CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO THE

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

OPEN MEETING ON THE PROCESS OF SCHOOL DESEGREGATION

VOLUME A - MARCH 19, 1976

PART I - MORNING SESSION

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT and DICURTI
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

187 North Church Tucson, Arizona

CCR 3 Meet. 309 v.1

1	CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE To The
2	United States Commission on Civil Rights Open Meeting on the Process of School Desegregation
3	Open Meeting on the Process of School Desegregation Volume A - March 19, 1976 Part I - Morning Session
4	
5	INDEX
6	<u>Witness</u> <u>Page</u>
7	Judge Spurgeon Avakian 7
8	Ms. Carol Sibley
9	Mr. Gene Roh
10	Ms. Ramona Maples
11	. say
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

3

2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

I guess we're ready now to convene the THE CHAIR: public hearing. The California Advisory Committee Open Meeting on the Process of School Desegregation in the Berkeley School System will now come to order.

I am Herman Sillas, Jr., Chairperson of the California Advisory Committee to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights. The State Advisory Committee advises and makes recommen= dations to the U. S. Commission on Civil Rights upon matters which the committee or any of its subcommittees have studied. The other members of the Advisory Comittee are Nadine Hata, Noelie Rodriguez, Helen Bernstein, William Rogers, Jayne Ruiz, Vernon Yoshioka, Jacobs, Jack Share.

Also with us today from the Western Regional Office of the Commission on Civil Rights are Joseph T. Brooks, Sally James, Ramona Godoy and Irene Garcia.

Carol Hurray is also here representing our national office in Washington, D. C.

Our court reporter for this open meeting is James Bouley.

This open meeting is being held pursuant to rules. applicable to the State Advisory Committees and other requirements promulgate by the U. S. Commission on Civil The Commission on Civil Rights is an independent Rights.

agency of the U. S. Government established by Congress in 1957, and authorized by the Civil Rights Act of 1957, 1960, '64 and '73, to do the following things:

First, investigate complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of the right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, national origin or sex.

Second, study and collect information concerning legal developments which constitute a denial of equal protection of the laws under the constitution.

Third, appraise federal laws and policies with respect to equal protection of the laws.

Four, serve as a national clearing house for civil rights information.

And five, investigate allegations of voter fraud.

I would like to emphasize at this time that this is an open meeting and not an adversary-type of proceeding. Individuals have been invited to come and share with the Committee information relating to the subject of the days inquiry. Each person who will participate has voluntarily agreed to meet with the committee.

The purpose of this meeting today and tomorrow is to collect information on the process of school desegregation in the Berkeley Public Schools. Our findings will be forwarded to the commission for inclusion in a national study of school desegregation.

Every effort has been made to invite persons who are knowledgable about the problems and progress in the areas to be dealt with here today. In our attempt to get a well-balanced pictures of the desegregation process in Berkeley, we have invited school district administrators and principals, teachers, qualified staff, students, parents, board members and concerned community representatives.

Since this is an open meeting, the press, radio, television stations as well as individuals are welcome.

Any person discussing a matter with the committee, however, may request that they not be televised. In this case, it will be necessary for me to comply with their wishes.

We are very concerned that we get all of the information relating to the matter under investigation. We are, however, concerned that no individual be the victim of slander or libelous statements. As a precaution against such a happening, persons making a statement here or answering questions, have been interviewed prior to this meeting.

However, in the unlikely event that such a situation should develop, it will be necessary for me to call this to the attention of the person making the statement and request that they against in their action.

If the testimony a person is offering, however, is

of sufficient importance it may be necessary for the committee to hear the information at a closed session.

The person against whom the allegations are being made will have ample opportunity to make a statement in closed session before the committee if he or she desires. In any event prior to the time that the committee submits its report to the commission, every effort will be extended to get a complete picture of the situation as it exists.

We are concerned that no individual be the victim of retaliation or for any statements made at this open meeting. Witnesses are protected by the provisions of the U. S. Code Volume 18, Sections 1505 which provide whoever by threats or by any threatening letter or communication endeavors to intimidate, influence or impede any witness in any proceeding pending before any department or agency of the U. S. or in connection with any inquiry or investigation being held by either house or committee of either house, or whoever injures any party or witness in his person or property on account of his attending or having attended such proceedings, inquiry or investigation; or on account of his testifying or having testified in any matter pending therein, shall be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than five years or both.

In the event that any person testifying before this committee considers any adverse action against him to be

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

the result of having testified, he or she should immediately contact the Western Regional Office of the U. S. Commission On Civil Rights.

At the conclusion of the scheduled meeting, should anyone else wish to appear in open session before the committee, they should notify Western Regional staff before the end of today's session.

It's my understanding that we have our first witness and I'd like at this time to ask the Honorable Spurgeon

Avakian to please step forward.

Pleased to have you here this morning, Judge.

SPURGEON AVAKIAN

A Good morning, Mr. Chairperson.

A State of the second s

Q (By The Chair) We appreciate the time. I wonder if you would, for the record, state your name, address and occupation, please?

A Yes, my name is Spurgeon Avakian. I live at 8911 Oxford Street in Berkeley. I am a judge of the Superior Court of Alameda County, sitting in Oakland.

Q I wonder, Judge, if you could tell us during what period of time were you on the Berkeley Board of Education?

A From July of 1959 to August of 1964. I resigned at that time to go on the bench.

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Q What do you consider the most important factors which led to the boards decision to desegregate the junior high schools?

A I think they could be grouped into three basic categories.

First of all was the conviction of the board that in our modern society, equal rights and equal opportunities are meaningless without equal education.

Secondly, there was the belief that equal education is impossible in a segregated setting, and finally, there was a feeling on the part of the board that the community of Berkeley was ready to take a major step in trying to reduce some of the inequities which were prevalent in our society.

Q In your oppinion, did any of the events outside of the community such as the Brown Decision, Martin Luther King marches and so on influence the board's decision?

A Unquestionably, all of those things that were happening around the country from the bus boycott in Montgomery to the sit-ins and things of that kind, and the court decisions, emphasized to the whole country and certainly in Berkeley, that there was a need for major change in the structures of our society, and in the structures of our educational system.

I think that because Berkeley perhaps, a little bit earlier than most of the country, was reflecting an awareness of these inequalities and tensions were being — were surfacing and being recognized. That we were aware of the fact that not only was it right that these changes be made, but we were also mindful of the fact that if the establishment didn't attempt to solve these problems, there were going to be some violent ruptures from within the community.

And just as any cataclysmic event like an earthquake telegraphs ahead of time through rumbles and movements, slight movements of earth that something is coming
up, so I think also, we could see in Berkeley, as well
as in the rest of the country that things were shaping
up which elsewhere lead to things like Watts, Rochester
and so on.

But I think that because Berkeley was taking steps long before those events occurred, such demonstrations of anger, of resentment, of disappointment and frustration, were probably precluded in Berkeley.

Q Are you saying then, Judge, that the move to desegregate the schools was, in your opinion, the best thing for Berkeley? As a community?

A Well, I think that if it had not been done in Berkeley, other things which -- would have happened and

3

all of them that I can think of would have been bad.

But I want to emphasize that it was not with the thought of heading off things which might be bad that we took these steps. We took these steps because we felt that these were the right things which should be done. And I think an incidental effect of that was that Berkeley was spared some violent demonstrations. But I would not want to leave you with the thought that we were just simply trying to avoid doomsday. Our thoughts were certainly more positive than that.

Q Now, we've talked about the - you mentioned moving the desegregation, but the elementary schools were not included in the 1963 plan, is that a fact?

A That's right.

Q And I wonder if you could explain why they weren't?

A We were certainly aware of the fact that integration of the schools would mean integration all along the line, ultimately. The problem was whether we should attempt to, all in one jump or whether we should take it in steps.

We had a limited amount of facilities obviously.

You only have one superintendent, you have a certain number of administrative staff, you have, within the system some teachers who are wholly dedicated to the goals that the board is seeking. You have others who are moderately interested, some who are disinterested, and some who are

actively opposed.

In weighing our resources, and bearing in mind the importance that innovative steps should be done well, because they will be judged rather harshly, we felt that

we would best start on a limited basis and so then we considered what the limited approach should be.

Because of the peculiar set up of the school structure in Berkeley, it appeared that the best place to start in our particular situation was with the junior high schools.

One reason for that was that it was relatively easy to make some changes without extensive busing, and we did not have any busing by the school system. I'm talking about bus rides on public transportation system. It was possible to make changes at the junior high school level on a relatively simple basis and to achieve integration at those levels.

We also had the fact that we had an integrated high school, so that the top of the school system we had an integrated enrollment. At the bottom and the middle levels, we didn't, and so instead of taking what might have seemed the more logical step and starting at the bottom and moving up, since we were already there at the top, we moved backward through the system. We felt that we should concentrate our positive resources on doing a

first-class job with what we did do. And that this would 1 help the community unite behind this effort and behind 2 the principles upon which this effort was based.

And if it worked in a way which made the community feel god, the community would then be ready to support a further spread of the integration into the rest of the system. And on the other hand, if what we did was not done well, if all kinds of little problems crept up which created annoyances and aggravations, if we wound up with a citizenry which was frustrated and angry and divided, the whole effort would be spoiled. So basically that was the reason.

What steps did the board take prior to desegrega-Q tion to promote the education in the community?

Let me first answer that in a general way, and then I'd like to be a little bit more specific.

Q Fine.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Generally, it was a problem of preparation of the community as a whole as well as the school community to recognize the existence of the problems, and to be concerned about seeking some kind of an answer. I think we should go back to the mid-1950's then to inform you in a more specific way of what happened in Berkeley and why Berkeley was ready to do what it did.

In the mid-1950's, because of a rather sharp

difference of viewpoint between teachers on the one hand and school administration and school board on the other hand, as to how much money should be committed to education and particularly how much money should be committed to teachers' salaries, which at that time were lower in Berkeley than any where else in this area.

The second of the second

Concerned parents and teachers organized together, first of all to pass an increase in the school tax rate over the opposition of the school board and thereafter, flush with the success of that effort, to change the school board.

The first step in that later category occurred in 1957, when Dr. Paul Spanno Sauro (phonetic) was elected to the school board, and encouraged by the fact that there was a sympathetic ear on the school board, the NAACP presented to the school board in 1958 a proposal that a citizen's advisory committee be appointed to study the problems of segregation in the Berkeley schools.

That citizen's committee made up of 14 members was chaired by Judge Redman C. Statts (phonetic), and it became known as the Statts Committee. It submitted a report in 1969, a copy of which I have here. I have re-read it and I've marveled at how elementary it seems and I'm also mindful of the fact that if this report were filed today, it would be labeled by many people as unsophisticated, if

not racist, because it uses terms like Colored citizens, Negros, the word Black is not mentioned.

But in 1958 and 1959, the study of the Statts

Committee and it's report had a tremendous impact in

Berkeley. It shocked many of the citizens to learn that
there actually was a whole set of discriminative practices,
discriminatory practices in the Berkeley School System.

The community as a whole learned for the first time, for
example, that there had been an official policy of the
board not to place what were then called Negro teachers in
schools which did not have a substantial Negro enrollment.

There was a deliberate policy, so the hill area schools had all white teachers. Berkeley High School, with almost one-third Black enrollment, had one black teacher, and he spent part of his time as a coach and part of his time in teaching mentally retarded students.

The Committee unanimously made a set of recommendations which were extremely modest in today's context, but were very divisive in the Berkeley of 1959. These recommendations were submitted to the board over a period of time. They were adopted and implemented. As they were implemented, teachers were drawn into the process and for the first time in many decades in Berkeley, teachers were actively involved in the policy making process.

They were placed on committees which made recommendations

to the board, citizens were also included, in-service training was set up for teachers so that they could all have the opportunity, if they chose to take it, to study the problems of segregation in our society, to become aware of the concerns of minority students and of their parents, to discuss ways of dealing with these problems to minimize them.

BERTHANDER THE THE PROPERTY OF

With the passage of time, there was a rather complete change in the membership of the board with the result. that by 1963, when another citizen's committee was appointed by the board, chaired by Reverend Hadsell and known as the Hadsell Committee. When that committee was appointed, the board was completely committed to trying to solve the problems of segregation in the Berkeley schools.

The community as a whole was vitally interested and concerned, and although it was not of one voice by any means, there was no doubt that almost everybody knew that there were problems in the Berkeley schools, that the board was trying to deal with, and with pros and cons expressed rather vigorously the board had the opportunity after the Hadsell Committee report to take the step of integrating the junior high schools.

There was rather vigorous opposition to it from a segment of the community which I'm sure you are aware of, but the preparation of the community went along the lines

that I have outlined, and essentially it was a matter of community getting involved and demonstrating to the board what the majority of the community wanted the board to do.

Q Now, the -- could you describe what steps the board took toward the desegregation as it pertained to staff of the department? And then anything that you can identify the board specifically took as it pertained to the community, once a decision was made to desegregate?

A As the initial specific step in the desegregation of the junior high schools, the board, in March of 1964, asked the staff to submit a report as to whether or not it was feasible as a practical matter to commence the desegregation in the fall, September of 1964.

The superintendent appointed a staff task force made up of administrators and teachers, who studied this problem at great depth and came up with a very specific set of proposals with the conclusion that it was feasible to do this beginning in September.

That report was acted upon by the board in May of 1964, and adopted, unanimously. Then the board instructed the superintendent that the prime goal of the system the between then and September, above all other objectives was to assign people to the specific tasks of preparing the school system and the community for the steps to be taken.

Teachers were involved in this, both in terms of

and the contract of the contra

being given specific responsibilities, but also in terms of training sessions, because we had many teachers who thought this was an unwise step. Some of them thought this with the best of motivations because as I'm sure you know, many people who sincerely believe in the goals that we're talking about, think that no step in that direction should be taken until everybody in the community is ready for it. We had some of those, and many of those have changed their minds as time went along.

So the effort to train them was worthwhile. We had others who, not unsurprisingly, were opposed to the whole idea in principle, who felt that races should be segregated, even in Berkeley there were and are some of those. And the problem with them, since they had tenure and could not be removed from the system, was again to try to make them see as much as they could the value of what we were trying to do. And in any event, if they couldn't see the value, at least the hope that they would recognize the inevitability of it and would, in terms of their committment to teaching, try to make the best of it.

So there were also those training programs going on. There was the matter of reassignment of teachers that was necessary. And we felt it was important that in the reassignment, a great deal of care be exercised in selecting the right people for the right positions. And so there

was a great deal of administrative energy between May and September. A lot of that energy was diverted by the fact that there was a recall campaign going on at the same time.

Q What of the community itself? I wonder if you could identify for the committee as best you can where the opposition came from the community to the desegregation plan?

A The opposition came from all strata in the community. There exists in what we call the University Community in Berkeley, a wide diversity of opinion about integration and the wisdom of integration. So where on the one hand when it came to raising the tax rate to get adequate money to provide education in Berkeley, we had the rather unanimous support of the, what might be called the intellectual community of Berkeley, when it came to something like integration, that support was split.

- And so we had both support and opposition from within the University Community.

From the Hill Area residents who represent highly successful business and professional people, who do their work during the day in San Francisco, Oakland and Richmond, as well as in Berkeley, similarly, there was a split.

A substantial percentage were strongly supportive, and many in that category felt that what we were doing was unwise.

Or if not unwise, in one sense, just wholly objectionable, you might say.

I would say that initially, the bulk of the business community was opposed to what we were doing. Their opposition took the form of attempts to delay. And we had to deal at the school board level with some attempted diversions, to delay for this reason, to delay for that reason, there was even a proposal that somebody was going to buy the Berkeley High School plant and build a huge shopping center there which was going to be of great value to the community of Berkeley and that money would be used to relocate the high school and we would have so much money that we could do all kinds of things in the school system, and would we please wait until that was done before we took

any steps. So on. Things of that kind.

Then of course if you get broader, on a broader basis into the community, you had what you would expect, people who articulated one way or the other, or without articulation just felt one way or the other. We were doing something good or bad.

Q Are there any groups that you could identify that were supportive as a group of the desegregation?

A Certainly the -- all of the groups that were organized for the purpose of achieving equality in the community and in the country were supportive, the NAACP, CORE, the Civil Liberties Union, groups of that type.

Then in a very loosely organized sense, there was a group in Berkeley, a large number of citizens who had been working for 10 years to improve what was going on in the schools, and although there was no formal organization, these were citizens who had worked, beginning in 1955 to pass the school tax increase that I mentioned over the opposition of the board, to elect school board members who were supportive of their views, during the period from 1959 to 1963, we had seven elections in Berkeley involving finances. Every six months we had an election which was either an effort to raise the school tax rate or an effort to pass a school bond issue to put up better buildings.

And because the school bond issue required a two-thirds

vote, and we kept getting something like 66%. 1 coming back until we finally got that up to 67%. But it 2 took five school bond elections and it took two tax 3 increase elections to get a tax increase. So with one 4 of those elections coming every six months, we actually 5 had -- thousands of concerned parents in this community who were organized around that effort on a continuing 7 basis, who belonged to no organization specifically, but 8 nevertheless were organized and they were extremely sup-9 portive. 10

In fact, they were insistent that we take this step. And they were extremely important in defeating the recall election that accompanied this desegregation effort. And that unorganized group, shall we say, was probably the most potent group in the community.

Q Were there any steps that the board, that you can recall specifically, took to overcome the opposition of desegregation, steps that you haven't described already?

A We were constantly trying, through discussion groups, informal meetings, workshop meetings, talks to any group that would listen, to inform the community of the problems that we saw.

Q Were these -- when you say talks to groups, this was done by all members of the board, I mean those that were committed to the desegregation?

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A Yes. School board members were anxious to talk to any group that would listen to them. A lot of church groups were inviting them. Either school staff personnel or school board members to come in and talk. All of these campaigns that I'm talking about involved meetings, house meetings all the time, and of course, you know, with an election every six months and a campaign of — a period of three months before each election, it meant that for that period of '59 to '63, Berkeley was involved in house meetings half of every year:

the state of the s

And when we had a house meeting to talk about raising revenue, the questions the school board members and the supporters were being asked at these meetings dealt with what was going on in the schools. Because the citizens were going to support financially something that was worthwhile, but not otherwise.

So there was a tremendous educational process going on in a way which is hard to describe in the customary organizational sense. But it was very real and very vital. I would estimate that I personally, and I was not—I was not in any unusual position, but I personally probably gave 50 talks every year to some group made up of anywhere from 15 to 100 people.

And other school board members were doing the same thing. So it was almost a constant round of meetings

1 going on.

Q You mentioned one group and church groups, were they, as a group, supportive or non-supportive of the desegregation plan?

A The church groups that were primarily of Black complexion, were entirely supportive. The church groups of the customary Causasian composition were rather disappointing. We had some supporters within them, but the standard view in almost all the Caucasian churches was that nothing must disrupt the peace and unity of the church. And anything that's divisive of this should be kept outside of the sanctuary. But almost all of the Caucasian ministers were very strongly supportive. Were active in an individual basis, were helping us out.

Q You mentioned prior a recall election. What impact did that have, if any, on the furtherance of desegregation in Berkeley?

A I think first of all I should say that the recall election eventuated to involve only two of the school board members. At the time we made this decision, we had one vacancy on the board. There were four members, between the time of that decision and the time of the recall election, one member, Reverend Roy Nicholls (phonetic), a Methodist minister, was transferred to New York. I went on the bench, and it left on the board Ms. Sibley, who is

sitting in the front row down here, and Dr. Sherman Maisel from the University of California, as the targets of the recall election. It was at the time a very traumatic experience for them particularly, and I think for all of us.

I was active in the campaign although I was no longer involved in it. All of the people who had been so supportive of these things we'd been doing pitched in with the greatest enthusiasm. As I look back on it, although I certainly wouldn't recommend that we go through it again, nevertheless, I feel that it had a very beneficial effect.

It did polarize the community rather emotionally. You cannot seek to recall a school board member without it involving a personal attack on the integrity and qualifications. And no matter how much you might say that the recall involves an issue rather than a personality, it's still people that you are recalling. And so that generates a lot of emotion and feelings.

People who had been close personal friends of mine were on the other side and I just felt I — that that friendship had been destroyed because I could not accept from them the idea that they would seek through a recall to reverse a decision of this kind without it being a personal reflection which impinged on our relationship.

A-25

And I don't want to speak for Ms. Sibley or Dr. Maisel, but I'm sure that they went through the same type of experience.

However, because it polarized feelings and crystalized the attitude of the community around one specific
issue and nothing else, it resulted in an overwhelming
expression by the community of support for what had been
done. The vote was something like 62% to 38%. And it
meant that all of the people who were saying that this was
a misguided decision, made by intelligent and well-meaning
people, had to accept the decision of the community.

Because a decision had been made on one particular point.
No longer could they say, to Ms. Sibley, for example, you
were elected because yours a very capable, dedicated person.
But you weren't given a charter to do this type of thing
to us.

They now had to admit that this is what the community wanted. And so for a period of time they retired and were licking their wounds in hibernation, shall we say, and during at least the first year or two of the integration effort following that election, the opposition was not too much in the surface.

It enabled the school system then to deal directly with the problems of implementing that decision without constantly having to deal with critics who were harping

10-

 that this was not the will of the community.

Q I think I have perhaps one last question, Judge.

If you had it to do over again, would you have approached the desegregation issue differently than it was approached?

A In basic terms, no. In terms of what needed to be done, and what it was wise to do, I would certainly say that what has happened has reinforced the decision.

Obviously, if you're thinking in terms of all kinds of details, nothing that's done is done so well that some of the details couldn't be done better if you were to reexamine that. So I don't mean to say that what was done was done with 100% perfection.

But if you're -- I'm sure your question is directed to the broad implications.

Q Yes.

A And to that I would say that, as I look back on it, I would say that it was a good thing that Berkeley did what it did, and that, while it would be a mistake to say that what Berkeley did had earth-shaking implications, for the rest of the country, the kind of thing which I think every community in the country is going to have to go through sometime. And hopefully some communities will learn from the Berkeley experience that it's not as traumatic as the critics proclaim it to be.

And if we were started all over again, if we were

- · to go back to May of 1964, when we made this decision, I certainly would be pushing just as vigorously as I did then for doing what we did.

THE CHAIR: Do any members of the committee have any questions? Frankie Jacobs?

Q (By Ms. Jacobs) Yes, I just want to clarify something you said. You mentioned that the board found that there was a policy regarding the placement of minority teachers in the schools.

Now, was this a policy that had been enacted by the board several years ago, or one that was just assumed by the staff administrators, or how -- what -- how did that come into being?

A It's one of these things, Ms. Jacobs, that's very elusive to identify. The Statts Committee uncovered the fact that there was a deliberate policy. It uncovered the fact through rather persistent questioning of the assistant superintendent, who was in charge of hiring and placement of teachers. If you looked at the complexion of the teachers in the different schools, you would have to say, well, this couldn't have happened accidentally. It must have been on purpose.

But nobody would admit that it was being done on purpose, except that under the rather persistent questioning of the Statts Committee of the assistant superintendent,

he finally said that he had been told years before that it was a policy of the board not to place Negro teachers in schools that did not have a substantial Negro enrollment.

My wife was a member of that committee and this meeting occurred before I was on the school board, but I went to pick her up and the meeting was going longer than necessary, and — longer than scheduled and Judge Statts invited me to sit inside rather than outside. And I happened to hear this questioning and this acknowledgment of it shortly before I became a board member.

And because I knew what was coming up in the Statts Committee report, I refrained from raising this at the board level until the Statts Committee report could present it and at that point, in a public meeting, the assistant superintendent was required to acknowledge publicly that these had been his instructions from a former superintendent who had told him this was a policy of the board.

And since nobody had told him to change it, he was still following it. So he got told that night to change it, of course. But I don't think there is any board action, there's nothing in any board minutes that shows this to be the policy.

MS. JACOBS: Thank you, Judge.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions from any members

of the committee? Yes, Helen?

2 3

(By Ms. Bernstein) On the recall election, do you know if there was a breakdown -- concerning the recall election, do you know if there was any kind of a breakdown

5

4

of the vote by precinct to show if there was a geographical

6

opposition?

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. We -- we made a very detailed examination of that afterward. The -- I forget the total number of precincts in the city at the time, and perhaps Ms. Sibley can remember the number. I think it was pretty close to 300.

There were a handful of precincts in the city where the recall got better than 50%, although just barely better. It was literally a handful. I don't think it was more than five, if that many.

In -- so that in almost every precinct in the city, school board was vindicated. In the so-called flatlands, which were predominantly low income people and predominantly Blacks, the votes were running anywhere from one precinct where it was 159 to 0, to votes like 156 to 2, 163 to 1, 168 to 3; in the precincts surrounding the University, where there was heavy student voting, there were overwhelming votes against the recall.

And I might say that at five o'clock on the morning of the recall election, when I checked in at the West

Berkeley headquarters where students were being sent out — not students, people were being sent out to place reminders on door knobs, we had over 100 University students reporting there at five o'clock in the morning to go out and work. And it kind of demonstrates the level of interest and support. And this was a special election.

It was in October of '64, a month before the general election. It was only this one issue involved, but it was a tremendous turn out and a vindication of the board all over the city.

THE CHAIR: Judge, on behalf of the committee and myself, I want to thank you for taking the time from you busy schedule to testify here before us this morning.

Thank you very much.

A Thank you, Mr. Sillas.

THE CHAIR: Our next scheduled witness is Carol Sibley.

CAROL SIBLEY

19 CA

A Good morning.

Q (By The Chair) Good morning. I wonder if you would state for the record your name, address and position, please?

A My name is Carol Sibley. I live at 1777 Leroy Avenue in Berkeley.

1 THE CHAIR: Frankie?

- Q (By Ms. Jacobs) Yes, Ms. Sibley, the Judge has indicated that you were --
 - A I'm sorry, I don't hear you well.
- Q Oh, all right. The Judge has indicated that you were very active with the school board for a number of years and that you were one of the targets of the recall and probably had a great deal that you would like to share with us as a result of that.

Would you like to start giving us some information?

I was on the board for 10 years, all during the period of the aggitation for, and very hard work on the part of a tremendous number of people to accomplish the end of total desegregation in the schools.

I'd like to say a few things and then I'd be very happy to answer questions. Because I feel this is one of the most important subjects that America is facing and I feel that some of the things that have happened in the last several years, and I'm not saying this about anybody, it's just what's happened in the last several years, have tended to make us feel perhaps integration isn't working.

I don't feel that way. I think we have not made all the advances we had hoped for. I think our ideas were perhaps too hopeful that things could be happening more

quickly than they have happened. I think that the tensions in the world at large, see, problems in the job market, the fear of losing a job, the setting of people against people, has influenced and hurt the morale that was so high during the time that we were working together to try to solve this problem in this community.

