CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO THE

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Open Hearing

OPPORTUNITIES FOR MINORITIES AND WOMEN
IN MOTION PICTURES AND TELEVISION

October 21 & 22, 1976
Los Angeles, California

VOLUME II

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT and DICURTI
Official Court Reporters
187 North Church Avenue
Tucson, Arizona



1	INDEX	
2	VOLUMELIII	
3		
4	WITNESS	PAGE
5	Mr. Fernando Del Rio	226
6	Ms. Sumi Haru	247
7	Ms. Grace Nagata	258 .
8	Mr. David Lizarraga (Testimony)	265
9	Ms. Boots Jones	288
10	Ms. Michelle Grumet	296
11	Mr. Wendell Green	317
12	Mr. Lionel Manargas	336
13	Mr. Tom Straszewski	373
14	Mr. Paul Coss	409
15	Mr. Peter Rodriguez	410
16	Mr. Roberto Escobedo	410
17	Mr. Lorenzo Traylor	451
18	Mr. Roscoe Ballard	474
19	Mr. James P. Southard	474
20	Ms. Verna M. Canson	497
21	Mr. Harold Scott	499
22	Ms. Francis Friday	504
23		
24		
25	·	ا مان کا این

1 MORNING SESSION 2 October 22, 1976 3 9:10 a.m. 4 5 (The following session was chaired by Ms. Frankie 6 Gillette) 7 I will continue to make the first of the first 8 THE CHAIR: We will resume the open hearings on the 9 advisory committee, on the opportunities for minorities and 10 women in motion pictures and television, and it is now 9:10 on October 22nd, Friday, and at the panel, present panel is 11 12 Nadine Hata, Blanche Gomez and Helen Bernstein and the 13 chairperson, Herman Sillas. 14 Our first scheduled witness this morning is Fernando 15 Del Rio. 16 Good morning, I wonder, for the record, if you would 17 state your name and position, please, occupation? 18 19 20 MR. FERNANDO DEL RIO 21 22 (By Mr. Del Rio) My name is Fernando Del Rio, I'm 23 vice president for public affairs at KHI television and I'm 24 also president of the California Association of the Latins 25 in Broadcasting.

- Q (By the Chair) Do you have a brief statement or do
 you just care to respond to questions?
 - A. No, I'll just respond to questions.
- Briefly, could you state what the goals and functions
 of the California Association of Latins in Broadcasting
 are?
- A Basically we're a statewide organization of professional individuals, men and women in the radio and television and associated broadcast industries, composed of people in the major markets, Central! Valley, the Bay Area, Sacramento Valley and Los Angeles. Both English and Spanish language broadcasting.
 - What are the goals of the association?
- A The goals are basically to improve the employment status of Chicanos and Latinos in broadcasting and in independent production. Also in other associated industries, to improve the image of Latinos in the broadcast media and also to address ourselves to the various needs of the community relating to broadcasting.
- When you say needs, do you want to elaborate a little
 on that?
- A. Well, I think there are, if you take needs there is the issue of delivering services in Spanish in areas where there are, the sources are lacking that if we can address ourselves to those needs and if there are stations that are

/

not working or addressing themselves, broadcasting Spanish language, that we can assist them in working toward delivering news, public affairs, other programs in Spanish, is one.

The other is when there are issues of concern such as the undocumented worker, such as affirmative action; on such as political issues, that pertain to the community, that we can address ourselves to those issues via broadcast medium.

- Q How long has the association been in existence?
- A. We organized approximately two years ago, and have had meetings quarterly, quarterly meetings. We're due to have a meeting in November in San Francisco.
 - Q How long have you been in the industry?
- A I've been in the industry since September of 1970.

 At KHJ television, I've stayed there for the last six years.
- Q What are your perceptions of opportunities for Latinos in local television since 1970?
- A Well, beginning in 1970 there was a lot of action by various organizations, LUSTISIA and other groups, Nosotros and other groups, that were advancing. They were advocates and very active. That rose quite a bit and continued until about 1974 or late '73, and there seemed to hit a plateau, it was a plateau of furthering employment in this case. Addressing ourselves to employment, seemed to hit a plateau by '75 there was a distinct, distinct

plateau, by late '75 there was a decline and I'd say by '76 now there has been a definite decline.

- Q Do you attribute that to the lack of pressure ofat these active groups that you mentioned?
- A. I would say if there's one single area that you could it would be the lack of active community-based organizations not placing pressure and not addressing themselves to this.
- And your association that you mentioned, you said was organized a couple years ago, would it -- was the basis of it being organized because of, you saw it being developed as a plateau?
- A I think that was one, I think there was a void there, there was two factors, one that there was a void from community-based organizations become active, the other was that there were enough people within the industry, like myself and others, both from professional level and what I mean by that, management -- managerial level on the air technicians and other types. There were enough people that we could organize on statewide basis and from within those of us that were in the industry we could further that within our own organization and station and we do work for those organizations and we do try to present the best image possible as members for various broadcasters and we felt that we could do both at that time.

Q Now, you mentioned your earlier comments were dealing with employment, what about training for minorities in, say in the early '70's as opposed to now?

A There were some efforts that I know that Southern California Broadcasters Association, along with some minority people within the industry and also nonminority management level people that had attempted to develop the training, basic training program they thought was very good. It was one of the best proposals that I had seen because it came from the industry but it was directed toward minorities and it had the sanction, I'm sure, of the Southern California Broadcasters Association, that is staff as they organized it, and also some broadcasters.

I think the problem was that not enough stations, they failed, the stations failed to join in the total effort because it would have taken almost a total effort of each station to participate because of the cost.

- Q When was that plan proposed?
- A I believe that was in '73, if I recollect, about three years ago or early '74, late '73 or early '74.
- Q Is there anything in the industry existing now, similar to that?
- A To my knowledge, in the broadcast industry, I don't know of anything, to my knowledge, there are independent, I can say there are independent programs, our own station,

1

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

we did train, we did have a training program in which we worked with the unions at training people who are now professionals, they're engineers, the

There is the only other programs that I know of are the internship programs that some of them are quite successful. We take a young students from the local colleges and universities and they come to in -- do their internship at various stations and. ar. many of those people have advanced, that's the only one that I know of.

- Are those programs aimed at minorities or just --Q
- Not necessarily but I know myself, I attempt to get A minorities and I've spoken to other people in the stations where there are minority policy-making people, they do attempt to help them out if possible.
- How successful do you think that program has been in terms of recruiting minorities?
- A. I can only speak of about two cases. I know of one young gentleman that came out of Northridge, California State, Northridge, and he was an intern with us, and he is now an engineer at one of the local stations.

I imagine there are other programs. As far as the minority, I do know that one case. And that's the only personal case I know of.

Would you be able to obtain for the committee the total number of interns brought in by the industry and the

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

number of those that are minority, would you be able to obtain that information?

- I think it's a matter of calling personnel offices A of each station, it wouldn't be too difficult, yes.
- Now, the three major networksstations converted to a magazine format for their public affairs programming. did that occur?
- Well, I don't know exactly because I don't work for A the stations, all I can remember is that in our association, California Association of Latinos in Broadcasting, that we go into the acronym of CALIB, C-A-L-I-B. We had several meetings at which some individuals without our organization had left those stations and made presentations to us of complaints, basically their complaint was at one time during the day of broadcasting, that is 1970, '71, '72, there was an effort, a very good effort, an excellent effort by the local broadcasters to present specifically oriented minority programs produced, written and all of the other technical aspects of it, mainly by minorities directing themselves toward particular communities.

Then, according to the -- to what some of these individuals told me, especially one, he's now in Seattle, who had a very excellent show. In fact, he won an Emmy for it, and that when the -- his complaint was when he went to magazine format or the station went to magazine format

4.5°

he lost control because a producer for the hour segment, they increased the show to an hour, but the producer happened to be nonminority and therefore he had a segment and he had another level of management over him, which could approve or disapprove, I imagine, and he was dissatisfied, he subsequently left the station or whatever. I don't know what the reason was but he was not there any longer, and when he -- he said when the station went to magazine format he lost control of the direct programming although he still had a segment as producer.

On these types of programs, you're talking now about the type of program that might be a half-hour program that deals specifically with communities, particular ethnic communities' interests. What about the scheduling, the time that these programs were scheduled?

A. Well, the -- to my knowledge again, I believe the scheduling has remained somewhat the same. However, I can relate to other scheduling, there has -- it appears to me and I've had complaints and I know my own scheduling has been changed, I had a prime time show for, I don't know how many years, five years, and I'm now scheduled into early morning slot.

However, we're picking up another Latino show coming in in a time in its place, it's an entertainment program but they're having complaints of rescheduling in which the

scheduling is not at the time that previously there was during --

- Q Were these shows at any time, you mentioned your show as being one that was scheduled in prime time, but were -- were the shows that we are talking about at any time generally slated for prime time or were they generally in the poorer time?
- A. Well, by poor time I don't know, the -- to my knowledge between what we consider the prime time program schedules, there were only, I believe only the independent, two or three of the independent stations had those programs to my knowledge.
- Are you aware of any particular problems, unique problems related to Latino women in the media?
- A Well, again we've had, during the meetings of the California Association of Latins in Broadcasting where the women have been very active, in fact we've had some of our most active people from the Bay Area and from San Diego, from those, especially those two markets and from the Los Angeles market, very active.

The major complaints is that they are brought in as a, you might say a double minority and they didn't want to be considered that, that is their sex being female and their Latina name or surname, and they, many of them that I know, and this goes for both Spanish-English radio and television

and broadcasting and English language. I felt that the pay, the pay that they have received, not so much in this market because it's better controlled, but in other markets is miserable.

And they do it because they're ambitious, they want to get in the business, they have a desire to work in it and it's very difficult but they're brought in under those circumstances and it's very many complaints that I've heard.

- Q In other words, they're brought in at a lower salary than someone else doing the same type of job?
- A It appears to me that some of the complaints I've heard from some of our members have been that, correct.
- A Now, you mentioned two things, pertaining to the Latino community, one is you mentioned the language situation and correct me if I'm wrong, but that there's a need for communication to that community in a Spanish language.

And then the other is the topic of any show, be it in Spanish or in English, that would pertain to that community. Is there any -- has there been any shows in Spanish, to your knowledge, in the major stations?

- A Do you mean in English language broadcasting?
- Q. In English language broadcasting.
- A I believe locally KNBC, if I'm not mistaken, is about

TE COLLEGE

1 2

to commence a major news program, I believe it's the 11:00 o'clock news, I'm not sure, perhaps this afternoon when they testify, you can clarify on radio, they're going to simulcast a Spanish language translation of the English language broadcast, that, I think it's KNBC, I'm not sure, you have to ask them, but I think that is taking place.

However, as fareas the other stations that are manager English language broadcasting, I rarely -- the KTLA, I believe, did have a Spanish serial, I'm sorry, novel, they call it Spanish, I think we call them soap operas in English. They had one for a period of time.

On my own station, when I was in the news department and was in morning news, I delivered a segment in Spanish, opening segment in Spanish for about a year. But outside of that, I don't know.

- Q But there are Spanish-speaking radio stations in this area?
 - A Yes, yes.
 - Q And also Spanish-speaking television stations?
 - A. That's correct.
- Are there any specific jobs within local television that you see Latinos seriously being excluded?
- A Well, it's -- I believe the biggest complaint are those in policy-making positions, it seems to be that decisions, of course in any organization, are made at the

top by people who have been around for a few years or have been rewarded for being around for several years and that one of the, probably the biggest complaint I heard is the lack of individuals in those policy-making positions.

- Q You stated you're the vice president of --
- A For public affairs, correct.
- Q I see. Is that in a policy-making decision?
- A. It's a staff position, I make policy only in relation to the fact that within the public affairs concept of programming that I control, I do have.

I'monathe editorial board, I'm the affirmative action chairperson, if you might say so in those areas, of course the major policies are made by the vice president and general manager as far as programming, sales and the other departments.

But I would say it's more or less like a staff position, with policy in those areas of direct assignment.

- Q What recommendations would you suggest or make to change that situation?
- A Well, I think that the only recommendation I would make is that management in the various stations do recognize that there are Latinos and other minorities around and when the positions are open, that those positions be available. That's the important thing. It

I think that you have to understand in this market of

the largest Spanish-speaking area in the United States, and next to Mexico, probably no other major metropolitan area except Guadalajara and Mexico City, has in the western hemisphere as many people of one predominant culture and language and Spanish.

We have seven VHF stations, more than any other markets in the United States, more than Chicago, more than New York, and since the affirmative action thrust has been on since 1970, you still don't have an anchor person delivering the news.

Yet you have a tremendous market here, it's recognized by the industry and by commerce and commercial because the people are purchasers of the product.

That, to me, is one example I think of one of the problems.

- Q That's on-camera, what about off-camera?
- A Well, there are -- there have been -- there are some individuals, of course, in the industry that I know that are in good positions and have major policy-making, major policy-making except in Spanish language broadcasting, I don't know of any.
- Q In summary, your testimony is that there was the pressure exerted in '70 for the hiring of minorities in television and radio. Some attempt, or at least persons being hired during '70 and '71, and then kind of hitting a

plateau in '73, '75 you said somewhere in there, and now your observation is that there is now a decline?

- A. I say in the total there's, yes, there appears to be a decline.
 - Q From that period of -- that peak of seven --
 - A About '73, '74. '74, correct.

.24

- And do you see any evidence on the part of the studios to try to reverse that trend?
- A. Well, II think that there is always an attempt, an honest attempt by the broadcast people to work toward affirmative action. I feel that it is -- the American Society is -- reacts, is a react society, when there's pressure from a group they move.

The latest and the biggest thrust has been for women, women have made quite good advancements and they should. I feel that because the women's movement came in after the thrust of the Blacks and the Chicanos and Asians and whathaveyou, at least for the minorities, that they came in just at the tail end-or toward the end-of when the — and I'll speak with Latinos, Latinos began to wane that the thrust went upon women and they made quite good advances. They made excellent advances.

There's only so much room, there's only so much room and something has to give, and so I believe it was the women that came in and they are at this point, I believe, making

	1	the best impacts and the best inroads into the industry.
	2	That is better than anyone else, not good, of course, they're
	3	still not good.
	4	Q (By Ms. Hata) Mr. Del Rio, you're implying that
	5	these are White women, not minority women, are you?
	6	A. Well, whoever speaks for the women's movement. I
	7 8	haven't seen any major evidence of minority women involved
		inlit, I don't know. Eileen Hernandez may be one.
	9	Q (By Ms. Gomez) Mr. Del Rio, you mentioned that you
	10	had an independent program that you had one.
	11	A. I still have one.
	12	Q Oh, you still have it?
	13	A. Not independent program, I'm staff, I'm part of the
	14	staff, I produce.
	15	Q. In the training area?
	16	A. Oh, yes, yes, internship programs, training, oh,
	17	I'm sorry.
	18	Q It's now internship?
	19	A I beg your pardon, we did have a training program
	20	at my station.
	21	Q But you don't have it anymore?
	22	A. No.
	23	Q Do you have anything that will make up for it?
	24	A. We have an internship program.
	25	Q The internship and you feel that that is enough?
1		·

A It's only sufficient in relation to the -- to the individual who is serious about getting into our industry. The internship programs generally are by young men and women who want to get into the broadcast industry, let's say either in the journalistic aspect or as perhaps talent.

The other good paying jobs which are the real good paying jobs, you know, those of us in talent and management we can be here today and gone tomorrow, but those jobs where you have union protection and you have all of the other benefits that go with it as engineers and those, those are the important jobs for really what we need training programs and very few people in the internship programs, you know.

- Q You stated that when you were in the broadcasting? end of it that you broadcasted in Spanish?
- A I'm still in the broadcasting end of it, I used to be in a program in the morning that was both, it was delivering the news, I was a news person.
- A There is no one delivering the news in Spanish, then, as you used to, as a member of the editorial board, do you have any -- enough -- can you make a strong enough recommendation, would it hold? For them to do the same thing you were doing?
 - A. Well, that's a matter of philosophy.
 - Q Or do you think it's needed?

- A. In the editorial boards it's a matter of philosophy not a matter of ethnic or other, it's a matter of philosophy, generally, and if management's philosophy believes in a certain issue or a certain concept, they'll go with it, it may pertain to something minority, it may not, it may be economic, it may be political. It may be different but I would say in my case, yes, I do have quite a bit of --
- Q Do you think it's needed? Do you think that type of broadcasting would be needed, I mean a Spanish or a minority --
- A. Well, if the Spanish language broadcasters can offer that, it should be in those stations that do broadcast directed toward the Spanish language people, yes, that service, I believe, is being provided.

It's not necessary, however I feel that the experimentathat initiates at KNBC, if I'm not -- if it is an experiment, is something that is needed and if it works I think it will be an excellent service to that segment of the population, and likewise that should be for those those who are Mandarin or Cantonese or Korean or other languages.

- Q In your opinion, what do you attribute the large scale of firing of minorities recently within the local news stations, local TV stations?
 - A Large scale firing, I don't understand.

1 Well, there were quite a few people, quite a few a 2 people within the industry predominantly minority that 3 were fired from the local TV stations. 4 I don't know -- all I can say is that there has 5 been a rash of dismissals by some of the stations for a 6 change of program format, change of management, change of That's one of the problems that we face in whathaveyou. 8 our industry, there's really not security at all, less 9 than nothing, it's a very tenuous --10 So you say it was change of management and change Q. 11 of programming? . 12 I believe there was a change of management in one 13 station that certainly indicated that. And that was a 14 clean sweep as far as I -- almost, it's quite drastic. 15 (By Ms. Bernstein) Does your station have an a 16 affirmative action program? 17 A. Yes. 18 What were the goals set forth last year and this 19 year, do you know? 20 Our goals were set for parity of minority employment. 21 We set those in our 1975-76 goals were set, we have attained, 22 I believe, we are above parity for Black employment, we 23 are -- American Indian we are at parity, Asian-American 24 we are at parity, let me tell you, it's not difficult to 25 get a parity for certain groups because they're not so large, so you can hire one or two people and you have an organization that has 160 people, you hired one American Indian, you're reaching parity.

If you hire one or two or three or four Asians, you are at parity. And -- but the goals, our goals, we've reached almost the area in which we're still lacking Spanish surnamed, but we've gone, we're up to about 12.5, and we have been adding Spanish language programming.

- If your organization, the one that you are president of, were to evaluate the affirmative action program for your station, would you approve of the goals that were set for minorities by your station?
- A. I would approve it, they probably wouldn't like it because we still haven't reached action, because most all of our people are Latinos, we haven't reached our goal. they wouldn't be happy with it.
- But the goal was all right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

- A. Oh, yes, the goal, yes. The goal. We set it out and we established it and we committed it and that was our goal and we're working toward it.
- 21 0. How is the program monitored to see if the goals are 22 really being reached?
 - A Basically I'm -- by whom?
- 24 Q That's what I'm asking.
- 25 A. Well, it's monitored by the commission, the Federal

Communications Commission, they really don't monitor directly but they certainly ask us to commit the -- every -- we have to send in quarterly reports on our form 395's and they have to goal and the effort is being made. And there is clear, distinct desire by the broadcaster to do something, I think that's what they expect him to do.

- Do you know if the FCC has ever reprimanded anyone
 in any way for not reaching the goals set by them?
 - A. No, unless there's blatant, no, I don't know of any.
- Q (By Ms. Hata) Mr. Del Rio, you were very vague in terms of specifying the policy-making positions that you thought were important for minorities.

Would you be more specific in terms of which jobs you think are crucial about there being some minority representation? Are you speaking of jobs such as --

- A. Well, I was speaking of jobs such as news director, would certainly be an area in which someone would want to be, it's a policy-making area, program director of the station is certainly a policy-making area. Naturally a general manager of a station would be one. Those departments.
- Q Yesterday we heard some groups tell us that they were now being given access to key people within the industry, the motion picture industry, for example, president and some of the key persons in that, at that level.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

*(4.5° **

Do you see that as a sufficient means whereby minorities can express their concerns, do you think these groups are getting through at the level where it really helps?

- A It's difficult to determine, I think, based on the on the people in our organization, and we meet every three months and we also have communications with them, there's still the same complaints I ve heard since we've organized so apparently —
- Q So, based on your organization and the complaints that you have heard, is this then a mere token effort on the part of the industry?
- A I would say that there are some stations that are making honest, aggressive and progressive efforts, no doubt, and they've exhibited and they are exhibiting.

I would say that there's probably others that are not, that they're as you put it in terms you say there could be token efforts.

- Q Can you identify those that are not?
- A. It would be difficult for me in my position to say that, if you want me to have a job Monday.

MS. HATA: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions from the panel?

Thanks very much, Mr. Del Rio, for your testimony this morning.

Our next scheduled witness is Sumi Haru.

Let the record also reflect now that also present on the panel is Helen Davis McCullough and Frankie Jacobs Gillette.

4 5

Okay, Ms. Haru, would you state your name and occupation, please?

MS. SUMI HARU

- A. (By Ms. Haru) Sumi Haru, I'm a producer, moderator for television and also an actor.
- Q. (By the Chair) Now, what has been your experience with program time and budgets for the public affair programs?
- A. Usually, at within the past few years we've had called the program time ghetto hours. They are usually at very strange times when people of minority communities are usually working, or are asleep. Within the media, the ABC, KNXT and KNBC, they're doing a little bit better than the independent stations are and also in terms of budget.

Part of this is because they have gone to the magazine format and they have put the shows when they had a Black a Chicano or an Asian show, all into one and combined budget. It think that made it allittle easier, they're able now to bring out a film crew. And to spend a little

1 more time in putting things together that might be more 2 interesting for the public: 3 Q. All right, but the time, the time slots have they 4 changed any? You mentioned bringing together but --5 I don't know whether you can call it bad or good, 6 if Saturday afternoon is a good time for public affairs, I don't know. But that's when KNBC has a public affairs 8 show. KABC has a public affairs show, which is on Saturday 9 night at 7:00 p.m., possibly there would be more viewers 10 at that time, rather than at 7:00 in the morning as the 11 other stations have. 12 Doesn't the station have a reading in terms of when 13 most people are watching television? Don't they have that? 14 Well, we all know that the time that people watch 15 television is prime time, and when you're talking about 16 an O and O they're going to put on their MASH kind of 17 productions, your TV series. 18 For the record, would you define the hours of prime 0. 19 time? 20 I believe it's 8:00 o'clock to 11:00 o'clock, and 21 that's when you see your network series on television and 22 that's when you have the biggest show of audience. 23 Q. And there are none of these programs during that 24 period of time? 25 A. Not to my knowledge on the O and O's, I do know --

1 What do you mean, excuse me, what do you mean by Q 2 0 and 0's? 3 Owned and operated by the network. A. 4 Q. Okay. 5 I do know of one independent station that does have A. 6 a public affairs program on in the evening on Saturday night. 7 Now, in addition to prime time do they, do the 8 stations break up -- do stations break up the rest of the 9 time into, say next best time, good time, bad time, 10 do they have that kind of category? 11 A. Oh, yes, and we, of course, see the battles over 12 programming and what one station does another station will 13 try to do in order to combat their share of ratings. 14 Do you know which is the next period of time that's 15 next to prime time? If you don't get prime time, what's 16 the next period of time? 17 A. I'm sorry, I'm not up on when these times are. I 18 would imagine after work time during the news hour, starting 19 4:00 through 7:00 there's quite a bit of competition between 20 the newscasts of the three 0 and 0's. 21 Are you able to give an opinion as to the -- these 22 community programs in terms of what generally, what general 23 area or time they fall into? I mean do they -- if they are 24 not in prime time do they tend to fall into the next best 25 time or do they -- go to the bottom of the barrel?

A I would say some do, some don't. As I say, I don't know whether Saturday afternoon or Sunday afternoon is a good time. In the fall, of course, they're up against the football season and then, of course, you lose ratings.

- Q Have Asian and Pacific Americans achieved management positions in local television stations, to your knowledge?
- A. To my knowledge, no. I have figures that were put together by Asian Americans For Fair Media, for 1975

 KNXT, KNBC and KABC had no Asians who were called officials.

 KNXT, according to their, the records of the Asian-Americans for Fair Media, in 1975 had two Asian Pacific people, KNBC had six and KABC, none.
- Do you have an opinion as to the reason that there
 is not more Asian-American or Pacific-American people in
 those kind of positions?
- A I'm afraid that when our industry thinks of minority they think of Black, and very seldom have they thought of Asian-Pacific, sometimes even Asian-Pacific people don't think of themselves as minorities.

One other thing is that we have had no role models, when children are looking at the television set, particularly children that were my age when I was growing up, had no role models. We still have very few role models.

Again, within our educational system how many counselors at school would tell an Asian-Pacific person you

should go into the media? You should consider a career in that area, it just has been -- I think a philosophical exclusion whether it was planned by management or by our community or not, we just aren't there and not represented, due to the lack of role models. And any encouragement from either educational systems or the media itself.

- Q Are you familiar with KNXT's internship program for college, high school students?
- A Yes, I don't know what therresults are, I was taking part this summer in choosing an intern from the Asian-Pacific community. We did this through the Los Angeles Public Schools. Several community members and myself as a media representative interviewed many high school students who were beginning their senior year. The program would take them through four years of summer work, in various areas of KNXT, specifically guaranteeing a job after four years, at which time that person would be graduating from college, within CBS.
 - Q And if, that program just started you say?
 - A It just came to my knowledge this summer.
- Q How many -- do you have any idea how many interns they've picked up?
- A I don't know. I do know that they took one from the Asian Pacific community and they were looking for persons from each minority community as well as the majority community.

- Q Are you aware of the adequacies of any other training programs, especially those in the three network-owned stations?
- A I am not familiar with any, I do know of one case where one individual was taken from a secretarial position and trained for on-air news. And that was at KABC but I don't know of any formal programs.
- Q I think you have mentioned, it's not here on the record, but at other times, that the community groups do not know how effectively to use the media. Do you have any recommendations in that area?
- A Again it's a matter of outreach, because the Asian-Pacific community has thought of the media as being White, they still think that, because even now we have no Asian-Pacific community relations director.

I was the very first one in this city to serve as a community relations director. That person goes out into the community and serves as the eyes and ears for the television station. The result being, hopefully something is reflected on the air about those communities.

- Q And in your capacity are you also given the responsibility of recruiting for stations? For the station you're in?
- A I don't have that formal capacity, but as an obligation to my community, I feel that I should do this and when I do know aspositionis open whether it's at my station

1 or others, I will get the word out to community leaders. 2 Other than your own personal commitment, does your Q. 3 station make any other efforts to do any recruiting, out-4 reach in the minority communities? 5 Yes, I think we've been very good in the area of 6 affirmative action, within our station. We do try to 7 reach out to the communities and we get the word out that 8 there are positions open. 9 Does your station have an affirmative action program? Q 10 A. Yes, we have. 11 And does that program have specific goals and timea 12 tables? 13 A. To my knowledge it does, yes. 14 Ω You-mentioned that you were the first community 15 affairs director, did you mean for the Asian-Pacific 16 Americans or for the --17 No, for the Asian-Pacific Americans. A. 18 (By Ms. Hata) Ms. Haru, yesterday we had several 19 representatives from a variety of studios talking about 20 Orientals. Would you agree with that description? 21 Orientals? No. We like to be called Asian-Pacific 22 Americans or Asian-Americans, Pacific Island peoples. 23 It's -- Oriental connotes sinister villains, China 24 Dolls, laundrymen and waiters and I would like to, at this 25 point, since you asked the question, mention an organization

. /=

of Asian-Pacific actors that has recently been formed, next week or the following week you will see an ad in the papers, in the trade papers specifically Variety, which is headlined we're not all alike. Sinister villains, China Dolls, laundrymen, waiters. The motion picture and television industry must catch up with the times in the portrayal of Asian-Pacific Americans on the screen. We should be considered and cast in such roles as lawyers, doctors, next-door neighbors, etcetera, since our people participate in all aspects of the mainstream of American life.

In addition to the above limitation of our opportunities, we protest the current discriminatory casting practice of separating Asian-Pacific American actors into our particular ancestral origins and confining us to roles of such origins, i.e., Japanese for Japanese, Chinese for Chinese, etcetera.

The industry is practically asking us for pedigrees in order to cast us, and forgetting that we are in the mainstream of American life. Whereby we're not allowed to play in most instances a judge, or a producer or a nextdoor neighbor, very few instances of this kind of casting has happened in the industry.

Q (By the Chair) Are you also saying that in relation to one of the comments that came out yesterday, that Japanese should not be restricted to playing only Japanese roles?

1 Oh, definitely so. And our Screen Actors Guild --A. 2 Q. So --3 -- it would follow that if a part were Japanese and A. 4 it called for the Japanese language to be spoken it would be 5 be most likely that the most qualified person to speak 6 Japanese would be a Japanese actor. 7 (By Ms. Hata) As an Asian women in the profession, 8 do you feel any particular -- have you felt any particular 9 discrimination as a female? 10 A As a female because I'm four feet; ten, 85 pounds, 11 that in itself is suspect to anyone that's supposed to have 12 any clout in the media. On top of that, because I'm an 13 Asian woman, it's even worse, because we're thoughtofras 14 China Dolls or Geisha Girls, and Asian women, I'm afraid, 15 have to work twice as hard, using the old term, out-whiting 16 the Whitesin order to prove themselves in the industry. 17 Q. (By the Chair) Would you briefly explain the TVQ 18 system? 19 A. The television quotient system is a list that is 20 compiled by a research corporation, which is used or has been 21 used by the networks and casting directors, producers, 22 in the casting of serials, TV series for television. 23 The list is compiled by going and polling viewers, 24 and asking them which persons are the most familiar to you, 25 and they have a list of names to rate. Minorities are very

seldom included on this unless they are superstars.

Thereby they -- the casting director would look down a list and if they wanted to use an Asian-Pacific person and not see this person on the list, then either the sponsor or the network could say we can't have that person as a lead in our series because they don't appear on the TVO.

Now, our board of directors of SAG as well as some of the other unions in the industry, have been fighting this list. The use may not be as large as it was in prior years. But we could never get any network or producers to admit to the use of the list in the first place. But the fact that you find the list, you see copies of it around, which may have fallen off a truck or whatever, there is such a list that exists.

I might mention some of the names that are on the list, Lucille Ball, Johnny Cash, Bill Cosby, Glen Campbell, Carroll O'Connor; we even have the very well known sports stars and newscasters on this list.

But very seldom will you find an Asian-Pacific person or a lot of Black people are on it because they have made big gains, but you very seldom see a Chicano or an Asian or a Native American Indian on the list.

Q Would you provide our staff with a copy of that list, please?

: 28 - -

1	A. I could, yes.
2	Q (By Ms. Gomez) Who are the polling viewers? Who
3	do they poll?
4	A We're not sure, it's just like with the Nielsons,
5	we don't know who they poll.
6	Q So how can you tell if they identify, you know,
7	how can they identify an Anglo with a Latin actor or an
8	Oriental with an Anglo actor?
9	A. Well, it's recognizability. And usually
10	Q But if they're not there they can't identify with
11	them?
12	A That's right, that's why we're not on the list.
13	Q (By Ms. Bernstein) What kind of shows do you produce?
14	A. I produce public affairs shows. The Gallery and
15	'70's Woman.
16	Q Have you run into opposition from anyone who you
17	have to answer to one step up fnoputting the kinds of pro-
18	grams that you feel are needed on television?
19	A No, I haven't run into any opposition, there's
20	obviously not enough timetto cover all of the things that
21	you want to cover or that should be covered.
22	Q Well, but I mean the things that you do do?
23	A I am able to get almost anything, the only things that
24	we won't do would be subjects that would not be good for
25	children to be watching, we are on at 9:00 in the morning,
1	

1 but otherwise we are very open. 2 Q. (By the Chair) Is there any final statement you 3 would like to make to the panel before you conclude? 4 A. I would just like to say that Asian-Pacific peoples 5 have really been excluded not only from the media but 6 from acting parts which are shown on the networks, of 7 course, and because of that, I think it reflects on our 8 entire society as to what Americans think of the Asian 9 Pacific community. 10 And I think it's an obligation of our television 11 industry and the movie industry to depict the Asian-Pacific 12 community as human beings. 13 THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms. Haru, for your 14 testimony this morning. 15 Our next scheduled -- we have two people that are 16 scheduled next, David Lizarraga and Grace Nagata. 17 I wonder if you'd state, for the record, your names 18 and occupations? 19 20 21 MS. GRACE NAGATA 22 23 (By Ms. Nagata) My name is Grace Nagata, I'm A 24 director of communications at TELACU and I am today represent 25

senting Reinaldo Rivera, Chairperson of the National Latino

1 Media Coalition. 2 3 4 MR. DAVID LIZARRAGA 5 6 (By Mr. Lizarraga) My name is David Lizarraga, I'm A. 7 the president of it and I'm representing that organization, 8 the East Los Angeles Community Union. 9 (By the Chair) Mr. Lizarraga, could you describe Q 10 the efforts of TELACU to obtain --11 Ms. Gillette, if www.al'd like to read just a short A. 12 prepared statement prior to questions. I think Ms. Nagata 13 would like to do the same thing. 14 THE CHAIR: Okay. 15 (By Ms. Nagata) Surely. I'd like to explain to you 16 first what the National Latino Coalition is all about. 17 It is an organization of several hundred member organizations 18 across the United States whose purpose is to advocate a 19 more positive image for Latinos and the the Latino communities 20 in the mass media. 21 And for media more responsive to the needs, interests 22 and views of our community. We welcome this opportunity 23 to share with you what we have -- what we know to have been 24 the Latino experience in the media during these last seven

years. We trust that the testimony that we enter herein today

25

16-

will give you a better insight into our community and the progress we have made or not made in the media.

