been throughout the course of our history. Read Grancis Grund, David Reisman, Karen Horning, right down the line. There are many more. Mylai in Vietnam was an example of our aggressiveness. Our invasion of Cambodia, one of the most dastardly things undertaken by this country in the course of its history, is an example of our aggressiveness. Often times foreign policy and the conduct thereof is a reflection of our own domestic problems and needs as they exist. And, therefore, I think it's important for us and incumbent for us that if we're really going to talk about prevention, that there are syndromes and that there are character-

istics within the American character and society itself. When a person doesn't have a job, when a person is discriminated against, when a person is not able to make ends meet, when a person has emotional problems because of the difficulties that exist in: our society, when the priorities are wrong, when we have no national urban policy and still don't have one, when the President of the United States, for example, says that he'll not take any trips abroad during his first year in office and instead of taking trips to Youngstown, Ohio, to see the people out of work or to farmers in Colorado, but instead goes abroad, this has been a reflection of what I'm talking about, wrong priorities undertaken by our great leaders on every level. This is symptomatic of deep problems that exist in our society. I think battered women are one of the results that often times take place in a society, in a prevalent sort of way, and a deep sort of way; and that's why hearings of this kind are important not only to talk about symptoms; but to reflect upon our entire character, our society our leadership, our priorities as a people and as a nation. This is why I'm very, very honored to be here to welcome you and to say, "God speed and carry on with all this testimony that is going to be coming

_

2

forth."

I think Mr. Rose, the chairman, should be commended; the United States Civil Rights Commission should be commended; and the Advisory Committee should be commended for this wonderful, wonderful task. It is not wonderful because women are battered, but wonderful from the viewpoint that education can be the stepping stone to ultimate prevention and solution.

May God bless all of you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you, Mayor Athanson.

Before we move to the agenda I want to do a couple of housekeeping things. The first one is to tell everyone that so far as mens and womens rooms are concerned, the mens room is just opposite us on this side on my left and on the right on this level is the ladies room. They're comparably located on the next floor up as well.

Secondly, I want to correct a couple of things. I indicated that Steven Rabinovitz is from Bloomfield. He is actually from Simsbury. His place of business is in Bloomsfield. In addition to that, I want to recognize a couple of other people who have assisted us and who are here today in conjunction with what we're about to do today. First is Leslie Wolf, former staff member of the United States Commission on

Civil Rights. Second is Julia Scott from the New Jersey State Advisory Committee, chairman of the sub-committee on battered women. I understand that the New Jersey Advisory Committee will also be holding a hearing on this issue some time in November.

At this point I want to move on to the subject for the day; and I believe that Betsy Karl is the first witness.

Witnesses, by the way, if you are here as a witness, are going to be testifying from this area where Betsy Karl is. It says "Witnesses only," and that's in the first row.

MS. KARL. I am Betsy Karl; and I'm a member of the Connecticut Task Force.

The Task Force was organized just about a year ago. At that time I had no idea that the issue of battered women would become such a known public issue, particularly in the State of Connecticut. The support that we've received from the Governor, and Senator Hudson, Senator Beck, other legislators, public officials, people that have expressed concern and support, has resulted in the two important pieces of legislation that Senator Hudson referred to. The media has responded well. We've had T.V. shows, radio presentations, newspaper stories. I feel that

-

much progress has been made.

What I want to concentrate on is the prominent part that battered women themselves have played really in bringing this to the attention of those people that I've just referred to and of the media, and especially the battered women have brought the issue to the attention of other battered women.

The Task Force study played a major part in setting off this wave of interest and action. We determined from the beginning, a year ago, that we needed statistics, data, that could unquestionable convince those with power, the people with the money, and the people who make the laws, primarily men, that some attention needed to be turned.

The problem of battering, by the way, has been compared to just natural aggressiveness. It's been going on, beating of women has been going on, for centuries. It's been condoned. It could be said to be a lifestyle that's been happening. Women have been deprived of rights; and now that we can vote and own property, I think the physical domain is where we're coming to now, the right to protection.

In January the public media asked women in this area to call Info Line and give information about the abuse they had received. We didn't know if and how

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

women would respond. Info Line phone workers had no idea that they would receive hundreds of calls night and day, continuously throughout the month of January. We really had to stop the media at the end of the month, or it would go on. As a matter of fact calls have gone on. When it came to taking part in this study, battered women were not silent as they had always been thought to be. They called because they wanted to help other women escape the kinds of physical abuse that they had endured. They called because they wanted to help themselves. We found when they did seek help, when they did ask for help, we Info Line workers and those of us who provided back-up support during this study, found that the women had many times had already gone to practically every other service that was available; and that was very frustra-They had been to lawyers. They had been to counsellors, marriage counsellors, ministers. had certainly been to the police. And frequently, in fact one of the greatest indignities they felt, was toward the police, the response of the police to them.

This response from battered women themselves is what really, I feel, has made all the difference. Without their calls we would not have been able to

know what to do about the kinds of battering that goes on, who receives it, and what women try to do about it

I'm really pleased that the Civil Rights

Commission has dealved further by going to the providers, the designated providers of aid to find out

if, in fact, the women who are beaten and abused in
our society are receiving aid.

What I want to do is just point out some of the greatest myths that we uncovered in our study and were able to disprove by the study. The first myth pertains to who is beaten. I think the myth is that in our society that poor women and working class families are the location of household violence. It's always easier to pass that off and say, "Well, economics and other kinds of things cause this to happen." That is not the case. We found many, many women reported being from middle class and upper class situations, that their husbands often were in positions of authority. They were professors, doctors, ministers, police officers who were the husbands and the batterers.

The women themselves in our study primarily did not work outside of the home. Sixty-five, seventy percent of them had no other work; and there was a small percentage that did have part-time work.

Another myth that battering starts later on in

marriage when the woman's children are grown, when she is restless and looking for other ways to occupy her time. That's also discounted. We found that predominantly the women who called in, the beating had started in their early twenties, sometimes in their teens. It started very soon after the marriages in a few cases before the marriages, but in most cases it started up -- There was a drastic change from a romantic relationship with their husbands to violence. We found that it had been continuing and going on in many cases for over ten years, about one-third of our cases the beating had been going on for ten years.

Another important myth is that child abuse is a distinct and different kind of concern. Many people believe it deserves more attention because children really have no means of protection; and we must intervene on behalf of children. We found that child abuse is very closely linked with battering. Forty percent of the women who called in with children did report that there was abuse of the children. They didn't report who was doing the abuse. In some cases they had said, in the notes on the interviews it said that it was the man or the woman who was abusing the children; but we found in working further with battered women that virtually every home that has battering has

3

abused children, has children that are suffering severe psychological effects and learning abusive behavior themselves. Those with grown children report that often times the sons marry and abuse their wives. So there was substantial evidence of this cycle being carried on. So that there's definitely a tie-in with child abuse.

There's also the myth that the woman, because she's an adult, that she has more rights than the child in terms of protection. This needs to be reexamined; and I'm sure we'll hear testimony today to the effect of the difficulty a woman receives in getting protection.

Another myth is that a lot of women who considered themselves battered are really victims of verbal abuse or an occasional slap; but it's a condoned kind of thing. Most people think that's what battering is about. Well, the most shocking finding in our study discounts that myth. We found that seventy-four percent of the women who responded as victims of household abuse described severe abuse that would in this State be called a felony crime. It was not just kidding, hitting, and kicking, but choking, beaten until unconscious, broken bones, lacerations, internal bleeding, miscarriages, severe kinds of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abuse on pregnant women, being hit with a weapon, with every kind of weapon conceivable, many household kinds of things like frying pans, tools, kitchen knives used on them. Ironically that it would be the items in the household that the woman uses herself that are often used against her.

And then the final myth that I'm going to go into now is perhaps the most destructive one, and that's that women have not sought help and do not want to press charges and really do anything about it. That, of course, is destructive. It puts the whole blame back on the woman; and it makes the rest of society satisfied to sit back and do nothing because after all she's not doing anything. We asked women what they had done, what kinds of help they had sought, Overwhelmingly we found that they had sought police protection in about sixty percent of the cases. had sought legal help. They had been to attorneys. Seventy percent of the cases, these are of the women who reported seeking help, they had sought counseling help. What we did find very low on help-seeking was financial and housing assistance. And what we cou conclude from that is that they didn't seek it because it wasn't there. That's really what women need, to They need protection so that they can be

alive, and so that they can be healthy enough to remove themselves from abuse, but they need financial, some means of supporting themselves and their children and they need a place to go.

Women that have called me since that time are still -- they're not aware of services. There are emergency housing services available in the area; but many times that doesn't fill the need because they need to -- it takes a longer time to sort out. There are many kinds of things that need to be worked out.

I just wanted to conclude by saying that many battered women were not ready to call in January. They were still frightened, alone, skeptical that there can be solutions. Since that time we've heard from many others; and we'll hear from more today. I'm really glad that there are people listening, because I know that there are plenty of women out there waiting to talk about it.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you, Betsy.

We want to congratulate the Task Force for what you've done to this point; and we're looking forward, our Committee and a great many of the other agencies who will appear today, are looking forward to the follow-up that your organization and other organizations will do; and we want to thank you for all of the

cooperation you've extended to us.

The procedure that we're going to follow is that members of the Committee will ask questions of the witnesses; and the lead questioners at this point will be Gloria Busch and Judy Homes. They will be questioning Betsy, and we will move from that point.

MS. BUSCH. Could you tell me why, or could you explain why women find it difficult to leave the abusive situation? You mention that some have been beaten for as long as ten years.

MS. KARL. They find it difficult to leave because they have -- Well, they're afraid number one. They're really afraid of further harm coming to them by their husband tracking them down. They don't have a secure place to go where they will be protected. Usually he'll know most of the places she would turn to, and she could jeopardize other members of the family. Often the family members aren't supportive either. So that's one reason, the fear.

And then another reason is that I would guess that until recently the woman felt that maybe she had been wrong, that she had done something to cause him to attack her; and she would try to modify her behavior. This would lead to mental -- to institutions, to institutionalization because it was more than a

person can endure to be told that they're nothing over a period of time and to continually be striving to improve in some way and still get beaten. The cycle only becomes worse at that point. So that's another reason, that she's still trying to please her husband. She's still trying to pacify him.

Another thing, the financial reason, is that how is she going to support herself? When she does -First of all, she may be co-owner of the home; but when she goes to the police, they will tell her that they cannot remove the husband from the home. She has to leave. She has no where to go.

MS. BUSCH. What are some of the recommendations that the Task Force has made for the improvement in the legal system as it pertains to the battered women?

MS. KARL. In the legal system, one of the important things is speedy court orders. The bill that was passed this year, that goes into effect this week, will certainly improve a woman being able to get protective orders quickly. It's a matter of education and awareness also as to how soon this bill will actually be used and how many judges know about it. So one of our recommendations is in the training and awareness around the new law. We do feel that the law, hopefully, will be further changed

4

6

7

8

5

9 10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

to extend to victims of abuse that may not be married to one another, but are living together.

When you ask legal, you may have been talking about the police. I'm not sure.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Let me interrupt just for a moment.

As difficult as it is to do it, we have to speak directly into the microphone because they are not super powerful, and there may be people who can't hear you or us. So if you can remember to do it please. We're trying to record on all kinds of machines all over the room. So please talk directly into the mike.

MS. HOMES. Did the Task Force make any other recommendations for improving services available for battered women?

Recommendations were made, MS. KARL. Yes. and the Task Force study should be available at some time during the day. The recommendations were partly based on the interviews from the women in study.

In the area of shelter services, we have made fairly specific recommendations that there be shelters providing longer-term stays for women, that they be set up; and that is going to be done shortly since

there's just been some money in Connecticut appropriated that will be going to several sites for shelters.

Also, guidelines on counseling, that support services need to be provided. There needs to be some place for a woman to call where she can be responded to as having rights and not that this is something that she's brought upon herself. Many of the existing social services and counseling services are very inadequate. We also feel it's very important that battered women link up with and talk to other women that have been through the same experience. That was another one of our recommendations, that there be support systems set up.

In the area of economics, there is a whole series of ideas for creating jobs and using Federal programs like CETA for getting jobs for women where they could work with that agreement and in shelters.

MS. HOMES. Thank you.

MS. BUSCH. Miss Karl, will the Task Force be available to assist police departments or police officers or training academies in the training of police officers in handling domestic violence cases or battered women; or will you be publishing any kinds of materials that would assist them in any way?

MS. KARL. I'm glad you asked that. The Task

Force is not funded, and all of what we have accomplished has been without any funds. Our only income now is from selling copies of our report to tell you the truth, and occasional donations. But I understand that the State of Connecticut is applying for some L.E.A.A. funds that many people have heard about. Recently there's one million dollars available in L.E.A.A. funds. That's what is needed if we're talking about police departments and doing other kinds of training and working. So there's going to have to be money available to do it. We certainly have the resources combined on the Task Force to be able to provide services other than financial.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Would you tell us something about the make-up of the Task Force generally?

MS. KARL. The Task Force is an open group.

Anybody can join. It's made up of people from all over the State of Connecticut who have been involved in this effort. It's primarily people that have worked with battered women and are doing organizational work in their communities. A lot of times people will come in who are just starting, and, for example, our study has been duplicated in Danbury and out in the eastern part of Connecticut, and I think in New London. So it's a real coordinating group where resources are

that I'm one of the staff members on the project. We sought out these agencies and told them the problem; and we were able to feel out who was sensitive to the issues and who wasn't. We also made it not a personal problem, or a man's problem, or a woman's problem, but a community problem; and that helped. Some agencies in the community are not responsive to it; but with a little bit of work and support with women together as a group it can be done.

MRS. B. I originally went to Family Relations before there was any police action. They told me they would like to help me, but they could do nothing to make my husband come in and talk to them unless he was arrested. He was arrested later on; and we were summoned in together to talk. I called the Family Relations officer and told him that I was terrified to say anything in front of this man. He indicated that he wanted to see the two of us together; and he did see us several times; but I don't think he was very sensitive to the fact that I was in a very difficult, position with this man. I was afraid. He was sitting right next to me.

On the second incident of the arrest I was again sent to Family Relations; and I called the Family Relations Officer a second time and said that I

MR. FORSTADT. I'd like to ask one question, and it may be too subjective to answer; but did the study show any relationship in terms of cause and effect between the non-working women and the battered women? You indicated in your presentation that there was some sort of statistical relationship between the women not working or having a part-time job and the incident of battering. Were you able to perceive any cause and effect relationship in this?

MS. KARL. We didn't explore that further, but one thing we found from taking calls from women calling in for counseling and support and other things, is that some women would report that when they'd stopped working the abuse began. They would become unemployed and have some children -- I remember one woman, it was not until she had her third child that she stopped working; and it was during the pregnancy with that child that her husband started to beat her. So I think that that's certainly an area that could be explored. The employed woman has other options; and I think that there could be a different kind of abusive situation going on.

But there's another category with employment; and that's the woman who's employed and the man isn't. She's supporting him; and this accounts for a

situation where they're not married also. They might be living together; and she's virtually providing all the support. They'll describe how they come up with money and food, and they eat up all the food, and there won't be anything for the children let alone herself. So that's another kind of employment situation.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. There are no further questions.

Thank you very much, Ms. Karl. We appreciate your coming today.

We're going to move now to Panel B; and I want to tell you that this includes women who have been encouraged to come forward and speak who are, in fact, battered women and have had those experience.

They've arranged for those women to be in another part of the building, but wired into this room to give the testimony or to answer questions of the Committee members. We're going to hear from those women at this point. There are also battered women or women who've had experiences with battering in the hall with us today who will testify following the testimony and the questions given to the women who are out of the room.

Paula Webster, do you hear me upstairs?
MS. WEBSTER. Yes, I can.

Acme Reporting Company

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Are you ready?

MS. WEBSTER. Yes, I am. I thought we were going to start with the women -- There are six women up here who would like their identity protected, and that's why we're in this room. We're going to be identifying them by letters. We have Miss A, B, C, D, E, and F; and the first person to speak will be Miss A.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Will you make sure that everybody gets right up to the mike?

MS. WEBSTER. Okay.

This is Miss A.

MISS A. I'd like to start with the experience that has led me to be here. The violence started right when I first was married. It got progressively worse as the years went on. I didn't really sustain that serious injuries, but it was a constant slapping, kicking, choking. It never led me to the hospital, but it was the last few years that I was with him, it was almost nightly that this occurred.

My husband is alcoholic, and that instigated most of the beatings. It could also have happened -
If any little thing was wrong, this would be enough for him to start hitting or slapping. It could be just a cobweb in the ceiling that could have started it.

I did call the police -- Well, I was afraid to call the police for a long, long time because of, you know, what would people say and that sort of thing but I finally did; and he was arrested. The only reason he was arrested was because he got belligerent with the police.

When I did separate from my husband he continued to come to the house, harass, rip down doors, break windows. He'd have tire irons. I constantly called the police. I continued to call the police, and they wouldn't do anything. They knew I was serious about - that I didn't want him arrested; and I wanted it to stop. But -- Well, the reason why they said they wouldn't is because I didn't have a restraining order. I had papers to keep him out of the house, but I didn't have a restraining order. And this is what they said, why they couldn't arrest him.

In the process I was trying to get a restraining order with a lawyer. I didn't know anything about it. I didn't know that this was what I was supposed to have. So in the meantime I was trying to change lawyers and trying to get a restraining order; but this took a long period of time; and it was about a six or seven month period of time where he continually came to the house and harassed me; and I continually

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

called the police. They finally arrested him after six months of this; and, again, it wasn't because I wanted it. I guess they were sick and tired of having me call. And also he did get belligerent with the police; and they finally got sick and tired of coming over; and they arrested him. He did stop coming around after that; but he was going to court; and I called up to find out who the prosecuting attorney would be; and I wrote a letter to him telling what went on because he was just arrested on a minor charge I guess it was disorderly conduct, something where I thought it would be thrown out of court; and fortunately I heard later that the judge did read the letter that I wrote; and they put a one-year probation and sixty days suspended sentence; and I guess they really told him that if he came around again there would be a heavy fine, or I don't know, penalty.

So that's what, you know, that's what happened, and that's it.

Any questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN ROSE. What we're going to do is listen to each one of the women who are up there, and then the people of the Committee will ask questions generally.