We set our goals. We decided as a board, unanimously, that we would both have worked for a complete integration in our school district.

Now, this, of course, followed the partial integration that went on in 1964 for which we were — they attempted to recall us. And we coupled that always with the idea that we wanted quality education for all children of the district, of whatever race, of whatever part of town, so forth. We planned very carefully, and I brought along this little book which was published by the then superintendent of schools, Neil Sullivan, who was our great leader at that time. And in it is the whole story of how we went about this.

We passed a tax increase that would allow us to have a librarian in every school, to have teachers have preparatory time where we could have all kinds of groups getting together to understand the problem, to understand how to work with people of different backgrounds. We built up our gifted programs. We had special reading and math

labs, we had tremendous parent involvement. We even started what was later taken away from us because it was considered a non-integrating affair, Black house and Casar de la Raza and other alternate styles of education at the request of the Black people and the Chicano people who thought that these few things would help to make their people be able to have better self regard, be able to work better in society as it is. And to build the image that would let them go on to be better citizens and cope with the problems that they — they all encountered.

I was asked the other day what hindered our forward movement. And this is a very difficult question to answer. But I have been thinking about it constantly since it was asked of me. And I think that there were several things that happened. And I don't know how we could have stopped them, but I think we should have.

One was there was a new self segregation that was taking place amongst our people in the community, but particularly amongst our teaching staff where we were constantly bringing in more people under a very strong affirmative action policy, where at several of our schools they were always meeting separate meetings of the black teachers where they felt they were building themselves a stand and an image and so forth.

But as I look back on it, I think this was not too

good. I think there was such an ardent desire to improve the image that many questionable methods were used. There was a new militancy. The people that had worked with us for integration of the schools were no longer the loud voices in the Black community. They were new people attracted to Berkeley because of our desegregated school, who sort of came in and in a sense, took over in some ways.

One of the things that to me was most unfortunate if you're trying to really build integration and understanding between the races, amongst our children, is to take out on young White children who had nothing to do with the circumstances, the hundred year frustrations of the Black race which is understandable if it's taken out on adults, but not on children.

And there came a time when essays in the schools,

I went down and looked at a bulletin board where almost
every essay on the bulletin board had something about
hate Whitey. And I went to see the principal of that
school. He'd never looked at the bulletin board and I said
this isn't how you educate children to understand each other,
to accept each other, to understand each other's strengths,
weaknesses, cultural differences and we've got to love them.
We cannot educate by asking people to hate.

And I think that probably is the strongest statement I'd like to make. You don't teach by name calling, by

stirring up; you teach by love and caring and understanding and trying in every way possible. And I think this district really did try in every way possible to work toward the goal of quality education for every single child.

We established resource centers, help — that was where the children could become creative and touch down on many things they were interested in, with professional help. We had help centers for children who need special alignments and special help because they were having difficulties. We had a great increase in minority staff. Many of them wonderful people, and we have them therefore as role models in the classrooms which was a very good thing also.

I think nationally, of course, is outside of our little community here in Berkeley, we're part of the thing that started to work against the morale of the system. We did experiment as I said with separateness at the request of the Blacks and Chicanos. We had an excellent Black study program at the memand of the Black Students' Union since 1968, where we've taught Black history, Black art, Black politics, Black music, Black studies also in a sense in the Chicano also and Asian community. We've even served soul food in the cafeteria, but by now in my opinion, instead of still preceing for more Black studies, we should be building in history, literature, art, music program on

the accomplishments of all Americans, whether it be music, art, history, and be sure that everybody is given a fair understanding of what the characteristics of all races and all kinds of people have been to making this country. And we should, if we have to have two years of American history, two years of literature, I think we ought to have it because we've got to somehow build back together instead of pulling apart the things that we care about in Berkeley.

And I think most people feel in the whole world.

So that we have taken some steps-that I wish we could have corrected. I can remember saying the things I said to you at P. T. A. meetings and at meetings called by the — a group that was working on integration in the schools from the Black point of view. I talked to the principals and I went to the schools. And I still feel that one of the things that has worked against us in the last year or two is that many White parents who were dedicated workers for integration felt that their children, now, were being discriminated against in an ugly way. And they protested it. And we didn't do anything about it because we were afraid that we might possibly be called by that nasty word, racist.

Q The parents felt that their children were being discriminated against by whom?

A A lot of White parents were very disturbed because

they felt that the millenium was coming when they could have their children together and all the children were working together, being educated in the same classroom and so forth. And suddenly this hate move, in some schools, and in a limited way, but in enough of a way to make me feel that we as a board and as a staff should have clamped down right there and said we don't teach this way in our schools.

Now, I'll be glad to answer questions, but this is something I wanted to say for a long-time because I think this is it's the only way we're going to be honest about what's wrong now that we aren't doing as well as we ought to be doing.

Q Well, I certainly appreciate your saying this.

Let me ask you, now you survived the recall. How did the new members to the board then get a place?

A How did they what?

Q How did the new members get placed on the board, what was the selection process?

A We chose them.

Q You say we?

A The board, what happens before, if you don't have another election, is that the current members of the board of education may appoint to fill vacancies. So when Judge Avakian and Roy Nicholls and Quail Petersmire (phonetic)

left the board of education, each time we replaced that person and we interviewed many people for it, many good people, but one question we asked them all was, will you work with us for integration? And if they said no, they didn't get the appointment.

There are maybe 10 people that said yes, and then we tried to choose who would be the very best board member of those people. And therefore we did have, from the period of 1964 until I went off the board in 1971, a very unanimous board, working together. I don't mean we always agreed about everything, but we did agree that the two things that we would work for, and I think many of us put in a 60 hour week and sometimes an 80 hour week doing it when I spoke about 50 speeches, I thought I think I made 50 a month for a while there trying to persuade the populace of this. But we deliberately stacked the board, if you want to call it that, because we felt that the overriding issue here and in the U. S. was that we have integration in our schools, and quality education. And those were the two things that I think we worked for relentlessly.

Q In your process of stacking the board, because you certainly had a unique opportunity to do that, did you also racially integrate the board?

A Yes, well, we were already racially integrated to a certain extent, but not completely. When Roy Nicholls came on the board, he and I came on together. This was
the first Black man elected to public office in the
City of Berkeley. He had run for City Council several
years before and another Black man, Charles Wilson had run
and they'd both been defeated. But this time Roy was
elected by the largest number of votes cast in the City
of Berkeley for a board of education member at that time.

And when he went off the board, we immediately appointed as his successor, John Miller, who is now an assemblyman in Sacramento, to their joy and our regret because we would have liked to have kept him.

- Q What kind of plans did the board consider in desegregating the K through six schools?
 - A What time did it?
- Q What kind of plans? Now, I understand that from kindergarten through sixth grade, schools were desegregated and what alternative plans did the board consider and why did you choose?

A Well, in this little book Integration which was widely distributed throughout the city, we had a task force that worked on possible plans for some time. And they finally boiled their plans down to two.

One of them was the one that we eventually adopted dividing the city into corridors, where the children of that corridor would go to Grades 1 through 3 in the hills

and Grades 4, 5 and 6, what had formerly been mostly Black schools and so-called flatlands.

The other plan that came into our can right along with this was a plan to keep some of the schools, for instance, Washington and Whittier, are in the center of town and were already racially integrated and both of them happened to be University demonstration schools. So there was quite a move on the part of their faculty to say let's leave good schools alone and let's move the other people around. But what we found out was that we felt this way would not be fair, although it would have been easier in some ways. Because then certain children would have had to be bussed all their school career and certain children could walk to school all of their school career, K through 6.

So we presented these two things — to the committee and Dr. Sullivan and his staff presented these two plans to us, with the recommendation that we adopt the K,-3, 4,-6 program and all kinds of educational ideas that would go along with it. Hopefully to make it a successful procedure.

And that is all in this book which I hope you all ' will have copies of because it's very — I re-read it last. night at about midnight and decided that it's a very good demonstration of what we did.

Q Where could we get copies of that?

A I don't know how many of them there still are, but certainly at 1414 Walnut Street, they must have whatever there are.

THE CHAIR: I'm assuming that the staff will have that as part of the evidence.

MS. JAMES: Yes, we have collected a copy of that for the total record.

THE CHAIR: All right.

Q (By Ms. Jacobs) Let me ask you something else, Ms. Sibley. I gather from what you said that the reason for adopting the final plan was to make it fair in terms of all students having an opportunity to be bussed to school and all students having an opportunity to walk to school.

A The main — there were many reasons we did — adopted this plan. Primarily because if we were going to divide along a K.-3, 4,-6 level, which seemed to be the wisest thing that we could find at the time, and if we have these corridors going back and forth, we felt that it was only fair that all children be treated the same way.

Now, one of the saddest meetings I ever went to was a group of some Black parents and by the way, parents were involved every inch of the way in this whole affair. Both ways. They were involved as backers and as antagonists, I might say. But I went down to a group of mothers at

Columbus School who were protesting the fact that their little children K through 3 were going to be bussed into the hills, where as the older children from the hills were being bussed just 4 through 6. So we had a really let-your-hair-down discussion about this, and we — we knew that we were all not immortal by any means and that any board could be elected that would not be dedicated to integration. So we said to these mothers, I said to these mothers, I think it's a matter of one choice we're going to have to make. How important to you is the integration?

4.

Nobody wants their children, their little children bussed. But the 4-6 schools are larger and they are more commodious for outdoor activities. There are all kinds of things about them that make them better for the older child whereas the smaller hills schools are better for the younger child.

We wish we didn't have this choice to make, but in order to make integration succeed and not be run out of office the next few minutes, we also had to cater to some of the so-called mothers of K-3'ers in the hills. And so we said we feel we can make it work. We feel we can make your children have a good experience, and we hope you'll go along with us in the interests of letting us work on this thing without another board recall in a very short

period of time, which I'm sure would have happened had we done it the other way around. Without the understanding that the 4-6 schools, while far from perfect, were far better for the older children than they would have been for the younger children.

man with the same

And really and truly busing has not been much of an issue in Berkeley since we had it. We had very few complaints. Complaints are about what happens in the class-room.

Q Do you want to give us some information as to what does happen in the classrooms?

A Well, I'm sorry, but I ve been off the board now for four years, and --

Q Okay, well, let me ask you something else then:
It was indicated earlier that when it was discovered that
there was an unofficial board policy relative to placing and
hiring of minority teachers, the board immediately said
that should not occur.

A That's right.

Q Now, what, if any, other specific steps were taken to assure minority hiring in the Berkeley Schools?

A We had a very definite affirmative action policy that we adopted where we — by the way, this little book I wrote myself, so it's the whole history of what I'm telling you today.

THE CHAIR: I wonder for the record if we could have the name of the book?

A Never a Dull Moment.

MS. JAMES: We do have that.

A Let me see. Your question was about -- oh, our affirmative action policy. We immediately said we are no longer going to tolerate that kind of thing. We no longer -- we had a different person come in in charge of place-ment of teachers at that time. Byron Johnson was made the personnel director of the school district and we instructed him to go out and search for minority teachers all across the country and he and Cole Gilliam, who is now the director of classified staff, went on tours throughout the U. S. to try to find qualified teachers and workers in the clerical area who could be brought to Berkeley and interviewed for jobs because we felt we had to be aggressive about this.

We did this, we also passed an affirmative action policy which said we would work as fast as possible to bring the number of teachers more in line with the number of students in the school district, and that is all in here.

Q How critical to effective desegregation do you think was the role of the school superintendent?

A How what?

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

How critical to effective segregation would you say the role of the superintendent was?

Oh, I think it was extremely critical. one of the greatest things about Neil Sullivan was that he was willing to come to a district struggling for integration when he knew that the two board members who had hired him were up for recall. I don't know how anybody had that kind of courage, but we toured the country pretty thoroughly in trying to find a superintendent because although under Superintendent Rennerberga start had been made and the idea of the desegregation at the junior high school level was really done under Dr. Rennerberg. He left the district that fall, and we had to hire somebody who would carry on and who would help us formulate our plans for the total desegregation, if that seemed the way to go.

And we were pretty sure it did. So we hunted for a man who could do this and we found Neil Sullivan. Heil was a genius at involving the community at an infinite number of ways that really helped the mind to know all' the things that went on in order to try to make people understand that integration would work, that we were going to try to do it and that everybody would be the gainer by it. Not just Plack children by any means, but White children and we never suffered from the delusion that just

sitting next to a White child in a classroom was going to make the Black child a better student. That's nonsense.

What we were determined was that they would have a equal opportunities —— one of the criticisms of the West Berkeley teachers when they had the board on the pan one time was, we don't have the same supplies, we don't have the same parent involvement, we don't have the best teachers. You've been sending us the newer teachers, the ones that aren't as well-trained; and so what we were trying to do was say we will see that you have equality of teaching staff, of supplies, of encouragment and everything we could possibly do to make your educational experience good for whoever you are.

Q A final question, Ms. Sibley. Because you've been very enlightening and helpful to us. What in your experience would you consider to be the most, the single most important factor in accomplishing desegregation?

- A The single most important facet or factor?
- Q Factor? In accomplishing desegregation.

A I think it was the total community involvement under the leadership of both the board and Dr. Sullivan, because I don't think there was, as Sparky says, there wasn't a piece of ground left unturned that we didn't try to cover in letting people know how important we felt this issue was.

After we made the decision, and I'd like to speak

about that a little bit.

5

In May of 1967, and by the way, the meeting at which we decided to go ahead with the integration at the junior high school level, which was in 1964, that was the second meeting of over 2,000 and up to 2,500 people with maybe going on until midnight and everyone that addressed The Chair being allowed to speak on whichever side. This kind of involvement went on right up until we accomplished it. And one of the other things that happened was that we were encountered by a large number of the Black staff and Black parents saying you've got to do it by September of 1967.

We had talked to the superintendent and the assistant superintendent at a board meeting just prior to this, demandibeing made at a meeting in March of '67, and they had said it's impossible to do a good job by then.

So we were confronted by a large and influential membership of the Black community that said, do it by '67 or we don't trust you. So what we did was to pass two resolutions. One that this was absolutely our goal, and we established a timetable by which we would accomplish it, setting September of 1968 as when every school in Berkeley would be desegregate. Or would be integrated, whatever you want to call it.

We also said that we would spend the intervening

time preparing the community to accept this movement.

We had, as Sparky said, endless house meetings, endless meetings in churches and I would like to reinforce what he said, almost every minister in town backed up, there was a lots of friction within the church systems themselves about this. My own church, some people didn't speak to me for about eight months. They now have taken me back to their bosom.

The other things that we did, Neil formed a committee called his own committee of kids in the — in the 4,5,6 area, really it was the 5±6 area then, and they were — wore great big buttons that were white with red letters on that said together. And they worked with him and told him all the things they thought needed to be done, and all the things they thought were bad, and said now, let's work — he said, now, let's work this out together.

They had — we had, I can't tell you how many exchanges of parents, we had buses riding with parents finding out that the bus ride really wasn't so long, and it wasn't really so difficult. We also had an extra bus. We asked the community to react to this plan and quite a few of the things they said we incorporated in the plan before we finally adopted it. Such as a bus that was always on tap for emergencies if a child got sick, because one of the things that parents worry about was what would happen if

they were way up there in the hills and sick and mothers down here without transportation. We also had a bus that picked kids up at 4:30 because they could then participate in the playground activities and not have to go home the minute school was over if they wanted to stay.

I never saw such a thorough, I guess you'd say indoctrination that went on, with the -- which isn't always a bad word in my opinion -- of trying to say to the children at the, we called them the Receiver Schools, about how they would treat the young people who came in as welcoming guests and equals in every way.

I think some of the schools spent almost too much time on this, but they, each school did it in their own way. How are we going to make it appear to these youngsters that we really, truly mean that we're glad they're here?

And Neil was really the — Neil and Cathy Favors, who was the director of human relations in the school district, were very, very adament in the fact that we leave no stone unturned to try to see that this would be a successful experiment. We knew it was an experiment and I still think we looked for too much, too soon, and that we've got to review where we are in this district and again be very strict with ourselves and where we go.

I think that this is -- this is not a failure. It's

A step where we stop and assess where we are, and then hopefully move ahead to make it work.

THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions from any other members of the committee? Let me go to my left here first, Bill?

Q (By Mr. Rogers) Ms. Sibley, I think part of the —
in part of your testimony I think you indicated that there
were various self segregating ethnic groups that were
accusing some of the White children of 200 years of Black
oppression, and you had some feelings about this And
while listening to your testimony, I sort of got the idea
that the school board didn't do anything, sort of adopted
a policy of benign neglect. What do you think they should
have done or how could you think they should have handled
that problem?

A I wish I knew. This is where I think we somehow made a mistake and I — I personally felt that it was important to talk to the principals of those schools and say, let's be sure everybody's getting a fair shake. Let's be sure we're not teaching hatred in the schools. Let's be sure we're teaching opportunity and equality in the schools.

And I said this at board meetings, I said it at teacher meetings, I said it at principals meetings, and I think again people were afraid to clamp down on this sort

thinking for fear of being called racist. This was the worst epithet that anybody could be called was a racist. I think we should have been willing to be called racist, if it wasn't racism. I think however this is what held people back, and I think that — I think we are cowards about a lot of things that go on in our schools, have nothing to do with Black, White, Yellow, what have you.

And I think it's high time we learned that we have to stick up for what we believe in.

MR. ROGERS: Okay.

The second secon

THE CHAIR: Any other questions? Nadine Hata?

Q (By Ms. Hata) My question followed along the line
of Mr. Rogers question. What has happened then to this
hate movement that you have been describing? Has it disappeared? Is it still there? Has the board done anything
about it? Is it still there?

A I'm sorry.

Q This hate movement that you have been talking about, what has happened to it?

A I don't think there's as much of that as there was. I don't really know, you know. My one idea when I got off the board of education was that I would not try to run the school district. I was president of the board during the two most critical periods and it's a very great temptation to try to keep on telling them what to do.

And I didn't want to be too close to it from then on.

So I've been working in the community about this, hoping that we could continue to build a diverse community that respected each other. But I haven't much to do with the schools in the last four years. I can't answer that.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ms. Sibley, for coming this morning, and sharing your comments and opinions. We greatly appreciate it.

At this time we will take a 10-minute break.

(Short recess.)

THE CHAIR: Okay, we will now reconvene the meeting and for the record, present with us also is Cora SantaAna Tellez.

Our next witness is Gene Roh, president of the board of education, Mr. Roh?

GENE ROH

- Q (By The Chair) And I wonder if you would for the record, please state your name, address and occupation?
 - A My occupation other than the school board, you mean?
 - Q No, that's fine.
- A My name is Gene Roh. I reside at 1411 Aida Street in Berkeley, and presently am president of the Berkeley School Board.
 - Q Mr. Rogers?

(By Mr. Rogers) Mr. Roh, how long have you been a 1 board member? 2 Approximately three years. 3 Could you briefly tell us what is the relationship, Q 4 the legal relationship to the board and the city and county. 5 governments? 6 The legal relationship between the board and the 7 city and county governments? 8 0 Yes. 9 You might be asking me questions I have no exper-Α 10 tise in. Basically the school board in Berkeley is the 11 other elected body in the city. And the basis for the 12 existence of the school board is grounded not only in the 13 state education code, but in the city charter. We have no 14 formal relationship as such with the city council in 15 Berkeley. 16 Now, with the county government, the county board 17 of education, the relationship as dictated by the 18 California Education Code relative to monitoring finances 19 and providing service and providing service and consulta-20 tive kinds of information relative to program is about how 21 we operate. But the county government has a direct respon-22 sibility to see to the fiscal solvency of the local public 23

Q How many members are on the board?

school districts.

24

25

A Berkeley board?

Q Yes.

A Five.

Q In your opinion, have state or federal laws, guidelines or regulations helped or hindered effective school desegregation in Berkeley? If so, which laws, and in what ways?

A I think I should have done a little more preparation before I came here.

In my opinion, the state and/or federal government, relative to their regulations and existing laws, haven't given much thought to what it takes and what is needed for any public school district to desegregate and try and carry on a viable public institution. By that I mean that the concept was there and everyone supported it, but I doubt very much that in a comprehensive view of whatever state or federal legislation was in existence or should have been established, that any real thought was given to that.

Along with desegregation has to come an affirmative stance in building up your district. In affirmative programs to sort of — to carry on with the goals and concepts of desegregation. In that respect I, from my direct experience, and I'd have to admit that I don't know a lot of the specifics, but I — from what I've had to deal with

on a daily basis, I don't feel that there's really anything in existence that helps the process any. May not hinder it totally, but it really isn't there for a public, for a local district to be able to lock into something and say now-that we've done this, we need to take this next step. What is there to allow us to do that?

Q Okay, along that same line then, could you sort of briefly outline what you consider to be effective components of a desegregation system?

A I really don't know if I can. But let me make an attempt.

As I alluded to previously, desegregating a school district, providing the atmosphere is there, the attitude and the willingness of the people, can be a very easy process, can be almost a mechanical process.

Now, I'm sure with the information that everyone has gotten from the previous two speakers, you know that it wasn't just strictly mechanical. But given — taking away the emotion behind the process, just to accomplish the feat itself could be almost a mechanical process.

Now, having to desegregate a system and then making it work, the whole concept of affirmative, action in program and staff financing and changing attitudes have to be well-grounded in the system. And for most districts, the real thrust at doing that wasn't there until somebody

decided we were going to do something. The supportive kinds of things that are needed. For one, you have to affirmatively build up your staff. You have to have minority representation from the lowest level in the district, or I shouldn't say the lowest level in the district, but from — from one end of the district to the top, relative to classroom teachers, counselors, supports service people and administrators, and so forth, through the superintendent, through members of the board.

In order to do that, with the existing tenure laws for teachers, with cumbersome processes that don't allow for easy evaluation of staff, that obviously don't allow for the kinds of evaluation of staff to fit into a new system as opposed to what was traditional, then you physically desegregate your school, but you have no real tools to then start affirmatively building up your district to allow for the mix of staffing and whatnot.

I feel, however, I should say in Berkeley, that I'm proud to be in a community that has struggled with that. And I feel that we have, by our own resources, been able to accomplish a good deal of activity, affirmatively, in programs that probably no other district would have considered even attempting, of establishing throughout the hierarchy of the district modeling by allowing minority administrators and individuals to attain certain levels—

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certain positions that have decision-making and programatic impact. I feel like I'm rambling. I wondered if you probably want to pin me down to be a little more specific?

Q No. Only to the extent I'd like to ask you, as you presently see it and view it, what is the position of the board now regarding segregation? How do they feel about it?

A Oh, I think there's no question in terms of the position of the board, that they're very supportive of desegregation and of doing anything we can to maintain the positive attitudes of past boards as well as our present board, and hopefully influencing future boards to maintain that positive viewpoint.

Q So, in other words, in the foreseeable future you don't see the board adopting any kind of change in the attitude toward desegregation?

A No, I didn't ouite say that. There's a fine line there. I don't think that there's anyone presently on our board that would not support the desegregation. But one of the things that we're faced with is the reality of running a school district at this point in time, finances, school financing, presently is a pressing issue not only for us but for everybody.

Now, in our particular situation now, our board is faced with some very, very difficult decisions in the next

few months, primarily related to how we're going to maintain the staff in our district and maintain various programs that have been established in years past. And probably some programs that our staff would like to implement new for the coming school years. But we are faced with the reality of the dollar amount that we have.

and the second s

Based on the perameters that are imposed upon us by the State Education Code, relative to how one can, if need be, lay off staff, our board is faced with having to potentially lay off the last hired in our district.

Now, as it stands presently, we have issued 192 letters of intent to lay off staff. Among those 192, approximately 70% of those individuals receiving letters are minority members of our staff.

If we can't come up with any other alternative but to follow the law, balance our budget, which the county will monitor, and see no other way to bring in income, it appears that with the existing cases that have been tested in terms of affirmative layoffs or anything else that we really have no choice but to lay off the last hired.

If that happens, we've disrupted the staffing model in our district, we've substantially reduced the minority staff in our district and although it varies between minority groups, a substantial number of our Black staff will be laid off, a very high percentage of the Spanish-speaking staff, and

almost, well, a very high percentage of our Asian staff.

Now, that leads us to another problem in that among those individuals, and I don't say that it's exclusively among all of those individuals, but among that group of individuals that we have hired recently, within the last four, three, six years, those staff members have come into our district with a different kind of attitude, with sort of a different sense of what a school district ought to provide and ought to do. And with a willingness to take on new kinds of programs, to try new ways of teaching, and to, in some instances we probably call them mavericks, try to operate programs that are totally untraditional to a public school district.

Unfortunately, I think, it's too early to tell whether or not their influence has expanded to the other members of our staff which would have planted an attitude that they were willing to pick up those kinds of programs and continue them on. At this point I think we have somewhat of a difference of opinion whether or not the new programs we've tried in an affirmative sense are worth continuing, whether or not they really have an impact on the academic achievement of kids, whether or not they really have accomplished the social aspect of integration, and so nobody is really committing themselves as to whether or not the district staff as a whole are willing to maintain those

programs.

would be faced with possibly laying off, at the extreme
192 people, those people will then be pulled out of the
particular programs that they were assigned to. We would
not have the same kinds of individuals with the background,
training or willingness to continue those programs, consequently those programs would be jeopardized, and in my feeling, probably eliminated. And I'm talking about things
like ethnic studies, experimental schools programs and
the kinds of small schools that they've developed with
different populations of kids, kids that voluntarily
pursued a particular program because it was more relevant
to them than what we could provide in the traditional
sense.

It breaks up our role modeling aspect in the district, which I think is very important in terms of our counseling staff and our teaching staff. The snowball effect of that, I think, really sets us back, I can't imagine how many years. But it sets us way back. And I don't know that I can say that our board presently would stand against laying off staff to maintain the affirmative programs that we've had and to maintain the staff that we've had.

In order to do that, we would have to consciously

know that we're trading off or trying to balance with the majority of the remainder of the staff the fact that they may not see a salary increase this year. That in fact they may be cutting back on some of the benefits that they have just in their working situations. These would be individuals that have committed 15, 20 years to teaching, that have done a good job, and it's difficult to know whether or not it's credible or right to say that you can't expect, when everyone else in the country is expecting in terms of just their living situation and making a wage and expecting a cost of living, and so forth.