I will start by giving you a general overview of what has transpired in this industry over the last seven years, and Mr. Lizarraga will testify on the problems that we have encountered specifically with KABC.

I will close testimony with some recommendations that.

I feel will help alleviate the general discrimination against Latinos.

Asathe records show, those hearings in 1969 were prompted by the media's discriminatory employment practices against Latinos in the media and by the negative images in which we were portrayed.

You will recall the commercials like the L and M ads which depicted us as lazy people who didn't get their jobs done and the Frito Bandito ads which showed us off as dirty, gun-toting villains. And the battles that we fought in the 1960's put an end to at least those injurious images of us.

Many Latinos fought hard to gain fair access to the media in employment, in news coverage, on public affairs and entertainment programs and in other facets of the media. So that we as a population would be more accurately depicted as part of American mainstream life, or rather the mainstream of American life. That was in 1969. When

public affairs programs for Latinos were nonexistent, when public service announcement time was generally unavailable to us, when there were no Latino producers who could sell their entertainment packages to the stations, when there was such a dearth of Latinos employed by the broadcasting industry that we could count more than one and less than five at KNBC. And KNBC at that time was typical of the Latino employment pattern of other stations.

-22

We had no Latinos employed in policy-making positions in entertainment within other program departments, in the advertising and promotion departments, and as film editors. We had a few reporters but no anchor persons.

In short, we had no affirmative action programs at the networks and at other stations for the Latino community.

For record, the fruits of those battles did bear the development of minority affairs programs at each local station. And with the development of those programs, came the hiring of minority program producers, directors and technicians. Some of these producers were first hired on a voluntary basis. Others were later hired on a week to week basis. A few were hired on a permanent staff basis.

At the owned and operated stations of CBS, ABC and NBC, those minority programs were, The Siesta is Over, later replaced by, Bienvenidos at KNXT; Unidos, later replaced by Reflecciones at KABC; and Impacto at KNBC.

The time on which these programs were aired left much to be desired. They operated with minimal staff and minimal budget. None had a promotion budget, but at least we had finally gotten some programming for the Latino community.

A community relations director was hired at each station, to bring the station management in closer contact with the minority communities. Clerical help and laborers were also hired on lots. And on paper at least, the record did begin to look good for the stations.

Now, for our community's record(I submit that during those years our producers and directors credited the stations with Emmy award documentaries like Teatro Campesino, Cinco Vidas and The Unwanted. We credited KABC with an award-winning Emmy public affairs program called Reflecciones.

One Latino reporter credited KABC with a Golden Mike award for his coverage of the earthquake of 1971. And another captured for his station a Golden Mike award for his coverage of the incorporation issue of East Los Angeles in 1974.

That record would certainly seem to attest to our progress in the media.

Now let's look at where we stand today. And let us start with the Lating-oriented programs I mentioned earlier.

The Siesta is Over was laid to rest, and its successor,

Bienvenidos was also cancelled at KNXT. The Emmy award nominee program Impacto, at KNBC was cancelled. The Emmy award winner. Reflecciones, at KABC was cancelled.

- Q (By Ms. Hata) Ms. Nagata, excuse me, could you tell
 us when these were cancelled?
 - A. Last year and they're all dead.

I would like to point out that when these programs were cancelled the net result met with a serious decline in Chicano employment at these stations which Mr. Lizarraga will go into in his testimony.

These programs have been replaced by what the stations call magazine format shows. And there are good arguments for the magazine format shows. As there were as many good arguments for the shows that distinctly programmed to us.

The sad fact of the matter is, however, that today the Latino community has not one program on KNXT, KNBC or KABC that distinctly addresses itself to our needs and to our issues, in spite of the fact that we are the largest ethnic minority in southern California.

Our population here is 2.6 million, we have a consumer capability in southern California alone of 1.9 million dollars a year. Okay. Well, so much for the problems of programming and now into the problems of employment.

According to the most current employment figures

available, at KABC-TV, only 12% of its total staff is
Spanish surnamed. Of these, only two out of the total
managerial staff are listed as being in an official or
managerial position. Twelve out of 97 are listed as professionals. Seven out of 69 are listed in the technical
capacities. Two out of 15 are in sales. And two out of
17 are in clerical positions.

That's KABC. At KNXT, three out of a total of 46 are listed as officials and managers, three out of 89 are professionals, 11 out of 107 are technicians, one out of nine is listed in the sales department. Seven out of a total of 46 are in the office and clerical categories.

The total number of Spanish surnamed persons working at KNXT comprises only 8.7% of the entire staff.

And now for KNBC, which has been the most responsive station to the Latino community by recognizing and using the talents and professional abilities of at least some of our Latinos. Two are in management positions, there is an art director there, there is one of the — there is an attorney in the legal department, they have nine technicians, one is in program development. Some are in — some are in quasi policy-making positions. They have 14 clerical people.

Now, you may be wondering why I'm giving you these figures and what do they mean and why are they of importance

to you or to me or to the Latinos in the media or out in the community? The answer is very simple. The Latino community, as I said earlier, comprises 25% of the population in metropolitan Los Angeles. Yet the Latino, the stations is represented by only 10% of the work force.

Clearly there is a disparity.

Now will this change? How and where and when will the Latino achieve greater representation in the media? This will not occur until the Latino and the Latino community is seen, not as one in need of help and salvation, but as one who also has and does contribute to the growth of these United States and all its markets.

If the buying and bargaining power and potential of this population were fully recognized, there would be no need for all the indiscriminate cancellation of Latino oriented programs, the indiscriminate firing of Latino employees and the general dismissal of the Latino community.

Mr. Lizarraga will now give you a specific example of what I mean, by relating to you the experiences we have had at KABC television.

Again let me remind you, this is one incident that occurred at one station. But the pattern always seems to be the same with the others.

Mr. Lizarraga?

A (By Mr. Lizarraga) Since TELACU was founded in 1968,

8_.

this community development corporation has been striving to improve the quality of life for the residents of Latino community. And we have taken an active interest and participation in the aspirations and struggles and setbacks of our Latino people involved in the media.

Last year in 1975, several community organizations and TELACU met to discuss the problems that Latinos were having at KABC-TV. We started monitoring the station's daily programs and undertook some research of its operating procedures.

By the time we met again in February, 1976, this year, ten Latinos had left the station, some were fired, others phased out and were given substantial information that some of those that remained were forced to work in a hostile environment, which was not conducive to creativity and to productivity.

of those that left in the period of one year, I submit the following information. ABC west coast had Latino personnel director who for five years operated his office with a staff of three persons, he left in 1975 for reasons which you might ask the station management themselves. Upon his departure, the station immediately replaced him with a nonminority personnel director who commanded a higher salary and whose staff was immediately increased to eight people and now numbers 12.

The point here is that while the Latino headed a personnel office, that office was largely ignored and its:

One of the top Chicano reporters in the nation who had developed a sizeable Latino audience for KABC, was also let go. When I inquired as to why, the station manager told me that the reporter had told him he planned to go into teaching.

Frankly, I never did quite understand the logic of that statement, in view of the fact that the reporter got a job as a reporter at another local station shortly after his dismissal.

Perhaps maybe when you interview KABC you might ask the station management why it dismissed him and get a reply that makes more sense to you. It certainly didn't make sense to us.

Another Latino reporter was very popular and well respected in the community was often humiliated by the news director before he was fired.

To give you a small indication of how he was treated while at KABC, he undertook some extensive investigative reporting in a particular issue that later broke into a news story. To give you an idea of the attitude that the station has, okay? The gentleman who did some extensive investigative work in a particular issue, it later broke as to what was

considered a very major story. Now, everyone in the news department knew he had been working with the story, but when it came to the time, you know, to air it, the news director assigned the story to another reporter.

When KABC phased out two programs that addressed themselves to the Latinos, one called Domingo and the other, Reflecciones, the latter of which won an Emmy for the station, it also phased out the positions of two producers, it phased out the positions of four technicians, it phased out the positions of two production assistants.

We can not prove it but we do feel that if these eight people had not been minorities, they would have been able to get assignments on other shows that the station was producing.

Another factor to consider, some Latinos who worked on Domingo and Reflecciones were not hired as staff of KABC.

- Q (By the Chair) Were not hired?@s % LET CT LADD
- A. Were not hired as staff of KABC. But the nonminorities who later replaced them to work on Eyewitness Los Angeles, were hired as staff of KABC, at a much higher, at much higher wages.
- Q (By Ms. McCullough) Excuse me, could you explain what that hiring agreement was, they were not hired as staff, on what basis were they hired? Were they contract

1 or what? 2 A. (By Ms. Nagata) I'd like to respond to that. 3 And use Reflecciones as an example. One of the 4 technicians on the, or rather a cameraman on that crew 5 was hired on a show, on a contractual show basis. 6 other words, he got an average of about \$200.00 per show. 7 Okay? Which aired every two weeks. 8 Now, when Reflecciones was phased out, the same 9 positions, cameraman, which was the person who was hired as 10 cameraman, was hired as a staff person, not on a contractual 11 basis from show to show. And I do believe that the wage 12 went up almost, almost by double. 13 Are there union standards for those wages? 0. 14 I'm not sure. I couldn't answer that question. 15 (By Ms. Gomez) Does the budget, before we leave that, Q. 16 does the budget that was for Reflecciones, did it come from 17 the same place that the budget for the show of shows comes 18 from? 19 The show of shows? A. 20 Q. Didn't you say something about show of shows? 21 Eyewitness Los Angeles? 22 a The cameraman that was taken out of Reflecciones 23 and then was hired as staff. Didn't you say he was on 24 another show? 25

Okay, the cameraman who had been working on Rel

A.

Reflectiones and who had been working on a kind of week to week basis or show to show basis, no, he did not get hired as the cameraman on Eyewitness Los Angeles, which was the show, the magazine format show that replaced Reflectiones.

- Q. Oh, he was not hired?
- A No, not to my knowledge.
- Q I thought you said he had been hired as staff at a higher wage?
- A. No, no, the person who replaced that position was a nonminority was hired as staff. In other words, a cameraman-technician but part of the staff, not on a weekly contractual basis. And I believe that the salary was much higher and much higher meant close to double in salary.
- A (By Mr. Lizarraga) In other words, what we're saying is that when we have people coming in in staff, in the staff minority programs, they are not brought in on a staff basis, all right? They're brought in as a temporary show to show basis.

However, it seems to us that then the indication is the commitment as far as the station is concerned is when something of more priority in there is to replace it comes in such as Eyewitness Los Angeles, and these people are phased out, they are somehow able to bring in staff people at a much higher wage and on a permanent basis. Okay?

THE CHAIR: I'm going to ask the panel to refrain

from questions so you can finish your statement.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

That's fine, thank you very much.

Incidentally, I just wanted to tell you that we met with KABC in April and the station manager told us that the program Reflecciones had a low audience rating of about six~or 7% as opposed to Eyewitness Los Angeles, which has an audience rating of 10 or 11%. Now, a major fact to consider at this point is that since the rating system is not reflective of Latino population, the ratings of Reflecciones were not accurate and therefore the conclusions of the station, I think, should be questions. The process of analyzing ratings, I think needs to be questioned in this case.

A scientific survey and viewing habit study undertaken independently by the graduate school at Claremont College, concluded in 1971 that Reflecciones was the most popular Chicano program in the city. Its rating within the Chicano community was even higher than the overall ratings Mr. Severino had ascribed to Eyewitness Los Angeles.

I have heard unofficially that Eyewitness was given a promotion budget upwards of \$50,000.00 whereas the two minority shows had no promotion budget at all. I would like to know if this committee might ask -- would ask the commission to investigate this to give you, we really don't know, we just have an idea that there is promotion budget

to sell something and when something's not important you don't promote it and therefore, it's phased out.

As I mentioned earlier in this testimony TELACU, my organization, did monitor KABC-TV for a period of about five months, until February, 1976. And this is what we found.

After Reflecciones was cancelled, the Latino community received less than five minutes of public affairs programming per month until we brought it to the attention of the station manager when we met with the manager in April.

Public service announcements relating to our community averaged eight seconds per day, that's less than 1% of its total public service time.

Access to news and editorials relating to the Latino community comprised a small percentage of the total editorial and news programming and was not in proportion to the actual size of the Spanish-speaking community.

KABC-TV did not recruit, hire, assign or promote Latinos on an equal basis with Whites.

Of the few Latinos remaining in the employ of KABC, the station did not offer them equal opportunities for advancement.

KABC did indeed go out to ascertain the needs of our community but unfortunately those ascertainment reports

produced no additional coverage in the a.m. show, on the a.m. show and very little, if anything, on the various other public affairs or news programs produced at the station.

Obviously, since those reports did not translate into coverage of our community, they were, as far as we are concerned, invalid and certainly a waste of time on -- of our time.

Based on these findings we ask the questions, you know, whose interest is KABC serving?

Disturbed by these findings, I wrote to Mr. Elton Rule, President of KABC or ABC, excuse me, to bring this information to his attention. Mr. Rule responded to my letter on February 18th, asking that we meet with KABC station manager, Mr. John Severino.

A meeting was set up with Mr. Severino to meet with me, my communications staff, Mr. Frank Orme, Executive Director of the National Association for Better Broadcasting, his executive secretary, Mrs. Betty Longstreet, Frank Kronin, attorney for MALDEF and Sally James of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

On the day we all appeared for the meeting at KABC, Mr. Severino did not allow Ms. James to attend the meeting because, according to him, she was, quote, an outsider.

We thought that was rather strange. Nevertheless, the meeting was held. We aired our complaints and presented

our findings.

3 4 5

3

The second meeting we held on April 29, 1976, it lasted for an hour or so, during which time Mr. Severino announced that PSA's were up 21% and that Eyewitness had aired 45 minutes of programs on subjects relating to Latino community, in a two-month period, and that a Chicano had been promoted in the news department. That did show some progress.

We did not, however, get the indication that the future meetings with Mr. Severino will produce more than what we had gotten or solve the essential problems which we were concerned about, such as an aggressive affirmative action program that would lead to the development of a first Latino anchor person in Los Angeles.

The hiring or upgrading of Latinos at the station to policy-making positions in the news department. The development of entertainment and other more relevant programming. The hiring of Latinos in business affairs and legal departments. The contracting with Latino firms for the delivery of various services to KABC, such as printing, construction, painting, rubbish collection, electrical.

We talk about affirmative action we're talking about affirmative action all the way through, not just -- not just -- well. We'll see. Not the tokenism that we've been seeing.

We also met on June 9th of this year with Mr. Paul Masterson, head of the west coast office of ABC and members of the ABC staff team. Prior to that meeting we requested a copy of ABC's organization chart and its affirmative action program. Goals and timetables. None of these documents were made available to us prior to, during or after we met with the ABC team.

We asked to see a copy of their organization chart to determine how many Latinos were employed and where at KABC, and its west coast affiliates, KABC radio, KLOS radio, ABC records and KABC-TV.

Our records show that total Latino employment comprised only 8% of the staff of 1,020 people. We asked for a copy of the affirmative action program for the most obvious reasons, a week or two after we met I received a copy of ABC's affirmative action policy statements but no program with goals, and timetables.

Deputy Mayor Grace Davis, who had attended the meeting with the ABC management, also asked for a copy of their affirmative action program and was told that the station did not legally have to release this information.

We got the strong indication when we met with the ABC team that ABC didn't have a specific affirmative action program with goals or timetables, and was in the process of writing something up.

It has been six months since we last met with the management of KABC-TV and four months since we met with the management of ABC. PSA's are up 21%, public affairs programming is down a little bit by about 21%. There's not a Latino anchor person at the station, there are no Latinos at the station who are employed in policy-making positions at the station, not one in program development or entertainment, not one in the legal department, not one in business affairs, not one in promotion and advertising,

According to the station employment record, only two Spanish-surnamed persons listed as officials and managers. I would like to know which management meetings they attend, what policies and affirmative actions they participate in or contribute to and have they been able to implement them for the Latino community.

Also, I would like to know what corrective measures the station plans to take, what plans they have to eliminate the problems that we have indicated here for you and when.

Because frankly, Ladies and Gentlemen, we're just really, really very tired of the tokenism that we've seen and the manner, our community is treated and just for the record, I think I might, some of it was mentioned before, that by 1990, we will be 50% of the southern California population, 50%. We are projecting 50% by 1980, in southern California -- no, wait a minute, I've got these

wrong. 30% in California by 1980, and 50% by 1990.

You know, if the stations are really, really, really serious about their affirmative action and talk about good intents, it's got to go manifested through action, only way we can see that is through what comes over that tube and what happens in the station itself, and it should be a mirror of that community.

We're not asking for anything that doesn't rightfully belong to the total community, whether it be Chicanos, Asians, Blacks or whatever, and we feel very, very strongly that unless something is done immediately, that this will -- we'll have hearings again in five years and we'll be hearing the same sad story.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Lizarraga and Ms. Nagata, thank you very much for that excellent, informative, detailed statement.

I just have a couple of small questions.

You presented some facts and figures and information, did KABC management concur with your findings?

- A. (By Ms. Nagata) We got the information from the station itself.
 - Q (By the Chair) And although they. --
- A It's based on a 395 form dated February, 1976 and it's the last one that is available or it's the most current.
- Q Thank you. Now, you have indicated what you have done and what you see as the problems. Now, do you have any

plans that you would like to share with us from your side 1 as to what needs to be done? This afternoon we'll be 2 asking ABC what they plan to do but just how about the 3 media coalition, does it have any specific --4 A. Yes. Our recommendations are, that a task force 5 created by the National Latino Media Coalition be officially 6 recognized by the United States Civil Rights Commission, 7 BE TANKING TO the Federal Communications Commission and the networks 8 and the local owned and operated stations. That the 9 findings and recommendations as set forth by this task 10 force be implemented. That the task force be able to 11 recommend Latinos for the positions in the following 12 categories and monitor the hiring within these categories 13 to insure balanced Latino representations. 14 In officials and managers, professionals, technicians, 15 sales and advertising, office and clerical. 16 And most definitely with anchor -- we need anchor 17 persons. 18 19 The fourth recommendation is that the percentage of Latinos employed by the television stations be commensurate 20 21 to the percentages reflected in the larger community. THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. 22 (By Ms. Hata) I've got a couple of questions, you 23 Q. 24 mentioned that there were two persons in managerial posi-

tions at KABC, what specifically are these positions?

25

1 (By Ms. Nagata) We were going by the records. A. 2 believe that one is considered a community relations 3 director, I am not sure what the second one is. (By Ms. James) Is that ABC you're talking about? 4 Q. 5 KABC. A. 6 You also mentioned that NBC had two managers, do you 7 know what those positions are? 8 Community relations director or I believe it's 9 called manager of press publicity and advertising, some-10 thing like that. 11 I think it has one on the west coast, it has one, 12 I believe in Chicago in program development but then, of 13 course, that is NBC. 14 No, I thought you said KNBC had two? 15 I did, I believe I did say that, and it's, I know 16 one for sure, but I'm sorry that I may have made a mistake, 17 I was remembering -- I was visualizing the face of a person 18 that I know that is at NBC in Chicago and who is an official 19 manager. 20 (By Ms. Hata) You spoke of hostile environment at 21 KABC and that was not define or explained, would you go on 22 a little further about hostile environment, what you meant 23 by that? 24 A. Before we -- before we came here, we knew that 25 we were going to be asked that question. And hostile environment is a very kind of an elusive thing, because it's -it is created by the attitude of one's so-called superiors
or the station management. And it's generally manifested
in the way that the -- in our case Latinos are able to
produce or create for the stations.

One of the -- one of the problems that we had in trying to describe that is that there is an intimidation factor here. And if we were to discuss that or be more specific we would have to wind up letting you know who, I mean maybe divulging the names of people whose names do not want to be divulged because they are afraid of blacklisting.

- Ω Fine, well, perhaps if our staff has further questions they can pursue that with you on their own. Separately at another time.
- A Okay.

Q Our staff will probably do that.

Thirdly you talked about meeting with a variety of individuals to discuss your concerns at KABC, were these individuals White or did you deal with minorities as well?

- A Latino community in this case.
- Q No, when you were dealing with the station officials were you dealing solely with White male officials?
 - A. Oh, yes, of course.
- 25 Q. Just for the record --

B2 x 5

1	A. Surely, John Severino, Paul Coss, where's the
2	other one? Bill Fife, and of course Mr. Masterson.
3	A (By Mr. Lizarraga) There was one female.
4	A. (By Ms. Nagata) All right, Ms. Foster, Carol
5	Foster, this is at ABC, with Mr. Masterson, Ms. Foster,
6	Mr. Severino, sat in on that meeting and I believe there
7	were three officials that flew in for that meeting from
8	back east, one I believe was Mr. De Mont (P), and I can't
9	remember the other two names.
10	Q Okay. Finally, you have singled out KABCtthis
11	morning as an example of some of the problems you've faced
12	A. (By Mr. Lizarraga) I didn't hear you.
13	Q. You've singled out KABC as an example of some of the
14	problems you've faced with the stations. Are you implying
15	that you have no problems with the other stations?
16	A. No, we are not implying that at all except we needed
17	to start someplace. And we feel that problems exist in
18	all the networks, major networks.
19	However, we wanted to go through a formal process of
20	meeting with the management, discussing the problems, to see
21	if there's some way that we can work together to solve these
22	problems, you know, we wanted to try working with the
23	damned system, you know.
24	But we just don't seem to be progressing at all and
25	maybe it will have to be settled some other way.

	1	Q But KABC is your first step then?
	2	A That's correct.
İ	3	
	4	(The following was chaired by Ms. Nadine Hata)
	5	
	6	THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions?
	7	Q (By Ms. Gomez) Yes. What do you think the com-
	8	munity can do about it?
	9	A. (By Mr. Lizarraga) Boycott.
	10	A. (By Ms. Nagata) Its advertisers, on a national
	11	basis.
	12	A. (By Mr. Lizarraga) That's right.
	13	A. (By Ms. Nagata) Because we can meet and meet and
	14	meet and all we're doing is meeting and meeting.
	15	You know, we all know what the problems are, they're
	16	traditional and they will not stop that way, the only
	17	thing that really will stop it when the station is threatened
	18	by a lack of a lack of revenue, as KNXT was recently.
	19	One of the things that was not in our record but I
	20	might add parenthetically here, there was a time when our
	21	organization used to send its press releases to the news
	22	department of KNXT. KNXT, at that time, was under another
	23	was under an administration other than this current one.
	24	And we never were able to get any coverage by KNXT. So
	25	we tried an experiment. We started sending our press
1	I	

releases to KABC because KABC hired more Chicanos at one time. See the record, our problems here are KABC, of today, were not the problems that we faced with KABC five years ago when it was under another local administration.

Anyway, at the time we started sending our press releases to KABC, there were three Chicano reporters. Somehow or another we always got coverage by a station, whether it was from the Chicanos themselves or whether they passed a lot of the stories on to — general stories about our community. There was out in the barrios pretty much of a concerted effort to start boycotting KNBC because they were not taking us seriously.

We met with the station management of KNXT, I believe in January of this year when it was under another administration, it did not have a series then, they did not believe that we were boycotting them, they did not believe that they'd lost the Latino audience to KABC, they weren't making that kind of connection.

We did meet with the new management of KNXT recently, which does acknowledge that it has lost a large segment of the communities. I'm not sure that they know how large of an audience we are that they lost. But I think that KNBC might be in for the same problems that KNXT is now suffering if it doesn't start digging with us and coming to the bargaining table, because this is a — this is a community that

1 is here to stay, it will continue to grow, and sooner or 2 later it will come to the bargaining table whether we have 3 to boycott it or do something else. 4 Q. (By Ms. James) Just one question, you mentioned you 5 just recently met with KNXT --6 Yes, two weeks ago. A. 7 Was that meeting initiated by you or by them? a - The state of the 8 A By them. 9 And what did they give you, any suggestions of things Q. 10 that they were going to do immediately at that meeting? 11 A. That was the most encouraging meeting we've had in 12 a ton of years, I think. They do want to program more widely 13 to us. 14 Mr. Lizarraga, do you want to talk about that? 15 (By Mr. Lizarraga) No, I really wanted to say A. 16 something else. 17 Q. Did they make any commitments? 18 (By Ms. Nagata) The attitude was very different. A. 19 wasn't that they were saying we want to make a commitment 20 here and now we weren't asking we want you to make a 21 commitment on this and that and that and the other, let's 22 explore what your needs be and let's explore what our needs 23 are and let's meet again, but it was initiated by them, we 24 got every indication that they would like to embrace us as 25 a community. And I hope we were right.

1 Did they say why they had initiated a meeting at this 2 particular time? 3 I think it's a new administration who wants to 4 know what the various communities are all about, what its 5 local population is like. 6 (By Mr. Lizarraga) Of course we're willing to helpo 7 the top station in Los Angeles any way we can if they're 8 going to work with our community because we know that our 9 consumer will respond. 10 I just want to tell you just, this last comment here 11 and when we had our meeting with Severino on April 22nd, 12 one of the problems that we have, I don't think that the 13 stations really care, they just don't and they're not being 14 forced to comply. And the attitude is such that, you know, 15 when we were discussing possibilities of working together 16 to try to solve a common problem, we benefit everybody, 17 themselves as well. 33. .. 18 And we didn't want is an adversary situation, be-19 cause in this, in a situation like that I guess everybody 20 loses. 21 A common, I've artranscript hereigight now and I'd 22 like to read it, a comment by --23 A transcript from what? Q. 24 From that meeting, okay? Give you an idea of the 25 attitude. It says, and we're talking about an adversary

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

situation that might take place if we don't begin to work together.

He said let's make it clear my point of view obviously, I hope you don't have to take, to get into an adversary situation, but if we do this corporation has a staff of many, many lawyers who I think are overpaid and do nothing anyway.

My response was, they are? I would like you to overpay a couple of Latinos, Chicano lawyers, you know, let's put them on the list as well.

He also said let's keep in mind also that because we have so many lawyers, they don't allow me to fulfill my obligation to get our license renewal, so that kind of threat, I hope we don't have to get involved in --

- (By Ms. Gomez) What station was this? a
- KABC. In other words, that's the attitude we're They've got a lot of people and they've got faced with. nothing to do but fight us in court so we have to put our forces together and do that anyway.

THE CHAIR: One final question?

(By Ms. Bernstein) Yes, I have a question.

Is it feasible for community organizations to appeal to the FCC in terms of licensing renewal to put pressure on stations to comply with affirmative action?

A. Yes.

1 Has your group worked in that way at all? 2 (By Ms. Nagata) Yes. Right now the composition of A. 3 the Federal Communications Commission is somewhat -- it's 4 unresponsive to this community. And of course we speculate 5 that some of that has to do with the fact that there is not 6 one Latino that serves on that commission. 7 The Black community was not responded to pretty much, 8 you know, a few years ago, it now has commissioner Benjamin 9 Hook and I'm surprised that Blacks have been, and I think 10 that's magnificent and that's one of the reasons why we 11 are advocates for a Federal Communications Commissioner 12 as well. 13 THE CHAIR: If there are no further questions, thank 14 you both. 15 16 (Short recess) 17 18 THE CHAIR: I'd like to reconvene this morning's 19 session. 20 For the record, I'd like to note the presence of 21 Helen McCullough, Frankie Gillette, Blanche Gomez and Helen 22 Bernstein, and in the audience we have another committee 23 member, Mr. David Santiago. 24 The next witness is Ms. Boots Jones. 25 Ms. Jones, for the record, would you give us your

1 name and address? 2 3 MS. BOOTS JONES 5 6 (By Ms. Jones) My name is Boots Jones, I live at . A 7 3514 Cody Road, Sherman Oaks. 8 (By the Chair) And would you identify yourself, Q. 9 please? 10 I am, by profession, a physical therapist but I'm A 11 also the State Vice Chair for National Women's Political 12 Caucus, and am one of the NWPC representatives on the Los 13 Angeles Women's Coalition for Better Broadcasting, whom I 14 represent today. 15 a Do you have a brief summary to present to us before 16 questioning? 17 Yes. The Los Angeles Women's Coalition for Better A. 18 Broadcasting consists of representatives of National Women's 19 Political Caucus, several national organizations for women 20 chapters, Women's Equity League, the ACLU women's rights 21 project, Black Feminists, Comision en Feminino and Native 22 Student Organization. 23 The latter are no longer with us because they are 24 a transient population but they did a great deal of work 25 during the time we were writing the briefs and getting the

material upon which we based the briefs.

We organized early in 1974 to try and influence as ascertainment, employment and programming as regards women in the TV industry. We want to change the image of women we saw as it is presently constituted.

Wery briefly, we submitted and negotiated a proposal with each of the UHF stations, the result of the negotiations was that we challenged the license of KNXT-TV, KTLA and KCOP, these suits are presently in the Washington Court of Appeals. We signed feminists agreements with KABC and KNBC. Very minimal agreements. And have women's advisory councils with these stations.

We are trying to cope with the production studios, we started with Universal and complained to the General Services Administration. General Services Administration has just finished an overview of Universal and should have all the figures that we can't get.

I was called last night by a woman who found out that I was going to be testifying today and she wanted me to tell you that Lou Wasserman stated to the SAG group that he would have nothing to do with an affirmative action program. He didn't want to hear anything about it. And she also went on to state that at Universal the employment figures in the last two months for women have gone down in spite of all the promises he made and she also wanted to

say she was concerned the promise that he made in terms of the casting list, I understand he made a promise that there would be all the sex descriptions on the casting lists would be taken off, and she says this is not true.

And I think it would be good of the commission to investigate these allegations.

- Q (By the Chair) For the record, would you give us Mr. Wasserman's position?
- A. Mr. Lou Wasserman, I think he's president of Universal MCA. Some of our problems, and I hope we get into a discussion, I just briefly want to go through these. Lack of accountability of the networks in the public broadcasting system.

Now, we women want change in the public broadcasting system because we didn't have time, but we have talked to them and tried to negotiate with them and got nowhere.

And the problem as we see it is while the stations have some accountability to the FCC, the networks have not. They're accounting, apparently, only to God.

The networks run the station. The stations, stations say they are responsible to the FCC but when you challenge them on something they say the network says we have to do that. When you challenge them on a program, they say it's a network program, you know, it's Catch 22. They have no affirmative action program so far as I can see. It does result,

however, as far as we are concerned, in the stations abrogating the agreements because in the agreements, one of
the things that they said as a nice policy statement, is they
show no programs which would demean women or any creed or
anything like that, and then they put on a program like
Charlie's Angels, which while it does give employment to
three women, gives it in such a way that I don't think we
need it.

Some other way we need employment. There is dis-

Some other way we need employment. There is discrimination in the FCC itself, it's a pleasure to see so many women on the dias it's not true of PCB and FCC.

There is no procedure for implementing goals and timetables providing you get them.

The 395 forms are totally inadequate, you have no job descriptions, you have no way of knowing what's going on at all, they only come in once a year and you don't know how many women have gone through. I mean they could say that they've hired one more women as compared to last year, they may have hired a number of women and they will have gone through and you don't know why they were not successful.

Michelle is bringing documents --

THE CHAIR: Would you identify her, have her identify herself for the records?

MS. GRUMET: I'm Michelle Grumet, I'm with the L.A. Women's Coalition for Better Broadcasting.

A. We have copies of the agreements, we have copies of the briefs, and various things like that, if you'd like them. We have the originals in some cases so we're going to have to ask your staff to copy them for us, okay?

I might add that the coalition has had no money at all, whatever we've done has come out of our pockets, we've had no lawyers, what legal advice we've gotten has been from friendly people, law students, the last brief was brilliantly written by a law clerk here in Los Angeles, Kay Loveland, and so we have to ask people who have the facilities to help us.

THE CHAIR: We will be asking you for copies.

A. Okay. The public's only research the license challenge which we have just been through and are still in the process of doesn't work. In fact, the FCC has been so totally unresponsive to the allegations in the challenges that one wonders why they even bother. For instance, at KTTC a woman was fired because she refused to pad the wages of the employees. She filed a formal EEO complaint and we included it in our challenge and she was totally ignored. I mean this is a felony that she was reporting.

The unions are unresponsive. Another Catch 22 situation. Finally, in our discussions at KNBC the women's advisory council, we would complain that there are no technicians, they would say the unions won't let us and we'd

say, then you tell the unions, and it would go on and on and we finally agreed that we would write the unions and ask them the breakdowns in terms of women and how, you know, and the job situation.

And that's just been recent. We've received answers from the writers' union and from SAG and of course they're not the ones that are really involved with what we're talking about, are the cameramen and I understand YATC didn't show up yesterday so I don't imagine they'll answer our letter.

It's a perfect? Catch 22 however for both the unions and the networks. The unions will say the networks won't hire the stations and the other stations will say the unions won't let women in. And we all know it's nonsense, we know this is a business where all you need to get a job is somebody who wants to hire you.

And somehow or another, you get hired.

Sexism on TV continues unabated. Sex stereotyping and programming is not interest standards of the communications act, and violates the fairness doctrine.