2 3

0

MRS. B. I have been married approximately twenty-five years. As the marriage progressed the violence first was -- There was long periods between each episode of violence, and as the years went by the episodes became closer and closer. For the first few years I found it very difficult to call the police. I think basically for the reason that the names always appeared in the local newspaper; and I felt this would be an embarrassment to my family, his family. So I was very reluctant to take this step. I did have the police there many times. As many times as I had the police I think I got as many reactions as the policemen came to the house. They ranged anywhere from --

My husband has been arrested on several occasions. Most of the time there is such a long time lag between the time he was arrested. He was arrested immediately. There was such a long time lag between the time he was arrested and the time he came to court that there was a great deal of harassment. The first time he was arrested I think there were several months because it was postponed a few times; and there was constant harassment, would I drop the charges, when was I going to drop the charges, what he was going to do if I did not drop the charges. Finally the day he was going to court he typed up a letter himself

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supposedly written by me saying that he would no longer drink and that he was going to behave himself and had me sign the paper saying that I was willing to drop the charges. I did sign the paper; but apparently the judge saw through who had written the paper and put him -- I guess on probation and insisted that he go to Honor Court, which is A.A., for a period of time.

The second time he was arrested we went through interviews -- The first time we also went through interviews with the Family Relations. The second time we did also; and I had to explain to the Family Relations officer that I was afraid to say much of anything in front of this man; and the Family Relations officer said, "I can't understand why anybody would be afraid of a man she had been living with all this time!" and obviously he did not understand my fear. During the sessions with the Family Relations officer, the second time, there was a great deal of talk; but he finally ended up suggesting that I drop charges the second time because, obviously, this was not helping the situation at all; and I was not accomplishing anything by pursuing the charges. So I did sign a paper saying that I would drop the charges.

Since this there have been more episodes. I believe the last time the police were at the house they

were much more sympathetic for many reasons; and one of the main ones was that they had been called the week before to a place of business because my husband had made a scene there. I had the same officer come to the house, and somehow hearing this from a business man carried a lot more clout with people than hearing the same story from me. When they got into the house they recognized it was the same person; and they were far more sympathetic.

Many times I've had the police tell me that there wasn't really any point in having him arrested because he would be out in a couple of hours and come home and maybe he would set the house on fire, and what would I do then? This was right in front of my husband. I felt they were giving him ideas he didn't already have.

I'vergotten involved in a group, several of us have started a group, a self-support group to try and work things out with each other and try to help each other for some point like many other self-help groups; and we have made some progress, although I find it very difficult for most battered wives to even appear because there is a great deal of fear that they will be caught. I feel that to build up the self-esteem, women getting together is one of the main problems,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because as the beating progresses somehow. I believed when I first got married that I deserved this because he would say to me, "Well, I have a lot of trouble with headaches; and if you would quit aggravating me, I would not hit you; if you did not say the wrong thing at the wrong time, you wouldn't have gotten a chair over your head; if you had not given me a hard time when I already had a hard time at the office, this would not happen; if you'd kept the kids quiet when I was trying to sleep, this would not happen." And somehow I believed it was something I was doing wrong; and then as the years progressed the situation kept happening over and over again with so many different situations that I finally realized it was not me, it was him; but by this time my self-esteem had hit pretty low bottom; and I have no job; and I had no job then and did not finish my education, so I felt somewhat trapped. I had no money of my own. no job to go back to so to speak; and I felt really I was -- I felt sort of alike a prisoner; and the more I felt that way, the more I went into myself and was unable to help myself.

It was finally with almost complete breakdown in psychiatric treatment I was able to gain a little self-esteem and realize it was not my fault. And then

after hearing the information from the Task Force and finding out that there were so many other women involved, I realized I was not the only woman in the world that was being battered. It was very helpful to my self-esteem to know there were other people, and it wasn't all my fault.

So I think the problem of low self-esteem and establishing some sort of work skills for women is very essential, because if you have no self-worth and no self-esteem you can't do much for yourself; and if you can't do much for yourself, you're trapped in an unfortunate situation; and this is why I feel this self-help support groups are extremely essential.

If there are any questions, I'll be glad to answer them.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. As I said earlier, we're going to reserve questions until all the women have had an opportunity to testify.

MRS. C. I have been married for about fourteen years; and I have a husband who occasionally would hit me, restrain me on the bed and beat me while the kids were watching. I never knew what to do for a long time. I used to think if I kept the house better or had a good supper on the table these things would change; and nothing I did really helped until I changed

my own attitude.

I had a fear of calling the police because police records go right into the newspaper, and you're in fear of everybody finding out about your situation, your neighbors finding out. They read it in the newspaper, and you don't want anybody to know what you were going through. I didn't want my family to know about it.

At one time I did call the police, and they came to the house. The only thing they said was, "Now, you can't do that. That's not nice to do." It was kind of like a slap on the wrist; but nothing really concrete; and then I had the fear of what my husband's reaction would be to that.

We went to Family Relations, and they told me to leave. I went to Child Guidance to try to help my kids, because I know they were upset about this. They allowed my husband to come in, and during counseling to be drunk and to start calling everybody names. And they didn't even ask him to leave. But he badgered me in front of these people.

I think like Mrs. B said, your self-esteem gets so low that you don't know where to go. One time I left home; and I stayed at a friend's house; but, you know, when you don't want to keep running with three

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kids -- You'd like to be able to stay in your own home and not have the fear of your husband beating you and harassing you all the time. And then you are also afraid that he might find you over there and cause trouble for your friends.

One time I called my family; and I had a tough That, I think, does not help either. time. You don't need your family coming in there trying to protect you and they could get hurt too. I went to see a lawyer. He suggested leaving him. Most of these agencies suggested leaving the home. It may sound silly to you but I do care for my husband; and I think the only reason that things changed in my home was because I got help from a women's group of self-help. And my attitude changed. My self-esteem went up; and once I started getting respect for myself, the batterings have stopped. I'm still living with my husband. is a lot better because I think I have changed, and he knows that I don't allow myself to get beaten, because he knows I'll go even further than I did before.

I have to say the only places that I did get help was Alanon. The women there that were trying to get together to help other women, because I believe nobody knows what I'm going through except another

woman who has gone through the same situation and has found help for herself.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Mrs. D, or Ms. D?

MRS. D. I have a problem. Why I come here is because I got five kids, and my husband has been separated for five years. We went back together and bought a home. And I live in -- Things aren't going too good for me. Well, you'll have to excuse me. I'm kind of nervous. This is my first time talking on a microphone; but I don't have no place to go. I live in a Salvation Army shelter; and there's a lot of kids there and a lot of people out of homes; and when you get together with a lot of people, there's just trouble; and I went looking for an apartment; and I can't find none; and my social worker has been after me; and every time I find an apartment it says no kids, no pets.

I got a job. I can't go to my job because I have to stay with my kids. If I go to the hospital to get a treatment and I come back to the shelter the kids are -- the kids are fighting again. What am I going to do? I don't have no money. I don't have nothing. I don't have no place to live, and I'm tired. I been running, and I been running, and I'm not going

3

I want to go away. I need to go away. I don't have no money. I go to the State. They tell me I'm not -- I can't get no help. If you just get me a place for me and my kids. I can go to welfare and tell them to give me some money. I had a little money and I spent it. I know I have a job because I'm a good worker.

My father said I could come back any time.

But how am I going to come back with no where to live?

My husband won't get out of the house. He broke my son's arm, and I told the cops. The cops come to the house. He got a lock on the door, on the apartment door; and when I go away the kids want to eat. He take the food out of the kids mouth. And he knock them on the floor, and he kick them; and when I tell him to stop, he go to beating on me.

He work all week long. He gets his money, and that's it. He don't want to buy food. I buy the food And a lady from school, she help me get the kids medicine, because he say he don't have the money to do it; but he do. All he want to do is run around. And I cook. He just spit on me; and I let him and say he shouldn't have hurt my son's arm, but he say what you going to do.

I don't have no clothes in the shelter. You

know? And I like to have my job back. I'd like to make some money. And I go to church; and I pray, and I pray; and he starts going to church with me; and he didn't get no better. He don't get no better; and I'm not going back in the house; and I called the legal aid; and I said I want a divorce. So he said you got to wait because it's taken -- but how long am I supposed to wait in that shelter? I've been waiting in that shelter for three weeks, three long weeks; and my nerves are just about gone. I went to the doctors last night because he said I got to have some pills. So I don't have the money. I go to the State. So they said I got to get an apartment. How am I going to get an apartment? And I call the people. And he said, "No chance." I got five kids, four with me, four kids with myself. And when I go to the table to eat, I don't get enough. It shouldn't be that way. They say you can't eat. What do you think I should do? I need a place to live; and I need to go back to work; and I want him to leave me alone. If I get the house, he'll come in and cut the heat off. He'll cut the I had to call the copsto cut it back on. heat off. So I tell the cop he cut the heat off; and he say, "What can I do? He pays rent here." So I don't know what to do.

All I want is an apartment and my kids to go to school. I ain't got a job, but they won't give me no apartment.

(Portions of the above testimony were unintelligible.)

MRS. F. I'm Mrs. F. I've been married for twelve years. My husband is an alcoholic; and I've been a battered wife for twelve years. My children are also battered children. My face has been completely distorted. I sustained head injuries, concussions, bruises about the middle, in the breast area. I did not go to the hospital as I could not afford any medical bills. I finally got the courage to call the police. I, like the other ladies, didn't want to call because my name would be in the paper, or our names would be in the paper; and it was all kept quiet.

I've been to different agencies, and all of them said to me, the Family Relations and all said,
"The best thing is to divorce this man," which at the time for me was not the answer. I tried to get my husband committed to a mental institution, but I failed I couldn't do it until he killed me or the kids; and then they would send this man to a mental institution. My kids used to get beaten, hit in the stomach, kicked, hit about the head. You know, this type of stuff.

24

25

Finally, as I said before, I finally got the courage to call the police. My little five year old at the time ran out to a neighbor's; and the only way that he stopped was I told him that our son had run out in the snow, and he let me go. I went to the neighbor's and called the police. They took about ten or fifteen minutes to get there; and when they got there my husband was very calm and cool; and when they got to the door he said, "What is it, Officer? Can I help you?" I had all the bruises. I had a concussion. He had one little scratch. I must have tried to stop him from beating on me. I told him that I wanted my husband arrested. The officer said that the only way he could arrest my husband is to arrest me too and send the children to the State. I could not do this. My children have been through enough; and I could not see them going to strangers. I said I would not do this; and they said to the husband, they said, "Well, will you leave the property?" And he said no. asked me if I could leave; and I said I'd be glad if there was some place to go. There wasn't, so officers left the two of us in the home.

I did take my child, and I had gone to the doctor's, because I slept painfully; and I remember hearing when children sustain head injuries they

didn't let them go to sleep; and that's how I found out I had a mild concussion.

I am not a working woman. I work in a home.

And my husband is an alcoholic; and through Alanon I found courage and the strength to do the things that I must; and today I am in the process of a divorce.

Thank you.

MRS. E. I've been married for three years.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Excuse me. Talk up. We can't hear you down here.

MRS. E. I'm separated now from my husband.

(Unintelligible.) When I went to the hospital—I was -- I came back to Hartford. I went to the police station to -- I went to my lawyer for a divorce. My lawyer called the police. They didn't do anything about my husband. I was living in the house with my husband. He tried to kill me. I called the police. They take me to the hospital. I had a lot of black and blues on my neck and my eyes black too. My baby was bare. They was all the time my husband was hitting me. My baby is only two years old. She was crying and telling her father to stop. I was supposed to go to school -- I had to leave --

I went to the Salvation Army. I was going to stay no more because I was too depressed. Everything

is going on there, you know. I said -- I have no place to live or money. I live in Portugal. I can't find no apartment, because they don't want no baby. I go to the charity. My husband is -- They come, and they call my husband. He already left; and if they don't come in twenty-four hours, they don't do nothing They didn't do nothing about it. I don't want to be -- you know. And this before -- Legal separation and support money.

(Above testimony by Mrs. E totally unintelligible.)

MS. WEBSTER. Do you have any questions now? CHAIRMAN ROSE. Yes, we do.

MRS. BUSCH. I think rather than ask questions of the group up there, could we hear from the women down here first and ask questions of the general group?

MS. WEBSTER. Okay.

MS. BUSCH. Okay.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. We're going to hear from the women who are in the hall who will identify themselves as battered women; and I think we'll start with whatever order the three of you are interested in; or we'll start from the left to the right. Whichever is appropriate, or whichever microphone is on.

. 15

3

MS. LARDSON. My name is Christine Lardson; and I'm an ex-military wife. I was married for three and a half years.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Excuse me. Pick up the hand mike. Start over, please.

I'm an ex-military wife. I was a battered woman. I left my husband last summer. I was married for three and a half years; and the beatings occurred right after we were married. As time progressed the violence in our marriage became worse. There were times that I was so badly bruised that I was embarrassed to leave the house. One of my neighbors used to come over to the house to check on me if she hadn't seen me for a couple of days because she used to worry, and she used to advise me to get out before my husband really hurt me or, worse, killed me.

I left my husband last summer because I really felt my life was threatened. My husband beat me one night while he was drunk. My sister was visiting and witnessed some of what happened. I was living on base at the time. My husband was in the Army. The Military Police were called. Four military police came to the house. They asked my sister and me questions. We both wrote statements. I said I wanted to press

11

10

13

12

15

14.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

charges because it wasn't the initial time that my
husband had beaten me, so I wrote statements; and I
was brought down to the military police station.

At the military police station I was interrogated by
four more military police. Each police tried to
discourage me from pressing charges. Finally they
referred me to a military police investigator. His
decision was I could not press charges because my
husband hadn'd used a weapon on me. He then referred
me to the Company Commander.

The Commander was unsympathetic to my situation. He suggested that I stay with my husband. husband was violent. He had an alcoholic problem; and he suggested that I stay with my husband for moral support and the Army would persuade him to join an alcoholic rehabilitative program. I became really upset because I was badly beaten; and I told the C.O. that this was not what I wanted, that I wanted my husband thrown out of the house until I consulted with legal aid on post to get papers to leave. So the C.O. complied with my wishes; and he made my husband move back into the barracks. The C.O. did not take the keys away from my husband however. So in the meantime, while I was waiting to go to military legal aid, my husband used to come to the house and harass me.

One night my husband broke into the house. He had his keys; and he threw his weight against the door and gained access into the house. The military police were called. They came; and they said they couldn't do anything because it was my husband's house. They called the C.O. to verify the fact that I was telling the truth. The C.O. was not concerned. He said it's eleven thirty at night, what do you want me to do?

The next morning I got on a plane and flew back to Connecticut. I was charged with desertion. Right now my divorce is pending.

There isn't much help for a battered woman.

The response is very poor. You're really intimidated;

and it's very embarrassing to have to admit that you're
a battered woman.

SECOND WOMAN. My husband was meither an alcoholic, or dope, or whatever. He was just a very irresponsible, immature animal. We were married very young. I was seventeen years old when I met and married him.

Everything was fine up until the day I announced I was pregnant, which was about a month after we were married. He took it pretty badly. I was about five months pregnant when the beatings started. The pattern began with slapping his fists on things, throwing things, general frustrations taken out on inanimate

objects.

Shortly after my son was born I became the inanimate object. I was kicked, punched, beaten, slapped, and damn well degraded. I was constantly run down by him. For me, I found the emotional abuse to be more than the physical abuse; but the emotional scars stayed for a long time.

At one point I could not call the police.

We were involved heavily into religion; and I couldn't involve my name and his name in that manner, because I would have to answer to a lot. At one point I got sick of it. I called the police. I was beaten, bleeding, and a mess. The police came and left the house.

It was a constant thing of my calling, the police coming, and he split. Finally the police said, "If you don't keep him here, don't call us." And I said, "Would you prefer that I keep him here, and he'll kill me, and you can come back to take over?" They left. He came back and started in; and my girl-friend upstairs called the police. They arrived. Their response was, "Look lady, he says he didn't beat you. He wants to work things out. You're being unreasonable. Why bother pressing charges? He's going to be out in a little while, and he'll be back."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I insisted they press charges. The finally said, "No, and don't call us again."

Eventually I moved to Farmington, and I filed for my divorce there. Things were very bad financially for me, and I couldn't deal with it any more.

When I had married my husband I said this was forever, and it stuck. We decided to try it again. We got back together in February. I was living at the house with him for two weeks. I began smoking, and at one point, one day I was smoking. That triggered it off. He smacked me only with one of my son's toys, which was a pony stick. Broke it over my back and continued to beat me. My three year old son began screaming, "I'm going to kill you." He locked us in Finally I got out of my room; and he the bedroom. said, "I want you out of here and out of here now. Take the kids and go." That was fine with me, but where the hell was I going to go? Two kids in the middle of a snowstorm. I stopped; and I pulled religion on him; and I told him, "If you come within, one inch of me, I'll kill you;" and I would. believed me, and let us out the door.

We were working up East Main Street, and it just occurred to me, where am I going to go? None of my family was going to take me in. The only thing

-

I could think of was a girlfriend's house. I stayed there three days. I got into a home. At that time there were no services available. It wasn't that they didn't want to help, but there was nothing. No money, or shelter, or nothing, with the exception of two generous families of the Host Homes. I stayed therefor a week and was able to get an apartment with a girlfriend. Things went from bad to worse; and at certain times I almost felt like I would rather be at home with him in a house that had heat, and food, and take the abuse than be out on my own living on less than \$300 a month, trying to support two children, and trying to pull myself together.

Eventually the program began to step up; and I was able to get a job as staff member. As both a victim and as staff member it's a horrendous task; and my feeling right now is that it angers me that women have to have hearings and testimony and have to plead their cases before judges to get a right that was given to them at birth, that is that we are human beings, and we do not deserve to be beaten or brutalized.

MRS. TURNER. My name is Sophie Turner. I am .

I was rather, a battered woman. And that takes a lot
out of you, and nobody really knows what you're going

through but you. And it's very difficult when you got six kids and somebody comes in and says, "Well, you, you know, you're not worth very much anyway." If I'm not worth anything -- How can you not be much? I mean, I was woman enough to have six kids.

He wasn't an alcoholic because he was a long-distance truck driver; and he made good money; but he felt that he had to have an outlet; and I happened to be that outlet. Coming from a very religious and strict home, it was very difficult for me because I taught Sunday school and stuff like that; but I ain't never been exposed to the other happenings, the parties and the good times; and he would like to go to parties; and he would say, "Come on, let's go to a party;" and I'd say, "No;" and he'd say, "You think you're better than I am." It wasn't that; but that wasn't a part of my time because you don't have too much time left for parties when you have to take care of six kids.

We started with the beatings when maybe my second child was born; and he would come in from the route; and he would say, "What you been doing?" So I'd sit down and tell him what I've been doing; and he would say, "I guess you found time to do other things;" and I said, "Say what;" and he'd say something and beat me around to where it would finally lead to

me calling the police. So I called the police; and the police said, "Now look, your husband is a hard working man. So you got six kids. He's supporting you in a pretty nice apartment here. Now, why don't you be nice and go back and do everything he says to do; and, you know, everything is going to be all right." I listened to them. Okay? "If you're so church-minded, I'm sure you don't want your name in the newspaper anyway." I thought about that, so I didn't press charges.