And the state of t

so it's going to be a difficult decision, unfortunately I believe that the sense of the present board is that we're stuck with the reality of the dollars, and that the only way that we can bring ourselves into some reasonable fiscally solvent situation is to lay off staff. My personal position on that is I'm opposed to that and I will do what I can to maintain staff. But that becomes a local political issue, and it stays at the local political level because the state and the federal government do not have resources that we could lock into to expect that if we made some kinds of committments or whatever the anyone needed to know from us, that we would work through our problems with the aid of the state and federal government, consequently, it's reduced to a local level. It will

probably end up being a political issue. And I'm fearful that we are faced with really disrupting our whole stance practically.

I do want to repeat, though, philosophically, I don't believe that any one of our board members or anybody in our community here is opposed to desegregation.

Q Well, for the board's information and specifically for mine, what actually precipitated the financial crisis which you're undergoing at the present time?

A Well, it's not an easy question to answer. And there are a variety of factors that affect that. And there's an equal number of opinions as to what brought us to the state we're in.

I think that if anyone were to objectively look at the past five, seven years, in Berkeley, they could pinpoint a number of specific kinds of things that accumulated to the point that we are right now really pressed with the financial picture that we have. But there are some things that I think the present board probably, as well as previous boards will have to take responsibility for. And they have to do with just housekeeping kinds of things on one level.

That is that we haven't really paid as much attention as we should have to building a business-service kind of operation in our district that would give us clear

information relative to the finances of our district, how they're allocated, what potential jeopardy we have in terms of overrunning budgets, and so forth, and so forth, and so forth, and so forth. By virtue of that, we have not been able to keep specific budget and budget administrators accountable for the amounts of money that they have had to expend. The whole series of communication relative to the business aspect of our district has been in bad shape. That's on one level.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the other level, there have been offered some of the money through the government for -- for programs that if we made application for, we could receive, with substantial amounts of money attached to them. Berkeley has had the ability, and I think has really had the attitude that made it prime for State Department of Education or the federal government to want to test certain kinds of projects in Berkeley. Consequently, we made application and we received an awful lot of federal funds. The one that becomes an issue more times than not is our experimental schools program. Now, that was just a few years after we desegregated. It was a five-year program, it allowed Berkeley to have \$5,000,000. It sort of put off the time that we really have to face our financial problems.

Along with the money came the whole opportunity

2

to do something different in Berkeley, and one, to look at the effects of institutional racism and see if we couldn't really set up_some kinds of programs in the district that would give us an opportunity to see if things can be done differently.

Well, there was a feeling at the time that that kind of a project had to be done freshly with new people, new blood. We had, as a result of that kind of program, hired an awful lot of new staff people into our district. We also had some of our own staff assume positions in the federal program and their salaries were paid by the grant, but more than not we had new people that we hired to administer and operate these programs.

At the time that we did the substantial amount of hiring, the laws in California did not allow for us to hire individuals for the duration of the project or for a period of time on a contract basis that would have allowed us to say if it doesn't go, if we don't get more money, if our financial picture doesn't look good, we can't guarantee your employment beyond a certain period of time.

What happened was when we hired those individuals, we expanded our staffing model and the number of people that we have in the district, expanded our payroll and over a period of time we had to absorb those people. We had an obligation to them in our general payroll without

substantially changing the income that we were receiving from our tax base, and without a substantial increase in our student population which would off-set being able to maintain staff.

It's only been recently, in the last year and a half, I believe, that we now can hire for duration of the project. But basically what I'm saying is that with the new monies that came in, some of the monies to the district, there was no provision for us to equitably treat these individuals and hold some kind of perspective that would also allow the staff in our district to know that their working conditions might not be deleted at some future time.

And with the drying up of, say, those funds, with the expectation in a lot of those programs that the district that takes that money will try to maintain those programs and those staff, knowing beyond it came no supportive kinds of legislative insurance that would make allowances for a district to do that, when in fact the state and federal government — well the state government in this respect knows quite well how a district receives their money, and what they can or cannot do with the amount of money they receive.

Presently we have 85, 87% of our total operating budget tied up in people. And I think that speaks for

itself. If you have a feeling that you have more people than you can afford, and less than 15% to operate the rest of the district, you're going to have individuals just at each others, throats, and that's kind of where we are right now.

9,

Q What problems has the Berkeley system encountered as a result of desegregation that you feel would be useful to share with other districts facing desegregation processes? Teacher training, attitudes, curriculum, methodology changes, general race relations?

A I think one has to put that in kind of a perspective that comes in stages. When Berkeley desegregated, and finally accomplished that feat, which wasn't easy by any means, but once it was done, the general attitudes of the staff and the community were somewhat, I think, positive. It was a good thing that should have happened, and it was sort of band-rolling kind of effect where people kind of finally did get tuned into that and everything that was done as a result was seen in the light that this was good for the district as a whole and good for all kids.

And so we went for a number of years with that kind of attitude, that kind of philosophy, and sort of taking for granted that there wouldn't be problems. What I see from my own perspective is that we talked about race

relations and this being — this going to come as a result of what we've done, and people just assumed that it would happen.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't believe that there was any real efforts at looking at what we need to do with staff training or long range planning to anticipate any kinds of problems or any-Basically what we lost by virtue of not antithing else. cipating it, I think is accountability to ourselves for keeping at the goal that we were achieving, or wanting to achieve. And what was started in a sense of positive race relations ended up, I think, in a sense where now we have staff people in our district that are frightened of kids, are frightened of minority kids, are frightened of minority parents, are frightened to be critical, and because of that, are probably doing more of a disservice because they're not holding kids accountable for doing the kinds of work that we would expect them to do to achieve an education.

Some of our programs were developed again with a positive attitude, but without any sense that when you have a program that doesn't mean you don't hold people accountable and you don't demand or expect a certain level of work. That you just don't throw everything out the window for fear that your criticism or your — your constructive criticism could be seen as being racism.

One of the things that also occurred is the fact that I don't believe anyone anticipated, especially in a sophisticated community of Berkeley, that when you desegregate as a concept and you try to integrate your district as a whole, you're going to then become very aware of the specific needs of particularly minority kids in both their academic and social needs.

For instance, there isn't well-accepted feelings that Asian children as such are lacking in any academic kinds of achievements or skills or will not be able to get the kinds of education that one would expect is provided in public institutions. But that kind of education is only half an education. The social aspect still has to be there. And the opportunity for the Asian children to receive social basic skills is as important as basic skills in reading and mathematics.

Black students who found the system irrelevant as it was and still found it difficult to relate to being just dispersed throughout the district and thinking that that was going to get them an education didn't cut it either. That might have tuned them into a little more about what was going on, what they weren't getting and they became very socially aware. Their social awareness then, in some respects, I believe, led them to the understanding that they needed still a different kind of option in the district.

The Spanish-speaking came to that realization also, in trying to bridge the gap from basic skills and social education, and as a result, just as a case in point in our district with our ESP Program we had a big issue over whether or not two of our programs, Black House and Casa de la Raza were significant, met the guidelines, and were credible kinds of programs in a desegregated system. Because in effect they looked like segregated schools. And practically, I guess they were segregated schools, but that anyone was opposed to desegregation. But what it did set up was an atmosphere for those individuals that couldn't understand certain needs of minority groups to then hang their hat on the fact that once you desegregate that means under no condition do you allow individual groups of students of minority race or anyone else to congregate in special programs of their own.

The same as something the same and

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There just wasn't a lot of long-range planning to the kinds of things that one would expect to happen with desegregating your school district to not allow you to react reactionarily when positions that may appear to be opposed to that concept sort of show their heads. And by virtue of that, we almost had a situation where we desegregated and around certain programs we became very, very polarized. And race relations became very tenuous for a period of time.

I think that any district that's going to desegregate — and they all should — and those powers to be that have monitoring ability over that kind of process really has to force the district to establish some long-range planning, to never lose sight of the accountability aspect of teaching as such, and create an atmosphere where it isn't absolutely in one way or another. Where there can be coexisting kinds of programs that on the surface may appear to be one way, as opposed to the philosophy, but in effect, if closely examined, meet the needs of minority students in any public school district that will probably better prepare them to, in future years, or for their off-spring to be able to function in a totally desegregated school where those kinds of special programs don't become threatening to individuals.

At this point we — in our experience, we didn't have that option, it came up as a red flag and everybody took positions and it really set us back in terms of the positive attitudes of looking at new kinds of things for what they were worth, rather than feeling threatened that we're taking backward steps.

Q What is the relationship of bilingual education to a desegregated school system, and can the needs of non-and limited English speaking children be met in a desegregated school? If so, how?

that shows a need by their population of non-English speaking students has to establish some sort of bilingual program that will allow those students not to fall behind simply because of the lack of mastery of the language. This really is kind of tied into my previous point when I mentioned Casa de la Raza. Which felt that simply desegregating wasn't enough, that they needed an opportunity to develop in a bilingual- bicultural setting, not only allowing their own students to appreciate and accept their culture and their way of life, but allowing others to also see by modeling and gain a respect for that kind of a situation, and vice versa.

or the transfer of the

you do need bilingual education. Now, how you accomplish it is — again, I — I guess I should say that the federal government has made some attempts at helping school districts accomplish this, again we have one of the major programs in Berkeley for the development of bilingual curriculum materials, especially in the Asian area. Now, that's a national program. I don't think there's any question about the need for that, and it hits — it hits the Samoans, the Phillipino, Korean, Chinese, Japanese, minority groups.

The amount of work that is necessary to take what

is existant today in any public school district relative to their specific curriculum disciplines, and put that into a bilingual curriculum set that can be taught to students is something that needs to be done before we can really have a credible bilingual program that will be viable.

State and federal government, I think, is going to have to support those efforts, because the local school districts are simply not going to be able to finance that kind of endeavor, and then there is going to have to be a very clear mandated curriculum from the state that says that you have to provide that. And I know that we're — we're at the point now where that looks very good and I think we will accomplish that, for California anyway.

But the problem then is again, and I guess I'll move way back to where I started from, we have that program in the district and it again becomes a political issue. Where it shouldn't be, not in a community like ours. But individuals faced with the possibility of no races and faced with the possibility of being laid off are now taking pot shots at all the federal programs that we have in the district, without any concern for what the impact of that program might be for education.

Now, in Berkeley alone, we're not going to reap too many benefits specifically out of that Asian-American curriculum developments project. We have bilingual students. We have some — we have some need for that. But not the kind of needs that Los Angeles may have or San Francisco. But the need for that kind of program to exist and somebody to do that work is there.

Now, we're the -- we're the physical agency that is coordinating that program. And now it's being traded off in some peoples minds that if we didn't have that program, and we didn't have all these extra people around, without regard to whether or not technically it's costing us any money, but just the fact that if we didn't have that, maybe we wouldn't be in the kind of bind we are financially. And so we have another example of something that has far-reaching potential, I think will have real impact, I think there's no question has relevancy, that happenes to exist in Berkeley, and it appears that the intent and the potential for that is being lost in the crucial financial situation that we're in.

I don't say that that would not happen anywhere else, and it probably would, but I only offer that as one example of how one does something and depending upon what the specific crisis is in a public district, in a local district, how all of those things can be sort of distorted and thrown out the window without any — any falling back on the total — total thrust or philosophy that we might have had.

And if that kind of situation continues, and I don't know really where the relief would be for that, then I think any district is going to be in trouble to really desegregate and then build back a system that we can say, we all feel comfortable about.

Q Mr. Roh, you indicated earlier the importance of establishing an evaluation process through the desegregation system. Do you have any on-going or periodic monitoring of your system as it now stands? Do you have a way of evaluating its progress?

A We do, although a lot of people would argue with that. I guess the question is really whether or not anyone can believe that the evaluative conclusions or information or data that we collect is credible. But yes, we do. And I don't know that I can say anything else on that, because the information that's generated is always held up to criticism relative to its credibility. We have internal, within the district, evaluations processes that take place.

And we have tried other kinds of things where we have involved students and parents. And that's held up to question of whether or not it turned out to be a good thing.

And then we have contracted with outside resources to do evaluation. And then with our federal projects, then

we have the federal monitoring system -- processes that have been established to evaluate.

So yes, they are there.

Q You know I'm going to ask you the next question, don't you? Could you briefly give us, you know, what were some of the findings, what was some of the information that you were able to get from the various evaluative processes?

A I — I guess I could summarize those up fairly quickly in fact. I don't think that any information that we have at this point really can say that we have significantly improved race relations in our district, social relationships, nor do I think we have any real strong, strong data from any source that says that significantly, we have raised the achievement level of minority students.

And I think that's the bottom line.

Now, I'd like to qualify that by saying that I don't necessarily think, in my personal view, that says that we failed, because what we have done is we have, without question in my mind, raised the social consciousness of all minority students and their parents and families in this district by what we've done in Berkeley. What we've done by desegregation, and what we've done by the various programs that we've brought into our district. The alternative school program for one, comp.-ed.

We have developed a social awareness among our students and parents and a social education that has taught them a little more about the system so that to some extent, they are individually and collectively, much more of a viable threat to the traditional operations of any public institution. And that now they have the personal resources to confront and challenge the system, without being wiped out by the kinds of situations that would happen previously because of a lack of knowledge on both sides.

I don't think you can snow anymore the minority community, at least in Berkeley. And even though we haven't demonstrated as yet a significant increase in academic achievement, I don't think we've lost any ground either.

But even in that respect, we have probably opened up the opportunity and hopefully in some respects, the — planted the seed for personal motivation among a lot of students who then on their own push and gain their education because of what they've been exposed to. And I don't know how you achieve better race relations between minority and majority comunities and measure that, or how you can measure the increase in academic achievement when in fact the real thing might have been that for once, an Asian child sees an Asian teacher teaching that class with a lot

of modeling and respect for that, and feeling proud that that teacher is there. That a Black student can see that the superintendent of the whole district is Black also, and that that is something that is possible.

Now, the emotional and personal impact that that may have for any individual maybe can't be measured in terms of the better relationships across races, but I don't think at all that we've lost any ground, and without being able to again give you the data, I think we've gained a hell of a lot of ground.

Q Okay, this, I guess I put the cart before the horse. I really should have asked you originally what were the specific objectives of the — of the desegregation plan as it, you know, how do you feel that, you know, as you evaluated it — you know, what you did and what you've been able to accomplish, seeing yourself meeting those specific objectives.

And I guess what I was really looking for, Mr. Roh, was something like one, two, three, objectives, and then as far as the day was concerned, you met one, two and you missed three. Can you --

A I don't know that I can respond to the specific objectives as they were outlined initially because I really wasn't actively involved in that. All I can respond to is kind of what my sense was it should have done. But I don't

know that you want that. I've been giving you all of my 1 personal positions as they were anyway. 2 But -- well, basically --3 No, only if you had the information, in other words, 0 4 if you knew what the specific objectives were of the origi-5 nal desegregation plan. 6 I really couldn't respond to that one. 7 8 MR. ROGERS: Thank you. But I know what it hasn't done. 9 Α Thank you. 10 MR. ROGERS: THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions from any 11 members of the panel? Go to my right this time, Helen? 12 (By Ms. Bernstein) I have two questions. 13 pessimistically, if the 190 teaches have to be let go, 14 15 what percentage of minority teachers will remain, do you lmow? 16 No, I really don't know specifically. I -- there's 17 figures floating in my head, but I just don't know confi-18 dently enough to say that. 19 20 If you were in a position where you could decide 21 which teachers should stay and which teachers should go, you know, say it wasn't something that was being dictated 22 by the state, how would you determine that? 23

Α

24

25

That had to be your question, I know. Well, obviously

what you would like to have happen and what I would like to

have, and you know, without regard to whether or not it's possible, I think evaluation of teachers really has to be geared at, one, whether or not they are competent to teach in terms of what they're delivering in the classroom, are they in fact doing that? And related to that is whether or not their manner or style of teaching or attitude is emotionally damaging to kids.

And I know that that's a muddy area. And I know that allows for an awful lot of room for value judgments, and those that are in those that are empowered to make the decisions. But if one could establish some objective criteria that relates to both of those two areas I see, and with the implementation being, one, the achievement of students in a teacher's particular classroom, as it relates to what we might consider a normal expected amount of achievement from the district as a whole.

Now, I don't mean that to be as cut and dry as it sounds, because if we could truly, and we have tried this, get teachers to establish individual goals for students in individual areas of achievement, of teaching, such as a reading and math and whatnot, one year's growth between one teacher and one child might be equivalent to three years growth in another situation. And I'm saying if we can refine that at least to manage that system, and have some kind of accounting for that, then those teachers that

simply are not doing it ought to be let go.

Q Who would you feel comfortable with in that position to decide that kind of — to make that kind of decision?

A I think ideally if we could — if we could — and this again is very ideally — I really think teachers themselves should be accountable for one another for a good deal of that evaluation. We talk about academic senates in schools, I think if they have a responsibility to set up a mechanism where they're willing to criticize one another, And then the site administrators and those individuals directly in an administrative role beyond teachers that are not in a classroom, obviously have some role in that. By their personal observations and by looking at whatever data that they have which shows records of particular teacher's teaching.

And ultimately the board. And — I see that as the just process.

THE CHAIR: Jack? Mr. Share?

Q (By Dr. Share) Mr. Roh, in part follow up to the first question here and also on the statement you made early on in your testimony here. I was interested, you were saying there have already been 192 dismissals mailed out and out of this —

- A Intentions for dismissal.
- Q Intentions for dismissal? Thank you. And out of

that group there were about 70% that were minority teachers?

A I believe that's the figure.

On In an article on March 3, in the San Francisco Chronicle, they made some interesting observations which I'd be interested in any reaction you have to that, and one of them was that you're kind of on the overkill here, that they felt that only 90 teachers had to be perhaps terminated in order to meet the current budget troubles in the system; and my final follow up question to that also would be of all those minority teachers that have been hired over the years, what plan or commitment had the district made to try to keep these people at the time they hired them?

A Let me take your second part first. Because I think I can answer that very easily. No plan — no commitment was made. Unfortunately that's a fact of life. The commitment that any employee of this district has to maintain a job, be the person minority or not, depends on the will of the particular board at the point in time.

And if there was going to be an election held this month, with three seats open, there would be no commitments from the five members of the board that would carry over to the next constituted five members of the next board. And if the attitudes of those individuals were substantially different than the previous board, you may see a number of things.

So there's no commitment. There's a lot of lip service and rhetoric. And I think individually you can only track board members one at a time and see if their individual records can show you some individual commitment, but it takes three, and as far as I know, there's never been three solid members of the board 100% down the line for as long a period as the board's been in existence. So that's that point.

The overkill in terms of 192 letters as opposed to the potential of only needing 90, the reason for that is, and in this respect even though I'm opposed to the layoffs, I would have to say that I think the board as a whole did an admirable job in trying to decide how layoffs would occur.

Now, one explanation for that is we had to leave our options open in terms of number because we do not know how many people have to be let go. Again, you may say 192 is overkill, but that's judgment there.

But the other part of that is, we sent letters of intention of layoff to our staff in two ways, in one respect hoping that we could somewhat avoid a 100% the last hired, first fired concept, and by that we examined very closely what our alternatives were in laying off staff. And one is that in specific categories of instruction, you can reduce or cut back or eliminate totally staff if you

eliminate those programs from the district. And if there are some programs that you have in the district that you determine need to be maintained by — because of some specific kinds of criteria you can even pass those positions over and — even though that individual occupying the position may not have the seniority that would guarantee that person a job.

And in doing that, we tried to see — we issued two letters of layoff, to some of our staff, and one letter of intention to layoff to another group of our staff, trying to keep both options open and the number in total was a result of not knowing whether or not it would be 90 or 120 or 192. And that is tied into whether or not the majority of this board decides number one, that it's going to maintain every program that it substantially was going to cut, but it still is going to layoff staff and it's going to get a 12% increase in salaries and a 5% increase in fringe benefits. If that's the result, there's a possibility we'll go 192 people, because that's the only place the money is.

On the other hand, if we are able to say, we're not going to cut any programs, we're not going to give any salary increases or fringe benefit increases, and in fact we're going to reduce by 2% some category of teacher's salaries and fringe benefits, we may not -- we may not layoff

anybody.

So the options are from one extreme to the other.

Both are possible. And at this point, I don't know which way we're going to go.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Roh, I want to thank you for the time you've spent with us. We greatly appreciate your comments and thinking that if there are no other questions from the committee -- one?

Q (By Ms. Hata) I always ask the question about long-range planning since you seem to have felt that long-range planning is critical and that perhaps not enough had been done in this area. Could you specifically list for us from your experience those areas in which you think long-range planning is crucial to the desegregation process?

A Well, as I indicated previously, one of the things is really out of the local board, and that is that state and federal legislation really has to predict, to be able to predict that desegregation is going to cost money. It is going to cost money over a period of time for various kinds of problems or areas of concern that will arise. And there needs to be the ability for local school districts to draw upon some resource for that, provided that they do meet certain deadlines, say in a time frame. That obviously would help.

We are -- part of our problem today is because we

went so full steam ahead in affirmatively trying to do so many things that we really didn't pay that much attention to our financial situation as it would — as it hit us now. And I'd say that we were encouraged to do that by the federal government and the state government, and now there should be built in a process by which we can more humanely deal with some of the financial issues that we have as it relates to the people that we have in our district by some source, if it was available to sort of wind down the reality of shrinking money.

Q Are you implying you were left holding-the bag?

A Did I just imply that? I thought it was pretty clear.

THE CHAIR: Okay.

A One point that I'd like to make though, and I don't know how one overcomes that, is granted I did make some comments about long-range planning, and you would think that making it here that I would mean that for Berkeley, for the local district. And I would like that for the local district. But no public district really is able to do that either, because as the board changes, the policies and attitudes change. And you don't bind the previous board.

I guess I don't even say that negatively, because I personally would not have been bound by the previous board

or board member that I succeeded. And that is a problem because if you make commitments to a program that runs five years, you have no guarantee that when that board changes, that last year or last two years that things are going to be maintained, and the only way that you can is if you have the political clout in the local area that you're in.

And I think in that regard, there has to be some cooperative kinds of commitments and binding kinds of legislation that make both federal state and local districts work together so that nobody, given that everybody agrees this is the way we ought to go, that nobody can really throw off the track.

THE CHAIR: Again, Mr. Roh, thank you very much for your testimony this morning.

A Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Our next witness is Ramona Maples.

Would you for the record, Dr. Maples, state your name, address and your occupation, please?

A My name is Ramona Maples; my address is 1111 Marin Albany, and my occupation is associate director of research and evaluation for the Berkeley schools.

THE CHAIR: Nadine Hata?

Q (By Ms. Hata) How long have you worked in this district and in what capacities?

A I came to Berkeley school as a classroom teacher in February of 1958. I taught most of my time at the then Lincoln Elementary School in South Berkeley.

In 19 -- the early 1960's I left the elementary and I went to Willard Junior High School and I taught there for two years. I returned as a classroom teacher to the feeder school to Willard Lincoln, now Malcolm X, as a sixth grade teacher.

The next year I became program coordinator which was instructional coordinator of the school. The following year I became vice principal of the Lincoln School. I left then on a sabbatical and was gone at — to the University where I completed my doctorate.

I came back to the district and became coordinator of career opportunities which was a program for instructional aides, the up-grading and college training of instructional aides leading them into professional capacities in our district. I was in that position a year, then I became acting director — acting assistant director of personnel, and certificated personnel, the personnel director left and I became director of certificated personnel.

And then I received — asked for a change of assignment and I became assistant director of research and evaluation where I've been for two years.

Q Thank you, Dr. Maples. It certainly sounds as if you

are well acquainted with the school district. Could you briefly summarize for us this morning the types of activities provided teachers and students prior to and following the 1968 desegregation of schools?

A I think one of the things that I'd like to bring out is that I was quite political in those days. I'm sort of in political retirement at this time, and there was a lot of activity in South and West Berkeley prior to desegregation where the community and the few minority staff people in the district were cooperating in terms of the integration move that was — that had been talked about for many years.

What the district did provide, however, was an opportunity for staff to get together on all of the task forces and become the creators and the implementors of the desegregation policy. A number of task forces were established in 1965, 1966 and 1967, by Dr. Winnerberg and then following him, Dr. Sullivan, and staff was involved in the planning for and implementation of integration.

The most unique feature, I feel, was our core of teacher substitutes during the Year '67-;68. The district hired a group of young men and women whose job it was to relieve teachers in the hill schools which were all White schools, to come to teach in the flat schools for a week or two. And then to relieve teachers who were teaching Black

children primarily to have the opportunity to go to the hill schools and teach, and they set up pairings of sister, brother or a teachers, between teachers who taught in the flats and teachers who taught in the hills.

There were many opportunities made for exchanges between classrooms. The district provided resources for students to have field trips rather than going to San Francisco, to spend days in the hill schools, to get acquainted with the schools that they would be attending in the fall.

Also the children from the hills mainly third graders were allowed or provided to come to the flat schools to see where they were, to look at the communities. So that these children were given early and a lot of orientation to the new schools that they would be assigned to.

The teachers were given intensive training in the fashion that I just described. Also Dr. Sullivan created a task force of young people, all — from all over the district, who met with him on a regular basis to discuss what their expectations were, what their fears were, to talk about rulers and what the differences were between the different culture groups. That task force met on a regular basis with staff and the superintendent, and they were to be the student advocates for desegregation, and it was a core, similar to the hired core of substitute teachers that

 hired for that year in raddition to that, we had an office of inter-group education, inter-group relations.

This office early established a rumor clinic, and this rumor clinic was to function for the community, to trace down every rumor that had to do with fears of desegregation such as, there are a lot of knifings in the Black community, it's dark down there, blah, blah, blah. Well, this rumor clinic was a catalyst to sort out the fears that had been openly expressed at many of the hearings that we had prior to the adoption of the plan.

There was so much voluntary activity that I participated in, I'm trying only to talk about the things that were established by the district, but there was a lot going on, especially in the West and South Berkeley communities.

Previous to our actual desegregation we did have a pilot program funded by ESEA, whereby 250 Black students were bused to hill schools on a voluntary basis as a trial run, for elementary desegregation, because we were already desegregated at the junior high school So those students who — whose parents voluntarily allowed them to be bused to the hills, there was only one way busing for this pro— gram, became advocates for the desegregation movement.

So a lot has been going on between students and a lot had been fostered between teachers during the previous

1 years.

Q Dr. Maples, you're talking about student and teacher exchange programs, were these students and teachers given any kind of prior training before they were exchanged to the different places?

A The teachers were, yes. The supervisors at that time were in charge of the task group. As vice principal of the school, it was my duty, one of my responsibilities to train the teachers from the hills who came into the school, there was a certain period of time established for me to go over curriculum, to go over the kinds of problems, some of the cultural kinds of things that they may be dealing with for the first time, because many of our teachers had not been exposed to more than one race group. So each person at the receiving school site, there was a person in charge of orientation, in addition to our subject matter specialist from the central office. There was training.