The distorted image of women now on the TV screen in programming and in ads includes as detrimentally, the self-concept young girls have and perpetuates sex stereotypes

We contend that the policy of writers, directors and

1 producers, as well as camera and sound people, directly 2 influences the image of more than half of the population. 3 THE CHAIR: Ms. Gomez? 4 (By Ms. Gomez) Ms. Jones, could you tell me when Q. 5 did you sign these agreements for the stations? 6 October of '74. A. 7 Q. October of '74? And what were the two, were the 8 major agreements? 9 A. In ascertainment we asked that the women's community 10 be ascertained and they are doing that, this is at KABC 11 and KNBC, okay? With KNXT, of course, we have no communi-12 cation. We had hoped that the new management would approach 13 but they haven't, so maybe it's wone too far. 14 Do you feel that they lived up to their agreements 15 to a certain degree? 16 A KNXT? 17 Q No, the ones you signed? 18 No, they haven't lived up to their agreements but A. 19 they have in some respects and some better than others, 20 you know, it's a very -- it's an interesting situation. 21 In terms of ascertainment I would say that they have 22 as much as possible. I'm not going to put too much of a 23 thing on that because I think ascertainment on the whole 24 is a crock anyway, it really doesn't -- what they do is they 25 ask leaders of the community, they don't really go out and

ascertain a community the way a community ought to be ascertained whatever the community, whether it's the women's community or the Chicano community or whatever.

In terms of employment, and this is so piddling it's just awful, they finally, after hours and hours and hours of negotiations, they finally agreed to hire nine more people in the top four categories in the next three years.

In other words, the next license period.

Now, as I say the problem with that is there's no way we have of checking out anything, the 395 forms have no job descriptions and so forth, and the other problem which we are too naives to realize then, was that they can put them all in the professionals, they can put them into on-camera people and stuff like that and it doesn't get you one more technician.

There is, we understand now, at KNXT, for instance, there is a woman who is an excellent camerawoman; who's typing on a video type machine. Because she can type. But that kind of thing we have, there's no way we can monitor this.

I will say this, that I'm on the KNBC council,
Michelle is on the KABC council, I think that KNBC has
tried to do some good things, certainly in terms of the
news, they're finally getting a woman anchor person. Kelly
Lang, presumably, is going to start very shortly.

They do cover women's events, so does KABC, KNXT does not. They do if it's huge but I mean it's -- you know the things that we set up and do which are considered newsworthy by the other stations, are not considered newsworthy always by KNXT.

- Q Have your efforts included any dealings with the motion picture industry?
- A No. None at all. Just, well, Universal, I mean which does TV movies and so forth. Universal is extraordinary, I mean it does over half of the TV productions. So that we felt it was very much within our purview but that's really like talking to God. I mean that's an impossibility.

MS. MICHELLE GRUMET

- A (By Ms. Grumet) We filed a complaint.
- A. (By Ms. Jones) Yes, we have a complaint which we will give you our complaint with GSA with contract compliance, and --
 - Q With regards to Universal?
- A Regard to Universal contract compliance got very upset because they'd done this overview and they thought they had a contract or an agreement going with Universal and didn't feel they could do it until they satisfied our

1 complaints. Well, there's no way you can satisfy our com-2 plaints, as you'll see when you see the letter, I mean not 3 within the next three or four days. They wanted us to meet 4 with the Universal officials. 5 Now, your efforts with the unions, what -- have you 6 contacted them at all or --7 Well, we've written -- we wrote every union, KNBC gave A. 8 us a list of all the unions that they have dealings with 9 and there are how many, there are about 25? 10 A (By Ms. Grumet) Sixteen. 11 A (By Ms. Jones) Sixteen. 12 But you weren't able to get together with them? Q. 13 A. We got together with the Writers Guild but that was 14 before we were asked to because we felt that the writers 15 are the ones that are writing all these ghastly things. 16 The writers, of course, themselves, have their problems, 17 they don't have enough women writers, and what women 18 writers they have don't get on TV enough. They don't get 19 their fair share. 20 But over and beyond that, they say that they write 21 what they are told to write. 22 Q. Where are you going --23 A. Somewhere that's going to stop. 24 Q. What are yourimmediate goals or what --25 A. For what?

Q Your organization to bring these things about and these negotiations to --

A Well, we hope that the licenses will be denied for all the stations, and can you imagine what's going to happen then? It won't, of course, and I think this is — you know, my heart bled when I listened to everybody else testify here because in fact you suggested that the Chicanos go the license challenge route. I don't think the license — I think the license challenge route takes up an awful lot of an organization's energy and money to no avail.

I'm glad we did it, I'm glad we did it on such a scale that the fact we can eventually say to people either this is a good thing or it isn't, but I don't think really that the FCC is ever going to pay any attention to this kind of thing and in fact, in Congress, as you know, they're trying to get the license period extended to five years, which is going to give the public even less of a chance to get at them.

- Q (By the Chair) Well, what alternative do you propose, then, if you say the license route is inadequate?
- A. (By Ms. Grumet) I'd like to say something about that, just to kind of get it on the record too.

Did you talk about the FCC and unresponsibility?

Well, like one of the just incredible things I'm sure lot of

people have told you this, about the FCC is that all our allegations and everything that was in our position, they just ignored and they said that we failed to raise substantial and material question of fact, they didn't even deal with them, it was like they said the same thing for every allegation, even though we had affidavits from employees that worked at the station, and so that's why we decided to take our case to the U.S. Court of Appeals.

But from what we understand from communications lawyers in Washington, the deal in the court of appeals all the time is that even the court now is very hesitant about overturning FCC decisions because they're afraid that it's going to jump, you know, go up to the Supreme Court and they don't like to overturn another government agency.

So, like I think the question that our group, our coalition is really facing, is, what do you do? Well, you go to the FCC, they ignore you, you have reams of information, you have affidavits, you have -- you know, and then they turn you down, you go to the court of appeals and women are usually always, they've never won yet in the court of appeals, by the way, and all we were asking for in our appeal was a hearing, we weren't asking for, you know, we just wanted to make these things public.

So, what we started to do on a very kind of a low key level, was start to pressure legislators. Well, you know,

there's only one legislator here, well, at least in L.A.

Waxman, who's on the communications, who just got appointed
to the communications subcommittee so we were all ready to
go and testify in Washington just a few weeks ago, in front
of Congress, about license renewal period. And the complete
unresponsiveness of the FCC and suddenly the hearings were
cancelled. So what do you do?

I mean it's the whole -- it's the whole, you know, paper tiger thing, is because the legislatures and lesiglators are afraid or nervous because, you know, these media people could make or break their political campaigns so they don't want to alienate them and yet they don't want to alienate us, so I think, you know, we just find incredible situations and we think that the FCC there has got to be a whole, you know, investigation of that agency.

I mean not just the fact that a lot of the people that sit on the agency are former broadcasters, but for instance when we filed our petitions against these stations the FCC didn't even bother to let us know when they had made their rulings and their decision. We read about it in the L.A. Times after — weeks after they had ruled. So because —

- A (By Ms. Jones) Which cut down on our time.
- A. (By Ms. Grumet) Which cut on our stime some one station we couldn't even appeal the case because the FCC didn't

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

bother to let us know and you know what their response was? You know they said, well, we gave you public notice, we sent out a press release to all the media.

So here we are, we're the petitioning party, you know, here we are, we filed, you know, mammoth briefs and they didn't even bother to let us know that they had reached a decision until it was almost too late to file I mean this is the kind of really incredible our reply. things, and the point she mentioned about this woman at Metromedia, I mean this woman had death threats, she alleged, you know, they fired her, and in her EEOC complaint with the EEOC she charged sex discrimination, age discrimination, she also said that she was fired because she caught, at least this is what she alleged, she caught the station committing a felony over paying their daily call workers and she wouldn't go along with it until they gave her, you know, until they gave her written . authorization to pay them this double time and they wouldn't do it so they fired her.

And nothing has been done. Nothing. I mean these are really serious charges, the EEOC we found in cases when women filed complaints they've been sitting there for four and five years so what do you do?

You can't get anything from the EEOC, you can't get anything from the FCC, the legislature, you know, represen-

tatives just look at you in the face. So I mean we are really, in the position right now where we're trying to rethink our whole strategy, maybe about boycotts or something like this, because you know, obviously --

- Q (By Ms. Gomez) Did you go so far as the Spanishspeaking people have said that they would as far as boycotting
 viewing and products?
- A I think everybody's thought about it I know.

 NAWCP has thought about it, we thought about it in conjunction with each other, but I don't know how-you implement a boycott in this particular kind of a situation.

There is a particularly offensive ad right now on TV which has to do with hose, and the -- yes, right, and you know the camera is on everybody's fanny, okay. I suppose we could mount that, but the amount of money and time it would take to do that to be effective, is horrendous.

And it seems to me that what you're doing is you're just picking one fly off at a time actually.

One of the things, for instance in our agreements we was that they -- the stations were supposed to help us get in touch with the advertisers to talk to them, all we want to do is talk to the advertisers and explain some of the things that are particularly offensive to women.

We can't get to first base. We're still complaining to the ones we've written the agreements with in terms of

the news, for instance.

I'm still talking to Bob Elton about it, I mean they cover some news beautifully but then they do these other idiotic things, they should know better by now.

Now, Eileen Hernandez is going to do sensitivity sessions, is what we finally decided to call them, with KNBC, this is part of the agreement. Three of them. Well, I'm sure it will help to some extent, but this is --

- Q (By Ms. James) With management?
- A. With management, yes. KABC isn't -- isn't even as good as that, they say they have their own in-house and we don't know who they are and really, you know.

So in that sense we feel they've abrogated their agreement.

The question is what do you do when they abrogate their agreement --

- Q (By the Chair) You are dealing in all of these conversations with White males?
 - A. Oh, yes.
- Q You have not had any dealings with any woman in any significant decision-making --
- A Yes, they brought in -- at KNBC they did bring in

 Diane Barkley--- Dee Ann Barkley, who is head of program

 development and with the network, and she listened to our

 long song and dance for a couple of hours and we haven't seen

anything more.

I will say this for KNBC, they are showing -- or this is NBC-produced, they are showing some decent programming, I mean they are doing Babe Diedrickson, they're going to be doing Amelia Earhart, they're the ones that did Eleanor, which to us is feminist programming, Eleanor, to us is an idea of feminist programming.

Feminist programming is not sitting two women down at a panel and discussing ad nauseum something so boring nobody wants to listen to it, including me. "Iemean@whatewe are talking about is we are talking about women in the mainstream of life. And we are. We're more than the men, after all. And nobody would be here if it weren't for us.

- Q (By Ms. Gillette) Could you identify some of the other things in the agreement? In addition to the sensitivity sessions?
- A. Ninety minutes a year of prime time programming dealing with women's affairs, which meant that Babe Diedrickson satisfied that requirement.

As I say, we were rather naive; and you can inote believe it, you would have felt we were asking for the moon and the stars and everything.

We asked to meet with the writers and they agreed they would, they could facilitate such meetings. Nothing has happened, it's taken two years, incidentally, for us

.....

to get to first base on the sensitivity session.

We asked them to change their language, which they have done pretty much.

We've given various guides on sexist language and so forth, and the news people are as I think everybody has, the news people are doing pretty well in that area. They revised their job application forms and there are a whole bunch of little things like this.

The talent bank we don't know what they've done.

We've sent them names, nobody is ever gotten hired that we know of.

There are various other resources which we recommend to them, nobody's been hired out of them.

As I say, it's an old boy institution, as we all know. There are some gains, however, there are a few more women, there are a few more women, but it's so picayune that when you look at prime time programming, we aren't there.

- Q (By Ms. Gillette) Now, in your efforts to upgrade the role of women, and participation, do you then negotiate in terms of women in general or do you break it down by minority women or do anything specific for minority women?

1 were very effective. However, they didn't get anywhere, you 2 may notice from the programming, Chicanos are nonexistent, 3 they just don't exist on TV. And I think it's just ghastly. 4 Neither do Asians. 5 (By the Chair) You're saying that you do not Q 6 negotiate for minority women, you are negotiating --7 No, what I'm saying, within the coalition we -- the 8 minority women negotiated for themselves. As a coalition 9 our stance was, and was out front, that anything that hap-10 pened to anyone benefits all women and therefore, if they 11 wanted to do the two for one, wanted to fill everything 12 with minority women that was just fine by us. 13 What percentage of your coalition consists of 14 minority women? 15 A. Well, there's the Comision and the Black Feminists 16 and we have a few -- we have a couple of Black women who 17 are just affiliated with the coalition and help us with 18 our work. 19 So two out of how many? Q. 20 Eight, ten? A. 21 A. (By Ms. Grumet) But the rest of them --22 Q. (By Ms. Gomez) Two organizations out of eight? 23 A. But the rest of broad groups of, like NOW and National 24 Women's Political Caucus --25 A. (By Ms. Jones) Yes, all of which also have minority

V

2

women in them.

Q (By Ms. McCullough) I would like to know, for those women who are in the media, what kind of support are you getting from them?

A. (By Ms. Grumet) Well, it's been really interesting. When we were first gathering all this information and we went in to look at the files, people were very hostile. I mean really hostile. And in fact, I don't know if Boots mentioned it but when we found a lot of information about public broadcasting here in L.A., the women — a lot of the women were absolutely furious. Because I think they felt threatened.

I'd say at first there was a lot of suspicion and when we went in first we really, I mean we were just a loose coalition, we were just, you know, everything was kind of piecemeal, we didn't really know what we were doing and so we didn't know what to ask for and we didn't know any women at the stations, really, to speak of, which in a way I think is really a good thing to say about our coalition, is that we do not have media people in our coalition.

And a lot of media people have come or people have come because they wanted jobs or things, but we found that the stations initially tried to composithese people. I mean people were offered jobs, people were offered boyfriends,

I mean it was just, it was incredible. It really -- it was unreal.

you know, you know, how threatened that they get. Like -- but I think what happened that is healthy and -- but has

And I think that when you see these kinds of things

been stymied, is the women started organizing themselves.

Now, at KABC they formed a women's group, and on Alice Doesn't Day, they wanted to strike and they told the

management that they were going to just take off.

Well, the management had a fit, and said no, rather

than you strike on that day, we'll -- you can have a picnic

on the front lawn and we'll film it. So kind of that's

what happened there. And it seems like they're not en-

couraged, like at KNBC some of the women started organizing.

Now, the interesting thing about KABC is the clerical workers were taking part, you know, it wasn't just the women in the top management positions that we were really excited about because a lot of these women are really terribly exploited in the clerical field where they have these inflated titles, they say they're associate producer and they're really just sitting there typing letters all day, so that is an encouraging sign. But we feel that one of the big problems is in this industry people are so paranoid, they are so frightened of, forgive the word, blacklisting, that they — they just don't want to rock the

boat and they don't want to get the reputation of being a troublemaker and even when they have their own organizations, like at KABC, they called it ABC Women, and they put on their own newsletter.

Well, they put the newsletter out on ABC stationery and apparently the station had a fit and gave them a lot of hassle so a lot of them dropped out.

So I think that that is a reality, and like for instance the things we found at PBS, the L.A. Times wrote up and I'd just like to read you a section of the memos we found because one of the things in investigation that we were looking for was, you know, public access, you know, public accountability, you know, because the air waves do belong to the public which we keep on reminding people and that never seems to get through.

And what we found at KCET was the only time they went to the public was when they wanted money and for instance this is -- we were looking in their public inspection files and we found a series of memos that Lomer (Phonetic), the head of KCET had written to their law firm in Washington and had been misfiled. But it was still in the public inspection files, so we felt that the public ought to know this is a public TV station.

And this gives you an idea of the kind of absolute

disdain that they have or contempt they have for the public

__

and yet they call themselves community television.

This is a letter, an excerpt from a letter from
Covington and Burling to the head of KCET. Thank you, I
guess in parenthesis, for sending me a copy of the proposed
agreement that was left with you by the women's media group.
He gave us the wrong name anyway. Begins one letter from
KCET's Washington law firm. Such as so much for the statement by the FCC that broadcast stations should or must enter
into agreements with community groups, however limited that
may be. I shall tend to advise against any kind of agreement unless the station has fouled up to such an extent
that signing an agreement is the only way to bail out.

Now here's another one. I mean this is just, you know -- this is just short.

- Q (By the Chair) While you're looking for it, Mr. Glick?
- Q (By Mr. Glick) Well, I wanted to ask a question with respect to the programming content, the sex role stereotyping of women in a negative fashion which clearly influences young women in many different ways, negative ways. Do you think this is an aspect of communications that government should be responsibe for? Or control?
- A. (By Ms. Jones) I see what you're getting at. Our attitude was this, that if women were in greater numbers in the production aspects, in the writing, in the directing,

in the producing, we would get different content. Now, whether or not -- yes, government is going to have to -- I don't know how, I don't have an answer to this, if that doesn't happen and it doesn't look like it's going to, government is going to have to figure out some way to do it.

The whole question of censorship, which you know I hate to get into, but people keep bringing it up, they keep saying, on the youtra censoring methat's first amendment rights and that's bologna. If you consider sexism as racism something which our democratic society can not tolerate, then you're going to find some mechanism for eliminating it.

Now, people are not racist on TV the way they were.

No way. But that doesn't seem to make any difference in

terms of what they do for women, they'll just do anything.

- Q Well, they're not racist on TV because it's not socially acceptable.
 - A. Right, but sex is.
- And won't sell products, it's not commercially
 advisable.
 - A. Right.
- And don't you think that might be a better control
 of how women are treated in television rather than governmental
 intervention?
- . A. Well, is this -- this is, then, a personal question,

 I don't think that boycotts are going to work, quite frankly,

unless it becomes so bad and it's not going to become so bad because what happens is they are constantly walking the edge, they get just as bad as they think they can get away with.

Most women. Women are different than other minorities women live with their apprexions to coin alphrase.

You know, they're -- they're under somewhat more of a disadvantage. They also are brought up somewhat differently in the sense that they don't know they're oppressed, very many of them, and --

Q. You haven't met my wife, obviously.

A Well, or me, those of us who know it are just fine but we're talking, no, we're talking about different types of women who are, finally I think, beginning to understand this, thanks to the efforts of some simply marvelous women. But I think that government can step in, if government steps in and sees to it that there is in fact, in employment, a real affirmative action, and if in employment we really do have women and things don't change, then I think we can bring it up again.

I'm willing to wait until we get enough women in employment and things don't change before I get into the other.

MR. GLICK: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Another question?

· 中型各位的现在分词 中国企业的

1

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Yes, I have a question.

2

3

independent companies and had any success in that area?

Have you worked with any independent producers or

I have -- I hate to tell you this but one of my

4

You've been talking about stations, major stations and --

5

very dear friends Mary Benedict, executive producer of Six

6 7

Million Dollar Man and Bionic Woman, etcetera. No, I

8

haven't got anywhere.

9

THE CHAIR: One more question.

10

mean one reason I think, and it kind of gets back to what

(By Ms. Grumet) I just want to answer that too.

11

you were asking earlier about government and everything,

12 13

is that as weak as the government regulation is, if it

14

wasn't there, there would be nothing. I mean and that's

-- and because of the whole Federal Communications Act and

15

the idea that the air waves do belong to the public and

17

we've used that because that's -- that's the problem in

18

dealing with independent producers and studios, is that at

19

least the local -- the local stations are the only ones

20 21 that are licensed, the networks aren't licensed so you can't even, how do you attack the networks unless you have some

22

kind of lawsuit? So that's why we dealt with the local

23

stations because you know, the other people wouldn't even

talk to us, weren't even interested in meeting with us.

24

25

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) All right, there are some women

1 who have positions as producers for some major TV stations' 2 Have you been any more successful in dealing 3 with them or is it so far beyond even their realm of power 4 that it doesn't matter? Is it encouraging at all? 5 A. (By Ms. Jones) There are so few of them and they're so isolated that -- they try, you know, there are good 6 7 things coming out over the air waves, interestingly enough 8 the soaps are better for women than any of the other, 9 ghastly as those are, but they do show some middle class women, but they do show women doing things that women do 10 There are doctors, there are architects, there's secre? 11 You know, there's a conglomeration and it's sort 12 taries. 13 of like a White, middle class, small town, on the soaps. 14 I think what I'm getting at is you suggested if more women got in more positions as writers, directors, 15 16 producers, it would make a difference and what I'm asking 17 is wouldrittreally make a difference unless they're not in 18 top managerial positions? 19 A. Well, we've asked for the top managerial positions 20 too. 21 Q. (By Ms. Gillette) But you indicated that the 22 writers were writing what they were told to write. They're 23 told by whom? 24 A. (By Ms. Grumet) By the networks, I mean they testi-25 fied in Washington.

A. (By Ms. Jones) It's Catch 22 between the ad agencies and you know, they go round and round.

A (By Ms. Grumet) They said that, this is what they said, at least in their testimony before the House Committee that I think it was a couple of years ago, that they like to write about, you know, working class people and the poor people and you know, issues that they felt were really important because I think as everybody knows in the industry, the Writers Guild is probably one of the most progressive unions in town and the networks and the studios weren't interested in those kinds of stories, they weren't interested in controversy, they wanted kind of Milquetoast stories that didn't get into guts of issues and ideas and there's just one point maybe, that's kind of important.

We don't quite understand, like what the Civil
Rights Commission's power really is, or what you can do. But
really, we had several recommendations that we made to
Representative John Moss of the House of Representatives,
and I'd just like to repeat a couple of them because I think
as a coalition we really feel that government has just got
to do something, and one of them is that the FCC standards
followed those used by the federal courts in civil rights
cases which is really important. They really do. And why
doesn't the FCC adopt the Department of Labor's affirmative
action plan and follow the recommendations made by your

commission? Why don't they? And why doesn't the FCC, 395 forms, you know, the employment forms, reflect realistic job titles and descriptions within the media? Why doesn't the FCC have a zone of reasonableness in terms of numbers for women, of numbers of women for women than for minorities?

In other words, since women are the majority they have a zone of reasonableness for minorities but why don't they also have it for women? That's really important.

What has the commission done to allow groups and organizations to find reasons for disparities between employment of women and the percentage of women in the population? They never did that

And the other thing we'd really like to strongly object to the 30-day ruling to file petitions for reconsideration, it's absolutely ludicrous. If you're a community group and especially among minorities groups, this is a terrible problem, they don't have money or attorneys or things like that, and the law states that all the commission is required to do is to give public notice.

And we think that that is absolutely ludicrous. So people don't have time, they don't even know that they've been ruled upon and then they have, you know, ten days or something to get their act together. And it's -- it's incredible, why should the burden be on the public? The

1	burden should be placed on the broadcasters. You know,
2	they have the money and the time and everything.
3	A. (By Ms. Jones) I'd like to point out also, every-
4	thing stems from Washington, we're in California. The
5	great industry is in California, and everything comes from
6	Washington.
7	A. (By Ms. Grumet) And it's like two countries.
8	THE CHAIR: Are there any final questions?
9	If not, thank you both very much.
10	Your next witness is Mr. Wendell Green.
11	Mr. Green, for the record, would you state your name
12	and occupation?
13	r.,
14	
15	MR. WENDELL GREEN
16	
17	A. (By Mr. Green) My.name is Wendell Green, I'm a
18	journalist, I operate a news; service. I live at 1575
19	East Santa Barbara Avenue.
20	Q (By the Chair) You have just submitted anwritten
21	statement to us. Do you have any verbal statement you'd
22	like to make before
23	A I'd like to read the statement. I just want this
24	is a report to the California Advisory Committee to the
25	
	United States Civil United States Commission on Civil

å

2 3 4

1

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

Rights, submitted by Wendell Green, Cochairman of the dos-Coalition for Justice in the Media. October 22, 1976. The organization. Representatives of media women, NAACP, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, People United to Save Humanity, known as PUSH, the Urban League, the Black Association of Media Members and labor, fraternal, church, civic, social and political organizations along with government officials and concerned citizens, compose the Coalition for Justice in the Media. More than 200 persons have signed with the coalition, volunteering to work on its various committees.

Ilka Kay Mays, Wendell Green and Willis Edwards are cochairmen of the coalition, Barbara Mounts (Phonetic) is the secretary, the mailing address is Post Office Box 11164, Washington Station, Los Angeles, California, 90011 is the zip.

The major focus of CFJM is the practices and policies of the electronic media in the greater Los Angèles area as they affect Black Americans with special emphasis on local television news operations.

The unexplained disappearance of on-camera Black reporters has concerned some members of the Black community for several years. When the news got out that Delores Handy, a very popular on-camera reporter and part time anchor person, was being terminated by KNXT, the reaction

in the Black community was such that media women decided to challenge that angerrinto a positive organization with a broad community base to do something about the rash of dismissals of Black, on-camera reporters. Thus, CFJM was organized.

While the termination of Ms. Handy was the catalyst, community anger had been building over the shifting of Jake Jacobs from KNXT to -- news, to KNXT radio and firing of Paul Gardener and Bruce Brown by NBC, and the blacklisting of Brown, preventing his employment by a Detroit station, and the outrageous treatment of Charlie Williams by KNXT.

Since the formation of CFJM in January, 1976, 12

Black on-camera reporters and anchor persons have been

fired by local TV stations. When Ms. Handy was terminated

KNXT news director, Jim Topins (Phonetic), issued a statement that she had been fired for quote, unprofessional

conduct, unquote. Joe Dyer (Phonetic), director of community relations for KNXT, who is Black, coalition got an

appointment with Russell Barry, who then was the general

manager of the station and discussed the cases of Ms.

Williams and Handy. Barry informed the representation of

CFJM that Ms. Handy was a very competent reporter and anchor
person, he said that the problem was not unprofessional

conduct, but a personality clash with the news director,

Topins, and other members of the news staff.

He said she had a, quote, bad attitude, unquote.

He also said that she was very unpopular with the members of the KNXT news operation and detailed the many ways producers, writers, technicians and other people could saboutage the work of a reporter, that they did not like.

Barry admitted that Ms. Handy had not been advised or counselled about her personality problems, and bad attitude. All efforts by the representatives of CFJM to work out some kind of compromise were rebuffed by Barry, who was intransigent on the termination of Ms. Handy.

Ms. Handy attended the next meeting of CFJM and informed us that the only bad attitude and personality problems was that she demanded that the station live up to its promises to her when they hired her. She denied that she was unpopular with the staff of the news operation, pointing out that members of the news staff had given her support when her dismissal notice was posted.

Ms. Handy, who had received an offer to be an anchor person in Washington, D.C., but wanted to remain in Los Angeles, said there had been a not too subtle attempt to kill her D.C. offer by someone in KNXT management.

Ms. Williams was urged to move bag and baggage from her home in Baltimore, Maryland, to be the weather person, and given the usual initial six-week contract. She was to replace the weather reporter who had been with the station

for many years and was well liked by the news staff.

The people who hired Ms. Williams knew her TV experience was limited, and they did not give her the training and support she needed. She obviously was the victim, if you watched the program, of the kind of saboutage that Barry detailed to CFJM and was terminated at the end of six weeks.

Barry said that he was fully aware of the hardship that the move to Los Angeles and the short employment created for Ms. Williams, quote, but she just didn't work out, unquote.

CFJM decided to place an information picket line around KNXT and announce a picket line press conference.

The press conference was attended by three Black reporters from local radio stations and a reporter from Variety.

At the second and last information picket line, KNXT sent a camera crew and a reporter for an interview with the CFJM spokesman, an interview that the station never used.

While we were picketing a member of the KNXT news staff informed us that the news operation employed approximately 200 people, and except for the on-camera Black reporters, the operation was lily White. The purpose of the picketing was to launch a Black community boycott of KNXT news programs. We knew that they were having rating problems.

And this effort we received outstanding support from the Los Angeles Sentinal, the only Black-owned newspaper in our community.

Several weeks later the Los Angeles Times did a feature story on the objectives of CFJM. Because the Brown and Carter dismissals were in litigation, we took no action. Ms. Mays discussed the problems in the Los Angeles area with attorney Clarence McGee, a member of FCC Commissioner Judge Benjamin Hook's staff, during a visit to Washington, D.C. McGee advised Ms. Hayes that not to picket anymore but to draw up petitions addressing our grievances and get them signed by members of our community and mail them to the FCC.

We had our legal counsel draw up the petitions, and have been circulating them. A public meeting was held at Second Baptist Church, attended by more than 300 interested and concerned persons, approximately \$600.00 was raised to help finance the activities of the coalition.

I mentioned earlier that since the organization of CFJM, 12 on-camera Black reporters and anchor persons have been fired by local TV stations. Ken Jones, who took a rip and read news operation at KTTV and developed it into an hour-long, highly respected and popular news program, was fired when the station changed its news format, despite the fact that he was senior member of the news team.

.10

Hugh Williams was lured from his job as a reporter on KABC to anchor the Channel 13 news program. He too was fired for no apparent reason.

Lois Haling (Phonetic), who used to produce and anchor the Storefront News, a Black-oriented program, on KCET, was summarily dismissed after filing a complaint with the FEPC. Members of CFJM met with officials of NBC, KTTV, KCOP and KCTV, to discuss Black dismissals and related problems with no positive reaction by the stations.

Concerns and goals. The problems of on-camera
Black reporters and anchor persons represent only the tip
of the iceberg of the concerns of CFJM. Local TV stations
must understand that we will not be seduced from pursuing
our basic goals by the high visibility of Black reporters,
and anchor persons. In other words, I would just like
to enlarge on that.

In 1942, as a young reporter on the Los Angeles
Sentinal, I initiated the fight to try to do something
about Hollywood, and the only approach that we could take
which was a popular approach, was the fact that the kind
of roles that Black actors and actresses were limited to
and only integration scenes you saw on — on motion pictures
were in prisons, and they'd have baseball games you wouldn't
see Black people on the street or anything, but that —
our real aim was to try to get members of the Black community

into the skill jobs in the industry, carpenters, painters, grips, electricians and things like that, because prior to the war Los Angeles Black community was a kitchen mechanic community, or you worked for the government.

And we were trying to figure out some jobs. The NAACP took over the whole question of Hollywood and they never understood what we were trying to do about jobs for people in the community and they were concerned about images.

And they're still concerned about images, they're reading scripts and all that sort of thing. And not really, really addressing themselves to the basic issue of jobs.

And this is what we don't want to happen in our drive against the TV stations, to be lulled to sleep by some high visibility people on camera and do nothing about the many jobs that we're concerned about in the industry.

Our primary concern is jobs for Black Americans throughout all levels of television in numbers that reflect the percentage of Black listeners.

Black people represent approximately 18% of the
Los Angeles listening audience. Our goal is 15% employment
across the board. From stockroom employees to accountants,
lawyers, painters, plumbers, electricians, carpenters, and
all the other skilled crafts. 15% of the jobs in all
categories in the TV industry.

9.

- · Another concern is that local television news directors shape their news programs to what they think interests the White, middle class housewife in the San Bernadino Valley. The rule is that if it's south of Wilshire and east of Crenshaw, it's not news unless it's spectacular or criminal. Local news programs rarely report positive news about the Black community or give support and exposure to its organization, institutions and community projects with positive programs.

Another concern is that there are no Black Americans in decision and policy-making positions in any local news operation. The exception is KCET, where Gail Christian is the news director.

Another concern is the lack of implementation of affirmative action programs filed with the FCC during licensing renewal time. And some of the tactics used by some stations to give an impression of minority employment.

Some Black technicians attended one of our meetings and informed us that some technicians are kept in a pool for use by the stations as needed. When some stations are up for license renewal, they will hire all of the Black technicians in the pool to pass a visual inspection for minority employment, after the inspection the Black technicians are sent back to the pool.

They also told us that qualified Black technicians

can not find jobs while White technicians send for untrained relatives, get them on the job training and get them jobs when they have learned the trade.

Some recommendations. Now, we're not suggesting that local TV stations engage in wholesale hiring or firing to meet our goals, and concerns. However, we do think that through attrition and station growth, 15% Black employment in all categories is a reasonable goal?

To achieve that we suggest the following: One, immediate implementation of the current affirmative action programs filed with the FCC. The FCC to establish a constant monitoring system for an affirmative action program.

Two, all stations to establish an on the job program to train Black youngsters for all types of jobs creating a skill bank for jobs when they are available.

Three, give the same kind of positive coverage to positive activities, organizations and institutions in the Black community as given to the rest of the city.

Our Black executives in policy-making and decision-making positions who are not persons -- yes, persons, but who are respected and whose counsel is needed.

During the current election campaign, CFJM is sort of -- is in sort of a hiatus as its members are political activists and all of their time is now taken up with the campaign. The very short notice of the privilege to appear

1 before the advisory committee, did not really give us time 2 for documentation of many parts of this report. 3 (By the Chair) Thank you, Mr. Green, and as you a 4 gather that documentation up would you please submit it 5 to the staff? I'm sure they'll be in contact with you to 6 secure this supporting evidence. 7 Does the panel have any questions? 8 0. (By Ms. Bernstein) Yes, I have a couple questions. 9 Are there any members who work in the TV stations, 10 either on-camera or behind the scenes, who are members of 11 your organization? 12 We advise them not to join our organization because 13 we plan some pretty rough tactics and we don't want them 14 to lose their jobs. But the Black Association of Media 15 Members, we -- all of the people on-camera and everyplace 16 else in television, with the exception of a couple of women, 17 joined and attend the meetings. 18 Have there been any -- has this taken its toll in 19 any way to the people who have come to the meetings in 20 terms of employment or anything like that? 21 A. I didn't hear you. 22 Have any of the people who have come to the meetings Q. 23 had any repercussions? 24 A. Well, we haven't -- some people who come to the 25 meetings we haven't disclosed their names. But we really

advise them not to come because we just didn't want to jeopardize their jobs.