So then next time it was just worse and worse.

So then he banged me around and opened this part above my eye; and I called the police back. The police said to me, "Oh, what happened here?" And I told him. So he said, "Well, okay, we'll see what we can do."

And he says, "Well, I might have to take both of you down;" and I say, "For what? I need to go to an emergency room." And he said, "Okay." Then he turns around, and he says to me, "You really want to press charges?" I said, "Yes, I do." And he said, "Make sure you don't let the blood get in my car."

But I'm saying this: What makes it very difficult for a woman to have made up her mind that she wants to leave a man that's battering her around is not having no place to go; and this is the case

where you have a lot of kids, because who wants to take six kids in their home? Then the other thing is you have no money because the husband controls the money. If you leave him, he cuts off the money.

You go to social services. What do they say? Oh, they got all this paper in front of them. They'll look at it and say, "Is all those kids your husband's? Do these kids belong to your husband?" That's a stupid question to ask when you're looking at papers with all the kids names on it; and besides with six kids I don't know what man would let you use his name if they weren't his; and they degrade you and humiliate you.

I was going for Title 219; and the only reason
I was going for that was my daughter was having
terrible headaches; and I was in medical bills up to
here. And this is another reason why so many women
go back to the same situation; and it seems like every
door that you can knock on is closed. You go to any
social service agency. They refer you to somebody else; and all you get is the run around; and they look
at you as if you're plain old trash. You know, and
this is what makes a lot of women get disgusted with
just going from place to place and they'd rather be
back home and take some more than to feel like trash

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for trying to get help for yourself. Because you're not asking for yourself. You're trying to get help for your kids.

Now, what I'm saying is I'm glad now that I am in a position to see other women that were in the position I was in at the time, because now I'm working for the project out of New Haven; and we give women the support that they are seeking. We go with them to the legal parts of the courts and the social services and counseling. We see that they get all the things that are available to them; and I just wish that more women would come forward, because we have nothing to be ashamed of by having been battered and knocked around. A lot of people think if a women got hit she's a bad woman. I find most of the women that have been battered are basically good women; and they're not all dumb women. A lot of people feel, "Well, she's too dumb. She don't know so it doesn't That's not true also. Because I myself have seen a lot of very smart women being knocked around. All I'm saying is we are people. We're decent human I believe that battered women and their beings. children deserve to have a chance just like every other women do; and the only way that they're going to get that chance is by other people knowing that some

of us out here are really trying to get our heads together; and all we need is that chance.

MRS. RAKIS. My name is Dorothy Rakis. I at the chairperson of the Battered Women Household Violence Task Force of the Central Connecticut Chapter of the National Organization for Women.

Once upon a time I too was a battered woman. My heart goes out to those who are still suffering.

My family and I suffered for twenty-seven years under this misery until I was in the position to afford a lawyer to get a divorce under the no-fault divorce.

My husband was a victim of the disease of alcoholism. When he was sober he was the kindest, nicest person you could ever meet. Yet, he worked. He worked hard to support me and my children. We had eight children together. I loved my husband; and I imagine he loved me too; and he loved the children; but being an alcoholic, and when he was under the influence of this terrible illness, he was subject to fits of rage where he would unwittingly hit me and even attempt to hit my children. I was always the one that tried to protect them and took the battering. Unfortunately, in one of these instances I was hit so badly that I suffered a miscarriage. Of course my husband was very sorry. We both were for all these

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things.

My children suffered psychologically and emotionally from this domestic violence. In their . teen years I found out the results of all this violence how it effected them. Those that were fortunate enough of my children either went into the serivce, got The ones that were left married happily, I hope. suffered along with me until we were able to cope with this problem. Fortunately, I finally used my Godgiven intelligence, took my brains out of mothballs, shook off the dust, and went back to school under the Manpower Development Training Program in 1965. sharpened up my skills in typing, business math, English, bookkeeping, and office practice; and thanks to this program I took Civil Service tests for the State and Federal government, passed them, and obtained employment with the Federal Government, where I have been employed for twelve years. Due to this program and to Alanon, the program for alcoholic rehabilitation, I was able to cope with my problem; and I was able to come out strong and try to help others on the way. That is my goal now, to help al the women who are suffering and are in this predicament now.

As the Chairperson of the Battered Women House-

Acme Reporting Company

hold Violence Task Force for Central Connecticut now, we've tried to work with the Connecticut Task Force on abused women to formulate a shelter for the Greater Hartford area; and I hope that in the future we will be able to work with them so that we can help these women that are struggling and suffering now; and they have all our sympathies; but we hope to give them more than sympathy in the future.

That is all I have to say now, except to pledge our help to these women who are suffering and in the same predicament that we have been before.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. We're going to go to questions now. I want to thank all of the women who testified at this point.

Gloria and Judy will lead off the questioning.

MS. BUSCH: Paula, I'd like to ask a question to Mrs. B.

Mrs. B., can you hear me?

MRS. B. Yes.

MS. BUSCH. You stated that the violence in your marriage, or the physical abuse against you, became progressively worse during your marriage. Did at any time you seek help at a local hospital?

MRS. B. Yes, I did. I was at the emergency room once with a broken nose and had several stitches.

MS. BUSCH. Did you at that time tell the hospital how you sustained your injuries?

MRS. B. No, I did not. I lied about it. At the time I was much to embarrassed to be in this ridiculous position to talk about it any further.

MS. BUSCH. Did they ask you, or did you just sort of volunteer whatever story you gave them?

They did ask me; and I told them I had walked into a door; and they thought it was ridiculous. The doctor who treated me didn't believe the story, but he did not question me. He indicated that he knew I had not walked into a door; and that

MS. BUSCH. I'd like to ask Mrs. F.

MS. BUSCH. You stated that you did sustain some kind of head injury. Did you seek help at a

MRS. F. No, I did not. I could not afford the

MS.. BUSCH. At the time you stated you did call the police. Did they suggest you seek help at .

MRS. F. No, they did not.

MS. BUSCH. Thank you.

Acme Reporting Company

MS. HOMES. Mrs. B, you mentioned that you did get some psychiatric help. How did you obtain this help?

I should see a psychiatrist; and he sent me to one, as a matter of fact three or four; and every time announced that it wasn't helping me. In a short period of time he would refuse to pay anymore on the basis that it wasn't helping me anymore; and a few months later he would send me to another psychiatrist because I was crazier yet. This continued for several years; and I did benefit quite abit from the treatment

MS. HOMES. A question for any of the women, please.

Did the police, when they were called, ever refer you to any agency?

MRS. B. One policeman referred me to Alanon, and nobody else referred me any place.

MS. HOMES. Mrs. C, you mentioned that you went to child guidance. Will you go into your experiences there a little more in detail please?

MRS. C. My son had an emotional problem. The things that were going on -- And he went to a doctor; and my husband and I talked to the social worker there and I told them what was going on; and they -- I believed

the problem was alcoholism; and they never pinpointed it to that. They kept side-stepping the issue. They kept saying, "Well, you do this. You communicate with your husband. You don't communicate well." We tried to communicate with him that night. He was drunk; and they didn't even ask him to leave. I don't see how they helped us at all. They helped my son, I have to say that. He was better; but I don't think it helped the marriage at all.

MS. HOMES. Did they ever follow-up on the alcoholism with you?

MRS. C. No. They always said they didn't think it was alcoholism.

MS. HOMES. Thank you.

One more question. Have any of the women dealt with Legal Aid at all?

THE WITNESS. (No name given.) I did. As a matter of fact my lawyer is here in the courtroom. There was a good, good response. I was very pleased with it. I did not deal with them in my home town because I had moved back at the time. I had filed for divorce there. Because I was State assisted, they -- I went through my lawyer to get a restraining order, got my restraining order; and the only problem I had was that I had a restraining order; but the

police would not respond to the restraining order even then.

I got my divorce on July 5 and was very pleased with the reaction I got with Legal Aid in Torington.

MS. LARDSON. I went to legal aid in Hartford.
They're very responsive; but in my case my husband is in the Army; and he's in another state, so there's not much they can do. He did file against me on desertion though I had good cause to leave; and they had tried to fight that; but there wasn't much they could do. I did write a letter to Toby Moffat, telling him what had happened. Hy husband claimed the privacy act, so they weren't able to pursue this matter.

MS. HOMES. I believe there was a young lady upstairs --

MRS. E. (Unintelligible.) Now I have a legal separation, and they won't help me. He called -
(Unintelligible.)

MS. WEBSTER. This is Mrs. F.

MRS. F. This is Mrs. F. I went to Legal Aid; but they wouldn't help me because I was a home-owner.

I also would like to say that my two children are in need of counseling; and because I'm on State, I'm unable to obtain it. As soon as the doctors hear

that you're on State, they don't want to know.

MRS. B. I'm uneligible for Legal Aid because I'm a property owner.

MS. BUSCH. You said you were not eligible because you were a home-owner. Was that your own home or in conjunction with your husband?

MRS. B. In conjunction with my husband.

MRS. BUSCH. Were you living in the house at the time?

MRS. B. Yes, Ma'am.

MS. BUSCH. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Are there other questions of the women from members of the Committee?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN ROSE. I have one question directed generally. I believe that there was at least one witness who indicated that they problem was apparently not alcohol-related or drug-related; and although a great many of the women testified to the fact that their husbands were alcoholics or had drinking problems and that the battering took place during that time, I was wondering if any of you would like to comment with respect to the rationale that you made in your own mind for your husbands having assaulted you or beated you exclusive of alcohol?

MRS. C. I said my husband has a drinking problem; but he was not drunk every time he beat me. He could be perfectly sober; and he would beat me; and I know there's other women up here that their husbands might have a drinking problem; but they get beatings when their husband was not under the influence of alcohol.

MRS. B. My husband hasn't had a drinking problem; but most of the abuse happened when he was sober. Usually when he was drinking, he would pass out quite promptly. He's been sorry for several years now; and it doesn't seem to have made any difference. He has a violent temper: I believe he's had a violent temper since he was a child; and I don't think, basically, alcoholism has done more than just release inhibitions. When he's drunk, he usually misses hitting me.

MS. BUSCH. Several of you have spoken about a variety of needs for battered women. What would you list as one or two of the most important needs that the battered women face; and this is generally to all of the women.

THE WITNESS. (No name given.) Some place to stay with the kids and some kind of job placement.

Most women are willing and able to work.

MRS. C. The first thing was shelter and job training; and I think one of the biggest ones up here, I think, is self-help to get your self-esteem up, because you can't do anything when you're down and low. You don't have enough self-worth to reach up to get help.

Counseling for the children, because I think it's a cycle that if the kid is brought up in this type of home, they kept up doing the same thing when they get married.

MS. ROLDAN. No one has mentioned either seeking to enroll their children in a day-care center; and if they have, whether they have been successful, or they have considered it in order to gain employment and become independent of this problem.

THE WITNESS. (No name given.) I tried to; but the problem is that you don't have transportation in certain areas to get your children there. Then you're out of luck. If you don't have State-aid, usually you're out of luck there too because it could run into \$30. If you get it, tremendous. You have the problem of transporting your children there and back.

MR. RABINOVITZ. I believe it's Dorothy. You mentioned after twenty-seven years you finally were able to get the dust off your brain, et cetera, et

24`

cetera, and you were able to get your thing together and take action which proved successful after twenty-seven years in your case. During the testimony of all these women no doubt everyone was and perhaps may be even is under a great deal of stress during this period of time. It seems that everyone feels like they're all alone, et cetera. If some type of a family or housing help were provide, what kind, or what period of time, do you think one would have to be away from this kind of environment to get one's marbles together and take certain steps as you did in your case?

MRS. RAKIS. I would like to clarify that twenty-seven years. Actually the battering was for an eleven-year period until -- I should say I took my brains out of mothballs a little sooner. After eleven years I went into the Manpower Development and Training Program because at the time my husband had lost his job because of alcoholism and his irrational behavior on the job; and we were forced on Welfare because with eight children to support there was no other means of support. So at this time, fortunately, the Manpower Development Training Act was in effect; and I was able to go into training under it; and then I took Civil Service tests for the

2

3

বূ

5

6

•

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State and for the postal service and became employed by the postal service.

After this, when I became actually caployed, his employment vaivered. He was becoming -- At that time he was unemployed, he was very ill. I could see it; but no one -- There was no help for him. matter where we went, it seemed as if no one wanted to recognize that as a disease at that time. reocgnized as a disease now, but at that time, twelve years ago, it was not. It was up to me to work and to support him and my children and to take not battering but verbal abuse from him. We took verbal abuse after that. He was afraid to hit me after that because he felt that I was a Federal employee and right away there would be some type of terrible consequences and he would be thrown in jail for the rest of his Of course, my children were older then and more able to defend me and themselves. The older ones took care of the younger ones while I worked. It was no help until alcohol was declared an illness. both went to Alanon. We went to different programs put on by the Program for Alcoholic Rehabilitation; and it helped me to cope with the problem; and it helped me to teach my children to cope with the problem.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Is it safe to say, this is just generally to the panel, that you've learned that if you have an agency that's cooperative with you, your own agency, one you've created yourself or an agent or lawyer who goes with you to respond to the Family Relations Officer or to the Welfare Department -- The lady on the right testified she was from New Haven and indicated she's working now with women; and she's satisfied that they're getting services because there are people who are with them.

MRS. TURNER. We give the women the supportive services that they need, because going to a strange place and a strange person, telling them what you need or seeking what you actually need, is really a difficult thing. Some of the questions are so personal, it's really a put-down; and I can understand what some of these women are going through. Not only do we do that for them, but we go with them to the legal services; and we have a person in there that also works along with us. That makes it much easier for us. When they come to us we try to support them from the beginning to the end, even down to finding counseling for her and the family if this is what she seeks.

THE WITNESS. (No name given.) I'd like to add

would like to talk to you alone. If I cannot talk to you alone, I cannot say anything in front of this man besides a simple yes or no. He said, "I'm sorry.

I can't talk to you alone. I have to talk to you together."

Also, one child was brought in who didn't want to talk in front of her husband. She was asked about her parents marriage; and she said, "My parents marriage is none of my business. I'm concerned about the beatings I get myself." With me, most of my questions were involved with yes or no until at one point I wasn't sitting up straight; and my husband went into a tirade that I wasn't sitting up straight in front of the officer; but he still refused to talk to me individually.

MR. WILMORE. Mr. Chairman, I wonder if we could ask if any of the other women upstairs or in the room have any experience with the Family Relations officer.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. The question is with respect to the Family Relations Department officers. Would any of the other women like to testify?

MS. LARDSON. When I first came home my lawyer suggested I go to Family Relations to try to get support from my husband. I called them to make

an appointment and told them I was referred by my attorney. He said, "Why don't you go get a job;"and that was his response.

MRS. F. I went to Family Relations out of desperation of not knowing where to go, the same as Mrs. B. I was terrified of my husband; and they insisted that they see us together; and I told them that I couldn't, because I was afraid that this man might kill me; and he said that he couldn't help us unless the two of us were together. We did go once; and the man just sat there and lied about everything that the officer asked him; and to me it was just a waste of time because I would have to be sitting there and attending myself and saying that this man is lying; and, of course, I'd have to go home with this man; and they didn't understand, so they were no help to me at all.

MISS A. I went to Family Relations four or five times; and there was no help at all. At one time they were aware that the problem was alcoholism; and the Family Relations officer sat there and said that he was an alcoholic too; but he did drink occasionally.

MS. ROLDAM. I have several questions. The first one is in exercising the right to obtain a

restraining order, have any one of you either exercised that right, and what has been your experience in trying to obtain a restraining order?

THE WITNESS. (No name given.) I had a very.

good response with mine; but I do have a good friend
of mine who also worked on the project with me. She
went to New Haven Court to get a restraining order.

She was denied a restraining order. Her husband had
strangled her to the point where she was unconscious.

He had beaten her severely. He dragged her in the
street and broke her foot. When we went before the
judge to get it, she was denied it. She was also told
that he may not issue her a divorce because it would
not be good for the system to have another Welfare
mother. Her two children witnessed the abuse that she
took from him.

MRS. B. I had a lawyer; and I tried to obtain a restraining order; and, unfortunately, this lawyer did not understand my problem. I had to go and find another lawyer who, fortunately, did understand the problem. This took over a year to get the restraining order, which I do have today. He has no visitation rights; and he is not allowed on the property as of today.

MS. ROLDAN. Just one more question. I wanted

to know if any one of you ever felt at any time that you were being discriminated against, either because of your sex, your color, your national origin; and if there were any problems as far as your language, if you spoke another language such as Spanish, if there was anyone available to help you in understanding and conveying your problem to the police.

MRS. D. Yes, it is. When I went to the Salvation Shelter he told me to put down for a week, you know. So I got a job. But you don't have no money; and I went to the State; and he told me I cannot get on the State until I have an apartment.

I do have problems, like when I went down to the State, and I told them I needed some money to get me some pills. I have nerve pills. I got off of them; and my kids need to go to school; and the Salvation Shelter has too many people. We have two rooms, but downstairs when you eat, there's just not enough. They grab it all from you and all like that. If you come in at five o'clock, you cannot get no food.

If you're hungry, you cannot get it.

I need an apartment. I been calling the so worker and told him I need an apartment. I been looking for myself. He told me to go back home.

I'm not going back home because he broke my son's

arm. He beats me up. He tells the cops -- we had a home together. We got off the State; and I felt we were going to make it; and I keep telling the people I want an apartment. He won't get out. I told the people to get him out of the house. He says no, that's his house; but I got five kids, and there's just one of him; and he don't belong there; and I don't have no clothes; and I do want to go to work. I got a job; and I got to depend on myself. I'm just that way. I need help. I need pills; and I ain't got no money to get no pills; and I got to take pills. I got nerve trouble.

I went to St. Francis last night, and I told St. Francis to keep me there for awhile because of my nerves; and they said no. The kids are going to find jobs. There's a lot of jobs. So he put it all on my kids.

"Mary Jane, you got to get out of here. You got to get out or I'll put your clothes on the outside I don't care where you go. " So what should I do?"

I need an apartment. I ain't got no money. My job,
I can't get nobody to keep my kids; and I need help.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you.

I want to thank all of the women for taking the time and having the courage to come and talk with us

3

today. We appreciate everything you've done; and we hope that something good comes out of all of this.

We're going to move on. We're going to take a break for five minutes for the court reporter's sake.

(Brief recess.)

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to start again. Please take your places so that we can begin. We're ready to go. We're going to start.

What I'm going to do next on the schedule is ask Jane Brown from United Social and Mental Health Services, Incorporated, to read a letter from the director to Panel C, which is entitled Shelters and Support Services.

MS. BROWN. I'm going to read a letter from the Interim Executive Director of our agency, which is United Social and Mental Health Services, Incorporated. We're located in Putnam, Connecticut.