Q In your opinion, was this training period or orientation period sufficient?

A No, I think it was sufficient to initiate the desegregation effort, but I think that we felt so confident that everybody was ready and willing to go that we dropped our training much sooner than we should have.

Q Which of these programs, if any, were mandatory that

you have been speaking of? 1 2 All of them. 3 All of them. And when you spoke of staff, were you Q 4 speaking of both classified and certificated staff? 5 No, I was speaking of certificated. Α I see. Were any of the staff members compensated 6 7 for this exchange program? Or activities or involvement 8 in these programs? 9 Not if it was in the course of the normal school Α 10 day. They, remember, they were allowed substitutes for 11 their teaching, for their classrooms, the substitutes stayed 12 with them for a period of time. Then they left their 13 classroom in the hills as it were, and came and worked 14 with the teacher for a specific length of time in the flats. 15 And so this was part of their normal function. Ιt 16 was not extra over and above. 17 Q Are any of these programs specifically those for: 18 teachers still in effect? 19 No, they aren't. Α 20 Q Why not? Well, I imagine that there had - there haven't been 21 22 a cry for them, that because of our financial situation 23 a lot of things that we should be doing are not being done. We did, however, have another program that was man-24 25 datory for the entire district, including secondary who

had already desegregated, and that was compulsory training in Black history or Black culture -- Black culture. This was sponsored by the office of inter-group relations and every teacher was mandated to take at least a one semester course.

However, because of the variety of courses and the variety of people teaching the courses, we can't say that everyone received the same quality of instruction or the same scope of instruction that everyone else did.

Q But everyone has taken such a course?

A Everyone has taken it who was here during the time that was in practice. We have not had that mandate for the last several years.

Also, things did change in terms of what kinds of mandates we have in Berkeley today as opposed to what we had in what I called the traditional days of Berkeley.

Q How have they changed?

A Well, I think that — I don't know that Berkekey was a leader or a follower. But we do have much more teacher, school site autonomy in terms of what a teacher does, what a school site does, what a group of people can do. We have very few centrally-administered or centrally-mandated guidelines for staff or for school. We have a become more decentralized, more autonomous.

Q In your opinion, do teacher training institutions

provide adequate training for teachers entering a deseg-1 regated system? 2 3

I don't think so.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Could you tell us why not?

Well, desegregated school systems are the exception rather than the rule. So that the teacher training institutions generally deal with what is or what the instructor thinks is or what was when the instructor was an instructor, so in many ways, the -- some teacher institutions are far behind what is actually happening.

Now there have been some programs and some attempts to create new teacher training standards, such as intern programs by some universities, which stress more on the job training of teachers than previously.

You spoke a minute ago of these old district programs and decentralization. What effect has this had on desegregation?

Well, I don't think it's had any effect upon physical Α desegregation. Except that its internal assignment of students, classroom to classroom, is not monitored, so that right now we do have some imbalances.

Also, because we have allowances for cross zone school attendance, we find that some schools are more desegregated than others. And some schools are less segregated than others.

Q Are any attempts going to be made to change this?

A I don't know.

Q What is the relation of multicultural education for all students in a desegregated system?

A Well, just after desegregation, I think prior to desegregation, I think there were a lot of people who were concerned that the schools were talking about assimilation, and integration, rather than what we may adopt as culturalism. One of the relationship of multicultural education is now that the children are physically together, then the state believes that we should offer opportunities for students to get more in-depth information, cognitive and effective information about other cultures. And also that their learning materials should reflect the composition of the society in which we are living today.

Q Do you feel that multicultural education is provided to all students at this time?

A I think that there are very strong efforts and it varies from classroom to classroom, but the materials that we use at Berkeley, the kinds of displays and the kinds of plans the teachers make, seems to show that there is a high awareness that multicultural education is a valuable, attribute in education. So there are attempts.

Q In your opinion, what effects has desegregation had on your over-all quality of education in the districts?

A I think it's been very, very difficult. One of the things that we don't look at hard enough, I believe, in terms of Berkeley, is the kind of mix that we do have, in terms of the races.

In Berkeley we have a rather well-to-do White population, mainly residing in the lower hills and the hills, high income, high SES, high educational level. We do not have a comparable number of matching individuals in the Black population, and perhaps the Chicano population. We have, on the other hand, a large number of working class, poor, less well educated, in the Black community, so we're not matching two upper class groups, we're not matching two totally middle class groups, so that we have a difference in terms of demands.

We have groups in classrooms who are asking for more open education, more choices for students, more free lancing. We also have a group who feels that children will learn because of school, not in spite of school, and are making demands for more traditional kinds of approaches to education. And these can be what we call conflicting demands, so that to teach in Berkeley requires a great deal more knowledge, a great deal more planning, a great deal of everything, because desegregation did in fact widen the scope or widen the span to which a teacher has to work every day.

I feel that for some children, they learn in spite For some children they learn because of school. But I don't think that the over-all quality of education has been endangered, but I do feel that in all our schools there is room for improvement. In retrospect, what do you feel you could have done to facilitate desegregation? Well, I think that we could have kept our training component, we could have kept more support for the staff, we could have tried harder to facilitate the teachers and parents getting together from both opposite ends of the community because that has dropped off, just for some parents the distance from school to home is very -- is very big. And I think that if we had some way of bringing the school even closer to home, that would have helped. Would you clarify for us who you mean by we? Q Our district. Α And the administration? Α The administration, the board. Yes. We understand that you have some figures for us on the number of expulsions and suspensions by race. that true?

A Oh, I do have some in my folder.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Would you share them with us, please?

A This is from the ATW Report which we submit to the

federal government on an annual basis. The statistics are prepared by each school site, and are sent to us and we compile them and send them in to the federal government.

In the fall of 1974, the suspension rate totally was 247 students, I believe. One American-Indian, one — 174 children were Black, three were Asians, 15 were Spanish surnamed, and I don't — this copy is hard to read, it's — 34, I believe, that were all others, which includes White. That was '74.

In the fall of this year, '75, 132 of the suspensions were Black, one was Asian, seven Spanish surnamed, 50 were all others, that includes White, for a total of 190 students. This is suspensions lasting at least one day, but no more than 20 consecutive days.

Q To what do you attribute the disproportionately high number of Blacks being expelled or suspended?

A A number of things. First of all, most of the —

THE CHAIR: Excuse me, doctor, I wonder if for the record it would be helpful, can you give us the percentage

In other words, what percentage of Black students, are there in the school district?

ratio of the students in the schools?

A All right, I don't have the percentage right here, but I do have the numbers for those years. I can give you the total population figures.

Q All right.

A All right, for 1974, we had 15 American Indian children, 6,510 Black, 900 Asian-Americans, 6,000 -- no, 507 Spanish-surnamed, 6,968 all others, for a total of 14,900 when this census was taken. That was fall of '74.

For the fall of '75, we had 16 American-Indians, 5,476 Black, 850 Asian-American, 406 Spanish-surnamed, 6,171 all others, including White, for a total of 12,919 at the time of the census.

THE CHAIR: Can, I'm sorry, now, if you can answer the question Ms. Hata raised as to your opinion for the high proportional dismissals of Blacks?

A I -- when I think about the question, I answer it in two ways. One from the point of view of the students, I guess I'll do that one first and the other from the point of view of the -- of the teacher causes.

One of the thin, s that I feel is that many of our Black children still do not know how to beat the system. They do not know the appropriate way to get through the system without getting punished. They may, they tend to approach their discipline in a rather offensive manner rather than, I'm sorry, it won't happen again, defensive manner. They have not been able to learn to use the system for their own positive advantage in the way that some children are

able to do. So that we find that, in many cases, things that may start off as not so serious, by the time the child brings the emotional feelings into the situation, the child may get out of hand, become hostile and loses perhaps some of the kinds of forgiveness that may have been there on the other hand by the person who has the power to suspend or not to suspend.

I think also because there are differences among teachers in coping sometimes with different children that perhaps more Black male children are sent, are caught or administered punishment than other groups of children, and therefore if you have a larger number of incidents reported by any one group, you will probably have — naturally have a larger number of — numbers of actions taken by —

- Q (By Ms. Hata) Do you have statistics then broken down into male and female statistics, you were talking about?
 - A Yes, but I don't have those with me.
 - Q And could you provide that to our staff?
 - A Yes, I can.

- Q And also these statistics you've given us this, morning are for expulsions, is that a fact?
 - A One day suspensions or more, but under 20 days.
 - Q Do you have figures for expulsions?

1	A I could probably get those for you.
2	Q Would you provide them to our staff, too?
3	A If I can.
4	Q Fine and also are counselors involved in this pro-
5	cess now of suspension? Are they brought in at any level?
6	A I don't know. I think that varies from counselor
7	to counselor and school to school. I'm not sure.
8	Q Have counselors received any training for this
9	desegregation process.
10	A Counselors were a part of if any were elementary
11	people, yes, they did. But the training that I was talk-
12	ing about was not a part of the secondary desegregation.
13	So the secondary people have less of the training than do
14	the elementary people.
15	Q Do these suspensions reflect a higher number of
16	suspensions on the secondary level than on the elementary
17	level?
18	A I believe so. Put I would have to check. I think
19	most likely, yes.
20	MS. HATA: Okay, thank you.
21	THE CHAIR: Are there any questions from the panel?
22	Cora?
23	Q (By Ms. Telle:) Mr. Roh stated that one of the
24	effects of desegregation was that students today are not
25	being held accountable for the basics in reading and
1	

in the state of the state of

writing and mathematics. Does your evaluation of student achievement substantiate his view?

A No. Not in terms of the test scores. But I do understand what he's talking about and I do feel that there are children who are not being pushed or being encouraged to work up to their capacity. But I don't think that just the test scores alone would show that.

Q I'm concerned about this from the standpoint that one of the arguments that could be posed to school districts, potentially thinking of desegregating, is that the students will not be accountable or will not be held accountable. Do you really think that this is a fear that perhaps school districts ought to address themselves to?

A Yes, I think so. One of the things that has happened is our training was only the year before desegregation and we stopped that, then we went into courses.

If people are still concerned with their feelings and are fearful that being a teacher who holds children responsible would be misconstrued as being racist, then there is less tendency for a teacher to want to put themselves out on the limb to be accused of racism where in fact the teacher was trying to do a professional job.

And if your ego is intact and your professionalism is intact, then this won't be a problem. But some people do need some supports in that way.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions from this side?

Q (By Dr. Share) I have a couple of questions, Dr.

Maples. Two questions.

First, in your opinion is there a relationship of the suspension data you were just giving us to the youngsters' achievement levels as measured by your test scores?

- A We don't have any data on that.
- Q May I ask why?

The second second second second second

A It's -- we have -- we have collected all kinds of data and because we are involved in so many projects, we are inundated with the kinds of information that the different projects request, and I don't recall there having been a request of this and it's just one of the things that I don't think has been done in a formal way.

However, through the Office of Special Education, we do have, we will probably have some figures to show that students who are successful in school are less likely to be students who are involved in suspensions and students who are less successful will probably be the ones involved with this.

- Q I think that was probably a copout.
- A Yes.
- Q In relation to that, if I may ask you in your data, say in Grades 4 through 6 and on there seems to be a steady drop with Black and Chicano children and I wondered

if your office has addressed itself to that as compared to the other entry?

A I don't think that our test data shows that there's been a steady drop in relationship to a Black student, what our test scores do show is that there has been a steady rising in the achievement of the White population and the gap is wider. But there has been a slight increase in the mean test scores in reading and math for the Black students.

But the gap in terms of the — the kind of acceleration we see in the White students is not the same as for Black children. The White children seem to be scoring higher.

Q A follow up question, I guess, would be why? What are your hunches or suspicions as a professional educator in this regard?

A As I said, if we had the answer to that question, that, you know, we could all jump and shout. Many things cause it. I feel that just the sheer difference in what we're asking teachers to do in terms of the span of children, the number of children they have to teach, the kinds of demands made, is one factor.

Also, the -- we have to take children where they are, what kinds of things they bring to school with them.

And it's much easier to show progress with a child who is

at or above grade level than it is for a child that you
may have to be catching up with all the time.

not really caught up with us yet. And I really wish I could be specific in terms of what's going on in Berkeley. We're — in terms of us not getting the kinds of things we'd like to see. We have been constantly trying new programs, new things, and some things are working. We find that our primary students, Black students are doing better, and much better. And then they seem to slip back in the fourth grade and in four, five and six they don't do as well.

But we are still working on that.

THE CHAIR: Yes, staff has a question.

Q (By Ms. Jamec) I just had one question, Dr. Maples. From your experience with school desegregation, do you feel that there are any ideal ratios of minority to majority within a desegregated classroom? Ideal for the most effective desegregation?

A No, I think our plan here in Berkeley was to try to have the classrooms reflect the proportion of the people in the community, and actually in Berkeley, it's been almost 50-50 in terms of Flack and White.

But then when you have a small community of Asian students and a small community of Chicano students or

Spanish-speaking students, it's not always possible to have that ideal mix, in fact as Mr. Roh was talking, the -- some members of the Chinese community have wanted to cluster and we do have some clusters of Asian children in classrooms in order to abide -- to provide those students with some students of their own kind in terms of carrying on their own culture, their own interest. So we'll find that there are classrooms where we probably would not have representation in the Chicano and Spanish-speaking student population. Where some classes would if they're following the cluster arrangement.

THE CHAIR: Doctor, I want to thank you so much for coming this morning, and sharing your comments with the panel. Thank you very much.

I'd like now to ask if Amanda Williams can come forward now? Amanda Williams here?

MS. JAMES: Mr. Chairman, she has the flu and is unable to be here.

> THE CHAIR: We'll now recess and reconvene at 1:30. (Recess for lunch.)

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO THE

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

OPEN MEETING ON THE PROCESS OF SCHOOL DESEGREGATION

VOLUME A - MARCH 19, 1976

PART II - AFTERNOON SESSION

1	CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE To The
2	United States Commission on Civil Rights Open Meeting on the Process of School Desegregation
3	Volume A - March 19, 1976 Part II - Afternoon Session
4	Lai v ii Ai veinoon bession
5	INDEX
6	<u>Witness</u> <u>Page</u>
7	Mr. James Harold, Jr.
8	Ms. Julie Sherman
9	Ms. Clementina Almaguer 151
10	Mr. Calvin Simmons
11	Mr. Astor Mizuhara
12	Ms. Beatrice Ferreira 207
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	-
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

7:-

CALIFORNIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO, THE

UNITED STATES COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

OPEN MEETING ON THE PROCESS OF SCHOOL DESEGREGATION

VOLUME A - MARCH 19, 1976

PART II - AFTERNOON SESSION

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT & DICURTI 187 N. CHURCH AVE. TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701

A-108 (The following was chaired by Ms. Frankie Jacobs.) March=19, 1976; 1 1:30 p.m. 2 THE CHAIR: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, 3 the afternoon session of the hearing by the U.S. Commission 4 on Civil Rights, State Advisory Committee for California 5 will reconvene. 6 Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 7 noon session of the California Advisory Committee to the 8 U. S. Commission on Civil Rights is now in session doing 9 a hearing on the process of school-desegregation: -10 On the hearing panel this afternoon are Vernon 11 Yoshioka, Jayne Ruiz, Bill Rogers, Jack Share, Cora Tellez, 12 Noelie Rodriguez, Gloria Molina, Helen Bernstein, and I'm 13 the chairman from Northern California, Frankie Jacobs. 14 Our first witness this afternoon, I believe is 15 James Harold, Jr. a senior from Berkeley High School, 16 and Julie Sherman, a junior at the Berkeley High School. 17 They will do a joint presentation. 18 And I believe that Mr. Share wants to start out 19 with some questions for you. 20

21

22

23

24

25

(By Dr. Share) Will each of you please state your iddress, your age

JULIE SHERMAN

Julie Sherman, 907 West Shattuck Avenue, Berkeley. A

所以在是一次, 等之而之

	Company of the compan
1.1	Julie Sherman, 917 Shattuck Avenue, Berkeley, California.
2	I'm in 11th grade.
3	Q And Mr. Harold?
4	The state of the s
5	JIMMY HAROLD, JR.
6	A Jimmy Harold, Jr., student at Berkeley High School,
7	senior, Student Body President, address 2234 Seventh
8	Street, Berkeley, California.
_9	Q Fine, thank you. Just in terms of the process:
10	what I'll do is I'll phrase questions for both of you
11	and I'll ask you Julie if you don't mind to begin first
12	unless I specify the question directly to one of you.
13	So like the first question here would be again to you,
14	Julie, how long have you been a student in the Berkeley
15	schools?
16	A (By Ms. Sherman) Since I was in kindergarten.
17	Q And Jimmy?
18	A (By Mr. Harold) Eighteen years.
19	Q Thank you. And Julie, do you hold an elected
20	
21	A (By Ms. Sherman) Yes, I'm on the Student Senate.
22	_ I'm a Student Senator.
23	Q I think just to avoid some problems with the mike,
24	you kind of have to talk right in front of it.
25	I was just addressing the next same question to you,
	* "

Jimmy, in terms of do you hold an elected student government position, and if so, what is it?

- 11 -

A (By Mr. Harold) Yes, I do, I hold the student body position, Student Body President at Berkeley High School.

Q Okay, thank you. Julie, can you recall what preparations were made to educate students to the desegregation plan?

A (By Ms. Sherman) There were city-wide activities, all fifth graders the year before integration went up to a science camp called Camp A then for a weekend, I think, and there were, I remember being on a student — a city-wide student council meeting, I guess, and all the children got together and there were bus rides for, I know this happened for Oxford School going to Columbus. There was a bus ride for children and their parents going down to Columbus to see what it was like and they were — people talked to them and there were teachers from Columbus there and there were picnics at Lake Tammaskowa (phonetic), and there was a chorus.

I guess it was four through six graders that was city-wide the year before integration.

- O And as far as you know is this still the case
- A I'm not sure, I don't know.
- Q Okay. And Jimmy, this same question. If you want I could rephrase it.

A (By Mr. Harold) Could you rephrase the question?

Q Sure. Can you recall what preparations were made to educate students to the desegregation plan, and if so, just describe it?

A To the best of my knowledge at the time integration started I was very young. I remember my parents speaking about attending meetings, neighborhood meetings of such.

As far as preparation for students and — as far as preparation for students and myself personally, there were none except for one meeting with the principal at the school that I attended and elementary, and that was Columbus School. And that was sort of meeting to tell.

me that I would be transferred to a new school.

And it was all part of a new project. Okay, my parents went along with it, they understood the process at the time.

I was the only one out of my second grade class to be bused to a school in the hills.

Q Do you care to comment on what you think should be done to improve these kinds of preparations?

A Well, first of all, I believe from my own personal viewpoint that to take a child that young and bus him to a new environment, new culture and new social standings is very wrong. It's a crime against himself and humanity because at that time that child has not had a chance to

er Er

become accustomed to their own culture, and they become 1 2 very mixed up and confused and can be for the next four or 3 five years. Q Ms. Sherman, what activities did you undertake during the early stages of desegregation? 5 (By Ms. Sherman) I'm not guite sure what you mean. 6 Α 7 Were there any specific things that you did early 8 on, yourself? A Before integration or during or 10 O During? The early part of desegregation? 11 Right. 12 Q I can't remember anything that I did. My parents 13 were really involved in integration so I went to meetings 14 15 that they were, -- that were supposedly only parents, and we'd go along. But the first year of integration, 16 I can 17 only remember one picnic up at Lake Tammaskowa. 18 know what that was because I missed it so I don't know. ---19 I don't remember anything. 20 And Jimmy, how do you perceive the interactions of 21 the different races on the Berkeley campus? 22 (By Mr. Harold) You mean present now 23 Right. 24 Okay. Well, that in a way is kind of hard to say 25 because there's not really much interaction between the --

races on campus except for when they have to be in classes. The reason for this is that the campus, as far as students go, they break themselves down into friendship groups and race groups first, and then that is broken down into different class structures.

-10-

So, for example, at Berkeley High campus, the area under the cafeteria is mostly Chicano students and Asian students. Then what we call the slope area what would be a brick wall from the gym area extending to the cafeteria, you have a good percentage of your Black students. On the community steps you have a different percentage of what is supposed to be called the White hippie students, which is not true, but they are White students there. Then you have in the cafeteria a mixture of all students, along with a good percentage of the Black students in the student lounge.

And then in the cafeteria it's broken down by all races, but each of those places I named are broken down-between the students of high class, middle class and lower income.

And the reason why I know this is because I've known some of the students and I know that they are in different class structures and I watched their behaviour patterns and movements to figure out why is this for a city that is supposed to be totally integrated.

10-

Q Jimmy, if I understand what you're saying, your feeling is that the breakdowns may be more socio-economic basis rather than by race or both or

A No, first it is broken down by race, then by social and economic structure. Now, that's just my own findings.

Q Julie, how do you view the Berkeley desegregation experience, and thinking about that too, what factors do you feel have contributed to Berkeley's, let's, let's say success?

it's a good thing to have desegregation, but I don't think that it was — I know it was worked on like 10 years, but I don't think it was worked on long enough to get the — well, they worked — they worked a lot on the parents in getting the parents ready for it, and getting the parents used to the idea, but a lot of the children didn't really understand what was going on and they weren't — now, it's more like desegregated and you're put in the classroom and like Jimmy says, it's really, it's not really integrated at all.

We're there, but we're separate. And you go to a junior high school, I went to King Junior. Martin Luther King, and when school's out there's two entrances to the school. And it's pretty much that the Blacks go out one section and the White kids go out the other way because

it, it's really not really integrated.

one's going up and one's going down.

3

What would you change?

changed the whole city structure of where people live.

I'm not sure how you would change it unless you

6

Because if people -- if all races lived together in the

7

same block and they play together since they were one

8

year old or something, by the -- when they get to school

9

they're still, you know, you go on the same bus, you come?

10

home together, you walk home together, you play together

11

and then you're integrated.

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But if you just stick two people together on a bus and cross the buses, it's not really integration at all, and I guess you'd have to -- I don't quite see how they can do it on a, you know, integrate the schools and integrate them, I don't think it's possible.

Jimmy, this same question to you also, in terms of how do you view the Berkeley segregation experience and what factors have contributed to say the Berkeley success and also what programs might even change?

(By Mr. Harold) Could you read the question again? Sure, I'll go a little slower on that

How do you see the overall experience here in Berkeley in terms of desegregation? And I'm also asking you to focus a bit on what parts of this has been successful A Okay. The overall experience of Berkeley trying to integrate, I believe that it was a fair attempt. I do not believe it was an honest attempt because like I say there was no preparation for the — for the kids.

I still believe that they took them out of — out of their own social structure and culture too early in life. I have heard and have read that that's the best way to relieve, you know, old cliches and problems is to start off fresh and new. Well, you can't start off fresh and new that way, but you have to know your own background first, in order to be able to deal with somebody else's back— ground.

It's really rough because, like my class coming through now in the Class of 1976 is, a lot of the students who were in the first part of the busing. I'll back up my statement by saying that it was a fair attempt because to the best of my knowledge and my memory, I believe it was for one year or two years that the Black kids from the flatlands, better known as West Berkeley and the South Berkeley, were shipped to the hills area before I remember one busload of White kids being shipped to the flatlands.

And that's to the best of my memory. There may have been busing going on but if it was, I didn't know about it.

Q Okay, I also ask you to respond to this, too, and

both of you perhaps: What are you seeing as any values if any that have come out of desegregation, if any, at this time? Jimmy?

A As far as value goes, I believe and for myself, people have learned to deal with people of different races to a certain extent, but it has come to be that as long as I'm in school with that person, I can tolerate him. I know that from other students.

There is still the same Black and White problem there. It's only been covered a bit by whipped cream. It was an honest attempt. There has been a lot of improvements for a lot of students and then it has helped to set back a lot of students.

As far as -- now, that's just Black and White students. I haven't really seen any values or any reactions from the Chicano or Asian students as far as mingling with Black or White students, and vice versa.

So I would say that to me it would have to take another, maybe four or five years before a really honest opinion or statement could be given. Up to this point, that's how I see it.

QThank you. Julie, would you care to expound on that, too?

A (By Ms. Sherman) I think it was -- it's a good lenderning experience and it's a good education to learn with

different races. And it's -- you know, learning how to interact with different cultures.

But I don't think — well, I don't know, I don't think it's done much so far as education-wise. Except that they rooted out a lot of the teachers who were racists or whatever you want to call them racists, I don't know, or people who didn't know how to teach mixed classes. And they got a lot of younger teachers, but I guess that's about the only thing that I can think of.

I don't think it's changed much otherwise

Q Jimmy, again could your please comment on your experiences as a student at West Campus?

A (By Mr. Harold) How do you mean?

Q In terms of your school that you have been at, have you had any particular experiences as you feel you would like to share with us in terms of the integration situation there?

A Yes. There's one program that I think the nationneeds to look at and it was an experimental school called
Huey. I understand I'm not supposed to mention names
so I can't mention the director's name. But it was a
multicultural experimental school. We went on a lot of
picnics, retreats and we sat down and done our homework
together. It was just a closeness.

Most of this came from, I believe from a lot of

campus, so it became a joining of students from West schools. And under the direction of a very fine leader, he brought students together.

.22

We were able to — we had a lot of problems, we sat — we had discussions, rap sessions, where we just kind of sat down on the carpet and talked about problems. And teachers and how to deal with them. And it was — it was the best experience I ever had as far as anything, you know, with how to get rid of, you know, race problems

And maybe later on somebody, you know, could find out, you know, go down to West Campus and see the school if it's still in process. It's the best thing that I know of in the City of Berkeley or the nation that is dealing with getting rid of race problems.

Because it doesn't attack race problems directly. It attacks the person of how he thinks with other people, and it's done in a very polite and casual way that where you're not slipped in or nothing and you know what's going on around you.

And it makes you want to join, and it's ___it's so full of togetherness and love, you know. I just can't explain it.

- Q Is this still in process as far as you know?
- A To the best of my knowledge, yes. I visited West

1 Campus last year or year before last, if I remember, and 2 I seen the director. 3 Thank you. Julie, would you briefly describe the 0 4 alternative schools on the Berkeley campus? (By Ms. Sherman) Well, I'd first like to say some-5 Α 6 thing about Huev is that I was in that too, I guess the 7 year after you were in it, and they - maybe they ran out of money because we never did anything like that. 8 . 9 never had -- nothing happened. There was never together 10

ness. It was mostly White environment, and it was, I don't remember going on any picnics or any field trips in my ninth grade year, so maybe they ran out of money or something. Because it was good before I came.

But so far as alternative schools on the Berkeley campus, you mean Berkeley High School or --

Q Yes.