- Q Have you met with any of the three major local stations and given them copies of your recommendations?

 And if so, what have been their reactions?
- A No, we haven't. As I say, we're still in the process of getting our actotogether, and when we get -- when the case is over, we will begin to move.
- Q Are you aware of any problems concerning unions and opportunities for minorities in television?
- A Well, you see, in California there is a court decision that you can't have a closed shop and a closed union. However, the unions attempt to maintain a closed shop and a closed union. And management goes along with them. But if all management has to do is hire somebody and that person, all he has to do is join the union, if management wants to hire someone they can hire him.
- Q (By Ms. McCullough) Mr. Green, you've indicated that since the formation of CFJM, in January, 1976, 12 Black on-camera reporters and anchor persons have been fired by local TV stations. Do you attribute any of those firings to the formation of your group?
- A Well, I don't know, we put the picket line around KNXT and everybody was aware of it. I think that in some ways it probably was an economic thing, in the case of Ken

ა

Jones, he'd been with the station a long time but they didn't want to pay him the money, I guess, that he deserved so they got somebody that was cheaper, and the same thing with Channel 13, I think they got somebody that was cheaper because Hugh Williams had been with them for some time.

Q (By the Chair) Mr. Green, you mentioned the fact that an unpopular reporter could have his or her work saboutaged through a variety of means. Could you be a little more specific and give us some examples of this saboutage?

A Yes, he said that the cameramen could take shots that couldn't be used, the sound man could garble the sound, the producer could just cut the thing out and put it on the floor, not use it, send you out on the assignment, editor could send you out on a lot of meaningless news stories that they knew they weren't going to use.

Oh, he detailed a whole bt of ways they could do it and then he said even in the station itself, you could have problems, you know, with the props and I think this is what — what Charlie Williams' problem was, some of it. She was inexperienced and they didn't give her the kind of support she needed and she had problems while she was trying to deliver the weather.

Q (By Ms. Gillette) Would you relate that to the fact or would you consider it a fact that the stations, then, are

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

doing a token job but really have no intentions of training people or of having minority people succeed on the job so they set them up deliberately for failure?

Yes, I think they do have a program for failure there's no question about it. A tokenism program and a program for failure, and of course, I think that the -that our major weapon, I think the Achilles heel of all of the stations is the news program because they're all carbon copies anyway. And just like when we had a boycott going, say against, we were trying to establish a boycott against the liquor industry to get some employment, we're not going to stop people from drinking whiskey but you could do a selective thing on brands, and I think this same approach would work on the TV people.

That we can take a selected process and we know you're not going to stop people from watching their favorite programs on KNXT but maybe we can stop them from watching the news so that it really reflects in their advertising revenues.

- Q. Is there any group that is geared to providing support to minority staff people so that they can succeed on the job in spite of the setup?
- A. Well, it seems to me that's the responsibility, really, of the station, just like we don't want to get into the bit of providing them with a skill bank, you know,

of sending people for them to hire, we don't want to get into that, they can find people.

3

4

communication classes, fully one-third of them will be Black students, there are a lot of students who are learning

If you go to any of these colleges and look at the

6

5

and who have some ability and who have the necessary skills

7

to do the jobs, and once they're at the station it seems to me that if the station is really interested in minority

9

employment, that they will give them the kind of support

10

that they really need to succeed.

11

Q. But I have gathered that you and others are of the

12

opinion that the stations don't want them to succeed?

13

of the station are affected enough, then they will want

They really don't, but I think that if the revenues

14

15 to make them succeed.

A.

16

17

18

19

__

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) In your statement you talked about petitions that you're in the process of circulating to present to the FCC, what specific issues are mentioned in the petitions and what kind of reaction have you been getting to them?

A. Well, I don't have a copy of the petition here but I have the language of it. I took it over the phone last night because I had to get this thing together last night. I'll read it if you want me to.

Whereas the television and radio stations in the Los

1

5

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23 25

Angeles metropolitan area continue to discriminate against the Black community by, one, their failure to provide proper and adequate news stories, talk shows and other programs of special and unique interest to the Black Two, their failure to hire and promote numerous competent Black executives, news reporters and technicians. Three, the numerous dismissals of competent Black news reporters, executives and technicians in recent months under questionable circumstances, and for reasons other than lack of competence, and whereas at the local CBS affiliate, KNXT, for no apparent reason, has recently terminated Delores Handy, who by the admission of KNXT is a competent and outstanding journalist, therefore we the undersigned hereby protest the blatant manifestations of racism that have resulted in the termination of Ms. Handy and other competent Black employees from radio and television stations throughout the Los Angeles metropolitan area, and fully support the efforts of CFJM to bring an end to such manifestations of discrimination and racism.

Now, this petition was drawn up at the time that Ms. Handy's thing was still in question, there was still a question about whether or not she could legally, had a legal action against the station and she had not decided to go to Washington. Our lawyer is now in the process of drawing up some new petitions which are more in line with

some of our concerns which I've just stated.

Q What is it exactly you want the FCC to do? What is it exactly you want the FCC to do when you present these petitions, what are you asking?

A. I don't know, this was McGee's idea to fill it out.

He said send us some petitions, now evidently he -- I think

the implication is that when the station comes up for

license renewal, he will have a stack of petitions there

of community concerns.

Q (By Ms. Gomez) Mr. Green, you mentioned that you didn't want Black employers -- employees from the stations to join your coalition because you plan some heavy things. How heavy is heavy?

A Well, we don't plan any bombings, but as I said, what we really plan to do is to -- I don't want to give away everything we want to do, but one of the things we want to do as I said, I think the news program is the weakest spot, and that if we can really get a good boycott going on a selective basis of individual, of one station at a time, something like the auto workers do, they whiplash, you know, they go after Ford and leave all the -- everybody else go, until they finish dealing with Ford and that's the kind of thing, and we intend to continue some picket lines and maybe put bumper stickers all over the Black community, boycott KNXT News, if that's the station --

(By Ms. Gillette) Was part of your concern that that was expressed by previous speakers, that if they allied themselves with progressive or active organization they (By Ms. James) Just one question. You mentioned the thing about the pool of technicians. Were there any specific crafts involved or do you -- that you know of? Well, I think they were mainly sound men and cameramen and I think those are mainly the pool people. minority group who has testified before us has used the idea of boycotting the station. You're going to all cancel each other out by watching different stations, is there any effort being made for all of you to join together? No, not really and I'm really, I was really concerned that some of the members of the committee did not ask about the integration and affirmative action programs (By Ms. Gillette) Would you like to comment on that? Yes, I would be interested in the radio and -- the Spanish-speaking radio and television, what kinds of affirma-

THE CHAIR: As our staff said, that's down the pike so we won't get to that. If there are no further questions, thank you very much, Mr. Green. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you. THE CHAIR: And we will adjourn for lunch and be back at 1:00 o'clock. (Noon recess)

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	1:15 p.m.
3	
4	THE CHAIR: All right, it is now 1:15, we will
5	start the afternoon session.
6	Our first witness will be Mr. Lionel Manargas from
7	the Federal Communications Commission?
8	As you can see we have switched testimony positions
9	a little bit.
10	Everyone will have an opportunity and those people
11	who are not scheduled but who do want to present some
12	testimony, I hope you have contacted Ms. Sally James,
13	so that you can be put on the program.
14	If not, be sure to do that immediately.
15	Mr. Manargas, for the record, will you please state
16	your name and position?
17	
18	
19	MR. LIONEL MANARGAS
20	
21	A. (By Mr. Manargas) I'll be very happy to, the name
22	is Lionel Manargas, I'm the chief of the industry equal
23	employment opportunity unit office of the general council,
24	Federal Communications Commission.
25	Q (By the Chair) Thank you, do you have a statement that

you would like to give us first?

A No prepared statement. After sitting here for two days I thought it would be better if we went to questions, really. Just a couple of remarks I'd like to make very briefly.

One, I'm very pleased to have an opportunity to be here to discuss a lot of the issues that are of concern to respective groups in relationship to the Federal Communications Commission. This is the third opportunity I've had to appear before an advisory group to the Civil Rights Commission in different parts of the country and I hope there are more opportunities in the future to appear in front of other advisory groups in other states.

I think, that protective groups find out exactly what's going on in the commission and the procedures that might be necessary to really develop some affirmative action compliance within the commission in relationship to the electronic media.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any questions of Mr. Manargas?

- Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Yes, I have some.
- A I would hope so.
- Q Could you briefly summarize the responsibilities of the EEO office with the FCC?
 - A. Yes, there are two offices, equal opportunity offices

within the Federal Communications Commission, one deals with, my particular office deals with affirmative action compliance on the part of all of the activities that we regulate. The other office in the commission deals with the affirmative action requirements of the Civil Service Commission in regards to the employees of the Federal Communications Commission?

- Q How large are the staffs of those two divisions?
- A. The staffs are extremely small, myself and an attorney and a secretary constitute my office, the internal office, there's a director, women's program coordinator, Spanish-speaking affairs coordinator and two secretaries.
- Q Could you give us the ethnic and sexual makeup of the staffs?
- A Yes. In my particular office, a Black male, Black female, Black female secretary. The internal office, the director's slot is vacant at the moment, but was filled until May by a Black female. The federal women's program coordinator was White female, the Spanish-speaking coordinator was male Latino, one White female secretary, one Black female secretary.
- Q Are there equal employment opportunity staff in regional or district offices?
- A. No. The -- there are no regional offices of the FCC.

 The district offices of the FCC are purely engineering offices

and engineering monitoring offices. Very small staff and concerned primarily with monitoring the stations to make determination as to whether they're meeting the technical standards that have been set out by the commission for operation.

- Q How many district offices are there?
- A Oh, there are over 55 district offices throughout the country and off the mainland as well.
- What are the FCC requirements regarding affirmative
 action for licensing?
- A. Rather extensive, and at the moment, and also a new set of affirmative action requirements are going into operation the 1st of February.

There was, within the last two years there was activity on the part of the commission in going over its affirmative action requirements, and the commission did a whole new thing in terms of the demand that will be made now on licensees in regard to our being capable of reviewing their affirmative action procedures.

They are very, very extensive in terms of requirements placed on licensees, I think one of the key things,
I've heard so many things, let me address the 395 form.
The annual statistical report that is required of all
licensees, all broadcasters and cable operators, telephone
companies as well. That form is a duplicate of the EEO-1

5

form. Now, there has been some activity on the part of the citizens' groups and other organizations to secure a change in the structure of that form, but under the new rules that are coming out the 1st of February, the broadcaster with 50 or more full time employees will have to list every one of the job titles that the broadcasters under the nine categories on the 395.

It is my belief that perhaps within the next two years the commission might change the whole structure of the 395, so that it is more reflective of the various entities that the commission regulates.

- Q How are the -- once the forms are turned in are: the plans submitted, how is it monitored?
- A. Well, the monitoring really takes place every three years because of the fact that a broadcast operation is licensed to operate for a period of three years. At the time the three years expires, and the operator wishes to continue, the operator has to apply to the commission again for a renewal of that license.

It's at that time that everything about the broadcasting activity in the previous three years is reviewed by the commission to make a determination as to whether the license should be renewed or not. It's at that time that the affirmative action program is reviewed.

The only other instance where the affirmative action

1 program would be reviewed would be on the basis of a 2 complaint at any time during the three-year period that the 3 commission would decide to investigate the complaint in 4 relationship to the performance of the licensee during 5 that three-year period. 6 How are they reviewed, paper, on paper, on sight? 0. 7 It is a paper review initially, a desk audit in terms A 8 of the program that has been filed at the first three-year 9 period, beginning of the three-year period. A review of 10 the two or three-year filings of the statistical reports 11 to make a determination as to whether there has been any 12 movement in terms of the employment of the protected 13 groups, and the statements made within the affirmative 14 action program itself as to whether they have been carried 15 out or not. 16 Ω Can you give us sort of a walk-through of, say a 17 complaint is filed --18 A. Right. 19 Q -- what happens? 20 A. If the complaint -- let me ask you a question, what 21 type of a complaint? Because this does have a bearing. 22 a Noncompliance with affirmative action plan? 23 All right, in an informal manner, filed in an in-24 formal manner. I can explain that --25 Q. Well --

A Let me explain that, I'll make that qualification in terms of the -- anytime within the three-year period an informal complaint filed with the commission is accepted as an informal complaint. The only other time that a complaint comes in as a formal matter is for a petition to deny at the time of license renewal and that is within the three-year period.

So there are two types of complaints I guess we have to talk about, a formal and an informal.

In the case of the informal complaint, at any time during the three-year period, the complaints and compliance division of the broadcast bureau within the commission will review the allegations made in the complaint; send the complaint to the broadcaster for response to the complaint.

When the broadcaster responds to the complaint, the broadcaster's response is sent to the complainant as well in order for the complainant to have an opportunity to rebut what the broadcaster might have said.

When the response comes in from the initial complainant then three documents, along with all of the other material in relationship to the broadcaster's activity, is reviewed by the complaints and compliance bureau to make a determination as to whether the complaint has substance and is valid and then a determination of what action the commission might take.

If the complaint is found to be valid. 1 loose process and less legal process than the formal petition 2 to deny. 3 All right, now let's say you determine that it Q. should be followed, then what do you do? 5 How do you mean, followed? If found that the com-A. plaint is valid? 7 1.7 Is valid. Q. 8 All right, then if the complaint is found to be 9 valid, then the commission has many steps that it can take 10 at that point. Further request for further information 11 from the licensee to make a determination as to how far the 12 commission might go in citing the licensee, it can fine the 13 licensee a certain amount of money, it can impose various 14 kinds of affirmative action standards that it doesn't 15 initially impose upon the licensee, it can even go to the 16 point of issuing a cease and desist order. 17 It can even go to the point of perhaps issuing a hearing order. 18 What if the -- a person, for instance, is dissatis-19 fied with the action that you've taken, are there any 20 other steps that they can take? 21 Oh, yes, any commission decision is challenged, can 22 be challenged, within the Federal District Court structure. 23 24 There's no decision that the commission makes that isn't subject to review by the courts on the part of a petition by 25

1 the complainant.

- So far the examples you've given us are very general.

 Can you --
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q -- can you give any specific instance --

A Yes, specific, let me take an individual case of discrimination complaint filed by an individual claiming discrimination and filed at any time. Within the commission, there's something that must be said here to clarify something, the commission does not enforce Title VII Civil Rights Act, it does not have that authority, it was never given that authority by Congress. The commission equal opportunity rules are taken out of its own authority in the Communications Act of 1934. Within that act it states that an individual or an organization that desires to be a broadcaster must meet certain standards that the commission can impose under the authority of the Communications Act.

Of course, one of the natural standards is qualification standards. Qualified to be a licensee. Qualification meaning that good character, nonviolation of law,
therefore the civil rights act being federal law, violation
of civil rights act leads to the question of qualification
of the broadcaster to remain a broadcaster.

So there is that distinction and that difference in that. The commission has no authority to redress and make

right or make whole, the claims of an individual of discrimination, it can only take action against the broadcaster.

The -- as you know, the Equal Opportunity Commission is the one agency that has the authority to make an individual whole, based upon a complaint of discrimination found to be valid.

- Let's go back to affirmative action.
- A Right.
- Q. You're monitoring the station, can you give us an example of any station anywhere in the United States where you've monitored, found that it was in noncompliance and then did something?
- A Yes. At the present moment there are approximately ll stations in different parts of the country that have been designated for hearing, based upon many complaints, including failure to comply with our rules in affirmative action and equal employment opportunity.

These are in different parts of the country. That is perhaps about severest action that the commission has taken in relationship to complaints that include complaints of discrimination.

- Q Have any licenses ever been revoked?
- A. Not for that reason alone, no. Licenses have been taken away for other allegations and other failures to meet the commission standards, including a failure to abide

1 by the commission's equal employment rules. That's a 2 rare occasion that something like that happens. 3 (By the Chair) Mr. Manargas, I'd like to continue Q. 4 along the line of your affirmative action monitoring re-5 sponsibility. You take the 395 form as the basis for your 6 studies to see whether the station's affirmative action program exists and whether it is in compliance? 7 8 A That is correct, that in conjunction with the 9 affirmative action program. 10 Now, how do you verify the statistics on the 395 Q. 11 form? 12 A. We don't verify them by any action of -- unless 13 there is a complaint and an investigation is taking place. 14 So the stations are, in effect, saying trust us --Q. 15 That's correct. A. 16 -- I'm telling you the truth -a 17 A. That's correct. 18 -- and you do not go out there and verify whether a 19 they indeed have six Brackscor --20 No. Not at the time that they are filed by the 21 31st of May, and from that point on, we don't question the 22 validity of the statistics unless there is a complaint 23 involved. 24 Does anybody question or doublecheck, spot check, 25 even take one in a 1,000?

- A Citizens' groups might, yes, and bring it to our attention, but no spot checking or anything like that.
- Q Is this a policy based on insufficient staff or
 a policy for other reasons?
- A Well, I would say a combination. One based, certainly one factor is insufficient staff to monitor 9,000 of these 395's at any point in time. And I think the other is, as the Chairlady said, you trust the licensee at that point in time. And all of the material that they file with the commission, unless there is a reason to question that material.

So it's policy.

- Q (By Ms. McCullough) Could you tell us how many complaints of discrimination have been filed or EEO violations have been filed on a year's period of time?
- A I can't give you a number on that, I would suspect that a great number have been filed. Individual complaints in an informal manner, there's been a large number of formal complaints filed in terms of petitions to deny.
 - Q Do you keep records of complaints that are filed?
- A Oh, yes, the complaints and compliance office does, my particular office doesn't.
- Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Can you give us a rough estimate of what percentage of complaints that are filed on a formal basis are, let's say within a year's period, seen or worked

on by your office?

- A Well, let me again make an explanation about my office. My office is a policy advising office, and not a --
 - Q Well, the complaints and re --
- A. -- not a review office. Within the complaints and compliance division and within the renewal branch in terms of formal petitions, I would suspect a number would be somewhere, 100 to 150 a year at least.
- Q (By Ms. McCullough) Could you explain what you mean by policy advising?
- A Yes. My particular office has the responsibility to keep the commission apprised of the changes in civil rights act or any court interpretations of the act that would have an implication upon the commission's rules and to make recommendations to the commission as to how it should correct its rules to be more in line with the requirements of the civil rights act as interpreted by the courts.
- Q. So that you would really have very little information in terms of what's occurring with the 9,000 licensees, is that right?
- A That's correct. Unless there was some occasion for me to pull those statistics together, to have that kind of information distribution and dissemination at meetings like this. Which I'm taking under advisement.

1 (By Ms. Bernstein) Has a petition filed by a public Q. 2 interest group ever been supported by the commission? 3 A It depends upon what you mean by supported by the 4 commission. 5 So that a licensee was not renewed? As I said earlier, on the basis of failure to 7 comply with the equal employment opportunity rules as a 8 sole determination, no such action has ever been taken by 9 the commission. 10 Q. (By Ms. Gomez) What are other violations that you 11 consider strong violations? That would go along with it, 12 name me a couple? 13 Well, that would be so A. 14 Just a couple. 15 Well, blatant discrimination in terms of proven, A. 16 overt discrimination if possible, which is one of the 17 things that just doesn't occur anymore, it's so covert that 18 it's more difficult to get at it. But subtle forms of 19 discrimination, patterns and practices of discrimination 20 that you -- can be verified, would be the kind of thing. 21 Well, in terms of job requirements and meeting 22 job specifications in applying for a job, we wouldn't 23 accept a typing requirement for a woman who is not going 24 to be employed as a secretary, if the woman is going to 25 be employed in some other capacity where typing certainly

is not an absolute requirement, then it would be improper to have typing and typing standards and certain number of words per minute as a requirement for that job.

We would be concerned about that kind of requirement in a job situation.

Now, that might not necessarily lead to a removal of the license, but certainly if the individual complains about it the commission would make the licensee aware of its attitude towards that kind of improper requirement in a job description.

- Q (By:Ms.-Bernstein) Does the FCC have any cooperative agreements with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or the General Services Administration? Regarding equal employment?
- A. No cooperative agreements written, there is-liaison again out of my office, there is liaison with all off the agencies in Washington that have responsibility in the area of affirmative action for all kinds of business institutions in this country. We have liaison with the ---your headquarters in Washington.

One of the other agencies that hasn't come up here today and I think should be raised, is the office of civilarights of HEW, health, education and welfare, that has the authority in this area because of grants to public broadcasting institutions. Be they radio or television.

And since they are federal government grants, the office of civil rights has some jurisdiction too in this area in regards to affirmative action, and nondiscriminatory policy.

Q I have one more question.

If the complaint is filed by the community interest group in terms of affirmative action, and you have to go in to monitor, they're complaining that the numbers that were intended to be hired were not hired. How do you go in to check to see whether it's valid or not valid?

A Well, it would be determined by the complaints and compliance division as to whether they would make on-site investigation rather than a paper investigation. But they would make that determination based upon whatever information has been provided by the complaining group.

Now, that's a rarity as well. Again because of staff and budgetary limitations to make the number of onsite investigations.

- Q How many last year were made, do you know?
- A No, I don't. I had some figures for 1974 and the complaints and compliance division made 74 investigations throughout the country, on-site investigations dealing with other matters along with complaints of discrimination or discriminatory practice.
- Q (By Ms. McCullough) Do you know the size of the complaints and compliance unit? The staff size?

1 A. I think it's 38 people. 2 Thirty-eight people? Q. Yes, 38 people. A. Are any of those people responsible for the specific 5 area of EEOC? 6 A. Oh, yes. All of the staff in the complaints and 7 compliance division are capable of, particularly the 8 investigators, are capable of making investigations on 9 all of the areas that the commission could look at in 10 terms of the complaint and EEO would be one of them, yes. 11 I would imagine that the areas of the complaint are a 12 very, very broad ---13 A Oh, absolutely. 14 Q. Requires a very special licensed kind of information? 15 That's correct. A 16 Q. So --17 That's -- as a matter of fact, that's where most A. 18 of the complaints have failed, because they have been 19 generalized and I say most of the complaints have been 20 generalized, some of them have been very specific. 21 In terms of specific ones they are usually filed in 22 conjunction with a formal complaint or a petition to deny 23 so that there is a bit more specificity there in regards 24 to the complaints, but the other complaints are so general

they're allegations without specificity and therefore it's

25

very difficult to really follow up on that and get anything concrete.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The other problem that we have in conjunction with that is an unwillingness and understandable unwillingness on the part of a bt of individuals to file a complaint, because what happens once a complaint is filed, in spite of all of the guaranteed protections that are supposed to exist, harassment does take place. And individual perhaps loses a job, from some other reason. These kinds of things create situations where individuals are naturally hesitant to go through the formal complaining procedures, with us, with EEOC, the Department of Justice, FEPC or any of the courts.

Q (By the Chair) Perhaps part of the difficulty is the fact that it seems to take so long before the commission gets to a complaint.

Now, how long is the average time that it takes from the filing of a complaint to the final determination?

A Forever.

I'm not being flippant.

VOICE: Right on.

22 23

A I am not attempting to be flippant in front of you, but it's a long time, and one of the things that I have to get back to, what I said earlier, is that we do not have primary jurisdiction over that complaint.

25

24

When we get an individual complaint of discrimination that affects any of our licensees that have 15 or more full time employees, the law requires us to submit that complaint to EEOC for handling of the primary jurisdictional matter.

We can only await the outcome of the EEOC process.

- A How do you respond once such a determination has been made, we've heard allegations, this morning that the complainants themselves have not received any information from you in terms of the final outcome of some of these decisions.
- A. That's probably because we haven't received any information in terms of final outcome.
- Q Well then, how does the Los Angeles Times get information before you do?
 - A I didn't hear it.
- Q How does the Los Angeles Times get information before you do?
- A. Well, you'd be surprised how capable the press, printed press particularly in Washington, is capable of getting information out of the commission before we even know it on different floors. That's really the only answer I can give you.
- Q So your agency is incapable of handling this and that there is some major communication foulup --
 - A There is some major communications delays, there are

1 staff problems in terms of numbers of staff in different 2 areas. 3 Why hasn't this been corrected since the problem Q. 4 apparently exists? 5 Well, it hasn't been corrected because the commission has not gotten sufficient money from Congress. 7 What's the composition of the commission? was in Q. 8 How do you mean, at the commission level itself? A. 9 Right. Q. 10 The commission is headed by seven Presidentially-A. 11 appointed commissioners confirmed by the Senate. At the 12 moment there are a full complement of commissioners on hand. 13 There are, let's see, there are four White males, one --14 five White males, one Black male and one White female. 15 And ultimate accountability for all of these goings on Q. 16 rests with the commission? 17 That's correct, when you use it in that strict A. 18 sense, meaning the seven commissioners, yes. All authority 19 rests within their hands. 20 Q. (By Ms. Gillette) It was my impression that one of 21 the problems in communication had to do with the amount of 22 time that was given to respond once a decision had been 23 made? 24 A. A 30-day notice is given in terms of an appeal 25 to a commission decision for further appeal at the commission level. It's given within a 30-day period.

And it would seem that a quick way

Q And it would seem that a quick way to remedy that situation is to make the 30 days start once the person is notified?

A Is notified. I agree with that personally, I -there's something that I have to question when I go back,
because I heard it here today and I'm really not sure of
it, but in a due process situation, under a formal complaint
which would fall under due process situation, it would be
rather unusual that the counsel for complainant was not
immediately notified of action of the commission, that
would be a failure of due process.

I can't imagine the commission with the number of lawyers that it has there, failing in a due process situation to immediately notify both counsels, you know, of the outcome of a situation. But I do want to check on that when I go back.

THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions?

Q (By Mr. Glick) Yes. Mr. Manargas --

A Yes.

Q -- the major weapon in the arsenal of the FCC in enforcing the Communications Act of 1934, in the public interest as it's required to do, is the withholding or granting of licenses --

A. Correct.

	-
1	Q Haiwhich itscarries tremendous economic implications?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q And when there are complaints from citizens groups
4	as you heard discussed this morning from the Los Angeles
5	Women's Coalition for Better Broadcasting, these are re-
6	ceived as paper complaints by the commission. And they
7	are responded to by the licensee. There is not an oppor-
8	tunity for a hearing granted, is there?
9	A No, not unless the commission makes a determination
10	that there is sufficient material of substantial fact there
11	to warrant calling a hearing to make a determination out
12	of that hearing.
13	Q So what it is, actually, is kind of like an appellate
14	court judging on the merits of the briefs that are pre-
15	sented to it?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q So that it might seem
18	A. In the informal or the formal process.
19	Q Well, in the formal process.
20	A Well, I'm saying in either one.
21	Q But in the formal process then, it would seem that
22	the party that presents its information and its facts in
23	the best written manner, in the manner most calculated to
24	appeal to the kinds of persons who are commissioners, would
25	have the fairest chance of success?

A Conceivably, yes, yes, I grant that on a conceivable basis.

- Which would seem it follows that a very difficult burden is placed on citizens' groups who have the same interest in the licensing or withholding of licenses that the licensees do?
- A. I agree with that on a personal basis. It is rather new in this country that the citizenry of this country has gotten involved in the licensing process, the awareness of the fact that a holder of a license is acting as a fiduciary for the public for a short period of time.

This is a very, very new kind of awareness on the part of the public. And the public is making great inroads, in my opinion, in attempting to deal with that from the public point of view.

The burden, unfortunately, at the moment is on the public because the public doesn't have the resources that the licensee has nor that the commission has to come up with the necessary kinds of information that perhaps could call a question of a hearing.

Q Mr. Manargas, the California State Advisory Committee will be making recommendations to the commission, which the commission may very well -- will consider and may very well pass on to the FCC. Now, in the light of the discussion that we have just had in your own personal view, how would

you frame a recommendation to the FCC to make it more possible for citizens' groups to compete on a fairly even level with the stations, the licensees?

A One of the recommendations I would make to the commission as well as the public sitting in here, is that you both get involved in a current rule-making, petition for rule-making that is in front of the commission, asking that citizens' organizations dealing with the commission have some opportunity to be recompensed for their expense in dealing with the commission, having some payment from the commission to provide them with the legal facilities and legal services required to pursue an effort on their part. That rule-making is inffront of the commission now, is open in terms of still receiving comments as to whether the commission should do this or not.

It's also being supported by the Federal Communications

Bar Association in Washington, that citizens groups have

some opportunity to be funded to some extent when they

are pursuing activity in front of the commission.

That would be one of my strongest recommendations.

Another recommendation I would make to you that one of your own Congressmen from the State of California, Representative Miles Van Dearn, is now the chairman of the subcommittee on commerce and communications that does oversight on Federal Communication Commission. And the chairman has

indicated that the next session of Congress begins, he's going to have his committee prepare, or rather start the preparation of writing a new communications act to bring it up into the 21st Century.

I would certainly suggest that the citizens here as well as this advisory committee and the U.S. Civil Rights Commission itself, have inputation the rewrite of the communications act. A new communications act that can deal with the matters that are currentlyoday and will be current tomorrow, hopefully this whole matter of discrimination won't be as current tomorrow as it is today.

MR. GLICK: Thank you, Mr. Manargas, that's very helpful.

THE CHAIR: Are there other questions of Mr. Manargas?

Sally?

Q (By Ms. James) I have a couple short ones.

You mentioned that in individual complaints those are automatically referred to EEOC, is that correct?

- A. If the employer has 15 or more employees. If the employer has fewer than 15, then we handle it. Unless --, unless it falls under the authority of the state that has the civil rights commission.
- Q Do those complaints, however, also, a copy of them be retained in that station's file?

A. Oh, yes, within the file at the commission any material that comes, in in regards to an individual licensee, becomes part of the file of the licensee.

Q Is there an automatic formal procedure for when EEOC resolves the case that that information automatically goes back to SESC, so you know when --

A. No, there is no formal procedure at the moment where decisions on the part of EEOC regarding broadcast operation or telephone operation or cable operation are kicked out and referred to us, unless we stay in contact with them.

Q So, when, say a station comes up for license renewal and you have a stack of complaints in there, you don't know how they've been resolved?

A No. We have to hold up a review of, or not the review, the finalization of that particular license until we can get information from other sources.

- Q So you do go and ask EEOC?
- A Oh, yes. Oh, yes, yes.
- Q Just two other things.

You mentioned that there are going to be new requirements coming into effect next February and you mentioned that the 395 you're going to ask for. Do these new requirements include a requirement equal opportunity program have goals and timetables?

		-
	1	Q. How about Spanish-speaking, Asians or Indians?
	2	A. There are Spanish-speaking employees in other parts
	3	of the commission in professional and nonprofessional
	4	levels. There are Asian and Pacific citizens in professional
	5	and nonprofessional levels within the commission.
	6	Q And not in your
	7	, A. Well, I only T. I'm not a division J. Imagunit, a constant
	8	three people, but within the office of the general counsel
	9	itself, which is fairly large, with a great number of
	10	attorneys, there are Lat Latino attorneys and that's it,
	11	really. In the general counsel office.
	12	Q And the rest of the minorities fall into your
	13	clerical staff?
	14	A Yes, within that particular office, but within other
	15	parts of the commission we have professional people of
	16	the other protected groups.
	17	Q In decision-making capacities?
	18	A Oh, no, no. No, there's only one minority decision-
	19	maker at the commission, that's Commissioner Hooks, the
	20	rest of us are we're to stay
	21	Q Below the commissioner level?
	22	A Beg your pardon?
	23	Q Below the commissioner level?
	24	A. Semi-decision-making, assistant bureau chiefs,
	25	which would be the next highest, that's the extent of it, yes.
1		

Q One final question. You are now speaking of Asian and Pacific Americans, in your verbal testimony, are you planning to revise form 395- and take out the word Oriental as stipulated?

A Yes, as a matter of fact, we were waiting, you know, the EEOC recently finalized the revision of the headings on its EEO-1 form, and we were waiting through GAO for the final approval of the new-form by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, based upon that we are going to make recommendations that the commission change its form 395 so that it really reflects more accurately the various ethnic groups.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. --

- Q (By Ms. Gomez) I wanted to ask one question.

 THE CHAIR: Oh, I'm sorry.
- Q (By Ms. Gomez) We've had concern in the Latin

 American community with regards to the nonrepresentation of

 Spanish-speaking commissioners on the FCC. How -- what

 would you have to recommend to this community so that they

 can get a commissioner appointed to this commission? They've

 tried everything.
- A Yes. Well, the process is a process of constantly being in touch with the White House, it's a political process. The first effort for the appointment or the recommendation of an individual to be a commissioner comes

through the White House to Congress. So it's a totally political kind of activity that a group would have to take to make sure that some recommendations come forth from the White House, and then, after that, then you have to make effort within the Senate itself to make sure that the Senate votes, the Senate Commerce Committee has awareness of these recommendations and gives some consideration to the ethnic makeup of the various commissions.

It's a total political process, there's no other way -

- Q Starting with the White House first?
- A Absolutely.

Mr. DeBaca (Phonetic) in the White House and Mr. Calhoun in the White House at the moment would be contacts.

THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions?

If not, thank you very much, Mr. Manargas, for your very helpful and cooperative testimony.

A. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Our next scheduled attorney is Ed Shultz, for CBS, speaking for KNXT.