"Dear Mr. Rose:

We wish to congratulate the Connecticut

Advisory Committee for your interest in the serious

problem of battered women. There is certainly nothing

new about the issue of domestic violence, particularly

in relationship to the physical abuse of women.

However, what is new is the growing awareness by the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

public and by women themselves that this is a serious problem which demands solution.

We are submitting for the Committee's information a copy of the grant application for which we are hopeful of approval to establish emergency shelter: services for abused women and their children in northeastern Connecticut. The application details the nature of our area, a rural region of small towns characterized by low incomes and under-employment. The application also relates the preliminary results of a survey we are just completing on the extent of need for services for abused women. From both the women who called us directly regarding abuse problems and those who had called the police, we do find a significant number of women throughout the area suffering from physical abuse. Many of the attorneys and agencies who wrote to support our application reported their own experiences with abused women. Particularly, we wish to draw your attention to theletters in the application from private attorneys who talk about battered women who are their clients and for whom services are desperately needed.

We are hopeful that if we can obtain the funding for shelter services that we not only will be able to offer emergency housing, supportive and

therapeutic services to abused women, but that we will also be able to focus public attention on this problem. We know, for example, that police departments with whom we are already working are making almost no referrals to us on domestic violence situations. We also strongly suspect that many women have given up trying to do anything about their situation because they feel no realistic help is available. Therefore, there should be a strong concern in any service for abused women of advocacy as well. Another hidden and related problem in the physical abuse of women is the abuse of children which may also be occurring in the family situation.

We wish to thank you again for the opportunity to present this material to you and will be very interested in the results of your investigation.

(Sincerely, Shelia B. Amdur, MSW Interim Executive Director)

CHAIRMAN ROSE. I have one question. To whom is the application being made?

MS. BROWN. We have applied for a portion of the \$75,000 allocated in the State funds recently for emergency shelter and shelter services. It's to the Department of Social Services.

CHAIRMA ROSE. Thank you very much.

Acma Reporting Company

Transcript of Proceedings

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

BEFORE THE CONNECTICUT STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO THE UNITED STATES COVIL REGITS COMMISSION

PITLIC HEARING ON DONESTIG VIOLENCE

House of Representatives Hall State House

Hartford, Connecticut

September 26, 1977

P. Downs to Chief Banison

Acme Reporting Company

Official Reporters 1411 K Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20005 (202) 628-4888

_

Next we're going to have the panel on shelters and support services. Ms. Pat Downs.

MS. DOWNS. Thank you.

In introduction, I am the resident counselor at the Prudence Crandall Emergency Shelter for Women; and the following statement is a fairly brief description of the operation of our shelter and the statistical summary.

Since October of 1975, after dealing with several tragic and urgent emergency housing cases, the Prudence Crandall Center for Women was granted and maintained a six-room apartment for the purpose of providing temporary housing for women and their child-dren who are without shelter, funds, family, or friends who are unable to assist them. There is a fully-equipped kitchen, living room, bathroom, laundry facilities and three bedrooms for the temp_rary residents. One room is for the resident counselor.

Since August of 1976 we have contracted with a twenty-four hour answering service. Initial meetings with potential clients took place at the Prudence Crandall office. For security reasons the location of that apartment will be kept confidential.

We have received referrals from Welfare offices churches, police departments, YWCA's, Salvation Army,

neighborhood corporations, help line, info line, protective services, family relations departments, area counseling services, physicians, attorneys, hospital emergency rooms, and from the women themselves after spreading the word. All referrals must be weighed through Prudence Crandall. During business hours Prudence Crandall's staff responds to initial calls and then refers them to the resident counselor. During evening hours and weekends the resident counselor is on call and responds to messages from the answering service.

Prudence handles administrative tasks and assists the resident counselor with the supportive services aspect of the program. The Center reserves the right to determine whether or not a woman's situation warrants emergency housing and whether her physical, emotional, condition is such that the facility can accomodate her needs.

Adult women age eighteen or over, unless they are married or accompanied by a female guardian; women with or without children who literally have no where to go; women with or without children who are in situations of physical abuse. Length of stay has been set at a maximum of five days, during which time the

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

woman is expected to find another place to live either temporarily or a permanent situation. Extensions have been granted in situations with special circumstances.

In addition to shelter, supportive services are available to abused women and include crisis counseling, information and referral regarding legal procedures, financial assistance, medical aid, housing and employment matters, advocacy services, and a support group for women who have been or are victims of violence.

The staff has allotted a limited amount of time to community outreach. Initial funding for the apartment was through donations from local churches and women's organizations. Grants to cover work during the period of September, 1975 to December, 1977, total approximately \$15,000. The largest of these is Community Development Act monies, which have been restricted in use to the population of three 1970 figures central census tracts in New Britain. for these tracts indicate a population of approximate 10,000 compared to a total population of eighty-three and one half thousand. Other major funding sources include charities of the Hartford Diocese, which includes cooperative sharing and Campaign for Human

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Development, the National Methodist Church, and the Women's Association of Southern Congregational Church. From October, 1975, when the emergency housing program began, through July, 1977, we have dealt with three hundred ten cases involving at least 662 women and children. In 178 of these cases emergency housing was identified as an immediate need. potential need for housing was apparent in the remain-Of the 310 cases, 120 involved women who ing cases. were in transition from one living space to another or who were temporarily but suddenly forced from their 190 cases involved battered women. homes. able to house 49 cases, 24 of which were battered women involving 78 people. Other housing arrangements were made for 107 cases and in 65 cases the women did not maintain contact with us after the initial call.

These statistics are not an adequate indication of the need for emergency housing and services for battered women. There is a dramatic increase in the number of women who seek assistance after learning that services may be available.

In June, 1976, public media began to focus attention on the issue of battered women who have always suffered silently in the isolation of their

2

3

বু

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What was previously considered a family matter, if it were acknowledged at all, began to be identified as a social problem. In January of 1977 there was an intense media campaign by the Connecticut Task Force on abused women to urge battered women torespond to an inquiry. Respondents were given information tion as to available service. In the first three months of 1977, during and following that publicity, the number of battered women who contacted Prudence Crandall was approximately equal to that of the previous seven months. Both the number of battered women who have sought our assistance and the complexity of their cases have increased beyond our anticipation. Our capacity to respond has been limited by our lack of resources, specifically further funding to increase staff and to expand the emergency housing facility in order to serve more women.

In the past year our emergency housing program has become the center of our attention and activity and will remain the focus of our work. Initially, the program was seen as simply providing a space for shelter, an interim measure until something more substantial could be developed. Changes and adjustments in response to the needs of the women served have resulted in the programs becoming a model for a

transitional living center. Our objective is to move from the model toward the full-scale implementation to continue to respond to the emergency needs of women who are forced to be in transition and to provide housing as well as a central influence for them.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. I think it might be just as well if we listen to everyone who is going to talk and address questions to the group of you.

MS. HUNGERFORD. My name is Liz Hungerford.

I'm a program director with the YWCA in Meridan,

Connecticut; and we've been involved with the issue

of battered women since late 1975. I opened a women's

center in Meridan; and the first four women that walked

in the door were seeking help for abusive situations.

I'd like to introduce what I have to say by explaining how I became involved personally in the issue of battered women, and then move on to a brief history of our shelter services in Meridan.

I'm the daughter of an abused woman. I've seen my mother pushed through picture windows, pushed out of moving automobiles, stabbed with a knife, and one time when I was nine years old I witnessed what I believed to be my mother's death. She was beaten repeatedly. First my father broke a coffee cup

over her head, after spilling boiling hot coffee on ber, and placed the thumb hole of the coffee cup on the middle finger of his hand and kept hitting her on the head with the broken edge of the cup. She passed out; and I ran to a neighbor's. We lived in a rural area. I ran to get the police in Colchester; and they responded well; but by the time the police got there my mother was conscious and all of the evidence — When I left there was blood all over the kitchen; and when we came back with the officers, there was nothing. My father was very calm, you know, welcomed the policemen into the house; and I was starting to feel like perhaps I was crazy.

I don't really need to go into the volume of my experiences. The battered women have covered that pretty well. What I'd like to say is that as a result of my experiences as a child, that made me a feminist. What I saw with my mother was a woman who was a housewife with very little education, who had been socialized both through her education and through religious education to be a devoted wife and not to get a divorce, the kind of employment discrimination that women face, going to get jobs and finding since she was never encouraged to go to college, although she's a brilliant person, that she stayed in factory jobs

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and that her employment was considered supplemental income. Through her experiences with the legal system, the emphasis of family relations court as we saw it, was to save the marriage, not to protect the woman. That made me a feminist; and I think that when we talk about battered women, what we need to look at is that the women's problem is very logistic in nature; that battering is really the epitomy of women's problems in this country and probably around the world. It's been culturally tolerated for -- well, since the time, I guess, when we wrote our Colonial laws that included the "Rule of Thumb," which rule of thumb is a very common expression that we use these days. Rule of thumb means, in Colonial America, that you could beat your wife legally with an instrument that was no bigger than your thumb. If the gentlemen on the committee would look at your thumbs, you could see that you could probably beat your wife with a broomstick and be considered perfectly within your rights as men in Colonial America.

We opened a women's center; and the first woman that walked through the door was on Valium that was prescribed by her doctor. She did not know what time of day it was. The doctor prescribed the Valium to keep her calm so that she would not excite her

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

husband, who was abusive. But he also had a heart condition, so that they prescribed the Valium to keep the wife calm so the husband would not get excited and be detrimental to his own health to get excited.

After working with women and referring them to the Meridan Psychiatric Clinic, we decided that this problem was broad-based enough to try to hold a public conference; and we did in February of 1975. We called it a private-based public problem because by that time we had a more social prospective on the problem of The conference -- Forty women attended battered women. the conference. Many of them were professionals, not many of them were battered women; and as a result of the conference we opened up a Host Home Service for battered women. We had five Host Homes. The Host Home system, it's very generous of them to give up their homes for battered women and shelter them and their families; but it's a very inadequate way to deal with the problem; and it's also, I think, not the respe sibility of volunteers to put themselves in life threatening situations to house battered women.

We started serving approximately five cases a month; and we're up to about thirty-three cases a month; and we're still not meeting the needs. The first shelter that we opened was an apartment that was

1

2

given to us for our use, for the use of the Task Force We had no staffing at the time. And what I would really like to point out to this Committee is that once you find a place for a woman to go, you have to then think what you're going to do with her once you get her there. You may have a woman running for her life, and that's usually the way we find them, with no clothing, no shoes for the kids, no medical supplies, no income. The woman's husband may be making \$40,000 a year, but at the time you put her in your shelter she has not any access to the resources of her husband, no employable skills, no job, no transportation, up to seven children. We've had them with seven children. And you have to do something as a social servant. You feel responsible for her welfare while she's in the shelter. We have managed by miracles and hours and hours of very, very hard work, people giving up weekends, evenings, vacations for the Task Force to be able to organize in our community medical services so that in the hospital emergency rooms women can be referred to shelters. We are still working very har on organizing the police to respond effectively. We're not there yet. We have a question as to what you do when you find policeman's wife in your shelter, or when you have a woman in your shelter who's the

_

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

only Spanish-speaking policeman in your city. It's interesting to contemplate, or a woman who's husband's best friend is the police training officer or some such thing. I'm not saying specifically that we have those cases, but I'm implying that the possibility exists.

If you want to get the woman out of Financial. your shelter, she must provide for herself and her If she owns half the house as we heard one children. of the battered women talking about, she's uneligible for any of the free social services that most communities provide. Around the State of Connecticut there's no uniformity as far as Welfare goes and what the eligibility is. If she has a job, she may not have access to transportation. If her husband has money, she may not be eligible for welfare. If she's going to move out of your shelter independently, it's going to cost her a small fortune. Most realtors require security deposits of a month's rent plus a month's rent in advance when you move in. That's to say nothing of utility deposits for someone who hasn't worked and discrimination against people that are on-Welfare and renting to begin with. We have managed through the generous support of the Board of Realtors in Meridan to have a list of eligible apartments that

Realtors will let to battered women without security deposits; and it has been one of the most valuable resources that we could have. As far as employment, we have organized the CETA and other services in Meridan to give priority to battered women who have no skills. Of course, if you find a woman a job she has kids, you have to also provide child care and transportation. Child care has been one of our really biggest problems in providing services to the battered woman. If you have a Welfare appointment in Meridan, you have to go to Middletown for your determination of eligibility. If you have three children and one of them has no shoes, and you have no car, and no child care services in your city, and you're sitting in a shelter in Meridan twiddling your thumbs, and you miss the appointment, and your case worker gets all upset, it's really a trapping situation. As for child care, I list courts as a very difficult obstacle for a battered woman to surmount. It has been very common in our experience for husbands, before any legal determination of anything has taken place, it is perfectly legal for husbands who are abusive, even the woman does get out of the situation, it is perfectly legal for a husband to steal the children whether or not he is abusing them. He can steal them;

3

and he has every legal right to do that until something is settled in the court. We have one woman who's been waiting to get her children back for over a year now and is still waiting; and the husband abuses the children as well. If a woman has no job, once the husband steals the kids and has temporary custody because he is the father of the children, and you go to Family Relations Court, and you say, "I'm the mother but I have no job, and I have no place to live," it doesn't sit well for your obtaining custody later on.

A middle-class woman needs \$600 retainer for a private lawyer; and there is also the issue of protection. Because most battered women are victims of harassment even if they do get out of the situation and find themselves living independently. We have a counseling service through the Meridan-Wellingford Adult Psychiatric Clinic, as well as using CETA staff that are formerly battered women to run the counseling service; and we've put together a referral booklet of existing services and contacting people in Meridan.

That takes care of our Phase 1 program; anforme're moving now to create a program around the State allocation which has just been granted us for about six months; and we're hoping to provide a very

comprehensive program for the battered woman in

Meridan that includes the services that are now lacking, transportation, child care, counseling, protection,
legal services, employment, financial services,
cooperation with the police, and emergency medical
treatment. It's a tremendous task; and I would urge
everyone to look at it in prospective of the whole
problem and not just the problem of how do you get her
out of the house. What do you do with her when she's
out to make her a strong, independent, secure person?

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. I believe that the next witness is Alice Kugelman.

MS. KUGELMAN. I'm president of a Hartford group known as Hartford Interval House, Incorporated, which has come together with the purpose of establishing a shelter for battered women in the Greater Hartford area to serve this region.

We came together more than a year ago and incorporated in February of 1977. Each region and each city within the State of Connecticut has approache this problem from a slightly different angle depending upon the expertise that was available, the institutions who were partially involved in the area, and the make-up of the region itself. Shelter services have been

provided by the Salvation Army; and I think we're going to hear testimony to that effect. Our group has come together to fund a shelter; and I feel I'm going to limit my testimony to the problems involved in funding because I think this is the serious question that has to be answered in facing this whole issue. Who has the responsibility for funding the solutions to a problem such as this? Should it be the Federal government? Should it be the State? The private sector? Or a combination?

Our approach was one of funding through a combination of all of these; and for that reason we took several months to gain tax-exempt status. We got off the ground in the first place through contributions from churches; and the churches have been extremely supportive both in terms of making low contributions, which is all they can afford, but also to put together programs to work with their own members; and we have contacted both the battered women who have come forward as a result of contact in churches or elsewhere.

We've approached corporations in the Hartford; area with a goal of funding the shelter to forty percent from the private sector. The first question the corporation wants to know, and this applies to the

23

24

25

foundation, is, "Who are you? How do you know you have a problem? Certainly we're not going to take you on as an on-going project. If we help you now. who's going to help you after you are going?" are extremely tough questions; but they have to bey To date no corporation has come across wit a contribution. This is not to say they have al no, but we have basically been asking for contributions from their 1978 budgets. Interestingly enough, I think they are waiting to see what the other corporations are going to do, because this really has not been recognized as a problem that has to be funded in relation to the many, many other urgent things that corporations are being asked to fund. We're part of a larger picture, whether or not we like to admit that; and as testimony has shown, it is so difficult for a battered woman to convince an agency that she has a problem that deserves help. It is even more difficult to convince the private sector that there is an urgent funding priority that has got to happen in the near future.

The news that LEAA is planning to fund shelters for the next three years, in addition to the work they've already begun, is extremely good news; and we hope that Hartford Interval House will be successful

-14

in being a part of this whole Connecticut picture, which is being put together so carefully by the Task Force and all of those that are working so hard on this.

Let me just say that Hartford Interval House,
the name "Interval" refers to the space of time that
a woman needs to be safe, to back off from her particular situation, to be out of danger, and to use that
interval, or that space of time, to make the decisions
that have to be made, the financial decisions, the
attainment of medical care, arrangements for her
children, all of these things. We could open a shelter
tomorrow because we have located it here in Hartford.
It's in compliance with zoning, health, and fire
regulations; and as soon as that funding is in hand,
this shelter will also be opened. But it may be six
months or even a year before this can happen. I
don't want to give anyone a false feeling of success.

I want to commend the Committee for holding this hearing, and thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you.

I have two names for the Salvation Army. Would you identify yourself, sir?

MR. DESCOTEAUX. I am William Descoteaux; and I am the director of the Salvation Army Emergency

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Shelter, which is located here in Hartford.

The Salvation Army Emergency Shelter opened in December, 1975, to address what we and leaders in the community considered to be a high priority problem; and that problem was, namely, temporary sheltering care for both children and for families. The shelter program was designed based on the philosophy that a trauma situation, a crisis in a family life, on an individual child, or adolescent's life, could be lessened if temporary sheltering was provided, accommodations and meals for a temporary time.

To meet these goals the Salvation Army Shelter here in Hartford has two components: We are not a shelter that is solely for the purpose of providing sheltering for the battered women. The first component of our shelter is for adolescents and children who are referred to us by the State of Connecticut for temporary stays until permanent foster homes or group placements can be found for them. The second aspect of our sheltering program is a family component and it is through this component that we provide temporary shelter and care for battered women. family component provides for twelve to fifteen family members or adult persons at one time. family members who come to us come from a variety of

been burned out of their homes, who have been evicted, perhaps families who are stranded and in transient somewhere, and battered women. During the last -- During 1977, from January 1, 1977 to the end of August, we have housed forty-six battered women in our shelter. These are battered women cases. I don't have the statistics today of how many persons that involved when we totalled family members who have come. Often it will be only the battered woman herself. And other times it will be a battered woman with up to seven children who will come at any one time to stay at the Salvation Army.

What we provide at our shelter is a comfortable place to stay, living accomodations, three means a day, in-take interviewing through which we hope to assess the crisis situation. We have twenty-four-hour staff coverage to provide for the medical needs of the residents in our shelter, also to provide it probably for families who have been referred to the Salvation Army at any time of the day or night year round. Many of the referrals that we have received for the battered women come in during the night hours, or during the weekend hours, or during holidays when many other public and even private agencies cannot

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be directly contacted. We seek to provide sheltering for battered women for a temporary time until their situation can be assessed in terms of what direction the battered woman hopes to be going with her life. During that time our goal is to attempt to mobilize whatever private and public resources and agencies there are to help the battered woman.