A There's a number of alternative schools, Model
School A, called the college prep., Genesis Agoura (phonetic)
and School of the Arts. I guess that's it.

They are — they deal with different departments.

Model School A is a very academic school for very academicly inclined students and it centers on history and English mostly.

Let's see, School of the Arts is a drama alternative school, and drama and working on so far as the T. V. -

2425

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

video, and works on English and history so far as they're creatively -- a very creative point of view. 2 Let's see, Genesis Agouragis a multicultural alternative school, most -- a lot of Chicano classes --4 Chicano -- a lot of Chicano multicultural classes. 5 I'm not sure, there's another alternative school called Odyssey which is seventh, eighth and minth grades, 7 8 and Genesis Agoura and Odyssey join together on a lot of different projects. 10: College prep. is mostly for Black students and a for Black students going to college: Isthink, I'm not 11 I don't know much about that school Maybe you 12

I know School of the Arts and Genesis Agoura 🛫 are ending this year.

think it's the year, like five years is almost up.

know more about it. It's a good program, but it's, I

Could you tell us which school are you in? Q

I'm in School of the Arts. Α

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And what is the race composition of your classes?

Ninety-five percent White, and the rest is broken up between Asian, Chicano and Black students

And my next question I think that will follow, wh do you believe this variance exists?

I couldn't tell you. I -- I don't know. I really don't know. Maybe -- I really couldn't say. It's mostly a lot of the makeup of the White population of School of the Arts, it's quote, unquote, White hippie, a lot of it. And drama-inclined students who are very serious about drama. I really don't know why that is that way.

Q Jimmy, let me also give you now an opportunity to the same questions, and if you could also briefly describe the alternative schools on the Berkeley High School campus, and then follow this up with which school are you in?

A By Wr Harold) Okay

THE CHAIR: The first part is to give us a little description of the alternative schools on the Berkeley campus as you see them.

A Okay. Basically I could just more or less name the alternative schools on campus, because I'm not involved in the alternative schools on campus because I see them as, now as a way of separation. And I believe for each race there is a time when they need to be separated and deal within themselves.

But now I found, in my sophomore year, I found that this was true. I have not checked back since because I have become enrolled in what is called Common Schools which is what most of the population of Berkeley High is enrolled in. It's Berkeley High itself.

But the only alternative school that I could really

10-

say something about that I know about is performing arts alternative school. And that is basically for drama students, music students, and radio students, students going into radio and such performing arts of that nature. I was not enrolled in that school, but I had many classes in that school.

I found that to be 97% White students. And I find that the reason for that is that they were fields where most White students do go into and they will become, you know, grown men and women, and that becomes their profession.

The same with each of the different races, it is the way society has, you know, sort of channeled each race into certain occupational jobs or this jive.

Q Thank you. Jimmy, again, is there race violence on campus?

A Racial violence on campus. I couldn't honestly answer that question, because there is none that I know of if you're speaking in terms of race riots, racial sitins or some of the more popular things, I couldn't say. I have not seen or witnessed any.

Were the same color, and of the different colors. There's no instance that I know of that I have been involved in to the best of my knowledge or anybody else that had a racial

.22

overtone. There may have been some, but I'm just not aware of them, so I couldn't say they're not and I couldn't say that there is.

Q Do students feel, as far as you know, that administration discipline, for instances that may occur is the same among different racial-ethnic groups? That's to Jimmy again. The question, let me repeat that for you.

Do you think students feel that whenever a discipline is handed out by the administration, is it the same regard-less of what ethnic group one may belong to?

A No. I couldn't say that. I know of incidents where students have been caught fighting, such as students who hang out on the community theater steps, and at times, when I served as chairman in the position of the student staff court, we reviewed all disciplinary action except for fights, but we were aware of what happened to those students.

They were not suspended. Most of them that I know of. Most of the other races were not suspended that I know of. They may have been given light disciplinary action. I have noticed Black students doing the same thing and we have not seen them around for two, three months

I have noticed Plack students becoming involved in what seemed to be to me minor trouble, and I see them three, four months later and they are at West Campus. I mean not

.1 West Campus, but East Campus; and I talk to them and they say the man has dug off a lot of things on me.

10-

So I could not say not only for the Blacks, but for any race on there, they're not always dealt with fairly. I would say that there is an honest chance to try to do this, but there are just certain administrators on campus that the students know of that are not fair and will not deal with you fairly regardless of what race or color you represent.

Q Jimmy, is cutting class by students a problem, in your opinion?

A I won't say cutting of classes a problem for students, I would say cutting of class is a problem for administrators. The reason for this is that each person, I feel each person is responsible for their own actions.

But when you're put into an environment where you have to be there for five to almost seven hours a day, and they do not make the environment enjoyable to you or at least for you to be able to survive in that environment, human nature tells you that if you can't survive in there, you have to take a time off to go where you can survive.

cutting, I have left classes sometimes because of personal ality conflicts with teachers that counselors have known about. And I've noticed within the first three, four days

10-

that I tell them and I say I won't be able to survive, or be able to learn in that class. They say oh, sure, you can do it, stick it out. So the mext alternative is to so in there and then a personality conflict arises.

Many students and myself have done one of two things, either we decide, you know, to cut the class and after so many days they'll automatically drop us from that class and we do well with the rest of our other classes, or either we go in there and the teacher gets, you know, so much "hell" from us that they decide to transfer you out.

But if you go to your counselors and say I just want to drop this class, you don't usually get to — you can drop that class just for you know, because you want to drop it. But if you go there with a legitimate reason, you don't get to drop that class unless the teacher signs you an okay. And that's not the way it's supposed to be. But that's the way it is.

Q Do you believe that there are any differences if a student's Black or let's say White in this kind of a situation?

A Yes, I would. The reason I would say that, not only for Black and White students, but for the other races as well, is because counselors tend to say, well, you take these classes because this is the occupation that you plan to go on to in college. And if there's a personality

to arrange your schedule for that.

Q Is there anything that speing done by students to prevent this sort of thing?

A How do you mean, an organized structure or just an individual fighting it?

Q I mean any way?

There is nothing totally on an organized structure that I know of. There are many instances of individual fights between the administration and the student and parent But what usually happens is a conference arises and one of two things happens. Either you are — you are left out to school and you're put out to school, or either you're within the school but actually you're out because they make it so hard for you to survive.

And school is hard enough to survive in now.

Q Thank you, Jimmy. Julie, let me go with you. How would you describe the attitude of teachers toward the desegregation?

A (By Ms. Sherman) I really couldn't say completely because I'm not a teacher. I just -- I think most of them view it favorably. There are some teachers who are racists of various races. I'm -- I don't know. I don't think as a group teachers -- I mean I think they favor desegregation and they're teaching it pretty well.

There are in the lower, I think it gets easier to teach a class in the lower grades when you're more—there's a teacher and there's a student; but when you get older you know, it's more of an equal level, and it gets harder.

I think they mostly favor desegregation.

Q What about other staff such as perhaps custodian, bus drivers, and so forth? Any difference there?

A I would guess they view desegregation favorably.

I mean they might, if they didn't or they really had a violent reaction toward it, they would probably not be in the Berkeley School system.

But I -- no, I guess they must favor it because they get hired to be a principal and they -- if they didn't like it, they probably wouldn't get hired or they wouldn't apply for a job in Berkeley Schools.

I couldn't really answer that question honestly because I don't know.

Q Jimmy, do you have anything you'd like to add to that question or answer?

A (By Mr. Harold) Could you rephrase your question?

Q. Sure, the question was how do you feel or how would you describe the attitude of teachers toward desegregation as well as other stall members in school?

A Well, it's like this: Lot of teachers who were in

: :10

college at the time were preparing for a, you know, academic teaching. And a lot of them just can't handle the desegregation that there is now.

I would say that there is a fair and honest attempt by most teachers to, you know, handle the situation. But most of the teachers who are here at Berkeley High now who have been here for 10, or 20, or 30 years, you can just walk into the room and you can feel the tension that's in their body, and that — that they're sending out. You can see their everyday pressures of them trying to deal with certain races is it's, you know, that they have been taught were supposed to be bad.

It's like, when you were growing up maybe you heard, you know, certain people and certain racist words that were supposed to be directed at one race. And maybe now you can see that is — that has changed or it maybe hasn't changed, and that's in comparing with the teacher. Because a lot of the new teachers that are coming up that have, you know, been hired within the last five to seven years can really handle this problem. And they're ready for it

'way, 'way behind, as much as 30 years. And they're hurting everybody. Put, you know, it's their life and it's
their job so that's what they have to do.

Q Julie, do the students feel that they re getting equal education opportunity, in your opinion?

A (By Ms. Sherman) At Berkeley. High School you're allowed to choose basically what classes you want to take unless your counselor tells you no, you can't take that class. So you can get an equal education if you want to get an equal education.

I mean you can sign up for the classes that you want. I think a lot of — I guess a lot of counselors, unless you really know what you're doing, they will they will probably say you can't take that class. I think you should take this class and they'll tell you to take the class and even if you don't want to take it they'll say, well, you have to take that class. I won't sign your slip unless you take it. I don't really think that's fair.

I think a lot of it is racial so far as, well, you're Black, you should take this class, prepare you for this sort of life.

I haven't -- my counselor hasn't told me what classes to take. And for my point of view, I'm getting the kind of education I want to be getting. There are multicultural classes, Black studies and Chicano studies, I think, and there's one called What is White," and there's Women's History, and there's a wide variety of languages

Ţ

that might be cut next year, but right now there's a wide variety of languages you can take from Japanese, Swahili, Hebrew, Russian, and so forth. Russian is not taught anymore. Okay.

5

Well, anyway you can get an equal education if you want to get one.

.7

Q What do you think are the student's attitudes toward the ethnic studies?

A Well, when I was in fourth grade; they had a lot of there was a let of emphasis on Black studies from the time I was in fourth grade to the time I was sixth grade. And that was good, except at my school there was a woman who was — she was a White Jewish woman who was teaching Black studies. Now, somehow that just doesn't sound right.

And so when you have a White person teaching Black students, it's kind of hard to listen to, and to really say yes, that's right, because, I mean, she can't tell you from her own experience, you know. Because she's not Black, and it got kind of to be a farce.

The one thing that I got upset at when I was in fourth through sixth grade is that there was a lot of emphasis on Black studies, but no emphasis on anything else and so after fourth through sixth grade, they've decided well, we've taught you Black studies, that's fine, that's

all you need to know. Let's go on to everything else. Forget about it and when you get to Berkeley High School there's, right now, well, there's a Black studies department and it's been cut a lot.

I guess students, they feel -- I think most students feel that it's a lot of ethnic studies should be taught, but then again, well, it should be taught but I don't want to take it, you know. That's sort of the -- a lot of the view. Of students.

Q. Jimmy, I wonder if you would also like to amplif further on that? I'll repeat the question for you.

Do students feel that they're getting an equal. education opportunity; and the follow up is what are the student attitudes toward the ethnic studies program?

A (By Mr. Harold) I could — I couldn't say whether or not students feel as if they're getting an equal education. I could say that there is not an equal education being taught there at Berkeley High School.

The reason for that statement is that they offer many, many opportunities to receive this equal education. And they put it into the little booklet for you to take home a couple of weeks before time to get your program together. But there's one catch. Your counselor must okay your program. I'm not saying it's the counselor's fault, and if there's anybody recording, let it also be said that you

know, they're not the main problem.

4

7

8

10:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In fact, a lot of counselors do probably try to help. But what happens is that there is not enough information for you to get an equal education at Berkeley High School.

As far as ethnic studies going on, I believe that each person, no matter what race, creed or color should learn something about somebody else's background. I believe that each race and national origin that is representing any city, state or school-should have at least one person teach their background to them because you can't get it all from home.

But there's not enough cross breeding of, say like
Black students going into the --"What is a White class or
White students coming into the Black studies classes or
the Black studies program.

And to be honest with you, nor have I been in a Chicano studies class or program because I am not aware of them if there are any. And that's why I say there's not enough information.

Q Do you think such classes, multicultural classes should be mandatory?

A I couldn't say I'm in a position to answer that question at this time.

Q Julie, do you have any, perhaps reaction to that

2

3 4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

last question. We're talking about multicultural, taught in the curriculum.

(By Ms. Sherman) I think that it's a good idea to Α learn something about another person's culture. But to make it mandatory. I -- I just don't go with people saying you have to do this because I say so. I just don't think that's a good idea at all.

I know, well, Genesis Agoura, it's a very multicultural school and there's a lot of cross learning. And that's where the White classisiin And there's Chicano studies and they went out and helped the farm I don't think -- I just don't like things that are, you know, you have to do this, you know, because I I just don't think that's a good idea.

Could you describe any further your feelings or opinions about the attitude of students toward desegregation?

Most students, I think, feel that it was a good -it is a good idea. And it should happen. But it wasn't planned enough and it wasn't carried out enough. now, well, you know, put them in fourth grade and now they! desegregated. And that's the end of that.

And there': no real programs going on now that I know of to make students aware of what it really -- what integration is or desegregation is. And to ready them for

their experience that they re going to have when they go to school. I don't think the — I don't know. I don't think people are working as hard as they worked eight years ago when it started and it's new for every student who just starts the Berkeley School System. It's a new thing for them.

It's not just -- it just wasn't new in 1968 when it started.

Q. Do you have any thoughts of why people may not be working so hard now as they did at the beginning?

A . a I didn't hear that

1-

Q Do you have any ideas yourself why it's not being worked on perhaps as hard as you were just suggesting as it was in the beginning?

A I think a lot of that is just human nature. Where you work really hard for a goal and when the goal's reached you say, oh, well, that's great. The work's over, it's happened, and then you don't work as hard and people, it's an old subject. And 10 years ago when it wasn't an old subject and it was a really important thing.

So everybody was working really hard for it. And now, you know, it's old. It's been going on for eight years now, so --

Q Jimmy, also the same question, and that is if you would care to further amplify on describing the attitude of

students toward desegregation?

A (By Mr. Harold) I would say that — I would say my attitude is because I feel it's much safer. —I feel that it was a good honest attempt like I said, before, it was a fair try. I believe that it should continue. I couldn't say that honestly that it should — I couldn't say how it should be — how it should not be changed or how it should be changed, because the way that I came through the system was within the last three to five years. I am really just beginning to get a hold on what desegregation process was all about.

And I haven't been -- I haven't been to a segregated school long enough to know the difference from
personal experiences between, you know, desegregated
school and a segregated school. But I think the process
should continue. I think that more studies or whatever
need to be given.

I think -- but I do believe that studies are a waste of time, but some of them do produce some things that do work, and that the situation just needs to have a good long hard look at it.

And for me, myself, I know it stoo early for me to really look back over it and add up the results yet. I know that a lot of them are coming in now, but I don't think all of the down-to-earth results are in yet.

Do the students feel at this time that the schools are integrated? A I'd rather not answer that question. 4 Julie, the same question if you would care to Q Do the students feel that the school is integrated: at this time? 7 (By Ms. Sherman) I think they re desegregated so 8 far as busing one group of students to another school and vice versa, but so far as integration. I take integra-10 to mean where there scall different kinds of races nteracting together and in one community, and so far as that definition, no, they're not integrated at all. 12 the total opposite of that. 13 14 You can't just take two races and make them sit next to each other and say they're integrated because 15 they're not. 16 17 Let me, and this is the final part of my formal ... questions to you, and that's giving both of you an oppor-18 tunity to respond to any other statement or comment you'd 19 20 like to make at this time. And why don't we give back to well, either one. 21 By Mr. Harold) Well, I would just 23 after this hearing is over with, and everything has been tallied up, I would like to say that I hope that it's 24

25

not just placed in a file, section so and so, number dasher of

this. And on these certain results evolved from. I hope that this doesn't become the way through the programs that we had during the '60's when we had all these poverty programs put into action to help the Blacks, and now the only last stronghold we have is affirmative action. And that's on the way down the drain.

So I hope it doesn't become like that, I hope that something really positive can come out and that the desegregation process of Berkeley, all the problems and all the good things that happened, can be put into the air so that other places like Boston and New York and Chicago and Los Angeles can learn from what's going on here.

I understand that Berkeley is supposed to be in the national limelight for being one of the first or the very few to desegregate on their own without a court order, to the best of my knowledge. And I'd like to thank Berkeley and the high school district for that, because I don't know what position I could have been in had it been a segregated school all this time.

And I couldn't say because this is the position that I'm in now. There is room for a lot of improvements, and in some areas there's no room for improvements because it's good the way it is such as the program at Huey, and just certain places that need to be touched and certain places that don't need to be touched. And I hope that it

can be continued. I would not like to see the Berkeley go back to the old way.

22:

However, just, you know, like Jurie mentioned putting, you know, certain race of students in a classroom and saying that they're integrated is not integrated.

What needs to happen is that you need to integrate their minds and their bodies and their souls so that they become one. And that's what the world needs now.

So I just hope you know, we can get something going

DR. SHARE: Thank you. Before we leave, I'll turn it back over to our chairwoman, see if there are any other questions.

THE CHAIR: I certainly want to thank both of you. You seem like two together young people. I do want to ask a question of you, Jimmy.

Q (By The Chair) You mentioned earlier that you certainly didn't like the idea of being transported out of your neighborhood to another school. Could you comment about some of the advantages or disadvantages of the school desegregation; of the total experience.

to learn of other cultures, but the way I learned was like.

I was invited up to many White students homes for dinners

and luncheons, and there was an honest attempt, you knows for

them to make me feel at home and to be happy. And at that time I was not aware of what was going on, but as I looked back over it, I've noticed like I go up there now and I see some of the same silverware, but what used to be their best silverware, you know, is now become their old silverware.

What I mean, like when I came it was the best put out and that's for everybody. But you know, it seems like a little extra special thing they done. And a couple of those were really good things.

But like some of the bad things was like they done things, I don't know if they were exchanging what they done or if that was the way they ate their dinner or something, but it seemed all so different totally from at home. And you can expect that.

But the way it was done, it was sort of like this is the way he ate, and the question was never directly asked, but like, how do you eat? You know? And I had to go through that process.

But it was nice to be invited into the different homes and to learn of their cultures and to see the way they live, and they watched me and I watched them and we learned a lot from each other.

But some of the disadvantages I have to say is that I learned their culture before I learned my own. And I did

I sort of — I don't know, I don't think it — I just don't think it works really, to be honest. They didn't — in the fourth through sixth schools, you know, I think they're the worst right now, and my experience at Columbus was absolutely just terrible. And I wouldn't put anybody through what I went through.

Somehow I don't know. It doesn't seem like when you're at Columbus or at any four through six school, the White kids go down to the four through six and the Black kids go up to the K through three, but when I was in fourth grade when we were the ones that were bused and the people, the Black kids were people who lived in the flat-lands weren't bused at all. They'd never been bused because they were not, they'd mixed the K through three experiences, and I — what I'm saying, so it didn't really seem equal from the start.

And it's very — you just can't put two people and make them sit together and say that's integration. It just doesn't work and that's what a lot of people were hoping would happen. Like say, well, you're together now, be best friends, you know, and it just doesn't work that way.

THE CHAIR: Sally? Ramona?

Q (By Ms. Godoy) Jimmy, was the Huey School integrated or desegregated?

-		
	1	A (By Mr. Harold) Beg your pardon?
	2	Q Was the Huey School at West Campus desegregated?
	3	Is that a desegregated school?
	4	A Was West Campus desegregated?
	5	Q No, Huey School that you attended?
	6	A No.
	7	Q It was not?
	8	A No, wait a minute. I'm wrong. You mean was it seg-
	9	regated or desegregated?
,	10	Q Desegregated?
	11	A Yes, it was:
	12	Q And you noted that this school was one of the
	13	best schools that you'd ever attended and you learned quite
	14	a bit. What do you think contributed to this positive
	15	learning? Was it the teachers, was it the curriculum,
	16	what was it?
	17	A It was a combination of teachers who understood
	18	the racial problems, students who had been through desegre-
	19	gation process, and some who had not, and the curriculum
	20	that was given.
,,,	21	Q And the curriculum was entailed what?
	.22	A Well, it was a wide range of classes. There was the
	23	basic English, history, and you know, math, and then there
	24	was like Black history and Chicano history and White his-
	25	tory, and there was such cases as fencing and sailboating

٠:

and sailing I mean, and they offered -- what it seemed to 1 me that it done was that it's been thought that most White 2 people are, you know, famous horseback riders that would get 3 on the T. V. and great airplane drivers And that Blacks, you know, we were great boxers and your local sanitation man. 5 And to me it took jobs from different areas that -- and 6 different recreational things that each different race done 7 and sort of put it all in a bowl together and just juggled it up and everybody got it and then just like if you were a different race, you got to see something that you hadn't 10 done before And you done it and you liked it. you know 11 神经想 重要的 You were given a chance to go into different fields and 12 that's what I liked about Huey. 13 14

Q You didn't find any problems relating with the other White students at the school?

A Beg your pardon?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q You didn't have any difficulty relating with the other White students there at that school? At the Huey School?

A Yes, I had some problems. And then I didn't have problems. And I believe that there's always going to be problems in anything that you try new, and it wasn't just the White students that I had problems with. It was Black students and Chicano students and Asian students.

And I don't know of any instance that I had a problem

with a student of a different race that it was about a racial thing, because at Huey it was the first time that I was able really to sit down with a student of a different race and joke about the racial slangs that we'd given each other.

Like I had this one friend, he was White, and when I'd see him coming I'd holler Hey, Honkie," and he would holler back, "Hey, Nigger." But it was just like more or less a nickname given, because we understood, you know, what was going on. As this was done between many other races that were representative of — within the circle of friends that I traveled in. And it was pretty nice.

But there will never be a chance to joke like that again, I'm afraid.

Q Is there a positive interaction between Whites and minority students at Berkeley High in extra-curricular activities such as clubs, student government, sports?

A Student government, yes. Student government is totally run by White students and it has been for the last three years that I remember.

Extra-curricular, as far as sports programs, yes, and no. Because like most of the White students are off into rowing and fencing and tennis, and diving and swimming. And most of the Black students are off into track and football and basketball and then we have sports in

between such as badminton and handball that you get a few students who actually cross over the line.

But like I say, those activities come from their background of the recreational activities that they do when they're with their parents.

A (By Ms. Sherman) Another part of that is that as far as people saying it used to be sailing, it used to be that mostly White people would go out for the prom queen and the senior class, junior class princesses. And now it's mostly — it, well, it's mostly Black that I know of. Do you agree?

Well, it used to be. This year it was different.

I mean there are a lot of — it was pretty racially balanced.

And drama that I'm in, it's 99% White. I don't know, I

guess it's probably just what you get when you're —

when you're in your family and what you do when you're

in families.

But people don't, I mean there's something called—the Keys and the Keyettes, which is a community service club. I'm not sure if that — I know, I think that's — I think that's pretty mixed between White and Asian students as far as I know.

MS. GODOY: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Yes, Jimmy, I guess I'll get back to
Huey again. What was the breakdown of the students and

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

not learn all of theirs. But certain things were implanted into me that I should have had a chance to get from home first.

Just like every night you have to go home and get a home-cooked meal, and I don't know., I guess that's just the way society is.

THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Are there some questions from any of the panelists? Ms. Ruiz?

Q (By Ms. Ruiz) Yes, I would like to ask if the administration or the teachers ever at any time ask the students to evaluate them or the programs that they were teaching you? Either one.

A (By Ms. Sherman) They do have teacher evaluation slips that come out, and students evaluate teachers. And I know in the School of the Arts, School of the Arts has an evaluation twice a year by students where all students are giving something, you either what's your favorite class, and why and why don't you like this class and what's going on. I don't -- I can't remember any -- ever being given the chance to evaluate an administrator, so far as vice principals or principals or something.

And so far as integration, I don't think anybody ever asked a student what they ever thought of integration.

- Q What would you have answered had they asked you that?
- A Depends when. I thought it was great in third, fourth

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

not learn all of theirs. But certain things were implanted into me that I should have had a chance to get from home first.

Just like every night you have to go home and get a home-cooked meal, and I don't know, I guess that's just the way society is.

THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Are there some questions from any of the panelists? Ms. Ruiz?

Q (By Ms. Ruiz) Yes, I would like to ask if the soministration or the teachers ever at any time ask the sputents to evaluate them or the programs that they were teaching you? Either one.

A (By Ms. Sherman) They do have teacher evaluation slips that come out, and students evaluate teachers. And I know in the School of the Arts, School of the Arts has an evaluation twice a year by students where all students are giving something, you either what's your favorite class, and why and why don't you like this class and what's going on. I don't — I can't remember any — everybeing given the chance to evaluate an administrator, so far as vice principals or principals or something.

And so far as integration, I don't think anyhody ever asked a student what they ever thought of integration.

- Q What would you have answered had they asked you that?
- A Depends when. I thought it was great in third, fourth

24

25

not learn all of theirs. But certain things were implanted into me that I should have had a chance to get from home first.

Just like every night you have to go home and get a home-cooked meal, and I don't know, I guess that's just the way society is.

THE CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Are there some questions from any of the panelists? Ms. Ruiz?

Q (By Ms. Ruiz) Yes, I would like to ask if the administration or the teachers ever at any time ask the students to evaluate them or the programs that they were teaching you? Either one.

A (By Ms. Sherman) They do have teacher evaluation slips that come out, and students evaluate teachers. And I know in the School of the Arts, School of the Arts has an evaluation twice a year by students where all students are giving something, you either what's your favorite class, and why and why don't you like this class and what's going on. I don't — I can't remember any — ever being given the chance to evaluate an administrator, so far as vice principals or principals or something.

and so far as integration, I don't think enybody ever maked a student what they ever thought of integration.

- Q What would you have answered had they asked you that?
- A Depends when. I thought it was great in third, fourth

also the racial breakdown of staff at Huey? I mean approximately what was the student population at Huey and what was the staff — how many staff members did you have there and what was the racial breakdown?

A (By Mr. Harold) I couldn't say, you know, what was the actual number of staff compared to racial breakdown because Huey was a very small school and I — if I'm corerect, I believe there was from, somewhere from 200 to maybe 250 students, and maybe possibly 300 that were involved in the school.

And as far as racial breakdown of students. I couldn't give you a percentage numbers, but as far as in fews and lots and smalls, there was a few White students there, and a few Black students, there was some Asian and very small amount of Chicano students that I remember.

But as far as going on retreats and picnics and stuff, it was mostly dominated by Whites and Blacks. The Asian students at that time, I only knew of 10 Asians that were my friends and I wouldn't get into the one, you know, so and so's my best friends, because he's that color. But I'm not saying that was all the Asians that were represented there. That was all that I was aware of because they were my friends. And you know, we grew up together.

But I'm pretty sure that there was more, as far as

__

with the rest of the races, but I know that it was a lot of White students in there.