It has been brought to my attention that the CBS representative is not here, but has left a statement. I'm going to ask Mr. Glick to read that statement to us at this time?

MR. GLICK: We've received a letter from KNXT signed by Christopher P. Desmond, who is the vice president

and general manager, it's addressed to Herman Sillas, who is the chairperson of the California State Advisory

Committee to the commission. It's a fairly lengthy document but I think it will prove of interest. It's dated October 22, 1976.

Dear Mr. Sillas, pursuant to the discussion with Edward Shultz, CBS law department, Sally James of your staff, this letter is submitted in response to your letter dated October 8, 1976, to KNXT, requesting answers to specific questions relating to equal employment opportunities for women and minorities at KNXT.

KNXT is fully aware of the difficulties encountered by womenand minorities in seeking employment opportunites free of discrimination by reason of race, color or sex.

We welcome the invitation of the California State Advisory

Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights as as opportunity to relate the efforts which KNXT has made and is currently making to insure that no qualified person is denied the opportunity of competing for work at KNXT because of race, color or sex.

As requested by your staff, we are attaching our most recent FCC form 395 report, Exhibit A, also attached for your information is a copy of KNXT's equal employment; opportunity program as filed with the Federal Communications Commission on August 1, 1974, as part of the station

application for renewal of license. Exhibit B, this program of course, does not describe the other programs and efforts by CBS covering other operating units of the company.

On behalf of minority and female training and advancement.

Since your staff has indicated that its investigation relates solely to KNXT, the information provided is limited to KNXT. KNXT is owned by CBS Incorporated, a New York corporation with headquarters in New York City. CBS policy of equal employment opportunity has been one of longstanding as demonstrated by two CBS policy notes from the president relating to equal employment opportunities for minorities and women which are included in Exhibit B.

As a result of our continuing efforts KNXT now employs many women and minorities, many in positions of responsibility. Among the positions filled by women and minorities are, manager of broadcast operations, sales services administrator, administrator sales traffic, manager sales traffic, director of communications, design manager, manager of financial services, and executive assistant to the general manager.

A more complete list is attached as Exhibit C.

It is clear that KNXT has many-women and minorities on its staff and that many are working in higher level positions.

ع د د د

__

Indeed, Connie Chung, coanchor of the evening news at KNXT, is an Asian American woman. CBS has a longstanding and firmly established policy of encouraging internal promotions. During the past year, for example, a number of women and minorities have been promoted at KNXT and from other CBS locations to KNXT.

assistant to the general manager at KMOX-TV, St. Louis, was transferred and promoted to the position of director of communications at KNXT. A list of other promotions is attached as Exhibit B.

The KNXT equal employment opportunities program as set forth in Exhibit 6 of the station's 1974 license renewal application.

Of course, due to the passage of time, changes and modifications have been made to strengthen some of the programs described therein.

The minority scholarship program described in paragraph E has resulted in numerous awards to minorities. The college internship program, as described in paragraph H, provided television training for nine men and 11 women including seven minorities.

In 1975, KNXT initiated a six-month news writer intern program for minority and women candidates, one Asian American woman and one Black woman have completed the intern

program. One intern is presently employed by the station as a production assistant in the broadcast department.

Further, the tutorial internship program described in paragraph F, continues to provide experience for women and minorities.

In 1976, KNXT initiated a high school summer program,
five students representing a cross section of the community.

Asian, Caucasian, Black, Mexican-American and American
Indian were employed for ten weeks in the station's news
planning and administration, community relations and communications departments. The students were selected through
the assistance of community groups such as Asians for
Fair Media, and the American Indian Scholarship Fund.

KNXT intends to follow the students through their college careers and anticipates providing employment each summer for them.

The station's community relations department serves as a continual point of contact for minority and women applicants. For example, during February, 1976, KNXT presented a media workshop at the Los Angeles Indian Center. Similarly, the community relations department has continued to coordinate with other community organizations which serve as a source of minority and women applicants such as the urban league.

Several persons presently employed by the station were

referred through such organizations.

KNXT believes that complaints, comments and suggestions from the public can provide a most helpful gauge of its effectiveness. In recognition of its responsibility the station has established procedures for considerations of such communications. See Exhibit 3, Section 4B. KNXT 1974 license renewal application.

The station also meets with and actively seeks meetings with numerous community groups. The director of community relations, his staff and the station's manager, meet with community representatives on a direct basis.

KNXT does not have its own personnel office, coordination of personnel and payroll matters for the station is handled by KNXT director of planning and administration and the director's assistant, the Los Angeles CBS personnel department, provides a full range of personnel services to KNXT, as it does to other CBS elements in Los Angeles.

The station's community relations department coordinator meets with the CBS personnel department in Los Angeles to recruit minorities and women. The personnel department also provides assistance for the station's training programs such as the intern program for news writers.

Further, during the past year several employees of the station, including women and minorities, have received on the job training designed to prepare them for promotion.

The CBS personnel department in New York has a career inventory system which is computerized and serves as a source for minority and women candidates for promotion throughout the company.

For example, within the past year a Black male employee identified through the system, was promoted to the position of supervisor of credit and collections at KNXT. The system is described in a document captioned career inventory instruction manual, which is attached as Exhibit F.

News and public affairs documentaries are produced by KNXT staff and not by freelance producers.

Statistical summaries are not available regarding the number of women and minority per diem employees and would be burdensome to produce. However, KNXT follows its policy of nondiscrimination with respect to per diem employees.

Finally, no vacation relief writers have been employed as staff writers during the past year. Very truly yours, Christopher Desmond.

Now, they have, Madam Chairperson, have included all these exhibits, but obviously the staff has had no opportunity to analyze them and I don't think it would be fair for us to comment on them.

But I would like to advise any members of interested

groups that these documents will be available at our Western Regional Office for their inspection.

THE CHAIR: Would you like to give the address of the Western Regional Office in case anyone does want to?

MR. GLICK: It's in the Federal Courthouse Building at 303 Spring Street in downtown Los Angeles.

MS. JAMES: Three-one-two.

MR. GLICK: Okay, 312 Spring Street

THE CHAIR: Ms. Gillette, although I appreciate
the amount of information that KNXT has sent us this afternoon, I think the fact they have not appeared indicates
the depth of their commitment to affirmative action.
Therefore, I move that we add KNXT to Mr. Sillas' letter
to the United States Commission on Civil Rights to ask
that they subppens KNXT along with the movie studios to
appear in person to respond to any questions that the
United States Commission on Civil Rights may have.

MS. GOMEZ: Second that.

THE CHAIR: When we have our break we can act on that issue. Thank you.

MS. HATARIT : Madame Chairman, I beg to differ, but I demand we take a voice count since a motion has been made and it has been seconded.

THE CHAIR: Just in the interest of time, Sally, what do you say to that?

MS. JAMES: You can vote on it.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Is there any dissention or disagreement with that motion?

No?

So ordered.

Our next person to appear before us is the vice president and general manager of KNBC Mr. Tom Straszewski.

Do you have a statement you would like to give us first, Mr. Straszewski?

MR. TOM STRASZEWSKI

A. (By Mr. Straszewski) Yes, I do, as soon as I can get it out, it's a very brief statement that I would like to read.

THE CHAIR: Again for the record, although I mentioned it, would you identify yourself, please?

A. Yes, I am Tom Straszewski, the vice president and general manager of KNBC in Los Angeles, the NBC station.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

A. I'm here to discuss KNBC's affirmative action efforts with you and to try to answer your questions regarding those efforts. Perhaps would be useful before starting the discussion for me to say just a few words about the

_ 编版

overall results of KNBC's affirmative action efforts.

We believe that we've made substantial progress, we also believe we have a long way to go. Our affirmative action plan as written covers the usual things that are in such plans, policy statements, recruitment, hiring, promotion and training. But I'm sure that you are more interested in results than in plans.

According to the latest industry comparisons available, which are based on 1975 reports, covering the 25 largest markets in the country, KNBC has more minority employees than any other television station in the United States, except for the NBC stations in New York and Chicago.

Among televisions stations at the top 25 markets,

KNBC has a higher percentage of minority employees than

all but four stations and three of these stations broadcast
in the Spanish language and the fourth is NBC's Washington,

D.C. station.

In so far as female employees are concerned, according to the same industry comparisons, KNBC has more female employees than all but three other stations in the United States, two of which are NBC stations. And on percentage of female employees, taking again the top 25 markets, KNBC's record is almost as good.

Our record has improved since then. As of the end of last month minorities made up 30% and females 31% of KNEC

total employment. We understand, of course, that total employment figures do not tell the whole story. types of jobs which are being filled by minorities and by women are extremely significant in evaluating the results of any affirmative action program.

Taking the top EEOC categories of employment only, and those are officials and managers, and professionals, almost 25% of the employees at KNBC are women and almost 25% are minority.

We understand that these meetings are particularly concerned with decision-making positions in news and public affairs programming. If we include all the job categories that determine what goes on the air, that is from writers to producers to department heads and local news, over 20% of such employees are minority and over 20% women.

In public affairs programming, the figures are over 25% minority and over 40% women. Categories included are program department executives, producers and associate

That completes my prepared statement at this time. THE CHAIR: All right. Thank you very much. I believe, Ms. Davis, you wanted to start off? (By Ms. McCullough) All right. I'd like to know, Q is management at KNBC provided any program to sensitize them to the diverse communities they serve?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do you mean formal programs? Q. Yes. 2 3 We have one planned, which will take place in It's going to be conducted by an outside firm, 4 February. 5 Erlane (Phonetic), Hernandez and Associates. It will include between 20 -- 25 and 30 people at KNBC and it is 6 7 designed to provide us with the sensitivity training speciand the state of t fically in this case with the female aspects of our community 8 And we'll see what happens after that one takes place. that will take place in January of next year. 10 I see. Have you determined aneed to have similar 11 programs for other groups of people as minorities? 12 We have considered it, since as I mentioned we will 13 be trying this one first but I think we will take our 14 15 experience from there and go on. I really don't know at this time. 16 17 Q. (By Ms. James) Excuse me, may I interrupt, some of 18 these questions were provided to you ahead of time and so 19 you -- are you prepared to answer verbally those questions 20 that we provided to you? As best I can, yes. 21 A 22 Q. Okay. 23 I will try. A. 24 (By Ms. McCullough) Okay. Could you tell us what

positions are the decision makers in the news programming?

1	A Well, I mentioned that in my opening statement
2	Q Twenty percent?
3	A Yes, they would range all the way from the writers,
4	the producers to the management people in the news department.
5	Q Could you give us the ethnic distribution of that
6	20%?
7	A I don't have that with me at this time.
8	Q I see, could you make that available to us?
9	A Yes, I can.
10	Q. How often do managers of public affairs documentaries,
11	Saturday and Sunday shows, meet?
12	A Well, in the case of the public affairs programming,
13	the Saturday and Sunday show, they're not as there's a
14	weekly formal meeting set up but when you're producing a
15	show, it can't be done just on one meeting a week, that
16	goes on really throughout the whole week.
17	In the case of documentaries, they are done over a
18	period of time and from the inception they go right on, un-
19	fortunately right up to almost air time in some cases.
20	Q Are any of these managers women or minorities?
21	A Yes, they are. I mentioned the makeup again in my
22	opening statement, that it was 25% minority and 40% women.
23	Q So it would hold true for these particular positions,
24	is that right, that percentage?
25	A Well, in the documentary it's the documentaries are

I	
1	Q (By the Chair) Do your freelancers walk in off the
2	street or do you have a list of freelancers?
3	A. Some walk in off the street, some have come to us
4	before and come back again and again. There is
5	no magic formula to where they come from, the diversity of
6	our documentaries I think would speak for that?
7	Q (By Ms. Gillette) And when you make up these statistics
8	you're including these documentary freelance producers?
9	A. For the statistics that I mentioned in the documentary
10	unit, yes. The public affairs programming that we carry
11	is regularly scheduled. They are freelance people but they
12	work 52 weeks a year on this particular program.
13	Q (By Ms. McCullough) In a year's time how many
14	people work as temporary or per diem employees?
15	A. I checked back through 1970 on that and got, we had
16	a total of 409 people, and the breakdown on those figures
17	were 61% male, 39% female, and 33% minority.
18	Ω Do you have the distribution figures for the minority
19	group?
20	A. No, I don't.
21	Q Can you provide those to us as well?
22	A I can try, that's a
23	Q Are any of the employees who are categorized as
24	temporary or per diem workers, do they work more than 30
25	hours a week?

- A Are you referring back to the 40% figure that I gave you?
 - Q Yes.
- A I'm sure in some cases they probably did, and in some cases I'm sure that there was somebody that came in one day to help out with something. I don't have a breakdown as to the hours worked.
- Q In that case I'd like to ask if any of these people, this count included in your Federal Communication Commission's 395 report?
 - A. No, they are not.
 - Q They would not be counted in that report?
- A. The 395 report is made up of full time staff people, that does not include freelance and it does not include the casuals or the per diem people.
 - Q. What constitutes full time, how many hours per week?
 - A. It means being on the staff of KNBC.
- Q On staff. Could you tell us what the functions of an editorial assistant might be?
- A Yes, the editorial assistant position exists in the news department. And in some of the functions are they monitor both the wires and the incoming news feeds from the NBC news, they distribute copy, they are asked to check out stories perhaps on the phone or in any other method they might be asked, and I also understand from time to time

they may be upgraded to writer. 1 2 Could you tell us how many of the Black professionals 3 are editorial assistants? 4 Well, we do not classify editorial assistants A. 5 as professional. On 395 form they appear in the clerical 6 function. In the clerical function? 7 8 A. Yes. However, the breakup, we currently have eight 9 editorial assistants, and there are three Spanish surnamed, 10 one Asian, two Black, two women. 11 Do you provide training or are meditorial assistants 12 trained for their jobs? 13 The training of editorial assistants again re-14 stricted to the news area which is the only place that 15 position exists at KNBC, is done on a vacation relief 16 There is a period of months throughout the year basis. 17 where we, due to vacations, hire people as vacation relief 18 editorial or are you talking about the news writers, 19 excuse me? 20 Q. Yes. 21 A. As news writers --22 Q. News writers or editors? 23 A. News writers. And during vacation relief period we 24 consider that an opportunity for us to look and train with 25 them and perhaps at some future time they may be hired on

1	as full time.
2	Q How do you select these people for the vacation
3	relief, for the training? -
4	A. In the news area?
5	Q Yes.
6	A I don't have that information. That is done by the
7	director of news, west coast, and I ve never really gotten
8	into that with him.
9	Q Are there job descriptions or
10	A. NBC personnel does maintain job descriptions of
11	those people working at KNBC, the staff people
12	Q (By Ms. Hata) May we have copies?
13	A. That I don't know, I'd have to check.
14	Q How many of these two women are minority women?
15	A. Pardon me?
16	Q These two women you mentioned that are editorial
17	assistants, how many of them are minority women?
18	A. I don't believe the breakdown I have here does
19	not indicate that they are minority women.
20	Q So you don't know if they're being counted twice
21	or not?
22	A. No, I've given you a total of eight people in that
2 3	area, and that's the number that we have so I'm not doing
24	any double counting there.
25	Q (By Ms. McCullough) In 1973 were there any permanent

1 job assignments given to minorities in the top four job 2 categories? 3 Yes, are you referring to some of the information A 4 we've submitted? 5 Q. Yes. 6 The information you have lists a number of Yes. 7 promotions that took place in 1973 within the minority and 8 women category. Into the top four there were -- let me 9 back office second. There were 34 positions, 34 promotions 10 in the top four categories. 22 of those came from without 11 -- outside of the previous top four positions and 12 were 12 within top four. 13 O. These are permanent jobs that we're talking about? 14 A. Those are permanent jobs, yes. 15 I might add that is an NBC west coast report. 16 KNBC only, which I ammresponsible for, since 1973 there 17 have been 17 promotions into the top four category. Of 18 those 17, 13 have been female and 10 minority. 19 Of that 13 female group, do you have a breakdown 20 in terms of ethnicity for the females? 21 A. I have it available back in my office, yes. 22 You could make that available to us, we'd appreciate 23 it. 24 A. Sure. 25 Q. In terms of promotion to vacation relief positions,

1 do those promotions become permanent? 2 They could. There is no guarantee that they will. A. 3 If the job is open and the person was selected, yes, it 4 But there is no guarantee. could happen. 5 Do you have some recent figures in terms I see. 6 of how many did become permanent? 7 A. Not on vacation relief, no, not with me at this time. I see, could you provide that? Q. A Iccan try. 10 In the NBC, in the KNBC affirmative action plan Q. 11 submitted to the Federal Communications Commission, where 12 thesimples for the licensing state 28.7% minority and 30.6% 13 female -- I'm sorry, and 30.6% female employees. 14 that on your 1974 Federal Communications Commission 395 form, 15 these goals were not met. They are met? 16 A. Yes, they are. 17 I see. O. 18 They're exceeded, I have the figures that were filed, 19 the '75 report, and the employment figures indicate they 20 are the females, 33%, these figures are rounded that I'm 21 using, is 33% and minorities 30%. 22 Thirty-three percent for minorities? Q 23 No, 33% female, 30% minority. 24 Q. Okay, our figures are wrong for this. In view of 25 that statement, could you tell us what your goals for the

top four categories are and when you plan to meet them? 1 As the gentleman prior to me being up here mentioned, 2 we, as recently as two weeks ago, started planning to file 3 our new application next year. We're into that right now. 4 5 Would have been into it more save for preparing for some That would be, I expect it to be in our license 6 of this. renewal application which we will file on August 1st of 7 8 1977. We are working on it right now. I can't give you 9 a figure because I've not got to it, but we will before then. 10 Could you tell us what the steps are for a news Q. 11 writer trainee to become a news writer? 12 I mentioned earlier that we do not have news writer A 13 trainees. We use vacation relief to have people 14 come in and to evaluate their work in progress at that time, 15 with the possiblity of putting them on as full time when 16 the opportunity occurs. 17 Q Is there any consideration for developing training 18 programs to help meet your affirmative action goals? 19 A In that particular area? 20 Q. Yes. 21 A. Not specifically in the news writer area at this 22 time. 23 a (By the Chair) Could I ask you one question? You 24 may have given this statistics before but I'm not sure. 25 How many on-camera reporters are there with NBC?

1

I don't have that breakdown but there are quite a A. 1 I don't have that -few. Fifty, a,100, 200? 3 Q. No, not that many reporters, no. A. Just approximately then? 5 Q A. I would rather check to get back to you. Then I'll ask you another question, in terms of 7 reporters, generally, and this I know you don't have a 8 figure on. Once they're a reporter, how long do they last 9 with your station? 10 A. I don't think there's any rule of thumb or any time-11 table of how long they last. I'm sure some have been there 12 quite some time and others not so long. No period of time. 13 I was thinking the gentleman from Disney Studios 14 15 indicated their staff tended to stay, they weren't talking about reporters but tended to stay once they were hired 16 17 and I wondered if this was true with NBC and particularly 18 with reporters? 19 A. The turnover is not that great. I think a lot of 20 people use a major market to eventually get to that point 21 and once they get there that's kind of a goal they've had 22 in their lives. I think particularly southern California 23 attracts even moreso than any other area. 24 (By Ms. McCullough) Do you have any information in Q 25 terms of the turnover rate for White males as opposed to

1 what the turnover rate might be for minorities? 2 A. I do not have any figures on turnover rates, I 3 do not. 4 a Do you compile such figures? I would guess, and I'm saying this now because I've 6 never had the occasion to get into it, but I would -- I 7 would guess that perhaps the NBC personnel department keeps 8 track of things like this. 9 (By the Chair) Just your own assessment, a previous Q. 10 figure indicated that 12 Black reporters were fired within 11 the past eight months, he said in 1976, would this seem 12 like a high figure to you? 13 Out of the total in the Los Angeles market? II 14 don't know how many Black reporters there are. 15 Out of any reporters for --Q, 16 Yes, I think 12 is probably a rather large number, A. 17 yes. 18 (By Ms. Hata) Q. Let's go back to personnel office 19 Is there a personnel office for KNBC that you mentioned. 20 that is separate from the network personnel office? 21 Well, first of all let me start by saying there is 22 no network personnel office. There is an NBC personnel 23 office which serves the whole company and the various 24 divisions within NBC. It is a staff function, very similar 25 to a broad financial area or perhaps the legal area.

	1	does not have its own personnel office. It relies on the
	2	west coast personnel office which is a part of the total
	3	NBC corporate personnel office.
	4	Q There is a west coast personnel office?
	5	A Yes, which is part of the overall NBC personnel
	6	activity.
	7	Q And KNBC falls into that category and under the
	8	jurisdiction
	9	A It's a staff service that we use, yes.
1	0	Q What is the composition of that organization by
1	1	race and title?
1	2	. A. I do not have that breakdown.
1	.3	Q Do you have that breakdown in your office?
1	.4	A. No, I do not have it in mine. I'm sure the people
1	.5	in the personnel department do, again it is a staff
1	.6	function, they are a service to KNBC.
1	7	Q So you have no idea what do they do?
1	8	A What do they do?
1	9	Q. Yes.
2	20	A Well, they their activities are as diverse as
2	21	screening applicants.
2	22	Q Do you see any of them?
2	23	A Do I see any of them? Yes, a great deal of time.
2	24	Q How many minorities and women have you seen from
2	25	that office?
!		1

A. I can't give you the exact number but there are
in fact, the EEOCofficer of the NBC personnel office on the
west coast is a minority.
Q Is the EEO officer the same person as the personnel
officer?
A. No, they are not, they are separate. There is a
woman, a female in the personnel office that has, one of
her primary responsibilities is the screening, the initial
screening and the obtaining of candidates for positions than
throughout NBC on the west coast, that is a female.
Q She handles the screening of employees, do you have
any other women in similar capacities at other levels?
We're looking at job categories from the 1976
A. Well now, these job categories will not appear on
our 395 form and these are, again the 395 figures reflect
KNBC employees.
Q Well, let's look at the KNBC employees, you've got
36 total employees under the category of officials and
managers. Of that 36, 30 are male and six are female.
A. Which year are you referring to?
Q Nineteen-seventy-six, a revised form.
A. Okay.
Q Can you break that down into specific jobs, officials
and managers referring to what level?
A I can supply that to you, yes.

1	Q You can?
2	A Yes, not today here, but I can supply that to you,
3	yes.
4	Q (By the Chair) But now the EEO person, would that
5	be considered a manager or an official?
6	A. The EEO person would not appear on this 395, again
7	I refer back, that is a staff function of NBC, it is not
8	KNBC function.
9	Q (By Ms. Hata); KNBC, then, does not have its own
10	EEO officer?
11	A. No, it does not.
12	Q And you will supply these statistics and breakdowns
13	to our staff?
14	A Yes, I will try.
15	Q Well, we will be knocking on your door, I'm sure.
16	Q (By Ms. McCullough) Okay, I have an additional
17	question. I understand that KNBC has a women's advisory
18	council?
19	A. That's true.
20	Q Okay. Could you tell us what kind of relationship
21	the advisory council has to KNBC?
2 2	A The official relationship, the structural relationship?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. Yes. We, since 19 since the fall of 1974, we
25	have been meeting with the women's advisory council approxi-

mately once a quarter, we missed the last quarter for some reasons of scheduling. We plan, in fact I met some of them while I was grabbing a hamburger before coming in here, we plan to meet with them before the end of the year again.

We meet to do two things. First on their part, they continue to bring up concerns that they have as an advisory council in terms of programming, employment, in general matters affecting their concerns and for our part we report on the questions they have and respond and kind of tell them what we're doing.

- Q In terms of the issue of sex stereotyping, have you discussed that with the women's advisory council and made any kind of changes in programming?
- A. Well, I'm not here to discuss programming today. Yes, we have discussed that quite extensively, and have made efforts and I think also progress in the elimination of those references that would be considered stereotypic in our programming.
- Q We heard earlier from testimony to the effect that with the involvement of more women in various levels within the organization, the chances are there would be fewer sex stereotype roles. Would you consider that to be an important factor in terms of the personnel distribution?
 - A Could you repeat that? I don't think I followed.
 - Q We heard earlier that the employment's of more women

throughout the organization in management roles, that that would lessen the number of stereotyped roles that women play, would that be another matter that you're dealing with with the women's advisory committee?

- A Yes. The women's advisory committee has not been shy in expressing their concerns about these things. They come right out and -- I think we have a good dialogue, actually, and it's pretty loud and clear. I think we trust each other.
- Q In your submittal to the Federal Communications

 Commission, you state that there are procedures established

 for the consideration, evaluation and disposition of com
 plaints. During this last licensing period, what minority

 women's groups have met with management to air complaints

 and discuss concerns?
- A I do have a partial list. Concerning women's groups, this is not a complete list, the women's advisory council, the Comision Feminino, the American Women in Radio and Television, National Association of Media Women, Women in Film, NOW, and Women's Service Center.

In the minority groups, CORE, the Mexican-American
Opportunity Foundation, Asians for Fair Media, Urban League,
the L.A. Brotherhood Crusade, Model Cities Center for Law
and Justice, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference,
Operation Breadbasket, the League of Latin-American Citizens,
and there are more. Again I have only brought a partial

list of them.

- Q (By Ms. McCullough) Could you explain to us, tell us about your complaint process, what is the complaint procedure?
- A Well, the complaint -- there is -- the complaint dictates the procedure. It can be a phone call to a particular department within the station, it can be a phone call directly to me, it can be a letter directed to me, it can come from a meeting, any various community meeting that those of us at KNBC attend. It can come really from any way at all, it can be something printed, we'll get it anyway and it's the policy of the station and has long been the policy of the station, that we will meet and we will discuss and try to solve it on any level that it takes.
 - Q Do you have a formalized complaint procedure?
- A. I don't really know what you mean by formalized complaint procedure.
- Q. A complaint procedure which would allow anyone, employee or someone outside the organization, to file a grievance?
- A Yes. It's formal, if -- from -- people outside
 that want to complain it's been my experience that they
 will get in to see you if -- no matter how hard you, if
 you were predisposed that way to turn it off, you can't do it

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

they will get ineto see you. It's been our posture to meet with them as openly and as quickly as we possibly can and hopefully resolve any differences that may be brought up by them.

- Q In your opinion, what changes have occurred as a result of the agreement with the Women's coalition? You've mentioned that they've been quite verbal and they-made their position clear. Could you be specific in terms of what agreements have been reached?
- A. Well, I think the overall feeling that I get, and I ve been involved with it since it started, I was not the general manager at the time that it did start, however I was privileged to sit in on all the meetings. There's no question that it was -- it heightened sensitivity to those of us who perhaps had not been sensitized quite that much, they were able to point up certain areas that perhaps some of us had not been able to see, for whatever reason, they are open with us.

They tell us when they think we are doing something wrong and we explain and we go from there. It's a dialogue.

It's a continuing dialogue and I think it's been very helical effective.

- Q Can you specify any agreements you made with minority groups, if any?
 - A There have been none.

```
1
            There have been none. Are you --
       Q.
2
            No formal agreements.
       A.
3
                             Mr. Straszewski, I'm going back
       Q.
             (By Ms. Hata)
4
     and taking a look at editorial assistants again, I finally
5
     added them up, you've got two Blacks, two Spanish surnamed,
6
     one Asian and two women, which totals to eight, correct?
7
            Correct.
                                         1. 温度鐵
                                                    خارجينا ۾ -
8
            You mean to tell me you have no White males serving
       Q.
9
     as editorial assistants?
10
            I'm sorry, I used the figure -- I misread my figure
       A.
11
     here, it is two White, I do not have the male/female
12
     breakdown.
13
       O.
            So it's two White --
14
       A.
            Correct.
15
            -- and you don't know whether these two White folk
       Q.
16
     are male or female?
17
            No, I don't.
       A.
18
            Who do these editorial assistants assist?
19
            The writers.
       A.
20
            The writers?
       Q.
21
            In the news department.
       A.
22
            How many of the writers are minority?
       Q.
23
            I mentioned earlier I don't have that breakdown.
       A.
24
            How many of them are women?
       Q.
25
       A.
            I don't have the breakdowns by women or minority
```

1	groups today.
2	Q Will you have them when our staff contacts you?
3	A I will try.
4	Q You will try, thank you.
5	THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions?
6	Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Yes, I have some questions.
7	Somewhere I think I got lost. Does KNBC have its 👼 📝
8	own affirmative action plan?
9	A. Yes, we submitted that to the commission a couple
10	weeks ago, it was filed as part of our last license
11	renewal.
12	Q Are your affirmative action programs mandatory?
13	A. What do you mean by mandatory?
14	Q Did somebody tell you you had to do it or is this
15	all voluntary on your part?
16	A I don't have the answer to that because they were
17	started, I believe in the early '70's and I don't know
18	why they were started, but they were started.
19	Q Okay. How can the public monitor differentials in
20	pay between men and women? If somebody was interested in
22	finding out how could they go about it?
23	A. We would not release that.
24	Q Why?
25	A Well, it's the policy of the NBC personnel department
23	at this time.

25

Q. (By the Chair) Are you subject to the Public Information Act?

MR. GLICK: May I point out that the Freedom of Information Act applies only to federal government agencies and not private corporations.

Q (By Ms. Hata) But, Counsel, we may request of the appropriate federal agencies to secure this information if we do not get it, is that correct?

MR. GLICK: I don't believe that that information is provided to FCC in their license application. That's a matter of, I think in fairness, though, of business confidentiality.

- A That is not in the FCC application.
- Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Okay, what kinds of on-going training programs do you have for women and minorities?
- A We have both a formal training program, which is a release time training program, it is designed below supervisory level, gives the people an opportunity to be considered for training in various areas of the station that they think they would like to be in, and they are given a chance.

It's a release time training program, they are released from their work for a period of time during the week over a period of weeks and they are actually trained in the functions of a department other than which they are normally

:3.

1 employed. 2 Do you know how many people are involved in that? 3 Yes, in the current program that is on there are A. 4 seven people, and the breakdown, which I'm sure you're 5 interested in --6 THE CHAIR: That's correct. 7 -- I have that one. It's six women, one male and . 8 a further breakdown is four White, two Asians, one Spanish 9 surname. 10 Q. (By the Chair) Four White, two Asian, one Spanish 11 surname? 12 Right. This is a voluntary program. They come 13 forward and ask to be trained and we -- the community 14 relations director in conjunction with the station manager 15 select them. 16 So do you mean that no Blacks came forward and asked Q. 17 to be trained or --18 They probably did, I don't know, but perhaps they 19 weren't selected at this time. 20 Q. (By Ms. Bernstein) How long has this program been 21 going on? 22 This is the second cycle, we did one also in 1975, A. 23 there is also, I've mentioned the vacation -- well, there's 24 another one I should mention, there's a vacation relief 25 training program in the technical area. We're just really

completing the second phase of that.

There was a Black female in 1975, who we trained in the technical area, she was guaranteed her job back at the end of that training, she chose to come back. One, a White female, was selected this year, she chose to stay in the technical area and her job has now been filled, her permanent job and she is still in the technical area and has indicated she would not go back to her staff position.

- Q The one you're referring to, which is cycle two, in cycle one how many of those people upgraded their jobs by this training program?
- A. I believe in the -- the 1975 release time training program there were two promotions. Those people eventually they trained did get promoted.
 - Q I don't suppose you have the breakdown at this time?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q Some people, especially community groups, have been critical of ascertainment procedures stating that stations are not reaching out into the community and are only ascertaining status quo representatives. What do you think of ascertainment -- the ascertainment process and how important is it to your station?
- A Following the questions that were submitted to me, there was really nothing on ascertainment and I have not

spent the time researching into that to prepare this. I will be glad to get into that at another time perhaps.

- Q (By the Chair) As a -- well, I was just going to ask you, Mr. Straszewski, as the vice president and general manager, if you are satisfied with the progress that KNBC is making in hiring and promotion of minority people?
- A. Satisfaction is a difficult thing. In my opening statement I mentioned that we were pleased with the progress we have made since 1969 or since 1970. The male composition of KNBC at that time, of the total employees at KNBC, was 77% of the total employment force. It is now 67%. That's the only area we've gone down in. The female composition of the station was at 23% in 1970, as of now it's at 33%.

The minority composition was at 20%, that is currently at 30%.

I also mentioned in my opening statement that we still think we have room for improvement, and I am committed to it, the people that preceded me that had a great deal in bringing these figures there, I think have set an example.

Part of my job is being -- I'm a cannibal for us, this is part of my territory and I like my job, I plan to be there, and I plan to continue to progress.

Q. Are there any rewards for staff in working towards this affirmative action?

1	A At KNBC?
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. Part of my evaluation to the people that I report to,
4	that is a very, very major factor. The people that report
5	to me at KNBC obviously if I'm judged on that, I have to be
6	fair to them, and they have to be judged also on their per-
7	formance in that area.
8	Q (By Ms. Hata) Who do you report to?
9	A. I report to the executive vice president of the NBC
10	television stations division.
11	Q. And he is a White male?
12	A Yes, he is.
13	Q Who reports to you?
14	A. Reporting to me
15	Q Directly?
16	A. Directly?
17	Q Right.
18	A There is a station manager
19	Q. Who is a White male?
20	A. Yes. There is the manager of community relations.
21	Press and publicity.
22	Q. Who is a White male?
23	A. Wrong, he is a Chicano male.
24	There is an editorial director who is a White male.
25	And the business manager who is a White male.