We mentioned that we have serviced battered women ever since the shelter has opened in 1975. We have serviced those battered women that we have been able to admit according to our capacity. We have turned battered women away. I would simply reenforce what my colleagues have said thus forth, there are not enough community resources in the Greater Hartford area or in Connecticut for the battered women. We have had the experience of having battered women referred to us from virtually every corner of the State of Connecticut; and these women have had to come to Hartford, because they were not able to find a place to stay within their own. community and the only place for them to stay was their coming to Hartford.

We have experienced frustrations as we have attempted to provide services for battered women; and one the many frustrations is a financial one in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financial resources to help the battered woman while she is escaping from her situation. This seems to be particularly so in the case of a woman who comes from a middle-income background and does not qualify for public assistance in any way. There are very few places where she can turn to tap resources in order for her to restablish herself.

Our shelter is not funded in any way at this time by the United Way. That means that our total budget must be recouped by a per diem rate that is paid by -- paid for each resident who is at our The rate is often paid by some public welfare agency; but if there is no public funds available for a battered woman, we have a policy, and open door policy that we will take in the woman if she needs housing and our capacity will allow for it. In those cases the Salvation Army then pays and finances the stay of the battered woman for a brief So internally we finance that woman's stay. time. The funds that are available within the Salvation Army to shelter battered women are extremely limited. If we have a battered woman stay at the shelter for three or four days, that can exhaust our total amount of funds for a week's period for sheltering; and we

are called upon to shelter persons with a variety of needs, not only battered women. So we are in a very difficult situation in terms of financial resources in order to provide sheltering for women.

The Salvation Army is committed to providing sheltering for the community as we have the resources financially to do so. The plight of battered women is very severe; and there are not always the adequate resources that there ought to be to provide for their situations. We will continue to address this special problem. We are delighted that the Committee is addressing this problem; and it's my personal hope that through the mobilization of our various commitments that some plan, coordinated plan of action, can be laid out for the region that will provide the necessary sheltering and long-term care for battered women and provide the financial resources for the battered women.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. The final witness is Mary
Calvert, a representative of Womanshare; and I understand that she is in room four and a half. Is a
representative of Womanshare there who can hear me?

MS. CALVERT. Yes.

We have formed a group in Manchester, a group of battered women who are meeting together to try to

support each other, to try to give each other moral support so to speak, and to try to give any solutions that we have come across to other people to try and help pass information along and try to help us get the idea across that you're not alone.

I think when I first read the report of the Connecticut Task Force in the papers, my first thought was, "Gee, I thought there wash't another sole in the world who cared;" and when I heard the Task Force was involved, and they cared, I felt if there was anything I was able to do in the way of passing along this information that somebody else cared too, I think it would be very helpful because self-esteem is one of the basic problems. That is what we're trying to do in essence, give each other moral support and boost each other's egos so to speak, and do anything we can to help each other.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Okay. We're going to turn to questioning of the Committee to anyone.

If you're going to respond, please identify yourself just before you do that.

MS. ROLDAN. I have one question. This would be to all the agencies who shelter people here. What has been your experience with minority women and, specifically, Hispanic women? Have they sought your

2

А

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

services; and if they haven't, why do you think they haven't?

MS. HUNGERFORD. One of the greatest advances that we have in Meridan is that one of the women on the Task Force is the director of Neighborhood Service for Community Action Agency; and she is an outspoken battered woman and has testified and spoken publicly before about her experiences. It is wonder-I don't know of any other ful to have her with us. way that we could cover that population with the services that exist without her cooperation. spoke of her own experiences of having to move from an area where her family was to move with her husband and not speaking English and trying to communicate with police that did not speak Spanish and social welfare agencies and the whole gamut of problems that have to do with the Hispanic.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. What percentage of the women that you've seen in your shelter were Black or Spanish-speaking?

MS. HUNGERFORD. That's really hard to say because I'm not familiar with our entire caseload.

I personally have three Spanish-speaking cases and two Black cases out of sixty-six; but there -
I'm sure there's many more; and she works a lot on her

own aside from the Task Force work with battered women.

MR. RABINOVITZ. I have a quick question to Miss Liz Hungerford and Bill Descoteaux.

What is the average stay of each case?
How long are they normally in your care, custody,
and control?

MS. HUNGERFORD. That's really a heavy question. We've been limited by the donor of our apartment up until now to a three to five-day stay; and when we have a five-day stay, we're expected that two of those five-days are weekends. So when we talk about mobilizing resources, we mean to get a woman in and out within three days. Our Host Homes currently serve as a back-up. It's inadequate. I would like to see, as the State has recommended, that we be allowed to keep women for up to sixty days in order to get all of the resources -- We also have Welfare to the point where they will pick up a woman within that period of time; and it's taken us a long time to get there.

MR. RABINOVITZ. Of the thirty-three cases that you had, I believe it was last month, was the average three to five days for each case?

MS. HUNGERFORD. Yes.

25

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DESCOTEAUX. The average would be about two weeks, although it's a difficult question to answer because the stay may be from three days to one month depending upon how plans are coming and what kind of resources we have been able to help her tap in the community.

I wanted to respond to the previous question though about the minority breakdown of battered women that we service. We receive referrals for battered women from a wide variety of sources. There are self-referrals from all of the Hosts in Hartford, the police department, the state protective service, city Welfare, and Salvation Army centers around the State; and I think due to the fact that the Salvation Army itself is a source that people tap for help, and because our referral sources are so varied, our breakdown of minority — the breakdown on battered women for minority is that we have many Blacks, many Hispanics, many White. I would say it's evenly distributed.

MS. ROLDAN. How do you communicate with a language problem?

MR. DESCOTEAUX. Up until August we had two bi-lingual workers at our shelter. At this point we do not. We do have difficulty when the person is not bi-lingual; and we could re-enforce what some of the

battered woman spoke about earlier in terms of their difficulty in relating to different agencies or to public support agencies if they do not speak English.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. I have one question with respect to an issue raised by Mr. Descoteaux. They mention referrals from police. I was wondering if you had experiences that police or family relations officers or courts have referred people to your facilities?

MR. DESCOTEAUX. My answer would be yes, we have had experiences with the police and family relations referring persons, battered women, directly to our facility. It is not uncommon because of our location in Central Hartford to have a police officer bring a battered woman at two or three a.m. in the morning and ask if we have room to take her in because if she goes home her husband may kill her or harm her.

MS. DOWNS. Our referral to the police were not to battered women. They were not emergency housing cases. We've had numerous referrals from attorney Not too many from the family relations. Most of the referrals have been from referral sources of the services that we provide and were in the position to make very accurate conclusions as to the fact that the women need our services at that time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In Meridan we've been struggl-MS. HUNGERFORD. ing from the inception of the whole program to secure cooperation of the police. Yesterday was the very first time that we got the police to escort a woman from her home to a shelter. It was the very first time in a year and a half. We've written numerous letters to the police. Generally we find that their attitude is that if a woman stays, she's ignorant. that they don't want to pursue arrest because a woman often drops the charges with no consideration around why she drops the charges or why she prefers to stay. We've written numerous letters. We have a subcommittee of the Task Force now working with the police and hope that eventually we can get the police to respond more effectively to our requests.

MR. FORSTADT. One follow-up question. Do you have any instances of women who wanted to be taken out of the home environment and the police refused to escort them to the shelter or some other residence?

MS. HUNGERFORD. Refuse to escort =- Mostly when women call the police we request that the husband be removed. If you're a woman with children, and it's your house, that's a pretty preferable request to make rather than being escorted out; and we've had police refuse to remove the man and refuse to make

an arrest.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Any other questions of the Committee members?

(No response.)

of you for appearing before us; and I appreciate your cooperation and hope that something comes out of this and is helpful to you and the very beneficial work that you're doing.

MS. CALVERT. I have had several phone calls from the people in the northeastern section of the State wanting to know where a woman in that area can get help. There is absolutely no shelter east or north of Hartford as far as I know; and when agencies call and ask what we can do with a woman in this area, unfortunately at this time I've had to say there is not much, because there is no shelter available in that whole area of the State, which covers an awful lot of people.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Does that last response trigger anymore questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you very much for coming today.

Our next panel is a panel of police officers.

Acme Reporting Company

We're scheduled to take a break right here, but we're going to try to get through the police officers, because they have been waiting for some time; and then we'll get a break for lunch following that.

Before we get to their testimony generally, Linda Dunn, who is a staff person for the Commission, is going to read into the record a statement on the extent of the investigators reports that were made by the staff in cooperation with the Police Department of Hartford.

MS. DUNN. The Advisory Committee and the Commission on Civil Rights would like to thank you, the Hartford Police Department, for opening their police files to us. We looked at all the recorded police complaints for the month of March, 1977. We analyzed them by category of complaint, type of arrest, disposition, race, sex of victim, et cetera. I am going to submit for the record a ten-page statement on the information we received. I'll summarize very briefly the data in it.

There are approximately four thousand cases recorded. This is not arrests. This is recorded police calls during March of 1977. One hundred seventy-eight cases were clearly ones involving

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

persons who had a relationship or who had had a relationship. There were a total of sixty-four arrests. Of course fifteen were felonies. Those 178 cases included at least 11 persons who were sent to the hopsital by the police. Sixteen persons were assaulted with weapons. These weapons included a hammer, a razor, an ice pick, a broom, an umbrella, acid from a car battery, knives, and a bat. Ten persons were threatened with a gun during the month of March. Of the 64 arrests, 15 were felonies. Of the 64 only three actually received jail sentences. All three were third degree assaults. Two were bound over to Superior Court, and seven received suspended sentences! Thirteen received fines. Thirty were nolle. dismissed.

We have a lot more data on this information; and some of it will be used in our questions to the police department. I don't want to read the whole statement now.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. We're going to go almost immediately to the police witnesses; but I want to state with regard to the schedule, if someone has seen it, one of the people will not appear today. That's the chief of the Hartford Police Department. I think

.

Fourth District.

the testimony has indicated the incredible cooperation we've received from the Department at this point.

They have opened their files and allowed members of our staff to ride in their automobiles with the police officers who are on call; and except for the fact that he had an engagement that took him out and he was just not available today because of this conflict, Chief Assine would have been here; and I do want it on the record to reveal that we appreciate the cooperation of his Department; and we're sorry he's not here; but we understand the rationale for that.

Next I'm going to go to Captain Donald Gates.

CAPTAIN GATES. I'm a Captain on the Hartford

Police Department; and I'm commanding officer of the

Just to give you a little background on just a couple of statistics, to elaborate, in 1976 we had 42,800 cases. Out of those 8,000 of those were domestic calls. In 1977 so far, in an eight-month period, we've had 38,278 calls. Out of those 5,879 are domestics.

I'd just like to briefly go over what the police officer does when he gets to the scene of a domestic. As you know, and we feel in the Police

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Department, that domestics are one of the most dangerous calls that we go on. The training aspect Sergeant Kelly will go into, and what happens.

One of the first things we have to do is try to separate the combatants, try to get the situation under control. There are only a few things that the police officer at the scene can do after he does have it under control, and that's referral, settlement, a separation, or an arrest. Several, I would say almost fifty percent of the calls that we do respond to where there is an arrest, will be followed up by the court; but the next morning, either through fear or through reconciliation between the two people, they will not press charges. I talked to Miss Dunn when she came to my office; and we did discuss what we felt, or I felt would be a proper active type of situation that we could utilize if something was available. Myself and several students at the Manchester Community College, who attended that, had written a term paper on it. We felt that if we could get a team or a couple of teams in the field at the critical times of the day, which is usually between the hours of four p.m. and twelve midnight, if we could get teams patroling, we could come into a domestic scene after the officer has it under control

4 5

3

and would be able to take it over and try and guide those two people that are involved into the right agency or to get help or to get shelter or whatever it would take to assist people. We thought it would go along way towards cutting down on the amount of battered women.

I'd like to stop now, and then later if you have any questions I can answer, I'll be glad to.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. What we'd like to do,
Captain, is proceed through both you and the other
gentleman and take questions following that.

SERGEANT KELLY. My name is Sergeant Arthur Kelly. I'm the training coordinator of the Hartford Police Department and commander of the Hartford Police Academy. I'd like to begin with a basic description of our training programs that we have in the Hartford Police Department today.

We have two basic modes: First, is the recruit class. The recruit class takes the person who is hired as a police officer. It's an 18 to 24-week program and provides him with the basic and initial training that he shall receive as a police officer. Our in-service mode is officers that are already trained, already on the job and working, and brings them back to the academy or to various other

locations where we conduct our training, for an 8-hour day dealing with specific topics and/or problems. Our goal this year, and we have been able to meet it substantially, is to have everyone come back for around 48 to 60 hours of refresher training in addition to the in-service training that they already receive.

Who does the training? I have an academy staff of 8 officers. In addition, we use outside resources, State and Federal agencies, experts be they necessarily degreed or by experience, courts, various universities, and referrals really by people that we've acquired cross-training with, such as the YWCA. We recently ran a program on rape crisis utilizing YMCA instructors in the academy and police instructors at the YWCA, not the YMCA.

Our response to battered women is really geared under a program we refer to as Crisis Intervention or Domestics Intervention. And really is begins with a description of the programs. As Captain Gates mentioned, the response to a domestic dispute -- Keep in mind that the police respond to a call for service based upon the person who initiates the request description of the incident. In other words, the police do not know what they're responding to.

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"My friend has a problem breathing" once led me to respond to a homicide with 8 bullet holes in him. That was classified as a first-aid call. So we have an initial problem in the type and manner the police are first notified of the call.

We review the description of the problem, ty of domestic problems be they husband and wife, be they married in a more formal manner or common law, son, daughter, brother, sister, aunt, uncle or the extended family, anyone who lives in that family unit. then go through the process. The process is -- I'11 just run through it. It has around 8 steps to it. The initial response is a halt. There's an arrival If there is active action taking upon the scene. place, to cause that to stop; to do an evaluation for injury, in which case the police officer is often inhibited by the fact that there either is an injury or not, but if there is an injury the person who has received that injury refuses treatment for that injury "No, I do not want it taken care of." Or will deny how the injury occurred. A broken nose can be labeled a fall, "I walked to the door and my husband hit me," or whatever. At that step there's a halt in the If there is combat, a separation of the parties to allow calm discussion to take place if it

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is at all possible without interruption of one party by the other: "No, you didn't." "Yes, I did." There's a listening phrase on the officers to listen to both parties or all parties. Many times the response to a domestic, to a situation where a woman is being battered, involves more than just the two initial parties. There's an evaluation at that time by the police officer; and he really has about four decisions that he can make: One is to reconcile. "Now, can you handle the problem yourselves?" At this stage it might be a warn or a threaten: "If I have to come back, there will have to be arrests. If this continues, I will arrest you on my next return." One problem there being in the warn or threaten situation there's no guarantee that the initial responding officer will be the second or repeat officer who responds to a similar situation.

If you do not reconcile, another decision you can take is refer; and you refer to agencies. Up until a year-and-a-half to two years ago, when the agencies changed, you could not really refer to an agency as a crisis intervenor. You could refer to, "You can go down to this building on Tuesday at 10 o'clock, and they may be able to help you."

Unfortunately, it's Friday night at 11, and they want

to kill one another right then and there.

Now, I'll go over -- There are many different agencies you can refer people to, including among them the Hartford -- We refer them to the YWCA, the Salvation Army, or the Family Relations Court, and several others.

Another decision that can take place, and which is in police practice but has no basis in foundation in law, is the removal of parties under threat of arrest. In other words, "You have to leave for the rest of the night to calm the situation down." There's no real basis that we can have people or require them to leave a building where you do not arrest them.

This is an alternative to an arrest.

The fourth step is the arrest. And the fourth step -- I think Captain Gates has some figures, and I think Miss Dunn has some figures that can show us that the arrest is made as a last resort, and a very minimal number of arrests are made. The principle reason for an arrest is the familiarity of the responding officer to that particular situation.

Besides alternatives the program description on domestic disputes or battered women also includes an examination of the role of the respondant. Why has he been called to that scene? Sometimes he's

been called because the participants, combatants, or the victim, is looking for a referee, someone to look in and take her side or his side in the instance. They want a cessation of hostilities, a cessation of the immediate problem, and they would like to have someone else come in and arbitrarily place the blame on someone. Another time an officer will be called to the scene because the person who calls is looking for an ally, someone to take their side and say, "Yes, you've been badly done to."

I'd also like to point out in domestic disturbances and crisis intervention to the response we we often find husbands who are victims, if you will, battered husbands. I think it's a bigger problem, that we just can't say it's only battered women. The problem is not large, but we can't allow ourselves to forget that there are other parties to the same conflict.

Another occasion, that the parties who call are looking for a rest. They feel that they can handle it, but they need a "time out," if you will.

A fourth type of call is a call for help. They do not know what's available. They do not know where to turn for help. They do not know who can help them find where they can go for help.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the fifth type of response that you could look at is people who call the police and they are looking for a party to be arrested. You go there and they don't want to talk. They don't want to reconcile. They don't want to listen. They don't want to evaluate. They want an arrest. And if it is presented in that manner, then an arrest will be made.

I think another problem is you have to be aware of the type of situations; and Captain Gates referred to it is that in a lot of the cases where an arrest is made the cases are awful. Referring to a report on domestic violence by the Police Foundation in 1977, and one of the authors is Chief Bannon who is on the panel with me, there is a section, and I'd like to read this small section to you. "Another possibility to reassess the way in which arrest and prosecution of assault cases are handled, every police officer is all too familiar with the current practice, when an arrest for familiar or non-stranger arrest is made it is followed in the majority of cases by the victim being unwilling to presecute and thus the charges being dropped; or if prosecution occurs, only minor penalties being imposed."

Now he refers to the Vera Institute of Justice, New York City's recent study of a sample of criminal

cases in New York City courts. "We found that half of the non-stranger assault cases were dismissed. Of those that resulted in conviction none produced a prison term of a year or more."

There are several ways they refer to as interpreting the facts. One they feel is widely accepted is that "A non-stranger assault is essentially a private dispute, that barring very serious injury disputants should be allowed to resolve privately with arrest being used only as a temporary order-maintenance strategy of last resort."

Another view now is that the community has a vital stake in even familiar violence and that some significant penalties should be imposed upon the accused by the criminal justice system in those cases even if the victim is reluctant to prosecute.