Q (By the Chair) Could you attribute the success of the Huey, this experimental school program, I assume that you think it was a successful type of program as far as desegregation is concerned. Could you attribute that to the leadership of the school, the staff and the way they handled the problem at Huey?

A Yes, like I say, but I would say that most of their direction came from the director, and unfortunately I can't mention his name. But I believe that he was the one most responsible for coordinating it, the entire process of Huey because I remember that he used to go out and get grantmoney and bring it in so that it could keep continuing.

But I believe along with the help of the staff and the curriculum program set up that the nucleus of the operation was from the director of Huey.

- Q (By Ms. Godoy) You can mention his name, Jimmy.
- A Mr. Robert Stephanie (phonetic).
 - THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Q (By Ms. Tellez) One really quick question. You said, Jimmy, that at the time they were going through the initial desegregation program that the parents were prepared but you — the young people were not. With schools who are right now going through desegregating their own district,

what advice would you give them on how they can prepare 1 students for desegregation? - A ' In my opinion, I would say that they should not ∹3 transfer the student from one part of town to another town until after the third grade. I believe that this gives the student at least a chance to get some strong hold of his background and his culture from his parents and community; at this time the student also gets a chance to 8 actually see what learning experiences he s having from one school. Then he goes to another school. By the time ie's maybe in the fourth or fifth grade, he's able to 11 And then he's able to choose compare between the two. 12 which junior high school he wished to go to. 13 If, you know, if that set up is the same as in 14 Berkeley. 15 (By Ms. Bernstein) I have two questions about the 16 Huey program. Was that the -- was that the ninth 17 Y A THE LOW THEF 18 grade? ... Yes, that was my ninth grade. I believe -- yes, 19 '72 to '73, I believe. 20 From what you remember when you were in class in 21 the Huey program, were those classes any smaller in size 22: and number of students than your eighth grade or your tenth 23 grade classes? 24

25

No, not entirely, because a lot of Huey classes

were fewer students, and some of them were mixed in with West Campus students along with Huey so there was some classes that if you weren't a Huey student and walked in, you know, you didn't know it was a Huey class.

Q Okay. I think what I'm getting at is I'm trying to find out if it was any more successful because maybe there were fewer students in the program, but it was the same as the regular schools?

A In some classes I would say yes. In some classes I would say no. Because of teacher and class size and racial breakdown.

Q Okay. And also do you think that the program was more successful because you chose to be in it? And the others didn't choose to be in it?

A Yes, I believe that you know, each person should be able to choose what they want to, but a program of that nature, I believe you know, that was so good, you just should sort of — they all want to take and slip it in some kind of way. And then, you know, later on in life they'll recognize, you know, how good the program was, and might want to go into something more of that nature.

Julie Sherman and Jimmy Harold, for your participation with us this afternoon. You may return to your seats if you would like.

Our next person is Clementina Almaguer, who is the 1 2 coordinator for Chicano studies at the Berkeley School 3 District. (By The Chair) For the record, would you state 0 5 your name and address and occupation, please? 6 7 CLEMENTINA ALMAGUER My name is Clementina Almaguer; I live at 1314 8 9 Bancroft Way in Berkeley; and I'm the coordinator of Chicano studies and also a teacher in the Berkeley Unified 10 School District. 11 Ms. Almaguer, what positions have you held in the 12 Q 13 Berkeley School District? 14 I've been in the Berkeley School since 1971. I was a teacher for three years. I was off a half a year, and 15 16 then I became the coordinator of Chicano studies and this is my second year in that position. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q I see. Were you involved with Casa de la Raza?
- A Yes, I was, I was a teacher there for two years.
- Q What is Casa de la Raza, could you explain that to us?

A Casa was a culturally based Chicano school experimental school that was part of the experimental school program of Berkeley.

Q In your opinion, what was the effect of Casa de la

la Raza on education, on --

. 9.

22:

A I think it had some very strong effects on the students that attended, predominately Chicano students.

We had many students attending who were so-called dropouts, the so-called delinquents of the junior high schools. I think we had considerable success with them in two areas.

One was in terms of providing the students with some kind of cultural base. Chicano population here in Berkeley is not that large, and we tend to be sort of obscured here. And Casa provided the students with some kind of cultural base which they definitely needed.

When I first came here in '71, the students, the Chicano students dressed and talked Black, okay? This was because the Black culture was very strong and the students had — had no one else to relate to. And so many of the students picked up a lot of the characteristics of the Black culture.

And yet they themselves had lost a lot of their Chicano culture. And this has happened over and over again. We find students not being — losing a lot of their language, a lot of the basic culture that they get in the home and once they go to the schools, they use it and at Casa because we were a cultural based school, because we brought in community people, because there were teachers that were able to relate to the kids in a relevant

<u>1</u> 2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

way, we found that we were successful in that area, where we saw the kids now being proud that they were Chicanos.

And academically, too, we feel that we give the kids some kind of basic skills, primarily since most of them were lacking in the basic skills and we feel that we did have some success in that area. Although we're not — we weren't able to really test it. We didn't have that much time, and we were trying to develop some kind of other than Anglo based test. And we just didn't have the time to do that in the short time that we existed.

- Q But you do feel that there was improvement academically also?
 - À Definitely.
- Q Definitely. What about Chicano participation in the school?
 - A You mean in the general school district?
 - Q In the parents, yes, particularly in the school?

A I don't think that traditionally the Chicano parents participated in the schools, in a right sense. I feel that when we had Casa, one of the important bases to Casa was having the parents involved.

A lot of parents didn't feel adequate to come into the classroom and work with the students, but we found that they were willing to come to meetings in the evening to Mesa Directiva meetings where decisions were made

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

in the schools. We had parents help us out in terms of getting our school organized and helping us maintain our school. We got parents' support in terms of parentstudent meetings, in terms of dealing with the students, things like that. We felt that we were -- we still had to work at it, but we were being successful with the students at Casa.

I don't find that parents, Chicano parents are participating in the schools, in the general school district as they did when we had Casa:

What made the difference?

The fact that I think that a larger part had to do Α with the type of relationship that they had with the administration. At Casa it was Chicanco staffed and the par ents were able to relate, bilingually as well as in terms of understanding where they were coming from and something of their background.

And I think the parents were able to feel at ease. I don't think Chicano parents are, you know tuned into P. T. A. meetings or meetings of this sort. You don't find Chicano people here, okay? And this isn't the kind thing that they can relate to.

Q How long was Casa in existence then?

Casa was in existence for two years, 1971 through June of '73.

22

23

24

-	The state of the s
1	Q Just two years?
2	A Right.
3	. Q And why was it closed?
4	A According to the Office of Civil Rights, Casa was
5	a segregated school. Basically.
6	Q And that was it. Do you feel that the effect of
7	Casa continues in any way, and if so, how?
8	A Well, I think some of us do a lot of reminiscing
9	about Casa. Students as well as the staff that was there.
10	It remains in the sense of like students, you know, still
11	hang together in that sense, and they still - the little
12	bit that they were able to get at Casa they still have in
13	terms of they re proud of who they are. They have not
14	lost that. They've not, you know, been submerged by the
15	dominant society.
16	Q How about on the parents?
17	A I think it's back to the old times where parents are ;
18	not able to relate to the school administration and teachers,
19	and they're very much still concerned about the children!s
20	educations but I think they ve kind of lost hope.
21	Every year we go through the same hassle of staff or
22	programs being terminated and the parents say, well at a
23	time again. And every single year we have to hassie to get
24	things that we'd like.
	1

25

Q

After Casa closed, were any programs established in

the district to assist Chicano students? 1 2 The school district did absolutely nothing to assist the Chicano students to integrate into other schools. .3 Those teachers of us who remained did the little that we 4 We went to different schools, we tried to talk 5 to the people who we thought were sympathetic to us to see 6 if we could put the kids in different, primarily they went 7 to the alternative schools, the other existing alternative 8 schools and there was one principal in particular who helped: 9 us a great deal in the four through six school at Franklin 10 and he helped us establish a Chicano component ther 11 And those students who were at Casa that fit into 12 those grades went to the Chicano component in Franklin. 13 Q What is the number of Chicano students in Berkeley 14 district? 15 Α I think it's approximately about 450. 16 And what percentage is that? Q 17 Very small percentage. 18 You don't know though? 19 20 A No. It's a real minority within minorities 21 initely, definitely. 22

Q Do you know, of the number, of the 400, 450, approximately how many of them are non-English speaking or limited English speaking?

23

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

A I would say really only a small percentage, and once again I would say that because by the time our students start entering the schools and going through a couple of years of school, they realize that they can't succeed in the schools unless they can speak English.

And so it's through shame or through the fact that you know, they have to understand they can only succeed by speaking English, then we find the students losing much of the Spanish language and speaking predominatly English.

gual, bi-cultural program or is it separately?

A Definitely because unless there was a bilingual program, these students that had this bilingualism or could maintain it would definitely just lose it completely.

Q What is the relationship between the bilingual, bi-cultural and the school desegregation program? How do you connect those two?

A Well, I think that it, it's a process toward an integration of all students inas much as at one point you have the bilingual-bi-cultural students and before they can integrate into, say, a multicultural type of setting, they need that one aspect of the bilingual, bi-culturalism.

And I think that the bilingual programs can provide that.

Q Do you think it's more important in the lower grades?

Whether it's important more in the lower grades than 1 Α 2 in the --The same of the sa 3 Q Yes. Well, I think it's important throughout. And that 4 Α was one of the things about desegregation that in fact 5 in the early grades you found that the kids in the flat-6 lands are predominantly third world children being bused up to the hills and not until the later grades that you had 8 the Anglo kids coming down, and the early years are really 9 the most important in terms of the kids forming, you know 10 concepts and culture and things like that 11 And I think that it's a great disservice to have 12 the third world students go up to the hills and have their 13 education up there and lose a great part of their community 14 in that process. 15 What programs, if any, exist? I see. 16 describe them now, that exist for Chicano students? 17 Α Basically at this point there are two kinds of 18 One is the Babel, which is the Bay Area Bilinprograms. 19 gual Ed. League, which has programs here in the school & 20 district which has classes here in the school district: 21 - And also Chicano studies, which is part of the ethnic 22 studies program here in the school district. 23 Are they well supported by the district? Q 24

25

A

Could you The bilingual program is well supported simply BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

because it has federal funds. I think that if federal funds were removed, I don't think that there would be very much strong support from the district.

One example I could give of that is that there's been developed in the district a management reading program that's going to be throughout the district. From ECE through twelfth grade, and in terms of developing that program, one day a woman came in and said, can you give us suggestions as to materials to use? Can you give it to us by this afternoon? It was a very token gesture on their part to try to incorporate Chicano material into this management program that they were developing for all the — all the children.

And I think it was just like I said, a token gesture. And you know, we're kind of getting tired of things like that. The Chicano studies program, it's only in it's second year, and already there's a possibility that we may be eliminated at the end of this year.

The only -- you know, here's a program that's supposed to provide resources for the teacher, that's supposed to gather curricula, that's supposed to develope curriculum, that's supposed to provide in-service to teacher and yet there's only one staff person, which is myself, and I'm only 60% time because I'm teaching 40% time.

So there's no -- there's, you know, it's -- once

で記者 大部 海中

again, a token gesture. And we had \$10,000 in our budget last year. This year it's been cut to five, and you know, I don't see any bright prospects for us.

Q How about with respect to the teachers? Do you have support from the teachers in the program?

A Basically, no. In terms of our in-service, I went through the particular, in this instance the four-six schools asked for teachers to sign up, those that were interested in finding out about Chicano curriculum to use with their students, where 10 came out of the whole, you know, four -six schools which is over a hundred teachers at least.

And there's -- there's very -- there's not much interest and -- that's all.

Q Why? Why do you think this is so?

A Well, I don't know. When I was working at Franklin at the Chicano component, teachers are satisfied with what they call — with desegregation, that is the fact of kids of different color skins being in the same classroom.

Now they're just satisfied with desegregation and not what happens after that. The kids, you know, have to sit next to each other, and of different races and then that, they're satisfied with that.

And I — I asked a teacher, I asked a Black teacher you know, do you really think that desegregation is

And she said no. But she fought for desegregation and I can understand it, you know.

1.

Coming from different experiences, people from the south, you know, me from California, I've had different experiences, okay? And I can't appreciate those as much, but I think there have to be other alternatives, and here in Berkeley I find that teachers are satisfied with desegregation and are not concerned about making another step toward integration. And that's where I find, I think multicultural comes in, into that integration and I don't see it being done.

Q I understand your concern. How do these programs, do you feel that they're really beneficial to the Chicano students, the ones that do exist and that are --

A Well, like I said, Chicano studies it's very hard to reach the students if we can't have the staff. And if teachers are not willing, then I find it very difficult to reach the students on that level.

I myself, I teach so I can reach the students that I do teach what, 40, 50 students a year, that's not very much. But as far as the bilingual program, yes, it has an impact and, you know, it definitely has a far-reaching effect on the students.

Q That's what I meant in terms of the students who

			-
	1	are in	the program, it has a beneficial effect?
	2	A	Yes, I think so.
	.3	- Q	Are they located throughout the district?
	4	A	The bilingual program is located in all the schools
	5	except	at the high school. I'm working on the high school
	6	progra	n at this point.
	7	Q	Are you involved in that?
	8	Ā	Yes, I am.
-	<u>,</u> ,9	, Q	With your 60% time?
	10	Land Andrew	With my 40% time
	11	a Quant	Oh, 40%?
	12	A	Right.
	13	Q	Okay. Can students from other schools opt to attend
	14	these	programs?
	15	A	Yes, they can.
	16	Q	Are they bused?
	17	. А	Kids are but those students that prefer to be, say,
	18	in the	Chicano component at Franklin, they have that choice
	19	and the	ey're able to, for the most part since it's down on
	20	the fl	atland, they do walk to school.
٠	21	Q	Are you in favor of busing?
	22 ,	-, A	I've been asked that question and when it is turned
	23	around	a certain way yes, and in most cases I say no.
	24	It real	lly depends. Like if there is a program that certain
	25	parent	s want their children to attend and, you know, it's
ı			

someplace else where they need the busing or whatever, 1 2 then I say, yes. 3 Approximately how many Chicano children are being bused right now? In this program? 4 Α I'm not sure. I don't know. 5 Generally, do you have any -- what percentage of 6 Q them? 7 Α Well, see what happens is the -- in the bilingual 8 program most of them are down in the flatlands, so the 9 kids just -- are bused from the say the K through the 10 But I'm sorry, I don' 11 third grade. figures. 12 How about the parents, how don'they feel about the 13 14 busing, for the program? I think that since it is the student's choice and 15 the parent's choice, I think that they're in favor of it. 16 How long have you been in your present position of 17 Q the Chicano studies coordinator? 18 This is my second year. 19 Α Would you give us a brief description of your respon-20 sibilities as the district coordinator for the program? 21 Well, I think I kind of mentioned already, that our 22 office and in my position I am supposed to gather curriculum 23 materials that are relevant to Chicanos, which is very few; 24 develop curriculum materials for teacher; provide in-service 25

for teachers; be sort of a district liason in terms of the Chicano community and/or, you know, sort of represent the Chicano community in terms of different meetings and that kind of thing.

Q What are your financial supports and staff supports for your program?

A Like I mentioned earlier, this year we have \$5,000 for everything, and I have — we have a secretary but she's under SEATO. If there wasn't SEATO here in Berkeley there wouldn't be a secretary for Chicane studies and there wouldn't be any curriculum developed. So we end up being very dependent on other people, other programs besides

Berkeley School District because we don't find that much support there.

Q Is this the same case for other minority programs? Other departments?

A The other -- the other programs, Asian studies, Black studies, Women's studies, Chicano studies, we all-fall under the same category.

Q And they're having the same cut back? ...

A Uh huh. Right. Right.

Q. What about next year, what's the prospects for the continuation of the program?

A Very slight, unless, you know, federal monies come in once again to save us. And then, too, in terms of

the elimination of teachers or the possibility of teachers
being eliminated, there's a substantial number that are
Chicanos or Latinoes, and that would definitely affect the
bilingual program.

Q How about the other programs? What are their
chances for next year?

A The Asian, the Black?

Q Yes.

A Well, it used to be that when — as long as I've been in here, any way, that the school board used to be suseptible to community pressure and depending on how much community pressure you had, you could exert some kind of influence over the school board.

But that's diminishing rapidly, and we're — I don't really think that we have too much effect any more. I think that the school board tends to make the decisions for us in our name and for the best interests of all of us, and don't really care to listen to us any more.

And so they will in fact make the final decisions without regard to how we feel.

Q You say we, are you talking about the community?

A And the other etnic studies and Women's studies programs.

Q Okay. Well, going back to the question of academic concerns, what do you think are the educational concerns

1 of the Chicano community?

A Well, I think basically that their kids just felt some kind of basic skills under their belt so they can go out and get a half way decent job. And also that those who like can go on to higher education and that has not been true here in Berkeley.

Q Is this related to desegregation? How do you see this? In terms of the desegregation program?

A The fact that kids getting schooling are not

Q - Well, in the field, the academic field, the education

A I don't have figures with me, but Chicano students have not done well in the schools and this was before desegregation and now after desegregation.

Like I mentioned, Casa was an attempt to do something about that, but when you put kids back in the normal schools, in the common schools and you have the same kind of curriculum predominantly Anglo Saxon oriented type of curriculum, or when you have teachers with certain attitudes that are very difficult to change, when you just have the structures of the schools the way they are without much flexibility, I think it's very difficult for some students to learn.

And I think Chicano students fit under that.

Q In terms of the whole desegregation effort, how much input did the Chicano community have on that whole

1 desegregation struggle?

A Well, I wasn't here when that took place, but to my knowledge, very little.

Q Do they have any input in it now?

A No, and as a matter of fact, Casa was created because Chicano parents no longer could handle the school situation and this was under desegregation. This was in the Spring of '71, and students — students weren't satisfied, parents were very unsatisfied, and it was because of this that a proposal was written to get Casa de la Raza. And this was after desegregation.

So in fact, parents were not satisfied and are to this day not satisfied.

Q Well, going back to the whole multicultural programs, the Chicano programs and so forth, if the teachers were receptive to these programs, would you, yourself, be in favor of making these mandatory programs in Berkeley?

A lot always seems to depend on the teachers.

Q If it were accepted, if the teachers were -- had positive attitudes toward this program, would you make it mandatory?

A Well, I think I'd go even beyond making the ethnic studies, Women's studies programs mandatory, I think that somehow our programs have to be incorporated into the regular curriculum. Teachers see ethnic studies as sort of

an extra thing that they have to teach, and I don't think that, you know, curriculums worth anything until we have our — our curriculum, our, you know, things into the regular curriculum or just part of the regular school day.

Like students opt to go or not having to take something or something being mandated or not being mandated.

It wouldn't even be a question if our curriculum could really
be multicultural where we would all be, you know, part of
one thing.

And reading would be, you know, from a totally different perspective than what it is right now.

- Q How would you see the effect, if that were the case, on group interaction, racial interaction?
 - A I think it would -- it would make for a good start.
- Q Have you attempted to provide in-service training to teachers on Chicano studies?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q When?

A I did this past year, last summer a group of us got together and developed some Chicano curriculum for four -six schools, and I had teachers come in to share, those teachers that were interested. And I got about 10 teachers

- Q Oh, that's the group?
- A Right.
 - Q Out of about a hundred?

Ī	~	The state of the same of the s
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q What kind of reception did those 10 give you?
ű	3	A They were very favorable and they made us feel a
	4	little bit better that, you know, there were teachers in
	5	the district who accepted it and who were very excited
	6	and willing to try out our materials.
ŀ	7	Q These were non-Chicanos?
	8	A Predominantly non-Chicanos.
á,	<u>.</u> 9	1 Q Did you get support from the Black teachers?
	-10 <i>*</i>	A There were there were some Black teachers, yes.
	11	Q What recommendations would you make to generate
	12	interest in the ethnic and multicultural studies among the
	13	teachers? The 90 that you didn't reach?
	14	A Ask me the question again?
	15	Q What recommendation would you make in order to
	16	generate interest or positive attitudes among those people;
	17	is there anything you can do to reach them?
	18	A I don't know. It was sort of the students had
	19	mentioned something, you know, where desegregation and what-
	20	ever is sort of a dead issue, and it's kind of hard to move
	21	teachers
	22	Like I said earlier, their main concern is that
	23	they're desegregated and they're willing to leave it at
	24	that.

that was primarily composed of Chicano students, there were an Asian cluster and there were the bilingual programs.

And teachers couldn't understand why, you know, why we wanted to have separate kids there.

I'm generalizing, but I sort of got the feeling the teachers wanted their token Asian in the classroom, their token Chicano, so that when the Cinco de Mayorcame around or the Year of the Dragon, or whatever came around, that they would have that particular student in the classroom and they, you know, called that desegregation.

Q Do you feel then, that teachers should be required to take multi ethnic or multicultural programs in order to be certified?

A Well, at this point I'd say yes, I feel that's just, how would you say, just sort of a reform measure, right?

But at this point, I'd say yes, and I'd, you know, like to think we could move on something other than just that, though.

Q Well, would you say — well, let me ask you this way; How important do you think the teacher attitude is in terms of the whole Chicano program?

A I - all I can say is that it's extremely important

- Q And how about in terms of desegregation on all levels?
 - A The teachers attitude? I think it's very important.

MS. JACOBS: Do we have some questions from the other: members? (By Ms. Molina) Yes. With regard to Casa de la Raza, when they closed it down, they closed it down because it 4 was segregated. Was it in fact segregated? It depends on what the definition of segregation If segregation is a forced situation, then no, it was not segregated. Casa was an optional school. It was open to those There was not closed admissions, any 10 student was welcome: It was simply on the basis of those 12 students that chose to come and if they had needs. So the school district then decided instead of de-13 segregating Casa de la Raza they just decided to close it 14 15 down? The school district decided not to go to court and 16 Α -- because see, it didn't -- the Civil Rights Commission 17 didn't even say that we were in non compliance. The 18 19 Civil Rights Commission said Casa was in probable non_com 20 pliance. THE CHAIR: This was the office of education? 21 Yes, the Office for Civil Rights under HEW said. 23 they came out and investigated us and said that we were in 24 probable non compliance. 25 Now, I don't think that means that we're in non-

東京教 海水源十年

compliance, it's probable, and Berkeley schools chose for various reasons not to pursue that and not to do anything legally about that.

And because of that we were closed. Do I answer your question?

MS. MOLINA: Yes.

MS. RODRIGUEZ: I have one more question.

Q (By Ms. Rodriguez) Do parents of the Chicano students in the classroom and the problems that they have, do you feel that they re being transferred? Are they being, you know, put into heterogenous classrooms?

A Yes, yes, they are being tracked.

Q (By Ms. Molina) With regard to the Black studies programs are mandatory at a certain grade level, are they not?

A Yes.

O Are in-service programs mandatory for all teachers?

A No. See, and one thing that we were concerned about was that we did not want Asian studies and Chicano studies had gotten together and talked about mandating or asking the board to mandate their programs in certain grade levels, but it had been my experience to see that those even though Black studies was mandated in a certain grade level, it was just not being taught, you know. A teacher's attitude or whatever is, you know, so what's mandate in the

classroom is my, you know, my place and nobody can tell
me when somebody in my classroom and close the door. So
books are piled up in the corners, you know, and the token,
once again it was a very token thing where they have Black
teachers coming in once a week to teach Black studies and
then the teachers, the regular teachers were to carry on
the programs, but they were not doing it. So they'd have
their token once a week Black studies and say, oh, yes,
we're following up, you know, a mandated program and we
didn't want to fall into the same thing.

THE CHAIR: So there was no real monitoring?

A No, there wasn't.

1.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony.

I believe our next person is Mr. Calvin Simmons.
Mr. Simmons will come to the front, please.

Q (By the Chair) For the record, Mr. Simmons, would you give us your name and address and occupation, please? -

CALVIN SIMMONS

A I am Calvin Simmons, 1124 Coffee Street, Berkeley and I'm employed at Berkeley School District. I am a custodian.

Q (By Ms. Ruiz) Are you a classified employee?

A Yes.

A: I happened to have a Dr. Harris, I believe, San Francisco State.

Q You did, you participated. You just said who your instructor was. Were you or the employees compensated in any way for taking this training?

A They were given units, the district has a professional growth program that is developed for classified staff, which they attend school. They give minutes and they get compensated for minutes they take.

Q Was there any difference in the compensation for classified and certificated personnel?

A Yes, there were, in fact there were different, in other words, a certificated person received, I think, three units for the course and classified received two units. And the compensation for units are higher for certificated than they are classified. So there was a difference.

Q Did you feel that you and the other employees_benefited by this training?

A Yes. Yes, I felt that I — I really can't say I did, really can't assess someone'else's.

Q Well, how did you benefit?

A I think that it was clear to me that, I mean it was made clear to me that there were people who did not understand, and I myself did not understand other's cultures.

1 you know, the sensitivity to some things that affect other ethnic groups. Do you think that this training could have been made 4 more meaningful? Yes. I think it could have. If it probably had been longer in duration. 7 Had been what? Longer in duration, longer, I think that it was a 8 crash program O As a school custodian, have you observed any changes in school facilities since desegregation? 11 12 A Facilities? I would say that the offset and the 13 site at which I happen to work, whether it's factual or not, there were, like rebuffing of the place and painting 14 15 it, and it think the students really felt bad, but since integration there has not been any improvement in facilities. 16 Is there social interreaction between White and 17 Q minority students at Columbus School? 18 19 Α I would say yes. 20 To what extent? Oh, kids play games together, sports, whatever 21 22 hopscotch, whatever -- they re, you know, those things are -- you know, they re integrated-type activities that 23 24 students do together. Do they seem to resegregate themselves along racial 25 Q

lines?

. 7

A There are -- okay, let's put it this way, I'm not saying a total improvement, I assume there are. Yes, there are, but on the other hand, there are other positive things, students who after they leave school very, you know, who love sports, you know, they don't resegregate themselves, kids who are in programs that they -- that they like and do well and feel comfortable with, they participate with each other and that's not on a segregated level.

Q As a parent, what is your assessment of the relationship between the Black and the White parents since degestregation?

A I think the relationship between the Black and White parents is so — is non-existent. And when I say that is that, it's such a small percentage that you could put it in that category. Because I think what happens is that the students are, you know, forced together and the parents are not.

Even though the parents were perpetrators of integration, and that's why I feel rather clumsy here today trying to assess it because we're responsible for it and the students who — and after hearing the students who were here earlier, I felt right then that it should be more students on this panel, even though I came in late and I don't know who else was before me.