1	Q. No women?
2	THE CHAIR: Just as my question is, are there any
3	rewards?
4	A I suppose there are negative rewards, if I don't
5	perform I, you know, I don't
6	Q (By the Chair) But are there
7	A. Financial rewards?
8	Ω Well, I don't know what kind of rewards
9	A. No, there are no rewards, it's really it's a part
10	of my job, it's get it done. I suppose the reward is if you
11	do it and you're successful, well, it's an overall con-
12	sideration or where you're going or where you may stay. Or
13	whether you're going out.
14	Q It doesn't become a part of an evaluation in terms
15	of
16	A. Yes, it is a part of an evaluation.
17	THE CHAIR: Mr. Glick has some questions.
18	Q (By Mr. Glick) Just a couple of questions, Mr.
19	Straszewski, they're not in areas that you were asked in
20	writing but I don't think you'll hve any problem with them.
21	They deal with employment and contracting.
22	I assume that some of the programming is created
23	locally for KNBC. Some of the commercials are done locally
24	for KNBC?
25	A. Commercials?

1	Q Yes. Like a local used car dealer or something like
2	that wouldn't be sent out from the network?
3	A. No. KNBC is not in the business of producing
4	commercials.
5	Q No, I understand that, but they are they are run
6	so therefore they're created and bought by the station,
7	I would assume
8	A. The commercials?
9	Q. Yes.
10	A. No. The advertising agencies and the clients buy
11	commercial time from us. And they supply us with the
12	commercials.
13	Q They supply you with the commercials?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q I see, so in other words, they're not produced at
16	your order?
17	A. No.
18	Q. How about other programming, is there any programming
19	that is created locally other than local community interest
20	kinds of programs?
21	A You mean entertainment programming?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. We are currently not in the production of producing
24	any local entertainment programming. Our local effort is
25	concerned with news, public affairs and community programming.

1 Okay, in that case, no point in asking the question, 2 I was actually going to ask about whether you'd undertaken 3 any efforts to encourage minority producers or female producers of programming, but since you --5 Well, yes, the community programming, public affairs 6 programming, which is regularly scheduled, we produce those. 7 But I was thinking in terms of entertainment. 8 Entertainment, not directly. A. 9 Secondly, we've heard some comments today Q. 10 about, and yesterday we heard a great deal more, about the 11 skilled trades in the -- well, yesterday in the film industry 12 and today a little bit in the television industry. 13 Your station doesn't employ very many, I mean not a 14 grand number of people, I think if I looked at the statistics 15 correctly it was something like 17 tradespeople? 16 A. It's a very small number. 17 Is there very much turnover in that? α 18 Not a great deal. I think they're considered pretty 19 good jobs and the people that get them like to stay there. 20 Well, the comments that we heard had to do with the 21 kind of Catch 22 system or situation between the union 22 and management in that a person had a great deal of 23 difficulty in getting employed if they were not a union 24 member, but management, it was said, could employ anybody 25 they wanted to and there were ways of getting around that

24 union membership requirement.

Would you have any comment with respect to the industry and its relationship to the craft unions, not necessarily your own station?

A. The industry, again, Mr. Glick, because of the small number employed at KNBC in those craft positions, I really am not that aware of the industry thing, perhaps as it would relate to the motion picture or the film business.

I've been general manager since the spring of this year and I'm not aware of any problem that has come up in that area or Catch 22 situation since I've been in this position.

I suppose it has happened at some point in time but it has not happened since I've been in this position.

Q. Well, the comments were directed to the point that minority group people and women are excluded sometimes by nepotism system in some of the craft unions, and as a result it's extremely difficult for them to break into what is, I guess lucrative and good work. And I just wondered whether, in your opinion, having been in the industry for a while, not necessarily as general manager, the unions do exercise that strong a control over the labor market?

A I've heard that accusation, that comment made in the past. Again that is not an area that I function a great deal of time and I really perhaps at a later, when I've

```
1
    been in this position more and would have been closer to
2
     that, I would know more about that.
3
                             Would you look into this?
             (By Ms. Hata)
       Q.
4
            Yes, sure, I'll ask the people that work in this
       A.
5
     area.
6
            And file a report with our office and staff?
       0.
7
       A.
            Sure.
8
                             Okay.
            (By Ms. Hata)
       Q.
9
            I'll look into it.
       A.
10
            MR. GLICK: Thank you.
11
            THE CHAIR: Ms. James?
12
       Q.
             (By Ms. James) Yes, I just have a couple questions,
13
     I want to follow up on . Just within your news department,
14
     the top person within that would be an executive producer?
15
       A.
            It would be the director of news, west coast.
16
       Q.
            Director of news, and what is the sex and race of
17
     that person?
18
            It's a White male.
       A.
19
       O.
            Does he have an assistant?
20
            He has other people that work for him, yes.
21
       Q.
            What about the producer of your 5:00 o'clock news
22
     during the week?
23
       A.
            Again I've received the broad thing, I don't have it,
24
     I think ---
25
       Q.
            The specific --
```

1 -- specific breakdown --A. 2 Do you personally know who produces your 5:00 Ω 3 o'clock news show? 4 A. By name, no. 5 Your 6:00 o'clock news show? Ω 6 No, I don't. Again this is a part of NBC news, A. 7 west coast. 8 Okay. Related to that, it's my understanding that Q 9 the news operation at NBC is somewhat intertwined with the 10 news operation KNBC, at the other stations they tend to be 11 more separate, is that correct? When they -- they work 12 together, in other words? 13 Oh, we work together, yes. 14 Do any of the staff from NBC work on a fairly Q 15 regular basis for KNBC news? I would say on a daily basis? 16 A. Well, direct it, sure, NBC directors would be 17 directing the local news program. 18 That KNBC, that NBC director of news is also your 19 KNBC director of news? 20 Correct. A. 21 Right. But he's on the NBC payroll? Q. 22 No, he would -- yes. A. 23 Okay. The reason why I was just curious as to how Q. 24 -- how you separate out on your 395, since the network does 25 not report 395's.

1	A Right. The people that I mentioned do appear on the
2	KNBC 395 form.
3	Q. Okay, even though they work in both capacities?
4	A Correct.
5	Q To your knowledge, since you've been at the station
6	some time, had there ever been a Chicano anchor person?
7	A. At KNBC?
8	Q Right.
9	A Not that I recall.
10	MS. JAMES: Fine, thank you.
11	THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions?
12	Q (By Ms. Hata) One final question about the so-
13	called ghetto hours. We've heard some testimony this
14	morning that programming that appeals to minorities appear
15	on or at undesirable times. Is your station planning to
16	make any changes?
17	A. Along what lines?
18	Q. Perhaps some
19	A. The documentaries that I've mentioned which a
20	great many of them deal with the various minority communities.
21	those all appear in prime time.
22	Q. How many documentaries
23	A. I mentioned since 1974 we've done 38.
24	Q Thirty-eight, and all 38 have dealt with minorities
25	and

1	A No, have not, but a portion of them have.
2	Q. How many? What percentage?
3	A. I don't have that breakdown with me.
4	Q Will you provide that to our staff?
5	A. I will try.
6	Q (By the Chair) I think the question was raised this
7	morning for a definition of prime time, would you give us
8	one, please?
9	A The definition of prime time is between the hours of
10	6:00 and 11:00 p.m.
11	THE CHAIR: Thank you.
12	Any other questions?
13	Thank you very much, Mr. Straszewski, we appreciate
14	your coming and responding to our questions.
15	A. Okay, thank you.
16	THE CHAIR: Your next person is Paul Coss of ABC.
17	
18	
19	MR. PAUL COSS
20	
21	A. (By Mr. Coss) I have a cast of thousands. The more
22	the merrier. If you would introduce all three of you.
23	Let me, for the record, pass the microphone here.
24	I'm Paul Coss, I'm the program director of television
25	station KABC-TV. To my right

:	1	MR. PETE RODRIGUEZ
1	2	
:	3	A. (By Mr. Rodriguez) My name is Pete Rodriguez,
;	4	I'm community affairs director of KABC-TV.
	5	A (By Mr. Coss) And to my left?
,	6	
	7	
	8	MR. ROBERTO ESCOBEDO
	9	
1	0	A. (By Mr. Escobedo) My name is Roberto Escobedo, I'm
1	1	EEO/staffing specialist for American Broadcasting, Incor-
1	2	porated, West Coast.
1	.3	Q. (By Ms. Hata) EEO slash what?
1	.4	A. Staffing specialist.
1	.5	. THE CHAIR: Would you give your name again, please?
1	6	A. Escobedo, E-s-c-o-b-e-d-o.
1	.7	MS. JAMES: I'd like to mention as we did mention with
1	.8	Mr. Straszewski, yesterday morning I believe, a messenger
1	19	from KABC picked up some suggested questions, they were
2	20	picked up from our office yesterday morning.
2	21	THE CHAIR: All right. Are you prepared to respond
2	22	to those questions?
2	23	A. (By Mr. Coss) Yes, I also have a statement that I'd
	24	like to start with, and I think it's particularly relevant
2	25	in view of the questioning that has just taken place with
1		1

Mr. Straszewski.

2 3

In view, I have some of the answers ahead of time, I think you would probably like that --

4

THE CHAIR: Just go ahead and give them to us.

5

6

We appreciate the opportunity, obviously, to be A. present here today to discuss KABC's affirmative action

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

efforts and community ascertainment as well. At the very outset I would like to emphasize that

KABC-TV is committed to a policy of equal employment opportunity. We have developed and we do follow a variety of procedures to insure that women and members of all minority groups are affirmatively recruited and specifically considered in connection with the filling of vacancies and the promotion of employees.

The station's policy of equal employment and advancement opportunities extends to all individuals without regard to race, color, sex, religion, age or national origin. Our policy comprehends employees and applicants for employment in all aspects of the employment relationship, including recruitment, compensation, and benefits as well.

I would also like to stress that one very important element of our policy is to affirmatively seek to encourage the full participation of women and minorities in all areas and at all levels of station operation.

KABC-TV, is proud of the success that it has realized

·6

to date in implementing its policies.

However, we do remain firm in our commitment to pursue even greater achievements in this important aspect of our responsibility to the community in which we're licensed to serve.

I believe it might be helpful to review briefly for you our record with a little more specificity. In recent months the station has hired a number of persons of minority background. The positions include associate producer of Eyewitness Los Angeles, prime time show, associate director, stage manager, associate producer of a.m. Los Angeles, publicist, sound person, and apprentice camera person.

During this same period we were able to recruit
women for the positions of a reporter trainee, sales trainee,
sales coordinator, office manager of our a.m. Los Angeles
office, and news coordinator.

- ϱ (By Ms. Hata) Mr. Coss, excuse me, you're talking about during this same period, could you give us the date? The last two months?
 - A About the last nine months this encompasses.

In addition, we have been able to promote a number of women and minorities. These promotions include the following, and there are probably more but I think these are interesting ones to mention. Production assistant to

オデゼ

associate producer, a.m. Los Angeles. After a training to staff reporter.

- Q Could you give us a date of moving to these positions?
- A. No, I can not. They are a matter of record, we can get them off for you, there's no problem.

Apprentice camera person to documentary camera person.

Apprentice camera person to sound person, documentaries.

News intern to apprentice camera person. Assistant director to director.

Taking a somewhat broader view, we are pleased that over the past several years the profile of positions held by minorities and women reflect progress in the levels and diversity of employment at the station. I think that's outlined in the positions that I've mentioned above.

In our opinion we have achieved significant progress in recruiting and promoting women and minorities to higher level positions at the station. We believe that our equal employment policy and our efforts to implement our policy in good faith are succeeding in enhancing employment opportunities for all women and minorities.

On behalf of KABC-TV, I would like to reassure you that we remain committed to further progress.

The gentleman on my left will make sure that that happens. KABC-TV recognizes its obligation as a licensee of the Federal Communications Commission to conduct on-going

community ascertainment of a broad and representative cross section of the community leadership.

We take this obligation very seriously, it is a full management responsibility. And we believe that our ascertainment efforts exceed the requirements imposed by the commission quite a bit.

For example, in September, 1976, a typical month, KABC-TV interviewed 63 community leaders, women constituted 34%, minorities including Blacks, Spanish surname, Oriental and American Indians represented 40% of the leaders who were interviewed. Our continuing dialogue with the community's leaders including frequent and significant contacts with women and minority leaders, contributer to our sunderest standing of the more important issues and problems facing this community. And enables us to respond in a timely and meaningful way within the station's overall program service.

I appreciate the opportunity to be here this afternoon, to make this statement, and I'm making this statement on behalf of all of us at KABC.

With us today also is Peter Rodriguez, who is the director of community affairs for KABC-TV, who works with me quite frequently and will try and answer any of the questions that we understand that you're seeking responses to

I do have copies of this.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, we'll be glad to have them.

You mentioned that you interviewed a variety of community leaders. Now, what did you do as a result of these interviews, Mr. Coss?

A We have, in our community ascertainment, a procedure whereby the interviews are brought back to the station on a monthly basis, the material in the interviews is distilled and put down into a form. The form is then given to all program producers, to the news department and of course to all the people within myeown department.

It's also circulated to other levels of management, to the general manager, the sales management, promotion management, so that as many people on the staff can be aware of what is going on in the community as possible.

- Q What is the content of the questions that you ask in this interview?
- A. There are three basic questions in the interview.

 And we find that it takes about a half an hour to really get through it. The first question is, would you please identify the question and problems that you feel are most pressing in the community, in your community.

What -- and the second question is, what do you feel are the most significant problems facing the state.

The third question is, what do you feel are the most

significant problems facing the nation in general? 1 We also afford the opportunity for a general 2 response, if there are any further bits of information 3 that an interviewer would like to distribute -- interviewee would like to contribute. 5 You don't ask any specific questions regarding your Q. 6 network or what people think about staffing and hiring 7 there? 8 9 We try not to lead the questions. We try to allow 10 the person to speak within their particular frame of expertise. 11 12 Q. Well, as television watchers, I suppose they would have some expertise regarding what's happening in the 13 television --14 15 Yes, that happens as well, yes. But what I'm asking, really, is, just as you're 16 getting input as to how people feel and what's happening 17 on the state and the federal and national level and so 18 19 forth, do you get any input, do you actively go out and 20 seek input as to what the community feels about what's 21 happening at KABC and then act accordingly? 22 That comes in on its own. 23 How does it come in? 24 A. Keep those cards and letters coming, I mean that's 25 exactly what happens. We get a tremendous amount of mail

response and we have groups, various groups that will come in and see us as well.

The community ascertainment procedure as it is set up, is not meant to encompass critiques of the television business as you know, it is really meant to be an information bank for the station on which it can make its program decisions. From that standpoint it is very important to us.

And that's why we do it on a monthly basis. We don't wait until the end of the license period and then do 1,000 interviews. We do interviews every month throughout the entire three-year period of the license renewal.

That gives us an on-going and ever-changing amount of ascertainment information.

- And you do, then, use that in changing your programs?
- A. Yes.
- Q Developing your programs? Did you have a question relative to that particular subject?
- Q (By Ms. Hata) Yes. These meetings have been going on every single month for the past three years?
 - A. Yes.
- Q These meetings you're talking about? Who is invited, how is your invitation list selected?
- A We prepare the list in the office of our editorial director, Gene Webster. There are assignments made to the management team, everyone in the management team is assigned

a person or persons to talk to during the course of the 1 2 month. Okay, stop right there before we go on, could you 3 4 describe the management team for us? 5 Yes, that would be virtually all department heads, A. assistant department heads, supervisors, and in the case 6 of various shows, the show staffs themselves, because 7 we've -- that gets into producers and associate producers 8 as well. 9 Now, these are obviously the top decision making 10 a 11 persons? A. Yes. 12 13 Q. How many people are we talking about? Roughly? 14 I would say there are probably 15 people involved, 15 maybe more? All right, Peter's going to say 32. Okay, how many of these persons are minority 16 Q. 17 persons, how many are male, how many are female? 18 There are a great number of females involved, let A. 19 me see. It's very difficult to give you an accurate 20 statement on that. I can -- I can identify five White 21 females, one Black male, one Latino, one Black female, 22 in my staff. 23 Q Six out of --24 A. Out of 30. 25 And that you consider a great deal? You said there Q.

	·
1	are a great number of females
2	A. Right.
3	Q And you've given me six out of 30?
4	A. I'm speaking of my staff alone.
5	Q And your staff consists of
6	A. The program department.
7	Q How many people?
8	A About 50 people.
9	Q That that six consists of what you just said, there
10	are a great deal of women and you mean six?
11	A. Well, I think six out of 30 is an excellent per-
12	centage.
13	Q I thought you just said 50, though, right now?
14	A. I said my total my total staff is about 50.
15	Q Fifty, and you said of your staff there's six
16	women?
17	A There are six women who are participating regularly
18	in the ascertainment.
19	Q Six women: participating regularly in the ascertainment?
20	A Right, in the ascertainment process. The monthly
21	ascertainment process.
22	Q Consists of 30 persons, 32 persons?
23	A. Right. Right.
24	A (By Mr. Rodriguez) Well, we have broadcast standards
25	also that participate, we have personnel also that participates-

top four categories are and when you plan to meet them? 1 2 As the gentleman prior to me being up here mentioned, 3 we, as recently as two weeks ago, started planning to file 4 our new application next year. We're into that right now. 5 Would have been into it more save for preparing for some 6 That would be, I expect it to be in our license of this. 7 renewal application which we will file on August 1st of 8 1977. We are working on it right now. I can't give you 9 a figure because I've not got to it, but we will before then. 10 Q. Could you tell us what the steps are for a news 11 writer trainee to become a news writer? 12 I mentioned earlier that we do not have news writer A. 13 trainees. We use vacation relief to have people 14 come in and to evaluate their work in progress at that time, 15 with the possiblity of putting them on as full time when 16 the opportunity occurs. 17 Is there any consideration for developing training Q. 18 programs to help meet your affirmative action goals? 19 In that particular area? A 20 a Yes. 21 A. Not specifically in the news writer area at this 22 time. 23 Q. (By the Chair) Could I ask you one question? You 24 may have given this statistics before but I'm not sure. 25 How many on-camera reporters are there with NEC?

تر ..

1 (By Mr. Coss) There are probably seven or eight 2 other women and/or minorities involved in that. 3 Q. On the clerical staff perhaps? 4 No, not on the clerical staff. The clerical staff A 5 does not participate in the community ascertainment. 6 So how do these minority women participate, at 7 what level? المُرَابِ سَالِمُ اللهِ الله 8 Well, for instance the assistant business manager A. 9 is a woman and she participates regularly. 10 Let's go on now, what happens after this group Q. 11 decides who will be invited? 12 All right, then it is up to the individual who has-13 been assigned the person to interview to call up and make 14 an appointment at that person's office. 15 So they select; in effect, who they will interview? Q. 16 No, they are assigned by the editorial department, 17 which maintains a very careful analysis, which is why I 18 was able to give you the figures, of where we're going 19 as far as who we're ascertaining, so we don't end up 20 neglecting minorities or women or concentrating on one 21 specific group. 22 So it's the editorial department that makes the Q. 23 assignments? 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. Now, the editorial department consists of how many

1 people? 2 A. One man and one woman. 3 One man and one woman? Q. A Right. 5 Are these both White? a 6 A. Both White. 7 When you go out into the community, what kinds of Q. 8 persons have been selected to be interviewed to give their 9 opinions, are we talking about going to people and talking 10 to them and getting information from their area of expertise, 11 now are you dealing with professional, foreign policy skilled 12 persons, professors in the field, what kind of people are 13 interviewed to give their opinion about the perceptions 14 of their community regarding problems? 15 Everything from professionals to student leaders, A 16 activists, militants. 17 Have you contacted the TELACUSE people, for example, Q. 18 are they part of your ascertainment study? Are they 19 interviewed every month? 20 No one is interviewed every month. No one is inter-21 viewed every month. There is a constant change. In other 22 words, I have two people I must interview a month and I 23 never go back to the same person again, it's a constantly 24 reaching out to get new information, fresh information. 25 Have you interviewed the TELACU people? Q.

1 I met with them several times, I participated in A 2 meetings at the station with TELACU. 3 But as part of this process that we're talking Q. 4 about, community ascertainment, have they been part of that 5 process? 6 I really don't know, I can't answer that but that's 7 something could easily come out of the files because we 8 do identify all the people. 9 Great, well, may we ask for a list of the persons Q 10 who you have interviewed? 11 A. Sure. 12 I'm also taking a look at the 395 forms and according 13 to the latest forms that we have, it's dated May, 1976, 14 under the category of officials and managers we have 29 15 total employees, 22 male, seven female, and I think, if my 16 Xerox copy is correct, two male Spanish surnamed Americans. 17 Are these the two gentlemen who are with you today? 18 A. No. 19 (By Mr. Rodriguez) A Just me. 20 (By Mr. Coss) Here's one. A. 21 Where's the other one? Q (By Ms. Hata) 22 I don't know. A. 23 You don't know? What does he do, I'm not asking 24 physically, where is he today? 25 A. Oh, he's the film director of the station.

1 (By Mr. Rodriguez) Local film director. A. 2 You have no Blacks, no Asians, no American Indians a 3 serving under the category of officials and managers? 4 A. That's correct. 5 (By the Chair) You were here this morning and heard 6 some of the accusations about your station in particular. 7 Would you care to respond to any of those? 8 No, we've responded to TELACU directly in the past, 9 and I'd rather not get into -- I'd really, I really have 10 problems with their charges. 11 How about the employment of the technicians and a 12 craftsmen, I quess particularly one of the questions I would 13 have would be related to cameramen. How are their assign-14 ments made? 15 They're made through a division of our company A 16 called broadcast operations and engineering, which is not 17 part, and does not show up on our forms. We do not control 18 that. We rent the facilities from the ABC network, so that 19 we do not assign the technical staff. We do assign film 20 cameramen, they are on our staff. 21 We do assign those people who worklin the news, but 22 the people who control the cameras in the studio do not 23 work for the station. 24 Q. All right. The ones who control the camera in the 25 studio would be the ones who do the news shows, etcetera?

1 Yes, actually do them in the studio, they're not A 2 the people who go out and take the films as the people have 3 been doing here, those people work for us. 4 Ω Wait a minute, which ones --5 The people who come out and take the film. 6 Now, can you give us an ethnic breakdown in that a 7 category? 8 No, I can not, but that's an easy one to supply. A. 9 Do you have any idea of what the turnover is in that Q. 10 category? 11 As far as film camera persons? A. 12 Q. Yes. 13 Oh, I think it's relatively small. We have an A. 14 apprentice program there, so that there is always a con-15 stant source of new people to come and fill those jobs 16 but they don't turn over too much. 17 Q Where do you get your apprentices from? 18 People who have come in to be interns at the 19 station, people who have expressed an interest in working 20 at the station in a capacity and the apprentice program was 21 set up some time ago to provide us with, in effect, our 22 own in-house skills bank. You might be interested in the 23 composition of the apprentice program right now. 24 one Black male, one Latino, two American Indians, and a

White male in that at the present time.

25

1	Q No females?
2	A. No females.
3	Q (By Ms. Hata) You're very careful to talk about
4	camera persons, how many of your camera persons are women?
5	A. I think we have just one.
6	Q Full-fledged camera person, not apprentice?
7	A. Not an apprentice, no.
8	Q (By Ms. Bernstein) We heard from the L.A. women's
9	coalition this morning, have you met with them?
10	A. We've met with the women's coalition a number of
11	times, they have been a source of, I think considerable
12	input to the station.
13	Q Did you have any verbal agreements that you made
14	with them?
15	A. We have a written agreement with the coalition.
16	Q With regard to that written agreement, would you say
17	that the program that they specifically mentioned this
18	morning, Charlie's Angels, falls under the agreement that
19	you made with them, about sexism?
20	A. No, we do not broadcast Charlie's Angels.
21	Q But you agree to show it on your station, isn't that
22	correct?
23	A. We have repeatedly, constantly and I'll articulate
24	it again today, we do not produce network programs at KABC-TV.
25	Q But, all right, let me ask this. Can you object to

1	showing a program that's made by ABC on your station?
2	A. We can always object, yes.
3	Q Well, why with regard to the agreement that you
4	made, did you feel that you should reject that program?
5	A. I can tell you as of this minute, at quarter past
6	3:00 this afternoon, I have never heard a complaint from
7	the women's coalition on Charlie's Angels.
8	Q Well, I heard one this morning?
9	A Well, it has we have not received a complaint
10	but I have to I have to explain to you again, we are
11	not producing network programs at KABC-TV.
12	Q. But a local station anywhere across the country can
13	refuse to show any network program, is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q So you would welcome such input from an organization
16	such as that?
17	A. We would certainly be glad to hear from them.
18	Q. Okay. How about they're sort of waiting in the
19	back of the room to tell you, I think. How about monitoring
20	your differentials in pay, would you be willing to
21	A No, that's personal, confidential information. I
22	think it's really privileged, that's an invasion of privacy.
23	Q All right, what about, you talked about some
24	training program
25	A. Yes.
1	

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

-- what do you have in terms of management training programs? For minorities and women?

Management training programs? - We have a sales A. program where we train a sales person, and we have had some fairly good success with it as a matter of fact.

It has been in effect for a relatively short time, I would say for two and a half years. It has produced one Black male, who is currently working for KABC radio, it has produced an Asian male who is working for KGO television, in San Francisco, and the current trainee in our sales program is a White female.

- What about producers and directors? Q.
- We have an informal director training program which is done through the form of hiring an assistant director who then is exposed systematically to directing responibilities, when he or she is ready to assume a directing position and a directing position opens up, that person is promoted.

Our most recent director appointment was from that program, a Latino male, and the current, one of the current people in that program happens to be a gentleman who has been blackballed by the industry, according to his own admission, for activist activities in 1969, when he was one of the very, very visible and very vocal members of the his name is Ray Androtti. Ray has found it very LUSTISIA.

1 difficult to find employment in the city, and we have taken 2 him and put him in that program and we're very pleased 3 with that. Q. How many people are involved in that program? 5 Well, I would say that all of our eight assistant 6 directors are conceivably the next directors at the station. 7 All right, and what's the composition of the eight 8 assistant directors? 9 A. There are two women and six males. Two of the males 10 are Black, one of the males is Latino. 11 Does your station have an affirmative action a 12 program? 13 A. Yes, we do. 14 Let's take last year's affirmative action program, Q. 15 did you meet the goals that were set in that program? 16 A. I really do not know. I'm not able to answer that. 17 Would your EEO person be? a 18 No, he's new on board himself, I think one of the 19 interesting things, though, is that he has goals for setting 20 up a new plan himself, one that he can take credit for 21 and be proud of and also see is enforced. 22 0. (By Ms. Hata) Now, who are you? 23 (By Mr. Escobedo) A. I was engaged on the 14th of June 24 of this year. 25 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Let me ask you this question, then,

1	did you read last year's affirmative action plan?
2	A I have read it, yes.
3	Ω Do you know what goals were reached, if the goals
4	were reached by that plan?
5	A. I am currently doing an audit and an updating of the
6	affirmative action program, since I was not involved in
7	the formulation of that program I would not want to attest
8	to the validity or the accuracy of that program?
9	Q Why are you doing an audit then?
10	A. To find out for myself the validity and the credi-
11	bility in the program.
12	Q. Could you make that study available to us when
13	you're through with it?
14	A. I guess I could.
15	Q (By Ms. Hata) And would you make the affirmative
16	action plan available to us as well, the one that you
17	are using or the one that you are studying?
18	THE CHAIR: You mean the one he's developing?
19	Q (By Ms. Hata) Well, there is one in existence,
20	correct, Mr. Coss?
21	A. (By Mr. Coss) Yes, there is.
22	Q May we have a copy of the one that is in existence?
23	A. I believe that's a matter of public files, let me
24	check into that.
25	Q. (By Ms. Gomez) On your internship program, you say
	المراد ال

that people just walk in from the streets sometimes.

- A Yes.
- Q What is your criteria for their qualifications?

 How do you accept them, how do you find out whether you will accept them or not? What's the criteria?
- A. Most interns come through a college program, where they are -- they are sent to us and they are allowed to work for us in exchange for laboratory credits in their college program. That's unfortunate, I think, and we try to broaden the base of that.

I think if we could get to the point where we could have interns and not be perceived as trying to get free labor, then that would be a very healthy situation, and hopefully we will get to that point where we --

- Q Normally what are the subjects that they're majoring in?
 - A Broadcast communications, film sequences, journalism.
- Q (By the Chair) How many minority on-camera reporters do you have?
- A. That one I can answer. Because when you asked Tom that question I went and started to count up our people.
 - Q Good.
- A. All right. I show one Asian, one Asian female, rather, one Latino female, one Black female, three -- rather two Black males, one Latino male, three White females, and

1	
1	eight White males.
2	Q Now, the last one, do you have any figures on when
3	they were hired, when the last one was hired?
4	A. The last person hired on the staff?
5	Q Yes.
Ε	A. Yes. The last person hired on the staff would have
7	been the last person fired by KNXT, which would have been
8	Sandy Hill.
2	Q. And the date on that?
10	A That would have been July of 1976.
17	Q July of '76. And the one with the most seniority
12	would have been hired about when?
13	And do you also want to give us a breakdown on Sandy
14	Hill, I assume she's female, but
15	A. Yes, she is White female. I would say probably the
16	person who's been there the longest would be Fred Anderson,
17	wouldn't you?
18	A. (By Mr. Rodriguez) Yes.
19	A. (By Mr. Coss) Fred's been there for, I would say
20	maybe six years.
2	Q So for a turnover of 12 Black on-cameramen to lose
2	their jobs within the past six months, would that seem
2	exorbitant to you?
2.	A Well, let me say that we're dealing with a strange
2	industry as you well know, just from your hearings. I think
l	

1 the number is high, but people come and go depending upon 2 their popularity, and it is a very -- it is a very chancey 3 business that reporters and performers are engaged in, 4 whether it be in motion picture business or the television 5 business. And that probably explains their higher compen-6 sation, because they are in a high mortality business. 7 In developing your affirmative action plan, do you 8 anticipate building in any safeguards so that as employees 9 come on or those already on, receive the training and 10 support that they need --11 (By Mr. Escobedo) Yes. A. 12 -- so that they can stay on the job rather than, as a 13 was indicated, by Mr. Coss and others, of being such a 14 chancey business and subject to personalities? 15 Yes, those that we have control over, yes. 16 You say those you have control over? 0. 17 A. Well, some of the positions that are controlled by 18 the union and the individuals are referred to us by the 19 union hall, we have no control over. Those persons we are 20 responsible for hiring I would say yes. 21 (By Ms. Gomez) Can you name some of those that 22 you're responsible for? 23 Well, the office and clerical, administrative, the A. 24 nine categories listed in the EEO-1 report from officials

and managers to professionals, technicians, office and

25

16.7

clerical, sales workers, laborers, carftsmen and operatives which are nine categories and those are the ones that we're responsible for.

Q (By the Chair) So that we would hope that built in failure would not be the case but built in success would

failure would not be the case but built in success would be the case, because of the training and support they'll get?

A. That's correct.

Q (By Ms. Gernstein) This morning there was testimony about, and I'm not really too sure I've not seen the TV industry that much, about community affairs programs that are put on in terms of minority. Particular interest. And that the people who were hired for those were hired on a week to week basis rather than an -- given a yearly contract, could you comment on that or are under the authority of the station itself?

A (By Mr. Coss) Basically, I would say that the hiring of staff to produce a program, the employment of staff for a program is vertical employment, it is in existence as long as the program is in existence. When the program ceases to be in existence, it doesn't have horizontal continuity, so that a person is hired for a specific program.

If the program goes off the air it's very unlikely that their employment would beccontinued with the station.