The manner in which we then proceed with the training after this very short process of sharing information and sharing ideal ways of doing it is setting up role-playing situations. We utilize people from the academy. We use people from the University. The academy is located within the Hartford Greater Community College. And we utilize a few of the outside thesbian sources to role-play the part of a husband and wife or people who are living together who are in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process, in the throes, of violent or more subtle domestic disputes in which there is assault, in which the woman is being battered, and to train the officers how to respond, because as people are different, almost every situation an officer will respond to are equally different. It is difficult to train one ideal way. Unlike Frederick Taylor's School of Scientific Management, I think all of us will agree there is no one ideal way to do anything; there are many ways. And as the situations change we must change with them.

As far as strategies for change, I think it really has to be a three-phase approach. One is to increase the society in general, an awareness of the problem of battered women and the associated problems that they have while trying to escape being battered. Another is the area of awareness for the potential victims or for the victims themselves. They have to be more aware and be made aware. We, I think, we in general, not only the police, but this Commission and all those who participated and are here in the hall tonight, or this afternoon, be aware of the type of resources, the type of help, and more importantly, how do you enter the process, how do you get into a train of thought that will allow you to separate and to leave

1 10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and to get the help that you need. The third point really is an increased awareness for the police of the problems, an awareness, an important awareness of the resources, of people who will get there and say that, "No, I don't want to leave the children. I do not wany my husband arrested. I don't know what I want." Being able to tell her that in Hartford we have the following available. If you need the protection of us to get out of the house without a continual assault, we'll wait and help you get out of the house so you're not continually assaulted. I think there has to be an awareness of resources on the part of the police officer and an awareness of how to get into that program.

I think we have to try to improve our intervention techniques through the development of various multi-media programs and intensive role-playing.

I had planned on bringing a film with me this morning and some tapes, but I was told that we were not able to get the House dark enough so that we could benefit by them; but there is material now coming out on the market that will make it a lot easier to train people; and, of course, then we get into the question of cost; and I'd just as soon avoid any such descriptions, because if we had all the money we needed, none

24 25

4 5

3

of us would be here.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. What we're going to do is question the Hartford Police officers who are here; and the lead questioner is Judy Homes with Doris Roldan.

MS. HOMES. Captain Gates, please. Are assaults in the home treated differently from assaults in a public place, in a bar or a restaurant or on the street?

CAPTAIN CATES. Not really. I don't think they're that much different. The same weapons are employed. Whether it be fists, knives, chairs, glass bottles, same type of thing. The only difference is that in the home it doesn't usually start over somebody bumping somebody. It's something that has developed over a longer period of time.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Let me see, Captain, I think

we're trying to concentrate on a situation where a

man and his wife are in a public situation as opposed

to the same two people in the privacy of the apartment

or home. Do you think that the police response is

different, or that the setting is different so that the

police response should be different?

captain Gates. Well, I think the police response in a bar as compared to the home will be different, short-term. Again, you have to separate these two

3

people; and in a bar you're going to have to get them out of that bar in order to get anything done. In a public place it's a very difficult situation.

The police officer has to really be sensitive involving domestic problems; and in a bar it's almost impossible to work something out. You have to get them out of there.

I hope that's answering your question.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Would you say that the chances of an incident of arrest are better in a public place as opposed to being in a home?

CAPTAIN GATES. Not really. I'd say it doesn't make any difference whether it's in a home or a bar. The key is that it's a domestic situation whether in public or not. You still have the same situation; and you have to deal with it.

MS. HOMES. If it's not a domestic situation, if it's two people who don't know each other, is that handled differently in a public place than between a man and a woman who are married or living together?

CAPTAIN GATES. Not really. You have to base the arrest or the -- Where you say a man in a bar smacks a girl across the face or he beats her with a chair, if witnesses are in that bar and they're willing to testify with the woman, an arrest is going to be

your opinion somebody is going to get hurt very badly or killed and the alternative to that is to make an arrest to get him away from that area.

MS. HOMES. My concern is with a first degree assault where nothing happens. Now, that's a felony; and it would seem that there should be some arrest coming from a situation like that.

CAPTAIN GATES. I would hope that the officer would make an arrest; and then if the woman gets in court and decides that she's not going to prosecute, that's up to her in court; but on a felony there should be an arrest madé. Absolutely.

MS. ROLDAN. How soon is the person out following an arrest?

CAPTAIN GATES. Every situation is different.

When an arrest is made, and the officer comes down and says, "If this guy gets out, he's going to go back and kill her or do serious physical damage to this girl," we will set a high bond. It is brought to our attention through the officer, and we will set a high bond. When it gets to the courts, it's up to the court to decide.

MS. HOMES. Captain, have you found any relationship between battering and homicide in this area?

CAPTAIN GATES. I don't have any statistics on

it, but based on my experience I would say that most homicides are usually involving related people. There are not too many non-stranger homicides other than a robbery where a shooting occurs. Most of them do occur between related people that know each other well.

MS. HOMES. Do the court procedures influence police procedures?

CAPTAIN GATES. I can't comment on that. I think you better ask Mr. Shay when he gets here.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Do the police customarily refer women or men for that matter who are in to the prosecutor's office for the purpose of filling out an arrest application, or do they in the alternative make the arrest themselves?

CAPTAIN GATES. To answer that, some of the time an officer, when he gets to the scene, the guy is already split. He'll take an affidavit from the woman on a misdemeanor and put out a pick-up. If the party is not picked up by the time court convenes the next day, then an affidavit is filed with the prosecutor's office. If he wants an arrest, he will sign that warrant.

MS. ROLDAN. In those instances where warnings or threats of arrest of both parties are threatened or carried out, what happens to the children in that

home?

CAPTAIN GATES. Are you talking about when an arrest is made on both parties?

MS. ROLDAN. Yes.

notify Children's Services. They have emergency numbers, a twenty-four hour service, where we can place those children for the evening. And the next day whatever is decided in court, the next day the social services takes care of it. We have had a case where we have arrested people, both parties, and we've had one or two children in the house; and they could not contact anybody to take care of these children; and we've had police officers take them home and bring them back the next day. I'm going back a couple of years ago; and I'm sure it's been different since.

We have had officers take kids home during the night.

MS. HOMES. Under what conditions would you arrest both parties?

CAPTAIN GATES. It varies with each situation.

I would say if both parties have cut each other so badly that if you leave one there or the other there.

You have to make an arrest on both parties in a felony where both of them have seriously damaged each other.

MS. ROLDAN. Is that the only time?

CAPTAIN GATES. Only time what?

MS. BUSCH. That you would arrest both people?

CAPTAIN GATES. It depends on the situation.

We try not to arrest a mother that has children in the home. If both parties are guilty of the crime, and it's not a serious felony -- Let's say there's a mental problem or the felony is so bad that you can't leave her at the home. A misdemeanor, we try to leave the woman at home to take care of the children and notify her to go to court, and take the husband in.

MS. BUSCH. I think you both stated that the women will get to court and drop the charges. In your mind why do they do this? Can you speculate on why they might do this?

CAPTAIN GATES. I think two reasons: No. 1 is fear. No. 2 -- three reasons. No. 2 is that they have reconciled during the evening, or they've had time to think about it after they've cooled off; and they really didn't want the arrest made; and they want the marriage to stay together; and there are very few instances where women really do enjoy it. It's true. I can name a couple of names where we go to this house every weekend of the year. Every single weekend we arrest, and every Monday morning she won't prosecute.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Is the Police Department on the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

strength of computer work and what-have-you advanced to the point where you can identify a particular house where the Department knows that by plugging in the location, the name of the victim, or the caller, or whomever, that this is a place you've been to before, so that you go prepared to answer in a way differently than you would if you were ignorant.

CAPTAIN GATES. We do have the facilities now in our computer where we can punch in an address in the city; and we can get up in three seconds the type of calls that have occurred in that house, how many arrests have been made, who in the participants are, whether there was any threat on police officers, any attacks on the police officer on that address. information such as that we can get very quickly out of the computer. We will also have very, very shortly the capability of separating -- You know when you make an arrest on a domestic -- You can say we have 500 arrests on domestics -- Out of domestics you may have 500 arrests for assaults, but out of the assaults how many were contributing factors, the main contribut ing factor, was out of a domestic situation. have that before; and we will have that within a month where we can get that information from a computet. How many direct cases of assaults, knifings, shootings

came as a contributing factor from a domestic situation.

MS. BUSCH. Sergeant Kelly, I think one of you stated that domestic calls were considered dangerous calls. Do you train police officers to handle, in the training academy, to handle domestic calls differently from the average call?

SERGEANT KELLY. No. The training for the domestic, because it is so complex, varies. I doubt that you could show me twenty domestics that are exactly the same. We try to make them aware of the differences.

Are you asking me if they respond differently, with a different attitude? I think they're walk-in attitude would be a lot different from a domestic. But in view of the fact that we also make them aware that they are responding to calls, the type of which is based on the information made by the caller, that they have to be alert and aware at all times of any call, because you don't know what you're going to get into.

If you could better explain the question, I think I could better explain my answer.

MS. BUSCH. My question is if there's a holdup in progress, I'm assuming from the T.V. shows I

3

watch, I'm assuming that you would go one way. You would not go with the lights flashing or the sirens blowing, or you may depending on the information you get; and I wondering whether or not -- Of course, that would be different as you said to a response from a cat up a tree. I'm assuming that in the training academy you let the police officer know that if there is a hold-up that you walk in in a certain way and respond in a certain manner. I'm wondering if you can make the same distinction when you are training officers or working with them on domestics calls.

SERGEANT KELLY. Keep in mind that there is television, and then there is reality.

MS. BUSCH. I was being a little bit funny, but my question is do you train them to handle the calls differently?

SERGEANT KELLY. On that issue you would train them if you had the information. An assault of a first degree or a homicide call could also be a domestic call if the husband has shot the wife. If we're told there's a homicide or someone being killed or shot or knifed, there is a response that way. There is a particular response to a call which requires immediate intervention in which a weapon is being used. There is another type of call that requires

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just to respond in a normal fashion. And then respond in a normal fashion when you have the time to do it; and it all depends on how the information is given to the complaint writer at the initial phone call.

It sort of keys the response of the police officer.

I think in view of the facts that if it's a domestic it's hazardous, and then they approach the situation with caution until we can assess it. They stop the combat.

I was one of the Committee members who spent a night with one of the police officers; and I did view several domestic incidents. I think what Ms. Busch is getting at is that when we walked into the home I don't think the police were cautious enough. It's widely agreed by experts that domestic violence cases are dangerous for the police; and at various points I wondered why they weren't more cautious. police officer really had no way of knowing whether someone in the house had a weapon. The call came over the radio as a 1047, which just identifies a domestic There could have been three or four times violence. when the officer could have been shot. I don't think there's enough caution. I don't think the training is different enough; and this is from personal exper-It's not from television. ience.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SERGEANT KELLY. One, you have no idea whether the training is different or not, because you didn't see the training, but saw the response of officers who have spent a long period of time on the street. We're constantly warning our officers and providing training, for example, of care with your weapon both on duty and off duty; and yet we recently had an officer shot himself in the finger putting his gun in his holster. Is that a failure of the training, or a ca sera sera attitude based on the respondant? I think that's hard to describe or define; and I really don't know whether it's a failure of the training system that we can't have them retain everything we train them or that we're not stressing that in all cases you should walk in, after casing the outside of the building, looking in the windows, and having people cover the front and back door, announce yourself, don't leave yourself in front of the doorway, enter with your gun drawn, get everybody against the wall, frisk them for weapons, and then say, "Why did you cal I think you should consider the fact that you're dealing with humans and their humanness. stricter rigid response turns people off; and yet at the same time if you don't, you may end up with a dead policeman.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. A couple of questions.

One, you indicated that the goal is 48 to 60 hours of refresher training in service. Over what period of time?

SERGEANT KELLY. One year.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. For every police officer?

SERGEANT KELLY. That's our goal, right.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Now, also in that connection, with respect to some of the people who testified here today, and I'm not sure that you've seen all of them, one of the questions that we put to the people was would they be willing to cooperate with the police department or to seek funding to do that if they could? I mean cooperate with the training officers like yourself with the strength of their experience, which are obviously different than yours. I was wondering what, in your opinion, the response from the police department would be bringing some person in who is a battered woman, who can lecture to police officers about their experiences with police officers.

SERGEANT KELLY. I tried to include that in my opening remarks, that we reach out to anybody who can help the officers help themselves; and Chief Assine has almost an open-door policy on that; and we not only train members of the Hartford force, but on specific

topics we have seventeen departments represented in the training academy in a program they're running for five days this week, for example. If there's someone out there who wants to help, and they'd contact me, I'm more than willing to use them. We use the YWCA. We had tapes, and they had tapes.

MS. BUSCH. A couple of questions.

What kind of remedial action do you recommend in cases where women consistantly call the police, and there's no arrest made?

SERGEANT KELLY. I tried to cover why people would call; and I think I listed five of them. I don't know. I think that if we knew, I could put out a shingle over on Jefferson Street and do some consulting on my own time.

I think women who call consistantly for the police and then don't respond are really looking for help. It's an extremely frustrating position to put the officer who responds in. Sometimes we don't know how to help them or even know if they want to be helped; but I think as a cry in the wilderness.

MS. BUSCH. In a lot of cases the assault, as you said, is in the first degree; and then the charges get dropped. What kind of assistance to you suggest for women who are the victims of a criminal assault,

but who, for whatever reasons, refuse to press charges

SERGEANT KELLY. One thing, as we already heard -- I was just here for a stort period this morning. I refer to them as half-way houses or places they can go and be secure. I think one fear is that right after they finish testifying against the husband they have to wait in the car for him to drive them home or wherever because it's his car and his home. I think if you could provide a respite place for them, it could help. I think they wonder what kind of protection will be given, what are their rights. The rights of the victim are often overlooked. Not necessarily wife-beating, but --

MS. BUSCH. You stated earlier that in a lot of cases more men are battered than women --

SERGEANT KELLY. No, I did not state that more men are battered than women. I said that there are some battered men. So you may want to refer to it as "battered people." A very small percentage. I responded to situations where the husband is calling for help because the wife is doing a job on him. It's an extremely small percentage, but there is a problem. But I think if we take a look and say we're not going to deal with that problem -- Let's forget about battered children, wives and children. Let's deal with

the people who need the help regardless of whether they're male or female, young, old, or tall.

MS. ROLDAN. Captain Gates, I'd like to know how many police officers are employed in Hartford, and how many are Black, and how many are Hispanic?

captain Gates. We are authorized 505 police officers, uniformed officers. That includes superiors. We are down to, I believe, it's 429 or 426. Out of those we have 25 employees that are CETA funded. In my district, four, I have 40 officers; and that's in the northwest section of the city. We serve a population of approximately 35,000, mainly Black, minority groups; and I would say most of them are poor to lower income levels.

MS. ROLDAN. So then at this moment you can't provide us with any exact figures as to how many Black or Hispanic officers you have?

CAPTAIN GATES. No, I don't have specifics.

I think we have 58 Black officers, but it may be up.

I think it was 58; and I believe we have ten or twelve Hispanic.

MS. ROLDAN. In the deployment of officers during these critical times, specifically between four and twelve midnight, which you identified as the critical times, you also mentioned teams, I believe.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of officers responding. Do you have any women officers that respond along with these teams?

CAPTAIN GATES. In my district, four, I do have one police officer who is a woman who patrols in the streets; and she does respond to the calls. What I had mentioned was that I would like to see a pilot program developed within the city of Hartford where we could have professional social workers that would be able to come out into the field on the four to twelve, but from four to twelve then get out there in two teams, one north and one south, and work it where they could go into a car where a police officer calls them, where an arrest is going to be made, but where the officers and the people are seeking help: and these people can go in and develop some type of help for them right there in the field. This was discussed a couple of years ago; and at that time there was no funding available. Sergeant Charles Allen, who is retired, was working with family services, I believe; but it never got off the ground; and I think it's very, very worthwhile.

MS. ROLDAN. I'd like to know if you can give us a definition of what a criminal act is in a domestic situation as against assault and battery?

CAPTAIN GATES. I don't think there's any

difference to be very honest with you. It's an assault, and it's an assault whether it's domestic or strangers hit each other. I think the problem is what is the cause; and I think that's the big problem. The cause of the assault in a domestic as compared to two people fighting on the street. I think there's a past history in domestics of a problem that is constantly growing and nothing being done about it; and that's where the assaults come in.

MS. ROLDAN. Wouldn't that be a sufficient reason for arrest?

captain Gates. It would probably be sufficient reason for an arrest on every occasion; but, again, we have to take into effect some of those factors that the people don't want an arrest made. You talk to them for five minutes, and they go from, "Arrest," to "I want them out of the house," to "Leave them here." I think that's important. An officer has to be sensitive to that. I agree that many times a woman should press for an arrest; but if she doesn't make the complaint, we can't make the arrest. Our hands are tied by law; and I think it's important for women to understand. If they want an arrest, they're going to have to press charges.

On a misdemeanor you have to file an affidavit

where you -- If she doesn't want the arrest, we can't make it.

MS. ROLDAN. I'd like to know what you do in instances where the call comes from an Hispanic family where there's a domestic situation. How do you respond and communicate things in a case like that?

CAPTAIN GATES. First of all, many Spanish families in Hartford can't speak English. Where they cannot, we do have Spanish officers in the Hartford Police Department who will come out and interpret or take over the investigation. Where they are not working, we try to get somebody at the scene who does speak English who can interpret for the officer at the scene.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Captain and Sergeant, the

Connecticut legislature has passed Public Act 77-336,

which provides that in a spousal situation a spouse

that claims that he or she is being battered may apply

to the Superior Court for a restraining order or other

relief. I was wondering what, if anything, that --
the toughness of that law -- what's going on in the

Police Department in regard to that statute?

SERGEANT KELLY. This is similar to previous laws that I referred to as peace bonds. If one is bothered, you can get a peace bond; and if they

3

continue, it would be contempt of court. It would be another referral back. There are these agencies, there are these people who will help; and there is another alternative, literally the Public Act to Superior Court, and where to go to fill out an application. I think it could be very interesting if we could refer them to the agency, that the agency would have sufficient copies to assist them in that. I think it would be a logistics problem in showing the police officer how to fill out the form.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. You advise officers that, in fact, it is available as of October 1 in your training programs?

SERGEANT KELLY. There is a time-lag in a new item in the training program, but not in the training bulletin or training information which we try to share, which includes role-call training; and I think there were -- The problem of this act, and it does into effect October 1, I think there are 28 different items that we had to train the officers on and make them aware of changes. Some very minor and some very significant.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Are you aware of the work of the Connecticut Task Force on abused women at the Hartford Police Department?

SERGEANT KELLY. Yes, yes.

MS. ROLDAN. Just one more question.

Going back to the battered husband. I'd like to know what the experience of the department has been as far as what reasons do the battered husbands give a to why they have been battered by their wives, and what, if anything, has precipitated the battering of the husband?