But I think it's a student program and it's a student involvement, and I don't think I should make an assessment even though I have students in school and work in the school district, and I'm rather glad by the fact of being asked to come. But I, —

Oh, your question that parent's per se have not gotten together, for whatever reason that is, I think it's geographic boundaries, economics, you name it, why parents have not gotten together.

Q As a parent, have you been aware of any ability grouping in the Berkeley schools, also referred to as tracking?

A Yes, that is -- that is a fact. That students are grouped on ability basis. And, that's it.

Q What effect do you think this grouping has on the students?

A It definitely has a negative effect if a student, and I'm sure all students aspire to upgrade themselves and to, you know, get into the mainstream and move along. So it's a negative factor.

students participation in this hearing is certainly wellcomed. While we don't have any scheduled, as such, tomorrow at one o'clock we do have an open session for anyone
not scheduled who wishes to testify, to testify. And we

hope some will be here, and I invite you to urge some to come if you feel that they have something they would like to say.

Q (By The Chair) I wanted to ask you, since you went through the mandated course, which was several years ago, I recognize, if you could remember some of the content of that, if you'd share with us actually what went on in your session, what kind of a session it was, what were some of the things covered?

A Some of the sessions were, they were discussions, they were a sharing of — of life goals and one's experience to another person's, and you talk about your — we talked about, I think what can hinder us from reaching out to another person and that sort of thing.

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) How do you think — well, how would you feel if the administration asked you to now take the course again, even though desegregation is a fact in the Berkeley School System, do you think it would be a value now after the fact to once again get together with the same people?

A I don't really think so. I don't think that, I think it would be another futile attempt because at this point, everybody has either, you know, feel they, you know, they know what they feel and how they — you know— they've set, they've set in a path, so consequently I don't think

-	The state of the same of the s
1	that another eight week course would jog anybody out of it.
2	Q Well, weren't they set in a pattern before the
3	first course?
4	A Right.
5	Q So the courses don't do any good?
6	A And I'm not saying no, I would say the course is .
7	an opportunity to to improvement in attitudes.
8	Q What do you think would improve attitudes?
9	A New blood.
10	O (By The Chair) You mean new blood on what levels, Wr.
11	Simmons?
12	A Oh, what I thought we were talking about teachers
13	and administrators and program leaders and those kinds of
14	people.
15	Q The certificated personnel rather than the classi-
16	fied personnel?
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A Yes, because we we don't get that involved with
18	A Yes, because we we don't get that involved with the students because the law states that we're not even
18 19	
	the students because the law states that we're not even
19	the students because the law states that we're not even to discipline students. We don't you know, that sort
19 20	the students because the law states that we're not even to discipline students. We don't you know, that sort of thing.
19 20 21	the students because the law states that we're not even to discipline students. We don't you know, that sort of thing. Q But you do talk with them?
19 20 21 22	the students because the law states that we're not even to discipline students. We don't you know, that sort of thing. Q But you do talk with them? A On, yes, we do that. I'm not saying that But I'm
19 20 21 22 23	the students because the law states that we're not even to discipline students. We don't you know, that sort of thing. Q But you do talk with them? A Oh, yes, we do that. I'm not saying that But I'm saying it's not our responsibility for, you know, how

1 panel?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thank you very much, Mr. Simmons. We appreciate your coming and giving us your viewpoint today. We'll take a 10-minute break and resume at 20 minutes to four. At which time we'll hear from Ms. Hill.

(Short recess.)

THE CHAIR: All right. We will reconvene the afternoon session by asking Ms. Beatrice Hill if she will come up to the table. Ms. Hill here?

In the absence of Ms. Hill, we II ask two people to come up to the table then, Ms. Beatrice Ferreira and Astor Mizuhara.

MS. GODOY: Mr. Mizuhara is here, but Ms. Ferreira is not here yet.

THE CHAIR: And for the record, would you state your name and address and occupation, please?

ASTOR MIZUHARA

A My name is Astor Mizuhara, and my address is 1150 Virginia, Berkeley.

- Q (By The Chair) And your occupation, sir?
- A I'm a principal.
- Q At which school?
- 24 A Franklin Intermediate.
- 25 | Q (By Ms. Bernstein) What variety of positions have

1 2 you held in the Berkeley Unified School District?

3

Let's see, I was hired as a teacher here in 1959,

4

5, and 6 until about 1966. Then I was a consulting teacher

and I taught at Franklin Intermediate Schools, Grades 4,

5

for two years and during the year of integration I served

6

as the administrative assistant to the director of elemen-

.7

tary education.

Since then I've also been the associate director for the experimental schools program, that was for

And since 1973. If we been a principal at Franklin

10 11

termediate School

12

The intermediate schools's Grades 4 through 6?

13

Α Right.

minority students.

14

Do you have any specific programs at your schools

15

directed -- at your particular school directed toward the

16

17

A I have several. I guess in terms of the Blackit.

18

students, there is a mandated program for fifth grade in --

19

which we teach Black studies. But aside from that, I do

20

have two programs, one is called the Asian Cluster, and

21

- let me see. Asians, I guess -Asians are allowed a maximum

22

classroom, so I have four classrooms, the

23

rest of the 30 students would be varied and within that

24

component we teach the Asian-American experience and also

25

teach Chinese as a bilingual program.

The other program dealing with minorities would be, one is the BABEL, which is a Spanish bilingual program, and I do have one classroom that has as it's philosophy the one advocated by Casa de la Raza, which was two years ago disbanded because it did not meet with the regulations of the Civil Rights Act.

Q Who developed these programs that you just described?

A The Asian cluster program came about because of the involvement of the Asian community. In 1970, I think due to the emphasis of the Black programs, the minorities, other minorities in Berkeley also said that they would like to see the Berkeley Unified School District try to attempt to meet their needs.

And one of the ways that we tried to meet the needs of the Asian program was, or Asian people is to formulate the Asian cluster program.

The Chicano program in 1970 came about because

Berkeley received a \$400,000 grant from the federal government.

- Q Do you feel these programs meet those needs?
- A I think it's a beginning. I don't think that the program is meeting all the needs.
- Q The majority students, the students that are in the majority population, do participate?
 - A They do participate, and I think in terms of the

1 ESP evaluation, in terms of those programs, we had a 90% 2 satisfactory rating from the parents who have children in -3 those classrooms. 4 What is exactly the process, a student at the Q 5 beginning of the year 'decides to choose these programs and 6 then they are placed in them or --One of the things we have at Franklin Intermediate School is parent option. Parents are sent out questionnaires at the beginning of each year, and they are allowed to select programs. And they have a choice between the 11 the bilingual Spansh, we have a multicul 12 tural program tatheres also that encompases 10 classrooms. 13 and the regular program or what we call the fundamental 14 program. 15 Do all the students who choose these programs 16 automatically get into them? 17 About, I'd say roughly 96% get either first, second Α 18 or third choice. 19 Do many of the other intermediate schools have --20 similar kinds of programs? The only other school that E can think of that has 21 22 an Asian cluster program would be Longfellow school: sThe 23 other four-six schools do not have a bilingual program nor 24 the multicultural as we have it at Franklin. 25 If a student wanted to participate in a bilingual

program, but was assigned to a different school, could 1 2 they come to your school? We do make exceptions for people who want to enter 3 Α the experimental school program which would include the 4 5 Asian cluster, the Chicano component and the multicultural component at Franklin, but with the regular program of, 6 we encourage parents to stay within their zone. How do the students get to the school? Through busing. 9 Α - To you know how many participating buses to this 10 11 gram? Do I? Α 12 Do you know how many students are actually bused to 13 the experimenal program? 14 No, I don't. We have about roughly one-third of our 15 A ::students bused. 16 Do you think these programs are valuable, important? 17 I would say yes, definitely. But to answer your 18 previous questions, they do not answer all the -- all the 19 20 things that we should be doing. What other kinds of things would be the next step 21 22 after? Well, I -- I feel that in Berkeley, we've -- I guess 23 it's a matter of semantics, but we've succeeded in desegrega-24

ting students, but I don't think that we're anywhere near

what I would feel would be integrated school system.

Q We've been hearing this all day. Now, what kinds of suggestions as a principal would you make for programs in your schools that would really integrate the school, if you could?

A Well, I think -- well, my definition of integration is that first of all, that you have -- you have a good mixture of staff, that means racially, sexually, value-wise, that would be one of the major components.

I think also the school system or the school should also allow, I think the sharing of power. In other words, where minorities can come into the school and have their voices heard. I don't think we're anywhere near that yet.

I think the other component, which is essential in integration, is — is in terms of curriculum. I think that students should learn all about other ethnic groups as well as about women's studies. I think that's a crucial issue now coming about. I think that the curriculum should move towards the exception, or acceptance of cultural diversity.

Q ... We heard earlier today that one of the ... the Black studies. I guess, is mandatory in the fifth grade, and the students that testified said it really didn't work, that they didn't necessarily have teachers who taught it who knew anything about it and that it was maybe once a week

12.

kind of thing. What you're suggesting is mandatory multicultural education but does it work if it's forced?

A I don't think I'm advocating mandatory, but I would like to see a lot of changes in attitudes of staff and community toward ethnic studies. I think if we have the proper mental attitude toward, you know, cultural diversity that I think we can implement that without having it jammed down people's throats.

Q Are your programs going to be reinstated next year?

A That's a very difficult question to answer. I see a trend in our district due to financial and, I guess some due to the swinging back of the pendulum, but I think next year if things go according to what I've heard from the board, we're going to be wiped out in terms of our ethnic programs.

To illustrate, to give you an illustration of 12 people on my staff got termination notices. Of those 12, two are White, ten are third world people. If termination does go according to that list, for example, three of my Asian cluster teachers will be wiped out, three out of four, two out of the four Chicano teachers will be wiped out.

And along with many of my Black staff.

- Q Do you have an alternative school at your school?
- A Yes.

.1

Q How does that work?

Well, as I said before, we have four classrooms that 1 are considered the Asian cluster, four that I would classify 2 as a bilingual Spanish, I have 10 classrooms that would be .3 classified as multicultural, and the rest would be a very 4 good program. 5 How have the parents responded to this? 6 In terms of experimental schools, as I stated before, level one has indicated that there is 90% satisfac-8 tory with that program. Overall in terms of the total chool. I think it came out about 76% parent satisfaction Have you been able to see any visible change in the factorial of the second sec 12 the students who are in the programs? 13 I can't give you a feeling. I think in many of 14 the classrooms that stresses the humanistic approach and 15 where it's student directed, I find more kids are enjoying 16 school and getting along better. 17 I think there the social value is immense in class-18 rooms that feel that this is important. 19 You don't have any figures, say on skills? 20 In terms of ESP component at Franklin School the 21 P classrooms have shown better than the non-ESP classrooms 22 at Franklin. 23 What is ESP? 24 The Experimental Schools Program. 25

1 You keep referring to clusters also, what's a cluster? 2 The Asian cluster program is a program where rather 3 A than dispersing the Asians, for example, at Franklin say two to three to a classroom, we have clusters of them in 5 groups of 10. And put them into one single classroom, 6 so approximately 30 -- well 40 of the roughly 75 Asian 7 students that we have are in four classes. 8 What happens in those classes? 9 Well, we have Asian teachers and we deal with 10 students in terms of what we feel the Asian studen 11 Q Do you have tracking at your school? 12 A No. 13 Did you ever? Q 14 We had grouping. 15 All right, grouping. 16 We had grouping, I think when we first -- or when I 17 first taught at Franklin School, we grouped according to 18 reading and arithmetic among other things. 19 Q Was that before segregation? 20 A Yes. 21 So since the segregation you've had no group

Well, since I've been at Franklin School we've de-

vised a method where we now integrate our classes according

to race, sex and abilities.

22

23

24

Do you think it has made any difference in positive 2 or negative ways? -3 A . I think it makes it harder for the teachers to teach a diverse group, but I also think that it's positive in 5 the sense that we are now looking at individuals. 6 Has it made any difference on their scoring in terms 7 of skills? 8 I can't really say. Do teachers at your school, do they have to, or do they presently have to take any in-service classes? time that we went through integration. 12 all teachers in Berkeley took a mandated in-service train 13 ing course. But I think if you have an integrated faculty, I think that, you know, it would take its normal course. 14 For example, last Thursday they showed a film on the 15 Japanese-Americans, and I'm quite sure that due to the 16 integrated faculty there was a lot of discussion around 17 that film. Which normally wouldn't happen if you didn't 18 have an integrated staff. 19 20 What percentage of your faculty, what is the percen tage -- breakdown of ethnic minority? 21 give you exact figures; but roughly I think 22. the figures are accurate, but 36% of my faculty would 23 be considered White, 38% Black, about 16% White, and 7% 24 Chicano or Latinos. 25

Q Now, if you have your cuts, what will then happen?

A . We'd turn into a basically Black and White school.

Q The in-service, the kinds of in-service classes that were offered, do you think that they were valuable enough that those kinds of classes should be offered on a college level before the teachers come in?

A My feelings about ethnic studies is that if we have it at the elementary level and we continue with that through high school, that the students wouldn't need ethnic studies at the college level, particularly minority kids, teachers wouldn't also need to go into ethnic studies because they ve already had it.

So I firmly believe that we do have to move toward an integrated curriculum, kindergarten through 12.

Q All right, but what about the teachers right now that are in the colleges that are coming out and will move into this integrated situation?

A I think a learning experience is better than book learning.

Q Has their — is there — to what extent has there been any positive racial interaction at your school?

A Well, in terms of the academic mix, for example, just between now and the last few months we have the Chinese New Years Program, in February we had a Black studies.

Week in which we had performances and dramas from students

on Black history, next week we will have a play on a young Cochise, which deals with the Native Americans and Cinco de Maya is coming up very shortly, so I think we do quite well in terms of the academics.

- Q How about racial tension, that sort of thing?
- A We do have that at our school, but I don't think any more than prior to integration.
- Q The kind of fighting that goes on, is it just kids fighting or is it based on race have racial overtones?
 - A Trean't say that it is racial. I think kids Tight
- I wanted to ask a question about the loss of staff.

 I assume that, you know, there's going to sort of be a policy of the last hired, first fired, if you were in charge of just, you know, there has to be cuts let's say because of financial reasons, if you were in charge of determining where the cuts should be made, and if it's personnel, how they should be chosen, how would you do it?

A I think my major concern in working at Franklin is to meet the needs of the community, and by that I mean the Franklin community and for example, whatever the needs that they express in terms of how I can develop programs, that would be the way that I would set priority on teachers.

For example, if a Chicano, Asian program or Black program is what the community needs or White, this is the direction I would move. But I would not like to see it.

based on, you know, the first -- last hired, first fired policy.

- Q Are there any parent groups that communicate their desires with you, organized community groups?
 - A What do you mean by organized? P. T. A.?
 - Q Community advisory?

3.

A I have a site advisory committee that gives me input, but it's not what I would call an organized group of parents, you know, in the sense that they come with certain demands.

- Q Well, in terms of --you heard this morning that there --
 - A No, I didn't hear.
- Q No, we heard this morning that there were a lot of meetings that went on between parents, parents, teachers, parents, administrators in terms of planning desegregation. Were there any in the neighborhood where your school is located? Have they disappeared or —

A Well, when I went to Franklin School, I did talk with the community and I told them, I told the community that I would like to work with one group, and rather than working with a lot of splinter groups, and so they met and they hassled and finally they decided that the P. T. A. would be the most — well, the best vehicle in which to work from and so I work with one group that is represented by

	- ==	,	in the second se	The same that the same of	# 27	
	1	all etl	hnic groups.		· ·	
	2	Q	Are there very m	any parents that	come into	your
	3	school	to volunteer the	ir service?		
	4	A	I don't know wha	t you mean by ma	my.	
	5	Q	Well			
	6	A	I have some.			
	7	Q	Do you ever o	h.		
	8	A	It would differ.	For example, T	think now,	most
1854.5. 6 413	. 9 10	* 16 7 - 4 8 8 8 8 8 8	participation is a group of paren			
	11-	long h	aŭl, but they re	a small core of	roughly 25,	30
	12	parents	3.	est Vin	~	A Market Congress of
	13		I do have parent	s of that many p	articipating	g in one
	14	classro	oom, but in terms	of their child.	For exampl	Le, on
	15	field t	trips and having	pot luck and thi	ngs like thi	s.
	16	Q	Do you think it !	s important to h	ave parents	involved
	17	in the	school to facili	tate desegr e gati	on, is their	pre-
	18	sence r	needed, not needed	d?		.—
-	19	A	I have problems	with the word de	segregation,	because
	20	that to	me implies just	busing and that	isn't what	I'm all
	21 22	about	Okay we'll go o	n to the integra	tion that yo	u were
	23	talking	g about.	" 17 1 2 1 3 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	24	A	Do I think that]	parent participa	tion is cruc	ial in
	25	that?	I definitely do	think so. Parti	cularly mino	rity -
			•			

1 parents.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q Did you have any -- you said the experimental schools program, the ESP, that you felt that there were attention, the students actually performed higher in skills?

- A Uh huh.
- Q Do you have any statistics on that?
- A Yes, I do.
 - Q can you relate them to us?

A No, I don't have them off the top of my head; but they are in a report from ESP evaluation one; or level on

MS. JAMES: We have that.

THE CHAIR: Thank you very much, sir. We wanted to pick up on something you said a little earlier and that was that your observation, while you had a number of student fights, that they were not racial incidents.

Now, would you say that, or how would you say that the community perceives this and the students and the faculty?

A I think during the last month, at Franklin School, I sent out a letter to all the parents stating that I can no longer tolerate fighting in our — at Franklin School. And I'm trying to crack down on the kids and make parents understand that this is one of the things that we're trying to work real hard on.

2021222324

Berkeley is one of the few school districts in California that has abolished corporal punishment as a means of disciplining students, and so we have to find other means.

,3

Also I think that I, too, go along with central administration that suspension is not one of the answers, and yet in the years they're taking away, for example, guidance and counselors which I believe are crucial in changing the tone and atmosphere of the school, and I'm — am I answering your question?

Q (By The Chair) Well, I m interested in what you are saying. I think that my specific reference was to your perceptions of the faculty as to whether the fights were racial or not or if the students as to whether there was racial violence in the community?

And I would add to that, that in terms of the fighting at your school, how does this compare with that at the other junior high schools?

A I have no statistics on that, but in terms of parents wanting to hop into Franklin School, I would say that in terms of the Whiter community that they would consider Franklin School one of the, in quotes, "safer" schools

So I would say that we have less violence than the other schools.

Q Back to the attitude of the faculty again, do they

perceive these fights as something that is going to happen and that there's little that they can do about it, just in the process of growing up and being in a school situation, or do they perceive these as racial incidents?

A I think most of the fights that might occur are not based so much on race but between Blacks and Blacks or I find that I have counseled a lot of kids and it's not so much a Black and a White encounter.

- Q How are incidents like that handled when they do that
- A I have a, what I designate as a Help center.
- Q A what?

A help, h-e-l-p, help center, and I moved -- I have three -- I had three instructional aides that were from the community and I've moved them into a counseling center, and I have a counselor, guidance worker and three -- community people working in the help center and kids who get up tight at school can go there and receive counseling or someone to talk to.

And most of my disagreements or fights are referred to the help center.

Q This is a voluntary action on the part of the student to go to the help center once they are apprehended, or is it a mandated thing?

A They can go on their own or they're sent by teachers.

It would be both.

Q But if they refuse to go, then what?

A Then they are usually seen in my office.

Q To what extent are students suspended for fighting?
Or removed from school?

A Right now, if a student is involved in a fight, he is taken to the help center, he is counseled, second time he's involved in a fight he goes again to the help center and the help center contacts the home. And a letter is written notifying the parents that the student was involved in a fight at school, and that the next time that occurs, that we will send him home.

And I think in the last three months I've sent five students home. On suspension.

Q And they are suspended for like what length of time?

A Most of the times, a day and a half to three days.

Q So that in your viewpoint, and I don't mean to be putting words in your mouth, but I gather what you're saying is that interracial school experiences do not necessarily promote racial violence?

A ... Will you repeat that?

Q What I was saying was while I don't want to put words in your mouth, I would assume from what you have said, your experience leaves you to feel that interracial experiences or integrated school experiences do not promote interracial violence or racial violence?

I hope it lessens through understanding of each 1 2 other. 3 Q And would you say that based on your experience you think that it does lessen? 4 I would say yes. 5 Α THE CHAIR: Thank you --6 People would disagree. 7 (By Ms. Tellez) From your testimony as well as that 8 of Mr. Roh, this morning, it appears as though the financial 9 crisis that the city is in right now will dilute some of 10 the gains made in integration . How receptive do you thi 11 12 your staff will be to such measures such as no salary increases, and so forth, in order to save personnel? 13 14 I polled my staff two weeks ago on this particular issue, and my staff will be issuing a statement stating that 15 rather than having layoff, they would rather go without 16 17 the raise. Thank you. 18 MS. TELLEZ: (By Ms. Molina) Earlier we had some students testify 19 Q 20 they didn't feel that the desegregation program was actually 21 achieving integration. Do you, in your opinion, think that there's been progress made toward integration? 22 I'm somewhat disappointed and I guess I'm -- I'm 23 24 very impatient too, like many of my other third world friends.

25

But I don't think that we're achieving integration as fast

as I would like to see it move. I felt very good when

we desegregated in 1968, and felt that Berkeley was going

to show the way to the nation on how to achieve the cultural diversity that I felt was a goal.

Presently I am a little disappointed in the way things are moving. I don't think we're moving fast enough in terms of raising the achievement of Black and Chicano students, although we're making progress. I don't think it's fast enough.

(By Ms. Bernstein) I wanted to ask one last question.

Have you observed any teachers who tend to hold back in

disciplining minority students because they re afraid they

would be labled racist or whatever?

A I'd be a fool to say no, I don't know of any. I imagine there are some teachers who would fear that. I would say that in the last couple of years, that many of the White teachers on my staff, that, you know, being called racist, you know, does not affect them and they're-willing to deal with what is at hand. And that is, you know, the concern of the students.

you don't have any mandated teacher in service training that you are doing some in your school. You mentioned the film that was shown. Now, is this a regular activity or --

A Up until this year, the strike has set Franklin back,

3

I would say quite a ways in terms of the open rapport that we had. But in previous years, during faculty meetings, we would devote faculty meetings to discussions of various issues, and this has been very helpful.

Or teachers would present, for example, their Asian point of view in terms of their, the way they would like to see things move, or the Black staff.

This year due to the strike, I guess most people do not understand the depth of what the strike did in terms of interpersonal relationships on our staff.

Q Could you elaborate on that issue?

A Well, I've been through desegregation and I know that was a wholly emotional issue, but in terms of the strike, I've never seen anything so devastating in terms of a staff relationship.

Franklin is rather unique, I think, in that we had 11 teachers inside working, most of the schools had 100%, you know, walk outs in terms of staff, but at Franklin we had one-third of our roughly 11 staff members in and 30 out. And so it did create much havoc in terms of the interpersonal relationship.

had built up destroyed. I've seen people, teachers who for the last 10 years have taken vacations together, if one was a striker and one was a non-striker, that, you know,

the relationship has been strained.

Q (By the Chair) And you feel that does affect the attempts at integration?

A Yes.

-3

Q (By Ms. Godoy) Mr. Mizuhara, you mentioned your disappointment that integration has not moved along fast enough in the Berkeley School Districts. In your opinion, what factors have impeded the successful integration of the district?

Well, it depends on the racial group that you talk with. For example, if you talk with — with the Blacks and Chicano parents in particular, we have not moved fast enough in terms of integrating curriculum. We have not moved in terms of raising the achievement level of their children. I think I heard one parent talk about, we helped to desegregate the school and now we want the quality education for our children. And I don't think we've achieved the quality of education for Black and Chicano kids as we had earlier thought we would achieve, you know, in that — in that span of time.

--Q Do you think then it s just the time period that you expected to succeed, just the time frame that you had originally set the goals for?

A Well, I don't have any definite time limit, but I thought that, you know, let's see, it's been since 1968,

and now it's seven years hence, and still there's a big gap between Black and Chicano and White students.

Q (By Ms. James) Just a technical question. Do you feel that there's an equitable distribution of supplies and materials to all of the schools in the district or, in other words, do you feel that you get an equitable share at Franklin?

A No.

Q Do you feel that there's an equitable distribution of experienced teachers throughout the district or are they concentrated in some schools more than others?

A Well, the Berkeley Unified School District has 12 major items on it's board or the budget assumption, and one is that we implement a reading management system in Berkeley and the second is to improve the four-six schools.

I think the four-six schools have been short changed ever since integration or desegregation; in answer to your question whether Franklin School gets it's fair share of papers and so forth, Franklin is one of the few schools that has no large federal funding coming into that school. And so this is why I'm answering no.

But in terms of district support we are equal.

Q So you would say that all four of the four-six schools from the district level, not talking about federal funding coming in, but from the district administration

gives equitable distribution of those kinds of to the four-six schools? 2 3 A . I have some concerns about that the time I can answer. 4 Yes. Fine. 5 0 Or I can just tell you, you know -- Franklin School 6 is a school that is the largest elementary school in Berkeley. We have other four-six schools that are functioning at about half our population, yet in terms of allocations of staff; for example, it you compare Franklin 10 with another smaller four-six elementary school, they 11 assign one principal, one vice principal. They hire 12 they also assigned one counselor, one librarian on the 13 basis of site and not according to the size of the school 14 And so I feel that often times Franklin is short 15 changed. 16 It's the population size that it's short-changed? Q 17 Well, they should allocate staff according to the 18 - A size of the school, the number of students. 19 And on that basis, would you say that the K-three 20 schools receive an equitable amount or would they, by the 21 smaller, should they have fewer 23 I think another problem at the four-six schools, Α 24 and I don't want to draw a comparison between K-three and

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS 25

four-six, but at the four-six school we carry a larger 1 pupil-teacher ratio than any other school, including junior 2 high school and high school. 3 (By The Chair) What is your ratio? 0 My ratio is about 29.6. 5 I'm sure the teachers have some complaints about Q 6 that. 7 Well, I think -- think it's more of a morale factor Α 8 than, you know, that we have to take four more students 9 than say the K-three or the junior high school, but it 10 11 a morale factor on why are you picking on four to carry this higher ratio? 12 When you mentioned the multicultural classrooms, now 13 is it integration then in terms of the curriculum or in 14 terms of the diversity of students? 15 The student composition of the multicultural school 16 is the same as the regular school, they have the same divers $oldsymbol{\mathfrak{e}}$ 17 population. 18 The big difference is that they do have more of a 19 20

student-directed program, students are more involved in decision making. Students are also encouraged to participate more in the functioning of the school

21

22

23

24

25

For example, they have a viable student council and students often come to the principal to make demands to improve the school. I think it's more in terms of attitude,

there's also a strong stress on the humanistic approach where they talk about their feelings towards each other, and teachers and students work out, for example, problems that might exist between students within the classroom rather than taking it out before the principal or other, in quotes, "authority."