1 Q. Their employment, then, is -- they're not employed 2 by KABC but rather by program --3 No, they're employed by KABC. They work for -- most A. 4 of them work for me. 5 Well, if the program is cancelled, are they then 6 put on another program that is made to replace the --7 No, no, they are hired for that specific program 8 and hopefully they have a specific expertise which is the 9 reason why they would be hired for that program. 10 Q. Is it -- are they hired, say in community affairs 11 program, on a week to week basis or -- I assume you have 12 a program for a six-month period or a year period or some-13 thing like that? 14 It is difficult to know how long the program might A. 15 be on the air, but they're not hired on a weekly basis, 16 They're hired for the length of the program. no. 17 Any other questions? Go on. THE CHAIR: 18 MS. HATARDTR: Try Yes, if I may, when you get through 19 I want to ask a couple of questions. 20 THE CHAIR: For the record, may I announce that 21 Mr. David Santiago, a member of the California Advisory 22 Committee has joined us. 23 Mr. Santiago? 24 MR. SANTIAGO: Thank you. 25 Q. (By Mr. Santiago) I met with you, Mr. Soporito, a

couple of months ago in his office --1 2 A. Yes. 3 -- and I suggested that because of these programs which are causing this conference here today, these 4 hearings, that Mr. Soporito appoint or other community 5 appoint an advisory committee to the station that will 6 7 help you set policy regarding minority community. I want to ask you what has happened since our 8 meeting in regards to this advisory committee? 9 10 To the best of my knowledge, nothing specifically Λ. has happened according to your request. 11 12 Ω I want to ask you also, how many PuertocRicans are working for your network? 13 14 I don't have that information available. 15 I'm also asking you, since you mentioned that you 16 have a training program there, how come that some people 17 complain that they have been in the station a year and a 18 half were promised training after a year and a half were 19 fired and no training was given to these persons? 20 I'm not -- I'm not conversant with the problem that 21 you're having. 22 I also wanted to ask you, since you mentioned that 23 you have an ascertaining program, how many times have you 24 contacted anyone in the barrio community in California? 25 A. I'm sure that Puerto Rican community members have been

1 contacted but again over a three-year period, it's a tre-2 mendous number of people and I don't have those files with 3 me. 4 For your information, I did contact your station --Q. 5 A. Yes. 6 -- they sent one of the reporters to one of our 7 affairs last year, there were 45,000 people there. 8 to write you a letter, a formal letter, it wasn't that 9 easy to get that information. We have an affair at city 10 hall with the council by one of the most famous Puerto 11 Rican bands and it was covered at the scene but it was never 12 published, for various people were disgusted that a station 13 would send somebody there and then they wouldn't air the 14 program. 15 I think you're trying to exercise news judgment A. 16 and I think that is beyond the realm and the responsibility 17 of anybody outside of the station. News judgment can only 18 be exercised by the station itself, we are the licensee, 19 Mr. Santiago. 20 I wanted to pursue the same question a (By Ms. James) 21 I asked KNBC. Who is the highest decision maker within 22 the news department, by title I mean? 23 Right, the news director, Ms. James. A. Bill Fife 24 (Phonetic), is his name specifically. 25 Q. And his race and sex?

```
1
            Bill Fife.
      A.
2
            Fife, right. Race and sex?
      Q.
3
            White male.
      A.
4
            What about the producer of your 5:00 o'clock news?
       Q.
5
       A.
            White female.
6
            Six o'clock news?
       Q.
7
            White male.
       A.
                              8
            Eleven o'clock news?
       0
9
       A.
           White male.
10
           Week end producer?
       Q.
11
       A.
            Alternates.
12
            It alternates?
       Ω
13
            Yes, it has been -- it has been both White female
       A.
14
     and White male.
15
            Have you -- how long have you been at the station?
       Q.
16
       A
            Two and a half years.
17
            In your experience or your knowledge, has there
       Q.
18
     ever been a Chicano anchor person?
19
       A.
            No, there have been Latino broadcasters but there
20
     has not been a Latino anchor person.
21
            When you say broadcaster you mean reporter?
       Q.
22
       A.
            Reporter, right.
23
            But not anchor person?
       Q.
24
       A.
            Right.
25
            (By Ms. Hata) Mr. Coss --
       Q.
```

1 Yes. A 2 Q. -- some of these shows that we've seen on KABC and 3 other television networks, receive a great deal of publicity, 4 newspapers, other areas. What is the promotional, I sup-5 pose you call this promotional publicity, like for the 6 network shows or for the shows that come out of KABC? 7 Roughly how much are we dealing with for publicityppurposes? 8 It's really hard to give you a hard and fast rule. 9 We recently ran a documentary, for instance on rape, and 10 we took a full page ad in TV: Times and TV Guide to publi-11 cizes it, and we ran a great number of announcements on our 12 own air as well. 13 That was one particular show that we gave that kind 14 of attention to. 15 Q. Well, perhaps this is, perhaps a more general 16 question, what part of your budget goes for promotion and 17 publicity purposes for the shows? Are we dealing with 18 5%? 19 It doesn't come out of the show budget, the show's A. 20 integrity remains untarnished by the flash. 21 KABC's budget? Q. 22 I really don't know. I really don't know. I'm 23 sure that material is available. 24 Can we get that material from you? Q. 25 A. I would hope so. But I can't guarantee that.

1	Q So would I because there's one other part to my	
2	question. How much of this money is spent to promote	
3	minority shows?	
4	A. Well, I would say that as far as documentary efforts	
5	of the station are concerned, all shows are created equal.	ļ
6	Q And how many documentaries have you shown in the	i
7	last year?	
8	A. We do about 26 half-hours a year.	
9	Q And of this 26 half-hours a year, how many concern	
10	themselves with minority groups?	
11	A. I would say probably at least half.	
12	Q At least half?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q And you state that all of these documentaries	
15	receive equal budgeting for promotion?	
16	A I can't say that they're all equally budgeted, no,	
17	that would be you know, as I think back over allocations	,
18	you know, one show we had a full page ad, another show	
19	gets a half-page ad.	
20	Q Who determines that?	
21 22	A. That's really determined by the promotion manager	
23	of the station, advertising and promotion manager.	
24	Q Would you describe him?	
25	A Yes, he, you're right, White male.	
25	Q What kinds of assistants does he have?	
1		

一、ベスト語が透

1 MR. GLICK: The same. 2 (By Ms. Hata) Let's move on to something else Q. 3 then. 4 How are programs decided, how do you decide whether 5 the program is going to be eliminated or terminated? 6 Eliminated or terminated. 7 Or whatever one does with these, perhaps they go 8 off to happy hunts, I suppose. 9 A. Well, I think we --10 Or rerun land. C. 11 -- we try to study the audience response that we A. 12 receive, cards, letters, telephone calls. 13 You do have a monitoring process, I suppose, or 14 some sort of cumulative Nielson thing that --15 Oh, yes, that also exists, yes. 16 Does the Nielson rating or do you have ratings like Q. 17 that for the so-called ghetto hours? We've been hearing 18 about ghetto hours where public affairs programs and 19 minority shows are shoved into those unpopular hours. 20 you have that kind of rating system established? 21 There's a rating service for all hours of the broad-22 cast day, and it is broken down in quarter-hour segments, 23 from sign on to sign off, it is a horrendous amount of 24 information. 25 What kinds of people serve on the rating systems? Q.

1 A. The ratings services do not work for the station, 2 they -- the A.C. Nielson Company and the American Research 3 Bureau, and they conduct the ratings, they set up the 4 procedures, it's my understanding they set up the pro-5 cedures according to statistical samples based on census 6 figures. 7 Now, these statistical samples, are they represen-Q. 8 tative of the -- well, I'll try to rephrase this question. 9 We're dealing with the ghetto minority shows, I'm 10 because that's what I remember off the saving Impacto, 11 top of my head, I've seen it before. Who rates Impacto, 12 are we dealing with a White majority society that decides 13 whether Impacto was good or bad and therefore they don't 14 watch it, or are we dealing with a rating system that in-15 cludes a substantial number of Spanish surnamed persons 16 who probably watch Impacto for which it was geared? 17 A. It's our understanding that rating services are 18 weighted to show ethnic response. 19 Q. Have you verified this for yourself? 20 A. Have I? No, I've not --21 Or has the station verified this? Q. 22 No, I think we are -- are basing our feelings on the A. 23 information that we have from the rating services themselves. 24 Q. Could you pass along that documentation to our 25 staff to indicate that it is your understanding that the

rating system includes a substantial number of minorities?

If you have documentation for what you just said, I think
that's important to substantiate the point.

A Well, I think the thing to do is to go to the rating services themselves and I think they, you know, I think they would certainly be a very fit subject for this committee to get into, because this city in particular is one of the most sensitive cities as far as national ratings are concerned. This large metropolis of Los Angeles is what is called an overnight market, which means, in effect, I get a report card every day for our programming, and we know every single morning by 9:00 o'clock, whether we struck out or whether we hit a home run.

- Q. It's very convenient to pass the buck that way and therefore I would assume that you'd have some material that you'd show us so that we could say aha, you're not responsible, but you have the documentation to prove that the rating system has based its findings on these kinds of categories.
 - A. I think that's a gratuitous assumption on your part.
- Q (By the Chair) Do you, at any time, correlate the rating received with the amount of money spent for promoting the show?
- A I can't say that we specifically do, but I feel that there is some connection from time to time, especially in

the area of a program like a motion picture. Where you can't come on the air and say, see the 9:00 o'clock movie tonight, it's the greatest. But if you come on and say see the 9:00 o'clock movie, it stars Barbara Streisand and James Kahn in something, then you've got the specificity that might bring the people in to see that particular program.

That is really true on feature films. It's very difficult in a lot of other, or news for instance, you can't promote news with specificity because you don't know today what's going to be on tonight.

- Q. Well, do you try to document, to promote your documentaries with names?
- A. Yes.

THE CHAIR: Are there any more questions?

MR. SANTIAGO: Yes.

THE CHAIR: We'll have one last question by --

Q (By Mr. Santiago) A couple, about four.

I'd like to ask Mr. Rodriguez if you're involved in policy-making decisions of the station, like programent departments or in what other areas are you involved?

A. (By Mr. Rodriguez) Well, personally I would rather and submit respectfully to this committee, that I would not like to testify, any testimony on my specific and personal duties. I can relate to you what my department does.

1 Q. Will you please tell us what you do there? Among the many functions of my department in 2 3 relation to the community services on a daily and weekly 4 and monthly basis, I -- I am out in the community giving basic information to groups, national, state, local, and 5 6 community action groups. 7 On the access and availability of the services of 8 the station. 9 That's basically what I do. There are many other 10 things involved, of course, but that's basically what I do. 11 I do attend a monthly program idea meeting for some of the 12 documentaries that we are committed to produce yearly. I 13 have access to any postproduction or preproduction meeting 14 on the lot. I do have access to editorials, to news. 15 so on. 16 But my policy decision making only is in my department. 17 Do I understand that you've not been influential Q. 18 changing the programming policy of the station in any way? 19 A. In programming, I --20 Q, Such as Spanish-speaking? 21 A. That is a little beyond my scope of responsibility. 22 May I ask the same question of Mr. Escobedo? a 23 A. (By Mr. Escobedo) Escobedo. Would you repeat the 24 question? 25 a I met you there about two or three months ago, you

supposed to implement this program of -- employment program opportunities to the station. I wonder if you have access to any policy making policies in the station and if your opinion influences the station in any way?

- A I have access to all information regarding the employment and employment opportunities, and I do have a decision making capability to make suggestions and recommend in the area of employment and I do that to this day, and will continue as long as I am employed by American Broadcasting Companies.
- Q. (By Ms. Hata). I have one final question. Just to make sure that you receive equal treatment with everybody else I asked the previous television network if they had job descriptions and they indicated they did, unlike the motion picture industry, which does not.
 - A. (By Mr. Coss) We do as well.
 - Q May we have copies of these job descriptions?
- A. (By Mr. Escobedo) I would say that we do have them and I'm sure they're available but I would like to get some kind of an okay from someone else higher than myself. They are in the process of some being rewritten so we do have job descriptions for the positions that we are in control of, those are ABC staff people only.
- Q Okay, well, then, our staff will be contacting you to get copies of these job descriptions. Thank you.

خٽٽټ

THE CHAIR: Mr. Coss, I believe you have some final words you'd like to --

A (By Mr. Coss) Yes, there were several little things that I wanted to mention. One of the points that came up in the past in the discussion with KNBC was the staffing of the personnel department. And I think that we have such a unique situation that I'delike to find something positive to talk about. The director is a female, White female, the manager of staffing development is a White male, the manager of personnel administration is a White male, Robert is the EEO specialist, as you know, the personnel representative is an American Indian, female, and the salary administrator is a Latino female.

THE CHAIR: We appreciate every positive step that you are making, Mr. Coss, and we're glad to hear that.

I would submit, in terms of your ethnic participation, however, that you still have a long way to go.

- Q (By Ms. Hata): Mr. Coss, could we also get some descriptions about these last two individuals, the American Indian and the Lation female, you gave us some lovey titles and I'd like to know precisely what these titles mean.
 - A (By Mr. Coss) Yes.
- 0. You don't know?

25 A. (By Mr. Escobedo) I do.

Could you just, in two sentences, summarize what Q. 1 each of these names mean? 2 Which would you like first? A. 3 Personnel and the rest escapes me. 4 The personnel representative is a female who 5 functions primarily as an interviewer in the employment 6 area of the personnel department, and interviews primarily 7 for the office and clerical staff for the purpose of 8 employment. 9 She interviews for the office and clerical staff, 10 is she the only such interviewer? 11 I interview myself and other members of the A. 12 staff and department also are involved in interviewing 13 applicants for employment. 14 But her specific function is to interview for the 15 office and clerical staff? 16 I -- her specific function is not, I am saying that 17 primarily that is her responsibility, the way it is now, 18 it can change from day to day. 19 Okay, how about the last person? Q. 20 The salary administrator is a person who works along 21 with the benefits and compensations manager and systematically 22 determines the salaries, the wage and salaries of the ABC 23 staff, using systems such as the H system of determining 24

the rate of pay for various positions and these are based

25

1 on the position not the person, the encumbent of the 2 position. 3 Does she have a secretary? Q. I beg your pardon. A. 5 Does she have a secretary to assist her? Q. 6 There is a clerical staff in the personnel department 7 that she shares along with others, I don't know that she has 8 a secretary assigned to her specifically. 9 THE CHAIR: Perhaps in the interest of time, when 10 you submit those job descriptions to us, you'll make sure 11 that those specific ones are included :--12 A. Be glad to. 13 (By the Chair) -- for our staff and then the com-14 mittee can review them. 15 I believe you had some other points you wanted to 16 make, Mr. Coss? 17 (By Mr. Coss) Yes, there was one other point that A 18 came up, with the KNBC questioning, about the meetings that 19 take place in the program department and the composition 20 of the people who participate. 21 We have a daily meeting for our morning show, 22 Los Angeles, there are four White women who participate in 23 that, we have a weekly meeting for --24 Q. And how many men? 25 There are two men in that unit

Q So it's six White people participating in that?

A. Right, right. In our Eyewitness Los Angeles unit, which is our magazine show, Saturday nights in prime time, one Black male, one Black female, one Latino and one White female, one White male.

So I think that's a good distribution there.

In our weekly program meeting, which is a discussion primarily of advance programming, there are three White females, one Black female, one Latino, and five White males.

In our documentary meeting, which is a monthly meeting, there are three White males, one White female and two Latinos, male.

Q. Are there any other points you would like to make?

A. No, I think that's, I just wanted to bring that point up because it was a comparison with the material that your had asked for from KNBC.

THE CHAIR: And we appreciate those figures, we found them very interesting.

I do want to thank you again, Mr. Coss, Mr. Escobedo
Mr. Escobedo, I believe you want to say something,
and Mr. Rodriguez, for being with you, if either one of you
have some parting words you'd like to give us, we'll be
glad to have them.

A (By Mr. Escobedo) I would like to respond to Mr.

1 Santiago's question of how many Puerto Ricans are employed 2 in the station, and based on the guidelines of EEO, we are 3 not asked to break them down in that category, they are 4 included in the Spanish surname population and I am sure 5 that there are some Puerto Ricans included in the Spanish 6 surnamed population of ABC. 7 THE CHAIR: All right, I thank you three gentlemen 8 very much. 9 We are going to take a five-minute break: Our 10 person, when we reconvene, will be Mr. Lorenzo Traylor and 11 at that point I will turn the session over and ask Nadine 12 Hata to chair it. 13 14 (Short recess) 15 16 (The following session was chaired by Ms. Nadine Hata) 17 18 THE CHAIR: We will start. 19 Mr. Traylor, for the record, would you please state 20 your name and position? 21 nor is too out. 22 23 MR. LORENZO TRAYLOR 24 25 A (By Mr. Traylor) My name is Lorenzo H. Traylor,

多位 到的

and I'm the district director for the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

Q (By the Chair) Do you have a brief statement for us this afternoon, or would you like to respond directly to questions?

A Directly to questions.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Gillette?

Q (By Ms. Gillette) All right, Mr. Traylor, I believe that following the 1969 hearings, your office was involved in working out some settlements, and can you describe exactly what your office's role was?

A Yes. After the 1969 hearings by the commission here in Los Angeles, our commission referred the findings of those hearings to the Justice Department and subsequently the Justice Department, in meeting with the motion picture, TV industry, based on recommendations coming from our commission, had indicated that they would be filing a suit against the industry.

In lieu of a suit, the industry agreed to a settlement agreement, and that agreement was designed to get at some of the problems of discrimination in the industry, even though the industry did not admit to any discrimination.

They did agree that they would do something about the low percentage of minority persons who were employed in the industry at that time and take such steps that that agreement

provided basically for the increase in minority employment in jobs behind the camera.

By and large, jobs that came through the -- some

,

斯多巴巴斯。由于

ten or 11 unions that the industry had to deal with. In addition, though, that it also made provisions for some of the companies to do something about their low utilization of minorities in administrative, clerical, managerial and professional jobs at the upper levels.

After the agreement was signed, the Justice Department requested that the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity

Commission monitor the agreement, and our national office through the commission chairperson, assigned the Los

Angeles District Office to monitor that agreement.

And our involvement after June of 1970, going through until about March of this year, involved monitoring that agreement.

- Q Now, when you say our office, what size staff did way you have involved in this monitoring?
- A Initially, when we took a look at the agreement, and saw that we had some 88 producing companies involved, and also had ten or 11 unions, we developed at the local level here a monitoring proposal asking for seven people to monitor the program.

The response we got back from our national office was that they could not afford that size staff, and they

reduced the staff to two people. And at the same time we cut down on the number of respondents that would be monitored. In other words, rather than monitor 88 producing companies, who were in the agreement, we took the larger producers like Metrogoldyn-Mayer, Paramount and so on, and the ten unions and also cut down on the amount of time that we would be involved in in monitoring.

So initially we had through the first two years, we had two staff people monitoring that program, and then, after the two years, it was reduced to one person.

- Q What was the function and the activities of the monitor?
- A The monitoring was designed over and above receiving reports on what they had done, because we took the position locally that monitoring was a lot more than just reviewing the reports. It was our position that monitoring had to be aggressive, and that we wanted to help the industry to do what they had promised to do. And also to see that they at least made an effort to accomplish this.

So that it involved really reviewing reports, meeting regularly with the Association of Motion Picture Producers, meeting with the union officials, continuing to tell them what they were not doing that they were not in compliance, assisting them in getting certain minority group persons to them when they claimed they couldn't find qualified persons,

it involved interviewing minority persons who had been referred to employment in the industry, finding out what kinds of experiences they were having, whether they were being treated as they should be treated. It involved also going on the lot and observing the work situation, and seeing if certain things were taking place.

It was a broad concept. In addition to that we met regularly with the Justice Department and reported to them what we were observing. And on many occasions our monitor motivated the Justice Department officials to help stimulate the industry officials to do more than they were doing.

- Q So it started out being a very comprehensive monitoring?
- A. Yes.

- Q You mentioned reporting, did all of the unions comply with the reporting requirements?
- A I believe all of the unions complied except one.

 They submitted regular quarterly reports, and by and large we had good reporting from the industry.
- Q What did you do about the one union that did not respond?
- A Well, the information was made available to the Justice Department, bring it to their attention, whatever noncompliance was involved.
 - To your knowledge, did the Justice Department take

any action?

- A At this point I'm not really sure, I'm not really sure what happened there with that particular union. It's my recollection now that eventually they did start reporting but they did not initially.
- Q Now, you mentioned that the monitoring was cut down from two to one. Why was there that cut?
- A. Well, the agreement called for, it was a two-year agreement, and initially we had two people assigned. After two years really there was no agreement to monitor, but by mutual agreement between the Justice Department and the industry and EEOC, we stayed in for another year.

At that point the commission just decided they would no longer put the amount of resources in that they had in the past, so I was -- I was cut to one person for that.

- Q During that monitoring period, was there any increase in complaints?
- A During that monitoring period we had a decrease in complaints.
 - Q Decrease in complaints?
- A From the industry, because the monitor that was involved in not only monitoring the program but getting on top of complaints as soon as he heard about complaints. In fact, we were fortunate in having a person who spent almost, I'd say 60 hours a week in the industry. He enjoyed

5

the assignment and spent the time there. Night and day. And we had a reduction in complaints because whenever we would bring a complaint to the attention of the officials involved, we could get some action at that point, even before we got a charge filed, a formal charge filed. And in some cases where we had formal complaints, we were able to resolve some of those complaints through this settlement approach.

Q Do you have any specific figures you could share with us regarding actions taken in the complaints and how they were settled beforehand or --

A. Well, I can probably review some of our -- some of the reports we sent in on that, but we do have, I can give you some general estimation of what the results were in terms of the compliance with the program.

The agreement called for the setting up of a minority labor pool in each of the unions. And they were required to refer two minority persons for every — for each five majority persons. For jobs available in the — with companies, that is since the unions had the responsibility for referring people to these jobs. And if they met over a period of time 20 persons, in other words, if 20% of the so-called, quote, mandays or manhours being worked, if it reached 20%, then the amount of referrals would be reduced to one to four.

So, by and large, a majority of the companies, a majority of the unions met this requirement. They would — the range of ereferrals for behind the camera jobs, that is for part time employment, that's two weekscoriless, the number of hours worked by minorities ranged somewhere between 18 and 25%.

During the two years that we were monitoring the program closely. The number of full time jobs, a full time jobs are those lasting more than two weeks, was lower than that, the numbers we had, fewer of those jobs and so the percentage of hours worked by minorities was much less than that for the part time jobs.

We had about 7,000 minority group persons who were in the labor pools in the various unions. In the jobs outside of the unions, that is jobs in the industry at the clerical, management, administrative and upper levels, we were able to count between 800 and 1,000 jobs that were secured by minority group persons.

- Q As a result of --
- A As a result of the -- of the close monitoring.

We had not nearly as much success as we would have hoped. And we were unable to do too much at the upper level except that once in a while you got a company making — a movie or two bringing a person at the upper level of management, that is at the top 10% of management.

Q You're mentioning that at the upper level you had some difficulties. Could you identify for us some of the major problems that were barriers to achieving equal opportunity during this period?

A Yes. One problem we had was that the -- some of the union members were hostile to the program, in fact we had a group of union members who filed a federal, attempted to file a federal suit against their own leaders which signed the agreement, and also against the commission and the Justice Department. The suit was filed but it never really came up for any action.

Another problem we had was the fact that the -- the referral to jobs being sometimes for only one or two days, did not provide for many of the minority group persons enough money for them to be able to meet their requirements of being members of the union at the end of two years.

One of the requirements being that at the end of the two years, all of the persons who had worked at least 30 days in the industry would pay their union dues and become members of the union and from that point on they would be referred from the union pool rather than from the minority pool.

The high cost of the union dues was a barrier, and then the fact that it was not full time employment was another factor. Because a person will work in the industry

for two weeks and then wouldn't get another assignment maybe for another month or two, and then would have to find employment in between, and some of the people would find other employment for they would not go back.

Then, secondly, we ran into some of the people who were apathetic in terms of the follow through. We did work with the unions to get them to set up some plans for paying the union dues on an installment basis, and we got some people to qualify for union membership through that way.

We had some cooperation from some union officials and some did not want to cooperate with that kind of an arrangement because they felt that, quote, we've given them this chance and now they should assume responsibility for becoming union members and compete like everyone else.

Then too, I think the agreement was too short. It took probably the first six, eight months to get it moving, and then by the end of the two years, it was just beginning to operate. Then even by extending it for another year, that still was not enough time, because we were operating in a time when there was a lot of unemployment in the industry.

And as I mentioned before, many of the White union members were hostile and upset because they claimed that these minorities were, quote, displacing them and keeping

them from getting employment in the industry.

•

Another problem is the obvious one and that is the lack of maximum commitment on the part of some of the management officials to really, to push full speed ahead on affirmative action. We had continually to urge them to do what is right. But I'd say those are just generally some of the problems that I think you'll find common to most affirmative action efforts.

- Q Would you say those problems are still in existence today?
- A Oh, yes, yes. In fact, it would be my impression, based on the last reports we got from the monitor, that we had when we closed out, closed out monitoring in March of this year, is that probably no more than 10% minority membership is now in the unions. So we know that there would not be a large number of minorities being referred to jobs, that are available on a part time and full time basis.

I'm sure that the momentum that was going for a period of time has slowed down, in terms of jobs at the higher levels, in fact, as I listened to some of the other officials here earlier today, they confirmed that for me.

- Q Do you have any recommendations whereby these various barriers might be overcome?
- A Yes, I think that there's a need for a followup consent decree type agreement, that will pick up on where

we left off. There may have to be some interim investigations done of the status of the industry at this time to update us, but there needs to be an agreement that will be of a -- more of a long term nature, one that would last from five to ten years. And it seems to me that there needs to be a commitment both from the industry and from the government, that is through, perhaps through our agency, that may be jointly, the monitoring of this program will be funded by the government and by the industry.

We do have some experience with, even in some monitoring programs being paid for by the industry that was found guilty of discriminating. And it seems to me that would be one thing that could be done.

I think that the staff that goes into this must be full time and must be committed over a long term period.

Part of the problem that we've had in our own commission in terms of follow through with this, is that this white collar hearing in 1969 was done under one commission chairperson, under one national administration, and then the person who came in after he left was of a different national administration, and even though he had a commitment to this program, those who followed him did not see this in a sense as, quote, something that they had been connected with.

And after a period of time, you know, the enthusiasm for this will diminish and they move on to other things that

they are more concerned about because they're, you know, this is one industry, and you got the other industries too, that, you know, you get concerned about. You get the utilities, you get to aerospace, into banking and so on, so you don't have the commitment to continue to follow through year after year until you really get discrimination eliminated, to have some tremendous impact.

And that needs, the think, to be stressed.

- Q You mentioned the hostility of nonminority union members to the impact of minorities coming in on their own possible employment. Have complaints from the industry increased since the merger of the minority and seniority roster?
- A Yes. We now have approximately 151 complaints outstanding, against the movie, TV, radio industry. During the monitoring of the program, specially during the three years where we had at least a full time staff man we had about 25 to 35 complaints, so it has increased.
- Q How much staff do you have now to deal with these complaints?
- A I have a staff to deal with complaints, let me see if I can -- I have approximately 30 staff people who are investigators and are conciliators, people who are assigned to field investigations. I have a total staff of, including clerical and professionals, total staff of 53 people. 30 of

1 those being people who are assigned to investigations. 2 Do you consider this adequate amount of staff? Q. 3 Oh, by no means, in fact I have here a backlog of 4 over 7,000 charges in Los Angeles alone, which is a part 5 of a national figure of about 120,000. I have an intake 6 in Los Angeles that runs between 200 and 300 charges per 7 month, every month. And the staff when it's working at 8 full capacity can probably complete every month, maybe 9 a 100 charges. 10 Q. So you would see needing what size staff here to 11 adequately do the job? 12 Well, it's -- let's say a lot more than I have now, 13 in other words, I've been through this exercise of trying 14 to estimate what it would take to staffwise, to eliminate 15 our backlog and also to stay current. And the figure, 16 it's just far more than I have now. And I don't mean double 17 or triple. 18 Do you anticipate ever getting rid of that 7,000 Q. 19 backlog? 20 You hope, but I don't anticipate getting rid of it, A. 21 because when you --22 α So the average case would take about how long to 23 process? 24 The average charge would take anywhere from ten hours 25 to 30 hours, depending on how complex it is. And how much is

1 involved in the charge. 2 That's ten to 30 hours of staff time --Q. 3 A. Yes. Q. -- but the person complaining this week, with the 5 best of luck, would get a resolution when? 6 A. Perhaps from two to three years. 7 Q Two to three years. 8 VOICE: Or six. 9 THE CHAIR: Let's have order out there, please. 10 Q. (By Ms. Gillette) Let me ask you another question. 11 What action can your organization, the EEOC, take if the 12 unions fail to file the EEOC-3 reports? 13 The commission can file either a charge against the 14 unions or they can file a suit through our litigation 15 activity. 16 Have either one of these ever been done? 17 I don't think so, I don't know, but -- and I'm not 18 sure that that's been a problem, because from what I 19 gather, we have gotten from unions and from companies the 20 reports that we've -- that have been requested but that's 21 handled out of Washington. 22 MS. GILLETTE: Okay, thank you. 23 Q. (By the Chair) Mr. Traylor, we've been looking at 24 EEOC statistics yesterday, primarily, who monitors the 25 accuracy of these statistics that come in from the movie

1 industry? 2 What's that? A. 3 Who monitors, who determines whether these statistics are accurate? 5 Well, at the time that we were monitoring the pro-6 gram and we're no longer monitoring the program now, it 7 was the job of the monitor to check the figures, as best as 8 he could. 9 How was that done? Q 10 A. It was done through sampling, in other words, if 11 a union or a company indicated they had referred so many 12 people to a given, to a company for certain jobs, or on 13 a spotcheck basis we would check with the company, get 14 the names from the payroll and check whether those people, 15 whether the number of people, we'd also check to see how 16 many hours these people have put in: .. 17 Q. That's the next question I was going to ask you, 18 I was a little surprised to note that full time meant someone 19 who had worked two weeks or more. 20 A. Yes. 21 a So on our list here of number of employees, what 22 assurances do we have that these individuals were hired 23 for a fairly long period of time rather than two weeks plus 24 one day?

25

A

Well, as I said before, what we did was to spot check

1 on a sample basis, and we found by and large that most of 2 them, most of the reports were accurate. Based on the 3 sample. It's no longer being done however, is that correct? Q. 5 A. No. 6 Is there any group that has the responsibility to 7 monitor them? 8 No, because there's no longer an agreement. 9 Q But just to monitor the industries? 10 We are treating the industry now like any other A. 11 industry, we are investigating charges we get from the 12 industry. 13 (By the Chair) As statistics pour in from these 14 industries you just have to accept them on face value, 15 there's no way you can even spot check one out of 50? 16 A. What we do is when we go into a company to investi-17 gate a given charge or charges of a company, at that point 18 we do checking. 19 But otherwise they just are filed away somewhere? Q. 20 A. Well, they are -- they are collected by the joint 21 reporting committee, which is made up of several government 22 agencies and they issue reports from these. 23 a We've been taking a look at the categories also, 24 at least I have with respect to your EEOC forms, FCC noted 25 that they had intended to change the category of Oriental

1 on their FCC form once you made that change on your EEOC 2 Is such a change forthcoming? 3 I don't know. I couldn't answer that. A. Because 4 that's not at the local level, it's not at the regional 5 level, this is all, all reporting goes into Washington 6 to a joint reporting committee and what they intend to do 7 is not shared with me. 8 So, to your knowledge, the forms are going to remain Q 9 the same and words such as Orientals, which Asian and 10 Pacific Americans find derogatory, will remain on your form? 11 A I don't know what they plan on doing at this point. 12 Q. Will you check into it and let our staff know? 13 A. Yes. 14 Thank you. THE CHAIR: 15 Are there any other questions? 16 Q (By Ms. James) First of all, you mentioned early 17 on that one of the barriers was that the union fees were 18 expensive. Were you referring to the dues or the initiation 19 fees or both? 20 Initiation fees. A. 21 Initiation fees? Q. 22 A. Yes. 23 Could you give me, is there an average, is there 24 a general or does every local have a different initiation 25 fee?

1 They differ, they, but some went as high as \$700.00. A. And what would dues be, once you paid the initiation 2 Q. 3 fee? I couldn't say at this moment, I don't recall, but 4 A 5 it was not -- it's not inexpensive. 6 Okay, could you clarify the original agreements Q 7 were signed in '70, right? 8 Yes. A. 9 Q. Nineteen-seventy? 10 Yes, 1970. January and April. A. 11 Q. January and April. 12 A. Yes. 13 And those original agreements were for two years? Q. 14 A. Yes. 15 Right? Were any -- I know there were two separate Q. 16 unions, one for the TV and one for the motion pictures. 17 Were those agreements updated at any point in time, I mean 18 extended, were they extended beyond the original two years? 19 Yes, by mutual agreement, after the two years because A. 20 the Justice Department maintained some authority to -- for 21 followup, they continued for another year. 22 a For one more year after '72? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So most of -- most of the unions merged by 1973?

25

A.

Yes, I believe so.

1	Q Right. If a union
2	A. They merged the two.
3	Q the minority roster and the seniority roster,
4	right.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q If a union had not merged by 1973, were the
7	agreements still in effect? Did the agreements state that
8	it will be in effect until there is a merger or until 1973?
9	A No, actually, there was no problem, there was no
10	problem in getting the pools merged, in fact, the unions
11	were quite happy to do this because this meant that from
12	that point on, the minorities would be in their regular
13	pool. And would be treated the same as the other members.
14	So there was no problem there.
15	Q What about Local 33?
16.	A. I can't address that union.
17	Q Are you to your knowledge, did that finally merge,
18	minorities and
19	A. I'm not really sure because I didn't check the
20	reports of that.
21	Ω Seven-seventy-six, do you know if that local merged?
22	A. As far as I know, yes.
23	Ω It did merge?
24	A. I think so.
25	Q The only one you're not sure about is 33?
	3. I

1 I'm not because that was the one that we had a 2 little problem. 3 I asked that just because there was some question Q. 4 as to whether the agreements had actually expired if 5 there had not indeed been a merger, that's why I wondered. 6 The agreement really expired in two years but as 7 I said, it was -- they were mutually agreed toccontinue 8 for another year. 9 Now, we kept our monitor on that for another year 10 and a half after that. 11 Q. Right. 12 I'd like to say we really bootlegged the monitor in 13 there because we were there. And we just assumed that as 14 long as we had the available person power to keep a person 15 there we would keep the person in. 16 Q. When was the monitoring formally discontinued by 17 your district office? 18 A. I believe in April of this year. 19 Q. Why was the decision to do it at that particular 20 time? 21 A. Because at that point there was no longer available 22 any staff person to do it and then, secondly, the commission 23 concluded that they had no authority to be there anyway, 24 as a monitor. 25 That decision was made in Washington, D.C?