SERGEANT KELLY. I did not mean to infer -I think I was adding as an aside of a personal experience in making people aware that there is a larger
problem. I don't think it's a statistical phenomenon
that we're drawing the -- At both times the response
was the same whether it was male or female. Sometimes they didn't share the information, and sometimes
they weren't sure.

MR. FORSTADT. We're going to have the opportunity this afternoon to have some judges here. If you could make a recommendation or a series of recommendations to the court system of Connecticut to improve the methods in which they deal with domestic violence, what would those be? The reason I ask you the question is I get the distinct impression from what you say that in many instances where an arrest would otherwise be made or seriously considered by the

officer responding, they didn't make the arrest because they know that it's not really the resolution
of the problem and in many instances it only exaggerates the problems. If that is, in fact, so, could you
suggest anything that could be done to change the law
to make it more effective in dealing with this type
of situation?

CAPTAIN GATES. No, I can't make any suggestion on how to change the law. If the woman decides when she goes to court that she is not going to prosecute, there's no law in the world that will make her get on the stand.

MR. FORSTADT. If the system were implemented whereby the accused, the husband, were in lieu of a jail sentence to be required to go through a mandatory marital counseling program, do you think that would be effective at all?

CAPTAIN GATES. In some cases, yes. I think there has to be a cooperation on both spouses to go into such a program. Where you don't have cooperation one one or the other party, it's not going to be effective. I think the problem is so vast it's going to be a tremdous task to try to bring it under control

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Captain, are you aware that there's a Connecticut statute that provides that where

a woman is the victim in a husband-wife assault situation that she can be compelled by the State to testify?

CAPTAIN GATES. Yes. I retract my statement...

about not getting on the stand.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Have you had experience or have you seen cases that, notwithstanding that statute, cases have been disposed of, nolees, or by the employment of far lesser serious offenses because the women refused to prosecute?

CAPTAIN GATES. Oh, yes. Many cases like that where they refuse to testify. They drop charges before it ever comes up. They get ahold of the prosecutor before the case comes up, and tell them they're not going to prosecute. It's mainly out of fear or reconciliation.

MR. FORSTADT. Sergeant Kelly, one comment you said is that if we had all the money we needed, none of us would be here today.

SERGEANT KELLY. I think I'd go back to my three-phase program. It's to find out and make societ in general aware of the program and what are the cause of the problem. I don't think the root cause is criminal justice response. I think people need help; and a lot of people need mental help. If they can

afford the help, it's not being made available to them in hours that they can enter the system. How is a woman going to go if she has children and there's no day care, or a man going to go if he's working at that time? That's what I meant. It was a mildly facetious remark. It was the second one I made. I will be careful. That's one reason we decided not to respond to how we would tell the courts to change things. If we did the paper this afternoon would say, "Well, the Hartford Police Department said this would be better if this were the case." I think you have to be extremely careful when you're dealing with that.

By money I mean, not that money is the solver of all problems, nor is it the root of all evil; but I think we're really aware that in the inner-City or in any city, the large part of the problem is economic. The people that don't have the funds or the resources are those who really cannot take advantage of it. That's what I meant. I think if everyone knew the problems and the type of problems and where we could go for people, I think that the battered women syndrome would be reduced.

MS. BUSCH. I have one two-part question to ask you. We had testimony this morning from some of the battered women who said they sought to have their

husbands arrested and that the fact that they had been physically assaulted, the evidence was there. They were bleeding or whatever, and so from that I would like to know under what conditions can an officer make an arrest where that officer has not actually witnessed an assault?

CAPTAIN GATES. We're speaking strictly in Hartford, right?

MS. BUSCH. Yes.

CAPTAIN GATES. Because I cannot talk about the other towns or the police departments in the State.

When a police officer goes into a domestic, and the woman has been physically assaulted, and there is evidence such as a swollen eye, cut lip, broken nose, or arm, whatever the case, then an arrest will be made on speaking information. Again, if it's a simple assault where the woman got slapped, and she has a red mark, or she has a swollen eye, and the officer, again, is going to ask her if she wants the arrest made. On a felony the officer should make an arrest at all times.

MS. BUSCH. Say the officer walks in and finds the husband actually, or the man, actually assaulting the woman. Is it then necessary for the woman to ask for an arrest or file a complaint, or will the police

-- or can the police officer then arrest the man on what he witnessed?

CAPTAIN GATES. Again, the officer can make an on-site arrest for violation of the law. Again, I have to go back to the fact that if the woman says, "No, I don't want him arrested. I just want him out of the house," the officer will again have the guy leave the house.

MS. BUSCH. This is in the case where he actually witnessed the battering?

CAPTAIN GATES. Yes; and the arrest can be made right on the scene. But, again, if the woman says, "No, I don't want him arrested," what is the sense of making the arrest and coming down Monday, and there's no complaining witness? The woman is not going to testify that she got beaten. The officer can testify, and nine out of ten times the case will be noise onsite violations, there can be an arrest, yes. That's all I can answer to that; but we have to take in the factors that are involved in each and every case; and I think that's where the officer's experience and time on the job comes into play.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. I used to be a prosecutor; and I worked in an office, an administrative office of the circuit court; and we had five state troopers assigned

3

to that office. I've seen officers in liaison positions in the courts. Do you have them?

CAPTAIN GATES. Yes, with Circuit Court 14.

relationship that's between the courts and the police department is such that the police department has input as to what goes on in the courts? For instance, if a man is charged with aggravated assault and assault one in a domestic situation, gets to the point where it comes to trial, and the woman comes in and says, "I don't want to testify," is the police liaison officer in a position where he can go into the prosecutor and say look, "This is a case where our records indicate that this has happened before. The law says she can be compelled to testify." Is the police in a position to do that.

CAPTAIN GATES. Yes, we are and we do it often. As an example, when a party has a mental problem and a repeat problem, and the officer has been there several times, he will go to the prosecutor and recommend that either a thirty-day evaluation at Norwich take place to kind of give time to calm down the situation, or that a jail sentence is warranted on an occasion. Yes, the police and the prosecutor's office maintain a good rapport.

MS. DUNN. Do you believe that the knowledge that the man will be back in the home within forty-five minutes to two hours of the arrest is a factor in discouraging an officer from making an arrest?

CAPTAIN GATES. No.

again where the officer feels that the wife and the children are in imminent danger, if this party is released the officer makes that fact known to his superiors down at the Department to set the bond; and the bond will be set high under those extenuating circumstances. There are cases, yes, where the husband is walking out an hour after he's arrested; and there's also the danger that subsequent to his release that further violence could develop.

MS. DUNN. You don't feel any further protection is necessary or any institutional system is needed? For instance, would it be helpful if it were possible to get a temporary restraining order immediately upon the arrest to prevent the husband from going back for a twelve or seventy-two-hour period? Several women have said that they are discouraged because they know he's going to be back in the home immediately. If there was some court procedure to prohibit him from coming back, they might

feel safer.

3

captain Gates. A court order is a piece of paper that can be enforced. But when a man walks out of that cell block and gets into the street, whether he decides to obey that court order or not is another case. I think what we do here in Hartford where the officer really feels at the time of the arrest that there's extinuating circumstances, where physical violence is going to occur, he notifies his supervisor of that fact, then the high bond is set. I think that's as close to what we can get as far as restraining orders. We restrain his movement with the high bond.

MS. DUNN. What steps have you taken to familiarize the officers with the Connecticut Task

Force services available, which were listed in

January? What steps have you taken to make sure that the men were familiar with it? I know you have issued a memo, and it's very thorough.

CAPTAIN GATES. Those memos go down to rollcall training. We have twenty-minute roll calls; and out of that twenty minutes ten minutes is spent for situations such as public bills and cases such as yours, training bulletins, et cetera.

MS. DUNN. Do you think that additional training

on this memo might be helpful?

captain cates. It's an on-going process that we have developed in the rol call. We have mandated in-service training at roll calls. Each week four or five subjects are placed into the roll call training system; and they are changed every week, so they constantly being gone over. You may have one on battered women this week, on the agency to go to, and you get it a month later to reinforce.

MS. DUNN. One last question on an incident that appeared in the police files. Judy and I were impressed by the complexity of the problem the officer faced; but I want your comment.

A woman called the police that her husband was knocking at the door and trying to break it down.

The report indicates in a similar incident in the past he shot her and was arrested at that time. In this case the police respond, and it doesn't indicate whether she asks for his arrest or not; but there was not an arrest. It was classified as a third degree assault. Do you think that the path of knowledge that there had been a similar attack should have effected the police action?

CAPTAIN GATES. Yes. If the police officer who went to that scene was familiar with the past

3

incident --

MS. DUNN. It was written on the report.

CAPTAIN GATES. Then I would feel that even though -- On the arrest it would call for a P.P.A., which is written promise to appear and you got out on your signature. In lieu of that when the information is given to the supervisor, that a bond will be put on this person.

MS. DUNN. So that in specific incidents you probably would have recommended that additional police action bestaken.

MR. WILMORE. Just one brief question for Sergeant Kelly. This question is based on an assumption, Sergeant, and that is that racism and sexism is part of the life of our society, and, therefore, we have some police officers who are racists and sexists. Part one, I'd like to know if you share that assumption; and, two, if you do, if there is anything in police training that deals specifically with sexism since there's a lot of room, apparently, for judgment of police officers in these situations; and if an officer brings to a given situation a sexist attitude, that might effect his judgment; and other than routine handling of domestics is there anything that deals with sexism per se in the training?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In response to the first SERGEANT KELLY. question, I think this police department, as any other police department, reflects the society in which it serves. As far as the question of sexism, in response to that we do not have a particular branch or particular title in the training program on sexism. we deal, and we try to make the training program and the service provided by the police officers as humane It's almost a humanistic approach, if as possible. you will. We have officers that serve in cruisers that are both male and female. I think this has made it a lot easier in dealing with that particular I think we try to make them extremely careful to avoid this in particular. Responding to domestic disputes, one thing you do not try to do is bring your own domestic disputes when you go to solve someone else's, because policemen have problems in their own homes also. We have to try to have a clean slate and to respond as humanly -- given the fact that we all have our own prejudices, and to overcome that And I say that is brought out in the role-playing training; and we try to get them as much situations as they will typically face and have them talk about what they've been through. We try to tape their r. sponses; and we sit down and evaluate with them

what their feelings were. Why they were feeling that, and try to route out, if you will, any specific prejudices that their going to take when they respond.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. What I'd like to do is kind of seize the chair for the moment. I've got about two questions I want to ask. I'm not sure whether the Sergeant or the Captain can remain; but if they can, we'll ask them more questions later.

Sergeant Kelly, do you have a feeling along that of Captain Gates to the effect that you would like to see a special unit in the police department with the trained social workers who would come in after the police, or trained police, who only respond to domestic calls? Would you like to see that kind of facility in the department?

SERGEANT KELLY. That's for statistical retrieval. I think there are and have been some excellent examples of departments that have the specific crisis intervention team. I have some data here on the New York situation. There was some problem however, in doing that exhaustively. It's a lot like the situation where if you have a community relations unit no one has to relate to the community -- "Oh, you want me to relate to you? They're open nine to five. Go down and see them." I would think that if you have

a feel and that's all the; did, I think that you would find that some of the other responders would shove it off.

I think the Captain pointed out earlier the tremendous load. One person is not going to do it. Two teams are going to do it. The Captain is talking about this as an experiment to see how the project will do in Hartford. I think that will be a start; but we're not going to be able to say if there is a family dispute or family fight, have the battered woman call this number. Not in the end.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. We have access, or your provided us with copies of your training manual?

SERGEANT KELLY. Yes and no. First one yes, second one no.

I take that back, yes and yes.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. One final question, Captain

Gates. The situation where a police officer comes on
the scene, witnesses a misdemeanor, and the complainin
witness or victim says, "I don't want that person
arrested." The police officer is a witness. He can
make the arrest and be the witness in court. Is that
true?

CAPTAIN GATES. Yes, he could.

I would like to add one thing. On the program

that I'm talking about, I'm not talking about police officers acting as a team. I don't think we'll ever stop the need for a police officer responding to a domestic. This would be a back-up once the situation is under control.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Our next witness is Officer
Barbara J. Richard of the Stonington Department of
Police Services.

(Brief recess.)

CHAIRMAN ROSE. We are going to start again.

Our next witness is Barbara J. Richard, officer of
the Stonington Department of Police Services.

OFFICER RICHARD. I've been a member of the Stonington Police Department for nine years. Six of these years were part-time where I was on-call, working approximately fifteen to twenty hours per week. The last three years I've been on as a full-time policewoman.

Battered women are somethin; I know very much about. I was once a battered woman myself. I know basically what you're going through, your embarrassment, your fears. Anything that you've been through, I've been through. I am very fortunate to be here today. That much I can tell you.

I have a prepared speach, but with all the

Acme Reporting Company

questions that were asked of Captain Gates and .

Sergeant Kelly, I think I had better let it go saide,

because they said most of what I would have said.

What seems to be a misunderstanding is why we make arrests and why we cannot make arrests. If a woman refuses to sign a complaint against the male, there isn't too much we can do. If it's an on-site violation, yes, we may arrest; but once it gets to court and the female refuses to come in, the prosecutor says, "What did you go to all that trouble for? What did you arrest him for?" That's what we face if we arrest. We can give our statement; but it does not hold that much water. We need the statement of the victim. We need her testimony, which is very important.

When an officer goes into a domestic, the first thing he has to do is know what's on the other side of the door to protect himself. I've read the Task Force plans that came out; and this is one thing that was brought to my attention when I read it, what a police officer should do. His main concern most naturally is himself. He has to. He's there to protect you. If something happens to him, he cannot protect you. When he goes into a domestic he has to try to take control of the situation immediately. He has to find out what's

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going on and control the situation. Sometimes just his uniform or her uniform will do this. Other times it will not. As Captain Cates stated, he tries to counsel both sides of the parties involved. He will' take the man aside, or the female aside. He tries to separate them to hear both sides of the story. In other words, he's a mediator. He tries to see if he can reach a reasonable solution to the problem. If that cannot be done, and the woman refuses to sign a complaint, sometimes he requests that maybe it would be good if one of the parties left the house. cannot force a male or a female to leave the home, especially if the home belongs to both parties. has all the rights to be there, and she has the right.

When a person is placed under arrest, sometimes the police officer's life is in danger. Sometimes to perform an arrest the male involved has to be restrained. When the female finds out that her husband is being restrained, or her male companion, she starts thinking twice. Many a police officer has been hurt by the female because he forgets she is in the background. He's gotten banged over the head. She's changed her mind now because her male friend or her husband is being forceably removed from the house. To effect that arrest this person

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you are arresting no longer has freedom. You must cuff him, must bring him into headquarters.

When a person sees this, some females, they get very upset.

When I was going through my problem, I refused to sign a complaint. I at that point, even though I had been a police officer part-time for two years, could not understand why the police would not arrest. Since that time I know why they don't arrest; but I was very fortunate that there was a detective captain who I went to talk to, very embarrassed. I went in with dark glasses, bruised lips, marks on my throat; and he told me, he said, "Barbara, the next time you come in here with bruises, I don't care what you say, I'm going to arrest him. My job is to protect you as well as he." My husband came to the police station to pick me up. He told me I had a nerve to be there. I was not on duty. This detective captain put his job on the line. He told him the same thing. I know now he put his job on the line. I didn't know then. If I refused to sign a complaint, and I came in banged and bruised, he could arrest him; but if I refused to testify in court, there goes my case down the line.

As has been said before, when the police officer does make the arrest, when he does go to court, the

2

3

7

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

woman sometimes is sitting in the courtroom. The officer is there to testify. She's holding hands. Then the police officer rets on the stand. He states what he has witnessed, what the female has told him has happened. Then the female gets on the stand, and her story has changed sometimes. This does not happen in all cases, but in some cases. Then the officer is the bad guy because he interfered, and he made the arrest.

What our officers try to do in Stonington --Stonington is a small town. We have thirty-two police personnel. Our supervisors, who are sergeants and up, have all been through crisis intervention courses at the State Police Academy. They, in turn, have trained the officers under their command. officers themselves have not gone to the academy specifically for crisis intervention. When an officer goes into the scene, he notifies his squad commander what is going on at the scene either by a telephone. call, if that is possible -- And then the squad -- -commander will inform him some of the other choices he has if he does not wish to make an arrest. We are very fortunate in Stonington. We get very good service from most of our agencies. We refer people to family services, Alanon. We also work very closely with our

Family services sometimes have, rare, but I have been able to reach them in the wee hours of the morning.

We also have a youth services bureau where we can place some of our youngsters. We have gone into homes and notified the Department of Children and Youth Services, who have also given us the authority to place the children in the family into a relatives home until the situation has been eased or until the next day. It's very hard for people to understand that when we arrest somebody, that once he has posted

bond and been processed at headquarters, he may then

go home. As Captain Gates states, if it's a very

high bond on him. But if he's a high income or

middle income person, he may post that bond.

serious problem, the squad commander tries to put a

social worker, who is our town welfare worker.

The next thing the officer does before the gentleman is back on the street -- I shouldn't call him a gentleman -- is to contact the wife again or the female involved to see if she can go someplace. We do give that service. If the gentleman, we know he's posting bond, since we are a small town we are fortunate that we can do something along this line. We give her a call. "Is there a relative you can go to? Is there someplace else you can go? He may come

2

3

· }

5

6 7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

back home."

What we'd like to do is maybe some day -- what I am doing now in the police department is the next day, or within a day or two, I make a follow-up call. Sometimes just a telephone call; and normally, the few cases that I've worked with this year, they don't want more than a telephone call. I review with them the types of services that are available, where they can get help. If they refuse this help, there's not too much I as a police officer can do. I cannot call an agency and say, "Mrs. Jones down the street got beat up last night, and she refuses to press charges, and she refuses to come to your agency." I cannot do that because this would be an invasion of Mrs. Jones' privacy; but I can ask her to please try to see if she can get herself help.

I like the people to know, the females to know that are involved, that they don't have to be ashamed because they have been beaten. They do not have to be frightened and that they, the female, must make the first step so that somebody can help them. We cannot help them unless they help themselves. They have to inform us they've been beaten. We, in turn, try to refer them to an agency.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you very much, Officer Richard.

Before we 30 to questions either with respect to her or to Captain Gates or Sergeant Kelly, who I think are still here, I'm going to ask Chief James. Bannon of the Detroit Police Department, who is our resident expert, to speak at this point.

CHIEF BANNON. Thank you very much.

I presented to the Committee a written report, and rather than belabor you with the contents of that report, I'll just point out that it's here for the record and hope that you'll have a fine time reading it.