-__ _ = ### . F 17 - _-

Q So the four different kinds of programs you have in your school, which one would you say would be your preference?

A -- My preference?

5

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

O In terms of being an administrator making it easy for you?

A I think we have to provide a variety of options for parents, and I do not want to make any value judgment on which program is better than the other.

For example, we have a teacher that sits kids in straight rows and very much like when you went to school. And there are a large segment, or I would say — there are a lot of parents who would prefer this type of classroom, where kids are —

- Q Reprimended?
- A And it's teacher-directed. And make no hones about it, you know, a teacher's in charge and the kids listen.

 And they do what the teacher says.
 - Q Sounds like you have a very unique school and we-

certainly appreciate your coming here today and sharing 1 2 this information with us. 3 A Okay. THE CHAIR: Mr. Mizuhara, thank you very much. Is Ms. Ferreira here? 5 Thank you. If you have time, Mr. Mizuhara, you certainly are 6 7 welcome to leave, but if you have time, we would like for you to stay through this testimony in case we have some 8 9 more questions to ask you. 10 For the record, would you state you 11 THE CHAIR: name and address and occupation, please? 12 13 14 BEATRICE FERREIRA 15 My home address? Beatrice Ferreira, 1250 Castle Rock Road, Walnut Creek. I appologize for being late. 16 (By The Chair) Perfectly all right, and your occu-Q 17 18 pation? 19 A Principal, Martin Luther King Junior High School, Berkeley. 20 THE CHAIR: I believe you have some questions? 21 Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Can you tell us what wariety of 22 23 jobs or positions you've held in Berkeley's Unified School District? 24

In Berkeley, I came to Berkeley in, I believe 1967, as

dean of girls at then Garfield Junior High, now Martin-And I was the dean for seven years. 2 Luther King. At your school, are 3 directed to the minority students? 4 5 Yes, we have one program which is a federally funded Α 6 program which is BABEL, which incorporates studies for 7 the Chicano student. We have elective programs in Black studies. Specifically, I would say that's all we have in the minorities curriculum Who developed the programs, the Black studies pro-10 11 12 The Black study program has been in our school for, Α 13 well, ever since I've been there, which is, this is my ninth year, and it was originally developed by the teach 14 who now teaches it, I believe. 15 Can majority students participate in that progi 16 17 That program is open for all students. To -- the Α minority, majority. 18 19 Q Do they? 20 No. Well, let me say this: In the Black students program we only have minority students, Black students 21 22 23 remember. 24 But in the BABEL program, we have had token majority 25 people participate and they come to us from the feeder

schools, from the four-six schools, they've been in the BABEL program in four-six, and they just come as a group, and so that's how they're there.

Q Do you feel that the minority students need more specific programs directed toward them? Or for them?

A I feel that our Black studies program needs to be open to more — to more students and not limited to the Black students. And I hope that we'll be able to do this next year by incorporating ethnic groups and minority groups in a full year's U. S. history class which we will be starting in the fall.

I think that the way the program now reads is just merely an enrichment or a cultural kind of thing, but there's — now that there are academic things that go, not that there aren't academic things that go on, but it's just not as academically oriented as you think of secondary education.

Q Will you have the Black studies program in addition to what you're planning to --

A Yes.

Q The other junior high school, do they offer any programs for minority students?

A The same ones we offer.

Q Do you see any other programs, for instance, for the Chicano students or the Asian students that will be

1 developed? 2 Our Asian students do not have classes per se, we have Asian student union group and they are more a 3 4 group and not a -- we do not have classes, for instance, 5 in Asian studies. 6 That's why we hope to incorporate that into the U. S. I don't know if you're familiar with U. S. history 7 as a requirement, but in Berkeley, it's only been a semester 8 course, now for about six or seven wears. And it is just not enough time to incorporate all the things you need to do 11 I teach U. S. history and so I'm familiar with it. 12 Q. 13 Let me get this really straight here. You only have in the Black studies program and this BABEL program, 14 that's all the programs you have in terms of electives? 15 Α That's right. We have Black history and Black 16 literature, Spanish with a Spanish speaker and that's it. 17 Will these three be affected by the cuts? 18 Q. Well, now, I shouldn't say that because I don't 19 Α know which cuts are going to, you know, which ones a 20 21 cutting some of these Some of them are proposing 22 23 programs. Do the teachers who teach those programs get the 24 25 letters?

ı		
ı	2	
l		
	3	
1	4	
l	_	
l	5	
l	6	
	0	
l	7	
	•	
	8	
	9	
l		
l	10	
	11	
l	12	
l	12	
l	13	
l		
l	14	
l		
	15	
l	16	
	17	
	17	
ĺ	18	
l		
ĺ	19	
l		
l	20	
ļ		
l	21	
l	22	
l	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

A No.

Q What's the racial balance of the staff at your school?

A I was just figuring that out when I got here because I knew you were going to ask me, and the closest I can come is about 20% third world. I have 52 full-time equivalent positions and 11 third world teachers on staff.

That includes Asians, as well as Black staff and so I think it's about 20%.

Q If the cuts go through and it's last hired, first fired, what percentage will be eliminated?

A Let's see, I have 19 teachers who received letters, so I would have to do a fair estimate would be less than one or two percent that would remain. If they were fired, you know, by the letter.

Q What effects on the educational process and on, we won't use desegregation, we'll use integration, on the process of integration do you feel that an integrated staff has?

A Oh, the kids definitely need role models. They need to have minority people, the majority kids need to have them, too. It will happen fast, if they re a good one

Q Have any of the parents in your community asked to have more courses on minority — for minority students?

A I have never received -- I think you need to understand =

=	:	~ :	
	1	that I'	ve only been the principal for a year and a half,
	2	okay?	But I have not received, as principal nor as the
	3.	dean,	of course I wouldn't most likely that kind of
	4	reques	t, from parents.
	5	Q	What about from students?
	6	A	Not from students either. In fact you see, our
	7	Black	studies program is an elective program, and we
	8	build	our program around student selection and there will
1	9	be som	e semesters in which we will not even offer Black
-1	0	histor	y if no student chooses to take it.
1	J.		Do you have any alternative schools at your -
1	2	A	No, we have none at my school.
1	.3	Q	What happened to the Huey program?
1	4	A	The Huey program is at the ninth grade school.
1	.5	Q	Right, I'm sorry.
1	6	A	That's all right.
] 1	. 7	Q	Do you have tracking in your school?
1	8.	A	Yes. We have, that's an ugly word, you know We
]	9	ha v e le	evels in mathematics, and that's called tracking.
2	20	And we	have advanced class offerings, which are selected
2	21	by tes	t scores and teacher recommendations; we also have
2	22	skill	sections in the English classes so we have the student
2	23	reading	g at the second and third grade level so that has to
2	24	be tra	cking because they're all together.
2	25		Then we have English classes with students reading

four through 12, and that's as close to being homogeneous as you can get; and then we have the advanced classes.

So yes, we do have tracking.

Q Because of this form, has it made any impact on the student population, desegregation in the classrooms?

A Well, for the most part the majority of our classes are desegregated, our advanced classes are probably the most undesegregated, and that's the class, as are our skill classes.

We have more minority students at lower levels of mat and lower levels of English and we have fewer minority students at higher levels of math and higher levels of English.

Our history classes at the seventh grade and our science classes for the most part are desegregated, it depends, though on how many minority students come at the end of the alphabet or at the beginning of the alphabet, because our scheduling is done by machine program and it's loaded by alphabetical and so if you have a predominance of minority students with names who start at the end of the alphabet just by chance somtimes some classes will become predominantly minority students and for no other reason.

- O I don't think I followed that.
- A Well, when we load the program in the computer, it's

the — academic classes are loaded alphabetically, starting with the eighth grade. Depending on how many sections

of each class offering you have, the last section, for instance in English, which is loaded and may be the last period of the day, may end up with a predominance of students from the middle of the alphabet to the end of the alphabet.

And we find per chance or whatever that many of our minority students have last names from the middle of the alphabet to the end of the alphabet

THE CHAIR: Has any attempt been made to do a different kind of loading?

A We've asked about that, our system isn't sophisticated enough to do it, so then we have to do it by hand.

Which is, you know, it just — it undoes all of what the machine does, is all.

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Except what the machine does may be more — detrimental to —

A Well, yes, right.

1_

2

3

4

7

8

-10:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

.21

23

24

25

Q Because of the proportion of students in the skills program, minority and the opposite in college prep. courses, has this made a difference in the direction of the students when they get into the high schools?

A Well, by the time the student gets to the seventh grade unfortunately, they kind of track themselves. And it's very difficult to get them out of that track.

And I think people are kidding themselves when they say that you're going to raise their level of achievement that much. Maybe the district goal is a year's growth in a year's time, but it's not happening. And so they're staying in that lower level.

And of course, as they proceed through secondary school, they're still going to be in some kind of skill class.

Q Do you think that that's their own doing, or that they're being counseled and directed at that?

A No, I don't think they're being counseled, and I don't think they do it intentionally — well, I don't know what is the answer is, I don't think they're being counseled that way, no. But I don't have any answer.

Q Do the teachers at your school -- well, were the teachers at your school required to take any kind of inservice training when the desegregation plan went into effect?

A I wasn't in the district when it was first initiated, however the first or second year I was in the district, there was mandated in-service training in minority culture. So all of the teachers, all of the staff, all of the Berkeley staff participated.

- Q Could you describe what the classes were about or like?
- A Well, I can only describe what the class I took was

like, and that was we studied Afro-American history, my 1 2 instructor was an Afro poet, and it was an experience of sharing of ideas and he shared Black poetry, Black lite 3 ture with us and we satuaround and prapped and that was about 4 it. 5 Did you --6 . Q But that was a lot of years ago. 7 Did you feel that it was of any value at the time? 8 I don't think that it helped me personally; no. low of people that I didn't meet before but 10 Was it just the mandating of the class that == or 11 was it the kind of class that was given in I mean, could 12 there be classes given that would have helped teachers? 13 I would hope so. I'm not knocking the class that I 14 had, but I don't really think that it made any difference 15 in the way I felt or had any effect on my job. 16 Well, what I'm trying, I think I'm asking is, &. 17 could there be classes that would make a difference in how-18 the teachers are feeling about their jobs and how they're 19 dealing with minority students with different backgrounds 20 21 Well, to go back to a question that Theard you 22 ask Astor, if you had a class that would teach teachers not to

be afraid to discipline minority students, yes, it would

23

24

25

help.

門を変には多り

24

25

Q All right then I'll ask you, in your opinion are there a significant amount of — are there a significant amount of teachers that are afraid to discipline minority students?

Q What do you do as the principal in those cases?

A I encourage them to treat everybody alike, and to discipline people the same way, no matter who they are, and we sit down and talk about how -- how you do it.

Having had the experience of being a dean, I guess, I don't suffer from that problem and I maybe — I think that the best way to deal with it is directly, but a lot of people are afraid.

It's pretty hard to teach a 40-year-old person not to be afraid of a 12-year-old.

Q Do you feel that there's positive racial interaction at your school?

Q Can you give us some examples?

A Well, the kids interact with one another, they push and shove one another and they talk with one another, there's interaction, there's bound to be interaction when you have 1,100 students in one small building.

Q Well, is the interaction -- we heard from some students this morning who said that they're in class together

Branch areas but once they walk out of that classroom, they don't inter-.1 act at all. A The majority of them don't but if they weren't together they wouldn't have the opportunity to interact 4 at all. 5 I mean if we didn't have desegregation, then they'd 6 never have the opportunity. 7 Are there racial tensions at your school? 8 I don t think so. The children fighting or getting into hassles with is not based on a - there's not racial overtones? 12 I don't think so. 13 Martin Luther King was the school that's -- that 14 was sort of up on the hill, is that a fact? Or is a school 15 that was -- that the White children mostly fed into before 16 desegregation? 17 Well, I understand that Martin Luther King had-14 --A 18 honor students at one time. And that it was predominantly 19 White, yes. 20 When the schools were desegregated, was there at lot 21 of resistance on the part of the teachers at that school? 22 I wasn't there. 23 (By The Chair) Can you tell us a little bit about the 24 change in the name of the school and how that happened to 25

1	come about?
2	A I really can't. I'll tell you how it happened.
3	I went home in June one year and I came back in August
4	and it was changed. And I don't know how it happened or
5	anything about it.
6	Q What was the reaction of the faculty to the change?
7	A There was no overt reaction one way or another.
8	Q It wasn't discussed in a faculty meeting or anything?
9	A No, I don't believe it was. Not in any faculty
10	meeting that I attended:
11	Q How about the students reaction?
12	A There was no reaction, to my knowledge. I was the
13	dean then, and the kids said, you know, look, it's Martin
14	Luther King, that was it.
15	Q Feeling neither positive nor negative about the
16	change?
17	A No.
18	Q So the name didn't mean anything to the students
19	or the faculty. Do we have some questions from the panel?
20	Ms. Godoy?
21	Q (By Ms. Godoy) From your experiences particularly
22	there, at King School, how important is administrative lead-
23	ership in facilitating desegregation?
24	A Ask me again. I mean
25	Q Okay. How significant is it to have a strong ath
1	

administrative leadership in a school in order to bring about and facilitate desegregation?

A Well, I really think that you have to have a very strong, well-organized plant manager to get things off the ground.

Q Why now?

-3.

A Well, so that people are comfortable and are able to do their jobs. If the teachers don't know what's going on or never know from one minute to the next what's happening in the school, and if there's no direction, I mean if we have to live from one crisis to another or one flash bulletin to another, then there's this uneasy feeling about never knowing, you know, what's going to happen.

I don't know if that's what you're asking me.

Q Well, I know that King has had a reputation over the years of having had some turmoil there, and people had associated it at one time with desegregation. I wanted from your opinion whether you felt it was due so much to desegregation or whether it was due to some other factor. And if so, what factors?

A I think that King has had a reputation for a long time, and my personal feeling is that it really wasn't so much from desegregation, but from the way the school was handled.

The terrible disorientation at the beginning

of school, no process for children to know where they're supposed to go in classes and therefore they were all roaming the halls and the opportunity for kids to get into malicious mischief was always there.

The morale of the staff was such that they really didn't understand what was expected of them, and this is just an administrative kind of thing. Not, sure, they knew they were to teach English so many periods a day, but they didn't have the administrative direction to feel comfortable about their jobs.

So it was easy to blame desegregation because it was there, but if the school could never function in a sort of sane sort of way, then it didn't have a chance.

So you had to have somebody that's going to organize the place.

Q (By Ms. Godoy) Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Share?

Q (By Dr. Share) I was going to ask you, if I understood what you've been saying to a couple of previous questions, you are implying that it would be desirable from your point of view, I gather, to have teachers perhaps be a little firmer, or more honest might be the word, if I'm not putting words in your mouth, with the students in terms of discipline say? And if this is accurate.

A Yes.

Q Okay. And also I think you alluded to the idea that

more often than not or at times, by the time a student Α

reaches seventh grade he's already kind of self-programed at that time with whatever problems he seeming into school with and that it's kind of a long hard grind to kind of show the kind of progress you'd like to show by the seventh grade? I feel that very strongly. I feel that it's difficult to take a student that's 12 years old and is reading at the second grade level and motivate him to get him up to a level of fifth or sixth grade level in two years

T have personally never taught that kind of class. so maybe I really don't know what I'm talking about, but I have watched for the past 20 years in secondary education kids not make a measureable difference, measureable progress

Now, maybe they're making all kinds of progress and maybe the instruments we use to measure the progress are invalid.

But let's suppose that perhaps you are accurate in you Q estimation of this. Might there be any other alternative-I'll suggest just one perhaps and that is the kind of a focus or a further attempt to work more closely with the home and the neighborhood that the youngster might be coming rom, to achieve the kinds of strides that you as principal feel that these youngsters should be making.

Absolutely. As the dean, I would have parents speak to me about one of the greatest concerns was that Time garden

1

2

3

5

6

-10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

their kids didn't have any homework, and so if I presented to them the concept well, why not set aside a regular period every evening for homework, okay. Johnny doesn't have homework tonight, how about 30 minutes of reading, that seemed like a very foreign concept to most parents.

Q I guess what I'm wondering out loud, and I'm leading you a bit here and I appologize for that, may there be different ways of working with different people from the different backgrounds and experiences rather than, you know, a straight letter home or something to that effect?

A Sure, there would be, but most of the teaching staff now feel that they re giving 150%. And so they re, you know, they re not willing to — to work at things in different ways.

Q (By The Chair) Do you get your students from Franklin?

- A Yes. We have three feeder schools.
- Q What are the other two?
- A Longfellow and Columbus.

Q Is there any attempt made with the three schools in your school before the students come to sort of indoctrinate them or orient them into what will be happening in the junior high level?

A Yes, we've been working on that strenuously for, I'd say about the last four years. We have had such a -

Then I heard that the teachers at the feeder schools,

reputation that the kids coming to us as seventh graders are just petrified of the whole idea and we spend the first six weeks with them trying to make them feel comfortable, just about being there.

So about four years ago we started a very extensive program of orientation, at the present time, 11 weeks before the end of this particular school year; our counseling staff has spent the last 10 days at the three feeder schools; counselors first went down just to say you know, here I am. I'm a counselor and these are some of the things that are going to be getting into. And they've been giving short English placement tests and mathematic tests and the counselors have been doing this in the sixth grade classes.

Q (By The Chair) What are the students afraid of in terms of leaving elementary school and coming to junior high? Specifically to King?

A Well, the first time I went to Franklin, I really couldn't understand why they were afraid of King because Franklin is such a large place, and I was always told that the reason kids were afraid of King was because it was so big.

campus and their building was just as large as ours, I just,

when I went to Frank!

that didn't hold water at all.

the sixth grade teachers were saying, now, you better really mind your P's and Q's because next year when you get up to King, they're not going to give you all this attention that I'm giving you.

And so we've been trying to break this barrier down, that we do -- we do care about them and yes, we will give them attention and that it really isn't all that big and bad a place.

- Q Are there any other questions of either Mr. Mizuhara or Ms. Ferreira?
- Q (By Ms. Molina) Yes. With regard to your ability grouping classes that you still have at King, those students you said that most of the minority students were in the lower class?
 - A Lower levels.

- Q The instructors that are assigned to those students, do they have special skills to teach these students remedial kinds of --
 - A Yes, they do.
 - Q They are specialized instructors?
- A Right. Well, they're specialists in some of them are specialists in working with, well; for instance, our English people are specialists in the English reading level, in our levels; they're I hate to say this, but I'm going to the best math teachers we have so far as

being equipped in working with the kids. Because I think all my teachers are so good; but I mean these are really special.

- Q What I'm trying to find out, downhey provide kind of individualized programs for those students?
 - A Yes, they do.
 - Q They do?
- A Yes. I like to say to you that in we've been trying to we tried it both ways, we've tried in the past when we've had the staffing to make the classes very small so we'd have a lot of individual attention.

I found at the secondary level, the kids at the lower end of the skill level, and maybe this goes back to maybe we need to work with them in a different way, they don't come to school, and so it just becomes a syndrome with them.

They can't read and they miss so much school that the never get the instruction, so having them in smaller classes isn't always the answer because even if you have a large class, the attendance to that class is so poor that the class is basically small.

And I still don't see the kind of growth that we would like to see when you say you have 10 to 1, pupil-teacher ratio.

Q Is there kind of a reach out kind of program on the

part of counselors or teachers for this kind of student?

A This year our counselors are teaching a class for the first time, and they have been zeroing in on the kids who have attendance problems, which in turn they have learning problems also.

So we just started it this year, it's you know, really too soon to find out whether we're making any — they can go, they have contact with them, they call them in the morning to get them up, sometimes they go by their house to pick them up, but it's just, you know, this is the first year we've done this.

- Q (By The Chair) One last question from Ms. Bernstein.
- Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Except I've got two quick ones. Since you've been in secondary education for quite a while, have you seen any positive effect of having a ninth grade as a separate plant than you know, junior highsusually have the eighth and ninth grade together?

A Oh, there are lots of schools of thought about that. I've taught seventh and eighth grade; I've taught seventh eighth and ninth; I think it can go either way. I really don't think — I think the bad and the good just kind of equalize themselves. I think the role model of the minth grade is helpful, I think the ninth grade school suffers more than the seventh and eighth grade.

Q Why?

A I think that there's a lack of articulation. That
one year, you will never get a ninth grade student in
Berkeley to agree with me, but I don't think they're in one
place long enough to really have a feeling for what's going
on.

At your school are there any special programs that
have been developed that are trying to change this locked

in system of minority students in low skills and Anglo

A We've had a program for the last four years, and we hope to continue it, but we won't be continuing it next year. And that's a team approach where three or four teachers take a group of 150 students and share that group of students, and if our physical setting was a little different, I would like to see a time that the whole school became, the majority of the whole school becomes satellite schools like that, with a math, English, history teacher sharing the same 150 students.

I think that's a comfortable situation in a campus that's large enough in size.

Q I think what I'm asking, if there's a problem of students coming to school because the school isn't meeting their needs, what is the school doing to change to meet the needs of the students?

A The students that aren't coming to school because

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we aren't meeting their needs are the kids that haven't been coming to school since the third grade, and I guess we're not really doing anything besides trying to offer them, you know, classes.

THE CHAIR: Maybe Mr. Mizuhara could comment on that point?

(By Mr. Mizuhara) Now, would you ask the question again?

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Well, it's a habit in education - for the seventh grade teacher to blame the sixth grade teacher and the sixth grade teacher to blame the fifth grade teacher all the way down the line, and it's kind of what I'm hearing the junior high school principal alluding to, you know. That they're coming to you already tracked in as low skill students and their reading levels are low, and so forth, and so forth, so my question to her was if the traditional school isn't meeting their needs, by the time they get there, what is this traditional school doing to change to meet the needs; and her response, I think, was that they're, I guess, they're sort of already lost by the third grade and they're not really doing anything.

Well, Berkeley is moving now towar ment system and I think this is one of the best things that is going to hit Berkeley in a long time in terms of reading.

At each level there will be a set of skills that the

teachers will be accountable for. I think one of the things that was wrong in the Berkeley system was that we allow teachers to develop their own program and there was no continuity between grade levels.

I thoroughly agree with Bea, that the Berkeley School System has for a long time lacked any continuity in curriculum. And with this new BUD management system, it's just a management system, we don't tell the teachers how to teach, but we do say that at first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth grade levels, we expect the students to know these skills. And they will marked and recorded.

Q (By The Chair) Do you mean that a student could get. English poetry in the third grade and get English poetry in the fourth grade and the fifth grade as well from a different teacher because that's what they were interested in teaching?

A You mean in the past?

Q Why, you said there's no firm pattern of -- that.

A That's right. I think, for example, a teacher might teach a particular reading program, now, the following year she would, or he would move into another classroom that would have an entirely different reading program and there's really no record of what skills were accomplished in the previous years.

And so with this reading management system, there

.1

.2

.3

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

will be a recorded system of knowing what skills were covered. I don't think any child could now pass on from grade level to grade level without mastering a certain, you know, segment of skills.

Q (By Ms. Bernstein) Will the students be held back if they don't master the skills?

A I hope that — I hope that the district will give some sort of support to teachers that will make it manda—tory that the child have these skills before they move on to the next level.

Q * (By The Chair) One question I wanted to ask both of you related to earlier testimony today which indicated that with the advent of integration of faculty into the schools, there seemed to be separatism developing among the faculty, and that this was presenting some negatives.

Would either one of you or both of you wish to comment on that and what you see as the situation now?

A (By Ms. Ferreira) The faculty at King is separate, but I don't know, I mean the minority friends, it — they talk with — everyone speaks to one another, but they still have their separate friends.

Q Is this a deliberate attempt or it's just that knowing -- well, I'll leave it there.

A No, I'll just say that we have lots of faculty parties, and everybody comes. And everybody takes part.

But people definitely have their own friends.

A (By Mr. Mizuhara) I think what you've heard is correct, that at some schools staffs, the Black staff did meet as a separate, at separate meetings, that was at the elementary school level. I think that third world people do have to meet and, you know, get their thinking together.

I, for example, participated in an Asian teachers caucus, but we rapped about who we are and where we are and I think, you know, all ethnic groups should find out where they are.

If you want me to go into my own personal history.

I think one of the greatest things that happened to me was to find out what my Asianess was like and to accept that as me.

I think, you know, many of our students go through life or I went through life trying to emulate a White person. There's no way that I'm going to be a White person. There's no way that I'm going to be six feet tall and have hair on my chest. But I do recognize that as an Asian male I do have strength, and I think as other minorities go through the same phase of, that they will become whole persons:

I think, you know, when the constitution, when it was formulated said that a Black man was three-fifths man, I think the institution has kept him that way as three-fifths person.

.....

BOULEY, SCHLESINGER, PROFITT AND DICURTI OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS and until the Black and the Chicanos and the Asians could feel good about themselves, I think it's incumbent upon that institution to do this, that, you know, we're not going to solve the problems we have in this country.

And I firmly do not believe that if you just talk about busing and mixing kinds, that is not the answer. I think there's a lot of hard work that needs to be done, and I hope that when you go out and make recommendations that you just don't talk about, you know, pushing bodies around, putting them in a classroom, because it takes a lot of room in terms of curriculum, teacher attitudes, the whole works.

And in Berkeley, I think we're moving, but we're no where near it. But I'm proud to be in Berkeley.

Q So you're saying that one of the benefits, and correct me if I'm stating this incorrectly, of the desegregation activity in Berkeley was the hiring of minority teachers and placing them in integrated settings which then forced them to look at who they were and what they were about in order to become more accepting of their own cultural background?

A I think too, that just being an Asian administrator in our school district has helped the Asian students all the way through the grades. For example, yesterday I got a call from some high school students asking me for help.

But that would never occur and they would never take advantage of the institution if I were not here.

And I think we do need ethnic models for our students to look at. Not only ethnic but sexual models.

Q If we weren't running behind time, I would ask both of you questions relative to what it means to be a minority administrator either ethnically or sexually, however, I want to thank both of you very much for sharing your time and coming with us this afternoon. Thank you.

Now, by any chance is Ms. Beatrice Hill around?

In that case, I would like to thank the audience, to remind you again that if any of you would like to present testimony tomorrow afternoon, to please talk with one of our staff people, either Ms. Godoy or Ms. James at the end of this session.

Thanking all of you again, the meetings is adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m.

* * * * *