1 Yes, by the commission. 2 I wasn't quite clear on these figures, you were 3 talking about there were 7,000 persons in the labor pools, 4 and 800, you identified 800, 1,000 jobs went to minorities, 5 I'm not sure --6 These are two separate groups, the labor pool 7 deals with the unions, and the 800 to a 1,000 were in jobs 8 in the administrative clerical. 9 Q. On across the board? 10 Management jobs as part of the agreement. A. 11 Q. What I was really interested in is, at the end of 12 the minority agreements when the mergers were completed, 13 did your office in its monitoring responsibility, were 14 you able to tabulate exactly how many minorities were put 15 into group one as a result of the settlement agreements, 16 do you have the figure? 17 At that point I believe we did have that, we did A. 18 have such information. 19 Do you remember what that figure is? Q. 20 A I don't have that. 21 Would it be possible to find out? We'd like to know 22 exactly how many --23 Yes. A. 24 Q. You mentioned that to your knowledge there are 25 approximately 151 complaints outstanding against the motion

1 picture and television industry within your office? 2 A. Yes. 3 Right? Are these complaints against unions and/or Q. 4 management or both? 5 I, at this point I couldn't break down percentage, 6 I did a count in anticipation of the question. And I -- I 7 would suppose that we have some against unions but I would 8 guess most of them would be against the companies. 9 One other problem which has come to our attention 10 and I mainly mention this as a statement, that may be conveyed 11 to the EEOC, in preparation for this hearing we asked o 12 your D.C. office to give us an aggregate of the union's 13 EEO-3 forms for the IAA and apparently only 14 of the IAA 14 unions had reported, so I do think that's something that 15 should be looked into. 16 A. Yes. 17 THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions? 18 If not, thank you very much, Mr. Traylor, I hope you 19 can make your appointment. 20 A Thank you. 21 THE CHAIR: The last two scheduled witnesses are from 22 the General Services Administration, Mr. Roscoe Ballard 23 and J.P. Southard. 24 For the record, would you gentlemen please state your 25 name and your position?

× 📆.

MR. ROSCOE BALLARD

A (By Mr. Ballard) My name is Roscoe Ballard, I'm chief of the transportation facility branch of the Contract Compliance Division of the Office of General Counsel, General Services Administration.

MR. JAMES P. SOUTHARD

"如何是被我们的"。 第二

A. (By Mr. Southard) My name is James P. Southard,
I'm a field director, San Francisco Contract Compliance
Field Office.

THE CHAIR: Thank you. Do either of you have a brief statement to make at this time?

A. (By Mr. Ballard) Yes, I have a brief statement and I think it will perhaps anticipate a number of questions that you have and then, after that, I will respond to questions.

I have specific responsibility for national program direction of GSA's contract compliance activities to utility and transportation contractors. By special direction this also includes the motion picture industry.

The General Services Administration is one of ten federal agencies designated by the Department of Labor as a compliance agency with responsibility for administering the contract compliance program under the provisions of

Executive Order 11246, with supply and service contractors.

Each of these ten agencies has been designated responsibility for certain industries. The motion picture and television industries are among the many industries for which GSA is responsible.

Executive Order 11246 and its pursuant relations require that each contractor with 50 or more employees and a contract for \$50,000.00 or more, have an affirmative action plan for each of its establishments. Such plans must contain an analysis to determine whether or not minorities and/or women are being adequately utilized in each job group.

And if underutilization exists in any job group, the contractor must establish a goal and a timetable to correct this underutilization.

There are additional ingredients required in the affirmative action plan which are designed to assure that the plan is implemented. A compliance agency such as the GSA, performs a compliance review of a contractor to determine whether or not a contractor's affirmative action plan is adequate. To be adequate, such a plan must meet all requirements specified in 41CFR60-2, generally known as Order 4, and must fully identify any barriers to full equal employment opportunity and provide a plan of action to eliminate these barriers in the shortest possible time.

A second and more important objective of the compliance review is to evaluate whether or not the contractor has implemented his affirmative action plan. Satisfactory implementation of the plan requires that the goals set forth in the plan have been achieved or that a good faith effort was made to achieve the goals.

Another important area which receives a great deal of attention in compliance review, is the area of affected class, an affected class is a group of persons who are suffering the effects of past discrimination. Remedies for an affected class programs problems may include media transfer or accelerated promotion, red circling of wages, special training and back pay. Although GSA has been given contract compliance responsibility for government contractors in the motion picture industry, we must still establish that there is a contract relationship between the government and the motion picture studio before we have jurisdiction under Executive Order 11246.

This has proven to be ansignificant barrier in our ability to deal effectively with the motion picture industry. Although there are a number of small companies who are clearly covered because of direct contracts with various agencies of government, most of the employers in the industry are not covered.

Even with respect to the major studios it is not

clear that there is a requirement for compliance with the executive order. We have clearly established the contract relationship with one major studio and have recently completed a review of that studio.

We believe that at least two more major studios come under the jurisdiction of the executive order and we intend to schedule reviews of these studios as soon as our resources permit. We will continue to research the question with respect to the other studios and if we can determine that we do have a contract relationship we will schedule reviews.

This brings me to some problem areas which we perceived before starting the recent review and which were confirmed by this review. We have reviewed the available data on the participation of minorities and women in all phases of the industry and we have found as others have testified, that there are certain serious problems of underutilization in the industry.

We find that traditional patterns in which minorities are generally confined to laboring and service-type jobs and where women are found primarily in the clerical jobs.

However, we also find conditions which aggravate and perpetuate this situation. I am referring to the complexity of relationships between the industry and the many labor organizations which represent employees in this industry.

I'm also referring to the fact that much of the employment in this industry is temporary in nature. These conditions impact negatively on GSA's ability to deal realistically with the many problems affecting employment opportunities for minorities and women in the motion picture industry.

First of all we do not have direct jurisdiction over labor unions and therefore can not deal directly or can not directly confront a labor union which may be engaged in practices which are a barrier to equal employment opportunity.

Secondly, as I ve previously mentioned, our jurisdiction in the industry is severely limited. While we only have jurisdiction over certain of the major studios, much of the employment in the industry is not by the major studios. In view of these circumstances, it can be seen that we can not deal with those employment practices which involve only the relationships between the independent producer and the craft union.

And we are severely hampered in dealing with the major studios with respect to those employees who are hired through the union hiring hall.

This leaves us only the area of permanent full time employment by the major studios that can be effectively covered by the contract compliance program.

As we see it, it will require a major coordinated

effort of various agencies of government with equal employment responsibilities to deal realistically with these problems.

The General Services Administration intends to complete reviews with the major studios with whom we can establish compliance jurisdiction and will continue to explore the question of our jurisdictions over other segments of the motion picture industry and to the extent that resources permit, will do reviews wherever we establish that we do have compliance jurisdiction.

However, in this regard, it should be noted that we must take extreme care in the setting of our priorities.

GSA has between 30,000 and 40,000 contractor establishments and some 35 industrial or business areas for which we are responsible, and yet we have just a little over 100 professional compliance officers in the field available to do reviews.

As you can see, this severely limits our capability to deal with all contractors, and it is therefore extremely important that we do reviews at those facilities which will provide the greatest opportunity for expanding employment opportunities for minorities and women.

GSA's prepared to the extent which resources permit, to participate with other governmental agencies in coordinated efforts to develop and implement a program which will be

1 effective in dealing with the problems in the motion 2 picture industry. 3 This completes my statement, I will be happy to 4 respond to any questions which the committee may have at 5 this time. THE CHAIR: Does Mr. Southard have a statement? (By Mr. Southard) No. That comprises my statement 8 also. 9 THE CHAIR: Ms. Gomez? 10 Q. I've some questions, Madame Chairman. (By Ms. Gomez) 11 During the compliance of review of Universal, what 12 were the GSA's major findings? 13 (By Mr. Ballard) I have not -- I'm in the process 14 of reviewing the report of that review. We did find that 15 an affirmative action plan had to be developed in con-16 formance with the regulations. There were a large number 17 of areas of underutilization of minorities and women for 18 which goals have been established, at this point the 19 regional office has tentatively approved those goals and 20 we're reviewing at the national office level. 21 So, in essence, what were the factors that most 22 hampered obtaining the complete picture of the affirmative 23 action effort at Universal? 24 Well, as I mentioned in the statement, a great 25

deal of our problem in dealing with the review with Universal

was the factor involving the other elements, the referrals from the unions where we had great difficulty in getting data, and this is why a review of this nature is most effective only in those areas relating to the permanent full time employment, the administrative, clerical professional kinds of jobs.

- Q (By the Chair) Did you find any resistance from the management level?
- A. I think I'll refer this question to Mr. Southard, since he was more involved in the review.
- A. (By Mr. Southard) What was the question again, please?
- Did you get any resistance from the management
 level?
- A I think I would have to say no, we found that the -- it required a great amount of technical assistance to the company, but I would say that the attitude was one of acceptance. We had approached on the basis of returning essentially to the motion picture industry, we had selected Universal because frankly they had been given a favorable reputation. And because we had thought that by taking that which is the largest, most active and apparently most reputable, that we would be able to perhaps build a basis on which we could make other industry comparisons.
 - Q. Where is the affirmative action plan now, Universal's

1 affirmative action plan? 2 It's in my briefcase. 3 (By Mr. Ballard) It's in my briefcase and it's first A. 4 on my priority list when I get back to my office. 5 When did you receive it? When did you receive the Q. 6 affirmative action plan? 7 It was, I think about two weeks ago. 8 A. (By Mr. Southard) Or longer. 9 Q. And when was Universal notified that they must 10 submit an affirmative action plan to you? 11 (By Mr. Ballard) Okay, let me clarify. I received A. 12 it in my office about two weeks ago, Mr. Southard received 13 the plan from Universal in August, is that correct? 14 (By Mr. Southard) Yes. 15 A. (By Mr. Ballard) There is a report development time 16 that's involved, particularly after receipt of a very 17 complex affirmative action plan covering a large number of 18 employees as this one does. 19 The review started officially in January, was it? 20 (By Mr. Southard) Technical assistance started 21 officially in January. 22 A. (By Mr. Ballard) Yes, and the on-site review took 23 place in March and there was a period of negotiation which 24 followed that before the final submission of the plan over 25 issues that were issues.

23.

Q Is there a way of getting that?

A Well, when the question is raised, we then are in a position to do some research to try to determine how we might have jurisdiction. I might explain here that a corporation that has a contract that meets the requirement of \$50,000.00 and which has 50 or more employees, is covered in its entirety. So we frequently have compliance jurisdiction because of related company businesses. So this is one way in which we may cover some of the television stations and some of the motion picture studios.

However, this gets often into a very complex legal determination to determine whether in fact a, for example parent subsidiary relationship meets the requirements of a legal connection which would bind the parent or subsidiary under the equal opportunity clause.

It's not a simple question.

Secondly, we do have a problem that there are literally hundreds of agencies in the government which may award contracts. There is no central system by which we are able to keep track of this. We do subscribe to a service from Dunn and Bradstreet which identifies most contracts that are awarded and we -- when that occurs it's recorded in our printout so that it would show up in Mr. Southard's office, indicating that a contract had been awarded, that would be an opportunity for us to then follow

1 up on it and determine whether or not in fact that estab-2 lished the contract relationship. 3 (By the Chair) For the record once more then, there Q. 4 is no central governmental agency that produces such a 5 list of contracts awarded? 6 That is correct. A 7 Q. (By Ms. Gomez) Why? 8 Because, as I said, there are literally hundreds and 9 perhaps thousands of different offices in our government that 10 award contracts. It's just that diversified, decentralized 11 and it occurs throughout, you know, many agencies of 12 government. 13 The Department of Defense itself has probably 14 thousands of procurement officers who are awarding contracts, 15 so it's just not centralized information. 16 Q. This means, then, that any monitoring agency must 17 wade through literally thousands of IBM computer printouts 18 to find out whether a contract compliance or contract has 19 been issued which falls under their jurisdiction? 20 Well, it does present one of our continuing problems 21 but as I said, one of the things that we do get is a service 22 from the Dunn and Bradstreet people who compile this kind 23 of information and they send us a printout monthly and then 24 we have people who scan this and any contract awarded by 25 any agency of the government which would affect one of the

industries which we monitor for compliance purposes, we then transfer that data into our computer system so that enables our field office to be aware that here is a possible contractor.

Secondly, it isn't so difficult in terms of many of the major corporation which are always government contractors, so that we know that anytime we have a facility, for example of Georgia Pacific Company and the paper industry, which we monitor, we know that every establishment that they have is covered. We don't have to research it. So there are many industries.

The fact is we have so many contractor facilities for which we are responsible we never run out of work that we can do. And that's, perhaps, the reason why the research into really identifying as many contractors that come under the program as there are, is not really the first priority. The first priority is to do the work that we've got before us.

- And as a result you have no idea as to what's
 left undone, you are just working on those identifiable
 contracts?
- A. Well, we are trying our best to identify it, but I would not sit here with any degree of confidence and say that we have exhausted it, because in many instances the information that we get is not accurate for one reason or another,

jurisdiction.

So they're -- it's a continuing problem.

Q Have you made any recommendations to solve this

or by the time we might schedule a review, perhaps a con-

tract has been completed, so they're no longer under our

problem? It sounds as if you have learned to live with it and --

A Well, we think that within the Department of Labor, there would be ansystem which could be used by all of the compliance agencies, as I mentioned, we have ten compliance agencies, and there are certain information, is certain information that must be forwarded by a contracting officer who awards a contract for purposes of other laws, not relating to equal opportunity, which we think might be a basis for establishing a national printout of contractors.

I can't say at this point because I don't know that much about it, whether or not that is really a feasible system, but that is one area that we, I know GSA has made a recommendation in.

- Q How long has your compliance review of Universal studios been going on?
- A Essentially since January, if we include the technical assistance portion of it and the on-site review and the negotiations and conciliation that took place.

1 Q. It seems like an awfully long time, we're into 2 October now. 3 A. Yes. 4 I wonder why the length of time? a 5 Well, this is the first time we've been into a A. 6 major motion picture studio in many years. It is a large 7 establishment, we were -- spent more time, perhaps, then 8 we would with some employers in providing some technical 9 assistance sort of in advnace of the official review, and 10 some of the issues were very complex. And it took time 11 to work them out. 12 And -- but it is a long time and it is not hopefully, 13 typical of the time that it takes us to do a review. 14 Normally reviews are accomplished within 60 days. 15 That's a better record, I think, for the GSA. 16 you identify some of these complex issues? 17 A. Well, I'll refer to Mr. Southard on this because he's 18 more directly involved in that. 19 A. (By Mr. Southard) One of the most -- many of the 20 complex issues that we have encountered in the Universal 21 review are things that we've been talking about here for 22 the last couple of days. One of the most complex issues 23 although it would sound relatively simple, is the question 24 of what constitutes the full time or if you use another

term, a permanent work force of the company? At what point

25

does this sole responsibility of the company leave off and at what point does the options that belong to the union take over? And I think this is probably one of the major issues that's resulted in our delay. This has resulted in delay both in the need for determinations and we haven't had a final determination of that particular issue, but also in the way that we have the information displayed, because we're dealing with a company with a large number of employees.

And a simple decision to display the information differently than it had previously been displayed required an extremely -- first of all a mechanical process and considerable amount of time.

Q You said you would like the coordinated efforts of other federal agencies. Have you sought such an effort?

A (By Mr. Ballard) No, we haven't at this point. We, of course, have been aware of these hearings developing, I guess now for the last month or so, and it's been our thought that this is probably a good initial effort to collect data.

I indicated that our problem is one of jurisdiction in the industry and it does seem that the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, which has much broader jurisdiction than we do, is probably a much more appropriate base for dealing with the industry on a comprehensive basis, and

5

I would concurred the some of the recommendations made by Mr. Traylor in his testimony, in terms of some of the things that might be done.

We, as I say, intend to continue reviews where we do have jurisdiction, because that can provide added leverage, and we certainly would participate fully in interchange of information, coordinated planning and so forth, to see that this entire operation is as effective as it can be.

Q But since you're going to review two more movie industries or movie companies, will you then, after this initial review is over, will you then seek the effort or at least cooperation of other federal agencies or is it still going to be a GSA effort basedon on whatever areas fall into your jurisdiction?

A I don't know that I could answer that question.

Assuming — the question you're really asking is are we going to develop the initiative in going out to the other agencies or are we going to wait for somebody to come to us. I don't really know. I am saying that if somebody comes to us, we certainly are going to be fully agreeable to participate.

We certainly, at some point, may also have to decide, depending on the problems we find and the resources that we've got. As I say, which are very limited.

We might also seek the initiative, this will be a decision that will have to be made, though.

Q It is unfortunate that various arms of the federal bureaucracy that could touch all aspects or a great variety of areas could not be coordinated and one massive effort could not be made.

A Well, let me say this, that we -- we have, on a number of occasions, cooperated with other agencies, with EEOC, with the equal pay people, on specific projects, and in some instances, these have been very successful.

I just have to add, though, that there are always all kinds of problems that accompany these kinds of joint working arrangements, because each agency operates under its own rules and regulations, under its procedures, under it's own decision-making process, and these do not always merge or mesh smoothly in terms of accomplishing the objective. So it isn't something that is done easily, but it can be done and we're certainly willing to do it where it is the most feasible approach to take.

THE CHAIR: Ms. Gomez?

Does anybody else have any questions?

Q (By Ms. James) Yesterday Mr. Prelock from the

AMPTP commented on his understanding about the efforts of
the industry to develop an industry-wide affirmative action
plan, which would, to some degree, have to require cooperation

正漢で

among these various governmental entities. His perception of why that did not come off, one reason was that the Departmente of Labor considered it and seemed unwilling to provide a \$500,000.00 training package to help bring this about.

You are a delegated agency of the FCC, are you familiar with this effort to develop this industry-wide, which would include the unions, if so, what happened to that?

- A. I'm not directly familiar with what happened, as I understand it from hearing on a secondhand basis, these were negotiations directly between the Department of Labor and AMPTP. The association.
 - Q When you say Department of Labor, you mean OFCC?
 - A. OFCCP, yes.

- Q Not somebody else --
- A Not somebody from GSA.

I do know this, that shortly after I came with GSA, this was, I would say about January of '75, in a meeting that I had with representatives of OFCCP, they discussed or wanted to discuss with us two, what they call high impact areas, two industries that they were interested in in pursuing. One was the utilities and one was the motion picture industry. And so at that time we discussed what would be feasible in terms of our approach, we discussed various kinds of possible strategies and options and so forth

entire industry.

we recognized that we really didn't have a lot of information that we would need. We also recognized our probable jurisdiction problem as covering only a small part of the

14⁻

Following that, I think about April of that year,
Ms. Diane Graham, from the OFCCP, was out here and met
with the association and met with some of the community
groups. We discussed it further, developed, did allittle
more research into some of the data that I referred to,
which indicates that the problems in the industry and also,
of course, further confirmed the extent of our problem with
respect to jurisdiction.

So, after all of this, OFCCP and GSA concurred that for the time begin, the most feasible approach would be to review those contractors in the industry which — where we could establish that there was a contract relation—ship under the regular order four, order 14 procedures, and of course, that's the process that has been now started and has taken a little longer to get started than we had originally projected. But that's at the point, at this point the policy that we're pursuing.

Ω (By Ms. James) In your statement and in your discussion, a major barrier to successful completion of these compliance things seems to be the jurisdictional question.

A. Yes, that's correct.

ある産

Q Have you considered at all possible legislation that Congress could consider that might clarify these, how foggy this jurisdictional issue is, have you made recommendations to Congress on that at all?

A. Well, we, among staff, of course frequently discuss this. As a possibility. And I understand that, you know, there's -- there's a potential of legislation being introduced in the Congress?

0. Next session?

A. In the next session, but I only have this informally,
I don't have any direct knowledge of this. I don't know
that at this point that our agency has taken a position
with respect to it.

As you may know, the OFCCP has issued proposed rules and regulations and of course we're in the process of commenting on those, that would not accomplish the objective that you speak to, but it would accomplish, I think in many respects, some improvements in our ability to deal effectively with industry because it would give us some flexibility and -- in our arrangements with contractors. So that's the issue at the moment.

There are, of course, also many potential negative questions about these proposed regulations so at that point, that issue is occupying us more than being involved in the other area. I'm sure, though, as an agency we would

certainly be interested in that kind of legislation if it comes about.

- Q (By the Chair) Mr. Ballard, yesterday Mr. Hughes from Universal, in his parting statement, clearly said that he would give our committee a copy of the affirmative action plan once it is approved. From today's discussion I assume you are the approving agency?
 - A That is correct.

- Q. When may we anticipate the approval, completion and approval?
- A Well, as I said, a few minutes ago, this is high priority, I will not be back in my office till Wednesday, Thursday of next week, I would hope that sometime in November I will have completed our review of it and at that point I'm not predicting now what our position is, if it's back to the drawing boards, then Mr. Southard's got more work to do. If it is approval, then we will, of course, be notifying Universal of the approval and acceptance of the plan and it would certainly be available to you.
- Q Fine. One final question from Mr. Southard, I guess, since you are the regional administrator for this area, who did you meet with when you went out to Universal, what kinds of people, I guess I'm looking for identification, not in terms of specific names but in terms of titles and

2.

- <u>ج</u>ر جر

1 in terms of ethnicity and sex? 2 The -- I didn't do the review (By Mr. Southard) 3 personally. However, the review included meeting with the 4 chairman of the board, Mr. Wazelman (Phonetic) --5 Q. Who is a White male? 6 Who is a White male. It included various represen-7 tatives of the industrial relations staff, some of whom 8 are White males and some of who are Hispanic and Black. 9 Males? Q. 10 Males. A. 11 May we get an accurate breakdown from you? Q. 12 A. We can supply the breakdown of the people that we 13 met with at Universal, I don't have it. 14 Q. Right, but our staff will get in contact with you. 15 I'll be happy to supply that, yes. 16 THE CHAIR: Thank you, are there any additional 17 questions? 18 We thank you two gentlemen for waiting it out. 19 And we will now move rapidly into open session. 20 We are running behind schedule and we'd like to 21 proceed with those of you who are on the list for the 22 open session to make your remarks as brief as possible 23 and also to submit to us written testimony so that we may 24 include that in the record as well. 25 First on our list is Ms. Verna M. Canson. Ms. Canson?

1 Ms. Canson, for the record and our Court Reporter, 2 would you give us your name and spell it out, please? 3 4 5 MS: VERNA M. CANSON 6 7 (By Ms. Canson) Thank you very much. 8 Mr. Chairperson, Members of the subcommittee of the 9 advisory committee, my name is Verna M. Canson. 10 Verna M. Canson. I'm regional director --.11 (By the Chair) Could you spell it out, please? Q. 12 C-a-n-s-o-n. I am reginal director of the west coast 13 region NAACP. Thank goodness for the newspaper, I found 14 out about the hearing. 15 I wish to request permission to file a formal 16 statement at a later date in behalf of the west coast region. 17 a Fine. 18 The issues that you are discussing today are not 19 new among Black people. And certainly not new to the NAACP. 20 The serious problems facing Blacks, other minorities and 21 women, in the industry was the major reason for the organi-22 zation of the Beverly Hills-Hollywood branch of the NAACP. 23 This branch is one of more than 100 youth and adult 24 units of the western regional of the National Association 25

for the Advancement of Colored People. You have already

been told of the great disparity in the number of Black writers and producers. Our normal testimony which we will submit will cover this aspect as well as other aspects of the problems Blacks are facing in the industry.

My office is working with our national labor secretary, Mr. Herbert Hill of New York, as well as several of the branches in this immediate area. We have already begun our investigations into the area of public broadcasting. Our national convention policy resolution will be a part of our formal testimony which will be submitted to you.

You are aware, of course, of our present crisis, the NAACP faces a struggle to deal with judicial atrocity rendered against us by a Mississippi judge court -- of the Chancery Court. The crisis occupies most of our time and resources at this time.

However, the seriousness and the potential for jobs and income are such in the area that you are discussing that we will indeed submit to you a detailed report.

I certainly thank you for this opportunity. I want to say, I commend the U.S. Civil Rights Commission on its persistance in the face of the retreat of the federal government from the area of rights of Blacks, other minorities and women, you have been a fortress, you were with us when we pleaded before federal court judge last week in

1 Mississippi and we are grateful that you are here, reference 2 your job as a tedious one but indeed we've been at it 67 3 years and all we can say is don't dispair. 4 Thank you. 5 Thank you, Ms. Canson, we'll be very THE CHAIR: 6 happy to receive additional material from you and the 7 committee is well aware of your activities and the leader-8 ship you've taken in the area of civil rights. 9 Mr. Joe Ortiz? He's not here. 10 Mr. Harold Scott? 11 MR. SCOTT: Yes. 12 THE CHAIR: For our Reporter and for the record, 13 would you identify yourself, your name, your occupation? 14 15 16 MR. HAROLD SCOTT 17 18 (By Mr. Scott) My name is Harold Scott, I'm an A. 19 engineer at the National Broadcasting Company in Burbank. 20 (By the Chair) Would you spell your name for our 21 Court Reporter, please? 22 S-c-o-t-t. A. 23 Q. Thank you. Please proceed. 24 A. I'm representing a group of Black engineers in the --25 this is cameramen, audio people, the technical staff, behind

4 5

the camera people. And our complaint is not with, you know, hiring, although certainly there is problems there, I mean how many people they're hiring, but rather after the guy is hired, there's no promotions. There's absolutely no Black person in the management in engineering and certainly there's been a long enough time for people to have aspired to these positions and we have qualified people.

It seems to be somewhat of an organized effort to prevent Blacks from getting into these higher positions, even within, staying within the union, not let's set aside management positions in engineering, and let's say we have a position called a technical director, which is the guy that pushes the buttons.

He's kind of like a foreman, he's still in the union, he's -- he tells the other guys on the crew what to do from a particular studio, we have none of these guys who are Black and we certainly have people who are qualified.

And I really just want to keep my, since I'm representing 16 people, I really, I don't want to make you know, I mean I've submitted a letter --

- Q You have submitted a statement, right?
- A. And it's kind of toned down from the way we really feel but we don't want to stick any of these guys out on a limb.

As Mr. Sillas said in the opening statement that we're

protected, and stuff, that's a bunch of -- well, it's
kind of like the analogy about a padlock, it only keeps
honest people out, okay?

Now, if there wasn't a problem here, I mean, you
know, then certainly we could, you know, go along with the

protection and everything, but there is a problem.

Q We recognize that.

A. Okay. There also seems to me -- okay, I'll let the statistics speak for themselves, several people have made reference to parity. As you can see there is none and it's, I mean it's out and out, I mean the statistics speak for themselves.

As far as I'm concerned, the only comeback the company would have against this would be that they don't have qualified people, and I say I welcome you people to --

- Maybe we can ask you some questions, how are these
 technical directors hired, on what basis?
- A. They generally work up from being a cameraman or, you know, they're regular people on the staff, the regular staff engineers.
- Q And these technical directors that you are talking about that have been selected from the staff engineers, have all been White males?
- A Yes, that is correct. There are no women technical directors.

Are there any job descriptions for these technical directors?

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

A. As has been testified earlier, the only job description we have is in the collective bargaining agreement. It states, you know, what the classification is and everything. I'm sure that there should be -- it's kind of a phony thing. We'll have to talk to the personnel department at NBC whether they have a definite job descrip-If they don't I challenge them to say that it's tion. common knowledge what the duties of this, you know, person is.

In your opinion, how, what qualified these technical Q. directors, these individuals who became technical directors, assuming that all of you are equally qualified, why did they select one person a White male, as opposed to -- you've said Black engineers were equally qualified, assuming everybody was equally qualified, why select the White male as opposed to the Black?

Well, let's say that that's the reason I'm here, A. and addressing myself to the problem of prejudice that's the only thing. I've searched my mind to figure out why they have not selected the Black, and it's got to be prejudice because certainly we have people on the staff.

Okay, what they look for, leadership ability, because you are a foreman, so to speak, I mean I use the word

foreman because you probably can relate to that in a union where you're the highest guy on the job, who's still in the union. Other than management. And you're responsible for, say 15, 20 guys on the show. And the camera guys, cable kickers, the audio man, the audio assistant, the lighting director, you're, in essence, the head technical man on the show. Outside of management.

And they look for, you know, the ability of the guy to get along with the production people because a director tells you, okay, give me camera one, do this, this other director might make a comment that the cameraman is not, you know, the guy's kind of slow, you know, talk to him about this and that.

- Mr. Scott, I hate to interrupt you here but I think your interest would be best served if we could get some specific examples or instances from you and perhaps the best way for us to handle that would be to ask our staff to interview you.
 - A. Okay, I'd be very glad to do that.

THE CHAIR: Are there any questions from members of the panel?

A Let me say one thing, though, going on with the -- it seems to me that we've had testimony from the movie industry, Universal City, well, various large studios, and we've had the people from the three major networks, so you would think,

but for instance you've had the general manager of KNBC here. And he spoke of all the programs that are going along and how he's complying with the affirmative action stuff. But he's only a small part. I mean, you know, we've totally neglected, I mean we're talking about engineers only, National Broadcasting Company, who produces most of the shows.

THE CHAIR: I think we realize that, Mr. Scott, and we share your frustration in that respect, too.

A. Okay.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Ms. Francis Friday?

Ms. Friday, would you identify yourself for our Reporter?

MS. FRANCIS FRIDAY

A (By Ms. Friday. Yes, my name is Francis Friday and I'm an actress and a writer. And the reason I'm here today is because this morning I took a bath, I used soap, and I used perfume, and other things which television tells me to buy. But when it comes to being available for commercials, I am told that I am undesirable because I am Latin. And my children are also actors and they have also

been told that they're undesirable because the industry does not want to use ethnic-looking children on television.

I have taken steps to write letters to various companies which have refused to, you know, do commercials, to use Latino people. And I have seen some changes made, but they have been very little, only to pacify us.

But they refuse to face the fact that we exist, though they want us to buy their products, and they want us to watch their programs. The people in Nosotros had been highly discriminated against because we have been training and preparing ourselves for parts of all kinds. Yet when the parts do turn up, they want us to use a Spanish accent.

I recently went up for a series and was told by the producer that they had interviewed 200 Latino actresses, but they were thinking about using a Jewish girl because the Latino actresses could not use a proper Spanish accent.

Now, I ask you, what is a proper accent? And why must we always be identified with Spanish accents? You know, we're people, we are Americans, and I don't understand the disparity between buying and selling and being termed undesirable when I go for a part or an agent tells me, no, I'm sorry, they don't want to see you. They are not hiring Latins at all.

The few Latins that are hired, they want us to play

1 prostitutes, robbers, all kinds of undesirable characters. 2 Yet we are trained to be teachers, judges, nurses, doctors, 3 we can serve in those professions if we are trained. 4 Yet we can not portray those parts. And I don't 5 understand it, I really don't. 6 THE CHAIR: Fine, I think you've hit on the core 7 of our hearing the past two days. Thank you. 8 Mr. Charles? 9 Mr. Charles? 10 11 (No response) 12 13 THE CHAIR: Mr. David Lazarin? 14 15 (No response) 16 17 MS. JAMES: He said if he couldn't stay he did 18 leave a written statement with us. 19 THE CHAIR: Fine. Well, that's the end, then, of 20 the people who requested to make a statement during the 21 open meeting. 22 I'd like to thank all of you for sitting so patiently 23 and listening, and I have one final thing I would like to 24 read into the record. This is a draft copy of a letter 25 that Chairman Sillas will be sending to the commissioners,

2

U.S. Commissioners, Commission on Civil Rights. Dear Sirs and Madame. The California Advisory Committee have just completed two days of an open meeting on opportunities for minorities — let me start again.

The California Advisory Committee just completed two days of an open meeting on opportunities for minorities and women in the motion picture and television industry.

The evidence received at the open meeting strongly suggests that opportunities for minorities and women are far from equitable, in fact may have declined since 1970.

Three major motion picture studios declined to appear before the advisory committee. Paramount, 20th Century Fox and Warner Brothers. The International Alliance of Theatrical and Stage Employees also declined to appear. Station KNXT sent written materials but declined to appear to answer questions.

Motion pictures and television have a large responsibility for fairly conveying the concerns, needs and cultural heritage of all Americans. We are deeply troubled by the apparent lack of commitment to equal opportunity by the studios, unions and the station.

In California, this industry is a major one and generally establishes the images of minorities by way of their films. Their impact on the total community is very significant.

On behalf of the advisory committee, I respectfully request that the commission include a one-half day -- that the commissioners include a one-half day of your visit to California to subpoena information and receive testimony from industry representatives who declined to participate in our open hearings, so that our report will be thorough. We feel that the industry requires immediate and thorough review. Thank you in advance for your prompt review of this request. This will be sent out forthwith under Mr. Sillas' signature. Thank you again, and this concludes our open hearing. (Hearing adjourned)

	-
1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2	COUNTY OF PIMA)
3	
4	
5	
6	I, JAMES E. BOULEY, do hereby certify that I am
7	an Official Shorthand Reporter; that I was present at the
8	hearing of the foregoing matter; that I took down in
9	shorthand all proceedings had and testimony adduced at said
10	hearing; that the same was thereafter transcribed under my
11	supervision, and the foregoing 508 pages represent a com-
12	plete and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes
13	so taken.
14	WITNESS MY HAND this 6th day of November, 1976.
15	
16	,
17	
18	
19	Official Shorthand Reporter
20	Official bhot bhank Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	