Rather than go over that report, I would like to take this opportunity to talk about a few issues that have been raised by the other panelists. I'm a little bit disconcerted, I guess you'd call it, that at this point in time we can still be identifying the problem. I would have thought that we should be much further down the road towards solutions to the problem rather than trying to convince people that there is a problem called battered wives or battered spouses or battered anyone. For the record, I believe that all of the retoric by the police officers, all of which is true, is irrelevant. It's really the status

เร

of the female that is the victim that is crucial to the understanding of how this battering syndrome continues. Captain Gates, whom I admired not only for the candor, but for the progressive attitudes — I think the Hartford Police must be one of the few police agencies in the country that has even begun to try and collect data on the incidence of battered wives. One of the most difficult things, and to understand it you have to find data, but we don't have categories for assaults by the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator. It's true that women do not follow up a prosecution.

In disagreement with Captain Gates, I have yet to find a masochistic woman. I think that women who repeatedly go back to the environment where they're beaten find many, many other answers to why they do that. I don't have to look at a human being who desires to be beaten. I would like to see a proven case, if anyone has one. I have looked at the record and can't find one.

The woman is treated as a second-class victim in the criminal justice system principally because she been treated as a chattel historically. The chattel can be treated pretty much as an owner wishes to treat it so long as he does not disrupt or embarrass the

Acme Reporting Company

society at large.

The question was asked earlier of Captain Gates the difference Lettern a stranger to stranger assault and spousal assault. There is a substantial difference There is no question about the status of that female victim as there is in question when they are married or had some sort of relationship. The relationship seems to be based on sexual access at one time or another, because it doesn't end with the dissolution of a marriage or relationship by the court. Men will continue to maintain that they have a property right over a female far beyond the length of that marriage.

We've seen husbands like this all the time.

The sexism that -- One of the questions was asked about sexism in the criminal justice system. It is rampant. In the City of Detroit the police department, under court order, has hired over 600 female police officers. We have seen no noticeable improvement in our ability to deal with domestic relations cases because of that for a very simple reason. One of the husbands of one of the female officers wrote me a letter talking about his own domestic difficulties; and he was a very articulate individual and pointed out the fact that his wife was being socialized to be a hard person. She drank harder,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

swore more, and she acted in every way, as he put it, as a man would act. One of the ways that men act in domestic cases is they empathize with the power of the relationship that the husband or the boyfriend or whoever has over the individual. I've said in other context that probably the worst person in the world to send into a domestic violence case is a police officer. He's the epitomy of violent authority.

For a police officer in uniform to walk into a violent domestic scene and claim that the use of violence is illegitimate is an enigma.

Another aspect is the inference that seem to come through that money would solve everything. The City of Detroit, in what little data we have on domestic conflict, seems to highlight it as a lower socio-economic phenomenon. That is literally not true We do not have very good data for the poor. We have no data whatever for the affluent suburbs, but go talk to the women's league or whatever and you'll see many women put their eyes on the floor as you discuss I don't think that we should be allowe to continue to believe that this is a lower class It certainly is not. There are other phenomenon. controls in the affluent society, the community embarrassment, the stake a wife might have in the

Acma Reporting Company

I

2

-1

5

5 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1-1

15

15

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

financial resources of a marriage. All of these things may be more effective in silencing her in complaining about violence directed towards her; but certainly it exists there and may only have an effect on diminishing the level of that violence because, again, it's crucial that society at large not learn of her treatment by the husband.

One further issue, and then I'll defer to the questions, on the issue of shelters. For the past three or four years I've been speaking and working with feminist groups and trying to obtain shelters. For some this has seemed to be a panacea for the problem. I began to have some reservations about the impact of our strident cries for shelters for women in crisis because in too many jurisdictions once the shelter is achieved they feel that they have done everything that they have to do about the problem; and I think I want to caution this Committee that this is the only crime where I know of where it's advocated that the victim has to flee. I think that you should be aware that you are compelling the victim of a crime to flee out of her home to a shelter. I think that when you talk about shelter, yes, they're critical, and the need is there to preserve life; but you must not let that get in the way of understanding that you

.3

íô

least the kind of status that we accord to other victims of crime; and I appoint you to the child abuse statutes which were considered highly liberal when they were enacted; but this act raised the child to a higher level of victim. In those cases the police officer and the para-professional and the principals of schools were empowered to sign complaints; and you can only talk about single complaints against the wishes of the victim if you're willing to accept the fact that this is a public issue and not a private problem.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Any questions?

MS. BUSCH. Chief Bannon, you stated that the statistics for domestic violence, a wife battering or whatever, in the lower socio-economic groups of the getto where not that good, but that very few if any were available in an affluent suburb.

Captain Gates, could you tell me whether or not you have any statistics on the incidence of reporting of battering in the different sections of Hartford? I know you're over in one precinct, but having been a Hartford police officer and whatever, in a supervisory position for quite some time, is

2.1

that any difference between the reporting of domestic violence cases, say, in the south end or the west end versus the north end or different areas?

various sections of the city. If you're asking mer to answer that based on my experience as a police officer I will. And that is that yes, in the ghettos there are more reported cases; and I think the main reason for that is because the poor people, the disadvantaged people, do no know where else to go, and the police department is their only link to the different organizations that can help them; and they know that if they call the police department, there's a response. So I say yes, in the ghettos there are more reported cases.

MS. BUSCH. To follow up on that, would the way the police officer responds to the call be different, say, in the west end or south end versus the north end or the Clay Hill area or the poorer areas versus the more affluent areas?

CAPTAIN GATES. I can only speak for myself; and I'd say no, there isn't. I think on the domestics when you go into the situation, you have to be sensitive whether you're in the west, north, or south end.

Our officers are trained with that knowledge. No

į

ĮĄ

lõ

matter where you are.

MS. ROLDAN. Chief Bannon, do you also feel that there are bigher incidences in the ghettos ... reported as against the middle class or affluent?.

chief BANNON. Well, I would have to do like?

everyone else has to do. I would have to guess. It know from our own research, and we began the research in Detroit because we wanted to get a handle on homicides originally in 1972; and I know that we can predict that from data that this kind of assault is unrecorded by at least fifty percent.

I'd like to point out too that it's really a strange thing that when the F.B.I. director says historically that fifty percent of all police officers who are killed in the line of duty are killed in response to domestic relations cases, that we don't have hard data. I'll tell you one thing, if we knew that fifty percent of them were killed in robberies, we'd know the size of the problem. Some people state that one out of every two females in this society are brutalized by the person they're living with. I believe that's excessive, but we just don't know. But for what little we do know about the ghetto, we know absolutely nothing about the affluent society.

MS. HOMES. Do you have any suggestions,

Acme Reporting Company

Chief Bannon, to prevent men who've been arrested from returning to the louse that same evening?

CHEF Baltor. I'm or bying, I believe is the word, with the state legislature in Hichigan to provide for what I, for lack of a better word, call an "instant bond." It would be similar to a traffic citation in which the alleged perpetrator signed on the face of it that he is indebted to the State for \$500 if he, in fact, repeats the offense before there's a hearing before the magistrate. This, I think, is my response to those of you who have suggested that a restraining order might be more effective in some of these cases. My experience with restraining orders is that they must go back before the magistrate, is that they're totally ineffective.

MS. HOMES. You suggested also that women go back for a variety of reasons. Would you list some of those?

al. It's the only source of income, the only place they have to go. There is the fact of the children, and the factor that they've been socialized to believe that they must be loyal to the dominant male regardless of what he does. The marriage ceremony sees to that somewhat. There is the fact that those agencies which

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

she has been led to believe she can depend upon, she really cannot depend upon. And the fear of retaliation; and all of those things are real.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Officer Richard, I have no idea if Stonington is an affluent community or what. I'd like to know first if you have a feeling for that aspect of the town; and if you'd indicate to us whether you have a notion as to the incidents of wife battering kinds of cases or spouse battering or women battering?

OFFICER RICHARD. Stonington has different Three villages make up Stonington. There is Pawcatuk; Stonington Burrow, which is affluent; and Mystic. We do not have what the big cities call We have our sections of town where they are not too affluent, but they are few and far between. Wife battering, or female battering, incidents, we do have them, yes. We don't have as many, of course, as a city. There are lots of them that are not reporte because, being a small town, I am the only policewoman in town. People know me, women know me. any section and be brought off to the side, and they will tell me what their problem is; and these are cases that are not reported; and we can't do anything I then refer to those various agencies. about it.

2

_

:[

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I can say that so far for this year we have handled approximately six cases, which is nothing compared to what Hartford has handled.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Any other questions?
MR. FORSTADT. One question.

Did I understand you correctly that you feel the worst person to step into this situation is a police officer?

Yes. I believe that you've CHIEF BANNON. discussed several agencies here this morning, family service agencies and social service agencies. is one of the few phenomenon which no professional or para-professional group is specifically trained and equipped to handle. We send in police officers because they are the only twenty-four-hour service available. One of the difficulties we have in the City of Detroit is that that's not the nicest kind of work to do. It's dirty, and bloody, and frequently violent; and it's very difficult to get social workers to sit in the back of a car on Friday or Saturday & night and respond when the incident is going on. They're perfectly willing to take the case on Monday through Friday between nine and five with two hours for lunch. We cannot get them in the street when we need them.

,

ſ

L5

Recently we did an experiment in Detroit with an organization of social workers that did this on their own. It was a very successful thing; and I expect to be sending the Committee a report of the success of that operation. What I'm saying is that even though we are the twenty-four hour agency that is going to get the call, we must continue to play a role. That role should be as an identification source for other professionals in the field who have the expertise.

I don't want to see police officers trained as therapists. Number one, I don't believe that the therapy is all that great; and number two, I don't think it's necessary to try and train police officers as therapists. The New York experiment was a failure in the last analysis because it attempted to train police officers as clinical psychologists in a sixteenweek cram course. The people you want to train are the best people in the department and are no longer available. We need some professionals within the field of social and behavioral sciences, professionals; and the police officers need only be trained in conflict intervention, so at least they don't leave a situation worse than when they found it.

We need as some of the behavioral scientists

•

have learned to look at what successful police officers now do without training. The unorthodox approach that many police officers bring to that work, which is very successful but frowned on by the establishment. We had, a great many years ago, a Southern-born sergeant detective who worked in the Black ghetto; and he would divorce people on Friday night and re-marry them again on Monday morning. He never lost a client. He was ultimately fired because he was charging a fee for the services; but it was very successful. There are some unorthodox approaches that need to be taken.

MS. BUSCH. Chief Bannon, do you feel in your travels throughout the country, meeting with other police chiefs, that they share your progressive attitude toward this problem?

A police officer or police executive is evaluated by the community he serves based on his performance and what that community calls hard-crime issues. A police executive will be lauded for the anti-burglary campaigns, robbery, and rape campaigns. In twenty-eight years I've never seen a police officer cited for successfully resolving a domestic dispute. It is a zero priority; and much of it due to because they

 $\mathbf{2}$

1-1

perceive the rest of the justice system as being totally disinfracted. The police executive who would allocate a large mount of resources to comestic violence would in the strength would not be recommended by the presecutor's office; or if they were, no convictious and so meaningful penalties would grow out of that. He would soon find himself looking for another job.

MS. ROLDAH. One question, Chief Bannon.

In the testimony this morning given by many of the women a number of them testified that they had jobs waiting for them and that in seeking help in the shelters, and because of their immediate problem, they could not become independent financially because they had to worry about the children and where to provide for their care. What has been your experience, or how do you feel about shelters and provisions for day care for women?

given other alternatives, leaving the house, getting a job, providing for herself and for the children, that there must be some sort of interim shelter; or we heard some testimony where the limit was five days. Now what kind of an alternative car you find in five days? The Y here testified that they have people on

an average of two weeks. What kind of an alternative in skills do you impart to a person looking for gainful employment in two weeks? I think that maybe all of those things are so short in term that when the time begins to tell that individual is looking at the final alternative that she's always confronted with "How bad is it, and should I go back into that physically violent environment in order to try and gain the skills or whatever?"

MS. BUSCH. Chief Bannon, what would you recommend, what kind of changes would you recommend to police departments and to the courts and to all kinds of people who can eliminate most of the problems that exist regarding the battered women?

System, every part of it seems to be too inter-twined so it's difficult to separate out the police or the court, et cetera. For instance, the courts, in addition to being chauvanistic, are also confronted with a situation of inadequate alternatives to jail, such as work release programs, such as enforced therapy assomebody talked about this morning, such as all different kinds of alternatives. They don't have the alternatives. The court will tell you that they would be perfectly willing to find a husband guilty of assault

l

·

and sentence him, but not send him to jail, because the wife and the family would lose support and make a charge against society for welfare. So prosecutors need to know that the courts, the local courts, view wife abuse as a serious problem of high priority. Once the prosecutors and the courts agree that that's a priority crime, then the police department will have to view it as a priority crime; but until those things are done, and in that kind of succession, nothing much is going to be done.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Further questions of the Committee? Questions of the staff?

MS. WEBSTER. Chief Bannon, since we are not yet in the position of having this squad of social workers on the street, and we do have to depend as women on the services of the police department, do you think there's any way at this particular moment in time for police attitudes about arrest to be revised since -- And I believe the international training manual for policemen, the order of priority about what to do in what is called domestics, is the very last thing is arrest; and I believe one of the officers discussed all of those points. I think we're all disgusted and ware of the conditions of jails, and what it does to people; but if the society

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is going to look upon this as a crime, what can we expect from police officers in terms of arrest procedures?

CHIEF BANNON. Well, the problem that police officers are confronted with is that it's perfectly true that a misdemeanor that does not happen in the presence of a police officer, one must await the It becomes a process of attrition. adopted, from my point of view, that it's a public issue, then we could empower the police officer to sign the warrants on information or belief or a neighbor or a social worker. We would, in effect, make the female a part of that problem. For instance, we have all experienced in recent years difficulties with alarm systems that people have been selling all over the country. We have enacted laws in most of the states making it encumbent upon the alarm company either financially or even criminally, if their equipment fails, and the police are repeatedly called back to that place, because that's a public drain; it's a drain upon the police resources of the community -- A female who calls the police every Friday or Saturday night and then never does anything further than that may have to be sanctioned in some substantial way for her failure to use the system as

it's designed to be used. Not that I feel that she's culpable in the violence, but she is culpable in allowing it to continue and the fact that she doesn't use the resources that are there. There may not necessarily be prosecution or jail, but there are other resources that she must continue to use. Barbara talked about people that failed to use the referral services, and she has no power to force them to use it. I think that that's needed.

MS. DUNN. Do you know of any area of the country where they have a mandatory referral for counseling without an arrest in a domestic situation?

CHIEF BANNON. I believe Pennsylvania does.

MS. DUNN. But it was recommended to me by an officer in the Hartford Police Department.

Captain Gates, do you think it might be helpful?
CAPTAIN GATES. Yes, I do.

MS. DUNN. One other question for Captain

Gates. Several of the officers said one problem they
faced when they were out on patrol is that they do
not know what happened in the previous patrol, so
that the fact that a man had been arrested and taken
down and booked and was out, if they received another
call at night they ought to know it was a problem
house where they went back frequently. If they did

:3

not know there was a call that evening, and the woman had to go through the whole thing again, her frustration is greater; and they aren't aware of what has happened two hours earlier. Is there any way in which an officer can be informed of calls made from any in that day or evening?

CAPTAIN GATES. We do have two systems that the officer should be made aware of the fact that somebody was there earlier. And that is at roll call when the officers are going off. The on-going officers are in the room where they turn in their reports. At that time it is their duty to tell the officers going on where they've had a specific problem or where an arrest has been made or where there may be future violence.

The second system is the log sheet in the cruiser.

The fault with that is that car 44 went to the scene
the first time and car 45 got sent the second time.

Thus they wouldn't be aware of it. If the officer selection of the second time of the continuous fails to notify the on-going officer, he down't know.

CHIEF BAHNON. May I?

In Detroit, and I believe many other jurisdictions, we're building a computer capability. Karsas City presently has it, so that they do, in fact, when the call for a service from Oll is put into the

computer, and it dumps on the dispatcher all prior calls for whatever previous time you might pre-select. So that now we know what calls they have had in that specific address, but not the resolution of that call. Detroit is virtually operational.

CAPTAIN GATES. Hartford is developing a system now with computers; and they will be going into operation shortly.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. One last question.

Chief Bannon, this is addressed to you specificly. The fact that I have gone to law school and all of that, very often you look at another society's methods of enforcement. The area of the British police officers who don't carry guns. I was wondering whether in your studies you have done an analysis of any other nations response to this kind of problem and any of that information would be helpful to us or to the police or the Commission.

CHIEF BANNON. We've looked at many other societies. I've been in Bagdad, Cairo, London; and we found that, depending upon the society, it is or is not a critical problem. In the Arab societies it's not a problem simply because it's a natural function of that society for certain events like that to occur. In London they pioneered the notion of shelter;

and they have had them for many years with a great deal of success. Other societies treat them in different ways; but I don't believe that any of them have had the issued raised to the level of consciousness as it has in the United States; and I think the reason, the basic reason, is that we are a violent society, not just with our women, but with our children, and our neighbors, and strangers. This is, I think, the important distinction between the United States and these other societies.

And one last thing, has anybody thought about a shelter for the battering male? Why not force him out of the house into this alternative place?

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Thank you very much.

We're going to break for lunch now. I want to thank all of the witnesses. We're coming back by no later than twenty-five minutes to three.

MS. WEBSTER. One last question. You stated that your original research was on homicide; and we've spoken to some judges and prosecutors and a whole bunch of other people; and then we discussed the seriousness of the problem and brought up some of the data about the relationship between battering and homicides. Could you say a few words about that?

ŧ

2

• ,

7

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

เธ

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF BANNON. Yes. In the City of Detroit we had about 691 homicides. That was not the record 850 was the next year, I think. We found that the majority of the homicides in which the motive was known were what we termed social conflict homicides. Of that the majority were what we would term spousal. One of the problems we will have and continue to have is that it's very difficult to categorize relation-When we try to collect data on spouse abuse, we find that people under the -- the slippers under the bed and the father of the five children are called "acquaintences and friends." It's very, very difficult for us to distinguish these relationships; but in every homicide case there was a prior history of disabuse on the part of one of the parties. Now, what happened in many cases is that the wife is spared will, in fact, blow him away or one of the children vill blow him away; but of all those homicides a substantial majority do have a -- all of the homicides had a prior -- We had no homicides on record of the 691 we looked, of that number which were domestic that did not have a prior history of violence.

CHAIRMAN ROSE. Than't you very much.

(Whereupon, at 2:10 p.m. the hearing adjourned for luncheon recess.)

PAR ACRES OF THEIRAM

DOCKET MIDERED:

CASE TITLE: Public Hearing on Domestic Violence

MEARING DATE: September 26, 1977

LOCATION OF HEARING: Hartford, Connecticut

I RERERY COUTTRY that the proceedings and evidence hearing are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me at the hearing or the above cause before the

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same.

6\77.5 October 11, 1977

Acres Payerting Company 1911 & Screet, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005